

EXHIBIT 6

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

**SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE
REAPPORTIONMENT
Senator Burgess, Chair**

MEETING DATE: Monday, January 10, 2022
TIME: 12:30—3:30 p.m.
PLACE: *Pat Thomas Committee Room*, 412 Knott Building

MEMBERS: Senator Burgess, Chair; Senators Bracy, Gibson, Rodriguez, and Stargel

TAB		BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on State Legislative Maps		Presented
2	Public Comment		Discussed
Other Related Meeting Documents			

The background of the entire page is a photograph of the Florida State Capitol building in Tallahassee, Florida. The building is a large, white, neoclassical structure with a prominent central dome and a portico supported by columns. It is surrounded by greenery and palm trees. The entire image has a blue color overlay.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

WORKSHOP ON LEGISLATIVE MAPS

JANUARY 10TH, 2022



State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Committee staff has produced another series of redistricting plans.
- Staff followed the instruction from the select subcommittee, and looked for improvements and consistency in the application of the various trade-offs presented in the maps.
- Districts retained the same numbers from the original maps.



State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Plans being presented today:
 - Present policy choices for the Select Subcommittee;
 - Show improvements in Tier Two metrics; and
 - Do not retrogress and maintain the ability to for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and elect candidates of their choice.
 - S000S8044 – Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
 - S000S8046 – Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
 - S000S8048 – Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
 - S000S8050 – Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.



State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Consistent application among the various trade-offs results in a narrowing of some of the options previously workshopped.
- Co-equal criteria outlined in the tier-two standards of Article III, Section 21 of the Florida Constitution and the directives issued by the Committee on October 18, 2021 were balanced to be consistent and to apply similar treatment across different areas of the state.
- As with the plans previously workshopped, a functional analysis of the minority districts in each plan confirms that they do not diminish the ability for racial and language minorities to elect candidates of their choice.




State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Plans are published and available on www.floridaredistricting.gov, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the [Submitted Plans](#) page for independent analysis:
 - [S000S8044](#)
 - [S000S8046](#)
 - [S000S8048](#)
 - [S000S8050](#)
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
 - [Select Subcommittee on Legislative Reapportionment](#)



Senate Districts 1 and 2





Senate Districts 1 and 2


Plans S00058044, S00058046, S00058048, and S00058050																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	176	0.03%	Black	Hisp.	9,105	708	0.80	0.43	0.46	8	2	45	4	1%	86%	9%	59%	0%	0%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%

Plan S00058028																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,122	0.10%	13.45%	6.41%	9,105	746	0.79	0.40	0.47	8	2	47	0	3%	86%	4%	59%	0%	1%
1	1,314	0.24%	15.28%	5.61%	2,796	310	0.80	0.37	0.44	2	1	6	0	3%	83%	4%	56%	0%	1%
2	-192	-0.04%	11.62%	7.21%	6,309	436	0.77	0.42	0.50	6	1	41	0	3%	88%	3%	61%	0%	1%





Senate Districts 4 and 6





Senate Districts 4 and 6

Plans S000S8044, S000S8046, S000S8048, and S000S8050


Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			Black	Hisp.															
	903	0.17%			1,644	339	0.81	0.45	0.56	1	2	7	2	21%	46%	51%	28%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%

Plans S000S8026 and S000S8028

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	199	0.04%	Black	Hisp.						1	2	7	2	44%	70%	24%	29%	0%	3%
4	4,405	0.82%	12.69%	9.67%	1,191	258	0.65	0.22	0.44	1	1	6	1	32%	84%	12%	48%	0%	1%
6	4,604	0.86%	42.66%	9.93%	454	94	0.93	0.65	0.53	0	1	1	1	55%	55%	35%	9%	0%	4%



Senate Districts 5 and 8





Senate Districts 5 and 8

Plans S000S8044 and S000S8048


Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%								Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	877	0.16%	Black	Hisp.															
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%

Plans S000S8046 and S000S8050

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%								Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,835	0.34%	Black	Hisp.															
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	2	21	3	14%	87%	13%	33%	0%	0%
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%




I-4 Corridor





Tampa Bay






Senate Districts 10, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 24

Districts 10, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 24 in the Tampa Bay Region															
Plan	Deviation		Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%				Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
Revised Drafts	7,655	1.42%	0.79	0.48	0.48	3	11	42	12	16%	50%	27%	40%	0%	7%
S000S8026	9,332	1.73%	0.78	0.46	0.48	3	11	41	14	13%	49%	24%	42%	2%	10%
S000S8028	9,926	1.84%	0.78	0.46	0.48	3	11	41	14	15%	49%	26%	40%	2%	9%
S000S8030	8,856	1.64%	0.79	0.47	0.48	3	11	41	14	13%	50%	26%	42%	0%	8%
S000S8034	9,450	1.76%	0.79	0.47	0.48	3	11	41	14	15%	50%	27%	40%	0%	8%



Senate Districts 22 and 26





Senate Districts 22 and 26

Plans S000S8044, S000S8046, S000S8048, and S000S8050


Plans S000S8044, S000S8046, S000S8048, and S000S8050																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			Black	Hisp.															
	1,497	0.28%			4,426	566	0.69	0.32	0.36	3	3	18	9	6%	73%	22%	23%	3%	3%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%

