

1 MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney (SBN 111529)  
KATHLEEN A. KENEALY (SBN 212289)  
2 VALERIE FLORES (SBN 138572)  
MICHAEL DUNDAS (SBN 226930)  
3 OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY  
ATTORNEY  
4 200 North Main Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
5 Telephone: (213) 978-8130  
Facsimile: (213) 978-8222  
6 Email: valerie.flores@lacity.org

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Los Angeles*

8  
9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as  
17 President of the United States, et al.,

18 Defendants.

CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05169-LHK-RRC-EMC

**DECLARATION OF ANDREW J.  
WESTALL IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT AND IN OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS,  
OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION  
FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: October 8, 2020, 2020  
Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Place: Courtroom 8, 4th Floor  
Judge: Honorable Richard R. Clifton  
Honorable Lucy H. Koh  
Honorable Edward M. Chen

1 I, Andrew J. Westall, do hereby declare as follows:

2 1. The facts set forth in this declaration are true and correct based upon my own  
3 personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to each of the  
4 facts set forth herein.

5 2. I am employed as the Chief Deputy for the Office of Los Angeles City Council  
6 Member Herb J. Wesson, Jr. I have worked for the office from November 2005 through  
7 November 2011, and from April 2012 to the present. From January 2012 through November  
8 2019, Los Angeles City Council Member Herb J. Wesson, Jr. was the President of the Los  
9 Angeles City Council.

10 3. Among other duties, in my position as the Chief Deputy to Los Angeles City  
11 Council Member Herb J. Wesson, I lead a staff of up to 50 employees on a wide range of  
12 municipal issues, including intergovernmental relations, budget, revenue strategies, ballot  
13 measures, labor, housing, planning, economic development, and transportation. As part of my  
14 job duties as Chief Deputy, I served as the lead staff member for the Rules, Elections, and  
15 Intergovernmental Relations Committee. That committee oversees the preparation for the  
16 Decennial Census for the City, as well as utilization of Decennial Census data for redistricting  
17 for the City Council Districts and other purposes described herein. I am also the former lead staff  
18 member for the Housing, Community, and Economic Development Committee. For six years in  
19 that capacity, I oversaw yearly operational budgets of approximately \$2 billion in contracts and  
20 construction projects administered by the Housing Department, Housing Authority, Community  
21 Development Department and the Community Redevelopment Agency.

22 4. I received a B.A. Degree in Political Science-Public Service from the University  
23 of California, Davis in 1996, with an emphasis in urban, environmental, economic, and social  
24 public policies, as well as various ethnic studies disciplines.

25 5. I received a M.A. Degree in Urban Planning from the University of California,  
26 Los Angeles in 1999, with an emphasis in social policy and analysis, environmental and  
27  
28

1 transportation public policy, municipal demographics, Geographic Information System (GIS)  
2 mapping, and redistricting.

3 6. From April of 1998 through June of 2000, I worked for the National Association  
4 of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials as a consultant, researcher and author. In June of  
5 2000, I prepared a publication entitled *Reapportionment, Redistricting and the Latino*  
6 *Community: 2000 and Beyond*, regarding reapportionment and redistricting of legislative and  
7 congressional districts after the 2000 Census, focusing on the Latino communities in seven  
8 states.

9 7. From January 2001 to November of 2001, I worked as the Assistant to the  
10 Speaker for the Office of the Speaker of the California Assembly Robert M. Hertzberg. In my  
11 role, I worked on the post-2000 Census state redistricting process as the Chief Line Drawer for  
12 38 of the 50 Democratic Assembly Districts in California. The Chief Line Drawer works with  
13 decisionmakers, legal counsel, and key stakeholders in the crafting of proposed district lines to  
14 produce draft maps and data tables for consideration, along with unpublished scenarios, leading  
15 ultimately to the final map and data tables for publication. I also have performed work as the  
16 drafter of alternative plans for the California Board of Equalization, California Legislature and  
17 United States Congress. Alternative plans are unpublished redistricting maps and data table  
18 scenarios made available to decision-makers, including State Legislators and Members of the  
19 U.S. Congress.

