

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RECEIVED
JUL 10 2012
SUPREME COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT

No.: _____

**KATHRYN VARGO, JENNIFER GRAB,
SANDRA WOLFE, ANTONIO LODICO, EMILY CLEATH,
DANIEL McARDLE BOOKER, RACHEL CANNING and
PATRICK CLARK,**

Petitioners,

v.

2011 LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION,

Respondent.

**Petition for Review, in the Nature of an Appeal
from the 2012 Final Plan of the
2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission,
Adopted on June 8, 2012**

Charles A. Pascal, Jr., Esquire
Pa. I.D. #90872

402 Grant Avenue
Leechburg, PA 15656

Ph: (724) 954-3770

Fax: (412) 301-2240

Email: attorney.pascal@gmail.com

Attorney for Petitioners

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KATHRYN VARGO, JENNIFER GRAB,
SANDRA WOLFE, ANTONIO LODICO,
EMILY CLEATH, DANIEL McARDLE
BOOKER, RACHEL CANNING and
PATRICK CLARK,

Petitioners,

No.:

v.

2011 LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT
COMMISSION,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR REVIEW

**In The Nature Of An Appeal From The Final Plan Of
The 2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission**

Pursuant to Section 17(d) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and Pennsylvania Rule of Appellate Procedure 3321, the Petitioners, Kathryn Vargo, Jennifer Grab, Sandra Wolfe, Antonio Lodico, Emily Cleath, Daniel McArdle Booker, Rachel Canning and Patrick Clark (“Petitioners”), as individual voters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, through undersigned counsel, Charles A. Pascal, Jr., Esquire, file this Petition for Review, seeking this Court’s review of the June 8, 2012 final reapportionment plan (“2012 Final Plan”) approved by the 2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission (“Commission”). In support of the Petition, the Petitioners state as follows:

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

1. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over this Petition pursuant to Section 17(d) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and 42 Pa.C.S. §725(1). This Petition is

addressed to the Court's appellate jurisdiction and is in the nature of a Petition for Review pursuant to Rule 3321 and Rule 1501 *et.seq.* of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure.

PARTIES SEEKING REVIEW

2. Petitioner Kathryn Vargo resides at 1660 Main Street, West Leechburg 15656, Borough of West Leechburg, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

3. Petitioner Jennifer Grab resides at 1133 Bellaire Avenue, Pittsburgh 15226, in the 19th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

4. Petitioner Sandra Wolfe resides at 614 York Circle, Mechanicsburg 17055, Borough of Mechanicsburg, Cumberland County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

5. Petitioner Antonio Lodico resides at 849 Deely Street, Pittsburgh 15217, in the 15th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

6. Petitioner Emily Cleath resides at 280 Fisk Street, Pittsburgh 15201, in the 9th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

7. Petitioner Daniel McArdle Booker resides at 960 Milton Street, Pittsburgh 15218 in the 14th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

8. Petitioner Rachel Canning resides at 37 Marshall Avenue, Pittsburgh 15214, in the 26th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

9. Petitioner Patrick Clark resides at 354 S. Atlantic Avenue, Pittsburgh 15224, in the 8th Ward of the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, and brings this Petition as an individual registered voter who is aggrieved by the Final Plan.

RESPONDENT

10. Respondent, the 2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (the "Commission") was established pursuant to Sections 17(a) and (b) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, and is charged with the responsibility for preparing preliminary and final reapportionment plans in accordance with Section 17(c) of such article. Respondent's address is North Office Building, Room 104, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120.

DETERMINATION TO BE REVIEWED

11. Petitioners seek review of the 2012 Final Plan, adopted on June 8, 2012. A copy of the Final Plan for the House of Representatives and map reflecting the final plan are attached as appendices A and B. A copy of the Final Plan for the Senate and map reflecting the Final Plan are attached as appendices C and D.

12. In accordance with Section 17(d) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, this Court must review the Final Plan to determine whether it is "contrary to law."

CONTROLLING CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION

13. Section 16 of Article 2 of the Constitution states:

The Commonwealth shall be divided into fifty senatorial and two hundred three representative districts, which shall be composed of compact and contiguous territory as nearly equal in population as practicable. Each senatorial district shall elect one Senator, and each representative district one Representative. **Unless absolutely necessary no county, city, incorporated town, borough, township or ward shall be divided in forming either a senatorial or representative district.**

Pa. Const. Art. II, sect. 16 (emphasis supplied).

