

Exhibit 1

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

EVAN MILLIGAN, et al.,)	
)	CIVIL CASE NO.
Plaintiffs,)	2:21-CV-01530-AMM
VS.)	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF:
JOHN MERRILL, et al.,)	RANDY HINAMAN
)	
Defendants.)	

S T I P U L A T I O N S

IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and
between the parties through their respective
counsel, that the deposition of:

RANDY HINAMAN,
may be taken before LeAnn Maroney, Notary Public,
State at Large, at the law offices of Balch &
Bingham, 105 Tallapoosa Street, Montgomery,
Alabama, 36104, on December 9, 2021, commencing at
9:13 a.m.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
2 the signature to and reading of the deposition by
3 the witness is waived, the deposition to have the
4 same force and effect as if full compliance had
5 been had with all laws and rules of Court relating
6 to the taking of depositions.

7
8 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
9 it shall not be necessary for any objections to be
10 made by counsel to any questions, except as to form
11 or leading questions, and that counsel for the
12 parties may make objections and assign grounds at
13 the time of the trial, or at the time said
14 deposition is offered in evidence, or prior
15 thereto.

16
17
18 ***
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE MILLIGAN PLAINTIFFS:

MICHAEL L. TURRILL

Attorney at Law

Hogan Lovells US LLP

1999 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

michael.turrill@hoganlovells.com

BLAYNE R. THOMPSON

Attorney at Law

Hogan Lovells US LLP

609 Main Street, Ste. 4200

Houston, Texas 77002

blayne.thompson@hoganlovells.com

DEUEL ROSS (Via Zoom)

Attorney at Law

NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund

700 14th Street N.W., Ste. 600

Washington, DC 20005

dross@naacpldf.org

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 DAVIN M. ROSBOROUGH (Via Zoom)
2 JULIE A. EBENSTEIN
3 Attorneys at Law
4 American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
5 125 Broad Street
6 New York, New York 10004
7 drosborough@aclu.org
8

9 LaTISHA GOTELL FAULKS (Via Zoom)
10 Attorney at Law
11 American Civil Liberties Union of Alabama
12 P.O. Box 6179
13 Montgomery, Alabama 36106
14 tgfaulks@aclualabama.org
15

16 FOR THE SINGLETON PLAINTIFFS: (Via Zoom)
17 JAMES URIAH BLACKSHER
18 Attorney at Law
19 825 Linwood Road
20 Birmingham, Alabama 35222
21 jublacksher@gmail.com
22
23
24
25

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 MYRON C. PENN

2 Attorney at Law

3 Penn & Seaborn

4 1971 Berry Chase Place

5 Montgomery, Alabama 36117

6 myronpenn28@hotmail.com

8 ELI J. HARE

9 Attorney at Law

10 Dicello Levitt Gutzler

11 420 20th Street North, Ste. 2525

12 Birmingham, Alabama 35203

13 Ehare@dicellolevitt.com

15 HENRY C. QUILLEN (Via Zoom)

16 Attorney at Law

17 Whatley Kallas, LLP

18 159 Middle Street, Ste. 2C

19 Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

20 hquillen@whatleykallas.com

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 FOR THE CASTER PLAINTIFFS: (Via Zoom)

2 LALI MADDURI

3 Attorney at Law

4 Elias Law Group

5 10 G Street NE, Ste. 600

6 Washington, DC 20002

7 lmadduri@elias.law

8
9 FOR DEFENDANT JOHN H. MERRILL:

10 JIM DAVIS

11 Assistant Attorney General

12 Office of the Attorney General

13 501 Washington Avenue

14 Montgomery, Alabama 36130

15 jim.davis@alabamaag.gov

16
17 FOR THE DEFENDANTS JIM McCLENDON & CHRIS PRINGLE:

18 DORMAN WALKER

19 Attorney at Law

20 Balch & Bingham

21 105 Tallapoosa Street, Ste. 200

22 Montgomery, Alabama 36104

23 dwalker@balch.com

1 ALSO PRESENT:

2 Paige Ali, Videographer

3 Elizabeth Baggett

6 I N D E X

7 MR. THOMPSON: 11-197

8 MR. BLACKSHER: 197-229

11 E X H I B I T L I S T

12 PAGE

13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 - 14

14 (Depo notice)

15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 - 14

16 (Subpoena)

17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 - 21

18 (CV)

19 Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 - 25

20 (Declaration)

21 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 - 92

22 (2021 Alabama Congressional Plan, RC 000553)

23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 - 93

24 (2011 Congressional Districts)

25 Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 - 135

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

(5-5-21 Reapportionment Committee
Redistricting Guidelines)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 - 160
(District 1-7 maps, RC 000556-562)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 - 179
(List of 2021 congressional plans)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 - 201
(State of AL v. US Department of Commerce
Introduction)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 - 203
(9-1-21 public hearing transcript excerpt)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 - 208
(Whole County Plan)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 - 213
(Tuscaloosa and Montgomery Whole)
Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 - 213
(Data table)

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 I, LeAnn Maroney, a Court Reporter of
2 Birmingham, Alabama, and a Notary Public for the
3 State of Alabama at Large, acting as commissioner,
4 certify that on this date, pursuant to the Federal
5 Rules of Civil Procedure and the foregoing
6 stipulation of counsel, there came before me on
7 December 9, 2021, RANDY HINAMAN, witness in the
8 above cause, for oral examination, whereupon the
9 following proceedings were had:

10 * * * * *

11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the
12 beginning of the deposition of Randy Hinaman in the
13 matter of Evan Milligan, et al, versus John H.
14 Merrill, et al., Civil Case Number 2:21-CV-01530-AMM
15 filed in the United States District Court for the
16 Northern District of Alabama. The date is December
17 9, 2021. The time is 9:13 a.m

18 All attorneys present, will you please
19 state your names and whom you represent.

20 MR. HARE: Eli Hare on behalf of the
21 Singleton plaintiffs.

22 MR. DAVIS: Jim Davis for Secretary
23 Merrill.

24 MR. WALKER: Dorman Walker for the
25 Committee Chairs, Senator Jim McClendon and

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Representative Chris Pringle.

2 MR. PENN: Myron Penn for the Singleton
3 plaintiffs.

4 MR. TURRILL: Mike Turrill for the
5 Milligan plaintiffs.

6 MR. THOMPSON: And Blain Thompson for
7 the Milligan plaintiffs.

8 MR. BLACKSHER: And Jim Blacksher for
9 the Singleton plaintiffs. I'll be asking questions
10 virtually.

11 MS. MADDURI: Lali Madduri for the
12 Caster plaintiffs.

13 MR. QUILLEN: Henry Quillen for the
14 Singleton plaintiffs.

15 MR. ROSS: Deuel Ross for the Milligan
16 plaintiffs.

17 MR. ROSBOROUGH: Davin Rosborough for
18 the Milligan plaintiffs.

19 MS. EBENSTEIN: Good morning. Julie
20 Ebenstein for the Milligan plaintiffs.

21 MS. FAULKS: Good morning. Tish Faulks
22 for the Milligan plaintiffs.

23 MS. BAGGETT: Good morning. It's
24 Elizabeth Baggett for the Milligan plaintiffs. I'm
25 a law clerk, not an attorney.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Court Reporter, will
2 you please swear in the witness.

3 RANDY HINAMAN,
4 having been duly sworn, was examined and testified
5 as follows:

6 THE REPORTER: Usual stipulations?

7 MR. WALKER: The ones that we've just
8 discussed.

9 MR. THOMPSON: Yes.

10 Mr. Walker, did you want to say
11 something before we begin?

12 MR. WALKER: Yes. I'd like to put on
13 the record that the committee chair, Senator Jim
14 McClendon, and Representative Chris Pringle have
15 asserted their legislative privilege and immunity in
16 this case. Of course, the Court has not yet ruled
17 on that. Thank you.

18 EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

19 Q. Good morning, sir.

20 A. Good morning.

21 Q. Please state your name for the record.

22 A. Randy Hinaman.

23 Q. Mr. Hinaman, you understand that you're
24 testifying under oath right now?

25 A. I do.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Is there anything that might prevent you
2 from understanding my questions or answering
3 truthfully today?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Are you being represented by a lawyer
6 today?

7 A. Dorman Walker with the reapportionment
8 committee.

9 Q. Are you paying Mr. Walker to be your
10 lawyer today?

11 A. I am not.

12 Q. Do you assume that plaintiffs or the
13 State of Alabama is paying Mr. Walker to be your
14 lawyer today?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. Have you ever been deposed before?

17 A. I have.

18 Q. How many times?

19 A. Once. Once is all I remember, not
20 counting trial.

21 Q. And was that in the ALBC versus the
22 State of Alabama lawsuit?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. All right. So I'll go over a few of the
25 key rules.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 I think that last deposition was about
2 eight years ago. Is that correct?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. Okay. So I'll be asking questions
5 today. And then after I'm done, there will be
6 several other people asking questions, as well.

7 If you don't understand a question, just
8 let me know. Is that okay?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. If you answer a question, I will assume
11 that you understood it. Is that fair?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Also, as you can see, we have a court
14 reporter here who is doing an amazing job typing
15 everything that we say as we go. But it's very
16 important, because she's typing it, that we both
17 speak one at a time. So I'll do my best to wait
18 until you're done answering questions. And if you
19 can do the same, that will help her out a lot. Is
20 that all right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And then we'll take a break about every
23 hour. If you need a break before then, just let us
24 know, and we can do that as long as there's not a
25 question pending. Fair?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Very well.

2

3 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 1&2
4 were marked for identification.)

5

6 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as
7 Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2.

8 MR. THOMPSON: I've got copies for
9 everyone else to the extent you would like one.

10 Q. This is a copy of the deposition notice
11 and subpoena.

12 MR. WALKER: Which one is which?

13 MR. THOMPSON: Exhibit 1 is the notice.

14 MR. WALKER: Okay.

15 MR. THOMPSON: And Exhibit 2 is the
16 subpoena.

17 MR. WALKER: Thanks.

18 Q. Have you seen a copy of these documents
19 before today?

20 A. I have.

21 Q. Both of them?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Who provided them to you?

24 A. Dorman Walker.

25 Q. And when was that?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. The end of last week. Friday maybe.

2 Q. All right. You can set those aside.

3 Without disclosing the content of any
4 discussions that you had with your attorneys, what
5 did you do to prepare for your deposition today?

6 A. I met with Dorman Walker and Jim Davis
7 and others and did some -- just reviewed numbers and
8 talked about the process we followed.

9 Q. When did you meet with them?

10 A. Monday and Tuesday, Monday morning and
11 -- Monday afternoon really and Tuesday morning of
12 this week.

13 Q. About how long would you say you met
14 with them?

15 A. I guess about four -- four or five hours
16 on Monday. We also had lunch in there. And three
17 hours on Tuesday.

18 Q. Did you meet with anyone who was not an
19 attorney?

20 A. No, I don't believe so.

21 Q. Did you review any documents in
22 preparation for today?

23 A. I just reviewed some of the census
24 numbers and the guidelines, the committee
25 guidelines. That would be about it.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Did you review any of the complaints in
2 this lawsuit?

3 A. No, I didn't.

4 Q. Did you review any maps?

5 A. Yeah. I looked -- I looked at the
6 current -- the map that was passed. And I also
7 looked briefly at some of the other maps that were
8 offered to the legislature.

9 Q. Which other maps did you look at?

10 A. The Singleton --

11 MR. BLACKSHER: Randy needs to speak up
12 a little bit, please.

13 THE WITNESS: Sure.

14 A. The Singleton maps, the Coleman map, and
15 the Hatcher map, I believe.

16 Q. Had you reviewed those maps, any of
17 those maps, before preparing for your deposition?

18 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

19 Q. You mentioned that you reviewed several
20 of those maps in preparation for your deposition,
21 correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Before then, had you reviewed any of
24 those maps?

25 A. I looked at them when they were offered

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 on the floor of either -- whatever body they were
2 offered in.

3 Q. Other than in preparation for your
4 deposition last Monday and Tuesday, have you
5 discussed this lawsuit with anyone?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did you do anything else to prepare for
8 your deposition today?

9 A. I did not.

10 Q. Are you being compensated by anyone for
11 being here today?

12 A. I assume I am. I haven't -- I haven't
13 billed anybody yet. But I'm planning to.

14 Q. And who do you plan to bill for today?

15 A. The attorney general's office.

16 Q. How much do you plan to bill the
17 attorney general's office for your time today?

18 A. \$400 an hour.

19 Q. Is that pursuant to some agreement that
20 you have with the attorney general's office?

21 A. Well, we really haven't even discussed
22 it, honestly. I guess I'll send them the bill, and
23 we'll see if they pay it.

24 Q. Fair enough.

25 Similarly, do you expect to be

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 compensated in any way to testify at trial?

2 A. I would assume the same arrangement.

3 Q. By the attorney general's office, as
4 well?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. All right. Taking a step back and just
7 talking about your background a little bit, can you
8 please state your date of birth?

9 A. 5-5-57.

10 Q. What's your address?

11 A. 33267 River Road, Orange Beach, Alabama,
12 36561.

13 Q. Is that your full-time address now here
14 in Alabama?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. You previously lived in Virginia; is
17 that correct?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. When did you make that move?

20 A. I bought this property about five years
21 ago. But I really technically moved probably about
22 three years ago.

23 Q. Do you have a telephone number?

24 A. Just my cell phone.

25 Q. What's that number?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. (703) 598-8383.

2 Q. Do you have an email account?

3 A. I do.

4 Q. What is that?

5 A. Sharhl@comcast.net.

6 Q. Do you have any other email addresses?

7 A. I do not.

8 Q. Have you ever been involved in any other
9 lawsuits?

10 A. No. I mean, not as a witness or -- no.

11 Q. What's the highest level of education
12 you've completed?

13 A. I attended Cornell University.

14 Q. Was that for undergraduate?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you graduate?

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. What did you study at Cornell?

19 A. Political science. Really they called
20 it government.

21 MR. WALKER: Called it what?

22 THE WITNESS: Government. Anywhere else
23 on earth, it would be political science.

24 Q. And if you don't mind me asking, you
25 said you did not graduate. Is there a reason why?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Yeah. In the middle of that, I was
2 offered a position with the Reagan campaign, which
3 was sort of my dream job to work for his
4 presidential race. So I left to take on that
5 responsibility for the national field director for
6 the Reagan Youth Campaign.

7 Q. How far along had you gotten in your
8 studies when you left?

9 A. Two years.

10 Q. Do you have any other -- excuse me. Do
11 you have any educational certificates or anything
12 like that?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Do you have any certain specializations
15 in anything?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Mr. Hinaman, what do you do for a
18 living?

19 A. I do political consulting and lobbying.

20 Q. Where do you work?

21 A. I work for my own company out of my
22 residence in Orange Beach.

23 Q. What's the name of that company?

24 A. R. Hinaman, LLC.

25 Q. And what is your -- do you have a formal

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 title within R. Hinaman, LLC?

2 A. I guess I would be the president of R.
3 Hinaman, LLC.

4 Q. Are there other employees of that
5 company?

6 A. There are not.

7 Q. If you can, explain to me briefly what
8 you do as a political consultant and lobbyist.

9 A. Sure. On the political consulting
10 front, I usually do -- I consult political
11 campaigns, usually on the federal level, mostly
12 congress, put together the campaign team for various
13 candidates to get elected to those offices.

14 On the lobbying side, which I'm doing
15 less and less and less of, I did lobbying on the
16 federal level for various companies and
17 organizations.

18
19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 was
20 marked for identification.)
21

22 Q. I think I can short-circuit our
23 discussion about your background a little bit here.
24 This is Exhibit 3.

25 MR. THOMPSON: I can get you a copy, as

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 well, Mr. Walker.

2 Q. And I'll state for the record that this
3 is a copy of your resume that was shown to you in a
4 prior deposition that you gave on June 25, 2013. I
5 believe this was PX3 in that deposition.

6 Do you recognize this document?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. Does this appear to be a true and
9 correct copy of your resume as of June 25, 2013?

10 A. It does.

11 Q. Is this resume up to date?

12 A. It is not.

13 Q. What has changed?

14 A. Well, technically, the name of my
15 company changed because I moved from Virginia to
16 Alabama. Obviously, my address has changed, again
17 because of moving. Obviously, I've had some
18 additional clients since 2013.

19 Q. Who have your additional clients been?

20 A. I was afraid you would ask me that.

21 Congressman Ben Cline, I did his
22 campaign to replace Bob Goodlatte who retired in
23 2018. Let's see. The American Dental Association
24 is on there.

25 That's the major one. I can't say there

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 wasn't another campaign in there.

2 Q. On here, it says that your company name
3 is Hinaman & Company, Inc. Did that change at some
4 point?

5 A. Yeah, when I moved. That was an LLC in
6 Virginia. And when I moved to Alabama, I formed a
7 new LLC.

8 Q. And when was that?

9 A. Again, approximately about three years
10 ago.

11 Q. Does a more current version of your
12 resume exist anywhere?

13 A. Yeah, I'm sure it does.

14 Q. Is that something that you could produce
15 in this case if you were asked to?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What experience do you have working with
18 redistricting?

19 A. Obviously, I drew three of the four maps
20 for Alabama ten years ago, 2011, 2012. I drew the
21 congressional maps and the two legislative maps. I
22 also worked for the republican congressmen in
23 Virginia to draw their map in 2012.

24 And before that, I worked with
25 Congressman Callahan, who was my -- I was his chief

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 of staff at one point and then his consultant in
2 Alabama, and helped draw a map in 1992 which was
3 then put into practice by a federal court.

4 Q. Anything beyond that?

5 A. No. I mean, I assisted the majority
6 leader of the Virginia senate in some of his efforts
7 on redistricting ten years ago. Actually, it was
8 more like 20 years ago. But I wasn't really the
9 lead on it. I was just assisting his office.

10 Q. Outside of Alabama and Virginia, have
11 you ever worked in redistricting for any other
12 states?

13 A. I have not.

14 Q. How did you get involved in drawing maps
15 originally?

16 A. Well, my first effort, I guess, was way
17 back in 1992 when the legislature failed to draw a
18 map for congress in Alabama. I was working for
19 Congressman Callahan. And with him and some of the
20 other members of the delegation, we decided that we
21 needed to file a lawsuit to remedy that situation.
22 And so I helped produce a map that was filed with
23 that lawsuit. That was my first endeavor.

24 Q. Had you ever drawn a map before then?

25 A. I had not.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. So how did they come about saying,
2 "Randy, we want you to draw this map"?

3 A. I guess we drew straws and I lost.

4 Q. Fair enough.

5

6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 was
7 marked for identification.)

8

9 Q. I'm going to hand you another exhibit
10 here. This is being marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit
11 4. This is also from the ALBC versus Alabama
12 lawsuit. This is a declaration that was signed by
13 you.

14 And you can see at the top there,
15 there's a date that says this was filed on June 17,
16 2013, in the Alabama Legislative Black Caucus for
17 the State of Alabama lawsuit. Do you see that?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. Do you recognize this document?

20 A. Not particularly.

21 Q. If you can, flip to Page 7. Do you see
22 there's a signature?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And your name?

25 A. Yes.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Does that appear to be your signature?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Does this appear to be a true and
4 correct copy of your declaration?

5 A. Again, it doesn't ring a bell. But I
6 have no reason to believe it isn't.

7 Q. Take a look at paragraph two. It
8 states, "I have substantial experience in drafting
9 redistricting plans in Alabama, including drawing
10 the congressional plan adopted by the three-judge
11 federal district court in Mobile in 1992 and work on
12 the 2011 congressional plan." Excuse me. "And work
13 on the 2001 congressional plan. In 2011, I
14 developed the redistricting plan for the Alabama
15 congressional delegation. In that work, I worked
16 within the guidelines for redistricting adopted by
17 the reapportionment committee."

18 Do you see that?

19 A. I do.

20 Q. Is that an accurate description of your
21 experience in drafting redistricting plans in
22 Alabama?

23 A. It is. I mean, I don't know what that
24 -- the sentence on 2001, I did not draft the 2001
25 plans. But I did work with the leaders in the

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 legislature who did draft those plans. I didn't
2 want it to imply that I drew those maps. I don't
3 know that it does imply that.

4 Q. Okay. Well, let's go to the first part
5 there where you said that you -- your experience did
6 include drawing the congressional plan adopted in
7 1992. Does that mean that you did draw that map?

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. Is that the map that was used for the
10 Alabama congressional elections in the '90s?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. Did that map serve as the starting
13 point, then, for the congressional map that was
14 drafted for 2001?

15 A. I didn't draw that map.

16 Q. You said you worked on drawing that map.
17 What does that mean?

18 A. The legislature at that time was
19 controlled by the democrats, and I was representing
20 some republican Congressman in just interacting with
21 them. But they -- they drew the map. I was just
22 trying to give our point of view to it.

23 Q. Are you familiar at all with how that
24 map was drawn in 2001?

25 A. Vaguely, but not -- not the specifics of

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 it.

2 Q. What's your understanding?

3 A. Well, it was essentially a continuation
4 of the 1992 map, just updated for the most part for
5 population shift.

6 Q. And you said you were working with the
7 republican legislators?

8 A. I was working with Congressman Callahan
9 at that point.

10 Q. Did you have any role whatsoever in
11 drawing that map in 2001?

12 A. I had no official role other than I was
13 working with the leaders -- the democratic leaders
14 who were working on that map. I would occasionally,
15 you know, talk to them about the changes that were
16 made, and for especially Congressman Callahan's
17 district. But I didn't -- I didn't have control of
18 the process, if that makes any sense.

19 Q. Do you know who did draw the map?

20 A. Senator Enfinger, I believe.

21 Q. Did he --

22 A. Well, that's who the -- he was the -- I
23 don't know who he hired. That's who I interfaced
24 with. Let's put it that way.

25 Q. Understood. That was going to be my

1 next question.

2 You said you spoke to several members of
3 the legislature. Do you remember who you spoke to?

4 A. In 2001?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. My primary -- my primary interface on
7 that map was Senator Enfinger.

8 Q. When you spoke with Senator Enfinger,
9 did you provide any sort of input or recommendations
10 about how the map should be drawn?

11 A. Only as to how -- he had a draft, I
12 believe, and was talking about the changes he wanted
13 to make in various districts. And my primary focus
14 was the first district because I was working for
15 Congressman Callahan.

16 So he had come with some suggestions,
17 and we just talked about those. They were not -- I
18 don't think I had any tremendously substantive
19 changes to recommend. So I think it was pretty much
20 what he had drawn, we were comfortable with.

21 Q. Did you provide any other sort of
22 feedback in drawing the 2001 congressional map
23 beyond what you just mentioned with District 1?

24 A. I did not.

25 Q. Do you know if it was a goal in the 2001

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 congressional map to make sure that District 7
2 remained a majority black district?

3 A. I do not.

4 Q. Do you know if it was considered in 2001
5 to draw two majority black districts?

6 A. I do not, no.

7 Q. Let's go back to the 1992 congressional
8 map. Because you said you did draw that one,
9 correct?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. The 1992 congressional map created the
12 first majority black congressional district in
13 Alabama history; is that correct?

14 A. I believe so, yes.

15 Q. And you said you drafted that map?

16 A. I did.

17 Q. So you drafted District 7 as it stood in
18 1992?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. Who asked you to draw that map?

21 A. I was working for Congressman Callahan
22 and some of the other members of the Alabama
23 delegation.

24 Q. Did you work with Senator Larry Dixon in
25 drafting the map?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Probably, yes.

2 I will point out that this was 30 years
3 ago. So if you ask me a specific question, it's
4 probably going to be hard for me to answer.

5 Q. Understood.

6 Do you remember any other legislators
7 that you worked with directly in drafting the 1992
8 map?

9 A. I do not. As you know, the legislature
10 did not ultimately pass a map. So we went -- it was
11 a court action that imposed this map.

12 Q. Were you asked to create a majority
13 black district in drawing the 1992 map?

14 A. I guess -- I guess I was, yeah.

15 Q. Who asked you to do that?

16 A. I think the -- well, Congressman
17 Callahan and the delegation probably in concert with
18 the NRCC.

19 Q. Do you know why you were asked to do
20 that?

21 A. At the time, I believe they thought that
22 was the proper thing to do under the Voting Rights
23 Act.

24 Q. Did you receive any instructions from
25 the court?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. Did you draw District 7 with the intent
3 to make it a majority black district?

4 A. I did.

5 Q. How did you make sure that District 7
6 would have a majority black voting age population?

7 A. I just included areas of high
8 concentration of African American voters.

9 Q. How did you do that?

10 A. By assigning counties and precincts that
11 fit that definition.

12 Q. Did you have a particular percentage of
13 black voters that you were shooting for?

14 A. I did not.

15 Q. How did you go about choosing District 7
16 to be the district that has the majority black
17 voting age population?

18 A. I don't -- I mean, I think it was a
19 function of geography, I mean, where areas with
20 concentration of black voters were.

21 Q. And how did you gather that information?

22 A. Census data.

23 Q. What specifically?

24 A. Just the census data from the -- related
25 to population and race.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. So when you were drawing it, you were
2 able to pull up and see black voters, white voters
3 in different areas?

4 A. Yes.

5 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

6 Q. How did you see that information when
7 you were drawing the map in 1992?

8 A. I'm not sure I understand your question.

9 Q. Did you use a software to draw the map
10 in 1992?

11 A. As I remember -- again, it was 30 years
12 ago -- I believe I used the computers at the Alabama
13 reapportionment office to draw the map. So I don't
14 know what their software was, to be honest with you.

15 Q. What specific racial data did you have
16 in front of you when you were drawing that map?

17 A. I would have total pop, total African --
18 total black, and voting age data.

19 Q. Was that broken down by county,
20 precinct, neighborhood, block?

21 A. County, precinct, block, yes. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And I realize it was 30 years ago. How
23 did you go about drawing District 7 in 1992?

24 A. Again, it was 30 years ago. I don't
25 remember the machinations that went into drawing the

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 map.

2 Q. Did you have in your mind a certain
3 black voting age population that you were shooting
4 for?

5 A. No.

6 Q. So you just drew general lines and you
7 found that it came to a certain percentage of black
8 voting age population, and you thought that was
9 good?

10 A. Obviously, I was -- I had in my mind
11 that we wanted it to be majority black district.
12 But in terms of above 50 percent, I didn't have a
13 specific number in mind.

14 Q. Did you take into account any other
15 characteristics of the black voting age population
16 that you were looking at when you drew that map in
17 1992?

18 A. Such as?

19 Q. For instance, did you look at any
20 socioeconomic factors?

21 A. I did not.

22 Q. Did you look at attitudes?

23 A. I did not.

24 Q. Interests?

25 A. (Witness shakes head).

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Type of employment?

2 A. I did not.

3 Q. Income?

4 A. I did not.

5 Q. Educational level?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Voter turnout?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Election results to assess party
10 affiliation amongst the black voting age population?

11 A. No, I don't believe so.

12 Q. When you drew District 7 in 1992, did
13 you determine that to be a community of interest?

14 A. Yeah. Well, I think it included most of
15 the black belt. I would say they had a community of
16 interest along -- yeah. So yes.

17 Q. And what was the basis for that
18 determination?

19 A. Well, geography and like demographics.

20 Q. And race?

21 A. And race.

22 Q. Was race the main factor you considered
23 in drawing District 7?

24 A. It was a major factor.

25 Q. Was there a more predominant factor than

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 race?

2 A. Other than geography and deviation.

3 Those would be the top -- obviously, things had to
4 be contiguous.

5 Q. If District 7 did not have a majority
6 black population, would it have passed?

7 A. Passed what?

8 Q. Would it have been approved?

9 A. You're asking me to question what three
10 federal judges would approve?

11 Q. You were asked to draw a map that had a
12 majority black district, correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. If you had turned in a map that did not
15 have a majority black district, would you have done
16 what you were asked to do?

17 A. You mean turned into Congressman
18 Callahan?

19 Q. Correct.

20 A. No. I think our goal was to draw a
21 majority black district.

22 Q. Why did you draw only one majority black
23 district?

24 A. That was our -- that was our goal, to
25 draw a district.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Your goal was to draw only one district?

2 A. Well, I'm not sure at that -- I don't
3 remember the numbers exactly. I'm not sure -- I'm
4 not sure whether it would have been possible to draw
5 two or not. I don't know that it would have.

6 Q. Did you consider drawing two majority
7 black districts?

8 A. I did not.

9 Q. Did anyone suggest to you to draw that?

10 A. They did not.

11 Q. Did you review or comment on any other
12 maps that contained two majority black districts at
13 the time?

14 A. I don't --

15 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

16 A. I don't remember seeing any majority two
17 district maps.

18 Q. Did you consider race in drawing any of
19 the other districts in 1992?

20 A. I did not. I mean, other than -- I did
21 not, no.

22 Q. Skipping ahead to the 2011 congressional
23 map. You also drew that map, correct?

24 A. Yes. But may I go back just one?

25 Q. Sure.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Obviously, we drew this map -- I drew
2 this map, and it was submitted in a lawsuit. I had
3 no idea what would happen to it from there. So it's
4 not like I -- you know, I didn't know whether the
5 judges would change it or what would happen.

6 Q. That's a good point. Did the judges
7 change it after you submitted it?

8 A. I don't -- no, I don't believe they did.
9 Sorry. Go ahead.

10 Q. So you stated that you also drew the
11 2011 congressional map, correct?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. That one is a little bit more recent,
14 ten years ago. Do you recall the general method
15 that you used in drawing that map?

16 A. Yeah. I mean, essentially it was
17 updating the 2001 map based on demographic changes
18 that had happened over the last ten years and
19 working with the -- all of the -- I was hired by all
20 of the members to update the map and submit a --
21 submit a map to the legislature for approval.

22 Q. So correct me if I'm wrong. But
23 generally when you're drawing these maps, it's more
24 of a redrawing than a drawing from scratch. Is that
25 fair to say?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. That is fair to say.

2 Q. So the general process is that you will
3 use the existing map from the prior census data and
4 update it with the new census data, correct?

5 A. That's correct. And obviously, whether
6 it's a congressional map or any other maps, you have
7 officeholders who have an interest in, for the most
8 part, keeping the voters that they've had for the
9 last ten years. So, most of them would not go into
10 a redistricting process looking for wholesale
11 change.

12 Q. So the 2021 map, for instance, can be
13 traced back to the 2011 map, the 2001 map, and the
14 1992 map in that order, correct?

15 A. Yeah. Preserving cores of existing
16 districts was a guideline for the 2021 map.

17 Q. For instance, the 2001 map used the 1992
18 map as a starting point, true?

19 A. I didn't draw that map.

20 Q. Do you have any other understanding of
21 how that map was drawn?

22 A. I mean, if you look at it, it looks like
23 it was continuing that map, yes. But I didn't --
24 the democratic legislature drew that map.

25 Q. Is it a fair assumption to say that they

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 probably used the 1992 map in drawing the 2001 map?

2 A. That's an -- a fair assumption, I guess.

3 Q. And the 2011 map then that you drew used
4 the 2001 map as its starting point?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. And then the 2021 map that you drew used
7 the 2011 map as its starting point?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. In drawing the 2011 congressional map,
10 did you speak to members of congress?

11 A. I spoke to all of them, yes, sir.

12 Q. All seven of the incumbents?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And what did you speak to them about?

15 A. We're talking about 2011?

16 Q. Correct.

17 A. I spoke to them about the over and under
18 nature of their districts, whether they needed to
19 gain population or lose population. And based on
20 that, where they would like to gain or where they
21 would like to -- where they would be -- you know,
22 like to lose.

23 And I tried to work with adjacent
24 districts to make sure that if person X wanted to
25 give up this county, that the other person would be

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 amenable to taking it. So I tried to negotiate a
2 map that everybody was happy with.

3 Q. Did you consult the state's
4 redistricting criteria in drawing that map?

5 A. I did.

6 Q. Did you review election returns in
7 drawing that map?

8 A. They were part of it, yes.

9 Q. What data did you have on that?

10 A. I don't remember if all their races were
11 in there. But I had the latest last three or four
12 state-wide races that were available.

13 Q. And how did you use that information?

14 A. I didn't use it all that much. It was a
15 common -- you know, a common question from a member
16 might be, you know, what did the governor get in my
17 district? And if we make this change -- or what did
18 whomever ran for president in the race before that,
19 whoever that was.

20 But I didn't use it so much in drawing
21 the map. It was more of confirming to them that
22 their district was going to perform similarly to how
23 the previous district had performed electorally.

24 Q. Did that data give you information on
25 party affiliation?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I don't believe so. I think it was just
2 election returns.

3 Q. Was that aggregate election returns? Or
4 was that by individual counties or precincts? Does
5 that make sense?

6 A. Yeah. It was precinct-based. But then
7 it was aggregate for counties and then for the
8 districts.

9 Q. You can look at all of that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Understood.

12 Did you look at any racial polarization
13 data in drawing the 2011 map?

14 A. I did not.

15 Q. Did you look at any other voter behavior
16 data?

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. Was it a goal in drafting the 2011
19 congressional map to make sure that District 7
20 remained a majority black district?

21 (Zoom interruption.)

22 A. What is that?

23 Q. It sounds like we might have a singer.

24 MR. TURRILL: Someone is off on mute on
25 the line there.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. I think we're good now.

2 A. Can you ask -- I'm sorry. Can you ask
3 that again?

4 Q. No problem.

5 Was it a goal in drafting the 2011
6 congressional map to make sure that District 7
7 remained a majority black district?

8 A. Yeah. Obviously, Congresswoman Sewell
9 was one of my -- one of my clients for that map.
10 And she wanted to maintain her majority black
11 district, yes.

12 Q. When you say that she was one of your
13 clients, what do you mean?

14 A. She was one of the members of congress
15 who paid me to draw the map.

16 Q. Did you have a contract with those
17 members of congress?

18 A. Verbally.

19 Q. You didn't have a written contract?

20 A. No.

21 Q. What was the verbal contract?

22 A. That they would all put in \$10,000 to
23 draw -- each to draw -- pay me to draw this map.

24 Q. That each individual congressman or
25 woman would put in \$10,000?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Their campaigns, yes.

2 Q. Was that the extent of the verbal
3 agreement?

4 A. It was.

5 Q. Was it a goal in drafting that 2011
6 congressional map to make sure that District 7 kept
7 a 60 percent black voting age population?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Was there any sort of specific black
10 voting age population percentage that you were
11 shooting for?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Were you successful in making sure that
14 District 7 remained a majority black district?

15 A. We were.

16 Q. How did you make sure of that?

17 A. By whatever -- you know, whatever -- and
18 I don't even remember the various counties ten years
19 ago. If you handed me a map, I could probably tell
20 you.

21 But by what we added county and
22 precinct-wise to make sure it did not dramatically
23 alter the makeup of the district.

24 Q. Explain that to me a little bit further.
25 So what changes were you making in 2011?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Again, I don't even know how much -- I'm
2 going to hazard a guess that District 7 was
3 underpopulated in 2011. I don't remember the exact
4 numbers. It was ten years ago.

5 But I'm going to guess that it was
6 underpopulated. And so then the discussion with
7 Congresswoman Sewell would be, you know, where --
8 what areas would we add to your district to get your
9 district to ideal population.

10 And, obviously, in looking at those
11 areas, we, you know, wanted to make sure that we
12 preserved the majority black district.

13 Q. I know some of this was discussed in
14 your deposition eight years ago. So I'll try not to
15 tread the same water too much.

16 But explain to me just a little bit
17 about the process when you were drawing the 2011
18 congressional map. So did you start with District
19 7?

20 A. I probably did start with District 7. I
21 don't really remember, to be honest with you. I
22 mean, I -- you know, I was meeting -- I met with the
23 entire delegation to start. And then we went from
24 there.

25 But preserving Congresswoman Sewell's

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 majority black district was a priority for the
2 delegation.

3 Q. And that was the priority for you, as
4 well?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you remember generally what sort of
7 changes you made to District 7 in 2011?

8 A. I really don't. I mean, I apologize.
9 But I did so many maps and plans in the last ten
10 years that I don't.

11 Q. What other maps and plans have you done
12 in the last ten years?

13 A. Well, we just did four in the last
14 couple of months.

15 Q. Anything else?

16 A. Those are the ones that are mostly stuck
17 in my brain.

18 Q. Are there any others?

19 A. No.

20 MR. WALKER: What was the question
21 again?

22 MR. THOMPSON: He said there were so
23 many maps that he had drawn in the last ten years.
24 And I asked him which ones, and he said just the
25 four that he just did.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Well, "drawn" is -- we could find the
2 exact number. But I think in this last legislative
3 session, there were something like 41 various maps
4 and plans that were submitted to the legislature.
5 So while I certainly didn't draw most of those, I
6 did look at them.

7 So to ask me to go back ten years, it's
8 hard to -- when you have some 41 pieces of 41 maps
9 in your head, it's hard to expand back ten years.

10 Q. So you reviewed all 41 maps that were
11 submitted?

12 A. I didn't review them all, but I looked
13 at most of them.

14 Q. What's the difference between looking at
15 them and reviewing them?

16 A. Well, reviewing them would take more
17 time. Looking at them would be, okay, this is a --
18 this is a house map or a senate map or whatever. I
19 just looked at the cover sheet and maybe the overall
20 numbers, but didn't review -- didn't -- some of them
21 were never offered, obviously. So if they weren't
22 offered, I didn't look at them more seriously than
23 that.

24 Q. Did you review all of the maps that were
25 offered?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I looked at --

2 MR. WALKER: And you're talking about --

3 Q. We're talking about 2021 now. Did you
4 review all the maps that were offered in the
5 legislature in 2021?

6 A. Yes, I tried to. Some of -- some of
7 that may have been a very short review because some
8 of those maps were literally submitted 24 hours
9 before they were offered either on the floor or at
10 committee. So it's not like it was a long review.

11 Q. One more question going back to the 2011
12 congressional map. Did you consider race -- excuse
13 me. A couple more questions, to be fair.

14 Did you consider race in drawing any of
15 the other districts other than District 7 in 2011?

16 MR. WALKER: Congressional.

17 Q. The congressional map in 2011.

18 A. Not specifically. I mean, I'm not sure
19 I know what "consider" means. But, obviously, all
20 that information was available on each district.
21 But --

22 Q. Did you review the racial data for each
23 district when you were drawing the 2011
24 congressional map?

25 A. As a matter of course, yeah. I mean,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 it's all there.

2 Q. Explain that.

3 A. Well, when you finish -- when you draw a
4 map, obviously, you've got seven districts. And
5 you're going to have -- if you look at the, you
6 know, top data for each district, it's going to have
7 race and voting age, black, so forth and so on for
8 each district. It's not like it just only comes up
9 on the majority black district. It would come up on
10 all of them, obviously.

11 Q. Did you review that data for each
12 district?

13 A. I looked at it.

14 Q. What did that data tell you?

15 A. Nothing specifically.

16 Q. Did you do anything with that data?

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. Did you consider drawing two majority
19 black districts when you drew the 2011 congressional
20 map?

21 A. I really did not.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. Well, primarily because the people who
24 were paying me to draw these maps preferred the
25 districts similar to how they were.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Did the people that were paying you to
2 draw the map prefer not to have a second majority
3 black district?

4 A. I don't know about that. But they
5 preferred to have their districts as close to what
6 they had under that map going forward.

7 Q. Did you discuss with anyone the
8 possibility of creating a second majority black
9 district?

10 A. I don't believe so.

11 Q. Were you aware of requests in the
12 legislature in 2011 to create a second majority
13 black district?

14 A. Again, I don't have a -- I don't have a
15 complete recollection of ten years ago what maps
16 were offered or not offered on the -- I don't want
17 to guess on what was offered and what wasn't
18 offered.

19 Q. Do you know if it would have been
20 possible to create a second majority black district
21 in 2011?

22 MR. DAVIS: Object to the form.

23 MR. WALKER: Objection. Go ahead.

24 A. I did not do it. So I -- I don't have
25 an opinion on whether it was possible.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. To be clear for the timeline, I'm moving
2 ahead now to 2021 for the most recent maps that were
3 drawn.

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. And I'm going to refer now to the 2021
6 congressional map. When I refer to that, I mean the
7 one that was enacted. It was also referred to, I
8 believe, as HB-1 and then ultimately Act 2021-555.
9 Is that fair?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. And I'll refer to that either as the
12 2021 map or the 2021 congressional map. Is that
13 okay?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. When were you first approached about
16 drawing the 2021 congressional map?

17 A. That probably would have been the end --
18 sometime in September or October of 2020.

19 Q. Of 2020 or 2021?

20 A. 2020. About a year out, I would say.

21 Q. Who approached you?

22 A. Senator McClendon and Representative
23 Pringle on behalf of the republican leadership.

24 Q. What were you asked to do?

25 A. They asked me if I would be interested

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 in drawing all four maps that they -- the
2 congressional, as well as the other maps that needed
3 to be drawn in this session.

4 Q. And those four would be the
5 congressional, the house and senate for the state
6 legislature, and the board of education?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Did you agree to draw all four?

9 A. I did.

10 Q. When were you officially retained?

11 A. Around that time, I would think. Like
12 maybe October of 2020.

13 Q. And who officially retained you?

14 A. Well, I was working for the two chairs
15 of the -- the house chair, Representative Pringle,
16 and the senate chair, Senator McClendon.

17 Q. Did you sign a contract?

18 A. I did.

19 Q. When did you sign that contract?

20 A. Again, I don't have that in front of me.
21 But September or October of 2020, I would imagine.

22 Q. Is the contract with you individually,
23 or is it with your company?

24 A. It was with R. Hinaman, yes.

25 Q. And who is the other party that you

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 contracted with?

