

Exhibit 35

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT

BOBBY SINGLETON, et al.,
PLAINTIFFS,

vs.

CASE NO.

2:21-cv-01291-AMM

WES ALLEN, in his
official capacity as
Alabama Secretary of
State, et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

DEPOSITION OF LEONETTE SLAY
TAKEN AUGUST 10, 2023

* * * * *

Taken pursuant to stipulation and
agreement before Joseph Jones, CCR,
Commissioner for the State of Alabama at
Large, in the offices of Whatley Kallas, LLP,
2001 Park Place North, 1000 Park Place Tower,
Birmingham, Alabama, commencing at
approximately 9:59 a.m. (CT).

* * * * *

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES</p> <p>2 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:</p> <p>3 Mr. James Uriah Blacksher</p> <p>4 Ms. Suzanne York</p> <p>5 WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP</p> <p>6 Attorneys at Law</p> <p>7 2001 Park Place North</p> <p>8 1000 Park Place Tower</p> <p>9 Birmingham, Alabama 35203</p> <p>10 Mr. Henry C. Quillen (via Zoom)</p> <p>11 WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP</p> <p>12 Attorneys at Law</p> <p>13 159 Middle Street, Suite 2C</p> <p>14 Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801</p> <p>15 Mr. Edward Still</p> <p>16 EDWARD STILL LAW FIRM, LLC</p> <p>17 Attorney at Law</p> <p>18 2501 Cobblestone Way</p> <p>19 Birmingham, Alabama 35226</p> <p>20 Mr. U.W. Clemon</p> <p>21 U.W. CLEMON, LLC</p> <p>22 Attorneys At Law</p> <p>23 Renasant Bank Building</p> <p>2001 Park Place North, Tenth Floor</p> <p>Birmingham, Alabama 35203</p> <p>Mr. Dorman Walker (via Zoom)</p> <p>BALCH & BINGHAM, LLC</p> <p>Attorneys at Law</p> <p>105 Tallapoosa Street, Suite 200</p> <p>Montgomery, Alabama 36104</p> <p>FOR THE DEFENDANTS:</p> <p>Mr. James Davis</p> <p>ALABAMA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE</p> <p>Assistant Attorney General</p> <p>501 Washington Avenue</p> <p>Montgomery, Alabama 36104</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1 EXHIBIT INDEX (continued)</p> <p>2 PLAINTIFFS'</p> <p>3 EXHIBIT 12, General Election 11-6-18 43</p> <p>4 Official Summary Report</p> <p>5 For Jefferson County</p> <p>6 EXHIBIT 13, Special Gen. Election 47</p> <p>7 12-12-17 Report for</p> <p>8 Jefferson County</p> <p>9 EXHIBIT 14, General Election 11-8-16 48</p> <p>10 Official Summary Report</p> <p>11 For Jefferson County</p> <p>12 EXHIBIT 15, General Election 11-4-14 50</p> <p>13 Official Summary Report</p> <p>14 For Jefferson County</p> <p>15 EXHIBIT 16, "Alabama's Midterm 51</p> <p>16 Election Turnout Worst in</p> <p>17 36 Years" Article</p> <p>18 EXHIBIT 17, General Election 11-6-12 56</p> <p>19 Official Summary Report</p> <p>20 For Jefferson County</p> <p>21 EXHIBIT 18, General Election 11-2-10 57</p> <p>22 Official Summary Report</p> <p>23 For Jefferson County</p> <p>DEFENDANTS'</p> <p>EXHIBIT D1, Singleton Exhibit 5 69</p> <p>Livingston 3 Plan</p> <p>EXHIBIT D2, Singleton Exhibit 8 69</p> <p>Caster-Milligan Remedial</p> <p>Plan</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p>1 EXAMINATION INDEX</p> <p>2 LEONETTE SLAY</p> <p>3 BY MR. BLACKSHER 7</p> <p>4 BY MR. DAVIS 59</p> <p>5 BY MR. BLACKSHER 89</p> <p>6 EXHIBIT INDEX</p> <p>7 PLAINTIFFS'</p> <p>8 EXHIBIT 1, Slay Registration Info on 7</p> <p>9 SOS Website</p> <p>10 EXHIBIT 2, Slay Residence in 2021 CD6 9</p> <p>11 EXHIBIT 3, Slay Residence in 2021 CD6 9</p> <p>12 Zoomed In</p> <p>13 EXHIBIT 4, Slay Residence in 2023 CD7 10</p> <p>14 EXHIBIT 5, Slay Residence in 2023 CD7 10</p> <p>15 Zoomed In</p> <p>16 EXHIBIT 6, Singleton Plan 169-6 26</p> <p>17 EXHIBIT 7, Shelby County Precincts 28</p> <p>18 EXHIBIT 8, Summary of Prior Election 29</p> <p>19 For Singleton 3 and CLC1</p> <p>20 EXHIBIT 9, General Election 11-8-22 31</p> <p>21 Official Summary Report for</p> <p>22 Jefferson County</p> <p>23 EXHIBIT 10, "Democrats for Life 38</p> <p>Cancels Yolanda Flowers</p> <p>Support" Article</p> <p>EXHIBIT 11, General Election 11-3-20 39</p> <p>Official Summary Report</p> <p>For Jefferson County</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>1 STIPULATIONS</p> <p>2 It is hereby stipulated and agreed</p> <p>3 by and between counsel representing the</p> <p>4 parties that the deposition of LEONETTE SLAY</p> <p>5 is taken pursuant to stipulation and</p> <p>6 agreement; that all formalities with respect</p> <p>7 to procedural requirements are waived; that</p> <p>8 said deposition may be taken before Joseph</p> <p>9 Jones, CCR, Reporter and Commissioner for the</p> <p>10 State of Alabama at Large, without the</p> <p>11 formality of a commission; that objections to</p> <p>12 questions other than objections as to the form</p> <p>13 of the questions need not be made at this time</p> <p>14 but may be reserved for a ruling at such time</p> <p>15 as the deposition may be offered in evidence</p> <p>16 or used for any other purpose as provided for</p> <p>17 by the Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure.</p> <p>18 It is further stipulated and</p> <p>19 agreed by and between counsel representing the</p> <p>20 parties that the filing of the deposition is</p> <p>21 hereby waived and that the deposition may be</p> <p>22 introduced at the trial of this case or used</p> <p>23 in any manner by either party hereto provided</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 for by the Statute.</p> <p>2 It is further stipulated and</p> <p>3 agreed by and between the parties hereto and</p> <p>4 the witness that the signature of the witness</p> <p>5 to this deposition is hereby waived.</p> <p>6 * * * * *</p> <p>7 LEONETTE SLAY,</p> <p>8 the witness, having first been</p> <p>9 duly sworn or affirmed to speak the truth, the</p> <p>10 whole truth, and nothing but the truth,</p> <p>11 testified as follows:</p> <p>12 THE COURT REPORTER: Usual</p> <p>13 stipulations?</p> <p>14 MR. DAVIS: Usual stipulations, I</p> <p>15 guess, but, Jim, we've noticed this as a trial 09:59AM</p> <p>16 deposition.</p> <p>17 MR. DAVIS: You have, and I think</p> <p>18 out of fairness to you and the witness, I</p> <p>19 think it would be incumbent on me if I have an</p> <p>20 objection to leading or relevancy, that I 09:59AM</p> <p>21 specify that.</p> <p>22 MR. BLACKSHER: I agree. You need</p> <p>23 to get your objections on the record for a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 incorrect?</p> <p>2 A. No, this is correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. So --</p> <p>4 MR. DAVIS: Pardon me, but do you</p> <p>5 have an electronic copy so that we can see 10:01AM</p> <p>6 that?</p> <p>7 MS. YORK: That's the Exhibit</p> <p>8 Share function. You should have all received</p> <p>9 an invitation from Veritext.</p> <p>10 MR. DAVIS: Yes. So it's down in 10:01AM</p> <p>11 the marked exhibit folder?</p> <p>12 MS. YORK: Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. I</p> <p>14 understand.</p> <p>15 MS. YORK: And, occasionally, as I 10:01AM</p> <p>16 mark them in Exhibit Share, you may have to</p> <p>17 refresh the screen, but they should appear</p> <p>18 there almost simultaneously.</p> <p>19 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. I'm sorry</p> <p>20 for the interruption. 10:01AM</p> <p>21 MR. BLACKSHER: So this will be</p> <p>22 marked as Deposition Exhibit 1, Ms. York?</p> <p>23 MS. YORK: Yes, sir. I've marked</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 trial deposition.</p> <p>2 DIRECT EXAMINATION</p> <p>3 BY MR. BLACKSHER:</p> <p>4 Q. So would you state your name and</p> <p>5 address, please? 10:00AM</p> <p>6 A. Leonette Walker Slay, 320</p> <p>7 Albermarle Drive, Hoover, Alabama 35226.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And what is your race or</p> <p>9 ethnicity?</p> <p>10 A. I am non-Hispanic white. 10:00AM</p> <p>11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 1 was</p> <p>12 marked for identification.)</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. I've just handed you an</p> <p>14 exhibit that we printed off of the Secretary</p> <p>15 of State's website. 10:00AM</p> <p>16 MR. BLACKSHER: Suzanne, can you</p> <p>17 hear us?</p> <p>18 MS. YORK: Yes, sir. I'm marking</p> <p>19 it now.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. This is -- this locates you 10:00AM</p> <p>21 on the Secretary of State's registered voter</p> <p>22 database. Can you look it over, please, and</p> <p>23 see if there's anything on it that seems</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 it as Slay Exhibit 1.</p> <p>2 Q. Now I'm going to hand you,</p> <p>3 Ms. Slay -- this is a picture -- excuse me --</p> <p>4 that we printed off of Dave's Redistricting</p> <p>5 App that locates your residence in the plan 10:02AM</p> <p>6 that was enacted by the legislature in 2021.</p> <p>7 Does that look about right to you?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. And here is a blowup</p> <p>10 of that picture that is hard to read, but it 10:02AM</p> <p>11 might be easier for you to locate your</p> <p>12 Albermarle address residence on it.</p> <p>13 A. Yes. Where that "X" is, you can</p> <p>14 barely see the name of that street is</p> <p>15 Albermarle Drive, and that is where I live. 10:03AM</p> <p>16 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. So we'll</p> <p>17 mark those two as Exhibits 2 and 3.</p> <p>18 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 2 and</p> <p>19 3 were marked for identification.)</p> <p>20 MS. YORK: They've been marked and 10:03AM</p> <p>21 introduced.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, I'll show you according to</p> <p>23 Dave's where your residence is in the plan</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 that was enacted recently in 2023.</p> <p>2 Does that look about right?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And here is a blowup of that</p> <p>5 picture as well so that you can locate 10:04AM</p> <p>6 Albermarle Drive, and is the "X" in the right</p> <p>7 spot for your residence?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. We'll mark</p> <p>10 those as the next two exhibit numbers, please. 10:04AM</p> <p>11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 4 and</p> <p>12 5 were marked for identification.)</p> <p>13 Q. Now let's talk a little bit about</p> <p>14 your background please, Ms. Slay. Where were</p> <p>15 born and raised? 10:04AM</p> <p>16 A. I was born in Tupelo, Mississippi,</p> <p>17 and raised in the small town of West Point,</p> <p>18 Mississippi, in northeast Mississippi.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And is that where you went</p> <p>20 to high school? 10:04AM</p> <p>21 A. I graduated from public school at</p> <p>22 West Point High School, and then I went to</p> <p>23 college, Millsaps College, in Jackson,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 the Army, and to your point about education,</p> <p>2 I'm also a graduate of the Army War College,</p> <p>3 which is graduate-level education.</p> <p>4 Q. So give us an overview of your</p> <p>5 career in the military. 10:06AM</p> <p>6 A. Okay. I joined the Army in '76,</p> <p>7 and at that time, it was the Women's Army</p> <p>8 Corps, and I ended up spending 14 years on</p> <p>9 active duty and the rest of the time in the</p> <p>10 Army Reserve both as a military intelligence 10:06AM</p> <p>11 officer and a human resources officer and</p> <p>12 ended up being in several states -- Arizona</p> <p>13 Kentucky, South Carolina, and obviously</p> <p>14 Alabama -- and three years stationed in</p> <p>15 Germany. 10:06AM</p> <p>16 Q. On active duty or as a reservist?</p> <p>17 A. All were on active duty except</p> <p>18 South Carolina and Alabama. So, because of</p> <p>19 that experience, I've had some ideas about</p> <p>20 electoral politics in other states and also 10:06AM</p> <p>21 Germany.</p> <p>22 Q. So are you still a member of the</p> <p>23 Army Reserves?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 Mississippi. Then I got a scholarship to go</p> <p>2 to the University of Texas at Austin where I</p> <p>3 majored in public affairs.</p> <p>4 Q. As an undergraduate?</p> <p>5 A. No, that was a graduate degree 10:05AM</p> <p>6 after graduating from Millsaps.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So you got a master's --</p> <p>8 A. In public affairs.</p> <p>9 Q. -- in public affairs?</p> <p>10 A. Uh-huh. 10:05AM</p> <p>11 Q. And did you have more education</p> <p>12 after that, or did you --</p> <p>13 A. Well, immediately after graduating</p> <p>14 from the University of Texas, I was fortunate</p> <p>15 to get a job as a legislative aid in the Texas 10:05AM</p> <p>16 House of Representatives to a senior member of</p> <p>17 the House, and I worked there for two years to</p> <p>18 include their first constitutional convention</p> <p>19 in a hundred years in a special call session,</p> <p>20 but as a result of that experience, I ended up 10:05AM</p> <p>21 getting a direct commission in the United</p> <p>22 States Army.</p> <p>23 And from there, I had a career in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 A. Actually, I am not. I was in the</p> <p>2 Reserve until 2010. There is a requirement</p> <p>3 that you had to retire at age 60 for the</p> <p>4 program that I was in.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So what was your rank when 10:07AM</p> <p>6 you retired?</p> <p>7 A. I retired as an O6 colonel.</p> <p>8 Q. What brought you to Alabama?</p> <p>9 A. I was stationed in the First</p> <p>10 Infantry Division at Fort Riley, Kansas. That 10:07AM</p> <p>11 was another state that I was active in, and I</p> <p>12 ended up coming to Alabama for some personal</p> <p>13 reasons but then integrated from the active</p> <p>14 Army into the Army Reserve and served in</p> <p>15 Birmingham units as well as a South Carolina 10:07AM</p> <p>16 unit.</p> <p>17 Q. And what year did you come to</p> <p>18 Alabama?</p> <p>19 A. 1991.</p> <p>20 Q. And was that here or -- 10:07AM</p> <p>21 A. I was in Tuscaloosa. I lived</p> <p>22 there for several years and then was asked to</p> <p>23 join a particular unit in Birmingham as an</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 Army Reserve. They were short military 2 intelligence offices. And so I ended up 3 moving to Birmingham and worked here and 4 transitioned into the civil service as well as 5 the Army Reserve. Complicated program, but 10:08AM 6 you have to be in both to be eligible to be in 7 civil service. 8 Q. So explain to me what your civil 9 service position is. 10 A. Well, my civil service position 10:08AM 11 consisted of Army Reserve training and 12 military intelligence, but ultimately, I was 13 the human resource officer for eight 14 southeastern states and Puerto Rico about the 15 same time we started mobilizing Army Reserve 10:08AM 16 personnel for various overseas contingencies. 17 I was also a battalion commander that 18 mobilized people in '97 through '99 to go to 19 Bosnia and Herzegovina, but mainly we were 20 mobilizing thousands for the Global War on 10:08AM 21 Terrorism, Iraq, and Afghanistan. 22 Q. And as I understand it, this is 23 part military duty and part civil service</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And you won't return until 2 when? 3 A. I will return on August the 24th. 4 Q. And is this a trip that had been 5 planned? 10:10AM 6 A. Yes, this trip was planned last 7 year. 8 Q. Okay. When did you become a 9 resident of Jefferson County, Alabama? 10 A. 1994. At that time, it was 10:10AM 11 another part of Hoover but still in Jefferson 12 County. I've lived in Jefferson County in two 13 different locations since 1994. 14 Q. And the Albermarle Drive location 15 is the second? 10:10AM 16 A. Yes, sir. I purchased that house 17 in 2003. 18 Q. Okay. What was your address 19 before that? 20 A. 7213 Rime Village Drive in Hoover, 10:11AM 21 Alabama. It's an apartment complex. 22 Q. Are you also a member of the 23 League of Women Voters?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 duty? 2 A. It's called the Military 3 Technician Program, and so to be in a military 4 technician slot, you have to be a serving 5 member of the Army Reserve and meet all 10:09AM 6 requirements. So the two jobs are linked. 7 So, if I could not pass my 8 physical fitness test and was told to leave 9 the Army Reserve, I would automatically lose 10 my federal civil service job. If I couldn't 10:09AM 11 make weight or other requirements of the Army, 12 I would lose my federal civil service job as 13 well. So, when I ended up retiring in 2010 as 14 an O6 at Fort Jackson, I was also the human 15 resource officer GS14 for those southeastern 10:09AM 16 states and Puerto Rico. 17 Q. Thank you. And, you know, I 18 forgot to ask you at the top of the 19 deposition, but we are taking your deposition 20 because you will be unable to attend trial on 10:09AM 21 Monday? 22 A. That's correct. I'm leaving the 23 country on Sunday.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 A. I am a member of the League of 2 Women Voters underscoring that I'm not 3 officially representing them in any way in 4 this proceeding, but much of my experience in 5 Jefferson County is the result of volunteer 10:11AM 6 work with the League of Women Voters. 7 Q. When did you become involved with 8 the League of Women Voters in Alabama? 9 A. I became involved with them when I 10 moved to Tuscaloosa in 1990 where I served on 10:11AM 11 the board and as president of the local 12 league, and then when I transitioned to 13 Birmingham, I immediately joined the local 14 league and ended up being on the board and 15 president several times. 10:12AM 16 Q. When you say "the board," you mean 17 the statewide board? 18 A. Well, at that time, it was the 19 local board, but I have served three different 20 occasions on the state board and am currently 10:12AM 21 on the state board. 22 Q. Okay. And have you held -- have 23 you been chaired or chief officer of the --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 whatever you call it -- of the Jefferson 2 County league?</p> <p>3 A. I've been at various positions in 4 the Jefferson County league to include 5 president, treasurer, voter service chair, and 10:12AM 6 just various areas because of my long 7 association with the league which started in 8 1976.</p> <p>9 Q. And in -- in your work with the 10 League of Women Voters, are you a volunteer 10:12AM 11 with activities with the League of Women 12 Voters?</p> <p>13 A. The League of Women Voters in 14 Alabama is completely, 100 percent, voluntary.</p> <p>15 Q. And it's a nonpartisan 10:12AM 16 organization?</p> <p>17 A. It is vociferously nonpartisan.</p> <p>18 Q. All right. And so describe your 19 involvement as a member of the league and your 20 colleagues in the league in Alabama elections. 10:13AM 21 A. Well, the league -- nationally, 22 state, and local -- has been know known for a 23 long time as a voting organization, hence our</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 which we have toll-free numbers and emails and 2 are working very successfully with the 3 Jefferson County Board of Pardons and Paroles 4 to make sure that people who are eligible can 5 vote, and if they have one of the 10:14AM 6 disqualifying offenses, how they can go about 7 getting a CERV to restore their voting rights.</p> <p>8 Q. A CERV is a Certificate of 9 Eligibility to Register to Vote?</p> <p>10 A. Right. Thank you. And I've just 10:15AM 11 gotten some updated information from our VRR 12 project manager for Jefferson County. She 13 estimates that we have helped 250 felons make 14 sure that they can vote in Jefferson County.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. What about eligible felon 10:15AM 16 voters who are incarcerated in jail, for 17 example?</p> <p>18 A. They -- they -- until they're 19 convicted of a disqualifying felony, they are 20 eligible to vote. And so we are working 10:15AM 21 especially with the Jeffco Sheriff's Office to 22 make sure that they have access to ballots and 23 also information.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 name, and also a voting rights organization in 2 which we think every eligible citizen should 3 be able to cast an informed ballot at every 4 election they choose to participate in.</p> <p>5 And so we work to ensure that 10:13AM 6 elections are accessible, they are 7 transparent, and that people who are eligible 8 to vote have the opportunity to register, to 9 get information about what's going to be on 10 their ballot as well as information on the 10:13AM 11 logistics of casting their ballots.</p> <p>12 Q. What are some of those programs 13 you're involved in right now with helping 14 voters?</p> <p>15 A. We are doing voter registrations 10:14AM 16 whether it's an election or a nonelection 17 season. We are doing a lot of work with 18 voting rights restoration thanks to the 19 legislature's 2017 clarification on which 20 offenses constitute moral turpitude. Many 10:14AM 21 people who have been convicted of felonies 22 erroneously think they cannot vote, and they 23 can. So we have an active VRR project in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 And speaking of information, the 2 eligibility to vote is only as helpful as your 3 knowledge about who the candidates or the 4 constitutional amendments are on the ballot.</p> <p>5 So the league has a free service called 10:15AM 6 "Vote411.org" in which anyone can enter their 7 home address and find out who or what is going 8 to be on their ballot, and the league has very 9 successfully created questionnaires which 10 speak to local, state, or national issues. 10:16AM 11 The same questionnaire is sent to 12 every candidate. Every candidate has a chance 13 to respond verbatim. It's not edited in any 14 way, and we upload their answers so that a 15 voter can see what the candidates in their 10:16AM 16 region are saying about important issues.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And have you sent these 18 questionnaires out to candidates in Jefferson 19 County since you've been here or just 20 recently? 10:16AM 21 A. Jefferson County, the league here, 22 has been involved in Vote411 for about three 23 cycles now. We have a committee that creates</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 specific questions based on the races. Yes, 2 we have done that. What we are finding, 3 however, is there there is a lack of 4 participation by some candidates because the 5 way that the districts are drawn. They know 10:17AM 6 that their success is assured. So they have 7 no motivation to answer questions that their 8 constituents can see, and they know that as a 9 result, it will not impact them negatively. 10 Q. As a plaintiff in this lawsuit, 10:17AM 11 Singleton and others versus first Merrill now 12 Allen, Secretary Allen, have you instructed us 13 lawyers representing the plaintiffs to try to 14 keep Jefferson County whole in the 15 congressional district? 10:17AM 16 A. I have been, let's say, adamant 17 from my early involvement in this that I think 18 that Jefferson County should remain whole 19 because I feel that based on my experience and 20 observations that a viable coalition can be 10:18AM 21 formed by the African American voters, 22 progressives, independents, and moderate 23 Republicans that there is a very good</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 districts. 2 Q. What issues do you and some of 3 your colleagues share with black voters here 4 in Jefferson County? 5 A. One of the reasons I think a 10:19AM 6 coalition would be viable is that we have many 7 common interests. We would like to see 8 Medicaid expanded. We would like to see a 9 further reduction of the state grocery tax. 10 We would like to see a viable public transit 10:20AM 11 system for the whole of Jefferson County, a 12 holistic view to help lift people out of 13 poverty and to make a more viable -- not to 14 use a motor vehicle and to use public 15 transportation to get around the very large 10:20AM 16 metropolitan areas in Jefferson County. So I 17 think we have a lot of issues in common. And 18 revision of some of the criminal justice laws 19 that could be impacted. 20 Q. Are there issues in national 10:20AM 21 government, in congress, that you share with 22 African American voters here in Jefferson 23 County?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 possibility that that group of people could 2 elect the candidates of their choosing instead 3 of the cycles of which we have very low voter 4 turnout because there is nobody on the ballot 5 except one party or underfunded, unknown other 10:18AM 6 candidates, and because of the way the racial 7 gerrymandering district has been drawn, many 8 people, even enthusiastic committed voters, 9 feel very despondent because they know that 10 the way the district is drawn their candidate 10:19AM 11 will never win. 12 Q. So you have, for example, League 13 of Women Voter colleagues here in Jefferson 14 County some who live in District 6 and some 15 who live in District 7; is that correct? 10:19AM 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. Okay. And -- but as long as the 18 county is split, you're unable to -- to form a 19 coalition, if you will? 20 A. That is the -- based on my 10:19AM 21 experience, I would agree with that, and it's 22 also hard to get things like forums done when 23 you're county is split among multiple</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 A. Yes. I think that there is plenty 2 of room for investment in infrastructure 3 projects in Jefferson County which would 4 affect all voters but particularly those who 5 have been underserved in neighborhoods that 10:21AM 6 are primarily occupied by people of color. 7 There are some policies as a military veteran 8 I am very against that our national 9 representatives have embarked on. 10 And I think that there would be 10:21AM 11 some like-minded -- because, in the South, the 12 military is overrepresented by people of 13 color. And I think that they would see the 14 domino effects of -- many veterans are seeing 15 about what our junior senator is doing in 10:21AM 16 Washington. Those are just examples that 17 aren't connected just to one thing, but a wide 18 variety of personal interests can coincide 19 inside this one county. 20 Q. Okay. Now -- let's see. We 10:21AM 21 are -- I'm looking for an exhibit here. Give 22 me a second. 23 MR. BLACKSHER: Suzanne, can you</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 put up the Singleton -- the 2023 Singleton</p> <p>2 congressional map, which is marked as Docket</p> <p>3 169-6 on the screen for us to look at? Is</p> <p>4 that possible with this equipment?</p> <p>5 MS. YORK: Give me just a second. 10:22AM</p> <p>6 MR. BLACKSHER: Take your time.</p> <p>7 You know what, Suzanne, I've got a workaround</p> <p>8 if you want to come back.</p> <p>9 MS. YORK: All right.</p> <p>10 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 6 was 10:23AM</p> <p>11 marked for identification.)</p> <p>12 Q. All right. So, Ms. Slay, I'm</p> <p>13 handing you a copy of the 2023 Singleton Plan,</p> <p>14 which is also known as the Campaign Legal</p> <p>15 Center Map Number 1 or CLC Map Number 1 as it 10:23AM</p> <p>16 was known in the legislature for a while, and</p> <p>17 I'm just going to tell you that that is marked</p> <p>18 as Exhibit 169-6 in the exhibits that have</p> <p>19 been filed by the plaintiffs thus far or about</p> <p>20 to be filed. 10:24AM</p> <p>21 MR. BLACKSHER: Jim, do you need a</p> <p>22 copy of that in front of you?</p> <p>23 MR. DAVIS: Which exhibit number</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 28</p> <p>1 Q. Let me see if I can --</p> <p>2 MR. BLACKSHER: Suzanne, you said</p> <p>3 that exhibit is here now, the sliver of --</p> <p>4 MS. YORK: Yes, sir. It should be</p> <p>5 the fourth from the last folder. 10:26AM</p> <p>6 MR. BLACKSHER: Fourth from the</p> <p>7 last folder. Okay.</p> <p>8 MS. YORK: Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 MR. BLACKSHER: Got it. Thank</p> <p>10 you. 10:26AM</p> <p>11 MS. YORK: Sure.</p> <p>12 MR. BLACKSHER: Fourth from the</p> <p>13 last. That's good.</p> <p>14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 7 was</p> <p>15 marked for identification.) 10:26AM</p> <p>16 Q. Let me hand you a picture -- I got</p> <p>17 it off of Dave's once again -- that shows the</p> <p>18 eight precincts in Shelby County that are</p> <p>19 included in District 6 in the 2023 Singleton</p> <p>20 Plan. Are you familiar with any of those 10:27AM</p> <p>21 locations just over the Jefferson County line?</p> <p>22 A. Not really. I mean, I -- I've</p> <p>23 been down to certain places in Shelby County,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 did you say, Jim?</p> <p>2 MR. BLACKSHER: 169-6.</p> <p>3 MS. YORK: I'll put it in Exhibit</p> <p>4 Share. Give me just one second.</p> <p>5 MR. DAVIS: I do have a copy of 10:24AM</p> <p>6 that, and I'm sure I'll have another copy in a</p> <p>7 moment.</p> <p>8 MS. YORK: Yes, it's introduced as</p> <p>9 Slay 6.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So we're going to be 10:25AM</p> <p>11 talking about -- for the next several</p> <p>12 questions about this 2023 Singleton Plan we</p> <p>13 called it. It was introduced by Senator Bobby</p> <p>14 Singleton in the special session that just</p> <p>15 adjourned. 10:25AM</p> <p>16 And I'll direct your attention in</p> <p>17 particular to District 6. Does that contain</p> <p>18 all of Jefferson County?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And it also contains part of 10:25AM</p> <p>21 Shelby County, does it not?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, it contains a small sliver of</p> <p>23 northern Shelby County.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 29</p> <p>1 but -- I know basically where this is, but I</p> <p>2 don't go to any of these places.</p> <p>3 Q. We'll look at some questions about</p> <p>4 those later. Now I'm going to show you --</p> <p>5 MR. BLACKSHER: Do I need to ask 10:27AM</p> <p>6 that these be marked as we bring them in, or</p> <p>7 are you doing it automatically, Suzanne?</p> <p>8 MS. YORK: I'm doing it</p> <p>9 automatically.</p> <p>10 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. Everything 10:28AM</p> <p>11 is so streamlined now.</p> <p>12 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 8 was</p> <p>13 marked for identification.)</p> <p>14 Q. So now I'm going to show you a</p> <p>15 document that was introduced in the record of 10:28AM</p> <p>16 the special legislative session, which shows</p> <p>17 how the CLC1 Plan performs in past election</p> <p>18 returns.</p> <p>19 Have you looked at that before?</p> <p>20 A. I have. 10:28AM</p> <p>21 Q. So you notice that under the CLC1</p> <p>22 Plan, the percentages on the left side are</p> <p>23 District 6, which is Jefferson County and the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 30</p> <p>1 eight Shelby County precincts, and the 2 right-hand column are percentages in 3 District 7, which is the Black Belt District. 4 Is it true that all of the 5 elections that are summarized here from 2012 10:29AM 6 President to 2022 Secretary of State, in those 7 elections, the Democrat would have prevailed 8 in District 7 of the Singleton Plan, but in 9 District 6, the Democrat would not have 10 prevailed in three elections in 2020, 2014, 10:29AM 11 and one in 2022, Flowers for governor; is that 12 correct? 13 A. That's what the data suggests, 14 yes. 15 Q. Okay. The question I have for 10:30AM 16 you, do you believe that District 6 would 17 perform as an effective opportunity district 18 for black voters to elect candidates of their 19 choice if it were enacted by the Court? 20 A. Yes, because I think, again, 10:30AM 21 referring back to the coalition, they already 22 have almost a majority, and they're -- if you 23 could get a redrawn district that would</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 one now, which is for the November 8th, 2022, 2 general election. 3 What I'm looking for is the 4 turnout data. I don't see turnout data here, 5 do you? Oh, these are out of order. I'm 10:32AM 6 sorry. Just a second. 7 A. I see the voter turnout in 2022 8 was 41.11 percent. 9 Q. Okay. There it is. I guess I was 10 looking at the wrong copy. I gave you just 10:33AM 11 one page. Confusion reigns. Excuse me. Yes. 12 All right. So the first page does 13 show the number of registered voters in 14 Jefferson County, the number of ballots cast, 15 and based on that, the voter turnout rate, 10:33AM 16 which in 2022 was only 41 percent, and that -- 17 is that a turnout rate that's higher or lower 18 than usual? 19 A. Lower. 20 Q. Okay. So, if we look down at 10:33AM 21 Yolanda Flowers as a candidate for governor, 22 it does appear that she received about 3 or 23 4,000 more votes than Governor Ivey did in</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 31</p> <p>1 coalesce communicative interests in Jefferson 2 County, I think, as a result, you're going to 3 see more people registered to vote, more 4 people interested in the candidates, and a 5 higher turnout because they know that their 10:30AM 6 vote will count differently than if it were in 7 a gerrymandered district. 8 So I think that we have a 9 formidable opportunity in Jefferson County to 10 form a coalition and to select candidates that 10:31AM 11 share the priorities and issues of a large 12 number of people in Jefferson County who have 13 been split by an artificial district line. 14 Q. Now, just to try to get a little 15 bit more detail about how those -- the 10:31AM 16 Singleton District 6 would perform, I'm going 17 to show you a series of printouts from the 18 Jefferson County Probate Court's website which 19 have election returns that actually show the 20 number of votes cast and so forth. 10:31AM 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 9 was 22 marked for identification.) 23 Q. I'm going to hand you the first</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 Jefferson County; is that correct? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Nevertheless, when you include 4 the -- the precincts from Shelby County that 5 are in Singleton CD6, she came up just, what, 10:34AM 6 49 percent short of winning in that district? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. All right. All of the other 9 candidates here -- let's look at lieutenant 10 governor, for example -- first of all, 10:34AM 11 straight party voting shows 52 percent 12 Democratic; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And Democrats would have won -- or 15 did win, excuse me, for -- in Jefferson County 10:35AM 16 for United States senator, Will Boyd? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. For attorney general Wendell 19 Major? It's on the first page. 20 A. It's not on this page, so am I 10:35AM 21 supposed to be -- 22 Q. It's on the first page. 23 A. Here?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 Q. Down at the bottom, attorney 2 general.</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I got it. Yes, he did. 4 52.46 percent.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Looking for other statewide 10:36AM 6 races here, if you go to the second page, you 7 encounter associate justice of the supreme 8 court, Place 5, Anita Kelly, Democrat, would 9 have won -- did win in Jefferson County; is 10 that correct? Second page near the bottom on 10:36AM 11 the right-hand side. No, that's not the right 12 page.</p> <p>13 A. Last page.</p> <p>14 Q. Yeah. I'm sorry.</p> <p>15 A. Yes, the Democrat won by 10:37AM 16 52.50 percent of the vote.</p> <p>17 Q. Then, at the top of the next page, 18 Secretary of State?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, Pamela Laffitte won, 51.33, 20 against Wes Allen, 45.79 percent. 10:37AM</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Now, over to the right side 22 of that page, there are a number of circuit 23 court judge races in which the general</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 district court? Does the same apply to the 2 district court elections?</p> <p>3 A. I believe that's true, but I'm not 4 an expert on how it all gets sorted out. It's 5 always a mystery who is going to appear on 10:39AM 6 your ballot unless you go to Vote411.org.</p> <p>7 Q. I'm going to go down to the 8 Jefferson County Sheriff. That's a countywide 9 office. Do you see that at the bottom of the 10 next page? 10:39AM</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. A Democrat, Pettway, 13 prevailed in that one; is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct. 52 to 47 percent.</p> <p>15 Q. And I don't see any other 10:40AM 16 countywide elections. Do you?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Let's talk for a minute about 19 Yolanda Flowers. She lost the election in 20 District 6 even though she had 96,175 to Kay 10:40AM 21 Ivey's 92,583 in Jefferson County alone, but 22 the -- she was the only statewide Democratic 23 candidate who did lose in District 6.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 election there appear to be no -- no contested 2 races, only the Democrat is there; is that 3 correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. Now, does the whole of 10:38AM 6 Jefferson -- all of the voters in Jefferson 7 County vote for these circuit court judges?</p> <p>8 A. No. Jefferson County has a 9 somewhat unique system in that we have two 10 different places for -- we have two 10:38AM 11 courthouses, and we have two judicial 12 districts. So one of them is called the 13 Bessemer Cutoff District, which is where part 14 of Hoover and western Jefferson County have 15 candidates that only serve out of the Bessemer 10:39AM 16 Courthouse. So there are judges that are 17 elected that I as a Bessemer Cutoff voter 18 cannot vote for, will not appear on my ballot, 19 and then there are judges on my ballot that my 20 colleagues cannot vote for because they live 10:39AM 21 elsewhere in Jefferson County.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So the circuit court 23 elections are not countywide. What about the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 What can you tell us about Yolanda 2 Flowers' candidacy?</p> <p>3 A. Ms. Flowers qualified to run as a 4 Democrat for governor, but she was an unknown 5 in political circles, pretty much untested in 10:41AM 6 terms of her résumé of past offices.</p> <p>7 According to media reports, she only raised 8 about \$12,000, and she had some policy 9 positions that were frankly confusing and 10 ambiguous and ended up disappointing both 10:41AM 11 progressives and conservatives. And that was 12 primarily around the issue of choice. And so 13 her statements were not quite unambiguous, and 14 it ended up perhaps chilling the vote for her 15 among people who might have voted for her 10:41AM 16 otherwise.</p> <p>17 I actually talked to her once. 18 And she also interjected a lot of biblical 19 references in her campaign tweets, and that 20 was something that also disappointed certain 10:42AM 21 segments of the electorate in my opinion that 22 might have voted for her otherwise.</p> <p>23 Q. So, because of those issues, she</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 might not have received as much Democratic 2 support as some of the other candidates? 3 A. True. I think that in that case 4 that there are enough problematic statements 5 by the candidate that the coalition was not as 10:42AM 6 robust as it could have been if that party had 7 fielded a more viable candidate for governor, 8 and even though she only had \$12,000 and even 9 though she didn't make very many appearances, 10 look at the vote that she got in Jefferson 10:42AM 11 County. 12 Q. Well, yeah, she -- she won in 13 Jefferson County. 14 MR. DAVIS: Mr. Blacksher, before 15 you move on, can you tell me where Exhibit 9 10:43AM 16 came from? I think you said at the beginning, 17 but I didn't catch it, this printout we've 18 been discussing. 19 MR. BLACKSHER: It's off of the 20 Jefferson County Probate Court website. 10:43AM 21 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 10 was 23 marked for identification.)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 2020? 2 A. The voter turnout was 3 64.84 percent, so about 20 points higher. 4 Q. Than it was in 2022? 5 A. Yes, sir. 10:44AM 6 Q. And -- and in your opinion, is -- 7 is there a reason for that? 8 A. Well, there's a couple reasons. 9 First of all, this is a presidential election 10 year, so turnout is traditionally higher when 10:45AM 11 you have a -- a president on the ballot. This 12 is also the year in which there was intense 13 interest in the United States Senate seat with 14 much national and state publicity on the two 15 candidates running. So there were several 10:45AM 16 reasons to get voter interest to get to the 17 polls in 2020. 18 Q. Okay. And so the United States 19 Senator election between Doug Jones and Tommy 20 Tuberville? 10:45AM 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. And how big of a margin did Doug 23 Jones get in Jefferson County?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 Q. I'm handing you a newspaper 2 article. You mentioned media reports that 3 mentioned Yolanda Flowers. Have you looked at 4 that article before? 5 A. I -- I did briefly, yes. 10:43AM 6 Q. Okay. Does this article refer to 7 the -- the choice versus right-to-life issue 8 that -- that you were referring to? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. So it's just evidence -- 10:43AM 11 it's hearsay, but it's evidence of what was 12 actually said in the -- in the press; is that 13 right? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. Just keep that. 10:44AM 16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 11 was 17 marked for identification.) 18 Q. All right. And next I'm going to 19 hand you the general election summary report 20 for the November 3, 2020, election here in 10:44AM 21 Jefferson County, again, off of the Probate 22 Court's website. 23 Now, what is the voter turnout in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 A. Well, he got 17 percentage points 2 higher than Senator Tuberville. 3 Q. Now, again, there are a number of 4 elections here for associate justices of the 5 Alabama Supreme Court, also Court of Appeals 10:46AM 6 judges, and none of them seems to be 7 contested. 8 In your observation and your 9 experience, have Democrats -- Democratic 10 candidates been able to prevail in any 10:46AM 11 statewide elections in the last decade? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Again, there are -- there are some 14 county -- there are some county judges, 15 circuit court and -- you know, for circuit 10:46AM 16 court judges, but I believe your testimony was 17 before that not all of Jefferson County voters 18 get to vote for these circuit court judges; is 19 that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 10:47AM 21 Q. And you're in the Bessemer Cutoff? 22 A. I am. 23 Q. And don't get to vote for many of</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 42</p> <p>1 them?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct. I want to</p> <p>3 highlight one of these races, which is the</p> <p>4 public service commission, to show you how</p> <p>5 well that the Democrats performed because 10:47AM</p> <p>6 Ms. Cavanaugh had the -- I mean, she's an</p> <p>7 incumbent. She's been elected twice before,</p> <p>8 and yet here is a newcomer that comes to offer</p> <p>9 her services. And in Jefferson County, she</p> <p>10 would have prevailed 56 percent to 10:47AM</p> <p>11 Ms. Cavanaugh's 43 percent, and the public</p> <p>12 service commission is a hugely important</p> <p>13 office that's elected by districts.</p> <p>14 So it just goes to show you that</p> <p>15 in a competitive race in Jefferson County, 10:48AM</p> <p>16 even a -- a newcomer can wage an effective</p> <p>17 campaign and potentially win.</p> <p>18 Q. So, if you really want to get more</p> <p>19 in detail about the chances of black voters</p> <p>20 and their white coalition partners, you have 10:48AM</p> <p>21 to go to the election returns and look at each</p> <p>22 race and put it in context; is that correct?</p> <p>23 A. You know, context is incredibly</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 44</p> <p>1 Jefferson County.</p> <p>2 What was the voter turnout in</p> <p>3 2018?</p> <p>4 A. 54.67 percent.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And what was -- in terms of 10:50AM</p> <p>6 straight party voting, what does that breakout</p> <p>7 look like?</p> <p>8 A. The Democrats had 58.07;</p> <p>9 Republicans, 41.93.</p> <p>10 Q. And -- but you've testified that a 10:50AM</p> <p>11 lot of your colleagues split their tickets.</p> <p>12 They don't always vote straight ticket?</p> <p>13 A. Well, our general opinion is that</p> <p>14 straight ticket voting is not the best way for</p> <p>15 an educated voter, and Alabama is only one of 10:50AM</p> <p>16 seven states that even allows straight ticket</p> <p>17 voting. So, if you have done your homework</p> <p>18 and you really are open to listening to people</p> <p>19 talk about issues, a split ticket is</p> <p>20 completely acceptable because you may want to 10:50AM</p> <p>21 vote for one party's judicial candidates,</p> <p>22 which are unfortunately running on a partisan</p> <p>23 basis, but you want to also keep your party</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 43</p> <p>1 important as well as current events. So, in</p> <p>2 some cases, a particular race may not be as</p> <p>3 riveting, but then you have a case in which</p> <p>4 your electricity rates are being raised and</p> <p>5 all of a sudden you get interested in the 10:48AM</p> <p>6 people who are regulating that particular part</p> <p>7 of your monthly bill. So context matters.</p> <p>8 What's happening in your locality and state</p> <p>9 matters, and sometimes they all come together</p> <p>10 so that you have much more interest in a race 10:49AM</p> <p>11 than is typical for that particular office.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. So is there anything more</p> <p>13 that you notice that we ought to comment on</p> <p>14 for the 2020 official results? We can go down</p> <p>15 the list and say something about everything, 10:49AM</p> <p>16 but we don't want to take the time to do that.</p> <p>17 A. (Witness shakes head.)</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 12 was</p> <p>20 marked for identification.) 10:49AM</p> <p>21 Q. All right. Next I'm going to hand</p> <p>22 you the summary report election returns for</p> <p>23 the November 6th, 2018, general election in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 together on national or state things.