

EXHIBIT 20

In the Matter Of:

KETO NORD HODGES vs KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO

8:24-cv-879

JENNIFER GARCIA

November 20, 2024



ESQUIRE
DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS

800.211.DEPO (3376)
EsquireSolutions.com

JENNIFER GARCIA
KETO NORD HODGES vs KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO

November 20, 2024

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

KETO NORD HODGES, ET AL.,)
)
 Plaintiffs,) CASE NO.
) 8:24-cv-879
 vs.)
)
 KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO, ET AL.,)
)
 Defendants.)
 _____)

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF
JENNIFER GARCIA

November 20, 2024

3:34 p.m.

Saint Petersburg, Florida

Jake Coppola
Digital Reporter
Commission No. HH 240208

JENNIFER GARCIA
KETO NORD HODGES vs KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO

November 20, 2024

2

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

On behalf of the Plaintiffs/Witness, KETO NORD HODGES,
ET AL. AND JENNIFER GARCIA:

ACLU OF FLORIDA
Attorneys at Law
4343 West Flagler Street
Suite 400
Miami, Florida 33134
786-363-1769
nwarren@aclufl.org
BY: NICHOLAS WARREN, ESQ.

AND

BUTLER WEIHMULLER KATZ CRAIG LLP
Attorneys at Law
400 North Ashley Drive
Suite 2300
Tampa, Florida 33602
813-594-5603
jshaw@butler.legal
nrobertson@butler.legal
zhasbini@butler.legal
BY: JAMES MICHAEL SHAW, JR., ESQ.
BY: NAOMI R. ROBERTSON, ESQ.
BY: ZEINA HASBINI, ESQ. (OBSERVING)

JENNIFER GARCIA
KETO NORD HODGES vs KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO

November 20, 2024

3

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONT.)

On behalf of the Defendants, KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO, ET AL.:

SHUTTS & BOWEN, LLP
Attorneys at Law
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 804
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
850-241-1727
dharle@shutts.com
BY: DENISE HARLE, ESQ.

AND

SHUTTS & BOWEN, LLP
Attorneys at Law
4301 West Boy Scout Boulevard
Suite 300
Tampa, Florida 33607
813-227-8181
loberschall@shutts.com
BY: LEILA OBERSCHALL, ESQ.

JENNIFER GARCIA
KETO NORD HODGES vs KATHLEEN PASSIDOMO

November 20, 2024

39

1 A. I can't recall. It was so long ago.

2 Q. Do you remember what year was the last date
3 Senate election for your district?

4 A. I couldn't tell you off the top of my head.

5 Q. Okay. Here we are. Paragraph 97. Not trying
6 to be a dead horse, but I just want to make sure I, you
7 know, hear everything you had in mind when you made
8 these allegations. So this says, this refers to the
9 direct evidence of racial predominance. So my first
10 question is what is your direct evidence that race
11 predominates the drawing of the lines for District 16
12 and 18?

13 A. Based on the geographical locations of the
14 Black residents that are contained in the districts
15 outlined.

16 Q. And in your mind that shows that race is the
17 predominant factor in where the line is?

18 A. In my mind, yes. Based on what I can think of
19 right now.

20 Q. Actually, let's go up to the Paragraph 95.
21 Okay. This one says, these race-based decisions
22 resulted in a map that splits neighborhoods and ignores
23 traditional redistricting criteria. So I'm wondering
24 what criteria do you understand to be appropriate
25 criteria for the Legislature to use in redistricting?