APPENDIX
VOLUME III
PART 2

DISTRICT 6

DISTRICT 6

← Next Previous →

NW Wayne, SW Oakland, Ann Arbor COI

Basic Info

Submitter: Abdulrahman Ateya
Location: Canton Township
Submitted: 8/19/2021
Type: written
ID: w2578

Written Testimony

Canton is community of interest with Plymouth, northville, novi, and Ann Arbor. All of them are highly educated and diverse places

Tags

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

← Next Previous →

Natural COI, AA-Ypsi, western Wayne&Novi

Basic Info

Submitter: Carly
Location: Novi City
Submitted: 8/21/2021
Type: written
ID: w2643

Tags

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Written Testimony

The predominant features tying the community of Ann Arbor-Ypsilanti, Plymouth-Canton-Northville, Novi, and Livonia are the similar lifestyles, historical ties, and drivers of the economy. These areas all share a suburban feel with high rates of secondary education. Obviously, UM and EMU are centers of higher of education and many professors live in these communities, but also many high schools from these areas are feeder schools, like P-CEP schools, help populate the campuses of EMU and UM. I wanted to reiterate an important comment, ID: w2559, which informed the commission of the strength of the AAPI community in Canton. I wanted to add on that these neighboring communities also share a rich AAPI heritage and population. This is a golden opportunity to allow for a strengthening of representation for the AAPI community. The commission is required by the 3rd criteria to not only adhere to COIs (which this community is a natural COI), but also the diverse populations, which this community would allow for an amplification of voice for an often marginalized community. I want to conclude by thanking the commission for all of their hard work.

← Next Previous →

AA+Plymouth Canton+Novi

Basic Info

Submitter: John
Location:
Submitted: 8/29/2021
Type: coi
ID: c3191

Tags

More Info

Both the Ann Arbor area and Plymouth-Canton have developed to the point where they are becoming a single community. This will only be strengthened over the next ten years with all of the development taking place in these areas. Additionally, these areas, along with Novi, boast a strong AAPI population which has been well documented in the portal. Combining these areas would allow for a strong voice in Congress for this population adding another reason to draw together. From all around the world, people have chosen to settle in this area for the job opportunities that are available in the area. As noted by others, this area also contains a population that is highly educated and higher education is incredibly valued in this community. I am aware some commissioners reside here and have already voiced support to not fracture the community, and I urge those commissioners who are familiar with the area to continue to be an advocate. Thanks!

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

← Next Previous →

David's AAPI COI

Basic Info

Submitter: David K
Location:
Submitted: 9/6/2021
Type: coi
ID: c3868

Tags

#aapi #ann arbor #canton #novi #northville

More Info

Ann Arbor, Canton, Novi, Northville all have some of the most densely populated communities of Asian Americans. I hope the commission will not crack these communities and allow for strong representation for this community. Not only is this a community of interest, but districting around this community will allow the commission to help fulfill their obligation to draw lines reflecting the diverse communities of Michigan. Thank you for all of the work.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

← Next Previous →

AA/Ypsi/Plymouth/Canton/Novi/Livonia

Basic Info

Submitter: Krickett
Location:
Submitted: 9/6/2021
Type: coi
ID: c3773

Tags

#novi #plymouth #ann arbor #canton #ypsi

More Info

I would like the commission to consider my community of interest which incorporates the areas of Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, Plymouth, Canton, Novi, and Livonia. This area is where I spend a majority of my time (working, education, recreation, shopping, etc.) and the populations are very similar. I view this as a community that has similar interests and should be kept whole. Thank you.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

Those individuals who have signed up and have indicated that they would like to provide in person public commentary to the Commission will now be able could so.
You'll step to the nearest microphone when you hear your number called, and you'll have two minutes when you address the Commission.
Please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer.
There will also be a visual timer for you to help keep track of your time during your comment.
First in line to provide Public Comment is number one.
>> DAN WALLAHAN: Hi. Dan Wallahan. Uh Genoa Township, Livingston County, Michigan.
I've been watching the draft maps and some of the previous comments in regard to some and I would like to reiterate what I have said before.
Please keeping Livingston County together.
It is our Community of Interest.
Sometimes Communities of Interests are the same as county boundaries. Sometimes they're not.
In this case, it is for us.
It's our number one request and we're watching the maps to -- hopefully that happens.
I've heard some comments about cracking and unpacking cities.
I think we need -- as a Commission you need to be very careful on especially cracking areas.
That's how we had -- the 2011 gerrymander was due to cracking.
My current State Senate District 22nd, it cracks Washtenaw County and puts Scio Township in with Livingston County District, and it's not fair to them and I hope it doesn't happen in either direction for us to avoid a gerrymander that leads to polarization.
One of the things -- we don't want to be with Washtenaw County here up in Livingston County is because of -- I would say the type of values in each community.
It's not even about R or D.
It's about the types of Rs and types of Ds and types of Independants it's oil and water for us.
We'll work with many folks in other -- like I say, in other Districts, wherever we're placed, and some areas are going to appeal to multiple areas and we hope you all keep that in mind.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you so much for taking the time to provide comment to the Commission this afternoon.
At this time we only have one in-person Public Comment for today's meeting pertaining to go agenda topics.
But we do have for remote Public Comment with one person requesting to speak a second time.

← Next Previous →

Asian Community in AA, Novi, Canton

Basic Info

Submitter: Katherine H
Location:
Submitted: 9/9/2021
Type: coi
ID: c4332

Tags

More Info

With the commission considering diverse populations, I would like the commission to combine the Asian American Pacific Islander community in Ann Arbor, Canton, and Novi. Keeping these communities together will strengthen the representation through unification!

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

← Next Previous →

My Community - Ann Arbor-Wayne

Basic Info

Submitter: Emmet K
Location: Ann Arbor
Submitted: 9/12/2021
Type: coi
ID: c4451

Tags

#ann arbor #canton #novi

More Info

My community is Ann Arbor and the areas of Canton and Novi. This area also happens to have a healthy population of Asian Americans. I think it would be beneficial for this community to remain intact.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

And like Karen I feel I can represent the community, thousands of people in this Tri-Cities that wanted to see this Commission are watching this Commission and are very pleased to see the action that you took yesterday.
In drawing District 1.
Mind you 62% are never going to have everybody in the state agree at the same time.
But you've got a very strong political positive support there.
So thank you very much for your work.
And we appreciate your coming out to see us.
Listening to us.
And keep up the good work.
I really endorse what you're doing.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission.
We will now move on to Francis barber.
>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: For the purposes of the public record that participant is not currently present.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you.
All right we will move on to Barb Handley Miller.
>> Okay can you hear me?
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.
>> All right, good morning.
Thank you for giving us this opportunity.
I too am a resident of Bay County and part of the communities of interest that wanted to see a State Senate map encompassing Bay, Saginaw and Midland Counties.
And we want to thank the Commission for the process that you have afforded us to be able to give public comment not only at the May 25th meeting but at the town hall forum that we had in which several Commissioners attended in Bay City because I think it gave us a real opportunity with those Commissioners to give a lot more context about our communities of interest.
And the need to keep these urban areas together.
Currently our State Senate District encompass Lapeer County and we just don't have as much in common.
These three areas Saginaw Bay City and Midland Bay Counties have so much you know, in common in terms of higher education, urban centers, industrial policy, environmental concerns around the Saginaw and Tittabawassee River and other concerns.
Thank you for the process, thank you for drawing this initial map that we hope will be the final map because we think it reflects your good work and your good listening of the people of these three counties.
So thank you again and best wishes in the rest of your work.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

My husband is here with me.
Is it okay if he takes the balance? Of time? Terry Miller.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: No unfortunately we can't do that.
We have to have him sign up separately.
>> All right very good.
Thank you again for this opportunity and thank you for the process that you have been using for this.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission.
All right we are now going to move on to Cathleen Curell.
>> Hello.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can hear you.
>> Hi good morning everyone thank you for this opportunity.
I'm a long time Midland resident of 45 plus years.
And just want to tell you proposition or proposal two gave me the opportunity to get out of my comfort zone.
I'd never been involved civically or politically before and I became passionate about the possibility of working for more fair election process in the State of Michigan.
My husband and I were happy to educate voters about gerrymandering while getting those signatures for the petition.
And I'm just very excited about the work you're doing.
I want to extend heartfelt thanks to the Commissioners for the vital work that's going on.
And I know it's work.
The Tri-Cities of Midland Bay City and Saginaw are a community of interest.
There are so many reasons that I'm sure have been stated many times by others.
A State Senate District that includes them will help pave the way for being an equitable and competitive District.
And that is so exciting.
To so many of us who worked hard on the proposal.
So just thanks and that's all I have.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission.
We will now move on to Norman Clement.
>> Hello.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can hear you.
>> Hi, my name is Norman Clement of Detroit change initiative of Wayne County and I spoke to you before and you guys are doing an outstanding tough job and I commend the job you guys are doing.
I ask as community citizen watching the panel if we can dedicate more time drawing the maps.
I know you are working on the State Senate but you have a daunting task ahead of you of working on the State Representative districts which are tons of districts.

← Next Previous →

Live thought for Witjes

Basic Info

Submitter: Robert
Location: Ann Arbor City
Submitted: 9/16/2021
Type: plan
Congressional Districts
ID: p4715

Tags

#aapi

More Info

Ann Arbor is tied in closely with Plymouth-Canton and the Northville-Novi area. Additionally, there is a high percentage of Asians in these communities and have expressed an interest in being districted together.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

← Next Previous →

Strong COI + Fair + Compact US House Map

Basic Info

Submitter: K
Location: Ann Arbor City
Submitted: 9/17/2021
Type: plan
Congressional Districts
ID: p4766

Tags

More Info

Dear Commissioners,
It is often difficult to balance communities of interest and partisan fairness but I tried to draw a map that really tries to be perfect on both. This map keeps ALL of Downriver together, which is something that community really wanted. It keeps Ann Arbor with closely related highly educated suburbs like Novi, Northville, South Lyon, Plymouth, and Canton, which have a substantial Asian population. It keeps all of central Oakland in one district, keeping Troy and Rochester together with Bloomfield Hills and Birmingham. It also keeps Highland, Milford, Commerce, and White Lake together (which is something Commsioner Vallette has wanted and Commerce and West Bloomfield together (which is something Commissioner Eid has wanted). It keeps the Southwestern Michigan lakeshore counties Allegan/Van Buren/Berrien and some parts of Ottawa together in a clean/compact district. It keeps the Lansing tri-county area together. It maintains two VRA districts as well, including Southfield, a 70% majority-black city, and Oak Park to have better representation. It keeps the Thumb

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

counties together. It also keeps the I-75 corridor from Flint to Saginaw/Midland/Bay City together. This is a heavily industrial corridor, uniting significant black populations in Flint and Saginaw and not diluting them by putting these counties with the Thumb, which is its own separate region. It keeps the greater Grand Rapids area together in a compact district. Lastly, it puts southern suburbs across 8-mile in Southern Macomb and Oakland together. These suburbs have a different identity compared to those in the respective counties up north. This map achieves partisan fairness, maintains communities of interests, and offers reasonably compact districts.

