UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

PRESS ROBINSON, et al., *Plaintiffs*, v. KYLE ARDOIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of State for Louisiana. *Defendant*.	Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-00211-SDD-RLB
EDWARD GALMON, SR., et al., *Plaintiffs*, v. KYLE ARDOIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of State for Louisiana.	Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-00214-SDD-RLB

EXPERT REPORT OF ANTHONY E. FAIRFAX ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ILLUSTRATIVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT PLAN FOR THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APRIL 15, 2022

Hearing Exhibit
PR-15
3:22-cv-00211-SDD-RLB

Table of Contents

I. Introduction 4

II. Background 6

III. Qualifications 7

IV. Software, Data, and Technical Process Utilized 9

V. Summary of Opinions 10

VI. Methodology 11

VII. Redistricting Criteria 13

VIII. Demographic Profile of the State of Louisiana 15

IX. The Illustrative Plan 19

X. Conclusions 32

XI. Appendices 33

Figures

Figure 1 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts 5

Figure 2 – HB1 Enacted Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts 5

Figure 3 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts 20

Figure 4 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 2 24

Figure 5 – HB1 Enacted Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 2 25

Figure 6 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 5 27

Tables

Table 1 –Total Population by Race/Ethnicity (2010 - 2020) for Louisiana 15

Table 2 – Voting Age Population by Race/Ethnicity (2010 - 2020) for Louisiana 16

Table 3 – CVAP by Major Race/Ethnicity (2019 1-Year ACS) for Louisiana 17

Table 4 – Major Socioeconomic Indicators by Major Race/Ethnicity for Louisiana 18

Table 5 – Illustrative Plan 1 Population Deviation 20

Table 6 – Illustrative and HB1 Plan Criteria Comparison 23

Table 7 – Illustrative Plan's Voting Age Population 29

Table 8 – Illustrative Plan's Citizen Voting Age Population 30

Table 9 – Illustrative Plan's Compactness Measurements 31

Table 10 – Illustrative Plan and HB1 Mean Compactness Measurements 31

I. Introduction

1. I have been retained by counsel representing the Plaintiffs in this lawsuit to analyze and determine whether it is possible to draw an Illustrative Plan that adheres to state and federal redistricting criteria and satisfies the first precondition of *Thornburg v. Gingles*[1] for the state of Louisiana.

2. As a result of this analysis, I have developed an Illustrative congressional district plan for the state of Louisiana that is described in this report. The Illustrative Plan adheres to traditional and state redistricting criteria and performs better in adhering to these criteria than the plan enacted by the state legislature ("HB1").[2] The redistricting criteria analyzed include: 1) population deviation (equal population or "one person, one vote"); 2) contiguity; 3) compactness; 4) minimizing political subdivision splits for parishes; 5) minimizing political subdivision splits for Voting Tabulation Districts ("VTDs")[3]); 6) preserving communities of interest for census places[4]; 7) preserving communities of interest for landmark areas; and 8) fracking.

3. The Illustrative Plan (see Figure 1) performs as well or better than the enacted HB1 Plan (see Figure 2) in eight out of eight redistricting criteria. HB1 does <u>not</u> perform better than the Illustrative Plan on any criteria.

---

[1] See *Thornburg v. Gingles,* 478 U.S. 30 (1986). The *Gingles* case requires plaintiffs to show that the minority group "is sufficiently numerous and geographically compact to form a majority in a single-member district."
[2] See https://redist.legis.la.gov/EnrolledBills
[3] Voting Tabulation Districts (VTDs) are used by the Louisiana state legislature for redistricting plan development. VTDs tend to follow the boundaries of local precincts. However, VTDs are generated by the Census Bureau and constructed from census blocks.
[4] Census places, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau, include governmental entities such as cities and towns as well as Census Designated Places ("CDPs"). CDPs are generated by the Census Bureau for statistical purposes, they usually reflect "named" areas that the local community designates but have no governmental body. See https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2018/11/13/2018-24571/census-designated-places-cdps-for-the-2020-census-final-criteria



Figure 1 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts



Figure 2 – HB1 Enacted Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts

4. The Illustrative Plan performs better than HB1 in five of the criteria, including: 1) population deviation (equal population, one person, one vote); 2) compactness; 3) political subdivision splits (parishes); 4) preserving communities of interest (census places); and 5) fracking.

5. In addition to adhering to and performing better than the enacted plan, the Illustrative Plan satisfies the first precondition of *Thornburg v. Gingles*.

6. Finally, this report demonstrates that it is possible to draw an Illustrative Plan that adheres to traditional and state redistricting criteria and contains two majority-Black congressional districts that are drawn without race predominating during the development of the Illustrative Plan.

7. This report outlines the methodology, the applications utilized, the data used, the redistricting criteria that were analyzed, the results obtained, and the conclusions that were ultimately drawn.

II. Background

8. The state legislature passed a six-district congressional plan (HB1) on February 18, 2022. Only one congressional district in HB1 has a district that contains a Black voting age population[5] ("BVAP") that is more than 50 percent ("majority-Black district"). Subsequent to the passage of HB1, on March 9, 2020, Governor John Bel Edwards vetoed the legislation for the congressional plan. However, the state legislature overrode the governor's veto on March 20, 2022, enacting and establishing the new congressional boundaries of HB1.

[5] Voting age population ("VAP") includes those persons above the age of 18 years.

III. Qualifications

9. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering (BSEE) from Virginia Tech in 1982 and a Master of Geospatial Information Science and Technology (MGIST) degree from N.C. State University in 2016.

10. Currently, I am a demographic and mapping consultant and the CEO/Principal Consultant of CensusChannel LLC. As a consultant working on redistricting issues over the last thirty years, I have developed nearly one thousand redistricting plans during the last four redistricting cycles. I have drawn plans for jurisdictions of all sizes, from statewide plans to redistricting plans for small municipalities. In the course of my career, I have also had the opportunity to draw and analyze many plans for jurisdictions within multiple states throughout the country. In addition, during that timeframe, I have provided consulting services for numerous non-profit and public-sector groups centering on redistricting plan development, analysis, and training.

11. Throughout the redistricting cycles, I have provided services and/or training for several notable organizations including: the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), Campaign Legal Center, Congressional Black Caucus Institute (CBC Institute), Louisiana Legislative Black Caucus (LLBC), NAACP, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Power Coalition for Equity and Justice, Southern Coalition for Social Justice (SCSJ), and Southern Echo.

12. Recently, I was hired to develop an illustrative redistricting plan for the *Arkansas State Conference NAACP v. Arkansas Board of Apportionment* court case. The Illustrative plan included five additional majority-Black districts as opposed to the Board of Apportionment plan. The plan, report, and testimony provided evidence of the first prong in *Thornburg v. Gingles* in proving dilution of Black voting strength in violation of Section 2 of the Voting

Rights Act (VRA). The effort included plan development, expert report, rebuttal report, and testimony.

13. Prior to this round of redistricting, I was hired to develop illustrative redistricting plans, associated expert reports, depositions, and provide testimony in the *Holloway v. City of Virginia Beach* court case. The Illustrative plans included two majority-Black combined coalition districts to provide evidence of the first prong in *Gingles* for the city of Virginia Beach, VA.

14. Also, prior to the 2020 redistricting cycle, I was hired to be the Districting Master for the City of Everett, Washington. The task was to assist the city's Redistricting Commission with developing their districting plan. The city moved from a fully seven-member at-large voting system to five single-member districts and two members elected at-large. As Districting Master, I shepherded the commission through the entire plan development process as they successfully developed the city's first districting system.

15. In addition to Arkansas and Virginia, I have testified and provided depositions as a redistricting expert in North Carolina and Texas. I provided testimony with a focus on demographic and mapping analysis in federal and state court cases. This included: *Covington v. North Carolina* (North Carolina), *NC NAACP v. State of North Carolina* (North Carolina), *Wright v. North Carolina* (North Carolina) *Perez v. Perry* (Texas), and *Perez v. Abbott* (Texas).

16. My redistricting/GIS experience and work as an expert are contained within my attached resume (see Appendix A).

IV. Software, Data, and Technical Process Utilized

17. The software utilized to develop the Illustrative Plans was Maptitude for Redistricting ("Maptitude") by Caliper Corporation. Maptitude for Redistricting is one of the leading redistricting software applications utilized by consultants, major nonprofit groups, and governmental entities.[6] The software includes Census 2020 data ("PL94-171") for the state of Louisiana that was utilized during the map-drawing process.

18. ESRI's ArcGIS's ArcMap application was used to generate statewide and district maps for the final Illustrative Plan and HB1. ESRI, the creator of the "shapefile," is one of the leading GIS corporations in the world.

19. Several datasets were acquired and utilized:

    a. The 2010 and 2020 census data for the total population were obtained from Caliper Corporation's datasets for the state of Louisiana.[7] 2019 5-Yr ACS Census socioeconomic data at the county and census tract level were also obtained from the Caliper dataset.

    b. Data for Louisiana were downloaded from the Census Bureau's website, specifically the 2019 1-Year ACS data and the Community Resilience Estimates Equity Supplement.[8]

    c. The geographic boundaries for the 2011 congressional districts[9] were also obtained from Caliper Corporation's datasets for the state of Louisiana. An updated shapefile version of the Louisiana VTDs was also downloaded from the Legislature's Redistricting website.[10]

---

[6] See https://www.caliper.com/mtrnews/clients.htm for Maptitude for Redistricting's client list.
[7] Caliper Corporation provides 2020 Census Data (PL94-171 data) in a format readable for their software, Maptitude for Redistricting. The population data are identical to the data provided by the Census Bureau.
[8] See https://data.census.gov/cedsci/ and https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/community-resilience-estimates/data/supplement.html
[9] I reviewed the 2011 congressional districts using 2010 Census data in Maptitude. The results in Maptitude generated the same population size and deviation as the Louisiana legislature's reports. The state's congressional districts reports are located at the Louisiana Redistricting website: https://redist.legis.la.gov/CurrentDistricts (see Appendix B).
[10] https://redist.legis.la.gov/default_ShapeFiles2020. I analyzed the 2020 VTD splits using the 2020 Census VTDs available in Maptitude and the VTD shapefile on the state legislature's website and the results were the same.

d. To evaluate district configurations, I downloaded the most recent race/ethnicity citizenship data from the Redistricting Data Hub.[11] This included 2020 5-Year ACS CVAP dataset at the block group level for the state of Louisiana.[12]

e. In order to review the 2020 5-Year ACS CVAP data at various geographic levels for the Illustrative Plan, I utilized Maptitude for Redistricting's disaggregation/aggregation process. The disaggregation/aggregation process is an acceptable industry process when evaluating citizenship data or other data that is not provided at the census block or other levels.[13] Once the disaggregation/aggregation process was completed, estimated CVAP data were available for review at the district level (as well as other Census levels).

f. I also obtained the congressional plan's geographic boundaries enacted by the Louisiana state legislature and the plaintiff's addresses from the plaintiff's counsel. Boundaries were provided in shapefile format and a list of plaintiffs' addresses which were geocoded[14] using Maptitude for Redistricting were provided by counsel (maps of the plaintiffs' addresses are located in Appendix B for reference). Also, links to testimony from Louisiana redistricting "roadshows"[15] were provided by counsel as well.

V. Summary of Opinions

20. A summary of my conclusions and opinions includes the following:

a. It is possible to draw an Illustrative Plan that adheres to federal and state redistricting criteria and contains two majority-Black congressional districts. The Illustrative Plan was drawn with race not predominating and continues to perform as well or better than the enacted plan HB1 on eight out of eight redistricting criteria including: 1) population deviation (equal population or "one person, one vote"); 2) contiguity; 3) compactness; 4) political subdivision splits for parishes; 5) political subdivision splits for Voting Tabulation Districts ("VTDs")); 6) preserving communities of interest for census places; 7) preserving communities of interest for landmark areas; and 8) fracking.

b. Louisiana's Black population is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority of the voting age population in two congressional districts in a plan that adheres to traditional and state redistricting criteria. Thus, the Illustrative Plan easily meets the first preconditions of *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986).

---

[11] The Redistricting Data Hub (RDH) has aggregated various Census and election result data into a central website. RDH only reformats Census data into a readily available format for download.
[12] See https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/voting-rights/cvap.html
[13] Disaggregation apportions a population to a lower geographic area from a higher geographic area using a percentage of a matching population field at both geographic levels. In this instance, voting age population was used as the weighted variable to apportion amounts to census blocks. Aggregation sums up the lower level results to all other higher geographic levels that are to be used. Maptitude also includes a pure geographic disaggregation/aggregation process that was not utilized during this analysis.
[14] Geocoding converts a list of addresses to geographic coordinates in digital format.
[15] Roadshows were meetings that were conducted throughout the state that solicited input and questions from the public on the redistricting process.

c. The State of Louisiana has seen growth in the Black population such that it stands at a third, 33.13%, of the state's total population in 2020. Also, in 2020, the state's White population decreased to less than 55.75% of the total population.

d. In most cases, Louisiana's White population outpaces the Black population on several socioeconomic indicators, according to 2019 ACS data. Black people had significantly higher percentages of people with no high school education and lower median household incomes than White people and households. Furthermore, Black people had higher poverty rates than White people.

VI. Methodology

21. First, I analyzed the recent and past demographic and socioeconomic profiles of the state of Louisiana. This analysis specifically included a review of the state's Black populations over the 2010 and 2020 decennial censuses. The Black population was analyzed by reviewing total population, voting age population ("VAP"), and Citizen Voting Age Population[16] ("CVAP") for the state.

22. I used the category of "Any Part" Black[17] throughout this report for Black Total and Voting Age Populations. "Any Part" Black VAP ("APBVAP") was also used to determine the majority-Black district status.[18] Other races were reported using the Not Hispanic "Alone" category.[19] The race data reflecting CVAP were all Not Hispanic "Alone" categories.

23. I also reviewed socioeconomic data to observe various socioeconomic disparities and commonalities among racial and ethnic groups within the state at large and within local

[16] Citizen voting age population includes persons who are citizens above the age of 18 years. CVAP data is typically provided by the American Community Survey (ACS).
[17] The "Any Part" or "All Parts" Black includes surveyed persons who select Black Alone and Black and in combination with any other race. Also, included within "Any Parts" Black are Hispanic Black persons as well. The Hispanic population data denotes the Latino population as well throughout this report.
[18] In *Georgia v. Ashcroft*, 539 U.S. 461, the Court found it acceptable to combine all persons who self-identified themselves in the 2000 Census survey as Black in determining majority-minority districts. This includes Black in combination with other races to contain both Hispanic and Not Hispanic Black persons. https://casetext.com/case/georgia-v-ashcroft-2.
[19] The Alone category includes only surveyed persons who selected one race (*e.g.* single race Black or single race White, etc.).

communities.[20] This included data on education, income, poverty, housing values, and Food Stamps, or SNAP benefits. This review allowed me to understand common socioeconomic indicators pertaining to the state of Louisiana. Socioeconomic attributes, including the Community Resilience Estimates,[21] were also viewed during the development process to view and locate commonalities among areas contained within districts. This provided some insight into communities of interest while developing the plan.

24. I also listened to and reviewed testimony online from Louisiana's redistricting roadshows and legislative hearings. I used the testimony to provide me with additional context for district configurations and observing communities of interest.

25. Maptitude for Redistricting was utilized to draw the Illustrative Plan. I used Voting Tabulation Districts (VTDs) as the dominant building block for the plan.[22]

26. I also reviewed and followed Louisiana's relevant portions of the state constitution on redistricting[23] and the legislature's redistricting criteria (Joint Rule 21).[24]

27. Finally, after drawing a complete Illustrative Plan, I generated data reports that summarized the plan's performance on traditional redistricting criteria and generated maps presenting the geographic results produced by adhering to all of the redistricting criteria. I compared

[20] Obtained from analyzing census tracts.
[21] The Community Resilience Estimates Equity data provides insight into the capacity of individuals and households within a community to absorb the external stresses of a disaster and provides context concerning social vulnerability and equity.
[22] For the most part, VTDs followed precinct boundaries with the exception of a handful of places. In some of these locations, the precinct appears to split census blocks.
[23] La. Const. Art. III, § 6 http://legis.la.gov/Legis/Law.aspx?d=206421
[24] https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/Law.aspx?d=1238755

traditional redistricting criteria results to the enacted HB1 plan and documented the results. My findings and conclusions are presented and discussed below.

VII. Redistricting Criteria

28. Prior to plan development, I reviewed relevant portions of the Louisiana state constitution on redistricting and the legislature's redistricting criteria that outline guidelines for congressional and legislative plans (see Appendix C).

29. A summary of the redistricting criteria that were followed during the map-drawing process includes:

    a. **Equal Population (One person, One vote):** The "One person, One vote" principle of the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause requires that congressional districts are equally populated as nearly as mathematically practicable.[25] The courts have ruled that congressional districts should be held under a "strict" equality standard.

       Joint Rule 21 states: "The plan shall provide that each congressional district shall have a population as nearly equal to the ideal district population as practicable."

    b. **Contiguity:** Contiguity ensures that there are no parts of a district separated from the district itself. Exceptions are generally used for water bodies that separate land areas.[26]

       Joint Rule 21 states: "Each redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall provide that each district within the plan is composed of contiguous geography."

    c. **Preserving or Minimizing Political Subdivision Splits:** Minimizing the splitting of political subdivisions[27] keeps intact political entities such as parishes and VTDs. This report only focused on parishes and VTDs as the primary political subdivisions. Minimizing political subdivision splits ensures that these voters can collectively vote for the same representatives and potentially reduce costs in administering elections (e.g., ballot modifications and additional staff).

       Joint Rule 21 states: "To the extent practicable, each district within a redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall contain whole election precincts as those are represented as Voting Districts (VTDs) in the most recent Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles

[25] A series of Supreme Court cases helped define the equal population criteria, beginning with: *Baker v. Carr,* 369 U.S. 186 (1962); *Gray v Sanders,* 372 U.S. 368 (1963); and *Wesberry v. Sanders*, 376 U.S. 1 (1964).
[26] https://www.ncsl.org/research/redistricting/redistricting-criteria.aspx
[27] See *Reynolds v. Sims,* 377 US 533(1964).

for the State of Louisiana which corresponds to the PL94-171 data released by the United States Bureau of the Census for the decade in which the redistricting is to occur."

Joint Rule 21 also states: "All redistricting plans shall respect the established boundaries of parishes, municipalities, and other political subdivisions and natural geography of this state to the extent practicable.

d. **Preservation of Communities of Interest (COI):** Preservation of communities of interest aims to maintain a specific population group within a defined geographic area where the group shares one or more common interests (e.g., economic, social, cultural, or ethnic interests).[28] Similar to political subdivisions, minimizing splits tends to ensure that these voters can collectively vote for the same representatives.

Joint Rule 21 states: "…this criterion [minimizing the splitting of political subdivision] is subordinate to and shall not be used to undermine the maintenance of communities of interest within the same district to the extent practicable."

I endeavored to preserve communities of interest of census places[29] (including cities, towns, and census designated places or CDPs) and landmark areas (e.g., airports, major parks, colleges, and universities). In addition, specific socioeconomic characteristics of census tracts were analyzed for potential communities of interest.

30. In addition to the redistricting criteria included in Joint Rule 21, I analyzed compactness as a traditional redistricting criterion and as a precondition of *Gingles*, and analyzed fracking.

a. **Compactness:** Compactness refers to the irregular shape or dispersion of the district boundary line. The *Gingles* preconditions require that majority-minority districts are "geographically compact.[30] Geographic compactness can be demonstrated by analyzing statistical compactness measures.[31] Many compactness measures, such as the ones used in this report, are developed such that the resultant value exists between 0 and 1, whereby the closer the value is to 1, the more compact the district. The districts were analyzed using three of the most widely used compactness measures, Reock, Polsby-Popper, and Minimum Convex Hull.[32]

---

[28] https://redistricting.lls.edu/redistricting-101/where-are-the-lines-drawn/#communities+of+interest

[29] Census Places include cities, towns, and Census Designated Places ("CDPs"). CDPs are statistical geographic areas of unincorporated communities. They are usually locally recognized and identified using a particular name.

[30] See *Thornburg v. Gingles,* 478 U.S. 30 (1986). The *Gingles* case requires plaintiffs to show that the minority group "is sufficiently numerous and geographically compact to form a majority in a single-member district."

[31] Compactness measures quantify the geographic shape of the districts as compared to a designated perfectly compact shape, such as a circle.

[32] *Maptitude for Redistricting* documentation defines the compactness measures: 1) Reock: "[T]he Reock test computes the ratio of the area of the district to the area of the minimum enclosing circle for the district." 2) Polsby-Popper: "The Polsby-Popper test computes the ratio of the district area to the area of a circle with the same perimeter:

b. **Fracking:** Fracking[33] occurs when a district boundary splits a jurisdiction (county or city) into two or more noncontiguous areas that are contained within the jurisdiction. Fracking may be used as a technique of gerrymandering. Analyzing maps using this criterion has recently become more popular as evidence of gerrymandering. The latest version of the Maptitude for Redistricting application provides an option for checking fracking.

VIII. Demographic Profile of the State of Louisiana

A. Louisiana – State Total Population

31. According to the decennial censuses of 2010 and 2020, Louisiana's total population grew from 4,533,372 to 4,657,757 persons - an increase of 2.74% - between 2010 and 2020. (see Table 1).

Table 1 –Total Population by Race/Ethnicity (2010 - 2020) for Louisiana

	2010		2020		Inc/Dec	
Race/Ethnicity	**#**	**%**	**#**	**%**	**#**	**%**
Total Population	4,533,372	100.00%	4,657,757	100.00%	124,385	2.74%*
Black	**1,486,885**	**32.80%**	**1,543,119**	**33.13%**	**56,234**	**0.33%**
Hispanic or Latino	192,560	4.25%	322,549	6.92%	129,989	2.68%
White	2,734,884	60.33%	2,596,702	55.75%	-138,182	-4.58%
American Indian	28,092	0.62%	25,994	0.56%	-2,098	-0.06%
Asian	69,327	1.53%	85,336	1.83%	16,009	0.30%
Pacific Islander	1,544	0.03%	1,706	0.04%	162	0.00%
Some Other Race	6,779	0.15%	16,954	0.36%	10,175	0.21%
Two or More Races	57,766	1.27%	156,096	3.35%	98,330	2.08%

Note: Race categories are Alone (Single Race) Not Hispanic except for the Black population, which is "Any Part"
*The increase in total population from 2010 to 2020
Source: U.S. Census Bureau PL94-171 data for 2010, 2020

32. From 2010 to 2020, the Black and Hispanic populations[34] also increased. During that span, the Black population grew from 1,486,885 to 1,543,119 (32.80% to 33.13%), and the Hispanic

4pArea/(Perimeter2)." 3) Convex Hull: "[The Convex Hull Test] computes only a ratio of the area of the district to the area of the convex hull of the district, without regard to population within the areas." Convex Hull is routinely referred to as a "rubber-band" enclosure or polygon.

[33] Grofman, Bernard and Cervas, Jonathan, The Terminology of Districting (March 30, 2020). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3540444

[34] The Black populations noted in this report represent the "Any Part" Black combined categories for race.

population grew from 192,560 to 322,549 persons (4.25% to 6.92%). However, the White population decreased from 2,734,884 persons in 2010 to 2,596,702 persons in 2020 – a decrease of 138,182 persons from 60.33% to 55.75% (see Table 1).

B. Louisiana – State Voting Age Population (VAP)

33. According to the decennial census of 2010 and 2020, Louisiana's Voting Age Population (VAP) grew from 3,415,357 to 3,570,548 persons—an increase of 4.54% —between 2010 and 2020 (see Table 2).

Table 2 – Voting Age Population by Race/Ethnicity (2010 - 2020) for Louisiana

	2010		2020		Inc/Dec	
Race/Ethnicity	**#**	**%**	**#**	**%**	**#**	**%**
Total VAP	3,415,357	100.00%	3,570,548	100.00%	155,191	4.54%*
AP Black VAP	**1,040,701**	**30.47%**	**1,115,769**	**31.25%**	**75,068**	**0.78%**
Hispanic VAP	138,091	4.04%	223,662	6.26%	85,571	2.22%
White VAP	2,147,661	62.88%	2,082,110	58.31%	-65,551	-4.57%
American Indian VAP	19,952	0.58%	19,531	0.55%	-421	-0.04%
Asian VAP	53,638	1.57%	67,983	1.90%	14,345	0.33%
Pacific Islander VAP	1,152	0.03%	1,322	0.04%	170	0.00%
Some Other Race VAP	4,526	0.13%	11,524	0.32%	6,998	0.19%
Two or More Races VAP	30,755	0.90%	97,905	2.74%	67,150	1.84%

Note: Race categories are Not Hispanic Alone (Single Race) except for Black, which is "Any Part."
*The increase in voting age population percentage from 2010 to 2020
Source: U.S. Census Bureau PL94-171 data for 2010, 2020

34. As with the total population, from 2010 to 2020, the Any Parts Black VAP (APBVAP) and Hispanic VAP (HVAP) also increased. From 2010 to 2020, the APBVAP grew from 1,040,701 to 1,115,769 (30.47% to 31.25%), and the HVAP grew from 138,091 to 223,662 persons (4.04% to 6.26%). However, the White VAP (WVAP) decreased from 2,147,661 persons in 2010 to 2,082,110 in 2020 - a decrease of 65,551 persons from 62.88% to 58.31% (see Table 2).

C. Louisiana – State Citizen Voting Age Population

35. According to the 2019 ACS 1-Year data, the Citizen Voting Age Population for the state of Louisiana is 3,463,372 (see Table 3).[35]

Table 3 – CVAP by Major Race/Ethnicity (2019 1-Year ACS) for Louisiana

	2020	
Race/Ethnicity	**#**	**%**
Total CVAP	3,463,372	100.0%
Black CVAP	**1,104,855**	**31.9%**
Hispanic CVAP	108,844	3.1%
White CVAP	2,142,840	61.9%
Asian CVAP	43,112	1.2%
American Indian CVAP	N/A	N/A
Pacific Islander CVAP	N/A	N/A
Some Other Race CVAP	26,803	0.8%
Two or More Races	51,054	1.5%

Note: Race categories are Not Hispanic Alone (Single Race), including Black.
N/A – Not Available
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2019 1-Year ACS data (S2901 Table)

36. Reviewing the 2019 1-Year ACS data shows that the Hispanic CVAP (HCVAP) for Louisiana was 108,844 persons. This is a significant decrease from the Hispanic voting age population. Both the Black CVAP (BCVAP) and White CVAP (WCVAP) decreased slightly from the voting age populations down to 1,104,855 and 2,142,840, respectively.

37. However, the BCVAP and WCVAP percentages increased from their respective voting age populations. The BCVAP percentage increased from 31.25% to 31.90%, while the WCVAP increased from 58.31% to 61.90%. It is important to note that the BCVAP would be higher if combined race categories were added.

[35] The state level 2020 1-Year ACS citizen voting age population has not been released at the writing of this report.

D. Louisiana – State Major Socioeconomic Indicators

38. According to the 2019 1-Year ACS data, there are significant disparities across a variety of socioeconomic indices between Louisiana's White population and its Black population (see Table 4). For instance, for the White population of the state, the median household income in 2019 was $61,967; 12.7% of the population were below the poverty level; 11.1% had no high school education (for those 25 years and above); 8.6% received food stamps or SNAP[36]; the median housing value was $186,700; and 23.4% rented occupied housing units.

Table 4 – Major Socioeconomic Indicators by Major Race/Ethnicity for Louisiana

	Statewide	White*	Hispanic	Black*
Med. Household Income	$51,073	$61,967	$42,933	$32,782
Poverty%	19.0%	12.7%	26.1%	29.4%
No HS Education%^	14.0%	11.1%	26.1%	17.8%
Food Stamp/SNAP	14.4%	8.6%	11.4%	27.0%
Med. Housing Value	$172,100	$186,700	$157,700	$133,000
Renter%	33.5%	23.4%	55.2%	51.0%

* The White population contains Not Hispanic Alone category while Black includes Black combined races
^ Calculated by subtracting percentage with High School or above from 100%
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2019 1-Year ACS data https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?t=-00%20-%20All%20available%20races%3AIncome%20and%20Poverty%3ARace%20and%20Ethnicity&g=0400000US22&y=2019&tid=ACSSPP1Y2019.S0201

39. The Black population's median household income was $32,782; the below poverty level was 29.4%; the percent with no High School education was 17.8%; 27.0% received Food Stamps or the SNAP programs; the median housing value was $133,000; and 51.0% rented occupied housing units.

[36] SNAP is Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

IX. The Illustrative Plan

A. Illustrative Plan Introduction

40. Figure 3 shows the Illustrative Plan that I developed adheres to state and federal laws as well as traditional redistricting criteria. In addition to these redistricting criteria, the plan's maps and data reports summarized below also show that Louisiana's Black population is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a second single-member congressional district, thereby satisfying the first precondition of *Gingles*.[37]

41. The Illustrative Plan is only intended to demonstrate that a plan can be created that adheres to federal and state redistricting criteria and satisfy the first precondition of *Gingles*.[38]

B. Illustrative Plan: Equal Population (One Person, One Vote)

42. The Illustrative Plan was developed using a single-member, six congressional district scheme. The plan's ideal population size is 776,293 for each district (see Table 5).[39] The Illustrative Plan has a resulting overall population deviation from the ideal of 51. HB1 has an overall population deviation of 65. Therefore, the Illustrative Plan's districts are closer to the ideal population than HB1. See Appendix C for the complete data analysis of all redistricting criteria for the Illustrative Plan, HB1, and the 2011 Plan.

---

[37] See *Thornburg v. Gingles,* 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986). The first precondition of *Gingles* requires demonstration that the minority population is sufficiently numerous and geographically compact to enable the creation of at least one single-member majority-minority district.

[38] It should be understood that many variations of this plan could be generated that incorporate additional political and community desires and continue to adhere to federal and state redistricting criteria, and contain two majority Black districts to satisfy the first precondition of *Gingles*.

[39] The ideal population size is calculated by dividing the state's 2020 total population of 4,657,757 by the number of districts.



Figure 3 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional Districts

Table 5 – Illustrative Plan 1 Population Deviation

District	Population	Ideal Population	Deviation	% Deviation
1	776,286	776,293	-7	0.00%
2	776,291	776,293	-2	0.00%
3	776,280	776,293	-13	0.00%
4	776,280	776,293	-13	0.00%
5	776,331	776,293	38	0.00%
6	776,289	776,293	-4	0.00%

Source: Illustrative Plan data extracted from Maptitude for Redistricting reports

C. Illustrative Plan: Contiguity

43. The Illustrative Plan's districts are contiguous with no separate landmasses or areas.[40] Thus, both the Illustrative Plan and HB1 perform the same for contiguity.[41]

D. Illustrative Plan: Political Subdivision Splits

44. The Illustrative Plan minimizes the parish and VTD splits. The Illustrative Plan splits zero VTDs and 14 parishes. However, HB1 splits zero VTDs and 15 parishes. Thus, the Illustrative Plan performs better with fewer split parishes.[42]

E. Illustrative Plan: Communities of Interest

45. The Illustrative Plan was developed with the goal of preserving communities of interest. Two dominant communities of interest were evaluated, census places and major landmark areas. Census places include governmental entities such as cities and towns as well as Census Designated Places or CDPs. Although CDPs are generated by the Census Bureau for statistical purposes, they usually reflect "named" areas that the local community designates but have no governmental body.[43] Major landmarks include areas such as airports, major parks, colleges, and universities. The goal is to preserve and keep intact CDPs and landmark areas to the extent practicable.

