

Attachment 1

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
William Collins	3/30/2017	14	22	15	10	Lives and votes in CD 1
William Collins	3/30/2017	29	5	29	14	Is a Democrat
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	8	19	9	1	Lives in NC
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	12	24	13	11	Is a Democrat
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	14	7	16	12	GOTV work; Dems didn't vote because they thought their vote didn't count.
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	16	20	17	20	Member of the League of Women Voters of Granville County
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	21	5	23	3	Harmed on a statewide basis because she is a Democrat
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	25	21	25	22	Votes a straight Democratic ticket
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	32	19	32	33	Lived in CD 13 until 2005
Elizabeth Evans	4/7/2017	33	6	33	10	Now in CD 1, voted for Rep. Butterfield
Elliot Feldman	3/24/2017	7	18	7	21	Lives in NC
Elliot Feldman	3/24/2017	11	14	11	24	Is a Democrat
Elliot Feldman	3/24/2017	13	1	13	4	Is a Democrat
Elliot Feldman	3/24/2017	16	1	16	23	Lives in CD 9

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Elliot Feldman	3/24/2017	27	8	27	22	Statewide harm
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	8	17	8	25	Lives in NC
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	9	24	10	2	Lives in CD 1
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	19	19	20	17	Explains packing
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	22	3	26	7	Harmed as an NC resident
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	29	21	30	7	Congressman not responsive because in a safe seat
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	30	22	31	23	Statewide harm
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	34	12	35	20	Votes are mostly along party lines
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	36	5	36	8	Voice diluted
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	48	1	51	9	6-7 districts would be more fair
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	51	18	52	9	There is no district where an activist can make a difference
Carol Faulkner Fox	3/22/2017	54	4	54	24	There are only three congresspeople that represent Democratic interests in NC
Annette Love	4/7/2017	7	8	7	16	Lives in NC
Annette Love	4/7/2017	12	10	12	18	Plan wide harm
Annette Love	4/7/2017	18	19	18	21	Is a Democrat

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Annette Love	4/7/2017	25	23	26	17	Handed out fliers for the Democratic ticket
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	10	5	10	13	Lives in NC, is a Democrat
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	11	15	11	17	Lives in CD4
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	18	17	19	8	Has voted in every congressional election since 2002
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	22	19	23	25	What should be considered when redistricting – representation reflecting voting patterns of the state
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	27	4	29	21	Describing the harm
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	31	6	34	17	Harm, tried to have voice heard
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	44	25	46	11	6-7 or 5-8 would be more fair
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	47	14	48	8	Can't vote for a Republican because leadership position dictates their votes
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	50	2	50	23	Thought about moving to make more of an impact, but it wouldn't matter where she moves
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	51	23	53	22	Map is 10-3 and will stay that way, defines gerrymandering
Maria Palmer	3/22/2017	59	12	61	1	Involved with NAACP, LWVNC and Democratic party

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	6	17	42	22	Harm explained
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	44	2	45	9	His knowledge comes from being an activist
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	46	16	47	14	His knowledge comes from being an activist
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	48	10	50	25	Defines gerrymandering
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	51	8	54	11	Statewide harm
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	61	12	61	13	Is a Democrat
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	78	8	78	14	Involved with Democratic party
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	84	5	84	16	6-7 would be fairer
Gunther Peck	3/22/2017	87	23	89	22	Spoke with over 1,000 voters as part of activism
Ersila Phelps	4/7/2017	7	11	7	15	Lives in NC
Ersila Phelps	4/7/2017	12	15	13	24	Is a Democrat
Ersila Phelps	4/7/2017	19	9	18	13	Lives in CD2
Ersila Phelps	4/7/2017	23	17	23	24	Democrats did not have a fair chance
Ersila Phelps	4/7/2017	34	19	35	9	Rep. Holding not responsive
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	16	12	19	10	Lives in NC, has been in CD 10 and 11

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	24	13	25	12	Is a Democrat
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	29	19	32	10	Active with local board of elections, describes harm
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	34	17	37	4	Active with Buncombe County Democratic Party and local election board
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	37	16	48	5	Describes the harm
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	52	1	58	2	Involvement with Buncombe Democratic Party, testified at redistricting hearing
John Quinn III	4/10/2017	62	8	63	8	Describes harm through ACA and town hall meeting
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	7	15	8	6	Lives in NC
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	16	15	16	24	Votes in congressional elections in Buncombe since 2009
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	21	4	21	15	Defines gerrymandering
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	24	6	27	23	Describes harm
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	29	12	31	3	Describes harm with example of the ACA
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	32	9	34	15	Harm of splitting Asheville
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	37	1	39	4	Asheville congressional seat used to be competitive, now not.