Comments & Feedback

9/17/2021 - **Sonja Patrick** (Battle Creek City): I am very impressed with your map. I can't really speak for the areas that I'm not familiar with, but in your description, you seem like you created these Districts based on what the public has been saying. The part that impressed me is that you kept the military establishments located at the west side of Battle Creek and included them in the same District as the other military establishments in Augusta. You also included Calhoun County with our neighbors to the south. We have a lot more in common with Hillsdale, Branch, etc that we do with the large cities, Kalamazoo, Grand Rapids, Lansing, Ann Arbor. I think you are the only person who has submitted a map that truly showcases where Southwest Michigan's Congressional District lines should be drawn. #calhoun #veterans #military #battle creek city #augusta township #springfield #hillsdale #branch

9/17/2021 - **Robert** (Ann Arbor): Incredible work, as always, K! I've looked at hundreds, if not thousands of maps, and this may be the single best. My community (Washtenaw-western Wayne) is kept intact and you listed

the precise reasons for that. On top of COIs, this map is fair. Kudos!!

9/17/2021 - **Anthony** : Pretty good

9/17/2021 - **Ian Sandler-Bowen** (Oakland County): I appreciate that this map keeps Southeast Oakland County together within one congressional district. I think this i696 configuration makes a lot of sense.

9/22/2021 - **Jennifer Austin** (Midland City): This map is great and very similar to what I have drawn as well!

← Next Previous →

Ann Arbor/Ypsi/AAPI Area

Basic Info

Submitter: Noi
Location:
Submitted: 10/4/2021
Type: coi
ID: c5961

Tags

#aapi #coi

More Info

Good Evening. I just wanted to remind you all of the strong AAPI connections between Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, Canton, Plymouth, and Novi. In fact, Washtenaw County home of Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti as the highest Asian American population percentage in the state. Keeping these communities together is important and reflects the diverse minority communities of the state.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

As a member of the planning Commission I ask you to keep us with similar Townships in Jackson County such as Henrietta and Grass Lake we work together with issues and please avoid merging rural with urban communities.
Thank you for your work on this important project and listening to residents.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 68.
>> Hello, my name is Rodney Austin from Saginaw Michigan.
I spend much of my time in Mount Pleasant and reside in Lansing and commute back and forth to my second home in Detroit.
I'm advocate for voters and voters rights and what I noticed in majority of attendees here and from yesterday's hearing in Detroit which I was also at I attended I continue to hear the words fairness and nonpartisanship for you Commissioners this cannot be a very easy feat.
I don't think it was meant nor should be.
However, I encourage you and grateful for you that you can be the change to go the extra mile.
To be the change and redo the impossibilities for everyone especially those that are disenfranchise as underrepresented across our state.
It's consistent within the inner of all the cities which typically should be the majority of their neighborhoods and citizens for the maps drawn. Pine being the better map for the house.
On either side it is reiterated that the vast differences in these major areas versus the other smaller areas that take prevalence in representation over the majority.
We and I say we respectfully as you are all a part of our community as well, that we must do better.
Thank you for your time.
And pray for the good and betterness of our Great Lakes Michigan.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 69.
>> Hello, thank you to the committee for your work.
And for taking time to hear input from citizens.
I'm Bill Richardson and live in Waterloo in Jackson County Michigan.
I have concerned about all three maps in most cases Waterloo is in Washtenaw not Jackson County District and Jackson County is not kept whole in most of the maps.
I heard in the May hearing from rural and urban residents urban and rural are different communities of interest and need different representation.
Waterloo Township is a single precinct of less than 3,000 residents and it has very different needs than the larger populated Townships in Washtenaw County.
The map does the worst job of capturing Community of Interest is the Pine house representative map.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

Flint and Genesee County have been much more in common with the Tri-Cities to the north similar culture and values and economic backgrounds bring these communities together and should be kept together on these maps.
Finally my experience has taught me Flint needs a strong voice in the state legislature and for that to happen the City needs to be kept together.
Please keep Flint together in one House District.
And like the sign says fair maps.
And we need them now.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 60.
>> Thank you for addressing the Commission. Madam Chairman my name is Robert George and I'm a parks and recreation Commissioner from the City of Ann Arbor.
I would like to talk about State Senate maps concerning Washtenaw County.
The three maps submitted by the individual Commissioners are superior for the draws of Washtenaw County and the collaborative maps.
Specifically point out several Community of Interest that would benefit both Washtenaw and surrounding areas.
Commissioner Lange's draw of Ypsilanti and a part of Ann Arbor went together with Monroe would allow for a better unpacking of democratic voters there.
As well as so a strong Community of Interest for the industrial side of Monroe and eastern Washtenaw County.
Additionally the map that includes portions of northern Ann Arbor and Novi and Plymouth shows a strong community of interest for the tech industry that expanded in Ann Arbor Township which is part of the map. Finally the portion of the map that takes Washtenaw County into Jackson -- that takes Washtenaw County and waterloo recreation area and makes sure the natural interests are respected. So I encourage you to look at the three individual maps for the draws of Washtenaw County at the State Senate level.
Thank you so much for your time.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 61.
>> I'm a business agent for local 1098 out of Saginaw Michigan in a small business owner Tony's take out in Saginaw Michigan.
I represent members of Midland Saginaw Bay City and I request if you would please keep them together.
The question is your job is to get close to 0 on this partisan bias scores as possible.
If you are over one percentage you still have work to do and rig elections to benefit the republican party.
Look at the AFLCIO fair maps project for ideas on how to get partisan fairness.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. 62.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Good evening members of the Commission thank you for the opportunity to address you tonight.
And thank you for your work on this project.
My name is Alex and I'm a resident of Hartland Township in the northeast corner of Livingston County.
The most important objective to be accomplished by redistricting is for the Commission to preserve communities of interest.
This is the number one most important criteria in the Constitution behind physical elements of population and geography.
Communities of interest supersede as a criteria for redistricting.
Based on some preliminary maps I've reviewed it appears communities within Livingston County will not be separated into other Counties.
I appreciate these efforts.
And I would kindly encourage you to please avoid associating any Livingston Community of Interests with Washtenaw since they represent two disparate communities of interest. Thank you for your interest and your time tonight.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number nine hunter.
>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Numbers 9-11 are not currently present.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: 12 Tammy.
>> Hi good evening.
Thank you all for the work that you are doing on this Commission.
I'm from Midland I'm speaking as an adjunct Michigan historian we were a state in 1837.
For a territory to apply for statehood the territory had to have a number of things in place before making the application.
60,000 residents and they voted upon state Constitution among other things.
Then they could apply.
The land was further divided into Counties.
A concept which came over from England with our early settlers.
A good concept.
But I would like to suggest that life has changed dramatically since the 19th century.
Our County lines were drawn well over 150 years ago.
And all were formed around agricultural needs, mining, fishing, lumber et cetera all prior to the growth of large cities.
Life has changed.
And our challenges and opportunities have also changed with this growth.
New complexities require dare I say out of the County box thinking.
For the health and security of both rural communities and cities and to be fair to both and to the politicians representing them I urge you to consider any of the maps that

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

And we are trying to help by giving more tools and more data. And again we thank you for your work on the Commission.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number six.

>> Good morning I'm crystal Boyd I'm Sarah Howard's legal assist and in the public hearings you have heard hundreds of people to prioritize partisan fairness and remind you, you can do that while complying with all the other criteria. To those Commissioners who heard the voice of the people and are working on this, thank you. Remember that the number of seats won by a particular candidate doesn't tell you very much by itself. For example, if Governor Whitmer won a District by 5% while she won the state by 9.5%, that District has a republican advantage of 8.5%. That is not a democratic seat. Keep up the good work on partisan fairness and don't get bamboozled by landslide elections. Look at all of your partisan fairness metrics not just one. Thank you for the time you're putting in.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number seven.

>> Good morning, Commission my name is Michael Davis junior I'm the redistricting director for campaign sorry redistricting campaign direct director for promote the vote and it's a coalition of organizations and individuals to increase the power of the people in our democracy. Along with my comments today I will be providing you all with our narrative to accompany the maps that we submitted a few weeks back our fair and equitable maps as well as last week we completed a metric assessment of the maps put forward by the Commission and the maps that the PTV coalition put together. Our fair and equitable maps chart a path towards equal representation for all Michiganders and I'm proud to say we have the numbers to back it up using the Commission's data points and publicly available data we put together the side by side comparisons last week. I thank you for and will reiterate what others said taking comments of folks that came to the public hearings and the people who are board members and I encourage you to continue the work. You are getting closer thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. That concludes our live in person public comment for this morning. We will now move on to remote public comment. Individuals who signed up and indicated they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will be allowed to do so. I will call on your name and staff will unmute you. If you are on a computer, you will be prompted but I the Zoom app to unmute and speak. If you are on a phone a voice will say the host would like you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call on you by your name. Also please note that if you experience technical or audio issues or are unable to speak for 3-5 seconds we will move on to the next person in line and return to you after they are done speaking. If you audio still does not work e-mail redistricting@Michigan.gov we will help you trouble shoot to participate at a later hearing or meeting. You will have are one minute to address the Commission. Please

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

County. I'm a U of M graduate and despise the traffic and hustle and bustle of Ann Arbor during the four years I was in college. After college I moved to Green Oak Township because of the small town feel. Livingston County has everything we need we have no desire to travel to the Ann Arbor area. I rarely use I-23 when I do it's to visit family in Monroe County. I do not stop for gas or shopping on that stretch of highway it's too congested. It has everything we need the mall, the church farmers market and we frequent Brighton recreation area on a regular.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Margaret Flurry. Looks like she is not available. Elizabeth Hundley.

>>.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Uh-huh, yep, I think they have done that before.

>> Good morning.

Elizabeth Hundley I'm a resident of Genoa Township in Livingston County. The Commission recently discussed including the southeast portion of livings ton County including Genoa with the City of Ann Arbor for a State Senate District. I'm asking that you please do not include Genoa Township or any of the southeastern portion of Livingston County with Ann Arbor. Genoa Township shares many local services with our surrounding communities right here in Livingston County but not with Ann Arbor. For example we are a part of the Brighton area fire authority. In addition Genoa Township receives water and sewer service by M hall who also serves residents in Marion, Howell, Osceola Townships here in Livingston. And is a member of the Howell area parks and rec also serving Livingston communities. Thank you for listening and please keep us.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Bruce Hundley.

>> Elizabeth is Bruce with you and ready for his turn?

>> No, he is not with me. I do not know if he is ready at this time.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay thank you. So we will mark him as not present. Anthony Watkins, number 38.

>> Hello, my name is Anthony Watkins. And I thank you for the opportunity to share my comments at today's public hearing. I also am relieved to see that the degree from the past week of public hearings has resulted in considerably more partisan fairness for the Senate map. But it needs a little bit more work. I would request please adopt the method that you use also for the Michigan house map as well. Thank you for your hard work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Anastasey.

