An official website of the United States government Here's how you know



NORTH CAROLINA: 2020 Census

North Carolina Gained Around 900,000 People Last Decade

AMERICA COUNTS STAFF

AUGUST 25, 2021

America Counts today launches a state-by-state look at the demographic changes the new 2020 Census results reveal.

Our state profiles bring you all key population characteristics of *your* state and *your* county on one page.

See how they compare to the nation overall and to neighboring counties and states. Through interactive state and county maps for the 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, each page provides a snapshot of change from 2010 to 2020 on five topics.

Here are some key national-level 2020 Census results to help you see how your state or county compares in each topic area:

- Population (up 7.4% to 331.4 million).
- Race and ethnicity (White alone 61.6%; Black alone 12.4%; Hispanic 18.7%; Asian



NORTHEAST REGION: 2020 Census

The region is made up of six states i
England and three in the Middle Atla



PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1409

- Race and ethnicity (White alone 61.6%, Black alone 12.4%, Hispanic 18.7%, Asian alone 6%; American Indian and Alaska Native alone 1.1%; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone 0.2%; Some Other Race alone 8.4%; Two or More Races 10.2%).
- Diversity Index (61.1%, up from 54.9%).
- Under-18 (down 1.4%) and adult population (up 10.1%).
- Housing units (up 6.7%) and vacancies (down to 9.7%).

NORTH CAROLINA - SOUTH

STATE PROFILE

Population and Housing

States | CBSAs | Counties


Change State: North Carolina

North Carolina

Click a value below to change the map and table.

Total Population (2020): 10,439,388	Housing Units (2020): 4,708,710
Numeric Change in Population (2010–2020): 903,905	Housing Unit Vacancy Rate (2020): 11.6%
Percent Change in Population (2010–2020): 9.5%	Percent Change in Housing Units (2010–2020): 8.8%

Population Density in North Carolina Counties: 2020





SOUTH REGION: 2020 Censu

The South Region has eight states a District of Columbia in the South Atl four states in East South Central and states in West South Central.



WEST REGION: 2020 Census

The West Region is made up of 13 s eight in the Mountain West and five i Pacific division.




MIDWEST REGION: 2020 Ce

The Midwest has five states in the E North Central part of the region and


North Central part of the region and in the West North Central.



Race and Ethnicity



North Carolina: 62.2%



Interested in a particular county?
Enter a county in the search bar to highlight it.

Highlight County

North Carolina counties



Percentage of total population

- 95.0 or more
- 90.0 to 94.9
- 75.0 to 89.9
- 50.0 to 74.9
- Less than 50.0

Return to Nation

Note: Ranking based on unrounded numbers. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. Source: 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File; 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Additional information is available for:

Race,

Ethnicity,

Race and Ethnicity Data in the 2020 Census.

United States Census Bureau | U.S. Department of Commerce

+ableau

Diversity

Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the United States: 2010 Census and 2020 Census

Pick a topic:

- Diversity Index Maps
- Race and Ethnicity Prevalence Rankings
- Race and Ethnicity Prevalence Maps
- Data Tables


Click for instructions.

State: North Carolina

Year: 2020

Diversity Index by County: 2020

North Carolina: 57.9%




Interested in a particular county?

Enter a county in the search bar to highlight it.


Highlight County


North Carolina counties




Scotland County 66.4%
Guilford County 65.4%
Diversity Index
65.0% or more
55.0% to 64.9%
45.0% to 54.9%
35.0% to 44.9%
Less than 35.0%
Return to Nation
Note: Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. Ranking based on unrounded numbers.
Source: 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File;
2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Additional information is available for:
Diversity Index,
Race,
Ethnicity,
Race and Ethnicity Data in the 2020 Census.
Technical Note for "N."
Census
tableau

Age


The U.S. Adult and Under-Age-18 Populations: 2020 Census
Select a question:
What percentage was aged 18+ in 2020?
How has the age group changed since 2010?
What are facts for my state or county?
Click here for instructions.
State:
Percentage of Population Aged 18 and Over by County: 2020
North Carolina: 78.1%



Interested in a particular county?

Enter a county in the search bar to highlight it.

Highlight County

North Carolina counties

Watauga County	86.1%
Pamlico County	85.4%
Brunswick County	85.3%
Avery County	84.8%
Polk County	83.8%
Cherokee County	83.6%
Clay County	83.3%
Bertie County	83.1%
Carteret County	83.0%
Jackson County	83.0%
Transylvania County	82.8%

Percent

- 81.0 or more
- 79.0 to 80.9
- 77.0 to 78.9
- 75.0 to 76.9
- Less than 75.0

Return to Nation


Note: Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. Ranking based on unrounded numbers. Source: 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File; 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Additional information for the 2020 Census Redistricting Data.

United States Census

+ableau

2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer



The 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer is a web map application that includes state-, county-, and census tract-level data from the 2020 Census.

QuickFacts
census.gov/quickfacts

Statistics for states, counties, cities and towns with populations of 5,000 or more people.

