Case i DE TO KAPE 48 EP BS I FLOW TOPE TO SEPHFIRE HARNES RECK 999 2002 691

CANIDEG TAPE BEPOSI HON OF TO	1		INDEX	
	2	Witnes		Page
	3	JOSEPH	W. HANDRICK	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	4		Examination by Mr. Poland	8 / 2 3
	5		Examination by Mr. Earle	2
CARLENE BECHEN, RONALD BIENDSEIL, RON BOONE, VERA BOONE, ELVIRA BUMPUS, EVANJELINA CLEEREMAN, SHEILA COCHRAN,	6		Examination by Mr. Hassett	2
LESLIE W. DAVIS III, BRETT ECKSTEIN,	7		Zhaminaeten Z7 hit habbeet	-
MAXINE HOUGH, CLARENCE JOHNSON, RICHARD KRESBACH, RICHARD LANGE, GLADYS MANZANET, ROCHELLE MOORE,	8			
ANY RISSEEUW, JUDY ROBSON, GLORIA ROGERS, JEANNE SANCHEZ-BELL, CECELIA SCHLIEPP,	_			
and TRAVIS THYSSEN,	9			
Plaintiffs,	10		<u>E X H I B I T S</u>	
TAMMY BALDWIN, GWENDOLYNNE MOORE, and RONALD KIND,	11	<u>No</u> .	<u>Description</u> <u>Ident</u>	ifi
Intervenor-Plaintiffs, v. File No. 11-CV-562	12 13	1	12/13/2011 letter to Joseph Handrick from Douglas M. Poland with an attached subpoena	
Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER,	14 15	2	Packet of documents produced by Joseph Handrick via Eric M. McLeod pursuant to the subpoena	
GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE,	16	2 A	Population Totals	
[Caption Continued] <u>VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION</u>	17	3	CD labeled Joe Handrick Draft Maps - Block Assignments	
JOSEPH W. HANDRICK Madison, Wisconsin	18 19	4	2/15/2011 letter to Don M. Millis and Joseph W. Handrick from Eric M. McLeod	
December 20, 2011 Carmen Harder, RPR Registered Professional Reporter	20 21	5	2/17/2011 letter to Eric M. McLeod from Don M. Millis	
	22	6	2/18/2011 letter to Eric M. McLeod from Don M. Millis	
	23 24	7	Bio of Joseph M. Handrick from the website of Reinhart	
	25	8	Joe Handrick's lobbyist license dated 1/25/2011	
and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	1		3 EXHIBITS (Continued)	
General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	2	No.	Description Ident	
Defendants,	3	9	Excerpts from the book Born to Run by Ronald Keith Gaddie	
F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., THOMAS E. PETRI, PAUL D. RYAN, JR.,	5	10	Defendants' Amended Initial Rule 26(a) Disclosures	
REID J. RIBBLE, and SEAN P. DUFFY, Intervenor-Defendants.	6 7	11	Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	1
	8	12	Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	1
VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC., RAMIRO VARA, OLGA WARA,	10	13	Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents	1
JOSE PEREZ, and ERICA RAMIREZ, Plaintiffs,	11 12	14	Chapter 801.17, Commencement of Action and Venue	1
	13	15	Chapter 751, Supreme Court	1
v. Case No. $11\text{-CV}\text{-}1011$ JPS-DPW-RMD Members of the Wisconsin Government	14 15	16	Petition for Appointment of Three Judge Panel Pursuant to Wis. Stat. 751.035 and 801.50(4m) or, in the Alternative,	
Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER,	16		for Leave to Commence an Original Action Seeking Declaratory Judgement and Other Relief	1
	17	17	Summons and Complaint for Declaratory	_
GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE,			and Other Relief and Appointment of Three Judge Panel Pursuant to Wis. Stat. 751.035 and 801.50(4m)	1
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin	18 19		WIS. Stat. /51.035 and 601.50(4m)	
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	19	18	12/2/2011 letter to Kathleen Madden	
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin	19 20 21	18		1
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	19 20	18	12/2/2011 letter to Kathleen Madden from Joseph Louis Olson with attached Amended Summons and Amended Complaint	
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	19 20 21 22		12/2/2011 letter to Kathleen Madden from Joseph Louis Olson with attached Amended Summons and Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Other Relief Transcript of Joint Public Hearing	1 1 2:

Caspide 15 TXPE 18 EPOSIFION TOP 1/OSEPHF WO HAT NBK POSE 2012 OP 1

```
E X H I B I T S (Continued)
                                                                                                \underline{A} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{S} \quad (\texttt{Continued})
 2
    No.
             Description
                                                       Identified
                                                                         2
 3
             Map entitled 2011 Act 44
                                                                           P. SCOTT HASSETT and JAMES A. OLSON, Attorneys,
                                                                            for LAWTON & CATES, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
                                                                                    Ten East Doty Street, Suite 400, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the
 4
             Map entitled 2011 Act 43
                                                               232
                                                                         4
    22
 5
                                                                         5
                                                                                     Intervenor-Plaintiffs.
 6
        (The original Exhibits 1-22 were attached to the
                                                                            MARIA S. LAZAR, Assistant Attorney General,
 7
       original transcript, and copies of Exhibits 1-19 were provided to counsel)
                                                                            for STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
                                                                                     17 West Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703,
 8
                                                                         8
                                                                                     appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
 9
                                                                            DANIEL KELLY, Attorney, for REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C.,
10
                                                                        10
                                                                                     Attorneys at Law, 1000 North Water Street,
11
                                                                                     Suite 2100, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202,
                                                                                     appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
12
                                                                        12
13
                                                                        13
                                                                            KELLEN C. KASPER, Attorney,
                                                                             for FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP, Attorneys at Law,
14
                                                                        14
                                                                                     777 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee,
                                                                                    Wisconsin 53202, appearing on behalf of the Intervenor\mbox{-}Defendants.
15
                                                                        15
16
                                                                        16
                                                                            ERIC M. MCLEOD, Attorney
17
                                                                        17
                                                                            for MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP, Attorneys at Law,
                                                                                     One South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison,
18
                                                                        18
                                                                                     Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the Wisconsin State Senate by its Majority Leader
19
                                                                        19
                                                                                     Scott Fitzgerald, the Wisconsin Assembly by its
20
                                                                                     Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald, and
                                                                        20
                                                                                     Joseph W. Handrick.
21
                                                                        21
22
                                                                            Also present: Todd S. Campbell, CLVS
                                                                        22
                                                                                              Campbell Legal Video Company
23
                                                                        23
                                                                                              417 Heather Lane, Suite B
                                                                                              Fredonia, WI 53021 (262) 447-2199
24
                                                                        24
      (The original deposition transcript was filed with
25
                  Attorney Douglas M. Poland)
                                                                        25
                                                                                                       7
                VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION of JOSEPH W. HANDRICK,
                                                                         1
                                                                                              JOSEPH W. HANDRICK,
 2
    a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the
                                                                         2
                                                                                     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
 3
    Plaintiffs, wherein Alvin Baldus, et al., are
    Plaintiffs, and Members of the Wisconsin Government
                                                                         3
                                                                                     testified on oath as follows:
 5
    Accountability Board, et al., are Defendants, pending
 6
    in the United States District Court for the
 7
    Eastern District of Wisconsin, pursuant to subpoena,
                                                                         5
                                                                                                  EXAMINATION
 8
    before Carmen Harder, a Registered Professional
                                                                         6 By Mr. Poland:
9
    Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
                                                                        7 Q Good morning, Mr. Handrick.
10
    Wisconsin, at the offices of Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.,
    Attorneys at Law, One East Main Street, in the City
                                                                                               MR. KELLY: I'm sorry. Before we
12
    of Madison, County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin,
                                                                        9
                                                                                     start, could we put the --
13
    on the 20th day of December 2011, commencing at 9:26
14
     in the forenoon.
                                                                        10
                                                                                               MR. POLAND: Oh, that's right.
15
                                                                        11
                                                                                               MR. KELLY: -- agreement on the
16
                                                                        12
                                                                                     record?
                                                                        13
                                                                                               MR. POLAND: Yep. Go ahead.
17
                     \underline{A} \underline{P} \underline{P} \underline{E} \underline{A} \underline{R} \underline{A} \underline{N} \underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{S}
                                                                        14
                                                                                               MR. KELLY: Thank you. This is
18
                                                                        15
                                                                                     Daniel Kelly on behalf of the defendants, as
                                                                        16
                                                                                     well as Maria Lazar. Prior to going on the
19
    DOUGLAS M. POLAND, Attorney,
     for GODFREY & KAHN, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
                                                                        17
                                                                                     record we had a discussion amongst counsel
20
             One East Main Street, Suite 500, Madison,
                                                                        18
                                                                                     with respect to interposing objections. We
             Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of
                                                                        19
21
             Plaintiffs Alvin Baldus, et al.
                                                                                     agreed that if one person made an objection
                                                                       20
                                                                                     to a question it would stand as an objection
22
                                                                       21
                                                                                     for each of the attorneys on behalf of their
     PETER G. EARLE, Attorney,
23
    for LAW OFFICE OF PETER EARLE, LLC, Attorneys at Law,
                                                                       22
                                                                                     clients without the need to have each
             839 North Jefferson Street, Suite 300,
                                                                       23
                                                                                     attorney repeating the objection.
24
             Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, appearing by
             telephone on behalf of Plaintiffs
                                                                       24
                                                                                          Counsel, is that your understanding?
25
             Voces De La Frontera, Inc., et al.
                                                                       25
                                                                                               MR. HASSETT: Yes.
```


	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF 30		
1	MR. MCLEOD: Yes.	1 as necessary during the course of the	
2	MR. KASPER: Yes.	2 deposition today.	
3	MR. POLAND: Yes.	3 MR. POLAND: And I understand that	
4	MR. MCLEOD: And, Doug, if I may	4 This is Doug Poland for the plaintiffs. I	
5	before we begin the deposition, I just wanted	5 understand Mr. McLeod's position. And we	
6	to state for the record the continuing	6 likewise will incorporate the arguments that	at
7	objection that we have to this deposition as	7 we raised in our papers that we filed in	
8	well as the information that has been	8 opposition to the motion for clarification	
9	produced in response to the subpoena as	9 that Mr. McLeod filed. So we'll understand	i
10	stated in our motion to quash and our	10 that the short the objections are	
11	subsequent motion for clarification	11 shorthand for what's already pending in fro	ont
12	concerning the motion to quash.	12 of the Court, incorporating those arguments	з.
13	It is our position that any information	MR. MCLEOD: Very well.	
14	sought from Mr. Handrick is privileged	14 MR. POLAND: Anything else anyone	
15	pursuant to one of three privileges, the	15 needs to state on the record before we begin	in?
16	legislative privilege, attorney-client	16 Okay.	
17	privilege, and attorney work product	17 (By Mr. Poland)	
18	privilege. Mr. Handrick was retained by	18 Q Good morning, Mr. Handrick. You're here I	
19	counsel for the purpose of assisting counsel	19 should I should ask you before we start. Have	1
20	in the provision of legal services to our	20 you given a deposition before?	
21	clients, the legislature, senate assembly in	21 A No.	
22	anticipation of litigation. Again, the	22 Q Okay. The reason that I ask is that you nodded	
23	specific grounds and the support for that	23 your head in response to the first statement that	:
24	objection are set forth in the motion that we	24 I made. And since we're at a deposition, the	
25	filed, so I won't burden everybody with	25 court reporter is taking down everything that you	ı
	•	11	
	9	···	
1	restating those grounds here.	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the	ıe.
1 2		1,	ıe
	restating those grounds here.	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of th	ie
2	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court	ie
2 3	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript.	ıe
2 3 4	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well.	ie
2 3 4 5	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the 2 head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a	
2 3 4 5 6	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if	
2 3 4 5 6 7	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege,	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client,	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that? A yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections.	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct?	·•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes.	·•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 so we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 so we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct?	·•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not so we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man as Exhibit 1 the subpoena.	·•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man 15 as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for	∵.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man 15 as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for 17 identification)	∵.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man 15 as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for 17 identification) 18 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that'	∵.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and we're going to be providing that information	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court 3 reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a 6 transcript, and they won't be able to tell if 7 you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today 12 pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man 15 as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for 17 identification) 18 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that' 19 been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you	∵.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and we're going to be providing that information which we understand to be required according	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not 8 So we do need to have you answer each of the 9 questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification) 18 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that's been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you see that in front of you?	·.k
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and we're going to be providing that information which we understand to be required according to that order.	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that? A Yes. Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. (Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification) Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that's been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you see that in front of you?	·.k
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and we're going to be providing that information which we understand to be required according to that order. So I appreciate your patience in	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. We obviously have a videographer as well. We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that? A Yes. Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? A Yes. Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. (Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification) Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that' been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you see that in front of you? A Yes. Q Okay. And that is a subpoena for your deposition.	·.k
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	restating those grounds here. I also understand from speaking to the plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the deposition that a standing objection will not be sufficient throughout the course of this deposition, so to the extent necessary, I will be asserting individual objections on these privileged grounds. I will be abbreviating the objection to privilege, attorney work product, attorney-client, et cetera, so as not to spend time unnecessarily on objections. The goal here is not to obstruct or delay in any way the deposition. It's simply to make sure that we have preserved those objections for purposes of any subsequent appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is what it is, we're obviously appearing, and we're going to be providing that information which we understand to be required according to that order. So I appreciate your patience in allowing me to make that objection	1 say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the head, nods of the head yes or no, the court reporter can't get those down in the transcript. 4 We obviously have a videographer as well. 5 We'll see that, but many people will look at a transcript, and they won't be able to tell if you're responding in one way affirmatively or not So we do need to have you answer each of the questions audibly. Do you understand that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to man as Exhibit 1 the subpoena. 16 (Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification) 18 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that's been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you see that in front of you? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Okay. And that is a subpoena for your deposition here today. Have you seen this document before?	s

Case i De to tare to see the company of the company

```
the first time?
                                                                          this case, we will be representing
 2
   A No, I don't.
                                                              2
                                                                         Mr. Handrick.
 3
    Q Do you know when it -- if it was within the last
                                                              3
                                                                                  MR POLAND: Just to make sure T
       week or so?
                                                               4
                                                                         understand that, Dan, for anything that comes
 5
                                                              5
   A I don't recall exactly.
                                                                         up that postdates November 22?
    Q Okay. The cover letter, as you'll see on
                                                              6
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: November 22 and
 7
                                                              7
                                                                         forward, correct.
       Exhibit 1, is dated December 13. Do you see that?
                                                              8
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Okay. For the purpose
 8
   A Yes.
    Q Would you assume that you saw this document on or
                                                              9
                                                                         of responding to the subpoena, which was
10
                                                              10
       after December 13?
                                                                         served after November 22 --
11
    A yes.
                                                              11
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Not.
12
                                                              12
    Q I'm going to ask you to turn to the very last page
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: -- you're not
13
                                                              13
       of Exhibit No. 1. And do you see there at the top
                                                                         representing. Okay.
14
                                                              14
       of the last page of Exhibit No. 1 there's a header
                                                                                  MR KELLY: Correct
15
                                                              15
       that says Exhibit A?
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: All right. I'll see
16
                                                              16
                                                                         if I can keep that straight.
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} All right. And you see that there are
17
                                                              17
                                                                  Q Do you recall whether you were given a copy of the
18
                                                                     subpoena by somebody with Mr. McLeod's law firm,
       five numbered paragraphs on that page?
                                                             18
19
                                                              19
                                                                     Michael Best & Friedrich, or by your own law firm?
20
    Q All right. Do you understand that this was a
                                                              20
                                                                  A No, I don't recall.
21
                                                             21
       request that you bring with you documents to the
                                                                  Q Okay. Turning your attention back to this last
22
       deposition this morning?
                                                              22
                                                                     page of Exhibit 1, and these are the categories of
23
   A Yes.
                                                             23
                                                                     documents. Did you have an opportunity to read
24
                                                             24
    Q All right. Who gave you a copy of Exhibit 1, the
                                                                     through each of the categories of documents that
25
       deposition subpoena?
                                                              25
                                                                     are enumerated on Exhibit 1?
 1
    A I don't recall.
                                                              1 A Yes.
 2
                                                              {f 2} {f Q} All right. And you understood that you were to
    Q You're represented by counsel here today,
                                                              3
 3
       Mr. McLeod?
                                                                     look through materials in your, in your
 4
                                                               4
    A Yes.
                                                                     possession, custody, or control that fell into
    Q All right. And you're employed by
                                                                     these categories of documents?
 6
                                                              6
                                                                 A Yes.
       Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren law firm; is that
 7
       correct?
                                                              7
                                                                  Q And did you do that, sir?
 8
    A Yes.
 q
    Q And Mr. Kelly also is employed by the Reinhart law
                                                              9
                                                                  Q All right. Did you bring documents with you this
10
                                                              10
       firm: is that correct?
                                                                     morning?
11
    A Yes.
                                                              11
                                                                  A I did not bring documents with me.
12
                                                              12
    Q And he is representing the
                                                                  Q You did not bring any documents personally with
13
                                                              13
       Government Accountability Board; is that your
                                                                     you this morning?
14
                                                              14
                                                                 A No.
       understanding?
                                                              15
15
    A That's my understanding.
                                                                  Q Okay. Did you have -- when you looked through
16
                                                              16
    Q In this lawsuit, correct?
                                                                     these categories of documents and you looked for
17
                                                             17
           Is he representing you here personally today
                                                                     documents in your possession, custody, or control
18
                                                              18
       as a witness?
                                                                     that were requested in Exhibit No. 1 here, did you
19
                                                              19
    A Not that I'm aware of.
                                                                     find any documents that were responsive to these
20
                                                              20
    Q Okay.
                                                                     categories?
21
                    MR. KELLY: Just so the record is
                                                             21
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: Let me assert an
                                                             22
22
           clear, to the extent that any questions
                                                                         objection. Documents were brought today by
23
                                                             23
                                                                         Mr. Handrick through his counsel here. So to
           should touch on matters subsequent to
24
           November 26 -- or November 22, in which our
                                                              24
                                                                         the extent there's some misunderstanding
25
                                                             25
           law firm was retained by the defendants in
                                                                         about the question, he certainly did. I'm
```

Caspidetotape43ebbsiPionroomt/tosephFilpdiAANBKfckPage2502691

```
1
           not trying to make a speaking objection here,
                                                                     two pages; is that correct?
 2
                                                              2 A Yes.
           but documents were produced by Mr. Handrick
 3
           through his representative as directed by
                                                              {f 3} {f Q} All right. And those are -- could you describe
 4
                                                              4
           the, by the subpoena.
                                                                     what it is that you've separated out.
 5
                                                              5
    Q Okay. Did you look through materials in your
                                                                 A This is a population total of the old state
       possession, custody, or control for documents that
                                                                     legislative map.
 7
                                                              7
                                                                 Q Okay. And let's mark that separately as
       were responsive to Exhibit No. 1?
 8
   A Yes.
                                                              8
                                                                     Exhibit 2A. Can you do that? So we keep the
    Q All right. And did you find any documents that
                                                              9
                                                                     record clear.
10
       were responsive to Exhibit No. 1?
                                                             10
                                                                              (Exhibit No. 2A marked for
11
                                                             11
    A Yes.
                                                                              identification)
12
    Q All right. What did you do with those documents?
                                                             12
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you what we've now
                                                             13
    A I provided those to counsel.
                                                                     marked as Exhibit 2A. And is Exhibit 2A -- that's
                                                             14
14
    Q Okay. You provided those to Mr. McLeod?
                                                                     the only document that's contained within
15
                                                             15
    A Yes.
                                                                     Exhibits 2 and 3 that you gave to Mr. McLeod?
16
                                                             16
    Q All right. And are those the documents that you
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q All right. So in response to Exhibit No. 1, which
       brought with you this morning?
18
                                                             18
                                                                     is the subpoena and the document requests, of all
           Why don't we mark them as an exhibit, and
19
                                                             19
       then we can see. Let's mark -- let's mark the
                                                                     the files, all the records that you searched,
20
       paper copies here as a group exhibit,
                                                             20
                                                                     Exhibit 2A was the only document that you found
21
                                                             21
       Exhibit No. 2. And let's mark this -- it's either
                                                                     that was responsive to these requests?
       a CD or DVD -- as Exhibit No. 3.
22
                                                             22
                                                                 A Yes.
23
              (Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3 marked for
                                                             23
                                                                 Q Okay. Do you know what the, what the other
24
                                                             24
              identification)
                                                                     materials are in Exhibit 2 that were produced here
25
                                                             25
    Q Mr. Handrick, I'm going to hand to you
                                                                     this morning?
                           17
                                                                                         19
1
       two exhibits. One has been marked Exhibit No. 2,
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                              1
 2
       and that's a collection of papers. And another
                                                              2 Q Have you seen those documents before?
 3
       has been marked Exhibit No. 3, and it's a disk.
                                                              3
                                                                A Partially.
       It's either a CD or a DVD. I can't tell. Okay.
                                                                 Q Okay. Which of those documents have you seen
       So you have these documents in front of you, sir?
                                                                    before?
 6
                                                              6
   A Yes.
                                                                 A This document (indicating), this document
 7
    Q All right. Are these documents -- these were
                                                              7
                                                                     (indicating), this document (indicating), this
 8
       documents I'll represent that were handed to me
                                                              8
                                                                     document (indicating), and these pages of this
 q
                                                              q
       this morning when you arrived here by your
                                                                     document (indicating).
10
                                                             10
                                                                 Q Okay. So we can just take these apart here. So
       counsel, Mr. McLeod. And the question is whether
11
       Exhibit No. 2 and Exhibit No. 3 are documents that
                                                             11
                                                                     these ones right here (indicating) are the ones
12
                                                             12
       you gave to Mr. McLeod. And you can take -- you
                                                                     that you had seen?
13
                                                             13
       can look through them.
                                                                 A These (indicating) --
14
                                                             14
                                                                 Q Okay.
                (Witness reviews document)
                                                             15
15
    A Could you please restate your question?
                                                                 A -- no. The answer to your question, I've seen
16
                    MR. POLAND: Sure. Could you read
                                                             16
                                                                     this portion (indicating).
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q That portion. Okay. Got it. All right. So
           the guestion back?
18
                                                             18
                    (Question read)
                                                                     let's go through these here just quickly so I
19
                                                             19
    A Only a portion.
                                                                     understand what we are dealing with. Documents
20
                                                             20
    Q Okay. Of the documents that have been marked
                                                                    that you've seen before within Exhibit No. 2 -- or
21
       Exhibit No. 2 and Exhibit No. 3, you only gave a
                                                             21
                                                                    actually, strike that question.
22
                                                             22
       portion of those documents to Mr. McLeod --
                                                                         The only document within Exhibit 2 that you
                                                             23
23
                                                                    haven't seen before is which one?
                                                             24
    Q -- is that correct? All right.
                                                                 A This document (indicating).
25
                                                             25 Q Okay.
           And you've separated out -- you separated out
```

Casein Beto Tex pet 48 to be si Flow more to step in File di HARNER (CKP 929 2002 891

- 1 A This portion of this document (indicating).
- 2 Q Okay. And so you're referring there to -- there's
- 3 a stapled collection of invoices; is that correct?
- 4 My copy is stapled. Yours is paper clipped.
- 5 A Yes.
- ${f 6}$ ${f Q}$ All right. And that begins with an invoice dated
- 7 March 23; that's the first page?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And if you flip to the very back page of that, it
- 10 says at the top invoice -- that's an invoice dated
- 11 August 31, 2011, last page of it?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q All right. And so that of Exhibit 2, that
- 14 collection of invoices, that's the only part of
- 15 Exhibit 2 that you haven't seen before; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q All right. Great. Of the other -- of the other
- 19 materials contained within Exhibit 2, there is a
- 20 letter dated February 18, and that has attached to
- 21 it a copy of a letter dated February 17 and a
- 22 letter dated February 15 and then a memorandum at
- 23 the very back?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that's a document you have seen before,

- 1 A They are two separate pages.
- 2 Q All right. Does it appear to be the same?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q It does not appear to be the same. Okay. What
- 5 are the differences?
- 6 A In one of the two documents the number 91 is in
- 7 red.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you create this, these two pages?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q When did you create them?
- 11 A I don't recall.
- 12 Q Do you recall what you used to create these with?
- 13 Was it in terms of, like, a software package or a
- 14 specific program or application?
- 15 A I don't recall specifically.
- 16 Q Were they created within the 2011 calendar year?
- 17 A Yes.
- ${f 18}$ ${f Q}$ All right. And so they were created as part of
- 19 your work in the legislative redistricting?
- 20 A Yes.
- ${\bf 21}$ ${\bf Q}$ Did you retain a copy of these two pages in your
- 22 own materials?
- 23 A No.

3

- 24 Q Do you know who -- whose copy this is that was
- 25 produced here this morning?

23

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- ${f 3}$ ${f Q}$ All right. Then of the other documents that are
- 4 contained within Exhibit No. 2, there is two pages
- 5 of handwritten notes. You've seen that document
- 6 before?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Whose notes are those?
- 9 A Those are my notes.
- 10 Q Okay. Did you retain a copy of these notes in
- 11 your own files?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Do you know when you made these notes?
- 14 A No.
- ${f 15}$ ${f Q}$ Do you know where this copy of the notes came
- 16 from?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q You can set those to the side for just a moment.
- 19 There's another document then that has some
- 20 numbers on it, some red printing, and it says
- 21 "Districts that have been cleaned up through
- 22 Thursday are." Do you see that document?
- 23 A Yes
- 24 Q And that's two pages, correct, or are those two
- 25 separate pages?

- 1 A No, I do not.
- $\boldsymbol{2}$ \boldsymbol{Q} All right. And then the portion of Exhibit 2 that
 - actually has the exhibit sticker on it, at the
- 4 very top it says Census Geography Splits. Do you
- 5 see that? Can you tell me what this document is.
- 6 A This is a report for a map that indicates counties
- 7 and municipalities that have been divided between
- 8 one or more legislative districts.
- 9 Q Did you create the report, this particular report?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you know who did create it?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q At the bottom of the first page of this document,
- 14 the Census Geography Splits document, do you see
- 15 it has an icon in the lower left corner that says
- 16 autoBound?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Can you tell me what autoBound is.
- 19 A AutoBound is a software that is used in the
- 20 redistricting process.
- 21 Q Have you used autoBound before?
- 22 A Yes.
- ${\bf 23}$ ${\bf Q}$ Are you trained on autoBound, or have you received
- 24 training on autoBound?
- 25 A No.

24

Casein Beto Texpet Bebbs i Flow more thought the step Hilled in ARMB Kilock Page 20/2691

- 1 Q Did you use autoBound for any of your work in the
- 2 redistricting that's reflected in the 2000
- 3 Wisconsin -- 2011 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q When was the first time that you used autoBound,
- 6 period, in your entire career doing redistricting
- 7 work?
- 8 A 2001.
- 9 Q Okay. You did not use it for the 1991 or 1992
- 10 redistricting?
- 11 A I don't recall what was used.
- 12 Q Do you know, was autoBound available back then?
- 13 A I don't know.
- 14 Q Don't know. You can set that to the side for just
- 15 a moment. Oh, one other question. Did you -- the
- 16 work that you did on autoBound for the 2011
- 17 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44 in the redistricting, did
- 18 you retain any of the reports or work that you did
- 19 with autoBound?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. And what was it that you retained?
- 22 A This document (indicating).
- 23 Q Okay. That we've marked as Exhibit 2A?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q All right. So the Exhibit 2A, that's a report
 - ___
- 1 that was printed in autoBound?
- 2 A Yes.
- ${f 3}$ ${f Q}$ And I notice that the report, the thicker report
- 4 that had the Exhibit 2 sticker on it, it has the
- date up at the top, June 15, 2011 date. The
- 6 report that's been marked as 2A does not have a
- 7 date on it; is that correct?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q Do you know whether Exhibit 2A would have been
- 10 created on or around the same time as Exhibit 2,
- 11 the date that's June 15, 2011?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Would it have been created before?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you know when it would have been created
- 16 before?
- 17 A No, I do not.
- 18 Q Okay. Is there a way to determine from the file
- 19 that you've retained when it was created?
- 20 A Only that it was created after the census was
- 21 taken.
- 22 Q Or after the census data became available?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q When did the census data become available? And
- 25 this is for the 2010 decennial census.

- 1 A I don't know that date.
- 2 Q Roughly, do you know if March, April time frame?
- 3 A I believe it's roughly April, early April.
- 4 Q Okay. Do you recall how you received the census
- 5 data?
- 6 A No.
- ${f 7}$ ${f Q}$ Do you remember whether you pulled it off a
- 8 website or somebody gave it to you?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Do you recall whether you received it from
- Mr. McLeod's law firm?
- MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert --
- 13 I'm going to assert a privilege to the extent
- 14 that the question calls for communications
- 15 between counsel and Mr. Handrick, which would
- be subject to the attorney-client, attorney
- 17 work product privilege. Subject to that you
- 18 can answer the question.
- 19 A When I saw census data, it was at the law firm.
- 20 Q And at the law firm, you mean at Michael Best &
- 21 Friedrich?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q As opposed to your own law firm, Reinhart? All
- 24 right. I'm just trying to keep the two --
- 25 A Yes.

27

- 1 Q -- okay, separate.
- 2 When you worked at Michael Best & Friedrich
- 3 and saw the census data, was that in the Milwaukee
- 4 office or the Madison office?
- 5 A In the Madison office.
- 6 Q Do you work out of -- your own firm, Reinhart, do
- 7 you work out of the Milwaukee office or the
- 8 Madison office --
- 9 A Both.
- 10 Q -- or both?
- 11 Do you currently live in the Milwaukee area?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Is your primary residence in the Milwaukee area?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Where is your primary residence?
- 16 A Minocqua.
- 17 Q And then you also have a residence in the
- 18 Milwaukee area?
- 19 A Not a residence.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A I live there.
- ${f Q}$ Okay. Live in Milwaukee, in the city of Milwaukee
- 23 itself?
- 24 A No.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$ Where do you -- where do you live in the Milwaukee

28

Caspidetorapetsebosificon optios epificial diagram caspidetorapetsebosificon option option of the caspidetorapets of the caspidetorapets

```
1
       area?
                                                                          work product doctrine and to the extent the
 2
   A The city of Port Washington.
                                                              2
                                                                         question requires you to answer with respect
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\, So two residences, one in Port Washington and then
                                                              3
                                                                         to that topic. And I instruct you not to
       one in Minocqua?
                                                               4
 5
                                                              5
   A Yes.
                                                                              If you can answer the question without
 6
    Q And you work out of both the Reinhart office in
                                                                         discussing anything that occurred on
 7
                                                              7
       Madison and in Milwaukee?
                                                                         November 22 or after, then you may.
 8
   A Yes.
                                                              8
                                                                  Q Okay. Let's talk first about before November 22.
    Q Do you maintain files relating to your
                                                              9
                                                                     Okay. What did you take off the premises of
10
                                                              10
                                                                     Michael Best & Friedrich that related to
       redistricting work in both Reinhart's Madison
11
                                                              11
       office and the Milwaukee office?
                                                                     redistricting?
                                                              12 A This document (indicating).
12
   A No.
13
    Q All right. Do you have them only in one office?
                                                              13
                                                                  Q Okay. So -- and by this document, you mean
14
                                                              14
    A I do not retain files related to redistricting.
                                                                     Exhibit -- what's been marked as Exhibit 2A?
15
    Q Why don't you retain files related to
                                                              15
                                                                 A Yes.
16
                                                              16
       redistricting?
                                                                  Q All right. And that's the, that's the only piece
17
                                                              17
    A Reinhart was retained by Michael Best & Friedrich
                                                                     of paper or other file that you took off the
18
       to assist them, so I did not retain files on the
                                                             18
                                                                     premises of Michael Best & Friedrich that relates
19
                                                              19
                                                                     to redistricting; is that correct?
20
    Q Okay. Did somebody tell you not to retain files?
                                                              20
21
                                                             21
                                                                  Q All right. I actually need to go back because I
    A Yes.
22
    Q Okay. Who told you not to retain files?
                                                             22
                                                                     did forget to ask you about one other item that
23
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                              23
                                                                     you brought with you today. And that's been
24
           the same objection to the extent it calls for
                                                             24
                                                                     marked as deposition Exhibit 3. It is a -- it's
25
                                                              25
                                                                     either a CD or a DVD for the record here that has
           attorney-client privileged information,
                           29
                                                                                         31
1
                                                              1
                                                                     a label Joe Handrick, Draft Maps - Block
           attorney work product.
 2
                                                              2
    Q Okay. You can answer the question.
                                                                     Assignment Files. I'm going to hand a copy of
 3
                                                              3
                                                                     that to you and ask you have you seen Exhibit 3
    A Can you please restate the question?
                                                                     before?
                    MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read it
 5
           back?
                                                                  A Yes.
 6
                                                              6
                                                                  Q And what is Exhibit 3?
                     (Question read)
 7
    A As someone who's assisting legal counsel, I was
                                                              7
                                                                  A My understanding is this is a disk containing maps
 8
       requested by legal counsel to not remove any files
                                                                     upon which I worked.
 q
       from their offices.
                                                              9
                                                                  Q Okay. And the work that you did, that was work
                                                              10
10
    Q So everything that you looked at was at
                                                                     that would have been performed at Michael Best &
11
       Michael Best & Friedrich; is that correct?
                                                              11
                                                                     Friedrich's offices as well?
12
                                                              12
   A Yes.
                                                                 A Yes.
13
                                                              13
    Q All right. You didn't take anything off of the
                                                                  Q And that was at the Michael Best offices in
14
                                                              14
                                                                     Milwaukee, is that correct, or in Madison?
       premises of Michael Best & Friedrich relating to
15
       redistricting?
                                                              15
                                                                  A Michael Best offices in Madison.
                                                              16
16
    A That is not correct.
                                                                  Q In Madison. Did you -- did you ever perform any
17
                                                             17
    Q Okay. What did you take off the premises of
                                                                     work on the maps in Michael Best's Milwaukee
18
                                                              18
       Michael Best & Friedrich that relates to
                                                                     office?
19
       redistricting?
                                                              19
                                                                  A No.
                                                             20
20
    A This document (indicating).
                                                                  Q All right. So all of the work that you performed
21
                                                             21
                    MR. KELLY: I'll object to the
                                                                     on redistricting in 2011 was performed in
22
                                                             22
           extent the question calls for a response with
                                                                     Michael Best's offices in Madison; is that
23
                                                             23
           respect to any work that he's done on
                                                                     correct?
24
           November 22 or subsequent thereto as being
                                                              24
                                                                  A Yes.
25
                                                             {\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q} Who was present during the time that -- at
           covered by the attorney-client privilege and
```

Caspide of Appendix of the Caspide o

```
1
       Michael Best's offices in Madison during the time
                                                                   February 15, 2011?
 2
                                                             2 A Yes.
       you were doing redistricting work there?
 3
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                             3
                                                                Q I'd like you to take a look at the first
 4
           the objection to the extent it calls for
                                                             4
                                                                   paragraph, please. Do you see where it states
 5
                                                             5
           attorney-client, attorney work product
                                                                    "This letter confirms our engagement of
 6
           information. To the extent it does, I'd
                                                             6
                                                                   Joseph W. Handrick as a consultant in connection
 7
                                                             7
           instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                                   with our representation of the Wisconsin State
 8
                    MR. KELLY: And I assert the same
                                                             8
                                                                   Senate, by its Majority Leader Scott L. Fitzgerald
9
           objection.
                                                                   and the Wisconsin State Assembly, by its Speaker
10
                                                            10
                                                                   Jeff Fitzgerald"? And then in parens it says
    Q Okay. I'm only talking about the time here now
11
                                                            11
       before November 22, so that should take care of
                                                                   "(the 'client') in the above matter, which
12
                                                            12
                                                                    involves potential litigation." Do you see that?
       Mr. Kelly's objection for the time being.
13
                                                            13
           So let me -- can you read back the question?
14
                                                            14
                                                                Q All right. Does this refresh your recollection
                     (Ouestion read)
15
                                                            15
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                                    that you were retained on or about February 15,
16
                                                            16
                                                                    2011 by Mr. McLeod's law firm?
           the form of the question as vague. Subject
17
                                                            17
           to my privilege objection and to my form
                                                                A Yes.
18
           objection Mr. Handrick can answer.
                                                            18
                                                                Q All right. If you look in the second paragraph,
19
                                                            19
                    MS. LAZAR: I would also object
                                                                    do you see that first sentence? There's a
20
           that it's not temporally limited in scope.
                                                            20
                                                                   reference to the consulting work that you'll be
21
                                                            21
           Are you talking -- could you give him a time
                                                                   doing, correct?
                                                            22 A Yes.
22
           frame, please?
23
    Q Sure. When were you first retained by
                                                            23
                                                                Q And that states that you'll be providing
24
                                                            24
       Michael Best & Friedrich to perform work on
                                                                   consultation on Wisconsin demographic matters, and
25
                                                            25
       redistricting?
                                                                   then it goes on the rest of the paragraph?
                           33
                                                                                       35
   A I do not recall.
                                                             1 A Yes.
1
 2
                                                             2 Q Okay. And then I'd like you to turn your
    Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to take a look at --
 3
                                                             3
       actually, this is a separate exhibit here. We'll
                                                                    attention to the third paragraph. Do you see that
 4
       make it a little bit cleaner here.
                                                                   it states you will be paid $5,000 per month,
                (Exhibit No. 4 marked for
                                                                   beginning of the date of this engagement letter
 6
                                                             6
                                                                   and continuing through May 2012 or until the
                 identification)
 7
    Q I'm going to hand you that. We can go ahead and
                                                             7
                                                                   retention is terminated, correct?
 8
       mark two other exhibits here.
 9
             (Exhibit Nos. 5 and 6 marked for
                                                             q
                                                                Q And then if you turn the page, you'll see
                                                            10
10
              identification)
                                                                   two signatures there, correct? One under
11
    Q Mr. Handrick, I've had the court reporter mark
                                                            11
                                                                   Mr. McLeod's signature line, correct?
12
                                                            12
       three documents as exhibits, and you should have
                                                                A Yes.
13
                                                            13
       those in front of you now. They should be marked
                                                                Q And then there is another signature line further
14
                                                            14
       as Exhibits 4, 5, and 6. Do you see those?
                                                                   down, and there appears to be a signature in that
                                                            15
15
   A Yes.
                                                                   line as well, doesn't there?
16
                                                            16
    Q Okay. I'd like you to take a look at
                                                                A Yes.
17
                                                            17
       Exhibit No. 4, please. Can you identify
                                                                Q Do you know whose signature that is?
18
                                                            18
       Exhibit No. 4 for me, please.
                                                                A I do not.
19
                                                            19
   A Yes.
                                                                Q Okay. Do you know whether it's Mr. Millis's
20
                                                            20
    Q What is Exhibit No. 4?
                                                                   signature?
21
    A It is a letter to Don Millis and myself from
                                                            21
                                                                A T do not.
22
                                                            22 Q Who is Mr. Millis?
       Michael Best & Friedrich.
                                                            23
23
   Q Have you seen Exhibit No. 4 previously?
                                                                A Mr. Millis is an attorney at the Reinhart law
    A Yes.
                                                                    firm.
25
                                                            25 Q Do you work with Mr. Millis?
    Q And did you receive Exhibit No. 4 on or about
```

Case/iBit 5 tx Pet Bit by sipponnont #050 phillips (Pang)/20/2019

A Yes. the two? 2 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ And it appears that it's -- the signature is dated 2 A Yes. 3 February 17, 2011? 3 Q All right. Do you -- you were retained as an 4 4 employee of the Reinhart law firm; is that 5 5 Q Is it your understanding that on or about correct? 6 6 A No. February -- sometime between February 15 and 7 7 Q All right. What's your understanding of your February 17, 2011 you were retained to work on the 8 redistricting? 8 engagement? 9 A Yes. A Reinhart -- my understanding is Reinhart was 10 Q I'd like you to -- you can set that aside. I'd 10 retained by Michael Best & Friedrich. 11 11 like you to look at Exhibits 5 and 6. Have you Q Okay. So the law firm of Reinhart in general? 12 seen Exhibits 5 and 6 before? 12 A Yes. 13 13 Q Why were the -- why was Mr. McLeod's original 14 14 Q Could you identify them, please, for the record. letter sent to you, and why were you cc'd on the 15 15 A Exhibit 5 is a letter to Eric McLeod from two letters from Mr. Millis back to Mr. McLeod? 16 Don Millis. Exhibit 6, the same. 16 A I don't know. 17 Q Okay. And Exhibit 5 is a letter dated 17 Q Is it your understanding that you were primarily 18 February 17, correct? 18 going to be responsible for doing the work with 19 19 Michael Best & Friedrich on the redistricting? 20 Q And Exhibit No. 6 is a letter dated February 18, 20 A My understanding is that Reinhart was going to ask 21 21 correct? me to provide assistance to the client that they 22 A Yes. 22 had retained. 23 Q Okay. And you are copied on both those letters; Q Or the client that had retained Reinhart? 24 you're identified as a cc? 24 A Yes. I'm sorry. 25 A Yes. 25 Q Now, you were just hired by Reinhart about a year 37 39 1 Q All right. I'd like you to turn to the last page 1 ago, correct? 2 2 of both of those letters, Exhibits 5 and 6, and A Yes. 3 I'd like you to look at the last paragraph of both 3 Q That was in around December of 2010? 4 letters. And I'll read -- I believe that A Yes. they're -- they are the same, but let me read it. Q Do you have a curriculum vitae or a resume? 6 6 A No. It states "You will be deemed to have accepted 7 this arrangement on the terms and conditions of 7 Q All right. I want to go back to a question that I 8 this letter and its enclosure upon your failure to was asking you before we went down and established q object to these terms in writing within ten days 9 Reinhart's engagement on or around February 15 for 10 10 of the date of this letter." Do you see that the purpose of legislative redistricting. And so 11 language? 11 I want to ask you between that time and 12 12 A Yes. November 22, which is the date Mr. Kelly 13 13 Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. McLeod or identified, who did you -- who was present while 14 14 anyone from Michael Best & Friedrich ever objected you were working at Michael Best & Friedrich on 15 15 to the terms stated in those letters? redistricting? 16 16 A I do not know that. MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert 17 17 Q Is it your understanding that your engagement was the same objection on the grounds of 18 18 pursuant to Mr. McLeod's original letter that's attorney-client, attorney work product 19 Exhibit 4 and then the two letters from Mr. Millis 19 privileges to the extent that the answer 20 20 that are Exhibits 5 and 6? requires disclosure of information. Subject 21 21 to those privileges I'd instruct Mr. Handrick A The Reinhart engagement. 22 22 Q Correct. You're saying Reinhart's engagement as not to answer. 23 23 opposed to your engagement? Q So you can answer the question. 24 A Yes. 24 A Please restate the question. 25 25 Q All right. So you're making a distinction between (Question read)

Castibitotapetbeposition of #0512pfiled: HAND Rickaps/2019

```
1
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm also going to
                                                                 Q Do you know who specifically he works for?
 2
                                                              2
                                                                 A My understanding is he works for the
            restate the objections raised previously
 3
            concerning vagueness and relatedly the
                                                              3
                                                                     Senator Scott Fitzgerald.
 4
            failure to describe any time period, which is
                                                              4
                                                                 Q Why was he present during the time that you were
 5
                                                              5
            a problem with the form of the question.
                                                                    working on legislative redistricting at
 6
                                                              6
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich?
            Subject to that you can answer.
 7
                                                              7
    A I can't recall.
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object --
                                                              8
    Q Are -- your counsel had instructed you not to
                                                                         I'm sorry. I'm going to object to the form
 9
       answer to the extent it was going to reveal
                                                              9
                                                                         of the question. I think it's vague and
10
                                                             10
       attorney-client privileged information. Are you
                                                                         ambiquous.
11
                                                             11
                                                                 Q You can answer.
       following your counsel's instruction not to answer
12
                                                             12
       the question with respect to privileged
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: To the extent you
13
                                                             13
       information?
                                                                         understand the question, you can answer.
14
                                                             14
   A No. I can't recall the answer to your question.
                                                                 A Please repeat the question.
15
    Q Okay. You don't recall anyone who was present at
                                                                 Q Sure.
16
                                                             16
       any time during -- between February 15, 2011 and
                                                                                  (Question read)
17
                                                             17
       November 22, 2011 when you were working on
                                                                 A He's an assistant to Senator Scott Fitzgerald.
18
       redistricting matters at Michael Best & Friedrich?
                                                             18
                                                                 Q And what did Mr. Ottman do while he was with you
                                                             19
19
    A Certainly I do.
                                                                    at Michael Best & Friedrich working on legislative
20
    Q Okay. Who was present?
                                                             20
                                                                    redistricting?
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
21
                                                             21
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
22
                                                             22
                                                                         the form of the question. I think it's vague
            the same objections as I did before.
23
    A At all times?
                                                             23
                                                                         and ambiguous.
24
                                                             24
    Q Not at all times. Just identify for me as many
                                                                 Q You can answer.
25
                                                             25
       people as you can remember who were present, and
                                                                 A Can you please repeat the question?
                                                                                        43
 1
       we'll go through them, and we'll take them one by
                                                              1
                                                                                  (Ouestion read)
 2
                                                              2 A He worked on behalf of his employer.
 3
                                                              3 Q What did you observe him doing?
   A Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz, Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod,
 4
       Ray Taffora, legislative leadership.
                                                                 A He would -- he would develop -- he would develop
    Q Okay. And who among the legislative leadership
                                                                    maps at the direction of -- actually, I don't know
 6
                                                              6
                                                                    whose direction. He would develop maps.
       was present?
 7
    A Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald, Majority Leader
                                                                 Q Okay. How many times did you see him at
 8
       Scott Fitzgerald.
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich when you were there?
    Q During that entire time period, February 15, 2011
 9
                                                                 A Oh. T don't know.
10
                                                             10
                                                                 Q Can you give me a ballpark?
       to November 22, 2011, while you were at
11
       Michael Best & Friedrich, were there any other
                                                             11
                                                                 A Dozens.
12
                                                             12 Q You were both present at Michael Best together
       people who were present with you at that time
13
                                                             13
       other than the people you've just mentioned?
                                                                    working on legislative redistricting dozens of
14
                                                             14
   A Yes.
                                                                    times; is that correct?
15
                                                             15
    Q Who else was present?
                                                                 A Yes.
16
                                                             16
    A Sarah Troupis, Robin Vos, Rich Zipperer,
                                                                 Q What was he physically doing when he was -- when
17
                                                             17
       Keith Gaddie.
                                                                    you saw him developing maps?
18
                                                             18
    Q Okay. Anyone else that you can remember being
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
19
                                                             19
                                                                         the question. I think it's vague and
       present?
                                                             20
20
    A I can't recall anyone else.
                                                                         ambiquous.
21
                                                             21
                                                                 A I did not observe him or monitor him as he, as he
    Q All right. So let's go back through and identify
22
                                                             22
       each of these people. You mentioned Tad Ottman.
                                                                    drew maps.
23
                                                             23
                                                                 Q You didn't see him drawing any maps at all?
       Who is Mr. Ottman?
    A Mr. Ottman is an employee of the state
                                                                 A I'm sorry?
25
                                                             25
       legislature.
                                                                 Q If you answered the question, I didn't hear it.
```

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

- 1 A oh.
- 2 Q You didn't see him drawing any maps?
- 3 A I'm aware that he was drawing maps.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I did not oversee him drawing the maps.
- 6 Q But did you physically observe him drawing maps?
- 7 A Oh, yes.
- 8 Q Was he sitting at a computer as he was drawing
- 9 maps?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was he -- did he have his hand on the mouse? Was
- 12 he clicking things? Was he typing on a keyboard?
- 13 What was he doing physically when you saw him
- 14 working on the maps?
- 15 A I would imagine all of the above.
- 16 Q Okay. Was anybody else in the room with
- 17 Mr. Ottman when you observed him working on the
- 18 maps?
- 19 A Sometimes.
- 20 Q Who did you see in the room with Mr. Ottman when
- 21 he was working on the maps?
- 22 A Adam Foltz.
- 23 Q Anyone else?
- 24 A While he was working on maps, no.
- 25 Q Okay. Was there anyone else communicating with
 - 45
- 1 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz while you saw Mr. Ottman
- 2 working on the maps?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Who was communicating with him?
- 5 A Legal counsel.
- 6 Q Who -- which legal counsel?
- 7 A The legal counsel I recall, Eric McLeod,
- 8 Ray Taffora, Jim Troupis, and Sarah Troupis.
- 9 Q Were they present in the room as well?
- 10 A At times.
- 11 Q At times. Okay. Were they ever on the telephone?
- 12 A Oh, I don't recall.
- 13 Q What about Mr. Gaddie; was Mr. Gaddie ever present
- 14 with Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz when you saw them
- 15 working on maps?
- 16 A Not that I recall.
- 17 Q Did you ever see -- were you ever present with
- 18 Mr. Foltz at Michael Best & Friedrich when
- Mr. Ottman wasn't there?
- 20 A Yes.
- ${f 21}$ ${f Q}$ And what was the -- strike that question.
- 22 How many times did you see Mr. Foltz there
- when Mr. Ottman was not there?
- 24 A I don't -- I don't recall that number.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$ As many times as with Mr. Ottman, dozens of times?

- 1 A Could you please repeat your original question?
- 2 Q Well, let me ask it this way: How many times were
- 3 you and Mr. Foltz at Michael Best & Friedrich when
- 4 Mr. Ottman was not present?
- 5 A Not present?
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A I don't recall the exact number.
- 8 Q Would it have been as many as dozens of times that
- 9 you mentioned with Mr. Ottman?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q What did you observe Mr. Foltz doing when you were
- 12 together at Michael Best & Friedrich?
- 13 A Same work that Mr. Ottman was performing.
- 14 Q Did you ever observe either Mr. Foltz or
- 15 Mr. Ottman working on any physical pieces of
- 16 paper?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What were the -- what were the physical pieces of
- 19 paper that they were working on?
- 20 A It was a large map that takes this data
- 21 (indicating) and makes it spatial.
- 22 Q Okay. And by this data, you're referring to
- 23 Exhibit 2A?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And that was the -- that reflects the old

47

- 1 legislative districts, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And by the old legislative districts, we mean the
- 4 districts that were put into place in 2002 by the
- 5 Court, correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q All right. Is there a specific software program
- 8 that does that?
- 9 A I don't know --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A -- how that's produced.
- 12 Q So they were looking at -- they were looking at
- 13 maps that were, that were printed, and it was a
- 14 spatial representation of the data in Exhibit 2A?
- 15 A Of some of the data.
- 16 Q Of some of the data. Okay. Do you know which of
- 17 the data in particular?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And which data was that?
- 20 A That data would have been the left-hand column and
- 21 the column headed Difference.
- ${\bf 22} \quad {\bf Q}$ So the left-hand column, that was -- that was the
- 23 district, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- **25 Q** And these are the assembly districts?

48

Case/iBitorxPetBlebosIPPONNORT#OSEPHIND: HAND/RICRago/28/26/99

A Yes. A Yes. 2 Q And then the column that's headed with the word 2 Q Did you assist Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz in 3 Difference? 3 creating these regional options that they 4 A Yes. presented to Mr. Fitzgerald? 5 5 Q What does the Difference column represent? A I created some of them. A My understanding is that the Difference column Q Which ones specifically did you create? 7 A I don't -- I don't recall. represents the variance from the target population 8 of each of the districts enumerated following the 8 Q Did Mr. Fitzgerald direct you to create any 9 2010 census. specific options or specific maps? 10 10 Q You mentioned that also present with you at times A No. 11 11 Q Did he direct Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz to your at Michael Best & Friedrich were Jeff Fitzgerald 12 12 and Scott Fitzgerald, correct? knowledge to create any specific options or 13 13 specific maps? 14 14 A No. Q When was Jeff Fitzgerald present with you at 15 15 Michael Best & Friedrich? Q You mentioned also that Mr. Scott Fitzgerald also 16 16 A I don't recall exactly. was present with you at times at Michael Best & Q Do you recall what Jeff Fitzgerald was doing when 17 17 Friedrich, correct? 18 he was with you at Michael Best & Friedrich? 18 A Yes. 19 19 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to Q All right. What did you observe 20 the form of the question. I think it 20 Mr. Scott Fitzgerald doing when you were together 21 misstates a prior answer, and it's vague and 21 at Michael Best & Friedrich? 22 22 A Same as Speaker Fitzgerald. ambiguous. 23 23 Q Were both Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald together at Q You can answer the question. 24 A Can you please repeat the question? Michael Best & Friedrich with you at the same 25 25 time? (Ouestion read) 49 51 1 A Yes. 1 A To the best of my recollection, yes. Q When Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman presented these 2 Q What was he doing? 2 3 3 A He was reviewing regional options for a map. options to Jeff Fitzgerald, did they present them Q And when you say regional options for a map, what 4 to Scott Fitzgerald at the same time? do you mean by that? A Yes. 6 6 Q So both Scott and Jeff Fitzgerald were present A The legislative assistants, Tad and Adam, would 7 present to their employer various options for each 7 when Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman were presenting 8 region of the state. these options to them; is that correct? q Q And when you say for each region of the state, how 9 A Yes. 10 10 Q Do you know how -- do you recall how many times are you defining what was a region? 11 A I don't recall exactly how the regions were broken 11 this occurred, that Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz 12 12 down. presented options to both Jeff and 13 13 Q Were they broken down on district lines, existing Scott Fitzgerald? 14 14 district lines, or was there some other criteria? A No. 15 A My recollection is that they were broken down more 15 Q Do you recall the dates on which or roughly the 16 16 time frames in which this occurred? geographical. 17 17 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Okay. Were you present when these options were A My recollection is that that would have been in 18 18 presented to Jeff Fitzgerald? June of 2011. 19 A Yes. 19 Q You mentioned before that you do not have a CV or 20 20 Q Were these presented to Mr. Fitzgerald on a a resume, correct? 21 21 computer screen or on a printed copy? A That is correct. 22 22 A They were presented on a printed copy. Q Okay. You do have a file on your firm's website, 23 23 Q Did you work at all on assisting -- you said Tad correct? 24 and Adam before. I assume that you mean 24 A I believe so. 25 25 Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz, correct? MR. POLAND: All right. I'm going

Castibitotapet between the cost of the cos

to ask if you'd mark this as Exhibit 7. you've been licensed to lobby for the current 2 (Exhibit No. 7 marked for 2 legislative session? 3 identification) 3 A Vac 4 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you what the court 4 Q This printout, you'll look at the bottom and see 5 reporter has marked as deposition Exhibit No. 7. it's dated as of November 30, 2011; do you see 6 Do you have that in front of you? that? 7 7 A Yes. A Yes. Q And can you identify Exhibit No. 7. 8 Q Are there any other, any other organizations that A This is a bio that I believe appears on the you've been licensed to represent in the current 10 10 Reinhart web page. legislative session? 11 Q All right. Have you seen this bio before? 11 Δ NO 12 A Yes. 12 Q And your license was issued on January 25, 2011, 13 Q All right. Is the information that's reflected in 13 correct? 14 14 this bio correct and accurate as of today's date A Yes. 15 to the best of your knowledge? 15 Q Did you obtain that license -- or strike that 16 16 A Yes. question. 17 Q Your bio states, Mr. Handrick, that you have a BS 17 Did you apply for that license to represent 18 18 any particular organization in the current from the University of Wisconsin-Madison, 19 19 occupational therapy; is that correct? legislative session? 20 A Yes. 20 A No. 21 21 Q And you earned that in 1996? Q Now, before you joined the Reinhart law firm, you 22 A Yes. 22 were the town chair for Minocqua, correct? 23 Q You do not have a law degree; is that correct? 23 A Yes. A That is correct. 24 Q And that's a position that you began in 2005? 25 A That is not correct. Q Have you ever attended law school? 1 A No. $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Okay. When did you begin as the town chair? 2 Q Other than your undergraduate studies, have you A January 3, 2006. 3 3 had any formal education beyond high school? Q While you were serving as the Minocqua town chair A No. from January 3, 2006 through the time that you Q Your bio identifies you as a government relations started at Reinhart in 2010, did you have any specialist; is that correct? other jobs or professional positions? 7 A Yes. A Yes. Q And that's a position that you've held since Q What else did you do? q December 2010? A I stocked produce at Wal-Mart. 10 10 Q And that was up in Minocqua? A Yes. 11 Q Do you have any, any clients other than the 11 A Yes. 12 clients as they've been defined in the engagement 12 Q Were you also the legislative director for the 13 13 letters that we looked at before for the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy Association? 14 14 A Yes. legislative redistricting matter? 15 15 A I am -- yes, I do. Q And how long did you hold that position? 16 Q Okay. You are a lobbyist licensed with the state 16 A I held that position through March 9 of 2011. 17 17 of Wisconsin, correct? Q And you're no longer serving as the legislative 18 A Yes. 18 director for the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy 19 (Exhibit No. 8 marked for 19 Association? 20 20 identification) A I would have to look at what exactly my title is 21 21 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document on the -- on my new contract. 22 that's been marked as Exhibit No. 8. And do you 22 Q Okay. So you have a separate contract with that 23 have that in front of you? 23 A Yes. 24 A Yes. 25 25 Q Is that contract ongoing? Is it current? **Q** And does that represent the organizations on which

Castibitotapet between the castification of the cas A Yes. and the time that you started working for the 2 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ And you were a -- you were licensed as a lobbyist 2 anesthesiologists in 2003? 3 on behalf of the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy A I was self-employed. Association in the 2009-2010 legislative session? Q Was that up in Minocqua? 5 A I do not believe I was a licensed lobbyist in 2009 6 or 2010. **Q** Where were you self-employed? 7 Q Okay. In the 2005 to 2010 time frame, were you A In Madison. Q Okay. And what were you doing? 8 licensed as a lobbyist on behalf of any other 9 organizations? A I was retained by Michael Best & Friedrich to 10 10 A I believe so. 11 11 Q Do you recall what they were? 2000 census. A I believe the Wisconsin Bear Hunters Association. 12 Q Was that under a contract as well? Q Okay. Any others that you can recall? 13 A I believe so. 14 14 Q Okay. Do you recall when you were retained for A That's all I can recall. 15 Q All right. Were there any other jobs that you that work? 16 16 held other than the job at Wal-Mart that you A No, I don't. 17 17 mentioned and the positions that we've just talked Q All right. And you were doing consulting work for 18 18 Michael Best at that time with the 2001 about here, any other jobs you held during the 19 19 2005 to 2010 time frame? redistricting litigation? 20 A Not that I can recall. 20 A Yes. 21 Q All right. Now, you were a lobbyist for the 21 Q Do you remember who Michael Best & Friedrich was 22 22 Wisconsin Society of Anesthesiologists at one representing in that litigation? 23 23 point, correct? A Yes. 24 A Yes. 24 Q Who were they representing? Q And that was in the 2003-2004 legislative session? 25 A They were representing the plaintiffs. 59 1 A In that time frame, yes. 1 Q And do you know who specifically the plaintiffs 2 2 Q Okay. Were there any other jobs that you held in were in that redistricting litigation? 3 the 2003 to 2004 time frame? 3 A My recollection is that the plaintiffs were 4 A None that I recall. Jensen/Panzer. Q Any other organizations on whose behalf you Q Was it just the -- do you remember if it was just 6 6 lobbied in the 2003-2004 legislative session? the assembly that were the plaintiffs in there, 7 A Yes. members of the assembly? Q And which were those? A I don't recall. A I can recall Smoke Free Wisconsin. I can recall q Q And you were retained to develop legislative maps 10 10 the United States Sportsmen's Alliance. I can 11 recall the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy 11 A Yes. 12 12 Association. Q At that time the republican party controlled the 13 13 Q Any others that you can recall? assembly, correct? 14 14 A That's all I can recall. A Yes. 15 15 Q I'd like to take you back to the 2000 and 2002 Q And your retention was specifically to develop 16 16 time frame. Now, you were a legislature in the 17 17 state assembly from 1994 to 2000, correct? republicans, correct? 18 18 A No. A That's not correct.

