

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA**

BLACK VOTERS MATTER  
CAPACITY BUILDING  
INSTITUTE, INC., et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

CORD BYRD, in his official  
capacity as Florida Secretary of  
State, et al.,

Respondents.

**Case No.: SC22-685**

L.T. No.: 1D22-1470  
2022-ca-000666

---

**APPENDIX OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY PETITION  
FOR CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT**

---

---

**VOLUME VIII**

---

Frederick S. Wermuth  
Florida Bar No. 0184111  
Thomas A. Zehnder  
Florida Bar No. 0063274  
King, Blackwell, Zehnder  
& Wermuth, P.A.  
P.O. Box 1631  
Orlando, Florida 32802  
(407) 422-2472  
Facsimile: (407) 648-0161  
[fwermuth@kbzwlaw.com](mailto:fwermuth@kbzwlaw.com)  
[tzehnder@kbzwlaw.com](mailto:tzehnder@kbzwlaw.com)

Christina A. Ford  
Florida Bar No. 1011634  
Joseph N. Posimato+  
Graham W. White++  
Harleen K. Gambhir++  
Elias Law Group LLP  
10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
(202) 968-4490  
Facsimile: (202) 968-4498  
[cford@elias.law](mailto:cford@elias.law)  
[jposimato@elias.law](mailto:jposimato@elias.law)  
[gwhite@elias.law](mailto:gwhite@elias.law)  
[hgambhir@elias.law](mailto:hgambhir@elias.law)

+Admitted Pro hac vice  
++Pro hac vice application  
forthcoming

*Counsel for Petitioners*

Abha Khanna++  
Jonathan P. Hawley++  
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP  
1700 Seventh Avenue  
Suite 2100  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 656-0177  
Facsimile: (206) 656-0180  
[akhanna@elias.law](mailto:akhanna@elias.law)  
[jhawley@elias.law](mailto:jhawley@elias.law)

John M. Devaney+  
Perkins Coie LLP  
700 Thirteenth Street N.W.,  
Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 654-6200  
Facsimile: (202) 654-6211  
[jdevaney@perkinscoie.com](mailto:jdevaney@perkinscoie.com)

RECEIVED, 05/27/2022 06:35:21 PM, Clerk, Supreme Court

## **INDEX**

<b><u>Date</u></b>	<b><u>Document Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>Volume VIII</b>		
2022 05-03	Transcript of Hearing – Status Conference.....	1583-1646

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on May 27, 2022 I electronically filed the foregoing using the State of Florida ePortal Filing System, which will serve an electronic copy to counsel in the Service List below.

/s/ Frederick S. Wermuth  
Frederick S. Wermuth  
Florida Bar No. 0184111

*Counsel for Petitioners*

## SERVICE LIST

Bradley R. McVay  
Ashley Davis  
Florida Department of State  
R.A. Gray Building  
500 South Bronough Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
brad.mcvay@dos.myflorida.com  
ashley.davis@dos.myflorida.com

Mohammed O. Jazil  
Michael Beato  
Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky  
& Josefiak, PLLC  
119 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
mjazil@holtzmanvogel.com  
mbeato@holtzmanvogel.com

*Counsel for Florida Secretary of  
State*

Andy Bardos, Esq.  
GrayRobinson, P.A.  
301 S. Bronough Street, Suite 600  
Tallahassee, FL 32302  
andy.bardos@gray-robinson.com

*Counsel Chris Sprouls and  
Thomas J. Leek*

Henry C. Whitaker  
Daniel W. Bell  
Jeffrey Paul DeSousa  
David M. Costello  
Office of the Attorney General  
The Capitol, PL-01  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com  
daniel.bell@myfloridalegal.com  
jeffrey.desousa@myfloridalegal.com  
david.costello@myfloridalegal.com  
jenna.hodges@myfloridalegal.com

*Counsel for Florida Attorney  
General*

Daniel E. Nordby  
Shutts & Bowen LLP  
215 S. Monroe Street  
Suite 804  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
ndordby@shutts.com

*Counsel for Florida Senate, Ray  
Rodrigues, and Wilton Simpson*

Black Voters Matter Capacity Building Institute, Inc.

vs.

Laurel M. Lee

---

Hearing Before:

Judge J. Layne Smith

May 03, 2022

---

PHIPPS REPORTING

*Raising the Bar!*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,  
IN AND FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

BLACK VOTERS MATTER CAPACITY  
BUILDING INSTITUTE, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,  
vs.

Case No.: 2022-ca-000666

LAUREL M. LEE, in her official capacity  
as Florida Secretary of State, et al.,

Defendants.

---

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Status Conference

PAGES 1 - 47

DATE TAKEN: Tuesday, May 3, 2022  
TIME: 2:36 p.m. - 3:26 p.m.  
PLACE: Via Zoom Platform  
BEFORE: J. Layne Smith, Circuit Judge

This cause came on to be heard at the time and  
place aforesaid, when and where the following  
proceedings were stenographically reported by:

TRACY L. BROWN

Job No.: 248603

1 APPEARANCES:

2 (All parties appearing remotely)

3 On behalf of Plaintiffs:

4 FREDERICK S. WERMUTH, ESQUIRE  
5 King Blackwell Zehnder & Wermuth PA  
6 PO Box 1631  
7 Orlando, FL 32802-1631  
8 407-422-2472  
9 fwormuth@kbzwlaw.com

10 and  
11 JOHN M. DEVANEY, ESQUIRE  
12 Perkins Coie  
13 700 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite 600  
14 Washington, DC 20005  
15 202-654-6200

16 jdevaney@perkinscoie.com  
17 and

18 ABHA KHANNA, ESQUIRE  
19 and JONATHAN P. HAWLEY, ESQUIRE  
20 and JOSEPH N. POSIMATO, ESQUIRE  
21 Elias Law Group LLP  
22 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100  
23 Seattle, Washington 98101  
24 206-656-0177  
25 akhanna@elias.law

On behalf of Defendants:

MOHAMMAD O. JAZIL, ESQUIRE  
Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky  
119 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
850-270-5938

mjazil@holtzmanvogel.com  
and

BILAL FARUQUI, ESQUIRE  
Office of the Attorney General  
PL-01 The Capitol  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
850-414-3757  
bilal.faruqui@myfloridalegal.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2 (All parties appearing remotely)

3 On behalf of Defendants:

4 ANDRE BARDOS, ESQUIRE

GrayRobinson, P.A.

5 PO Box 11189

Tallahassee, FL 32302-3189

6 850-577-9090

andy.bardos@gray-robinson.com

7 and

DANIEL NORDBY, ESQUIRE

8 Shutts & Bowen

215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 804

9 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858

850-241-1717

10 dnordby@shutts.com

and

11 JASON ROJAS, ESQUIRE

2000 The Capitol

12 404 S. Monroe Street

Tallahassee, FL 32399-6526

13 850-487-5855

rojas.jason@flsenate.gov

14

15 ALSO PRESENT ON ZOOM:

16 Ashley E. Davis

Brandon Gamble

17 Harleen Gambhir

Leda Kelly

18 Angie Price

19

20

21 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

47

22

23

24

25

1 Thereupon,

2 The following proceedings began at 2:36

3 p.m.:

4 MR. WERMUTH My name is Fritz Wermuth and  
5 I'm here on behalf of the plaintiffs. And I'm  
6 accompanied by John Devaney who will be  
7 speaking for the plaintiffs.

8 THE COURT: Very good.

9 MR. WERMUTH I'm not aware of anyone else  
10 from the plaintiffs who is missing. I know we  
11 have Abha Khanna who is counsel for the  
12 plaintiffs as well. And Jonathan Hawley and  
13 Joe Posimato. And then my assistant, Angie  
14 Price, is on as well.

15 THE COURT: All right. Very good.  
16 Welcome, everyone, on that end.

17 Let me ask for the people here on behalf  
18 of the defendants to make your appearance.

19 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, Mohammad Jazil on  
20 behalf of Secretary Lee.

21 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

22 MR. FARUQUI: Good afternoon. Bilal  
23 Faruqui on behalf of Attorney General Moody.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 MR. BARDOS: Your Honor, good afternoon.



1 Andy Bardos with the Gray Robinson Law Firm on  
2 behalf of Speaker Sprowls and Chairman Lee, the  
3 two Florida House defendants.

4 THE COURT: Got it. All right. Go ahead.  
5 Anyone else?

6 MR. NORDBY: Good afternoon, Judge. Dan  
7 Nordby from Shutts & Bowen here on behalf of  
8 the Florida Senate defendants.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nordby.  
10 All right. Anyone else that we've missed  
11 out of the group?

12 All right. So, we have a case that  
13 requires an expedited timeframe. So, I take it  
14 everybody is in -- it's extraordinary from the  
15 standpoint of accepting service or stepping in  
16 now without going through the service of  
17 process and 40 days to answer, those types of  
18 things.

19 I see where there's been a three-hour  
20 request and some time set aside this next week  
21 for a temporary injunction hearing. Is that  
22 what we need to do as opposed to a trial of the  
23 case? In other words, it may be that  
24 everybody's on the same page and you say, yeah,  
25 the temporary injunction would do what we need.

1 And I guess if I'm envisioning that, we would  
2 have an evidentiary hearing, whatever decision  
3 I made would then go to the DCA who would then  
4 send to the Supreme Court or maybe it pauses at  
5 the DCA, they render a decision and order on  
6 it, and then it goes to the Supreme Court. But  
7 my understanding is candidates need to know  
8 what their district is, whether they're in or  
9 not, depending on what their district would be.  
10 And the Supervisors of Election need to know --  
11 I think I've read May the 27th, but sometime  
12 before the end of this month so that we don't  
13 have a chaotic ballot down the line.  
14 So, is it a matter of if it's a temporary  
15 injunction, whichever way it goes, that's what  
16 would happen for this next election cycle,  
17 August of 2023, November of -- I don't mean  
18 2023. 2022. November of 2022. And then if we  
19 came back and had a trial, if there was a  
20 difference, then that would affect subsequent  
21 elections? Or do we actually need to have a  
22 trial rather than a temporary injunction  
23 hearing where everybody lays out whatever  
24 evidence they would have and wrap it up, again,  
25 by the end of next week probably so we could

1 get it to the next appellate court in time to  
2 get us an answer that the candidates and the  
3 Supervisors of Election could work with?

4 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, John Devaney for  
5 the plaintiffs. May I respond?

6 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

7 MR. DEVANEY: Thank you. And thank you  
8 for letting me appear pro hac vice, Your Honor.  
9 I appreciate it.

10 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

11 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, the plaintiffs'  
12 strong view is that we should proceed to a  
13 preliminary injunction hearing next week  
14 because we need expedited relief. And the  
15 issues that were presented in our preliminary  
16 injunction motion are really quite  
17 straightforward.

18 As Your Honor may be aware, they focus on  
19 northern Florida, and in particular,  
20 Congressional District 5. And the facts should  
21 be largely undisputed that that former district  
22 has been dismantled and that black voters who  
23 were in that district no longer have the  
24 ability to elect preferred candidates of their  
25 choice. About 370,000 black voters in that

1 district have been dispersed to surrounding  
2 majority-white districts. And therefore there  
3 shouldn't be much dispute that their ability to  
4 elect has been diminished. And diminishment of  
5 minority voter rights in that way is a clear  
6 violation of the Florida Constitution.

7 So we think the issue we presented, Your  
8 Honor, is really straightforward. We  
9 deliberately limited it to that district and to  
10 northern Florida so that the Court can  
11 hopefully enter immediate relief on a very  
12 straightforward record with very clear legal  
13 arguments as to why the dismantling of  
14 Congressional District 5 is in violation of the  
15 Fair District Amendment to the Constitution.