</p> <p>2 So I never vote a straight ticket,</p> <p>3 and I encourage my family and friends not to</p> <p>4 vote a straight ticket because you are not</p> <p>5 giving individual candidates who have offered 10:51AM</p> <p>6 themselves for public service the opportunity</p> <p>7 and the respect to vote on each of those</p> <p>8 separately.</p> <p>9 Q. So, in the 2018 race for governor,</p> <p>10 it was Walt Maddox versus Kay Ivey. What does 10:51AM</p> <p>11 it show for Jefferson County?</p> <p>12 A. Walt Maddox got 58.94 percent,</p> <p>13 and Kay Ivey got 40.94 percent. We have to</p> <p>14 remember that Walt Maddox got a lot of</p> <p>15 national public accolades and publicity 10:51AM</p> <p>16 because this race came after the tornadoes in</p> <p>17 Tuscaloosa County, and he performed so well in</p> <p>18 his role as mayor there that he got a</p> <p>19 tremendous boost in his image as a person who</p> <p>20 could take on a higher office. And he ran a 10:52AM</p> <p>21 fairly effective campaign, but thanks to</p> <p>22 straight ticket voting and the war chest that</p> <p>23 the supermajority party has, he came up short</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 46</p> <p>1 statewide, but he didn't come up short in 2 Jefferson County.</p> <p>3 Q. Well, he -- the vote for Maddox at 4 58.94 is close to the 58.07 straight ticket 5 voting, but in order to get 58.94 for governor 10:52AM 6 in Jefferson County, Maddox had to get votes 7 from white voters, didn't he?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, he did.</p> <p>9 Q. And how would -- in your 10 experience, where and who are those white 10:52AM 11 voters? How would you characterize them in 12 your experience?</p> <p>13 A. Well, I think they're the same 14 voters who -- who have the same concerns. 15 Medicaid, Mr. Maddox had indicated his support 10:53AM 16 for expanding Medicaid in Alabama. Kay Ivey 17 was already serving as lieutenant governor 18 under Robert Bentley, and then she went to be 19 governor after he resigned. But he, as a 20 medical doctor, refused to even entertain 10:53AM 21 expanding Medicaid, and so there was no way 22 she was -- seemed to be in a position to take 23 a different stance.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 48</p> <p>1 would be 70.65 versus 29.35, but in this case, 2 straight party is the same thing as individual 3 vote because there's only one candidate on the 4 ballot.</p> <p>5 Q. That's right. 10:55AM</p> <p>6 A. But as we know, this -- this was 7 an aberration and was unlikely to be repeated. 8 And so clearly you had a lot of crossover 9 voting or nonvoting by people who would have 10 traditionally voted Republican. 10:55AM 11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 14 was 12 marked for identification.)</p> <p>13 Q. And now I'm going to show you the 14 2016 -- November 8th, 2016, general election 15 results. 10:55AM</p> <p>16 A. We're going back in history here.</p> <p>17 Q. 2016, yeah. Well, I want to try 18 to use these exhibits to indicate how 19 consistent, how sustained Democratic victories 20 in Jefferson County have been. So that's one 10:56AM 21 of the reasons I want you to look at these.</p> <p>22 This one doesn't seem to have 23 turnout in it. I don't know why they didn't</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 47</p> <p>1 So those people who thought that 2 health care in Alabama would benefit from 3 expanding Medicaid were more likely to vote 4 for Mr. Maddox. He was also more in tune with 5 lower to middle class voters and some of their 10:53AM 6 budgetary concerns which probably would have 7 been translated into some of the policies that 8 he would have supported as governor of the 9 state.</p> <p>10 Q. Thank you. I think we can go a 10:54AM 11 little more quickly through these because 12 we've indicated what to look for, but there's 13 no point in taking the time to do so.</p> <p>14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 13 was 15 marked for identification.) 10:54AM</p> <p>16 Q. Here is the special general 17 election, December 12th, 2017. This is the 18 election between Doug Jones and Roy Moore. 19 And what's the voter turnout?</p> <p>20 A. Voter turnout is 47.74 percent. 10:54AM</p> <p>21 Q. And what was the straight party 22 vote?</p> <p>23 A. Well, the straight party ticket</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 49</p> <p>1 give us that. It says, "Registered voters: 2 Zero. Ballots cast: 305,000." I don't 3 understand. That must be a typo, but in any 4 event, what is the straight party vote here?</p> <p>5 A. Democrat is 58.58; the 10:56AM 6 Republicans, 41.42.</p> <p>7 Q. And how did the Democrat and 8 Republican candidates for president fair in 9 Jefferson County?</p> <p>10 A. Secretary Clinton got 51.57, and 10:56AM 11 former president Trump got 44.30 and keeping 12 in mind there were two other candidates in 13 that race. So a total of four. So they took 14 several percentage points away.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, to be clear, the straight 10:57AM 16 party vote contains -- it contains white 17 voters as well, right?</p> <p>18 A. Certainly.</p> <p>19 Q. Yes.</p> <p>20 A. And the straight party vote for 10:57AM 21 Republicans probably contains minority voters.</p> <p>22 Q. Some black voters?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 Q. Latino voters?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Okay.</p> <p>4 A. And in that particular race</p> <p>5 because it was a woman running for president, 10:57AM</p> <p>6 you may have gotten some different kinds of</p> <p>7 crossover voting that you would not have</p> <p>8 gotten had it been two male candidates.</p> <p>9 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>10 A. I mean that a lot of Republican 10:58AM</p> <p>11 women may have ended up voting for Hillary</p> <p>12 Clinton because her stances were more in line</p> <p>13 with their priorities as opposed to the</p> <p>14 Republican candidate. So, again, context</p> <p>15 matters when you're looking at election 10:58AM</p> <p>16 returns.</p> <p>17 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 15 was</p> <p>18 marked for identification.)</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Here I'm handing you the</p> <p>20 November 4, 2014, general election returns. 10:58AM</p> <p>21 This is one of the elections in which,</p> <p>22 according to the summary, three Democrats lost</p> <p>23 in statewide races at 48 percent or so.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And it mentions Yolanda Flowers?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. It says she spent a paltry \$12,500</p> <p>5 with her campaign. All right. So -- 11:00AM</p> <p>6 A. But to your -- our earlier</p> <p>7 discussion about context, part of this is very</p> <p>8 Alabama specific in terms of the</p> <p>9 disorganization and infighting of one of the</p> <p>10 two parties, and that's translating into 11:01AM</p> <p>11 whether candidates want to throw their hat in</p> <p>12 the ring. So some of this is internal party</p> <p>13 politics and, in my opinion, shouldn't be so</p> <p>14 much a direct reflection on what voters would</p> <p>15 do because voters haven't been given a good 11:01AM</p> <p>16 candidate with substantive issue solutions on</p> <p>17 which they could base a vote.</p> <p>18 And that is part of the reason</p> <p>19 you're seeing this paltry turnout because the</p> <p>20 candidates are not people who can be viable 11:01AM</p> <p>21 candidates. They may be good people, but they</p> <p>22 don't have the funding. They don't have the</p> <p>23 infrastructure. They don't have the political</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 What is the voter turnout in 2014?</p> <p>2 A. Oh, gosh. 40.71 percent.</p> <p>3 Q. So it's about as low as it was in</p> <p>4 2022?</p> <p>5 A. Uh-huh. But, again, this is not a 10:59AM</p> <p>6 presidential election.</p> <p>7 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 16 was</p> <p>8 marked for identification.)</p> <p>9 Q. Let me show you -- let's put this</p> <p>10 to the side. This is a newspaper article 10:59AM</p> <p>11 captioned "Alabama's Midterm Election Turnout</p> <p>12 Worst in 36 years," and it's dated November 9,</p> <p>13 2022. So this is after the 2022 election, not</p> <p>14 the 2014 election, that we were just looking</p> <p>15 at. 11:00AM</p> <p>16 Have you seen this article?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Now, I know this article is</p> <p>19 hearsay, but it does quote and cite Secretary</p> <p>20 of State Merrill as saying that he had 11:00AM</p> <p>21 predicted a higher Democratic vote and that it</p> <p>22 was the lowest he had seen in 36 years; is</p> <p>23 that correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 experience, and they don't have any kind of</p> <p>2 national underpinning to help them win an</p> <p>3 election in Alabama.</p> <p>4 Q. Right. And if Jefferson County</p> <p>5 had its own congressional district, isn't it 11:02AM</p> <p>6 likely that the candidate who comes out of the</p> <p>7 primary election is going to be more qualified</p> <p>8 than candidates have been in the past in</p> <p>9 congress here?</p> <p>10 A. That would be my personal opinion 11:02AM</p> <p>11 because you've got a contiguous, fairly</p> <p>12 compact area that clearly have many common</p> <p>13 issues. And so you are trying to appeal to a</p> <p>14 more -- a group that you can get around to</p> <p>15 much easier, that you can speak with, and the 11:02AM</p> <p>16 media has access to this particular county.</p> <p>17 You could use the -- a district that is just</p> <p>18 Jefferson County and make your campaign funds</p> <p>19 go further. You could have more exposure to</p> <p>20 the potential voters, and depending on how the 11:03AM</p> <p>21 issues sort out, you could find some</p> <p>22 coalitions where you least expected it.</p> <p>23 Q. Let me just ask a hypothetical</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 54</p> <p>1 question. Let's assume that the 2024 election 2 used Singleton's Congressional District 6, 3 which is all of Jefferson County and eight 4 Shelby County precincts, if the winner of the 5 Democratic primary was -- if the winner of 11:03AM 6 the -- of the Democratic primary was incumbent 7 Terri Sewell, do you think that the turnout 8 would be higher? 9 A. But Representative Sewell is not 10 in District 6. 11:03AM 11 Q. No. I said hypothetical. 12 A. Oh. Yes. 13 Q. You see what I'm -- I'm saying? 14 Assume -- I could give you another example. 15 Let's assume that it was Walt Maddox 11:04AM 16 because -- well, it can't be Walt Maddox. 17 MR. BLACKSHER: Give me another 18 name, Ed. 19 MR. STILLS: Rodger Smitherman. 20 A. Doug Jones. Doug Jones could run 11:04AM 21 in Jefferson County, lives in Jefferson 22 County. He would be elected state 23 representative for District 6 because there</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 56</p> <p>1 different solutions to current issues, they 2 may find that they're voting out of their 3 pattern. 4 MR. BLACKSHER: Do we need to take 5 a break? How you doing? 11:06AM 6 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm fine. 7 MR. BLACKSHER: All right. 8 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 17 was 9 marked for identification.) 10 Q. This is the November 6th, 2012, 11:06AM 11 official results from the Jefferson County 12 Probate Court website, and what's the voter 13 turnout here? 14 A. The voter turnout is 15 79.15 percent, which is quite amazing, but 11:06AM 16 then this is a presidential year when 17 President Obama is on the ballot. 18 Q. And how did he do? 19 A. He got 52.50 percent of the vote, 20 and Mitt Romney got 46.53 percent. But, see, 11:06AM 21 this is an example, in my opinion, on a 22 national level of two viable candidates, and 23 you can see that because the Democrat got</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 55</p> <p>1 would be enough crossover voters based on his 2 stellar reputation and his long political 3 history that he would easily win election as 4 a -- as a congressman from District 6 in 5 Jefferson County. 11:04AM 6 Q. Okay. And can't you say the same 7 thing about Terri Sewell, though? 8 A. Yes. Yes. And there would be a 9 lot more interest in the campaign, but I don't 10 think that you're just trying to get something 11:05AM 11 that's so overwhelming that one candidate is 12 assured to win. What -- what -- I want is a 13 fair map, a map that gives us two viable 14 candidates who are forced to debate and say 15 what their issues are so that we can make an 11:05AM 16 informed decision. 17 It would be the same thing if it 18 were overwhelmingly a sure thing so that no 19 GOP guy wants to get on the ballot. That is 20 the same situation, and there are plenty of 11:05AM 21 people, along with the precincts in Shelby 22 County, that might find that with a robust 23 debate between two candidates who have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 57</p> <p>1 59.64, but Mitt Romney got 40.36 percent 2 because they were both speaking to the issues. 3 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 18 was 4 marked for identification.) 5 Q. Okay. Next I want to show you the 11:07AM 6 November 2, 2010, election returns. Turnout 7 here is what? 8 A. Turnout is 56.20 percent. 9 Q. Straight ticket voting? 10 A. Democrats, 59.06; Republicans, 11:07AM 11 40.94. 12 Q. And for governor? 13 A. Governor was an unknown named Ron 14 Sparks who got 50.35, and at that point, 15 Robert Bentley was pretty much unknown, and he 11:07AM 16 got 48.86. 17 Q. And I had one more, I think. 18 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 19 was 19 marked for identification.) 20 Q. These are the November 4, 2008, 11:08AM 21 general election returns, and what's the 22 turnout here? 23 A. 77.05. And the straight party was</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 58</p> <p>1 63.35 for Democrats, 36.35 for Republicans, 2 with President Obama in his first term getting 3 52.15 and John McCain, 47.07. 4 Q. All right. I think we're through 5 with those. 11:09AM 6 MR. BLACKSHER: Suzanne, I'm not 7 going to introduce the Shelby County things. 8 We'll have to mark them up to indicate their 9 correct precincts. We'll have to put them 10 into evidence another way. Okay? 11:09AM 11 MS. YORK: Yes, sir. 12 MR. BLACKSHER: Can we take a 13 short break? Is that all right with you, Jim? 14 MR. DAVIS: Sure. It's just me. 15 What time you want to come back? 11:09AM 16 MR. BLACKSHER: How about ten 17 minutes? 18 MR. DAVIS: Okay. 19 (A recess was taken.) 20 MR. BLACKSHER: We're going to 11:17AM 21 turn Ms. Slay over for cross-examination. 22 //// 23 ////</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 60</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Do you consider yourself 3 knowledgeable about voting issues in the 4 state? 5 A. About voting issues or any issues? 11:20AM 6 Q. Electoral politics. 7 A. I do consider myself probably a 8 little more than the average person because of 9 my lifelong interest in politics, so yes. And 10 I subscribe to a variety of media that tries 11:20AM 11 to keep me informed on various issues. 12 Q. How long would you say that you've 13 been an active and interested voter? 14 A. I have been an active and 15 interested voter since I turned 18, and the 11:20AM 16 first election I voted in, my father was on 17 the ballot for sheriff of Clay County, 18 Mississippi. So we have been involved in 19 retail politics since I was old enough to 20 attend cake walks with my dad in rural Clay 11:21AM 21 County. 22 Q. In the complaint you filed in this 23 action, if I understand your claims correctly,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 59</p> <p>1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. DAVIS: 3 Q. Good morning, ma'am. 4 A. Good morning. 5 Q. My name is Jim Davis. I represent 11:19AM 6 Secretary of State Wes Allen in this 7 litigation that you filed. 8 May I ask how you would prefer to 9 be addressed? 10 A. What are my options? 11:19AM 11 Q. Whatever. Is it miss? Is it 12 colonel? 13 A. Thanks for asking that, and as a 14 point of etiquette, you're not supposed to use 15 your military rank unless it is directly 11:19AM 16 connected to your military service. So, for 17 these purposes, we will just use miss. 18 Q. Thank you, Ms. Slay. You said 19 you've lived in Jefferson County since 1991 20 correct -- pardon me -- in Alabama since 1991? 11:20AM 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And Jefferson County since around 23 the 1994?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 you consider it important that counties be 2 kept together in congressional plans; is that 3 correct? 4 A. That's correct, to the extent it's 5 possible that meets the criteria set out by 11:21AM 6 the Supreme Court. 7 Q. Why do you think it important to 8 keep counties together in an Alabama 9 congressional districting plan? 10 A. It allows the political team to 11:21AM 11 work as one in a county because, when you are 12 bounded by county lines, you're obviously 13 electing positions like the sheriff and the 14 district attorney and various judgeships along 15 county lines. 11:22AM 16 And so when an issue emerges, it 17 is, to me, practical, if the population 18 justifies it, to have your congressional 19 representative involved and aware of the same 20 issues that your local officials are already 11:22AM 21 aware of so you can work as a holistic team to 22 bring solutions to the table and resources, by 23 the way because, if you're splitting along</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 county lines, you've got representatives and 2 senators who have access to all kinds of 3 largess, but they're working for different 4 geographical areas when you split a county. 5 Q. You recognize, do you not, that 11:22AM 6 other principles and other laws may require a 7 state on occasion to split a county? 8 A. Absolutely. 9 Q. Do you think it more important 10 that Jefferson County be kept whole than other 11:23AM 11 counties in Alabama? 12 A. I think that the data supports the 13 goal of providing voters opportunities to 14 elect candidates of their choice, and when you 15 look at the polarized voting and the data from 11:23AM 16 Jefferson County, it argues more for this 17 county than some other counties who are not as 18 racially polarized as Jefferson County, and -- 19 Q. Can you -- 20 A. -- excuse me -- and don't have the 11:23AM 21 population that would justify creating an 22 opportunity district. 23 Q. Are you able to see this screen,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 vote is more important than somebody else's 2 vote. 3 Q. This plan you support splits 4 Tuscaloosa County, though, does it not? 5 A. Yes. 11:25AM 6 Q. Why is it more important that 7 Jefferson County be kept whole than Tuscaloosa 8 County? 9 A. Well, Tuscaloosa was split because 10 of some other criteria that don't fit 11:25AM 11 Jefferson County, and that would be, I would 12 assume, population. 13 Q. Okay. Are there any other issues 14 that you would contend make it more 15 appropriate to split Tuscaloosa County than 11:26AM 16 Jefferson County? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. So population is the only 19 criteria that you can point to that would make 20 it more appropriate to split Tuscaloosa County 11:26AM 21 than Jefferson County? 22 A. Having not looked at the data, I 23 would just assume that Tuscaloosa County does</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 the map on the screen, ma'am? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Let me get the right one. There. 4 I believe I'm showing you the Smitherman 5 Congressional Plan 1. What I mean to show you 11:24AM 6 is the Singleton Plan. Okay. Pardon me. 7 There we go. 8 Is this the map that we reviewed 9 earlier in the case, the Singleton 10 Congressional Plan 1? 11:25AM 11 A. Yes. It appears to be a little 12 sliver of Shelby County down there, and then 13 the contiguous Jefferson County. 14 Q. Right. This plan -- do you 15 support this plan? 11:25AM 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you consider voters in 18 Tuscaloosa County to be less important than 19 voters in Jefferson County? 20 A. Why would I say that? 11:25AM 21 Q. I'm asking if you do. 22 A. I think all votes should count 23 equally -- one person; one vote -- so no one's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p> <p>1 not have the population that Jefferson County 2 has; and, therefore, it's harder to meet the 3 equalization of population criteria by keeping 4 Tuscaloosa whole. 5 Q. Would that be true for other 11:26AM 6 counties that are split in this Singleton 7 Congressional Plan 1 such as Talladega, 8 Elmore, Escambia, Lauderdale? 9 A. Yes, but I think every map that's 10 been suggested splits certain counties. There 11:27AM 11 is no map that's been introduced that keeps 12 all counties whole. 13 Q. Thank you. Ms. Slay, are you 14 familiar with areas of Jefferson County, say, 15 in the northern part of the county that are 11:27AM 16 adjacent to Blount County? 17 A. Well, I travel through there. 18 I -- I have -- probably Gardendale and that 19 area, but I don't have neighborhoods that I 20 visit in northern Jefferson County. 11:27AM 21 Q. Do you have any opinion about 22 whether voters in that northern -- so the 23 rural part of the county, say, up around</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p> <p>1 Warrior, whether they have more in common with</p> <p>2 inner city Birmingham or Blount County across</p> <p>3 the line in terms of what they need from a</p> <p>4 congressional representative?</p> <p>5 A. I think they could have much in 11:28AM</p> <p>6 common with Birmingham as much as Blount</p> <p>7 County because many of them are commuting into</p> <p>8 Birmingham to work. And so the conditions in</p> <p>9 Birmingham, the infrastructure, the safety,</p> <p>10 security, are all -- shopping. They're coming 11:28AM</p> <p>11 to Birmingham, not Blount County.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you have any information on</p> <p>13 when the last time was that Alabama kept</p> <p>14 Jefferson County whole in a congressional map?</p> <p>15 A. I want to say 1965, but I could be 11:28AM</p> <p>16 wrong on that.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. But as far as you're aware,</p> <p>18 it's been a long time since Alabama had a map</p> <p>19 that kept Jefferson County whole for</p> <p>20 congressional districts? 11:29AM</p> <p>21 A. Yes, that's true, but as the</p> <p>22 census data has proven that population shifts</p> <p>23 occur which necessitate the re-imagining of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 be different because you pull those</p> <p>2 neighborhoods out, and those are the neighbors</p> <p>3 that we're working on common issues for in</p> <p>4 Jefferson County. It was clearly to pull</p> <p>5 those minority voters out of Jefferson County 11:30AM</p> <p>6 and put them in District 7.</p> <p>7 Q. I'm showing you Livingston</p> <p>8 Congressional Plan 3 that the legislature</p> <p>9 passed in 2023, and this is a map that the</p> <p>10 Singleton Plan has filed into court as 11:31AM</p> <p>11 Exhibit 5.</p> <p>12 Do you recognize this as the map</p> <p>13 that was passed last week by the Alabama</p> <p>14 legislature?</p> <p>15 A. I certainly do. 11:31AM</p> <p>16 Q. And the part you contend is a</p> <p>17 racial gerrymander is that -- the part that</p> <p>18 goes into Jefferson County?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Why is it you say it is clear that 11:31AM</p> <p>21 they were reaching for minority voters,</p> <p>22 Ms. Slay?</p> <p>23 A. In Jefferson County, as in many</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p> <p>1 congressional districts -- and when Jefferson</p> <p>2 County was -- when certain actions were taken</p> <p>3 according to the districts in 1992, that was</p> <p>4 court ordered, but then a Supreme Court</p> <p>5 decision in '93 said that racial gerrymanders 11:29AM</p> <p>6 were unconstitutional. So, when those maps</p> <p>7 were drawn in '92, that was before SCOTUS made</p> <p>8 the decision in the '93 case.</p> <p>9 Q. Ms. Slay, do you contend that the</p> <p>10 map that the legislature passed in 2023, the 11:29AM</p> <p>11 congressional map -- do you contend that that</p> <p>12 map is a racial gerrymander?</p> <p>13 A. I absolutely do.</p> <p>14 Q. What is your basis for that</p> <p>15 contention? 11:30AM</p> <p>16 A. Because of the way they have</p> <p>17 inserted that finger up into Jefferson County</p> <p>18 to extract the primarily minority</p> <p>19 neighborhoods and put them in congressional</p> <p>20 District 7, and that -- this is pretty 11:30AM</p> <p>21 personal for me because I'm so close to that</p> <p>22 district line that it is -- it's indefensible</p> <p>23 for you to tell me that my issues are going to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 other areas of the state and the country,</p> <p>2 we're living in largely segregated</p> <p>3 neighborhoods, and so people are sorting</p> <p>4 themselves out in neighborhoods more and more</p> <p>5 that are economically stratified. 11:32AM</p> <p>6 And so the neighborhoods that are</p> <p>7 affected by this finger are -- are, for the</p> <p>8 most part, people of color and lower economic.</p> <p>9 We're talking Fairfield, Bessemer, part of</p> <p>10 Hoover, and those people are primarily people 11:32AM</p> <p>11 of lesser means. And I think that they have</p> <p>12 been lumped into District 7 to make District 6</p> <p>13 even more white and Republican.</p> <p>14 MR. DAVIS: And I will mark this</p> <p>15 as Defendants' Exhibit 1 to the deposition, 11:32AM</p> <p>16 and I will work with Mr. Blacksher and the</p> <p>17 court reporter to provide PDFs at the</p> <p>18 conclusion of the deposition, if that's okay</p> <p>19 with everyone.</p> <p>20 (Defendants' Exhibit Numbers 1 and 11:33AM</p> <p>21 2 were marked for identification.)</p> <p>22 Q. All right. Ms. Slay, are there</p> <p>23 any -- is there any other reason that you</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 contend that the 2023 map is a racial 2 gerrymander other than the part that goes into 3 Jefferson County?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I'm not speaking to the rest 5 of the state because I don't have any direct 11:33AM 6 knowledge there. So there could be some other 7 instances of that, but it would seem to me 8 that that effort was made to make sure that -- 9 that the incumbents were not going to be 10 challenged. And if we changed that map and 11:33AM 11 put it contiguous Jefferson County, then the 12 current congress people would have a more 13 serious race on their hands. 14 And my contention is that we would 15 end up with less extreme policy positions 11:33AM 16 because both parties would have to appeal to a 17 cross-section of voters and come with some 18 substantive solutions to issues that affect 19 all people in Jefferson County. 20 Q. Do you have any evidence, 11:34AM 21 Ms. Slay, that any member of the Alabama 22 legislature voted for that plan because of the 23 way, as you -- because of your contention that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 why the congressional map in 1992 was drawn 2 the way it was? 3 A. My understanding is it was 4 accepted or directed by federal court to 5 rectify some of the past problems in the 11:35AM 6 Alabama redistricting process. 7 Q. Do you disagree with the decision 8 by that federal court in 1992? 9 A. I'm not going to say anything 10 about that because that was 1992, and, again, 11:35AM 11 that was before the Supreme Court made some 12 clearer guidance in subsequent cases on what 13 was permissible and what was not. And here we 14 are in 2023, and the SCOTUS has opined that 15 partisan gerrymandering is A-OK but racial 11:36AM 16 gerrymandering is not. 17 Q. Ms. Slay, I'm sharing now a copy 18 of Figures Congressional Plan 1. For the 19 record, this has been filed to the Singleton 20 Court Record as Exhibit 8. 11:36AM 21 You recognize this as a map that 22 has sometimes been referred to as the Milligan 23 and Caster Plaintiffs' Remedial Plan?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 it separates voters by race in Jefferson 2 County? 3 A. Well, since I wasn't on the -- in 4 the legislature to hear their negotiations, 5 no, I don't have any direct evidence of it. 11:34AM 6 Q. Do you have any indirect evidence? 7 A. I have some indirect evidence 8 through hearsay by somebody that was on the 9 floor of the legislature saying that they 10 liked the Singleton maps but were told by the 11:34AM 11 national party not to vote for them. 12 Q. Do you have any information that 13 the national party said that for a reason that 14 had anything to do with race? 15 A. I don't have that information. I 11:35AM 16 would surmise that part of it is to keep 17 incumbents in safe districts, but if the 18 district was originally racially gerrymandered 19 just to keep them in the same district is 20 still a racial gerrymander, and Secretary 11:35AM 21 Merrill has said that the districts that were 22 drawn were racial gerrymanders. 23 Q. Do you have any information as to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And have you seen this map before 3 today? 4 A. I probably have. I have not 5 studied it as closely as the Singleton Map. 11:37AM 6 Q. Would you contend that this map 7 contains any racial gerrymanders? 8 A. I think that you could possibly 9 make that argument. You see -- I see that, 10 again, Jefferson County has been split and 11:37AM 11 that there is a considerable amount of it 12 that's been put down in the District 7, and 13 also -- 14 Q. Do you have any -- 15 A. Excuse me. There seems to be a 11:37AM 16 lot of counties in this plan that are also 17 split. 18 Q. Do you have any opinion about the 19 part of this map that puts a portion of the 20 city of Mobile or Mobile County into 11:37AM 21 District 2? 22 A. I do not. 23 Q. Okay. If the legislature's 2023</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p> <p>1 map is a racial gerrymander in Jefferson 2 County, wouldn't this map also be a racial 3 gerrymander? 4 A. Well, I think that some arguments 5 could be made for that. That's why I'm 11:38AM 6 supporting the Singleton Map. 7 Q. Okay. Now, you were asked by 8 Mr. Blacksher what issues voters in Jefferson 9 County have in common, and I wrote down one 10 was expansion of Medicaid. 11:38AM 11 Is that an issue that you think 12 voters in Jefferson County have in common? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. I also wrote down grocery tax, 15 public transit, criminal justice reform, 11:39AM 16 infrastructure projects, and certain military 17 issues. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. I'm not meaning to test your 20 memory. I just want to be complete. 11:39AM 21 Are those issues that you recall 22 saying are issues that Jefferson County voters 23 have in common, this coalition of voters that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 76</p> <p>1 first. When you say "grocery tax," what do 2 you mean? What is the belief about the 3 grocery tax that this coalition has in common? 4 A. Well, I think all voters, all 5 residents of any entity, would be in favor of 11:40AM 6 reducing a grocery tax, which is a regressive 7 tax, as we know, and the effects of it are 8 most apparent in lower-income families who 9 spend more money on things that are taxed by 10 sales tax than upper income families do. 11:41AM 11 And so our move at the state level 12 to reduce it, the state tax, is great, but 13 again, removing the tax would be an income 14 boost for all voters. And, yes, is this 15 something that a congressperson could do? Not 11:41AM 16 directly, but certainly, he or she has 17 influence with state leaders as we have seen 18 in Alabama in the discussion on the 19 redistricting in which national leaders of 20 their party are having much input into how 11:41AM 21 negotiations go. 22 So, if you could speak with one 23 voice, then, to me, it would just be a much</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p> <p>1 you talked about? 2 A. I think coalitions are creatures 3 that form kind of organically. So you can't 4 say this coalition will always support these 5 eight issues. Coalitions form based on their 11:39AM 6 common interests on the issue that's 7 percolating up. What I am suggesting is that 8 the Jefferson County voters are more likely in 9 a contiguous congressional district to be 10 speaking with one voice to a unified group of 11:39AM 11 political leaders and we're not being split 12 among various people who can effect change. 13 And so the same people who might 14 be concerned with military issues, might not 15 be concerned with Medicaid, but overall, there 11:40AM 16 is such a spectrum of economic differences and 17 political differences in Jefferson County, to 18 me, it would make for a much more robust 19 democratic -- and with a little "D" -- 20 conversation about what's best for Jefferson 11:40AM 21 County. 22 Q. Well, let's take the first one -- 23 well, actually, I want to ask this question</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p> <p>1 more constructive way to go forward, and -- 2 you can say that about any of these issues 3 because they're not all issues that a U.S. 4 congressman directly affects for sure, but he 5 or she can certainly direct resources to those 11:42AM 6 areas, grants, open doors. 7 Q. Would you agree that a desire to 8 expand Medicaid, a desire to lower the grocery 9 tax, criminal justice reform, for example, 10 that those are issues that are generally held 11:42AM 11 in common or beliefs generally held in common 12 by progressive voters? 13 A. That's a great question. And I 14 would say in 2023 it is, but that's because 15 the -- the nature of the two parties has 11:42AM 16 changed over time. So many of these things 17 used to be bipartisan issues at the national 18 and state level, and they have become 19 affiliated with one party now because the 20 other party has shifted its priorities. 11:43AM 21 But -- but to say this is a Democratic issue, 22 it's only because the parties have changed 23 because people who were supportive of these</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p> <p>1 kind of issues used to be both Republicans and 2 Democrats. 3 Q. And as I understand the coalition 4 of voters that you're advocating for in 5 Jefferson County -- 11:43AM 6 A. Let me just back up. I'm not 7 advocating for a coalition. I'm saying I 8 think that coalition is already here if we 9 were given fair maps to vote. 10 Q. Thank you. That's an important 11:43AM 11 clarification. I would say you're advocating 12 for a district that allows that coalition to 13 vote as a unit. 14 A. Thank you. 15 Q. Is that fair? 11:44AM 16 A. That's a better way of stating 17 that. 18 Q. Thank you for correcting me, but 19 the voters of Jefferson County who are 20 interested in expanding Medicaid, lowering 11:44AM 21 grocery tax, criminal justice reform, how are 22 their needs different from a progressive voter 23 in Madison County who favors expanding</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 80</p> <p>1 I've been taking notes, but if I heard you 2 correctly when we were discussing the 2010 3 elections, particularly the gubernatorial 4 race, did you say that Ron Sparks was a rather 5 unknown candidate? 11:45AM 6 A. To me, he was. He may have had 7 some statewide maybe agriculture. I don't 8 know, but he was not a household name to me. 9 Nor was Robert Bentley actually, but he was in 10 Tuscaloosa. And he had a following because of 11:45AM 11 his stature as a well-known doctor in that 12 rather small town. So I didn't mean to 13 misspeak. I'm just saying for me he was 14 unknown. 15 Q. I understand. Thank you. I'm 11:46AM 16 also interested if you share an opinion that I 17 have. I lived in Jefferson County from '93 18 until about five years ago, and for about half 19 that time, I lived really close to where you 20 now live. I lived in Bluff Park or Park 11:46AM 21 Avenue, and then I moved out further west to 22 Lake Crest. It seemed to me that both Bluff 23 Park and Lake Crest, which is sort of near the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p> <p>1 Medicaid, lowering the grocery tax, and 2 reforming the criminal justice system? 3 A. They may not be different, but 4 they are in a position where their priorities 5 aren't translated, and this is sort of a 11:44AM 6 domino effect because, if a district is drawn 7 so that your values and your priorities are 8 never going to be in the majority, then 9 those -- those programs won't be implemented. 10 If you had a district drawn that 11:44AM 11 gave opportunities for a coalition to be 12 formed and a candidate that espouses your 13 values to be elected, then some programs might 14 actually change at the local, state, or 15 national level, and more importantly, the 11:45AM 16 interest in getting out to vote and actually 17 voting would increase because you see that you 18 now have a viable vote to get to that goal 19 that you've been trying to do for years and 20 years but your candidate consistently loses 11:45AM 21 because of the way that the lines have been 22 drawn. 23 Q. I'm jumping around here because</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p> <p>1 Hoover Met, that those neighborhoods got much 2 more racially diverse over the time that I 3 lived there. 4 Have you noticed that in the part 5 of Bluff Park where you live? 11:46AM 6 A. I take your point, and I agree. 7 One of the interesting things about Bluff Park 8 is how eclectic it is and how different the 9 neighborhoods look from other neighborhoods 10 that are all sort of cookie cutters, but as I 11:47AM 11 was telling my colleagues here that you might 12 be surprised to know that the second largest 13 minority group in the Hoover City Schools now 14 are people from India or their offspring. So 15 we've got about 30 different nationalities in 11:47AM 16 the Hoover City Schools right now -- or -- if 17 not more. 18 So, yes, it is racially diverse, 19 and it is also politically diverse. So, on 20 the national level, a lot of people are 11:47AM 21 sorting themselves into neighborhoods based on 22 how progressive or conservative -- it's really 23 kind of appalling. I don't see that in Bluff</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 82</p> <p>1 Park yet, and you can look at the data and see 2 how close some of these elections have been 3 Democrat and Republican. But, yes, it's much 4 more diverse than it was ten years ago. 5 Q. I'm going to go back to -- I don't 11:47AM 6 need to show it to you -- but the plan that 7 you support, the Singleton Plan, which keeps 8 Jefferson County whole. 9 You understand that there is no 10 majority black district in that plan, do you 11:48AM 11 not? 12 A. I absolutely understand that what 13 we're looking for is the opportunity to elect 14 candidates of our choosing, not a foregone, 15 definite possibility, a definite "I want it my 11:48AM 16 way or no way." No. This is the only way to 17 form a coalition so that you can continue this 18 into the future and reduce the polarization of 19 voting just by race, and all you want is an 20 opportunity, not a guarantee. And that forces 11:48AM 21 you to reach across and form groupings that 22 have issues in common in my opinion. 23 Q. Do you believe that even though</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 84</p> <p>1 returns when Mr. Blacksher was asking you 2 questions, and if I recall, there were a few 3 elections where Republicans won in those 4 districts? 5 A. Absolutely. 11:50AM 6 Q. So you still think that provides a 7 fair opportunity? 8 A. Yes, I do. 9 Q. A fair opportunity for African 10 American voters to elect their candidate of 11:50AM 11 choice? 12 A. Yes, because other things are 13 going to happen. If you actually have a 14 district that might allow you to have your 15 vote count as much as somebody else's, then it 11:50AM 16 may mean, probably will mean, more viable 17 candidates come to the table. Then we'll get 18 more funding. Then we'll get more grass roots 19 volunteers. And so you have a campaign that's 20 able to generate the energy it would take to 11:51AM 21 actually win the election. 22 Q. As we looked at various election 23 returns in Jefferson County -- and we looked</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 83</p> <p>1 districts in the Singleton Plan -- District 6 2 and District 7, even though they're not 3 majority black, you believe those districts 4 provide an opportunity for African American 5 voters to elect their candidate of choice? 11:49AM 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And what is your basis for that? 8 A. Well, again, if you've got a 9 contested race with -- with viable candidates 10 and you know that your vote might actually 11:49AM 11 make a difference in this race, you're going 12 to be more likely than not to make sure you're 13 registered, that you listen to what the 14 candidates are saying, to actually get to the 15 polls on a Tuesday, and to make your vote 11:49AM 16 known. 17 It's -- it's demoralizing to know 18 that as much as you educate yourself and go to 19 a poll your candidate will never win even 20 though he has really good ideas because the 11:50AM 21 way that gerrymandering has drawn the 22 district. 23 Q. And we looked at some election</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 85</p> <p>1 at '22, '20, all the way back to 2010 -- the 2 Democrats did not do as well in 2022, though, 3 did they, as they did in prior years? 4 A. I think the data would support 5 that. The turnout was different. The 11:51AM 6 candidates were not stellar, and the party has 7 suffered from, what we call in the military, a 8 circular firing squad. And so you really have 9 to say "put this in context." 10 Q. So, even though 2022 is a more 11:51AM 11 recent election, is it your position that some 12 other elections like '20 and '18 and '16 13 provide a more accurate view of how those 14 districts would perform? 15 A. I think so, yes. Well -- and, 11:52AM 16 again, as you know, a presidential election 17 year creates its own issues which drives 18 turnout. And so every election is special in 19 its own way, but the data over time shows that 20 if Jefferson County were a contiguous 11:52AM 21 district, Democrats would have prevailed, not 22 always -- you know, sometimes 50, 51, 23 sometimes 52.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 86</p> <p>1 But, again, the coalition would be 2 key in cementing a victory for people who -- 3 it's not the party label I'm really concerned 4 with. It's the fact that you have two 5 candidates coming forward with viable 11:52AM 6 solutions. 7 Right now, what has happened 8 because it's no way that -- a supermajority 9 party is always going to win. So they can 10 take real extreme views that appeal to a 11:53AM 11 certain segment of that population and never 12 accept an invitation to debate, don't answer 13 candidate questionnaires, don't entertain 14 conversations because they don't have to 15 because they know they're going to win. 11:53AM 16 Q. I want to stick with the '22 17 elections just a minute, and understand, 18 although this is a trial deposition, it's also 19 my opportunity to understand your claims a 20 little better. 11:53AM 21 If 2022, the -- if the 2022 22 election is not the best indication of how a 23 district performs, I want to understand all</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 88</p> <p>1 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 2 is it your position that Democratic party 3 infighting was a drain on Democratic turnout 4 in 2022? 5 A. Yes. And -- not only that, but 11:54AM 6 they -- according to people who were running 7 as Democrats, they didn't get any financial or 8 how-to support from the state party, just 9 procedural things, how to file, how to go 10 about campaigning, how to -- no funding. And 11:55AM 11 so they were all on their own. 12 MR. DAVIS: If we could pause for 13 just a moment and let me call my co-counsel, 14 that would be helpful. 15 MR. BLACKSHER: Certainly. 11:55AM 16 (A recess was taken.) 17 MR. DAVIS: Thank you for 18 indulging me that pause. Ms. Slay, thank you 19 for answering my questions and helping me to 20 understand your claims better. Those are all 11:56AM 21 the questions I have today. 22 I will, after we close, speak to 23 the court reporter and find out how to get</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 87</p> <p>1 the reasons why you think that is the case. 2 If you're looking for something, 3 Ms. Slay, that will help, I will be very happy 4 to pause for a moment. 5 A. Well, you're referring to 2022, 11:53AM 6 and I'm just trying to -- here it is right 7 here. So let's -- 8 Q. Okay. 9 A. Okay. 10 Q. Yes, I want you to have whatever 11:53AM 11 information you need to help me understand 12 your claims better. 13 Would you say that the quality of 14 candidates on the Democratic side was an issue 15 in the 2022 election? 11:54AM 16 A. You're being much too diplomatic. 17 Q. How would you phrase it? 18 A. I would say that it was abysmal. 19 Not that I -- I don't know these people 20 personally, but it's the way they were chosen, 11:54AM 21 the funding they secured, the backgrounds they 22 came with. It was not a way to -- to win 23 elections at the statewide level.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 89</p> <p>1 copies of the Singleton -- well, the two plans 2 that we've discussed that were not already 3 introduced, and that was the Legislature's '23 4 plan and the Milligan plan, this VRA plan, 5 marked as 1 and 2 respectively. 11:57AM 6 With that, those are all the 7 questions that I have, Ms. Slay. Thank you so 8 much. 9 THE WITNESS: Well, you're quite 10 welcome. 11:57AM 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BLACKSHER: 13 Q. I have just one redirect question. 14 Ms. Slay, I may have misunderstood what you 15 said, but I thought you said something to the 11:57AM 16 effect that other counties were not as 17 polarized as Jefferson County is. 18 Did you mean the opposite of that, 19 or did I hear you correctly? 20 A. Well, let me just back up and say 11:57AM 21 I am not expert on other counties in Alabama. 22 So perhaps I shouldn't have said that at all, 23 but I don't -- I think that the neighborhoods</p>