State Profiles: 2020 Census

Page 1 of 2 >

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

ALABAMA: 2020 Census

The Yellowhammer State's population increased 5.1% to 5,024,279 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

ALASKA: 2020 Census

The Last Frontier State was the least densely populated state in 2020 with a population of 733,391 (1.3 people per square mile), up 3.3% from 2010.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

ARIZONA: 2020 Census
The Grand Canyon State's population grew 11.9% to 7,151,502 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
ARKANSAS: 2020 Census
The Natural State's population grew 3.3% to 3,011,524 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
CALIFORNIA: 2020 Census
The Golden State's population was 39,538,223 in 2020, a 6.1% increase since 2010 but slower than its 10% gain in the previous decade.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
COLORADO: 2020 Census
The Centennial State's population was 5,773,714 in 2020, making it one of 13 states and the District of Columbia that grew by 10.0% or more from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
CONNECTICUT: 2020 Census
Of the 47 U.S. states that gained population from 2010 to 2020, the Constitution State's 0.9% growth to 3,605,944 million was the slowest.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
DELAWARE: 2020 Census
The population of The First State increased 10.2% to 989,948 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: 2020 Census
The Nation's Capital joined 13 states with the biggest growth spurts from 2010 to 2020, with its population jumping 14.6% to nearly 690,000.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
FLORIDA: 2020 Census
The Sunshine State's 14.6% population growth from 2010 to 2020 pushed it past New York as the nation's third most populous state.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
GEORGIA: 2020 Census
The Peach State, with a 2020 population of 10,711,908, added 1,024,255 people last decade, making it one of five states with the largest numeric increases.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
HAWAII: 2020 Census
The Aloha State's population grew 7.0% to 1,455,271 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
IDAHO: 2020 Census
The Gem State was second to Utah in population growth, jumping 17.3% to 1,839,106 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff
ILLINOIS: 2020 Census
The Prairie State's population declined to 12.8 million in 2020. Only two other states lost population: West Virginia and

The Prairie State's population declined to 12.8 million in 2020. Only two other states lost population: West Virginia and Mississippi (along with Puerto Rico).

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

INDIANA: 2020 Census

The Hoosier State's population was 6,785,528 in 2020, up 4.7% since 2010.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

IOWA: 2020 Census

The Hawkeye State grew 4.7% to 3,190,369 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

KANSAS: 2020 Census

The Sunflower State's population grew 3.0% to 2,937,880 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

KENTUCKY: 2020 Census

The Bluegrass State added 166,469 people since 2010, a 3.8% increase to 4,505,836.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

LOUISIANA: 2020 Census

The population of the Pelican State increased 2.7% to 4,657,757 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MAINE: 2020 Census

The Pine Tree State's population was 1,362,359 in 2020, a 2.6% increase since 2010

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MARYLAND: 2020 Census

The Old Line State added more than 400,000 people from 2010 to 2020, raising its population to 6,177,224.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MASSACHUSETTS: 2020 Census

The Bay State added more than 480,000 people last decade for a total population of 7,029,917 in 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MICHIGAN: 2020 Census

The Wolverine State grew by 2.0% or 193,691 people from 2010 to 2020, raising its population to 10,077,331.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MINNESOTA: 2020 Census

The North Star State added 402,569 people from 2010 to 2020, bringing its population to 5,706,494.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MISSISSIPPI: 2020 Census

The Magnolia State's population declined 0.2% from 2010 to 2020 to 2,961,279.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MISSOURI: 2020 Census

The Show Me State's population increased 2.8% to 6,154,913 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

MONTANA: 2020 Census

The Big Sky Country State grew 9.6% from 2010 to 2020, raising its population to 1,084,225.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEBRASKA: 2020 Census

Population of the Cornhusker State grew 7.4% to 1,961,504 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEVADA: 2020 Census

The Silver State population grew 15.0% to 3,104,614 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEW HAMPSHIRE: 2020 Census

Population of the Granite State increased 4.6% to 1,377,529 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEW JERSEY: 2020 Census

The Garden State gained almost 500,000 people from 2010 to 2020, bringing its population to 9,288,994, a 5.7% increase.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEW MEXICO: 2020 Census

The Land of Enchantment State's population was 2,117,522 in 2020, up 2.8% from 2010.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NEW YORK: 2020 Census

The population of the Empire State, the nation's fourth most populous state, grew 4.2% to 20,201,249 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NORTH CAROLINA: 2020 Census

Population of the Old North State grew 9.5% to 10,439,388 from 2010 to 2020.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

NORTH DAKOTA: 2020 Census

The Peace Garden State grew 15.8% from 2010 to 2020, to a population of 779,094.

Story | August 25, 2021 | America Counts Staff

OHIO: 2020 Census

The Buckeye State's 2020 population was 11,799,448, up 2.3% from 2010.

---

1 2 > »

ALL STATE PROFILES INDEX

Story Ideas and Statistics

Stats For Stories | November 21, 2019


North Carolina 230th Anniversary (12th state): November 21, 2019

North Carolina joined the Union on November 21, 1789, as the 12th state and 12th of the 13 British Colonies. Nicknames are Old North State and Tar Heel State.

More stories



Don't Turn the Page on Bookstores



No Kids, No Care? Childlessness Among Older Americans



Got a Problem? Census Bureau TOP Summit May Have an App or Tool to Solve It

AMERICA COUNTS HOME

Subscribe to America Counts

Our email newsletter is sent out on the day we publish a story. Get an alert directly in your inbox to read, share and blog about our newest stories.

SIGN UP TODAY

About

America Counts tells the stories behind the numbers in a new inviting way. We feature stories on various topics such as families, housing, employment, business, education, the economy, emergency management, health, population, income and poverty.

Contact our Public Information Office for media inquiries or interviews.

Surveys/Programs > Decennial

The U.S. Census Bureau provides the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico with population counts to use in their redrawing of congressional and state legislative district boundaries — a process known as "redistricting."

While the states are responsible for legislative redistricting, the Census Bureau provides population counts possible for the geographic areas the states need.

Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office (RDO)

Redistricting & Voting Rights Data Office (Rdo@Census.Gov Or 301-763-4039)

Redistricting Data Program

Public Law 94-171 requires the Census to provide state legislatures with the small area census population tabulations necessary for legislative redistricting.