16 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Devaney, I have read  
17 the entire complaint. I've read, I won't tell  
18 you every piece of paper that has been filed,  
19 but I've read a lot of them. And I bogged down  
20 a little bit of the statistics, I may need  
21 somebody to get me a little bit more  
22 explanation on. But it's more than just  
23 Congressional District 5.

24 And so I'm back to this, it doesn't  
25 surprise me if everybody said, you know,

1     there's not a whole lot at issue as far as  
2     factual disputes, it's here's what happened and  
3     does it meet the standard or not.             But why is a  
4     temporary injunction hearing superior to, let's  
5     call it a trial, and if we need an extra hour  
6     or three hours or three days, we get it done?  
7     In other words, rip the Band-Aid off, here's  
8     the answer, go to the appellate court and  
9     whatever decision the appellate court winds up  
10    rendering, then everybody knows what they've  
11    got.

12           MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, the response to  
13    that is our complaint raises issues that go  
14    significantly beyond what we've asked for in  
15    our preliminary injunction motion.             For  
16    example, our complaint alleges that there is  
17    intent on the part of the legislature and the  
18    governor in dismantling CD 5 and the way other  
19    districts in the map were drawn.

20           By contrast -- and that ripples well  
21    beyond CD 5 within our complaint.

22           By contrast, in our PI motion, we're  
23    simply saying that the effect of dismantling CD  
24    5 is to diminish voting rights of black voters  
25    in that district.             So it's a much narrower

1 issue than what is set forth in our complaint.

2 And the issues in our complaint, including  
3 the issues of intent, the issues related to  
4 other districts, are going to require some  
5 discovery. And realistically, that can't be  
6 accomplished in the short timeframe we have  
7 before us which is why we framed our PI motion  
8 in a very narrow fashion focusing on a district  
9 where the facts should largely be  
10 uncontroverted.

11 THE COURT: All right. Well, how's it  
12 going to work as far as a candidate deciding  
13 whether they're going to run or not and not  
14 knowing what their district is if it's not been  
15 decided what the other 27 districts are?

16 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, the way -- the  
17 remedy that we have offered and requested is  
18 simply to swap out CD 5. And we have two other  
19 maps that the legislature passed in one form or  
20 another. The Senate map, and that map was  
21 passed by both the House and the Senate, that  
22 maintains the east/west configuration of  
23 Congressional District 5. And the remedy we're  
24 seeking here is simply to swap in that version  
25 of CD 5, which will largely leave the rest of

1 the districts unchanged. And so there  
2 shouldn't be much difficulty at all in  
3 candidates determining what districts they'll  
4 be running in because of the narrowness of the  
5 remedy that we're seeking in PI motion.

6 Your Honor, the other point I would make  
7 in connection with our complaint is we've also  
8 alleged partisan gerrymandering in our  
9 complaints. And that, too, is going to require  
10 some discovery. It's not the subject of our PI  
11 motion. And that's another reason why  
12 proceeding immediately to a trial on the merits  
13 would be not feasible in this situation.

14 THE COURT: All right. But from your  
15 perspective, the plaintiffs' perspective, if  
16 the court rules whether with you or against you  
17 or somewhere in between on Congressional  
18 District 5 that has been signed by the Governor  
19 but different from what originally was passed  
20 by the House and the Senate, that that would  
21 put the State in a position that Supervisor of  
22 Election and candidates to go forward with the  
23 rest of the districts and whatever happens with  
24 District 5?

25 MR. DEVANEY: That is correct, Your Honor.

1 And as Your Honor's probably aware, we put in  
2 two affidavits from Supervisors of Election in  
3 Broward and Leon County who have affirmed that  
4 from their perspective, they would be able to  
5 implement a map that changed the configuration  
6 of CD 5 and largely left the other districts  
7 unchanged in a manner which we're proposing.

8 THE COURT: All right. Other than hearing  
9 from you, let me hear -- and I don't know if  
10 there's going to be one lead spokesman on  
11 behalf of the defendants. Or if I need to hear  
12 from each of you, I'll be glad to, but what  
13 says the defense?

14 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, Mohammad Jazil on  
15 behalf of the Secretary of State. I'll let my  
16 friends, Mr. Nordby, Mr. Bardos, and  
17 Mr. Faruqui speak for their respective clients.

18 But from the Department of State's  
19 perspective, our perspective is this: It is  
20 now too late to undo the map that has already  
21 been enacted. The Florida Legislature passed  
22 and the Governor signed a map, Supervisors of  
23 Elections, candidates and others should be and  
24 are relying on that map to prepare for the  
25 elections that are upcoming. The elections



1 that are upcoming begin with the August  
2 primary, the ballots for which need to be sent  
3 out 45 days before the election.

4 Prior to those ballots being sent out,  
5 there is an election (audio disruption) that  
6 must be undertaken. My friend, Mr. Devaney,  
7 pointed out that he has affidavits from two  
8 supervisors, Leon County Supervisor Mark Earley  
9 and Supervisor Scott in Broward County. I note  
10 that Supervisor Scott in Broward County has  
11 nothing to do with the relief that's being  
12 sought because his purview is the southeast  
13 Florida county that is not affected.

14 Second, I note that my friend Mr. Devaney  
15 discussed swapping out Congressional District 5  
16 as enacted by the legislature, 4 and 5, in  
17 northeast Florida with (audio disruption)  
18 that's already on the books as Congressional  
19 District 5 or some version of what the  
20 legislature passed and the Governor vetoed.  
21 That alternative congressional district, Your  
22 Honor, spans eight counties. So we need to  
23 assess whether or not the Supervisors of  
24 Elections in those eight counties have the  
25 time, the (audio distortion) to implement that

1 new map prior to elections being administered.

2 Our perspective is it's too late to

3 provide the plaintiffs the remedy they seek.

4 In addition, Your Honor, we do disagree with

5 some of the factual underpinnings of the expert

6 reports that have been provided. To the extent

7 that there's data from the State's websites,

8 the State redistricting website, I doubt we

9 will dispute that. But the expert reports lay

10 out a theory of the case which we would like to

11 provide you with appropriate expert reports on

12 our side.

13 I do agree with Mr. Devaney, though, their

14 complaint raises issues that would require

15 certain legwork. The partisan intent claims,

16 for example, and a few other issues. So I'll

17 stop there, Your Honor, and see if my friends

18 for the defense have anything further to add.

19 MR. BARDOS: Nothing to add, Your Honor,

20 for the House defendants.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Nordby?

22 MR. NORDBY: Judge, I just mention and I

23 neglected to mentioned earlier that Jason Rojas

24 for the Florida Senate in-house counsel is also

25 here on the call.

1           Nothing to add specific to the timing.  
2           The motion for injunctive relief doesn't appear  
3           to seek any relief as against the Florida  
4           Senate.    Just as a procedural matter, I note  
5           that the Senate was -- was just recently  
6           served, has not filed an answer yet, so the  
7           pleadings aren't closed.           And I'm not sure that  
8           the issue would be -- that the case would be at  
9           issue for trial as opposed to consideration of  
10          a preliminary injunction.

11          But as beyond that on the timing, I defer  
12          to Mr. Jazil and Secretary of State.

13          THE COURT: Okay.   Anyone else?

14          MR. FARUQUI: Your Honor, on behalf of the  
15          Attorney General, the Attorney General doesn't  
16          take any position regarding the scheduling  
17          concerns.

18          THE COURT: Understood.   All right.

19          Perhaps none of us asked to be in this  
20          position and yet here we are.

21          This is not a regular case that we can  
22          say, you got 120 days to get service of process  
23          and then if you're the State, you get 40 days  
24          to answer.   And then we'll come up with a  
25          discovery schedule and a uniform trial order

1 and all those other things because candidates  
2 need to know what congressional district is  
3 their district to make a decision on whether  
4 they're running in it or if it's a lost cause,  
5 to not run in it. And these things need to  
6 have been determined so the Supervisor of  
7 Election can avoid chaos and fix -- to put  
8 together the ballots for the different  
9 precincts that they've got in an orderly way.

10 So we don't have the luxury of time. But  
11 it's also, to me, not an acceptable answer to  
12 say, stinks to be the plaintiffs, and there's  
13 just not time to give you any remedy at all  
14 here because just the timing the way this  
15 happened. You have a decennial population  
16 count. We have a real allocation of  
17 Congressional seats where Florida picks up an  
18 additional Congressional seat, good for us,  
19 that gives us more clout with the federal  
20 government, one more electoral vote and all  
21 those things. And it is what it is according  
22 to the formula.

23 But we have constitutional -- State  
24 constitutional language and federal law that  
25 we've all got to abide by and work through on

1 this.

2 So we need to have, at a minimum, a  
3 temporary injunction hearing. What would make  
4 the most sense -- and I understand it's not  
5 where the case is at issue and more than 20  
6 days have gone past from the last, you know,  
7 disturbance of the waters on the pleadings. We  
8 don't have time to do that. But the  
9 legislature had a special session, the Governor  
10 very recently signed off on the law. So the  
11 parts of government have done what they needed  
12 to do to set the hook to have us here. The  
13 plaintiffs, nobody can say they waited too late  
14 to press their point because I don't know how  
15 you -- any of us could have worked any faster  
16 all in good faith to get where we're at.

17 So right now, my office had blocked off a  
18 three-hour stretch of time to hold a temporary  
19 injunction. I think you're calling it a PI,  
20 preliminary injunction. But a temporary  
21 injunction hearing, Wednesday the 11th from  
22 9:00 to noon. Not trying to make y'all be  
23 longer than you need to be, but I want to make  
24 sure that I also give you any time that you  
25 need to have.

1           So to the extent we need more time, I can  
2     give you more time that day.           To the extent  
3     everybody could agree, wouldn't that be nice --  
4     you know, couldn't we all just get along -- I  
5     was going to say to the extent everybody could  
6     agree, I can give you -- I will have the  
7     ability to block off as much time as I need to  
8     this next calendar week, even if that means a  
9     senior judge or one of my colleagues has to  
10    cover other things, that can be done because it  
11    is important that we give this the seriousness  
12    and attention it needs to to get it out of my  
13    hands to the next court, the District Court of  
14    Appeal and potentially to the Supreme Court or  
15    up to the DCA to decide if it's a straight shot  
16    from me to the Supreme Court or not.

17           If we have a temporary injunction hearing  
18    on the 11th, is three hours enough?           Does that  
19    put the defense in a position where they can  
20    get what witnesses, what exhibit put together  
21    they need to put together to make their case?

22           MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, from plaintiffs'  
23    perspective, as I described earlier, our view  
24    is that the issues we presented are really  
25    straightforward and supported by the affidavits

1 and expert reports we've submitted as well as  
2 obviously our legal argument. And we actually  
3 think this is a matter that could be resolved  
4 through oral argument without witnesses. Of  
5 course, if Your Honor would like to hear from  
6 our witnesses, we'll make them available.

7 But part of the benefit, if you will, the  
8 narrowness of the relief we've requested is --  
9 we think the facts are straightforward, they're  
10 established by the affidavits we provided. And  
11 it's not absolutely necessary for witnesses to  
12 to be there unless Your Honor would like to  
13 examine them.

14 THE COURT: Here's kind of the way that  
15 works, collectively -- and here's the good  
16 thing, we've got very good attorneys, very  
17 seasoned attorneys, very professional attorneys  
18 representing both sides. It's not anybody's  
19 first rodeo. The attorneys can talk and agree  
20 to either have witnesses with direct  
21 examination, cross-examination, if I needed to  
22 weigh in with a question to make sure I had --  
23 I was getting it, I fully understand what's  
24 being said, I would do that. Y'all could agree  
25 that the plaintiffs are going to have

1 affidavits and there's going to be a stipulated  
2 group of exhibits admitted, the defendant --  
3 you could agree the defendants could have  
4 counter affidavits or we could have testimony.  
5 Does not matter to me which way it is, but if  
6 the two of you can agree that, from a facts  
7 standpoint, there is not a lot of issue so it's  
8 not like, you know, whose credibility do you  
9 believe about who ran the red light or who shot  
10 Johnny or whatever the factual issue is, it's  
11 just a matter of, here are certain facts that  
12 are done, here's what happened that nobody  
13 disputes, here may be a little bit of the spin  
14 from both sides, not picking out one over the  
15 other, and then you make your arguments, we can  
16 do that.