Page 90

1 in Jefferson County are -- have a very high
 2 correlation with racial voting, racial
 3 polarized voting, and I don't -- I can only
 4 speak a little bit from Tuscaloosa because of
 5 where I live. I cannot speak to the rest of 11:57AM
 6 Alabama. So maybe that should have been an
 7 overly broad statement.

8 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. Thank you.
 9 No further questions.

10 MR. DAVIS: None for me either. 11:58AM
 11 Thank you so much, Ms. Slay.

12 (The deposition concluded
 13 at 11:58 a.m.)

14 * * * * *

15 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT

16 * * * * *

17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23

Page 91

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 2 STATE OF ALABAMA
 3 ETOWAH COUNTY
 4 I, Joseph Jones, Certified Court
 5 Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
 6 Alabama at Large, hereby certify that on
 7 August 10, 2023, I reported the deposition of
 8 LEONETTE SLAY, who was first duly sworn or
 9 affirmed to speak the truth in the matter of
 10 the foregoing cause, and that pages 6 through
 11 90 contain a true and accurate transcription
 12 of the examination of said witness by counsel
 13 for the parties set out herein.

14 I further certify that I am neither kin
 15 nor of counsel to any of the parties to said
 16 cause nor in any manner interested in the
 17 results thereof; and that this transcript was
 18 certified and prepared on August 10, 2023.

19 
 20 _____

21 JOSEPH JONES, CCR
 Commissioner for the
 State of Alabama at Large
 22 CCR # 645, Expires 09/30/23
 23 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 06/05/25

24 (Pages 90 - 91)

[& - 43]

Page 92

&	12th 47:17	2010 13:2 15:13	28 3:15
& 2:17	13 4:5 47:14	57:6 80:2 85:1	29 3:16
0	14 4:7 12:8 48:11	2012 30:5 56:10	29.35 48:1
01291 1:7	15 4:9 50:17	2014 30:10	2:21 1:7
03801 2:9	159 2:8	50:20 51:1,14	2c 2:8
06/05/25 91:23	16 4:11 51:7	2016 48:14,14	3
09/30/23 91:22	85:12	48:17	3 3:10,16 4:20
1	169-6 3:14 26:3	2017 19:19	9:17,19 32:22
1 3:7 7:11 8:22	26:18 27:2	47:17	39:20 68:8
9:1 26:15,15	17 4:13 41:1	2018 43:23 44:3	30 81:15
63:5,10 65:7	56:8	45:9	305,000 49:2
69:15,20 72:18	18 4:15 57:3	2020 30:10	31 3:17
89:5	60:15 85:12	39:20 40:1,17	320 7:6
10 1:13 3:11,12	18453 91:20	43:14	35203 2:6,15
3:19 38:22 91:7	19 4:17 57:18	2021 3:9,10 9:6	35226 2:12 7:7
91:18	1965 66:15	2022 30:6,11	36 4:12 51:12,22
100 18:14	1976 18:8	32:1,7,16 40:4	36.35 58:1
1000 1:20 2:5	1990 17:10	51:4,13,13 85:2	36104 2:18,22
105 2:18	1991 13:19	85:10 86:21,21	38 3:19
11 3:21 39:16	59:19,20	87:5,15 88:4	39 3:21
11-2-10 4:15	1992 67:3 72:1,8	2023 1:13 3:11	4
11-3-20 3:21	72:10	3:12 10:1 26:1	4 3:11 10:11
11-4-08 4:17	1994 16:10,13	26:13 27:12	50:20 57:20
11-4-14 4:9	59:23	28:19 67:10	4,000 32:23
11-6-12 4:13	2	68:9 70:1 72:14	40.36 57:1
11-6-18 4:3	2 3:9 9:17,18	73:23 77:14	40.71 51:2
11-8-16 4:7	57:6 69:21	91:7,18	40.94 45:13
11-8-22 3:17	73:21 89:5	2024 54:1	40.94. 57:11
11:58 90:13	20 40:3 85:1,12	22 85:1 86:16	41 32:16
12 4:3 43:19	200 2:18	23 89:3	41.11 32:8
12,000 37:8 38:8	2001 1:20 2:5,15	24th 16:3	41.42. 49:6
12,500 52:4	2003 16:17	250 20:13	41.93. 44:9
12-12-17 4:5	2008 57:20	2501 2:11	43 4:3 42:11
		26 3:14	

[44.30 - agreed]

Page 93

44.30 49:11	59.06 57:10	9	19:23 60:13,14
45.79 34:20	59.64 57:1	9 3:9,10,17	activities 18:11
46.53 56:20	6	31:21 38:15	actually 13:1
47 4:5 36:14	6 3:14 23:14	51:12	31:19 37:17
47.07. 58:3	26:10 27:9,17	90 91:11	39:12 75:23
47.74 47:20	28:19 29:23	92 67:7	79:14,16 80:9
48 4:7 50:23	30:9,16 31:16	92,583 36:21	83:10,14 84:13
48.86. 57:16	36:20,23 54:2	93 67:5,8 80:17	84:21
49 33:6	54:10,23 55:4	96,175 36:20	adamant 22:16
5	69:12 83:1	97 14:18	address 7:5 9:12
5 3:12 4:20	91:10	99 14:18	16:18 21:7
10:12 34:8	60 13:3	9:59 1:22	addressed 59:9
68:11	63.35 58:1	a	adjacent 65:16
50 4:9 85:22	64.84 40:3	a.m. 1:22 90:13	adjourned
50.35 57:14	645 91:22	aberration 48:7	27:15
501 2:22	69 4:20,21	able 19:3 41:10	advocating 78:4
51 4:11 85:22	6th 43:23 56:10	62:23 84:20	78:7,11
51.33 34:19	7	absolutely 62:8	affairs 11:3,8,9
51.57 49:10	7 3:3,7,15 23:15	67:13 82:12	affect 25:4
52 33:11 36:14	28:14 30:3,8	84:5	70:18
85:23	67:20 68:6	abysmal 87:18	affected 69:7
52.15 58:3	69:12 73:12	accept 86:12	affects 77:4
52.46 34:4	83:2	acceptable	affiliated 77:19
52.50 34:16	70.65 48:1	44:20	affirmed 6:9
56:19	7213 16:20	accepted 72:4	91:9
54.67 44:4	76 12:6	access 20:22	afghanistan
56 4:13 42:10	77.05. 57:23	53:16 62:2	14:21
56.20 57:8	79.15 56:15	accessible 19:6	african 22:21
57 4:15,17	8	accolades 45:15	24:22 83:4 84:9
58.07 44:8 46:4	8 3:16 4:21	accurate 85:13	age 13:3
58.58 49:5	29:12 72:20	91:11	ago 80:18 82:4
58.94 45:12 46:4	89 3:4	action 60:23	agree 6:22
46:5	8th 32:1 48:14	actions 67:2	23:21 77:7 81:6
59 3:3		active 12:9,16	agreed 5:2,19
		12:17 13:11,13	6:3

agreement 1:17 5:6 agriculture 80:7 aid 11:15 al 1:4,9 alabama 1:1,9 1:18,21 2:6,12 2:15,18,21,22 5:10,17 7:7 12:14,18 13:8 13:12,18 16:9 16:21 17:8 18:14,20 41:5 44:15 46:16 47:2 52:8 53:3 59:20 61:8 62:11 66:13,18 68:13 70:21 72:6 76:18 89:21 90:6 91:2 91:6,21 alabama's 4:11 51:11 albermarle 7:7 9:12,15 10:6 16:14 allen 1:8 22:12 22:12 34:20 59:6 allow 84:14 allows 44:16 61:10 78:12 amazing 56:15 ambiguous 37:10	amendments 21:4 american 22:21 24:22 83:4 84:10 amm 1:7 amount 73:11 anita 34:8 answer 22:7 86:12 answering 88:19 answers 21:14 apartment 16:21 app 9:5 appalling 81:23 apparent 76:8 appeal 53:13 70:16 86:10 appeals 41:5 appear 8:17 32:22 35:1,18 36:5 appearances 2:1 38:9 appears 63:11 apply 36:1 appropriate 64:15,20 approximately 1:22 area 53:12 65:19	areas 18:6 24:16 62:4 65:14 69:1 77:6 argues 62:16 argument 73:9 arguments 74:4 arizona 12:12 army 11:22 12:1 12:2,6,7,10,23 13:14,14 14:1,5 14:11,15 15:5,9 15:11 article 3:20 4:12 39:2,4,6 51:10 51:16,18 artificial 31:13 asked 13:22 74:7 asking 59:13 63:21 84:1 assistant 2:21 associate 34:7 41:4 association 18:7 assume 54:1,14 54:15 64:12,23 assured 22:6 55:12 attend 15:20 60:20 attention 27:16 attorney 2:11 2:21,21 33:18 34:1 61:14	attorneys 2:4,8 2:14,17 august 1:13 16:3 91:7,18 austin 11:2 automatically 15:9 29:7,9 avenue 2:22 80:21 average 60:8 aware 61:19,21 66:17
b			
back 26:8 30:21 48:16 58:15 78:6 82:5 85:1 89:20 background 10:14 backgrounds 87:21 balch 2:17 ballot 19:3,10 21:4,8 23:4 35:18,19 36:6 40:11 48:4 55:19 56:17 60:17 ballots 19:11 20:22 32:14 49:2 bank 2:14 barely 9:14 base 52:17			

[based - cause]

Page 95

based 22:1,19 23:20 32:15 55:1 75:5 81:21 basically 29:1 basis 44:23 67:14 83:7 battalion 14:17 beginning 38:16 belief 76:2 beliefs 77:11 believe 30:16 36:3 41:16 63:4 82:23 83:3 belt 30:3 benefit 47:2 bentley 46:18 57:15 80:9 bessemer 35:13 35:15,17 41:21 69:9 best 44:14 75:20 86:22 better 78:16 86:20 87:12 88:20 biblical 37:18 big 40:22 bill 43:7 bingham 2:17 bipartisan 77:17 birmingham 1:21 2:6,12,15 13:15,23 14:3 17:13 66:2,6,8,9	66:11 bit 10:13 31:15 90:4 black 24:3 30:3 30:18 42:19 49:22 82:10 83:3 blacksher 2:3 3:3,4 6:22 7:3 7:16 8:21 9:16 10:9 25:23 26:6 26:21 27:2 28:2 28:6,9,12 29:5 29:10 38:14,19 54:17 56:4,7 58:6,12,16,20 69:16 74:8 84:1 88:15 89:12 90:8 blount 65:16 66:2,6,11 blowup 9:9 10:4 bluff 80:20,22 81:5,7,23 board 17:11,14 17:16,17,19,20 17:21 20:3 bobby 1:4 27:13 boost 45:19 76:14 born 10:15,16 bosnia 14:19 bottom 34:1,10 36:9	bounded 61:12 boyd 33:16 break 56:5 58:13 breakout 44:6 briefly 39:5 bring 29:6 61:22 broad 90:7 brought 13:8 budgetary 47:6 building 2:14	83:5,19 84:10 86:13 candidates 21:3 21:15,18 22:4 23:2,6 30:18 31:4,10 33:9 35:15 38:2 40:15 41:10 44:21 45:5 49:8 49:12 50:8 52:11,20,21 53:8 55:14,23 56:22 62:14 82:14 83:9,14 84:17 85:6 86:5 87:14 capacity 1:8 captioned 51:11 care 47:2 career 11:23 12:5 carolina 12:13 12:18 13:15 case 1:6 5:22 38:3 43:3 48:1 63:9 67:8 87:1 cases 43:2 72:12 cast 19:3 31:20 32:14 49:2 caster 4:22 72:23 casting 19:11 catch 38:17 cause 91:10,16
		c	
		c 2:7 cake 60:20 call 11:19 18:1 85:7 88:13 called 15:2 21:5 27:13 35:12 campaign 26:14 37:19 42:17 45:21 52:5 53:18 55:9 84:19 campaigning 88:10 cancels 3:20 candidacy 37:2 candidate 21:12 21:12 23:10 32:21 36:23 38:5,7 48:3 50:14 52:16 53:6 55:11 79:12,20 80:5	

[cavanaugh - complete]