19 Q That's not. Okay. What was the time frame when 20

you were in the state assembly?

21 A I was a state legislature from January of 1995 to

22 approximately January 2 of 2001.

23 Q Okay. And we'll get back to that in just a

24 minute. What did you do for a living between the

25 time that you left the assembly on January 2, 2001 assist them in the redistricting that followed the

for Michael Best & Friedrich; is that correct?

legislative maps that would be favorable to the

19 Q That's not correct?

20

21 Q You were well compensated for your work, correct?

22 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of

23 the question, vague and ambiguous. To the 24 extent you understand the question, please

25 answer.

Case/IB:EDFXPE4BEPOSIPPONMORT #01512PIFINED: MANBERGCRag2/26/2699

A Could you please restate the question? on -- you gave me the date before. I'm looking 2 Q Sure. 2 for it. Well, January 1995. And you were elected 3 (Ouestion read) 3 as a republican representing Assembly District 34, 4 A Yes. correct? 5 Q Who paid you for the work that you performed in A Yes. 6 2001? Q You were re-elected in 1996 and 1998, correct? 7 7 A Michael Best & Friedrich. A Yes. Q Did you work with anyone on creating the 8 Q All right. And again both times, that was as a redistricting maps in 2001? republican representing District 34? 10 A Did I work -- would you please --10 A Yes. 11 11 Q With anyone -- did you work with anyone in Q When you were at UW-Madison -- strike that 12 12 creating the legislative redistricting maps in question. 13 13 2001? You were a student at University of 14 14 A Yes. Wisconsin-Madison in 1990, correct? 15 Q Who did you work with? 15 A I don't -- I don't recall. 16 A Legal counsel. Q Okay. Do you recall working part-time for 17 Q Michael Best & Friedrich? 17 Randy Radtke, a republican in the assembly? 18 A Yes. 18 A Yes. 19 19 Q All right. Who were those lawyers? Q All right. And was that on or around 1990? A My recollection is Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod. 20 A 1990, 1991. 21 21 Q Okay. Do you recall that Mr. Radtke was the Q Anyone else? 22 A Other legal counsel. 22 republican chair for the legislative redistricting 23 23 following the 1990 decennial census? **Q** Okay. Do you recall any specific people? 24 A Yes. 24 A Yes. 25 25 Q Who were the other people that you worked with? Q And you became involved in that redistricting 63 1 effort on behalf of the republicans as well, 1 A Grea Hubbard. 2 2 Q Was Mr. Hubbard a lawyer with Michael Best at the correct? 3 time? 3 A Yes. A No. Q And the redistricting efforts in the early 1990s Q Do you know what law firm Mr. Hubbard was with? ended up in litigation, correct? 6 6 A Yes. 7 Q Okay. Were you working with the autoBound 7 Q And in that, you participated in that in drawing software in 2001? the maps for that redistricting effort in 1992, q A That's my recollection. q correct? 10 10 Q Did you have your own office in Madison at the A Yes. 11 time? 11 Q You were working for Mr. Radtke at the time that 12 12 A Yes. you did that? 13 13 Q All right. Were you doing your legislative A Yes. 14 14 Q Were you retained by any law firm in 1992 to work redistricting work in 2001 in your own office? 15 A No. 15 on redistricting? 16 Q Were you doing that work at Michael Best & 16 A No. 17 17 Q It was working as an employee of Mr. Radtke? Friedrich? 18 A Yes. 18 19 Q In their Madison office? 19 Q And you mentioned Mr. Gaddie before, correct? A Yes. 20 A Yes. 21 21 Q Who is Mr. Gaddie? Q Now, you were elected to the state assembly you 22 22 A Mr. Gaddie is a professor from the University of said -- I want to make sure I get the date right. 23 23 You were elected in 1994, correct? A Yes. Q What -- does Mr. Gaddie have a specialty? 25 25 A I believe he's a political scientist. Q And you started working in the state assembly

Castibitotapet betosipponnopt #052philiku: HANB/Rickape/20/2019

that's been marked as Exhibit 9 before? Q All right. How long have you known Mr. Gaddie? 2 A Yes. A Approximately ten years. Q I should probably call him Professor Gaddie, 3 Q All right. Can you identify it for the record, right? He's a professor there? 5 A T believe so. A It's excerpts from a book entitled Born to Run. Q Have you ever been down to his office at the Q And it's authored by Ronald Keith Gaddie, correct? 7 7 A ves University of Oklahoma to meet with him? 8 A No. 8 Q And that is the Professor Gaddie that we were just Q Have you ever met with him in Wisconsin? 9 talking about? 10 10 A Yes. A Yes. 11 11 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ How many times have you met with Professor Gaddie ${f Q}$ This is the man that you met during the 12 12 redistricting effort in 2001 time frame? in Wisconsin? 13 13 A Going back to 2001. I don't know the exact 14 14 Q If you'd flip to the inside page, so that's the number. 15 15 Q Okay. Did you meet him during the 2001 second page of the exhibit, you'll see it's got a 16 16 redistricting? publication date of 2004. Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 17 A Yes. 18 Q Did you meet Professor Gaddie at Michael Best & 18 Q Did you -- did you conduct any interviews with 19 19 Friedrich? Mr. Gaddie for the purpose of this book? 20 A I don't recall. 20 A Yes. 21 21 Q And he interviewed you, correct? Q Did you work with Mr. Gaddie on the 2001 22 A Yes. 22 redistricting? 23 A Yes. ${f Q}$ So the chapter that we have included here in 24 24 Q And Mr. Gaddie testified in the trial in the 2001 Exhibit No. 9 is Chapter 4. Do you see that? 25 redistricting, correct? 25 A Yes. 67 A Yes. Q And that's on page 3. And that's The Life and 1 1 2 2 Q You did not testify in that litigation, correct? Times of Joe from Minocqua? 3 A Yes. A I did not. Q All right. Either in deposition or at the trial, Q And that would be you, correct? correct? A That would be me. 6 6 Q Okay. 15 minutes of fame, right? A That is correct. 7 Q Did you assist Mr. Gaddie in preparing for his 7 If we flip to the -- and I'm going to refer testimony in that redistricting litigation, in the 8 to the pages in the book. I think that's an q 2001, 2002 time frame? 9 easier thing to do. They're along the top of the 10 10 A Yes. exhibit so you can see that. If we turn to 11 Q Now, in addition to working with Mr. Gaddie on 11 page -- the top of page 47, do you see that it 12 12 that, you also worked with Mr. Gaddie on a book, says Meet Joe Handrick? 13 13 correct? A Yes. 14 14 Q All right. And that's you, correct? A Yes. 15 15 Q Or a portion of a book, right? A Yes. 16 A Yes. 16 Q All right. Did you have an opportunity to review 17 Q All right. Let's mark that. 17 the galleys? Do you know what galleys are, 18 18 (Exhibit No. 9 marked for publication galleys --19 identification) 19 A No. 20 20 Q I hand you Exhibit No. 9. Mr. Handrick, the court Q -- before the book was published? Okay. 21 21 reporter has handed you a document here that's Did you get a chance to look at a draft of 22 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 9. I will represent this particular chapter, Chapter 4, before 23 for the record that this is an excerpt from a 23 Mr. Gaddie published the book? book. It's obviously not the entire book. Have 24 A Not that I recall.

 ${f 25}$ ${f Q}$ Okay. He didn't give you any kind of a

you seen the book that the excerpts are from

25

Case/iBit 5 tx Pet Betos Promort #052PHIWI: HAND RICK ap2/28/2699

```
1
       pre-publication version and ask if these things
                                                                    quote is as follows: "When they sat me down at
 2
                                                             2
       were correct?
                                                                    the terminal, I just had a knack for being able to
 3
    A Not that I recall.
                                                             3
                                                                    see how to craft the kind of districts they
 4
    Q Okay. Well, let's turn to -- look at a couple of
                                                              4
                                                                    wanted, with the right political skew and in a
 5
                                                             5
       pages here. Do you recall generally that
                                                                    fashion that would be attractive to a court."
 6
       Mr. Gaddie in his book addressed the legislative
                                                                        Do you see that quotation?
 7
                                                             7
       redistricting work that you did in the 1990, 1991,
                                                                 A Yes.
 8
       '92 time frame?
                                                                 Q And is that a correct quotation?
    A I recall that, yes.
                                                                 A I wouldn't be able to recall that far back, but I
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} Okay. Did you give interviews with him where you
10
                                                             10
                                                                    presume it is.
11
                                                             11
                                                                 Q Okay. Turning to the top of page 55, do you see
       discussed that?
12
                                                             12
                                                                    Professor Gaddie's statement that says "Joe would
    A My recollection is yes.
                                                             13
                                                                    ultimately craft the legislative map" proposed --
13
    Q And also same question with respect to the 2001,
14
                                                             14
       2002 legislative redistricting. Is that a topic
                                                                    strike that. Let me reread that because I was
15
                                                             15
       that you and Mr. Gaddie discussed?
                                                                    reading it wrong:
16
                                                             16
    A Yes.
                                                                        "Joe would ultimately craft the legislative
17
                                                             17
                                                                    map proposal Republicans forwarded to the federal
                    MR. POLAND: Oops. Can you still
18
           hear me okay? The microphone just slipped
                                                             18
                                                                    courts."
19
                                                             19
                                                                        Do you see that statement?
20
                So I'd like you to -- I'm sorry? You
                                                             20
                                                                 A Yes.
21
                                                             21
           know what, why don't we take a five-minute
                                                                 Q And again that relates to the 1991-1992
22
           break. We'll fix the microphone issues.
                                                             22
                                                                    redistricting, correct?
23
                                                             23
                        (Recess)
                                                                A Yes.
24
                                                             24
    Q Mr. Handrick, just before we broke we were taking
                                                                 Q And Professor Gaddie's statement there is a
25
                                                             25
       a look at Exhibit No. 9, which is
                                                                    correct statement?
                           69
                                                                                        71
1
       Professor Gaddie's book. Do you recall that
                                                             1
                                                                A Yes.
 2
                                                                Q All right. Now -- so you've been involved,
       discussion?
                                                             2
                                                             3
 3
   A Yes.
                                                                    according to Professor Gaddie's book, you've been
 4
    Q All right. I'd like to turn your attention to
                                                              4
                                                                    involved with drawing legislative districts for
       page 54. So this is in the heading at the top.
                                                                    republicans in Wisconsin since the early 1990s,
 6
                                                             6
       And I'd like you to look at the last full
                                                                    correct?
 7
       paragraph on page 54 that's on the left-hand side
                                                             7
                                                                A Yes.
 8
       of the page. I'd like to draw your attention
                                                             8
                                                                 Q Almost 20 years. All right. I'd like to draw
 q
       about halfway down that page. There's a sentence
                                                             9
                                                                    your attention to page 68 -- actually, back up a
10
                                                             10
       there, and I'm just going to read it here.
                                                                    second. Take you to page 67. All right.
11
           It says -- a couple of sentences actually.
                                                             11
                                                                    Actually, back up one more. 66, other side of the
12
                                                             12
       It says "Handrick was not initially a principal in
                                                                    page.
13
                                                             13
       the crafting of maps, but, when exposed to the
                                                                 A Okay.
14
                                                             14
                                                                 Q All right. There is a reference -- about halfway
       technology and asked to participate, his spatial
15
       analytic abilities became evident to Republican
                                                             15
                                                                    down there's a heading that says
16
                                                             16
       mapmakers."
                                                                    Postlegislative Career. Do you see that?
17
                                                             17
           Do you see that --
                                                                A Yes.
18
                                                             18
                                                                 Q And the second full paragraph begins with a
    A Yes.
19
                                                             19
    Q -- language? All right.
                                                                    statement "Handrick was a master of electoral
20
                                                             20
           And that's referring to the early 1990s,
                                                                    analysis. He knew where to find information and
21
                                                             21
       correct?
                                                                    how to glean useable knowledge from numbers that
   A Yes.
                                                             22
22
                                                                    is implicit and based on understanding the
23
                                                             23
    Q All right. And Mr. -- or Professor Gaddie
                                                                    totality of issues and messages associated with
       continues on, and this appears to be a quote that
                                                             24
                                                                    particular candidates and their circumstances."
25
                                                             25
       Professor Gaddie is attributing to you. And the
                                                                        Do you see that language?
```

Castibitotapet between the cost of the cos

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And is that a correct statement?
- 3 A I wouldn't argue with it.
- 4 Q Okay. A little further down on that same page
- 5 toward the end of the paragraph there's a final
- 6 sentence, and they're talking here about the 2001
- 7 redistricting. And the sentence reads as follows:
- 8 "Again a federal court would craft the maps, and,
- 9 again, Handrick demonstrated remarkable skill in
- 10 crafting a set of map proposals that, while not
- 11 adopted by the court, again reflected the
- 12 priorities of the court and anticipated the design
- of the map created by a three-judge panel."
- 14 Would you argue with that statement?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q And then drawing your attention to the top of
- 17 page 67. The first paragraph reads "Handrick,
- 18 together with former Republican caucus staff from
- 19 the assembly, was contracted as an independent
- 20 consultant, working through the law firm
- 21 representing the assembly in redistricting, to
- 22 develop legislative maps that would stand up to a
- 23 high degree of scrutiny by the courts and that
- 24 would also be favorable to Republicans."
- 25 Do you see that paragraph?
- 2 Q Do you agree with that paragraph?
- 3 A I don't disagree.
- 4 Q Okay. And then I'd like to turn your attention to
- 5 page 68. And you'll see a heading about
- 6 two-thirds of the way down page 68. It says
- 7 Running the Conduit. Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.

A Yes.

1

- 9 Q All right. And so the second sentence -- I'm
- 10 sorry, the third sentence in that paragraph is the
- 11 one I want to focus on. And that states
- 12 "Joe Handrick was a talented artisan of electoral
- 13 maps, and he planned to develop future consulting
- 14 opportunities for the next reapportionment and
- 15 redistricting after 2010."
- 16 Do you see that statement?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And would you argue with that statement?
- 19 A Yes, I would.
- **20 Q** Okay. What would you contest in that statement?
- 21 A The use of the word -- phrase "planned to develop
- 22 future consulting opportunities for the next
- 23 reapportionment and redistricting after 2010."
- 24 Q What would you contest about that statement?
- 25 A I -- back -- I had -- I would have had no plans.

- 1 Q In 2004 when the book was written?
- 2 A Right, correct.
- 3 Q Would you have had aspirations back in 2004 of
- 4 participating in the redistricting effort after
- 5 the 2010 decennial census?
- 6 A Likely not.
- 7 Q When did that -- when did those aspirations arise?
- 8 A In -- beginning likely in May of 2009.
- 9 Q Okay. Was there anything in particular that
- 10 triggered your interest in becoming involved in
- 11 the 2010, the reapportionment following the 2010
- 12 census?
- 13 A Yes. In 2009 I became engaged.
- 14 Q Okay. And how did that affect your desire to get
- 15 involved in the redistricting?
- 16 A My fiancee lived in the Milwaukee area.
- 17 Q Okay. How did your fiancee living in the
- Milwaukee area make you want to get involved in
- 19 the redistricting following the 2010 decennial
- 20 census?
- 21 A It didn't directly, but getting married to someone
- 22 in the Milwaukee area meant I could no longer
- 23 continue to be the town chairman of Minocqua.
- 24 Q Okay. Was that the time then that you -- in 2009
- 25 did you move down to Port Washington?
 - 75
- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Okay. That came later?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q When did you move to Port Washington?
- 5 A December 2010.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Actually, strike that. January 2010 -- of 2011.
- 8 Q January of 2011?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q After you were done with your position as the town
- 11 chairman in Minocqua, that's when you moved to
- 12 Port Washington?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Was it the fact that you were going to be getting
- 15 married and had to do something other than being
- 16 the town chair; that's why you decided to get into
- 17 the redistricting after the 2010 decennial census?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q What was it then that made you want to do that?
- 20 A That's why I decided -- or that's why I needed to
- 21 get employment in the Milwaukee area.
- ${\bf 22}\quad {\bf Q}$ Okay. But as opposed to doing something different
- 23 in Milwaukee, why is it that you chose to get into
- 24 legislative redistricting after the 2010 census?
- 25 A Because I was retained by the Reinhart law firm to

Case/IBEDTAPE4BEPOSIPPONNOPT#OBEPHIND: 4ANBERICRAP2/20/2019

join their government relations practice, and this the Reinhart law firm for data, or was he asking 2 2 is part of that practice. Mr. McLeod? 3 Q Okay. Before you joined Reinhart did you have any A The Reinhart law firm. 4 plans to participate in legislative redistricting 4 Q And then they would -- they would turn to you and 5 following the 2010 census? ask you to provide that data for Professor Gaddie? 6 A No. 6 A No. 7 7 Q Were you approached by anyone before the time that Q Okay. How were you involved in providing data to 8 you joined Reinhart to work on the legislative 8 Professor Gaddie? 9 redistricting? 9 MR. KELLY: Objection. The 10 10 A No. question calls for material covered by the 11 11 Q Now, you mentioned you met Professor Gaddie during work product doctrine, which is privileged 12 12 the 2001 redistricting effort; is that correct? from disclosing that, so I instruct the 13 13 witness not to answer. 14 14 Q Was that before the litigation commenced that you Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not 15 15 met him? to answer the question? 16 16 A I don't -- I don't recall the exact date. 17 Q Do you understand that Professor Gaddie will 17 Q Was anybody else involved in the process of 18 testify as an expert witness in this particular 18 collecting data for Professor Gaddie? 19 19 20 A That's my understanding. 20 Q Who else was involved in that process? 21 21 Q Have you -- have you been involved at all in the A My understanding is the Legislative Reference 22 22 engagement of Professor Gaddie to serve as an Bureau. 23 expert witness in this case? 23 Q Anyone else that you know of? 24 A No. 24 A Not to my recollection. 25 25 Q All right. Were you involved at all with Q Okay. Did you ever personally provide any data to 77 79 1 Professor Gaddie's engagement to participate in 1 Professor Gaddie? 2 2 the redistricting process before the lawsuit was MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for 3 3 filed? information protected by the work product 4 A No. doctrine. 5 Q Have you spoken with Professor Gaddie about his MR. POLAND: I'm not asking what 6 6 was conveyed. I'm just asking whether he did work in the litigation? 7 MR. KELLY: Objection. Time frame? 7 personally. 8 Q Any time frame. MR. KELLY: That's still covered by q MR. KELLY: All right. Go ahead. 9 the work product doctrine. 10 10 A Please repeat the question. MR. POLAND: What he did? 11 MR. POLAND: Can you read it back? 11 MR. KELLY: Yes. 12 12 (Question read) MR. POLAND: I'm just asking if he 13 13 A Yes. did. I'm not asking what was conveyed, just 14 14 Q What did you talk about with Professor Gaddie? asking if he conveyed anything. 15 MR. KELLY: To the extent you can A Specifically, I don't recall. 16 16 Q All right. Generally speaking? answer the question with a simple yes or no, 17 17 A Generally speaking, Professor Gaddie would inform you may answer but nothing beyond that as it 18 18 us of his needs for data. would invade the work product doctrine. 19 Q And when you say us, you mean you and other people 19 A Can you please restate the question? 20 20 MR. POLAND: Can you read it back as well? 21 21 A I mean my legal counsel. to him? 22 22 Q Okay. Was he asking -- was he asking -- when you (Question read) 23 23 A Yes. say your legal counsel, I want to make sure I understand Mr. McLeod's law firm versus the 24 Q Okay. When did you provide data to Professor Gaddie? And I'm limiting it now to the 25 Reinhart law firm. Was Professor Gaddie asking 25

Castibitotapet between #050 phillips in an all and the craft of the cr

```
1
       2011 redistricting as opposed to 2002.
                                                                     to answer the question?
 2 A After November 22.
                                                              2 A Yes.
    Q Okay. Did you provide -- you didn't provide any
                                                              3
                                                                 Q Did you give any input at all into
       data to Professor Gaddie before November 22?
                                                              4
                                                                     Professor Gaddie's report?
 5
   A No.
                                                              5
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Same objection. Do not
 6
    {f Q} Did you provide him with any data outside of the
                                                                         answer.
 7
                                                              7
       context of litigation, in other words, before the
                                                                 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction?
 8
       complaint was filed in this case in June?
 9
    A No.
                                                                 Q Did you work with Professor Gaddie at all between
10
    Q Did Professor Gaddie ever provide you with any
                                                             10
                                                                     the 2002 and the 2011 redistricting litigation?
11
                                                             11
                                                                 A Outside of these interviews? No.
       data before the complaint was filed in June?
12
    A Not that I recall.
                                                             12
                                                                 Q Okay. So -- and let me back up just a second. We
                                                             13
13
    Q You understand that Professor Gaddie has submitted
                                                                     established before that you did work with
14
                                                             14
       a report in this litigation?
                                                                    Professor Gaddie in the 2002 redistricting
15
                                                             15
   A Yes.
                                                                     litigation, correct? All right. So after that
16
                                                             16
    Q Have you seen the final report?
                                                                     was done and before the time that you were engaged
17
                                                             17
    A I have.
                                                                     for the purpose of the 2011 redistricting, did you
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} All right. Did you see a draft of the report?
18
                                                             18
                                                                    work with Professor Gaddie outside the context of
19
                                                             19
                    MR. KELLY: Objection. That calls
                                                                     this book?
20
            for information protected by the work product
                                                             20
                                                                 A Not that I can recall.
21
                                                             21
                                                                 Q When you were retained for your work in
            doctrine.
22
                                                             22
                    MR. POLAND: I'm just asking if he
                                                                     redistricting back in February of this year, were
23
                                                             23
            saw it. I'm not asking what was conveyed,
                                                                     you told you were being retained by or on behalf
24
                                                             24
            just asking if he saw it.
                                                                     of any particular person or entity or group?
25
                    MR. KELLY: I understand, but
                                                             25
                                                                                 MR MCLEOD: Could I have -- I'm
                           81
                                                                                        83
 1
           that's still getting into our thoughts,
                                                              1
                                                                         sorry. Could I have the question reread
 2
                                                              2
           mental impressions, and plans.
                                                                         back?
 3
                                                              3
    Q Okay. You haven't been instructed not to answer,
                                                                                  (Question read)
 4
                                                              4
       so I'm going to --
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: Just one second. I'm
                    MR. KELLY: I will -- to the extent
                                                              5
                                                                         going to object to the question as vague and
 6
                                                              6
                                                                         ambiguous. It's a form objection. But to
           you can answer that question with a simple
 7
           yes or no, you may, but go no further because
                                                              7
                                                                         the extent you understand the question,
 8
            it would invade information protected by the
                                                                         please answer.
 q
           work product doctrine.
                                                              9
                                                                 A I was retained -- Reinhart law firm was retained
                                                             10
10
    A Please repeat the question.
                                                                    by Michael Best & Friedrich to provide them
11
                     (Question read)
                                                             11
                                                                     assistance as they provide counsel to the state
12
                                                             12
    A Yes.
                                                                     legislature.
13
                                                             13
    Q When did you see a draft of Professor Gaddie's
                                                                 Q And was it your understanding that the client was
14
                                                             14
       report?
                                                                     as is stated in the engagement letters that we
                                                             15
15
    A I can't recall that date.
                                                                     looked at earlier? We can pull them out if we
16
                                                             16
    Q Did you provide any comments on Professor Gaddie's
                                                                    need to. Take a look at Exhibit 4, for example.
17
                                                             17
       draft report?
                                                                     You should have a copy there in front of you.
18
                                                             18
                    MR. KELLY: I object. It does call
                                                                         In the first paragraph you'll see there's a
19
            for information protected by the work product
                                                             19
                                                                     definition of Client. It's defined as
20
                                                             20
            doctrine. To the extent that we have
                                                                     "Wisconsin State Senate, by its Majority Leader
21
                                                             21
           Mr. Handrick performing any functions with
                                                                     Scott L. Fitzgerald and the Wisconsin State
22
                                                             22
            respect to the conducts of this litigation,
                                                                     Assembly, by its Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald." Do you
23
                                                             23
            that is covered by the doctrine. And I
                                                                     see that?
            instruct you not to answer.
                                                             24
                                                                 A Yes.
25
                                                             25 Q Is that your understanding of who the client was?
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
```

- Castibitotapet between the castification of the cas A That's my understanding. A Yes. 2 Q Were you told what you were being retained to do? 2 Q Did you prepare any of the invoices that Reinhart A Vac 3 sends to Michael Best & Friedrich? 4 Q Okay. What were you told you were being retained 4 A No. 5 Q As a matter of fact, you mentioned, when we looked 6 A To provide assistance to legal counsel as they at it before, you looked at the invoices as part 7 7 of Exhibit 1, and you said those were documents provided advice on the preparation of 8 redistricting maps following the 2010 census. 8 you hadn't seen before; is that correct? Q Was there anything more specific that you were A That is correct. 10 10 told they were going to want you to do? MS. LAZAR: Clarification. That 11 A Yes. 11 was Exhibit 2. 12 12 MR. POLAND: It's Exhibit 2. Q And what were you told that was more specific they 13 13 wanted you to do? Thank you for the correction, Maria. 14 14 A In legal counsel's role of providing advice and Exhibit 2. 15 counsel to the legislature on adoption of a -- or 15 Q Do you enter your time at all when you're doing 16 16 development of a redistricting map following the work on the redistricting matter? 17 17 2010 census, they would give, give constitutional A Yes. 18 and other legal advice regarding redistricting. 18 MR. KELLY: Objection as to time 19 19 And they tasked me with helping translate that 20 legal advice into tangible work products or assist 20 Q Beginning with your engagement in February of 21 them in the creation of tangible work products for 21 2011. 22 22 their clients. MR. KELLY: And would that be 23 Q And so physically they wanted to use the mapping 23 ending prior to November 22? 24 24 skills that you had used in 2002 and 1991 Q Sure. Let's take it up through November -- before 25 25 redistricting, correct? November 22. Do you enter time into a system that 87 A I think that's a fair assessment. 1 Reinhart has? 2 A Yes. 2 Q And also data analysis skills? ${f 3}$ ${f Q}$ Okay. And are those time entries reflected in the 3 A No. 4 Q Now, did you do any data analysis for the purpose invoices that are transmitted to Michael Best & of redistricting in 2011? Friedrich? 6 6 A I do not know. A Not that I recall. 7 Q Now, the engagement -- and we looked again at the 7 Q If we take a look at -- this is the portion of 8 letters. And we can look at -- let's take a look Exhibit No. 2 that has the invoices. Here, we can at Exhibit No. -- Exhibit No. 6 is probably the q 9 have you -- let me hand that to you. So this is 10 10 best one to look at. It's in front of you. the portion of Exhibit No. 2 that has the 11 If you look at the -- there's a fee schedule 11 invoices. And, again, these are invoices. You 12 12 that's referred to in there. Do you see that? have not seen these before, correct? 13 A Yes. 13 A That is correct. 14 14 Q And there are fees that Reinhart is charging of Q These do not reflect any of your time entries, 15 15 \$5,000 per month, correct? correct? 16 A Correct. 16 A That is correct. 17 17 Q That began on February 15, 2011, correct? Q And all of these invoices predate November 22, 18 A Yes. 18 2011, correct? 19 Q And ends on May 15, 2012; is that right? 19 A That is correct. 20 Q Do you know which entity writes the check, cuts
- 20
- 21 Q All right. Is that a flat rate; do you know?
- 22 A As far as I know, yes.
- 23 Q Reinhart is paid the \$5,000 per month regardless
- of whether there's any work done in that
- 25 particular month or not?

23 Q And you're not paid for your redistricting work

outside of the bills that Reinhart sends, correct?

the check to Reinhart?

21

22 A I do not.

25 A That is correct.

Case/18:E5 FX PE48EPOSIF PONTOF #05EPHIRE: MANDEROCK age/28/26/9

```
Q Mr. Handrick, who have you discussed with -- this
                                                                 A I don't recall from whom that I heard.
 2
       deposition with before you came today?
                                                                              (Exhibit No. 10 marked for
 3
    A My counsel to my right.
                                                              3
                                                                              identification)
 4
    Q Okay. Mr. McLeod?
                                                              4
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a document that the
 5
                                                              5
                                                                    court reporter has marked as Exhibit No. 10. Do
    Q All right. Have you discussed the deposition with
                                                                    you have that in front of you?
7
                                                              7
                                                                 A Yes.
       anyone else?
 8
   A Yes.
                                                              8
                                                                 Q All right. I'd like you to turn to the
    Q Who else have you discussed it with?
                                                              9
                                                                     second page, and you'll see that the document is
10
                                                             10
    A My employers.
                                                                    Defendants' Amended Initial Rule 26(a)
11
                                                             11
    Q The Reinhart law firm?
                                                                    Disclosures. Do you see that?
12
   A Yes.
                                                             12 A Yes.
                                                             13
13
    Q Anyone in particular at Reinhart?
                                                                 Q Have you seen Exhibit 10 before?
                                                             14
14
    A Yes, Patrick Hodan.
                                                                 A No.
15
                                                             15
    Q What did you discuss with Mr. McLeod?
                                                                 Q I'd like to turn your attention to page No. 5 of
16
                                                             16
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object on
                                                                    Exhibit 10 and specifically to paragraph 10.
17
                                                             17
           the grounds that it is subject to the
                                                                    Okay. And I'll just represent to you this is --
18
           attorney-client privilege, and I'll instruct
                                                             18
                                                                    this is an identification of people who have
19
                                                             19
           the witness not to answer.
                                                                    knowledge that the defendants might use to support
20
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
                                                             20
                                                                     their claims or defenses, okay?
21
                                                             21
                                                                         So paragraph 10 states "Individuals from the
       to answer?
                                                             22
22
    A Yes.
                                                                    Legislature, and/or its various bodies, are those
23
    Q What did you discuss with Mr. Hodan?
                                                             23
                                                                     individuals on the Legislature's behalf, who were
24
                                                             24
                    MR. KELLY: I object on the basis
                                                                     involved in drawing the redistricting maps that
25
                                                             25
           it invades the attorney-client privilege, and
                                                                    were signed into law on August 9, 2011, including
                           89
                                                                                        91
1
           I instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                              1
                                                                    without limitation, those individuals who reviewed
 2
                                                              2
    Q And you're going to follow counsel's advice?
                                                                    the 2010 decennial census and assisted in
 3
   A Yes.
                                                              3
                                                                    determining the appropriate, constitutional
    Q Did you review any documents to prepare for your
                                                                    boundaries for state and Congressional districts
       deposition today?
                                                                    as memorialized in Acts 43 and 44." Do you see
 6
                                                              6
   A Yes.
                                                                     that?
 7
    Q What documents did you review?
                                                              7
                                                                 A Yes.
    A The documents that I brought with me.
                                                                 Q Okay. And then if you turn the page, do you see
    Q Okay. And so those would be the documents that
                                                              q
                                                                     that your name is listed there?
10
                                                             10
       are contained in Exhibits 2 and 3?
                                                                 A Yes.
11
    A Yes.
                                                             11
                                                                 Q Okay. Did anybody ever discuss with you or talk
12
                                                             12
    Q Did you review any other documents in preparation
                                                                     to you about providing that kind of testimony at
13
                                                             13
                                                                    trial?
       for your deposition today?
14
                                                             14
                                                                 A No.
                                                             15
15
    Q Were you ever told that you would not have to be
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
16
                                                             16
       deposed in this lawsuit?
                                                                         it calls for information covered by the
17
                                                             17
    A No.
                                                                         attorney-client privilege. And I instruct
18
                                                             18
    Q Now, do you understand that you've been identified
                                                                         the witness not to answer.
19
       by the defendants in this lawsuit as a potential
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow your counsel's instruction
20
       trial witness?
                                                             20
                                                                    not to answer the question?
21
                                                             21
                                                                 A Yes.
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
22
                                                             22
           can answer.
                                                                 Q All right. Second paragraph, page -- I'm sorry.
                                                             23
23
    A I had heard that.
                                                                    Next paragraph down, paragraph 11. Again, it
    Q Okay. Who told you that you would be named as a
                                                             24
                                                                    identifies witnesses who may be called to testify,
25
                                                             25
                                                                    and it states "Individuals from the Legislature,
       potential witness?
```

Case/IBEDTAPE4BEPOSIPPONNOPT#OBEPIFIND: 4ANBERICRAP2/26/2699

```
1
       and/or its various bodies, or those individuals on
                                                                     well, strike that. There's no way to do this
 2
       the Legislature's behalf, who were involved in
                                                               2
                                                                     other than to go through each one. Sorry.
 3
       reviewing census and population data for the 2010
                                                               3
                                                                          Let's do paragraph 13. Paragraph 13
 4
                                                               4
       decennial census to insure" -- that's
                                                                     identifies "Individuals from the Legislature,
 5
                                                               5
       i-n-s-u-r-e -- "minimum population deviation for
                                                                     and/or its various bodies, or those individuals on
 6
                                                               6
                                                                     the Legislature's behalf, who assisted the
       the new districts." Do you see that?
 7
                                                               7
   A Yes.
                                                                     Legislature in insuring that the new redistricting
 8
    Q And do you see that your name is listed there as
                                                               8
                                                                     maps, to the extent possible, kept wards and
 9
       well?
                                                               9
                                                                     municipalities whole within legislative district
10
                                                              10
    A Yes.
                                                                     boundaries and to the extent possible, recognized
11
                                                              11
    Q Did you ever discuss with anyone whether you would
                                                                     local government boundaries." Do you see that
12
                                                              12
       be called as a witness to testify to the matters
                                                                     language?
13
                                                              13
       identified in paragraph 11?
                                                                 A Yes.
14
                                                              14
                                                                  Q And you're identified there as well, correct?
                    MR. KELLY: Objection on
15
                                                              15
            two grounds. The first is to form,
                                                                  A Yes.
16
                                                              16
                                                                  Q All right. Did you ever have any discussions with
           mischaracterizes the nature of the
17
           Rule 26(a)(1), initial disclosure. No. 2, it
                                                              17
                                                                     anyone about testifying at trial on those
18
           calls for information protected by the
                                                              18
                                                                     particular topics?
19
                                                              19
            attorney-client privilege. And I instruct
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection on the basis
                                                              20
20
            this witness not to answer.
                                                                          of the attorney-client privilege. And I
21
                                                              21
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
                                                                          instruct the witness not to answer.
22
       to answer that question?
                                                              22
                                                                  Q And you're going to follow counsel's instructions?
                                                              23
23
    A Yes.
24
                                                              24
    Q I'd like you to look at paragraph 12. And do you
                                                                  Q And with respect to paragraph -- all right. We
25
                                                              25
       see that it states -- it identifies "Individuals
                                                                     have to change the tape. Let's go off the record.
                           93
                                                                                         95
 1
       from the Legislature, and/or its various bodies,
                                                               1
                                                                              (Discussion off the record)
 2
                                                               2
                                                                  \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\, Mr. Handrick, just before the tape was changed we
       or those individuals on the Legislature's behalf,
 3
       who were involved in reviewing population and
                                                               3
                                                                     were going over categories of testimony or
 4
                                                               4
       other data so as to preserve, to the extent
                                                                     knowledge in Exhibit No. 10. I'd like to actually
       possible and practicable, the core population of
                                                                     go back to paragraph 10 for a minute, if you'd
 6
                                                               6
       prior districts as well as communities of
                                                                     turn to page 5, and ask you, did you actually as
 7
       interest." Do you see that language?
                                                               7
                                                                     part of your work on the redistricting, did you
 8
                                                                     actually review the 2010 decennial census data and
 q
    Q And if you turn the page, you'll see that you are
                                                               q
                                                                     assist in determining appropriate constitutional
                                                              10
10
       identified there as well, correct?
                                                                     boundaries for the state and congressional
11
    A Yes.
                                                              11
                                                                     districts as memorialized in Acts 43 and 44?
12
                                                              12
    Q All right. Did you ever have any conversations
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
13
                                                              13
       with anyone about providing testimony relating to
                                                                          the form of the question on the grounds that
14
                                                              14
                                                                          it's vague and ambiguous. To the extent you
       the issues identified in paragraph 12?
15
                                                              15
                    MR. KELLY: Objection on the basis,
                                                                          can understand the question and can answer,
16
                                                              16
            excuse me, of the attorney-client privilege.
                                                                          please do so.
17
                                                              17
           And I instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                                  A Please restate the question.
18
                                                              18
                                                                  Q It really is as stated right in here in the
    Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction?
19
    A Yes.
                                                              19
                                                                     language. Did you actually do this? Did you
20
                                                              20
    Q Okay. Let's -- I'll shortcut this, and I'm going
                                                                     actually review the 2010 decennial census and
21
                                                              21
       to -- what I'm going to do here is go over
                                                                     assist in determining the appropriate
22
                                                              22
       paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, okay? The
                                                                     constitutional boundaries for the state and
23
                                                              23
                                                                     congressional districts as memorialized in Acts 43
       introductory language on each one is pretty much
24
       the same. Do you see that?
                                                              24
                                                                     and 44?
                                                              25
25
            Paragraph 13 identifies individuals who --
                                                                                  MR MCLEOD: I assert the
```

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

```
1
           objection. To the extent you can answer,
                                                                    disenfranchised by being deprived of the
 2
                                                              2
           please do so.
                                                                    opportunity to vote?
 3
    A Yes, I reviewed the 2010 decennial census and
                                                              3
                                                                 A No.
 4
       assisted the legal counsel and the remainder of
                                                              4
                                                                 Q Anybody ever talk to you about potentially
 5
                                                              5
       that paragraph.
                                                                     testifying at trial on that issue?
 6
    Q Okay. Turning to paragraph No. 11. Did you in
                                                              6
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
 7
                                                              7
       fact review census and population data from the
                                                                         attorney-client privilege and work product
 8
       2010 decennial census to insure minimum population
                                                              8
                                                                         doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
 9
       deviation for new districts?
                                                              9
                                                                         answer.
10
                                                             10
    A Yes.
                                                                 Q And will you follow counsel's instruction not to
11
                                                             11
    Q Okay. In paragraph 12, did you in fact as part of
                                                                    answer?
12
                                                             12
       your, as part of your redistricting work review
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                             13
13
       population and other data so as to preserve, to
                                                                 Q Paragraph No. 15. Did you review the 2010
14
                                                             14
       the extent possible and practicable, the core
                                                                    decennial census data and the previous districting
15
                                                             15
       population of prior districts as well as
                                                                    maps to insure that the new districts were as
16
                                                             16
       communities of interest?
                                                                    geographically compact as practicable?
17
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                             17
                                                                 A No.
18
           the same objection as to the form. It's
                                                             18
                                                                 {f Q} Did you ever talk with anyone about testifying at
19
                                                             19
           vague and ambiguous. To the extent you
                                                                     trial on that topic?
20
           understand the question and can answer it,
                                                             20
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
21
           please do so.
                                                             21
                                                                         attorney-client privilege and work product
                                                             22
22
    A Yes.
                                                                         doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
23
                                                             23
    Q Paragraph No. 13 then. Did you assist the
24
                                                             24
       legislature in insuring that the new redistricting
                                                                 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
25
                                                             25
       maps, to the extent possible, kept wards and
                                                                     to not answer the question?
                           97
1
       municipalities whole within legislative boundaries
                                                              1
                                                                 A Yes.
 2
                                                                 Q Turning back quickly here to paragraph 14. You
       and to the extent possible recognize local
                                                              2
 3
                                                              3
       government boundaries?
                                                                    mentioned -- you said you did not provide that
 4
    A Yes.
                                                                    assistance. Do you know anyone who did?
 5
    Q All right. Did you ever discuss with anyone
                                                                 A No.
 6
                                                              6
                                                                 Q Same question for No. 15. Do you know anyone who
       testifying at trial about that work that you did?
 7
                    MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
                                                              7
                                                                    did review the decennial census data in previous
 8
           attorney-client privilege and work product
                                                                    districting maps to insure the new districts were
           doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
 q
                                                              q
                                                                    geographically compact as practicable?
10
                                                             10
                                                                 A Yes.
           answer.
11
                Additionally, to the extent that I've
                                                             11
                                                                 Q Who did?
12
                                                             12
           interposed an attorney-client privilege
                                                                 A I don't know, but I am aware that there was --
13
                                                             13
           objection to any of the other responses based
                                                                    there have -- there was a report produced on
14
                                                             14
           on Exhibit 10, that also incorporates an
                                                                    compactness.
15
           objection based on the work product doctrine.
                                                             15
                                                                 Q Do you know who produced that report?
16
                                                             16
    Q Counsel hasn't instructed you not to answer.
                                                                 A No.
17
                    MR. KELLY: I have.
                                                             17
                                                                 Q Do you know when you saw it?
18
                                                             18
                                                                 A No.
                    MS. LAZAR: He did.
19
                                                             19
                    MR. POLAND: You did. Okay.
                                                                 Q Would it have been sometime before the legislation
20
                                                             20
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
                                                                    was passed?
21
                                                             21
                                                                 A Possibly.
       to answer?
22
                                                             22
    A Yes.
                                                                 Q Were you at Michael Best & Friedrich when you saw
23
    Q Let's turn to page 14. Did you assist legislature
                                                             23
                                                                     that report on compactness?
24
       to insure that if voters were shifted from odd to
                                                             24
                                                                 A Yes.
25
                                                             25
       even senate districts they were not unnecessarily
                                                                Q Was it in paper copy?
```

Castibitotapet between the cost of the cos

```
A Yes.
                                                                         attorney-client privilege and the work
 2
    Q Any idea how thick it was?
                                                              2
                                                                         product doctrine. And I instruct you not to
                                                              3
                                                                         answer. If you can answer outside of those
    Q Any estimate as to how many pages?
                                                                         parameters, you may.
 5
                                                                 A Can you repeat the question?
    Q Did you discuss that report with anyone?
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Could you read it
 7
    Δ NO
                                                              7
                                                                         back?
    Q Who was with you when you saw that report?
                                                              8
                                                                                  (Question read)
    A My recollection would be Tad and Adam.
                                                              9
                                                                 A No.
10
                                                             10
    Q Were you asked to do anything with respect to that
                                                                 Q Did you ever discuss with anyone whether you would
11
                                                             11
       report?
                                                                    or would not testify at trial?
12
    A No.
                                                             12
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
                                                             13
13
    Q Did they ask you to give any opinions about what
                                                                         information protected by the attorney-client
14
                                                             14
       was stated in the report?
                                                                         privilege and the work product doctrine. And
                                                             15
15
   A No.
                                                                         I instruct the witness not to answer.
16
                                                             16
    Q Paragraph 16. Did you in fact assist the
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
17
                                                             17
       legislature to prevent unnecessary and
                                                                     to answer?
18
                                                             18
                                                                 A Yes.
       unconstitutional voter dilution of minority
19
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Do you expect to testify at trial?
20
    A I assisted the legal team in the provision of
                                                             20
21
                                                             21
       advice to the legislature on such matters.
                                                                 Q If subpoenaed to testify at trial or if called as
22
                                                             22
                                                                    a witness at trial, would you testify at trial?
    Q Did anyone talk to you about testifying at trial
23
                                                             23
       on that topic?
                                                                 A Certainly.
24
                                                             24
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
                                                                 Q Have you seen a copy of the complaint in this
25
                                                             25
           information protected by the attorney-client
                                                                    case, Mr. Handrick?
                          101
                                                                                        103
 1
                                                              1
                                                                 A Yes.
           privilege and work product doctrine. And I
 2
                                                                 Q Okay. And there actually have been several
            instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                              2
 3
                                                              3
    Q And are you going to follow counsel's instructions
                                                                     complaints filed. There was -- it was not a trick
 4
                                                              4
       and not answer the question?
                                                                    question, not intended to be a trick question.
                                                                         Do you recall which of the complaints you've
 6
                                                              6
    Q And paragraph 17. Did you assist the legislature
                                                                     seen?
 7
       to insure that the new districts reflected
                                                              7
                                                                 A I believe I recall seeing the original, the
 8
       communities of interest?
                                                                    original complaint.
 9
    A Yes.
                                                              9
                                                                 Q Okay. Have you seen a copy of the most recent
                                                             10
10
    Q And did -- and has anyone talked to you about
                                                                    complaint filed in the case?
11
       testifying at trial on that topic?
                                                             11
                                                                                 MS. LAZAR: Objection. Could you
12
                                                             12
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
                                                                         clarify which case? They're consolidated.
13
                                                             13
           information protected by the work product
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Sure. Let's just
14
                                                             14
           doctrine and the attorney-client privilege.
                                                                         go ahead and mark it as an exhibit.
15
                                                             15
            And I instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                                              (Exhibit No. 11 marked for
16
                                                             16
    Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
                                                                              identification)
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you a copy of a document
       and not answer the question?
18
                                                             18
    A Yes.
                                                                     that's been marked as Exhibit No. 11. I'll give
19
    Q Were you ever told that you would or would not
                                                             19
                                                                    you a minute to take a look at it.
20
                                                             20
       testify at trial?
                                                                 A Okay.
21
                                                             21
                    MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                                              (Witness reviews document)
22
                                                             22 Q So for the record, Exhibit No. 11 is a document
            that that calls for information that you
23
                                                             23
            obtained or were given with respect to your
                                                                     that's titled Second Amended Complaint for
24
            participation in the defense of this map
                                                             24
                                                                    Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and it's dated
25
            since November 22, 2011. It invades the
                                                             25
                                                                    November 18, 2011. Mr. Handrick, have you seen a
                                                                                        104
```

Castibitotapet betosipponior #05epfilw: 4ANBRICRaps/20/2019

```
1
       copy of this document before, Exhibit 11?
                                                                  A Yes.
 2 A Yes.
                                                              2
                                                                  \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} Okay. And is this a document that you've seen
 3
    Q Okay. When did you see it?
                                                              3
                                                                     hefore?
    A Late November.
                                                                  A I do not recall ever seeing this document.
    Q Do you recall who gave it to you?
                                                                  Q Were you asked to provide any input into answers
    A No. I don't.
                                                                     to the allegations that were contained in
7
                                                              7
    Q Were you asked to provide any comments on it?
                                                                     Exhibit 11, which is the Plaintiffs' Second
 8
                    MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                              8
                                                                     Amended Complaint?
9
           that that calls for information protected by
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Object. The question
10
                                                             10
           the attorney-client privilege or the work
                                                                         calls for information that invades the
11
                                                             11
           product doctrine. I instruct you not to
                                                                         attorney-client privilege and the work
12
                                                             12
                                                                         product doctrine. I instruct the witness not
           answer. And that instruction goes this far.
13
                                                             13
                To the extent that you were asked to
                                                                         to answer.
14
                                                             14
           provide any commentary or opinion on it by
                                                                  Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
15
                                                             15
           counsel for the defendants in this case, the
                                                                     to answer the question?
16
           Members of the GAB and the Executive
                                                             16
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q All right. Did you ever see copies of discovery
           Director, that would be covered by the
18
           attorney-client privilege and the work
                                                             18
                                                                     requests that were served on the parties in this
19
                                                             19
           product doctrine. If you were asked to
                                                                     case?
20
           provide commentary by anyone else, you may
                                                             20
                                                                 A No.
21
           answer. Otherwise, I instruct you not to
                                                             21
                                                                  Q Okay. Do you know what discovery requests are?
22
                                                             22
                                                                  A Not really.
           answer.
23
                                                             23
                                                                  Q Fancy lawyer terms for questions that we ask of
    Q So let's take the easy part first. Anybody other
24
                                                             24
       than legal counsel for the
                                                                     the other side and for -- we send requests to
25
                                                             25
       Government Accountability Board ask you to comment
                                                                     produce documents. Did you ever see anything like
                          105
                                                                                        107
1
       on the second amended complaint?
                                                              1
                                                                     that in this case?
 2
                                                              2 A No.
   A No.
                                                                 Q Okay.
 3
                                                              3
    Q Did any members of any of the counsel for the
 4
                                                               4
       Government Accountability Board ask you to comment
                                                                              (Exhibit No. 13 marked for
 5
       on the second amended complaint?
                                                                               identification)
 6
                    MR. KELLY: Object to the extent
                                                              6
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document
 7
           that it invades the attorney-client privilege
                                                              7
                                                                     that's been marked as Exhibit No. 13. And as
 8
           and the work product doctrine. And I
                                                              8
                                                                     you'll see on the front page, it says Plaintiffs'
 q
           instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                              q
                                                                     First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for
10
                                                             10
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions not
                                                                     Production of Documents. Do you see that?
11
       to answer the question?
                                                             11
                                                                  A Yes.
12
                                                             12
    A Yes.
                                                                  Q Were you ever asked -- strike that question.
13
                                                             13
    Q Have you seen a copy of the answer that the
                                                                         Were you ever given a copy of Exhibit 13?
14
                                                             14
                                                                 A No.
       defendants filed to the second amended complaint?
15
    A I don't know.
                                                             15
                                                                  Q If you turn to page No. 5, you see it says Request
16
                                                             16
                (Exhibit No. 12 marked for
                                                                     for Production of Documents. And if you kind of
17
                                                             17
                 identification)
                                                                     flip through pages, you'll see a number of
18
                                                             18
    Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document
                                                                     document production requests up to No. 13. Do you
19
       that the court reporter has marked as deposition
                                                             19
                                                                     see those?
20
                                                             20
       Exhibit No. 12. And if you turn to the
                                                                 A Yes, I see that.
21
                                                             21
                                                                  Q Were you ever asked -- other than through your
       second page, you'll see that the document has a
22
                                                             22
       title. It says Defendants' Answer and Affirmative
                                                                     subpoena for this deposition, were you ever asked
23
                                                             23
       Defenses to Second Amended Complaint for
                                                                     to look for or gather documents responsive to
       Declaratory and Injunctive Relief. Do you see
                                                             24
                                                                     these requests?
                                                             25
25
       that?
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Object. The question
```

Page 105 to 108 of 255

Case/iBitotape4Bite bosipponiopt #OSEPHIW!: HAND/RICRap2/28/2679

```
1
           calls for information protected by the
                                                                             The instruction goes so far as
2
                                                              2
                                                                        conversations with counsel either at Reinhart
           attorney-client privilege and work product
3
                                                                        or DOJ or the client from November 22
           doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
                                                              3
4
                                                                         forward. If there's any responsive
5
                                                              5
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's advice and not
                                                                        information you have prior to that time, you
       answer the question?
                                                                        may answer.
7
                                                             7
   A Yes.
                                                                 A Please restate the question.
                                                              8
8
    Q Mr. Handrick, when did you actually physically
                                                                                  (Question read)
9
       begin working on the redistricting plans that were
                                                              9
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                             10
10
       embodied in Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44?
                                                                        the question on the grounds that it's vague
11
                                                             11
                    MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
                                                                        and ambiguous. To the extent you understand
12
                                                             12
           the question on the grounds that it's vague
                                                                         the question, please answer.
13
                                                             13
           and ambiguous. To the extent you can
                                                                 A I can't answer that question with specifics.
14
           understand the question and respond, please
                                                             14
                                                                 Q Okay. What is it that you can't answer, or why
15
                                                             15
           do so.
                                                                    can't you answer the question?
16
                                                             16
    A Please repeat the question.
                                                                 A The question was the redistricting process.
17
                                                             17
                    (Question read)
                                                                 Q Correct.
18
   A I do not specifically recall.
                                                             18
                                                                 A Because of my past involvement, people all the
19
                                                             19
    Q Okay. Do you recall -- you mentioned before that
                                                                    time ask me about the process.
20
       you enter time into Reinhart's time keeping
                                                             20
                                                                Q Okay. You're talking about outside of the work
21
                                                             21
       system, correct?
                                                                    that you were engaged to do in February?
                                                             22 A Yes.
22
   A Yes.
23
    Q Do you recall when the first time was that you
                                                             23
                                                                 Q All right. Let's limit it then for the purpose of
24
                                                             24
       actually entered time on the redistricting matter?
                                                                    the redistricting that you were engaged to
25 A I don't recall specifically.
                                                             25
                                                                    perform, okay, in 2011, all right? With that
                                                                                       111
1
    Q Do you recall how far after -- how long after it
                                                              1
                                                                    qualification on it then, with whom have you
2
                                                              2
       was before Reinhart was retained in February 2011
                                                                    discussed that redistricting process?
                                                              3
3
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection on the same
       that you started that work?
                                                              4
    A Not specifically.
                                                                        basis as my prior objection. It calls for
    Q As best you recall, was it in the month of
                                                                        information protected by the attorney-client
6
                                                              6
       February?
                                                                        privilege and work product doctrine. And I
7
   A Probably.
                                                              7
                                                                        instruct the witness not to answer unless the
8
    Q Now, you had given me a list of names earlier in
                                                              8
                                                                        response of information relates to the time
q
                                                              9
                                                                        period prior to November 22, in which you may
       the deposition of people who were present with you
                                                             10
10
       at Michael Best & Friedrich when you were working
                                                                        answer.
11
       on the redistricting plans. In addition to those
                                                             11
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: And I apologize.
12
                                                             12
       names, was there anybody else that you worked with
                                                                        Could you read the question back? I'm trying
13
                                                             13
                                                                        to understand it.
       on the redistricting plans? I can read those
14
                                                             14
       names back if you need me to refresh your memory
                                                                                  (Question read)
15
                                                             15
       on that.
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
                                                             16
16
    A I cannot recall any additional names.
                                                                        the form of the question as vaque and
17
                                                             17
    Q Okay. During -- from the time that you were --
                                                                        ambiguous. To the extent you understand it,
18
                                                             18
       that Reinhart was engaged in February up until the
                                                                        please answer.
19
       present, with whom have you discussed at any time
                                                             19
                                                                 A The list of names I supplied before.
20
                                                             20
       the redistricting process itself?
                                                                 Q Okay. Is there anyone else other than the people
21
                                                             21
                    MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                                    who were on the list of names you supplied before
22
                                                             22
           it calls for information protected by the
                                                                    that you've discussed the redistricting process
23
                                                             23
           attorney-client privilege, the work product
24
           doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
                                                             24
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Same objection. To the
25
                                                             25
           answer.
                                                                        extent that your answer would involve
                                                                                       112
```