17 I'm not going to tell you not to have a  
18 live witness or somebody that gives direct  
19 and -- examination who's subject to  
20 cross-examination because that may be exactly  
21 what we need. It will be up to everybody else  
22 involved to decide whether y'all agree to  
23 submit affidavits or you're going to have live  
24 witnesses.

25 So if -- and I can see where that would



1     affect the length of time we need.                     So I've  
2     already told you, I will make as much time  
3     available as I need to not because that's not  
4     necessarily easy for my schedule, and I don't  
5     expect it to be easy for anybody else's  
6     schedule either, but because it's important  
7     that we take the time to do this, to do it  
8     right so that when it does go to the appellate  
9     court, both sides have had a full opportunity  
10    to be heard, there's been a decision on the  
11    merits regardless of what my decision is,  
12    regardless, it will be appealed.                     And it goes  
13    to the appellate court with the idea that they  
14    know exactly what the evidence is, what I  
15    ruled, why I ruled that, what case law I was  
16    hanging my hat on, what language out of the  
17    Constitution I was hanging my hat on, where  
18    they'll have a de novo review and they can  
19    decide -- and think about it this way, they've  
20    got a lot more resources from the standpoint of  
21    not only a multiple judge panel but the lawyers  
22    that they had that worked for those judges.                     So  
23    what that will do is that will put us in a  
24    position to get everyone an answer that they  
25    need so that we know what the district -- if

1 it's going to be limited to up or down.  
2 District, as it has been before and was passed  
3 out of the Senate and the House before Governor  
4 DeSantis exercised his constitutional right to  
5 veto before the special session and the new  
6 configuration. So whichever that is.

7 And I did -- I was listening, and it also  
8 made sense to me from what I had already read  
9 as well as the maps I had looked at. If it's  
10 old District 5 or the new District 5 -- if it's  
11 old District 5, that is going to affect, I  
12 think I heard eight other counties, could be.

13 But I know it's not a hundred percent the same  
14 or we wouldn't be talking about it, right? So  
15 there would be some in and out that people  
16 would have to look at as far as how it affected  
17 the district they were in and what precincts  
18 were affected for different Supervisors of  
19 Election. But that's why this needs to be done  
20 in time so we can get it out of my hands as  
21 soon as possible, next week if possible, to the  
22 appellate courts so they can render the  
23 decision quick enough for people to use.

24 If we get to the end of that process and  
25 one of two things, if we are fortunate enough

1 where at the end and both sides said we rest  
2 and I said, well you know what, I actually know  
3 what my ruling is and here's what it is, I  
4 would say that. Odds are, after hearing three  
5 or four hours of testimony and argument, I'm  
6 going to make sure I digest it and go back and  
7 look at the case law on it as well as the  
8 constitutional language to make sure that I'm  
9 getting as right as I can. But I also  
10 understand there's -- I need to -- the old  
11 saying, good today is better than perfect  
12 tomorrow may be. I mean, I don't want to get  
13 it wrong but it's also important that I get an  
14 answer out as quickly as possible so we can get  
15 it in the hands of the next court.

16 So, it may well be that if we finish up at  
17 noon, anywhere around that, if I can either  
18 later that day or the next day, either later  
19 that Wednesday afternoon or Thursday, I will  
20 come back and say, all right, here's what I'm  
21 going to rule and why, which means, depending  
22 on who the prevailing party would be, I need  
23 one side or the other to assist in drafting an  
24 order for these reasons. Here's the evidence  
25 for these reasons. In which case, we can get

1 something over to the appellate court as  
2 quickly as possible.

3 I could do something that the other  
4 judges, whether it's Judge Dempsey, Judge  
5 Cooper, a number of judges I've seen them do in  
6 the past where on these quick turnarounds, they  
7 will say, I'm going to give you an oral ruling  
8 and then I'm going to tell the -- whether it's  
9 the plaintiffs or the defendants that's  
10 happiest with that ruling -- or maybe neither  
11 one of you are happy -- but either way,  
12 somebody, you know, document it up or what do  
13 we have to do to get it as quickly as possible  
14 to the appellate court. Again, because they  
15 have resources to look at it. And ultimately,  
16 it is going to be the appellate court's review  
17 and decision which holds. Whether they say I  
18 agree with what Judge Smith did or I disagree  
19 in part or -- disagree in part or Smith just  
20 plain got it wrong, hope not, but whatever we  
21 get, it's important that we get them in a  
22 position where they can render their order in  
23 time by the end of May so that the election can  
24 happen, people can campaign, it can be  
25 systemically put on the ballot with the right

1 precincts.

2 Have y'all talked about disclosure of  
3 witnesses and exhibits? I know that the  
4 plaintiffs -- the plaintiffs should have a  
5 little bit of advantage in knowing what they're  
6 doing because they're the one that called  
7 everybody else to the party, right? So they  
8 have been working and they have already filed a  
9 number of things, like over a thousand pages,  
10 so -- not that I'm counting it that way, but  
11 just as far as what I've seen and what I'm  
12 going to need to see from the other side, too.  
13 I'll do the exact same thing. I try to read  
14 what I can beforehand, not to form an opinion  
15 as much as understand what I've got, what the  
16 issues are likely to be, what I anticipate from  
17 the other side, what I anticipate as far as  
18 time requirements.

19 Have the sides discussed witnesses, what  
20 those witnesses would say, and any additional  
21 exhibits that the defense -- I'm sure the  
22 defense would have exhibits that I'm not aware  
23 about yet. Have y'all gotten there?

24 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, John Devaney  
25 again for the plaintiffs, we have not had those

1 discussions among the parties. I will say that  
2 this is a group of lawyers who have lived  
3 together for about a decade, the prior  
4 redistricting cycle, other voting matters. And  
5 I'm pretty confident that we can work together  
6 to come up with an arrangement with respect to  
7 whether there's live testimony and also  
8 exchange of exhibits and witnesses and the like  
9 that is fair to everybody and it gives the  
10 Court the necessary notice that it needs.

11 So, just from a history of all working  
12 together, I'm confident we can do that.

13 And, Your Honor, one -- while I have the  
14 floor, one issue we do need to think about is a  
15 briefing schedule. We submitted our motion for  
16 preliminary -- for temporary injunction. I  
17 believe it was about eight days ago. I may  
18 have that number wrong, but roughly a week ago.

19 THE COURT: Yep. Go ahead.

20 MR. DEVANEY: You know, we would ask -- we  
21 anticipate a written response. We would ask  
22 that that be filed promptly. They've had our  
23 motion for roughly a week. And we would like  
24 notice well in advance of Wednesday's hearing  
25 of what their arguments are, what evidence they

1 intend to put forward in a response. We would

2 ask the Court to address that issue.

3 THE COURT: My guess is you could stand up  
4 and tell me what they were going to say.  
5 Coming up -- particularly since y'all have  
6 worked together in other matters.

7 All right. So from the defense  
8 standpoint, which is it -- in fairness to you  
9 as well because this is not the only thing you  
10 have to do but this is a very important thing.  
11 So when is it that y'all would be in a position  
12 to provide the plaintiffs with some, at least,  
13 thumbnail sketch of here's what your argument's  
14 going to be, here's what either testimony or  
15 exhibits can expect in response?

16 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, we were hoping to  
17 file a written response in opposition on the  
18 9th. And we accept including with that any  
19 affidavits from lay witnesses as well as expert  
20 reports.

21 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, you can imagine  
22 my reaction to that. The 9th, I think, is the  
23 day before the 10th, which is the date that the  
24 hearing is scheduled --

25 THE COURT: Is it the 10th or the 11th?

1 MR. DEVANEY: Maybe I'm off a day.

2 THE COURT: I believe it's the 11th.

3 Again, not a long of time difference, but yeah.

4 MR. DEVANEY: Yeah. And that would be  
5 quite prejudicial. They've been -- they've had  
6 this motion for, as I said, roughly a week.

7 And they've also known this is coming.

8 Everyone knew this was going to be a map that  
9 was going to be subject to legal challenge.

10 And I would request that we have meaningfully  
11 more notice than that of their response brief  
12 so that we can assess what evidence we need to  
13 respond to it, what legal arguments we need to  
14 craft in response to their response. And  
15 filing this week in these circumstances seems  
16 like the fair way to go.

17 I originally was going to suggest that  
18 they file tomorrow by close of business, which  
19 would be seven or eight days after they got our  
20 brief. But certainly something this week  
21 would --

22 THE COURT: Again -- all right. So if I'm  
23 the plaintiff in the case, and I put  
24 together -- and you have, you have worked very  
25 diligently and you've put forward a pretty



1 streamlined product, so I applaud that. But  
2 you didn't have a couple of days or eight days  
3 to do it, you've had a lead up to now. So in  
4 fairness to the defendants, particularly when  
5 we're asking for an expedited -- you know,  
6 everybody, five-alarm expedited basis,  
7 everybody's just going -- in other words, this  
8 is a little bit different than your regular  
9 case. So it doesn't -- I would want more  
10 notice than the Monday before the Wednesday  
11 hearing. I think I've got those days of the  
12 week right. And yet at the same time, I can  
13 understand if I'm the defendant and just  
14 absorbing this and figuring out what my  
15 strategy is and lining up the people that would  
16 be necessary to either get an affidavit or to  
17 have available to testify live at trial or at  
18 the hearing if that's the way it plays out.  
19 It's not -- it doesn't shock my conscience  
20 that they're saying, we'd really like through  
21 the end of that weekend so that we can get  
22 everything lined up right for filing on Monday.  
23 So, I can't make everybody happy. And so  
24 I do my best not to unnecessarily upset  
25 anybody. But, Mr. Devaney, I think you may --

1 I don't know how you're going to be getting  
2 anything better than that. Here's what I would  
3 say, if the defense can do it sooner than that,  
4 then out of professional courtesy, disclose  
5 that and provide that to them sooner than that.  
6 But if you can't, I can't say that I'm up in  
7 arms that it would take to that because my  
8 guess is you both know what your -- what you  
9 would expect the other side to argue. And if  
10 you had the ability -- you would have the  
11 ability, every one of you, if you needed to  
12 flip roles -- not that you would, but like if  
13 you played for the Dallas Cowboys and got  
14 traded to the Philadelphia Eagles, you know,  
15 they could out you in your position and you'd  
16 still play ball, right? So I don't think  
17 either one of you is going to be surprised  
18 necessarily by what the response is because as  
19 you say, this has been out there in the offing  
20 for a while.  
21 That being said, no later than the 9th,  
22 which I believe is the Monday. And if you can  
23 do it sooner than that, please do. I need  
24 y'all to talk beforehand as far as whether it's  
25 going to be live witnesses or affidavits,

1 including any of the experts on that end.

2 If I need -- and it may be that -- I  
3 believe I got a notebook -- what I had done is  
4 I read online as much as I could, which is kind  
5 of a crazy way to do it, just flipping through  
6 the pages. But I believe the plaintiffs have  
7 provided notebooks that have premarked versions  
8 of the documents they have referenced and filed  
9 with the clerk of court. That would be greatly  
10 helpful if the defense would provide premarked  
11 paper exhibits as well. If you file them with  
12 the clerk, that's great, it's in the system,  
13 that doesn't make it necessarily easy for me to  
14 find something as opposed to just flipping to  
15 Exhibit 10 where I can see it in paper and it's  
16 tabbed as opposed to just having to guess where  
17 Exhibit 10 starts in 900 pieces of paper  
18 online.