>> I'm a resident of Chester field Township in Macomb County. I want to tell the Commissioner thank you so much for having a better partisanly fair map for the Senate 102921V3. The house still needs some work and consider partisan fairness for the State House and consult the AFLCIO fair map project and potentially editing the Senate

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

map to be more partisanly fair. Additionally please keep the Voting Rights Act in line during the final stretch of this process and expect the role of the people and making partisanly fair maps as possible. Thank you for your time and for your time and partisanly fair maps.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Max number 40.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: We will move on to 41, which is Dorothy Munson.

>> Could you please display maps plan 73502 in District.org for State Senate?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: We can't display maps during this public comment.

>> All right thank you. I'm Dorothy Munson a lifelong resident of Grand Rapids representing proactive nonpartisan group. I believe that minimal changes to map 249 shown in 73502 would satisfy concerns related to Central West Michigan and communities of interest. Included are slight reconfigurations of the adjacent three out of six districts that will not disturb the remainder of the state map. Four Ottawa County were moves from 22 to 33 and Ottawa County is large to take them and 22 is not changed the south side of Grand Rapids was not changed. Thank you so much for placing Cascade Township in District 24. The addition of Algoma and Cannon Townships in the north improves communities of interest and keeps District 24 in Kent County. Some.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Mari, number 42, Rymar.

>> Hello, hi. I would like to thank the Commission for all of the hard, hard work you all have been doing. I know it's not been easy. But yes, I would like to also say please do your best to draw partisanly fair State House map. And yes, please consider the Voting Rights Act. While doing so. And once again measure consider taking steps to count prisoners in the districts where they resided before they were incarcerated. So many states all across the U.S. have done this. And they have done this without legislation. So it is very possible. And I think Michigan should be one of the states who are on the forefront of doing this because it's a huge civil rights issue. And once again thank you for all your hard work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Jason Dunkin.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Jason, if you can unmute yourself, you are free to address the Commission.

>> I make that mistake frequently, Jason, Grand Rapids, I teach history and American history at Aquinas College. Thank you for your hard work and the state and Senate districts, they are well drawn. But I do think you could improve the Metro character of the districts by lowering the boundary southward from Fulton to wealthy. And with three colleges GVSU and GRCC and 90% of heritage hill and to make up for the population to take Townships Ottawa County and put them in Ottawa County a great place but a different place. Thank you again.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Okay thank you, thank you for allowing me to speak today. I'm specifically speaking to the Michigan State Senate districts in Grand Rapids which is where I live and have lived for 30 years. Splitting the City of Grand Rapids in the north and south would literally split up municipalities, Government and community services school direction voting precincts and violates the partisan fairness and what you are bound to and violate Section Two of the Voting Rights Act and prohibits packing of minority populations. The solution would be to include District 24 to all dissimilar urban cities of Grand Rapids, East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. The cities of Grandville and Wyoming would be drawn in with District 23 and lastly draw Townships on the opposite border and both plans keep similar communities of interest together and improve the boundaries. For the my State House this splits numerous.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Judy Maiga.

>> Hi good morning, Commission. Thank you, I have seen you day after day working very hard to draw fair maps and I thank each of you for doing what the voters have asked you to do. When people ask for partisan fairness that's not code for anything. That is simply asking you to please do what 60% of the voters in Michigan asked that we have fair maps. Competitive fair maps lead to competitive districts competitive districts create better representation who listen to their constituents not as republicans and not as democrats but as people which is the point of this law. I live where it was flipped from republican to democrat and done by a gentleman who knocked on every door and City he could and a fantastic representative. Creating competitive districts thoroughfare maps will lead to more of that across the state which is the whole goal and why so many people worked so hard. Thank you for what you're doing. You guys are doing a fantastic job and I appreciate you. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. I think this is Jaret number 60.

>> Can you hear me?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Great I'm from Grand Rapids. I've got some thoughts how to improve Kent County consider removing the farm Townships to my shame I could not tell you where the places are on a map. I would add more of Kent County and makes sense for Rockford school District Townships like Cannon and Algoma inside our District. There is a lot of movement and interaction between Grand Rapids and Rockford especially with younger people and new families. I hear concerns over the heritage hill and GVSU community. I have the same concerns, the Fulton border cuts the heritage hill and GVSU community as it is. You can make a competitive District 24 and keep those communities whole if you add more of Grand Rapids by moving south to wealthy or Hall Street. That would create a District where a candidate can win from either party and keep those

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Okay, hello Commissioners my name is Josh I'm a resident of Kent County. The proposed House District 76 map is including the City of Walker and several neighborhoods in Grand Rapids Alpine and west side of Grand Rapids. These areas are not a similar community of interest, places like Walker should be kept with places like the City of Alpine Township where they have a shared interest in this Commerce as the Alpine shopping District and school direction such as the Kenowa School District. In the 75 House District you have places like Grand Rapids East Grand Rapids Grand Rapids Township and northeast Grand Rapids plus there are several parts of Plainfield included. We share many things with Ada and Cascade Township and should be kept together. This is including the Forrest Hills school District. Plains field Township should not be split up in multiple areas as it shares a community of interest with the Rockford area. The more urban like East Grand Rapids and Grand Rapids community should be kept together as they are shared common community of interest. Those in the northern part of Kent County. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Jason number 70.

>> Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yep.

>> Great hi. I'm Jason I'm a resident of Hamburg Township in Livingston con after attending school and living and working in Ann Arbor for two years our family made a deliberate decision to move to Livingston because it's a better fit and prefer the rural lifestyle. 17 years in the community confirmed to us that decision was the right one for our family. We very rarely go to Ann Arbor and pay our taxes in Livingston County and shop and recreate here in Livingston county as well. This is our community and thank the Commission for keeping Hamburg and the rest of our community where we belong in our own District and please keep us here when it comes time for you to consider the State House maps as well, thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Klaas, number 72.

>> Hi, can you hear me Klaas. I'm Klaas from Grand Rapids. I'd like to thank you for all the work you've done. Especially in my City of Grand Rapids. And in Kent County. I think you were right to make two districts compose of the Metro six cities and the nearby suburban Townships but I think there is more work to do. I agree with others who say you should remove all the Townships in Ottawa County. They are simply more rural. They are mostly farmland. They are not a part of the Metro Grand Rapids area or economy. Like the other speaker said I probably couldn't find them on a map. Sadly. They are great areas but I'm not part of Grand Rapids. You can find more population by adding Townships around Rockford like Algoma and the PFAS contaminated parts of Rockford Plainfield and Algoma if you did that. Echo speakers to move and currently Fulton Street bisects heritage hill.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Yousif.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Hello, Commissioners. Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> My name is Yousif from Troy and nice to see you again. I heard Commissioners Szetela dismissing the idea to include the east of Sterling heights to west of Sterling Heights in Troy. And Chaldean voices living in eastern Sterling Heights I respectfully disagree with Commissioner Szetela. District 6 has not done well. What is COI between Sterling Heights and Detroit. Just because Chaldeans don't show up on the map it does not mean we done exist I assure you we are real. Thank you, Commissioner Kellom and Eid, to speak up for the Chaldeans in West Bloomfield and sign up for the minority who are unfairly registered as whites and give us a voice. I do appreciate all the hard work you have done. Commissioners Szetela. But please do not dismiss our voices. Please do not dilute Chaldean voices living in east Sterling Heights thank you and I will continue to pray for each one of you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Michelle.

>> Hello, can you hear me?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> I'm a 17 year of Livingston and live in Genoa Township and want to thank you for keeping us together as a County with your redistricting map. And also ask that you would continue to do that when you go to the State Senate and house state maps when you redistrict those. Just we very rarely go to Washtenaw County or Ann Arbor. We shop locally. We recreate locally. Our friends and neighbors are here and they all do the same. And we just want to keep our small town feel to our communities and we thank you so much for your time and all your attention to this effort. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 75, Joe Watza.

>> Thank you for taking time to listen to us. I'm Joe Watza I live in Livingston in Hamburg Township. I won't...I'll be quick. Livingston County thank you for keeping us whole. As an example I live in Hamburg Township but a Brighton phone number, the school District in Pickney, my mail is in Howell, and keeping us whole keep representatives that have issues and represent us better and we know all about having good representation regardless of party affiliation is critical to a strong and healthy society. Also we in livings Township County cheer for U of M and MSU.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Kevin Green, number 76.

>> Good morning.

My name is Kevin. Can you hear me?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Good morning my name is Kevin Green. I'm the Algoma supervisor in Kent County. Algoma has been working closely and collaboratively with Plainfield Township and City of Rockford to bring clean drinking water into our communities for our residents

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

and businesses that have been impacted by the PFAS water contamination crisis. So it's really crucial to keep these communities whole and together. So that we can be effective, have effective representation. Especially in the State House and the State Senate districts. On a side note we also want to be included in the Grand Rapids Congressional District and not have the City of Kalamazoo overshadow us. So we ideally like to have those separate so we can stay in the Grand Rapids Congressional District. Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Elizabeth Johnson.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay, hi my name is Elizabeth Johnson. Keep the Senate districts for Grand Rapids the way they are go do not move the line from Fulton to wealthy, it would split a neighborhood association of heritage hill and east town between two districts. The proposed House District 76 map includes the City of Walker and several different neighborhoods within the City of Grand Rapids. These neighborhoods are vastly different from one another and do not form a community of interest. The City of Walker is drawn with Alpine Township in its current State House District. They should remain the same. As they share the Kenowa Hills School District and Commerce quite a lot. The river breaks the western Grand Rapids neighborhood association from the eastern. The downtown and east town neighborhood associations are closer as other neighborhood associations like heritage hill and East Grand Rapids. House District 75 map violates several communities of interest. Grand Rapids Townships form a community of interest with Ada.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 78, RJ.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: RJ, if you can unmute yourself, you are free to address the Commission.

>> Okay, hi this is RJ, I'm a resident of Grand Rapids Township and a third Congressional state committee man. I believe Plainfield Township should be kept whole within one House District with the attorneys of Algoma, Courtland and the City of Rockford. These areas makeup the Rockford community of interest and share the same public school District Rockford public schools. They live and work in neighboring municipalities and primarily shop in the Rockford area and use the same parks and recreational facility. Currently you have several precincts of Plainfield Township with northeastern Grand Rapids and Grand Rapids Township. Grand Rapids Township forms a community of interest with Ada and Cascade Township because they share the same school District. Forrest Hills public schools. Northeast Grand Rapids is in a different school District than that of Plainfield and should be kept separate in a different House District map. Lastly, I encourage you to approve the State Senate map plan as presented and to not move the dividing line for Senate District 23 and 24 from Fulton to Wealthy Street.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Hello, hi my name is Mari and I just wanted to say thank you for all of your great hard work. And so I believe the map labelled SD version 3 that is dated October 29, 2021, is very good. And like everyone else I would thank you please keep working towards partisan fairness and following the Voting Rights Act. Also once again please consider counting prisoners in the districts where they reside rather than where they are incarcerated. The census actually has a tool that you can actually use to do that. So I think that would be great because it is a huge issue, a lot of other states are doing it and we should be on the for front of civil rights for Michigan thank you thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Okay we are going to go back to Commissioner Curry I see you have joined the meeting can you indicate where you are coming in remote from for the record?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, I'm presently here and I'm attending the meeting from Detroit, Michigan. Sorry.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, all right. She just froze. All right Tina Bednarski-Lynch.