August 05, 2021

2020 Census Redistricting Data Product Frequently Asked Questions

Information on plans to release the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files.

Developing the DAS: Demonstration Data and Progress Metrics


The Census Bureau is building and evaluating the new cryptography-based disclosure avoidance system ("2020 DAS") through a series of development "sprints."

VISIT THE RDO

Visualizations



2020 Population and Housing State Data




The U.S. Adult and Under-Age-18 Populations: 2020 Census




2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer



Racial and Ethnic Diversity in the U.S.: 2010 Census and 2020 Census



Measurement of Race and Ethnicity Across the Decades: 1790–2020



Race and Ethnicity in the United States: 2010 Census and 2020 Census

VISIT OUR GALLERY

Data

September 16, 2021

Census Data Explorer (data.census.gov)

This is the first 2020 Census data release available on the Census Bureau's data dissemination platform, data.census.gov. The site allows data users to search geographies down to the block level and access data through tables, maps and downloads.

August 12, 2021 | CRVRDO

Decennial Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files

Includes the official data, documentation, and support materials to assist in accessing P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data.

Redistricting Data Datasets

Data files, for public use, with all personally identifiable information removed to ensure confidentiality. Users analyze, extract, customize and publish stats.

VISIT OUR DATA SECTION

Tables

Summary Tables for FTP Download

The U.S. Census Bureau is providing redistricting data as legacy format summary files for all states on August 12, 2021.

Table | August 12, 2021

2020 Census Redistricting: Supplementary Tables

These tables present aggregated data on race and Hispanic origin from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171)

Privacy Protected Microdata Detail File


The microdata included in the PPMFs do not include any actual census responses. They are simply the the microdata format, generated by 2 the DAS, and used by the Census Bureau's tabulation production system to produce privacy protected tables.

VISIT OUR TABLE INDEX

Fact Sheets



Redistricting Data 101



Protecting the Confidentiality of 2020 Census Redistricting Data



Which Data Set Is Right for Me?



Comparing Differential Privacy With Older Disclosure Avoidance Methods



What Are Synthetic Data?



Differential Privacy and the 2020 Census

Blog Posts

Random Samplings Blog | August 10, 2021 | By James Whitehorne, Chief, Census Redistricting And Voting Rights Data Office

The Census Geocoder - Group Quarters Assistance

People living in group quarters, such as nursing homes, military barracks, and college/university student housing, are among the unique populations counted in the 2020 Census.

Random Samplings Blog | August 03, 2021 | By Rachel Marks, Chief, Racial Statistics Branch, Population Division And Merarys Rios-Vargas, Chief, Ethnicity And Ancestry Branch, Population Division

Improvements to the 2020 Census Race and Hispanic Origin Question Designs, Data Processing, and Coding Procedures

This blog discusses how we improved the census questions on race and Hispanic origin, also known as ethnicity, between 2010 and 2020.

Random Samplings Blog | August 02, 2021 | By Roberto Ramirez, Assistant Division Chief, Special Population Statistics And Christine Borman, Statistician Demographer, Count Review Office, Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau

How We Complete the Census When Demographic and Housing Characteristics Are Missing

Although we strive to obtain all demographic and housing data from every individual in the census, missing data are part of every census process.

ALL 2020 CENSUS BLOG POSTS

Newsroom

Press Release | August 12, 2021

Local Population Changes and Nation's Racial and Ethnic Diversity

The U.S. Census Bureau today released additional 2020 Census results showing an increase in the population of U.S. metro areas compared to a decade ago.

Press Release | August 12, 2021

El Censo resalta cambios locales, diversidad racial y étnica del país

La Oficina del Censo de los EE. UU. entrega los datos para que los estados comiencen las actividades de redistribución legislativa.

Press Kit | August 12, 2021

2020 Census Redistricting Data Files Press Kit

States may use these data on race, Hispanic origin, and the voting-age population to redraw electoral district boundaries.

Social Media Toolkit: Redistricting Data

Help us spread the word about the latest 2020 Census results!

GET FULL NEWSROOM COVERAGE

Census Academy

2020 Census Resources

View Census Academy resources about the 2020 Census including Data Gems, courses, and webinars.

Video | August 12, 2021

How to Visualize 2020 Census Redistricting Data for Your Area

In this Data Gem, you will learn how to use the 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer to easily visualize 2020 Redistricting Data for your area.

How to Access 2020 Census Redistricting Data Files from the FTP Site

This all-levels course will teach you how access redistricting data.

LEARN DATA SKILLS


Videos



2020 Census Redistricting Data Release - Playlist



Accessing 2020 Census Redistricting Data from the Legacy Format Summary Files



2020 Census Redistricting Data Release: What is Redistricting?

WATCH ALL VIDEOS

Maps

Visualization | August 12, 2021

2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer

The 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer is web map application that includes state, county, and tract-level data from the 2020 Census.

Reference Map | 2020

2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Map Suite

Here you will find the 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Map Suite

Mapping File

TIGER/Line Shapefiles

View all the available mapping files from the Geography program.

GET GEOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS

This story was filed under:

Population

Last Revised: October 8, 2021

Some content on this site is available in several different electronic formats. Some of the files may require a plug-in or additional software t

The content on this page includes a link to a non-government website. Our linking to these sites does not constitute an endorsement of any services or the information found on them. Once you link to another site you are subject to the policies of the new site.

services of the information found on them. Once you link to another site you are subject to the policies of the new site.

Sign Up for Email Updates

To sign up for updates please enter your contact information below.