19 The other thing about it is it makes it  
20 much easier and streamlined for my office and  
21 the clerk of court to provide marked exhibits  
22 to the District Court of Appeal when whoever  
23 doesn't like my decision appeals the decision  
24 and then it goes over to appellate court. So  
25 in other words, they've got a streamlined

1 record for that, too.

2 Anything else collectively everyone can  
3 think of that we need to cover today? Anything  
4 we need to incorporate in an order that needs  
5 to be rendered before we have our temporary  
6 injunction hearing on the 11th of May?

7 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, if I may. I had  
8 a couple of questions or requests relating to  
9 the schedule.

10 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

11 MR. DEVANEY: One is I recognize Your  
12 Honor's ruling that they -- the defendants can  
13 submit their response on Monday. I will say  
14 Monday morning is quite a bit different than  
15 late Monday. And if Your Honor's intent is to  
16 give them the weekend, we would ask the  
17 response be filed Monday morning so we have at  
18 least that additional time to react to it.

19 I'll pause there and go to my other two  
20 points.

21 THE COURT: All right. So defense, if the  
22 Court were to say no later than noon on Monday,  
23 that does give you the weekend. Anybody got  
24 any big problem with that or is that livable?

25 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, we'll make that

1 work.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. FARUQUI: Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

5 MR. FARUQUI: Not a problem but a  
6 question. Is that -- is the Court requiring  
7 the defendants to respond or is that a deadline  
8 to respond if we wish?

9 THE COURT: It's a deadline to respond if  
10 you wish. If it turns out -- and I understand  
11 on behalf of your client -- your client is part  
12 of this because the constitutionality of the  
13 statute is being questioned. So if your answer  
14 is me, too, what the Senate said; me, too what  
15 the House said; me, too, what the Secretary of  
16 State said, that's either a very short filing  
17 or I get that's what you're -- in other words,  
18 you're more of an observer than a participant.  
19 That's your role in this. If somebody wants to  
20 be read, heard that way before the hearing  
21 starts on the 11th, then they need to file  
22 something by Monday at noon and make sure that  
23 it is served on the plaintiffs.

24 All right. Mr. Devaney, back to you.

25 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, also having

1 lived with opposing counsel for the last decade  
2 on and off, I strongly suspect that they've  
3 already retained experts. And therefore we  
4 would ask that if experts have been retained,  
5 that they be identified before Monday so we --  
6 which would give us at least a little bit of a  
7 head start in preparing our reply to the  
8 response if we choose to file one --

9 THE COURT: Normally on a trial order, the  
10 Court would require the plaintiff first  
11 identify who your witnesses are, including  
12 experts, a short summary of what it is that  
13 witness or an expert is going to testify about.  
14 What area of expertise that area is an expert  
15 is in. What exhibits are likely to be offered  
16 into evidence or used, relied on at trial to  
17 impeach witnesses, et cetera. And then a few  
18 days after that, on my standard trial order for  
19 a regular case, 15 days after that, the defense  
20 needs to do the same thing.

21 We're on a very abbreviated, expedited  
22 time schedule. And under the rule of  
23 procedure, things are supposed to be expedited  
24 as well. So to the extent everybody has not  
25 exchanged a list of, here are the witnesses,

1 here are the exhibits, that needs to happen.  
2 If we're going to have expert testimony,  
3 particularly the guy to explain shapes and  
4 compactness and statistics and whatever else  
5 out of there -- and I'll remind everybody my  
6 last statistics class, I think, was in college  
7 in 1982, plus or minus, so it's not that I'm a  
8 complete knucklehead when it comes to that but  
9 it's been a while since I've had to think that  
10 way.

11 So if you've got experts, then do we have  
12 enough time for three hours on that end? But  
13 what is a fair exchange between the parties  
14 identifying witnesses? And also got to give  
15 people an opportunity to make sure they line  
16 the right person up. When would you want to  
17 exchange witnesses and exhibits?

18 MR. DEVANEY: Our preference would be  
19 tomorrow, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. What that tells me  
21 is you already know what you intend to do. Not  
22 sure if the defendants are there yet.

23 From the defense end, what is fair for you  
24 and what would your intentions be?

25 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, we do not have all

1 of witnesses lined up at the moment, so I would  
2 reveal them no later than Friday. I don't plan  
3 on hiding the ball. As soon as we have people  
4 under contract, we will make the relevant  
5 disclosures.

6 THE COURT: All right. And this is  
7 Tuesday. We're already abbreviating everything  
8 else, so that doesn't, you know, hit me as  
9 overly late and delayed under the circumstances  
10 either, Mr. Devaney. Is that -- certainly be  
11 glad to hear from you on it, but if you say  
12 here are the list of people and kind of a  
13 summary of what we expect them to say and they  
14 probably already have all of your exhibits  
15 because it's probably everything that's already  
16 been filed. What we'll do is we'll get the  
17 defendants to do the same thing by Friday. So  
18 that at least you have the weekend and, you  
19 know, the first couple of days of next week to  
20 line up whatever it is you might need to do in  
21 response. Does that at least make sense?

22 MR. DEVANEY: We appreciate that, Your  
23 Honor, that's great.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. DEVANEY: My last point, and this is



1 intended to figure out if we can streamline, is  
2 obviously we've alleged diminishment of black  
3 voting rights through the dismantling of CD 5.  
4 And it would be helpful for us to know whether  
5 the defendants are going to contest whether  
6 there is diminishment. We think it's crystal  
7 clear and indisputable. If that's true, that's  
8 going to help us prepare and streamline. And  
9 so I just put it out there, whether defendants  
10 are intending to contest diminishment.

11 THE COURT: They may not know the answer  
12 to that question. If they do and want to  
13 announce it, terrific. If they don't, they do  
14 need to figure out what their answer is on that  
15 fairly quick. So, again, I'm going to  
16 recommend that everybody -- encourage everybody  
17 to talk, tell -- I mean, disclose what it is  
18 you intend to do, because both of you are in  
19 the same position that you need to be able to  
20 react and line up either -- whether it's  
21 impeachment or a different witness to rebut or  
22 whatever on that end.

23 So, defense, do you know your answer to  
24 that question? I can anticipate what the  
25 answer is, but it's not up to me to say.

1 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, I'm not in a  
2 position to share our answer to that just yet.

3 THE COURT: Understand. And it -- fair  
4 game to you is to give you an opportunity to  
5 sort through it and -- but what you will do is  
6 disclose it when you know it.

7 MR. JAZIL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

9 Mr. Devaney, anything else?

10 MR. DEVANEY: Nothing further, Your Honor.  
11 Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Not just making it the  
13 Mr. Devaney show. Is there anybody on behalf  
14 of the defense that needs to be heard on either  
15 questions that they have or anything else we  
16 need to consider today?

17 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, two points on the  
18 procedure for the hearing.

19 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

20 MR. JAZIL: Number one, is it fair to  
21 assume that the hearing itself will be in  
22 person? And would Your Honor prefer --

23 THE COURT: Very good question on that.  
24 Because it would be great if it could be in  
25 person and that way if we're talking to a

1 witness or we have an exhibit, we're all  
2 looking at the same thing at the same time. I  
3 don't know if it's going to be practical to do  
4 it that way. I don't know if there are any  
5 attorneys or parties who wish to participate  
6 but they're long distance. I don't know if  
7 there's going to be somebody in California or  
8 Washington, D.C. or wherever. Or if we have a  
9 witness that's going to need to -- or be much  
10 easier for them to appear from Miami if it's  
11 going to be 15 or 20 minutes of testimony as  
12 opposed to getting them here or not.

13 So, what is -- is there any consensus  
14 among the attorneys and the parties about doing  
15 it entirely on Zoom? I don't know if we can do  
16 it entirely in person or potentially in person  
17 or a combination?

18 MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, we haven't  
19 discussed that. Maybe that's another issue we  
20 can take up among counsel and report back to  
21 the Court.

22 THE COURT: Sure. I can tell you that  
23 right now in Leon County, the only cases that  
24 we're doing live are jury selections and jury  
25 trials. Does not mean that we couldn't have a

1 courtroom and do this live, it just kind of  
2 depends on the economics of if it makes sense  
3 for everybody for what you'd get by doing that.  
4 And if we're going to have to open it up anyhow  
5 for Zoom for other people, you know, it may be  
6 more practical to do it by Zoom. But y'all  
7 have a conversation about that. And I can get  
8 a courtroom, we can have that lined up. And  
9 y'all tell me the way you prefer and see if we  
10 have any consensus on it.

11 All right.

12 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor?

13 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

14 MR. JAZIL: I apologize, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Go ahead.

16 MR. JAZIL: Your Honor, if we were to  
17 abide by some kind of hybrid approach where the  
18 lawyers were in person but witnesses could  
19 appear by Zoom, does the Court have the ability  
20 to do something like that? And I'll talk to  
21 Mr. Devaney about what works, but I just want  
22 to get a better sense of the parameters that  
23 we're working with.

24 THE COURT: It's funny you say it that way  
25 because that is one of our limitations. It's

1 easier for me to do it all Zoom with the  
2 attorneys and the witnesses and have somebody  
3 on site who knows the person or can look at  
4 their driver's license to swear them in. If we  
5 get the driver's license up where I can see  
6 this person or everybody can say, this person's  
7 Charlie Jones, or whoever they are, I can swear  
8 them in. But it makes it actually harder to  
9 have some people in a room and then try to pull  
10 up other people on the box. So it's almost  
11 like you'd rather everybody be live and in  
12 person or everybody be in Zoom is an easier way  
13 to do it.

14 Again, go back and see if we have  
15 consensus on that --

16 MR. JAZIL: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: -- as to how you prefer to do  
18 it.

19 If it is more legal argument than anything  
20 else, then I don't necessarily need to be in  
21 the same room, you know, being able to look in  
22 the whites of their eyes, so to speak. I make  
23 a decision on credibility all the time by Zoom  
24 and it's what we do in civil cases.

25 One thing that I had asked my judicial

1 assistant to disclose to everybody is in  
2 looking through the case, I know that one of  
3 the plaintiffs in the party is the League of  
4 Women Voters. And I wanted to make sure that  
5 everybody knew that since 2015, I had been a  
6 member. And really what that has entailed is I  
7 go to local lunch meetings from time to time,  
8 things like that. I don't -- in my view, it  
9 isn't going to change my ability to hear, won't  
10 cause me to rule for or against the plaintiff  
11 or the defendant because of that, but fair game  
12 for y'all to know that in case it bothers  
13 somebody else.

14 The other thing is one of the people who  
15 executed an affidavit, Mark Earley, the  
16 Supervisor of Election of Leon County, is a  
17 friend of mine and a close enough friend that  
18 were he to have substantive evidence -- and I  
19 looked at his affidavit and his affidavit was  
20 simply, the Supervisor of Election needs to  
21 know what they're doing by May the 27th to  
22 avoid chaos. That's basically the summary of  
23 his two-page affidavit. I didn't get the sense  
24 that he was going to be testifying on the core  
25 issues that were I to believe him, that that

1 would cause me to rule for the plaintiff or  
2 rule for the defendant based on what he said.

3 I disclose that simply so that you know  
4 he's a buddy of mine. And if he is a key  
5 witness that, boy, you think your case rises or  
6 falls on it, I'm not trying to not do the case,  
7 but I thought it fair for you to know that he's  
8 a good buddy. And him, as opposed to somebody  
9 that's not a friend of mine, as much as I'd  
10 like to say, you know, I'd take it with a grain  
11 of salt, I'd be more likely to believe Mark  
12 Earley on that point. I don't get the sense  
13 that he is central to anybody's case on this,  
14 so -- but you now know what I told you on that.

