

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

SHANNON PEREZ, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	)	SA-11-CA-360-OLG-JES-XR
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
STATE OF TEXAS, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	

---

**AFRICAN-AMERICAN CONGRESSPERSON’S PLAINTIFF-INTERVENORS’  
ADVISORY TO THE COURT**

---

The African-American Congresspersons, Plaintiff-Intervenors in this Cause, submit this advisory pursuant to this Court’s order on April 5, 2017 (ECF No 1352).

**I. Introduction**

After lawsuits were filed in 2011, this matter has gone through several trials. We understand the Court to inquire about what matters, if any, the parties may still desire to address in the 2013 plan after its decision on the 2011 map. The 2013 map made several changes, including some, but not all, changes requested by the African-American Congresspersons. The 2013 map repaired some of the problems with the 2011 map but did not adequately address all of the issues that were raised involving the 2011 map and those matters have been raised in the pleadings challenging the 2013 map. As a result, the African-American Congressional Intervenors do have pending claims against the 2013 Congressional plan. The African-American Congressional Intervenors, along with other private plaintiffs, believe that entry of a permanent

injunction and remedial plan immediately, then followed by a trial on the merits, is the best way to ensure that a remedy is in place before the 2018 elections.

It is further essential to have such a remedial hearing to see what remedies are permitted under the Court's decision of April 5<sup>th</sup> before one will fully know what issues must still be litigated under the 2013 map. African-American Congressional Intervenors would ideally like such a proceeding to be set no earlier than June 27, 2017 and no later than the third week in July. A quick remedial hearing might be the only chance to ensure that a remedy is place before the 2018 elections, and voters need to be assigned to constitutional districts before that election, the first benchmarks of which begin early this fall if a compression of the election schedule (or extension of election deadlines) is to be avoided.

It is our sincere opinion that the trial on the 2013 maps will involve a shorter trial than regarding the 2011 map if a remedial hearing is held. At such a remedial hearing, we believe that only limited expert testimony relating to proposed remedial maps and what it would take to cure the 2011 violations this Court identified would be necessary. The Court would not need to consider all of the plaintiffs' 2013 evidence, but focus in on where the already-identified 2011 violations persist in the 2013 plans.

## **II. Claims Being Pursued with Regard to the 2013 Plans**

When the 2013 Congressional and State House cases are tried, these are the claims that the African-American Congresspersons intend to pursue at trial, and some overview of the types of evidence they anticipate presenting.

### **a. Claims that Will Still Be Pursued at Trial**

#### **i. 2013 Congressional Plan**

- The newly adopted 2013 Legislative Plan (the Interim Plan previously) corrected many of the problems raised regarding Congressional District 9 and Congressional District 18. However, it fell far short of correcting the problems in CD 30. This district was drawn in a manner that undermines the ability to provide proper representation in the Motorplex to African-American or Latino voters. Subject to this description we believe the following matters would be at issue:
  - Intentional Discrimination in the entire plan, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment and the Voting Rights Act
  - The Dallas-Fort Worth Region
    - Vote dilution under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act
      - An additional Latino or coalition district in the region can be drawn while still be maintaining the ability of black voters to elect their candidate of choice in CD 30 and CD 33
      - Such a district would encompass a reasonably compact minority population
      - Voting in the region is racially polarized, and black and Latino voters are politically cohesive
      - The totality of circumstances warrant the creation of an additional minority opportunity district in the region
    - Packing of CD 30 in Dallas County in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
    - Intentional vote dilution and racial gerrymandering in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment and the Voting Rights Act

- While the creation of CD33 in C235 was a step toward remedying the intentional discrimination and racial gerrymandering in the DFW area, it is not a sufficient remedy. It does not unpack CD 30 completely, nor does it remedy the fracturing of Latino voters in the region.
- Harris County
  - The African-American Congresspersons are studying whether to move forward with claims in regards to Harris County, but can say to the court at this point that they believe the current configurations in CD9 and CD18 are appropriate and are not in need of any changes.

**b. Types of Evidence Needed for Trial**

With respect to the Section 2 effects claims the African-American Congressional Intervenor intend to pursue in their challenge to the 2013 Congressional plan, we will have to put on at trial additional expert and lay testimony at trial. Specifically, new demonstrative maps will be provided to the Court to establish that we can satisfy the first prong of *Gingles* in each area where Section 2 liability is claimed. Expert testimony will be needed to explain the maps and how they satisfy the first prong of *Gingles*. Likewise, the African-American Congressional Intervenor will present expert testimony on racially polarized voting and, in any coalition district sought to be established, testimony on political cohesion. There have been two general elections since the last trial, so there are a substantial number of electoral races to examine. The African-American Congressional Intervenor desire to supplement their analysis of political cohesion in Dallas-Fort Worth, particularly in a manner as suggested by the court's opinion. Also, the African-American Congresspersons believe it is important to provide lay testimony on cohesion and totality of the circumstances that the court must consider in assessing our claims.

