Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

PRESS ROBINSON, EDGAR CAGE, DOROTHY NAIRNE, EDWIN RENÉ SOULÉ, ALICE WASHINGTON, CLEE EARNEST LOWE, DAVANTE LEWIS, MARTHA DAVIS, AMBROSE SIMS, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE ("NAACP") LOUISIANA STATE CONFERENCE, and POWER COALITION FOR EQUITY AND JUSTICE, Plaintiffs, v. KYLE ARDOIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of State for Louisiana, Defendant.	Case No. 3:22-cv-00211-SDD-SDJ c/w
EDWARD GALMON, SR., CIARA HART, NORRIS HENDERSON, and TRAMELLE HOWARD, Plaintiffs, v. R. KYLE ARDOIN, in his official capacity as Louisiana Secretary of State, Defendant.	Case No. 3:22-cv-00214-SDD-SDJ

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Anthony Fairfax, declare as follows:

1. My name is Anthony E. Fairfax, I am over 18 years of age, and I have personal knowledge of the statements made in this affidavit, and each is true and correct.

I. Introduction

2. I was asked to create maps for submission to the Court in connection with a remedial proceeding. In particular, I was asked to start with my Illustrative Plan 2A previously submitted in this matter and to make certain changes to CD2, including adding Assumption Parish into the district, modifying as necessary to maintain population equality, and making any other appropriate changes in light of traditional redistricting criteria. To assess reasonable compactness, I ensured my remedial plan performs equal to or better than the state's enacted plan (either HB 1 or the 2011 plan) at adhering to traditional and state redistricting criteria, including those embodied in Joint Rule 21 (see Figure 1).

Figure 1 – Louisiana Congressional District Remedial Plan

3. My remedial map maintains the core of Illustrative District 5 in *Robinson* Illustrative Plans 1, 2, and 2A while seeking to ensure that the other majority-minority district, Congressional District 2 ("CD"), is more compact. I also sought to incorporate the testimony regarding communities of interest identified in the preliminary injunction hearing by maintaining the River Parishes in CD 2, and adding Assumption Parish into CD 2.

II. Background

4. In my expert report dated April 15, 2022, I found it was possible to draw an Illustrative Plan that adheres to state and federal redistricting criteria and creates two reasonably compact, majority-Black[1] districts in Louisiana's six-district Congressional map, satisfying the first precondition of *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30 (1986).

5. In its opinion dated June 6, 2022, this Court credited the above testimony in a decision in which the district court ordered the Louisiana Legislature to add a second majority-minority district by June 20, 2022. *Robinson v. Ardoin*, No. 22-211-SDD-SDJ, 2022 WL 2012389 (M.D. La. June 6, 2022).

6. On June 17, 2022, this Court issued an order requiring the parties to jointly submit a remedial map in the event the Louisiana legislature fails to do so. Thereafter, the Plaintiffs' counsel asked that I prepare a remedial congressional districting plan based on Illustrative Plan 2A that made the majority-Black districts more compact, minimized political boundary splits, particularly parishes, and incorporated testimony on communities of

[1] Using voting age population ("VAP") and citizen voting age population ("CVAP").

interest identified at the Preliminary Injunction hearing, specifically the community of interest among Assumption Parish and the other River Parishes.

III. Qualifications

7. My qualifications and expertise are described fully in my expert report, submitted on April 15, 2022, to this Court and available at ECF No. 41-2, 3:22-cv-00211-SDD-SDJ. This Court credited my testimony, in this case, *Robinson v. Ardoin*, in its opinion, dated June 6, 2022, as did a panel of the Fifth Circuit considering this case on June 12, 2022.

IV. Software and Data

8. I used Maptitude for Redistricting ("Maptitude") by Caliper Corporation to develop the Remedial Plan in this report. Maptitude for Redistricting is one of the leading redistricting software applications that is utilized by state and city governments, major nonprofit groups, and consultants to develop redistricting plans.[2]

9. I previously acquired, processed, and utilized the following data:

   a. The 2010 and 2020 census data for the total population were obtained from Caliper Corporation's datasets[3] for the state of Louisiana.

   b. The geographic boundaries for the 2011 congressional districts[4], 2010 and 2020 parishes, and Voting Districts ("VTDs") were also obtained from Caliper Corporation's datasets for the state of Louisiana. An updated shapefile version of

[2] See https://www.caliper.com/mtrnews/clients.htm for Maptitude for Redistricting's client list.
[3] Caliper Corporation provides 2020 Census Data (PL94-171 data) in a format readable for their software, Maptitude for Redistricting. The population data are identical to the data provided by the Census Bureau.
[4] I reviewed the 2011 congressional districts using 2010 Census data in Maptitude. The results in Maptitude generated the same population size and deviation as the Lousiana legislature's reports. The state's congressional district reports are located at the Louisiana Redistricting website: https://redist.legis.la.gov/CurrentDistricts.

the Louisiana VTDs was also downloaded from the Legislature's Redistricting website.[5]

V. Methodology

10. To prepare my remedial plan, I first began with Illustrative plan 2A, which, like HB 1, used as its basis Louisiana's 2011 enacted Congressional plan. In the development of plan 2A, I began with the majority-Black district, CD 2, and sought to make the district more compact. I removed the cities of Baton Rouge and parts of East Baton Rouge Parish from CD 2 and as I expected, the district became more compact.