15 I worked with him when I was chairman  
16 person for the Leon County Canvassing Board in  
17 the 2018 election. I was a county judge at the  
18 time and county judges are canvassing board  
19 chairs. So it won't hurt my feelings if that  
20 bothers somebody, but I'm not suggesting that  
21 it should bother you, but fair game for  
22 everybody to know. I've disclosed to both  
23 sides.

24 All right. Anything else we need to cover  
25 today?

1           Do we need to get an order that talks  
2   about some of the things we agreed to?           Have we  
3   all got the gentlemen's shake and a ladies'  
4   shake that we know what we've agreed to and  
5   everybody's going to be on their behavior --  
6   also knowing that I got a good enough memory to  
7   know if somebody doesn't do what I just said.  
8   So it will be at your peril if you didn't seem  
9   like you weren't doing what everybody just  
10  agreed to or what we -- I wound up suggesting  
11  we needed to do for the case.

12           Do we need to take the time to reduce it  
13  to writing or everybody good and y'all will let  
14  me know on some of the other things we have  
15  talked about, how y'all prefer to proceed?

16           MR. DEVANEY: Your Honor, for the  
17  plaintiffs, I think we're fine with just an  
18  understanding among us and working together  
19  with counsel.

20           THE COURT: Okay.   Anybody think any  
21  differently or are we good?

22           MR. JAZIL:   I agree with Mr. Devaney, Your  
23  Honor.

24           THE COURT: All right.       So, if nothing  
25  else happens between now and then -- I'm sure



1 we'll have to have some discussion or some  
2 disclosure to me about whether -- looking in  
3 person, Zoom only, or trying to come up with  
4 something through IT at the courthouse,  
5 information technology at the courthouse, to do  
6 a combined Zoom and in person.

7 I need to get numbered, premarked exhibits  
8 from the defense. If there's anything in  
9 addition from the plaintiffs, need that.

10 If y'all exchange a list of witnesses with  
11 a summary of what you expect the witnesses to  
12 say, their areas of expertise, that type of  
13 thing, appreciate it if you'd share that with  
14 me. I'll have read it beforehand. If you have  
15 it to me in time to have read it beforehand, I  
16 will pay the time in. Because that helps me to  
17 understand what the issues are and feel like I  
18 have heard everybody on it and am in a position  
19 to make intelligent rulings, if I have to, on  
20 an evidence objection. Same with deciding the  
21 ultimate issue. All right. So, if you swap  
22 that with each other, send it in to me as well  
23 so that I've got an idea of what to expect as  
24 far as the number of witnesses, who they are,  
25 what at least the proponents think they're

1 going to say.

2 All right. So call Deanna, email Deanna,  
3 my judicial assistant, to the extent we need to  
4 do anything or anything else needs to be  
5 resolved before or between our 9:00 a.m.  
6 hearing on May the 11th, otherwise, that's what  
7 we're targeting is the temporary injunction  
8 hearing May the 11th.

9 All right. Thank you, everyone.

10 (Hearing adjourned at 3:26 p.m.)

11 \* \* \*

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT CERTIFICATE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF LEON

I, Tracy Brown, certify that I was  
authorized to and did stenographically report  
the foregoing Zoom proceedings, and that the  
transcript is a true and complete record of my  
stenographic notes.

Dated this 4th day of May, 2022.



---

TRACY L. BROWN  
1551 Forum Place, Suite 200-E  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
888-811-3408

<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>abbreviated</b> 34:21	<b>administered</b> 14:1
<b>10</b> 31:15,17	<b>370,000</b> 7:25	<b>abbreviating</b> 36:7	<b>admitted</b> 20:2
<b>10th</b> 27:23,25	<b>3:26</b> 46:10	<b>Abha</b> 4:11	<b>advance</b> 26:24
<b>11th</b> 17:21 18:18 27:25 28:2 32:6 33:21 46:6,8	<b>4</b> 13:16	<b>abide</b> 16:25 40:17	<b>advantage</b> 25:5
<b>120</b> 15:22	<b>40</b> 5:17 15:23	<b>ability</b> 7:24 8:3 18:7 30:10,11 40:19 42:9	<b>affect</b> 6:20 21:1 22:11
<b>15</b> 34:19 39:11	<b>45</b> 13:3 <small>Judge J. Layne Smith May 03, 2022</small>	<b>absolutely</b> 19:11	<b>affected</b> 13:13 22:16, 18
<b>1982</b> 35:7	<b>5</b> 5	<b>absorbing</b> 29:14	<b>affidavit</b> 29:16 42:15, 19,23
<b>2</b>	<b>5</b> 7:20 8:14,23 9:18,21,24 10:18,23,25 11:18,24 12:6 13:15,16,19 22:10,11 37:3	<b>accept</b> 27:18	<b>affidavits</b> 12:2 13:7 18:25 19:10 20:1,4,23 27:19 30:25
<b>20</b> 17:5 39:11	<b>900</b> 31:17	<b>acceptable</b> 16:11	<b>affirmed</b> 12:3
<b>2015</b> 42:5	<b>9:00</b> 17:22 46:5	<b>accepting</b> 5:15	<b>afternoon</b> 4:22,25 5:6 23:19
<b>2018</b> 43:17	<b>9th</b> 27:18,22 30:21	<b>accompanied</b> 4:6	<b>agree</b> 14:13 18:3,6 19:19,24 20:3,6,22 24:18 44:22
<b>2022</b> 6:18	<b>A</b>	<b>accomplished</b> 10:6	<b>agreed</b> 44:2,4,10
<b>2023</b> 6:17,18	<b>a.m.</b> 46:5	<b>add</b> 14:18,19 15:1	<b>ahead</b> 5:4 26:19 40:15
<b>27</b> 10:15		<b>addition</b> 14:4 45:9	<b>alleged</b>
<b>27th</b> 6:11 42:21		<b>additional</b> 16:18 25:20 32:18	
<b>2:36</b> 4:2		<b>address</b> 27:2	
		<b>adjourned</b> 46:10	

11:8 37:2	<b>approach</b>	<b>August</b>	33:11 38:13
<b>alleges</b>	40:17	6:17 13:1	<b>behavior</b>
9:16	<b>area</b>	<b>avoid</b>	44:5
<b>allocation</b>	34:14	16:7 42:22	<b>benefit</b>
16:16	<b>areas</b>	<b>aware</b>	19:7
<b>alternative</b>	45:12	4:9 7:18 12:1	<b>big</b>
13:21	<b>argue</b>	25:22	32:24
<b>Amendment</b>	30:9		<b>Bilal</b>
8:15	<b>argument</b>	<hr/> B <hr/>	4:22
<b>Andy</b>	19:2,4 23:5	<b>back</b>	<b>bit</b>
5:1	41:19	6:19 8:24	8:20,21 20:13
<b>Angie</b>	<b>argument's</b>	23:6,20 33:24	25:5 29:8
4:13	27:13	39:20 41:14	32:14 34:6
<b>announce</b>	<b>arguments</b>	<b>ball</b>	<b>black</b>
37:13	8:13 20:15	30:16 36:3	7:22,25 9:24
<b>anticipate</b>	26:25 28:13	<b>ballot</b>	37:2
25:16,17	<b>arms</b>	6:13 24:25	<b>block</b>
26:21 37:24	30:7	<b>ballots</b>	18:7
<b>anybody's</b>	<b>arrangement</b>	13:2,4 16:8	<b>blocked</b>
19:18 43:13	26:6	<b>Band-aid</b>	17:17
<b>apologize</b>	<b>assess</b>	9:7	<b>board</b>
40:14	13:23 28:12	<b>Bardos</b>	43:16,18
<b>Appeal</b>	<b>assist</b>	4:25 5:1	<b>bogged</b>
18:14 31:22	23:23	12:16 14:19	8:19
<b>appealed</b>	<b>assistant</b>	<b>based</b>	<b>books</b>
21:12	4:13 42:1	43:2	13:18
<b>appeals</b>	46:3	<b>basically</b>	<b>bother</b>
31:23	<b>assume</b>	42:22	43:21
<b>appearance</b>	38:21	<b>basis</b>	<b>bothers</b>
4:18	<b>attention</b>	29:6	42:12 43:20
<b>appellate</b>	18:12	<b>began</b>	<b>Bowen</b>
7:1 9:8,9	<b>Attorney</b>	4:2	5:7
21:8,13 22:22	4:23 15:15	<b>begin</b>	<b>box</b>
24:1,14,16	<b>attorneys</b>	13:1	41:10
31:24	19:16,17,19	<b>behalf</b>	<b>boy</b>
<b>applaud</b>	39:5,14 41:2	4:5,17,20,23	43:5
29:1	<b>audio</b>	5:2,7 12:11,	<b>briefing</b>
	13:5,17,25	15 15:14	

26:15	<b>cases</b>	35:6	<b>confident</b>
<b>Broward</b>	39:23 41:24	<b>clear</b>	26:5,12
12:3 13:9,10	<b>CD</b>	8:5,12 37:7	<b>configuration</b>
<b>buddy</b>	9:18,21,23	<b>clerk</b>	10:22 12:5
43:4,8	10:18,25 12:6	31:9,12,21	22:6
<b>business</b>	37:3	<b>client</b>	<b>congressional</b>
28:18	<b>central</b>	33:11	7:20 8:14,23
	43:13	<b>clients</b>	10:23 11:17
<hr/>	<b>cetera</b>	12:17	13:15,18,21
<b>C</b>	34:17	<b>close</b>	16:2,17,18
<hr/>	<b>chairman</b>	28:18 42:17	<b>connection</b>
<b>calendar</b>	5:2 43:15	<b>closed</b>	11:7
18:8	<b>chairs</b>	15:7	<b>conscience</b>
<b>California</b>	43:19	<b>clout</b>	29:19
39:7	<b>challenge</b>	16:19	<b>consensus</b>
<b>call</b>	28:9	<b>colleagues</b>	39:13 40:10
9:5 14:25	<b>change</b>	18:9	41:15
46:2	42:9	<b>collectively</b>	<b>consideration</b>
<b>called</b>	<b>changed</b>	19:15 32:2	15:9
25:6	12:5	<b>college</b>	<b>Constitution</b>
<b>calling</b>	<b>chaos</b>	35:6	8:6,15 21:17
17:19	16:7 42:22	<b>combination</b>	<b>constitutional</b>
<b>campaign</b>	<b>chaotic</b>	39:17	16:23,24 22:4
24:24	6:13	<b>combined</b>	23:8
<b>candidate</b>	<b>Charlie</b>	45:6	<b>constitutionali</b>
10:12	41:7	<b>compactness</b>	<b>ty</b>
<b>candidates</b>	<b>choice</b>	35:4	33:12
6:7 7:2,24	7:25	<b>complaint</b>	<b>contest</b>
11:3,22 12:23	<b>choose</b>	8:17 9:13,16,	37:5,10
16:1	34:8	21 10:1,2	<b>contract</b>
<b>canvassing</b>	<b>circumstances</b>	11:7 14:14	36:4
43:16,18	28:15 36:9	<b>complaints</b>	<b>contrast</b>
<b>case</b>	<b>civil</b>	11:9	9:20,22
5:12,23 14:10	41:24	<b>complete</b>	<b>conversation</b>
15:8,21 17:5	<b>claims</b>	35:8	40:7
18:21 21:15	14:15	<b>concerns</b>	<b>Cooper</b>
23:7,25 28:23	<b>class</b>	15:17	24:5
29:9 34:19			
42:2,12 43:5,			
6,13 44:11			