46. Thus, the splitting of census places (including cities, towns and CDPs) and major landmarks areas (such as airports, major parks, colleges, and universities) was minimized. The Illustrative

[40] Areas separated by water bodies are typically excepted as contiguous.
[41] See Appendix C.
[42] See Appendix C.
[43] https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2018/11/13/2018-24571/census-designated-places-cdps-for-the-2020-census-final-criteria

Plan split 31 cities and split 58 landmark areas. HB1 split 32 cities and 58 landmark areas. The Illustrative Plan performs better than HB1 with fewer split cities. It splits the same number of landmark areas as HB1.[44] For instance, North Fort Polk and South Fort Polk, two CDPs and parts of military bases, are not split and are wholly contained within the same congressional district.

F. Illustrative Plan: Compactness

47. I used three popular measures to determine compactness: Reock, Polsby-Popper, and Convex Hull. All of these measures indicate a more compact district as the value moves closer to 1.

48. The mean compactness measures for the Illustrative Plan are .42 (Reock), .18 (Polsby-Popper), and .69 (Convex Hull). Alternatively, the mean compactness measures for HB1 are .37 (Reock), .14 (Polsby-Popper), and .62 (Convex Hull). Thus, the Illustrative Plan is more compact than HB1 in all three mean measures.[45]

G. Illustrative Plan: Fracking

49. The Maptitude for Redistricting software detected five (5) instances of fracking for the Illustrative Plan. HB1 had eight (8) fracking instances. Therefore, the Illustrative Plan performs better than HB1 with fewer fracked pieces.[46]

H. Summary Criteria Comparison

50. The results reveal that the Illustrative Plan fares better than the enacted HB1 Plan using a variety of redistricting criteria (see Table 6). When comparing the Illustrative Plan to HB1, the

[44] See Appendix C.
[45] See Appendix C.
[46] See Appendix C. It is important to note that there are three instances of fracking that occur because of the configuration of the parishes. These include Madison, St. Martin, and West Feliciana parishes.

Illustrative Plan performs equally or better than HB1 in eight of eight redistricting criteria measures. The Illustrative Plan performs better than HB1 on five (5) criteria: 1) equal population; 2) compactness; 3) parish splits; 4) census place splits; and 5) fracking. HB1 does not perform better than the Illustrative Plan on any criteria. In three criteria, the Illustrative and HB1 Plans perform the same (contiguity, VTD splits, and landmark splits).

Table 6 – Illustrative and HB1 Plan Criteria Comparison

Criteria	Illustrative Plan	HB1 Plan
Equal Population	**51**	65
Contiguity	Y	Y
Parish Splits	**14**	15
VTD Splits	0	0
COI Census Places Splits	**31**	32
COI Landmark Splits	58	58
Compactness (mean)	**.42, .18, .69**	.37, .14, and .62
Fracking	**5**	8

Source: Illustrative and HB1 Plans extracted from Maptitude for Redistricting reports

I. Illustrative Plan District 2 (Majority-Black District)

51. District 2 is a majority-Black district within the Illustrative Plan as well as the HB1 and 2011 Plans (see Appendix C). The Illustrative Plan's District 2's APBVAP% is 50.96%, while the BCVAP% is 54.10%. Comparing HB1's District 2 (the only majority-Black district within HB1), its APBVAP% is significantly higher at 58.65%, and the BCVAP% is even higher at 61.41% (see Appendix C).



Figure 4 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 2

52. The Illustrative Plan's District 2 (see Figure 4) follows the same route as the enacted HB1 Plan (see Figure 5), except it is significantly more compact and splits considerably fewer parishes, and continues to keep its majority-Black status. The Illustrative Plan's District 2 keeps four parishes whole: St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. James, and Assumption. HB1's District 2 keeps only one parish, St. James, whole.



Figure 5 – HB1 Enacted Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 2

53. Similar to HB1, the Illustrative Plan's District 2 continues to extend from the New Orleans region to the Baton Rouge region.[47] The eastern end of the district includes parts of Orleans and Jefferson parish (similar to HB1). The Illustrative Plan's District 2 extends similarly westward like HB1, and includes mostly <u>whole</u> parishes of multiple "River Parishes." These included St. Charles parish, St. James parish, St. John the Baptist parish, and Ascension parish (in part). In addition, the Illustrative Plan's District 2 retains the Assumption parish, which is

[47] I developed alternative configurations where District 2 does not contain East Baton Rouge that also satisfy the first precondition of *Gingles*.

included in HB1, yet keeps it whole. District 2 continues northwestward through non-river parishes, including parts of Iberville and, finally, East Baton Rouge parish.[48]

54. In addition, comparing HB1's District 2 Black percentage (the only majority-Black district within HB1), the APBVAP% is significantly higher at 58.65%, and the BCVAP% is even higher at 61.41%.[49] Also, HB1's District 2 extends from the New Orleans region to the Baton Rouge region to contain significant populations of two major metro areas in Louisiana (see Figure 5).

J. Illustrative Plan District 5 (Majority-Black District)

55. District 5 is the second majority-Black district within the Illustrative Plan (see Figure 6). The APBVAP% is 52.05%, while the BCVAP% is 52.21%. District 5 includes many of the parishes contained within HB1. The north part of the district contains a higher percentage of the Louisiana Delta region[50]

56. Illustrative Plan's District 5 follows the Mississippi River and Delta parishes southward, similar to the enacted HB1 Plan. In addition, the Illustrative Plan follows essentially the same parishes as HB1 along the southern Mississippi border (see Figure 6). Specifically, West Feliciana, East Feliciana, and Helena parishes are kept whole in the Illustrative Plan's District 5.

[48] The plan was developed to lessen the presence of District 2 in Baton Rouge and create a more single metro district.
[49] See Appendix C.
[50] The Louisiana Delta region is characterized by unique communities of interest of culture and tradition. It is commonly represented by the parishes of Morehouse, Ouachita, West Carroll, East Carroll, Caldwell, Tensas, Catahoula, Richland, Madison, Franklin, LaSalle, and Concordia. See https://www.louisianafolklife.org/LT/Articles_Essays/Deltaintrosr.html

57. District 5 continues and extends further southward to include Pointe Coupee (whole), parts of West Barton Rouge, and parts of East Baton Rouge.



Figure 6 – Illustrative Plan for Louisiana Congressional District 5

K. <u>Illustrative Plan: Satisfying *Gingles'* Sufficiently Large Component</u>

58. The first component of the precondition of *Gingles* requires demonstrating that one or more majority-minority districts can be developed in which the minority population is "sufficiently large" to constitute a majority.[51] In the context of this analysis, this means showing the creation

[51] *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986).

of two or more majority-Black congressional districts within the state of Louisiana. The term "majority" has been reaffirmed to mean greater than 50% VAP for the minority population within the district.[52]

59. According to 2020 Census data, the state of Louisiana consisted of an APBVAP that was 31.25%. In addition, the 2019 1-Year ACS data yielded an even higher BCVAP percentage at 31.90% (see Table 7). As a result, the state's APBVAP and BCVAP population, which is now almost a third (approximately 32%) of the state's CVAP, is sufficiently large enough and geographically compact to draw a plan that included two majority-Black congressional districts out of the six total and meet the first *Gingles* precondition.

60. The Illustrative Plan includes two majority-Black districts (using VAP and CVAP[53]), District 2 and District 5 (see Table 7 & 8). The resulting demographic data for the Illustrative Plan demonstrates that the first *Gingles* precondition has been satisfied. In other words, Louisiana congressional map can contain two districts with a majority-Black population measured by both APBVAP and BCVAP. The Illustrative Plan also adheres to traditional and state redistricting criteria relating to congressional districts.

[52] *Bartlett v. Strickland*, 556 U.S. 1 (2009)
[53] Although many times the focus is on voting age population, the Illustrative Plan has been developed to contain a majority of Black citizen voting age population for each majority Black district as well.

Table 7 – Illustrative Plan's Voting Age Population

District	VAP	HVAP	HVAP%	WVAP	WVAP%	AP BVAP	AP BVAP%	OTHR VAP	OTHR VAP%
1	603,084	64,618	10.71%	394,140	65.35%	110,315	18.29%	34,011	5.64%
2	**603,764**	**51,402**	**8.51%**	**218,098**	**36.12%**	**307,670**	**50.96%**	**26,594**	**4.40%**
3	586,948	28,649	4.88%	428,229	72.96%	105,115	17.91%	24,955	4.25%
4	596,366	23,991	4.02%	357,220	59.90%	190,267	31.90%	24,888	4.17%
5	**589,193**	**18,680**	**3.17%**	**252,112**	**42.79%**	**306,701**	**52.05%**	**11,700**	**1.99%**
6	591,193	36,322	6.14%	432,311	73.13%	95,701	16.19%	26,859	4.54%

Note: WVAP includes Not Hispanic Alone category, APBVAP includes "Any Part" Black (which contains Hispanic Black VAP), and OTHR VAP (Other VAP) is calculated by subtracting HVAP, WVAP, and APBVAP from the total VAP.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data extracted from Maptitude for Redistricting reports

61. According to 2020 Census data, District 2 of the Plan, has an APBVAP of 307,670 (50.96%). The WVAP is 218,098 (36.12%) and the HVAP is 51,402 (8.51%).

62. District 5 has a APBVAP of 306,701 (52.05%). The WVAP is 252,112 (42.79%) and the HVAP is 18,680 (3.17%).

63. Table 8 shows that according to the 2020 5-Year ACS data, District 2 of the Plan has a BCVAP of 314,398 (54.10%). The WCVAP is 224,377 (38.61%) and the HVAP is 23,924 (4.12%).

64. District 5 has a BCVAP of 305,956 (52.21%). The WCVAP is 265,437 (45.29%) and the HVAP is 7,829 (1.34%).

65. Reviewing the APBVAP and BCVAP results for District 2 and District 5 shows that the first component of the first precondition of *Gingles* is clearly met with both districts' majority-Black.

Table 8 – Illustrative Plan's Citizen Voting Age Population

District	CVAP	HCVAP	HCVAP %	WCVAP	WCVAP %	BCVAP	BCVAP %	OTHR CVAP	OTHR CVAP%
1	575,168	32,052	5.57%	417,900	72.66%	101,058	17.57%	24,158	4.20%
2	**581,178**	**23,924**	**4.12%**	**224,377**	**38.61%**	**314,398**	**54.10%**	**18,479**	**3.18%**
3	569,445	15,551	2.73%	438,685	77.04%	101,097	17.75%	14,112	2.48%
4	592,835	13,863	2.34%	371,342	62.64%	195,129	32.91%	12,501	2.11%
5	**586,061**	**7,829**	**1.34%**	**265,437**	**45.29%**	**305,956**	**52.21%**	**6,839**	**1.17%**
6	563,107	15,866	2.82%	441,188	78.35%	92,519	16.43%	13,534	2.40%

Note: All race data are Not Hispanic Alone categories. OTHR CVAP (Other CVAP) is calculated by subtracting HCVAP, WCVAP, and BCVAP from the total CVAP.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 5-Year ACS Data extracted from Maptitude for Redistricting reports

L. Illustrative Plan – Satisfying *Gingles'* Geographically Compact Component

66. The second component of the first *Gingles* precondition is to show that the majority-minority districts are "geographically compact." Various measures have been developed in order to quantify the compactness of a district and plan.

67. I used three measures to determine compactness: Reock, Polsby-Popper, and Convex Hull. As mentioned before, each of these measures indicates a more compact district as the value moves closer to 1. The Illustrative Plan District 2 has the values of .33 for Reock, .13 for Polsby-Popper, and .57 for Convex Hull. District 5 of the Illustrative Plan produces the values of 0.35 for Reock, .10 for Polsby-Popper, and .57 for the Convex Hull (see Table 9). The overall compactness measures for the Illustrative Plan range from .33 to .51 for Reock, .10 to .28 for Polsby-Popper, and .57 to .84 for Convex Hull (see Appendix C).

Table 9 – Illustrative Plan's Compactness Measurements

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Convex Hull
1	0.38	0.18	0.73
2	**0.33**	**0.13**	**0.57**
3	0.51	0.18	0.73
4	0.56	0.28	0.84
5	**0.35**	**0.10**	**0.57**
6	0.40	0.18	0.72

Source: Maptitude for Redistricting Compactness report for the Illustrative Plan.

68. The mean compactness measures for the Illustrative Plan are .42 (Reock), .18 (Polsby-Popper), and .69 (Convex Hull). See Appendix C for the detailed report.

69. Viewing the compactness measures of a particular plan itself provides some context to the compactness of the plan. However, a comparative analysis with one or more plans is desired when determining whether a plan is sufficiently compact. Preferably, a plan should be compared to a previously enacted plan that has been approved. Thus, Table 10 presents the compactness measures of the Illustrative Plan and HB1 in three different ways.

70. A primary way of comparing compactness between different plans is to compare the mean or average of the measures. The mean compactness measures for HB1 are .37 (Reock), .14 (Polsby-Popper), and .62 (Convex Hull). Thus, the Illustrative Plan is more compact than HB1 in three of three measures.

Table 10 – Illustrative Plan and HB1 Mean Compactness Measurements

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Convex Hull	Performed Best
Illustrative Plan Mean	**.42**	**.18**	**.69**	**3 of 3**
HB1 Plan Mean	.37	.14	.62	0 of 3

Source: Maptitude for Redistricting Compactness for Illustrative Plan and HB1 Plan

X. Conclusions

71. The State of Louisiana has seen growth in the Black population such that it stands at a third of the state's total population (33%) in 2020. Also, in 2020, the state's White population has decreased to less than 56% of the total population.

72. Louisiana's Black voting age population increased from 2010 to 2020 as well and now stands at almost a third of the Total VAP. During the same period, the White voting age population decreased similarly to the total. In addition, according to 2020 Census data, the state of Louisiana consisted of an APBVAP that was 31.25%, and the 2019 1-Year ACS shows a higher BCVAP percentage of 31.90%. These demographic statistics play a role in the configuration of the Illustrative Plan.

73. The Illustrative Plan adheres to the federal, state, and commonly used traditional redistricting principles such as equal population, contiguity, compactness, minimizing political subdivision splits, and preserving communities of interest. In fact, the Illustrative Plan performs equal to or better than the enacted HB1 Plan on eight of eight redistricting criteria.

74. Given the analysis and results of the Illustrative Plan, I conclude that in Louisiana, a congressional districting plan that adheres to the federal, state, and commonly used traditional redistricting principles can be developed and drawn without race predominating the map making process. That is to say that the Black population in the state of Louisiana is sufficiently large and geographically compact to allow for the creation of two single-member majority-Black districts and continue to follow the requisite redistricting criteria. Thus, the Illustrative Plan satisfies the first precondition of *Gingles*.

75. Finally, during the process of developing the final Illustrative Plan, other configurations that also resulted in majority-Black districts were observed. Therefore, although this analysis focused on one demonstrative plan, it does not represent the only configuration that can be developed for two majority-Black congressional districts in Louisiana. Thus, I conclude that other congressional plans can be generated that adhere to federal, state, and commonly used traditional redistricting criteria and include two majority-Black congressional districts.

XI. Appendices

76. The following appendices are included with this report:

- Appendix A - Resume of Anthony E. Fairfax
- Appendix B - Maps of the Illustrative, HB1, and 2011 Congressional Plans
- Appendix C - Redistricting Criteria Comparison Reports (Maptitude Data Reports – Illustrative, HB1, and 2011 Plans)
- Appendix D - Other Data

Per 28 U.S. Code 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Anthony E. Fairfax
April 15, 2022

Appendix A

Resume of Anthony E. Fairfax

Anthony "Tony" Fairfax

16 Castle Haven Road, Hampton, Virginia 23666
Office Telephone: (757) 838-3881
Email: fairfax@censuschannel.com

Experience Highlights:

- Demographic, Geographic & Voter Data Analysis
- Multiple GIS Software/Census Data Skillsets
- Redistricting Plan Development & Analysis
- Redistricting Expert Reports & Testimony
- Redistricting Presentations & Training
- ESRI ArcGIS Map Applications & Dashboards
- Maptitude for Redistricting Proficiency
- Professional Presentations/Training Experience

Education:

Master of Geospatial Information Science and Technology (2016)
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina

Graduate Certificate in Geographic Information Systems (2016)
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina

Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering (1982)
Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia

Work Experience:

CensusChannel LLC, Hampton, VA (2009 - Present)
CEO & Principal Consultant - Providing overall project management and operations as well as primary consulting services for clients. Also responsible for customer acquisition and support. Core tasks include GIS-centered services centering on: redistricting support (extensive use and analysis of traditional redistricting principles); demographic/socioeconomic, geographic, and voting data; GIS, Census Data, and Redistricting training; GIS data processing/conversion; expert redistricting plan development, analysis, depositions, testimony, and training. Major clientele and projects include:

- **The ACLU, New York, NY (2021 - Present**) – Providing expert plan development services centering on the states of Alabama and Arkansas.
- **The Power Coalition, New Orleans, LA (2021 - Present)** - Providing technical advice and input for building an equitable redistricting process in Louisiana for communities, legislators, and organizations. Providing analysis and plan alternatives for Louisiana state legislative House and Senate districts where Black voters could elect a candidate of choice.
- **Crescent City Media Group, New Orleans, LA (2021)** – Provided redistricting training to the PreRedistricting Lab. Training centers on various educational presentations and hands-on sessions to community leaders and local/state legislators.
- **NAACP Legal Defense Fund (LDF), New York, NY (2020 – Present)** - Providing redistricting development and analysis of various district configurations for city, county, and state-level plans.
- **Crowd Academy [an SCSJ sponsored effort], Durham, NC (2020 - Present)** - Providing redistricting training and support. Training centers on presentations on "How the Lines are Drawn" which focuses on pre-plan development and plan development activities of redistricting. The target attendee includes individuals in Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas. The effort also includes providing mentorship to Academy Fellows and Academy Mentors.

- **City of Everett, WA, Everett, WA (2020)** – Provided advice, consultation, and mapping services as Districting Master to the city of Everett, WA's Districting Commission. Efforts centered on the development of the city's first districting plan. Also assisted with answering questions at public forums and developed an ArcGIS web map application for public access of all plans.
- **NAACP, Baltimore, MD (2018 - Present)** – Providing GIS consulting services via the NAACP (as fiscal agent) to the *Racial Equity Anchor Collaborative* (consisting of the Advancement Project, APIA Health Forum, Demos, Faith in Action, NAACP, National Urban League, NCAI, Race Forward, and Unidos US). Efforts include the development of the Racial Equity 2020 Census Data Hub. The Data Hub utilized ESRI's Hub Cloud platform, that centralized web maps, mapping applications, and dashboards into a common platform that enabled collaborative partners to locate hard-to-count areas by major race or ethnicity.
- **Southern Echo, Jackson, MS (2018 - Present)** – Providing redistricting training sessions to Southern Echo partners throughout the south. Also provided GIS data, maps, and training to Southern Echo, community leaders, stakeholders, and subsequently in the field to groups working in the following states; Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas. Specifically, deliverables include map-centered projects centering on education, GOTV, and redistricting.
- **Campaign Legal Center, Washington, DC (2018 –2021)** – Developed illustrative redistricting plans, associated expert reports, depositions, and testimony in the *Holloway v City of Virginia Beach court* case. The Illustrative plans included two majority Hispanic, Black, and Asian combined districts for the purpose of providing evidence of the first prong in *Gingles* for the city of Virginia Beach.
- **Southern Coalition for Social Justice [SCSJ], Durham, NC (2015 - 2018)** - Provided several expert reports, depositions, and testimony for multiple redistricting court cases in North Carolina. Testimony, depositions, and reports included numerous plans at the congressional, state Senate, state House, and local jurisdiction level. Analyses covered certain district characteristics, including population deviation, political subdivision splits, partisan performance, and incumbent effect analysis.
- **The Rehab Crew, Durham, NC (2017)** - Provided geospatial & demographic analysis as well as website development and the creation of a proprietary application for the use of targeting real estate investment properties.
- **Congressman G.K. Butterfield, NC (2016 & 2021)** - Developed several congressional district plan alternatives for the State of North Carolina. Provided analyses on alternative district configurations.
- **Alabama Democratic Conference (ADC), Montgomery, AL (2015 - 2016)** - Developed state Senate and House redistricting plans for the state of Alabama in response to the *ADC v Alabama* court case. Also, provided a series of thematic maps depicting areas added from the previous plan to the enacted plan, displaying concentrations of African American voters that were added to the enacted plan.
- **Net Communications, Tallahassee, FL (2014 - 2015)** - Generated offline mapping and online web services (ArcGIS.com) of client's energy company's resources and organizational assets. Mapping included demographic, socioeconomic, and other resources of the energy company.
- **National NAACP Office of General Counsel, Baltimore, MD (2012 - 2013)** - Provided project management and developmental support for the creation of a final report for the NAACP National Redistricting Project. Provided planning, organizing, supplemental writing, and interfacing with graphics entity for the complete development of the final report.
- **Congressional Black Caucus Institute (CBC Institute), Washington, DC (2011 - 2012) -** Provided contract duties as the Project Director and Consulting Demographer for the CBC Institute's

Redistricting Project. Provided project management, redistricting plan development, review, analysis, advice, and answers to various questions pertaining to redistricting plans, principles, and processes. Focus included districts where Black voters could elect a candidate of choice.

- **Mississippi NAACP, Jackson, MS (2011) -** Developed state Senate plans and analyzed enacted plans that were developed by the State Court.
- **African American Redistricting Collaborative (AARC) of California, Los Angeles, CA (2011) -** Provided demographic and redistricting contracted services. Responsible for developing congressional, state Senate, and state assembly plans for the collaborative. Special focus was given to the southern Los Angeles area (SOLA) and the Bay Area region. In addition to plan development, several socioeconomic maps were developed to show various communities of interest commonalities.

  Also, developed a demographic profile using maps and reports of California's congressional, state Senate, and state Assembly districts for the purpose of preparing for the redistricting plan development process by identifying areas of growth throughout the state. The profiles included data from the American Community Survey (ACS) 2005-2009 and the 2010 Census.
- **The Advancement Project, Washington, DC (2011) -** Provided redistricting plan development services and training. Included was the development of a base map for a new seven (7) district plan in New Orleans that was further developed by community groups in Louisiana. The second effort included training a staff person on the use of Maptitude for Redistricting as well as on various redistricting scenarios.
- **Louisiana Legislative Black Caucus (LLBC), Baton Rouge, LA (2011) -** Provided redistricting plan development services. Responsibilities included supporting the Caucus members' efforts to develop state House, state Senate, and congressional redistricting plans. Developed or analyzed over eighty different redistricting plans. The effort also included testifying in front of the Louisiana Senate and Governmental Affairs committee.
- **Community Policy Research & Training Institute (One Voice), Jackson, MS (2011) -** Developed Mississippi State Senate plan along with appropriate reports and large-scaled map.
- **National Black Caucus of State Legislators (NBCSL), Washington, DC (2010)** - Provided services as the Project Director for a 2010 census outreach effort. Developed proposal and managed personnel to generate and execute a strategy to utilize Black state Senate and House legislators to place targeted posters in select hard-to-count (HTC) areas throughout the country.
- **Duke University's Center for REGSS & SCSJ, Durham, NC (2010 - 2011) -** Contracted to serve as one of two Project Coordinators to support an expert preparation workshop hosted by Duke University's REGSS and the SCSJ.

  Project Coordinator duties included developing, managing, and providing hands-on training for the Political Cartographer's side of a week-long intensive "redistricting expert" preparation workshop. The workshop trained 18 political cartographers from various parts of the country on all aspects of redistricting plan development and principles. Also, two hands-on redistricting scenarios were created to train large audiences on the plan development process.

Democracy South, Virginia Beach, VA (2004 - 2008)

Senior Technical Consultant - Provided technical, GIS mapping, data analysis, and management support for several projects and civic engagement-related efforts. Major project efforts included:

- Senior Technical Consultant for the National Unregistered Voter Map. Developed a web-based interactive map that allowed visitors to view state/county level information pertaining to the number of unregistered voters (2009)

- Co-Director of the Hampton Roads Missing Voter Project (a nonpartisan nonprofit voter engagement effort to increase voter participation with a focus on underrepresented population groups). The effort covered the seven major Independent cities in Hampton Roads. Responsibilities included co-managing the overall civic engagement effort and was solely responsible for integrating and processing Catalist voter data into targeting maps and walk lists for all focus areas. Directly Responsible for overseeing the operations in Hampton, Newport News, Portsmouth, and Suffolk, Virginia (2008)
- Senior Technical Consultant for Civic Engagement Efforts. Provided telephone technical voter database support to 17 USAction state partners in 2004; and 12 USAction state partners in 2006. Trained client on VBASE voter data software; Performed voter data conversion; and voter targeting assistance.

Congressional Black Caucus Institute, Redistricting Project, Washington D.C. (2001 - 2003)
Consulting Demographer - Provided services that included the development, review, and analysis of over 75 congressional district plans. Responsible for all setup and configuration of hardware and GIS software and performed all development and analyses of redistricting plans. Congressional district plans were developed for 22 states. Also, performed as a redistricting expert advisor in a consolidated U.S. District Court Voting Rights case in Alabama.

National Voter Fund, Washington, D.C. (2000)
GIS Consultant (in a consulting partnership of Hagens & Fairfax) - Developed hundreds of precinct targeting maps for a civic engagement effort designed to increase the turnout in the November 2000 election. Efforts included: geocoding voter data, census data integration, and precinct mapping.

Norfolk State University, Poli. Science & Computer Science Dept., Norfolk, Virginia (1996 - 2001)
Adjunct Faculty - Provided instruction to students for BASIC Programming, Introduction to Computer Science, and Computer Literacy courses.

GeoTek. Inc. (formally GIS Associates), Virginia Beach, VA (1992 - 1995)
Consultant and Co-owner - Provided geodemographic research and analysis; client technical & training support; hardware/software system installation; and redistricting manual/ brochure development. Major clients and tasks included:

- New York City Housing Authority - Redistricting Training
- Maryland State Office of Planning - Redistricting Tech Support
- City of Virginia Beach, VA Planning Dept. - Redistricting Training/Tech Support
- City of Norfolk, VA Registrar - Redistricting Training/Tech Support
- City of Chesapeake, VA Registrar - Precinct Realignment

Norfolk State University, Political Science Dept., Norfolk, Virginia (1991 - 1999)

GIS Consultant - Provided a variety of geographic and demographically related tasks. Major Redistricting related tasks included:

- Installed and operated the LogiSYS ReapS software that was used to perform the bulk of redistricting plans. Performed the intricate ReapS processing of the U.S. Census Bureau Topographically Integrated Geographic Encoded Referencing (TIGER) line files, Public Law 94-171 (PL94-171) demographic data, and the STF socioeconomic data series.

- Developed over 200 hundred redistricting plans, located in over 60 jurisdictions, in the states of Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina, Texas, and Virginia. Developed plans from city/county to legislative to congressional district.
- Traveled to and trained several university faculty personnel on setting up and utilizing the ReapS redistricting system. Also, trained on redistricting plan development principles.

Major GIS-related tasks included:

- Performed a study commissioned by the U.S. Department of Transportation to analyze the ethnic differences in commuting behavior. This study extensively utilized the Summary Tape File 3 A (STF3 A) and Public Microdata Sample (PUMS) data to locate, map, and report the frequency and average travel time to and from work for: Miami, FL MSA; Kansas City, MO-KS MSA; and Detroit, MI MSA.
- Performed a study funded by the City of Norfolk, VA, and NSU School of Business that determined and analyzed the trade area of a section located in Norfolk, VA. Major duties included: geocoding customer addresses, producing address point maps, and developing demographic reports for the project.
- Performed a study commissioned by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to revitalize a neighborhood located in Norfolk, VA. The purpose of the GIS component was to first establish a socioeconomic base-line then track the progress of the revitalized area as well select surrounding areas. Geocoded address locations, generated point as well as demographic thematic maps, and produced reports of the target areas.
- Provided demographic analysis of proposed newly incorporated areas in Florida for local Florida civic organizations.

Cooperative Hampton Roads Org. for Minorities in Engineering, Norfolk, VA (1991 - 1992)
Computer Consultant - Designed and developed a menu-driven student database, used to track hundreds of minority Junior High and High School students that were interested in pursuing science or engineering degrees.

Norfolk State University, School of Education, Norfolk VA (1990 - 1991)
Technical Consultant/Computer Lab Manager- Provided a variety of support to include hardware and software installation; faculty workshops; course instruction; Network Administrator; and technical support.

Engineering and Economics Research (EER) Systems (1989)
Technical Consultant - Coordinated and participated in writing, editing, and formatting technical test documents; central role in the development of the Acceptance Test Procedures for the initial phase of a multi-million dollar Combat Maneuver Training Complex (CMTC) in Hohenfels, Germany; the final review and editing of all test documentation.

Executive Training Center (ETC). Newport News, VA (1988 - 1989)
Vice President & Co-founder - Managed over 11 part-time and full-time employees; assisted in developing and implementing company policies; performed the duties of the Network Administrator for a Novell-based computer training network; and taught several courses by substituting for instructors when necessary.

Engineering & Economics Research (EER) Systems. Newport News, VA (1986 - 1987)
Hardware Design Engineer and Electronics Engineer - Provided engineering and select project management support for the development of the following million/multi-million dollar project efforts:

- Baseline Cost Estimate (BCE) to be used in the procurement of the Combat Maneuver Training Complex - Instrumentation System (CMTC-IS)
- Operational and Maintenance (O&M) Support Plan at the National Training Center (NTC)

- Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan for the O&M Support Plan at the NTC; Configuration Management Plan for CMTC
- Requirements Operational Capabilities (ROC) Analysis for an instrumentation System at the U.S. Army Ranger School, Georgia;
- ROC Analysis for an Instrumentation System at Fort Chaffee, Arkansas;
- Suggested Statement of Work for the Digital Data Entry Device (DDED); and the Concept Formulation Package and Requirements Definition to Support interface and integration of Red Flag at the NTC:
- Phase ll of a multi-million dollar GIS-based concept test demonstration. Performing as Assistant Test Director (ATD) - liaison between the Government Director Army Ranges and Targets (DART) personnel and EER Systems' personnel; and assumed the role of Test Director when required (1987).
- Suggested Statement of Work (SOW) for a $1 million procurement of Multivehicle Player Units (MVPUs) at the NTC. Performed as Project Task Manager for a team of engineers, computer programmers, and technical support personnel in the development of a position location player unit for the Army (I986).