11. I also sought to incorporate fewer political subdivision splits for CD 2, including parishes and census places. In the HB 1 plan, CD 2 included a significant number of split parishes and census places (see Table 1 below), including a number of split River Parishes. While keeping as a priority making the district more compact, I reduced the parish splits, particularly River Parishes, in CD 2.

12. Two additional changes were made to Plan 2A. One included configuring the parish split of Iberia to be more compact by following mostly a major road in the remedial plan. The second was to modify and match HB1 and the 2011 plan's border for CD 2 near the Lake Pontchartrain area. Following the older border configuration reduces the number of areas that the remedial plan changes in the New Orleans parish HB1 and the 2011 plan's to two common areas.

[5] https://redist.legis.la.gov/default_ShapeFiles2020. I analyzed the 2020 VTD splits using the 2020 Census VTDs available in Maptitude and the VTD shapefile on the state legislature's website and the results were the same.

13. In creating the remedial plan, I maintained CD 5 as I had drawn it in Illustrative Plan 2A, ensuring that CD 5 includes the Delta parishes in the north and Baton Rouge in the south. By its nature, CD 5 in the remedial plan, as well as the HB 1 plan, the 2011 plan, and Illustrative plans, are less compact than other districts because the district stretches along Louisiana's eastern border, which follows the bends and turns of the Mississippi River and then extends along the Florida parishes to the east. Such geographic features inherently impose a substantial penalty in the calculation of the Polsby-Popper compactness metric in particular and also negatively impact other measures as well.

14. I then sought to ensure that in every other district, I prioritized making the districts more compact, minimized political subdivision splits for parishes and VTDs, preserved communities of interest for census places, landmark areas, and communities identified in public testimony, and reduced fracking in each district and the plan overall.

VI. Plan Performance Comparison

15. The following tables include redistricting criteria and major race/ethnicity data of the Remedial and HB 1 plans.

16. In my practice, it is appropriate to compare the metrics of CD 5 in the Remedial Plan with all the congressional districts in HB 1 because both high and low metrics reflect the range of acceptability for the state of Louisiana for any particular metric. In addition, in many instances, you are comparing different geographical areas between maps with similar district numbers. This is why I assessed the performance of the Illustrative Plans overall, as well as district by district, including looking to the mean compactness scores of the various plans as compared to HB 1.

17. Table 1 contains a plan-level comparison of the Remedial and HB 1 plans using the eight redistricting criteria that were followed during plan development.

Table 1 – Remedial and HB 1 Plan Criteria Comparison

Criteria	Remedial Plan	HB1 Plan
Population Deviation	**61**	65
Contiguity	Y	Y
Parish Splits	**11**	15
VTD Splits	0	0
COI Census Places Splits	**27**	32
COI Landmark Splits	58	58
Compactness (mean) Roeck, Polsby-Popper, Convex Hull	**.40, .20, 70**	.37, .14, and .62
Fracking (Total Pieces)	**12**	17

Source: Remedial and HB1 Plans extracted from Maptitude for Redistricting reports

18. Table 2 contains district-level data of the Remedial plan using the eight redistricting criteria that were followed during plan development.

Table 2 – Remedial Plan Redistricting Criteria

Plan	Contiguity	Equal Pop	Compactness (R-PP-CH)	Parish Splits	VTD Splits	COI Splits Places	COI Landmark Splits	Fracking (pieces)
1	Y	3	0.37 0.22 0.72	3	0	7	27	4
2	Y	27	0.27 0.17 0.66	4	0	11	26	4
3	Y	-34	0.48 0.21 0.75	4	0	9	30	0
4	Y	-26	0.56 0.28 0.84	2	0	6	37	0
5	Y	27	0.34 0.10 0.56	5	0	13	33	4
6	Y	18	0.36 0.21 0.74	4	0	6	11	0

Source: Maptitude for Redistricting Reports from Remedial developed plan using 2020 Census Data
Note: All districts include incumbents; R-Reock, P-Polsby Popper, CH-Convex Hull