<b>core</b> 42:24	21,22,24 32:10,21,22	<hr/> <b>D</b> <hr/>	<b>decided</b> 10:15
<b>correct</b> 11:25	33:2,4,6,9 34:9,10 35:20	<b>D.C.</b> 39:8	<b>deciding</b> 10:12 45:20
<b>counsel</b> 4:11 14:24 34:1 39:20 44:19	36:6,24 37:11 38:3,8,12,19, 23 39:21,22 40:13,15,19, 24 41:17 44:20,24	<b>Dallas</b> 30:13	<b>decision</b> 6:2,5 9:9 16:3 21:10,11 22:23 24:17 31:23 41:23
<b>count</b> 16:16	<b>court's</b> 24:16	<b>Dan</b> 5:6	<b>defendant</b> 20:2 29:13 42:11 43:2
<b>counter</b> 20:4	<b>courtesy</b> 30:4	<b>data</b> 14:7	<b>defendants</b> 4:18 5:3,8 12:11 14:20 20:3 24:9 29:4 32:12 33:7 35:22 36:17 37:5,9
<b>counties</b> 13:22,24 22:12	<b>courthouse</b> 45:4,5	<b>date</b> 27:23	<b>defense</b> 12:13 14:18 18:19 25:21, 22 27:7 30:3 31:10 32:21 34:19 35:23 37:23 38:14 45:8
<b>counting</b> 25:10	<b>courtroom</b> 40:1,8	<b>days</b> 5:17 9:6 13:3 15:22,23 17:6 26:17 28:19 29:2,11 34:18,19 36:19	<b>defer</b> 15:11
<b>county</b> 12:3 13:8,9, 10,13 39:23 42:16 43:16, 17,18	<b>courts</b> 22:22	<b>DCA</b> 6:3,5 18:15	<b>delayed</b> 36:9
<b>couple</b> 29:2 32:8 36:19	<b>cover</b> 18:10 32:3 43:24	<b>de</b> 21:18	<b>deliberately</b> 8:9
<b>court</b> 4:8,15,21,24 5:4,9 6:4,6 7:1,6,10 8:10,16 9:8,9 10:11 11:14, 16 12:8 14:21 15:13,18 18:13,14,16 19:14 21:9,13 23:15 24:1,14 26:10,19 27:2,3,25 28:2,22 31:9,	<b>Cowboys</b> 30:13	<b>decade</b> 26:3 34:1	<b>Dempsey</b> 24:4
	<b>craft</b> 28:14	<b>decennial</b> 16:15	<b>Department</b> 12:18
	<b>crazy</b> 31:5	<b>decide</b> 18:15 20:22 21:19	<b>depending</b> 6:9 23:21
	<b>credibility</b> 20:8 41:23		
	<b>cross-</b> <b>examination</b> 19:21 20:20		
	<b>crystal</b> 37:6		
	<b>cycle</b> 6:16 26:4		

<b>depends</b> 40:2	<b>direct</b> 19:20 20:18	<b>distance</b> 39:6	13:8 42:15 43:12
<b>Desantis</b> 22:4	<b>disagree</b> 14:4 24:18,19	<b>distortion</b> 13:25	<b>earlier</b> 14:23 18:23
<b>determined</b> 16:6	<b>disclose</b> 30:4 37:17 38:6 42:1 43:3	<b>district</b> 6:8,9 7:20, 21,23 8:1,9, 14,15,23 9:25 10:8,14,23 11:18,24 13:15,19,21 16:2,3 18:13 21:25 22:2, 10,11,17 31:22	<b>easier</b> 31:20 39:10 41:1,12
<b>determining</b> 11:3	<b>disclosed</b> 43:22		<b>east/west</b> 10:22
<b>Devaney</b> 4:6 7:4,7,11 8:16 9:12 10:16 11:25 13:6,14 14:13 18:22 25:24 26:20 27:21 28:1,4 29:25 32:7,11 33:24,25 35:18 36:10, 22,25 38:9, 10,13 39:18 40:21 44:16, 22	<b>disclosure</b> 25:2 45:2	<b>districts</b> 8:2 9:19 10:4,15 11:1, 3,23 12:6	<b>easy</b> 21:4,5 31:13
	<b>disclosures</b> 36:5	<b>disturbance</b> 17:7	<b>economics</b> 40:2
	<b>discovery</b> 10:5 11:10 15:25	<b>document</b> 24:12	<b>effect</b> 9:23
	<b>discussed</b> 13:15 25:19 39:19	<b>documents</b> 31:8	<b>elect</b> 7:24 8:4
	<b>discussion</b> 45:1	<b>doubt</b> 14:8	<b>election</b> 6:10,16 7:3 11:22 12:2 13:3,5 16:7 22:19 24:23 42:16,20 43:17
<b>difference</b> 6:20 28:3	<b>discussions</b> 26:1	<b>drawn</b> 9:19	<b>elections</b> 6:21 12:23,25 13:24 14:1
<b>differently</b> 44:21	<b>dismantled</b> 7:22	<b>drafting</b> 23:23	<b>electorial</b> 16:20
<b>difficulty</b> 11:2	<b>dismantling</b> 8:13 9:18,23 37:3	<b>driver's</b> 41:4,5	<b>else's</b> 21:5
<b>digest</b> 23:6	<b>dispersed</b> 8:1		<b>email</b> 46:2
<b>diligently</b> 28:25	<b>dispute</b> 8:3 14:9	<b>E</b>	<b>enacted</b> 12:21 13:16
<b>diminish</b> 9:24	<b>disputes</b> 9:2 20:13	<b>Eagles</b> 30:14	<b>encourage</b> 37:16
<b>diminished</b> 8:4	<b>disruption</b> 13:5,17	<b>Earley</b>	
<b>diminishment</b> 8:4 37:2,6,10			



<b>end</b> 4:16 6:12,25 22:24 23:1 24:23 29:21 31:1 35:12,23 37:22	42:15 <b>exercised</b> 22:4 <b>exhibit</b> 18:20 31:15, 17 39:1 <b>exhibits</b> 20:2 25:3,21, 22 26:8 27:15 31:11,21 34:15 35:1,17 36:14 45:7 <b>expect</b> 21:5 27:15 30:9 36:13 45:11,23 <b>expedited</b> 5:13 7:14 29:5,6 34:21, 23 <b>expert</b> 14:5,9,11 19:1 27:19 34:13,14 35:2 <b>expertise</b> 34:14 45:12 <b>experts</b> 31:1 34:3,4, 12 35:11 <b>explain</b> 35:3 <b>explanation</b> 8:22 <b>extent</b> 14:6 18:1,2,5 34:24 46:3 <b>extra</b> 9:5	<b>extraordinary</b> 5:14 <b>eyes</b> 41:22 <hr/> <b>F</b> <hr/> <b>facts</b> 7:20 10:9 19:9 20:6,11 <b>factual</b> 9:2 14:5 20:10 <b>fair</b> 8:15 26:9 28:16 35:13, 23 38:3,20 42:11 43:7,21 <b>fairly</b> 37:15 <b>fairness</b> 27:8 29:4 <b>faith</b> 17:16 <b>falls</b> 43:6 <b>Faruqui</b> 4:22,23 12:17 15:14 33:3,5 <b>fashion</b> 10:8 <b>faster</b> 17:15 <b>feasible</b> 11:13 <b>federal</b> 16:19,24 <b>feel</b> 45:17	<b>feelings</b> 43:19 <b>figure</b> 37:1,14 <b>figuring</b> 29:14 <b>file</b> 27:17 28:18 31:11 33:21 34:8 <b>filed</b> 8:18 15:6 25:8 26:22 31:8 32:17 36:16 <b>filing</b> 28:15 29:22 33:16 <b>find</b> 31:14 <b>fine</b> 44:17 <b>finish</b> 23:16 <b>Firm</b> 5:1 <b>five-alarm</b> 29:6 <b>fix</b> 16:7 <b>flip</b> 30:12 <b>flipping</b> 31:5,14 <b>floor</b> 26:14 <b>Florida</b> 5:3,8 7:19
---	--	--	--

8:6,10 12:21 13:13,17 14:24 15:3 16:17	38:4 42:11 43:21	<b>greatly</b> 31:9	<b>hear</b> 12:9,11 19:5 36:11 42:9
<b>focus</b> 7:18	<b>General</b> 4:23 15:15	<b>group</b> 5:11 20:2 26:2	<b>heard</b> 21:10 22:12 33:20 38:14 45:18
<b>focusing</b> 10:8	<b>gentlemen's</b> 44:3	<b>guess</b> 6:1 27:3 30:8 31:16	<b>hearing</b> 5:21 6:2,23 7:13 9:4 12:8 17:3,21 18:17 23:4 26:24 27:24 29:11, 18 32:6 33:20 38:18,21 46:6,8,10
<b>form</b> 10:19 25:14	<b>gerrymandering</b> 11:8	<b>guy</b> 35:3	
<b>formula</b> 16:22	<b>get along</b> 18:4	<hr/> <b>H</b> <hr/>	
<b>fortunate</b> 22:25	<b>give</b> 16:13 17:24 18:2,6,11 24:7 32:16,23 34:6 35:14 38:4	<b>hac</b> 7:8	
<b>forward</b> 11:22 27:1 28:25	<b>glad</b> 12:12 36:11	<b>hands</b> 18:13 22:20 23:15	<b>helpful</b> 31:10 37:4
<b>framed</b> 10:7	<b>good</b> 4:8,15,22,25 5:6 16:18 17:16 19:15, 16 23:11 38:23 43:8 44:6,13,21	<b>hanging</b> 21:16,17	<b>helps</b> 45:16
<b>Friday</b> 36:2,17	<b>government</b> 16:20 17:11	<b>happen</b> 6:16 24:24 35:1	<b>hiding</b> 36:3
<b>friend</b> 13:6,14 42:17 43:9	<b>governor</b> 9:18 11:18 12:22 13:20 17:9 22:3	<b>happened</b> 9:2 16:15 20:12	<b>history</b> 26:11
<b>friends</b> 12:16 14:17	<b>grain</b> 43:10	<b>happiest</b> 24:10	<b>hit</b> 36:8
<b>Fritz</b> 4:4	<b>Gray</b> 5:1	<b>happy</b> 24:11 29:23	<b>hold</b> 17:18
<b>full</b> 21:9	<b>great</b> 31:12 36:23 38:24	<b>harder</b> 41:8	<b>holds</b> 24:17
<b>fully</b> 19:23		<b>hat</b> 21:16,17	<b>Honor</b> 4:19,25 7:4, 8,11,18 8:8 9:12 10:16 11:6,25 12:14 13:22 14:4, 17,19 15:14 18:22 19:5,12 25:24 26:13
<b>funny</b> 40:24		<b>Hawley</b> 4:12	
<hr/> <b>G</b> <hr/>		<b>head</b> 34:7	
<b>game</b>			