Plans S000S8028 and S000S8030

Plans S00058028 and S00058030																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			Black	Hisp.															
	1,547	0.29%			4,426	556	0.73	0.34	0.43	3	3	19	7	7%	73%	15%	23%	0%	10%
22	-3,719	-0.69%	15.67%	24.23%	861	172	0.84	0.37	0.47	0	1	8	3	5%	68%	17%	16%	0%	12%
26	-2,172	-0.40%	9.54%	16.14%	3,565	384	0.61	0.30	0.39	3	2	11	4	8%	77%	12%	30%	0%	8%




South Florida





Senate Districts 29, 30, and 31





Senate Districts 29, 30, and 31

Plans S000S8044, S000S8046, S000S8048, and S000S8050


Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%								Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	3,393	0.63%	Black	Hisp.						1	4	40	8	14%	42%	31%	39%	2%	9%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%

Plans S000S8028, S000S8030, and S000S8034

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	2,674	0.50%	Black	Hisp.						3,191	402	0.86	0.53	0.52	1	4	38	12	26%
29	4,043	0.75%	11.45%	16.06%	2,704	247	0.88	0.56	0.55	1	2	12	5	10%	61%	14%	32%	4%	13%
30	3,800	0.71%	24.77%	29.58%	263	80	0.85	0.52	0.54	0	1	16	5	37%	20%	16%	35%	0%	28%
31	1,369	0.25%	15.66%	16.52%	224	75	0.86	0.50	0.46	0	1	10	2	32%	29%	42%	40%	0%	3%



Senate Districts 32, 33, 34, and 38





Senate Districts 32, 33, 34, and 38

Plans S000S8044 and S000S8046

Plans S000S8044 and S000S8046																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	3,306	0.61%	Black	Hisp.															
32	3,679	0.68%	20.78%	45.26%	955	136	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%

Plans S000S8048 and S000S8050

Plans S000S8048 and S000S8050																			
Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Population:							Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,204	0.22%	Black	Hisp.															
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.56	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.46	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	148	72	0.74	0.36	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%




Comparison of Workshopped Senate Plans

Statewide Metrics for All Workshopped Senate Plans																
Plan	Deviation		Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%					Whole	Parts	Whole	Whole (by Pop)	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000S8010	10,457	1.94%	197.3	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	350	360	14%	60%	20%	39%	3%	6%
S000S8012	10,549	1.96%	198.5	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	359	367	16%	60%	21%	38%	2%	6%
S000S8014	10,457	1.94%	196.6	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	357	366	16%	59%	21%	38%	2%	6%
S000S8016	10,549	1.96%	197.2	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	355	364	15%	59%	23%	38%	2%	5%
S000S8026	10,463	1.94%	197.5	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	355	363	15%	60%	20%	39%	3%	6%
S000S8028	10,498	1.95%	198.6	0.81	0.45	0.47	51	48	361	369	16%	60%	22%	38%	2%	5%
S000S8030	10,362	1.92%	196.7	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	358	368	15%	59%	23%	38%	1%	5%
S000S8034	10,498	1.95%	197.2	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	356	366	14%	59%	24%	38%	1%	5%
S000S8044	10,362	1.92%	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	368	15%	59%	24%	38%	2%	4%
S000S8046	10,362	1.92%	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	368	15%	60%	24%	38%	2%	4%
S000S8048	10,362	1.92%	197.6	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	359	367	14%	59%	25%	39%	1%	4%
S000S8050	10,362	1.92%	197.7	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	359	367	14%	60%	25%	39%	1%	4%



Comparison of Workshopped Senate Plans

Count of Districts with Non-Political or Geographic Boundary Usage Rates of...				
Plan	Equal to 0%	Less than or Equal to 2%	Less than or Equal to 5%	Less than or Equal to 10%
S000S8010	8	17	25	31
S000S8012	6	18	24	33
S000S8014	10	19	25	32
S000S8016	10	20	26	35
S000S8026	10	20	27	32
S000S8028	8	19	24	33
S000S8030	12	21	26	34
S000S8034	12	20	26	36
S000S8044	14	22	28	37
S000S8046	14	22	28	37
S000S8048	13	20	27	37
S000S8050	13	20	27	37



Plan S000S8044

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:						
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo	
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.4	0.82	0.47	0.45	51	48	360	111	15%	59%	24%	38%	2%	4%	
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%	
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.45	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%	
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%	
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%	
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%	
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%	
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%	
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%	
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%	
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	273	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%	
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.45	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%	
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.35	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%	
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%	
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	3%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%	
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%	
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%	
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%	
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%	
19	3,007	0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.35	0.35	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%	
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.85	0.55	0.55	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%	
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%	
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%	
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%	
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%	
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%	
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%	
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.45	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%	
28	-960	-0.18%	7.58%	26.59%	4,027	325	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%	
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%	
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%	
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%	
32	3,679	0.68%	20.78%	45.26%	955	135	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%	
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%	
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%	
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.45	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%	
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%	
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%	
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%	
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%	
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%	

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District Lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000S8044	
	67	51
Number of Counties	67	51
Counties with only one district	16	16
Districts with only one county	16	16
Counties split into more than one district	51	51
Counties with all population in a single district	48	48
Aggregate number of county splits	48	48
Aggregate number of splits with population	412	360
Number of Cities	360	52
Cities with only one district	52	52
Cities split into more than one district	368	368
Cities with all population in only one district	111	111
Aggregate number of city splits	103	103
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Plan S000S8044