Page 96

cavanaugh 42:6 cavanaugh's 42:11 ccr 1:17 5:9 91:20,22 cd6 3:9,10 33:5 cd7 3:11,12 cementing 86:2 census 66:22 center 26:15 certain 28:23 37:20 65:10 67:2 74:16 86:11 certainly 49:18 68:15 76:16 77:5 88:15 certificate 20:8 91:1 certified 91:4,18 certify 91:6,14 cerv 20:7,8 chair 18:5 chaired 17:23 challenged 70:10 chance 21:12 chances 42:19 change 75:12 79:14 changed 70:10 77:16,22 characterize 46:11	chest 45:22 chief 17:23 chilling 37:14 choice 30:19 37:12 39:7 62:14 83:5 84:11 choose 19:4 choosing 23:2 82:14 chosen 87:20 circles 37:5 circuit 34:22 35:7,22 41:15 41:15,18 circular 85:8 cite 51:19 citizen 19:2 city 66:2 73:20 81:13,16 civil 5:17 14:4,7 14:8,10,23 15:10,12 claims 60:23 86:19 87:12 88:20 clarification 19:19 78:11 class 47:5 clay 60:17,20 clc 26:15 clc1 3:16 29:17 29:21 clear 49:15 68:20	clearer 72:12 clearly 48:8 53:12 68:4 clemon 2:13,13 clinton 49:10 50:12 close 46:4 67:21 80:19 82:2 88:22 closely 73:5 coalesce 31:1 coalition 22:20 23:19 24:6 30:21 31:10 38:5 42:20 74:23 75:4 76:3 78:3,7,8,12 79:11 82:17 86:1 coalitions 53:22 75:2,5 cobblestone 2:11 coincide 25:18 colleagues 18:20 23:13 24:3 35:20 44:11 81:11 college 10:23,23 12:2 colonel 13:7 59:12 color 25:6,13 69:8	column 30:2 come 13:17 26:8 43:9 46:1 58:15 70:17 84:17 comes 42:8 53:6 coming 13:12 66:10 86:5 commander 14:17 commencing 1:21 comment 43:13 commission 5:11 11:21 42:4 42:12 91:23 commissioner 1:18 5:9 91:5,21 committed 23:8 committee 21:23 common 24:7 24:17 53:12 66:1,6 68:3 74:9 74:12,23 75:6 76:3 77:11,11 82:22 communicative 31:1 commuting 66:7 compact 53:12 competitive 42:15 complaint 60:22 complete 74:20
--	--	--	--

[completely - county]

Page 97

completely 18:14 44:20	consider 60:2,7 61:1 63:17	contingencies 14:16	counties 61:1,8 62:11,17 65:6
complex 16:21	considerable 73:11	continue 82:17	65:10,12 73:16
complicated 14:5	consisted 14:11	continued 4:1	89:16,21
concerned 75:14,15 86:3	consistent 48:19	convention 11:18	country 15:23 69:1
concerns 46:14 47:6	consistently 79:20	conversation 75:20	county 3:15,18 3:22 4:4,6,8,10
concluded 90:12	constituents 22:8	conversations 86:14	4:14,16,18 16:9 16:12,12 17:5
conclusion 69:18	constitute 19:20	convicted 19:21 20:19	18:2,4 20:3,12 20:14 21:19,21
conditions 66:8	constitutional 11:18 21:4	cookie 81:10	22:14,18 23:14
confusing 37:9	constructive 77:1	copies 89:1	23:18,23 24:4
confusion 32:11	contain 27:17 91:11	copy 8:5 26:13 26:22 27:5,6 32:10 72:17	24:11,16,23 25:3,19 27:18
congress 24:21 53:9 70:12	contains 27:20 27:22 49:16,16 49:21 73:7	corps 12:8	27:21,23 28:18 28:21,23 29:23
congressional 22:15 26:2 53:5 54:2 61:2,9,18 63:5,10 65:7 66:4,14,20 67:1 67:11,19 68:8 72:1,18 75:9	contend 64:14 67:9,11 68:16 70:1 73:6	correct 8:2 15:22 23:15,16 30:12 33:1,12 34:10 35:3 36:13,14 41:19 41:20 42:2,22 51:23 58:9 59:20 61:3,4	30:1 31:2,9,12 31:18 32:14 33:1,4,15 34:9 35:7,8,14,21 36:8,21 38:11 38:13,20 39:21 40:23 41:14,14 41:17 42:9,15 44:1 45:11,17 46:2,6 48:20 49:9 53:4,16,18 54:3,4,21,22 55:5,22 56:11 58:7 59:19,22 60:17,21 61:11 61:12,15 62:1,4 62:7,10,16,17
congressman 55:4 77:4	contention 67:15 70:14,23	correcting 78:18	
congressperson 76:15	contested 35:1 41:7 83:9	correctly 60:23 80:2 88:1 89:19	
connected 25:17 59:16	context 42:22 42:23 43:7 50:14 52:7 85:9	correlation 90:2	
conservative 81:22	contiguous 53:11 63:13 70:11 75:9 85:20	counsel 5:3,19 88:13 91:12,15	
conservatives 37:11		count 31:6 63:22 84:15	

[county - diplomatic]

Page 98

62:18 63:12,13 63:18,19 64:4,7 64:8,11,15,16 64:20,21,23 65:1,14,15,16 65:20,23 66:2,7 66:11,14,19 67:2,17 68:4,5 68:18,23 70:3 70:11,19 71:2 73:10,20 74:2,9 74:12,22 75:8 75:17,21 78:5 78:19,23 80:17 82:8 84:23 85:20 89:17 90:1 91:3 countywide 35:23 36:8,16 couple 40:8 court 1:1 6:12 30:19 34:8,23 35:7,22 36:1,2 38:20 41:5,5,15 41:16,18 56:6 56:12 61:6 67:4 67:4 68:10 69:17 72:4,8,11 72:20 88:23 91:4 court's 31:18 39:22 courthouse 35:16	courthouses 35:11 created 21:9 creates 21:23 85:17 creating 62:21 creatures 75:2 crest 80:22,23 criminal 24:18 74:15 77:9 78:21 79:2 criteria 61:5 64:10,19 65:3 cross 58:21 59:1 70:17 crossover 48:8 50:7 55:1 ct 1:22 current 43:1 56:1 70:12 currently 17:20 cutoff 35:13,17 41:21 cutters 81:10 cv 1:7 cycles 21:23 23:3 d d 75:19 d1 4:20 d2 4:21 dad 60:20 data 30:13 32:4 32:4 62:12,15 64:22 66:22	82:1 85:4,19 database 7:22 dated 51:12 dave's 9:4,23 28:17 davis 2:20 3:3 6:14,17 8:4,10 8:13,19 26:23 27:5 38:14,21 58:14,18 59:2,5 69:14 88:12,17 90:10 debate 55:14,23 86:12 decade 41:11 december 47:17 decision 55:16 67:5,8 72:7 defendants 1:10 2:19 4:19 69:15 69:20 definite 82:15 82:15 degree 11:5 democrat 30:7,9 34:8,15 35:2 36:12 37:4 49:5 49:7 56:23 82:3 democratic 33:12 36:22 38:1 41:9 48:19 51:21 54:5,6 75:19 77:21 87:14 88:2,3	democrats 3:19 33:14 41:9 42:5 44:8 50:22 57:10 58:1 78:2 85:2,21 88:7 demoralizing 83:17 depending 53:20 deponent 90:15 deposition 1:12 5:4,8,15,20,21 6:5,16 7:1 8:22 15:19,19 69:15 69:18 86:18 90:12 91:7 describe 18:18 desire 77:7,8 despondent 23:9 detail 31:15 42:19 difference 83:11 differences 75:16,17 different 16:13 17:19 35:10 46:23 50:6 56:1 62:3 68:1 78:22 79:3 81:8,15 85:5 differently 31:6 diplomatic 87:16
---	--	---	--

direct 7:2 11:21 27:16 52:14 70:5 71:5 77:5 directed 72:4 directly 59:15 76:16 77:4 disagree 72:7 disappointed 37:20 disappointing 37:10 discussed 89:2 discussing 38:18 80:2 discussion 52:7 76:18 disorganization 52:9 disqualifying 20:6,19 district 1:1,1,2 22:15 23:7,10 23:14,15 27:17 28:19 29:23 30:3,3,8,9,16,17 30:23 31:7,13 31:16 33:6 35:13 36:1,2,20 36:23 53:5,17 54:2,10,23 55:4 61:14 62:22 67:20,22 68:6 69:12,12 71:18 71:19 73:12,21 75:9 78:12 79:6	79:10 82:10 83:1,2,22 84:14 85:21 86:23 districting 61:9 districts 22:5 24:1 35:12 42:13 66:20 67:1,3 71:17,21 83:1,3 84:4 85:14 diverse 81:2,18 81:19 82:4 division 13:10 docket 26:2 doctor 46:20 80:11 document 29:15 doing 19:15,17 25:15 29:7,8 56:5 domino 25:14 79:6 doors 77:6 dorman 2:16 doug 40:19,22 47:18 54:20,20 drain 88:3 drawn 22:5 23:7 23:10 67:7 71:22 72:1 79:6 79:10,22 83:21 drive 7:7 9:15 10:6 16:14,20 drives 85:17	duly 6:9 91:8 duty 12:9,16,17 14:23 15:1 e earlier 52:6 63:9 early 22:17 easier 9:11 53:15 easily 55:3 eclectic 81:8 economic 69:8 75:16 economically 69:5 ed 54:18 edited 21:13 educate 83:18 educated 44:15 education 11:11 12:1,3 edward 2:10,10 effect 75:12 79:6 89:16 effective 30:17 42:16 45:21 effects 25:14 76:7 effort 70:8 eight 14:13 28:18 30:1 54:3 75:5 either 5:23 90:10	elect 23:2 30:18 62:14 82:13 83:5 84:10 elected 35:17 42:7,13 54:22 79:13 electing 61:13 election 3:16,17 3:21 4:3,5,7,9 4:11,13,15,17 19:4,16 29:17 31:19 32:2 35:1 36:19 39:19,20 40:9,19 42:21 43:22,23 47:17 47:18 48:14 50:15,20 51:6 51:11,13,14 53:3,7 54:1 55:3 57:6,21 60:16 83:23 84:21,22 85:11,16,18 86:22 87:15 elections 18:20 19:6 30:5,7,10 35:23 36:2,16 41:4,11 50:21 80:3 82:2 84:3 85:12 86:17 87:23 electoral 12:20 60:6 electorate 37:21 electricity 43:4
--	---	--	--

[electronic - find]

Page 100

electronic 8:5 eligibility 20:9 21:2 eligible 14:6 19:2,7 20:4,15 20:20 elmore 65:8 else's 64:1 84:15 emails 20:1 embarked 25:9 emerges 61:16 enacted 9:6 10:1 30:19 encounter 34:7 encourage 45:3 ended 11:20 12:8,12 13:12 14:2 15:13 17:14 37:10,14 50:11 energy 84:20 ensure 19:5 enter 21:6 entertain 46:20 86:13 enthusiastic 23:8 entity 76:5 equalization 65:3 equally 63:23 equipment 26:4 erroneously 19:22	escambia 65:8 especially 20:21 espouses 79:12 estimates 20:13 et 1:4,9 ethnicity 7:9 etiquette 59:14 etowah 91:3 event 49:4 events 43:1 evidence 5:15 39:10,11 58:10 70:20 71:5,6,7 examination 3:1 7:2 58:21 59:1 89:11 91:12 example 20:17 23:12 33:10 54:14 56:21 77:9 examples 25:16 except 12:17 23:5 excuse 9:3 32:11 33:15 62:20 73:15 exhibit 3:5,7,9 3:10,11,12,14 3:15,16,17,19 3:21 4:1,3,5,7,9 4:11,13,15,17 4:20,20,21,21 7:11,14 8:7,11 8:16,22 9:1,18 10:10,11 25:21	26:10,18,23 27:3 28:3,14 29:12 31:21 38:15,22 39:16 43:19 47:14 48:11 50:17 51:7 56:8 57:3 57:18 68:11 69:15,20 72:20 exhibits 9:17 26:18 48:18 expand 77:8 expanded 24:8 expanding 46:16,21 47:3 78:20,23 expansion 74:10 expected 53:22 experience 11:20 12:19 17:4 22:19 23:21 41:9 46:10,12 53:1 expert 36:4 89:21 expires 91:22,23 explain 14:8 exposure 53:19 extent 61:4 extract 67:18 extreme 70:15 86:10	f fact 86:4 fair 49:8 55:13 78:9,15 84:7,9 fairfield 69:9 fairly 45:21 53:11 fairness 6:18 familiar 28:20 65:14 families 76:8,10 family 45:3 far 26:19 66:17 farm 75:3 father 60:16 favor 76:5 favours 78:23 federal 15:10,12 72:4,8 feel 22:19 23:9 felon 20:15 felonies 19:21 felons 20:13 felony 20:19 fielded 38:7 figures 72:18 file 88:9 filed 26:19,20 59:7 60:22 68:10 72:19 filing 5:20 financial 88:7 find 21:7 53:21 55:22 56:2 88:23
--	--	--	---

[finding - graduated]

Page 101

finding 22:2 fine 56:6 finger 67:17 69:7 firing 85:8 firm 2:10 first 6:8 11:18 13:9 22:11 31:23 32:12 33:10,19,22 40:9 58:2 60:16 75:22 76:1 91:8 fit 64:10 fitness 15:8 five 80:18 floor 2:15 71:9 flowers 3:20 30:11 32:21 36:19 37:2,3 39:3 52:2 folder 8:11 28:5 28:7 following 80:10 follows 6:11 forced 55:14 forces 82:20 foregoing 91:10 foregone 82:14 forgot 15:18 form 5:12 23:18 31:10 75:5 82:17,21 formalities 5:6 formality 5:11	formed 22:21 79:12 former 49:11 formidable 31:9 fort 13:10 15:14 forth 31:20 fortunate 11:14 forums 23:22 forward 77:1 86:5 four 49:13 fourth 28:5,6,12 frankly 37:9 free 20:1 21:5 friends 45:3 front 26:22 function 8:8 funding 52:22 84:18 87:21 88:10 funds 53:18 further 5:18 6:2 24:9 53:19 80:21 90:9,15 91:14 future 82:18	44:13 47:16 48:14 50:20 57:21 general's 2:21 generally 77:10 77:11 generate 84:20 geographical 62:4 germany 12:15 12:21 gerrymander 67:12 68:17 70:2 71:20 74:1 74:3 gerrymandered 31:7 71:18 gerrymandering 23:7 72:15,16 83:21 gerrymanders 67:5 71:22 73:7 getting 11:21 20:7 58:2 79:16 give 12:4 25:21 26:5 27:4 49:1 54:14,17 given 52:15 78:9 gives 55:13 giving 45:5 global 14:20 go 11:1 14:18 20:6 29:2 34:6 36:6,7 42:21 43:14 47:10	53:19 63:7 76:21 77:1 82:5 83:18 88:9 goal 62:13 79:18 goes 42:14 68:18 70:2 going 9:2 19:9 21:7 26:17 27:10 29:4,14 31:2,16,23 36:5 36:7 39:18 43:21 48:13,16 53:7 58:7,20 67:23 70:9 72:9 79:8 82:5 83:11 84:13 86:9,15 good 22:23 28:13 52:15,21 59:3,4 83:20 gop 55:19 gosh 51:2 gotten 20:11 50:6,8 government 24:21 governor 30:11 32:21,23 33:10 37:4 38:7 45:9 46:5,17,19 47:8 57:12,13 graduate 11:5 12:2,3 graduated 10:21
	g		
	gardendale 65:18 gen 4:5 general 2:21 3:17,21 4:3,7,9 4:13,15,17 32:2 33:18 34:2,23 39:19 43:23		

[graduating - indicated]

Page 102

graduating 11:6 11:13 grants 77:6 grass 84:18 great 76:12 77:13 grocery 24:9 74:14 76:1,3,6 77:8 78:21 79:1 group 23:1 53:14 75:10 81:13 groupings 82:21 gs14 15:15 guarantee 82:20 gubernatorial 80:3 guess 6:15 32:9 guidance 72:12 guy 55:19	happy 87:3 hard 9:10 23:22 harder 65:2 hat 52:11 head 43:17 health 47:2 hear 7:17 71:4 89:19 heard 80:1 hearsay 39:11 51:19 71:8 held 17:22 77:10,11 help 24:12 53:2 87:3,11 helped 20:13 helpful 21:2 88:14 helping 19:13 88:19	holistic 24:12 61:21 home 21:7 homework 44:17 hoover 7:7 16:11,20 35:14 69:10 81:1,13 81:16 house 11:16,17 16:16 household 80:8 hugely 42:12 huh 11:10 51:5 human 12:11 14:13 15:14 hundred 11:19 hypothetical 53:23 54:11	impact 22:9 impacted 24:19 implemented 79:9 important 21:16 42:12 43:1 61:1 61:7 62:9 63:18 64:1,6 78:10 importantly 79:15 incarcerated 20:16 include 11:18 18:4 33:3 included 28:19 income 76:8,10 76:13 incorrect 8:1 increase 79:17 incredibly 42:23 incumbent 6:19 42:7 54:6 incumbents 70:9 71:17 indefensible 67:22 independents 22:22 index 3:1,5 4:1 india 81:14 indicate 48:18 58:8 indicated 46:15 47:12
h	henry 2:7 hereto 5:23 6:3 herzegovina 14:19 high 10:20,22 90:1 higher 31:5 32:17 40:3,10 41:2 45:20 51:21 54:8 highlight 42:3 hillary 50:11 hispanic 7:10 history 48:16 55:3	i	
half 80:18 hampshire 2:9 hand 9:2 28:16 30:2 31:23 34:11 39:19 43:21 handed 7:13 handing 26:13 39:1 50:19 hands 70:13 happen 84:13 happened 86:7 happening 43:8		ideas 12:19 83:20 identification 7:12 9:19 10:12 26:11 28:15 29:13 31:22 38:23 39:17 43:20 47:15 48:12 50:18 51:8 56:9 57:4 57:19 69:21 image 45:19 imagining 66:23 immediately 11:13 17:13	

indication 86:22	interested 31:4	60:3,5,5,11	46:6 48:20 49:9
indirect 71:6,7	43:5 60:13,15	61:20 64:13	53:4,18 54:3,21
individual 45:5	78:20 80:16	67:23 68:3	54:21 55:5
48:2	91:16	70:18 74:8,17	56:11 59:19,22
indulging 88:18	interesting 81:7	74:21,22 75:5	62:10,16,18
infantry 13:10	interests 24:7	75:14 77:2,3,10	63:13,19 64:7
infighting 52:9	25:18 31:1 75:6	77:17 78:1	64:11,16,21
88:3	interjected	82:22 85:17	65:1,14,20
influence 76:17	37:18	ivey 32:23 45:10	66:14,19 67:1
info 3:7	internal 52:12	45:13 46:16	67:17 68:4,5,18
information	interruption	ivey's 36:21	68:23 70:3,11
19:9,10 20:11	8:20	j	70:19 71:1
20:23 21:1	introduce 58:7	jackson 10:23	73:10 74:1,8,12
66:12 71:12,15	introduced 5:22	15:14	74:22 75:8,17
71:23 87:11	9:21 27:8,13	jail 20:16	75:20 78:5,19
informed 19:3	29:15 65:11	james 2:3,20	80:17 82:8
55:16 60:11	89:3	jeffco 20:21	84:23 85:20
infrastructure	investment 25:2	jefferson 3:18	89:17 90:1
25:2 52:23 66:9	invitation 8:9	3:22 4:4,6,8,10	jim 6:15 26:21
74:16	86:12	4:14,16,18 16:9	27:1 58:13 59:5
inner 66:2	involved 17:7,9	16:11,12 17:5	job 11:15 15:10
input 76:20	19:13 21:22	18:1,4 20:3,12	15:12
inserted 67:17	60:18 61:19	20:14 21:18,21	jobs 15:6
inside 25:19	involvement	22:14,18 23:13	john 58:3
instances 70:7	18:19 22:17	24:4,11,16,22	join 13:23
instructed	iraq 14:21	25:3 27:18	joined 12:6
22:12	issue 37:12 39:7	28:21 29:23	17:13
integrated	52:16 61:16	31:1,9,12,18	jones 1:17 5:9
13:13	74:11 75:6	32:14 33:1,15	40:19,23 47:18
intelligence	77:21 87:14	34:9 35:6,6,8,14	54:20,20 91:4
12:10 14:2,12	issues 21:10,16	35:21 36:8,21	91:20
intense 40:12	24:2,17,20	38:10,13,20	joseph 1:17 5:8
interest 40:13	31:11 37:23	39:21 40:23	91:4,20
40:16 43:10	44:19 53:13,21	41:17 42:9,15	judge 34:23
55:9 60:9 79:16	55:15 56:1 57:2	44:1 45:11 46:2	

judges 35:7,16 35:19 41:6,14 41:16,18 judgeships 61:14 judicial 35:11 44:21 jumping 79:23 junior 25:15 justice 24:18 34:7 74:15 77:9 78:21 79:2 justices 41:4 justifies 61:18 justify 62:21	kind 53:1 75:3 78:1 81:23 kinds 50:6 62:2 know 15:17 18:22 22:5,8 23:9 26:7 29:1 31:5 41:15 42:23 48:6,23 51:18 76:7 80:8 81:12 83:10,17 85:16,22 86:15 87:19 knowledge 21:3 70:6 knowledgeable 60:3 known 18:22 26:14,16 80:11 83:16	laws 24:18 62:6 lawsuit 22:10 lawyers 22:13 leaders 75:11 76:17,19 leading 6:20 league 16:23 17:1,6,8,12,14 18:2,4,7,10,11 18:13,19,20,21 21:5,8,21 23:12 leave 15:8 leaving 15:22 left 29:22 legal 26:14 legislative 11:15 29:16 legislature 9:6 26:16 67:10 68:8,14 70:22 71:4,9 legislature's 19:19 73:23 89:3 leonette 1:12 3:2 5:4 6:7 7:6 91:8 lesser 69:11 level 12:3 56:22 76:11 77:18 79:15 81:20 87:23 lieutenant 33:9 46:17	life 3:19 39:7 lifelong 60:9 lift 24:12 liked 71:10 likely 47:3 53:6 75:8 83:12 line 28:21 31:13 50:12 66:3 67:22 lines 61:12,15 62:1 79:21 linked 15:6 list 43:15 listen 83:13 listening 44:18 litigation 59:7 little 10:13 31:14 47:11 60:8 63:11 75:19 86:20 90:4 live 9:15 23:14 23:15 35:20 80:20 81:5 90:5 lived 13:21 16:12 59:19 80:17,19,20 81:3 lives 54:21 living 69:2 livingston 4:20 68:7 llc 2:10,13,17 llp 1:19 2:4,7
k	l		
kallas 1:19 2:4,7 kansas 13:10 kay 36:20 45:10 45:13 46:16 keep 22:14 39:15 44:23 60:11 61:8 71:16,19 keeping 49:11 65:3 keeps 65:11 82:7 kelly 34:8 kentucky 12:13 kept 61:2 62:10 64:7 66:13,19 key 86:2 kin 91:14	label 86:3 lack 22:3 laffitte 34:19 lake 80:22,23 large 1:19 5:10 24:15 31:11 91:6,21 largely 69:2 largess 62:3 largest 81:12 latino 50:1 lauderdale 65:8 law 2:4,8,10,11 2:14,17		

local 17:11,13 17:19 18:22 21:10 61:20 79:14 locality 43:8 locate 9:11 10:5 locates 7:20 9:5 location 16:14 locations 16:13 28:21 logistics 19:11 long 18:6,23 23:17 55:2 60:12 66:18 look 7:22 9:7 10:2 26:3 29:3 32:20 33:9 38:10 42:21 44:7 47:12 48:21 62:15 81:9 82:1 looked 29:19 39:3 64:22 83:23 84:22,23 looking 25:21 32:3,10 34:5 50:15 51:14 82:13 87:2 lose 15:9,12 36:23 loses 79:20 lost 36:19 50:22 lot 19:17 24:17 37:18 44:11 45:14 48:8	50:10 55:9 73:16 81:20 low 23:3 51:3 lower 32:17,19 47:5 69:8 76:8 77:8 lowering 79:1 lowest 51:22 lowing 78:20 lumped 69:12	map 26:2,15,15 55:13,13 63:1,8 65:9,11 66:14 66:18 67:10,11 67:12 68:9,12 70:1,10 72:1,21 73:2,5,6,19 74:1 74:2,6 maps 67:6 71:10 78:9 margin 40:22 mark 8:16 9:17 10:9 58:8 69:14 marked 7:12 8:11,22,23 9:19 9:20 10:12 26:2 26:11,17 28:15 29:6,13 31:22 38:23 39:17 43:20 47:15 48:12 50:18 51:8 56:9 57:4 57:19 69:21 89:5 marking 7:18 master's 11:7 matter 91:9 matters 43:7,9 50:15 mayor 45:18 mccain 58:3 mean 17:16 28:22 42:6 50:9 50:10 63:5 76:2 80:12 84:16,16	89:18 meaning 74:19 means 69:11 media 37:7 39:2 53:16 60:10 medicaid 24:8 46:15,16,21 47:3 74:10 75:15 77:8 78:20 79:1 medical 46:20 meet 15:5 65:2 meets 61:5 member 11:16 12:22 15:5 16:22 17:1 18:19 70:21 memory 74:20 mentioned 39:2 39:3 mentions 52:2 merrill 22:11 51:20 71:21 met 81:1 metropolitan 24:16 middle 2:8 47:5 midterm 4:11 51:11 military 12:5,10 14:1,12,23 15:2 15:3 25:7,12 59:15,16 74:16 75:14 85:7
	m		
	ma'am 59:3 63:1 maddox 45:10 45:12,14 46:3,6 46:15 47:4 54:15,16 made 5:13 67:7 70:8 72:11 74:5 madison 78:23 major 33:19 majored 11:3 majority 30:22 79:8 82:10 83:3 make 15:11 20:4,13,22 24:13 38:9 53:18 55:15 64:14,19 69:12 70:8 73:9 75:18 83:11,12,15 male 50:8 manager 20:12 manner 5:23 91:16		

milligan 4:22 72:22 89:4 millsaps 10:23 11:6 mind 49:12 minded 25:11 minority 49:21 67:18 68:5,21 81:13 minute 36:18 86:17 minutes 58:17 mississippi 10:16,18,18 11:1 60:18 misspeak 80:13 misunderstood 89:14 mitt 56:20 57:1 mobile 73:20,20 mobilized 14:18 mobilizing 14:15,20 moderate 22:22 moment 27:7 87:4 88:13 monday 15:21 money 76:9 montgomery 2:18,22 monthly 43:7 moore 47:18 moral 19:20 morning 59:3,4	motivation 22:7 motor 24:14 move 38:15 76:11 moved 17:10 80:21 moving 14:3 multiple 23:23 mystery 36:5	negotiations 71:4 76:21 neighborhoods 25:5 65:19 67:19 68:2 69:3 69:4,6 81:1,9,9 81:21 89:23 neighbors 68:2 neither 91:14 never 23:11 45:2 79:8 83:19 86:11 nevertheless 33:3 new 2:9 newcomer 42:8 42:16 newspaper 39:1 51:10 non 7:10 nonelection 19:16 nonpartisan 18:15,17 nonvoting 48:9 north 1:20 2:5 2:15 northeast 10:18 northern 1:1 27:23 65:15,20 65:22 notes 80:1 notice 29:21 43:13	noticed 6:15 81:4 november 32:1 39:20 43:23 48:14 50:20 51:12 56:10 57:6,20 number 7:11 9:18 10:11 26:10,15,15,23 28:14 29:12 31:12,20,21 32:13,14 34:22 38:22 39:16 41:3 43:19 47:14 48:11 50:17 51:7 56:8 57:3,18 numbers 10:10 20:1 69:20
	n		o
	name 7:4 9:14 19:1 54:18 59:5 80:8 named 57:13 national 21:10 24:20 25:8 40:14 45:1,15 53:2 56:22 71:11,13 76:19 77:17 79:15 81:20 nationalities 81:15 nationally 18:21 nature 77:15 near 34:10 80:23 necessitate 66:23 need 5:13 6:22 26:21 29:5 56:4 66:3 82:6 87:11 needs 78:22 negatively 22:9		o6 13:7 15:14 obama 56:17 58:2 objection 6:20 objections 5:11 5:12 6:23 observation 41:8 observations 22:20 obviously 12:13 61:12 occasion 62:7

occasionally 8:15 occasions 17:20 occupied 25:6 occur 66:23 offenses 19:20 20:6 offer 42:8 offered 5:15 45:5 office 2:21 20:21 36:9 42:13 43:11 45:20 officer 12:11,11 14:13 15:15 17:23 offices 1:19 14:2 37:6 official 1:8 3:18 3:22 4:3,7,9,13 4:15,17 43:14 56:11 officially 17:3 officials 61:20 offspring 81:14 oh 32:5 51:2 54:12 ok 72:15 okay 7:8,13,20 8:3 9:16 10:9,19 11:7 12:6 13:5 16:1,8,18 17:22 20:15 21:17 23:17 25:20	27:10 28:7 29:10 30:15 32:9,20 34:5,21 35:22 36:12 39:6,10,15 40:18 43:12,18 44:5 50:3,3,19 55:6 57:5 58:10 58:18 63:6 64:13,18 66:17 69:18 73:23 74:7,18 87:8,9 90:8 old 60:19 once 28:17 37:17 one's 63:23 open 44:18 77:6 opined 72:14 opinion 37:21 40:6 44:13 52:13 53:10 56:21 65:21 73:18 80:16 82:22 opportunities 62:13 79:11 opportunity 19:8 30:17 31:9 45:6 62:22 82:13,20 83:4 84:7,9 86:19 opposed 50:13 opposite 89:18	options 59:10 order 32:5 46:5 ordered 67:4 organically 75:3 organization 18:16,23 19:1 originally 71:18 ought 43:13 overall 75:15 overly 90:7 overrepresented 25:12 overseas 14:16 overview 12:4 overwhelming 55:11 overwhelmin... 55:18 own 53:5 85:17 85:19 88:11	paroles 20:3 part 14:23,23 16:11 27:20 35:13 43:6 52:7 52:18 65:15,23 68:16,17 69:8,9 70:2 71:16 73:19 81:4 participate 19:4 participation 22:4 particular 13:23 27:17 43:2,6,11 50:4 53:16 particularly 25:4 80:3 parties 5:4,20 6:3 52:10 70:16 77:15,22 91:13 91:15 partisan 44:22 72:15 partners 42:20 party 5:23 23:5 33:11 38:6 44:6 44:23 45:23 47:21,23 48:2 49:4,16,20 52:12 57:23 71:11,13 76:20 77:19,20 85:6 86:3,9 88:2,8 party's 44:21
		p	
		page 32:11,12 33:19,20,22 34:6,10,12,13 34:17,22 36:10 pages 91:10 paltry 52:4,19 pamela 34:19 pardon 8:4 59:20 63:6 pardons 20:3 park 1:20,20 2:5 2:5,15 80:20,20 80:23 81:5,7 82:1	

[pass - precincts]