RELEVANT PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

14. Pursuant to Section 17(a) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, in 2011, the year following the Federal decennial census, the 2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission was constituted for the purpose of reapportioning the Commonwealth.

15. Pursuant to Article 17(c) of Article 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, the Commission approved a preliminary reapportionment plan on October 31, 2011, by a 3 to 2 vote. (“2011 Preliminary Plan”).

16. The Commission conducted public hearings on the Preliminary Plan on November 18 and November 23, 2011, and allowed for the submission of written comments and concerns. During the course of the hearings, witnesses from across the Commonwealth testified as to the importance of not dividing political subdivisions unnecessarily.

17. On December 12, 2011, the Commission approved a Final Plan with a 4 to 1 vote (“2011 Final Plan”). The 2011 Final Plan split an excessive number of political subdivisions throughout the state and generally did not address the issues raised by those who testified at the public hearings.

18. Several parties filed appeals against the 2011 Final Plan. On January 25, 2012, this Court ruled the 2011 Final Plan was contrary to law, and on February 3, 2012 this Court issued an 87 page majority opinion that emphasized the importance of each of the mandates of Article II, Section 16 of the Pennsylvania Constitution. *Holt v. 2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission*, 38 A.3d 716 (Pa.2012).

19. Among this Court's various holdings in *Holt*, the Court found that the 2011 Final Plan was contrary to law because it was clear from the fact that various alternative plans had been presented to the Commission and to the Court (most particularly by Petitioner Amanda Holt) that divided significantly fewer political subdivisions, that the 2011 Final Plan contained more splits to counties, municipalities and wards than were "absolutely necessary."

20. This Court remanded the 2011 Final Plan to the Commission and ordered the Commission to draft a new plan consistent with its opinion.

21. The Commission scheduled a meeting on February 22, 2012, the Commission to consider a new preliminary plan. The Commission conducted no business at the meeting and apparently no Commission member was prepared to submit a preliminary plan.

22. After the February 22, 2012 meeting was adjourned, the Commission did not hold any meetings to solicit input regarding the issues to be addressed in its new preliminary plan.

23. The Commission scheduled a meeting for April 12, 2012 for the purpose of adopting a preliminary plan.

24. At the April 12, 2012 meeting, Senators Costa and Pileggi each introduced a proposed preliminary plan. The Commission adopted the Chairman's plan for both the Senate and the House as the Preliminary Plan by a 4 to 1 vote ("2012 Preliminary Plan").

25. The Commission conducted public hearings on the 2012 Preliminary Plan on May 2 and May 7, 2012, and allowed for the submission of written comments and concerns. During the course of the hearings, witnesses from across the Commonwealth testified as to the importance of not dividing political subdivisions unnecessarily. The Commission was also presented with several alternative plans that contained significantly fewer divisions of counties and municipalities.

26. On June 8, 2012, the Commission held a public meeting to vote on a final plan. At the meeting, Senator Pileggi proposed a plan, titled the "Senate Republican Caucus Proposed Final Plan".

27. Before the Commission voted on Senator Pileggi's plan, Senator Costa presented a proposed amendment to the plan which provided the Commission with an opportunity to reduce the number of county and municipal splits, while meeting the other mandatory requirements of the Constitution ("Costa Amendment"). The Commission voted against the Costa Amendment by a 3 to 2 vote.

28. With a 4 to 1 vote, the Commission approved the Senate Republican Caucus Proposed Final Plan as the 2012 Final Plan and the proposed plan offered by the House Republican Caucus; no alternative plan was submitted before the Commission to amend the House plan.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE DETERMINATION

29. The Pennsylvania Constitution divides the Commonwealth into 50 Senatorial Districts and 203 Representative Districts. Based upon the 2010 census, the population of Pennsylvania is 12,702,379. The ideal population of each Senatorial District, therefore, would be 254, 048; the ideal population of each House District would be 62,573.

30. The Pennsylvania Constitution provides that, “*Unless absolutely necessary* no county, city, incorporated town, borough, township or ward shall be divided in forming either a senatorial or representative district.” **Pa. Const. Art. II, sect. 16** (emphasis supplied).