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	176,813	63.5%	385.8	39.8%
Alachua	8	101,655	36.5%	583.1	60.2%
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%
Broward	32	542,134	27.9%	955.0	73.0%
Broward	33	542,051	27.9%	88.5	6.8%
Broward	34	407,113	20.9%	89.0	6.8%
Broward	38	453,077	23.3%	175.9	13.4%
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%
Lee	28	122,134	16.1%	232.0	15.3%
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%
Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%
Miami-Dade	39	459,658	17.0%	1,663.5	69.6%
Miami-Dade	40	543,674	20.1%	342.8	14.4%
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%	342.0	27.4%
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8044

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	9,387	88.8%	27.6	75.4%
Alachua	8	1,187	11.2%	9.0	24.6%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%
Davie	32	103,272	97.7%	33.4	93.4%
Davie	33	1,148	1.1%	0.3	0.9%
Davie	38	1,271	1.2%	2.1	5.7%
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%
Deerfield Beach	34	71,094	81.9%	13.2	81.6%
Deerfield Beach	38	15,765	18.2%	3.0	18.4%
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%
Fort Lauderdale	33	84,222	46.1%	15.8	43.5%
Fort Lauderdale	38	98,538	53.9%	20.5	56.5%
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%
Gainesville	5	135,843	96.3%	58.2	90.5%
Gainesville	8	5,242	3.7%	6.1	9.5%
High Springs	5	2,855	45.9%	8.2	37.3%
High Springs	8	3,360	54.1%	13.7	62.7%
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%
Hollywood	32	28,649	18.7%	3.5	11.3%
Hollywood	38	124,418	81.3%	27.3	88.7%
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	625.4	71.6%
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%
Lake Wales	26	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%
Lakeland	22	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%
Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%
Miami Beach	35	40,305	48.6%	8.2	53.8%
Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%
Miramar	32	117,135	87.0%	28.9	93.0%
Miramar	38	17,585	13.1%	2.2	7.0%
North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%
North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%
North Miami Beach	38	8,375	19.2%	1.1	20.1%
Oakland	11	3,515	100.0%	2.3	99.1%
Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%
Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%
Ocoee	12	7,685	16.3%	1.8	10.8%
Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%
Oldsmar	24	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%
Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%
Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%
Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%
Orlando	13	159,415	51.8%	75.8	63.7%
Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%
Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%
Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%
Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%
Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%
Pembroke Pines	32	171,062	99.9%	34.7	99.9%
Pembroke Pines	38	115	0.1%	0.1	0.1%
Pompano Beach	34	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%
Pompano Beach	38	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%
Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%
Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%
Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%
Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%
St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%
Sunrise	32	10,939	11.2%	6.2	34.3%
Sunrise	33	86,395	88.8%	11.9	65.7%
Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%
Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%
Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%
Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%
Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%
Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%
Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%
Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%
Titusville	17	655	1.3%	6.7	19.4%
West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%
West Palm Beach	30	101,195	86.2%	25.5	43.9%
Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%
Winter Garden	12	23,075	49.1%	10.3	57.7%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.5%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8044

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hispanic Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.55%	21.20%	41.17%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	58.12%	
19	35.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.56%	14.48%	79.53%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%	
33	46.33%	28.13%	60.44%	14.57%	24.98%	41.35%	16.05%	81.34%	2.51%	16.14%	46.69%	17.51%	35.81%	55.65%	12.40%	7.12%	19.28%	26.71%	23.01%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	25.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%	
36	5.40%	80.11%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%	
37	6.23%	75.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.25%	4.50%	89.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%	


Functional Analysis Summary
PageID 1027

Plan S000S8044

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020								
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Part.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG	
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	45.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%	
19	39.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.3%	
33	46.15%	23.13%	55.29%	5.24%	5.35%	10.12%	64.77%	15.71%	19.52%	54.88%	9.76%	5.72%	14.99%	24.39%	19.63%	85.22%	2.18%	11.55%	50.28%	18.88%	30.68%	76.9%	22.2%	14	0	D +60.6%	D +47.9%	D +55%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%	
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.51%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%	
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	5	5	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.57%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	23.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%	

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
Plan S000S0044			BVAP									
Primary Elections			HVAP									
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
		R_DeSantis	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
		R_Devine	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.45%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%
		R_Langford	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	67.41%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%
		R_Mercadante	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.16%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%
		R_Nathan	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.07%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%
		R_Putnam	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.67%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%
		R_White	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.47%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	19.33%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%
		D_Graham	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.44%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%
		D_Greene	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	48.67%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%
		D_King	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	14.03%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%
		D_Levine	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.20%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%
		D_Lundmark	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.83%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%
		D_Wetherbee	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.55%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%
		D_White	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.28%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%
		R_White	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	53.68%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	46.06%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%
		D_Torrens	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	80.84%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	19.16%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%
		R_Grimsley	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.37%	40.22%	40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%
		R_McCalister	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	27.39%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%
		R_Troutman	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	20.93%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.46%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%
		D_Porter	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.85%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%
		D_Walker	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.49%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.62%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%
		R_Scott	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	16.28%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Reruff	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.48%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%
		R_Rivera	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.17%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%
		R_Rubio	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.57%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%
		R_Young	67.37%	77.47%	70.85%	64.29%	69.70%	80.09%	91.58%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	9.80%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%
		D_Grayson	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.48%	5.48%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%
		D_Keith	11.29%	49.04%	46.55%	10.34%	10.14%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%
		D_Luster	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	15.68%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	1.99%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%
		R_Adeshina	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.49%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.54%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	13.93%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%
		D_Crist	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.85%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%
		D_Rich	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	79.62%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	20.26%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%
		D_Thurston	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	35.94%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	64.02%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%
		R_McCalister	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	69.24%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%
		R_Stuart	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	10.08%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%
		R_Weldon	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	6.39%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	13.22%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%
		D_Nelson	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.69%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40	
Plan S000S8044			BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
General Elections			HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	75.13%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%	
		R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	24.31%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	78.59%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%	
		R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	20.80%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	77.45%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%	
		R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	21.23%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	78.50%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%	
		R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	21.50%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	79.26%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%	
		R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	20.74%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.06%	60.89%	71.22%	79.18%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%	
		R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	20.82%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	76.38%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%	
		R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	21.75%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	74.63%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%	
		R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	23.43%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	78.36%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%	
		R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	19.46%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	75.08%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%	
		R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	23.36%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	73.97%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%	
		R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	26.02%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	75.08%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%	
		R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	24.93%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%	
2012	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	78.11%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%	
		R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	21.43%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	79.68%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%	
		R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	19.07%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%	



