IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

Georgia State Conference of the NAACP; Georgia Collation for the People's Agenda, Inc; Galeo Latino Community Development Fund, Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:21-CV-5338-ELB-SCJ-SDG

STATE OF GEORGIA; BRIAN KEMP, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF Georgia; Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as the secretary of State of Georgia,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED HYBRID ZOOM
30(b)(6) and 30(b)(1)
DEPOSITION OF
BONNIE RICH
January 18, 2023
9:11 A.M.

18 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, Georgia

Lee Ann Barnes (via Zoom), CCR-1852B, RPR, CRR, CRC

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

On behalf of the Plaintiff Georgia State Conference of the NAACP:

ARYEH MELLMAN, ESQ. (in person)
TONI JACKSON, ESQ. (in person)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
202.624.2706
amellman@crowell.com

- and -

ALEX DAVIS, ESQ. (via Zoom)
Julie Houk, ESQ. (via Zoom)
LAWYERS COMMITTEE FOR
CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW
1500 K Street NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
202.662.8600

On behalf of the Witness:

PATRICK JAGSTETTER, ESQ. (in person)
JARARD & DAVIS
222 Webb Street
Cumming, Georgia 30040
patrickj@jarrard-davis.com

- and -

SHAWN MARIE STORY, ESQ. (in person)
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL
316 State Capitol SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

/ / /
/ / /
/ / /

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
(Continued)

On behalf of the Common Cause Plaintiffs:
HARTLEY K. WEST, ESQ. (via Zoom)
DECHERT, LLP
One Bush Street
Suite 1600
San Francisco, California 94104-4446
415.262.4511
hartley.west@dechert.com

On behalf of the Alpha Phi Alpha Plaintiffs:
ANURADHA SIVARAM, ESQ. (via Zoom)
WILMERHALE
1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001
202.663.6589
anuradha.sivaram@wilmerhale.com

On behalf of the Pendergrass and Grant Plaintiffs:
MIKE JONES, ESQ. (via Zoom)
ELIAS LAW GROUP
10 G Street, NE
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20002-4253
202.985.1752
mjones@elias.law

On behalf of Defendants:
BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQ. (in person)
DIANE LAROSS, ESQ. (in person)
TAYLOR ENGLISH DUMA LLP
1600 Parkwood Circle, SE
Suite 200
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
btyson@taylorenglish.com

APPEARANCES
(Continued)

Also Present Observing via Zoom:
Stephanie Sansom, SPLC Intern
Kristy Nguyen, SPLC Intern
Jack Genberg, SPLC
Vincent Montoya
Marvin David Rollins-Boyd, Esq., Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under the Law

Schuyler Atkins, WilmerHale Summer Associate

INDEX OF EXAMINATION

WITNESS: BONNIE RICH

EXAMINATION	PAGE
By Mr. Mellman	9
By Ms. Sivaram	198
By Ms. West	210
By Mr. Tyson	212
By Mr. Mellman	217

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Plaintiffs' Exhibit	Description	Page
Exhibit 1	Document with Beginning Bates Number LEGIS00020767	34
Exhibit 2	Memorandum from Bonnie Rich to the LCRO Committee Members, Dated June 1, 2021, Bates Number LEGIS00002892	59
Exhibit 3	2021 Committee Guidelines, Beginning Bates Number LEGIS00000312	68
Exhibit 4	Document Titled "Drafts Georgia Congressional District"	75
Exhibit 5	Document Titled "Draft - Georgia House Districts," No Bates Numbers	80
Exhibit 6	Document Titled "Proposed Joint Congressional Districts of Georgia," No Bates Numbers	93
Exhibit 7	Document Titled "Georgia House Districts - 2022, HB1EX State House Redistricting Map," No Bates Numbers	110
Exhibit 8	Map Comparison, Prior Congressional District 6 and S.B. 2EX Congressional 6, No Bates Numbers	130
Exhibit 9	Email from Bonnie Rich to Julie Sutton with Attachment, Dated 11/17/2021, Bates Number LEGIS00010310	130

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Plaintiffs' Exhibit	Description	Page
Exhibit 10	Document Titled "Prior Congressional District 14 Boundaries and S.B. 2EX Congressional District 14 Boundaries," No Bates Numbers	135
Exhibit 11	Email Chain with Attachments, Bates Number LEGIS00003224 through -3226	142
Exhibit 12	Email, Bates Numbers LEGIS00003531 through -3537	154
Exhibit 13	Document Titled "Information Draft House Map (Released August 12, 2011," Bates Numbers LEGIS00020482 through -20521	169
Exhibit 14	Document Titled "House and Senate Reapportionment Committees to Hold Statewide Town Hall Hearings," No Bates Numbers	174
Exhibit 15	Email Chain, Bates Numbers LEGIS00002902 through -2904	178
Exhibit 16	Document Titled "2020 Census Timeline of Important Milestones," No Bates Numbers	184
Exhibit 17	Email with Attachment 2021 Redistricting.pptx, Bates Numbers CC-GNGC00004299 through -4312	195

Deposition of BONNIE RICH
January 18, 2023

(Reporter disclosure made pursuant to Article 8.B of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is January the 18, 2023, and the time is approximately 9:11 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Bonnie Rich.

Would counsel present and all on Zoom please identify themselves for the record?

MR. MELLMAN: Aryeh Mellman from Crowell and Moring for the Georgia NAACP plaintiffs.

MS. JACKSON: Toni Jackson, Crowell and Moring, for the Georgia NAACP plaintiffs.

MR. TYSON: Brian Tyson and Diane LaRoss for the defendants.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Patrick Jaugstetter and Shawn Marie Story are defending Ms. Rich.

VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter please swear in the witness? Thank you.

BONNIE RICH, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY-MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Good morning. My name is Aryeh Mellman. I'm from Crowell and Moring representing the Georgia NAACP plaintiffs.

And can you please state your full name for the record.

A. Bonnie Miller Rich.

Q. Thank you. So we're going to start by going over some ground rules and procedure. As we know, there's a court reporter transcribing. So to make her life a bit easier and to make the record clearer, I'll just ask that you let me finish asking a question before you respond and I'll also ask that you respond audibly with words rather than shaking or nodding your head.

If I ask a question -- a question that's unclear, please let me know so I can rephrase it.

If you need a break, just let me know. We'll do our best to -- to accommodate that, though we may need to finish an open line of questioning first.

If you need to talk to your attorney, just let us know.

Your attorney may object to a question on

the various bases, but once they've made the objection, I'll ask that you do answer the question unless they specifically instruct you not to answer.

And, Representative Rich, you understand you're giving your testimony under oath?

A. Yes.

Q. Is there any reason you can't answer my questions fully and truthfully today?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Have you ever testified in court before?

A. I testified to give a final in my divorce when I was in my 20s.

Q. Okay. And have you ever personally been a plaintiff or defendant in a lawsuit?

A. Just in my divorce.

Q. And who is the counsel representing you today?

A. Mr. Jaugstetter.

Q. When did the attorney-client relationship between you and Mr. Jaugstetter begin?

A. I would assume sometime after I was served or accepted service of the subpoena.

Q. And is that a formal engagement?
A. Yes.
Q. And who is paying for your counsel?
A. I -- I believe it is the State House, but I'm not certain of that.
Q. Okay. Who else might it be?
A. I really would not know.
Q. Okay. When did you first learn about this case?
A. Prior to the service of the subpoena, I was notified and asked to accept service of process of a lawsuit that named me as a defendant. So it was sometime prior to the service of the subpoena.
Q. And who -- who told you about the lawsuit?
A. I believe it was my legislative counsel.
Q. Okay. Okay. And what is your understanding of what this case is about?
A. It's about the redistricting process.
Q. Okay. What redistricting process specifically?
A. The one that I chaired through redistricting in 2021.
Q. Okay. In the state of Georgia?
A. Yes.
Q. And on -- on what sort of government bill

levels?

A. I was a State House representative and specifically the Chair of the State House Redistricting Committee.

Q. Okay. And what did you do to prepare for this deposition?

A. I rewatched my first committee hearing. I spoke with my attorney. And that's about it.

Q. Okay. How many times did you speak with your attorney?

A. I believe I've spoken with him two or three times.

Q. Okay. And for about how long each time?

A. Probably anywhere from 45 minutes to an hour, hour and 15.

Q. Okay. So approximately anywhere from two to three hours total, approximately?

A. Approximately so.

Q. Did you look at my documents to prepare for the deposition?

A. I was -- I was provided with a PDF of all of the documents that we've produced, and I just spot-checked. There were so many that I -- I didn't look at them all, but I just kind of opened a few here and there.

Q. Okay. Did any of those documents refresh your recollection about the -- the redistricting process?

A. I don't -- I don't know. I knew -- I knew the documents that had been produced, so none of them were new to me.

Q. And would you say you still have a -- a recollection of that process?

A. I have somewhat of a recollection. It's been a little over a year ago. I certainly knew the details a lot better when I was going through than I do now.

Q. Did you look at any text messages or emails on your own outside of the -- the documents that were produced?

A. No.

Q. And do you recall, of the documents that were produced that you looked at, do you recall specifically which documents you looked at?

A. One of them that -- yes. One was my notes on a meeting that I had with a representative, and the other were just various emails that really didn't mean anything to me.

Q. Do you recall which representative it was?

A. I do not.

Q. Okay. Did you talk to any of your colleagues?
A. About the deposition?
Q. (Nodded head.)
A. The fact that I was coming, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. But not the substance.
Q. Okay. You didn't talk to any of them to prepare for this?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. Did you look at any of the complaints in the case?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And did you speak to your staff at all about -- to prepare for the deposition?
A. No.
Q. So no one else aside from your counsel?
A. Correct.
Q. Are you aware that other legislators have been deposed in this matter?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you communicated with any of them?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And since this is a joint 30(b)(6) and 30(b)(1) and you're prepared to speak on behalf

of the committee, I will assume your answers are on behalf of the committee unless you specify otherwise. And we're going to just talk about your background for a moment here.

Where do you currently reside?

A. Suwanee, Georgia.

Q. Okay. Do you have any degrees, college degrees?

A. I do. I have a four-year degree from the University of Georgia, and I have a law degree from Georgia State University College of Law.

Q. After completing college, where did you work, or law school?

A. Yeah, I went straight from college to law school. I worked for a small law firm in the Atlanta area.

Q. And when did you first run for office?

A. 2018.

Q. And which office was that?

A. The State House.

Q. And that's the office you currently hold?

A. I no longer hold the office. My term expired earlier this month.

Q. So you were in office from approximately -- can you describe the term you were

in office?

A. I was in office for two two-year terms. So 2019, '20, '21, and '22.

Q. And what -- which districts did you represent?

A. I represented House District 97.

Q. And can you describe generally that area of Georgia that's in, that district?

A. It was wholly located within Gwinnett County, the northern part of Gwinnett County. It generally comprised the cities Duluth, Suwanee, and Sugar Hill.

Q. And -- and as you're no longer in office, what is your profession now?

A. I'm a lawyer.

Q. And where do you work?

A. I'm self-employed.

Q. Are you aware of there ever being any findings of untruthfulness against you in any proceeding?

A. No.

Q. Prior to the -- the 2021 cycle, had -- had you ever worked on redistricting?

A. No.

Q. From 2019 until today, from when you were

in office until today, can you identify the committee assignments you've had on the general assembly?

A. I can try. Would you like for me to do that?

Q. Sure.

A. Okay. Judiciary, Ways and Means, of course Redistricting, Retirement, Rules. That's all I can remember right now. There may have been more.

Q. And in -- in that same time frame, can you identify all the committee chairs you've held on the general assembly?

A. Yes. I've chaired Redistricting and then I was the Majority Caucus Chair which is different. It's not a committee assignment. It's really a caucus position.

Q. And what years were -- did you chair the Redistricting Committee?

A. I believe that was beginning in 2020.

Q. And through when?

A. Through the conclusion of my term earlier this month. No, that's not true. That's not true.

Q. Okay.

A. Through redistricting, and then I resign -- or I was moved from Chair of the

Redistricting Committee to become the Caucus Chair in January of '22.

Q. Okay. And just for -- for the record, you were the chair of the House Committee on Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment; is that correct?

A. That is the correct name of the committee, yes.

Q. For ease of reference, I'll just refer to it as the House committee.

And are you familiar Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee?

A. I know that it exists.

Q. And I'll just refer to it as the Senate committee as we go on.

And are you familiar with the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office?

A. Yes.

Q. And I'll just refer to that as the LCRO as we continue.

How did you come to chair the House committee?

A. The Speaker of the House makes the committee assignments and the chair assignments.

Q. Had you asked to -- to chair the committee?

A. No.
Q. And so did someone ask you to chair the committee?
A. Yes.
Q. And who is that?
A. The Speaker of the House.
Q. Were -- were you interested in the position?
A. Once it was offered to me I was.
Q. And when did you -- when did you learn you would assume the position?
A. I'm not certain. It was sometime prior to the 2020 session.
Q. Okay. Can you describe your role and responsibilities as the Chair of the House Committee?
A. It was my responsibility to conduct the committee process for bills that came through our committee and -- which ended up being really just the redistricting bills for 2021 special session.
Q. Did you have any knowledge of the redistricting process prior to assuming the Chair?
A. No.
Q. No -- no knowledge at all?
A. None.

Q. Upon learning that you would be Chair, did you take any action to learn more about the redistricting process?

A. I did not take any action on my own, no. I did meet with counsel that had been retained to guide us through the process.

Q. And what counsel was that?

A. Brian Tyson and Loree~Anne Paradise.

Q. And can you describe approximately how often you met with them?

And -- and the time frame I'm speaking of now, specifically from when you learned you'd be the Chair until you became the Chair.

A. None.

Q. None.

And so when did you first meet with -- with Brian Tyson?

A. It probably would have been -- probably would have been sometime in 2021 but I don't have independent recollection beyond that.

Q. Okay. And did you speak with anyone else aside from Mr. Tyson about the redistricting process?

A. At any point in time?

Q. Before you obtained the Chair.

A. No.
Q. No.
No other legislators? No other staff?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Not the LCRO?
A. No.
Q. And I'm just going to go back to your employment for a moment.
Does your -- does your current employment as a lawyer involve redistricting at all?
A. No.
Q. Do you interact with the legislature at all?
A. No.
Q. Does it involve any lobbying?
A. No.
Q. Any political or election-related legal mattered?
A. No.
Q. All right. Thank you.
Okay. And when I talk about the redistricting process, to be clear, I'm referring to the Congressional and State legislative redistricting in 2021 for the State of Georgia.
And so you were the Chair of the House

Committee?

A. Yes.

Q. And can you describe the House Committee's function?

A. It's really the same as any other House Committee, to just consider bills and to put them through the committee process.

Q. And you're generally familiar with functions of the Georgia General Assembly?

A. Yes.

Q. And you're familiar with the LCRO?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me what you understand the LCRO's function to be?

A. They draw the lines for the maps. That's the office that I went to when I wanted to get a copy of the map for my district when I first started to run. So I knew that they did that. And then I learned that they actually drew the lines for redistricting.

Q. Okay. So the -- the LCRO is the team that's actually drawing the maps, making the lines?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. They also provide technical assistance and data analysis?

A. I don't -- I don't really know how they do their jobs. I do know that maps had to go through their office for technical review. I've heard that phrase.

Q. And do you understand them to have any other function other than drawing the maps?

A. I don't know what they are.

Q. Okay. And so once you assumed the Chair of the House Committee, how did you come to your understanding of that role?

A. I believe that I just understood what a chairman did from having served in the House for a year.

Q. And can you tell me more about -- we spoke before about your conversations with Mr. Tyson.

Can you tell me more about how you learned about the redistricting process?

A. I learned as I went, for the most part. I did look at the NC- -- NCSL's red book on redistricting. I did not read it. I really did just learn as I went through the process.

Q. All right. And can you tell me, without revealing any confidential attorney-client information, approximately how -- how often would you meet Mr. Tyson throughout the redistricting

process?

A. So when you say "throughout the redistricting process," when -- when in time do you want to start there?

Q. Let's start from when you became the chair until, let's say, prior to the special session.

A. So I don't think I met with Brian Tyson until 2021, and I probably did not meet with him until after the 2021 regular session. And I don't really have specific recollection of how many times or when I met with him. So it could have been once. It could have been three times before the special session.

Q. Did you have a standing meeting?

A. No.

Q. So approximately one to three times?

A. Well, it -- it depends, too, on how you define the redistricting process. If you mean from the end of the general regular session to the beginning of the special session, I can answer those, the question as to that time period.

Q. Sure.

A. I probably would have met with him from May through August. I -- I really -- I would be guessing. I -- I really don't know. There were no

regular meetings.

Q. Okay.

A. I would say one to -- I don't -- however many town halls we had. I met with him probably every time -- at every town hall.

Q. Okay.

A. I probably had a conversation with him.

Q. Okay. And was anyone else present in those meetings?

A. Senator Kennedy would have been present in some of them. I don't think anyone else.

Q. No one else from Mr. Tyson's office?

A. Another attorney. Loree~Anne Paradise.

Q. So Loree~Anne Paradise, Brian Tyson, perhaps Senator Kennedy and you.

Anyone else that you recall?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. And what about -- now let's move into the period from the special session until the release of the maps.

Did you have meetings then as well with Mr. Tyson?

A. Yes.

Q. And approximately how many?

A. I wouldn't be able to estimate how many,

but I probably spoke with him at least -- him or Loree~Anne Paradise or both, prior to and after each committee hearing. And maybe a few more times.

Q. And approximately how long would those meetings be?

A. I really don't remember. They could have been as brief as 15 minutes, you know, if it was after a committee meeting.

Q. Okay. And were they -- were they formal meetings?

A. I think some probably -- yes, some probably were formal meetings. Yes.

Q. And you said, just to reiterate, you said before and after etch committee hearing and -- and two other times besides?

A. Yes.

Q. In that time frame from November to December 2021?

A. November to December. I really -- I would be guessing beyond what I told you already. I'm sorry.

Q. Okay. And -- and so moving back to the period between when you became Chair and the special session, did you discuss your role as the Chair of the House Committee with any other members of the

House?

A. If I did, it was casual and I don't remember.

Q. What about with Joe Kennedy?

A. From what time period?

Q. From when you became the Chair to the -- the special session?

A. Well, he and I spoke a lot following the general session, the regular session, in 2021, but I don't think he and I spoke about it before then.

Q. Okay. And -- and so what did you talk about in that --

(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)

A. We were just coordinating our schedules.

Q. Okay. So mostly logistics?

A. Yes.

Q. Not -- not any of the substance?

A. No.

Q. Okay. And did you discuss your role with anyone else?

A. In the legislature?

Q. Or anyone at all.

A. Probably my husband, but no, not really.

Q. Did you ever meet with -- going back to these meetings with Mr. Tyson, did you ever --

where -- where did those meetings typically take place?

A. I believe that we met at least once at his law firm office. The majority of the meetings took place here on the Capitol grounds, either in this building, the legislative office building, or the Capitol building.

Q. And do you recall which office any of those meetings would take place?

A. Either my office which was here in this building, the Redistricting office, or in Senator Kennedy's office in the Capitol building.

Q. Did you ever meet in the -- the LCRO office?

A. Well, at some point we did. I'm not sure about the time period that you're asking.

Q. Can you tell me about anytime you met with Mr. Tyson in the LCRO office?

A. We met after the special session had started --

Q. Okay.

A. -- in the office.

Q. Approximately how many times?

A. Not many. Maybe two to three.

Q. Two or three meetings with Mr. Tyson in

the LCRO office?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall who else would have been at those meetings?
A. Yes. Gina Wright was probably present.
Q. Any other legislators?
A. I think Jan Jones was there once or twice for part of it.
Q. Senator Kennedy?
A. No. He and I did not meet together --
Q. Okay.
A. -- with the -- with the Redistricting Office.
Q. And did you hire any -- any -- aside from Mr. Tyson, did you hire any other outside counsel to assist with the redistricting process?
A. I did not and I was not aware of any.
Q. Okay. So you're not aware that the -- the general assembly hired any -- any other outside counsel?
A. No.
Q. Or any other entity that -- that would have hired outside counsel?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you know if Georgia

Congressional delegations have previously hired outside counsel for this purpose in the previous redistricting cycle?

A. I don't have any knowledge of that.

Q. Did you hire any outside consultant to assist with the redistricting process?

A. I did not.

Q. Did the General Assembly hire any outside consultants?

A. I would not know.

Q. Okay. Did -- so did you work with any outside consultants?

A. No.

Q. Did you consider hiring any outside consultants?

A. No.

Q. Do you know if anyone else involved in the redistricting process considered hiring any outside consultants?

A. I would not know that.

Q. Okay. We're going to move on to some other questions.

Senator Rich, are you generally aware of Georgia's history of racial discrimination against African Americans?

A. I have heard that, yes.
Q. What is your understanding of that history?
A. I just know that there was a period of time where it was a concern and Georgia had to go through a preclearance process because of its history.
Q. Do you have an understanding of discrimination in Georgia against Latinos?
A. I don't.
Q. What about Asian Americans?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar with the term "racially polarized voting"?
A. I learned that through redistricting.
Q. Okay. And what do you understand that term to mean?
A. That different races may tend to vote along party lines.
Q. And how did you come to understand that term?
A. Probably through my counsel.
Q. Is it your understanding that racially polarized voting is present in Georgia?
A. I was told that that is the case.

Q. Okay. By who?
A. My lawyer.
Q. Do you recall being a part of any communications where that term was used?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe those communications?
A. Well, it would have been with my lawyer who was just telling me that it exists in Georgia.
Q. And did you then communicate that information to anyone else?
A. I don't think so. I may have acknowledged its existence in a committee hearing, but I don't recall commuting -- communicating that to anyone else.
Q. So did that have -- did understanding that term have any import to you in the redistricting process?
A. Not to me. I understand that it did to the map drawers and the lawyers who were advising the map drawers.
Q. What import did you understand it to have to the map drawers?
A. I don't know.
Q. So you know that they felt it was important?

A. Yes.
Q. But you don't know why?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document that I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1. It's identified as LEGIS00020767.
And before I show it to you, I'm just going to note that this document was among a set of documents produced on Monday afternoon, January 16, at approximately 3:55 p.m. which is less than 50 -- sorry -- less than 48 hours before the start of this deposition. Legislative counsel produced over 1500 pages of what we understand to have hard copy documents from your files related to this matter.
So I'm going to show you this document. I apologize. It is, as I said, in hard copy form, so there's a large stack. But I'm going to ask you to look at the document marked -- Bates labeled at the bottom as LEGIS00020777.
So that should be about ten pages on from where you are.
MR. JAUGSTETTER: So is this entire stack of documents your Exhibit 1?
MR. MELLMAN: This entire stack of documents was produced to us as -- as a single

document.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Right.

MR. MELLMAN: I'm only going to focus on one page, the page I mentioned, -20777, but I'd -- I'd like to provide the witness with a complete document and that's how the document was produced to us.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Well, what I'm asking is will Exhibit 1 consist of one page or the entire stack?

MR. MELLMAN: The entire stack.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Okay.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Do you see the page I'm referring to?

A. I do.

Q. Okay. And are these -- is this your handwriting?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. And these are your handwritten notes?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Can you read me what is on the top of the page above the line?

A. "Sam Park."
Q. And the next line?
A. "Racially polarized voting analysis was performed, was found statewide and county by county."
Q. And the next line?
A. "Dems refused to provide theirs. No one has requested ours."
Q. Okay. And so did you, in fact, perform a racially polarized voting analysis?
A. I did not.
Q. Do you recall what these notes are referring to?
A. These are notes that I took while Sam Park was speaking from the Well when we were considering a bill, and I don't remember which bill. I mean, it was one of the redistricting ones. I don't know if it was the State or Congressional.
So, typically, the first line would be what he said, and then the second line that's indented was a note that I wrote to either myself to say it from the Well, and I don't know if I did or not, or I may have written that note to someone else.
Q. Okay. So does -- so -- and who is Sam

Park?

A. He is a legislator.

Q. What is his party affiliation?

A. Democrat.

Q. Okay. And so would you read this to mean that the Democrats performed a racially polarized voting analysis?

A. It -- it is my understanding they did. Their majority leader indicated that in his testimony before the committee.

Q. And did you ever see that analysis?

A. No.

Q. Okay. And you say in that last line that you read, "No one has requested ours."

Does that mean you did perform a racially polarized voting analysis?

A. No, that does not mean that.

Q. What do you understand that to mean?

A. That no one requested an analysis that would have been made on behalf of the State House or the Republican State House Caucus.

Q. Okay. So looking at these notes, you -- do you maintain that you -- you did not perform any racially polarized voting analysis?

A. I did not and I did not order or request

any. I think I testified previously that our lawyer did.

Q. Okay. Sorry. You said your lawyer performed a racially polarized voting analysis?

A. No. He retained someone to do that.

Q. Okay. And who was that?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Okay.

A. I don't know that I ever knew the name until very late in the process. I never saw it.

Q. I'm going to direct you to the top right corner of that page.

Can you read that?

A. "Dr. Tom Brunell, University of Texas at Dallas."

Q. Do you recognize that name?

A. I don't, but I believe that one of the lawyers told me that that was the identity of the person, and I got that while I was taking -- or during the time that was being debated. So it was after I had spoken once and I was probably preparing to go back up and speak again.

Q. And so that is the identity of the person who performed the racially polarized voting analysis on behalf of your counsel?

A. I believe that that is what was told to me.

Q. Okay. But you never saw that analysis?

A. I did not.

Q. Did you ever ask to see it?

A. No.

Q. Do you know why your counsel would have performed it but then not shown it to you?

A. It wouldn't have meant anything to me. I don't practice elections law. The results are all that would have mattered.

Q. Did you see the results of the analysis?

A. I don't recall if I did. I may have been provided it at some point, but I don't recall reading it.

Q. Do you recall who asked your counsel to perform that analysis?

A. No, I don't know.

Q. Okay. So but would that have been something he would have done on his own?

A. I don't know.

Q. And you're not aware of any legislators or other -- anyone employed by the State House or State governments that would have requested them to perform an analysis?

A. I -- I would not know that.
Q. Okay. And so you testified that you may have seen the results.
Do you recall any of those results?
A. No. All I recall is that I was told that there is -- there was a finding that there is racially polarized voting in Georgia.
Q. And so that was the same findings that the -- as you note here, that the Democrats found in their analysis?
A. It is my understanding that they found racially polarized voting as well. I don't know if there was anything different in the exact findings.
Q. And so did you -- did you ask to -- did -- sorry.
Did you incorporate that finding into any of your work on the Redistricting Committee?
A. My work on the Redistricting Committee involved taking a bill through the committee process. So no, I did not.
Q. And did you -- did you actually ever see the results or were they told to you?
A. I may have seen them. I just don't recall. I received a lot of emails and I may have received those, but it wouldn't have meant anything

to me to read it anyway. I just needed to know for purposes of debate whether there is or isn't. So I don't -- I don't recall.

Q. Okay. But -- and again you -- you found that there -- or the analysis found that there was?

A. That is my understanding, yes.

Q. Okay. Okay. Thank you.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Are you familiar with the term "packing" in the context of redistricting?

A. I learned that during the process.

Q. And how would you define that term?

A. Putting a large number of person of one race or people of one race into one district so as to keep them out of the neighboring districts.

Q. Are you familiar with the term "cracking" in the context of redistricting?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And how would you define that?

A. Separating and dividing members of one race among more than one district to dilute their voice.

Q. And you agree that redistricting should be done so as not to crack minority voters?

A. It is my understanding that that is one of

the factors that goes into the compliance with the Voting Rights Act.

Q. That one of the factors is to not crack minority voters?

A. I really -- I really don't -- I don't know that that is a correct statement because I don't know the law. I know it is a factor to be considered. That's as much as I know.

Q. And you agree that redistricting should not be done so as to pack minority voters?

A. I have the same answer there. I understand it's a factor. I don't -- I don't know the exact rule on it.

Q. Okay. Referring back to our discussion of historic discrimination, are you aware of current disparities in educational achievement, income, employment, and political participation between black and white citizens of Georgia?

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I -- I know that there are people who -- who say that. I've not really studied it myself, so I don't have any personal knowledge.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. Specifically, are you aware that high school and college graduation rates are lower for black Georgians than white Georgians?

A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that.

Q. Same is true for per capita income. Are you aware of that?

A. I don't have personal of that.

Q. Employment rates?

A. I don't have any personal knowledge.

Q. And are you aware that voter turnout is lower for black Georgians than white Georgians?

A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that.

Q. Are you aware of similar disparities for Latinos?

A. I do not have any personal knowledge of any of that.

Q. Asian Americans?

A. I don't have any personal knowledge.

Q. Okay. Okay. Are you aware of any racial appeals made in recent elections in Georgia?

A. I don't understand. Appeals as in the court of appeals?

Q. Sorry. I'll explain -- I'll define that term to mean in -- in elections, appeals by candidates or campaigns to vote or not vote for specific candidates based solely on their race.

A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?

Q. Sure.

Are you aware of instances in elections in Georgia where candidates or campaigns made appeals to voters to vote for a particular candidate or not vote for a particular candidate solely based on their race?

A. No, no.

Q. Are you familiar with a mailer that went out prior to the 2022 election in which a vote job advertisement read "Must be black or LatinX. Whites or Asians need not apply"?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Do you recall that in the 2022 gubernatorial elections, Governor Kemp ran ads that appeared to deliberately darken Stacy Abrams' skin?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall the 2018 Republican gubernatorial primary campaign?

A. Yes, somewhat.

Q. Do you remember that David Purdue who was

a candidate said that Stacy Abrams was demeaning her own race and that she should go back to where she came from?

A. No.

Q. Are you aware of the number of black candidates elected to any statewide office in Georgia's history?

A. No.

Q. So -- okay. Are you aware that Senator Warnock is the first black senator in Georgia's history?

A. Yes, I -- I know that.

Q. Okay. In your work on -- on redistricting, are you aware that there is a percentage of -- in a particular district, there's a percentage of black voting age population that would give black voters the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice?

A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?

Q. Sure.

Are you aware that there's a particular percentage of black voting age population in a district that would give black opportun- -- black voters the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice?

A. No, I am not.
Q. Are you familiar with the term "black voting age population"?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what do you understand that to mean?
A. Just the number of black people who live in a particular district who are of voting age.
Q. Okay. And do you understand there to be any rules or requirements surrounding black voting age population in the redistricting process?
A. I am not aware of specific rules or requirements. I know it is a factor to be considered.
Q. Okay. So you're -- are you aware of any particular percentage of black voting age population in the district that is meaningful to you in any way?
A. No.
COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You broke up a little bit, Mr. Mellman. You said, "Are you aware of any particular percentage of black voting age population in the district that is...," and I lost you.
MR. MELLMAN: I believe I said meaningful to you in any way.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And so do you have an understanding that in a particular district there could be a tipping point where a certain percentage of black voting age population will be necessary for black voters in the district to elect their candidates of choice?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is that tipping point?

A. That is what I do not know.

Q. How did you come to understand that there was such a tipping point?

A. I don't know that there is a magic tipping point. I came to understand that that is a factor.

Q. And how did you come to understand that -- that such a tipping point, regardless of the percentage, that such a tipping point existed at all?

A. Through my attorney.

Q. And did you take any action in the redistricting process relative to those tipping points?

A. I did not.

Q. Who did?

A. The people who drew the maps.

Q. Okay. And do you know what action they took?

A. I do not.

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. "And do you know what..."?

MR. MELLMAN: What action they took. I'm sorry. I'll try and speak up.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Are you aware of that same principle, the tipping point, for Latino voters?

A. I -- I would assume so. I would assume that it would -- the same factors would apply.

Q. And the same is true is Asian voters?

A. I would assume that.

Q. Okay. What is the black voting age population percentage necessary to elect a candidate of choice in Georgia?

A. That's what I said before. I don't know that.

Q. And can you tell me what it is for any district?

A. No.

Q. And so is your testimony that you understand that to be the case, that there is a

tipping point, but you never took any action with regard to that tipping point?

A. No, I did not. I ran the committee process.

Q. Did you ever learn after the fact what those tipping points were?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever ask?

A. No.

Q. And no one ever told you?

A. No.

Q. So once you -- once you learned that they existed, you did -- did you do anything with that information?

A. In our conversations, we discussed the fact that the maps drawn and that would be presented to us would comply with the Voting Rights Act. And as far as tipping point, I don't know that there's a tipping point that applies to all districts. I -- I -- I don't know.

Q. Do you know of any source or metric for identifying those tipping points?

A. No.

Q. Are you aware that to comply with the Voting Rights Act, black majority districts need to

be drawn in a way that gives black voters an opportunity to elect their candidates of choice?

A. That is my understanding of the Voting Rights Act requirement, yes.

Q. And are you aware that racially polarized voting means that black voting age population just over 50 percent still wouldn't give black voters the opportunity to elect their candidates of their choice?

A. No, I do not know that.

Q. Okay. Back to our prior point.

You agree that the majority black district needs to be drawn in the way that gives black voters the opportunity to elect their candidates of choice; is that correct?

A. That is my understanding, yes.

Q. Okay. Did you review the districts for compliance with that metric?

A. I did not.

Q. And who did?

A. The map drawers and attorneys.

Q. Did they communicate information to you about that after the fact?

A. They -- yes.

Q. And what did they say?

MR. JAUGSTETTER: I'm going to object on the basis of attorney-client privilege to anything that counsel told to Ms. Rich, and I'm going instruct her not to answer that question to that extent.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Can you answer to the extent it does not touch upon attorney-client privilege?

A. I was told that the maps complied with the law.

Q. Did you speak with the map drawers about compliance with the Voting Rights Act?

A. I don't recall specifically. No.

Q. And so those communications are made through counsel?

A. Yes.

Q. Anyone else?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Okay. Prior to the release of the 2020 census data, did you have any communications with other members of the House about the redistricting process?

A. Yes.

Q. And what were those communications?

A. We -- I met with members of the State

House about their individual districts.

Q. And -- and what were the -- the general contents of those discussions?

A. Every one was different. It was really what the legislator wanted to talk to me about. It was always about his or her district, and different issues, communities of interest, precincts, things that they wanted in their new district.

Q. And approximately how many House members did you meet with?

A. Over 100.

Q. Out of?

A. 180.

Q. Did you talk to your staff about the redistricting process?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did you generally talk with your staff about?

A. Scheduling, hearings and meetings, travel plans.

Q. What about Joe Kennedy?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did you talk with Senator Kennedy about?

A. Coordinating our schedules for joint

committee hearings.

Q. Any substance?

A. None outside of our meetings with counsel together.

Q. And the LCRO, did you talk to them about the redistricting process?

A. Yes.

Q. And what were the contents of those communications?

A. We coordinated their appearance at our committee hearings and their presentations. I also sat with them when we made tweaks to the maps that they had drawn, for part of the time.

Q. Okay. Approximately how many times did you meet with them to tweak the maps?

A. Two to three, two to four times.

Q. And did you meet with them prior to -- prior to the time when you -- when the maps were published or only after they are published to tweak them?

A. I do not remember that. It was all so compressed there toward the end. I don't remember that.

Q. And in those meetings that tweaked the maps, do you recall who was there from the LCRO

staff?
A. Gina Wright.
Q. Anyone else?
A. Brian Knight may have been there for some of the time. I think those are the only two staff members from that office who were there.
Q. Do you recall if Dan O'Conner was there?
A. He was not.
Q. Do -- do you know what his -- his position is?
A. I don't.
Q. All right. Do you know him at all?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Okay. And why -- why were you in those meetings to -- to tweak the maps?
A. I was there to communicate the map drawers the requests that had been made by the legislators to me.
Q. And they would try and implement those requests?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you -- and was anyone else in those meetings aside from Gina Wright, Brian Knight, and yourself?
A. Always either Brian Tyson or Loree~Anne

Paradise or the two of them together.

Q. Okay. Did you talk to your constituents about redistricting before the census data was released?

A. I may have communicated with my constituents through a digital newsletter about the process coming up, but I don't -- I don't recall specifically speaking about it with constituents.

Q. Do you receive -- did you receive any communications from your con- -- constituent about redistricting before the census data was released?

A. Yes, yes, yes. I received emails from constituents and I responded to them.

Q. Okay. What was the general tenor of those comments?

A. They were all over the place. I -- most of the emails that I got were actually not from my constituents; they were from other Georgians. And I -- I cannot actually recall a specific. I'm sure that there were a few from my district, but I really cannot recall a specific one from one of my constituents who emailed me.

Q. Did you talk to any outside organizations about redistricting before the census data was released?

A. Yes.

Q. What were those organizations?

A. They reached out to us. The NAACP reached out and provided information, and we also invited them to our educational day; although, I don't think that you-all came.

There's another group I think called Fair Districts Georgia. They were very active. They communicated and provided us with information. There was another group that came a lot. I don't remember the group's name. I remember the person, Cindy Battles. She was quite communicative. That's all I can remember right now. There may have been more.

Q. And so, for example, when you said Fair Districts provide a lot of information, what was that information?

A. I don't recall the specifics, but basically they promoted changing the whole redistricting process and guidelines and outcomes.

Q. Did you review that information provided at the time?

A. I did.

Q. Did you incorporate it at all into your work on the Redistricting Committee?

A. No.
Q. Did you reach out to any lobbyists about redistricting before the census data was released?
A. I -- I don't recall that. Going back, the -- the NCSL we also spoke with because they came to our educational day.
Q. Is that -- that's the National Conference of State Legislatures?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you talk to any business groups about redistricting?
A. I don't recall that, no.
Q. Chamber of Commerce?
A. I -- I don't think so. If I did, it was very general. I never gave specific information. I really tried not to do that before the process started.
Q. So other than communications, did you take any action with respect to the redistricting process before the release of the 2020 census data?
A. I met with members, which was very time consuming, and I had the town halls where I traveled around the state.
Q. Going for a moment to those conversations with members.

So members would come to you with requests for their district and then you would communicate those requests to the LCRO?

A. Yes, but not after each meeting.

Q. You would summarize after a number of meetings?

A. No. It was after all of the meetings were complete.

Q. Okay.

A. And after the first draft of the maps were made.

Q. Okay. And did you -- did you screen out any -- strike that.

Did you provide to the LCRO all the information that was provided to you by the members?

A. I orally communicated with them what was requested, by reviewing my notes.

Q. And -- and you would -- would you generally communicate everything that a member requested?

A. Yes. But if a member provided me with papers, I did not provide that to the legislative -- or the Redistricting Office.

Q. Okay. But you did convey the information?

A. Yes.

Q. And did they -- were -- were they able to incorporate that -- those requests into their map drawing?

A. Not all of them.

Q. Did they tell you which ones would and wouldn't be honored?

A. Yes. We -- we worked on it together. And then they also worked on it outside of our meeting when something took a lot longer, but yes.

Q. And what was your role in -- in working on that?

A. To try to get as many of the legislators' requests implemented as -- as feasible while still complying with the law.

Q. Okay. So you would communicate requests and the LCRO would say, "We -- we can accommodate these but not these." I imagine it's all -- it can be -- it's not possible to accommodate all requests.

And so how do you decide which ones to accommodate and which ones not to accommodate?

A. We worked on them and tried to accommodate them there in the meeting, and some were just not feasible.

Q. Okay. But would you say you attempted to accommodate as many as possible?

A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall approximately how many -- how many of those requests were accommodated and were not accommodated?
A. I -- I do not.
Q. Do you recall any particular districts in which legislators made requests that were not accommodated?
A. No.
Q. I suppose that's fair.
Did any legislators complain to you afterward that their requests were not accommodated?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I'm going to introduce this next document as Exhibit 2. Just give me a minute.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. MELLMAN:
Q. This is LEGIS00002892.
And have you reviewed the document?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And is this a memorandum sent by you to the LCRO --
A. Yes.
Q. -- committee members?

A. Committee members, yes.
Q. And it's dated June 1, 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you read the second paragraph for me, please?
A. "We received total population numbers for our state April 26, 2021. We have been informed by the census and our data vendors that the anticipated date for receiving some detailed population numbers within each state is mid to late August 2021 with all data arriving by the end of September 2021. We are, therefore, limited in the work that our committee can do until we receive this detailed census data."
Q. Okay. Okay. And so once you received that data in August -- I guess, what data -- what data did you receive in August?
A. I don't recall specifically. I remember the Reapportionment Office referring to it as legacy data. I don't know what that means.
Q. Okay. And was there anything that could be done with that data in August before the full data arrived in September?
A. I wouldn't know that myself. I just know what I was told.

Q. And -- and did you take any action with regard to redistricting between the time when you received the August data but before you received the September data?

A. I believe yes.

Q. And what was that?

A. I continued to have meetings with individual members.

Q. Did you take any action with regard to map drawing --

A. No.

Q. -- at that time?

A. No, I did not.

Q. Did you look at any maps in that time?

A. No. Well, other than maps that a member would have shown me. Their current map, maybe what they wanted. Something like that.

Q. But not a -- a new draft.

A. No.

Q. Okay. When -- when did you first see a draft map?

A. It was during the 2021 special session shortly before the committee meeting where I presented it, and I don't remember if it was the day before or if it was two days before. Because I

can't recall if we implemented legislators' requested -- requests before then or after then. So it was days at the most.

Q. Days before the --

A. Committee meeting where I presented it.

Q. Okay. And who first -- who drew that first map?

A. The Redistricting Office.

Q. Would that be Gina Wright?

A. Her office.

Q. Had you talked about the draft map at all before you saw it, with the LCRO?

A. Well, yeah. We were asking when we were going to have it.

Q. Did you talk about anything with regard to the substance of the map?

A. I did not.

Q. And where were you when you first saw that -- the map?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Would that have been in the LCRO office?

A. It could have been. If I saw it on the computer, that's where it was. If I saw it on paper, it could have been anywhere. I just don't recall.

Q. Did you provide any input after seeing a first draft?

A. That's what I can't remember, if -- yeah, I think -- yes, I think I did. Yeah. That's when we implemented changes, but I don't know that I saw the whole thing. I did not. I only saw pieces.

Q. Is that because the whole map wasn't completed?

A. Right. It can't be. Because every time we would make one change, it would have a cascading effect. So I don't think I saw the whole map until after we implemented the legislator changes.

Q. And when would that have been?

A. That's what I told you. I don't remember.

Q. Did you -- did you provide any input on the maps other than communicating the requests from the legislators?

A. No.

Q. Of any kind?

A. No.

Q. To your knowledge, when did the map drawing for the redistricting process begin?

A. It's my understanding that it began after receipt of the census data.

Q. In September of 2021?

A. I would assume.
Q. Did you have communication with other members of the House Committee as to how the map drawing process would work?
A. When?
Q. Prior to drawing of the map?
A. We had the educational meeting, and during that meeting I may have. It was a learning process for all of us. We were all -- not all, not everyone, but most of us were new to it, so we were all learning as we went.
Q. So we talked about how the LCRO was responsible for drawing the first draft?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what -- what software was used?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know what data was considered in drawing that map?
A. I know the census data was considered.
Q. Do you know whether partisan data was considered?
A. I believe it was, yes.
Q. Okay. And how was it used?
A. That's what I -- I don't know, and I don't

know who had input into that.

Q. Do you know whether racial data was used?

A. If it needed to be in order to comply with the Voting Rights Act, then it would have been.

Q. For any other reason?

A. I have no idea.

Q. And approximately how many meetings did you have regarding the actual drawing of the map after you saw the first draft?

A. It was -- like I said before, I'm really kind of guessing at a range. Two to three, maybe four.

Q. And at each of those meetings, were you communicating the requests of the members to the map drawers?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you communicate anything else in those meetings?

A. No.

Q. Was anything else communicated to you by the LCRO?

A. No. I mean just, you know, we -- we were work together in trying to implement the requests. So we would talk about that process as was it was going on.

Q. And -- and what was your role in that process?

A. Really just to communicate the requests to the members. Probably was very instructional for me, too, to see how one tiny change can really create other problems. It's a very tedious process.

Q. And so you had no other requests or communications aside from communicating the requests of the legislators you spoke to?

A. Right.

Q. You testified there were approximately two to three meetings that you had.

Do you recall if Jan -- Representative Jan Jones was at all of those meetings?

A. No, I don't think she was at all of them.

Q. Do you recall approximately how many she was at?

A. At least one.

Q. Perhaps two?

A. Maybe. I -- I really don't know.

Q. Okay. I'm now going to show you what I'm going to mark as Exhibit --

MR. MELLMAN: You know, before -- before we continue, we've been going for about an hour. This is a good time for a break.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.

MR. MELLMAN: We'll go off the record.

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:22. We are going off the video record.

(Off the record.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:37. We are back on video record.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. Representative Rich, thank you.

I'm just going to go back and ask a -- a couple of questions about things we discussed before if that's all right?

A. Yes. And I also just remembered something.

When you asked me at the very beginning of the deposition -- sorry I forgot to tell you this -- if I had testified before. I have served as a guardian ad litem and I have testified in that capacity. I don't know if that changes anything but do remember that.

Q. What court was that?

A. Superior Court of Gwinnett County.

Q. And just one time?

A. No. It's been more than one time. Child custody cases is what they were.

Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Okay.

So if you recall in Exhibit 1 we looked at your handwritten notes where you identified the name of Dr. Tom Brunell.

Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know anything about Dr. Brunell?

A. I don't.

Q. Are you aware that he had been proposed to lead the 2020 census for the Trump Administration?

A. I did not know that.

Q. And did you ever become aware that he ultimately was not appointed to that role after it was reported that he had helped to racially gerrymander the district?

A. I am not aware of that.

Q. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 3 a document starting LEGIS00000312.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And, Representative Rich, these are the -- can you tell me what this document is?

A. These appear to be the 2021 committee guidelines.

Q. For the -- the committee?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're familiar with these guidelines?
A. I was at one point.
Q. Okay. If you to turn to the second page, looking at bullet III A 3, can you read that bullet, please?
A. "All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended."
Q. Okay. And so is it your understanding that compliance with the VRA was one of the criteria for the redistricting guidelines for the 2021 session?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your understanding of why there was a criteria?
A. Because it's the law.
Q. And there are a number of other criteria listed here?
A. Yes.
Q. How -- how did you prioritize these criteria?
A. Well, I -- I didn't because I didn't draw the maps, but my understanding was that the law was

the first and most important goal followed by other -- other criteria.

Q. And in your role as Chair, what did you do to ensure compliance with the VRA?

A. I asked my lawyer to verify that the maps that we were proposing complied with the law.

Q. We talked earlier about black voting age population tipping points in particular districts.

Do you recall ever being present when a discussion of those tipping points were taking place?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall ever hearing the LCRO talk about it --

A. No.

Q. -- amongst themselves?

A. No.

Q. And in the -- in the meetings you sat in in the LCRO and based on your personal knowledge, who actually -- who was the individual that -- that physically drew the maps or digitally drew the maps?

A. When I was in there, Gina Wright worked on it and Brian Knight also worked on it.

Q. And did you ever meet with Gina Wright or anyone else from the LCRO outside the presence of

counsel?

A. No.

Q. So every time you went into the LCRO office, counsel was there?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever meet with Gina Wright or other members of her team outside that LCRO office?

A. Well, I don't think so. I mean, logistically, you know, my office was next to theirs. So they would deliver things to me, maps, but we didn't have substantive meetings if that's what you're asking.

Q. Okay. So all the meetings you did have were in the presence of counsel?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. We talked before about the -- the tweaks made to the maps after you would convey communications from the legislators.

How were those tweaks made?

A. We were sitting around a conference room table with the portion of the map that we were working on on the screen, and we would talk about what was requested. And Gina or Brian would do something, make clicks, to make that change happen. And then that would result in other changes. And so

they would try to address those issues. So that's the way it was done.

Q. In terms of the -- the data that was used in the map drawing, are you aware of whether data was used at the census block level?

A. I'm not aware. I know I heard that term.

Q. What do you understand that term to mean?

A. I don't really -- I don't really have an understanding. I just know that that term was used.

Q. Do you know what data was available at the census block level?

A. I don't.

Q. Do you know whether partisan data was available?

A. I don't know.

Q. Racial data?

A. I don't know.

Q. In any of the meetings with the LCRO, was there any discussion about the BVAP tipping points we discussed?

A. No.

Q. You weren't present for any discussion about that?

A. No.

Q. You said you met with around 100

legislators in the general assembly.

Could you give me approximately a breakdown of how many of those members were Republican and how many were Democrats that you met with?

A. I seem to recall, from my remarks in the Well, that there were 10 or 11 Democrats that I met with and over 100 Republicans.

Q. Do you know why mostly Democrats did not meet with you?

A. Their leadership told me that they were instructed -- that they instructed their members to not meet with me.

Q. But approximately 10 of them did?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know why those did?

A. I think those people -- I -- I mean, I don't know what motivated them, but they just were interested in their districts.

Q. Do you know why the other legislators were instructed not to meet with you?

A. I don't know.

Q. Did you ever ask?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And who did you ask?

A. Probably Billy Mitchell. And then I think there were other legislators, Democrat legislators, who had told me they had been instructed to not meet with me.

Q. And who is Billy Mitchell?

A. He was in the leadership for the minority party.

Q. And so you asked him why they were instructed not to meet with you and what was his response?

MR. JAUGSTETTER: I'm going to assert an objection. You're asking her to reveal what another legislator said about the legislative process. That legislator to my knowledge has not waived his legislative privilege, and I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer that question.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. You said you received approximately 10 requests from the minority party.

Did you communicate those requests to the LCRO?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you meet with Minority Leader Beverly about any concerns he had about his particular

district?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you -- did you meet with him at all in the timeline of that redistricting process?

A. I don't recall. If I did, I would have notes from my meeting that would have been produced.

Q. I'm going to now introduce Exhibit 4. Just one moment.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Take a look at that.

And I apologize. Before I ask you about that document, I'm just going to go back and ask one more question.

Would you say that the requests of the minority party members that you did meet with about their districts, were those able to be accommodated at roughly the same rate as the majority party requests?

A. A higher rate. I do know that we were able to accommodate at least one request from every single Democrat member who met with me.

Q. Approximately how many -- you said one request.

How many requests did -- did they make?

A. Some made one. I don't know how many, you know, what the maximum number was.

Q. Okay.

A. Not a lot.

Q. Okay. And that -- and now -- we're going to go to this document now.

Do you recognize this document?

A. I -- I recognize it as printouts of maps that came out of our Redistricting Office, and it's titled "Drafts Georgia Congressional District."

Q. Okay. Are you aware of what map this is or who -- who designed this map?

A. I would assume, since you have it, we produced it and it was -- it was drawn by our Redistricting Office.

Q. Okay. I'm going to represent to you that this is the draft that was put forward by Senator Kennedy and Lieutenant Governor Duncan regarding the Congressional districts on September 27.

Are you aware of the publication of that map?

A. I am.

Q. And is this the first map you reviewed of

the Georgia Congressional district?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first see this proposed map?
A. I don't remember. Probably -- it was definitely after it was published to the public.
Q. Okay. So sometime after September 27?
A. If that was after it was made public on the website.
Q. Were you aware that this map was being drawn?
A. No.
Q. Senator Kennedy hadn't mentioned it to you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of who drew this map?
A. I would assume that it was our Redistricting Office with input from Senator Kennedy and maybe members of their leadership, but I don't know.
Q. And did -- did you have any role in drawing any parts of this plan?
A. No.
Q. Did you review this plan in detail once it was published?

A. Somewhat. Not -- I mean, detail, that's relative. But I did review it, yes.

Q. And what -- what were your conclusions about it?

A. The only thing that I remember is that they -- they made a division somewhere up in the northern part of the state that a lot of the people spoke out about in our public town halls. I can't remember now what it was. I thought they divided Pickens County, but it doesn't look like they did here, so I -- I don't remember.

Q. Did you have a view on whether -- on -- on the -- the quality of this map?

A. No.

Q. Did you use a particular software to review the districts?

A. No.

Q. You just looked at the map printed out?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you consider any data when you looked at the map?

A. No.

Q. Did you consider any redistricting criteria when you looked at this map?

A. No.

Q. Did you have any concerns about this map?
A. No, I didn't give any thought to that.
Q. Did you provide any feedback on this map?
A. No.
Q. You didn't speak to Senator Kennedy about it?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Or Lieutenant Governor Duncan?
A. No.
Q. Or anyone from their offices?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone else ask you about this map after it was published?
A. Not that I recall. People were discussing the fact that it existed, but I don't have specific recollection of conversations.
Q. And is it fair to say you reviewed this map just by looking at -- looking at it and that was pretty much the sum total of your analysis?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so you didn't provide any input on it to anyone?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I'm going to do the next exhibit. I believe -- I believe we're on Exhibit 5.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Just one moment.

Do you recognize this map, once you've had a moment to take a look?

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You broke up at the end of your question.

MR. MELLMAN: Sorry.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. I said do you recognize this map once you've had a moment to take a look?

A. It's titled "Draft - Georgia House Districts." So I'm assuming that we produced this in response to your document request.

Q. So I'm going to represent to you that this is a map that you published on -- a draft that you published on November 2 of the Georgia State House.

A. Okay.

Q. Do you recall putting forth that map?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right.

MR. MELLMAN: Yeah, yeah. Sorry. One moment.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Aryeh, will you be

dropping these into the ExhibitShare program?

MR. MELLMAN: I will.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: Okay.

MR. MELLMAN: Yes, I will. I will.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. And to your understanding, who drew this map?

A. The Redistricting Office.

Q. Okay. And when did you first see this proposed map?

A. So that's what I was saying earlier is that I don't know if it was the day before, the day of that it was published or if it was a couple of days before because I can't recall if we implemented the legislator requests before or after.

Q. Okay. And when did you first see a draft of this proposed map?

A. Again, I don't -- I don't know if it was the day of or the day before or two or three days before it was published.

Q. And did you have any role in -- in drawing any parts of this plan?

A. Only -- if this reflected the legislator requests, then I did.

Q. And would you say your role is limited to

only communicating the legislator requests?

A. Yes.

Q. You didn't have any other -- any other role?

A. No.

Q. You didn't provide any other input?

A. No.

Q. Who asked you to -- to take on this role? How did you understand that to be your role?

A. Well, the Speaker of the House asked me to chair the committee.

Q. Did the Speaker or anyone else at any point instruct you to do anything other -- with regard to the maps other than communicate the member requests?

A. Well, chairmen of committees have to hold committee meetings and have hearings. So that -- that's understood, that I would do that. Someone, and I don't know who, told me about the town hall meetings because that's just always been done, so I was told to do that. I was told to talk with our attorney to make sure that our maps complied with the law.

Q. Did anyone on your -- was anyone on your staff involved in drawing the maps?

A. No.
Q. So it was purely the -- the LCRO office and counsel?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you take redistricting considerations into account when conceiving of this map?
A. I'm sorry. I don't understand.
Q. Sure. For example, did you -- when -- you reviewed this map before it was published; right?
A. I don't know if I did. I don't know that I saw the map in its entirety --
Q. Okay.
A. -- before it was published. I saw -- maybe I saw sections, if -- if we made the legislator requests, requested changes, before it was published. But I don't know that I saw the whole map in its entirety before it was published.
Q. For any of the pieces of the map that you did see before it was published, did you review it for -- to take into account communities of interest?
A. To the extent that that came up in what the legislator had requested, yes.
Q. And what do you understand the term "communities of interest" to mean?
A. That's a -- a broad term that can mean a

lot of different things depending on the community. It can mean -- the one that people talk about a lot is our Vidalia onion belt, those counties down there.

I know that in the mountains, you know, they -- there are certain communities up there that didn't want to be divided by, you know -- or didn't want to have a mountain range divide them. That was the issue.

There were some communities that shared a lot of, like, rec leagues and schools and churches and shopping districts. Even though they were three or four counties, they considered themselves kind of one -- one community of interest. So it could just mean a lot of different things.

Q. And so you reviewed the maps to account for communities of interest only to the extent that the legislators communicated that to you?

A. That's -- that was my part in reviewing the communities of interest. Those guidelines were definitely -- the -- the Redistricting Office was directed to take those into account.

Q. When you're reviewing any piece of the map prior to publication, did you review them to take -- take into account demographics?

A. I did not.
Q. Socioeconomic status?
A. I did not.
Q. Partisanship?
A. I did not, but it's my understanding that those were considerations taken into account by someone above me.
Q. That someone would be the LCRO?
A. I don't know. I -- I don't think so. I -- I would think not. I would think it would be someone else who was -- who was partisan.
Q. And who would that be?
A. I -- I don't know. Other -- other members of leadership, perhaps, but the Redistricting Office is not partisan.
Q. Did any other members above you in leadership have any input into the redistricting process?
A. I do not know.
Q. Did they provide you with any -- did they communicate any input to you?
A. No.
Q. Did you review -- when you were looking at the -- this map prior to publication, did you review the districts for compactness?

A. I did not.
Q. So when you were looking at the pieces of the map you reviewed prior to publication, what were you looking for?
A. I did not review the map for anything. I was learning the map so that I could present it in the committee hearing.
Q. Did you provide any feedback to the LCRO or anyone else about the draft map?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone ask you about the -- the draft maps prior to their publication on November 2?
A. Well, a -- a lot of people probably did. People were probably -- you know, people were talking about it. When are they coming out? I don't --
(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)
Q. Did anyone -- did anyone ask you about the -- what you thought about the map?
A. I don't think so.
Q. No one asked for your feedback on the map?
A. No.
Q. Did you talk to anyone about the feed- -- about your opinions on the map?
A. No.

Q. And what did you do to prepare to present it at the committee?

A. I met with my attorneys who walked me through and just kind of introduced me to the map, what was changed.

Q. And did you review these maps on paper?

A. Yes.

Q. So did you do use -- did you use any software to review them?

A. No.

Q. Did you have any communications with Senator Kennedy about these maps?

A. The Georgia House districts?

Q. Yeah.

A. No.

Q. What about with other legislators after the publication of the map on November 2?

A. Yes. I don't have specific recollection, but legislators would come up and comment, "Thank you. I like my district."

Q. Did anyone have negative feedback for you on the map?

A. I don't -- no, I don't -- oh, I do recall one -- one legislator who did. Yes, yeah.

Q. And what was that?

A. Philip Singleton.

But it was really overwhelmingly positive, and a lot of Democrat members came and thanked me.

Q. Did you receive any input from any constituents about the map?

A. We had members of the public come to speak. I don't know that I had any of my constituents who came out to speak. I may have received some emails, but if I did, they were not very many, from my -- my constituents.

Q. You said a moment ago that a number of the Democratic members came up to thank you.

Do you recall which ones those were?

A. I don't.

Q. Do you recall approximately how many?

A. Probably eight to ten who went out of their way.

Q. Was that roughly -- that was roughly the number that submitted requests to you?

A. It is roughly the number, yes.

Q. Was it the same individuals?

A. No, not --

Q. Okay.

A. -- necessarily.

Q. After reviewing the November 2 plan, did

you form a view as to whether it presented adequate district lines?

A. Whether they complied with the law?

Q. And other redistricting criteria.

A. It was represented to me by my lawyers that it did.

Q. Did you perform any review or analysis independent of your lawyers?

A. No.

Q. And aside from what we've mentioned, did you provide any input at all on the November 2 plan?

A. No.

Q. Were there any member requests that were not accommodated in that plan that you would have wanted to accommodate in a subsequent plan?

A. I remember that there were member requests that were not accommodated that couldn't be for whatever reason.

Q. And so did you attempt to accommodate those in a subsequent map?

A. No.

Q. Why not?

A. We were running out of time.

Q. Okay. Are you aware that on September 23, 2021, Governor Kemp signed a proclamation ordering a

special legislative session of the general assembly in order to draw new maps?

A. Yes.

Q. And the special legislative session was set to begin November 3 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- 2021?

Did you have any communications with Senator Kennedy about the strategy for the special legislative session?

A. I don't know what you mean by "strategy."

Q. Did you talk to him at all about the session?

A. We discussed logistics on holding joint committee meetings.

Q. Did you discuss the -- the maps at all?

A. No.

Q. So only the -- the logistics of holding the meetings?

A. Yes.

Q. Approximately how many times did you confer about that?

A. You know, I don't -- I don't even know that he and I conferred very much. If we did, it was in passing. It was mostly our assistants who

did that.

Q. Did you communicate with anyone else about the strategy for the special legislative session?

A. I -- I -- I -- I'm sorry. I don't know what you mean by "strategy."

Q. Well, you wanted to get the bills passed; right?

A. Yes.

Q. Was there any discussion on how -- how to go about that?

A. No. If there were, it was just regular workings of the legislature, scheduling committee meetings.

Q. And so were you confident that you would have the votes to pass the bills?

A. I knew that I was going to work for that. That's -- not everybody -- it's not guaranteed that everybody would vote for it. So I felt like once we got this good map and we had implemented every change that we possibly could, then I felt like we probably would have the votes.

Q. But did -- did you discuss with anyone any particular strategies for any churn that you had in the votes?

A. No. I mean, none other than just

complying with the law and listening to the legislators about their districts and what was important to their communities.

Q. So you were fairly confident, even before the map was published, that you would have the votes to pass it?

A. No, not necessarily. But once I saw the map and once people were receiving it favorably, I felt good about it. You never know till you get the votes.

Q. So before you saw the maps, which you said was just a -- maybe just a few days before the special session, were you confident then that you would have the votes to pass the maps?

A. Well, no, because I didn't know what the maps would look like. I didn't -- we -- we had some serious population loss in southwest Georgia which changed a lot of things. And so, you know, I didn't know what was going to happen. But once I saw them, I felt good about them.

Q. And -- and before you had seen them, though, you still hadn't discussed with anyone any -- any ways to solidify your position in terms of getting the votes?

A. Well, there was no specific conversation,

but we all -- everybody on the committee wanted to have maps that complied with the law and that met redistricting guidelines, and we knew that was the key to getting the votes.

Q. I'm going to now introduce the next exhibit. I believe we are on 6.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Do you recognize this map?

A. It appears to be a map that was produced by our Redistricting Office.

Q. And I'll represent to you that this is the S.B. 2EX --

A. Okay.

Q. -- Congressional map.

A. Okay.

Q. When did you first see this proposed map?

A. The day or -- the day it was published or the day before it was published. Very -- very close in time.

Q. Did you see any drafts of it before it was published?

A. No.

Q. To your knowledge, who drew this map?

A. The Redistricting Office.

Q. Okay. And -- and you discussed that the map was drawn -- both the State House map and Congressional district map were drawn by the LCRO and -- and with attorneys present.

And is it your testimony that the first drafts of the map were all drawn by unelected officials?

A. That is my understanding.

Q. Okay. Did you have concerns about that?

A. No.

Q. Why not?

A. We had retained counsel to ensure that we complied with the law.

Q. Okay. Moving back to this map, do you know whether any submissions -- any external submissions were taken into account in drawing this map?

A. Like written submissions?

Q. Like other proposed maps.

A. I -- I don't -- I don't know about that, no.

Q. Okay. Do you know whether any external consultants or lawyers were hired to assist with drawing this map?

A. I don't know if there were any others than the ones that had been representing us throughout it.

Q. And that's the legal counsel that we discussed prior to --

A. Yes.

Q. No other consultants?

A. Not that I'm aware.

Q. Do you know the time frame in which this map was drawn?

A. It was after the Senate's proposed Congressional map.

Q. So it wasn't even -- no one even started drawing it until after the Senate map was proposed?

A. Correct.

Q. And do you recall when that was?

A. When the Senate map was proposed?

Q. Uh-huh.

A. I don't remember, but it's whenever it was put on the website.

Q. Okay. Did you have any meetings regarding the drawing of this map?

A. Yeah, I believe so.

Q. And what were those meetings?

A. Where we tried to accommodate some of the

requests that we had heard in the -- the town halls, and that was the Pickens County issue that I remembered. When I see this map, I remember that.

Q. And who -- who was in those meetings?

A. That would have been --

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Who was in those meetings?

A. That would have been our -- our lawyer. I don't know if anybody from redistricting was there or not. They may have been.

Q. When you say "from redistricting," you mean the --

A. Redistrict --

Q. -- the LCRO?

A. Yes.

Q. And approximately how many meetings would that have been?

A. One or two.

Q. And were those before the map was published or after?

A. I believe before.

Q. So -- so you did see the map before it was published?

A. No, I didn't say that. I said I had meetings with people.
Q. About the map?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you hadn't seen the actual --
A. About --
Q. -- map?
A. Right. About what the map would contain.
Q. Okay. So you didn't have any comments on the -- the map as actually drawn --
A. No.
Q. -- or drafted?
A. Uh-uh.
Q. Okay. Did you have any role in drawing any parts of this map?
A. No.
Q. Did you provide any input into the drawing of this map?
A. None other than just discussing some of the requests that were made.
Q. And so that was the only, as we've been discussing, the requests that those particular members had made?
A. No. This would have been -- I remember requests from the town halls with respect to the

congressional map.

Q. Okay. And so those would have been from constituents?

A. Not my constituents --

Q. Yeah.

A. -- but Georgia residents.

Q. From any nonprofit or other groups?

A. I don't remember.

Q. And so when in particular was the -- the feedback from the town halls that you were discussing to provide on these maps?

A. The only one that I can specifically remember is the Pickens County issue, and now I can't remember exactly what it was. But I do see that it's different in this map than it was in the Senate-proposed map. So whatever it was, we accommodated it.

Q. And you -- you asked the LCRO to accommodate that request?

A. Yes. Or it would have been asked in a -- a meeting or a general conversation.

Q. Was anyone on -- in your staff involved in input on this map in any other way than what we discussed?

A. My staff. I'm not sure to whom you're

referring.
Q. Anyone in your -- in your office.
A. So there was one part-time secretary. No.
Q. Okay. And when you did review this map, did you review it sort of on paper or digitally?
A. On paper.
Q. Did you ever sit in the LCRO office and review this map digitally?
A. No.
Q. Not after it was published?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Were you aware of any feedback on this map being communicated to the LCRO?
A. No.
Q. Did any members mention to you that they had feedback on this map?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. What about did you talk to Senator Kennedy -- Senator Kennedy about this map?
A. No.
Q. So no one asked you to confer about these maps after they were published?
A. Confer with whom?
Q. With you.
A. No.

Q. And did you ask anyone to confer about them?
A. We had public hearings on them, so yes.
Q. After they were published?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Did you look at any underlying data on this map when you were reviewing it?
A. I may have done that in preparation for my presentations in committee or in the House chamber.
Q. And what data did you look at?
A. You provided data here that the Redistricting Office provides with the maps. So if I did review data, it would have been this data.
Q. Would there have been any other data that you looked at?
A. Not me.
Q. And if anyone was looking at other data, to your knowledge that would be the LCRO and counsel?
A. I really don't know who it could be.
Q. Okay. Did you ask to look at any more data when reviewing this map?
A. No.
Q. And did you present this map in the committee?

A. I believe I did.
Q. Okay. And how did you prepare to present that?
A. Counsel. I met with counsel, and they walked me through the map and gave me information.
Q. Approximately how many times?
A. I would think just once.
Q. Okay. For how long?
A. Maybe 30 to 40 minutes.
Q. And would that have been with Mr. Tyson?
A. Or Loree~Anne Paradise.
Q. In your review of the map, did you form a view as to whether it presented suitable Congressional district lines?
A. Based upon my lawyers' advice, yes.
Q. Did you have any concern about the map?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware -- were you aware that this was different -- that this map was different from the plan that we looked at previously published by Lieutenant Governor Duncan and Senator Kennedy?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding of the differences between those two maps?
A. The only one that I can remember was the

Pickens County issue.

Q. Okay. And do you have a view as to which map looks better?

A. Well, in that regard, I think the one that was passed was because it satisfied the -- the Pickens County constituents.

Q. Did you have -- are you aware of any other differences between the two maps?

A. I don't recall them.

Q. Do you have a view as to which map respected the redistricting guidelines adopted by the legislature that we looked at?

A. I don't have an opinion. I would assume they both did.

Q. And aside from the Pickens County issue, do you have an awareness of any other differences between the two maps?

A. I don't -- I don't recall them. I'm sure there were some, but I don't recall. There are just an infinite number of ways that one could draw a map.

Q. Once this map was published, was there ever a town hall held to discuss it?

A. No. We had public hearings, though, that were here at the Capitol.

Q. And what was the nature of the public hearings?

A. Well, we presented the -- the map, discussed it. We had legislators ask questions. Members of the public speak to it. Just a typical committee hearing.

Q. And aside from what we've discussed already, did anyone ask you to provide any concerns or input as to S.B.~2EX?

A. No.

Q. And did you provide any unsolicited input on the S.B.~2EX?

A. No.

Q. Were you aware of any communications about the map drawing process for S.B.~2EX that we've not already discussed?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. And to your awareness, do you recall any discussion about redistricting guidelines being considered when drawing this map?

A. Well, we discussed that -- that they would be complying with the guidelines.

Q. What about the -- the sort of non-legal guidelines like communities of interest?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. Dem- -- anything relating to demographics?
A. Well, to the extent that it would reflect upon communities of interest or compliance with the law, the Voting Rights Act, then yes, those would be considered.
Q. What about keeping county lines intact?
A. That is a priority that we tried to -- you can't always, but yes, respecting the local jurisdictional lines was one of the guidelines.
Q. And what about city lines?
A. To a lesser extent. Of course, counties trump cities, and historically Georgia has used the counties. But that was some -- a request that we had heard in the town halls, so we did try with all of our maps to keep cities whole as much as practicable.
Q. And did you communicate to the map drawers requests to keep cities and counties whole?
A. Well, the map drawers were aware of all of our guidelines. They were provided those guidelines.
Q. Okay. And it's your understanding they respected those?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever discuss them -- discuss those

guidelines with the committee staff?

A. We -- we definitely discussed the county and city guidelines because it was something that required some extra nighttime and weekend hours from Gina Wright, and she worked really hard on trying to do that.

Q. And what -- what did those discussions entail?

A. Just that she was going to try to -- to keep as many cities as possible whole without jeopardizing the higher-ranking guidelines like the Constitutional and Voting Rights Act --

Q. And --

A. -- issues.

Q. And did you communicate that to her, that --

A. I don't remember who communicated it first. She -- I believe she was present at most of the town halls, and when she wasn't present, she -- I think she attended via Zoom. So she -- she heard everything, too.

Q. Okay. But you -- did you make any recommendations to her outside of the guidelines that we discussed and the member requests?

A. No.

Q. Did she raise anything with you that she was interested in outside of the guidelines and the member requests?

A. No.

Q. So we look- -- we looked at two Congressional maps, the September 27 and these S.B.~2EX maps.

Aside from those maps, are you aware of any other version of a Congressional map that was proposed?

A. I think the Democratic caucus proposed maps.

Q. Are you aware of any others aside from those?

A. No.

Q. Any other drafts?

A. No. Do you mean legislator-proposed?

Q. Yeah.

A. Yeah. No, I'm not aware of legislator -- other legislator-proposed maps.

Q. Had you looked at any other proposed drafts that the LCRO prepared?

A. No.

Q. So just the -- the S.B.~2EX?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you have any involvement in drawing the State Senate maps?
A. No.
Q. Who was in charge of that?
A. The Senate.
Q. And Senator Kennedy?
A. Well, I don't -- I don't know what role he took, but it's just the Senate -- they take the lead on preparing their maps. The House takes the lead on preparing their maps.
Q. Did you have any discussions with any senators or the LCRO about the Senate maps?
A. No.
Q. In any of your meetings with the LCRO, were you shown Senate maps?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Did you ever speak to Senator Kennedy about the Senate maps?
A. I don't think I did. If I did, it would have been to prepare for my presenting it in the House Chamber.
Q. So you presented the Senate maps as well in the House Chamber?
A. Yes.
Q. And what else did you do to prepare for

that presentation?

A. I probably watched his presentation in the Senate.

Q. When did you first see the Senate maps?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Would it have been once when they were published officially?

A. It could have been that early, but I probably would not have gone to look at them then.

Q. And would you have seen them before they were published?

A. No.

Q. When you saw them, the Senate maps, did you provide any feedback on those maps?

A. No.

Q. Did you independently assess them in any way before voting on them?

A. No.

Q. Did you talk to anyone about them?

A. Our lawyers who -- who said that they satisfied the -- the legal requirements.

MR. MELLMAN: Okay. I think we're going to take another break now. It's been about an hour, if that's all right.

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:31. We are

going off the video record.

(Off the record.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the video record. The time is 12:50.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. We are back on the record. Good afternoon.

I'm going to just ask a couple of questions about things we discussed earlier.

We had talked about, at length, how you worked with legislative counsel on -- on the redistricting process.

Who made the decision to hire counsel?

A. Outside counsel?

Q. (Nodded head.)

A. It's my understanding that it was someone in leadership for the majority party. It could have been the Speaker's office but I'm not certain.

Q. And were you consulted on that at all?

A. No.

Q. Did you provide any input about it at all?

A. No.

Q. And you talked about meetings with the LCRO where you would -- or they would tweak the districts according to members' requests.

Did you have any requests about your own district?

A. Did I have requests?

Q. (Nodded head.)

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And did you communicate those to the LCRO?

A. Yes.

Q. And what were those requests?

A. I wanted my district to include part of Hall County. It had to move north. We knew that. So it could have gone one of two ways or some combination of that. So I just wanted it to include Hall County.

Q. And why did you want it to include Hall County?

A. Because a substantial number of my constituents were moving from my district up into Hall County.

Q. Okay. And was that request accommodated?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I'm going to mark for identification Exhibit 7.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. I'll ask you to take a look and see if you recognize this map.

Do you recognize the map?

A. So I -- I just recognize it as a map that came from our Reapportionment Office.

Q. Okay. I'll represent to you that this is the HB1EX State House Redistricting Map.

A. Okay.

Q. Do you recall the date that the House redistricting plan was first considered during the special legislative session?

A. This map?

Q. Yes.

A. I don't.

Q. Did you have hearings on this map?

A. Yes.

Q. Approximately how many hearings?

A. I think two. Could have been three but I think two.

Q. And what was the content of those hearings?

A. The first hearing we introduced the map and discussed it and entertained questions from committee members, I believe. And then the second

hearing, we took public commentary.

Q. Did you respond to the public commentary at those meetings?

A. No.

Q. To your knowledge, who drew this map?

A. The Reapportionment Office.

Q. And who instructed them to draw the maps in the first instance?

A. I don't know.

Q. Did you instruct them to draw maps?

A. No.

Q. Do you know if -- do you know who else might have instructed them to draw a map?

A. I -- I really don't.

Q. Would they have just started -- started drawing the map of their own volition?

A. I -- they may have. I don't know who the director answers to. She might report to -- I mean, I don't know who she reports to, but whoever she reports to would -- might have been the person who directed her to start. I would assume that would be the chief of staff for the -- of the House but I don't know.