Castibitotapet betosipponnopt #052philiku: HANB/Rickape/28/2699

```
1
                                                              1
           individuals you spoke with subsequent to
                                                                         question requires you to discuss any
 2
                                                              2
           November 22, either with counsel or at the
                                                                         conversations that occurred subsequent to
 3
                                                              3
           direction of counsel, that information would
                                                                         November 22 at the direction of counsel, I
 4
                                                              4
           be protected by the work product doctrine and
                                                                         instruct you not to answer. If it does not
 5
                                                              5
           the attorney-client privilege. And to that
                                                                         cover that, you may answer if you know.
 6
                                                              6
                                                                 A Not that I recall.
           extent, I instruct you not to answer.
 7
                                                              7
                    MR. MCLEOD: And I'm going to
                                                                 Q Have you -- are you going to follow counsel's
 8
                                                              8
                                                                    instruction and not answer the question with
           assert the same form objection as to vague
 9
           and ambiguous.
                                                              9
                                                                    respect to conversations with Mr. Ottman after
10
    Q To the extent that you've been instructed by
                                                             10
                                                                    November 22, 2011?
11
                                                             11
       counsel not to answer the question, are you going
                                                                 A Yes.
12
                                                             12
       to follow counsel's instructions and not answer
                                                                 Q All right. Did you ever speak with Mr. Ottman by
13
                                                             13
       the question?
                                                                    telephone about the 2011 redistricting process?
14
   A Yes.
                                                             14
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection. Mr. Poland,
15
                                                             15
    Q I'd like to go back to the list of names that we
                                                                         perhaps just for purposes of expediting
16
       talked about before. You mentioned Mr. Ottman who
                                                             16
                                                                         things, can we segment things between
17
                                                             17
       had been present with you at Michael Best &
                                                                         conversations that he's had at the direction
18
                                                             18
       Friedrich at times, correct?
                                                                         of counsel from those that are not?
19
                                                             19
    A Correct.
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Sure. Yeah, I'll --
20
    Q All right. Did you have any conversations with
                                                             20
                                                                         well, why don't I do it by date. That will
21
                                                             21
       Mr. Ottman about the redistricting process?
                                                                         probably be the easiest way to do it.
22
                                                             22
                                                                 Q So before November 22, 2011, did you have any
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
23
                                                             23
                                                                    conversations with Mr. Ottman about the 2011
           the form, vague and ambiguous.
24
                                                             24
                    MR. KELLY: Also object to the
                                                                    redistricting process?
25
                                                             25
           extent that it calls for information related
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                          113
                                                                                       115
1
           to conversations occurring at the instruction
                                                              1
                                                                         the same form objection. It's vague and
 2
                                                              2
           of counsel for the defendants in this case.
                                                                         ambiguous. Please answer if you can.
 3
                                                              3
                                                                 A Yes.
           And to the extent that your answer would
                                                              4
           involve information obtained or directed by
                                                                 Q All right. Did any of those conversations occur
           counsel subsequent to November 22, I instruct
                                                              5
                                                                    by telephone?
 6
                                                              6
           you not to answer. If you can answer the
                                                                 A Yes.
 7
           question without relaying information on or
                                                              7
                                                                 Q Where were you when you had those phone calls with
 8
           after November 22, you may answer.
                                                                    Mr. Ottman?
    A Please restate the question.
 9
                                                              q
                                                                 A Outside of the Michael Best office.
10
                                                             10
                                                                 Q Where were you physically? Were you in Reinhart's
                     (Question read)
11
                                                             11
                                                                    offices?
12
                                                             12
    Q Okay. When did you have those conversations with
                                                                 A I can't recall.
13
                                                             13
       Mr. Ottman?
                                                                 Q Do you know where Mr. Ottman was when he was
14
                                                             14
    A I could not recall that.
                                                                    speaking with you?
15
    Q All right. What was the earliest that you had
                                                             15
                                                                 A Not necessarily, no.
16
                                                             16
       conversations with Mr. Ottman about the 2011
                                                                 Q Did you have any of those conversations by cell
17
                                                             17
       redistricting process?
                                                                    phone?
18
                                                             18
    A I don't recall specifically.
                                                                 A I can't -- I can't say that for sure.
19
    Q Did you have conversations with Mr. Ottman about
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Did you communicate with Mr. Ottman at all by text
20
                                                             20
       the redistricting process that occurred outside of
                                                                    messaging?
21
       Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
                                                             21
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection. Could we
22
                                                             22
                    MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                                         interpose the time frame?
23
                                                             23
           it calls for information protected by the
                                                                 Q Time frame before November 22, 2011. Did you
24
           attorney-client privilege and the work
                                                             24
                                                                    communicate with Mr. Ottman about redistricting by
25
           product doctrine. To the extent that that
                                                             25
                                                                    text messaging?
```

Case/iBitorxpetblebosippownopt #05t2pfilkd: HANB/R9CRage/20/2699

```
Q When you were present with Mr. Ottman at
 2
    Q Before November 22, 2011, did you communicate with
                                                              2
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich's offices, what did
 3
       Mr. Ottman about redistricting through instant
                                                              3
                                                                    you -- what did you discuss with him about, about
                                                              4
                                                                    the specific redistricting plans that were being
       messaging?
 5
                                                              5
   A I don't -- I'm not sure what instant messaging is.
                                                                    drawn?
    Q Do you have a Yahoo! account?
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
 7
   Δ No
                                                              7
                                                                        the objection that it constitutes legislative
                                                              8
 8
    Q Do you have a Google account?
                                                                        privilege. It also may be subject to the
    A No.
                                                              9
                                                                        attorney-client, attorney work product
10
    Q All right. Do you have a Facebook account?
                                                             10
                                                                        privilege. As to the latter,
11
                                                             11
    A Yes.
                                                                        attorney-client, attorney work product, I
12
                                                             12
    Q All right. Have you ever used the instant
                                                                        would instruct you not to answer as it
13
                                                             13
       messaging feature on Facebook for the purpose of
                                                                        relates to the legislative privilege. In
14
                                                             14
       redistricting?
                                                                        light of the Court's order, if you can
15
                                                             15
   A No.
                                                                        answer, please do so.
16
                                                             16
    Q Did you ever meet with Mr. Ottman to discuss
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
17
                                                             17
       redistricting in the state capitol building?
                                                                    to answer the question?
                    MR. KELLY: Prior to November 22?
18
                                                             18
                                                                A Yes.
19
                                                             19
    Q Prior to November 22.
                                                                 Q I'd like to ask you -- Mr. Foltz is another person
20
    A Yes.
                                                             20
                                                                    that you had mentioned that was present with you
21
                                                             21
                                                                    at Michael Best & Friedrich, correct?
    Q When did you meet with Mr. Ottman in the state
                                                             22 A Yes.
22
       capitol building?
23
   A I cannot recall the specific date.
                                                             23
                                                                 Q All right. And -- actually, strike that question.
                                                             24
    Q Do you recall what month it was?
                                                                        One cleanup point on discussions with
                                                             25
    A It was the month -- it was the month when the
                                                                    Mr. Ottman. Have you spoken with Mr. Ottman about
                          117
                                                                                       119
1
       assembly and senate actually were taking up that
                                                             1
                                                                    the legislative redistricting process after
 2
       matter.
                                                              2
                                                                    November 22, 2011?
 3
                                                              3
   Q Actually voting on it?
                                                                A Yes.
                                                              4
                                                                 Q All right. And what have you discussed with
    Q Okay. There was testimony given in support of the
                                                              5
                                                                    Mr. Ottman after November 22, 2011?
 6
                                                              6
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
       acts. Do you recall that?
 7
   A Yes.
                                                              7
                                                                        information protected by the attorney-client
 8
    Q All right. And that was in the month of July; do
                                                              8
                                                                        privilege and work product doctrine. I
 q
       you remember that?
                                                              q
                                                                        instruct the witness not to answer.
10
                                                             10
   A Yes.
                                                                 Q And are you going to follow counsel's
11
    Q And were you present for that testimony when it
                                                             11
                                                                    instructions?
12
                                                             12
       was given?
                                                                A Yes.
13
                                                             13
   A No.
                                                                 Q All right. Mr. Foltz, you did meet with Mr. Foltz
14
                                                             14
   Q All right. Were you -- did you meet with
                                                                    at Michael Best & Friedrich's offices to work on
15
                                                             15
       Mr. Ottman on or around the time that the
                                                                    the redistricting plans, correct?
16
                                                             16
       testimony was given?
                                                                A Yes.
17
                                                             17 Q All right. Let's talk first about since
   A Not that I recall.
18
                                                             18
    Q When you spoke with Mr. Ottman by telephone, what
                                                                    November 22. Have you had conversations with
19
       did you discuss about the redistricting?
                                                             19
                                                                    Mr. Foltz, Mr. Foltz since November 22, 2011
20
                                                             20
    A Those type of specifics I would have no
                                                                    relating to redistricting?
21
       recollection of.
                                                             21
                                                                 A Yes.
22
                                                             22
    Q Do you recall how many times you spoke with
                                                                 Q And what are the nature of those conversations?
23
                                                             23
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
       Mr. Ottman by phone about redistricting? This is
24
       again before November 22.
                                                             24
                                                                        information protected by the attorney-client
25
                                                             25
   A No.
                                                                        privilege and the work product doctrine. I
                                                                                       120
```

Castibitotaped between the cost of the cos

instruct the witness not to answer. instructions not to answer the question? 2 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ And are you going to follow counsel's instruction 2 A Yes. 3 not to answer the question? Q All right. Did you ever discuss redistricting 4 with any democratic member of the legislature? 5 5 Q All right. So for Mr. Foltz, the rest of my Q And who did you discuss -- which democrats did you questions are -- will range from February 15, 2011 7 7 up until before November 22, okay? discuss redistricting with? 8 A Okay. 8 A In 2002 after the maps were unveiled in federal Q Did you know Mr. Foltz before you met with him at court I had an interchange with Representative 10 10 Michael Best for the purpose of the 2011 Barbara Gronemus. 11 redistricting? 11 Q I'm sorry. Can you spell that? 12 A Yes. A Barbara Gronemus. Q All right. How did you know Mr. Foltz beforehand? 13 Q Barbara is the easy one, right? 14 14 A He was and is a staff member in the Office of A Yeah. G-r-o-n -- I couldn't -- I couldn't -- you 15 15 State Assembly. couldn't count on my spelling. 16 16 Q Okay. And you knew him through that relationship? Q Okay. That was around the 2002 time frame? 17 17 A Yes. A Yes. 18 Q Did you -- did you know him in any way outside of 18 Q Okay. Have you discussed the 2011 redistricting 19 19 that relationship? process with any member of the democratic party 20 20 serving in the Wisconsin State Legislature? 21 Q Okay. Do you know anybody else in Mr. Foltz's 21 A Yes. 22 22 Q And who have you spoken with? family? 23 A Not that I know of. 23 A Senator Robert Wirch. Q Is Mr. Foltz from Minocqua? 24 Q When did you speak with Mr. Wirch? 25 A No. 25 A I can't give you the exact date. 121 123 Q Can you recall approximately what month? 1 Q All right. There's a Foltz family in Minocqua, 1 2 correct? 2 A August. 3 3 A Yes. Q Do you know if it was before or after Acts 43 and Q And they had been -- the Foltz family in Minocqua 44 were passed? had been donors to your campaign when you were A My recollection is it was after. 6 6 Q Any other democratic members of the legislature serving in the assembly, correct? 7 A Yes. 7 you can recall discussing the 2011 redistricting Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. Foltz is with? related to the Foltz family from Minocqua? q A No. 10 10 Q Have you ever told anyone that you were not A I do not know that. 11 Q When you and Mr. Foltz were together at 11 working on the 2011 redistricting process? 12 Michael Best & Friedrich, what did you discuss 12 A I don't recall that, no. 13 13 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$ What was your goal in developing the map, the map generally with respect to redistricting? 14 14 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert that became Act 43? 15 the same objection I did before, which is to 15 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. 16 the extent it calls for information subject 16 A Can you restate the question? 17 to the attorney-client or attorney work 17 Q Sure. 18 18 product privileges, I instruct the witness (Question read) 19 not to answer. To the extent it falls within 19 A I was retained -- Reinhart was retained by 20 20 the scope of the legislative privilege, Michael Best & Friedrich to give them assistance 21 21 recognizing the Court's order, you may as they gave counsel to the legislature and 22 22 answer. development of the apportionment plans following 23 23 Q Can you answer the question? the 2010 census. My goal was to, as best I could, A No. 24 provide that assistance to the legal counsel so 25 25 Q Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's that in the end they were successful in their

Case/1Bit 5 tx Pet Bit Pros Promort #05t2 PHI W. : MAND RICK ap2/28/2699

```
1
       advice that they would then give to the
                                                                     recall elections with anyone after November 22,
 2
                                                              2
       legislature.
                                                                     2011?
 3
    Q Was it not the goal to increase the republican
                                                              3
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection. The
 4
                                                              4
       membership in the legislature?
                                                                         question calls for information potentially
 5
                                                              5
   A That is not my goal.
                                                                         covered by the attorney-client privilege and
 6
    Q What about Act 44; was it not the goal to increase
                                                              6
                                                                         the work product doctrine. To the extent the
 7
                                                              7
       republican membership through Act 44?
                                                                         response would involve identifying
 8
                                                              8
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
                                                                         conversations you had with counsel for the
 9
    A I did not participate in Act 44.
                                                              9
                                                                         defendants or at the instruction of counsel,
10
                                                             10
    Q Okay. You had nothing at all to do with Act 44?
                                                                         then I instruct you not to answer. If there
11
                                                             11
    A That is correct.
                                                                         were other conversations outside of those
12
                                                             12
    Q When you were at -- when you were at
                                                                         parameters, then you may answer.
                                                             13
13
       Michael Best & Friedrich working there on the
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions and
14
                                                             14
       redistricting, did you give any kind of input or
                                                                     not answer the question?
15
                                                             15
       commentary on maps that eventually became Act 44?
                                                                 A Yes.
16
                                                             16
    A Not that I recall.
                                                                 Q Were you involved in drafting the provision that
17
                                                             17
    Q Have you ever discussed the question of district
                                                                     established the effective date for Act 43?
18
       boundaries for senate recall elections?
                                                             18
                                                                 A No.
19
                                                             19
                    MR. KELLY: Objection. Do you want
                                                                 Q Before November 22, 2011, did you ever have any
20
            to give a time frame?
                                                             20
                                                                     conversations with anyone about the effective date
21
    Q At any time.
                                                             21
                                                                     for Act 43?
22
                                                             22
                                                                 A Yes.
                    MR. KELLY: I'll object to the
23
            extent it calls for information protected by
                                                             23
                                                                 Q Okay. And who did you speak with about that
24
                                                             24
            the attorney-client privilege and work
                                                                     topic?
25
                                                             25
            product doctrine. To the extent that you
                                                                A Legal counsel.
                          125
                                                                                        127
 1
            can -- that you have information responsive
                                                              1
                                                                 Q And who is that specifically?
 2
            to the question relating to material prior to
                                                                 A My recollection is that it was Patrick Hodan.
 3
                                                              3
                                                                 Q And what were the nature of your -- what was the
            November 22, 2011, you may answer. To the
 4
            extent that your response would involve
                                                                    nature of your conversation with Mr. Hodan on that
            information subsequent -- or on or after
                                                              5
                                                                     topic?
 6
                                                              6
           November 22, 2011, I instruct you not to
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection -- or just a
 7
            answer.
                                                              7
                                                                         clarification. Was that a conversation
 8
    A Please restate the question.
                                                                         before or after November 22?
 q
    Q Sure. Actually, let me withdraw that, and let's
                                                              q
                                                                                  THE WITNESS: I believe it was
                                                             10
10
       limit it in time.
                                                                         before.
11
            So let's talk about before November 22, 2011.
                                                             11
                                                                 Q And what was the nature of your conversation with
12
                                                             12
       Did you ever discuss the question of district
                                                                     Mr. Hodan on that subject?
13
                                                             13
       boundaries for senate recall elections with
                                                                 A He asked me the exact question you asked a few
14
                                                             14
       anyone?
                                                                     moments ago regarding did I have any knowledge of
                                                             15
15
    A Yes.
                                                                     the effective date of Act 43.
16
    Q Okay. Who did you discuss that topic with?
                                                             16
                                                                 Q And how did you respond to Mr. Hodan when he asked
17
                                                             17
                                                                     you that question?
    A I know I discussed it with my wife.
18
                                                             18
    Q Okay. Anyone other than your wife?
                                                                 A No.
19
    A Not that, not that I can recall specifically.
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Have you had any conversations since November 22,
20
                                                             20
    Q Do you recall generally any conversations that you
                                                                     2011 with anyone about the effective date for
21
                                                             21
                                                                     Act 43?
       had with anyone on that topic?
                                                             22
22
    A No, I can't.
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                             23
23
    Q All right. Now, what about after November 22,
                                                                         the question calls for information covered by
       2011; did you have -- did you ever discuss the
                                                             24
                                                                         the attorney-client privilege or the work
25
                                                             25
       question of the district boundaries for the senate
                                                                         product doctrine. And to that extent I
```

Case/18:25-FXPP24-B1=120S1PPONNOPT #052PHFIND: 472/08/16/19 120/26/26/26/20

```
1
            instruct the witness not to answer. However,
                                                                     since that time?
 2
                                                              2
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
            you may answer with respect to any
 3
            conversations you had that were either not
                                                              3
                                                                         the question calls for information protected
 4
            with counsel for the defendants or not at
                                                              4
                                                                         by the attorney-client privilege or the work
 5
                                                              5
            counsel's direction.
                                                                         product doctrine. I instruct the witness not
 6
    Q Did you have any conversations, non-privileged
                                                                         to answer to the extent that it does.
 7
                                                              7
       conversations since November 22?
                                                                         However, to the extent that you had
                                                              8
 8
    A Not that I can recall.
                                                                         conversations that were not with counsel for
    Q And with respect to any conversations that counsel
                                                              9
                                                                         the defendants or at the instruction of
10
                                                             10
       has objected to, are you going to follow counsel's
                                                                         counsel, then you may answer.
11
                                                             11
       instructions not to answer the question?
                                                                 A None that I recall.
12
    A Yes.
                                                             12
                                                                 Q And then as far as any privileged conversations or
                                                             13
13
    Q Do you have an opinion on the appropriate
                                                                    any conversations you might have had that counsel
                                                             14
14
       boundaries for the pending or potential recall
                                                                    has asserted a privilege over, are you going to
15
                                                             15
       elections?
                                                                    follow counsel's instructions and not answer the
16
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
                                                             16
                                                                    question?
17
                                                             17
           may answer if you can.
                                                                 A Yes.
18
    A Yes.
                                                             18
                                                                 Q You mentioned before when we were talking about
19
                                                             19
                                                                    people who were present when you were working at
    Q Okay. And what is that opinion?
20
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form, but
                                                             20
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich a number of lawyers,
21
                                                             21
           you may answer if you can.
                                                                    correct?
22
                                                             22
                                                                 A Yes.
    A Please restate the underlying question.
23
                    MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read
                                                             23
                                                                 Q All right. And so you mentioned Mr. McLeod was
24
                                                             24
           back the question?
                                                                    present, correct?
25
                                                             25
                     (Question read)
                                                                 A Occasionally.
                          129
                                                                                        131
    A I answered that yes.
                                                              1
                                                                 Q Occasionally. And Mr. Taffora was present
 1
    Q Yes.
                                                              2
 2
                                                                    occasionally?
 3
   A And then --
                                                              3
                                                                 A Occasionally.
    Q And then I asked What are those opinions?
                                                                 Q All right. Which law firm does Mr. Taffora work
    A What are those opinions? My opinion is I'm just
                                                                     for?
 6
                                                              6
       greatly confused how the plaintiffs can charge
                                                                 A My understanding is that he works at
 7
       that the map is unconstitutional and then how any
                                                              7
                                                                    Michael Best & Friedrich.
 8
       elections can be held under that map.
                                                                 Q Okay. And then you mentioned Mr. Troupis,
 q
    Q Okay. And what's the basis for that opinion?
                                                              q
                                                                    correct?
10
                                                             10
    A Purely personal.
                                                                 A Yes.
11
    Q Have you discussed that issue with anyone?
                                                             11
                                                                 Q And Mr. Troupis formerly was at Michael Best &
12
                                                             12
                    MR. KELLY: Objection. Would you
                                                                    Friedrich, correct?
13
                                                             13
                                                                 A Yes.
            care to narrow the scope of the question?
14
    Q Is that an opinion that you held before
                                                             14
                                                                 Q And he now has his own law firm, correct?
15
       November 22, 2011?
                                                             15
                                                                 A That's my understanding.
                                                             16
16
    A Yes.
                                                                 Q Okay. You mentioned Sarah Troupis as well. Is
17
                                                             17
    Q Okay. Did you discuss that opinion that you hold
                                                                     Sarah Troupis a lawyer?
18
                                                             18
       with anyone before that time?
                                                                 A My understanding is she is an attorney, yes.
19
                                                                 Q Do you know where she -- whether she works for a
    A Yes.
                                                             19
20
                                                             20
    Q All right. Who did you discuss that with?
                                                                    law firm?
21
    A My wife.
                                                             21
                                                                 A I don't know for certain.
22
                                                             22 Q And you mentioned Robin Vos, correct?
    Q Okay. Anyone else?
23
    A Not that I, not that I recall specifically.
                                                             23
    Q Okay. And then after November 22, 2011, have you
                                                             24
                                                                 Q Does Robin Vos hold a law degree; do you know?
25
                                                             25 A Not to my knowledge.
       discussed that opinion that you hold with anyone
```

Castibitotapet between the cost of the cos

```
Q What about Rich Zipperer?
                                                                       counsel's instruction and not answer the question.
 2
                                                                2
    A My understanding is that, yes, he possesses a law
                                                                       Okav.
 3
       degree.
                                                                3
                                                                           What about -- what about Mr. Vos's role?
                                                                4
 4
    Q Okay. But not currently practicing law?
                                                                       What role did Mr. Vos have in the redistricting
                                                                5
    A I would have no knowledge --
                                                                       process when you worked together at Michael Best &
    Q Okay.
                                                                       Friedrich?
 7
    A -- on that.
                                                                7
                                                                   A Mr. Vos is a legislature who was assisting the
    Q Other than the people that I've just mentioned,
                                                                8
                                                                       speaker in the legislative process.
       Mr. McLeod, Mr. Taffora, Mr. Troupis,
                                                                    Q How many times was Mr. Vos present with you at
10
                                                               10
       Sarah Troupis, depending on whether you want to
                                                                       Michael Best when you were working on the
11
                                                               11
       include Zipperer or not because he has a law
                                                                       redistricting process?
12
                                                               12 A I can't recall that exact number.
       degree, are there any other lawyers or people
13
                                                               13
       holding law degrees that were present at
                                                                   Q Can you give me a ballpark, dozen times, couple
14
                                                               14
       Michael Best & Friedrich when you were there
                                                                       dozen times?
15
       working on redistricting?
                                                               15
                                                                  A Ballpark would be two, three.
16
                                                               16
    A I do not recall any additional.
                                                                    Q Do you remember around what time frame that was?
17
                                                               17
    Q What was the role that Mr. Troupis was playing in
                                                                    A Not exactly.
18
                                                               18
                                                                    {f Q} Can you recall whether it was, whether it was
       redistricting when you were with him at
19
                                                               19
       Michael Best & Friedrich?
                                                                       still winter or whether it was into the summer?
20
                     MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert an
                                                               20
                                                                   A It roughly would have been June.
21
                                                               21
            objection. The -- as a matter of record,
                                                                   Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Vos about
22
                                                               22
            Attorney Troupis is retained as counsel for
                                                                       the specific redistricting plans that were being
23
                                                               23
            the legislature on matters related to
                                                                       proposed?
24
                                                               24
            redistricting. To the extent that the answer
                                                                   A Yes.
25
                                                               25
                                                                   Q Okay. And what's the nature of those discussions
            calls for matters within the scope of the
                           133
                                                                                           135
 1
                                                                1
            attorney-client privilege or the attorney
                                                                       you had with Mr. Vos?
 2
                                                                2
            work product, I would instruct the witness
                                                                    A Those type of specifics I couldn't possibly
 3
            not to answer. I'll leave it at that.
                                                                3
                                                                       recount or recall.
 4
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and
                                                                    Q Did you ever talk about any specific districts
       not answer the question?
                                                                       with Mr. Vos?
 6
                                                                6
    A Yes.
                                                                   A No.
 7
    Q Same question with respect to Mr. McLeod. Did
                                                                7
                                                                   Q Did you ever look at any proposed redistricting
 8
       Mr. McLeod have a specific role in the
                                                                       maps together and talk about specific boundaries
 q
       redistricting work that you were doing at
                                                                q
                                                                       of districts?
10
                                                               10
       Michael Best & Friedrich?
                                                                   A Regionally.
11
                     MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                               11
                                                                    Q And were those the same regions that you had
12
                                                               12
            the same objection.
                                                                       conversations with both Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald
13
                                                               13
    Q Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's
                                                                       about?
14
                                                               14
                                                                   A Yes.
       instruction not to answer the question?
                                                               15
15
    A Yes.
                                                                    Q Do the proposed regional maps still exist; do you
16
    Q Okay. Same question with respect to Mr. Taffora.
                                                               16
                                                                       know?
17
                                                               17
                     MR MCLEOD: I assert the same
                                                                   A I do not know.
18
                                                               18
            objection.
                                                                    Q The regional maps you were looking at with
19
                                                               19
    Q Okay. And you're going to follow counsel's
                                                                       Mr. Vos, were those also on paper?
20
                                                               20
       instruction and not answer the question?
                                                                   A Yes.
21
    A Yes.
                                                               21
                                                                    {f Q} Did you ever have any kind of an image, a scan, or
22
                                                               22
    Q What about Sarah Troupis?
                                                                       anything that reflected those regional maps that
23
                                                               23
                                                                       was sent to you outside of Michael Best's offices?
                     MR. MCLEOD: Same objection.
24
    A Yes.
                                                               24
                                                                   A No.
25
                                                               {\bf 25} \quad {\bf Q} \quad {\hbox{\rm Did}} \ \hbox{\rm you} \ \hbox{\rm ever} \ \hbox{\rm take} \ \hbox{\rm a CD} \ \hbox{\rm of those maps out of}
    Q And you're going to -- you're going to follow
```

Case/IBEDTAPE4BEPOSIPPONNOPT#OBEPIFIND: 4ANBERICRAP2/25/2699

```
Michael Best's offices with you?
 1
                                                                     Mr. Ottman by e-mail?
 2 A No.
                                                              2
                                                                 A Specifically, no.
 3
    Q Did you communicate at all with Mr. Ottman or
                                                                  Q Do you retain copies of the e-mail correspondence
 4
       Mr. Foltz, Mr. Vos, or Jeff or Scott Fitzgerald by
                                                                     between you and Mr. Ottman about redistricting?
 5
                                                              5
       e-mail about the 2011 redistricting?
 6
                    MR. KELLY: Objection. Can we put
                                                              6
                                                                 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Ottman by
 7
                                                              7
           in a time frame?
                                                                     instant messaging or text messaging about
 8
    Q Sure. At any time.
                                                              8
                                                                     redistricting matters? And, again, this is before
 9
                    MR. KELLY: Then objection to the
                                                              9
                                                                     November 22, 2011.
10
                                                             10
                                                                 A Not that I recall.
            extent the question calls for information
11
                                                             11
            protected by the attorney-client privilege or
                                                                 Q Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz before
12
                                                             12
            the work product doctrine. And I instruct
                                                                     November 22, 2011 by e-mail?
13
                                                                 A Yes.
            the witness not to answer.
                                                             13
14
                                                             14
                However, to the extent that you can
                                                                 Q Including specifically with respect to
15
                                                             15
            answer the question with respect to
                                                                     redistricting matters.
16
                                                             16
           information prior to November 22, 2011, you
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q All right. Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz
            may answer if you can.
18
                                                             18
                                                                     both using your Reinhart e-mail account and your
    Q Are you going to take counsel's instructions and
19
       not answer the question with respect to any e-mail
                                                             19
                                                                     dot MSN account?
20
       after November 22, 2011?
                                                             20
                                                                 A To my recollection, yes.
21
                                                             21
                                                                  \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} All right. Did you retain any of those e-mail
    A Yes.
22
    Q How about before November 22, 2011; did you have
                                                             22
                                                                     communications?
23
       any e-mail communications with Mr. Ottman,
                                                             23 A No.
24
                                                             24
       Mr. Foltz, Scott Fitzgerald, Jeff Fitzgerald, or
                                                                 Q Did anyone ever tell you or instruct you not to
25
                                                             25
       Robin Vos?
                                                                     retain e-mail communications regarding
                           137
                                                                                        139
   A Yes.
                                                              1
                                                                     redistricting?
 1
 2
                                                              2 A NO.
    Q Okay. Let's break it down and talk about
 3
                                                              3 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Foltz about
       Mr. Ottman. So for Mr. Ottman before November 22,
       2011, did you have any communications with him by
                                                              4
                                                                     redistricting by text messaging or instant
       e-mail about redistricting?
                                                                     messaging?
 6
   A Yes.
                                                              6
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection. Prior to
 7
    Q How often did you e-mail Mr. Ottman about
                                                                         November 22?
       redistricting?
                                                                  Q Prior to November 22.
 9
    A I cannot recall that specifically.
                                                              q
                                                                 A Yes.
10
                                                             10
    Q And did you send those e-mails through your
                                                                 Q How did you communicate with Mr. Foltz -- strike
11
       Reinhart e-mail address?
                                                             11
                                                                     that question.
12
                                                             12
    A Sometimes.
                                                                         Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz by text
13
                                                             13
    Q And when you didn't send Mr. Ottman e-mails
                                                                     messaging?
14
                                                             14
                                                                 A Yes.
       through your Reinhart e-mail address, what e-mail
15
       address did you use?
                                                             15
                                                                 Q How often did you text Mr. Foltz about
                                                             16
16
    A My personal.
                                                                     redistricting matters?
17
                                                             17
    Q Okay. And I'm not going to ask you for the e-mail
                                                                 A Oh, I cannot recall that specifically.
18
                                                             18
       address itself, but is it a Gmail? Is it a Yahoo!
                                                                  Q You were using a cell phone when you were texting;
19
       mail, Hotmail? Who is the service provider?
                                                             19
                                                                     is that correct?
                                                             20 A Yes.
20
    A It's a dot MSN.
21
                                                             21
    Q MSN. Okay. And so you did communicate with
                                                                 Q And was that a cell phone that was issued to you
22
                                                             22
       Mr. Ottman about redistricting through your
                                                                     by the Reinhart law firm?
23
       dot MSN e-mail address, correct?
                                                             23 A Yes.
    A Yes.
                                                             24
                                                                 Q Is that a cell phone that you still have?
25
                                                             25 A Yes.
    Q Do you recall how many times you communicated with
```

Page 137 to 140 of 255

Castibitotapet between the control of the control o

Q Did you retain any of the texts that you sent to brought up before the legislature. 2 2 Mr. Foltz? Q That's when they would have occurred? A I don't -- I don't believe so. 3 A Vac Q Did Mr. Foltz text back to you as well? 4 Q Okay. Did you speak with Jeff and A Thelieve so. Scott Fitzgerald individually, or were they Q Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz by instant together? 7 7 A Together. messaging? 8 A Not that I can recall. 8 Q How many times did you meet with them outside of Q Did you communicate with Jeff Fitzgerald Michael Best & Friedrich's offices to talk about 10 10 personally as opposed to through one of the redistricting? 11 members of his staff? Did you communicate with 11 A Once. 12 12 Q Was it before or after the time that the bills Jeff Fitzgerald personally by e-mail about 13 13 redistricting matters? were passed into law? 14 14 MR. KELLY: Objection. Time frame? A During. 15 Q Before November 22, 2011. 15 Q It was during, during the session where they were 16 16 actually passed. So you were present when the 17 Q Did you communicate with Jeff Fitzgerald by e-mail 17 legislature was voting on those bills? 18 or text messaging before November 22, 2011 about 18 A Yes. 19 19 redistricting matters? Q And what did you say to them about, about the 20 20 redistricting process? 21 Q Did you speak by telephone with Jeff Fitzgerald 21 A Specifically, I don't recall. 22 before November 22, 2011 about redistricting 22 Q Do you recall generally what was said, what you 23 23 matters? 24 24 A No. A Generally I said I'm here if you have any 25 25 Q I'm going ask the same questions with respect to technical questions that come up that I can help 141 143 1 Scott Fitzgerald. Before November 22, 2011, did with. 1 2 you communicate with Scott Fitzgerald by telephone 2 Q And did they say anything to you generally? 3 3 A No. about redistricting matters? 4 A No. Q Did you -- did you communicate at all with 5 Q Did you communicate -- in that same time frame, Robin Vos outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's 6 did you communicate with Scott Fitzgerald by text 6 offices to discuss redistricting? 7 messaging or instant messaging about redistricting 7 MR. KELLY: Objection. Prior to 8 matters? November 22? q A No. 9 Q Prior to November 22. 10 10 Q Did you have any conversations with either A Not that I recall. 11 Jeff Fitzgerald or Scott Fitzgerald before 11 Q All right. Did you speak with Robin Vos at all by 12 12 November 22, 2011 about redistricting matters in telephone about redistricting before November 22, 13 13 2011? person? 14 14 A Yes. A Not that I recall. 15 Q All right. Did any of those conversations occur 15 Q What about -- strike that. 16 16 outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices? Did you communicate with Robin Vos about 17 17 A vec redistricting matters for November 22 by text 18 18 Q What was the nature of those conversations? messaging or instant messaging? 19 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of 19 A Not that I recall. 20 the question. To the extent you can answer, 20 Q Before November 22, 2011, did you communicate with 21 21 please do so. Rich Zipperer about redistricting matters by 22 22 A I can't -- I can't recall that specifically. telephone, e-mail, instant messaging, or text 23 23 Q Okay. Generally can you recall what you messaging? 24 discussed? 24 A Yes. 25 ${f 25}$ ${f Q}$ All right. What method did you communicate with A They were the dates at which the bills were being

144

Castibitotapet between #03ephilw: Manbitor Rago/20/2699

- Rich Zipperer by?
- 2 A E-mail.
- 3 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Okay. When did you and Rich Zipperer communicate
- by e-mail about redistricting matters?
- 5 A I can't recall that specific.
- Q Do you recall how often you e-mailed to discuss
- 7 redistricting matters?
- 8 A Not specifically, no.
- Q Would that again have been from both your dot MSN
- 10 account and your Reinhart account?
- 11 A I don't recall.
- Q Do you recall generally the nature of the
- 13 discussions or the e-mail correspondence?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what was that?
- 16 A He was conducting a hearing on Act 43 and had a
- 17 couple of questions.
- 18 Q What were the questions that he had?
- 19 A I don't recall specifically.
- 20 Q Did you have answers for him at that time?
- 21 A My recollection is that his questions were of the
- 22 type of things that legal counsel would have to
- 23 answer.
- 24 Q Okay. Did you --
- 25 A so I -- no, I did not have answers for him.
 - 145
- Q Okay. So you did not send Mr. Vos answers to --1
- 2 I'm sorry, Mr. Zipperer answers to the questions
- 3 that he posed to you by e-mail?
- A I responded.
- Q Okay. But you didn't have answers?
- 6 A I did not provide answers.
- 7 Q All right. Did you forward Mr. Zipperer's e-mail
- to anyone else to answer his questions?
- q A I don't -- I don't recall.
- 10 Q What about Mr. Gaddie; did you have
- 11 conversations -- this is before November 22. Did
- 12 you have conversations with Mr. Gaddie outside of
- 13 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices with respect to
- 14 redistricting?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. Did you meet with Mr. Gaddie in person to
- 17 talk about redistricting before November 22?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q All right. And did any of those meetings occur
- 20 outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q So every time that you met with Mr. Gaddie before
- 23 November 22 for the purpose of the redistricting
 - plan it was always at Michael Best's offices; is
- 25 that correct?

- A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you speak with Mr. Gaddie by telephone at all
- 3 before November 22 about redistricting matters?
- 4 A Yes.
- Q All right. How often did you speak with
- 6 Mr. Gaddie by phone?
- 7 A I can't give you a specific number.
- Q Was it just a few times? Was it 15, 20, 30?
- Could you give me an estimate?
- 10 A Just a few.
- 11 Q A few times. All right. How long were the
- 12 conversations that you had with -- I should call
- 13 him Professor Gaddie?
- A I can't remember specifically, but they were 14
- 15 short.
- 16 Q Generally what did you discuss with
- 17 Professor Gaddie?
- 18 A When I was going to pick him up at the airport,
- 19 how long he would be staying, logistics.
- 20 Q How many times did he fly in from Oklahoma to
- 21 Madison to work on redistricting?
- 22 A I can't remember specifically.
- 23 Q Was it a handful of times, more than ten?
- 24 A Roughly less than a handful.
- 25 Q Okay. Can you ballpark it? Less than five?
 - 147
- A Less than five. 1
- Q When Professor Gaddie came into Madison to work on
- redistricting, how long did he stay?
- A I believe it varied.
- Q What was the shortest stay that he had?
- 6 A My recollection is a day and a half.
- Q How about what was the longest stay?
- A My recollection is three days.
- 9 Q When he was at Michael Best & Friedrich with you
- 10 working on redistricting, did Mr. Gaddie direct
- 11 the preparation of any maps?
- 12
- 13 Q Did he give input on any maps that anyone drew?
- 14
- 15 Q What was his role in the redistricting process?
- 16 A His role was to assist legal counsel in their
- 17 advice of the legislature on drawing
- 18 reapportionment plans and was reflective in
- 19 nature.
- 20 Q Okay. What did you see him physically doing when
- 21 he was present at Michael Best & Friedrich's
- 22 offices?
- 23 A He physically was engaging in numbers.
- 24 Q Okay. Was he sitting at a computer engaging in
- 25 numbers?

(608) 833-0392

Castibitotapet betosippon of totel file in an analysis of the control of the cont

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q So what was he doing engaging in numbers?
- 3 A More specifically, I can't recall because I --
- 4 that's -- I didn't fully -- never knew what he was
- 5 doing.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A so --
- 8 Q All right. You didn't participate in any
- 9 calculations with Professor Gaddie?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Did you observe him talking to anyone else who was
- 12 also present at Michael Best & Friedrich?
- 13 A Yes
- 14 Q Who was he speaking with?
- 15 A Legal counsel.
- 16 Q Mr. McLeod?
- 17 A Yes, I have a recollection of him speaking to
- 18 Mr. McLeod.
- 19 Q Mr. Troupis?
- 20 A I have a recollection of him speaking with
- 21 Mr. Troupis.
- 22 Q Sarah Troupis?
- 23 A I do not have a recollection of him speaking with
- 24 Sarah Troupis.
- 25 Q Ray Taffora?

149

- A I do not have a recollection of him just talking
- 2 with Ray Taffora.
- 3 Q Okay. Any other legal -- any counsel, legal
- 4 counsel other than Mr. McLeod or Mr. Troupis that
- 5 you saw Professor Gaddie speaking with?
- 6 A Not to my best recollection.
- 7 Q Did you see him, Professor Gaddie, speaking with
- 8 any non-lawyers?
- 9 A Yes.

1

- 10 Q Who was he speaking with who -- people who were
- 11 not lawyers?
- 12 A Tad.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A Adam.
- 15 Q So Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. Anyone else?
- 18 A Not that I can recall.
- 19 Q Do you know what Professor Gaddie was speaking
- 20 about with Mr. McLeod and Mr. Troupis?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. What were they speaking about?
- 23 A The Voting Rights Act.
- 24 Q Okay. What was the nature of those conversations?
- 25 A I do not know. I was not part of those.

- 1 Q Did you -- did you do any work in the
- 2 redistricting process with respect to the
- 3 Voting Rights Act?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What work did you do with respect to the
- 6 Voting Rights Act?
- 7 A I drew maps that included districts in
- 8 Milwaukee County.
- 9 Q Were those Assembly Districts 8 and 9?
- 10 A That includes Assembly Districts 8 and 9.
- 11 Q Okay. So you drew assembly districts in
- 12 Milwaukee County generally?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did anyone else participate in drawing the
- 15 assembly districts in Milwaukee County?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Who else participated in that process?
- 18 A Adam and Tad also drew.
- 19 Q They also drew assembly districts in
- 20 Milwaukee County?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. Did the three of you work together to draw
- 23 assembly districts in Milwaukee County, or were
- 24 you drawing them separately?
- 25 A We did not draw them together.

151

- 1 Q All right. When you drew assembly districts in
- 2 Milwaukee County, did you use autoBound software
- 3 to do that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So that was drawn on a computer as opposed to
- 6 being drawn on a piece of paper?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Did you ever physically draw districts in
- 9 Milwaukee County on a piece of paper?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q What about Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman; did they use
- 12 the autoBound software as well to draw assembly
- 13 districts in Milwaukee County?
- 14 A That's my understanding.
- 15 Q Did you ever see them doing that, going through
- 16 that process of drawing with autoBound?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And did you see the maps that they drew for the
- 19 assembly districts in Milwaukee County?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you give them any feedback on the maps that
- 22 they drew in Milwaukee County?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Were the assembly districts that you drew in
- 25 Milwaukee County different than the ones that

Castibitotaped between the cost of the cos

```
1
       Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman drew?
                                                                 Q Do you know, was there -- do you know who decided
 2 A Yes.
                                                              2
                                                                     selecting from the various options that were
 3
    Q All right. Did you compare between the two sets
                                                              3
                                                                    presented at Michael Best's offices which ones
       of assembly districts that were drawn?
                                                                     would be included in Act 43?
 5
   A Did we?
                                                                 A I believe they deferred to their legal counsel.
    Q Yes, you and Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Okay. Do you want to
 7
    A Yes.
                                                              7
                                                                         take a break now?
                                                              8
 8
    Q All right. And what was the nature of the
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: Sure.
       comparison that was being made?
                                                              9
                                                                                   (Lunch Recess)
10
                                                             10
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, we just had a lunch break. During
    A That was when that -- those were then presented to
11
                                                             11
       the leaders that we discussed earlier as that
                                                                     the lunch break did you talk to anybody about the
12
                                                             12
       region.
                                                                    redistricting process or about the redistricting
                                                             13
13
    Q Okay. So these are the options that were then
                                                                     litigation?
14
                                                             14
                                                                 A No.
       presented to, to -- I've got my list here
15
       somewhere -- that were presented to
                                                             15
                                                                 Q You didn't. Okay. I'm going to try to do
16
                                                             16
                                                                    something here to speed things up a little bit for
       Jeff Fitzgerald and Scott Fitzgerald and then
17
                                                             17
       Robin Vos: is that correct?
                                                                    at least some of us present. There are different
18
    A Yes.
                                                             18
                                                                    claims that pertain to Act 43 and 44 that are at
19
    Q And did Rich Zipperer have, have a say also in the
                                                             19
                                                                     issue in this lawsuit. Do you understand that?
20
       options that were presented?
                                                             20
21
    A I don't understand your question.
                                                             21
                                                                 Q There are some challenges to Act 43 and then to
                                                             22
22
    Q You talked about options that were presented,
                                                                    Act 44. Do you understand that?
23
                                                             23
       right, and they were presented to the legislative
24
                                                             24
       leaders who were there. And so I was wondering
                                                                 Q All right. And Act 44 is the congressional
25
                                                             25
       whether in terms of giving input into the options
                                                                    districts, correct?
                           153
 1
       that were presented whether, whether that was done
                                                              1
                                                                 A Yes.
 2
                                                              2
                                                                 \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} All right. Did you have anything to do with the
       for decisions by Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald and
 3
                                                              3
       Robin Vos or whether Rich Zipperer also had a say.
                                                                     drawing districts for the congressional districts
 4
                                                              4
    A He did not have a say in any of those options that
                                                                     for Act 44?
       were presented.
                                                                 A No.
 6
                                                              6
                                                                 Q I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 10, please,
    Q Were the Milwaukee -- the assembly districts that
 7
       you draw in Milwaukee County, that was one region
                                                              7
                                                                    which are the Rule 26 disclosures. And I'd like
 8
       then that was being considered; is that correct?
                                                              8
                                                                    you to turn to page 5, which is paragraph 10. We
 9
    A Yes.
                                                              9
                                                                    talked about this one a little bit before, but I
10
                                                             10
    Q Who made the final decision with respect to which
                                                                    want to go back specifically and ask you with
11
       assembly districts were, were used in Act 43?
                                                             11
                                                                    respect to Act 44. If you flip the page over to
12
                                                             12
                    MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
                                                                    page 6, you'll see that your name is identified in
13
                                                             13
                                                                    conjunction with the answer to paragraph 10. Do
            the question. I think it's vague and
14
                                                             14
            ambiguous. To the extent you can answer the
                                                                    you see that?
                                                             15
15
            question, please do so.
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                             16
16
    A The state legislature.
                                                                 Q All right. Do you see also then in paragraph 10
17
                                                             17
    Q Okay. Did -- was there a selection made by any of
                                                                    it refers to state and congressional districts as
18
                                                             18
       the legislatures who were present at
                                                                    memorialized in Acts 43 and 44; do you see that?
19
       Michael Best & Friedrich's offices about which
                                                             19
                                                                 A Yes.
20
                                                             20
       assembly districts in Milwaukee County would be
                                                                 Q All right. Did you have anything to do with the
21
                                                             21
       the ones included in Act 43?
                                                                    determining the appropriate constitutional
22
                                                             22
    A Can you please restate that question?
                                                                    boundaries for the congressional districts as
23
                                                             23
                    MR. POLAND: Can you read it?
                                                                    memorialized in Act 44?
24
                     (Question read)
                                                             24
                                                                 A No.
25
                                                             25
    A I don't believe so.
                                                                Q Then we had gone over the same paragraphs earlier
```

Case/iBit 5 tx Pet Bit bos Promort #050 Prind: HAND ROCK ago/20/2099

1 today as well, the following paragraphs, 11, 12, (Discussion off the record) 2 2 Q Mr. Handrick, looking at that document that's in 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 where your name also 3 appears. And did you do anything with respect to 3 front of you still -- and that's Exhibit No. 10 --4 the congressional districts or Act 44 with respect 4 I'd like to draw your attention to page 12 of that 5 5 to the items that are identified in those document. And you'll see a heading B there that 6 6 says Potentially relevant documents. Do you see paragraphs? You can take a minute to look through 7 7 if you want. that? 8 A That's 11 through --8 A Yes. Q 11 through 17. 9 Q And if you jump down to the very last one that's 10 10 A No. identified, paragraph No. 7, it states -- well, to 11 11 Q Do you know who -- do you know who did? Do you read the introductory part of that it says 12 12 know who was involved in drawing the redistricting "Defendants may use the following documents to 13 13 plans for the congressional districts? support their defenses in this matter." I'm going 14 A No. 14 to jump down to No. 7, which says "Expert reports 15 15 Q Did you have any conversations with anyone about and analysis, if any, in the possession of the 16 16 the drawing of the districts, the congressional Legislature, and/or its various bodies, that were 17 17 utilized to draft the 2011 legislative maps at districts for Act 44? 18 A Yes. 18 issue." Do you see that language? 19 19 Q Who did you speak with about that? 20 A Tad Ottman. 20 Q All right. Did you prepare any reports or 21 21 Q When did you speak with Mr. Ottman about the analysis that were provided to the legislature or 22 22 congressional districts? members of the legislature as part of your work in 23 A I can't remember the particular date. 23 redistricting? 24 24 Q Was it before or after the Act 44 was passed by A No. 25 25 Q Did you -- did you prepare any reports generally the legislature? 157 159 A That would have been before. 1 as part of your work on legislative redistricting 1 2 2 Q What was the nature of the conversations that you in 2011? 3 had with Mr. Ottman about congressional districts? 3 MR. MCLEOD: Can I have the 4 A The nature of it was I read in the question read back, please. Milwaukee Journal that the congressmen had agreed 5 (Question read) 6 6 A No. to a plan. 7 Q Okay. And you made that comment to Mr. Ottman? 7 Q Did you prepare any analysis as part of your work A I -- we had a discussion whether or not he saw the in the 2011 legislative redistricting? q same thing. 9 A Yes. 10 10 Q Do you -- who did you prepare that for -- or Q Okay. And what was Mr. Ottman's response to that? 11 A He -- he had. 11 strike that question. 12 12 Q Okay. Did he -- did Mr. Ottman indicate to you Who did you provide with that analysis? 13 13 that he participated in the drawing of the A That would have been provided to Tad and/or Adam. 14 14 Q And did you provide it to them when you were congressional districts? 15 15 A No. working together at Michael Best & Friedrich's 16 16 Q Did Mr. Ottman identify anyone who had offices? 17 17 A Yes. participated in determining what the boundaries 18 18 Q Did you ever provide them with any analysis should be for the congressional districts? 19 19 A No. outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices? 20 20 Q And other than Mr. Ottman, did you ever have any 21 21 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$ Was the analysis that you gave to them in written communications with anyone else about, about 22 22 form, electronic form? Was it verbal? drawing the congressional districts in Act 44? 23 A None that I recall. 23 A It would have been written. 24 MR. POLAND: Let's go off the 24 Q What was the nature of the written analysis that 25 25 record just a second. you provided to Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?

Castibitotapet betosippon of totel file in an analysis of the control of the cont

A I would have provided them something like this correct? 2 2 A Correct. (indicating). 3 Q Okay. So now this is part of Exhibit No. 2, Q Okay. And do you know what the Calumet after the correct? FDL equals zero signifies? 5 A I believe so, yes. A Calumet is a town within Fond du Lac County. Q I think it is part of Exhibit 2. And these were Q Okay. And why was that written down there? 7 7 A Because to the left there's a 1. your handwritten notes? 8 COURT REPORTER: Is that a yes or Q Okay. 9 no? A And that would be the 1 within FDL. 10 10 A Yes. Q Okay. So what does the zero then signify next to 11 11 Q I'm sorry. That's right. I should remember -i+2 12 12 A I believe that that zero was then crossed out. remind you to answer audibly. Okay. 13 13 What is -- what's represented in these Q Oh, I see. Okay. That's not like a computer zero 14 14 handwritten notes that are part of Exhibit 1? where you put a line through it. Okay. All A This is for a map, a listing of MCD, ASM splits. 15 right. 16 16 Q Okay. And what are ACD -- I'm sorry, MCD, ASM What about below that? Now, there's an 17 17 splits? Adams, and it says Adams equals zero, but it's got 18 A MCD stands for minor civil division. ASM is an 18 that same line through it. 19 19 abbreviation for assembly. A That would indicate within Adams County there were 20 Q Okay. What is minor civil division? What's the 20 no municipalities on this map that I could see 21 21 meaning of that term? that were split. 22 22 Q Okay. So if we've got -- for example, we've got A That would be a town, a village, or a city. 23 Q Okay. And so there are -- is this a listing then 23 Ashland, Bayfield, Burnett, Barron. Those all 24 24 of the counties in Wisconsin? have zeros next to them, so there were no 25 A Yes, this is a listing of the counties. 25 municipalities split in those counties, according 161 163 Q And let's -- so I understand this, up at the top to the map that this pertains to? 1 1 2 in the left-hand corner, it looks like -- is that 2 A That's my understanding, yes. 3 3 EDL at the very top? Q Up in the right-hand corner it appears there is 4 A It looks to me to be FDL. a -- it looks like a 58 scratched out and 57. Q That's an FDL. Okay. And what does the FDL stand What's the significance of that 57? 6 6 for? A I can't state for certain, but it appears to be A Fond du Lac. 7 the number of municipalities that are listed added Q Got it. Okay. And then there's an equal sign together. next to Fond -- the FDL, correct? 9 Q Okay. And that would be the municipalities that 10 10 A Yes. were split? Q It says equal zero; is that correct? 11 A Yes. 12 A Correct. Q Okay. So if we go to Brown County, we've got 13 13 Q And then does it say Calumet after that? four municipalities split, Green Bay, Howard, 14 14 A Yes. De Pere, and I can't quite make out the last one. 15 15 Q All right. So what does the FDL equal zero Can you read that one? 16 signify? 16 A I believe it says Ledgeview. 17 17 Q Ledgeview. Okay. So with this map that this A For all the other counties it appears that if 18 18 there was no municipality split, the zero meant pertains to, there was a split in those 19 there were no municipalities within that county 19 municipalities; they were split among different 20 20 assembly districts? 21 Q And that would be -- would that be both minor 21 A Correct. 22 ${f Q}$ All right. And then for Calumet County, there was civil divisions and -- or I'm sorry. Strike that 23 23 one split, and that is Menasha; is that right? question.

24

A Menasha city.

25 Q Menasha city. Okay. And for Dane County there

164

25

And so that means even municipalities

regardless of size that would be split; is that

Case/18:25 FXP24-812 POSIPPONTOP! #052PHIND: 472/NB/RPCR292/28/26/19

- 1 were nine splits, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And that was the -- those splits were among the
- 4 municipalities that are listed, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And so we could go through and follow those
- 7 through. In each place where there's a number on
- 8 the left, it signifies the number of
- 9 municipalities split, and then you've written down
- 10 which municipalities those were, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Is there any way that you can tell what particular
- 13 map this pertained to?
- 14 A No, there's not.
- 15 Q So this, this is a report or this is an analysis I
- 16 should say that, that you created, correct, and
- 17 that you gave to Mr. Ottman and to Mr. Foltz?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q What was the purpose of giving this particular
- 20 analysis to Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?
- 21 A I did not have the ability to run reports, so I
- 22 would do my own.
- 23 Q Okay. In handwritten form?
- 24 A Yes.

1

- 25 Q All right. And when you say you didn't have the
 - ability to run reports, is there a particular
- 2 software program or application that reports can
- 3 be run in with this kind of information?
- 4 A I believe autoBound can run a report.
- ${f 5}$ ${f Q}$ All right. Why did you not have the ability to
- 6 run reports in autoBound?
- 7 A I never learned how to run any reports off of the
- 8 software.
- 9 Q So you could operate the autoBound software for
- 10 the purpose of drawing districts, but you didn't
- 11 have the technical training to be able to print
- 12 the reports?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q If you wanted to have a report printed while you
- 15 were doing the redistricting work at
- Michael Best's offices, did you typically ask
- 17 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz to run a report for you?
- 18 A Yes.

21

- 19 Q All right. Did they then give the reports to you
- 20 in a printed format, or did you look at them on a
 - computer screen?
- 22 A Printed.
- ${\bf 23}\quad {\bf Q}$ Do you know approximately how many printed reports
- 24 you would have created as part of the
- 25 redistricting process?