Teledyne Hastings-Raydist, Hampton, VA (1982 - 1986)
Hardware Design Engineer - Designed and developed custom flow and vacuum measuring products; Project Manager for the production and completion of a $.25 million flow measuring system; Electrical Engineer - Chiefly responsible for developing special products for customers.

Major Litigation Clients & Testimony Related Efforts:

ACLU, New York, New York, NY (2021 – 2022)
Developed an illustrative redistricting plan and associated expert report for the *Arkansas State Conference NAACP v. Arkansas Board of Apportionment* preliminary injunction case. The Illustrative plan included five additional majority Black districts as opposed to the Board of Apportionment plan. The plan, report and testimony provided evidence of the first prong in *Gingles* in proving dilution of Black voting strength in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act (VRA). The effort included plan development, expert report, rebuttal report, and testimony.

Campaign Legal Center, Washington, DC (2018 – 2020)
Developed multiple illustrative redistricting plans and associated expert reports for *Latasha Holloway v City of Virginia Beach* court case. The Illustrative Plan**s** included two majority Hispanic, Black, and Asian combined (Coalition) districts for the purpose of providing evidence of the first prong in *Gingles* in the section 2 court case. The effort included an additional rebuttal**,** supplemental report**,** deposition, and testimony.

Virginia NAACP, Richmond, VA (2018)
Developed a statewide remedial plan for *Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections*. The plan corrected 11 unconstitutional racial gerrymandered state House districts in the Richmond, Peninsula, and Southside Hampton Roads areas.

Southern Coalition for Social Justice (SCSJ), Durham, NC (2018)
Developed a demonstrative remedial redistricting plan and associated expert report as well as provided a deposition for *North Carolina State Conference of NAACP Branches v. Lewis* Wake County Superior Court case. The demonstrative remedial plan corrected the two Wake County, N.C. House Districts declared by a federal court to be racially gerrymandered districts (HD33 & HD38). The expert report provided a narrative

that not only discussed my results but also provided insight for the Court on how a map drawer would reasonably go about fixing racially gerrymandered districts and still comply with the state constitution's prohibition on mid-decade redistricting.

Texas NAACP, San Antonio, TX, (2017)
Provided expert report, deposition, and testimony for the *Perez v. Abbott* US Federal District Court Case. Analyses focused on certain redistricting criteria, including population deviation, compactness, political subdivision splits, and communities of interest for congressional and House plans. Additional analysis was performed on demographic projections for certain congressional and state House districts.

Southern Coalition for Social Justice (SCSJ), Durham, NC (2015 - 2016)
Provided expert testimony, deposition, and expert report for the *City of Greensboro v The Guilford County Board of Elections* U.S. District Court Case. Deposition and report included several district plans for the city council of Greensboro, NC, and analyzed certain characteristics, including population deviation, political subdivision splits, partisan performance, and incumbent effect analysis.

Provided expert testimony and report for the *Covington v North Carolina* federal redistricting court case. The testimony included analysis from *Dickson v Rucho* (also *NAACP v North Carolina*) of compactness on state legislative House and Senate districts.

Provided expert testimony and report for the *Wright v North Carolina* federal redistricting court case. The testimony and report included analysis of population deviation, compactness, partisan impact, and incumbent residences for county commission and school board plans.

Alabama Democratic Conference (ADC), Montgomery, AL (2015 - 2016)
Developed Senate and House redistricting plans for the state of Alabama for the *ADC v Alabama* court case. Provided deposition on the creation of the plan. Also, generated a series of thematic maps depicting areas added from the previous benchmark plan to the enacted plan, displaying concentrations of African American voters that were added to the enacted plan.

Southern Coalition for Social Justice (SCSJ), Durham, NC (2014)
Provided expert testimony, report, and deposition for Federal redistricting court case, *Perez v. Perry* of Texas. The report included analysis of population extrapolations and projections for several submitted plans for select congressional and House districts.

North Carolina NAACP, Raleigh, NC (2012)
Provided expert opinions and analysis in an affidavit for the *NC NAACP v. State of North Carolina* federal redistricting case (later *Dickson v Rucho*). The affidavit included examination of compactness measurements pertaining to the Congressional, State Senate, and State House "Benchmark" plans, several approved plans, and several legislative submitted plans. The report also contained county splits for the target districts.

Southern Coalition for Social Justice (SCSJ), Durham, NC (2011)
Provided expert opinions and analysis in an affidavit for the *Moore v. State of Tennessee* redistricting case. The affidavit included analysis of county splits comparing State Senate "Benchmark" plans, the approved plan, and several legislative submitted plans.

Texas NAACP, San Antonio, TX (2011)
Provided expert report, deposition, and testimony for federal redistricting court case *Perez v. Perry*. Testimony covered the evaluation of traditional redistricting criteria of the Congressional and House-approved plans compared to several proposed or legislative submitted plans.

Louisiana Legislative Black Caucus, Baton Rouge, LA (2011)
Provided expert testimony in front of the Senate and Governmental Affairs committee. Testimony included the analysis of two redistricting plans comparing ideal population deviation, political subdivision splits (Parishes), and compactness ratios. Also, developed a redistricting plan and testified in front of the House and Governmental Affairs in support of a new majority-minority (African American) congressional district in Louisiana.

Morrison & Foerster LLP, Los Angeles, CA (2004)
Provided expert report on several state Senate plans for the *Metts v. Murphy* Rhode Island court case. The report contained analyses of communities of interest areas that were not included in the state's enacted plan of the only majority-minority district.

Congressional Black Caucus Institute, Redistricting Project, Washington D.C. (2002)
Performed as the redistricting mapping expert for Congressman Hilliard in a consolidated U.S. District redistricting court case in Alabama (*Montiel v. Davis* and *Barnett v. Alabama*). Developed the submitted plan and provided advice to legal counsel for the court case.

Council of Black Elected Democrats (COBED) New York State, New York, NY (2002)
Performed as one of the redistricting experts (*Allen v Pataki/Rodriguez v Pataki*) by developing several New York State congressional district plans that were presented by COBED.

Miami-Dade, Florida (1993)
Provided expert technical redistricting support as one-half of the Expert Master's Team for the remedial Plan (*Meek v. Metropolitan Dade County*). Developed over 50 commissioner district plans for the county as well as the final adopted Plan for the metro Dade County.

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund (LDEF), New York, NY (1993)
Provided expert technical support for the *Shaw v. Reno* Supreme Court case (via Norfolk State University). Analyzed and compared various compactness ratios for congressional districts throughout the U.S. The results were compared to the 12th congressional district of North Carolina. Also, developed several alternative congressional district plans.

Major GIS/Demographic/Redistricting Training and Presentations:

Southern Echo (2021)
Presented multiple training sessions (11 planned) on various aspects of redistricting. Included both presentations and ultimately hands-on (Dave's Redistricting)

Crowd Academy (2020 – 2021)
Presented multiple Training sessions (>25) that center on "How the lines are Drawn" which focuses on the plan development activities of redistricting.

Crescent City Media Group (2021)
Presented ten three-hour-long training sessions on various aspects of redistricting. Included both presentations and hands-on (Maptitude for Redistricting)

NAACP LDF/MALDEF Expert Convening (2021)
Provided multiple sessions to potential future experts on expert report development, giving depositions, and providing testimony.

SIF Voting Rights Convening (2021)
Presented on a panel the unique aspects and issues pertaining to the 2020 round of redistricting.

Major GIS/Demographic/Redistricting Training and Presentations (cont.):

SIF Voting Rights Convening (2020)
Presented on a panel various preparatory aspects and questions that should be addressed prior to the development of plans.

Delta Days in the Nation's Capital, Washington, DC (2020)
Provided panel presentation on suggested efforts in preparation for the next round of redistricting. Plenary presentation to several hundred Delta Sigma Theta (DST) sorority sisters throughout the country.

William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA (2019)
Presented lecture to the GIS and Districting course students centering on improving as well as potential adverse trade-offs from improvements of the adopted redistricting plan chosen by the special masters of the *Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections* redistricting case.

Southern Echo, Jackson, Mississippi (2019)
Provided detailed training/presentation (3 hours) on various aspects of redistricting. Topics included: Relevant redistricting court cases, traditional redistricting criteria, and redistricting data.

William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA (2018)
Presented lecture to the GIS and Districting course students centering on aspects of the *Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections* redistricting case. Discussion pertained to how to develop a plan that corrected the 11 unconstitutional racial gerrymandered states House districts.

Congressional Black Caucus Institute, Washington, DC (2016)
Presented at the annual legislative conference in Tunica, MS. Presented the election demographic analysis and for the 2016 presidential and Senate elections. Panel also included Congressman Cedrick Richmond (L.A.), Congressman Sanford Bishop (G.A.), and Professor Spencer Overton.

Coalition of Black Trade Unionists (CBTU), Chicago, IL (2015)
Presented at the annual CBTU conference on the election panel that included Congressman Al Green (TX) and Congressman Bobby Rush (I.L.).

Nobel Women's Initiative, Washington, DC (2015)
Presented on a panel at the annual conference in San Diego, CA, on the upcoming 2020 census.

Tennessee NAACP, Nashville, TN (2011)
Provided redistricting training session on the mapping and demographic aspects of Redistricting.

Congressional Black Caucus Institute, Washington, DC (2002 - 2012, 2014)
Presented "The Demographics of Campaigns" twelve times at the institute's annual political campaign "Boot Camp." The presentation covers how to locate and utilize demographic data for political campaigns.

Congressional Black Caucus Foundation (CBCF), Washington, DC (2011)
Presented as one of the panelists at the" Judge A. Leon Higginbotham" Braintrust at the CBC Annual Legislative Conference. The panel was moderated by Congressman Mel Watt.

The Advancement Project, Washington, DC (2011)
Trained staff GIS person on Maptitude for Redistricting as well as on redistricting scenarios.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Baltimore, MA (2011)
Provided training session on "Redistricting Mapping Overview "at the organization's national redistricting training seminar for state and local chapters.

Major GIS/Demographic/Redistricting Training and Presentations (cont.):

Congressional Black Caucus Institute, Washington, DC (2010)
Presented at the annual CBC Institute conference in Tunica, MS (The panel included Congressman John Lewis and Congressman Jim Clyburn). Outlined two critical issues that would surface in the 2010 round of redistricting: 1) Prison-based Gerrymander; and 2) The use of Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP).

Community Census and Redistricting Institute (CCRI), Durham, NC (2010)
Developed, managed, and provided hands-on training for the Political Cartographer's side of a week-long intensive "redistricting expert" preparation workshop. The workshop trained 18 political cartographers on all aspects of plan development.

North Carolina University's Center for Civil Rights, Chapel Hill, NC (2010)
Provided presentation on "Redistricting Laws & GIS" at the *Unfinished Work* conference. The presentation outlined the evolution of major redistricting laws and GIS and their impact on minority representation.

NAACP Legal Defense Fund AIRLIE Conference, AIRLIE, VA (2010)
Provided training using hands-on "paper" redistricting scenario to voting rights advocates on developing a plan without the use of computers.

Young Elected Officials, Los Angeles, CA (2010)
Provided training using hands-on "paper" redistricting scenario to young legislators on developing a plan without the use of computers.

Young Elected Officials, Alexandria, VA (2010)
Provided overview training on the major aspects of redistricting to young legislators.

North Carolina University's Center for Civil Rights, Chapel Hill, NC (2006)
Provided presentation on "Congressional Elections Won by African Americans Race & Ethnicity District Perspective (1960 - 2004)" at the *Who Draws the Lines? The Consequences of Redistricting Reform for Minority Voters* conference.

Howard University - Continuing Education - HBCU GIS Workshop, Washington, DC (2002)
Provided presentation on redistricting and the use Maptitude for Redistricting to faculty members of Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs).

Norfolk State University Redistricting Project Training Workshops (1991 - 1998)
Provided redistricting training to the following:

- Alabama State University, Montgomery, Alabama
- Albany State University, Albany, Georgia
- Florida A & M, Tallahassee, Florida
- National Conference of Black Political Scientists, Atlanta, Georgia Conference
- Norfolk State University, Norfolk, Virginia
- North Carolina A & T State University, Greensboro, North Carolina
- North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina
- Southern University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana
- Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts

Major GIS/Redistricting/Voter Data Software Experience:

- ArcGIS - GIS Software - Primary GIS Software after 2012 (ESRI)
- ArcGIS Online – Including Story Maps & Web Application Builder (ArcGIS.com)
- GRASS GIS – Open Source GIS (OSGeo)
- Maptitude for Redistricting - Primary Redistricting software, since 2001 (Caliper)
- ESRI Redistricting Online - Beta Tester (ESRI)
- Public Mapping Project – Initial Advisory Board Member (an open source online software)
- GIS Plus (the precursor to Maptitude Software in the mid to late 1990s) - User (Caliper)
- ReapS Redistricting and Reapportionment System - Redistricting software, 1990s (LogiSYS)
- Voter Activation Network System NPGVAN
- Voterlistonline.com Aristotle software Aristotle

GIS Skillset/Coding Languages:

- Geocoding Data
- Linear Referencing
- Digital Cardinality
- Spatial Statistics
- Suitability Analysis
- Image Classification
- ArcGIS Web Services
- pdAdmin
- Python
- PostgreSQL

ESRI Training Certificates:

- Learning ArcGIS Desktop (for ArcGIS 10) - 24 hrs training
- Turning Data into Information Using ArcGIS 10 - 18 hrs training
- Basics of Raster Data (for ArcGIS 10) - 3 hrs training
- Using Raster Data for Site Selection (for ArcGIS 10) - 3 hrs training
- Working with Geodatabase Domains and Subtypes in ArcGIS - 3 hrs training
- Network Analysis Using ArcGIS - 3 hrs training

Publications:

Books

- *An Introduction to the Presidential Trend*, Statistical Press, March 2015
- *The Presidential Trend*, Statistical Press, December 2013
- *A Step by Step Guide to Using Census 2000 Data*, MediaChannel LLC, March 2004. Also included was a companion CD-ROM (sold through various Census-related workshops and training sessions and used in a political science course).

Manuals

- *A Beginner's Guide To Using Census 2000 Data*, November 2002 (Co-authored- developed for the U.S. Census Bureau's Census Information Centers)

Articles

- "Precision Voter Targeting: GIS Maps Out a Strategy," Geo Info Systems, November 1996 (Co-authored one of the first articles published on using modern-day GIS for voter targeting).

Appendix B

Maps of the

Illustrative, HB1, and 2011 Congressional Plans

1. Illustrative Plan - Congressional Districts Statewide
2. HB1 Plan - Congressional Districts Statewide
3. 2011 Plan - Congressional Districts Statewide
4. Illustrative Plan - Congressional Districts Zoom
5. HB1 Plan - Congressional Districts Zoom
6. 2011 Plan - Congressional Districts Zoom
7. Plaintiff's Address Locations

Louisiana Congressional Districts Illustrative Plan



Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1

Louisiana Congressional Districts HB1 Plan



Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data;
Louisiana State Legislature tRedistricting website

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1

Louisiana Congressional Districts 2011 Plan



Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data;
Caliper Data 2011 LA CD Boundaries

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1



Louisiana Congressional District 1 Illustrative Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1

N
W E
S



Louisiana Congressional District 2 Illustrative Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1



Louisiana Congressional District 3 Illustrative Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1



Louisiana Congressional District 4 Illustrative Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1


Louisiana
Congressional District 5
Illustrative Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
East Carroll
Bossier
Webster
Lincoln
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Caddo
Bienville
Jackson
Red River
De Soto
Caldwell
Franklin
Tensas
Winn
Catahoula
La Salle
Natchitoches
Grant
Concordia
Sabine
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
Allen
Evangeline
Beauregard
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
St. Landry
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
Tangipahoa
St. Tammany
Acadia
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
Orleans
St. James
Assumption
Jefferson
Cameron
Vermilion
Iberia
St. Charles
Lafourche
Plaquemines
1
2
3
4
5
6


West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
5
Washington
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Tangipahoa
6
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Ascension
Iberville
St. John the Baptist
2
Orleans
1
St. James
Jefferson
St. Charles
Assumption
Lafourche
Plaquemines
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Louisiana Congressional District 6 Illustrative Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
N
W
E
S



Louisiana Congressional District 1 HB1 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1


West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
5
Pointe Coupee
Tangipahoa
East Baton Rouge
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Iberville
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
Orleans
Iberia
St. James
2
St. Charles
St. Bernard
Assumption
Jefferson
St. Martin
6
1
3
Louisiana Congressional District 2 HB1 Plan
Lafourche
Plaquemines
Terrebonne
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
W
E
S
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6



Louisiana Congressional District 3 HB1 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1

N
W E
S



Louisiana Congressional District 4 HB1 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1


Louisiana
Congressional District 5
HB1 Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
East Carroll
Webster
Lincoln
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Bossier
Bienville
Jackson
Caddo
Caldwell
5
Red River
Franklin
Tensas
De Soto
Winn
Natchitoches
Catahoula
La Salle
4
Grant
Concordia
Sabine
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
Evangeline
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Tangipahoa
St. Landry
St. Tammany
West Baton Rouge
6
Livingston
1
N
W
E
S
Acadia
Davis
3
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
2
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
St. Charles
Vermilion
Iberia
St. James
Orleans
St. Bernard
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6


Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
St. Landry
Evangeline
Pointe Coupee
Tangipahoa
East Baton Rouge
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Acadia
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
Orleans
St. James
St. Charles
Jefferson
St. Bernard
Assumption
Vermilion
St. Mary
Iberia
Lafourche
Terrebonne
1
2
3
4
5
6
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Louisiana
Congressional District 6
HB1 Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
N
W
E
S


Concordia
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
5
Washington
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
6
Tangipahoa
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Iberville
St. Martin
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
2
Orleans
Iberia
St. James
St. Charles
Assumption
St. Bernard
3
Jefferson
1
St. Mary
Lafourche
Plaquemines
Terrebonne
Louisiana Congressional District 1 2011 Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data; Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
N
W
E
S
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6


West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
5
Tangipahoa
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
6
Ascension
Iberville
St. John the Baptist
1
Orleans
2
St. James
Iberia
St. Charles
Assumption
St. Bernard
St. Martin
Jefferson
Lafourche
Plaquemines
Terrebonne
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Louisiana
Congressional District 2
2011 Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data;
Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
N
W
E
S



Louisiana Congressional District 3 2011 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data; Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1



Louisiana Congressional District 4 2011 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data; Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1


Louisiana
Congressional District 5
2011 Plan
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data;
Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1
Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
East Carroll
Webster
Lincoln
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Bossier
Bienville
Jackson
Caddo
Caldwell
Franklin
Tensas
Red River
De Soto
Winn
5
Catahoula
4
La Salle
Natchitoches
Grant
Concordia
Sabine
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
Evangeline
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Tangipahoa
St. Landry
St. Tammany
West Baton Rouge
6
Livingston
1
Jefferson Davis
Acadia
St. Martin
Iberville
St. John the Baptist
3
2
Ascension
St. Charles
Lafayette
Vermilion
Iberia
St. James
Jefferson
Orleans
St. Bernard
N
W
E
Legend
Parishes
Water
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6



Louisiana Congressional District 6 2011 Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data; Caliper Data of 2011 LA CD Boundaries

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1


Louisiana
Congressional Districts
Illustrative Plan & Plaintiffs
Legend
Plaintiffs
Parishes
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
Lincoln
East Carroll
Webster
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Bienville
Jackson
Franklin
Red River
Caldwell
Tensas
Winn
Catahoula
La Salle
Natchitoches
Grant
Sabine
Concordia
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
Tangipahoa
Beauregard
Evangeline
Allen
St. Landry
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Livingston
Jefferson Davis
Acadia
Calcasieu
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
St. Tammany
Orleans
St. James
St. Charles
Jefferson
St. Bernard
Iberia
Assumption
Cameron
Vermilion
St. Mary
Lafourche
Terrebonne
Plaquemines
Sims
Cage
Robinson
Davis
Lewis
Lowe
Washington
Soule
Nairne
1
2
3
4
5
6
N
W
E
S
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 4/4/22
Version 1

Appendix C

Redistricting Criteria Comparison Reports

(Maptitude Data Reports – Illustrative, HB1, and 2011 Plans)

1. Louisiana Criteria - Joint Rule 21
2. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – TTL
3. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – VAP/CVAP
4. Contiguity
5. Compactness
6. Political Sub Division Splits - Parish
7. Political Sub Division Splits - VTDs
8. Community of Interest - Cities
9. Community of Interest - Landmark Splits
10. Fracking

Joint Rule No. 21. Redistricting criteria

A. To promote the development of constitutionally and legally acceptable redistricting plans, the Legislature of Louisiana adopts the criteria contained in this Joint Rule, declaring the same to constitute minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of redistricting plans in the manner specified in this Joint Rule.

B. Each redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall comply with the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and the Fifteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended; and all other applicable federal and state laws.

C. Each redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall provide that each district within the plan is composed of contiguous geography.

D. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for the House of Representatives, Senate, Public Service Commission, and Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall be as follows:

(1) The plan shall provide for single-member districts.

(2) The plan shall provide for districts that are substantially equal in population. Therefore, under no circumstances shall any plan be considered if the plan has an absolute deviation of population which exceeds plus or minus five percent of the ideal district population.

(3) The plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

(4) Due consideration shall be given to traditional district alignments to the extent practicable.

E. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for Congress shall be as follows:

(1) The plan shall provide for single-member districts.

(2) The plan shall provide that each congressional district shall have a population as nearly equal to the ideal district population as practicable.

(3) The plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

F. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for the Supreme Court shall be that the plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

G.(1) To the extent practicable, each district within a redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall contain whole election precincts as those are represented as Voting Districts (VTDs) in the most recent Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles for the State of Louisiana which corresponds to the P.L. 94-171 data released by the United States Bureau of the Census for the decade in which the redistricting is to occur. However, if the redistricting plan is submitted after the year in which the legislature is required by Article III, Section 6, of the Constitution of Louisiana to reapportion, then to the extent practicable, the redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall contain whole election precincts as those are represented as VTDs as validated through the data verification program of the House and Senate in the most recent Shapefiles made available on the website of the legislature.

(2) If a VTD must be divided, it shall be divided into as few districts as practicable using a visible census tabulation boundary or boundaries.

H. All redistricting plans shall respect the established boundaries of parishes, municipalities, and other political subdivisions and natural geography of this state to the extent practicable. However, this criterion is subordinate to and shall not be used to undermine the maintenance of communities of interest within the same district to the extent practicable.

I. The most recent P.L. 94-171 data released by the United States Bureau of the Census, as validated through the data verification program of the House and Senate, shall be the population data used to establish and for evaluation of proposed redistricting plans.

J. Each redistricting plan submitted to the legislature by the public for consideration shall be submitted electronically in a comma-delimited block equivalency file.

HCR 90, 2021 R.S., eff. June 11, 2021.

If you experience any technical difficulties navigating this website, click here to contact the webmaster.
P.O. Box 94062 (900 North Third Street) Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9062

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, April 4, 2022 7:25 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Wht]	[% AP_Blk]	[18+_Pop]	CVAP_TOT20
1	776,286	-7	0.00%	11.81%	62.15%	20.11%	603,084	575,168
2	776,291	-2	0.00%	9.51%	33.19%	53.19%	603,764	581,178
3	776,280	-13	0.00%	5.39%	70.85%	19.42%	586,948	569,445
4	776,280	-13	0.00%	4.46%	57.63%	33.74%	596,366	592,835
5	776,331	38	0.00%	3.4%	40.04%	54.62%	589,193	586,061
6	776,289	-4	0.00%	6.99%	70.64%	17.69%	591,193	563,107

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,280 to 776,331
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-13 to 38
Absolute Overall Range:	51
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	12.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	17.56

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, April 4, 2022 8:12 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Wht]	[% AP_Blk]	[18+_Pop]	CVAP_TOT20
1	776,268	-25	0.00%	12.04%	67.02%	14.92%	601,559	559,973
2	776,317	24	0.00%	8.66%	27.05%	60.96%	600,203	589,607
3	776,275	-18	0.00%	5.29%	64.41%	26.51%	586,488	571,721
4	776,333	40	0.01%	4.54%	55.68%	35.78%	591,095	594,558
5	776,277	-16	0.00%	3.8%	57.92%	35.13%	597,389	590,308
6	776,287	-6	0.00%	7.22%	62.42%	25.47%	593,814	561,627

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,268 to 776,333
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-25 to 40
Absolute Overall Range:	65
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.01%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	21.50
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.86

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Population Summary

Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:24 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% Hispanic Origin]	[% NH_Wht]	[% AP_Blk]	[18+_Pop]	CVAP_TOT20
1	812,585	36,292	4.68%	11.6%	66.36%	16.17%	629,822	588,938
2	775,292	-1,001	-0.13%	8.72%	27.01%	60.94%	599,438	589,280
3	785,824	9,531	1.23%	5.41%	64.43%	26.35%	593,570	578,431
4	728,346	-47,947	-6.18%	4.71%	55.84%	35.3%	554,876	557,939
5	739,244	-37,049	-4.77%	3.63%	58.09%	35.15%	567,681	562,695
6	816,466	40,173	5.17%	6.99%	61.94%	26.36%	625,161	590,511

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	728,346 to 816,466
Ratio Range:	0.12
Absolute Range:	-47,947 to 40,173
Absolute Overall Range:	88,120
Relative Range:	-6.18% to 5.17%
Relative Overall Range:	11.35%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	28,665.50
Relative Mean Deviation:	3.69%
Standard Deviation:	33,402.51

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, April 4, 2022 7:26 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% H18+ _Pop]	[% NH18+ _Wht]	[% 18+ _AP_Blk]	[% CVAP_HSP20]	[% CVAP_WHT20]	[% CVAP_BLK20]
1	776,286	-7	0.00%	10.71%	65.35%	18.29%	5.57%	72.66%	17.57%
2	776,291	-2	0.00%	8.51%	36.12%	50.96%	4.12%	38.61%	54.1%
3	776,280	-13	0.00%	4.88%	72.96%	17.91%	2.73%	77.04%	17.75%
4	776,280	-13	0.00%	4.02%	59.9%	31.9%	2.34%	62.64%	32.91%
5	776,331	38	0.00%	3.17%	42.79%	52.05%	1.34%	45.29%	52.21%
6	776,289	-4	0.00%	6.14%	73.13%	16.19%	2.82%	78.35%	16.43%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,280 to 776,331
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-13 to 38
Absolute Overall Range:	51
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	12.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	17.56

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, April 4, 2022 8:13 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% H18+ _Pop]	[% NH18+ _Wht]	[% 18+ _AP_Blk]	[% CVAP_HSP20]	[% CVAP_WHT20]	[% CVAP_BLK20]
1	776,268	-25	0.00%	10.94%	69.86%	13.48%	5.75%	77.86%	12.58%
2	776,317	24	0.00%	7.84%	29.84%	58.65%	3.88%	31.9%	61.41%
3	776,275	-18	0.00%	4.69%	67.01%	24.63%	2.38%	70.97%	24.53%
4	776,333	40	0.01%	4.07%	58.12%	33.82%	2.67%	60.78%	34.25%
5	776,277	-16	0.00%	3.61%	60.29%	32.91%	1.7%	62.66%	34.17%
6	776,287	-6	0.00%	6.35%	65.01%	23.86%	2.58%	70.83%	23.51%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,268 to 776,333
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-25 to 40
Absolute Overall Range:	65
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.01%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	21.50
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.86

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Population Summary

Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:11 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% H18+ _Pop]	[% NH18+ _Wht]	[% 18+ _AP_Blk]	[% CVAP_HSP20]	[% CVAP_WHT20]	[% CVAP_BLK20]
1	812,585	36,292	4.68%	10.55%	69.24%	14.63%	5.55%	77.01%	13.8%
2	775,292	-1,001	-0.13%	7.89%	29.8%	58.65%	3.91%	31.86%	61.41%
3	785,824	9,531	1.23%	4.78%	67.03%	24.47%	2.45%	71.04%	24.38%
4	728,346	-47,947	-6.18%	4.23%	58.26%	33.37%	2.76%	61.09%	33.76%
5	739,244	-37,049	-4.77%	3.47%	60.38%	32.97%	1.63%	62.44%	34.46%
6	816,466	40,173	5.17%	6.14%	64.54%	24.71%	2.49%	70.19%	24.34%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	728,346 to 816,466
Ratio Range:	0.12
Absolute Range:	-47,947 to 40,173
Absolute Overall Range:	88,120
Relative Range:	-6.18% to 5.17%
Relative Overall Range:	11.35%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	28,665.50
Relative Mean Deviation:	3.69%
Standard Deviation:	33,402.51

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Contiguity Report

Friday, April 1, 2022 10:30 PM

District	Number of Distinct Areas
1	1
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	1
6	1

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Contiguity Report

Friday, April 1, 2022 9:07 PM

District	Number of Distinct Areas
1	1
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	1
6	1

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Contiguity Report

Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:37 PM

District	Number of Distinct Areas
1	1
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	1
6	1

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Measures of Compactness Report

Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:51 AM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A
Min	0.33	0.10	0.57
Max	0.56	0.28	0.84
Mean	0.42	0.18	0.69
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.06	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
1	0.38	0.18	0.73
2	0.33	0.13	0.57
3	0.51	0.18	0.73
4	0.56	0.28	0.84
5	0.35	0.10	0.57
6	0.40	0.18	0.72

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Area / Convex Hull	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Measures of Compactness Report

Friday, April 1, 2022 9:08 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A
Min	0.18	0.06	0.38
Max	0.50	0.29	0.79
Mean	0.37	0.14	0.62
Std. Dev.	0.11	0.08	0.14

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
1	0.50	0.16	0.71
2	0.18	0.06	0.38
3	0.37	0.29	0.79
4	0.33	0.16	0.61
5	0.37	0.12	0.60
6	0.45	0.07	0.64

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Area / Convex Hull	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Measures of Compactness Report

Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:14 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A
Min	0.18	0.06	0.38
Max	0.46	0.32	0.80
Mean	0.36	0.15	0.61
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.10	0.14

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
1	0.46	0.16	0.67
2	0.18	0.06	0.38
3	0.40	0.32	0.80
4	0.34	0.16	0.61
5	0.37	0.10	0.57
6	0.38	0.07	0.60

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Area / Convex Hull	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:50 AM

Number of subdivisions not split:
County 50

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district:
County 14

Number of splits involving no population:
County 0

Split Counts

County
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 11
Cases where an area is split among 3 Districts: 3