19. Table 3 contains a district-level data of the HB 1 plan using the eight redistricting criteria.

Table 3 – HB 1 Plan Redistricting Criteria

Plan	Contiguity	Equal Pop	Compactness (R-PP-CH)	Parish Splits	VTD Splits	COI Splits Places	COI Landmark Splits	Fracking (pieces)
1	Y	-25	0.50 0.16 0.71	5	0	14	15	4
2	Y	24	0.18 0.06 0.38	9	0	17	31	5
3	Y	-18	0.37 0.29 0.79	2	0	5	30	0
4	Y	40	0.33 0.16 0.61	1	0	3	28	0
5	Y	-16	0.37 0.12 0.60	2	0	3	40	4
6	Y	-6	0.45 0.07 0.64	11	0	19	14	4

Source: Maptitude for Redistricting Reports from HB 1 LA legislature's Shapefile Plans using 2020 Census Data
Note: All districts include incumbents; R-Reock, P-Polsby Popper, CH-Convex Hull

20. Table 4 contains a district-level comparison of the Remedial and HB 1 plans using the voting age population ("VAP") of major race/ethnicity of the plans.

Table 4 – Major Race/Ethnicity VAP of the Remedial and HB 1 Plans

Plan	Remedial Hisp VAP	Remedial NHWhit VAP	Remedial DOJBlk VAP	Remedial APBlk VAP	HB 1 Hisp VAP	HB 1 NHWht VAP	HB 1 DOJBlk VAP	HB 1 APBlk VAP
1	10.95%	66.23%	15.97%	17.05%	10.94%	69.86%	12.49%	13.48%
2	**7.73%**	**37.26%**	**49.66%**	**51.16%**	**7.84%**	**29.84%**	**57.03%**	**58.65%**
3	4.94%	72.22%	17.93%	18.57%	4.69%	67.01%	23.94%	24.63%
4	4.02%	59.9%	31.25%	31.9%	4.07%	58.12%	33.09%	33.82%
5	**3.46%**	**42.22%**	**51.15%**	**51.98%**	**3.61%**	**60.29%**	**32.33%**	**32.91%**
6	6.37%	72.12%	16.39%	16.91%	6.35%	65.01%	23.27%	23.86%

Source: Maptitude for Redistricting Reports from Remedial developed plan using 2020 Census Data
Note: NH – Not Hispanic, AP – Any Parts, DOJ Blk – NH Black plus NH Black/White combined race

VII. Summary

21. The Remedial Plan adheres to federal, state, and commonly used traditional redistricting principles such as equal population, contiguity, compactness, minimizing political subdivision splits, and preserving communities of interest. In fact, the Remedial plan performs equal to or better than HB 1 Plan on eight of eight redistricting criteria. Therefore, the Remedial Plan is more than acceptable in adhering to the state of Louisiana's traditional redistricting principles while also being fair to Louisiana voters.

Per 28 U.S. Code 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Anthony E. Fairfax
June 22, 2022

Appendix A

Redistricting Criteria Reports

(Maps/Maptitude Data Reports – Remedial Plan)

1. Louisiana CD Remedial Plan (Statewide)
2. Louisiana CD Remedial Plan (District Zoom)
3. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – TTL
4. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – TTL for, VAP, CVAP, REG VOT
5. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – VAP
6. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – CVAP/REG VOT
7. Equal Population/Pop Deviation – "DOJ" Black VAP
8. Contiguity
9. Compactness
10. Political Sub Division Splits - Parish
11. Political Sub Division Splits – New VTDs
12. Community of Interest - Cities
13. Community of Interest - Landmark Splits
14. Fracking
15. District Core compared to H.B. 1

Louisiana Congressional Districts Remedial Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/20/22
Version 1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1

Louisiana Congressional District 1 Remedial Plan

Concordia
Rapides
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
5
Evangeline
St. Landry
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Tangipahoa
6
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Acadia
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
Ascension
St. John the Baptist
2
Orleans
St. James
Iberia
Assumption
St. Charles
St. Bernard
Jefferson
Vermilion
3
St. Mary
1
Lafourche
Terrebonne
N
W
E
S
Legend
Parishes
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1
Louisiana
Congressional District 2
Remedial Plan

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data

By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1

Louisiana Congressional District 3 Remedial Plan

Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
East Carroll
Bossier
Webster
Lincoln
Caddo
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Bienville
Jackson
Franklin
Red River
4
Caldwell
De Soto
Tensas
Winn
Natchitoches
Catahoula
5
La Salle
Grant
Sabine
Concordia
Legend
Parishes
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
3
Louisiana
Congressional District 4
Remedial Plan
Allen
Beauregard
Landry
Baton Rouge
N
W
E
S
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1