- A No, I don't.
- $\boldsymbol{2} \quad \boldsymbol{Q}$ Any idea whether it's a handful, a dozen, a couple
- 3 dozen?
- 4 A I would roughly say a couple dozen.
- 5 Q Do you know what happened to those printed
- 6 reports, whether they were retained or whether
- 7 they were given to anyone?
- 8 A No, I don't.
- 9 Q But you didn't retain them yourself; you didn't
- 10 take them out of Michael Best's offices and retain
- 11 them?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q Do you know -- and I'm just asking for your own
- 14 personal knowledge. Do you know whether any of
- 15 those reports were given to any members of the
- 16 legislature?
- 17 A I do not know that.
- 18 Q What other types of reports did you ask Mr. Ottman
- 19 and Mr. Foltz to print for you?
- 20 A Primarily population report.
- 21 Q And what would a population report consist of?
- 22 The one with the red --
- 23 A A population report would show a district number,
- 24 the total number of persons, the target
- 25 population, the deviation percent, the difference,

167

1 and four -- the four categories on the right side.

Q Okay. And so that's -- that's -- the columns are

- 3 Black, Hispanic, Black 18 percent,
- .
- 4 Hispanic 18 percent; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.

2

- 6 Q So this -- the document that you're holding that
- 7 we marked as Exhibit 2A, I believe, that is an
- 8 example of a population report printed from
- 9 autoBound?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q All right. What is the -- what is the column --
- 12 or what do the columns Black and Hispanic signify
- 13 in Exhibit 2A?
- 14 A My understanding is the Black column represents
- 15 the total number of African-American residents in,
- 16 in that column.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I do not know precisely what the Hispanic category
- 19 to which that refers.
- 20 Q And what about the columns that follow
- 21 Black 18 percent, Hispanic 18 percent; what does
- 22 that signify?
- 23 A Black 18 percent, as I understand it, is the -- of
- the people that are over 18 years of age, what
- 25 percent are African-American.

Castibitotapet between #050 phillips in an all the craft of the craft

- 1 **Q** So that would be the voting age population?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And does that hold true as well with the
- 4 Hispanic 18 percent column?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What was the purpose of having that data on a
- 7 population report printed from autoBound?
- 8 A I believe that was the standard way in which those
- 9 reports were produced.
- ${\bf 10} \quad {\bf Q}$ It would format it automatically to print in that
- 11 way?
- 12 A That's my understanding, yes.
- 13 Q Did you have -- did you ask Mr. Foltz or
- 14 Mr. Ottman to print additional population reports
- 15 for you as you went through the redistricting
- 16 process between February and in the time that the
- 17 act was passed?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Did you ask that data other than categories of
- 20 data -- other than the data that's reflected in
- 21 Exhibit 2A be included in any of the reports that
- 22 were printed?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q So they all contained the same data -- well,
- 25 strike that question.

169

- 1 They all contained the same kind of data, the
- 2 same headings and the columns as with Exhibit 2A?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q What use did you make of the population reports
- 5 when they were printed for you?
- 6 A One use is at the bottom of page 2. It says
- 7 Unassigned. If there were blocks or people
- 8 unassigned, they would show up there.
- ${\bf 9} \quad {\bf Q}$ And so the unassigned, would that have been --
- 10 would that have been census blocks that were
- 11 unassigned to a district that would show up there?
- 12 A Could be.
- 13 Q What other -- what other kinds of categories would
- 14 show up as unassigned?
- 15 A It could be any level of geography that is
- 16 unassigned.
- 17 Q Okay. So it could be a ward boundary if wards
- 18 were being used; is that correct?
- 19 A Not a boundary.
- 20 Q I'm sorry. What would it be with respect to a
- 21 ward; what would it be?
- 22 A If there was any unit of geography that was not
- 23 assigned, the number of people in that unit of
- 24 geography would appear at the bottom.
- 25 Q I see. Okay. I got you. Would -- strike that

- question.
- 2 The level of geography that was being used
- 3 for the redistricting process was census blocks,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Depends.
- 6 Q All right. Were there other units of geography or
- 7 levels of geography being used for redistricting
- 8 in 2011 other than census blocks?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What other levels of geography were used?
- 11 A Counties, municipalities.
- 12 Q Anything else?
- 13 A No.
- ${f 14}$ ${f Q}$ Other than the population reports, were there any
- 15 other kinds of reports that you asked to be
- 16 created for you, printed for you as part of the
- 17 redistricting process?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What other kinds of reports did you ask to be
- 20 created or printed?
- 21 A I would ask for a splits report to be created.
- 22 Q And what is a splits report?
- 23 A It's a report that would indicate municipalities
- 24 and/or counties that are divided between one or
- 25 more legislative districts.

171

- ${f 1}$ ${f Q}$ And so that was the -- the handwritten example
- 2 that you showed us before from Exhibit 2, would
- 3 that be a handwritten example of what would then
- 4 be reflected in the splits report?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Did a splits report -- strike that question.
- Were splits reports generated from autoBound?
- 8 A As far as I know, yes.
- 9 Q Did splits reports reflect any information other
- 10 than what was reflected in the handwritten notes
- 11 included with Exhibit 2?
- 12 A I would -- I would have to compare that
- 13 appropriate splits report to the handwritten
- 14 equivalent.
- 15 Q Okay. Did you have splits reports actually
- 16 printed for you by Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q All right. So you -- that was done over at
- 19 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you did not retain any copies of those
- 22 reports, correct?
- 23 A That is correct.
- 24 Q What were splits reports used for?
- 25 A As I would prepare this handwritten splits report,

Case/iBit 5 tx Pet Bit bos Promort #050 Prind: HAND ROCK ago/26/2699

1 I would then use the splits report to compare it Q All right. With respect to Jim Troupis, did you 2 2 work directly with Mr. Troupis in developing the to the handwritten version to see if the computer 3 identified splits that I was not aware of. 3 maps that would become 2011 Wisconsin Act 43? 4 Q And once you had the information that there were 4 A No. 5 5 splits, what did you do with that information? Q What was Mr. Troupis's role at Michael Best & 6 A If there were discrepancies, I would seek to find 6 Friedrich during the redistricting process that 7 7 those splits that I was not aware of. you went through in the Michael Best & Friedrich 8 Q And then what would you do with respect to splits 8 offices? 9 that you found that you hadn't been aware of? 9 A Mr. Troupis's role was the same role as 10 10 A I would go and find those and try to identify the Michael Best & Friedrich. 11 11 Q Okay. And that was what? reason the computer was identifying them. 12 12 Q Identifying them as being split? Okay. You have A My understanding is they were retained by the 13 13 to answer audibly. legislatures to give advice as to the development 14 A Yes. 14 of redistricting plans following the 2010 census. 15 15 Q And then if you found that -- if you found the Q All right. And in terms of drawing -- in terms of 16 16 reason that the computer had identified them as drawing maps, was Mr. Troupis present when 17 17 legislative district maps were being drawn? being split, what did you do with that 18 18 A No. information? 19 19 A If the computer identified it as being split and I Q Did you ever observe Mr. Troupis working with 20 wasn't aware that it was split, that indicated 20 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz when Mr. Ottman and 21 that there was just a technical provision in the 21 Mr. Foltz were in the process of drawing 22 22 map that then -- that was not intended, so I would legislative district maps? 23 23 go in and find that municipality and find the 24 24 source of the split and correct it. Q Was Mr. Troupis ever on the telephone with 25 25 Q Meaning you'd try to keep the municipality from Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman that you observed or 173 175 1 1 heard when they were in the process of drawing being split? 2 2 A Yes. legislative district maps? 3 Q Did that happen very often, that the computer had 3 A Not that I can recall. 4 some kind of a technical issue that you had to go Q Did you ever -- did you ever observe Mr. Troupis 5 back and correct the split? giving any direction to Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman 6 A No. 6 with respect to the legislative districts? 7 Q Any idea how many times that happened during the 7 A No. 8 2011 redistricting process? Q Did you ever speak with Mr. Troupis by telephone 9 A Not precisely, no. q about the legislative redistricting process? 10 10 Q If you could set that back down, set that aside. A Yes. 11 Mr. Ottman, just before we broke for lunch we 11 Q When did you speak with Mr. Troupis about the 12 12 were talking about conversations that you had with legislative redistricting process by phone? 13 13 A I can't recall. some of the people who were not lawyers when you 14 14 were working over at Michael Best & Friedrich on Q It was after the time that you were retained in 15 the redistricting earlier this year. I want to go 15 February: is that correct? A Yes. 16 back, and I want to ask you some questions about 16 17 17 Q What was the nature of the conversation that you the lawyers that you were working with when you 18 18 were there. had with Mr. Troupis? 19 19 You mentioned before that Jim Troupis, MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to 20 20 Sarah Troupis, Eric McLeod, and Ray Taffora all the question on the grounds that it calls for 21 were over at Michael Best, not saying at the same 21 attorney-client, attorney work product 22 22 time, but at various times during your work there information, conversations between counsel 23 23 and experts retained. Non-testifying expert and that you were, you were present at the same time they were present, correct? 24 consultants are within the scope of the

25

A Yes.

25

privilege, and I'm going to direct the 176

Case i Bit of a Pet Bit position of to Sephilike! Pand Rick age/25/2699

witness not to answer that question. Q So no substantive discussions about the 2 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ Are you going to take counsel's advice and not 2 redistricting process? 3 answer the question? A That's correct. 4 A Yes. Q Did you ever send any e-mails to Sarah Troupis or 5 Q What about text messaging, e-mail, or instant receive any e-mails from her regarding the messaging with Mr. Troupis; did you ever engage in legislative redistricting process? 7 7 A No. any of those with respect to redistricting? 8 A Possibly e-mailing. 8 Q What about text messages or instant messaging; did Q Do you recall when you would have e-mailed 9 you ever engage in either of those forms of 10 10 Mr. Troupis about redistricting? communication with Sarah Troupis about 11 11 A Not specifically, no. redistricting? 12 12 A No. Q Would that have been from your Reinhart e-mail 13 13 account or your dot MSN account? Q Ray Taffora you also mentioned is a Michael Best & 14 14 A It would depend where I was at the time. Friedrich attorney who was present with you at 15 Q Do you have any specific recollection of sitting times while you were engaging in your legislative 16 16 in your office at Reinhart and e-mailing with redistricting work, correct? 17 Mr. Troupis? 17 A Yes. 18 A No. 18 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$ Was Mr. Taffora present with you every time that 19 19 Q Do you have a handheld device that e-mails, a you were at Michael Best doing that work? 20 BlackBerry or an iPhone? 20 21 21 A Yes. Q Can you give me any idea of the percentage of 22 Q Is it a BlackBerry? 22 times that Mr. Taffora was present? 23 A Yes. 23 A Under ten. 24 Q The e-mails that you exchanged with Mr. Troupis --Q What did you observe Mr. Taffora doing while he 25 25 strike that question. was, while he was present and you were working on 179 1 How often did you e-mail with Mr. Troupis 1 legislative redistricting at Michael Best? 2 2 about legislative redistricting? MR. MCLEOD: Can I hear the 3 3 question back again, please? A I don't recall specifically. Q How about Sarah Troupis; was she present at (Question read) Michael Best's offices when Mr. Foltz and A I did not observe him doing anything. 6 6 Q You simply noted that he was present; is that Mr. Ottman were engaging in work with maps for the 7 new legislative districts? 7 correct? A Not to my knowledge. 8 A Yes. Q What was Sarah Troupis's role, as far as you could 9 Q Did you ever observe Mr. Taffora speaking with 10 10 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz? tell, in the legislative redistricting process? 11 A I don't know. 11 A Yes. 12 12 Q When she was present at the Michael Best offices Q How often did you see Mr. Taffora speaking with 13 13 Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman? and you were there as well, what did you observe 14 14 A I can't recall that specifically. her doing? 15 A I did not observe her doing anything. 15 Q Were you able to hear the conversations that they 16 16 Q You just saw her; she was there? were having? 17 17 A Yes. A No. 18 18 Q Did you ever overhear anything Mr. Taffora was Q Did you overhear her having conversations with 19 anyone at Michael Best? 19 saying to Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about 20 20 redistricting? 21 ${f Q}$ Did you speak with her when you were both at 21 A Not that I can recall. 22 ${f Q}$ Did Mr. Taffora ever give you any instructions Michael Best & Friedrich at the same time during 23 the time that you were working on the 23 about legislative redistricting? redistricting? 24 A No. 25 25 Q Did you ever talk with Mr. Taffora about the A Aside from pleasantries, hello and good-bye, no.

Page 177 to 180 of 255

Castibitotapet between the control of the control o

```
Q Was it more or less often than Mr. Taffora was
 1
       legislative redistricting process?
 2
   A Yes.
                                                              2
                                                                     there?
 3
    Q What was the nature of the conversations that you
                                                                 A My recollection would be more.
 4
       had with Mr. Taffora about the legislative
                                                              4
                                                                 Q What did you observe Mr. McLeod doing while you
 5
                                                              5
       redistricting process?
                                                                     were working on legislative redistricting at
 6
                                                              6
                                                                     Michael Best?
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
 7
                                                              7 A You dropped your mike.
            the same objection as the one previously, and
 8
            that is that it constitutes attorney-client,
                                                                 Q Oh, thank you.
 9
            attorney work product because Mr. Handrick is
                                                                  A Mr. McLeod -- I observed Mr. McLeod giving me
10
                                                             10
            a retained non-testifying expert. And I'll
                                                                     guidance and direction as to the objective
11
                                                             11
            instruct him not to answer the question.
                                                                     redistricting criteria.
12
                                                             12
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and
                                                                  Q What was the nature of the guidance and direction
                                                             13
13
       not answer the question?
                                                                     that Mr. McLeod gave you regarding redistricting
14
    A Yes.
                                                             14
                                                                     criteria?
                                                             15
15
    Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Taffora by
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
16
                                                             16
       e-mail about legislative redistricting?
                                                                         the same objection. It constitutes
17
                                                             17
    A Yes.
                                                                         attorney-client, attorney work product
                                                             18
                                                                         communication. I'm going to instruct the
18
    Q How often did you communicate with Mr. Taffora by
19
                                                             19
       e-mail?
                                                                         witness not to answer.
20
    A I don't know.
                                                             20
                                                                  Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions and
21
    Q Was it a regular thing that you and Mr. Taffora
                                                             21
                                                                     not answer the question?
22
       e-mailed back and forth?
                                                             22
                                                                 A Yes.
23
   A No.
                                                             23
                                                                 Q Did you observe Mr. McLeod speaking with
24
                                                             24
    Q Did you also communicate with Mr. Taffora by
                                                                     Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz about redistricting
25
                                                             25
       e-mail through your Reinhart and your dot MSN
                                                                     matters?
                           181
                                                                                        183
 1
       accounts?
                                                              1 A Yes.
 2
   A Yes.
                                                              2 Q Were you able to hear what Mr. McLeod was saying
                                                              3
 3
    Q Did you ever text message or instant message
                                                                     to Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman?
       Mr. Taffora regarding legislative redistricting?
                                                                 A Not that I recall.
    A Not that I recall.
                                                                  Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. McLeod was
 6
    Q What was the nature of the e-mail communications
                                                              6
                                                                     giving direction to Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman about
 7
       that you had with Mr. Taffora about legislative
                                                                     drawing legislative district boundaries?
 8
       redistricting?
                                                                  A I would have -- I would not know that, no.
 q
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                              q
                                                                 Q Did you communicate with Mr. McLeod by e-mail
10
                                                             10
            the same objection. It constitutes
                                                                     regarding legislative redistricting matters?
11
            attorney-client, attorney work product
                                                             11
12
                                                             12
            information. I'm going to instruct the
                                                                 Q How often did you communicate with Mr. McLeod by
13
                                                             13
            witness not to answer.
                                                                     e-mail?
14
                                                             14
    Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction
                                                                 A I can't recall specifically.
15
       not to answer the question?
                                                             15
                                                                 Q Was it frequent?
                                                             16
16
    A Yes.
                                                                  A No.
17
                                                             17
                                                                  Q Would it be perhaps on the order of weekly?
    Q And then the other lawyer that you had mentioned
18
                                                             18
                                                                  A No.
       is Mr. McLeod. He was present as well during the
19
                                                             19
                                                                 Q Less often than weekly?
       time that you were working on legislative
20
                                                             20
       redistricting at Michael Best?
21
    A Yes.
                                                             21
                                                                  Q And when you did communicate with Mr. McLeod by
22
                                                             22
    Q Did you have -- strike that question.
                                                                     e-mail, was that again through your Reinhart
23
            How often was Mr. McLeod present while you
                                                             23
                                                                     e-mail account and your dot MSN account?
       were working at Michael Best's offices?
                                                             24
                                                                 A My recollection is that would have only been
25
                                                             25
    A I can't recall specifically.
                                                                     through the Reinhart e-mail account.
```

Case/IBEDTAPE4BEPOSIPPONNOPT#OBEPIFIND: 4ANBERICRAP2/20/2019

```
Q What was the nature of the communications that you
                                                                        being issued today. We will assert relevant
2
                                                             2
       and Mr. McLeod had by e-mail regarding legislative
                                                                        objections we think are appropriate
3
       redistricting?
                                                             3
                                                                        concerning attorney-client, attorney work
4
                                                             4
                    MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
                                                                        product privileges and may instruct the
5
                                                             5
           the same objection. It's attorney-client,
                                                                        witness not to answer on those grounds with
6
                                                             6
           attorney work product information. And I'll
                                                                        the assumption that we will be pursuing an
7
                                                             7
           instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                                        appeal of Judge Stadtmiller's orders
8
                                                             8
                    MR. EARLE: Doug, this is
                                                                        concerning attorney-client, attorney work
9
           Peter Earle on the line.
                                                             9
                                                                        product privilege and that we'll do so by the
10
                                                            10
                    MR. POLAND: Yes, Peter.
                                                                        end of this week.
11
                                                             11
                                                                            And if there is no action from an
                    MR. EARLE: Are you aware that the
12
                                                             12
                                                                        appellate court or other court that would
           Court just issued an order a few seconds ago?
13
                                                             13
                    MR. POLAND: No, I wasn't.
                                                                        result in a stay of any further deposition of
14
                                                             14
                    MR. EARLE: With regards to the
                                                                        Mr. Handrick or reversal of this order in a
15
                                                             15
           issues of attorney-client privilege and
                                                                        way that changes the issues here, that -- and
16
                                                             16
                                                                        if that does not occur by the end of next
           perhaps -- I've not had a chance to review
17
                                                             17
           it, but it may be pertinent to the -- some of
                                                                        week, which I believe would be the 20 -- the
18
                                                             18
                                                                        30th of -- the Friday of next week, which I
           the objections that are being asserted here.
19
                                                             19
                    MR. POLAND: Okay. I haven't had
                                                                        believe is the 30th of December, that we
20
           the opportunity to look at it either. Let me
                                                             20
                                                                        would make Mr. Handrick available during the
21
                                                            21
           just finish up two more questions. Then
                                                                        following week after the new year for a
22
           we'll take a break here for the changing of
                                                            22
                                                                        continuation of his deposition.
23
                                                             23
                                                                            And obviously if for any reason we
           the videotape.
24
                                                             24
               Did you -- I'm sorry. Can you read back
                                                                        refuse to do that under the circumstances, we
25
                                                             25
           the last question and answer?
                                                                        would acknowledge that the other parties
                          185
                                                                                       187
1
                     (Ouestion read)
                                                             1
                                                                        could move to compel as they deem
2
                    MR. POLAND: Okay. Did I ask him
                                                             2
                                                                        appropriate.
3
                                                             3
           if he's going to follow counsel's
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: We're in agreement.
 4
           instruction?
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: Okay. Thank you.
    Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and
                                                             5
                                                                            Peter, do we have your agreement too?
6
                                                             6
                                                                                 MR. EARLE: Yes, we do. I'm sorry.
       not answer the question?
                                                             7
7
   A Yes.
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: And Scott?
8
    Q Did you ever text message with Mr. McLeod
                                                             8
                                                                                 MR. HASSETT: Yes.
q
       regarding legislative redistricting?
                                                             9
                                                                          (Exhibit Nos. 14 and 15 marked for
                                                             10
10
    A Not that I recall.
                                                                          identification)
11
    Q Did you ever instant message with Mr. McLeod
                                                             11
                                                                 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm going to hand you two documents
12
                                                             12
       regarding legislative redistricting?
                                                                    that the court reporter has marked as Exhibits 14
13
    A Not that I recall.
                                                             13
                                                                    and 15. This is going to be 14 (indicating).
14
                                                             14
                    MR. POLAND: All right. Let's take
                                                                    This is 15 (indicating).
15
                                                             15
           a break. Then we can change the tape.
                                                                        On Exhibit No. 14, Mr. Handrick, this is a
16
                                                             16
                                                                    printout of a Wisconsin State Statute, and I'd
                        (Recess)
17
                                                             17
                    MR. MCLEOD: This is Eric McLeod.
                                                                    like to draw your attention to Statute
18
                                                             18
           While we were off the record we discussed a
                                                                    Section 801.50. Then about halfway down the page
19
                                                                    there's a sub 4m. Do you see that, sir?
           decision from Judge Stadtmiller concerning a
                                                             19
20
                                                            20
           motion for clarification that the non-parties
21
           I represent have filed in relation to the
                                                             21
                                                                 Q All right. And that statute provides "Venue of an
22
                                                            22
           prior motion to quash and the Court's order
                                                                    action to challenge the apportionment of any
23
                                                            23
                                                                    congressional" state -- I'm sorry, "any
           on that motion.
24
                                                             24
                We have agreed off the record that we
                                                                    congressional or state legislative district shall
                                                                    be as provided in s. 751.035. Not more than
25
                                                            25
           will proceed as we had prior to this order
```

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

```
Q This is Exhibit 16. I've just handed you a copy
 1
       5 days after an action to challenge the
 2
                                                               2
       apportionment of a congressional or state
                                                                     of a document that the court reporter has marked
 3
       legislative district is filed, the clerk of courts
                                                               3
                                                                     as Exhibit No. 16. And I will represent to you
 4
       for the county where the action is filed shall
                                                               4
                                                                     that this is a copy of petition for -- to commence
 5
                                                               5
       notify the clerk of the supreme court of the
                                                                     an original jurisdiction action that was filed in
 6
       filing."
                                                                     the Wisconsin Supreme Court on November 21. This
 7
                                                               7
           Do you see that language?
                                                                     does not have all the exhibits attached to it, so
 8
   A Yes.
                                                               8
                                                                     there's a much thicker packet of exhibits, but I
 9
    Q All right. Were you involved in any way in the
                                                                     just wanted to ask you about the filing of the
10
                                                              10
       development of that particular provision,
                                                                     complaint itself.
11
                                                              11
       801.50(4m)?
                                                                          Have you discussed this particular complaint
12
                                                              12
    A Does it have a date of enactment -- or a year of
                                                                     or this action with anyone?
13
                                                              13
       enactment?
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection. Would you
14
                                                              14
    Q This was enacted if you -- actually, yes. If you
                                                                          care to cavern off counsel for the
15
                                                              15
       look -- if you look at the very, at the very end,
                                                                          defendants?
16
       you will see in the notes that follow -- it should
                                                              16
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: No. I'm first going
17
                                                              17
       be in there at least. I believe it was this year,
                                                                          to ask a broad question with anyone.
18
       2011. I'm looking for the reference in the notes
                                                              18
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Okay. I object to the
19
                                                              19
       at the end, however.
                                                                          extent the question calls for information
20
    A If it was not between 1995 and 2001, I would not
                                                              20
                                                                          protected by the attorney-client privilege
21
                                                              21
       have had any role.
                                                                          and the work product doctrine. And I
                                                              22
22
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} All right. So the consulting work that you're
                                                                          instruct the witness not to answer.
23
       doing now as a consultant with Reinhart doesn't go
                                                              23
                                                                              However, if there are conversations that
24
                                                              24
       to the drafting of -- or did not at least go to
                                                                          you have had about Exhibit 16 that were not
25
                                                              25
                                                                          with counsel for the defendants or had at the
       the drafting of this particular statute,
                           189
                                                                                         191
 1
       801.50(4m)?
                                                               1
                                                                          direction of counsel for the defendants, then
 2 A That is correct.
                                                               2
                                                                          you may answer.
 3
   Q All right. And then looking at Exhibit No. 15,
                                                               3
                                                                  Q With respect to anyone that Mr. Kelly has asserted
 4
       I'd like to draw your attention to
                                                                     a privilege over, are you going to follow his
       Section 751.035, Assignment to a judicial panel;
                                                                     instruction and not answer the question?
 6
                                                               6
                                                                 A Yes.
       appeals. Do you see that?
 7
   A Yes.
                                                               7
                                                                  Q All right. Is there anyone who falls outside that
 8
    Q Okay. And that was the section that was
                                                                     category, privileged category that you've
 q
       referenced in the portion of Exhibit 14 that we
                                                               q
                                                                     discussed the filing of the petition for original
                                                              10
10
       read. And if you see at the bottom of 751.035,
                                                                     jurisdiction with?
11
       you'll see History. Do you see it says 2011?
                                                              11
12
                                                              12
       Okay. And same question. Did you participate or
                                                                  Q All right. Did you have any input into the
13
                                                              13
                                                                     drafting of Exhibit 16?
       were you involved in any way in the development of
14
       this particular statute, 751.035?
                                                              14
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                              15
15
    A No.
                                                                          that the question calls for information
16
                                                              16
    Q Okay. You can set those to the side.
                                                                          protected by the attorney-client privilege,
17
                                                              17
                                                                          the work product doctrine. I instruct the
           Mr. Handrick, are you aware of pending
18
                                                              18
       lawsuits either in the Wisconsin Supreme Court or
                                                                          witness not to answer.
19
                                                              19
       in Waukesha County on the subject of
                                                                              However, if there is -- if there are
20
                                                              20
       redistricting?
                                                                          any -- if there's any input that did not go
21
    A Yes.
                                                              21
                                                                          through counsel for the defendants or at
22
                                                              22
    Q Okay. And addressing the Supreme Court case --
                                                                          their direction, you may answer if you can.
23
                                                              23
       can you mark a copy of this?
                                                                  A Please restate the question.
24
                                                              24
                (Exhibit No. 16 marked for
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Yeah. Can you read it.
25
                                                              25
                 identification)
                                                                          back?
                                                                                         192
```

Castibitotapet betosipponnopt #052philikd: HAND kifc Rago/28/2699

```
1
                     (Question read)
 2 A No.
                                                               2
                                                                  Q Have you discussed the filing of the
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace Is that with respect to people over whom Mr. Kelly
                                                               3
                                                                      Waukesha County action with anyone?
       has not asserted an objection?
                                                               4
                                                                                   MR. KELLY: Object to the extent
 5
                                                               5
    A State that again, please.
                                                                          that it calls -- the question calls for
 6
    Q Strike that question.
                                                                          information protected by the attorney-client
 7
                                                               7
                    MR. KELLY: Yeah, let me -- yeah,
                                                                          privilege, the work product doctrine. And as
 8
                                                               8
                                                                          a result of that, I instruct the witness not
            let me do it.
 9
                    MR. POLAND: Go ahead.
                                                               9
                                                                          to answer.
10
                                                              10
                    MR. KELLY: I've instructed you not
                                                                  Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
11
                                                              11
            to answer the question with respect to any
                                                                      not to answer the question?
12
                                                              12
            conversations you've had with counsel for the
                                                                  A Yes.
13
                                                              13
            defendants or at counsel's direction. To the
                                                                  Q All right. Were there any people with whom --
14
                                                              14
                                                                      strike that question.
            extent that there's anything responsive to
15
                                                              15
            that question outside of conversations with
                                                                          Is there anyone over whom there's no --
16
            counsel for the defendants or at their
                                                              16
                                                                      strike that. It's getting late in the day.
17
                                                              17
            direction, then you can answer.
                                                                          Are there any non-privileged conversations
                                                              18
18
    Q All right. Are you following counsel's
                                                                      that you had with anyone about the complaint that
19
                                                              19
       instruction with respect to privileged
                                                                      is Exhibit No. 17?
20
       conversations that you had that you won't answer
                                                              20
21
                                                              21
       the question?
                                                                  Q Were you aware of the Waukesha County litigation
22
    A Yes.
                                                              22
                                                                     before that action was commenced?
23
    Q All right. Are there any non-privileged people
                                                              23
                                                                  A No.
24
                                                              24
       that -- people who fall outside the privilege that
                                                                  Q All right. If you'd take a look at
25
                                                              25
                                                                      Exhibit No. 18, please. And do you see that
       you've discussed Exhibit 16 with or given them
                           193
                                                                                         195
 1
       input on the drafting of Exhibit 16?
                                                               1
                                                                      Exhibit No. 18 consists of a cover letter, an
 2
                                                               2
   A No.
                                                                      amended summons, an amended complaint filed in the
 3
    Q You can set that to the side.
                                                               3
                                                                      Waukesha County redistricting action?
 4
                                                               4
            Are you aware also that there have been
                                                                  A Yes.
       lawsuits filed -- there was a lawsuit filed in
                                                                  Q And this one actually does attach the exhibits,
 6
                                                               6
       Waukesha County having to do with redistricting?
                                                                      were much smaller and shorter, and so it does
 7
    A Yes.
                                                               7
                                                                      attach an exhibit.
 8
    Q All right.
                                                                          Looking at Exhibit No. 18, have you seen this
 9
             (Exhibit Nos. 17 and 18 marked for
                                                               q
                                                                      document before?
10
                                                              10
                                                                  A No.
              identification)
11
    Q This is 17 (indicating), and this is 18
                                                              11
                                                                  Q Have you discussed Exhibit No. 18 with anyone
12
                                                              12
       (indicating). Mr. Handrick, I've handed you
                                                                     before?
13
                                                              13
                                                                                   MR. KELLY: I object to the extent
       two documents that the court reporter has marked
14
                                                              14
       as Exhibits 17 and 18. Do you have those in front
                                                                          the question calls for information protected
15
                                                              15
       of you?
                                                                          by the attorney-client privilege or the work
16
                                                              16
    A Yes.
                                                                          product doctrine. And to that extent I
17
                                                              17
    Q Okay. First taking a look at Exhibit No. 17, a
                                                                          instruct the witness not to answer.
18
                                                              18
       complaint that was filed in Waukesha County
                                                                  Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
19
       Circuit Court on November 28, 2011. Do you see
                                                              19
                                                                      to answer the question?
20
                                                              20
       that?
                                                                  A Yes.
21
    A Yes.
                                                              21
                                                                  Q Have you had any conversations about Exhibit 18 or
22
                                                              22
    Q All right. And again this -- I have not appended
                                                                      the Waukesha County lawsuit with anyone who does
23
                                                              23
       the exhibits to this document. It simply consists
                                                                      not fall with any attorney-client or work product
24
       of the complaint itself.
                                                              24
                                                                      privileges?
25
                                                              25
            Is this a document that you've seen before?
                                                                 A No.
```

Castibitotapet betosippon of #0512pfiled: MAND ROCK ag 2/20/2699

```
Q Were you aware of this particular complaint before
                                                                         counsel's instructions or direction, I
 2
                                                              2
       it was filed?
                                                                         instruct the witness not to answer.
 3
   A No.
                                                              3
                                                                 Q Are you going to -- sorry.
 4
    Q You didn't participate in the drafting of
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: If there are -- if
 5
                                                              5
       Exhibit No. 18?
                                                                         there are conversations outside of those
 6
    A No.
                                                                         parameters, you may answer.
7
    Q You can set that to the side.
                                                              7
                                                                 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions not
 8
           Mr. Handrick, you're aware there was a
                                                              8
                                                                     to answer the question with respect to the
 9
       hearing held in July regarding the proposed
                                                                    assertion of the attorney-client or work product
10
                                                             10
       redistricting plans, Acts 43 and 44?
                                                                    privilege?
11
                                                             11
    A Yes.
                                                                 A Yes.
12
    Q All right. And were you present at that hearing?
                                                             12
                                                                 Q Are there any non- --
                                                             13
13
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: So -- I'm sorry. And
14
                                                             14
                (Exhibit No. 19 marked for
                                                                         so the witness is aware of the scope of the
15
                                                             15
                identification)
                                                                         privilege we are claiming, that would be any
16
                                                             16
                (Discussion off the record)
                                                                         conversations about the -- about this
17
                                                             17
                                                                         testimony subsequent, on or after
    Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a thick document,
18
       which is a Transcript of Proceedings dated
                                                             18
                                                                         November 22, 2011.
                                                             19
19
       July 13, 2011. Do you see that?
                                                                 Q Are there any conversations that you had that fall
20
    A Yes.
                                                             20
                                                                     outside of the privileged category that Mr. Kelly
21
                                                             21
    Q Okay. And I'll represent for the record that this
                                                                     just mentioned?
22
                                                             22
       is a document that was produced to us by the
                                                                 A Conversations with?
23
                                                             23
                                                                 Q With either Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about their
       defendants in this litigation. You did not
24
                                                             24
       testify at this hearing, correct?
                                                                    testimony.
25
                                                             25 A Yes.
   A That is correct.
                          197
                                                                                        199
    Q All right. Did you consult with any of the
                                                                 Q When -- who did you talk to about the testimony
1
                                                              1
 2
       witnesses who did testify at the hearing before
                                                              2
                                                                    after they had given it?
 3
       the hearing?
                                                              3
                                                                 A Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
   A No.
                                                                 Q When did you speak with Mr. Foltz about his
    Q So Mr. -- were you aware that Mr. Ottman testified
                                                                     testimony?
                                                              6
       at the hearing?
                                                                 A I don't recall precisely.
 7
   A Yes.
                                                                 Q Was it very shortly after the hearing itself?
    Q And you didn't consult with Mr. Ottman about his
                                                                 A Within 48 hours.
 q
       testimony before the hearing?
                                                              q
                                                                 Q Okay. Where were you and Mr. Foltz when you spoke
10
                                                             10
    A No.
                                                                    with him about his testimony?
11
    Q And you're aware that Mr. Foltz testified at the
                                                             11
                                                                 A My recollection is at Michael Best & Friedrich.
12
       hearing on July 13, correct?
                                                             12
                                                                 Q Was anyone else present for your conversation with
13
                                                             13
    A Yes.
                                                                    Mr. Foltz about his testimony?
14
                                                             14
    Q Did you consult with Mr. Foltz before he testified
                                                                 A Yes.
15
       at the hearing about his testimony?
                                                             15
                                                                 Q Who else was present?
16
    A No.
                                                             16
                                                                 A Mr. Ottman.
17
                                                             17
    Q All right. Did you speak with either Mr. Foltz or
                                                                 Q Anyone other than Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman and you
18
                                                             18
       Mr. Ottman about their testimony after the
                                                                    present for that conversation?
19
       hearing?
                                                             19
                                                                 A Not that I recall.
20
                                                             20
                    MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
                                                                 Q What was said during that conversation about their
21
                                                             21
           the question calls for information subject to
                                                                    testimony?
22
           the attorney-client privilege or the work
                                                             22 A Precisely, I don't recall.
23
           product doctrine. And to the extent that the
                                                             23
                                                                 Q Okay. Generally what was the nature of the
           question asks about conversations you've had
                                                             24
                                                                    discussion?
25
           with counsel for the defendants or at
                                                             25 A I stopped by to tell them that I thought that they
                                                                                        200
```

Case/iBitotxpetblebosippownopt #05tephilikel: HANBAPCRape/26/2699

1 did a nice job presenting the two bills before the (Question read) 2 2 A Oh, I misunderstood your question. I saw portions committee. 3 Q Did you have any conversation with them 3 of the transcript when you gave it to me today. 4 specifically about any particular portions of 4 Q Had you seen -- before today had you seen any 5 either of the bills? portions at all of the transcript of the July 13, 6 A No. 6 2011 proceedings? 7 7 Q Did they say anything to you about their testimony 8 regarding specific portions of either of the Q Did you watch the proceedings on TV? 9 bills? A Partially. 10 10 A Yes. Q What parts did you see on TV? 11 Q And what did they say? 11 A My recollection, I watched a portion of Mr. Ottman 12 A Thank you. 12 and Mr. Foltz's testimony before the committee, 13 13 Q Did both of them say thank you? and I recall a short portion I saw of 14 14 A To my recollection, yes. Mr. David Obey's testimony before the committee. Q Any -- was there any other conversation that you 15 Q Where were you when you were watching the 16 16 had with Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about their proceedings on TV? 17 17 testimony? A I don't -- I don't recall. 18 A No. 18 Q Were you watching it on WisconsinEye? 19 Q Other than Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz, did you speak 19 A I believe so, yes. 20 with anyone else about the testimony given at the 20 Q Do you recall if you were watching it on your 21 21 July 13, 2011 hearing? computer or on a computer? 22 22 A I believe so. MR. KELLY: I object to the extent 23 the question calls for information related to 23 Q Was anyone else with you when you were watching 24 24 conversations occurring on or after the testimony on July 13? 25 November 22, 2011 on the basis that they 25 A Not that I recall. 201 203 1 Q Did you make any notes at all as you were watching would invade the attorney-client privilege 1 2 and the work product doctrine. And on that 2 the testimony? 3 basis I instruct the witness not to answer. 3 A Yes. 4 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not Q What did you do with those notes? to answer the question with respect to privileged A Those notes I simply kept. 6 6 Q Are those in your office at Reinhart? conversations? 7 A Yes. 7 8 Q All right. Were there any non-privileged Q Do you recall the nature of the notes that you q 9 conversations that you had with anyone other than made? 10 10 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz regarding their testimony A The nature of the notes I made was any objective 11 on July 13, 2011? 11 number or statistic that was provided by 12 12 A Not that I recall. Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz. I wrote that down. 13 13 Q Have you read through the transcript before, Q Why did you write down any objective numbers that 14 Mr. Handrick? 14 they gave? 15 A No. 15 A So that -- as, you know -- because I didn't have 16 16 Q Have you seen portions of it before? access to any of that information, you know, from 17 17 A Yes. the firm. 18 Q All right. When did you see portions of the 18 Q When you say the firm, what firm do you mean? 19 transcript that's Exhibit 19? 19 A Michael Best. 20 20 A The date that it was -- the date that it occurred. Q All right. You had access to it when you were 21 21 present at Michael Best in their offices; is that Q On the same date you saw a transcript of the 22 22 proceedings? correct? 23 23 A No. I'm sorry. Restate the question. A Correct. 24 MR. POLAND: Yeah. Could you read 24 Q But once you went outside of Michael Best's 25 25 it back? offices, you did not have access to that 204

Case/iBit 5 tx Pet Betos Promort #052PHIW: HAND RICK ap2/28/2699

```
information?
                                                                                 MS. LAZAR: Not a problem.
 2
   A That's correct.
                                                              2
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: So -- yeah. Okay. So
    Q And why did you want to have those numbers?
                                                              3
                                                                         Maria is right. At the back end of that
    A My own personal knowledge.
                                                              4
                                                                         document of Exhibit 19 -- we'll recycle
 5
                                                              5
    Q Did you do anything with the numbers once you
                                                                         those.
       wrote them down? Did you make any calculations,
                                                                                 MS. LAZAR: Super.
 7
                                                              7
       or did you provide those numbers to anyone else?
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Thanks. Everybody
   A Yes.
 8
                                                              8
                                                                         ready?
                                                                                 Okay.
    Q Did you make calculations?
                                                              9
                                                                 Q I'd like you to take a look at page 4, and I'm
10
                                                             10
    A Calculations, no.
                                                                    going to draw your attention to lines 9 through
11
                                                             11
    Q Did you provide those numbers to anyone else?
                                                                    12. Have you looked at transcripts before? Do
12
    A Yes.
                                                             12
                                                                    you understand how they work, that there will be
                                                             13
13
    Q Who did you provide them to?
                                                                    the name of the person who's giving the testimony,
14
    A The -- and I don't know the name of the group.
                                                             14
                                                                    and then it can sometimes go on for several pages?
15
       The Wisconsin Association of Lobbyists asked me to
                                                             15
                                                                 A Okay.
16
                                                             16
                                                                 Q Okay. So if you look over on page 3 of the
       give a presentation on this topic.
17
    Q On redistricting?
                                                             17
                                                                    transcript, you'll see it's Mr. Ottman who's
18
   A Yes.
                                                             18
                                                                    testifying.
                                                             19
19
    Q On Acts 43 and 44?
20
    A No, I don't believe I -- I don't believe Act 44
                                                             20
                                                                 Q Do you see that? Okay. So now if you look on
21
                                                             21
       was a topic.
                                                                    page 4, I'd like to draw your attention to line 9
22
                                                             22
    Q Okay. So the presentation that you gave to the
                                                                    and specifically Mr. Ottman's statement. "There
23
       Wisconsin Association of Lobbyists was on Act 43?
                                                             23
                                                                    are three core principles to any reapportionment
24
                                                             24
    A Yes.
                                                                    plan: equal population, sensitivity to minority
25
                                                             25
    Q When did you give that presentation?
                                                                    concerns, and compact and contiguous districts."
                          205
                                                                                       207
                                                              1
1
    A I don't remember the specific date.
                                                                    Do you see that, that testimony there?
                                                              2
 2
    Q Was it in the summer, over the fall?
                                                              3
    A My recollection is it was in the early fall.
                                                                 Q Do you agree with those statements?
    Q I'd like you to open Exhibit 19. I'm going to
                                                                 A Not necessarily.
       take you to a few specific passages in the
                                                                 Q Okay. What do you disagree with?
 6
                                                              6
       document, and I'll give you the page and a line.
                                                                 A Those principles stated do not relate to
 7
                    MS. LAZAR: Before you do that, I
                                                              7
                                                                    reapportionment plans.
 8
           think you made an error on the back of the
                                                                 Q Okay. What do they relate to?
 9
           document.
                                                                 A They relate to redistricting plans.
10
                                                             10
                                                                 Q Okay. And what is the difference between
                    MR. POLAND: Oh.
11
                    MS. LAZAR: There's some pages
                                                             11
                                                                    redistricting and reapportionment?
12
           that are disclosures that were previously
                                                             12
                                                                 A Reapportionment, my understanding, is the
13
                                                             13
           provided by the Department of Justice, at
                                                                    allocation of congressional seats among the
14
                                                             14
                                                                    states. Redistricting is the subdivision of
           least in my copy.
                                                             15
15
                    MR. POLAND: Okav.
                                                                    districts within a state.
                                                             16
16
                    MS. LAZAR: I don't know if
                                                                 Q Other than that disagreement that you, that you
17
                                                             17
           everyone else has that, but they don't belong
                                                                    just identified, are there any other areas of
18
                                                             18
           there.
                                                                    disagreement that you have with the statement that
                                                             19
19
                    MR. POLAND: All right. Well,
                                                                    Mr. Ottman made?
20
                                                             20
           let's take them out then if they're in there.
                                                                 A Yes.
21
           It should end at the end of the -- there's a
                                                             21
                                                                 Q Okay. What would that be?
22
                                                             22
           Min-U-Script at the end and an index, and it
                                                                 A I believe a core principle -- my understanding is
23
                                                             23
           should end after that. Why don't I just take
                                                                    a core principle is upholding the Voting Rights
24
           it back. Thank you, Maria, for pointing that
                                                             24
                                                                    Act.
25
                                                             25
           011t
                                                                 Q Any other aspects of Mr. Ottman's statement that
```

Castibitotaped between the cost of the cos

```
1
       you disagree with?
                                                                     minute or two ago, correct?
 2
   A No.
                                                               2
                                                                 A Yes.
 3
    Q Are there any other core principles in addition to
                                                               3
                                                                  {f Q} All right. What are the appropriate conditions
 4
       the ones that Mr. Ottman mentions in this
                                                               4
                                                                     for taking race or other protected class into
 5
                                                               5
       transcript?
                                                                     account when you're drawing legislative districts?
 6
                                                               6
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to --
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
 7
                                                               7
           may answer if you can.
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Object to form.
 8
                                                               8
                                                                                  MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert an
    A Could you please restate the question?
 9
                    MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read it
                                                               9
                                                                          objection that it calls for a legal
10
                                                              10
                                                                          conclusion. And we've now confirmed from
           back?
11
                                                              11
                     (Ouestion read)
                                                                          Judge Stadtmiller's order Mr. Handrick is not
12
                    MR. KELLY: Same objection. I'd
                                                              12
                                                                          a lawyer, but I'll leave it at that. If he
13
                                                              13
            also like the same objection for the prior
                                                                          can answer, he's welcome to do so.
14
                                                              14
                                                                  A Please state the question again.
            two questions.
15
                                                              15
    A Well, I already expressed, I believe, that a
                                                                  Q Do you want to have her read the question back to
16
                                                              16
       principle is the Voting Rights Act.
                                                                     vou?
17
    {f Q} Voting Rights Act. Right. Is there anything in
                                                              17
                                                                                   (Question read)
18
       addition to the Voting Rights Act that you believe
                                                              18
                                                                  A My understanding is that the district should give
19
                                                              19
       is a core principle that was left omitted from
                                                                     minorities opportunity to elect representatives of
20
       Mr. Ottman's -- yeah, Mr. Ottman's testimony?
                                                              20
                                                                     their choice but that race should not be a
21
                                                              21
    A No.
                                                                     predominant factor.
22
                                                              22
                                                                  Q Now, in his testimony -- I'd like to draw your
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
23
                                                              23
                                                                     attention to page 29. In his testimony at
    Q Do you see Mr. Ottman mentions equal population in
24
                                                              24
       his testimony on page 4?
                                                                     lines 22 and 23 of page 29, Mr. Ottman testified
25
                                                              25
   A Yes.
                                                                     "Under any reapportionment plan a certain amount
                           209
                                                                                         211
 1
    {f Q} All right. What is the standard that you use for
                                                               1
                                                                     of disenfranchisement is inevitable and
 2
                                                               2
       equal population?
                                                                     avoidable." Do you see that statement?
 3
                                                               3
   A For what type of --
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                               4
    Q For redistricting.
                                                                  Q Okay. And then I'd like you to take a look at
    A It depends.
                                                                     page 30, lines 16 through 18. Mr. Ottman makes
 6
                                                               6
    Q What would it depend on?
                                                                     the statement "What we've done here is tried to
 7
    A The level of government.
                                                                     the best of our ability to minimize that
 8
    Q And what about if we're looking at assembly
                                                                     displacement." Do you see that testimony?
 q
       districts; what would be the standard for equal
                                                               9
                                                                  A Yes.
10
                                                              10
                                                                  Q All right. Were you involved in -- strike that.
       population in assembly districts?
11
    A The standard for equal population in assembly
                                                              11
                                                                          In the process of formulating the
12
                                                              12
       districts that was out -- that was outlined by the
                                                                     redistricting plans that ended up in Act 43, were
13
                                                              13
                                                                     you involved in analyzing the displacement of
       Court in 2002 was approximately 11/2 percent.
14
                                                              14
    Q And that was the federal court in 2002 that set
                                                                     voters?
15
                                                              15
       that standard?
                                                                  A No.
                                                              16
16
    A I believe so, yes.
                                                                  Q Do you know how many residents in Wisconsin were
17
                                                              17
    Q Is zero deviation from that ideal, no deviation at
                                                                     moved to a new legislative district under Act 43?
18
                                                              18
       all, is that an absolute requirement?
                                                                  A No.
19
                    MR. KELLY: Objection, form, but
                                                              19
                                                                  Q Do you have any opinion whether Act 43 minimized
20
                                                              20
                                                                     the disenfranchisement of residents?
           you may answer if you can.
21
                                                              21
    A Please state that question again.
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
                                                              22
22
    Q Sure. Is zero deviation from the ideal equal
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Do you want to read
23
                                                              23
                                                                          the question back?
       population, is that an absolute requirement?
    A Not to my knowledge.
                                                              24
                                                                                   (Question read)
25
                                                              25 A No.
    Q Okay. Now, you mentioned the Voting Rights Act a
```

Case/1Bit 5 tx Pret Bit Pros Promort #05t2 PHI W. : PAND RICK ago/26/26/39

```
Q Is there any measure that you know of to, to
                                                                  A My recollection is I inquired as to the number and
 2
       assess whether disenfranchisement of voters in the
                                                               2
                                                                     percent that would be temporarily voter delayed.
 3
       redistricting process is acceptable?
                                                                  Q And do you recall what Mr. Ottman told you?
                                                               4
 4
    A Can you state that question again?
 5
                                                               5
                    MR. POLAND: Can you read it back?
                                                                  Q Do you recall any reaction you had at the time as
 6
                     (Question read)
                                                                     to whether the number, the percentage that he gave
 7
                                                               7
    Q Strike that question.
                                                                     you was one that you believed to be acceptable or
 8
            Is there any standard that you know of to
                                                               8
 9
       measure whether the number of voters who are
                                                               9
                                                                  A No.
10
       disenfranchised in the redistricting process is an
                                                              10
                                                                  Q Do you recall giving Mr. Ottman any advice on
11
                                                              11
       acceptable number?
                                                                     whether it needed to -- Act 43 needed to
12
    A No.
                                                              12
                                                                     disenfranchise fewer voters?
                                                              13
13
    Q Did you ever have a discussion about the number of
14
                                                              14
                                                                  Q Anyone other than Mr. Ottman that you had a
       voters who are disenfranchised by Act 43 with
15
                                                              15
       anyone?
                                                                     conversation about, subject of course to the
16
                                                              16
                                                                     assertion of privilege?
    A Yes.
17
                    MR. KELLY: I'm sorry. Could you
                                                              17
                                                                  A No.
18
            read that back question? I apologize.
                                                              18
                                                                  Q I'm going to draw your attention to page 36 and
19
                                                              19
                     (Question read)
                                                                     lines 20 to 22. At the hearing Mr. Ottman was
20
                    MR. KELLY: I object to the extent
                                                              20
                                                                     asked why the statutes weren't built on ward lines
21
                                                              21
            that the question calls for information
                                                                     as the law requires. And he responded in lines 20
22
                                                              22
            protected by the attorney-client privilege or
                                                                     to 22 here "technology has moved to the point
23
                                                              23
            the work product doctrine. And to that
                                                                     where it is much easier to draw these maps in
24
                                                              24
            extent, I instruct the witness not to answer.
                                                                     advance of the locals completing their process."
25
                                                              25
           However, if there are conversations you've
                                                                     Do you see that testimony?
                           213
 1
           had that are with people other than counsel
                                                               1
                                                                  A Yes.
 2
            or at the direction of counsel, then you may
                                                                  Q Do you agree with that statement by Mr. Ottman?
 3
            answer.
                                                               3
 4
    Q And with respect to the assertion of the
                                                                  Q Okay. Doesn't that make it -- or doesn't that
       attorney-client privilege and work product
                                                                     create difficulties for local governments?
 6
                                                               6
       privilege, are you going to take counsel's
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
 7
       instruction to not answer the question?
                                                                  Q You can answer the question.
 8
    A Yes.
                                                                  A Not to my knowledge.
    {f Q} All right. Other than conversations that would be
 q
                                                               9
                                                                  Q I'd like to draw your attention to page 47. And
10
                                                              10
       covered by the attorney-client privilege or work
                                                                     then on lines 2 through 7 you see
11
                                                              11
                                                                     Senator Erpenbach asked Mr. Ottman "Did the
       product privilege, were there any conversations
12
       that you had about disenfranchisement of voters by
                                                              12
                                                                     partisan makeup of the districts come into play at
13
       Act 43?
                                                              13
                                                                     all when drawing the maps?" And then Mr. Ottman
14
                                                              14
    A Yes.
                                                                     responds "The principles were the ones I
                                                              15
15
    Q All right. Who did you have those conversations
                                                                     enumerated. Those were the ones that drove
16
                                                              16
       with?
                                                                     drawing the map." Do you see that testimony?
17
    A Mr. Ottman.
                                                              17
18
                                                              18
    Q When did you speak with Mr. Ottman about
                                                                  Q And do you agree with that statement by
19
                                                              19
       disenfranchisement of voters?
                                                                     Mr. Ottman?
20
                                                              20
    A I don't recall that precise date.
                                                                  A I can't answer as to Mr. Ottman's driving.
21
    Q Was it -- was it sometime before Act 43 was
                                                              21
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: I'm sorry. Can you
22
                                                              22
       enacted?
                                                                          read back the answer?
                                                              23
23
    A Yes.
                                                                                   (Answer read)
    Q What was the nature of the discussion that you had
                                                              24
                                                                  Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to explain the answer.
25
                                                              25
       with Mr. Ottman?
                                                                     Why can you not answer as to Mr. Ottman's
                                                                                         216
```

Case/1Bit 5 tx Pret Bit Pros Promort #05t2 PHI W. PAND ROC Rage/25/26/39

statement about driving? occupied from February until Act 43 was passed? 2 2 A Because I did not direct Mr. Ottman to draw maps. A I can't -- I can't even put a percentage on that. $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ All right. In your opinion did the partisan Q All right. Do you know who decided to draw 4 makeup of the districts come into play when districts based on census blocks before the 5 5 drawing the maps? completion of the ward process? 6 MR. KELLY: Objection to form, but A No. 7 7 Q That wasn't a decision that you made? you may answer. A In the maps that I drew, no. 8 8 Q Did they come into play in the map that was Q How many different maps did you personally draw 10 10 enacted in Act 43? before settling on any final version of what you 11 11 A I don't know. were asked to draw? 12 12 Q Were partisan considerations a factor in the MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of 13 13 drawing of the plan that was enacted in Act 43? the question. You can answer if you can. 14 14 A I don't know. A I recall drawing two maps. 15 ${f Q}$ When you were working during the redistricting 15 Q Okay. What was represented in the maps that you 16 16 process, did you have any access to voting data 17 17 from past elections? A They were statewide redistricting plans. 18 A No. 18 Q Did the statewide redistricting plans that you 19 19 Q Has anyone provided you -- as part of the 2011 drew -- strike that question. 20 redistricting process, has anyone provided you 20 Did Act 43 as enacted reflect the state 21 with any data on voting results from past 21 redistricting plans that you personally drew? 22 elections? 22 Δ NO 23 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent 23 Q What were the differences between Act 43 as 24 24 the calls for information protected by the enacted and the state redistricting plan that you 25 25 attorney-client privilege or the work product drew? 217 219 1 doctrine. And to that extent I instruct you A That would be impossible for me to answer. 1 2 not to answer the question. Q Were there many differences? 3 3 A Many differences. If there is material responsive to the question that does not involve conversations Q Without actually having a final version of Act 43 or data given to you by counsel or obtained in front of you and the maps you drew, it would be 6 6 by you at counsel's direction, then you may impossible for you to recall them all; is that 7 answer the question. 7 correct? 8 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not 8 A Yes. q to answer the question with respect to privileged 9 Q Fair statement? 10 10 conversations? What version of autoBound did you use to draw 11 A Yes. 11 the redistricting maps? 12 Q All right. Were there any non-privileged 12 A I -- I don't know. 13 13 Q Do you know whether it was a relatively new conversations that you had or any non-privileged 14 14 relationships that you had where someone provided version? 15 you with data on voting results from past 15 A I don't know. 16 elections? 16 Q Did it have any new features from the previous 17 17 A No. version that you'd used? 18 18 Q How many hours in total did you spend working on A Not that I'm aware of. 19 redistricting plans from the time you were engaged 19 Q You mentioned before that you did have 20 20 as a consultant in February until the time the act conversations with Mr. Gaddie and you were present 21 21 was passed? with Mr. Gaddie during the redistricting process, 22 22 A I do not know. correct? 23 Q Was it more or less a full-time activity for you? 23 24 Q There -- are you aware there are two other expert 25 25 Q Any estimate of a percentage of your time that it witnesses that have been identified by the

Castibitotapet betosipponior #05epfilw: 45/08kickape/26/26/9

```
1
       defendants? One is a Mr. Diaz. Are you aware of
                                                               1
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Okay. I want to
 2
       Mr. Diaz?
                                                               2
                                                                          get -- I have to unplug here for one last
 3
   A Yes.
                                                               3
                                                                          evhihit
 4
    Q Did you have any communications with Mr. Diaz
                                                               4
                                                                              I've got two copies. I'm going to have
 5
                                                               5
       during the redistricting process?
                                                                          to ask you to share. I'm sorry.
 6
    A No.
                                                               6
                                                                                  MR. KELLY: Is it just the Act 43
 7
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\, So you did not communicate with Mr. Diaz while you
                                                               7
                                                                          map?
 8
       were drawing the redistricting plans from February
                                                               8
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: This is -- I'm just
 9
       through, through the time that they were enacted?
                                                               9
                                                                          going to use the Act 43 map, correct.
10
                                                              10
    A That's correct.
                                                                                  MS. LAZAR: Are these the ones we
11
                                                              11
    Q Did Mr. Diaz provide you with any information
                                                                          produced?
12
       during the redistricting process?
                                                              12
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: These are the ones
                                                              13
13
                                                                          that you produced.
14
                                                              14
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace Did anyone provide you with information from
                                                                                  MS. LAZAR: Peter Earle might want
15
                                                              15
       Mr. Diaz during the redistricting process?
                                                                          to ask, unless he's finishing up.
16
                                                              16
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: That's fair. Peter?
17
    Q You testified earlier that you -- let me start
                                                              17
                                                                                  MR. EARLE: Yes.
18
                                                              18
                                                                                  MR. POLAND: Marie just raised a
       over.
19
                                                              19
           You testified earlier that you drew assembly
                                                                          good point, which is you had wanted to leave
20
       districts in the city of Milwaukee; is that
                                                              20
                                                                          at 5. I probably have about 15 minutes of
21
                                                              21
                                                                          questions left. Do you want to go ahead and
       correct?
22
   A Yes.
                                                              22
                                                                          ask your questions now?
23
    Q And that would include Assembly Districts 8 and 9,
                                                              23
                                                                                  MR. EARLE: Sure. I only have
24
                                                              24
       correct?
                                                                          about five minutes. It's very short.
25
                                                              25
   A Yes.
                                                                                  MR POLAND: Go ahead
                                                                                         223
                           221
 1
    Q Did you decide on specific percentages of voting
                                                               1
                                                                                  MR. EARLE: I appreciate the
 2
                                                               2
       age population among Hispanics in Districts 8 and
                                                                          courtesy. Thank you.
 3
       9 in drawing those districts?
                                                               3
 4
    A No.
                                                                                     EXAMINATION
 5
    Q Do you know who did make a decision as to draw
                                                                  By Mr. Earle:
 6
                                                               6
                                                                  \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\, Mr. Handrick, you testified that you met with
       specific percentages of voting age population
 7
       among Hispanics in Districts -- Assembly
                                                                     Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman regarding Assembly
 8
       Districts 8 and 9?
                                                                     Districts 8 and 9. Do you recall that testimony?
 q
    A No.
                                                               9
                                                                  A No. I don't.
10
                                                              10
                                                                  Q Well, under questioning earlier I thought I --
    Q Between April 2011 and July 2011, did you spend
11
       any time in Washington, DC?
                                                              11
                                                                     perhaps I heard wrong. I understood that you
12
                                                              12
                                                                     testified that you discussed Assembly Districts 8
13
                                                              13
    Q Did anyone from outside the state of Wisconsin
                                                                     and 9 with Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman, and you
14
                                                              14
       ever show you any proposed or existing legislative
                                                                     compared a map that you'd drawn with a map that
15
       redistricting plans for Wisconsin?
                                                              15
                                                                     they had drawn. Was my understanding of your
16
                                                              16
    A No.
                                                                     testimony incorrect?
17
    Q Before Acts 40 -- before Act 43 was passed, did
                                                              17 A I -- I don't recall that.
18
                                                              18
       you ever meet or talk to any representatives or
                                                                  Q Okay. Did you draw a map of the 8th and 9th
19
       officials of the Republican National Committee
                                                              19
                                                                     assembly district?
20
                                                              20
       about the new Wisconsin legislative districts?
                                                                 A Yes.
21
    A No.
                                                              21
                                                                  Q And when did you draw that map?
22
                                                              22 A Between April and June.
    Q Do you know whether anyone at the
23
       Republican National Committee has been tasked with
                                                              23
                                                                  Q And did anybody help you?
24
       tracking the redistricting process in Wisconsin?
                                                              24
                                                                  A No.
25
                                                              25
                                                                 Q Who did you show that map to?
    A No.
```

Case/iBitotape4BitebosiPrownort#OSEPHIWE: 4ANBAPCRap2/20/2019

- 1 A That, that map, it was not shown to anybody.
- 2 Q Did Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman draw a map of the 8th
- 3 and the 9th assembly districts, to your knowledge?
- 4 A Insofar as those are -- that's a portion of a
- 5 broader map, the answer is yes.
- 6 Q Did you compare your map to any other map between
- 7 April and June?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Now, it's my understanding that two maps were
- 10 presented to the assembly, is that correct, for
- 11 those two assembly districts?
- 12 A That's not my understanding.
- 13 Q What is your understanding?
- 14 A My understanding is there was a bill introduced,
- 15 and it -- and it had a hearing, and then there was
- 16 an amendment at the hearing.
- ${f 17}$ ${f Q}$ Did you participate in the drawing of the map that
- 18 was ultimately adopted as part of the legislative
- 19 process?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Please describe your participation for me.
- 22 A My participation was to -- when that map was
- 23 completed, I was asked in my role of assisting the
- 24 legal counsel to go in with that map and look for
- 25 areas to improve that map on its objective
 - 225
- 1 criteria.
- 2 Q Who asked you to do that?
- 3 A That was part of the direction from legal counsel.
- 4 Q What legal counsel?
- 5 A I do not recall.
- 6 Q What law firm?
- 7 A I do not recall.
- 8 Q When did that direction -- when was that direction
- 9 given to you?
- 10 A I cannot recall that date.
- 11 Q What objective criteria were you asked to improve
- 12 the map based on?
- 13 A Population, deviation, municipal splits,
- 14 contiguity.
- 15 Q Anything else?
- 16 A Not that I can recall.
- 17 Q Now, just so I'm clear, we're talking about the
- 8th and 9th assembly districts?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Is that what you were asked to improve upon?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q I'm sorry. Maybe it's because of the phone. I
- 23 thought you were -- we were talking about the 8th
- 24 and 9th assembly districts. All right.
- 25 Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly

- districts with counsel?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What counsel?
- 4 A Jim Troupis.
- 5 Q Anybody else?
- 6 A My recollection is Eric McLeod.
- 7 Q Okay. Anybody else?
- 8 A Not that I can recall.
- 9 Q When were those conversations with Mr. McLeod?
- 10 A I can't recall that date.
- 11 Q Did you take any notes during those conversations?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Were you given any instructions with regards to
- 14 the 8th and 9th during those conversations?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly districts
- 17 with Rick Esenberg?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q How about Mandy Perez?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q How about Zeus Rodriguez?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And when did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly
- 24 districts with Zeus Rodriguez?
- 25 A Pardon me. Can you repeat that question?