>> A resident of Jackson County Michigan I've heard from activist that Jackson County should be connected with the City of Ann Arbor. Their only real motivation is political. You were in Jackson County in May and you were here you heard very different arguments.

In fact, your initial drafting you properly followed our community of interest. Now after being persuaded by democrat partisans you have thrown aside the actual Jackson community which is vastly different interests from Ann Arbor. As can be seen by how differently we vote and you have cracked Ann Arbor to change our area. Please undo this gerrymander. Jackson County has a small City distinctly differ from Ann Arbor. Jackson is shrinking Ann Arbor is growing the City of Ann Arbor. Jackson is a small portion, the City of Jackson is a small portion of the total Jackson County population where Ann Arbor the City is a major population makeup of Washtenaw County. I'm also concerned that this meeting is being held during working hours making it very different for working people to have a voice in this meeting unless they are able to jump on by Zoom which you can't if you are working.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Anthony Skinnell.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not currently present and number 10 is also not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, Aaron Sternaman.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay, briefly, can you still hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay, Aaron S here. I think I'm in Benton charter Township at Lake Michigan college. Thank you for having me and for redrawing these maps. I just want to say three things. The lakeshore House District from New Buffalo I'm in Southwest Michigan.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

The lakeshore House District from New Buffalo north to South Haven is a strong community of interest in my opinion. Please consider adding Saugatuck and bring the coastal cities together. The Senate map looks good now but you could improve Southwest Michigan simply by bringing Holland south to St. Joseph rather than connecting Benton Harbor to Byron Township like it is now. Holland and the lakeshore would make for a better Senate District. And my third point is that keeping Kalamazoo and Benton Harbor together for the Congressional District.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 12, Eric Lester.

>> Hi, I hope you can hear me I'm in St. Joseph Michigan. I'm a retired physician. I've seen thousands of patients over many years. Here in Southwest Michigan. Based in St. Joe and also working in South Haven. Two points the lakeshore District makes a lot of sense to me. There is a lot of cultural and economic and human relationships up and down the Lake from New Buffalo all the way up to Saugatuck and would make a lot of sense. In the Congressional map it makes a lot of sense to me to keep St. Joe Benton Harbor in the same District of Kalamazoo we have a lot in common politically and every other way. Thank you for the hard work you are doing. In the long run we want competitive districts that drive politics towards the center not the fringes. Thank you for everything you...

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission.

>> Good morning, Commissioners my name is Sarah Strait and I live in St. Joseph Michigan. I'm asking you to please keep Benton Harbor and Kalamazoo in the same District. These are the largest African/American communities in Southwest Michigan. And dividing them would surely cause harm. I also wanted to note I support the chestnut map. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and thank you for your work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Bruce Abbot.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay Fred Trexler.

>> Okay, can you hear me now.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Okay, good morning my name is Dr. Fred Trexler as a former college professor now retired my wife and I do not like the Senate map dividing Jackson County in half and linking both halves with Washtenaw and Ann Arbor dominated by the huge University of Michigan. Jackson County is a business and rural County with three small colleges. Our community of interest is linked to Lenawee County and Hillsdale County which has small colleges. Please reconsider keeping Jackson County together with Lenawee and Hillsdale County for the Senate map and also for the house map. Thank you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Amy Hawkins.

>> Thank you can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Okay good morning thank you so much for the opportunity to weigh in. I'm a Jackson County resident. And first of all as everybody has said thank you for your hard work on this project. I want to thank you for the lines as they stand right now on the Congressional District. Very pleased with that. Huge concerns though with the Senate District. I love the people of Washtenaw and Ann Arbor and want them to be represented well. But our communities are so vastly different that to put us into one Senate District is really I want to know how is it going to benefit the communities. We want to unify but I think we are best served Jackson County in representation because of our ties with other districts that have to do with manufacturing, rural farming, agricultural, and yes, we do have a little bit of a City but as someone else mentioned it's so small compared to what Washtenaw is. So I would encourage you to revisit the lines and not put Washtenaw and Jackson in the same Senate.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Chris Murphy.

>> Good morning.

My name is Chris Murphy and I thank you very much for this opportunity to address the committee. I realize you have put so much work into this. I attended the in-person event last summer in Jackson. And that went into the wee hours of the morning I believe. So I know your committee has worked very hard. I too am in Jackson County. And I also just as the last speaker said, I'm very concerned about the Senate map that you have created that includes us with Washtenaw County. I'm heavily involved in the churches and the manufacturing of Jackson County. And I feel like our districts are so very different that it would make it too challenging for someone to be…try to be Senator over all of ours. So I just ask that you would reconsider keeping Jackson County our rural County in line with Hillsdale and Lenawee County. So I appreciate the time to address you this morning. Have a blessed day.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Hi can you hear me? Hello.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Perfect. I've been a Southwest Michigan resident my entire life. And I have to say I really like what I'm seeing as part of the lakeshore District. Having New Buffalo South Haven St. Joe Benton Harbor and Allegan altogether is really important especially because their economies are so similar. However I do think the addition of Saugatuck and Douglas would also complement that area with perhaps taking Royalton Township out of the mix. As far as the Congressional map, I think it's just important that we keep Benton Harbor and Kalamazoo together. They have a very large Black population that needs to be represented. And that would be best done by keeping those together. Thank you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. All right number 20, Jay I can't think this morning, Piontek, thank you.

>> Yes, thank you. Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Jason and I represent over 300 worker members and constituents with IBW153 we recommend the Pine map with the inclusion of Saugatuck and Douglas be indicated and a State Senate District from Holland to New Buffalo. Cities on the lakeshore are unique and carry unique needs and should be separate from the inland regions. We also would prefer to keep Benton Harbor and Kalamazoo together. Thank you for your work and thank you for the opportunity to speak. That's all I have.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. All right so number 21 John Williams.

>> Good morning can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Hi Commissioner thank you so much for allowing me to testify. My name is John Williams I'm an instructor in Calhoun County but a resident of Jackson and I'm a little bit I teach American Government political science state and local. I'm a little perplexed as to the process. I assume we are in proposed maps before final maps so there is still some work that needs to take place. And I have some concerns as others have it rated regarding the Jackson Washtenaw and Calhoun splitting between two Senate districts all the way across to include you know the splitting of Ann Arbor. I think that falls really outside of the intent of the how to draw the districts. And hold communities of interest together. The splitting of Ann Arbor City to bring those districts all the way across serves the benefit of the individuals that I'm hopeful there is still work to be done on the Senate map and that is not the map we are going to move to final map. Any of the collaborative maps Elm, cherry, Spruce.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 22, Melissa Hiller.

>> Hello, I want to thank you first for all you're doing and for allowing me the chance to address some concerns that I have regarding the redistricting of oops start my video sorry. Regarding the District redistricting of Jackson. Although Jackson and Ann Arbor share a stretch of I-94 that is literally all we have in common. We are worlds apart culturally. We don't interact or share the same neighborhoods, taxes, schools, churches, shopping, we share nothing. My husband and I grew up in Jackson and raised our two boys here. We intentionally chose not to live in a big college town like Ann Arbor. We own a local business and enjoy the closeness and familiarity of a small town. I strongly suggest you divide by County lines. You're otherwise splitting up some of our closest communities. We are much more aligned with Hillsdale County and share similar hometown values. This remapping would be a disaster as who would accurately represent us here? Jackson County is our home. Not Washtenaw.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> Hello, hi my name is Mari and I just wanted to say thank you for all of your great hard work. And so I believe the map labelled SD version 3 that is dated October 29, 2021, is very good. And like everyone else I would thank you please keep working towards partisan fairness and following the Voting Rights Act. Also once again please consider counting prisoners in the districts where they reside rather than where they are incarcerated. The census actually has a tool that you can actually use to do that. So I think that would be great because it is a huge issue, a lot of other states are doing it and we should be on the for front of civil rights for Michigan thank you thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Okay we are going to go back to Commissioner Curry I see you have joined the meeting can you indicate where you are coming in remote from for the record?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, I'm presently here and I'm attending the meeting from Detroit, Michigan. Sorry.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, all right. She just froze. All right Tina Bednarski-Lynch.

>> A resident of Jackson County Michigan I've heard from activist that Jackson County should be connected with the City of Ann Arbor. Their only real motivation is political. You were in Jackson County in May and you were here you heard very different arguments.

In fact, your initial drafting you properly followed our community of interest. Now after being persuaded by democrat partisans you have thrown aside the actual Jackson community which is vastly different interests from Ann Arbor. As can be seen by how differently we vote and you have cracked Ann Arbor to change our area. Please undo this gerrymander. Jackson County has a small City distinctly differ from Ann Arbor. Jackson is shrinking Ann Arbor is growing the City of Ann Arbor. Jackson is a small portion, the City of Jackson is a small portion of the total Jackson County population where Ann Arbor the City is a major population makeup of Washtenaw County. I'm also concerned that this meeting is being held during working hours making it very different for working people to have a voice in this meeting unless they are able to jump on by Zoom which you can't if you are working.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Anthony Skinnell.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not currently present and number 10 is also not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, Aaron Sternaman.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay, briefly, can you still hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay, Aaron S here. I think I'm in Benton charter Township at Lake Michigan college. Thank you for having me and for redrawing these maps. I just want to say three things. The lakeshore House District from New Buffalo I'm in Southwest Michigan.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

The lakeshore House District from New Buffalo north to South Haven is a strong community of interest in my opinion. Please consider adding Saugatuck and bring the coastal cities together. The Senate map looks good now but you could improve Southwest Michigan simply by bringing Holland south to St. Joseph rather than connecting Benton Harbor to Byron Township like it is now. Holland and the lakeshore would make for a better Senate District. And my third point is that keeping Kalamazoo and Benton Harbor together for the Congressional District.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 12, Eric Lester.

>> Hi, I hope you can hear me I'm in St. Joseph Michigan. I'm a retired physician. I've seen thousands of patients over many years. Here in Southwest Michigan. Based in St. Joe and also working in South Haven. Two points the lakeshore District makes a lot of sense to me. There is a lot of cultural and economic and human relationships up and down the Lake from New Buffalo all the way up to Saugatuck and would make a lot of sense. In the Congressional map it makes a lot of sense to me to keep St. Joe Benton Harbor in the same District of Kalamazoo we have a lot in common politically and every other way. Thank you for the hard work you are doing. In the long run we want competitive districts that drive politics towards the center not the fringes. Thank you for everything you...