2 A. Citizens for Fair -- Citizens for Fair
3 Representation. Or maybe Alabamians for Fair
4 Representation.

5 Q. Do you recall which one it is?

6 A. Not off the top of my head.

7 Q. Who is Citizens for Fair Representation
8 or Alabamians or Fair Representation? Whichever the
9 name is, who is that group?

10 A. It's a 501(c)(4) which also paid me to
11 do the map drawing that I did in 2011.

12 Q. And what's your understanding of why you
13 were contracted by this particular group?

14 A. Meaning?

15 Q. As opposed to the State of Alabama, the
16 legislature, anyone else. Why this 501(c)(4)
17 organization?

18 A. The leadership had set up that (c)(4)
19 for the purpose of drawing districts in 2020 -- 2011
20 and then continued it for 2021.

21 Q. So this 501(c)(4) organization was
22 created for the purpose of drawing the redistricting
23 in the state of Alabama?

24 A. In 2011, that's my understanding, yes.

25 Q. Do you know if that organization does

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 anything else?

2 A. I do not.

3 Q. The contract that you signed around
4 September, October of 2020, did you draft that
5 contract?

6 A. I did.

7 Q. What does the contract call for you to
8 do?

9 A. It calls for me to work with the two
10 chairs and the leadership of the house and the
11 senate to draw four maps, congressional, state
12 senate, state house, and state board of education.
13 And to the extent practical and possible, meet with
14 the officeholders for those four maps to get their
15 interest in changes and so forth.

16 Q. In that last part, you said "to meet
17 with the officeholders"?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Is that basically the incumbents for
20 each of the various districts on each of those maps?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Do you have a copy of that contract?

23 A. Not with me. But yes, I do.

24 Q. Is that something that you could produce
25 if you were requested in this case?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What were the terms of your compensation
3 in that contract?

4 A. Four payments spaced out over various
5 months, four payments of \$50,000 spaced out over the
6 length of the contract.

7 I believe when we actually signed the
8 contract back in September or October, we were
9 hoping or planning to do a special session in July.
10 So we didn't at that time know that COVID was going
11 to delay the census numbers and so forth and so on.

12 So when I started the process at the end
13 of 2020, the theory was we would, you know, probably
14 have a special session in June or July sometime to
15 pass these maps.

16 Q. You said you started the process around
17 the end of 2020. What do you --

18 A. Well, when I signed the contract.

19 Q. You also said that there was -- the
20 contract called for four payments of \$50,000. Is
21 that four separate payments of 50,000 each, for a
22 total of --

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. -- 200,000?

25 A. Yes, sir.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Have you been fully paid at this point?

2 A. I have.

3 Q. Was any part of your compensation
4 contingent on anything?

5 A. No. However, the -- just to be clear on
6 the payment, because the time frame of the project
7 changed -- I mean, when we initially signed the
8 contract, the theory was, again, we would have the
9 census data in March and we would pass a plan in
10 July. Obviously, that didn't happen.

11 So my timeline for when I was supposed
12 to get those four payments I modified so that they
13 didn't have to pay me before I had actually even had
14 census data. So we changed the timeline. But yes.

15 Q. Were you able to do any work on the maps
16 before you got the census data?

17 A. Yeah. We -- especially the state-wide
18 ones such as congress and state board of education.
19 We had to -- we had the estimates, county estimates,
20 from the census bureau. I guess it would have been
21 the 2019 numbers.

22 So it was possible to look at them and
23 say, okay, this district is likely to be under, this
24 district is likely to be over, which on the
25 congressional level allowed me to start meeting with

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 members before we had the official census data which
2 we didn't get until the end of August.

3 Q. So you didn't get the official census
4 data until the end of August. But you had
5 unofficial estimates from the census before then?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And when did you receive those
8 unofficial results?

9 A. I don't -- I don't know when the 2019
10 numbers were updated. But I'm going to say around
11 the end of -- somewhere around the end of 2020. But
12 I don't know that exactly.

13 Q. Did you begin working on the
14 congressional map before you received the official
15 census data?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. When did you begin working on that map?

18 A. In earnest probably in May of 2021.

19 Q. What do you mean "in earnest"?

20 A. Well, meeting with members and talking
21 substantively about potential changes.

22 Q. Before we get into the specifics of
23 that, just on your compensation real quick, were you
24 paid or retained by anyone else?

25 A. No. I mean, I assume you mean relative

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 to redistricting.

2 Q. Certainly. You've received other
3 payments --

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. -- for other --

6 A. Consulting.

7 Q. Correct.

8 So you stated that you began drawing the
9 2021 map in earnest in May of 2021. Did you do
10 anything else in preparation for drawing the maps
11 before that date?

12 A. No. I mean, I had conversations with
13 members of the congressional delegation. And as you
14 may -- may know, there was considerable
15 concerns/discussion about whether Alabama would have
16 seven members of congress or six.

17 And until we really knew the answer to
18 that -- which I think we were told by the census
19 bureau in April, sometime in April what the answer
20 to that question was -- there really wasn't much --
21 I didn't -- my position with the congressmen was it
22 would not make sense to work on a map until we knew
23 how many districts we were going to have.

24 Because, obviously, working on a
25 six-person map where somebody would be paired with

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 somebody was not going to be a lot of fun. And
2 there was no need to do that if we didn't ever have
3 to.

4 Q. Certainly. So the census bureau
5 informed --

6 A. All the states, I think, in April of how
7 many -- how many members of congress they would
8 have. And then that allowed me to set up meetings
9 and work off of the estimates of 2019 to talk about
10 whether your district was over or under and so
11 forth.

12 Q. And you began those meetings around May
13 of --

14 A. I went to DC with the goal to meet with
15 everybody in May, yes, sir.

16 Q. So you said you went to DC. So I assume
17 that you're referring to meetings with the
18 congressional members.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Did you meet with any other -- for
21 instance, did you meet with anybody in the Alabama
22 state legislature in the spring of 2021?

23 A. Well, I met with the two co-chairs to
24 talk about my plan to how to -- you know, how to
25 move forward on the congressional, that we would

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 wait until we knew how many districts the state
2 would have. And then I would go to Washington and
3 meet with the members and start formulating a plan
4 from there to hopefully reach some consensus on a
5 map.

6 Q. Before you received word from the census
7 bureau that there were going to be seven districts
8 in Alabama again, did you do anything else in
9 furtherance of drawing the 2021 congressional map?

10 A. I did not.

11 Q. When did you actually begin redrawing
12 the 2021 congressional map?

13 A. After my May round of meetings in
14 Washington.

15 Q. You say after then. Would that have
16 been in May? Or June, July?

17 A. I think the end of May, beginning --
18 again, this was all based on estimates. We did not
19 have the real census data. So I just -- I probably
20 roughed out a map sometime in May or June based off
21 of the estimates, knowing full well they were not
22 going to be completely accurate.

23 Q. From the time that you started drawing
24 the 2021 congressional map until it was completed,
25 about how much time did you spend in terms of hours

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 on drawing that map?

2 A. I have no idea. I guess I would make a
3 bad lawyer.

4 Q. Well, I don't want you to guess.

5 When was the map completed for the 2021
6 congressional?

7 A. Complete. When was I done with what I
8 was doing with it?

9 Q. Correct.

10 A. Probably the Friday before the week we
11 went into session. So whatever that -- October 23rd
12 or -- I'm making up that date. Whatever the Friday
13 before we went into session was.

14 Q. And you're referring to the special
15 session that was called in the fall of 2021?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Going back to how much time it took you
18 in terms of hours. Would you say that you spent
19 more than 100 hours drawing the congressional map in
20 2021?

21 A. Well, if you're including meetings and
22 discussions about it, yeah, probably.

23 Q. Would you say you spent more than 150
24 hours?

25 A. I don't know. I just -- I don't really

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 have a -- I didn't think of it in terms of hours.
2 My contract didn't -- my contract was just you were
3 going to draw these four maps. And whether it took
4 123 hours or 217 was irrelevant to what I was doing.

5 Q. Right. I'm just trying to get an idea
6 about how long it took you. I know there were
7 months involved.

8 But how much time you were actually
9 spending on this in that time frame, would you say
10 it took you more than 200 hours?

11 A. I have no way of even guessing that. I
12 really -- I apologize, but I don't.

13 Q. Were you doing other things work-wise
14 between May 2021 and -- when was the special
15 session? Was it in October?

16 A. October of 2021, yes.

17 Q. Between May 2021 and October 2021, were
18 you doing anything else work-wise other than drawing
19 these four maps?

20 A. Not very much because it was an
21 off-year, obviously. I had clients that I did
22 things for, obviously, in 2020, working up to the
23 November 2020 election. But -- and I still had an
24 ongoing relationship with some of -- a couple of my
25 clients. But there wasn't a lot of work that needed

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 to be done in the off-year.

2 Q. Were you working full 40-hour weeks
3 during that entire time?

4 A. By and large, yes.

5 Q. Did you take any trips or personal
6 vacation time during that time period?

7 A. Well, it was during COVID. So I didn't
8 travel a whole lot. But it was a crazy time, as you
9 all remember.

10 Q. Did you take any time off?

11 A. Sure.

12 Q. About how long did you take off?

13 A. I don't know. A couple of weeks.

14 Q. And in that -- you had mentioned that
15 you weren't able to begin redrawing the
16 congressional map before you received the census
17 estimates in April of 2021. Does that apply to all
18 --

19 A. Before I received how many districts we
20 had in April of 2021.

21 Q. Correct. Does that --

22 A. I think we had the census estimates
23 before that. I'm saying we just didn't know how
24 many districts there were.

25 Q. Fair enough. Thank you for the

1 clarification.

2 Does that apply to all four of the maps
3 that you were drawing?

4 A. No. That's obviously the -- the only
5 one that the census determined how many members
6 there would be would be -- was congress.

7 Q. Because you said you had unofficial
8 census data on, I guess, population prior to that?

9 A. By county, yes.

10 Q. And did you use that unofficial data for
11 the other maps?

12 A. I used it -- I used it to start working
13 with the state school board members.

14 It was less effective at the senate and
15 house levels, virtually useless at the house level
16 because it was mostly county data at the beginning.
17 And so most house districts are not made up of full
18 counties, obviously. So it was less valuable in
19 those maps and more valuable in the statewide maps.

20 Q. When did you begin drawing the state
21 house and senate maps in 2021?

22 A. I did not start on a house map until we
23 actually had all of our census data at the end of
24 August. I had roughed out a few of the rural senate
25 districts based on some of the estimates. But it

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 wasn't particularly effective.

2 So I would -- I would really say I
3 didn't seriously start drawing those maps until
4 August of 2021.

5 Q. And what about the board of education
6 map?

7 A. The board of education I was doing
8 simultaneously to congress because that was
9 obviously a statewide map. And the county numbers
10 were more usable in that type of map than they were
11 in a 105-member state house map.

12 Q. So you began drawing the board of
13 education map around --

14 A. The same times as congress.

15 Q. Which was around May of 2021?

16 A. Correct. I think I started meeting with
17 those members in May, as well.

18 Q. We've been going about an hour. Do you
19 want to take a break?

20 A. Sure.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record.
22 The time is 10:17 a.m.

23 (Recess was taken.)

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
25 record. The time is now 10:35 a.m.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Mr. Hinaman, when we left off, we were
2 talking about the preparation that you did starting
3 to get into the beginnings of drawing the 2021 map.

4 Prior to May 2021, did you anything in
5 furtherance of drawing the 2021 congressional map?

6 A. Other than reviewing the 2019 census
7 estimates by county, no.

8 Q. And what did you do when you were
9 reviewing the --

10 A. I was trying to get a feel for what
11 districts would be underpopulated and what districts
12 would be overpopulated based on those estimates.

13 And while the estimates in the end
14 didn't turn out to be obviously particularly close
15 to the actual numbers, in order -- they were -- they
16 were close in that they did predict the three
17 districts that would be under and the four districts
18 that would be over.

19 So it was helpful to pay attention to
20 that when I started to do my round of meetings with
21 the members of congress.

22 Q. Did you do anything else prior to May
23 2021 in furtherance of drawing the 2021
24 congressional map?

25 A. No. I mean, obviously, I -- at some

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 point in that time frame, the reapportionment
2 committee met and passed their guidelines.
3 Obviously, I reviewed those and how they would
4 impact the drawing of the maps. But that was --
5 that was about the May time frame, as well. It may
6 have been early May rather than later May.

7 Q. You met with members of congress in DC
8 in May of 2021, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Was that the first thing that you did
11 after the census data came out in 2021?

12 A. Well, the data --

13 Q. Let me take a step back there.

14 You said that prior to May 2021, the
15 only thing that you had done was review some of the
16 unofficial census data to get a feel for
17 underpopulation, overpopulation?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Then the census bureau announced around
20 April 2021 that there will be seven congressional
21 districts again in Alabama?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Was the next step that you did flying to
24 DC to meet with the congressional members?

25 A. Yes. And that was, again, after

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 guidelines had been passed in early May.

2 The only other thing in there, obviously
3 I had talked -- before we knew seven to six, I had
4 talked to, obviously, all of the offices, the
5 congressional offices, about what my -- what our
6 proposed timeline was going to be based on the fact
7 that the census data was delayed, and that hopefully
8 we would be able to set up a round of meetings in
9 May and then we would get our data in August or
10 whatever, and then we would fine tune it from there.

11 Q. So those were more of administrative
12 coordination discussions?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. You flew to DC, you said, in May of 2021
15 to meet with the congressional members. Did you
16 meet with each -- all seven congressional members?

17 A. I met with five in person, one by Zoom.
18 And one of the members declined to meet because they
19 were more interested in running for a different
20 office, I guess.

21 Q. Which member was that that declined to
22 meet?

23 A. Mo Brooks. I met with his chief of
24 staff, but I did not meet with Congressman Brooks
25 directly.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. You met with each of the other
2 congressional members?

3 A. Five in person and one by Zoom.

4 Q. Who was the one you met with by Zoom?

5 A. Congresswoman Sewell. She was back in
6 Alabama on a personal matter. So I met with her by
7 Zoom.

8 Q. Did you meet personally with Congressman
9 Sewell by Zoom?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And when was that?

12 A. During the May trip. Is that what
13 you're asking me?

14 Q. Correct. Because you went to DC to meet
15 with some of them.

16 A. Yes. And she was not in DC because of a
17 personal matter. So we did a Zoom call.

18 Q. You were in DC when you had the
19 Zoom call?

20 A. And she was in Birmingham, I believe.

21 Q. Was it just one call that you had with
22 Congressman Sewell?

23 A. During that trip, just one call.

24 Q. Have you had other meetings with
25 Congressman Sewell?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I've had other Zoom meetings with her.
2 Microsoft Teams, technically. But yes, Zoom
3 meetings.

4 Q. Have you had any in-person meetings with
5 Congressman Sewell?

6 A. No, I don't think I did this time. I
7 mean, as -- in-person meetings were rather
8 difficult. It was actually May when I went to --
9 the house office buildings were actually closed and
10 didn't allow visitors. So meeting anybody in person
11 was a bit challenging during that time.

12 I would have met with her in person on
13 that trip had she been in town. But she was not.
14 But the other members that I met with were all
15 off-campus, so to speak, because we couldn't go to
16 -- I couldn't go to their offices.

17 Q. As far as Congressman Brooks goes, you
18 said you met with somebody from his staff?

19 A. I met with his chief of staff, yes.

20 Q. And what did you discuss with these
21 representatives when you met with them in May of
22 2021?

23 A. I discussed the over and under nature of
24 their district. And if their district was
25 underpopulated based on the estimates, I said, you

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 know, "Where would you envision picking up
2 population?" If you were over populated, "What
3 areas of your district would you envision
4 potentially losing?"

5 Q. Did you discuss anything other than
6 population changes with them?

7 A. Population changes and potential
8 timelines and when we might get the real census
9 data.

10 Q. Anything else that you discussed with
11 them?

12 A. That was about it.

13 Q. What did you do next after meeting with
14 the representatives in May of 2021?

15 A. I took -- took back that information and
16 looked at it in terms of a map, and then waited for
17 the real census data to come to see where we really
18 were.

19 Q. You said you took back that information.
20 What sort of information did you get from these
21 meetings?

22 A. When somebody said if I need to lose
23 10,000, I would like to lose them in county X or
24 place Y or whatever.

25 Q. And so you said you took that

1 information. And then what did you do with it?

2 A. Tried to rough it out in an estimated
3 map, but again knowing that it was going to change
4 because the estimates were not going to be
5 completely accurate.

6 And, again, I didn't want to -- if there
7 was a conflict somewhere between some -- two members
8 wanted county X, I didn't really want to litigate
9 that until we had real numbers because it may become
10 irrelevant when it turns out that their district was
11 10,000 off of what the estimate said.

12 So I tried not to get into any
13 negotiations at that point.

14 Q. Were there some disputes in the
15 recommendations and requests that you received?

16 A. Minorly, yeah.

17 Q. Were there specific counties that more
18 than one representative wanted?

19 A. Yeah. I mean, for example, the 1st
20 District was going to be over. The 1st District was
21 going to be overpopulated, and it was going to have
22 to lose some. And the 1st District congressman
23 wanted to probably lose some to the 2nd in Monroe,
24 but the 2nd District congressman wanted to gain some
25 from the 1st in Escambia, just things like that.

1 They were not major.

2 But, again, it really wasn't worth the
3 point of negotiating it fully until we knew the real
4 numbers. Because as it turned out, it only ended up
5 being 739 people, and it wasn't particularly
6 important which county it was in the scheme of
7 717,000 voters or citizens in a district.

8 Q. You said you then took that information
9 from those meetings with the representatives and
10 roughed out a map. What does that mean?

11 A. It means I took the -- we had the
12 estimates on Maptitude at the state reapportionment
13 office. And I just roughed without -- I mean, I
14 didn't get anywhere close to zero deviation because
15 there was no point in it.

16 I just generally roughed out based on
17 what we had discussed in DC, knowing that it was all
18 going to change when we got the real numbers. But
19 just explored some of the potential.

20 Q. And to be clear, for somebody that
21 doesn't draw maps, what does "roughed out" mean?

22 A. Meaning assigned various counties to
23 districts just in an effort to get things closer to
24 the ideal population.

25 Q. Kind of playing with the numbers, just

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 kind of seeing what works as a preliminary
2 standpoint, I guess?

3 A. Yes. And just to be clear, that was all
4 on total population. Because I certainly didn't
5 have the ability or trust the internals of any of
6 those -- I mean, I wouldn't have trusted like BVAP
7 or anything else to the extent it wouldn't have made
8 any sense to look at it at that point.

9 Q. Did you have any data on the black
10 voting age population at that --

11 A. I don't know what the estimates had.
12 But I didn't even look at it because I knew it
13 wasn't going to be significant to what we were
14 doing.

15 Q. Did you do anything else before you
16 received the official census data in August of 2021?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Did you review any other materials in
19 that time frame before August 2021?

20 A. Obviously, I reviewed the guidelines and
21 had discussions with the two chairs of how we will
22 proceed once we get the data in terms of all the
23 maps.

24 Q. What were those discussions like?

25 A. Just mostly timing and how we would --

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 how we would go forward. And hopefully we could get
2 some consensus on the state school board members and
3 some consensus with the congressional members.

4 And, obviously, the house map I couldn't
5 do anything with until we got the real numbers. The
6 senate map I could do next to nothing with. I mean,
7 I could look at a few of the more rural districts
8 because they were whole counties. But once you got
9 into major metropolitan areas, I couldn't come up
10 with too many suggestions for that then.

11 Q. Other than Pringle and McClendon, did
12 you meet with any other members of the Alabama
13 legislature?

14 A. I don't believe so at that time.

15 Q. And "that time" being before August
16 2021, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Did you review any election returns in
19 that time frame?

20 A. I did not.

21 Q. Did you review any voter registration
22 info in that time frame?

23 A. I did not.

24 Q. Did you review any voter primary
25 participation data in that time frame?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. And then in August 2021, you received
3 the official census data, correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. What did you do once you received that
6 data?

7 A. Well, the State received it.

8 Q. And then ultimately it was passed on to
9 you, correct?

10 A. Well, it was -- I used the state
11 computer. So their -- that data was then given to
12 Maptitude. This is my understanding. I did not do
13 any of this.

14 That data was given to Maptitude, and
15 Maptitude turned it into their workable -- put it
16 into their program and sent it back to the State.
17 And the State loaded it into their computers, which
18 all took another week. And then I was able to
19 manipulate it on -- use it on a computer at that
20 point.

21 Q. So walk me through that. So Maptitude
22 is a software on a computer, correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. A map-drawing software?

25 A. Correct.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Is it the same software that you had
2 used previously in drawing maps?

3 A. I used it in 2011, yes, sir.

4 Q. Did you ever use it before then?

5 THE WITNESS: I used it in 2011. The
6 State used ESRI.

7 A. Excuse me?

8 Q. Did you use it before 2011?

9 A. I don't think so.

10 Q. And you were clarifying with Mr. Walker
11 that you used in 2011 --

12 A. Yeah. In 2011, I had a computer, and I
13 had Maptitude on it. The State used -- the State of
14 Alabama used a different software, I think, called
15 ESRI.

16 THE REPORTER: Called what?

17 A. ESRI.

18 Q. Can you spell that?

19 A. I don't know.

20 MR. WALKER: E-S-R-I, all capital
21 letters.

22 Q. And what is ESRI?

23 A. It's just a -- it's similar to Maptitude
24 software for using the census data.

25 Q. So in 2011, you drew the map using your

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 own computer and your own software?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Was that then imported into ESRI for the
4 State?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. The file types can be imported from one
7 to the other?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Then in 2021, you did not use your own
10 computer and software, correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. You used the State's computers and
13 software?

14 A. Entirely.

15 Q. Where was that physically?

16 A. In the reapportionment office at the
17 state house, Room 317.

18 Q. So any time that you wanted to actually
19 work on redrawing the map, you had to --

20 A. Physically be there.

21 Q. How often --

22 A. Sorry. I didn't mean to finish your
23 sentences.

24 Q. That's fine. And we're doing a pretty
25 decent job. But let's try to remember to let each

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 other finish so that the court reporter can type
2 everything down.

3 How often -- starting in August 2021,
4 how often would you go to the -- what did you say it
5 was? The reapportionment office?

6 A. Reapportionment office.

7 Q. How often would you go to the
8 reapportionment office after August 2021?

9 A. Once the -- once the material was loaded
10 into the computer, which was probably the last week
11 of August maybe, I was there once or twice a week
12 for the next week or so. And then after that, I was
13 there four or five days a week until we were through
14 the special session. I basically lived in
15 Montgomery. For all intents and purposes, I lived
16 in Montgomery for a couple of months.

17 Q. From, say, the beginning of September
18 through the end of October?

19 A. Yeah. Certainly Labor Day until the end
20 of October.

21 Q. Would you work on weekends, as well?

22 A. Rarely. I mean, once we got very close
23 to the session, yes. But not -- not normally.

24 Q. Of the four maps you were -- you were
25 working on all four maps in that time frame, right,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 starting in August 2021 through October 2021?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And all four maps, you were doing the
4 same process using the State's computers and using
5 Maptitude, correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Were there any of those maps that took a
8 significantly larger portion of your time to draw?

9 A. Well, obviously, including meetings with
10 members. 105 house members are significantly more
11 meetings than, you know, seven for congress and
12 eight for school board.

13 So, obviously, the house map probably
14 took a lot longer just in terms of meeting with 105
15 different -- I didn't meet with everybody. But the
16 vast majority of 105 people -- and sometimes more
17 than once -- took a lot longer than meeting with
18 seven congressmen, for example.

19 Q. In addition to meeting, I assume that
20 drawing 105 districts probably takes a lot more of
21 your time to do than just drawing seven. Is that
22 fair?

23 A. That's fair.

24 Q. If you had to put very rough percentages
25 on the amount of time you spent on the congressional

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 map versus the other ones, about how much of your
2 time would you say you spent?

3 A. Now you're -- now you're making me a
4 lawyer again. And I'm not good at this.

5 I really -- I don't really know how to
6 do that. I mean, you would be correct that the
7 majority -- I mean, I put more time into the house
8 map than I put into the state school board and the
9 congressional. But I really don't have a way to
10 quantify that.

11 Q. Did you put more time into the senate
12 map, as well?

13 A. Yeah. Obviously, it's 35 members versus
14 seven or eight. It just takes longer to do the
15 meetings and follow-ups and so forth.

16 Q. And the state school board --

17 A. Is eight members.

18 Q. Eight members. Did that take you about
19 the same amount of time to draw as the --

20 A. Yeah.

21 Q. Sorry. Let me make sure that I can
22 finish.

23 Did drawing the state school board map
24 take you about the same amount of time as it did for
25 drawing the congressional map, given that they have

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 about the same number of districts?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Going back to the software, this
4 Maptitude software, you said that it took about a
5 week for the census information to be uploaded; is
6 that correct?

7 A. Yeah, that's what I said.

8 Q. What does that mean?

9 A. Again, this was not part of my
10 responsibility. But the State got the data, as I
11 understood it, and gave it to Maptitude. Maptitude
12 translated it into their software and sent it back
13 to the State to be loaded on the State computer.

14 But, again, this is all my secondhand
15 knowledge of what was going on. I was not doing
16 this.

17 Q. From your perspective, once you arrived
18 around the end of August looking at Maptitude and
19 the software, you were able to see what information
20 has been uploaded, correct?

21 A. Well, once it's -- yeah. Once it's
22 uploaded, yes.

23 Q. What sort of information is -- was
24 available to you on the Maptitude software regarding
25 the districts?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Once it's all loaded in, I have, you
2 know, total population and voting age population and
3 race down to the block level.

4 Q. Is there any other information that's
5 available to you in Maptitude?

6 A. I don't believe so.

7 Q. Did you, yourself, upload any additional
8 information into Maptitude?

9 A. I did not.

10 Q. Did you review any other data in
11 preparing the maps?

12 A. I did not.

13 Q. Did you meet with anyone between August
14 2021 and the time that you submitted the maps before
15 the special session in furtherance of drawing the
16 2021 congressional map?

17 A. Well, I met with virtually all of the
18 officeholders.

19 Q. You met with each of the seven
20 congressional representatives again?

21 A. Oh, yeah. I had Zoom calls with -- with
22 them. And then -- are you talking just
23 congressional now, or all of it?

24 Q. Focusing on the 2021 congressional map.

25 A. Yes.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Who did you meet with to discuss the
2 drawing of the map between August 2021 and when you
3 submitted the map in the week before the special
4 session?

5 A. Once we had the real data, I went back
6 and had Zoom calls with all of the members of
7 congress or their -- or their chief of staff to talk
8 about what the differences were from the estimates
9 versus the actual census data and to reiterate, you
10 know, what we discussed in May, what was still
11 operable and what maybe needed to be slightly
12 revised based on what our thoughts were.

13 Then after those round of Zoom calls, I
14 went back and drew a proposed map. Which I then did
15 another round of calls, Zoom calls with, to look at
16 the final -- semifinal, final version, I guess.

17 Q. In those meetings, did you discuss
18 anything with the representatives other than changes
19 that needed to be made for population deviation?

20 A. No.

21 Q. How many meetings would you say you had
22 with each of the representatives in that time frame?

23 A. It varied. For example, Mo Brooks would
24 be zero because he again was not interested to
25 participate. Others took, you know, three, four,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 five phone calls. Some were one or two.

2 In the final end, Representative Palmer
3 decided not to do the final call. So I didn't have
4 a final call with him. But everybody else, I had at
5 least two, if not more.

6 Q. Were all of the meetings with the
7 representatives from August 2021 through the special
8 session by Zoom?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. When you had those meetings, would you
11 share your screen to be able to show what the map
12 looks like?

13 A. Exactly, yes.

14 Q. Did you discuss with each of the
15 representatives the map as a whole or just their
16 specific districts?

17 A. Their specific districts and an adjacent
18 district if there was some change there.

19 Q. You stated for the 2011 congressional
20 map that you were actually hired by the seven
21 congressional representatives, correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. That was not the case for 2021, correct?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Why not?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. That was not my -- the leadership
2 decided that they would, you know, hire me through
3 the 501(c)(4), which -- which is how they hired me
4 for legislative. I did the legislative maps in
5 2021, and I guess they preferred that model over the
6 other one. I don't know. That was their choice,
7 not mine.

8 Q. Did you receive any other instructions
9 or requests from the congressional representatives
10 other than changes to make to account for population
11 deviation?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Did you meet with any members of the
14 Alabama state legislature to discuss the 2021
15 congressional maps?

16 A. Just -- just the two co-chairs, two
17 chairs.

18 Q. And that's --

19 A. Senator McClendon and Representative
20 Pringle.

21 Q. What did you discuss with Senator
22 McClendon and Representative Pringle?

23 A. I would just update them on our progress
24 and discussions with various members. And to the
25 extent that there were conflicts like the one I

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 described between the 1st and the 2nd, I just
2 updated on that in case they were to receive a call
3 from somebody, they would know what was happening.

4 Q. In these meetings with Senator McClendon
5 and Representative Pringle, were you pretty much
6 just providing information to them?

7 A. Yeah, pretty much.

8 Q. Did you receive any feedback or
9 particular requests from them about how to draw the
10 map?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Beyond anything that you were told from
13 the congressional -- U.S. congressional
14 representatives, were you given any instructions or
15 requests about how to draw the 2021 congressional
16 map from anyone?

17 A. No.

18 Q. And how many times did you meet with
19 Representative Pringle and Senator McClendon in
20 preparation for drawing the 2021 congressional maps?

21 A. I don't -- I mean, this was during the
22 course in time when they were also in town doing
23 meetings with their colleagues. So maybe I updated
24 them every other week. It was rather -- I mean, it
25 wasn't a formally structured we meet every Tuesday

1 at 10:00 o'clock. It was just when they were both
2 there or singularly there, I would just give them a
3 quick update.

4 Q. Were these updates by phone or email or
5 in person?

6 A. Usually in person.

7 Q. Were there ever communications by email
8 with them?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Did you attend any of the public
11 hearings in preparation for the 2021 congressional
12 maps?

13 A. I didn't. They were happening
14 simultaneously with me being in Montgomery. And I
15 would occasionally walk in the room while they were
16 happening to talk to somebody else or whatever. But
17 I didn't officially attend them.

18 Q. There were a few that you walked into
19 the room while they were going, you said?

20 A. Well, they were being done in an
21 adjacent room, and I occasionally walked in. And I
22 would also occasionally -- either the co-chairs or
23 Dorman Walker or somebody would come back and update
24 me as to something somebody said if they thought it
25 was significant to my drawing.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Do you recall what any of those sort of
2 comments would have been?

3 A. Yeah. For example -- and this was
4 already in process, so it wasn't a tremendous shock.
5 But there were comments, for example, in the
6 Montgomery meeting that they didn't want to be split
7 into three districts as they were in 2001, that they
8 would prefer Montgomery not -- probably they
9 preferred it not to be split at all. But if it were
10 going to be split, to certainly not three ways and
11 have it be two, which was a feature of a map I was
12 already working on. But things like that.

13 Q. Do you remember any other specific
14 feedback that you received from the public hearings?

15 A. Just areas like the Shoals area wanted
16 to be kept as intact as possible. And people in
17 Madison and Morgan wanted to be -- they thought
18 there was obviously a lot of community of interest
19 between those areas in north Alabama. People in
20 Baldwin and Mobile wanted to be kept together.
21 There was a lot of community of interest between
22 those counties. Things like that.

23 Q. When you refer to "the Shoals area,"
24 you're referring to Muscle Shoals?

25 A. Yes.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Any other specific feedback that you
2 recall receiving from the public hearings?

3 A. Not on congressional. There was a lot
4 of feedback on state maps that we also talked about.

5 Q. And did you ever personally sit in on
6 any of these hearings or hear anything that was
7 being said personally?

8 A. I did for ten-minute snippets
9 occasionally when I was waiting to talk to somebody
10 in that room.

11 Q. Did you gather anything from the time
12 that you spent in the hearing personally?

13 A. Nothing other than observations that I
14 relayed to you a minute ago.

15 Q. You mentioned that Montgomery County,
16 the public hearings provided feedback that they
17 didn't want to be split. Do you remember why that
18 was?

19 A. I think -- I think both in Montgomery
20 County and most any county when you have split
21 counties or split precincts, there's confusion as to
22 who somebody's -- who their representative may be.

23 And it was a -- it was obviously a
24 guideline of the committees on all these maps to try
25 to split less precincts and less counties.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Do you know when Montgomery County was
2 originally split?

3 A. Originally split?

4 Q. Correct.

5 A. No. I mean -- no, I don't.

6 Q. The first map you drew was in 1992. Was
7 Montgomery County already split prior to that?

8 A. I have no idea. I'm sorry. I don't
9 even remember the map I drew, whether it was split,
10 to be honest with you.

11 Q. Did any of the information that you
12 received from the public hearings impact the way you
13 drew the 2021 congressional map?

14 A. No, other than things like I said, not
15 splitting Montgomery three ways, putting as much of
16 the Shoals area together, keeping Mobile and Baldwin
17 together, keeping Madison and Morgan together.

18 Q. Was that something that you specifically
19 made changes to your map to accommodate?

20 A. No. Most of those features were already
21 happening. It just -- I kept it in mind. For
22 example, when -- we eventually had to split
23 Lauderdale County between 5 and 4. And when we were
24 doing that, I was trying to keep Florence and Muscle
25 Shoals together as much as possible when we were

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 doing that split. So yes, it was in my mind when we
2 were, for example, doing that split.

3 Q. Other than the accommodations for the
4 Lauderdale, Muscle Shoals area, did any of the
5 public feedback that you received from the public
6 hearings tangibly impact a change that you made on
7 the map?

8 A. Not so much a change. But it did -- it
9 did confirm that our theory of putting -- not
10 splitting Montgomery three ways was a worthy goal.
11 And I worked to get Congressmen Rogers to agree to
12 come out of Montgomery County because he was
13 partially in Montgomery County.

14 Q. Since we're talking about it, this may
15 help a bit.

16

17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 was
18 marked for identification.)

19

20 Q. I'm handing you Exhibit 5. I don't want
21 this to be a memory test for you. So this is a copy
22 of the 2021 --

23 A. I've had enough -- I've had enough of
24 those already.

25 Q. This is a copy of the 2021 congressional

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 map. Do you recognize this?

2 A. I do.

3 Q. Does this appear to be a true and
4 correct of the 2021 congressional map?

5 A. It does.

6 Q. We were talking about Montgomery County
7 here not wanting to be split.

8 A. Three ways, yes.

9
10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 was
11 marked for identification.)
12

13 Q. I'm also going to hand you what's being
14 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for your reference.
15 This is a copy of the 2011 congressional map.

16 So looking at Montgomery County, it
17 looks like in -- well, first off, Plaintiff's
18 Exhibit 6, does that appear to be a true and correct
19 copy of the 2011 congressional map, to your
20 knowledge?

21 A. It does.

22 Q. We were -- and you used this 2011
23 congressional map as the starting point in drafting
24 the 2021 congressional map, correct?

25 A. I used the cores of the existing

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 districts as a starting point, yes.

2 Q. Is that different from using this map as
3 the starting point?

4 A. I don't know. I don't think so.

5 Q. When you began drawing the 2021
6 congressional map, you didn't start from scratch,
7 right?

8 A. No. Correct.

9 Q. You started using the 2011 congressional
10 map?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Looking at Montgomery County, so that
13 was split into three districts in 2011; is that
14 right?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. Do you know why that was split into
17 three districts at the time?

18 A. Not specifically, other than, obviously,
19 it had been -- Congressman Mike Rogers in the 3rd
20 District had had an office in Montgomery, that part
21 of Montgomery County, and had represented it for a
22 while and probably didn't -- didn't want to lose
23 that base of support and financial support and so
24 forth.

25 Q. In the 2011 congressional map, District

1 7 reaches into a portion in the middle of Montgomery
2 County. Do you know why it does that?

3 A. To gain population for that district.

4 Q. Was District 7 reaching into a portion
5 of Montgomery County in the prior 2001 congressional
6 map?

7 A. I don't know.

8 Q. Do you remember if Montgomery County --
9 do you remember if District 7 reached into a portion
10 of Montgomery County in the 1992 congressional map
11 that you drew?

12 A. I do not remember, no. I'm sure
13 somebody has a map and could tell me. But I don't
14 know.

15 Q. So it looks like from the 2011
16 congressional map to the 2021 congressional map, you
17 were able to take District 3 out of Montgomery so
18 that it's not split three ways anymore and is only
19 split two ways; is that correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Is there a reason why it still needed to
22 be split into two different districts?

23 A. Yeah. I mean, obviously, the 7th
24 District was underpopulated. So if you took it all
25 the way out of Montgomery, then you would have to

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 add a number of different counties to make up that
2 population.

3 Q. Well, it looks like District 7 also
4 includes only a portion of Tuscaloosa County and
5 Jefferson County, correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. So could you not have taken more of
8 either Tuscaloosa County or Jefferson County and
9 then been able to leave Montgomery County as being
10 solely in one district?

11 A. Well, yeah, it would have been possible
12 certainly in Jefferson. I don't know about
13 Tuscaloosa. I don't think actually -- I think there
14 are many more people in the 7th District portion of
15 Montgomery than there are in the 4th District
16 portion of Tuscaloosa. But yes, certainly in
17 Jefferson that would have been possible.

18 But as you know, they -- these all have
19 to fit back together at the end. So what might have
20 been a perfect map for somebody in Montgomery may
21 not have created a perfect situation for whatever
22 member represented Jefferson or wherever.

23 Q. Did you consider moving -- did you
24 consider making Montgomery County solely District 2?

25 A. I did not.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Why not?

2 A. Because, again, I didn't think it --
3 while that may look like geographically not a very
4 large area, it has a considerable number of voters
5 in it. And it would have been hard to take that out
6 of 7 and make up the population somewhere else.

7 About the only place, as you pointed
8 out, to do that might have been Jefferson. But,
9 again, we have two representatives in Jefferson
10 County right now. And it would have been hard to
11 eliminate one from that process.

12 Q. Is there anything in particular about
13 this specific portion of Montgomery County that's in
14 District 7 that makes it a community of interest or
15 something that ties it into District 7 versus
16 District 2?

17 A. Not necessarily. I mean, obviously,
18 geographically it's next to -- it's adjacent to
19 Lowndes County.

20 Q. Did you look at racial data in including
21 that portion of Montgomery County in District 7?

22 A. I didn't. When we started doing -- I
23 didn't initially. When we started filling in this
24 -- all these discussions we've had up until now have
25 all been based on total pop. I didn't look at race

1 at all on the computer when we were adding folks to
2 these districts or subtracting folks from these
3 districts.

4 So at this point, I've basically just
5 been looking at total pop and where do you get the
6 total pop to get the districts back to ideal
7 population. So at that point, there was no
8 discussion of race. It was all a discussion of
9 total pop.

10 Q. You say "at this point." Where are we
11 talking in the timeline?

12 A. Up until -- up until we finished the
13 map.

14 Q. Finishing the map being the week before
15 the special session?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. So is it your testimony that you did not
18 look at race at all in 2021 before submitting the
19 maps to the special session?

20 A. No, I did not look at it up until the
21 week before we submitted the maps, when at that
22 point we did turn on race and look at the racial
23 breakdowns in the various maps.

24 Q. Why did you look at the racial breakdown
25 that week before the special session?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Well, to -- obviously, we wanted to see
2 what the, you know, outcomes of our changes were.

3 Q. What do you mean?

4 A. We wanted to see what -- the changes we
5 had made to get the population balanced among all
6 these districts, if it changed any of the, you know,
7 racial makeup of the districts.

8 Q. Why did you want to know that?

9 A. Well, one of our guidelines is to comply
10 with the Voting Rights Act.

11 Q. And you say "we wanted." Who is "we"?

12 A. The two co-chairs, myself, and legal
13 counsel.

14 Q. "Legal counsel" being Mr. Dorman --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. -- Walker?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And prior to that week before the
19 special session, it's your testimony that you did
20 not look at any of the racial data at all for any
21 of the districts in drawing the 2021 congressional
22 map?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. What data did you look at?

25 A. Just -- just total pop and geography.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Anything else?

2 A. That's it.

3 Q. Other than modifying the existing
4 district lines to account for population changes,
5 did you make any other changes from the 2011
6 congressional map?

7 A. I'm not sure I follow that.

8 Q. You made changes to the 2011
9 congressional map for the 2021 map based on changes
10 in population, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Did you make any changes based on any
13 other factors?

14 A. Are we talking -- we're talking the 2021
15 map?

16 Q. Correct. So in drawing the 2021 map,
17 you made certain changes from the prior map based on
18 changes in population, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Did you make any changes based on any
21 other factors?

22 A. No. I didn't make any changes.
23 Obviously, where members lived was a consideration.
24 I certainly would be mindful -- when I was moving a
25 precinct in Jefferson County, for example, I

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 couldn't move Congresswoman Sewell out of her
2 district, for example. But I didn't make any
3 changes based on that.

4 Q. Other than population data and race data
5 starting the week before the map was submitted, did
6 you review any other data about the constituents or
7 the districts when drawing the 2021 map?

8 A. I did not.

9 Q. If any changes were made to the 2021
10 map, would you have been the one to physically make
11 those changes on the computer?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Was there anyone else who physically sat
14 on the computer and made any changes for the 2021
15 map?

16 A. I don't believe so. I mean, Donna
17 Loftin, who heads the reapportionment office,
18 certainly was capable of doing that. But I don't
19 believe she ever -- she's not really authorized to
20 change a map, I guess, without me asking her to.