Enter your email address SUBSCRIBE

Stay Current

Newsroom
America Counts
Blogs
Stats for Stories

Stay Co

An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

WITHIN LIBRARY

About the Library

America Counts: Stories Behind the Numbers

Audio

Fact Sheets

Infographics & Visualizations

Photos

Publications


Reference

Videos

Working Papers

2020 Census: Apportionment of the U.S. House of Representatives

APRIL 26, 2021



Apportionment is the process of dividing the 435 memberships, or seats, in the U.S. House of Representatives among the 50 states. At the conclusion of each decennial census, the results are used to calculate the number of House memberships to which each state is entitled. This map shows the changes to the number of Congressional seats for each state between apportionment based on the 2010 Census and apportionment based on the 2020 Census.

Download or Print

Apportionment of the U.S. House of Representatives Based on the 2020 Census [<1.0 MB]

Apportionment of the U.S. House of Representatives Based on the 2020 Census [<1.0 MB]

Related Maps

Visualization | April 27, 2021
2020 Census: 2020 Resident Population

Visualization | April 27, 2021
2020 Census: Percent Change in Resident Population: 2010 to 2020

Related Information

Table | April 26, 2021
2020 Census Apportionment Results
Congressional seats were apportioned among the 50 states based on the 2020 Census population counts. Apportionment results from 1790 to 2020 are also shown.

Visualization | April 26, 2021
Historical Apportionment Data Map (Interactive)
This interactive tool enables users to view more than 10 decades of apportionment and population data.

Table | April 26, 2021
Historical Apportionment Data (1910-2020)
Historical apportionment data for the nation and states.

Congressional Apportionment
Information about congressional apportionment for the current and past Decennial Censuses.

Decennial Census of Population and Housing
The U.S. census counts every resident in the United States. It is mandated by Article I, Section 2 of the Constitution and takes place every 10 years.

Source and References

2020 Decennial Census

Last Revised: October 8, 2021

Sign Up for Email Updates
To sign up for updates please enter your contact information below.

Enter your email address SUBSCRIBE

Stay Current
Newsroom
America Counts
Blogs
Stats for Stories

Stay Connected

Census Jobs | Information Quality | Data Linkage Infrastructure | Data Protection and Privacy Policy | Accessibility | FOIA | Inspector General | No FEAR Act | U.S. Department of Commerce | USA.gov

Measuring America's People, Places, and Economy

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
1410

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
21 CVS 015426
21 CVS 500085

NORTH CAROLINA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his official capacity as Chair of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, et al.,

Defendants.

REBECCA HARPER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his official capacity as Chair of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, et al.,

Defendants.

EXPERT REPORT OF DR. JEFFREY B. LEWIS

Pursuant to the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and the Case Management Orders of the Court in the above-captioned matter, I, Jeffrey B. Lewis, provide the following written report:

1. I am a Professor of Political Science at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). I am also the past department chair of UCLA's political science department and past president of the Society for Political Methodology. I have been a member of the UCLA faculty since 2001. Prior to that, I was an Assistant Professor of Politics and Public Affairs at Princeton University from 1998 to 2001. I earned my B.A. in Political

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1411

Science and Economics from Wesleyan University in 1990 and my Ph.D. in Political Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 1998. My main area of specialization is quantitative political methodology with a focus on making inferences about preferences and behavior from the analysis of voting patterns in the mass public and in legislatures. I have published on the topic of ecological inference – the challenge that arises when one wants to know how individuals of different types voted in an election, but one can only observe electoral data aggregated to the precinct, county or other summary level. A true, accurate, and complete copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

2. I have previously been retained as an expert in relation to nine court cases: one involving allegations of voting machine failure in Florida (Jennings v. Elections Can-vassing Commission of State of Florida), four involving claims of minority vote dilution in California (Avitia v. Tulare Local Healthcare District; Satorre et al. v. San Mateo County Board of Supervisors et al.; Ladonna Yumori-Kaku v. City of Santa Clara); and Pico Neighborhood Association and Maria Loya v. City of Santa Monica), one involving claims of minority vote dilution in Texas (Perez, et al. v. Abbott, et al.), one involving claims of minority vote dilution in North Carolina (Common Cause, et al. v. Lewis), one involving claims of minority vote dilution in Washington (Aguilar v. Yakima County), and one involving the compactness of legislative districts in Illinois (Radogno et al v. Illinois State Board of Elections, et al.). I testified as an expert in the cases of Ladonna Yumori-Kaku v. City of Santa Clara and Pico Neighborhood Association and Maria Loya v. City of Santa Monica.
3. I am being compensated at a rate of $550/hour.
4. In the attached tables and spreadsheet, at Exhibit B, I present summaries of the results of North Carolina general and Democratic primary election contests held in 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020. In particular, I consider how each contest would have turned out if only the votes of those residing in each current and in each enacted State House, State Senate, and Congressional district had been counted.
5. This exercise allows us to consider the voting strength of the Black voters in each existing and proposed legislative district.