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission.

>> Good morning, Commissioners my name is Sarah Strait and I live in St. Joseph Michigan. I'm asking you to please keep Benton Harbor and Kalamazoo in the same District. These are the largest African/American communities in Southwest Michigan. And dividing them would surely cause harm. I also wanted to note I support the chestnut map. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and thank you for your work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Bruce Abbot.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay Fred Trexler.

>> Okay, can you hear me now.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Okay, good morning my name is Dr. Fred Trexler as a former college professor now retired my wife and I do not like the Senate map dividing Jackson County in half and linking both halves with Washtenaw and Ann Arbor dominated by the huge University of Michigan. Jackson County is a business and rural County with three small colleges. Our community of interest is linked to Lenawee County and Hillsdale County which has small colleges. Please reconsider keeping Jackson County together with Lenawee and Hillsdale County for the Senate map and also for the house map. Thank you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

together because essentially they are one community. They share schools, community activities, arts, and a number of things. And a geographical map shows that Rochester is virtually surrounded by Rochester Hills. So it really doesn't make sense to separate them. It does not make sense to include Oakland Township. It's a very different community from Rochester, Rochester Hills. It's much more rural. So.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 29, Donald Biddinger.

>> Good morning can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yep.

>> Great yesterday after I gave my comment, I stayed on Zoom to listen to your discussions for the rest of the day. It made me very aware of all the time and tedious work that has been put into redistricting. So thank you for this service. It also became obvious that a few of the Commissioners dominated and when others gave input from listening to citizens' concerns about breaking up communities of interest, they were verbally persecuted and told it would be wasting time to make changes. It should have been divided up directly with likeminded areas to start with then it would not have to be tweaked. I thought this committee was supposed to be evenly appointed but those with a partisan agenda are unwilling to listen to wee the people and those that are constitutionally minded are the minority. This committee is so set on breaking up communities that tend to have a conservative view and moral convictions. We want to have a voice and representatives who will listen. More particularly Jackson County has no common goals and interests with Ann Arbor. Please let Ann Arbor have their community and let Jackson have ours. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Brian Miller.

>> Hi, can you hear me?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Great, I'm Brian Miller a former City Commissioner from East Grand Rapids here in Kent County and I wanted to thank you for your work on the State House maps. I live in East Grand Rapids but I work off of M6 near Kentwood at Davenport and consider putting East Grand Rapids with the City of Kentwood than how we have it today with the City of Grand Rapids. We share a lot with Grand Rapids and if you leave us there, I will completely understand but I think Kentwood makes more sense. We are both to suburban cities that are to the east of our big neighbor Grand Rapids and we share so much. We are members of the urban mayor of managers and we share water, sewer, buses, and an economy. I know that EGR Kentwood State House map would not be big enough in population to make a District so I think you can fix that adding Cascade Township. We share the 28th East Beltline with Cascade and you could also consider adding the northern precincts of Gaines Township that is part of the Kentwood school District so that would make sense as well. My reading at least is Kentwood East Grand Rapids based District would.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

Washtenaw County. In the two Senate districts I've seen 29 and 27, the Counties are basically split north and south.

And I frankly want my Senator to be focused on our area. And I think Jackson and the Washtenaw Counties would certainly benefit from Senate districts that would take into account the unique characteristics of each of those areas. I sure do appreciate being able to speak in front of the Commission today. Thank you for the work you're doing.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is David, number 17.

>> Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, question with.

>> I'm David I live in Blackman Township in Jackson County and resided here 43 years my wife, three children and three grandchildren were born here. I retired last year in the community serving for over 40 years. I'm a former County Commissioner. I stepped away from my office at the end of the term last year. Served 18 years. I know our community and my community well. This proposed map that splits our County into two different districts includes Ann Arbor Ypsilanti is wrong on so many levels. The claimed intention of proposal two is take the politics out of redistricting. Looking at this map it's clear that the politics in this process is, in fact, alive and well. Anyone that would marry the communities of Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti with Jackson County needs to go back to the drawing board. They are vibrant but vastly different. Splitting us in two and attempting to meld it in two districts like you are.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Phillip.

>> You don't understand my last name Moilanen.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: I'm not going to try because everyone gets mad when I miss pronounce it.

>> I have to spell my name more often per day than probably anybody else. Maybe not everybody.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Keep in mind I'm a Szetela, so I play the same game every day, no one can pronounce it. Go ahead sir.

>> I understand. I am a resident of Jackson County. I'm currently the Township treasurer in the southern part of Jackson County. My concern today has to do with the Senate District being split. Combining my part of Jackson County with Ann Arbor is totally different. But as you know Ann Arbor is a college town. Combined with Ypsilanti it's two college towns. Jackson County is primarily rural and manufacturing. There is no apparent at all connection between communities of interest between the two areas. And so we are unhappy with the proposal that currently is being put forward. Please make changes so that Jackson County is able to be as a whole in a Senate District on this. We do appreciate the way you have connected the Congressional districts for us. And so congratulations on that. Thank you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Rich Thrush, number 21.

>> Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> During the last Commission meeting in Grand Rapids several suggestions were made to modify the Grand Rapids six cities area House Districts to much improve the cultural community representation. I have drawn a map to show how these districts can easily be integrated in the Pine 227 map with minimal changes to three surrounding districts. No other changes to the statewide map would be necessary. District.org plan 74076 is the map referenced in public comment P8521. Simply divide by City lines to form districts. Combine Grandville and Walker for Central Europeans. Keep Wyoming whole with three precincts in Grand Rapids for the Hispanic community. South side Grand Rapids represents underserved minorities. Combine Kentwood East Grand Rapids and Cascade Township and combine north Grand Rapids with Ada for like urban suburban.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Marsha Casper.

>>.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Marsha if you can address yourself, you are free to address the Commission. If you can hear us and you can unmute yourself you are free to address the Commission. Looks like Marsha may be experiencing audio issues and recommend we move on to the next participant.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Next in line is Brenda Marcy.

>>.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay we will go on to Jonathan Williams.

>> Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> Thank you, Commission. Commissioners again I spoke yesterday I'd like to speak again on the Senate maps. Take the maps to my class, my class of students I teach American Government state and local Government they're primarily from the Marshall Albion area and don't get the Senate districts. I could not explain the Senate districts. They understand them now because they understand that Counties are communities of interest. Right. The counties are communities of interest and they have been ever since the inception of the state. Each of our state constitutions had basically set asides for the Senate to represent whole counties so in breaking the counties as the current map does with the City of Ann Arbor all the way through Jackson and into Calhoun County it kind of goes against what our state Constitution have had. So in the order again of how we determine our priorities communities of interest are counties. The state subunits are counties and we get our funding from the state.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

community of interest as our suburban cities of Kentwood and East Grand Rapids. Thank you for your time and thank you for your hard work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is 29, Tina.

>> Good morning.

Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> My name is Tina. And I am a lifetime resident of Jackson County. And as a resident of Jackson County I would like to express my concern for the Senate District where we are being split up into two Counties and being divided with Washtenaw County. Jackson County is very different from Washtenaw County. I worked for allied steel for 27 years and we are heavy in manufacturing and agricultural. Whereas Washtenaw County is a big University. And it just feels like splitting Jackson into two and Washtenaw County into two Senate districts would not work well for our communities. We have an intermediate school District where our sports teams compete against each other and that would interfere with our schools as well as a manufacturing network that we have in Jackson County. So please relook at the Senate maps.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 30, Russ.

>> Hi there. My name is Russ Desy and live in the City of Jackson. I'm an independent minded person and I voted for candidates from both parties in past. I'm proud of you drawing the State Senate map that allows the I-94 corridor because I think we share personal and economic interests but the District as you have drawn it is diverse enough, I think either party can win in any given year. I acknowledge Jackson and Ann Arbor have differences the small cities suburbs and rural communities along I-94 have more in common than the vast rural areas that dilute in the current Senate map. It's a daunting and thankless job the fact neither side tells me you are on the right track so thank you and please keep it up.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Mary Murphy.

>> Hi, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Oh, good I'm Mary Murphy calling from Oakland County Huntington Woods. Thank you for your hard work and expressing kindness. We all need kindness, any way my concerns are the house. And 2018 the Michigan voters voted for proposal two. The vote was 59.9% yes and 40.1% no. The people of Michigan wanted fair maps drawn to represent all of us. It is also stated that districts drawn shall not provide disproportionate advantage to a party or a candidate. Unfortunately the current house map still favor the republican party. The maps do not represent the voices of the people of Michigan nor the framework of the Independent Commission. The maps need to be

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 50, Jeanene brown.

>> Hi, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: We can.

>> I'm Jeanie Brown from Jackson Michigan and just wanted to see we are aligned with Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County and we love Washtenaw County people but our Senate District will not be served by having a makeup of Jackson County and Washtenaw. We are two totally different communities. Please give us another option for a Senate map. I feel that there are too many conflicts with the way people think in both counties. And issues won't be resolved for both of us. Please we need another option. I would hope that we could be more aligned with the rural County like Hillsdale. We are more country people than big City, high tech and don't feel Jackson would be represented properly. Thank you for listening.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Sarah Woolsey.

>> Hello Commissioners. My name is Sarah. I live in Grand Rapids. I'm speaking today on a map that I submitted before the recent public hearing in Grand Rapids. Map P7083. The current level of partisan bias in the Pine state map does not meet the issues of the voters. It's definitely a step in progress but five to 6% bias in favor of one party is not a fair map. What I'm here speaking to you today is asking for maps that are fair and allow the voices of the voters to be heard and to allow for fair representation of voters in West Michigan to be represented in Lansing. This map addresses the concerns that you have repeatedly heard from citizens in Kent County at the public hearings, at meetings on the portal and even today. It increases the number of competitive seats in West Michigan and respects communities of interest in the six City region in nearby Townships with shared services Hispanic schools and more and I appreciate you using the criteria you are using and remind everyone of the Commission's mission and vision to ensure District lines that are draw fairly thank you for your dedication to the process and encourage you to revise the Pine map in Michigan.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Okay, next is number 53, Stephanie Riley.

>> Good morning Honorable committee. I'm Stephanie Riley from Jackson Michigan. I'm applauding all of you for a very difficult task and the opportunity to speak with you today. I'm expressing my concern of the District lines that are currently on the map you developed for the Senate seats Jackson is combined with Washtenaw. I've been a dedicated RN for 36 years involved in hospital and medical community here in Jackson. Being from a smaller hospital compared to U of M we are vastly different in specialties and goals. Our communities are vastly different. Small town versus big town. Jackson is involved in many try County organizations. This would be a detrimental challenge to

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

a Senator who is trying to adequately represent our District. Therefore I think that you should consider redrawing the lines to include Jackson with Hillsdale and Lenawee which are more communities of interest. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is 54, Tim.