21 Q. Do you know if she made any changes?

22 A. I don't believe she did, no.

23 Q. Did anyone else assist you in drawing
24 the map?

25 A. Nobody assisted me in drawing the map.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. When did you have a -- when did you
2 first have an initial draft map completed?

3 A. Using the real data? I mean, not an
4 estimate.

5 Q. Did you have an initial draft made from
6 the estimates?

7 A. I had a -- I roughed -- again, it wasn't
8 -- it wasn't something that would have -- it wasn't
9 to zero deviation. It was just roughed-out
10 counties.

11 So yes, when I came back from my May
12 meetings, I roughed out a map using the estimates on
13 Maptitude just to get a feel for what areas needed
14 to be added and subtracted from various districts.

15 But, again, it was -- it was not -- it
16 was not to deviation and it was knowing that the
17 estimates were going to be off by thousands, if not
18 tens of thousands, which they turned out to be.

19 Q. When was that draft completed?

20 A. The end of May.

21 Q. Did you save a copy of that draft?

22 A. No.

23 Q. After that, when was the next draft
24 using official data completed?

25 A. After my round of calls in September.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 So probably mid -- mid to late September would have
2 been the next draft. And then I did a round of
3 calls to go over those maps and make any last
4 changes before the last week.

5 Q. A round of calls being the calls that
6 you discussed with the U.S. congress
7 representatives?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you make any further changes to the
10 draft based on any feedback you received from those
11 calls?

12 A. Very minorly. Congresswoman Sewell, I
13 had split a precinct in Montgomery County that she
14 did not want split. So I put it back together and
15 split in a different -- an adjacent precinct. But
16 very, very minorly.

17 Q. What precinct was that?

18 A. It was the Acadome precinct. I had
19 split the university into two different districts,
20 and she, I think wanted it all in her district. So
21 I put that back together.

22 Q. Do you know why she wanted that all in
23 her district?

24 A. I don't. I mean, other than that was
25 one of her principles in this redistricting process.

1 She felt strongly about picking up facilities and
2 universities and things rather than just random
3 citizens.

4 Q. And what precinct did you take out from
5 District 7 in exchange?

6 A. Well, it was a split at an adjacent
7 precinct. Whitfield, I think, was the name of it.

8 Q. How do you choose that precinct?

9 A. It just was adjacent to it.

10 Q. That was the only factor?

11 A. That was the only factor.

12 Q. So you had the draft completed, you
13 said, mid September?

14 A. Yeah. And just to give a more complete
15 answer, I also had to do a -- change the split a
16 little bit in Lauderdale based on conversations with
17 Congressman Adderholt. I had conversations with
18 Representative -- Congressman Moore's
19 representative, Bill Harris, about he would have
20 preferred a change in Monroe rather than the way I
21 did it in Escambia.

22 So they were each -- not every district.
23 But a number of districts had these little minor
24 things that we talked through at that point.

25 Q. Beyond any minor changes -- and I assume

1 this is more kind of a precinct-by-precinct type
2 change that you're referring to there, correct?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. Beyond that, were there any changes that
5 you made based on those calls that you would
6 consider to be significant changes?

7 A. No.

8 Q. So once you had the draft completed in
9 mid September and then had the calls with the
10 various representatives to go over that, then you
11 made whatever minor changes you could based on that
12 feedback.

13 When did you have the next draft
14 completed?

15 A. Going into the last -- the next to last
16 week of October. And in some of these -- as you
17 well know, with congressional schedules, it's not
18 like I had seven congressmen lined up to talk to me
19 at 9:00 o'clock on a Monday morning. This took over
20 a course of weeks. I would, you know, schedule, and
21 move and change for voting schedules and all the
22 wonderful things that go on with dealing with
23 congressmen.

24 Q. And in that same time frame, you were
25 also drawing three other maps?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And meeting with all of the
3 representatives and senators and all of that?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Was there any other drafts that you had
6 other than the first one that you made using the
7 unofficial data in the summer of 2021, the next
8 draft that you made using the official data in mid
9 September 2021, and then the draft that you had
10 based on the congressional representatives' feedback
11 that was completed the week before the special
12 session in October of 2021? Were there any other
13 drafts that you made of the 2021 congressional map?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Between those last two drafts that we
16 discussed, between September 2021 and the special
17 session, did you meet with anyone else to discuss
18 the redrawing of the 2021 map, congressional map,
19 other than the seven representatives and Senator
20 McClendon and Representative Pringle?

21 A. And legal counsel.

22 Q. Anyone else?

23 A. No.

24 Q. At that time, did you consider
25 Mr. Walker to be your attorney?

1 A. I considered him to be the
2 reapportionment committee's attorney.

3 Q. Did you consider him to represent you
4 personally?

5 A. I don't know how to answer that. I
6 didn't -- I didn't feel I needed representation at
7 that point personally.

8 Q. Did you have any sort of retention
9 agreement with Mr. Walker or his office?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Once you had the draft completed of the
12 2021 congressional map the week before the special
13 session, who did you provide it to?

14 A. Well, obviously, all of the members saw
15 their districts. But they didn't really see the
16 rest of the map. The members of congress saw their
17 district, but they didn't really -- and adjacent
18 districts. But they didn't really see the rest of
19 the map.

20 I think at that last week, I went
21 through that map with Representative Pringle and
22 Senator McClendon and Dorman Walker. Obviously,
23 Donna Loftin, who runs the office, was in the
24 background during most of this.

25 Q. What sort of feedback did you receive

1 when you met with Senator McClendon and
2 Representative Pringle about the draft map?

3 MR. WALKER: I'm going to object to
4 attorney-client privilege to the extent that I was
5 present in the room and we were having an
6 attorney-client communication. If you had any
7 communications with them that I was not present, you
8 may answer the question.

9 A. There were -- they just looked at the
10 map. There was nothing substantive in terms of a
11 response.

12 Q. And are you going to refuse to answer
13 any questions that I were to ask you that would
14 involve any discussions that you had where
15 Mr. Walker was present?

16 MR. WALKER: I would instruct him not to
17 answer those questions if other conditions
18 indicating it was an attorney-client privilege were
19 present.

20 Let me -- let me clarify that for you.
21 If I believed we had a conversation that was an
22 attorney-client privilege, I would -- I would
23 instruct him not to answer the question. I don't
24 think that all the conversations I had with him were
25 covered by the privilege.

1 MR. THOMPSON: When you say you don't
2 think that all of the conversations you had with
3 him, do you mean nonsubstantive conversations like
4 lunch and dinner?

5 MR. WALKER: Certainly that would be
6 included. What I'm saying is there -- I can think
7 of times when he and I were speaking, although I may
8 not know exactly what we were talking about, when
9 there were other people in the room who were not
10 within the privilege. And we may have been talking
11 about the map. I just don't know.

12 But there were certain times when I
13 reviewed with him specifically the map. And I would
14 contend that that's covered by the attorney-client
15 privilege.

16 MR. THOMPSON: Understood. And you
17 would instruct him not to answer on those.

18 MR. WALKER: Yeah.

19 Q. And would you follow that instruction?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So walk me through the timeline, then,
22 once you provided the draft to Senator McClendon and
23 Representative Pringle. What happened with the map
24 at that point?

25 A. I mean, once it was finalized and they

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 made no changes to it, it was submitted to be drawn
2 up into a bill and prepared to be presented at the
3 -- be sent out to the members of the reapportionment
4 committee the following Monday and then voted on in
5 committee on Tuesday.

6 Q. Were there any changes made to the map
7 by the reapportionment committee?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Were there any changes made to the map
10 after it was submitted to the legislature?

11 A. No.

12 Q. So the version of the map that you
13 completed the week before the special session is
14 identical to the version of the map that was
15 ultimately enacted that we've marked as Exhibit 5,
16 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Did you save any drafts of the 2021
19 congressional map?

20 A. No, sir. The way Maptitude works is it
21 just -- every time you make a change, it saves -- it
22 saves the map at that point. So previous iterations
23 don't -- don't really exist.

24 Q. Did you print out any copies of any
25 drafts?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No.

2 Q. Do you have any notes that you took or
3 used while drafting the 2021 congressional map?

4 A. No. I mean, I'm sure I had a scrap of
5 paper somewhere that said Congressman Moore would
6 rather split Escambia and Congressman Carl would
7 rather split Monroe. But they were -- all these
8 things were so -- there were not very many of them.
9 There weren't too many. I didn't need notes to
10 remember that.

11 Q. Do you have any of those notes saved?

12 A. No.

13 Q. If you needed to modify the maps now, do
14 you have any estimate of about how long that would
15 take you to do?

16 A. Modify in what way?

17 Q. For instance, are you familiar with what
18 this lawsuit is about?

19 A. Well, it's three different lawsuits, if
20 I understand it correctly.

21 Q. What is your understanding of the three
22 different lawsuits?

23 A. I think two of the -- well, two of the
24 lawsuits I think would have preferred two majority
25 black districts. And the Singleton lawsuit would

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 have preferred sort of a whole county map with
2 two -- I would call them influence districts.

3 THE REPORTER: What districts?

4 A. Influence districts

5 Q. Would that be the same as -- I've heard
6 "opportunity district." Would "influence district"
7 and "opportunity district" be about the same?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. And what's your understanding of what an
10 influence district or opportunity district is?

11 A. It would be a district that would be
12 less than a majority of BVAP, but still have a
13 substantial population of minorities that could
14 potentially impact the election of a candidate of
15 their choice.

16 Q. And when we say "minorities" here
17 specifically, are we referring to the black voting
18 age population?

19 A. Primarily here in Alabama, you would be
20 referring to the black voting age population.

21 Q. So if in this case the court were to
22 find that the maps do not comply with the Voting
23 Rights Act or the 14th Amendment and they needed to
24 be modified, do you expect that you would be the one
25 that would be asked to make those modifications?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I don't have a crystal ball. I can't
2 predict the future.

3 Q. Is that something that's covered in your
4 contract?

5 A. It is not.

6 Q. If you were asked to modify the map to
7 make changes to comply with the Voting Rights Act or
8 the 14th Amendment, in that situation, do you have
9 any estimate about how long it would take you to do
10 that?

11 A. No. I mean, asked by whom?

12 Q. The Alabama state legislature, the
13 courts, Mr. Walker, any of us.

14 A. No. I mean, I -- conceptually, I guess
15 that would depend on what the court deemed changes
16 were.

17 Q. Is that something that you think you
18 could complete within a month?

19 A. I would hope so. I don't know.

20 Q. Is it something you think you could
21 complete within a week?

22 A. You're asking me a hypothetical about
23 something that hasn't happened, and I don't have a
24 clue what the changes would be.

25 Q. When you met with Congressman Sewell,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 did you receive any specific instructions from her
2 about how to draw District 7?

3 A. No, not specifically. Again, it was
4 more of -- our initial meetings were more of here is
5 what the estimates show, here is -- you're
6 obviously -- the district is going to be
7 underpopulated. Let's talk about areas where you
8 may -- may pick up population to get closer to the
9 ideal.

10 As I said earlier, she was interested in
11 facilities and universities and some companies and
12 military, like Maxwell, and so forth. So she was
13 interested in things above and beyond just picking
14 up additional voters or citizens. So we talked
15 about that briefly.

16 And then we just went through the most
17 likely areas where she could pick up additional
18 population. And the most likely in my mind, again,
19 to present to her as options were counties that were
20 split.

21 For example, Clarke County was -- under
22 this map, the 2011 map, was split between 7 and 1.
23 We know 1 is going to be over. We knew -- at the
24 beginning, we didn't know how much. But we knew 1
25 would be over, and we knew 7 would be under.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 So a logical thing, in my mind anyway,
2 would be let's put Clarke County back together. And
3 whatever population that is, let's put that into 7.

4 And also we talked about some of the
5 changes that would happen that would cascade to her
6 from north Alabama. As we knew, District 5 would be
7 over. The only place District 5 can go to is to
8 District 4 because it's the only district adjacent
9 to it. And that would then put District 4 over.
10 And one of the options was for her to pick up some
11 more of District 4 in Tuscaloosa. So we talked
12 about that.

13 And then we talked about potential
14 changes in Jefferson, another area where she could
15 pick up additional population.

16 Q. You mentioned that she wanted
17 universities in her district. What were the names
18 of the universities she wanted?

19 A. She wanted to make sure that whatever
20 changes we made in Tuscaloosa, we kept the
21 University of Alabama in her district. She was
22 interested in picking up Maxwell Air Force Base in
23 Montgomery, if that was a possibility.

24 As I discussed earlier, I had split a
25 precinct that had a university in Montgomery. And

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 she wanted that in her district not split. So we
2 talked about things like that.

3 Q. Do you remember the name of that
4 university in Montgomery?

5 A. Yeah, I do. I'm blanking on it at the
6 moment. Alabama -- is it State?

7 MR. WALKER: Alabama State, ASU.

8 A. ASU. ASU. Sorry.

9 Q. Other than those things that you just
10 discussed, did you receive any other instructions or
11 feedback from Congressman Sewell about how to draw
12 District 7?

13 A. No, not at that time. We did -- in the
14 next round of those talks after we had real numbers,
15 we did talk about some of the changes in Jefferson.

16 In this -- in the 2011 map, some of the
17 precincts of Homewood -- I think there were three or
18 four Homewood precincts. Some were in her district,
19 and some were in 6. She thought that maybe it might
20 make sense for all of them to be in one district.
21 She would be happy if they were hers, which I did.

22 So we talked about a few things like
23 that in the next round of discussions.

24 Q. Did you discuss anything else with her
25 about how to draw her map?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you discuss race at all with
3 Congressman Sewell?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did she give you any instructions or
6 requests about a certain black voting age population
7 percentage that she wanted in District 7?

8 A. She did not, other than I think there
9 was -- we both assumed, and I think she would
10 confirm, that she wanted a majority -- a majority
11 black district for her district.

12 And she also, I should add -- there was
13 one other thing. When we initially asked every
14 member for their home addresses so we made sure we
15 had them inside their own districts, she actually
16 sent in two addresses, knowing that only one of them
17 was her official home address.

18 One of them was also her home -- her
19 mother's home or whatever in Dallas County. And she
20 wanted -- would prefer that both of those addresses
21 be inside her district. So that was one request she
22 made.

23 Q. Was that an accommodation you had to
24 change the map to --

25 A. No. They were -- it was already

1 happening. They both were -- they both under this
2 map were in her district, and they both under this
3 map were in her district.

4 Q. Going back to your prior statement, you
5 said that you didn't discuss race with Congressman
6 Sewell; is that correct?

7 A. Not at that point.

8 Q. Did you at some point?

9 A. In the last week, she did ask what was
10 the BVAP of my -- her district.

11 Q. And what did you tell her?

12 A. I told her it was 54.22.

13 Q. And what did she say?

14 A. She didn't -- I mean, she was
15 comfortable with that, I guess. She didn't comment
16 further. She didn't ask me to make any changes, I
17 guess, if that's what you're asking me.

18 Q. You said before then that you both
19 assumed that she wanted a majority black population.
20 What are you basing that off of?

21 A. I don't even know if it's an assumption.
22 I think she -- I think she did say that, that she
23 would prefer to continue to have a majority black
24 district.

25 Q. You think she said that, or you know she

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 said that?

2 A. I think she -- yeah, I think -- I think
3 she said that.

4 Q. But you don't know for certain?

5 A. I'm pretty confident she said that, yes.

6 Q. Are you certain that she said that?

7 A. I'm pretty confident she said that.

8 Q. Just to be clear, pretty confident, but
9 not 100 percent certain, fair?

10 A. Sure.

11 Q. Did she say anything about any sort of
12 percentage of black voting age population that she
13 wanted in District 7?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Did you discuss race with any of the
16 other representatives?

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. So Congressman Sewell was the only
19 Congressman you discussed race with?

20 A. Well, she's the only one who asked at
21 the end of the process what her black -- black
22 voting age population was.

23 Q. Other than the U.S. congressional
24 representatives and Senator McClendon and
25 Representative Pringle, did you speak with any other

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Alabama legislators or their staff about the 2021
2 congressional maps?

3 A. No. Maybe -- maybe right before we went
4 to the floor, I think I probably had a conversation
5 with the pro tem and speaker just briefly to say
6 that the members of congress were reasonably in
7 agreement on this map. But it was just sort of a
8 pro forma discussion, not about the details of the
9 map.

10 Q. Did you speak with anyone else?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did you correspond with anyone by email
13 regarding the redistricting process?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Did you make any recommendations to the
16 committee, the reapportionment committee, about how
17 the map should be drawn beyond just providing them a
18 copy of the map?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did the reapportionment committee make
21 any requests or recommendations to you about how the
22 map should be drawn or changed?

23 A. None other than the guidelines they
24 passed.

25 Q. Did you receive any requests or

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 instructions about how to draw the 2021

2 congressional map from anyone else that we haven't

3 discussed yet?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you receive any feedback from anyone

6 else that we haven't discussed yet about the way

7 that the 2021 congressional map was drawn?

8 A. No. I'm assuming you're including

9 chiefs of staff as a subset of a congressman.

10 Q. Certainly. No one other than the

11 congressmen or their chiefs of staff or anyone else

12 that we've discussed?

13 A. Right.

14 MR. THOMPSON: Dorman, I think we've

15 been going a little over an hour. We're approaching

16 that lunch time. We could go a little bit longer,

17 or we could go ahead and break now. What do you

18 prefer?

19 MR. WALKER: I'm happy with whatever

20 y'all want to do.

21 MR. THOMPSON: Are you hungry, sir?

22 THE WITNESS: Not overly. But I'm happy

23 to --

24 MR. WALKER: I usually go to lunch at

25 11:30. So I'm happy to take a lunch break.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 MR. THOMPSON: Let's -- let's take a
2 lunch break, then.

3 MR. WALKER: All right.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record.
5 The time is 11:42 a.m.

6 (Lunch break was taken.)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
8 record. The time is 12:57 p.m.

9 Q. Mr. Hinaman, before we broke for lunch,
10 we had discussed some of the conversations that you
11 had with the seven U.S. congressmen. Do you recall
12 that?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And we went into some specifics about
15 your discussions with Congressman Sewell. Or
16 Congresswoman Sewell. Excuse me. I would like to
17 discuss some of the specifics with the other
18 representatives. So I just kind of want to go down
19 the line.

20 So starting with Representative Carl in
21 District 1, can you tell me what specifics you
22 recall from your discussions with him?

23 A. Yes. But just to be clear, are we --
24 you just want -- over the whole time frame, just
25 capsulize it? Or are you talking about a specific

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 time frame?

2 Q. At any point in the discussions you had
3 with them in drawing the 2021 congressional map.

4 A. Okay. So essentially from May to
5 October?

6 Q. Correct.

7 A. Okay. Yeah. So we talked about Clarke
8 County which was split, of course, between 7 and
9 District 1. And we talked that the 1st District
10 would likely be over or was over after we got the
11 real numbers, and that one of the solutions to that
12 would be putting Clarke County back together and be
13 putting it in 7.

14 And then whatever else the overage was,
15 which turned out to be 739 people, that we would
16 take those out of either -- initially we said Monroe
17 or Escambia. And as it turned out, we fine tuned it
18 to Escambia. And that's where we made that change.

19 And those are basically the discussions
20 with the 1st District congressman.

21 Q. Did he have any objections to putting
22 all of Clarke County in District 7?

23 A. He did not.

24 Q. All right. Tell me what specifics you
25 recall from your discussions with Congressman Moore

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 in District 2.

2 A. Well, we talked again about making
3 Montgomery County only split between 7 and 2 and
4 getting the 3rd District out of Montgomery County,
5 which was good because 2 was under anyway. So they
6 needed to pick up some people.

7 Initially I said, well, depending on
8 what the numbers are, we might need to split off a
9 little bit of Elmore to balance out 3 if we're not
10 splitting Montgomery. But as it turned out, we
11 didn't have to do that. We did -- we did make some
12 changes to 3 in Coosa and Chilton, but we made no
13 further changes in the 2nd.

14 We talked a little bit about the
15 Escambia and Monroe thing. Again, he would have
16 preferred not to have picked up another county. But
17 unfortunately, that was not in the cards by 739
18 people. So he needed to -- he did end up picking up
19 Escambia.

20 And we talked about just geographically
21 making the 7th District a little more compact in
22 Montgomery from where the 2011 lines were versus to
23 what they are now in the 2021 plan.

24 And at the end of it -- I mean, we had
25 some discussions about Maxwell going into the 7th,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 which surprisingly he wasn't too excited about
2 initially, but at the end was comfortable with I
3 think primarily because there was some talk of
4 another BRAC, base closing commission.

5 And Congressman Moore probably thought
6 it would be helpful to have Terri representing part
7 -- that part of Maxwell that she would have, and he
8 represents another part of Maxwell, the annex, in
9 his district. So two congresspeople fighting that
10 was maybe better than one.

11 Q. Where is Maxwell?

12 A. Maxwell is in the northern little part
13 of Montgomery County here that was -- in 2011 was in
14 the 2nd, but is now in the 7th.

15 Q. With Congressman Sewell, especially in
16 the area you were just discussing there, it had
17 gotten as granular was this college or whatnot. Did
18 you have discussions to that detail with either of
19 the two representatives in District 1 or 2?

20 A. No, other than the Maxwell, Maxwell
21 annex thing we just talked about with Congressman
22 Moore. He wanted to make sure he still had one of
23 them. And he has the annex one, which is further
24 west in Montgomery, but not the actual base itself.

25 Q. Do you know why he wanted that in his

1 district?

2 A. Again, so they had two voices on base
3 closing issues rather than one.

4 Q. Do you recall anything else specifically
5 from your discussions with Congressman Moore?

6 A. No.

7 Q. How about Congressman Rogers in District
8 3?

9 A. Well, we talked briefly. There was a
10 little piece of Cherokee County that was split off
11 in the last redistricting, which was really somewhat
12 needless. So we talked about putting that back
13 together.

14 We talked about again him getting out of
15 Montgomery County so that it would only be split two
16 ways instead of three. And then we talked about
17 what that might mean in terms of where he would pick
18 up.

19 Coosa had been in the 3rd in some
20 earlier maps, meaning 2001 or sometime back in the
21 past. So he was fine picking up Coosa County from
22 6. And then for population -- obviously, population
23 reasons, he needed a little more than that. So we
24 took, I think, like 12,000 people from Chilton and
25 put it into 3 to get his population to where it

1 needed to be.

2 Q. Anything else you recall?

3 A. No.

4 Q. What about Congressman Adderholt in
5 District 4?

6 A. Yeah, I talked to him numerous times.
7 Part of it is, obviously, he was going to pick up a
8 lot of folks from the 5th district. And there was
9 initial discussion on which end of the 5th, should
10 we take them from Jackson County or should we take
11 them from Lauderdale, and how was the best way to do
12 that.

13 And we had a couple of different
14 discussions about that, and finally decided that
15 putting the Shoals -- Muscle Shoals area back
16 together as much as possible in Lauderdale was the
17 preferable way to do that. And that's what we
18 talked about.

19 And then, obviously, that required him
20 to lose some of Tuscaloosa, a few precincts in
21 Tuscaloosa, to make up for -- to get the population
22 to equal out.

23 And also he had a little chunk of Blount
24 County, as well, from 6. And we talked about making
25 Blount whole again and not splitting it between two

1 congressional districts.

2 Q. Did you have any discussions with him
3 about which specific areas of Tuscaloosa to include
4 or not include?

5 A. A little bit. I mean, we talked about
6 the precincts, the next most likely geographical
7 precincts to add into 7. We talked about them. It
8 was sort of obvious geographically where he had to
9 go next. So there wasn't much discussion about it.

10 Q. How did you choose the precincts you
11 chose other than geography?

12 A. Well, that's -- population and geography
13 were the only two ways to choose them.

14 Q. Do you recall anything else, specifics
15 about your conversations with Congressman Adderholt?

16 A. No. And then at the end -- as I said, I
17 had splint a precinct in Lauderdale to get to zero
18 deviation in District 5, and he referred a different
19 precinct split. So I changed it to the one he
20 preferred. So that was -- that was one of the final
21 changes at the end that we made.

22 Q. Moving on to Congressman Brooks in
23 District 5. What do you recall from those
24 conversations?

25 A. Well, there weren't any because

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Congressman Brooks decided not to meet -- this is my
2 presumption -- because he was running for the senate
3 and had less interest in how this was going to come
4 out.

5 I did meet the first time with his chief
6 of staff just to talk about keeping Morgan and
7 Madison together. But that was -- that was about
8 it.

9 Q. What was the discussion there about
10 keeping Morgan and Madison together?

11 A. The community of interest. And a number
12 of people that, obviously, live in northern Morgan
13 work in Huntsville, in Madison County, and so forth,
14 and thought it was a good combination to keep them
15 whole and together.

16 Q. Other than that first meeting -- and I
17 guess that would have been back in May --

18 A. May.

19 Q. -- of 2021 with the chief of staff for
20 Congressman Brooks, did you meet with anybody else
21 on behalf of Congressman Brooks or his office?

22 A. No. I called his chief of staff back
23 once we had, you know, roughed out a -- gotten the
24 math from the real data. And he -- he didn't call
25 me back. I called him a couple of times. And I

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 assumed that meant he was less interested in how
2 this was going to go.

3 Q. And then finally, what about Congressman
4 Palmer in District 6? What do you recall about
5 those conversations?

6 A. Well, I talked to him about again
7 putting Blount back together and giving that all to
8 him. I talked to him -- in the meantime, he had --
9 he had initially, I thought, lived in Jefferson
10 County. And then he had moved to Shelby.

11 So I talked a little bit about making
12 sure I had the right home address for him. Because
13 I initially thought he still lived in Jefferson, but
14 he didn't. So we did have the right address in
15 Shelby. So that was fine.

16 I talked about he may lose Coosa to the
17 3rd and a little part of Chilton. He was
18 comfortable with that. And I talked to him about
19 some of the changes in Jefferson in the 7th District
20 where geographically I was trying to make the 7th
21 District's footprint in Jefferson more compact by
22 adding western Jefferson and shortening the district
23 on the top. And I wanted him to be aware of that.

24 But as I said earlier, we had initial
25 meetings and even a follow-up call. But when the

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 final map was done, meaning that last week of
2 October, he -- he allowed as how he didn't really
3 want to -- his chief of staff told me that the
4 congressman did not really want to talk about it,
5 that he was convinced we were going to go to court,
6 and he didn't really see a need to discuss it.

7 Q. Who was that that told you that?

8 A. Congressman Palmer's chief of staff.

9 Q. And when was that discussion?

10 A. That was in mid October.

11 Q. And why did he say that he was convinced
12 that this was going to go to court?

13 A. I don't know. He was -- the chief of
14 staff said that -- the chief of staff said that he
15 had been told, I think, by the NRCC that this map
16 was going to go to court, and that Congressman
17 Palmer had decided to not discuss it further.

18 Q. Did you ask him why he thought it was
19 going to court?

20 A. No. I accepted his answer.

21 Q. Did you have any idea about why this
22 would go to court based on that discussion?

23 A. No.

24 Q. And you didn't care to ask?

25 A. It was his opinion. I didn't think it

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 was relevant to what I was doing.

2 Q. Jefferson County, the way it's split in
3 the 2021 congressional map, is not exactly a
4 straight line. How did you decide which areas of
5 Jefferson County would move from District 6 to
6 District 7?

7 A. I was looking geographically to widen
8 the face of the protrusion into Jefferson -- if you
9 want to call it that, into Jefferson County. I was
10 looking to not split precincts. Those are all,
11 except for one that's split for deviation -- well,
12 two, technically. One Congressman Sewell --
13 Congresswoman Sewell lives in and another one.

14 But I was trying not to split precincts.
15 I was picking whole precincts. And I was trying to
16 make the district more compact, meaning widen it as
17 it goes into Jefferson County and eliminate some of
18 the longer, further-away ones at the northern part
19 of the county.

20 Q. So how does that process work when
21 you're choosing which precincts to pick up? Are you
22 just kind of choosing at random geographically as
23 you move up and seeing what works? Or are there
24 other factors at play that you're considering?

25 A. No, that's exactly it, seeing what works

1 numerically and making something, in my mind, look
2 more compact geographically.

3 Q. Are there any other factors or data that
4 you're considering when you're choosing which
5 precincts to include?

6 A. No. I mean, other than -- we had that
7 discussion about Homewood where she allowed that --
8 we had split a couple of Homewood precincts, some on
9 one side of her line in 7 and some on the other side
10 in 6, and thought it might be good to group them all
11 together.

12 Q. You mentioned that there were two
13 precincts that were split for deviation purposes,
14 one of which Congressman Sewell lives in you said.
15 What were those two precincts?

16 A. The names?

17 Q. Do you recall?

18 A. I do not.

19 Q. This isn't a memory test. I just --

20 A. I do not.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. And the reason it's not one -- I was
23 trying to make the split just solely in one
24 precinct. But unfortunately the census blocks
25 didn't cooperate very much. And when I got to where

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 I got to geographically in the one -- the precinct
2 she lived in, I was hoping I could pick up the right
3 number of populations.

4 But unfortunately I hit a situation
5 where there was like a 550 block next to it, and
6 that was too many. So that was not going to work.
7 So I had to split another precinct to get to zero
8 deviation.

9 Q. Do you recall anything else specifically
10 from your discussions with Congressman Palmer or his
11 chief of staff in furtherance of drawing the 2021
12 congressional map?

13 A. No.

14 Q. And I think we discussed this earlier.
15 But in any of those discussions with any of those
16 congressmen, Congressmen Carl, Moore, Rogers,
17 Adderholt, Brooks, Palmer, did race ever come up in
18 your discussions with any of them or their staff?

19 A. No.

20 I mean, I'll amend that slightly. I do
21 think in the final when I went through with
22 everybody, I think maybe Congressman Moore's
23 district director, Bill Harris, who I was talking
24 to, may have asked, "Can you tell me what the BVAP
25 of the 2nd District is now?" I think I probably

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 gave him that number.

2 Q. And when was that?

3 A. In the last -- that last week when we
4 turned race on.

5 Q. You gave him the --

6 A. He asked --

7 Q. -- black voting age population?

8 A. Yeah. He asked what the BVAP for that
9 district was, and I gave him that number.

10 Q. Was there any further discussion about
11 it?

12 A. No.

13

14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 was
15 marked for identification.)

16

17 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as
18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. This is a copy of the
19 reapportionment committee redistricting guidelines
20 that was produced in this lawsuit. The Bates number
21 at the bottom is RC 043723, and it's dated May 5th
22 2021.

23 Do you see that?

24 A. I do.

25 Q. Do you recognize this document?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I do.

2 Q. What is this document?

3 A. These are the guidelines that were
4 approved by the reapportionment committee for
5 drawing the four maps.

6 Q. Were you provided a copy of these
7 redistricting guidelines before you drafted the 2021
8 congressional map?

9 A. I was.

10 Q. Who provided it to you?

11 A. The two co-chairs, probably with Dorman
12 Walker, as well. I'm not sure who handed it to me.

13 Q. And when was that?

14 A. It would have been around the time it
15 was passed, May 5th.

16 Q. What --

17 A. Which very importantly happens to be my
18 birthday.

19 Q. That is an important note. Thank you
20 for letting me know. Happy belated birthday.

21 A. Thank you.

22 Q. What were you told when you were
23 provided these guidelines?

24 A. I was told these were the guidelines for
25 drawing the four maps that you've been contracted to

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 draw, and to follow them to the best of my
2 abilities.

3 Q. Anything else that you recall?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And did you, in fact, follow these
6 guidelines in drawing the 2021 congressional map?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. Let's take a look at the criteria that's
9 listed here. So starting on Page 1, you see Line 10
10 there. It says Section II, Criteria for
11 Redistricting.

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. I want to talk through these with you.
14 So Sections II a and b both state that the
15 congressional district should equalize total
16 population and have minimal population deviation.

17 Do you see that?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. What does minimal population deviation
20 mean to you?

21 A. I took that to mean for the
22 congressional districts, that that was -- they
23 should be zero for six of the districts and plus one
24 for the remaining district because the population
25 was not divisible by seven. So six were to zero

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 deviation, and one should be plus one.

2 Q. Which district did you choose to be the
3 plus one deviation?

4 A. I knew you would ask me that. I don't
5 -- I would have to look. I think it was the 6th
6 maybe. I would have to look at a map. I don't have
7 numbers. I'm sorry.

8 Q. Was it District 7?

9 A. No, I don't think so. I think it was 2
10 or 6, but I can't remember which.

11 Q. And what did you do to make sure that
12 your map complied with that zero deviation for six
13 of the districts and plus or minus one for the
14 other?

15 A. I moved -- I split seven precincts down
16 to the census block level to get to zero deviation
17 for six of the districts and plus one for the
18 seventh one.

19 Q. Did anyone tell you that zero percent
20 deviation was required or that there was a certain
21 cutoff that you had to reach to satisfy this
22 criteria?

23 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. You can
24 answer.

25 A. I was told that it was literally zero

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 deviation, meaning zero -- not percent, but zero
2 people except for the one that had to be plus one.

3 Q. Is that plus one person?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Understood.

6 A. Sorry. Plus one person.

7 Q. And who told you --

8 A. Dorman Walker, legal counsel.

9 Q. Section II c looks like it's about
10 legislative and board of education districts. So I
11 don't think that would apply to the congressional
12 map. Is that correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Section II d says that the plan must
15 comply with the one person, one vote principle of
16 the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment of
17 the United States Constitution.

18 Do you understand what the one person,
19 one vote principle is?

20 A. I think I do.

21 Q. What's your understanding?

22 A. Again, that's so no -- so people have
23 equal representation, the representatives in those,
24 in the congressional case, should be representing
25 the same number of people.

1 Q. So that goes back to the population
2 deviation?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And where does that understanding come
5 from?

6 A. Where does my understanding come from?
7 I'm sure if I had any questions about it, I asked
8 legal counsel.

9 Q. So other than what you just discussed
10 doing for Sections II a and b in adjusting for the
11 population, did you do anything else to make sure
12 that your plan complies with the one person, one
13 vote principle?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Section II e looks like it just states
16 that a plan that does not comply with the population
17 requirements above will not be approved.

18 Is there anything additional you needed
19 to consider here for this section e beyond what
20 we've already discussed?

21 A. I don't believe so.

22 Q. Section II f states, "Districts shall be
23 drawn in compliance with the Voting Rights Act of
24 1965 as amended. A redistricting plan shall have
25 neither the purpose nor the effect of diluting

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 minority voting strength, and shall comply with
2 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act and the United
3 States Constitution."

4 Are you familiar with the Voting Rights
5 Act of 1965?

6 A. I'm not a lawyer, but I'm familiar with
7 it.

8 Q. What is your understanding?

9 A. Well, that the -- a plan should not have
10 the intent or purpose of discriminating against any
11 minority population.

12 Q. Where does that understanding come from?

13 A. Just conversations with legal counsel
14 and others during the process.

15 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2 of the
16 Voting Rights Act?

17 A. Again, I'm not a lawyer. But vaguely.

18 Q. Have you ever read Section 2 of the
19 Voting Rights Act?

20 A. I'm not sure I have.

21 Q. What is your understanding of what
22 Section 2 requires?

23 A. Where there -- I guess my understanding
24 of it, a layman's understanding of it, would be
25 where there's a sufficient and compact enough

1 population of -- minority population to create a
2 district, a congressional district in this case,
3 that a district should be drawn if it's compact and
4 sort of meets the Gingles, I guess, requirements,
5 compact, contiguous population.

6 Q. Where there would be a majority black
7 district?

8 A. Right, and would have the opportunity to
9 elect a candidate of their choice.

10 Q. And does that understanding come from
11 the same sources, conversations with counsel?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. What did you do to make sure that your
14 plan complies with Section 2 of the Voting Rights
15 Act?

16 A. Again, once it was done and we turned on
17 race, we talked about it. No one asked me to make
18 any other changes. And I talked to legal counsel
19 and, I guess, concluded that it satisfies Section 2
20 of the Voting Rights Act.

21 Q. Anything else?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did you personally make a determination
24 that your plan does not have the purpose or effect
25 of diluting minority voting strength?

1 A. I'm -- I'm not a lawyer, so I don't know
2 that I can make that -- I don't know that it's my
3 job to make that distinction. But I don't believe
4 it discriminated against anyone.

5 Q. Did you do anything to make that
6 determination yourself?

7 A. Other than talk to legal counsel, no.

8 Q. Other than potentially legal counsel,
9 did you have discussions with anyone else about
10 whether your plan complied with Section II of the
11 Voting Rights Act?

12 A. No.

13 Q. In making the determination, whether
14 that's through conversation with legal counsel or
15 not, about whether your plan complies with this
16 policy, did that require you to review the racial
17 makeup of the districts?

18 A. Well, yeah. I mean, race -- at that
19 point, we had turned race on. So the BVAPs and
20 numbers were available.

21 Q. And you say they were available. So
22 then you had to review them, as well, to make sure
23 that everything was in compliance with this policy?

24 A. Well, we -- the numbers were then
25 revealed or available, and we discussed the various

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 numbers related to the map.

2 Q. Did you have anyone other than
3 Mr. Walker or someone with his firm analyze your map
4 at any point to confirm that it complies with
5 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. Do you know if anyone reviewed the map
8 to determine whether it complies with Section 2 of
9 the Voting Rights Act, other than potentially
10 Mr. Walker and his firm?

11 A. I do not, no.

12 Q. And other than what we've discussed
13 already, did you do anything else to make sure that
14 your plan complies with Section 2 of the Voting
15 Rights Act?

16 A. I did not.

17 Q. Moving on to the next criteria, Section
18 II g. This one is a little longer.

19 It states, "No district will be drawn in
20 a manner that subordinates race-neutral districting
21 criteria to considerations of race, color, or
22 membership in a language-minority group, except that
23 race, color, or membership in a language-minority
24 group may predominate over race-neutral districting
25 criteria to comply with Section 2 of the Voting

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Rights Act, provided there is a strong basis in
2 evidence in support of such a race-based choice. A
3 strong basis in evidence exists when there is good
4 reason to believe that race must be used in order to
5 satisfy the Voting Rights Act."

6 Do you see that?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. What is your understanding of what that
9 section requires?

10 A. My understanding of what that section
11 requires is that's why -- when we made all of our
12 changes to the districts by adding or subtracting
13 population, that's why race was not on. We did it
14 based on total population. And then at the end of
15 the process, we did turn race on to look at various
16 districts.

17 And because we were doing a number of
18 these maps at the same time, there were a couple of
19 instances in the other maps where we did look at
20 race to add to a district. But that did not come
21 into play in congressional.

22 Q. What, if anything, did you do to make
23 sure that specific congressional districts complied
24 with this policy?

25 A. I made sure that when I added -- I used

1 traditional redistricting principles of total pop
2 and geography considerations to add and subtract to
3 these districts, and that that was not based on
4 race.

5 Q. Flip the page to Page 2. The next
6 section is Section 2 h, and it states that districts
7 must be composed of contiguous and reasonably
8 compact geography.

9 What is your understanding of what this
10 section requires?

11 A. Yeah, obviously contiguous counties
12 and/or precincts had to be adjacent, to be hooked
13 together, to form a district. You couldn't have
14 part of Madison County tied to Mobile or something
15 crazy like that.

16 And to the extent possible, I was trying
17 to, when changing things inside a county as
18 Jefferson, I was trying to make -- or Montgomery,
19 for that matter, tried to make districts more
20 geographically compact so they were not as spread
21 out.

22 Q. Beyond what you just mentioned with
23 Montgomery -- sorry. Was that Jefferson County?

24 A. And Montgomery, too.

25 Q. And Montgomery County. Beyond that,

1 what did you do to make sure that your plan complies
2 with this policy?

3 A. That's about it.

4 Q. Moving on to the next section, Section
5 II i. It lists several requirements of the Alabama
6 Constitution. I'm not going to read all of them
7 here.

8 Did you consider these factors in
9 drawing your map?

10 A. I did.

11 Q. It appears, just by looking at them,
12 that most of them do not apply to the congressional
13 map. Rather, they talk about Alabama senate and
14 Alabama house. Is that right?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. How did you consider these factors here
17 under Section II i in drawing the congressional map?

18 A. Well, I don't know how far down this
19 list -- I don't know how far down this list you're
20 counting.

21 Q. It looks likes II i. It's from Line 3
22 down to Line 20 on Page 2 of Exhibit 7.

23 A. As you say, most of them don't really
24 apply. They are all -- all districts will be
25 single-member districts, they're contiguous. That's

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 already basically been covered in other things we've
2 discussed.

3 Q. Anything else that you had to take into
4 account to comply with this policy?

5 A. I don't think so.

6 Q. Section II j starting at Line 21 there.
7 Section II j lists six redistricting policies. Do
8 you see that?

9 A. Uh-huh.

10 Q. Sorry. Can you answer verbally?

11 A. Yes. Sorry.

12 Q. That's fine.

13 Did you consider these redistricting
14 policies when drawing your map?

15 A. I did.

16 Q. How?

17 A. Well, I wanted to make sure that no --
18 to the extent possible that no incumbents were put
19 together, which they were not, in the congressional
20 map. While continuity by water was allowed, I was
21 trying to not use that. Which I don't think we did.

22 I don't know how far down your --

23 Q. I can walk through them with you. That
24 might make more sense.

25 First off, did anyone explain to you

1 what these policies mean?

2 A. No. I'm sure if I had a question, I
3 would have asked legal counsel. But I don't
4 remember asking.

5 Q. Similarly, did anyone explain to you how
6 to apply these policies in drawing the map?

7 A. No.

8 Q. What is your understanding of the
9 priority amongst these various policies?

10 A. I think the only two that are paramount
11 to the rest of them would be one person, one vote
12 and the Voting Rights Act.