With respect to claims of intentional discrimination under the Fourteenth Amendment and Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, the African-American Congressional Intervenors anticipate need for some testimony from documentary discovery, and testimony from legislators and others who participated in the 2013 legislative process. Because there are many overlapping claims the African-American Congressional Intervenors believe that the lists of witnesses might be reduced by agreement with other private plaintiff parties.

It is our hope that some evidence can be stipulated to but this of course would require the State and other parties to agree. It is important to note that there were previous stipulations and we would hope that such matters as stipulated then might be stipulated to now. Election Returns, Official records of Legislative Proceedings, public statements of state officials, election returns, and TLC reports seem to be matters that are clearly relevant and that should not be in dispute. However, any such stipulations may cause for a short lessening of trial time.

The African-American Congressional Intervenors believe that if a remedial plan is not forthcoming soon regarding the 2011 map violations, the likelihood of an illegal and unconstitutional map or configurations within a map being used again greatly increases. The African-American Congressperson believe that judicial efficiency and economy will be greatly furthered by having the remedial plan on the 2011 decision before proceeding to any trial or hearing on the 2013 map, but would suggest the same trial dates as it has proposed.

Dated: April 24, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gary L. Bledsoe  
Gary L. Bledsoe

The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC  
State Bar No. 02476500  
316 West 12th Street, Suite 307  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Telephone: 512-322-9992  
Fax: 512-322-0840  
[Garybledsoe@sbcglobal.net](mailto:Garybledsoe@sbcglobal.net)

*Attorney for the Congresspersons Eddie  
Bernice Johnson, Sheila Jackson Lee and  
Alexander Green*

Dated: April 24, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gary L. Bledsoe  
Gary L. Bledsoe  
The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC  
State Bar No. 02476500  
7901 Cameron Road, Bldg. 3-360  
Austin, Texas 78754  
Telephone: 512-322-9992  
Fax: 512-322-0840  
[Garybledsoe@sbcglobal.net](mailto:Garybledsoe@sbcglobal.net)

*Attorney for the Congresspersons Eddie  
Bernice Johnson, Sheila Jackson Lee and  
Alexander Green*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via the Court's  
electronic notification system or email to the following on April 24, 2017:

Anita S. Earls  
N.C. State Bar No. 15597  
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Allison J. Riggs  
N.C. State Bar No. 40028  
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Southern Coalition for Social Justice  
1415 West Highway 54, Suite 101  
Durham, NC 27707  
Telephone: 919-323-3380

Fax: 919-323-3942

[Anita@southerncoalition.org](mailto:Anita@southerncoalition.org)

[Allison@southerncoalition.org](mailto:Allison@southerncoalition.org)

Robert Notzon  
Law Office of Robert S. Notzon  
State Bar Number 00797934  
1507 Nueces Street  
Austin, TX 78701  
512-474-7563  
512-474-9489 fax  
[Robert@NotzonLaw.com](mailto:Robert@NotzonLaw.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP BRANCHES, EARLS,  
LAWSON, WALLACE, and JEFFERSON

Victor L. Goode  
Assistant General Counsel  
NAACP  
4805 Mt. Hope Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21215-3297  
Telephone: 410-580-5120  
Fax: 410-358-9359  
[vgoode@naacpnet.org](mailto:vgoode@naacpnet.org)

ATTORNEY FOR TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP BRANCHES

TIMOTHY F. MELLETT  
T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR.  
BRYAN L. SELLS  
JAYE ALLISON SITTON  
DANIEL J. FREEMAN  
MICHELLE A. MCLEOD  
Attorneys  
Voting Section, Civil Rights Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Room 7254 NWB  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
(202) 305-4355

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES

PATRICK SWEETEN

[Patrick.sweeten@oag.state.tx.us](mailto:Patrick.sweeten@oag.state.tx.us)

MATTHEW HAMILTON FREDERICK

[matthew.frederick@oag.state.tx.us](mailto:matthew.frederick@oag.state.tx.us)

ANGELA V. COLMENERO

[angela.colmenero@oag.state.tx.us](mailto:angela.colmenero@oag.state.tx.us)

Office of the Attorney General

P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station

Austin, TX 78711

(512) 463-2120

(512) 320-0667 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS STATE OF TEXAS, RICK PERRY, HOPE ANDRADE,  
DAVID DEWHURST, AND JOE STRAUS

DAVID RICHARDS

Texas Bar No. 1684600

Richards, Rodriguez & Skeith LLP

816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200

Austin, TX 78701

512-476-0005

[davidr@rrsfirm.com](mailto:davidr@rrsfirm.com)

RICHARD E. GRAY, III

State Bar No. 08328300

Gray & Becker, P.C.