Q. Okay. So is it your best guess that someone in the Speaker's office would have

instructed them to start to draw the map?
A. And it would have been just a guess.
Q. Would the same be true of the Congressional map?
A. Yes.
Q. That you don't know who instructed them to draw the map in the first instant?
A. I don't.
Q. Or if anyone --
A. Right.
Q. -- instructed them?
A. Right.
Q. Do you know whether this map you're looking at, whether the drawers of the map took into account XML submissions in drawing the map.
A. You mean commentary to or -- or written submissions?
Q. Similar proposals --
A. Oh --
Q. -- map drawings.
A. -- I don't know.
Q. Do you know whether any external consultants, lawyers, or lobbyists were hired to assist with the drawing of this map?
A. I don't know of any other than the one who

counseled me to.

Q. Do you know the time frame in which this map was drawn?

A. It was drawn, I believe, during the special session.

Q. So to your knowledge, did they start drawing it during the special session?

A. That is my understanding, but I don't know that.

Q. When did you first see a draft of any part of the map?

A. It -- I think -- I think I had already said it was either the day that it was published or a couple of days before.

Q. Have you seen any other drafts at all of this map --

A. No.

Q. -- other than the one we just mentioned?

Once you saw this map, did you have any input or feedback into this map?

A. Yes. That probably is the order that it went is I saw the map and then -- I -- I really don't know if my feedback from the legislators was before I saw the whole map. So I don't -- I don't know that I did have any input after I saw the whole

map.

Q. And to the extent you had any feedback on this map, would that have only been communicating those member requests that we discussed earlier?

A. It would have been, in addition to my just confirming that it complied about all the legal standards and the guidelines.

Q. And you confirmed that by talking to your counsel?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you do anything else to confirm that?

A. No.

Q. When you reviewed the map, did you look at any underlying data on the map?

A. There's data that the Reapportionment Office provides, and yes, I would have looked at it at the time. But it doesn't mean a lot to me because I don't understand it the way the map drawers and the lawyers who practice this understand it.

Q. Do you recall what data you would have looked at?

A. I would have looked at the data that you have provided in this exhibit, and I think there was also -- we used data to determine, like, partisan

issues. We looked at another elected person, how that person performed in that district in a previous election.

Q. How did you come to that data?

A. I -- I don't know. I think that data is retrievable from the Secretary of State's office. I didn't collect it.

Q. What was the purpose of using that data?

A. For partisan purpose.

Q. What do you mean by that?

A. Because after we considered all the other -- the legal requirements and the guidelines, then there was also a partisan consideration.

Q. Does that mean you were looking to elect as many Republicans as possible?

A. Well, after we finished with the legal requirements, that is -- that is the name -- that is redistricting. It's -- I think the expert in Georgia says it's the most political process that there is or the most partisan process that there is.

Q. And who -- what -- where did you -- where did you see that data?

A. It would be on printed sheets or when we are looking at portions of the map on the computer screen, Gina could highlight a district as it was

drawn and it would populate all of the different data.

Q. And do you know how that data was gathered?

A. I don't. I mean, like I said, I know that it's retrievable from the census information and from the Secretary of State's office.

Q. Did you look at any racial data on the map?

A. I didn't look at it. I think it may have populated because that was -- that was always something to consider with the Voting Rights Act districts.

Q. And did you look at the map with a racial overlay?

A. I -- I did not, and I don't really know what you mean by a "racial overlay." I know that that is the statistical information that, I think, the Voting Rights Act requires the map drawer to consider.

Q. Was the partisan data used for any other purpose?

A. Other than drawing maps?

Q. (Nodded head.)

A. I -- no -- I -- I'm sorry. I don't

understand that question.

Q. That's okay.

A. Okay.

Q. Do you know at -- at -- at what level the partisan data was available?

A. What does that mean? I'm sorry.

Q. Sure. At the county level? The city level? The block level?

A. I believe it was available by precinct.

Q. By precinct.

Any further more fine-grain levels?

COURT REPORTER: More what levels? I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall if it was.

COURT REPORTER: You broke up a little. You said something about more levels.

MR. MELLMAN: Sure. I said any further fine-grain levels.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Do you know if it was available at the block level?

A. I -- I don't know. The partisan information?

Q. Yeah.

A. I would think it would not be, but I -- because it's based on elections and -- and primary participation. So I -- I don't know, but my educated guess would be no, I just don't know.

Q. Do you know at what level the racial data was, precinct, county block?

A. I don't know.

Q. Aside from what we've discussed, have you -- did you have any other role in drawing any other parts of this map?

A. No.

Q. When you were looking at the maps in the LCRO office, do you know what software was used?

A. I don't.

Q. So were there any other drafts between when you -- you first saw the map and the final publication of the map?

A. Again, I'm not sure because I can't remember when my input was given.

Q. And your input was given, as we've discussed, in the LCRO office making the tweaks according to the member requests?

A. Yes.

Q. And was there any other feedback that was given that you gave?

A. No.
Q. Once you saw the map, did you communicate about it with anyone?
A. Outside of the committee hearing, I don't think so.
Q. Any nonprofit organizations?
A. If I did, I don't remember.
Q. Any partisan organizations?
A. I -- I just don't remember. There -- we were getting a lot of phone calls and emails. I just can't remember.
Q. Did you communicate with Governor Kemp about the map?
A. No.
Q. Anyone from his office?
A. No.
Q. Secretary of State Raffensperger?
A. No.
Q. Anyone from his office?
A. No.
Q. Did you communicate with the minority party about the map?
A. If I did, it was just in passing conversation. Nothing formal or substantive.
Q. Did any members of the minority party ask

to meet with you after publication of the map?
A. I think so. I think so, yes.
Q. And what did they want to discuss?
A. They wanted to make changes to their district.
Q. What were some of the contents of those changes?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Would those be in your files?
A. They might be. I do remember it was a man, and I can't remember who it was. And he possibly sent me emails on it. So it probably would be in the -- in my files that were produced.
Q. And so is that just one person who asked to meet with you?
A. I believe so. And it was somebody who had not met with me at all before.
Q. Who -- who is the chief of staff of the Speaker of the House?
A. Spiro Amburn.
Q. And is that the same person that it would have been at the time that the redistricting process began?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And we talked about that you did

see some racial data with regard to these maps?

A. I think that that's all -- I think all of the data would populate when -- when you clicked on a -- or you could make it populate when you clicked on a -- a specific district.

Q. And so that would have been while you were looking in the LCRO at the districts on the screen?

A. Yes.

Q. Gina Wright or someone from her office would click on the district and then the racial composition of that district would pop up?

A. I don't know that the total comp- -- composition would but some information about it did.

Q. And would that -- would that have been precinct-level information?

A. I do not know.

Q. When you say "some information," what would come up?

A. I don't know that it had every single poss- -- every possible race. There may have just been the most -- the largest population.

Q. Okay. But it did have the data for the entire district?

A. Yes, I believe it did. Yes.

Q. Okay. Aside from this map, are you aware

of any other versions of the House map that were proposed?

A. That were proposed by a legislator?

Q. Yeah.

A. The Democratic caucus prepared and proposed one.

Q. Any others?

A. I am not aware of any.

Q. Did you see any drafts other than the draft of this map?

A. If I did, it was one that was published. I don't -- I think that I -- I only saw portions of the State until the map was published in its entirety.

Q. Did you have a view as to whether -- as to how this plan differed from the plan proposed on November 2?

A. This is not the plan that was proposed on November 2?

Q. No. This is the -- the final plan.

A. Oh, okay. There were two. See, I couldn't remember that.

Q. Okay.

A. I do not know. It may have been the legislator input.

Q. Okay.
A. I -- I don't remember.
Q. Okay. Just going back to the -- the racial data for a moment, you mentioned that when you clicked on a district, the racial data would pop up on the screen.
Do you recall what races would have popped up on the screen?
A. I do know black and white did.
Q. Okay.
A. I just -- I don't remember because there was a lot of information. And it -- it wasn't me clicking. It was the Redistricting Office personnel.
Q. Okay. Do you recall if Hispanic or Latino data came up?
A. I think it did, yeah.
Q. Okay. What about Asian?
A. I think so. But I -- I'm just not the one to ask that. I don't know. I don't remember.
Q. Did you form a view of whether this plan presented suitable House district lines?
A. I believed my attorney when he represented to me that it -- they were good, legal lines. Yes.
Q. Did anything else inform your view other

than your conversations with your attorney?
A. That and when members would come up and tell me that they liked it.
Q. And so do you have any understanding of what changes were made between the November 2 map and this map?
A. Again, it may have been the individual legislator input. I just do not remember. Seems like we were trying to continue to tweak the map, and I think we may have unpaired two Democrat candidates, is what I seem to recall.
Q. Did you review this map to see whether it complied with the Voting Rights Act?
A. I asked my lawyers if it did and they said that it does -- that it did.
Q. Did you ask anyone else?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone else offer an opinion on that to you?
A. No, I don't think anybody else really would have been qualified to do that.
Q. Did you view preserving or creating competitive districts as a goal for the State House map?
A. I'm sorry?

Q. Did you view creating or preserving competitive districts as a goal for this map?
A. I don't believe that was one of the guidelines.
Q. Did you view keeping existing districts whole as the goal for the State House map?
A. I -- I don't understand that because district -- they all changed with redistricting. Not all. I think one stayed the same. But I -- I don't understand that question.
Q. Did you try to keep the boundaries as -- as close to as possible to what they were before --
A. Yes.
Q. -- understanding --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that changes needed to be made?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Okay. Did you view avoiding removing large numbers of people from their district as a goal for this map?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have a sense of how many district -- districts retained less than half of their residents relative to the 2010 map?
A. I have no idea.

Q. Are you aware that this was the case for 32 districts?

A. I'm not aware now. I may have been at the time.

Q. Do you view that as a suitable goal for the map?

A. Do I view what as a suitable goal?

Q. Knowing that there were 32 districts where -- that retained less than half of their population, do you believe this to be an adequate map?

A. If that's the case, I -- I believe that the map that we passed is a suitable and adequate map, yes.

Q. We talked about a few different redistricting principles.

Which principles were given highest priority?

A. The Constitutional standard and the Voting Rights Act were the two that were of utmost importance. And then the others, you know, really, you know, trying to keep communities of interest whole, respecting the -- the county boundaries as much as we could. You know, we have a lot of counties here in Georgia. You know, they -- they

all were considered, but the legal standards were the ones that were of utmost importance.

Q. Beyond the legal requirements, if there was a conflict between the other redistricting principles, how would that conflict be resolved?

A. That is an impossible question to answer because it is such a complex process with just infinite possibilities. There was no formula or standard. Because one change could -- would cause a change in another district. So I -- I -- I just can't answer that question.

Q. Would you have been the one to make the decision as to which principle would have won out if there was a conflict?

A. No, I would not have been. And I wasn't involved in the original drafting. But when I was in the room with the tweaking, it may have been discussed around the table, but I really don't specifically recall.

Q. Do you know who would have been responsible for resolving those conflicts?

A. I think ultimately -- ultimately, if there were a question about it, then it could have been me, in which case I would have always asked for the legal opinion on it. That was always my first

question.

Q. And would you have followed that legal opinion?

A. Absolutely, yeah.

Q. Where -- can you think of any instances where you did not follow the legal opinion?

A. No, that would not have happened.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. MELLMAN: I'm going to mark --

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. MELLMAN: I'm going to introduce this exhibit. It actually was produced as Exhibit 10 in the deposition of Representative Dugan.

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you. In the deposition of?

MR. MELLMAN: Of Representative Dugan.

MR. TYSON: So mark it as 8 here or just use 10? How do you want to do that?

MR. MELLMAN: We can mark it as 8.

MR. TYSON: Okay.

COURT REPORTER: And who was that asking that question "So mark it as 8 here or just use 10?"

MR. TYSON: I'm sorry. That was Brian

Tyson.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you. That's who I guessed but I didn't want to guess.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And do you recognize these two maps?

A. It says that it's the prior Congressional District 6 and the S.B.~2EX Congressional 6.

Q. Okay. And so just take a moment to look at that and then I'm going to introduce Exhibit 9.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And Exhibit 9 is a document beginning with Bates Number LEGIS00010310.

Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes.

Q. And is this an email and attachment from you to Julie Sutton?

A. Yes.

Q. And who is Julie Sutton?

A. She was the part-time secretary.

Q. And it appears to be an empty email with attachments; is that right?

A. Yes.
Q. So looking at -- at the attachment -- take a moment to read -- read the attachment.
A. Yes. I mean, it's -- I -- I -- I recognize what it is. It's very long, though. It's four pages.
Q. Okay. And on the page ending -312, the bullet point -- or the first bullet point 3 there, can you read that -- just the first sentence in that bullet point?
A. Number 3 from the top?
Q. Yeah.
A. At the top. "It respects county boundaries. Our current plan splits 16 counties on the Congressional district and this plan splits 15. In -- in contrast, the Democratic plan splits 18 counties."
Q. And can you read the next bullet as well, please?
A. "While some precinct splitting is inevitable to reach zero deviation, we split as few precincts as possible while reaching that goal and tried to find logical places to divide counties."
Q. So is it fair say you viewed min- -- minimizing split counties as an important goal in

redistricting?

A. Yes.

Q. And where would you put it in your priorities?

A. Below the Constitution and the Voting Rights Act, and I don't -- you know, I don't know that I can necessarily rank them after that.

Q. Okay. Moving on to the next page, there's a list of numbers and names in parenthesis.

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. Okay. And then I'll ask you to turn over to the back page and three paragraphs up from the bottom it says "Six (Lucy McBath over 657.)"

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. So is it fair to assume that this is a reference to Congressional District 6?

A. Yes.

Q. And Lucy McBath was an incumbent in that district?

A. Yes.

Q. And is it fair to assume that "over 657" indicates that Congressional District 6 is over the ideal district size by only 657 people?

A. Correct.

Q. And if you look at the others on the list, that's much closer to the ideal district size than any of the other districts?

A. Yes.

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What -- it's much closer to the "A" something district size. You're still breaking up still. If you could maybe move closer to the mic.

MR. MELLMAN: I believe the word was "ideal district size."

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. So only a fairly small number of people would have had to have been subtracted to get to the ideal district size for Congressional District 6?

A. If you take it in a vacuum, yes, but you have the other districts that you're moving boundaries on which moves the boundaries on District 6.

Q. And are you aware that approximately 360,000 people were removed from this district?

A. I don't know the number.

Q. Does that seem accurate to you?

A. I wouldn't be able to say one way or the

other.

Q. And so, then, are you aware that approximately 360,000 people -- new people were moved into the district?

A. I -- if you say that's a fact, then I believe you.

Q. Looking at the map for a moment that I just showed you, can you identify the new counties that were added to Congressional District 6?

A. Forsyth and Dawson, Georgia.

Q. And part of Cherokee as well?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of the general demographics of those areas?

A. Well, what do you mean?

Q. Of Forsyth, Dawson, Cherokee, are you generally -- generally aware of the -- the demographics of those areas?

A. Well, I mean, generally they are more conservative and Republican. I don't live too far from there. That's the only reason I know a little bit about it. But that's -- that's what I know.

Q. Would you characterize them as exurban or rural?

A. No.

Q. How would you characterize them?
A. Oh, suburban.
Q. Suburban?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And how would you characterize the areas of North Fulton and East Cobb County?
A. Suburban.
Q. So would you say that -- would you say those are relatively similar -- have relatively similar characteristics to the districts that were added?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. We're going to take a minute to look at another map of Congressional District 14. Just give me a moment.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. MELLMAN:
Q. This is has also been introduced in the Dugan deposition. We can just mark it as Exhibit 10.
Do you recognize this map?
A. I -- I recognize that it's titled "Prior Congressional District 14 and S.B.~2EX Congressional District 14."

Q. And how would you characterize the former Congressional District 14?
A. I'm sorry. What do you mean?
Q. Urban? Suburban? Rural? Exurban?
A. I'm -- I'm not familiar with this area like I am 6 because I don't live down here and I never have. It's my understanding it's very rural in the area, but I don't really -- I don't really have personal knowledge of that area the way that I do the other one.
Q. Are you aware that the primary industries there are agricultural and manufacturing?
A. I am not but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And the -- looking down at the bottom there, the portion of Cobb County that was added, are you familiar with the general characteristics of that area?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Would you characterize that area as rural?
A. No.
Q. How would you characterize it?
A. Cobb County?
Q. (Nodded head.)
A. It -- it's suburban.
Q. Would you say it's part of the metro

Atlanta area?

A. Yeah, I would say that.

Q. Do you believe that there are communities of interest between that portion of Cobb County that was added and the prior Congressional District 14?

A. So the portion that's beside Paulding County, I really -- I -- I don't know the area. So I really wouldn't know. I would assume that because it's right there, it would be pretty similar to the Paulding County area that it butts up against. But I don't really know that.

Q. Are you aware that District 14 is Marjorie Taylor Greene's district?

A. Yes.

Q. And so are you aware of any of the various demographics of the former Congressional District 14 versus the current Congressional District 14?

A. I don't know the differences. I would assume that they're both conservative, Republican leaning. I don't really know.

Q. Including the portion of Cobb County that was added?

A. I really don't know.

Q. Are you aware that the portions of Cobb County that were added included Austell and Powder

Springs?

A. No.

Q. Are you familiar with those names?

A. I -- I've heard of them, but I'm not familiar with the specific parts of Cobb County. I just know it as a whole.

Q. So are you aware that Austell has a mostly high black population?

A. I don't -- I don't know that specifically.

Q. The same for Powder Springs?

A. Right. I don't know that. I believe you if you say it is.

Q. What about the racial demographics of the various parts of the district that were moved?

So that would be Haralson County and part of Pickens County.

A. I -- I really -- I really don't know. I just remember that Pickens County wanted to be whole. I do remember that.

Q. Would you be surprised to learn that the percentage of non-white people in District 14 increased with the new map?

A. No.

Q. You would not be surprised?

A. No.

Q. Why not?
A. Well, I think that the percentage of non-white people for the whole state increased.
Q. And would that have been smoothly across the whole state?
A. I -- I doubt that.
Q. Do you recall receiving any public comments or feedback from the residents of the -- from the residents of the part of Cobb County that was added to Congressional District 14?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And what was the tenor of that feedback?
A. Yeah. They -- they did not want to be included there.
Q. And can you discuss why the decision would be made to include them anyway?
A. I really -- you know, I can't say specifically. I know that there were a lot of factors that went into this map and we tried to please as many people as possible, but never -- you're never going to please everybody. There will always be people who are not happy. So I -- I would assume that the reason that the map exists the way it is is because it needed to to comply with the legal standards and the redistricting guidelines.

Q. And would you have made the decision on whether to include that piece of Cobb County?
A. No. It was part of the map. Like, it was not -- you know, it wasn't anything that -- that we discussed changing. So I would say that that decision was probably made by the map drawer and then we did just -- we approved it when we -- when we looked at the map.
Q. "We" being you and counsel?
A. The committee, and yeah, counsel. Yeah.
Q. Did you conduct any sort of analysis to determine the propriety of the map?
A. Beyond hiring counsel to review it?
Q. (Nodded head.)
A. No.
Q. Do you recall receiving any comments or feedback from the residents of the existing Congressional District 14 about the prospect of adding parts of Cobb County?
A. I -- I don't remember. There may have been. There may have been people who complained about that.
Q. Do you recall the tenor of any of those complaints?
A. Well, if -- if somebody did complain, they

just wouldn't have wanted it. But I -- I -- I don't -- I don't have a specific recollection who it may have been. It's been over a year ago. There were a lot of, you know, people testifying in these hearings.

Q. Okay. Do you recall why they would not have wanted that part of Cobb County as part of their district?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Can you look back again at the -- the email attachment that we just looked at?

On the last page with respect to Congressional District 11, you write "Responded to the overwhelming public input with respect to Pickens County and made it whole."

A. Yes.

Q. And we discussed that before as well?

A. Yes.

Q. And then just above that for Congressional District 14 you write "Maintained this core district; needed to gain population, so it geographically moved south, where we had population growth."

A. Yes.

Q. And if you take a look at the map, why

didn't you make Pickens whole if you needed to add population growth?

Why didn't you make Pickens whole by adding all of Pickens to Congressional District 14?

A. I -- I really couldn't answer that. I'm not a map drawer. This was something that was an issue because of a mountain range that divided and made things difficult there, is what I seem to recall. But I -- I'm not the map drawer so I couldn't really answer that.

Q. Do you recall if anyone suggested incorporating all of Pickens County into Congressional District 14?

A. Who? Like -- like the public?

Q. A legislator. A map drawer.

A. No, I don't.

Q. Okay. I'm going to show -- I'm going to show you another document which I'm going to mark as Exhibit 11.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And it begins with a Bates Number LEGIS00003224.

And can you take a look at this email?

A. I see it.
Q. Have you ever seen this email before?
A. No.
Q. Could you take a look at the back? If you can take a look at the comments on the districts.
Have you seen anyone propose any of these suggestions?
A. No, unless this is what the Senate's version looked like. I -- I don't know that. I just can't remember.
Q. If you look at District 14 at the bottom, it says "Add population from Bartow to balance out."
And if -- if -- if you look at the map, Bartow County is actually not on this map, but I believe it's adjacent to Congressional District 14.
Do you recall anyone suggesting adding population from Bartow County to Congressional District 14?
A. I don't. This is an email from John Kennedy. I'm not included on it. I never discussed this with him at all.
Q. Okay. I mean, you never discussed the Congressional district map with John Kennedy?
A. No.
MR. MELLMAN: Okay. We've been going for

about another hour. I think we'll take another break, if that's all right.

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:35. We are going off the video.

(Off the record.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video record. The time is 1:50.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you a couple more questions about Congressional District 14.

Do you recall that Congresswoman Marjorie Taylor Greene complained that the decision to move part of Cobb County into CD14 that was done by power-hungry members of the Georgia General Assembly.

A. I don't specifically recall that. I -- I didn't --

Q. Do you recall comments of that nature?

A. Maybe generally. I -- I really did not follow her, so.

Q. What -- did you have any understanding of what that meant?

A. Well, I don't remember that specific comment, and do you -- you're asking now if I understand what it means now?

Q. (Nodded head.)
A. I really don't. I -- I really don't.
Q. Do you have an understanding of why she was critical of the redistricting decisions related to Congressional District 14?
A. I don't. I don't -- I don't follow her rationale or logic. I don't understand it.
Q. Do you have an understanding of why any residents of Congressional District 14 were displeased with the redistricting process?
A. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't know except for whatever they said in the meeting, and I -- I don't remember that, sitting here today.
Q. I'm going to ask you now about some of the specific districts -- some of the specific House districts.
So the map, if you flip to the subsequent pages, there's some zoomed-in portions, and I'll just ask you to answer to -- to the best of your ability about each particular district.
So for House District 44, do you see where that is? It's --
A. No.
Q. -- in the top left. It splits between the -- the second big box down there.

A. Oh, is it on a blowup?
Q. Yeah. On the second page --
A. Oh, okay.
Q. -- Metro Atlanta area.
A. I see 43 and 45. Oh, there's 44, yes.
Q. And are you generally familiar with House District 44?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Can you explain when a factors you considered in redrawing House District 44?
A. None other than the ones that I've already told you about.
Q. Are you aware of whether the district core was maintained from the 2010 map?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Are you aware of the racial demographic -- demographics of the old HD44?
A. Not sitting here.
Q. Or would you have been at the time?
A. I may not have been. I -- I -- I don't -- I -- I don't recall looking -- I know for a fact I didn't look at every district, and I don't recall if I looked at that one or not.
Q. For the districts that you looked at, would you have looked at the racial demographics?

A. The information -- if -- if we clicked on the district, it would have popped up and, you know, that would have been something, you know, when we would try to make a change that was requested, it may have altered it so extreme that, for example, you know, a voting rights district went down to, you know, nothing. No -- no black population or very low. So we're, like, well, we can't do that.

So to that extent, I would have, but I -- I really wasn't the one using those figures to draw the maps. Those would just pop up when we were trying to tweak it.

Q. And when you say you -- you didn't look at every district, for the districts you did look at, what does it mean to you to look at them?

A. Meaning the districts where we tried to change something that somebody -- that a legislator had -- had requested.

Q. And in drawing this district and the districts in general, we talked about did you value keeping counties whole?

A. That was one of the redistricting guidelines.

Q. Do you recall why it would have been sacrificed in this particular situation?

A. I don't recall in this particular situation, but given the fact that we have as many counties as we do, that was inevitable, to have to split them.

Q. Okay. What about -- what about House District 48?

A. I see it here.

Q. Was that one of the districts that you looked at?

A. I would not be able to say.

Q. Do you know if the district core was maintained from the 2010 map?

A. I have no idea looking at this now.

Q. Are you aware of the racial demographics of the old HD48?

A. No. I -- I'm not going to be able to answer any questions like that.

Q. Okay. Or the new HD48?

A. No.

Q. And so when you were looking at a particular district, you were able to click on it and view the racial data for that district?

A. I couldn't. I didn't have access to that software. But when I was with Gina or Brian in the apportionment office, if they clicked on a district,

then they could make that information populate. And they would do that to look at it themselves because they knew that they needed to consider that for whatever changes we were trying to make.

Q. And you were in the office at times when they were clicking on particular districts and looking at that data?

A. Yes.

Q. And if they were making tweaks, you could look at that data before and after the tweak?

A. Yes.

Q. I'm just going to ask you about a few more districts. If you don't know the answer, that's okay. I'm going to ask the questions.

Go look now at House District 49.

A. Okay.

Q. Do you recall looking at that district?

A. I don't.

Q. Can you explain any factors you considered in redrawing that district?

A. We would have -- we would have asked the Reapportionment Office, our lawyers to apply the guidelines and the law. That's all I can tell you.

Q. Do you know if the district core was maintained from the 2010 map?

A. I can't say, sitting here today.
Q. Are you aware of the racial demographics of the old HD49?
A. I don't know.
Q. What about the new HD49?
A. I don't know.
Q. HD52?
A. Okay. I see it.
Q. Do you recall reviewing that district?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of what factors would have been considered in redrawing that district?
A. The same as all of the other ones.
Q. Was -- are you aware of whether the district core was maintained from the 2010 map?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Are you aware of the racial demographics of the old HD52?
A. No.
Q. Or new HD52.
A. No.
Q. Last one.
HD104?
A. Okay.
Q. And do you recall looking at that

district?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Are you aware of what factors were considered in redrawing that district?
A. The -- the same factors as all of the others.
Q. Are you aware of whether the district core was maintained from the 2010 map?
A. I don't remember, sitting here today.
Q. Are you aware of the racial demographics of the old HD104?
A. There is no way I could ever remember that.
Q. Or the new HD104?
A. No.
Q. And so are there particular districts you do remember looking at?
A. I don't have specific recollection. It's -- it's hard to do that by the numbers, you know, and they don't stay the same after redistricting. So it's virtually impossible.
Q. Were there specific areas or -- of the state or districts that you recall specifically tweaking or working on?
A. I -- I would have for -- representatives

with whom I met who made requests who had not already, in just the -- the drawing by the Reapportionment Office, who had not gotten something they asked for, we would have pulled them up. And we did. I think we gave every -- almost every member who met with me something they asked for, if not every member. And sometimes more than what they -- the one thing.

So I just don't recall what they were because I -- my memory is just -- does not work like that. I just can't remember the -- the district numbers that way.

Q. And so you mentioned earlier that you spoke with about roughly 100 legislators and got requests regarding their district?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall how many of those were accommodated in the initial draft and how many tweaks needed to be made for?

A. I don't recall specifically. I do know that we -- I opened the file for every single legislator, and we looked -- so we did look at every single district, now that I'm thinking about how we did it. And if we looked at it and we felt like they got something that they asked for, we closed

that file, moved to the next. And I don't remember how many -- for how many we had to make changes.

Q. Can you try and give me a ballpark number? 10? 90?

A. Not as many as 90. Probably more than 10.

Q. Do you have anything closer than that?

A. Probably fewer than 50.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of which counties were split the most in that House map?

A. I am not aware, no.

Q. Are you aware that Fulton County was split into 22 pieces?

A. I didn't remember that, no.

Q. Gwinnett into 21?

A. No.

Q. DeKalb into 17?

A. I'm not going to remember how many pieces a county was split into. I do know you're calling out the most populous counties in the metropolitan Atlanta area.

Q. And Cobb was split into 14 pieces.

Were you aware of that?

A. I'm not -- I don't know how many pieces counties were broken into.

Q. Okay. I'm going to mark another exhibit

for identification. It begins LEGIS00003531.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: From me to me.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Can you take a look at this document and tell me if you recognize it?

A. Yes, I do recognize it.

Q. And the first page is an email to yourself --

A. Yes.

Q. -- with an attachment.

And the title of the attachment is "Georgia House District Map Introduction"?

A. Yes.

Q. And what was this document?

A. These were my talking points for discussing the map at our first hearing.

Q. And if you flip to the next page under the "Voting Rights Act" heading, you note that "40 districts are majority AP black VAP" --

A. Yes.

Q. -- "(up 1 from existing maps)" --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and "78 minority opportunity districts

(majority non-white VAP) (up 5 from existing maps)"?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you come to obtain that information?
A. My counsel.
Q. Did you independently investigate that information?
A. No.
Q. And do you recall how much the popu- -- black population of Georgia increased from the prior census?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay. So would you be surprised to learn that it's approximately 23 percent?
A. If you say, then that makes sense.
Q. And the majority black voting age population districts increased by 1 out of 180; is that correct?
A. I -- I don't recall.
Q. According to your pad.
A. Oh, it's in my notes?
Q. Yeah. It says "Up 1 from existing maps."
A. I'm sorry. Where are you reading from?
Q. Sure. Just that bullet we were looking at before.

"48 districts that are majority AP black VAP (up 1 from existing map)."

A. Yes, yes.

Q. Okay. And then the -- the last bullet in that heading, it says "One Latino majority VAP district (District 98)"?

A. Yes.

Q. And how did you get that information?

A. From my counsel.

Q. And did you independently investigate that information?

A. No.

Q. And do you recall what format that information was given to you? Would it have been written or oral?

A. I don't recall if it was written or oral. It may have been given to me written, but I -- I may have just taken notes and formulated my -- my talking points. I -- I just don't recall.

Q. Okay. And moving to the last page of this document, can you just read for me the two paragraphs --

COURT REPORTER: Mr. Mellman, you're -- I can't hear you. You're breaking up again when you're looking down and away. Sorry.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Can you read for me the last two bolded paragraphs on that page?

A. "Minority opportunity districts are not an ideal that is held by just one party. The Republicans have seen and embraced the growth in Georgia. In fact, our goal with our fiscal policies is to encourage that growth and we are thrilled it is here. As a female Republican from one of the fastest growing and most diverse counties in the state, one of the things I'm most proud of is the number of minority opportunity districts we've drawn."

Q. And what is your understanding of the term "minority opportunity districts"?

A. That those districts are majority non-white and the majority may not necessarily be all of one particular race. Maybe a combination.

Q. So is it your position that in drafting the maps, you attempted to draw as many minority opportunity districts as possible?

A. I don't know that that was the goal, but it is something, when we saw that it was possible, we thought it would be a good thing to try to incorporate.

Q. Where would you rank that on the non-legally required list of redistricting principles?

A. Probably -- I mean, it's so hard to -- to -- to rank them because they're -- you know, in some instances there may be a higher competing interest than that, just depending on the circumstances. So it really just depends on the particular district and the other factors that that particular district has going on.

Q. Is your position that these maps contain the largest number of minority opportunity districts that are possible to construct while complying with the law on traditional redistricting principles?

A. I don't know if that's true. I think that we drew them where they made sense, where there were actual communities of interest and it made sense to do it.

Q. Did you review any alternative maps that contained more minority opportunity districts?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you ever ask anyone if they would be able to create more?

A. I did not ask anyone if they could create more, no.

Q. If -- if -- did you ask anyone if -- if there were any more that would make sense to exist while complying with traditional redistricting principles?

A. That probably is something that I would have asked. I don't specifically remember, but it probably is something I would have asked.

Q. And do you recall what response you might have been given?

A. I don't recall except that we decided that this was a good map.

Q. Did you review whether the Voting Rights Act required the drawing of additional majority minority districts in the metro Atlanta area?

A. Did I review whether it required it?

Q. (Nodded head.)

A. That is something that I did repeatedly ask that question, and we were comfortable that it did not require it.

Q. And did you ask your counsel that question?

A. Yes, absolutely.

Q. Did you ask anyone else?

A. I don't think so.

Is that somebody with a baby? There's

somebody with a baby looking in.

Q. Did you ever meet with anyone who expressed concern about the racial impact of the Congressional maps?

A. I don't recall -- I don't recall anyone expressing that other than, perhaps, someone who spoke at the hearing.

Q. Any nonprofit organizations?

A. I -- I don't -- I don't know. I -- I would expect that they did.

Q. You don't recall --

(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)

A. I would have been surprised if they had not. We knew that was coming.

Q. Do you recall specifically?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Any constituents?

A. No, no constituents.

Q. Did you ever meet with anyone who expressed concern about the public input process to the maps?

A. That was something that the -- the paid lobbyists from the nonprofits would say a lot at the meetings, yes.

Q. Did you ever hear that from constituents,

not necessarily just your constituents, but Georgia residents who spoke at the town halls or contacted you?

A. I -- I do recall because it's -- the one committee meeting I watched, or it's one of the two, that there were members of the public who commented at the hearing after -- the second hearing after we presented the map.

Q. And what did they say?

A. One lady said that she had spoken and didn't want us to divide municipalities and she had spoken in general terms. And then she came back because her city of Dunwoody was divided and she didn't want it to be. That's the only one I -- I have -- have a specific recollection of.

Q. Did you ever meet with anyone who asked you to take into consideration the growth in the black community over the past decade?

A. Well, that's something that we did take into consideration and that's something that I would have expected, that there would be nonprofits asking us to do that. I just don't specifically remember but I'm -- I'm sure.

Q. Do you recall constituents asking you about that?

A. No.
Q. Did you meet with anyone that asked you to take into consideration growth in the Latino population over the past decade?
A. Again, the same answer as before. I expect -- I think -- I think there was a nonprofit that specifically asked about that, about the Latino population, yeah.
Q. And was that the Asian population?
A. Yeah, I think there probably was.
Q. Any constituents?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you ever meet with anyone who discussed racial demographics with you in connection with redistricting?
A. Like one of the lobbyists for the nonprofits?
Q. Yeah.
A. It probably was in the materials, I think I recall that, that the NAACP presented. They emailed them and mailed them to us. Yes, I think so.
Q. Did you meet with them?
A. We invited them, but I don't think they came.

Q. And outside of the hearing, did you meet with anyone one on one?

A. Oh, no, I -- no, I did not do that. There was...

Q. Did any constituents discuss racial demographics with you in connection with the redistricting?

A. I don't recall that.

Q. Any other legislators?

A. I don't recall that, no.

Q. Did any of the discussions with constituents or advocate groups affect your views about the Congressional maps?

A. No.

Q. What about the House maps?

A. No.

Q. Did you think that their comments just didn't generally have merit?

A. I felt like they were partisan.

Q. Did you look at the materials that they provided to you?

A. I did.

Q. And you didn't find them persuasive?

A. I did not. I did go so far as to even look up some of the people who presented them, and I

looked at their social media and they were very liberal. They were very partisan.

Q. Did you ever review public comments that were submitted through the redistricting committee's public portal about that Congressional map?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Regularly?

A. Somewhat regularly. I would do it in big chunks, and then toward the end it was more regular.

Q. Did you incorporate input from any of those comments into your views on the maps?

A. We did. The one that I remember, and it really came up in the -- in the town hall meetings, was about a municipality where we tried -- that was something we talked about was something that we could try to -- to do. And Gina did that for us, Gina Wright.

Q. Do you know if there was the capability to attach documents into the public portal, like alternative maps?

A. I -- I don't recall. But I do think that there were -- some of those paid lobbyists for the nonprofits mailed them to me and came to my office and dropped them off.

Q. Did you ever communicate with any other

legislators about the public -- or the LCRO office about the public feedback to the redistricting process?

A. Sometimes we -- we did, you know, because there were, you know, some consistent and -- some consistent requests, like the -- the municipalities. There were some other consistent requests that were really the ideals that were promulgated by Fair Lines or Fair Districts that just talked about changing it altogether. Another common one was turning it over to a commission.

So we -- we talked about that. And those weren't very helpful, and the name-calling wasn't very helpful. But what -- where there was legitimate, yeah, we -- we looked at them and -- and we considered what we could consider.

Q. And so to your recollection, that was mostly regarding communities of interest?

A. Some would be, yes. Yes. Some would be involving communities of interest. I know that there was some people from Peachtree City who expressed some concerns. And, unfortunately, I don't think we were able to do anything about that. I think there ended up being over a thousand comments.

Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you a few questions now just about the redistricting generally.

Have you ever received any training related to redistricting?

A. None other than the educational meeting that we had where we had various groups come and make presentations to us and then learned as I went.

Q. Do you recall who conducted those trainings?

A. I think I testified about this earlier, and it would be on the record from the actual committee hearing, but the NCSL was there, Georgia Fair Lines, maybe the ACLU, Aisha Yaqoob's group. I can't remember now what it's called. It's something about advancing Asian Americans maybe, something like that. I think they made a presentation.

Q. And what were the trainings focused on?

A. Well, the NCSL was the one that probably was really focused on training and educating us about the actual factors to be considered in redistricting.

Q. And what were the others?

A. It was their partisan viewpoint, for the most part, or their belief that the system needed to

be changed, the way that we do it.

Q. And would it be correct to assume that you did not put too much stock in those latter communications?

A. Whenever I could tell it was partisan, no, I did not.

Q. Was there training on the percentage of black voting age population necessary to give black voters an opportunity to elect candidates in particular districts?

A. No. Remember, we -- we're not the map drawers.

Q. And there was no training with that regard to Hispanic voters?

A. No. We -- we -- we're not map drawers. So part-time legislators did not receive training like that. That's why we have map drawers and that's why we have lawyers.

Q. Do you still have any of the materials that were provided to you --

A. By whom?

Q. -- in the training process?

A. Anything that was provided in that educational day would have been in the documents we produced. Yes.

Q. Did you refer to them at all throughout the redistricting process?

A. Not throughout. I would look at them when received, but it is not -- that was not a reference that I used, no.

Q. Did you receive any instruction on what factors could be considered during the redistricting process?

A. Well, we -- we learned something about that from NCSL and we used that in form- -- that and what had been used before in formulating our guidelines and adopting those.

Q. Did you receive any training on traditional redistricting principles beyond what we've already discussed?

A. No.

Q. Did any of the trainings from the educational day or any other trainings you received include any mention of race?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. In what context?

A. When the NCSL discussed the Voting Rights Act.

Q. And do you recall what they said about it?

A. I don't remember, no.

Q. Did any of the trainings discuss use of racial data in redistricting?

A. No.

Q. Did you -- as you were preparing for the special session, did you look at maps from any prior cycles?

A. I did not look at any for reference. People showed me some that were extreme that had been done in previous cycles.

Q. Okay. I'm going to mark another exhibit for identification, and I apologize I only have two copies, but this was also from the set of documents that was just produced to us on Monday, coming in a large hard-copy tranche.

And so the document begins LEGIS00020482, and I'm just going to ask you about the document ending in -20487. But -- but you can take a look at the first few pages just to give yourself some background on this.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. Next I want to look at the page -20487.

Do you recall ever looking at this on the 2010 map?

A. I don't have specific recollection, no.

Q. Do you recall generally looking at the -- the 2010 maps?

A. Generally, yes.

Q. If you look at the districts on the -- the sort of middle left, 34, 44, 46, 45, 37, that area --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- do you see some of them are marked "D"; some of them are marked "R"?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you make those notations?

A. It looks like my handwriting, yes.

Q. And do those indicate Democratic and Republican voting districts?

A. Yes, they do.

Q. Why did you make those notations?

A. I don't remember why I did it in this particular instance, but, again, this is ultimately a partisan process, so.

Q. Okay. Okay. What is your understanding of the intent of the Voting Rights Act?

A. I believe -- I have a very simple

understanding, and it's just meant to ensure that people of other -- races other than white, and ultimately maybe it will also protect white people, that they have the right to vote and represent -- and elect a representative of their choice.

Q. What is your understanding of the history of the Voting Rights Act?

A. I don't really have an understanding.

Q. Did you ever receive any instruction or training on the Voting Rights Act?

A. No.

Q. What is your understanding of the connection between the Voting Rights Act and redistricting?

A. That we have to comply with the Voting Rights Act.

Q. And how was that done, to your understanding?

A. I -- I -- I don't know. Our map drawers in conjunction with our counsel ensure that we do that.

Q. To your understanding, does the Voting Rights Act allows a state legislature to consider racial considerations in determining voting districts?

A. Well, I would assume it has to in order to comply with the Voting Rights Act. But that's just a very commonsense understanding. It's not because I know anything about the Voting Rights Act that I've seen in a whitepaper somewhere.

Q. Are you aware that until 2013 Georgia was required to seek preclearance before enacting any redistricting legislation?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you aware that the preclearance requirement was based on the Voting Rights Act?

A. Yes.

Q. Did the fact that Georgia was no longer required to preclear its redistricting plans impact your approach in the redistricting process?

A. Not at all.

Q. Would that change the approach of the committee in any way?

A. No.

Q. In terms of its process or policies or procedure?

A. No.

Q. Did it change the approach of the House as a whole?

A. No.

Q. During your time on the committee, did anyone reference the fact that Georgia was not longer required to seek preclearance?

A. I definitely heard that from some of the lobbyists for the nonprofits who spoke.

Q. What did they say about it?

A. They just -- they just commented that that was the case and they didn't like it.

Q. Did any legislators reference that?

A. No.

Q. Did anyone during your time as Chair, did anyone mention to you anything that was different in this redistricting cycle from the previous redistricting cycle in 2010?

A. I'm sorry. I don't -- I don't understand.

Q. Sure. Did any other legislators discuss with you any difference between the 2020 redistricting process and the 2010 redistricting process?

A. Nothing specific to the process. Legislators who had been here a long time would remark that it has -- that the political climate had gotten ugly and bitter and personal and that was a new development and they thanked me for doing this job.

Q. Are you aware of whether the Redistricting Committee hired counsel in 2010?

A. I don't know but I would assume they did.

Q. And would you know who that counsel was?

A. No, I wouldn't.

Q. Are you aware of any policies or procedures that governed the redistricting process in 2010 that were no longer used in 2020?

A. No.

Q. Okay. We're going to talk now for a minute about the town hall meetings.

In the summer of 2021, did you take part in any in-person meetings with constituents to collect feedback on Congressional redistricting?

A. Yes.

Q. What about State House redistricting?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to mark now another exhibit. I apologize. I've forgotten the number.

COURT REPORTER: It will be 14.

MR. MELLMAN: Thank you.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: Y'all have window washers.

I have babies.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And do you recognize this document?

A. Yes. It appears to be a press release.

Q. And what is it -- what is it discussing?

A. The town hall meeting.

Q. Okay. And do you see a date on there?

A. I see the date it was printed. Oh, June 16, 2021.

Q. Okay. And so do you agree that this document shows that from June 28 through August 11 the House and Senate committees held town halls in Georgia about the redistricting process?

A. Yes.

Q. And you recall that happening?

A. Yes.

Q. You recall the town halls taking place?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. And as we discussed before, the full census wasn't released until September.

So these town hall meetings took place before the census data was fully released?

A. Yes.

Q. Why was that?

A. In order to visit as many places in the

state, we needed to take care of this during the summer months. Traditionally, it was held earlier than this. So, as I mentioned, we're all part-time legislators with full-time jobs, and we needed to -- to do this early in order to get it done.

Q. Did you feel that you were able to receive useful information even before the census data had been released?

A. Yes.

Q. And what kind of information?

A. Information about communities of interest. I think I told you that, you know, we recognized some of them were just overwhelming. When we went to Pickens County, I'm trying to think -- I can't specifically recall others, but we definitely did hear from people who talked about their communities and how important it was to keep certain areas together.

And they would give the reasons why. You know, like, they shared, you know, their community parks or they had a city that was in both counties. That sort of thing. Also produced to you were all of my -- I -- I took notes at every one of those. So I wrote down what everybody said so that I could internalize them at the time which I did at the

time. I just can't remember now.

Q. And how were these dates selected?

A. They revolved around the schedules mostly for John Kennedy and me and our -- our law practice.

Q. And so was it you and Senator Kennedy that selected the dates?

A. Yes.

Q. Anyone else?

A. Sometimes it would depend on what date the venue was available. There may have been some that our media department, you know, had because they had to be there and have a certain staff there. So I know that it -- it got tweaked after we put in our -- our first request.

Q. Were any other legislators involved in selecting the dates?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Or any LCRO staff?

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. How were the locations for the town halls selected?

A. We took the locations that had been used in previous redistricting processes and mostly went from that. I think we added a north metro area, but for the most part, it's the same as it had been

before.

Q. All right. I'm going to introduce Exhibit 15 now.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. And it begins LEGIS00002902.

Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes.

Q. And is this an email exchange between you and Senator Kennedy?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. And some others down the line as well?

A. Yes.

Q. And it's dated May 27, 2021?

A. Yes.

Q. And it looks to be a discussion of where to hold the town halls?

A. Yes.

Q. Looking at the end of the first paragraph, you say "We liked having it somewhere in Forsyth County -- maybe Cumming?"

A. Yes.

Q. After looking at the previous document, it appears you did ultimately have a hearing in

Cumming?

A. Yes, we did.

Q. You remember that?

Can you just describe the rationale for choosing that area?

A. Yeah. We have a lot of new growth in that area. Forsyth County was an area that had a lot of new growth. Cumming is an area that is situated kind of in the middle of those northern suburbs, and Jan Jones and I both represent constituents from up in the area. We both represent Repub- -- Republican districts within Democrat counties.

So those constituents oftentimes feel unheard because they're not represented at the county level. And we thought it was important for us to -- to hear from them. Most, if not every single other area that we went to, was predominantly Democrat.

Q. Looking at the -- the paragraph below the list of counties there or locations, you say "It looks like, from the map anyway, we need to choose a place to have a hearing somewhere in the northeast Georgia mountains."

Why did you want -- want to have a hearing there?

A. Because it looked like we were not giving those folks a convenient place to join us, and I think we ultimately ended up -- we did not end up having one up there.

Q. And then the next line you say "I think Athens would be an important place to have one as well."

Why is that?

A. Because that's a -- kind of a -- just we looked at the map and looked at the -- the population centers around the state and that looked like an area that would be one that a lot of people could get to from, you know, surrounding neighborhoods.

Q. And I see you did hold one in Atlanta.

But you did not hold any in DeKalb County, Cobb County, or Gwinnett County; is that right?

A. Right. And we did hold one in Athens. I don't know that it's listed here, but we did ultimately hold one in Athens.

Q. Okay. Why didn't you -- DeKalb, Cobb and Gwinnett are three of the more populous counties in Georgia; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. So why did you not hold a hearing in any

of those counties?

A. Well, for DeKalb, there are parts of DeKalb in Atlanta and we had a hearing in Atlanta within a few miles of, I mean, single digits, of the DeKalb County line.

I live in Gwinnett County. I represented all my -- all my constituents lived in Gwinnett County. But, like I said, Cumming was kind of in between that northern metro arc. So it was just kind of a central location. Again, probably 5 miles from my Gwinnett County address.

Q. Did you become aware of any concerns of harassment of members of the public who spoke at the town halls?

A. Yes.

Q. What concerns did you become aware of?

A. Well, Cindy Battles. We knew her. She came to every meeting and spoke. She was probably one of our most vocal-impassioned speakers and, not surprisingly, she got into some kind of voice altercation with someone who was equally as passionate as she was. And she emailed me about it.

Q. And so did you take any action related to that?

A. I can't remember. Whatever happened was

outlined in the email.

Q. Okay. And so at the town halls did you or other legislators answer questions from Georgia residents?

A. No.

Q. Were any requests made to you that information about the redistricting process be provided in languages other than English?

A. Yes.

Q. What happened as a result of those requests?

A. That's something we talked about and, ultimately, that's just not the way our committees work here in the State House and the State Senate. We don't translate and we didn't have the resources to do that, and there was just no practical way to -- to accomplish that goal.

Q. Did you look into doing that?

A. No, we -- we didn't. We -- we -- we all discussed it and ultimately decided that it was not a reasonable request.

Q. "We all" meaning the members of the committee?

A. Yes.

Q. Just the House Committee or the Senate

committee as well?

A. Oh, I don't know what the Senate committee did. The House.

Q. Do you know if any of the town hall locations were inaccessible to people with disabilities?

A. It's my understanding that none of them were. I did receive an email from someone claiming that the Augusta location was not accessible. But I brought that up to the legislator who arranged this, and he went to the venue and, ultimately, that was a false claim, is what was reported to me.

Q. Were there any other redistricting town halls held after the release of the census data?

A. I do not recall.

Q. To your knowledge, was the August 11, 2021, town hall the last one?

A. If that's what our records show on the Redistricting Committee website. I -- I don't have independent recollection.

Q. Did you publish any redistricting information in languages other than English?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall the date that the census released the results of the 2020 census?

A. I don't.
Q. Okay. I'm going to mark Exhibit 16. This is just a...
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. MELLMAN:
Q. And what is this document?
A. It looks like a printout that someone made from the United States government outlining the census timeline for --
Q. Were you following --
A. -- 2020.
Q. -- the census timeline at the time that the results were being released?
A. I was being updated by our Reapportionment Office.
Q. As -- as they received the data or received updates?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And according to this timeline, the census data was not initially released until August 12. It's on the second page.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recall that -- receiving that data on August 12?

A. I recall the Reapportionment Office talking to us about that. I think that might be that legacy data that I referenced earlier.

Q. And looking at the -- the next bullet there it appears that the final redistricting data was released September 16?

COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, Mr. Mellman. You have to get closer to the microphone.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. It appears the final redistricting data was released September 16?

A. Yes.

Q. Why is the census data important in drawing districts, to your knowledge?

A. Well, to my knowledge, that's -- that's what's used to determine where the growth and population retraction are. So that guides how you draw the maps.

Q. And so you agree that before seeing the census data, the public wouldn't be able to know which areas of the state had grown and which had shrunk?

A. No, I don't agree with that. Informed people already knew that generally there was a lot of growth in the metro Atlanta area and that there

was population retraction in the southwestern part of the state.

Q. But without the data, you wouldn't be able to tell exactly how much growth and where it occurred; right?

A. Not exactly. There were estimates that, I think, ultimately weren't that far off, but yeah, you couldn't know exactly what to do. You just knew generally the areas of the state that would be probably losing a district.

Q. And so there were no town halls even after the initial census data was released on August 12; right?

A. I -- I -- if that's what our website shows, then there were not.

Q. Why did you not plan any town halls for after the release of the census data?

A. Well, we had talked about having a virtual one, but I actually ended up having a family tragedy and it took me out for a little while, from about August the 12th or so until I came back for redistrict- -- redistricting.

Q. And when did you come back --

A. When we came back for --

Q. -- the session?

A. Yeah. I think I -- I was able to handle some meetings virtually with members. That's -- that's I think all I did.

Q. Until the beginning of the special session?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall how the date of August 11 was chosen for the final town hall?

A. I don't remember. I know we were trying to get them done before school went back because we knew that that would be a competing interest with back-to-school nights and kids' sports and practices and things like that. So I know that was a goal.

Q. Were you aware at the time that the census data was going to come out on August 12?

A. I -- I don't remember that. I was just updated about it by the Reapportionment Office.

Q. When you were planning the town halls, did you have the timeline for the release of the census data in mind at all?

A. No. I mean, yes and no in that we -- we wanted to try to get as much of the whole process completed as we could. We knew we were late on it, later than typical previous redistricting periods or processes. So I -- I really -- I just -- that

period of time is very fuzzy.

Q. You said you had estimates of the population growth and contraction.

Did you use those estimates in any way before receiving the census results?

A. We -- we did in -- when we were considering the areas to go to for the town halls. That's why you see big spaces, geographic spaces, between the towns that we visited in the southern part of the state. Whereas, up in the northern metro area, they're kind of close together. You know, Atlanta, Cumming, Dalton. Those are all -- Athens, all kind of close together. But down in those -- the bottom part of the state, we had long trips in between.

Q. Were those estimates used at all in the map-drawing process before the full census data was released?

A. I don't think so, but I don't know because I wasn't drawing the maps. So I don't know what kind of head start may have been gotten. I really don't know.

Q. Okay. Once the census data was released in August, did you review it to see how it would affect the House and Congressional districts?

A. No.
Q. What about in September of 2021?
A. No.
Q. Did you review it to assess your own district?
A. I don't think I did. I was very preoccupied.
Q. Once the census data was released, did you communicate about that with the LCRO?
A. Not really, no.
Q. Or Senator Kennedy's office?
A. No.
Q. So are you aware of the changes in racial demographics in Georgia between the 2010 and 2020 census?
A. I do know that we have had an increase in diversity.
Q. Do you know more specifically than that?
A. I don't. I'm -- I'm sure at the time I -- I knew a little more specifically than this.
Q. So are you aware that the overall Georgia voting age population grew about 14 percent between 2010 and 2020?
A. If that's what's reported, I don't have any reason not to believe that.

Q. And the voting age black population grew from -- grew approximately 24 percent?

A. Okay. I accept that.

Q. Do you recall learning that information after reviewing the census results?

A. I remember learning information and the numbers. I -- I just don't have a specific recollection as to what those numbers are.

Q. Okay.

A. But I do know that there was -- there was an increase in the black voting age population, yes.

MR. MELLMAN: Okay. Okay. I think we can take a break now.

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:53. We are going off the video record.

(Off the record.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: 3:02. We are back on video record.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Okay. Representative Rich, did any of the minority party legislators raise concerns with you about the fact that the redistricting town halls and information were only in English?

A. I don't recall any of our legislators bringing that to my attention, no.

Q. So the only communications you received about it were via email by constituents?

A. Yes. There could have been some Democrat legislators who were running for other offices who spoke about it publicly, but I don't recall anyone coming to me about it.

Q. And regarding interactions with the Georgia NAACP, did you come to understand that there was a mixup regarding the invitation to speak with you?

A. Yes. Because they -- they went to great lengths to get us written prop- -- the written presentation. Yeah.

Q. And they did, in fact, submit a written presentation?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you review that presentation?

A. I did.

Q. Did you adopt any of the recommendations made in it?

A. I don't know if we did or not.

Q. Do you recall any that you made?

A. No, I don't recall.

Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to go back to the document labeled LEGIS00000312. It's

redistricting principles. It's towards the beginning. It might have been Exhibit -- somewhere from -- in the first few.

A. Okay.

Q. And those are the redistricting principles you abided by as committee Chair?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I'm just going to ask you about a few of the principles.

For compliance with Section 2 of the VRA as amended, do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. What is your understanding of that principle?

A. I don't have an understanding other than we will comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

Q. And, to your knowledge, was this principle followed throughout the redistricting process?

A. Yes, it was of utmost importance.

Q. And how is that done?

A. The map drawers in consultation with our attorneys reviewed the -- the lines, the demographic data that's pertinent.

Q. Going a few bullets down, the one that

says "The committee should consider county and precinct boundaries, compactness, and community interest," do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is your understanding of that principle?

A. That we would try to keep counties and precincts as whole as possible; keep districts as compact as possible so that they're not long, skinny shaped, for example; and then try to keep the -- the communities of interests together where those exist.

Q. And, to your understanding, was that followed throughout the redistricting process?

A. Well, as best as we could. It -- it would be probably impossible to follow every single principle with respect to all 180 districts.

Q. And how was that principle followed?

What -- what steps did you take to ensure the principle was followed?

A. Well, we -- we relied on -- on Gina Wright and her staff to have as few precinct and county breaks as possible, and she -- she did a good job and she worked for hours and she would whittle it down. She would have so many breaks and then she would work on it some more and she'd say, "I got rid

of however many more." So it was a constant work in progress for her.

Q. And do you know if the public was able to obtain information from the LCRO about the redistricting process?

A. The Reapportionment Office posts information on their website, yes.

Q. Could a member of the public ask to see any information other than what was posted on the website?

A. I really don't know.

Q. Was the minority party able to obtain information about the map drawing process.

COURT REPORTER: About the? I'm sorry?

MR. MELLMAN: Map drawing process.

THE WITNESS: I really don't know.

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Do you know if any legislators from the minority party were able to look at the maps prior to their publication?

A. I don't know.

Q. Had any minority legislators, minority party legislators, asked you if they could look at the maps prior to publication?

A. I don't know. If -- if they would have, I

couldn't have told them yes because I didn't have access to them either.

Q. But you could have asked the LCRO?

A. I could have, but I don't think I could have gotten them. I had a hard time getting time with -- with their office as well.

Q. Okay. I'm going to show you last exhibit, and it's identified as CC-GNGC00004299.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. MELLMAN:

Q. Have you ever seen this publication before?

A. No.

Q. I'll represent to you this document was produced to us in this litigation by the Georgia Secretary of State's office.

If you just go to that second page, can you please read aloud the last bullet?

A. "Consider possible litigation, preclearance could possibly come back into play. (More on preclearance later)."

Q. What is your understanding of the meaning of this bullet?

A. I have no idea.

Q. Okay. Do you know why preclearance might come back into play?
A. I do not.
Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you now to go to page 7 that's identified on the bottom by -4306.
A. Okay.
Q. And if you look at the middle bullet, could you read that aloud?
A. "Prohibition on using partisan data: Line drawers, whether they be commissioners, non-partisan staff or legislators are prohibited from using" -- sorry, I looked away -- "incumbent residences, election results, party."
Q. Was partisan data relied on during the redistricting process?
A. It's my understanding that it was.
Q. Was any of this particular partisan data?
A. I don't know what -- oh, I do know we relied on incumbent residences. I don't know that that was considered partisan, but that was something that both parties submitted, their incumbent's addresses to be considered.
Q. What about election results?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And party?

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Representative Rich, do you have anything else to say that bears on these topics that we have now discussed today?
A. No.
Q. All right. Do you know if the map drawers considered political performance data in drawing the maps?
A. I don't really know what political performance data is.
Q. How particular candidates performed.
A. I think that would be the election results, so I think so, yes.
Q. Okay. Okay.
MR. MELLMAN: I have no other questions, but I believe some of my colleagues may.
MR. JAUGSTETTER: Well, if nobody wants to ask any questions, we'll conclude.
MR. TYSON: I have -- I have a few.
MR. JAUGSTETTER: Okay.
MR. TYSON: But yeah.
MS. SIVARAM: Hi -- hi. This is Anuradha Sivaram from Wilmer Hale. I know that we had an agreement that the Alpha plaintiffs and the Pendergrass plaintiffs might be able to ask

questions if all is done from the common cause side, but I didn't want to jump in if -- if we wanted to keep that after -- after other questions from the State.

MR. JAUGSTETTER: You can go ahead, yeah.

EXAMINATION

BY-MS. SIVARAM:

Q. Thank you, and yeah. I'll -- I'll announce my appearance a little more slowly.

My name is Anuradha Sivaram and I am an attorney at Wilmer Hale representing plaintiffs in the Alpha Phi Alpha versus Raffensperger litigation. That is a separate case from the common cause matter, but it is involving a section 2 VRA challenge, and we've kind of coordinated with -- with the parties, you know, spearheading the -- the deposition today to be able to also ask questions for our matter, in which we've issued a subpoena, all in -- all in one day so that we, you know, don't -- don't make you come back on -- on a separate day, as much as possible. So mindful of your time and -- and gracious for everyone's cooperation.

I'll ask a few questions that we had in our case, taking the best care possible not to

duplicate any questions. So I just have a few questions that draw on some of the scenes that we -- that have been covered already by -- by others.

So were you aware of the total number of majority black state House districts in any previously enacted state maps before you entered this redistricting cycle?

A. From reviewing my notes that I've used to make my committee statements and my statements in the chamber, it appears that I was aware that there were 48 Voting Rights Acts protected districts in a previous redistricting period.

Q. Great. And did you compare the number of additional majority black districts in the maps set forth by the LCRO as -- as compared to the -- the previously enacted maps?

A. Are you calling the majority black, is that something different than Voting Rights Act protected?

Q. These are -- these are districts in which there is a -- a measured population majority of which are black voting age population.

A. So I -- I really -- I guess I -- I shouldn't have answered the way that I did then. I -- I understood them to be Voting Rights Act

protected. I don't know if -- I don't know if they're majority black or not.

Q. Got it.

And what do you -- what did you mean by Voting Rights Act protected?

A. Those were districts where lines were drawn so as to give a population, a particular race, an opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice. I don't really know what the trigger is for that or just -- or tipping point, I think, is what was used earlier.

Q. Understood. But you -- you calculated 48 of those protected districts in a previous map?

A. That were Voting Rights Act protected, yes. That's what -- that's what I was told by my lawyer.

Q. And were -- did you -- did you do any comparison to how many of -- of those kinds of districts were in the map that the LCRO was putting forth?

A. So, yeah, in my -- in my comments that I made in the committee and on the -- on the -- in the chamber, I noted that we had one more. So we had 49 Voting Rights Act protected districts. And I -- I don't know if I asked my lawyer that or if he just

volunteered it, but I got that information from the lawyer. I didn't analyze it myself.

Q. No problem.

You mentioned a -- a little bit about how you had gotten demographic information during the redistricting cycle.

And did that include information on demographic changes across the State of Georgia?

A. I don't -- I don't think it included changes. I think it just included the results from the census.

Q. And did it -- did those results reflect the change in demographics of particular races from the past redistricting cycle?

A. So I didn't understand your question because there was a delay in the video, but I -- I didn't -- I didn't review the census data myself.

Q. Got it.

And so were you aware of the change in Georgia's black population between 2010 and 2020 and the -- and the change in white population at that time when you were evaluating the proposed state House and Senate maps?

A. I knew that there was an increase in black voting age population.

Q. Got it. And did the House Committee or did you ever think or discuss whether that population change required an adjustment in the number of majority black districts to avoid diluting the vote of black Georgians in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act?

A. That was one of the specific reasons that we retained counsel, was for him to perform that analysis for us.

Q. Great. And so were you aware of the change in Georgia's black population in the metro Atlanta region; so, you know, Fayette, Spalding, Clayton, DeKalb, Newton, those counties?

A. I -- it is my understanding that there was an increase in the black population in those areas.

Q. And -- and same question.

Did -- did the -- did you or the committee every evaluate whether that change would require creating one or more additional majority black districts in the area compared to what existed in the prior map to avoid diluting black Georgians' votes in violation of section 2 of the Voting Rights Act?

A. So the committee conducted hearings on bills. We retained counsel and we have map drawers

to perform the analysis that you're discussing.

Q. And did the committee specifically direct the -- the LCRO to analyze that question or?

A. No, we did not specifically direct them. We held committee meetings. I, as a member of the committee and as the Chair, I asked our lawyer to confirm whether we are complying with the Constitution and the Voting Rights Act. But the committee didn't do that. House Committees hold hearings on bills.

Q. Thank you. And so same question.

Were you aware of the change in Georgia's black population in areas west of the Augusta region?

A. I don't have any recollection of that. I may have been made aware of it during the special section, but I don't remember it here today.

Q. No problem.

And, similarly, did you look at the change in Georgia's black population, you know, from what it was in 2010 in the southwest of the state; so in and around Muscogee, Marion, Stuart, Webster, those counties?

A. I -- I did not. The map drawers and our lawyer did. I just held committee hearings on the

bills.

Q. Great. And you mentioned that you had seen proposals from, maybe, the -- the Democratic caucuses.

Did you do a comparison of how many, you know, majority black or -- or Voting-Rights-protected districts were in those maps with -- with the ones ultimately that were put forth by the legislature?

A. We held a committee meeting on that bill that contained the Democrat caucus's maps where we asked questions and reviewed the map.

Q. Great.

Did the committee discuss why it did not choose to draw any additional majority black districts than it eventually did even though the black population had increased in the state?

A. The -- my attorney had told me that we drew the districts that were required by the Voting Rights Act.

Q. Great. I have a couple more questions.

One is are you familiar with the term "black belt" as it's used in Georgia?

A. No.

Q. You've never heard of the black belt?

A. No. As in karate? No.

Q. So I'll -- I'll represent that the black belt is used -- a term used to refer to a region stretching from the eastern side of Georgia around Augusta across the state towards the southwest. Historically had a large enslaved population and had an economy based on cotton agriculture.

Is that a term that you have come across --

(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)

A. No, it's not.

Q. And could you describe to me the southern metropolitan Atlanta region, what it's like demographically?

A. No. I live in and represent a community in the very north metropolitan area. Georgia is a big state. We have 11 million people. I -- I don't -- I can't sit here and recite the demographics of various regions. I know where I live.

Q. Yeah. And would you be able to describe the -- the area where you live and where you represent?

A. Yeah. Gwinnett County is the most diverse county in the state. We have a very high Asian

population, Latino population, black population. It's minority white, I think, the whole county.

Q. Got it.

And do you think that -- are there any specific industries or other types of industries in that area that define your district?

A. No. I live in a -- a bedroom community. It's mostly people live there and they commute into the city to work. It's not really known for its own industry. We have a Starbucks and grocery stores. We don't even have a Target. It's -- it's very much -- very limited to the people who live there, the little stores that they need.

Q. Yeah. And you -- you used the term "bedroom community."

What does that mean?

A. I said what it means, that people live there and commute into the city somewhere else to work.

Q. Great. And is a bedroom community a -- a defining feature of -- that could define a community of interest in that area?

A. I don't know that -- I mean, I guess it could. You know, we -- we have areas devoted to parks, probably more so than inside of a -- a city.

It -- we don't have anything to attract people who don't live there. Where in the part of the county that I live in it's just really homes and, like I said, parks and our grocery store.

Q. And -- and it's not different from the -- the characterization of, for example, a southern Atlanta metro region, would that not have bedroom communities?

A. I don't know. I mean, I really don't know. But I can compare it to other parts of my county, where our county seat -- that's where we have a theater, where they have live plays. We have, you know, more major restaurants. That sort of thing. Things that might attract people who -- from all over the county.

Q. Great. And just a few more questions.

Were you a member of the Republican party the whole time you served in the general assembly?

A. Yes.

Q. And have you always considered yourself a Republican?

A. Yes, I have, since I was old enough to form an opinion.

Q. Okay. And in your experience, do the views of the Democratic party in Georgia generally

differ from views of the average Republican party member in Georgia?

A. How do our views different?

Q. Do they differ?

A. Yes, yes.

Q. And in your experience, do the views of Democratic party members differ from the views of the standard Republican party member when it comes to removing, for example, confederate monuments in public spaces?

A. I don't think so.

Q. And, so, would the average Democratic voter be just as likely to want to or not want to remove a confederate statue as the average Republican voter?

A. You know, I -- I don't really know. I do know that I know Republicans and Democrats have different views both ways on it. It's very -- very divisive kind of issue people are very opinionated about.

Q. So in your experience, do the views of members of the Democratic party in Georgia differ from the views of Republican party members in Georgia when it comes to affirmative action?

A. No. I think that's another one that

you -- you have a lot of people from both parties. I'll tell you where I think they differ. Fiscal policy. Big government versus small government.

Q. Yeah. And do you --

A. Pro life --

Q. Are there any other --

(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)

A. -- pro life versus abortion at nine months. Those are the areas where -- where Republicans and democrats differ. Here in Georgia we agree on probably 90 percent of the legislation that we pass.

Q. Got it. And are there any other general issues that -- that have a -- have a difference in the average democrat or Republican voter that you can think of?

A. No. I -- I think in Georgia the biggest one is going to be fiscal policy and the size of our government and the control that our government has over our private life.

Q. And -- and abortion?

A. Yes.

Q. Great.

A. Although, most people agree on abortion within a certain time period. I think it's the --

the later months and the earlier months where you get the extremists from both parties who disagree. I'd say that most Georgians fall in the middle.

Q. Great. Those are all the questions I have. I thank you for your time.

A. Thank you.

MR. TYSON: Anybody else on Zoom have questions?

MS. WEST: Yes, thank you. We just had a couple of follow-up questions. This is Hartley West from Dechert for Common Cause. And -- and thank you. This will just take a moment.

EXAMINATION

BY-MS. WEST:

Q. The question is were any notes taken by the LCRO during meetings?

A. I don't know. I'm not a member of that office.

Q. Have you ever been told of any notes having been taken?

A. No. I would never -- I wouldn't think that they would ever do that. I've never seen them, but...

Q. Okay. And, I guess, just specifically with regard to the suggestions that were received

regarding redistricting, are you aware of any notes having been taken specifically regard to those?

A. I took copious notes in every town hall meeting and they've been produced.

Q. Was that a common practice of others, if you know?

A. I don't know.

Q. What did you do with your own notes?

A. I put them in a file.

Q. And where are those maintained?

A. They were in my office at the Capitol, and when I moved out of my office at the Capitol in December, I moved them to my home. And I have produced all of those.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. TYSON: Anybody else on Zoom?

MR. JONES: Hi, everyone. This is Mike Jones of the Elias Law Group representing the Pendergrass and Grant plaintiffs. I just want to let you know that we have no questions for Representative Rich at this time.

MR. TYSON: All right. Well, this is Brian Tyson for the defendants. I just have a few questions if there's nobody else on Zoom.

EXAMINATION

BY-MR. TYSON:

Q. Representative Rich, thank you for your time today. I know this is a -- a lot to carry. I just have a few things I wanted to clarify on the process.

You were asked earlier about the difference in, kind of, preclearance going away in Georgia.

You never worked on the redistricting in Georgia when preclearance was in effect; right?

A. That is correct.

Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of Exhibit 12 again, just your notes from the hearings, and I want to ask just a few questions about process, that you had there.

In terms of the process of working through the -- the redistricting in Georgia, fair to say you started with the public hearings; right?

A. Correct.

Q. And drove, I think, over a thousand miles around the state?

A. That's right.

Q. And do you recall approximately how many hours you spent in public hearings total?

A. According to the notes that I have where -- that I used to speak from during redistricting, 88 -- I allocated 88 hours to meet with members of parties.

Q. Okay.

A. Are you asking me about -- oh, public hearings. 15 hours in public hears.

Q. 15 hours in public hearings?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And over 300 people spoke at those hearings; right?

A. That's right.

Q. And each meeting started with an educational video about the redistricting process?

A. Right.

Q. And you mentioned meeting with members.

Did you try to meet with every member of the House?

A. I did. I sent -- I did send four separate emails to every single member of the House, and I would -- I met with over 100 people, I believe, because, I think, over 100 or right at 100 Republicans alone met with me.

Q. And you wanted to meet with all the Republicans and Democrats; right?

A. I did.
Q. And I believe you said earlier, the Democratic members except for a handful of them didn't show up for meetings or try to meet with you.
A. Correct.
Q. And there was a portal that was made to receive public comments as well?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you received, I guess, hundreds of comments at that portal?
A. I think at last count it was in the 900s and I thought it went over 1,000.
Q. And all those were made available to members to review; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you -- you reviewed those comments, as you said?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And we had some discussion about the education data the committees hold.
Do you recall inviting a variety of different groups interested in redistricting?
A. I did.
Q. In both political parties?
A. Yes, both parties.

Q. And the National Conference of State Legislatures?

A. That is correct.

Q. And the guidelines for the committee were adopted after that educational process; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. In the map drawing process, I know you talked about you primarily did that in the Reapportionment Office with Ms. Wright or with Mr. Knight; right?

A. Correct.

Q. Was political data generally displayed as you looked at different districts?

A. The political data, if you mean the election results, yes.

Q. And so it's fair to say you were aware of the partisan impact of district lines and you looked at various drafts?

A. Yes.

Q. When you held the committee meetings during the special session, did you generally receive public comment at those meetings as well?

A. I -- I did not at the very first meeting where Leader Beverly and I both presented our maps

in depth. There -- it was a long meeting already, so we had held public commentary at the next meeting.

Q. Do you recall a situation where a map was presented and between the time it was first presented and the time the committee voted there was no public comment allowed?

A. I -- I don't.

Q. So, generally, you allowed public comment at some meeting about each map that was presented?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you hold a hearing on every Democratic proposal that any democratic legislator asked to you hear?

A. Yes. Anything that was filed as a bill, which it has to be to be heard in a committee meeting in the House.

Q. And I think you included a couple of proposals from the Democratic Leader Beverly, as well?

A. That is right.

Q. Representative Rich, I guess it's fair to say this is a difficult process and a lot of competing interests.

Is that fair?

A. Yes.
Q. And did you do your best to balance all the different factors that were bearing on you as the Chair of the committee in providing the redistricting maps?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Well, thank you so much. Those are all the questions I have.
A. Thank you.
MR. MELLMAN: Thanks. I do have a couple more. You want me to go?
EXAMINATION
BY-MR. MELLMAN:
Q. Okay. Very briefly. Thank you for your time.
Regarding that educational session that we discussed where the National Conference of State Legislatures appeared, did any Republican organizations attend that session?
A. I don't think they did. I -- I don't think so.
Q. Do you know why not?
A. I don't know why.
Q. Did you invite any?
A. Yes, we did.

Q. And did they respond to you?
A. I think they just politely declined.
Q. Did you communicate with any of them or any other representatives outside of the public hearing?
A. No.
COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you, Mr. Mellman.
MR. MELLMAN: I said that's all we have. Thank you.
COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the deposition. The time is 3:37. We are going off video record.
(Deposition concluded at 3:37 p.m.)
(Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or O.C.G.A. 9-11-30(e), signature of the witness has been reserved.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF GEORGIA:

COUNTY OF FULTON:

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages represent a true, complete, and correct transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing, and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.

Lee Ann Barnes

LEE ANN BARNES, CCR B-1852, RPR, CRR, CRC

PATRICK JAGSTETTER, ESQ.
patrickj@jarrard-davis.com

FEBRUARY 2, 2023

RE: GA ST. CONF. OF NAACP V. STATE OF GEORGIA
JANUARY 18, 2023, BONNIE RICH, JOB NO. 5667885
The above-referenced transcript has been
completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and
review of the transcript is being handled as follows:

__ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext to schedule a time to review the original transcript at a Veritext office.

__ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked .PDF Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all appearing counsel within the period of time determined at the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure.

__ Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature as determined at the deposition.

__ Signature Waived – Reading & Signature was waived at the time of the deposition.

_X_ Federal R&S Requested (FRCP 30(e)(1)(B)) – Locked .PDF Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all appearing counsel within the period of time determined at the deposition or provided by the Federal Rules.

__ Federal R&S Not Requested - Reading & Signature was not requested before the completion of the deposition.

GA ST. CONF. OF NAACP V. STATE OF GEORGIA
BONNIE RICH (#5667885)

E R R A T A S H E E T

PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____

_____ _____
WITNESS Date

&

& 2:5,16 220:23 221:9

1

1 1:17 6:4,6 14:25 33:5,23 34:9,13 60:2 68:2 154:23 155:17,22 156:2 221:1
1,000 214:12
10 3:16 7:3 73:7,14 74:20 129:13,19,24 135:16,21 153:4,5
100 51:11 72:25 73:8 152:14 213:21 213:22,22
1001 2:6
10:22 67:3
10:37 67:6
11 7:7 73:7 141:13 142:19 142:20 175:11 183:16 187:7 205:17
11/17 6:23
110 6:16
11:31 108:25
12 7:9,11 154:2 184:22,25 186:12 187:15 212:13
12034 219:19
12:50 109:4
12th 186:21
13 7:10 169:20
130 6:19,22
135 7:3
14 7:4,5,13 135:14,24,25 136:2 137:5,12 137:16,17 138:21 139:10 140:18 141:20 142:4,13 143:11,15,18 144:10 145:5,9 153:21 174:21 174:23 189:22
142 7:7
15 7:16 12:15 26:7 131:15 178:3,4 213:7 213:8
1500 2:12 33:12
154 7:9
16 7:18 33:9 131:14 175:9 184:2,4 185:6 185:11
1600 3:6,21
169 7:10
17 7:20 153:16 195:9
174 7:13
178 7:16
18 1:20,21 8:1 8:8 131:16 220:5
180 51:13 155:17 193:16
184 7:18
1852 219:20
1852b 1:23
1875 3:11
195 7:20
1965 69:10
198 5:4
1:21 1:8
1:35 144:3
1:50 144:7

2

2 6:5 59:15,16 69:9 80:18 86:12 87:17 88:25 89:11 123:17,19 125:5 192:10 192:16 198:14 202:6,22 220:3
20 16:3
200 3:22
20001 3:11
20002-4253 3:17
20004 2:6
20005 2:13
2010 126:24 146:14 148:12 149:25 150:15 151:8 170:2,5 173:14,18 174:2,8 189:14 189:23 201:20 203:21
2011 7:11
2013 172:6
2018 15:18 43:22
2019 16:3,25
202.624.2706 2:7
202.662.8600 2:13
202.663.6589 3:12
202.985.1752 3:17
2020 7:18 17:19 19:13 50:20 56:20 68:10 173:17 174:8 183:25 184:12 189:14 189:23 201:20
2021 6:6,8,23 7:20 11:22 16:22 19:20 20:19 21:24 24:8,9 26:18 27:9 60:2,7,10 60:11 61:22 63:25 68:24 69:13 89:25 90:7 174:12

175:9 178:15 183:17 189:2
2022 6:17 43:14,18
2023 1:20 8:1,8 220:3,5
2025.520 220:9 220:12
20487 169:17 169:25
20521 7:12
20777 34:4
20s 10:15
21 16:3 153:14
210 5:4
212 5:5
217 5:5
22 16:3 18:2 153:12
222 2:17
23 89:24 155:14
24 190:2
26 60:7
27 76:21 77:6 106:6 178:15
28 175:11
2904 7:17
2:53 190:14
2ex 6:20 7:4 93:14 103:9,12 103:15 106:7 106:24 130:9 135:24

3

3 6:8 68:17,19 69:6 90:5 131:8,11
30 1:17,17 14:24,25 101:9 218:16 221:1
300 213:10
30040 2:17
30334 2:21
30339 3:22
312 131:7
316 2:21
32 127:2,8
3226 7:8
34 6:4 170:8
3537 7:9
360,000 133:22 134:3
37 170:8
3:02 190:17
3:37 218:13,15
3:55 33:10

4

4 6:10 75:7,9
40 101:9 154:20
415.262.4511 3:7
43 146:5
4306 196:5
4312 7:22
44 145:21 146:5,7,10 170:8
45 12:14 146:5 170:8
46 170:8
48 33:11 148:6 156:1 199:11 200:12
49 149:15 200:23

5

5 6:12 79:25 80:1 155:1 181:10
50 33:10 49:7 153:7
5338 1:8
5667885 220:5 222:2
59 6:5

6

6 1:17 6:14,20 6:20 14:24 93:6,7 130:9,9 132:18,24 133:16,20 134:9 136:6
600 3:16
657 132:14,23 132:25
68 6:8

7

7 6:16 110:22 110:23 196:5
75 6:10
78 154:25

8

8 6:19 129:18 129:20,23 130:4
8.b 8:4
80 6:12
88 213:3,3

9

9 5:3 6:22 130:11,12,15
9-11-30 218:18
90 153:4,5 209:11
900 2:12
900s 214:11
93 6:14
94104-4446 3:7
97 16:6
98 156:6
9:11 1:20 8:9

a

a.m. 1:20 8:9
abided 192:6
ability 145:20
able 25:25 58:1 75:18,22 133:25 148:10 148:16,21 158:23 165:23 176:6 185:20 186:3 187:1 194:3,12,19 197:25 198:17

205:21
abortion 209:8 209:21,24
above 34:25 85:7,16 141:19 220:6
abrams 43:20 44:1
absolutely 129:4 159:22
accept 11:11 190:3
accepted 10:25
access 148:23 195:2
accessible 183:9
accommodate 9:20 58:16,18 58:20,20,21,25 75:22 89:15,19 95:25 98:19
accommodated 59:3,4,8,12 75:18 89:14,17 98:17 110:19 152:18
accomplish 182:17
account 83:6 83:20 84:16,22 84:25 85:6 94:17 113:15
accurate 133:24
achievement 41:16
acknowledged 32:11
aclu 166:14
act 41:2 48:17 48:25 49:4 50:12 65:4 69:9 104:4 105:12 117:12 117:19 125:13 127:20 132:6 154:20 159:13 168:23 170:24 171:7,10,13,16 171:23 172:2,4 172:11 192:17 199:18,25 200:5,14,24 202:6,23 203:8 204:20
action 1:8 20:2 20:4 46:20 47:1,6 48:1 56:19 61:1,9 181:23 208:24
active 55:8
acts 199:11
actual 65:8 97:5 158:17 166:12,21
actually 22:19 22:22 39:21 54:17,19 70:20 97:10 129:12 143:14 186:19
ad 67:18
add 142:1 143:12
added 134:9 135:11 136:16 137:5,22,25 139:10 177:24
adding 140:19 142:4 143:16
addition 115:5
additional 159:13 199:14 202:19 204:15
address 72:1 181:11
addresses 196:22
adequate 89:1 127:10,13
adjacent 143:15
adjustment 202:3
administration 68:10
adopt 191:19
adopted 69:8 102:11 215:5
adopting 168:12
ads 43:19
advancing 166:16
advertisement 43:15
advice 101:15
advising 32:19
advocate 163:12
affect 163:12 188:25
affiliation 36:3
affirmative 208:24
african 30:25
afternoon 33:9 109:7
afterward 59:12
age 44:16,22 45:3,7,10,15,22 46:5 47:16 49:6 70:7 155:16 167:8 189:22 190:1 190:11 199:22 201:25
agenda 1:5
ago 13:10 88:11 141:3
agree 40:23 41:9 49:12 175:10 185:19 185:23 209:11 209:24
agreement 197:24

agricultural 136:12
agriculture 205:7
ahead 198:5
aisha 166:14
alex 2:10
allocated 213:3
allowed 216:7 216:9
allows 171:23
aloud 195:19 196:8
alpha 3:9,9 197:24 198:12 198:12
altercation 181:21
altered 147:5
alternative 158:19 164:20
altogether 165:10
amburn 121:20
amellman 2:7
amended 69:10 192:11
americans 30:25 31:11 42:20 166:16
analysis 22:25 35:3,10 36:7 36:11,16,19,24 37:4,24 38:3 38:12,17,25 39:10 40:5 79:19 89:7 140:11 202:9 203:1
analyze 201:2 203:3
ann 1:23 219:20
anne 20:8 25:13,14 26:2 53:25 101:11
announce 198:9
answer 10:2,3 10:7 24:20 41:11,20 50:4 50:7 74:16 128:6,11 142:5 142:10 145:19 148:17 149:13 162:5 182:3
answered 199:24
answers 15:1 112:18 219:7
anticipated 60:8
anuradha 3:10 197:22 198:10
anuradha.siv... 3:12
anybody 96:11 125:20 210:7 211:16
anytime 28:17
anyway 40:1 139:16 179:21
anywise 219:11
ap 154:21 156:1
apologize 33:16 75:13 169:11 174:19
appeals 42:23 42:25,25 43:2 43:8
appear 68:24
appearance 52:10 198:9
appearances 2:1 3:1 4:1
appeared 43:20 217:18
appearing 220:18 221:7
appears 93:11 130:24 175:4 178:25 185:5 185:10 199:10
applies 48:19
apply 43:16 47:13 149:22
appointed 68:13
apportionment 148:25
approach 172:15,17,23
approved 140:7
approximately 8:8 12:16,17 12:18 15:25 20:9 23:24 24:16 25:24 26:4 28:23 33:10 51:9 52:14 59:2 65:7 66:11,16 73:2,14 74:19 75:24 88:15 90:21 96:18 101:6 111:18 133:21 134:3 155:14 190:2 212:24
april 60:7
arc 181:9
area 15:16 16:7 136:5,8,9,17,19 137:1,7,10 146:4 153:20 159:14 170:9 177:24 179:5,7 179:7,8,11,17 180:12 185:25 188:11 202:20 205:16,22 206:6,22
areas 134:14,18 135:6 151:22 176:17 185:21 186:9 188:7

202:15 203:13 206:24 209:9
arranged 183:10
arrived 60:23
arriving 60:11
article 8:4
aryeh 2:4 8:13 9:3 80:25
asian 31:11 42:20 47:14 124:18 162:9 166:16 205:25
asians 43:16
aside 14:17 20:22 29:14 53:23 66:8 89:10 102:15 103:7 106:8,13 119:8 122:25
asked 11:11 18:24 38:16 67:15 70:5 74:8 82:8,10 86:21 98:18,20 99:21 121:14 125:14 128:24 149:21 152:4,6 152:25 159:6,7 161:16 162:2,7 194:23 195:3 200:25 203:6 204:12 212:7 216:14
asking 9:13 28:16 34:8 62:13 71:12 74:12 129:22 144:24 161:21 161:24 213:6
assembly 17:3 17:12 22:9 29:19 30:8 73:1 90:1 144:15 207:18
assert 74:11
assess 108:16 189:4
assignment 17:15
assignments 17:2 18:23,23
assist 29:16 30:6 94:24 113:24
assistance 22:25
assistants 90:25
associate 4:10
assume 10:24 15:1 19:11 47:12,12,15 64:1 76:14 77:17 102:13 112:21 132:17 132:23 137:8 137:19 139:23 167:2 172:1 174:3
assumed 23:8
assuming 19:22 80:14
athens 180:6 180:18,20 188:13
atkins 4:10
atlanta 1:2,22 2:21 3:22 15:16 137:1 146:4 153:20 159:14 180:15 181:3,3 185:25 188:12 202:12 205:13 207:7
attach 164:19
attachment 6:23 7:20 130:19 131:2,3 141:11 154:12 154:13
attachments 7:7 130:25
attempt 89:19
attempted 58:24 157:20
attend 217:19
attended 105:20
attention 190:25
attorney 9:23 9:25 10:22 12:8,10 23:23 25:13 46:19 50:2,8 82:22 124:23 125:1 198:11 204:18
attorneys 49:21 87:3 94:5 192:23
attract 207:1 207:14
audibly 9:15
august 7:11 24:24 60:10,16 60:17,22 61:3 175:11 183:16 184:22,25 186:12,21 187:7,15 188:24
augusta 183:9 203:13 205:5
austell 137:25 138:7
available 72:10 72:14 118:5,9 118:21 177:10 214:13
ave 2:6 3:11
average 208:1 208:12,14 209:15
avoid 202:4,21
avoiding 126:18
aware 14:19 16:18 29:17,18

30:23 38:22 41:15 42:2,8 42:12,16,22 43:7 44:5,9,14 44:21 45:11,14 45:21 47:10 48:24 49:5 68:9,12,16 72:4,6 76:12 76:22 77:9 89:24 95:8 99:12 101:18 101:18 102:7 103:14 104:19 106:8,13,19 122:25 123:8 127:1,3 133:21 134:2,13,17 136:11 137:12 137:15,24 138:7 146:13 146:16 148:14 150:2,11,14,17 151:3,7,10 153:8,10,11,22 172:6,10 174:1 174:6 181:12 181:16 187:14 189:13,21 199:4,10 201:19 202:10 203:12,16 211:1 215:17
awareness 102:16 103:18

b

b 1:17,17 14:24 14:25 219:20 221:1
babies 175:1
baby 159:25 160:1
back 21:7 26:22 27:24 37:22 41:14 44:2 49:11 56:4 67:6,10 75:14 94:15 109:3,6 124:3 132:13 141:10 143:4 144:6 161:12 186:21 186:23,24 187:10,12 190:17 191:24 195:21 196:2 198:20
background 15:4 169:19
balance 143:12 217:2
ballpark 153:3
barnes 1:23 219:20
bartow 143:12 143:14,17
based 43:4,10 70:19 101:15 119:2 172:11 205:7
bases 10:1
basically 55:19
basis 50:2
bates 6:4,7,8,13 6:15,18,21,23 7:5,7,9,11,15 7:16,19,21 33:18 130:16 142:23
battles 55:12 181:17
bearing 217:3
bears 197:3
bedroom 206:7 206:15,20 207:7
began 63:23 121:23
beginning 6:4,8 17:19 24:20 67:15 130:15 187:4 192:2
begins 142:23 154:1 169:15 178:7
behalf 2:3,15 3:4,9,14,19 14:25 15:2 36:20 37:25
belief 166:25
believe 11:4,15 12:11 17:19 23:11 28:3 37:17 38:1 45:24 61:5 64:23 79:25,25 93:6 95:23 96:23 101:1 105:18 111:25 114:4 118:9 121:16 122:24 126:3 127:10 127:12 133:10 134:6 137:3 138:11 143:15 168:20 170:25 189:25 196:24 197:16 213:21 214:2
believed 124:23
belt 84:3 204:23,25 205:3
best 9:20 112:24 145:19 193:14 198:25 217:2
better 13:11 102:3
beverly 74:24 215:25 216:19
beyond 20:20 26:20 128:3 140:13 168:14
big 145:25 164:8 188:8 205:17 209:3
biggest 209:17
bill 11:25 35:16 35:16 39:19

204:10 216:15
bills 19:18,20 22:6 91:6,15 202:25 203:10 204:1
billy 74:1,5
bit 9:12 45:20 134:22 201:4
bitter 173:23
black 41:18 42:4,13 43:15 44:5,10,16,17 44:22,23,23 45:2,6,9,15,21 46:5,6 47:16 48:25 49:1,6,7 49:12,13 70:7 124:9 138:8 147:7 154:21 155:10,16 156:1 161:18 167:8,8 190:1 190:11 199:5 199:14,17,22 200:2 201:20 201:24 202:4,5 202:11,15,19 202:21 203:13 203:20 204:6 204:15,17,23 204:25 205:2 206:1
block 72:5,11 118:8,22 119:6
blowup 146:1
board 8:5
bolded 157:2
bonnie 1:19 5:2 6:5,22 8:1,10 8:23 9:8 220:5 222:2
book 23:19
bottom 33:19 132:14 136:15 143:11 188:14 196:5
boundaries 7:4 7:5 126:11 127:23 131:14 133:19,19 193:2
box 145:25
boyd 4:9
brad 1:11
break 9:19 66:25 108:23 144:2 190:13
breakdown 73:3
breaking 133:8 156:24
breaks 193:22 193:24
brian 1:9 8:17 20:8,17 24:7 25:14 53:4,23 53:25 70:23 71:23 129:25 148:24 211:23
brief 26:7
briefly 217:14
bringing 190:25
broad 83:25
broke 45:19 80:7 118:15
broken 153:24
brought 183:10
brunell 37:14 68:4,7
bryan 3:20
btyson 3:23
building 28:6,6 28:7,11,12
bullet 69:6,6 131:8,8,10,18 155:24 156:4 185:4 195:19 195:24 196:7
bullets 192:25
bush 3:6
business 56:10
butts 137:10
bvap 72:19

c

c 219:1,1
ca 220:9,12,20
calculated 200:12
california 3:7
called 55:7 166:15
calling 153:18 165:13 199:17
calls 120:10
campaign 43:23
campaigns 43:3,8
candidate 43:9 43:10 44:1,18 44:24 47:17 200:8
candidates 43:3,4,8 44:6 46:7 49:2,8,14 125:11 167:9 197:11
capability 164:18
capacity 1:10 1:11 67:19
capita 42:7
capitol 1:21 2:21 28:5,7,12 102:25 211:11 211:12
caption 219:7
care 176:1 198:25
carry 212:4
cascading 63:10
case 11:9,17 14:12 31:25 47:25 127:1,12 128:24 173:8 198:13,25 219:10,11

[cases - clicked]

cases 67:25
casual 27:2
caucus 17:14 17:16 18:1 36:21 106:11 123:5
caucus's 204:11
caucuses 204:4
cause 3:4 128:9 198:1,13 210:11
cc 7:21 195:8
ccp 220:9,12
ccr 1:23 219:20
cd14 144:13
census 7:18 50:20 54:3,11 54:24 56:3,20 60:8,14 63:24 64:20 68:10 72:5,11 117:6 155:11 175:20 175:22 176:7 183:14,24,25 184:10,13,21 185:13,20 186:12,17 187:14,19 188:5,17,23 189:8,15 190:5 201:11,17
centers 180:11
central 181:10
certain 11:5 19:12 46:5 84:6 109:18 176:17 177:12 209:25
certainly 13:10
certify 219:6,9
chain 7:7,16
chair 12:3 17:14,17,25 18:1,4,20,23,24 19:2,15,22 20:1,13,13,25 21:25 23:8 24:5 26:23,24 27:6 70:3 82:11 173:11 192:6 203:6 217:4
chaired 11:21 17:13
chairman 23:12
chairmen 82:16
chairs 17:11
challenge 198:15
chamber 56:13 100:9 107:21 107:23 199:10 200:23
change 63:10 66:5 71:24 91:20 128:9,10 147:4,17 172:17,23 201:13,19,21 202:3,11,18 203:12,19 222:4,7,10,13 222:16,19
changed 87:5 92:18 126:8 167:1
changes 63:5 63:12 67:19 71:25 83:15 121:4,7 125:5 126:16 149:4 153:2 189:13 201:8,10
changing 55:19 140:5 165:10
characteristics 135:10 136:17
characterizati... 207:6
characterize 134:23 135:1,5 136:1,19,21
charge 107:4
checked 12:23
cherokee 134:11,16
chief 112:22 121:18
child 67:24
choice 44:18,25 46:7 47:18 49:2,9,14 171:5 200:8
choose 179:21 204:15
choosing 179:5
chosen 187:8
chunks 164:9
churches 84:11
churn 91:23
cindy 55:12 181:17
circle 3:21
circumstances 158:8
cities 16:11 104:12,15,18 105:10
citizens 41:18
city 104:10 105:3 118:7 161:13 165:21 176:21 206:9 206:18,25
civil 1:8 2:11 4:9 218:17 220:19,20
claim 183:12
claiming 183:8
clarify 212:5
clayton 202:13
clear 21:22
clearer 9:13
click 122:10 148:21
clicked 122:3,4 124:5 147:1

148:25
clicking 124:13 149:6
clicks 71:24
client 10:22 23:23 50:2,8
climate 173:22
close 93:20 126:12 188:11 188:13
closed 152:25
closer 133:3,7,9 153:6 185:8
cobb 135:6 136:15,22 137:4,21,24 138:5 139:9 140:2,19 141:7 144:13 153:21 180:17,21
code 220:9,12 220:19,20
collation 1:5
colleagues 14:2 197:16
collect 116:7 174:14
college 15:7,11 15:12,14 42:3
combination 110:12 157:18
come 18:20 23:9 31:20 46:11,15 57:1 87:19 88:6 116:4 122:18 125:2 155:3 166:7 186:23 187:15 191:8 195:21 196:2 198:20 205:8
comes 208:8,24
comfortable 159:18
coming 14:5 54:7 86:15 160:14 169:13 191:6
comment 87:19 144:24 215:23 216:7,9
commentary 112:1,2 113:16 216:2
commented 161:6 173:7
comments 54:15 97:9 139:8 140:16 143:5 144:18 163:17 164:3 164:11 165:25 200:21 214:7 214:10,16
commerce 56:13
commission 165:11
commissioners 196:10
committee 2:11 4:9 6:6,8 12:4 12:7 15:1,2 17:2,11,15,18 18:1,4,6,9,11 18:14,21,23,25 19:3,16,18,19 22:1,6,7 23:9 26:3,8,14,25 32:12 36:10 39:17,18,19 48:3 52:1,11 55:25 59:25 60:1,13 61:23 62:5 64:3 68:24 69:1,8 82:11,17 86:7 87:2 90:15 91:12 93:1 100:9,25 103:6 105:1 111:25 120:4 140:10 161:5 166:13 172:18 173:1 174:2 182:23 182:25 183:1,2 183:19 192:6 193:1 199:9 200:22 202:1 202:17,24 203:2,5,6,9,25 204:10,14 215:4,21 216:6 216:16 217:4
committee's 22:3 164:4
committees 7:14 82:16 175:12 182:13 203:9 214:20
common 3:4 165:10 198:1 198:13 210:11 211:5
commonsense 172:3
communicate 32:9 49:22 53:16 57:2,19 58:15 65:17 66:3 74:21 82:14 85:21 91:2 104:17 105:15 110:6 120:2,12,21 164:25 189:9 218:3
communicated 14:22 54:5 55:9 57:16 65:20 84:18 99:13 105:17
communicating 32:13 63:16 65:14 66:8 82:1 115:3
communication 64:2

communicati... 32:4,6 50:14 50:20,24 52:9 54:10 56:18 66:8 71:18 87:11 90:8 103:14 167:4 191:1
communicative 55:12
communities 51:7 83:20,24 84:6,10,17,20 92:3 103:24 104:3 127:22 137:3 158:17 165:18,20 176:11,16 193:11 207:8
community 1:6 84:1,14 161:18 176:20 193:2 205:15 206:7 206:15,20,21
commute 206:8 206:18
commuting 32:13
comp 122:12
compact 193:9
compactness 85:25 193:2
compare 199:13 207:10
compared 199:15 202:20
comparison 6:19 200:18 204:5
competing 158:6 187:11 216:24
competitive 125:23 126:2
complain 59:11 140:25
complained 140:21 144:12
complaints 14:12 140:24
complete 34:6 57:8 219:8
completed 63:8 187:23 220:7 220:17 221:6
completing 15:12
completion 221:10
complex 128:7
compliance 41:1 49:18 50:12 69:12 70:4 104:3 192:10
complied 50:9 70:6 82:22 89:3 93:2 94:14 115:6 125:13
comply 48:17 48:24 65:3 69:9 139:24 171:15 172:2 192:16
complying 58:14 92:1 103:22 158:13 159:3 203:7
composition 122:11,13
compressed 52:22
comprised 16:11
computer 62:23 116:24
con 54:10
conceiving 83:6
concern 31:5 101:16 160:3 160:20
concerns 74:25 79:1 94:10 103:8 165:22 181:12,16 190:21
conclude 197:18
concluded 218:15
concludes 218:12
conclusion 17:21
conclusions 78:3
conduct 19:17 140:11
conducted 166:9 202:24
conf 220:4 222:1
confederate 208:9,14
confer 90:22 99:21,23 100:1
conference 1:4 2:3 56:7 71:20 215:1 217:17
conferred 90:24
confident 91:14 92:4,13
confidential 23:23
confirm 115:11 203:7
confirmed 115:8
confirming 115:6
conflict 128:4,5 128:14
conflicts 128:21
congressional 6:10,14,20,20

7:4,5 18:5,16 21:23 30:1 35:18 76:11,20 77:1 93:16 94:4 95:12 98:1 101:14 106:6,9 113:4 130:8,9 131:15 132:18,24 133:16 134:9 135:14,24,24 136:2 137:5,16 137:17 139:10 140:18 141:13 141:19 142:4 142:13 143:15 143:17,23 144:10 145:5,9 160:4 163:13 164:5 174:14 188:25
congresswo... 144:11
conjunction 171:20
connection 162:14 163:6 171:13
conservative 134:20 137:19
consider 22:6 30:14 78:20,23 117:12,20 149:3 165:16 171:23 193:1 195:20
consideration 116:13 161:17 161:20 162:3
considerations 83:5 85:6 171:24
considered 30:18 41:8 45:13 64:18,20 64:22 84:13 103:20 104:5 111:11 116:11 128:1 146:10 149:19 150:12 151:4 165:16 166:21 168:7 196:20,22 197:7 207:20
considering 35:15 188:7
consist 34:9
consistent 165:5,6,7
constant 194:1
constituent 54:10
constituents 54:2,6,8,13,18 54:22 88:5,8 88:10 98:3,4 102:6 110:17 160:17,18,25 161:1,24 162:11 163:5 163:12 174:13 179:10,13 181:7 191:2
constitution 132:5 203:8
constitutional 105:12 127:19
construct 158:13
consultant 30:5
consultants 30:9,12,15,19 94:24 95:7 113:23
consultation 192:22
consulted 109:19
consuming 56:22
contact 220:9
contacted 161:2
contain 97:8 158:11
contained 158:20 204:11
content 111:21
contents 51:3 52:8 121:6
context 40:10 40:17 168:21
continue 18:19 66:24 125:9
continued 3:2 4:2 61:7
contraction 188:3
contrast 131:16
control 209:19
convenient 180:2
conversation 25:7 92:25 98:21 120:24
conversations 23:15 48:15 56:24 79:16 125:1
convey 57:24 71:17
cooperation 198:23
coordinated 52:10 198:15
coordinating 27:14 51:25
copies 169:12
copious 211:3
copy 22:17 33:13,16 169:14 212:13
core 141:20 146:13 148:11 149:24 150:15 151:7
corner 37:12
correct 14:18 18:5,6 22:23

33:3 41:6 49:15 78:19 95:15 133:1 155:18 167:2 212:12,20 214:5,8 215:3 215:7,12 219:8
corrections 220:14,15 221:3,4
cotton 205:7
council 8:6
counsel 2:1,20 3:1 8:11 10:19 11:3,15 14:17 20:5,7 29:15 29:20,23 30:2 31:22 33:12 37:25 38:7,16 50:3,15 52:3 71:1,4,14 83:3 94:13 95:4 100:19 101:4,4 109:11,13,14 115:9 140:9,10 140:13 155:5 156:9 159:20 171:20 174:2,4 202:8,25 219:10,10 220:18,21 221:7
counseled 114:1
count 214:11
counties 84:3 84:13 104:11 104:13,18 127:25 131:14 131:17,23,25 134:8 147:21 148:3 153:8,19 153:24 157:10 176:21 179:12 179:20 180:22 181:1 193:7 202:13 203:23
county 16:10 16:10 35:4,5 67:22 78:10 96:2 98:13 102:1,6,15 104:6 105:2 110:10,13,15 110:18 118:7 119:6 127:23 131:13 135:6 136:15,22 137:4,7,10,21 137:25 138:5 138:15,16,18 139:9 140:2,19 141:7,15 142:12 143:14 143:17 144:13 153:11,18 176:14 178:22 179:7,15 180:16,17,17 181:5,6,8,11 193:1,21 205:24,25 206:2 207:2,11 207:11,15 219:4
couple 67:11 81:13 109:8 114:14 144:9 204:21 210:10 216:18 217:10
course 17:8 104:11
court 1:1 8:5 8:21 9:11 10:12 42:25 45:19 46:1 47:4,8 67:21 67:22 80:7 96:6 118:12,15 118:19 129:15 129:22 130:2 133:6,12 156:23 174:21 185:7 194:14 218:7,11
covered 199:3
crack 40:24 41:3
cracking 40:16
crc 1:23 219:20
create 66:6 158:23,24
creating 125:22 126:1 202:19
criteria 69:12 69:17,19,23 70:2 78:24 89:4
critical 145:4
crowell 2:5 8:13,15 9:4
crowell.com 2:7
crr 1:23 219:20
cumming 2:17 178:22 179:1,8 181:8 188:12
current 21:9 41:15 61:16 131:14 137:17
currently 15:5 15:21
custody 67:25
cv 1:8
cycle 16:22 30:3 173:13,14 199:7 201:6,14
cycles 169:6,9

d

d 170:11
d.c. 2:6 3:11
dallas 37:15
dalton 188:12
dan 53:7
darken 43:20
data 22:25 50:20 54:3,11 54:24 56:3,20 60:8,11,14,16

60:16,17,20,22 60:23 61:3,4 63:24 64:18,20 64:21 65:2 72:3,4,10,13,16 78:20 100:6,10 100:11,13,13 100:14,17,22 115:14,15,21 115:23,25 116:4,5,8,22 117:2,3,8,21 118:5 119:5 122:1,3,22 124:4,5,16 148:22 149:7 149:10 169:2 175:22 176:7 183:14 184:17 184:21,24 185:3,5,10,13 185:20 186:3 186:12,17 187:15,20 188:17,23 189:8 192:24 196:9,14,17 197:7,10 201:17 214:20 215:13,15
date 8:7 60:9 111:10 175:7,8 177:9 183:24 187:7 220:16 221:5 222:24
dated 6:6,23 60:2 178:15
dates 177:2,6 177:16
david 4:9 43:25
davis 2:10,16
davis.com 2:18 220:2
dawson 134:10 134:16
day 55:5 56:6 61:24 81:12,12 81:19,19 93:19 93:19,20 114:13 167:24 168:18 198:19 198:21
days 61:25 62:3 62:4 81:14,19 92:12 114:14
dc 2:13 3:17
debate 40:2
debated 37:20
decade 161:18 162:4
december 26:18,19 211:13
dechert 3:5 210:11
dechert.com 3:8
decide 58:19
decided 159:10 182:20
decision 109:13 128:13 139:15 140:1,6 144:12
decisions 145:4
declined 218:2
defendant 10:17 11:12
defendants 1:13 3:19 8:18 211:23
defending 8:20
define 24:18 40:12,19 43:1 206:6,21
defining 206:21
definitely 77:5 84:21 105:2 173:4 176:15
degree 15:9,10
degrees 15:7,8
dekalb 153:16 180:16,21 181:2,3,5 202:13
delay 201:16
delegations 30:1
deliberately 43:20
deliver 71:10
dem 104:1
demeaning 44:1
democrat 36:4 74:2 75:23 88:3 125:10 179:12,18 191:3 204:11 209:15
democratic 88:12 106:11 123:5 131:16 170:16 204:3 207:25 208:7 208:12,22 214:3 216:13 216:13,19
democrats 36:6 39:9 73:4,7,9 208:17 209:10 213:25
demographic 146:16 192:23 201:5,8
demographic... 205:14
demographics 84:25 104:1 134:14,18 137:16 138:13 146:17,25 148:14 150:2 150:17 151:10 162:14 163:6 189:14 201:13 205:19
dems 35:7
department 177:11

depend 177:9
depending 84:1 158:7
depends 24:17 158:8
deposed 10:10 14:20
deposition 1:18 8:1,10 12:6,20 14:3,15 33:12 67:16 129:13 129:16 135:20 198:17 218:13 218:15 220:19 220:22,24 221:8,10
depth 216:1
describe 15:25 16:7 19:14 20:9 22:3 32:6 179:4 205:12 205:21
description 6:2 7:2
designed 76:13
detail 77:24 78:1
detailed 60:9 60:13
details 13:11
determine 115:25 140:12 185:16
determined 220:18,22 221:7
determining 171:24
development 1:6 173:24
deviation 131:21
devoted 206:24
diane 3:20 8:17
differ 208:1,4,7 208:22 209:2 209:10
differed 123:16
difference 173:17 209:14 212:8
differences 101:24 102:8 102:16 137:18
different 17:14 31:18 39:13 51:4,6 84:1,15 98:15 101:19 101:19 117:1 127:15 173:12 199:18 207:5 208:3,18 214:22 215:14 217:3
difficult 142:8 216:23
digital 54:6
digitally 70:21 99:5,8
digits 181:4
dilute 40:21
diluting 202:4 202:21
direct 37:11 203:2,4
directed 84:22 112:21
direction 219:8
director 112:18
disabilities 183:6
disagree 210:2
disclosure 8:3
discrimination 30:24 31:9 41:15
discuss 26:24 27:19 90:16 91:22 102:23 104:25,25 121:3 139:15 163:5 169:1 173:16 202:2 204:14
discussed 48:15 67:11 72:20 90:14 92:22 94:2 95:5 98:24 103:4,7 103:16,21 105:2,24 109:9 111:24 115:4 119:8,21 128:18 140:5 141:17 143:20 143:22 162:14 168:15,22 175:19 182:20 197:4 217:17
discussing 79:14 97:19,22 98:11 154:18 175:5 203:1
discussion 41:14 70:10 72:19,22 91:9 103:19 129:8 129:10 178:17 214:19
discussions 51:3 105:7 107:11 163:11
disparities 41:16 42:16
displayed 215:13
displeased 145:10
district 1:1,1 6:11,20 7:4,5 16:6,8 22:17 40:14,21 44:15 44:23 45:7,16 45:22 46:4,7 47:22 49:12 51:6,8 54:20 57:2 68:15 75:1 76:11 77:1 87:20

89:2 94:4 101:14 110:2,9 110:17 116:2 116:25 121:5 122:5,10,11,23 124:5,22 126:8 126:19,23 128:10 130:9 131:15 132:18 132:21,24,25 133:3,7,11,16 133:16,20,22 134:4,9 135:14 135:24,25 136:2 137:5,12 137:13,16,17 138:14,21 139:10 140:18 141:8,13,20,21 142:4,13 143:11,15,18 143:23 144:10 145:5,9,20,21 146:7,10,13,22 147:2,6,14,19 148:6,11,21,22 148:25 149:15 149:17,20,24 150:9,12,15 151:1,4,7 152:11,15,23 154:14 156:6,6 158:9,10 186:10 189:5 206:6 215:18

districts 6:12 6:15,17 16:4 40:15 48:19,25 49:17 51:1 55:8,16 59:6 70:8 73:19 75:18 76:20 78:16 80:14 84:12 85:25 87:13 92:2 109:25 117:13 122:7 125:23 126:2,5,23 127:2,8 133:4 133:18 135:10 143:5 145:15 145:16 146:24 147:14,16,20 148:8 149:6,13 151:16,23 154:21,25 155:17 156:1 157:4,12,15,16 157:21 158:12 158:20 159:14 165:9 167:10 170:7,17 171:25 179:12 185:14 188:25 193:8,16 199:5 199:11,14,20 200:6,13,19,24 202:4,20 204:7 204:16,19 215:14

diverse 157:10 205:24

diversity 189:17

divide 84:8 131:23 161:11

divided 78:9 84:7 142:7 161:13

dividing 40:20

division 1:2 78:6

divisive 208:19

divorce 10:14 10:18

document 6:4 6:10,12,14,16 7:3,10,13,18 33:4,8,15,18 34:1,6,6 59:15 59:20 68:17,23 75:14 76:7,8 80:15 130:15 130:17 142:18 154:6,16 156:21 169:15 169:16 175:3 175:11 178:8 178:24 184:7 191:25 195:15

documents 12:19,22 13:1 13:5,14,17,19 33:9,14,23,25 164:19 167:24 169:12

doing 173:24 182:18

doubt 139:6

dr 37:14 68:4,7

draft 6:12 7:11 57:10 61:18,21 62:11 63:2 64:13 65:9 76:18 80:13,17 81:16 86:9,11 114:10 123:10 152:18

drafted 97:12

drafting 128:16 157:19

drafts 6:10 76:11 93:22 94:7 106:16,22 114:15 119:15 123:9 215:19

draw 22:15 69:24 90:2 102:20 112:7 112:10,13 113:1,7 147:10 157:20 185:18 199:2 204:15

drawer 117:19 140:6 142:6,9 142:15

drawers 32:19 32:20,22 49:21 50:11 53:16 65:15 104:17

104:19 113:14 115:19 167:12 167:15,17 171:19 192:22 196:10 197:6 202:25 203:24
drawing 22:22 23:6 58:3 61:10 63:22 64:4,6,13,19 65:8 72:4 77:22 81:21 82:25 94:17,25 95:14,22 97:14 97:17 103:15 103:20 107:1 112:16 113:15 113:24 114:7 117:23 119:9 147:19 152:2 159:13 185:14 188:17,20 194:13,15 197:7 215:8
drawings 113:20
drawn 48:16 49:1,13 52:13 76:15 77:10 94:3,4,7 95:10 97:10 114:3,4 117:1 157:13 200:7
drew 22:19 46:25 62:6 70:21,21 77:16 81:6 93:25 112:5 158:16 204:19
dropped 164:24
dropping 81:1
drove 212:21
dugan 129:14 129:17 135:20
duluth 16:11
duly 8:23
duma 3:21
duncan 76:19 79:8 101:21
dunwoody 161:13
duplicate 199:1

e

e 218:16,18 219:1,1 220:9 220:12 221:1 222:3,3,3
earlier 15:23 17:21 70:7 81:11 109:9 115:4 152:13 166:11 176:2 185:3 200:11 210:1 212:7 214:2
early 108:8 176:5
ease 18:8
easier 9:12
east 135:6
eastern 205:4
economy 205:7
educated 119:4
educating 166:20
education 214:20
educational 41:16 55:5 56:6 64:7 166:6 167:24 168:18 213:14 215:5 217:16
effect 63:11 212:11
eight 88:16
either 28:5,10 35:21 53:25 114:13 195:2
elb 1:8
elect 44:17,24 46:7 47:17 49:2,8,14 116:14 167:9 171:5 200:8
elected 44:6 116:1
election 21:17 43:14 116:3 196:13,23 197:12 215:16
elections 38:10 42:23 43:2,7 43:19 119:2
elias 3:15 211:18
elias.law 3:18
email 6:22 7:7 7:9,16,20 130:19,24 141:11 142:25 143:2,19 154:9 178:10 182:1 183:8 191:2
emailed 54:22 162:21 181:22
emails 13:14,22 39:24 54:12,17 88:9 120:10 121:12 213:20
embraced 157:6
employ 219:10
employed 16:17 38:23
employment 21:8,9 41:17 42:10
empty 130:24
enacted 199:6 199:16
enacting 172:7
encourage 157:8
ended 19:19 165:24 180:3 186:19

engagement 11:1
english 3:21 182:8 183:22 190:23
enslaved 205:6
ensure 70:4 94:13 171:1,20 193:18
entail 105:8
entered 199:6
entertained 111:24
entire 33:22,24 34:10,11 122:23
entirety 83:11 83:17 123:14
entity 29:22
equally 181:21
errata 220:14 220:16 221:3,5
esq 2:4,5,10,10 2:16,20 3:5,10 3:15,20,20 4:9 220:1
estimate 25:25
estimates 186:6 188:2,4,16
etch 26:14
evaluate 202:18
evaluating 201:22
eventually 204:16
everybody 91:17,18 93:1 139:21 176:24
everyone's 198:22
evidence 219:9
exact 39:13 41:13
exactly 98:14 186:4,6,8
examination 5:1,3 9:1 198:6 210:13 212:1 217:12
examined 8:24
example 55:15 83:8 147:5 193:10 207:6 208:9
except 145:11 159:10 214:3
exchange 178:10
excuse 185:7
exhibit 6:2,4,5 6:8,10,12,14,16 6:19,22 7:2,3,7 7:9,10,13,16,18 7:20 33:5,23 34:9,13 59:15 59:16 66:22 68:2,17,19 75:7,9 79:24 79:25 80:1 93:6,7 110:22 110:23 115:24 129:12,13 130:4,11,12,15 135:16,21 142:19,20 153:25 154:2 169:10,20 174:19,23 178:3,4 184:2 184:4 192:2 195:7,9 212:13
exhibits 6:1 7:1
exhibitshare 81:1
exist 159:2 193:11
existed 46:17 48:13 79:15 202:20
existence 32:12
existing 126:5 140:17 154:23 155:1,22 156:2
exists 18:12 32:8 139:23
expect 160:10 162:6
expected 161:21
experience 207:24 208:6 208:21
expert 116:18
expired 15:23
explain 43:1 146:9 149:19
expressed 160:3,20 165:22
expressing 160:6
extent 50:5,7 83:21 84:17 104:2,11 115:2 147:9
external 94:16 94:23 113:22
extra 105:4
extreme 147:5 169:8
extremists 210:2
exurban 134:23 136:4

f

f 219:1
fact 14:5 35:9 48:5,16 49:23 79:15 134:5 146:21 148:2 157:7 172:13 173:2 190:22 191:14
factor 41:7,12 45:12 46:14
factors 41:1,3 47:13 139:19

146:9 149:19 150:11 151:3,5 158:9 166:21 168:7 217:3
fair 55:7,15 59:10 79:17 131:24 132:17 132:23 165:8,9 166:14 212:18 215:17 216:22 216:25
fairly 92:4 133:14
fall 210:3
false 183:12
familiar 18:10 18:15 22:8,11 31:13 40:9,16 43:13 45:2 69:3 136:5,16 138:3,5 146:6 204:22
family 186:19
far 48:18 134:20 163:24 186:7
fastest 157:10
favorably 92:8
fayette 202:12
feasible 58:13 58:23
feature 206:21
february 220:3
federal 218:16 221:1,8,9
feed 86:23
feedback 79:3 86:8,21 87:21 98:10 99:12,16 108:14 114:20 114:23 115:2 119:24 139:8 139:12 140:17 165:2 174:14
feel 176:6 179:13
felt 32:24 91:18 91:20 92:9,20 152:24 163:19
female 157:9
fewer 153:7
figures 147:10
file 1:8 152:21 153:1 211:9
filed 216:15
files 33:14 121:9,13
final 10:14 119:16 123:20 185:5,10 187:8
find 131:23 163:23
finding 39:6,16
findings 16:19 39:8,13
fine 118:11,18
finish 9:13,21
finished 116:16
firm 15:15 28:4
first 8:23 9:22 11:8 12:7 15:17 20:16 22:17 35:19 44:10 57:10 61:20 62:6,7 62:18 63:2 64:13 65:9 70:1 76:25 77:3 81:9,16 93:18 94:6 105:18 108:4 111:11,23 112:8 113:7 114:10 119:16 128:25 131:8,9 154:9,18 169:18 177:14 178:20 192:3 215:24 216:5
fiscal 157:7 209:2,18
flip 145:17 154:19
focus 34:3
focused 166:18 166:20
folks 180:2
follow 129:6 144:20 145:6 193:15 210:10
followed 70:1 129:2 192:19 193:13,17,19
following 27:8 184:11
follows 8:24 220:8
foregoing 219:6,8
forgot 67:16
forgotten 174:19
form 33:16 41:19 89:1 101:12 124:21 168:10 207:23
formal 11:1 26:9,12 120:24
format 156:13
former 136:1 137:16
formula 128:8
formulated 156:18
formulating 168:11
forsyth 134:10 134:16 178:21 179:7
forth 80:20 199:15 200:20 204:8
forward 76:18
found 35:4 39:9,11 40:4,5
four 15:9 52:16 65:12 84:13 131:6 213:19

frame 17:10 20:11 26:17 95:9 114:2
francisco 3:7
frcp 221:1
full 9:6 60:22 175:19 176:4 188:17
fully 10:8 175:22
fulton 135:6 153:11 219:4
function 22:4 22:14 23:6
functions 22:9
fund 1:6
further 118:11 118:17 219:9
fuzzy 188:1

g

g 3:16
ga 220:4 222:1
gain 141:21
galeo 1:5
gathered 117:4
genberg 4:7
general 17:2,12 22:9 24:19 27:9 29:19 30:8 51:2 54:14 56:15 73:1 90:1 98:21 134:13 136:16 144:14 147:20 161:12 207:18 209:13
generally 16:7 16:11 22:8 30:23 51:17 57:19 134:17 134:17,19 136:18 144:19 146:6 163:18 166:3 170:4,6 185:24 186:9 207:25 215:13 215:22 216:9
geographic 188:8
geographically 141:22
georgia 1:1,4,4 1:9,11,12,22 2:3,17,21 3:22 6:10,12,15,16 8:6,14,16 9:4 11:23 15:6,10 15:11 16:8 21:24 22:9 29:25 31:5,9 31:24 32:8 39:7 41:18 42:23 43:8 47:18 55:8 76:11 77:1 80:13,18 87:13 92:17 98:6 104:12 116:19 127:25 134:10 144:14 154:14 155:10 157:7 161:1 166:13 172:6,13 173:2 175:13 179:23 180:23 182:3 189:14,21 191:8 195:16 201:8 204:23 205:4,16 207:25 208:2 208:22,24 209:10,17 212:9,11,18 219:3 220:4 222:1
georgia's 30:24 44:7,11 201:20 202:11 203:12 203:20
georgians 42:4 42:4,13,13 54:18 202:5,21 210:3
gerrymander 68:15
getting 92:24 93:4 120:10 195:5
gina 29:5 53:2 53:23 62:9 70:22,24 71:6 71:23 105:5 116:25 122:9 148:24 164:16 164:17 193:20
give 10:14 44:17,23 49:7 59:15 73:2 79:2 135:15 153:3 167:8 169:18 176:19 200:7
given 119:19 119:20,25 127:17 148:2 156:14,17 159:9 219:9
gives 49:1,13
giving 10:5 180:1
gngc00004299 7:21 195:8
go 18:14 21:7 23:2 31:5 37:22 44:2 67:2,10 75:14 76:7 91:10 149:15 163:24 188:7 191:24 195:18 196:4 198:5 217:11
goal 70:1 125:23 126:2,6 126:20 127:5,7 131:22,25 157:7,22 182:17 187:13
goes 41:1
going 9:9,10 13:11 15:3

21:7 27:24 30:21 33:4,5,8 33:15,17 34:3 37:11 50:1,4 56:4,24 59:14 62:14 65:25 66:21,22,24 67:4,10 68:17 74:11,16 75:7 75:14 76:6,17 79:24 80:16 91:16 92:19 93:5 105:9 108:22 109:1,8 110:21 124:3 129:9,11 130:11 135:13 139:21 142:17 142:17,18 143:25 144:4,9 145:14 148:16 149:12,14 153:17,25 158:10 166:1 169:10,16 174:10,18 178:2 184:2 187:15 190:15 191:24 192:8 192:25 195:7 196:4 209:18 212:8,13 218:13
good 9:3 66:25 91:19 92:9,20 109:6 124:24 157:24 159:11 193:22
gotten 152:3 173:23 188:21 195:5 201:5
governed 174:7
government 11:25 184:9 209:3,3,19,19
governments 38:24
governor 1:10 43:19 76:19 79:8 89:25 101:21 120:12
gracious 198:22
graduation 42:3
grain 118:11 118:18
grant 3:14 211:19
great 191:11 199:13 202:10 204:2,13,21 206:20 207:16 209:23 210:4
greene 144:12
greene's 137:13
grew 189:22 190:1,2
grocery 206:10 207:4
ground 9:10
grounds 28:5
group 3:15 55:7,10 166:14 211:18
group's 55:11
groups 56:10 98:7 163:12 166:7 214:22
growing 157:10
grown 185:21
growth 141:23 142:2 157:6,8 161:17 162:3 179:6,8 185:16 185:25 186:4 188:3
guaranteed 91:17
guardian 67:18
gubernatorial 43:19,23
guess 60:16 112:24 113:2 119:4 130:3 199:23 206:23 210:24 214:9 216:22
guessed 130:3
guessing 24:25 26:20 65:11
guide 20:6
guidelines 6:8 55:20 68:25 69:3,13 84:20 93:3 102:11 103:19,22,24 104:9,20,21 105:1,3,11,23 106:2 115:7 116:12 126:4 139:25 147:23 149:23 168:12 215:4
guides 185:17
gwinnett 16:9 16:10 67:22 153:14 180:17 180:22 181:6,7 181:11 205:24

h

h 222:3
hale 197:23 198:11
half 126:23 127:9
hall 7:15 25:5 82:19 102:23 110:10,13,14 110:18 164:13 174:11 175:6 175:21 183:4 183:17 187:8 211:3
halls 25:4 56:22 78:8 96:1 97:25 98:10 104:14 105:19 161:2 175:12,17

177:20 178:18 181:14 182:2 183:14 186:11 186:16 187:18 188:7 190:22
hand 212:13
handful 214:3
handle 187:1
handled 220:8
handwriting 34:19 170:15
handwritten 34:21 68:3
happen 71:24 92:19
happened 129:7 181:25 182:10
happening 175:15
happy 139:22
haralson 138:15
harassment 181:13
hard 33:13,16 105:5 151:19 158:4 169:14 195:5
hartley 3:5 210:10
hartley.west 3:8
hb1ex 6:17 111:8
hd104 150:23 151:11,14
hd44 146:17
hd48 148:15,18
hd49 150:3,5
hd52 150:7,18 150:20
head 9:16 14:4 109:15 110:4 117:24 136:23 140:14 145:1 159:16 188:21
heading 154:20 156:5
hear 96:6 129:16 156:24 160:25 176:16 179:16 216:14 218:8
heard 23:3 31:1 72:6 96:1 104:14 105:20 138:4 173:4 204:25 216:16
hearing 12:7 26:3,14 32:12 70:13 86:7 103:6 111:23 112:1 120:4 154:18 160:7 161:7,7 163:1 166:13 178:25 179:22,24 180:25 181:3 216:12 218:5 219:9
hearings 7:15 51:19 52:1,11 82:17 100:3 102:24 103:2 111:16,18,22 141:5 202:24 203:10,25 212:14,19,25 213:7,8,11
hears 213:7
held 17:11 102:23 157:5 175:12 176:2 183:14 203:5 203:25 204:10 215:21 216:2
helped 68:14
helpful 165:13 165:14
hi 197:22,22 211:17
high 42:3 138:8 205:25
higher 75:21 105:11 158:6
highest 127:17
highlight 116:25
hill 16:12
hire 29:14,15 30:5,8 109:13
hired 29:19,23 30:1 94:24 113:23 174:2
hiring 30:14,18 140:13
hispanic 124:15 167:14
historic 41:15
historically 104:12 205:6
history 30:24 31:3,7 44:7,11 171:6
hold 7:14 15:21 15:22 82:16 178:18 180:15 180:16,18,20 180:25 203:9 214:20 216:12
holding 90:14 90:18
home 211:13
homes 207:3
honored 58:6
houk 2:10
hour 12:15,15 66:25 108:24 144:1
hours 12:17 33:11 105:4 193:23 212:25 213:3,7,8
house 6:12,17 6:17 7:11,13 11:4 12:2,3 15:20 16:6 18:4,9,20,22 19:6,15 21:25

22:3,5 23:9,12 26:25 27:1 36:20,21 38:23 50:21 51:1,9 64:3 80:13,18 82:10 87:13 94:3 100:9 107:9,21,23 111:8,10 112:22 121:19 123:1 124:22 125:23 126:6 145:15,21 146:6,10 148:5 149:15 153:9 154:14 163:15 172:23 174:16 175:12 182:14 182:25 183:3 188:25 199:5 201:23 202:1 203:9 213:18 213:20 216:17
huh 40:8 95:18 170:10 213:9
hundreds 214:9
hungry 144:14
husband 27:23
hybrid 1:17

i

idea 65:6 126:25 148:13 195:25
ideal 132:25 133:3,11,16 157:5
ideals 165:8
identification 34:14 59:17 68:20 75:10 80:2 93:8 110:22,24 130:5,13 135:17 142:21 154:1,3 169:11 169:21 174:24 178:5 184:5 195:10
identified 33:6 68:3 195:8 196:5
identify 8:12 17:1,11 134:8
identifying 48:22
identity 37:18 37:23
iii 69:6
imagine 58:17
impact 160:3 172:14 215:18
impassioned 181:19
implement 53:19 65:23
implemented 58:13 62:1 63:5,12 81:14 91:19
import 32:16 32:21
importance 127:21 128:2 192:20
important 7:18 32:25 70:1 92:3 131:25 176:17 179:15 180:6 185:13
impossible 128:6 151:21 193:15
inaccessible 183:5
include 110:9 110:12,14 139:16 140:2 168:19 201:7
included 137:25 139:14 143:20 201:9 201:10 216:18 220:14 221:3
including 137:21
income 41:16 42:7
incorporate 39:16 55:24 58:2 157:25 164:10
incorporating 142:12
increase 189:16 190:11 201:24 202:15
increased 138:22 139:3 155:10,17 204:17
incumbent 132:20 196:12 196:19
incumbent's 196:21
indented 35:21
independent 20:20 89:8 183:20
independently 108:16 155:6 156:10
index 5:1 6:1 7:1
indicate 170:16
indicated 36:9
indicates 132:24
individual 51:1 61:8 70:20 125:7
individuals 88:21
industries 136:11 206:5,5
industry 206:10

inevitable 131:21 148:3
infinite 102:20 128:8
inform 124:25
information 7:10 23:24 32:10 48:14 49:22 55:4,9 55:16,17,21 56:15 57:15,24 101:5 117:6,18 118:24 122:13 122:15,17 124:12 147:1 149:1 155:4,7 156:8,11,14 176:7,10,11 182:7 183:22 190:4,6,23 194:4,7,9,13 201:1,5,7
informed 60:7 185:23
initial 152:18 186:12
initially 184:21
input 63:1,15 65:1 77:18 79:21 82:6 85:17,21 88:4 89:11 97:17 98:23 103:9,11 109:21 114:20 114:25 119:19 119:20 123:25 125:8 141:14 160:20 164:10
inside 206:25
instance 112:8 170:21
instances 43:7 129:5 158:6
instant 113:7
instruct 10:3 50:4 74:16 82:13 112:10
instructed 73:12,12,21 74:3,9 112:7 112:13 113:1,6 113:11
instruction 168:6 171:9
instructional 66:4
intact 104:6
intent 170:24
interact 21:12
interactions 191:7
interest 51:7 83:20,24 84:14 84:17,20 103:24 104:3 127:22 137:4 158:7,17 165:18,20 176:11 187:11 193:3 206:22
interested 19:7 73:19 106:2 214:22 219:11
interests 193:11 216:24
intern 4:5,6
internalize 176:25
introduce 59:14 75:7 93:5 129:11 130:11 178:2
introduced 87:4 111:23 135:19
introduction 154:14
investigate 155:6 156:10
invitation 191:9
invite 217:24
invited 55:4 162:24
inviting 214:21
involve 21:10 21:15
involved 30:17 39:19 82:25 98:22 128:16 177:15
involvement 107:1
involving 165:20 198:14
issue 84:9 96:2 98:13 102:1,15 142:7 208:19
issued 198:18
issues 51:7 72:1 105:14 116:1 209:14

j

jack 4:7
jackson 2:5 8:15,15
jagstetter 2:16 220:1
jan 29:7 66:13 66:13 179:10
january 1:20 8:1,7 18:2 33:9 220:5
jarard 2:16
jarrard 2:18 220:2
jaugstetter 8:19,19 10:21 10:23 33:22 34:2,8,12 41:19 50:1 74:11 80:25 81:3 197:17,20 198:5
jeopardizing 105:11
job 43:14 173:25 193:22 220:5

jobs 23:2 176:4
joe 27:4 51:21
john 143:19,23 177:4
join 180:2
joint 6:14 14:24 51:25 90:14
jones 3:15 29:7 66:14 179:10 211:17,18
judicial 8:5
judiciary 17:7
julie 2:10 6:22 130:20,22
jump 198:2
june 6:6 60:2 175:9,11
jurisdictional 104:9

k

k 2:12 3:5
karate 205:1
keep 40:15 104:15,18 105:10 126:11 127:22 176:17 193:7,8,10 198:3
keeping 104:6 126:5 147:21
kemp 1:9 43:19 89:25 120:12
kennedy 25:10 25:15 27:4 29:9 51:21,23 76:19 77:12,18 79:5 87:12 90:9 99:19,19 101:21 107:6 107:17 143:20 143:23 177:4,5 178:11
kennedy's 28:12 189:11
key 93:4
kids 187:12
kin 219:9
kind 12:24 63:19 65:11 84:13 87:4 176:10 179:9 180:9 181:8,10 181:20 188:11 188:13,21 198:15 208:19 212:8
kinds 200:18
knew 13:4,4,10 22:18 37:9 91:16 93:3 110:10 149:3 160:14 181:17 185:24 186:8 187:11,23 189:20 201:24
knight 53:4,23 70:23 215:11
know 9:11,18 9:19,24 11:7 13:4 18:12 23:1,2,7 24:25 26:7 29:25 30:10,17,20 31:4 32:23,24 33:2 35:17,22 37:9 38:7,18 38:21 39:1,12 40:1 41:5,7,7,8 41:12,21 44:12 45:12 46:10,13 47:1,5,19 48:18,20,21 49:10 53:9,12 60:20,24,24 63:5 64:15,18 64:20,21,25 65:1,2,22 66:20,23 67:19 68:7,11 71:9 72:6,9,10,13,15 72:17 73:9,16 73:18,20,22 75:21 76:2,3 77:20 81:12,18 82:19 83:10,10 83:16 84:5,5,7 85:9,13,19 86:14 88:7 90:11,23,23 91:4 92:9,15 92:18,19 94:16 94:21,23 95:1 95:9 96:11 100:20 107:7 112:9,12,12,17 112:19,23 113:6,13,21,22 113:25 114:2,8 114:23,25 116:5 117:3,5 117:16,17 118:4,21,23 119:3,4,5,7,13 122:12,16,19 123:24 124:9 124:20 127:21 127:22,24,25 128:20 132:6,6 133:23 134:21 134:22 137:7,8 137:11,18,20 137:23 138:6,9 138:11,17 139:17,18 140:4 141:4 143:9 145:11 146:21 147:2,3 147:6,7 148:11 149:13,24 150:4,6 151:20 152:20 153:18 153:23 157:22 158:5,15 160:9 164:18 165:4,5 165:20 171:19 172:4 174:3,4 176:12,20,20 177:11,13 180:13,19

183:2,4 185:20 186:8 187:9,13 188:12,19,20 188:22 189:16 189:18 190:10 191:21 194:3 194:11,16,18 194:21,25 196:1,18,18,19 197:6,9,23 198:16,19 200:1,1,9,25 202:12 203:20 204:6 205:19 206:23,24 207:9,10,13 208:16,16,17 208:17 210:17 211:6,7,20 212:4 215:8 217:22,23
knowing 127:8
knowledge 19:21,24 30:4 41:24 42:5,11 42:14,18,21 63:21 70:19 74:14 93:25 100:18 112:5 114:6 136:9 183:16 185:14 185:15 192:18
known 206:9
kristy 4:6

l

labeled 33:18 191:25
lady 161:10
languages 182:8 183:22
large 33:17 40:13 126:19 169:14 205:6
largest 122:21 158:12
laross 3:20 8:17
late 37:10 60:10 187:23
latino 1:5 47:11 124:15 156:5 162:3,7 206:1
latinos 31:9 42:17
latinx 43:15
law 2:11 3:15 4:9 15:10,11 15:13,14,15 28:4 38:10 41:7 50:10 58:14 69:18,25 70:6 82:23 89:3 92:1 93:2 94:14 104:4 149:23 158:14 177:4 211:18
lawsuit 10:17 11:12,14
lawyer 16:15 21:10 32:2,7 37:1,3 70:5 96:10 200:16 200:25 201:2 203:6,25
lawyers 2:11 4:9 32:19 37:18 89:5,8 94:24 101:15 108:20 113:23 115:19 125:14 149:22 167:18
lcro 6:6 18:18 21:5 22:11,21 28:13,18 29:1 52:5,25 57:3 57:14 58:16 59:23 62:12,21 64:12 65:21 70:13,19,25 71:3,7 72:18 74:22 83:2 85:8 86:8 94:4 96:16 98:18 99:7,13 100:18 106:22 107:12 107:14 109:24 110:6 119:13 119:21 122:7 165:1 177:18 189:9 194:4 195:3 199:15 200:19 203:3 210:16
lcro's 22:14
lead 68:10 107:8,9
leader 36:9 74:24 215:25 216:19
leadership 73:11 74:6 77:19 85:14,17 109:17
leagues 84:11
leaning 137:20
learn 11:8 19:10 20:2 23:21 48:5 138:20 155:13
learned 20:12 22:19 23:16,18 31:15 40:11 48:12 166:8 168:9
learning 20:1 64:8,11 86:6 190:4,6
lee 1:23 219:20
left 145:24 170:8
legacy 60:19 185:3
legal 21:17 95:4 103:23 108:21 115:6 116:12,16 124:24 128:1,3 128:25 129:2,6

139:25 220:7
legally 158:2
legis00000312 6:9 68:18 191:25
legis00002892 6:7 59:19
legis00002902 7:17 178:7
legis00003224 7:8 142:24
legis00003531 7:9 154:1
legis00010310 6:24 130:16
legis00020482 7:12 169:15
legis00020767 6:4 33:6
legis00020777 33:19
legislation 172:8 209:11
legislative 2:20 11:15 18:4,15 21:23 28:6 33:12 57:22 74:13,15 90:1 90:4,10 91:3 109:11 111:12
legislator 36:2 51:5 63:12 74:13,14 81:15 81:23 82:1 83:15,22 87:24 106:17,19,20 123:3,25 125:8 142:15 147:17 152:22 183:10 216:13
legislators 14:19 21:3 29:6 38:22 53:17 58:12 59:7,11 62:1 63:17 66:9 71:18 73:1,20 74:2,2 84:18 87:16,19 92:2 103:4 114:23 152:14 163:9 165:1 167:16 173:9,16,21 176:4 177:15 182:3 190:21 190:24 191:4 194:18,22,23 196:11
legislature 21:12 27:21 91:12 102:12 171:23 204:9
legislatures 56:8 215:2 217:18
legitimate 165:15
length 109:10
lengths 191:12
lesser 104:11
level 72:5,11 118:4,7,8,8,22 119:5 122:15 179:15
levels 12:1 118:11,12,16 118:18
liberal 164:2
lieutenant 76:19 79:8 101:21
life 9:12 209:5 209:8,20
liked 125:3 178:21
likely 208:13
limited 60:12 81:25 206:12
line 9:21 34:25 35:2,6,19,20 36:13 178:13 180:5 181:5 196:9 220:15 221:4 222:4,7 222:10,13,16 222:19
lines 22:15,19 22:22 31:19 89:2 101:14 104:6,9,10 124:22,24 165:9 166:14 192:23 200:6 215:18
list 132:9 133:2 158:2 179:20
listed 69:20 180:19
listening 92:1
litem 67:18
litigation 195:16,20 198:12
little 13:10 45:20 118:15 134:21 186:20 189:20 198:9 201:4 206:13
live 45:6 134:20 136:6 181:6 205:15 205:20,22 206:7,8,12,17 207:2,3,12
lived 181:7
llp 2:5 3:5,21
lobbying 21:15
lobbyists 56:2 113:23 160:23 162:16 164:22 173:5
local 104:8
located 16:9
location 181:10 183:9
locations 177:20,22 179:20 183:5

locked 220:12 221:1
logic 145:7
logical 131:23
logistically 71:9
logistics 27:15 90:14,18
long 12:13 26:4 101:8 131:5 173:21 188:14 193:9 216:1
longer 15:22 16:13 58:9 172:13 173:3 174:8
look 12:19,24 13:13 14:11 23:19 33:18 61:14 75:12 78:10 80:6,12 92:16 100:6,10 100:21 106:5 108:9 111:2 115:13 117:8 117:10,14 130:10 133:2 135:14 141:10 141:25 142:25 143:4,5,11,13 146:22 147:13 147:14,15 149:2,10,15 152:22 154:6 163:20,25 168:3 169:5,7 169:17,24 170:7 182:18 194:19,23 196:7 203:19
looked 13:18 13:19 68:2 78:18,20,24 100:15 101:20 102:12 106:5 106:21 115:16 115:22,23 116:1 140:8 141:11 143:9 146:23,24,25 148:9 152:22 152:24 164:1 165:15 180:1 180:10,10,11 196:12 215:14 215:18
looking 36:22 69:6 79:18,18 85:23 86:2,4 100:17 113:14 116:14,24 119:12 122:7 131:2 134:7 136:14 146:21 148:13,20 149:7,17 150:25 151:17 155:24 156:25 160:1 170:1,4 178:20,24 179:19 185:4
looks 102:3 170:15 178:17 179:21 184:8
loree 20:8 25:13,14 26:2 53:25 101:11
losing 186:10
loss 92:17
lost 45:23
lot 13:11 27:8 39:24 55:10,16 58:9 76:5 78:7 84:1,2,11,15 86:13 88:3 92:18 115:17 120:10 124:12 127:24 139:18 141:4 160:23 179:6,7 180:12 185:24 209:1 212:4 216:23
low 147:8
lower 42:3,13
lucy 132:14,20

m

made 8:3 10:1 36:20 42:23 43:8 50:14 52:12 53:17 57:11 59:7 71:17,19 76:2 77:7 78:6 83:14 97:20,23 109:13 125:5 126:16 139:16 140:1,6 141:15 142:8 152:1,19 158:16,17 166:17 182:6 184:8 191:20 191:22 200:22 203:16 214:6 214:13
magic 46:13
mailed 162:21 164:23
mailer 43:13
maintain 36:23
maintained 141:20 146:14 148:12 149:25 150:15 151:8 211:10
major 207:13
majority 17:14 28:4 36:9 48:25 49:12 75:19 109:17 154:21 155:1 155:16 156:1,5 157:16,17 159:13 199:5 199:14,17,21 200:2 202:4,19 204:6,15
make 9:12,12 63:10 71:24,24 76:1 82:22 105:22 121:4

122:4 128:12 142:1,3 147:4 149:1,4 153:2 159:2 166:8 170:14,19 198:20 199:9 220:14 221:3
makes 18:22 155:15
making 22:22 119:21 149:9
man 121:11
manufacturing 136:12
map 6:18,19 7:11 22:17 32:19,20,22 49:21 50:11 53:16 58:2 61:9,16,21 62:7,11,16,19 63:7,11,21 64:3,6,19 65:8 65:14 71:21 72:4 76:12,13 76:23,25 77:3 77:9,16 78:13 78:18,21,24 79:1,3,12,18 80:5,11,17,20 81:7,10,17 83:6,9,11,17,18 84:23 85:24 86:3,5,6,9,19 86:21,24 87:4 87:17,22 88:5 89:20 91:19 92:5,8 93:10 93:11,16,18,25 94:3,3,4,7,15 94:18,25 95:10 95:12,14,17,22 96:3,21,24 97:3,7,8,10,15 97:18 98:1,15 98:16,23 99:4 99:8,12,16,19 100:7,22,24 101:5,12,16,19 102:3,10,21,22 103:3,15,20 104:17,19 106:9 111:3,4 111:5,8,13,16 111:23 112:5 112:13,16 113:1,4,7,13,14 113:15,20,24 114:3,11,16,19 114:20,22,24 115:1,3,13,14 115:18 116:24 117:9,14,19 119:10,16,17 120:2,13,22 121:1 122:25 123:1,10,13 125:5,6,9,12,24 126:2,6,20,24 127:6,11,13,14 134:7 135:14 135:22 138:22 139:19,23 140:3,6,8,12 141:25 142:6,9 142:15 143:13 143:14,23 145:17 146:14 148:12 149:25 150:15 151:8 153:9 154:14 154:18 156:2 159:11 161:8 164:5 167:11 167:15,17 170:2 171:19 179:21 180:10 188:17 192:22 194:13,15 197:6 200:13 200:19 202:21 202:25 203:24 204:12 215:8 216:4,10
maps 22:15,22 23:2,6 25:20 46:25 48:16 50:9 52:12,15 52:18,25 53:15 57:10 61:14,15 63:16 69:25 70:5,21,21 71:10,17 76:9 82:14,22,25 84:16 86:12 87:6,12 90:2 90:16 92:11,14 92:16 93:2 94:20 98:11 99:22 100:12 101:24 102:8 102:17 104:15 106:6,7,8,12,20 107:2,9,10,12 107:15,18,22 108:4,13,14 112:7,10 117:23 119:12 122:1 130:7 147:11 154:23 155:1,22 157:20 158:11 158:19 160:4 160:21 163:13 163:15 164:11 164:20 169:5 170:5 185:18 188:20 194:19 194:24 197:8 199:6,14,16 201:23 204:7 204:11 215:25 217:5
marie 2:20 8:20
marion 203:22
marjorie 137:12 144:11
mark 33:5 66:22 68:17 110:21 129:9

129:18,20,23 135:20 142:18 153:25 169:10 174:18 184:2
marked 33:18 34:13 59:16 68:19 75:9 80:1 93:7 110:23 130:4 130:12 135:16 142:20 154:2 169:20 170:11 170:12 174:23 178:4 184:4 195:9
marvin 4:9
materials 162:19 163:20 167:19
matter 14:20 33:14 198:14 198:18
mattered 21:18 38:11
maximum 76:3
mcbath 132:14 132:20
mean 13:23 24:18 31:17 35:16 36:5,15 36:17,18 43:2 45:5 65:22 71:8 72:7 73:17 78:1 83:24,25 84:2 84:15 90:11 91:5,25 96:14 106:17 112:18 113:16 115:17 116:10,14 117:5,17 118:6 131:4 134:15 134:19 136:3 143:22 147:15 158:4 181:4 187:21 200:4 206:16,23 207:9 215:15
meaning 147:16 182:22 195:23
meaningful 45:16,24
means 17:7 49:6 60:20 144:25 206:17
meant 38:9 39:25 144:22 171:1
measured 199:21
media 164:1 177:11
meet 20:5,16 23:25 24:8 27:24 28:13 29:10 51:10 52:15,17 70:24 71:6 73:10,13 73:21 74:3,9 74:24 75:3,17 121:1,15 160:2 160:19 161:16 162:2,13,23 163:1 213:3,17 213:24 214:4
meeting 13:21 24:14 26:8 57:4 58:8,22 61:23 62:5 64:7,8 75:6 98:21 145:12 161:5 166:6 175:6 181:18 204:10 211:4 213:13,16 215:24 216:1,3 216:10,17
meetings 25:1,9 25:21 26:5,10 26:12 27:25 28:1,4,9,25 29:4 51:19 52:3,24 53:15 53:23 57:6,7 61:7 65:7,13 65:18 66:12,14 70:18 71:11,13 72:18 82:17,20 90:15,19 91:13 95:21,24 96:4 96:9,18 97:2 107:14 109:23 112:3 160:24 164:13 174:11 174:13 175:21 187:2 203:5 210:16 214:4 215:21,23
mellman 2:4 5:3,5 8:13,13 9:2,3 33:24 34:3,11,15 42:1 45:20,24 46:2 47:6,9 50:6 59:18 66:23 67:2,8 68:21 74:18 75:11 80:3,9 80:10,23 81:2 81:4,5 93:9 96:8 108:22 109:5 111:1 118:17,20 129:9,11,17,20 130:6,14 133:10,13 135:18 142:22 143:25 144:8 154:5 156:23 157:1 169:23 174:22 175:2 178:6 184:6 185:7,9 190:12 190:19 194:15 194:17 195:11 197:15 217:10 217:13 218:8,9
member 57:19 57:21 61:15

75:23 82:14 89:13,16 105:24 106:3 115:4 119:22 152:6,7 194:8 203:5 207:17 208:2,8 210:17 213:17,20
members 6:6 26:25 40:20 50:21,25 51:9 53:6 56:21,25 57:1,15 59:25 60:1 61:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 71:7 73:3,12 75:17 77:19 85:13,16 88:3 88:6,12 97:23 99:15 103:5 109:25 111:25 120:25 125:2 144:14 161:6 181:13 182:22 187:2 208:7,22 208:23 213:4 213:16 214:3 214:14
memorandum 6:5 59:22
memory 152:10
mention 99:15 168:19 173:12
mentioned 34:4 77:12 89:10 114:18 124:4 152:13 176:3 201:4 204:2 213:16
merit 163:18
messages 13:13
met 20:10 24:7 24:11,23 25:4 28:3,17,19 50:25 56:21 72:25 73:4,7 75:23 87:3 93:2 101:4 121:17 152:1,6 213:21,23
metric 48:21 49:18
metro 136:25 146:4 159:14 177:24 181:9 185:25 188:11 202:11 207:7
metropolitan 153:19 205:13 205:16
mic 133:9
microphone 185:8
mid 60:10
middle 170:8 179:9 196:7 210:3
mike 3:15 211:17
miles 181:4,10 212:21
milestones 7:19
miller 9:8
million 205:17
min 131:24
mind 187:20
mindful 198:21
minimizing 131:25
minority 40:24 41:4,10 74:6 74:20,24 75:17 120:21,25 154:25 157:4 157:12,15,20 158:12,20 159:14 190:21 194:12,19,22 194:22 206:2
minute 59:15 135:13 174:11
minutes 12:14 26:7 101:9
mitchell 74:1,5
mixup 191:9
mjones 3:18
moment 15:4 21:8 56:24 75:8 80:4,6,12 80:24 88:11 124:4 130:10 131:3 134:7 135:15 210:12
monday 33:9 169:13
month 15:23 17:22
months 176:2 209:9 210:1,1
montoya 4:8
monuments 208:9
moring 2:5 8:14,16 9:4
morning 9:3
motivated 73:18
mountain 84:8 142:7
mountains 84:5 179:23
move 25:18 30:21 110:10 133:9 144:12
moved 17:25 134:4 138:14 141:22 153:1 211:12,13
moves 133:19
moving 26:22 94:15 110:17 132:8 133:18 156:20
municipalities 161:11 165:6
municipality 164:14

muscogee 203:22

n

naacp 1:4 2:3 8:14,16 9:5 55:3 162:20 191:8 220:4 222:1
name 9:3,6 18:6 37:9,16 55:11 68:3 116:17 165:13 198:10
named 11:12
names 132:9 138:3
national 56:7 215:1 217:17
nature 103:1 144:18
nc 23:19
ncsl 56:5 166:13,19 168:10,22
ncsl's 23:19
ne 3:16
necessarily 88:24 92:7 132:7 157:17 161:1
necessary 46:6 47:17 167:8 220:14 221:3
need 9:19,21,23 43:16 48:25 179:21 206:13
needed 40:1 65:3 126:16 139:24 141:21 142:1 149:3 152:19 166:25 176:1,4
needs 49:13
negative 87:21
neighborhoods 180:14
neighboring 40:15
never 37:10 38:3 48:1 56:15 92:9 136:7 139:20 139:21 143:20 143:22 204:25 210:21,22 212:10
new 13:6 51:8 61:18 64:10 90:2 134:3,8 138:22 148:18 150:5,20 151:14 173:24 179:6,8
newsletter 54:6
newton 202:13
nguyen 4:6
nights 187:12
nighttime 105:4
nine 209:8
nodded 14:4 109:15 110:4 117:24 136:23 140:14 145:1 159:16
nodding 9:16
non 103:23 138:21 139:3 155:1 157:17 158:2 196:10
nonprofit 98:7 120:6 160:8 162:6
nonprofits 160:23 161:21 162:17 164:23 173:5
north 110:10 135:6 177:24 205:16
northeast 179:22
northern 1:1 16:10 78:7 179:9 181:9 188:10
notating 220:15 221:4
notations 170:14,19
note 33:8 35:21 35:23 39:9 154:20
noted 200:23
notes 13:20 34:22 35:12,14 36:22 57:17 68:3 75:6 155:21 156:18 176:23 199:8 210:15,19 211:1,3,8 212:14 213:1
notified 11:11
november 26:17,19 80:18 86:12 87:17 88:25 89:11 90:5 123:17,19 125:5
number 6:4,7,8 6:23 7:7 40:13 44:5 45:6 57:5 69:19 76:3 88:11,19,20 102:20 110:16 130:16 131:11 133:14,23 142:24 153:3 157:12 158:12 174:20 199:4 199:13 202:4 220:15 221:4
numbers 6:13 6:15,18,21 7:6 7:9,12,15,16,19 7:21 60:6,9 126:19 132:9