13 The rest of them are somewhat -- can
14 occasionally be in conflict. And it depends on the
15 various situations where one might trump the other
16 or vice versa.

17 You may have two incumbents that live
18 very close to one another. Maybe they need to be
19 split apart. That may make the districts not quite
20 as compact as you would like. But one of those --
21 you know, you couldn't put the two incumbents
22 together. So sometimes they are in conflict, and
23 you have to resolve that.

24 Q. Other than the two you just mentioned,
25 one person, one vote and the Voting Rights Act, did

1 you place any greater importance on one of these
2 policies over the other?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Let's walk through these. So the first
5 policy under Section J starting on Line 25 there
6 states, "Contests between incumbents will be avoided
7 whenever possible."

8 What's your understanding of what this
9 requires?

10 A. That when -- certainly when possible, I
11 would not put incumbents in the same district.

12 Q. What did you do to make sure that you
13 complied with that?

14 A. Retrieved -- made sure that we retrieved
15 all of the home addresses and looked to where they
16 were and made sure two of them were not in the same
17 district.

18 Q. You might have answered this earlier.
19 But did you have to make any modifications to your
20 map to comply with this?

21 A. Not the congressional map.

22 Q. This factor applies equally to both
23 parties, correct?

24 A. Certainly, yes.

25 Q. So you applied it equally to all

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 incumbents, both the republicans and to the
2 democrat, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. The second policy there, Section II
5 j(ii) starting on Line 26, states -- I don't know
6 why I'm having trouble pronouncing the word.
7 "Contiguity by water is allowed, but point-to-point
8 contiguity and long-lasso contiguity is not."

9 What is your understanding of what that
10 policy requires?

11 A. I'm not sure I even know what long-lasso
12 contiguity is, to be honest with you.

13 But point-to-point, occasionally you can
14 have a precinct or a census block that connects to
15 the next one just by one point in space. And that's
16 not -- under their guidelines, not allowable in
17 terms of connecting them together.

18 Again, on the congressional map, it
19 didn't come into play very much because I tried not
20 to split -- I only split seven precincts and tried
21 not to have situations where census blocks were --
22 weren't any -- weren't close to any of those options
23 there.

24 Q. Did you have to do anything else to make
25 sure your plan complied with this policy?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you have to make any modifications
3 to your map to comply with this policy?

4 A. I did not.

5 Q. The third one -- the third policy, which
6 is Section II j(iii,) states, "Districts shall
7 respect communities of interest, neighborhoods, and
8 political subdivisions to the extent practicable and
9 in compliance with paragraphs a through i."

10 What is your understanding of what this
11 policy requires?

12 A. It requires -- like I said earlier, in
13 areas; for example, Mobile and Baldwin which wanted
14 to stay together or Madison and Morgan that had
15 specific communities of interest, it was to keep
16 areas together that have similar -- and, obviously,
17 there are lots of different communities of interest.
18 So I tried to keep areas, to the extent possible,
19 together.

20 Obviously, this comes into conflict with
21 county lines, precinct lines, other things. So it's
22 not always -- and everybody has -- a number of
23 people have different views of what communities of
24 interest are. So it's certainly not always possible
25 to keep all of them together.

1 Q. What is your definition of a community
2 of interest?

3 A. My definition of community of interest,
4 it can be geographic, it can be economic, where
5 people work, it can be racial, it could be
6 geography, it could be people on the bay, for
7 example, for Mobile and Baldwin counties. A host
8 of -- a host of communities of interest.

9 Q. What do you consider to be communities
10 of interest in Alabama?

11 A. All those things I just listed.

12 Q. Is there any sort of particular
13 communities of interest that are well established or
14 a list of any of these? Or is this just something
15 that is subjectively known but doesn't really exist
16 in writing anywhere?

17 A. I don't know of a definitive list of all
18 the communities of interest in Alabama.

19 Q. Are there any specific communities of
20 interest that come to mind for you right now?

21 A. No, other than the ones I listed. I
22 mean, precincts can be -- counties are, I guess,
23 communities of interest sometimes. I mean, it's --
24 there are a whole host of things.

25 Q. It sounds like communities of interest

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 can be somewhat fluid. Is that fair to say?

2 A. It is fair to say.

3 Q. One area, say, where we're sitting right
4 now in Montgomery, could be part of three, four,
5 five, six different communities of interest
6 depending on what factors you're looking at?

7 A. Yeah, whether they're economic or racial
8 or social or everybody roots for the same football
9 team, I suppose.

10 Q. Do they?

11 A. No.

12 Q. I see. I see. That would be a
13 community of interest perhaps.

14 Are you familiar with the black belt?
15 You mentioned that earlier.

16 A. I am.

17 Q. What is the black belt?

18 A. It's a group of mostly rural counties
19 that have a -- for the most part have a majority
20 black population.

21 Q. Do you know what counties are in the
22 black belt?

23 A. I'm not sure I can list every one. But
24 yeah, in general, I do.

25 Q. What counties would you say are in the

1 black belt?

2 A. I would say Sumpter, Greene, Choctaw,
3 Marengo, Hale, Perry, Dallas, Wilcox, Lowndes, I
4 guess Macon and Bullock. Some would say Montgomery.

5 Q. Do you consider the black belt to be a
6 community of interest?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. So in drawing your map, what did you do
9 to make sure that your plan complies with this
10 policy, that it respected communities of interest?

11 A. Again, I mean, because there are so many
12 different communities of interest, they're not -- I
13 mean, no plan is going to respect all of them. So
14 there are trade-offs.

15 There are also -- you know, the entire
16 black belt I imagine if you made into a
17 congressional district would accomplish -- would hit
18 up against other one person, one vote issues and
19 other issues in here, as well. So they are
20 sometimes in conflict. So you can't -- you can't
21 satisfy all communities of interest.

22 Q. Did you have to make any specific
23 modifications to your map to make sure that you were
24 respecting communities of interest?

25 A. No. Although, again, I tried to keep,

1 for example, the Muscle Shoals area together in
2 the -- in the 4th District when we split Lauderdale.
3 Not that it was at issue, but the people in Mobile
4 and Baldwin very much wanted to be together because
5 they share the bay. But that didn't require a
6 change. It just is a . . .

7 Q. Other than the modification for the
8 Muscle Shoals community, are there any other
9 specific modifications that you felt like you made
10 in drawing the 2021 map?

11 A. No, not specifically.

12 Q. Does your map split any communities of
13 interest?

14 A. Oh, I'm sure it does. I mean, all maps
15 split some communities of interest.

16 Q. And part of that is because of what we
17 just discussed, that communities of interest can
18 mean lots of different things?

19 A. To different people, I'm sure.

20 Q. Looking at the bottom of Section II
21 j(iii,) that third policy, it gives a definition.
22 It says, "The term communities of interest" --
23 excuse me.

24 It says, "A community of interest is
25 defined as an area with recognized similarities of

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 interests, including but not limited to ethnic,
2 racial, economic, tribal, social, geographic, or
3 historical identities. The term communities of
4 interest may in certain circumstances include
5 political subdivisions such as counties, voting
6 precincts, municipalities, tribal lands and
7 reservations, or school districts."

8 Did you review any ethnic, racial,
9 tribal, or other similar data to identify
10 communities of interest?

11 A. I did not.

12 Q. Moving to the next policy, the fourth
13 policy, Section II j(iv.) It states, "The
14 legislature shall try to minimize the number of
15 counties in each district."

16 I think that's pretty self-explanatory.
17 But what is your understanding of what that policy
18 requires?

19 A. Yeah, that's sort of a compactness
20 thing. I was trying to keep the fewest number of
21 counties necessary to -- and it's not always --
22 there are other -- the next one down says
23 "preserving cores of existing districts."

24 I mean, some of these things come into
25 conflict. But to where possible, I tried to deal in

1 whole counties, keeping counties whole, and the
2 minimum number to reach the ideal population.

3 Q. Did you have to make any specific
4 modifications to your map to comply with that
5 policy?

6 A. No. Although it does come into effect
7 when people were talking about adding -- where you
8 split a -- for example, the Escambia County split,
9 you know, where does that go.

10 I was trying to keep districts so that
11 not all of the splits were in the same district and
12 the number of counties in a particular district
13 didn't grow a lot. Because for a congressional
14 office, that takes on local governments and more
15 work. So I tried to be mindful of that when looking
16 at it.

17 Q. Other than trying to be mindful of that,
18 did you have to make any specific changes?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You referenced it just now. The next
21 policy, the fifth policy, Section II j(v) states,
22 "The legislature shall try to preserve the cores of
23 existing districts."

24 What is your understanding of what that
25 policy requires?

1 A. That's basically the cores of the -- of
2 existing districts or the counties that make up the
3 majority of those districts, to keep them together
4 in the same district.

5 Obviously, incumbents have a preference
6 to not have to add folks they haven't represented
7 when they can continue to keep the folks they have
8 been representing.

9 Q. What, in your mind, is the core of an
10 existing district?

11 A. The core of an existing district is
12 basically -- I view it as geography. It's the
13 county -- the key counties that make up the current
14 district, current as in 2001.

15 Q. Where --

16 A. Or 2011 I mean.

17 Q. Where does that understanding come from?

18 A. I don't know. That understanding comes
19 from what the cores of a district are.

20 Q. Your understanding of what a core of a
21 district is comes from --

22 A. I mean, that's what the definition of
23 those words are to me anyway.

24 Q. Did you have some sort of metric to use
25 when determining what the core of an existing

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 district is?

2 A. I did not.

3 Q. Does maintaining the core of districts
4 require considerations of racial data?

5 A. I don't think it does, no.

6

7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 was
8 marked for identification.)

9

10 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as
11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. This is a document that was
12 produced in this lawsuit. The Bates number in the
13 corner is RC 00056. It's a seven-page document.
14 Each page has one of the seven congressional
15 districts from the 2021 congressional map.

16 Do you see that?

17 A. I do.

18 Q. Have you seen this document before?

19 A. I have not.

20 Q. And you can take a look through it if
21 you don't believe me. But these are the seven --
22 these are maps of each of the seven congressional
23 districts in the 2021 map that you drew; is that
24 correct?

25 A. Yes, sir.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Looking at page one here, District 1,
2 show me on here where the core of District 1 is.

3 A. Well, the core of District 1 to me would
4 be Mobile and Baldwin counties.

5 Q. Flipping over to -- and why do you
6 consider those two --

7 A. Well, that's --

8 Q. -- to be the core?

9 A. Those are the two predominant counties.
10 They have the vast majority of the population in the
11 district.

12 Q. Flipping the page to District 2. What
13 do you consider to be the core of District 2?

14 A. The core of District 2 is a little more
15 complicated than that, I guess. You have the Wire
16 -- you have Dothan, which is Houston County, you
17 have the Wiregrass region, you have Montgomery, and
18 then you have Autauga and Elmore on top -- of top of
19 them.

20 Q. And why do you consider those counties
21 to be the core of this district?

22 A. Again, that's where the majority of the
23 population is. And they've been for the most part
24 consistently inside the 2nd District for a
25 considerable period of time.

1 Q. Moving the page to District 3, the same
2 question. What do you consider to be the core of
3 District 3?

4 A. The core of District 3 would be Calhoun
5 and St. Clair. And then obviously more down, Lee
6 and Russell, which are very fast-growing counties,
7 especially Lee County. That would be the core of
8 the district to me.

9 Q. And why do you say that?

10 A. Again, it's the vast majority of the
11 population. It's also -- those areas have been
12 pretty much continuously in the 3rd District.

13 Q. Turning the page to District 4, same
14 question. What do you consider to be the core of
15 District 4?

16 A. The core of District 4 would be sort of
17 the Winston, Walker, Cullman area, and then northern
18 Tuscaloosa which was only added ten years ago but
19 certainly plays a key role in the district now. And
20 then sort of Marshall, Etowah, again large
21 population, have been in the district a considerable
22 amount of time.

23 Q. Is your answer for why those are the
24 core based on population again?

25 A. Population, yeah.

1 Q. Flipping the page to District 5, same
2 question. What's the core there?

3 A. The core would be Madison and Morgan and
4 Limestone, which is now rapidly growing, as well.
5 Again, population, and they've been in that district
6 for a considerable period of time.

7 Q. Any other reasons?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Turning the page to District 6, same
10 question.

11 A. District 6, obviously Shelby and then
12 Jefferson because of population would be, in my
13 mind, the core of that district.

14 Q. Any other reasons?

15 A. No. It's population primarily.

16 Q. Finally flipping the page to District 7.
17 What would you consider to be the core of District
18 7?

19 A. I would say the core of District 7 is
20 the black belt counties that we talked about earlier
21 from Choctaw through to Lowndes, and then also the
22 portions of Tuscaloosa and Jefferson.

23 Q. What are the reasons for considering
24 those to be the core?

25 A. Again, population and that they've been

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 in that district for a long period of time.

2 Q. And going through each of these counties
3 that you consider to be the core of each district,
4 is that a determination that you made? Or is that
5 something that you were told by someone else?

6 A. That's a determination I made.

7 Q. Have you discussed what you consider to
8 be the core of each of these districts with anyone
9 else?

10 A. I may have discussed it with legal
11 counsel. But I don't have a specific recollection
12 of the discussion.

13 Q. Has anyone ever told you before what the
14 core of each district is?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Looking back at the policy that we were
17 referencing here about preserving the cores of each
18 of the districts, what did you do to make sure that
19 your plan preserved the core of each of these
20 districts?

21 A. I kept the areas we referenced by
22 district inside that district.

23 Q. Did you have to make any specific
24 modifications to comply with this?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Where did this policy rank in comparison
2 to the other policies?

3 A. It was equal to all except one person,
4 one vote and the Voting Rights Act.

5 Q. We're almost through the criteria here.
6 The last policy, Section II j(vi) states, "In
7 establishing legislative districts, the
8 reapportionment committee shall give due
9 consideration to all the criteria herein. However,
10 priority is to be given to the compelling state
11 interests requiring equality of population among
12 districts and compliance with the Voting Rights Act
13 of 1965, as amended, should the requirements of
14 those criteria conflict with any other criteria."

15 That sounds to be pretty much what you
16 just said to me, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. To your knowledge, was there any
19 conflict between the five policies we just discussed
20 and the requirements regarding equality of
21 population?

22 A. No. I mean, obviously, there can be
23 conflicts between one person, one vote and
24 communities of interest and one person, one vote and
25 how many counties are in a district. But not on

1 that level, I guess. You would have to ask me that
2 one again.

3 Q. And did you run into any of those
4 conflicts? Did you have to make any modifications
5 based on any sort of conflict like that in drawing
6 the map?

7 A. Well, I mean, I didn't run into them.
8 But, I mean, I kept those in mind when we were doing
9 our initial additions or subtractions to the plan.

10 Q. Same question. To your knowledge, was
11 there any conflict between those five policies we
12 just discussed and the requirements under the Voting
13 Rights Act of 1965?

14 A. No. As I stated, when I added
15 population to the 7th district, for example, I was
16 not looking at race. So there was no conflict with
17 any of it to the Voting Rights Act.

18 THE REPORTER: There was no conflict
19 what?

20 A. With any of those to the Voting Rights
21 Act.

22 Q. I don't think it's another policy. But
23 looking down here at the bottom, g, the last section
24 under the criteria. Section g states that the six
25 policies we just discussed in paragraphs j(i)

1 through (vi) are not listed in order of precedence,
2 and in each instance where they conflict, the
3 legislature shall at its discrimination determine
4 which takes priority.

5 Were you given any instruction on which
6 policy should take priority over the others?

7 A. No, other than section 6 that says
8 clearly one person, one vote and the Voting Rights
9 Act. But other than that, no.

10 Q. Is there anything else in Exhibit 8,
11 which is the reapportionment committee redistricting
12 guidelines, that you considered other than the
13 criteria we just discussed in Section II?

14 A. No.

15 Q. In looking back at these criteria in
16 Exhibit 8, Section II, were these the main factors
17 that you considered when drawing the 2021
18 congressional map?

19 A. They were.

20 Q. Did you consider any other factors when
21 drawing the 2021 congressional map?

22 A. I did not.

23 Q. Are you aware of any racial polarization
24 analysis that was done on any of the districts on
25 the 2021 congressional map?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I'm not.

2 Q. What is your understanding of what a
3 racial polarization analysis entails?

4 A. I think it -- I've never done one, and
5 I'm not an expert. But my understanding -- a
6 layman's understanding of it, it is an analysis of
7 performance of how a district would perform in terms
8 of electing a candidate of choice for a minority
9 candidate.

10 Q. Do you know why a racial polarization
11 analysis was not conducted?

12 A. I do -- that was -- I do not.

13 Q. Did you ever suggest one?

14 A. I did not.

15 Q. Why not?

16 A. It wasn't under my purview.

17 Q. What do you mean?

18 A. It wasn't part of my -- I was asked to
19 draw four maps and submit them to the legislature.

20 Q. Did anyone ever talk to you about a
21 racial polarization analysis?

22 A. Counsel. We talked -- we've talked
23 about --

24 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

25 Q. Without going into any discussion that

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 you had with Mr. Walker, did anyone else ever talk
2 to you about any racial polarization analysis being
3 done for the 2021 congressional map?

4 A. No.

5 MR. THOMPSON: For the record, Counsel,
6 I have a copy here of the joint stipulated facts
7 that were agreed to by counsel and filed this past
8 Friday. I only have one copy.

9 MR. WALKER: Do you want me to get a
10 copy made, copies made?

11 MR. THOMPSON: We can. I just have a
12 question about one of these. So if it works, I can
13 just read it into the record and show the witness.

14 MR. WALKER: That's fine.

15 Q. Paragraph 62 of -- for your knowledge,
16 sir, this is a document titled Joint Stipulated
17 Facts for Preliminary Injunction Proceedings. And
18 this was a document of stipulated facts that the
19 parties in the three lawsuits here have agreed to.
20 Does that make sense?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. DAVIS: Actually, there are
23 differences. What one set of counsel agreed to with
24 us may not be exactly what another set of counsel
25 agreed to with us. So you might want to clarify for

1 the record in which case those stipulations are.

2 MR. THOMPSON: This is the Milligan
3 plaintiffs versus Merrill stipulations.

4 Q. All right. Paragraph 62 in this -- and
5 I'll read it to you, and then I can show it to you.

6 It states, "In recent litigation,
7 Secretary Merrill stated that CD 7," which is
8 Congressional District 7, "appears to be racially
9 gerrymandered, with a finger sticking up from the
10 black belt for the sole purpose of grabbing the
11 black population of Jefferson County. Defendant
12 does not believe that the law would permit Alabama
13 to draw that district today if the finger into
14 Jefferson County was for the predominant purpose of
15 drawing African American voters into the district."
16 And that's from Secretary of State Merrill's
17 pretrial brief in Chestnut v. Merrill.

18 And I'll show that to you. Just let me
19 know when you've had a chance to look at it.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Do you agree with Secretary Merrill that
22 District 7 appears to be racially gerrymandered?

23 MR. DAVIS: Object to the form.

24 MR. WALKER: Object to the form.

25 MR. DAVIS: Which District 7? What

1 year?

2 MR. THOMPSON: I believe this was in
3 reference to the 2011 --

4 MR. WALKER: Right.

5 MR. THOMPSON: -- congressional map.
6 Correct?

7 MR. DAVIS: I just want to make sure
8 it's clear if, in fact, you're asking him about the
9 2011 district, that y'all are on the same page.

10 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

11 Q. So do you agree with Secretary Merrill
12 that District 7 in the 2011 Alabama congressional
13 map appears to be racially gerrymandered?

14 A. Well, again, I'm not a lawyer nor an
15 expert. But I think it's clear there is a racial
16 component to the finger that goes into Jefferson
17 County.

18 Q. And why do you say that?

19 A. Well, I think because of shape and size
20 and what have you. And, again, I haven't done -- I
21 haven't looked at it specifically. But I imagine,
22 obviously, the majority of the folks inside that
23 finger, for lack of a better word, are probably
24 African American and the majority of folks on the
25 outside probably aren't.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. And you drew the original District 7
2 back in 1992, we discussed, right?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. So you drew that original, for lack of
5 better terms, finger that extends into District 6?

6 A. Yeah. And I'm not sure it looked
7 exactly like that. But yes, I did.

8 Q. And why did you draw that long finger
9 extension into District 6?

10 A. Well, it partially probably had to do
11 with where the incumbent lived at that point. But
12 also to create a majority black district.

13 Q. Moving ahead to the 2021 congressional
14 map. Were you asked to do anything to District 7 so
15 that it does not appear to be racially
16 gerrymandered?

17 A. I wasn't asked to do anything. But when
18 I was looking at adding population to District 7, I
19 was hoping -- my goal was to make it more compact
20 and geographically comprehensible in terms of, for
21 example, Jefferson County. So that's why I was
22 adding west Jefferson County and gaining population
23 there.

24 Q. Did you do anything specifically in
25 drawing the 2021 congressional map to modify it so

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 that District 7 does not appear to be racially
2 gerrymandered?

3 A. I don't know how to answer that other
4 than I tried to make it more geographically compact
5 in shape.

6 Q. Other than that, did you make --

7 A. And not -- and not split precincts.
8 Which I think a number of precincts were split in
9 this version.

10 Q. Other than trying to make it
11 geographically compact and not splitting precincts,
12 did you make any other changes for that purpose?

13 A. No.

14 MR. WALKER: Just so the record is
15 clear, the witness' reference to "this version" was
16 to the 2011 version.

17 A. When I said they were split. Is that
18 what you're talking -- yeah.

19 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

20 Q. And I'm referring to when you were
21 drawing the 2021 map now. So thank you for the
22 clarification.

23 Did you specifically make any changes in
24 drawing the 2021 map to ensure that District 7 does
25 not appear to be racially gerrymandered?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No, other than -- other than making the
2 district more compact and more geographically
3 contiguous.

4 Q. Anything else?

5 A. And not split precincts.

6 Q. Anything beyond that?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Do you know if District 7 would still be
9 majority black without that finger sticking up into
10 Jefferson County?

11 A. I do not.

12 Q. Have you looked at that?

13 A. No. But, of course, it's not really a
14 finger anymore. It was basically the southwestern
15 part of the county.

16 Q. In drawing the 2021 congressional map,
17 were you asked to consider anything about race when
18 drawing District 7?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you consider anything about race
21 when drawing District 7?

22 A. No.

23 Q. And you say "No." That was before the
24 week before you submitted this to the special
25 session, correct?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Correct. But even once we turned race
2 on, nobody asked me to make any changes to District
3 7 or any other district.

4 Q. And did you make any changes to District
5 7 at that point?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did you look at the racial makeup of
8 certain neighborhoods that week before the special
9 session?

10 A. I did not.

11 Q. Did you take into account any of the
12 other characteristics of the black voting age
13 population when drawing District 7?

14 A. Help me with that one.

15 Q. Similar to what I asked before. Did you
16 take into account different socioeconomic factors
17 within the black voting age population?

18 A. No, sir, I did not.

19 Q. Attitudes?

20 A. No, sir.

21 Q. Interests?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Type of employment?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Income?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No.

2 Q. Educational level?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Favorite football team?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Voter turnout?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Election results to assess party

9 affiliation?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Were you asked to consider anything
12 about race when drawing any of the other districts?

13 A. I was not.

14 Q. Did you consider anything about race
15 when drawing Districts 1 through 6?

16 A. I did not.

17 Q. Did you consider whether it would be
18 possible to create a second black majority district
19 when drawing the 2021 congressional map?

20 A. I did.

21 Q. When did you make that -- when did you
22 consider that?

23 MR. WALKER: I'm going to assert the
24 attorney-client privilege.

25 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?

1 MR. WALKER: I'm asserting the
2 attorney-client privilege in response to that
3 question.

4 MR. THOMPSON: To the question of when?

5 MR. WALKER: He can answer when.

6 Q. When did you consider whether making a
7 -- excuse me. Let me ask the question again.

8 When did you consider whether it would
9 be possible to create a second majority black
10 district?

11 A. After we got the final census results.
12 So early September.

13 Q. Did anyone ask you to consider that?

14 MR. WALKER: Objection.

15 MR. THOMPSON: Was that an instruction
16 not to answer, or just an objection?

17 MR. WALKER: I think he can tell you
18 that I asked him to consider that.

19 Q. I'll go ahead and let you --

20 A. Dorman Walker asked me to take -- to
21 look at it, yes.

22 Q. Did you attempt to draw such a plan?

23 MR. WALKER: Objection. I instruct the
24 witness not to answer. It's privileged.

25 Q. Beyond your discussion with Mr. Walker,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 did you discuss with anyone else the possibility of
2 creating a second majority black district?

3 A. I did not.

4 Q. Do you agree that it would be possible
5 to create a second majority black district in
6 Alabama?

7 MR. DAVIS: Object to the form.

8 MR. WALKER: Same objection.

9 THE WITNESS: Does that mean I'm not
10 supposed to answer?

11 MR. WALKER: It's an objection to the
12 form of the question.

13 A. I think it would be possible. It's a
14 question of whether -- how many counties and
15 precincts you feel comfortable splitting to do so
16 and how -- what the shape and size and scope of it
17 would be.

18 Q. Would it be possible to create a second
19 majority black district and still comply with the
20 reapportionment committee redistricting guidelines?

21 A. I would not think so.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. Well, I can't say every -- some of the
24 plans that were submitted that did that either
25 paired incumbents or disallowed cores of districts

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 or made an inordinate number of splits or had 20
2 counties in a congressional district or some other
3 thing that was not positive in our guidelines.

4 Q. You said some of the other plans that
5 were submitted. I know we referenced this way back
6 earlier there morning --

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. -- that there were, you said,
9 approximately 41 plans that were offered at some
10 point in the special --

11 A. Not congressional. All the -- all the
12 whole. That was all. That was legislative, that
13 was everything.

14 Q. Understood. This may help.

15
16 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 was
17 marked for identification.)
18

19 Q. I'm marking Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. This
20 is another document that was produced in this
21 lawsuit. It's Bates number RC 000007. And I will
22 represent to you that the file name for this
23 document is Congressional Plans Introduced in 2021
24 Special Session.

25 Have you seen this document before?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I don't think I have.

2 Q. Does this appear to be a list of the
3 congressional plans that were introduced in the 2021
4 special session?

5 A. It does.

6 Q. Did you review any of these maps?

7 A. I looked at most all of them, yes.

8 Q. Earlier today you made a distinction
9 between looking at and reviewing.

10 A. Well, because a couple of these plans I
11 know were put into the system very, very late in the
12 process. So my quote, unquote review of them may
13 have been ten minutes.

14 Q. Which plans were those?

15 A. Well, Senator Coleman's plan. Senator
16 Hatcher's plan, I think, came in very late. A
17 couple of these others which are full plans,
18 obviously, but they were more amendments. Like
19 Waggoner and Barfoot were done on the last day. So
20 I looked at them, but I didn't have very long to
21 look at them.

22 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review
23 the Holmes congressional plan?

24 A. Yeah. Again, that was basically a
25 change for Congressman Moore when we were discussing

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 the whole Escambia versus Monroe thing. So it
2 was -- it was not really a whole -- it was a whole
3 plan. But the changes were very specific to
4 Congressman Moore. So yes, I'm familiar with it.

5 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review
6 the Faulkner congressional plan two?

7 A. I did. Those were changes that were
8 primarily in Jefferson County. Again, the vast
9 majority of the plan was the same this as the
10 Pringle plan. So I was familiar with those changes.

11 Q. You may or may not know the answer to
12 this. There's only one Faulkner plan listed here,
13 but it's numbered two. Do you know if there was a
14 Faulkner plan one?

15 A. I don't know. I don't know.

16 Q. It seems to be like the school prank
17 where you number the pigs one, two, and four.

18 A. One would guess there would be a one.
19 But I don't -- I don't know that.

20 MR. WALKER: I think that's the best
21 extraneous comment in a deposition I've ever heard.

22 Q. Understood.

23 Then did you review the Singleton
24 congressional plans? And there's three of those
25 here.

1 A. The first one, the whole county plan, I
2 did because that was a plan that was submitted to
3 public hearings along the way and had been in the
4 office for quite a while. So yes, I did. I did
5 have more time to look at that one, yes.

6 Q. And that's plan one, the --

7 A. Plan one, yeah, SB-10. Yes, sir.

8 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

9 A. Yes, plan one, SB-10.

10 Q. And are you aware that that one was
11 submitted by the League of Women Voters?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And there is also two other plans, plan
14 two and plan three. Did you have an opportunity to
15 review those?

16 A. Much more quickly. I mean, they were
17 offshoots of the initial plan that just changed
18 deviation for the most part.

19 Q. I want to walk through those, the Holmes
20 plan, the Faulkner plan, and the Singleton plan.

21 Starting with the Holmes plan, why did
22 you review that one?

23 A. I reviewed that because that was put in
24 essentially for Congressman Moore because he did not
25 want to pick up another county. And instead of

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 splitting Escambia between 1 and 2, he wanted to
2 split Monroe between 1 and 7 so that District 7
3 would pick up an additional county and he would not,
4 and then make the corresponding change in Montgomery
5 to offset the 739 people that were needed to get 1
6 to zero deviation. To my knowledge, those were the
7 only changes.

8 Q. You had had conversations with
9 Congressman Moore when you were creating your map,
10 correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Were these changes in the Moore --
13 excuse me.

14 Were these changes in the Holmes plan
15 changes that you did not want to or did not for some
16 reason make in the 2021 map that you drew?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And why did you not make those changes?

19 A. Because I didn't think it was fair to
20 put the majority of split counties into the 7th
21 District.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. I just didn't think any one district
24 should have to have four split counties when other
25 districts only had one.

1 Q. Was that the only reason you didn't make
2 those changes?

3 A. Primarily. I didn't think it was a good
4 -- first of all, it's 739 people. It's not really
5 -- you couldn't make a case that Congressman Moore
6 was going to lose re-election over gaining 739
7 republicans in Escambia County.

8 So I was not concerned about what it did
9 to his district. I was concerned about the fairness
10 issue of putting all of the splits in one
11 congressional district.

12 Q. Were there any other reasons why you
13 didn't incorporate those changes in the Holmes plan
14 into your map?

15 A. That was -- that was the primary reason.

16 Q. Were you asked by anybody to review the
17 Holmes congressional plan?

18 A. Well, when it was offered on the
19 floor -- I'm not sure where it was offered. The
20 house floor maybe. This doesn't say on here.

21 But whatever chair where that was being
22 offered asked me to, I'm sure, tell him what I knew
23 about the Holmes plan.

24 Q. What did you tell him?

25 MR. WALKER: You can tell him.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 THE WITNESS: I thought you didn't want
2 me to --

3 MR. WALKER: You can tell him.

4 A. I told him that I didn't -- I didn't
5 think that was a good change to our map because,
6 again, it put all of -- not all. But put another
7 split into the 7th District. Which I didn't think
8 it was equitable to put most of the splits in one
9 congressional district.

10 Q. Did you tell him anything else?

11 A. That's basically it.

12 Q. Did you provide any evaluations or
13 recommendations regarding that map?

14 A. Other than voting it down, no. I
15 suggested they not vote for it.

16 Q. Moving to the Faulkner congressional
17 plan two.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Why did you review that map?

20 A. That was the change where I had put
21 Homewood back together that made a few people in
22 Jefferson County, I guess, unhappy.

23 So representative Faulkner, who is from
24 Jefferson County, had a map that took the three
25 Homewood precincts out of District 7 and put them

1 into District 6, and took four precincts in the
2 Center Point area, which is the northern end of
3 District 7, and put those back into District 7. So
4 I reviewed those changes.

5 Q. Similar to before, were you asked by
6 anybody to review that plan?

7 A. I was. And whatever -- again, I think
8 these were offered in the house. So I think it
9 probably would have been Representative Pringle that
10 asked me for a quick analysis of what the plan
11 changes were.

12 Q. And what did you tell him?

13 A. I told him that it moved the Homewood
14 area into District 6, and it took those four
15 precincts at the northern end of district -- who
16 were in District 7 and added them back into District
17 7.

18 And I allowed as how I didn't think that
19 was really a good thing to do because it eliminated
20 some of my geographical compactness of what I was
21 trying to do when we were adding in western
22 Jefferson and not extending the quote, unquote
23 finger further north into Jefferson County.

24 Q. To your knowledge, did any of the
25 changes from your plan to the Faulkner plan have to

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 do with any racial factors?

2 A. I don't know -- I mean, I don't know
3 about the motivations of who drew the Faulkner plan.

4 Q. Are you aware of any racial
5 considerations that were taken in account in drawing
6 the Faulkner plan?

7 A. I'm not.

8 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. You may
9 answer.

10 Q. What about the Singleton plan? Why did
11 you review that plan?

12 A. Well, that was one that -- the initial
13 Singleton plan was one that was offered at a number
14 of public -- virtually every public hearing, I
15 believe. It had been in existence for quite a
16 while.

17 So I looked at it for what it -- you
18 know, for what it was doing. And I had a little
19 more time to look at it, actually, than some of
20 these other ones that came in at the last minute.

21 Q. Do you know what feedback there was from
22 the public hearings on the Singleton plan?

23 A. Not specifically. I really don't.

24 Q. Did you ever hear of any public feedback
25 on the Singleton plan?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Not that comes to mind, no.

2 Q. Were you asked by anybody to review the
3 Singleton plan?

4 A. Again, I was when it was offered in the
5 house or senate -- I guess it was offered on the
6 senate floor maybe first. Whichever chair of
7 wherever it was offered, I was asked to comment on
8 it.

9 Q. And what did you tell that chairperson?

10 A. Well, the initial Singleton plan was not
11 a zero deviation plan. So it really didn't meet our
12 guidelines. I also think it paired a couple of
13 incumbents, if I'm remembering the plan correctly,
14 in the 3rd District. I think it put in -- put maybe
15 Shelby County in the 3rd. So it would have paired
16 Gary Palmer and Mike Rogers. And it wasn't to zero
17 deviation. Also, it didn't have a majority black
18 district in it.

19 Q. Was that an issue to you, that there's
20 not a majority black district?

21 A. Yeah. Well, it -- it was an observation
22 that it did not have a majority black district.

23 Q. Does that matter for any particular
24 reason to you?

25 A. Well, it matters -- again, I'm not a

1 lawyer. But I suppose there would be some question
2 to how well it comported with Section 2 of the
3 Voting Rights Act. But, again, that wasn't my major
4 concern with it.

5 Q. There were two subsequent Singleton
6 plans, plan two and three.

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. Both of which you stated -- and it
9 describes here in Exhibit 9 as having adjustments
10 for population deviation.

11 Were there any other changes in
12 Singleton plan two and three other than changes to
13 deviation, to your knowledge?

14 A. Not to my knowledge. And, again, I
15 looked at -- I didn't look at these plans
16 extensively. But to my knowledge, it was just a
17 change in deviation.

18 Q. Were those other observations that you
19 made to Singleton plan one regarding incumbents
20 being paired up against each other, a lack of a
21 black majority district, any other observations you
22 made, were any of those addressed with Singleton
23 plan two or three?

24 A. Not that I'm aware of.

25 Q. Were you asked by anybody to review

1 Singleton plan two and three?

2 A. Again, in whatever body they were
3 offered in, the chair would have asked me about
4 them, yes.

5 Q. Do you recall what recommendations or
6 observations you provided?

7 A. Basically the same ones. The narrow
8 deviation, again while a more narrow deviation, was
9 not to zero deviation. And I think it still paired
10 the incumbents. And as I remember, the BVAPs on the
11 districts were very similar between -- among the
12 three. So I don't think it changed any of those
13 things.

14 Q. You also mentioned that you looked at
15 briefly the Coleman plan, Hatcher plan, Waggoner
16 plan, and Barfoot --

17 A. Yeah.

18 Q. -- plan.

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. Did you make any observations from your
21 looking at or review of those?

22 A. No. Well, the Barfoot plan was sort of
23 just the senate version of the Holmes plan making
24 the change for Representative Moore.

25 The Wagner plan was basically Faulkner

1 and Barfoot put together or Barfoot and Holmes put
2 together. It also made the Moore change, but made
3 the Faulkner change in Jefferson County. So they
4 were just sort of different versions or compilations
5 of those two things.

6 Q. I'm going to stop you right there
7 because I think there's -- it looks like there's two
8 Waggoner plans here. Which one are you referring
9 to, three or one?

10 A. Three was the combination. One -- one
11 was essentially the Faulkner version of the plan,
12 only in a -- drawn up by a senator or offered by a
13 senator.

14 Q. And I interrupted you there. I think
15 the only other plan we haven't discussed yet is the
16 Hatcher plan.

17 A. Right. And, again, that came in, if I
18 remember correctly, the night before it was offered
19 on the floor. So I really looked at it for
20 literally ten minutes before whoever -- wherever it
21 was offered. I guess on the senate side. So I
22 didn't do a very deep analysis of the Hatcher plan.

23 Q. For each of these plans that you said
24 you just looked at briefly, the Coleman plan, the
25 Waggoner plans, the Barfoot plan, and the Hatcher

1 plan, is it a similar response as you had to the
2 other ones, that you were asked to look at those by
3 whoever was presenting them on the floor?

4 A. Whoever was managing the time, the time
5 on the floor.

6 Q. And as to each of those, do you recall
7 what your feedback was?

8 A. Yeah. I mean, obviously, the Waggoner
9 plan was the same as the Faulkner plan. So I didn't
10 think it was a good change. And the Barfoot plan
11 was essentially the same as the Holmes plan. So I
12 didn't think that was a good change. And the
13 Waggoner three was just a compilation of the two of
14 them added together, which didn't do anything to
15 move the bar.

16 Q. What about the Coleman plan?

17 A. The Coleman plan, again, I didn't look
18 -- didn't have a chance to look at very much. I
19 believe it paired two incumbents in 1, in District
20 1, Carl and Moore. And it certainly didn't respect
21 the cores of districts because I think it had
22 District -- District 7 went from Mobile to
23 Tuscaloosa maybe.

24 Anyway, again, I didn't spend a lot of
25 time on either of those, looking at either of those

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 plans.

2 Q. What about the Hatcher plan?

3 A. The Hatcher plan I think was obviously a
4 two black district plan.

5 THE REPORTER: Two?

6 A. Two black district plan. I do think it
7 -- I think it paired incumbents, but maybe I'm
8 wrong. Again, geographically it was not very
9 compact. I think it went from Mobile to Russell
10 essentially on one of the black districts.

11 So I didn't think it -- I didn't think
12 it followed our guidelines very well in terms of
13 compactness.

14 Q. Other than compactness --

15 A. And splits. I think it also had like 13
16 county splits, where the Pringle plan had six. I
17 think it split a lot more precincts.

18 Q. Other than compactness and splitting
19 precincts, was there any other reason that you felt
20 that the Hatcher plan did not comply with the
21 guidelines?

22 A. Those were the main issues.

23 Q. Were there any other issues?

24 A. I don't think so.

25 Q. And with the Singleton plan, were there

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 any reasons why you felt that the Singleton plan did
2 not comply with the redistricting guidelines?

3 A. Yeah. Well, the initial Singleton plan
4 was not to zero deviation. It did pair incumbents
5 again in the 6th -- in the 3rd District, it had two
6 incumbents together, Moore and -- not Moore. Palmer
7 and Mike Rogers.

8 Q. Any other reasons?

9 A. And, again, it didn't have a majority
10 black district.

11 Q. Speaking of that, when you drew your
12 map -- which on this table, I would assume that's
13 the Pringle congressional plan. Correct?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. When you drew the 2021 congressional
16 map -- remind me. Did you start with drawing
17 District 7?

18 A. No. Actually, I started -- I started
19 with District 5 because I knew it had to spill into
20 4. And I had to do that before I could do much else
21 there.

22 Q. What order did you go in for drawing the
23 districts after that?

24 A. I basically moved down -- moved down the
25 state. I did 5 to 4. And then the changes that 4

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 -- putting Cherokee back together in 3, putting
2 Blount back together in 6, corresponding changes in
3 Tuscaloosa in 7. I basically worked down the map
4 from there.

5 Q. And you stated that you did not look at
6 the racial data in drawing the 2021 map until the
7 week before the special session, correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. When you did review the racial data, if
10 it had shown that District 7 was below 50 percent
11 black voting age population, what would you have
12 done?

13 A. I would have talked to legal counsel
14 about what steps to take at that point.

15 Q. Do you believe that you would have
16 needed to make modifications to make the black
17 voting age population percentage higher than 50
18 percent?

19 MR. WALKER: Object to the form, calls
20 for speculation.

21 Q. You can answer.

22 A. I'm sorry. Say that again.

23 MR. THOMPSON: Can I have the question
24 read back?

25 (Record read.)

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I think if it had come back under 50
2 percent, in consultation with legal counsel, I
3 assume we would have, under the guidelines, looked
4 for a basis and evidence to see if one existed to
5 add African Americans to the district.

6 Q. Did you draw any other maps other than
7 -- let me take a step back.

8 Did you draw any other congressional
9 maps other than the HB-1 Pringle congressional plan
10 that was ultimately enacted?

11 A. This cycle -- I don't know what time
12 frame we're talking about.

13 Q. I'll try again. Sorry.

14 In drawing the 2021 congressional maps,
15 through that process you drew the map that was
16 ultimately enacted, correct?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Did you draw any other maps in that
19 cycle --

20 MR. WALKER: I'm going to --

21 Q. -- for the congressional plan?

22 MR. WALKER: -- object to the extent
23 that -- and you may not be intending to. You're
24 asking him whether he tried to draw a two majority
25 black district --

1 Q. I'm just asking if you drew any other
2 maps at all.

3 MR. WALKER: And my instruction to you
4 is if you did anything at the instruction of me
5 alone, then that would not be part of your answer.