Plan S000S8046

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:						
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo	
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.45	51	48	360	111	15%	60%	24%	38%	2%	4%	
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%	
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.45	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%	
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%	
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%	
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	1	21	3	14%	87%	13%	20%	0%	0%	
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%	
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%	
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%	
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%	
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%	
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.45	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%	
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.35	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%	
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%	
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	3%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%	
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%	
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%	
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%	
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%	
19	3,007	0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.35	0.35	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%	
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.85	0.55	0.55	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%	
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%	
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%	
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%	
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%	
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%	
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%	
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.45	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%	
28	-960	-0.18%	7.58%	26.59%	4,027	325	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%	
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%	
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%	
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%	
32	3,679	0.68%	20.78%	45.26%	955	136	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%	
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%	
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%	
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.45	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%	
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%	
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%	
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%	
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%	
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%	

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District Lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000S8046	
	67	51
Number of Counties	67	51
Counties with only one district	16	16
Districts with only one county	16	16
Counties split into more than one district	51	51
Counties with all population in a single district	48	48
Aggregate number of county splits	48	48
Aggregate number of splits with population	412	360
Number of Cities	360	52
Cities with only one district	52	52
Cities split into more than one district	368	368
Cities with all population in only one district	111	111
Aggregate number of city splits	103	103
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Plan S000S8046

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	160,305	57.6%	494.0	51.0%
Alachua	8	118,163	42.4%	474.8	49.0%
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%
Broward	32	542,134	27.9%	955.0	73.0%
Broward	33	542,051	27.9%	88.5	6.8%
Broward	34	407,113	20.9%	89.0	6.8%
Broward	38	453,077	23.3%	175.9	13.4%
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%
Lee	28	122,114	16.1%	232.0	15.3%
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%
Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%
Miami-Dade	39	459,658	17.0%	1,663.5	69.6%
Miami-Dade	40	543,674	20.1%	342.8	14.4%
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%	342.0	27.4%
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8046

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%
Davie	32	103,272	97.7%	33.4	93.4%
Davie	33	1,148	1.1%	0.3	0.9%
Davie	38	1,271	1.2%	2.1	5.7%
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%
Deerfield Beach	34	71,094	81.9%	13.2	81.6%
Deerfield Beach	38	15,765	18.2%	3.0	18.4%
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%
Fanning Springs	5	478	40.4%	1.9	38.4%
Fanning Springs	8	704	59.6%	3.1	61.6%
Fort Lauderdale	33	84,222	46.1%	15.8	43.5%
Fort Lauderdale	38	98,538	53.9%	20.5	56.5%
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%
Gainesville	5	93,662	66.4%	48.3	75.1%
Gainesville	8	47,423	33.6%	16.0	24.9%
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%
Hollywood	32	28,649	18.7%	3.5	11.3%
Hollywood	38	124,418	81.3%	27.3	88.7%
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%
Lake Wales	26	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%
Lakeland	22	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%
Miami Beach	35	40,305	48.6%	8.2	53.8%
Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%
Miramar	32	117,135	87.0%	28.9	93.0%
Miramar	38	17,585	13.1%	2.2	7.0%
Newberry	5	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%
Newberry	8	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%
North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%
North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%
North Miami Beach	38	8,375	19.2%	1.1	20.1%
Oakland	11	3,515	100.0%	2.3	99.1%
Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%
Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%
Ocoee	12	7,685	16.3%	1.8	10.8%
Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%
Oldsmar	24	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%
Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%
Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%
Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%
Orlando	13	159,415	51.8%	75.8	63.7%
Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%
Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%
Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%
Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%
Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%
Pembroke Pines	32	171,062	99.9%	34.7	99.9%
Pembroke Pines	38	115	0.1%	0.1	0.1%
Pompano Beach	34	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%
Pompano Beach	38	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%
Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%
Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%
Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%
Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%
St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%
Sunrise	32	10,939	11.2%	6.2	34.3%
Sunrise	33	86,396	88.8%	11.9	65.7%
Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%
Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%
Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%
Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%
Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%
Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%
Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%
Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%
Titusville	17	655	1.3%	6.7	19.4%
West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%
West Palm Beach	30	101,195	86.2%	25.5	43.9%
Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%
Winter Garden	12	23,075	49.1%	10.3	57.7%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.6%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8046

