

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

RENE ROMO, an individual; BENJAMIN
WEAVER, an individual; WILLIAM
EVERETT WARINNER, an individual;
JESSICA BARRETT, an individual; JUNE
KEENER, an individual; RICHARD QUINN
BOYLAN, an individual; and BONITA
AGAN, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEN DETZNER, in his official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State, and PAM BONDI,
in her official capacity as Attorney General of
the State of Florida,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2012-CA-00412 ✓

FILED
2012 OCT 17 10 23

FILED

THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF
FLORIDA, *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEN DETZNER, in his official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State; THE FLORIDA
SENATE; MICHAEL HARIDOPOLOS, in his
official capacity as President of the Florida
Senate; THE FLORIDA HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES; and DEAN CANNON,
in his official capacity as Speaker of the
Florida House of Representatives,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2012-CA-00490

**ROMO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS	2
III. ARGUMENT	5
A. STANDARD OF REVIEW	5
B. THE 2012 CONGRESSIONAL PLAN IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL	8
1. The Plan Reflects an Unlawful Intent to Favor a Political Party	8
2. The Plan Reflects an Unlawful Intent to Favor Incumbents	11
3. The Plan Does Not Abide By and Cannot Be Justified By the Minority Voting Rights Provisions	12
4. The Plan Violates Tier-Two Criteria	16
C. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 5 AND ITS SURROUNDING DISTRICTS ARE CONSTITUTIONALLY INVALID	17
1. Congressional District 5 Fails Tier-Two Requirements, Creating Tier-Two Violations in Surrounding Districts	19
2. Protection of Minority Voting Rights Provides No Valid Justification for Congressional District 5	23
3. Congressional District 5 and Surrounding Districts were Drawn with the Intent to Favor the Republican Party	31
4. Congressional District 5 Was Drawn with the Intent to Favor an Incumbent	32
D. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS 13 AND 14 FLOUT THE CONSTITUTION	33
E. APPROPRIATE RELIEF	38
IV. CONCLUSION	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Abrams v. Johnson</i> , 521 U.S. 74 (1997).....	30
<i>Bartlett v. Strickland</i> , 556 U.S. 1 (2009).....	28, 29, 30
<i>Brown v. Secretary of State</i> , Case No. 11-14554 (11 th Cir. Jan. 31, 2012)	3
<i>Campos v. City of Baytown, Tex.</i> , 840 F.2d 1240 (5 th Cir. 1988)	37
<i>Cunningham v. Adams</i> , 808 F.2d 815 (11 th Cir. 1987)	39
<i>Fleet Wholesale Supply Co. v. Remington Arms Co.</i> , 846 F.2d 1095 (7 th Cir. 1988)	39
<i>G & V Lounge, Inc. v. Mich. Liquor Control Comm'n</i> , 23 F.3d 1071 (6 th Cir. 1994)	39
<i>Grove v. Emison</i> , 507 U.S. 25 (1993).....	29
<i>LULAC v. Perry</i> , 548 U.S. 399 (2006).....	30
<i>Martinez v. Bush</i> , 234 F. Supp. 2d 1275 (S.D. Fla. 2002)	9
<i>Miller v. Johnson</i> , 515 U.S. 900 (1995).....	30
<i>Personnel Adm'r of Mass. v. Feeney</i> , 442 U.S. 256 (1979).....	15
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i> , 377 U.S. 533 (1964).....	39
<i>Scott v. Roberts</i> , 612 F.3d 1279 (11 th Cir. 2010)	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Thornburg v. Gingles</i> , 478 U.S. 30 (1986).....	28, 29, 30
<i>Voinovich v. Quilter</i> , 507 U.S. 146 (1993).....	29
 STATE CASES	
<i>Advisory Opinion to the Att’y Gen’l re Indep. Nonpartisan Comm’n to Apportion Legislative & Congressional Districts Which Replaces Apportionment by the Legislature</i> , 926 So. 2d 1218 (Fla. 2006).....	3
<i>Advisory Opinion to Attorney General re Standards For Establishing Legislative Dist. Boundaries</i> , 2 So. 3d 175 (Fla. 2009).....	34
<i>Florida Dept. of State v. Fla. State Conf. of NAACP Branches</i> , 43 So. 3d 662 (Fla. 2010).....	3
<i>Holl v. Talcott</i> , 191 So.2d 40 (Fla. 1966).....	8, 38
<i>In re Apportionment Law Appearing as Senate Joint Resolution 1E</i> , 414 So. 2d 1040 (Fla. 1982).....	32
<i>In re Apportionment Law Senate Joint Resolution 1305, 1972 Regular Session</i> , 263 So. 2d 797 (Fla. 1972).....	33, 38
<i>In re Constitutionality of House Joint Resolution 1987 (In re Apportionment Law—2002)</i> , 817 So. 2d 819 (Fla. 2002).....	6, 33
<i>In Re: Senate Joint Resolution of Legislative Apportionment 1176</i> (Fla. Sup. Court Mar. 9, 2012).....	passim
<i>Juarez v. New Branch Corp.</i> , 67 So. 3d 1159 (Fla. App. 3 Dist. 2011).....	8, 38
<i>Liberty Counsel v. Florida Bar Bd. of Governors</i> , 12 So.3d 183	8, 38
<i>Reform Party of Fla. v. Black</i> , 885 So. 2d 303 (Fla. 2004).....	8, 38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Siegel v. NewAgeCities.Com, Inc.</i> , 920 So. 2d 1274 (Fla. App. 4 Dist. 2006).....	7, 38
 FEDERAL STATUTES	
42 U.S.C. § 1973(b).....	29
 RULES	
Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.510(c).....	8, 38
 REGULATIONS	
DOJ Guidance Notice, 76 Fed. Reg.....	25
 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	
Fla. Const. Article III, § 16.....	4
Fla. Const. Article III, § 20.....	passim
Fla. Const. Article III, § 20(a).....	passim
Fla. Const. Article III, § 20(b).....	passim
Fla. Const. Article III, § 21(a).....	8
Fla. Const. Article XI, § 3.....	3
 OTHER AUTHORITIES	
11A Charles Alan Wright et al., <i>Federal Practice & Procedure</i> (2d ed. 1995).....	39
FLA. SEN. J. (Feb. 23, 1993), at 187-88.....	3
FLORIDA CONST. REVISION COMM’N, J. OF THE 1997-98 CONST. REVISION COMM’N, Mar. 23, 1998.....	3