6 A. Other than that, no.

7 Q. I've gone a little over an hour there,
8 but I wanted to finish up. I think I'm done with my
9 questions for now. So I think we'll take a break
10 and then allow some other folks to ask you some
11 questions. Is that fair?

12 A. That's fair.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the
14 record. The time is 2:28 p.m.

15 (Recess was taken.)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
17 record. The time is now 2:47 p.m.

18 MR. THOMPSON: At this time, I'm going
19 to pass the questions to Mr. Blacksher.

20 EXAMINATION BY MR. BLACKSHER:

21 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hinaman.

22 A. Good afternoon.

23 Q. So it was Dorman Walker who told you you
24 were required to achieve zero population deviation;
25 is that right?

1 MR. WALKER: Object to the form.

2 Q. You know, I'm having -- I've had trouble
3 hearing you throughout. So I'm going to have to ask
4 you to speak up a little louder.

5 What was your last response?

6 MR. WALKER: Are you talking to me, Jim?

7 MR. BLACKSHER: The witness didn't
8 respond? That was you?

9 MR. WALKER: That was I who said "Object
10 to the form." He doesn't make objections.

11 MR. BLACKSHER: Oh, you said objection?

12 MR. WALKER: Yes.

13 Q. Okay. I'm going back to what you said
14 in your examination, your direct examination, I
15 guess we call it, where you said you were advised
16 that you needed to use zero deviation in your plan.
17 Is that right?

18 A. That's correct. Under two criteria for
19 redistricting, B, "Congressional districts shall
20 have minimal population deviation."

21 I was told by counsel that that was zero
22 for six districts and plus one for one district.

23 Q. And when you say "by counsel," you mean
24 -- well, I didn't ask you. Were you advised by
25 lawyers other than Dorman Walker?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. No.

2 Q. So it was Dorman who told you that
3 minimal deviation means zero deviation?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Okay. So you also drew the plan in
6 1992. And did you read the opinion of the court in
7 West v. Hunt, the 1992 opinion that adopted your
8 plan?

9 A. I'm sure I did in 1992 or '93. But I
10 sure don't remember it today.

11 Q. You don't recall -- well, let me ask you
12 this: Did counsel tell you or remind you that in
13 that decision, the three-judge court said that
14 because it was a court-approved plan, a
15 court-ordered plan, it felt constrained to have
16 perfect or zero deviation. But that if the
17 legislature had drawn the plan itself, it would have
18 had greater leeway with respect to deviation?

19 MR. WALKER: Objection.

20 Q. Do you recall reading that?

21 MR. WALKER: Jim, you've asked that
22 question several ways. And one -- it could be
23 interpreted in one way to be whether or not I gave
24 him advice on that. If that's what you're asking, I
25 object to that.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Okay. So if you read the West v. Hunt
2 opinion -- let me ask this question -- do you recall
3 the court saying that it felt compelled, because it
4 was a court-ordered plan, to use zero deviation?

5 A. I do not. As I said, I probably read it
6 30 years ago. I certainly don't remember what it
7 said today.

8 Q. Were you advised to use zero deviation
9 by anybody -- any lawyers in Washington, say,
10 connected with the republican party, the RNC or --
11 what was that other organization that you used
12 letters for? NRRC or something?

13 A. No. In terms of the -- are you talking
14 about the 2021 plan?

15 Q. The 2021 plan, yes.

16 A. No, I did not speak to anybody at the
17 NRCC or the RNC or anybody in Washington other than
18 members of congress and their staffs.

19 Q. Okay. NRCC, what does that stand for?

20 A. National Republican Congressional
21 Committee.

22 Q. Okay. But they didn't give you any
23 instructions or any advice about zero deviation?

24 A. No, sir.

25 Q. What about the members of congress in

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 the Alabama delegation? Did they give you any
2 instructions to use zero deviation?

3 A. No, sir.

4 MR. BLACKSHER: Eli, did I print out a
5 copy of the passage from State of Alabama versus
6 U.S. Department of Commerce that you can show him?

7 MR. HARE: Let me see here.

8 MR. BLACKSHER: It's got a highlighted
9 section in it.

10 MR. HARE: Yes.

11 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. Can you mark that
12 as -- what did you say, PX 10?

13 MR. HARE: Right. It's PX 10.

14

15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 was
16 marked for identification.)

17

18 MR. BLACKSHER: And show that to
19 Mr. Hinaman

20 Q. That, Randy, is the document that was
21 filed by the State of Alabama, as you can see, in
22 Montgomery's federal court against the census bureau
23 and styled 21-211.

24 And would you please read the
25 highlighted part in Paragraph 116 of the State's

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 complaint?

2 A. The part --

3 Q. Read it into the record.

4 A. I must admit highlighting in it in blue
5 makes it rather hard to read. But nevertheless.

6 "Even at the higher census geography of
7 Alabama's congressional districts, the November 2020
8 demonstration data indicated that the differential
9 privacy algorithm skewed the data enough to create
10 population deviation on a level that courts have
11 found in other contexts to violate the supreme
12 court's equal population jurisprudence."

13 Q. Thank you.

14 And under that language is a table that
15 shows what the State thought were errors caused by
16 differential privacy in the demonstration. And they
17 were congressional districts.

18 Did counsel tell you that the State of
19 Alabama thought that the zero deviation requirement
20 was using flawed data, in their opinion?

21 MR. WALKER: Objection to form. And I
22 instruct the witness not to answer.

23 Q. Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's
24 advice not to answer my question, Mr. Hinaman?

25 A. I am.

1 Q. So aside from what counsel told you,
2 were you aware that the State of Alabama took the
3 position in federal court that the -- that the 2020
4 census, because of differential privacy, would not
5 be reliable enough to use for zero -- for separating
6 people at that level?

7 A. I was not.

8 MR. BLACKSHER: Eli, if you can find
9 that passage from the public hearing at Northeast
10 Alabama Community College.

11 MR. HARE: I've got it right here.

12 MR. BLACKSHER: And mark that as Exhibit
13 11, please.

14
15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 was
16 marked for identification.)

17
18 MR. BLACKSHER: And show that to Randy,
19 to Mr. Hinaman.

20 Q. As you can see, this is a transcript of
21 the reapportionment committee's hearing on September
22 1 at Northeast Alabama Community College. And I've
23 printed out Page 12 and highlighted it.

24 Would you read the highlighted statement
25 of one Toni McGriff who lives in Dutton? Would you

1 read into that into the record, please?

2 MR. WALKER: You haven't highlighted the
3 whole statement. You've highlighted Lines 5 through
4 16. Is that what you want him to read?

5 MR. BLACKSHER: Yes, the highlighted
6 lines, please.

7 A. "Most of Jackson County, particularly
8 all of Jackson County -- practically all of Jackson
9 County is in Congressional District 5. But there is
10 a tiny little sliver of southern Jackson County
11 that's in 4. And I understand about trying to get
12 everything equalized in terms of population. But
13 the very few people who live there very frequently
14 think they're in District 5 and do not know who to
15 vote for. And I would ask that you consider that
16 when you are redistricting so that you don't have
17 that tiny little sliver out of that county. It is
18 in a section called Macedonia. Senator Livingston
19 would know where I'm talking about, I'm sure."

20 Q. Thank you.

21 So did anyone on the reapportionment
22 committee, the chairs or counsel, show you or tell
23 you about that testimony?

24 MR. WALKER: Objection as to what he may
25 have been told my counsel. Otherwise, he may answer

1 the question.

2 A. I was not familiar with that testimony.

3 But I did, of course, put Jackson County back

4 together.

5 Q. You sure did. And who paid the price

6 for that? Lauderdale County?

7 A. Well, you're comparing 17 people to

8 43,000 or something. I'm not sure that's a fair

9 comparison. But yes.

10 Q. Was it 17 people in Jackson County?

11 A. I'm making up that number. You're

12 comparing a few people to many tens of thousands.

13 But nevertheless.

14 Q. In most of the cases on the 2021 plan,

15 the enacted plan, for example, down in Escambia

16 County where you had to put the eastern slice of

17 Escambia into 2?

18 A. Yeah, 739 people.

19 Q. 739 people. Do you think that they're

20 going to share the sentiment of Mr. Toni McGriff in

21 Jackson County?

22 A. They may very well.

23 Q. And what I'm saying, what I'm trying to

24 point out, can't we agree that most of these tiny

25 splits to achieve zero population result in people

1 being basically separated from their home county and
2 put in a district where they really don't have much
3 influence at all over the member of congress, right?

4 A. In the Escambia County case, I would
5 agree with that. Although looking at the map, there
6 aren't many examples of that. Because most of the
7 other splits in the enacted map are much larger
8 segments of folks.

9 Q. Okay. Now, you said that you began
10 working on the congressional plan in May at some
11 point; is that correct, when you found out that
12 Alabama would have seven seats in congress
13 apportioned to it?

14 A. Yes, once we found out seven. And also
15 the guidelines were passed on May 5th. I started
16 work thereafter.

17 Q. And you were using estimated census data
18 to sort of rough out what that plan might look like;
19 is that correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And those estimated census data were
22 only available for whole counties, right?

23 A. I believe that's the case, yes.

24 Q. So you were having to work with whole
25 counties. And when the final census data came out,

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 you simply had to adjust with the correct 2020
2 legacy data; is that correct?

3 A. That's correct. Although while the
4 estimates captured the flavor of the changes that
5 happened over the last ten years, meaning four
6 districts were over and three districts were under
7 and the estimates properly identified those
8 districts, they didn't really capture the magnitude
9 of it.

10 Because I think the estimates had the
11 7th District being 30,000 and some odd number under
12 when it ended up being 54, and it had the 5th
13 District being something like 23,000 over when it
14 was really 43.

15 So while it captured the over/under
16 nature of the districts, it didn't -- it didn't do a
17 particularly good job of capturing the ultimate
18 numbers.

19 Q. Did you attempt drawing a whole county
20 plan at that point in May of 2021?

21 A. No. I just -- no.

22 Q. Why not?

23 A. Well, I don't even consider it a plan.
24 I mean, I was just lumping together -- and I do
25 think I was able to split. I just don't think the

1 answers were very accurate on what Maptitude had for
2 estimates.

3 So I didn't -- I didn't -- I lumped some
4 counties together and I split some larger counties
5 based on precincts, knowing that those numbers were
6 not going to be very accurate, and then waited until
7 we got the real numbers.

8 Q. Okay. And when you got the real
9 numbers, did you attempt to draw a whole county
10 plan?

11 A. I did not.

12 Q. And why did you not attempt to do that?

13 A. No one asked me to do that. And, again,
14 my understanding of our guidelines would be that
15 that would not have followed the proper deviation.

16 Q. Take a look at our whole county --

17 MR. BLACKSHER: Can you mark a copy -- I
18 don't think it's been passed around yet -- just so
19 we can be talking from something, the same thing?

20 MR. HARE: This will be Plaintiff's
21 Exhibit 12.

22

23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 was
24 marked for identification.)

25

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. So think along with me, Mr. Hinaman,
2 about how you might have attempted to reproduce your
3 starting point of the plan, which was the 2011 plan,
4 right?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. And if you were going to attempt to take
7 the 2011 plan and create whole districts and you
8 start with Congressional District 7, then you would
9 try to make Jefferson, Tuscaloosa, and Montgomery
10 whole. And that's what this plan does, doesn't it?

11 A. It does.

12 Q. You would have attempted to keep as much
13 of the black belt together as you could. And that's
14 what this plan does, doesn't it?

15 MR. WALKER: Objection. I'm not sure,
16 Jim, the way you're phrasing your questions, what
17 you're asking him. You seem to be telling him what
18 he would have been doing and then -- I'm just
19 confused.

20 MR. BLACKSHER: I'm asking leading
21 questions, Counsel. Is that all right?

22 MR. WALKER: Well, you're allowed to ask
23 leading questions. I just didn't understand what
24 you were doing. So go ahead, if that's what you
25 want to do.

1 MR. BLACKSHER: Can you read the
2 question back, please, Court Reporter? I'm sorry.

3 (Record read.)

4 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

5 A. It does, I guess. Hale and Perry I
6 think would be considered part of the black belt,
7 and that's in a different district. But by and
8 large, you're correct, yes.

9 Q. Switching gears for a minute. When you
10 met with Congresswoman Sewell, do I understand you
11 to say that she -- your testimony was that
12 Congresswoman Sewell wanted to keep her district the
13 way it is, adjusted for the population deviation
14 known; is that correct?

15 A. I would phrase it this way: I met with
16 Congresswoman Sewell and told her her district was
17 54,000 under. And I gave her some options of where
18 it made, in my opinion anyway, sense to gain folks
19 to make up that 54,000 difference. And then we
20 worked through that on the map. That's how I would
21 phrase it.

22 Q. Did Congresswoman Sewell tell you she
23 was opposed to attempting to draw two districts in
24 which blacks could elect candidates of their choice?

25 A. She did not. She didn't offer an

1 opinion, to my knowledge, on that issue.

2 Q. Say again.

3 A. She didn't offer an opinion on that, to
4 my knowledge.

5 Q. And you didn't ask her about it?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. Were you aware of all of the
8 nongovernmental organizations and grass roots
9 organizations in Alabama who have been urging the
10 legislature to draw two districts from which blacks
11 can elect candidates of their choice?

12 A. I'm not sure that I was that aware of it
13 in our initial meetings in May. Obviously, once
14 public hearings were held and your whole county plan
15 came out and so forth and so on, I was obviously
16 more aware of it at that point.

17 Q. Okay. So what you're saying is that you
18 simply sat down with Ms. Sewell and made suggestions
19 on how to increase -- get 53,000 and some odd
20 additional population in District 7, correct?

21 A. That's correct, and keeping her existing
22 -- the core of her existing district together.

23 Q. And didn't I hear you say you suggested
24 that one option might be to making Tuscaloosa County
25 and Montgomery County whole; that is, swapping the

1 population in Montgomery -- in Tuscaloosa County,
2 north Tuscaloosa County, with a population that
3 extends into Montgomery County?

4 A. I didn't offer that.

5 Q. What did -- you said something in your
6 earlier examination about considering that option.

7 A. If I did, I didn't mean to. I did not
8 consider that option.

9 Q. You did not consider that option?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Why not?

12 A. Because I started with her existing
13 cores of districts and I looked at what she needed
14 to gain, and I suggested areas that she may wish to
15 gain in. And we worked through the map and made
16 those changes.

17 Q. Well, I mean, was the -- is the little
18 -- the extension of District 7 that goes into
19 Montgomery County part of the core of that
20 district, in your opinion?

21 A. It may be now. It probably wasn't at
22 the -- obviously, I don't think it existed at the
23 beginning. It's a lot of people. I mean, I don't
24 know the exact number. We can obviously look it
25 up. But it's --

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Well, I can tell you that based on the
2 data that Dorman Walker and the reapportionment
3 committee provided to us, the population of
4 District 7 in Montgomery County is 62,519.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. And the population of the portion of
7 Tuscaloosa County that's in District 4, the
8 northern part of Tuscaloosa County, is 42,770. So
9 there's about a 20,000 difference between those two
10 split counties making them whole in District 7.

11 MR. BLACKSHER: So I'm going to ask
12 Eli, if he would, to mark up those two documents
13 that show -- that are labeled Plan Tuscaloosa and
14 Montgomery Whole and show it to Mr. Hinaman.

15 MR. HARE: I'm going to mark them as
16 -- the map as Plaintiff's 13, and then the chart or
17 the data sheet as Plaintiff's 14, Jim.

18
19 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 13&14
20 were marked for identification.)
21

22 Q. I'll tell you, Mr. Hinaman, that I did
23 this with Dave's Redistricting app. Are you
24 familiar with Dave's Redistricting app?

25 A. I've heard of it. I've never used it.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. Okay. And I did exactly what I just
2 suggested. I made -- took Montgomery County
3 completely out of District 7, and I put all of
4 Tuscaloosa County into District 7. And that 20,000
5 difference I got out of Jefferson County.

6 Otherwise, it looks pretty close to
7 the map that you ended up drawing and that was
8 enacted. But, of course, would you -- would agree
9 that it otherwise (inaudible) the one that you
10 drew?

11 A. Yeah. Obviously, there's a split in
12 Blount and a split in Etowah that I don't have.
13 But yeah.

14 Q. Well, this is a good point. When you
15 talk about making changes in District 7 like I just
16 did with Dave's, you end up requiring changes in
17 several of the surrounding districts.

18 I mean, for example, because District
19 6 lost population to District 7, I elected to get
20 some population out of Blount. And that ended up
21 splitting Blount.

22 A. Right.

23 Q. And because Montgomery County went
24 into District 2, I ended up having to do a little
25 split of Elmore County, right?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. And on up the line, if you will. But,
3 of course, I didn't have to interfere with the
4 split you made in Lauderdale County. And these are
5 -- and this is not zero deviation.

6 If you look to the left in that table,
7 you will see that there are as many as 471 people
8 in District 2 who are going to have to be -- I'm
9 sorry. District 3 who are going to have to be
10 taken out, right?

11 A. Yeah. I'll take -- I can't find that
12 number on this sheet. But I'll take your word for
13 it.

14 Q. Well, it's on the map.

15 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, I see it. Thank
16 you. I was looking on the corresponding number
17 sheet. Sorry.

18 Q. The point I want to make here is isn't
19 it true when you're drawing maps and you get to 471
20 people who have to be moved in order to get to zero
21 deviation, you go down to the block level, right?

22 A. Most times, yeah. Precincts aren't
23 going to have an exact number or that small a
24 number.

25 Q. And I'll represent to you that I

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 didn't -- this is drawn with precincts. So you're
2 going to have to split some precincts, right?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. But that usually can be done after you
5 have achieved the goal you set out to in broader
6 terms in your districting scheme, right?

7 A. Sure.

8 Q. There are a lot of ways that you can
9 split precincts or counties in order to achieve
10 this -- this sacred zero deviation objective. And
11 yet you didn't consider this option at all when you
12 were going over the plan with Congresswoman Sewell;
13 is that correct?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. She did not -- she did not have an
16 option to consider this arrangement, right?

17 MR. WALKER: Objection to form.

18 A. Obviously, she could have said how
19 about if I get all of Tuscaloosa County and come
20 out of Montgomery? Which she said neither.

21 Q. Well, I wonder if the reason she said
22 neither is because it turns out that doing that
23 reduces the BVAP, the black voting age population,
24 to 49.79 percent?

25 MR. WALKER: For CD 7?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 THE REPORTER: For what?

2 MR. WALKER: CD 7.

3 Q. Do you see that in the statistical
4 table?

5 A. Yes, sir, I do.

6 Q. So would that have been a problem for
7 Terri Sewell based on what she was telling you were
8 her objectives?

9 A. I don't know specifically. I don't
10 think she considered this map. So I can't -- I
11 don't really know how to answer your question.

12 Q. Okay. Did you and Congresswoman
13 Sewell discuss the whole county plan, the League of
14 Women Voters' whole county plan?

15 A. We did not. I don't think it -- in
16 our initial meetings, I don't think it existed. Or
17 at least I was not aware of it. I don't think she
18 was. So we really did not.

19 Q. It didn't exist in May, but it did
20 exist before you finalized the plan that became
21 HB-1, right?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And September 1, 2021, was the first
24 public hearing of the reapportionment committee.
25 And the League of Women Voters was the first

1 witness at the first hearing offering that plan;
2 isn't that correct?

3 A. I wasn't at that hearing. But I'll
4 take your word for it.

5 Q. So you're telling us that the
6 whole county plan offered by the League of Women
7 Voters was never discussed at all when you were
8 communicating with Congresswoman Sewell?

9 A. I don't believe it -- maybe it was
10 discussed at the very end about what other plans
11 are out there. We may have had a minor discussion
12 about -- frankly, I think at that point in time
13 yours would have been the only other publicly
14 acknowledged congressional plan. So she may have
15 mentioned it. But we didn't have a very healthy
16 discussion about it. Let's put it that way.

17 Q. What do you mean not healthy?

18 A. Very long, very detailed. She was
19 asking what other plans have you heard about. And
20 I think at that point, yours was the only one that
21 was public at that point in time.

22 Q. Did she tell you she would object to
23 that plan?

24 A. We didn't have that detailed a
25 discussion about it.

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 Q. So we don't know -- we don't know
2 whether Congresswoman Sewell would be happy with
3 the whole county plan or not; is that correct?

4 A. I do not know, no. You may know.

5 Q. Sir?

6 A. I don't know. I mean, you may have
7 talked to her about it. I don't have any knowledge
8 of it directly.

9 Q. I understand.

10 Can you take another look at the
11 whole county plan map, please?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And compare it -- and compare it with
14 the map of the 55 -- 555 plan, HB-1, the enacted
15 plan.

16 A. Yes, sir. Exhibit 5.

17 Q. If the court wanted to -- was drawing
18 a remedial plan in this case, just for the sake of
19 argument, it had reached the point where it was
20 going to draw its own plan, and it wanted to change
21 the whole county plan to look more like the plan
22 that the legislature enacted, that would simply be
23 a matter of changing the array between Districts 5
24 and 4, correct?

25 A. No. I mean -- well, first of all,

1 Terri Sewell doesn't even live in District 7 under
2 your whole county plan. She lives in District 6.

3 Q. I'm sorry. I'm not being clear, and
4 my question was not understood by you.

5 I'm just asking if the court wanted to
6 change the array -- if it was drawing a
7 court-ordered plan and it wanted to make the whole
8 county plan 5 and 4 look more like the whole --
9 like the 5 and 4 districts in the enacted plan, it
10 would simply be a matter of balancing out the
11 populations between 4 and 5, correct, splitting
12 some counties as needed?

13 A. Yeah. Obviously, 4 has changes in
14 Tuscaloosa and St. Clair that are different than
15 the enacted plan.

16 Q. Every -- every change has a ripple
17 effect, right?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. All right. But there would be no
20 problem in putting Lauderdale, Colbert, and
21 Franklin in CD 4 and moving Morgan County back up
22 into CD 5 if the court wanted to do that and made
23 the splits necessary to bring it into population
24 equality; isn't that correct?

25 A. Yeah. These hypothetical the court

1 wants to change things are hard for me. But yes, I
2 guess that's correct.

3 Q. I'm looking at the map of the plan you
4 drew in 1992 that was adopted by the three-judge
5 court in West versus Hunt. Did that map ever get
6 shown to you today, or not?

7 A. It has not been shown to me today.

8 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. I'm looking at
9 it in the amended complaint. I don't know if
10 anyone has a copy there that they can show
11 Mr. Hinaman or not.

12 But do you recall, Mr. Hinaman, that
13 the plan you drew in 1992 included all of the same
14 counties that are in the plan you drew in 2021?

15 A. I'm not sure I -- I'm not sure I know
16 what that -- I'm not sure I know what you mean by
17 that.

18 Q. The plan that you drew in 1992 had
19 Clarke split, it had Pickens split, Tuscaloosa and
20 Jefferson split, and Montgomery County split.

21 Now, your plan in 2021 leaves Pickens
22 whole, correct?

23 A. Correct, and Clarke whole.

24 Q. And Clarke whole. But Tuscaloosa,
25 Jefferson, and Montgomery are still split?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. So your 2021 plan, the plan you drew
3 and that was enacted by the legislature in 2021,
4 preserves the core of the 1992 plan that you drew;
5 is that correct?

6 A. It's -- it's correct. But you've
7 missed a few steps along the way, obviously.
8 Because as we discussed earlier in the deposition
9 testimony, it more preserves the cores of the 2011
10 districts, which I guess by chain preserve some of
11 the 2001 districts, which the legislature preserved
12 some of the 1992 districts, if that made any sense.

13 In other words, I did not use the 1992
14 map as the starting point for my 2021 map.

15 Q. No. You used the 2011 plan, correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And isn't it true that the 2002 plan
18 and the 2011 plan preserved the cores -- the core
19 of the 1992 plan?

20 A. For the most part.

21 Q. Can we sum up your testimony about how
22 you went about drawing the 2021 enacted plan by
23 saying that you drew the plan so that it satisfied
24 what each incumbent member of the Alabama
25 congressional delegation wanted? That was your

1 primary guideline, right?

2 A. Well, that was a part of it. My
3 primary guidelines were the guidelines given to me
4 by the reapportionment committee, and then based
5 off of the subsequent population shifts over the
6 last ten years to repopulate or take away from,
7 depending on the over/under of each district,
8 population, and geography to reach the required
9 guidelines of zero deviation and preserving the
10 cores of districts.

11 And, of course, where possible -- and
12 we've had a couple of minor cases where it wasn't,
13 as we discussed with Representative Moore and so
14 forth. But preserving what the incumbents would
15 have -- would like to accomplish, as well.

16 Q. But your testimony is that nobody else
17 but the members of the Alabama congressional
18 delegation had any input into the decisions you
19 made about how to draw that plan; isn't that
20 correct?

21 A. That's pretty much correct, yes, sir.

22 Q. No member of the Alabama legislature's
23 reapportionment committee, including its chairs,
24 had any input into that plan; isn't that correct?

25 A. They had all the input they wanted

1 into the plan. But they chose to allow the members
2 of congress to talk about what areas they wanted to
3 gain and lose underneath the guidelines that they
4 had already passed.

5 Q. And, in fact, in 19 -- let's see.

6 Excuse me.

7 In 2011, that's what the legislature
8 did, as well. They simply deferred to what the
9 congressional delegation wanted in redrawing that
10 plan, right?

11 A. No, that's not -- that was the goal I
12 had. But that's not what happened. When we got --
13 as you may remember, when we got to the senate
14 floor, there were some members of the senate who
15 may have wanted to run in one district or another
16 who moved some things around.

17 My map -- my initial map in 2011
18 didn't even have the 4th District in Tuscaloosa.
19 It had the 6th District in Tuscaloosa.

20 So there were numerous changes made on
21 the senate floor and probably subsequently the
22 house floor from the map that the members and I
23 worked on, members of congress and I worked on.

24 Q. But that didn't happen in 2021?

25 A. It did not happen in 2021. The map

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 that came out of -- the map that I gave to the
2 chairs that was offered at the reapportionment
3 committee was not amended through the process. So
4 it was identical to what was passed into law and
5 signed by the governor.

6 Q. Okay. So let me just go over -- I
7 think I'm about finished here. I want to make sure
8 I understand what your testimony is.

9 You considered no other plans that did
10 not have a zero deviation; is that correct? You
11 never considered drawing a plan that did not have a
12 zero deviation?

13 A. That's correct. My understanding and
14 -- my understanding of the guidelines required us
15 to be at zero deviation.

16 Q. And you understood, didn't you, that
17 Jefferson County was now at a population level that
18 was smaller than an ideal congressional district
19 and, therefore, no longer needed to be split? You
20 were aware of that, weren't you?

21 A. I'm aware of it. I'm not sure I
22 focused on it. But what you say is true.

23 Q. It wasn't -- it wasn't a priority for
24 you to try to make Jefferson County whole? That's
25 what you're saying?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. That's correct.

2 And, frankly, when I started the
3 meetings, I didn't even -- at the time I started
4 the meetings -- subsequently I realized it. But at
5 the time I started the meetings, I actually thought
6 that both Representative -- Congresswoman Sewell
7 and Congressman Palmer both lived in Jefferson
8 County. As I turned out, he had -- Representative
9 Palmer had moved over the last few years into
10 Shelby.

11 But at the time, I would have thought
12 that that wasn't possible under our guidelines.
13 Because when I started the process, I thought they
14 both lived in Jefferson County.

15 Q. But, in fact, you found out that
16 Congressman Gary Palmer lives about three blocks
17 south of the Jefferson County line in Shelby
18 County, and Congresswoman Sewell lives about a mile
19 away from where Palmer lives. But she's on the
20 Jefferson side of the line in Lake Cyrus, right?

21 A. That's correct, yeah.

22 Q. But I also understood you to say that
23 Congresswoman Sewell considered making her
24 residence, for purpose of redistricting, Dallas
25 County. Am I correct?

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 A. I'm not sure I would phrase it that
2 way.

3 When asked what residence -- when
4 asked for her residence address so it could be put
5 in the computer so that we would make sure she was
6 inside her district, she gave us both her address
7 where she votes at, which is obviously Jefferson
8 County, and her ancestral home. I don't know the
9 right way to phrase it. Where she grew up in
10 Dallas County.

11 Q. She grew up in Selma, right?

12 A. Yes. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Okay. And you're aware, aren't you,
14 that there is no residency requirement for members
15 of congress, aren't you?

16 A. I am aware. I'm also aware it's
17 exceedingly difficult to get elected when you're
18 outside of your district. It makes a rather good
19 TV spot.

20 Q. So even though congress -- Congressman
21 Palmer still lives in the city of Birmingham, he's
22 in that part that extends into Shelby County, he
23 would not feel comfortable representing the
24 Birmingham area again; is that right?

25 A. I don't know that. He may feel

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 perfectly comfortable. But I've -- I've seen in
2 other races where, you know, the fact that somebody
3 doesn't reside in their district is not a positive
4 when you get around to campaigning.

5 Q. Okay. I think I'm about done here. I
6 need one more look at my notes.

7 That's it. Thank you very much,
8 Mr. Hinaman.

9 A. Thank you.

10 MS. MADDURI: This is Lali Madduri for
11 the Caster plaintiffs. We don't have any
12 questions.

13 MR. THOMPSON: I think that's all the
14 questions that I have at this time, too. So on
15 behalf of all the plaintiffs, I'll pass the witness
16 at this time.

17 MR. WALKER: Let us have a few
18 minutes.

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
20 record. The time is 3:34 p.m.

21 (Recess was taken.)

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
23 record. The time is 3:39 p.m.

24 MR. WALKER: We have nothing to ask
25 Mr. Hinaman. So I guess we're done. Thank you

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 very much, everyone.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends the
3 deposition of Randy Hinaman. The time is now
4 3:40 p.m.

5

6 (DEPOSITION ENDED AT 3:40 P.M.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

1 STATE OF ALABAMA)

2 JEFFERSON COUNTY)

3

4 I hereby certify that the above
5 proceedings were taken down by me and transcribed
6 by me using computer-aided transcription and that
7 the above is a true and correct transcript of said
8 proceedings taken down by me and transcribed by me.

9 I further certify that I am neither of
10 kin nor of counsel to any of the parties nor in
11 anywise financially interested in the result of
12 this case.

13 I further certify that I am duly
14 licensed by the Alabama Board of Court Reporting as
15 a Certified Court Reporter as evidenced by the ACCR
16 number following my name found below.

17 So certified on December 9, 2021.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LeAnn Maroney, Commissioner
ACCR# 134, Expires 9/30/25
505 North 20th Street, Suite 1250
Birmingham, AL 35203

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

Exhibits	EX 0010 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	1	12,000
EX 0001 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	8:7 201:15	1	126:24
EX 0002 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	EX 0011 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	14:7,13	123
EX 0003 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	8:10 203:12, 13,15	29:23	62:4
EX 0004 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	EX 0012 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	114:22,23,24	12:57
EX 0005 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	8:12 208:21, 23	122:21 123:9	122:8
EX 0006 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	EX 0013 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	125:19 137:9	13
EX 0007 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	8:14	161:1,2,3	193:15
EX 0008 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	EX 0014 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	176:15	213:16
EX 0009 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	7:19 25:6, 10,11	183:1,2,5	13&14
	EX 0005 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	192:19,20	213:19
	7:21 92:17, 20 110:15,16 219:16	203:22	14
	EX 0006 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	217:23	213:17
	7:23 93:10, 14,18	1&2	14th
	EX 0007 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	14:3	112:23 113:8
	7:25 135:14, 18 147:22	10	139:16
	EX 0008 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	137:9	150
	EX 0009 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	201:12,13,15	61:23
	8:3 160:7,11 167:10,16	10,000	16
	EX 0010 Randy Hinaman 1209 21	71:23 72:11	204:4
	8:5 179:16, 19 189:9	100	17
		61:19 119:9	25:15 205:7, 10
		105	19
		80:10,14,16, 20	224:5
		105-member	1965
		65:11	140:24 141:5
		10:00	165:13
		88:1	166:13
		10:17	1992
		65:22	24:2,17
		10:35	26:11 27:7
		65:25	28:4 30:7,
		11	11,18 31:7,
		203:13,15	13 33:7,10,
		116	23 34:17
		201:25	35:12 37:19
		11:30	39:14,17
		121:25	40:1 91:6
		11:42	95:10 172:2
		122:5	199:6,7,9
		12	221:4,13,18
		203:23	222:4,12,13,
		208:21,23	19
			1st
			72:19,20,22, 25 87:1

123:9,20	45:3,17 46:7	16,17 63:17,	22 224:24,25
<hr/>	48:11,15,17,	20 64:21	2021-555
2	23 49:19	65:4,15	51:8
<hr/>	50:12,21	66:3,4,5,23	21
2	53:11,19,24	67:8,11,14,	148:6
14:7,15	77:3,5,8,11,	20 68:14	21-211
96:24 97:16	12,25 85:19	70:22 71:14	201:23
124:1,3,5	93:15,19,22	74:16,19	217
125:19 138:9	94:9,13,25	75:16 76:2	62:4
141:2,15,18,	95:15 100:5,	78:9 79:3,8	23,000
22 142:14,19	8 114:22	80:1 83:14,	207:13
144:5,8,14,	116:16	16,24 84:2	23rd
25 146:5,6	124:22	85:7,23	61:11
147:22	125:13	86:5,14	24
161:12,13,14	159:16	87:15,20	48:8
183:1 189:2	171:3,9,12	88:11 91:13	25
205:17	173:16	92:22,25	22:4,9 150:5
214:24 215:8	209:3,7	93:4,24 94:5	26
20	222:9,15,18	95:16 98:18	151:5
24:8 147:22	224:7,17	99:21 100:9,	2:21-CV-
179:1	2012	14,16 101:7,	01530-AMM
20,000	23:20,23	9,14 106:7,	9:14
213:9 214:4	2013	9,12,13,16,	2:28
200	22:4,9,18	18 107:12	197:14
62:10	25:16	110:18 111:3	2:47
200,000	2018	120:1 121:1,	197:17
55:24	22:23	7 123:3	2nd
2001	2019	124:23	72:23,24
26:13,24	56:21 57:9	129:19 132:3	87:1 124:13
27:14,24	59:9 66:6	134:11	125:14
28:11 29:4,	2020	135:22 136:7	134:25
22,25 30:4	51:18,19,20	137:6 156:10	161:24
38:17 39:13,	52:12,21	160:15,23	
17 40:1,4	53:19 54:4	167:17,21,25	
89:7 95:5	55:13,17	169:3	
126:20	57:11 62:22,	172:13,25	
159:14	23 202:7	173:21,24	
222:11	203:3 207:1	174:16	
2002	2021	176:19	
222:17	9:7,17	179:23 180:3	
2011	39:12,16	183:16	
23:20 26:12,	40:6 48:3,5	194:15 195:6	
13 37:22	51:2,5,12,	196:14	
38:11 39:13	16,19 53:20	200:14,15	
40:3,7,9,15	57:18 58:9	205:14	
42:13,18	59:22 60:9,	207:20	
43:5 44:5,25	12,24 61:5,	217:23	
	15,20 62:14,	221:14,21	
		222:2,3,14,	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

317 78:17 33267 18:11 35 81:13 36561 18:12 3:34 228:20 3:39 228:23 3:40 229:4, 6 3rd 94:19 124:4 126:19 130:17 162:12 188:14, 15 194:5 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 4 25:6, 11 91:23 115:8, 9, 11 127:5 162:13, 15, 16 194:20, 25 204:11 213:7 219:24 220:8, 9, 11, 13, 21 40-hour 63:2 41 47:3, 8, 10 179:9 42,770 213:8 43 207:14 43,000 205:8 471 215:7, 19	49.79 216:24 4th 96:15 156:2 224:18 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 91:23 92:17, 20 110:15, 16 115:6, 7 128:18, 23 163:1 194:19, 25 204:3, 9, 14 219:16, 23 220:8, 9, 11, 22 5-5-57 18:9 50 34:12 195:10, 17 196:1 50,000 55:21 501(c) (4) 53:10, 16, 21 86:3 53,000 211:19 54 207:12 54,000 210:17, 19 54.22 118:12 55 219:14 550 134:5 555 219:14 5th 127:8, 9 135:21	136:15 206:15 207:12 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 93:10, 14, 18 116:19 126:22 127:24 130:4 132:5 133:10 138:10 163:9, 11 167:7 172:5, 9 176:15 186:1, 14 195:2 214:19 220:2 60 44:7 62 169:15 170:4 62,519 213:4 6th 138:5 194:5 224:19 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 25:21 30:1, 17 32:2, 5, 15 33:23 35:12, 23 36:5 42:19 43:6 44:6, 14 45:2, 19, 20 46:7 48:15 95:1, 4, 9 96:3 97:6, 14, 15, 21 104:5 114:2, 22, 25 115:3 116:12 117:7 119:13	123:8, 13, 22 124:3 128:7 132:6 133:9 135:14, 18 138:8 147:22 163:16, 18, 19 170:7, 8, 22, 25 171:12 172:1, 14, 18 173:1, 24 174:8, 18, 21 175:3, 5, 13 183:2 185:25 186:3, 16, 17 192:22 194:17 195:3, 10 209:8 211:20 212:18 213:4, 10 214:3, 4, 15, 19 216:25 217:2 220:1 703 598-8383 19:1 717,000 73:7 739 73:5 123:15 124:17 183:5 184:4, 6 205:18, 19 7th 95:23 96:14 124:21, 25 125:14 130:19, 20 166:15 183:20 185:7 207:11 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 8 160:7, 11 167:10, 16
---	---	---	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

9	accommodations 92:3	added 44:21 102:14 145:25	adjusting 140:10
9 9:7,17 179:16,19 189:9	accomplish 155:17 223:15	162:18 166:14 186:16 192:14	adjustments 189:9 administrative 68:11
90s 27:10	account 19:2 34:14 86:10 100:4 148:4	Adderholt 104:17 127:4 128:15 134:17	admit 202:4
93 199:9	achieve 175:11,16 187:5	adding 98:1 130:22 145:12 158:7	adopted 26:10,16 27:6 199:7 221:4
9:00 105:19	accurate 26:20 60:22 72:5 208:1,6	addition 172:18,22 186:21	advice 199:24 200:23 202:24
9:13 9:17	achieved 197:24 205:25 216:9	addition 80:19	advised 198:15,24 200:8
A	acknowledged 218:14	additional 22:18,19	affiliation 35:10 41:25 176:9
a.m. 9:17	Act 31:23 51:8	additions 166:9	afraid 22:20
a.m. 65:22,25 122:5	achievements 99:10 112:23 113:7 140:23	address 18:10,13	African 32:8 33:17 170:15 171:24 196:5
abilities 137:2	able 141:2,5,16, 19 142:15,20 143:11	addresses 22:16 117:17 130:12,14 227:4,6	afternoon 15:11 197:21,22
ability 74:5	above 33:2 56:15 63:15 68:8 76:18 82:19 85:11 95:17 96:9 207:25	addressed 189:22	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
able 33:2 56:15 63:15 68:8 76:18 82:19 85:11 95:17 96:9 207:25	acting 9:3	address 18:10,13	afternoon 15:11 197:21,22
above 9:8 34:12 114:13 140:17	action 31:11	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
Acadome 103:18	actual 66:15 84:9 125:24	adjusted 210:13	aggregate 42:3,7
accepted 131:20	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addressed 189:22	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodate 91:19	accommodation 117:23	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodation 117:23	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodate 91:19	accommodation 117:23	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodation 117:23	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodation 117:23	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodation 117:23	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23
accommodation 117:23	add 45:8 96:1 117:12 128:7 145:20 146:2 159:6 196:5	addresses 19:6 117:14, 16,20 150:15	age 32:6,17 33:18 34:3, 8,15 35:10 44:7,10 49:7 74:10 83:2 112:18,20 117:6 119:12,22 135:7 175:12,17 195:11,17 216:23

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

ago 13:2 18:21, 22 23:10,20 24:7,8 31:3 33:12,22,24 38:14 44:19 45:4,14 50:15 90:14 162:18 200:6	115:6,21 116:6,7 120:1 147:5, 13,14 153:10,18 170:12 171:12 178:6 201:1,5,21 202:19 203:2,10,22 206:12 211:9 222:24 223:17,22	amendments 180:18 American 22:23 32:8 170:15 171:24 Americans 196:5 amount 80:25 81:19, 24 162:22 analysis 167:24 168:3,6,11, 21 169:2 186:10 191:22 analyze 144:3 ancestral 227:8 and/or 146:12 annex 125:8,21,23 announced 67:19 answer 13:10 31:4 58:17,19 104:15 107:5 108:8,12,17, 23 109:17 131:20 138:24 148:10 162:23 173:3 177:5,16,24 178:10 181:11 187:9 195:21 197:5 202:22,24 204:25 217:11 answered 150:18	answering 12:2 13:18 answers 208:1 anybody 17:13 59:21 70:10 129:20 184:16 186:6 188:2 189:25 200:9,16,17 anymore 95:18 174:14 anyone 15:18 17:5, 10 37:9 50:7 53:16 57:24 83:13 87:16 101:13,23 106:17,22 120:10,12 121:2,5,11 138:19 143:4,9 144:2,7 148:25 149:5 164:8,13 168:20 169:1 177:13 178:1 204:21 221:10 apart 149:19 apologize 46:8 62:12 app 213:23,24 appears 147:11 170:8,22 171:13 applied 150:25 applies 150:22 apply 63:17 64:2 139:11
agree 52:8 92:11 170:21 171:11 178:4 205:24 206:5 214:8 agreed 169:7,19,23, 25 agreement 17:19 44:3 107:9 120:7 ahead 37:22 38:9 50:23 51:2 121:17 172:13 177:19 182:8 209:24 Air 115:22 Alabama 9:2,3,16 12:13,22 18:11,14 22:16 23:6, 20 24:2,10, 18 25:11,16, 17 26:9,14, 22 27:10 30:13,22 33:12 53:15, 23 58:15 59:21 60:8 67:21 69:6 75:12 77:14 86:14 89:19 112:19 113:12	Alabama's 202:7 Alabamians 53:3,8 ALBC 12:21 25:11 algorithm 202:9 allow 70:10 197:10 224:1 allowable 151:16 allowed 56:25 59:8 131:2 133:7 148:20 151:7 186:18 209:22 alter 44:23 amazing 13:14 amenable 41:1 amend 134:20 amended 140:24 165:13 221:9 225:3 Amendment 112:23 113:8 139:16		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