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:			Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.		DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.55%	21.20%	41.37%	5.42%		82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%		78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%		72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	58.12%
19	35.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.56%	14.48%		79.53%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%
33	46.33%	28.13%	60.44%	14.57%	24.98%	41.35%	16.05%		81.34%	2.51%	16.14%	46.69%	17.51%	35.81%	55.65%	12.40%	7.12%	19.28%	26.71%	23.01%
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	25.16%		83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%
36	5.40%	80.11%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%		80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%
37	6.23%	75.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.25%	4.50%	89.00%		74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%		74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%		80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%


Functional Analysis Summary
PageID 1035

Plan S000S8046

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020								
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Part.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG	
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	45.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%	
19	39.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.3%	
33	46.15%	23.13%	55.29%	5.24%	5.35%	10.12%	64.77%	15.71%	19.52%	54.88%	9.76%	5.72%	14.99%	24.39%	19.63%	85.22%	2.18%	11.55%	50.28%	18.88%	30.68%	76.9%	22.2%	14	0	D +60.6%	D +47.9%	D +55%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%	
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.61%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%	
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	5	5	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.57%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%	

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40	
Plan S000S046			BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
Primary Elections			HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.45%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%	
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	67.41%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%	
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.16%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%	
		R_Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.07%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%	
		R_Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.67%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%	
		R_Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.47%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%	
		R_Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	19.33%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%	
		R_White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.44%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	48.67%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%	
		D_Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	14.03%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%	
		D_Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.20%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%	
		D_King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.83%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%	
		D_Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.55%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%	
		D_Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.28%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%	
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	53.68%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%	
		R_White	42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	46.06%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	80.84%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%	
		D_Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	19.16%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.37%	40.22%	40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%	
		R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	27.39%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%	
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	20.93%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%	
		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.46%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.85%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%	
		D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.49%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%	
		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.62%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%	
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	16.28%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%	
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.48%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.17%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%	
		R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.57%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%	
		R_Rubio	67.37%	77.47%	70.85%	64.29%	69.70%	80.09%	91.58%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%	
		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	9.80%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.48%	5.48%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%	
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	10.14%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%	
		D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	15.68%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%	
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	1.99%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.49%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%	
		R_Aceshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.54%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	13.93%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%	
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.85%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%	
		D_Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	79.62%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%	
		D_Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	20.26%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	35.94%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%	
		D_Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	64.02%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	69.24%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%	
		R_McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	10.08%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%	
		R_Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	6.39%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%	
		R_Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	13.22%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.69%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%	
		D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.18%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%	

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
Plan S000S8046		BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
General Elections		HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	75.13%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
		R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	24.31%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	78.59%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
		R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	20.80%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	77.45%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
		R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	21.23%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	78.50%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
		R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	21.50%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	79.26%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
		R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	20.74%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.05%	60.89%	71.22%	79.18%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
		R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	20.82%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
2016	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	76.38%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
		R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	21.75%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	74.63%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
		R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	23.43%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
2014	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	78.36%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
		R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	19.46%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	75.08%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
		R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	23.36%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	73.97%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
		R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	26.02%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	75.08%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
		R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	24.93%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
2012	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	78.11%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
		R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	21.43%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	79.68%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
		R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	19.07%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



Plan S000S8048

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.6	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	359	114	14%	59%	25%	39%	1%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.45	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	273	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.45	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.35	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	3%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	3,007	0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.35	0.35	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.85	0.55	0.55	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.85	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.45	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.58%	26.59%	4,027	325	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.55	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.45	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	143	72	0.74	0.35	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.45	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.30%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District Lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000S8048	
	67	51
Number of Counties	67	51
Counties with only one district	16	16
Districts with only one county	16	16
Counties split into more than one district	51	51
Counties with all population in a single district	48	48
Aggregate number of county splits	48	48
Aggregate number of splits with population	412	359
Number of Cities	359	53
Cities with only one district	53	53
Cities split into more than one district	367	367
Cities with all population in only one district	114	114
Aggregate number of city splits	114	114
Aggregate number of splits with population	106	106

Plan S000S8048

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	176,813	63.5%	385.8	39.8%
Alachua	8	101,655	36.5%	583.1	60.2%
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%
Broward	32	540,923	27.8%	961.2	73.5%
Broward	33	541,415	27.9%	89.0	6.8%
Broward	34	409,567	21.1%	114.9	8.8%
Broward	38	452,470	23.3%	143.2	11.0%
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%
Lee	28	122,134	16.1%	232.0	15.3%
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%
Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%
Miami-Dade	39	459,658	17.0%	1,663.5	69.6%
Miami-Dade	40	543,674	20.1%	342.8	14.4%
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%	342.0	27.4%
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8048