>> Hi name is Tim Golding I'm in grass Lake Jackson County. I'd like to thank you for the work you are doing. I think the Congressional maps that you have for the state look good. I think they pay attention to the communities of interest. Guidelines that you have. But the Senate map that divides Jackson and into two Senate districts and connects us to Ann Arbor and Ipsi I think does not represent any community of interest that we've spoken about at the various meetings in the past. I think you should go back and redraw that, paying attention to communities of interest because Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County Ypsilanti and Jackson are two totally different communities of interest. So please go back, look at that again thank you for the hard work you're doing and thank you for allowing me to speak, thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 55, which is Chris Wessely.

>> Wessely. Hello.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: We can hear you.

>> Thank you so much my name is Chris Wesley and live in East Grand Rapids in Kent County where I just got elected as City Commissioner forward two so I'm actually pumped and realize the hard work you are all doing and appreciate you. With that being said I want to ask you move East Grand Rapids from District 75 with a District with Kentwood and Cascade. We have been represented by people who live far away and the current one is northeast in the County over a 30 minute drive and really never comes here. I have seen your State House map and it's good. I like you kept the core areas of Metro Grand Rapids together and keep it up but ask you move us with Kentwood because we are closer together and with more commonalities. Both cities in the eastern part of the Metro area and share the 28th commercial strip and water, sewer waste management and bus services and makes sense to put us in with Kentwood. You rock and Katie thank you for signing for me too appreciate it cheers.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Paul Kenyon.

>> Yes, hello can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> My name is Paul Kenyon I'm a long time resident of Jackson County over 33 years. I've actually lived in Washtenaw County went to the University of Michigan for nine years, I'm a retired physician. Looking at the map that you've drawn for State Senate you split Jackson County in half with Washtenaw County and split Ann Arbor

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

and basically what you have done is disenfranchised those of us living in Jackson County. We are going to be basically represented by the 500 pound gorilla of the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor which we really share no communities of interest. We are a different community. We are rural. Agrarian and blue collar with University of Michigan which is high tech white collar and we share nothing. This is our areas have different infrastructure. We are well and septic systems where you have Ann Arbor is basically municipal water and sewer. So we are going to end up being overwhelmed and unrepresented. I wish you would bring Jackson County together with other Counties maybe even including Hillsdale.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is 57, which is Sarah Abbot.

>> Can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Thank you. I just wanted to take a moment to remind the Commissioners of what they heard at the public hearing in Jackson earlier this year. You heard that Jackson and western Washtenaw should be whole and that Ann Arbor should also be whole. But then last Friday two or three of you seemed to forget that input. The strange layer Senate districts that were drawn make absolutely no sense. And there is no viable reason for such a split of Ann Arbor. Residents of Ann Arbor have more in common with each other than they do with the people in Calhoun. These communities are unique and deserve to be treated that way. And both communities deserve their own voice in Lansing. I ask that you respect both of these communities respect common sense and please adjust these two seats. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 58, Matt Dame.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> I'm Matt Dame from Jackson County. For months you've heard from so many residents how Jackson has far more in common with Hillsdale and Lenawee Counties as opposed to Washtenaw County. And you drew a pretty good map originally. Yet on a dime you gerrymandered Senate District that include Washtenaw County going all the way to Ann Arbor. The City of Jackson is the only municipality in Jackson County that votes democrat majority. Republicans win the majority in every other municipality in the County. By population approximately 81% of the County is under republican governance. Yet you carved Jackson County into two Senate districts where there is no way a republican can get elected. What you did to Jackson County was not partisan fairness. It ignored the communities of interest you heard. It ignored the fact the County voters overwhelmingly republican and it gerrymanders us with the City of Ann Arbor. The Senate map you propose simply doesn't follow constitutional priorities. Please try again. I appreciate you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 59, Allen Poehl.

>> Yes, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Awesome. Basically I just want to speak real quick like so many others to kind of the bizarre Ann Arbor Jackson Calhoun, the laterally sliced map a few of you drew the other day. Call attention to on September 15th Commissioners Szetela said quote I don't really think Ann Arbor and Jackson go together either. I just don't like it. That's on September 15th and the Commission meetings. I know Commissioner Curry and some other Commissioners wisely agreed with her. And I think you guys had it right then. I think that if you look at it the people in northern Ann Arbor have so much more in common with the people in southern Ann Arbor than they do with those in Jackson or Calhoun or anything like that. Each of these communities is their own unique community and I think they should both deserve to have a voice. I think you should give them that chance. Lastly if you are evaluating Senate maps you should look at midterm elections to determine the partisan fairness on those maps. Not Presidential years because they are elected in midterms. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Greg Hodgman number 60.

>> A longtime resident of southwest Kalamazoo City. The Redistricting Commission deserves thanks for their hard work and continued improvements in fairness. All draft proposed State House maps for Kalamazoo County currently have two districts greater Metro Kalamazoo and rural Kalamazoo County in an effort to have fair statewide maps consider unpacking Metro Kalamazoo into three districts with east Kalamazoo, west Kalamazoo and Portage in the south as shown on map P7173. Each of these districts could be considered to be a community of interest. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is 61. Donald Biddinger.

>> Hi, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Thank you. I'm from Jackson. And thank you for listening to us. It appears that the Congressional maps are fair for keeping our communities united. However you are proposing a Senate map that divides our community and economy. We ask that you redraw the Senate map to keep our Jackson community united. The I-94 corridor is not a community. It is an Interstate highway that connects the community of Jackson and the community of Ann Arbor. We have very different interests and values and want to be fairly represented. When you talk about unpacking a City, you're talking about an unconstitutional idea to force the likeminded ideas of an urban community group on to the rural areas that have differing views of representation. Let Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

have their community and representation. And let Jackson County have ours, please. Do not split our County in half for partisan reasons. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 62, which is Girt Hobson.

>> I'm here, can everybody hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Thank you I'm Girt of Kalamazoo Michigan I support unpacking the City of Kalamazoo from two districts to three districts. East, west and north District. This would allow each District fair representation that promotes equity, fairness, diversity and would disallow racism, discrimination, disenfranchisement and violations of the voter rights protection act. I support the Pine map. As the guy said earlier P7173 I would like to thank you for your time and effort in trying to make everything fair. I know it's a hard job. Thank you so much.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line to speak is number 63, which is Art, 64, Glenna it looks like Art is not here.

>>.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Glenna, we can hear you if you are ready.

>> Good morning you can hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes.

>> I commented yesterday I would like the proposed state Pine State House map that I like it but would like to see the lakeshore District 87 span further north and include Saugatuck and Douglas. I love the Commissioner listened and tried to incorporate the feedback for the lakeshore District. It's hard to modify maps as a group and on the fly. While at first glance it appeared Saugatuck Douglas did not fit there, there is a solution upon inspection. Exchange Royalton Township which is inland with Saugatuck and Douglas and it works. This is an easy adjustment. I think you could start there. It would work and move on to the more tougher areas of the state. I want to end by saying thank you for your service. I appreciate your hard work and perseverance and you are valued your work is valued hang in there.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 65, Max.

>> Good morning my name is Max and I live in Grand Rapids. As the Commissioners probably noticed the overall state house needs work and a friend of mine find a solution in the Grand Rapids Metro area. Four competitive or slightly democratic leaning street in Grand Rapids to the one in southern Grand Rapids. This will help with the statewide partisan fairness and a strong community of interest P8521 off of that map. P8521 puts a safe majority house in the Metro Grand Rapids with four other State House districts surrounding on the northeast southeast and southwest and will help you with the challenge of partisan balance your map and competitive elections in Kent County and lead to fair representation and fits in the West Michigan Pine map you have already drawn. Thank you. And sorry my camera was not working.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line to speak is number 66, which is Marion gray.

>> Thank you for creating fair and effective districts, I appreciate it. As a resident of Jackson County evaluating the Senate map that splits Jackson and combines with Ann Arbor is concerning. While we very much appreciate Ann Arbor's unique qualities of art and food and as a large University town, this is not in keeping with the criteria of communities with common interests. Very well developed community and organizations kept intact with the other counties we already work with try County region two agency on aging, the community action agency, different charities, disability connections. It would be costly to have to undo and rebuild these services. Please I ask you keep the Senate District for Jackson intact. And I want to thank you. The District lines you are looking at for the Congressional seat makes sense. Thank you and hold the values of common interest. Thank you for your work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 67, which is Robert Dindoffer.

>> Hello, can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

>> Okay great, a couple things, one yesterday there was some talk about whether to add that last precinct of Grosse Pointe Woods into State House District 4. Given Mr. Adelson's I don't know the way he articulated things yesterday I will put it you should give that another look. I really like the way that was done in the Kellom Curry redraw in Detroit. Second, I think the Kellom Curry redraw in Detroit is really important. I think if you guys done get Detroit right, the whole process is going to lose legitimacy and a lot of people's eyes. And I think that you know whether you accept that particular redraw I think it's very important to consider have everyone consider what the Detroit Commissioners are saying. I think that there are other ways since you, you know, changed the seat, there are other ways to find a seat where. You probably have people telling you find six or seven democratic seats in other areas of the state.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Yousif.

>> Good morning, Commissioners Yousif from try. Thank you for your hard work and know Pine is not done yet and I saw you yesterday ripping apart Sterling Heights and supposed to map COI and deviations. You are doing in the wrong way and rip apart COI and getting deviations to work the Chaldeans fall victim to the mapping technique first on the Senate now on the house map. Keep the Chaldean community together ton house and Senate map and let Commissioner Eid speak and Kellom and Curry speak for the Black community. Get interrupted not all of the time but let them speak for community of interest. I appreciate what you are doing and I will continue to pray for each of you Commissioners.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Bruce Abbot.

>> Yes, I'd like to thank you for allowing me to speak this morning. I'm a resident of Jackson County. After looking at the Senate map, I see that they divided our County in half. And combined the Section that I am in with Ann Arbor. Which would basically eliminate my need to vote for a Senator. I don't appreciate that. And I would really like to see you recombine Jackson County into one unit. And I do appreciate what you have done on the Congressional side. And I thank you for your hard work and listening to all of us complain. Thanks again good-bye.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number 70, Melanie Hartman.

>>.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Melanie you are unmuted and free to address the Commission.

>>.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Melanie you are muted. We can't hear you. We appear -- have we lost her? There you are Melanie. If you could unmute yourself. There we go. You try turning off your speaker a little bit. Maybe that will help. We see you are unmuted. We just can't hear you. Okay I think we will move on to the next person and see if we can sort of help her with her technical issues. Next in line is crystal.

>>.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, all right, let me see who else do we have left. It was 71, 72 is Paul McAdams.

>> Hello.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Hello.

>> Hello.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can hear you.

>> Hi, I'd like to thank the Commission for working so diligently. I think you made improvements over time. I think the Congressional District I spoke I'm sorry I'm from St. Clair shores. I think you have done pretty well in preserving the communities of interest in that Congressional District. And I think that a tweak would be desirable in Senate District 7. I think we have St. Clair shores we have a significant community of interest with Eastpointe. There was potential to include that we would appreciate that. And then Detroit House Districts, I think that we can do a little better and preserving the minority majority districts. Outside of that thank you very much for your hard work.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Rick Catherman.