31. The prohibition on splitting subdivisions “unless absolutely necessary” is unambiguous, and should be enforced in accordance with its plain and ordinary meaning. *Jubelirer v. Rendell*, 598 Pa. 16, 39 (Pa. 2008). The plain meaning of this prohibition does not invite the Commission to redefine the meaning of common words in the English language in order to accomplish goals which subvert those contained in the Constitution.

32. The Commission has continued to ignore the Constitutional prohibition against excessive splits, and the holdings of this Court by adopting yet another map which contains needless and excessive splits of counties, cities, boroughs, townships and wards. These splits are not “absolutely necessary,” or even arguably or marginally necessary, to achieve any Constitutionally valid objective, because the same level of population equality, compactness and contiguity can be achieved while reducing the number of places split, and the number of total splits substantially in both the Senate and House plans.

33. The 2012 Final Plan violates the Constitution on a statewide basis, and the Commission has failed to offer any explanation as to why the “constitutional prerequisites of compactness and respect for political subdivisions cannot be accomplished simultaneous with the maintenance of substantial equality of population and enforcement of voting interests of protected groups in the manner prescribed by federal law.” *Albert v. 2001 Legislative Reapportionment Commission*, 567 Pa. 670, 688 (Pa. 2002) (Saylor, J., concurring, joined by Castille, J., and Eakin, J.).

34. The alternate plans submitted to the Commission by Amanda Holt¹ and Senator Costa (attached hereto as appendices E and F, respectively) illustrate convincingly that the 2012 Final Plan as adopted by the Commission contains subdivision splits which are absolutely unnecessary to the task of reapportioning the Commonwealth in a manner consistent with the Constitution.

35. There are many individual examples of unnecessary subdivision splits in the 2012 Final Plan which confirm that the Commission has once again failed to follow the clear dictates of the Constitution, and of this Court. For example, the 2012 Final Plan for the House split numerous subdivisions whose populations were smaller than the ideal House district population and therefore should not have been split at all, because no Constitutionally valid countervailing considerations necessitated a split. Among a plan replete with *many* examples, we provide the following few for illustrative purposes:

a. The 2012 Final Plan split Lower Merion, Montgomery County, into *four* House Districts, even though it is only a -7.59% deviation from an ideal House district population. The Commission even split two wards within Lower Merion. When combined with the Borough of Narberth, which is an enclave (a political subdivision wholly contained within the borders of another) within Lower Merion, the population contained within these borders is 62,107, only a -0.007% deviation from an ideal House district population. There are no equal population, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less four. Given that Lower Merion-Narberth is the exact size of a House district, yet is divided into four districts, this is perhaps the most egregious example of excessive and unnecessary splits in the entire plan.

¹ The Holt maps are taken from pages 12-24 of Ms. Holt's testimony before the Commission, dated May 2, 2012 and the "technical corrections" she submitted on May 12, 2012.

b. The 2012 Final Plan split O'Hara, Allegheny County, into *three* House Districts, even though it is only 13.4% of an ideal House district population. The Commission even split a ward within O'Hara. There are no equal population, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less three.

c. The 2012 Final Plan split Pittsburgh Ward 19 into *three* House Districts, even though it is only 43.47% of an ideal House district population. There are no equal population, VRA or other requirements that justified even a single split, much less three. The 2012 Final Plan splits not just the 19th Ward, but distinct neighborhoods within the ward as well.

d. The 2012 Final Plan split Swatara, Dauphin County, into *three* House Districts even though it is only 37.34% of an ideal House district population. There are no equal population, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less three.

e. The 2012 Final Plan split Upper Dublin, Montgomery County, into *three* House Districts even though it is only 40.86% of an ideal House district population. The Commission also split two wards within Upper Dublin unnecessarily. There are no equal population, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less three.

f. The 2012 Final Plan split Ridley, Delaware County, into *three* House Districts even though it is only 49.17% of an ideal House district population. There are no equal protection, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less three.

g. The 2012 Final Plan split Middletown, Bucks County, into *three* House Districts, even though it is only 72.61% of an ideal House district population. There are no equal protection, VRA or other requirements justifying even a single split, much less three.

h. The 2012 Final Plan split 17 of Pittsburgh's 32 Wards, some of them multiple times; as shown by the various plans submitted by Amanda Holt, this excessive number of

splits is unnecessary. Petitioners have also submitted a plan, attached hereto as Appendix G, for illustrative purposes only, which is based on, and amends Holt House Version 2, and which reduces the number of ward splits and municipal splits in Allegheny County even further, as further indication that the number of splits proposed by the Commission is excessive and unnecessary.

