EX. 24

SENATE

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY 2017 SESSION

IN RE: SECOND READING OF

HOUSE BILL 927

2017 HOUSE REDISTRICTING

PLAN A2

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PHIL BERGER, PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE PRESIDING Wednesday, August 30, 2017 9:30 a.m.

PREPARED BY: Audra M. Smith, RPR, FCRR

RUFFIN CONSULTING, INC.

DIRECT DIAL: 252-243-9000

WWW.RUFFINCONSULTING.COM

Page 2 APPEARANCES PHIL BERGER, PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE BILL RABON, CHAIRMAN, RULES AND OPERATIONS OF THE SENATE SARAH LANG, PRINCIPAL CLERK TERRY RUMLEY, ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK PHILIP KING, SERGEANT-AT-ARMS

Page 3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 SENATOR RABON: The Senate will come to order. Without objection, the Senate will 4 stand in recess to reconvene today at 10 a.m. 5 (A recess was taken from 9:33 to 10:17 6 7 a.m.) 8 SENATOR BERGER: The Senate will come to 9 order. Sergeant of Arms will close the doors. Members will go to their seats. 10 11 Members and guests, please silence all 12 electronic devices. Leading the Senate in 13 prayer is Senator Deanna Ballard of Watauga 14 County. All members and guests will please 15 stand. (Prayer lead by Senator Deanna Ballard.) 16 17 SENATOR BERGER: Senator Pate is recognized for a motion. The Senate will 18 19 come to order. Senator Pate is recognized. 20 SENATOR PATE: Thank you. The Journal of August 29, 2017 has been examined and 21 22 found to be correct. I move that we dispense 23 with the reading of the Journal and that it 24 stand approved as written. 25 SENATOR BERGER: Without objection, the

Page 4 Journal of August 29, 2017 stands approved as 1 2 written. 3 Members' leaves of absence are requested, and without objection, are granted 4 for Senators Barringer, Britt, Jim Davis, 5 Ford and Meredith. 6 7 And, members, courtesies of the chamber are extended to Audra Smith of CaseWorks, 8 9 Incorporated who is our court reporter today. 10 We are now prepared to go into the calendar unless there's further business 11 12 before we get to that point. 13 House Bill 927, second reading. 14 clerk will read. MS. RUMLEY: House Bill 927 2017 House 15 16 Redistricting Plan A2. 17 SENATOR BERGER: Senator Hise is recognized to explain the bill. 18 19 SENATOR HISE: Thank you, Mr. President. 20 Members of the Senate. Everyone should have on their desk a copy of the map as well as 21 22 the appropriate the staff pack for the House 23 maps that were drawn. 24 Briefly just to go over, this meets the 25 criteria established by both House and Senate

Page 5

committees for the drawing of the maps under Equal Population Contiguity Compactness, both of our standards are met there. Has fewer split precincts. Does much better at protecting municipal boundaries.

There are six double bunk -- double bunking of six members. For double bunk as a result of county grouping formula and two other members of the majority party.

You should have also in that staff pack the election data that's there, and I think it is important to note these maps were drawn without the consideration of race and that individuals were not assigned to districts on the basis of their race.

I'd be more than happy to answer any questions anyone may have, but as they are, the House maps, I'll present them to you and see what you need to know.

SENATOR BERGER: Further discussion or debate on House Bill 927?

Senator Bryant, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BRYANT: To speak on the bill, Mr. President.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 6

SENATOR BERGER: Will yield the floor.

SENATOR BRYANT: Mr. President and members, I want to point out to you several concerns about the House district plan. There are several concerns still in terms of the racial gerrymandering. My understanding in that in Guilford County there are three of the districts that are almost on the same footprint as they were in the original plan that has been struck down by the courts. Ι don't have the black VAP data in front of me, but I can provide that to you if you're interested, but I think there are some high -- there are some still some packing in those districts in Guilford County, so I just want to bring that to your attention and surely recommend a more thorough look at that.

There are also some State constitutional concerns with this map. First of all, just on the issue of compactness and the eyeball test, I just bring your attention to -- I think it is House District -- look at 10 and 21. Look at that Greene and Wade, Sampson counties, that House District 21 is

Page 7

interesting and probably could vary from some scientific study of some sort or another. So that's just one example of some areas where compactness is really challenged and serve as a partisan gerrymandering or I believe or perhaps some other purpose.