>> Good morning Commission can you hear me.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Yes, we can.

← Next Previous →

Alternative A2 split 7

Basic Info

Submitter: Alice
Location: Washtenaw
Submitted: 11/4/2021
Type: plan
State Senate Districts
ID: p8674

Tags

More Info

I tried to incorporate Commissioner Eid's vision for Ann Arbor area division into cherry v2 map. I assume it was an attempt to be responsive to AAPI community of interest in Ann Arbor and Novi.

Comments & Feedback

No comments have been submitted.

Map

You can pan and zoom in the embedded map with your mouse or the +/- buttons.

View in Districtr

(To see statistics on this map, or to modify it yourself.)

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

your maps and get to partisan fairness republican partisans would accuse you of gerrymandering for democrats. Last night the state GOP sent an e-mail begging people to come here and say exactly that. Here is a couple of the suggested GOP talking points we expect you to hear. Number one quote you are gerrymandering for democrats under the guise of partisan fairness. Number two quote Michigan is not a blue state we are a purple state that is elected at the top of the ticket in the past six years three republicans and three democrats. If you hear comments along these lines, you will know where they come from. By the way, six years ago was 2015. Since then one republican and two democrats have won the top of the ticket. If you redraw Detroit to reflect actual communities of interest as you should, it will help you, not hurt you on partisan fairness measures. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number six.

>> Hello, my name is Shaun Lee I live in Leoni Township Jackson County. I'm here today because I don't agree with the way you have split up Jackson County and combined it in with wash or Ann Arbor. We actually have nothing in common with each other. And the Michigan civil rights chief Executive Director John Johnson junior says that the proposed maps violate the Voting Rights Act. Protecting the Voting Rights Act is a paramount concern and is listed in the first of priorities for a reason. The communities of interest are more listed above partisan fairness and they must respect the input from the thousands of voters across Michigan who have asked them to protect our communities. Thank you for your service. And please consider everyone's input. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number seven.

>> Hi, in the course of collecting thousands of signatures and having thousands of conversations with Michiganders of all political stripes and no political affiliation, the two things I heard most when I was volunteering for Voters Not Politicians was shocked at the way redistricting is done previously. And the fact that their representatives do not listen to them. Do not meet with them, don't represent them. And that's the importance of partisan fairness in your maps.

If you don't solve that problem in your Michigan house map, it's not going to solve the problem that we've been trying to solve with this Commission. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is number eight.

>> Hello. My name is Kristina and I work for the UAW region 1D but coming to you as a resident of Genesee County and thank you for the maps and unpacking Lansing yesterday and the work you did on Flint. Listening to the people and what they asked for. I would like to ask you the Commission consider looking at 2016 statewide election. While evaluating partisan fairness while drawing the maps 2016 was the closest statewide election over the last decade. I think running those numbers with the proposed maps will point you in the direction of fairness and where to make changes. A

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

I believe that fair elections require fair maps.
And that means that there has to be an equal opportunity for parties to win elections if they have the most votes for those elections.
So of the U.S. House maps I support the Szetela. State Senate I support Linden. And the State House I think also still needs some work to become something other than a locking in a particular partisan advantage for one party.
Thank you very much.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number seven.
>> Before you start the time, I do have a question because the website, your website indicates we have 90 seconds.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: No. We actually changed it to a minute several meetings ago.
>> I looked at the website yesterday and it was 90 seconds. Start my time, please.
I've been a resident of Waterloo in Jackson County for nearly 18 years. I spoke about our beautiful township to you back in May at the first redistricting commission public comment hearing in Jackson and a month ago in Lansing, here I am again.
Several of the maps continue to pull Waterloo Township into Washtenaw County and even Livingston County maps. Waterloo Township is a small rural community on the far northeast corner of Jackson County.
Please understand that Waterloo Township is very rural and has very different needs from Washtenaw and Livingston County.
I will say this again. Our needs...if our needs will go unaddressed if we are merged with Washtenaw or Livingston County.
As a member of Waterloo Township Planning Commission, I ask that you again keep us with similar Townships in Jackson County such as Henrietta, Leoni and Grass Lake.
We are already working together on similar needs and issues. Please use Chestnut for Congressional, Palm for State Senate, Szetela for House rep. Thank you for your work on this important project and for listening to residents.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number eight?
>> Hi, my name is Sharon and my community of interest is the rural area that I have lived in for 20 years, which is Waterloo Township, Jackson County.
Waterloo Township's population is low density with biking trails, horse trails, campsites and water sports, lakes, and 50% of our Township is DNR property.
My community of interest has low light pollution that makes the stars pop at night and has low traffic levels, so there is no congestion and minimal noise.
These things are very much in contrast with noisy and more congested, light polluted, higher density areas such as the City of Ann Arbor.
City residents enjoy close proximity to restaurants, retail shopping and museums.
This is very different from the expectations and the needs of rural residents. Point is that people in rural and high density areas have different preferences and resource

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

requirements and should therefore have different representation. And most of the existing draft maps don't accomplish this for Waterloo. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number nine.

>> Good morning. My name is Kathy. I'm a resident of Waterloo Township in Jackson County.

My thoughts on this redistricting is very common sense approach.

Consider you have cattle and rabbits. They are very different in size and needs. You wouldn't group them altogether in a field and expect that they would all require the same amount of care.

The rabbits will not consume the same amount of feed and care as larger cattle.

So in the same way a small community will not have the same needs as what is offered in a large City.

Examples, water, sewer, public transportation.

There is no reasonable reason to group a small community with larger ones.

The larger communities' needs are very different from the small ones.

They would want very different representation, and that's no bull.

Most of the existing maps do not reflect this idea of small versus larger communities.

And, in my opinion, the maps that best accomplish fairness for both communities would be the Szetela state map, the Chestnut Congressional and the Palm State Senate map.

Thank you for your time and efforts on this redistricting.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number ten.

>> Good morning. My name is Kathy Upton. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today.

I am also a resident of Waterloo Township in Jackson County.

I moved from Ann Arbor actually to Waterloo Township because of the vastly different community of interest aspects of each location.

Ann Arbor is high density and has many citizen services as water, sewer, broadband, Internet, and public transportation.

Waterloo Township residents don't have these service and are not taxed for them and prefer it that way. It's quieter in the country and less congested and people don't have to rely on these in the City with a cost.

These different communities of interest require different representation as the resources they have, and the resources they may or may not need are very different.

Most of the existing draft maps combine Waterloo Township with Washtenaw County and the City of Ann Arbor, which won't accomplish the different representation that is required.

Of the maps that exist, the ones I think that can achieve the appropriate representation for both rural and urban areas are the Szetela State House map, the Chestnut and Palm map. Thank you for your work on this complicated and difficult process.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 11.

>> Commissioners, you do some fair maps when you focused on the top three criteria through communities of interest.

When you moved from communities of interest down to partisan fairness, you didn't just tweak your maps, you completely redrew your maps.

By changing the maps so drastically, your own process became unconstitutional.

There are hours of online videos demonstrating how you gerrymandered the maps for partisan fairness.

You committed the very act proposition two of 2018 was designed not to do.

When your maps are challenged in Court, and I guarantee you they will be, the only maps that have a remote chance of holding up in Court are Chestnut, Palm and Magnolia.

Chestnut, Palm and Magnolia.

I look forward to the Court challenges to come. And it would be justified to see you defend your gerrymandering under oath in a Court of law.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 12.

>> Thank you to the committee for your work and for taking time to hear input from citizens. My name is Mike Cameron. I live in Waterloo Township in Jackson County.

My Township has very different needs than the largest Townships and communities in Washtenaw County.

What I've noticed with the draft maps is that in almost every case Waterloo Township is included with Washtenaw County, including the City of Ann Arbor.

I have concerns about one representative being able to protect the needs of these vastly different communities.

I would like to see Waterloo Township included in Jackson County District since they are similar communities of interest.

Of the draft maps remaining, I feel the following maps would do the best to protect rural communities: Chestnut Congressional map, Palm State Senate map, the Szetela State House map.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 13.

>> My name is Gail Steiner. I live on Ann Arbor's west side. I speak out today for the same reason that I volunteered nearly full time with the prop two campaign to end gerrymandering.

That motivation is protecting people's right to choose our elected officials.

My two favorite consensus maps for partisan fairness are Congress Birch version two and State Senate Linden. The State House maps appear to result in the party projected to win the most votes, not likely to win majority of seats.

That is deeply disappointing.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

Because of your timeline, if you must choose a consensus map, I reluctantly recommend Hickory as the least egregious of the three options.
Thank you for putting yourselves publicly on the line to protect our democracy.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 14.
>> Good morning. My name is Dave. I'm a resident of Waterloo Township in Jackson County.
I commend the Commission for undertaking a very difficult and complex task. In reviewing the proposed redistricting maps, I was disappointed to discover that Jackson...that Waterloo Township has been separated from Jackson County and attached to Ann Arbor and Washtenaw County.
Basically I believe this is contrary to the guiding principle of communities of interest, which is meant to maintain common values and needs and so forth of respective communities.
Certainly Waterloo Township being a small rural community has a lot more in common with its adjoining urban and rural communities in Jackson County.
As a result, I urge the Commission to consider the following maps that best integrate urban and rural needs.
It would be the Palm map for State Senate.
The Szetela map for State House.
And the Chestnut for the Congressional map. Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 15.
>> Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Sandy. And I lived in Ann Arbor. And I live in Ann Arbor. I've practiced law here for over 30 years.
First, I want to thank you for your hard work over the last 18 months.
I know it's been a challenge to be the first Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission.
You guys are leading the way.
I watched you in action and I can see how much you care about keeping the redistricting process transparent, fair and nonpartisan as required by the Constitution.
I've reviewed the maps and analysis done by the Secretary of State and also by the nonpartisan Michigan State IPSR project.
These are my request on the maps.
Please adopt the Chestnut map for Congress. It's a fair map and keeps the most communities of interest together.
For State Senate adopt the Linden collaborative map or Commissioner Kellom's map that strengthens Detroit's VRA districts. For State House please adopt Pine version five or Hickory.
Finally, I'm so glad your meetings and discussions are open and transparent.
Please keep it that way.
Thank you.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 15.

>> Yeah, hi. So you can go ahead and start the time and I will probably stare at my phone since it's short.

No map can perfectly satisfy everyone. There are some obvious issues with some of these maps, especially those that split Jackson and Ann Arbor in half and connect the north and south sides together of vastly different communities.

Worse yet people are commenting on the maps happy to silence and suppress the voices and voters of our communities in favor of securing seats for political candidates or prioritizing the agenda of politicians instead of prioritizing the people they are supposed to serve.

Proper representation of our community of interest matters.

The people of Jackson County and the people of Ann Arbor are great people but they have very different wants and needs and different problems that require different solutions.

Each of which should be properly represented as to best serve the people in those respective communities.