147:12,24 149:6 apportioned 206:13 approached 51:15,21 approaching 121:15 approval 38:21 approve 36:10 approved 36:8 136:4 140:17 approximately 23:9 179:9 April 58:19 59:6 63:17,20 67:20 area 89:15,23 91:16 92:4 97:4 115:14 125:16 127:15 154:3 156:1,25 162:17 186:2,14 227:24 areas 32:7,19 33:3 45:8,11 71:3 75:9 89:15, 19 102:13 114:7,17 128:3 132:4 152:13,16,18 162:11 164:21 212:14 224:2 argument 219:19 around 52:11 54:3 55:16 57:10,	11 59:12 65:13,15 67:19 82:18 136:14 208:18 224:16 228:4 arrangement 18:2 216:16 array 219:23 220:6 arrived 82:17 asked 23:15 30:20 31:12,15,19 36:11,16 46:24 51:24, 25 112:25 113:6,11 117:13 119:20 134:24 135:6,8 140:7 142:17 149:3 168:18 172:14,17 174:17 175:2,15 176:11 177:18,20 184:16,22 186:5,10 188:2,7 189:25 190:3 192:2 199:21 208:13 227:3,4 asking 10:9 13:4,6 19:24 36:9 69:13 101:20 113:22 118:17 149:4 171:8 196:24 197:1 199:24 209:17,20 218:19 220:5	asserted 11:15 asserting 177:1 assess 35:9 176:8 asset 176:23 assigned 73:22 assigning 32:10 assist 101:23 assisted 24:5 101:25 assisting 24:9 Association 22:23 assume 12:12 13:10 17:12 18:2 57:25 59:16 80:19 104:25 194:12 196:3 assumed 117:9 118:19 130:1 assuming 121:8 assumption 39:25 40:2 118:21 ASU 116:7,8 attempt 177:22 207:19 208:9,12 209:6 attempted 209:2,12 attempting 210:23 attend 88:10,17	attended 19:13 attention 66:19 attitudes 34:22 175:19 attorney 10:25 15:19 17:15,17,20 18:3 106:25 107:2 attorney-client 108:4,6,18, 22 109:14 176:24 177:2 attorneys 9:18 15:4 August 57:2,4 64:24 65:4 68:9 74:16,19 75:15 76:2 79:3,8,11 80:1 82:18 83:13 84:2 85:7 Autauga 161:18 authorized 101:19 available 41:12 48:20 82:24 83:5 143:20,21,25 206:22 avoided 150:6 aware 50:11 130:23 167:23 182:10 187:4 189:24 203:2 211:7,12,16 217:17 225:20,21 227:13,16
---	---	--	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

	156:4 161:4	160:12	believed
B	ball	179:21	108:21
	113:1	bay	below
back	bar	153:6 156:5	195:10
18:6 24:17	192:15	Beach	belt
30:7 37:24	Barfoot	18:11 20:22	35:15
39:13 47:7,9	180:19	began	154:14,17,22
48:11 55:8	190:16,22	58:8 59:12	155:1,5,16
61:17 65:24	191:1,25	65:12 94:5	163:20
67:13 69:5	192:10	206:9	170:10
71:15,19	base	begin	209:13 210:6
76:16 82:3,	94:23 115:22	11:11 57:13,	Ben
12 84:5,14	125:4,24	17 60:11	22:21
88:23 96:19	126:2	63:15 64:20	best
98:6 102:11	based	beginning	13:17 127:11
103:14,21	38:17 40:19	9:12 60:17	137:1 181:20
115:2 118:4	60:18,20	64:16 79:17	better
122:7 123:12	64:25 66:12	114:24	125:10
126:12,20	68:6 70:25	212:23	171:23 172:5
127:15	73:16 84:12	beginnings	bill
129:17,22,25	97:25 100:9,	66:3	17:14,16,22
130:7 140:1	12,17,20	behalf	26:5 104:19
164:16	101:3 103:10	9:20 51:23	110:2 134:23
167:15 172:2	104:16	129:21	billed
179:5 185:21	105:5,11	228:15	17:13
186:3,16	106:10	behavior	Birmingham
195:1,2,24	131:22	42:15	9:2 69:20
196:1,7	145:14 146:3	belated	227:21,24
197:16	162:24 166:5	136:20	birth
198:13 205:3	208:5 213:1	believe	18:8
210:2 220:21	217:7 223:4	15:20 16:15	birthday
228:22	basically	22:5 26:6	136:18,20
background	54:19 79:14	28:20 29:12	bit
18:7 21:23	98:4 123:19	30:14 31:21	16:12 18:7
107:24	148:1 159:1,	33:12 35:11	21:23 38:13
bad	12 174:14	38:8 42:1	44:24 45:16
61:3	180:24	50:10 51:8	70:11 92:15
Baggett	185:11	55:7 69:20	104:16
10:23,24	190:7,25	75:14 83:6	121:16
balance	194:24 195:3	101:16,19,22	124:9,14
124:9	206:1	140:21 143:3	128:5 130:11
balanced	basing	145:4 160:21	black
99:5	118:20	170:12 171:2	25:16 30:2,
balancing	basis	187:15	5,12 31:13
220:10	35:17 145:1,	192:19	32:3,6,13,
Baldwin	3 196:4	195:15	16,20 33:2,
89:20 91:16	Bates	206:23 218:9	18 34:3,7,
152:13 153:7	135:20		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

11,15 35:10, 15 36:6,12, 15,21,22 37:7,12 42:20 43:7, 10 44:7,9,14 45:12 46:1 49:7,9,19 50:3,8,13,20 74:9 111:25 112:17,20 117:6,11 118:19,23 119:12,21 135:7 142:6 154:14,17, 20,22 155:1, 5,16 163:20 170:10,11 172:12 174:9 175:12,17 176:18 177:9 178:2,5,19 188:17,20,22 189:21 193:4,6,10 194:10 195:11,16 196:25 209:13 210:6 216:23	block 33:20,21 83:3 134:5 138:16 151:14 215:21 blocks 133:24 151:21 226:16 Blount 127:23,25 130:7 195:2 214:12,20,21 blue 202:4 board 52:6 54:12 56:18 64:13 65:5,7,12 75:2 80:12 81:8,16,23 139:10 Bob 22:22 body 17:1 190:2 bottom 135:21 156:20 166:23 bought 18:20 BRAC 125:4 brain 46:17 break 13:22,23 65:19 121:17,25 122:2,6 197:9 breakdown 98:24 breakdowns 98:23	brief 170:17 briefly 16:7 21:7 114:15 120:5 126:9 190:15 191:24 bring 220:23 broader 216:5 broke 122:9 broken 33:19 Brooks 68:23,24 70:17 84:23 128:22 129:1,20,21 134:17 buildings 70:9 Bullock 155:4 bureau 56:20 58:19 59:4 60:7 67:19 201:22 BVAP 74:6 112:12 118:10 134:24 135:8 216:23 BVAPS 143:19 190:10	130:25 132:9 198:15 Callahan 23:25 24:19 28:8 29:15 30:21 31:17 36:18 Callahan's 28:16 called 19:19,21 55:20 61:15 77:14,16 129:22,25 204:18 calls 54:9 83:21 84:6,13,15 85:1 102:25 103:3,5,11 105:5,9 195:19 campaign 20:2,6 21:12 22:22 23:1 campaigning 228:4 campaigns 21:11 44:1 candidate 112:14 142:9 168:8,9 candidates 21:13 210:24 211:11 capable 101:18 capital 77:20 capsulize 122:25 capture 207:8 captured 207:4,15 capturing 207:17
blacks 210:24 211:10 Blacksher 10:8 16:11 197:19,20 198:7,11 201:4,8,11, 18 203:8,12, 18 204:5 208:17 209:20 210:1 213:11 221:8 Blain 10:6 blanking 116:5		Calhoun 162:4 call 54:7 69:17, 19,21,23 85:3,4 87:2 112:2 129:24	

cards 124:17	74:16 76:3 77:24 82:5	challenging 70:11	113:7,15,24 115:5,14,20
care 131:24	84:9 133:24	chance 170:19	116:15
Carl 111:6 122:20 134:16 192:20	138:16 151:14,21 177:11 201:22 202:6 203:4 206:17,21,25	192:18	118:16 124:12,13 128:21 130:19 142:18 145:12 158:18 173:12,23 175:2,4 181:3,7,10 183:7,12,14, 15,18 184:2, 13 186:4,11, 25 189:11,12 194:25 195:2 207:4 212:16 214:15,16 220:13 224:20
cascade 115:5	Center 186:2	change 23:3 38:5,7 39:11 41:17 72:3 73:18 85:18 92:6,8 101:20 104:15,20 105:2,21 110:21 117:24 123:18 156:6 180:25 183:4 185:5,20 189:17 190:24 191:2,3 192:10,12 219:20 220:6,16 221:1	changing 146:17 219:23
case 9:14 11:16 23:15 54:25 85:23 87:2 112:21 139:24 142:2 170:1 184:5 206:4,23 219:18	certain 20:14 34:2,7 100:17 109:12 117:6 119:4,6,9 138:20 157:4 175:8	changed 22:13,15,16 56:7,14 99:6 120:22 128:19 182:17 190:12	characteristi cs 34:15 175:12
cases 205:14 223:12	certainly 47:5 58:2 59:4 74:4 79:19 89:10 96:12,16 100:24 101:18 109:5 121:10 150:10,24 152:24 162:19 192:20 200:6	changes 28:15 29:12, 19 38:17 44:25 46:7 54:15 57:21 71:6,7 84:18 86:10 91:19 99:2,4 100:4,5,8,9, 12,17,18,20, 22 101:3,9, 11,14,21 103:4,9 104:25 105:4,6,11 110:1,6,9	chart 213:16
Caster 10:12 228:11	100:24 101:18 109:5 121:10 150:10,24 152:24 162:19 192:20 200:6		Cherokee 126:10 195:1
Caucus 25:16	certificates 20:11		Chestnut 170:17
caused 202:15	certify 9:4		chief 23:25 68:23 70:19 84:7 129:5,19,22 131:3,8,13, 14 134:11
CD 170:7 216:25 217:2 220:21,22	chain 222:10		chiefs 121:9,11
cell 18:24	chair 11:13 52:15, 16 184:21 188:6 190:3		Chilton 124:12 126:24 130:17
census 15:23 32:22, 24 39:3,4 55:11 56:9, 14,16,20 57:1,3,5,15 58:18 59:4 60:6,19 63:16,22 64:5,8,23 66:6 67:11, 16,19 68:7 71:8,17	chairperson 188:9		Choctaw 155:2 163:21
	chairs 9:25 52:14 54:10 74:21 86:17 204:22 223:23 225:2		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

choice 86:6 112:15 142:9 145:2 168:8 210:24 211:11	171:8,15 173:15 220:3	come 25:1 29:16 49:9 71:17 75:9 88:23 92:12 129:3 134:17 140:4,6 141:12 142:10 145:20 151:19 153:20 157:24 158:6 159:17 196:1 216:19	223:4,23 225:3
choose 104:8 128:10,13 138:2	clearly 167:8	comes 49:8 152:20 159:18,21 188:1	committee's 107:2 203:21
choosing 32:15 132:21,22 133:4	clerk 10:25	comfortable 29:20 118:15 125:2 130:18 178:15 227:23 228:1	committees 90:24
chose 128:11 224:1	clients 22:18,19 43:9,13 62:21,25	comment 37:11 118:15 181:21 188:7	common 41:15
Chris 10:1 11:14	Cline 22:21	comment 37:11 118:15 181:21 188:7	communicating 218:8
chunk 127:23	close 50:5 66:14, 16 73:14 79:22 149:18 151:22 214:6	comment 37:11 118:15 181:21 188:7	communication 108:6
circumstances 157:4	closed 70:9	comment 37:11 118:15 181:21 188:7	communication s 88:7 108:7
citizens 53:2,7 73:7 104:3 114:14	closer 73:23 114:8	comments 89:2,5	communities 152:7,15,17, 23 153:8,9, 13,18,19,23, 25 154:5 155:10,12, 21,24 156:12,15, 17,22 157:3, 10 165:24
city 227:21	closing 125:4 126:3	Commerce 201:6	community 35:13,15 89:18,21 97:14 129:11 153:1,3 154:13 155:6 156:8,24 203:10,22
Civil 9:5,14	clue 113:24	commission 125:4	compact 124:21 130:21 132:16 133:2 141:25 142:3,5 146:8,20 149:20 172:19 173:4,11 174:2 193:9
Clair 162:5 220:14	co-chairs 59:23 86:16 88:22 99:12 136:11	commissioner 9:3	compactness 157:19 186:20 193:13,14,18
clarification 64:1 173:22	Colbert 220:20	committee 9:25 11:13 12:8 15:24 26:17 48:10 67:2 110:4, 5,7 120:16, 20 135:19 136:4 165:8 167:11 178:20 200:21 204:22 213:3 217:24	
clarify 108:20 169:25	Coleman 16:14 190:15 191:24 192:16,17		
clarifying 77:10	Coleman's 180:15		
Clarke 114:21 115:2 123:7,12,22 221:19,23,24	colleagues 87:23		
Clause 139:16	college 125:17 203:10,22		
clear 51:1 56:5 73:20 74:3 119:8 122:23	color 144:21,23		
	combination 129:14 191:10		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

companies 21:16 114:11	165:12	concern 189:4	200:18, 25
company 20:21, 23 21:5 22:15 23:2, 3 52:23	complicated 161:15	concerned 184:8, 9	206:3, 12
compare 219:13	complied 138:12 143:10 145:23 150:13 151:25	concerns/ discussion 58:15	224:2, 23 227:15, 20
comparing 205:7, 12	complies 140:12 142:14 143:15 144:4, 8, 14 147:1 155:9	concert 31:17	congressional 23:21 26:10, 12, 13, 15 27:6, 10, 13 29:22 30:1, 7, 11, 12 37:22 38:11 39:6 40:9 42:19 43:6 44:6 45:18 48:12, 16, 17, 24 49:19 51:6, 12, 16 52:2, 5 54:11 56:25 57:14 58:13 59:18, 25 60:9, 12, 24 61:6, 19 63:16 66:5, 24 67:20, 24 68:5, 15, 16 69:2 75:3 80:25 81:9, 25 83:16, 20, 23, 24 85:19, 21 86:9, 15 87:13, 15, 20 88:11 90:3 91:13 92:25 93:4, 15, 19, 23, 24 94:6, 9, 25 95:5, 10, 16 99:21 100:6, 9 105:17 106:10, 13, 18 107:12 110:19 111:3 119:23 120:2 121:2, 7 123:3 128:1 132:3 134:12 136:8 137:6, 15, 22 139:11, 24
compelled 200:3		concluded 142:19	
compelling 165:10		conditions 108:17	
compensated 17:10 18:1	comply 99:9 112:22 113:7 139:15 140:16 141:1 144:25 148:4 150:20 152:3 158:4 164:24 178:19 193:20 194:2	conducted 168:11	
compensation 55:2 56:3 57:23		confident 119:5, 7, 8	
compilation 192:13	component 171:16	confirm 92:9 117:10 144:4	
compilations 191:4	comported 189:2	confirming 41:21	
complaint 202:1 221:9	composed 146:7	conflict 72:7 149:14, 22 152:20 155:20 157:25 165:14, 19 166:5, 11, 16, 18 167:2	
complaints 16:1	comprehensibl e 172:20	conflicts 86:25 165:23 166:4	
complete 50:15 61:7 104:14 113:18, 21	computer 76:11, 19, 22 77:12 78:1, 10 79:10 82:13 98:1 101:11, 14 227:5	confused 209:19	
completed 19:12 60:24 61:5 102:2, 19, 24 104:12 105:8, 14 106:11 107:11 110:13	computers 33:12 76:17 78:12 80:4	confusion 90:21	
completely 60:22 72:5 214:3	concentration 32:8, 20	congress 21:12 24:18 40:10 43:14, 17 56:18 58:16 59:7 64:6 65:8, 14 66:21 67:7 80:11 84:7 103:6 107:16 120:6	
compliance 140:23 143:23 152:9	conceptually 113:14		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

142:2	125:5, 15, 21	140:19	consultant
145:21, 23	126:5, 7	147:8, 16	21:8 24:1
147:12, 17	127:4	148:13 153:9	consultation
148:19	128:15, 22	155:5 161:6,	196:2
150:21	129:1, 20, 21	13, 20 162:2,	consulting
151:18	130:3 131:4,	14 163:17	20:19 21:9
155:17	8, 16 132:12	164:3, 7	58:6
158:13	133:14	167:20	contained
160:14, 15, 22	134:10, 22	174:17, 20	37:12
167:18, 21, 25	180:25 181:4	176:11, 14,	contend
169:3 170:8	182:24 183:9	17, 22 177:6,	109:14
171:5, 12	184:5 226:7,	8, 13, 18	content
172:13, 25	16 227:20	204:15	15:3
174:16	congressmen	207:23	Contests
176:19	23:22 58:21	212:8, 9	150:6
179:2, 11, 23	80:18 92:11	216:11, 16	contexts
180:3, 23	105:18, 23	considerable	202:11
181:6, 24	121:11	58:14 97:4	contiguity
184:11, 17	122:11	161:25	151:7, 8, 12
185:9, 16	134:16	162:21 163:6	contiguous
194:13, 15	congresspeople	consideration	36:4 142:5
196:8, 9, 14,	125:9	100:23 165:9	146:7, 11
21 198:19	Congresswoman	consideration	147:25 174:3
200:20	43:8 45:7, 25	s	contingent
202:7, 17	69:5 101:1	144:21 146:2	56:4
204:9 206:10	103:12	160:4 187:5	continuation
209:8 218:14	122:16	considered	28:3
222:25	132:13	30:4 35:22	continue
223:17 224:9	210:10, 12,	107:1	118:23 159:7
225:18	16, 22 216:12	167:12, 17	continued
congressman	217:12 218:8	210:6 217:10	53:20
22:21 23:25	219:2 226:6,	225:9, 11	continuing
24:19 27:20	18, 23	226:23	39:23
28:8, 16	connected	considering	continuity
29:15 30:21	200:10	132:24 133:4	148:20
31:16 36:17	connecting	163:23 212:6	continuously
43:24 68:24	151:17	consistently	162:12
69:8, 22, 25	connects	161:24	contract
70:5, 17	151:14	constituents	43:16, 19, 21
72:22, 24	consensus	101:6	52:17, 19, 22
94:19	60:4 75:2, 3	Constitution	54:3, 5, 7, 22
104:17, 18	consider	139:17 141:3	55:3, 6, 8, 18,
111:5, 6	37:6, 18	147:6	20 56:8 62:2
113:25	48:12, 14, 19	constrained	113:4
116:11 117:3	49:18 96:23,	199:15	contracted
118:5	24 105:6	consult	53:1, 13
119:18, 19	106:24 107:3	21:10 41:3	136:25
121:9 122:15			
123:20, 25			

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

control 28:17	163:2,3,13, 17,19,24	105:2 106:1 110:16,17	24 195:13 196:2
controlled 27:19	164:3,8,14, 19 211:22	118:6 123:6 139:12,13	198:21,23 199:12
conversation 108:21 120:4 143:14	212:19 222:4,18	140:3 147:15 150:23 151:2,3	202:18 203:1 204:22,25 209:21
conversations 58:12 104:16,17 108:24 109:2,3 122:10 128:15,24 130:5 141:13 142:11 183:8	cores 39:15 93:25 157:23 158:22 159:1,19 164:17 178:25 192:21 212:13 222:9,18 223:10	160:24 165:16,17 171:6 172:3 174:25 175:1 183:10,11,17 194:13 195:7,8 196:16 198:18 199:4 206:11,19,20 207:1,2,3 210:8,14 211:20,21 216:13,14 217:22 218:2 219:3,24 220:11,24 221:2,22,23 222:5,6,15, 16 223:20, 21,24 225:10,13 226:1,21,25	counsel's 202:23 counties 32:10 42:4,7 44:18 64:18 72:17 73:22 75:8 89:22 90:21,25 96:1 102:10 114:19 146:11 153:7,22 154:18,21,25 157:5,15,21 158:1,12 159:2,13 161:4,9,20 162:6 163:20 164:2 165:25 178:14 179:2 183:20,24 206:22,25 208:4 213:10 216:9 220:12 221:14
convinced 131:5,11	Cornell 19:13,18		counting 12:20 147:20
cooperate 133:25	corner 160:13		county 33:19,21 40:25 44:21 56:19 64:9, 16 65:9 66:7 71:23 72:8 73:6 90:15, 20 91:1,7,23 92:12,13 93:6,16 94:12,21 95:2,5,8,10 96:4,5,8,9, 24 97:10,13,
coordination 68:12	correct 13:2 16:21, 22 18:17,18 22:9 26:4 30:9,13 36:12,19 37:23 38:11, 22 39:4,5,14 40:16 54:21 57:6 58:7 61:9,16 63:21 65:16 67:8,22 69:14 75:16, 17 76:3,4,9, 22,25 78:2, 10,11 80:5,6 81:6 82:6,20 85:21,22,23, 24 91:4 93:4,18,24 94:8,11,15 95:19,20 96:5,6 98:16 99:23 100:10,11, 16,18,19	correctly 111:20 188:13 191:18 correspond 120:12 corresponding 183:4 195:2 215:16 counsel 9:6 99:13,14 106:21 139:8 140:8 141:13 142:11,18 143:7,8,14 149:3 164:11 168:22 169:5,7,23,	
Coosa 124:12 126:19,21 130:16			
copies 14:8 110:24 169:10			
copy 14:10,18 21:25 22:3,9 26:4 54:22 92:21,25 93:15,19 102:21 120:18 135:18 136:6 169:6,8,10 201:5 208:17 221:10			
core 159:9,11,20, 25 160:3 161:2,3,8, 13,14,21 162:2,4,7, 14,16,24			

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

19,21 100:25 103:13 112:1 114:21 115:2 117:19 123:8,12,22 124:3,4,16 125:13 126:10,15,21 127:10,24 129:13 130:10 132:2,5,9, 17,19 146:14,17, 23,25 152:21 158:8 159:13 161:16 162:7 170:11,14 171:17 172:21,22 174:10,15 181:8 182:1, 25 183:3 184:7 185:22,24 186:23 188:15 191:3 193:16 204:7,8,9, 10,17 205:3, 6,10,16,21 206:1,4 207:19 208:9,16 211:14,24,25 212:1,2,3,19 213:4,7,8 214:2,4,5, 23,25 215:4 216:19 217:13,14 218:6 219:3, 11,21 220:2, 8,21 221:20 225:17,24 226:8,14,17, 18,25 227:8, 10,22	couple 46:14 48:13 62:24 63:13 79:16 127:13 129:25 133:8 145:18 180:10,17 188:12 223:12 course 11:16 48:25 87:22 105:20 123:8 174:13 205:3 214:8 215:3 223:11 court 9:1,15 11:1, 16 13:13 24:3 26:11 31:11,25 79:1 112:21 113:15 131:5,12,16, 19,22 199:6, 13 200:3 201:22 203:3 210:2 219:17 220:5,22,25 221:5 court's 202:12 court- approved 199:14 court-ordered 199:15 200:4 220:7 courts 113:13 202:10 cover 47:19 covered 108:25 109:14 113:3 148:1 COVID 55:10 63:7	crazy 63:8 146:15 create 31:12 50:12, 20 142:1 172:12 176:18 177:9 178:5,18 202:9 209:7 created 30:11 53:22 96:21 creating 50:8 178:2 183:9 criteria 41:4 137:8, 10 138:22 144:17,21,25 165:5,9,14 166:24 167:13,15 198:18 crystal 113:1 Cullman 162:17 current 16:6 23:11 159:13,14 cutoff 138:21 cycle 196:11,19 Cyrus 226:20 <hr/> D <hr/> Dallas 117:19 155:3 226:24 227:10 data 32:22,24 33:15,18 39:3,4 41:9,	24 42:13,16 48:22 49:6, 11,14,16 56:9,14,16 57:1,4,15 60:19 64:8, 10,16,23 67:11,12,16 68:7,9 71:9, 17 74:9,16, 22 75:25 76:3,6,11,14 77:24 82:10 83:10 84:5,9 97:20 99:20, 24 101:4,6 102:3,24 106:7,8 129:24 133:3 157:9 160:4 195:6,9 202:8,9,20 206:17,21,25 207:2 213:2, 17 date 9:4,16 18:8 22:11 25:15 58:11 61:12 dated 135:21 Dave's 213:23,24 214:16 Davin 10:17 Davis 9:22 15:6 50:22 169:22 170:23,25 171:7 178:7 day 79:19 180:19 days 79:13 DC 59:14,16 67:7,24
---	--	--	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

68:14 69:14, 16,18 73:17 deal 157:25 dealing 105:22 December 9:7,16 decent 78:25 decide 132:4 decided 24:20 85:3 86:2 127:14 129:1 131:17 decision 199:13 decisions 223:18 declaration 25:12 26:4 declined 68:18,21 deemed 113:15 deep 191:22 Defendant 170:11 deferred 224:8 defined 156:25 definition 32:11 153:1, 3 156:21 159:22 definitive 153:17 delay 55:11 delayed 68:7 delegation 24:20 26:15 30:23 31:17	45:23 46:2 58:13 201:1 222:25 223:18 224:9 democrat 151:2 democratic 28:13 39:24 democrats 27:19 demographic 38:17 demographics 35:19 demonstration 202:8,16 Dental 22:23 Department 201:6 depend 113:15 depending 124:7 154:6 223:7 depends 149:14 deposed 12:16 deposition 9:12 13:1 14:10 15:5 16:17,20 17:4,8 22:4, 5 45:14 181:21 222:8 229:3,6 described 87:1 describes 189:9 description 26:20 detail 125:18 detailed 218:18,24	details 120:8 determination 35:18 142:23 143:6,13 164:4,6 determine 35:13 144:8 167:3 determined 64:5 determining 159:25 Deuel 10:15 developed 26:14 deviation 36:2 73:14 84:19 86:11 102:9,16 128:18 132:11 133:13 134:8 137:16,19 138:1,3,12, 16,20 139:1 140:2 182:18 183:6 188:11,17 189:10,13,17 190:8,9 194:4 197:24 198:16,20 199:3,16,18 200:4,8,23 201:2 202:10,19 208:15 210:13 215:5,21 216:10 223:9 225:10,12,15 difference 47:14 210:19 213:9 214:5 differences 84:8 169:23	different 33:3 68:19 77:14 80:15 94:2 95:22 96:1 103:15, 19 111:19,22 127:13 128:18 152:17,23 154:5 155:12 156:18,19 175:16 191:4 210:7 220:14 differential 202:8,16 203:4 difficult 70:8 227:17 diluting 140:25 142:25 dinner 109:4 direct 198:14 directly 31:7 68:25 219:8 director 20:5 134:23 disallowed 178:25 disclosing 15:3 discriminated 143:4 discriminatin g 141:10 discriminatio n 167:3 discuss 50:7 70:20 71:5 84:1,17 85:14 86:14, 21 106:17
--	--	---	---

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

116:24 117:2	122:15,22	11 114:2,6	177:10
118:5 119:15	123:2,19,25	115:6,7,8,9,	178:2,5,19
122:17	124:25	11,17,21	179:2 183:2,
131:6,17	125:18 126:5	116:1,12,18,	21,23 184:9,
178:1 217:13	127:14 128:2	20 117:7,11,	11 185:7,9,
discussed	134:10,15,18	21 118:2,3,	25 186:1,3,
11:8 17:5,21	143:9	10,24 119:13	14,15,16
45:13 70:23	disputes	122:21	188:14,18,
71:10 73:17	72:14	123:9,20,22	20,22 189:21
84:10 103:6	distinction	124:1,4,21	192:19,22
106:16	143:3 180:8	125:9,19	193:4,6
115:24	district	126:1,7	194:5,10,17,
116:10	9:15,16	127:5,8	19 195:10
119:19	26:11 28:17	128:18,23	196:5,25
121:3,6,12	29:14,23	130:4,19,22	198:22
122:10	30:1,2,12,17	132:5,6,16	204:9,14
134:14	31:13 32:2,	134:23,25	206:2
140:9,20	3,5,15,16	135:9	207:11,13
143:25	33:23 34:11	137:15,24	209:8 210:7,
144:12 148:2	35:12,23	138:2,8	12,16
156:17	36:5,12,15,	142:2,3,7	211:20,22
164:7,10	21,23,25	144:19	212:18,20
165:19	37:1,17	145:20	213:4,7,10
166:12,25	41:17,22,23	146:13	214:3,4,15,
167:13 172:2	42:19,20	150:11,17	18,19,24
191:15	43:6,7,11	155:17 156:2	215:8,9
218:7,10	44:6,14,23	157:15	220:1,2
222:8 223:13	45:2,8,9,12,	158:11,12	223:7
discussing	18,20 46:1,7	159:4,10,11,	224:15,18,19
125:16	48:15,20,23	14,19,21	225:18
180:25	49:6,8,9,12	160:1 161:1,	227:6,18
discussion	50:3,9,13,20	2,3,11,12,	228:3
21:23 45:6	56:23,24	13,14,21,24	District's
98:8 120:8	59:10 70:24	162:1,3,4,8,	130:21
127:9 128:9	71:3 72:10,	12,13,15,16,	districting
129:9 131:9,	20,22,24	19,21 163:1,	144:20,24
22 133:7	73:7 85:18	5,9,11,13,	216:6
135:10	94:20,25	16,17,19	districts
164:12	95:3,4,9,17,	164:1,3,14,	29:13 30:5
168:25	24 96:3,10,	22 165:25	37:7,12,19
177:25	14,15,24	166:15 168:7	39:16 40:18,
218:11,16,25	97:14,15,16,	170:8,13,15,	24 42:8
discussions	21 100:4	22,25 171:9,	48:15 49:4,
15:4 61:22	101:2	12 172:1,5,	19,25 50:5
68:12 74:21,	103:20,23	9,12,14,18	53:19 54:20
24 86:24	104:5,22	173:1,24	58:23 60:1,7
97:24 108:14	107:17	174:2,8,18,	63:19,24
116:23	112:6,7,10,	21 175:2,3,	64:17,25
		4,13 176:18	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

66:11,17	divisible	21,23 103:2,	drawing
67:21 73:23	137:25	10 104:12	24:14 26:9
75:7 80:20	Dixon	105:8,13	27:6,16
82:1,25	30:24	106:8,9	28:11 29:22
85:16,17	document	107:11 108:2	31:13 33:1,
89:7 94:1,	22:6 25:19	109:22	7,16,23,25
13,17 95:22	135:25 136:2	drafted	35:23 37:6,
98:2,3,6	160:11,13,18	27:14 30:15,	18 38:15,23,
99:6,7,21	169:16,18	17 136:7	24 40:1,9
101:7 102:14	179:20,23,25	drafting	41:4,7,20
103:19	201:20	26:8,21	42:13 45:17
104:23	documents	30:25 31:7	48:14,23
107:15,18	14:18 15:21	42:18 43:5	49:18 51:16
111:25	213:12	44:5 93:23	52:1 53:11,
112:2,3,4	doing	111:3	19,22 58:8,
117:15 128:1	13:14 21:14	drafts	10 60:9,23
137:22,23	61:8 62:4,	106:5,13,15	61:1,19
138:13,17	13,18 65:7	110:18,25	62:18 64:3,
139:10	74:14 78:24	dramatically	20 65:3,12
140:22	80:3 82:15	44:22	66:3,5,23
143:17	87:22 91:24	draw	67:4 77:2
145:12,16,23	92:1,2 97:22	23:23 24:2,	80:20,21
146:3,6,19	101:18 132:1	17 25:2	81:23,25
147:24,25	140:10	27:7,15	83:15 84:2
149:19 152:6	145:17 166:8	28:19 30:5,	87:20 88:25
157:7,23	187:18	8,20 32:2	94:5 99:21
158:10,23	209:18,24	33:9,13	100:16
159:2,3	216:22	36:11,20,22,	101:7,23,25
160:3,15,23	Donna	25 37:1,4,9	105:25 123:3
164:8,18,20	101:16	39:19 43:15,	134:11
165:7,12	107:23	23 47:5	136:5,25
167:24	Dorman	49:3,24 50:2	137:6 147:9,
176:12,15	9:24 12:7	52:8 54:11	17 148:14
178:25	14:24 15:6	62:3 73:21	149:6 155:8
183:25	88:23 99:14	80:8 81:19	156:10 166:5
190:11	107:22	87:9,15	167:17,21
192:21	121:14	114:2	170:15
193:10	136:11 139:8	116:11,25	172:25
194:23	177:20	121:1 137:1	173:21,24
198:19,22	197:23	168:19	174:16,18,21
202:7,17	198:25 199:2	170:13 172:8	175:13
207:6,8,16	213:2	177:22	176:12,15,19
209:7 210:23	Dothan	196:6,8,18,	187:5
211:10	161:16	24 208:9	194:16,22
212:13	draft	210:23	195:6 196:14
214:17	26:24 27:1	211:10	207:19 214:7
219:23 220:9	29:11 54:4	219:20	215:19
222:10,11,12	102:2,5,19,	223:19	219:17 220:6
223:10			222:22

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

225:11	126:20	either	214:8
drawn	130:24	17:1 48:9	219:14,22
24:24 27:24	134:14	51:11 88:22	220:9,15
29:10,20	150:18	96:8 123:16	222:3,22
39:21 46:23	152:12	125:18	end
47:1 51:3	154:15	178:24	15:1 51:17
52:3 110:1	163:20 179:6	192:25	55:12,17
120:17,22	180:8 212:6	elect	57:2,4,11
121:7 140:23	222:8	142:9 210:24	60:17 64:23
142:3 144:19	early	211:11	66:13 79:18,
191:12	67:6 68:1	elected	19 82:18
199:17 216:1	177:12	21:13 214:19	85:2 96:19
dream	earnest	227:17	102:20
20:3	57:18,19	electing	119:21
drew	58:9	168:8	124:18,24
23:19,20	earth	election	125:2 127:9
25:3 27:2,21	19:23	35:9 41:6	128:16,21
34:6,16	eastern	42:2,3 62:23	145:14
35:12 37:23	205:16	75:18 112:14	186:2,15
38:1,10	Ebenstein	176:8	214:16
39:24 40:3,6	10:19,20	elections	218:10
49:19 77:25	economic	27:10	endeavor
84:14 91:6,	153:4 154:7	electorally	24:23
9,13 95:11	157:2	41:23	ended
160:23	education	Eli	73:4 207:12
172:1,4	19:11 52:6	9:20 201:4	214:7,20,24
183:16 187:3	54:12 56:18	203:8 213:12	229:6
194:11,15	65:5,7,13	eliminate	ends
196:15 197:1	139:10	97:11 132:17	229:2
199:5 214:10	educational	eliminated	Enfinger
221:4,13,14,	20:11 35:5	186:19	28:20 29:7,8
18 222:2,4,	176:2	Elizabeth	ensure
23	effect	10:24	173:24
due	140:25	Elmore	entails
165:8	142:24 158:6	124:9 161:18	168:3
duly	220:17	214:25	entire
11:4	effective	email	45:23 63:3
Dutton	64:14 65:1	19:2,6 88:4,	155:15
203:25	effort	7 120:12	Entirely
	24:16 73:23	employees	78:14
	efforts	21:4	envision
	24:6	employment	71:1,3
	eight	35:1 175:23	equal
E-S-R-I	13:2 45:14	enacted	127:22
77:20	80:12 81:14,	51:7 110:15	139:16,23
earlier	17,18	196:10,16	165:3 202:12
114:10		205:15 206:7	
115:24			

equality 165:11,20 220:24	72:4 73:12 74:11 84:8 102:6,12,17 114:5 207:4, 7,10 208:2	exceedingly 227:17	exists 145:3
equalize 137:15		exchange 104:5	expand 47:9
equalized 204:12	et al 9:13,14	excited 125:1	expect 17:25 112:24
equally 150:22,25	ethnic 157:1,8	excuse 20:10 26:12 48:12 77:7 122:16 156:23 177:7 183:13 224:6	experience 23:17 26:8, 21 27:5
equitable 185:8	Etowah 162:20 214:12		expert 168:5 171:15
errors 202:15	evaluations 185:12	exhibit 14:7,13,15 21:19,24 25:6,9,10 92:17,20 93:10,14,18 110:15,16 135:14,18 147:22 160:7,11 167:10,16 179:16,19 189:9 201:15 203:12,15 208:21,23 219:16	explain 21:7 44:24 45:16 49:2 148:25 149:5
Escambia 72:25 104:21 111:6 123:17,18 124:15,19 158:8 181:1 183:1 184:7 205:15,17 206:4	Evan 9:13		explored 73:19
ESRI 77:6,15,17, 22 78:3	eventually 91:22		extending 186:22
essentially 28:3 38:16 123:4 182:24 191:11 192:11 193:10	everybody 41:2 59:15 80:15 85:4 134:22 152:22 154:8		extends 172:5 212:3 227:22
established 153:13	everyone 14:9 229:1	Exhibits 14:3 213:19	extension 172:9 212:18
establishing 165:7	evidence 145:2,3 196:4	exist 23:12 110:23 153:15 217:19,20	extensively 189:16
estimate 72:11 102:4 111:14 113:9	exact 45:3 47:2 212:24 215:23	existed 196:4 212:22 217:16	extent 14:9 44:2 54:13 74:7 86:25 108:4 146:16 148:18 152:8,18 196:22
estimated 72:2 206:17, 21	exactly 37:3 57:12 85:13 109:8 132:3,25 169:24 172:7 214:1	existence 187:15	extraneous 181:21
estimates 56:19 57:5 59:9 60:18, 21 63:17,22 64:25 66:7, 12,13 70:25	examination 9:8 11:18 197:20 198:14 212:6	existing 39:3,15 93:25 100:3 157:23 158:23 159:2,10,11, 25 211:21,22 212:12	<hr/> F <hr/>
	examined 11:4		face 132:8
	examples 206:6		facilities 104:1 114:11
			fact 68:6 137:5 171:8 224:5 226:15 228:2