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	41	16	42	20	Voters rely on party identification, knows from handing out information at polling places for the Democratic party
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	43	2	44	10	Involved in Democratic Party and League of Women Voters of Asheville-Buncombe
Aaron Sarver	4/10/2017	48	25	53	12	Is a Democrat
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	11	1	11	11	Lives in NC
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	12	6	12	8	Lives in CD12
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	14	12	15	13	Volunteers with Democracy North Carolina doing redistricting advocacy
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	16	21	17	4	Is a Democrat
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	25	11	25	15	Has always been a Democrat
Janie S. Sumpter	3/24/2017	39	17	40	2	Describes harm
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	13	3	13	11	Member of LWFNC and Democratic Women of Mecklenburg County
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	14	18	14	23	Representing LWFNC in the 30(b)(6) deposition, is co-President
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	21	1	21	17	Describes how LWFNC adopts policy positions

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	30	22	32	9	LWVNC does work around voting rights and redistricting
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	33	7	33	20	Members took part in public discussions about 2016 redistricting plan
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	35	25	37	25	LWVNC seeks to educate people on the redistricting process, member interest rives that
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	43	8	43	16	LWVNC now has 17 local leagues
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	44	15	45	18	LWVNC engages in voter registration and GOTV at local level, cost is human hours
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	47	2	47	23	LWV motto is “representative, responsive, accountable”
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	48	21	49	7	LWVNC wants districts that are reflective of the voting population in the state
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	52	8	53	18	Describes harm
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	54	2	54	17	NC is a purple state
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	55	12	55	17	Statewide harm
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	59	7	60	25	Describes harm
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	62	21	63	13	Democratic members of LWVNC are harmed

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	80	1	81	10	Describes harm
Mary Trotter Klenz	4/4/2017	93	14	95	5	Describes LWVNC motto and harm
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	6	18	6	21	Lives in NC
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	8	25	10	16	Is a Democrat
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	26	13	26	16	Statewide harm
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	34	23	34	25	Lives in CD1
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	36	11	36	19	Statewide harm
Willis Williams	3/30/2017	37	8	37	12	Statewide harm
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	12	22	13	3	Appointed as Chair of House Committee to respond to Harris in 2016
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	14	15	14	21	Role on Committee in 2011
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	15	25	16	6	Hofeller moved the mouse to draw the lines for the districts
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	16	21	17	23	Lewis knew Hofeller since 2009
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	21	19	22	7	State hired Ogletree, Ogletree hired Hofeller, Lewis gave direct instructions to Hofeller
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	25	1	25	17	Considered partisan data as a predictor of future elections

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	26	8	26	11	Viewed decisions for 2011 map drawing through a partisan lens
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	26	23	27	5	In 2011 looked at historic election results to give Republicans opportunity
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	29	17	29	20	Applied past results to newly drawn districts
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	36	16	36	25	Had full authority from Speaker Tillis to act on behalf of the House
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	38	2	38	5	Court said we should not consider race in drawing districts
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	38	15	38	19	Told map maker that race should not be considered in drawing the map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	39	5	39	18	Considered incumbency, including the partisanship of the incumbents
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	42	24	44	4	2/6/2016 meeting with counsel, decided to hire Hofeller
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	44	12	45	20	First conversation between Lewis and Hofeller on 2/6/16, no instructions given
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	48	19	49	7	First meeting with Hofeller at home on 2/9/16