i. The 2012 Final Plan contains an inordinate number of municipal and ward splits which are unnecessary and excessive, as shown by the alternative submissions of various plans by Amanda Holt. Even though the House plan uses a deviation of 7.87%, which should have allowed the Commission to minimize splits to those “absolutely necessary,” the Commission split nine (9) more counties than necessary (for 54 total county splits which were unnecessary), 61 more municipalities than necessary (for 82 total municipal splits which were unnecessary), and 103 ward splits (122 total ward splits), none of which were necessary for any Constitutional purpose.

36. The 2012 Final Plan for the Senate has many similar examples of subdivision splits which are unnecessary. Among many other examples:

a. The 2012 Final Plan splits a *precinct* (Ward 40, Division 30), between the First Senatorial District and the Eighth Senatorial District which is unnecessary for any Constitutional reason.

b. The 2012 Final Plan splits Cumberland County into *three* Senate Districts even though it is only -0.07% deviation from the ideal Senate district population. One of the districts extends from central Cumberland County west to include Blair County, to form a district which is neither compact nor rational. There are no equal protection, VRA or other requirements which justify even a single split, much less three.

c. The 2012 Final Plan splits Butler County into *three* Senate Districts even though it is only a -27.63% deviation from the ideal Senate District population. One of the districts extends from the New York state border in Warren County into the far southwest corner of Butler County, Cranberry Township, to form a district which is neither compact nor rational. There are no equal protection, VRA or other requirements which justify even a single split, much less three.

d. The 2012 Final Plan, in Senate District 38, unnecessarily splits the 11th and 12th Wards of the City of Pittsburgh away from the remainder of the City, and places them in a district encompassing the northern suburbs of Allegheny County. This is an unnecessary split of the City of Pittsburgh. In addition, the 11th and 12th Wards are predominantly African-American, and are being placed like an appendage into a district in which they do not share any commonality, other than being in the same county. The prior configuration of the 38th District gave African-American voters a significant influence in the district, having approximately 30% of the population, even though the District extended into Westmoreland and Armstrong Counties. The Commission has created a district which dilutes the voting influence of the majority African-American population of the 11th and 12th Wards of the City of Pittsburgh into a district which will only be 6% African-American. (See Appendix H) There are no Constitutional requirements which could possibly justify such an outcome, and the 38th Senatorial District in the Final Plan may violate the voting and equal protection rights of the African Americans affected.

e. The Final Plan contains a significant number of divisions of political subdivisions which, as the various plans submitted to the Commission by Amanda Holt and Senator Costa indicate, contain unnecessary divisions of political subdivisions. These

alternate maps show that, as a whole, the 2012 Final Plan contains a significant amount of unnecessary county divisions, including, as examples, unnecessary and excessive divisions of the following counties: Berks, Beaver, Butler, Chester, Cumberland, Franklin, Luzerne, Montgomery, Susquehanna, Warren Washington and Westmoreland.

37. Petitioners aver that the 2012 Final Plan is contrary to law because it fails to conform to the mandate of the Pennsylvania Constitution that, unless absolutely necessary, no county or municipality shall be divided in the creation of a senatorial or representative district.

38. At no time did the Commission develop versions of a redistricting plan that attempted to justify the splits of political subdivisions in the Final Plan, demonstrate that no splits could be eliminated, or explain why, in their view, the excessive splits in their plan were “absolutely necessary.”

39. The Commission failed to address the legitimate concerns expressed by the public, failed to follow the plain language of the Constitution, and failed to adhere to the ruling of this Court in its *Holt* decision, and failed to heed the guidance provided therein. While the alternative submissions provided to the Commission by Amanda Holt and Senator Costa reflect that plans more consistent with the law were readily achievable by the Commission, the Commission nonetheless chose to ignore this Court’s rulings and submit yet another redistricting plan which flouts the mandates of the Constitution of compactness, contiguity and respect for political subdivision boundaries by dividing more counties, municipalities and wards than are necessary.

40. The Commission has failed to offer, and cannot offer, any explanation for the numerosity of subdivision splits in the 2012 Final Plan which serve no constitutionally valid purpose, and are therefore contrary to the provisions of Article II, Section 16.


