

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

BLACK VOTERS MATTER CAPACITY
BUILDING INSTITUTE, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LAUREL M. LEE, in her official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2022-ca-000666

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiffs hereby give notice of the filing of Exhibits 1 through 12 to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Temporary Injunction, as follows:

Exhibits - Part 1	
Exhibit 1	Affidavit of John Devaney
Exhibit 1-A	General Election Official Results webpage
Exhibit 1-B	Article "Florida lawmakers look to avoid running afoul of courts when redrawing districts."
Exhibit 1-C	Article "Ron DeSantis eyes court fight over Florida congressional map to reduce minority seats."
Exhibit 1-D	October 11, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-E	November 2, 2021 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-F	Webpage "About Florida Redistricting"
Exhibit 1-G, Part 1	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet

Exhibits - Part 2	
Exhibit 1-G, Part 2	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)
Exhibit 1-G, Part 3	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 3	
Exhibit 1-H, Part 1	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-H, Part 2	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 4	
Exhibit 1-H, Part 3	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)
Exhibit 1-I, Part 1	November 29, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet

Exhibits - Part 5	
Exhibit 1-I, Part 2	November 29, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 6	
Exhibit 1-J	December 2, 2021 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-K	January 13, 2022 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-L	February 24, 2022 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-M	Article “DeSantis says he will not sign legislation that has ‘unconstitutional gerrymander.’”
Exhibit 1-N	Article “Florida GOP in conflict: DeSantis’ redistricting expert doesn’t convince House panel.”

Exhibit 1-O	Article “Special session: Florida lawmakers heeding Gov. DeSantis’s demand for new congressional map, enraging opponents.”
Exhibit 1-P	Article “Florida House rejects Gov. DeSantis in rare clash on political redistricting.”
Exhibit 1-Q	Bill Analysis and Fiscal Impact Statement of CS/SB 102 dated January 10, 2022
Exhibit 1-R	March 4, 2022 Tweet from Gov. DeSantis
Exhibit 1-S	Article “DeSantis continues redistricting feud with GOP lawmakers by vetoing congressional map.”
Exhibit 1-T	Article “Gov. DeSantis vetoes congressional redistricting maps passed by Florida lawmakers.”
Exhibit 1-U	Article “Florida Legislature gives up, asks DeSantis for congressional maps.”
Exhibit 1-V	April 20, 2022 Transcript of House Legislative Session on Redistricting
Exhibit 1-W	Article “DeSantis signs new congressional map into law as groups sue over redistricting.”
Exhibit 1-X	Webpage “Statewide primary elections calendar”
Exhibit 1-Y	Webpage “Florida Black Population Percentage by County”
Exhibit 2	Affidavit of Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere attaching Expert Report
Exhibit 3	Affidavit of Dr. Sharon Austin attaching Expert Report
Exhibit 4	Affidavit of Pastor Reginald Gundy
Exhibit 5	Affidavit of Sylvia Young
Exhibit 6	Affidavit of Phyllis Wiley
Exhibit 7	Affidavit of Florida Rising Together
Exhibit 8	Affidavit of Cecile Scoon on behalf of the League of Women Voters of Florida Inc. and the League of Women Voters of Florida Education Fund, Inc.
Exhibit 9	Affidavit of Clifford Albright on behalf of Black Voters Matter Capacity Building Institute, Inc.
Exhibit 10	Affidavit of Jasmine Burney on behalf of Equal Ground Education Fund, Inc.
Exhibit 11	Affidavit of Joe Scott, Supervisor of Elections of Broward County
Exhibit 12	Affidavit of Mark Earley, Supervisor of Elections of Leon County

Dated: April 26, 2022

/s/ Frederick S. Wermuth
Frederick S. Wermuth
Florida Bar No. 0184111
Thomas A. Zehnder
Florida Bar No. 0063274
**KING, BLACKWELL, ZEHNDER &
WERMUTH, P.A.**
P.O. Box 1631
Orlando, Florida 32802
Telephone: (407) 422-2472
Facsimile: (407) 648-0161
fwermuth@kbzwlaw.com
zehnder@kbzwlaw.com