Louisiana
Congressional District
Remedial Plan
Legend
Parishes
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Claiborne
Union
Morehouse
West Carroll
East Carroll
Bossier
Webster
Lincoln
Ouachita
Richland
Madison
Caddo
Bienville
Jackson
Red River
4
Caldwell
Franklin
5
Tensas
De Soto
Winn
Catahoula
La Salle
Natchitoches
Grant
Concordia
Sabine
Rapides
Vernon
Avoyelles
West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
Washington
Beauregard
Allen
Evangeline
St. Landry
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
6
3
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
Tangipahoa
St. Tammany
Jefferson
Acadia
Lafayette
St. Martin
Iberville
2
1
Orleans
Cameron
Vermilion
Iberia
St. Mary
Assumption
Lafourche
St. Charles
Plaquemines
N
W
E
S
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1

West Feliciana
East Feliciana
St. Helena
5
Washington
Pointe Coupee
East Baton Rouge
Tangipahoa
6
West Baton Rouge
Livingston
St. Tammany
Ascension
1
N
Iberville
W
E
S
St. John the Baptist
Orleans
2
St. James
Louisiana Congressional District 6 Remedial Plan
St. Charles
Assumption
Martin
St. Mary
Lafourche
Plaquemines
Legend
Parishes
DISTRICT
1
2
3
4
5
6
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 Census Data
By: Tony Fairfax, CensusChannel LLC
Date: 6/21/22
Version 1

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, June 20, 2022 2:19 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[Hispanic Origin]	[% Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	[% NH_Wht]	AP_Blk	[% AP_Blk]
1	776,290	-3	0.00%	93,846	12.09%	490,516	63.19%	144,673	18.64%
2	776,320	27	0.00%	66,866	8.61%	267,640	34.48%	414,138	53.35%
3	776,259	-34	0.00%	42,248	5.44%	543,632	70.03%	156,534	20.17%
4	776,267	-26	0.00%	34,593	4.46%	447,361	57.63%	261,925	33.74%
5	776,310	17	0.00%	28,798	3.71%	305,823	39.39%	424,046	54.62%
6	776,311	18	0.00%	56,198	7.24%	541,730	69.78%	141,803	18.27%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,259 to 776,320
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-34 to 27
Absolute Overall Range:	61
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	20.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.03

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, June 20, 2022 2:26 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	CVAP_TOT20	REGTTL1221
1	776,290	-3	0.00%	604,886	571,603	479,852
2	776,320	27	0.00%	598,687	590,331	499,967
3	776,259	-34	0.00%	586,624	570,156	467,568
4	776,267	-26	0.00%	596,355	592,824	477,674
5	776,310	17	0.00%	590,113	584,267	491,751
6	776,311	18	0.00%	593,883	558,613	477,534

Total Population:	4,657,757
Ideal District Population:	776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,259 to 776,320
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-34 to 27
Absolute Overall Range:	61
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	20.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.03

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, June 20, 2022 2:28 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[H18+_Pop]	[% H18+ _Pop]	[NH18+ _Wht]	[% NH18+ _Wht]	[18+_AP_Blk]	[% 18+ _AP_Blk]
1	776,290	-3	0.00%	66,207	10.95%	400,638	66.23%	103,146	17.05%
2	776,320	27	0.00%	46,285	7.73%	223,076	37.26%	306,288	51.16%
3	776,259	-34	0.00%	28,951	4.94%	423,684	72.22%	108,925	18.57%
4	776,267	-26	0.00%	23,991	4.02%	357,213	59.9%	190,266	31.9%
5	776,310	17	0.00%	20,411	3.46%	249,175	42.22%	306,739	51.98%
6	776,311	18	0.00%	37,817	6.37%	428,324	72.12%	100,405	16.91%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,259 to 776,320
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-34 to 27
Absolute Overall Range:	61
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	20.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.03

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Monday, June 20, 2022 2:27 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[% CVAP_HSP20]	[% CVAP_WHT20]	[% CVAP_BLK20]	[% REGWHT1221]	[% REGBLK1221]	[% REGOTH1221]
1	776,290	-3	0.00%	5.62%	73.86%	16.22%	75.43%	15.44%	9.13%
2	776,320	27	0.00%	3.97%	39.42%	53.76%	39.33%	53.15%	7.52%
3	776,259	-34	0.00%	2.76%	76.44%	18.35%	78.31%	17.21%	4.48%
4	776,267	-26	0.00%	2.34%	62.64%	32.91%	64.66%	31.2%	4.13%
5	776,310	17	0.00%	1.41%	44.71%	52.44%	43.39%	53.41%	3.2%
6	776,311	18	0.00%	2.8%	77.99%	16.82%	79.78%	14.82%	5.4%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,259 to 776,320
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-34 to 27
Absolute Overall Range:	61
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	20.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.03

