## **EXHIBIT F**

## In The Matter Of:

Davidson vs City of Cranston

Steven Brown February 25, 2015



## VIDEO CONFERENCE CENTERS

Phone: 401-946-5500

Toll Free: 888-443-3767 www.alliedcourtreporters.com Info@alliedcourtreporters.com

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Page 25

Davidson vs City of Cranston

Steven Brown February 25, 2015

Page 28

1 correct?

- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- conclusion.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 O. Children count?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- conclusion.
- 8 A, Yes.
- 9 O. Illegal immigrants, count?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- 11 conclusion.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. College students count?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 14
- 15 conclusion.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. People in hospitals, do you count them?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 18
- 19 conclusion.
- 20 A. It would depend. A person in a hospital I
- 21 would say if they're there -- if they have a prior
- residence that's where they should be counted.
- 23 Q. Are you aware there's a hospital on the Pastore
- 24 grounds where the ACI is?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 in the district that they came from?
  - MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal
  - conclusion.
  - 4 A. Yes.
  - 5 O. Do you know if they have any choice as to whether
  - they get deployed or not?
  - MR. LIOZ: Objection. Speculation.
  - 8 A. I don't know.
  - 9 Q. Is it the position of the ACLU in this litigation
  - 10 that the prisoners of the ACI should not be
  - 11 counted at all in Cranston?
  - MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 12
  - 13 conclusion.
  - 14 A. For city redistricting purposes, yes.
  - 15 Q. Do you know what percentage of inmates at the ACI
  - are felons?
  - MR, LIOZ: Objection. Speculation. 17
  - 18 A. I do not.
  - 19 Q. Do you know what congressional district the ACI is
  - 20 located in?
  - 21 A. No, I do not.
  - 22 O. If I understand your prior testimony, for
  - congressional districting purposes, the position
  - 24 of the ACLU is that the prisoners should be
  - counted from whatever district they came?

Page 26

- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal
  - 2 conclusion.
  - 3 A. It would be my position. .
  - 4 Q. Is that your personal position?

  - 6 Q. Are you aware of what city services are provided
  - directly or indirectly to the inmates at the ACI?
  - MR, LIOZ: Objection. Speculation. 8
  - 9 A. No.
  - 10 Q. Did you look into whether there would be any fire
  - 11 or rescue runs to the ACI prior to filing the
  - complaint? 12
  - 13 A. I don't believe so.
  - MR, LIOZ: Objection.
  - 15 Q. Would that make any difference whatsoever in
  - 16 your -- in the ACLU's position?
  - MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 17
  - 18 conclusion.
  - 19 A. No.
  - 20 O. The fact that sewer services are provided to
  - 21 inmates at the ACI, does that factor in at all to
  - the ACLU's position? 22
  - MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 23
  - 24 conclusion.
  - 25 A. No.

2 in Ward 6 or not? MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal

1 O. And should those people in the hospital be counted

- 3
- 4 conclusion.
- 5 A. I think that might be appropriate to count
- 6 them from where they came from.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of any other prison facilities in
- 8 the State of Rhode Island?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Have you heard of the Central Falls Detention
- 11 Center?
- 12 A. Oh. I correct myself. Yes, there is one.
- 13 O. Does the ACLU have a position as to where the
- 14 inmates of the Central Falls Detention facility
- should be counted for redistricting purposes?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 16
- 17 conclusion.
- 18 A. The issue has not come up.
- 19 Q. So there's no position on that?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Again, I'm going back to the indicia of what is of
- 22 a -- of someone who should be counted in a
- particular district. Let's take the military. Do
- 24 you think military personnel who are deployed, for
- 25 example, to Iraq or Afghanistan, should be counted

(7) Pages 25 - 28 Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401)946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

Min-U-Script®

Page 21

Davidson vs City of Cranston

Steven Brown <u>February 25, 2015</u>

Page 24

- 1 Q. Is that a factor in the ACLU's position as to
- 2 where the prisoners should be counted for
- 3 districting purposes?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- conclusion.
- 6 A. I'm still not sure I understand the question.
- 7 Q. To be a true resident, do you need to be a voter?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- conclusion.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 O. What if a student, college student is registered
- 12 to vote out of state but is counted in a Cranston
- 13 ward; is that person a true resident of Cranston?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 14
- 15 conclusion.
- 16 A. I think it depends on the person's own view
- 17 of where they reside.
- 18 O. Should they be counted, for districting purposes,
- as to where they are residing in school?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 20
- 21 conclusion.
- 22 A. It could be appropriate.
- 23 Q. Even though they vote somewhere else?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 24
- 25 conclusion.

- 1 conclusion.
- 2 A. I think it goes back to what we discussed
- 4 Q. So, prisoners are not true constituents because
- they can't interact with people outside the
- prison; is that what you're saying?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- conclusion.
- 9 A. Among all the other reasons that I've
- 10 mentioned.
- 11 Q. Draw your attention to Paragraph 24 of Exhibit
- Number 1, the last sentence, "The city council
- nevertheless adopted a redistricting plan that
- relies on the ACI to make up 25 percent of the
- population in Ward 6, seriously undermining the 15
- central redistricting goal of population equality 16
- among districts." Do you see that language? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How does counting the prisoners in Ward 6
- 20 seriously undermine population equality among
- 21 districts?
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 22
- 23 conclusion.
- 24 A. It does so by giving Ward 6 a tremendous
- advantage over other districts, because the 25

Page 22

- percent of the population consists of people who
- are barred from voting there, have no
- participation in the community, and for the other
- reasons I mentioned, really are not constituents 4
- in any way, do not benefit from living in the city
- and have no ability to participate in it.
- 7 Q. So you don't count them as part of the population?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 8
- conclusion. 9
- 10 A. For these purposes, no.
- 11 O. Okay. You mention they can't vote. If they're
- not felons, presumably, they can vote? 12
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal 13
- 14 conclusion.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And again, your interpretation of the
- statute we talked about earlier is that an 17
- inhabitant of the ACI could not change their 18
- domicile to Cranston; is that correct? 19
- MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 20
- 21 conclusion.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. I'm trying to understand the indicia of who should
- 24 be counted or not counted in the district per the
- 25 view of the ACLU. So, prisoners don't count,

1 A. Yes.

- 2 O. So is it the ACLU's position that it doesn't make
- 3 a difference as to whether Ward 6 has more voters
- 4 than the other wards or not?
- MR, LIOZ: Objection. Legal
- 6 conclusion.
- 7 A. No, it does not.
- 8 O. The ACLU is aware of Cranston proposed
- 9 redistricting, obviously, because you provided
- 10 testimony. Why is it the ACLU did not bring suit
- 11 after the 2012 redistricting?
- 12 A. We were hopeful that we could get state
- 13 legislation passed to address the issue.
- 14 Q. Are you still hopeful you're going to get state
- 15 legislation passed?
- 16 A. Less hopeful. Less hopeful than I was.
- 17 Q. I direct your attention to Paragraph 21 of Exhibit
- 18 1. It says in the first sentence, "According to
- 19 Census Bureau data, without the incarcerated
- 20 population, Ward 6 has only 10,209 true
- 21 constituents"?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What is the ACLU's definition of a true
- 24 constituent?

Min-U-Script®

MR. LIOZ: Objection. Legal 25