John M. Devaney*
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 Thirteenth Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 654-6200
Facsimile: (202) 654-6211
jdevaney@perkinscoie.com

Respectfully submitted,

Abha Khanna*
Jonathan P. Hawley*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
Facsimile: (206) 656-0180
akhanna@elias.law
jhawley@elias.law

Christina A. Ford
Florida Bar No. 1011634
Joseph N. Posimato*
Graham W. White*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
10 G Street NE, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20002
Phone: (202) 968-4490
Facsimile: (202) 968-4498
cford@elias.law
jposimato@elias.law
gwhite@elias.law

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 26, 2022 I electronically filed the foregoing using the State of Florida ePortal Filing System, which will serve an electronic copy to counsel in the Service List below. I further certify that I have caused to be served, via Process Server, the foregoing on Defendants who have not yet made an appearance in this case.

/s/ Frederick S. Wermuth _____

Frederick S. Wermuth
Florida Bar No. 0184111





Counsel for Plaintiffs

SERVICE LIST

Andy Bardos, Esq.
GrayRobinson, P.A.
P.O. Box 11189
Tallahassee, FL 32302
andy.bardos@gray-robinson.com

Counsel for Defendants
Chris Sprowls and Thomas J. Leek

Exhibit I - Part 2



Florida
Congressional Districts
S000C8022

Produced by
Florida Senate
Committee on Reapportionment

November 24, 2021

Legend

- County boundary
- Interstate
- US Highway
- Major road
- Sea, lake, or river
- District boundary & number



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: <https://www.floridaredistricting.gov>

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	338	0.87	0.49	0.54	3	1	16	0	5%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	871	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
7	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.49	0.66	1	2	7	6	7%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0	0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
9	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,545	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	5	9%	64%	22%	40%	0%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
18	0	0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
19	0	0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
21	0	0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	360	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	8	12%	19%	33%	30%	10%	27%
22	0	0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	121	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	20%	33%	25%	37%	12%	16%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24%	35%	28%	45%	2%	20%
25	0	0.00%	7.96%	75.83%	3,680	364	0.67	0.35	0.40	1	2	10	3	7%	68				

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In plan S000C8022
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	355
Cities split into more than one district	57
Cities with all population in only one district	359
Aggregate number of city splits	121
Aggregate number of splits with population	117

Plan S000C8022

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.7	16.2%
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.3	16.5%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	609.1	98.7%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	7.8	1.3%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	379.1	32.8%
Lake	11	304,723	79.4%	550.1	47.6%
Lake	28	43,837	11.4%	227.6	19.7%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	525.2	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.5	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.1%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.6	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.4	15.1%
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	371.9	45.3%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	449.6	54.7%
Sumter	11	129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.4	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000C8022

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.7%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%	Umatilla	6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.3%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Umatilla	11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%						
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%	Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	West Palm Beach	21	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%	Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.5%						
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%	Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.5%						
Coconut Creek	22	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%												
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.5	60.5%												
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.5%												
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%												
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%												
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%												
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%												
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	Ocala	3	63,183	99.4%	44.0	93.2%												
Deerfield Beach	22	57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%												
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
Fatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%												
Fatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.7%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.7%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Palm Beach	21	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%												
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%												
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%												
Gainesville	2	199	0.1%	1.0	1.5%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%												
Gainesville	3	140,886	99.9%	63.4	98.5%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%												
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%												
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%												
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%												
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%												
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	22	58,514	52.2%	14.2	57.4%												
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%												
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Hypoluxo	21	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%												
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%												
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%												
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%												
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%												
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%												
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%												
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%												
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%												
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%												
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%												
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%												
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.4	12.5%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%												
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%												
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%												
Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%												

Plan S000C8022


Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.59%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.95%	38.45%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.20%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.00%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.95%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.23%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