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	50	8	51	1	Made sure new CD12 was Democratic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	51	15	51	19	Believed they were prohibited from using racial data
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	53	21	53	24	Told Hofeller not to use race in drawing the maps
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	55	7	55	21	Asked Hofeller to protect incumbents
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	56	1	56	12	Lewis was aware partisan affiliation of incumbents was 10R-3D
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	58	8	58	12	Lewis responsible for criteria, Hofeller responsible for implementation of the criteria.
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	59	19	60	13	2/10/2016 meeting to review oral criteria and look at early draft maps
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	62	20	64	17	When Lewis looked at Hofeller's screen political data was showing on the draft district map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	65	18	65	22	When Lewis looked at Hofeller's screen he could either zoom out and see 13 districts or zoom in and see a single one
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	66	24	67	13	2/10/2016 meeting was pretty long, 2/9/2016 meeting was about 2 hours.

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	71	1	71	19	Only conversation with Speaker Moore was about Harris decision, no conversations with Senator Berger
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	73	7	74	24	Talked with Hofeller on the 12th or 13th of February, looked at draft maps
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	76	6	76	16	2016 map was based on the 2011 map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	76	20	76	25	2011 map on Hofeller's screen when reviewing draft maps
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	77	7	77	24	Maps viewed at meeting 12th or 13th were near-final versions of the 2016 map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	78	6	78	12	Lewis did not tell Hofeller how to balance criteria and Hofeller did not tell Lewis how he balanced criteria
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	81	11	83	6	Hofeller did not go to 2/15/2016 public hearing and no one knew Hofeller was working on a map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	84	17	84	21	Brent Woodcox drafted Lewis' intended criteria
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	86	2	86	4	Lewis instructed Woodcox that the court had said they could not use race
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	88	13	89	22	Told Woodcox that criteria could not use

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						race data but could use political data, specifically election returns
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	90	19	90	25	When telling Woodcox to use partisan data in the criteria, Lewis had already viewed a map with Hofeller that evaluated the performance of a district using that data
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	91	11	92	2	Lewis told Woodcox to include political data in the criteria, specifically that the new map should include 10R and 3 D
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	95	7	96	6	It came down to two map drafts, they chose the one that split fewer counties and VTDs.
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	96	19	97	15	Only the 20 statewide races were made available to Hofeller
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	97	19	97	22	Lewis thought the Tillis-Hagan race was the most relevant and he told that to Hofeller
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	98	13	98	16	Lewis defined incumbency to Woodcox as the current members of Congress under the 2011 map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	99	7	99	15	Lewis instructed Hofeller to avoid double bunkings of incumbents but there was one,

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	101	9	101	15	No memory of reviewing drafts of the criteria
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	103	3	103	16	Only Rucho and Lewis had access to the map before they presented it to the Committee
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	105	4	105	19	Lewis presented criteria at Committee meeting, Rucho presided
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	109	12	109	22	Lewis would have opposed any map that violated the adopted criteria
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	110	16	110	21	Formal adoption of criteria meant to reflect the Committee's view on the constraints under which maps would be built
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	116	12	117	3	Election results are a better predictor of voting behavior than voter registration data
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	118	3	118	16	Lewis told Hofeller not to use race data
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	118	23	119	4	Lewis did not discuss VRA compliance with Hofeller
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	119	19	119	23	Hofeller instructed not to consider race data in drawing the maps

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	120	17	121	12	Knew partisan criteria would be controversial but thought it was honest to say what would be considered
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	121	25	122	3	Expected partisan performance of the maps was one of the factors considered in passing the 2011 maps
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	124	14	125	5	No reason not to make getting a 10R-3D map a goal
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	126	15	126	17	The only way you can attack the plan is as a political gerrymander
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	127	12	128	15	Plan gave Republicans an opportunity to elect 10 members to Congress
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	129	19	131	9	Use of political data was to comply with the criteria of partisan advantage
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	132	16	132	23	Partisan data was a criteria used to change lines on the map
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	133	22	134	17	Wanted to reaffirm that the districts he would produce would provide an opportunity for 10 Republicans to win reelection.
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	142	4	142	15	Every choice we make is political, Hofeller may have chosen to divide