40. For the reasons set forth herein, Petitioners contend that analysis of the statewide 2012 Final Plan *as a whole* establishes that the Commission has once again acted contrary to law, and the Plan should therefore be invalidated.

RELIEF REQUESTED

For the foregoing reasons, the Petitioners respectfully request this Court to:

- a) determine that the 2012 Final Plan is contrary to law under Section 17(d) of Article II of the Pennsylvania Constitution;
- b) proceed on its own to develop a plan which will conform to the requirements of the Pennsylvania Constitution, or retain the use of a special master to do so, after input from all party appellants and the Commission;
- c) provide whatever other relief which the Court believes to be necessary, proper and just under the circumstances to allow for the development of a final plan that meets the requirements of the Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,




Charles A. Pascal, Jr., Esquire
Pa. I.D. # 90872

402 Grant Avenue
Leechburg, PA 15656
(724) 954-3770
Attorney for Petitioners

VERIFICATION

The undersigned Petitioner hereby states that the factual averments set forth in the within Petition are true and correct to the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief and are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsifications to authorities.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kathryn Spring", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that on this 9th day of July, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Review was served on the following as follows:

Via United States Certified Mail to:

Hon. Joseph A. DelSole, Esquire
Del Sole Cavanaugh Stroyd LLC
The Waterfront Building
Suite 300
200 First Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Charles E. O'Connor, Jr., Esquire
2011 Legislative Reapportionment Commission
North Office Building, Room 104
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Respectively submitted,



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark A. P. J.", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

APPENDIX A

LEGISLATIVE DATA PROCESSING CENTER

COMPOSITE LISTING

OF

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

<u>DISTRICT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
Dist. 1	<p>ERIE County. Part of ERIE County consisting of the CITY of Erie (PART, Wards 01, 02, 05 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19] and 06 [PART, Divisions 02, 03 and 04]) and the TOWNSHIP of Lawrence Park and the BOROUGH of Wesleyville. Total population: 60,428</p>
Dist. 2	<p>ERIE County. Part of ERIE County consisting of the CITY of Erie (PART, Wards 03, 04, 05 [PART, Divisions 11, 14, 20 and 21] and 06 [PART, Divisions 01, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17]) and the TOWNSHIPS of Millcreek (PART, Districts 01 and 21) and Summit. Total population: 61,102</p>
Dist. 3	<p>ERIE County. Part of ERIE County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of McKean, Millcreek (PART, Districts 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 24) and Washington and the BOROUGHS of Edinboro and McKean. Total population: 63,364</p>
Dist. 4	<p>ERIE County. Part of ERIE County consisting of the CITY of Corry and the TOWNSHIPS of Amity, Concord, Greene, Greenfield, Harborcreek, Leboeuf, North East, Union, Venango, Waterford and Wayne and the BOROUGHS of Elgin, Mill Village, North East, Union City, Waterford and Wattsburg. Total population: 60,603</p>

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 5 BERKS County.
Part of BERKS County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of
Alsace, Bern, Bethel, Centre, Exeter (PART, Precincts
01, 05 and 09), Jefferson, Maidencreek, Marion,
Ontelaunee, Penn, Ruscombmanor, Tulpehocken, Upper
Bern and Upper Tulpehocken and the BOROUGHS of
Bernville, Centerport, Fleetwood, Leesport,
Strausstown and Womelsdorf.
Total population: 61,840
- Dist. 6 CRAWFORD and ERIE Counties.
Part of CRAWFORD County consisting of the CITY of
Meadville and the TOWNSHIPS of Cambridge, Cussewago,
East Fairfield, East Mead, Hayfield, Randolph,
Richmond, Rockdale, Spring, Union, Venango, Vernon,
Wayne, West Mead and Woodcock and the BOROUGHS of
Blooming Valley, Cambridge Springs, Saegertown,
Springboro, Venango and Woodcock and Part of ERIE
County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Elk Creek,
Fairview and Franklin and the BOROUGH of Cranesville.
Total population: 64,430
- Dist. 7 MERCER County.
Part of MERCER County consisting of the CITIES of
Farrell, Hermitage and Sharon and the TOWNSHIPS of
Lackawannock, Pymatuning, Shenango, South Pymatuning
and West Salem and the BOROUGHS of Clark, Greenville,
Sharpsville, West Middlesex and Wheatland.
Total population: 63,943

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

Dist. 8 BUTLER and MERCER Counties.