County	District	Population
Split Counties:		
Ascension LA	2	38,845
Ascension LA	6	87,655
East Baton Rouge LA	2	78,676
East Baton Rouge LA	5	177,263
East Baton Rouge LA	6	200,842
Evangeline LA	3	23,988
Evangeline LA	5	8,362
Iberia LA	1	57,438
Iberia LA	3	12,491
Iberville LA	1	5,187
Iberville LA	2	10,224
Iberville LA	5	14,830
Jefferson LA	1	237,070
Jefferson LA	2	203,711
Lafayette LA	3	172,780
Lafayette LA	5	68,973
Orleans LA	1	75,419
Orleans LA	2	308,578
Ouachita LA	4	90,953
Ouachita LA	5	69,415
Rapides LA	3	69,584
Rapides LA	5	60,439
St. Martin LA	1	1,368
St. Martin LA	3	35,420
St. Martin LA	5	14,979
St. Tammany LA	1	75,982

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

LA CD Illustrative Plan 1

County	District	Population
St. Tammany LA	6	188,588
Tangipahoa LA	5	21,698
Tangipahoa LA	6	111,459
Vernon LA	3	33,131
Vernon LA	4	15,619

User: **Tony Fairfax**
Plan Name: **LA CD Plan HB1**
Plan Type: **Congressional Districts**

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Friday, April 1, 2022 10:42 PM

Number of subdivisions not split:
County 49

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district:
County 15

Number of splits involving no population:
County 0

Split Counts

County
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 15
Voting District
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 1

County	District	Population
Split Counties:		
Ascension LA	2	20,892
Ascension LA	6	105,608
Assumption LA	2	6,710
Assumption LA	6	14,329
East Baton Rouge LA	2	94,325
East Baton Rouge LA	6	362,456
Grant LA	4	7,473
Grant LA	5	14,696
Iberville LA	2	21,073
Iberville LA	6	9,168
Jefferson LA	1	245,132
Jefferson LA	2	195,649
Lafourche LA	1	43,701
Lafourche LA	6	53,856
Orleans LA	1	48,050
Orleans LA	2	335,947
St. Charles LA	2	34,943
St. Charles LA	6	17,606
St. John the Baptist LA	2	32,678
St. John the Baptist LA	6	9,799
St. Martin LA	3	50,399
St. Martin LA	6	1,368
St. Mary LA	3	44,607
St. Mary LA	6	4,799
Tangipahoa LA	1	39,681

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

LA CD Plan HB1

County	District	Population
Tangipahoa LA	5	93,476
Terrebonne LA	1	67,855
Terrebonne LA	6	41,725
West Baton Rouge LA	2	13,908
West Baton Rouge LA	6	13,291
Split VTDs:		
West Baton Rouge LA	2	250
West Baton Rouge LA	6	1,869

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:39 PM

Number of subdivisions not split:
County 49

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district:
County 15

Number of splits involving no population:
County 0

Split Counts

County
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 14
Cases where an area is split among 3 Districts: 1
Voting District
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 43

County	District	Population
Split Counties:		
Ascension LA	2	20,892
Ascension LA	6	105,608
Assumption LA	2	6,710
Assumption LA	6	14,329
East Baton Rouge LA	2	93,030
East Baton Rouge LA	6	363,751
East Feliciana LA	5	10,779
East Feliciana LA	6	8,760
Iberville LA	2	21,249
Iberville LA	6	8,992
Jefferson LA	1	238,491
Jefferson LA	2	202,290
Lafourche LA	1	43,252
Lafourche LA	6	54,305
Orleans LA	1	49,479
Orleans LA	2	334,518
St. Charles LA	2	33,751
St. Charles LA	6	18,798
St. Helena LA	5	2,584
St. Helena LA	6	8,336
St. John the Baptist LA	2	30,370
St. John the Baptist LA	6	12,107
St. Landry LA	3	3,382
St. Landry LA	4	42,026

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

LA CD 2011 Plan

County	District	Population
St. Landry LA	5	37,132
Tangipahoa LA	1	83,465
Tangipahoa LA	5	49,692
Terrebonne LA	1	66,049
Terrebonne LA	6	43,531
West Baton Rouge LA	2	12,290
West Baton Rouge LA	6	14,909
Split VTDs:		
East Baton Rouge LA	2	0
East Baton Rouge LA	6	2,002
East Baton Rouge LA	2	1,893
East Baton Rouge LA	6	1,970
East Baton Rouge LA	2	1,982
East Baton Rouge LA	6	0
East Baton Rouge LA	2	0
East Baton Rouge LA	6	2,121
East Feliciana LA	5	503
East Feliciana LA	6	201
East Feliciana LA	5	623
East Feliciana LA	6	1,353
East Feliciana LA	5	211
East Feliciana LA	6	1,979
Iberville LA	2	60
Iberville LA	6	411
Iberville LA	2	131
Iberville LA	6	837
Iberville LA	2	4
Iberville LA	6	1,006
Iberville LA	2	223
Iberville LA	6	19
Jefferson LA	1	0
Jefferson LA	2	1,337
Jefferson LA	1	0
Jefferson LA	2	719
Jefferson LA	1	1,901
Jefferson LA	2	0
Orleans LA	1	579
Orleans LA	2	0
St. Charles LA	2	285
St. Charles LA	6	2,879
St. Charles LA	2	18
St. Charles LA	6	1,541
St. Charles LA	2	1,592
St. Charles LA	6	19
St. Charles LA	2	0
St. Charles LA	6	1,254
St. Charles LA	2	0

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

LA CD 2011 Plan

County	District	Population
St. Charles LA	6	2,154
St. Charles LA	2	0
St. Charles LA	6	820
St. Charles LA	2	563
St. Charles LA	6	0
St. Charles LA	2	583
St. Charles LA	6	222
St. John the Baptist LA	2	746
St. John the Baptist LA	6	137
St. John the Baptist LA	2	1,395
St. John the Baptist LA	6	181
St. John the Baptist LA	2	1,037
St. John the Baptist LA	6	1,481
St. John the Baptist LA	2	0
St. John the Baptist LA	6	3,049
St. John the Baptist LA	2	1,328
St. John the Baptist LA	6	355
St. John the Baptist LA	2	786
St. John the Baptist LA	6	154
St. Landry LA	4	914
St. Landry LA	5	560
St. Landry LA	4	1,254
St. Landry LA	5	464
St. Landry LA	4	336
St. Landry LA	5	761
St. Landry LA	4	50
St. Landry LA	5	1,252
St. Landry LA	4	25
St. Landry LA	5	2,336
St. Landry LA	4	356
St. Landry LA	5	1,782
St. Landry LA	4	3,068
St. Landry LA	5	68
St. Landry LA	4	127
St. Landry LA	5	1,623
St. Landry LA	4	1,099
St. Landry LA	5	661
St. Landry LA	3	1,829
St. Landry LA	4	0
Terrebonne LA	1	2,276
Terrebonne LA	6	123
West Baton Rouge LA	2	1,436
West Baton Rouge LA	6	1,052
West Baton Rouge LA	2	0
West Baton Rouge LA	6	803
West Baton Rouge LA	2	0
West Baton Rouge LA	6	574

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Communities of Interest (Condensed)

Friday, April 8, 2022 3:57 PM

Whole New VTDs : 3,530
New VTDs Splits: 0
Zero Population New VTDs Splits: 9

District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop	District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Communities of Interest (Condensed)

Friday, April 8, 2022 2:58 PM

Whole New VTDs : 3,530
New VTDs Splits: 0
Zero Population New VTDs Splits: 9

District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop	District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Communities of Interest (Condensed)

Friday, April 8, 2022 4:40 PM

Whole New VTDs : 3,502
New VTDs Splits: 86
Zero Population New VTDs Splits: 24

District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop	District	New VTDs	Population	% Pop
1	16	2,276	94.87%	4	2	50	3.84%
1	5-16	579	100.00%	5	13	761	69.37%
1	199	1,901	100.00%	5	4	211	9.63%
1	116	0	0.00%	5	29	1,623	92.74%
1	131	0	0.00%	5	20	2,336	98.94%
2	5-4	0	0.00%	5	22	1,782	83.35%
2	6-1	1,328	78.91%	5	1	560	37.99%
2	4-1	746	84.48%	5	24	68	2.17%
2	5-1	1,037	41.18%	5	34	661	37.56%
2	7-7	786	83.62%	5	2	623	31.53%
2	5	0	0.00%	5	11	464	27.01%
2	4	0	0.00%	5	11	503	71.45%
2	1B	1,436	57.72%	5	2	1,252	96.16%
2	3-2	285	9.01%	6	5-4	3,049	100.00%
2	3-3	18	1.15%	6	6-1	355	21.09%
2	5-3	0	0.00%	6	4-1	137	15.52%
2	5-1	1,592	98.82%	6	5-1	1,481	58.82%
2	4-14	1,395	88.52%	6	7-7	154	16.38%
2	6-1	0	0.00%	6	5	574	100.00%
2	6-8	583	72.42%	6	4	1,979	90.37%
2	6-6	563	100.00%	6	4	803	100.00%
2	1-5	1,893	49.00%	6	2	1,353	68.47%
2	5-16	0	0.00%	6	1B	1,052	42.28%
2	4	4	0.40%	6	11	201	28.55%
2	6	223	92.15%	6	16	123	5.13%
2	13	60	12.74%	6	3-2	2,879	90.99%
2	24	131	13.53%	6	3-3	1,541	98.85%
2	199	0	0.00%	6	5-3	1,254	100.00%
2	116	1,337	100.00%	6	5-1	19	1.18%
2	2-5	0	0.00%	6	4-14	181	11.48%
2	2-13	1,982	100.00%	6	6-1	2,154	100.00%
2	1-1	0	0.00%	6	6-8	222	27.58%
2	131	719	100.00%	6	6-6	0	0.00%
2	6-4	0	0.00%	6	1-5	1,970	51.00%
3	41	1,829	100.00%	6	4	1,006	99.60%
4	13	336	30.63%	6	6	19	7.85%
4	29	127	7.26%	6	13	411	87.26%
4	20	25	1.06%	6	24	837	86.47%
4	22	356	16.65%	6	2-5	2,121	100.00%
4	1	914	62.01%	6	2-13	0	0.00%
4	24	3,068	97.83%	6	1-1	2,002	100.00%
4	34	1,099	62.44%	6	6-4	820	100.00%
4	41	0	0.00%				
4	11	1,254	72.99%				

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:55 AM

City/Town	District	Population	%
Abbeville LA	3	11,186	100.0
Abita Springs LA	6	2,631	100.0
Addis LA	5	6,731	100.0
Albany LA	6	1,235	100.0
Alexandria LA	3	13,740	30.4
Alexandria LA	5	31,535	69.7
Ama LA	2	1,290	100.0
Amelia LA	1	2,132	100.0
Amite City LA	5	4,005	100.0
Anacoco LA	4	851	100.0
Angie LA	6	258	100.0
Arabi LA	1	4,533	100.0
Arcadia LA	4	2,746	100.0
Arnaudville LA	5	1,009	100.0
Ashland LA	4	194	100.0
Athens LA	4	237	100.0
Atlanta LA	4	149	100.0
Avondale LA	2	4,582	100.0
Baker LA	5	12,455	100.0
Baldwin LA	1	1,762	100.0
Ball LA	3	3,961	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Banks Springs LA	4	1,136	100.0
Barataria LA	1	1,057	100.0
Basile LA	3	1,214	100.0
Baskin LA	5	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	5	9,691	100.0
Baton Rouge LA	2	34,805	15.3
Baton Rouge LA	5	101,118	44.5
Baton Rouge LA	6	91,547	40.3
Bawcomville LA	4	3,472	100.0
Bayou Blue LA	1	13,352	100.0
Bayou Cane LA	1	19,770	100.0
Bayou Corne LA	2	32	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	1	1,304	100.0
Bayou Gauche LA	2	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	2	514	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	2	1,806	100.0
Bayou Vista LA	1	4,213	100.0
Belcher LA	4	248	100.0
Belle Chasse LA	1	10,579	100.0
Belle Rose LA	2	1,698	100.0
Belmont LA	4	305	100.0
Benton LA	4	2,048	100.0
Bernice LA	4	1,356	100.0
Berwick LA	1	4,771	100.0
Bienville LA	4	191	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Blanchard LA	4	3,538	100.0
Bogalusa LA	6	10,659	100.0
Bonita LA	5	170	100.0
Boothville LA	1	718	100.0
Bordelonville LA	5	458	100.0
Bossier City LA	4	62,701	100.0
Bourg LA	1	2,375	100.0
Boutte LA	2	3,054	100.0
Boyce LA	3	888	100.0
Branch LA	3	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA	3	5,165	68.8
Breaux Bridge LA	5	2,348	31.3
Bridge City LA	2	7,219	100.0
Broussard LA	3	13,417	100.0
Brownfields LA	5	5,145	100.0
Brownsville LA	4	4,014	92.2
Brownsville LA	5	339	7.8
Brusly LA	5	2,578	100.0
Bryceland LA	4	87	100.0
Bunkie LA	5	3,346	100.0
Buras LA	1	1,109	100.0
Cade LA	3	1,874	100.0
Calhoun LA	4	670	100.0
Calvin LA	4	242	100.0
Cameron LA	3	315	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Campti LA	4	887	100.0
Cankton LA	5	583	100.0
Carencro LA	5	9,272	100.0
Carlyss LA	3	5,101	100.0
Castor LA	4	230	100.0
Catahoula LA	3	988	100.0
Cecilia LA	3	30	1.7
Cecilia LA	5	1,777	98.3
Center Point LA	5	520	100.0
Centerville LA	1	499	100.0
Central LA	5	2,135	7.2
Central LA	6	27,430	92.8
Chackbay LA	1	5,370	100.0
Chalmette LA	1	21,562	100.0
Charenton LA	1	1,699	100.0
Chataignier LA	3	259	100.0
Chatham LA	4	491	100.0
Chauvin LA	1	2,575	100.0
Cheneyville LA	5	468	100.0
Choctaw LA	1	775	100.0
Choudrant LA	4	989	100.0
Church Point LA	3	4,179	100.0
Claiborne LA	4	12,631	100.0
Clarence LA	4	326	100.0
Clarks LA	4	1,052	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Clayton LA	5	584	100.0
Clinton LA	5	1,340	100.0
Colfax LA	4	1,428	100.0
Collinston LA	5	274	100.0
Columbia LA	4	277	100.0
Convent LA	2	483	100.0
Converse LA	4	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	4	787	100.0
Cottonport LA	5	2,023	100.0
Coushatta LA	4	1,752	100.0
Covington LA	6	11,564	100.0
Creola LA	4	242	100.0
Crescent LA	1	638	78.7
Crescent LA	5	173	21.3
Crowley LA	3	11,710	100.0
Cullen LA	4	716	100.0
Cut Off LA	1	5,533	100.0
Darrow LA	2	200	100.0
Delacroix LA	1	48	100.0
Delcambre LA	3	1,793	100.0
Delhi LA	5	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	5	232	100.0
Denham Springs LA	6	9,286	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3	3,144	100.0
DeRidder LA	3	9,852	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Des Allemands LA	1	449	20.6
Des Allemands LA	2	1,730	79.4
Destrehan LA	2	11,340	100.0
Deville LA	3	1,761	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	4	293	100.0
Dodson LA	4	294	100.0
Donaldsonville LA	2	6,695	100.0
Dorseyville LA	1	159	100.0
Downsville LA	4	120	100.0
Doyline LA	4	674	100.0
Dry Prong LA	4	455	100.0
Dubach LA	4	908	100.0
Dubberly LA	4	250	100.0
Dulac LA	1	1,241	100.0
Duson LA	3	1,326	100.0
East Hodge LA	4	204	100.0
Eastwood LA	4	4,390	100.0
Echo LA	5	352	100.0
Eden Isle LA	1	7,782	100.0
Edgard LA	2	1,948	100.0
Edgefield LA	4	204	100.0
Egan LA	3	618	100.0
Elizabeth LA	3	417	100.0
Elmwood LA	1	5,649	100.0
Elton LA	3	992	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Empire LA	1	905	100.0
Epps LA	5	358	100.0
Erath LA	3	2,028	100.0
Eros LA	4	130	100.0
Erwinville LA	5	2,275	100.0
Estelle LA	2	17,952	100.0
Estherwood LA	3	694	100.0
Eunice LA	3	302	3.2
Eunice LA	5	9,120	96.8
Evergreen LA	5	215	100.0
Farmerville LA	4	3,366	100.0
Fenton LA	3	226	100.0
Ferriday LA	5	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	5	921	100.0
Fisher LA	4	197	100.0
Florien LA	4	553	100.0
Folsom LA	6	769	100.0
Fordoche LA	5	910	100.0
Forest Hill LA	3	605	100.0
Forest LA	5	304	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	4	494	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	3	2,179	100.0
Fort Polk South LA	3	7,950	100.0
Franklin LA	1	6,728	100.0
Franklinton LA	6	3,662	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
French Settlement LA	6	1,073	100.0
Frierson LA	4	132	100.0
Galliano LA	1	7,100	100.0
Gardere LA	2	13,203	100.0
Garyville LA	2	2,123	100.0
Georgetown LA	4	277	100.0
Gibsland LA	4	773	100.0
Gilbert LA	5	449	100.0
Gilliam LA	4	123	100.0
Gillis LA	3	800	100.0
Glencoe LA	1	132	100.0
Glenmora LA	3	1,087	100.0
Gloster LA	4	53	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1	1,761	100.0
Goldonna LA	4	428	100.0
Gonzales LA	2	12,209	99.8
Gonzales LA	6	22	0.2
Good Pine LA	4	259	100.0
Grambling LA	4	5,239	100.0
Gramercy LA	2	2,932	100.0
Grand Cane LA	4	217	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	5	776	100.0
Grand Isle LA	1	1,005	100.0
Grand Point LA	2	2,241	100.0
Gray LA	1	5,518	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Grayson LA	4	449	100.0
Greensburg LA	5	629	100.0
Greenwood LA	4	3,166	100.0
Gretna LA	2	17,814	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	5	548	100.0
Gueydan LA	3	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	3	926	100.0
Hahnville LA	2	2,959	100.0
Hall Summit LA	4	268	100.0
Hammond LA	6	19,584	100.0
Harahan LA	1	9,116	100.0
Harrisonburg LA	5	277	100.0
Harvey LA	2	22,236	100.0
Haughton LA	4	4,539	100.0
Hayes LA	3	676	100.0
Haynesville LA	4	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	4	213	100.0
Henderson LA	3	1,617	100.0
Hessmer LA	5	772	100.0
Hester LA	2	483	100.0
Hodge LA	4	382	100.0
Homer LA	4	2,747	100.0
Hornbeck LA	4	430	100.0
Hosston LA	4	244	100.0
Houma LA	1	33,406	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Ida LA	4	217	100.0
Independence LA	5	1,619	99.0
Independence LA	6	16	1.0
Inniswold LA	6	5,987	100.0
Iota LA	3	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3	3,436	100.0
Jackson LA	5	3,990	100.0
Jamestown LA	4	100	100.0
Jean Lafitte LA	1	1,809	100.0
Jeanerette LA	1	4,813	100.0
Jefferson LA	1	9,432	88.7
Jefferson LA	2	1,201	11.3
Jena LA	4	4,155	100.0
Jennings LA	3	9,837	100.0
Jonesboro LA	4	4,106	100.0
Jonesville LA	5	1,728	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	4	196	100.0
Joyce LA	4	328	100.0
Junction City LA	4	437	100.0
Kaplan LA	3	4,352	100.0
Keachi LA	4	243	100.0
Kenner LA	1	54,578	82.1
Kenner LA	2	11,870	17.9
Kentwood LA	5	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	5	351	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Killian LA	6	1,177	100.0
Killona LA	2	724	100.0
Kinder LA	3	2,170	100.0
Kraemer LA	1	877	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	5	904	100.0
Labadieville LA	2	1,715	100.0
Lacassine LA	3	490	100.0
Lacombe LA	6	8,657	100.0
Lafayette LA	3	84,924	70.0
Lafayette LA	5	36,450	30.0
Lafitte LA	1	1,014	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	1	2,427	100.0
Lake Arthur LA	3	2,595	100.0
Lake Charles LA	3	84,872	100.0
Lake Providence LA	5	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	5	1,988	100.0
Lakeview LA	4	818	100.0
Laplace LA	2	28,841	100.0
Larose LA	1	6,763	100.0
Lawtell LA	5	1,066	100.0
Lecompte LA	5	845	100.0
Leesville LA	3	1,979	35.0
Leesville LA	4	3,670	65.0
Lemannville LA	2	695	100.0
Leonville LA	5	868	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Lewisburg LA	6	420	100.0
Lillie LA	4	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	4	173	100.0
Livingston LA	6	1,877	100.0
Livonia LA	5	1,212	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	1	2,490	100.0
Logansport LA	4	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	4	115	100.0
Longville LA	3	545	100.0
Loreauville LA	1	658	100.0
Lucky LA	4	251	100.0
Luling LA	2	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	2	3,133	100.0
Lydia LA	1	892	100.0
Madisonville LA	6	850	100.0
Mamou LA	3	2,936	100.0
Mandeville LA	6	13,192	100.0
Mangham LA	5	624	100.0
Mansfield LA	4	4,714	100.0
Mansura LA	5	1,320	100.0
Many LA	4	2,571	100.0
Maringouin LA	5	891	100.0
Marion LA	4	623	100.0
Marksville LA	5	5,065	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Marrero LA	2	32,382	100.0
Marthaville LA	4	90	100.0
Martin LA	4	524	100.0
Mathews LA	1	2,273	100.0
Maurice LA	3	2,118	100.0
McNary LA	3	201	100.0
Melville LA	5	759	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	5	491	100.0
Meraux LA	1	6,804	100.0
Mermentau LA	3	516	100.0
Merrydale LA	5	9,227	100.0
Merryville LA	3	967	100.0
Metairie LA	1	141,124	98.3
Metairie LA	2	2,383	1.7
Midland LA	3	249	100.0
Midway LA	4	1,157	100.0
Milton LA	3	2,590	100.0
Minden LA	4	11,928	100.0
Minorca LA	5	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA	4	10,565	22.2
Monroe LA	5	37,137	77.9
Montegut LA	1	1,465	100.0
Monterey LA	5	474	100.0
Montgomery LA	4	622	100.0
Monticello LA	5	5,431	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Montpelier LA	5	196	100.0
Montz LA	2	2,106	100.0
Moonshine LA	2	168	100.0
Mooringsport LA	4	748	100.0
Moreauville LA	5	984	100.0
Morgan City LA	1	11,472	100.0
Morganza LA	5	525	100.0
Morrow LA	5	149	100.0
Morse LA	3	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	3	12,522	100.0
Mound LA	5	12	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	4	66	100.0
Napoleonville LA	2	540	100.0
Natalbany LA	6	2,510	100.0
Natchez LA	4	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	4	18,039	100.0
New Iberia LA	1	28,033	98.2
New Iberia LA	3	522	1.8
New Llano LA	3	634	28.7
New Llano LA	4	1,579	71.4
New Orleans LA	1	75,419	19.6
New Orleans LA	2	308,578	80.4
New Orleans Station LA	1	2,508	100.0
New Roads LA	5	4,549	100.0
New Sarpy LA	2	1,169	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Newellton LA	5	886	100.0
Noble LA	4	200	100.0
Norco LA	2	2,984	100.0
North Hodge LA	4	296	100.0
North Vacherie LA	2	2,093	100.0
Norwood LA	5	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	5	1,441	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	2	9,239	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	5	124	100.0
Oakdale LA	3	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	3	1,402	100.0
Oil City LA	4	901	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	6	7,339	100.0
Olla LA	4	1,295	100.0
Opelousas LA	5	15,786	100.0
Oretta LA	3	371	100.0
Ossun LA	3	573	26.7
Ossun LA	5	1,572	73.3
Paincourtville LA	2	857	100.0
Palmetto LA	5	92	100.0
Paradis LA	2	1,242	100.0
Parks LA	3	640	100.0
Patterson LA	1	5,931	100.0
Paulina LA	2	1,778	100.0
Pearl River LA	6	2,565	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Perry LA	3	1,171	100.0
Pierre Part LA	2	3,024	100.0
Pine Prairie LA	3	1,490	100.0
Pineville LA	3	4,753	33.0
Pineville LA	5	9,631	67.0
Pioneer LA	5	149	100.0
Pitkin LA	3	455	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	4	893	100.0
Plaquemine LA	1	110	1.8
Plaquemine LA	5	6,159	98.3
Plaucheville LA	5	221	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	4	617	100.0
Pleasure Bend LA	2	212	100.0
Point Place LA	4	382	100.0
Pointe a la Hache LA	1	183	100.0
Pollock LA	4	394	100.0
Ponchatoula LA	6	7,822	100.0
Port Allen LA	5	4,939	100.0
Port Barre LA	5	1,751	100.0
Port Sulphur LA	1	1,677	100.0
Port Vincent LA	6	646	100.0
Powhatan LA	4	101	100.0
Poydras LA	1	2,536	100.0
Prairieville LA	2	359	1.1
Prairieville LA	6	32,838	98.9

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Presquille LA	1	1,703	100.0
Prien LA	3	7,745	100.0
Prospect LA	4	380	100.0
Provencal LA	4	528	100.0
Quitman LA	4	160	100.0
Raceland LA	1	9,768	100.0
Rayne LA	3	7,236	100.0
Rayville LA	5	3,347	100.0
Red Chute LA	4	7,065	100.0
Reddell LA	3	904	100.0
Reeves LA	3	221	100.0
Reserve LA	2	8,541	100.0
Richmond LA	5	511	100.0
Richwood LA	5	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	5	583	100.0
Ringgold LA	4	1,379	100.0
Rio LA	6	137	100.0
River Ridge LA	1	11,276	83.0
River Ridge LA	2	2,315	17.0
Roanoke LA	3	491	100.0
Robeline LA	4	117	100.0
Rock Hill LA	4	260	100.0
Rodessa LA	4	192	100.0
Romeville LA	2	99	100.0
Rosedale LA	5	664	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Roseland LA	5	880	100.0
Rosepine LA	3	1,519	100.0
Ruston LA	4	22,166	100.0
Saline LA	4	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	4	717	100.0
Schriever LA	1	6,711	100.0
Scott LA	3	7,224	89.0
Scott LA	5	895	11.0
Shenandoah LA	6	19,292	100.0
Shongaloo LA	4	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	4	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	4	1,127	100.0
Sicily Island LA	5	366	100.0
Sikes LA	4	112	100.0
Simmesport LA	5	1,468	100.0
Simpson LA	3	585	100.0
Simsboro LA	4	803	100.0
Singer LA	3	303	100.0
Siracusaville LA	1	297	100.0
Slaughter LA	5	1,035	100.0
Slidell LA	1	28,664	99.6
Slidell LA	6	117	0.4
Sorrel LA	1	711	100.0
Sorrento LA	6	1,514	100.0
South Mansfield LA	4	333	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
South Vacherie LA	2	3,388	100.0
Spearsville LA	4	126	100.0
Spokane LA	5	378	100.0
Springfield LA	6	427	100.0
Springhill LA	4	4,801	100.0
St. Francisville LA	5	1,557	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	2	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	2	592	100.0
St. Joseph LA	5	831	100.0
St. Martinville LA	3	5,379	100.0
St. Maurice LA	4	266	100.0
St. Rose LA	2	7,504	100.0
Stanley LA	4	132	100.0
Starks LA	3	659	100.0
Start LA	5	982	100.0
Sterlington LA	4	1,980	100.0
Stonewall LA	4	2,273	100.0
Sugartown LA	3	33	100.0
Sulphur LA	3	21,809	100.0
Sun LA	6	392	100.0
Sunset LA	5	2,909	100.0
Supreme LA	2	839	100.0
Swartz LA	4	2,165	49.7
Swartz LA	5	2,189	50.3
Taft LA	2	61	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Tallulah LA	5	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	5	425	100.0
Terrytown LA	2	25,278	100.0
Thibodaux LA	1	15,948	100.0
Tickfaw LA	6	635	100.0
Timberlane LA	2	10,364	100.0
Triumph LA	1	268	100.0
Trout LA	4	104	100.0
Tullos LA	4	304	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	3	394	100.0
Union LA	2	735	100.0
Urania LA	4	698	100.0
Varnado LA	6	330	100.0
Venice LA	1	162	100.0
Ventress LA	5	800	100.0
Vidalia LA	5	4,027	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	4	1,314	100.0
Vienna LA	4	483	100.0
Village St. George LA	2	7,677	100.0
Ville Platte LA	3	962	15.3
Ville Platte LA	5	5,341	84.7
Vinton LA	3	3,400	100.0
Violet LA	1	5,758	100.0
Vivian LA	4	3,073	100.0
Waggaman LA	2	9,835	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Walker LA	6	6,374	100.0
Wallace LA	2	755	100.0
Wallace Ridge LA	5	572	100.0
Washington LA	5	742	100.0
Waterproof LA	5	541	100.0
Watson LA	6	956	100.0
Welcome LA	2	672	100.0
Welsh LA	3	3,333	100.0
West Monroe LA	4	7,824	59.7
West Monroe LA	5	5,279	40.3
Westlake LA	3	4,781	100.0
Westminster LA	6	2,791	100.0
Westwego LA	2	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA	1	0	0.0
White Castle LA	2	1,722	100.0
Wilson LA	5	348	100.0
Winnfield LA	4	4,153	100.0
Winnsboro LA	5	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	5	771	100.0
Woodmere LA	2	11,238	100.0
Woodworth LA	3	1,762	100.0
Youngsville LA	3	15,929	100.0
Zachary LA	5	19,316	100.0
Zwolle LA	4	1,638	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
District 1		
Amelia LA	2,132	100.0
Arabi LA	4,533	100.0
Baldwin LA	1,762	100.0
Barataria LA	1,057	100.0
Bayou Blue LA	13,352	100.0
Bayou Cane LA	19,770	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	1,304	100.0
Bayou Vista LA	4,213	100.0
Belle Chasse LA	10,579	100.0
Berwick LA	4,771	100.0
Boothville LA	718	100.0
Bourg LA	2,375	100.0
Buras LA	1,109	100.0
Centerville LA	499	100.0
Chackbay LA	5,370	100.0
Chalmette LA	21,562	100.0
Charenton LA	1,699	100.0
Chauvin LA	2,575	100.0
Choctaw LA	775	100.0
Crescent LA (part)	638	78.7
Cut Off LA	5,533	100.0
Delacroix LA	48	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	449	20.6
Dorseyville LA	159	100.0
Dulac LA	1,241	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Eden Isle LA	7,782	100.0
Elmwood LA	5,649	100.0
Empire LA	905	100.0
Franklin LA	6,728	100.0
Galliano LA	7,100	100.0
Glencoe LA	132	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1,761	100.0
Grand Isle LA	1,005	100.0
Gray LA	5,518	100.0
Harahan LA	9,116	100.0
Houma LA	33,406	100.0
Jean Lafitte LA	1,809	100.0
Jeanerette LA	4,813	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	9,432	88.7
Kenner LA (part)	54,578	82.1
Kraemer LA	877	100.0
Lafitte LA	1,014	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	2,427	100.0
Larose LA	6,763	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	2,490	100.0
Loreauville LA	658	100.0
Lydia LA	892	100.0
Mathews LA	2,273	100.0
Meraux LA	6,804	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	141,124	98.3
Montegut LA	1,465	100.0
Morgan City LA	11,472	100.0
New Iberia LA (part)	28,033	98.2
New Orleans LA (part)	75,419	19.6