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						counties because of the political impact of the districts
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	143	13	143	24	Lewis told Hofeller that all criteria should be weighed equally
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	150	6	150	17	Looked at past election results to determine future opportunity to elect members of one party or another
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	156	19	157	1	Hofeller was allowed to move VTDs between district 6 and 13 for political impact
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	158	13	159	2	Every district except 12 has a county split, and Hofeller was allowed to make county splits on the basis of political impact
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	162	24	163	7	Enacted map was drawn prior to the Joint Redistricting Committee meeting
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	164	24	165	4	Historic election results, not registration data made a difference to the line drawing
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	166	13	167	18	Would have to violate adopted criteria to get a plan with 11R-2D
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	171	3	172	12	Lewis believes that electing Republicans is better for the country than electing Democrats and that is part of why they had

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						the partisan advantage as part of the adopted criteria
Rep. David Lewis	1/26/2017	176	23	177	9	If there is discretion, will make that decision through the lens of being a Republican
Rep. David Lewis	4/28/2017	240	8	240	18	Maptitude was loaded only with the data relevant to the adopted criteria
Rep. David Lewis	4/28/2017	242	14	243	7	Hofeller used a locked workspace when drawing the maps, only accessible by Rucho, Lewis, Woodcox and whoever they allowed
Rep. David Lewis	4/28/2017	249	10	249	19	Did no RPV analysis
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	14	18	15	4	Past election results are the best predictor of future electoral success
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	15	15	15	19	There is no more reliable indicator of future election results than past elections
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	16	8	16	12	Past election results are the most important information in trying to give advantage in redistricting to one party
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	18	1	18	20	Hofeller was principal architect of 2011 plan

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	20	7	20	23	Received instructions orally only for 2011 plan (from Rucho and Lewis)
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	52	25	53	3	More control of legislative chambers leads to more redistricting success
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	72	13	73	8	The only data Hofeller had was TIGER census data from Caliper and the NC stat pack
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	80	2	80	10	No paper trail of communication between Lewis, Rucho and Hofeller in 2016
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	100	20	101	6	Used political data from the block level and up
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	104	1	104	25	Used rainbow or chromatic coloring schemes to view the political data in Maptitude
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	116	5	116	10	Politics was primary determinant in 2011 map drawing
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	125	7	125	9	Primary partisan goal was to achieve a 10R-3D advantage in 2016
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	127	6	129	7	2011 and 2016 partisan strategy
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	130	2	130	9	Did not work on new map until Harris was released

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	130	15	130	18	Did not work on new map until Harris was released
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	145	9	145	12	No racial data considered in 2016
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	146	4	146	13	No racial data, but did use partisan data in 2016
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	149	5	150	2	Underlying political nature of precincts does not change no matter which elections you use
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	172	19	173	5	Started making maps over weekend of 5 and 6 February, after Harris decision
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	175	19	175	24	Instructed to draw a map that was likely to elect 10 R and 3 D
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	176	18	176	21	Didn't see written criteria until after adopted
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	177	9	177	14	Map finished by the time criteria adopted by the committee
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	178	14	178	20	Plan conformed to criteria that were adopted ¹
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	183	22	184	8	Did not consider race
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	185	7	185	9	Thought district 1 was compliant with VRA because in same area, did not assess

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						whether any other districts complied with the VRA
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	188	19	189	2	Instructed to preserve 2011 partisan advantage
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	189	20	189	22	Instructed to preserve 2011 partisan advantage
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	190	3	190	23	10-3 achievable using election results by precinct
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	198	14	199	5	Modified after committee meeting to respond to partisan concern re double bunking
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	200	19	200	23	Did not draw a plan that divided fewer counties but still was 10R-3D
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	203	1	203	5	Divided counties for political reasons
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	212	16	213	25	How political data used
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	214	13	216	7	Used shading based on political data when dividing counties
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	224	20	225	10	How Maptitude displays a formula
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	235	1	235	11	Thought "Congress 17A" wasn't going to work