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	9,387	88.8%	27.6	75.4%
Alachua	8	1,187	11.2%	9.0	24.6%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%
Coconut Creek	33	25,596	44.3%	4.8	39.9%
Coconut Creek	34	32,237	55.7%	7.2	60.1%
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%
Davie	32	78,079	73.9%	28.3	79.2%
Davie	38	27,612	26.1%	7.4	20.8%
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%
Fort Lauderdale	33	65,524	35.9%	13.5	37.2%
Fort Lauderdale	34	17,711	9.7%	2.9	7.9%
Fort Lauderdale	38	99,525	54.5%	19.9	54.9%
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%
Gainesville	5	135,843	96.3%	58.2	90.5%
Gainesville	8	5,242	3.7%	6.1	9.5%
High Springs	5	2,855	45.9%	8.2	37.3%
High Springs	8	3,360	54.1%	13.7	62.7%
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%
Lake Wales	26	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%
Lakeland	22	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%
Miami Beach	35	40,305	48.6%	8.2	53.8%
Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%
Miramar	32	101,471	75.3%	27.1	87.3%
Miramar	38	33,250	24.7%	4.0	12.7%
North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%
North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%
North Miami Beach	38	8,376	19.2%	1.1	20.1%
Oakland	11	3,516	100.0%	2.3	99.1%
Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%
Oakland Park	33	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
Oakland Park	34	21,661	49.0%	3.2	39.5%
Oakland Park	38	7,531	17.0%	1.4	17.5%
Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%
Ocoee	12	7,686	16.3%	1.8	10.8%
Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%
Oldsmar	24	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%
Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%
Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%
Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%
Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%
Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%
Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%
Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%
Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%
Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%
Pembroke Pines	32	154,578	90.3%	31.8	91.4%
Pembroke Pines	38	16,600	9.7%	3.0	8.6%
Plantation	32	65,908	71.8%	16.9	76.8%
Plantation	33	25,842	28.2%	5.1	23.2%
Pompano Beach	33	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%
Pompano Beach	34	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%
Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%
Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%
Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%
Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%
St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%
Sunrise	32	30,754	31.6%	9.0	49.8%
Sunrise	33	66,581	68.4%	9.1	50.2%
Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%
Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%
Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%
Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%
Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%
Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%
Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%
Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%
Titusville	17	656	1.3%	6.7	19.4%
West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%
West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%
Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%
Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	95.9%	36.8	89.6%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	95.9%	36.8	89.6%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Plan S000S8048

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:			Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.55%	21.20%	41.37%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	58.12%	
19	35.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.55%	14.48%	79.53%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%	
33	50.42%	21.85%	62.60%	12.82%	24.58%	49.25%	15.25%	81.54%	2.46%	15.99%	48.01%	16.15%	35.80%	60.25%	11.69%	8.89%	19.20%	30.08%	22.21%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	25.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%	
36	5.40%	80.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%	
37	6.23%	75.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.25%	4.50%	89.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%	


Plan S000S8048

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020								
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG	
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	45.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%	
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	85.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.3%	
33	50.41%	21.85%	60.43%	4.94%	6.62%	9.91%	67.42%	13.58%	19.00%	59.42%	9.17%	7.23%	14.82%	27.77%	18.72%	85.43%	2.12%	11.39%	52.33%	17.19%	30.27%	79.4%	19.8%	14	0	D +65.4%	D +54.3%	D +59.9%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%	
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.51%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%	
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	3	5	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.57%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%	

Functional Analysis Returns
Page 1044

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40	
Plan S000S0418			BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
Primary Elections			HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.63%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%	
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	68.56%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%	
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.55%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%	
		R_Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.16%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%	
		R_Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.91%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%	
		R_Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.63%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%	
		R_Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	17.20%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%	
		R_White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.42%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	51.01%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%	
		D_Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	12.23%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%	
		D_Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.06%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%	
		D_King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.85%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%	
		D_Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.09%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%	
		D_Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.30%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%	
		Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	52.59%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	R_White		42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	47.01%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	81.10%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%	
		D_Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	18.88%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.58%	40.22%	40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%	
		R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	26.07%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%	
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	21.45%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%	
		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.92%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.89%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%	
		D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.82%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%	
		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.27%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%	
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	15.68%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%	
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.94%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.59%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%	
		R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.67%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%	
		R_Rubio	67.37%	77.47%	70.85%	64.29%	68.77%	80.09%	91.58%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%	
		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	10.31%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.42%	5.48%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%	
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	9.82%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%	
		D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	16.00%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%	
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	2.18%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.32%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%	
		R_Aceshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.86%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	14.11%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%	
		R_Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.23%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	81.22%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%	
		D_Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	18.68%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	34.75%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%	
		D_Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	65.14%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	66.99%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%	
		R_McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	11.76%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%	
		R_Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	7.52%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%	
		R_Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	12.59%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.58%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%	
		D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.28%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%	

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40	
Plan 5000S8048			BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
General Elections			HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	77.15%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%	
		R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	22.32%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	80.93%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%	
		R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	18.45%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	79.82%	83.53%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%	
		R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	18.93%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	80.93%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%	
		R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	19.06%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	81.33%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%	
		R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	18.66%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.06%	60.89%	71.22%	81.35%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%	
		R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	18.65%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	78.64%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%	
		R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	19.67%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	77.05%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%	
		R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	21.08%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	80.55%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%	
		R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	17.39%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	77.41%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%	
		R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	21.18%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	77.17%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%	
		R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	22.82%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	78.12%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%	
		R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	21.88%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%	
2012	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	80.90%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%	
		R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	18.68%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	82.09%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%	
		R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	16.68%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%	



Plan S000S8050

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.7	0.82	0.46	0.45	51	48	359	114	14%	60%	25%	39%	1%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.45	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	1	21	3	14%	87%	13%	20%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.45	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.35	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	8%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	3,007	0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.35	0.35	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.86	0.55	0.55	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.45	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.58%	26.59%	4,027	325	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.55	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.45	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	148	72	0.74	0.35	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.45	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.30%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000S8050	
	67	51
Number of Counties	67	51
Counties with only one district	16	16
Districts with only one county	16	16
Counties split into more than one district	51	51
Counties with all population in a single district	48	48
Aggregate number of county splits	48	48
Aggregate number of splits with population	412	359
Number of Cities	359	53
Cities with only one district	53	53
Cities split into more than one district	367	367
Cities with all population in only one district	114	114
Aggregate number of city splits	106	106
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Plan S000S8050

