

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
Southern Division**

**ROBYN KRAVITZ, et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
COMMERCE, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**WILBUR ROSS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Case No.: GJH-18-1041**

**Case No.: GJH-18-1570**

**ORDER**

For the reasons to be stated in a forthcoming Memorandum Opinion, it is ordered by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland that:

1. Defendants’ Motions for Summary Judgment, ECF Nos. 67 (18-1041) and 82 (18-1570), are **DENIED**;
2. The parties will be permitted to present evidence outside of the administrative record at trial; The defense will be permitted to argue at the close of trial that such evidence should not be considered in the Court’s final decision;
3. The Plaintiffs’ Motions to Consolidate, ECF Nos. 73 (18-1041) and 90 (18-1570), are **GRANTED**. The trials in *LUPE v. Ross*, Case No. 8:18-cv-01570-GJH, and *Kravitz et al. v. U.S. Dep’t of Commerce, et al.*, No. 8:18-cv-01041 are consolidated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 42(a)(1).