Also in Cabarrus County, and in that same Wayne/Greene cluster, there are some violations of the whole county provision. If you look at District 10, it crosses three counties when obviously it does not have to in order to meet to population requirements, and I think in Cabarrus County, if you look under -- that's District 83, 67, 83, 82.

There -- Cabarrus County is carved up into three districts when of course the population requirements could be met without going into three districts. I think that surely begs the question of the whole county -- fidelity to the whole county provision.

And finally in Wake and Mecklenburg

County in this map, there are districts that

were changed that were not even affected by

the court decision and not even affected by

district that was -- even an adjacent

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Case 1:15-cv-00399-TDS-JEP Document 184-24 Filed 09/07/17 Page 8 of 20

Phone: 252-243-9000

2.1

Page 8

district as related to the court decision. They were just changed for no purpose other than perhaps improving the opportunities for the republican incumbents in those districts or some other reason. And our Constitution forbids redistricting outside of the 10-year cycle unless the court orders that to be done, and in this instance those districts are not ordered to be redistricted under this -- under the court order.

So on those matters, I would ask that we vote against these maps, that we take a little bit longer, or at least look at these concerns between second and third reading.

Why we would position ourselves for these challenges, I can't understand, given what we've been facing in terms of the cost of litigation and length of the litigation.

We've already been through multiple courts who have struck down these maps under some of these same complaints. We're even adding new challenges to the ones we already have been ruled -- that we've already lost on. So I urge us to go against these maps and give these legitimate concerns further

Case 1:15-cv-00399-TDS-JEP Document 184-24 Filed 09/07/17 Page 9 of 20

Phone: 252-243-9000

25

Page 9 consideration. Thank you. 1 SENATOR BERGER: Further discussion or 2 3 debate? SENATOR McKISSICK: Mr. President. 4 5 SENATOR BERGER: Senator McKissick, for 6 what purpose do you rise? You have the 7 floor. 8 SENATOR McKISSICK: To speak on the 9 proposed plan. SENATOR BERGER: You have the floor. 10 SENATOR McKISSICK: I think Senate 11 12 Bryant shared some excellent observations in 13 terms of concerns that many of us have about 14 the plans here before us today, and this 15 concern about going out and redrawing 16 districts that were not impacted by the 17 unconstitutional racial gerrymandering is certainly something to be concerned and how 18 19 that violates our Constitution. 20 Just here in Wake County, that occurred in Districts 36, 37, 40 and 41 and down in 21 22 Mecklenburg County down in District 105. So 23 we should be very mindful of the scope and

Ruffin Consulting, Inc. www.RuffinConsulting.com Phone: 252-243-9000

the extent to which we needed to take action

based upon the ruling of the court, and I

Page 10

Phone: 252-243-9000

think that going back and redrawing these unimpacted districts that are not contiguous with their having contact with some of these racial gerrymandering districts is, indeed, violation of our State Constitution and I encourage those to vote against this plan.

SENATOR BERGER: Further discussion or debate?

Senator Bryant, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BRYANT: To speak briefly a second time, Mr. President.

SENATOR BERGER: You have the floor.

SENATOR BRYANT: Members, I forgot one additional point I wanted to bring to your attention and for the record. Overnight, I read -- it was brought to my attention a study that's been done by Dr. Elizabeth Saboko (phonetic) who is a genetic scientist who specializes in population variation -- population connectivity and variation. And in her study, she looked at the county groupings and analyzed the bias, the partisan bias in the decision making for splits within the county grouping, and one of these -- her

Ruffin Consulting, Inc. www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 11

observations concerned me, and that was the effect in rural North Carolina, and she found that there was an observable bias against democrats in nearly half of the county groupings.

There's been a lot of talk about the democratic party not being competitive in parts of the state. But instead this shows that rural democrats, including
African-American democrats, are being significantly harmed by the majority's proposed maps. It appears that rather than packing voters into the districts as was the complaint in the lawsuit, the plan now cracks voters by splitting them into districts based on race and party. So I just want to bring that to your attention.