Plan S000C8022


Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020									
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPA/Oth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.			Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%		
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.80%	45.72%	32.01%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.11%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%		
20	31.99%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%		
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.15%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8022			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
Primary Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%	
		R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.75%	52.09%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%	
		R_DeVine	1.13%	1.98%	1.43%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%	
		R_Langford	1.13%	1.44%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%	
		R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.28%	0.76%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%	
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%	
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%	
		R_White	1.63%	2.61%	2.10%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.49%	54.51%	50.42%	32.88%	31.83%	28.95%	
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.40%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%	
		D_Greene	5.73%	13.96%	8.69%	10.09%	9.35%	9.66%	10.62%	7.94%	
		D_King	1.44%	4.29%	3.75%	0.97%	0.75%	2.33%	2.11%	1.54%	
		D_Levine	10.71%	19.18%	12.45%	20.39%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%	
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.30%	0.38%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.83%	1.64%	0.66%	0.35%	0.32%	1.27%	0.97%	0.68%	
		Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.46%	56.36%	53.22%	52.08%	54.82%	54.79%
	R_White		42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.02%	46.69%	47.88%	45.11%	45.20%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.44%	81.48%	82.11%	67.77%	69.58%	74.09%	
		D_Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.50%	17.89%	32.10%	30.43%	25.91%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.66%	36.42%	34.83%	43.37%	39.76%	42.29%	42.07%	40.18%	
		R_Grimsley	21.35%	31.97%	31.49%	25.63%	31.45%	29.71%	31.57%	32.70%	
		R_McCalister	8.68%	16.25%	15.43%	20.36%	17.11%	12.78%	16.62%	16.76%	
		R_Troutman	34.11%	15.22%	18.23%	8.98%	11.08%	15.05%	9.61%	10.37%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.25%	63.09%	59.01%	52.18%	53.25%	59.89%	
		D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.02%	20.45%	15.13%	
		D_Walker	19.86%	26.32%	27.30%	20.39%	23.61%	27.59%	26.21%	24.88%	
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.21%	10.06%	11.28%	14.63%	15.75%	9.81%	12.28%	12.63%	
		R_Scott	89.71%	89.89%	88.71%	84.79%	84.04%	90.09%	87.66%	87.32%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.30%	17.11%	17.63%	14.34%	8.71%	8.85%	6.43%	5.58%	
		R_Rivera	3.71%	3.21%	2.45%	4.70%	3.26%	2.20%	2.94%	1.88%	
		R_Rubio	67.99%	71.92%	74.54%	70.07%	80.21%	85.24%	85.70%	88.87%	
		R_Young	5.81%	7.56%	5.30%	9.26%	7.44%	3.59%	4.86%	3.46%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.12%	14.95%	3.92%	2.89%	5.51%	19.30%	13.76%	12.16%	
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.73%	9.83%	10.82%	11.17%	11.16%	11.19%	
		D_Keith	15.18%	9.79%	12.71%	14.50%	13.85%	13.73%	15.63%	17.86%	
		D_Luster	12.08%	1.26%	2.28%	2.38%	2.68%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.28%	69.92%	66.92%	53.19%	57.51%	56.90%	
		R_Adeshina	1.30%	1.69%	1.67%	2.76%	2.97%	1.46%	1.77%	1.80%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.10%	12.04%	9.60%	14.69%	16.32%	10.61%	15.19%	13.26%	
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	90.48%	86.09%	88.63%	80.96%	80.33%	87.73%	82.95%	84.83%	
		D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.88%	84.40%	76.74%	78.42%	73.98%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.99%	15.59%	22.84%	21.48%	25.89%	
		D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.68%	38.96%	46.71%	58.73%	61.40%	65.55%	
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	61.00%	53.26%	40.91%	38.48%	34.37%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	57.59%	49.35%	58.32%	64.13%	71.72%	73.46%	73.64%	77.15%	
		R_McCalister	18.65%	11.93%	10.92%	13.18%	6.84%	8.01%	7.36%	5.18%	
		R_Stuart	5.93%	6.58%	4.87%	7.15%	13.14%	12.37%	13.26%	12.99%	
		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.36%	7.99%	5.92%	5.67%	4.46%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.03%	19.38%	13.66%	14.19%	14.02%	21.21%	18.40%	14.76%	
		D_Nelson	77.90%	80.61%	86.30%	85.66%	85.94%	78.58%	81.49%	85.11%	