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Population Summary

Tuesday, June 21, 2022 3:16 PM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[NH18+_Blk]	[% NH18+ _Blk]	[NH18+ _WhtBlk]	[% NH18+ _WhtBlk]	NHBlkBW18	[NHBlkBW18 %]
1	776,290	-3	0.00%	94,205	15.57%	2,410	0.4%	96,615	15.97%
2	776,320	27	0.00%	293,434	49.01%	3,884	0.65%	297,318	49.66%
3	776,259	-34	0.00%	102,096	17.4%	3,115	0.53%	105,211	17.93%
4	776,267	-26	0.00%	183,465	30.76%	2,914	0.49%	186,379	31.25%
5	776,310	17	0.00%	298,280	50.55%	3,550	0.6%	301,830	51.15%
6	776,311	18	0.00%	95,031	16%	2,299	0.39%	97,330	16.39%

Total Population: 4,657,757
Ideal District Population: 776,293

Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	776,259 to 776,320
Ratio Range:	0.00
Absolute Range:	-34 to 27
Absolute Overall Range:	61
Relative Range:	0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range:	0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	20.83
Relative Mean Deviation:	0.00%
Standard Deviation:	23.03

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Contiguity Report

Monday, June 20, 2022 4:49 PM

District	Number of Distinct Areas
1	1
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	1
6	1

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Measures of Compactness Report

Monday, June 20, 2022 4:48 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A
Min	0.27	0.10	0.56
Max	0.56	0.28	0.84
Mean	0.40	0.20	0.71
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.06	0.09

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper	Area/Convex Hull
1	0.37	0.22	0.72
2	0.27	0.17	0.66
3	0.48	0.21	0.75
4	0.56	0.28	0.84
5	0.34	0.10	0.56
6	0.36	0.21	0.74

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Area / Convex Hull	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Monday, June 20, 2022 4:49 PM

Number of subdivisions not split:
County 53

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district:
County 11

Number of splits involving no population:
County 0

Split Counts

County
Cases where an area is split among 2 Districts: 11

County	District	Population
Split Counties:		
Ascension LA	2	24,459
Ascension LA	6	102,041
East Baton Rouge LA	5	217,705
East Baton Rouge LA	6	239,076
Iberia LA	2	32,706
Iberia LA	3	37,223
Jefferson LA	1	236,631
Jefferson LA	2	204,150
Lafayette LA	3	175,072
Lafayette LA	5	66,681
Orleans LA	1	87,257
Orleans LA	2	296,740
Ouachita LA	4	90,953
Ouachita LA	5	69,415
Rapides LA	3	69,584
Rapides LA	5	60,439
St. Tammany LA	1	128,580
St. Tammany LA	6	135,990
Tangipahoa LA	5	21,698
Tangipahoa LA	6	111,459
Vernon LA	3	33,144
Vernon LA	4	15,606

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

New VTDs by District and by County

Monday, June 20, 2022 5:09 PM

	Population	% of District
District 1		
Total District 1	**776,290**	
District 2		
Total District 2	**776,320**	
District 3		
Total District 3	**776,259**	
District 4		
Total District 4	**776,267**	
District 5		
Total District 5	**776,310**	
District 6		
Total District 6	**776,311**	

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Monday, June 20, 2022 5:15 PM

City/Town	District	Population	%
Alexandria LA	3	13,740	30.4
Alexandria LA	5	31,535	69.7
Arnaudville LA	2	39	3.9
Arnaudville LA	5	970	96.1
Baton Rouge LA	5	137,827	60.6
Baton Rouge LA	6	89,643	39.4
Broussard LA	2	190	1.4
Broussard LA	3	13,227	98.6
Brownsville LA	4	4,014	92.2
Brownsville LA	5	339	7.8
Central LA	5	249	0.8
Central LA	6	29,316	99.2
Des Allemands LA	1	449	20.6
Des Allemands LA	2	1,730	79.4
Eunice LA	3	302	3.2
Eunice LA	5	9,120	96.8
Gonzales LA	2	5,038	41.2
Gonzales LA	6	7,193	58.8
Independence LA	5	1,619	99.0
Independence LA	6	16	1.0
Jefferson LA	1	9,432	88.7

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
Jefferson LA	2	1,201	11.3
Kenner LA	1	53,996	81.3
Kenner LA	2	12,452	18.7
Lafayette LA	3	84,924	70.0
Lafayette LA	5	36,450	30.0
Leesville LA	3	1,992	35.3
Leesville LA	4	3,657	64.7
Lewisburg LA	1	420	100.0
Lewisburg LA	6	0	0.0
Mandeville LA	1	7,059	53.5
Mandeville LA	6	6,133	46.5
Metairie LA	1	141,267	98.4
Metairie LA	2	2,240	1.6
Monroe LA	4	10,565	22.2
Monroe LA	5	37,137	77.9
Morgan City LA	1	11,472	100.0
Morgan City LA	2	0	0.0
New Iberia LA	2	19,396	67.9
New Iberia LA	3	9,159	32.1
New Llano LA	3	634	28.7
New Llano LA	4	1,579	71.4
New Orleans LA	1	87,257	22.7
New Orleans LA	2	296,740	77.3
Pineville LA	3	4,753	33.0
Pineville LA	5	9,631	67.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