6. For each of these "reconstituted" election contest in each district, I used weighted ecological regression (ER) to estimate the degree of Black voter cohesion and non-Black voter crossover (hereafter "white crossover"). In some cases, the number of voting precincts available for the analysis was too small or Black share of voters was too small to meaningfully apply ER. I omit such contest-district combinations.
7. I further narrow the set of contests to partisan races for executive and legislative offices. And, I only "reconstitute" a given contest within a given district if the data indicate that at least 80 percent of the voters in the given election who resided the district, voted in the given contest.
8. I identify the "Black-preferred" candidate in each contest as the candidate estimated by ER to have received the largest share of Black votes in the given contest or, in the case of single-candidate elections, that candidate if they are a Democrat (single-candidate elections without a Democrat are considered not to have a Black-preferred candidate).
9. I also note whether each candidate is Black and whether each contest includes at least one Black candidate.
10. The tabulations and estimates are based on datasets that I downloaded from the North Carolina Board of Elections (SBOE) website with the exception of a crosswalk between the current and enacted legislative districts and voting precincts used in the 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 elections and estimates of Black Voting-Age population (VAP) by district that were provided by Clark Bensen of POLIDATA.
11. The race of each candidate was determined by looking up each candidate listed in the SBOE's candidate list datasets on the North Carolina voter list (also from the SBOE). In some cases, a candidate's race could not be determined because: their legal name matched no voter on the voter list, no race was indicated on the voter list, or they were matched to several voters of different races on the voter list. In total, over 1,800 Black candidates were identified (including many competing in contests not subsequently analyzed for the reasons described above).
12. The demographic composition of voters from each precinct needed to perform ER was derived by merging vote history records from the SBOE to the precinct election returns. Because some counties do not allocate "One Stop" and absentee votes back to precincts (and for other reasons), not all voters can be matched to a voting precinct and not all

precincts can be placed in legislative districts. Where One Stop and absentee ballots were allocated to regular voting precincts, the voting and demography within each precinct was broken down by voting method when performing ER. This is possible because the vote history records (which are used to estimate the fraction of voters in each precinct who were Black) are broken down by voting method (as sometimes are the election returns within each precinct). When a county reported One Stop or absentee votes without allocating them to precincts and where feasible, I aggregated the One Stop and absentee votes in the election returns and the One Stop and absentee voters into a single One Stop and a single absentee precinct. Given the need to break down the votes by legislative district, this was only feasible in counties that fall entirely within a single State House, State Senate, or Congressional district.

13. The attached tables summarize the reconstituted elections analysis. For each district, the tables show averages of many of the quantities described above as well as: the Black-preferred candidate "win rate" (the fraction of Black-preferred candidates who would have won if the contest had only been held in the given district); the percent of Black-preferred candidates who were Democrats; the average number of major-party candidates in the reconstituted contests; the average fraction of voters who were Black; and, an estimate of the average minimum fraction of those voting in the district that would have had to be Black in order for the Black-preferred candidate to expect to get at least 50 percent of the vote (based on the ER estimates and only applied in contests involving two major-party candidates).
14. The tables present separate results for primary and general elections. Separate tallies are also presented that include only those contests that included at least one Black candidate.
15. The attached spreadsheet *minority_preferred_candidates.csv* identifies the minority-preferred candidate in each of the reconstituted contests considered. It includes the following fields:
    a. *district*, an identifier of the district including its chamber, plan, and number in which the contest is reconstituted.
    b. *election_date*, the date of the election
    c. *election_type,* primary or general
    d. contest, the electoral contest being reconstituted.

e. *minority_preferred_candidate,* the name of the minority preferred candidate (as identified by ER).

f. *minority_preferred_party,* the party of the minority-preferred candidate.

g. *cand_is_black,* whether the Black-preferred candidate is Black.

h. *has_minority_candidate,* whether the contest included a Black candidate.

i. *wonlost,* identifies the Black-preferred candidate as a "winner" or "loser" of the reconstituted election (highest-vote getter).

j. *pct_vote,* percent of vote won by the Black-preferred candidate in the reconstituted contest.

k. *ER.pct_black,* average share of voters in the ER analyses who were Black.

l. *ER.black_cohesion,* weighted Ecological Regression (ER) estimates of support for Black-preferred candidate among Black voters in the reconstituted election.

m. *ER.white_crossover,* weighted Ecological Regression (ER) estimates of support for the Black-preferred candidate among white (non-Black) voters in the reconstituted election.

n. *ER.black_pct_needed_for_majority,* Uses the ER estimates to infer the minimum share of the voters in the reconstituted election that would generate majority support for the minority-preferred candidate in the reconstituted election. Note that this is the estimated average percentage of Black voters in the contest needed for a majority, not the percentage of Black VAP existing in the district.

o. *Coverage,* the ratio of the total votes cast in the reconstituted election to the most votes cast in any reconstituted contest in the same district and election expressed as a percentage. In many cases, eligibility to participate in a particular contest will only partially overlap with the district in which the reconstituted election is considered. Because the area of overlap may encompass a set of voters who are not representative of the voters a district as whole when the overlap is small, I consider only contests for which this overlap or "coverage" exceeds 80 percent (for example, this include contests for statewide offices).

p. *number_of_candidates,* The number of major-party candidates in the contest.

16. This analysis goes beyond Professor Dunchin's analysis to consider not just 4 primary and 4 general election contests, but over 420 individual contests including over 190 that

include a Black candidate. These contests include both endogenous and exogenous contests for legislative and executive offices ranging from a Recorder of Deeds to the US President. The analysis also expands on Professor Duchin's analysis by estimating the rate of support of each candidate in each contest within each district to capture variation in Black voter cohesion and white cross-over voting across the districts (whereas Professor Duchin estimates a single rate of cohesion and of cross-over voting statewide for the 8 contests that she considers).

