Joseph W. Handrick

From:

Joseph W. Handrick

Sent:

Tuesday, February 01, 2011 7:31 AM

To:

Jim Troupis

Subject: RE: monday

Tad and I talked about this and figured that there will be peaks and valleys, but when all is said and done either way would come out to about the same dollar total. So it would be easier to go with flat monthly amount. So if I get a vote I would vote for the latter.

Joe

From: Jim Troupis [irtroupis@troupislawoffice.com]

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 10:41 PM

To: Joseph W. Handrick Subject: RE: monday

Joe,

The Maj. Leader said you asked for 2500 retainer monthly against which you would charge 250/hr—with no cap

Or you wanted 5,000, flat amount per month thru May, 2012—no limit on the hours you would commit. (i.e. total maximum charge of 15x5=75,000.)

Is that correct? We need to confirm what you would like and then the Speaker/Maj leader will elect one of the options.

Let me know.

Jim

From: Joseph W. Handrick [mailto: JHandrick@reinhartlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 8:31 AM

To: 'Jim Troupis'
Subject: RE: monday

That's what Tad and I were thinking.

I think we're all on the same page regarding my role.

From: Jim Troupis [mailto:jrtroupis@trouplslawoffice.com]

Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2011 9:36 AM

To: Joseph W. Handrick Subject: RE: monday

Good Morning Joe,

I will defer to Tad on this. I think for you that maintaining the appearance of independence is potentially very important (and lucrative) for you. How did your meeting with the Speaker go on Thursday? Jim

From: Joseph W. Handrick [mailto:<u>JHandrick@reinhartlaw.com</u>] Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 4:27 PM

1/9/2012



To: 'Jim Troupis'
Subject: monday

Are you expecting me on Monday for the meeting with private groups?

Tad and I thought maybe it's better to not have me there but I certainly can be if you wish. Just let me know, because that's the only reason I was going to come to Madison that day.