[numbers - okay]

151:19 152:12 190:7,8
nw 2:6,12 3:11

o

o'conner 53:7
o.c.g.a. 218:17
oath 10:5
object 9:25 41:19 50:1
objection 10:2 74:12
observing 4:4
obtain 155:3 194:4,12
obtained 20:25
occurred 186:5
offer 125:18
offered 19:9
office 2:20 15:17,19,21,22 15:24 16:1,2 16:13 17:1 18:16 22:16 23:3 25:12 28:4,6,8,10,11 28:12,14,18,22 29:1,13 44:6 53:6 57:23 60:19 62:8,10 62:21 71:4,7,9 76:10,16 77:18 81:8 83:2 84:21 85:14 93:12 94:1 99:2,7 100:12 109:18 111:6 112:6,25 115:16 116:6 117:7 119:13 119:21 120:15 120:19 122:9 124:13 148:25 149:5,22 152:3 164:23 165:1 184:16 185:1 187:17 189:11 194:6 195:6,17 210:18 211:11 211:12 215:10 220:11
offices 79:10 191:4
official 1:10,11
officially 108:7
officials 94:8
oftentimes 179:13
oh 53:13 87:23 113:19 123:21 135:2 146:1,3 146:5 155:21 163:3 175:8 183:2 196:18 213:6
okay 10:10,12 10:16 11:6,8 11:16,16,19,23 12:5,9,13,16 13:1 14:1,6,8 14:11,14,24 15:7 17:7,23 18:3 19:14 20:21 21:5,21 22:21,24 23:8 25:2,6,8 26:9 26:22 27:11,15 27:19 28:21 29:11,18,25 30:11,21 31:16 32:1 33:4 34:12,18,21,24 35:9,25 36:5 36:13,22 37:3 37:6,8 38:3,19 39:2 40:4,7,7 40:19 41:14 42:2,22,22 43:18 44:9,13 45:8,14 47:1 47:16 49:11,17 50:19,19 52:14 53:14 54:2,14 57:9,12,24 58:15,24 59:14 59:22 60:4,15 60:15,21 61:20 62:6 64:24 66:21 67:1,9 68:1,1 69:5,11 71:13,16 74:19 76:4,6,12,17 77:6,15 79:21 79:24 80:19,22 81:3,6,9,16 83:12 88:23 89:24 93:15,17 94:2,10,15,23 95:21 97:9,14 98:2 99:4 100:21 101:2,8 102:2 104:22 105:22 108:22 109:6 110:19 110:21 111:7,9 112:24 118:2,3 121:25 122:22 122:25 123:21 123:23 124:1,3 124:10,15,18 126:18 129:21 130:10 131:7 132:8,12 134:13 135:5 135:13 136:14 141:6 142:17 143:22,25 144:9 146:3,9 148:5,18 149:14,16 150:8,24 153:8 153:25 155:13 156:4,20 166:1 169:10,22,24 170:23,23 174:10,18 175:7,10 180:21 182:2 184:2,20,23 188:23 190:3,9 190:12,12,20

191:24 192:4,8 195:7 196:1,4 196:6 197:2,14 197:14,20 207:24 210:24 211:15 213:5 217:14
old 146:17 148:15 150:3 150:18 151:11 207:22
once 10:1 19:9 23:8 24:11 28:3 29:7 37:21 48:12,12 60:15 77:24 80:5,11 91:18 92:7,8,19 101:7 102:22 108:6 114:19 120:2 188:23 189:8
ones 35:17 58:5 58:19,20 88:13 95:2 128:2 146:11 150:13 204:8
onion 84:3
open 9:21
opened 12:24 152:21
opinion 102:13 125:18 128:25 129:3,6 207:23
opinionated 208:19
opinions 86:24
opportun 44:23
opportunity 44:17,24 49:2 49:8,14 154:25 157:4,12,15,21 158:12,20 167:9 200:8
oral 156:15,16
orally 57:16
order 36:25 65:3 90:2 114:21 172:1 175:25 176:5
ordering 89:25
organizations 54:23 55:2 120:6,8 160:8 217:19
original 128:16 220:10,21
outcomes 55:20
outlined 182:1
outlining 184:9
outside 13:14 29:15,19,23 30:2,5,8,12,14 30:18 52:3 54:23 58:8 70:25 71:7 105:23 106:2 109:14 120:4 163:1 218:4
overall 189:21
overlay 117:15 117:17
overwhelming 141:14 176:13
overwhelmin... 88:2
own 13:14 20:4 38:20 44:2 110:1 112:16 189:4 206:9 211:8

p

p 3:20
p.m. 33:10 218:15
pack 41:10
packing 40:9
pad 155:20
page 5:3 6:2 7:2 34:4,4,9,16 34:25 37:12 69:5 131:7 132:8,13 141:12 146:2 154:9,19 156:20 157:3 169:24 184:22 195:18 196:5 220:15 221:4 222:4,7,10,13 222:16,19
pages 33:13,20 131:6 145:18 169:18 219:8 220:14,17,17 221:3,6,6
paid 160:22 164:22
paper 62:24 87:6 99:5,6
papers 57:22
paradise 20:8 25:13,14 26:2 54:1 101:11
paragraph 60:4 178:20 179:19
paragraphs 132:13 156:22 157:3
parenthesis 132:9
park 35:1,14 36:1
parks 176:21 206:25 207:4
parkwood 3:21
part 16:10 23:18 29:8 32:3 52:13 78:7 84:19 99:3 110:9 114:10 130:23 134:11 136:25 138:15 139:9 140:3 141:7,7 144:13 166:25 167:16 174:12 176:3 177:25

186:1 188:10 188:14 207:2
participation 41:17 119:3
particular 43:9 43:10 44:15,21 45:7,15,21 46:4 59:6 70:8 74:25 78:15 91:23 97:22 98:9 145:20 147:25 148:1 148:21 149:6 151:16 157:18 158:9,10 167:10 170:21 196:17 197:11 200:7 201:13
parties 196:21 198:16 209:1 210:2 213:4 214:24,25 219:10,10
partisan 64:21 72:13 85:11,15 115:25 116:9 116:13,20 117:21 118:5 118:23 120:8 163:19 164:2 166:24 167:5 170:22 196:9 196:10,14,17 196:20 215:18
partisanship 85:4
parts 77:22 81:22 97:15 119:10 138:5 138:14 140:19 181:2 207:10
party 31:19 36:3 74:7,20 75:17,19 109:17 120:22 120:25 157:5 190:21 194:12 194:19,23 196:13,25 207:17,25 208:1,7,8,22,23
pass 91:15 92:6 92:14 209:12
passed 91:6 102:5 127:13
passing 90:25 120:23
passionate 181:22
past 161:18 162:4 201:14
patrick 2:16 8:19 220:1
patrickj 2:18 220:2
paulding 137:6 137:10
paying 11:3
pdf 12:21 220:12 221:1
peachtree 165:21
penalty 220:16 221:5
pendergrass 3:14 197:25 211:19
pennsylvania 2:6 3:11
people 40:14 41:22 45:6 46:25 73:17 78:7 79:14 84:2 86:13,14 86:14 92:8 97:2 126:19 132:25 133:14 133:22 134:3,3 138:21 139:3 139:20,22 140:21 141:4 163:25 165:21 169:8 171:2,3 176:16 180:12 183:5 185:24 205:17 206:8 206:12,17 207:1,14 208:19 209:1 209:24 213:10 213:21
people's 1:5
percent 49:7 155:14 189:22 190:2 209:11
percentage 44:15,16,22 45:15,21 46:5 46:17 47:17 138:21 139:2 167:7
perform 35:9 36:15,23 38:17 38:25 89:7 202:8 203:1
performance 197:7,10
performed 35:4 36:6 37:4,24 38:8 116:2 197:11
period 24:21 25:19 26:23 27:5 28:16 31:4 188:1 199:12 209:25 220:18 221:7
periods 187:24
perjury 220:17 221:6
person 2:4,5,16 2:20 3:20,20 37:19,23 40:13 55:11 112:20 116:1,2 121:14 121:21 174:13

personal 41:23 42:5,9,11,14,18 42:21 70:19 136:9 173:23
personally 10:16
personnel 124:14
persuasive 163:23
pertinent 192:24
phi 3:9 198:12
philip 88:1
phone 120:10
phrase 23:4
physically 70:21
pickens 78:10 96:2 98:13 102:1,6,15 138:16,18 141:15 142:1,3 142:4,12 176:14
piece 84:23 140:2
pieces 63:6 83:18 86:2 153:12,17,21 153:23
place 28:2,5,9 54:16 70:11 175:17,21 179:22 180:2,6
places 131:23 175:25
plaintiff 2:3 10:17
plaintiffs 1:7 3:4,9,14 6:2 7:2 8:14,16 9:5 34:13 59:16 68:19 75:9 80:1 93:7 110:23 130:4 130:12 135:16 142:20 154:2 169:20 174:23 178:4 184:4 195:9 197:24 197:25 198:11 211:19
plan 77:22,24 81:22 88:25 89:11,14,15 101:20 111:11 123:16,16,18 123:20 124:21 131:14,15,16 186:16
planning 187:18
plans 51:20 69:8 172:14
play 195:21 196:2
plays 207:12
please 8:12,22 9:6,18 60:5 69:7 131:19 139:20,21 195:19
point 20:24 28:15 38:14 46:5,9,12,14,16 46:17 47:11 48:1,2,18,19 49:11 69:4 82:13 131:8,8 131:10 200:10
points 46:22 48:6,22 70:8 70:10 72:19 154:17 156:19
polarized 31:14 31:24 35:3,10 36:6,16,24 37:4,24 39:7 39:12 49:5
policies 157:7 172:20 174:6
policy 209:3,18
politely 218:2
political 21:17 41:17 116:19 173:22 197:7,9 214:24 215:13 215:15
pop 122:11 124:5 147:11
popped 124:7 147:2
popu 155:9
populate 117:1 122:3,4 149:1
populated 117:11
population 44:16,22 45:3 45:10,15,22 46:6 47:17 49:6 60:6,9 70:8 92:17 122:21 127:10 138:8 141:21 141:22 142:2 143:12,17 147:7 155:10 155:17 162:4,8 162:9 167:8 180:11 185:17 186:1 188:3 189:22 190:1 190:11 199:21 199:22 200:7 201:20,21,25 202:3,11,15 203:13,20 204:17 205:6 206:1,1,1
populous 153:19 180:22
portal 164:5,19 214:6,10
portion 71:21 136:15 137:4,6 137:21

portions 116:24 123:12 137:24 145:18
position 17:16 19:8,11 53:9 92:23 157:19 158:11
positive 88:2
poss 122:20
possibilities 128:8
possible 58:18 58:25 105:10 116:15 122:20 126:12 131:22 139:20 157:21 157:23 158:13 193:8,9,22 195:20 198:21 198:25
possibly 91:20 121:12 195:21
posted 194:9
posts 194:6
powder 137:25 138:10
power 144:14
practicable 104:16
practical 182:16
practice 38:10 115:19 177:4 211:5
practices 187:12
precinct 118:9 118:10 119:6 122:15 131:20 193:2,21
precincts 51:7 131:22 193:8
preclear 172:14
preclearance 31:6 172:7,10 173:3 195:21 195:22 196:1 212:8,11
predominantly 179:17
preoccupied 189:7
preparation 100:8
prepare 12:5 12:19 14:9,15 87:1 101:2 107:20,25
prepared 14:25 106:22 123:5
preparing 37:21 107:9,10 169:4
presence 70:25 71:14
present 4:4 8:11 25:8,10 29:5 31:24 70:9 72:22 86:6 87:1 94:5 100:24 101:2 105:18,19
presentation 108:1,2 166:17 191:13,15,17
presentations 52:11 100:9 166:8
presented 48:16 61:24 62:5 89:1 101:13 103:3 107:22 124:22 161:8 162:20 163:25 215:25 216:5,6,10
presenting 107:20
preserving 125:22 126:1
press 175:4
pretty 79:19 137:9
previous 30:2 116:2 169:9 173:13 177:23 178:24 187:24 199:12 200:13
previously 30:1 37:1 101:20 199:6,16
primarily 215:9
primary 43:23 119:2 136:11
principle 47:10 128:13 192:14 192:18 193:6 193:16,17,19
principles 127:16,17 128:5 158:3,14 159:4 168:14 192:1,5,9
printed 78:18 116:23 175:8
printout 184:8
printouts 76:9
prior 6:19 7:3 11:10,13 16:22 19:12,22 24:6 26:2 43:14 49:11 50:19 52:17,18 64:6 84:24 85:24 86:3,12 95:5 130:8 135:23 137:5 155:10 169:5 194:19 194:24 202:21
priorities 132:4
prioritize 69:22
priority 104:7 127:18
private 209:20
privilege 50:2,8 74:15

pro 209:5,8
probably 12:14 20:18,18 24:8 24:23 25:4,7 26:1,11,12 27:23 29:5 31:22 37:21 66:4 74:1 77:4 86:13,14 88:16 91:21 108:2,9 114:21 121:12 140:6 153:5,7 158:4 159:5,7 162:10,19 166:19 181:10 181:18 186:10 193:15 206:25 209:11
problem 201:3 203:18
problems 66:6
procedure 9:10 172:21 218:17 220:19,20
procedures 174:7
proceeding 16:20
process 11:11 11:18,19 13:3 13:8 19:18,22 20:3,6,23 21:22 22:7 23:17,21 24:1 24:3,18 29:16 30:6,18 31:6 32:17 37:10 39:20 40:11 45:10 46:21 48:4 50:22 51:15 52:6 54:7 55:20 56:16,19 63:22 64:4,8 65:24 66:2,6 74:14 75:4 85:18 103:15 109:12 116:19,20 121:22 128:7 145:10 160:20 165:3 167:22 168:2,8 170:22 172:15,20 173:18,19,20 174:7 175:13 182:7 187:22 188:17 192:19 193:13 194:5 194:13,15 196:15 212:6 212:15,17 213:14 215:5,8 216:23
processes 177:23 187:25
proclamation 89:25
produced 12:22 13:5,15 13:18 33:9,12 33:25 34:7 75:6 76:15 80:14 93:11 121:13 129:12 167:25 169:13 176:22 195:16 211:4,14
profession 16:14
program 81:1
progress 194:2
prohibited 196:11
prohibition 196:9
promoted 55:19
promulgated 165:8
prop 191:12
proposal 216:13
proposals 113:18 204:3 216:19
propose 143:6
proposed 6:14 68:9 77:3 81:10,17 93:18 94:20 95:11,14 95:17 98:16 106:10,11,17 106:20,21 123:2,3,6,16,18 201:22
proposing 70:6
propriety 140:12
prospect 140:18
protect 171:3
protected 199:11,19 200:1,5,13,14 200:24 204:7
proud 157:11
provide 22:24 34:5 35:7 55:16 57:14,22 63:1,15 79:3 79:21 82:6 85:20 86:8 89:11 97:17 98:11 103:8,11 108:14 109:21
provided 12:21 38:14 55:4,9 55:21 57:15,21 100:11 104:20 115:24 163:21 167:20,23 182:8 220:19 221:8
provides 100:12 115:16
providing 217:4
public 77:5,7 78:8 88:6 100:3 102:24

103:1,5 112:1 112:2 139:7 141:14 142:14 160:20 161:6 164:3,5,19 165:1,2 181:13 185:20 194:3,8 208:10 212:19 212:25 213:6,7 213:8 214:7 215:23 216:2,7 216:9 218:4
publication 76:22 84:24 85:24 86:3,12 87:17 119:17 121:1 194:20 194:24 195:12
publicly 191:5
publish 183:21
published 52:19,19 77:5 77:25 79:13 80:17,18 81:13 81:20 83:9,13 83:16,17,19 92:5 93:19,20 93:23 96:22,25 99:10,22 100:4 101:20 102:22 108:7,11 114:13 123:11 123:13
pulled 152:4
purdue 43:25
purely 83:2
purpose 30:2 116:8,9 117:22
purposes 40:2
pursuant 8:3 218:16
put 22:6 76:18 95:20 132:3 167:3 177:13 204:8 211:9
putting 40:13 80:20 200:19

q

qualified 125:21
quality 78:13
question 9:14 9:17,17,25 10:2 24:21 43:5 44:19 50:4 74:17 75:15 80:8 96:7 118:1 126:10 128:6 128:11,23 129:1,23 159:18,21 201:15 202:16 203:3,11 210:15
questioning 9:21
questions 10:8 30:22 67:11 103:4 109:9 111:24 144:10 148:17 149:14 166:2 182:3 197:15,18 198:1,4,17,24 199:1,2 204:12 204:21 207:16 210:4,8,10 211:20,24 212:15 217:8 219:7
quite 55:12

r

r 170:12 219:1 222:3,3
r&s 221:1,9
race 40:14,14 40:21 43:4,11 44:2 122:20 157:18 168:19 200:7
races 31:18 124:7 171:2 201:13
racial 30:24 42:22 65:2 72:16 117:8,14 117:17 119:5 122:1,10 124:4 124:5 138:13 146:16,25 148:14,22 150:2,17 151:10 160:3 162:14 163:5 169:2 171:24 189:13
racially 31:13 31:23 35:3,10 36:6,15,24 37:4,24 39:7 39:12 49:5 68:14
raffensperger 1:11 120:17 198:12
raise 106:1 190:21
ran 43:19 48:3
range 65:11 84:8 142:7
rank 132:7 158:1,5
ranking 105:11
rate 75:19,21
rates 42:3,10
rather 9:15
rationale 145:7 179:4
reach 56:2 131:21
reached 55:3,3
reaching 131:22
read 23:20 34:24 36:5,14 37:13 40:1 43:15 60:4 69:6 131:3,3,9

131:18 156:21 157:2 195:19 196:8
reading 38:15 155:23 220:23 221:9
really 11:7 13:22 17:15 19:19 22:5 23:1,20 24:10 24:24,25 26:6 26:19 27:23 41:5,5,22 51:4 54:20 56:16 65:10 66:3,5 66:20 72:8,8 88:2 100:20 105:5 112:14 114:22 117:16 125:20 127:21 128:18 136:8,8 137:7,8,11,20 137:23 138:17 138:17 139:17 142:5,10 144:19 145:2,2 147:10 158:8 164:13 165:8 166:20 171:8 187:25 188:21 189:10 194:11 194:16 197:9 199:23 200:9 206:9 207:3,9 208:16
reapportionm... 7:14 18:5,11 18:16 60:19 111:6 112:6 115:15 149:22 152:3 184:15 185:1 187:17 194:6 215:10
reason 10:7 65:5 89:18 134:21 139:23 189:25 222:6,9 222:12,15,18 222:21
reasonable 182:21
reasons 176:19 202:7
rec 84:11
recall 13:17,18 13:24 25:16,17 28:8 29:3 32:3 32:13 35:12 38:13,14,16 39:4,5,24 40:3 43:18,22 50:13 52:25 53:7 54:7,19,21 55:18 56:4,12 59:2,6 60:18 62:1,20,25 66:13,16 68:2 70:9,13 73:6 75:2,5 79:7,14 80:20 81:14 87:23 88:13,15 95:16 99:17 102:9,18,19 103:17,18 108:5 111:10 115:21 118:14 124:7,15 125:11 128:19 139:7 140:16 140:23 141:6 142:9,11 143:16 144:11 144:16,18 146:15,21,22 147:24 148:1 149:17 150:9 150:25 151:23 152:9,17,20 155:9,12,19 156:13,16,19 158:21 159:8 159:10 160:5,5 160:11,15 161:4,24 162:12,20 163:8,10 164:21 166:9 168:24 170:1,4 175:15,17 176:15 183:15 183:24 184:24 185:1 187:7 190:4,24 191:5 191:22,23 212:24 214:21 216:4
receipt 63:24
receive 54:9,9 60:13,17 88:4 167:16 168:6 168:13 171:9 176:6 183:8 214:7 215:23
received 39:24 39:25 54:12 60:6,15 61:3,3 74:19 88:9 166:4 168:4,18 184:17,18 191:1 210:25 214:9
receiving 60:9 92:8 139:7 140:16 184:24 188:5
recent 42:23
recite 205:18
recognize 37:16 76:8,9 80:5,11 93:10 111:3,4,5 130:7,17 131:5 135:22,23 154:7,8 175:3 178:8
recognized 176:12
recollection 13:2,8,9 20:20 24:10 79:16

87:18 141:2 151:18 161:15 165:17 170:3 183:20 190:8 203:15
recommendat... 105:23 191:19
record 8:12 9:7 9:12 18:3 67:2 67:4,5,7 109:1 109:2,4,6 129:8,10 144:5 144:7 166:12 190:15,16,18 218:14
records 183:18
red 23:19
redistrict 96:15 186:22
redistricting 6:18 11:18,19 11:22 12:4 13:2 16:23 17:8,13,18,24 18:1,11 19:20 19:22 20:3,22 21:10,22,24 22:20 23:17,20 23:25 24:3,18 28:11 29:12,16 30:3,6,18 31:15 32:16 35:17 39:17,18 40:10,17,23 41:9 44:14 45:10 46:21 50:22 51:15 52:6 54:3,11 54:24 55:20,25 56:3,11,19 57:23 61:2 62:8 63:22 69:13 75:4 76:10,16 77:18 78:23 81:8 83:5 84:21 85:14,17 89:4 93:3,12 94:1 96:11,13 100:12 102:11 103:19 109:12 111:8,11 116:18 121:22 124:13 126:8 127:16 128:4 132:1 139:25 145:4,10 147:22 151:21 158:2,14 159:3 162:15 163:7 164:4 165:2 166:2,5,22 168:2,7,14 169:2 171:14 172:8,14,15 173:13,14,18 173:18 174:1,7 174:14,16 175:13 177:23 182:7 183:13 183:19,21 185:5,10 186:22 187:24 190:22 192:1,5 192:19 193:13 194:5 196:15 199:7,12 201:6 201:14 211:1 212:10,18 213:3,14 214:22 217:5
redistricting.... 7:21
redrawing 146:10 149:20 150:12 151:4
reduced 219:7
refer 18:8,13 18:18 168:1 205:3
reference 18:8 132:18 168:4 169:7 173:2,9
referenced 185:3 220:6
referring 21:22 34:16 35:13 41:14 60:19 99:1
reflect 104:2 201:12
reflected 81:23
refresh 13:1
refused 35:7
regard 48:2 61:2,9 62:15 82:14 102:4 122:1 167:13 210:25 211:2
regarding 65:8 76:20 95:21 152:15 165:18 191:7,9 211:1 217:16
regardless 46:16
region 202:12 203:14 205:3 205:13 207:7
regions 205:19
regular 24:9,19 25:1 27:9 91:11 164:9 219:10
regularly 164:7 164:8
regulations 8:4
reiterate 26:13
related 21:17 33:14 145:4 166:5 181:23
relating 104:1
relationship 10:22
relative 46:21 78:2 126:24
relatively 135:9 135:9

release 25:19 50:19 56:20 175:4 183:14 186:17 187:19
released 7:11 54:4,11,25 56:3 175:20,22 176:8 183:25 184:14,21 185:6,11 186:12 188:18 188:23 189:8 220:21
relied 193:20 196:14,19
remark 173:22
remarks 73:6
remember 17:9 26:6 27:3 35:16 37:7 43:25 52:21,22 55:11,11,13 60:18 61:24 63:3,14 67:20 68:5 77:4 78:5 78:9,11 89:16 95:19 96:3 97:24 98:8,13 98:14 101:25 105:17 119:19 120:7,9,11 121:8,10,11 123:22 124:2 124:11,20 125:8 138:18 138:19 140:20 143:10 144:23 145:13 150:16 151:9,12,17 152:11 153:1 153:13,17 159:6 161:22 164:12 166:15 167:11 168:25 170:20 177:1 179:3 181:25 187:9,16 190:6 203:17
remembered 67:13 96:3
remove 208:14
removed 133:22
removing 126:18 208:9
repeat 43:5 44:19
repeatedly 159:17
rephrase 9:18
report 112:18
reported 68:14 183:12 189:24
reporter 8:3,21 9:11 45:19 46:1 47:4,8 80:7 96:6 118:12,15,19 129:15,22 130:2 133:6,12 156:23 174:21 185:7 194:14 218:7,11
reporting 8:5
reports 112:19 112:20
represent 16:5 76:17 80:16 93:13 111:7 171:4 179:10 179:11 195:15 205:2,15,23 219:8
representative 10:4 12:2 13:21,24 66:13 67:9 68:22 129:13,17 171:5 190:20 197:2 211:21 212:3 216:22
representatives 151:25 218:4
represented 16:6 89:5 124:23 179:14 181:6
representing 9:4 10:19 95:2 198:11 211:18
repub 179:11
republican 36:21 43:22 73:4 134:20 137:19 157:9 170:17 179:11 207:17,21 208:1,8,15,23 209:15 217:18
republicans 73:8 116:15 157:6 208:17 209:10 213:23 213:25
request 36:25 75:22,25 80:15 98:19 104:13 110:19 177:14 182:21
requested 35:8 36:14,19 38:24 57:17,20 62:2 71:23 83:15,22 147:4,18 221:1 221:9,10
requests 53:17 53:20 57:1,3 58:2,13,15,18 59:3,7,12 62:2 63:16 65:14,23 66:3,7,8 74:20 74:21 75:16,20 76:1 81:15,24 82:1,15 83:15 88:19 89:13,16 96:1 97:20,22 97:25 104:18 105:24 106:3 109:25 110:1,3 110:8 115:4

119:22 152:1 152:15 165:6,7 182:6,11
require 159:19 202:18
required 105:4 158:2 159:13 159:15 172:7 172:14 173:3 202:3 204:19
requirement 49:4 172:11
requirements 45:9,12 108:21 116:12,17 128:3
requires 117:19
reserved 218:19
reside 15:5
residences 196:12,19
residents 98:6 126:24 139:8,9 140:17 145:9 161:2 182:4
resign 17:25
resolved 128:5
resolving 128:21
resources 182:15
respect 56:19 97:25 141:12 141:14 193:16
respected 102:11 104:23
respecting 104:8 127:23
respects 131:13
respond 9:14 9:15 112:2 218:1
responded 54:13 141:13
response 74:10 80:15 159:8
responsibilities 19:15
responsibility 19:17
responsible 64:13 128:21
restaurants 207:13
result 71:25 182:10 219:11
results 38:10 38:12 39:3,4 39:22 183:25 184:14 188:5 190:5 196:13 196:23 197:13 201:10,12 215:16
retained 20:5 37:5 94:13 126:23 127:9 202:8,25
retirement 17:8
retraction 185:17 186:1
retrievable 116:6 117:6
return 220:17 221:6
reveal 74:12
revealing 23:23
review 23:3 49:17 55:21 77:24 78:2,16 83:19 84:24 85:23,24 86:5 87:6,9 89:7 99:4,5,8 100:13 101:12 125:12 140:13 158:19 159:12 159:15 164:3 188:24 189:4 191:17 201:17 214:14 220:8 220:10,13 221:2
reviewed 59:20 76:25 79:17 83:9 84:16 86:3 115:13 192:23 204:12 214:16
reviewing 57:17 84:19,23 88:25 100:7,22 150:9 190:5 199:8
revolved 177:3
rewatched 12:7
rich 1:19 5:2 6:5,22 8:1,10 8:20,23 9:8 10:4 30:23 50:3 67:9 68:22 190:20 197:2 211:21 212:3 216:22 220:5 222:2
rid 193:25
right 17:9 21:20 23:22 34:2 37:11 53:12 55:13 63:9 66:10 67:12 80:22 83:9 91:7 97:8 108:24 113:10 113:12 130:25 137:9 138:11 144:2 171:4 174:18 178:2 180:17,18,23 186:5,13 197:6 211:22 212:11 212:19,23 213:11,12,15 213:22,25 214:14 215:6 215:11 216:21
rights 2:11 4:9 41:2 48:17,25

49:4 50:12 65:4 69:9 104:4 105:12 117:12,19 125:13 127:20 132:6 147:6 154:20 159:12 168:22 170:24 171:7,10,13,16 171:23 172:2,4 172:11 192:16 199:11,18,25 200:5,14,24 202:6,22 203:8 204:7,20
role 19:14 23:10 26:24 27:19 58:10 66:1 68:13 70:3 77:21 81:21,25 82:4 82:8,9 97:14 107:7 119:9
rollins 4:9
room 71:20 128:17
roughly 75:19 88:18,18,20 152:14
rpr 1:23 219:20
rule 41:13 218:16
rules 8:4 9:10 17:8 45:9,11 218:17 221:8
run 15:17 22:18
running 89:23 191:4
rural 134:24 136:4,7,19

s

s 222:3
s.b. 6:20 7:4 93:14 103:9,12 103:15 106:7 106:24 130:9 135:24
sacrificed 147:25
sam 35:1,14,25
san 3:7
sansom 4:5
sat 52:12 70:18
satisfied 102:5 108:21
saw 37:10 38:3 62:12,18,22,23 63:5,6,11 65:9 83:11,13,14,16 92:7,11,19 108:13 114:19 114:22,24,25 119:16 120:2 123:12 157:23
saying 81:11
says 116:19 130:8 132:14 143:12 155:22 156:5 193:1
scenes 199:2
schedule 220:10
schedules 27:14 51:25 177:3
scheduling 51:19 91:12
school 15:13,15 42:3 187:10,12
schools 84:11
schuyler 4:10
scj 1:8
screen 57:12 71:22 116:25 122:7 124:6,8
sdg 1:8
se 3:21
seat 207:11
second 35:20 60:4 69:5 111:25 145:25 146:2 161:7 184:22 195:18
secretary 1:12 99:3 116:6 117:7 120:17 130:23 195:17
section 69:9 192:10,16 198:14 202:6 202:22 203:17
sections 83:14
see 34:16 36:11 38:5,12 39:21 61:20 66:5 77:3 81:9,16 83:19 93:18,22 96:3,24 98:14 108:4 111:2 114:10 116:22 122:1 123:9,21 125:12 132:10 132:15 143:1 145:21 146:5 148:7 150:8 170:11 175:7,8 180:15 188:8 188:24 192:11 193:3 194:8
seeing 63:1 185:19
seek 172:7 173:3
seem 73:6 125:11 133:24 142:8
seems 125:8
seen 39:3,23 92:21 97:5 108:10 114:15 143:2,6 157:6 172:5 195:12 204:3 210:22
selected 177:2 177:6,21
selecting 177:16
self 16:17

senate 7:14 18:10,13 95:14 95:17 98:16 107:2,5,8,12,15 107:18,22 108:3,4,13 175:12 182:14 182:25 183:2 201:23
senate's 95:11 143:8
senator 25:10 25:15 28:12 29:9 30:23 44:10,10 51:23 76:19 77:12,18 79:5 87:12 90:9 99:18,19 101:21 107:6 107:17 177:5 178:11 189:11
senators 107:12
send 213:19
sense 126:22 155:15 158:16 158:17 159:2
sent 59:22 121:12 213:19
sentence 131:9
separate 198:13,21 213:19
separating 40:20
september 60:11,23 61:4 63:25 76:21 77:6 89:24 106:6 175:20 185:6,11 189:2
serious 92:17
served 10:24 23:12 67:17 207:18
service 10:25 11:10,11,13
session 19:13 19:20 24:6,9 24:13,19,20 25:19 26:24 27:7,9,9 28:19 61:22 69:14 90:1,4,10,13 91:3 92:13 111:12 114:5,7 169:5 186:25 187:5 215:22 217:16,19
set 33:8 90:5 169:12 199:14
shaking 9:15
shaped 193:10
shared 84:10 176:20
shawn 2:20 8:20
she'd 193:25
sheets 116:23
shopping 84:12
shortly 61:23
show 33:4,7,15 66:21 142:17 142:18 183:18 195:7 214:4
showed 134:8 169:8
shown 38:8 61:16 107:15
shows 175:11 186:15
shrunk 185:22
side 198:2 205:4
sign 220:16 221:5
signature 218:18 219:19 220:21,23,23 221:9
signed 89:25
similar 42:16 113:18 135:9 135:10 137:9
similarly 203:19
simple 170:25
simultaneous 27:13 86:17 160:12 205:10 209:7
single 33:25 75:23 122:19 152:21,23 179:17 181:4 193:15 213:20
singleton 88:1
sit 99:7 205:18
sitting 71:20 145:13 146:18 150:1 151:9
situated 179:8
situation 147:25 148:2 216:4
sivaram 3:10 5:4 197:22,23 198:7,10
six 132:14
size 132:25 133:3,7,11,16 209:18
skin 43:20
skinny 193:9
slowly 198:9
small 15:15 133:14 209:3
smoothly 139:4
social 164:1
socioeconomic 85:2
software 64:15 78:15 87:9 119:13 148:24
solely 43:4,10
solidify 92:23
solutions 220:7
somebody 121:16 140:25

147:17 159:25 160:1
somewhat 13:9 43:24 78:1 164:8
sorry 26:21 33:11 37:3 39:15 43:1,5 44:19 45:19 47:4,7 67:16 80:7,9,23 83:7 91:4 96:6 117:25 118:6 118:13 125:25 129:15,25 133:6 136:3 155:23 156:25 173:15 194:14 196:12 218:7
sort 11:25 99:5 103:23 140:11 170:8 176:22 207:13
source 48:21
south 141:22
southern 188:9 205:12 207:6
southwest 92:17 203:21 205:5
southwestern 186:1
spaces 188:8,8 208:10
spalding 202:12
speak 12:9 14:14,25 20:21 37:22 47:7 50:11 79:5 88:7,8 103:5 107:17 191:9 213:2
speaker 18:22 19:6 82:10,12 121:19
speaker's 109:18 112:25
speakers 27:13 86:17 160:12 181:19 205:10 209:7
speaking 20:11 35:15 54:8
spearheading 198:16
special 19:20 24:6,12,20 25:19 26:23 27:7 28:19 61:22 90:1,4,9 91:3 92:13 111:12 114:5,7 169:5 187:4 203:16 215:22
specific 24:10 43:4 45:11 54:19,21 56:15 79:15 87:18 92:25 122:5 138:5 141:2 144:23 145:15 145:15 151:18 151:22 161:15 170:3 173:20 190:7 202:7 206:5
specifically 10:3 11:20 12:3 13:19 20:12 42:2 50:13 54:8 60:18 98:12 128:19 138:9 139:18 144:16 151:2,23 152:20 159:6 160:15 161:22 162:7 176:15 189:18,20 203:2,4 210:24 211:2
specifics 55:18
specify 15:2
spent 212:25
spiro 121:20
splc 4:5,6,7
split 131:21,25 148:4 153:9,11 153:18,21
splits 131:14,15 131:16 145:24
splitting 131:20
spoke 12:8 23:14 26:1 27:8,10 56:5 66:9 78:8 152:14 160:7 161:2 173:5 181:13,18 191:5 213:10
spoken 12:11 37:21 161:10 161:12
sports 187:12
spot 12:23
springs 138:1 138:10
square 1:21
st 220:4 222:1
stack 33:17,22 33:24 34:10,11
stacy 43:20 44:1
staff 14:14 21:3 51:14,18 53:1 53:5 82:25 98:22,25 105:1 112:22 121:18 177:12,18 193:21 196:11
standard 127:19 128:9 208:8
standards 115:7 128:1 139:25

standing 24:14
starbucks 206:10
start 9:9 24:4,5 33:11 112:21 113:1 114:6 188:21
started 22:17 28:20 56:17 95:13 112:15 112:15 212:19 213:13
starting 68:18
state 1:4,9,10 1:12 2:3,21 6:17 9:6 11:4 11:23 12:2,3 15:11,20 21:23 21:24 35:18 36:20,21 38:23 38:23 50:25 56:8,23 60:7 60:10 78:7 80:18 94:3 107:2 111:8 120:17 123:13 125:23 126:6 139:3,5 151:23 157:11 171:23 174:16 176:1 180:11 182:14 182:14 185:21 186:2,9 188:10 188:14 198:4 199:5,6 201:8 201:22 203:21 204:17 205:5 205:17,25 212:22 215:1 217:17 219:3 220:4,9,12 222:1
state's 116:6 117:7 195:17
stated 219:7
statement 41:6
statements 199:9,9
states 1:1 184:9
statewide 7:14 35:4 44:6
statistical 117:18
statue 208:14
status 85:2
stay 151:20
stayed 126:9
stephanie 4:5
steps 193:18
stipulation 220:20
stock 167:3
store 207:4
stores 206:10 206:13
story 2:20 8:20
straight 15:14
strategies 91:23
strategy 90:9 90:11 91:3,5
street 2:12,17 3:6,16
stretching 205:4
strike 57:13
stuart 203:22
studied 41:23
submissions 94:16,17,19 113:15,17
submit 191:14
submitted 88:19 164:4 196:21
subpoena 10:25 11:10,13 198:18
subsequent 89:15,20 145:17
substance 14:7 27:17 52:2 62:16
substantial 110:16
substantive 71:11 120:24
subtracted 133:15
suburban 135:2,3,7 136:4,24
suburbs 179:9
sugar 16:12
suggested 142:11
suggesting 143:16
suggestions 143:7 210:25
suitable 101:13 124:22 127:5,7 127:13
suite 2:12 3:6 3:16,22
sum 79:19
summarize 57:5
summer 4:10 174:12 176:2
superior 67:22
suppose 59:10
sure 17:6 24:22 28:15 43:6 44:20 54:19 82:22 83:8 98:25 102:18 118:7,17 119:18 155:24 161:23 173:16 189:19
surprise 136:13
surprised 138:20,24 155:13 160:13
surprisingly 181:20

surrounding 45:9 180:13
sutton 6:22 130:20,22
suwanee 15:6 16:11
sw 1:21 2:21
swear 8:22
sworn 8:23
system 166:25

t

t 219:1,1 222:3 222:3
table 71:21 128:18
take 20:2,4 28:1,9 46:20 56:18 61:1,9 75:12 80:6,12 82:8 83:5,20 84:22,24,25 107:8 108:23 111:2 130:10 131:2 133:17 135:13 141:25 142:25 143:4,5 144:1 154:6 161:17,19 162:3 169:17 174:12 176:1 181:23 190:13 193:18 210:12
taken 85:6 94:17 156:18 210:15,20 211:2 219:7
takes 107:9
talk 9:23 14:1,8 15:3 21:21 27:11 51:5,14 51:17,23 52:5 54:2,23 56:10 62:15 65:24 70:13 71:22 82:21 84:2 86:23 90:12 99:18 108:19 174:10
talked 62:11 64:12 70:7 71:16 109:10 109:23 121:25 127:15 147:20 164:15 165:9 165:12 176:16 182:12 186:18 215:9
talking 86:15 115:8 154:17 156:19 185:2
target 206:11
taylor 3:21 137:13 144:12
taylorenglish... 3:23
team 22:21 71:7
technical 22:24 23:3
tedious 66:6
tell 22:13 23:14 23:16,22 28:17 47:21 58:5 67:16 68:23 125:3 149:23 154:7 167:5 186:4 209:2
telling 32:8
ten 33:20 88:16
tend 31:18
tenor 54:14 139:12 140:23
term 15:22,25 17:21 31:13,17 31:21 32:4,16 40:9,12,16 43:2 45:2 72:6 72:7,9 83:23 83:25 157:14 204:22 205:3,8 206:14
terms 16:2 72:3 92:23 161:12 172:20 212:17
testified 8:24 10:12,14 37:1 39:2 66:11 67:17,18 166:11
testifying 141:4
testimony 10:5 36:10 47:24 94:6
texas 37:14
text 13:13
thank 8:22 9:9 21:20 40:7 46:1 47:8 67:9 68:1 87:19 88:12 118:19 130:2 133:12 174:22 198:8 203:11 210:5,6 210:9,12 211:15 212:3 217:7,9,14 218:10,11
thanked 88:3 173:24
thanks 67:1 217:10
theater 207:12
theirs 35:7 71:10
thereto 219:7
thing 63:6 78:5 152:8 157:24 176:22 207:14
things 51:7 67:11 71:10 84:1,15 92:18 109:9 142:8 157:11 187:13 207:14 212:5
think 24:7 25:11 26:11 27:10 29:7 32:11 37:1

53:5 55:5,7 56:14 63:4,4 63:11 66:15 71:8 73:17 74:1 85:9,10 85:10 86:20 99:11 101:7 102:4 105:20 106:11 107:16 107:19 108:22 111:19,20 114:12,12 115:24 116:5 116:18 117:10 117:18 119:1 120:5 121:2,2 122:2,2 123:12 124:17,19 125:10,20 126:9 128:22 129:5 139:2 144:1 152:5 158:15 159:24 162:6,6,10,19 162:21,24 163:17 164:21 165:23,24 166:11,17 176:12,14 177:17,19,24 180:3,5 185:2 186:7 187:1,3 188:19 189:6 190:12 195:4 197:12,13 200:10 201:9 201:10 202:2 206:2,4 208:11 208:25 209:2 209:16,17,25 210:21 212:21 213:22 214:11 216:18 217:20 217:21 218:2

thinking 152:23

thought 78:9 79:2 86:19 157:24 179:15 214:12

thousand 165:24 212:21

three 12:12,17 24:12,16 28:24 28:25 52:16 65:11 66:12 81:19 84:12 111:19 132:13 180:22

thrilled 157:8

till 92:9

time 8:8 12:13 17:10 20:11,24 24:3,21 25:5 26:17 27:5 28:16 31:5 37:20 52:13,18 53:5 55:22 56:21 61:2,12 61:14 63:9 66:25 67:3,23 67:24 71:3 89:23 93:21 95:9 99:3 108:25 109:4 114:2 115:17 121:22 127:4 130:23 144:3,7 146:19 167:16 173:1,11,21 176:3,4,25 177:1 184:13 187:14 188:1 189:19 190:14 195:5,5 198:22 201:22 207:18 209:25 210:5 211:21 212:4 216:5,6 217:15 218:13 220:10 220:18,24 221:7

timeline 7:18 75:4 184:10,13 184:20 187:19

times 12:9,12 24:10,12,16 26:3,15 28:23 52:14,16 90:21 101:6 149:5

tiny 66:5

tipping 46:4,9 46:12,13,16,17 46:21 47:11 48:1,2,6,18,19 48:22 70:8,10 72:19 200:10

title 154:13

titled 6:10,12 6:14,16 7:3,10 7:13,18 76:11 80:13 135:23

today 10:8,20 16:25 17:1 145:13 150:1 151:9 197:4 198:17 203:17 212:4

today's 8:7

together 29:10 52:4 54:1 58:7 65:23 176:18 188:11,13 193:11

told 11:14 26:20 31:25 37:18 38:1 39:5,22 48:10 50:3,9 60:25 63:14 73:11 74:3 82:19,21 82:21 146:12 176:12 195:1 200:15 204:18 210:19

tom 37:14 68:4

toni 2:5 8:15

took 28:4 35:14 47:2,6 48:1 58:9 107:8

112:1 113:14 175:21 176:23 177:22 186:20 211:3
top 34:24 37:11 131:11,13 145:24
topics 197:3
total 12:17 60:6 79:19 122:12 199:4 212:25
touch 50:8
toward 52:22 164:9
towards 192:1 205:5
town 7:15 25:4 25:5 56:22 78:8 82:19 96:1 97:25 98:10 102:23 104:14 105:19 161:2 164:13 174:11 175:6 175:12,17,21 177:20 178:18 181:14 182:2 183:4,13,17 186:11,16 187:8,18 188:7 190:22 211:3
towns 188:9
traditional 158:14 159:3 168:14
traditionally 176:2
tragedy 186:19
training 166:4 166:20 167:7 167:13,16,22 168:13 171:10
trainings 166:10,18 168:17,18 169:1
tranche 169:14
transcribing 9:11
transcript 219:6,9 220:6 220:8,10,13,13 220:21 221:2,2
translate 182:15
travel 51:19
traveled 56:22
tried 56:16 58:21 95:25 104:7 131:23 139:19 147:16 164:14
trigger 200:9
trips 188:15
true 17:22,22 42:7 47:14 113:3 158:15 219:8
trump 68:10 104:12
truthfully 10:8
try 17:4 47:7 53:19 58:12 72:1 104:14 105:9 126:11 147:4 153:3 157:24 164:16 187:22 193:7 193:10 213:17 214:4
trying 65:23 105:5 125:9 127:22 147:12 149:4 176:14 187:9
turn 69:5 132:12
turning 165:11
turnout 42:12
tweak 52:15,19 53:15 109:24 125:9 147:12 149:10
tweaked 52:24 177:13
tweaking 128:17 151:24
tweaks 52:12 71:17,19 119:21 149:9 152:19
twice 29:7
two 12:11,16 16:2,2 26:15 28:24,25 52:16 52:16 53:5 54:1 61:25 65:11 66:11,19 81:19 96:20 101:24 102:8 102:17 106:5 110:11 111:19 111:20 123:21 125:10 127:20 130:7 156:21 157:2 161:5 169:11
types 206:5
typewriting 219:8
typical 103:5 187:24
typically 28:1 35:19
tyson 3:20 5:5 8:17,17 20:8 20:17,22 23:15 23:25 24:7 25:14,22 27:25 28:18,25 29:15 53:25 101:10 129:18,21,25 130:1 197:19 197:21 210:7 211:16,22,23 212:2
tyson's 25:12

u

ugly 173:23
uh 40:8 95:18 97:13,13 170:10 213:9
ultimately 68:13 128:22 128:22 170:21 171:3 178:25 180:3,20 182:13,20 183:11 186:7 204:8
unclear 9:18 27:13 86:17 160:12 205:10 209:7
under 2:11 4:9 10:5 154:19 219:8
underlying 100:6 115:14
understand 10:4 22:13 23:5 31:16,20 32:18,21 33:13 36:18 41:12 42:24 45:5,8 46:11,14,15 47:25 72:7 82:9 83:7,23 115:18,19 118:1 126:7,10 144:25 145:7 173:15 191:8 201:15
understanding 11:17 23:10 31:2,8,23 32:15 36:8 39:11 40:6,25 46:3 49:3,16 63:23 69:11,16 69:25 72:9 77:15 81:6 85:5 94:9 101:23 104:22 109:16 114:8 125:4 126:14 136:7 144:21 145:3,8 157:14 170:23 171:1,6 171:8,12,18,22 172:3 183:7 192:13,15 193:5,12 195:23 196:16 202:14
understood 23:11 82:18 199:25 200:12
unelected 94:7
unfortunately 165:22
unheard 179:14
united 1:1 184:9
university 15:10,11 37:14
unpaired 125:10
unsolicited 103:11
untruthfulness 16:19
updated 184:15 187:17
updates 184:18
urban 136:4
use 78:15 87:8 87:8 129:19,23 169:1 188:4
used 32:4 64:16 64:24 65:2 72:3,5,9 104:12 115:25 117:21 119:13 168:5,10,11 174:8 177:22 185:16 188:16 199:8 200:10 204:23 205:3,3 206:14 213:2
useful 176:7
using 116:8 147:10 196:9 196:11
utmost 127:20 128:2 192:20

v

v 220:4 222:1
vacuum 133:17
value 147:20
vap 154:21 155:1 156:2,5
variety 214:21
various 10:1 13:22 137:15 138:14 166:7 205:19 215:19
vendors 60:8
venue 177:10 183:11
verify 70:5
veritext 220:7,9 220:11
version 106:9 143:9
versions 123:1
versus 137:17 198:12 209:3,8
vidalia 84:3
video 67:4,7 109:1,3 144:4 144:6 190:15 190:17 201:16 213:14 218:14
videographer 8:7,21 67:3,6 108:25 109:3 144:3,6 190:14 190:17 218:12
videotaped 1:17 8:9
view 78:12 89:1 101:13 102:2 102:10 123:15 124:21,25

125:22 126:1,5 126:18 127:5,7 148:22
viewed 131:24
viewpoint 166:24
views 163:12 164:11 207:25 208:1,3,6,7,18 208:21,23
vincent 4:8
violation 202:5 202:22
virtual 186:18
virtually 151:21 187:2
visit 175:25
visited 188:9
vocal 181:19
voice 40:22 181:20
volition 112:16
volunteered 201:1
vote 31:18 43:3 43:3,9,10,14 91:18 171:4 202:5
voted 216:6
voter 42:12 208:13,15 209:15
voters 40:24 41:4,10 43:9 44:17,24 46:6 47:11,14 49:1 49:7,13 167:9 167:14
votes 91:15,21 91:24 92:5,10 92:14,24 93:4 202:22
voting 31:14,24 35:3,10 36:7 36:16,24 37:4 37:24 39:7,12 41:2 44:16,22 45:3,7,9,15,22 46:5 47:16 48:17,25 49:3 49:6,6 50:12 65:4 69:9 70:7 104:4 105:12 108:17 117:12 117:19 125:13 127:19 132:5 147:6 154:20 155:16 159:12 167:8 168:22 170:17,24 171:7,10,13,15 171:22,24 172:2,4,11 189:22 190:1 190:11 192:16 199:11,18,22 199:25 200:5 200:14,24 201:25 202:6 202:22 203:8 204:7,19
vra 69:12 70:4 192:10 198:14
vs 1:8

w

waived 74:15 220:23,23
waiving 220:20
walked 87:3 101:5
want 24:4 84:7 84:8 110:14 121:3 129:19 130:3 139:13 161:11,14 169:24 179:24 179:24 198:2 208:13,13 211:19 212:14 217:11
wanted 22:16 51:5,8 61:17 89:15 91:6 93:1 110:9,12 121:4 138:18 141:1,7 187:22 198:3 212:5 213:24
wants 197:17
warnock 44:10
washers 174:25
washington 2:6 2:13 3:11,17
watched 108:2 161:5
way 45:17,25 49:1,13 72:2 88:17 98:23 108:17 115:18 133:25 136:9 139:23 151:12 152:12 167:1 172:18 182:13 182:16 188:4 199:24
ways 17:7 92:23 102:20 110:11 208:18
we've 12:22 66:24 89:10 97:21 103:7,15 119:8,20 143:25 157:12 168:15 198:15 198:18
webb 2:17
website 77:8 95:20 183:19 186:14 194:7 194:10
webster 203:22
weekend 105:4
went 15:14 22:16 23:18,21 43:13 64:11 71:3 88:16 114:22 139:19 147:6 166:8 176:13 177:23 179:17 183:11

187:10 191:11 214:12
west 3:5 5:4 203:13 210:9 210:11,14
white 41:18 42:4,13 124:9 138:21 139:3 155:1 157:17 171:2,3 201:21 206:2
whitepaper 172:5
whites 43:15
whittle 193:23
wholly 16:9
wilmer 197:23 198:11
wilmerhale 3:10 4:10
wilmerhale.c... 3:12
window 174:25
witness 2:15 5:2 8:22 34:5 41:21 67:1 74:16 118:14 154:4 169:22 174:25 194:16 218:18 220:13 220:16 221:2,5 222:24
won 128:13
word 133:10
words 9:15
work 15:13 16:16 30:11 39:17,18 44:13 55:25 60:12 64:4 65:23 91:16 152:10 182:14 193:25 194:1 206:9,19
worked 15:15 16:23 58:7,8 58:21 70:22,23 105:5 109:11 193:23 212:10
working 58:10 71:22 151:24 212:17
workings 91:12
wright 29:5 53:2,23 62:9 70:22,24 71:6 105:5 122:9 164:17 193:20 215:10
write 141:13,20
written 35:23 94:19 113:16 156:15,16,17 191:12,12,14
wrote 35:21 176:24

x

x 221:1
xml 113:15

y

y'all 174:25
yaqoob's 166:14
yeah 15:14 62:13 63:3,4 80:23,23 87:14 87:24 95:23 97:4 98:5 106:18,19 118:25 123:4 124:17 129:4 131:12 137:2 139:13 140:10 140:10 146:2 155:22 162:8 162:10,18 165:15 179:6 186:7 187:1 191:13 197:21 198:5,8 200:21 205:21,24 206:14 209:4
year 13:10 15:9 16:2 23:13 141:3
years 17:17

z

zero 131:21
zoom 1:17,23 2:10,10 3:5,10 3:15 4:4 8:11 105:20 210:7 211:16,24
zoomed 145:18

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:

(A) to review the transcript or recording; and

(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.

PATRICK JAGSTETTER, ESQ.
patrickj@jarrard-davis.com

FEBRUARY 2, 2023

RE: GA ST. CONF. OF NAACP V. STATE OF GEORGIA
JANUARY 18, 2023, BONNIE RICH, JOB NO. 5667885
The above-referenced transcript has been
completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and
review of the transcript is being handled as follows:

__ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext to schedule a time to review the original transcript at a Veritext office.

__ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked .PDF Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all appearing counsel within the period of time determined at the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure.

__ Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature as determined at the deposition.

__ Signature Waived – Reading & Signature was waived at the time of the deposition.

_X_ Federal R&S Requested (FRCP 30(e)(1)(B)) – Locked .PDF Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all appearing counsel within the period of time determined at the deposition or provided by the Federal Rules.

__ Federal R&S Not Requested - Reading & Signature was not requested before the completion of the deposition.

GA ST. CONF. OF NAACP V. STATE OF GEORGIA
BONNIE RICH (#5667885)

E R R A T A S H E E T

PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____
PAGE_____ LINE_____ CHANGE_____
_____
REASON_____

_____ _____
WITNESS Date

Georgia House District Map Introduction

Process for drawing plans

- With the fed govt delaying Census data by 5 months, we used the summer to study and learn about districts.
  - I incredibly helpful to me to learn about the districts and the state.
  - Example of one or two that was helpful

- Between June and September, I sent out **4** separate invitation emails and allocated over **88 hours** to meet with members of both political parties to learn about their districts and the areas they wanted to gain or lose.

- We held public hearings across the state and took input on our website. We drove over 1400 miles around the state and held **11 public hearings** over **15 hours**, we heard from 328 speaking participants from 162 of our 180 House Districts.

- Also invited written commentary. Our website portal received over 700 comments, and we made those available to the committee members and the public and I personally reviewed them.

- 1st Committee Meeting was an education day for the committee and invited a variety of groups to speak to us about what we should know. We heard from NCSL, the Georgia Democratic Party, Fair Districts, among others.

- Our committee then adopted guidelines to govern the drawing of maps. Those guidelines focused on the constitutional requirement of equal population, compliance with the Voting Rights Act, including a recognition of racially polarized voting, and then the importance of jurisdictional boundaries, prioritizing communities of interest, compactness, and contiguity. Essentially same as 2011 and 2001.

EXHIBIT
1

Constitution

- 1st responsibility is to balance population under the US Constitution.
- Applied 2020 data to the existing districts. Found that districts were over or under population by -24.39% to +32.27%.
- Districts have to be substantially the same size, and we've generally drawn to a deviation of +/- 1.5% on the draft plan.

Voting Rights Act

- After overlaying the census data, we drew the VR districts, in consultation with counsel, to ensure compliance.
- We comply with the Voting Rights Act, creating majority-minority districts and new minority opportunity districts.
- 49 majority black vap districts (increase of 1)
- 27 minority opportunity districts
- Total of 76 majority non white vap districts (majority non-white VAP) (up 5 from existing maps)
- One Latino majority VAP district (District 98)
    - Two heavily influenced Latino districts (>40%) Districts 4 and 96.

Communities of interest and county splits

We also applied the remaining Guidelines adopted, prioritizing county lines, municipalities (something we hear about a lot in public commentary), communities of interest, compactness, and contiguity.

- The 2001 House Districts drawn by Democrats split 80 counties into 147 districts for 180 members while using a deviation of +/- 5%.
- Our current districts split 73 counties.
- This plan splits only 69 counties while using a deviation of +/- 1.5%.
- In contrast, Democrat plan offered splits 79 counties, essentially same number as plan that party introduced in 2001.

Incumbents

- We obtained current incumbent addresses so we could plot and overlay them onto the map. We prioritized minimizing incumbent pairings as much as possible.

- No one is happy about pairings, but our plan only pairs incumbents where population deviations required and there were no other workable solutions.

- The 2001 House district plan paired 37 of the 74 Republican incumbents but only nine of the 105 Democrats.

- Our current maps maintained most incumbents, only 4: 1 D, 2Rs, and one D-R.

Took concepts + distr. shapes from Dem-proposed map.

Combined all of this input with the staff at the Reapportionment office to get to a draft map and that is what you have in front of you.

So let's look at the map. Will walk through by region --

Southwest:

Loss of pop; whole district. Protected VR districts in **HDs 153 and 154.** Resulted in one of our inevitable pairings, a R-D.

With the collapse of that one district, we were able to maintain the cores of the remaining districts in the area.

Moving east … Central South:

Protected **HD 177** there, which is a VR district. Were able to accommodate the request of the Democrat incumbent there to include some precincts he explained to us were a part of the district's community of interest.

Also in this area the pop loss resulted in another pairing, this time R-R (Lariccia / Burchette) in **HD 176**.

Swamp area of the state in **HD 174**, we kept together among 5 counties. Comm of Int.

Coastal:

HD 180 has whole of Camden county – removed the split.

HD 168 is wholly contained in Liberty County – Our Dean of the House keeps his famous district #.

Savannah area:

Protected one VR district**: HD #165** and two Minority Opportunity districts, **HD #s 162 and 163.**

Southeast, but moving back west across the state:

Kept a community of interest together – **HD #156** – kept Wheeler, Montgomery, & Toombs together – strong public request and support for this at our public hearings.

Moving up a bit to Central Georgia

Maintained the core of the districts in the Macon area while protecting 3 VR districts there – **HD #s 142, 143, and 150.**

Also maintained greater than 50% black VAP in **HD # 137** by putting it into Lagrange and Troup County.

Moving west, protected 2 VR districts in Columbus area, **HD #s 140 and 141.**

Moving up a bit to South Metro:

W/ loss of pop in SW GA below it and VR districts to the north of it, this area felt a crunch – pulling the VR districts a little further south.

Northwest Ga:

Maintained district cores and avoided incumbent pairings, which is diff from Dem plan, which had at least one incumb pairing.

Moving a little east, Pickens County is whole under our current HD maps, and, based on clear requests and support from their communities, we kept it that way.

Northernmost area of state: **HD #7** – Is a perfect district and remains a perfect district.

Moving down a little to Athens/Clarke County:

A lot of growth; very large county. Pop alone requires it be split 3 ways in a vacuum – like Barrow and Jackson, other large counties in the area that also got split. Always prefer to split larger counties before smaller ones.

Moving to the east, to the GA/SC border:

Legislators from the area worked together to adjust their lines based on population and communities of interest.

Moving down the border to the Augusta area:

Protected ~~5~~ 4 **VR** districts: **HD #s 128, 128, 130, and 131**. As requested by Dem incumbent, made Jefferson County whole.

Metro Atlanta:

Pop growth; densely populated; many incumbents live close together; worked hard to avoid pairings.

North Metro: Pop growth in **Bartow, Cherokee, and Forsyth** pulled the districts in these areas to the east.

Gwinnett County:

Tremendous growth; created 2-3 Minority Opportunity Districts here alone; 2 pairings, still looking into some possible solutions for those.

FairDistrictsGA

Fair Districts GA
P.O. Box 1482
Decatur, GA 30031
www.fairdistrictsga.org

Chair Kennedy and Members of the Committee,

My name is Karen McCown and I am the co-chair of the Mapping & Analysis Committee with Fair Districts GA. We are pleased to have the opportunity to present to you today. Fair Districts GA is a grass roots citizen organization focused exclusively on redistricting. We are a non-partisan, non-profit group of volunteers from across the state. With me today are a few of my fellow volunteers.

I'm here to share our analysis of the proposed House map by Fair Districts GA and our partners at the Princeton Gerrymandering Project (PGP), which is one of the country's premier analytical resources for redistricting and gerrymandering. Princeton's analysis provides a non-partisan, independent set of analytical benchmarks for measuring the fairness of district maps.

One way to separate the effects of gerrymandering from natural political geography is to compare each proposed map to a large collection of simulated maps drawn without political influence. PGP used 2020 census data to create fairness benchmarks by drawing one million simulated maps that comply with federal and state law and traditional redistricting criteria. They then analyzed the simulated maps to calculate a likely numeric range for partisan balance, competitive districts, and minority representation. The proposed House map has been evaluated against these benchmarks.

Partisan Balance

Although technically within the benchmark range, the proposed House Committee map favors Republicans by creating 98 Republican-leaning districts to 82 Democratic-leaning districts. When compared to the collection of simulated maps, 89% had a more even partisan balance.

Competitiveness

The House Committee map provides only 7 competitive districts that have a proportion of each party's voters in the 46.5%-53.5% range. This is fewer than the expected range of 9-22 competitive districts.

Minority Representation

Our independent analysis shows that the proposed House Committee map provides a total of 109 minority districts, including 1 Hispanic-majority, and 2 Hispanic influence districts that give Black and other minority voters the opportunity to elect the candidates of their choice. This is 2 fewer than the existing 111 minority districts.

- This map provides 45 Black majority districts while the existing map includes 47 districts, and our analysis indicates that 48 are possible. There are 12 Black influence districts, 1 more than the 3-11 benchmark range, with a Black Voting Age Population between 37% and 50%.
- These figures are only an estimate since further study, including a racial polarization analysis, is necessary to determine if specific districts are protected by the Voting Rights Act.

Overall, the Princeton Gerrymandering Project Report Card grades the map as a "B."

First Look Analysis:

Proposed Map Comparison

Congress, State Senate and House, Nov. 9, 2021

Fair Districts GA & Princeton Gerrymandering Project

Working with Fair Districts GA, the Princeton Gerrymandering Project conducted a state-of-the art analysis, drawing 1 million maps per chamber using 2020 census data to create benchmarks/fairness tests that can be used to evaluate proposed Congressional, State Senate, and State House maps. Benchmarks identify the range of values for partisan balance, competitiveness, and minority representation expected in a map drawn without partisan intent. A map at the extreme end or outside of the benchmark range is less desirable than one near the midpoint of the range.

This document is a summary of the benchmark scores for the proposed maps submitted to the General Assembly for consideration.

Proposed Congressional Map

Metrics	PGP / FDGA Benchmarks	Senate Committee 9-27	Democratic Caucus 5EX	Comments
Republican districts	8 - 9	9	7	
Democratic districts	5 - 6	5	7	
Competitive districts	0 - 3	0	1	46.5% - 53.5% partisan balance
Extreme districts	N/A	2	5	Outside benchmark range
Majority-minority districts	N/A	5	6	Includes Black voters
Minority influence districts	N/A	4	4	Includes Black voters
Black-majority districts	4	3	2	50% + voting-age population
Black influence districts	0 - 1	1	3	37% - 50% voting-age population
Split cities	N/A	17%	21%	Existing map split cities: 24%
PGP Report Card Grade	N/A	C	B	Gerrymander.Princeton.edu

About Fair Districts GA and the Princeton Gerrymandering Project

Fair Districts GA is the sole non-partisan organization in Georgia focused exclusively on fighting gerrymandering, the practice of drawing legislative district lines to favor one group over another. Our ultimate goal is to reform Georgia's process for drawing state and federal electoral maps. We support stronger standards and a non-partisan, transparent, accountable redistricting process.

Operating at the intersection of law, math, and political science, the **Princeton Gerrymandering Project** is dedicated to reforming redistricting nationwide. The state-of-the art analysis used existing maps and recent election results to estimate the true extent of gerrymandering in Georgia.

First Look Analysis:

Proposed House Map

House Committee Chair, November 8, 2021

Fair Districts GA & Princeton Gerrymandering Project

Respect voters' political preferences:

PARTISAN BALANCE AND COMPETITION

Comparison of the proposed state House map to fairness benchmarks:

The partisan gap between Republican and Democratic voters has narrowed in recent elections and is now close to a 50-50 split; however, the proposed map favors Republicans by creating 98 Republican-leaning districts vs. 82 Democratic-leaning districts. Although the proposed map is technically within benchmark ranges, 89% of the 1 million simulated maps created for the analysis had better partisan balance.

Twenty-five Republican and 20 Democratic districts, or 25% of the total 180 districts, show evidence of partisan gerrymandering by packing more Republican or Democratic voters into those districts than any of the benchmark analysis simulated maps. There are only 7 competitive districts that have a proportion of each party's voters in the 46.5%-53.5% range. This is fewer than the expected range of 9-22 competitive districts.

Conclusions:

Although the proposed map is within the benchmark ranges for partisan balance, it favors Republicans and lacks sufficient competitive districts. The Princeton Gerrymandering Project gave this map a grade of "B."

What is a Fair Map?

Working with Fair Districts GA, the Princeton Gerrymandering Project conducted a state-of-the art analysis using 2020 census data to create benchmarks/ fairness tests that can be used to evaluate proposed maps drawn by the Georgia General Assembly. To be considered fair, proposed district maps for the State Senate should comply with the following criteria.

Respect voters' political preferences

- Reflect the natural political preferences of voters distributed across the state
- Allow for competition

Reflect Georgia's diversity

- Provide sufficient majority-minority opportunity districts and comply with the Voting Rights Act
- Preserve minority influence districts

Honor communities of interest

House Fairness Benchmarks:



Proposed Committee House Map:



Rebuttal

thugely

Rep. growth by adding a blk VAP district

49 ~~VAP~~ maj. minority
+ 27 min. opp. dist.
76 / 180

Reflects the pop. of Ga.

Heard that there's a prob. w/ guidelines.

(1) → * no proposal of any diff. or add'l guidelines

D's Timeline: Aug. 14, 15, 16, 17. Ours: over 1 week

(2) → – Transp. + opp. for public comm.

one week, not the two. If two, wd that be enough? Understand there is a time limit? We need maps, so candidates can qualify.

Racially polarized voting analysis – a political scientist -- a professor.

COI – opport. @ 11 public hrgs + 88 hrs. of mbr. meetings; Dem. caucus did not participate.

Reflected in map – Smyrna, Marietta, V'dosta, G. Creek

"Magic show" smoke + mirrors.

Silences voices of Ga Voters; minimizes people of color

Sam Park

Dr. Tom Brunell
U TX @ Dallas.

Racially polarized voting analysis – was perfid.
was found. Statewide + county by county.

Dems refused to provide theirs.

No one has requested ours.

---

mmo

already in 4 districts

Stonecrest, Decatur, Stckbr., Roswell

(3)
cities

Smyrna, Marietta, Wville, Valdosta

Have to prioritize the ~~MA~~ constl. jurisd boundries
of our counties. 500 cities. 74 straddle
county lines + are cut by precincts – which
are drawn inside county lines.

Gilliard

"cart by the horse."

- own lawyer test'd @ comm. that only way to determine COI

→ "come to capitol civic work day"

↳ invited, in writing, to propose another time if ~~not~~ doesn't work.

→ D's invited to be not a part of the map.

→ D's didn't ask their map be voted on.

Alexander

One person one vote.

Higher deviation → unequal.

± 1.5% on lower end of deviation used across country.

↳ allowed us to keep more counties, precincts + comm. whole.

Talking out of both sides of their mouths.

* note: we used the most recent precincts -- if they ran report, it wd result in higher # of precinct splits.

Singleton public hrgs., written comm., input from mbrs.

→ all input taken into account both by 1st draft + over last week.

Published over one wk. ago.

Aug. 14, 15, 16, 17.

~~Dukes, Hagy~~

ours / theirs

1- Timeline

That mbr. didn't meet w/ me; his neighbor did.

75% same.

2- mbr. input; "cart b4 the horse"
- COI; D. canc. own alty
- D mbrs. who met w/ me --
- all addressed.

Files demos of mbrs. who met w/ me about their communities.

Contrast: mbr. who came to me after vote to tell me she had a COI w/ didn't consider.

Offered dates & asked if didn't work to let me kno. I accommodated that.

3- Consid'd. all input b4 Tue & over last week.

Elections Offcls. will have to rush to get maps into their systems.

4- Cities. Did minimize city splits. Ask Ds from Marietta, Smyrna, V'Coste & G. Creek.

But - 500 cities. 74 straddle county lines.

Cities cut by precincts. Can't both respect precincts, counties & cities.

Does not split 184 precincts; used new precincts. Can't run that report yet.

5- Probs w/ guidelines. -- not one D ~~[illegible]~~ proposed any diff. or addl. guidelines. Still haven't.

Also note that HB 7EX is the only map that went thru comm. process. no one req'd. an amdmt. no D req'd a vote on the map drawn by Dem. caucus.

"Didn't even get a chance"

Didn't get a vote b/c it wasn't requested.

Also - own Town stulls. not invited. no info shared w/ us. what happ'd to that input? The comm hg. input was ignored.

6- Racially Polarized Voting Analysis.

In committee, we asked to see Dem. caucus! They said NO.

not a statistician. A ~~[illegible]~~ prof. of poli sci from U. TX.

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly
Suite 307 Coverdell Legislative Office Bldg

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
District Layer
County
0 20 40
Miles

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.48%	3.77%
162	60,401	890	1.50%	46,561	77.09%	39.8%	41.78%	9.79%	4.1%	0.23%	0.26%	0.45%	3.6%
163	59,901	390	0.66%	48,286	80.61%	43.85%	41.48%	8.02%	3.46%	0.22%	0.07%	0.33%	2.57%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,371	860	1.45%	47,927	79.39%	83.26%	6.92%	3.26%	2.9%	0.16%	0.05%	0.36%	3.09%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: 10,711,908
Ideal District: 59,511

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	58,942	-569	-0.96%	46,589	79.04%	21.54%	70.21%	2.96%	1.49%	0.17%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	60,105	594	1.00%	46,788	77.84%	19.45%	59.6%	5.87%	11.14%	0.21%	0.03%	0.58%	3.12%
086	60,013	502	0.84%	45,376	75.61%	11.87%	72.85%	4.25%	7.38%	0.15%	0%	0.64%	2.85%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.69%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,124	613	1.03%	46,233	76.9%	22.87%	66.18%	5.92%	1.43%	0.16%	0.05%	0.49%	2.89%
092	60,051	540	0.91%	46,080	76.73%	22.39%	67.78%	4.47%	1.62%	0.14%	0.02%	0.6%	2.98%
093	59,660	149	0.25%	44,461	74.52%	23.87%	61.18%	9.88%	1.36%	0.18%	0.09%	0.52%	2.91%
094	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.94%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
095	59,862	351	0.59%	45,351	75.76%	18.2%	65.95%	7.24%	4.8%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.06%
096	59,397	-114	-0.19%	44,546	75%	21.18%	19.5%	37.18%	18.9%	0.12%	0.05%	0.63%	2.44%
097	59,483	-28	-0.05%	46,556	78.27%	36.82%	23.85%	17.88%	17.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.55%	3.33%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,557	46	0.08%	44,912	75.41%	40.82%	14.61%	8.95%	32.15%	0.13%	0.05%	0.5%	2.8%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.87%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	58,939	-572	-0.96%	42,819	72.65%	65.05%	14.33%	10.73%	5.83%	0.15%	0.04%	0.49%	3.38%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.18%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	59,836	325	0.55%	44,549	74.45%	32.41%	55.63%	6.62%	1.01%	0.15%	0.11%	0.7%	3.37%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

User: H097
Plan Name: **House-prop-2021**
Plan Type: **House**

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,401
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 890
Absolute Overall Range:	1,723
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.50%
Relative Overall Range:	2.90%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	359.34
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.60%
Standard Deviation:	416.01

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	66.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.48%	3.59%
020	59,895	384	0.65%	45,658	76.23%	80.33%	6.15%	7.03%	1.88%	0.22%	0.05%	0.49%	3.85%
021	58,907	-604	-1.01%	44,459	75.47%	81.37%	4.45%	7.85%	1.95%	0.22%	0.04%	0.57%	3.54%
022	59,672	161	0.27%	45,882	76.89%	61.71%	15.11%	13.7%	4.18%	0.14%	0.03%	0.82%	4.32%
023	59,202	-309	-0.52%	44,341	74.9%	75.96%	5.28%	13.84%	1.04%	0.21%	0.05%	0.36%	3.25%
024	59,272	-239	-0.40%	42,970	72.5%	60.62%	7.47%	10.25%	17.67%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	3.18%
025	59,158	-353	-0.59%	41,770	70.61%	56.83%	5.83%	6.29%	27.65%	0.14%	0.04%	0.45%	2.77%
026	58,990	-521	-0.88%	43,533	73.8%	68.63%	3.82%	12.25%	11.39%	0.12%	0.03%	0.49%	3.27%
027	59,423	-88	-0.15%	46,549	78.33%	82.59%	3.12%	9.55%	0.84%	0.21%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,840	76.04%	81.13%	2.87%	10.15%	2.04%	0.16%	0.04%	0.31%	3.3%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.16%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	59,476	-35	-0.06%	44,502	74.82%	66.87%	12.93%	11.81%	3.73%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.93%
120	58,925	-586	-0.98%	45,846	77.8%	64.32%	16.43%	11.56%	3.46%	0.16%	0.05%	0.6%	3.42%
121	59,609	98	0.16%	46,808	78.53%	73.97%	9.64%	6.36%	5.63%	0.11%	0.01%	0.54%	3.74%
122	59,196	-315	-0.53%	49,789	84.11%	56.7%	26.22%	10.24%	2.6%	0.28%	0.05%	0.78%	3.12%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	58,897	-614	-1.03%	42,755	72.59%	58.6%	22.91%	9.38%	2.11%	0.33%	0.2%	0.53%	5.94%
126	60,130	619	1.04%	44,025	73.22%	66.83%	14.9%	6.67%	5.15%	0.16%	0.13%	0.6%	5.57%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,864	-647	-1.08%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	60,012	501	0.84%	47,815	79.68%	56.65%	36.77%	2.41%	1.1%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.49%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.33%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.3%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.48%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,609	98	0.16%	46,739	78.41%	60.8%	29.36%	2.9%	3.44%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	58,936	-575	-0.97%	45,184	76.67%	51.86%	35.47%	6.91%	0.95%	0.29%	0.04%	0.42%	4.06%
146	59,174	-337	-0.57%	43,897	74.18%	56.8%	28.23%	5.49%	4.27%	0.16%	0.08%	0.44%	4.54%
147	59,874	363	0.61%	45,356	75.75%	53.62%	29.25%	8.44%	3.07%	0.23%	0.08%	0.51%	4.78%
148	60,384	873	1.47%	47,391	78.48%	55.46%	36.82%	4.02%	0.77%	0.16%	0.02%	0.29%	2.47%
149	59,130	-381	-0.64%	45,809	77.47%	63.69%	28.54%	3.54%	0.84%	0.15%	0.05%	0.24%	2.96%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.08%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	58,880	-631	-1.06%	46,038	78.19%	58.21%	28.54%	10.21%	0.51%	0.14%	0.01%	0.2%	2.17%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,473	-38	-0.06%	45,745	76.92%	65.26%	8.08%	19.31%	3.6%	0.16%	0.03%	0.36%	3.19%
031	59,599	88	0.15%	42,541	71.38%	64.37%	6.8%	22.42%	2.49%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.34%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,023	-488	-0.82%	43,847	74.29%	61.71%	9.45%	7.83%	15.93%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.15%
048	59,027	-484	-0.81%	44,532	75.44%	55.37%	11.58%	16.89%	11.35%	0.11%	0.05%	0.7%	3.95%
049	58,967	-544	-0.91%	45,209	76.67%	69.29%	7.01%	7.25%	11.49%	0.1%	0.02%	0.69%	4.15%
050	59,070	-441	-0.74%	43,074	72.92%	40.08%	8.54%	5.36%	41.94%	0.1%	0.02%	0.58%	3.38%
051	59,557	46	0.08%	47,832	80.31%	56.49%	18.65%	13.68%	5.52%	0.12%	0.04%	0.9%	4.61%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	60,081	570	0.96%	47,145	78.47%	68.46%	14.13%	7.43%	5.08%	0.12%	0.02%	0.69%	4.07%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.03%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.89%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Draft- Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House





Draft- Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House



2021-2022 GUIDELINES FOR THE HOUSE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE

I. HEARINGS AND MEETINGS

A. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. A series of public hearings were held to actively seek public participation and input concerning the General Assembly's redrawing of congressional and legislative districts.