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

factor 35:22,24,25 104:10,11 150:22	185:16,23 186:25 187:3,6 190:25 191:3,11 192:9	file 24:21 78:6 179:22	firm 144:3,10
factors 34:20 100:13,21 132:24 133:3 147:8,16 154:6 167:16,20 175:16 187:1	Faulks 10:21	filed 9:15 24:22 25:15 169:7 201:21	first 24:16,23 27:4 29:14 30:12 51:15 67:10 91:6 93:17 102:2 106:6 129:5, 16 148:25 150:4 182:1 184:4 188:6 217:23,25 218:1 219:25
facts 169:6,17,18	Favorite 176:4	filling 97:23	fit 32:11 96:19
failed 24:17	feature 89:11	final 84:16 85:2, 3,4 128:20 131:1 134:21 177:11 206:25	five 15:15 18:20 68:17 69:3 79:13 85:1 154:5 165:19 166:11
fair 13:11,25 17:24 25:4 38:25 39:1, 25 40:2 48:13 51:9 53:2,3,7,8 63:25 80:22, 23 119:9 154:1,2 183:19 197:11,12 205:8	features 91:20	finalized 109:25 217:20	flavor 207:4
fairness 184:9	federal 9:4 21:11,16 24:3 26:11 36:10 201:22 203:3	financial 94:23	flawed 202:20
fall 61:15	feedback 29:22 87:8 89:14 90:1, 4,16 92:5 103:10 105:12 106:10 107:25 116:11 121:5 187:21,24 192:7	find 47:1 112:22 203:8 215:11	flew 68:14
familiar 27:23 111:17 141:4,6,15 154:14 181:4,10 205:2 213:24	feel 66:10 67:16 102:13 107:6 178:15 227:23,25	fine 68:10 78:24 123:17 126:21 130:15 148:12 169:14	flip 25:21 146:5
far 20:7 70:17 147:18,19 148:22	felt 104:1 156:9 193:19 194:1 199:15 200:3	finger 170:9,13 171:16,23 172:5,8 174:9,14 186:23	flipping 161:5,12 163:1,16
fast-growing 162:6	fewest 157:20	finish 49:3 78:22 79:1 81:22 197:8	floor 17:1 48:9 120:4 184:19,20 188:6 191:19 192:3,5 224:14,21,22
Faulkner 181:6,12,14 182:20	field 20:5	finished 98:12 225:7	Florence 91:24
	fifth 158:21	Finishing 98:14	fluid 154:1
	fighting 125:9		flying 67:23

focus 29:13	forma 120:8	79:25 84:22	226:16
focused 225:22	formal 20:25	105:24	gather 32:21 90:11
Focusing 83:24	formally 87:25	122:24 123:1	gave 22:4 82:11
folks 98:1,2 127:8 159:6,7 171:22,24 197:10 206:8 210:18	formed 23:6	196:12	135:1,5,9 199:23 210:17 225:1 227:6
follow 100:7 109:19 137:1,5 202:23	formulating 60:3	Franklin 220:21	gears 210:9
follow-up 130:25	forth 49:7 54:15 55:11 59:11 81:15 94:24 114:12 129:13 211:15 223:14	frequently 204:13	general 34:6 38:14 39:2 154:24
follow-ups 81:15	forward 50:6 59:25 75:1	Friday 15:1 61:10, 12 169:8	general's 17:15,17,20 18:3
followed 15:8 193:12 208:15	found 34:7 202:11 206:11,14 226:15	front 21:10 33:16 52:20	generally 38:23 46:6 73:16
following 9:9 110:4	four 15:15 23:19 41:11 46:13, 25 52:1,4,8 54:11,14 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 62:3, 19 64:2 66:17 79:13, 24,25 80:3 84:25 116:18 136:5,25 154:4 168:19 181:17 183:24 186:1,14 207:5	full 60:21 63:2 64:17 180:17	geographic 153:4 157:2
follows 11:5	fourth 157:12	full-time 18:13	geographical 128:6 186:20
football 154:8 176:4	frame 56:6 62:9 67:1,5 74:19 75:19,22,25	fully 56:1 73:3	geographicall y 97:3,18 124:20 128:8 130:20 132:7,22 133:2 134:1 146:20 172:20 173:4,11 174:2 193:8
footprint 130:21		fun 59:1	geography 32:19 35:19 36:2 99:25 128:11,12 146:2,8 153:6 159:12 202:6 223:8
Force 115:22		function 32:19	gerrymandered 170:9,22 171:13 172:16 173:2,25
foregoing 9:5		further-away 132:18	
form 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:22 138:23 146:13 168:24 170:23,24 178:7,12 187:8 195:19 198:1,10 202:21 210:4 216:17		furtherance 60:9 66:5,23 83:15 134:11	
		future 113:2	
		<hr/> G <hr/>	
		gain 40:19,20 72:24 95:3 210:18 212:14,15 224:3	
		gaining 172:22 184:6	
		Gary 188:16	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

get all 216:19	118:4 121:15	greater 150:1 199:18	135:19
getting 124:4 126:14	124:25 127:7	Greene 155:2	136:3, 7, 23,
Gingles 142:4	129:3 130:2	grew 227:9, 11	24 137:6
give 27:22 40:25 41:24 88:2 104:14 117:5 165:8 200:22 201:1	131:5, 12, 16, 19 134:6 147:6 155:13 164:2 168:25 176:23 184:6 191:6 196:20 197:18 198:3, 13 202:23 205:20 208:6 209:6 213:11, 15 215:8, 9, 23 216:2, 12 219:20	group 53:9, 13 133:10 144:22, 24 154:18	151:16 167:12 178:20 179:3 188:12 193:12, 21 194:2 196:3 206:15 208:14 223:3, 9 224:3 225:14 226:12
given 76:11, 14 81:25 87:14 165:10 167:5 223:3	good 10:19, 21, 23 11:19, 20 34:9 38:6 43:1 81:4 124:5 129:14 133:10 145:3 184:3 185:5 186:19 192:10, 12 197:21, 22 207:17 214:14 227:18	grow 158:13	
giving 130:7	Goodlatte 22:22	growing 163:4	H
goal 29:25 36:20, 24 37:1 42:18 43:5 44:5 59:14 92:10 172:19 216:5 224:11	government 19:20, 22	guess 15:15 17:22 21:2 24:16 25:3 31:14 40:2 45:2, 5 50:17 56:20 61:2, 4 64:8 68:20 74:2 84:16 86:5 101:20 113:14 118:15, 17 129:17 141:23 142:4, 19 153:22 155:4 161:15 166:1 181:18 185:22 188:5 191:21 198:15 210:5 221:2 222:10 228:25	Hale 155:3 210:5
goes 70:17 132:17 140:1 171:16 212:18	governments 158:14	guessing 62:11	hand 25:9 93:13
going 25:9 28:25 31:4 41:22 45:2, 5 48:11 49:5, 6 50:6 51:5 55:10 57:10 58:23 59:1 60:7, 22 61:17 62:3 65:18 68:6 72:3, 4, 20, 21 73:18 74:13 82:3, 15 88:19 89:10 93:13 102:17 105:15 108:3, 12 114:6, 23	governor 41:16 225:5	guideline 39:16 90:24 223:1	handed 44:19 136:12
	grabbing 170:10	guidelines 15:24, 25 26:16 67:2 68:1 74:20 99:9 120:23	handing 14:6 92:20 135:17 160:10
	graduate 19:16, 25		happen 38:3, 5 56:10 115:5 224:24, 25
	granular 125:17		happened 38:18 109:23 113:23 207:5 224:12
	grass 211:8		happening 87:3 88:13, 16 91:21 118:1
			happy 41:2 116:21 121:19, 22, 25 136:20 219:2
			hard 31:4 47:8, 9 97:5, 10 202:5 221:1

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

Hare 9:20 201:7, 10,13 203:11 208:20 213:15	help 13:19 92:15 175:14 179:14	Holmes 180:23 182:19,21 183:14 184:13,17,23 190:23 191:1 192:11	13 81:7 147:14 184:20 186:8 188:5 224:22
Harris 104:19 134:23	helped 24:2,22		Houston 161:16
Hatcher 16:15 190:15 191:16,22,25 193:2,3,20	helpful 66:19 125:6	home 117:14,17, 18,19 130:12 150:15 206:1 227:8	hungry 121:21
Hatcher's 180:16	Henry 10:13	Homewood 116:17,18 133:7,8 185:21,25 186:13	Hunt 199:7 200:1 221:5
hazard 45:2	high 32:7		Huntsville 129:13
HB-1 51:8 196:9 217:21 219:14	higher 195:17 202:6	honest 33:14 45:21 91:10 151:12	hypothetical 113:22 220:25
head 34:25 47:9 53:6	highest 19:11	honestly 17:22	<hr/> I <hr/>
heads 101:17	highlighted 201:8,25 203:23,24 204:2,3,5	hooked 146:12	idea 38:3 61:2 62:5 91:8 131:21
healthy 218:15,17	highlighting 202:4	hope 113:19	ideal 45:9 73:24 98:6 114:9 158:2 225:18
hear 90:6 187:24 211:23	Hinaman 9:7,12 11:3, 22,23 20:17, 24 21:1,3 23:3 52:24 66:1 122:9 197:21 201:19 202:24 203:19 209:1 213:14,22 221:11,12 228:8,25 229:3	hoping 55:9 134:2 172:19	identical 110:14 225:4
heard 112:5 181:21 213:25 218:19		host 153:7,8,24	identificatio n 14:4 21:20 25:7 92:18 93:11 135:15 160:8 179:17 201:16 203:16 208:24 213:20
hearing 90:12 187:14 198:3 203:9, 21 217:24 218:1,3	hire 86:2	hour 13:23 17:18 65:18 121:15 197:7	identified 207:7
hearings 88:11 89:14 90:2,6,16 91:12 92:6 182:3 187:22 211:14	hired 28:23 38:19 85:20 86:3	hours 15:15,17 48:8 60:25 61:18,19,24 62:1,4,10	identify 157:9
held 211:14	historical 157:3	house 47:18 52:5, 15 54:10,12 64:15,17,21, 22 65:11 70:9 75:4 78:17 80:10,	identities 157:3
	history 30:13		II 137:10,14
	hit 134:4 155:17		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

139:9,14	121:8 157:1	initial	121:1 200:23
140:10,15,22	223:23	102:2,5	201:2
143:10	Income	114:4 127:9	intact
144:18	35:3 175:25	130:24 166:9	89:16
147:5,17,21	incorporate	182:17	intending
148:6,7	184:13	187:12	196:23
151:4 152:6	increase	188:10 194:3	intent
156:20	211:19	211:13	32:2 141:10
157:13	incumbent	217:16	intents
158:21 165:6	172:11	224:17	79:15
167:13,16	222:24	initially	interacting
imagine	incumbents	56:7 97:23	27:20
52:21 155:16	40:12 54:19	117:13	interest
171:21	148:18	123:16 124:7	35:13,16
immunity	149:17,21	125:2 130:9,	39:7 54:15
11:15	150:6,11	13	89:18,21
impact	151:1 159:5	Injunction	97:14 129:3,
67:4 91:12	178:25	169:17	11 152:7,15,
92:6 112:14	188:13	inordinate	17,24 153:2,
imply	189:19	179:1	3,8,10,13,
27:2,3	190:10	input	18,20,23,25
importance	192:19 193:7	29:9 223:18,	154:5,13
150:1	194:4,6	24,25	155:6,10,12,
important	223:14	inside	21,24
13:16 73:6	indicated	117:15,21	156:13,15,
136:19	202:8	146:17	17,22,24
importantly	indicating	161:24	157:4,10
136:17	108:18	164:22	165:24
imported	individual	171:22 227:6	interested
78:3,6	42:4 43:24	instance	51:25 68:19
imposed	individually	34:19 39:12,	84:24
31:11	52:22	17 59:21	114:10,13
in-person	influence	111:17 167:2	115:22 130:1
70:4,7	112:2,4,6,10	instances	interests
inaudible	206:3	145:19	34:24 157:1
214:9	info	instruct	165:11
include	75:22	108:16,23	175:21
27:6 128:3,4	information	109:17	interface
133:5 157:4	32:21 33:6	177:23	29:6
included	41:13,24	202:22	interfaced
32:7 35:14	48:20 71:15,	instruction	28:23
109:6 221:13	19,20 72:1	109:19 167:5	interfere
includes	73:8 82:5,	177:15	215:3
96:4	19,23 83:4,8	197:3,4	internals
including	87:6 91:11	instructions	74:5
26:9 61:21	informed	31:24 86:8	interpreted
80:9 97:20	59:5	87:14 114:1	199:23
		116:10 117:5	

interrupted 191:14	130:9,13,19, 21,22 132:2, 5,8,9,17		50:4,19
interruption 42:21	146:18,23	K	53:25 55:10, 13 57:9,12
introduced 179:23 180:3	163:12,22	keep	58:14 59:24
involve 108:14	170:11,14	91:24 129:14	61:25 62:6
involved 19:8 24:14	171:16	152:15,18,25	63:13,23
62:7	172:21,22	155:25	71:1 74:11
irrelevant 62:4 72:10	174:10 181:8	157:20	77:19 80:11
issue 156:3 184:10	185:22,24	158:10	81:5 83:2
188:19 211:1	186:22,23	159:3,7	84:10,25
issues 126:3	191:3 209:9	209:12	86:2,6 87:3
155:18,19	214:5	210:12	91:1 94:4,16
193:22,23	221:20,25	keeping	95:2,7,14
iterations 110:22	225:17,24	39:8 91:16,	96:12,18
	226:7,14,17, 20 227:7	17 129:6,10	99:2,6,8
	Jim	158:1 211:21	101:21
	9:22,25 10:8	kept	103:22
	11:13 15:6	44:6 89:16,	105:17,20
	198:6 199:21	20 91:21	107:5 109:8,
	209:16	115:20	11 113:19
	213:17	164:21 166:8	114:23,24
	job	key	118:21,25
	13:14 20:3	12:25 159:13	119:4 125:25
	78:25 143:3	162:19	129:23
	207:17	kind	131:13
	John	73:25 74:1	136:20
	9:13	105:1 122:18	143:1,2
	joint	132:22	144:7
	169:6,16	knew	147:18,19
	judges	58:17,22	148:22
	36:10 38:5,6	60:1 68:3	149:21
	Julie	73:3 74:12	151:5,11
	10:19	114:23,24,25	153:17
	July	115:6 138:4	154:21
	55:9,14	184:22	155:15 158:9
	56:10 60:16	194:19	159:18
	June	know	168:10
	22:4,9 25:15	13:8,24	170:19 173:3
	55:14 60:16, 20	26:23 27:3	174:8 179:5
	jurisprudence	28:15,19,23	180:11
	202:12	29:25 30:4	181:11,13,
		31:9,19	15,19 187:2,
		33:14 37:5	18,21 196:11
		38:4 40:21	198:2
		41:15,16	204:14,19
		44:17 45:1,	212:24
		7,11,13,22	217:9,11
		48:19 49:6	219:1,4,6
			221:9,15,16

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

227:8, 25	Larry	leading	157:14
228:2	30:24	209:20, 23	158:22 167:3
knowing	late	League	168:19
60:21 72:3	103:1	182:11	199:17
73:17 102:16	180:11, 16	217:13, 25	211:10
117:16 208:5	latest	218:6	219:22
knowledge	41:11	Leann	222:3, 11
82:15 93:20	Lauderdale	9:1	224:7
165:18	91:23 92:4	leave	legislature's
166:10	104:16	96:9	223:22
169:15 183:6	127:11, 16	leaves	length
186:24	128:17 156:2	221:21	55:6
189:13, 14, 16	205:6 215:4	Lee	letters
211:1, 4	220:20	162:5, 7	77:21 200:12
219:7	law	leeway	letting
known	10:25 170:12	199:18	136:20
153:15	225:4	left	level
210:14	lawsuit	20:4, 8 66:1	19:11 21:11,
	12:22 16:2	215:6	16 35:5
L	17:5 24:21,	legacy	56:25 64:15
	23 25:12, 17	207:2	83:3 138:16
labeled	38:2 111:18,	legal	166:1 176:2
213:13	25 135:20	99:12, 14	202:10 203:6
Labor	160:12	106:21 139:8	215:21
79:19	179:21	140:8 141:13	225:17
lack	lawsuits	142:18	levels
171:23 172:4	19:9 111:19,	143:7, 8, 14	64:15
189:20	22, 24 169:19	149:3 164:10	likes
Lake	lawyer	195:13 196:2	147:21
226:20	12:5, 10, 14	legislative	Limestone
Lali	61:3 81:4	11:15 23:21	163:4
10:11 228:10	141:6, 17	25:16 47:2	limited
lands	143:1 171:14	86:4 139:10	157:1
157:6	189:1	165:7 179:12	line
language	lawyers	legislators	42:25 122:19
202:14	198:25 200:9	28:7 31:6	132:4 133:9
language-	layman's	120:1	137:9
minority	141:24 168:6	legislature	147:21, 22
144:22, 23	lead	16:8 24:17	148:6 150:5
large	24:9	27:1, 18 29:3	151:5 215:2
9:3 63:4	leader	31:9 38:21	226:17, 20
97:4 162:20	24:6	39:24 47:4	lined
210:8	leaders	48:5 50:12	105:18
larger	26:25 28:13	52:6 53:16	lines
80:8 206:7	leadership	59:22 75:13	34:6 100:4
208:4	51:23 53:18	86:14 110:10	124:22
	54:10 86:1	113:12	152:21

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

204:3,6	133:14	56:22 74:8,	190:21
list	203:25 220:2	12 75:7	192:25 206:5
147:19	226:16,18,19	84:15 97:3,	215:16
153:14,17	227:21	20,25 98:18,	221:3,8
154:23 180:2	living	20,22,24	looks
listed	20:18	99:20,24	39:22 85:12
137:9	Livingston	133:1 137:8	93:17 95:15
153:11,21	204:18	138:5,6	96:3 139:9
167:1 181:12	LLC	145:15,19	140:15
lists	20:24 21:1,3	160:20	147:21 191:7
147:5 148:7	23:5,7	170:19 175:7	214:6
literally	loaded	177:21	loose
48:8 138:25	76:17 79:9	180:21 182:5	130:16
191:20	82:13 83:1	187:19	lose
litigate	lobbying	189:15	40:19,22
72:8	20:19 21:14,	192:2,17,18	71:22,23
litigation	15	195:5 206:18	72:22,23
170:6	lobbyist	208:16	94:22 127:20
little	21:8	212:24 215:6	184:6 224:3
16:12 18:7	local	219:10,21	losing
21:23 38:13	158:14	220:8 228:6	71:4
44:24 45:16	Loftin	looked	lost
104:16,23	101:17	16:5,7,25	25:3 214:19
121:15,16	107:23	47:12,19	lot
124:9,14,21	logical	48:1 49:13	13:19 59:1
125:12	115:1	71:16 108:9	62:25 63:8
126:10,23	long	150:15	80:14,17,20
127:23 128:5	13:24 15:13	171:21 172:6	89:18,21
130:11,17	48:10 62:6	174:12	90:3 127:8
144:18	63:12 111:14	180:7,20	158:13
161:14	113:9 164:1	187:17	192:24
187:18 197:7	172:8 180:20	189:15	193:17
198:4	218:18	190:14	212:23 216:8
204:10,17	long-lasso	191:19,24	lots
212:17	151:8,11	196:3 212:13	152:17
214:24	longer	looking	156:18
live	80:14,17	34:16 39:10	louder
129:12	81:14 121:16	45:10 47:14,	198:4
149:17	132:18	17 82:18	Lowndes
204:13 220:1	144:18	93:16 94:12	97:19 155:3
lived	225:19	98:5 132:7,	163:21
18:16 79:14,	look	10 147:11	lumped
15 100:23	16:9 26:7	154:6 156:20	208:3
130:9,13	34:19,22	158:15 161:1	lumping
134:2 172:11	39:22 42:9,	164:16	207:24
226:7,14	12,15 47:6,	166:16,23	lunch
lives	22 49:5	167:15	15:16 109:4
132:13		172:18 180:9	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

121:16,24,25 122:2,6,9	magnitude 207:8	45:11 58:22 61:2 81:21 86:10 96:1 97:6 100:5, 12,20,22 101:2,10 103:3,9 110:21 112:25 113:7 115:19 116:20 118:16 120:15,20 124:11 125:22 127:21 130:20 132:16 133:23 138:11 140:11 142:13,17,23 143:2,3,5,22 144:13 145:22 146:18,19 147:1 148:17,24 149:19 150:12,19 151:24 152:2 155:9,22,23 158:3,18 159:2,13 164:18,23 166:4 169:20 171:7 172:19 173:4,6,10, 12,23 175:2, 4 176:21 183:4,16,18 184:1,5 190:20 195:16 198:10 209:9 210:19 215:18 220:7 225:7,24 227:5	makes 28:18 97:14 202:5 227:18 makeup 44:23 99:7 143:17 175:7 making 44:13,25 61:12 81:3 96:24 124:2, 21 127:24 130:11 133:1 143:13 174:1 177:6 190:23 205:11 211:24 213:10 214:15 226:23 managing 192:4 manipulate 76:19 manner 144:20 map 16:6,14,15 23:23 24:2, 18,22,24 25:2 27:7,9, 12,13,15,16, 21,24 28:4, 11,14,19 29:7,10,22 30:1,8,11, 15,20,25 31:8,10,11, 13 33:7,9, 13,16 34:1, 16 36:11,14 37:23 38:1, 2,11,15,17, 20,21 39:3, 6,12,13,14, 16,17,18,19, 21,23,24 40:1,3,4,6, 7,9 41:2,4,
M	main 35:22 167:16 193:22		
Macedonia 204:18	maintain 43:10		
machinations 33:25	maintaining 160:3		
Macon 155:4	major 22:25 35:24 73:1 75:9 189:3		
Madduri 10:11 228:10	majority 24:5 30:2,5, 12 31:12 32:3,6,16 34:11 36:5, 12,15,21,22 37:6,12,16 42:20 43:7, 10 44:14 45:12 46:1 49:9,18 50:2,8,12,20 80:16 81:7 111:24 112:12 117:10 118:19,23 142:6 154:19 159:3 161:10,22 162:10 171:22,24 172:12 174:9 176:18 177:9 178:2,5,19 181:9 183:20 188:17,20,22 189:21 194:9 196:24		
made 28:16 46:7 64:17 74:7 84:19 91:19 92:6 99:5 100:8,17 101:9,14,21 102:5 105:5, 11 106:6,8, 13 110:1,6,9 115:20 117:14,22 123:18 124:12 128:21 145:11,25 150:14,16 155:16 156:9 164:4,6 169:10 179:1 180:8 185:21 189:19,22 191:2 210:18 211:18 212:15 214:2 215:4 220:22 222:12 223:19 224:20			
Madison 89:17 91:17 129:7,10,13 146:14 152:14 163:3	make 18:19 29:13 30:1 32:3,5 40:24 41:17 42:5,19 43:6 44:6,16,22		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

7,21 42:13, 19 43:6,9, 15,23 44:6, 19 45:18 47:18 48:12, 17,24 49:4, 20 50:2,6 51:6,12,16 53:11 57:14, 17 58:9,22, 25 60:5,9, 12,20,24 61:1,5,19 63:16 64:22 65:6,9,10, 11,13 66:3, 5,24 71:16 72:3 73:10 75:4,6 77:25 78:19 80:13 81:1,8,12, 23,25 83:16, 24 84:2,3,14 85:11,15,20 87:10,16 89:11 91:6, 9,13,19 92:7 93:1,4,15, 19,23,24 94:2,6,10,25 95:6,10,13, 16 96:20 98:13,14 99:22 100:6, 9,15,16,17 101:5,7,10, 15,20,24,25 102:2,12 106:13,18 107:12,16, 19,21 108:2, 10 109:11, 13,23 110:6, 9,12,14,19, 22 111:3 112:1 113:6 114:22 116:16,25 117:24	118:2,3 120:7,9,17, 18,22 121:2, 7 123:3 131:1,15 132:3 134:12 136:8 137:6 138:6,12 139:12 144:1,3,7 147:9,13,17 148:14,20 149:6 150:20,21 151:18 152:3 155:8,23 156:10,12 158:4 160:15,23 166:6 167:18,21,25 169:3 171:5, 13 172:14,25 173:21,24 174:16 176:19 183:9,16 184:14 185:5,13,19, 24 194:12,16 195:3,6 196:15 206:5,7 210:20 212:15 213:16 214:7 215:14 217:10 219:11,14 221:3,5 222:14 224:17,22,25 225:1 map-drawing 76:24 maps 16:4,7,9,14, 16,17,20,24	23:19,21 24:14 27:2 37:12,17 38:23 39:6 46:9,11,23 47:3,8,10,24 48:4,8 49:24 50:15 51:2 52:1,2 54:11,14,20 55:15 56:15 58:10 62:3, 19 64:2,11, 19,21 65:3 67:4 73:21 74:23 77:2 79:24,25 80:3,7 83:11,14 86:4,15 87:20 88:12 90:4,24 98:19,21,23 103:3 105:25 111:13 112:22 120:2 126:20 136:5,25 145:18,19 156:14 160:22 168:19 180:6 196:6,9,14, 18 197:2 215:19 Maptitude 73:12 76:12, 14,15,21 77:13,23 80:5 82:4, 11,18,24 83:5,8 102:13 110:20 208:1 March 56:9 Marengo 155:3	mark 201:11 203:12 208:17 213:12,15 marked 14:4,6 21:20 25:7,10 92:18 93:11, 14 110:15 135:15,17 160:8,10 179:17 201:16 203:16 208:24 213:20 marking 179:19 marks 9:11 Maroney 9:1 Marshall 162:20 material 79:9 materials 74:18 math 129:24 matter 9:13 48:25 69:6,17 146:19 188:23 219:23 220:10 matters 188:25 Maxwell 114:12 115:22 124:25 125:7,8,11, 12,20
--	--	---	---

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

Mcclendon	166:7,8	3,4,7 71:21	133:12
9:25 11:14	168:17 178:9	73:9 80:9,11	146:22
51:22 52:16	182:16 187:2	81:15 84:17,	149:24
75:11 86:19,	192:8 198:23	21 85:6,10	154:15
22 87:4,19	207:24	87:4,23	190:14
106:20	212:7,17,23	102:12 114:4	218:15
107:22 108:1	214:18	130:25	Merrill
109:22	218:17	211:13	9:14,23
119:24	219:6,25	217:16	170:3,7,17,
Mcgriff	221:16	226:3,4,5	21 171:11
203:25	meaning	meets	Merrill's
205:20	53:14 73:22	142:4	170:16
mean	126:20 131:1	member	met
19:10 24:5	132:16 139:1	41:15 68:21	15:6,13
26:23 27:7,	207:5	96:22 117:14	45:22 59:23
17 32:18,19	means	206:3 222:24	67:2,7
36:17 37:20	48:19 73:11	223:22	68:17,23
38:16 39:22	199:3	members	69:1,4,6
43:13 45:22	meant	24:20 29:2	70:12,14,18,
46:8 48:18,	130:1	30:22 38:20	19,21 83:17,
25 51:6 56:7	meantime	40:10 43:14,	19 108:1
57:19,25	130:8	17 57:1,20	113:25
58:12 66:25	meet	58:13,16	210:10,15
70:7 72:19	15:9,18	59:7,18 60:3	method
73:10,13,21	54:13,16	64:5,13	38:14
74:6 75:6	59:14,20,21	65:17 66:21	metric
78:22 79:22	60:3 67:24	67:7,24	159:24
81:6,7 82:8	68:15,16,18,	68:15,16,18	metropolitan
87:21,24	22,24 69:8,	69:2 70:14	75:9
91:5 95:23	14 75:12	72:7 75:2,3,	Microsoft
97:17 99:3	80:15 83:13	12 80:10	70:2
101:16 102:3	84:1 86:13	81:13,17,18	mid
103:24	87:18,25	84:6 86:13,	103:1 104:13
109:3,25	106:17	24 100:23	105:9 106:8
111:4	129:1,5,20	107:14,16	131:10
113:11,14	188:11	110:3 120:6	middle
118:14	meeting	200:18,25	20:1 95:1
124:24	45:22 56:25	223:17	Mike
126:17 128:5	57:20 65:16	224:1,14,22,	10:4 94:19
133:6 134:20	70:10 71:13	23 227:14	188:16 194:7
137:20,21	80:14,17,19	membership	mile
143:18 149:1	89:6 106:2	144:22,23	226:18
153:22,23	129:16	memory	military
155:11,13	meetings	92:21 133:19	114:12
156:14,18	59:8,12,17	mentioned	Milligan
157:24	60:13 61:21	16:19 29:23	9:13 10:5,7,
159:16,22	66:20 68:8	63:14 90:15	15,18,20,22,
165:22	69:24 70:1,	115:16	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

24 170:2	Mo	97:13,21	mother's
mind	68:23 84:23	103:13	117:19
19:24 34:2,	Mobile	115:23,25	motivations
10,13 91:21	26:11 89:20	116:4 124:3,	187:3
92:1 114:18	91:16 146:14	4,10,22	move
115:1 133:1	152:13 153:7	125:13,24	18:19 59:25
153:20 159:9	156:3 161:4	126:15	101:1 105:21
163:13 166:8	192:22 193:9	146:18,23,	132:5,23
188:1	model	24,25 154:4	192:15
mindful	86:5	155:4 161:17	moved
100:24	modification	183:4 209:9	18:21 22:15
158:15,17	156:7	211:25	23:5,6
mine	modifications	212:1,3,19	130:10
86:7	112:25	213:4,14	138:15
minimal	150:19 152:2	214:2,23	186:13
137:16,19	155:23 156:9	216:20	194:24
198:20 199:3	158:4 164:24	221:20,25	215:20
minimize	166:4 195:16	Montgomery's	224:16 226:9
157:14	modified	201:22	moving
minimum	56:12 112:24	month	22:17 51:1
158:2	modify	113:18	96:23 100:24
minor	111:13,16	months	128:22
104:23,25	113:6 172:25	46:14 55:5	144:17 147:4
105:11	modifying	62:7 79:16	157:12 162:1
218:11	100:3	Moore	172:13
223:12	moment	111:5 123:25	185:16
minorities	116:6	125:5,22	220:21
112:13,16	Monday	126:5 134:16	municipalitie
minority	15:10,11,16	180:25 181:4	s
141:1,11	17:4 105:19	182:24	157:6
142:1,25	110:4	183:9,12	Muscle
168:8	Monroe	184:5 190:24	89:24 91:24
minorly	72:23 104:20	191:2 192:20	92:4 127:15
72:16	111:7 123:16	194:6 223:13	156:1,8
103:12,16	124:15 181:1	Moore's	mute
minus	183:2	104:18	42:24
138:13	Montgomery	134:22	Myron
minute	79:15,16	Morgan	10:2
90:14 187:20	88:14 89:6,8	89:17 91:17	
210:9	90:15,19	129:6,10,12	
minutes	91:1,7,15	152:14 163:3	
180:13	92:10,12,13	220:21	
191:20	93:6,16	morning	name
228:18	94:12,20,21	10:19,21,23	11:21 20:23
missed	95:1,5,8,10,	11:19,20	22:14 23:2
222:7	17,25 96:9,	15:10,11	25:24 53:9
	15,20,24	105:19 179:6	104:7 116:3
			179:22

N

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

names 9:19 115:17 133:16	neighborhoods 152:7 175:8	104:23 129:11 134:3	218:22
narrow 190:7,8	never 47:21 168:4 213:25 218:7 225:11	135:1,9,20 139:25 145:17 152:22 157:14,20 158:2,12 160:12 173:8 179:1,21 181:17 187:13 205:11 207:11 212:24 215:12,16, 23,24	objection 16:18 33:5 37:15 50:23 138:23 168:24 177:14,16,23 178:8,11 187:8 198:11 199:19 202:21 204:24 209:15 210:4 216:17
national 20:5 200:20	night 191:18		objections 123:21 198:10
nature 40:18 70:23 207:16	nongovernmental 211:8	numbered 181:13	objective 216:10
necessarily 97:17	nonsubstantive 109:3	numbers 15:7,24 37:3 45:4 47:20 55:11 56:21 57:10 65:9 66:15 72:9 73:4,18,25 75:5 116:14 123:11 124:8 138:7 143:20,24 144:1 207:18 208:5,7,9	objectives 217:8
necessary 157:21 220:23	north 89:19 115:6 186:23 212:2		observation 188:21
need 13:23 59:2 71:22 111:9 124:8 131:6 149:18 228:6	Northeast 203:9,22		observations 90:13 189:18,21 190:6,20
needed 24:21 40:18 52:2 62:25 84:11,19 95:21 102:13 107:6 111:13 112:23 124:6,18 126:23 127:1 140:18 183:5 195:16 198:16 212:13 220:12 225:19	northern 9:16 125:12 129:12 132:18 162:17 186:2,15 213:8		obvious 128:8
needless 126:12	Notary 9:2		obviously 22:16,17 23:19 34:10 36:3 38:1 39:5 43:8 45:10 47:21 48:19 49:4, 10 56:10 58:24 62:21, 22 64:4,18 65:9 66:14, 25 67:3 68:2,4 74:20 75:4 80:9,13 81:13 89:18 90:23 94:18 95:23 97:17 99:1 100:23 107:14,22
needs 16:11	note 136:19		
negotiate 41:1	notes 111:2,9,11 228:6	numerically 133:1	
negotiating 73:3	notice 14:10,13	numerous 127:6 224:20	
negotiations 72:13	November 62:23 202:7	<hr/> O <hr/>	
neighborhood 33:20	NRCC 31:18 131:15 200:17,19	oath 11:24	
	NRRC 200:12	object 50:22 108:3 170:23,24 178:7 195:19 196:22 198:1,9 199:25	
	number 9:14 18:23, 25 34:13 47:2 82:1 96:1 97:4		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

114:6 126:22 127:7,19 129:12 146:11 152:16,20 159:5 162:5 163:11 165:22 171:22 180:18 192:8 193:3 211:13,15 212:22,24 214:11 216:18 220:13 222:7 227:7 occasionally 28:14 88:15, 21,22 90:9 149:14 151:13 October 51:18 52:12, 21 54:4 55:8 61:11 62:15, 16,17 79:18, 20 80:1 105:16 106:12 123:5 131:2,10 odd 207:11 211:19 off-campus 70:15 off-year 62:21 63:1 offer 210:25 211:3 212:4 offered 16:8,25 17:2 20:2 47:21, 22,25 48:4,9 50:16,17,18 179:9 184:18,19,22	186:8 187:13 188:4,5,7 190:3 191:12,18,21 218:6 225:2 offering 218:1 office 17:15,17,20 18:3 24:9 33:13 68:20 70:9 73:13 78:16 79:5, 6,8 94:20 101:17 107:9,23 129:21 158:14 182:4 officeholders 39:7 54:14, 17 83:18 offices 21:13 68:4,5 70:16 official 28:12 57:1, 3,14 74:16 76:3 102:24 106:8 117:17 officially 52:10,13 88:17 offset 183:5 offshoots 182:17 okay 13:4,8 14:14 27:4 47:17 51:13 56:23 123:4,7 133:21 170:20 198:13 199:5 200:1,19,22 201:11 202:23 206:9 208:8 211:17	213:5 214:1 217:12 221:8 225:6 227:13 228:5 once 12:19 74:22 75:8 76:5 79:9,11,22 80:17 82:17, 21 83:1 84:5 105:8 107:11 109:22,25 129:23 142:16 175:1 206:14 211:13 one 13:17 14:9, 12 22:25 24:1 30:8 36:22 37:1, 24 38:13 43:9,12,14 48:11 51:7 53:5 64:5 68:17,18 69:3,4,21,23 72:18 78:6 85:1 86:6,25 96:10 97:11 99:9 101:10 103:25 106:6 112:24 115:10 116:20 117:13,16, 18,21 119:20 121:10 123:11 125:10,22,23 126:3 128:19,20 132:11,12,13 133:9,14,22, 23 134:1 137:23 138:1,3,13, 17,18 139:2,	3,6,15,18,19 140:12 142:17 144:18 149:11,15, 18,20,25 150:1 151:15 152:5 154:3, 23 155:18 157:22 160:14 161:1 165:3,4,23, 24 166:2 167:8 168:4, 13 169:8,12, 23 175:14 181:12,14, 17,18 182:1, 5,6,7,9,10, 22 183:23,25 184:10 185:8 187:12,13 189:19 191:8,9,10 193:10 196:4 198:22 199:22,23 203:25 208:13 211:24 214:9 218:20 224:15 228:6 ones 11:7 46:16, 24 56:18 81:1 132:18 153:21 187:20 190:7 192:2 ongoing 62:24 operable 84:11 opinion 50:25 131:25 199:6,7 200:2 202:20 210:18
---	--	---	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

211:1,3 212:20 opportunity 112:6,7,10 142:8 180:22 181:5 182:14 opposed 53:15 210:23 option 211:24 212:6,8,9 216:11,16 options 114:19 115:10 151:22 210:17 oral 9:8 Orange 18:11 20:22 order 39:14 66:15 145:4 167:1 194:22 215:20 216:9 organization 53:17,21,25 200:11 organizations 21:17 211:8, 9 original 172:1,4 originally 24:15 91:2,3 outcomes 99:2 outside 24:10 171:25 227:18 over/under 207:15 223:7 overage 123:14 overly 121:22	overpopulated 66:12 72:21 overpopulatio n 67:17 <hr/> P <hr/> p.m. 122:8 197:14,17 228:20,23 229:4,6 page 25:21 137:9 146:5 147:22 160:14 161:1,12 162:1,13 163:1,9,16 171:9 203:23 paid 43:15 53:10 56:1 57:24 205:5 pair 194:4 paired 58:25 178:25 188:12,15 189:20 190:9 192:19 193:7 Palmer 85:2 130:4 131:17 134:10,17 188:16 194:6 226:7,9,16, 19 227:21 Palmer's 131:8 paper 111:5 paragraph 26:7 169:15 170:4 201:25	paragraphs 152:9 166:25 paramount 149:10 part 27:4 28:4 39:8 41:8 54:16 56:3 82:9 94:20 125:6,7,8,12 127:7 130:17 132:18 146:14 154:4,19 156:16 161:23 168:18 174:15 182:18 197:5 201:25 202:2 210:6 212:19 213:8 222:20 223:2 227:22 partially 92:13 172:10 participate 84:25 participation 75:25 particular 32:12 53:13 87:9 97:12 153:12 158:12 188:23 parties 150:23 169:19 party 35:9 41:25 52:25 176:8 200:10 pass 31:10 55:15 56:9 197:19 228:15 passage 201:5 203:9	passed 16:6 36:6,7 67:2 68:1 76:8 120:24 136:15 206:15 208:18 224:4 225:4 past 126:21 169:7 pay 17:23 43:23 56:13 66:19 paying 12:9,13 49:24 50:1 payment 56:6 payments 55:4,5,20,21 56:12 58:3 pending 13:25 Penn 10:2 people 13:6 49:23 50:1 73:5 80:16 89:16, 19 96:14 109:9 123:15 124:6,18 126:24 129:12 139:2,22,25 152:23 153:5,6 156:3,19 158:7 183:5 184:4 185:21 203:6 204:13 205:7,10,12, 18,19,25 212:23 215:7,20 percent 34:12 44:7 119:9 138:19
---	---	---	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

139:1	phone	203:15	194:1,3,13
195:10,18	18:24 85:1	208:20,23	196:9,21
196:2 216:24	88:4	213:16,17,19	198:16
percentage	phrase	plaintiffs	199:5,8,14,
32:12 34:7	210:15,21	9:21 10:3,5,	15,17 200:4,
44:10 117:7	227:1,9	7,9,12,14,	14,15
119:12	phrasing	16,18,20,22,	205:14,15
195:17	209:16	24 12:12	206:10,18
percentages	physically	170:3	207:20,23
80:24	78:15,20	228:11,15	208:10
perfect	101:10,13	plan	209:3,7,10,
96:20,21	pick	17:14,16	14 211:14
199:16	114:8,17	26:10,12,13,	213:13
perfectly	115:10,15	14 27:6 56:9	216:12
228:1	124:6 126:17	59:24 60:3	217:13,14,20
perform	127:7 132:21	124:23	218:1,6,14,
41:22 168:7	134:2 182:25	139:14	23 219:3,11,
performance	183:3	140:12,16,24	14,15,18,20,
168:7	picked	141:9	21 220:2,7,
performed	124:16	142:14,24	8,9,15
41:23	Pickens	143:10,15	221:3,13,14,
period	221:19,21	144:14 147:1	18,21 222:2,
63:6 161:25	picking	151:25	4,15,17,18,
163:6 164:1	71:1 104:1	155:9,13	19,22,23
permit	114:13	164:19 166:9	223:19,24
170:12	115:22	177:22	224:1,10
Perry	124:18	180:15,16,23	225:11
155:3 210:5	126:21	181:3,6,9,	planning
person	132:15	10,12,14	17:13 55:9
40:24,25	piece	182:1,2,6,7,	plans
68:17 69:3	126:10	9,13,14,17,	26:9,21,25
70:10,12	pieces	20,21 183:14	27:1 46:9,11
88:5,6	47:8	184:13,17,23	47:4 178:24
139:3,6,15,	pigs	185:17	179:4,9,23
18 140:12	181:17	186:6,10,25	180:3,10,14,
149:11,25	place	187:3,6,10,	17 181:24
155:18	71:24 97:7	11,13,22,25	182:13
165:3,23,24	115:7 150:1	188:3,10,11,	189:6,15
167:8	plaintiff's	13 189:6,12,	191:8,23,25
personal	14:3 21:19	19,23 190:1,	193:1
63:5 69:6,17	25:6,10	15,16,18,22,	218:10,19
personally	92:17 93:10,	23,25	225:9
69:8 90:5,7,	14,17 110:16	191:11,15,	play
12 107:4,7	135:14,18	16,22,24,25	132:24
142:23	160:7,11	192:1,9,10,	145:21
perspective	179:16,19	11,16,17	151:19
82:17	201:15	193:2,3,4,6,	playing
		16,20,25	73:25

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

plays 162:19	policies 148:7,14 149:1,6,9 150:2 165:2, 19 166:11,25	126:22,25 127:21 128:12 135:7 137:16,19,24 140:1,11,16 141:11 142:1,5 145:13,14 154:20 158:2 161:10,23 162:11,21, 24,25 163:5, 12,15,25 165:11,21 166:15 170:11 172:18,22 175:13,17 189:10 195:11,17 197:24 198:20 202:10,12 204:12 205:25 210:13 211:20 212:1,2 213:3,6 214:19,20 216:23 220:23 223:5,8 225:17	possibility 50:8 115:23 178:1 possible 37:4 50:20, 25 54:13 56:22 89:16 91:25 96:11, 17 127:16 146:16 148:18 150:7,10 152:18,24 157:25 176:18 177:9 178:4,13,18 223:11 226:12 potential 57:21 71:7 73:19 115:13 potentially 71:4 112:14 143:8 144:9 practicable 152:8 practical 54:13 practically 204:8 practice 24:3 prank 181:16 precedence 167:1 precinct 33:20,21 100:25 103:13,15, 17,18 104:4, 7,8 115:25 128:17,19 133:24 134:1,7 151:14 152:21
please 9:18 11:2,21 16:12 18:8 201:24 203:13 204:1,6 210:2 219:11	policy 143:16,23 145:24 147:2 148:4 150:5 151:4,10,25 152:3,5,11 155:10 156:21 157:12,13,17 158:5,21,25 164:16 165:1,6 166:22 167:6 political 19:19,23 20:19 21:8, 9,10 152:8 157:5 pop 33:17 97:25 98:5,6,9 99:25 146:1 populated 71:2 population 28:5 32:6, 17,25 34:3, 8,15 35:10 36:6 40:19 44:7,10 45:9 64:8 71:2,6, 7 73:24 74:4,10 83:2 84:19 86:10 95:3 96:2 97:6 98:7 99:5 100:4, 10,18 101:4 112:13,18,20 114:8,18 115:3,15 117:6 118:19 119:12,22	126:22,25 127:21 128:12 135:7 137:16,19,24 140:1,11,16 141:11 142:1,5 145:13,14 154:20 158:2 161:10,23 162:11,21, 24,25 163:5, 12,15,25 165:11,21 166:15 170:11 172:18,22 175:13,17 189:10 195:11,17 197:24 198:20 202:10,12 204:12 205:25 210:13 211:20 212:1,2 213:3,6 214:19,20 216:23 220:23 223:5,8 225:17 populations 134:3 220:11 portion 80:8 95:1,4, 9 96:4,14,16 97:13,21 213:6 portions 163:22 position 20:2 58:21 203:3 positive 179:3 228:3	
point 23:4 24:1 27:13,22 28:9 31:2 38:6 39:18 40:4,7 56:1 67:1 72:13 73:3,15 74:8 76:20 93:23 94:1,3 98:4, 7,10,22 104:24 107:7 109:24 110:22 118:7,8 123:2 143:19 144:4 151:15 172:11 175:5 179:10 186:2 195:14 205:24 206:11 207:20 209:3 211:16 214:14 215:18 218:12,20,21 219:19 222:14 point-to- point 151:7,13 pointed 97:7 polarization 42:12 167:23 168:3,10,21 169:2			