17. Using (without endorsing) Professor Duchin's definition of "effective" Black districts (greater than 75 percent Black preferred win rate in races with minority candidates combined with greater than 25 percent Black voting-age population), an analysis of this larger set of election contests identifies as "effective" the enacted districts that Professor Duchin enumerates (with the exceptions of State Senate District 12 and State House District Districts 066 which do not exhibit a 75 percent win rate in the larger dataset and House District 039 for which too few data precinct points were available to apply ER to identify the Black-preferred candidates). It also identifies as "effective" by Duchin's definition as many as seven additional State House districts and four additional State Senate districts. *See* Table 1.
18. Relaxing Professor Duchin's requirement that an "effective" district must have more than 25 percent Black voting-age population, my more expansive analysis suggests the existence of one additional "effective" Congressional district, four additional "effective" State House districts, and two additional "effective" State Senate districts.
19. Further relaxing the definition of "effective" to those districts in which the Black preferred win rate exceeds 66 percent suggests the existence of seven more "effective" State Senate districts and 16 additional "effective" State House districts. *See* Table 1.
20. Increasing the set of contests considered to include contests without Black candidates further lifts the number of apparently "effective" districts under Duchin's definition.
21. Only two of the "effective" districts (by any of the above definitions) are majority Black VAP. Districts with Black-preferred win rates of over 75 percent in the reconstituted elections include two districts with Black voting-age populations below 7 percent and five districts with Black voting-age populations below 20 percent.


Table 1 – Duchin "Effective" Black Districts in Enacted Plans

	House	Senate	Congress
Number of "Effective" Black Districts in enacted plans using Duchin definition	29	12	2
Number of "Effective" Black Districts in enacted plans using Duchin definition but relaxing 25% BVAP and applying win rate of 66%	49	21	5
Number of "Effective" Black Districts in enacted plans using Duchin definition but relaxing 25% BVAP and applying win rate of 50%	88	40	11

22. In no district, enacted or in 2020, does it appear that a majority Black VAP is needed for that district to regularly generate majority support for minority-preferred candidates in the reconstituted elections.
23. Black voters constitute a powerful political force in North Carolina electoral politics because of their numerical size and highly cohesive voting as well as the sizeable white (non-Black) cross-over vote for Black-preferred candidates that exists particularly in areas of the state in which Black voters are concentrated. As Professor Duchin documents, contemporary Black voting power in North Carolina is such that it is now even possible to draw a set of districts in which Black voters would have effective control (by her definition) of a share of the state's legislative districts that meaningfully exceeds the size of the Black population.
24. I reviewed the "Addendum to Primary Expert Report of Jonathan C. Mattingly, Ph.D." Dr. Mattingly appears to have reconstituted election results in different county cluster options and identified Black VAP in those same clusters. Dr. Mattingly's Addendum is not a racially polarized voting analysis.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the statements and opinions provided in this report are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.



Jeffrey B. Lewis, Ph.D.

December 28, 2021
Date

Exhibit A

Jeffrey B. Lewis

Political Science Department
Bunche Hall, UCLA
Los Angeles CA 90095
310.206.1307

2330 Pelham Ave.
Los Angeles CA 90064
310.467.7685
email:jblewis@ucla.edu

Education

Massachusetts Institute of Technology — Cambridge, MA
Ph.D., Department of Political Science, February 1998.

Wesleyan University — Middletown, CT
B.A., Political Science and Economics with Honors in General Scholarship. June 1990.

Academic Experience

University of California Los Angeles — Los Angeles, CA
Professor of Political Science. July 2012–present.

University of California Los Angeles — Los Angeles, CA
Director, Center for American Politics and Public Policy. July 2017–July 2018.

University of California Los Angeles — Los Angeles, CA
Chair, Department of Political Science. July 2011–June 2017.

University of California Los Angeles — Los Angeles, CA
Associate Professor of Political Science. July 2007–June 2012.

University of California Los Angeles — Los Angeles, CA
Assistant Professor of Political Science. July 2001–June 2007.

Dartmouth College,
Rockefeller Center for the Social Sciences — Hanover, NH
Research Fellow. July 2000–June 2001.

Princeton University — Princeton, NJ
Assistant Professor of Politics and Public Affairs. July 1997–July 2001.

Teaching Interests

Quantitative methods
Elections & Direct democracy
California politics

Grants & Awards

Fellow, Society for Political Methodology, Elected 2019.

Research grant, "For Modernizing the VoteView Website And Software." Madison Initiative. William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (Grant #2016-3870). January 2016. $200k.

Conference/training grant, "Support for Conferences and Mentoring of Women and Underrepresented Groups in Political Methodology," National Science Foundation (NSF-SBE-1628102 with Kosuke Imai), $308k.

Research grant. "Collaborative Research on Dynamic Models of Roll Call Voting." National Science Foundation (NSF-SBS-0611974, with Keith Poole and Howard Rosenthal). July 2006. $394k total ($182k UCLA).

Brian P. Copenhaver Award for Innovation in Teaching with Technology, College of Letters and Sciences, University of California Los Angeles. 2007.

Warren Miller Prize for best article in volume 11 of *Political Analysis*. 2003 (article co-authored with Ken Schultz).

Research grant. "Empirical Testing of Crisis Bargaining Models." National Science Foundation (NSF-SBS-0241647, with Ken Schultz). February 2003. $200k.

Research grant, "Term limits in California." John Randolf and Dora Haynes Foundation, May 2000. $27k.

Research grant, Princeton University Committee on Research in the Humanities and Social Sciences, May 1998.

Harvard/MIT Research Training Group for Positive Political Economy Dissertation Fellowship, 1995-1996.

Sigma Xi Honorary Society, Wesleyan University, 1990.

White Prize for excellence in economics, Wesleyan University, 1990.

Ford Foundation Summer Research Fellowship, Wesleyan University, 1988.

Publications

"The new Voteview.com: preserving and continuing Keith Poole?s infrastructure for scholars, students and observers of Congress," *Public Choice*. 2018, 176:17–32 (with Adam Boche, Aaron Rudkin, and Luke Sonnet).

"Recovering a Basic Space from Issue Scales in R." *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2016, 69(7) (Keith T. Poole, Howard Rosenthal, James Lo, Royce Carroll).