City/Town	District	Population	%
River Ridge LA	1	11,276	83.0
River Ridge LA	2	2,315	17.0
Scott LA	3	7,413	91.3
Scott LA	5	706	8.7
Swartz LA	4	2,165	49.7
Swartz LA	5	2,189	50.3
West Monroe LA	4	7,824	59.7
West Monroe LA	5	5,279	40.3

City/Town	-- Listed by District	
	Population	%
Des Allemands LA (part)	449	20.6
Jefferson LA (part)	9,432	88.7
Kenner LA (part)	53,996	81.3
Mandeville LA (part)	7,059	53.5
Metairie LA (part)	141,267	98.4
New Orleans LA (part)	87,257	22.7
River Ridge LA (part)	11,276	83.0
District 1 Totals	**629,964**	
Arnaudville LA (part)	39	3.9
Broussard LA (part)	190	1.4
Des Allemands LA (part)	1,730	79.4
Gonzales LA (part)	5,038	41.2
Jefferson LA (part)	1,201	11.3
Kenner LA (part)	12,452	18.7
Metairie LA (part)	2,240	1.6
Morgan City LA (part)	0	0.0
New Iberia LA (part)	19,396	67.9
New Orleans LA (part)	296,740	77.3
River Ridge LA (part)	2,315	17.0
District 2 Totals	**676,924**	

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Alexandria LA (part)	13,740	30.4
Broussard LA (part)	13,227	98.6
Eunice LA (part)	302	3.2
Lafayette LA (part)	84,924	70.0
Leesville LA (part)	1,992	35.3
New Iberia LA (part)	9,159	32.1
New Llano LA (part)	634	28.7
Pineville LA (part)	4,753	33.0
Scott LA (part)	7,413	91.3
District 3 Totals	**442,764**	
Brownsville LA (part)	4,014	92.2
Leesville LA (part)	3,657	64.7
Monroe LA (part)	10,565	22.2
New Llano LA (part)	1,579	71.4
Swartz LA (part)	2,165	49.7
West Monroe LA (part)	7,824	59.7
District 4 Totals	**470,605**	

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Alexandria LA (part)	31,535	69.7
Arnaudville LA (part)	970	96.1
Baton Rouge LA (part)	137,827	60.6
Brownsville LA (part)	339	7.8
Central LA (part)	249	0.8
Eunice LA (part)	9,120	96.8
Independence LA (part)	1,619	99.0
Lafayette LA (part)	36,450	30.0
Monroe LA (part)	37,137	77.9
Pineville LA (part)	9,631	67.0
Scott LA (part)	706	8.7
Swartz LA (part)	2,189	50.3
West Monroe LA (part)	5,279	40.3
District 5 Totals	**480,917**	
Baton Rouge LA (part)	89,643	39.4
Central LA (part)	29,316	99.2
Gonzales LA (part)	7,193	58.8
Independence LA (part)	16	1.0
Lewisburg LA (part)	0	0.0
Mandeville LA (part)	6,133	46.5
District 6 Totals	**317,394**	

Summary Statistics

Number of City/Town not split	461
Number of City/Town split	27
Number of City/Town split in 2	27
Total number of splits	54

User: **Tony Fairfax**
Plan Name: **LA CD Remedial Plan**
Plan Type: **LA Congressional Districts**

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

Monday, June 20, 2022 5:39 PM

Landmark Area	District	Population	%
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	1	48	63.2
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	2	28	36.8
Louisiana State Univ	5	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ	6	8,838	100.0