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	1/24/2017	246	9	247	3	VRA compliance considered for district 1
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	262	21	262	24	Hofeller formula
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	267	3	268	10	Purpose of Hofeller formula and how applied
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	269	25	270	6	What breaks used for Hofeller formula schematic
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	271	11	272	4	Only used schematic at VTD level
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	274	9	274	16	VTDs keep same characteristics through multiple elections
Dr. Thomas Hofeller	2/10/2017	299	3	300	7	Use an average of elections to smooth out for local factors like spending etc
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	26	3	28	18	Chronology after Harris decision released
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	31	3	31	8	Rucho believed there was no RPV in NC
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	31	16	38	11	Chronology of meetings with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	38	16	38	19	Race data not to be included
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	43	3	43	8	Used political data
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	43	19	44	21	Used political data, not race data, what partisan advantage means
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	45	11	45	16	Used election results not registration data

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	55	3	56	13	Hofeller did not attend public hearings, did not receive transcripts
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	58	14	60	5	Public hearing concerns not shared with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	61	7	62	3	Public hearing concerns not shared with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	63	19	63	25	10R-3D was one of the criteria
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	64	22	65	1	Beginning maps already drawn by Monday Feb 8
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	66	21	67	20	Public hearing concerns not shared with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	68	8	69	9	Public hearing concerns not shared with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	72	23	73	1	Hofeller did not attend public hearings, transcript not sent to Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	84	2	84	9	Hofeller did not review written criteria
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	84	15	84	18	Identifies political data
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	90	4	90	11	Rucho's understanding of 10R-3D
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	92	3	92	15	Could deliver a 10-3 map

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	94	13	94	17	Did not consider race
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	97	3	97	6	Election results allow you to better predict that Republicans would win 10 districts
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	97	11	97	16	Partisan advantage vote was along party lines
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	100	19	101	3	Consolidating the 12th opened up a number of opportunities to keep counties whole
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	101	7	101	13	No compactness measures, just looked at the districts
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	105	16	106	4	Hofeller used election data to determine where lines should be.
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	107	20	108	4	Hofeller had authority to use election results to determine where lines go
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	109	3	109	5	Counties could be split for reasons of political impact
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	114	5	114	9	Hofeller could divide counties for political impact
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	115	10	115	16	Did not consider communities of interest
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	118	20	119	10	Stands by comment that the 2016 plan is a

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						political gerrymander
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	121	1	121	4	Rucho thinks that partisan gerrymandering is not unconstitutional
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	122	3	122	9	Republicans could win 10 districts for the 2016
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	139	25	141	2	Senators did not need to know who drew the map
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	163	17	163	24	Rucho's definition of a partisan gerrymander
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	165	12	165	16	Democrats tried to gain advantage with 2001 and 2003 plans
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	170	13	170	17	First time Rucho met Hofeller was Feb 8 th or 9 th .
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	173	7	173	10	There was a second meeting at Hofeller's house
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	176	10	176	17	Staff were not authorized to speak with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	180	7	180	13	Rucho and Lewis saw Hofeller twice in one day on 8th or 9th.
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	186	13	187	9	Only saw 2-3 maps from Hofeller

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	194	12	194	4	Partisan advantage was as important as other criteria
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	196	24	197	10	Decision came down to two plans
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	200	10	200	24	Final map chosen at second meeting with Hofeller
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	205	4	205	9	Registration data not as reliable as election results
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	210	19	210	9	Was not possible to draw an 11-2 map
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	211	13	211	15	Hofeller did not offer an 11-2 map
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	214	25	215	25	Democrats were not told they could use legislative computers to draw a plan until February 2016
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	226	24	227	11	2016 was an unusual election, does not know if 2012 was an unusual election.
Sen. Robert Rucho	1/25/2017	232	19	233	2	State voter registration data not helpful
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	14	10	14	23	Never taught a course on redistricting
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	15	3	15	20	Never published on redistricting or NC
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	38	15	39	3	Gimpel thinks the plaintiffs did a good job of reviewing data from other states