227

- 1 Q When did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly
- 2 districts with Zeus Rodriguez?
- 3 A I don't recall that date.
- 4 Q Was it before the map was adopted?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What was the content of that discussion?
- 7 A I can't recall specifics.
- 8 Q What do you recall about the conversation?
- 9 A There was a variety of different methods by which
- 10 the south side of Milwaukee could be drawn, and I
- 11 was asked to contact Mr. Rodriguez and ask him to
- 12 seek community input.
- ${f 13}$ ${f Q}$ Who asked you to contact Mr. Rodriguez and seek
- 14 community input?
- 15 A My recollection is that would be Jim Troupis.
- 16 Q This was before the ratification of the map?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And did you take any notes during your
- 19 conversations with Zeus Rodriguez?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did you generate any e-mails during the course of
- 22 your interaction with Zeus Rodriguez?
- 23 A Not that I recall.
- **Q** Did you generate any e-mails during the course of
- 25 your interactions with Mr. Troupis about the 8th

Castibitotapet between the control of the control o

and 9th assembly districts? Michael Best; did I hear that accurately earlier? 2 A Not that I recall. 2 A Yes. Q How about Mr. McLeod? 3 ${f Q}$ Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly districts A Not that I can recall. with them? 5 Q How about text messages? A No. 6 Q Would you list for me all the people with whom you 7 7 Q How was the map that you drew different than the discussed the Latino community of interest in the 8 map that was ultimately adopted with regard to the 8 course of the remapping process -- redistricting 9 8th and 9th assembly districts? process. 10 10 A I can't recall without, without knowing what A Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod, Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz, 11 11 exactly my map did. and the legislative leaders denoted earlier. 12 12 Q Anybody else? Q Did anybody working on the map to your knowledge 13 consider the percentage of citizenship of voting 13 A Zeus Rodriguez. 14 14 age within the Latino community in the process of Q Now, I'm not there, so I can't tell whether you're 15 15 drawing the 8th and 9th assembly districts? pondering or you've finished your answer. 16 16 A That's what I'm recalling. A I do not know. 17 Q Did you consider citizenship? 17 Q Did you travel to Chicago in order to meet with 18 18 A No. anybody related to the redistricting process? 19 19 Q Did you discuss the percentage of citizen --20 voting age citizens within the Latino community 20 Q Did you -- now, I forgot what you said. Did you 21 with anybody during the time you were working on 21 actually meet with Zeus Rodriguez, or did you 22 the maps? 22 speak on the phone? 23 A No. 23 A Spoke on the phone. 24 24 Q So just so I'm clear, to your knowledge no one Q How many times? 25 25 A I believe once. involved in working on these maps considered the 229 231 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$ Would you identify for me the people that you 1 percentage of Latino citizens of voting age in the 1 2 2 course of drawing the 8th and 9th assembly understand or have knowledge about having 3 3 districts; is that an accurate statement? participated in the drawing of the, of the lines A To my knowledge, yes. for the 8th and 9th assembly districts. 5 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Okay. Did you participate in any discussions A The legislative leaders outlined earlier, myself. 6 6 Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz. That's what I can recall. related to using the census blocks instead of 7 deferring to local government units drawing ward 7 MR. EARLE: Okay. Those are all 8 lines? 8 the questions I have for now. I may have 9 A Can you please restate the question? 9 other questions after this issue of privilege 10 10 Q Sure. Do you recall discussing whether or not to is resolved. 11 use census blocks in the drawing of the maps? 11 MR. POLAND: Okay. This is 12 12 Doug Poland. I'm going to continue with my 13 13 examination then. I'd like to have --Q Do you know who made the decision to use census 14 14 MR. EARLE: And, Doug, I'm going to blocks? 15 15 A No. hop off the line. Thank you for your 16 Q When you drew your map, did you use existing ward 16 courtesy. 17 17 MR. POLAND: All right. Bye Peter. lines? 18 18 A Perhaps. (Discussion off the record) 19 Q Did anybody talk to you about the fact that you 19 (Exhibit Nos. 20 through 22 marked 20 20 used existing ward lines instead of census blocks? for identification) 21 A No. 21 MR. POLAND: I'm just going to mark 22 22 Q Do you know Peter Morrison? all three of them that you produced to us, 23 20, 21, and 22. So I've got two copies here 23 24 Q Now, you say you spoke with Mr. Foltz and 24 just because of the size. We can obviously 25 25 Mr. Ottman within 48 hours of their testimony at have full copies made for everyone, but I'm

Castibitotaped between the cost of the cos

1 going to have to ask that you look over that correct? 2 2 everybody's shoulders. A To my knowledge, that's correct. 3 So for the record, I've marked Q All right. And under 2011 Wisconsin Act 43, 4 three oversized maps. I'll try not to block 4 portions of the city of Racine and the city of 5 5 the camera. These are three maps that were Kenosha are both contained within Assembly produced to us by the defendants. One, 6 District 64, correct? 7 7 Exhibit 20, is marked as State of Wisconsin A Please restate the question. 8 Act 43 Assembly Districts. The second is MR. POLAND: Could you read it 9 2011 Act 44. And then a third, which is 9 back? 10 10 marked Exhibit 22, the heading on that map is (Ouestion read) 11 11 2011 Act 43. And that also depicts the set A I cannot ascertain that from this map. 12 of districts in addition to the -- in Q Do you -- do you know even apart from the map, do 13 13 addition to the assembly districts. you know whether that's correct? 14 14 A No. 15 15 Q All right. You see that Kenosha is split RE-EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Poland: 16 between -- the city of Kenosha is split between 17 17 Q And, Mr. Handrick, I'm going to see if I can fold Assembly Districts 64 and 65, correct? A Yes. 18 this over and hand this to you here. And I'm 18 19 19 going to ask you to look at some specific areas of Q Do you know who made the decision to split Kenosha 20 the map. I need to look at one as well. 20 between two different assembly districts? 21 21 First of all, let me ask you -- because I A The United States Census. 22 think we can get this out of the way first. 22 Q Split Kenosha among two different assembly 23 Exhibit No. 21, which is I believe Act 44, did you 23 districts? 24 24 have -- you had nothing to do with the drawing of A Yes. 25 25 Q How did the census decide to split Kenosha, the that map; is that correct? 235 1 A That's correct. 1 city of Kenosha, between two different assembly 2 2 Q All right. So I'd like to focus you on districts? 3 3 Exhibit No. 20, and I'd like to have you take a A My understanding is the city of Kenosha is too 4 4 look at Kenosha County, please. And do you see large to be contained within one assembly Kenosha County includes three different assembly district. 6 districts, 61, 64, and 65? Do you see that? 6 Q Do you know who, who specifically decided where 7 A Yes. 7 the assembly district lines would be drawn with 8 Q All right. And then I'd also like you to look at respect to the city of Kenosha? q Racine County. And do you see Racine County 9 A In Act 43, no. 10 10 Q Do you know who made the decision to combine includes portions of Assembly Districts 62, 63, 11 64, and 66? 11 portions of the city of Racine and Kenosha in 12 12 A Yes. Assembly District 64? 13 13 Q All right. Now, under the 2002 redistricting A No. 14 14 Q When you drew your redistricting plans, did they plan, Racine was not split between assembly 15 15 districts; isn't that correct? treat Racine and Kenosha Counties different than 16 16 A That's not correct. where it ended up being included in Act 43? 17 17 Q Racine, the city of Racine, was split among A My recollection is yes. 18 18 Q All right. And how did the redistricting plan assembly districts in the 2002 redistricting plan? 19 A Yes. 19 that you drew differ from what was enacted in 20 20 Q All right. What districts was it split between? Act 43 with respect to Racine and 21 A I cannot recall those numbers off the top of my 21 Kenosha Counties? 22 22 A I could not answer that with any accuracy. head. 23 23 Q Racine and -- no parts of the city of Racine and Q Did the -- did the plan that you drew result in Kenosha were contained within the same assembly 24 less fracturing of the municipalities of Racine 25 district under the 2002 redistricting plan; is 25 and Kenosha?

Case/iBitotape4BitebosiPromort #OSEPHIW!: HANB/RICRaps/20/2019

- 1 A I can't answer that with any degree of accuracy.
- 2 Q You'd need to have the plan that you prepared to
- 3 be able to compare it with this one to answer that
- 4 question?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Did you ever have discussions with anyone about
- 7 the splits in the cities of Racine and Kenosha?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And who did you discuss that with?
- 10 A Mr. Ottman.
- 11 Q What did you and Mr. Ottman discuss?
- 12 A As I indicated a few minutes ago, when the map was
- assembled, I was asked to go in and look for a
- 14 variety of things, non-continuous parcels, and
- 15 there, there were some identified in that area.
- ${f Q}$ You identified some splits in that area that, that
- 17 you thought were not necessary; is that correct?
- 18 A There were splits in that area identified by a
- 19 splits report.
- 20 Q Right. And you pointed those out to Mr. Ottman?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Did you suggest that some of those splits be
- 23 eliminated?
- 24 A I don't recall.
- 25 Q The splits to which you're referring, are those 237

- 1 A Amongst assembly seats?
- 2 Q Correct.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. And what was the justification for --
- 5 strike that.
- 6 I assume the justification for splitting
- 7 Kenosha was, as you identified before, the
- 8 population was too large to fit within one?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q Were there any other justifications for the split
- 11 in Kenosha?
- 12 A Not that I can recall.
- 13 Q All right. Do you know what the justification was
- 14 for splitting Racine?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And what was that?
- 17 A Racine is too large to be confined in a single
- 18 assembly district.
- 19 Q Do you know what the justification was for drawing
- 20 Assembly District 64 as it's drawn?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q All right. Did you solicit any comments from any
- 23 legislatures who are representing municipalities
- 24 that were most significantly changed by Act 43?
- 25 A No.

239

- 1 identified in the handwritten notes that you
- 2 brought with you today?
- 3 A They would not be.
- 4 Q This was as part of Exhibit No. 2 then. Do you
- 5 have Exhibit No. 2 in front of you? And it was
- 6 the handwritten notes portion of it.
- 7 If we look at Kenosha on these handwritten
- 8 notes that are part of Exhibit No. 2, you
- 9 identified two splits with respect to
- 10 Kenosha County, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q All right. And that was going to be split between
- 13 Kenosha and Somers; is that right?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q What was the split?
- 16 A This indicates that the city of Kenosha was split,
- 17 and the town, village, or Somers was split.
- 18 Q Okay. And so your handwritten report doesn't
- 19 indicate how many different splits there were in
- 20 the municipality; is that correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q All right. You can set that down.
- 23 Do you know what the justification was for,
- 24 for splitting the municipalities of Racine and
- 25 Kenosha?

- ${f 1}$ ${f Q}$ Now, according to Mr. -- strike that question.
- 2 Have you read Mr. Gaddie's expert report?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Professor Gaddie I should say. And according to
- 5 his report, there are 11 new assembly districts
- 6 where incumbents are paired. Is that your
- 7 understanding?
- 8 A As a result of Act 43?
- 9 Q Correct.
- 10 A No, that's not my understanding.
- 11 Q Okay. What's your understanding about how many
- 12 assembly districts, new assembly districts where
- 13 incumbents are paired?
- 14 A My understanding as a result of Act 43 is that
- 15 there are ten assembly districts where incumbents
- 16 are paired.
- 17 Q Do you know who made the decision to make those
- 18 pairings?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q And there are two republican incumbents who are
- 21 paired in the new assembly districts, correct?
- 22 A My recollection is there are six.
- 23 Q There are six republicans that are republican
- 24 incumbents paired against each other?
- 25 A That's my recollection, yes.

Castibitotapet betosipponnopt #052philikd: HAND kifc Rago/26/2699

- 1 Q Do you know who decided to district -- redistrict
- 2 in a way that would pair those republican
- 3 incumbents?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Did you solicit or did any of the affected
- 6 republicans who are -- republican incumbents who
- 7 are paired contact you about those pairings?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q In any of the earlier versions of the
- 10 redistricting plan that you saw that ended up
- 11 being Act 43, were any of the republican pairings,
- 12 incumbent pairings different than in Act 43 as it
- 13 was passed?
- 14 A Please restate the question.
- 15 Q That's a terrible question. All right.
- 16 In any of the earlier versions of the
- 17 redistricting plans that you saw, were any of the
- 18 republican incumbent pairings different than in
- 19 Act 43 as passed?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And how are they different?
- 22 A I recall in one of my maps there was a three-way
- 23 pairing.
- 24 Q And what was that three-way pairing?
- 25 A I don't recall the specific legislatures.
 - 241
- 1 Q Do you recall the districts or approximately where
- 2 the districts were?
- 3 A My recollection is between Milwaukee and Madison.
- 4 Q Why was that three-way pairing changed?
- 5 A On my map it wasn't changed.
- 6 Q All right. But it was changed in the subsequent
- 7 map that was enacted as Act 43, correct?
- 8 A It wasn't changed.
- ${\bf 9}$ ${\bf Q}$ Why -- there's no longer a three-way pairing with
- 10 2011 Wisconsin Act 43, correct?
- 11 A As far as I know, there's not.
- 12 Q Okay. And why is there not when there was with
- 13 your map?
- 14 A Apparently my map was not adopted as Act 43.
- 15 Q That aspect of your map was not adopted, correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- ${f 17}$ ${f Q}$ Do you know why that aspect of your map was not
- 18 adopted?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Did you ever have discussions with anyone about
- 21 that three-way pairing that had been in your map?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q I'd like to draw your attention to the city of
- 24 Beloit. The city of Beloit is split between
- 25 Assembly Districts 31 and 45, correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Do you know why Beloit is split into two different
- 3 assembly districts?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone
- 6 about why Beloit is split?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know what the justification is for
- 9 splitting Beloit into two different assembly
- 10 districts?
- 11 A I do not, no.
- 12 Q Do you have a suspicion?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Why do you -- why do you suspect it was
- 15 split into two different assembly districts?
- MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
- may answer.
- 18 A Equal population.
- 19 Q Who would have made that decision to split Beloit
- 20 among two different assembly districts?
- 21 A I don't know.
- 22 Q Do you know whether Beloit was split into two
- 23 different assembly districts under the 2002
- 24 redistricting plan?
- 25 A I do not know.
- 243
- 1 Q All right. I'd like to draw your attention up to
- 2 Appleton. And Appleton is split among multiple
- 3 assembly districts, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Actually, let me go back and ask you one question.
- 6 In the -- in the redistricting plan that you drew,
- 7 was Beloit split between assembly districts?
- 8 A I do not recall.
- 9 Q Back up to Appleton. Do you know why it is split
- 10 among multiple assembly districts?
- 11 A I believe so.
- 12 Q And why do you believe it was split among multiple
- 13 assembly districts?
- 14 A I believe Appleton is too large to be confined in
- 15 a single assembly district.
- 16 Q Do you know why it wasn't split into fewer
- 17 districts?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone
- 20 about how Appleton should be split among assembly
- 21 districts?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And who did you speak with about that subject?
- 24 A Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
- ${\bf 25}\quad {\bf Q}$ All right. And what were -- what was the nature

- Castibitotapet between the castification of the cas of those conversations? one assembly district or two. 2 A To my recollection it was an understanding that 2 Q This was the -- this was the version of Act 43 3 the city of Appleton was split multiple ways under 3 that they asked you to look at the splits and see the 2002 court map. 4 if you could fix the splits; is that correct? 5 5 Q Okay. And that was the justification for A Yes. 6 splitting it multiple -- into multiple districts 6 Q All right. And you attempted to do that with the 7 7 city of Marshfield? in this plan as well? 8 A I do not know what the justification was this time 8 A I looked at it. as well. Q Okay. Did you actually use the software to 10 10 Q Okav. attempt to put Marshfield all within one assembly 11 11 A Or if that was the justification this time as district? 12 well. 12 A I used the software to look at the populations 13 Q It's just the historical fact that had been done 13 involved but did not attempt to put it in one. 14 14 Q All right. When you -- when you looked at the in the 2002 plan? 15 15 A Yes. populations involved with the city of Marshfield, 16 Q Did -- do you know whether Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman 16 were you able to draw any conclusions from looking 17 17 would have decided to split Appleton in this way? at that data about whether you could include 18 18 Marshfield within a single assembly district? A Can you restate the question? 19 19 Q Yes. Do you know whether Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman A Marshfield -- my understanding is Marshfield can 20 made the decision to split Appleton in the way 20 be contained within a single assembly district. 21 21 that's reflected in Act 43? Q Do you know why it was not? 22 22 A I do not know that. A I do not know why. 23 Q Was Appleton split in the same way in the map that 23 Q And did anybody ever tell you why it was not? 24 you drew? 24 A No. 25 25 Q All right. You can set the maps aside. A I do not recall the map that I drew for that, that 245 247 1 1 Mr. Handrick, we had discussed a little bit particular area. 2 2 Q I'd like to draw your attention up to the city of earlier about communications that you had with 3 Marshfield. And Marshfield is split into 3 people by e-mail. Do you recall those questions two assembly districts as well, correct? and the answers? A Yes. A Yes. 6 Q All right. Did you save any copies of the e-mail Q Do you know why Marshfield is split into 6 7 two assembly districts? 7 communications that you had with respect to A No, I do not. redistricting? q Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone q A Yes. 10 10 Q Okay. And where are those e-mail communications about splitting Marshfield into two different 11 assembly districts? 11 12 A Yes. 12 A On my -- in my -- in my electronic folder. 13 Q Okay. Who did you speak with about that topic? Q Okay. Does the Reinhart law firm have a document 14 14 A Mr. Ottman. management system? 15 Q And what was that -- what was the nature of that 15 A I don't know. 16 conversation? 16 Q Is there -- is there some kind of a central system 17 17 A In my assigned work to attempt to -- or to look at at Reinhart that saves e-mails and documents?
- 13

- 18 different splits and unassigned people,
- 19 discontinuous territory, et cetera, I was unable
- 20 to -- that was a split that I was not able to
- 21 address.
- 22 Q All right. So you attempted to keep Marshfield
- 23 within a single assembly district in the map that
 - you were drawing?
- 25 A In my maps, I do not recall if Marshfield was in

- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q And when you say that your e-mails are saved, do
- 20 you know if they're saved on your computer itself
- 21 or on your BlackBerry or in some other location at
- 22 Reinhart?
- 23 A I do not believe they are saved on the device
- themselves.
- 25 Q So to the extent that they're saved, they'd be

Case/iBitotape4BitebosiPromort #OSEPHIW!: HANB/RICRaps/28/26/99

```
1
       saved in some kind of central repository for
                                                                        question, you may answer.
2
                                                              2
                                                                 {f Q} And so with respect to any attorney-client or work
       electronic documents at Reinhart?
3
   A That would be my guess.
                                                              3
                                                                    product communications, are you going to follow
4
    Q Did you retain copies of any communications,
                                                                    your counsel's instructions and not answer the
5
                                                              5
       e-mail communications, that you sent on your own
                                                                    question?
6
       computer?
                                                              6
                                                                 A Yes.
7
                                                             7
                                                                 Q All right. With respect to any other
   A State the question again, please.
    Q Sure. Did you retain any copies of any e-mail
                                                              8
                                                                    communications that are -- would not -- are not
       communications that you sent on your own computer?
                                                                    arguably covered by the work product or the
10
                                                             10
    A Copies, no.
                                                                    attorney-client privilege, did you have any such
11
                                                             11
    Q Do you have your own computer at Reinhart?
                                                                    voicemails left for you pertaining to legislative
12
    A Yes.
                                                             12
                                                                    redistricting?
                                                             13
13
    Q Do you have a separate computer at home?
                                                                 A I would have no way of recalling that.
14
   A No.
                                                             14
                                                                 Q Do you know whether the -- whether Reinhart has a
15
                                                             15
    Q Do you save any text messages on your BlackBerry
                                                                    system that archives any of the voicemails that
16
                                                             16
                                                                    you receive either on the phone at your desk or on
    A If I do, I'm not aware that I do.
17
                                                             17
                                                                    your cell phone?
18
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} Okay. Do you know whether Reinhart has any kind
                                                             18
                                                                 A I don't know.
19
                                                             19
       of a centralized system that saves any text
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Those are all the
20
       messages that you send?
                                                             20
                                                                        questions that I have for now subject to the
21
                                                             21
   A I don't know.
                                                                        stipulation that we put -- that Eric put on
22
    Q Do you have a physical paper file that you keep at
                                                             22
                                                                        the record before.
23
                                                             23
                                                                                 MR. MCLEOD: Okay.
       your office at Reinhart?
                                                             24
    A State the question again, please.
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: Anyone else?
25
                                                             25
                                                                                 MR. HASSETT: Couple questions.
    Q Sure. Do you keep any -- not many of us do this
                          249
                                                                                       251
1
                                                              1
       anymore, but do you keep any hard copies of
                                                                                   EXAMINATION
2
       documents in your office at Reinhart?
                                                              2 By Mr. Hassett:
                                                              3
3
   A Yes.
                                                                 Q I just wanted to reiterate briefly. You said you
4
    Q Did you keep any hard copies of documents
                                                                    had nothing to do with Act 44?
       pertaining to the legislative redistricting work
                                                                 A That's correct.
6
                                                              6
                                                                 Q Legislative redistricting. And I believe you
       that you did?
7
   A No.
                                                              7
                                                                    said --
8
    Q Were there any voicemail messages that were left
                                                              8
                                                                                 MR. KASPER: Clarification.
q
       on your phone, either your cell phone or your
                                                              9
                                                                        Act 44, you said legislative redistricting.
                                                             10
10
                                                                                 MR. HASSETT: I'm sorry. Thanks
       phone at the Reinhart law firm, pertaining to
11
       legislative redistricting?
                                                             11
                                                                        for the correction, congressional
12
                                                             12
                    MR. KELLY: Objection. Do you want
                                                                        redistricting.
13
                                                             13
           to limit that temporally?
                                                                 Q And you said Tad Ottman, Ottman had nothing to do
14
                                                             14
                                                                    with it, as far as you know?
                    MR. POLAND: Let's just -- let's
15
           just say generally first.
                                                             15
                                                                 A As far as I know.
16
                                                             16
                    MR. KELLY: All right. Then I
                                                                 Q As far as you know. And Adam Foltz, do you know
17
                                                             17
           object to the extent that the question calls
                                                                    if he had any involvement in congressional
18
                                                             18
           for information protected by the
                                                                    redistricting?
19
           attorney-client privilege and work product
                                                             19
                                                                 A Not as far as I know.
20
                                                             20
           doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
                                                                 Q What's your understanding of who drew those lines,
21
           answer. That instruction pertains to any
                                                             21
                                                                    the congressional lines for Act 44?
22
           such voicemails on or after November 22,
                                                             22
                                                                 A I don't know.
                                                             23
23
           2011.
                                                                                 MR. HASSETT: All right. I have
24
                If there were any voicemails prior to
                                                             24
                                                                        nothing further.
25
                                                             25
                                                                                 MR. KELLY: Nothing from us.
           that point that is responsive to the
                                                                                       252
```

Page 249 to 252 of 255

Castibitotapet betosippownor #032pilike: 45/08/60/8492/26/26/9

```
MR. POLAND: Okay. I think then
                                                                                   In witness whereof I have hereunto set my
 2
                                                                    3
                                                                       hand and affixed my notarial seal this 22nd day of
            we're off the record.
                                                                       December 2011.
 3
                  (Adjourning at 5:36 p.m.)
                                                                    6
 4
                                                                                             Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
 5
                                                                    7
                                                                                             Registered Professional Reporter
 6
                                                                       My commission expires
                                                                        10/6/2013
 7
 8
                                                                   10
 9
                                                                   11
10
11
                                                                   12
12
                                                                   13
13
                                                                   14
14
                                                                   15
15
                                                                   16
16
17
                                                                   17
18
                                                                   18
19
                                                                   19
20
                                                                   20
21
                                                                   21
22
23
                                                                   22
24
                                                                   23
                                                                   24
25
                             253
                                                                                                255
```

```
STATE OF WISCONSIN )
                       ) ss.
   COUNTY OF DANE
 3
        I, CARMEN HARDER, a Registered Professional Reporter
 4
    and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in
    and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify
 6
    that pursuant to subpoena, there came before me on
 7
    the 20th day of December 2011, at 9:25 in the
 8
    forenoon, at the offices of Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.,
 9
    Attorneys at Law, One East Main Street, the City of
10
    Madison, County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, the
11
    following named person, to wit: JOSEPH W. HANDRICK,
12
    who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and
13
    nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and
14
    concerning the matters in controversy in this cause;
15
    that he was thereupon carefully examined upon his
16
    oath and his examination reduced to typewriting with
17
    computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is
18
    a true record of the testimony given by the witness;
19
    and that reading and signing was not waived.
20
              I further certify that I am neither
21
    attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
22
    by any of the parties to the action in which this
    deposition is taken and further that I am not a
23
    relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
25
    employed by the parties hereto or financially
```

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/25/2699

18:21, 19:15, 19:24, 26:5, 26:11, 32:21, \$ **15** [24] - 4:13, 21:22, 128:19, 129:7, 26:5, 26:11, 35:1, 20:20, 20:22, 21:13, 35:1, 35:16, 37:3, 130:15, 130:24, 35:15, 37:6, 40:9, 21:15, 21:19, 22:4, 37:7, 41:16, 41:17, 137:16, 137:20, **\$5,000** [3] - 36:4, 41:16, 42:9, 68:6, 24:2, 26:4, 26:10, 42:9, 42:10, 52:18, 137:22, 138:3, 139:9, 86:15, 86:23 86:17, 86:19, 94:22, 58:22, 58:25, 87:11, 55:5, 55:12, 56:16, 139:12, 140:7, 140:8, 99:13, 100:6, 121:6, 87:12, 87:14, 88:8, 76:7, 76:8, 81:1, 141:15, 141:18, 147:8, 157:2, 188:9, 88:10, 90:10, 93:17, 83:10, 83:17, 86:5, 141:22, 142:1, 86:17, 87:21, 88:18, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 161:3, 161:6, 170:6, 188:13, 188:14, 172:2, 172:11, 91:25, 102:25, 144:12, 144:17, 190:3, 223:20 '92 [1] - 69:8 216:10, 238:4, 238:5, 104:25, 110:2, 144:20, 146:11, **16** [12] - 4:14, 94:22, 'client' [1] - 35:11 101:16, 157:2, 238:8 111:25, 114:16, 146:17, 146:23, 190:24, 191:1, 191:3, **2/15/2011** [1] - 3:18 115:10, 115:13, 147:3, 199:18, 1 201:25, 211:24, 191:24, 192:13, **2/17/2011** [1] - 3:20 115:22, 115:23, 193:25, 194:1, 212:5 2/18/2011 [1] - 3:21 116:23, 117:2, 120:2, 215:19, 215:22, 120:5, 120:19, 121:6, 232:19, 232:23, **17** [17] - 3:15, 3:17, 20 [11] - 1:20, 4:24, 1 [19] - 3:12, 12:15, 121:10, 123:18, 4:17, 7:7, 21:21, 37:3, 233:10, 250:22 72:8, 147:8, 187:17, 12:16, 12:19, 12:25, 124:7, 124:11, 126:3, 224 [1] - 3:5 37:7, 37:18, 94:22, 215:19, 215:21, 13:7, 13:13, 13:14, 126:6, 126:11, **22nd** [1] - 255:3 102:6, 157:2, 157:9, 232:19, 232:23, 13:24, 15:22, 15:25, 126:24, 127:2, 194:9, 194:11, 233:7, 234:3 **23** [2] - 21:7, 211:24 16:18, 17:7, 17:10, 127:19, 128:20, 194:14, 194:17, **232** [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 2000 [4] - 25:2, 19:17, 87:7, 161:14, 130:15, 130:24, 195:19 58:15, 58:17, 59:11 163:7, 163:9 137:5, 137:16, **18** [21] - 4:20, 21:20, 2001 [19] - 25:8, **25** [1] - 55:12 **1-19** [1] - 5:7 137:20, 137:22, 37:20, 104:25, 168:3, 58:22, 58:25, 59:18, **252** [1] - 3:6 **1-22** [1] - 5:6 168:4, 168:21, 138:4, 139:9, 139:12, 61:6, 61:9, 61:13, **26** [2] - 14:24, 156:7 **1/25/2011** [1] - 3:25 141:15, 141:18, 168:23, 168:24, 62:8, 62:14, 65:13, **26(a** [2] - 4:4, 91:10 **10** [15] - 4:4, 91:2, 141:22, 142:1, 169:4, 194:9, 194:11, 65:15, 65:21, 65:24, 26(a)(1 [1] - 93:17 91:5, 91:13, 91:16, 142:12, 144:13, 194:14, 195:25, 66:9, 67:12, 69:13, **262** [1] - 7:24 91:21, 96:4, 96:5, 144:20, 159:17, 196:1, 196:8, 196:11, 73:6, 77:12, 189:20 **28** [1] - 194:19 98:14, 156:6, 156:8, 196:21, 197:5, 212:5 160:2, 160:8, 171:8, **2002** [18] - 48:4, 29 [2] - 211:23, 156:13, 156:16, 159:3 174:8, 175:3, 189:18, **188** [2] - 4:12, 4:13 58:15, 66:9, 69:14, 211:24 **10/6/2013** [1] - 255:8 190:11, 194:19, **19** [6] - 3:16, 4:22, 81:1, 83:10, 83:14, **2A** [17] - 3:16, 19:8, **1000** [1] - 7:10 197:19, 199:18, 197:14, 202:19, 85:24, 123:8, 123:16, 19:10, 19:13, 19:20, **104** [1] - 4:6 201:21, 201:25, 206:4, 207:4 210:13, 210:14, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, **106** [1] - 4:8 202:11, 203:6, 190 [1] - 4:16 234:13, 234:18, 26:9, 31:14, 47:23, **108**[1] - 4:10 217:19, 222:10, 194 [1] - 4:19 234:25, 243:23, 48:14, 168:7, 168:13, 11 [13] - 4:6, 92:23, 233:9, 233:11, 235:3, **195** [1] - 4:21 245:4, 245:14 169:21, 170:2 93:13, 97:6, 104:15, 242:10, 250:23, 197 [1] - 4:23 **2003** [2] - 58:3, 59:2 104:18, 104:22, 254:7, 255:4 2003-2004 [2] -1990 [5] - 63:14, 3 105:1, 107:7, 157:1, **2012** [2] - 36:6, 86:19 63:19, 63:20, 63:23, 57:25, 58:6 157:8, 157:9, 240:5 20th [2] - 6:13, 254:7 2004 [4] - 58:3, 69:7 11-CV-1011 [1] -21 [4] - 5:3, 191:6, **3** [15] - 3:17, 17:22, 67:16, 75:1, 75:3 **1990s** [3] - 64:4, 17:23, 18:3, 18:11, 232:23, 233:23 70:20, 72:5 **2005** [3] - 55:24, **11-CV-562** [1] - 1:12 18:21, 19:15, 31:24, **2100** [1] - 7:11 57:7, 57:19 **1991** [4] - 25:9, **12** [10] - 3:13, 4:7, 22 [78] - 5:4, 14:24, 32:3, 32:6, 56:2, 56:4, 2006 [2] - 56:2, 56:4 63:20, 69:7, 85:24 93:24, 94:14, 97:11, 15:5, 15:6, 15:10, 68:1, 90:10, 207:16 2009 [4] - 57:5, 75:8, **1991-1992** [1] - 71:21 106:16, 106:20, 30:24, 31:7, 31:8, **30** [3] - 55:5, 147:8, **1992** [3] - 25:9, 64:8, 75:13, 75:24 157:1, 159:4, 207:11 33:11, 40:12, 41:17, 212:5 **2009-2010** [1] - 57:4 64:14 **12/13/2011** [1] - 3:12 **300** [1] - 6:23 42:10, 81:2, 81:4, 1994 [2] - 58:17, 2010 [30] - 26:25, **12/2/2011** [1] - 4:20 87:23, 87:25, 88:17, 30th [2] - 187:18, 62:23 40:3, 49:9, 54:9, 56:5, **13** [19] - 4:9, 13:7, 187:19 102:25, 111:3, 112:9, **1995** [3] - 58:21, 57:6, 57:7, 57:19, 13:10, 94:22, 94:25, 113:2, 114:5, 114:8, 31 [2] - 21:11, 242:25 63:2, 189:20 74:15, 74:23, 75:5, 95:3, 97:23, 108:4, 115:3, 115:10, **34** [5] - 3:19, 3:20, 75:11, 75:19, 76:5, **1996** [2] - 53:21, 63:6 108:7, 108:13, 115:22, 116:23, 3:22, 63:3, 63:9 76:7, 76:17, 76:24, **1998** [1] - 63:6 108:18, 157:2, 117:2, 117:18, **36** [1] - 215:18 77:5, 85:8, 85:17, **1**½ [1] - 210:13 197:19, 198:12, 117:19, 118:24, 92:2, 93:3, 96:8, 201:21, 202:11, 120:2, 120:5, 120:18, 4 96:20, 97:3, 97:8, 2 203:5, 203:24 120:19, 121:7, 126:3, 99:13, 124:23, 175:14 **14** [10] - 4:11, 94:22, 126:6, 126:11, **2011** [100] - 1:20, 5:3, 98:23, 100:2, 157:2, **4** [15] **-** 3:18, 34:5, 126:23, 127:1, 2 [35] - 3:14, 17:21, 5:4, 6:13, 21:11, 188:9, 188:12, 34:14, 34:17, 34:18, 127:19, 128:8, 17:23, 18:1, 18:11, 23:16, 25:3, 25:16, 188:13, 188:15, 190:9

34:20, 34:23, 34:25,

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

38:19, 67:24, 68:22, 223:20 801.50(4m [4] - 4:15, 117:8, 117:10, 195:22, 196:3, 84:16, 207:9, 207:21, **500** [1] - 6:20 4:19, 189:11, 190:1 139:18, 139:19, 254:22, 255:1 209:24 **53** [1] - 3:23 839 [1] - 6:23 145:10, 177:13, activity [1] - 218:23 40 [1] - 222:17 53021 [1] - 7:23 8th [15] - 224:18, 184:23, 184:25, 211:5 Acts [11] - 25:3, **400** [1] - 7:4 Accountability [8] -25:17, 92:5, 96:11, 225:2, 226:18, **53202** [3] - 6:24, **417** [1] - 7:23 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 96:23, 109:10, 124:3, 7:11, 7:14 226:23, 226:25, 6:5, 14:13, 105:25, **43** [58] - 4:24, 5:4, 156:18, 197:10, **53703** [4] - 6:20, 7:4, 227:14, 227:16, 106:4 25:3, 25:17, 92:5, 227:23, 228:1, 205:19, 222:17 7:7, 7:18 96:11, 96:23, 109:10, 228:25, 229:9, accounts [1] - 182:1 acts [1] - 118:6 **54** [3] - 3:25, 70:5, 229:15, 230:2, 231:3, 124:3, 124:14, 70:7 accuracy [2] -Adam [11] - 42:3, 232:4 236:22, 237:1 127:17, 127:21, 45:22, 50:6, 50:24, **55** [1] - 71:11 128:15, 128:21, accurate [2] - 53:14, 101:9, 150:14, **57** [2] - 164:4, 164:5 145:16, 154:11, 9 230:3 151:18, 160:13, **58** [1] - 164:4 154:21, 155:4, 231:10, 232:6, 252:16 accurately [1] -5:36 [1] - 253:3 155:18, 155:21, 231:1 Adams [3] - 163:17, **9** [18] **-** 4:3, 56:16, 156:18, 175:3. ACD[1] - 161:16 163:19 6 66:18, 66:20, 66:22, 197:10, 205:19, added [1] - 164:7 acknowledge [1] -67:1, 67:24, 69:25, 205:23, 212:12, 187:25 addition [6] - 66:11, 91:25, 151:9, 151:10, 6 [11] - 3:21, 34:9, 212:17, 212:19, act [2] - 169:17, 110:11, 209:3, 207:10, 207:21, 34:14, 37:11, 37:12, 213:14, 214:13, 218:20 209:18, 233:12, 221:23, 222:3, 222:8, 37:16, 37:20, 38:2, 214:21, 215:11, 233:13 **Act** [77] - 4:24, 5:3, 224:8, 224:13 38:20, 86:9, 156:12 217:10, 217:13, 5:4, 124:14, 125:6, additional [3] -**91** [2] - 4:5, 23:6 **61** [1] - 234:6 219:1, 219:20, 125:7, 125:9, 125:10, 110:16, 133:16, 9:25 [1] - 254:7 219:23, 220:4, 62 [1] - 234:10 169:14 125:15, 127:17, 9:26 [1] - 6:13 222:17, 223:6, 223:9, **63** [1] - 234:10 127:21, 128:15, additionally [1] -9th [15] - 224:18, 233:8, 233:11, 235:3, 64 [6] - 234:6, 128:21, 145:16, 98:11 225:3, 226:18, 234:11, 235:6, 236:9, 236:16. 150:23, 151:3, 151:6, address [6] - 138:11, 226:24, 226:25, 236:20, 239:24, 235:17, 236:12, 154:11, 154:21, 138:14, 138:15, 227:14, 227:16, 240:8, 240:14, 239:20 155:4, 155:18, 138:18, 138:23, 227:23, 228:1, 229:1, 241:11, 241:12, **65** [2] - 234:6, 235:17 155:21, 155:22, 246:21 229:9, 229:15, 230:2, 241:19, 242:7, **66** [3] - 4:3, 72:11, 155:24, 156:4, addressed [1] - 69:6 231:3, 232:4 242:10, 242:14, 234:11 156:11, 156:23, addressing [1] -245:21, 247:2 **67** [2] - 72:10, 73:17 157:4, 157:17, 190:22 Α **44** [32] **-** 5:3, 25:3, **68** [3] - 72:9, 74:5, 157:24, 158:22, Adjourning [1] -25:17, 92:5, 96:11, 74:6 175:3, 205:20, 253:3 96:24, 109:10, 124:4, 205:23, 208:24, adopted [7] - 73:11, abbreviated [1] -125:6, 125:7, 125:9, 7 209:16, 209:17, 225:18, 228:4, 229:8, 10:25 125:10, 125:15, 209:18, 210:25, 242:14, 242:15, abbreviating [1] -155:18, 155:22, 212:12, 212:17, 242:18 10:9 **7** [8] - 3:23, 53:1, 155:24, 156:4, 212:19, 213:14, **adoption** [1] - 85:15 abbreviation [1] -53:2, 53:5, 53:8, 156:11, 156:18, 214:13, 214:21, advance [1] - 215:24 161:19 159:10, 159:14, 156:23, 157:4, 215:11, 217:10, advice [12] - 85:7, abilities [1] - 70:15 216:10 157:17, 157:24, 217:13, 219:1, 85:14, 85:18, 85:20, ability [4] - 165:21, 7/13/2011 [1] - 4:23 158:22, 197:10, 219:20, 219:23, 90:2, 101:21, 109:5, 166:1, 166:5, 212:7 **700** [1] - 7:17 205:19, 205:20, 220:4, 222:17, 223:6, 125:1, 148:17, able [9] - 12:6, 71:2, **751** [1] - 4:13 233:9, 233:23, 252:4, 223:9, 233:8, 233:9, 175:13, 177:2, 215:10 71:9, 166:11, 180:15, **751.035** [6] - 4:14, 252:9, 252:21 233:11, 233:23, affect [1] - 75:14 184:2, 237:3, 246:20, 4:19, 188:25, 190:5, 447-2199 [1] - 7:24 235:3, 236:9, 236:16, affected [1] - 241:5 247:16 190:10, 190:14 **45** [1] - 242:25 236:20, 239:24, affirmatively [1] absolute [2] -777 [1] - 7:14 **47** [2] - 68:11, 216:9 240:8, 240:14, 210:18, 210:23 **48** [2] - 200:8, 230:25 241:11, 241:12, affixed [1] - 255:3 acceptable [3] -8 **4m** [1] - 188:19 241:19, 242:7, African [2] - 168:15, 213:3, 213:11, 215:7 242:10, 242:14, 168:25 accepted [1] - 38:6 5 245:21, 247:2, 252:4, African-American 8 [10] - 3:24, 54:19, access [4] - 204:16, 252:9, 252:21 54:22, 151:9, 151:10, [2] - 168:15, 168:25 204:20, 204:25, Action [2] - 4:11, age [8] - 6:2, 168:24, 221:23, 222:2, 222:8, 217:16 **5** [15] **-** 3:20, 34:9, 4:16 224:8, 224:12 169:1, 222:2, 222:6, according [5] -34:14, 37:11, 37:12, action [11] - 187:11, 8/233 [1] - 3:4 229:14, 229:20, 230:1 10:20, 72:3, 163:25, 37:15, 37:17, 38:2, 188:22, 189:1, 189:4, ago [5] - 40:1, **801.17** [1] - 4:11 240:1, 240:4 38:20, 91:15, 96:6, 191:5, 191:12, 195:3, 128:14, 185:12, 801.50 [1] - 188:18 account [13] - 117:6, 108:15, 156:8, 189:1,

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/20/2019

211:1, 237:12 agree [4] - 74:2, 208:3, 216:2, 216:18 agreed [3] - 8:19, 158:5, 186:24 agreement [3] - 8:11, 188:3, 188:5 ahead [7] - 8:13, 34:7, 78:9, 104:14, 193:9, 223:21, 223:25 aided [1] - 254:17 airport [1] - 147:18 al [4] - 6:3, 6:5, 6:21, 6:25 allegations [1] -107:6 Alliance [1] - 58:10 allocation [1] -208:13 allowing [1] - 10:23 almost [1] - 72:8 Alternative [1] - 4:15 **Alvin** [2] - 6:3, 6:21 **ALVIN** [1] - 1:3 ambiguous [15] -43:10, 43:23, 44:20, 49:22, 60:23, 84:6, 96:14, 97:19, 109:13, 111:11, 112:17, 113:9, 113:23, 116:2, 154:14 Amended [9] - 4:4, 4:6, 4:8, 4:21, 91:10, 104:23, 106:23, 107:8 amended [5] - 106:1, 106:5, 106:14, 196:2 amendment [1] -225:16 American [2] -168:15, 168:25 amount [1] - 211:25 **AMY** [1] - 1:7 analysis [12] - 72:20, 86:2, 86:4, 159:15, 159:21, 160:7, 160:12, 160:18, 160:21, 160:24, 165:15, 165:20 analytic [1] - 70:15 analyzing [1] -212:13 Anesthesiologists [1] - 57:22 anesthesiologists [1] - 59:2 answer [187] - 12:1, 12:8, 20:15, 27:18, 30:2, 31:2, 31:4, 31:5, 33:7, 33:18, 40:19, 40:22, 40:23, 41:6,

41:9, 41:11, 41:14, 43:11, 43:13, 43:24, 49:21, 49:23, 60:25, 79:13, 79:15, 80:16, 80:17, 82:3, 82:6, 82:24, 83:1, 83:6, 84:8, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:22, 92:18, 92:20, 93:20, 93:22, 94:17, 95:21, 96:15, 97:1, 97:20, 98:10, 98:16, 98:21, 99:9, 99:11, 99:23, 99:25, 102:2, 102:4, 102:15, 102:17, 103:3, 103:15, 103:17, 105:12, 105:21, 105:22, 106:9, 106:11, 106:13, 107:13, 107:15, 109:4, 109:6, 110:25, 111:6, 111:12, 111:13, 111:14, 111:15, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 112:25, 113:6, 113:11, 113:12, 114:3, 114:6, 114:8, 115:4, 115:5, 115:8, 116:2, 119:12, 119:15, 119:17, 120:9, 121:1, 121:3, 122:19, 122:22, 122:23, 123:1, 126:3, 126:7, 127:10, 127:12, 127:14, 129:1, 129:2, 129:11, 129:17, 129:21, 131:6, 131:10, 131:15, 133:24, 134:3, 134:5, 134:14, 134:20, 135:1, 137:13, 137:15, 137:17, 137:19, 142:20, 145:23, 146:8, 154:14, 156:13, 161:12, 173:13, 177:1, 177:3, 181:11, 181:13, 182:13, 182:15, 183:19, 183:21, 185:7, 185:25, 186:6, 187:5, 191:22, 192:2, 192:5, 192:18, 192:22, 193:11, 193:17, 193:20, 195:9, 195:11, 196:17, 196:19, 199:2, 199:6, 199:8,

202:3, 202:5, 209:7,

210:20, 211:13,

213:24, 214:3, 214:7, 216:7, 216:20, 216:22, 216:24, 216:25, 217:7, 218:2, 218:7, 218:9, 219:13, 220:1, 225:5, 231:15, 236:22, 237:1, 237:3, 243:17, 250:21, 251:1, 251:4 **Answer** [3] - 4:7, 106:22, 216:23 answered [2] -44:25, 130:1 answers [8] - 107:5, 145:20, 145:25, 146:1, 146:2, 146:5, 146:6, 248:4 anticipated [1] -73:12 anticipation [1] -9:22 apart [2] - 20:10, 235:12 apologize [2] -112:11, 213:18 appeal [2] - 10:17, 187:7 appeals [1] - 190:6 appear [3] - 23:2, 23:4, 170:24 appearing [8] - 6:20, 6:24, 7:4, 7:8, 7:11, 7:14, 7:18, 10:18 appellate [1] -187:12 appended [1] -194:22 Appleton [9] - 244:2, 244:9, 244:14, 244:20, 245:3, 245:17, 245:20, 245:23 application [2] -23:14, 166:2 apply [1] - 55:17 Appointment [2] -4:14, 4:18 apportionment [3] -124:22, 188:22, 189:2 appreciate [2] -10:22, 224:1 approached [1] -77:7 appropriate [9] -92:3, 96:9, 96:21, 129:13, 156:21, 172:13, 187:2, 188:2,

archives [1] - 251:15 area [12] - 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 29:1, 75:16, 75:18, 75:22, 76:21, 237:15, 237:16, 237:18, 246:1 areas [3] - 208:17, 225:25, 233:19 **arguably** [1] - 251:9 argue [3] - 73:3, 73:14, 74:18 arguments [2] -11:6, 11:12 arise [1] - 75:7 arrangement [1] -38:7 arrived [1] - 18:9 artisan [1] - 74:12 ascertain [1] -235:11 Ashland [1] - 163:23 aside [4] - 37:10, 174:10, 178:25, 247:25 **ASM**[3] - 161:15, 161:16, 161:18 aspect [2] - 242:15, 242:17 aspects [1] - 208:25 aspirations [2] -75:3, 75:7 assembled [1] -237:13 assembly [85] - 9:21, 48:25, 58:17, 58:20, 58:25, 60:6, 60:7, 60:13, 62:21, 62:25, 63:17, 73:19, 73:21, 118:1, 122:6, 151:11, 151:15, 151:19, 151:23, 152:1, 152:12, 152:19, 152:24, 153:4, 154:6, 154:11, 154:20, 161:19, 164:20, 210:8, 210:10, 210:11, 221:19, 224:19, 225:3, 225:10, 225:11, 226:18, 226:24, 226:25, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 229:1, 229:9, 229:15, 230:2, 231:3, 232:4, 233:13, 234:5. 234:14. 234:18, 234:24, 235:20, 235:22, 236:1, 236:4, 236:7, 239:1, 239:18, 240:5, 240:12, 240:15,

240:21, 243:3, 243:9, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 244:3, 244:7, 244:10, 244:13, 244:15, 244:20, 246:4, 246:7, 246:11, 246:23, 247:1, 247:10, 247:18, 247:20 Assembly [19] -4:24, 7:19, 35:9, 63:3, 84:22, 121:15, 151:9, 151:10, 221:23, 222:7, 224:7, 224:12, 233:8, 234:10, 235:5, 235:17, 236:12, 239:20, 242:25 assert [23] - 16:21, 27:12, 27:13, 29:23, 33:3, 33:8, 40:16, 41:21, 96:25, 97:17, 113:8, 115:25, 119:6, 122:14, 133:20, 134:11, 134:17, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 187:1, 211:8 asserted [4] -131:14, 185:18, 192:3, 193:4 asserting [1] - 10:7 assertion [3] - 199:9, 214:4, 215:16 assess [1] - 213:2 assessment [1] -86:1 assigned [2] -170:23, 246:17 Assignment [2] -32:2, 190:5 Assignments [1] -3:17 assist [12] - 29:18, 51:2, 59:10, 66:7, 85:20, 96:9, 96:21, 97:23, 98:23, 101:16, 102:6, 148:16 assistance [6] -39:21, 84:11, 85:6, 100:4, 124:20, 124:24 **Assistant** [1] - 7:6 assistant [1] - 43:17 **assistants** [1] - 50:6 assisted [4] - 92:2, 95:6, 97:4, 101:20 assisting [5] - 9:19, 30:7, 50:23, 135:7, 225:23 associated [1] -72:23 Association [7] -

April [6] - 27:2, 27:3,

222:10, 224:22, 225:7

211:3

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/28/2699

56:13, 56:19, 57:4, 57:12, 58:12, 205:15, 205:23 assume [3] - 13:9, 50:24, 239:6 assumption [1] -187:6 attach [2] - 196:5, 196:7 attached [5] - 3:12, 4:20, 5:6, 21:20, 191:7 attempt [3] - 246:17, 247:10, 247:13 attempted [2] -246:22, 247:6 attended [1] - 53:25 attention [19] -15:21, 36:3, 70:4, 70:8, 72:9, 73:16, 74:4, 91:15, 159:4, 188:17, 190:4, 207:10, 207:21, 211:23, 215:18, 216:9, 242:23, 244:1, 246:2 attorney [88] - 8:23, 9:16, 9:17, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:1, 30:25, 33:5, 36:23, 40:18, 41:10, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7, 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 132:18, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 179:14, 181:8, 181:9, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:6, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10, 254:21, 254:24 Attorney [8] - 5:25, 6:19, 6:22, 7:6, 7:9,