Part of BUTLER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Brady, Center, Cherry, Clay, Franklin, Marion, Mercer, Muddycreek and Worth and the BOROUGHS of Harrisville, Portersville, West Liberty and West Sunbury and Part of MERCER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Coolspring, Deer Creek, Fairview, Findley, French Creek, Jackson, Lake, Liberty, Mill Creek, New Vernon, Perry, Pine, Sandy Creek, Sandy Lake, Springfield, Wolf Creek and Worth and the BOROUGHS of Fredonia, Grove City, Jackson Center, Mercer, New Lebanon, Sandy Lake, Sheakleyville and Stoneboro.

Total population: 60,977

Dist. 9 LAWRENCE County.

Part of LAWRENCE County consisting of the CITY of New Castle and the TOWNSHIPS of Hickory, Mahoning, Neshannock, North Beaver, Pulaski, Shenango and Union and the BOROUGHS of Bessemer, S.N.P.J. and South New Castle.

Total population: 60,516

Dist. 10 BEAVER, BUTLER and LAWRENCE Counties.

Part of BEAVER County consisting of the CITY of Beaver Falls and the TOWNSHIPS of Franklin, North Sewickley and Pulaski and the BOROUGHS of Eastvale, Ellwood City (Beaver County Portion), Koppel and New Brighton; Part of BUTLER County consisting of the TOWNSHIP of Slippery Rock and the BOROUGH of Slippery Rock and Part of LAWRENCE County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Little Beaver, Perry, Plain Grove, Scott, Slippery Rock, Taylor, Washington and Wayne and the BOROUGHS of Ellport, Ellwood City (Lawrence County Portion), Enon Valley, New Beaver, Volant and Wampum.

Total population: 62,321

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 11 BUTLER County.
Part of BUTLER County consisting of the CITY of Butler and the TOWNSHIPS of Butler, Clearfield, Connoquenessing, Donegal, Fairview, Jefferson, Oakland and Summit and the BOROUGHs of Chicora, Connoquenessing, East Butler, Fairview, Karns City, Petrolia, Prospect and Saxonburg.
Total population: 60,755
- Dist. 12 BUTLER County.
Part of BUTLER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Adams, Clinton, Cranberry, Forward, Middlesex and Penn and the BOROUGHs of Callery, Mars, Seven Fields and Valencia.
Total population: 61,137
- Dist. 13 CHESTER and LANCASTER Counties.
Part of CHESTER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of East Nottingham, Elk, Franklin, Highland, London Grove, Londonderry, Lower Oxford, New London, Penn, Upper Oxford, West Fallowfield and West Nottingham and the BOROUGHs of Atglen, Oxford and West Grove and Part of LANCASTER County consisting of the TOWNSHIP of Sadsbury and the BOROUGH of Christiana.
Total population: 63,446
- Dist. 14 BEAVER and BUTLER Counties.
Part of BEAVER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Chippewa, Darlington, Daugherty, Greene, Marion, New Sewickley, Patterson, Rochester, South Beaver and White and the BOROUGHs of Big Beaver, Bridgewater, Darlington, Fallston, Georgetown, Glasgow, Homewood, Hookstown, Industry, Midland, New Galilee, Ohioville, Patterson Heights, Shippingport and West Mayfield and Part of BUTLER County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Jackson and Lancaster and the BOROUGHs of Evans City, Harmony and Zelienople.
Total population: 60,219

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 185 DELAWARE and PHILADELPHIA Counties.
Part of DELAWARE County consisting of the TOWNSHIP of Darby (PART, Wards 01 and 02) and the BOROUGHs of Colwyn and Sharon Hill and Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 26, 36 [PART, Divisions 10, 11, 12, 13 and 15], 40 [PART, Divisions 01, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50 and 51] and 48 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03 and 13]).
Total population: 62,552
- Dist. 186 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 30, 36 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41], 48 [PART, Divisions 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22 and 23] and 51 [PART, Divisions 03, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 21, 22 and 24]).
Total population: 61,186
- Dist. 187 BERKS and LEHIGH Counties.
Part of BERKS County consisting of the TOWNSHIP of Maxatawny and the BOROUGHs of Kutztown and Lyons and Part of LEHIGH County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Heidelberg, Lowhill, Lynn, North Whitehall, Upper Macungie and Weisenberg.
Total population: 63,903
- Dist. 188 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 27, 46, 51 [PART, Divisions 02, 04, 05, 06, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26 and 28] and 60 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03 and 23]).
Total population: 60,761