"The Structure of Utility in Spatial Models of Voting," *American Journal of Political Science*. 2013, 56(4):1008–1028 (with Royce Carroll, James Lo, Keith T. Poole, and Howard Rosenthal).

"Economic Crisis, Iraq, and Race: A Study of the 2008 Presidential Election." (*Election Law Journal*. 2010, 9(1): 41–62 (with Michael Herron and Seth Hill).

"Comparing NOMINATE and IDEAL: Points of difference and Monte Carlo tests." *Legislative Studies Quarterly*. 2009, 34:555–592 (with Royce Carroll, James Lo, Keith T. Poole, and Howard Rosenthal).

"Measuring Bias and Uncertainty in DW-NOMINATE Ideal Point Estimates via the Parametric Bootstrap", *Political Analysis*. 2009, 17(3):261–275 (with Royce Carrol, James Lo, Keith T. Poole, and Howard Rosenthal).

"poLCA: An R Package for Polytomous Variable Latent Class Analysis." *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2011, 42(10) (with Drew A. Linzer).

"Scaling Roll Call Votes with Wnominate in R." *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2011, 42(14) (with Keith Poole, James Lo, and Royce Carroll).

"Ballot Formats, Touchscreens, and Undervotes: A Study of the 2006 Midterm Elections in Florida." *Election Law Journal*. 2008. 7(1):25–47 (with Laurin Frisana, Michael C. Herron, and James Honaker).

"An Estimate of Risk Aversion in the U.S. Electorate." *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*. 2007, 2(2):139–154. (with Adam J. Berinsky).

"Ideological Adaptation? The Survival Instinct of Threatened Legislators." *Journal of Politics*. 2007, 69(3):823–843 (with Thad Kousser and Seth Masket).

"Did Ralph Nader Spoil a Gore Presidency? A Ballot-Level Study of Green and Reform Party Voters in the 2000 Presidential Election." *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*. 2007, 2(3):205–226 (with Michael Herron).

"A Return to Normalcy? Revisiting the Effects of Term Limits on Competitiveness and Spending in California Assembly Elections" *State Politics and Policy Quarterly*. 2007, 7(1):20–38 (with Seth Masket).

"Learning about Learning: A Response to Wand." *Political Analysis*. 2006, 14: 121-129 (with Kenneth Schultz).

"Estimating Regression Models in Which the Dependent Variable Is Based on Estimates" *Political Analysis*. 2005, 13(4) (with Drew A. Linzer)

"Beyond the Median: Voter Preferences, District Heterogeneity, and Representation." *Journal of Political Economy*. 2004, 106(6):1364–1383 (with Liz Gerber).

"Measuring Bias and Uncertainty in Ideal Point Estimates via the Parametric Bootstrap." *Political Analysis*. Spring 2004. 12:105–127 (with Keith Poole)

"Extending King's Ecological Inference Model to Multiple Elections using Markov Chain Monte Carlo," Chapter in Gary King, Ori Rosen, and Martin Tanner, Eds. *Ecological Inference: New Methodological Strategies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2004.

"Revealing Preferences: Empirical Estimation of a Crisis Bargaining Game with Incomplete Information." *Political Analysis*. 2003, 11(4):345–365 (with Kenneth A. Schultz).

"Understanding King's Ecological Inference Model: A Method-of-moments Approach," *Historical Methods*. 2001, 34(4):170–188.

"Estimating Voter Preference Distributions from Individual-Level Voting Data," *Political Analysis*. 2001, 9(3):275-297.

"No Evidence on Directional vs. Proximity Voting," *Political Analysis*. 1999, 8(1):21-33 (with Gary King).

"Reevaluating the Effect of N-Ach (Need for Achievement) on Economic Growth," *World Development*. 1991, 19(9):1269–1274.

Other Publications

Comment on "McCue, K. F. (2001), 'The Statistical Foundations of the EI method, *The American Statistician*. 2002, 55(3):250.

"Veteran's Adjustment." Chapter in *After the Cold War: Living with Lower Defense Spending*, Congress of the United States, Office of Technology Assessment, OTA-ITE-524. 1992.

Working Papers

Has Joint Scaling Solved the Achen Objection to Miller and Stokes? (with Christopher Tausanovitch, under revision).

Residual Votes in the 2008 Minnesota Senate Race (with Jonathan W. Chipman and Michael C. Herron)

From Punchcards to Touchscreens: Some Evidence from Pasco County, Florida on the Effects of Changing Voting Technology (with Michael C. Herron)

Voting in Low Information Elections: Bundling and Non-Independence of Voter Choice (with Liz Gerber, April 2002)

Dangers of Measurement Error in Non-linear Models: The Case of Directional versus Proximity Voting (April 2002)

A Reply to McCue's Reply to My Comment on "The Statistical Foundations of the EI method"

PhD Students

Committees Chaired or Co-chaired: Ryan Enos (Harvard), Seth Hill (UCSD), James Lo (USC), stonegarden grindlife.
Currently charing or co-chairing five committees.
Committee member on over 35 PhD students (including as an outsider member in Economics and Statistics).

Conference Presentations

American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, September 2016.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2014.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2011.
Summer Meetings of the Political Methodology Society, New Haven, 2009

Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2006.
American Political Science Association, Chicago, September 2004.
American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, September 2003.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2003.
Summer Meeting of the Political Methodology Society, Seattle, 2002
Annual Meetings of the Public Choice Society, Houston, San Diego, 2002.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2002.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2001.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 2000.
Summer Meeting of the Political Methodology Society, College Station Texas, 1999.
Annual Meetings of the Social Science History Association, Chicago, November 1998.
American Political Science Association, Boston, September 1998.
Annual Meetings of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 1997.
Annual Meetings of the American Political Science Association, San Francisco,August 1996.
Annual Meetings of the Public Choice Society, Houston, April 1996.
American Political Science Association, Atlanta, August 1989.