900 West Avenue, Suite 300

Austin, TX 78701

512-482-0061

512-482-0924 (facsimile)

[Rick.gray@graybecker.com](mailto:Rick.gray@graybecker.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS PEREZ, DUTTON, TAMEZ, HALL, ORTIZ, SALINAS,  
DEBOSE, and RODRIGUEZ

JOSE GARZA

Texas Bar No. 07731950

Law Office of Jose Garza

7414 Robin Rest Dr.

San Antonio, Texas 78209

210-392-2856

[garzpalm@aol.com](mailto:garzpalm@aol.com)

JOAQUIN G. AVILA



P.O. Box 33687  
Seattle, WA 98133  
206-724-3731  
206-398-4261 (facsimile)  
[jgavotingrights@gmail.com](mailto:jgavotingrights@gmail.com)  
Served via electronic mail

ATTORNEYS FOR MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS

NINA PERALES  
Texas Bar No. 24005046  
[nperales@maldef.org](mailto:nperales@maldef.org)  
ERNEST HERRERA  
[eherrera@maldef.org](mailto:eherrera@maldef.org)  
Mexican American Legal Defense  
and Education Fund  
110 Broadway, Suite 300  
San Antonio, TX 78205  
(210) 224-5476  
(210) 224-5382 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS TEXAS LATINO REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE,  
CARDENAS, JIMENEZ, MENENDEZ, TOMACITA AND JOSE OLIVARES, ALEJANDRO  
AND REBECCA ORTIZ

ROLANDO L. RIOS  
Law Offices of Rolando L. Rios  
115 E Travis Street  
Suite 1645  
San Antonio, TX 78205  
210-222-2102  
[rios@rolandorioslaw.com](mailto:rios@rolandorioslaw.com)

ATTORNEY FOR INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFF HENRY CUELLAR

MAX RENE A HICKS  
Law Office of Max Renea Hicks  
101 West Sixth Street  
Suite 504  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 480-8231  
512/480-9105 (fax)  
[rhicks@renea-hicks.com](mailto:rhicks@renea-hicks.com)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, ALEX SERNA, BEATRICE SALOMA, BETTY F. LOPEZ, CONSTABLE BRUCE ELFANT, DAVID GONZALEZ, EDDIE RODRIGUEZ, MILTON GERARD WASHINGTON, and SANDRA SERNA

CHAD W. DUNN

[chad@brazilanddunn.com](mailto:chad@brazilanddunn.com)

K. SCOTT BRAZIL

[scott@brazilanddunn.com](mailto:scott@brazilanddunn.com)

Brazil & Dunn

4201 FM 1960 West, Suite 530

Houston, TX 77068

281-580-6310

281-580-6362 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS TEXAS DEMOCRATIC PARTY and BOYD RICHIE

JESSICA RING AMUNSON

[jamunson@jenner.com](mailto:jamunson@jenner.com)

Jenner & Block LLP

1099 New York Ave., NW

Washington, D.C. 20001

202-639-6000

J. GERALD HEBERT

191 Somerville Street, # 405

Alexandria, VA 22304

703-628-4673

[hebert@voterlaw.com](mailto:hebert@voterlaw.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS QUESADA, MUNOZ, VEASEY, HAMILTON, KING and JENKINS

LUIS ROBERTO VERA, JR.

Law Offices of Luis Roberto Vera, Jr. & Associates

1325 Riverview Towers

111 Soledad

San Antonio, Texas 78205-2260

210-225-3300

[irvlaw@sbcglobal.net](mailto:irvlaw@sbcglobal.net)

GEORGE JOSEPH KORBEL  
Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid, Inc.  
1111 North Main  
San Antonio, TX 78213  
210-212-3600  
[korbellaw@hotmail.com](mailto:korbellaw@hotmail.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFF LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN  
CITIZENS

/s/ Gary L. Bledsoe  
Gary L. Bledsoe, Attorney for the Congresspersons Eddie  
Bernice Johnson, Sheila Jackson Lee and Alexander Green