Exhibit D
Re: Voters Not Politicians Ballot Committee

Dear Chairperson Shinkle:

I am counsel to Voters Not Politicians Ballot Committee ("VNP"). I am writing to request that the Michigan Board of State Canvassers ("Board") convene a meeting as soon as possible, and certify the initiative petition sponsored by VNP (the "VNP Proposal") for the November 2018 General Election ballot.

On December 18, 2017, VNP filed with the Michigan Department of State 74,721 sheets of signed petitions containing 428,587 signatures.

On April 12, 2018, the Bureau of Elections ("Bureau") and the Board published a notice establishing April 26, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. as the deadline for members of the public to submit challenges to the signatures sampled from the petitions submitted by VNP (Exhibit A). No challenges to the signatures have been filed.

Our consultant, Practical Political Consultant has analyzed the sampled signatures, and determined that 466 of 505 sampled signatures are clearly valid (Exhibit B). The Bureau has provided to us its preliminary analysis of the signatures; that analysis also concluded that 466 of the 505 sampled signatures are valid (Exhibit C). The Bureau sampled the signatures for the petitions submitted by the Coalition To Regulate Marijuana Like Alcohol, and found that 366 of the 500 sampled signature were valid, and concluded that there was a sufficient number of signatures to justify certification of that proposal (Exhibit D). At its most recent meeting, the Board unanimously certified that petition.

The signature sample for the VNP Proposal has 100 more valid signatures than the Marijuana proposal on a similar sample size (500 vs. 505). Clearly, the VNP Proposal is entitled to certification by the Board.

The ballot question committee sponsored by the Michigan Chamber of Commerce, Citizens Protecting Michigan’s Constitution ("CPMC"), has filed a challenge which raises only legal issues, which it has acknowledged are outside of the jurisdiction of the Board. CPMC has
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also filed a lawsuit with the Michigan Court of Appeals raising the same issues. However, these actions are irrelevant to the Board’s clear legal duty of certify the VNP Proposal.

We would appreciate your prompt consideration of our request. Please let us know your decision as soon as possible. In order to expedite our receipt of your response, I would appreciate a copy of your response via email at lancaster-law@comcast.net

Respectfully,

[Signature]

James R. Lancaster

cc: Colleen Pero
Jeanette Bradshaw
Julie Matuzak
Sally Williams
Melissa Malerman
Nancy Wang
Katie Fahey
Hon. Peter D. Houk