Landmark Area **-- Listed by District**

	Population	%
Audobon Park Golf Course	0	0.0
East Jefferson General Hosp	0	0.0
Fontainbleau St Park Preserve	0	0.0
Franklin Foundation Hosp	0	0.0
Green St Cmtry	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an (part)	48	63.2
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Lawrence Park	0	0.0
Leonard J Chabert Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Orleans Adolescent Hosp	0	0.0
Ochsner Baptist Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Ochsner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Pearl River Wildlife Mngt Area	0	0.0
Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office-Bell	0	0.0
Slidell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Southern Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
St Mary Cmtry	0	0.0
St Mary Parish Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Teche Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Terrebonne General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
US Army Corps of Engineers	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
West End Park	0	0.0
District 1 Totals	**7,648**	
Algiers Technology Acdmy	0	0.0
Behrman Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Couba-Island	0	0.0
Folgers Coffee	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an (part)	28	36.8
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louis Armstrong New Orleans Internationa	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana Correctional Institute for Wom	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Health Scienc	0	0.0
North Side City Park	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Intake Processing Ctr	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Prison	0	0.0
Orleans Parish Temporary Jails	0	0.0
South White Street Female Division	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
St John Schl	0	0.0
St Martin Sheriff's Office Juvenile Trai	0	0.0
Touro Infirmary	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
Tulane Univ	0	0.0
University Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
Xavier Univ of Louisiana	0	0.0
District 2 Totals	**6,574**	
A Kaplan Memorial Pk	0	0.0
Abrom Kaplan Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Acadia Parish Jail	0	0.0
Acadiana Rgnl Arprt	0	0.0
American Legion Hosp	0	0.0
C Paul Phelps Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Cameron Parish Jail	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Chicot State Park	0	0.0
Christus St Patrick Hosp	0	0.0
City Park	0	0.0
Dequincy City Jail	0	0.0
Duson Park	0	0.0
Evangeline Parish Jail	0	0.0
Jean Lafitte National Historical Park an	0	0.0
Jennings City Jail	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Kaplan Indl Park	0	0.0
Lafayette General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Lafayette General Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lafayette Regional	0	0.0
Lake Charles Regional	0	0.0
Levy Park	0	0.0
Louisiana State University Eunice	0	0.0
M L King Park	0	0.0
McNeese State Univ	0	0.0
Riverside Park	0	0.0
South Louisiana Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Univ of Louisiana Lafayette	0	0.0
District 3 Totals	**5,676**	
Caldwell Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Caldwell Memorial Hosp	0	0.0
Caldwell Parish Jail	0	0.0
Cane River Creole Natl Hist Pk	0	0.0
Catholic Cmtry	0	0.0
Centenary College of Louisiana	0	0.0
Claiborne Parish Womens Jail	0	0.0
David Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Parish Detention Ctr	0	0.0
Desoto Regional Health System	0	0.0
Forcht-Wade Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Grambling State Univ	0	0.0
Hardtner Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0
Hart Arprt	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Jackson Parish Hosp	0	0.0
L S U Health Shreveport	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Louisiana Tech Univ	0	0.0
Natchitoches Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
New Llano City Park	0	0.0
Northern Louisiana Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Northwestern State Univ	0	0.0
Shreveport City Jail	0	0.0
Shreveport Regional	0	0.0
Specialists Hospital Shreveport	0	0.0
Springhill Police Dept	0	0.0
Squires Cmtry	0	0.0
Stonewall Park	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
United States Penitentiary Pollock	0	0.0
Webster Parish Jail	0	0.0
White Rock Cmtry	0	0.0
Willis Knighton Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winn Parish Jail	0	0.0
Winn Parish Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Winnfield City Jail	0	0.0
District 4 Totals	**12,529**	
Amite City Jail	0	0.0
Arsenal Park	0	0.0
Avoyelles Hosp	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
Baton Rouge General Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Baton Rouge Metropolitan	0	0.0
Blakeman Park	0	0.0
Bunkie General Hosp	0	0.0
Camelot Colg	0	0.0
Civitan Park	0	0.0
Delhi Hosp	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Evans Correctional Ctr	0	0.0
Glenwood Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Greater Baton Rouge Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Lallie Kemp Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Louisiana State Capitol	0	0.0
Louisiana State Univ (part)	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Monroe Regional	0	0.0
Newman Park	0	0.0
Old City Cmtry	0	0.0
Opelousas City Jail	0	0.0
P&S Surgical Hosp	0	0.0
Palmetto Is	0	0.0
Pecanland Mall	0	0.0
Poverty Point Natl Mnmt	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Rapides Regional Medical Ctr	0	0.0
State Capitol Park	0	0.0
Tensas Parish Jail	0	0.0

Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

	Population	%
West Carroll Parish Jail	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
District 5 Totals	**16,911**	
Athletic Park	0	0.0
Bogalusa Medical Ctr	0	0.0
Carver Park	0	0.0
Jambalaya Park	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Livingston	0	0.0
Our Lady of the Lake Regional Medical Ct	0	0.0
St Tammany Parish Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Summit Hosp	0	0.0
Washington St Tammany Regional Medical C	0	0.0
Woman's Hosp	0	0.0
District 6 Totals	**13,173**	

Summary Statistics

Number of Landmark Area not split	384
Number of Landmark Area split	58
Number of Landmark Area split in 2	41
Number of Landmark Area split in 3	9
Number of Landmark Area split in 4	3
Number of Landmark Area split in 5	4
Number of Landmark Area split in 6	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 7	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 8	0
Number of Landmark Area split in 9	1
Total number of splits	150

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Districts & Their Incumbents