20 8. From November 2001 to April of 2002, I served as the Technical Director for the  
21 City of Los Angeles during the Los Angeles City Council redistricting process. In that capacity, I  
22 was the Chief Line Drawer for all 15 of the City Council Districts. I developed the demographic  
23 and geographic databases utilized by the Commission and the public. These databases relied  
24 upon, and were primarily based on, Decennial Census data. I also organized 16 public testimony  
25 hearings throughout the City, which produced 3,000 attendees and 5,000 written public  
26 comments. I reviewed and assessed the voluminous public record and prepared and provided  
27 technical reports to the City. Additionally, I designed, developed, and updated the City's  
28



1 redistricting website.

2 9. During that same period, from November 2001 to April 2002, I simultaneously  
3 worked as the Technical Director and Chief Line Drawer for the Los Angeles Unified School  
4 District (LAUSD) redistricting process.

5 10. From April of 2002 to February of 2004, I worked as Assistant to the Speaker for  
6 the Office of the Speaker of the California Assembly Herb J. Wesson, Jr. My duties included  
7 political strategy, public relations, electoral strategy, GIS mapping, demographics, statistics, and  
8 redistricting.

9 11. From February 2004 to November of 2005, I worked as the Assistant to the  
10 Speaker for the Office of the Speaker of the California Assembly Fabian Nunez. My duties  
11 included political strategy, public relations, electoral strategy, GIS mapping, demographics,  
12 statistics, and redistricting.

13 12. From November of 2011 to March 2012, I served as the Executive Director, Chief  
14 Executive Officer and Administrator for the Los Angeles City Council Redistricting  
15 Commission, overseeing six staff members and dozens of contractors in support of the  
16 Commission's work. I organized 22 public testimony hearings throughout the City, with  
17 responsibility for managing a process involving over 5,000 attendees and the assessment of  
18 6,551 written public comments. I also organized the Commission's meetings and prepared and  
19 issued a 950-page report to the City Council regarding the Commission's recommendations for  
20 redistricting Los Angeles City Council Districts after the 2010 Census.

21 13. The City of Los Angeles is a Charter City, organized under Article XI, Section 3  
22 of the California Constitution. Pursuant to Article XI, Section 5(b), the Charter of the City of Los  
23 Angeles prescribes the manner in which redistricting will occur after each Decennial Census, and  
24 relies upon the use of Census Data.

25 14. Section 204 of the Los Angeles City Charter requires a redistricting process every  
26 ten years. Section 204(b) of the City Charter mandates the formation of a Redistricting  
27 Commission to advise the City Council on the drawing of Council district lines. No City officer  
28

1 or employee is eligible to serve on the 21-member Commission.

2 15. During my work on redistricting for more than a decade, beginning in 2001, for  
3 the State of California, City of Los Angeles and LAUSD, I have gained an understanding of the  
4 legal and practical considerations relevant to the redistricting process. These principles include  
5 the following: (a) ensuring districts contain equal population (one person, one vote) in  
6 compliance with the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States  
7 Constitution; (b) respecting traditional redistricting criteria such as contiguity (all parts of a  
8 district should connect), compactness (a district should be geographically compact with regard to  
9 appearance, shape, and borders), due consideration of existing boundaries (such as geographic,  
10 street, school, and political subdivisions), and preserving communities of interest (people sharing  
11 common interest); and (c) compliance with Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act by  
12 ensuring that minority voters are not denied equal access to voting opportunities (minority voting  
13 blocks are neither fractured nor packed into a district so as to dilute their votes).

14 16. Section 21620 of the California Elections Code allows the City Council to give  
15 consideration in redistricting to topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity,  
16 compactness of territory, and communities of interest within the district. Section 204(d) of the  
17 City Charter requires that all districts "shall be drawn in conformance with requirements of state  
18 and federal law and, to the extent feasible, shall keep neighborhoods and communities intact,  
19 utilize natural boundaries or street lines, and be geographically compact."

20 17. Section 204(a) of the City Charter requires that City Council Districts "shall each  
21 contain, as nearly as practicable, equal portions of the total population of the City as shown by  
22 the Federal Census immediately preceding the formation of districts." Thus, the City conducts  
23 redistricting based on the total population of the City, as it is constitutionally entitled to do under  
24 Supreme Court precedent.

25 18. Pursuant to Section 241 of the City Charter, the City Council consists of 15  
26 members, elected by their respective districts.