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	60	11	61	8	It would be crazy to say that the plan didn't have some partisanship
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	61	13	61	15	Question about what facts or data Gimpel used to say it was possible to draw a more aggressive Republican map
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	62	4	62	7	Answer: no facts or data to support conclusion
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	64	14	64	25	It's probably not possible to draw a more Republican map if you have to obey the other criteria
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	67	19	68	8	It's hard to draw a more Republican map and keep the criteria
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	85	23	86	5	Defining competitiveness
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	88	1	88	6	Protections of incumbents was really just making safe R and D districts
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	88	25	89	7	Democratic districts were made more Democratic
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	110	2	110	8	If Chen obeyed the criteria that would make his simulations reasonable
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	111	4	111	9	If Chen obeyed the criteria that would make his simulations reasonable

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	111	15	111	21	Simulations would be more reasonable if Chen included incumbency
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	118	11	118	20	Gimpel was not instructed on VRA
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	120	17	120	20	Gimpel is just guessing that the legislature would have had to consider the VRA
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	123	23	125	11	Averaging election results removes idiosyncrasies of any particular election
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	126	18	128	4	Which elections make sense to include or exclude in making an index
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	130	18	131	3	Shoring up district 4 as more Democratic made the surrounding districts more Republican
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	131	11	131	22	10-3 map arose because they tried to protect incumbents and ensure African American representation
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	132	10	132	15	Pairing incumbents is inconsistent with prioritizing incumbency protection
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	133	7	134	1	2002 map is an example of 2 large African American districts with 7 Democrats and 6 Republicans
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	139	18	140	4	It is clear that in 2012 and 2016 the map

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						drawers were trying to advantage Republicans
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	146	14	146	18	Efficiency is an old concept in political science
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	167	7	167	12	Any statement by Gimpel as to whether the legislature considered race is speculation
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	201	24	202	1	Gimpel did no racially polarized voting analysis
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	205	5	206	14	You would need to do a racially polarized voting analysis to know if there is a VRA claim in NC
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	210	6	210	10	Legislature probably followed the criteria they adopted
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	214	11	214	17	None of the congressional elections in 2016 were competitive
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	218	10	220	5	Efficiency concept has been in political science for a while, Gimpel's metric is different to the efficiency gap
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	224	3	224	22	Why Gimpel chose 2008 Governor's race as a baseline in some places

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	228	16	229	9	Discussion of concept and history of efficiency in political science
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	231	16	231	22	Agrees with Jackman's calculations of the efficiency gap in his rebuttal report
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	236	11	237	15	Jackman's calculations correct in rebuttal report and Gimpel used a race that was more favorable to Democrats
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	244	14	244	17	Putting Asheville in a Buncombe County seat would make it more competitive
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	245	2	245	20	Gimpel is not saying that it is possible to draw an 11-2 plan
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	264	7	264	23	Efficiency is the translation of votes into seats, responsiveness is different
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	265	1	265	7	Would not use composition of the legislature to determine partisan balance
Dr. James Gimpel	4/ 27/2017	265	23	267	17	A regression to determine the effect of party control over redistricting would be interesting.
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	43	7	43	24	Using Hood's index you get a statewide score of 50.2% Republican, which he agrees would be competitive

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	44	4	44	23	Agrees that the state was fairly competitive in 2016
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	52	8	52	19	Redistricting has some effect on election outcomes
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	53	23	55	6	You would need a statistical regression model to know the amount of effect of redistricting on the large efficiency gap and he did not do that analysis
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	55	21	56	4	To the extent that incumbency protection accounts for the 10-3 advantage, that was due to the redistricting process.
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	60	22	61	2	Hood did no analysis of the effect of incumbency advantage in NC
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	64	16	64	19	Hood has previously done work on how redistricting affects the incumbency advantage
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	65	4	65	17	Hood did not do an analysis here of how the redistricting affected the incumbency advantage
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	68	5	69	10	It is possible to test for the effect of core retention on Democratic and Republican incumbents, but he did not do that here.