Monday, June 20, 2022 6:01 PM

District	Name	Party	Previous District
1	scalise	r	1
2	carter	d	2
3	higgins	r	3
4	johnson	r	4
5	letlow	r	5
6	graves	r	6

Number of Incumbents in District with more than one Incumbent:	0
Number of Districts with No Incumbent:	0
Number of Districts with Incumbents of more than one party:	0
Number of Districts with Paired Democrats:	0
Number of Districts with Paired Republicans:	0

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Fracking

Monday, June 20, 2022 5:57 PM

	Pieces
District 1	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
County: Orleans LA (22071)	2
District 2	
County: Jefferson LA (22051)	2
County: St. Martin LA (22099)	2
District 5	
County: Madison LA (22065)	2
County: West Feliciana LA (22125)	2

User: Tony Fairfax
Plan Name: LA CD Remedial Plan
Plan Type: LA Congressional Districts

Core Constituencies

Monday, June 20, 2022 6:08 PM

From Plan: **LA CD Plan HB1**

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 1 -- 776,290 Total Population

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 1	589,903 (75.99%)	79,337 (84.54%)	376,139 (76.68%)	96,277 (66.55%)
Dist. 2	41,400 (5.33%)	5,392 (5.75%)	24,708 (5.04%)	9,429 (6.52%)
Dist. 3	44,607 (5.75%)	3,298 (3.51%)	24,046 (4.90%)	15,198 (10.51%)
Dist. 6	100,380 (12.93%)	5,819 (6.20%)	65,623 (13.38%)	23,769 (16.43%)
Total and % Population		93,846 (12.09%)	490,516 (63.19%)	144,673 (18.64%)

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 2 -- 776,320 Total Population

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 1	10,694 (1.38%)	1,205 (1.80%)	6,096 (2.28%)	2,649 (0.64%)
Dist. 2	625,283 (80.54%)	58,307 (87.20%)	173,795 (64.94%)	370,036 (89.35%)
Dist. 3	83,105 (10.70%)	3,082 (4.61%)	49,293 (18.42%)	28,462 (6.87%)
Dist. 6	57,238 (7.37%)	4,272 (6.39%)	38,456 (14.37%)	12,991 (3.14%)
Total and % Population		66,866 (8.61%)	267,640 (34.48%)	414,138 (53.35%)

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 3 -- 776,259 Total Population

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 3	581,882 (74.96%)	31,055 (73.51%)	402,355 (74.01%)	124,577 (79.58%)
Dist. 4	124,793 (16.08%)	7,861 (18.61%)	87,840 (16.16%)	23,361 (14.92%)
Dist. 5	69,584 (8.96%)	3,332 (7.89%)	53,437 (9.83%)	8,596 (5.49%)
Total and % Population		42,248 (5.44%)	543,632 (70.03%)	156,534 (20.17%)

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 4 -- 776,267 Total Population

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 4	569,000 (73.30%)	25,203 (72.86%)	301,210 (67.33%)	218,570 (83.45%)
Dist. 5	207,267 (26.70%)	9,390 (27.14%)	146,151 (32.67%)	43,355 (16.55%)
Total and % Population		34,593 (4.46%)	447,361 (57.63%)	261,925 (33.74%)

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 5 -- 776,310 Total Population

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 2	108,233 (13.94%)	3,289 (11.42%)	10,993 (3.59%)	93,140 (21.96%)
Dist. 3	66,681 (8.59%)	3,630 (12.61%)	24,322 (7.95%)	37,583 (8.86%)
Dist. 4	82,540 (10.63%)	2,178 (7.56%)	43,225 (14.13%)	35,836 (8.45%)
Dist. 5	382,185 (49.23%)	11,056 (38.39%)	178,607 (58.40%)	183,967 (43.38%)
Dist. 6	136,671 (17.61%)	8,645 (30.02%)	48,676 (15.92%)	73,520 (17.34%)
Total and % Population		28,798 (3.71%)	305,823 (39.39%)	424,046 (54.62%)

Plan: LA CD Remedial Plan, District 6 -- 776,311 Total Population

Core Constituencies

From Plan: **LA CD Plan HB1**

	Population	[Hispanic Origin]	NH_Wht	AP_Blk
Dist. 1	175,671 (22.63%)	12,887 (22.93%)	138,002 (25.47%)	16,912 (11.93%)
Dist. 2	1,401 (0.18%)	240 (0.43%)	499 (0.09%)	631 (0.44%)
Dist. 5	117,241 (15.10%)	5,722 (10.18%)	71,435 (13.19%)	36,810 (25.96%)
Dist. 6	481,998 (62.09%)	37,349 (66.46%)	331,794 (61.25%)	87,450 (61.67%)
Total and % Population		56,198 (7.24%)	541,730 (69.78%)	141,803 (18.27%)