7:13, 7:16, 133:22 attorney-client [65] -9:16, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:25, 33:5, 40:18, 41:10, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7, 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 181:8, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10 attorneys [1] - 8:21 Attorneys [9] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:23, 7:3, 7:3, 7:10, 7:13, 7:17, 254:9 attractive [1] - 71:5 attributing [1] -70.25 audibly [4] - 12:1, 12:9, 161:12, 173:13 August [3] - 21:11, 91:25, 124:2 **authored** [1] - 67:6 autoBound [23] -24:16, 24:18, 24:19, 24:21, 24:23, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:12, 25:16, 25:19, 26:1, 62:7, 152:2, 152:12, 152:16, 166:4, 166:6, 166:9, 168:9, 169:7, 172:7, 220:10 automatically [1] -169:10 available [4] - 25:12, 26:22, 26:24, 187:20 **Avenue** [1] - 7:14 avoidable [1] - 212:2 aware [20] - 14:19, 45:3, 100:12, 173:3, 173:7, 173:9, 173:20,

В 147:25 226:12 175:3 121:13 86:17 75:8, 87:20 72:18 95:6 BELL [1] - 1:7 Beloit [8] - 242:24, 243:2, 243:6, 243:9, 243:19, 243:22, 244:7

185:11, 190:17, 194:4, 195:21, 197:1, 197:8, 198:5, 198:11, 199:14, 220:18, 220:24, 221:1, 249:17 Baldus [2] - 6:3, 6:21 **BALDUS** [1] - 1:3 **BALDWIN** [1] - 1:10 ballpark [4] - 44:10, 135:13, 135:15, Barbara [3] - 123:10, 123:12, 123:13 **BARBERA** [1] - 1:3 **BARLAND** [2] - 1:16, Barron [1] - 163:23 based [8] - 72:22, 98:7, 98:13, 98:15, 99:6, 99:20, 219:4, basis [7] - 89:24, 94:15, 95:19, 112:4, 130:9, 201:25, 202:3 Bay [1] - 164:13 **Bayfield** [1] - 163:23 Bear [1] - 57:12 became [6] - 26:22, 63:25, 70:15, 75:13, 124:14, 125:15 **BECHEN** [1] - 1:3 become [2] - 26:24, becoming [1] - 75:10 beforehand [1] began [2] - 55:24, **begin** [4] - 9:5, 11:15, 56:1, 109:9 beginning [3] - 36:5, begins [2] - 21:6, behalf [20] - 6:2, 6:20, 6:24, 7:4, 7:8, 7:11, 7:14, 7:18, 8:15, 8:21, 44:2, 57:3, 57:8, 58:5, 64:1, 83:23, 91:23, 93:2, 94:2,

BEST [1] - 7:17 Best [94] - 15:19, 27:20, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 32:10, 32:13, 32:15, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 44:12, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:16, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:18, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:2, 62:16, 65:18, 84:10, 87:3, 88:4, 100:22, 110:10, 113:17, 114:21, 116:9, 119:2, 119:21, 120:14, 121:10, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:11, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:5, 135:10, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 148:9, 148:21, 149:12, 154:19, 160:15, 160:19, 172:19, 174:14, 174:21, 175:5, 175:7, 175:10, 178:12, 178:19, 178:22, 179:13, 179:19, 180:1, 182:20, 183:6, 200:11, 204:19, 204:21, 231:1 best [7] - 52:1, 53:15, 86:10, 110:5, 124:23, 150:6, 212:7 Best's [12] - 32:17, 32:22, 33:1, 136:23, 137:1, 146:24, 155:3, 166:16, 167:10, 178:5, 182:24, 204:24 between [30] - 24:7, 27:15, 37:6, 38:25, 40:11, 41:16, 58:24, 83:9, 115:16, 139:4, 153:3, 169:16, 171:24, 176:22, 189:20, 208:10, 219:23, 222:10, 224:22, 225:6, 234:14, 234:20, 235:16, 235:20,

242:24, 244:7 **below** [1] - 163:16 beyond [2] - 54:3, 80:17 **BIENDSEIL** [1] - 1:3 **bill** [1] - 225:14 bills [7] - 88:24, 142:25, 143:12, 143:17, 201:1, 201:5, 201:9 **bio** [5] - 53:9, 53:11, 53:14, 53:17, 54:5 **Bio** [1] - 3:23 **bit** [4] - 34:4, 155:16, 156:9, 248:1 Black [5] - 168:3, 168:12, 168:14, 168:21 black [1] - 168:23 BlackBerry [4] -177:20, 177:22, 248:21, 249:15 Block [2] - 3:17, 32:1 block [1] - 233:4 blocks [9] - 170:7, 170:10, 171:3, 171:8, 219:4, 230:6, 230:11, 230:14, 230:20 Board [8] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 6:5, 14:13, 105:25, 106:4 bodies [5] - 91:22, 93:1, 94:1, 95:5, 159:16 **BOERNER** [1] - 7:10 **Boerner** [1] - 14:6 **book** [16] - 4:3, 66:12, 66:15, 66:24, 66:25, 67:5, 67:19, 68:8, 68:20, 68:23, 69:6, 70:1, 72:3, 75:1, 83:19 **BOONE** [2] - 1:4 **Born** [2] - 4:3, 67:5 bottom [5] - 24:13, 55:4, 170:6, 170:24, 190:10 boundaries [15] -92:4, 95:10, 95:11, 96:10, 96:22, 98:1, 98:3, 125:18, 126:13, 126:25, 129:14, 136:8, 156:22, 158:17, 184:7 boundary [2] -170:17, 170:19 break [7] - 69:22, 138:2, 155:7, 155:10, 155:11, 185:22, 186:15 236:1, 238:12, 242:3, **BRENNAN** [2] - 1:15,

belong [1] - 206:17

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/28/2699

2:14 **BRETT** [1] - 1:5 briefly [1] - 252:3 bring [4] - 13:21, 16:9, 16:11, 16:12 broad [1] - 191:17 broader [1] - 225:5 broke [2] - 69:24, 174:11 broken [3] - 50:11, 50:13, 50:15 brought [6] - 16:22, 17:17, 31:23, 90:8, 143:1, 238:2 Brown [1] - 164:12 BS [1] - 53:17 building [2] - 117:17, 117:22 built [1] - 215:20 **BUMPUS** [1] - 1:4 burden [1] - 9:25 Bureau [1] - 79:22 Burnett [1] - 163:23 bye [2] - 178:25, 232:17

C

calculations [4] -149:9, 205:6, 205:9, 205:10 calendar [1] - 23:16 Calumet [4] - 162:13, 163:3, 163:5, 164:22 camera [1] - 233:5 campaign [1] - 122:5 Campbell [2] - 7:22, 7:22 candidates [1] -72:24 **CANE** [2] - 1:15, 2:14 cannot [7] - 110:16, 117:23, 138:9, 140:17, 226:10, 234:21, 235:11 capacity [2] - 1:14, 2:13 capitol [2] - 117:17, 117:22 Caption [1] - 1:17 care [3] - 33:11, 130:13, 191:14 Career [1] - 72:16 career [1] - 25:6 carefully [1] - 254:15 **CARLENE** [1] - 1:3 Carmen [2] - 1:21, 6:8

CARMEN [1] - 254:3

case [12] - 15:1, 77:19, 77:23, 81:8, 103:25, 104:10, 104:12, 105:15, 107:19, 108:1, 114:2, 190:22 Case [1] - 2:11 categories [9] -15:22, 15:24, 16:5, 16:16, 16:20, 96:3, 168:1, 169:19, 170:13 category [4] -168:18, 192:8, 199:20 **CATES** [1] - 7:3 caucus [1] - 73:18 cavern [1] - 191:14 cc [1] - 37:24 cc'd [1] - 39:14 **CD** [5] - 3:17, 17:22, 18:4, 31:25, 136:25 **CECELIA** [1] - 1:7 cell [6] - 116:16, 140:18, 140:21, 140:24, 250:9, 251:17 **Census** [3] - 24:4, 24:14, 235:21 census [39] - 26:20, 26:22, 26:24, 26:25, 27:4, 27:19, 28:3, 49:9, 59:11, 63:23, 75:5, 75:12, 75:20, 76:17, 76:24, 77:5, 85:8, 85:17, 92:2, 93:3, 93:4, 96:8, 96:20, 97:3, 97:7, 97:8, 99:14, 100:7, 124:23, 170:10, 171:3, 171:8, 175:14, 219:4, 230:6, 230:11, 230:13, 230:20, 235:25 central [2] - 248:16, centralized [1] -249:19 certain [3] - 132:21, 164:6, 211:25 certainly [3] - 16:25, 41:19, 103:23 certify [2] - 254:5, 254:20 cetera [2] - 10:11, 246:19 chair [5] - 55:22, 56:1, 56:3, 63:22, 76:16 chairman [2] - 75:23, 76:11 challenge [2] -188:22, 189:1

challenges [1] -155:21 chance [2] - 68:21, 185:16 change [2] - 95:25, 186:15 changed [6] - 96:2, 239:24, 242:4, 242:5, 242:6, 242:8 changes [1] - 187:15 changing [1] -185:22 Chapter [4] - 4:11, 4:13, 67:24, 68:22 chapter [2] - 67:23, 68:22 charge [1] - 130:6 **charging** [1] - 86:14 check [2] - 88:20, 88:21 Chicago [1] - 231:17 choice [1] - 211:20 chose [1] - 76:23 **CINDY** [1] - 1:3 Circuit [1] - 194:19 circumstances [2] -72:24, 187:24 cities [1] - 237:7 citizen [1] - 229:19 citizens [2] - 229:20, 230:1 citizenship [2] -229:13, 229:17 City [2] - 6:11, 254:9 city [22] - 28:22, 29:2, 161:22, 164:24, 164:25, 221:20, 234:17, 234:23, 235:4, 235:16, 236:1, 236:3, 236:8, 236:11, 238:16, 242:23, 242:24, 245:3, 246:2, 247:7, 247:15 civil [3] - 161:18, 161:20, 162:22 claiming [1] - 199:15 claims [2] - 91:20, 155:18 **CLARENCE** [1] - 1:5 clarification [6] -9:11, 11:8, 87:10, 128:7, 186:20, 252:8 **clarify** [1] - 104:12 class [1] - 211:4 cleaned [1] - 22:21 cleaner [1] - 34:4 cleanup [1] - 119:24 clear [4] - 14:22, 19:9, 226:17, 229:24

1:4 clerk [2] - 189:3, 189:5 clicking [1] - 45:12 client [70] - 9:16, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:25, 33:5, 39:21, 39:23, 40:18, 41:10, 84:13, 84:25, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 111:3, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7. 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 181:8, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10 Client [1] - 84:19 clients [5] - 8:22, 9:21, 54:11, 54:12, 85:22 clipped [1] - 21:4 CLVS [1] - 7:22 **COCHRAN** [1] - 1:4 collecting [1] - 79:18 collection [3] - 18:2, 21:3, 21:14 column [10] - 48:20, 48:21, 48:22, 49:2, 49:5, 49:6, 168:11, 168:14, 168:16, 169:4 columns [4] - 168:2, 168:12, 168:20, 170:2 combine [1] - 236:10 commence [1] -191:4 Commence [1] -4:15 commenced [2] -77:14, 195:22 Commencement [1] - 4:11 commencing [1] -6:13

comment [3] -105:25, 106:4, 158:7 commentary [3] -105:14, 105:20, 125:15 comments [3] -82:16, 105:7, 239:22 commission [1] -255:8 commissioned [1] -254:4 Committee [2] -222:19, 222:23 committee [3] -201:2, 203:12, 203:14 communicate [30] -116:19, 116:24, 117:2, 137:3, 138:21, 139:6, 139:11, 139:17, 140:3, 140:10, 140:12, 141:6, 141:9, 141:11, 141:17, 142:2, 142:5, 142:6, 144:4, 144:16, 144:20, 144:25, 145:3, 181:15, 181:18, 181:24, 184:9, 184:12, 184:21, 221:7 communicated [1] -138:25 communicating [2] -45:25, 46:4 communication [2] -179:10, 183:18 communications [17] - 27:14, 137:23, 138:4, 139:22, 139:25, 158:21, 182:6, 185:1, 221:4, 248:2, 248:7, 248:10, 249:4, 249:5, 249:9, 251:3, 251:8 communities [3] -94:6, 97:16, 102:8 community [5] -228:12, 228:14, 229:14, 229:20, 231:7 compact [3] - 99:16, 100:9, 207:25 compactness [2] -100:14, 100:23 Company [1] - 7:22 compare [5] - 153:3, 172:12, 173:1, 225:6, 237:3 compared [1] -224:14 comparison [1] -153:9

CLEEREMAN [1] -

Castibitotapet betos promort to septime: Hand rickape/20/2699

CaseIDEC
compel [1] - 188:1
compensated [1] -
60:21
Complaint [7] - 4:6,
4:8, 4:17, 4:21,
104:23, 106:23, 107:8
complaint [15] -
81:8, 81:11, 103:24,
104:8, 104:10, 106:1,
106:5, 106:14,
191:10, 191:11,
194:18, 194:24,
195:18, 196:2, 197:1
complaints [2] -
104:3, 104:5
completed [1] -
225:23
completing [1] - 215:24
completion [1] -
219:5
computer [19] - 45:8,
50:21, 148:24, 152:5,
163:13, 166:21,
173:2, 173:11,
173:16, 173:19,
174:3, 203:21,
248:20, 249:6, 249:9,
249:11, 249:13,
254:17
computer-aided [1] -
254:17
concerning [6] - 9:12, 41:3, 186:19,
187:3, 187:8, 254:14
concerns [1] -
207:25
conclusion [1] -
211:10
conclusions [1] -
247:16
conditions [2] - 38:7,
211:3
conduct [1] - 67:18
conducting [1] -
145:16
conducts [1] - 82:22
Conduit [1] - 74:7
confined [2] - 239:17, 244:14
confirmed [1] -
211:10
confirms [1] - 35:5
confused [1] - 130:6
congressional [21] -
96:10, 96:23, 155:24,
156:3, 156:17,
156:22, 157:4,
157:13, 157:16,
157:22, 158:3,

```
158:14, 158:18,
158:22, 188:23,
188:24, 189:2,
208:13, 252:11,
252:17, 252:21
 Congressional [1] -
 congressmen [1] -
158:5
 conjunction [1] -
156:13
 connection [1] - 35:6
 consider [2] -
229:13, 229:17
 considerations [1] -
217:12
 considered [2] -
154:8, 229:25
 consist [1] - 167:21
 consists [2] -
194:23, 196:1
 consolidated [1] -
104:12
 constitutes [4] -
119:7, 181:8, 182:10,
 constitutional [5] -
85:17, 92:3, 96:9,
96:22, 156:21
 consult [3] - 198:1,
198:8, 198:14
 consultant [4] - 35:6,
73:20, 189:23, 218:20
 consultants [1] -
176:24
 consultation [1] -
35:24
 consulting [5] -
35:20, 59:17, 74:13,
74:22, 189:22
 contact [3] - 228:11,
228:13, 241:7
 contained [11] -
19:14, 21:19, 22:4,
90:10, 107:6, 169:24,
170:1, 234:24, 235:5,
236:4, 247:20
 containing [1] - 32:7
 content [1] - 228:6
 contest [2] - 74:20,
74:24
 context [2] - 81:7,
83:18
 contiguity [1] -
226:14
 contiguous [1] -
207:25
```

continuation [1] -

continue [2] - 75:23,

187:22

```
232:12
 Continued [4] - 1:17,
4:1, 5:1, 7:1
 continues [1] - 70:24
 continuing [2] - 9:6,
 continuous [1] -
237:14
 contract [4] - 56:21,
56:22, 56:25, 59:12
 contracted [1] -
73:19
 control [3] - 16:4,
16:17, 17:6
 controlled [1] -
60:12
 controversy [1] -
254:14
 conversation [12] -
128:4, 128:7, 128:11,
176:17, 200:12,
200:18, 200:20,
201:3, 201:15,
215:15, 228:8, 246:16
 conversations [72] -
94:12, 111:2, 113:20,
114:1, 114:12,
114:16, 114:19,
115:2, 115:9, 115:17,
115:23, 116:4,
116:16, 120:18,
120:22, 126:20,
127:8, 127:11,
127:20, 128:19,
129:3, 129:6, 129:7,
129:9, 131:8, 131:12,
131:13, 136:12,
142:10, 142:15,
142:18, 146:11,
146:12, 147:12,
150:24, 157:15,
158:2, 174:12,
176:22, 178:18,
180:15, 181:3,
191:23, 193:12,
193:15, 193:20,
195:17, 196:21,
198:24, 199:5,
199:16, 199:19,
199:22, 201:24,
202:6, 202:9, 213:25,
214:9, 214:11,
214:15, 218:4,
218:10, 218:13,
220:20, 227:9,
227:11, 227:14,
228:19, 243:5,
244:19, 245:1, 246:9
 conveyed [4] - 80:6,
80:13, 80:14, 81:23
```

```
copied [1] - 37:23
 copies [14] - 5:7,
17:20, 107:17, 139:3,
172:21, 223:4,
232:23, 232:25,
248:6, 249:4, 249:8,
249:10, 250:1, 250:4
 copy [27] - 12:25,
13:24, 15:17, 21:4,
21:21, 22:10, 22:15,
23:21, 23:24, 32:2,
50:21, 50:22, 54:21,
84:17, 100:25,
103:24, 104:9,
104:17, 105:1,
106:13, 106:18,
108:6, 108:13,
190:23, 191:1, 191:4,
206:14
 core [7] - 94:5,
97:14, 207:23,
208:22, 208:23,
209:3, 209:19
 corner [3] - 24:15,
162:2, 164:3
 correct [198] - 12:12,
14:7, 14:10, 14:16,
15:7, 15:14, 18:24,
19:1, 21:3, 21:16,
21:17, 22:1, 22:24,
26:7, 26:8, 30:11,
30:16, 31:19, 32:14,
32:23, 35:21, 36:7,
36:10, 36:11, 37:18,
37:21, 38:22, 39:5,
40:1, 44:14, 47:24,
48:1, 48:2, 48:5,
48:23, 48:24, 49:12,
50:25, 51:17, 52:8,
52:20, 52:21, 52:23,
53:14, 53:19, 53:23,
53:24, 54:6, 54:17,
55:13, 55:22, 55:25,
57:23, 58:17, 58:18,
60:10, 60:13, 60:17,
60:19, 60:21, 62:23,
63:4, 63:6, 63:14,
64:2, 64:5, 64:9,
64:19, 65:25, 66:2,
66:5, 66:6, 66:13,
67:6, 67:21, 68:4,
68:14, 69:2, 70:21,
71:8, 71:22, 71:25,
72:6, 73:2, 75:2,
76:13, 77:12, 83:15,
85:25, 86:15, 86:16,
86:17, 87:8, 87:9,
88:12, 88:13, 88:15,
88:16, 88:18, 88:19,
88:24, 88:25, 94:10,
```

```
95:14, 109:21,
111:17, 113:18,
113:19, 119:21,
120:15, 122:2, 122:6,
125:11, 131:21,
131:24, 132:9,
132:12, 132:14,
132:22, 138:23,
140:19, 146:25,
152:7, 153:17, 154:8,
155:25, 161:4, 162:9,
162:11, 162:12,
163:1, 163:2, 164:21,
165:1, 165:2, 165:4,
165:5, 165:10,
165:11, 165:16,
165:18, 166:13,
167:12, 168:4, 170:3,
170:18, 171:4,
172:22, 172:23,
173:24, 174:5,
174:24, 176:15,
179:3, 179:16, 180:7,
190:2, 197:24,
197:25, 198:12,
204:22, 204:23,
205:2, 211:1, 220:7,
220:22, 221:10,
221:21, 221:24,
223:9, 225:10,
233:25, 234:1,
234:15, 234:16,
235:1, 235:2, 235:6,
235:13, 235:17,
237:17, 238:10,
238:11, 238:20,
238:21, 239:2, 239:9,
240:9, 240:21, 242:7,
242:10, 242:15,
242:16, 242:25,
244:3, 246:4, 247:4,
252:5
 correction [2] -
87:13, 252:11
 correspondence [2]
- 139:3, 145:13
 Counsel [2] - 2:1,
2:16
 counsel [73] - 5:7,
8:17, 8:24, 9:19, 10:3,
14:2, 16:23, 17:13,
18:10, 27:15, 30:7,
30:8, 41:8, 46:5, 46:6,
46:7, 61:16, 61:22,
78:21, 78:23, 84:11,
85:6, 85:15, 89:3,
97:4, 98:16, 105:15,
105:24, 106:3, 111:2,
113:2, 113:3, 113:11,
114:2, 114:5, 115:3,
```

115:18, 124:21,

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/26/2699

124:24, 127:8, 127:9, 127:25, 129:4, 129:9, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:22, 145:22, 148:16, 149:15, 150:3, 150:4, 155:5, 176:22, 191:14, 191:25, 192:1, 192:21, 193:12, 193:16, 198:25, 214:1, 214:2, 218:5, 225:24, 226:3, 226:4, 227:1, 227:3, 254:21, 254:24 counsel's [52] -41:11, 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 85:14, 89:20, 90:2, 92:19, 93:21, 94:18, 95:22, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:3, 102:16, 103:16, 106:10, 107:14, 109:5, 113:12, 115:7, 119:16, 120:10, 121:2, 122:25, 127:13, 129:5, 129:10, 131:15, 134:4, 134:13, 134:19, 135:1, 137:18, 177:2, 181:12, 182:14, 183:20, 186:3, 186:5, 193:13, 193:18, 195:10, 196:18, 199:1, 199:7, 202:4, 214:6, 218:6, 218:8, 251:4 count [1] - 123:15 Counties [2] -236:15, 236:21 counties [7] - 24:6, 161:24, 161:25, 162:17, 163:25, 171:11, 171:24 county [2] - 162:19, 189:4 **COUNTY** [1] - 254:2 County [32] - 6:12, 151:8, 151:12, 151:15, 151:20, 151:23, 152:2, 152:9, 152:13, 152:19, 152:22, 152:25, 154:7, 154:20, 163:5, 163:19, 164:12, 164:22, 164:25, 190:19, 194:6, 194:18, 195:3, 195:21, 196:3, 196:22, 234:4, 234:5, 234:9, 238:10, 254:10 couple [7] - 69:4, 70:11, 135:13, 145:17, 167:2, 167:4, 251:25 course [7] - 10:5, 11:1, 215:15, 228:21, 228:24, 230:2, 231:8 COURT [2] - 1:1, 161:8 Court [10] - 4:13, 6:6, 11:12, 48:5, 185:12, 190:18, 190:22, 191:6, 194:19, 210:13 court [21] - 11:25, 12:2, 12:14, 34:11, 53:4, 66:20, 71:5, 73:8, 73:11, 73:12, 91:5, 106:19, 123:9, 187:12, 188:12, 189:5, 191:2, 194:13, 210:14, 245:4 Court's [4] - 10:17, 119:14, 122:21, 186:22 courtesy [2] - 224:2, 232:16 courts [3] - 71:18, 73:23, 189:3 cover [3] - 13:6, 115:5, 196:1 covered [10] - 30:25, 79:10, 80:8, 82:23, 92:16, 105:17, 127:5, 128:23, 214:10, 251:9 craft [4] - 71:3, 71:13, 71:16, 73:8 crafting [2] - 70:13, 73:10 create [9] - 23:8, 23:10, 23:12, 24:9, 24:11, 51:6, 51:8, 51:12, 216:5 created [14] - 23:16, 23:18, 26:10, 26:13, 26:15, 26:19, 26:20, 51:5, 73:13, 165:16, 166:24, 171:16, 171:20, 171:21 creating [3] - 51:3, 61:8, 61:12 creation [1] - 85:21 criteria [5] - 50:14, 183:11, 183:14, 226:1, 226:11

current [4] - 55:1,

curriculum [1] - 40:5

custody [3] - 16:4,

55:9, 55:18, 56:25

142:25 crossed [1] - 163:12

16:17, 17:6 cuts [1] - 88:20 **CV** [1] - 52:19 D

Dan [1] - 15:4 **DANE** [1] - 254:2 Dane [3] - 6:12, 164:25, 254:10 **Daniel** [1] - 8:15 **DANIEL** [1] - 7:9 data [43] - 26:22, 26:24, 27:5, 27:19, 28:3, 47:20, 47:22, 48:14, 48:15, 48:16, 48:17, 48:19, 48:20, 78:18, 79:1, 79:5, 79:7, 79:18, 79:25, 80:24, 81:4, 81:6, 81:11, 86:2, 86:4, 93:3, 94:4, 96:8, 97:7, 97:13, 99:14, 100:7, 169:6, 169:19, 169:20, 169:24, 170:1, 217:16, 217:21, 218:5, 218:15, 247:17 date [31] - 26:5, 26:7, 26:11, 27:1, 36:5, 38:10, 40:12, 53:14, 62:22, 63:1, 67:16, 77:16, 82:15, 115:20, 117:23, 123:25, 127:17, 127:20, 128:15, 128:20, 157:23, 189:12, 202:20, 202:21, 206:1, 214:20, 226:10, 227:10, 228:3 dated [13] - 3:25, 13:7, 21:6, 21:10, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22,

97:3, 97:8, 99:14, 100:7 235:25 decision [10] -154:10, 186:19. 235:19, 236:10, 240:17, 243:19, 245:20 104:24, 106:24 deem [1] - 188:1 8:15, 14:25, 90:19, 91:19, 105:15, 191:25, 192:1, 192:21, 193:13, 193:16, 197:23, 2:6, 2:17, 6:5, 7:8, 7:11, 7:15, 159:12 4:4, 4:7, 91:10, 106:22 159:13 37:2, 37:17, 37:20, 55:5, 104:24, 197:18 106:23 dates [2] - 52:15, **David** [1] - 203:14 **DAVID** [2] - 1:15, 84:19 **DAVIS** [1] - 1:5 days [3] - 38:9, 148:8, 189:1 **DC** [1] - 222:11 133:12, 237:1 De [2] - 6:25, 164:14 **DE**[1] - 2:8 **DEININGER** [2] dealing [1] - 20:19 1:15, 2:14 December [10] delay [1] - 10:14 1:20, 6:13, 13:7,

13:10, 40:3, 54:9, democratic [3] -76:5, 187:19, 254:7, 123:4, 123:19, 124:6 255:4 democrats [1] decennial [13] -123:6 26:25, 63:23, 75:5, demographic [1] -75:19, 76:17, 92:2, 93:4, 96:8, 96:20, demonstrated [1] denoted [1] - 231:11 **decide** [2] - 222:1, Department [1] -206:13 decided [7] - 76:16, **DEPARTMENT** [1] -76:20, 155:1, 219:3, 7:7 236:6, 241:1, 245:17 depicts [1] - 233:11 **deposed** [1] - 90:16 **DEPOSITION** [2] -219:7, 222:5, 230:13, 1:18, 6:1 deposition [27] -5:24, 9:5, 9:7, 10:4, 10:6, 10:14, 11:2, decisions [1] - 154:2 11:20, 11:24, 12:19, Declaratory [7] - 4:6, 12:22, 13:22, 13:25, 4:8, 4:16, 4:17, 4:21, 31:24, 53:5, 66:4, 89:2, 89:6, 90:5, 90:13, 106:19, deemed [1] - 38:6 108:22, 110:9, defendants [20] -187:13, 187:22, 254:17, 254:23 deprived [1] - 99:1 106:14, 114:2, 127:9, describe [3] - 19:3, 129:4, 131:9, 191:15, 41:4, 225:21 Description [3] -3:11, 4:2, 5:2 design [1] - 73:12 198:25, 221:1, 233:6 desire [1] - 75:14 **Defendants** [8] - 2:3, desk [1] - 251:16 determine [1] - 26:18 determining [5] -Defendants' [4] -92:3, 96:9, 96:21, 156:21, 158:17 **DEUREN** [1] - 7:10 **defense** [1] - 102:24 **Deuren** [1] - 14:6 defenses [2] - 91:20, develop [8] - 44:4, 44:6. 60:9. 60:15. **Defenses** [2] - 4:8, 73:22, 74:13, 74:21 developing [3] **deferred** [1] - 155:5 44:17, 124:13, 175:2 **deferring** [1] - 230:7 development [5] defined [2] - 54:12, 85:16, 124:22, 175:13, 189:10, **defining** [1] - 50:10 190:13 **definition** [1] - 84:19 **deviation** [7] - 93:5, degree [6] - 53:23, 97:9, 167:25, 210:17, 73:23, 132:24, 133:3, 210:22, 226:13 device [3] - 177:19, **degrees** [1] - 133:13 248:23, 249:16 **Diaz** [6] - 221:1, 221:2, 221:4, 221:7, 221:11, 221:15 delayed [1] - 215:2 differ [1] - 236:19

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

Difference [4] -48:21, 49:3, 49:5, 49:6 difference [2] -167:25, 208:10 differences [4] -23:5, 219:23, 220:2, 220:3 different [23] - 76:22, 152:25, 155:17, 164:19, 219:9, 228:9, 229:7, 234:5, 235:20, 235:22, 236:1, 236:15, 238:19, 241:12, 241:18, 241:21, 243:2, 243:9, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 246:10, 246:18 difficulties [1] -216:5 dilution [1] - 101:18 direct [5] - 51:8, 51:11, 148:10, 176:25, 217:2 directed [2] - 17:3, direction [20] - 44:5, 44:6, 113:3, 115:3, 115:17, 129:5, 176:5, 183:10, 183:12, 184:6, 192:1, 192:22, 193:13, 193:17, 199:1, 214:2, 218:6, 226:3, 226:8 directly [2] - 75:21, 175:2 **Director** [3] - 2:1, 2:15, 105:17 director [2] - 56:12, 56:18 disagree [3] - 74:3, 208:5, 209:1 disagreement [2] -208:16, 208:18 disclosing [1] -79:12 disclosure [2] -40:20, 93:17 disclosures [2] -156:7, 206:12 Disclosures [2] -4:5, 91:11 discontinuous [1] -246:19 discovery [2] -107:17, 107:21 discrepancies [1] -173:6 discuss [31] - 89:15,

89:23, 92:11, 93:11, 98:5, 101:6, 103:10, 115:1, 117:16, 118:19, 119:3, 122:12, 123:3, 123:6, 123:7, 126:12, 126:16, 126:24, 130:17, 130:20, 144:6, 145:6, 147:16, 226:25, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 229:19, 231:3, 237:9, 237:11 discussed [25] -69:11, 69:15, 89:1, 89:6, 89:9, 110:19, 112:2, 112:22, 120:4, 123:18, 125:17, 126:17, 130:11, 130:25, 142:24, 153:11, 186:18, 191:11, 192:9, 193:25, 195:2, 196:11, 224:12, 231:7, 248:1 discussing [3] -31:6, 124:7, 230:10 Discussion [4] -96:1, 159:1, 197:16, 232:18 discussion [7] -8:17, 70:2, 158:8, 200:24, 213:13, 214:24, 228:6 discussions [9] -95:16, 119:24, 135:21, 135:25, 145:13, 179:1, 230:5, 237:6, 242:20 disenfranchise [1] -215:12 disenfranchised [3] - 99:1, 213:10, 213:14 disenfranchisemen t [5] - 212:1, 212:20, 213:2, 214:12, 214:19 disk [2] - 18:3, 32:7 displacement [2] -212:8, 212:13 distinction [1] -38:25 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, **District** [7] - 6:6, 6:7, 63:3, 63:9, 235:6, 236:12, 239:20 district [29] - 48:23,

50:13, 50:14, 95:9,

125:17, 126:12,

126:25, 167:23,

170:11, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 184:7, 188:24, 189:3, 211:18, 212:17, 224:19, 234:25, 236:5, 236:7, 239:18, 241:1, 244:15, 246:23, 247:1, 247:11, 247:18, 247:20 districting [2] -99:14, 100:8 districts [111] - 24:8, 48:1, 48:3, 48:4, 48:25, 49:8, 71:3, 72:4, 92:4, 93:6, 94:6, 96:11, 96:23, 97:9, 97:15, 98:25, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7, 136:4, 136:9, 151:7, 151:11, 151:15, 151:19, 151:23, 152:1, 152:8, 152:13, 152:19, 152:24, 153:4, 154:6, 154:11, 154:20, 155:25, 156:3, 156:17, 156:22, 157:4, 157:13, 157:16, 157:17, 157:22, 158:3, 158:14, 158:18, 158:22, 164:20, 166:10, 171:25, 176:6, 178:7, 207:25, 208:15, 210:9, 210:10, 210:12, 211:5, 216:12, 217:4, 219:4, 221:20, 222:3, 222:20, 225:3, 225:11, 226:18, 226:24, 227:1, 227:16, 227:24, 228:2, 229:1, 229:9, 229:15, 230:3, 231:3, 232:4, 233:12, 233:13, 234:6, 234:15, 234:18, 234:20, 235:20, 235:23, 236:2, 240:5, 240:12, 240:15, 240:21, 242:1, 242:2, 243:3, 243:10, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 244:3, 244:7, 244:10, 244:13, 244:17, 244:21, 245:6, 246:4, 246:7, 246:11 **Districts** [14] - 4:24, 22:21, 151:9, 151:10,

222:8, 224:8, 224:12, 233:8, 234:10, 235:17, 242:25 divided [2] - 24:7, 171:24 division [2] - 161:18, 161:20 divisions [1] -162:22 doctrine [42] - 31:1, 79:11, 80:4, 80:9, 80:18, 81:21, 82:9, 82:20, 82:23, 98:9, 98:15, 99:8, 99:22, 102:1, 102:14, 103:2, 103:14. 105:11. 105:19, 106:8, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:6, 113:4, 114:25, 120:8, 120:25, 125:25, 127:6, 128:25, 131:5, 137:12, 191:21, 192:17, 195:7, 196:16, 198:23, 202:2, 213:23, 218:1, 250:20 document [53] -12:18, 12:23, 13:9, 18:14, 19:14, 19:18, 19:20, 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:9, 20:22, 20:24, 21:1, 21:25, 22:5, 22:19, 22:22, 24:5, 24:13, 24:14, 25:22, 30:20, 31:12, 31:13, 54:21, 66:21, 91:4, 91:9, 104:17, 104:21, 104:22, 105:1, 106:18, 106:21, 107:2, 107:4, 108:6, 108:18, 159:2, 159:5, 168:6, 191:2, 194:23, 194:25, 196:9, 197:17, 197:22, 206:6, 206:9, 207:4, 248:13 Documents [3] -4:10, 108:10, 108:16 documents [45] -3:14, 13:21, 15:23, 15:24, 16:5, 16:9, 16:11, 16:12, 16:16, 16:17, 16:19, 16:22, 17:2, 17:6, 17:9, 17:12, 17:16, 18:5, 18:7, 18:8, 18:11, 18:20, 18:22, 20:2, 20:4, 20:19, 22:3, 23:6, 34:12, 87:7,

90:4, 90:7, 90:8, 90:9, 90:12, 107:25, 108:23, 159:6, 159:12, 188:11, 194:13, 248:17, 249:2, 250:2, 250:4 **DOJ**[1] - 111:3 **Don** [5] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:22, 34:21, 37:16 done [8] - 30:23, 76:10, 83:16, 86:24, 154:1, 172:18, 212:6, 245:13 donors [1] - 122:5 dot [7] - 138:20, 138:23, 139:19, 145:9, 177:13, 181:25, 184:23 **Doty** [1] - 7:4 Doug [5] - 9:4, 11:4, 185:8, 232:12, 232:14 **Douglas** [2] - 3:12, 5:25 **DOUGLAS** [1] - 6:19 down [26] - 11:25, 12:3, 36:14, 40:8, 50:12, 50:13, 50:15, 65:6, 70:9, 71:1, 72:15, 73:4, 74:6, 75:25, 92:23, 138:2, 159:9, 159:14, 163:6, 165:9, 174:10, 188:18, 204:12, 204:13, 205:6, 238:22 dozen [5] - 135:13, 135:14, 167:2, 167:3, 167:4 dozens [4] - 44:11, 44:13, 46:25, 47:8 **DPW** [1] - 2:12 draft [5] - 68:21, 81:18, 82:13, 82:17, 159:17 Draft [2] - 3:17, 32:1 drafting [6] - 127:16, 189:24, 189:25, 192:13, 194:1, 197:4 draw [29] - 70:8, 72:8, 151:22, 151:25, 152:8, 152:12, 154:7, 159:4, 188:17, 190:4, 207:10, 207:21, 211:22, 215:18, 215:23, 216:9, 217:2, 219:3, 219:9, 219:11, 220:10, 222:5, 224:18, 224:21, 225:2, 242:23, 244:1, 246:2, 247:16 drawing [42] - 44:23,

221:23, 222:2, 222:7,

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/28/2699

45:2, 45:3, 45:5, 45:6, 45:8, 64:7, 72:4, 73:16, 91:24, 148:17, 151:14, 151:24, 152:16, 156:3, 157:12, 157:16, 158:13, 158:22, 166:10, 175:15, 175:16, 175:21, 176:1, 184:7, 211:5, 216:13, 216:16, 217:5, 217:13, 219:14, 221:8, 222:3, 225:17, 229:15, 230:2, 230:7, 230:11, 232:3, 233:24, 239:19, 246:24 drawn [10] - 119:5, 152:5, 152:6, 153:4, 175:17, 224:14, 224:15, 228:10, 236:7, 239:20 drew [27] - 44:22, 148:13, 151:7, 151:11, 151:18, 151:19, 152:1, 152:18, 152:22, 152:24, 153:1, 217:8, 219:16, 219:19, 219:21, 219:25, 220:5, 221:19, 229:7, 230:16, 236:14, 236:19, 236:23, 244:6, 245:24, 245:25, 252:20 driving [2] - 216:20, 217:1 dropped [1] - 183:7 drove [1] - 216:15 du [2] - 162:7, 163:5 **DUFFY** [1] - 2:5 duly [3] - 8:2, 254:4, 254:12 during [33] - 11:1, 32:25, 33:1, 41:16, 42:9, 43:4, 57:18, 65:15, 67:11, 77:11, 110:17, 143:14, 143:15, 155:10, 174:7, 174:22, 175:6, 178:22, 182:18, 187:20, 200:20, 217:15, 220:21, 221:5, 221:12, 221:15, 227:11, 227:14, 228:18, 228:21, 228:24, 229:21 **DVD**[3] - 17:22, 18:4, 31:25

Ε

e-mail [42] - 137:5, 137:19, 137:23, 138:5, 138:7, 138:11, 138:14, 138:17, 138:23, 139:1, 139:3, 139:12, 139:18, 139:21, 139:25, 141:12, 141:17, 144:22, 145:2, 145:4, 145:13, 146:3, 146:7, 177:5, 177:12, 178:1, 181:16, 181:19, 181:25, 182:6, 184:9, 184:13, 184:22, 184:23, 184:25, 185:2, 248:3, 248:6, 248:10, 249:5, 249:8 e-mailed [3] - 145:6, 177:9, 181:22 e-mailing [2] - 177:8, 177:16 e-mails [10] - 138:10, 138:13, 177:19, 177:24, 179:4, 179:5, 228:21, 228:24, 248:17, 248:19 **EARLE** [11] - 6:22, 6:23, 185:8, 185:11, 185:14, 188:6, 223:17, 223:23, 224:1, 232:7, 232:14 Earle [4] - 3:5, 185:9, 223:14, 224:5 earliest [1] - 114:15 early [5] - 27:3, 64:4, 70:20, 72:5, 206:3 earned [1] - 53:21 easier [2] - 68:9, 215:23 easiest [1] - 115:21 East [5] - 6:11, 6:20, 7:4, 7:14, 254:9 **EASTERN** [1] - 1:1 Eastern [1] - 6:7 easy [2] - 105:23, 123:13 **ECKSTEIN** [1] - 1:5 **EDL** [1] - 162:3 **education** [1] - 54:3 effective [4] -127:17, 127:20, 128:15, 128:20 effort [5] - 64:1, 64:8, 67:12, 75:4, 77:12

efforts [1] - 64:4

18:4, 31:25, 47:14,

either [18] - 17:21,

66:4, 111:2, 113:2, 129:3, 142:10, 179:9, 185:20, 190:18, 198:17, 199:23, 201:5, 201:8, 250:9, 251:16 elect [1] - 211:19 elected [4] - 62:21, 62:23, 63:2, 63:6 elections [8] -125:18, 126:13, 127:1, 129:15, 130:8, 217:17, 217:22, 218:16 electoral [2] - 72:19, 74:12 electronic [3] -160:22, 248:12, 249:2 eliminated [1] -237:23 **ELVIRA** [1] - 1:4 embodied [1] -109:10 employed [6] - 14:5, 14:9, 59:3, 59:6, 254:21, 254:25 employee [4] - 39:4, 42:24, 64:17, 254:24 employer [2] - 44:2, 50:7 employers [1] -89:10 employment [1] -76:21 enacted [9] - 189:14, 214:22, 217:10, 217:13, 219:20, 219:24, 221:9, 236:19, 242:7 enactment [2] -189:12, 189:13 **enclosure** [1] - 38:8 end [11] - 73:5, 124:25, 187:10, 187:16, 189:15, 189:19, 206:21, 206:22, 206:23, 207:3 ended [4] - 64:5, 212:12, 236:16, 241:10 ending [1] - 87:23 ends [1] - 86:19 engage [2] - 177:6, 179:9 engaged [6] - 75:13, 83:16, 110:18, 111:21, 111:24,

77:22, 78:1, 84:14, 86:7, 87:20 engaging [5] -148:23, 148:24, 149:2, 178:6, 179:15 enter [3] - 87:15, 87:25, 109:20 entered [1] - 109:24 entire [3] - 25:6, 42:9, 66:24 entitled [4] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4, 67:5 entity [3] - 56:23, 83:24, 88:20 entries [2] - 88:3, 88:14 enumerated [3] -15:25, 49:8, 216:15 equal [10] - 162:8, 162:11, 162:15, 207:24, 209:23, 210:2, 210:9, 210:11, 210:22, 243:18 equals [2] - 163:4, 163:17 equivalent [1] -172:14 ERIC [1] - 7:16 Eric [13] - 3:14, 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 37:15, 42:3, 46:7, 61:20, 174:20, 186:17, 227:6, 231:10, 251:21 ERICA [1] - 2:9 Erpenbach [1] -216:11 **error** [1] - 206:8 Esenberg [1] -227:17 established [3] -40:8, 83:13, 127:17 estimate [3] - 101:4, 147:9, 218:25 et [6] - 6:3, 6:5, 6:21, 6:25, 10:11, 246:19 **EVANJELINA**[1] -1:4 eventually [1] -125:15 evident [1] - 70:15 exact [6] - 47:7, 65:13, 77:16, 123:25, 128:13, 135:12 exactly [6] - 13:5, 49:16, 50:11, 56:20, 135:17, 229:11 examination [2] -232:13, 254:16

38:21, 38:22, 38:23,

39:8, 40:9, 54:12,

EXAMINATION [4] -8:5, 224:4, 233:15, 252:1 Examination [3] -3:4, 3:5, 3:6 examined [1] -254:15 example [5] - 84:16, 163:22, 168:8, 172:1, 172:3 excerpt [1] - 66:23 **Excerpts** [1] - 4:3 excerpts [2] - 66:25, 67:5 exchanged [1] -177:24 **excuse** [1] - 94:16 Executive [1] -105:16 exhibit [9] - 17:18, 17:20, 24:3, 34:3, 67:15, 68:10, 104:14, 196:7, 223:3 Exhibit [141] - 12:15, 12:16, 12:19, 12:25, 13:7, 13:13, 13:14, 13:15, 13:24, 15:22, 15:25, 16:18, 17:7, 17:10, 17:21, 17:22, 17:23, 18:1, 18:3, 18:11, 18:21, 19:8, 19:10, 19:13, 19:17, 19:20, 19:24, 20:20, 20:22, 21:13, 21:15, 21:19, 22:4, 24:2, 25:23, 25:25, 26:4, 26:9, 26:10, 31:14, 31:24, 32:3, 32:6, 34:5, 34:9, 34:17, 34:18, 34:20, 34:23, 34:25, 37:15, 37:16, 37:17, 37:20, 38:19, 47:23, 48:14, 53:1, 53:2, 53:5, 53:8, 54:19, 54:22, 66:18, 66:20, 66:22, 67:1, 67:24, 69:25, 84:16, 86:9, 87:7, 87:11, 87:12, 87:14, 88:8, 88:10, 91:2, 91:5, 91:13, 91:16, 96:4, 98:14, 104:15, 104:18, 104:22, 105:1, 106:16, 106:20, 107:7, 108:4, 108:7, 108:13, 156:6, 159:3, 161:3, 161:6, 161:14, 168:7, 168:13, 169:21, 170:2, 172:2, 172:11,

engagement [14] -

35:5, 36:5, 38:17,

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/26/2699

152:21

244:16

75:17

197:2

254:25

108:9

188:9, 188:15, 190:3, 190:9, 190:24, 191:1, 191:3, 191:24, 192:13, 193:25, 194:1, 194:9, 194:17, 195:19, 195:25, 196:1, 196:8, 196:11, 196:21, 197:5, 197:14, 202:19, 206:4, 207:4, 232:19, 233:7, 233:10, 233:23, 234:3, 238:4, 238:5, 238:8 Exhibits [11] - 5:6, 5:7, 19:15, 34:14, 37:11, 37:12, 38:2, 38:20, 90:10, 188:12, 194:14 exhibits [7] - 18:1, 34:8, 34:12, 191:7, 191:8, 194:23, 196:5 exist [1] - 136:15 existing [4] - 50:13, 222:14, 230:16, 230:20 **expect** [1] - 103:19 expediting [1] -115:15 Expert [1] - 159:14 expert [6] - 77:18, 77:23, 176:23, 181:10, 220:24, 240:2 experts [1] - 176:23 **expires** [1] - 255:8 explain [1] - 216:24 exposed [1] - 70:13 expressed [1] -209:15 extent [74] - 10:6, 14:22, 16:24, 27:13, 29:24, 30:22, 31:1, 33:4, 33:6, 40:19, 41:9, 43:12, 60:24, 80:15, 82:5, 82:20, 84:7, 92:15, 94:4, 95:8, 95:10, 96:14, 97:1, 97:14, 97:19, 97:25, 98:2, 98:11, 102:21, 105:8, 105:13, 106:6, 109:13, 110:21, 111:11, 112:17, 112:25, 113:6, 113:10, 113:25, 114:3, 114:22, 114:25, 122:16, 122:19, 125:23, 125:25, 126:4, 127:6, 128:22, 128:25, 131:2, 131:6, 131:7,

133:24, 137:10, 137:14, 142:20, 154:14, 191:19, 192:14, 193:14, 195:4, 196:13, 196:16, 198:20, 198:23, 201:22, 213:20, 213:24, 217:23, 218:1, 248:25, 250:17

F

Facebook [2] -117:10, 117:13 fact [7] - 76:14, 87:5, 97:7, 97:11, 101:16, 230:19, 245:13 factor [2] - 211:21, 217:12 failure [2] - 38:8, 41:4 fair [3] - 86:1, 220:9, 223:16 fall [5] - 193:24, 196:23, 199:19, 206:2, 206:3 falls [2] - 122:19, 192:7 fame [1] - 68:6 family [4] - 121:22, 122:1, 122:4, 122:9 fancy [1] - 107:23 far [13] - 71:9, 86:22, 105:12, 110:1, 111:1, 131:12, 172:8, 178:9, 242:11, 252:14, 252:15, 252:16, 252:19 fashion [2] - 10:25, 71:5 favorable [2] - 60:16, 73:24 **FDL** [7] - 162:4, 162:5, 162:9, 162:15, 163:4, 163:9 feature [1] - 117:13 features [1] - 220:16 February [27] -21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 35:1, 35:15, 37:3, 37:6, 37:7, 37:18, 37:20, 40:9, 41:16, 42:9, 83:22, 86:17, 87:20, 110:2, 110:6, 110:18, 111:21, 121:6, 169:16,

176:15, 218:20,

219:1, 221:8

federal [4] - 71:17, 73:8, 123:8, 210:14 fee [1] - 86:11 feedback [1] fees [1] - 86:14 fell [1] - 16:4 few [7] - 128:13, 147:8, 147:10, 147:11, 185:12, 206:5, 237:12 fewer [2] - 215:12, fiancee [2] - 75:16, file [4] - 26:18, 31:17, 52:22, 249:22 File [1] - 1:12 filed [19] - 5:24, 9:25, 11:7, 11:9, 78:3, 81:8, 81:11, 104:3, 104:10, 106:14, 186:21, 189:3, 189:4, 191:5, 194:5, 194:18, 196:2, Files [1] - 32:2 files [9] - 19:19, 22:11, 29:9, 29:14, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:22, 30:8 filing [4] - 189:6, 191:9, 192:9, 195:2 final [5] - 73:5, 81:16, 154:10, 219:10, 220:4 financially [1] finish [1] - 185:21 **finished** [1] - 231:15 finishing [1] - 223:15 firm [35] - 14:6, 14:10, 14:25, 15:18, 15:19, 27:11, 27:19, 27:20, 27:23, 28:6, 35:16, 36:24, 39:4, 39:11, 55:21, 62:5, 64:14, 73:20, 76:25, 78:24, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 89:11, 132:4, 132:14, 132:20, 140:22, 204:17, 204:18, 226:6, 248:13, 250:10 firm's [1] - 52:22 First [4] - 4:9, 4:10, first [21] - 8:2, 11:23, 13:1, 21:7, 24:13, 25:5, 31:8, 33:23,

84:18, 93:15, 105:23, 109:23, 120:17, 191:16, 194:17, 233:21, 233:22, 250:15 fit [1] - 239:8 Fitzgerald [43] -7:19, 7:19, 35:8, 35:10, 42:7, 42:8, 43:3, 43:17, 49:11, 49:12, 49:14, 49:17, 50:18, 50:20, 51:4, 51:8, 51:15, 51:20, 51:22, 51:23, 52:3, 52:4, 52:6, 52:13, 84:21, 84:22, 136:12, 137:4, 137:24, 141:9, 141:12, 141:17, 141:21, 142:1, 142:2, 142:6, 142:11, 143:5, 153:16, 154:2 five [5] - 13:18, 69:21, 147:25, 148:1, 223:24 five-minute [1] -69:21 fix [2] - 69:22, 247:4 flat [1] - 86:21 flip [5] - 21:9, 67:14, 68:7, 108:17, 156:11 fly [1] - 147:20 focus [2] - 74:11, 234:2 **fold** [1] - 233:17 folder [1] - 248:12 FOLEY [1] - 7:13 follow [46] - 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 89:20, 90:2. 92:19. 93:21. 94:18, 95:22, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:3, 102:16, 103:16, 106:10, 107:14, 109:5, 113:12, 115:7, 119:16, 120:10, 121:2, 122:25, 127:13, 129:10, 131:15, 134:4, 134:13, 134:19, 134:25, 165:6, 168:20, 181:12, 182:14, 183:20, 186:3, 186:5, 189:16, 192:4, 195:10, 196:18, 199:7, 202:4, 218:8, 251:3 followed [1] - 59:10 following [15] -41:11, 49:8, 63:23, 75:11, 75:19, 77:5,

85:8, 85:16, 124:22, 157:1, 159:12, 175:14, 187:21, 193:18, 254:11 follows [3] - 8:3, 71:1, 73:7 Foltz [85] - 42:3, 45:22, 46:1, 46:14, 46:18, 46:22, 47:3, 47:11, 47:14, 50:25, 51:2, 51:11, 52:2, 52:7, 52:11, 119:19, 120:13, 120:19, 121:5, 121:9, 121:13, 121:24, 122:1, 122:4, 122:8, 122:9, 122:11, 137:4, 137:24, 139:11, 139:17, 140:3, 140:10, 140:12, 140:15, 141:2, 141:4, 141:6, 150:15, 152:11, 153:1, 153:6, 160:25, 165:17, 165:20, 166:17, 167:19, 169:13, 172:16, 175:20, 175:21, 175:25, 176:5, 178:5, 180:10, 180:13, 180:19, 183:24, 184:3, 184:6, 198:11, 198:14, 198:17, 199:23, 200:3, 200:4, 200:9, 200:13, 200:17, 201:16, 201:19, 202:10, 204:12, 224:7, 224:13, 225:2, 230:24, 231:10, 232:6, 244:24, 245:16, 245:19, 252:16 Foltz's [2] - 121:21, 203:12 fond [1] - 162:7 **Fond** [2] - 162:9, 163:5 forenoon [2] - 6:14, 254:8 forget [1] - 31:22 forgot [1] - 231:20 form [36] - 33:16, 33:17, 41:5, 43:8, 43:22, 44:18, 49:20, 60:22, 84:6, 90:21, 93:15, 96:13, 97:18, 109:11, 112:16, 113:8, 113:23, 116:1, 124:15, 125:8, 129:16, 129:20,

35:3, 35:19, 73:17,

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

142:19, 154:12, 160:22, 165:23, 209:6, 209:22, 210:19, 211:7, 212:21, 216:6, 217:6, 219:12, 243:16 formal [1] - 54:3 format [2] - 166:20, 169:10 former [1] - 73:18 formerly [1] - 132:11 forms [1] - 179:9 formulating [1] -212:11 forth [2] - 9:24, 181:22 forward [3] - 15:7, 111:4, 146:7 forwarded [1] -71:17 four [3] - 164:13, 168:1 fracturing [1] -236:24 frame [22] - 27:2, 33:22, 57:7, 57:19, 58:1, 58:3, 58:16, 58:19, 66:9, 67:12, 69:8, 78:7, 78:8, 87:19, 116:22, 116:23, 123:16, 125:20, 135:16, 137:7, 141:14, 142:5 frames [1] - 52:16 Fredonia [1] - 7:23 Free [1] - 58:9 frequent [1] - 184:15 Friday [1] - 187:18 Friedrich [62] -15:19, 27:21, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:17, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:17, 65:19, 84:10, 87:3, 88:5, 100:22, 110:10, 113:18, 119:21, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:12, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:6, 148:9, 149:12, 174:14,

175:6, 175:7, 175:10, 178:22, 179:14, 200:11 FRIEDRICH [1] -7:17 Friedrich's [14] -32:11, 114:21, 119:2, 120:14, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 148:21, 154:19, 160:15, 160:19, 172:19 front [14] - 11:11, 12:20, 18:5, 34:13, 53:6, 54:23, 84:17, 86:10, 91:6, 108:8, 159:3, 194:14, 220:5, 238:5 **FRONTERA** [1] - 2:8 Frontera [1] - 6:25 full [4] - 70:6, 72:18,

G

full-time [1] - 218:23

functions [1] - 82:21

218:23, 232:25

fully [1] - 149:4

future [2] - 74:13,

74:22

GAB [1] - 105:16 Gaddie [60] - 4:3, 42:17, 46:13, 64:19, 64:21, 64:22, 64:24, 65:1, 65:3, 65:11, 65:18, 65:21, 65:24, 66:7, 66:11, 66:12, 67:6, 67:8, 67:19, 68:23, 69:6, 69:15, 70:23, 70:25, 77:11, 77:17, 77:22, 78:5, 78:14, 78:17, 78:25, 79:5, 79:8, 79:18, 80:1, 80:25, 81:4, 81:10, 81:13, 83:9, 83:14, 83:18, 146:10, 146:12, 146:16, 146:22, 147:2, 147:6, 147:13, 147:17, 148:2, 148:10, 149:9, 150:5, 150:7, 150:19, 220:20, 220:21, 240:4 Gaddie's [9] - 70:1, 71:12, 71:24, 72:3, 78:1, 82:13, 82:16, 83:4, 240:2 galleys [3] - 68:17, 68:18 gather [1] - 108:23

general [1] - 39:11

General [3] - 2:1, 2:16, 7:6 generally [15] - 69:5, 78:16, 78:17, 122:13, 126:20, 142:23, 143:22, 143:24, 144:2, 145:12, 147:16, 151:12, 159:25, 200:23, 250:15 generate [2] -228:21, 228:24 generated [1] - 172:7 geographical [1] -50:16 geographically [2] -99:16, 100:9

Geography [2] -

geography [7] -

170:24, 171:2, 171:6,

GERALD [2] - 1:15,

given [17] - 11:20,

108:13, 110:8, 118:5,

201:20, 218:5, 226:9,

GLADYS [1] - 1:6

glean [1] - 72:21

GLORIA [1] - 1:7

goal [6] - 10:13,

125:3, 125:5, 125:6

GODFREY [1] - 6:19

Godfrey [2] - 6:10,

Google [1] - 117:8

1:13, 2:2, 2:12, 2:16,

Government [8] -

6:4, 14:13, 105:25,

government [6] -

54:5, 77:1, 95:11,

98:3, 210:7, 230:7

qovernments [1] -

great [2] - 12:11,

greatly [1] - 130:6

Green [1] - 164:13

Greg [1] - 62:1

good-bye [1] -

124:13, 124:23,

254:8

178:25

106:4

216:5

21:18

Gmail [1] - 138:18

170:15, 170:22,

171:7, 171:10

15:17, 102:23,

118:12, 118:16,

167:7, 167:15,

193:25, 200:2,

227:13, 254:18

24:4, 24:14

Н

half [1] - 148:6

halfway [3] - 70:9, 72:14, 188:18 hand [14] - 17:25, 32:2, 34:7, 45:11, 48:20, 48:22, 66:20, 70:7, 88:9, 162:2, 164:3, 188:11, 233:18, 255:3 handed [9] - 18:8, 54:21, 66:21, 91:4, 106:18, 108:6, 191:1, 194:12, 197:17 handful [3] - 147:23, 147:24, 167:2 handheld [1] -177:19 handing [4] - 12:18, 19:12, 53:4, 104:17 Handrick [59] - 3:12, 3:14, 3:17, 3:19, 3:23, 7:20, 8:7, 9:14, 9:18, 11:18, 12:18, 15:2, 16:23, 17:2, 17:25, 19:12, 27:15, 32:1, 33:18, 34:11, 35:6, 40:21, 53:4, 53:17, 54:21, 66:20, 68:12, 69:24, 70:12, 72:19, 73:9, 73:17, 74:12, 82:21, 89:1, 91:4, 96:2, 103:25, 104:17, 104:25, 106:18, 108:6, 109:8, 155:10, 159:2, 181:9, 187:14, 187:20, 188:11, 188:15, 190:17, 194:12, 197:8, 197:17, 202:14, 211:11, 224:6, 233:17, 248:1 HANDRICK [5] -

GRON [1] - 123:14
Gronemus [2] 123:10, 123:12
grounds [11] - 9:23,
10:1, 10:8, 40:17,
89:17, 93:15, 96:13,
109:12, 111:10,
176:20, 187:5
group [3] - 17:20,
83:24, 205:14
guess [1] - 249:3
guidance [2] 183:10, 183:12
GWENDOLYNNE [1]
- 1:10

. .