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	76	19	78	2	A reason to use an index is because any single election could be influenced by certain factors that make it unrepresentative.
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	78	22	79	10	The statewide average is 50.2% Republican using Hood's index
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	80	20	81	4	Defining competitiveness
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	83	12	85	7	Hood did not draw any redistricting plans for NC, and partisan clustering does not tell you about the ultimate partisan composition of the districts
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	86	3	86	10	State is 50% Republican according to the aggregation of VTDS using Hood's formula
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	91	21	92	14	Not saying Jackman's rebuttal report calculation of variance is wrong.
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	95	9	95	11	Hood has not done analysis of ticket splitting in NC
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	101	3	101	12	Redistricting can have "substantial effects on voter preferences"
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	105	6	105	17	Does not dispute Jackman's finding that NC shows an "impressive degree of

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						electoral stability”
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	106	7	106	13	Efficiency gap is not an exact measure of proportional representation but is correlated
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	109	2	109	10	Core retention was not in the adopted criteria but Hood thinks it should be looked at anyway
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	111	19	111	25	State would have complied better with incumbency protection criteria if they avoided pairing all 13 incumbents
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	137	23	138	9	Defines competitiveness in Table 12
		139	1	139	9	Party registration data will not get you as far as using prior election results
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	143	14	144	2	Hood did not statistical analysis for the reason for the 10-3, but included tabular data
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	147	5	147	17	To understand significance of core retention, need to compare within a state
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	172	2	172	19	Did not do analysis to see if Chen’s analysis gets at the question in his report
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	182	13	184	7	After Harris, NC not required to draw

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						districts within a specific range of Black VAP
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	185	3	185	9	The districts containing 44.5% and 36.2% Black VAP were not a function of the VRA
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	197	2	197	19	Would be reasonable for Chen to run a simulation pairing only 11 incumbents
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	203	25	204	3	Partisan gerrymandering is drawing districts to gain political advantage
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	206	20	207	6	Counterfactual simulations could give you some hint as to how partisan considerations affected the outcome of a map
Dr. M.V. Hood III	5/3/2017	212	24	213	2	Incumbents typically outperform the partisan balance of a district.
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	26	5	26	8	Defining competitive districts
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	26	19	27	6	Defining competitive districts
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	34	5	34	8	A partisan index made up of former statewide election results could be used to get an idea of how a district will perform
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	47	11	47	13	Did not analysis of how VRA applies to

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						NC
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	48	13	48	22	No analysis of VRA application to the efficiency gap in NC
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	61	2	61	18	Comparing efficiency gap and partisan bias
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	64	1	64	9	Simplified and full methods for calculating efficiency gap are not that different
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	72	21	72	25	2016 NC plan exceeded both Jackman and Stephanopoulos and McGhee's thresholds
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	75	16	75	22	The 2012 plan exceeded Stephanopoulos and McGhee's efficiency gap threshold
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	76	8	76	13	The 2016 plan exceeded Stephanopoulos and McGhee's efficiency gap threshold
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	78	20	79	6	2016 plan efficiency gap does not hit zero with a 7.5% swing in either direction
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	83	15	83	22	Trende has not done a quantitative analysis of clustering in NC
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	93	25	94	14	Jackman does not analyze plaintiffs' first or third prongs (intent or justification)
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	115	1	115	9	Cannot identify errors in Jackman's

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						imputation methods
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	140	12	141	2	Only 4 plans with 7 to 14 congressional districts are likely to be flagged for further scrutiny each cycle
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	146	23	147	12	Trende identifies no problems with Jackman's conditional probability analysis
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	147	23	148	22	Trende's examples only look at a subset of Jackman's database
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	165	14	167	22	Trende co-authored Almanac of American Politics 2014, description included for NC 2012 plan
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	186	14	184	23	What Trende reviewed in advance of deposition
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	205	13	206	8	Trende agrees that 2016 NC efficiency gap is large and is robust to changes in the 2016 outcome
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	207	9	208	2	It would take the best election in NC for the Democrats in 25 elections for the efficiency gap to turn positive
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	213	9	213	18	Trende does not identify any errors with Jackman's analysis of party control and

Name of Deponent	Date of Deposition	Beginning Page	Beginning Line	Ending Page	Ending Line	Topic
						the efficiency gap
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	231	18	232	1	Trende does not think that any of Jackman's conclusions about the relationship between the first and average lifetime efficiency gap are wrong
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	237	2	237	7	Trende agrees that Jackman can write R packages better than him and has a greater expertise in statistics
Sean P. Trende	5/5/2017	238	3	238	12	Trende would be surprised if the NC 2016 plan was drawn without partisan intent