27:16,21 32:7,25 33:3, 25 35:19,25 36:23 38:1,7, 10,17,22 39:18 40:12, 14,16 41:16 44:16,23 <b>Honor's</b> 12:1 32:12,15 <b>hook</b> 17:12 <b>hope</b> 24:20 <b>hoping</b> 27:16 <b>hour</b> 9:5 <b>hours</b> 9:6 18:18 23:5 35:12 <b>House</b> 5:3 10:21 11:20 14:20 22:3 33:15 <b>how's</b> 10:11 <b>hundred</b> 22:13 <b>hurt</b> 43:19 <b>hybrid</b> 40:17	<b>identified</b> 34:5 <b>identify</b> 34:11 <b>identifying</b> 35:14 <b>imagine</b> 27:21 <b>immediately</b> 11:12 <b>impeach</b> 34:17 <b>impeachment</b> 37:21 <b>implement</b> 12:5 13:25 <b>important</b> 18:11 21:6 23:13 24:21 27:10 <b>in-house</b> 14:24 <b>including</b> 10:2 27:18 31:1 34:11 <b>incorporate</b> 32:4 <b>indisputable</b> 37:7 <b>information</b> 45:5 <b>injunction</b> 5:21,25 6:15, 22 7:13,16 9:4,15 15:10 17:3,19,20,21 18:17 26:16 32:6 46:7	<b>injunctive</b> 15:2 <b>intelligent</b> 45:19 <b>intend</b> 27:1 35:21 37:18 <b>intended</b> 37:1 <b>intending</b> 37:10 <b>intent</b> 9:17 10:3 14:15 32:15 <b>intentions</b> 35:24 <b>involved</b> 20:22 <b>issue</b> 8:7 9:1 10:1 15:8,9 17:5 20:7,10 26:14 27:2 39:19 45:21 <b>issues</b> 7:15 9:13 10:2,3 14:14, 16 18:24 25:16 42:25 45:17  <b>J</b>  <b>Jason</b> 14:23 <b>Jazil</b> 4:19 12:14 15:12 27:16 32:25 35:25	38:1,7,17,20 40:12,14,16 41:16 44:22 <b>Joe</b> 4:13 <b>John</b> 4:6 7:4 25:24 <b>Johnny</b> 20:10 <b>Jonathan</b> 4:12 <b>Jones</b> 41:7 <b>judge</b> 5:6 14:22 18:9 21:21 24:4,18 43:17 <b>judges</b> 21:22 24:4,5 43:18 <b>judicial</b> 41:25 46:3 <b>jury</b> 39:24  <b>K</b>  <b>key</b> 43:4 <b>Khanna</b> 4:11 <b>kind</b> 19:14 31:4 36:12 40:1,17 <b>knew</b> 28:8 42:5 <b>knowing</b> 10:14 25:5 44:6
--	--	--	---

<b>knucklehead</b> 35:8	<b>legal</b> 8:12 19:2 28:9,13 41:19	<b>live</b> 20:18,23 26:7 29:17 30:25 39:24 40:1 41:11	29:23 31:13 32:25 33:22 35:15 36:4,21 41:22 42:4 45:19
<hr/> <b>L</b> <hr/>	<b>legislature</b> 9:17 10:19 12:21 13:16, 20 17:9	<b>lived</b> 26:2 34:1	<b>makes</b> 31:19 40:2 41:8
<b>ladies'</b> 44:3	<b>legwork</b> 14:15	<b>local</b> 42:7	<b>making</b> 38:12
<b>language</b> 16:24 21:16 23:8	<b>length</b> 21:1	<b>long</b> 28:3 39:6	<b>manner</b> 12:7
<b>largely</b> 7:21 10:9,25 12:6	<b>Leon</b> 12:3 13:8 39:23 42:16 43:16	<b>longer</b> 7:23 17:23	<b>map</b> 9:19 10:20 12:5,20,22,24 14:1 28:8
<b>late</b> 12:20 14:2 17:13 32:15 36:9	<small>Judge J. Layne Smith May 03, 2022</small> <b>letting</b> 7:8	<b>looked</b> 22:9 42:19	<b>maps</b> 10:19 22:9
<b>law</b> 5:1 16:24 17:10 21:15 23:7	<b>license</b> 41:4,5	<b>lost</b> 16:4	<b>Mark</b> 13:8 42:15 43:11
<b>lawyers</b> 21:21 26:2 40:18	<b>light</b> 20:9	<b>lot</b> 8:19 9:1 20:7 21:20	<b>marked</b> 31:21
<b>lay</b> 14:9 27:19	<b>limitations</b> 40:25	<b>lunch</b> 42:7	<b>matter</b> 6:14 15:4 19:3 20:5,11
<b>lays</b> 6:23	<b>limited</b> 8:9 22:1	<b>luxury</b> 16:10	<b>matters</b> 26:4 27:6
<b>lead</b> 12:10 29:3	<b>lined</b> 29:22 36:1 40:8	<hr/> <b>M</b> <hr/>	<b>meaningfully</b> 28:10
<b>League</b> 42:3	<b>lining</b> 29:15	<b>made</b> 6:3 22:8	<b>means</b> 18:8 23:21
<b>leave</b> 10:25	<b>list</b> 34:25 36:12 45:10	<b>maintains</b> 10:22	<b>meet</b> 9:3
<b>Lee</b> 4:20 5:2	<b>listening</b> 22:7	<b>majority-white</b> 8:2	<b>meetings</b> 42:7
<b>left</b> 12:6	<b>livable</b> 32:24	<b>make</b> 4:18 11:6 16:3 17:3,22, 23 18:21 19:6,22 20:15 21:2 23:6,8	<b>member</b> 42:6

<b>memory</b> 44:6	<b>morning</b> 32:14,17	<b>note</b> 13:9,14 15:4	<b>opinion</b> 25:14
<b>mention</b> 14:22	<b>motion</b> 7:16 9:15,22 10:7 11:5,11 15:2 26:15,23 28:6	<b>notebook</b> 31:3	<b>opportunity</b> 21:9 35:15 38:4
<b>mentioned</b> 14:23	<b>multiple</b> 21:21	<b>notebooks</b> 31:7	<b>opposed</b> 5:22 15:9 31:14,16 39:12 43:8
<b>merits</b> 11:12 21:11	<hr/> <b>N</b> <hr/>	<b>notice</b> 26:10,24 28:11 29:10	<b>opposing</b> 34:1
<b>Miami</b> 39:10	<b>narrow</b> 10:8	<b>November</b> 6:17,18	<b>opposition</b> 27:17
<b>mine</b> 42:17 43:4,9	<b>narrower</b> 9:25 <small>Judge Smith 2022</small>	<b>novo</b> 21:18	<b>oral</b> 19:4 24:7
<b>minimum</b> 17:2	<b>narrowness</b> 11:4 19:8	<b>number</b> 24:5 25:9 26:18 38:20 45:24	<b>order</b> 6:5 15:25 23:24 24:22 32:4 34:9,18 44:1
<b>minority</b> 8:5	<b>necessarily</b> 21:4 30:18 31:13 41:20	<b>numbered</b> 45:7	<b>orderly</b> 16:9
<b>minus</b> 35:7	<b>needed</b> 17:11 19:21 30:11 44:11	<hr/> <b>O</b> <hr/>	<b>originally</b> 11:19 28:17
<b>minutes</b> 39:11	<b>neglected</b> 14:23 <small>www.phippsreporting.com (888) 811-3408</small>	<b>objection</b> 45:20	<b>overly</b> 36:9
<b>missed</b> 5:10	<b>nice</b> 18:3	<b>observer</b> 33:18	<hr/> <b>P</b> <hr/>
<b>missing</b> 4:10	<b>noon</b> 17:22 23:17 32:22 33:22	<b>Odds</b> 23:4	<b>p.m.</b> 4:3 46:10
<b>Mohammad</b> 4:19 12:14	<b>Nordby</b> 5:6,7,9 12:16 14:21,22	<b>offered</b> 10:17 34:15	<b>pages</b> 25:9 31:6
<b>moment</b> 36:1	<b>northeast</b> 13:17	<b>office</b> 17:17 31:20	<b>panel</b> 21:21
<b>Monday</b> 29:10,22 30:22 32:13, 14,15,17,22 33:22 34:5	<b>northern</b> 7:19 8:10	<b>offing</b> 30:19	<b>paper</b> 8:18 31:11, 15,17
<b>month</b> 6:12		<b>online</b> 31:4,18	
<b>Moody</b> 4:23		<b>open</b> 40:4	

<b>parameters</b> 40:22	22:13	19:25 24:9	<b>practical</b> 39:3 40:6
<b>part</b> 9:17 19:7 24:19 33:11	<b>perfect</b> 23:11	25:4,25 27:12	<b>precincts</b> 16:9 22:17 25:1
<b>participant</b> 33:18	<b>peril</b> 44:8	31:6 33:23	<b>prefer</b> 38:22 40:9 41:17 44:15
<b>participate</b> 39:5	<b>person</b> 35:16 38:22, 25 39:16 40:18 41:3,6, 12 43:16 45:3,6	42:3 44:17 45:9	<b>preference</b> 35:18
<b>parties</b> 26:1 35:13 39:5,14	<b>person's</b> 41:6	<b>plaintiffs'</b> 7:11 11:15 18:22	<b>preferred</b> 7:24
<b>partisan</b> 11:8 14:15	<b>perspective</b> 11:15 12:4,19 14:2 18:23	<b>plan</b> 36:2	<b>prejudicial</b> 28:5
<b>parts</b> 17:11	<b>Philadelphia</b> 30:14	<b>played</b> 30:13	<b>preliminary</b> 7:13,15 9:15 15:10 17:20 26:16
<b>party</b> 23:22 25:7 42:3	<b>PI</b> 9:22 10:7 11:5,10 17:19	<b>pleadings</b> 15:7 17:7	<b>premarked</b> 31:7,10 45:7
<b>passed</b> 10:19,21 11:19 12:21 13:20 22:2	<b>picking</b> 20:14	<b>point</b> 11:6 17:14 36:25 43:12	<b>prepare</b> 12:24 37:8
<b>past</b> 17:6 24:6	<b>picks</b> 16:17	<b>pointed</b> 13:7	<b>preparing</b> 34:7
<b>pause</b> 32:19	<b>piece</b> 8:18	<b>points</b> 32:20 38:17	<b>presented</b> 7:15 8:7 18:24
<b>pauses</b> 6:4	<b>pieces</b> 31:17	<b>population</b> 16:15	<b>press</b> 17:14
<b>pay</b> 45:16	<b>plain</b> 24:20	<b>Posimato</b> 4:13	<b>pretty</b> 26:5 28:25
<b>people</b> 4:17 22:15,23 24:24 29:15 35:15 36:3,12 40:5 41:9,10 42:14	<b>plaintiff</b> 28:23 34:10 42:10 43:1	<b>position</b> 11:21 15:16, 20 18:19 21:24 24:22 27:11 30:15 37:19 38:2 45:18	<b>prevailing</b> 23:22
<b>percent</b>	<b>plaintiffs</b> 4:5,7,10,12 7:5 14:3 16:12 17:13	<b>potentially</b> 18:14 39:16	<b>Price</b> 4:14
			<b>primary</b> 13:2

<b>prior</b> 13:4 14:1 26:3	<b>purview</b> 13:12	<b>real</b> 16:16	<b>relief</b> 7:14 8:11
<b>pro</b> 7:8	<b>put</b> 11:21 12:1 16:7 18:19, 20,21 21:23 24:25 27:1 28:23,25 37:9	<b>realistically</b> 10:5	13:11 15:2,3 19:8
<b>problem</b> 32:24 33:5		<b>reason</b> 11:11	<b>relying</b> 12:24
<b>procedural</b> 15:4		<b>reasons</b> 23:24,25	<b>remedy</b> 10:17,23 11:5 14:3 16:13
<b>procedure</b> 34:23 38:18	<hr/> <b>Q</b> <hr/>	<b>rebut</b> 37:21	<b>remind</b> 35:5
<b>proceed</b> 7:12 44:15	<b>question</b> 19:22 33:6 37:12,24 38:23	<b>recently</b> 15:5 17:10	<b>render</b> 6:5 22:22 24:22
<b>proceeding</b> 11:12	<b>questioned</b> 33:13	<b>recognize</b> 32:11	<b>rendered</b> 32:5
<b>proceedings</b> 4:2	<b>questions</b> 32:8 38:15	<b>recommend</b> 37:16	<b>rendering</b> 9:10
<b>process</b> 5:17 15:22 22:24	<b>quick</b> 22:23 24:6 37:15	<b>record</b> 8:12 32:1	<b>reply</b> 34:7
<b>product</b> 29:1	<b>quickly</b> 23:14 24:2,13	<b>red</b> 20:9	<b>report</b> 39:20
<b>professional</b> 19:17 30:4	<hr/> <b>R</b> <hr/>	<b>redistricting</b> 14:8 26:4	<b>reports</b> 14:6,9,11 19:1 27:20
<b>promptly</b> 26:22	<b>raises</b> 9:13 14:14	<b>reduce</b> 44:12	<b>representing</b> 19:18
<b>proponents</b> 45:25	<b>ran</b> 20:9	<b>referenced</b> 31:8	<b>request</b> 5:20 28:10
<b>proposing</b> 12:7	<b>react</b> 32:18 37:20	<b>regular</b> 15:21 29:8 34:19	<b>requested</b> 10:17 19:8
<b>provide</b> 14:3,11 27:12 30:5 31:10,21	<b>reaction</b> 27:22	<b>related</b> 10:3	<b>requests</b> 32:8
<b>provided</b> 14:6 19:10 31:7	<b>read</b> 6:11 8:16,17, 19 22:8 25:13 31:4 33:20 45:14,15	<b>relating</b> 32:8	<b>require</b> 10:4 11:9 14:14 34:10
<b>pull</b> 41:9		<b>relevant</b> 36:4	<b>requirements</b> 25:18
		<b>relied</b> 34:16	