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	160,305	57.6%	494.0	51.0%
Alachua	8	118,163	42.4%	474.8	49.0%
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%
Broward	32	540,923	27.8%	961.2	73.5%
Broward	33	541,415	27.9%	89.0	6.8%
Broward	34	409,567	21.1%	114.9	8.8%
Broward	38	452,470	23.3%	143.2	11.0%
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%
Lee	28	122,134	16.1%	232.0	15.3%
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%
Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%
Miami-Dade	39	459,658	17.0%	1,663.5	69.6%
Miami-Dade	40	543,674	20.1%	342.8	14.4%
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%	342.0	27.4%
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000S8050

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%
Coconut Creek	33	25,596	44.3%	4.8	39.9%
Coconut Creek	34	32,237	55.7%	7.2	60.1%
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%
Davie	32	78,079	73.9%	28.3	79.2%
Davie	38	27,612	26.1%	7.4	20.8%
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	5.9	27.2%
Fanning Springs	5	478	40.4%	1.9	38.4%
Fanning Springs	8	704	59.6%	3.1	61.6%
Fort Lauderdale	33	65,524	35.9%	13.5	37.2%
Fort Lauderdale	34	17,711	9.7%	2.9	7.9%
Fort Lauderdale	38	99,525	54.5%	19.9	54.9%
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%
Gainesville	5	93,662	66.4%	48.3	75.1%
Gainesville	8	47,423	33.6%	16.0	24.9%
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%
Lake Wales	26	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%
Lakeland	22	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	5.5	100.0%
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%
Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%
Miami Beach	35	40,306	48.6%	8.2	53.8%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%
Miramar	32	101,471	75.3%	27.1	87.3%
Miramar	38	33,250	24.7%	4.0	12.7%
Newberry	5	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.5%
Newberry	8	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%
North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%
North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%
North Miami Beach	38	8,376	19.2%	1.1	20.1%
Oakland	11	3,516	100.0%	2.3	99.1%
Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%
Oakland Park	33	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
Oakland Park	34	21,661	49.0%	3.2	39.5%
Oakland Park	38	7,531	17.0%	1.4	17.5%
Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%
Ocoee	12	7,686	16.3%	1.8	10.8%
Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%
Oldsmar	24	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%
Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%
Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%
Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%
Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%
Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%
Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%
Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%
Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%
Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%
Pembroke Pines	32	154,578	90.3%	31.8	91.4%
Pembroke Pines	38	16,600	9.7%	3.0	8.6%
Plantation	32	65,908	71.8%	16.9	76.8%
Plantation	33	25,842	28.2%	5.1	23.2%
Pompano Beach	33	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%
Pompano Beach	34	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%
Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%
Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%
Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%
Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%
St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%
Sunrise	32	30,754	31.6%	9.0	49.8%
Sunrise	33	66,581	68.4%	9.1	50.2%
Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%
Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%
Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%
Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%
Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%
Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%
Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%
Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%
Titusville	17	656	1.3%	6.7	19.4%
West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%
West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%
Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%
Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	95.9%	36.8	89.6%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop.	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Winter Haven	22	49,169	95.9%	36.8	89.6%
Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%
Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%
Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%

Plan S000S8050

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:			Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.55%	21.20%	41.37%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	58.12%	
19	35.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.55%	14.48%	79.53%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%	
33	50.40%	21.85%	62.60%	12.82%	24.58%	49.25%	15.25%	81.54%	2.46%	15.99%	48.01%	16.15%	35.80%	60.25%	11.69%	8.89%	19.20%	30.08%	22.21%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	25.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%	
36	5.40%	80.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%	
37	6.23%	75.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.25%	4.50%	89.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%	

Plan S000S8050

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020								
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Part.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG	
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%	
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%	
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	45.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%	
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.3%	
33	50.41%	21.85%	60.43%	4.94%	6.62%	9.91%	67.42%	13.58%	19.00%	59.42%	9.17%	7.23%	14.82%	27.77%	18.72%	85.43%	2.12%	11.39%	52.33%	17.19%	30.27%	79.4%	19.8%	14	0	D +65.4%	D +54.3%	D +59.9%	
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%	
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.51%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%	
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	3	5	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%	
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.57%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%	
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%	

Functional Analysis Returns

Page 1052

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40	
Plan S000S050			BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
Primary Elections			HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.63%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%	
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	68.56%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%	
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.55%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%	
		R_Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.16%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%	
		R_Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.91%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%	
		R_Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.63%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%	
		R_Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	17.20%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%	
		R_White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.42%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	51.01%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%	
		D_Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	12.23%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%	
		D_Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.06%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%	
		D_King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.85%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%	
		D_Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.09%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%	
		D_Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.30%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%	
		Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	52.59%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	R_White		42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	47.01%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	81.10%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%	
		D_Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	18.88%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.58%	40.22%	40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%	
		R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	26.07%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%	
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	21.45%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%	
		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.92%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.89%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%	
		D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.82%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%	
		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.27%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%	
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	15.68%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%	
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.94%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.59%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%	
		R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.67%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%	
		R_Rubio	67.37%	77.47%	70.85%	64.29%	68.77%	80.09%	91.58%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%	
		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	10.31%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.42%	5.48%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%	
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	9.82%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%	
		D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	16.00%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%	
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	2.18%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.32%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%	
		R_Aceshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.86%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	14.11%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%	
		R_Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.23%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	81.22%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%	
		D_Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	18.68%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	34.75%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%	
		D_Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	65.14%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	66.99%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%	
		R_McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	11.76%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%	
		R_Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	7.52%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%	
		R_Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	12.59%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.58%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%	
		D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.28%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%	