And I look at my home district, Nash

County for example on the House plan, the
choices of going to the south in the Franklin

County pairing, instead of to the north,

creates that problem. And also there are
other rural districts in the state where the
choices of how to make the splits shows this
pattern. So I wanted to bring this to your

Page 12

attention and have this also stated for the record. Thank you.

SENATOR BERGER: Further discussion or debate? Is there further discussion or debate on second reading of House Bill 927?

Hearing none, the question for the Senate is the passage on second reading of House Bill 927. All in favor vote aye, all opposed will vote no. Five seconds will be allowed for the voting. The clerk will record the vote.

(Voting commenced.)

SENATOR BERGER: 30 having voted in the affirmative, and 15 in the negative. House Bill 927 has passed its second reading and will, without objection, be read a third time.

MS. RUMLEY: The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts.

SENATOR BERGER: Is there a discussion or debate on third reading on House Bill 927?

Hearing none, the question for the

Senate is the third passage on third reading,

and we are going to electronic vote third

reading of this bill. Passage of third

Page 13 reading of House Bill 927. All in favor vote 1 aye, all opposed vote no. Five seconds will 2 3 be allowed for the voting. Clerk will record the vote. 4 5 (Voting commenced.) SENATOR BERGER: 30 having voted in the 6 7 affirmative and 15 in the negative. House 8 Bill 927 has passed its third reading and will be enrolled. 9 Members, this next order of business is 10 the issue of recognition of the Little League 11 12 team from Greenville and they are scheduled 13 to be here at 11 o'clock. I would -- we'd 14 entertain a motion that we stand at ease until 11 o'clock. 15 16 Senator Rabon, for what purpose do you 17 rise? SENATOR RABON: Thank you, Mr. 18 19 President. I have a motion. 20 SENATOR BERGER: State your motion. 21 SENATOR RABON: Mr. President, I move 22 the Senate stand at ease until 11 o'clock. 23 SENATOR BERGER: All in favor say aye. 24 All opposed, no. The Senate will stand at 25 ease until 11 o'clock.

```
Page 14
 1
                 (The Senate was at ease at 10:31 a.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF FORSYTH)
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	I, Audra Smith, Registered Professional
5	Reporter in and for the above county and state, do hereby
6	certify that the transcription of the proceedings and
7	events was transcribed hereinbefore set forth; that the
8	transcription was performed under my direction and
9	supervision, and that this is, to the best of my knowledge
10	and belief, a true and accurate transcription to the best
11	of my ability.
12	I further certify that I am neither of
13	counsel to either party nor interested in the events of