<b>requires</b> 5:13	<b>Robinson</b> 5:1	34:22	<b>served</b> 15:6 33:23
<b>requiring</b> 33:6	<b>rodeo</b> 19:19	<b>scheduled</b> 27:24	<b>service</b> 5:15,16 15:22
<b>resolved</b> 19:3 46:5	<b>Rojas</b> 14:23	<b>scheduling</b> 15:16	<b>session</b> 17:9 22:5
<b>resources</b> 21:20 24:15	<b>role</b> 33:19	<b>Scott</b> 13:9,10	<b>set</b> 5:20 10:1 17:12
<b>respect</b> 26:6	<b>roles</b> 30:12	<b>seasoned</b> 19:17	<b>shake</b> 44:3,4
<b>respective</b> 12:17	<b>room</b> 41:9,21	<b>seat</b> 16:18	<b>shapes</b> 35:3
<b>respond</b> 7:5 28:13 33:7,8,9	<b>roughly</b> 26:18,23 28:6	<b>seats</b> 16:17	<b>share</b> 38:2 45:13
<b>response</b> 9:12 26:21 27:1,15,17 28:11,14 30:18 32:13, 17 34:8 36:21	<b>rule</b> 23:21 34:22 42:10 43:1,2	<b>Secretary</b> 4:20 12:15 15:12 33:15	<b>shock</b> 29:19
<b>rest</b> 10:25 11:23 23:1	<b>ruled</b> 21:15	<b>seek</b> 14:3 15:3	<b>short</b> 10:6 33:16 34:12
<b>retained</b> 34:3,4	<b>rules</b> 11:16	<b>seeking</b> 10:24 11:5	<b>shot</b> 18:15 20:9
<b>reveal</b> 36:2	<b>rulings</b> 45:19	<b>selections</b> 39:24	<b>show</b> 38:13
<b>review</b> 21:18 24:16	<b>run</b> 10:13 16:5	<b>Senate</b> 5:8 10:20,21 11:20 14:24 15:4,5 22:3 33:14	<b>Shutts</b> 5:7
<b>rights</b> 8:5 9:24 37:3	<b>running</b> 11:4 16:4	<b>send</b> 6:4 45:22	<b>side</b> 14:12 23:23 25:12,17 30:9
<b>rip</b> 9:7	<b>s</b>	<b>senior</b> 18:9	<b>sides</b> 19:18 20:14 21:9 23:1 25:19 43:23
<b>ripples</b> 9:20	<b>salt</b> 43:11	<b>sense</b> 17:4 22:8 36:21 40:2,22 42:23 43:12	<b>signed</b> 11:18 12:22 17:10
<b>rises</b> 43:5	<b>schedule</b> 15:25 21:4,6 26:15 32:9	<b>seriousness</b> 18:11	<b>significantly</b> 9:14



<b>simply</b> 9:23 10:18,24 42:20 43:3	<b>spokesman</b> 12:10	<b>strategy</b> 29:15	12:2,22 13:8, 23 22:18
<b>sir</b> 4:21 7:6,10 32:10 33:4 38:19 40:13	<b>Sprowls</b> 5:2	<b>streamline</b> 37:1,8	<b>supported</b> 18:25
<b>site</b> 41:3	<b>stand</b> 27:3	<b>streamlined</b> 29:1 31:20,25	<b>supposed</b> 34:23
<b>situation</b> 11:13	<b>standard</b> 9:3 34:18	<b>stretch</b> 17:18	<b>Supreme</b> 6:4,6 18:14, 16
<b>sketch</b> 27:13	<b>standpoint</b> 5:15 20:7 21:20 27:8	<b>strong</b> 7:12	<b>surprise</b> 8:25
<b>Smith</b> 24:18,19	<b>start</b> 34:7	<b>strongly</b> 34:2	<b>surprised</b> 30:17
<b>sooner</b> 30:3,5,23	<b>starts</b> 31:17 33:21	<b>subject</b> 11:10 20:19 28:9	<b>surrounding</b> 8:1
<b>sort</b> 38:5	<b>State</b> 11:21 12:15 14:8 15:12,23 16:23 33:16	<b>submit</b> 20:23 32:13	<b>suspect</b> 34:2
<b>sought</b> 13:12	<b>State's</b> 12:18 14:7	<b>submitted</b> 19:1 26:15	<b>swap</b> 10:18,24 45:21
<b>southeast</b> 13:12	<b>statistics</b> 8:20 35:4,6	<b>subsequent</b> 6:20	<b>swapping</b> 13:15
<b>spans</b> 13:22	<b>statute</b> 33:13	<b>substantive</b> 42:18	<b>swear</b> 41:4,7
<b>speak</b> 12:17 41:22	<b>stepping</b> 5:15	<b>suggest</b> 28:17	<b>system</b> 31:12
<b>Speaker</b> 5:2	<b>stinks</b> 16:12	<b>suggesting</b> 43:20 44:10	<b>systemically</b> 24:25
<b>speaking</b> 4:7	<b>stipulated</b> 20:1	<b>summary</b> 34:12 36:13 42:22 45:11	<hr/> <b>T</b> <hr/>
<b>special</b> 17:9 22:5	<b>stop</b> 14:17	<b>superior</b> 9:4	<b>tabbed</b> 31:16
<b>specific</b> 15:1	<b>straight</b> 18:15	<b>Supervisor</b> 11:21 13:8,9, 10 16:6 42:16,20	<b>talk</b> 19:19 30:24 37:17 40:20
<b>spin</b> 20:13	<b>straightforward</b> 7:17 8:8,12 18:25 19:9	<b>supervisors</b> 6:10 7:3	<b>talked</b> 25:2 44:15

<b>talking</b> 22:14 38:25	44:2,14	<b>trial</b> 5:22 6:19,22 9:5 11:12 15:9,25 29:17 34:9,16,18	<b>understanding</b> 6:7 44:18
<b>talks</b> 44:1	<b>thought</b> 43:7		<b>Understood</b> 15:18
<b>targeting</b> 46:7	<b>thousand</b> 25:9	<b>trials</b> 39:25	<b>undertaken</b> 13:6
<b>technology</b> 45:5	<b>three-hour</b> 5:19 17:18	<b>true</b> 37:7	<b>undisputed</b> 7:21
<b>tells</b> 35:20	<b>thumbnail</b> 27:13	<b>Tuesday</b> 36:7	<b>undo</b> 12:20
<b>temporary</b> 5:21,25 6:14, 22 9:4 17:3, 18,20 18:17 26:16 32:5 46:7	<b>Thursday</b> 23:19	<b>turnarounds</b> 24:6	<b>uniform</b> 15:25
<b>terrific</b> 37:13	<b>time</b> 5:20 7:1 13:25 16:10, 13:17-8,18,24 18:1,2,7 21:1,2,7 22:20 24:23 25:18 28:3 29:12 32:18 34:22 35:12 39:2 41:23 42:7 43:18 44:12 45:15, 16	<b>turns</b> 33:10	<b>unnecessarily</b> 29:24
<b>testify</b> 29:17 34:13	<b>timeframe</b> <small>www.phippsreporting.com (888) 811-3408</small> 5:13 10:6	<b>two-page</b> 42:23	<b>upcoming</b> 12:25 13:1
<b>testifying</b> 42:24	<b>timing</b> 15:1,11 16:14	<b>type</b> 45:12	<b>upset</b> 29:24
<b>testimony</b> 20:4 23:5 26:7 27:14 35:2 39:11	<b>today</b> 23:11 32:3 38:16 43:25	<b>types</b> 5:17	<hr/> <b>v</b> <hr/>
<b>theory</b> 14:10	<b>told</b> 21:2 43:14	<hr/> <b>U</b> <hr/>	<b>version</b> 10:24 13:19
<b>thing</b> 19:16 25:13 27:9,10 31:19 34:20 36:17 39:2 41:25 42:14 45:13	<b>tomorrow</b> 23:12 28:18 35:19	<b>ultimate</b> 45:21	<b>versions</b> 31:7
<b>things</b> 5:18 16:1,5, 21 18:10 22:25 25:9 34:23 42:8	<b>traded</b> 30:14	<b>ultimately</b> 24:15	<b>veto</b> 22:5
		<b>unchanged</b> 11:1 12:7	<b>vetoed</b> 13:20
		<b>uncontroverted</b> 10:10	<b>vice</b> 7:8
		<b>underpinnings</b> 14:5	<b>view</b> 7:12 18:23 42:8
		<b>understand</b> 17:4 19:23 23:10 25:15 29:13 33:10 38:3 45:17	<b>violation</b> 8:6,14
			<b>vote</b> 16:20

<b>voter</b> 8:5  <b>voters</b> 7:22,25 9:24 42:4  <b>voting</b> 9:24 26:4 37:3  <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>W</b></p> <hr/> <b>waited</b> 17:13  <b>wanted</b> 42:4  <b>Washington</b> 39:8  <b>waters</b> 17:7  <b>website</b> 14:8  <b>websites</b> 14:7  <b>Wednesday</b> 17:21 23:19 29:10  <b>Wednesday's</b> 26:24  <b>week</b> 5:20 6:25 7:13 18:8 22:21 26:18, 23 28:6,15,20 29:12 36:19  <b>weekend</b> 29:21 32:16, 23 36:18  <b>weigh</b> 19:22	<b>Wermuth</b> 4:4,9  <b>whichever</b> 6:15 22:6  <b>whites</b> 41:22  <b>winds</b> 9:9  <b>witnesses</b> 18:20 19:4,6, 11,20 20:24 25:3,19,20 26:8 27:19 30:25 34:11, 17,25 35:14, 17 36:1 40:18 41:2 45:10, 11,24  <b>Women</b> 42:4  <b>words</b> 5:23 9:7 29:7 31:25 33:17  <b>work</b> 7:3 10:12 16:25 26:5 33:1  <b>worked</b> 17:15 21:22 27:6 28:24 43:15  <b>working</b> 25:8 26:11 40:23 44:18  <b>works</b> 19:15 40:21  <b>wound</b> 44:10	<b>wrap</b> 6:24  <b>writing</b> 44:13  <b>written</b> 26:21 27:17  <b>wrong</b> 23:13 24:20 26:18  <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Y</b></p> <hr/> <b>y'all</b> 17:22 19:24 20:22 25:2,23 27:5,11 30:24 40:6,9 42:12 44:13,15 45:10  <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Z</b></p> <hr/> <b>Zoom</b> 39:15 40:5,6, 19 41:1,12,23 45:3,6	
---	--	--	--