Page 108

pass 15:7 passed 67:10 68:9,13 past 29:17 37:6 53:8 72:5 pattern 56:3 pause 87:4 88:12,18 pdfs 69:17 people 14:18 19:7,21 20:4 23:1,8 24:12 25:6,12 31:3,4 31:12 37:15 43:6 44:18 47:1 48:9 52:20,21 55:21 69:3,8,10 69:10 70:12,19 75:12,13 77:23 81:14,20 86:2 87:19 88:6 percent 18:14 32:8,16 33:6,11 34:4,16,20 36:14 40:3 42:10,11 44:4 45:12,13 47:20 50:23 51:2 56:15,19,20 57:1,8 percentage 41:1 49:14 percentages 29:22 30:2	percolating 75:7 perform 30:17 31:16 85:14 performed 42:5 45:17 performs 29:17 86:23 permissible 72:13 person 45:19 60:8 63:23 personal 13:12 25:18 53:10 67:21 personally 87:20 personnel 14:16 pettway 36:12 phrase 87:17 physical 15:8 picture 9:3,10 10:5 28:16 place 1:20,20 2:5,5,15 34:8 places 28:23 29:2 35:10 plaintiff 22:10 plaintiffs 1:5 2:2 3:6 4:2 7:11 9:18 10:11 22:13 26:10,19 28:14 29:12 31:21 38:22 39:16 43:19	47:14 48:11 50:17 51:7 56:8 57:3,18 72:23 plan 3:14 4:20 4:22 9:5,23 26:13 27:12 28:20 29:17,22 30:8 61:9 63:5,6 63:10,14,15 64:3 65:7 68:8 68:10 70:22 72:18,23 73:16 82:6,7,10 83:1 89:4,4,4 planned 16:5,6 plans 61:2 89:1 please 7:5,22 10:10,14 plenty 25:1 55:20 point 10:17,22 12:1 47:13 57:14 59:14 64:19 81:6 points 40:3 41:1 49:14 polarization 82:18 polarized 62:15 62:18 89:17 90:3 policies 25:7 47:7 policy 37:8 70:15	political 37:5 52:23 55:2 61:10 75:11,17 politically 81:19 politics 12:20 52:13 60:6,9,19 poll 83:19 polls 40:17 83:15 population 61:17 62:21 64:12,18 65:1,3 66:22 86:11 portion 73:19 portsmouth 2:9 position 14:9,10 46:22 79:4 85:11 88:2 positions 18:3 37:9 61:13 70:15 possibility 23:1 82:15 possible 26:4 61:5 possibly 73:8 potential 53:20 potentially 42:17 poverty 24:13 practical 61:17 precincts 3:15 28:18 30:1 33:4 54:4 55:21 58:9
--	--	--	---

[predicted - really]

Page 109

predicted 51:21 prefer 59:8 prepared 91:18 president 17:11 17:15 18:5 30:6 40:11 49:8,11 50:5 56:17 58:2 presidential 40:9 51:6 56:16 85:16 press 39:12 pretty 37:5 57:15 67:20 prevail 41:10 prevailed 30:7 30:10 36:13 42:10 85:21 primarily 25:6 37:12 67:18 69:10 primary 53:7 54:5,6 principles 62:6 printed 7:14 9:4 printout 38:17 printouts 31:17 prior 3:16 85:3 priorities 31:11 50:13 77:20 79:4,7 probably 47:6 49:21 60:7 65:18 73:4 84:16	probate 31:18 38:20 39:21 56:12 problematic 38:4 problems 72:5 procedural 5:7 88:9 procedure 5:17 proceeding 17:4 process 72:6 program 13:4 14:5 15:3 programs 19:12 79:9,13 progressive 77:12 78:22 81:22 progressives 22:22 37:11 project 19:23 20:12 projects 25:3 74:16 proven 66:22 provide 69:17 83:4 85:13 provided 5:16 5:23 provides 84:6 providing 62:13 public 10:21 11:3,8,9 24:10 24:14 42:4,11 45:6,15 74:15	publicity 40:14 45:15 puerto 14:14 15:16 pull 68:1,4 purchased 16:16 purpose 5:16 purposes 59:17 pursuant 1:16 5:5 put 26:1 27:3 42:22 51:9 58:9 67:19 68:6 70:11 73:12 85:9 puts 73:19	quillen 2:7 quite 37:13 56:15 89:9 quote 51:19
			r
			race 7:8 42:15 42:22 43:2,10 45:9,16 49:13 50:4 70:13 71:1 71:14 80:4 82:19 83:9,11 races 22:1 34:6 34:23 35:2 42:3 50:23 racial 23:6 67:5 67:12 68:17 70:1 71:20,22 72:15 73:7 74:1 74:2 90:2,2 racially 62:18 71:18 81:2,18 raised 10:15,17 37:7 43:4 ran 45:20 rank 13:5 59:15 rate 32:15,17 rates 43:4 rather 80:4,12 reach 82:21 reaching 68:21 read 9:10 real 86:10 really 28:22 42:18 44:18 80:19 81:22
		q	
		qualified 37:3 53:7 quality 87:13 question 30:15 54:1 75:23 77:13 89:13 questionnaire 21:11 questionnaires 21:9,18 86:13 questions 5:12 5:13 22:1,7 27:12 29:3 84:2 88:19,21 89:7 90:9 quickly 47:11	

[really - returns]

Page 110

83:20 85:8 86:3 reason 40:7 52:18 69:23 71:13 reasons 13:13 24:5 40:8,16 48:21 87:1 recall 74:21 84:2 received 8:8 32:22 38:1 recent 85:11 recently 10:1 21:20 recess 58:19 88:16 recognize 62:5 68:12 72:21 record 6:23 29:15 72:19,20 rectify 72:5 redirect 89:11 89:13 redistricting 9:4 72:6 76:19 redrawn 30:23 reduce 76:12 82:18 reducing 76:6 reduction 24:9 refer 39:6 references 37:19 referred 72:22	referring 30:21 39:8 87:5 reflection 52:14 reform 74:15 77:9 78:21 reforming 79:2 refresh 8:17 refused 46:20 region 21:16 register 19:8 20:9 registered 7:21 31:3 32:13 49:1 83:13 registration 3:7 registrations 19:15 regressive 76:6 regulating 43:6 reigns 32:11 relevancy 6:20 remain 22:18 remedial 4:22 72:23 remember 45:14 removing 76:13 renasant 2:14 repeated 48:7 report 3:18,22 4:3,5,7,9,13,15 4:17 39:19 43:22 reported 91:7	reporter 5:9 6:12 56:6 69:17 88:23 91:5 reporter's 91:1 reports 37:7 39:2 represent 59:5 representative 54:9,23 61:19 66:4 representatives 11:16 25:9 62:1 representing 5:3,19 17:3 22:13 republican 48:10 49:8 50:10,14 69:13 82:3 republicans 22:23 44:9 49:6 49:21 57:10 58:1 78:1 84:3 reputation 55:2 require 62:6 requirement 13:2 requirements 5:7 15:6,11 reserve 12:10 13:2,14 14:1,5 14:11,15 15:5,9 reserved 5:14 reserves 12:23	reservist 12:16 residence 3:9,10 3:11,12 9:5,12 9:23 10:7 resident 16:9 residents 76:5 resigned 46:19 resource 14:13 15:15 resources 12:11 61:22 77:5 respect 5:6 45:7 respectively 89:5 respond 21:13 rest 12:9 70:4 90:5 restoration 19:18 restore 20:7 result 11:20 17:5 22:9 31:2 results 43:14 48:15 56:11 91:17 retail 60:19 retire 13:3 retired 13:6,7 retiring 15:13 return 16:1,3 returns 29:18 31:19 42:21 43:22 50:16,20 57:6,21 84:1,23
---	--	--	---

[reviewed - shelby]

Page 111

reviewed 63:8 revision 24:18 rico 14:14 15:16 right 9:7,9 10:2 10:6 18:18 19:13 20:10 26:9,12 30:2 32:12 33:8 34:11,11,21 39:7,13,18 43:21 48:5 49:17 52:5 53:4 56:7 58:4,13 63:3,14 69:22 81:16 86:7 87:6 rights 19:1,18 20:7 riley 13:10 rime 16:20 ring 52:12 riveting 43:3 robert 46:18 57:15 80:9 robust 38:6 55:22 75:18 rodger 54:19 role 45:18 romney 56:20 57:1 ron 57:13 80:4 room 25:2 roots 84:18 roy 47:18 rules 5:17	ruling 5:14 run 37:3 54:20 running 40:15 44:22 50:5 88:6 rural 60:20 65:23 résumé 37:6	49:10 51:19 59:6 71:20 section 70:17 secured 87:21 security 66:10 see 7:23 8:5 9:14 21:15 22:8 24:7,8,10 25:13 25:20 28:1 31:3 32:4,7 36:9,15 54:13 56:20,23 62:23 73:9,9 79:17 81:23 82:1 seeing 25:14 52:19 seem 48:22 70:7 seemed 46:22 80:22 seems 7:23 41:6 73:15 seen 51:16,22 73:2 76:17 segment 86:11 segments 37:21 segregated 69:2 select 31:10 senate 40:13 senator 25:15 27:13 33:16 40:19 41:2 senators 62:2 senior 11:16 sent 21:11,17	separately 45:8 separates 71:1 series 31:17 serious 70:13 serve 35:15 served 13:14 17:10,19 service 14:4,7,9 14:10,23 15:10 15:12 18:5 21:5 42:4,12 45:6 59:16 services 42:9 serving 15:4 46:17 session 11:19 27:14 29:16 set 61:5 91:13 seven 44:16 several 12:12 13:22 17:15 27:11 40:15 49:14 sewell 54:7,9 55:7 shakes 43:17 share 8:8,16 24:3,21 27:4 31:11 80:16 sharing 72:17 shelby 3:15 27:21,23 28:18 28:23 30:1 33:4 54:4 55:21 58:7 63:12
--	---	---	---

[sheriff - stationed]

Page 112

sheriff 36:8 60:17 61:13 sheriff's 20:21 shifted 77:20 shifts 66:22 shopping 66:10 short 14:1 33:6 45:23 46:1 58:13 show 9:22 29:4 29:14 31:17,19 32:13 42:4,14 45:11 48:13 51:9 57:5 63:5 82:6 showing 63:4 68:7 shows 28:17 29:16 33:11 85:19 side 29:22 34:11 34:21 51:10 87:14 signature 6:4 91:20 simultaneously 8:18 singleton 1:4 3:14,16 4:20,21 22:11 26:1,1,13 27:12,14 28:19 30:8 31:16 33:5 63:6,9 65:6 68:10 71:10 72:19 73:5 74:6	82:7 83:1 89:1 singleton's 54:2 sir 7:18 8:12,23 16:16 28:4,8 33:2 35:4 40:5 40:21 58:11 situation 55:20 slay 1:12 3:2,7,9 3:10,11,12 5:4 6:7 7:6 9:1,3 10:14 26:12 27:9 58:21 59:18 65:13 67:9 68:22 69:22 70:21 72:17 87:3 88:18 89:7,14 90:11 91:8 sliver 27:22 28:3 63:12 slot 15:4 small 10:17 27:22 80:12 smitherman 54:19 63:4 solutions 52:16 56:1 61:22 70:18 86:6 somebody 64:1 71:8 84:15 somewhat 35:9 sorry 8:19 32:6 34:14 sort 53:21 79:5 80:23 81:10	sorted 36:4 sorting 69:3 81:21 sos 3:8 south 12:13,18 13:15 25:11 southeastern 14:14 15:15 southern 1:2 sparks 57:14 80:4 speak 6:9 21:10 53:15 76:22 88:22 90:4,5 91:9 speaking 21:1 57:2 70:4 75:10 special 4:5 11:19 27:14 29:16 47:16 85:18 specific 22:1 52:8 specify 6:21 spectrum 75:16 spend 76:9 spending 12:8 spent 52:4 split 23:18,23 31:13 44:11,19 62:4,7 64:9,15 64:20 65:6 73:10,17 75:11 splits 64:3 65:10	splitting 61:23 spot 10:7 squad 85:8 stance 46:23 stances 50:12 started 14:15 18:7 state 1:9,18 5:10 7:4 13:11 17:20 17:21 18:22 21:10 24:9 30:6 34:18 40:14 43:8 45:1 47:9 51:20 54:22 59:6 60:4 62:7 69:1 70:5 76:11 76:12,17 77:18 79:14 88:8 91:2 91:5,21 state's 7:15,21 statement 90:7 statements 37:13 38:4 states 1:1 11:22 12:12,20 14:14 15:16 33:16 40:13,18 44:16 statewide 17:17 34:5 36:22 41:11 46:1 50:23 80:7 87:23 stating 78:16 stationed 12:14 13:9
--	---	--	---

[stature - think]

Page 113

stature 80:11 statute 6:1 stellar 55:2 85:6 stick 86:16 stills 54:19 stipulated 5:2 5:18 6:2 stipulation 1:16 5:5 stipulations 5:1 6:13,14 straight 33:11 44:6,12,14,16 45:2,4,22 46:4 47:21,23 48:2 49:4,15,20 57:9 57:23 stratified 69:5 streamlined 29:11 street 2:8,18 9:14 studied 73:5 subscribe 60:10 subsequent 72:12 substantive 52:16 70:18 success 22:6 successfully 20:2 21:9 sudden 43:5 suffered 85:7 suggested 65:10	suggesting 75:7 suggests 30:13 suite 2:8,18 summarized 30:5 summary 3:16 3:18,22 4:3,7,9 4:13,15,17 39:19 43:22 50:22 sunday 15:23 supermajority 45:23 86:8 support 3:20 38:2 46:15 63:15 64:3 75:4 82:7 85:4 88:8 supported 47:8 supporting 74:6 supportive 77:23 supports 62:12 supposed 33:21 59:14 supreme 34:7 41:5 61:6 67:4 72:11 sure 20:4,14,22 27:6 28:11 55:18 58:14 70:8 77:4 83:12 surmise 71:16 surprised 81:12 sustained 48:19	suzanne 2:3 7:16 25:23 26:7 28:2 29:7 58:6 sworn 6:9 91:8 system 24:11 35:9 79:2 t table 61:22 84:17 take 26:6 43:16 45:20 46:22 56:4 58:12 75:22 81:6 84:20 86:10 taken 1:13,16 5:5,8 58:19 67:2 88:16 talk 10:13 36:18 44:19 talked 37:17 75:1 talking 27:11 69:9 talladega 65:7 tallapoosa 2:18 tax 24:9 74:14 76:1,3,6,7,10,12 76:13 77:9 78:21 79:1 taxed 76:9 team 61:10,21 technician 15:3 15:4 tell 26:17 37:1 38:15 67:23	telling 81:11 ten 58:16 82:4 tenth 2:15 term 58:2 terms 37:6 44:5 52:8 66:3 terri 54:7 55:7 terrorism 14:21 test 15:8 74:19 testified 6:11 44:10 testimony 41:16 texas 11:2,14,15 thank 8:13,19 15:17 20:10 28:9 38:21 47:10 59:18 65:13 78:10,14 78:18 80:15 88:17,18 89:7 90:8,11 thanks 19:18 45:21 59:13 thereof 91:17 thing 25:17 48:2 55:7,17,18 things 23:22 45:1 58:7 76:9 77:16 81:7 84:12 88:9 think 6:17,19 19:2,22 22:17 24:5,17 25:1,10 25:13 30:20 31:2,8 38:3,16
---	--	---	--

[think - underscoring]

Page 114

46:13 47:10 54:7 55:10 57:17 58:4 61:7 62:9,12 63:22 65:9 66:5 69:11 73:8 74:4,11 75:2 76:4 78:8 84:6 85:4,15 87:1 89:23 thought 47:1 89:15 thousands 14:20 three 12:14 17:19 21:22 30:10 50:22 throw 52:11 ticket 44:12,14 44:16,19 45:2,4 45:22 46:4 47:23 57:9 tickets 44:11 time 5:13,14 12:7,9 14:15 16:10 17:18 18:23 26:6 43:16 47:13 58:15 66:13,18 77:16 80:19 81:2 85:19 times 17:15 today 73:3 88:21 together 43:9 45:1 61:2,8	told 15:8 71:10 toll 20:1 tommy 40:19 took 49:13 top 15:18 34:17 tornadoes 45:16 total 49:13 tower 1:20 2:5 town 10:17 80:12 traditionally 40:10 48:10 training 14:11 transcript 91:17 transcription 91:11 transit 24:10 74:15 transitioned 14:4 17:12 translated 47:7 79:5 translating 52:10 transparent 19:7 transportation 24:15 travel 65:17 treasurer 18:5 tremendous 45:19 trial 5:22 6:15 7:1 15:20 86:18	tries 60:10 trip 16:4,6 true 30:4 36:3 38:3 65:5 66:21 91:11 trump 49:11 truth 6:9,10,10 91:9 try 22:13 31:14 48:17 trying 53:13 55:10 79:19 87:6 tuberville 40:20 41:2 tuesday 83:15 tune 47:4 tupelo 10:16 turn 58:21 turned 60:15 turnout 4:11 23:4 31:5 32:4,4 32:7,15,17 39:23 40:2,10 44:2 47:19,20 48:23 51:1,11 52:19 54:7 56:13,14 57:6,8 57:22 85:5,18 88:3 turpitude 19:20 tuscaloosa 13:21 17:10 45:17 63:18 64:4,7,9,15,20	64:23 65:4 80:10 90:4 tweets 37:19 twice 42:7 two 9:17 10:10 11:17 15:6 16:12 35:9,10 35:11 40:14 49:12 50:8 52:10 55:13,23 56:22 77:15 86:4 89:1 typical 43:11 typo 49:3 u u.s. 77:3 u.w. 2:13,13 uh 11:10 51:5 ultimately 14:12 unable 15:20 23:18 unambiguous 37:13 unconstitutional 67:6 under 29:21 46:18 underfunded 23:5 undergraduate 11:4 underpinning 53:2 underscoring 17:2
---	--	---	--

[underserved - vrr]

Page 115

underserved 25:5	used 5:16,22 54:2 77:17 78:1	voluntary 18:14	60:15 78:22
understand 8:14 14:22 49:3 60:23 78:3 80:15 82:9,12 86:17,19,23 87:11 88:20	usual 6:12,14 32:18	volunteer 17:5 18:10	voters 16:23 17:2,6,8 18:10 18:12,13 19:14 20:16 22:21 23:8 24:3,22 25:4 30:18 32:13 35:6 41:17 42:19 46:7,11,14 47:5 49:1,17,21,22 50:1 52:14,15 53:20 55:1 62:13 63:17,19 65:22 68:5,21 70:17 71:1 74:8 74:12,22,23 75:8 76:4,14 77:12 78:4,19 83:5 84:10
understanding 72:3	v	volunteers 84:19	
understood 88:1	values 79:7,13 variety 25:18 60:10	vote 19:8,22 20:5,9,14,20 21:2 31:3,6 34:16 35:7,18 35:20 37:14 38:10 41:18,23 44:12,21 45:2,4 45:7 46:3 47:3 47:22 48:3 49:4 49:16,20 51:21 52:17 56:19 63:23 64:1,2 71:11 78:9,13 79:16,18 83:10 83:15 84:15	
unfortunately 44:22	various 14:16 18:3,6 60:11 61:14 75:12 84:22	vote411 21:22	votes 31:20 32:23 46:6 63:22
unified 75:10	vehicle 24:14	vote411.org 21:6	
unified 75:10	verbatim 21:13	vote411.org. 36:6	voting 18:23 19:1,18 20:7 33:11 44:6,14 44:17 45:22 46:5 48:9 50:7 50:11 56:2 57:9 60:3,5 62:15 79:17 82:19 90:2,3
unique 35:9	veritext 8:9	voted 37:15,22 48:10 60:16 70:22	
unit 13:16,23 78:13	versus 22:11 39:7 45:10 48:1	voter 7:21 18:5 19:15 21:15 23:3,13 32:7,15 35:17 39:23 40:2,16 44:2,15 47:19,20 51:1 56:12,14 60:13	vra 89:4 vrr 19:23 20:11
united 1:1 11:21 33:16 40:13,18	veteran 25:7		
units 13:15	veterans 25:14		
university 11:2 11:14	viable 22:20 24:6,10,13 38:7 52:20 55:13 56:22 79:18 83:9 84:16 86:5		
unknown 23:5 37:4 57:13,15 80:5,14	victories 48:19		
untested 37:5	victory 86:2		
updated 20:11	view 24:12 85:13		
upload 21:14	views 86:10		
upper 76:10	village 16:20		
uriah 2:3	visit 65:20		
use 24:14,14 48:18 53:17 59:14,17	vociferously 18:17		
	voice 75:10 76:23		

[vs - zoomed]

Page 116

vs 1:6	we've 6:15	women 16:23	79:19,20 80:18
w	38:17 47:12	17:2,6,8 18:10	82:4 85:3
wage 42:16	81:15 89:2	18:11,13 23:13	yolanda 3:20
waived 5:7,21	website 3:8 7:15	50:11	32:21 36:19
6:5	31:18 38:20	women's 12:7	37:1 39:3 52:2
walker 2:16 7:6	39:22 56:12	won 33:14 34:9	york 2:3 7:18
walks 60:20	week 68:13	34:15,19 38:12	8:7,12,15,22,23
walt 45:10,12	weight 15:11	84:3	9:20 26:5,9 27:3
45:14 54:15,16	welcome 89:10	work 17:6 18:9	27:8 28:4,8,11
want 26:8 42:2	wendell 33:18	19:5,17 61:11	29:8 58:11
42:18 43:16	went 10:19,22	61:21 66:8	z
44:20,23 48:17	46:18	69:16	zero 49:2
48:21 52:11	wes 1:8 34:20	workaround	zoom 2:7,16
55:12 57:5	59:6	26:7	zoomed 3:10,13
58:15 66:15	west 10:17,22	worked 11:17	
74:20 75:23	80:21	14:3	
82:15,19 86:16	western 35:14	working 20:2,20	
86:23 87:10	whatley 1:19	62:3 68:3	
wants 55:19	2:4,7	worst 4:11	
war 12:2 14:20	white 7:10	51:12	
45:22	42:20 46:7,10	wrong 32:10	
warrior 66:1	49:16 69:13	66:16	
washington	wide 25:17	wrote 74:9,14	
2:22 25:16	win 23:11 33:15	x	
way 2:11 17:3	34:9 42:17 53:2	x 9:13 10:6	
21:14 22:5 23:6	55:3,12 83:19	y	
23:10 44:14	84:21 86:9,15	yeah 34:14	
46:21 58:10	87:22	38:12 48:17	
61:23 67:16	winner 54:4,5	year 13:17 16:7	
70:23 72:2 77:1	winning 33:6	40:10,12 56:16	
78:16 79:21	witness 6:4,4,8	85:17	
82:16,16,16	6:18 43:17 89:9	years 4:12 11:17	
83:21 85:1,19	91:12	11:19 12:8,14	
86:8 87:20,22	woman 50:5	13:22 51:12,22	

Alabama Rules of Civil Procedure

Part V. Depositions and Discovery

Rule 30

(e) Submission to witness; changes; signing. When the testimony is fully transcribed the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for examination and shall be read to or by the witness, unless such examination and reading are waived by the witness and by the parties. Any changes in form or substance which the witness desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making them. The deposition shall then be signed by the witness, unless the parties by stipulation waive the signing or the witness is ill or cannot be found or refuses to sign. If the deposition is not signed by the witness within thirty (30) days of its submission to the witness, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact of the waiver or of the illness or absence of the witness or the fact of the refusal to sign together with the reason, if any, given therefor; the deposition may then be used as fully as though signed unless on a motion to suppress under Rule 32(d)(4) the

court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

(F) Certification and filing by officer; exhibits; copies; notice of filing.

(1) The officer shall certify on the deposition that the witness was duly sworn by the officer and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, the officer shall then securely seal the deposition in an envelope indorsed with the title of the action and marked "Deposition of [here insert name of witness]" and shall promptly file it with the court in which the action is pending or send it by registered or certified mail to the clerk thereof for filing.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.



[Back to Lookup](#) / Registrant Detail

LEONETTE W SLAY

320 ALBEMARLE DRIVE BIRMINGHAM, AL 35226-1503 - JEFFERSON

Status

Active

No upcoming elections found for this registrant's jurisdiction.

Default Polling Location

PREC 3130 - SHADES CREST BAPTIST CHURCH

452 PARK AVE BIRMINGHAM, AL 35226

Districts

Hide ▾

CITY

HOOVER PLACE 4

COMMISSION

COMM DIST 3 - JIMMIE STEPHENS

CONGRESSIONAL

CONGRESSIONAL DIST 06

COUNTY SCHOOL

COUNTY SCHOOL 05

FIRE

FIRE DIST NOT ASSIGNED

JEFFERSON CO DIVISION

BESSEMER DIVISION

Exhibit
Slay 01

LOCAL SCHOOL

NO LOCAL SCHOOL DIST

MUNICIPALITY

HOOVER

SENATE

STATE SENATE 16

SPECIAL ELECTION DIST

SPECIAL ELEC NOT ASSIGNED

STATE HOUSE

STATE HOUSE 46

STATE SCHOOLSTATE BOE DIST 03




Exhibit
Slay 02




Exhibit
Slay 03




Exhibit
Slay 04






Exhibit
Slay 05

Exhibit 6

Exhibit
Slay 06

Singleton Congressional Plan 1-2023





DRA2020

CLC Milligan Map 1

Statistics

Notes

Map

Statistics

Analyze

Compare


Advanced

Download

Population			Shapes		Partisan Lean			Demographics (VAP)							
ID ↑	Total	+/-			Dem	Rep	Oth	Total	White	Minority	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Native	Pacific
Un	0				0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	38.64%	60.41%	0.95%	557,535	66%	34%	3.23%	25.61%	1.85%	3.11%	0.12%
2	717,755	0%	✓	✓	33.31%	65.85%	0.84%	558,939	66.71%	33.29%	3.84%	24.92%	2.39%	2.23%	0.16%
3	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	26.21%	72.85%	0.95%	554,849	76.41%	23.59%	4.34%	15.46%	1.19%	2.42%	0.11%
4	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	22.36%	76.85%	0.79%	556,133	82.41%	17.59%	5.66%	7.7%	0.82%	3.52%	0.09%
5	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	37.92%	60.51%	1.57%	561,187	70.89%	29.11%	5.28%	18.06%	2.53%	3.27%	0.18%
6	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	56.53%	42.23%	1.24%	561,773	52.07%	47.93%	4.25%	39.61%	2.53%	1.68%	0.1%
7	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	59.13%	40.22%	0.65%	566,750	44.31%	55.69%	3.23%	49.38%	2.06%	1.33%	0.11%
	717,754	0%	✓	✓	39.62%	59.38%	1.01%	559,595	65.47%	34.53%	4.26%	25.9%	1.91%	2.5%	0.12%

Notes

- The 0% population deviation is within the 0.75% threshold tolerated by the courts.
- Five districts lean Republican, two lean Democratic, and none fall in the 45–55% competitive range.
- There is one majority-minority district.



The following table shows the results of previous statewide races in Congressional Districts 6 and 7 of the proposed Singleton 3 Plan and CLC 1 Plan. For each race, two percentages are listed: the share of the two-party vote obtained by the candidate of choice of Black voters in Districts 6 and 7, respectively. Black candidates are noted with an asterisk.

Race	Zero Deviation Plan	CLC 1 Plan
2012 President: Obama (D)* v. Romney (R)	53.2%, 55.7%	51.2%, 57.9%
2014 Governor: Griffith (D) v. Bentley (R)	50.3%, 53.5%	48.2%, 55.4%
2014 Lieutenant Governor: Fields* (D) v. Ivey (R)	50.2%, 52.5%	48.1%, 54.2%
2014 Auditor: Joseph* (D) v. Ziegler (R)	50.5%, 53.6%	48.4%, 55.5%
2016 President: Clinton (D) v. Trump (R)	53.6%, 53.9%	52.1%, 56.1%
2016 Senate: Crumpton (D) v. Shelby (R)	52.0%, 52.5%	50.4%, 54.7%
2017 Senate: Jones (D) v. Moore (R)	68.8%, 65.4%	67.9%, 67.2%
2018 Governor: Maddox (D) v. Ivey (R)	58.7%, 56.0%	57.4%, 57.8%
2018 Lieutenant Governor: Boyd* (D) v. Ainsworth (R)	56.2%, 55.1%	54.6%, 57.5%
2018 Auditor: Joseph* (D) v. Ziegler (R)	56.6%, 55.9%	55.2%, 58.2%
2020 President:	56.2%, 52.9%	55.0%, 55.7%

**Exhibit
Slay 08**

Biden (D) v. Trump (R)		
2020 Senate: Jones (D) v. Tuberville (R)	58.2%, 56.4%	57.1%, 58.5%
2022 Senate: Boyd* (D) v. Britt (R)	51.9%, 48.9%	50.6%, 51.8%
2022 Governor: Flowers* (D) v. Ivey (R)	50.6%, 47.8%	49.1%, 50.7%
2022 Attorney General: Major* (D) v. Marshall (R)	52.1%, 49.2%	50.7%, 52.0%
2022 Associate Justice (Place 5): Kelly* (D) v. Cook (R)	52.2%, 49.8%	50.8%, 52.7%
2022 Secretary of State: Lafitte* (D) v. Allen (R)	52.4%, 49.6%	51.0%, 52.5%

NOVEMBER 8, 2022
OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/15/22 02:26 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 181)	181	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	484,171	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	199,048	
BALLOTS CAST - BLANK	10	.01
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		41.11
VOTER TURNOUT - BLANK		

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(VOTE FOR) 1		
ALABAMA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (DEM)	72,129	52.62
ALABAMA REPUBLICAN PARTY (REP)	64,247	46.87
LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF ALABAMA (LIB)	703	.51

GOVERNOR

(VOTE FOR) 1		
YOLANDA ROCHELLE FLOWERS (DEM)	96,175	48.68
KAY IVEY (REP)	92,583	46.86
JAMES "JIMMY" BLAKE (LIB)	7,066	3.58
WRITE-IN	1,743	.88

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

(VOTE FOR) 1		
WILL AINSWORTH (REP)	95,778	72.46
RUTH PAGE-NELSON (LIB)	34,412	26.04
WRITE-IN	1,983	1.50

UNITED STATES SENATOR

(VOTE FOR) 1		
WILL BOYD (DEM)	100,792	50.95
KATIE BRITT (REP)	91,802	46.40
JOHN SOPHOCLEUS (LIB)	4,873	2.46
WRITE-IN	365	.18

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 6TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR) 1		
GARY PALMER (REP)	73,859	79.24
ANDRIA CHIEFFO (LIB)	17,829	19.13
WRITE-IN	1,521	1.63

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 7TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR) 1		
TERRYCINA SEWELL (DEM)	58,125	73.17
BEATRICE NICHOLS (REP)	19,900	25.05
GAVIN GOODMAN (LIB)	1,379	1.74
WRITE-IN	30	.04

ATTORNEY GENERAL

(VOTE FOR) 1		
WENDELL MAJOR (DEM)	103,295	52.46
STEVE MARSHALL (REP)	93,423	47.45
WRITE-IN	183	.09

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 5

(VOTE FOR) 1		
GREG J REED (REP)	3,893	99.51
WRITE-IN	19	.49

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 15

(VOTE FOR) 1		
DAN ROBERTS (REP)	17,270	83.31
MICHAEL CRUMP (LIB)	3,310	15.97
WRITE-IN	149	.72

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 16

(VOTE FOR) 1		
J.T. "JABO" WAGGONER (REP)	22,450	95.58
WRITE-IN	1,038	4.42

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 17

(VOTE FOR) 1		
SHAY SHELNUTT (REP)	21,345	89.35
JOHN FORTENBERRY (LIB)	2,451	10.26
WRITE-IN	94	.39

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 18

(VOTE FOR) 1		
RODGER M. SMITHERMAN (DEM)	28,642	98.78
WRITE-IN	355	1.22

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 19

(VOTE FOR) 1		
MERIKA COLEMAN (DEM)	26,369	86.52
DANNY WILSON (LIB)	3,904	12.81
WRITE-IN	204	.67

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 20

(VOTE FOR) 1		
LINDA COLEMAN-MADISON (DEM)	27,567	98.54
WRITE-IN	409	1.46

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 14

(VOTE FOR) 1		
TIMOTHY (TIM) WADSWORTH (REP)	1,706	99.53
WRITE-IN	8	.47

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 15

(VOTE FOR) 1		
RICHARD P. ROUCO (DEM)	5,075	39.90
LEIGH HULSEY (REP)	7,635	60.02
WRITE-IN	10	.08

Exhibit
Slay 09

RUN DATE:11/15/22 02:26 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 16		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
KYLE SOUTH (REP)	3,798	99.40
WRITE-IN.	23	.60

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 44		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
DANNY GARRETT (REP)	11,396	83.84
JOHN WILEY BOONE (LIB)	2,088	15.36
WRITE-IN.	108	.79

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 45		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
SUSAN DUBOSE (REP)	3,620	79.39
KARI MITCHELL WHITAKER (LIB)	894	19.61
WRITE-IN.	46	1.01

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 46		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
DAVID FAULKNER (REP).	12,656	96.80
WRITE-IN.	418	3.20

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 47		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
CHRISTIAN COLEMAN (DEM).	4,816	35.91
MIKE SHAW (REP)	8,590	64.04
WRITE-IN.	7	.05