2. Video recordings of all hearings are and shall remain available on the legislative website, www.legis.ga.gov

B. COMMITTEE MEETINGS

1. All formal meetings of the full committee will be open to the public.

2. When the General Assembly is not in session, notices of all such meetings will be posted at the Offices of the Clerk of the House or Secretary of the Senate and other appropriate places at least 24 hours in advance of any meeting. Individual notices may be transmitted by email to any citizen or organization requesting the same without charge. Persons or organizations needing this information should contact the Senate Press Office or House Communications Office or the Secretary of the Senate or Clerk of the House to be placed on the notification list.

3. Minutes of all such meetings shall be kept and maintained in accordance with the rules of the House and Senate. Copies of the minutes should be made available in a timely manner at a reasonable cost in accordance with these same rules.

IL PUBLIC ACCESS TO REDISTRICTING DATA AND MATERIALS

A. Census information databases on any medium created at public expense and held by the Committee or by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office for use in the redistricting process are included as public records and copies can be made available to the public in accordance with the rules of the General Assembly and subject to reasonable charges for search, retrieval, reproduction and other reasonable, related costs.

B. Copies of the public records described above may be obtained at the cost of reproduction by members of the public on electronic media if the material exists on an appropriate electronic medium. Cost of reproduction may include not only the medium on which the copies made, but also the labor cost for the search, retrieval, and reproduction of the records and other reasonable, related costs.

C. These guidelines regarding public access to redistricting data and materials do not apply to plans or other related materials prepared by or on behalf of an individual Member of the General Assembly using the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office, where those plans and materials have not been made public through presentation to the Committee.

III. REDISTRICTING PLANS

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR DRAFTING PLANS

1. Each congressional district should be drawn with a total population of plus or minus one person from the ideal district size.

2. Each legislative district of the General Assembly should be drawn to achieve a total population that is substantially equal as practicable, considering the principles listed below.

3. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

4. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with the United States and Georgia Constitutions.

5. Districts shall be composed of contiguous geography. Districts that connect on a single point are not contiguous.

6. No multi-member districts shall be drawn on any legislative redistricting plan.

7. The Committee should consider:

   a. The boundaries of counties and precincts;

   b. Compactness; and

   c. Communities of interest.

8. Efforts should be made to avoid the unnecessary pairing of incumbents.

9. The identifying of these criteria is not intended to limit the consideration of any other principles or factors that the Committee deems appropriate.

B. PLANS PRODUCED THROUGH THE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT OFFICE

1. Staff of the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office will be available to all members of the General Assembly requesting assistance in accordance with the policy of that office.

2. Census data and redistricting work maps will be available to all members of the General Assembly upon request, provided that (a) the map was created by the requesting member, (b) the map is publicly available, or (c) the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office has been granted permission by the author of the map to share a copy with the requesting member.

3. As noted above, redistricting plans and other records related to the provision of staff services to individual members of the General Assembly will not be subject to public disclosure. Only the author of a particular map may waive the confidentiality of his or her own work product. This confidentiality provision will not apply with respect to records related to the provision of staff services to any committee or subcommittee as a whole or to any records which are or have been previously disclosed by or pursuant to the direction of an individual member of the General Assembly.

C. PLANS PRODUCED OUTSIDE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT OFFICE

1. All plans submitted to the Committee will be made part of the public record and made available in the same manner as other committee public records.

2. All plans prepared outside the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office must be submitted to that office prior to presentation to the Committee by a Member of the General Assembly for technical verification and presentation and bill preparation. All pieces of census geography must be accounted for in some district.

3. The electronic submission of material for technical verification must be made in accordance with the following requirements or in a manner specifically approved and accepted by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office.

   a. The submission shall be in electronic format with accompanying documentation that shows the submitting sponsor of the proposed plan and contact person for the proposed plan, including email address and telephone number.

   b. An electronic map image that clearly depicts defined boundaries, utilizing the 2020 United States Census geographic boundaries,

and a block equivalency file containing two columns. The first column shall list the 15-digit census block identification numbers, and the second column shall list the three-digit district identification number. Both block and district numbers shall be zero-filled text files. Such files shall be submitted in .xis, .xlsx, .dbf, .txt, or .csv file formats. The following is a sample:

```
BlockID, DISTRICT
"13001950100101","008"
"13001950100102","008"
"13001950100103","008"
"13001950100104","008"
"13001950100105","008"
"13001950100106","008"
```

4. If submission of the plan cannot be done electronically, the following requirements must be followed:

   a. All drafts, amendments, or revisions should be on clearly-depicted maps that follow the 2020 Census geographic boundaries and should be accompanied by a statistical sheet listing the Census geography including the total population for each district.

   b. All plans submitted should either be a complete statewide plan or fit back into the plan that they modified, so that the proposal can be evaluated in the context of a statewide plan. All pieces of Census geography must be accounted for in some district.

D. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR PRESENTATION OF ALL PLANS

1. A redistricting plan may be presented for consideration by the Committee only through the sponsorship of one or more Member(s) of the General Assembly. All such drafts of and amendments or revisions to plans presented at any committee meeting must be on clearly-depicted maps which follow the 2020 Census geographic boundaries and accompanied by a statistical sheet listing the Census geography, including the total population and minority populations for each proposed district.

2. No plan may be presented to the Committee unless that plan makes accommodations for and fits back into a specific, identified statewide map for the particular legislative body involved.

3. All plans presented at committee meetings will be made available for inspection by the public either electronically or by hard copy available at the Office of Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment.

E. These guidelines may be reconsidered or amended by the Committee.

User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,401
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 890
Absolute Overall Range:	1,723
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.50%
Relative Overall Range:	2.90%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	359.34
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.60%
Standard Deviation:	416.01

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	87.88%	3.9%	2.59%	0.53%	0.31%	0.04%	0.3%	4.45%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	83.24%	2.56%	9.09%	1.1%	0.18%	0.02%	0.26%	3.55%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	86.9%	2.82%	3.6%	1.63%	0.27%	0.14%	0.18%	4.46%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	42.01%	4.17%	50.07%	1.23%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.05%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	75.46%	3.76%	15.29%	1.24%	0.2%	0.02%	0.22%	3.81%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	80.15%	1.01%	14.51%	0.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.2%	3.4%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	87.97%	0.37%	7.43%	0.45%	0.26%	0.01%	0.24%	3.27%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	90.8%	1.13%	3.21%	0.54%	0.3%	0.01%	0.34%	3.67%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	87.78%	1.01%	5.49%	0.79%	0.37%	0.06%	0.36%	4.15%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	78.61%	2.97%	13.11%	1.51%	0.17%	0.06%	0.24%	3.33%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	87.43%	1.55%	5.33%	1.15%	0.22%	0.02%	0.3%	4%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	78.45%	8.61%	7.68%	1.01%	0.16%	0.01%	0.42%	3.68%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	62.24%	18.71%	13.52%	1.29%	0.22%	0.03%	0.33%	3.65%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	81.28%	5.86%	7.04%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	4.36%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.32%	68.38%	13.61%	11.74%	1.3%	0.25%	0.04%	0.49%	4.19%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	72.9%	11.15%	10.95%	0.76%	0.22%	0.05%	0.43%	3.54%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	63.28%	22.06%	7.9%	1.33%	0.23%	0.07%	0.64%	4.49%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	84.78%	7.11%	2.93%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.35%	3.97%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	62.06%	23.47%	7.87%	1.14%	0.25%	0.08%	0.64%	4.49%
020	59,895	384	0.65%	45,658	76.23%	78.12%	6.29%	8.29%	1.81%	0.21%	0.04%	0.56%	4.68%
021	58,907	-604	-1.01%	44,459	75.47%	79.33%	4.48%	9%	1.91%	0.19%	0.04%	0.62%	4.43%
022	59,672	161	0.27%	45,882	76.89%	58.36%	15.77%	15.57%	4.02%	0.15%	0.03%	0.86%	5.23%
023	59,202	-309	-0.52%	44,341	74.9%	72.14%	5.48%	16.75%	0.99%	0.22%	0.04%	0.4%	3.97%
024	59,272	-239	-0.40%	42,970	72.5%	57.39%	7.45%	11.27%	18.87%	0.18%	0.04%	0.69%	4.11%
025	59,158	-353	-0.59%	41,770	70.61%	52.68%	5.77%	6.84%	30.37%	0.17%	0.04%	0.49%	3.64%
026	58,990	-521	-0.88%	43,533	73.8%	64.55%	3.94%	13.95%	12.76%	0.16%	0.03%	0.58%	4.03%
027	59,423	-88	-0.15%	46,549	78.33%	79.68%	3.26%	11.77%	0.83%	0.2%	0.04%	0.3%	3.91%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,840	76.04%	78.61%	3.11%	11.95%	1.96%	0.17%	0.05%	0.34%	3.81%
029	59,278	-233	-0.39%	43,198	72.87%	36.08%	12.05%	46.33%	2.73%	0.11%	0.06%	0.42%	2.21%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,473	-38	-0.06%	45,745	76.92%	65.26%	8.08%	19.31%	3.6%	0.16%	0.03%	0.36%	3.19%
031	59,599	88	0.15%	42,541	71.38%	64.37%	6.8%	22.42%	2.49%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.34%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,394	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,023	-488	-0.82%	43,847	74.29%	61.71%	9.45%	7.83%	15.93%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.15%
048	59,027	-484	-0.81%	44,532	75.44%	55.37%	11.58%	16.89%	11.35%	0.11%	0.05%	0.7%	3.95%
049	58,967	-544	-0.91%	45,209	76.67%	69.29%	7.01%	7.25%	11.49%	0.1%	0.02%	0.69%	4.15%
050	59,070	-441	-0.74%	43,074	72.92%	40.08%	8.54%	5.36%	41.94%	0.1%	0.02%	0.58%	3.38%
051	59,557	46	0.08%	47,832	80.31%	56.49%	18.65%	13.68%	5.52%	0.12%	0.04%	0.9%	4.61%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	60,081	570	0.96%	47,145	78.47%	68.46%	14.13%	7.43%	5.08%	0.12%	0.02%	0.69%	4.07%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	196	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.63%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.69%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	61.32%	25.24%	6.67%	2.05%	0.2%	0.02%	0.52%	3.98%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	9.24%	71.27%	12.97%	2.66%	0.19%	0.06%	0.71%	2.9%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	8.61%	64.24%	15.61%	8.11%	0.19%	0.04%	0.57%	2.63%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	6.22%	72.49%	14.22%	4.03%	0.22%	0.06%	0.5%	2.27%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	12.69%	69.39%	9.94%	4.03%	0.19%	0.03%	0.65%	3.08%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	5.69%	68.19%	18.11%	4.87%	0.21%	0.01%	0.57%	2.34%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	45.02%	11.65%	26.17%	13.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.63%	3.39%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	44.28%	18.64%	24.56%	8.14%	0.14%	0.02%	0.55%	3.65%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	61.86%	14.34%	7.52%	11.03%	0.11%	0.03%	0.65%	4.46%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	44.13%	12.06%	33.75%	6.29%	0.1%	0.02%	0.61%	3.03%
084	58,942	-569	-0.96%	46,589	79.04%	21.36%	69.47%	3.4%	1.4%	0.15%	0.03%	0.6%	3.59%
085	60,105	594	1.00%	46,788	77.84%	17.04%	59.81%	5.95%	12.73%	0.25%	0.03%	0.69%	3.51%
086	60,013	502	0.84%	45,376	75.61%	10.43%	72.7%	4.6%	8.32%	0.15%	0.01%	0.65%	3.13%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	11.48%	70.08%	7.73%	6.46%	0.21%	0.02%	0.7%	3.33%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	15.98%	60.71%	11.46%	7.49%	0.23%	0.06%	0.68%	3.39%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	30.38%	59.77%	3.8%	1.76%	0.15%	0.03%	0.48%	3.6%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	32.08%	57.15%	4.65%	1.58%	0.12%	0.03%	0.62%	3.76%
091	60,124	613	1.03%	46,233	76.9%	20.47%	67%	7.09%	1.38%	0.18%	0.04%	0.54%	3.29%
092	60,051	540	0.91%	46,080	76.73%	19.46%	69.64%	5.21%	1.52%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	3.27%
093	59,660	149	0.25%	44,461	74.52%	20.74%	62.18%	11.6%	1.22%	0.18%	0.11%	0.62%	3.36%
094	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	18.79%	64.99%	9.32%	2.29%	0.19%	0.05%	0.73%	3.63%
095	59,862	351	0.59%	45,351	75.76%	16.21%	66.18%	8.66%	4.81%	0.19%	0.02%	0.58%	3.35%
096	59,397	-114	-0.19%	44,546	75%	18.3%	19.47%	41.76%	16.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.73%	2.81%
097	59,483	-28	-0.05%	46,556	78.27%	33.68%	24.78%	20.17%	16.44%	0.18%	0.04%	0.65%	4.06%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	9.69%	19.56%	57.42%	10.69%	0.13%	0.05%	0.6%	1.86%
099	59,557	46	0.08%	44,912	75.41%	38.45%	15.01%	9.91%	32.06%	0.16%	0.04%	0.59%	3.79%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	55.86%	9.01%	10.65%	19.49%	0.18%	0.05%	0.53%	4.01%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	37.26%	22.37%	20.17%	15.23%	0.16%	0.05%	0.7%	3.96%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	26.79%	36.41%	23.45%	8.97%	0.22%	0.03%	0.69%	3.44%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	48.51%	15.16%	19.06%	11.68%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.81%
104	58,939	-572	-0.96%	42,819	72.65%	62.24%	14.92%	12.06%	5.75%	0.14%	0.04%	0.58%	4.26%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	38.69%	27.8%	18.1%	10.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.65%	3.88%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	36.66%	35.66%	12.66%	9.78%	0.17%	0.03%	0.81%	4.23%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	19.03%	27.46%	34.49%	15.45%	0.16%	0.03%	0.64%	2.73%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	38.96%	17.34%	20.98%	18.06%	0.17%	0.03%	0.67%	3.78%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	13.5%	29.44%	39.32%	14.39%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.54%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	32.7%	45.9%	11.87%	4.49%	0.18%	0.04%	0.84%	3.97%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	60.53%	21.74%	10.37%	2.5%	0.18%	0.04%	0.73%	3.91%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	71.55%	18.88%	4%	1.27%	0.2%	0.04%	0.47%	3.59%
113	59,836	325	0.55%	44,549	74.45%	29.43%	56.89%	7.76%	0.96%	0.13%	0.13%	0.71%	4%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	66.9%	23.89%	4.53%	0.7%	0.18%	0.03%	0.45%	3.33%
115	59,726	215	0.36%	44,457	74.43%	32.96%	51.44%	7.86%	2.7%	0.18%	0.04%	0.81%	3.99%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	23.87%	56.71%	8.14%	6.39%	0.18%	0.08%	0.83%	3.81%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	51.61%	35.88%	6.28%	1.53%	0.17%	0.04%	0.59%	3.9%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.18%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	59,476	-35	-0.06%	44,502	74.82%	66.87%	12.93%	11.81%	3.73%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.93%
120	58,925	-586	-0.98%	45,846	77.8%	64.32%	16.43%	11.56%	3.46%	0.16%	0.05%	0.6%	3.42%
121	59,609	98	0.16%	46,808	78.53%	73.97%	9.64%	6.36%	5.63%	0.11%	0.01%	0.54%	3.74%
122	59,196	-315	-0.53%	49,789	84.11%	56.7%	26.22%	10.24%	2.6%	0.28%	0.05%	0.78%	3.12%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	58,897	-614	-1.03%	42,755	72.59%	58.6%	22.91%	9.38%	2.11%	0.33%	0.2%	0.53%	5.94%
126	60,130	619	1.04%	44,025	73.22%	66.83%	14.9%	6.67%	5.15%	0.16%	0.13%	0.6%	5.57%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.66%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	60,012	501	0.84%	47,815	79.68%	56.65%	36.77%	2.41%	1.1%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.49%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.39%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.3%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.46%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,609	98	0.16%	46,739	78.41%	60.8%	29.36%	2.9%	3.44%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	58,936	-575	-0.97%	45,184	76.67%	51.86%	35.47%	6.91%	0.95%	0.29%	0.04%	0.42%	4.06%
146	59,174	-337	-0.57%	43,897	74.18%	56.8%	28.23%	5.49%	4.27%	0.16%	0.08%	0.44%	4.54%
147	59,874	363	0.61%	45,356	75.75%	53.62%	29.25%	8.44%	3.07%	0.23%	0.08%	0.51%	4.78%
148	60,384	873	1.47%	47,391	78.48%	55.46%	36.82%	4.02%	0.77%	0.16%	0.02%	0.29%	2.47%
149	59,130	-381	-0.64%	45,809	77.47%	63.69%	28.54%	3.54%	0.84%	0.15%	0.05%	0.24%	2.96%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.08%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	58,880	-631	-1.06%	46,038	78.19%	58.21%	28.54%	10.21%	0.51%	0.14%	0.01%	0.2%	2.17%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
162	60,401	890	1.50%	46,561	77.09%	35.82%	44.06%	10.98%	3.93%	0.21%	0.27%	0.53%	4.2%
163	59,901	390	0.66%	48,286	80.61%	40.44%	43.48%	9.42%	3%	0.21%	0.1%	0.39%	2.96%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	57.7%	22.03%	9.95%	4.21%	0.24%	0.12%	0.68%	5.08%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	35.1%	52.41%	5.53%	3.19%	0.22%	0.14%	0.38%	3.02%
166	60,371	860	1.45%	47,927	79.39%	81.64%	6.95%	4.03%	2.84%	0.14%	0.06%	0.4%	3.95%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	62.89%	20.99%	8.81%	1.42%	0.35%	0.23%	0.5%	4.79%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	36.24%	43.3%	11.22%	1.98%	0.31%	0.67%	0.48%	5.79%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	58.36%	28.84%	9.03%	0.79%	0.15%	0.02%	0.2%	2.6%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	60.65%	24.39%	10.43%	1.19%	0.13%	0.02%	0.28%	2.91%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	51.23%	39.79%	5.73%	0.54%	0.21%	0.03%	0.21%	2.26%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	57.24%	23.26%	16%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.23%	2.27%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	52.67%	36.22%	6.95%	0.79%	0.33%	0.02%	0.3%	2.72%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	70.83%	16.91%	7.88%	0.47%	0.35%	0.04%	0.22%	3.3%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	64.08%	23.75%	6.1%	1.78%	0.26%	0.07%	0.34%	3.64%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	63.56%	21.74%	9.95%	0.91%	0.24%	0.08%	0.29%	3.23%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	33.22%	54.7%	6.69%	1.26%	0.21%	0.07%	0.42%	3.42%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	75.62%	14.4%	6.22%	0.52%	0.18%	0.01%	0.29%	2.76%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	59.03%	28.39%	7.73%	1.06%	0.17%	0.13%	0.39%	3.11%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	68.71%	16.96%	6.47%	1.56%	0.32%	0.11%	0.57%	5.3%

Total: 10,711,908
Ideal District: 59,511

User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,578 to 60,401
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 890
Absolute Overall Range:	1,723
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.50%
Relative Overall Range:	2.90%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	359.34
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.60%
Standard Deviation:	416.01

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	56.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.46%	3.59%
020	59,895	384	0.65%	45,658	76.23%	80.33%	6.15%	7.03%	1.88%	0.22%	0.05%	0.49%	3.85%
021	58,907	-604	-1.01%	44,459	75.47%	81.37%	4.45%	7.85%	1.95%	0.22%	0.04%	0.57%	3.54%
022	59,672	161	0.27%	45,882	76.89%	61.71%	15.11%	13.7%	4.18%	0.14%	0.03%	0.82%	4.32%
023	59,202	-309	-0.52%	44,341	74.9%	75.96%	5.28%	13.84%	1.04%	0.21%	0.05%	0.36%	3.25%
024	59,272	-239	-0.40%	42,970	72.5%	60.62%	7.47%	19.25%	17.67%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	3.18%
025	59,158	-353	-0.59%	41,770	70.61%	56.83%	5.83%	6.29%	27.65%	0.14%	0.04%	0.45%	2.77%
026	58,990	-521	-0.88%	43,533	73.8%	58.63%	3.82%	12.25%	11.39%	0.12%	0.03%	0.49%	3.27%
027	59,423	-88	-0.15%	46,549	78.33%	82.59%	3.12%	9.55%	0.84%	0.21%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,840	76.04%	81.13%	2.87%	10.15%	2.04%	0.16%	0.04%	0.31%	3.3%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
029	59,278	-233	-0.39%	43,196	72.87%	42.38%	12.45%	39.74%	3.01%	0.14%	0.05%	0.34%	1.88%
030	59,473	-38	-0.06%	45,745	76.92%	68.53%	8.28%	16.47%	3.48%	0.17%	0.03%	0.31%	2.73%
031	59,599	88	0.15%	42,541	71.38%	67.32%	6.93%	19.85%	2.61%	0.21%	0.03%	0.31%	2.74%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	82.98%	7.21%	4.87%	1.25%	0.32%	0.05%	0.2%	3.12%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	82.25%	10.57%	3.13%	1.16%	0.15%	0.01%	0.29%	2.43%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	69.23%	14.11%	7.85%	4.43%	0.12%	0.03%	0.65%	3.58%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	53.63%	25.59%	11.15%	4.58%	0.19%	0.05%	0.77%	4.04%
036	59,934	483	0.81%	44,911	74.89%	70.77%	15.48%	6.51%	3.02%	0.15%	0.04%	0.6%	3.44%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	46.26%	25.84%	18.64%	4.61%	0.21%	0.02%	0.91%	3.52%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	30.1%	51.13%	12.62%	1.87%	0.24%	0.05%	0.63%	3.36%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	23.47%	52.5%	18.66%	1.77%	0.17%	0.03%	0.6%	2.79%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	51.14%	30.35%	5.92%	8.24%	0.15%	0.01%	0.63%	3.55%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	27.62%	36.96%	28.55%	3.13%	0.22%	0.05%	0.84%	2.62%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	39%	30.85%	17.38%	7.45%	0.2%	0.04%	1.14%	3.94%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	46.31%	24.03%	14.15%	7.62%	0.21%	0.09%	2.27%	5.32%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	67.69%	10.5%	10.53%	5.78%	0.2%	0.02%	1.06%	4.23%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	74.94%	4.27%	4.85%	12.05%	0.05%	0.02%	0.59%	3.23%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	74.81%	6.79%	7.38%	6.72%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.53%
047	59,023	-488	-0.82%	43,847	74.29%	63.86%	9.31%	7.36%	15.18%	0.17%	0.03%	0.62%	3.46%
048	59,027	-484	-0.81%	44,532	75.44%	58.3%	11.65%	14.81%	11.18%	0.11%	0.04%	0.65%	3.26%
049	58,967	-544	-0.91%	45,209	76.67%	71.78%	7.01%	6.46%	10.82%	0.1%	0.03%	0.61%	3.2%
050	59,070	-441	-0.74%	43,074	72.92%	43.33%	8.42%	4.93%	40.16%	0.07%	0.03%	0.5%	2.56%
051	59,557	46	0.08%	47,832	80.31%	59.63%	17.92%	11.86%	5.63%	0.12%	0.05%	0.86%	3.93%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	55.14%	14.19%	7.41%	19.12%	0.14%	0.07%	0.68%	3.24%
053	60,081	570	0.96%	47,145	78.47%	69.12%	14.67%	6.8%	5.21%	0.11%	0.01%	0.61%	3.46%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	62.98%	13.67%	12.79%	6.86%	0.13%	0.03%	0.53%	3.02%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	35.51%	52.85%	4.97%	3.19%	0.18%	0.04%	0.37%	2.86%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	36.98%	42.9%	5.84%	9.92%	0.2%	0.08%	0.41%	3.67%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	63.64%	16.18%	7.95%	7.99%	0.1%	0.02%	0.6%	3.52%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,614	85.53%	27.56%	60.36%	5.07%	3.04%	0.12%	0.04%	0.51%	3.3%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	22.04%	66.72%	4.42%	2.9%	0.17%	0.02%	0.54%	3.18%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	28.09%	61.3%	5.11%	2.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.43%	2.67%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	16.75%	71.33%	7.61%	0.97%	0.17%	0.05%	0.51%	2.6%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	19.07%	69.19%	6.83%	1.3%	0.21%	0.05%	0.47%	2.88%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	19.22%	66.7%	9.26%	1.54%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	2.56%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	57.83%	28.63%	7.44%	1.41%	0.3%	0.04%	0.7%	3.67%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	31.46%	59.19%	4.53%	1.15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.51%	2.92%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	33.93%	50.39%	9.49%	1.86%	0.26%	0.08%	0.63%	3.36%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	30.86%	56.59%	7.75%	1.39%	0.19%	0.03%	0.49%	2.7%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	33.94%	53.42%	6.33%	2.77%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.72%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	26.89%	60.9%	5.42%	3.12%	0.18%	0.04%	0.78%	2.68%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	59.69%	26.23%	7.96%	2.23%	0.22%	0.06%	0.4%	3.22%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	69.8%	18.45%	6.18%	1.01%	0.24%	0.02%	0.42%	3.88%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	58,942	-569	-0.96%	46,589	79.04%	21.54%	70.21%	2.96%	1.49%	0.17%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	60,105	594	1.00%	46,788	77.84%	19.45%	59.6%	5.87%	11.14%	0.21%	0.03%	0.58%	3.12%
086	60,013	502	0.84%	45,376	75.61%	11.87%	72.85%	4.25%	7.38%	0.15%	0%	0.64%	2.85%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.89%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,124	613	1.03%	46,233	76.9%	22.87%	66.18%	5.92%	1.43%	0.16%	0.05%	0.49%	2.89%
092	60,051	540	0.91%	46,080	76.73%	22.39%	67.78%	4.47%	1.62%	0.14%	0.02%	0.6%	2.98%
093	59,660	149	0.25%	44,461	74.52%	23.87%	61.18%	9.88%	1.36%	0.18%	0.09%	0.52%	2.91%
094	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.34%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
095	59,862	351	0.59%	45,351	75.76%	18.2%	65.95%	7.24%	4.8%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.06%
096	59,397	-114	-0.19%	44,546	75%	21.18%	19.5%	37.18%	18.9%	0.12%	0.05%	0.63%	2.44%
097	59,483	-28	-0.05%	46,556	78.27%	36.82%	23.85%	17.86%	17.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.55%	3.33%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,557	46	0.08%	44,912	75.41%	40.82%	14.61%	8.95%	32.15%	0.13%	0.05%	0.5%	2.8%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.87%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	58,939	-572	-0.96%	42,819	72.65%	65.05%	14.33%	10.73%	5.83%	0.15%	0.04%	0.49%	3.38%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.16%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	59,836	325	0.55%	44,549	74.45%	32.41%	56.63%	6.62%	1.01%	0.15%	0.11%	0.7%	3.37%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
115	59,726	215	0.36%	44,457	74.43%	36.77%	49.33%	6.97%	2.71%	0.2%	0.05%	0.7%	3.27%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	27.22%	54.93%	7.29%	6.48%	0.19%	0.09%	0.74%	3.05%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	54.5%	34.54%	5.44%	1.54%	0.19%	0.04%	0.52%	3.22%
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	69.73%	22.7%	3.68%	0.42%	0.2%	0.02%	0.39%	2.85%
119	59,476	-35	-0.06%	44,502	74.82%	69.8%	12.83%	10.04%	3.64%	0.16%	0.02%	0.41%	3.1%
120	58,925	-586	-0.98%	45,846	77.8%	67.07%	16.19%	9.62%	3.56%	0.16%	0.06%	0.51%	2.83%
121	59,609	98	0.16%	46,808	78.52%	74.96%	9.61%	5.7%	5.81%	0.1%	0.01%	0.47%	3.35%
122	59,196	-315	-0.53%	49,789	84.11%	61.75%	22.56%	8.69%	2.92%	0.32%	0.04%	0.74%	2.77%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	68.06%	23.42%	4.31%	1.06%	0.19%	0.02%	0.2%	2.75%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	65.01%	24.61%	6.17%	1.08%	0.19%	0.02%	0.31%	2.61%
125	58,897	-614	-1.03%	42,755	72.59%	61.86%	22.5%	7.99%	2.36%	0.36%	0.18%	0.41%	4.35%
126	60,130	619	1.04%	44,025	73.22%	69.17%	14.96%	5.59%	5.38%	0.17%	0.1%	0.53%	4.1%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	68.13%	16.88%	4.77%	5.68%	0.19%	0.16%	0.43%	3.77%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	46.49%	49.38%	1.7%	0.35%	0.19%	0.01%	0.17%	1.71%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	37.16%	52.33%	4.26%	2.4%	0.19%	0.15%	0.41%	3.1%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	33.74%	57.69%	3.86%	0.97%	0.26%	0.19%	0.34%	2.95%
131	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	39.97%	52.63%	3.17%	0.89%	0.29%	0.16%	0.29%	2.62%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	35.63%	49.82%	7.8%	2.74%	0.27%	0.16%	0.3%	3.28%
133	60,012	501	0.84%	47,815	79.68%	58.66%	35.63%	2.14%	1.14%	0.15%	0.04%	0.36%	1.88%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	59.9%	32.37%	3.74%	0.81%	0.23%	0.02%	0.25%	2.69%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	71.78%	22.84%	1.82%	0.55%	0.16%	0.01%	0.25%	2.57%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	63.9%	27.76%	3.64%	1.55%	0.26%	0.04%	0.29%	2.55%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	40.82%	50.02%	4.48%	1.73%	0.12%	0.12%	0.26%	2.44%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	72.34%	18.26%	3.31%	2.43%	0.26%	0.07%	0.35%	2.97%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	66.19%	18.56%	6.36%	3.89%	0.25%	0.24%	0.46%	4.04%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	31.7%	54.74%	8.02%	1.17%	0.24%	0.2%	0.49%	3.43%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	31.77%	54.65%	6.55%	2.69%	0.27%	0.3%	0.38%	3.38%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	34.8%	57.42%	3.7%	1.4%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.2%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	32.28%	58.98%	4.67%	1.07%	0.21%	0.05%	0.3%	2.44%
144	59,609	98	0.16%	46,739	78.41%	62.93%	28.39%	2.53%	3.43%	0.14%	0.02%	0.26%	2.32%
145	58,936	-575	-0.97%	45,184	76.67%	55.24%	33.82%	5.89%	1.05%	0.34%	0.04%	0.31%	3.32%
146	59,174	-337	-0.57%	43,897	74.18%	59.16%	27.74%	4.67%	4.45%	0.18%	0.08%	0.38%	3.34%
147	59,874	363	0.61%	45,356	75.75%	57.19%	27.82%	7.23%	3.3%	0.24%	0.07%	0.42%	3.72%
148	60,384	873	1.47%	47,391	78.48%	57.76%	35.74%	3.36%	0.74%	0.17%	0.02%	0.19%	2.02%
149	59,130	-381	-0.64%	45,809	77.47%	65.2%	28.46%	2.87%	0.88%	0.19%	0.05%	0.18%	2.16%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	38.31%	52.5%	6.13%	1.18%	0.16%	0.03%	0.15%	1.54%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	47.2%	40.96%	7.28%	1.43%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	2.58%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	67.94%	25.26%	2.34%	1.52%	0.24%	0.04%	0.19%	2.46%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	27.66%	66.38%	2.55%	1%	0.16%	0.03%	0.23%	2.01%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	42.24%	53.68%	1.67%	0.36%	0.19%	0%	0.16%	1.7%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	59.77%	34.6%	2.22%	0.95%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.05%
156	58,880	-631	-1.06%	46,038	78.19%	59.85%	28.15%	9.57%	0.51%	0.13%	0.01%	0.12%	1.65%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	64.48%	23.7%	8.96%	0.57%	0.17%	0.04%	0.16%	1.93%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,543	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.46%	3.77%
162	60,401	890	1.50%	46,561	77.09%	39.8%	41.78%	9.79%	4.1%	0.23%	0.26%	0.45%	3.6%
163	59,901	390	0.66%	48,286	80.61%	43.85%	41.48%	8.02%	3.46%	0.22%	0.07%	0.33%	2.57%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,371	860	1.45%	47,927	79.39%	83.26%	6.92%	3.26%	2.9%	0.16%	0.05%	0.36%	3.09%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: 10,711,908
Ideal District: 59,511

2021-2022 GUIDELINES FOR THE HOUSE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE

I. HEARINGS AND MEETINGS

A. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. A series of public hearings were held to actively seek public participation and input concerning the General Assembly's redrawing of congressional and legislative districts.

2. Video recordings of all hearings are and shall remain available on the legislative website, www.legis.ga.gov

B. COMMITTEE MEETINGS

1. All formal meetings of the full committee will be open to the public.

2. When the General Assembly is not in session, notices of all such meetings will be posted at the Offices of the Clerk of the House or Secretary of the Senate and other appropriate places at least 24 hours in advance of any meeting. Individual notices may be transmitted by email to any citizen or organization requesting the same without charge. Persons or organizations needing this information should contact the Senate Press Office or House Communications Office or the Secretary of the Senate or Clerk of the House to be placed on the notification list.

3. Minutes of all such meetings shall be kept and maintained in accordance with the rules of the House and Senate. Copies of the minutes should be made available in a timely manner at a reasonable cost in accordance with these same rules.

IL PUBLIC ACCESS TO REDISTRICTING DATA AND MATERIALS

A. Census information databases on any medium created at public expense and held by the Committee or by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office for use in the redistricting process are included as public records and copies can be made available to the public in accordance with the rules of the General Assembly and subject to reasonable charges for search, retrieval, reproduction and other reasonable, related costs.

B. Copies of the public records described above may be obtained at the cost of reproduction by members of the public on electronic media if the material exists on an appropriate electronic medium. Cost of reproduction may include not only the medium on which the copies made, but also the labor cost for the search, retrieval, and reproduction of the records and other reasonable, related costs.

1. Staff of the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office will be available to all members of the General Assembly requesting assistance in accordance with the policy of that office.

2. Census data and redistricting work maps will be available to all members of the General Assembly upon request, provided that (a) the map was created by the requesting member, (b) the map is publicly available, or (c) the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office has been granted permission by the author of the map to share a copy with the requesting member.

3. As noted above, redistricting plans and other records related to the provision of staff services to individual members of the General Assembly will not be subject to public disclosure. Only the author of a particular map may waive the confidentiality of his or her own work product. This confidentiality provision will not apply with respect to records related to the provision of staff services to any committee or subcommittee as a whole or to any records which are or have been previously disclosed by or pursuant to the direction of an individual member of the General Assembly.

C. PLANS PRODUCED OUTSIDE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT OFFICE

1. All plans submitted to the Committee will be made part of the public record and made available in the same manner as other committee public records.

2. All plans prepared outside the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office must be submitted to that office prior to presentation to the Committee by a Member of the General Assembly for technical verification and presentation and bill preparation. All pieces of census geography must be accounted for in some district.

3. The electronic submission of material for technical verification must be made in accordance with the following requirements or in a manner specifically approved and accepted by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office.

   a. The submission shall be in electronic format with accompanying documentation that shows the submitting sponsor of the proposed plan and contact person for the proposed plan, including email address and telephone number.

   b. An electronic map image that clearly depicts defined boundaries, utilizing the 2020 United States Census geographic boundaries,

3. All plans presented at committee meetings will be made available for inspection by the public either electronically or by hard copy available at the Office of Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment.

E. These guidelines may be reconsidered or amended by the Committee.

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly
Suite 307 Coverdell Legislative Office Bldg

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
District Layer
County
0 20 40
Miles

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop1-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	87.88%	3.9%	2.59%	0.53%	0.31%	0.04%	0.3%	4.45%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	83.24%	2.56%	9.09%	1.1%	0.18%	0.02%	0.26%	3.55%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	86.9%	2.82%	3.6%	1.63%	0.27%	0.14%	0.18%	4.46%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	42.01%	4.17%	50.07%	1.23%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.05%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	75.46%	3.76%	15.29%	1.24%	0.2%	0.02%	0.22%	3.81%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	80.15%	1.01%	14.51%	0.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.2%	3.4%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	87.97%	0.37%	7.43%	0.45%	0.26%	0.01%	0.24%	3.27%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	90.8%	1.13%	3.21%	0.54%	0.3%	0.01%	0.34%	3.67%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	87.78%	1.01%	5.49%	0.79%	0.37%	0.06%	0.36%	4.15%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	78.61%	2.97%	13.11%	1.51%	0.17%	0.06%	0.24%	3.33%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	87.43%	1.55%	5.33%	1.15%	0.22%	0.02%	0.3%	4%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	78.45%	8.61%	7.68%	1.01%	0.16%	0.01%	0.42%	3.68%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	62.24%	18.71%	13.52%	1.29%	0.22%	0.03%	0.33%	3.65%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	81.38%	5.86%	7.04%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	4.36%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	68.38%	13.61%	11.74%	1.3%	0.25%	0.04%	0.49%	4.19%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	72.9%	11.15%	10.95%	0.76%	0.22%	0.05%	0.43%	3.54%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	63.28%	22.06%	7.9%	1.33%	0.23%	0.07%	0.64%	4.49%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	84.78%	7.11%	2.93%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.35%	3.97%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	62.06%	23.47%	7.87%	1.14%	0.25%	0.08%	0.64%	4.49%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	73.93%	8.13%	10.6%	1.97%	0.16%	0.04%	0.63%	4.54%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	80.04%	4.29%	8.54%	1.84%	0.19%	0.04%	0.66%	4.4%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	62.53%	13.94%	13.26%	3.86%	0.2%	0.03%	0.81%	5.37%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	71.47%	5.64%	17.19%	1.06%	0.22%	0.04%	0.36%	4.01%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	60.13%	6%	11.36%	17.65%	0.21%	0.04%	0.62%	3.98%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	51.99%	5%	5.42%	33.55%	0.15%	0.03%	0.51%	3.36%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	63.48%	3.29%	12.07%	16.8%	0.18%	0.04%	0.5%	3.64%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	79.69%	3.22%	11.82%	0.82%	0.19%	0.04%	0.3%	3.91%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	76.5%	3.39%	13.59%	2.06%	0.16%	0.03%	0.4%	3.86%
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	36.05%	12.13%	46.28%	2.72%	0.12%	0.06%	0.41%	2.23%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	67.03%	7.37%	18.78%	3.04%	0.15%	0.03%	0.34%	3.26%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	65.57%	6.64%	21.63%	2.27%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.31%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	61.71%	9.44%	7.83%	15.91%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.17%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	59.05%	10.16%	14.1%	11.77%	0.08%	0.05%	0.64%	4.16%
049	59,153	-358	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	68.94%	7.2%	7.56%	11.41%	0.1%	0.02%	0.68%	4.09%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	41.55%	11.04%	7.06%	35.46%	0.09%	0.04%	0.66%	4.1%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	51.02%	21.93%	15.47%	5.83%	0.17%	0.04%	1.03%	4.51%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	70.3%	12.31%	8.2%	4.46%	0.1%	0.02%	0.63%	3.98%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.03%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.89%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	61.32%	25.24%	6.67%	2.05%	0.2%	0.02%	0.52%	3.98%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	9.24%	71.27%	12.97%	2.66%	0.19%	0.06%	0.71%	2.9%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	8.61%	64.24%	15.61%	8.11%	0.19%	0.04%	0.57%	2.63%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	6.22%	72.49%	14.22%	4.03%	0.22%	0.06%	0.5%	2.27%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	12.69%	69.39%	9.94%	4.03%	0.19%	0.03%	0.65%	3.08%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	5.69%	68.19%	18.11%	4.87%	0.21%	0.01%	0.57%	2.34%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	45.02%	11.65%	26.17%	13.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.63%	3.39%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	44.28%	18.64%	24.58%	8.14%	0.14%	0.02%	0.55%	3.65%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	61.86%	14.34%	7.52%	11.03%	0.11%	0.03%	0.65%	4.46%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	44.13%	12.06%	33.75%	6.29%	0.1%	0.02%	0.61%	3.03%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.11%	69.74%	3.4%	1.4%	0.16%	0.03%	0.59%	3.58%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	17.08%	60.18%	5.99%	12.29%	0.25%	0.02%	0.68%	3.5%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	10.6%	71.76%	4.64%	9.02%	0.15%	0.02%	0.67%	3.14%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	11.48%	70.08%	7.73%	6.46%	0.21%	0.02%	0.7%	3.33%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	15.98%	60.71%	11.46%	7.49%	0.23%	0.06%	0.68%	3.39%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	30.38%	59.77%	3.8%	1.78%	0.15%	0.03%	0.48%	3.6%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	32.08%	57.15%	4.65%	1.58%	0.12%	0.03%	0.62%	3.76%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	19.7%	67.92%	7%	1.39%	0.17%	0.04%	0.54%	3.25%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	20.98%	67.63%	5.49%	1.58%	0.16%	0.04%	0.74%	3.39%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	19.94%	63.27%	11.24%	1.34%	0.16%	0.1%	0.69%	3.26%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	16.38%	65.88%	8.72%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.58%	3.37%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	18.79%	64.99%	9.32%	2.29%	0.19%	0.05%	0.73%	3.63%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	17.47%	20.71%	40.49%	17.54%	0.15%	0.06%	0.72%	2.76%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	33.19%	25.12%	21.86%	15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.68%	3.92%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	9.69%	19.56%	57.42%	10.69%	0.13%	0.05%	0.6%	1.86%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	39.77%	13.49%	9.52%	32.49%	0.15%	0.04%	0.56%	3.98%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	55.88%	9.01%	10.85%	19.49%	0.18%	0.05%	0.53%	4.01%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	37.36%	22.37%	20.17%	15.23%	0.16%	0.05%	0.7%	3.96%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	26.79%	36.41%	23.45%	8.97%	0.22%	0.03%	0.69%	3.44%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	49.51%	15.16%	19.06%	11.68%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.81%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	60.44%	15.61%	12.64%	6.32%	0.16%	0.04%	0.6%	4.2%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	38.89%	27.8%	18.1%	10.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.65%	3.88%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	36.66%	35.66%	12.66%	9.78%	0.17%	0.03%	0.81%	4.23%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	19.03%	27.46%	34.49%	15.45%	0.16%	0.03%	0.64%	2.73%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	38.96%	17.34%	20.98%	18.06%	0.17%	0.03%	0.67%	3.78%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	13.5%	29.44%	39.32%	14.39%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.54%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	32.7%	45.9%	11.87%	4.49%	0.18%	0.04%	0.84%	3.97%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	60.53%	21.74%	10.37%	2.5%	0.18%	0.04%	0.73%	3.91%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	71.55%	18.88%	4%	1.27%	0.2%	0.04%	0.47%	3.59%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	28.82%	57.75%	7.78%	0.79%	0.14%	0.12%	0.62%	3.98%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	66.9%	23.89%	4.53%	0.7%	0.18%	0.03%	0.45%	3.33%
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	33.12%	51.3%	7.88%	2.67%	0.17%	0.04%	0.81%	4%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	23.87%	56.71%	8.14%	6.39%	0.18%	0.08%	0.83%	3.81%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	51.61%	35.88%	6.28%	1.53%	0.17%	0.04%	0.59%	3.9%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.18%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	66.86%	12.47%	12.17%	3.83%	0.16%	0.02%	0.58%	3.89%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	69.85%	13.48%	8.42%	4.05%	0.15%	0.05%	0.5%	3.49%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	75.06%	8.66%	6.27%	5.64%	0.11%	0%	0.53%	3.73%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	49.13%	30.63%	13.78%	2.13%	0.28%	0.06%	0.86%	3.13%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	60%	21.67%	8.93%	2.4%	0.29%	0.19%	0.52%	5.99%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,664	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	65.57%	15.99%	7.07%	4.92%	0.19%	0.14%	0.61%	5.51%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	56.35%	37.05%	2.42%	1.12%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.48%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.39%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.5%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.48%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	60.82%	29.32%	2.91%	3.46%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	51.64%	35.66%	7.02%	0.9%	0.28%	0.04%	0.41%	4.05%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	59.32%	26.73%	5.66%	2.67%	0.17%	0.09%	0.45%	4.91%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	51.94%	29.55%	8.3%	4.76%	0.23%	0.07%	0.51%	4.64%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	58.49%	33.89%	3.66%	0.9%	0.12%	0.04%	0.28%	2.63%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.01%	31.14%	5.61%	0.57%	0.17%	0.03%	0.2%	2.28%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.08%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	58.49%	29.79%	8.27%	0.6%	0.17%	0.01%	0.25%	2.42%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	36.7%	43.34%	10.78%	4%	0.2%	0.24%	0.54%	4.19%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	38.48%	46.14%	8.45%	3.12%	0.19%	0.13%	0.39%	3.1%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	57.7%	22.03%	9.95%	4.21%	0.24%	0.12%	0.68%	5.08%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	35.1%	52.41%	5.53%	3.19%	0.22%	0.14%	0.38%	3.02%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	82.79%	4.94%	5.19%	2.65%	0.16%	0.05%	0.4%	3.82%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	62.89%	20.99%	8.81%	1.42%	0.35%	0.23%	0.5%	4.79%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	36.24%	43.3%	11.22%	1.98%	0.31%	0.67%	0.48%	5.79%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	75.54%	58.36%	28.84%	9.03%	0.79%	0.15%	0.02%	0.2%	2.6%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	60.65%	24.39%	10.43%	1.19%	0.13%	0.02%	0.28%	2.91%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	51.23%	39.79%	5.73%	0.54%	0.21%	0.03%	0.21%	2.26%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	57.24%	23.26%	16%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.23%	2.27%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	52.67%	36.22%	6.95%	0.79%	0.33%	0.02%	0.3%	2.72%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	70.83%	16.91%	7.88%	0.47%	0.35%	0.04%	0.22%	3.3%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	64.08%	23.75%	6.1%	1.78%	0.26%	0.07%	0.34%	3.64%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	63.56%	21.74%	9.95%	0.91%	0.24%	0.08%	0.29%	3.23%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	33.22%	54.7%	6.69%	1.26%	0.21%	0.07%	0.42%	3.42%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	75.62%	14.4%	6.22%	0.52%	0.18%	0.01%	0.29%	2.76%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	59.03%	28.39%	7.73%	1.06%	0.17%	0.13%	0.39%	3.11%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	68.71%	16.96%	6.47%	1.56%	0.32%	0.11%	0.57%	5.3%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop1-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	66.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.48%	3.59%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	76.4%	7.96%	9.18%	2.03%	0.14%	0.04%	0.55%	3.7%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	82.07%	4.23%	7.44%	1.87%	0.22%	0.05%	0.61%	3.51%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	65.61%	13.32%	11.57%	4.04%	0.21%	0.03%	0.76%	4.47%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	75.29%	5.48%	14.23%	1.12%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	3.3%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	63.42%	6.04%	10.32%	16.41%	0.17%	0.05%	0.56%	3.03%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	56.12%	5.08%	5.09%	30.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.45%	2.56%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	68.21%	3.18%	10.76%	14.26%	0.12%	0.04%	0.44%	2.99%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	82.61%	3.07%	9.6%	0.83%	0.2%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	79.36%	3.15%	11.44%	2.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.36%	3.33%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	42.29%	12.55%	39.71%	3.02%	0.14%	0.06%	0.33%	1.91%
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	70.5%	7.19%	16.13%	2.96%	0.15%	0.02%	0.28%	2.77%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	68.65%	6.79%	18.95%	2.35%	0.21%	0.03%	0.32%	2.69%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	82.98%	7.21%	4.87%	1.25%	0.32%	0.05%	0.2%	3.12%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	82.25%	10.57%	3.13%	1.16%	0.15%	0.01%	0.29%	2.43%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	69.23%	14.11%	7.85%	4.43%	0.12%	0.03%	0.65%	3.58%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	53.63%	25.59%	11.15%	4.58%	0.19%	0.05%	0.77%	4.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	70.77%	15.48%	6.51%	3.02%	0.15%	0.04%	0.6%	3.44%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	46.26%	25.84%	18.64%	4.61%	0.21%	0.02%	0.91%	3.52%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	30.1%	51.13%	12.62%	1.87%	0.24%	0.05%	0.63%	3.36%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	23.47%	52.5%	18.66%	1.77%	0.17%	0.03%	0.6%	2.79%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	51.14%	30.35%	5.92%	8.24%	0.15%	0.01%	0.63%	3.55%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	27.62%	36.96%	28.55%	3.13%	0.22%	0.05%	0.84%	2.62%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	39%	30.85%	17.38%	7.45%	0.2%	0.04%	1.14%	3.94%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	46.31%	24.03%	14.15%	7.62%	0.21%	0.09%	2.27%	5.32%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	67.69%	10.5%	10.53%	5.78%	0.2%	0.02%	1.06%	4.23%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	74.94%	4.27%	4.85%	12.05%	0.05%	0.02%	0.59%	3.23%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	74.81%	6.79%	7.38%	6.72%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.53%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	63.89%	9.3%	7.37%	15.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.62%	3.46%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	61.77%	10.14%	12.41%	11.59%	0.08%	0.04%	0.56%	3.42%
049	59,153	-358	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	71.48%	7.22%	6.7%	10.74%	0.1%	0.03%	0.63%	3.12%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	44.37%	10.8%	6.36%	34.53%	0.07%	0.05%	0.58%	3.13%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	54.33%	21.3%	13.31%	5.93%	0.18%	0.05%	1.01%	3.89%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	55.14%	14.19%	7.41%	19.12%	0.14%	0.07%	0.68%	3.24%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	71.2%	12.71%	7.44%	4.58%	0.09%	0.02%	0.54%	3.41%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	62.98%	13.67%	12.79%	6.86%	0.13%	0.03%	0.53%	3.02%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	35.51%	52.85%	4.97%	3.19%	0.16%	0.04%	0.37%	2.88%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	36.98%	42.9%	5.84%	9.92%	0.2%	0.08%	0.41%	3.67%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	63.64%	16.18%	7.95%	7.99%	0.1%	0.02%	0.6%	3.52%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	27.56%	60.36%	5.07%	3.04%	0.12%	0.04%	0.51%	3.3%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	22.04%	66.72%	4.43%	2.9%	0.17%	0.02%	0.54%	3.18%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	28.09%	61.3%	5.11%	2.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.43%	2.67%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	16.75%	71.33%	7.61%	0.97%	0.17%	0.05%	0.51%	2.6%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	19.07%	69.19%	6.83%	1.3%	0.21%	0.05%	0.47%	2.88%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	19.22%	66.7%	9.26%	1.54%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	2.56%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	57.83%	28.63%	7.44%	1.41%	0.3%	0.04%	0.7%	3.67%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	31.46%	59.19%	4.53%	1.15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.51%	2.92%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	33.93%	50.39%	9.49%	1.86%	0.26%	0.08%	0.63%	3.36%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	30.86%	56.59%	7.75%	1.39%	0.19%	0.03%	0.49%	2.7%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	33.94%	53.42%	6.33%	2.77%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.72%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	26.89%	60.9%	5.42%	3.12%	0.18%	0.04%	0.78%	2.68%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	59.69%	26.23%	7.96%	2.23%	0.22%	0.06%	0.4%	3.22%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	69.8%	18.45%	6.18%	1.01%	0.24%	0.02%	0.42%	3.88%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.29%	70.47%	2.96%	1.48%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	19.48%	59.85%	5.92%	10.8%	0.21%	0.02%	0.57%	3.14%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	12.08%	72.02%	4.29%	7.95%	0.15%	0.01%	0.65%	2.84%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.69%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.26%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	22%	67.15%	5.86%	1.44%	0.15%	0.05%	0.49%	2.86%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	24.05%	65.71%	4.68%	1.67%	0.17%	0.03%	0.61%	3.08%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	22.91%	62.36%	9.58%	1.48%	0.17%	0.09%	0.61%	2.81%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	18.42%	65.61%	7.29%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.07%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.94%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	20.32%	20.75%	36.03%	19.7%	0.11%	0.04%	0.6%	2.44%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	36.44%	24.16%	19.23%	16.07%	0.19%	0.05%	0.6%	3.25%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	42.1%	13.07%	8.67%	32.63%	0.13%	0.04%	0.48%	2.89%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.87%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	62.96%	15.44%	11.14%	6.38%	0.18%	0.05%	0.51%	3.34%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.18%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	31.8%	56.48%	6.65%	0.83%	0.15%	0.11%	0.59%	3.39%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwm]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	36.95%	49.2%	6.97%	2.68%	0.2%	0.05%	0.69%	3.26%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	27.22%	54.93%	7.29%	6.48%	0.19%	0.09%	0.74%	3.05%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	54.5%	34.54%	5.44%	1.54%	0.19%	0.04%	0.52%	3.22%
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	69.73%	22.7%	3.68%	0.42%	0.2%	0.02%	0.39%	2.85%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	69.8%	12.31%	10.44%	3.75%	0.17%	0.02%	0.43%	3.08%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	71.94%	13.21%	7.09%	4.18%	0.16%	0.05%	0.44%	2.91%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	76.13%	8.6%	5.57%	5.84%	0.1%	0%	0.46%	3.3%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	54.8%	27.13%	11.7%	2.41%	0.32%	0.06%	0.79%	2.79%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	68.06%	23.42%	4.31%	1.06%	0.19%	0.02%	0.2%	2.75%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	65.01%	24.61%	6.17%	1.08%	0.19%	0.02%	0.31%	2.61%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	63.03%	21.43%	7.66%	2.6%	0.31%	0.16%	0.39%	4.41%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	39.97%	52.63%	3.17%	0.89%	0.29%	0.16%	0.29%	2.62%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	68.13%	16.88%	4.77%	5.68%	0.19%	0.16%	0.43%	3.77%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	46.49%	49.38%	1.7%	0.35%	0.19%	0.01%	0.17%	1.71%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	37.16%	52.33%	4.26%	2.4%	0.19%	0.15%	0.41%	3.1%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	33.74%	57.69%	3.86%	0.97%	0.26%	0.19%	0.34%	2.95%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	68.16%	15.87%	5.87%	5.21%	0.21%	0.1%	0.55%	4.03%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	35.63%	49.82%	7.8%	2.74%	0.27%	0.16%	0.3%	3.28%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	58.39%	35.87%	2.15%	1.15%	0.15%	0.04%	0.36%	1.89%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	59.9%	32.37%	3.74%	0.81%	0.23%	0.02%	0.25%	2.69%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	71.78%	22.84%	1.82%	0.55%	0.16%	0.01%	0.25%	2.57%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	63.9%	27.76%	3.64%	1.55%	0.26%	0.04%	0.29%	2.55%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	40.82%	50.02%	4.48%	1.73%	0.12%	0.12%	0.26%	2.44%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	72.34%	18.26%	3.31%	2.43%	0.26%	0.07%	0.35%	2.97%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	66.19%	18.56%	6.36%	3.89%	0.25%	0.24%	0.46%	4.04%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	31.7%	54.74%	8.02%	1.17%	0.24%	0.2%	0.49%	3.43%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	31.77%	54.65%	6.55%	2.69%	0.27%	0.3%	0.38%	3.38%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	34.8%	57.42%	3.7%	1.4%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.2%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	32.28%	58.98%	4.67%	1.07%	0.21%	0.05%	0.3%	2.44%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	62.95%	28.34%	2.55%	3.45%	0.14%	0.02%	0.26%	2.29%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	55.12%	33.97%	5.94%	0.99%	0.33%	0.03%	0.3%	3.32%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	61.84%	26.08%	4.73%	2.98%	0.18%	0.09%	0.39%	3.71%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	55.32%	28.41%	7.17%	4.85%	0.25%	0.07%	0.41%	3.52%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	60.45%	33.11%	3.08%	0.87%	0.14%	0.04%	0.21%	2.1%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.99%	30.75%	5.69%	0.57%	0.19%	0.04%	0.14%	1.63%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	38.31%	52.5%	6.13%	1.18%	0.16%	0.03%	0.15%	1.54%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	47.2%	40.96%	7.28%	1.43%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	2.58%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	67.94%	25.26%	2.34%	1.52%	0.24%	0.04%	0.19%	2.46%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	27.66%	66.38%	2.55%	1%	0.16%	0.03%	0.23%	2.01%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	42.24%	53.68%	1.67%	0.36%	0.19%	0%	0.16%	1.7%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	59.77%	34.6%	2.22%	0.95%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.05%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	60.92%	29.32%	6.88%	0.62%	0.16%	0.01%	0.15%	1.93%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	64.48%	23.7%	8.96%	0.57%	0.17%	0.04%	0.16%	1.93%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.48%	3.77%
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	40.62%	41.13%	9.58%	4.16%	0.22%	0.24%	0.44%	3.61%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	41.92%	43.78%	7.38%	3.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.33%	2.68%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	84.71%	4.96%	4.07%	2.69%	0.18%	0.05%	0.36%	2.97%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: 10,711,908
Ideal District: 59,511

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
District Layer
County
0 20 40
Miles

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop1-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	87.88%	3.9%	2.59%	0.53%	0.31%	0.04%	0.3%	4.45%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	83.24%	2.56%	9.09%	1.1%	0.18%	0.02%	0.26%	3.55%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	86.9%	2.82%	3.6%	1.63%	0.27%	0.14%	0.18%	4.46%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	42.01%	4.17%	50.07%	1.23%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.05%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	75.46%	3.76%	15.29%	1.24%	0.2%	0.02%	0.22%	3.81%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	80.15%	1.01%	14.51%	0.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.2%	3.4%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	87.97%	0.37%	7.43%	0.45%	0.26%	0.01%	0.24%	3.27%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	90.8%	1.13%	3.21%	0.54%	0.3%	0.01%	0.34%	3.67%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	87.78%	1.01%	5.49%	0.79%	0.37%	0.06%	0.36%	4.15%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	78.61%	2.97%	13.11%	1.51%	0.17%	0.06%	0.24%	3.33%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	87.43%	1.55%	5.33%	1.15%	0.22%	0.02%	0.3%	4%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	78.45%	8.61%	7.68%	1.01%	0.16%	0.01%	0.42%	3.68%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	62.24%	18.71%	13.52%	1.29%	0.22%	0.03%	0.33%	3.65%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	81.38%	5.86%	7.04%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	4.36%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	68.38%	13.61%	11.74%	1.3%	0.25%	0.04%	0.49%	4.19%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	72.9%	11.15%	10.95%	0.76%	0.22%	0.05%	0.43%	3.54%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	63.28%	22.06%	7.9%	1.33%	0.23%	0.07%	0.64%	4.49%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	84.78%	7.11%	2.93%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.35%	3.97%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	62.06%	23.47%	7.87%	1.14%	0.25%	0.08%	0.64%	4.49%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	73.93%	8.13%	10.6%	1.97%	0.16%	0.04%	0.63%	4.54%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	80.04%	4.29%	8.54%	1.84%	0.19%	0.04%	0.66%	4.4%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	62.53%	13.94%	13.26%	3.86%	0.2%	0.03%	0.81%	5.37%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	71.47%	5.64%	17.19%	1.06%	0.22%	0.04%	0.36%	4.01%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	60.13%	6%	11.36%	17.65%	0.21%	0.04%	0.62%	3.98%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	51.99%	5%	5.42%	33.55%	0.15%	0.03%	0.51%	3.36%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	63.48%	3.29%	12.07%	16.8%	0.18%	0.04%	0.5%	3.64%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	79.69%	3.22%	11.82%	0.82%	0.19%	0.04%	0.3%	3.91%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	76.5%	3.39%	13.59%	2.06%	0.16%	0.03%	0.4%	3.86%
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	36.05%	12.13%	46.28%	2.72%	0.12%	0.06%	0.41%	2.23%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	67.03%	7.37%	18.78%	3.04%	0.15%	0.03%	0.34%	3.26%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	65.57%	6.64%	21.63%	2.27%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.31%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	61.71%	9.44%	7.83%	15.91%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.17%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	59.05%	10.16%	14.1%	11.77%	0.08%	0.05%	0.64%	4.16%
049	59,153	-358	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	68.94%	7.2%	7.56%	11.41%	0.1%	0.02%	0.68%	4.09%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	41.55%	11.04%	7.06%	35.46%	0.09%	0.04%	0.66%	4.1%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	51.02%	21.93%	15.47%	5.83%	0.17%	0.04%	1.03%	4.51%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	70.3%	12.31%	8.2%	4.46%	0.1%	0.02%	0.63%	3.98%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.03%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.89%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	61.32%	25.24%	6.67%	2.05%	0.2%	0.02%	0.52%	3.98%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	9.24%	71.27%	12.97%	2.66%	0.19%	0.06%	0.71%	2.9%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	8.61%	64.24%	15.61%	8.11%	0.19%	0.04%	0.57%	2.63%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	6.22%	72.49%	14.22%	4.03%	0.22%	0.06%	0.5%	2.27%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	12.69%	69.39%	9.94%	4.03%	0.19%	0.03%	0.65%	3.08%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	5.69%	68.19%	18.11%	4.87%	0.21%	0.01%	0.57%	2.34%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	45.02%	11.65%	26.17%	13.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.63%	3.39%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	44.28%	18.64%	24.58%	8.14%	0.14%	0.02%	0.55%	3.65%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	61.86%	14.34%	7.52%	11.03%	0.11%	0.03%	0.65%	4.46%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	44.13%	12.06%	33.75%	6.29%	0.1%	0.02%	0.61%	3.03%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.11%	69.74%	3.4%	1.4%	0.16%	0.03%	0.59%	3.58%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	17.08%	60.18%	5.99%	12.29%	0.25%	0.02%	0.68%	3.5%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	10.6%	71.76%	4.64%	9.02%	0.15%	0.02%	0.67%	3.14%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	11.48%	70.08%	7.73%	6.46%	0.21%	0.02%	0.7%	3.33%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	15.98%	60.71%	11.46%	7.49%	0.23%	0.06%	0.68%	3.39%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	30.38%	59.77%	3.8%	1.78%	0.15%	0.03%	0.48%	3.6%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	32.08%	57.15%	4.65%	1.58%	0.12%	0.03%	0.62%	3.76%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	19.7%	67.92%	7%	1.39%	0.17%	0.04%	0.54%	3.25%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	20.98%	67.63%	5.49%	1.58%	0.16%	0.04%	0.74%	3.39%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	19.94%	63.27%	11.24%	1.34%	0.16%	0.1%	0.69%	3.26%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	16.38%	65.88%	8.72%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.58%	3.37%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	18.79%	64.99%	9.32%	2.29%	0.19%	0.05%	0.73%	3.63%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	17.47%	20.71%	40.49%	17.64%	0.15%	0.06%	0.72%	2.76%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	33.19%	25.12%	21.86%	15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.68%	3.92%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	9.69%	19.56%	57.42%	10.69%	0.13%	0.05%	0.6%	1.86%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	39.77%	13.49%	9.52%	32.49%	0.15%	0.04%	0.56%	3.98%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	55.88%	9.01%	10.85%	19.49%	0.18%	0.05%	0.53%	4.01%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	37.36%	22.37%	20.17%	15.23%	0.16%	0.05%	0.7%	3.96%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	26.79%	36.41%	23.45%	8.97%	0.22%	0.03%	0.69%	3.44%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	49.51%	15.16%	19.06%	11.68%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.81%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	60.44%	15.61%	12.64%	6.32%	0.16%	0.04%	0.6%	4.2%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	38.89%	27.8%	18.1%	10.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.65%	3.88%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	36.66%	35.66%	12.66%	9.78%	0.17%	0.03%	0.81%	4.23%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	19.03%	27.46%	34.49%	15.45%	0.16%	0.03%	0.64%	2.73%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	38.96%	17.34%	20.98%	18.06%	0.17%	0.03%	0.67%	3.78%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	13.5%	29.44%	39.32%	14.39%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.54%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	32.7%	45.9%	11.87%	4.49%	0.18%	0.04%	0.84%	3.97%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	60.53%	21.74%	10.37%	2.5%	0.18%	0.04%	0.73%	3.91%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	71.55%	18.88%	4%	1.27%	0.2%	0.04%	0.47%	3.59%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	28.82%	57.75%	7.78%	0.79%	0.14%	0.12%	0.62%	3.98%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	66.9%	23.89%	4.53%	0.7%	0.18%	0.03%	0.45%	3.33%
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	33.12%	51.3%	7.88%	2.67%	0.17%	0.04%	0.81%	4%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	23.87%	56.71%	8.14%	6.39%	0.18%	0.08%	0.83%	3.81%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	51.61%	35.88%	6.28%	1.53%	0.17%	0.04%	0.59%	3.9%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.18%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	66.88%	12.47%	12.17%	3.83%	0.16%	0.02%	0.58%	3.89%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	69.85%	13.48%	8.42%	4.05%	0.15%	0.05%	0.5%	3.49%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	75.06%	8.66%	6.27%	5.64%	0.11%	0%	0.53%	3.73%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	49.13%	30.63%	13.78%	2.13%	0.28%	0.06%	0.86%	3.13%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	60%	21.67%	8.93%	2.4%	0.29%	0.19%	0.52%	5.99%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,689	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	65.57%	15.99%	7.07%	4.92%	0.19%	0.14%	0.61%	5.51%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	56.35%	37.05%	2.42%	1.12%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.48%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.39%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.3%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.48%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	60.82%	29.32%	2.91%	3.46%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	51.64%	35.66%	7.02%	0.9%	0.28%	0.04%	0.41%	4.05%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	59.32%	26.73%	5.66%	2.67%	0.17%	0.09%	0.45%	4.91%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	51.94%	29.55%	8.3%	4.76%	0.23%	0.07%	0.51%	4.64%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	58.49%	33.89%	3.66%	0.9%	0.12%	0.04%	0.28%	2.63%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.01%	31.14%	5.61%	0.57%	0.17%	0.03%	0.2%	2.28%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.06%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	58.49%	29.79%	8.27%	0.6%	0.17%	0.01%	0.25%	2.42%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	36.7%	43.34%	10.78%	4%	0.2%	0.24%	0.54%	4.19%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	38.48%	46.14%	8.45%	3.12%	0.19%	0.13%	0.39%	3.1%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	57.7%	22.03%	9.95%	4.21%	0.24%	0.12%	0.68%	5.08%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	35.1%	52.41%	5.53%	3.19%	0.22%	0.14%	0.38%	3.02%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	82.79%	4.94%	5.19%	2.65%	0.16%	0.05%	0.4%	3.82%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	62.89%	20.99%	8.81%	1.42%	0.35%	0.23%	0.5%	4.79%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	36.24%	43.3%	11.22%	1.98%	0.31%	0.67%	0.48%	5.79%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	58.36%	28.84%	9.03%	0.79%	0.15%	0.02%	0.2%	2.6%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	60.65%	24.39%	10.43%	1.19%	0.13%	0.02%	0.28%	2.91%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	51.23%	39.79%	5.73%	0.54%	0.21%	0.03%	0.21%	2.26%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	57.24%	23.26%	16%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.23%	2.27%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	52.67%	36.22%	6.95%	0.79%	0.33%	0.02%	0.3%	2.72%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	70.83%	16.91%	7.88%	0.47%	0.35%	0.04%	0.22%	3.3%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	64.08%	23.75%	6.1%	1.78%	0.26%	0.07%	0.34%	3.64%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	63.56%	21.74%	9.95%	0.91%	0.24%	0.08%	0.29%	3.23%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	33.22%	54.7%	6.69%	1.26%	0.21%	0.07%	0.42%	3.42%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	75.62%	14.4%	6.22%	0.52%	0.18%	0.01%	0.29%	2.76%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	59.03%	28.39%	7.73%	1.06%	0.17%	0.13%	0.39%	3.11%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	68.71%	16.96%	6.47%	1.56%	0.32%	0.11%	0.57%	5.3%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop1-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	66.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.48%	3.59%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	76.4%	7.96%	9.18%	2.03%	0.14%	0.04%	0.55%	3.7%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	82.07%	4.23%	7.44%	1.87%	0.22%	0.05%	0.61%	3.51%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	65.61%	13.32%	11.57%	4.04%	0.21%	0.03%	0.76%	4.47%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	75.29%	5.48%	14.23%	1.12%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	3.3%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	63.42%	6.04%	10.32%	16.41%	0.17%	0.05%	0.56%	3.03%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	56.12%	5.08%	5.09%	30.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.45%	2.56%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	68.21%	3.18%	10.76%	14.26%	0.12%	0.04%	0.44%	2.99%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	82.61%	3.07%	9.6%	0.83%	0.2%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	79.36%	3.15%	11.44%	2.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.36%	3.33%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	42.29%	12.55%	39.71%	3.02%	0.14%	0.06%	0.33%	1.91%
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	70.5%	7.19%	16.13%	2.96%	0.15%	0.02%	0.28%	2.77%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	68.65%	6.79%	18.95%	2.35%	0.21%	0.03%	0.32%	2.69%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	82.98%	7.21%	4.87%	1.25%	0.32%	0.05%	0.2%	3.12%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	82.25%	10.57%	3.13%	1.16%	0.15%	0.01%	0.29%	2.43%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	69.23%	14.11%	7.85%	4.43%	0.12%	0.03%	0.65%	3.58%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	53.63%	25.59%	11.15%	4.58%	0.19%	0.05%	0.77%	4.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	70.77%	15.48%	6.51%	3.02%	0.15%	0.04%	0.6%	3.44%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	46.26%	25.84%	18.64%	4.61%	0.21%	0.02%	0.91%	3.52%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	30.1%	51.13%	12.62%	1.87%	0.24%	0.05%	0.63%	3.36%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	23.47%	52.5%	18.66%	1.77%	0.17%	0.03%	0.6%	2.79%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	51.14%	30.35%	5.92%	8.24%	0.15%	0.01%	0.63%	3.55%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	27.62%	36.96%	28.55%	3.13%	0.22%	0.05%	0.84%	2.62%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	39%	30.85%	17.38%	7.45%	0.2%	0.04%	1.14%	3.94%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	46.31%	24.03%	14.15%	7.62%	0.21%	0.09%	2.27%	5.32%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	67.69%	10.5%	10.53%	5.78%	0.2%	0.02%	1.06%	4.23%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	74.94%	4.27%	4.85%	12.05%	0.05%	0.02%	0.59%	3.23%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	74.81%	6.79%	7.38%	6.72%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.53%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	63.89%	9.3%	7.37%	15.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.62%	3.46%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	61.77%	10.14%	12.41%	11.59%	0.08%	0.04%	0.56%	3.42%
049	59,153	-356	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	71.48%	7.22%	6.7%	10.74%	0.1%	0.03%	0.63%	3.12%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	44.37%	10.8%	6.36%	34.63%	0.07%	0.05%	0.58%	3.13%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	54.33%	21.3%	13.31%	5.93%	0.18%	0.05%	1.01%	3.89%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	55.14%	14.19%	7.41%	19.12%	0.14%	0.07%	0.68%	3.24%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	71.2%	12.71%	7.44%	4.58%	0.09%	0.02%	0.54%	3.41%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	62.98%	13.67%	12.79%	6.86%	0.13%	0.03%	0.53%	3.02%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	35.51%	52.85%	4.97%	3.19%	0.18%	0.04%	0.37%	2.88%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	36.98%	42.9%	5.84%	9.92%	0.2%	0.08%	0.41%	3.67%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	63.64%	16.18%	7.95%	7.99%	0.1%	0.02%	0.6%	3.52%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	27.56%	60.36%	5.07%	3.04%	0.12%	0.04%	0.51%	3.3%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	22.04%	66.72%	4.43%	2.9%	0.17%	0.02%	0.54%	3.18%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	28.09%	61.3%	5.11%	2.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.43%	2.67%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	16.75%	71.33%	7.61%	0.97%	0.17%	0.05%	0.51%	2.6%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	19.07%	69.19%	6.83%	1.3%	0.21%	0.05%	0.47%	2.88%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	19.22%	66.7%	9.26%	1.54%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	2.56%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	57.83%	28.63%	7.44%	1.41%	0.3%	0.04%	0.7%	3.67%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	31.46%	59.19%	4.53%	1.15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.51%	2.92%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	33.93%	50.39%	9.49%	1.86%	0.26%	0.08%	0.63%	3.36%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	30.86%	56.59%	7.75%	1.39%	0.19%	0.03%	0.49%	2.7%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	33.94%	53.42%	6.33%	2.77%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.72%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	26.89%	60.9%	5.42%	3.12%	0.18%	0.04%	0.78%	2.68%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	59.69%	26.23%	7.96%	2.23%	0.22%	0.06%	0.4%	3.22%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	69.8%	18.45%	6.18%	1.01%	0.24%	0.02%	0.42%	3.88%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.29%	70.47%	2.96%	1.48%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	19.46%	59.85%	5.92%	10.8%	0.21%	0.02%	0.57%	3.14%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	12.08%	72.02%	4.29%	7.95%	0.15%	0.01%	0.65%	2.84%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.69%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	22%	67.15%	5.86%	1.44%	0.15%	0.05%	0.49%	2.86%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	24.05%	65.71%	4.68%	1.67%	0.17%	0.03%	0.61%	3.08%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	22.91%	62.36%	9.58%	1.48%	0.17%	0.09%	0.61%	2.81%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	18.42%	65.61%	7.29%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.07%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.94%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	20.32%	20.75%	36.03%	19.7%	0.11%	0.04%	0.6%	2.44%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	36.44%	24.16%	19.23%	16.07%	0.19%	0.05%	0.6%	3.25%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	42.1%	13.07%	8.67%	32.63%	0.13%	0.04%	0.48%	2.89%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.67%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	62.96%	15.44%	11.14%	6.38%	0.18%	0.05%	0.51%	3.34%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.18%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.46%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	31.8%	56.48%	6.65%	0.83%	0.15%	0.11%	0.59%	3.39%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	36.95%	49.2%	6.97%	2.68%	0.2%	0.05%	0.69%	3.26%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	27.22%	54.93%	7.29%	6.48%	0.19%	0.09%	0.74%	3.05%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	54.5%	34.54%	5.44%	1.54%	0.19%	0.04%	0.52%	3.22%
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	69.73%	22.7%	3.68%	0.42%	0.2%	0.02%	0.39%	2.85%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	69.6%	12.31%	10.44%	3.75%	0.17%	0.02%	0.43%	3.08%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	71.94%	13.21%	7.09%	4.18%	0.16%	0.05%	0.44%	2.91%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	76.13%	8.6%	5.57%	5.84%	0.1%	0%	0.46%	3.3%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	54.8%	27.13%	11.7%	2.41%	0.32%	0.06%	0.79%	2.79%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	68.06%	23.42%	4.31%	1.06%	0.19%	0.02%	0.2%	2.75%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	65.01%	24.61%	6.17%	1.08%	0.19%	0.02%	0.31%	2.61%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	63.03%	21.43%	7.66%	2.6%	0.31%	0.16%	0.39%	4.41%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	39.97%	52.63%	3.17%	0.89%	0.29%	0.16%	0.29%	2.62%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	68.13%	16.88%	4.77%	5.68%	0.19%	0.16%	0.43%	3.77%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	46.49%	49.38%	1.7%	0.35%	0.19%	0.01%	0.17%	1.71%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	37.16%	52.33%	4.26%	2.4%	0.19%	0.15%	0.41%	3.1%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	33.74%	57.69%	3.86%	0.97%	0.26%	0.19%	0.34%	2.95%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	68.16%	15.87%	5.87%	5.21%	0.21%	0.1%	0.55%	4.03%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	35.63%	49.82%	7.8%	2.74%	0.27%	0.16%	0.3%	3.28%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	58.39%	35.87%	2.15%	1.15%	0.15%	0.04%	0.36%	1.89%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	59.9%	32.37%	3.74%	0.81%	0.23%	0.02%	0.25%	2.68%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	71.78%	22.84%	1.82%	0.55%	0.16%	0.01%	0.25%	2.57%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	63.9%	27.76%	3.64%	1.55%	0.26%	0.04%	0.29%	2.55%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	40.82%	50.02%	4.46%	1.73%	0.12%	0.12%	0.26%	2.44%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	72.34%	18.26%	3.31%	2.43%	0.26%	0.07%	0.35%	2.97%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	66.19%	18.56%	6.36%	3.89%	0.25%	0.24%	0.46%	4.04%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	31.7%	54.74%	8.02%	1.17%	0.24%	0.2%	0.49%	3.43%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	31.77%	54.65%	6.55%	2.69%	0.27%	0.3%	0.38%	3.38%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	34.8%	57.42%	3.7%	1.4%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.2%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	32.28%	58.98%	4.67%	1.07%	0.21%	0.05%	0.3%	2.44%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	62.95%	28.34%	2.55%	3.45%	0.14%	0.02%	0.26%	2.29%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	55.12%	33.97%	5.94%	0.99%	0.33%	0.03%	0.3%	3.32%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	61.84%	26.08%	4.73%	2.98%	0.18%	0.09%	0.39%	3.71%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	55.32%	28.41%	7.17%	4.85%	0.25%	0.07%	0.41%	3.52%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	60.45%	33.11%	3.08%	0.87%	0.14%	0.04%	0.21%	2.1%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.99%	30.75%	5.69%	0.57%	0.19%	0.04%	0.14%	1.63%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	38.31%	52.5%	6.13%	1.18%	0.16%	0.03%	0.15%	1.54%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	47.2%	40.96%	7.28%	1.43%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	2.58%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	67.34%	25.26%	2.34%	1.52%	0.24%	0.04%	0.19%	2.46%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	27.66%	66.38%	2.55%	1%	0.16%	0.03%	0.23%	2.01%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	42.24%	53.68%	1.67%	0.36%	0.19%	0%	0.16%	1.7%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	59.77%	34.6%	2.22%	0.95%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.05%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	60.92%	29.32%	6.88%	0.62%	0.16%	0.01%	0.15%	1.93%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	64.48%	23.7%	8.96%	0.57%	0.17%	0.04%	0.16%	1.93%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.48%	3.77%
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	40.62%	41.13%	9.58%	4.16%	0.22%	0.24%	0.44%	3.61%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	41.92%	43.78%	7.38%	3.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.33%	2.68%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	84.71%	4.96%	4.07%	2.69%	0.18%	0.05%	0.36%	2.97%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: 10,711,908
Ideal District: 59,511