27 19. Based upon the 2010 Decennial Census figures, the total population of the City of  
28

1 Los Angeles was 3,792,621. Therefore, the ideal population size for each Council District would  
2 be 252,841 people. Both law and equity disfavor large population deviations between districts.  
3 Even a deviation of 10% (5% in either a plus or minus direction) may not be considered in a  
4 "safe harbor" for purposes of a legal challenge.

5 20. As a result of the 2012 redistricting process, each Council District represents a  
6 population of approximately 250,000 residents, with a population deviation of less than +/- 2.5%.  
7 Equal distribution of residents in each Council District ensures that every resident has equal  
8 access to their City government representative.

9 21. During my redistricting work over more than a decade, I have become familiar  
10 with and have relied upon Decennial Census data to perform my work. The Decennial Census is  
11 the only source that provides the sufficiently granular population count and demographic data the  
12 City of Los Angeles needs for redistricting purposes.

13 22. The Decennial Census provides important data points that the City uses in  
14 redistricting such as the number of people per household, household status, age, race, and  
15 ethnicity.

16 23. The Decennial Census also provides data on multiple levels that are crucial for  
17 redistricting: a "Census block"; a "Census Block Group" or "Census Tract" level (comprising  
18 several groups of blocks, averaging approximately 5,000 individuals); "Census Place"  
19 (unincorporated County); and at an overall City, County and State level.

20 24. The City uses granular population count data when redistricting to create Council  
21 Districts that are of equal size in terms of resident population. Without accurate population count  
22 data from the Decennial Census, the City cannot ensure that any redistricting plan complies with  
23 constitutional, state, and Charter provisions that require Council Districts be of equal size and  
24 conforms to such redistricting principles as contiguity and compactness.  
25  
26  
27  
28



1           25. Data at all levels of granularity, including the most granular block-level, is  
 2 necessary to ensure properly populated and lawfully formed City Council Districts.  
 3 Neighborhood characteristics and population density can change dramatically in Los Angeles  
 4 from block-to-block, especially near the City's core. For example, single family neighborhoods  
 5 such as Hancock Park, with average lot sizes of approximately 14,000 square feet, abut very  
 6 densely populated portions of Koreatown, filled with multi-family residences and notable for  
 7 having one of the densest populations in the United States outside of New York City.

8           26. Inaccurate population count data will thus result in an unevenly reported  
 9 population distribution, which will in turn deny equal representation to the City's residents.  
 10 According to data from the Census Bureau, of the nearly 3.95 million residents in the City of Los  
 11 Angeles, approximately 58.7% of the housing units in the City are renter-occupied, 16% of the  
 12 population age 14 or older speaks limited English, and 21.3% of the population lives below the  
 13 poverty level. These are all characteristics that make a population "hard-to-count" according to  
 14 the Census Bureau. As to the latter category, the City's population of residents living below the  
 15 poverty line is greater than the entire population of five states with the lowest population in the  
 16 United States (Alaska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont, and Wyoming)  
 17 (<https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-state-total.html>). Hard-to-  
 18 count residents in the City of Los Angeles are not distributed equally among neighborhoods or  
 19 the 15 Council Districts. For example, in Council District 9, 72.5% of the housing units are  
 20 renter-occupied, 25.6% of the population age 14 or older speaks limited English, and 42% of the  
 21 population lives below the poverty level, compared with just 26.4% of housing units being  
 22 renter-occupied, 7% of the population age 14 or older speaking limited English, and 9.8% of the  
 23 population living below the poverty level, in Council District 12.

24           27. Accordingly, residents in Council Districts with large concentrations of  
 25 undercounted residents would be denied equal representation. Residents in Districts with larger  
 26 undercounted populations would proportionally have less access to their elected representative,  
 27 denying them an equal ability to petition their government for redress of grievances as  
 28

1 guaranteed by the First Amendment. The residents of those Districts with more undercounted  
2 neighbors would be denied equal access merely because of where they happen to reside and who  
3 their neighbors happen to be.

4         28. The City also uses granular race and ethnicity data gathered from the Decennial  
5 Census when redistricting to ensure compliance with the Voting Rights Act and other state and  
6 federal voting and civil rights laws. Accurate data on race and ethnicity at the block-level is  
7 necessary given that population density and demographic diversity can vary sharply among  
8 adjacent neighborhoods and abutting city blocks in Los Angeles. Without accurate block-level  
9 race and ethnicity data, the City cannot ensure that district lines are drawn in compliance with  
10 the Voting Rights Act and other voting and civil rights laws.