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 48		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
JIM CARNS (REP)	10,203	84.09
BRUCE STUTTS (LIB)	1,859	15.32
WRITE-IN.	72	.59

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 51		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ALLEN TREADAWAY (REP)	14,025	98.61
WRITE-IN.	198	1.39

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 52		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
JOHN W. ROGERS JR. (DEM)	10,229	99.14
WRITE-IN.	89	.86

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 54		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
NEIL RAFFERTY (DEM)	9,798	98.88
WRITE-IN.	111	1.12

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 55		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
FRED "COACH" PLUMP (DEM)	10,018	99.34
WRITE-IN.	67	.66

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 56		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ONTARIO J. TILLMAN (DEM)	9,008	90.65
CARSON B. LESTER (LIB)	869	8.75
WRITE-IN.	60	.60

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 57		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
PATRICK SELLERS (DEM)	9,221	64.88
DELOR BAUMANN (REP)	4,752	33.44
MANIJEH NANCY JONES (LIB)	218	1.53
WRITE-IN.	21	.15

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 58		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ROLANDA HOLLIS (DEM).	8,385	98.61
WRITE-IN.	118	1.39

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 59		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
MARY MOORE (DEM)	8,859	99.19
WRITE-IN.	72	.81

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 60		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
JUANDALYNN GIVAN (DEM)	9,872	89.36
J.P. FRENCH (LIB).	1,126	10.19
WRITE-IN.	50	.45

	VOTES	PERCENT
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, PLACE 5		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ANITA L. KELLY (DEM).	102,694	52.50
GREG COOK (REP)	92,780	47.43
WRITE-IN.	132	.07

	VOTES	PERCENT
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, PLACE 6		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
KELLI WISE (REP)	103,285	94.61
WRITE-IN.	5,880	5.39

NOVEMBER 8, 2022
OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/15/22 02:26 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
SECRETARY OF STATE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
PAMELA J. LAFFITTE (DEM)	100,898	51.33
WES ALLEN (REP)	90,012	45.79
JASON "MATT" SHELBY (LIB)	5,570	2.83
WRITE-IN.	87	.04

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE TREASURER		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
YOUNG BOOZER (REP)	95,370	73.60
SCOTT HAMMOND (LIB)	32,019	24.71
WRITE-IN.	2,189	1.69

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE AUDITOR		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ANDREW SORRELL (REP).	94,879	73.90
LEIGH LACHINE (LIB)	31,465	24.51
WRITE-IN.	2,040	1.59

	VOTES	PERCENT
COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRIES		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
RICK PATE (REP)	94,611	74.10
JASON CLARK (LIB).	31,124	24.38
WRITE-IN.	1,952	1.53

	VOTES	PERCENT
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE NO. 1		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
JEREMY H. ODEN (REP).	93,056	73.13
RON BISHOP (LIB)	32,171	25.28
WRITE-IN.	2,024	1.59

	VOTES	PERCENT
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE NO. 2		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
CHIP BEEKER (REP).	92,350	72.40
LAURA LANE (LIB)	33,193	26.02
WRITE-IN.	2,012	1.58

	VOTES	PERCENT
MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, DISTRICT NO. 4		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
YVETTE M. RICHARDSON (DEM).	78,080	98.45
WRITE-IN.	1,231	1.55

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ANNE L. DURWARD (DEM)	110,868	96.95
WRITE-IN.	3,482	3.05

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
JAVAN JOIELLE PATTON (DEM).	110,442	97.10
WRITE-IN.	3,304	2.90

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
BRENDETTE BROWN GREEN (DEM)	110,124	97.15
WRITE-IN.	3,227	2.85

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
THOMAS E. THRASH (DEM)	110,256	97.27
WRITE-IN.	3,092	2.73

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
KANDICE PICKETT (DEM)	110,116	97.30
WRITE-IN.	3,052	2.70

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ELISABETH FRENCH (DEM)	110,776	97.32
WRITE-IN.	3,055	2.68

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
DAVID J. HOBODY (DEM).	110,160	97.37
WRITE-IN.	2,976	2.63

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ALISHA RUFFIN MAY (DEM).	109,873	97.46
WRITE-IN.	2,869	2.54

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
MONICA AGEЕ (DEM).	109,692	97.46
WRITE-IN.	2,861	2.54

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
TAMARA HARRIS JOHNSON (DEM)	109,846	97.50
WRITE-IN.	2,816	2.50

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
ADRIENNE MOFFETT POWELL (DEM).	109,605	97.50
WRITE-IN.	2,811	2.50

MINORAL COUNTY, ALA
NOVEMBER 8, 2022
OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/15/22 02:26 PM

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE
(VOTE FOR) 1
STEPHEN WALLACE (DEM) 109,985 97.54
WRITE-IN. 2,769 2.46

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE
(VOTE FOR) 1
REGINALD L. JETER (DEM). 109,661 97.54
WRITE-IN. 2,769 2.46

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE
(VOTE FOR) 1
MICHAEL STREETY (DEM) 109,636 97.57
WRITE-IN. 2,736 2.43

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
(VOTE FOR) 1
DANNY CARR (DEM) 110,520 97.57
WRITE-IN. 2,755 2.43

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, BESSEMER
BESSEMER
(VOTE FOR) 1
LYNNEICE O. WASHINGTON (DEM) 26,669 53.25
BILL VEITCH (REP). 23,363 46.65
WRITE-IN. 47 .09

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 4
(VOTE FOR) 1
WILLIAM A. BELL JR. (DEM) 109,329 97.35
WRITE-IN. 2,980 2.65

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 5
(VOTE FOR) 1
SHERA CRAIG GRANT (DEM). 109,634 97.55
WRITE-IN. 2,749 2.45

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 1
(VOTE FOR) 1
KATHY-ANN MARCIA ALEXIS (DEM). 109,274 97.50
WRITE-IN. 2,804 2.50

JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF
(VOTE FOR) 1
MARK LEVIE PETTWAY (DEM) 102,560 52.10
JARED HUDSON (REP) 94,164 47.83
WRITE-IN. 128 .07

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 1
(VOTE FOR) 1
LASHUNDA SCALES (DEM) 31,208 98.78
WRITE-IN. 384 1.22

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 2
(VOTE FOR) 1
SHEILA TYSON (DEM) 29,576 98.99
WRITE-IN. 302 1.01

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 3
(VOTE FOR) 1
JIMMIE STEPHENS (REP) 29,302 82.88
DEVIN CORDELL (LIB) 5,709 16.15
WRITE-IN. 342 .97

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 4
(VOTE FOR) 1
JOE KNIGHT (REP) 28,347 96.31
WRITE-IN. 1,086 3.69

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 5
(VOTE FOR) 1
STEVE AMMONS (REP) 30,375 97.18
WRITE-IN. 883 2.82

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
DISTRICT NO. 3
(VOTE FOR) 1
STEPHANIE FLOYD (DEM) 8,802 62.08
DONNA PIKE (REP) 5,365 37.84
WRITE-IN. 11 .08

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
DISTRICT NO. 5
(VOTE FOR) 1
CARITA VENABLE (DEM). 76,045 97.73
WRITE-IN. 1,764 2.27

CONSTITUTION OF ALABAMA OF 2022
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 139,184 81.45
No. 31,689 18.55

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 1
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 138,138 76.41
No. 42,643 23.59

RUN DATE:11/15/22 02:26 PM

VOTES PERCENT

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 2

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	132,379	77.46
No.	38,530	22.54

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 3

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	134,121	77.15
No.	39,722	22.85

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 4

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	126,102	74.76
No.	42,581	25.24

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 5

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	117,750	72.85
No.	43,874	27.15

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 6

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	107,503	66.66
No.	53,761	33.34

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 7

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	125,996	78.22
No.	35,079	21.78

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 8

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	107,447	73.35
No.	39,029	26.65

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 9

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	120,053	75.11
No.	39,776	24.89

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 10

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	125,918	78.50
No.	34,493	21.50

JEFFERSON COUNTY LOCAL AMENDMENT 1

(VOTE FOR) 1

Yes	148,827	87.61
No.	21,050	12.39

Ⓜ E



Advertisement

NEWS

Democrats for Life cancels Yolanda Flowers support over abortion stance, 'lack of courage'

Updated: Aug. 30, 2022, 5:19 p.m. | Published: Aug. 30, 2022, 5:10 p.m.

Exhibit
Slay 10

By **Sarah Swetlik | sswetlik@al.com**

Democrats for Life America, a political group that supports certain abortion bans as part of a right to “whole life,” announced that advocates have removed an endorsement of Alabama gubernatorial candidate Yolanda Flowers.

Flowers, a former educator and political newcomer, won Alabama’s Democratic party nomination for governor on June 21, three days before the overturn of Roe v. Wade. She is the first Black female nominee for the office.

In a press release, Democrats for Life said Flowers sought the group’s endorsement by filling out a standard form on the group’s website. They claimed that Flowers changed her views on abortion from what she initially presented.

Flowers said Democrats for Life was aware of her stance from the beginning.

“I’ve always stated that women have the right to do they want to do health-wise for their own bodies,” Flowers said in an interview Tuesday. “People just took that out to left field, but I’ve always been pro-choice.”

According to their website, Democrats for Life states that they believe “laws should prosecute doctors and clinics who profit from abortion” and call for Democrats to “pass laws protecting pre-born life.”

“Ms. Flowers sought DFLA endorsement for her gubernatorial race by posing as a pro-life Democrat,” wrote director Kristen Day in a statement. “The organization spent significant time and resources working to further her campaign. We were profoundly shocked when she started issuing confusing statements and ultimately changed her position to pro-choice.”

Flowers’ social media and platform doesn’t specifically mention abortion, but her interview responses have been generally favorable of abortion remaining legal in the state.

Flowers told AL.com in June that she believes abortion should be legal for safety reasons, though she did add that she would like to see counseling for patients considering pregnancy termination.

“We don’t need to ban abortion, but abortions need to be done safely,” Flowers said during the interview. “I’m not trying to sway them, I’m not trying to change their

minds, [Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 171-5 Filed 08/10/23 Page 23 of 74](#) just giving them information and informed choices so that they can make a real choice.”

According to the [most recent data from the Public Religion Research Institute](#), 42% of Alabamians surveyed believe that abortion should be legal in some or all cases, with 52% saying it should be illegal. When it comes to candidates and voting, 22% said it was non-negotiable that the candidate share their views on abortion. Contrastingly, 28% said it wasn’t a major issue that would change their vote, while the 45% majority said it was one of many important issues.

Day wrote that the “lack of courage and integrity by the candidate only harms the Alabama Democratic party” and will negatively impact the party’s ability to win seats.

Flowers will face Republican incumbent Gov. Kay Ivey in Nov. [A recent poll from Morning Consult](#) showed that 62% of registered voters surveyed in Alabama approved of Ivey’s performance.

Alabama’s highest office has remained solidly Republican since 2003. [Pew Research Center reported](#) that 50% of Alabamians consider themselves conservative, while 29% say they’re moderate.

“In a year where Republicans are heavily favored, a moderate position of a meaningful safe, legal and rare position with expanded support for pregnant women would have gained traction with the Alabama electorate,” said Rev. Harriet Bradley, director of African American outreach for Democrats for Life. “Overall this push for radical abortion values indicates a lack of seriousness for Democrats in this red state.”

Democrats for Life America could not be reached for additional comment.

If you purchase a product or register for an account through one of the links on our site, we may receive compensation. By browsing this site, we may share your information with our social media partners in accordance with our [Privacy Policy](#).

[About Alabama Media Group](#)

[Jobs at Alabama Media Group](#)

[Advertise with us](#)

[About AL.com](#)

[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Online Store](#)

▼ Subscriptions

[AL.com](#)

[The Birmingham News](#)

[The Huntsville Times](#)

[Press-Register](#)

[Newsletter](#)

▼ Already a Subscriber

[Manage your Subscription](#)

[Place a Vacation Hold](#)

[Make a Payment](#)

[Delivery Feedback](#)

▼ **AL.com Sections**

[News](#)

[Business](#)

[Sports](#)

[High School Sports](#)

[Alabama Life & Culture](#)

[Opinion](#)

[Archives](#)

[Obituaries](#)

[Jobs](#)

[Autos](#)

▼ **Your Regional News Pages**

[Anniston/Gadsden](#)

[Birmingham](#)

[Huntsville](#)

[Mobile](#)

[Montgomery](#)

[Tuscaloosa](#)

[Gulf Coast Beaches](#)

▼ **On the Go**

[Mobile Apps](#)

[Tablet Apps](#)

▼ **Follow Us**

[Pinterest](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Facebook](#)

[Instagram](#)

[RSS](#)

▼ **Customer Service**

[Send us an email](#)

[Submit a news tip](#)

[Buy newspaper front pages, posters and more](#)

▼ **More on AL.com**

[Videos](#)

[Weather](#)

[Site Map & search](#)

[Sponsor Content](#)

[Post a job](#)

ADVANCELOCAL

Use of and/or registration on any portion of this site constitutes acceptance of our [User Agreement](#) (updated 4/4/2023), [Privacy Policy and Cookie Statement](#), and [Your Privacy Choices and Rights](#) (updated 7/1/2023).

Cookies Settings



© 2023 Advance Local Media LLC. All rights reserved ([About Us](#)).

The material on this site may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, cached or otherwise used, except with the prior written permission of Advance Local.

[Community Rules](#) apply to all content you upload or otherwise submit to this site.

YouTube's privacy policy is available [here](#) and YouTube's terms of service is available [here](#).

[Ad Choices](#)

RUN DATE:11/10/20 05:34 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 175)	175	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	503,389	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	326,374	
BALLOTS CAST - BLANK	35	.01
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		64.84
VOTER TURNOUT - BLANK		.01

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(VOTE FOR) 1		
ALABAMA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (DEM)	133,369	56.96
ALABAMA REPUBLICAN PARTY (REP)	100,760	43.04

PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

(VOTE FOR) 1		
JOSEPH R. BIDEN (DEM)	181,688	55.76
DONALD J. TRUMP (REP)	138,843	42.61
JO JORGENSEN (IND)	3,578	1.10
WRITE-IN	1,739	.53

UNITED STATES SENATOR

(VOTE FOR) 1		
DOUG JONES (DEM)	190,644	58.57
TOMMY TUBERVILLE (REP)	134,314	41.26
WRITE-IN	552	.17

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 6TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR) 1		
GARY PALMER (REP)	135,207	96.19
WRITE-IN	5,361	3.81

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 7TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR) 1		
TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM)	110,212	99.00
WRITE-IN	1,110	1.00

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, PLACE NO. 1

(VOTE FOR) 1		
GREG SHAW (REP)	165,808	95.00
WRITE-IN	8,734	5.00

ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, PLACE NO. 2

(VOTE FOR) 1		
BRAD MENDHEIM (REP)	164,979	95.12
WRITE-IN	8,467	4.88

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PLACE NO. 1

(VOTE FOR) 1		
WILLIAM C. "BILL" THOMPSON (REP)	164,995	95.20
WRITE-IN	8,324	4.80

VOTES PERCENT

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PLACE NO. 2

(VOTE FOR) 1		
MATT FRIDY (REP)	164,393	95.26
WRITE-IN	8,188	4.74

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLACE NO. 1

(VOTE FOR) 1		
MARY WINDOM (REP)	164,193	95.38
WRITE-IN	7,953	4.62

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLACE NO. 2

(VOTE FOR) 1		
BETH KELLUM (REP)	163,704	95.45
WRITE-IN	7,801	4.55

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PRESIDENT

(VOTE FOR) 1		
LAURA CASEY (DEM)	180,954	56.65
TWINKLE ANDRESS CAVANAUGH (REP)	138,091	43.23
WRITE-IN	386	.12

MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, DISTRICT NO. 3

(VOTE FOR) 1		
JARRALYNNE AGEE (DEM)	23,995	37.98
STEPHANIE BELL (REP)	39,139	61.95
WRITE-IN	40	.06

MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, DISTRICT NO. 7

(VOTE FOR) 1		
BELINDA PALMER McRAE (REP)	72,793	98.09
WRITE-IN	1,416	1.91

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE NO

(VOTE FOR) 1		
SHANTA OWENS (DEM)	196,158	97.34
WRITE-IN	5,362	2.66

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE NO

(VOTE FOR) 1		
KECHIA DAVIS (DEM)	195,291	97.46
WRITE-IN	5,085	2.54

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, PLACE NO

(VOTE FOR) 1		
PATRICK JAMES BALLARD (DEM)	195,110	97.55
WRITE-IN	4,895	2.45

Exhibit
Slay 11

RUN DATE:11/10/20 05:34 PM

VOTES PERCENT

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 1

(VOTE FOR) 1

MARTHA R. COOK (DEM)	195,616	97.61
WRITE-IN	4,796	2.39

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 2

(VOTE FOR) 1

MARIA FORTUNE (DEM)	194,701	97.64
WRITE-IN	4,699	2.36

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, PLACE NO. 7

(VOTE FOR) 1

RUBY YVETTE DAVIS (DEM)	175,340	55.10
BENTLEY PATRICK (REP)	142,650	44.83
WRITE-IN	228	.07

TREASURER, JEFFERSON COUNTY

(VOTE FOR) 1

EYRIKA PARKER (DEM)	194,434	97.66
WRITE-IN	4,654	2.34

DEPUTY TREASURER, JEFFERSON COUNTY, BESSEMER DIVISI

BESS. DIV.

(VOTE FOR) 1

SHERRY McCLAIN (DEM)	43,878	55.12
JOHN STEPHENS (REP)	35,681	44.82
WRITE-IN	49	.06

TAX ASSESSOR, JEFFERSON COUNTY

(VOTE FOR) 1

GAYNELL HENDRICKS (DEM)	177,309	55.71
JONATHAN O. BARBEE (REP)	140,689	44.21
WRITE-IN	255	.08

ASSISTANT TAX ASSESSOR, BESSEMER DIVISION OF JEFFERS

BESS. DIV.

(VOTE FOR) 1

CHARLES R. WINSTON (DEM)	44,153	55.52
BRANDON HERREN (REP)	35,314	44.41
WRITE-IN	53	.07

TAX COLLECTOR, JEFFERSON COUNTY

(VOTE FOR) 1

J. T. SMALLWOOD (DEM)	197,855	97.82
WRITE-IN	4,412	2.18

VOTES PERCENT

ASSISTANT TAX COLLECTOR, BESSEMER DIVISION OF JEFFER

BESS. DIV.

(VOTE FOR) 1

ERIC S. BURKS (DEM)	44,063	55.47
DELOR BAUMANN (REP)	35,299	44.43
WRITE-IN	78	.10

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, PLACE

COUNTY

(VOTE FOR) 1

RONNIE DIXON (REP)	70,851	96.61
WRITE-IN	2,483	3.39

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 15

(VOTE FOR) 1

ROD HONEYCUTT (REP)	16,667	97.29
WRITE-IN	465	2.71

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 16

(VOTE FOR) 1

JOE WILLIAMS (REP)	5,048	99.21
WRITE-IN	40	.79

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 44

(VOTE FOR) 1

STEPHEN A. MOSELEY (REP)	17,953	97.50
WRITE-IN	460	2.50

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 45

(VOTE FOR) 1

ANDREA R. CARTER (DEM)	5,348	45.38
TIM ANDERS (REP)	6,423	54.50
WRITE-IN	14	.12

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 46

(VOTE FOR) 1

LARRY ALLRED (REP)	18,305	97.73
WRITE-IN	426	2.27

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 47

(VOTE FOR) 1

GILBERT F. DOUGLAS, III (REP)	13,481	95.66
WRITE-IN	612	4.34

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 48

(VOTE FOR) 1

JONATHAN O. BARBEE (REP)	18,249	98.71
WRITE-IN	238	1.29

NOVEMBER 3, 2020
OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/10/20 05:34 PM

VOTES PERCENT

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 51
(VOTE FOR) 1
RONNIE DIXON (REP) 20,740 98.85
WRITE-IN. 241 1.15

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 52
(VOTE FOR) 1
VALENCIA LAVENDER MOORE (DEM). 15,714 99.20
WRITE-IN. 127 .80

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 54
(VOTE FOR) 1
ROBERT L. COLVERT III (DEM) 16,016 99.06
WRITE-IN. 152 .94

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 55
(VOTE FOR) 1
MITCHELL HAGOOD (DEM) 15,389 99.61
WRITE-IN. 61 .39

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 56
(VOTE FOR) 1
CHARLES WINSTON III (DEM) 17,482 98.66
WRITE-IN. 238 1.34

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 57
(VOTE FOR) 1
ALBERT DUFF (DEM). 15,724 98.78
WRITE-IN. 194 1.22

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 58
(VOTE FOR) 1
TARA G. NIX (DEM). 15,415 99.26
WRITE-IN. 115 .74

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 59
(VOTE FOR) 1
FREDERICK A. BURKES (DEM) 14,605 99.31
WRITE-IN. 102 .69

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 60
(VOTE FOR) 1
WANDA FAYE BRYANT (DEM). 17,139 99.06
WRITE-IN. 162 .94

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 176,397 63.88
No. 99,755 36.12

VOTES PERCENT

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 114,065 43.02
No. 151,078 56.98

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER THREE
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 146,001 54.11
No. 123,820 45.89

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER FOUR
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 196,446 72.00
No. 76,378 28.00

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER FIVE
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 146,974 58.31
No. 105,076 41.69

PROPOSED STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER SIX
(VOTE FOR) 1
Yes 140,554 56.82
No. 106,820 43.18

RUN DATE:11/16/18 12:51 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 177)	177	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	473,578	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	258,920	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		54.67

STRAIGHT PARTY

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
Democrat (DEM)	109,291	58.07
Republican (REP)	78,903	41.93

GOVERNOR

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
WALT MADDOX (DEM)	152,103	58.94
KAY IVEY (REP)	105,661	40.94
WRITE-IN	304	.12

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
WILL BOYD (DEM)	144,424	56.33
WILL AINSWORTH (REP)	111,805	43.61
WRITE-IN	141	.05

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 6TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DANNER KLINE (DEM)	55,322	36.43
GARY PALMER (REP)	96,484	63.53
WRITE-IN	73	.05

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 7TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM)	91,062	98.67
WRITE-IN	1,225	1.33

ATTORNEY GENERAL

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JOSEPH SIEGELMAN (DEM)	149,983	58.37
STEVE MARSHALL (REP)	106,787	41.56
WRITE-IN	173	.07

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 05 DISTRICT NO. 05

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
GREG J. REED (REP)	5,999	99.26
WRITE-IN	45	.74

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 15 DISTRICT NO. 15

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DAN ROBERTS (REP)	20,329	96.84
WRITE-IN	664	3.16

VOTES PERCENT

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 16 DISTRICT NO. 16

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
LINDSEY DECKARD (DEM)	17,182	39.85
J.T. "JABO" WAGGONER (REP)	25,912	60.10
WRITE-IN	20	.05

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 17 DISTRICT NO. 17

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
SHAY SHELNUTT (REP)	27,561	97.65
WRITE-IN	663	2.35

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 18 DISTRICT NO. 18

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
RODGER M. SMITHERMAN (DEM)	39,819	98.35
WRITE-IN	668	1.65

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 19 DISTRICT NO. 19

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
PRISCILLA DUNN (DEM)	38,668	98.18
WRITE-IN	715	1.82

STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 20 DISTRICT NO. 20

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
LINDA COLEMAN-MADISON (DEM)	36,478	98.34
WRITE-IN	614	1.66

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 14 DISTRICT NO. 14

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TIM WADSWORTH (REP)	1,820	99.13
WRITE-IN	16	.87

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 15 DISTRICT NO. 15

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
SUZANNA COLEMAN (DEM)	6,123	33.70
ALLEN FARLEY (REP)	12,036	66.24
WRITE-IN	11	.06

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 16 DISTRICT NO. 16

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
KYLE SOUTH (REP)	3,690	98.74
WRITE-IN	47	1.26

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 44 DISTRICT NO. 44

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DANNY GARRETT (REP)	14,597	96.24
WRITE-IN	570	3.76

Exhibit
Slay 12

RUN DATE:11/16/18 12:51 PM

VOTES PERCENT

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 45 DISTRICT NO. 45
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
JENN GRAY (DEM) 4,283 46.54
DICKIE DRAKE (REP) 4,917 53.43
WRITE-IN. 2 .02

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 46 DISTRICT NO. 46
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
FELICIA STEWART (DEM) 8,445 38.76
DAVID FAULKNER (REP). 13,341 61.23
WRITE-IN. 4 .02

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 47 DISTRICT NO. 47
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
JIM TOOMEY (DEM) 7,721 45.69
DAVID WHEELER (REP) 9,170 54.26
WRITE-IN. 8 .05

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 48 DISTRICT NO. 48
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
ALLI SUMMERFORD (DEM) 6,598 34.23
JIM CARNS (REP) 12,666 65.71
WRITE-IN. 11 .06

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 51 DISTRICT NO. 51
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
VERONICA R. JOHNSON (DEM) 3,174 17.09
ALLEN TREADAWAY (REP) 15,390 82.88
WRITE-IN. 6 .03

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 52 DISTRICT NO. 52
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
JOHN W. ROGERS, JR. (DEM) 13,284 98.74
WRITE-IN. 169 1.26

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 54 DISTRICT NO. 54
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
NEIL RAFFERTY (DEM) 13,287 89.92
JOSEPH CASPER BAKER III (IND). 1,436 9.72
WRITE-IN. 53 .36

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 55 DISTRICT NO. 55
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
RODERICK "ROD" HAMPTON SCOTT (DEM) 13,109 99.39
WRITE-IN. 80 .61

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 56 DISTRICT NO. 56
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
LOUISE "LULU" ALEXANDER (DEM). 13,769 98.10
WRITE-IN. 266 1.90

VOTES PERCENT

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 57 DISTRICT NO. 57
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
MERIKA COLEMAN (DEM). 12,831 98.08
WRITE-IN. 251 1.92

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 58 DISTRICT NO. 58
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
ROLANDA HOLLIS (DEM). 12,498 98.74
WRITE-IN. 159 1.26

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 59 DISTRICT NO. 59
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
MARY MOORE (DEM) 12,036 99.05
WRITE-IN. 116 .95

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 60 DISTRICT NO. 60
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
JUANDALYNN GIVAN (DEM) 14,432 98.46
WRITE-IN. 225 1.54

SUPREME COURT CHIEF JUSTICE
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
BOB VANCE, JR. (DEM). 158,803 61.95
TOM PARKER (REP) 97,395 37.99
WRITE-IN. 161 .06

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 1
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
SARAH HICKS STEWART (REP) 131,330 92.72
WRITE-IN. 10,317 7.28

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 2
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
TOMMY BRYAN (REP). 128,231 92.67
WRITE-IN. 10,141 7.33

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 3
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
WILL SELLERS (REP) 127,473 92.90
WRITE-IN. 9,744 7.10

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 4
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
DONNA WESSON SMALLEY (DEM). 143,559 56.68
JAY MITCHELL (REP) 109,520 43.24
WRITE-IN. 221 .09

RUN DATE:11/16/18 12:51 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CHRISTY OLINGER EDWARDS (REP).	127,507	92.99
WRITE-IN.	9,617	7.01
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CHAD HANSON (REP).	126,600	92.95
WRITE-IN.	9,603	7.05
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TERRY A. MOORE (REP).	126,855	92.99
WRITE-IN.	9,568	7.01
CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
RICHARD MINOR (REP).	126,512	93.07
WRITE-IN.	9,417	6.93
CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CHRIS MCCOOL (REP).	126,606	93.11
WRITE-IN.	9,365	6.89
CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
BILL COLE (REP).	127,525	93.18
WRITE-IN.	9,331	6.82
SECRETARY OF STATE		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
HEATHER MILAM (DEM).	144,226	56.58
JOHN H. MERRILL (REP).	110,506	43.35
WRITE-IN.	192	.08
STATE TREASURER		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JOHN McMILLAN (REP).	127,835	93.16
WRITE-IN.	9,393	6.84
STATE AUDITOR		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
MIRANDA KARRINE JOSEPH (DEM).	143,949	56.81
JIM ZEIGLER (REP).	109,160	43.08
WRITE-IN.	273	.11

	VOTES	PERCENT
COMM OF AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRIES		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
RICK PATE (REP).	126,773	93.40
WRITE-IN.	8,953	6.60
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CARA Y. McCLURE (DEM).	144,286	57.11
JEREMY H. ODEN (REP).	108,248	42.84
WRITE-IN.	120	.05
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
KARI POWELL (DEM).	145,232	57.43
CHRIS "CHIP" BEEKER JR. (REP).	107,552	42.53
WRITE-IN.	104	.04
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER, DISTRICT NO. 4		
DISTRICT NO. 4		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YVETTE M. RICHARDSON (DEM).	101,486	78.89
DON WALLACE (REP).	27,105	21.07
WRITE-IN.	58	.05
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JOSEPH L. BOOHAKER (DEM).	158,198	97.06
WRITE-IN.	4,799	2.94
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 5		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DAVID CARPENTER (DEM).	156,196	97.15
WRITE-IN.	4,576	2.85
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 6		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DONALD E. "DON" BLANKENSHIP (DEM).	156,362	97.19
WRITE-IN.	4,518	2.81
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 7		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TRACIE A. TODD (DEM).	155,551	97.13
WRITE-IN.	4,595	2.87
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 8		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
MARSHALL JACKSON HATCHER (DEM).	141,202	56.13
TRACEY CRISAN McDONALD (REP).	110,190	43.81
WRITE-IN.	153	.06

RUN DATE:11/16/18 12:51 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 9		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JIM HUGHEY III (DEM)	157,354	97.26
WRITE-IN.	4,428	2.74
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 13		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CAROLE C. SMITHERMAN (DEM)	155,923	96.83
WRITE-IN.	5,101	3.17
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 15		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
PATRICIA ANN STEPHENS (DEM)	155,599	97.35
WRITE-IN.	4,240	2.65
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 16		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
LINDA HALL (DEM)	134,721	53.24
TERESA T. PULLIAM (REP)	117,848	46.58
WRITE-IN.	454	.18
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 18		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JANINE HUNT-HILLIARD (DEM)	154,489	97.38
WRITE-IN.	4,163	2.62
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 27		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
ALARIC MAY (DEM)	140,719	55.84
LESLIE SCHIFFMAN MOORE (REP)	111,166	44.12
WRITE-IN.	103	.04
DISTRICT ATTORNEY		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DANNY CARR (DEM)	143,815	56.80
MIKE ANDERTON (REP)	109,309	43.17
WRITE-IN.	89	.04
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
PAMELA WILSON COUSINS (DEM)	141,496	56.23
DAVIS LAWLEY (REP)	110,050	43.73
WRITE-IN.	111	.04
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 6		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
KATRINA ROSS (DEM)	154,976	97.42
WRITE-IN.	4,098	2.58

	VOTES	PERCENT
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 8		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
ALAN SUMMERS (DEM)	155,127	97.47
WRITE-IN.	4,031	2.53
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 9		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DEBRA WESTON-PICKENS (DEM)	154,134	97.41
WRITE-IN.	4,105	2.59
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 11		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
THOMAS E. THRASH (DEM)	138,915	55.16
JILL GANUS (REP)	112,803	44.79
WRITE-IN.	113	.04
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 12		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
LORRAINE PRINGLE (DEM)	153,904	97.36
WRITE-IN.	4,172	2.64
CIRCUIT CLERK, JEFFERSON COUNTY		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JACKIE ANDERSON-SMITH (DEM)	142,713	56.79
PHILLIP BROWN (REP)	108,488	43.17
WRITE-IN.	120	.05
DEPUTY CIRCUIT CLERK, BESSEMER DIV BESS. DIV.		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
KAREN DUNN BURKS (DEM)	37,504	97.07
WRITE-IN.	1,134	2.93
JUDGE OF PROBATE PLACE 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
ALAN KING (DEM)	156,842	97.51
WRITE-IN.	4,009	2.49
JUDGE OF PROBATE PLACE 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
SHERRI COLEMAN FRIDAY (DEM)	155,568	97.51
WRITE-IN.	3,976	2.49
JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
MARK L. PETTWAY (DEM)	131,369	51.43
MIKE HALE (REP)	123,917	48.51
WRITE-IN.	139	.05