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
New Orleans Station LA	2,508	100.0
Patterson LA	5,931	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	110	1.8
Pointe a la Hache LA	183	100.0
Port Sulphur LA	1,677	100.0
Poydras LA	2,536	100.0
Presquille LA	1,703	100.0
Raceland LA	9,768	100.0
River Ridge LA (part)	11,276	83.0
Schriever LA	6,711	100.0
Siracusaville LA	297	100.0
Slidell LA (part)	28,664	99.6
Sorrel LA	711	100.0
Thibodaux LA	15,948	100.0
Triumph LA	268	100.0
Venice LA	162	100.0
Violet LA	5,758	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	0	0.0
District 1 Totals	**635,050**	
District 2		
Ama LA	1,290	100.0
Avondale LA	4,582	100.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	34,805	15.3
Bayou Corne LA	32	100.0
Bayou Gauche LA	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	514	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	1,806	100.0
Belle Rose LA	1,698	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Boutte LA	3,054	100.0
Bridge City LA	7,219	100.0
Convent LA	483	100.0
Darrow LA	200	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	1,730	79.4
Destrehan LA	11,340	100.0
Donaldsonville LA	6,695	100.0
Edgard LA	1,948	100.0
Estelle LA	17,952	100.0
Gardere LA	13,203	100.0
Garyville LA	2,123	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	12,209	99.8
Gramercy LA	2,932	100.0
Grand Point LA	2,241	100.0
Gretna LA	17,814	100.0
Hahnville LA	2,959	100.0
Harvey LA	22,236	100.0
Hester LA	483	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	1,201	11.3
Kenner LA (part)	11,870	17.9
Killona LA	724	100.0
Labadieville LA	1,715	100.0
Laplace LA	28,841	100.0
Lemannville LA	695	100.0
Luling LA	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	3,133	100.0
Marrero LA	32,382	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	2,383	1.7
Montz LA	2,106	100.0
Moonshine LA	168	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Napoleonville LA	540	100.0
New Orleans LA (part)	308,578	80.4
New Sarpy LA	1,169	100.0
Norco LA	2,984	100.0
North Vacherie LA	2,093	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	9,239	100.0
Paincourtville LA	857	100.0
Paradis LA	1,242	100.0
Paulina LA	1,778	100.0
Pierre Part LA	3,024	100.0
Pleasure Bend LA	212	100.0
Prairieville LA (part)	359	1.1
Reserve LA	8,541	100.0
River Ridge LA (part)	2,315	17.0
Romeville LA	99	100.0
South Vacherie LA	3,388	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	592	100.0
St. Rose LA	7,504	100.0
Supreme LA	839	100.0
Taft LA	61	100.0
Terrytown LA	25,278	100.0
Timberlane LA	10,364	100.0
Union LA	735	100.0
Village St. George LA	7,677	100.0
Waggaman LA	9,835	100.0
Wallace LA	755	100.0
Welcome LA	672	100.0
Westwego LA	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	1,722	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Woodmere LA	11,238	100.0
District 2 Totals	**711,334**	
District 3		
Abbeville LA	11,186	100.0
Alexandria LA (part)	13,740	30.4
Ball LA	3,961	100.0
Basile LA	1,214	100.0
Boyce LA	888	100.0
Branch LA	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA (part)	5,165	68.8
Broussard LA	13,417	100.0
Cade LA	1,874	100.0
Cameron LA	315	100.0
Carlyss LA	5,101	100.0
Catahoula LA	988	100.0
Cecilia LA (part)	30	1.7
Chataignier LA	259	100.0
Church Point LA	4,179	100.0
Crowley LA	11,710	100.0
Delcambre LA	1,793	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3,144	100.0
DeRidder LA	9,852	100.0
Deville LA	1,761	100.0
Duson LA	1,326	100.0
Egan LA	618	100.0
Elizabeth LA	417	100.0
Elton LA	992	100.0
Erath LA	2,028	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Estherwood LA	694	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	302	3.2
Fenton LA	226	100.0
Forest Hill LA	605	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	2,179	100.0
Fort Polk South LA	7,950	100.0
Gillis LA	800	100.0
Glenmora LA	1,087	100.0
Gueydan LA	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	926	100.0
Hayes LA	676	100.0
Henderson LA	1,617	100.0
Iota LA	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3,436	100.0
Jennings LA	9,837	100.0
Kaplan LA	4,352	100.0
Kinder LA	2,170	100.0
Lacassine LA	490	100.0
Lafayette LA (part)	84,924	70.0
Lake Arthur LA	2,595	100.0
Lake Charles LA	84,872	100.0
Leesville LA (part)	1,979	35.0
Longville LA	545	100.0
Mamou LA	2,936	100.0
Maurice LA	2,118	100.0
McNary LA	201	100.0
Mermentau LA	516	100.0
Merryville LA	967	100.0
Midland LA	249	100.0
Milton LA	2,590	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Morse LA	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	12,522	100.0
New Iberia LA (part)	522	1.8
New Llano LA (part)	634	28.7
Oakdale LA	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	1,402	100.0
Oretta LA	371	100.0
Ossun LA (part)	573	26.7
Parks LA	640	100.0
Perry LA	1,171	100.0
Pine Prairie LA	1,490	100.0
Pineville LA (part)	4,753	33.0
Pitkin LA	455	100.0
Prien LA	7,745	100.0
Rayne LA	7,236	100.0
Reddell LA	904	100.0
Reeves LA	221	100.0
Roanoke LA	491	100.0
Rosepine LA	1,519	100.0
Scott LA (part)	7,224	89.0
Simpson LA	585	100.0
Singer LA	303	100.0
St. Martinville LA	5,379	100.0
Starks LA	659	100.0
Sugartown LA	33	100.0
Sulphur LA	21,809	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	394	100.0
Ville Platte LA (part)	962	15.3
Vinton LA	3,400	100.0
Welsh LA	3,333	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Westlake LA	4,781	100.0
Woodworth LA	1,762	100.0
Youngsville LA	15,929	100.0
District 3 Totals	**437,190**	
District 4		
Anacoco LA	851	100.0
Arcadia LA	2,746	100.0
Ashland LA	194	100.0
Athens LA	237	100.0
Atlanta LA	149	100.0
Banks Springs LA	1,136	100.0
Bawcomville LA	3,472	100.0
Belcher LA	248	100.0
Belmont LA	305	100.0
Benton LA	2,048	100.0
Bernice LA	1,356	100.0
Bienville LA	191	100.0
Blanchard LA	3,538	100.0
Bossier City LA	62,701	100.0
Brownsville LA (part)	4,014	92.2
Bryceland LA	87	100.0
Calhoun LA	670	100.0
Calvin LA	242	100.0
Campti LA	887	100.0
Castor LA	230	100.0
Chatham LA	491	100.0
Choudrant LA	989	100.0
Claiborne LA	12,631	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Clarence LA	326	100.0
Clarks LA	1,052	100.0
Colfax LA	1,428	100.0
Columbia LA	277	100.0
Converse LA	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	787	100.0
Coushatta LA	1,752	100.0
Creola LA	242	100.0
Cullen LA	716	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	293	100.0
Dodson LA	294	100.0
Downsville LA	120	100.0
Doyline LA	674	100.0
Dry Prong LA	455	100.0
Dubach LA	908	100.0
Dubberly LA	250	100.0
East Hodge LA	204	100.0
Eastwood LA	4,390	100.0
Edgefield LA	204	100.0
Eros LA	130	100.0
Farmerville LA	3,366	100.0
Fisher LA	197	100.0
Florien LA	553	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	494	100.0
Frierson LA	132	100.0
Georgetown LA	277	100.0
Gibsland LA	773	100.0
Gilliam LA	123	100.0
Gloster LA	53	100.0
Goldonna LA	428	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Good Pine LA	259	100.0
Grambling LA	5,239	100.0
Grand Cane LA	217	100.0
Grayson LA	449	100.0
Greenwood LA	3,166	100.0
Hall Summit LA	268	100.0
Haughton LA	4,539	100.0
Haynesville LA	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	213	100.0
Hodge LA	382	100.0
Homer LA	2,747	100.0
Hornbeck LA	430	100.0
Hosston LA	244	100.0
Ida LA	217	100.0
Jamestown LA	100	100.0
Jena LA	4,155	100.0
Jonesboro LA	4,106	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	196	100.0
Joyce LA	328	100.0
Junction City LA	437	100.0
Keachi LA	243	100.0
Lakeview LA	818	100.0
Leesville LA (part)	3,670	65.0
Lillie LA	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	173	100.0
Logansport LA	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	115	100.0
Lucky LA	251	100.0
Mansfield LA	4,714	100.0
Many LA	2,571	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Marion LA	623	100.0
Marthaville LA	90	100.0
Martin LA	524	100.0
Midway LA	1,157	100.0
Minden LA	11,928	100.0
Monroe LA (part)	10,565	22.2
Montgomery LA	622	100.0
Mooringsport LA	748	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	66	100.0
Natchez LA	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	18,039	100.0
New Llano LA (part)	1,579	71.4
Noble LA	200	100.0
North Hodge LA	296	100.0
Oil City LA	901	100.0
Olla LA	1,295	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	893	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	617	100.0
Point Place LA	382	100.0
Pollock LA	394	100.0
Powhatan LA	101	100.0
Prospect LA	380	100.0
Provencal LA	528	100.0
Quitman LA	160	100.0
Red Chute LA	7,065	100.0
Ringgold LA	1,379	100.0
Robeline LA	117	100.0
Rock Hill LA	260	100.0
Rodessa LA	192	100.0
Ruston LA	22,166	100.0

	Population	%
Saline LA	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	717	100.0
Shongaloo LA	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	1,127	100.0
Sikes LA	112	100.0
Simsboro LA	803	100.0
South Mansfield LA	333	100.0
Spearsville LA	126	100.0
Springhill LA	4,801	100.0
St. Maurice LA	266	100.0
Stanley LA	132	100.0
Sterlington LA	1,980	100.0
Stonewall LA	2,273	100.0
Swartz LA (part)	2,165	49.7
Trout LA	104	100.0
Tullos LA	304	100.0
Urania LA	698	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	1,314	100.0
Vienna LA	483	100.0
Vivian LA	3,073	100.0
West Monroe LA (part)	7,824	59.7
Winnfield LA	4,153	100.0
Zwolle LA	1,638	100.0
District 4 Totals	**470,618**	
District 5		
Addis LA	6,731	100.0
Alexandria LA (part)	31,535	69.7

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Amite City LA	4,005	100.0
Arnaudville LA	1,009	100.0
Baker LA	12,455	100.0
Baskin LA	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	9,691	100.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	101,118	44.5
Bonita LA	170	100.0
Bordelonville LA	458	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA (part)	2,348	31.3
Brownfields LA	5,145	100.0
Brownsville LA (part)	339	7.8
Brusly LA	2,578	100.0
Bunkie LA	3,346	100.0
Cankton LA	583	100.0
Carencro LA	9,272	100.0
Cecilia LA (part)	1,777	98.3
Center Point LA	520	100.0
Central LA (part)	2,135	7.2
Cheneyville LA	468	100.0
Clayton LA	584	100.0
Clinton LA	1,340	100.0
Collinston LA	274	100.0
Cottonport LA	2,023	100.0
Crescent LA (part)	173	21.3
Delhi LA	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	232	100.0
Echo LA	352	100.0
Epps LA	358	100.0
Erwinville LA	2,275	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	9,120	96.8

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Evergreen LA	215	100.0
Ferriday LA	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	921	100.0
Fordoche LA	910	100.0
Forest LA	304	100.0
Gilbert LA	449	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	776	100.0
Greensburg LA	629	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	548	100.0
Harrisonburg LA	277	100.0
Hessmer LA	772	100.0
Independence LA (part)	1,619	99.0
Jackson LA	3,990	100.0
Jonesville LA	1,728	100.0
Kentwood LA	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	351	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	904	100.0
Lafayette LA (part)	36,450	30.0
Lake Providence LA	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	1,988	100.0
Lawtell LA	1,066	100.0
Lecompte LA	845	100.0
Leonville LA	868	100.0
Livonia LA	1,212	100.0
Mangham LA	624	100.0
Mansura LA	1,320	100.0
Maringouin LA	891	100.0
Marksville LA	5,065	100.0
Melville LA	759	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	491	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Merrydale LA	9,227	100.0
Minorca LA	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA (part)	37,137	77.9
Monterey LA	474	100.0
Monticello LA	5,431	100.0
Montpelier LA	196	100.0
Moreauville LA	984	100.0
Morganza LA	525	100.0
Morrow LA	149	100.0
Mound LA	12	100.0
New Roads LA	4,549	100.0
Newellton LA	886	100.0
Norwood LA	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	1,441	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	124	100.0
Opelousas LA	15,786	100.0
Ossun LA (part)	1,572	73.3
Palmetto LA	92	100.0
Pineville LA (part)	9,631	67.0
Pioneer LA	149	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	6,159	98.3
Plaucheville LA	221	100.0
Port Allen LA	4,939	100.0
Port Barre LA	1,751	100.0
Rayville LA	3,347	100.0
Richmond LA	511	100.0
Richwood LA	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	583	100.0
Rosedale LA	664	100.0
Roseland LA	880	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Scott LA (part)	895	11.0
Sicily Island LA	366	100.0
Simmesport LA	1,468	100.0
Slaughter LA	1,035	100.0
Spokane LA	378	100.0
St. Francisville LA	1,557	100.0
St. Joseph LA	831	100.0
Start LA	982	100.0
Sunset LA	2,909	100.0
Swartz LA (part)	2,189	50.3
Tallulah LA	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	425	100.0
Ventress LA	800	100.0
Vidalia LA	4,027	100.0
Ville Platte LA (part)	5,341	84.7
Wallace Ridge LA	572	100.0
Washington LA	742	100.0
Waterproof LA	541	100.0
West Monroe LA (part)	5,279	40.3
Wilson LA	348	100.0
Winnsboro LA	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	771	100.0
Zachary LA	19,316	100.0
District 5 Totals	**465,795**	
District 6		
Abita Springs LA	2,631	100.0
Albany LA	1,235	100.0
Angie LA	258	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Baton Rouge LA (part)	91,547	40.3
Bogalusa LA	10,659	100.0
Central LA (part)	27,430	92.8
Covington LA	11,564	100.0
Denham Springs LA	9,286	100.0
Folsom LA	769	100.0
Franklinton LA	3,662	100.0
French Settlement LA	1,073	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	22	0.2
Hammond LA	19,584	100.0
Independence LA (part)	16	1.0
Inniswold LA	5,987	100.0
Killian LA	1,177	100.0
Lacombe LA	8,657	100.0
Lewisburg LA	420	100.0
Livingston LA	1,877	100.0
Madisonville LA	850	100.0
Mandeville LA	13,192	100.0
Natalbany LA	2,510	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	7,339	100.0
Pearl River LA	2,565	100.0
Ponchatoula LA	7,822	100.0
Port Vincent LA	646	100.0
Prairieville LA (part)	32,838	98.9
Rio LA	137	100.0
Shenandoah LA	19,292	100.0
Slidell LA (part)	117	0.4
Sorrento LA	1,514	100.0
Springfield LA	427	100.0
Sun LA	392	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Tickfaw LA	635	100.0
Varnado LA	330	100.0
Walker LA	6,374	100.0
Watson LA	956	100.0
Westminster LA	2,791	100.0
District 6 Totals	**298,581**	

Summary Statistics

Number of City/Town not split	457
Number of City/Town split	31
Number of City/Town split in 2	30
Number of City/Town split in 3	1
Total number of splits	63

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Friday, April 1, 2022 10:47 PM

City/Town	District	Population	%
Abbeville LA	3	11,186	100.0
Abita Springs LA	1	2,631	100.0
Addis LA	2	6,700	99.5
Addis LA	6	31	0.5
Albany LA	6	1,235	100.0
Alexandria LA	5	45,275	100.0
Ama LA	2	1,290	100.0
Amelia LA	6	2,132	100.0
Amite City LA	5	4,005	100.0
Anacoco LA	4	851	100.0
Angie LA	5	258	100.0
Arabi LA	1	4,533	100.0
Arcadia LA	4	2,746	100.0
Arnaudville LA	3	39	3.9
Arnaudville LA	4	970	96.1
Ashland LA	4	194	100.0
Athens LA	4	237	100.0
Atlanta LA	5	149	100.0
Avondale LA	2	4,582	100.0
Baker LA	2	3,119	25.0
Baker LA	6	9,336	75.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Baldwin LA	3	1,762	100.0
Ball LA	5	3,961	100.0
Banks Springs LA	5	1,136	100.0
Barataria LA	1	1,057	100.0
Basile LA	3	0	0.0
Basile LA	4	1,214	100.0
Baskin LA	5	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	5	9,691	100.0
Baton Rouge LA	2	79,011	34.7
Baton Rouge LA	6	148,459	65.3
Bawcomville LA	5	3,472	100.0
Bayou Blue LA	1	6,801	50.9
Bayou Blue LA	6	6,551	49.1
Bayou Cane LA	1	4,962	25.1
Bayou Cane LA	6	14,808	74.9
Bayou Corne LA	6	32	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	6	1,304	100.0
Bayou Gauche LA	2	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	2	514	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	6	1,806	100.0
Bayou Vista LA	3	4,213	100.0
Belcher LA	4	248	100.0
Belle Chasse LA	1	10,579	100.0
Belle Rose LA	2	1,698	100.0
Belmont LA	4	305	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Benton LA	4	2,048	100.0
Bernice LA	4	1,356	100.0
Berwick LA	3	4,771	100.0
Bienville LA	4	191	100.0
Blanchard LA	4	3,538	100.0
Bogalusa LA	5	10,659	100.0
Bonita LA	5	170	100.0
Boothville LA	1	718	100.0
Bordelonville LA	5	458	100.0
Bossier City LA	4	62,701	100.0
Bourg LA	1	2,375	100.0
Boutte LA	2	3,054	100.0
Boyce LA	5	888	100.0
Branch LA	3	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA	3	7,513	100.0
Bridge City LA	2	7,219	100.0
Broussard LA	3	13,417	100.0
Brownfields LA	6	5,145	100.0
Brownsville LA	5	4,353	100.0
Brusly LA	2	694	26.9
Brusly LA	6	1,884	73.1
Bryceland LA	4	87	100.0
Bunkie LA	5	3,346	100.0
Buras LA	1	1,109	100.0
Cade LA	3	1,874	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Calhoun LA	5	670	100.0
Calvin LA	5	242	100.0
Cameron LA	3	315	100.0
Campti LA	4	887	100.0
Cankton LA	4	583	100.0
Carencro LA	3	9,272	100.0
Carlyss LA	3	5,101	100.0
Castor LA	4	230	100.0
Catahoula LA	3	988	100.0
Cecilia LA	3	1,807	100.0
Center Point LA	5	520	100.0
Centerville LA	3	499	100.0
Central LA	6	29,565	100.0
Chackbay LA	6	5,370	100.0
Chalmette LA	1	21,562	100.0
Charenton LA	3	1,699	100.0
Chataignier LA	4	259	100.0
Chatham LA	5	491	100.0
Chauvin LA	1	2,575	100.0
Cheneyville LA	5	468	100.0
Choctaw LA	6	775	100.0
Choudrant LA	5	989	100.0
Church Point LA	3	4,179	100.0
Claiborne LA	5	12,631	100.0
Clarence LA	4	326	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Clarks LA	5	1,052	100.0
Clayton LA	5	584	100.0
Clinton LA	5	1,340	100.0
Colfax LA	4	1,428	100.0
Collinston LA	5	274	100.0
Columbia LA	5	277	100.0
Convent LA	2	483	100.0
Converse LA	4	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	4	787	100.0
Cottonport LA	5	2,023	100.0
Coushatta LA	4	1,752	100.0
Covington LA	1	11,564	100.0
Creola LA	5	242	100.0
Crescent LA	6	811	100.0
Crowley LA	3	11,710	100.0
Cullen LA	4	716	100.0
Cut Off LA	1	5,533	100.0
Darrow LA	2	200	100.0
Delacroix LA	1	48	100.0
Delcambre LA	3	1,793	100.0
Delhi LA	5	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	5	232	100.0
Denham Springs LA	6	9,286	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3	3,144	100.0
DeRidder LA	4	9,852	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Des Allemands LA	1	449	20.6
Des Allemands LA	2	1,730	79.4
Destrehan LA	2	1,364	12.0
Destrehan LA	6	9,976	88.0
Deville LA	5	1,761	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	4	293	100.0
Dodson LA	5	294	100.0
Donaldsonville LA	2	6,695	100.0
Dorseyville LA	2	159	100.0
Downsville LA	4	96	80.0
Downsville LA	5	24	20.0
Doyline LA	4	674	100.0
Dry Prong LA	4	455	100.0
Dubach LA	5	908	100.0
Dubberly LA	4	250	100.0
Dulac LA	1	1,241	100.0
Duson LA	3	1,326	100.0
East Hodge LA	5	204	100.0
Eastwood LA	4	4,390	100.0
Echo LA	5	352	100.0
Eden Isle LA	1	7,782	100.0
Edgard LA	2	1,948	100.0
Edgefield LA	4	204	100.0
Egan LA	3	618	100.0
Elizabeth LA	4	417	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Elmwood LA	1	5,649	100.0
Elton LA	3	992	100.0
Empire LA	1	905	100.0
Epps LA	5	358	100.0
Erath LA	3	2,028	100.0
Eros LA	5	130	100.0
Erwinville LA	6	2,275	100.0
Estelle LA	1	5,700	31.8
Estelle LA	2	12,252	68.3
Estherwood LA	3	694	100.0
Eunice LA	3	302	3.2
Eunice LA	4	9,120	96.8
Evergreen LA	5	215	100.0
Farmerville LA	4	3,366	100.0
Fenton LA	3	226	100.0
Ferriday LA	5	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	5	921	100.0
Fisher LA	4	197	100.0
Florien LA	4	553	100.0
Folsom LA	1	769	100.0
Fordoche LA	5	910	100.0
Forest Hill LA	5	605	100.0
Forest LA	5	304	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	4	494	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	4	2,179	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Fort Polk South LA	4	7,950	100.0
Franklin LA	3	6,728	100.0
Franklinton LA	5	3,662	100.0
French Settlement LA	6	1,073	100.0
Frierson LA	4	132	100.0
Galliano LA	1	7,100	100.0
Gardere LA	6	13,203	100.0
Garyville LA	2	2,123	100.0
Georgetown LA	5	277	100.0
Gibsland LA	4	773	100.0
Gilbert LA	5	449	100.0
Gilliam LA	4	123	100.0
Gillis LA	3	800	100.0
Glencoe LA	3	132	100.0
Glenmora LA	5	1,087	100.0
Gloster LA	4	53	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1	1,761	100.0
Goldonna LA	4	428	100.0
Gonzales LA	2	5,972	48.8
Gonzales LA	6	6,259	51.2
Good Pine LA	5	259	100.0
Grambling LA	5	5,239	100.0
Gramercy LA	2	2,932	100.0
Grand Cane LA	4	217	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	4	776	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Grand Isle LA	1	1,005	100.0
Grand Point LA	2	2,241	100.0
Gray LA	6	5,518	100.0
Grayson LA	5	449	100.0
Greensburg LA	5	629	100.0
Greenwood LA	4	3,166	100.0
Gretna LA	2	17,814	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	6	548	100.0
Gueydan LA	3	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	3	926	100.0
Hahnville LA	2	2,959	100.0
Hall Summit LA	4	268	100.0
Hammond LA	1	3,001	15.3
Hammond LA	5	16,583	84.7
Harahan LA	1	9,116	100.0
Harrisonburg LA	5	277	100.0
Harvey LA	2	22,236	100.0
Haughton LA	4	4,539	100.0
Hayes LA	3	676	100.0
Haynesville LA	4	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	4	213	100.0
Henderson LA	3	1,617	100.0
Hessmer LA	5	772	100.0
Hester LA	2	483	100.0
Hodge LA	5	382	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Homer LA	4	2,747	100.0
Hornbeck LA	4	430	100.0
Hosston LA	4	244	100.0
Houma LA	1	31,448	94.1
Houma LA	6	1,958	5.9
Ida LA	4	217	100.0
Independence LA	5	1,635	100.0
Inniswold LA	6	5,987	100.0
Iota LA	3	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3	3,436	100.0
Jackson LA	5	3,990	100.0
Jamestown LA	4	100	100.0
Jean Lafitte LA	1	1,809	100.0
Jeanerette LA	3	4,813	100.0
Jefferson LA	1	8,882	83.5
Jefferson LA	2	1,751	16.5
Jena LA	5	4,155	100.0
Jennings LA	3	9,837	100.0
Jonesboro LA	5	4,106	100.0
Jonesville LA	5	1,728	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	5	196	100.0
Joyce LA	5	328	100.0
Junction City LA	4	437	100.0
Kaplan LA	3	4,352	100.0
Keachi LA	4	243	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Kenner LA	1	52,353	78.8
Kenner LA	2	14,095	21.2
Kentwood LA	5	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	5	351	100.0
Killian LA	6	1,177	100.0
Killona LA	2	724	100.0
Kinder LA	4	2,170	100.0
Kraemer LA	6	877	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	4	904	100.0
Labadieville LA	6	1,715	100.0
Lacassine LA	3	490	100.0
Lacombe LA	1	8,657	100.0
Lafayette LA	3	121,374	100.0
Lafitte LA	1	1,014	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	6	2,427	100.0
Lake Arthur LA	3	2,595	100.0
Lake Charles LA	3	84,872	100.0
Lake Providence LA	5	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	5	1,988	100.0
Lakeview LA	4	818	100.0
Laplace LA	2	19,063	66.1
Laplace LA	6	9,778	33.9
Larose LA	1	6,763	100.0
Lawtell LA	4	1,066	100.0
Lecompte LA	5	845	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Leesville LA	4	5,649	100.0
Lemannville LA	2	695	100.0
Leonville LA	4	868	100.0
Lewisburg LA	1	420	100.0
Lillie LA	4	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	4	173	100.0
Livingston LA	6	1,877	100.0
Livonia LA	5	1,212	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	1	2,490	100.0
Logansport LA	4	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	4	115	100.0
Longville LA	4	545	100.0
Loreauville LA	3	658	100.0
Lucky LA	4	251	100.0
Luling LA	2	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	2	3,133	100.0
Lydia LA	3	892	100.0
Madisonville LA	1	850	100.0
Mamou LA	4	2,936	100.0
Mandeville LA	1	13,192	100.0
Mangham LA	5	624	100.0
Mansfield LA	4	4,714	100.0
Mansura LA	5	1,320	100.0
Many LA	4	2,571	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Maringouin LA	6	891	100.0
Marion LA	4	623	100.0
Marksville LA	5	5,065	100.0
Marrero LA	2	32,382	100.0
Marthaville LA	4	90	100.0
Martin LA	4	524	100.0
Mathews LA	1	2,191	96.4
Mathews LA	6	82	3.6
Maurice LA	3	2,118	100.0
McNary LA	5	201	100.0
Melville LA	4	759	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	5	491	100.0
Meraux LA	1	6,804	100.0
Mermentau LA	3	516	100.0
Merrydale LA	2	9,227	100.0
Merryville LA	4	967	100.0
Metairie LA	1	141,267	98.4
Metairie LA	2	2,240	1.6
Midland LA	3	249	100.0
Midway LA	5	1,157	100.0
Milton LA	3	2,590	100.0
Minden LA	4	11,928	100.0
Minorca LA	5	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA	5	47,702	100.0
Montegut LA	1	1,465	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Monterey LA	5	474	100.0
Montgomery LA	4	622	100.0
Monticello LA	6	5,431	100.0
Montpelier LA	5	196	100.0
Montz LA	6	2,106	100.0
Moonshine LA	2	168	100.0
Mooringsport LA	4	748	100.0
Moreauville LA	5	984	100.0
Morgan City LA	3	10,449	91.1
Morgan City LA	6	1,023	8.9
Morganza LA	5	525	100.0
Morrow LA	4	149	100.0
Morse LA	3	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	3	12,522	100.0
Mound LA	5	12	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	4	66	100.0
Napoleonville LA	6	540	100.0
Natalbany LA	5	2,510	100.0
Natchez LA	4	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	4	18,039	100.0
New Iberia LA	3	28,555	100.0
New Llano LA	4	2,213	100.0
New Orleans LA	1	48,050	12.5
New Orleans LA	2	335,947	87.5
New Orleans Station LA	1	2,508	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
New Roads LA	5	4,549	100.0
New Sarpy LA	2	917	78.4
New Sarpy LA	6	252	21.6
Newellton LA	5	886	100.0
Noble LA	4	200	100.0
Norco LA	6	2,984	100.0
North Hodge LA	5	296	100.0
North Vacherie LA	2	2,093	100.0
Norwood LA	5	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	5	1,441	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	6	9,239	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	5	124	100.0
Oakdale LA	4	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	4	1,402	100.0
Oil City LA	4	901	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	6	7,339	100.0
Olla LA	5	1,295	100.0
Opelousas LA	4	15,786	100.0
Oretta LA	4	371	100.0
Ossun LA	3	2,145	100.0
Paincourtville LA	2	857	100.0
Palmetto LA	4	92	100.0
Paradis LA	2	1,242	100.0
Parks LA	3	640	100.0
Patterson LA	3	4,325	72.9