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8022			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.63%	73.35%	74.13%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.34%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.67%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.11%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.89%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.80%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%	
		R_Putnam	44.41%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%	



Map produced by professional staff:
 Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
 404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
 Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
 Website: <https://www.floridaredistricting.gov>



Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0	0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	267.4	0.80	0.42	0.44	47	53	356	121	12%	60%	21%	37%	2%	9%
2	0	0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
3	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
4	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
5	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
6	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
7	0	0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
8	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.49	0.66	1	2	7	6	7%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
9	0	0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
10	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	2	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
11	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
12	0	0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,540	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	4	11%	64%	21%	40%	1%	9%
13	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
14	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
15	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
16	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
17	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
18	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
19	0	0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
20	0	0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
21	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
22	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	259	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	6	26%	5%	43%	2%	6%	38%
23	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	33%	42%	12%	9%
24	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
25	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24					

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8024
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	356
Cities split into more than one district	56
Cities with all population in only one district	361
Aggregate number of city splits	121
Aggregate number of splits with population	116

Plan S000C8024

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	71.9	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.4	11.0%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	609.1	98.7%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	7.8	1.3%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	379.1	32.8%
Lake	11	304,723	79.4%	550.1	47.6%
Lake	28	43,837	11.4%	227.6	19.7%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	525.2	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.5	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.1%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.6	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.4	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	371.9	45.3%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	449.6	54.7%
Sumter	11	129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.5	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.0	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000C8024

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.7%	Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Umatilla	6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.3%	Loxahatchee Groves	20	15,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%	Umatilla	11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Clemont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%						
Clemont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.5%						
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.5%						
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%												
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%												
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%												
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%												
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%												
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%												
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698	48.0%	8.5	52.6%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%												
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2%	3.0	18.2%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%												
DeFuniak Springs	1	860	14.5%	3.7	25.9%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.1%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
Delray Beach	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%												
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.7%												
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.7%												
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%												
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%												
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%												
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%												
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.4%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%												
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.7	19.6%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%												
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%												
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%												
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pompano Beach	22	54,801	48.9%	10.5	42.6%												
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%												
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%												
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%												
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%												
Hypoluxo	22	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%												
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%												
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%												
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%												
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%												
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%												
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%												
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%												
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%												
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%												
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%												
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%												
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.4	12.5%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%												

Plan S000C8024


Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.59%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.95%	38.45%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.20%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.00%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.95%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.23%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