14	this case.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my
16	hand this 6th day of September, 2017.
17	Judsa Freed
18	
19	Audra Smith, RPR, FCRR
20	Notary Number: 201329000033
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	basis 5:15	certainly 9:18	cost 8:17	6:8,15 7:16,18
a.m 1:14 3:5,7	begs 7:18	CERTIFICA	counsel 15:13	7:22 8:4,8 9:16
14:1	belief 15:10	15:3	counties 6:25	9:21 10:2,4
A2 1:7 4:16	believe 7:5	certify 15:6,12	7:11	11:13,15,23
ability 15:11	BERGER 1:12	CHAIRMAN	county 3:14 5:8	doors 3:10
absence 4:3	2:3 3:8,17,25	2:4	6:7,15 7:7,9,13	double 5:6,6,7
accurate 15:10	4:17 5:20 6:1	challenged 7:4	7:15,19,20,22	Dr 10:18
action 9:24	9:2,5,10 10:7	challenges 8:16	9:20,22 10:22	drawing 5:1
adding 8:21	10:13 12:3,13	8:22	10:25 11:4,19	drawn 4:23 5:12
additional 10:15	12:20 13:6,20	chamber 4:7	11:21 15:2,5	
adjacent 7:25	13:23	changed 7:23	course 7:16	E
ADMINISTR	best 15:9,10	8:2	court 4:9 7:24	E 3:1,1
2:6	better 5:4	choices 11:20,24	8:1,7,10 9:25	ease 13:14,22,25
affirmative	bias 10:23,24	clerk 2:5,6 4:14	courtesies 4:7	14:1
12:14 13:7	11:3	12:10 13:3	courts 6:10 8:19	effect 11:2
African-Amer	bill 1:6 2:4 4:13	close 3:9	cracks 11:14	either 15:13
11:10	4:15,18 5:21	cluster 7:8	creates 11:22	election 5:11
allowed 12:10	5:24 12:5,8,15	come 3:3,8,19	criteria 4:25	electronic 3:12
13:3	12:21,25 13:1	commenced	crosses 7:10	12:24
analyzed 10:23	13:8	12:12 13:5	cycle 8:7	Elizabeth 10:18
analyzed 10.23 answer 5:16	bit 8:13	committees 5:1		enacts 12:19
APPEARAN	black 6:11	compactness 5:2	D	encourage 10:6
2:1	boundaries 5:5	6:21 7:4	D 3:1	enrolled 13:9
appears 11:12	briefly 4:24	competitive	data 5:11 6:11	entertain 13:14
appropriate	10:11	11:7	Davis 4:5	Equal 5:2
4:22	bring 6:16,22	complaint 11:14	day 15:16	established 4:25
approved 3:24	10:15 11:16,25	complaints 8:21	Deanna 3:13,16	events 15:7,13
4:1	Britt 4:5	concern 9:15	debate 5:21 9:3	examined 3:21
areas 7:3	brought 10:17	concerned 9:18	10:8 12:4,5,21	example 7:3
Arms 3:9	Bryant 5:22,24	11:1	decision 7:24	11:19
Assembly 1:2	6:2 9:12 10:9	concerns 6:4,5	8:1 10:24	excellent 9:12
12:18	10:11,14	6:20 8:14,25	democratic 11:7	explain 4:18
assigned 5:14	bunk 5:6,7	9:13	democrats 11:4	extended 4:8
attention 6:16	bunking 5:7	connectivity	11:9,10	extent 9:24
6:22 10:16,17	business 4:11	10:21	desk 4:21	eyeball 6:21
11:17 12:1	13:10	consideration	devices 3:12	
Audra 1:22 4:8		5:13 9:1	DIAL 1:24	<u>F</u>
15:4,19	C	Constitution 8:5	DIRECT 1:24	facing 8:17
August 1:13	C 3:1	9:19 10:5	direction 15:8	favor 12:8 13:1
3:21 4:1	Cabarrus 7:7,13	constitutional	discussion 5:20	13:23
aye 12:8 13:2,23	7:15	6:19	9:2 10:7 12:3,4	FCRR 1:22
	calendar 4:11	CONSULTING	12:20	15:19
В	Carolina 1:2	1:23	dispense 3:22	fewer 5:3
back 10:1	11:2 12:19	contact 10:3	district 6:4,23	fidelity 7:19
Ballard 3:13,16	15:1	Contiguity 5:2	6:25 7:10,14	finally 7:21