2020 Census Population Georgia State House Districts

Based on Ideal Value of 59,511

House District	2020 Total Population	Deviation from Ideal Value	Deviation from Ideal Value (%)
State House District 1	52,750	-6,761	-11.36%
State House District 2	53,650	-5,861	-9.85%
State House District 3	56,969	-2,542	-4.27%
State House District 4	54,825	-4,686	-7.87%
State House District 5	55,351	-4,160	-6.99%
State House District 6	53,436	-6,075	-10.21%
State House District 7	59,081	-430	-0.72%
State House District 8	59,872	361	0.61%
State House District 9	62,470	2,959	4.97%
State House District 10	56,520	-2,991	-5.03%
State House District 11	58,393	-1,118	-1.88%
State House District 12	53,598	-5,913	-9.94%
State House District 13	55,378	-4,133	-6.94%
State House District 14	55,818	-3,693	-6.21%
State House District 15	59,545	34	0.06%
State House District 16	55,811	-3,700	-6.22%
State House District 17	68,483	8,972	15.08%
State House District 18	57,423	-2,088	-3.51%
State House District 19	63,837	4,326	7.27%
State House District 20	63,416	3,905	6.56%
State House District 21	68,288	8,777	14.75%
State House District 22	78,338	18,827	31.64%
State House District 23	68,767	9,256	15.55%
State House District 24	74,474	14,963	25.14%
State House District 25	78,718	19,207	32.27%
State House District 26	71,029	11,518	19.35%
State House District 27	56,612	-2,899	-4.87%
State House District 28	54,100	-5,411	-9.09%
State House District 29	59,633	122	0.21%
State House District 30	61,636	2,125	3.57%
State House District 31	68,459	8,948	15.04%
State House District 32	56,167	-3,344	-5.62%
State House District 33	54,336	-5,175	-8.70%
State House District 34	56,693	-2,818	-4.74%
State House District 35	57,886	-1,625	-2.73%
State House District 36	60,211	700	1.18%
State House District 37	59,857	346	0.58%
State House District 38	62,943	3,432	5.77%
State House District 39	60,869	1,358	2.28%
State House District 40	63,857	4,346	7.30%
State House District 41	57,976	-1,535	-2.58%
State House District 42	60,349	838	1.41%
State House District 43	59,696	185	0.31%
State House District 44	62,374	2,863	4.81%
State House District 45	58,143	-1,368	-2.30%
State House District 46	60,949	1,438	2.42%

Data Release August 12, 2021

2020 Census Population Georgia State House Districts

Based on Ideal Value of 59,511

State House District 47	64,114	4,603	7.73%
State House District 48	55,778	-3,733	-6.27%
State House District 49	60,907	1,396	2.35%
State House District 50	58,422	-1,089	-1.83%
State House District 51	56,695	-2,816	-4.73%
State House District 52	61,643	2,132	3.58%
State House District 53	59,222	-289	-0.49%
State House District 54	69,697	10,186	17.12%
State House District 55	61,999	2,488	4.18%
State House District 56	61,095	1,584	2.66%
State House District 57	66,540	7,029	11.81%
State House District 58	65,527	6,016	10.11%
State House District 59	58,222	-1,289	-2.17%
State House District 60	58,127	-1,384	-2.33%
State House District 61	59,354	-157	-0.26%
State House District 62	65,905	6,394	10.74%
State House District 63	58,808	-703	-1.18%
State House District 64	64,501	4,990	8.39%
State House District 65	67,833	8,322	13.98%
State House District 66	61,144	1,633	2.74%
State House District 67	57,771	-1,740	-2.92%
State House District 68	59,772	261	0.44%
State House District 69	55,120	-4,391	-7.38%
State House District 70	63,353	3,842	6.46%
State House District 71	61,940	2,429	4.08%
State House District 72	62,089	2,578	4.33%
State House District 73	59,650	139	0.23%
State House District 74	59,453	-58	-0.10%
State House District 75	59,971	460	0.77%
State House District 76	60,289	778	1.31%
State House District 77	59,646	135	0.23%
State House District 78	63,912	4,401	7.40%
State House District 79	60,655	1,144	1.92%
State House District 80	62,454	2,943	4.95%
State House District 81	57,889	-1,622	-2.73%
State House District 82	60,104	593	1.00%
State House District 83	58,151	-1,360	-2.29%
State House District 84	58,926	-585	-0.98%
State House District 85	58,672	-839	-1.41%
State House District 86	58,735	-776	-1.30%
State House District 87	61,907	2,396	4.03%
State House District 88	58,573	-938	-1.58%
State House District 89	59,113	-398	-0.67%
State House District 90	56,092	-3,419	-5.75%
State House District 91	63,326	3,815	6.41%
State House District 92	62,076	2,565	4.31%
State House District 93	63,116	3,605	6.06%

Data Release August 12, 2021

2020 Census Population Georgia State House Districts

Based on Ideal Value of 59,511

State House District 94	58,956	-555	-0.93%
State House District 95	59,626	115	0.19%
State House District 96	63,121	3,610	6.07%
State House District 97	67,840	8,329	14.00%
State House District 98	69,467	9,956	16.73%
State House District 99	57,117	-2,394	-4.02%
State House District 100	62,039	2,528	4.25%
State House District 101	61,586	2,075	3.49%
State House District 102	60,423	912	1.53%
State House District 103	68,719	9,208	15.47%
State House District 104	70,403	10,892	18.30%
State House District 105	68,469	8,958	15.05%
State House District 106	61,283	1,772	2.98%
State House District 107	61,892	2,381	4.00%
State House District 108	62,204	2,693	4.53%
State House District 109	61,435	1,924	3.23%
State House District 110	58,585	-926	-1.56%
State House District 111	72,223	12,712	21.36%
State House District 112	59,024	-487	-0.82%
State House District 113	62,616	3,105	5.22%
State House District 114	68,346	8,835	14.85%
State House District 115	61,063	1,552	2.61%
State House District 116	62,437	2,926	4.92%
State House District 117	62,578	3,067	5.15%
State House District 118	57,487	-2,024	-3.40%
State House District 119	64,173	4,662	7.83%
State House District 120	56,747	-2,764	-4.64%
State House District 121	71,942	12,431	20.89%
State House District 122	68,296	8,785	14.76%
State House District 123	54,117	-5,394	-9.06%
State House District 124	52,995	-6,516	-10.95%
State House District 125	55,228	-4,283	-7.20%
State House District 126	55,534	-3,977	-6.68%
State House District 127	57,799	-1,712	-2.88%
State House District 128	49,614	-9,897	-16.63%
State House District 129	55,994	-3,517	-5.91%
State House District 130	59,507	-4	-0.01%
State House District 131	55,357	-4,154	-6.98%
State House District 132	56,169	-3,342	-5.62%
State House District 133	57,022	-2,489	-4.18%
State House District 134	59,978	467	0.78%
State House District 135	52,783	-6,728	-11.31%
State House District 136	59,740	229	0.38%
State House District 137	55,297	-4,214	-7.08%
State House District 138	48,015	-11,496	-19.32%
State House District 139	44,994	-14,517	-24.39%
State House District 140	55,262	-4,249	-7.14%

Data Release August 12, 2021

2020 Census Population Georgia State House Districts

Based on Ideal Value of 59,511

State House District 141	59,933	422	0.71%
State House District 142	51,695	-7,816	-13.13%
State House District 143	52,996	-6,515	-10.95%
State House District 144	53,320	-6,191	-10.40%
State House District 145	51,468	-8,043	-13.52%
State House District 146	66,372	6,861	11.53%
State House District 147	56,551	-2,960	-4.97%
State House District 148	52,451	-7,060	-11.86%
State House District 149	47,480	-12,031	-20.22%
State House District 150	54,598	-4,913	-8.26%
State House District 151	49,836	-9,675	-16.26%
State House District 152	57,158	-2,353	-3.95%
State House District 153	48,115	-11,396	-19.15%
State House District 154	50,644	-8,867	-14.90%
State House District 155	54,133	-5,378	-9.04%
State House District 156	52,848	-6,663	-11.20%
State House District 157	51,799	-7,712	-12.96%
State House District 158	56,451	-3,060	-5.14%
State House District 159	57,616	-1,895	-3.18%
State House District 160	62,546	3,035	5.10%
State House District 161	78,104	18,593	31.24%
State House District 162	56,476	-3,035	-5.10%
State House District 163	54,068	-5,443	-9.15%
State House District 164	70,818	11,307	19.00%
State House District 165	55,158	-4,353	-7.31%
State House District 166	60,603	1,092	1.83%
State House District 167	54,642	-4,869	-8.18%
State House District 168	55,803	-3,708	-6.23%
State House District 169	54,859	-4,652	-7.82%
State House District 170	53,411	-6,100	-10.25%
State House District 171	53,948	-5,563	-9.35%
State House District 172	53,474	-6,037	-10.14%
State House District 173	55,516	-3,995	-6.71%
State House District 174	54,884	-4,627	-7.78%
State House District 175	59,314	-197	-0.33%
State House District 176	57,394	-2,117	-3.56%
State House District 177	54,089	-5,422	-9.11%
State House District 178	53,932	-5,579	-9.37%
State House District 179	57,000	-2,511	-4.22%
State House District 180	57,629	-1,882	-3.16%

Data Release August 12, 2021

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
1		R	Cameron	Mike	
2		R	Tarvin	Steve	
3		R	Hill	Dewayne	
4		R	Carpenter	Kasey	
5		R	Barton	Matt	
6		R	Ridley	Jason	
7		R	Ralston	David	
8		R	Gunter	Stan	
9		R	Wade	Will	
10		R	Anderson	Victor	
11		R	Jasperse	Rick	
12		R	Lumsden	Eddie	
13		R	Dempsey	Katie	
14		R	Scoggins	Mitchell	
15		R	Gambill	Matthew	
16		R	Kelley	Trey	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
17		R	Momtahan	Martin	
18		R	Smith	Tyler Paul	
19		R	Gullett	Joseph	
20		R	Byrd	Charlice	
21		R	Thomas	Brad	
22		R	Cantrell	Wes	
23		R	Ballinger	Mandi	
24		R	Gilligan	Sheri	
25		R	Jones	Todd	
26		R	McDonald	Lauren	
27		R	Hawkins	Lee	
28		R	Erwin	Chris	
29		R	Dubnik	Matt	
30		R	Dunahoo, Jr.	Emory	
31		R	Benton	Tommy	
32		R	Powell	Alan	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
33		R	Leverett	Rob	
34		R	Seabaugh	Devan	
35		R	Setzler	Ed	
36		R	Ehrhart	Ginny	
37		D	Williams	Mary Frances	
38		D	Wilkerson	David	
39		D	Thomas	Erica	
40		D	Allen	Erick	
41		D	Smith	Michael	
42		D	Anulewicz	Teri	
43		R	Cooper	Sharon	
44		R	Parsons	Don	
45		R	Dollar	Matt	
46		R	Carson	John	
47		R	Jones	Jan	
48		D	Robichaux	Mary	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
49		R	Martin	Chuck	
50		D	Kausche	Angelika	
51		D	McLaurin	Josh	
52		D	Roberts	Shea	
53		D	Jones	Sheila	
54		D	Holland	Betsy	
55		D	Metze	Marie	
56		D	Mainor	Mesha	
57		D	Evans	Stacey	
58		D	Cannon	Park	
59		D	Dreyer	David	
60		D	Schofield	Kim	
61		D	Bruce	Roger	
62		D	Boddie	William	
63		D	Bazemore	Debra	
64		D	Jackson	Derrick	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
65		D	Thomas	Mandisha	
66		D	Alexander	Kimberly	
67		R	Gravley	Micah	
68		R	Collins	J	
69		R	Nix	Randy	
70		R	Smith	Lynn	
71		R	Singleton	Philip	
72		R	Bonner	Josh	
73		R	Mathiak	Karen	
74		D	Neal	Yasmin	
75		D	Glanton	Mike	
76		D	Scott	Sandra	
77		D	Burnough	Rhonda	
78		D	Douglas	Demetrius	
79		D	Wilensky	Mike	
80		D	Wilson	Matthew	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
81		D	Holcomb	Scott	
82		D	Oliver	Mary Margaret	
83		D	Evans	Becky	
84		D	Shannon	Renitta	
85		D	Drenner	Karla	
86		D	Lopez	Zulma	
87		D	Davis	Viola	
88		D	Mitchell	Billy	
89		D	Nguyen	Bee	
90		D	Moore	Angela	
91		D	Taylor	Rhonda	
92		D	Carter	Doreen	
93		D	Kendrick	Dar'shun	
94		D	Bennett	Karen	
95		D	Moore	Beth	
96		D	Marin	Pedro "Pete"	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
97		R	Rich	Bonnie	
98		R	Clark	David	
99		D	Lim	Marvin	
100		D	McClain	Dewey	
101		D	Park	Samuel	
102		D	Kennard	Gregg	
103		R	Barr	Timothy	
104		R	Efstration	Chuck	
105		D	McLeod	Donna	
106		D	Mitchell	Rebecca	
107		D	Hutchinson	Shelly	
108		D	Clark	Jasmine	
109		D	Lewis-Ward	Regina	
110		R	Crowe	Clint	
111		D	Holly	El-Mahdi	
112		R	Belton	Dave	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
113		D	Henderson	Sharon	
114		R	Kirby	Tom	
115		R	Williamson	Bruce	
116		R	England	Terry	
117		R	Gaines	Houston	
118		D	Frye	Spencer	
119		R	Wiedower	Marcus	
120		R	Rhodes	Trey	
121		R	Fleming	Barry	
122		R	Lott	Jodi	
123		R	Newton	Mark	
124		D	Howard	Wayne	
125		D	Nelson	Sheila	
126		D	Frazier	Gloria	
127		D	Prince	Brian	
128		D	Jackson	Mack	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
129		R	Holmes	Susan	
130		R	Knight	David	
131		R	Camp	Beth	
132		R	Jenkins	David	
133		R	Smith	Vance	
134		R	Smith	Richard	
135		D	Smyre	Calvin	
136		D	Hugley	Carolyn	
137		D	Buckner	Debbie	
138		R	Cheokas	Mike	
139		D	Bentley	Patty	
140		R	Dickey	Robert	
141		R	Washburn	Dale	
142		D	Paris	Miriam	
143		D	Beverly	James	
144		R	Mathis	Danny	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
145		R	Williams	Rick	
146		R	Blackmon	Shaw	
147		R	Clark	Heath	
148		R	Williams, Jr.	Noel	
149		R	Pruitt	Robert	
150		R	Hatchett	Matt	
151		R	Greene	Gerald	
152		R	Yearta	Bill	
153		D	Jackson	CaMia	
154		D	Dukes	Winfred	
155		R	Pirkle	Clay	
156		R	Hagan	Leesa	
157		R	Werkheiser	Bill	
158		R	Parrish	Butch	
159		R	Burns	Jon	
160		R	Tankersley	Jan	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
161		R	Hitchens	Bill	
162		D	Gilliard	Carl	
163		D	Mallow	Derek	
164		R	Stephens	Ron	
166		R	Petrea	Jesse	
167		R	DeLoach	Buddy	
168		D	Williams	Al	
169		R	Lariccia	Dominic	
170		R	Houston	Penny	
171		R	Campbell	Joe	
172		R	Watson	Sam	
173		R	Taylor	Darlene	
174		R	Corbett	John	
175		R	LaHood	John	
176		R	Burchett	James	
177		D	Sharper	Dexter	

district	NEW	12	last name	first name	NOTES
178		R	Meeks	Steven	
179		R	Hogan	Don	
180		R	Sainz	Steven	

House of Representatives

BONNIE RICH
Representative, District 97
P O Box 663
Suwanee, Georgia 30024
bonnie.rich@house.ga.gov

COVERDELL LEGISLATIVE OFFICE BLDG
18 CAPITOL SQUARE, SUITE 402
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334
(404) 651-8086 (Fax)
(404) 656-5087 (Office)

STANDING COMMITTEES
Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment; Chairman
Education, Judiciary,
Retirement, Ways & Means,
Special Committee on Access to Civil Justice System
Special Committee on Election Integrity

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 1, 2021

TO: Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Committee Members

FROM: Chairman Bonnie Rich

I hope and trust all is well with you and that you are enjoying family time during the off season.

We received total population numbers for our state April 26, 2021. We have been informed by the Census and our data vendors that the anticipated date for receiving some detailed population numbers within each state is mid to late August, 2021, with all data arriving by the end of September 2021. We are therefore limited in the work that our Committee can do until we receive this detailed Census data.

However, we will soon be holding town hall style hearings around the state to receive input from citizens. I coordinated with Senator John F. Kennedy, the Senate Redistricting and Reapportionment Committee Chair, to schedule joint public hearings and have included the dates below.

EXHIBIT
2

Reapportionment & Redistricting Summer Town Hall Meeting Schedule 5:00 pm – 7:00 pm	
June 15 – Virtual Meeting	July 6 – Athens
June 28 – Atlanta	July 7 – Augusta
June 29 – Cumming	July 26 – Brunswick
June 30 – Dalton	July 27 – Albany
	July 28 – Columbus
	July 29 – Macon
	July 30 – Virtual Meeting

Please let me know of your preferred email to receive emails from me and my office regarding Redistricting and Reapportionment Committee matters. Since we are in the off season, you may prefer that I send it to an additional or different email than your House email; just let me know.

I look forward to working with all of you as information becomes available and we can begin the important work of this Committee.

2021 Committee Guidelines

I. HEARINGS AND MEETINGS

A. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. A series of public hearings were held to actively seek public participation and input concerning the General Assembly's redrawing of congressional and legislative districts.

2. Video recordings of all hearings are and shall remain available on the legislative website, www.legis.ga.gov

B. COMMITTEE MEETINGS

1. All formal meetings of the full committee will be open to the public.

2. When the General Assembly is not in session, notices of all such meetings will be posted at the Offices of the Clerk of the House or Secretary of the Senate and other appropriate places at least 24 hours in advance of any meeting. Individual notices may be transmitted by email to any citizen or organization requesting the same without charge. Persons or organizations needing this information should contact the Senate Press Office or House Communications Office or the Secretary of the Senate or Clerk of the House to be placed on the notification list.

3. Minutes of all such meetings shall be kept and maintained in accordance with the rules of the House and Senate. Copies of the minutes should be made available in a timely manner at a reasonable cost in accordance with these same rules.

IL PUBLIC ACCESS TO REDISTRICTING DATA AND MATERIALS

A. Census information databases on any medium created at public expense and held by the Committee or by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office for use in the redistricting process are included as public records and copies can be made available to the public in accordance with the rules of the General Assembly and subject to reasonable charges for search, retrieval, reproduction and other reasonable, related costs.

B. Copies of the public records described above may be obtained at the cost of reproduction by members of the public on electronic media if the material exists on an appropriate electronic medium. Cost of reproduction may include not only the medium on which the copies made, but also the labor cost for the search, retrieval, and reproduction of the records and other reasonable, related costs.

EXHIBIT

3

C. These guidelines regarding public access to redistricting data and materials do not apply to plans or other related materials prepared by or on behalf of an individual Member of the General Assembly using the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office, where those plans and materials have not been made public through presentation to the Committee.

III. REDISTRICTING PLANS

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR DRAFTING PLANS

1. Each congressional district should be drawn with a total population of plus or minus one person from the ideal district size.

2. Each legislative district of the General Assembly should be drawn to achieve a total population that is substantially equal as practicable, considering the principles listed below.

3. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

4. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with the United States and Georgia Constitutions.

5. Districts shall be composed of contiguous geography. Districts that connect on a single point are not contiguous.

6. No multi-member districts shall be drawn on any legislative redistricting plan.

7. The Committee should consider:

   a. The boundaries of counties and precincts;

   b. Compactness; and

   c. Communities of interest.

8. Efforts should be made to avoid the unnecessary pairing of incumbents.

9. The identifying of these criteria is not intended to limit the consideration of any other principles or factors that the Committee deems appropriate.

B. PLANS PRODUCED THROUGH THE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT OFFICE

1. Staff of the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office will be available to all members of the General Assembly requesting assistance in accordance with the policy of that office.

2. Census data and redistricting work maps will be available to all members of the General Assembly upon request, provided that (a) the map was created by the requesting member, (b) the map is publicly available, or (c) the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office has been granted permission by the author of the map to share a copy with the requesting member.

3. As noted above, redistricting plans and other records related to the provision of staff services to individual members of the General Assembly will not be subject to public disclosure. Only the author of a particular map may waive the confidentiality of his or her own work product. This confidentiality provision will not apply with respect to records related to the provision of staff services to any committee or subcommittee as a whole or to any records which are or have been previously disclosed by or pursuant to the direction of an individual member of the General Assembly.

C. PLANS PRODUCED OUTSIDE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT OFFICE

1. All plans submitted to the Committee will be made part of the public record and made available in the same manner as other committee public records.

2. All plans prepared outside the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office must be submitted to that office prior to presentation to the Committee by a Member of the General Assembly for technical verification and presentation and bill preparation. All pieces of census geography must be accounted for in some district.

3. The electronic submission of material for technical verification must be made in accordance with the following requirements or in a manner specifically approved and accepted by the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office.

   a. The submission shall be in electronic format with accompanying documentation that shows the submitting sponsor of the proposed plan and contact person for the proposed plan, including email address and telephone number.

b. An electronic map image that clearly depicts defined boundaries, utilizing the 2020 United States Census geographic boundaries, and a block equivalency file containing two columns. The first column shall list the 15-digit census block identification numbers, and the second column shall list the three-digit district identification number. Both block and district numbers shall be zero-filled text files. Such files shall be submitted in .xis, .xlsx, .dbf, .txt, or .csv file formats. The following is a sample:

```
BlockID, DISTRICT
"13001950100101","008"
"13001950100102","008"
"13001950100103","008"
"13001950100104","008"
"13001950100105","008"
"13001950100106","008"
```

4. If submission of the plan cannot be done electronically, the following requirements must be followed:

a. All drafts, amendments, or revisions should be on clearly-depicted maps that follow the 2020 Census geographic boundaries and should be accompanied by a statistical sheet listing the Census geography including the total population for each district.

b. All plans submitted should either be a complete statewide plan or fit back into the plan that they modified, so that the proposal can be evaluated in the context of a statewide plan. All pieces of Census geography must be accounted for in some district.

D. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR PRESENTATION OF ALL PLANS

1. A redistricting plan may be presented for consideration by the Committee only through the sponsorship of one or more Member(s) of the General Assembly. All such drafts of and amendments or revisions to plans presented at any committee meeting must be on clearly-depicted maps which follow the 2020 Census geographic boundaries and accompanied by a statistical sheet listing the Census geography, including the total population and minority populations for each proposed district.

2. No plan may be presented to the Committee unless that plan makes accommodations for and fits back into a specific, identified statewide map for the particular legislative body involved.

3. All plans presented at committee meetings will be made available for inspection by the public either electronically or by hard copy available at the Office of Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment.

E. These guidelines may be reconsidered or amended by the Committee.

Draft- Georgia Congressional Districts

Client: S018
Plan: cong-s18-p1
Type: Congress


Map layers
Districts
County
District
001
002
003
004
005
006
007
008
009
010
011
012
013
014
0
20
40
Miles
Dade
Catoosa
Whitfield
Murray
Walker
Chattooga
Gordon
Floyd
Bartow
Cherokee
Polk
Paulding
Cobb
Haralson
Douglas
Carroll
Fulton
Clayton
Fayette
Henry
Coweta
Heard
Spalding
Troup
Meriwether
Pike
Lamar
Upson
Harris
Talbot
Muscogee
Taylor
Chattahoochee
Marion
Schley
Stewart
Webster
Sumter
Quitman
Randolph
Terrell
Lee
Clay
Calhoun
Dougherty
Early
Baker
Miller
Mitchell
Seminole
Decatur
Grady
Thomas
Brooks
Colquitt
Cook
Berrien
Tift
Worth
Turner
Irwin
Ben Hill
Crisp
Dooly
Wilcox
Pulaski
Houston
Bleckley
Macon
Peach
Crawford
Bibb
Monroe
Jones
Twiggs
Upson
Fannin
Gilmer
Pickens
Dawson
Forsyth
Gwinnett
DeKalb
Rockdale
Newton
Walton
Jasper
Butts
Morgan
Putnam
Hancock
Baldwin
Wilkinson
Laurens
Dodge
Telfair
Wheeler
Montgomery
Toombs
Treutlen
Candler
Emanuel
Johnson
Jenkins
Screven
Bulloch
Effingham
Tattnall
Evans
Bryan
Chatham
Liberty
Long
Appling
Jeff Davis
Coffee
Atkinson
Bacon
Pierce
Wayne
McIntosh
Brantley
Glynn
Ware
Camden
Charlton
Clinch
Echols
Lanier
Lowndes
Towns
Union
Rabun
White
Habersham
Lumpkin
Stephens
Hall
Banks
Franklin
Hart
Cherokee
Jackson
Madison
Elbert
Barrow
Clarke
Oglethorpe
Oconee
Wilkes
Lincoln
Greene
Taliaferro
Columbia
McDuffie
Warren
Richmond
Glascock
Jefferson
Burke
Washington
Johnson
Emanuel
Treutlen
Candler
Bulloch
Effingham
Bryan
Chatham
Liberty
Long
Appling
Jeff Davis
Wayne
McIntosh
Glynn
Camden
Charlton
Clinch
Echols
Ware
Brantley
Pierce
Atkinson
Coffee
Berrien
Cook
Colquitt
Lanier
Lowndes
Thomas
Brooks
Worth
Tift
Turner
Irwin
Ben Hill
Crisp
Dooly
Wilcox
Pulaski
Houston
Bleckley
Macon
Peach
Crawford
Bibb
Monroe
Jones
Twiggs
Upson
Fannin
Gilmer
Pickens
Dawson
Forsyth
Gwinnett
DeKalb
Rockdale
Newton
Walton
Jasper
Butts
Morgan
Putnam
Hancock
Baldwin
Wilkinson
Laurens
Dodge
Telfair
Wheeler
Montgomery
Toombs
Treutlen
Candler
Emanuel
Johnson
Jenkins
Screven
Bulloch
Effingham
Tattnall
Evans
Bryan
Chatham
Liberty
Long
Appling
Jeff Davis
Coffee
Atkinson
Bacon
Pierce
Wayne
McIntosh
Brantley
Glynn
Ware
Camden
Charlton
Clinch
Echols
Lanier
Lowndes
Thomas
Brooks
Colquitt
Cook
Berrien
Tift
Worth

Draft- Georgia Congressional Districts

Client: S018
Plan: cong-s18-p1
Type: Congress



User: **S018**
Plan Name: **cong-s18-p1**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Population Summary

Tuesday, September 28, 2021 8:48 AM

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	765,135 to 765,138
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-1 to 2
Absolute Overall Range:	3
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	0.86
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	1.03

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	765,138	2	0.00%	589,477	77.04%	57.36%	27.69%	7.86%	2.19%	0.24%	0.16%	0.44%	4.07%
002	765,135	-1	0.00%	589,333	77.02%	41.68%	47.12%	5.9%	1.5%	0.2%	0.11%	0.35%	3.15%
003	765,135	-1	0.00%	583,333	76.24%	58.57%	27.89%	6.79%	2.1%	0.21%	0.04%	0.52%	3.89%
004	765,135	-1	0.00%	587,972	76.85%	25.85%	52.51%	11.52%	5.88%	0.16%	0.04%	0.64%	3.4%
005	765,137	1	0.00%	621,562	81.24%	32.66%	52.8%	6.5%	3.85%	0.16%	0.04%	0.53%	3.47%
006	765,136	0	0.00%	575,220	75.18%	60.96%	8.97%	10.26%	14.83%	0.14%	0.03%	0.69%	4.12%
007	765,135	-1	0.00%	567,113	74.12%	29.31%	29.42%	25.07%	11.87%	0.16%	0.04%	0.69%	3.43%
008	765,136	0	0.00%	583,009	76.2%	57.3%	30.05%	7.4%	1.52%	0.2%	0.04%	0.31%	3.18%
009	765,136	0	0.00%	595,476	77.83%	68.18%	7.45%	14.11%	6.06%	0.21%	0.03%	0.38%	3.58%
010	765,137	1	0.00%	591,955	77.37%	65.41%	20.66%	7.37%	2.33%	0.18%	0.03%	0.51%	3.51%
011	765,136	0	0.00%	594,934	77.76%	60.24%	16.93%	13.26%	3.96%	0.18%	0.04%	0.87%	4.53%
012	765,138	2	0.00%	586,770	76.69%	52.49%	35.68%	5.65%	1.83%	0.22%	0.11%	0.37%	3.65%
013	765,137	1	0.00%	574,626	75.1%	17.83%	61.68%	13.2%	3.24%	0.18%	0.04%	0.67%	3.15%
014	765,137	1	0.00%	579,494	75.74%	72.97%	9.58%	11.94%	1.02%	0.22%	0.04%	0.37%	3.85%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **765,136**

User: **S018**
Plan Name: **cong-s18-p1**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Population Summary

Tuesday, September 28, 2021 8:49 AM

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	765,135 to 765,138
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-1 to 2
Absolute Overall Range:	3
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	0.86
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	1.03

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2 + Races]
001	765,138	2	0.00%	589,477	77.04%	60.22%	26.57%	6.86%	2.36%	0.26%	0.15%	0.37%	3.22%
002	765,135	-1	0.00%	589,333	77.02%	44.42%	45.77%	5.08%	1.56%	0.22%	0.1%	0.29%	2.57%
003	765,135	-1	0.00%	583,333	76.24%	61.37%	26.97%	5.78%	2.11%	0.22%	0.04%	0.42%	3.1%
004	765,135	-1	0.00%	587,972	76.85%	28.24%	52.19%	10.02%	5.82%	0.16%	0.04%	0.58%	2.96%
005	765,137	1	0.00%	621,562	81.24%	34.84%	51.18%	5.97%	4.27%	0.16%	0.04%	0.48%	3.05%
006	765,136	0	0.00%	575,220	75.18%	63.79%	9.11%	9.15%	13.82%	0.13%	0.04%	0.62%	3.35%
007	765,135	-1	0.00%	567,113	74.12%	32.6%	28.65%	22.49%	12.65%	0.16%	0.04%	0.59%	2.82%
008	765,136	0	0.00%	583,009	76.2%	59.93%	29.17%	6.3%	1.58%	0.21%	0.04%	0.24%	2.53%
009	765,136	0	0.00%	595,476	77.83%	71.64%	7.16%	11.67%	6%	0.22%	0.03%	0.31%	2.96%
010	765,137	1	0.00%	591,955	77.37%	67.84%	20.06%	6.22%	2.35%	0.19%	0.03%	0.44%	2.87%
011	765,136	0	0.00%	594,934	77.76%	62.95%	16.79%	11.46%	4.04%	0.18%	0.04%	0.79%	3.74%
012	765,138	2	0.00%	586,770	76.69%	55.01%	34.63%	4.89%	1.95%	0.22%	0.1%	0.3%	2.89%
013	765,137	1	0.00%	574,626	75.1%	20.54%	61.07%	11.35%	3.46%	0.19%	0.04%	0.61%	2.73%
014	765,137	1	0.00%	579,494	75.74%	75.96%	9.3%	9.92%	1.05%	0.24%	0.04%	0.29%	3.21%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **765,136**

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House

Draft- Georgia House Districts



EXHIBIT
5

Draft- Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly
Suite 407 Coverdell Legislative Office Bldg.

Draft- Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House





Draft- Georgia House Districts

Client: H097
Plan: House-prop-2021
Type: House





User: **H097**
Plan Name: **House-prop-2021**
Plan Type: **House**

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,401
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 890
Absolute Overall Range:	1,723
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.50%
Relative Overall Range:	2.90%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	359.34
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.60%
Standard Deviation:	416.01

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	87.88%	3.9%	2.59%	0.53%	0.31%	0.04%	0.3%	4.45%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	83.24%	2.56%	9.09%	1.1%	0.18%	0.02%	0.26%	3.55%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	86.9%	2.82%	3.6%	1.63%	0.27%	0.14%	0.18%	4.46%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	42.01%	4.17%	50.07%	1.23%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.05%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	75.46%	3.76%	15.29%	1.24%	0.2%	0.02%	0.22%	3.81%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	80.15%	1.01%	14.51%	0.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.2%	3.4%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	87.97%	0.37%	7.43%	0.45%	0.26%	0.01%	0.24%	3.27%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	90.8%	1.13%	3.21%	0.54%	0.3%	0.01%	0.34%	3.67%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	87.78%	1.01%	5.49%	0.79%	0.37%	0.06%	0.36%	4.15%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	78.61%	2.97%	13.11%	1.51%	0.17%	0.06%	0.24%	3.33%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	87.43%	1.55%	5.33%	1.15%	0.22%	0.02%	0.3%	4%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	78.45%	8.61%	7.68%	1.01%	0.16%	0.01%	0.42%	3.68%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	62.24%	18.71%	13.52%	1.29%	0.22%	0.03%	0.33%	3.65%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	81.38%	5.86%	7.04%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	4.36%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	68.38%	13.61%	11.74%	1.3%	0.25%	0.04%	0.49%	4.19%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	72.9%	11.15%	10.95%	0.76%	0.22%	0.05%	0.43%	3.54%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	63.28%	22.06%	7.9%	1.33%	0.23%	0.07%	0.64%	4.49%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	84.78%	7.11%	2.93%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.35%	3.97%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	62.06%	23.47%	7.87%	1.14%	0.25%	0.08%	0.64%	4.49%
020	59,895	384	0.65%	45,658	76.23%	78.12%	6.29%	8.29%	1.81%	0.21%	0.04%	0.56%	4.68%
021	58,907	-604	-1.01%	44,459	75.47%	79.33%	4.48%	9%	1.91%	0.19%	0.04%	0.62%	4.43%
022	59,672	161	0.27%	45,882	76.89%	58.36%	15.77%	15.57%	4.02%	0.15%	0.03%	0.88%	5.23%
023	59,202	-309	-0.52%	44,341	74.9%	72.14%	5.48%	16.75%	0.99%	0.22%	0.04%	0.4%	3.97%
024	59,272	-239	-0.40%	42,970	72.5%	57.39%	7.45%	11.27%	18.87%	0.18%	0.04%	0.69%	4.11%
025	59,158	-353	-0.59%	41,770	70.61%	52.68%	5.77%	6.84%	30.37%	0.17%	0.04%	0.49%	3.64%
026	58,990	-521	-0.88%	43,533	73.8%	64.55%	3.94%	13.95%	12.76%	0.16%	0.03%	0.58%	4.03%
027	59,423	-88	-0.15%	46,549	78.33%	79.68%	3.26%	11.77%	0.83%	0.2%	0.04%	0.3%	3.91%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,840	76.04%	78.61%	3.11%	11.95%	1.96%	0.17%	0.05%	0.34%	3.81%
029	59,278	-233	-0.39%	43,198	72.87%	36.08%	12.05%	46.33%	2.73%	0.11%	0.06%	0.42%	2.21%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,473	-38	-0.06%	45,745	76.92%	65.26%	8.08%	19.31%	3.6%	0.16%	0.03%	0.36%	3.19%
031	59,599	88	0.15%	42,541	71.38%	64.37%	6.8%	22.42%	2.49%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.34%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,023	-488	-0.82%	43,847	74.29%	61.71%	9.45%	7.83%	15.93%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.15%
048	59,027	-484	-0.81%	44,532	75.44%	55.37%	11.58%	16.89%	11.35%	0.11%	0.05%	0.7%	3.95%
049	58,967	-544	-0.91%	45,209	76.67%	69.29%	7.01%	7.25%	11.49%	0.1%	0.02%	0.69%	4.15%
050	59,070	-441	-0.74%	43,074	72.92%	40.08%	8.54%	5.36%	41.94%	0.1%	0.02%	0.58%	3.38%
051	59,557	46	0.08%	47,832	80.31%	56.49%	18.65%	13.68%	5.52%	0.12%	0.04%	0.9%	4.61%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	60,081	570	0.96%	47,145	78.47%	68.46%	14.13%	7.43%	5.08%	0.12%	0.02%	0.69%	4.07%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.03%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.89%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	61.32%	25.24%	6.67%	2.05%	0.2%	0.02%	0.52%	3.98%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	9.24%	71.27%	12.97%	2.66%	0.19%	0.06%	0.71%	2.9%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	8.61%	64.24%	15.61%	8.11%	0.19%	0.04%	0.57%	2.63%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	6.22%	72.49%	14.22%	4.03%	0.22%	0.06%	0.5%	2.27%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	12.69%	69.39%	9.94%	4.03%	0.19%	0.03%	0.65%	3.08%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	5.69%	68.19%	18.11%	4.87%	0.21%	0.01%	0.57%	2.34%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	45.02%	11.65%	26.17%	13.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.63%	3.39%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	44.28%	18.64%	24.58%	8.14%	0.14%	0.02%	0.55%	3.65%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	61.86%	14.34%	7.52%	11.03%	0.11%	0.03%	0.65%	4.46%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	44.13%	12.06%	33.75%	6.29%	0.1%	0.02%	0.61%	3.03%
084	58,942	-569	-0.96%	46,589	79.04%	21.36%	69.47%	3.4%	1.4%	0.15%	0.03%	0.6%	3.59%
085	60,105	594	1.00%	46,788	77.84%	17.04%	59.81%	5.95%	12.73%	0.25%	0.03%	0.69%	3.51%
086	60,013	502	0.84%	45,376	75.61%	10.43%	72.7%	4.6%	8.32%	0.15%	0.01%	0.65%	3.13%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	11.48%	70.08%	7.73%	6.46%	0.21%	0.02%	0.7%	3.33%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	15.98%	60.71%	11.46%	7.49%	0.23%	0.06%	0.68%	3.39%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	30.38%	59.77%	3.8%	1.78%	0.15%	0.03%	0.48%	3.6%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	32.08%	57.15%	4.65%	1.58%	0.12%	0.03%	0.62%	3.76%
091	60,124	613	1.03%	46,233	76.9%	20.47%	67%	7.09%	1.38%	0.18%	0.04%	0.54%	3.29%
092	60,051	540	0.91%	46,080	76.73%	19.46%	69.64%	5.21%	1.52%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	3.27%
093	59,660	149	0.25%	44,461	74.52%	20.74%	62.18%	11.6%	1.22%	0.18%	0.11%	0.62%	3.36%
094	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	18.79%	64.99%	9.32%	2.29%	0.19%	0.05%	0.73%	3.63%
095	59,862	351	0.59%	45,351	75.76%	16.21%	66.18%	8.66%	4.81%	0.19%	0.02%	0.58%	3.35%
096	59,397	-114	-0.19%	44,546	75%	18.3%	19.47%	41.76%	16.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.73%	2.81%
097	59,483	-28	-0.05%	46,556	78.27%	33.68%	24.78%	20.17%	16.44%	0.18%	0.04%	0.65%	4.06%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	9.69%	19.56%	57.42%	10.69%	0.13%	0.05%	0.6%	1.86%
099	59,557	46	0.08%	44,912	75.41%	38.45%	15.01%	9.91%	32.06%	0.16%	0.04%	0.59%	3.79%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	55.88%	9.01%	10.85%	19.49%	0.18%	0.05%	0.53%	4.01%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	37.36%	22.37%	20.17%	15.23%	0.16%	0.05%	0.7%	3.96%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	26.79%	36.41%	23.45%	8.97%	0.22%	0.03%	0.69%	3.44%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	49.51%	15.16%	19.06%	11.68%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.81%
104	58,939	-572	-0.96%	42,819	72.65%	62.24%	14.92%	12.06%	5.75%	0.14%	0.04%	0.58%	4.26%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	38.89%	27.8%	18.1%	10.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.65%	3.88%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	36.66%	35.66%	12.66%	9.78%	0.17%	0.03%	0.81%	4.23%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	19.03%	27.46%	34.49%	15.45%	0.16%	0.03%	0.64%	2.73%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	38.96%	17.34%	20.98%	18.06%	0.17%	0.03%	0.67%	3.78%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	13.5%	29.44%	39.32%	14.39%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.54%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	32.7%	45.9%	11.87%	4.49%	0.18%	0.04%	0.84%	3.97%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	60.53%	21.74%	10.37%	2.5%	0.18%	0.04%	0.73%	3.91%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	71.55%	18.88%	4%	1.27%	0.2%	0.04%	0.47%	3.59%
113	59,836	325	0.55%	44,549	74.45%	29.43%	56.89%	7.76%	0.96%	0.13%	0.13%	0.71%	4%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	66.9%	23.89%	4.53%	0.7%	0.18%	0.03%	0.45%	3.33%
115	59,726	215	0.36%	44,457	74.43%	32.96%	51.44%	7.88%	2.7%	0.18%	0.04%	0.81%	3.99%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	23.87%	56.71%	8.14%	6.39%	0.18%	0.08%	0.83%	3.81%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	51.61%	35.88%	6.28%	1.53%	0.17%	0.04%	0.59%	3.9%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.18%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	59,476	-35	-0.06%	44,502	74.82%	66.87%	12.93%	11.81%	3.73%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.93%
120	58,925	-586	-0.98%	45,846	77.8%	64.32%	16.43%	11.56%	3.46%	0.16%	0.05%	0.6%	3.42%
121	59,609	98	0.16%	46,808	78.53%	73.97%	9.64%	6.36%	5.63%	0.11%	0.01%	0.54%	3.74%
122	59,196	-315	-0.53%	49,789	84.11%	56.7%	26.22%	10.24%	2.6%	0.28%	0.05%	0.78%	3.12%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	58,897	-614	-1.03%	42,755	72.59%	58.6%	22.91%	9.38%	2.11%	0.33%	0.2%	0.53%	5.94%
126	60,130	619	1.04%	44,025	73.22%	66.83%	14.9%	6.67%	5.15%	0.16%	0.13%	0.6%	5.57%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	60,012	501	0.84%	47,815	79.68%	56.65%	36.77%	2.41%	1.1%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.49%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.39%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.3%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.48%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,609	98	0.16%	46,739	78.41%	60.8%	29.36%	2.9%	3.44%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	58,936	-575	-0.97%	45,184	76.67%	51.86%	35.47%	6.91%	0.95%	0.29%	0.04%	0.42%	4.06%
146	59,174	-337	-0.57%	43,897	74.18%	56.8%	28.23%	5.49%	4.27%	0.16%	0.08%	0.44%	4.54%
147	59,874	363	0.61%	45,356	75.75%	53.62%	29.25%	8.44%	3.07%	0.23%	0.08%	0.51%	4.78%
148	60,384	873	1.47%	47,391	78.48%	55.46%	36.82%	4.02%	0.77%	0.16%	0.02%	0.29%	2.47%
149	59,130	-381	-0.64%	45,809	77.47%	63.69%	28.54%	3.54%	0.84%	0.15%	0.05%	0.24%	2.96%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.08%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	58,880	-631	-1.06%	46,038	78.19%	58.21%	28.54%	10.21%	0.51%	0.14%	0.01%	0.2%	2.17%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
162	60,401	890	1.50%	46,561	77.09%	35.82%	44.06%	10.98%	3.93%	0.21%	0.27%	0.53%	4.2%
163	59,901	390	0.66%	48,286	80.61%	40.44%	43.48%	9.42%	3%	0.21%	0.1%	0.39%	2.96%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	57.7%	22.03%	9.95%	4.21%	0.24%	0.12%	0.68%	5.08%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	35.1%	52.41%	5.53%	3.19%	0.22%	0.14%	0.38%	3.02%
166	60,371	860	1.45%	47,927	79.39%	81.64%	6.95%	4.03%	2.84%	0.14%	0.06%	0.4%	3.95%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	62.89%	20.99%	8.81%	1.42%	0.35%	0.23%	0.5%	4.79%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	36.24%	43.3%	11.22%	1.98%	0.31%	0.67%	0.48%	5.79%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	58.36%	28.84%	9.03%	0.79%	0.15%	0.02%	0.2%	2.6%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	60.65%	24.39%	10.43%	1.19%	0.13%	0.02%	0.28%	2.91%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	51.23%	39.79%	5.73%	0.54%	0.21%	0.03%	0.21%	2.26%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	57.24%	23.26%	16%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.23%	2.27%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	52.67%	36.22%	6.95%	0.79%	0.33%	0.02%	0.3%	2.72%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	70.83%	16.91%	7.88%	0.47%	0.35%	0.04%	0.22%	3.3%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	64.08%	23.75%	6.1%	1.78%	0.26%	0.07%	0.34%	3.64%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	63.56%	21.74%	9.95%	0.91%	0.24%	0.08%	0.29%	3.23%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	33.22%	54.7%	6.69%	1.26%	0.21%	0.07%	0.42%	3.42%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	75.62%	14.4%	6.22%	0.52%	0.18%	0.01%	0.29%	2.76%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	59.03%	28.39%	7.73%	1.06%	0.17%	0.13%	0.39%	3.11%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	68.71%	16.96%	6.47%	1.56%	0.32%	0.11%	0.57%	5.3%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

User: H097
Plan Name: House-prop-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,401
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 890
Absolute Overall Range:	1,723
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.50%
Relative Overall Range:	2.90%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	359.34
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.60%
Standard Deviation:	416.01

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	66.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.48%	3.59%
020	59,895	384	0.65%	45,658	76.23%	80.33%	6.15%	7.03%	1.88%	0.22%	0.05%	0.49%	3.85%
021	58,907	-604	-1.01%	44,459	75.47%	81.37%	4.45%	7.85%	1.95%	0.22%	0.04%	0.57%	3.54%
022	59,672	161	0.27%	45,882	76.89%	61.71%	15.11%	13.7%	4.18%	0.14%	0.03%	0.82%	4.32%
023	59,202	-309	-0.52%	44,341	74.9%	75.96%	5.28%	13.84%	1.04%	0.21%	0.05%	0.36%	3.25%
024	59,272	-239	-0.40%	42,970	72.5%	60.62%	7.47%	10.25%	17.67%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	3.18%
025	59,158	-353	-0.59%	41,770	70.61%	56.83%	5.83%	6.29%	27.65%	0.14%	0.04%	0.45%	2.77%
026	58,990	-521	-0.88%	43,533	73.8%	68.63%	3.82%	12.25%	11.39%	0.12%	0.03%	0.49%	3.27%
027	59,423	-88	-0.15%	46,549	78.33%	82.59%	3.12%	9.55%	0.84%	0.21%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,840	76.04%	81.13%	2.87%	10.15%	2.04%	0.16%	0.04%	0.31%	3.3%

Population Summary

House-prop-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
029	59,278	-233	-0.39%	43,198	72.87%	42.38%	12.45%	39.74%	3.01%	0.14%	0.05%	0.34%	1.88%
030	59,473	-38	-0.06%	45,745	76.92%	68.53%	8.28%	16.47%	3.48%	0.17%	0.03%	0.31%	2.73%
031	59,599	88	0.15%	42,541	71.38%	67.32%	6.93%	19.85%	2.61%	0.21%	0.03%	0.31%	2.74%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	82.98%	7.21%	4.87%	1.25%	0.32%	0.05%	0.2%	3.12%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	82.25%	10.57%	3.13%	1.16%	0.15%	0.01%	0.29%	2.43%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	69.23%	14.11%	7.85%	4.43%	0.12%	0.03%	0.65%	3.58%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	53.63%	25.59%	11.15%	4.58%	0.19%	0.05%	0.77%	4.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	70.77%	15.48%	6.51%	3.02%	0.15%	0.04%	0.6%	3.44%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	46.26%	25.84%	18.64%	4.61%	0.21%	0.02%	0.91%	3.52%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	30.1%	51.13%	12.62%	1.87%	0.24%	0.05%	0.63%	3.36%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	23.47%	52.5%	18.66%	1.77%	0.17%	0.03%	0.6%	2.79%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	51.14%	30.35%	5.92%	8.24%	0.15%	0.01%	0.63%	3.55%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	27.62%	36.96%	28.55%	3.13%	0.22%	0.05%	0.84%	2.62%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	39%	30.85%	17.38%	7.45%	0.2%	0.04%	1.14%	3.94%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	46.31%	24.03%	14.15%	7.62%	0.21%	0.09%	2.27%	5.32%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	67.69%	10.5%	10.53%	5.78%	0.2%	0.02%	1.06%	4.23%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	74.94%	4.27%	4.85%	12.05%	0.05%	0.02%	0.59%	3.23%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	74.81%	6.79%	7.38%	6.72%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.53%
047	59,023	-488	-0.82%	43,847	74.29%	63.86%	9.31%	7.36%	15.18%	0.17%	0.03%	0.62%	3.46%
048	59,027	-484	-0.81%	44,532	75.44%	58.3%	11.65%	14.81%	11.18%	0.11%	0.04%	0.65%	3.26%
049	58,967	-544	-0.91%	45,209	76.67%	71.78%	7.01%	6.46%	10.82%	0.1%	0.03%	0.61%	3.2%
050	59,070	-441	-0.74%	43,074	72.92%	43.33%	8.42%	4.93%	40.16%	0.07%	0.03%	0.5%	2.56%
051	59,557	46	0.08%	47,832	80.31%	59.63%	17.92%	11.86%	5.63%	0.12%	0.05%	0.86%	3.93%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	55.14%	14.19%	7.41%	19.12%	0.14%	0.07%	0.68%	3.24%
053	60,081	570	0.96%	47,145	78.47%	69.12%	14.67%	6.8%	5.21%	0.11%	0.01%	0.61%	3.46%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	62.98%	13.67%	12.79%	6.86%	0.13%	0.03%	0.53%	3.02%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	35.51%	52.85%	4.97%	3.19%	0.18%	0.04%	0.37%	2.88%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	36.98%	42.9%	5.84%	9.92%	0.2%	0.08%	0.41%	3.67%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	63.64%	16.18%	7.95%	7.99%	0.1%	0.02%	0.6%	3.52%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	27.56%	60.36%	5.07%	3.04%	0.12%	0.04%	0.51%	3.3%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	22.04%	66.72%	4.43%	2.9%	0.17%	0.02%	0.54%	3.18%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	28.09%	61.3%	5.11%	2.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.43%	2.67%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	16.75%	71.33%	7.61%	0.97%	0.17%	0.05%	0.51%	2.6%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	19.07%	69.19%	6.83%	1.3%	0.21%	0.05%	0.47%	2.88%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	19.22%	66.7%	9.26%	1.54%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	2.56%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	57.83%	28.63%	7.44%	1.41%	0.3%	0.04%	0.7%	3.67%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	31.46%	59.19%	4.53%	1.15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.51%	2.92%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	33.93%	50.39%	9.49%	1.86%	0.26%	0.08%	0.63%	3.36%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	30.86%	56.59%	7.75%	1.39%	0.19%	0.03%	0.49%	2.7%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	33.94%	53.42%	6.33%	2.77%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.72%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	26.89%	60.9%	5.42%	3.12%	0.18%	0.04%	0.78%	2.68%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	59.69%	26.23%	7.96%	2.23%	0.22%	0.06%	0.4%	3.22%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	69.8%	18.45%	6.18%	1.01%	0.24%	0.02%	0.42%	3.88%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	58,942	-569	-0.96%	46,589	79.04%	21.54%	70.21%	2.96%	1.49%	0.17%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	60,105	594	1.00%	46,788	77.84%	19.45%	59.6%	5.87%	11.14%	0.21%	0.03%	0.58%	3.12%
086	60,013	502	0.84%	45,376	75.61%	11.87%	72.85%	4.25%	7.38%	0.15%	0%	0.64%	2.85%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.69%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,124	613	1.03%	46,233	76.9%	22.87%	66.18%	5.92%	1.43%	0.16%	0.05%	0.49%	2.89%
092	60,051	540	0.91%	46,080	76.73%	22.39%	67.78%	4.47%	1.62%	0.14%	0.02%	0.6%	2.98%
093	59,660	149	0.25%	44,461	74.52%	23.87%	61.18%	9.88%	1.36%	0.18%	0.09%	0.52%	2.91%
094	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.94%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
095	59,862	351	0.59%	45,351	75.76%	18.2%	65.95%	7.24%	4.8%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.06%
096	59,397	-114	-0.19%	44,546	75%	21.18%	19.5%	37.18%	18.9%	0.12%	0.05%	0.63%	2.44%
097	59,483	-28	-0.05%	46,556	78.27%	36.82%	23.85%	17.88%	17.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.55%	3.33%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,557	46	0.08%	44,912	75.41%	40.82%	14.61%	8.95%	32.15%	0.13%	0.05%	0.5%	2.8%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.87%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	58,939	-572	-0.96%	42,819	72.65%	65.05%	14.33%	10.73%	5.83%	0.15%	0.04%	0.49%	3.38%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.18%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	59,836	325	0.55%	44,549	74.45%	32.41%	55.63%	6.62%	1.01%	0.15%	0.11%	0.7%	3.37%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
115	59,726	215	0.36%	44,457	74.43%	36.77%	49.33%	6.97%	2.71%	0.2%	0.05%	0.7%	3.27%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	27.22%	54.93%	7.29%	6.48%	0.19%	0.09%	0.74%	3.05%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	54.5%	34.54%	5.44%	1.54%	0.19%	0.04%	0.52%	3.22%
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	69.73%	22.7%	3.68%	0.42%	0.2%	0.02%	0.39%	2.85%
119	59,476	-35	-0.06%	44,502	74.82%	69.8%	12.83%	10.04%	3.64%	0.16%	0.02%	0.41%	3.1%
120	58,925	-586	-0.98%	45,846	77.8%	67.07%	16.19%	9.62%	3.56%	0.16%	0.06%	0.51%	2.83%
121	59,609	98	0.16%	46,808	78.53%	74.96%	9.61%	5.7%	5.81%	0.1%	0.01%	0.47%	3.35%
122	59,196	-315	-0.53%	49,789	84.11%	61.75%	22.56%	8.89%	2.92%	0.32%	0.04%	0.74%	2.77%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	68.06%	23.42%	4.31%	1.06%	0.19%	0.02%	0.2%	2.75%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	65.01%	24.61%	6.17%	1.08%	0.19%	0.02%	0.31%	2.61%
125	58,897	-614	-1.03%	42,755	72.59%	61.86%	22.5%	7.99%	2.36%	0.36%	0.18%	0.41%	4.35%
126	60,130	619	1.04%	44,025	73.22%	69.17%	14.96%	5.59%	5.38%	0.17%	0.1%	0.53%	4.1%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	68.13%	16.88%	4.77%	5.68%	0.19%	0.16%	0.43%	3.77%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	46.49%	49.38%	1.7%	0.35%	0.19%	0.01%	0.17%	1.71%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	37.16%	52.33%	4.26%	2.4%	0.19%	0.15%	0.41%	3.1%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	33.74%	57.69%	3.86%	0.97%	0.26%	0.19%	0.34%	2.95%
131	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	39.97%	52.63%	3.17%	0.89%	0.29%	0.16%	0.29%	2.62%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	35.63%	49.82%	7.8%	2.74%	0.27%	0.16%	0.3%	3.28%
133	60,012	501	0.84%	47,815	79.68%	58.66%	35.63%	2.14%	1.14%	0.15%	0.04%	0.36%	1.88%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	59.9%	32.37%	3.74%	0.81%	0.23%	0.02%	0.25%	2.69%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	71.78%	22.84%	1.82%	0.55%	0.16%	0.01%	0.25%	2.57%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	63.9%	27.76%	3.64%	1.55%	0.26%	0.04%	0.29%	2.55%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	40.82%	50.02%	4.48%	1.73%	0.12%	0.12%	0.26%	2.44%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	72.34%	18.26%	3.31%	2.43%	0.26%	0.07%	0.35%	2.97%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	66.19%	18.56%	6.36%	3.89%	0.25%	0.24%	0.46%	4.04%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	31.7%	54.74%	8.02%	1.17%	0.24%	0.2%	0.49%	3.43%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	31.77%	54.65%	6.55%	2.69%	0.27%	0.3%	0.38%	3.38%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	34.8%	57.42%	3.7%	1.4%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.2%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	32.28%	58.98%	4.67%	1.07%	0.21%	0.05%	0.3%	2.44%
144	59,609	98	0.16%	46,739	78.41%	62.93%	28.39%	2.53%	3.43%	0.14%	0.02%	0.26%	2.32%
145	58,936	-575	-0.97%	45,184	76.67%	55.24%	33.82%	5.89%	1.05%	0.34%	0.04%	0.31%	3.32%
146	59,174	-337	-0.57%	43,897	74.18%	59.16%	27.74%	4.67%	4.45%	0.18%	0.08%	0.38%	3.34%
147	59,874	363	0.61%	45,356	75.75%	57.19%	27.82%	7.23%	3.3%	0.24%	0.07%	0.42%	3.72%
148	60,384	873	1.47%	47,391	78.48%	57.76%	35.74%	3.36%	0.74%	0.17%	0.02%	0.19%	2.02%
149	59,130	-381	-0.64%	45,809	77.47%	65.2%	28.48%	2.87%	0.88%	0.19%	0.05%	0.18%	2.16%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	38.31%	52.5%	6.13%	1.18%	0.16%	0.03%	0.15%	1.54%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	47.2%	40.96%	7.28%	1.43%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	2.58%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	67.94%	25.26%	2.34%	1.52%	0.24%	0.04%	0.19%	2.46%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	27.66%	66.38%	2.55%	1%	0.16%	0.03%	0.23%	2.01%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	42.24%	53.68%	1.67%	0.36%	0.19%	0%	0.16%	1.7%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	59.77%	34.6%	2.22%	0.95%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.05%
156	58,880	-631	-1.06%	46,038	78.19%	59.85%	28.15%	9.57%	0.51%	0.13%	0.01%	0.12%	1.65%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	64.48%	23.7%	8.96%	0.57%	0.17%	0.04%	0.16%	1.93%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.48%	3.77%
162	60,401	890	1.50%	46,561	77.09%	39.8%	41.78%	9.79%	4.1%	0.23%	0.26%	0.45%	3.6%
163	59,901	390	0.66%	48,286	80.61%	43.85%	41.48%	8.02%	3.46%	0.22%	0.07%	0.33%	2.57%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,371	860	1.45%	47,927	79.39%	83.26%	6.92%	3.26%	2.9%	0.16%	0.05%	0.36%	3.09%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

Client: S018
Plan: Congress-prop1-2021
Type: Congress

Proposed Joint Congressional Districts of Georgia



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
Districts
County
0 20 40
Miles

EXHIBIT
6

Client: S018
Plan: Congress-prop1-2021
Type: Congress

Proposed Joint Congressional Districts of Georgia



Client: S018
Plan: Congress-prop1-2021
Type: Congress

Proposed Joint Congressional Districts of Georgia





User: S018
Plan Name: Congress-prop1-2021
Plan Type: Congress

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	765,135 to 765,137
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-1 to 1
Absolute Overall Range:	2
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	0.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	0.80

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	765,137	1	0.00%	589,266	77.01%	57.59%	27.54%	7.75%	2.19%	0.24%	0.16%	0.44%	4.1%
002	765,137	1	0.00%	587,555	76.79%	39.94%	49.03%	5.95%	1.34%	0.21%	0.1%	0.34%	3.09%
003	765,136	0	0.00%	586,319	76.63%	64.37%	22.61%	6.31%	2.09%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	3.91%
004	765,135	-1	0.00%	589,470	77.04%	25.82%	52.19%	11.63%	6.13%	0.16%	0.04%	0.65%	3.39%
005	765,137	1	0.00%	621,515	81.23%	35.79%	48.53%	7.38%	4.09%	0.16%	0.04%	0.52%	3.49%
006	765,136	0	0.00%	574,797	75.12%	63.7%	8.58%	10.23%	12.4%	0.16%	0.04%	0.69%	4.21%
007	765,137	1	0.00%	566,934	74.1%	29.52%	28.11%	23.77%	14.26%	0.16%	0.04%	0.69%	3.45%
008	765,136	0	0.00%	585,857	76.57%	57.91%	29.72%	7.17%	1.56%	0.19%	0.05%	0.31%	3.09%
009	765,137	1	0.00%	592,520	77.44%	64.7%	9.72%	15.39%	5.95%	0.2%	0.04%	0.42%	3.59%
010	765,135	-1	0.00%	588,874	76.96%	63.58%	22.12%	7.66%	2.26%	0.17%	0.04%	0.53%	3.63%
011	765,137	1	0.00%	595,201	77.79%	61.33%	16.33%	13.04%	3.76%	0.19%	0.04%	0.82%	4.49%
012	765,136	0	0.00%	588,119	76.86%	52.13%	36.12%	5.63%	1.83%	0.21%	0.11%	0.36%	3.61%
013	765,137	1	0.00%	574,789	75.12%	16.35%	64.26%	12.23%	3.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.66%	3.1%
014	765,135	-1	0.00%	579,058	75.68%	68.07%	13.58%	12.69%	1.14%	0.22%	0.05%	0.4%	3.85%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **765,136**

User: S018
Plan Name: Congress-prop1-2021
Plan Type: Congress

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	765,135 to 765,137
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-1 to 1
Absolute Overall Range:	2
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	0.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	0.80

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	765,137	1	0.00%	589,266	77.01%	60.41%	26.44%	6.78%	2.36%	0.26%	0.14%	0.37%	3.24%
002	765,137	1	0.00%	587,555	76.79%	42.73%	47.62%	5.12%	1.41%	0.23%	0.09%	0.28%	2.53%
003	765,136	0	0.00%	586,319	76.63%	66.83%	22%	5.33%	2.08%	0.22%	0.04%	0.38%	3.11%
004	765,135	-1	0.00%	589,470	77.04%	28.25%	51.79%	10.12%	6.09%	0.16%	0.04%	0.58%	2.96%
005	765,137	1	0.00%	621,515	81.23%	37.92%	47.14%	6.67%	4.53%	0.16%	0.04%	0.48%	3.07%
006	765,136	0	0.00%	574,797	75.12%	66.63%	8.61%	9.11%	11.44%	0.14%	0.04%	0.63%	3.41%
007	765,137	1	0.00%	566,934	74.1%	32.78%	27.35%	21.27%	14.97%	0.16%	0.04%	0.59%	2.85%
008	765,136	0	0.00%	585,857	76.57%	60.52%	28.84%	6.1%	1.6%	0.2%	0.05%	0.25%	2.43%
009	765,137	1	0.00%	592,520	77.44%	68.29%	9.37%	12.89%	5.94%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	2.92%
010	765,135	-1	0.00%	588,874	76.96%	66.2%	21.34%	6.51%	2.3%	0.19%	0.03%	0.46%	2.98%
011	765,137	1	0.00%	595,201	77.79%	63.99%	16.25%	11.22%	3.82%	0.2%	0.04%	0.75%	3.73%
012	765,136	0	0.00%	588,119	76.86%	54.65%	35.06%	4.87%	1.95%	0.22%	0.1%	0.3%	2.86%
013	765,137	1	0.00%	574,789	75.12%	18.82%	63.75%	10.52%	3.38%	0.19%	0.05%	0.61%	2.68%
014	765,135	-1	0.00%	579,058	75.68%	71.33%	13.14%	10.58%	1.17%	0.23%	0.04%	0.32%	3.2%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **765,136**

Georgia House Districts- 2022

Client: State
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
District Layer
County
0 20 40
Miles