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Patterson LA	6	1,606	27.1
Paulina LA	2	1,778	100.0
Pearl River LA	1	2,565	100.0
Perry LA	3	1,171	100.0
Pierre Part LA	6	3,024	100.0
Pine Prairie LA	4	1,490	100.0
Pineville LA	5	14,384	100.0
Pioneer LA	5	149	100.0
Pitkin LA	4	455	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	4	893	100.0
Plaquemine LA	2	6,159	98.3
Plaquemine LA	6	110	1.8
Plaucheville LA	5	221	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	4	617	100.0
Pleasure Bend LA	2	212	100.0
Point Place LA	4	382	100.0
Pointe a la Hache LA	1	183	100.0
Pollock LA	5	394	100.0
Ponchatoula LA	1	7,647	97.8
Ponchatoula LA	5	175	2.2
Port Allen LA	2	4,315	87.4
Port Allen LA	6	624	12.6
Port Barre LA	4	1,751	100.0
Port Sulphur LA	1	1,677	100.0
Port Vincent LA	6	646	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Powhatan LA	4	101	100.0
Poydras LA	1	2,536	100.0
Prairieville LA	6	33,197	100.0
Presquille LA	1	1,703	100.0
Prien LA	3	7,745	100.0
Prospect LA	5	380	100.0
Provencal LA	4	528	100.0
Quitman LA	5	160	100.0
Raceland LA	1	4,030	41.3
Raceland LA	6	5,738	58.7
Rayne LA	3	7,236	100.0
Rayville LA	5	3,347	100.0
Red Chute LA	4	7,065	100.0
Reddell LA	4	904	100.0
Reeves LA	4	221	100.0
Reserve LA	2	8,541	100.0
Richmond LA	5	511	100.0
Richwood LA	5	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	5	583	100.0
Ringgold LA	4	1,379	100.0
Rio LA	5	137	100.0
River Ridge LA	1	12,613	92.8
River Ridge LA	2	978	7.2
Roanoke LA	3	491	100.0
Robeline LA	4	117	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Rock Hill LA	5	260	100.0
Rodessa LA	4	192	100.0
Romeville LA	2	99	100.0
Rosedale LA	6	664	100.0
Roseland LA	5	880	100.0
Rosepine LA	4	1,519	100.0
Ruston LA	5	22,166	100.0
Saline LA	4	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	4	717	100.0
Schriever LA	6	6,711	100.0
Scott LA	3	8,119	100.0
Shenandoah LA	6	19,292	100.0
Shongaloo LA	4	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	4	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	4	1,127	100.0
Sicily Island LA	5	366	100.0
Sikes LA	5	112	100.0
Simmesport LA	5	1,468	100.0
Simpson LA	4	585	100.0
Simsboro LA	5	803	100.0
Singer LA	4	303	100.0
Siracusaville LA	3	297	100.0
Slaughter LA	5	1,035	100.0
Slidell LA	1	28,781	100.0
Sorrel LA	3	711	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Sorrento LA	6	1,514	100.0
South Mansfield LA	4	333	100.0
South Vacherie LA	2	3,388	100.0
Spearsville LA	4	126	100.0
Spokane LA	5	378	100.0
Springfield LA	6	427	100.0
Springhill LA	4	4,801	100.0
St. Francisville LA	5	1,557	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	2	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	2	592	100.0
St. Joseph LA	5	831	100.0
St. Martinville LA	3	5,379	100.0
St. Maurice LA	5	266	100.0
St. Rose LA	2	5,269	70.2
St. Rose LA	6	2,235	29.8
Stanley LA	4	132	100.0
Starks LA	3	659	100.0
Start LA	5	982	100.0
Sterlington LA	5	1,980	100.0
Stonewall LA	4	2,273	100.0
Sugartown LA	4	33	100.0
Sulphur LA	3	21,809	100.0
Sun LA	1	392	100.0
Sunset LA	4	2,909	100.0
Supreme LA	6	839	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Swartz LA	5	4,354	100.0
Taft LA	2	61	100.0
Tallulah LA	5	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	5	425	100.0
Terrytown LA	2	25,278	100.0
Thibodaux LA	6	15,948	100.0
Tickfaw LA	5	635	100.0
Timberlane LA	2	10,364	100.0
Triumph LA	1	268	100.0
Trout LA	5	104	100.0
Tullos LA	5	304	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	4	394	100.0
Union LA	2	735	100.0
Urania LA	5	698	100.0
Varnado LA	5	330	100.0
Venice LA	1	162	100.0
Ventress LA	5	800	100.0
Vidalia LA	5	4,027	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	4	1,314	100.0
Vienna LA	5	483	100.0
Village St. George LA	6	7,677	100.0
Ville Platte LA	4	6,303	100.0
Vinton LA	3	3,400	100.0
Violet LA	1	5,758	100.0
Vivian LA	4	3,073	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Waggaman LA	2	9,835	100.0
Walker LA	6	6,374	100.0
Wallace LA	2	755	100.0
Wallace Ridge LA	5	572	100.0
Washington LA	4	742	100.0
Waterproof LA	5	541	100.0
Watson LA	6	956	100.0
Welcome LA	2	672	100.0
Welsh LA	3	3,333	100.0
West Monroe LA	5	13,103	100.0
Westlake LA	3	4,781	100.0
Westminster LA	6	2,791	100.0
Westwego LA	2	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA	2	1,722	100.0
White Castle LA	6	0	0.0
Wilson LA	5	348	100.0
Winnfield LA	5	4,153	100.0
Winnsboro LA	5	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	5	771	100.0
Woodmere LA	2	11,238	100.0
Woodworth LA	5	1,762	100.0
Youngsville LA	3	15,929	100.0
Zachary LA	6	19,316	100.0
Zwolle LA	4	1,638	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
District 1		
Abita Springs LA	2,631	100.0
Arabi LA	4,533	100.0
Barataria LA	1,057	100.0
Bayou Blue LA (part)	6,801	50.9
Bayou Cane LA (part)	4,962	25.1
Belle Chasse LA	10,579	100.0
Boothville LA	718	100.0
Bourg LA	2,375	100.0
Buras LA	1,109	100.0
Chalmette LA	21,562	100.0
Chauvin LA	2,575	100.0
Covington LA	11,564	100.0
Cut Off LA	5,533	100.0
Delacroix LA	48	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	449	20.6
Dulac LA	1,241	100.0
Eden Isle LA	7,782	100.0
Elmwood LA	5,649	100.0
Empire LA	905	100.0
Estelle LA (part)	5,700	31.8
Folsom LA	769	100.0
Galliano LA	7,100	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1,761	100.0
Grand Isle LA	1,005	100.0
Hammond LA (part)	3,001	15.3

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Harahan LA	9,116	100.0
Houma LA (part)	31,448	94.1
Jean Lafitte LA	1,809	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	8,882	83.5
Kenner LA (part)	52,353	78.8
Lacombe LA	8,657	100.0
Lafitte LA	1,014	100.0
Larose LA	6,763	100.0
Lewisburg LA	420	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	2,490	100.0
Madisonville LA	850	100.0
Mandeville LA	13,192	100.0
Mathews LA (part)	2,191	96.4
Meraux LA	6,804	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	141,267	98.4
Montegut LA	1,465	100.0
New Orleans LA (part)	48,050	12.5
New Orleans Station LA	2,508	100.0
Pearl River LA	2,565	100.0
Pointe a la Hache LA	183	100.0
Ponchatoula LA (part)	7,647	97.8
Port Sulphur LA	1,677	100.0
Poydras LA	2,536	100.0
Presquille LA	1,703	100.0
Raceland LA (part)	4,030	41.3
River Ridge LA (part)	12,613	92.8
Slidell LA	28,781	100.0
Sun LA	392	100.0
Triumph LA	268	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Venice LA	162	100.0
Violet LA	5,758	100.0
District 1 Totals	**520,174**	
District 2		
Addis LA (part)	6,700	99.5
Ama LA	1,290	100.0
Avondale LA	4,582	100.0
Baker LA (part)	3,119	25.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	79,011	34.7
Bayou Gauche LA	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	514	100.0
Belle Rose LA	1,698	100.0
Boutte LA	3,054	100.0
Bridge City LA	7,219	100.0
Brusly LA (part)	694	26.9
Convent LA	483	100.0
Darrow LA	200	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	1,730	79.4
Destrehan LA (part)	1,364	12.0
Donaldsonville LA	6,695	100.0
Dorseyville LA	159	100.0
Edgard LA	1,948	100.0
Estelle LA (part)	12,252	68.3
Garyville LA	2,123	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	5,972	48.8
Gramercy LA	2,932	100.0
Grand Point LA	2,241	100.0
Gretna LA	17,814	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Hahnville LA	2,959	100.0
Harvey LA	22,236	100.0
Hester LA	483	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	1,751	16.5
Kenner LA (part)	14,095	21.2
Killona LA	724	100.0
Laplace LA (part)	19,063	66.1
Lemannville LA	695	100.0
Luling LA	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	3,133	100.0
Marrero LA	32,382	100.0
Merrydale LA	9,227	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	2,240	1.6
Moonshine LA	168	100.0
New Orleans LA (part)	335,947	87.5
New Sarpy LA (part)	917	78.4
North Vacherie LA	2,093	100.0
Paincourtville LA	857	100.0
Paradis LA	1,242	100.0
Paulina LA	1,778	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	6,159	98.3
Pleasure Bend LA	212	100.0
Port Allen LA (part)	4,315	87.4
Reserve LA	8,541	100.0
River Ridge LA (part)	978	7.2
Romeville LA	99	100.0
South Vacherie LA	3,388	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	592	100.0
St. Rose LA (part)	5,269	70.2

	Population	%
Taft LA	61	100.0
Terrytown LA	25,278	100.0
Timberlane LA	10,364	100.0
Union LA	735	100.0
Waggaman LA	9,835	100.0
Wallace LA	755	100.0
Welcome LA	672	100.0
Westwego LA	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	1,722	100.0
Woodmere LA	11,238	100.0
District 2 Totals	**736,875**	
District 3		
Abbeville LA	11,186	100.0
Arnaudville LA (part)	39	3.9
Baldwin LA	1,762	100.0
Basile LA (part)	0	0.0
Bayou Vista LA	4,213	100.0
Berwick LA	4,771	100.0
Branch LA	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA	7,513	100.0
Broussard LA	13,417	100.0
Cade LA	1,874	100.0
Cameron LA	315	100.0
Carencro LA	9,272	100.0
Carlyss LA	5,101	100.0
Catahoula LA	988	100.0
Cecilia LA	1,807	100.0
Centerville LA	499	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Charenton LA	1,699	100.0
Church Point LA	4,179	100.0
Crowley LA	11,710	100.0
Delcambre LA	1,793	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3,144	100.0
Duson LA	1,326	100.0
Egan LA	618	100.0
Elton LA	992	100.0
Erath LA	2,028	100.0
Estherwood LA	694	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	302	3.2
Fenton LA	226	100.0
Franklin LA	6,728	100.0
Gillis LA	800	100.0
Glencoe LA	132	100.0
Gueydan LA	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	926	100.0
Hayes LA	676	100.0
Henderson LA	1,617	100.0
Iota LA	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3,436	100.0
Jeanerette LA	4,813	100.0
Jennings LA	9,837	100.0
Kaplan LA	4,352	100.0
Lacassine LA	490	100.0
Lafayette LA	121,374	100.0
Lake Arthur LA	2,595	100.0
Lake Charles LA	84,872	100.0
Loreauville LA	658	100.0
Lydia LA	892	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Maurice LA	2,118	100.0
Mermentau LA	516	100.0
Midland LA	249	100.0
Milton LA	2,590	100.0
Morgan City LA (part)	10,449	91.1
Morse LA	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	12,522	100.0
New Iberia LA	28,555	100.0
Ossun LA	2,145	100.0
Parks LA	640	100.0
Patterson LA (part)	4,325	72.9
Perry LA	1,171	100.0
Prien LA	7,745	100.0
Rayne LA	7,236	100.0
Roanoke LA	491	100.0
Scott LA	8,119	100.0
Siracusaville LA	297	100.0
Sorrel LA	711	100.0
St. Martinville LA	5,379	100.0
Starks LA	659	100.0
Sulphur LA	21,809	100.0
Vinton LA	3,400	100.0
Welsh LA	3,333	100.0
Westlake LA	4,781	100.0
Youngsville LA	15,929	100.0
District 3 Totals	**484,334**	
District 4		
Anacoco LA	851	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Arcadia LA	2,746	100.0
Arnaudville LA (part)	970	96.1
Ashland LA	194	100.0
Athens LA	237	100.0
Basile LA (part)	1,214	100.0
Belcher LA	248	100.0
Belmont LA	305	100.0
Benton LA	2,048	100.0
Bernice LA	1,356	100.0
Bienville LA	191	100.0
Blanchard LA	3,538	100.0
Bossier City LA	62,701	100.0
Bryceland LA	87	100.0
Campti LA	887	100.0
Cankton LA	583	100.0
Castor LA	230	100.0
Chataignier LA	259	100.0
Clarence LA	326	100.0
Colfax LA	1,428	100.0
Converse LA	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	787	100.0
Coushatta LA	1,752	100.0
Cullen LA	716	100.0
DeRidder LA	9,852	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	293	100.0
Downsville LA (part)	96	80.0
Doyline LA	674	100.0
Dry Prong LA	455	100.0
Dubberly LA	250	100.0
Eastwood LA	4,390	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Edgefield LA	204	100.0
Elizabeth LA	417	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	9,120	96.8
Farmerville LA	3,366	100.0
Fisher LA	197	100.0
Florien LA	553	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	494	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	2,179	100.0
Fort Polk South LA	7,950	100.0
Frierson LA	132	100.0
Gibsland LA	773	100.0
Gilliam LA	123	100.0
Gloster LA	53	100.0
Goldonna LA	428	100.0
Grand Cane LA	217	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	776	100.0
Greenwood LA	3,166	100.0
Hall Summit LA	268	100.0
Haughton LA	4,539	100.0
Haynesville LA	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	213	100.0
Homer LA	2,747	100.0
Hornbeck LA	430	100.0
Hosston LA	244	100.0
Ida LA	217	100.0
Jamestown LA	100	100.0
Junction City LA	437	100.0
Keachi LA	243	100.0
Kinder LA	2,170	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	904	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Lakeview LA	818	100.0
Lawtell LA	1,066	100.0
Leesville LA	5,649	100.0
Leonville LA	868	100.0
Lillie LA	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	173	100.0
Logansport LA	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	115	100.0
Longville LA	545	100.0
Lucky LA	251	100.0
Mamou LA	2,936	100.0
Mansfield LA	4,714	100.0
Many LA	2,571	100.0
Marion LA	623	100.0
Marthaville LA	90	100.0
Martin LA	524	100.0
Melville LA	759	100.0
Merryville LA	967	100.0
Minden LA	11,928	100.0
Montgomery LA	622	100.0
Mooringsport LA	748	100.0
Morrow LA	149	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	66	100.0
Natchez LA	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	18,039	100.0
New Llano LA	2,213	100.0
Noble LA	200	100.0
Oakdale LA	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	1,402	100.0
Oil City LA	901	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Opelousas LA	15,786	100.0
Oretta LA	371	100.0
Palmetto LA	92	100.0
Pine Prairie LA	1,490	100.0
Pitkin LA	455	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	893	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	617	100.0
Point Place LA	382	100.0
Port Barre LA	1,751	100.0
Powhatan LA	101	100.0
Provencal LA	528	100.0
Red Chute LA	7,065	100.0
Reddell LA	904	100.0
Reeves LA	221	100.0
Ringgold LA	1,379	100.0
Robeline LA	117	100.0
Rodessa LA	192	100.0
Rosepine LA	1,519	100.0
Saline LA	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	717	100.0
Shongaloo LA	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	1,127	100.0
Simpson LA	585	100.0
Singer LA	303	100.0
South Mansfield LA	333	100.0
Spearsville LA	126	100.0
Springhill LA	4,801	100.0
Stanley LA	132	100.0
Stonewall LA	2,273	100.0

	Population	%
Sugartown LA	33	100.0
Sunset LA	2,909	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	394	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	1,314	100.0
Ville Platte LA	6,303	100.0
Vivian LA	3,073	100.0
Washington LA	742	100.0
Zwolle LA	1,638	100.0
District 4 Totals	**460,986**	
District 5		
Alexandria LA	45,275	100.0
Amite City LA	4,005	100.0
Angie LA	258	100.0
Atlanta LA	149	100.0
Ball LA	3,961	100.0
Banks Springs LA	1,136	100.0
Baskin LA	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	9,691	100.0
Bawcomville LA	3,472	100.0
Bogalusa LA	10,659	100.0
Bonita LA	170	100.0
Bordelonville LA	458	100.0
Boyce LA	888	100.0
Brownsville LA	4,353	100.0
Bunkie LA	3,346	100.0
Calhoun LA	670	100.0
Calvin LA	242	100.0
Center Point LA	520	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Chatham LA	491	100.0
Cheneyville LA	468	100.0
Choudrant LA	989	100.0
Claiborne LA	12,631	100.0
Clarks LA	1,052	100.0
Clayton LA	584	100.0
Clinton LA	1,340	100.0
Collinston LA	274	100.0
Columbia LA	277	100.0
Cottonport LA	2,023	100.0
Creola LA	242	100.0
Delhi LA	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	232	100.0
Deville LA	1,761	100.0
Dodson LA	294	100.0
Downsville LA (part)	24	20.0
Dubach LA	908	100.0
East Hodge LA	204	100.0
Echo LA	352	100.0
Epps LA	358	100.0
Eros LA	130	100.0
Evergreen LA	215	100.0
Ferriday LA	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	921	100.0
Fordoche LA	910	100.0
Forest Hill LA	605	100.0
Forest LA	304	100.0
Franklinton LA	3,662	100.0
Georgetown LA	277	100.0
Gilbert LA	449	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Glenmora LA	1,087	100.0
Good Pine LA	259	100.0
Grambling LA	5,239	100.0
Grayson LA	449	100.0
Greensburg LA	629	100.0
Hammond LA (part)	16,583	84.7
Harrisonburg LA	277	100.0
Hessmer LA	772	100.0
Hodge LA	382	100.0
Independence LA	1,635	100.0
Jackson LA	3,990	100.0
Jena LA	4,155	100.0
Jonesboro LA	4,106	100.0
Jonesville LA	1,728	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	196	100.0
Joyce LA	328	100.0
Kentwood LA	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	351	100.0
Lake Providence LA	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	1,988	100.0
Lecompte LA	845	100.0
Livonia LA	1,212	100.0
Mangham LA	624	100.0
Mansura LA	1,320	100.0
Marksville LA	5,065	100.0
McNary LA	201	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	491	100.0
Midway LA	1,157	100.0
Minorca LA	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA	47,702	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Monterey LA	474	100.0
Montpelier LA	196	100.0
Moreauville LA	984	100.0
Morganza LA	525	100.0
Mound LA	12	100.0
Natalbany LA	2,510	100.0
New Roads LA	4,549	100.0
Newellton LA	886	100.0
North Hodge LA	296	100.0
Norwood LA	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	1,441	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	124	100.0
Olla LA	1,295	100.0
Pineville LA	14,384	100.0
Pioneer LA	149	100.0
Plaucheville LA	221	100.0
Pollock LA	394	100.0
Ponchatoula LA (part)	175	2.2
Prospect LA	380	100.0
Quitman LA	160	100.0
Rayville LA	3,347	100.0
Richmond LA	511	100.0
Richwood LA	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	583	100.0
Rio LA	137	100.0
Rock Hill LA	260	100.0
Roseland LA	880	100.0
Ruston LA	22,166	100.0
Sicily Island LA	366	100.0
Sikes LA	112	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Simmesport LA	1,468	100.0
Simsboro LA	803	100.0
Slaughter LA	1,035	100.0
Spokane LA	378	100.0
St. Francisville LA	1,557	100.0
St. Joseph LA	831	100.0
St. Maurice LA	266	100.0
Start LA	982	100.0
Sterlington LA	1,980	100.0
Swartz LA	4,354	100.0
Tallulah LA	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	425	100.0
Tickfaw LA	635	100.0
Trout LA	104	100.0
Tullos LA	304	100.0
Urania LA	698	100.0
Varnado LA	330	100.0
Ventress LA	800	100.0
Vidalia LA	4,027	100.0
Vienna LA	483	100.0
Wallace Ridge LA	572	100.0
Waterproof LA	541	100.0
West Monroe LA	13,103	100.0
Wilson LA	348	100.0
Winnfield LA	4,153	100.0
Winnsboro LA	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	771	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Woodworth LA	1,762	100.0
District 5 Totals	**353,445**	
District 6		
Addis LA (part)	31	0.5
Albany LA	1,235	100.0
Amelia LA	2,132	100.0
Baker LA (part)	9,336	75.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	148,459	65.3
Bayou Blue LA (part)	6,551	49.1
Bayou Cane LA (part)	14,808	74.9
Bayou Corne LA	32	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	1,304	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	1,806	100.0
Brownfields LA	5,145	100.0
Brusly LA (part)	1,884	73.1
Central LA	29,565	100.0
Chackbay LA	5,370	100.0
Choctaw LA	775	100.0
Crescent LA	811	100.0
Denham Springs LA	9,286	100.0
Destrehan LA (part)	9,976	88.0
Erwinville LA	2,275	100.0
French Settlement LA	1,073	100.0
Gardere LA	13,203	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	6,259	51.2
Gray LA	5,518	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	548	100.0
Houma LA (part)	1,958	5.9

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Inniswold LA	5,987	100.0
Killian LA	1,177	100.0
Kraemer LA	877	100.0
Labadieville LA	1,715	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	2,427	100.0
Laplace LA (part)	9,778	33.9
Livingston LA	1,877	100.0
Maringouin LA	891	100.0
Mathews LA (part)	82	3.6
Monticello LA	5,431	100.0
Montz LA	2,106	100.0
Morgan City LA (part)	1,023	8.9
Napoleonville LA	540	100.0
New Sarpy LA (part)	252	21.6
Norco LA	2,984	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	9,239	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	7,339	100.0
Patterson LA (part)	1,606	27.1
Pierre Part LA	3,024	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	110	1.8
Port Allen LA (part)	624	12.6
Port Vincent LA	646	100.0
Prairieville LA	33,197	100.0
Raceland LA (part)	5,738	58.7
Rosedale LA	664	100.0
Schriever LA	6,711	100.0
Shenandoah LA	19,292	100.0
Sorrento LA	1,514	100.0
Springfield LA	427	100.0
St. Rose LA (part)	2,235	29.8

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Supreme LA	839	100.0
Thibodaux LA	15,948	100.0
Village St. George LA	7,677	100.0
Walker LA	6,374	100.0
Watson LA	956	100.0
Westminster LA	2,791	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	0	0.0
Zachary LA	19,316	100.0
District 6 Totals	**462,754**	

Summary Statistics

Number of City/Town not split	456
Number of City/Town split	32
Number of City/Town split in 2	32
Total number of splits	64

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55 PM

City/Town	District	Population	%
Abbeville LA	3	11,186	100.0
Abita Springs LA	1	2,631	100.0
Addis LA	2	5,648	83.9
Addis LA	6	1,083	16.1
Albany LA	6	1,235	100.0
Alexandria LA	5	45,275	100.0
Ama LA	2	1,290	100.0
Amelia LA	3	2,132	100.0
Amite City LA	5	4,005	100.0
Anacoco LA	4	851	100.0
Angie LA	5	258	100.0
Arabi LA	1	4,533	100.0
Arcadia LA	4	2,746	100.0
Arnaudville LA	3	1,009	100.0
Ashland LA	4	194	100.0
Athens LA	4	237	100.0
Atlanta LA	5	149	100.0
Avondale LA	2	4,582	100.0
Baker LA	2	3,119	25.0
Baker LA	6	9,336	75.0
Baldwin LA	3	1,762	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Ball LA	5	3,961	100.0
Banks Springs LA	5	1,136	100.0
Barataria LA	1	1,057	100.0
Basile LA	3	0	0.0
Basile LA	4	1,214	100.0
Baskin LA	5	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	5	9,691	100.0
Baton Rouge LA	2	77,716	34.2
Baton Rouge LA	6	149,754	65.8
Bawcomville LA	5	3,472	100.0
Bayou Blue LA	1	5,301	39.7
Bayou Blue LA	6	8,051	60.3
Bayou Cane LA	1	2,081	10.5
Bayou Cane LA	6	17,689	89.5
Bayou Corne LA	6	32	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	6	1,304	100.0
Bayou Gauche LA	2	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	2	514	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	6	1,806	100.0
Bayou Vista LA	3	4,213	100.0
Belcher LA	4	248	100.0
Belle Chasse LA	1	10,579	100.0
Belle Rose LA	2	1,698	100.0
Belmont LA	4	305	100.0
Benton LA	4	2,048	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Bernice LA	4	1,356	100.0
Berwick LA	3	4,771	100.0
Bienville LA	4	191	100.0
Blanchard LA	4	3,538	100.0
Bogalusa LA	5	10,659	100.0
Bonita LA	5	170	100.0
Boothville LA	1	718	100.0
Bordelonville LA	5	458	100.0
Bossier City LA	4	62,701	100.0
Bourg LA	1	2,375	100.0
Boutte LA	2	3,054	100.0
Boyce LA	5	888	100.0
Branch LA	3	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA	3	7,513	100.0
Bridge City LA	2	7,219	100.0
Broussard LA	3	13,417	100.0
Brownfields LA	6	5,145	100.0
Brownsville LA	5	4,353	100.0
Brusly LA	2	481	18.7
Brusly LA	6	2,097	81.3
Bryceland LA	4	87	100.0
Bunkie LA	5	3,346	100.0
Buras LA	1	1,109	100.0
Cade LA	3	1,874	100.0
Calhoun LA	5	670	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Calvin LA	5	242	100.0
Cameron LA	3	315	100.0
Campti LA	4	887	100.0
Cankton LA	3	583	100.0
Carencro LA	3	9,272	100.0
Carlyss LA	3	5,101	100.0
Castor LA	4	230	100.0
Catahoula LA	3	988	100.0
Cecilia LA	3	1,807	100.0
Center Point LA	5	520	100.0
Centerville LA	3	499	100.0
Central LA	6	29,565	100.0
Chackbay LA	6	5,370	100.0
Chalmette LA	1	21,562	100.0
Charenton LA	3	1,699	100.0
Chataignier LA	4	259	100.0
Chatham LA	5	491	100.0
Chauvin LA	1	2,575	100.0
Cheneyville LA	5	468	100.0
Choctaw LA	6	775	100.0
Choudrant LA	5	989	100.0
Church Point LA	3	4,179	100.0
Claiborne LA	5	12,631	100.0
Clarence LA	4	326	100.0
Clarks LA	5	1,052	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Clayton LA	5	584	100.0
Clinton LA	5	1,340	100.0
Colfax LA	5	1,428	100.0
Collinston LA	5	274	100.0
Columbia LA	5	277	100.0
Convent LA	2	483	100.0
Converse LA	4	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	4	787	100.0
Cottonport LA	5	2,023	100.0
Coushatta LA	4	1,752	100.0
Covington LA	1	11,564	100.0
Creola LA	5	242	100.0
Crescent LA	6	811	100.0
Crowley LA	3	11,710	100.0
Cullen LA	4	716	100.0
Cut Off LA	1	5,533	100.0
Darrow LA	2	200	100.0
Delacroix LA	1	48	100.0
Delcambre LA	3	1,793	100.0
Delhi LA	5	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	5	232	100.0
Denham Springs LA	6	9,286	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3	3,144	100.0
DeRidder LA	4	9,852	100.0
Des Allemands LA	2	1,730	79.4

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Des Allemands LA	6	449	20.6
Destrehan LA	2	1,445	12.7
Destrehan LA	6	9,895	87.3
Deville LA	5	1,761	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	4	293	100.0
Dodson LA	5	294	100.0
Donaldsonville LA	2	6,695	100.0
Dorseyville LA	2	159	100.0
Downsville LA	4	96	80.0
Downsville LA	5	24	20.0
Doyline LA	4	674	100.0
Dry Prong LA	5	455	100.0
Dubach LA	5	908	100.0
Dubberly LA	4	250	100.0
Dulac LA	1	1,241	100.0
Duson LA	3	1,326	100.0
East Hodge LA	5	204	100.0
Eastwood LA	4	4,390	100.0
Echo LA	5	352	100.0
Eden Isle LA	1	7,782	100.0
Edgard LA	2	1,948	100.0
Edgefield LA	4	204	100.0
Egan LA	3	618	100.0
Elizabeth LA	4	417	100.0
Elmwood LA	1	5,649	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Elton LA	3	992	100.0
Empire LA	1	905	100.0
Epps LA	5	358	100.0
Erath LA	3	2,028	100.0
Eros LA	5	130	100.0
Erwinville LA	6	2,275	100.0
Estelle LA	1	3,854	21.5
Estelle LA	2	14,098	78.5
Estherwood LA	3	694	100.0
Eunice LA	3	302	3.2
Eunice LA	4	9,120	96.8
Evergreen LA	5	215	100.0
Farmerville LA	4	3,366	100.0
Fenton LA	3	226	100.0
Ferriday LA	5	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	5	921	100.0
Fisher LA	4	197	100.0
Florien LA	4	553	100.0
Folsom LA	1	769	100.0
Fordoche LA	6	910	100.0
Forest Hill LA	5	605	100.0
Forest LA	5	304	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	4	494	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	4	2,179	100.0
Fort Polk South LA	4	7,950	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Franklin LA	3	6,728	100.0
Franklinton LA	5	3,662	100.0
French Settlement LA	6	1,073	100.0
Frierson LA	4	132	100.0
Galliano LA	1	7,100	100.0
Gardere LA	6	13,203	100.0
Garyville LA	2	2,123	100.0
Georgetown LA	5	277	100.0
Gibsland LA	4	773	100.0
Gilbert LA	5	449	100.0
Gilliam LA	4	123	100.0
Gillis LA	3	800	100.0
Glencoe LA	3	132	100.0
Glenmora LA	5	1,087	100.0
Gloster LA	4	53	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1	1,761	100.0
Goldonna LA	4	428	100.0
Gonzales LA	2	5,972	48.8
Gonzales LA	6	6,259	51.2
Good Pine LA	5	259	100.0
Grambling LA	5	5,239	100.0
Gramercy LA	2	2,932	100.0
Grand Cane LA	4	217	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	4	776	100.0
Grand Isle LA	1	1,005	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Grand Point LA	2	2,241	100.0
Gray LA	6	5,518	100.0
Grayson LA	5	449	100.0
Greensburg LA	5	0	0.0
Greensburg LA	6	629	100.0
Greenwood LA	4	3,166	100.0
Gretna LA	2	17,814	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	6	548	100.0
Gueydan LA	3	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	3	926	100.0
Hahnville LA	2	2,959	100.0
Hall Summit LA	4	268	100.0
Hammond LA	1	19,584	100.0
Harahan LA	1	9,116	100.0
Harrisonburg LA	5	277	100.0
Harvey LA	2	22,236	100.0
Haughton LA	4	4,539	100.0
Hayes LA	3	676	100.0
Haynesville LA	4	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	4	213	100.0
Henderson LA	3	1,617	100.0
Hessmer LA	5	772	100.0
Hester LA	2	483	100.0
Hodge LA	5	382	100.0
Homer LA	4	2,747	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Hornbeck LA	4	430	100.0
Hosston LA	4	244	100.0
Houma LA	1	33,406	100.0
Ida LA	4	217	100.0
Independence LA	5	1,635	100.0
Inniswold LA	6	5,987	100.0
Iota LA	3	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3	3,436	100.0
Jackson LA	5	3,990	100.0
Jamestown LA	4	100	100.0
Jean Lafitte LA	1	1,809	100.0
Jeanerette LA	3	4,813	100.0
Jefferson LA	1	8,882	83.5
Jefferson LA	2	1,751	16.5
Jena LA	5	4,155	100.0
Jennings LA	3	9,837	100.0
Jonesboro LA	5	4,106	100.0
Jonesville LA	5	1,728	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	5	196	100.0
Joyce LA	5	328	100.0
Junction City LA	4	437	100.0
Kaplan LA	3	4,352	100.0
Keachi LA	4	243	100.0
Kenner LA	1	50,906	76.6
Kenner LA	2	15,542	23.4