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan 5000S8050	BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	General Elections	HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	77.15%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
		R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	22.32%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	80.93%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
		R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	18.45%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	79.82%	83.53%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
		R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	18.93%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	80.93%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
		R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	19.06%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	81.33%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
		R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	18.66%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
2016	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.06%	60.89%	71.22%	81.35%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
		R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	18.65%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	78.64%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
		R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	19.67%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
2014	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	77.05%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
		R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	21.08%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	80.55%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
		R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	17.39%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	77.41%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
		R_Benci	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	21.18%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	77.17%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
		R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	22.82%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
2012	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	78.12%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
		R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	21.88%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	80.90%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
		R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	18.68%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	82.09%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
		R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	16.68%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



THE FLORIDA SENATE
COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

Location

2000 The Capitol

Mailing Address

404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100
(850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, *Chair*
Senator Doug Broxson, *Vice Chair*

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, *Staff Director*

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
From: Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
Subject: Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
Date: October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

WILTON SIMPSON
President of the Senate

AARON BEAN
President Pro Tempore

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
October 18, 2021
Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
October 18, 2021
Page 3

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORDJan 10, 2022

Meeting Date

Redistricting

Bill Number or Topic

Subcomm. Legislative

Committee

Deliver both copies of this form to
Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Name

Cecile M. Scoon

Phone

850-319-1975

Address

512 Bunkers Cove Rd

Email

cmscoon1@knology.net

Street

Panama City, FL

City

State

32401

Zip

Speaking:

☐

For

☐

Against

☒

Information

OR

Waive Speaking:

☐

In Support

☐

Against

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:☐I am appearing without
compensation or sponsorship.☐I am a registered lobbyist,
representing:☒I am not a lobbyist, but received
something of value for my appearance
(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),
sponsored by:President, League of Women Voters of
Florida

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. [2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf \(flsenate.gov\)](#)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412 Case No.: -
Caption: Select Subcommittee on Legislative Reapportionment

Type:
Judge:

Started: 1/10/2022 12:34:47 PM
Ends: 1/10/2022 2:18:18 PM Length: 01:43:32

12:34:46 PM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll
12:35:40 PM Chair Burgess makes introductory remarks
12:36:50 PM Tab 1: Workshop of Legislative Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
12:44:45 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
12:45:29 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:46:50 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
12:47:01 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:47:27 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
12:48:15 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:51:40 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
12:52:41 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:53:16 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
12:54:40 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:55:57 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
12:56:47 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
12:58:47 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
12:59:47 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:00:10 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
1:01:25 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:01:44 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
1:02:06 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:03:42 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
1:03:50 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:04:09 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:04:32 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:04:51 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
1:05:00 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:05:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
1:05:52 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:06:25 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
1:06:31 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:25:33 PM Presentation concludes, Chair Burgess makes a comment
1:27:12 PM Chair Burgess asks for questions and discussion from members
1:28:12 PM Sen. Gibson makes a comment
1:31:27 PM Chair Burgess responds to Sen. Gibson's comment
1:32:04 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:33:20 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:34:21 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds
1:34:47 PM Sen. Bracy makes a comment
1:35:39 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question
1:36:04 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:37:19 PM Chair Burgess gives a comment
1:38:27 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question
1:41:01 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment
1:41:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:42:01 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:42:36 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds
1:44:00 PM Chair Burgess asks for questions
1:44:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:44:57 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:46:08 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:46:16 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds

1:46:31 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment
1:47:19 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment
1:49:05 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment
1:50:05 PM Chair Burgess asks Sen. Bracy a question
1:50:16 PM Sen. Bracy responds
1:50:22 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment
1:51:22 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question
1:51:29 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:52:08 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment
1:52:40 PM Sen. Gibson gives a comment
1:53:39 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question
1:53:45 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:54:00 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question
1:54:05 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:55:11 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment
1:56:46 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:56:50 PM Chair Burgess asks a question
1:57:07 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
1:57:39 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
1:57:52 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:58:19 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question
1:58:33 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:59:18 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question
1:59:33 PM Chair Burgess responds
1:59:38 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
2:00:28 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:00:33 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds
2:01:26 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment
2:01:53 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
2:02:21 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:03:42 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question
2:05:28 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:08:07 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question
2:08:17 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:08:36 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question
2:09:12 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:09:20 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
2:09:31 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:09:59 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question
2:10:05 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:10:14 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question
2:10:20 PM Chair Burgess responds
2:11:02 PM Chair Burgess makes a comment
2:12:01 PM Tab 2: Public Comment
2:12:10 PM Cecile Scoon, President of League of Women Voters of Florida gives public comment
2:15:01 PM Sen. Bracy makes a comment
2:16:48 PM Chair Burgess makes a comment
2:17:26 PM Chair Burgess proposes a recommendation for the Committee on Reapportionment
2:17:58 PM No objections
2:18:02 PM Meeting adjourned