If you truly care about the people of our communities in Michigan, then please recognize the diversity between these different communities in our state, deserve accurate and proper representation. The best maps I believe that serve this goal are Chestnut for Congressional, Palm for Senate and Szetela for State House. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 16.

Do we have a number 16? Okay, let's move on to 17 then.

>> I hate these masks.

Yes, my name is Mike.

I live in Lodi Township, outside of Saline.

And I thought that one of the best comments that I've heard or read, I should say, on redistricting had to do with the front Page of the "New York Times" this past Monday.

I want to read just the first sentence.

A year before the polls opened in 2022 mid-term elections republicans have already poised to flip at least five seats and the closely divided House thanks to redrawn District maps that are more distorted, more disjointed, and more gerrymandered than any since the Voting Rights Act was passed in 1965.

Here in Michigan I think we have this whimsical, largely republican stuff about communities of interest, which are being used from what I hear as a justification for gerrymandering.

All this says to me is that the voting rights bill must be passed.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 18.

>> Good morning. My name is Bill Richardson and live in Waterloo in Jackson, Michigan.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

I have concerns about all three types of maps. In most cases Waterloo is included in Washtenaw County districts, not Jackson County districts.

Also, Jackson County is not kept whole on many of the maps.

I heard an overwhelming theme in the May hearing in Jackson that both rural and urban residents that urban and rural communities are different and need different representation.

Waterloo Township is a single precinct township of less 3,000 residents and has very different needs from the larger populated Townships in Washtenaw County.

Our Township has no City water, no City sewer, no broadband Internet, and no public transportation.

The City of Ann Arbor has all these things. How can a single representative represent all their constituents when the constituents have vastly different resources and needs?

The maps I feel are the best to protect rural communities in Jackson county are Chestnut for Congressional, Palm for State Senate and Szetela for State House.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 19.

>> Good morning. My name is Lori and I've come in today from Huntington Woods in Oakland County. Thank you for your hard work.

I'm sure it has felt thankless at times. Be assured many Michiganders appreciate how difficult your task has been.

I speak today in support of the Birch Congressional map. It is fair and has the strongest VRA districts of the Congressional maps. In my district this map keeps together cities that I consider to be my sister cities. It combines parts of Macomb County and Oakland County together in districts making for more fair, competitive districts.

With respect to the Senate maps, I like the Kellom Senate map.

This map respects Detroiters' concerns for adherence to the VRA by not dipping down from Oakland County into Detroit like other maps have done.

I hate to end on a bummer note, but I cannot support any of the House maps as they are not fair.

Please continue to work on the House maps by looking to the maps that have been submitted to the Commission by others that satisfy constitutional requirements and are fair.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 20.

>> Good morning. My name is James and I live in Ann Arbor.

After studying the maps proposed by the Independent Commission, I believe the following about the three separate maps. Congressional maps. Of the Congressional maps I believe the best map is the Szetela map, which is partisan fair, and meets many of the other criteria.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

You all know the wording, including but not limited to populations of historic or economic interests, et cetera.

I and my family belong to many communities of interest.

And there is no way anyone could draw districts whose boundaries did not divide some of those communities of interest.

Western Washtenaw County should be included within districts with all or some of Jackson County because we share common interests and because these districts have more fairness and less partisan advantage than the districts that segregate Washtenaw County.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 25.

>> Hi. My name is Jeannie Brown and I'm from summit Township. I would like to propose the Chestnut map.

We love Washtenaw County people, but Senate Districts would not be well served by having Jackson and Washtenaw put together.

I would also suggest the Palm map and the Magnolia map.

These maps represent our common community values and opinions.

I feel that Washtenaw County values and opinions conflict with the most of the people in Jackson and Hillsdale County.

We are more country people than high City tech and don't feel Jackson County would be represented properly.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 26.

>> Good morning, Commissioners.

First, I'd like to tell you that I'm grateful for the dedication of this tedious task. My name is Stephanie Riley. I'm...I moved from Ohio in 1981 to Jackson where I've established my home, family and my career.

My vote is for the Chestnut, Palm and Magnolia maps. These maps keep Jackson County whole, or mostly whole, and with the surrounding Counties of similar interests.

I have concern with the maps that are combining Jackson with Washtenaw County. Mixing Jackson with Washtenaw County is like bringing the Ohio State Buckeyes into the big House.

To win this game, both counties need to be able to run plays that support their own goals and special interest. I vote to keep Jackson out of Washtenaw County because our areas are vastly different.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 27.

>> Hi, my name is Judy and I live in Plymouth, Michigan.

I want to start by thanking you for your exceptional effort in imagining this process in a fair and effective manner.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

You were 30.
Okay, so 31, just went so 32.
>> Good morning. My name is Stewart, a resident of Pittsfield Township in Washtenaw.
I'd like to concentrate my comments today in regard to the House maps.
You've already heard some good comments and testimony in showing that these maps need rework.
I strongly urge you to please revise the House maps.
Take the time to do it.
I also understand that you have some concerns that doing so, revising the House maps will trigger another 45-day period.
I'd like to give you at least one of many possible reasons why revising it is a better alternative than simply picking the best of the lot in the House maps.
That's because litigation is likely. Any decisions you take, litigation in the state courts.
Put yourself in the position of several months from now, being told by one of the courts to redo these maps.
That's more expensive and it's more confusing. It's going to be more work for the clerks, more work for you and more confusion for the voters.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 33.
>> Good morning. My name is Tim Wilson. I'm from Jackson where I practice law for many years.
When making your final selections, I'm going to oppose any plans that divide up Jackson County.
I just don't see how that is necessary.
I further oppose any plans that place Jackson with Washtenaw.
Lastly, we'd like to keep Waterloo Township.
We are very proud of its beauty and natural resource. I'm from Summit, but we would like to keep them. And thank you to the Commission and all my fellow citizens who are participating. Thank you.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 34.
>> Good morning, Nancy Wang on behalf of Voters Not Politicians. I would like to make a few points today and commend all of you. And thank you so much for all the work you have done, and especially how you have done that work.
You really have been a model of working in collaboratively and cooperation with mutual respect for each other all along the way, which is the opposite of what we are seeing all across the Country and what we have seen in the past with redistricting in this state as well.
We also appreciate how you've taken seriously all of the public comments you have gotten,

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

We choose where we live for a reason based on many factors, and hope to have representation that supports our needs and interests.
It is principle to our Constitution.
You must eliminate all the Senate maps that cut our County in half, only to pair us with Ann Arbor, the only proposed one that doesn't is Palm. Please do right by Jackson County and keep us united. Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 43.

>> Good morning, everyone. My name is Vicki and I live in Napoleon Township, Jackson County.
I have many friends and family that live there.
They have all I've been born there, all of my kids, all of my grand kids, my great grand kids, and they are concerned about the new maps that have been drawn that separate our community and add it to Washtenaw.
We have so much in common with our small City of Jackson and the rural areas that surround it and very little with a huge, urban City of Ann Arbor.
All we are asking is that you allow us to have fair representation by keeping our community together as a whole.
The Chestnut map is the best Congressional map.
The Palm is the only one that keeps us as a whole in Jackson County.
And the Magnolia is the best one for the House.
But I really hope that you do revisit to the House maps.
Thank you.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. I'm sorry, I might have lost my count, was that 43 or 44?

>> 43.

>> CHAIR SZETELA: Okay, so 44.

>> Hi, Norman coming back again for Detroit Change Initiative. I will be quick.
Congressional I will live with the Birch. And State I will live with Linden. State House I can't say anything about that because it's still gerrymandered. You just took two seats off.
So it's still a gerrymandered map.
And you will be in Court in litigation for another time and again another 45 days and do the process all over again.

Guys, I get it. I have been here since the beginning. And you guys have been working tirelessly. You guys have been working hard. But do not let anything deter you doing this in the right way.
Let's get this right.
Take the time.
Redo the Congressional maps all over again.
Put everybody's interests in state.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

provision of the Redistricting Commission's mandate prevents the joining of communities with different cultural characteristics and economic interests in the same District.
Clause C of the mandate requires the Commission to create Districts that quote reflect the states diverse population as well as community of interest.
Seems to me the diverse population requirement is often being forgotten. In my view thee Commission is mandated to create Districts that are diverse and not marginalizing communities with distinctive cultural, historical characteristics or economic interest.
The law does not require only one community of interest per District.
The Redistricting Commission's mandate on community of interest is not a kind of Voting Rights Act for rural Michigan, requiring that the Commission create districts at least 50% or maybe 40% rural.
That is not a reasonable interpretation of the law and, in fact, would violate the diverse requirement.
Thank you very much.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number Pam-Byrnes.
>> I'm supervisor of Linden Township in the northwest corner of Washtenaw County where than more than 50% of the land is state owned.
I urge you to adopt the Chestnut Congressional map. We are a small, rural, agricultural Township of less than 3,000 residents with an emphasis on outdoor recreation.
We are part of the Chelsea community linked in our regional fire service, library, schools, transportation, recycling and building code enforcement.
Birch two and the two individual Commissioner maps split the Township in half between two Congressional districts. Apple two, and out of Washtenaw County into a Congressional District that has no connection, no community of interest.
We are small and likely to be ignored.
We would be adversely impacted for the next ten years in the delivery of quality governmental services to our constituents if the Chestnut map is not adopted. And thank you very much for your hard work and public service.
>> CHAIR SZETELA: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Number 18, Rich Thrush.
>> Hi, I'm Rich Thrush, a long-term resident of Grand Rapids.
I want to point out the Apple V2 map is the best representation of the large minority population in West Michigan.
30% of this District population are minorities.
Not only are Black and Hispanic populations represented, but Asian and Native Americans as well.

et	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VA Pac Isla (Co
	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
79	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	5 (0

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Comb
)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%
775,179	182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,635	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Comb
)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%
775,179	182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	26,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Comb
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Comb
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Combo
)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

arget	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP N Pac Islanc (Com
	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%
75,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islande (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islander (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

Target	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP NH Pac Islander (Combo
0	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
775,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%)
775,179	-182	774,997	710,889	21,627	19,937	7,352	659	10,625	36,060	606,868	560,657	16,019	14,526	4,965	418

rget	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP Pac Islar (Cor
	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	(
75,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	5 (0.(

rget	Deviation	Total Population	NH White (Combo)	NH Black (Combo)	NH Native (Combo)	NH Asian (Combo)	NH Pac Islander (Combo)	NH Other (Combo)	Hispanic	Voting Age Population	VAP NH White (Combo)	VAP NH Black (Combo)	VAP NH Native (Combo)	VAP NH Asian (Combo)	VAP N Pac Islanc (Comb
	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
5,179	196 (0.03%)	775,375	726,790 (93.73%)	11,385 (1.47%)	38,650 (4.98%)	7,251 (0.94%)	879 (0.11%)	9,957 (1.28%)	15,847 (2.04%)	633,080	597,460 (94.37%)	8,188 (1.29%)	27,402 (4.33%)	5,147 (0.81%)	554 (0.09%