RUN DATE:11/16/18 12:51 PM

VOTES PERCENT

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER DISTRICT NO. 1
DISTRICT NO. 1
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
LASHUNDA SCALES (DEM) 40,513 98.70
WRITE-IN. 534 1.30

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER DISTRICT NO. 2
DISTRICT NO. 2
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
SHEILA TYSON (DEM) 40,344 99.05
WRITE-IN. 386 .95

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER DISTRICT NO. 3
DISTRICT NO. 3
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
JAMES A. (JIMMIE) STEPHENS (REP). . . 36,800 95.80
WRITE-IN. 1,614 4.20

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER DISTRICT NO. 4
DISTRICT NO. 4
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
J. T. SMALLWOOD (DEM) 18,797 37.72
JOE KNIGHT (REP) 31,020 62.25
WRITE-IN. 15 .03

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER DISTRICT NO. 5
DISTRICT NO. 5
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
STEVE AMMONS (REP) 38,704 96.37
WRITE-IN. 1,456 3.63

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED PL 2
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
MARTHA V. BOUYER (DEM) 38,147 43.45
EDDIE BROWN (REP). 49,578 56.48
WRITE-IN. 62 .07

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED PL 3
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
OSCAR S. MANN (REP) 54,898 94.83
WRITE-IN. 2,994 5.17

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 1
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 1 . . . 119,887 56.62
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 1 . . . 91,865 43.38

VOTES PERCENT

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 2
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 2 . . . 102,006 43.13
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 2 . . . 134,489 56.87

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 3
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 3 . . . 103,984 48.31
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 3 . . . 111,264 51.69

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 4
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 4 . . . 127,222 56.68
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 4 . . . 97,243 43.32

JEFFERSON COUNTY AMENDMENT 1 JEFFCO
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - LOCAL AMENDMENT NO. 1 78,657 48.31
NO - LOCAL AMENDMENT NO. 1. 84,167 51.69

JEFFERSON COUNTY (HOMEWOOD) ADENDMENT 2
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1
YES - LOCAL AMENDMENT NO. 2 6,111 65.87
NO - LOCAL AMENDMENT NO. 2. 3,166 34.13

SUMMARY REPORT

SPECIAL GENERAL ELECTION
 DECEMBER 12, 2017
 OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:12/22/17 12:17 PM

VOTES PERCENT

PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 173).	173	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	460,771	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL.	219,986	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		47.74

STRAIGHT PARTY

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Democrat (DEM).	72,402	70.65
Republican (REP)	30,071	29.35

UNITED STATES SENATOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DOUG JONES (DEM)	149,759	68.13
ROY S. MOORE (REP)	66,350	30.18
WRITE-IN.	3,716	1.69

Exhibit
 Slay 13

RUN DATE:11/18/16 01:46 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 174)	174	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	0	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL.	305,851	
STRAIGHT PARTY		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
Democrat (DEM).	115,903	58.58
Republican (REP)	81,962	41.42
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON (DEM)	156,873	51.57
DONALD J. TRUMP (REP)	134,768	44.30
GARY JOHNSON (IND)	6,645	2.18
JILL STEIN (IND)	1,554	.51
WRITE-IN.	4,351	1.43
UNITED STATES SENATOR		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
RON CRUMPTON (DEM)	156,574	51.99
RICHARD C. SHELBY (REP).	144,136	47.86
WRITE-IN.	453	.15
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 6TH DIST		
6TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
DAVID J. PUTMAN (DEM)	52,190	29.82
GARY PALMER (REP).	122,666	70.10
WRITE-IN.	136	.08
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 7TH DIST		
7TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM)	108,654	99.03
WRITE-IN.	1,065	.97
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
MICHAEL F. "MIKE" BOLIN (REP). . . .	168,086	96.08
WRITE-IN.	6,854	3.92
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
KELLI WISE (REP)	165,648	96.08
WRITE-IN.	6,762	3.92
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TOM PARKER (REP)	163,240	95.85
WRITE-IN.	7,060	4.15

	VOTES	PERCENT
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PRESIDENT		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
TWINKLE ANDRESS CAVANAUGH (REP) . . .	161,897	95.24
WRITE-IN.	8,095	4.76
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DIST 3 DISTRICT 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JARRALYNNE AGEE (DEM)	16,343	29.90
STEPHANIE BELL (REP).	38,264	70.01
WRITE-IN.	47	.09
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DIST 7 DISTRICT 7		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JEFF NEWMAN (REP).	69,492	98.51
WRITE-IN.	1,051	1.49
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
LAURA PETRO (DEM).	185,766	97.85
WRITE-IN.	4,084	2.15
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 4		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
JAVAN JOIELLE PATTON (DEM).	152,142	51.88
BOBBY LOTT JR. (REP).	140,862	48.03
WRITE-IN.	249	.08
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 11		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
BRENDETTE BROWN GREEN (DEM)	147,949	50.26
PAT THETFORD (REP)	146,214	49.67
WRITE-IN.	198	.07
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 12		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
ANNETTA H. VERIN (DEM)	182,897	97.87
WRITE-IN.	3,974	2.13
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 14		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
CLYDE JONES (DEM).	157,585	53.80
MICHAEL JONATHAN GLOVER JR. (REP) . .	135,048	46.11
WRITE-IN.	254	.09
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 17		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
ELISABETH FRENCH (DEM)	168,139	56.92
JAMES C. WHITFIELD (REP)	126,736	42.90
WRITE-IN.	546	.18

RUN DATE:11/18/16 01:46 PM

VOTES PERCENT

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 19

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

DAVID J. HOBDY (DEM)	181,581	98.04
WRITE-IN	3,638	1.96

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 20

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

NAKITA "NIKI" PERRYMAN BLOCTON (DEM)	149,588	50.90
JULIE A. PALMER (REP)	144,109	49.04
WRITE-IN	190	.06

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 21

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

BOB VANCE, JR. (DEM)	184,505	98.16
WRITE-IN	3,466	1.84

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 22

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

TAMARA HARRIS JOHNSON (DEM)	150,044	51.12
BENTLEY PATRICK (REP)	143,264	48.81
WRITE-IN	179	.06

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 23

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

AGNES CHAPPELL (DEM)	159,357	54.53
DOROTHEA BATISTE (REP)	132,600	45.38
WRITE-IN	270	.09

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 24

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

STEPHEN WALLACE (DEM)	181,891	98.15
WRITE-IN	3,432	1.85

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 25

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

REGINALD L. JETER (DEM)	153,858	52.70
JOHN TINDLE (REP)	137,940	47.25
WRITE-IN	166	.06

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 26

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

MICHAEL STREETY (DEM)	154,909	52.83
GLORIA BAHAKEL (REP)	138,141	47.11
WRITE-IN	195	.07

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

CHARLES TODD HENDERSON (DEM)	148,906	50.85
BRANDON K. FALLS (REP)	143,748	49.09
WRITE-IN	197	.07

VOTES PERCENT

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 10TH JUDICIAL CIR, BESS BESS. DIV.

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

LYNNEICE OLIVE-WASHINGTON (DEM)	36,775	50.17
BILL VEITCH (REP)	36,491	49.78
WRITE-IN	39	.05

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL, PL4 PLACE 4

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

WILLIAM A. "TONY" BELL, JR. (DEM)	152,716	52.57
RIGGS WALKER (REP)	137,513	47.34
WRITE-IN	270	.09

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL, PL5 PLACE 5

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

SHERA CRAIG GRANT (DEM)	156,141	53.93
LEE CLEVELAND (REP)	133,216	46.01
WRITE-IN	188	.06

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL, PL7 PLACE 7

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

ROBERT P. BYNON, JR. (DEM)	157,697	54.22
VIRGINIA P. MEIGS (REP)	132,945	45.71
WRITE-IN	194	.07

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL, PL10 PLACE 10

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

DEBRA BENNETT WINSTON (DEM)	150,763	52.01
TERESA HESTER (REP)	138,929	47.92
WRITE-IN	202	.07

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL, PL11 PLACE 11

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

ERIC M. FANCHER, SR. (DEM)	157,527	54.20
CYNTHIA VINES BUTLER (REP)	132,923	45.73
WRITE-IN	190	.07

TREASURER, JEFFERSON COUNTY

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

MIKE MILES (DEM)	180,969	98.07
WRITE-IN	3,557	1.93

JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPUTY TREASURER, BESS BESS. DIV.

(VOTE FOR ONE) 1

SHERRY MCCLAIN (DEM)	38,210	52.81
BRANDON HERREN (REP)	34,100	47.13
WRITE-IN	49	.07

GENERAL ELECTION 2016
 NOVEMBER 8, 2016
 OFFICIAL RESULTS

RUN DATE:11/18/16 01:46 PM

VOTES PERCENT

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED PL1 PLACE 1
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 DONNA PIKE (REP) 70,222 97.59
 WRITE-IN. 1,731 2.41

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED PL5 PLACE 5
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 JACQUELINE A. SMITH (DEM) 130,685 98.68
 WRITE-IN. 1,754 1.32

CONSTABLE DIST 15 DISTRICT 15
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 DAVID CLARK (DEM) 8,030 95.48
 WRITE-IN. 380 4.52

CONSTABLE DIST 16 DISTRICT 16
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 JOE WILLIAMS (REP) 4,955 99.62
 WRITE-IN. 19 .38

CONSTABLE DIST 44 DISTRICT 44
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 RHODA BROWN-KIRT (DEM) 4,989 22.31
 STEPHEN MOSELEY (REP) 17,368 77.65
 WRITE-IN. 9 .04

CONSTABLE DIST 45 DISTRICT 45
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 JIM ATKINSON (REP) 6,835 97.52
 WRITE-IN. 174 2.48

CONSTABLE DIST 46 DISTRICT 46
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 LARRY ALLRED (REP) 17,569 98.76
 WRITE-IN. 221 1.24

CONSTABLE DIST 47 DISTRICT 47
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 GILBERT F. DOUGLAS III (REP) 13,166 97.40
 WRITE-IN. 351 2.60

CONSTABLE DIST 48 DISTRICT 48
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 JONATHAN O. BARBEE (REP) 16,181 98.81
 WRITE-IN. 195 1.19

VOTES PERCENT

CONSTABLE DIST 51 DISTRICT 51
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 RONNIE DIXON (REP) 19,140 99.01
 WRITE-IN. 191 .99

CONSTABLE DIST 52 DISTRICT 52
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 VALENCIA LAVENDER MOORE (DEM) 14,646 99.25
 WRITE-IN. 110 .75

CONSTABLE DIST 54 DISTRICT 54
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 RODERICK DIXIE (DEM) 13,301 99.06
 WRITE-IN. 126 .94

CONSTABLE DIST 55 DISTRICT 55
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 ROOSEVELT JOHNSON (DEM) 15,289 99.44
 WRITE-IN. 86 .56

CONSTABLE DIST 56 DISTRICT 56
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 CHARLES R. WINSTON, III (DEM) 16,397 98.81
 WRITE-IN. 198 1.19

CONSTABLE DIST 57 DISTRICT 57
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 WALTER "BIG WALT" WILSON (DEM) 15,914 77.01
 ALAN BAGGETT (REP) 4,731 22.89
 WRITE-IN. 21 .10

CONSTABLE DIST 58 DISTRICT 58
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 TARA NIX (DEM) 14,428 82.58
 RANDY MYERS (REP) 3,028 17.33
 WRITE-IN. 15 .09

CONSTABLE DIST 59 DISTRICT 59
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 JAMES R. FRANKLIN (DEM) 14,661 99.56
 WRITE-IN. 65 .44

CONSTABLE DIST 60 DISTRICT 60
 (VOTE FOR ONE) 1
 WANDA FAYE BRYANT (DEM) 16,594 99.18
 WRITE-IN. 137 .82

RUN DATE:11/18/16 01:46 PM

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 1		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 1 . . .	186,750	74.65
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 1 . . .	63,401	25.35

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 2		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 2 . . .	212,054	79.51
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 2 . . .	54,661	20.49

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 3		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 3 . . .	142,786	59.71
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 3 . . .	96,362	40.29

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 4		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 4 . . .	176,601	73.32
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 4 . . .	64,249	26.68

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 5		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 5 . . .	140,702	59.32
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 5 . . .	96,505	40.68

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 6		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 6 . . .	120,485	52.25
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 6 . . .	110,096	47.75

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 7		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 7 . . .	112,843	57.86
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 7 . . .	82,186	42.14

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 8		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 8 . . .	162,919	65.38
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 8 . . .	86,287	34.62

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 9		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 9 . . .	97,528	49.51
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 9 . . .	99,444	50.49

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 10		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 10 . . .	131,702	68.55
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 10 . . .	60,435	31.45

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 11		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 11 . . .	142,336	61.90
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 11 . . .	87,598	38.10

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 12		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 12 . . .	111,266	56.07
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 12 . . .	87,184	43.93

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 13		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 13 . . .	141,075	58.85
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 13 . . .	98,660	41.15

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NUMBER 14		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 14 . . .	178,408	71.25
NO - STATEWIDE AMENDMENT NO. 14 . . .	71,999	28.75

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY AMENDMENT		
(VOTE FOR ONE) 1		
YES - JEFFERSON COUNTY AMENDMENT . . .	139,938	57.61
NO - JEFFERSON COUNTY AMENDMENT . . .	102,964	42.39

RUN DATE:11/14/14 08:55 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 363)	363	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	411,086	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	167,371	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		40.71

STRAIGHT PARTY

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
Democrat (DEM)	61,678	54.32
Republican (REP)	51,498	45.36
Libertarian (LIB)	368	.32

GOVERNOR

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
PARKER GRIFFITH (DEM)	82,865	49.84
ROBERT BENTLEY (REP)	83,147	50.01
WRITE-IN	259	.16

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JAMES C. FIELDS, JR. (DEM)	82,695	49.98
KAY IVEY (REP)	82,612	49.93
WRITE-IN	150	.09

UNITED STATES SENATOR

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JEFF SESSIONS (REP)	91,243	94.87
WRITE-IN	4,938	5.13

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, D6

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MARK LESTER (DEM)	26,116	27.52
GARY PALMER (REP)	68,671	72.35
WRITE-IN	124	.13

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, D7

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 7		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM)	60,608	98.92
WRITE-IN	663	1.08

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JOE HUBBARD (DEM)	85,908	51.87
LUTHER STRANGE (REP)	79,437	47.97
WRITE-IN	269	.16

STATE SENATOR, D5 DISTRICT 5

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GREG REED (REP)	4,380	99.48
WRITE-IN	23	.52

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE SENATOR, D14 DISTRICT 14		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CAM WARD (REP)	2,236	98.33
WRITE-IN	38	1.67

STATE SENATOR, D15 DISTRICT 15

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SLADE BLACKWELL (REP)	13,175	98.45
WRITE-IN	207	1.55

STATE SENATOR, D16 DISTRICT 16

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CINDY BELL (DEM)	5,897	24.53
J.T. "JABO" WAGGONER (REP)	18,114	75.36
WRITE-IN	27	.11

STATE SENATOR, D17 DISTRICT 17

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SHAY SHELNUTT (REP)	18,941	98.86
WRITE-IN	218	1.14

STATE SENATOR, D18 DISTRICT 18

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RODGER M. SMITHERMAN (DEM)	23,195	98.22
WRITE-IN	421	1.78

STATE SENATOR, D19 DISTRICT 19

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
PRISCILLA DUNN (DEM)	27,143	98.73
WRITE-IN	349	1.27

STATE SENATOR, D20 DISTRICT 20

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LINDA COLEMAN (DEM)	23,368	98.52
WRITE-IN	350	1.48

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D14 DISTRICT 14

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TIM WADSWORTH (REP)	1,217	99.51
WRITE-IN	6	.49

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D15 DISTRICT 15

	VOTES	PERCENT
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ALLEN FARLEY (REP)	8,885	98.29
WRITE-IN	155	1.71

Exhibit
Slay 15

RUN DATE:11/14/14 08:55 AM

VOTES PERCENT

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D16 DISTRICT 16

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DANIEL H. BOMAN (DEM)	271	9.19
KYLE SOUTH (REP)	2,676	90.74
WRITE-IN.	2	.07

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D43 DISTRICT 43

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ARNOLD MOONEY (REP)	84	98.82
WRITE-IN.	1	1.18

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D44 DISTRICT 44

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DANNY GARRETT (REP)	10,360	98.53
WRITE-IN.	155	1.47

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D45 DISTRICT 45

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DICKIE DRAKE (REP)	3,786	96.70
WRITE-IN.	129	3.30

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D46 DISTRICT 46

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DAVID FAULKNER (REP)	10,778	98.23
WRITE-IN.	194	1.77

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D47 DISTRICT 47

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SALVATORE BAMBINELLI (DEM)	2,642	27.15
JACK WILLIAMS (REP)	7,073	72.69
WRITE-IN.	15	.15

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D48 DISTRICT 48

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JIM CARNS (REP)	9,919	98.36
WRITE-IN.	165	1.64

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D51 DISTRICT 51

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ALLEN TREADAWAY (REP)	10,746	98.96
WRITE-IN.	113	1.04

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D52 DISTRICT 52

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JOHN W. ROGERS, JR. (DEM)	7,305	76.60
PHILLIP BAHAKEL (REP)	2,207	23.14
WRITE-IN.	24	.25

VOTES PERCENT

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D54 DISTRICT 54

VOTE FOR ONE 1

PATRICIA A. TODD (DEM)	7,601	98.13
WRITE-IN.	145	1.87

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D55 DISTRICT 55

VOTE FOR ONE 1

RODERICK "ROD" SCOTT (DEM)	8,453	99.28
WRITE-IN.	61	.72

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D56 DISTRICT 56

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LOUISE ALEXANDER (DEM)	7,960	66.02
DARIUS FOSTER (REP)	3,680	30.52
RICKEY LEVINS (IND)	406	3.37
WRITE-IN.	11	.09

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D57 DISTRICT 57

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MERIKA COLEMAN (DEM)	10,184	98.93
WRITE-IN.	110	1.07

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D58 DISTRICT 58

VOTE FOR ONE 1

OLIVER ROBINSON (DEM)	8,287	99.04
WRITE-IN.	80	.96

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D59 DISTRICT 59

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MARY MOORE (DEM)	7,949	99.30
WRITE-IN.	56	.70

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, D60 DISTRICT 60

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JUANDALYNN "LELE" GIVAN (DEM)	9,584	98.94
WRITE-IN.	103	1.06

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GREG SHAW (REP)	88,901	96.16
WRITE-IN.	3,546	3.84

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

WILLIAM C. "BILL" THOMPSON (REP)	88,226	96.34
WRITE-IN.	3,348	3.66

RUN DATE:11/14/14 08:55 AM

VOTES PERCENT

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SCOTT DONALDSON (REP)	87,940	96.37
WRITE-IN.	3,308	3.63

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MARY B. WINDOM (REP)	87,949	96.49
WRITE-IN.	3,198	3.51

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

BETH KELLUM (REP)	87,854	96.48
WRITE-IN.	3,202	3.52

SECRETARY OF STATE

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LULA ALBERT-KAIGLER (DEM)	80,024	49.09
JOHN MERRILL (REP)	82,841	50.82
WRITE-IN.	150	.09

STATE TREASURER

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YOUNG BOOZER (REP)	88,565	96.41
WRITE-IN.	3,296	3.59

STATE AUDITOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MIRANDA KARRINE JOSEPH (DEM)	82,003	50.27
JIM ZEIGLER (REP)	80,962	49.63
WRITE-IN.	157	.10

COMM OF AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRIES

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DOUG "NEW BLUE" SMITH (DEM)	79,492	48.91
JOHN MCMILLAN (REP)	82,898	51.01
WRITE-IN.	137	.08

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JEREMY H. ODEN (REP)	87,179	96.40
WRITE-IN.	3,255	3.60

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CHRIS "CHIP" BEEKER JR. (REP)	87,288	96.29
WRITE-IN.	3,366	3.71

VOTES PERCENT

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER, D4 DISTRICT 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YVETTE M. RICHARDSON (DEM)	68,089	98.85
WRITE-IN.	789	1.15

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MARTIN WEINBERG (DEM)	81,187	49.71
J. WILLIAM "BILL" COLE (REP)	82,036	50.23
WRITE-IN.	105	.06

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 10

VOTE FOR ONE 1

PAT BALLARD (DEM)	83,979	51.10
GLORIA BAHAKEL (REP)	80,251	48.83
WRITE-IN.	105	.06

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JOHN E. AMARI (DEM)	86,276	52.68
SUZANNE CHILDERS (REP)	77,385	47.25
WRITE-IN.	124	.08

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL PL 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SHANTA OWENS (DEM)	83,091	51.03
DAVIS LAWLEY (REP)	79,646	48.92
WRITE-IN.	84	.05

JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF

VOTE FOR ONE 1

WALLACE ANGER, JR. (DEM)	70,256	42.42
MIKE HALE (REP)	90,579	54.70
WILLIE HILL (LIB)	4,705	2.84
WRITE-IN.	61	.04

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER, D1 DISTRICT 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GEORGE BOWMAN (DEM)	27,327	99.76
WRITE-IN.	67	.24

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER, D2 DISTRICT 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SANDRA LITTLE BROWN (DEM)	26,540	93.51
CHARLES KENNEDY (LIB)	1,752	6.17
WRITE-IN.	89	.31

RUN DATE:11/14/14 08:55 AM

VOTES PERCENT

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER, D3 DISTRICT 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

VJ VIVIAN FORD (DEM)	10,008	27.46
JAMES A. "JIMMIE" STEPHENS (REP)	24,344	66.79
ERIC CALHOUN (LIB)	2,079	5.70
WRITE-IN	19	.05

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER, D4 DISTRICT 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JOE KNIGHT (REP)	22,743	87.67
RON BISHOP (LIB)	2,985	11.51
WRITE-IN	214	.82

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBER, D5 DISTRICT 5

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DAVID CARRINGTON (REP)	25,871	85.64
STEVEN T. TUCKER (LIB)	4,158	13.76
WRITE-IN	181	.60

JEFFERSON COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GAYNELL HENDRICKS (DEM)	81,711	49.80
JOEL BLANKENSHIP (REP)	76,616	46.69
NICOLE JORDAN (LIB)	5,681	3.46
WRITE-IN	76	.05

JEFFERSON COUNTY ASST. TAX ASSESSOR, BESS BESS. DIV.

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CHARLES R. WINSTON (DEM)	20,882	49.57
JENNIFER PARSONS CHAMPION (REP)	20,108	47.73
MARK BODENHAUSEN (LIB)	1,117	2.65
WRITE-IN	19	.05

JEFFERSON COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

J.T. SMALLWOOD (DEM)	87,192	53.18
STEVEN B. AMMONS (REP)	70,217	42.83
LAURA PATE (LIB)	6,451	3.93
WRITE-IN	86	.05

JEFFERSON COUNTY ASSISTANT TAX COLLECTOR, BESS BESS. DIV.

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GROVER DUNN (DEM)	23,905	82.83
LEIGH LACHINE (LIB)	4,754	16.47
WRITE-IN	201	.70

JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION, PL4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DEAN TAYLOR (REP)	39,121	97.49
WRITE-IN	1,006	2.51

VOTES PERCENT

AMENDMENT NUMBER 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Yes-Statewide Amendment No. 1	91,799	68.55
No-Statewide Amendment No. 1	42,119	31.45

AMENDMENT NUMBER 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Yes-Statewide Amendment No. 2	63,343	47.89
No-Statewide Amendment No. 2	68,931	52.11

AMENDMENT NUMBER 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Yes-Statewide Amendment No. 3	88,963	63.75
No-Statewide Amendment No. 3	50,597	36.25

AMENDMENT NUMBER 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Yes-Statewide Amendment No. 4	64,672	49.13
No-Statewide Amendment No. 4	66,958	50.87

AMENDMENT NUMBER 5

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Yes-Statewide Amendment No. 5	97,915	71.65
No-Statewide Amendment No. 5	38,740	28.35



[Elections](#) [Live updates](#) [Statewide results](#)

Advertisement

Elections

Alabama's midterm election turnout worst in at least 36 years

Updated: Nov. 09, 2022, 7:56 a.m. | Published: Nov. 09, 2022, 7:53 a.m.

Exhibit
Slay 16



Outside the entrance to the Daphne Civic Center during the general election on Tuesday, November 8, 2022. (John Sharp/jsharp@al.com).

By **John Sharp | jsharp@al.com**

A lack of competition from the Democratic Party and little-to-no TV campaign advertising in the weeks leading up to midterm election meant that Alabamians overwhelmingly stayed home on Tuesday.

The turnout was the lowest in Alabama during a statewide general election in at least 36 years, according to data compiled on the Secretary of State's website.

Statewide turnout was only 38.5%, or 1.4 million of the state's 3.68 million registered voters.

"I haven't had a serious general election client in 12 years," said Jon Gray, a Mobile-based GOP political consultant. "There is no general election."

The poor turnout continued a troublesome trend over the past two years of declining interest in non-presidential elections in Alabama.

- The May 24 primary saw only 23.4% show up to cast a vote despite some hotly contested Republican races. That figure represented the second lowest turnout during a midterm election since 2000.
- The June 21 primary runoff saw only 13% of registered voters cast a ballot. That represented the third lowest turnout rate Alabama has seen in the last 35-plus years of major runoff elections – those featuring big statewide races like U.S. Senate or governor.
- Only a quarter of voters showed up to participate during the 2021 city elections in Birmingham and Mobile. In both cities, the incumbent mayors easily won re-election. The turnout in Mobile was a sharp drop from previous mayoral elections, when 37% to 46% of registered voters would go to the polls.

"To politicians like me, we live for (elections) like a quarterback lives for the Super Bowl," said Angi Horn, a Montgomery-based political consultant. "But for most people, sadly, it's just another Tuesday. And that's the truth."

Fewer than 40% of voters showed up in some of the state's largest counties – Mobile (34.4%), Madison (39%), Montgomery (36.4%), and Tuscaloosa (35%). Jefferson (41%) and Shelby (41.8%) had slightly better showings.

'Poor performance'

The Democratic Party's candidates were largely underfunded, and unable to mount a serious challenge in a state where Republicans enjoy a supermajority status in the Legislature and control every constitutional office.

Alabama Secretary of State John Merrill predicted a turnout between 45% to 50%, which he admits was wildly off. He said he expected Democrats to show up to the election, which has happened in recent midterm contests whenever Democrats fielded better funded and well-known candidates.

In 2018, nearly 50% of voters showed up to the polls during a governor's race pitting Republican incumbent Gov. Kay Ivey against Democratic challenger Walt Maddox, the mayor of Tuscaloosa. Maddox spent \$2.6 million during that election cycle.

But this time around, Ivey was challenged by Yolanda Flowers, who spent a paltry \$12,500 throughout the campaign.



Alabama Secretary of State John Merrill (Mike Cason/mcason@al.com)

"I didn't anticipate that there would be that poor of a performance among Democrats

statewide," Merrill, a Republican, said. "It was the worst in the history of this state. It's not even close."

Indeed, the Democratic Party did not even field candidates in a half dozen statewide races. Their absence encouraged the Libertarian Party to run candidates on Tuesday in hopes of securing 20% of the vote in a statewide race to retain ballot access during the 2024 election. That did not happen, as Libertarians fell short of their goals in all statewide races.

For Republicans, it was landslide victories up and down the ballot. Ivey amassed more than 67% of the vote easily defeating Flowers and Libertarian candidate Dr. Jimmy Blake. Katie Britt, the former chief of staff to retiring Senator Richard Shelby, also received 67% of the vote over her Democratic opponent Will Boyd and Libertarian candidate John Sophocleus.

Republicans racked up easy wins in other statewide contests in which a Democrat ran. State Rep. Wes Allen received over 65% of the vote to win the Secretary of State's seat and Steve Marshall was re-elected as attorney general with over 68%.

"I just felt like we would have more Democratic support than we actually had even though the candidates were not that strong," Merrill said. "But obviously, I was wrong."

'Without intrigue'

Turnout was lower than the 2014 general election, in which 39.8% of voters showed up during an election in which then-incumbent Gov. Robert Bentley defeated Democratic challenger Parker Griffith. That turnout, according to Secretary of State statistics, is the lowest in a general election contest since at least 1986.

General elections in which Democrats were competitive, and actually won in 1998 -- saw turnouts at 57% and 58%.

Horn said Alabama lacks the excitement that other states see due to more competitive races for Congress and Governor.

"The minority party (Alabama Democrats) do not seem to have much organization in their 'get out to vote' (effort) and the vast majority of legislative races are unopposed," she said.

Other states, Horn said, have candidates that inspire voters to show up during the midterm elections. Turnouts in Ohio and Pennsylvania were expected to be high. In Georgia, early voting turnout set new all-time records.

"Without the intrigue of a Pennsylvania or an Ohio, it's unfair and unrealistic to have those same numbers (in Alabama)," Horn said.

Jess Brown, a retired political science professor at Athens State University, said the turnout reflects what he and other political scientists believe is a "nationalization" of politics in which contests highlighted on national cable TV shows and presidential races entice voters, while other races -- like midterm contests in one-party dominated states -- do not.

"We have definitely become, in my lifetime, more and more presidential centric and that has to do with the national press coverage," Brown said.

He said that some contests on the ballot in Madison County were likely unknown to voters.

"We had a competitive sheriff's race in Madison County with at least two candidates on the ballot," said Brown, referring to Republican Kevin Turner's race against Libertarian Alan Barksdale, which Turner easily won.

"A generation ago, there was a lot of hub bub to include local press coverage about a sheriff's race," Brown said. "Who was the sheriff in a county mattered a lot. But I know

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 171-5 Filed 08/10/23 Page 50 of 74
there were a lot of people on (Tuesday) who didn't know there was a sheriff's race until
(the election)."

If you purchase a product or register for an account through one of the links on our site, we may receive compensation.

Around the Web

Person Of Interest In Custody After 4 Oklahoma Men Who Left Home To 'Hit A Lick' Were Found Shot And Dismembered - Blavity

Blavity | Sponsored

European Train Tour Specials

There is no better way to explore the beauty of Europe.