Plan S000C8024

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020									
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPA/Oth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.			Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%		
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.80%	45.72%	32.01%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.11%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%		
20	31.99%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%		
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.15%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8024			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
Primary Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%	
		R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.75%	52.09%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%	
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.43%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%	
		R_Langford	1.13%	1.44%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%	
		R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.28%	0.76%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%	
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%	
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%	
		R_White	1.63%	2.61%	2.10%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.49%	54.51%	50.42%	32.88%	31.83%	28.95%	
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.40%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%	
		D_Greene	5.73%	13.96%	8.69%	10.09%	9.35%	9.66%	10.62%	7.94%	
		D_King	1.44%	4.29%	3.75%	0.97%	0.75%	2.33%	2.11%	1.54%	
		D_Levine	10.71%	19.18%	12.45%	20.39%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%	
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.30%	0.38%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.83%	1.64%	0.66%	0.35%	0.32%	1.27%	0.97%	0.68%	
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.46%	56.36%	53.22%	52.08%	54.82%	54.79%	
		R_White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.02%	46.69%	47.88%	45.11%	45.20%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.44%	81.48%	82.11%	67.77%	69.58%	74.09%	
		D_Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.50%	17.89%	32.10%	30.43%	25.91%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.66%	36.42%	34.83%	43.37%	39.76%	42.29%	42.07%	40.18%	
		R_Grimsley	21.35%	31.97%	31.49%	25.63%	31.45%	29.71%	31.57%	32.70%	
		R_McCalister	8.68%	16.25%	15.43%	20.36%	17.11%	12.78%	16.62%	16.76%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	R_Troutman	34.11%	15.22%	18.23%	8.98%	11.08%	15.05%	9.61%	10.37%	
		D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.25%	63.09%	59.01%	52.18%	53.25%	59.89%	
		D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.02%	20.45%	15.13%	
	US Senate (REP)	D_Walker	19.86%	26.32%	27.30%	20.39%	23.61%	27.59%	26.21%	24.88%	
		R_De La Fuente	10.21%	10.06%	11.28%	14.63%	15.75%	9.81%	12.28%	12.63%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Scott	89.71%	89.89%	88.71%	84.79%	84.04%	90.09%	87.66%	87.32%	
		R_Beruff	22.30%	17.11%	17.63%	14.34%	8.71%	8.85%	6.43%	5.58%	
		R_Rivera	3.71%	3.21%	2.45%	4.70%	3.26%	2.20%	2.94%	1.88%	
		R_Rubio	67.99%	71.92%	74.54%	70.07%	80.21%	85.24%	85.70%	88.87%	
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Young	5.81%	7.56%	5.30%	9.26%	7.44%	3.59%	4.86%	3.46%	
		D_De La Fuente	4.12%	14.95%	3.92%	2.89%	5.51%	19.30%	13.76%	12.16%	
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.73%	9.83%	10.82%	11.17%	11.16%	11.19%	
		D_Keith	15.18%	9.79%	12.71%	14.50%	13.85%	13.73%	15.63%	17.86%	
		D_Luster	12.08%	1.26%	2.28%	2.38%	2.68%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
		D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.28%	69.92%	66.92%	53.19%	57.51%	56.90%	
2014	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.30%	1.69%	1.67%	2.76%	2.97%	1.46%	1.77%	1.80%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.10%	12.04%	9.60%	14.69%	16.32%	10.61%	15.19%	13.26%	
		R_Scott	90.48%	86.09%	88.63%	80.96%	80.33%	87.73%	82.95%	84.83%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.88%	84.40%	76.74%	78.42%	73.98%	
		D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.99%	15.59%	22.84%	21.48%	25.89%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.68%	38.96%	46.71%	58.73%	61.40%	65.55%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	61.00%	53.26%	40.91%	38.48%	34.37%	
		R_Mack	57.59%	49.35%	58.32%	64.13%	71.72%	73.46%	73.64%	77.15%	
		R_McCalister	18.65%	11.93%	10.92%	13.18%	6.84%	8.01%	7.36%	5.18%	
		R_Stuart	5.93%	6.58%	4.87%	7.15%	13.14%	12.37%	13.26%	12.99%	
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.36%	7.99%	5.92%	5.67%	4.46%	
		D_Burkett	22.03%	19.38%	13.66%	14.19%	14.02%	21.21%	18.40%	14.76%	
		D_Nelson	77.90%	80.61%	86.30%	85.66%	85.94%	78.58%	81.49%	85.11%	

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8024			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.63%	73.35%	74.13%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.34%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.67%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.11%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.89%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.80%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%	
		R_Putnam	44.41%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%	



THE FLORIDA SENATE

COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

Location
2000 The Capitol

Mailing Address
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100
(850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, *Chair*
Senator Doug Broxson, *Vice Chair*

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, *Staff Director*

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
From: Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
Subject: Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
Date: October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directed to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412

Case No.: -

Type:

Caption: Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment

Judge:

Started: 11/29/2021 10:02:13 AM

Ends: 11/29/2021 10:20:39 AM

Length: 00:18:27

10:02:12 AM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll
10:02:24 AM Chair Bradley makes introductory remarks
10:04:11 AM Tab 1: Workshop on Congressional Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
10:11:28 AM Chair Bradley asks if there are any questions; there are none
10:13:54 AM Sen. Stewart asks a question
10:14:11 AM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
10:17:52 AM Sen. Harrell asks a question
10:18:08 AM Chair Bradley responds
10:18:49 AM Sen. Harrell makes a comment
10:19:17 AM Tab 2: Public Comment
10:20:15 AM Meeting adjourned