EXHIBIT
7

Georgia House Districts- 2022

Client: State
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House



Georgia House Districts- 2022

Client: State
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





Georgia House Districts- 2022

Client: State
Plan: House-prop1-2021
Type: House





User: **State**
Plan Name: **House-prop1-2021**
Plan Type: **House**

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	87.88%	3.9%	2.59%	0.53%	0.31%	0.04%	0.3%	4.45%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	83.24%	2.56%	9.09%	1.1%	0.18%	0.02%	0.26%	3.55%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	86.9%	2.82%	3.6%	1.63%	0.27%	0.14%	0.18%	4.46%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	42.01%	4.17%	50.07%	1.23%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.05%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	75.46%	3.76%	15.29%	1.24%	0.2%	0.02%	0.22%	3.81%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	80.15%	1.01%	14.51%	0.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.2%	3.4%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	87.97%	0.37%	7.43%	0.45%	0.26%	0.01%	0.24%	3.27%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	90.8%	1.13%	3.21%	0.54%	0.3%	0.01%	0.34%	3.67%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	87.78%	1.01%	5.49%	0.79%	0.37%	0.06%	0.36%	4.15%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	78.61%	2.97%	13.11%	1.51%	0.17%	0.06%	0.24%	3.33%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	87.43%	1.55%	5.33%	1.15%	0.22%	0.02%	0.3%	4%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	78.45%	8.61%	7.68%	1.01%	0.16%	0.01%	0.42%	3.68%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	62.24%	18.71%	13.52%	1.29%	0.22%	0.03%	0.33%	3.65%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	81.38%	5.86%	7.04%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.34%	4.36%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	68.38%	13.61%	11.74%	1.3%	0.25%	0.04%	0.49%	4.19%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	72.9%	11.15%	10.95%	0.76%	0.22%	0.05%	0.43%	3.54%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	63.28%	22.06%	7.9%	1.33%	0.23%	0.07%	0.64%	4.49%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	84.78%	7.11%	2.93%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.35%	3.97%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	62.06%	23.47%	7.87%	1.14%	0.25%	0.08%	0.64%	4.49%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	73.93%	8.13%	10.6%	1.97%	0.16%	0.04%	0.63%	4.54%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	80.04%	4.29%	8.54%	1.84%	0.19%	0.04%	0.66%	4.4%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	62.53%	13.94%	13.26%	3.86%	0.2%	0.03%	0.81%	5.37%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	71.47%	5.64%	17.19%	1.06%	0.22%	0.04%	0.36%	4.01%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	60.13%	6%	11.36%	17.65%	0.21%	0.04%	0.62%	3.98%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	51.99%	5%	5.42%	33.55%	0.15%	0.03%	0.51%	3.36%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	63.48%	3.29%	12.07%	16.8%	0.18%	0.04%	0.5%	3.64%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	79.69%	3.22%	11.82%	0.82%	0.19%	0.04%	0.3%	3.91%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	76.5%	3.39%	13.59%	2.06%	0.16%	0.03%	0.4%	3.86%
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	36.05%	12.13%	46.28%	2.72%	0.12%	0.06%	0.41%	2.23%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	67.03%	7.37%	18.78%	3.04%	0.15%	0.03%	0.34%	3.26%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	65.57%	6.64%	21.63%	2.27%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.31%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	80.8%	7.24%	6.03%	1.26%	0.29%	0.05%	0.25%	4.09%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	79.94%	10.97%	4.08%	1.2%	0.15%	0.01%	0.36%	3.29%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	66.59%	14.46%	9.06%	4.41%	0.11%	0.04%	0.68%	4.65%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	50.12%	26.55%	12.7%	4.43%	0.21%	0.04%	0.9%	5.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	68.01%	16.01%	7.46%	3.07%	0.14%	0.03%	0.73%	4.55%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	42.2%	26%	21.96%	4.5%	0.21%	0.03%	1%	4.11%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	25.93%	52.72%	14.72%	1.77%	0.22%	0.07%	0.7%	3.88%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	20.6%	52.08%	21.79%	1.5%	0.14%	0.03%	0.65%	3.2%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	48.94%	30.78%	6.43%	8.54%	0.17%	0.02%	0.7%	4.43%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	23.42%	36.44%	33.22%	2.81%	0.18%	0.05%	0.86%	3.02%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	35.47%	31.18%	20.49%	7.11%	0.19%	0.03%	1.15%	4.37%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	43.32%	24.35%	15.85%	7.83%	0.21%	0.09%	2.4%	5.96%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	64.71%	10.98%	11.99%	5.71%	0.18%	0.02%	1.17%	5.24%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	72.29%	4.14%	5.5%	12.94%	0.07%	0.02%	0.67%	4.38%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	72.43%	6.76%	8.24%	6.93%	0.12%	0.04%	0.82%	4.66%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	61.71%	9.44%	7.83%	15.91%	0.2%	0.03%	0.7%	4.17%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	59.05%	10.16%	14.1%	11.77%	0.08%	0.05%	0.64%	4.16%
049	59,153	-358	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	68.94%	7.2%	7.56%	11.41%	0.1%	0.02%	0.68%	4.09%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	41.55%	11.04%	7.06%	35.46%	0.09%	0.04%	0.66%	4.1%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	51.02%	21.93%	15.47%	5.83%	0.17%	0.04%	1.03%	4.51%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	53.81%	13.71%	7.98%	19.72%	0.14%	0.06%	0.72%	3.86%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	70.3%	12.31%	8.2%	4.46%	0.1%	0.02%	0.63%	3.98%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	61.03%	12.98%	15.17%	6.51%	0.14%	0.03%	0.57%	3.56%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	33.78%	54.54%	5.14%	2.85%	0.18%	0.03%	0.4%	3.09%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	34.03%	46.33%	5.81%	9.32%	0.18%	0.07%	0.45%	3.8%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	62.89%	15.57%	8.83%	7.58%	0.11%	0.02%	0.65%	4.36%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	24.98%	63.09%	5.03%	2.76%	0.14%	0.03%	0.51%	3.45%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	19.37%	69.55%	4.45%	2.52%	0.16%	0.02%	0.56%	3.36%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	26.72%	61.76%	5.87%	2.04%	0.17%	0.05%	0.44%	2.96%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	14.79%	71.51%	9.1%	0.87%	0.15%	0.06%	0.54%	2.98%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	17.17%	70.09%	7.61%	1.13%	0.21%	0.04%	0.53%	3.22%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	16.74%	68%	10.42%	1.32%	0.21%	0.03%	0.51%	2.78%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	54.76%	29.35%	8.84%	1.37%	0.27%	0.03%	0.78%	4.6%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	29.55%	60.08%	5.23%	1.08%	0.18%	0.06%	0.57%	3.27%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	29.98%	52.03%	11.05%	1.72%	0.24%	0.07%	0.79%	4.11%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	29.09%	57.14%	8.71%	1.29%	0.18%	0.03%	0.5%	3.06%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	31.15%	54.67%	7.3%	2.79%	0.16%	0.04%	0.7%	3.19%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	24.1%	61.87%	6.47%	3.04%	0.17%	0.04%	0.89%	3.41%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	56.51%	27.61%	9.08%	2.17%	0.2%	0.05%	0.47%	3.9%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	67.15%	18.89%	7.44%	0.96%	0.25%	0.02%	0.51%	4.78%
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	67.26%	19.34%	8.16%	0.96%	0.2%	0.02%	0.3%	3.75%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	69.92%	11.27%	7.96%	5.88%	0.15%	0.03%	0.52%	4.26%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	61.32%	25.24%	6.67%	2.05%	0.2%	0.02%	0.52%	3.98%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	9.24%	71.27%	12.97%	2.66%	0.19%	0.06%	0.71%	2.9%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	8.61%	64.24%	15.61%	8.11%	0.19%	0.04%	0.57%	2.63%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	6.22%	72.49%	14.22%	4.03%	0.22%	0.06%	0.5%	2.27%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	12.69%	69.39%	9.94%	4.03%	0.19%	0.03%	0.65%	3.08%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	5.69%	68.19%	18.11%	4.87%	0.21%	0.01%	0.57%	2.34%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	45.02%	11.65%	26.17%	13.02%	0.08%	0.04%	0.63%	3.39%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	44.28%	18.64%	24.58%	8.14%	0.14%	0.02%	0.55%	3.65%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	61.86%	14.34%	7.52%	11.03%	0.11%	0.03%	0.65%	4.46%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	44.13%	12.06%	33.75%	6.29%	0.1%	0.02%	0.61%	3.03%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.11%	69.74%	3.4%	1.4%	0.16%	0.03%	0.59%	3.58%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	17.08%	60.18%	5.99%	12.29%	0.25%	0.02%	0.68%	3.5%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	10.6%	71.76%	4.64%	9.02%	0.15%	0.02%	0.67%	3.14%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	11.48%	70.08%	7.73%	6.46%	0.21%	0.02%	0.7%	3.33%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	15.98%	60.71%	11.46%	7.49%	0.23%	0.06%	0.68%	3.39%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	30.38%	59.77%	3.8%	1.78%	0.15%	0.03%	0.48%	3.6%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	32.08%	57.15%	4.65%	1.58%	0.12%	0.03%	0.62%	3.76%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	19.7%	67.92%	7%	1.39%	0.17%	0.04%	0.54%	3.25%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	20.98%	67.63%	5.49%	1.58%	0.16%	0.04%	0.74%	3.39%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	19.94%	63.27%	11.24%	1.34%	0.16%	0.1%	0.69%	3.26%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	16.38%	65.88%	8.72%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.58%	3.37%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	18.79%	64.99%	9.32%	2.29%	0.19%	0.05%	0.73%	3.63%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	17.47%	20.71%	40.49%	17.64%	0.15%	0.06%	0.72%	2.76%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	33.19%	25.12%	21.86%	15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.68%	3.92%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	9.69%	19.56%	57.42%	10.69%	0.13%	0.05%	0.6%	1.86%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	39.77%	13.49%	9.52%	32.49%	0.15%	0.04%	0.56%	3.98%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	55.88%	9.01%	10.85%	19.49%	0.18%	0.05%	0.53%	4.01%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	37.36%	22.37%	20.17%	15.23%	0.16%	0.05%	0.7%	3.96%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	26.79%	36.41%	23.45%	8.97%	0.22%	0.03%	0.69%	3.44%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	49.51%	15.16%	19.06%	11.68%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.81%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	60.44%	15.61%	12.64%	6.32%	0.16%	0.04%	0.6%	4.2%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	38.89%	27.8%	18.1%	10.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.65%	3.88%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	36.66%	35.66%	12.66%	9.78%	0.17%	0.03%	0.81%	4.23%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	19.03%	27.46%	34.49%	15.45%	0.16%	0.03%	0.64%	2.73%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	38.96%	17.34%	20.98%	18.06%	0.17%	0.03%	0.67%	3.78%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	13.5%	29.44%	39.32%	14.39%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.54%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	32.7%	45.9%	11.87%	4.49%	0.18%	0.04%	0.84%	3.97%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	60.53%	21.74%	10.37%	2.5%	0.18%	0.04%	0.73%	3.91%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	71.55%	18.88%	4%	1.27%	0.2%	0.04%	0.47%	3.59%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	28.82%	57.75%	7.78%	0.79%	0.14%	0.12%	0.62%	3.98%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	66.9%	23.89%	4.53%	0.7%	0.18%	0.03%	0.45%	3.33%
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	33.12%	51.3%	7.88%	2.67%	0.17%	0.04%	0.81%	4%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	23.87%	56.71%	8.14%	6.39%	0.18%	0.08%	0.83%	3.81%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	51.61%	35.88%	6.28%	1.53%	0.17%	0.04%	0.59%	3.9%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	68.26%	22.55%	4.5%	0.43%	0.18%	0.02%	0.47%	3.59%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	66.88%	12.47%	12.17%	3.83%	0.16%	0.02%	0.58%	3.89%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	69.85%	13.48%	8.42%	4.05%	0.15%	0.05%	0.5%	3.49%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	75.06%	8.66%	6.27%	5.64%	0.11%	0%	0.53%	3.73%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	49.13%	30.63%	13.78%	2.13%	0.28%	0.06%	0.86%	3.13%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	65.88%	23.82%	5.33%	1.14%	0.17%	0.02%	0.26%	3.39%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	61.53%	26.06%	7.57%	1.14%	0.19%	0.02%	0.37%	3.12%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	60%	21.67%	8.93%	2.4%	0.29%	0.19%	0.52%	5.99%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	37.81%	53.88%	3.63%	0.76%	0.27%	0.15%	0.37%	3.13%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	65.92%	17.12%	5.58%	5.63%	0.18%	0.18%	0.51%	4.88%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	44.14%	51%	1.91%	0.36%	0.19%	0.03%	0.17%	2.22%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	33.83%	54.95%	4.74%	2.1%	0.21%	0.14%	0.43%	3.6%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	30.19%	60.27%	4.33%	0.79%	0.24%	0.16%	0.42%	3.6%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	65.57%	15.99%	7.07%	4.92%	0.19%	0.14%	0.61%	5.51%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	33.1%	51.88%	7.91%	2.38%	0.26%	0.19%	0.37%	3.91%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	56.35%	37.05%	2.42%	1.12%	0.15%	0.04%	0.38%	2.48%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	56.72%	34.18%	4.39%	0.74%	0.22%	0.02%	0.35%	3.37%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	70.69%	22.83%	2.21%	0.51%	0.16%	0.01%	0.33%	3.25%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	62.16%	28%	4.4%	1.54%	0.24%	0.03%	0.42%	3.21%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	38.1%	51.27%	5.17%	1.66%	0.12%	0.14%	0.37%	3.17%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	70.29%	18.77%	4.1%	2.39%	0.25%	0.06%	0.36%	3.77%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	63.55%	19.18%	7.24%	4.03%	0.25%	0.21%	0.59%	4.96%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	28.76%	55.8%	9.04%	1.02%	0.27%	0.24%	0.53%	4.34%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	29.41%	54.88%	7.93%	2.53%	0.24%	0.3%	0.45%	4.25%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	30.78%	60.48%	4.23%	1.29%	0.16%	0.01%	0.36%	2.68%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	29.08%	61.66%	4.87%	0.97%	0.19%	0.05%	0.36%	2.82%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	60.82%	29.32%	2.91%	3.46%	0.14%	0.02%	0.36%	2.97%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	51.64%	35.66%	7.02%	0.9%	0.28%	0.04%	0.41%	4.05%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	59.32%	26.73%	5.66%	2.67%	0.17%	0.09%	0.45%	4.91%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	51.94%	29.55%	8.3%	4.76%	0.23%	0.07%	0.51%	4.64%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	58.49%	33.89%	3.66%	0.9%	0.12%	0.04%	0.28%	2.63%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.01%	31.14%	5.61%	0.57%	0.17%	0.03%	0.2%	2.28%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	36.16%	53.23%	7.23%	1.17%	0.17%	0.03%	0.17%	1.85%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	45.21%	42.21%	7.51%	1.29%	0.18%	0.23%	0.25%	3.12%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	66.12%	25.86%	2.84%	1.6%	0.21%	0.03%	0.3%	3.03%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	24.38%	69.08%	2.93%	0.89%	0.13%	0.02%	0.24%	2.33%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	39.54%	55.53%	2.1%	0.38%	0.16%	0.01%	0.2%	2.09%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	57.32%	36.14%	2.62%	0.91%	0.18%	0.05%	0.26%	2.52%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	58.49%	29.79%	8.27%	0.6%	0.17%	0.01%	0.25%	2.42%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	61.81%	23.59%	11.19%	0.54%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.47%
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	59.27%	31.5%	5.6%	0.75%	0.18%	0.03%	0.25%	2.42%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	67.46%	23.88%	3.65%	0.54%	0.28%	0.03%	0.34%	3.82%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	66.84%	21.68%	5.5%	1.62%	0.24%	0.1%	0.28%	3.76%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	57.53%	25.83%	7.89%	3.03%	0.24%	0.09%	0.5%	4.9%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH_Wht]	[% NH_Blk]	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Asn]	[% NH_Ind]	[% NH_Hwn]	[% NH_Oth]	[% NH_2+ Races]
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	36.7%	43.34%	10.78%	4%	0.2%	0.24%	0.54%	4.19%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	38.48%	46.14%	8.45%	3.12%	0.19%	0.13%	0.39%	3.1%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	57.7%	22.03%	9.95%	4.21%	0.24%	0.12%	0.68%	5.08%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	35.1%	52.41%	5.53%	3.19%	0.22%	0.14%	0.38%	3.02%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	82.79%	4.94%	5.19%	2.65%	0.16%	0.05%	0.4%	3.82%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	62.89%	20.99%	8.81%	1.42%	0.35%	0.23%	0.5%	4.79%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	36.24%	43.3%	11.22%	1.98%	0.31%	0.67%	0.48%	5.79%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	58.36%	28.84%	9.03%	0.79%	0.15%	0.02%	0.2%	2.6%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	60.65%	24.39%	10.43%	1.19%	0.13%	0.02%	0.28%	2.91%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	51.23%	39.79%	5.73%	0.54%	0.21%	0.03%	0.21%	2.26%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	57.24%	23.26%	16%	0.77%	0.21%	0.03%	0.23%	2.27%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	52.67%	36.22%	6.95%	0.79%	0.33%	0.02%	0.3%	2.72%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	70.83%	16.91%	7.88%	0.47%	0.35%	0.04%	0.22%	3.3%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	64.08%	23.75%	6.1%	1.78%	0.26%	0.07%	0.34%	3.64%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	63.56%	21.74%	9.95%	0.91%	0.24%	0.08%	0.29%	3.23%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	33.22%	54.7%	6.69%	1.26%	0.21%	0.07%	0.42%	3.42%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	75.62%	14.4%	6.22%	0.52%	0.18%	0.01%	0.29%	2.76%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	59.03%	28.39%	7.73%	1.06%	0.17%	0.13%	0.39%	3.11%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	68.71%	16.96%	6.47%	1.56%	0.32%	0.11%	0.57%	5.3%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

User: State
Plan Name: House-prop1-2021
Plan Type: House

Population Summary

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	58,678 to 60,308
Ratio Range:	0.03
Absolute Range:	-833 to 797
Absolute Overall Range:	1,630
Relative Range:	-1.40% to 1.34%
Relative Overall Range:	2.74%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	363.71
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.61%
Standard Deviation:	417.67

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
001	59,666	155	0.26%	46,801	78.44%	89.43%	3.65%	2.11%	0.57%	0.32%	0.05%	0.21%	3.65%
002	59,773	262	0.44%	46,159	77.22%	85.33%	2.64%	7.57%	1.07%	0.2%	0.02%	0.2%	2.97%
003	60,199	688	1.16%	46,716	77.6%	88.46%	2.71%	2.96%	1.56%	0.28%	0.14%	0.14%	3.77%
004	59,070	-441	-0.74%	42,798	72.45%	47.78%	4.53%	44.13%	1.28%	0.19%	0.02%	0.21%	1.86%
005	58,837	-674	-1.13%	44,623	75.84%	78.55%	3.81%	12.62%	1.26%	0.22%	0.03%	0.19%	3.31%
006	59,712	201	0.34%	45,152	75.62%	83%	1%	11.96%	0.51%	0.25%	0.02%	0.17%	3.09%
007	59,081	-430	-0.72%	48,771	82.55%	90.15%	0.34%	5.53%	0.46%	0.27%	0.01%	0.21%	3.02%
008	59,244	-267	-0.45%	49,612	83.74%	91.87%	1.12%	2.74%	0.54%	0.3%	0%	0.29%	3.13%
009	59,474	-37	-0.06%	48,273	81.17%	88.93%	1.06%	4.74%	0.83%	0.41%	0.06%	0.33%	3.64%
010	59,519	8	0.01%	47,164	79.24%	81.82%	3.19%	10.04%	1.58%	0.18%	0.03%	0.21%	2.95%
011	58,792	-719	-1.21%	45,396	77.21%	89.31%	1.43%	4.23%	1.06%	0.23%	0.03%	0.27%	3.44%
012	59,300	-211	-0.35%	46,487	78.39%	80.42%	8.94%	6.15%	1.01%	0.18%	0%	0.33%	2.97%
013	59,150	-361	-0.61%	45,176	76.38%	66.3%	18.03%	10.84%	1.36%	0.22%	0.02%	0.26%	2.97%
014	59,135	-376	-0.63%	45,511	76.96%	83.02%	6.06%	5.88%	0.8%	0.25%	0.02%	0.31%	3.65%
015	59,213	-298	-0.50%	45,791	77.33%	71.9%	13.11%	9.67%	1.36%	0.27%	0.03%	0.36%	3.3%
016	59,402	-109	-0.18%	44,009	74.09%	76.42%	10.83%	8.61%	0.79%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	2.76%
017	59,120	-391	-0.66%	42,761	72.33%	66.02%	21.24%	6.94%	1.41%	0.25%	0.06%	0.54%	3.55%
018	59,335	-176	-0.30%	45,159	76.11%	86.01%	7.17%	2.39%	0.62%	0.26%	0.04%	0.26%	3.24%
019	58,955	-556	-0.93%	44,299	75.14%	65.37%	22.26%	6.8%	1.21%	0.21%	0.07%	0.48%	3.59%
020	60,107	596	1.00%	45,725	76.07%	76.4%	7.96%	9.18%	2.03%	0.14%	0.04%	0.55%	3.7%
021	59,529	18	0.03%	44,931	75.48%	82.07%	4.23%	7.44%	1.87%	0.22%	0.05%	0.61%	3.51%
022	59,460	-51	-0.09%	45,815	77.05%	65.61%	13.32%	11.57%	4.04%	0.21%	0.03%	0.76%	4.47%
023	59,048	-463	-0.78%	44,254	74.95%	75.29%	5.48%	14.23%	1.12%	0.21%	0.05%	0.32%	3.3%
024	59,011	-500	-0.84%	41,814	70.86%	63.42%	6.04%	10.32%	16.41%	0.17%	0.05%	0.56%	3.03%
025	59,414	-97	-0.16%	42,520	71.57%	56.12%	5.08%	5.09%	30.56%	0.1%	0.03%	0.45%	2.56%
026	59,248	-263	-0.44%	44,081	74.4%	68.21%	3.18%	10.76%	14.26%	0.12%	0.04%	0.44%	2.99%
027	58,795	-716	-1.20%	46,004	78.24%	82.61%	3.07%	9.6%	0.83%	0.2%	0.04%	0.24%	3.4%
028	58,972	-539	-0.91%	44,444	75.36%	79.36%	3.15%	11.44%	2.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.36%	3.33%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
029	59,200	-311	-0.52%	43,131	72.86%	42.29%	12.55%	39.71%	3.02%	0.14%	0.06%	0.33%	1.91%
030	59,266	-245	-0.41%	45,414	76.63%	70.5%	7.19%	16.13%	2.96%	0.15%	0.02%	0.28%	2.77%
031	59,901	390	0.66%	43,120	71.99%	68.65%	6.79%	18.95%	2.35%	0.21%	0.03%	0.32%	2.69%
032	59,145	-366	-0.62%	45,942	77.68%	82.98%	7.21%	4.87%	1.25%	0.32%	0.05%	0.2%	3.12%
033	59,187	-324	-0.54%	46,498	78.56%	82.25%	10.57%	3.13%	1.16%	0.15%	0.01%	0.29%	2.43%
034	59,875	364	0.61%	45,758	76.42%	69.23%	14.11%	7.85%	4.43%	0.12%	0.03%	0.65%	3.58%
035	59,889	378	0.64%	48,312	80.67%	53.63%	25.59%	11.15%	4.58%	0.19%	0.05%	0.77%	4.04%
036	59,994	483	0.81%	44,911	74.86%	70.77%	15.48%	6.51%	3.02%	0.15%	0.04%	0.6%	3.44%
037	59,176	-335	-0.56%	46,223	78.11%	46.26%	25.84%	18.64%	4.61%	0.21%	0.02%	0.91%	3.52%
038	59,317	-194	-0.33%	44,839	75.59%	30.1%	51.13%	12.62%	1.87%	0.24%	0.05%	0.63%	3.36%
039	59,381	-130	-0.22%	44,436	74.83%	23.47%	52.5%	18.66%	1.77%	0.17%	0.03%	0.6%	2.79%
040	59,044	-467	-0.78%	47,976	81.25%	51.14%	30.35%	5.92%	8.24%	0.15%	0.01%	0.63%	3.55%
041	60,122	611	1.03%	45,271	75.3%	27.62%	36.96%	28.55%	3.13%	0.22%	0.05%	0.84%	2.62%
042	59,620	109	0.18%	48,525	81.39%	39%	30.85%	17.38%	7.45%	0.2%	0.04%	1.14%	3.94%
043	59,464	-47	-0.08%	47,033	79.09%	46.31%	24.03%	14.15%	7.62%	0.21%	0.09%	2.27%	5.32%
044	60,002	491	0.83%	46,773	77.95%	67.69%	10.5%	10.53%	5.78%	0.2%	0.02%	1.06%	4.23%
045	59,738	227	0.38%	44,023	73.69%	74.94%	4.27%	4.85%	12.05%	0.05%	0.02%	0.59%	3.23%
046	59,108	-403	-0.68%	44,132	74.66%	74.81%	6.79%	7.38%	6.72%	0.13%	0.04%	0.61%	3.53%
047	59,126	-385	-0.65%	43,932	74.3%	63.89%	9.3%	7.37%	15.16%	0.17%	0.03%	0.62%	3.46%
048	59,003	-508	-0.85%	44,779	75.89%	61.77%	10.14%	12.41%	11.59%	0.08%	0.04%	0.56%	3.42%
049	59,153	-358	-0.60%	45,263	76.52%	71.48%	7.22%	6.7%	10.74%	0.1%	0.03%	0.63%	3.12%
050	59,523	12	0.02%	43,940	73.82%	44.37%	10.8%	6.36%	34.63%	0.07%	0.05%	0.58%	3.13%
051	58,952	-559	-0.94%	47,262	80.17%	54.33%	21.3%	13.31%	5.93%	0.18%	0.05%	1.01%	3.89%
052	59,811	300	0.50%	48,525	81.13%	55.14%	14.19%	7.41%	19.12%	0.14%	0.07%	0.68%	3.24%
053	59,953	442	0.74%	46,944	78.3%	71.2%	12.71%	7.44%	4.58%	0.09%	0.02%	0.54%	3.41%
054	60,083	572	0.96%	50,338	83.78%	62.98%	13.67%	12.79%	6.86%	0.13%	0.03%	0.53%	3.02%
055	59,971	460	0.77%	49,255	82.13%	35.51%	52.85%	4.97%	3.19%	0.18%	0.04%	0.37%	2.88%
056	58,929	-582	-0.98%	52,757	89.53%	36.98%	42.9%	5.84%	9.92%	0.2%	0.08%	0.41%	3.67%
057	59,969	458	0.77%	52,097	86.87%	63.64%	16.18%	7.95%	7.99%	0.1%	0.02%	0.6%	3.52%
058	59,057	-454	-0.76%	50,514	85.53%	27.56%	60.36%	5.07%	3.04%	0.12%	0.04%	0.51%	3.3%
059	59,434	-77	-0.13%	49,179	82.75%	22.04%	66.72%	4.43%	2.9%	0.17%	0.02%	0.54%	3.18%
060	59,709	198	0.33%	45,490	76.19%	28.09%	61.3%	5.11%	2.17%	0.18%	0.05%	0.43%	2.67%
061	59,302	-209	-0.35%	45,447	76.64%	16.75%	71.33%	7.61%	0.97%	0.17%	0.05%	0.51%	2.6%
062	59,450	-61	-0.10%	46,426	78.09%	19.07%	69.19%	6.83%	1.3%	0.21%	0.05%	0.47%	2.88%
063	59,381	-130	-0.22%	45,043	75.85%	19.22%	66.7%	9.26%	1.54%	0.21%	0.04%	0.47%	2.56%
064	58,986	-525	-0.88%	44,189	74.91%	57.83%	28.63%	7.44%	1.41%	0.3%	0.04%	0.7%	3.67%
065	59,464	-47	-0.08%	44,386	74.64%	31.46%	59.19%	4.53%	1.15%	0.19%	0.05%	0.51%	2.92%
066	59,047	-464	-0.78%	44,278	74.99%	33.93%	50.39%	9.49%	1.86%	0.26%	0.08%	0.63%	3.36%
067	59,135	-376	-0.63%	44,299	74.91%	30.86%	56.59%	7.75%	1.39%	0.19%	0.03%	0.49%	2.7%
068	59,477	-34	-0.06%	44,835	75.38%	33.94%	53.42%	6.33%	2.77%	0.14%	0.05%	0.63%	2.72%
069	58,682	-829	-1.39%	45,548	77.62%	26.89%	60.9%	5.42%	3.12%	0.18%	0.04%	0.78%	2.68%
070	59,121	-390	-0.66%	45,249	76.54%	59.69%	26.23%	7.96%	2.23%	0.22%	0.06%	0.4%	3.22%
071	59,538	27	0.05%	44,582	74.88%	69.8%	18.45%	6.18%	1.01%	0.24%	0.02%	0.42%	3.88%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
072	59,660	149	0.25%	46,229	77.49%	69.24%	19.51%	6.94%	0.93%	0.19%	0.02%	0.23%	2.94%
073	60,036	525	0.88%	45,736	76.18%	72.58%	10.84%	7.05%	5.58%	0.14%	0.03%	0.4%	3.38%
074	58,956	-555	-0.93%	44,696	75.81%	64.44%	24%	5.55%	2.04%	0.21%	0.02%	0.47%	3.26%
075	59,743	232	0.39%	43,850	73.4%	11.27%	71.04%	11.28%	2.93%	0.18%	0.07%	0.66%	2.57%
076	59,759	248	0.42%	44,371	74.25%	10.51%	64.4%	13.23%	8.69%	0.21%	0.05%	0.51%	2.41%
077	59,242	-269	-0.45%	44,207	74.62%	7.58%	73.27%	12.2%	4.36%	0.23%	0.06%	0.41%	1.9%
078	59,044	-467	-0.78%	44,572	75.49%	15.05%	68.35%	8.89%	4.21%	0.2%	0.03%	0.63%	2.63%
079	59,500	-11	-0.02%	43,223	72.64%	7.15%	68.44%	16.03%	5.51%	0.2%	0.01%	0.56%	2.09%
080	59,461	-50	-0.08%	44,784	75.32%	47.63%	12.45%	23.12%	13.33%	0.07%	0.04%	0.56%	2.79%
081	59,007	-504	-0.85%	46,259	78.4%	47.01%	19.77%	20.92%	8.71%	0.14%	0.01%	0.46%	2.98%
082	59,724	213	0.36%	50,238	84.12%	62.46%	15.19%	6.79%	11.35%	0.11%	0.04%	0.56%	3.51%
083	59,416	-95	-0.16%	46,581	78.4%	47.9%	13.51%	28.47%	6.91%	0.1%	0.02%	0.55%	2.55%
084	59,862	351	0.59%	47,350	79.1%	21.29%	70.47%	2.96%	1.48%	0.16%	0.02%	0.55%	3.07%
085	59,373	-138	-0.23%	46,308	78%	19.48%	59.85%	5.92%	10.8%	0.21%	0.02%	0.57%	3.14%
086	59,205	-306	-0.51%	44,614	75.36%	12.08%	72.02%	4.29%	7.95%	0.15%	0.01%	0.65%	2.84%
087	59,709	198	0.33%	45,615	76.4%	13.5%	69.72%	6.69%	6.22%	0.24%	0.02%	0.64%	2.97%
088	59,689	178	0.30%	46,073	77.19%	18.3%	60.15%	9.97%	7.64%	0.22%	0.07%	0.64%	3.01%
089	59,866	355	0.60%	46,198	77.17%	31.07%	60.06%	3.42%	1.92%	0.15%	0.03%	0.41%	2.93%
090	59,812	301	0.51%	48,015	80.28%	33.98%	56.05%	4.26%	1.82%	0.12%	0.03%	0.53%	3.2%
091	60,050	539	0.91%	46,173	76.89%	22%	67.15%	5.86%	1.44%	0.15%	0.05%	0.49%	2.86%
092	60,273	762	1.28%	46,551	77.23%	24.05%	65.71%	4.68%	1.67%	0.17%	0.03%	0.61%	3.08%
093	60,118	607	1.02%	44,734	74.41%	22.91%	62.36%	9.58%	1.48%	0.17%	0.09%	0.61%	2.81%
094	59,211	-300	-0.50%	44,809	75.68%	18.42%	65.61%	7.29%	4.85%	0.19%	0.02%	0.54%	3.07%
095	60,030	519	0.87%	44,948	74.88%	21.83%	63.61%	7.94%	2.43%	0.22%	0.04%	0.67%	3.27%
096	59,515	4	0.01%	44,671	75.06%	20.32%	20.75%	36.03%	19.7%	0.11%	0.04%	0.6%	2.44%
097	59,072	-439	-0.74%	46,339	78.44%	36.44%	24.16%	19.23%	16.07%	0.19%	0.05%	0.6%	3.25%
098	59,998	487	0.82%	42,734	71.23%	11.66%	20.91%	52.77%	12.28%	0.12%	0.05%	0.51%	1.71%
099	59,850	339	0.57%	45,004	75.19%	42.1%	13.07%	8.67%	32.63%	0.13%	0.04%	0.48%	2.89%
100	60,030	519	0.87%	42,669	71.08%	59.05%	8.86%	9.98%	18.41%	0.19%	0.06%	0.43%	3.02%
101	59,938	427	0.72%	46,584	77.72%	40.14%	21.87%	18.24%	15.98%	0.16%	0.05%	0.54%	3.02%
102	58,959	-552	-0.93%	42,968	72.88%	30.65%	34.79%	21.34%	9.57%	0.2%	0.03%	0.52%	2.89%
103	60,197	686	1.15%	44,399	73.76%	52.42%	15.01%	16.89%	12.19%	0.12%	0.03%	0.5%	2.83%
104	59,362	-149	-0.25%	43,306	72.95%	62.96%	15.44%	11.14%	6.38%	0.18%	0.05%	0.51%	3.34%
105	59,344	-167	-0.28%	43,474	73.26%	41.74%	26.67%	16.76%	11.05%	0.1%	0.03%	0.54%	3.12%
106	59,112	-399	-0.67%	43,890	74.25%	41.22%	33.7%	11.14%	9.73%	0.16%	0.03%	0.74%	3.28%
107	59,702	191	0.32%	44,509	74.55%	21.96%	27.02%	31.09%	16.75%	0.18%	0.04%	0.56%	2.4%
108	59,577	66	0.11%	44,308	74.37%	43.36%	16.55%	18.16%	18.34%	0.18%	0.04%	0.53%	2.84%
109	59,630	119	0.20%	44,140	74.02%	15.44%	29.65%	36.12%	15.82%	0.12%	0.06%	0.55%	2.25%
110	59,951	440	0.74%	43,226	72.1%	36.58%	44.02%	10.49%	4.72%	0.18%	0.04%	0.72%	3.25%
111	60,009	498	0.84%	44,096	73.48%	64%	20.56%	8.84%	2.56%	0.2%	0.04%	0.64%	3.17%
112	59,349	-162	-0.27%	45,120	76.02%	73.73%	18.26%	3.28%	1.26%	0.22%	0.02%	0.41%	2.81%
113	60,053	542	0.91%	44,538	74.16%	31.8%	56.48%	6.65%	0.83%	0.15%	0.11%	0.59%	3.39%
114	59,867	356	0.60%	45,872	76.62%	68.84%	23.42%	3.73%	0.71%	0.18%	0.01%	0.35%	2.76%

Population Summary

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
115	60,174	663	1.11%	44,807	74.46%	36.95%	49.2%	6.97%	2.68%	0.2%	0.05%	0.69%	3.26%
116	59,913	402	0.68%	45,791	76.43%	27.22%	54.93%	7.29%	6.48%	0.19%	0.09%	0.74%	3.05%
117	60,130	619	1.04%	44,973	74.79%	54.5%	34.54%	5.44%	1.54%	0.19%	0.04%	0.52%	3.22%
118	59,987	476	0.80%	46,342	77.25%	69.73%	22.7%	3.68%	0.42%	0.2%	0.02%	0.39%	2.85%
119	58,947	-564	-0.95%	44,005	74.65%	69.8%	12.31%	10.44%	3.75%	0.17%	0.02%	0.43%	3.08%
120	58,982	-529	-0.89%	46,767	79.29%	71.94%	13.21%	7.09%	4.18%	0.16%	0.05%	0.44%	2.91%
121	59,127	-384	-0.65%	46,598	78.81%	76.13%	8.6%	5.57%	5.84%	0.1%	0%	0.46%	3.3%
122	59,632	121	0.20%	48,840	81.9%	54.8%	27.13%	11.7%	2.41%	0.32%	0.06%	0.79%	2.79%
123	59,282	-229	-0.38%	46,572	78.56%	68.06%	23.42%	4.31%	1.06%	0.19%	0.02%	0.2%	2.75%
124	59,221	-290	-0.49%	47,638	80.44%	65.01%	24.61%	6.17%	1.08%	0.19%	0.02%	0.31%	2.61%
125	60,137	626	1.05%	43,812	72.85%	63.03%	21.43%	7.66%	2.6%	0.31%	0.16%	0.39%	4.41%
126	59,260	-251	-0.42%	45,497	76.78%	39.97%	52.63%	3.17%	0.89%	0.29%	0.16%	0.29%	2.62%
127	58,678	-833	-1.40%	45,889	78.2%	68.13%	16.88%	4.77%	5.68%	0.19%	0.16%	0.43%	3.77%
128	58,864	-647	-1.09%	46,488	78.98%	46.49%	49.38%	1.7%	0.35%	0.19%	0.01%	0.17%	1.71%
129	58,829	-682	-1.15%	46,873	79.68%	37.16%	52.33%	4.26%	2.4%	0.19%	0.15%	0.41%	3.1%
130	59,203	-308	-0.52%	44,019	74.35%	33.74%	57.69%	3.86%	0.97%	0.26%	0.19%	0.34%	2.95%
131	58,890	-621	-1.04%	42,968	72.96%	68.16%	15.87%	5.87%	5.21%	0.21%	0.1%	0.55%	4.03%
132	59,142	-369	-0.62%	46,752	79.05%	35.63%	49.82%	7.8%	2.74%	0.27%	0.16%	0.3%	3.28%
133	59,202	-309	-0.52%	47,222	79.76%	58.39%	35.87%	2.15%	1.15%	0.15%	0.04%	0.36%	1.89%
134	59,396	-115	-0.19%	45,110	75.95%	59.9%	32.37%	3.74%	0.81%	0.23%	0.02%	0.25%	2.69%
135	60,063	552	0.93%	46,725	77.79%	71.78%	22.84%	1.82%	0.55%	0.16%	0.01%	0.25%	2.57%
136	59,298	-213	-0.36%	45,367	76.51%	63.9%	27.76%	3.64%	1.55%	0.26%	0.04%	0.29%	2.55%
137	59,551	40	0.07%	45,358	76.17%	40.82%	50.02%	4.48%	1.73%	0.12%	0.12%	0.26%	2.44%
138	58,912	-599	-1.01%	45,684	77.55%	72.34%	18.26%	3.31%	2.43%	0.26%	0.07%	0.35%	2.97%
139	59,010	-501	-0.84%	45,522	77.14%	66.19%	18.56%	6.36%	3.89%	0.25%	0.24%	0.46%	4.04%
140	59,294	-217	-0.36%	44,411	74.9%	31.7%	54.74%	8.02%	1.17%	0.24%	0.2%	0.49%	3.43%
141	59,019	-492	-0.83%	44,677	75.7%	31.77%	54.65%	6.55%	2.69%	0.27%	0.3%	0.38%	3.38%
142	59,608	97	0.16%	44,584	74.8%	34.8%	57.42%	3.7%	1.4%	0.17%	0.02%	0.28%	2.2%
143	59,469	-42	-0.07%	46,390	78.01%	32.28%	58.98%	4.67%	1.07%	0.21%	0.05%	0.3%	2.44%
144	59,232	-279	-0.47%	46,370	78.29%	62.95%	28.34%	2.55%	3.45%	0.14%	0.02%	0.26%	2.29%
145	59,863	352	0.59%	45,844	76.58%	55.12%	33.97%	5.94%	0.99%	0.33%	0.03%	0.3%	3.32%
146	60,203	692	1.16%	44,589	74.06%	61.84%	26.08%	4.73%	2.98%	0.18%	0.09%	0.39%	3.71%
147	59,178	-333	-0.56%	44,902	75.88%	55.32%	28.41%	7.17%	4.85%	0.25%	0.07%	0.41%	3.52%
148	59,984	473	0.79%	46,614	77.71%	60.45%	33.11%	3.08%	0.87%	0.14%	0.04%	0.21%	2.1%
149	58,893	-618	-1.04%	46,821	79.5%	60.99%	30.75%	5.69%	0.57%	0.19%	0.04%	0.14%	1.63%
150	59,276	-235	-0.39%	47,050	79.37%	38.31%	52.5%	6.13%	1.18%	0.16%	0.03%	0.15%	1.54%
151	60,059	548	0.92%	46,973	78.21%	47.2%	40.96%	7.28%	1.43%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	2.58%
152	60,134	623	1.05%	46,026	76.54%	67.94%	25.26%	2.34%	1.52%	0.24%	0.04%	0.19%	2.46%
153	59,299	-212	-0.36%	45,692	77.05%	27.66%	66.38%	2.55%	1%	0.16%	0.03%	0.23%	2.01%
154	59,994	483	0.81%	47,273	78.8%	42.24%	53.68%	1.67%	0.36%	0.19%	0%	0.16%	1.7%
155	58,759	-752	-1.26%	45,208	76.94%	59.77%	34.6%	2.22%	0.95%	0.16%	0.04%	0.21%	2.05%
156	59,444	-67	-0.11%	45,867	77.16%	60.92%	29.32%	6.88%	0.62%	0.16%	0.01%	0.15%	1.93%
157	59,957	446	0.75%	45,311	75.57%	64.48%	23.7%	8.96%	0.57%	0.17%	0.04%	0.16%	1.93%

Population Summary

House-prop1-2021

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[% 18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Blk]	[% H18+_Pop]	[% NH18+_Asn]	[% NH18+_Ind]	[% NH18+_Hwn]	[% NH18+_Oth]	[% NH18+_2+ Races]
158	59,440	-71	-0.12%	45,549	76.63%	62.21%	30.2%	4.52%	0.71%	0.21%	0.03%	0.18%	1.93%
159	59,895	384	0.65%	44,871	74.92%	69.39%	23.44%	2.87%	0.57%	0.31%	0.04%	0.26%	3.12%
160	59,935	424	0.71%	48,057	80.18%	68.48%	21.07%	5.04%	1.64%	0.24%	0.09%	0.27%	3.17%
161	60,097	586	0.98%	44,371	73.83%	60.16%	25.26%	6.82%	3.16%	0.25%	0.09%	0.48%	3.77%
162	60,308	797	1.34%	46,733	77.49%	40.62%	41.13%	9.58%	4.16%	0.22%	0.24%	0.44%	3.61%
163	60,123	612	1.03%	48,461	80.6%	41.92%	43.78%	7.38%	3.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.33%	2.68%
164	60,101	590	0.99%	45,851	76.29%	60.61%	21.43%	8.49%	4.37%	0.26%	0.12%	0.6%	4.12%
165	59,978	467	0.78%	48,247	80.44%	39.18%	48.49%	5.33%	3.68%	0.25%	0.14%	0.35%	2.57%
166	60,242	731	1.23%	47,580	78.98%	84.71%	4.96%	4.07%	2.69%	0.18%	0.05%	0.36%	2.97%
167	59,493	-18	-0.03%	44,140	74.19%	65.96%	20.55%	7.41%	1.48%	0.39%	0.18%	0.39%	3.66%
168	60,147	636	1.07%	44,867	74.6%	39.29%	42.28%	10.3%	2.32%	0.33%	0.65%	0.38%	4.46%
169	59,138	-373	-0.63%	45,267	76.54%	60.95%	28.12%	7.66%	0.88%	0.14%	0.03%	0.16%	2.06%
170	60,116	605	1.02%	45,316	75.38%	64.17%	23.21%	8.65%	1.19%	0.12%	0.02%	0.25%	2.38%
171	59,237	-274	-0.46%	45,969	77.6%	53.85%	38.58%	4.63%	0.56%	0.24%	0.02%	0.17%	1.95%
172	59,961	450	0.76%	44,756	74.64%	61.03%	22.46%	13.42%	0.78%	0.23%	0.03%	0.19%	1.87%
173	59,743	232	0.39%	45,292	75.81%	55.68%	35.18%	5.35%	0.84%	0.37%	0.02%	0.26%	2.31%
174	59,852	341	0.57%	45,760	76.46%	72.25%	16.08%	7.96%	0.52%	0.38%	0.03%	0.15%	2.64%
175	59,993	482	0.81%	44,704	74.52%	66.49%	23.13%	5.03%	1.85%	0.28%	0.06%	0.3%	2.86%
176	59,470	-41	-0.07%	44,991	75.65%	66.15%	21.61%	8.24%	0.96%	0.25%	0.1%	0.19%	2.49%
177	59,992	481	0.81%	46,014	76.7%	37.12%	51.68%	6.12%	1.36%	0.24%	0.08%	0.36%	3.04%
178	59,877	366	0.62%	45,638	76.22%	77.79%	13.99%	5.14%	0.54%	0.2%	0.01%	0.23%	2.09%
179	59,356	-155	-0.26%	47,156	79.45%	63.69%	25.74%	6.38%	1.07%	0.15%	0.11%	0.34%	2.51%
180	59,412	-99	-0.17%	45,362	76.35%	71.17%	16.63%	5.62%	1.67%	0.31%	0.11%	0.47%	4.02%

Total: **10,711,908**
Ideal District: **59,511**

Prior Congressional District 6 Boundaries



S.B. 2EX Congressional District 6 Boundaries



Exhibit
0010
Dugan

EXHIBIT
8

Message

From: Bonnie Rich [bonnierich@me.com]
Sent: 11/17/2021 7:47:53 PM
To: Julie Sutton [juliesutton@gmail.com]
Attachments: Congressional topline talking points (02178844xBE13C).docx; Untitled attachment 32556.htm; jpeg.jpg; Untitled attachment 32559.htm

EXHIBIT
9

Congressional Topline Points

1. Process has been ongoing for months.
    a. Public hearings
    b. Committee education
    c. Guidelines adopted
    d. Opportunities for public comment in person, virtually, and online
2. Same process applied to congressional maps:
    a. The Senate released a congressional district draft on September 27, 2021 to allow public comment.
    b. Democratic congressional plan was released on October 21, 2021.
    c. Took in dozens of comments at the public hearings and online over the past few months.
3. Worked to take into account all of those comments, talking with the chairman of the State Senate to reach this map.
4. This map fairly represents all Georgians and is a map that we can be proud of. It's not gerrymandered, but respects the principles we adopted at the beginning of this process.
5. Let's talk about process going forward.
    a. We're having our first hearing today. Another hearing tomorrow, and another on Friday. We will not be voting in committee today on this map.
    b. The Senate had a hearing today and is having another hearing tomorrow.
    c. We're going to take the time to listen and not ram this through on the same day it is introduced, which IS the way the Democrats handled this the last time they were in control.
6. That being said, you can see similarities to the maps that have been public for months.
    a. The second district is largely what the joint Democratic caucus proposed, as is district 3.
    b. The 9th and 14th are similar to what was originally proposed on the Senate draft.
    c. These maps are the result of months of public comment and input on drafts, and that's why we are proud of them.

So let's talk specifics on this plan:

02178844-1

1. It is at absolute zero deviation—plus or minus one person, as required by the Constitution.
2. It complies with the Voting Rights Act, with several majority-minority districts and several minority opportunity districts on the plan.
3. It respects county boundaries. Our current plan splits 16 counties on the congressional district and this plan splits 15. In contrast, the Democratic plan splits 18 counties.
4. While some precinct splitting is inevitable to reach zero deviation, we split as few precincts as possible while reaching that goal and tried to find logical places to divide counties.
5. It respects communities of interest, recognizing that these are large districts and often have to take in a lot of territory. We have our traditional districts in the corners of the state and the cores of existing districts are largely maintained.
6. While incumbents do not have to live in their districts for Congress, every incumbent member of congress has a district to run in.

QUESTIONS

1. Didn't you target Congresswoman McBath?
    a. We didn't target anyone. We set out to draw districts that are fair for all Georgians and recognize the growth in Gwinnett County, which is why district 7 looks the way it does and the growth north of Atlanta, which is why district 6 looks the way it does.
    b. We also tried to take into account public comment like keeping Pickens County whole and maintaining as much of the district cores as we could.
2. What are the minority opportunity districts on this plan?
    a. The districts where minority voters have the opportunity to elect their candidates of choice are districts 2, 4, 5, 7, and 13.
3. What number did you use to comply with the VRA?
    a. We didn't use a magic number, but instead evaluated the ability to elect for each area covered by the map.
4. Aren't you just trying to get a Republican majority of 9-5 on this map?
    a. No. We drew a map that complies with the law and represents our principles and the guidelines this committee adopted. We took a lot of

things into account, but there was no one purpose that took precedence over anything else that we considered.

5. Why didn't you draw the minority opportunity district 10 that was drawn on the Democratic plan?
    a. You have to take a lot of things into account in drawing and can't look at one districts in isolation. We took a lot of factors into account in creating these plans and believe that our districts 14 and 9 more fairly represent the communities of interest in north Georgia, and that limits what you're then able to do with district 10.
    b. The Democratic plan also shifts the existing district cores significantly in swapping that district from one side of the state to the other.

2 (Sanford Bishop) Down 92,108; VRA protected district; largely the same as what D caucus proposed; kept Thomas County whole.

8 (Austin Scott) Down 45,217; Added Clinch & Echols to gain pop while maintaining district core;

1 (Buddy Carter): Down 9,355; largely the same; preserved core district; minor changes to equally proportion the population.

12 (Rick Allen) Down 26,512; loss of pop in this district and the others to the south of it pushed it north into what is currently the southeastern part of the 10th, including Johnson, Washington, Jefferson, Glascock, Warren, McDuffie, Lincoln, and Wilkes Counties. Also made Columbia County whole again.

10 (Jody Hice) Over 9,876; Some of that extra population went to the 12th. To keep the cores of districts 12 and 10 largely the same, the 10th pushed north into Elbert, Madison, and Jackson counties. Contrast that with the Dem caucus proposed map, which disregards the core and moves the 10th from the east side of the state to the west.

3 (Drew Ferguson) Down 2,016; largely same as what D caucus proposed; only minor changes to account for pop shift.

4 (Hank Johnson) Over 8,625; VRA protected district; largely unchanged; preserves the district core, whereas the Dem proposed map moves it into Gwinnett and Henry Counties.

5 (Nikema Williams) Over 22,890; VRA protected district; maintained the district core; just moved the boundaries in to accommodate for the pop growth there, which is the same as with District 13.

13 (David Scott) Over 27,780; Another VRA protected district.

14 (Marjorie Taylor Greene) Down 36,585; Maintained this core district; needed to gain population, so it geographically moved south, where we had population growth.

11 (Barry Loudermilk) Over 37,379; Responded to the overwhelming public input with respect to Pickens County and made it whole; a significant portion of the growth in this district & the state was in Cherokee County, so we had to split Cherokee, with a portion of it moving into the 6th.

6 (Lucy McBath) Over 657; Core of this district is a north metro area; Large pop growth and to keep the communities of interest in the 6th and 7th together, this district moved north into Cherokee, Forsyth, and Dawson Counties.

7 (Carolyn Bordeaux) Over 94,304; A Minority Opportunity District – majority non-white. To maintain the core of this district in Gwinnett, pulled inward to include most of Gwinnett.

9 (Andrew Clyde) Over 10,231; Maintained the core of this district and protected the community of interest, the northeastern portion of our state, as opposed to Dem proposed map, which put this entire area, from west to east, into one district, combining two different communities. We heard from the constituents in these communities that geography (the mountain range) and infrastructure (no major east-west thoroughfares) make it impractical to travel from the west to the east. Dem map ignores these communities.

Prior Congressional District 14 Boundaries



S.B. 2EX Congressional District 14 Boundaries



EXHIBIT
10

Message

From: Kennedy, John [John.Kennedy@senate.ga.gov]
Sent: 9/26/2021 3:44:23 PM
To: John F Kennedy [jkennedy@jamesbatesllp.com]
Subject: Fwd: [*** External Email ***]
Attachments: image002.jpg; cong-s18-p1 map.pdf; cong-s18-p1 pop summary.pdf

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Wright, Gina" <Gina.Wright@legis.ga.gov>
Date: September 25, 2021 at 1:40:57 PM EDT
To: "Kennedy, John" <john.kennedy@senate.ga.gov>
Subject: RE: [* External Email ***]**

Chairman Kennedy:

Attached is a sample using the county listing sent as best possible. Numbers would need to be shifted just a little more for deviation and I had to make some adjustments to the suggestions below for population. Otherwise, I used the suggested framework here. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Gina

Gina Harkin Wright
Executive Director

Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Office
Georgia General Assembly
18 Capitol Square, Suite 407
Coverdell Legislative Office Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30334
(404)656-5063
https://www.legis.ga.gov/joint-office/reapportionment

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message transmission is intended only for the person or the entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you have received this transmission, but are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender of the e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies.

From: Jamie Shaw <jshaw@jamesbatesllp.com> **On Behalf Of** John F. Kennedy
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Wright, Gina <Gina.Wright@legis.ga.gov>
Cc: John F. Kennedy <jkennedy@jamesbatesllp.com>
Subject: [*** External Email ***]

EXHIBIT
11

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

District One: (1) Add Jeff Davis and Appling; (2) Remove Coffee; (3) Remove Lowndes.

District Two: (1) Add all of Muscogee and Harris; (2) add split in Upson for population.

District Three: (1) Add 3/4ths of Douglas.

District Four: Add northern DeKalb.

District Five: Add Sandy Springs and remove some of Clayton and DeKalb.

District Six: East Cobb/North Fulton/all of Forsyth.

District Seven: All of Gwinnett except for Buford, Sugar Hill, Suwannee, and Duluth.

District Eight: Add Coffee and all of Lowndes.

District Nine: Make Pickens whole; remove Clarke, Jackson, and Madison; only Gwinnett portions of Buford, Sugar Hill, Suwannee, and Duluth.

District Ten: Remove all of McDuffie and Columbia into District 12; add all of Clarke, Jackson, and Madison and a portion of Gwinnett.

District 11: Split Barstow with 14, add some of East Cobb.

District 12: Add all of Glascock, Washington, Jefferson, Johnson, McDuffie, and Columbia. Change split in Effingham for population.

District 13: Remove some of Douglas into district 3, add Clayton from district 5.

District 14: Add population from Bartow to balance out.

Jamie Shaw
Legal Assistant
James-Bates-Brannan-Groover-LLP

Direct: 478-749-9971 | Main: 478-742-4280
231 Riverside Drive, Macon, GA 31201
www.jamesbatesllp.com
Macon | Atlanta | Athens

Confidentiality Notice:
This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee of this message, this message was not intended for you, and your receipt of this message was an inadvertent disclosure of the information and contents herein. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete all copies of the message. The receipt of this email alone may not necessarily create an attorney-client privilege between you and James-Bates-Brannan-Groover-LLP. If we do not represent you, please do not respond to this email with any confidential information because your communication may not be treated as privileged or confidential. Thank you.

Message

From: Bonnie Rich [bonnie@bonnierichlaw.com]
Sent: 11/5/2021 4:13:33 PM
To: Rich, Bonnie [Bonnie.Rich@house.ga.gov]
Subject: [*** External Email ***]
Attachments: Georgia House Map Presentation.docx

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Bonnie Rich Law, LLC
Attorneys at Law
P.O.Box 663
Suwanee GA 30024
770-904-1921
bonnie@bonnierichlaw.com
www.bonnierichlaw.com

Notices: This email, including attachments, is confidential and may contain information protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. If you are not the addressee, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, destroy it and notify me immediately. To ensure compliance with Internal Revenue Circular 230, I inform you that any tax advice contained in this message is not intended or written to be used for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to others any tax related matter that may be addressed here.

EXHIBIT
12

Georgia House District Map Introduction

Process for drawing plans

- With the Census data delayed, we used the summer to study and learn about districts.
  - That was incredibly helpful to me to learn about the districts and the state.
  - Example of one or two that was helpful

- Between June and September, I sent out **4** separate invitation emails and allocated over **88 hours** to meet with members of both political parties to learn about their districts and the areas they wanted to gain or lose.

- We held public hearings across the state and took input on our website. We drove over 1400 miles around the state and held **11 public hearings** over **15 hours**, we heard from 328 speaking participants from 162 of our 180 House Districts.

- Also invited written commentary. Our website portal received over 700 comments, and we made those available to the committee members and the public and I personally reviewed them. You have a copy of those public comments in front of you.

- 1st Committee Meeting was an education day for the committee and invited a variety of groups to speak to us about what we should know. We heard from NCSL, the Georgia Democratic Party, Fair Districts, among others.

- Our committee then adopted guidelines to govern the drawing of maps. Those guidelines focused on the constitutional requirement of equal population, compliance with the Voting Rights Act, including a recognition of racially polarized voting, and then the importance of jurisdictional boundaries, prioritizing communities of interest, compactness, and contiguity.

Constitution

- Our first responsibility is to balance population under the US Constitution.
- Applied 2020 data to the existing districts. Found that districts were over or under population by -24.39% to +32.27%.
- Districts have to be substantially the same size, and we've generally drawn to a deviation of +/- 1.5% on the draft plan.

Voting Rights Act

- After overlaying the census data, we drew the VR districts, in consultation with counsel, to ensure compliance.
- We comply with the Voting Rights Act, creating majority-minority districts and new minority opportunity districts.
- 48 districts that are majority AP Black VAP (up 1 from existing maps) 78 minority opportunity districts (majority non-white VAP) (up 5 from existing maps)
- One Latino majority VAP district (District 98)
    - Two heavily influenced Latino districts (>40%) Districts 4 and 96.

Communities of interest and county splits

We also applied the remaining Guidelines adopted, prioritizing county lines, municipalities (something we hear about a lot in public commentary), communities of interest, compactness, and contiguity.

- The 2001 House Districts drawn by Democrats split 80 counties into 147 districts for 180 members while using a deviation of +/- 5%.
- Our current districts split 73 counties.
- This plan splits only 68 counties while using a deviation of +/- 1.5%.
- In contrast, Democrat plan offered today splits 79 counties.

Incumbents

- We obtained current incumbent addresses so we could plot and overlay them onto the map. We prioritized minimizing incumbent pairings as much as possible.

- No one is happy about pairings, but our plan only pairs incumbents where population deviations required and there were no other workable solutions.

- The 2001 House district plan paired 37 of the 74 Republican incumbents but only nine of the 105 Democrats.

- Our current maps maintained most incumbents, only 5: 2 Ds, 2Rs, and one D-R.

Combined all of this input with the staff at the Reapportionment office to get to a draft map and that is what you have in front of you.

One final point I want to make:

Minority Opportunity Districts are not an ideal that is held by just one party. The Republicans have seen and embraced the growth in Georgia; in fact, our goal with our fiscal policies is to encourage that growth, and we are thrilled it is here.

As a female R from one of the fastest growing and most diverse counties in the state, one of the things I'm most proud of is the # of Minority Opportunity Districts we've drawn.

So let's look at the map. Will walk through by region --

Southwest:

Loss of pop; whole district. Protected VR districts in **HDs 153 and 154.** Resulted in one of our inevitable pairings, a R-D.

With the collapse of that one district, we were able to maintain the cores of the remaining districts in the area.

Moving east … Central South:

Protected **HD 177** there, which is a VR district. Were able to accommodate the request of the Democrat incumbent there to include some precincts he explained to us were a part of the district's community of interest.

Also in this area the pop loss resulted in another pairing, this time R-R (Lariccia / Burchette) in **HD 176**.

Swamp area of the state in **HD 174**, we kept together among 5 counties. Comm of Int.

Coastal:

HD 180 has whole of Camden county – removed the split.

HD 168 is wholly contained in Liberty County – Our Dean of the House keeps his famous district #.

Savannah area:

Protected one VR district**: HD #165** and two Minority Opportunity districts, **HD #s 162 and 163.**

Southeast, but moving back west across the state:

Kept a community of interest together – **HD #156** – kept Wheeler, Montgomery, & Toombs together – strong public request and support for this at our public hearings.

Moving up a bit to Central Georgia

Maintained the core of the districts in the Macon area while protecting 3 VR districts there – **HD #s 142, 143, and 150.**

Also maintained greater than 50% black VAP in **HD # 137** by putting it into Lagrange and Troup County.

Moving west, protected 2 VR districts in Columbus area, **HD #s 140 and 141.**

Moving up a bit to South Metro:

W/ loss of pop in SW GA below it and VR districts to the north of it, this area felt a crunch – pulling the VR districts a little further south.

Northwest Ga:

Maintained district cores and avoided incumbent pairings, which is diff from Dem plan, which had at least one incumb pairing.

Moving a little east, Pickens County is whole under our current HD maps, and, based on clear requests and support from their communities, we kept it that way.

Northernmost area of state: **HD #7** – Is a perfect district and remains a perfect district.

Moving down a little to Athens/Clarke County:

A lot of growth; very large county. Pop alone requires it be split 3 ways in a vacuum – like Barrow and Jackson, other large counties in the area that also got split. Always prefer to split larger counties before smaller ones.

Moving to the east, to the GA/SC border:

Legislators from the area worked together to adjust their lines based on population and communities of interest.

Moving down the border to the Augusta area:

Protected **5 VR** districts: **HD #s 128, 128, 130, and 131**. As requested by Dem incumbent, made Jefferson County whole.

Metro Atlanta:

Pop growth; densely populated; many incumbents live close together; worked hard to avoid pairings.

North Metro: Pop growth in **Bartow, Cherokee, and Forsyth** pulled the districts in these areas to the east.

Gwinnett County:

Tremendous growth; created 2-3 Minority Opportunity Districts here alone; 2 pairings, still looking into some possible solutions for those.

Again reiterate that I am proud of the diversity we enjoy in my home county, and I am proud of my colleagues, who, through these maps, also embrace and celebrate our diversity with the creation of our Minority Opportunity Districts.

Information

Draft House Map (Released August 12, 2011)

- Existing State House Map (map in effect for 2010 general election cycle) included 68 districts which were above the ideal size (for 2011 redistricting) of 53,820 persons/district and 112 districts which were below the ideal size, necessitating a number of changes this year to boundaries of the 180 State House districts. In the existing map, district sizes range from 37,096 persons to 91,944 persons

- Ideal House district size of 53,820 persons for this year's redistricting is 8,340 persons greater than the ideal House district size following the 2000 census (45,480 persons per district). The ideal district size is achieved by dividing the state's 2010 census population of 9,687,653 by 180 districts

- For House Proposal 1 (draft map), the overall deviation (range between the largest and smallest districts) is 1.98 percent, ranging from 0.99 percent above the ideal size of 53,820 persons per district and 0.99 percent below the ideal size. The largest district in the plan has 54,352 persons, the smallest 53,287 persons.

- Of the state's 159 counties, 87 are located each in one district (i.e., the county falls within just one House district, not split among multiple districts), while 31 counties are split into two districts and 41 counties are divided among three or more districts

- All State House districts in the 2011 proposal are single-member districts (there are no multimember districts---that is, districts with two or more members---in this proposal)

EXHIBIT
13

- South Georgia (from Columbus-Macon-Augusta south) must lose roughly four and a half (4.5) State House seats in this round of redistricting because its population growth lagged that of north Georgia. Most of the 31 counties in the state which lost population between 2000 and 2010 are located in South Georgia

- The draft map (House Proposal 1) includes 49 districts which are majority-black in population, ranging from 53 to 73 percent black. There also are 47 districts which are majority-black in voting-age population and 49 districts which are majority-black in voter registration

GEORGIA - 2010 Census Results

Percent Change in Population by County: 2000 to 2010



Percent Change

- 50.0 to 78.4
- 25.0 to 49.9
- 0.0 to 24.9
- -10.0 to -0.1
- -24.3 to -10.1

Percent Change for State: 18.3%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 and 2010 Census Redistricting Data Summary File
For more information visit www.census.gov.

United States Census Bureau

GEORGIA - 2010 Census Results

Total Population by County



Number of People

- 250,000 to 920,581
- 150,000 to 249,999
- 85,000 to 149,999
- 35,000 to 84,999
- 1,717 to 34,999

Total State Population: 9,687,653

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data Summary File
For more information visit www.census.gov.

United States Census Bureau

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H167
Plan: hseprop1
Type: House



Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Office

Map layers
Districts
County
0 20 40 60
Miles

Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H167
Plan: hseprop1
Type: House



Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H167
Plan: hsprop1
Type: House





