

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

|  |                           |
|--|---------------------------|
| WENDY DAVIS, MARK VEASEY, <i>et al.</i> ,<br><i>Plaintiffs</i> ,                               | §                         |
|  | §                         |
| v.   | § CIVIL ACTION NO.        |
|  | § SA-11-CA-788-OLG-JES-XR |
|  | § [Lead Case]             |
| RICK PERRY, <i>et al.</i> ,<br><i>Defendants</i> .   | §                         |
| _____  | §                         |
|  | §                         |
| LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN<br>AMERICAN CITIZENS (LULAC),<br><i>et al.</i> ,<br><i>Plaintiffs</i> , | §                         |
|  | §                         |
| v.   | § CIVIL ACTION NO.        |
|  | § SA-11-CA-855-OLG-JES-XR |
|  | § [Consolidated Case]     |
| RICK PERRY, <i>et al.</i> ,<br><i>Defendants</i> .   | §                         |
| _____  | §                         |
|  | §                         |

**DAVIS-VEASEY AND LULAC PLAINTIFFS’  
JOINT ADVISORY TO THE COURT**

The Texas Legislature has now repealed all three of its statewide redistricting plans enacted in 2011 (Senate, House and Congress) and has enacted three new redistricting plans to replace them. The Governor has now signed all three plans. This Advisory only relates to the recently-enacted Senate map.

The recently-enacted Senate map is identical in all respects to the interim map ordered into effect by this Court in 2012. That plan, the Court will recall, restored Senate District 10 to its original configuration as it existed before the 2011 round of redistricting.

Both Plaintiffs and Defendants in this case have advised the Court in previous filings that the now, legislatively-adopted interim plan for the Texas Senate may serve as the final remedial plan in this case. All that remains is the resolution of Plaintiffs’ claims for attorneys’ fees, expenses and costs. The parties have informally agreed on a schedule to resolve those claims. Plaintiffs’ counsel will meet with Defendants’ counsel promptly in an effort to reduce that

informal agreement to writing in the form of a proposed order setting forth a schedule for resolving the remaining attorneys' fees and expenses claims. If no agreement can be reached, each each party will submit a proposed schedule.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID RICHARDS  
State Bar No. 16846000  
Richards, Rodriquez and Skeith, LLP  
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200  
Austin, TX 78701  
Tel (512) 476-0005  
Fax (512) 476-1513

/s/ J. Gerald Hebert  
J. GERALD HEBERT  
191 Somerville Street, #405  
Alexandria, VA 22304  
(703) 628-4673  
Admitted *pro hac vice*

*Counsel for Davis Plaintiffs*

/s/ Luis Roberto Vera, Jr.  
LUIS ROBERTO VERA, JR.  
League of United Latin American Citizens  
111 Soledad St., Suite 1325  
San Antonio, TX 78205

*Counsel for LULAC plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 27th day of June, 2013, I filed and served the foregoing Advisory by filing the same in this Court's ECF system, which caused copies of this document to be sent to counsel of record in this litigation. Those attorneys not registered with the ECF system were served by first-class mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ J. Gerald Hebert  
J. GERALD HEBERT