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Kentwood LA	5	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	5	351	100.0
Killian LA	6	1,177	100.0
Killona LA	2	724	100.0
Kinder LA	4	2,170	100.0
Kraemer LA	6	877	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	5	904	100.0
Labadieville LA	6	1,715	100.0
Lacassine LA	3	490	100.0
Lacombe LA	1	8,657	100.0
Lafayette LA	3	121,374	100.0
Lafitte LA	1	1,014	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	6	2,427	100.0
Lake Arthur LA	3	2,595	100.0
Lake Charles LA	3	84,872	100.0
Lake Providence LA	5	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	5	1,988	100.0
Lakeview LA	4	818	100.0
Laplace LA	2	16,755	58.1
Laplace LA	6	12,086	41.9
Larose LA	1	6,763	100.0
Lawtell LA	4	1,066	100.0
Lecompte LA	5	845	100.0
Leesville LA	4	5,649	100.0
Lemannville LA	2	695	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Leonville LA	5	868	100.0
Lewisburg LA	1	420	100.0
Lillie LA	4	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	4	173	100.0
Livingston LA	6	1,877	100.0
Livonia LA	6	1,212	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	1	2,490	100.0
Logansport LA	4	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	4	115	100.0
Longville LA	4	545	100.0
Loreauville LA	3	658	100.0
Lucky LA	4	251	100.0
Luling LA	2	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	2	3,133	100.0
Lydia LA	3	892	100.0
Madisonville LA	1	850	100.0
Mamou LA	4	2,936	100.0
Mandeville LA	1	13,192	100.0
Mangham LA	5	624	100.0
Mansfield LA	4	4,714	100.0
Mansura LA	5	1,320	100.0
Many LA	4	2,571	100.0
Maringouin LA	6	891	100.0
Marion LA	4	623	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Marksville LA	5	5,065	100.0
Marrero LA	2	32,382	100.0
Marthaville LA	4	90	100.0
Martin LA	4	524	100.0
Mathews LA	1	2,191	96.4
Mathews LA	6	82	3.6
Maurice LA	3	2,118	100.0
McNary LA	5	201	100.0
Melville LA	5	759	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	5	491	100.0
Meraux LA	1	6,804	100.0
Mermentau LA	3	516	100.0
Merrydale LA	2	9,227	100.0
Merryville LA	4	967	100.0
Metairie LA	1	139,256	97.0
Metairie LA	2	4,251	3.0
Midland LA	3	249	100.0
Midway LA	5	1,157	100.0
Milton LA	3	2,590	100.0
Minden LA	4	11,928	100.0
Minorca LA	5	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA	5	47,702	100.0
Montegut LA	1	1,465	100.0
Monterey LA	5	474	100.0
Montgomery LA	5	622	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Monticello LA	6	5,431	100.0
Montpelier LA	6	196	100.0
Montz LA	2	0	0.0
Montz LA	6	2,106	100.0
Moonshine LA	2	168	100.0
Mooringsport LA	4	748	100.0
Moreauville LA	5	984	100.0
Morgan City LA	3	11,472	100.0
Morganza LA	6	525	100.0
Morrow LA	4	149	100.0
Morse LA	3	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	3	12,522	100.0
Mound LA	5	12	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	4	66	100.0
Napoleonville LA	6	540	100.0
Natalbany LA	1	1,709	68.1
Natalbany LA	5	801	31.9
Natchez LA	4	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	4	18,039	100.0
New Iberia LA	3	28,555	100.0
New Llano LA	4	2,213	100.0
New Orleans LA	1	49,479	12.9
New Orleans LA	2	334,518	87.1
New Orleans Station LA	1	2,508	100.0
New Roads LA	6	4,549	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
New Sarpy LA	2	917	78.4
New Sarpy LA	6	252	21.6
Newellton LA	5	886	100.0
Noble LA	4	200	100.0
Norco LA	6	2,984	100.0
North Hodge LA	5	296	100.0
North Vacherie LA	2	2,093	100.0
Norwood LA	5	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	5	1,441	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	6	9,239	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	5	124	100.0
Oakdale LA	4	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	4	1,402	100.0
Oil City LA	4	901	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	6	7,339	100.0
Olla LA	5	1,295	100.0
Opelousas LA	4	566	3.6
Opelousas LA	5	15,220	96.4
Oretta LA	4	371	100.0
Ossun LA	3	2,145	100.0
Paincourtville LA	2	857	100.0
Palmetto LA	5	92	100.0
Paradis LA	2	1,242	100.0
Parks LA	3	640	100.0
Patterson LA	3	5,931	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Paulina LA	2	1,778	100.0
Pearl River LA	1	2,565	100.0
Perry LA	3	1,171	100.0
Pierre Part LA	6	3,024	100.0
Pine Prairie LA	4	1,490	100.0
Pineville LA	5	14,384	100.0
Pioneer LA	5	149	100.0
Pitkin LA	4	455	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	4	893	100.0
Plaquemine LA	2	6,269	100.0
Plaquemine LA	6	0	0.0
Plaucheville LA	5	221	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	4	617	100.0
Pleasure Bend LA	2	212	100.0
Point Place LA	4	382	100.0
Pointe a la Hache LA	1	183	100.0
Pollock LA	5	394	100.0
Ponchatoula LA	1	7,822	100.0
Port Allen LA	2	4,315	87.4
Port Allen LA	6	624	12.6
Port Barre LA	5	1,751	100.0
Port Sulphur LA	1	1,677	100.0
Port Vincent LA	6	646	100.0
Powhatan LA	4	101	100.0
Poydras LA	1	2,536	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Prairieville LA	6	33,197	100.0
Presquille LA	1	1,703	100.0
Prien LA	3	7,745	100.0
Prospect LA	5	380	100.0
Provencal LA	4	528	100.0
Quitman LA	5	160	100.0
Raceland LA	1	4,030	41.3
Raceland LA	6	5,738	58.7
Rayne LA	3	7,236	100.0
Rayville LA	5	3,347	100.0
Red Chute LA	4	7,065	100.0
Reddell LA	4	904	100.0
Reeves LA	4	221	100.0
Reserve LA	2	8,541	100.0
Richmond LA	5	511	100.0
Richwood LA	5	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	5	583	100.0
Ringgold LA	4	1,379	100.0
Rio LA	5	137	100.0
River Ridge LA	1	11,276	83.0
River Ridge LA	2	2,315	17.0
Roanoke LA	3	491	100.0
Robeline LA	4	117	100.0
Rock Hill LA	5	260	100.0
Rodessa LA	4	192	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Romeville LA	2	99	100.0
Rosedale LA	6	664	100.0
Roseland LA	5	880	100.0
Rosepine LA	4	1,519	100.0
Ruston LA	5	22,166	100.0
Saline LA	4	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	4	717	100.0
Schriever LA	6	6,711	100.0
Scott LA	3	8,119	100.0
Shenandoah LA	6	19,292	100.0
Shongaloo LA	4	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	4	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	4	1,127	100.0
Sicily Island LA	5	366	100.0
Sikes LA	5	112	100.0
Simmesport LA	5	1,468	100.0
Simpson LA	4	585	100.0
Simsboro LA	5	803	100.0
Singer LA	4	303	100.0
Siracusaville LA	3	297	100.0
Slaughter LA	6	1,035	100.0
Slidell LA	1	28,781	100.0
Sorrel LA	3	711	100.0
Sorrento LA	6	1,514	100.0
South Mansfield LA	4	333	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
South Vacherie LA	2	3,388	100.0
Spearsville LA	4	126	100.0
Spokane LA	5	378	100.0
Springfield LA	6	427	100.0
Springhill LA	4	4,801	100.0
St. Francisville LA	5	1,557	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	2	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	2	592	100.0
St. Joseph LA	5	831	100.0
St. Martinville LA	3	5,379	100.0
St. Maurice LA	5	266	100.0
St. Rose LA	2	3,996	53.3
St. Rose LA	6	3,508	46.8
Stanley LA	4	132	100.0
Starks LA	3	659	100.0
Start LA	5	982	100.0
Sterlington LA	5	1,980	100.0
Stonewall LA	4	2,273	100.0
Sugartown LA	4	33	100.0
Sulphur LA	3	21,809	100.0
Sun LA	1	392	100.0
Sunset LA	4	2,909	100.0
Supreme LA	6	839	100.0
Swartz LA	5	4,354	100.0
Taft LA	2	61	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Tallulah LA	5	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	5	425	100.0
Terrytown LA	2	25,278	100.0
Thibodaux LA	6	15,948	100.0
Tickfaw LA	5	635	100.0
Timberlane LA	2	10,364	100.0
Triumph LA	1	268	100.0
Trout LA	5	104	100.0
Tullos LA	5	304	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	4	394	100.0
Union LA	2	735	100.0
Urania LA	5	698	100.0
Varnado LA	5	330	100.0
Venice LA	1	162	100.0
Ventress LA	6	800	100.0
Vidalia LA	5	4,027	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	4	1,314	100.0
Vienna LA	5	483	100.0
Village St. George LA	6	7,677	100.0
Ville Platte LA	4	6,303	100.0
Vinton LA	3	3,400	100.0
Violet LA	1	5,758	100.0
Vivian LA	4	3,073	100.0
Waggaman LA	2	9,835	100.0
Walker LA	6	6,374	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Wallace LA	2	755	100.0
Wallace Ridge LA	5	572	100.0
Washington LA	4	742	100.0
Waterproof LA	5	541	100.0
Watson LA	6	956	100.0
Welcome LA	2	672	100.0
Welsh LA	3	3,333	100.0
West Monroe LA	5	13,103	100.0
Westlake LA	3	4,781	100.0
Westminster LA	6	2,791	100.0
Westwego LA	2	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA	2	1,722	100.0
White Castle LA	6	0	0.0
Wilson LA	5	348	100.0
Winnfield LA	5	4,153	100.0
Winnsboro LA	5	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	5	771	100.0
Woodmere LA	2	11,238	100.0
Woodworth LA	5	1,762	100.0
Youngsville LA	3	15,929	100.0
Zachary LA	6	19,316	100.0
Zwolle LA	4	1,638	100.0

City/Town **-- Listed by District**

District 1

City/Town	Population	%
Abita Springs LA	2,631	100.0
Arabi LA	4,533	100.0
Barataria LA	1,057	100.0
Bayou Blue LA (part)	5,301	39.7
Bayou Cane LA (part)	2,081	10.5
Belle Chasse LA	10,579	100.0
Boothville LA	718	100.0
Bourg LA	2,375	100.0
Buras LA	1,109	100.0
Chalmette LA	21,562	100.0
Chauvin LA	2,575	100.0
Covington LA	11,564	100.0
Cut Off LA	5,533	100.0
Delacroix LA	48	100.0
Dulac LA	1,241	100.0
Eden Isle LA	7,782	100.0
Elmwood LA	5,649	100.0
Empire LA	905	100.0
Estelle LA (part)	3,854	21.5
Folsom LA	769	100.0
Galliano LA	7,100	100.0
Golden Meadow LA	1,761	100.0
Grand Isle LA	1,005	100.0
Hammond LA	19,584	100.0
Harahan LA	9,116	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Houma LA	33,406	100.0
Jean Lafitte LA	1,809	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	8,882	83.5
Kenner LA (part)	50,906	76.6
Lacombe LA	8,657	100.0
Lafitte LA	1,014	100.0
Larose LA	6,763	100.0
Lewisburg LA	420	100.0
Lockport Heights LA	1,171	100.0
Lockport LA	2,490	100.0
Madisonville LA	850	100.0
Mandeville LA	13,192	100.0
Mathews LA (part)	2,191	96.4
Meraux LA	6,804	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	139,256	97.0
Montegut LA	1,465	100.0
Natalbany LA (part)	1,709	68.1
New Orleans LA (part)	49,479	12.9
New Orleans Station LA	2,508	100.0
Pearl River LA	2,565	100.0
Pointe a la Hache LA	183	100.0
Ponchatoula LA	7,822	100.0
Port Sulphur LA	1,677	100.0
Poydras LA	2,536	100.0
Presquille LA	1,703	100.0
Raceland LA (part)	4,030	41.3
River Ridge LA (part)	11,276	83.0
Slidell LA	28,781	100.0
Sun LA	392	100.0
Triumph LA	268	100.0

	Population	%
Venice LA	162	100.0
Violet LA	5,758	100.0
District 1 Totals	**530,557**	
District 2		
Addis LA (part)	5,648	83.9
Ama LA	1,290	100.0
Avondale LA	4,582	100.0
Baker LA (part)	3,119	25.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	77,716	34.2
Bayou Gauche LA	2,161	100.0
Bayou Goula LA	514	100.0
Belle Rose LA	1,698	100.0
Boutte LA	3,054	100.0
Bridge City LA	7,219	100.0
Brusly LA (part)	481	18.7
Convent LA	483	100.0
Darrow LA	200	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	1,730	79.4
Destrehan LA (part)	1,445	12.7
Donaldsonville LA	6,695	100.0
Dorseyville LA	159	100.0
Edgard LA	1,948	100.0
Estelle LA (part)	14,098	78.5
Garyville LA	2,123	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	5,972	48.8
Gramercy LA	2,932	100.0
Grand Point LA	2,241	100.0
Gretna LA	17,814	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Hahnville LA	2,959	100.0
Harvey LA	22,236	100.0
Hester LA	483	100.0
Jefferson LA (part)	1,751	16.5
Kenner LA (part)	15,542	23.4
Killona LA	724	100.0
Laplace LA (part)	16,755	58.1
Lemannville LA	695	100.0
Luling LA	13,716	100.0
Lutcher LA	3,133	100.0
Marrero LA	32,382	100.0
Merrydale LA	9,227	100.0
Metairie LA (part)	4,251	3.0
Montz LA (part)	0	0.0
Moonshine LA	168	100.0
New Orleans LA (part)	334,518	87.1
New Sarpy LA (part)	917	78.4
North Vacherie LA	2,093	100.0
Paincourtville LA	857	100.0
Paradis LA	1,242	100.0
Paulina LA	1,778	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	6,269	100.0
Pleasure Bend LA	212	100.0
Port Allen LA (part)	4,315	87.4
Reserve LA	8,541	100.0
River Ridge LA (part)	2,315	17.0
Romeville LA	99	100.0
South Vacherie LA	3,388	100.0
St. Gabriel LA	6,433	100.0
St. James LA	592	100.0

	Population	%
St. Rose LA (part)	3,996	53.3
Taft LA	61	100.0
Terrytown LA	25,278	100.0
Timberlane LA	10,364	100.0
Union LA	735	100.0
Waggaman LA	9,835	100.0
Wallace LA	755	100.0
Welcome LA	672	100.0
Westwego LA	8,568	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	1,722	100.0
Woodmere LA	11,238	100.0
District 2 Totals	**736,137**	
District 3		
Abbeville LA	11,186	100.0
Amelia LA	2,132	100.0
Arnaudville LA	1,009	100.0
Baldwin LA	1,762	100.0
Basile LA (part)	0	0.0
Bayou Vista LA	4,213	100.0
Berwick LA	4,771	100.0
Branch LA	431	100.0
Breaux Bridge LA	7,513	100.0
Broussard LA	13,417	100.0
Cade LA	1,874	100.0
Cameron LA	315	100.0
Cankton LA	583	100.0
Carencro LA	9,272	100.0
Carlyss LA	5,101	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Catahoula LA	988	100.0
Cecilia LA	1,807	100.0
Centerville LA	499	100.0
Charenton LA	1,699	100.0
Church Point LA	4,179	100.0
Crowley LA	11,710	100.0
Delcambre LA	1,793	100.0
DeQuincy LA	3,144	100.0
Duson LA	1,326	100.0
Egan LA	618	100.0
Elton LA	992	100.0
Erath LA	2,028	100.0
Estherwood LA	694	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	302	3.2
Fenton LA	226	100.0
Franklin LA	6,728	100.0
Gillis LA	800	100.0
Glencoe LA	132	100.0
Gueydan LA	1,165	100.0
Hackberry LA	926	100.0
Hayes LA	676	100.0
Henderson LA	1,617	100.0
Iota LA	1,304	100.0
Iowa LA	3,436	100.0
Jeanerette LA	4,813	100.0
Jennings LA	9,837	100.0
Kaplan LA	4,352	100.0
Lacassine LA	490	100.0
Lafayette LA	121,374	100.0
Lake Arthur LA	2,595	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Lake Charles LA	84,872	100.0
Loreauville LA	658	100.0
Lydia LA	892	100.0
Maurice LA	2,118	100.0
Mermentau LA	516	100.0
Midland LA	249	100.0
Milton LA	2,590	100.0
Morgan City LA	11,472	100.0
Morse LA	599	100.0
Moss Bluff LA	12,522	100.0
New Iberia LA	28,555	100.0
Ossun LA	2,145	100.0
Parks LA	640	100.0
Patterson LA	5,931	100.0
Perry LA	1,171	100.0
Prien LA	7,745	100.0
Rayne LA	7,236	100.0
Roanoke LA	491	100.0
Scott LA	8,119	100.0
Siracusaville LA	297	100.0
Sorrel LA	711	100.0
St. Martinville LA	5,379	100.0
Starks LA	659	100.0
Sulphur LA	21,809	100.0
Vinton LA	3,400	100.0
Welsh LA	3,333	100.0
Westlake LA	4,781	100.0

	Population	%
Youngsville LA	15,929	100.0
District 3 Totals	**490,648**	
District 4		
Anacoco LA	851	100.0
Arcadia LA	2,746	100.0
Ashland LA	194	100.0
Athens LA	237	100.0
Basile LA (part)	1,214	100.0
Belcher LA	248	100.0
Belmont LA	305	100.0
Benton LA	2,048	100.0
Bernice LA	1,356	100.0
Bienville LA	191	100.0
Blanchard LA	3,538	100.0
Bossier City LA	62,701	100.0
Bryceland LA	87	100.0
Campti LA	887	100.0
Castor LA	230	100.0
Chataignier LA	259	100.0
Clarence LA	326	100.0
Converse LA	379	100.0
Cotton Valley LA	787	100.0
Coushatta LA	1,752	100.0
Cullen LA	716	100.0
DeRidder LA	9,852	100.0
Dixie Inn LA	293	100.0
Downsville LA (part)	96	80.0
Doyline LA	674	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Dubberly LA	250	100.0
Eastwood LA	4,390	100.0
Edgefield LA	204	100.0
Elizabeth LA	417	100.0
Eunice LA (part)	9,120	96.8
Farmerville LA	3,366	100.0
Fisher LA	197	100.0
Florien LA	553	100.0
Fort Jesup LA	494	100.0
Fort Polk North LA	2,179	100.0
Fort Polk South LA	7,950	100.0
Frierson LA	132	100.0
Gibsland LA	773	100.0
Gilliam LA	123	100.0
Gloster LA	53	100.0
Goldonna LA	428	100.0
Grand Cane LA	217	100.0
Grand Coteau LA	776	100.0
Greenwood LA	3,166	100.0
Hall Summit LA	268	100.0
Haughton LA	4,539	100.0
Haynesville LA	2,039	100.0
Heflin LA	213	100.0
Homer LA	2,747	100.0
Hornbeck LA	430	100.0
Hosston LA	244	100.0
Ida LA	217	100.0
Jamestown LA	100	100.0
Junction City LA	437	100.0
Keachi LA	243	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Kinder LA	2,170	100.0
Lakeview LA	818	100.0
Lawtell LA	1,066	100.0
Leesville LA	5,649	100.0
Lillie LA	111	100.0
Lisbon LA	173	100.0
Logansport LA	1,340	100.0
Longstreet LA	115	100.0
Longville LA	545	100.0
Lucky LA	251	100.0
Mamou LA	2,936	100.0
Mansfield LA	4,714	100.0
Many LA	2,571	100.0
Marion LA	623	100.0
Marthaville LA	90	100.0
Martin LA	524	100.0
Merryville LA	967	100.0
Minden LA	11,928	100.0
Mooringsport LA	748	100.0
Morrow LA	149	100.0
Mount Lebanon LA	66	100.0
Natchez LA	489	100.0
Natchitoches LA	18,039	100.0
New Llano LA	2,213	100.0
Noble LA	200	100.0
Oakdale LA	6,692	100.0
Oberlin LA	1,402	100.0
Oil City LA	901	100.0
Opelousas LA (part)	566	3.6
Oretta LA	371	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Pine Prairie LA	1,490	100.0
Pitkin LA	455	100.0
Plain Dealing LA	893	100.0
Pleasant Hill LA	617	100.0
Point Place LA	382	100.0
Powhatan LA	101	100.0
Provencal LA	528	100.0
Red Chute LA	7,065	100.0
Reddell LA	904	100.0
Reeves LA	221	100.0
Ringgold LA	1,379	100.0
Robeline LA	117	100.0
Rodessa LA	192	100.0
Rosepine LA	1,519	100.0
Saline LA	265	100.0
Sarepta LA	717	100.0
Shongaloo LA	151	100.0
Shreveport LA	187,593	100.0
Sibley LA	1,127	100.0
Simpson LA	585	100.0
Singer LA	303	100.0
South Mansfield LA	333	100.0
Spearsville LA	126	100.0
Springhill LA	4,801	100.0
Stanley LA	132	100.0
Stonewall LA	2,273	100.0
Sugartown LA	33	100.0
Sunset LA	2,909	100.0
Turkey Creek LA	394	100.0
Vienna Bend LA	1,314	100.0

	Population	%
Ville Platte LA	6,303	100.0
Vivian LA	3,073	100.0
Washington LA	742	100.0
Zwolle LA	1,638	100.0
District 4 Totals	**437,334**	
District 5		
Alexandria LA	45,275	100.0
Amite City LA	4,005	100.0
Angie LA	258	100.0
Atlanta LA	149	100.0
Ball LA	3,961	100.0
Banks Springs LA	1,136	100.0
Baskin LA	210	100.0
Bastrop LA	9,691	100.0
Bawcomville LA	3,472	100.0
Bogalusa LA	10,659	100.0
Bonita LA	170	100.0
Bordelonville LA	458	100.0
Boyce LA	888	100.0
Brownsville LA	4,353	100.0
Bunkie LA	3,346	100.0
Calhoun LA	670	100.0
Calvin LA	242	100.0
Center Point LA	520	100.0
Chatham LA	491	100.0
Cheneyville LA	468	100.0
Choudrant LA	989	100.0
Claiborne LA	12,631	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Clarks LA	1,052	100.0
Clayton LA	584	100.0
Clinton LA	1,340	100.0
Colfax LA	1,428	100.0
Collinston LA	274	100.0
Columbia LA	277	100.0
Cottonport LA	2,023	100.0
Creola LA	242	100.0
Delhi LA	2,622	100.0
Delta LA	232	100.0
Deville LA	1,761	100.0
Dodson LA	294	100.0
Downsville LA (part)	24	20.0
Dry Prong LA	455	100.0
Dubach LA	908	100.0
East Hodge LA	204	100.0
Echo LA	352	100.0
Epps LA	358	100.0
Eros LA	130	100.0
Evergreen LA	215	100.0
Ferriday LA	3,189	100.0
Fifth Ward LA	921	100.0
Forest Hill LA	605	100.0
Forest LA	304	100.0
Franklinton LA	3,662	100.0
Georgetown LA	277	100.0
Gilbert LA	449	100.0
Glenmora LA	1,087	100.0
Good Pine LA	259	100.0
Grambling LA	5,239	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Grayson LA	449	100.0
Greensburg LA (part)	0	0.0
Harrisonburg LA	277	100.0
Hessmer LA	772	100.0
Hodge LA	382	100.0
Independence LA	1,635	100.0
Jackson LA	3,990	100.0
Jena LA	4,155	100.0
Jonesboro LA	4,106	100.0
Jonesville LA	1,728	100.0
Jordan Hill LA	196	100.0
Joyce LA	328	100.0
Kentwood LA	2,145	100.0
Kilbourne LA	351	100.0
Krotz Springs LA	904	100.0
Lake Providence LA	3,587	100.0
Lakeshore LA	1,988	100.0
Lecompte LA	845	100.0
Leonville LA	868	100.0
Mangham LA	624	100.0
Mansura LA	1,320	100.0
Marksville LA	5,065	100.0
McNary LA	201	100.0
Melville LA	759	100.0
Mer Rouge LA	491	100.0
Midway LA	1,157	100.0
Minorca LA	2,156	100.0
Monroe LA	47,702	100.0
Monterey LA	474	100.0
Montgomery LA	622	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Moreauville LA	984	100.0
Mound LA	12	100.0
Natalbany LA (part)	801	31.9
Newellton LA	886	100.0
North Hodge LA	296	100.0
Norwood LA	279	100.0
Oak Grove LA	1,441	100.0
Oak Ridge LA	124	100.0
Olla LA	1,295	100.0
Opelousas LA (part)	15,220	96.4
Palmetto LA	92	100.0
Pineville LA	14,384	100.0
Pioneer LA	149	100.0
Plaucheville LA	221	100.0
Pollock LA	394	100.0
Port Barre LA	1,751	100.0
Prospect LA	380	100.0
Quitman LA	160	100.0
Rayville LA	3,347	100.0
Richmond LA	511	100.0
Richwood LA	3,881	100.0
Ridgecrest LA	583	100.0
Rio LA	137	100.0
Rock Hill LA	260	100.0
Roseland LA	880	100.0
Ruston LA	22,166	100.0
Sicily Island LA	366	100.0
Sikes LA	112	100.0
Simmesport LA	1,468	100.0
Simsboro LA	803	100.0

	Population	%
Spokane LA	378	100.0
St. Francisville LA	1,557	100.0
St. Joseph LA	831	100.0
St. Maurice LA	266	100.0
Start LA	982	100.0
Sterlington LA	1,980	100.0
Swartz LA	4,354	100.0
Tallulah LA	6,286	100.0
Tangipahoa LA	425	100.0
Tickfaw LA	635	100.0
Trout LA	104	100.0
Tullos LA	304	100.0
Urania LA	698	100.0
Varnado LA	330	100.0
Vidalia LA	4,027	100.0
Vienna LA	483	100.0
Wallace Ridge LA	572	100.0
Waterproof LA	541	100.0
West Monroe LA	13,103	100.0
Wilson LA	348	100.0
Winnfield LA	4,153	100.0
Winnsboro LA	4,862	100.0
Wisner LA	771	100.0
Woodworth LA	1,762	100.0
District 5 Totals	**347,221**	
District 6		
Addis LA (part)	1,083	16.1
Albany LA	1,235	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Baker LA (part)	9,336	75.0
Baton Rouge LA (part)	149,754	65.8
Bayou Blue LA (part)	8,051	60.3
Bayou Cane LA (part)	17,689	89.5
Bayou Corne LA	32	100.0
Bayou Country Club LA	1,304	100.0
Bayou L'Ourse LA	1,806	100.0
Brownfields LA	5,145	100.0
Brusly LA (part)	2,097	81.3
Central LA	29,565	100.0
Chackbay LA	5,370	100.0
Choctaw LA	775	100.0
Crescent LA	811	100.0
Denham Springs LA	9,286	100.0
Des Allemands LA (part)	449	20.6
Destrehan LA (part)	9,895	87.3
Erwinville LA	2,275	100.0
Fordoche LA	910	100.0
French Settlement LA	1,073	100.0
Gardere LA	13,203	100.0
Gonzales LA (part)	6,259	51.2
Gray LA	5,518	100.0
Greensburg LA (part)	629	100.0
Grosse Tete LA	548	100.0
Inniswold LA	5,987	100.0
Killian LA	1,177	100.0
Kraemer LA	877	100.0
Labadieville LA	1,715	100.0
Lafourche Crossing LA	2,427	100.0
Laplace LA (part)	12,086	41.9

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Livingston LA	1,877	100.0
Livonia LA	1,212	100.0
Maringouin LA	891	100.0
Mathews LA (part)	82	3.6
Monticello LA	5,431	100.0
Montpelier LA	196	100.0
Montz LA (part)	2,106	100.0
Morganza LA	525	100.0
Napoleonville LA	540	100.0
New Roads LA	4,549	100.0
New Sarpy LA (part)	252	21.6
Norco LA	2,984	100.0
Oak Hills Place LA	9,239	100.0
Old Jefferson LA	7,339	100.0
Pierre Part LA	3,024	100.0
Plaquemine LA (part)	0	0.0
Port Allen LA (part)	624	12.6
Port Vincent LA	646	100.0
Prairieville LA	33,197	100.0
Raceland LA (part)	5,738	58.7
Rosedale LA	664	100.0
Schriever LA	6,711	100.0
Shenandoah LA	19,292	100.0
Slaughter LA	1,035	100.0
Sorrento LA	1,514	100.0
Springfield LA	427	100.0
St. Rose LA (part)	3,508	46.8
Supreme LA	839	100.0
Thibodaux LA	15,948	100.0
Ventress LA	800	100.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Village St. George LA	7,677	100.0
Walker LA	6,374	100.0
Watson LA	956	100.0
Westminster LA	2,791	100.0
White Castle LA (part)	0	0.0
Zachary LA	19,316	100.0
District 6 Totals	**476,671**	

Summary Statistics

Number of City/Town not split	458
Number of City/Town split	30
Number of City/Town split in 2	30
Total number of splits	60

User: **Tony Fairfax**
Plan Name: **LA CD Illustrative Plan 1**
Plan Type: **LA Congressional Districts**

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:53 AM

Landmark Area	District	Population	%
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	1	48	63.2
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	2	28	36.8
Louisiana State Univ	2	4,768	54.0
Louisiana State Univ	2	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ	6	4,070	46.1
Louisiana State Univ	6	57	100.0

Landmark Area **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
Audobon Park Golf Course	0	0.0
East Jefferson General Hosp	0	0.0
Franklin Foundation Hosp	0	0.0
Green St Cmtry	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an (part)	48	63.2
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Lawrence Park	0	0.0
Leonard J Chabert Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Orleans Adolescent Hosp	0	0.0
Ochsner Baptist Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Ochsner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office-Bell	0	0.0
Slidell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Southern Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
St Mary Cmtry	0	0.0
St Mary Parish Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Teche Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Terrebonne General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
US Army Corps of Engineers	0	0.0
West End Park	0	0.0
District 1 Totals	**7,493**	