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 189 MONROE and PIKE Counties.
Part of MONROE County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Barrett, Hamilton, Middle Smithfield, Ross, Smithfield and Stroud (PART, District 07) and the BOROUGH of Delaware Water Gap and Part of PIKE County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Delaware, Lehman and Porter.
Total population: 62,591
- Dist. 190 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 04 [PART, Divisions 01, 07, 08, 12, 13, 19 and 20], 06, 24 [PART, Divisions 06, 07, 08, 16 and 17], 38 [PART, Division 09], 44, 52 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 21 and 28] and 60 [PART, Divisions 04, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 and 21]).
Total population: 62,703
- Dist. 191 DELAWARE and PHILADELPHIA Counties.
Part of DELAWARE County consisting of the BOROUGHS of Darby and Yeadon (PART, Precincts 01, 04, 06, 07 and 09) and Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 03, 40 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 33, 34 and 47], 51 [PART, Divisions 01, 23, 25 and 27] and 60 [PART, Divisions 07, 19 and 22]).
Total population: 61,700
- Dist. 192 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 04 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 09, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 21], 34 and 52 [PART, Divisions 05, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27]).
Total population: 61,656

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 193 ADAMS and CUMBERLAND Counties.
Part of ADAMS County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Berwick, Butler, Hamilton, Huntington, Latimore, Menallen, Oxford, Reading and Tyrone and the BOROUGHS of Abbottstown, Arendtsville, Bendersville, Biglerville, East Berlin, New Oxford and York Springs and Part of CUMBERLAND County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Cooke, North Newton, Penn, South Middleton and South Newton and the BOROUGH of Mount Holly Springs.
Total population: 61,095
- Dist. 194 MONTGOMERY and PHILADELPHIA Counties.
Part of MONTGOMERY County consisting of the TOWNSHIP of Lower Merion (PART, Wards 03, 09 and 13 [PART, Division 03]) and Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 21 and 38 [PART, Divisions 01, 07, 08, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19 and 20]).
Total population: 61,300
- Dist. 195 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 15, 24 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18 and 19], 28, 29 and 32 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31]).
Total population: 62,870
- Dist. 196 YORK County.
Part of YORK County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Dover, Jackson, North Codorus, Paradise and West Manchester (PART, Districts 02, 03, 04 and 05) and the BOROUGHS of Dover, New Salem and Spring Grove.
Total population: 62,068

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

- Dist. 197 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 11 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 07, 08, 11, 13, 19 and 20], 16 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04 and 05], 19, 37 [PART, Divisions 15, 16, 18, 19 and 20], 42 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11 and 22], 43 and 49 [PART, Division 01]).
Total population: 64,621
- Dist. 198 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 11 [PART, Divisions 04, 05, 06, 09, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18], 12, 13, 17 [PART, Divisions 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 29] and 38 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 10, 11, 17, 18 and 21]).
Total population: 62,075
- Dist. 199 CUMBERLAND County.
Part of CUMBERLAND County consisting of the TOWNSHIPS of Dickinson, Lower Frankford, Lower Mifflin, Middlesex, North Middleton, Silver Spring (PART, Precincts 05, 06, 08 and 09), Upper Frankford, Upper Mifflin and West Pennsboro and the BOROUGHES of Carlisle and Newville.
Total population: 62,329
- Dist. 200 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 09, 22 and 50).
Total population: 62,294
- Dist. 201 PHILADELPHIA County.
Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 17 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26, 27 and 28], 49 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22] and 59).
Total population: 60,407

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS

Dist. 202 PHILADELPHIA County.

Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 35 [PART, Divisions 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 12 and 32], 53 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23], 54 [PART, Divisions 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17 and 18], 56 [PART, Division 07] and 62 [PART, Divisions 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26]).

Total population: 64,737

Dist. 203 PHILADELPHIA County.

Part of PHILADELPHIA County consisting of the CITY of Philadelphia (PART, Wards 10, 35 [PART, Divisions 09, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 27, 28 and 31] and 61 [PART, Divisions 04, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28]).

Total population: 64,987

Population of all districts: 12,702,379

APPENDIX B