1:19, 3:3, 6:1, 8:1, 254:11 Handrick's [1] - 3:24 handwritten [14] -22:5, 161:7, 161:14, 165:23, 172:1, 172:3, 172:10, 172:13, 172:25, 173:2, 238:1, 238:6, 238:7, 238:18 hard [2] - 250:1, 250:4 Harder [2] - 1:21, 6:8 HARDER [1] - 254:3 HASSETT [6] - 7:3, 8:25, 188:8, 251:25, 252:10, 252:23 Hassett [2] - 3:6, 252:2 head [4] - 11:23, 12:2, 234:22 headed [2] - 48:21, 49:2 **header** [1] - 13:14 heading [5] - 70:5, 72:15, 74:5, 159:5, 233:10 headings [1] - 170:2 hear [6] - 44:25, 69:18, 180:2, 180:15, 184:2, 231:1 heard [4] - 90:23, 91:1, 176:1, 224:11 hearing [16] -145:16, 197:9, 197:12, 197:24, 198:2, 198:3, 198:6, 198:9, 198:12, 198:15, 198:19, 200:7, 201:21, 215:19, 225:15, 225:16 Hearing [1] - 4:22 Heather [1] - 7:23 held [8] - 54:8, 56:16, 57:16, 57:18, 58:2, 130:8, 130:14, 197:9 **hello** [1] - 178:25 help [2] - 143:25, 224:23 helping [1] - 85:19 hereby [1] - 254:5 hereto [1] - 254:25 hereunto [1] - 255:2 high [2] - 54:3, 73:23 hired [1] - 39:25 Hispanic [6] - 168:3, 168:4, 168:12, 168:18, 168:21, 169:4

Hispanics [2] -

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/26/2699

222:2, 222:7 historical [1] -245:13 History [1] - 190:11 Hodan [6] - 89:14, 89:23, 128:2, 128:4, 128:12, 128:16 hold [5] - 56:15, 130:17, 130:25, 132:24, 169:3 holding [2] - 133:13, 168:6 home [1] - 249:13 hop [1] - 232:15 Hotmail [1] - 138:19 **HOUGH** [1] - 1:5 hours [3] - 200:8, 218:18, 230:25 Howard [1] - 164:13 Hubbard [3] - 62:1, 62:2, 62:5 Hunters [1] - 57:12

ı

icon [1] - 24:15 idea [4] - 101:2, 167:2, 174:7, 179:21 ideal [2] - 210:17, 210:22 identification [18] -12:17, 17:24, 19:11, 34:6, 34:10, 53:3, 54:20, 66:19, 91:3, 91:18, 104:16, 106:17, 108:5, 188:10, 190:25, 194:10, 197:15, 232:20 identified [21] -37:24, 40:13, 90:18, 93:13, 94:10, 94:14, 95:14, 156:12, 157:5, 159:10, 173:3, 173:16, 173:19, 208:17, 220:25, 237:15, 237:16, 237:18, 238:1, 238:9, 239:7 **Identified** [3] - 3:11, 4:2, 5:2

identifies [5] - 54:5,

92:24, 93:25, 94:25,

identify [9] - 34:17,

37:14, 41:24, 42:21,

53:8, 67:3, 158:16,

identifying [3] -

173:10, 232:1

imagine [1] - 45:15 implicit [1] - 72:22 impossible [2] -220:1, 220:6 impressions [1] -82:2 improve [3] - 225:25, 226:11, 226:20 Inc [1] - 6:25 **INC** [1] - 2:8 include [3] - 133:11, 221:23, 247:17 included [7] - 67:23, 151:7, 154:21, 155:4, 169:21, 172:11, 236:16 includes [3] -151:10, 234:5, 234:10 including [2] - 91:25, 139:14 incorporate [1] -11:6 incorporates [1] -98:14 incorporating [1] -11:12 incorrect [1] -224:16 increase [2] - 125:3, 125:6 incumbent [2] -241:12, 241:18 incumbents [7] -240:6. 240:13. 240:15, 240:20, 240:24, 241:3, 241:6 independent [1] -73:19 index [1] - 206:22 indicate [4] - 158:12, 163:19, 171:23, 238:19 indicated [2] -173:20, 237:12 indicates [2] - 24:6, 238:16 indicating [8] - 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:11, 20:13, 47:21, 194:11 indicating) [11] -20:9, 20:16, 20:24, 21:1, 25:22, 30:20, 31:12, 161:2, 188:13, 188:14, 194:12 individual [1] - 10:7 individually [1] -143:5

127:7, 173:11, 173:12

image [1] - 136:21

III_[1] - 1:5

Individuals [4] -91:21, 92:25, 93:25, 95:4 individuals [7] -91:23, 92:1, 93:1, 94:2, 94:25, 95:5, 113:1 inevitable [1] - 212:1 inform [1] - 78:17 information [64] -9:8, 9:13, 10:19, 29:25, 33:6, 40:20, 41:10, 41:13, 53:13, 72:20, 80:3, 81:20, 82:8, 82:19, 92:16, 93:18, 101:25, 102:13, 102:22, 103:13, 105:9, 107:10, 109:1, 110:22, 111:5, 112:5, 112:8, 113:3, 113:25, 114:4, 114:7, 114:23, 120:7, 120:24, 122:16, 125:23, 126:1, 126:5, 127:4, 128:23, 131:3, 137:10, 137:16, 166:3, 172:9, 173:4, 173:5, 173:18, 176:22, 182:12, 185:6, 191:19, 192:15, 195:6, 196:14, 198:21, 201:23, 204:16, 205:1, 213:21, 217:24, 221:11, 221:14, 250:18 Initial [2] - 4:4, 91:10 initial [1] - 93:17 **Injunctive** [4] - 4:6, 4:8, 104:24, 106:24 **input** [10] - 83:3, 107:5, 125:14, 148:13, 153:25, 192:12, 192:20, 194:1, 228:12, 228:14 inquired [1] - 215:1 inside [1] - 67:14 insofar [1] - 225:4 instant [13] - 117:3, 117:5, 117:12, 139:7, 140:4, 141:6, 142:7, 144:18, 144:22, 177:5, 179:8, 182:3, 186:11 instead [2] - 230:6, 230:20 instruct [53] - 31:3,

92:17, 93:19, 94:17, 95:21, 98:9, 99:8, 99:22, 102:2, 102:15, 103:2, 103:15, 105:11, 105:21, 106:9, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:7, 113:6, 114:5, 115:4, 119:12, 120:9, 121:1, 122:18, 126:6, 127:10, 129:1, 131:5, 134:2, 137:12, 139:24, 181:11, 182:12, 183:18, 185:7, 187:4, 191:22, 192:17, 195:8, 196:17, 199:2, 202:3, 213:24, 218:1, 250:20 **instructed** [5] - 41:8, 82:3, 98:16, 113:10, 193:10 instruction [38] -41:11, 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 89:20, 92:19, 93:21, 94:18, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:16, 103:16, 105:12, 107:14, 111:1, 114:1, 115:8, 119:16, 121:2, 127:9, 131:9, 134:4, 134:14, 134:20, 135:1, 181:12, 182:14, 186:4, 186:5, 192:5, 193:19, 195:10, 196:18, 202:4, 214:7, 218:8, 250:21 instructions [16] -95:22, 102:3, 106:10, 113:12, 120:11, 123:1, 127:13, 129:11, 131:15, 137:18, 180:22, 183:20, 199:1, 199:7, 227:13, 251:4 insure [6] - 93:4, 97:8, 98:24, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7 **INSURE** [1] - 93:5 insuring [2] - 95:7, 97:24 intended [2] - 104:4, 173:22 interaction [1] -228:22 interactions [1] -228:25 interchange [1] -123:9

231:7 interested [1] - 255:1 interpose [1] -116:22 interposed [1] -98:12 interposing [1] -Interrogatories [2] -4:9, 108:9 Intervenor [4] - 1:11, 2:6, 7:5, 7:15 Intervenor-**Defendants** [2] - 2:6, 7:15 Intervenor-Plaintiffs [2] - 1:11, 7:5 interviewed [1] -67:21 interviews [3] -67:18, 69:10, 83:11 introduced [1] -225:14 introductory [2] -94:23, 159:11 invade [3] - 80:18, 82:8, 202:1 invades [4] - 89:25, 102:25, 106:7, 107:10 invoice [3] - 21:6, 21:10 invoices [9] - 21:3, 21:14, 87:2, 87:6, 88:4, 88:8, 88:11, 88:17 involve [5] - 112:25, 114:4, 126:4, 127:7, 218:4 involved [23] - 63:25, 72:2, 72:4, 75:10, 75:15, 75:18, 77:21, 77:25, 79:7, 79:17, 79:20, 91:24, 93:2, 94:3, 127:16, 157:12, 189:9, 190:13, 212:10, 212:13, 229:25, 247:13, 247:15 involvement [2] -111:18, 252:17 involves [1] - 35:12 **iPhone** [1] - 177:20 issue [6] - 99:5, 130:11, 155:19, 159:18, 174:4, 232:9 issued [4] - 55:12, 140:21, 185:12, 187:1 interest [5] - 75:10, issues [5] - 69:22, 94:7, 97:16, 102:8, 72:23, 94:14, 185:15,

33:7, 40:21, 79:12,

82:24, 89:18, 90:1,

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/20/2019

187:15 item [1] - 31:22 items [1] - 157:5 itself [7] - 28:23, 110:20, 138:18, 191:10, 194:24, 200:7, 248:20

JAMES [2] - 2:4, 7:3 January [9] - 55:12, 56:2, 56:4, 58:21, 58:22, 58:25, 63:2, 76:7, 76:8 **JEANNE** [1] - 1:7 Jeff [23] - 7:19, 35:10, 42:7, 49:11, 49:14, 49:17, 50:18, 51:23, 52:3, 52:6, 52:12, 84:22, 136:12, 137:4, 137:24, 141:9, 141:12, 141:17, 141:21, 142:11, 143:4, 153:16, 154:2 **Jefferson** [1] - 6:23 Jensen/Panzer [1] -60:4 **Jim** [8] - 42:3, 46:8, 61:20, 174:19, 175:1, 227:4, 228:15, 231:10 **job** [2] - 57:16, 201:1 **jobs** [4] - 56:6, 57:15, 57:18, 58:2 **Joe** [8] - 3:17, 3:24, 32:1, 68:2, 68:12, 71:12, 71:16, 74:12 **JOHNSON** [1] - 1:5 join [1] - 77:1 joined [3] - 55:21, 77:3, 77:8 Joint [1] - 4:22 **JOSE** [1] - 2:9 Joseph [7] - 3:12, 3:14, 3:19, 3:23, 4:20, 7:20, 35:6 JOSEPH [5] - 1:19, 3:3, 6:1, 8:1, 254:11 Journal [1] - 158:5 **JPS** [1] - 2:12 JPS-DPW-RMD [1] -2:12 JR [2] - 2:4, 2:4 Judge [5] - 4:14, 4:18, 186:19, 187:7, 211:11 judge [1] - 73:13 Judgement [1] - 4:16

JUDY [1] - 1:7 July [9] - 118:8, 197:9, 197:19, 198:12, 201:21, 202:11, 203:5, 203:24, 222:10 jump [2] - 159:9, 159:14 June [8] - 26:5, 26:11, 52:18, 81:8, 81:11, 135:20, 224:22, 225:7 jurisdiction [2] -191:5, 192:10 **Justice** [1] - 206:13 JUSTICE [1] - 7:7 justification [9] -238:23, 239:4, 239:6, 239:13, 239:19, 243:8, 245:5, 245:8, 245:11 justifications [1] -239:10

Κ

Kahn [2] - 6:10,

254:8 KAHN [1] - 6:19 KASPER [3] - 7:13, 9:2, 252:8 Kathleen [1] - 4:20 keep [9] - 15:16, 19:8, 27:24, 173:25, 246:22, 249:22, 249:25, 250:1, 250:4 keeping [1] - 109:20 Keith [3] - 4:3, 42:17, 67:6 **KELLEN** [1] - 7:13 **Kelly** [6] - 8:15, 14:9, 40:12, 192:3, 193:3, 199:20 **KELLY** [95] - 7:9, 8:8, 8:11, 8:14, 14:21, 15:6, 15:11, 15:14, 30:21, 33:8, 78:7, 78:9, 79:9, 80:2, 80:8, 80:11, 80:15, 81:19, 81:25, 82:5, 82:18, 83:5, 87:18, 87:22, 89:24, 90:21, 92:15, 93:14, 94:15, 95:19, 98:7, 98:17, 99:6, 99:20, 101:24, 102:12, 102:21, 103:12, 105:8, 106:6, 107:9, 108:25, 110:21, 112:3,

114:22, 115:14, 116:21, 117:18, 120:6, 120:23, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 125:22, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 130:12, 131:2, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 144:7, 191:13, 191:18, 192:14, 193:7, 193:10, 195:4, 196:13, 198:20, 199:4, 199:13, 201:22, 209:6, 209:12, 209:22, 210:19, 211:7, 212:21, 213:17, 213:20, 216:6, 217:6, 217:23, 223:6, 243:16, 250:12, 250:16, 252:25 **Kelly's** [1] - 33:12 **KENNEDY** [2] - 2:1, 2:15 Kenosha [24] -234:4, 234:5, 234:24, 235:5, 235:15, 235:16, 235:19, 235:22, 235:25, 236:1, 236:3, 236:8, 236:11, 236:15, 236:21, 236:25, 237:7, 238:7, 238:10, 238:13, 238:16, 238:25, 239:7, 239:11 **kept** [3] - 95:8, 97:25, 204:5 **KEVIN** [2] - 2:1, 2:15 keyboard [1] - 45:12 kind [12] - 68:25, 71:3, 92:12, 108:16, 125:14, 136:21, 166:3, 170:1, 174:4, 248:16, 249:1, 249:18 **KIND** [1] - 1:10 kinds [3] - 170:13, 171:15, 171:19 knack [1] - 71:2 **knowing** [1] - 229:10 knowledge [20] -51:12, 53:15, 72:21, 91:19, 96:4, 128:14, 132:25, 133:5, 167:14, 178:8, 205:4, 210:24, 216:8, 225:3,

112:24, 113:24, L **LA**[1] - 2:8 label [1] - 32:1 labeled [1] - 3:17 **Lane** [1] - 7:23 **LANGE** [1] - 1:6 70:19, 72:25, 94:7, 159:18, 189:7 large [5] - 47:20, 244:14 last [12] - 13:3, 21:11, 38:1, 38:3, 185:25, 223:2 late [2] - 105:4, 195:16 39:4, 39:11, 53:23, 53:25, 55:21, 62:5, 78:24, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 89:11, 132:20, 132:24, 133:13, 140:22, 143:13, 215:21, **LAW** [1] - 6:23 7:17, 254:9 lawful [1] - 6:2 78:2, 90:16, 90:19, 194:5 lawyer [5] - 62:2, 107:23, 132:17, 229:12, 229:24, 230:4, 232:2, 235:2, 182:17, 211:12 lawyers [7] - 61:19,

known [1] - 65:1 **KRESBACH** [1] - 1:6

Lac [2] - 162:7, 163:5 language [9] - 38:11, 94:23, 95:12, 96:19, **LARDNER** [1] - 7:13 236:4, 239:8, 239:17, 13:12, 13:14, 15:21, 70:6, 159:9, 164:14, Latino [4] - 229:14, 229:20, 230:1, 231:7 latter [1] - 119:10 law [41] - 14:6, 14:9, 14:25, 15:18, 15:19, 27:11, 27:19, 27:20, 27:23, 35:16, 36:23, 64:14, 73:20, 76:25, 91:25, 132:4, 132:14, 133:2, 133:4, 133:11, 226:6, 248:13, 250:10 Law [8] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:23, 7:3, 7:10, 7:13, lawsuit [7] - 14:16, 155:19, 194:5, 196:22 lawsuits [2] - 190:18, **LAWTON** [1] - 7:3

131:20, 133:12, 150:8, 150:11, 174:13, 174:17 **LAZAR** [12] - 7:6, 33:19, 87:10, 98:18, 104:11, 206:7, 206:11, 206:16, 207:1, 207:6, 223:10, 223:14 Lazar [1] - 8:16 Leader [4] - 7:18, 35:8, 42:7, 84:20 leaders [4] - 153:11, 153:24, 231:11, 232:5 **leadership** [2] - 42:4, **learned** [1] - 166:7 least [4] - 155:17, 189:17, 189:24, 206:14 leave [3] - 134:3, 211:12, 223:19 **Leave** [1] - 4:15 Ledgeview [2] -164:16, 164:17 left [12] - 24:15, 48:20, 48:22, 58:25, 70:7, 162:2, 163:7, 165:8, 209:19, 223:21, 250:8, 251:11 left-hand [4] - 48:20, 48:22, 70:7, 162:2 legal [29] - 9:20, 30:7, 30:8, 46:5, 46:6, 46:7, 61:16, 61:22, 78:21, 78:23, 85:6, 85:14, 85:18, 85:20, 97:4, 101:20, 105:24, 124:24, 127:25, 145:22, 148:16, 149:15, 150:3, 155:5, 211:9, 225:24, 226:3, 226:4 Legal [1] - 7:22 legislation [1] -100:19 Legislative [1] -79:21 legislative [87] -9:16, 19:6, 23:19, 24:8, 40:10, 42:4, 42:5, 43:5, 43:19, 44:13, 48:1, 48:3, 50:6, 54:14, 55:2, 55:10, 55:19, 56:12, 56:17, 57:4, 57:25, 58:6, 60:9, 60:16,

254:13

61:12, 62:13, 63:22,

69:6, 69:14, 71:13,

71:16, 72:4, 73:22,

judicial [1] - 190:5

76:24, 77:4, 77:8, 95:9, 98:1, 119:7, 119:13, 120:1, 122:20, 135:8, 153:23, 159:17, 160:1, 160:8, 171:25, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 176:6, 176:9, 176:12, 178:2, 178:7, 178:10, 179:6, 179:15, 180:1, 180:23, 181:1, 181:4, 181:16, 182:4, 182:7, 182:19, 183:5, 184:7, 184:10, 185:2, 186:9, 186:12, 188:24, 189:3, 211:5, 212:17, 222:14, 222:20, 225:18, 231:11, 232:5, 250:5, 250:11, 251:11, 252:6, 252:9 Legislature [7] -91:22, 92:25, 94:1, 95:4, 95:7, 123:20, 159:16 legislature [26] -9:21, 42:25, 58:16, 58:21, 84:12, 85:15, 97:24, 98:23, 101:17, 101:21, 102:6, 123:4, 124:6, 124:21, 125:2, 125:4, 133:23, 135:7, 143:1, 143:17, 148:17, 154:16, 157:25, 159:21, 159:22, 167:16 Legislature's [4] -91:23, 93:2, 94:2, 95:6 legislatures [4] -154:18, 175:13, 239:23, 241:25 **LESLIE** [1] - 1:5 less [7] - 147:24, 147:25, 148:1, 183:1, 184:19, 218:23, 236:24 letter [20] - 3:12, 3:18, 3:20, 3:21, 4:20, 13:6, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 34:21, 35:5, 36:5, 37:15, 37:17, 37:20, 38:8, 38:10, 38:18, 39:14, 196:1 letters [9] - 37:23, 38:2, 38:4, 38:15,

levels [2] - 171:7, 171:10 license [4] - 3:24, 55:12, 55:15, 55:17 licensed [6] - 54:16, 55:1, 55:9, 57:2, 57:5, 57:8 Life [1] - 68:1 light [1] - 119:14 likely [2] - 75:6, 75:8 likewise [1] - 11:6 limit [3] - 111:23, 126:10, 250:13 limitation [1] - 92:1 limited [1] - 33:20 limiting [1] - 80:25 line [9] - 36:11, 36:13, 36:15, 163:14, 163:18, 185:9, 206:6, 207:21, 232:15 lines [16] - 50:13, 50:14, 207:10, 211:24, 212:5, 215:19, 215:20, 215:21, 216:10, 230:8, 230:17, 230:20, 232:3, 236:7, 252:20, 252:21 list [6] - 110:8, 112:19, 112:21, 113:15, 153:14, 231:6 listed [4] - 92:9, 93:8, 164:7, 165:4 listing [3] - 161:15, 161:23, 161:25 litigation [18] - 9:22, 35:12, 59:19, 59:22, 60:2, 64:5, 66:2, 66:8, 77:14, 78:6, 81:7, 81:14, 82:22, 83:10, 83:15, 155:13, 195:21, 197:23 live [4] - 28:11,

28:21, 28:22, 28:25

lived [1] - 75:16

living [2] - 58:24,

LLP [2] - 7:13, 7:17

lobbied [1] - 58:6

lobbyist [6] - 3:24,

lobby [1] - 55:1

54:16, 57:2, 57:5,

Lobbyists [2] -

local [4] - 95:11,

98:2, 216:5, 230:7

locals [1] - 215:24

location [1] - 248:21

205:15, 205:23

57:8, 57:21

LLC [1] - 6:23

75:17

logistics [1] - 147:19 longest [1] - 148:7 look [49] - 12:5, 16:3, 17:5, 18:13, 34:2, 34:16, 35:3, 35:18, 37:11, 38:3, 55:4, 56:20, 68:21, 69:4, 69:25, 70:6, 84:16, 86:8, 86:10, 86:11, 88:7, 93:24, 104:19, 108:23, 136:7, 156:6, 157:6, 166:20, 185:20, 189:15, 194:17, 195:24, 207:9, 207:16, 207:20, 212:4, 225:24, 233:1, 233:19, 233:20, 234:4, 234:8, 237:13, 238:7, 246:17, 247:3, 247:12 looked [11] - 16:15, 16:16, 30:10, 54:13, 84:15, 86:7, 87:5, 87:6, 207:11, 247:8, 247:14 looking [10] - 48:12, 63:1, 136:18, 159:2, 189:18, 190:3, 196:8, 210:8, 247:16 looks [3] - 162:2, 162:4, 164:4 **Louis** [1] - 4:20 lower [1] - 24:15 lunch [4] - 155:9, 155:10, 155:11,

M

174:11

Madden [1] - 4:20 Madison [26] - 1:20, 6:12, 6:20, 7:4, 7:7, 7:17, 28:4, 28:5, 28:8, 29:7, 29:10, 32:14, 32:15, 32:16, 32:22, 33:1, 53:18, 59:7, 62:10, 62:19, 63:11, 63:14, 147:21, 148:2, 242:3, 254:10 mail [43] - 137:5, 137:19, 137:23, 138:5, 138:7, 138:11, 138:14, 138:17, 138:19, 138:23, 139:1, 139:3, 139:12, 139:18, 139:21, 139:25, 141:12, 141:17, 144:22, 145:2, 145:4, 145:13,

146:3, 146:7, 177:5, 177:12, 178:1, 181:16, 181:19, 181:25, 182:6, 184:9, 184:13, 184:22, 184:23, 184:25, 185:2, 248:3, 248:6, 248:10, 249:5, 249:8 mailed [3] - 145:6, 177:9, 181:22 mailing [2] - 177:8, 177:16 mails [10] - 138:10, 138:13, 177:19, 177:24, 179:4, 179:5, 228:21, 228:24, 248:17, 248:19 Main [4] - 6:11, 6:20, 7:7, 254:9 maintain [1] - 29:9 Majority [4] - 7:18, 35:8, 42:7, 84:20 makeup [2] - 216:12, 217:4 man [1] - 67:11 management [1] -248:14 Mandy [1] - 227:19 **MANZANET** [1] - 1:6 Map [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4 map [64] - 19:6, 24:6, 47:20, 50:3, 50:4, 71:13, 71:17, 73:10, 73:13, 85:16, 102:24, 124:13, 130:7, 130:8, 161:15, 163:20, 164:1, 164:17, 165:13, 173:22, 216:16, 217:9, 223:7, 223:9, 224:14, 224:18, 224:21, 224:25, 225:1, 225:2, 225:5, 225:6, 225:17, 225:22, 225:24, 225:25, 226:12, 228:4, 228:16, 229:7, 229:8, 229:11, 229:12, 230:16, 233:10, 233:20, 233:25, 235:11, 235:12, 237:12, 242:5, 242:7, 242:13, 242:14, 242:15, 242:17, 242:21, 245:4, 245:23, 245:25, 246:23 mapmakers [1] -70:16 mapping [1] - 85:23

maps [74] - 32:7, 32:17, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 44:22, 44:23, 45:2, 45:3, 45:5, 45:6, 45:9, 45:14, 45:18, 45:21, 45:24, 46:2, 46:15, 48:13, 51:9, 51:13, 60:9, 60:16, 61:9, 61:12, 64:8, 70:13, 73:8, 73:22, 74:13, 85:8, 91:24, 95:8, 97:25, 99:15, 100:8, 123:8, 125:15, 136:8, 136:15, 136:18, 136:22, 136:25, 148:11, 148:13, 151:7, 152:18, 152:21, 159:17, 175:3, 175:16, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 178:6, 215:23, 216:13, 217:2, 217:5, 217:8, 219:9, 219:14, 219:15, 220:5, 220:11, 225:9, 229:22, 229:25, 230:11, 233:4, 233:5, 241:22, 246:25, 247:25 Maps [2] - 3:17, 32:1 March [3] - 21:7, 27:2, 56:16 Maria [4] - 8:16, 87:13, 206:24, 207:3 MARIA [1] - 7:6 Marie [1] - 223:18 mark [13] - 12:14, 17:18, 17:19, 17:21, 19:7, 34:8, 34:11, 53:1, 66:17, 104:14, 190:23, 232:21 marked [42] - 12:16, 12:19, 17:23, 18:1, 18:3, 18:20, 19:10, 19:13, 25:23, 26:6, 31:14, 31:24, 34:5, 34:9, 34:13, 53:2, 53:5, 54:19, 54:22, 66:18, 66:22, 67:1, 91:2, 91:5, 104:15, 104:18, 106:16, 106:19, 108:4, 108:7, 168:7, 188:9, 188:12, 190:24, 191:2, 194:9, 194:13, 197:14, 232:19, 233:3, 233:7, 233:10 married [2] - 75:21, 76:15

38:19, 39:15, 54:13,

level [3] - 170:15,

84:14, 86:8

171:2, 210:7

Marshfield [12] -246:3, 246:6, 246:10, 246:22, 246:25, 247:7, 247:10, 247:15, 247:18, 247:19 Mart [2] - 56:9, 57:16 master [1] - 72:19 material [3] - 79:10, 126:2, 218:3 materials [5] - 16:3, 17:5, 19:24, 21:19, 23:22 matter [9] - 29:19, 35:11, 54:14, 87:5, 87:16, 109:24, 118:2, 133:21, 159:13 matters [24] - 14:23, 35:24, 41:18, 93:12, 101:21, 133:23, 133:25, 139:8, 139:15, 140:16, 141:13, 141:19, 141:23, 142:3, 142:8, 142:12, 144:17, 144:21, 145:4, 145:7, 147:3, 183:25, 184:10, 254:14 **MAXINE** [1] - 1:5 MCD [3] - 161:15, 161:16, 161:18 McLeod [49] - 3:14, 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 11:9, 14:3, 17:14, 18:10, 18:12, 18:22, 19:15, 37:15, 38:13, 39:15, 42:3, 46:7, 61:20, 79:2, 89:4, 89:15, 131:23, 133:9, 134:7, 134:8, 149:16, 149:18, 150:4, 150:20, 174:20, 182:18, 182:23, 183:4, 183:9, 183:13, 183:23, 184:2, 184:5, 184:9, 184:12, 184:21, 185:2, 186:8, 186:11, 186:17, 227:6, 227:9, 229:3, 231:10 MCLEOD [54] - 7:16, 9:1, 9:4, 11:13, 16:21, 27:12, 29:23, 33:3, 33:15, 40:16, 41:1, 41:21, 43:7, 43:12,

134:23, 142:19, 154:12, 155:8, 160:3, 176:19, 180:2, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 186:17, 188:4, 188:7, 211:6, 211:8, 219:12, 251:23 McLeod's [8] - 11:5, 15:18, 27:11, 35:16, 36:11, 38:18, 39:13, 78:24 mean [8] - 27:20, 31:13, 48:3, 50:5, 50:24, 78:19, 78:21, 204:18 meaning [2] -161:21, 173:25 means [1] - 162:24 meant [2] - 75:22, 162:18 measure [2] - 213:1, 213:9 meet [12] - 65:7, 65:15, 65:18, 117:16, 117:21, 118:14, 120:13, 143:8, 146:16, 222:18, 231:17, 231:21 Meet [1] - 68:12 meetings [1] -146:19 member [3] - 121:14, 123:4, 123:19 members [6] - 60:7, 106:3, 124:6, 141:11, 159:22, 167:15 **Members** [4] - 1:13, 2:12, 6:4, 105:16 membership [2] -125:4, 125:7 memorandum [1] -21:22 memorialized [5] -92:5, 96:11, 96:23, 156:18, 156:23 memory [1] - 110:14 Menasha [3] -164:23, 164:24, 164:25 mental [1] - 82:2 mentioned [26] -42:13, 42:22, 47:9, 49:10, 51:15, 52:19, 57:17, 64:19, 77:11, 87:5, 100:3, 109:19, 113:16, 119:20,

113:7, 113:22,

115:25, 119:6,

122:14, 133:20,

134:11, 134:17,

131:18, 131:23, 132:8, 132:16, 132:22, 133:8, 174:19, 179:13, 182:17, 199:21, 210:25, 220:19 mentions [2] - 209:4, 209:23 message [4] - 182:3, 186:8, 186:11 messages [6] -72:23, 179:8, 229:5, 249:15, 249:20, 250:8 messaging [21] -116:20, 116:25, 117:4, 117:5, 117:13, 139:7, 140:4, 140:5, 140:13, 141:7, 141:18, 142:7, 144:18, 144:22, 144:23, 177:5, 177:6, 179:8 met [8] - 65:9, 65:11, 67:11, 77:11, 77:15, 121:9, 146:22, 224:6 method [1] - 144:25 methods [1] - 228:9 Michael [106] - 15:19, 27:20, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 32:10, 32:13, 32:15, 32:17, 32:22, 33:1, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 44:12, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:16, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:18, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:2, 62:16, 65:18, 84:10, 87:3, 88:4, 100:22, 110:10, 113:17, 114:21, 116:9, 119:2, 119:21, 120:14, 121:10, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:11, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:5, 135:10, 136:23, 137:1, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 146:24, 148:9, 148:21, 149:12, 154:19, 155:3, 160:15, 160:19,

166:16, 167:10, 172:19, 174:14, 174:21, 175:5, 175:7, 175:10, 178:5, 178:12, 178:19, 178:22, 179:13, 179:19, 180:1, 182:20, 182:24, 183:6, 200:11, 204:19, 204:21, 204:24, 231:1 MICHAEL [3] - 1:15, 2:14, 7:17 microphone [2] -69:18, 69:22 might [3] - 91:19, 131:13, 223:14 mike [1] - 183:7 Millis [10] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:22, 34:21, 36:22, 36:23, 36:25, 37:16, 38:19, 39:15 Millis's [1] - 36:19 Milwaukee [38] -6:24, 7:11, 7:14, 28:3, 28:7, 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 28:22, 28:25, 29:7, 29:11, 32:14, 32:17, 75:16, 75:18, 75:22, 76:21, 76:23, 151:8, 151:12, 151:15, 151:20, 151:23, 152:2, 152:9, 152:13, 152:19, 152:22, 152:25, 154:6, 154:7, 154:20, 158:5, 221:20, 228:10, 242:3 Min [1] - 206:22 Min-U-Script [1] -206:22 minimize [1] - 212:7 minimized [1] -212:19 minimum [2] - 93:5, 97:8 Minocqua [13] -28:16, 29:4, 55:22, 56:3, 56:10, 59:4, 68:2, 75:23, 76:11, 121:24, 122:1, 122:4, 122:9 minor [3] - 161:18, 161:20, 162:21 minorities [1] -211:19 minority [2] - 101:18, 207:24 minute [6] - 58:24, 69:21, 96:5, 104:19,

157:6, 211:1 minutes [4] - 68:6, 223:20, 223:24, 237:12 mischaracterizes [1] - 93:16 misstates [1] - 49:21 misunderstanding [1] - 16:24 misunderstood [1] -203:2 moment [2] - 22:18, 25:15 moments [1] -128:14 monitor [1] - 44:21 month [10] - 36:4, 86:15, 86:23, 86:25, 110:5, 117:24, 117:25, 118:8, 124:1 **MOORE** [2] - 1:6, 1:10 morning [9] - 8:7, 11:18, 13:22, 16:10, 16:13, 17:17, 18:9, 19:25, 23:25 Morrison [1] -230:22 most [2] - 104:9, 239:24 motion [8] - 9:10, 9:11, 9:12, 9:24, 11:8, 186:20, 186:22, 186:23 mouse [1] - 45:11 move [3] - 75:25, 76:4, 188:1 moved [3] - 76:11, 212:17, 215:22 MR [224] - 8:8, 8:10, 8:11, 8:13, 8:14, 8:25, 9:1, 9:2, 9:3, 9:4, 11:3, 11:13, 11:14, 14:21, 15:3, 15:6, 15:8, 15:11, 15:12, 15:14, 15:15, 16:21, 18:16, 27:12, 29:23, 30:4, 30:21, 33:3, 33:8, 33:15, 40:16, 41:1, 41:21, 43:7, 43:12, 43:21, 44:18, 49:19, 52:25, 60:22, 69:17, 78:7, 78:9, 78:11, 79:9, 80:2, 80:5, 80:8, 80:10, 80:11, 80:12, 80:15, 80:20, 81:19, 81:22, 81:25, 82:5, 82:18, 83:5, 83:25, 84:4, 87:12, 87:18, 87:22,

43:21, 44:18, 49:19,

60:22, 83:25, 84:4,

89:16, 96:12, 96:25,

97:17, 109:11, 111:9,

112:11, 112:15,

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

89:16, 89:24, 90:21, 92:15, 93:14, 94:15, 95:19, 96:12, 96:25, 97:17, 98:7, 98:17, 98:19, 99:6, 99:20, 101:24, 102:12, 102:21, 103:6, 103:12, 104:13, 105:8, 106:6, 107:9, 108:25, 109:11, 110:21, 111:9, 112:3, 112:11, 112:15, 112:24, 113:7, 113:22, 113:24, 114:22, 115:14, 115:19, 115:25, 116:21, 117:18, 119:6, 120:6, 120:23, 122:14, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 125:22, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 129:23, 130:12, 131:2, 133:20, 134:11, 134:17, 134:23, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 142:19, 144:7, 154:12, 154:23, 155:6, 155:8, 158:24, 160:3, 176:19, 180:2, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 185:8, 185:10, 185:11, 185:13, 185:14, 185:19, 186:2, 186:14, 186:17, 188:3, 188:4, 188:6, 188:7, 188:8, 191:13, 191:16, 191:18, 192:14, 192:24, 193:7, 193:9, 193:10, 195:4, 196:13, 198:20, 199:4, 199:13, 201:22, 202:24, 206:10, 206:15, 206:19, 207:2, 207:7, 209:6, 209:9, 209:12, 209:22, 210:19, 211:6, 211:7, 211:8, 212:21, 212:22, 213:5, 213:17, 213:20, 216:6, 216:21, 217:6, 217:23, 219:12, 223:1, 223:6, 223:8, 223:12, 223:16, 223:17, 223:18, 223:23, 223:25, 224:1, 232:7, 232:11, 232:14, 232:17, 232:21, 235:8, 243:16, 250:12, 250:14, 250:16, 251:19, 251:23, 251:24, 251:25, 252:8, 252:10, 252:23, 252:25, 253:1 MS [11] - 33:19, 87:10, 98:18, 104:11, 206:7, 206:11, 206:16, 207:1, 207:6, 223:10, 223:14 MSN [8] - 138:20, 138:21, 138:23, 139:19, 145:9, 177:13, 181:25, 184:23 multiple [6] - 244:2, 244:10, 244:12, 245:3, 245:6 municipal [1] -226:13 municipalities [19] -24:7, 95:9, 98:1, 162:19, 162:24, 163:20, 163:25, 164:7, 164:9, 164:13, 164:19, 165:4, 165:9, 165:10, 171:11, 171:23, 236:24, 238:24, 239:23 municipality [4] -162:18, 173:23, 173:25, 238:20

Ν

name [6] - 92:9,

93:8, 156:12, 157:2, 205:14, 207:13 named [2] - 90:24, 254:11 names [7] - 110:8, 110:12, 110:14, 110:16, 112:19, 112:21, 113:15 **narrow** [1] - 130:13 National [2] - 222:19, 222:23 nature [25] - 93:16, 120:22, 128:3, 128:4, 128:11, 135:25, 142:18, 145:12, 148:19, 150:24, 153:8, 158:2, 158:4, 160:24, 176:17, 181:3, 182:6, 183:12, 185:1, 200:23, 204:8,

204:10, 214:24,

244:25, 246:15 necessarily [2] -116:15, 208:4 necessary [3] - 10:6, 11:1, 237:17 need [8] - 8:22, 12:1, 12:8, 31:21, 84:16, 110:14, 233:20, 237:2 needed [3] - 76:20, 215:11 needs [2] - 11:15, 78:18 never [2] - 149:4, 166:7 new [17] - 56:21, 93:6, 95:7, 97:9, 97:24, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7, 178:7, 187:21, 212:17, 220:13, 220:16, 222:20, 240:5, 240:12, 240:21 next [8] - 74:14, 74:22, 92:23, 162:9, 163:10, 163:24, 187:16, 187:18 nice [1] - 201:1 NICHOL [2] - 1:15, 2:14 nine [1] - 165:1 non [12] - 129:6, 150:8, 176:23, 181:10, 186:20, 193:23, 195:17, 199:12, 202:8, 218:12, 218:13, 237:14 non-continuous [1] - 237:14 non-lawyers [1] -150:8 non-parties [1] -186:20 non-privileged [6] -

non-parties [1] 186:20
non-privileged [6] 129:6, 193:23,
195:17, 202:8,
218:12, 218:13
non-testifying [2] 176:23, 181:10
none [3] - 58:4,
131:11, 158:23
North [2] - 6:23, 7:1
Nos [5] - 17:23, 34:1
188:9, 194:9, 232:19

131:11, 158:23 North [2] - 6:23, 7:10 Nos [5] - 17:23, 34:9, 188:9, 194:9, 232:19 notarial [1] - 255:3 Notary [3] - 6:9, 254:4, 255:6 noted [1] - 180:6 notes [21] - 22:5,

254:4, 255:6 noted [1] - 180:6 notes [21] - 22:5, 22:8, 22:9, 22:10, 22:13, 22:15, 161:7,

189:16, 189:18, 204:1, 204:4, 204:5, 204:8, 204:10, 227:11, 228:18, 238:1, 238:6, 238:8 nothing [8] - 80:17, 125:10, 233:24, 252:4, 252:13, 252:24, 252:25, 254:13 notice [1] - 26:3 notify [1] - 189:5 November [78] -14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 15:10, 30:24, 31:7, 31:8, 33:11, 40:12, 41:17, 42:10, 55:5, 81:2, 81:4, 87:23, 87:24, 87:25, 88:17, 102:25, 104:25, 105:4, 111:3, 112:9, 113:2, 114:5, 114:8, 115:3, 115:10, 115:22, 116:23, 117:2, 117:18, 117:19, 118:24, 120:2, 120:5, 120:18, 120:19, 121:7, 126:3, 126:6, 126:11, 126:23, 127:1, 127:19, 128:8, 128:19, 129:7, 130:15, 130:24, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:3, 139:9, 139:12, 140:7, 140:8, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 144:12, 144:17, 144:20, 146:11, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 191:6, 194:19, 199:18, 201:25, 250:22 number [21] - 23:6, 46:24, 47:7, 65:14, 108:17, 131:20,

161:14, 172:10,

199:18, 201:25, 250:22

number [21] - 23:6, 46:24, 47:7, 65:14, 108:17, 131:20, 135:12, 147:7, 164:7, 165:7, 165:8, 167:23, 167:24, 168:15, 170:23, 204:11, 213:9, 213:11, 213:13, 215:1, 215:6 numbered [1] - 13:18

numbers [11] - 22:20, 72:21, 148:23,

148:25, 149:2,

204:13, 205:3, 205:5, 205:7, 205:11, 234:21

0

oath [2] - 8:3, 254:16 Obey's [1] - 203:14 object [35] - 30:21, 33:15, 33:19, 38:9, 43:7, 43:8, 43:21, 44:18, 49:19, 60:22, 82:18, 84:5, 89:16, 89:24, 96:12, 106:6, 107:9. 108:25. 109:11, 111:9, 112:15, 113:22, 113:24, 125:22, 142:19, 154:12, 176:19, 191:18, 195:4, 196:13, 201:22, 211:7, 213:20, 219:12, 250:17 **objected** [2] - 38:14, 129:10 objection [94] - 8:19, 8:20, 8:23, 9:7, 9:24, 10:4, 10:9, 10:23, 16:22, 17:1, 29:24, 33:4, 33:9, 33:12, 33:17, 33:18, 40:17, 78:7, 79:9, 80:2, 81:19, 83:5, 84:6, 87:18, 90:21, 92:15, 93:14, 94:15, 95:19, 97:1, 97:18, 98:7, 98:13, 98:15, 99:6, 99:20, 101:24, 102:12, 102:21, 103:12, 104:11, 105:8, 110:21, 112:3, 112:4, 112:24, 113:8, 114:22, 115:14, 116:1, 116:21, 119:7, 120:6, 120:23, 122:15, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 130:12, 131:2, 133:21, 134:12, 134:18, 134:23, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 144:7, 181:7, 182:10, 183:16, 185:5, 191:13, 192:14, 193:4, 198:20, 209:6,

209:12, 209:13,

209:22, 210:19,

Castibitotapet between the content of the content o

211:9, 212:21, 216:6, 217:6, 217:23. 243:16, 250:12 objections [9] - 8:18, 10:7, 10:12, 10:16, 11:10, 41:2, 41:22, 185:18, 187:2 objective [5] -183:10, 204:10, 204:13, 225:25, 226:11 observe [16] - 44:3, 44:21, 45:6, 47:11, 47:14, 51:19, 149:11, 175:19, 176:4, 178:13, 178:15, 179:24, 180:5, 180:9, 183:4, 183:23 observed [3] - 45:17, 175:25, 183:9 obstruct [1] - 10:13 **obtain** [1] - 55:15 obtained [3] -102:23, 114:4, 218:5 obviously [5] -10:18, 12:4, 66:24, 187:23, 232:24 occasionally [4] -131:25, 132:1, 132:2, 132:3 occupational [1] -53:19 Occupational [4] -56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 58:11 occupied [1] - 219:1 occur [4] - 116:4, 142:15, 146:19, 187:16 occurred [7] - 31:6, 52:11, 52:16, 114:20, 115:2, 143:2, 202:20 occurring [2] -114:1, 201:24 odd [1] - 98:24 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 6:23, 7:7, 254:1, 254:2 **OFFICE** [1] - 6:23 office [19] - 28:4, 28:5, 28:7, 28:8, 29:6, 29:11, 29:13, 32:18, 62:10, 62:14, 62:19, 65:6, 116:9, 177:16, 204:6, 249:23, 250:2 Office [1] - 121:14 offices [34] - 6:10, 30:9, 32:11, 32:13, 32:15, 32:22, 33:1, 114:21, 116:11, 119:2, 120:14,

136:23, 137:1, 142:16, 143:9, 144:6, 146:13, 146:20, 146:24, 148:22, 154:19, 155:3, 160:16, 160:19, 166:16, 167:10, 172:19, 175:8, 178:5, 178:12, 182:24, 204:21, 204:25, 254:8 official [2] - 1:14, 2:13 officials [1] - 222:19 often [12] - 138:7, 140:15, 145:6, 147:5, 174:3, 178:1, 180:12, 181:18, 182:23, 183:1, 184:12, 184:19 Oklahoma [3] -64:23, 65:7, 147:20 old [3] - 19:5, 47:25, 48:3 OLGA [1] - 2:9 Olson [1] - 4:20 OLSON [1] - 7:3 omitted [1] - 209:19 once [5] - 143:11, 173:4, 204:24, 205:5, 231:25 One [4] - 6:11, 6:20, 7:17, 254:9 one [50] - 8:19, 9:15, 12:7, 18:1, 20:23, 23:6, 24:8, 25:15, 29:3, 29:4, 29:13, 31:22, 36:10, 42:1, 42:2, 57:22, 72:11, 74:11, 84:4, 86:10, 94:23, 95:2, 119:24, 123:13, 141:10, 154:7, 156:9, 159:9, 164:14, 164:15, 164:23, 167:22, 170:6, 171:24, 181:7, 196:5, 215:7, 221:1, 223:2, 229:24, 233:6, 233:20, 236:4, 237:3, 239:8, 241:22, 244:5, 247:1, 247:10, 247:13 ones [11] - 20:11, 51:6, 152:25, 154:21, 155:3, 209:4, 216:14, 216:15, 223:10, 223:12 ongoing [1] - 56:25 oops [1] - 69:17 open [1] - 206:4

operate [1] - 166:9

opinion [10] -

105:14, 129:13,

129:19, 130:5, 130:9, 130:14, 130:17, 130:25, 212:19, 217:3 opinions [3] -101:13, 130:4, 130:5 opportunities [2] -74:14, 74:22 opportunity [5] -15:23, 68:16, 99:2, 185:20, 211:19 opposed [6] - 27:23, 38:23, 76:22, 81:1, 141:10, 152:5 opposition [1] - 11:8 options [16] - 50:3, 50:4, 50:7, 50:17, 51:3, 51:9, 51:12, 52:3, 52:8, 52:12, 153:13, 153:20, 153:22, 153:25, 154:4, 155:2 order [11] - 10:17, 10:21, 119:14, 122:21, 184:17, 185:12, 186:22, 186:25, 187:14, 211:11, 231:17 orders [1] - 187:7 organization [1] -55:18 organizations [4] -54:25, 55:8, 57:9, 58:5 Original [1] - 4:15 original [10] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:24, 38:18, 39:13, 47:1, 104:7, 104:8, 191:5, 192:9 otherwise [1] -105:21 Ottman [130] - 42:3, 42:22, 42:23, 42:24, 43:18, 45:17, 45:20, 46:1, 46:14, 46:19, 46:23, 46:25, 47:4, 47:9, 47:13, 47:15, 50:25, 51:2, 51:11, 52:2, 52:7, 52:11, 113:16, 113:21, 114:13, 114:16, 114:19, 115:9, 115:12, 115:23, 116:8, 116:13, 116:19, 116:24, 117:3, 117:16, 117:21, 118:15, 118:18, 118:23, 119:1, 119:25, 120:5, 137:3, 137:23, 138:3,

138:22, 139:1, 139:4, 139:6, 150:15, 152:11, 153:1, 153:6, 157:20, 157:21, 158:3, 158:7, 158:12, 158:16, 158:20, 160:25, 165:17, 165:20, 166:17, 167:18, 169:14, 172:16, 174:11, 175:20, 175:25, 176:5, 178:6, 180:10, 180:13, 180:19, 183:24, 184:3, 184:6, 198:5, 198:8, 198:18, 199:23, 200:3, 200:16, 200:17, 201:16, 201:19, 202:10, 203:11, 204:12, 207:17, 208:19, 209:4, 209:23, 211:24, 212:5, 214:17, 214:18, 214:25, 215:3, 215:10, 215:14, 215:19, 216:2, 216:11, 216:13, 216:19, 217:2, 224:7, 224:13, 225:2, 230:25, 231:10, 232:6, 237:10, 237:11, 237:20, 244:24, 245:16, 245:19, 246:14, 252:13 Ottman's [7] -158:10, 207:22, 208:25, 209:20, 216:20, 216:25 outlined [2] - 210:12, 232:5 outside [24] - 81:6, 83:11, 83:18, 88:24, 103:3, 111:20, 114:20, 116:9, 121:18, 127:11, 136:23, 142:16, 143:8, 144:5, 146:12, 146:20, 160:19, 192:7, 193:15, 193:24, 199:5, 199:20, 204:24, 222:13 overhear [2] -178:18, 180:18 oversee [1] - 45:5 oversized [1] - 233:4 own [14] - 15:19, 22:11, 23:22, 27:23, 28:6, 62:10, 62:14,

132:14, 165:22, 167:13, 205:4, 249:5, 249:9, 249:11

Ρ

p.m [1] - 253:3 package [1] - 23:13 packet [1] - 191:8 Packet [1] - 3:14 page [54] - 13:12, 13:14, 13:18, 15:22, 21:7, 21:9, 21:11, 24:13, 36:9, 38:1, 53:10, 67:14, 67:15, 68:1, 68:11, 70:5, 70:7, 70:8, 70:9, 71:11, 72:9, 72:10, 72:12, 73:4, 73:17, 74:5, 74:6, 91:9, 91:15, 92:8, 92:22, 94:9, 96:6, 98:23, 106:21, 108:8, 108:15, 156:8, 156:11, 156:12, 159:4, 170:6, 188:18, 206:6, 207:9, 207:16, 207:21, 209:24, 211:23, 211:24, 212:5, 215:18, 216:9 pages [14] - 19:1, 20:8, 22:4, 22:24, 22:25, 23:1, 23:8, 23:21, 68:8, 69:5, 101:4, 108:17, 206:11, 207:14 Pages [1] - 3:2 paid [4] - 36:4, 61:5, 86:23, 88:23 pair [1] - 241:2 paired [6] - 240:6, 240:13, 240:16, 240:21, 240:24, 241:7 pairing [5] - 241:23, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21 pairings [5] - 240:18, 241:7, 241:11, 241:12, 241:18 panel [2] - 73:13, 190:5 Panel [2] - 4:14, 4:18 paper [10] - 17:20, 21:4, 31:17, 47:16, 47:19, 100:25, 136:19, 152:6, 152:9, 249:22 papers [2] - 11:7,

138:7, 138:13,

Castibility April 31 provide #032 Philipping Para Private Priv

paragraph [38] -35:4, 35:18, 35:25, 36:3, 38:3, 70:7, 72:18, 73:5, 73:17, 73:25, 74:2, 74:10, 84:18, 91:16, 91:21, 92:22, 92:23, 93:13, 93:24, 94:14, 94:25, 95:3, 95:24, 96:5, 97:5, 97:6, 97:11, 97:23, 99:13, 100:2, 101:16, 102:6, 156:8, 156:13, 156:16, 159:10 paragraphs [5] -13:18, 94:22, 156:25, 157:1, 157:6 parameters [3] -103:4, 127:12, 199:6 parcels [1] - 237:14 pardon [1] - 227:25 parens [1] - 35:10 part [24] - 21:14, 23:18, 63:16, 77:2, 87:6, 96:7, 97:11, 97:12, 105:23, 150:25, 159:11, 159:22, 160:1, 160:7, 161:3, 161:6, 161:14, 166:24, 171:16, 217:19, 225:18, 226:3, 238:4, 238:8 part-time [1] - 63:16 partially [2] - 20:3, 203:9 participate [10] -70:14, 77:4, 78:1, 125:9, 149:8, 151:14, 190:12, 197:4, 225:17, 230:5 participated [5] -64:7, 151:17, 158:13, 158:17, 232:3 participating [1] -75:4 participation [3] -102:24, 225:21, 225:22 particular [22] - 24:9, 48:17, 55:18, 68:22, 72:24, 75:9, 77:18, 83:24, 86:25, 89:13, 95:18, 157:23, 165:12, 165:19, 166:1, 189:10, 189:25, 190:14, 191:11, 197:1, 201:4, 246:1 parties [5] - 107:18, 186:20, 187:25,

254:22, 254:25 partisan [3] - 216:12, 217:3, 217:12 parts [2] - 203:10, 234:23 party [2] - 60:12, 123:19 passages [1] - 206:5 passed [11] - 100:20, 124:4, 143:13, 143:16, 157:24, 169:17, 218:21, 219:1, 222:17, 241:13, 241:19 past [4] - 111:18, 217:17, 217:21, 218:15 patience [1] - 10:22 Patrick [2] - 89:14, 128:2 **PAUL** [1] - 2:4 pending [4] - 6:5, 11:11, 129:14, 190:17 people [29] - 12:5, 41:25, 42:12, 42:13, 42:22, 61:23, 61:25, 78:19, 91:18, 110:9, 111:18, 112:20, 131:19, 133:8, 133:12, 150:10, 168:24, 170:7, 170:23, 174:13, 193:3, 193:23, 193:24, 195:13, 214:1, 231:6, 232:1, 246:18, 248:3 per [3] - 36:4, 86:15, 86:23 percent [10] -167:25, 168:3, 168:4, 168:21, 168:23, 168:25, 169:4, 210:13, 215:2 percentage [7] -179:21, 215:6, 218:25, 219:2, 229:13, 229:19, 230:1 percentages [2] -222:1, 222:6 Pere [1] - 164:14 **PEREZ** [1] - 2:9 Perez [1] - 227:19 perform [3] - 32:16, 33:24, 111:25 performed [4] -32:10, 32:20, 32:21,

performing [2] -

perhaps [5] - 115:15,

47:13, 82:21

184:17, 185:16, 224:11, 230:18 period [4] - 25:6, 41:4, 42:9, 112:9 person [7] - 8:19, 83:24, 119:19, 142:13, 146:16, 207:13, 254:11 personal [4] -130:10, 138:16, 167:14, 205:4 personally [8] -14:17, 16:12, 79:25, 80:7, 141:10, 141:12, 219:9, 219:21 persons [1] - 167:24 pertain [1] - 155:18 pertained [1] -165:13 pertaining [3] -250:5, 250:10, 251:11 pertains [3] - 164:1, 164:18, 250:21 pertinent [1] -185:17 **PETER** [2] - 6:22, 6:23 **Peter** [7] - 185:9, 185:10, 188:5, 223:14, 223:16, 230:22, 232:17 **Petition** [1] - 4:14 petition [2] - 191:4, 192:9 **PETRI**[1] - 2:4 **phone** [16] - 116:7, 116:17, 118:23, 140:18, 140:21, 140:24, 147:6, 176:12, 226:22, 231:22, 231:23, 250:9, 250:10, 251:16, 251:17 phrase [1] - 74:21 physical [3] - 47:15, 47:18, 249:22 physically [9] -44:16, 45:6, 45:13, 85:23, 109:8, 116:10, 148:20, 148:23, 152:8 pick[1] - 147:18 piece [3] - 31:16, 152:6, 152:9 pieces [2] - 47:15, 47:18 Pinckney [1] - 7:17 **place** [2] - 48:4, 165:7