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Algiers Technology Acdmy	0	0.0
Behrman Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Couba-Island	0	0.0
Folgers Coffee	0	0.0
Jambalaya Park	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an (part)	28	36.8
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ (part)	4,768	54.0
Louisiana State Univ (part)	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Health Scienc	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Intake Processing Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Prison	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Temporary Jails	0	0.0
South White Street Female Division	0	0.0
Touro Infirmary	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
University Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
District 2 Totals	**11,485**	
A Kaplan Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Abrom Kaplan Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Jail	0	0.0
Acadiana Rgnl Arprt	0	0.0
American Legion Hosp	0	0.0
C Paul Phelps Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Cameron Parish Jail	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Christus St Patrick Hosp	0	0.0
City Park	0	0.0
Dequincy City Jail	0	0.0
Duson Park	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jennings City Jail	0	0.0
Kaplan Indl Park	0	0.0
Lafayette General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Lafayette General Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lafayette Regional	0	0.0
Lake Charles Regional	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Levy Park	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Eunice	0	0.0
M L King Park	0	0.0
McNeese State Univ	0	0.0
North Side City Park	0	0.0
Riverside Park	0	0.0
South Louisiana Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
St Martin Sheriff's Office Juvenile Trai	0	0.0
Univ of Louisiana Lafayette	0	0.0
District 3 Totals	**5,649**	
Caldwell Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Caldwell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Parish Jail	0	0.0
Cane River Creole Natl Hist Pk	0	0.0
Catholic Cmtry	0	0.0
Centenary College of Louisiana	0	0.0
Claiborne Parish Womens Jail	0	0.0
David Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Regional Health System	0	0.0
Forcht-Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Grambling State Univ	0	0.0
Hardtner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
Jackson Parish Hosp	0	0.0
L S U Health Shreveport	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Natchitoches Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Llano City Park	0	0.0
Northern Louisiana Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Northwestern State Univ	0	0.0
Shreveport City Jail	0	0.0
Shreveport Regional	0	0.0
Specialists Hospital Shreveport	0	0.0
Springhill Police Dept	0	0.0
Squires Cmtry	0	0.0
Stonewall Park	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
Webster Parish Jail	0	0.0
White Rock Cmtry	0	0.0
Willis Knighton Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winn Parish Jail	0	0.0
Winn Parish Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winnfield City Jail	0	0.0
District 4 Totals	**12,529**	
Amite City Jail	0	0.0
Arsenal Park	0	0.0
Avoyelles Hosp	0	0.0
Baton Rouge General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Blakeman Park	0	0.0
Bunkie General Hosp	0	0.0
Camelot Colg	0	0.0
Civitan Park	0	0.0
Delhi Hosp	0	0.0
Evangeline Parish Jail	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Glenwood Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Greater Baton Rouge Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lallie Kemp Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Louisiana State Capitol	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Newman Park	0	0.0
Old City Cmtry	0	0.0
Opelousas City Jail	0	0.0
P&S Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Palmetto Is	0	0.0
Pecanland Mall	0	0.0
Poverty Point Natl Mnmt	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
St John Schl	0	0.0
State Capitol Park	0	0.0
Tensas Parish Jail	0	0.0
West Carroll Parish Jail	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
District 5 Totals	**16,907**	
Athletic Park	0	0.0
Bogalusa Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Carver Park	0	0.0
Fontainbleau St Park Preserve	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ (part)	4,070	46.1
Our Lady of the Lake Livingston	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Ct	0	0.0
Pearl River Wildlife Mngt Area	0	0.0
St Tammany Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Washington St Tammany Regional Medical C	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
District 6 Totals	**8,448**	

Summary Statistics

Number of Landmark Area not split	384
Number of Landmark Area split	58
Number of Landmark Area split in 2	41
Number of Landmark Area split in 3	8
Number of Landmark Area split in 4	4
Number of Landmark Area split in 5	4
Number of Landmark Area split in 6	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 7	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 8	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 9	1
Total number of splits	151

User: **Tony Fairfax**
Plan Name: **LA CD Plan HB1**
Plan Type: **Congressional Districts**

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Friday, April 1, 2022 10:41 PM

Landmark Area	District	Population	%
Louisiana State Univ	2	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ	6	8,838	100.0

Landmark Area **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
Athletic Park	0	0.0
Audobon Park Golf Course	0	0.0
East Jefferson General Hosp	0	0.0
Fontainbleau St Park Preserve	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Leonard J Chabert Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Orleans Adolescent Hosp	0	0.0
Ochsner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Pearl River Wildlife Mngt Area	0	0.0
Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office-Bell	0	0.0
Slidell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Southern Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
St Tammany Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Terrebonne General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
West End Park	0	0.0
District 1 Totals	**6,260**	
Algiers Technology Acdmy	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Behrman Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Camelot Colg	0	0.0
Couba-Island	0	0.0
Folgers Coffee	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Greater Baton Rouge Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Green St Cmtry	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ (part)	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Health Scienc	0	0.0
Ochsner Baptist Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Intake Processing Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Prison	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Temporary Jails	0	0.0
South White Street Female Division	0	0.0
St John Schl	0	0.0
St Mary Cmtry	0	0.0
Touro Infirmary	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
University Medical Ctr	0	0.0
US Army Corps of Engineers	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
District 2 Totals	**10,481**	
A Kaplan Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Abrom Kaplan Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Jail	0	0.0
Acadiana Rgnl Arprt	0	0.0
American Legion Hosp	0	0.0
Cameron Parish Jail	0	0.0
Christus St Patrick Hosp	0	0.0
City Park	0	0.0
Dequincy City Jail	0	0.0
Duson Park	0	0.0
Franklin Foundation Hosp	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jennings City Jail	0	0.0
Kaplan Indl Park	0	0.0
Lafayette General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Lafayette General Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lafayette Regional	0	0.0
Lake Charles Regional	0	0.0
Lawrence Park	0	0.0
Levy Park	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Eunice	0	0.0
M L King Park	0	0.0
McNeese State Univ	0	0.0
North Side City Park	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Riverside Park	0	0.0
St Martin Sheriff's Office Juvenile Trai	0	0.0
St Mary Parish Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Teche Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Univ of Louisiana Lafayette	0	0.0
District 3 Totals	**2,732**	
C Paul Phelps Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Cane River Creole Natl Hist Pk	0	0.0
Catholic Cmtry	0	0.0
Centenary College of Louisiana	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Claiborne Parish Womens Jail	0	0.0
David Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Regional Health System	0	0.0
Evangeline Parish Jail	0	0.0
Forcht-Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
L S U Health Shreveport	0	0.0
Natchitoches Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Llano City Park	0	0.0
Northwestern State Univ	0	0.0
Opelousas City Jail	0	0.0
Shreveport City Jail	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Shreveport Regional	0	0.0
South Louisiana Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Specialists Hospital Shreveport	0	0.0
Springhill Police Dept	0	0.0
Stonewall Park	0	0.0
Webster Parish Jail	0	0.0
Willis Knighton Medical Ctr	0	0.0
District 4 Totals	**7,021**	
Amite City Jail	0	0.0
Avoyelles Hosp	0	0.0
Blakeman Park	0	0.0
Bogalusa Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Bunkie General Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Caldwell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Parish Jail	0	0.0
Civitan Park	0	0.0
Delhi Hosp	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Glenwood Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Grambling State Univ	0	0.0
Hardtner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Jackson Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Lallie Kemp Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Newman Park	0	0.0
Northern Louisiana Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Old City Cmtry	0	0.0
P&S Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Palmetto Is	0	0.0
Pecanland Mall	0	0.0
Poverty Point Natl Mnmt	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Squires Cmtry	0	0.0
Tensas Parish Jail	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
Washington St Tammany Regional Medical C	0	0.0
West Carroll Parish Jail	0	0.0
White Rock Cmtry	0	0.0
Winn Parish Jail	0	0.0
Winn Parish Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winnfield City Jail	0	0.0
District 5 Totals	**24,935**	

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Arsenal Park	0	0.0
Baton Rouge General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Carver Park	0	0.0
Jambalaya Park	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana State Capitol	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Livingston	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Ct	0	0.0
State Capitol Park	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
District 6 Totals	**11,082**	

Summary Statistics

Number of Landmark Area not split	384
Number of Landmark Area split	58
Number of Landmark Area split in 2	41
Number of Landmark Area split in 3	9
Number of Landmark Area split in 4	3
Number of Landmark Area split in 5	4
Number of Landmark Area split in 6	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 7	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 8	1
Total number of splits	149

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:12 PM

Landmark Area	District	Population	%
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	1	76	100.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	2	0	0.0

Landmark Area **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
Athletic Park	0	0.0
Audobon Park Golf Course	0	0.0
East Jefferson General Hosp	0	0.0
Fontainbleau St Park Preserve	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Leonard J Chabert Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Orleans Adolescent Hosp	0	0.0
Ochsner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Pearl River Wildlife Mngt Area	0	0.0
Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office-Bell	0	0.0
Slidell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Southern Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
St Tammany Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Terrebonne General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
West End Park	0	0.0
District 1 Totals	**8,630**	
Algiers Technology Acdmy	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Behrman Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Camelot Colg	0	0.0
Couba-Island	0	0.0
Folgers Coffee	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Greater Baton Rouge Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Green St Cmtry	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an (part)	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Health Scienc	0	0.0
Ochsner Baptist Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Intake Processing Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Prison	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Temporary Jails	0	0.0
South White Street Female Division	0	0.0
St John Schl	0	0.0
St Mary Cmtry	0	0.0
Touro Infirmary	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
University Medical Ctr	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
US Army Corps of Engineers	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
District 2 Totals	**10,481**	
A Kaplan Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Abrom Kaplan Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Jail	0	0.0
Acadiana Rgnl Arprt	0	0.0
American Legion Hosp	0	0.0
Cameron Parish Jail	0	0.0
Christus St Patrick Hosp	0	0.0
City Park	0	0.0
Dequincy City Jail	0	0.0
Duson Park	0	0.0
Franklin Foundation Hosp	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jennings City Jail	0	0.0
Kaplan Indl Park	0	0.0
Lafayette General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Lafayette General Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lafayette Regional	0	0.0
Lake Charles Regional	0	0.0
Lawrence Park	0	0.0
Levy Park	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Eunice	0	0.0
M L King Park	0	0.0
McNeese State Univ	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
North Side City Park	0	0.0
Riverside Park	0	0.0
St Martin Sheriff's Office Juvenile Trai	0	0.0
St Mary Parish Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Teche Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Univ of Louisiana Lafayette	0	0.0
District 3 Totals	**2,732**	
C Paul Phelps Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Cane River Creole Natl Hist Pk	0	0.0
Catholic Cmtry	0	0.0
Centenary College of Louisiana	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Claiborne Parish Womens Jail	0	0.0
David Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Regional Health System	0	0.0
Evangeline Parish Jail	0	0.0
Forcht-Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
L S U Health Shreveport	0	0.0
Natchitoches Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Llano City Park	0	0.0
Northwestern State Univ	0	0.0
Shreveport City Jail	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Shreveport Regional	0	0.0
South Louisiana Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Specialists Hospital Shreveport	0	0.0
Springhill Police Dept	0	0.0
Stonewall Park	0	0.0
Webster Parish Jail	0	0.0
Willis Knighton Medical Ctr	0	0.0
District 4 Totals	**6,674**	
Amite City Jail	0	0.0
Avoyelles Hosp	0	0.0
Blakeman Park	0	0.0
Bogalusa Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Bunkie General Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Caldwell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Parish Jail	0	0.0
Civitan Park	0	0.0
Delhi Hosp	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Glenwood Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Grambling State Univ	0	0.0
Hardtner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Jackson Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Lallie Kemp Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Newman Park	0	0.0
Northern Louisiana Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Old City Cmtry	0	0.0
Opelousas City Jail	0	0.0
P&S Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Palmetto Is	0	0.0
Pecanland Mall	0	0.0
Poverty Point Natl Mnmt	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Squires Cmtry	0	0.0
Tensas Parish Jail	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
Washington St Tammany Regional Medical C	0	0.0
West Carroll Parish Jail	0	0.0
White Rock Cmtry	0	0.0
Winn Parish Jail	0	0.0
Winn Parish Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winnfield City Jail	0	0.0
District 5 Totals	**22,887**	

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Arsenal Park	0	0.0
Baton Rouge General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Carver Park	0	0.0
Jambalaya Park	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana State Capitol	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Livingston	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Ct	0	0.0
State Capitol Park	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
District 6 Totals	**11,107**	

Summary Statistics

Number of Landmark Area not split	384
Number of Landmark Area split	58
Number of Landmark Area split in 2	42
Number of Landmark Area split in 3	8
Number of Landmark Area split in 4	3
Number of Landmark Area split in 5	4
Number of Landmark Area split in 6	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 7	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 8	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 9	1
Total number of splits	149

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Illustrative Plan 1
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Fracking

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:09 PM

	Pieces
District 1	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 2	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
District 5	
County: Madison LA (22065)	2
County: West Feliciana LA (22125)	2

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Plan HB1
Plan Type: Congressional Districts

Fracking

Friday, April 1, 2022 10:39 PM

	Pieces
District 1	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 2	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	3
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 5	
County: Madison LA (22065)	2
County: West Feliciana LA (22125)	2
District 6	
County: East Baton Rouge LA (22033)	2
County: St. Mary LA (22101)	2

User:
Plan Name: **LA CD 2011 Plan**
Plan Type: **Congress**

Fracking

Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:22 PM

	Pieces
District 1	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 2	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	3
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 3	
County: St. Landry LA (22097)	2
County: St. Martin LA (22099)	2
District 5	
County: Madison LA (22065)	2
County: West Feliciana LA (22125)	2
District 6	
County: East Baton Rouge LA (22033)	2

Appendix D

Other Data

1. Illustrative Plan Congressional District 5 - 2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22009030100	$ 43,875	12.88	25.67	9.95%	$ 79,400	18.1%
22009030200	$ 42,833	15.71	23.33	14.86%	$ 113,400	14.2%
22009030300	$ 46,656	22.82	21.26	17.46%	$ 113,100	27.0%
22009030400	$ 28,049	40.53	23.25	20.10%	$ 102,900	35.9%
22009030500	$ 36,875	20.91	13.93	20.36%	$ 113,400	39.4%
22009030600	$ 33,646	10.70	15.54	21.36%	$ 96,500	29.9%
22009030700	$ 42,230	28.22	35.56	20.46%	$ 118,400	30.1%
22009030800	$ 43,047	12.20	18.21	10.84%	$ 107,200	24.3%
22009030900	$ 26,582	43.97	26.44	28.51%	$ 72,600	37.9%
22025000100	$ 45,956	23.79	26.44	15.80%	$ 106,100	19.4%
22025000200	$ 26,736	32.47	29.40	28.35%	$ 71,600	31.8%
22025000300	$ 50,614	13.92	22.41	7.15%	$ 88,500	11.9%
22029000100	$ 51,176	15.58	27.62	9.18%	$ 110,500	25.1%
22029000200	$ 23,586	29.95	18.31	22.36%	$ 56,600	52.0%
22029000300	$ 28,077	36.07	21.64	22.70%	$ 64,000	32.5%
22029000400	$ 37,616	18.88	15.92	10.67%	$ 102,400	31.4%
22029000500	$ 43,828	18.61	19.21	8.27%	$ 150,700	14.3%
22033000100	$ 21,271	39.74	31.22	48.47%	$ 63,500	62.7%
22033000200	$ 22,765	56.22	28.22	48.98%	$ 78,300	65.8%
22033000300	$ 29,929	33.25	27.22	41.91%	$ 57,700	61.6%
22033000400	$ 25,205	53.49	29.83	34.61%	$ 76,000	60.3%
22033000500	$ 24,388	38.39	32.72	40.69%	$ 67,600	65.9%
22033000601	$ 24,213	39.37	19.80	43.48%	$ 86,400	43.0%
22033000602	$ 26,902	25.57	17.10	41.55%	$ 72,100	40.6%
22033000701	$ 25,926	54.37	21.00	42.68%	$ 113,100	49.4%
22033000702	$ 34,276	37.31	15.72	28.21%	$ 93,300	47.6%
22033000900	$ 27,581	31.19	17.56	34.93%	$ 70,500	50.3%
22033001000	$ 25,469	33.83	19.52	36.84%	$ 61,700	51.6%
22033001102	$ 25,066	31.04	24.92	39.53%	$ 145,700	80.6%
22033001103	$ 37,386	31.25	10.11	37.01%	$ 88,400	46.8%
22033001104	$ 22,238	48.45	36.35	44.58%		100.0%
22033001600	$ 64,236	14.11	6.75	8.46%	$ 240,900	50.5%
22033003000	$ 18,762	38.93	19.93	47.99%	$ 70,000	59.0%
22033003101	$ 25,299	37.73	15.29	40.03%	$ 88,900	51.5%
22033003103	$ 21,399	36.47	24.47	52.54%	$ 65,000	70.1%
22033003201	$ 50,069	26.91	9.47	23.99%	$ 117,000	20.5%
22033003202	$ 73,043	4.80	7.80	18.51%	$ 154,800	15.4%

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22033003300	$ 43,214	23.56	20.45	26.23%	$ 82,300	51.0%
22033003400	$ 32,377	30.09	18.94	36.63%	$ 88,400	56.7%
22033003501	$ 42,015	27.81	26.09	13.92%	$ 141,500	44.5%
22033003504	$ 35,033	34.26	10.16	26.99%	$ 114,800	40.6%
22033003505	$ 35,185	23.97	16.46	29.79%	$ 97,900	42.4%
22033003506	$ 51,118	11.18	8.82	14.57%	$ 157,700	26.7%
22033003507	$ 53,305	11.58	12.06	14.39%	$ 145,700	19.4%
22033003601	$ 47,159	13.82	15.40	14.03%	$ 144,500	34.7%
22033003603	$ 32,946	29.44	5.94	33.98%	$ 101,800	56.5%
22033003604	$ 41,167	24.02	20.44	21.74%	$ 99,800	58.9%
22033003702	$ 68,173	9.79	7.66	15.93%	$ 167,900	20.9%
22033004201	$ 42,771	26.84	13.61	8.73%	$ 113,400	51.8%
22033004203	$ 52,733	22.12	15.42	13.35%	$ 114,400	33.9%
22033004204	$ 43,229	15.13	17.33	35.45%	$ 91,300	34.4%
22033004205	$ 60,975	4.72	5.44	12.02%	$ 136,000	20.8%
22033004302	$ 65,446	7.61	9.00	6.49%	$ 186,800	12.0%
22033004503	$ 64,159	9.24	12.75	10.52%	$ 144,000	29.4%
22033004602	$ 53,340	14.66	7.38	8.67%	$ 181,200	38.1%
22033004603	$ 73,819	9.46	6.26	9.07%	$ 264,000	30.2%
22033004604	$ 97,717	9.37	4.89	7.55%	$ 237,000	13.5%
22033004700	$ 50,304	6.94	14.92	7.97%	$ 198,000	25.9%
22033005100	$ 28,992	35.64	17.31	21.60%	$ 128,400	74.1%
22033005300	$ 14,002	61.01	33.48	30.21%	$ 70,000	66.9%
22033980000	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
22035000100	$ 21,250	34.66	15.53	22.40%	$ 100,000	45.3%
22035000200	$ 37,344	29.01	33.52	16.37%	$ 88,200	13.3%
22035000300	$ 17,788	60.54	34.12	45.90%	$ 36,900	70.7%
22037951300	$ 48,516	15.38	14.15	9.23%	$ 126,500	12.7%
22037951400	$ 45,889	23.56	19.33	17.59%	$ 97,300	27.8%
22037951501	$ 56,250	4.49	6.68	3.95%	$ 151,100	18.0%
22037951502	$ 51,061	21.27	28.69	19.95%	$ 132,700	16.9%
22037951600	$ 56,932	13.51	10.26	13.68%	$ 178,600	20.8%
22039950600	$ 14,955	44.57	39.12	37.65%	$ 56,500	68.0%
22041950100	$ 42,039	24.79	25.07	16.92%	$ 88,200	10.3%
22041950200	$ 34,855	25.07	27.89	22.34%	$ 90,100	18.1%
22041950300	$ 19,961	44.12	30.94	39.86%	$ 88,700	56.2%
22041950400	$ 37,569	17.58	15.16	6.42%	$ 82,300	20.8%

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22041950500	$ 41,447	17.62	15.62	16.62%	$ 97,200	15.7%
22041950600	$ 33,040	29.31	26.69	24.10%	$ 81,300	30.9%
22047952600	$ 41,479	20.44	22.53	26.46%	$ 65,600	15.0%
22047952700	$ 47,273	17.98	14.93	16.60%	$ 155,800	20.2%
22047953101	$ 55,210	24.91	18.22	19.27%	$ 158,700	41.3%
22055000100	$ 31,250	39.11	23.99	21.84%	$ 150,000	71.1%
22055000200	$ 24,958	29.96	30.80	21.04%	$ 89,400	54.2%
22055000700	$ 28,574	27.21	24.34	31.71%	$ 113,200	56.7%
22055000800	$ 22,360	47.93	33.28	37.33%	$ 65,000	65.6%
22055000900	$ 19,242	47.86	44.23	32.25%	$ 80,900	49.5%
22055001001	$ 48,415	27.85	11.04	21.97%	$ 145,800	36.7%
22055001002	$ 38,037	22.64	8.54	13.79%	$ 165,400	64.5%
22055001003	$ 53,750	10.19	7.12	15.58%	$ 166,000	24.4%
22055001100	$ 26,197	36.45	24.28	33.58%	$ 81,700	55.7%
22055001200	$ 38,813	27.79	13.09	24.67%	$ 148,200	38.5%
22055001300	$ 25,697	25.33	27.90	26.54%	$ 146,000	49.9%
22055002002	$ 57,760	17.49	10.24	7.69%	$ 135,700	25.2%
22055002101	$ 60,818	14.94	10.95	9.71%	$ 158,000	19.5%
22055002102	$ 77,472	9.80	11.99	9.42%	$ 165,200	14.6%
22055002103	$ 36,526	14.88	23.21	20.40%	$ 156,400	33.0%
22055002104	$ 54,306	11.47	11.90	5.07%	$ 195,300	20.2%
22065960100	$ 50,234	16.50	14.98	12.41%	$ 142,300	23.0%
22065960200	$ 32,986	14.92	35.81	24.29%	$ 74,200	41.2%
22065960300	$ 17,625	60.20	25.35	37.34%	$ 54,500	56.4%
22065960400	$ 17,188	57.71	30.85	53.05%	$ 72,800	74.2%
22065960500	$ 36,013	35.18	17.07	24.57%	$ 77,200	44.1%
22067950100	$ 35,645	17.44	25.38	12.67%	$ 65,900	27.0%
22067950200	$ 57,293	10.31	10.04	9.21%	$ 125,200	20.8%
22067950300	$ 51,375	15.50	11.66	6.91%	$ 161,700	11.5%
22067950400	$ 17,227	44.98	27.08	42.85%	$ 79,900	57.5%
22067950500	$ 17,619	47.91	26.38	34.77%	$ 78,600	59.0%
22067950600	$ 26,695	25.93	21.19	20.53%	$ 96,500	25.7%
22067950700	$ 40,870	14.70	23.13	20.28%	$ 86,800	22.1%
22067950800	$ 22,482	42.47	25.80	29.45%	$ 53,700	32.3%
22073000100	$ 45,907	13.41	5.12	12.54%	$ 161,100	61.6%
22073000401	$ 43,272	37.09	5.06	8.71%	$ 181,900	63.8%
22073000402	$ 24,817	43.43	13.35	19.93%	$ 78,900	81.8%

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22073000500	$ 25,351	42.05	15.05	38.11%	$ 123,400	62.5%
22073000600	$ 27,464	37.97	23.06	40.53%	$ 48,200	61.2%
22073000700	$ 15,233	71.54	26.69	55.16%	$ 68,300	78.9%
22073000900	$ 14,836	54.83	34.39	63.88%	$ 50,500	87.3%
22073001100	$ 15,000	61.83	27.93	49.45%	$ 67,200	63.2%
22073001400	$ 24,536	55.87	16.80	45.08%	$ 58,400	54.1%
22073001500	$ 18,333	54.09	35.68	42.42%	$ 62,000	54.9%
22073001700	$ 41,875	11.79	6.76	5.29%	$ 194,300	40.5%
22073005500	$ 34,715	29.62	14.28	15.48%	$ 126,300	68.7%
22073010102	$ 24,760	42.93	16.69	31.13%	$ 122,600	48.5%
22073010201	$ 37,834	14.54	8.04	17.12%	$ 155,700	59.5%
22073010603	$ 27,912	37.83	28.47	32.22%	$ 57,800	41.2%
22073010700	$ 15,691	61.25	19.52	58.29%	$ 52,800	78.7%
22073010800	$ 15,191	32.60	23.87	36.15%	$ 44,800	88.5%
22073010900	$ 27,126	40.17	23.18	30.43%	$ 54,800	42.0%
22073011000	$ 25,544	56.86	22.13	44.03%	$ 85,000	61.5%
22073980000	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
22077951900	$ 34,731	29.93	21.69	22.92%	$ 152,000	34.7%
22077952000	$ 39,474	17.06	24.99	18.88%	$ 95,000	21.7%
22077952100	$ 45,809	13.49	18.64	13.45%	$ 138,000	24.4%
22077952200	$ 45,594	21.04	13.14	13.63%	$ 183,000	12.4%
22077952300	$ 46,250	12.88	18.01	15.95%	$ 165,000	29.3%
22077952400	$ 49,676	22.55	24.17	15.59%	$ 131,500	17.9%
22079011000	$ 29,609	47.48	32.86	43.24%	$ 56,100	80.6%
22079012000	$ 14,419	57.23	37.12	46.25%	$ 50,900	59.6%
22079012100	$ 32,009	32.25	9.73	26.89%	$ 106,800	56.2%
22079012200	$ 33,704	29.38	12.53	22.46%	$ 158,300	54.6%
22079012500	$ 57,511	17.47	11.34	18.74%	$ 130,300	30.9%
22079012600	$ 36,458	11.23	16.17	17.26%	$ 133,600	39.6%
22079012700	$ 16,512	55.27	25.34	40.50%	$ 82,800	68.5%
22079012800	$ 24,583	25.81	17.36	29.86%	$ 78,500	42.7%
22079012900	$ 23,882	29.89	30.77	40.51%	$ 51,900	63.0%
22079013000	$ 39,403	12.07	19.96	15.07%	$ 86,100	20.8%
22079013100	$ 34,773	41.89	31.86	16.63%	$ 83,100	43.8%
22079013200	$ 74,521	7.88	11.38	8.87%	$ 213,400	15.1%
22079013300	$ 41,599	21.97	14.27	10.54%	$ 125,400	28.9%
22079013800	$ 44,862	13.80	11.20	12.07%	$ 114,100	53.5%

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22079013900	$ 17,373	49.26	38.07	44.15%	$ 46,100	74.3%
22079980000		0.00	0.00	0.00%		0.0%
22083970100	$ 26,567	37.01	19.88	18.79%	$ 88,600	39.4%
22083970200	$ 36,083	28.49	33.00	24.22%	$ 76,100	35.5%
22083970300	$ 46,111	24.22	12.83	13.78%	$ 114,500	26.8%
22083970400	$ 44,000	16.07	25.85	21.43%	$ 117,300	21.1%
22083970500	$ 22,320	43.75	16.00	41.98%	$ 83,100	55.3%
22083970600	$ 39,139	26.38	25.04	18.26%	$ 86,500	18.6%
22091951100	$ 47,778	9.59	16.47	11.32%	$ 113,000	20.7%
22091951200	$ 38,891	22.78	25.80	32.34%	$ 94,500	21.3%
22097960100	$ 27,273	32.73	26.26	17.59%	$ 96,600	28.8%
22097960200	$ 41,528	26.18	17.54	16.38%	$ 118,300	24.4%
22097960300	$ 31,458	32.03	27.67	17.29%	$ 101,200	30.5%
22097960400	$ 33,625	27.06	29.64	11.01%	$ 81,900	24.6%
22097960500	$ 51,179	13.70	19.82	7.92%	$ 77,300	19.3%
22097960600	$ 31,543	19.16	26.95	6.73%	$ 99,200	18.7%
22097960700	$ 37,500	24.63	25.39	17.84%	$ 106,400	18.7%
22097960800	$ 54,335	10.63	18.84	7.18%	$ 124,300	11.9%
22097960900	$ 24,450	48.41	29.90	33.73%	$ 99,400	60.2%
22097961000	$ 42,780	26.67	32.58	25.66%	$ 116,800	50.5%
22097961100	$ 42,193	13.10	26.01	13.12%	$ 106,800	48.4%
22097961200	$ 48,594	15.30	22.70	10.04%	$ 172,000	21.9%
22097961300	$ 17,230	53.08	36.86	34.42%	$ 66,100	57.6%
22097961400	$ 25,694	32.44	33.36	29.78%	$ 89,200	45.3%
22097961500	$ 47,016	27.09	19.78	21.21%	$ 150,800	42.3%
22097961600	$ 19,904	59.01	29.19	33.78%	$ 80,200	49.7%
22097961700	$ 43,522	12.41	15.14	14.68%	$ 140,100	23.2%
22097961800	$ 51,622	20.57	12.14	9.40%	$ 135,900	23.3%
22097961900	$ 38,482	26.94	20.88	23.85%	$ 137,500	37.7%
22099020200	$ 54,260	14.27	21.65	10.99%	$ 131,500	19.3%
22099020301	$ 72,054	10.16	12.93	10.78%	$ 190,100	9.3%
22099020501	$ 35,724	32.73	16.71	27.11%	$ 75,500	25.7%
22105953200	$ 45,208	13.35	15.44	24.44%	$ 118,300	27.5%
22105953300	$ 32,991	37.49	24.20	34.34%	$ 78,600	36.1%
22105953400	$ 35,402	25.63	24.04	35.32%	$ 165,000	33.5%
22105953600	$ 32,888	30.15	22.49	36.16%	$ 114,400	36.6%
22107000100	$ 27,143	38.48	20.23	18.32%	$ 86,200	28.7%

Illustrative Plan

Congressional District 5
2019 5-Year ACS Census Tract Data
Select Socioeconomic Attributes

Tract	MedHHInc	Poverty%	NoHSEdu%	FdStmpsSNAP%	MedHseVal	Renter%
22107000200	$ 36,250	21.36	26.44	23.90%	$ 78,400	21.7%
22107000300	$ 22,433	39.61	22.00	33.63%	$ 48,400	41.1%
22121020100	$ 47,500	12.62	13.94	16.38%	$ 126,400	31.3%
22121020200	$ 56,284	19.96	26.64	25.80%	$ 154,800	57.6%
22121020300	$ 72,270	16.62	12.69	14.72%	$ 207,900	17.9%
22121020401	$ 57,365	9.46	14.99	12.45%	$ 205,400	30.7%
22121020402	$ 85,735	8.37	2.80	9.53%	$ 228,200	14.7%
22123000100	$ 36,051	22.51	24.84	10.84%	$ 96,300	28.6%
22123000200	$ 46,688	19.03	16.16	16.73%	$ 93,900	18.3%
22123000300	$ 40,000	25.40	22.52	11.04%	$ 82,900	20.4%
22125951701	$ 52,500	9.29	9.17	13.80%	$ 182,900	24.0%
22125951702	$ 58,125	11.53	41.09	13.24%	$ 9,999	76.5%
22125951800	$ 64,694	13.45	6.94	12.36%	$ 244,300	25.5%

Legend
Tract - Census Tract ID
MedHHInc - Median Household Income
Poverty% - Percentage of All Persons in Poverty
NoHSEdu - Percentage of Persons with No High School Education (age > 25)
FdStmpsSNAP% - Percentage of Households receiving Food Stamps or SNAP
MedHseVal - Median Housing Values
Renter% - Percentage of Renter Occupied Housing Units