Train Tours | Search Ads | Sponsored

30 Defunct Restaurant Chains That No One Misses

MoneyWise.com | Sponsored

The Most Talented Bass Players In History, Ranked In Order

Factable | Sponsored

Mercedes-EQ Formula E Team eBikes - Shop Outlet Now

Save up to \$1,125 on 2021 models while stocks last

n+ Bikes | Sponsored

RUN DATE:11/14/12 07:49 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 360)	360	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	385,364	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	305,014	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		79.15

STRAIGHT PARTY

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
Democrat (DEM)	106,721	59.64
Republican (REP)	72,228	40.36

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
BARACK OBAMA (DEM)	159,876	52.50
MITT ROMNEY (REP)	141,683	46.53
VIRGIL H. GOODE, JR. (IND)	284	.09
GARY JOHNSON (IND)	1,637	.54
JILL STEIN (IND)	438	.14
WRITE-IN	605	.20

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
PENNY "COLONEL" BAILEY (DEM)	51,259	30.97
SPENCER BACHUS (REP)	113,979	68.86
WRITE-IN	275	.17

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 7

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM)	112,636	84.75
DON CHAMBERLAIN (REP)	20,193	15.19
WRITE-IN	73	.05

SUPREME COURT CHIEF JUSTICE

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
BOB VANCE (DEM)	188,916	62.99
ROY MOORE (REP)	110,715	36.91
WRITE-IN	288	.10

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TOMMY BRYAN (REP)	158,756	96.09
WRITE-IN	6,453	3.91

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LYN STUART (REP)	156,758	96.20
WRITE-IN	6,187	3.80

	VOTES	PERCENT
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 3		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GLENN MURDOCK (REP)	156,042	96.25
WRITE-IN	6,084	3.75

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JIM MAIN (REP)	154,924	96.24
WRITE-IN	6,045	3.76

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CRAIG PITTMAN (REP)	154,533	96.29
WRITE-IN	5,957	3.71

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TERRI WILLINGHAM THOMAS (REP)	153,994	96.36
WRITE-IN	5,824	3.64

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS, JUDGE, PL 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TERRY A. MOORE (REP)	153,343	96.41
WRITE-IN	5,704	3.59

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SAM WELCH (REP)	152,523	96.38
WRITE-IN	5,721	3.62

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLA 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LILES BURKE (REP)	151,941	96.41
WRITE-IN	5,660	3.59

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLA 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
J. MICHAEL JOINER (REP)	151,146	96.46
WRITE-IN	5,549	3.54

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PRESIDENT

VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LUCY BAXLEY (DEM)	167,209	56.59
TWINKLE ANDRESS CAVANAUGH (REP)	127,945	43.30
WRITE-IN	328	.11

Exhibit
Slay 17

RUN DATE:11/14/12 07:49 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DISTRICT 3		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
STEPHANIE BELL (REP).	38,888	98.62
WRITE-IN.	546	1.38
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DISTRICT 7		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JEFF NEWMAN (REP).	63,313	98.81
WRITE-IN.	760	1.19
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 1		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JOSEPH L. BOOHAKER (DEM)	191,597	98.39
WRITE-IN.	3,128	1.61
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 5		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DAVID CARPENTER (DEM)	152,194	52.16
TERESA B. PETELOS (REP).	139,470	47.80
WRITE-IN.	140	.05
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 6		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DON BLANKENSHIP (DEM)	155,919	53.60
DAVID FAULKNER (REP).	134,856	46.36
WRITE-IN.	134	.05
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 7		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TRACIE A. TODD (DEM).	154,049	53.11
J. WILLIAM "BILL" COLE (REP)	135,843	46.83
WRITE-IN.	168	.06
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 8		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MICHAEL G. "MIKE" GRAFFEO (DEM)	190,728	98.49
WRITE-IN.	2,929	1.51
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 9		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JIM HUGHEY, III (DEM)	167,505	57.69
BOBBY LOTT (REP)	122,666	42.25
WRITE-IN.	177	.06
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 11		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
HOUSTON L. BROWN (DEM)	188,531	98.55
WRITE-IN.	2,765	1.45

	VOTES	PERCENT
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 13		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CAROLE C. SMITHERMAN (DEM).	154,505	52.91
PAT THETFORD (REP)	137,239	47.00
WRITE-IN.	256	.09
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 15		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
PATRICIA ANN STEPHENS (DEM)	154,155	53.35
SUZANNE CHILDERS (REP)	134,636	46.59
WRITE-IN.	174	.06
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 16		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TERESA TANNER PULLIAM (REP)	151,866	96.75
WRITE-IN.	5,100	3.25
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 18		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RAYMOND PEARSON CHAMBLISS (DEM)	152,439	52.81
BRIAN HUFF (REP)	136,050	47.13
WRITE-IN.	169	.06
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 27		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
VIRGINIA A. VINSON (DEM)	164,225	56.76
JIM NEILL (REP)	124,976	43.19
WRITE-IN.	137	.05
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CARNELLA GREENE NORMAN (DEM)	150,706	52.32
W. DAVIS LAWLEY, JR. (REP).	137,140	47.61
WRITE-IN.	179	.06
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL C		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
KATRINA ROSS (DEM)	158,680	54.42
GLORIA BAHAKEL (REP).	132,739	45.52
WRITE-IN.	156	.05
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ALAN SUMMERS (DEM)	188,181	98.56
WRITE-IN.	2,745	1.44
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH PLACE 9		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DAVID LICHTENSTEIN (DEM)	188,487	98.55
WRITE-IN.	2,768	1.45

RUN DATE:11/14/12 07:49 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH PLACE 12		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LORRAINE WILLIAMS-PRINGLE (DEM) . . .	150,794	52.39
JILL GANUS (REP)	136,866	47.55
WRITE-IN.	179	.06
CIRCUIT CLERK, JEFFERSON COUNTY		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ANNE-MARIE ADAMS (DEM)	160,271	55.24
JERRY BAHAKEL (REP)	129,684	44.70
WRITE-IN.	172	.06
DEPUTY CIRCUIT CLERK, BESSEMER DIVIS BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
KAREN DUNN BURKS (DEM)	38,092	53.14
BENNY R. WATSON (REP)	33,563	46.82
WRITE-IN.	33	.05
JUDGE OF PROBATE PLACE 1		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ALAN KING (DEM)	189,986	98.64
WRITE-IN.	2,623	1.36
JUDGE OF PROBATE PLACE 2		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SHERRI COLEMAN FRIDAY (DEM)	169,043	58.44
GINGER MCCORD BARBEE (REP).	120,023	41.50
WRITE-IN.	173	.06
TREASURER, JEFFERSON COUNTY		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MIKE MILES (DEM)	152,386	52.86
JENNIFER PARSONS CHAMPION (REP)	135,686	47.07
WRITE-IN.	191	.07
JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPUTY TREASURER, BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SHERRY MCCLAIN (DEM).	39,367	54.70
BOBBY HUMPHRYES (REP)	32,550	45.23
WRITE-IN.	47	.07
MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RONALD A. RHODES (REP)	66,798	97.71
WRITE-IN.	1,563	2.29
MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
OSCAR MANN (REP)	66,574	97.73
WRITE-IN.	1,547	2.27

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 15		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ALAN BAGGETT (REP)	17,768	99.02
WRITE-IN.	175	.98
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 44		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
STEPHEN A. MOSELEY (REP)	13,869	97.28
WRITE-IN.	388	2.72
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 45		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JAMES "JIM" ATKINSON (REP).	9,111	96.01
WRITE-IN.	379	3.99
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 46		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LARRY ALLRED (REP)	16,320	98.77
WRITE-IN.	203	1.23
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 47		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GILBERT F. DOUGLAS III (REP)	13,173	98.06
WRITE-IN.	261	1.94
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 48		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CHRIS BROWN (REP).	12,656	98.93
WRITE-IN.	137	1.07
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 51		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RONNIE DIXON (REP)	17,972	98.82
WRITE-IN.	214	1.18
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 52		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
VALENCIA LAVENDER MOORE (DEM).	14,511	99.32
WRITE-IN.	100	.68
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 53		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ROOSEVELT JOHNSON (DEM).	11,270	99.33
WRITE-IN.	76	.67
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 54		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RODERICK DIXIE (DEM).	10,644	99.23
WRITE-IN.	83	.77

RUN DATE:11/14/12 07:49 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 55		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CHARLES R. WINSTON, JR. (DEM) . . .	12,552	99.24
WRITE-IN.	96	.76
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 56		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ROBERT L. MARSHALL (DEM)	14,445	99.03
WRITE-IN.	141	.97
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 57		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
WALTER "BIG WALT" WILSON (DEM) . . .	14,624	99.11
WRITE-IN.	131	.89
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 58		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TARA G. NIX (DEM)	13,876	99.47
WRITE-IN.	74	.53
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 59		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
EDDIE J. SANDERS, JR. (DEM)	10,824	99.29
WRITE-IN.	77	.71
CONSTABLE DISTRICT 60		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
KATRINA K. PRICE (DEM)	14,283	99.26
WRITE-IN.	106	.74
Statewide Amendment No 1		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 1. . . .	203,770	77.23
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 1	60,071	22.77
Statewide Amendment No. 2		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 2. . . .	180,353	71.92
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 2	70,430	28.08
Statewide Amendment No. 3		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 3. . . .	136,827	67.39
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 3	66,199	32.61
Statewide Amendment No. 4		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 4. . . .	97,380	37.69
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 4	160,982	62.31

	VOTES	PERCENT
Statewide Amendment No. 5		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 5. . . .	137,040	66.80
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 5	68,123	33.20
Statewide Amendment No. 6		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 6. . . .	128,559	50.99
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 6	123,555	49.01
Statewide Amendment No. 7		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 7. . . .	150,279	61.83
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 7	92,761	38.17
Statewide Amendment No. 8		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 8. . . .	155,356	63.31
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 8	90,026	36.69
Statewide Amendment No. 9		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 9. . . .	140,536	59.78
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 9	94,550	40.22
Statewide Amendment No. 10		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 10	128,379	57.33
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 10. . . .	95,558	42.67
Statewide Amendment No. 11		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
YES-Statewide Amendment No. 11	131,941	66.35
NO-Statewide Amendment No. 11. . . .	66,901	33.65

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 171-5 Filed 08/10/23 Page 55 of 74

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 367). 367 100.00
 REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL 380,260
 BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL. 213,704
 VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL 56.20

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 Democrat (DEM). 77,461 59.06
 Republican (REP). 53,685 40.94
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 82,523

GOVERNOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 RON SPARKS (DEM). 106,959 50.35
 ROBERT BENTLEY (REP). 103,802 48.86
 WRITE-IN. 1,684 .79
 Over Votes 2
 Under Votes 1,292

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 JIM FOLSOM, JR. (DEM). 122,705 57.95
 KAY IVEY (REP). 88,752 41.92
 WRITE-IN. 270 .13
 Over Votes 1
 Under Votes 2,010

UNITED STATES SENATOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 WILLIAM G. BARNES (DEM). 98,773 46.56
 RICHARD C. SHELBY (REP). 113,144 53.33
 WRITE-IN. 233 .11
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 1,598

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 SPENCER BACHUS (REP). 100,033 97.71
 WRITE-IN. 2,344 2.29
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 21,610

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 7

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 TERRI A. SEWELL (DEM). 75,050 84.45
 DON CHAMBERLAIN (REP). 13,780 15.51
 WRITE-IN. 44 .05
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 860

ATTORNEY GENERAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 JAMES H. ANDERSON (DEM). 108,097 51.03
 LUTHER STRANGE (REP). 103,595 48.91
 WRITE-IN. 130 .06
 Over Votes 1
 Under Votes 1,915

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 5

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 BRETT WADSWORTH (DEM). 2,814 24.79
 GREG REED (REP). 8,534 75.17
 WRITE-IN. 5 .04
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 181

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 14

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 CAM WARD (REP). 7,944 98.59
 WRITE-IN. 114 1.41
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 2,064

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 15

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 SLADE BLACKWELL (REP). 27,213 98.53
 WRITE-IN. 407 1.47
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 6,628

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 16

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 J. T. "JABO" WAGGONER (REP). 29,092 98.23
 WRITE-IN. 524 1.77
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 6,311

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 17

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 T. E. 'TOMMY' HUDSON (DEM). 4,636 20.74
 SCOTT BEASON (REP). 17,700 79.17
 WRITE-IN. 21 .09
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 339

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 18

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 RODGER M. SMITHERMAN (DEM). 25,154 98.32
 WRITE-IN. 431 1.68
 Over Votes 0
 Under Votes 5,873

Exhibit
 Slay 18

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 19

VOTE FOR ONE 1

PRISCILLA DUNN (DEM)	29,227	98.90
WRITE-IN	324	1.10
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	5,182	

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 20

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LINDA COLEMAN (DEM)	28,282	99.08
WRITE-IN	263	.92
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	4,440	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 15

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ALLEN FARLEY (REP)	14,117	99.05
WRITE-IN	135	.95
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,184	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 34

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ELWYN THOMAS (REP)	1,096	99.46
WRITE-IN	6	.54
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	128	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 43

VOTE FOR ONE 1

VIRGINIA SWEET (DEM)	110	40.00
MARY SUE MCCLURKIN (REP)	164	59.64
WRITE-IN	1	.36
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	14	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 44

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ARTHUR PAYNE (REP)	11,654	97.76
WRITE-IN	267	2.24
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,680	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 45

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CHARLENE CANNON (DEM)	5,000	40.08
OWEN DRAKE (REP)	7,466	59.85
WRITE-IN	9	.07
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	265	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 46

VOTE FOR ONE 1

PAUL DEMARCO (REP)	15,446	98.94
WRITE-IN	165	1.06
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,081	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 47

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JACK WILLIAMS (REP)	8,735	61.03
CHARLES A "CHIP" MCCALLUM, III (IND)	5,527	38.62
WRITE-IN	51	.36
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	1,352	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 48

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GREG CANFIELD (REP)	11,580	98.86
WRITE-IN	134	1.14
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	2,351	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 51

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ALLEN TREADAWAY (REP)	14,061	98.95
WRITE-IN	149	1.05
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	2,512	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 52

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JOHN W. ROGERS JR. (DEM)	9,599	97.54
WRITE-IN	242	2.46
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,003	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 53

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DEMETRIUS C. NEWTON (DEM)	7,729	98.89
WRITE-IN	87	1.11
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,465	

STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 54

VOTE FOR ONE 1

PATRICIA TODD (DEM)	7,599	98.74
WRITE-IN	97	1.26
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,964	

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 171-5 Filed 08/10/23 Page 57 of 74

NOVEMBER 2, 2010

	VOTES	PERCENT
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 55		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ROD SCOTT (DEM)	8,670	99.09
WRITE-IN.	80	.91
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,849	
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 56		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LAWRENCE MCADORY (DEM)	9,881	98.41
WRITE-IN.	160	1.59
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	2,711	
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 57		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MERIKA COLEMAN (DEM).	10,676	98.71
WRITE-IN.	140	1.29
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	2,146	
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 58		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
OLIVER ROBINSON (DEM)	9,704	99.24
WRITE-IN.	74	.76
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,677	
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 59		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MARY MOORE (DEM)	7,521	98.67
WRITE-IN.	101	1.33
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,448	
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 60		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JUANDALYNN 'LEE LEE' GIVAN (DEM). . .	10,383	98.79
WRITE-IN.	127	1.21
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	2,121	
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 1		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RHONDA CHAMBERS (DEM)	100,476	47.95
KELLI WISE (REP)	108,971	52.01
WRITE-IN.	85	.04
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	4,207	

	VOTES	PERCENT
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 2		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
TOM EDWARDS (DEM).	97,935	46.68
MICHAEL F. "MIKE" BOLIN (REP). . . .	111,777	53.28
WRITE-IN.	84	.04
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	3,939	
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE 3		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
MAC PARSONS (DEM).	115,959	55.43
TOM PARKER (REP)	93,071	44.49
WRITE-IN.	155	.07
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	4,551	
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DEBORAH BELL PASEUR (DEM)	104,068	50.11
TOMMY BRYAN (REP).	103,532	49.85
WRITE-IN.	72	.03
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	6,063	
CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JIM MAIN (REP).	117,167	97.49
WRITE-IN.	3,012	2.51
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	93,475	
SECRETARY OF STATE		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
SCOTT GILLILAND (DEM)	104,965	50.16
BETH CHAPMAN (REP)	104,199	49.80
WRITE-IN.	79	.04
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	4,494	
STATE TREASURER		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
CHARLEY GRIMSLEY (DEM)	104,564	49.88
YOUNG BOOZER (REP)	104,907	50.05
WRITE-IN.	145	.07
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	4,121	

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

Case 2:1-cv-01291-AMM Document 171-5 Filed 08/10/23 Page 58 of 74

VOTES PERCENT

STATE AUDITOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

MIRANDA KARRINE JOSEPH (DEM)	98,492	47.74
SAMANTHA "SAM" SHAW (REP)	107,707	52.21
WRITE-IN.	105	.05
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	7,432	

COMM OF AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRIES

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GLEN ZORN (DEM)	108,409	52.06
JOHN MCMILLAN (REP)	99,724	47.89
WRITE-IN.	87	.04
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	5,512	

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JAN COOK (DEM)	105,336	50.50
TWINKLE ANDRESS CAVANAUGH (REP)	103,042	49.40
WRITE-IN.	206	.10
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	5,150	

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PLACE 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SUSAN PARKER (DEM)	112,033	53.98
TERRY DUNN (REP)	95,433	45.98
WRITE-IN.	69	.03
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	6,199	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DISTRICT 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YVETTE M. RICHARDSON (DEM)	90,362	65.35
TOM DOOLEY (REP)	47,858	34.61
WRITE-IN.	55	.04
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	3,596	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBER DISTRICT 6

VOTE FOR ONE 1

KIMBERLY HARBISON DRAKE (DEM)	11,317	16.65
CHARLES ELLIOTT (REP)	56,620	83.29
WRITE-IN.	41	.06
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,883	

VOTES PERCENT

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LAURA PETRO (DEM)	126,939	97.71
WRITE-IN.	2,973	2.29
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	83,730	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DAVID CARPENTER (DEM)	100,473	48.43
ALFRED BAHAKEL (REP)	106,908	51.53
WRITE-IN.	96	.05
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	6,258	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

HELEN SHORES LEE (DEM)	112,236	54.21
CHUCK HUNTER (REP)	94,722	45.75
WRITE-IN.	89	.04
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	6,686	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 12

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ANNETTA H. VERIN (DEM)	104,489	50.82
VIRGINIA P. MEIGS (REP)	100,981	49.12
WRITE-IN.	116	.06
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	8,145	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 14

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CLYDE JONES (DEM)	125,555	97.70
WRITE-IN.	2,954	2.30
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	85,134	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 17

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ELISABETH "LIZ" FRENCH (DEM)	123,712	96.64
WRITE-IN.	4,298	3.36
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	85,632	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 19

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DAVID J. HOBODY (DEM)	123,808	97.80
WRITE-IN.	2,791	2.20
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	87,043	

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 20

VOTE FOR ONE 1

AGNES CHAPPELL (DEM)	102,423	49.91
JULIE A. PALMER (REP)	102,668	50.03
WRITE-IN	110	.05
Over Votes	2	
Under Votes	8,531	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 21

VOTE FOR ONE 1

BOB VANCE, JR. (DEM)	126,266	97.94
WRITE-IN	2,657	2.06
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	84,722	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 22

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CARYL P. PRIVETT (DEM)	125,161	97.86
WRITE-IN	2,736	2.14
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	85,748	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 23

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CARNELLA GREENE-NORMAN (DEM)	98,498	48.22
DOROTHEA BATISTE (REP)	105,486	51.64
WRITE-IN	288	.14
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	9,460	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 24

VOTE FOR ONE 1

STEPHEN WALLACE (DEM)	106,100	50.84
GLORIA BAHAKEL (REP)	102,485	49.11
WRITE-IN	111	.05
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	5,039	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 25

VOTE FOR ONE 1

EUGENE R. VERIN (DEM)	124,846	97.88
WRITE-IN	2,709	2.12
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	86,093	

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 26

VOTE FOR ONE 1

C. TOMMY NAIL (DEM)	124,986	98.05
WRITE-IN	2,488	1.95
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	86,174	

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

VOTE FOR ONE 1

THEODORE 'THEO' A. LAWSON II (DEM)	99,141	47.78
BRANDON FALLS (REP)	108,273	52.18
WRITE-IN	90	.04
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	6,229	

DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 10TH JUDICIAL CIR BESS. DIV.

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ARTHUR GREEN (DEM)	31,698	97.80
WRITE-IN	713	2.20
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	20,405	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SHELDON 'SHELLY' WATKINS (DEM)	68,491	96.43
WRITE-IN	2,532	3.57
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	142,598	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JACK LOWTHER (DEM)	67,394	96.43
WRITE-IN	2,492	3.57
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	143,732	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ROBERT ('BOBBY') P. BYNON, JR. (DEM)	67,545	96.49
WRITE-IN	2,454	3.51
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	143,621	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LYNNEICE WASHINGTON (DEM)	96,636	47.32
EDWARD B. (EDDIE) VINES (REP)	107,455	52.62
WRITE-IN	109	.05
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	9,530	

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ERIC M. FANCHER (DEM)	123,255	98.04
WRITE-IN	2,470	1.96
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	87,919	

RUN DATE:11/12/10 10:07 AM

VOTES PERCENT

VOTES PERCENT

CIRCUIT CLERK, BESSEMER DIVISION BESS. DIV.

VOTE FOR ONE 1

BENNY R. WATSON (DEM)	27,330	53.31
JAMES O. (JIM) RICE (REP)	23,914	46.65
WRITE-IN.	23	.04
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,555	

JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF

VOTE FOR ONE 1

W. E. HILL (DEM)	91,567	43.45
MIKE HALE (REP)	119,016	56.48
WRITE-IN.	142	.07
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	3,012	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

GEORGE (GENERAL) BOWMAN (DEM)	31,861	88.81
GREG STANLEY (REP)	3,989	11.12
WRITE-IN.	26	.07
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	567	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SANDRA LITTLE BROWN (DEM)	30,872	99.29
WRITE-IN.	221	.71
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	4,557	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

VIVIAN J. FORD (DEM)	14,288	32.06
JAMES A. (JIMMIE) STEPHENS (REP)	30,234	67.85
WRITE-IN.	40	.09
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	1,358	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ROY WOOD (DEM)	13,410	30.46
JOE KNIGHT (REP)	30,576	69.45
WRITE-IN.	37	.08
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	1,196	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 5

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DAVID CARRINGTON (REP)	38,126	98.75
WRITE-IN.	482	1.25
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	11,879	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JENNIFER H. PARSONS (REP)	50,872	98.03
WRITE-IN.	1,020	1.97
Over Votes	1	
Under Votes	22,546	

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED CITY

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JACQUELINE A. SMITH (DEM)	78,106	58.95
PAM FELKINS COLBERT (REP)	54,291	40.97
WRITE-IN.	106	.08
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	6,771	

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YES	77,066	47.61
NO.	84,811	52.39
Over Votes	0	
Under Votes	51,816	

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YES	88,222	51.32
NO.	83,679	48.68
Over Votes	3	
Under Votes	41,805	

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 3

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YES	94,546	51.20
NO.	90,104	48.80
Over Votes	2	
Under Votes	29,061	

STATEWIDE AMENDMENT 4

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YES	78,257	53.56
NO.	67,850	46.44
Over Votes	2	
Under Votes	67,563	

JEFFERSON COUNTY AMENDMENT

VOTE FOR ONE 1

YES	141,994	78.10
NO.	39,821	21.90
Over Votes	4	
Under Votes	31,885	

Exhibit
Slay 19

SUMMARY REPORT

GENERAL ELECTION 2008
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 04, 2008
Official Results

Official Results

Run Date:11/13/08 07:40 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 377)	377	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	414,002	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	318,968	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		77.05

STRAIGHT PARTY

VOTE FOR ONE 1

Democrat (DEM)	116,552	63.55
Republican (REP)	66,848	36.45

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

VOTE FOR ONE 1

BARACK OBAMA (DEM)	166,121	52.15
JOHN MCCAIN (REP)	149,921	47.07
CHUCK BALDWIN (IND)	424	.13
BOB BARR (IND)	793	.25
RALPH NADER (IND)	713	.22
WRITE-IN (IND)	552	.17

UNITED STATES SENATOR

VOTE FOR ONE 1

VIVIAN DAVIS FIGURES (DEM)	154,495	49.23
JEFF SESSIONS (REP)	159,091	50.70
WRITE-IN (IND)	215	.07

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SPENCER BACHUS (REP)	139,915	97.42
WRITE-IN (IND)	3,703	2.58

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 7

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ARTUR DAVIS (DEM)	124,759	99.09
---------------------------	---------	-------

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLA 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CLYDE JONES (DEM)	170,437	55.93
BETH KELLUM (REP)	134,122	44.01
WRITE-IN (IND)	192	.06

CRT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS JUDGE, PLA 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1

AIMEE COBB SMITH (DEM)	170,852	56.01
MARY WINDOM (REP)	134,036	43.94
WRITE-IN (IND)	171	.06

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PRESIDENT

VOTE FOR ONE 1

LUCY BAXLEY (DEM)	175,073	56.70
TWINKLE ANDRESS CAVANAUGH (REP)	133,396	43.20
WRITE-IN (IND)	326	.11

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, PLACE 10

VOTE FOR ONE 1

TOM KING, JR. (DEM)	209,510	98.04
WRITE-IN (IND)	4,192	1.96

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JOHN AMARI (DEM)	167,335	54.85
NORMAN WINSTON (REP)	137,508	45.08
WRITE-IN (IND)	220	.07

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, 10TH JUDICIAL

VOTE FOR ONE 1

SHANTA OWENS (DEM)	161,866	53.29
----------------------------	---------	-------

WRITE-IN (IND).	1,144	.91
-----------------	-----------	-------	-----

DAVIS LAWLEY, JR. (REP).	141,659	46.64
WRITE-IN (IND).	199	.07

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, PLACE NO. 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

DEBORAH BELL PASEUR (DEM)	177,559	57.03
GREG SHAW (REP)	133,522	42.89
WRITE-IN (IND)	247	.08

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT NO. 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

WILLIAM BELL (DEM)	50,699	98.72
WRITE-IN (IND)	657	1.28

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS JUDGE, PL 1

VOTE FOR ONE 1

KIMBERLY HARBISON DRAKE (DEM)	162,279	53.08
BILL THOMPSON (REP)	143,254	46.86
WRITE-IN (IND)	182	.06

JEFFERSON COUNTY TREASURER

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JENNIFER PARSONS CHAMPION (DEM)	168,663	55.22
KENNETH GOMANY (REP)	136,558	44.71
WRITE-IN (IND)	219	.07

SUMMARY REPORT

GENERAL ELECTION 2008
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 04, 2008
Official Results

Official Results

Run Date:11/13/08 07:40 AM

	VOTES	PERCENT
DEPUTY TREASURER, BESSEMER CUT-OFF BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DORIS H. BRITTON (DEM)	49,376	98.23
WRITE-IN (IND).	890	1.77

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GAYNELL HENDRICKS (DEM).	163,083	53.40
JEFF CADDELL (REP)	141,937	46.48
WRITE-IN (IND).	378	.12

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY ASST. TAX ASSESSOR BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
ANDREW BENNETT (DEM).	38,485	52.62
ANDREW A. SMITH (REP)	34,544	47.23
WRITE-IN (IND).	109	.15

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY ASSISTANT TAX ASSES BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
RON YARBROUGH (DEM)	48,661	94.02
WRITE-IN (IND).	3,095	5.98

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
J. T. SMALLWOOD (DEM)	182,293	59.80
VICKI EVANS FULLER (REP)	122,227	40.10
WRITE-IN (IND).	298	.10

	VOTES	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY ASSISTANT TAX COLLE BESS. DIV.		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GROVER DUNN (DEM).	49,627	97.88
WRITE-IN (IND).	1,075	2.12

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 45		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
BRETT TRIMBLE (DEM)	8,103	47.74
JAMES K. ATKINSON (REP).	8,851	52.14
WRITE-IN (IND).	20	.12

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 46		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
LARRY ALLRED (REP)	19,023	98.38
WRITE-IN (IND).	313	1.62

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 47		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
GILBERT DOUGLAS (REP)	15,738	98.07
WRITE-IN (IND).	309	1.93

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 48		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
JEFF CADDELL (REP)	14,925	98.84
WRITE-IN (IND).	175	1.16

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 51		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
DWIGHT GRAVES (REP)	18,820	98.94
WRITE-IN (IND).	202	1.06

	VOTES	PERCENT
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 52		
VOTE FOR ONE 1		
VALENCIA LAVENDER MOORE (DEM).	15,869	98.91
WRITE-IN (IND).	175	1.09

MEMBER, JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ED

VOTE FOR ONE 1

TOMMY LITTLE (REP)	73,937	97.73
WRITE-IN (IND)	1,714	2.27

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 15

VOTE FOR ONE 1

ALAN BAGGETT (REP)	18,316	98.77
WRITE-IN (IND)	228	1.23

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 44

VOTE FOR ONE 1

JONATHAN O. BARBEE (DEM)	7,388	35.02
STEPHEN MOSELEY (REP)	13,680	64.84
WRITE-IN (IND)	30	.14

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 53

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CALVIN C. HAYNES (DEM)	13,582	99.05
WRITE-IN (IND)	130	.95

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 54

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CHARLIE L. WILLIAMS, JR. (DEM)	12,281	99.01
WRITE-IN (IND)	123	.99

CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 55

VOTE FOR ONE 1

CHARLES R. WINSTON JR. (DEM)	14,077	99.29
WRITE-IN (IND)	100	.71

SUMMARY REPORT

GENERAL ELECTION 2008
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 04, 2008
Official Results

Official Results

Run Date:11/13/08 07:40 AM

VOTES PERCENT				VOTES PERCENT			
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 56				ref 6			
VOTE FOR ONE 1				VOTE FOR ONE 1			
ROBERT L. MARSHALL (DEM)	.	.	15,391 98.98	Yes (IND)	.	.	112,334 64.11
WRITE-IN (IND)	.	.	159 1.02	No (IND)	.	.	62,896 35.89
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 57				ref 7			
VOTE FOR ONE 1				VOTE FOR ONE 1			
GEORGIA GRAY HAMPTON (DEM)	.	.	15,598 98.45	Yes (IND)	.	.	162,649 63.03
WRITE-IN (IND)	.	.	245 1.55	No (IND)	.	.	95,421 36.97
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 58							
VOTE FOR ONE 1							
TARA G. DORSEY-NIX (DEM)	.	.	14,729 99.02				
WRITE-IN (IND)	.	.	146 .98				
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 59							
VOTE FOR ONE 1							
EDDIE J. SANDERS, JR. (DEM)	.	.	12,321 99.01				
WRITE-IN (IND)	.	.	123 .99				
CONSTABLE DISTRICT NO. 60							
VOTE FOR ONE 1							
WEDZELL J. ESCOTT-HOLMES (DEM)	.	.	15,500 99.04				
WRITE-IN (IND)	.	.	150 .96				
ref 1							
VOTE FOR ONE 1							
Yes (IND)	.	.	145,833 55.32				
No (IND)	.	.	117,794 44.68				

REF 2

VOTE FOR ONE 1
 Yes (IND) 120,056 64.60
 No (IND). 65,788 35.40

ref 3
 VOTE FOR ONE 1
 Yes (IND) 103,209 55.03
 No (IND). 84,356 44.97


ref 4
 VOTE FOR ONE 1
 Yes (IND) 115,376 62.01
 No (IND). 70,670 37.99


ref 5
 VOTE FOR ONE 1
 Yes (IND) 86,324 47.71
 No (IND). 94,607 52.29

Exhibit 5

Exhibit
Def 1

Livingston Congressional Plan 3-2023







- Precinct Shapes: Census 2020
- Total Population: Census 2020
- Voting Age Population: Census 2020
- Election Result: Composite of 2016 Pres, 2020 Pres, 2017 Sen, 2020 Sen, 2018 Gov, 2018 AG
- The 0% population deviation is within the 0.75% threshold tolerated by the courts.
- Five districts lean Republican, one leans Democratic, and one falls in the 45–55% competitive range.
- There is one majority-minority district.

Exhibit 8

Exhibit
Def 2

Figures Congressional Plan 1-2023





VRA Plaintiffs Remedial Map

[Statistics](#)
[Notes](#)

Map
Statistics
Analyze
Compare
Advanced

Population			Shapes		Partisan Lean			Demographics (VAP)								<div>Download</div>	
ID ↑	Total	+/-			Dem	Rep	Oth	Total	White	Minority	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Native	Pacific		
Un	0				0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
1	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	26.34%	72.71%	0.94%	558,142	75.87%	24.13%	4%	14.92%	1.98%	2.98%	0.15%		
2	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	58.07%	41.23%	0.7%	557,855	43.23%	56.77%	2.91%	50.08%	2.06%	2.05%	0.13%		
3	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	31.7%	67.41%	0.89%	565,115	71.14%	28.86%	2.95%	21.56%	2.1%	2.15%	0.11%		
4	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	22.36%	76.85%	0.79%	556,133	82.41%	17.59%	5.66%	7.7%	0.82%	3.52%	0.09%		
5	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	37.92%	60.51%	1.57%	561,187	70.89%	29.11%	5.28%	18.06%	2.53%	3.27%	0.18%		
6	717,754	-0%	✓	✓	31.55%	67.02%	1.43%	554,731	76.15%	23.85%	4.99%	14.13%	2.34%	2.23%	0.1%		
7	717,755	0%	✓	✓	67.68%	31.66%	0.67%	564,003	38.89%	61.11%	4.04%	54.5%	1.56%	1.35%	0.11%		
	717,754	0%	✓	✓	39.62%	59.38%	1.01%	559,595	65.47%	34.53%	4.26%	25.9%	1.91%	2.5%	0.12%		

Notes

- Precinct Shapes: Census 2020
- Total Population: Census 2020
- Voting Age Population: Census 2020
- Election Result: Composite of 2016 Pres, 2020 Pres, 2017 Sen, 2020 Sen, 2018 Gov, 2018 AG
- The 0% population deviation is within the 0.75% threshold tolerated by the courts.
- Five districts lean Republican, two lean Democratic, and none fall in the 45–55% competitive range.
- There are two majority-minority districts.

[Back to top](#)