Barringer 4:5	carved 7:15	contiguous 10:2	7:25 8:1 9:22	First 6:20
based 9:25	case 15:14	copy 4:21	11:18	Five 12:9 13:2
11:15	CaseWorks 4:8	correct 3:22	districts 5:14	floor 6:1 9:7,10
				10:13
			l 	I

	_		_	
footprint 6:9	hereto 15:15	longer 8:13	north 1:2 11:2	Pate 3:17,19,20
forbids 8:6	high 6:14	look 6:17,23,24	11:21 12:19	pattern 11:25
Ford 4:6	Hise 4:17,19	7:10,13 8:13	15:1	performed 15:8
forgot 10:14	home 11:18	11:18	Notary 15:20	PHIL 1:12 2:3
formula 5:8	House 1:6,7	looked 10:22	note 5:12	PHILIP 2:7
FORSYTH 15:2	4:13,15,15,22	lost 8:23	Number 15:20	phonetic 10:19
forth 15:7	4:25 5:18,21	lot 11:6		plan 1:7 4:16
found 3:22 11:2	6:4,23,25		0	6:4,9 9:9 10:6
Franklin 11:20	11:19 12:5,8	M	O 3:1	11:14,19
front 6:11	12:14,21 13:1	M 1:22	o'clock 13:13,15	plans 9:14
further 4:11	13:7	majority 5:9	13:22,25	please 3:11,14
5:20 8:25 9:2		majority's 11:11	objection 3:4,25	point 4:12 6:3
10:7 12:3,4	I	making 10:24	4:4 12:16	10:15
15:12	impacted 9:16	map 4:21 6:20	observable 11:3	population 5:2
	important 5:12	7:22	observations	7:12,16 10:20
G	improving 8:3	maps 4:23 5:1	9:12 11:1	10:21
G 3:1	including 11:9	5:12,18 8:12	obviously 7:11	position 8:15
General 1:2	Incorporated	8:20,24 11:12	occurred 9:20	prayer 3:13,16
12:18	4:9	matters 8:11	ones 8:22	precincts 5:4
genetic 10:19	incumbents 8:4	McKISSICK	OPERATIONS	prepared 1:22
gerrymanderi	individuals 5:14	9:4,5,8,11	2:4	4:10
6:6 7:5 9:17	instance 8:8	Mecklenburg	opportunities	present 5:18
10:4	interested 6:13	7:21 9:22	8:3	President 1:12
give 8:24	15:13	meet 7:12	opposed 12:9	2:3 4:19 5:25
given 8:16	interesting 7:1	meets 4:24	13:2,24	6:2 9:4 10:12
go 3:10 4:10,24	issue 6:21 13:11	members 3:10	order 3:4,9,19	13:19,21
8:24		3:11,14 4:7,20	7:12 8:10	PRESIDING
going 7:17 9:15	<u>J</u>	5:7,9 6:3 10:14	13:10	1:12
10:1 11:20	Jim 4:5	13:10	ordered 8:9	PRINCIPAL
12:24	Journal 3:20,23	Members' 4:3	orders 8:7	2:5
granted 4:4	4:1	Meredith 4:6	original 6:9	PRO 1:12 2:3
Greene 6:24	K	met 5:3 7:17	outside 8:6	probably 7:1
Greenville	KING 2:7	mindful 9:23	Overnight 10:16	problem 11:22
13:12	know 5:19	motion 3:18	P	proceedings
grouping 5:8	knowledge 15:9	13:14,19,20	P 3:1	1:11 15:6
10:25	Knowicage 13.7	move 3:22 13:21	pack 4:22 5:10	Professional
groupings 10:23	L	multiple 8:19	-	15:4
11:5	LANG 2:5	municipal 5:5	packing 6:14 11:13	proposed 9:9
guests 3:11,14	lawsuit 11:14	N	pairing 11:21	11:12
Guilford 6:7,15	lead 3:16	$\overline{\mathbf{N}3:1}$	partisan 7:5	protecting 5:5
H	Leading 3:12	Nash 11:18	10:23	provide 6:12
half 11:4	League 13:11	nearly 11:4	parts 11:8	provision 7:9,20
hand 15:16	leaves 4:3	need 5:19	party 5:9 11:7	purpose 5:22
happy 5:16	legitimate 8:25	needed 9:24	11:16 15:13	7:6 8:2 9:6
harmed 11:11	length 8:18	negative 12:14	passage 12:7,23	10:9 13:16
Hearing 12:6,22	litigation 8:18	13:7	12:25	Q
hereinbefore	8:18	neither 15:12	passed 12:15	question 7:19
15:7	little 8:13 13:11	new 8:21	13:8	quesuon /.17