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

precinct-based 42:6	111:24 112:1 124:16 128:20	87:5,7 119:5,7,8 157:16	95:5 99:18 100:17 118:4
precinct-by-precinct 105:1	preliminary 74:1 169:17	162:12 165:15 214:6 223:21	priority 46:1,3 149:9 165:10 167:4,6 225:23
precinct-wise 44:22	preparation 15:22 16:20 17:3 58:10 66:2 87:20 88:11	prevent 12:1	privacy 202:9,16 203:4
precincts 32:10 42:4 90:21,25 116:17,18 127:20 128:6,7,10 132:10,14, 15,21 133:5, 8,13,15 138:15 146:12 151:20 153:22 157:6 173:7,8,11 174:5 178:15 185:25 186:1,15 193:17,19 208:5 215:22 216:1,2,9	prepare 15:5 17:7 prepared 110:2 preparing 16:17 83:11 present 9:18 108:5, 7,15,19 114:19 presented 110:2 presenting 192:3 preserve 158:22 222:10 preserved 45:12 164:19 222:11,18 preserves 222:4,9 preserving 39:15 45:25 157:23 164:17 223:9,14 president 21:2 41:18 presidential 20:4 presumption 129:2 pretrial 170:17 pretty 29:19 78:24	previous 41:23 110:22 previously 18:16 77:2 price 205:5 primarily 49:23 112:19 125:3 163:15 181:8 184:3 primary 29:6,13 75:24 184:15 223:1,3 principle 139:15,19 140:13 principles 103:25 146:1 Pringle 10:1 11:14 51:23 52:15 75:11 86:20, 22 87:5,19 106:20 107:21 108:2 109:23 119:25 181:10 186:9 193:16 194:13 196:9 print 110:24 201:4 printed 203:23 prior 22:4 39:3 64:8 66:4,22 67:14 91:7	privilege 11:15 108:4, 18,22,25 109:10,15 176:24 177:2 privileged 177:24 pro 120:5,8 probably 18:21 31:1, 4,17 40:1 44:19 45:20 51:17 55:13 57:18 60:19 61:10,22 72:23 79:10 80:13,20 89:8 94:22 103:1 120:4 125:5 134:25 136:11 171:23,25 172:10 186:9 200:5 212:21 224:21 problem 43:4 217:6 220:20 Procedure 9:5 proceed 74:22 proceedings 9:9 169:17 process 15:8 28:18

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

39:2,10 45:17 55:12, 16 80:4 89:4 97:11 103:25 119:21 120:13 132:20 141:14 145:15 180:12 196:15 225:3 226:13 produce 23:14 24:22 54:24 produced 135:20 160:12 179:20 program 76:16 progress 86:23 project 56:6 pronouncing 151:6 proper 31:22 208:15 properly 207:7 property 18:20 proposed 68:6 84:14 Protection 139:16 protrusion 132:8 provide 29:9,21 107:13 185:12 provided 14:23 90:16 109:22 136:6,10,23	145:1 190:6 213:3 providing 87:6 120:17 public 9:2 88:10 89:14 90:2, 16 91:12 92:5 182:3 187:14,22,24 203:9 211:14 217:24 218:21 publicly 218:13 pull 33:2 purpose 53:19,22 140:25 141:10 142:24 170:10,14 173:12 226:24 purposes 79:15 133:13 pursuant 9:4 17:19 purview 168:16 put 11:12 21:12 24:3 28:24 43:22,25 76:15 80:24 81:7,8,11 103:14,21 115:2,3,9 126:25 148:18 149:21 150:11 180:11 182:23 183:20 185:6,8,20, 25 186:3	188:14 191:1 205:3,16 206:2 214:3 218:16 227:4 putting 91:15 92:9 123:12,13,21 126:12 127:15 130:7 184:10 195:1 220:20 PX 201:12,13 PX3 22:5 <hr/> Q <hr/> quantify 81:10 question 13:7,10,25 29:1 31:3 33:8 36:9 41:15 46:20 48:11 58:20 108:8,23 149:2 162:2, 14 163:2,10 166:10 169:12 177:3,4,7 178:12,14 189:1 195:23 199:22 200:2 202:24 205:1 210:2 217:11 220:4 questions 10:9 12:2 13:4,6,18 48:13 108:13,17 140:7 197:9, 11,19 209:16,21,23 228:12,14	quick 57:23 88:3 186:10 quickly 182:16 Quillen 10:13 quite 149:19 182:4 187:15 quote 180:12 186:22 <hr/> R <hr/> race 20:4 32:25 35:20,21,22 36:1 37:18 41:18 48:12, 14 49:7 83:3 97:25 98:8, 18,22 101:4 117:2 118:5 119:15,19 134:17 135:4 142:17 143:18,19 144:21,23 145:4,13,15, 20 146:4 166:16 174:17,20 175:1 176:12,14 race-based 145:2 race-neutral 144:20,24 races 41:10,12 228:2 racial 33:15 42:12 48:22 97:20 98:22,24
---	--	---	---

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

99:7,20	195:24,25	216:21	recommend
143:16 153:5	199:6 200:1,	reasons	29:19
154:7 157:2,	5 201:24	126:23	recommendatio
8 160:4	202:3,5	163:7,14,23	ns
167:23	203:24	184:12	29:9 72:15
168:3,10,21	204:1,4	194:1,8	120:15,21
169:2 171:15	210:1,3	recall	185:13 190:5
175:7 187:1,	reading	38:14 53:5	record
4 195:6,9	199:20	89:1 90:2	11:13,21
racially	Reagan	122:11,22	22:2 65:21,
170:8,22	20:2,6	123:25 126:4	25 122:4,8
171:13	real	127:2	169:5,13
172:15	57:23 60:19	128:14,23	170:1 173:14
173:1,25	71:8,17 72:9	130:4 133:17	195:25
ran	73:3,18 75:5	134:9 137:3	197:14,17
41:18	84:5 102:3	190:5 192:6	202:3 204:1
random	116:14	199:11,20	210:3
104:2 132:22	123:11	200:2 221:12	228:20,23
Randy	129:24	receive	redistricting
9:7,12 11:3,	208:7,8	31:24 57:7	23:18 24:7,
22 16:11	realize	86:8 87:2,8	11 26:9,14,
25:2 201:20	33:22	107:25 114:1	16,21 39:10
203:18 229:3	realized	116:10	41:4 53:22
rank	226:4	120:25 121:5	58:1 103:25
165:1	reapportionme	received	120:13
rapidly	nt	57:14 58:2	126:11
163:4	12:7 26:17	60:6 63:16,	135:19 136:7
Rarely	33:13 67:1	19 72:15	137:11
79:22	73:12 78:16	74:16 76:2,	140:24 146:1
RC	79:5,6,8	5,7 89:14	148:7,13
135:21	101:17 107:2	91:12 92:5	167:11
160:13	110:3,7	103:10	178:20 194:2
179:21	120:16,20	receiving	198:19
re-election	135:19 136:4	90:2	204:16
184:6	165:8 167:11	recent	213:23,24
reach	178:20	38:13 51:2	226:24
60:4 138:21	203:21	170:6	redrawing
158:2 223:8	204:21 213:2	recess	38:24 60:11
reached	217:24	65:23 197:15	63:15 78:19
95:9 219:19	223:4,23	228:21	106:18 224:9
reaches	225:2	recognize	reduces
95:1	reason	22:6 25:19	216:23
reaching	19:25 26:6	93:1 135:25	refer
95:4	95:21 133:22	recognized	51:5,6,11
read	145:4 183:16	156:25	89:23
141:18 147:6	184:1,15	recollection	reference
169:13 170:5	188:24	50:15 164:11	93:14 171:3
	193:19		173:15

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

referenced 158:20 164:21 179:5	remember 12:19 29:3 31:6 33:11, 25 37:3,16 41:10 44:18 45:3,21 46:6 63:9 78:25 89:13 90:17 91:9 95:8,9, 12 111:10 116:3 138:10 149:4 190:10 191:18 199:10 200:6 224:13	106:20 107:21 108:2 109:23 119:25 122:20 185:23 186:9 190:24 223:13 226:6,8	requests 50:11 72:15 86:9 87:9,15 117:6 120:21,25
referencing 164:17	referred 51:7 128:18	representativ es 70:21 71:14 73:9 83:20 84:18,22 85:7,15,21 86:9 87:14 97:9 103:7 105:10 106:3,19 119:16,24 122:18 125:19 139:23	require 143:16 156:5 160:4
referring 59:17 61:14 89:24 105:2 112:17,20 173:20 191:8	remembering 188:13	representativ es' 106:10	required 127:19 138:20 197:24 223:8 225:14
refuse 108:12	remind 194:16 199:12	represented 12:5 94:21 96:22 159:6	requirement 202:19 227:14
regarding 82:24 120:13 165:20 185:13 189:19	replace 22:22	representing 27:19 125:6 139:24 159:8 227:23	requirements 140:17 142:4 147:5 165:13,20 166:12
region 161:17	repopulate 223:6	represents 125:8	requires 141:22 145:9,11 146:10 150:9 151:10 152:11,12 157:18 158:25
registration 75:21	reporter 9:1 11:1,6 13:14 77:16 79:1 112:3 166:18 176:25 193:5 210:2 217:1	reproduce 209:2	requiring 165:11 214:16
reiterate 84:9	represent 9:19 107:3 179:22 215:25	republican 23:22 27:20 28:7 51:23 200:10,20	reservations 157:7
related 32:24 144:1	representatio n 53:3,4,7,8 107:6 139:23	request 117:21	reside 228:3
relationship 62:24	representativ e 10:1 11:14 51:22 52:15 72:18 85:2 86:19,22 87:5,19 90:22 104:18,19	requested 54:25	residence 20:22 226:24 227:3,4
relative 57:25			residency 227:14
relayed 90:14			resolve 149:23
relevant 132:1			respect 152:7 155:13 192:20 199:18
reliable 203:5			
remained 30:2 42:20 43:7 44:14			
remaining 137:24			
remedial 219:18			
remedy 24:21			

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

respected 155:10	157:8 180:6, 12,22 181:5, 23 182:15,22 184:16	Rights 31:22 99:10 112:23 113:7 140:23	129:23
respecting 155:24	185:19 186:6 187:11 188:2 189:25 190:21 195:9	141:2,4,16, 19 142:14,20 143:11 144:5,9,15 145:1,5 149:12,25 165:4,12 166:13,17,20 167:8 189:3	roughed-out 102:9
respond 198:8	reviewed 15:7,23 16:16,19,23 47:10 67:3 74:20 109:13 144:7 182:23 186:4	ring 26:5	round 60:13 66:20 68:8 84:13, 15 102:25 103:2,5 116:14,23
response 108:11 177:2 192:1 198:5	reviewing 47:15,16 66:6,9 180:9	ripple 220:16	ruled 11:16
responsibilit y 20:5 82:10	revised 84:12	River 18:11	rules 9:5 12:25
rest 107:16,18 149:11,13	right 11:24 12:24 13:20 15:2 18:6 62:5 79:25 94:7, 14 97:10 120:3 121:13 122:3 123:24 130:12,14 134:2 142:8 147:14 153:20 154:3 170:4 171:4 172:2 191:6, 17 197:25 198:17 201:13 203:11 206:3,22 209:4,21 214:22,25 215:10,21 216:2,6,16 217:21 220:17,19 223:1 224:10 226:20 227:9,11,24	RNC 200:10,17	run 166:3,7 224:15
result 205:25		Road 18:11	running 68:19 129:2
results 35:9 57:8 176:8 177:11		Rogers 92:11 94:19 126:7 134:16 188:16 194:7	runs 107:23
resume 22:3,9,11 23:12		role 28:10,12 162:19	rural 64:24 75:7 154:18
retained 52:10,13 57:24		room 78:17 88:15, 19,21 90:10 108:5 109:9	Russell 162:6 193:9
retention 107:8		roots 154:8 211:8	<hr/> S <hr/>
retired 22:22		Rosborough 10:17	sacred 216:10
retrieved 150:14		Ross 10:15	sake 219:18
returns 41:6 42:2,3 75:18		rough 72:2 80:24 206:18	sat 101:13 211:18
revealed 143:25		roughed 60:20 64:24 73:10,13,16, 21 102:7,12	satisfied 222:23
review 15:21 16:1,4 37:11 41:6 47:12,20,24 48:4,7,10,22 49:11 67:15 74:18 75:18, 21,24 83:10 101:6 143:16,22			satisfies 142:19
			satisfy 138:21 145:5 155:21
			save 102:21 110:18
			saved 111:11

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

saves 110:21,22 saying 25:1 63:23 109:6 200:3 205:23 211:17 222:23 225:25 says 23:2 25:15 137:10 139:14 156:22,24 157:22 167:7 SB-10 182:7,9 schedule 105:20 schedules 105:17,21 scheme 73:6 216:6 school 64:13 75:2 80:12 81:8, 16,23 157:7 181:16 science 19:19,23 scope 178:16 scrap 111:4 scratch 38:24 94:6 screen 85:11 seats 206:12 second 50:2,8,12,20 151:4 176:18 177:9 178:2, 5,18 secondhand 82:14	Secretary 9:22 170:7, 16,21 171:11 section 137:10 139:9,14 140:15,19,22 141:2,15,18, 22 142:14,19 143:10 144:5,8,14, 17,25 145:9, 10 146:6,10 147:4,17 148:6,7 150:5 151:4 152:6 156:20 157:13 158:21 165:6 166:23,24 167:7,13,16 189:2 201:9 204:18 Sections 137:14 140:10 see 13:13 17:23 22:23 25:14, 17,21 26:18 33:2,6 71:17 82:19 99:1,4 107:15,18 131:6 135:23 137:9,17 145:6 148:8 154:12 160:16 196:4 201:7,21 203:20 215:7,15 217:3 224:5 seeing 37:16 74:1 132:23,25 segments 206:8	self-explanatory 157:16 Selma 227:11 semifinal 84:16 senate 24:6 47:18 52:5,16 54:11,12 64:14,21,24 75:6 81:11 129:2 147:13 188:5,6 190:23 191:21 224:13,14,21 senator 9:25 11:13 28:20 29:7,8 30:24 51:22 52:16 86:19, 21 87:4,19 106:19 107:22 108:1 109:22 119:24 180:15 191:12,13 204:18 senators 106:3 send 17:22 sense 28:18 42:5 58:22 74:8 116:20 148:24 169:20 210:18 222:12 sentence 26:24 sentences 78:23	sentiment 205:20 separate 55:21 separated 206:1 separating 203:5 September 51:18 52:21 54:4 55:8 79:17 102:25 103:1 104:13 105:9 106:9, 16 177:12 203:21 217:23 seriously 47:22 65:3 serve 27:12 session 47:3 52:3 55:9,14 61:11,13,15 62:15 79:14, 23 83:15 84:4 85:8 98:15,19,25 99:19 106:12,17 107:13 110:13 174:25 175:9 179:24 180:4 195:7 set 15:2 53:18 59:8 68:8 169:23,24 216:5 seven 40:12 49:4 58:16 60:7 67:20 68:3, 16 80:11,18, 21 81:14 83:19 85:20
--	--	---	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

105:18	Sharhl@	side	19,22 190:1
106:19	comcast.net.	21:14 133:9	193:25
122:11	19:5	191:21	194:1,3
137:25	sheet	226:20	singularly
138:15	47:19 213:17	sign	88:2
151:20	215:12,17	52:17,19	sir
160:14,21,22	Shelby	signature	11:19 12:23
206:12,14	130:10,15	25:22 26:1	13:3,9 14:22
seven-page	163:11	signed	18:15 26:2
160:13	188:15	25:12 54:3	27:11 30:10,
seventh	226:10,17	55:7,18 56:7	19 32:1
138:18	227:22	225:5	33:21 38:12
several	shift	significant	40:5,8,11
13:6 16:19	28:5	74:13 88:25	51:4,10,14
29:2 147:5	shifts	105:6	52:7 55:23,
199:22	223:5	significantly	25 57:16
214:17	Shoals	80:8,10	59:15 68:13
Sewell	89:15,23,24	similar	76:1 77:3
43:8 45:7	91:16,25	49:25 77:23	78:5,8 105:3
69:5,9,22,25	92:4 127:15	152:16 157:9	106:4 110:20
70:5 101:1	156:1,8	175:15 186:5	112:8 121:21
103:12	shock	190:11 192:1	137:12
113:25	89:4	similarities	142:12
116:11 117:3	shooting	156:25	160:25
118:6 119:18	32:13 34:3	similarly	169:16
122:15,16	44:11	17:25 41:22	175:18,20
125:15	short	149:5	176:7 182:7,
132:12,13	48:7	simply	12 190:19
133:14	short-circuit	207:1 211:18	194:14
210:10,12,	21:22	219:22	196:17
16,22 211:18	shortening	220:10 224:8	200:24 201:3
216:12	130:22	simultaneousl	209:5 215:1
217:7,13	show	y	216:3 217:5
218:8 219:2	85:11 114:5	65:8 88:14	219:5,12,16
220:1 226:6,	161:2 169:13	singer	220:18 222:1
18,23	170:5,18	42:23	223:21
Sewell's	201:6,18	single-member	227:12
45:25	203:18	147:25	sit
shakes	204:22	Singleton	90:5
34:25	213:13,14	9:21 10:2,9,	sitting
shape	221:10	14 16:10,14	154:3
171:19 173:5	shown	111:25	situation
178:16	22:3 195:10	181:23	24:21 96:21
share	221:6,7	182:20	113:8 134:4
85:11 156:5	shows	187:10,13,	situations
205:20	202:15	22,25 188:3,	149:15
		10 189:5,12,	151:21

six-person 58:25	119:11 120:7 128:8 142:4	specializatio ns 20:14	splint 128:17
size 171:19 178:16	153:12 157:19 159:24	specific 31:3 33:15 34:13 44:9 72:17 85:16, 17 89:13 90:1 97:13 114:1 122:25 128:3 145:23 152:15 153:19 155:22 156:9 158:3,18 164:11,23 181:3	split 89:6,9,10 90:17,20,21, 25 91:2,3,7, 9,22 92:1,2 93:7 94:13, 16 95:18,19, 22 103:13, 14,15,19 104:6,15 111:6,7 114:20,22 115:24 116:1 123:8 124:3, 8 126:10,15 128:19 132:2,10,11, 14 133:8,13, 23 134:7 138:15 149:19 151:20 156:2,12,15 158:8 173:7, 8,17 174:5 183:2,20,24 185:7 193:17 207:25 208:4 213:10 214:11,12,25 215:4 216:2, 9 221:19,20, 25 225:19
skewed 202:9	162:16,20 166:5 190:22 191:4 206:18	specifically 32:23 48:18 49:15 91:18 94:18 109:13 112:17 114:3 126:4 134:9 156:11 171:21 172:24 173:23 187:23 217:9	splits 158:11 179:1 184:10 185:8 193:15,16 205:25 206:7 220:23
Skipping 37:22	sounds 42:23 153:25 165:15	specifics 27:25 57:22 122:14,17,21 123:24 128:14	splitting 91:15 92:10 124:10 127:25 173:11 178:15 183:1 193:18
slice 205:16	sources 142:11	speculation 195:20	
slightly 84:11 134:20	south 226:17	spell 77:18	
sliver 204:10,17	southern 204:10	spend 60:25 192:24	
small 215:23	southwestern 174:14	spending 62:9	
smaller 225:18	space 151:15	spent 61:18,23 80:25 81:2 90:12	
snippets 90:8	spaced 55:4,5	spill 194:19	
social 154:8 157:2	speak 13:17 16:11 40:10,14 70:15 119:25 120:10 198:4 200:16		
socioeconomic 34:20 175:16	speaker 120:5		
software 33:9,14 76:22,24 77:1,14,24 78:1,10,13 82:3,4,12, 19,24	speaking 109:7 194:11		
sole 170:10	special 55:9,14 61:14 62:14 79:14 83:15 84:3 85:7 98:15,19,25 99:19 106:11,16 107:12 110:13 174:24 175:8 179:10,24 180:4 195:7		
solely 96:10,24 133:23			
solutions 123:11			
somebody's 90:22			
sort 20:3 29:9,21 44:9 46:6 71:20 82:23 89:1 107:8, 25 112:1			

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

214:21	101:5 122:20	140:15,22	study
220:11	137:9 148:6	141:3 144:19	19:18
spoke	150:5 151:5	146:6 150:6	styled
29:2,3,8	182:21 209:3	151:5 152:6	201:23
40:11,17	222:14	157:13	subdivisions
spot	state	158:21 165:6	152:8 157:5
227:19	9:3,19 11:21	166:24 170:6	subjectively
spread	12:13,22	statewide	153:15
146:20	18:8 22:2	64:19 65:9	submit
spring	25:17 52:5	statistical	38:20,21
59:22	53:15,23	217:3	168:19
St	54:11,12	stay	submitted
162:5 220:14	56:18 59:22	152:14	38:2,7 47:4,
staff	60:1 64:13,	step	11 48:8
24:1 68:24	20 65:11	18:6 67:13,	83:14 84:3
70:18,19	73:12 75:2	23 196:7	98:21 101:5
84:7 120:1	76:7,10,16,	steps	110:1,10
121:9,11	17 77:6,13	195:14 222:7	174:24
129:6,19,22	78:4,17	sticking	178:24 179:5
131:3,8,14	81:8,16,23	170:9 174:9	182:2,11
134:11,18	82:10,13	stipulated	submitting
staffs	86:14 90:4	169:6,16,18	98:18
200:18	113:12	stipulation	subordinates
stand	116:6,7	9:6	144:20
200:19	137:14	stipulations	subpoena
standpoint	165:10	11:6 170:1,3	14:11,16
74:2	170:16	stood	subsequent
start	194:25	30:17	189:5 223:5
45:18,20,23	201:5,21	stop	subsequently
56:25 60:3	202:15,18	191:6	224:21 226:4
64:12,22	203:2	straight	subset
65:3 94:6	state's	132:4	121:9
194:16 209:8	41:3 78:12	straws	substantial
started	80:4 201:25	25:3	26:8 112:13
55:12,16	state-wide	strength	substantive
60:23 65:16	41:12 56:17	141:1 142:25	29:18 108:10
66:20 94:9	stated	strong	substantively
97:22,23	38:10 58:8	145:1,3	57:21
194:18	85:19 166:14	strongly	subtract
206:15	170:7 189:8	104:1	146:2
212:12	195:5	structured	subtracted
226:2,3,5,13	statement	87:25	102:14
starting	118:4 203:24	stuck	subtracting
27:12 39:18	204:3	46:16	98:2 145:12
40:4,7 66:2	states	studies	subtractions
79:3 80:1	9:15 24:12	20:8	166:9
93:23 94:1,3	26:8 59:6		
	139:17		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

successful	141:20	47:16 63:5,	123:7,9
44:13	142:13	10,12 65:19	124:2,14,20
sufficient	143:22	67:13 81:18,	125:21
141:25	144:13	24 95:17	126:9,12,14,
suggest	145:23,25	97:5 104:4	16 127:6,18,
37:9 168:13	147:1 148:17	111:15 113:9	24 128:5,7
suggested	149:2	121:25 122:1	130:6,8,11,
185:15	150:12,14,16	123:16	16,18
211:23	151:11,25	127:10 137:8	142:17,18
212:14 214:2	154:23	148:3 160:20	163:20
suggestions	155:9,23	167:6	168:22
29:16 75:10	156:14,19	175:11,16	195:13 219:7
211:18	164:18 171:7	177:20	talking
sum	172:6	195:14 196:7	18:7 29:12
222:21	184:19,22	197:9 208:16	40:15 48:2,3
summer	199:9,10	209:6	57:20 66:2
106:7	204:19	215:11,12	83:22 92:14
Sumpter	205:5,8	218:4 219:10	93:6 98:11
155:2	209:15	223:6	100:14
support	211:12 216:7	taken	109:8,10
94:23 145:2	221:15,16	65:23 96:7	122:25
suppose	225:7,21	122:6 187:5	134:23 158:7
154:9 189:1	227:1,5	197:15	173:18
supposed	surprisingly	215:10	196:12 198:6
56:11 178:10	125:1	228:21	200:13
supreme	surrounding	takes	204:19
202:11	214:17	80:20 81:14	208:19
sure	swapping	158:14 167:4	talks
16:13 21:9	211:25	taking	116:14
23:13 30:1	swear	18:6 41:1	tangibly
32:5 33:8	11:2	talk	92:6
37:2,3,4,25	Switching	28:15 59:9,	team
40:24 42:19	210:9	24 84:7	21:12 154:9
43:6 44:6,	sworn	88:16 90:9	176:4
13,16,22	11:4	105:18 114:7	Teams
45:11 48:18	system	116:15 125:3	70:2
63:11 65:20	180:11	129:6 131:4	technically
81:21 95:12		137:13 143:7	18:21 22:14
100:7 111:4	T	147:13	70:2 132:12
115:19		168:20 169:1	telephone
117:14	table	214:15 224:2	18:23
119:10	194:12	talked	tell
125:22	202:14 215:6	15:8 29:17	44:19 49:14
130:12	217:4	68:3,4 90:4	95:13 118:11
136:12	take	104:24	122:21
138:11	13:22 20:4	114:14	123:24
140:7,11	26:7 34:14	115:4,11,13	134:24
		116:2,22	138:19

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

177:17	test	153:11,24	192:10,12,21
184:22,24,25	92:21 133:19	156:18	193:3,6,7,9,
185:3,10	testified	157:24	11,15,17,24
186:12 188:9	11:4	190:13 191:5	196:1 197:8,
199:12	testify	221:1 224:16	9 204:14
202:18	18:1	think	205:19
204:22	testifying	13:1 21:22	207:10,25
210:22	11:24	29:18,19	208:18 209:1
213:1,22	testimony	31:16 32:18	210:6 212:22
218:22	98:17 99:19	35:14 36:20	217:10,15,
telling	204:23 205:2	42:1 43:1	16,17
209:17 217:7	210:11	47:2 52:11	218:12,20
218:5	222:9,21	58:18 59:6	225:7 228:5,
tem	223:16 225:8	60:17 62:1	13
120:5	thank	63:22 65:16	third
ten	11:17 63:25	70:6 77:9,14	152:5 156:21
23:20 24:7	136:19,21	90:19 94:4	Thompson
38:14,18	171:10	96:13 97:2	10:6 11:9,18
39:9 44:18	173:19,21	103:20 104:7	14:8,13,15
45:4 46:9,	202:13	107:20	21:25 46:22
12,23 47:7,9	204:20	108:24	109:1,16
50:15 162:18	215:15	109:2,6	121:14,21
180:13	228:7,9,25	111:23,24	122:1 169:5,
191:20 207:5	Thanks	113:17,20	11 170:2
223:6	14:17	116:17	171:2,5,10
ten-minute	theory	117:8,9	173:19
90:8	55:13 56:8	118:22,25	177:4,15
tens	92:9	119:2 120:4	195:23
102:18	thing	121:14 125:3	197:18
205:12	31:22 67:10,	126:24	228:13
term	15 68:2	131:15,25	thought
156:22 157:3	115:1 117:13	134:14,21,	31:21 34:8
terms	124:15	22,25 138:5,	88:24 89:17
34:12 55:2	125:21	9 139:11,20	116:19 125:5
60:25 61:18	157:20 179:3	148:5,21	129:14
62:1 71:16	181:1 186:19	149:10	130:9,13
74:22 80:14	208:19	157:16 160:5	131:18
108:10	things	166:22 168:4	133:10 185:1
126:17	36:3 62:13,	171:15,19	202:15,19
151:17 168:7	22 72:25	173:8 177:17	226:5,11,13
172:5,20	73:23 89:12,	178:13,21	thoughts
193:12	22 91:14	180:1,16	84:12
200:13	104:2,24	181:20	thousands
204:12 216:6	105:22 111:8	183:19,23	102:17,18
Terri	114:13	184:3 185:5,	205:12
125:6 217:7	116:2,9,22	7 186:7,8,18	three
220:1	146:17 148:1	188:12,14	15:16 18:22
	152:21	190:9,12	23:9,19 36:9
		191:7,14	

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

41:11 66:16	121:16	told	trial
84:25 89:7,	122:5,8,24	58:18 87:12	12:20 18:1
10 91:15	123:1 129:5	118:12	tribal
92:10 93:8	136:14	131:3,7,15	157:2,6,9
94:13,17	145:18	136:22,24	trip
95:18 105:25	161:25	138:25 139:7	69:12,23
111:19,21	162:22 163:6	164:5,13	70:13
116:17	164:1 182:5	185:4 186:13	trips
126:16 154:4	187:19	197:23	63:5
169:19	192:4,25	198:21 199:2	trouble
181:24	196:11	203:1 204:25	151:6 198:2
182:14	197:14,17,18	210:16	true
185:24	218:12,21	Toni	22:8 26:3
189:6,12,23	226:3,5,11	203:25	39:18 93:3,
190:1,12	228:14,16,	205:20	18 215:19
191:9,10	20,23 229:3	top	222:17
192:13 207:6	timeline	25:14 36:3	225:22
226:16	51:1 56:11,	49:6 53:6	trump
three-judge	14 68:6	130:23	149:15
26:10 199:13	98:11 109:21	161:18	trust
221:4	timelines	total	74:5
tied	71:8	33:17,18	trusted
146:14	times	55:22 74:4	74:6
ties	12:18 65:14	83:2 97:25	truthfully
97:15	87:18 109:7,	98:5,6,9	12:3
time	12 127:6	99:25 137:15	try
9:17 13:17	129:25	145:14 146:1	45:14 78:25
17:17 27:18	215:22	town	90:24 157:14
31:21 37:13	timing	70:13 87:22	158:22
47:17 52:11	74:25	traced	196:13 209:9
55:10 56:6	tiny	39:13	225:24
60:23,25	204:10,17	trade-offs	trying
61:17 62:8,9	205:24	155:14	27:22 62:5
63:3,6,8,10	Tish	traditional	66:10 91:24
65:22,25	10:21	146:1	130:20
67:1,5 70:6,	title	transcript	132:14,15
11 74:19	21:1	203:20	133:23
75:14,15,19,	titled	translated	146:16,18
22,25 78:18	169:16	82:12	148:21
79:25 80:8,	today	travel	157:20
21,25 81:2,	12:3,6,10,14	63:8	158:10,17
7,11,19,24	13:5 14:19	tread	173:10
83:14 84:22	15:5,22	45:15	186:21
87:22 90:11	17:8,11,14,	tremendous	204:11
94:17 105:24	17 170:13	89:4	205:23
106:24	180:8 199:10	tremendously	Tuesday
110:21	200:7 221:6,	29:18	15:10,11,17
116:13	7		

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

17:4 87:25 110:5 tune 68:10 tuned 123:17 turn 66:14 98:22 145:15 turned 36:14,17 73:4 76:15 102:18 123:15,17 124:10 135:4 142:16 143:19 175:1 226:8 Turning 162:13 163:9 turnout 35:7 176:6 turns 72:10 216:22 Turrill 10:4 42:24 Tuscaloosa 96:4,8,13,16 115:11,20 127:20,21 128:3 162:18 163:22 192:23 195:3 209:9 211:24 212:1,2 213:7,8,13 214:4 216:19 220:14 221:19,24 224:18,19 TV 227:19 twice 79:11 two 20:9 23:21 26:7 30:5	37:5,6,12,16 49:18 52:14 54:9 59:23 72:7 74:21 85:1,5 86:16 89:11 95:19, 22 97:9 99:12 103:19 106:15 111:23,24 112:2 117:16 125:9,19 126:2,15 127:25 128:13 132:12 133:12,15 136:11 149:10,17, 21,24 150:16 161:6,9 181:6,13,17 182:13,14 185:17 189:5,6,12, 23 190:1 191:5,7 192:13,19 193:4,5,6 194:5 196:24 198:18 210:23 211:10 213:9,12 type 35:1 65:10 79:1 105:1 175:23 types 78:6 typing 13:14,16 <hr/> U <hr/> U.S. 87:13 103:6 119:23	122:11 201:6 Uh-huh 148:9 ultimate 207:17 ultimately 31:10 51:8 76:8 110:15 196:10,16 undergraduate 19:14 underneath 224:3 underpopulate d 45:3,6 66:11 70:25 95:24 114:7 underpopulati on 67:17 understand 11:23 13:7 33:8 111:20 139:18 204:11 209:23 210:10 219:9 225:8 understanding 12:2 28:2 39:20 53:12, 24 76:12 111:21 112:9 139:21 140:4,6 141:8,12,21, 23,24 142:10 145:8,10 146:9 149:8 150:8 151:9 152:10 157:17 158:24 159:17,18,20 168:2,5,6 208:14 225:13,14	understood 13:11 28:25 31:5 42:11 82:11 109:16 139:5 179:14 181:22 220:4 225:16 226:22 unhappy 185:22 United 9:15 139:17 141:2 universities 104:2 114:11 115:17,18 university 19:13 103:19 115:21,25 116:4 unofficial 57:5,8 64:7, 10 67:16 106:7 unquote 180:12 186:22 update 38:20 39:4 86:23 88:3, 23 updated 28:4 57:10 87:2,23 updates 88:4 updating 38:17 upload 83:7 uploaded 82:5,20,22 urging 211:9 usable 65:10
---	--	--	---

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

useless 64:15	97:15 124:22	33:2 39:8	waited 71:16 208:6
Usual 11:6	170:3 181:1	73:7 97:4	waiting 90:9
	201:5 221:5	114:14	walk 76:21 88:15
<hr/>	vi 167:1	170:15	109:21
v <hr/>	vice 149:16	182:11	148:23 150:4
vacation 63:6	VIDEOGRAPHER 9:11 11:1	217:25 218:7	182:19
vaguely 27:25 141:17	65:21,24	Voters' 217:14	walked 88:18,21
valuable 64:18,19	122:4,7	votes 227:7	Walker 9:24 11:7,
varied 84:23	197:13,16	voting 31:22 32:6,	10,12 12:7,
various 21:12,16	228:19,22	17 33:18	9,13 14:12,
29:13 44:18	229:2	34:3,8,15	14,17,24
47:3 54:20	view 27:22 159:12	35:10 44:7,	15:6 16:18
55:4 73:22	views 152:23	10 49:7	19:21 22:1
86:24 98:23	violate 202:11	74:10 83:2	33:5 37:15
102:14	Virginia 18:16 22:15	99:10 105:21	46:20 48:2,
105:10	23:6,23	112:17,20,22	16 50:23
143:25	24:6,10	113:7 117:6	77:10,20
145:15	virtually 10:10 64:15	119:12,22	88:23 99:16
149:9,15	83:17 187:14	135:7 140:23	106:25
vast 80:16 161:10	visitors 70:10	141:1,2,4,	107:9,22
162:10 181:8	voices 126:2	16,19	108:3,15,16
verbal 43:21 44:2	vote 139:15,19	142:14,20,25	109:5,18
verbally 43:18 148:10	140:13	143:11	113:13 116:7
versa 149:16	149:11,25	144:5,9,14,	121:19,24
version 23:11 84:16	155:18	25 145:5	122:3 136:12
110:12,14	165:4,23,24	149:12,25	138:23 139:8
173:9,15,16	167:8 185:15	157:5 165:4,	144:3,10
190:23	204:15	12 166:12,	162:17
191:11	voted 110:4	17,20 167:8	168:24
versions 191:4	voter 35:7 42:15	175:12,17	169:1,9,14
versus 9:13 12:21	75:21,24	185:14 189:3	170:24 171:4
25:11 81:1,	176:6	195:11,17	173:14
13 84:9	voters 32:8,13,20	216:23	176:23
		<hr/>	177:1,5,14,
		W <hr/>	17,20,23,25
		Waggoner 180:19	178:8,11
		190:15	181:20
		191:8,25	184:25 185:3
		192:8,13	187:8 195:19
		Wagner 190:25	196:20,22
		wait 13:17 60:1	197:3,23
			198:1,6,9,
			12,25

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

199:19,21 202:21 204:2,24 209:15,22 210:4 213:2 216:17,25 217:2 228:17,24 want 11:10 25:2 27:2 50:16 61:4 65:19 72:6,8 89:6 90:17 92:20 94:22 99:8 103:14 121:20 122:18,24 131:3,4 132:9 137:13 169:9,25 171:7 182:19,25 183:15 185:1 204:4 209:25 215:18 225:7 wanted 29:12 34:11 40:24 43:10 45:11 72:8, 18,23,24 78:18 89:15, 17,20 99:1, 4,11 103:20, 22 115:16, 18,19 116:1 117:7,10,20 118:19 119:13 125:22,25 130:23 148:17 152:13 156:4 183:1 197:8 210:12 219:17,20 220:5,7,22 222:25	223:25 224:2,9,15 wanting 93:7 Washington 60:2,14 200:9,17 water 45:15 148:20 151:7 way 18:1 24:16 28:24 62:11 81:9 91:12 95:25 104:20 110:20 111:16 121:6 127:11,17 132:2 179:5 182:3 199:23 209:16 210:13,15 218:16 222:7 227:2,9 ways 89:10 91:15 92:10 93:8 95:18,19 126:16 128:13 199:22 216:8 week 15:1,12 61:10 76:18 79:10,11,12, 13 82:5 84:3 87:24 98:14, 21,25 99:18 101:5 103:4 105:16 106:11 107:12,20 110:13 113:21 118:9 131:1 135:3 174:24 175:8 195:7	weekends 79:21 weeks 63:2,13 105:20 went 31:10 33:25 45:23 59:14, 16 61:11,13 69:14 70:8 84:5,14 107:20 114:16 120:3 122:14 134:21 192:22 193:9 214:23 222:22 west 125:24 172:22 199:7 200:1 221:5 western 130:22 186:21 whatnot 125:17 whatsoever 28:10 Whichever 53:8 188:6 white 33:2 Whitfield 104:7 wholesale 39:10 whomever 41:18 widen 132:7,16 Wilcox 155:3 Winston 162:17 Wire 161:15	Wiregrass 161:17 witness 9:7 11:2 16:13 19:10, 22 34:25 77:5 121:22 169:13 177:24 178:9 185:1 198:7 202:22 218:1 228:15 witness' 173:15 woman 43:25 Women 182:11 217:14,25 218:6 wonder 216:21 wonderful 105:22 word 60:6 151:6 171:23 215:12 218:4 words 159:23 222:13 work 20:3,20,21 26:11,12,15, 25 30:24 40:23 54:9 56:15 58:22 59:9 62:25 78:19 79:21 129:13 132:20 134:6 153:5 158:15 206:16,24 work-wise 62:13,18 workable 76:15
---	--	--	--

Randy Hinaman
December 09, 2021

worked	81:13,20	138:12,16,	
23:22,24	82:7,21	19,25 139:1	
24:11 26:15	83:21 87:7	183:6	
27:16 31:7	89:3 95:23	188:11,16	
92:11 195:3	96:11 104:14	190:9 194:4	
210:20	109:18 116:5	197:24	
212:15	119:2 123:7	198:16,21	
224:23	127:6 135:8	199:3,16	
working	143:18	200:4,8,23	
23:17 24:18	146:11	201:2 202:19	
28:6,8,13,14	154:7,24	203:5 205:25	
29:14 30:21	157:19	215:5,20	
38:19 52:14	162:25 172:6	216:10 223:9	
57:13,17	173:18	225:10,12,15	
58:24 62:22	180:24 182:7	zoom	
63:2 64:12	188:21 189:7	42:21 68:17	
79:25 89:12	190:17 192:8	69:3,4,7,9,	
206:10	194:3 205:18	17,19 70:1,2	
works	214:11,13	83:21 84:6,	
74:1 110:20	215:11,15,22	13,15 85:8	
132:23,25	220:13,25		
169:12	226:21		
worth	year		
73:2	51:20 171:1		
worthy	years		
92:10	13:2 18:20,		
writing	22 20:9		
153:16	23:9,20		
written	24:7,8 31:2		
43:19	33:11,22,24		
wrong	38:14,18		
38:22 193:8	39:9 44:18		
	45:4,14		
	46:10,12,23		
Y	47:7,9 50:15		
	162:18 200:6		
y'all	207:5 223:6		
121:20 171:9	226:9		
yeah	Youth		
16:5 20:1	20:6		
23:5,13			
31:14 35:14,			
16 38:16	Z		
39:15 42:6			
43:8 48:25	zero		
56:17 61:22	73:14 84:24		
72:16,19	102:9 128:17		
77:12 79:19	134:7		
	137:23,25		