Proposed Georgia House Districts

Client: H167
Plan: hseprop1
Type: House





Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
001		53,612	-208	-0.39%	1,535	2.86%	262	1,797	3.35%	908	1.69%
	VAP	41,298			1,115	2.70%	51	1,166	2.82%	539	1.31%
002		53,910	90	0.17%	1,946	3.61%	365	2,311	4.29%	1,772	3.29%
	VAP	40,653			1,515	3.73%	68	1,583	3.89%	1,029	2.53%
003		53,366	-454	-0.84%	1,233	2.31%	356	1,589	2.98%	1,231	2.31%
	VAP	40,240			837	2.08%	78	915	2.27%	739	1.84%
004		54,120	300	0.56%	2,526	4.67%	371	2,897	5.35%	22,063	40.77%
	VAP	38,389			1,959	5.10%	108	2,067	5.38%	12,869	33.52%
005		53,589	-231	-0.43%	1,907	3.56%	378	2,285	4.26%	7,921	14.78%
	VAP	38,998			1,439	3.69%	114	1,553	3.98%	4,695	12.04%
006		53,968	148	0.27%	1,079	2.00%	302	1,381	2.56%	11,676	21.64%
	VAP	38,578			732	1.90%	80	812	2.10%	6,563	17.01%
007		54,058	238	0.44%	220	0.41%	109	329	0.61%	3,141	5.81%
	VAP	43,050			145	0.34%	35	180	0.42%	1,876	4.36%
008		53,905	85	0.16%	341	0.63%	102	443	0.82%	2,111	3.92%
	VAP	43,921			225	0.51%	38	263	0.60%	1,367	3.11%
009		54,287	467	0.87%	488	0.90%	220	708	1.30%	2,720	5.01%
	VAP	42,203			391	0.93%	78	469	1.11%	1,701	4.03%
010		54,204	384	0.71%	1,777	3.28%	281	2,058	3.80%	5,588	10.31%
	VAP	41,461			1,505	3.63%	59	1,564	3.77%	3,147	7.59%
011		53,610	-210	-0.39%	547	1.02%	182	729	1.36%	3,485	6.50%
	VAP	40,794			397	0.97%	37	434	1.06%	1,979	4.85%
012		54,317	497	0.92%	4,835	8.90%	401	5,236	9.64%	3,021	5.56%
	VAP	41,793			3,800	9.09%	93	3,893	9.31%	1,792	4.29%
013		53,445	-375	-0.70%	10,927	20.45%	530	11,457	21.44%	6,711	12.56%
	VAP	40,153			7,827	19.49%	148	7,975	19.86%	4,030	10.04%
014		53,527	-293	-0.54%	3,436	6.42%	324	3,760	7.02%	2,658	4.97%
	VAP	39,442			2,482	6.29%	74	2,556	6.48%	1,530	3.88%
015		53,473	-347	-0.64%	6,982	13.06%	515	7,497	14.02%	4,980	9.31%
	VAP	39,649			4,875	12.30%	114	4,989	12.58%	2,965	7.48%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
016		53,926	106	0.20%	5,762	10.69%	421	6,183	11.47%	5,275	9.78%
	VAP	39,416			4,022	10.20%	76	4,098	10.40%	2,989	7.58%
017		54,036	216	0.40%	7,427	13.74%	625	8,052	14.90%	2,553	4.72%
	VAP	37,564			4,737	12.61%	204	4,941	13.15%	1,447	3.85%
018		54,209	389	0.72%	8,460	15.61%	644	9,104	16.79%	3,243	5.98%
	VAP	40,590			6,216	15.31%	171	6,387	15.74%	2,045	5.04%
019		54,164	344	0.64%	9,875	18.23%	678	10,553	19.48%	3,119	5.76%
	VAP	38,287			6,514	17.01%	219	6,733	17.59%	1,858	4.85%
020		53,679	-141	-0.26%	3,952	7.36%	515	4,467	8.32%	4,742	8.83%
	VAP	38,519			2,661	6.91%	171	2,832	7.35%	2,952	7.66%
021		54,040	220	0.41%	2,842	5.26%	407	3,249	6.01%	5,000	9.25%
	VAP	38,275			1,851	4.84%	118	1,969	5.14%	3,078	8.04%
022		54,090	270	0.50%	1,937	3.58%	304	2,241	4.14%	4,320	7.99%
	VAP	38,675			1,318	3.41%	116	1,434	3.71%	2,747	7.10%
023		53,852	32	0.06%	3,248	6.03%	470	3,718	6.90%	7,412	13.76%
	VAP	39,892			2,195	5.50%	150	2,345	5.88%	4,660	11.68%
024		53,544	-276	-0.51%	1,503	2.81%	242	1,745	3.26%	6,490	12.12%
	VAP	37,966			988	2.60%	84	1,072	2.82%	4,107	10.82%
025		54,157	337	0.63%	1,888	3.49%	257	2,145	3.96%	3,338	6.16%
	VAP	35,375			1,247	3.53%	92	1,339	3.79%	2,066	5.84%
026		53,850	30	0.06%	736	1.37%	212	948	1.76%	3,832	7.12%
	VAP	38,105			505	1.33%	59	564	1.48%	2,347	6.16%
027		53,475	-345	-0.64%	784	1.47%	226	1,010	1.89%	3,858	7.21%
	VAP	39,856			555	1.39%	44	599	1.50%	2,187	5.49%
028		53,526	-294	-0.55%	3,776	7.05%	410	4,186	7.82%	4,665	8.72%
	VAP	40,206			2,790	6.94%	78	2,868	7.13%	2,624	6.53%
029		53,712	-108	-0.20%	4,608	8.58%	436	5,044	9.39%	18,370	34.20%
	VAP	38,911			3,059	7.86%	148	3,207	8.24%	10,832	27.84%
030		53,926	106	0.20%	6,614	12.26%	367	6,981	12.95%	20,222	37.50%
	VAP	37,499			4,955	13.21%	118	5,073	13.53%	11,672	31.13%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
031		53,585	-235	-0.44%	3,447	6.43%	387	3,834	7.15%	3,171	5.92%
	VAP	39,241			2,497	6.36%	99	2,596	6.62%	1,929	4.92%
032		54,017	197	0.37%	6,708	12.42%	399	7,107	13.16%	1,802	3.34%
	VAP	41,866			4,949	11.82%	82	5,031	12.02%	1,117	2.67%
033		53,537	-283	-0.53%	11,492	21.47%	272	11,764	21.97%	2,153	4.02%
	VAP	41,192			8,525	20.70%	94	8,619	20.92%	1,275	3.10%
034		54,162	342	0.64%	9,819	18.13%	697	10,516	19.42%	4,900	9.05%
	VAP	41,682			6,949	16.67%	317	7,266	17.43%	3,186	7.64%
035		53,394	-426	-0.79%	11,690	21.89%	730	12,420	23.26%	5,164	9.67%
	VAP	37,954			7,649	20.15%	268	7,917	20.86%	3,263	8.60%
036		54,192	372	0.69%	6,790	12.53%	357	7,147	13.19%	2,318	4.28%
	VAP	37,923			4,407	11.62%	133	4,540	11.97%	1,410	3.72%
037		54,233	413	0.77%	11,949	22.03%	700	12,649	23.32%	9,293	17.14%
	VAP	40,849			8,494	20.79%	319	8,813	21.57%	5,939	14.54%
038		53,921	101	0.19%	24,203	44.89%	981	25,184	46.71%	6,021	11.17%
	VAP	39,397			16,271	41.30%	406	16,677	42.33%	3,615	9.18%
039		54,192	372	0.69%	28,547	52.68%	924	29,471	54.38%	10,021	18.49%
	VAP	38,182			19,598	51.33%	409	20,007	52.40%	6,010	15.74%
040		53,978	158	0.29%	11,978	22.19%	684	12,662	23.46%	3,223	5.97%
	VAP	43,428			8,956	20.62%	340	9,296	21.41%	2,256	5.19%
041		54,148	328	0.61%	19,890	36.73%	1,013	20,903	38.60%	16,357	30.21%
	VAP	38,676			13,816	35.72%	472	14,288	36.94%	9,798	25.33%
042		53,894	74	0.14%	21,005	38.97%	1,198	22,203	41.20%	14,955	27.75%
	VAP	40,861			15,784	38.63%	609	16,393	40.12%	9,487	23.22%
043		53,969	149	0.28%	8,238	15.26%	525	8,763	16.24%	3,174	5.88%
	VAP	42,593			6,228	14.62%	280	6,508	15.28%	2,300	5.40%
044		53,480	-340	-0.63%	6,539	12.23%	575	7,114	13.30%	4,140	7.74%
	VAP	40,695			4,636	11.39%	216	4,852	11.92%	2,721	6.69%
045		53,969	149	0.28%	4,550	8.43%	355	4,905	9.09%	2,460	4.56%
	VAP	40,117			3,547	8.84%	170	3,717	9.27%	1,647	4.11%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
046		53,712	-108	-0.20%	3,695	6.88%	489	4,184	7.79%	4,126	7.68%
	VAP	39,868			2,628	6.59%	175	2,803	7.03%	2,715	6.81%
047		54,102	282	0.52%	4,362	8.06%	473	4,835	8.94%	2,934	5.42%
	VAP	38,241			2,977	7.78%	189	3,166	8.28%	1,933	5.05%
048		53,832	12	0.02%	6,997	13.00%	585	7,582	14.08%	13,049	24.24%
	VAP	40,207			4,882	12.14%	277	5,159	12.83%	8,433	20.97%
049		53,609	-211	-0.39%	5,368	10.01%	473	5,841	10.90%	3,993	7.45%
	VAP	38,077			3,781	9.93%	197	3,978	10.45%	2,552	6.70%
050		53,486	-334	-0.62%	5,240	9.80%	459	5,699	10.66%	2,773	5.18%
	VAP	36,917			3,406	9.23%	171	3,577	9.69%	1,768	4.79%
051		53,630	-190	-0.35%	11,461	21.37%	816	12,277	22.89%	5,150	9.60%
	VAP	41,035			8,478	20.66%	412	8,890	21.66%	3,437	8.38%
052		53,458	-362	-0.67%	6,576	12.30%	576	7,152	13.38%	8,262	15.46%
	VAP	41,106			5,215	12.69%	326	5,541	13.48%	5,325	12.95%
053		53,464	-356	-0.66%	32,853	61.45%	650	33,503	62.66%	4,502	8.42%
	VAP	39,598			23,389	59.07%	394	23,783	60.06%	2,944	7.43%
054		53,576	-244	-0.45%	6,132	11.45%	454	6,586	12.29%	4,581	8.55%
	VAP	44,566			5,448	12.22%	306	5,754	12.91%	3,405	7.64%
055		53,875	55	0.10%	37,981	70.50%	745	38,726	71.88%	1,461	2.71%
	VAP	43,575			29,830	68.46%	505	30,335	69.62%	1,154	2.65%
056		53,564	-256	-0.48%	31,024	57.92%	780	31,804	59.38%	1,832	3.42%
	VAP	46,750			25,255	54.02%	631	25,886	55.37%	1,619	3.46%
057		54,205	385	0.72%	30,771	56.77%	671	31,442	58.01%	2,798	5.16%
	VAP	45,178			24,643	54.55%	534	25,177	55.73%	2,120	4.69%
058		53,635	-185	-0.34%	32,345	60.31%	748	33,093	61.70%	2,092	3.90%
	VAP	44,854			25,212	56.21%	562	25,774	57.46%	1,733	3.86%
059		53,359	-461	-0.86%	31,251	58.57%	750	32,001	59.97%	4,451	8.34%
	VAP	41,605			23,284	55.96%	452	23,736	57.05%	2,854	6.86%
060		53,690	-130	-0.24%	36,176	67.38%	654	36,830	68.60%	9,273	17.27%
	VAP	38,177			25,056	65.63%	342	25,398	66.53%	6,061	15.88%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
061		54,224	404	0.75%	38,149	70.35%	875	39,024	71.97%	4,493	8.29%
	VAP	39,023			27,018	69.24%	402	27,420	70.27%	2,640	6.77%
062		53,740	-80	-0.15%	38,133	70.96%	954	39,087	72.73%	2,553	4.75%
	VAP	38,345			26,396	68.84%	454	26,850	70.02%	1,522	3.97%
063		53,547	-273	-0.51%	35,269	65.87%	810	36,079	67.38%	2,278	4.25%
	VAP	39,038			24,804	63.54%	398	25,202	64.56%	1,487	3.81%
064		53,952	132	0.25%	33,817	62.68%	799	34,616	64.16%	3,109	5.76%
	VAP	39,190			23,598	60.21%	406	24,004	61.25%	1,841	4.70%
065		54,298	478	0.89%	38,285	70.51%	894	39,179	72.16%	4,408	8.12%
	VAP	37,616			25,584	68.01%	425	26,009	69.14%	2,626	6.98%
066		54,130	310	0.58%	23,094	42.66%	876	23,970	44.28%	3,639	6.72%
	VAP	38,363			15,162	39.52%	338	15,500	40.40%	2,217	5.78%
067		54,230	410	0.76%	11,110	20.49%	630	11,740	21.65%	3,037	5.60%
	VAP	38,436			7,300	18.99%	230	7,530	19.59%	1,790	4.66%
068		53,699	-121	-0.22%	9,199	17.13%	695	9,894	18.42%	2,633	4.90%
	VAP	38,489			6,146	15.97%	169	6,315	16.41%	1,607	4.18%
069		54,158	338	0.63%	7,255	13.40%	470	7,725	14.26%	1,818	3.36%
	VAP	41,364			5,633	13.62%	138	5,771	13.95%	1,129	2.73%
070		54,341	521	0.97%	10,838	19.94%	542	11,380	20.94%	3,742	6.89%
	VAP	40,088			7,608	18.98%	163	7,771	19.38%	2,460	6.14%
071		54,165	345	0.64%	5,449	10.06%	407	5,856	10.81%	3,399	6.28%
	VAP	38,886			3,933	10.11%	146	4,079	10.49%	2,144	5.51%
072		53,807	-13	-0.02%	3,588	6.67%	351	3,939	7.32%	3,036	5.64%
	VAP	38,955			2,461	6.32%	113	2,574	6.61%	1,913	4.91%
073		54,027	207	0.38%	15,261	28.25%	652	15,913	29.45%	2,721	5.04%
	VAP	39,600			10,173	25.69%	204	10,377	26.20%	1,704	4.30%
074		53,401	-419	-0.78%	34,347	64.32%	850	35,197	65.91%	8,406	15.74%
	VAP	38,810			24,808	63.92%	463	25,271	65.11%	5,259	13.55%
075		53,930	110	0.20%	36,879	68.38%	1,054	37,933	70.34%	5,741	10.65%
	VAP	38,464			25,611	66.58%	483	26,094	67.84%	3,446	8.96%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
076		53,288	-532	-0.99%	34,414	64.58%	786	35,200	66.06%	4,951	9.29%
	VAP	38,157			23,894	62.62%	357	24,251	63.56%	3,019	7.91%
077		53,704	-116	-0.22%	37,203	69.27%	910	38,113	70.97%	8,525	15.87%
	VAP	37,349			25,612	68.57%	433	26,045	69.73%	5,151	13.79%
078		53,616	-204	-0.38%	31,896	59.49%	1,108	33,004	61.56%	5,790	10.80%
	VAP	38,129			21,597	56.64%	494	22,091	57.94%	3,625	9.51%
079		53,714	-106	-0.20%	7,883	14.68%	510	8,393	15.63%	7,608	14.16%
	VAP	41,179			6,289	15.27%	320	6,609	16.05%	5,275	12.81%
080		53,535	-285	-0.53%	6,054	11.31%	457	6,511	12.16%	11,065	20.67%
	VAP	43,496			5,068	11.65%	316	5,384	12.38%	7,674	17.64%
081		53,590	-230	-0.43%	5,687	10.61%	493	6,180	11.53%	20,956	39.10%
	VAP	41,186			4,247	10.31%	271	4,518	10.97%	14,601	35.45%
082		53,564	-256	-0.48%	7,969	14.88%	525	8,494	15.86%	12,180	22.74%
	VAP	43,727			6,815	15.59%	355	7,170	16.40%	8,522	19.49%
083		53,652	-168	-0.31%	33,705	62.82%	571	34,276	63.89%	1,467	2.73%
	VAP	41,089			24,998	60.84%	328	25,326	61.64%	1,023	2.49%
084		53,650	-170	-0.32%	32,938	61.39%	585	33,523	62.48%	1,284	2.39%
	VAP	41,782			24,546	58.75%	341	24,887	59.56%	907	2.17%
085		54,195	375	0.70%	32,012	59.07%	793	32,805	60.53%	1,954	3.61%
	VAP	41,110			23,561	57.31%	440	24,001	58.38%	1,360	3.31%
086		53,878	58	0.11%	33,655	62.47%	737	34,392	63.83%	2,214	4.11%
	VAP	40,880			24,798	60.66%	427	25,225	61.70%	1,490	3.64%
087		54,104	284	0.53%	36,835	68.08%	837	37,672	69.63%	3,125	5.78%
	VAP	40,610			26,585	65.46%	449	27,034	66.57%	2,190	5.39%
088		54,194	374	0.69%	34,637	63.91%	979	35,616	65.72%	6,361	11.74%
	VAP	40,173			24,669	61.41%	586	25,255	62.87%	4,198	10.45%
089		53,838	18	0.03%	34,951	64.92%	637	35,588	66.10%	1,503	2.79%
	VAP	42,011			26,139	62.22%	361	26,500	63.08%	1,071	2.55%
090		53,620	-200	-0.37%	36,611	68.28%	714	37,325	69.61%	1,408	2.63%
	VAP	39,580			26,443	66.81%	399	26,842	67.82%	864	2.18%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
091		54,022	202	0.38%	35,420	65.57%	811	36,231	67.07%	2,175	4.03%
	VAP	39,377			24,512	62.25%	415	24,927	63.30%	1,384	3.51%
092		54,205	385	0.72%	36,762	67.82%	776	37,538	69.25%	6,562	12.11%
	VAP	38,475			25,431	66.10%	381	25,812	67.09%	4,018	10.44%
093		54,333	513	0.95%	36,227	66.68%	1,104	37,331	68.71%	3,671	6.76%
	VAP	37,658			24,013	63.77%	494	24,507	65.08%	2,177	5.78%
094		53,570	-250	-0.46%	36,237	67.64%	995	37,232	69.50%	3,550	6.63%
	VAP	38,031			24,673	64.88%	481	25,154	66.14%	2,148	5.65%
095		54,289	469	0.87%	11,420	21.04%	715	12,135	22.35%	8,319	15.32%
	VAP	39,953			7,981	19.98%	367	8,348	20.89%	5,381	13.47%
096		53,962	142	0.26%	10,302	19.09%	786	11,088	20.55%	18,505	34.29%
	VAP	39,523			7,170	18.14%	352	7,522	19.03%	11,993	30.34%
097		53,821	1	0.00%	5,908	10.98%	505	6,413	11.92%	5,064	9.41%
	VAP	38,410			3,878	10.10%	189	4,067	10.59%	3,202	8.34%
098		53,755	-65	-0.12%	5,489	10.21%	448	5,937	11.04%	8,292	15.43%
	VAP	37,662			3,590	9.53%	129	3,719	9.87%	4,979	13.22%
099		53,673	-147	-0.27%	11,385	21.21%	760	12,145	22.63%	29,958	55.82%
	VAP	37,254			8,028	21.55%	352	8,380	22.49%	19,276	51.74%
100		53,679	-141	-0.26%	17,322	32.27%	1,057	18,379	34.24%	20,465	38.12%
	VAP	37,465			11,910	31.79%	452	12,362	33.00%	12,873	34.36%
101		53,747	-73	-0.14%	11,266	20.96%	721	11,987	22.30%	11,208	20.85%
	VAP	38,785			7,513	19.37%	300	7,813	20.14%	7,056	18.19%
102		53,770	-50	-0.09%	10,217	19.00%	785	11,002	20.46%	7,262	13.51%
	VAP	39,110			7,083	18.11%	353	7,436	19.01%	4,984	12.74%
103		53,539	-281	-0.52%	8,337	15.57%	585	8,922	16.66%	6,237	11.65%
	VAP	37,730			5,488	14.55%	201	5,689	15.08%	3,867	10.25%
104		53,374	-446	-0.83%	9,507	17.81%	620	10,127	18.97%	5,251	9.84%
	VAP	35,769			5,962	16.67%	218	6,180	17.28%	3,224	9.01%
105		53,718	-102	-0.19%	18,898	35.18%	810	19,708	36.69%	7,589	14.13%
	VAP	36,580			12,052	32.95%	332	12,384	33.85%	4,612	12.61%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
106		53,473	-347	-0.64%	15,161	28.35%	818	15,979	29.88%	5,451	10.19%
	VAP	38,585			9,774	25.33%	319	10,093	26.16%	3,298	8.55%
107		53,368	-452	-0.84%	12,120	22.71%	697	12,817	24.02%	10,021	18.78%
	VAP	37,377			7,826	20.94%	295	8,121	21.73%	6,104	16.33%
108		53,549	-271	-0.50%	8,812	16.46%	619	9,431	17.61%	10,315	19.26%
	VAP	39,456			5,970	15.13%	265	6,235	15.80%	6,437	16.31%
109		54,292	472	0.88%	15,597	28.73%	631	16,228	29.89%	2,087	3.84%
	VAP	38,161			10,346	27.11%	238	10,584	27.74%	1,277	3.35%
110		54,076	256	0.48%	17,050	31.53%	690	17,740	32.81%	2,540	4.70%
	VAP	38,376			11,416	29.75%	249	11,665	30.40%	1,532	3.99%
111		54,197	377	0.70%	19,709	36.37%	799	20,508	37.84%	3,494	6.45%
	VAP	38,545			13,020	33.78%	297	13,317	34.55%	2,158	5.60%
112		53,657	-163	-0.30%	11,816	22.02%	405	12,221	22.78%	1,664	3.10%
	VAP	40,120			8,436	21.03%	116	8,552	21.32%	1,010	2.52%
113		53,670	-150	-0.28%	31,651	58.97%	986	32,637	60.81%	3,129	5.83%
	VAP	37,191			20,824	55.99%	390	21,214	57.04%	1,827	4.91%
114		53,571	-249	-0.46%	8,328	15.55%	452	8,780	16.39%	3,148	5.88%
	VAP	38,119			5,386	14.13%	180	5,566	14.60%	1,883	4.94%
115		53,559	-261	-0.48%	10,507	19.62%	446	10,953	20.45%	1,482	2.77%
	VAP	39,330			7,264	18.47%	122	7,386	18.78%	897	2.28%
116		53,885	65	0.12%	5,611	10.41%	574	6,185	11.48%	4,524	8.40%
	VAP	38,935			3,872	9.94%	147	4,019	10.32%	2,691	6.91%
117		54,352	532	0.99%	9,709	17.86%	454	10,163	18.70%	4,069	7.49%
	VAP	43,102			7,216	16.74%	183	7,399	17.17%	2,663	6.18%
118		53,858	38	0.07%	18,108	33.62%	557	18,665	34.66%	8,188	15.20%
	VAP	42,287			12,713	30.06%	285	12,998	30.74%	5,013	11.85%
119		53,330	-490	-0.91%	6,265	11.75%	333	6,598	12.37%	2,297	4.31%
	VAP	43,291			4,884	11.28%	168	5,052	11.67%	1,660	3.83%
120		53,857	37	0.07%	16,605	30.83%	456	17,061	31.68%	2,780	5.16%
	VAP	42,036			12,251	29.14%	143	12,394	29.48%	1,722	4.10%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
121		54,069	249	0.46%	13,423	24.83%	666	14,089	26.06%	2,902	5.37%
	VAP	39,434			9,176	23.27%	181	9,357	23.73%	1,781	4.52%
122		53,789	-31	-0.06%	6,226	11.57%	518	6,744	12.54%	2,262	4.21%
	VAP	38,559			4,361	11.31%	184	4,545	11.79%	1,410	3.66%
123		54,305	485	0.90%	9,760	17.97%	599	10,359	19.08%	1,999	3.68%
	VAP	41,748			7,090	16.98%	212	7,302	17.49%	1,331	3.19%
124		53,886	66	0.12%	30,939	57.42%	770	31,709	58.84%	2,081	3.86%
	VAP	42,242			22,804	53.98%	373	23,177	54.87%	1,469	3.48%
125		54,151	331	0.62%	28,973	53.50%	921	29,894	55.20%	1,676	3.10%
	VAP	39,400			19,900	50.51%	339	20,239	51.37%	1,044	2.65%
126		53,613	-207	-0.38%	32,597	60.80%	823	33,420	62.34%	1,876	3.50%
	VAP	38,267			22,371	58.46%	340	22,711	59.35%	1,202	3.14%
127		53,672	-148	-0.27%	29,218	54.44%	893	30,111	56.10%	2,937	5.47%
	VAP	40,411			20,748	51.34%	418	21,166	52.38%	2,110	5.22%
128		53,559	-261	-0.48%	30,209	56.40%	285	30,494	56.94%	957	1.79%
	VAP	41,388			22,575	54.54%	106	22,681	54.80%	714	1.73%
129		53,337	-483	-0.90%	14,381	26.96%	360	14,741	27.64%	1,278	2.40%
	VAP	40,449			10,955	27.08%	109	11,064	27.35%	809	2.00%
130		53,800	-20	-0.04%	17,985	33.43%	500	18,485	34.36%	1,761	3.27%
	VAP	39,700			12,476	31.43%	197	12,673	31.92%	1,118	2.82%
131		54,163	343	0.64%	13,302	24.56%	383	13,685	25.27%	941	1.74%
	VAP	41,142			10,180	24.74%	116	10,296	25.03%	620	1.51%
132		53,756	-64	-0.12%	22,850	42.51%	611	23,461	43.64%	2,993	5.57%
	VAP	38,796			15,728	40.54%	150	15,878	40.93%	2,014	5.19%
133		53,564	-256	-0.48%	10,194	19.03%	309	10,503	19.61%	1,442	2.69%
	VAP	40,825			7,453	18.26%	104	7,557	18.51%	953	2.33%
134		53,328	-492	-0.91%	8,669	16.26%	693	9,362	17.56%	2,977	5.58%
	VAP	40,802			6,422	15.74%	262	6,684	16.38%	1,962	4.81%
135		53,588	-232	-0.43%	30,110	56.19%	1,125	31,235	58.29%	4,186	7.81%
	VAP	39,104			21,170	54.14%	443	21,613	55.27%	2,757	7.05%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
136		53,614	-206	-0.38%	30,009	55.97%	1,138	31,147	58.09%	3,646	6.80%
	VAP	39,806			21,582	54.22%	480	22,062	55.42%	2,387	6.00%
137		53,431	-389	-0.72%	28,843	53.98%	719	29,562	55.33%	1,627	3.05%
	VAP	39,957			20,679	51.75%	250	20,929	52.38%	1,047	2.62%
138		53,825	5	0.01%	21,540	40.02%	442	21,982	40.84%	3,609	6.71%
	VAP	39,760			15,006	37.74%	177	15,183	38.19%	2,328	5.86%
139		53,594	-226	-0.42%	30,419	56.76%	354	30,773	57.42%	2,853	5.32%
	VAP	41,652			23,330	56.01%	204	23,534	56.50%	1,875	4.50%
140		54,060	240	0.45%	17,710	32.76%	493	18,203	33.67%	2,454	4.54%
	VAP	41,014			12,871	31.38%	147	13,018	31.74%	1,567	3.82%
141		54,344	524	0.97%	13,277	24.43%	392	13,669	25.15%	1,274	2.34%
	VAP	41,154			9,099	22.11%	139	9,238	22.45%	833	2.02%
142		53,493	-327	-0.61%	35,250	65.90%	496	35,746	66.82%	1,517	2.84%
	VAP	38,488			24,083	62.57%	203	24,286	63.10%	942	2.45%
143		53,945	125	0.23%	33,332	61.79%	483	33,815	62.68%	1,502	2.78%
	VAP	40,592			23,357	57.54%	234	23,591	58.12%	1,014	2.50%
144		53,343	-477	-0.89%	14,930	27.99%	300	15,230	28.55%	1,376	2.58%
	VAP	40,553			11,095	27.36%	104	11,199	27.62%	877	2.16%
145		53,485	-335	-0.62%	21,392	40.00%	366	21,758	40.68%	1,251	2.34%
	VAP	42,344			15,623	36.90%	115	15,738	37.17%	862	2.04%
146		53,671	-149	-0.28%	13,251	24.69%	608	13,859	25.82%	2,228	4.15%
	VAP	38,823			9,286	23.92%	189	9,475	24.41%	1,390	3.58%
147		53,333	-487	-0.90%	15,436	28.94%	829	16,265	30.50%	4,311	8.08%
	VAP	39,589			10,438	26.37%	293	10,731	27.11%	2,733	6.90%
148		53,393	-427	-0.79%	19,030	35.64%	322	19,352	36.24%	1,831	3.43%
	VAP	40,651			13,743	33.81%	126	13,869	34.12%	1,160	2.85%
149		53,612	-208	-0.39%	17,201	32.08%	322	17,523	32.68%	3,690	6.88%
	VAP	41,813			13,187	31.54%	115	13,302	31.81%	2,916	6.97%
150		54,142	322	0.60%	18,753	34.64%	356	19,109	35.29%	1,247	2.30%
	VAP	40,188			12,988	32.32%	116	13,104	32.61%	785	1.95%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
151		54,071	251	0.47%	29,610	54.76%	313	29,923	55.34%	2,394	4.43%
	VAP	41,637			21,454	51.53%	134	21,588	51.85%	2,061	4.95%
152		53,990	170	0.32%	12,855	23.81%	284	13,139	24.34%	1,132	2.10%
	VAP	39,824			9,127	22.92%	77	9,204	23.11%	710	1.78%
153		54,116	296	0.55%	33,967	62.77%	490	34,457	63.67%	1,580	2.92%
	VAP	40,411			23,848	59.01%	205	24,053	59.52%	1,045	2.59%
154		53,972	152	0.28%	33,354	61.80%	360	33,714	62.47%	862	1.60%
	VAP	40,393			23,672	58.60%	178	23,850	59.04%	513	1.27%
155		54,020	200	0.37%	15,236	28.20%	281	15,517	28.72%	2,831	5.24%
	VAP	40,658			10,896	26.80%	83	10,979	27.00%	1,681	4.13%
156		53,637	-183	-0.34%	12,233	22.81%	319	12,552	23.40%	4,969	9.26%
	VAP	39,399			8,497	21.57%	74	8,571	21.75%	2,899	7.36%
157		54,334	514	0.96%	14,713	27.08%	424	15,137	27.86%	4,887	8.99%
	VAP	41,282			11,249	27.25%	118	11,367	27.54%	3,022	7.32%
158		53,861	41	0.08%	18,526	34.40%	315	18,841	34.98%	2,949	5.48%
	VAP	40,158			12,947	32.24%	93	13,040	32.47%	1,874	4.67%
159		53,363	-457	-0.85%	15,415	28.89%	402	15,817	29.64%	1,038	1.95%
	VAP	39,250			10,779	27.46%	127	10,906	27.79%	620	1.58%
160		53,304	-516	-0.96%	10,997	20.63%	445	11,442	21.47%	1,887	3.54%
	VAP	43,070			8,753	20.32%	216	8,969	20.82%	1,351	3.14%
161		53,931	111	0.21%	9,998	18.54%	529	10,527	19.52%	2,617	4.85%
	VAP	39,726			7,006	17.64%	179	7,185	18.09%	1,656	4.17%
162		53,981	161	0.30%	27,992	51.86%	803	28,795	53.34%	5,485	10.16%
	VAP	39,859			19,383	48.63%	336	19,719	49.47%	3,728	9.35%
163		53,520	-300	-0.56%	28,079	52.46%	530	28,609	53.45%	1,758	3.28%
	VAP	42,793			20,228	47.27%	321	20,549	48.02%	1,475	3.45%
164		53,429	-391	-0.73%	13,204	24.71%	1,021	14,225	26.62%	4,381	8.20%
	VAP	37,716			8,909	23.62%	333	9,242	24.50%	2,632	6.98%
165		54,179	359	0.67%	29,554	54.55%	613	30,167	55.68%	1,784	3.29%
	VAP	41,654			21,464	51.53%	271	21,735	52.18%	1,231	2.96%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
166		54,210	390	0.72%	5,698	10.51%	360	6,058	11.18%	1,687	3.11%
	VAP	43,386			4,318	9.95%	146	4,464	10.29%	1,146	2.64%
167		54,342	522	0.97%	12,046	22.17%	583	12,629	23.24%	3,335	6.14%
	VAP	40,594			8,684	21.39%	198	8,882	21.88%	2,006	4.94%
168		54,032	212	0.39%	24,420	45.20%	1,531	25,951	48.03%	4,881	9.03%
	VAP	38,351			16,808	43.83%	556	17,364	45.28%	2,968	7.74%
169		53,975	155	0.29%	12,335	22.85%	390	12,725	23.58%	5,644	10.46%
	VAP	39,756			8,811	22.16%	105	8,916	22.43%	3,339	8.40%
170		53,301	-519	-0.96%	12,065	22.64%	311	12,376	23.22%	3,742	7.02%
	VAP	39,206			8,174	20.85%	87	8,261	21.07%	2,166	5.52%
171		54,189	369	0.69%	21,032	38.81%	261	21,293	39.29%	3,444	6.36%
	VAP	40,274			14,885	36.96%	87	14,972	37.18%	2,118	5.26%
172		53,287	-533	-0.99%	15,471	29.03%	309	15,780	29.61%	7,770	14.58%
	VAP	38,475			10,638	27.65%	108	10,746	27.93%	4,659	12.11%
173		54,287	467	0.87%	19,776	36.43%	330	20,106	37.04%	3,674	6.77%
	VAP	40,561			14,138	34.86%	133	14,271	35.18%	2,291	5.65%
174		54,123	303	0.56%	12,704	23.47%	537	13,241	24.46%	3,582	6.62%
	VAP	39,946			8,967	22.45%	150	9,117	22.82%	2,218	5.55%
175		53,794	-26	-0.05%	14,166	26.33%	261	14,427	26.82%	2,008	3.73%
	VAP	41,150			10,447	25.39%	112	10,559	25.66%	1,306	3.17%
176		54,258	438	0.81%	12,824	23.64%	522	13,346	24.60%	4,158	7.66%
	VAP	40,023			9,456	23.63%	169	9,625	24.05%	2,494	6.23%
177		54,030	210	0.39%	29,498	54.60%	622	30,120	55.75%	2,269	4.20%
	VAP	41,506			20,869	50.28%	292	21,161	50.98%	1,626	3.92%
178		53,463	-357	-0.66%	4,529	8.47%	273	4,802	8.98%	2,402	4.49%
	VAP	40,080			3,528	8.80%	80	3,608	9.00%	1,586	3.96%
179		54,081	261	0.48%	17,476	32.31%	512	17,988	33.26%	3,796	7.02%
	VAP	41,101			12,003	29.20%	184	12,187	29.65%	2,455	5.97%
180		53,321	-499	-0.93%	10,316	19.35%	728	11,044	20.71%	2,504	4.70%
	VAP	39,225			7,131	18.18%	209	7,340	18.71%	1,577	4.02%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **hseprop1** Plan Type : **House** User: **staff** Administrator: **H167**

DISTRICT	POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP

Total Population: 9,687,653

Ideal Value: 53,820

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 53,287 to 54,352

Absolute Overall Range: 1,065

Relative Range: -0.99% to 0.99%

Relative Overall Range: 1.98%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Proposed House Districts

Client: H084
Plan: Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)
Type: House

Dem Map



Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Office

Map layers
Districts
County
0 20 40 60
Miles

Proposed House Districts

Client: HC84
Plan: Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)
Type: House



Proposed House Districts

Client: H084
Plan: Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)
Type: House





Proposed House Districts

Client: H084
Plan: Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)
Type: House





Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
001		54,246	426	0.79%	1,896	3.50%	384	2,280	4.20%	1,064	1.96%
	VAP	41,428			1,414	3.41%	84	1,498	3.62%	652	1.57%
002		54,214	394	0.73%	1,804	3.33%	333	2,137	3.94%	1,001	1.85%
	VAP	41,622			1,302	3.13%	59	1,361	3.27%	601	1.44%
003		54,274	454	0.84%	974	1.79%	256	1,230	2.27%	2,916	5.37%
	VAP	40,316			707	1.75%	53	760	1.89%	1,643	4.08%
004		54,351	531	0.99%	2,650	4.88%	434	3,084	5.67%	24,739	45.52%
	VAP	37,950			1,982	5.22%	132	2,114	5.57%	14,410	37.97%
005		54,303	483	0.90%	1,750	3.22%	376	2,126	3.92%	8,614	15.86%
	VAP	39,712			1,309	3.30%	115	1,424	3.59%	5,055	12.73%
006		54,290	470	0.87%	1,006	1.85%	267	1,273	2.34%	8,944	16.47%
	VAP	39,572			755	1.91%	64	819	2.07%	5,006	12.65%
007		53,587	-233	-0.43%	220	0.41%	109	329	0.61%	3,139	5.86%
	VAP	42,619			145	0.34%	35	180	0.42%	1,874	4.40%
008		54,325	505	0.94%	368	0.68%	104	472	0.87%	2,087	3.84%
	VAP	44,419			256	0.58%	40	296	0.67%	1,357	3.05%
009		54,162	342	0.64%	479	0.88%	219	698	1.29%	2,687	4.96%
	VAP	42,189			387	0.92%	78	465	1.10%	1,683	3.99%
010		54,342	522	0.97%	1,558	2.87%	260	1,818	3.35%	5,609	10.32%
	VAP	41,258			1,318	3.19%	54	1,372	3.33%	3,156	7.65%
011		53,858	38	0.07%	5,285	9.81%	349	5,634	10.46%	2,630	4.88%
	VAP	41,568			4,338	10.44%	89	4,427	10.65%	1,545	3.72%
012		54,325	505	0.94%	739	1.36%	185	924	1.70%	1,978	3.64%
	VAP	41,259			557	1.35%	35	592	1.43%	1,173	2.84%
013		54,031	211	0.39%	10,400	19.25%	583	10,983	20.33%	7,064	13.07%
	VAP	40,551			7,248	17.87%	155	7,403	18.26%	4,249	10.48%
014		53,534	-286	-0.53%	3,815	7.13%	359	4,174	7.80%	2,583	4.82%
	VAP	39,031			2,718	6.96%	85	2,803	7.18%	1,483	3.80%
015		54,015	195	0.36%	6,875	12.73%	512	7,387	13.68%	5,171	9.57%
	VAP	40,069			4,781	11.93%	110	4,891	12.21%	3,075	7.67%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hsepropisub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
016		53,689	-131	-0.24%	5,592	10.42%	401	5,993	11.16%	5,186	9.66%
	VAP	39,606			3,945	9.96%	69	4,014	10.13%	2,951	7.45%
017		53,570	-250	-0.46%	6,917	12.91%	607	7,524	14.05%	2,862	5.34%
	VAP	36,967			4,407	11.92%	191	4,598	12.44%	1,645	4.45%
018		54,214	394	0.73%	6,180	11.40%	559	6,739	12.43%	1,732	3.19%
	VAP	38,833			4,122	10.61%	186	4,308	11.09%	990	2.55%
019		53,493	-327	-0.61%	11,020	20.60%	777	11,797	22.05%	4,086	7.64%
	VAP	40,809			8,307	20.36%	251	8,558	20.97%	2,657	6.51%
020		53,595	-225	-0.42%	12,329	23.00%	701	13,030	24.31%	2,897	5.41%
	VAP	37,708			8,114	21.52%	243	8,357	22.16%	1,731	4.59%
021		53,321	-499	-0.93%	3,689	6.92%	460	4,149	7.78%	6,298	11.81%
	VAP	38,793			2,462	6.35%	139	2,601	6.70%	3,955	10.20%
022		53,773	-47	-0.09%	2,250	4.18%	382	2,632	4.89%	4,536	8.44%
	VAP	38,709			1,513	3.91%	131	1,644	4.25%	2,837	7.33%
023		54,090	270	0.50%	3,351	6.20%	396	3,747	6.93%	3,228	5.97%
	VAP	37,700			2,254	5.98%	151	2,405	6.38%	2,081	5.52%
024		54,298	478	0.89%	3,058	5.63%	482	3,540	6.52%	6,014	11.08%
	VAP	38,516			2,005	5.21%	147	2,152	5.59%	3,709	9.63%
025		53,445	-375	-0.70%	1,533	2.87%	244	1,777	3.32%	6,596	12.34%
	VAP	37,684			1,018	2.70%	84	1,102	2.92%	4,166	11.06%
026		54,311	491	0.91%	2,784	5.13%	323	3,107	5.72%	5,208	9.59%
	VAP	37,333			1,823	4.88%	114	1,937	5.19%	3,204	8.58%
027		54,331	511	0.95%	1,951	3.59%	266	2,217	4.08%	4,639	8.54%
	VAP	36,505			1,305	3.57%	108	1,413	3.87%	2,913	7.98%
028		54,354	534	0.99%	4,371	8.04%	522	4,893	9.00%	3,761	6.92%
	VAP	39,671			3,128	7.88%	136	3,264	8.23%	2,245	5.66%
029		53,930	110	0.20%	3,005	5.57%	302	3,307	6.13%	6,938	12.86%
	VAP	39,716			2,054	5.17%	94	2,148	5.41%	4,204	10.59%
030		54,357	537	1.00%	7,411	13.63%	469	7,880	14.50%	25,483	46.88%
	VAP	37,749			5,378	14.25%	162	5,540	14.68%	14,835	39.30%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
031		53,617	-203	-0.38%	885	1.65%	198	1,083	2.02%	6,544	12.21%
	VAP	39,676			596	1.50%	42	638	1.61%	3,844	9.69%
032		54,030	210	0.39%	3,411	6.31%	407	3,818	7.07%	1,931	3.57%
	VAP	41,034			2,546	6.20%	68	2,614	6.37%	1,092	2.66%
033		54,336	516	0.96%	6,835	12.58%	405	7,240	13.32%	1,987	3.66%
	VAP	41,959			5,063	12.07%	84	5,147	12.27%	1,230	2.93%
034		54,352	532	0.99%	8,612	15.84%	299	8,911	16.39%	2,560	4.71%
	VAP	41,165			6,268	15.23%	93	6,361	15.45%	1,498	3.64%
035		54,359	539	1.00%	3,764	6.92%	334	4,098	7.54%	10,416	19.16%
	VAP	39,048			2,699	6.91%	78	2,777	7.11%	5,923	15.17%
036		53,702	-118	-0.22%	10,777	20.07%	710	11,487	21.39%	5,830	10.86%
	VAP	39,202			7,254	18.50%	290	7,544	19.24%	3,616	9.22%
037		53,297	-523	-0.97%	24,432	45.84%	980	25,412	47.68%	5,359	10.05%
	VAP	38,864			16,364	42.11%	409	16,773	43.16%	3,234	8.32%
038		53,401	-419	-0.78%	13,947	26.12%	834	14,781	27.68%	8,708	16.31%
	VAP	40,544			9,951	24.54%	374	10,325	25.47%	5,420	13.37%
039		53,806	-14	-0.03%	12,482	23.20%	807	13,289	24.70%	5,891	10.95%
	VAP	38,702			8,182	21.14%	301	8,483	21.92%	3,723	9.62%
040		53,955	135	0.25%	6,463	11.98%	328	6,791	12.59%	1,933	3.58%
	VAP	37,708			4,168	11.05%	115	4,283	11.36%	1,171	3.11%
041		53,328	-492	-0.91%	18,374	34.45%	888	19,262	36.12%	13,167	24.69%
	VAP	38,407			12,771	33.25%	412	13,183	34.32%	7,891	20.55%
042		54,231	411	0.76%	11,145	20.55%	736	11,881	21.91%	8,033	14.81%
	VAP	42,887			8,325	19.41%	385	8,710	20.31%	5,264	12.27%
043		53,351	-469	-0.87%	28,094	52.66%	903	28,997	54.35%	10,208	19.13%
	VAP	37,669			19,206	50.99%	397	19,603	52.04%	6,088	16.16%
044		53,357	-463	-0.86%	20,693	38.78%	1,143	21,836	40.92%	13,634	25.55%
	VAP	41,040			15,625	38.07%	604	16,229	39.54%	8,798	21.44%
045		54,319	499	0.93%	7,413	13.65%	527	7,940	14.62%	3,384	6.23%
	VAP	42,231			5,453	12.91%	244	5,697	13.49%	2,369	5.61%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
046		54,257	437	0.81%	5,188	9.56%	509	5,697	10.50%	3,890	7.17%
	VAP	40,506			3,637	8.98%	165	3,802	9.39%	2,521	6.22%
047		54,177	357	0.66%	3,877	7.16%	487	4,364	8.06%	4,130	7.62%
	VAP	39,925			2,720	6.81%	174	2,894	7.25%	2,672	6.69%
048		53,472	-348	-0.65%	29,834	55.79%	742	30,576	57.18%	3,339	6.24%
	VAP	41,812			22,062	52.76%	440	22,502	53.82%	2,351	5.62%
049		54,116	296	0.55%	11,906	22.00%	723	12,629	23.34%	4,618	8.53%
	VAP	41,323			9,270	22.43%	429	9,699	23.47%	3,103	7.51%
050		54,351	531	0.99%	2,222	4.09%	261	2,483	4.57%	2,213	4.07%
	VAP	40,131			1,561	3.89%	102	1,663	4.14%	1,462	3.64%
051		53,392	-428	-0.80%	6,521	12.21%	545	7,066	13.23%	8,211	15.38%
	VAP	37,970			4,487	11.82%	225	4,712	12.41%	5,246	13.82%
052		54,135	315	0.59%	5,190	9.59%	501	5,691	10.51%	4,929	9.11%
	VAP	41,012			3,742	9.12%	223	3,965	9.67%	3,342	8.15%
053		54,353	533	0.99%	7,396	13.61%	575	7,971	14.67%	7,575	13.94%
	VAP	40,240			5,182	12.88%	274	5,456	13.56%	4,818	11.97%
054		54,344	524	0.97%	5,475	10.07%	492	5,967	10.98%	2,891	5.32%
	VAP	36,871			3,545	9.61%	167	3,712	10.07%	1,814	4.92%
055		54,350	530	0.98%	6,898	12.69%	515	7,413	13.64%	4,959	9.12%
	VAP	39,587			4,836	12.22%	242	5,078	12.83%	3,261	8.24%
056		54,152	332	0.62%	28,625	52.86%	544	29,169	53.87%	2,946	5.44%
	VAP	40,183			20,985	52.22%	325	21,310	53.03%	1,900	4.73%
057		53,360	-460	-0.85%	5,484	10.28%	515	5,999	11.24%	7,477	14.01%
	VAP	42,194			4,589	10.88%	321	4,910	11.64%	4,936	11.70%
058		53,431	-389	-0.72%	29,725	55.63%	655	30,380	56.86%	2,065	3.86%
	VAP	43,989			23,671	53.81%	510	24,181	54.97%	1,615	3.67%
059		53,697	-123	-0.23%	23,074	42.97%	783	23,857	44.43%	2,253	4.20%
	VAP	48,321			19,463	40.28%	661	20,124	41.65%	2,024	4.19%
060		53,778	-42	-0.08%	6,818	12.68%	485	7,303	13.58%	9,388	17.46%
	VAP	45,341			6,027	13.29%	326	6,353	14.01%	6,661	14.69%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
061		53,554	-266	-0.49%	30,176	56.35%	677	30,853	57.61%	3,214	6.00%
	VAP	43,165			22,727	52.65%	404	23,131	53.59%	2,476	5.74%
062		53,746	-74	-0.14%	30,428	56.61%	873	31,301	58.24%	2,180	4.06%
	VAP	45,725			24,023	52.54%	674	24,697	54.01%	1,894	4.14%
063		53,413	-407	-0.76%	34,101	63.84%	600	34,701	64.97%	10,428	19.52%
	VAP	38,356			24,098	62.83%	304	24,402	63.62%	6,617	17.25%
064		53,606	-214	-0.40%	44,173	82.40%	773	44,946	83.85%	3,277	6.11%
	VAP	39,922			32,547	81.53%	447	32,994	82.65%	2,083	5.22%
065		53,551	-269	-0.50%	44,438	82.98%	799	45,237	84.47%	4,157	7.76%
	VAP	38,519			31,832	82.64%	456	32,288	83.82%	2,474	6.42%
066		53,374	-446	-0.83%	35,971	67.39%	934	36,905	69.14%	2,257	4.23%
	VAP	37,604			24,679	65.63%	427	25,106	66.76%	1,344	3.57%
067		53,319	-501	-0.93%	33,682	63.17%	832	34,514	64.73%	5,076	9.52%
	VAP	38,647			24,023	62.16%	337	24,360	63.03%	2,944	7.62%
068		53,703	-117	-0.22%	40,970	76.29%	909	41,879	77.98%	2,913	5.42%
	VAP	37,827			27,993	74.00%	460	28,453	75.22%	1,746	4.62%
069		53,388	-432	-0.80%	36,884	69.09%	740	37,624	70.47%	2,666	4.99%
	VAP	38,113			25,782	67.65%	361	26,143	68.59%	1,628	4.27%
070		53,515	-305	-0.57%	18,884	35.29%	734	19,618	36.66%	3,837	7.17%
	VAP	38,975			12,634	32.42%	281	12,915	33.14%	2,347	6.02%
071		53,828	8	0.01%	8,759	16.27%	636	9,395	17.45%	2,426	4.51%
	VAP	38,777			5,818	15.00%	160	5,978	15.42%	1,455	3.75%
072		54,096	276	0.51%	5,438	10.05%	424	5,862	10.84%	1,635	3.02%
	VAP	40,207			3,983	9.91%	79	4,062	10.10%	933	2.32%
073		53,327	-493	-0.92%	15,077	28.27%	644	15,721	29.48%	4,567	8.56%
	VAP	38,839			10,337	26.62%	208	10,545	27.15%	3,119	8.03%
074		53,361	-459	-0.85%	4,856	9.10%	337	5,193	9.73%	3,148	5.90%
	VAP	38,600			3,500	9.07%	107	3,607	9.34%	2,002	5.19%
075		53,334	-486	-0.90%	4,674	8.76%	417	5,091	9.55%	3,116	5.84%
	VAP	38,667			3,211	8.30%	151	3,362	8.69%	1,970	5.09%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
076		53,458	-362	-0.67%	13,110	24.52%	576	13,686	25.60%	2,531	4.73%
	VAP	38,974			8,759	22.47%	208	8,967	23.01%	1,574	4.04%
077		53,630	-190	-0.35%	31,692	59.09%	821	32,513	60.62%	4,234	7.89%
	VAP	39,543			22,857	57.80%	426	23,283	58.88%	2,537	6.42%
078		53,332	-488	-0.91%	29,904	56.07%	903	30,807	57.76%	11,197	20.99%
	VAP	37,738			20,780	55.06%	438	21,218	56.22%	6,902	18.29%
079		53,288	-532	-0.99%	35,236	66.12%	1,003	36,239	68.01%	5,822	10.93%
	VAP	37,973			24,476	64.46%	477	24,953	65.71%	3,543	9.33%
080		53,449	-371	-0.69%	37,155	69.51%	971	38,126	71.33%	3,773	7.06%
	VAP	37,889			25,303	66.78%	429	25,732	67.91%	2,334	6.16%
081		54,186	366	0.68%	34,045	62.83%	938	34,983	64.56%	5,481	10.12%
	VAP	39,184			23,540	60.08%	430	23,970	61.17%	3,386	8.64%
082		53,643	-177	-0.33%	6,426	11.98%	442	6,868	12.80%	4,006	7.47%
	VAP	43,177			5,219	12.09%	280	5,499	12.74%	2,856	6.61%
083		54,260	440	0.82%	9,108	16.79%	579	9,687	17.85%	21,750	40.08%
	VAP	42,530			7,245	17.04%	363	7,608	17.89%	15,346	36.08%
084		53,785	-35	-0.07%	9,820	18.26%	671	10,491	19.51%	13,082	24.32%
	VAP	41,843			7,673	18.34%	382	8,055	19.25%	8,863	21.18%
085		53,332	-488	-0.91%	5,733	10.75%	476	6,209	11.64%	10,266	19.25%
	VAP	44,186			4,837	10.95%	325	5,162	11.68%	7,108	16.09%
086		53,287	-533	-0.99%	35,159	65.98%	587	35,746	67.08%	1,031	1.93%
	VAP	41,253			26,654	64.61%	350	27,004	65.46%	738	1.79%
087		53,409	-411	-0.76%	28,878	54.07%	685	29,563	55.35%	1,664	3.12%
	VAP	41,504			21,630	52.12%	394	22,024	53.06%	1,121	2.70%
088		54,255	435	0.81%	29,308	54.02%	819	30,127	55.53%	2,530	4.66%
	VAP	40,833			21,469	52.58%	455	21,924	53.69%	1,755	4.30%
089		53,645	-175	-0.33%	33,185	61.86%	928	34,113	63.59%	3,847	7.17%
	VAP	40,035			23,447	58.57%	508	23,955	59.84%	2,653	6.63%
090		54,224	404	0.75%	39,446	72.75%	1,101	40,547	74.78%	3,578	6.60%
	VAP	38,437			26,964	70.15%	517	27,481	71.50%	2,137	5.56%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
091		53,577	-243	-0.45%	49,854	93.05%	859	50,713	94.65%	1,388	2.59%
	VAP	38,316			35,415	92.43%	498	35,913	93.73%	886	2.31%
092		53,310	-510	-0.95%	36,751	68.94%	924	37,675	70.67%	3,211	6.02%
	VAP	38,034			25,514	67.08%	417	25,931	68.18%	1,938	5.10%
093		53,920	100	0.19%	32,312	59.93%	670	32,982	61.17%	1,792	3.32%
	VAP	39,469			23,153	58.66%	314	23,467	59.46%	1,077	2.73%
094		53,816	-4	-0.01%	36,535	67.89%	674	37,209	69.14%	1,310	2.43%
	VAP	39,920			26,332	65.96%	352	26,684	66.84%	835	2.09%
095		53,367	-453	-0.84%	42,533	79.70%	803	43,336	81.20%	1,823	3.42%
	VAP	38,399			29,757	77.49%	466	30,223	78.71%	1,123	2.92%
096		53,415	-405	-0.75%	35,359	66.20%	803	36,162	67.70%	6,650	12.45%
	VAP	37,508			23,948	63.85%	406	24,354	64.93%	4,110	10.96%
097		53,908	88	0.16%	31,997	59.35%	941	32,938	61.10%	3,107	5.76%
	VAP	37,677			21,178	56.21%	374	21,552	57.20%	1,844	4.89%
098		53,768	-52	-0.10%	12,681	23.58%	902	13,583	25.26%	23,213	43.17%
	VAP	38,475			8,882	23.09%	442	9,324	24.23%	14,891	38.70%
099		54,266	446	0.83%	8,444	15.56%	572	9,016	16.61%	6,563	12.09%
	VAP	39,950			5,635	14.11%	227	5,862	14.67%	4,306	10.78%
100		53,316	-504	-0.94%	6,714	12.59%	560	7,274	13.64%	8,240	15.46%
	VAP	37,158			4,393	11.82%	164	4,557	12.26%	5,011	13.49%
101		53,927	107	0.20%	12,414	23.02%	776	13,190	24.46%	30,035	55.70%
	VAP	37,412			8,840	23.63%	368	9,208	24.61%	19,281	51.54%
102		53,320	-500	-0.93%	16,885	31.67%	1,066	17,951	33.67%	21,992	41.25%
	VAP	37,024			11,620	31.39%	461	12,081	32.63%	13,869	37.46%
103		54,240	420	0.78%	10,090	18.60%	749	10,839	19.98%	8,362	15.42%
	VAP	38,901			6,689	17.19%	329	7,018	18.04%	5,306	13.64%
104		53,863	43	0.08%	8,001	14.85%	613	8,614	15.99%	8,193	15.21%
	VAP	40,021			5,291	13.22%	241	5,532	13.82%	5,123	12.80%
105		54,342	522	0.97%	12,749	23.46%	779	13,528	24.89%	8,687	15.99%
	VAP	39,342			8,720	22.16%	333	9,053	23.01%	5,726	14.55%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
106		53,772	-48	-0.09%	13,024	24.22%	744	13,768	25.60%	11,188	20.81%
	VAP	38,004			8,527	22.44%	332	8,859	23.31%	6,919	18.21%
107		54,335	515	0.96%	17,232	31.71%	839	18,071	33.26%	10,425	19.19%
	VAP	37,582			11,041	29.38%	320	11,361	30.23%	6,448	17.16%
108		54,343	523	0.97%	10,766	19.81%	658	11,424	21.02%	4,711	8.67%
	VAP	36,938			6,865	18.59%	247	7,112	19.25%	2,901	7.85%
109		53,654	-166	-0.31%	19,211	35.81%	959	20,170	37.59%	4,495	8.38%
	VAP	38,905			12,395	31.86%	412	12,807	32.92%	2,718	6.99%
110		54,359	539	1.00%	10,710	19.70%	571	11,281	20.75%	4,024	7.40%
	VAP	38,698			6,857	17.72%	195	7,052	18.22%	2,353	6.08%
111		54,337	517	0.96%	7,072	13.02%	574	7,646	14.07%	4,512	8.30%
	VAP	38,065			4,685	12.31%	173	4,858	12.76%	2,748	7.22%
112		54,016	196	0.36%	17,218	31.88%	755	17,973	33.27%	2,920	5.41%
	VAP	38,325			11,288	29.45%	279	11,567	30.18%	1,818	4.74%
113		54,343	523	0.97%	15,432	28.40%	598	16,030	29.50%	2,469	4.54%
	VAP	39,898			10,345	25.93%	225	10,570	26.49%	1,535	3.85%
114		54,032	212	0.39%	17,723	32.80%	714	18,437	34.12%	2,689	4.98%
	VAP	37,825			11,823	31.26%	270	12,093	31.97%	1,606	4.25%
115		54,355	535	0.99%	10,202	18.77%	488	10,690	19.67%	2,507	4.61%
	VAP	39,273			7,013	17.86%	141	7,154	18.22%	1,475	3.76%
116		54,235	415	0.77%	13,927	25.68%	496	14,423	26.59%	1,894	3.49%
	VAP	39,525			9,659	24.44%	153	9,812	24.82%	1,117	2.83%
117		54,265	445	0.83%	5,698	10.50%	373	6,071	11.19%	2,427	4.47%
	VAP	40,443			4,326	10.70%	108	4,434	10.96%	1,475	3.65%
118		54,173	353	0.66%	20,238	37.36%	583	20,821	38.43%	8,871	16.38%
	VAP	42,118			14,393	34.17%	279	14,672	34.84%	5,445	12.93%
119		54,261	441	0.82%	8,868	16.34%	439	9,307	17.15%	2,748	5.06%
	VAP	47,275			6,642	14.05%	257	6,899	14.59%	2,114	4.47%
120		54,249	429	0.80%	16,136	29.74%	342	16,478	30.37%	2,454	4.52%
	VAP	42,207			11,939	28.29%	108	12,047	28.54%	1,506	3.57%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
121		53,840	20	0.04%	12,800	23.77%	334	13,134	24.39%	1,258	2.34%
	VAP	40,066			9,276	23.15%	98	9,374	23.40%	742	1.85%
122		54,198	378	0.70%	9,972	18.40%	870	10,842	20.00%	3,715	6.85%
	VAP	38,868			6,732	17.32%	267	6,999	18.01%	2,308	5.94%
123		53,311	-509	-0.95%	7,297	13.69%	539	7,836	14.70%	2,146	4.03%
	VAP	40,136			5,143	12.81%	184	5,327	13.27%	1,414	3.52%
124		53,595	-225	-0.42%	30,277	56.49%	859	31,136	58.09%	2,359	4.40%
	VAP	40,884			21,680	53.03%	405	22,085	54.02%	1,621	3.96%
125		53,636	-184	-0.34%	29,897	55.74%	761	30,658	57.16%	1,563	2.91%
	VAP	41,611			21,671	52.08%	339	22,010	52.89%	1,118	2.69%
126		53,685	-135	-0.25%	29,160	54.32%	951	30,111	56.09%	1,786	3.33%
	VAP	39,074			20,209	51.72%	349	20,558	52.61%	1,115	2.85%
127		54,040	220	0.41%	28,713	53.13%	860	29,573	54.72%	2,745	5.08%
	VAP	39,299			19,807	50.40%	396	20,203	51.41%	1,935	4.92%
128		53,361	-459	-0.85%	25,468	47.73%	334	25,802	48.35%	1,037	1.94%
	VAP	42,738			19,100	44.69%	125	19,225	44.98%	782	1.83%
129		53,399	-421	-0.78%	11,909	22.30%	381	12,290	23.02%	1,492	2.79%
	VAP	39,855			8,735	21.92%	103	8,838	22.18%	916	2.30%
130		53,504	-316	-0.59%	20,478	38.27%	471	20,949	39.15%	1,950	3.64%
	VAP	40,349			14,693	36.41%	159	14,852	36.81%	1,325	3.28%
131		53,567	-253	-0.47%	13,584	25.36%	370	13,954	26.05%	928	1.73%
	VAP	40,692			10,416	25.60%	114	10,530	25.88%	611	1.50%
132		53,524	-296	-0.55%	23,554	44.01%	517	24,071	44.97%	1,634	3.05%
	VAP	39,452			16,502	41.83%	115	16,617	42.12%	1,026	2.60%
133		53,336	-484	-0.90%	9,871	18.51%	275	10,146	19.02%	1,403	2.63%
	VAP	40,526			7,130	17.59%	93	7,223	17.82%	923	2.28%
134		53,518	-302	-0.56%	23,507	43.92%	839	24,346	45.49%	3,371	6.30%
	VAP	40,004			17,127	42.81%	348	17,475	43.68%	2,242	5.60%
135		53,836	16	0.03%	8,694	16.15%	664	9,358	17.38%	3,191	5.93%
	VAP	40,744			6,343	15.57%	226	6,569	16.12%	2,106	5.17%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
136		53,615	-205	-0.38%	31,359	58.49%	1,048	32,407	60.44%	3,324	6.20%
	VAP	40,083			22,496	56.12%	437	22,933	57.21%	2,230	5.56%
137		54,336	516	0.96%	30,920	56.91%	1,224	32,144	59.16%	3,880	7.14%
	VAP	39,505			21,735	55.02%	483	22,218	56.24%	2,472	6.26%
138		53,634	-186	-0.35%	30,433	56.74%	354	30,787	57.40%	2,853	5.32%
	VAP	41,673			23,336	56.00%	204	23,540	56.49%	1,875	4.50%
139		54,140	320	0.59%	10,705	19.77%	496	11,201	20.69%	2,187	4.04%
	VAP	40,780			7,697	18.87%	153	7,850	19.25%	1,386	3.40%
140		53,365	-455	-0.85%	12,363	23.17%	334	12,697	23.79%	1,233	2.31%
	VAP	40,898			8,832	21.60%	128	8,960	21.91%	818	2.00%
141		53,336	-484	-0.90%	36,691	68.79%	543	37,234	69.81%	1,555	2.92%
	VAP	37,789			24,696	65.35%	222	24,918	65.94%	952	2.52%
142		54,347	527	0.98%	33,338	61.34%	484	33,822	62.23%	1,452	2.67%
	VAP	41,134			23,482	57.09%	229	23,711	57.64%	992	2.41%
143		53,880	60	0.11%	17,176	31.88%	312	17,488	32.46%	1,100	2.04%
	VAP	41,027			12,724	31.01%	92	12,816	31.24%	714	1.74%
144		53,361	-459	-0.85%	27,950	52.38%	322	28,272	52.98%	1,128	2.11%
	VAP	40,205			20,307	50.51%	118	20,425	50.80%	767	1.91%
145		53,609	-211	-0.39%	20,041	37.38%	359	20,400	38.05%	1,540	2.87%
	VAP	39,843			13,919	34.93%	115	14,034	35.22%	983	2.47%
146		53,310	-510	-0.95%	13,388	25.11%	324	13,712	25.72%	1,753	3.29%
	VAP	41,035			10,158	24.75%	95	10,253	24.99%	1,102	2.69%
147		53,336	-484	-0.90%	20,171	37.82%	910	21,081	39.52%	4,816	9.03%
	VAP	39,265			13,643	34.75%	306	13,949	35.53%	3,054	7.78%
148		53,936	116	0.22%	12,854	23.83%	536	13,390	24.83%	2,218	4.11%
	VAP	39,123			9,079	23.21%	177	9,256	23.66%	1,380	3.53%
149		53,385	-435	-0.81%	20,496	38.39%	282	20,778	38.92%	1,736	3.25%
	VAP	40,612			14,717	36.24%	126	14,843	36.55%	1,126	2.77%
150		53,819	-1	0.00%	24,289	45.13%	330	24,619	45.74%	3,781	7.03%
	VAP	40,579			17,175	42.32%	126	17,301	42.64%	2,888	7.12%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
151		53,832	12	0.02%	27,104	50.35%	302	27,406	50.91%	1,048	1.95%
	VAP	41,205			19,547	47.44%	131	19,678	47.76%	687	1.67%
152		53,733	-87	-0.16%	34,211	63.67%	321	34,532	64.27%	928	1.73%
	VAP	39,913			24,200	60.63%	158	24,358	61.03%	574	1.44%
153		54,240	420	0.78%	34,991	64.51%	528	35,519	65.48%	1,603	2.96%
	VAP	40,285			24,552	60.95%	224	24,776	61.50%	1,047	2.60%
154		54,209	389	0.72%	10,552	19.47%	283	10,835	19.99%	2,517	4.64%
	VAP	39,385			7,253	18.42%	71	7,324	18.60%	1,489	3.78%
155		53,485	-335	-0.62%	15,579	29.13%	308	15,887	29.70%	4,725	8.83%
	VAP	39,750			10,899	27.42%	96	10,995	27.66%	2,756	6.93%
156		53,421	-399	-0.74%	17,623	32.99%	316	17,939	33.58%	3,834	7.18%
	VAP	41,177			13,224	32.12%	123	13,347	32.41%	2,915	7.08%
157		53,741	-79	-0.15%	15,315	28.50%	334	15,649	29.12%	6,015	11.19%
	VAP	40,513			11,416	28.18%	88	11,504	28.40%	3,658	9.03%
158		54,141	321	0.60%	16,687	30.82%	291	16,978	31.36%	3,390	6.26%
	VAP	41,031			11,856	28.90%	95	11,951	29.13%	2,153	5.25%
159		53,492	-328	-0.61%	15,806	29.55%	408	16,214	30.31%	1,304	2.44%
	VAP	39,189			10,971	28.00%	124	11,095	28.31%	780	1.99%
160		54,085	265	0.49%	13,488	24.94%	609	14,097	26.06%	1,988	3.68%
	VAP	42,744			10,381	24.29%	266	10,647	24.91%	1,366	3.20%
161		53,552	-268	-0.50%	9,695	18.10%	501	10,196	19.04%	2,467	4.61%
	VAP	39,404			6,782	17.21%	173	6,955	17.65%	1,582	4.01%
162		53,764	-56	-0.10%	27,390	50.94%	681	28,071	52.21%	4,612	8.58%
	VAP	41,134			19,006	46.21%	356	19,362	47.07%	3,288	7.99%
163		53,623	-197	-0.37%	29,893	55.75%	604	30,497	56.87%	1,486	2.77%
	VAP	40,969			21,419	52.28%	249	21,668	52.89%	996	2.43%
164		53,428	-392	-0.73%	28,950	54.19%	706	29,656	55.51%	2,644	4.95%
	VAP	41,929			21,334	50.88%	352	21,686	51.72%	1,949	4.65%
165		53,865	45	0.08%	4,413	8.19%	304	4,717	8.76%	1,817	3.37%
	VAP	42,665			3,197	7.49%	115	3,312	7.76%	1,225	2.87%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Cou

Plan Name: **Hsepropl sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT		POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP
166		53,870	50	0.09%	12,389	23.00%	780	13,169	24.45%	3,610	6.70%
	VAP	39,362			8,449	21.46%	255	8,704	22.11%	2,273	5.77%
167		53,862	42	0.08%	25,113	46.62%	1,659	26,772	49.70%	5,355	9.94%
	VAP	37,349			17,148	45.91%	591	17,739	47.50%	3,177	8.51%
168		53,295	-525	-0.98%	12,323	23.12%	572	12,895	24.20%	3,371	6.33%
	VAP	39,633			8,962	22.61%	199	9,161	23.11%	2,028	5.12%
169		53,569	-251	-0.47%	9,566	17.86%	356	9,922	18.52%	4,009	7.48%
	VAP	39,642			6,814	17.19%	85	6,899	17.40%	2,411	6.08%
170		53,364	-456	-0.85%	11,677	21.88%	373	12,050	22.58%	7,033	13.18%
	VAP	38,579			8,083	20.95%	86	8,169	21.17%	4,094	10.61%
171		53,958	138	0.26%	13,965	25.88%	335	14,300	26.50%	6,328	11.73%
	VAP	39,263			9,450	24.07%	109	9,559	24.35%	3,873	9.86%
172		54,324	504	0.94%	19,335	35.59%	319	19,654	36.18%	3,997	7.36%
	VAP	40,400			13,589	33.64%	116	13,705	33.92%	2,444	6.05%
173		54,310	490	0.91%	21,074	38.80%	280	21,354	39.32%	2,588	4.77%
	VAP	40,795			15,153	37.14%	107	15,260	37.41%	1,642	4.03%
174		53,629	-191	-0.35%	13,162	24.54%	290	13,452	25.08%	3,655	6.82%
	VAP	40,284			9,738	24.17%	95	9,833	24.41%	2,236	5.55%
175		53,948	128	0.24%	28,942	53.65%	619	29,561	54.80%	2,267	4.20%
	VAP	41,670			20,460	49.10%	293	20,753	49.80%	1,633	3.92%
176		53,376	-444	-0.82%	10,348	19.39%	542	10,890	20.40%	2,509	4.70%
	VAP	38,826			7,218	18.59%	182	7,400	19.06%	1,525	3.93%
177		53,941	121	0.22%	15,920	29.51%	409	16,329	30.27%	1,955	3.62%
	VAP	41,731			12,097	28.99%	127	12,224	29.29%	1,290	3.09%
178		53,310	-510	-0.95%	5,983	11.22%	334	6,317	11.85%	2,285	4.29%
	VAP	39,825			4,514	11.33%	99	4,613	11.58%	1,496	3.76%
179		54,348	528	0.98%	17,161	31.58%	518	17,679	32.53%	3,813	7.02%
	VAP	41,625			11,693	28.09%	177	11,870	28.52%	2,467	5.93%
180		53,363	-457	-0.85%	10,113	18.95%	793	10,906	20.44%	2,654	4.97%
	VAP	38,843			7,000	18.02%	242	7,242	18.64%	1,664	4.28%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Plan Name: **Hseprop1sub-HD84(1)** Plan Type : **state** User: **staff** Administrator: **HD084**

DISTRICT	POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVIATION	BLACK	% BLACK	BLACK COMBO	TOTAL BLACK	%TOTAL BLACK	HISP. OR LATINO	%HISP

Total Population: 9,687,653

Ideal Value: 53,820

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 53,287 to 54,359

Absolute Overall Range: 1,072

Relative Range: -0.99% to 1.00%

Relative Overall Range: 1.99%

DATA SOURCE: 2010 US Census PL94-171 Population Co

Sutton, Julie

From: Rich, Bonnie
Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Sutton, Julie
Subject: FW: NCSL resources on census delays and redistricting

Please save these links for reference during redistricting. Make a copy for a paper file too.

From: Wendy Underhill <wendy.underhill@ncsl.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2021 7:33 PM
To: Rich, Bonnie <Bonnie.Rich@house.ga.gov>
Cc: Ben Williams <Ben.Williams@ncsl.org>; Sutton, Julie <Julie.Sutton@house.ga.gov>
Subject: NCSL resources on census delays and redistricting

Hello Representative Rich.

Let me say again that I appreciate that you have agreed to serve as the Republican co-chair for NCSL's Redistricting and Standing Committee. Today I am writing to all the chairs of redistricting committees around the nation to be sure they know that we have resources that we hope will help them on redistricting and the census.

I'm sure you know that the Census Bureau announced recently that redistricting data will be delivered to the states until September 30, a full six months behind schedule. Since many states have their own constitutional and statutory redistricting deadlines, the delays may make it hard for some to comply with their own requirements. If you'd like to have a conversation about how these delays could impact your state, please let me know.

In the meantime, I'd like to offer you some of NCSL's many resources that may be useful throughout this process. The first of these is our *Redistricting Law 2020* book, commonly known as the Red Book. Our premier redistricting resource, it contains hundreds of pages on every aspect of the redistricting process, as well as multiple appendices with 50-state information. Copies of the book are free for legislators and legislative staff. If you don't already have a copy, would you like me to send you one?

We also have several online resources that may be useful to you, your colleagues and/or your staff:

- Redistricting Starter Kit for Legislative Staff—this is our best "mile wide, inch deep" resource. While it was designed for legislative staffers new to the process, it's a good primer for anyone who wants a refresher or needs a starting point for understanding the process.
- Redistricting Criteria
- Public Input and Redistricting
- A 50-State Overview of Redistricting
- NCSL Magazine: 5 Ways to Handle Census Delays and Redistricting Deadlines
- NCSL Magazine: Searching for Silver Linings in Delayed Census Results
- NCSL Magazine: States Can Ensure Public Can Safely Weigh In on Redistricting

Additionally, we have a couple of free webinars online that may have useful content for you, your fellow commissioners and/or your staff:

- Redistricting 101 (Feb. 2019)
- Redistricting Data 101 (April 2020)

My colleagues and I are here to help you with whatever questions you may have. All of our communications with you, as with all of our members, are confidential. Please consider us a resource you can go to as the redistricting cycle progresses, whether it be for research requests, testimony before your committee, or anything in between.

Thanks for reading, and best wishes,

Wendy Underhill
Director – Elections & Redistricting Program
National Conference of State Legislatures
303-856-1379 (o) | 303-802-6673 (c)

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more Click Here.

JUNE 16, 2021 BY BETSY THEROUX

House and Senate Reapportionment Committees to Hold Statewide Town Hall Hearings

ATLANTA – The House Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Committee, chaired by State Representative Bonnie Rich (R-Suwanee), and the Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee, chaired by State Senator John F. Kennedy (R-Macon), will hold a series of joint town hall hearings across the state of Georgia. Additional details regarding the public comment hearing locations will be forthcoming; the hearing schedule is as follows:

- Monday, June 28, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Atlanta;
- Tuesday, June 29, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Cumming;
- Wednesday, June 30, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Dalton;
- Tuesday, July 6, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Athens;
- ~~Wednesday, July 7, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Augusta;~~ (RESCHEDULED)
- Monday, July 26, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Brunswick;
- Tuesday, July 27, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Albany;
- Wednesday, July 28, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Columbus;
- Thursday, July 29, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Macon;
- Friday, July 30, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. via virtual participation only;
- Wednesday, August 11, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Augusta (Martinez);
- ~~Monday, August 30, 2021, from 5 – 7 p.m. in Augusta (Martinez).~~ (RESCHEDULED to an earlier date – Aug. 11)

During these town hall-style hearings, members of the committees will hear and receive input from residents regarding the state's redistricting process. These public hearings will be livestreamed and archived at www.legis.ga.gov.

###

BONNIE RICH

HOUSE LEGISLATIVE & CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE, STATE REPRESENTATIVE BONNIE RICH

EXHIBIT 14

Case 1:21-cv-05338-SCJ-SDG-ELB Document 131-1 Filed 08/23/23 Page 91 of 112

Message

From: Rich, Bonnie [Bonnie.Rich@house.ga.gov]
Sent: 5/27/2021 6:04:01 PM
To: Kennedy, John [John.Kennedy@senate.ga.gov]
Subject: Re: Potential R&R Dates SUMMARY W MAP

Jan Jones suggested we have a public hearing in the northern suburbs. We have a lot of important R voters who feel ignored up here, so I think that's a good idea -- what do you think? We liked having it somewhere in Forsyth County -- maybe Cumming?

For the other locales, I think we landed on:

Dalton
Atlanta
Macon
Columbus
Albany
Brunswick
Augusta

It looks like, from the map anyway, we need to choose a place to have a hearing somewhere in the northeast Georgia Mountains (Towns, Rubun, or Habersham?). I think Athens would be an important place to have one as well.

There's a big hole in the "Heart of Altamaha" area. I think that's where we've had some population loss, though. Maybe that means it wouldn't be a good use of resources to hold a hearing there.

So that would be 9 in person hearings plus at least one virtual one.

From: Sutton, Julie
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 12:21:17 PM
To: Rich, Bonnie; Farmer, Ali
Cc: John F. Kennedy
Subject: RE: Potential R&R Dates SUMMARY W MAP

Here are the common available dates and I put the 2011 meeting locations on the Regional Commissions map (attached) so we can see where they were held and maybe that will help choose where 2021 meetings should be held. Believe Spiro suggested 8 meetings versus 2011's 12 meetings. Sounds like all other involved departments are waiting on the dates and locations chosen by the Chairs to go forward with meeting planning.

I will check back in with Holli Pitcock to see if there is a schedule set yet for Rural Council's summer travel as Chair Rich thought we should make sure not to conflict with those meetings.

June 15 for virtual hearings
June 28, 29, 30
July 1, 6, 7, 26 (we've tentatively planned a public hearing in Brunswick for this date), 27, 28, 29, and 30
August 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 26, 27, 30, and 31

EXHIBIT
15

Julie Sutton
Office of Representative Bonnie Rich, Dist 97
Georgia House of Representatives
Coverdale Legislative Office Building
18 Capital Square, Suite 402
Atlanta, GA 30334
404-656-5087 Office
julie.sutton@house.ga.gov

From: Rich, Bonnie <Bonnie.Rich@house.ga.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Farmer, Ali <Ali.Farmer@senate.ga.gov>
Cc: Sutton, Julie <Julie.Sutton@house.ga.gov>; John F. Kennedy <jkennedy@jamesbatesllp.com>
Subject: Re: Potential R&R Dates

Sorry, guys -- I missed the July dates! I'm also available the following for in person hearings:

July 1, 6, 7, 26 (we've tentatively planned a public hearing in Brunswick for this date), 27, 28, 29, and 30

From: Rich, Bonnie
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 9:39:55 AM
To: Farmer, Ali
Cc: Sutton, Julie; John F. Kennedy
Subject: Re: Potential R&R Dates

Out of the dates for which JFK is available, ...

I'm available for virtual hearings:
June 15

I'm available for in-person hearings:
June 28, 29, 30
The first and second weeks of August, except 8/6 and 8/9
August 18, 26, 27, 30, and 31

From: Farmer, Ali
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 12:16:28 PM
To: Rich, Bonnie
Cc: Sutton, Julie; John F. Kennedy
Subject: Potential R&R Dates

Good afternoon,

I spoke with Senator Kennedy regarding his availability in the coming months for R&R meetings. The below dates are all available for him, so we wanted to send them over to you and see if there are any dates that might work for both of your calendars.

Available dates for redistricting:
June 14, 15, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30
July 1, 6, 7, 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30
1 and 2nd week of Aug
Aug 18, 20, 26, 27, 30, 31

Thanks so much! ☺

Ali Cecile Farmer
Legislative Assistant
Majority Caucus Leadership Office | State Capitol, Suite 421
Senator John F. Kennedy, 18th District
Senator John Albers, 56th District
Phone: 404-656-0064 | Ali.Farmer@senate.ga.gov

An official website of the United States government
Here's how you know

2020 Census Timeline of Important Milestones

The count is now complete, and the U.S. Census Bureau is processing the data, making sure that everyone is counted once, only once, and in the right place. Here's a look at some of the key dates:

2019

- **January — September:** The Census Bureau opened more than 200 area census offices across the country. These offices supported and managed the census takers who worked all over the country to conduct the census.
- **August — October:** Census workers visited areas that have experienced a lot of change and growth to ensure that the Census Bureau's address list is up to date. This process is called address canvassing, and it helped in making sure everyone received an invitation to participate in the census.

2020

- **January 21:** The Census Bureau started counting the population in remote Alaska. The count officially began in the rural Alaskan village of Toksook Bay.
- **March 12:** The Census Bureau opened its phone lines and online self-response tool, allowing the public to begin submitting responses to the 2020 Census. Households began receiving official Census Bureau mail inviting them to respond to the 2020 Census online, by phone, or by mail. Additional

EXHIBIT
16

reminders were sent throughout the summer.

- **April 1:** Census Day was observed nationwide. By this date, most households had received an invitation to participate in the 2020 Census. Once the invitation arrived, people responded for their home in one of three ways: online, by phone, or by mail. When they responded, they told the Census Bureau where they lived as of April 1, 2020.

2020 Census Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19

Stay up to date on operational changes made in response to the COVID-19 crisis.

[/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/operational-adjustments.html]

Related Information

2020 Census Results [/2020results]

2021

- **April 26:** The Census Bureau released 2020 Census apportionment results, the first results for the 2020 Census. On the same day, the Census Bureau also released operational quality metrics comparing the census results to other population benchmarks as well as a variety of operational quality metrics.
- **May 28:** The Census Bureau released additional operational quality metrics on the 2020 Census. These metrics provide further insight into how housing units were enumerated and include information on occupied and vacant housing units and the size of occupied units.
- **August 12:** States received the data they may use to begin redistricting. The Census Bureau also shared this information with the public. However, the data is in a format that requires additional handling and software to extract familiar tables. COVID-19-related delays and prioritizing the delivery of the apportionment results delayed our

original redistricting data delivery plan.

- **September 16:** The Census Bureau delivered the final redistricting data toolkit to all states and the public. This included digital tools that provide access to an integrated software browsing tool for official recipients, as well access to the online Data Explorer tool for both official recipients and the public.

2022 and Beyond

- **March 10, 2022:** The Census Bureau released the initial results from the 2020 Post-Enumeration Survey (PES) program to measure coverage error in the 2020 Census. The first release provides estimates of population coverage overall and for important demographic groups for the nation.
- **May 31, 2022:** The Census Bureau began the 2020 Post-Census Group Quarters Review (PCGQR) as a one-time operation for governmental units in the United States and Puerto Rico to request that the U.S. Census Bureau review the population counts of group quarters they believe were not correctly counted as of April 1, 2020. This operation was created in response to public feedback received on the Count Question Resolution [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/evaluate/cqr.html] operation about counting group quarters' populations during the unprecedented challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic.
- The Census Bureau plans to release additional 2020 Census results. Learn more on the About 2020 Census Data Products [/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/release/about-2020-data-products.html] page.
- The Census Bureau will also continue to evaluate the quality of the 2020 Census. Learn more on the 2020 Census Data Quality [/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/process/data-quality.html] page.

About 2020 Census Data Products

Information on 2020 Census results, including more detailed population and household statistics.

[/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/release/about-2020-data-products.html]

2020 Census Data Quality

We check the quality of our work every step of the way. When we release data, we make sure they meet our quality standards.

[/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/process/data-quality.html]

Page Last Revised - May 31, 2022

Message

From: Simmons, Rachell [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=3446D41F8A4B41DD9693042CF682E409-SIMMONS, RA]
Sent: 3/4/2021 11:23:43 AM
To: Evans, Blake [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a09e743410f9426790ae196aa7f44db8-Evans, Blak]
Subject: Redistricting Powerpoint
Attachments: 2021 Redistricting.pptx

Rachell Simmons
Operations Supervisor
Georgia Secretary of State
470-312-2755

EXHIBIT
17

Redistricting

And what it means for Georgia

Georgia Redistricting

- 2021-2022- states can possibly receive the census data between July 31-September 30, 2020
- According to the Reapportionment office- it will likely be the end of September
- Depending when the data is received a special session will be called. As late as November
- If so, redistricting could be pushed to early 2022. There will be a tight deadline to have everything done in time for the primary. If this happens, qualifying may be changed- causing another tight deadline to get ballots ready and be able to send out UOCAVA ballots
- Consider possible litigation, preclearance could possibly come back into play. (more on preclearance later)

Georgia Redistricting

Prep work- Counties

- First report to check- Street List report- check the spelling of the streets, consistency
- Take a look at municipal list maintenance
- Review district combos, precincts, polling places.
- Review the 99999 report
- Review street segments
- Practice in https://gvrstraining.sos.state.ga.us/ElectioNet/
- Who will be in charge of redistricting
- Any local legislation to redraw local lines (this is usually done in the special session. There won't be time to do so in the upcoming special session and will have to be approved in the General Session 2022)
- Budget for postage for new precinct cards
- Order maps

Georgia Redistricting

What can be done outside of redistricting

- -add voting districts
- -add district combos
- -change fields to an existing street range with no voters attached
- -change entire street range with voters attached
- -assigning district combos to polling places

Georgia Redistricting

What must be done in redistricting

- -changing districts
- -changing district combos (due to redrawn lines)
- -changing or adding precinct numbers and descriptions
- -changing or adding voting area/district combos to precincts
- -reducing street ranges with voters attached
- -splitting street ranges with voters attached

Georgia Redistricting

Traditional Districting Principles/Criteria

- **Compactness:** having the minimum distance between all the parts of a constituency (circle, square, hexagon is the most compact districts)
- **Contiquity:** all parts of a district being connected at some point with the rest of the district
- **Preservation of counties and other political subdivisions:** this refers to not crossing county, city or town boundaries
- **Preservation of communities of interest:** geographical areas such as neighborhoods of a city or regions of a state, where the residents have common political interests that do not necessarily coincide with the boundaries of a political subdivision such as a city or county
- **Preservation of cores of prior districts:** this refers to maintaining districts as previously drawn to the extent possible. This leads to continuity of representation
- **Avoiding pairing incumbents:** This refers to avoiding districts that would create contests between incumbents

Georgia Redistricting

Emerging criteria- been considered and adopted in a few states since 2000

- **Prohibition on favoring or disfavoring an incumbent candidate or party:** the prohibition in a given state may be broader, covering any person or group or it may be limited to intentionally or unduly favoring a person or group
- **Prohibition on using partisan data:** line drawers, whether they be commissioners, nonpartisan staff or legislators are prohibited from using incumbent residences, election results, party
- **Competitiveness:** districts having relatively even partisan balance, making competition between the two major parties more intense

Georgia Redistricting

Timeline

The tentative plan to get the counties thinking about redistricting has started by talking about it during the regional zoom meetings and in person meetings. The next step is to remind counties about redistricting in the monthly election forums and have the counties start reviewing the reports.

- First report to be checked by the counties is the Street List Report-this list is for the counties to use to check the spelling of the streets. Are the streets consistent- using Road or Rd- whatever is used is used for all street names
- This list can be used to check for duplicated streets
- This list should be checked and updated by May 1st and to be checked again by September 1st
- The next report is the Municipal list- this report is to be checked for any changes to streets in a municipality. This should be checked anyway for muni elections. This report needs to be checked by June 1st and again prior to qualifying at the end of August

Georgia Redistricting

- The 99999 report needs to be checked monthly now until redistricting starts- this report is used to find any voters that don't have a place to go
-
- When counties look at the streets and find that a street needs to be deleted, make sure there are no voters registered on that street. Other wise the street can't be deleted.
- Another list a county can use to ensure that voters are not attached to streets is the maintain business addresses- these addresses can be created to designate specific street ranges as uses for commercial purposes. This keeps those street numbers from being used as residential addresses for people registering to vote. This report needs to be checked by June 1st

Georgia Redistricting

What is the role of our office?

- Our role is to support the counties. That means helping them with the reports, answer their questions and ensuring that each county has checked everything that needs to be checked. We are to help them navigate the redistricting portal in Enet. This will mean following up with the counties – being sure that no county lags behind the process.
- There has been a discussion of in person training on redistricting along with recorded training sessions.

Georgia Redistricting

PRECLEARANCE

Preclearance prior to 2012

- Voting Rights Act- Section 5
- Any changes to voting, elections, precincts, districts, polling places, special elections, referendums must be submitted to the Department of Justice for pre-clearance.
- There was a 60 day clock that started when the request was sent to the DOJ. The counties proceeded with the request while waiting the response from DOJ.
- County attorneys submited the request at the local level
- Attorney General's office submitted requests for the state
- DOJ preclearance was also required for city elections

Georgia Redistricting

Possible changes in 2021 (Federal)

- H.R. 1 & S. 1 – For the People Act
- -restores the Voting Rights Act
- -if passed could create independent redistricting commissions

Georgia Redistricting

Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Office

Georgia General Assembly

- Coverdell Legislative Office Building
- Suite 407
- 18 Capitol Square
- Atlanta, Georgia 30334
- Phone (404) 656-5063
- Gina Wright- Email gina.wright@legis.ga.gov