13.7		1.511 0.21	13.0	

12:6,22	RUFFIN 1:23	set 15:7,15	6:23 7:13,18	want 6:3,16
questions 5:17	ruled 8:23	shared 9:12	9:11 10:1	11:16
	RULES 2:4	shows 11:8,24	third 8:14 12:16	wanted 10:15
R	ruling 9:25	significantly	12:21,23,23,24	11:25
R 3:1	RUMLEY 2:6	11:11	12:25 13:8	Watauga 3:13
Rabon 2:4 3:3	4:15 12:18	silence 3:11	thorough 6:17	Wayne/Greene
13:16,18,21	rural 11:2,9,23	six 5:6,7	three 6:7 7:10	7:8
race 5:13,15		Smith 1:22 4:8	7:16,18	We're 8:21
11:16	S	15:4,19	time 10:12 12:17	we've 8:17,19,23
racial 6:6 9:17	S 3:1	sort 7:2	today 3:5 4:9	Wednesday
10:4	Saboko 10:19	south 11:20	9:14	1:13
read 4:14 10:17	Sampson 6:24	speak 5:24 9:8	transcribed	WHEREOF
12:16	SARAH 2:5	10:11	15:7	15:15
reading 1:6 3:23	scheduled 13:12	specializes	TRANSCRIPT	WITNESS
4:13 8:14 12:5	scientific 7:2	10:20	1:11	15:15
12:7,15,21,23	scientist 10:19	split 5:4	transcription	written 3:24 4:2
12:25 13:1,8	scope 9:23	splits 10:24	15:6,8,10	WWW.RUFF
really 7:4	seats 3:10	11:24	true 15:10	1:25
reason 8:5	second 1:6 4:13	splitting 11:15	two 5:8	
recess 3:5,6	8:14 10:12	staff 4:22 5:10	-	X
recognition	12:5,7,15	stand 3:5,15,24	U	
13:11	seconds 12:9	13:14,22,24	unconstitutio	Y
recognized 3:18	13:2	standards 5:3	9:17	yield 6:1
3:19 4:18	see 5:19	stands 4:1	understand 8:16	7
recommend	Senate 1:1 2:4	state 6:19 10:5	understanding	Z
6:17	3:3,4,8,12,18	11:8,23 13:20	6:6	0
reconvene 3:5	4:20,25 9:11	15:1,5	unimpacted	
record 10:16	12:7,23 13:22	stated 12:1	10:2	1
12:2,11 13:3	13:24 14:1	struck 6:10 8:20	urge 8:24	10 3:5 6:23 7:10
redistricted 8:9	Senator 3:3,8,13	study 7:2 10:18		10-year 8:6
redistricting 1:7	3:16,17,17,19	10:22	V	10:17 3:6
4:16 8:6	3:20,25 4:17	supervision 15:9	VAP 6:11	10:31 14:1
redrawing 9:15	4:17,19 5:20	surely 6:17 7:18	variation 10:20	105 9:22
10:1	5:22,24 6:1,2		10:21	11 13:13,15,22
Registered 15:4	9:2,4,5,5,8,10	T	vary 7:1	13:25
related 8:1	9:11 10:7,9,11	take 8:12 9:24	violates 9:19	15 12:14 13:7
reporter 4:9	10:13,14 12:3	taken 3:6	violation 10:5	
15:5	12:13,20 13:6	talk 11:6	violations 7:9	2
REPORTER'S	13:16,18,20,21	team 13:12	vote 8:12 10:6	201329000033
15:3	13:23	TEMPORE	12:8,9,11,24	15:20
republican 8:4	Senators 4:5	1:12 2:3	13:1,2,4	2017 1:3,7,13
requested 4:4	September	terms 6:5 8:17	voted 12:13 13:6	3:21 4:1,15
requirements	15:16	9:13	voters 11:13,15	15:16
7:12,17	Sergeant 3:9	TERRY 2:6	voting 12:10,12	21 6:24,25
result 5:8	SERGEANT	test 6:22	13:3,5	252-243-9000
rise 5:23 9:6	2:7	Thank 3:20 4:19	W	1:24
10:10 13:17	serve 7:4	9:1 12:2 13:18		29 3:21 4:1
DDD 1.00 15.10	SESSION 1:3	think 5:11 6:13	Wade 6:24	
RPR 1:22 15:19	BEBBION 1.5	tillin 5.11 0.15	Wake 7:21 9:20	

3 30 1:13 12:13 13:6 36 9:21 37 9:21 4 40 9:21 41 9:21 5 6 67 7:14 6th 15:16 7 8 82 7:14 83 7:14,14 9:33 3:6 927 1:6 4:13,15 5:21 12:5,8,15 12:21 13:1,8		
6th 15:16 7 8 82 7:14		
9:30 1:14 9:33 3:6 927 1:6 4:13,15		
12:21 13:1,8		

Ruffin Consulting, Inc. www.RuffinConsulting.com