11         29. Block-level demographic data is also necessary for drawing district lines and  
12 determining the precise neighborhoods that will be included in particular districts in accordance  
13 with the principles of redistricting. As noted, preserving communities of interest is one of the  
14 principles the City must consider during redistricting. Block-level demographic data, including  
15 age, race and household status, is crucial for identifying those communities of interest and  
16 locating their precise geographic bounds.

17         30. The City also relies on Decennial Census population count data when managing  
18 the allocation of its services and resources to City residents.

19         31. City services and resources that are allocated to particular neighborhoods are  
20 based on the Decennial Census count of people in those neighborhoods. Due to the highly  
21 varying nature of the population density from one neighborhood to the next, and even from one  
22 block to the next, the granular block-level population count data derived from the Decennial  
23 Census is crucial for properly and efficiently allocating City services and resources to ensure that  
24 the needs of each neighborhood—and, even, each block—are met.

25         32. Without reliable, precise, and accurate population count data, the City would not  
26 be able to identify the needs of each community, neighborhood, or high-density city block. The  
27 combination of undercounts in some neighborhoods and overcounts in others will lead to errors  
28



1 in measuring neighborhood populations, which will in turn lead to misallocation of City  
2 resources, including Los Angeles Fire Department deployment, Bureau of Sanitation trash pick-  
3 ups, and the acquisition or improvement of park property. Accordingly, undercounted  
4 neighborhoods will suffer from the lack of sufficiently allocated resources due to inaccurate  
5 census data. The City thus needs accurate Decennial Census data to meet the needs of all of its  
6 residents and to plan for future needs.

7 33. Having an accurate neighborhood-by-neighborhood and block-by-block  
8 population count is also important in such areas as the City's Department of City Planning (for  
9 urban planning and zoning updates), the City's Department of Transportation (for infrastructure  
10 project assessments), the City's Economic Workforce and Development Department (for  
11 redevelopment purposes), and by the Housing and Community Investment Department (for smart  
12 growth analyses).

13 34. Congress uses decennial census data to apportion funding in times of national  
14 emergency. For example, Congress recently appropriated more than \$1 billion dollars to the Los  
15 Angeles metropolitan area for public transportation services in the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and  
16 Economic Security Act, or CARES Act. The funding amount was apportioned by the Federal  
17 Transit Administration on the basis of the percentage of population attributable to the metro  
18 region, as determined by the 2010 Census. While most of the funding will support City transit  
19 services via the Metropolitan Transit Authority, more than \$20,000,000 was delivered to the City  
20 of Los Angeles.

21 35. In addition, the City of Los Angeles receives tens of millions of dollars from the  
22 federal government each year based upon the ratio of population derived from the decennial  
23 census. As just one example, as set forth in the City's annual adopted budgets, more than  
24 \$20,000,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds have been delivered to the  
25 City in recent years based, in part, on the ratio of population in the 2010 census. The CDBG  
26 funds are allocated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to specific  
27  
28

1 programs or purposes, based on approved applications, and remitted to the City by a letter-of-  
2 credit arrangement. The City uses these funds support housing and community investment as  
3 well as workforce development.

4 36. Undercounts that disproportionally impact Los Angeles, which are likely because  
5 of the region's hard-to-count status, could cause the City to miss out on a portion of this funding  
6 for an entire decade and rushing the 2020 Census will only serve to magnify the risk of an  
7 undercount, as time is critical to ensuring the accuracy of census response data, catching errors,  
8 and correcting inaccurate data.

9  
10  
11  
12 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the  
13 United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

14  
15 Executed on: September 17, 2020 in Los Angeles, California.

16  
17  
18 

19  
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Andrew J. Westall  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: **State of California, et al. v.  
Donald J. Trump, et al.**

No. **5:20-cv-05169-LHK-RRC-  
EMC**

I hereby certify that on September 21, 2020, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

**DECLARATION OF ANDREW J. WESTALL IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY  
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND IN  
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, OR IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on September 21, 2020, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis  
Declarant

/s/ Eileen A. Ennis  
Signature

SA2020302398  
34425868.docx