59:25, 60:1, 60:3, 60:6, 130:6 **Plaintiffs** [8] - 1:9, 1:11, 2:10, 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 6:24, 7:5 Plaintiffs' [2] - 107:7, 108:8 **plaintiffs'** [1] - 10:3 plan [17] - 146:24, 158:6, 207:24, 211:25, 217:13, 219:24, 234:14, 234:18, 234:25, 236:18, 236:23, 237:2, 241:10, 243:24, 244:6, 245:7, 245:14 planned [2] - 74:13, 74:21 plans [25] - 74:25, 77:4, 82:2, 109:9, 110:11, 110:13, 119:4, 120:15, 124:22, 135:22, 148:18, 157:13, 175:14, 197:10, 208:7, 208:9, 212:12, 218:19, 219:17, 219:18, 219:21, 221:8, 222:15, 236:14, 241:17 play [3] - 216:12, 217:4, 217:9 **playing** [1] - 133:17 pleasantries [1] -178:25 point [5] - 57:23, 119:24, 215:22, 223:19, 250:25 pointed [1] - 237:20 pointing [1] - 206:24 Poland [9] - 3:4, 3:12, 5:25, 8:6, 11:4, 11:17, 115:14, 232:12, 233:16 POLAND [62] - 6:19, 8:10, 8:13, 9:3, 11:3, 11:14, 15:3, 15:8, 15:12, 15:15, 18:16, 30:4, 52:25, 69:17, 78:11, 80:5, 80:10, 80:12, 80:20, 81:22, 87:12, 98:19, 103:6, 104:13, 115:19, 129:23, 154:23, 155:6, 158:24, 185:10, 185:13, 185:19, 186:2, 186:14, 188:3, 191:16, 192:24,

193:9, 202:24, 206:10, 206:15, 206:19, 207:2, 207:7, 209:9, 212:22, 213:5, 216:21, 223:1, 223:8, 223:12, 223:16, 223:18, 223:25, 232:11, 232:17, 232:21, 235:8, 250:14, 251:19, 251:24, 253:1 political [2] - 64:25, 71:4 pondering[1] -231:15 population [31] -19:5, 49:7, 93:3, 93:5, 94:3, 94:5, 97:7, 97:8, 97:13, 97:15, 167:20, 167:21, 167:23, 167:25, 168:8, 169:1, 169:7, 169:14, 170:4, 171:14, 207:24, 209:23, 210:2, 210:10, 210:11, 210:23, 222:2, 222:6, 226:13, 239:8, 243:18 **Population** [1] - 3:16 populations [2] -247:12, 247:15 Port [5] - 29:2, 29:3, 75:25, 76:4, 76:12 portion [14] - 18:19, 18:22, 20:16, 20:17, 21:1, 24:2, 66:15, 88:7, 88:10, 190:9, 203:11, 203:13, 225:4, 238:6 portions [9] - 201:4, 201:8, 202:16, 202:18, 203:2, 203:5, 234:10, 235:4, 236:11 posed [1] - 146:3 position [7] - 9:13, 11:5, 54:8, 55:24, 56:15, 56:16, 76:10 positions [2] - 56:6, 57:17 possesses [1] -133:2 possession [4] -16:4, 16:17, 17:6, 159:15 possible [6] - 94:5, 95:8, 95:10, 97:14, 97:25, 98:2 possibly [3] -100:21, 136:2, 177:8 postdates [1] - 15:5

Plaintiff's [1] - 4:9

plaintiffs [6] - 11:4,

Postlegislative [1] -

Case/iBitotape4BitebosiPromort #OSEPIFIIRD: MANDERIC Raps/28/2699

72:16	presentation [3] -	107:11, 109:2,	221:12, 221:15,	80:25, 81:4, 81:10,
potential [4] - 35:12,	205:16, 205:22,	110:23, 112:6, 113:5,	222:24, 225:19,	81:13, 82:13, 82:16,
90:19, 90:25, 129:14	205:25	114:24, 119:8,	229:14, 231:8, 231:9,	83:4, 83:9, 83:14,
Potentially [1] -	presented [16] -	119:10, 119:13,	231:18	83:18, 147:13,
159:6	50:18, 50:20, 50:22,	120:8, 120:25,	produce [2] - 56:9,	147:17, 148:2, 149:9,
potentially [2] - 99:4,	51:4, 52:2, 52:12,	122:20, 125:24,	107:25	150:5, 150:7, 150:19,
127:4	153:10, 153:14,	127:5, 128:24, 131:4,	produced [14] - 3:14,	240:4
practicable [4] -	153:15, 153:20,	131:14, 134:1,	9:9, 17:2, 19:24,	program [3] - 23:14,
94:5, 97:14, 99:16,	153:22, 153:23,	137:11, 176:25,	23:25, 48:11, 100:13,	48:7, 166:2
100:9	154:1, 154:5, 155:3,	185:15, 187:9,	100:15, 169:9,	proposal [1] - 71:17
practice [2] - 77:1,	225:10	191:20, 192:4,	197:22, 223:11,	proposals [1] - 73:10
77:2	presenting [2] -	192:16, 193:24,	223:13, 232:22, 233:6	proposed [6] -
practicing [1] - 133:4	52:7, 201:1	195:7, 196:15,	product [64] - 9:17,	71:13, 135:23, 136:7,
pre [1] - 69:1	preserve [2] - 94:4,	198:22, 199:10,	10:10, 27:17, 30:1,	136:15, 197:9, 222:14
pre-publication [1] -	97:13	199:15, 202:1,	31:1, 33:5, 40:18,	protected [27] - 80:3,
69:1	preserved [1] - 10:15	213:22, 214:5, 214:6,	79:11, 80:3, 80:9,	81:20, 82:8, 82:19,
precise [1] - 214:20	presume [1] - 71:10	214:10, 214:11,	80:18, 81:20, 82:9,	93:18, 101:25,
precisely [4] -	pretty [1] - 94:23	215:16, 217:25,	82:19, 98:8, 98:15,	102:13, 103:13,
168:18, 174:9, 200:6,	prevent [1] - 101:17	232:9, 250:19, 251:10	99:7, 99:21, 102:1,	105:9, 109:1, 110:22,
200:22	previous [3] - 99:14,	privileged [18] -	102:13, 103:2,	112:5, 113:4, 114:23,
predate [1] - 88:17	100:7, 220:16	9:14, 10:8, 29:25,	103:14, 105:11,	120:7, 120:24,
predominant [1] -	previously [4] -	41:10, 41:12, 79:11,	105:19, 106:8,	125:23, 131:3,
211:21	34:23, 41:2, 181:7,	129:6, 131:12, 192:8,	107:12, 109:2,	137:11, 191:20,
preliminarily [1] -	206:12	193:19, 193:23,	110:23, 112:6, 113:4,	192:16, 195:6,
10:24	primarily [2] - 39:17,	195:17, 199:20,	114:25, 119:9,	196:14, 211:4,
premises [4] - 30:14,	167:20	202:5, 202:8, 218:9,	119:11, 120:8,	213:22, 217:24,
30:17, 31:9, 31:18	primary [2] - 28:13,	218:12, 218:13	120:25, 122:18,	250:18
preparation [3] -	28:15	privileges [6] - 9:15,	125:25, 127:6,	provide [27] - 39:21,
85:7, 90:12, 148:11	principal [1] - 70:12	40:19, 40:21, 122:18,	128:25, 131:5, 134:2,	79:5, 79:25, 80:24,
prepare [7] - 87:2,	principle [4] -	187:4, 196:24	137:12, 176:21,	81:3, 81:6, 81:10,
90:4, 159:20, 159:25,	208:22, 208:23,	problem [2] - 41:5,	181:9, 182:11,	82:16, 84:10, 84:11,
160:7, 160:10, 172:25	209:16, 209:19	207:1	183:17, 185:6, 187:4,	85:6, 100:3, 105:7,
prepared [1] - 237:2	principles [4] -	proceed [1] - 186:25	187:9, 191:21,	105:14, 105:20,
preparing [1] - 66:7	207:23, 208:6, 209:3,	Proceedings [1] -	192:17, 195:7, 196:16, 196:23,	107:5, 124:24, 146:6,
present [58] - 7:22,	216:14	197:18	198:23, 199:9, 202:2,	160:12, 160:14,
32:25, 40:13, 41:15,	print [4] - 166:11,	proceedings [4] -	213:23, 214:5,	160:18, 205:7,
41:20, 41:25, 42:6,	167:19, 169:10,	202:22, 203:6, 203:8, 203:16	214:11, 217:25,	205:11, 205:13, 221:11, 221:14
42:12, 42:15, 42:19,	169:14	process [58] - 24:20,	250:19, 251:3, 251:9	provided [14] - 5:7,
43:4, 44:12, 46:9,	printed [16] - 26:1,	78:2, 79:17, 79:20,	production [1] -	17:13, 17:14, 85:7,
46:13, 46:17, 47:4,	48:13, 50:21, 50:22,	110:20, 111:16,	108:18	159:21, 160:13,
47:5, 49:10, 49:14,	166:14, 166:20,	111:19, 112:2,	Production [3] -	160:25, 161:1,
50:7, 50:17, 51:16,	166:22, 166:23,	112:22, 113:21,	4:10, 108:10, 108:16	188:25, 204:11,
52:3, 52:6, 110:9,	167:5, 168:8, 169:7,	114:17, 114:20,	products [2] - 85:20,	206:13, 217:19,
110:19, 113:17,	169:22, 170:5,	115:13, 115:24,	85:21	217:20, 218:14
118:11, 119:1,	171:16, 171:20, 172:16	120:1, 123:19,	professional [1] -	provider [1] - 138:19
119:20, 131:19, 131:24, 132:1,	printing [1] - 22:20	124:11, 135:5, 135:8,	56:6	provides [1] - 188:21
133:13, 135:9,	printing [1] - 22.20 printout [2] - 55:4,	135:11, 143:20,	Professional [4] -	providing [6] -
143:16, 148:21,	188:16	148:15, 151:2,	1:22, 6:8, 254:3,	10:19, 35:23, 79:7,
149:12, 154:18,	priorities [1] - 73:12	151:17, 152:16,	255:7	85:14, 92:12, 94:13
155:17, 174:23,	privilege [67] - 9:16,	155:12, 166:25,	professor [2] -	provision [5] - 9:20,
174:24, 175:16,	9:17, 9:18, 10:9,	169:16, 171:3,	64:22, 65:4	101:20, 127:16,
178:4, 178:12,	27:13, 27:17, 30:25,	171:17, 174:8, 175:6,	Professor [40] - 65:3,	173:21, 189:10
179:14, 179:18,	33:17, 89:18, 89:25,	175:21, 176:1, 176:9,	65:11, 65:18, 67:8,	Public [4] - 4:22, 6:9,
179:22, 179:25,	92:17, 93:19, 94:16,	176:12, 178:10,	70:1, 70:23, 70:25,	254:4, 255:6
180:6, 182:18,	95:20, 98:8, 98:12,	179:2, 179:6, 181:1,	71:12, 71:24, 72:3,	publication [3] -
182:23, 197:12,	99:7, 99:21, 102:1,	181:5, 212:11, 213:3,	77:11, 77:17, 77:22,	67:16, 68:18, 69:1
200:12, 200:15,	102:14, 103:1,	213:10, 215:24,	78:1, 78:5, 78:14,	published [2] -
200:18, 204:21,	103:14, 105:10,	217:16, 217:20,	78:17, 78:25, 79:5,	68:20, 68:23
220:20	105:18, 106:7,	219:5, 220:21, 221:5,	79:8, 79:18, 80:1,	pull [1] - 84:15
1	. ,			
		19		

pulled [1] - 27:7 **purely** [1] - 130:10 purpose [13] - 9:19, 15:8, 40:10, 67:19, 83:17, 86:4, 111:23, 117:13, 121:10, 146:23, 165:19, 166:10, 169:6 purposes [2] - 10:16, 115:15 pursuant [6] - 3:15, 6:7, 9:15, 12:12, 38:18, 254:6 Pursuant [2] - 4:14, **pursuing** [1] - 187:6 put [9] - 8:9, 48:4, 137:6, 163:14, 219:2, 247:10, 247:13, 251:21

Q

qualification [1] -112:1 qualified [1] - 254:4 quash [3] - 9:10, 9:12, 186:22 questioning [1] -224:10 questions [21] -12:9, 14:22, 107:23, 121:6, 141:25, 143:25, 145:17, 145:18, 145:21, 146:2, 146:8, 174:16, 185:21, 209:14, 223:21, 223:22, 232:8, 232:9, 248:3, 251:20, 251:25 quickly [2] - 20:18, 100:2 quite [1] - 164:14 **quotation** [2] - 71:6, 71:8 quote [2] - 70:24,

R

71:1

84 of 89 sheets

race [2] - 211:4, 211:20 Racine [16] - 234:9, 234:14, 234:17, 234:23, 235:4, 236:11, 236:15, 236:20, 236:24, 237:7, 238:24, 239:14, 239:17

raised [3] - 11:7, 41:2, 223:18 **RAMIREZ** [1] - 2:9 **RAMIRO** [1] - 2:9 Randy [1] - 63:17 range [1] - 121:6 rate [1] - 86:21 ratification [1] -228:16 Ray [4] - 42:4, 46:8, 150:2, 174:20 ray [2] - 149:25, 179:13 RE[1] - 233:15 re [1] - 63:6 re-elected [1] - 63:6 **RE-EXAMINATION** [1] - 233:15 reaction [1] - 215:5 read [63] - 15:23, 18:16, 18:18, 30:4, 30:6, 33:13, 33:14, 38:4, 38:5, 40:25, 43:16, 44:1, 49:25, 61:3, 70:10, 78:11, 78:12, 80:20, 80:22, 82:11, 84:3, 103:6, 103:8, 109:17, 110:13, 111:8, 112:12, 112:14, 114:10, 124:18, 129:23, 129:25, 154:23, 154:24, 158:4, 159:11, 160:4, 160:5, 164:15, 180:4, 185:24, 186:1. 190:10, 192:24, 193:1, 202:13, 202:24, 203:1, 209:9, 209:11, 211:15, 211:17, 212:22, 212:24, 213:5, 213:6, 213:18, 213:19, 216:22, 216:23, 235:8, 235:10, 240:2 reading [2] - 71:15, 254:19 reads [2] - 73:7, 73:17 ready [1] - 207:8 really [2] - 96:18, 107:22

reapportionment [9]

- 74:14, 74:23, 75:11,

Radtke [4] - 63:17,

63:21, 64:11, 64:17

173:11, 173:16, 187:23 recalling [2] -231:16, 251:13 receive [3] - 34:25, 179:5, 251:16 received [3] - 24:23, 27:4, 27:10 recent [1] - 104:9 Recess [3] - 69:23, 155:9, 186:16 recognize [1] - 98:2 recognized [1] -95:10 recognizing [2] -10:17, 122:21 recollection [37] -35:14, 50:15, 52:1, 52:17, 60:3, 61:20, 62:9, 69:12, 79:24, 101:9, 118:21, 124:5, 128:2, 139:20, 145:21, 148:6, 148:8, 149:17, 149:20, 149:23, 150:1, 150:6, 177:15, 183:3, 184:24, 200:11, 201:14, 203:11, 206:3, 215:1, 227:6, 228:15, 236:17, 240:22, 240:25, 242:3, 245:2 record [25] - 8:12, 8:17, 9:6, 11:15, 14:21, 19:9, 31:25, 37:14, 66:23, 67:3, 95:25, 96:1, 104:22, 133:21, 158:25, 159:1, 186:18, 186:24, 197:16, 197:21, 232:18, 233:3, 251:22, 253:2, 254:18 records [1] - 19:19 recount [1] - 136:3 recycle [1] - 207:4 red [3] - 22:20, 23:7, 167:22 redistrict [1] - 241:1 Redistricting [1] -4:23 redistricting [237] -23:19, 24:20, 25:2, 25:6, 25:10, 25:17, 29:10, 29:14, 29:16, 30:15, 30:19, 31:11, 31:19, 32:21, 33:2,

54:14, 59:10, 59:19, 60:2, 61:9, 61:12, 62:14, 63:22, 63:25, 64:4, 64:8, 64:15, 65:16, 65:22, 65:25, 66:8, 67:12, 69:7, 69:14, 71:22, 73:7, 73:21, 74:15, 74:23, 75:4, 75:15, 75:19, 76:17, 76:24, 77:4, 77:9, 77:12, 78:2, 81:1, 83:10, 83:14, 83:17, 83:22, 85:8, 85:16, 85:18, 85:25, 86:5, 87:16, 88:23, 91:24, 95:7, 96:7, 97:12, 97:24, 109:9, 109:24, 110:11, 110:13, 110:20, 111:16, 111:24, 112:2, 112:22, 113:21, 114:17, 114:20, 115:13, 115:24, 116:24, 117:3, 117:14, 117:17, 118:19, 118:23, 119:4, 120:1, 120:15, 120:20, 121:11, 122:13, 123:3, 123:7, 123:18, 124:7, 124:11, 125:14, 133:15, 133:18, 133:24, 134:9, 135:4, 135:11, 135:22, 136:7, 137:5, 138:5, 138:8, 138:22, 139:4, 139:8, 139:15, 140:1, 140:4, 140:16, 141:13, 141:19, 141:22, 142:3, 142:7, 142:12, 143:10, 143:20, 144:6, 144:12, 144:17, 144:21, 145:4, 145:7, 146:14, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 147:21, 148:3, 148:10, 148:15, 151:2, 155:12, 157:12, 159:23, 160:1, 160:8, 166:15, 166:25, 169:15, 171:3, 171:7, 171:17, 174:8, 174:15, 175:6, 175:14, 176:9, 176:12, 177:7, 177:10, 178:2, 178:10, 178:24,

181:1, 181:5, 181:16, 182:4, 182:8, 182:20, 183:5, 183:11, 183:13, 183:24, 184:10, 185:3, 186:9, 186:12, 190:20, 194:6, 196:3, 197:10, 205:17, 208:9, 208:11, 208:14, 210:4, 212:12, 213:3, 213:10, 217:15, 217:20, 218:19, 219:17, 219:18, 219:21, 219:24, 220:11, 220:21, 221:5, 221:8, 221:12, 221:15, 222:15, 222:24, 231:8, 231:18, 234:13, 234:18, 234:25, 236:14, 236:18, 241:10, 241:17, 243:24, 244:6, 248:8, 250:5, 250:11, 251:12, 252:6, 252:9, 252:12, 252:18 reduced [1] - 254:16 refer [1] - 68:7 Reference [1] -79:21 reference [3] - 35:20, 72:14, 189:18 referenced [1] -190:9 referred [1] - 86:12 referring [4] - 21:2, 47:22, 70:20, 237:25 refers [2] - 156:17, 168:19 reflect [3] - 88:14, 172:9, 219:20 reflected [10] - 25:2, 53:13, 73:11, 88:3, 102:7, 136:22, 169:20, 172:4, 172:10, 245:21 reflective [1] -148:18 reflects [1] - 47:25 refresh [2] - 35:14, 110:14 refuse [1] - 187:24 regard [1] - 229:8 regarding [14] -85:18, 128:14, 139:25, 179:5, 182:4, 183:13, 184:10, 185:2, 186:9, 186:12, 197:9, 201:8, 202:10, 224:7

Page 20 to 20 of 25

Castibitotapetblebosipponnopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/25/2699

regardless [2] -86:23, 162:25 regards [2] - 185:14, 227:13 region [5] - 50:8, 50:9, 50:10, 153:12, 154:7 regional [6] - 50:3, 50:4, 51:3, 136:15, 136:18, 136:22 regionally [1] -136:10 regions [2] - 50:11, 136:11 Registered [4] -1:22, 6:8, 254:3, 255:7 regular [1] - 181:21 **REID** [1] - 2:5 **REINHART** [1] - 7:10 Reinhart [60] - 3:23, 14:6, 14:9, 27:23, 28:6, 29:6, 29:17, 36:23, 38:21, 39:4, 39:9, 39:11, 39:20, 39:23, 39:25, 53:10, 55:21, 56:5, 76:25, 77:3, 77:8, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 86:14, 86:23, 87:2, 88:1, 88:21, 88:24, 89:11, 89:13, 110:2, 110:18, 111:2, 124:19, 138:11, 138:14, 139:18, 140:22, 145:10, 177:12, 177:16, 181:25, 184:22, 184:25, 189:23, 204:6, 248:13, 248:17, 248:22, 249:2, 249:11, 249:18, 249:23, 250:2, 250:10, 251:14 Reinhart's [5] -29:10, 38:22, 40:9, 109:20, 116:10 reiterate [1] - 252:3 relate [3] - 208:6, 208:8, 208:9 related [10] - 29:14, 29:15, 31:10, 113:25, 122:9, 133:23, 201:23, 230:6, 231:18, 254:21 relatedly [1] - 41:3 relates [5] - 30:18, 31:18, 71:21, 112:8, 119:13 relating [5] - 29:9,

30:14, 94:13, 120:20, 126:2 relation [1] - 186:21 relations [2] - 54:5, 77:1 relationship [2] -121:16, 121:19 relationships [1] -218:14 relative [1] - 254:24 relatively [1] -220:13 relaying [1] - 114:7 relevant [2] - 159:6, 187:1 **Relief** [7] - 4:6, 4:8, 4:16, 4:18, 4:21, 104:24, 106:24 remainder [1] - 97:4 remapping [1] -231:8 **remarkable** [1] - 73:9 remember [12] -27:7, 41:25, 42:18, 59:21, 60:5, 118:9, 135:16, 147:14, 147:22, 157:23, 161:11, 206:1 remind [1] - 161:12 **remove** [1] - 30:8 repeat [9] - 43:14, 43:25, 47:1, 49:24, 78:10, 82:10, 103:5, 109:16, 227:25 repeating [1] - 8:23 report [41] - 24:6, 24:9, 25:25, 26:3, 26:6, 81:14, 81:16, 81:18, 82:14, 82:17, 83:4, 100:13, 100:15, 100:23, 101:6, 101:8, 101:11, 101:14, 165:15, 166:4, 166:14, 166:17, 167:20, 167:21, 167:23, 168:8, 169:7, 171:21, 171:22, 171:23, 172:4, 172:6, 172:13, 172:25, 173:1, 237:19, 238:18, 240:2, 240:5 Reporter [4] - 1:22, 6:9, 254:3, 255:7 reporter [11] - 11:25, 12:3, 12:14, 34:11, 53:5, 66:21, 91:5, 106:19, 188:12, 191:2, 194:13 REPORTER [1] -

161:8

reports [27] - 25:18, 159:14, 159:20, 159:25, 165:21, 166:1, 166:2, 166:6, 166:7, 166:12, 166:19, 166:23, 167:6, 167:15, 167:18, 169:9, 169:14, 169:21, 170:4, 171:14, 171:15, 171:19, 172:7, 172:9, 172:15, 172:22, 172:24 repository [1] -249:1 represent [10] - 18:8, 49:5, 54:25, 55:9, 55:17, 66:22, 91:17, 186:21, 191:3, 197:21 representation [2] -35:7, 48:14 Representative [1] -123:9 representative [1] -17:3 representatives [2] -211:19, 222:18 represented [3] -14:2, 161:13, 219:15 representing [11] -14:12, 14:17, 15:1, 15:13, 59:22, 59:24, 59:25, 63:3, 63:9, 73:21, 239:23 represents [2] -49:7, 168:14 Republican [4] -70:15, 73:18, 222:19, 222:23 republican [13] -60:12, 63:3, 63:9, 63:17, 63:22, 125:3, 125:7, 240:20, 240:23, 241:2, 241:6, 241:11, 241:18 Republicans [2] -71:17, 73:24 republicans [5] -60:17, 64:1, 72:5. 240:23, 241:6 Request [3] - 4:10, 108:9, 108:15 request [1] - 13:21 requested [2] -16:18, 30:8 requests [7] - 19:18,

requirement [2] -210:18, 210:23 requires [4] - 31:2, 40:20, 115:1, 215:21 reread [2] - 71:14, 84:1 residence [4] -28:13, 28:15, 28:17, 28:19 residences [1] - 29:3 residents [3] -168:15, 212:16, 212:20 resolved [1] - 232:10 respect [45] - 8:18, 30:23, 31:2, 41:12, 69:13, 82:22, 95:24, 101:10, 102:23, 115:9, 122:13, 129:2, 129:9, 134:7, 134:16, 137:15, 137:19, 139:14, 141:25, 146:13, 151:2, 151:5, 154:10, 156:11, 157:3, 157:4, 170:20, 173:8, 175:1, 176:6, 177:7, 192:3, 193:3, 193:11, 193:19, 199:8, 202:5, 214:4, 218:9, 236:8, 236:20, 238:9, 248:7, 251:2, 251:7 respond [2] - 109:14, 128:16 responded [2] -146:4, 215:21 responding [2] -12:7, 15:9 responds [1] -216:14 response [8] - 9:9, 11:23, 19:17, 30:22, 112:8, 126:4, 127:7, 158:10 responses [1] -98:13 responsible [1] -39:18 responsive [10] -16:19, 17:7, 17:10, 19:21, 108:23, 111:4, 126:1, 193:14, 218:3, 250:25 rest [2] - 35:25, 121:5 restate [20] - 18:15, 30:3, 40:24, 41:2, 61:1, 80:19, 96:17, 111:7, 114:9, 124:16,

154:22, 192:23, 202:23, 209:8, 230:9, 235:7, 241:14, 245:18 restating [2] - 10:1, 10:25 result [5] - 187:13, 195:8, 236:23, 240:8, 240:14 results [2] - 217:21, 218:15 resume [2] - 40:5, 52:20 retain [17] - 22:10, 23:21, 25:18, 29:14, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:22, 139:3, 139:21, 139:25, 141:1, 167:9, 167:10, 172:21, 249:4, 249:8 retained [32] - 9:18, 14:25, 25:21, 26:19, 29:17, 33:23, 35:15, 37:7, 39:3, 39:10, 39:22, 39:23, 59:9, 59:14, 60:9, 64:14, 76:25, 83:21, 83:23, 84:9, 85:2, 85:4, 110:2, 124:19, 133:22, 167:6, 175:12, 176:14, 176:23, 181:10 retention [2] - 36:7, 60:15 reveal [1] - 41:9 reversal [1] - 187:14 review [11] - 68:16, 90:4, 90:7, 90:12, 96:8, 96:20, 97:7, 97:12, 99:13, 100:7, 185:16 reviewed [2] - 92:1, 97:3 reviewing [3] - 50:3, 93:3, 94:3 reviews [2] - 18:14, 104:21 **RIBBLE** [1] - 2:5 Rich [7] - 42:16, 133:1, 144:21, 145:1, 145:3, 153:19, 154:3 **RICHARD** [2] - 1:6 Rick [1] - 227:17 right-hand [1] -164:3 Rights [8] - 150:23, 151:3, 151:6, 208:23, 209:16, 209:17, 209:18, 210:25 **RISSEEUW** [1] - 1:7 126:8, 129:22, RMD [1] - 2:12

required [1] - 10:20

19:21, 107:18,

107:21, 107:24,

108:18, 108:24

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/26/2699

Robert [1] - 123:23 Robin [9] - 42:16, 132:22, 132:24, 137:25, 144:5, 144:11, 144:16, 153:17, 154:3 **ROBSON** [1] - 1:7 **ROCHELLE** [1] - 1:6 Rodriguez [9] -227:21, 227:24, 228:2, 228:11, 228:13, 228:19, 228:22, 231:13, 231:21 ROGERS [1] - 1:7 role [13] - 85:14, 133:17, 134:8, 135:3, 135:4, 148:15, 148:16, 175:5, 175:9, 178:9, 189:21, 225:23 **RON** [1] - 1:4 **Ronald** [2] - 4:3, 67:6 **RONALD** [2] - 1:3, 1:10 room [3] - 45:16, 45:20, 46:9 roughly [6] - 27:2, 27:3, 52:15, 135:20, 147:24, 167:4 **RPR** [1] - 1:21 Rule [4] - 4:4, 91:10, 93:17, 156:7 run [7] - 165:21, 166:1, 166:3, 166:4, 166:6, 166:7, 166:17 **Run** [2] - 4:3, 67:5 Running [1] - 74:7 **RYAN** [1] - 2:4

S

S.C [5] - 6:10, 6:19, 7:3, 7:10, 254:8 **SANCHEZ** [1] - 1:7 SANCHEZ-BELL [1] - 1:7 Sarah [13] - 42:16, 46:8, 132:16, 132:17, 133:10. 134:22. 149:22, 149:24, 174:20, 178:4, 178:9, 179:4, 179:10 sat [1] - 71:1 save [2] - 248:6, 249:15 saved [6] - 248:11, 248:19, 248:20, 248:23, 248:25, 249:1 saves [2] - 248:17,

249:19 saw [21] - 12:25, 13:9, 27:19, 28:3, 44:17, 45:13, 46:1, 46:14, 81:23, 81:24, 100:17, 100:22, 101:8, 150:5, 158:8, 178:16, 202:21, 203:2, 203:13, 241:10, 241:17 scan [1] - 136:21 schedule [1] - 86:11 **SCHLIEPP** [1] - 1:7 school [2] - 53:25, 54:3 scientist [1] - 64:25 scope [6] - 33:20, 122:20, 130:13, 133:25, 176:24, 199:14 **SCOTT** [1] - 7:3 Scott [24] - 7:19, 35:8, 42:8, 43:3, 43:17, 49:12, 51:15, 51:20, 51:23, 52:4, 52:6, 52:13, 84:21, 136:12, 137:4, 137:24, 142:1, 142:2, 142:6, 142:11, 143:5, 153:16, 154:2, 188:7 **scratched** [1] - 164:4 screen [2] - 50:21, 166:21 Script [1] - 206:22 scrutiny [1] - 73:23 seal [1] - 255:3 **SEAN** [1] - 2:5 searched [1] - 19:19 seats [2] - 208:13, 239:1

Second [5] - 4:6, 4:8, 104:23, 106:23, 107:7 second [15] - 35:18, 67:15, 72:10, 72:18, 74:9, 83:12, 84:4, 91:9, 92:22, 106:1, 106:5, 106:14, 106:21, 158:25, 233:8 seconds [1] - 185:12 Section [2] - 188:18, 190:5 section [1] - 190:8

see [112] - 12:5, 12:20, 13:6, 13:7, 13:13, 13:17, 15:15, 17:19, 22:22, 24:5, 24:14, 34:14, 35:4, 35:12, 35:19, 36:3, 36:9, 38:10, 44:7, 44:23, 45:2, 45:20,

46:17, 46:22, 55:4, 55:5, 67:15, 67:16, 67:24, 68:10, 68:11, 70:17, 71:3, 71:6, 71:11, 71:19, 72:16, 72:25, 73:25, 74:5, 74:7, 74:16, 81:18, 82:13, 84:18, 84:23, 86:12, 91:9, 91:11, 92:5, 92:8, 93:6, 93:8, 93:25, 94:7, 94:9, 94:24, 95:11, 105:3, 106:21, 106:24, 107:17, 107:25, 108:8, 108:10, 108:15, 108:17, 108:19, 108:20, 148:20, 150:7, 152:15, 152:18, 156:12, 156:14, 156:16, 156:18, 159:5, 159:6, 159:18, 163:13, 163:20, 170:25, 173:2, 180:12, 188:19, 189:7, 189:16, 190:6, 190:10, 190:11, 194:19, 195:25, 197:19, 202:18, 203:10, 207:17, 207:20, 208:1, 209:23, 212:2, 212:8, 215:25, 216:10, 216:16, 233:17, 234:4, 234:6, 234:9, 235:15, 247:3 seeing [2] - 104:7, 107:4 seek [3] - 173:6, 228:12, 228:13 Seeking [1] - 4:16 segment [1] - 115:16 **selecting** [1] - 155:2 selection [1] -154:17 self [2] - 59:3, 59:6 self-employed [2] -59:3, 59:6 senate [6] - 9:21, 98:25, 118:1, 125:18, 126:13, 126:25

SENSENBRENNER [1] - 2:4 sensitivity [1] -207:24 sent [5] - 39:14, 136:23, 141:1, 249:5, 249:9 **sentence** [6] - 35:19, 70:9, 73:6, 73:7, 74:9, 74:10 sentences [1] -70:11 separate [6] - 22:25, 23:1, 28:1, 34:3, 56:22, 249:13 separated [3] -18:25, 19:4 separately [2] - 19:7, 151:24 serve [1] - 77:22 served [2] - 15:10, 107:18 service [1] - 138:19 **services** [1] - 9:20 serving [4] - 56:3, 56:17, 122:6, 123:20 session [7] - 55:2, 55:10, 55:19, 57:4, 57:25, 58:6, 143:15 **set** [15] - 9:24, 22:18, 25:14, 37:10, 73:10, 174:10, 190:16, 194:3, 197:7, 210:14, 233:11, 238:22, 247:25, 255:2 **Set** [2] - 4:9, 108:9 sets [1] - 153:3 **settling** [1] - 219:10 several [2] - 104:2, 207:14 shakes [1] - 12:1 shall [2] - 188:24, 189:4 share [1] - 223:5 **SHEILA** [1] - 1:4 shifted [1] - 98:24 **short** [4] - 11:10, 147:15, 203:13, 223:24

shown [1] - 225:1 side [10] - 22:18, 25:14, 70:7, 72:11, 107:24, 168:1, 190:16, 194:3, 197:7, 228:10 sign [1] - 162:8 **signature** [6] - 36:11, 36:13, 36:14, 36:17, 36:20, 37:2 signatures [1] -36:10 signed [1] - 91:25 significance [1] -164:5 significantly [1] -239:24 signifies [2] - 163:4, 165:8 signify [4] - 162:16, 163:10, 168:12, 168:22 **signing** [1] - 254:19 simple [2] - 80:16, 82:6 **simply** [4] - 10:14, 180:6, 194:23, 204:5 single [5] - 239:17, 244:15, 246:23, 247:18, 247:20 sitting [3] - 45:8, 148:24, 177:15 **six** [2] - 240:22, 240:23 size [2] - 162:25, 232:24 **skew** [1] - 71:4 **skill** [1] - 73:9 skills [2] - 85:24, slipped [1] - 69:18 smaller [1] - 196:6 **Smoke** [1] - 58:9 Society [1] - 57:22 software [11] - 23:13, 24:19, 48:7, 62:8, 152:2, 152:12, 166:2, 166:8, 166:9, 247:9, shortcut [1] - 94:20 247:12 **shorter** [1] - 196:6 solicit [2] - 239:22, **shortest** [1] - 148:5 someone [3] - 30:7, shorthand [1] -11:11 75:21, 218:14 Somers [2] - 238:13, **shortly** [1] - 200:7 **shoulders** [1] - 233:2 238:17 **sometime** [3] - 37:6, show [6] - 167:23, 170:8, 170:11, 100:19, 214:21 170:14, 222:14, sometimes [3] -224:25 45:19, 138:12, 207:14

showed [1] - 172:2

Senate [3] - 7:18,

Senator [4] - 43:3,

send [6] - 107:24,

146:1, 179:4, 249:20

sends [2] - 87:3,

138:10, 138:13,

88:24

43:17, 123:23, 216:11

35:8, 84:20

```
136:2, 228:7
 speed [1] - 155:16
 spell [1] - 123:11
 spelling [1] - 123:15
 spend [3] - 10:11,
218:18, 222:10
 split [50] - 162:18,
162:20, 162:25,
163:21, 163:25,
164:10, 164:13,
164:18, 164:19,
164:23, 165:9,
173:12, 173:17,
173:19, 173:20,
173:24, 174:1, 174:5,
234:14, 234:17,
234:20, 235:15,
235:16, 235:19,
235:22, 235:25,
238:12, 238:15,
238:16, 238:17,
239:10, 242:24,
243:2, 243:6, 243:15,
243:19, 243:22,
244:2, 244:7, 244:9,
244:12, 244:16,
244:20, 245:3,
245:17, 245:20,
245:23, 246:3, 246:6,
246:20
 Splits [2] - 24:4,
24:14
 splits [31] - 161:15,
161:17, 165:1, 165:3,
171:21, 171:22,
172:4, 172:6, 172:7,
172:9, 172:13,
172:15, 172:24,
172:25, 173:1, 173:3,
173:5, 173:7, 173:8,
226:13, 237:7,
237:16, 237:18,
237:19, 237:22,
237:25, 238:9,
238:19, 246:18,
247:3, 247:4
 splitting [6] - 238:24,
239:6, 239:14, 243:9,
245:6, 246:10
 spoken [3] - 78:5,
119:25, 123:22
 Sportsmen's [1] -
58:10
 ss [1] - 254:1
 Stadtmiller [1] -
186:19
```

Stadtmiller's [2] -

staff [3] - 73:18,

187:7, 211:11

121:14, 141:11

```
stand [3] - 8:20,
73:22, 162:5
 standard [6] - 169:8,
210:1, 210:9, 210:11,
210:15, 213:8
 standing [1] - 10:4
 stands [1] - 161:18
 stapled [2] - 21:3,
21:4
 start [3] - 8:9, 11:19,
221:17
 started [4] - 56:5,
59:1, 62:25, 110:3
 Stat [2] - 4:14, 4:19
 state [34] - 9:6,
11:15, 19:5, 42:24,
50:8, 50:9, 54:16,
58:17, 58:20, 58:21,
62:21, 62:25, 84:11,
92:4, 96:10, 96:22,
117:17, 117:21,
154:16, 156:17,
164:6, 188:23,
188:24, 189:2, 193:5,
208:15, 210:21,
211:14, 213:4,
219:20, 219:24,
222:13, 249:7, 249:24
 State [15] - 4:24, 6:9,
6:12, 7:18, 35:7, 35:9,
84:20, 84:21, 121:15,
123:20, 188:16,
233:7, 254:5, 254:10,
255:6
 STATE [2] - 7:7,
254:1
 statement [22] -
11:23, 71:12, 71:19,
71:24, 71:25, 72:19,
73:2, 73:14, 74:16,
74:18, 74:20, 74:24,
207:22, 208:18,
208:25, 212:2, 212:6,
216:2, 216:18, 217:1,
220:9, 230:3
 statements [1] -
208:3
 STATES [1] - 1:1
 states [11] - 35:4,
35:23, 36:4, 38:6,
53:17, 74:11, 91:21,
92:25, 93:25, 159:10,
208:14
 States [3] - 6:6,
58:10, 235:21
 statewide [2] -
219:17, 219:18
 statistic [1] - 204:11
```

```
148:5, 148:7, 187:13
 staying [1] - 147:19
 sticker [2] - 24:3,
26:4
 still [7] - 69:17, 80:8,
82:1, 135:19, 136:15,
140:24, 159:3
 stipulation [1] -
251:21
 stocked [1] - 56:9
 stopped [1] - 200:25
 straight [1] - 15:16
 Street [8] - 6:11,
6:20, 6:23, 7:4, 7:7,
7:10, 7:17, 254:9
 strike [26] - 20:21,
46:21, 55:15, 63:11,
71:14, 76:7, 95:1,
108:12, 119:23,
140:10, 144:15,
160:11, 162:22,
169:25, 170:25,
172:6, 177:25,
182:22, 193:6,
195:14, 195:16,
212:10, 213:7,
219:19, 239:5, 240:1
 student [1] - 63:13
 studies [1] - 54:2
 sub [1] - 188:19
 subdivision [1] -
208:14
 subject [14] - 27:16,
27:17, 33:16, 40:20,
41:6, 89:17, 119:8,
122:16, 128:12,
190:19, 198:21,
215:15, 244:23,
251:20
 submitted [1] - 81:13
 subpoena [14] -
3:13, 3:15, 6:7, 9:9,
12:12, 12:15, 12:22,
13:25, 15:9, 15:18,
17:4, 19:18, 108:22,
254:6
 subpoenaed [1] -
103:21
 subsequent [10] -
9:11, 10:16, 14:23,
30:24, 113:1, 114:5,
115:2, 126:5, 199:17,
242:6
 substantive [1] -
179:1
 successful [1] -
```

statute [3] - 188:21,

statutes [1] - 215:20

189:25, 190:14

stay [4] - 148:3,

```
124:25
 sufficient [1] - 10:5
 suggest [1] - 237:22
 Suite [6] - 6:20, 6:23,
7:4, 7:11, 7:17, 7:23
 summer [2] - 135:19,
206:2
 summons [1] - 196:2
 Summons [2] - 4:17,
 super [1] - 207:6
 supplied [2] -
112:19, 112:21
 support [4] - 9:23,
91:19, 118:5, 159:13
 Supreme [4] - 4:13,
190:18, 190:22, 191:6
 supreme [1] - 189:5
 suspect [1] - 243:14
 suspicion [1] -
243:12
 sworn [2] - 8:2,
254:12
 system [6] - 87:25,
109:21, 248:14,
248:16, 249:19,
251:15
          Т
```

Tad [12] - 42:3, 42:22, 50:6, 50:23, 101:9, 150:12, 151:18, 157:20, 160:13, 231:10, 232:6, 252:13 Taffora [26] - 42:4, 46:8, 132:1, 132:4, 133:9, 134:16, 149:25, 150:2, 174:20, 179:13, 179:18, 179:22, 179:24, 180:9, 180:12, 180:18, 180:22, 180:25, 181:4, 181:15, 181:18, 181:21, 181:24, 182:4, 182:7, 183:1 talented [1] - 74:12 **TAMMY** [1] - 1:10 tangible [2] - 85:20, 85.21 tape [3] - 95:25, 96:2, 186:15 target [2] - 49:7, 167:24 tasked [2] - 85:19, 222:23

Statute [2] - 188:16,

team [1] - 101:20 technical [4] -143:25, 166:11, 173:21, 174:4 technology [2] -70:14, 215:22 telephone [12] -6:24, 46:11, 115:13, 116:5, 118:18, 141:21, 142:2, 144:12, 144:22, 147:2, 175:24, 176:8 temporally [2] -33:20, 250:13 temporarily [1] -215:2 ten [5] - 38:9, 65:2, 147:23, 179:23, 240:15 Ten [1] - 7:4 term [1] - 161:21 terminal [1] - 71:2 terminated [1] - 36:7 terms [8] - 23:13, 38:7, 38:9, 38:15, 107:23, 153:25, 175:15 terrible [1] - 241:15 territory [1] - 246:19 testified [10] - 8:3, 65:24, 198:5, 198:11, 198:14, 211:24, 221:17, 221:19, 224:6, 224:12 testify [12] - 66:2, 77:18, 92:24, 93:12, 102:20, 103:11, 103:19, 103:21, 103:22, 197:24, 198:2, 254:12 testifying [9] - 95:17, 98:6, 99:5, 99:18, 101:22, 102:11, 176:23, 181:10, 207:18 testimony [38] -66:8, 92:12, 94:13, 96:3, 118:5, 118:11, 118:16, 198:9, 198:15, 198:18, 199:17, 199:24, 200:1, 200:5, 200:10, 200:13, 200:21, 201:7, 201:17, 201:20, 202:10, 203:12, 203:14, 203:24, 204:2, 207:13, 208:1, 209:20, 209:24, 211:22, 211:23,

212:8, 215:25, 216:16, 224:8, 224:16, 230:25, 254:18 text [18] - 116:19, 116:25, 139:7, 140:4, 140:12, 140:15, 141:4, 141:18, 142:6, 144:17, 144:22, 177:5, 179:8, 182:3, 186:8, 229:5, 249:15, 249:19 texting [1] - 140:18 texts [1] - 141:1 THE [1] - 128:9 themselves [1] -248:24 therapy [1] - 53:19 Therapy [4] - 56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 58:11 thereto [1] - 30:24 thereupon [1] -254:15 they've [1] - 54:12 thick [2] - 101:2, 197:17 thicker [2] - 26:3, 191:8 third [3] - 36:3, 74:10, 233:9 thirds [1] - 74:6 THOMAS [5] - 1:15, 1:16, 2:4, 2:14, 2:15 thoughts [1] - 82:1 three [16] - 9:15, 34:12, 73:13, 135:15, 148:8, 151:22, 207:23, 232:22, 233:4, 233:5, 234:5, 241:22, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21 **Three** [2] - 4:14, 4:18 three-judge [1] -73:13 three-way [5] -241:22, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21 throughout [1] - 10:5 Thursday [1] - 22:22 **THYSSEN** [1] - 1:8 **TIMOTHY** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 title [2] - 56:20, 106:22 titled [1] - 104:23 today [15] - 11:2,

12:11, 12:23, 14:2,

14:17, 16:22, 31:23,

157:1, 187:1, 203:3,

89:2, 90:5, 90:13,

203:4, 238:2 today's [1] - 53:14 **Todd** [1] - 7:22 together [14] - 44:12, 47:12, 51:20, 51:23, 73:18, 122:11, 135:5, 136:8, 143:6, 143:7, 151:22, 151:25, 160:15, 164:8 took [1] - 31:17 top [12] - 13:13, 21:10, 24:4, 26:5, 68:9, 68:11, 70:5, 71:11, 73:16, 162:1, 162:3, 234:21 topic [12] - 31:3, 69:14, 99:19, 101:23, 102:11, 126:16, 126:21, 127:24, 128:5, 205:16, 205:21, 246:13 **topics** [1] - 95:18 total [4] - 19:5, 167:24, 168:15, 218:18 totality [1] - 72:23 **Totals** [1] - 3:16 touch [1] - 14:23 touching [1] - 254:13 toward [1] - 73:5 town [9] - 55:22, 56:1, 56:3, 75:23, 76:10, 76:16, 161:22, 163:5, 238:17 tracking [1] - 222:24 trained [1] - 24:23 training [2] - 24:24, 166:11 Transcript [2] - 4:22, 197:18 transcript [11] - 5:7, 5:24, 12:3, 12:6, 202:13, 202:19, 202:21, 203:3, 203:5, 207:17, 209:5 transcription [1] -254:17 transcripts [1] -207:11 translate [1] - 85:19 transmitted [1] travel [1] - 231:17 **TRAVIS** [1] - 1:8 treat [1] - 236:15 trial [16] - 65:24,

104:4 tried [1] - 212:6 triggered [1] - 75:10 **Troupis** [43] - 42:3, 42:16, 46:8, 61:20, 132:8, 132:11, 132:16, 132:17, 133:9, 133:10, 133:17, 133:22, 134:22, 149:19, 149:21, 149:22, 149:24, 150:4, 150:20. 174:19. 174:20, 175:1, 175:2, 175:16, 175:19, 175:24, 176:4, 176:8, 176:11, 176:18, 177:6, 177:10, 177:17, 177:24, 178:1, 178:4, 179:4, 179:10, 227:4, 228:15, 228:25, 231:10 Troupis's [3] - 175:5, 175:9, 178:9 true [2] - 169:3, 254:18 truth [2] - 254:12, 254:13 try [4] - 155:15, 173:10, 173:25, 233:4 trying [3] - 17:1, 27:24, 112:12 turn [18] - 13:12, 36:2, 36:9, 38:1, 68:10, 69:4, 70:4, 74:4, 79:4, 91:8, 91:15, 92:8, 94:9, 96:6, 98:23, 106:20, 108:15, 156:8 turning [4] - 15:21, 71:11, 97:6, 100:2 **TV** [3] - 203:8, 203:10, 203:16 two [46] - 18:1, 19:1, 22:4, 22:24, 23:1, 23:6, 23:8, 23:21, 27:24, 29:3, 34:8, 36:10, 38:19, 39:1, 39:15, 74:6, 93:15, 135:15, 153:3, 185:21, 188:11, 194:13, 201:1, 209:14, 211:1, 219:14, 220:24, 223:4, 225:9, 225:11, 232:23, 235:20,

103:11, 103:19, 235:22, 236:1, 238:9, 103:21, 103:22 240:20, 243:2, 243:9, trick [2] - 104:3, 243:15, 243:20, 243:22, 246:4, 246:7, 246:10, 247:1 two-thirds [1] - 74:6 type [4] - 118:20, 136:2, 145:22, 210:3 types [1] - 167:18 typewriting [1] -254:16 **typically** [1] - 166:16 **typing** [1] - 45:12 U

ultimately [4] -71:13, 71:16, 225:18, 229:8 unable [1] - 246:19 Unassigned [1] -170:7 unassigned [6] -170:8, 170:9, 170:11, 170:14, 170:16, 246:18 unconstitutional [2] - 101:18, 130:7 under [12] - 36:10, 59:12, 130:8, 179:23, 187:24, 212:17, 224:10, 234:13, 234:25, 235:3, 243:23, 245:3 **Under** [1] - 211:25 undergraduate [1] underlying [1] -129:22 understood [2] -16:2, 224:11 unit [2] - 170:22, 170:23 **United** [3] - 6:6, 58:10, 235:21 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 units [2] - 171:6, 230:7 University [4] -53:18, 63:13, 64:22, 65:7 unless [2] - 112:7, 223:15 unnecessarily [2] -

66:4, 90:20, 92:13,

95:17, 98:6, 99:5,

99:19, 101:22,

102:11, 102:20,

10:12, 98:25

101:17

unnecessary [1] -

unplug [1] - 223:2

unveiled [1] - 123:8

Castibitotapetblebosippownopt #05t2philiku: HANBAGCRap2/28/2699

up [32] - 15:5, 22:21, 26:5, 56:10, 59:4, 64:5, 72:9, 72:11, 73:22, 83:12, 87:24, 108:18, 110:18, 118:1, 121:7, 143:1, 143:25, 147:18, 155:16, 162:1, 164:3, 170:8, 170:11, 170:14, 185:21, 212:12, 223:15, 236:16, 241:10, 244:1, 244:9, 246:2 upholding [1] -208:23 useable [1] - 72:21 utilized [1] - 159:17 **UW** [1] - 63:11 UW-Madison [1] -63:11

185:23

238:17

215:2

215:12

V

vague [16] - 33:16, 43:9, 43:22, 44:19, 49:21, 60:23, 84:5, 96:14, 97:19, 109:12, 111:10, 112:16, 113:8, 113:23, 116:1, 154:13 vagueness [1] - 41:3 Van [1] - 14:6 **VAN**[1] - 7:10 **VARA**[1] - 2:9 variance [1] - 49:7 varied [1] - 148:4 variety [2] - 228:9, 237:14 various [8] - 50:7, 91:22, 93:1, 94:1, 95:5, 155:2, 159:16, 174:22 Venue [2] - 4:12, 188:21 **VERA** [1] - 1:4 verbal [1] - 160:22 version [8] - 69:1, 173:2, 219:10, 220:4,

220:10, 220:14, 220:17, 247:2 versions [2] - 241:9, 241:16 versus [1] - 78:24 via [1] - 3:14

Video [1] - 7:22

videographer [1] -

VIDEOTAPE [2] -

waived [1] - 254:19 Wal [2] - 56:9, 57:16 Wal-Mart [2] - 56:9, 57:16 **WARA**[1] - 2:9 ward [7] - 170:17, 170:21, 215:20, 219:5, 230:7, 230:16, 230:20 wards [3] - 95:8, 97:25, 170:17 Washington [6] -29:2, 29:3, 75:25, 76:4, 76:12, 222:11 watch [1] - 203:8 watched [1] - 203:11 watching [5] -

W

203:15, 203:18, videotape [1] -203:20, 203:23, 204:1 village [2] - 161:22, Water [1] - 7:10 Waukesha [7] -190:19, 194:6, vitae [1] - 40:5 194:18, 195:3, Voces [1] - 6:25 195:21, 196:3, 196:22 **VOCES** [1] - 2:8 ways [1] - 245:3 **VOCKE** [2] - 1:16, web [1] - 53:10 voicemail [1] - 250:8 website [3] - 3:23, 27:8, 52:22 voicemails [4] -250:22, 250:24, week [5] - 13:4, 251:11, 251:15 187:10, 187:17, 187:18, 187:21 Vos [18] - 42:16, 132:22, 132:24, weekly [2] - 184:17, 184:19 135:4, 135:7, 135:9, 135:21, 136:1, 136:5, welcome [1] - 211:13 136:19, 137:4, West [1] - 7:7 137:25, 144:5, wherein [1] - 6:3 144:11, 144:16, whereof [1] - 255:2 146:1, 153:17, 154:3 whole [2] - 95:9, 98:1 Vos's [1] - 135:3 **WI**[1] - 7:23 vote [1] - 99:2 wife [3] - 126:17, voter [2] - 101:18, 126:18, 130:21 winter [1] - 135:19 voters [9] - 98:24, Wirch [2] - 123:23, 101:19, 212:14, 123:24 213:2, 213:9, 213:14, Wis [2] - 4:14, 4:19 214:12, 214:19, WISCONSIN [3] -1:1, 7:7, 254:1 **voting** [11] - 118:3, Wisconsin [61] -143:17, 169:1, 1:13, 1:20, 2:1, 2:12, 217:16, 217:21, 2:16, 4:24, 6:4, 6:7, 218:15, 222:1, 222:6, 6:10, 6:12, 6:20, 6:24, 229:13, 229:20, 230:1 7:4, 7:7, 7:11, 7:14, Voting [8] - 150:23, 7:14, 7:18, 7:18, 7:19, 151:3, 151:6, 208:23, 25:3, 25:17, 35:7, 209:16, 209:17, 35:9, 35:24, 53:18, 209:18, 210:25 54:17, 56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 57:12, 57:22, 58:9, 58:11, 63:14, 65:9, 65:12, 72:5, 123:20, 161:24, 175:3, 188:16,

84:20, 84:21, 109:10, 190:18, 191:6, 205:15, 205:23, 212:16, 222:13, 222:15, 222:20, 222:24, 233:7, 235:3, 242:10, 254:5, 254:10, 255:6 Wisconsin-Madison [2] - 53:18, 63:14 WisconsinEye [1] -203:18 wit [1] - 254:11 withdraw [1] - 126:9 Witness [3] - 3:2,

18:14, 104:21 WITNESS [1] - 128:9 witness [51] - 6:2, 8:2, 14:18, 33:7, 77:18, 77:23, 79:13, 89:19, 90:1, 90:20, 90:25, 92:18, 93:12, 93:20, 94:17, 95:21, 98:9. 99:8. 99:22. 102:2, 102:15, 103:15, 103:22, 106:9, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:7, 120:9, 121:1, 122:18, 129:1, 131:5, 134:2, 137:13, 177:1, 182:13, 183:19, 185:7, 187:5, 191:22, 192:18, 195:8, 196:17, 199:2, 199:14, 202:3, 213:24, 250:20, 254:18, 255:2 witnesses [3] -92:24, 198:2, 220:25 wondering [1] -153:24 word [2] - 49:2, 74:21 words [1] - 81:7 works [4] - 43:1, 43:2, 132:6, 132:19 write [1] - 204:13 writes [1] - 88:20 writing [1] - 38:9 written [6] - 75:1, 160:21, 160:23,

Υ

160:24, 163:6, 165:9

wrote [2] - 204:12,

205:6

Yahoo [2] - 117:6, 138:18 year [7] - 23:16, 39:25, 83:22, 174:15, 187:21, 189:12, 189:17 years [3] - 65:2, 72:8, 168:24 yourself [1] - 167:9

Ζ

zero [10] - 162:11, 162:15, 162:18, 163:4, 163:10, 163:12, 163:13, 163:17, 210:17,

210:22 zeros [1] - 163:24 Zeus [7] - 227:21, 227:24, 228:2, 228:19, 228:22, 231:13, 231:21 Zipperer [9] - 42:16, 133:1, 133:11, 144:21, 145:1, 145:3, 146:2, 153:19, 154:3 **Zipperer's** [1] - 146:7

12:4

1:18, 6:1