Software

Voteview: US Roll call votes and legislator ideologies, 1789–2021: Provides interactive search and visualization of every roll call vote ever taken in the United States Congress. See `https://voteview.com`.

WNominate (v1.2): R package implementing Poole and Rosenthal's W-Nominate estimator co-authored with Keith Poole and James Lo. (`http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wnominate/index.html`)

PoLCA (v1.4.1): R package for Polytomous Variable Latent Class Analysis. Co-authored with Drew Linzer. (`http://dlinzer.github.io/poLCA/`)

Data collections

US Congressional roll call voting and related data, 1789–2021: Provides data on every roll call vote ever taken in the United States Congress. See `https://voteview.com`.

US Congressional District Boundaries, 1789–2017. Detailed GIS descriptions of every district in US history (with Brandon DeVine (UCLA), Lincoln Pritcher (UCLA), and Ken Martis (UWV)). See `http://cdmaps.polisci.ucla.edu/`.

109th – 114th Congress Data Project. UCLA. Webpage allows download of up to the hour roll call voting matrices for the current US Congress [Now included in the Voteview project].

California Roll Call Project. UCLA. Collection of roll call voting data from the California Assembly from 1850 to the present. Ongoing (with Seth Masket).

Crisis Bargaining Data Base. UCLA. Codings of post-World War I international crises outcomes in terms of a simple game theoretic model of coercive diplomacy (supported by NSF-SBS-0241647) (with Ken Schultz).

Record of American Democracy Project Harvard University. One of several project leaders. Summer 1995.

University Service

Chair: Executive Committee, Faculty of Letters and Science, UCLA (September 2019–Present)

Vice Chair: Executive Committee, Faculty of Letters and Science, UCLA (2018–2019)

Member: Executive Committee, Faculty of Letters and Science, UCLA (2017–2018); Council on Academic Planning and Budget, UCLA (2019–Present); Classroom Advisory Committee, UCLA (2018–2020); Pathways to Commencement Task Force, UCLA (2013–2014).

Professional Experience

President: Society for Political Methodology (2015–2017).

Vice President/President elect: Society for Political Methodology (2013–2015).

Co-editor: *The American Political Science Review* July 2008–July 2011; *The Political Methodologist*, the APSA Methodology section newsletter. 2004–2007 (with Adam Berinsky and Michael Herron).

Editorial Board Member: *Journal of Politics*, 2005–2008; *Political Analysis* 2005–present.

Panelist: National Science Foundation ad hoc peer review panels (June 2004, February 2008, October 2010); National Science Foundation Political Science Panel (2009–2010).

Departmental review visiting committee member: University of Colorado, 2013; London School of Economics, 2015; University of Michigan, 2015.

Nominations committee member: American Political Science Association, 2011–12, 2012–13.

Program committee member: American Political Science Association Annual Meetings 2003, Political Methodology division head.

Anonymous Referee: *American Political Science Review*, *American Journal of Political Science*, *Journal of Law and Economics*, *World Politics*, *Political Analysis*, *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, *Sociological Methods Review*,

Journal of Politics, *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, and *Political Behavior*, *Perspectives on Politics*, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *Journal of Political Economy*.

Discussant/Panel Chair Political Methodology Conference (1997, 2004, 2005, 2015), Midwest Political Science Association meetings (1998, 2005, 2006). American Political Science Association meetings (1998, 2002, 2003, 2006, 2010, 2016). Public Choice Society (1996, 2002)

Work Experience

Polimetrix Palo Alto, CA
Director of Statistics, 2003–2007.

Office of Technology Assessment, U.S. Congress Washington, DC
Research Analyst, Industry Technology and Employment program. October 1990 – August 1992.

Selected Invited Lectures

American Politics Seminar, Political Science Department, Columbia University, 1998

Political Economy Seminar, Political Science Department, Michigan University, 1999

Political Economy Seminar, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, 1999

Political Economy Seminar, Politics & Economics Departments, Princeton University, 1998

Southern California Methods Program, UC Riverside, November 2001.

Ideal-Point Estimation Conference, Washington University St. Louis, September 2002.

American Politics Seminar, Political Science Department, Yale University, 2003.

Political Economy Seminar, Politics & Economics Departments, Princeton University, Spring 2004.

Political Economy Seminar, Politics Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Spring 2004.

Empirical Implications of Theoretical Models Program, Washington University, St. Louis, June 2004.

Multilevel Methods Conference, Center for the Study of Democratic Politics, Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, October 2004.

Empirical Implications of Theoretical Models Program, University of California Berkeley (one week module co-taught with Kenneth A. Schultz). June 2005.

Roll Call Voting Conference, Department of Political Science, University of California, San Diego. May 2006.

Measures of Legislators' Policy Preferences and the Dimensionality of Policy Spaces Conference Department of Political Science, Washington University, St. Louis. November 2007.

Causal Inference. Business School. University of Southern California. June 2010.

How to Scrape Web Pages. Summer Methods Program. Department of Sociology. Stanford University, July 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015.

Lectures on Ecological Inference. Summer Methods Training Program, Academia Senica, Taipei, Taiwan. July 2010.

Applied Statistics Workshop. Department of Government. Harvard University, April 2011.

Methods Workshop. Department of Political Science, Stanford University. June 2011.

Conference on "Political Representation: Fifty Years After Miller & Stokes." Vanderbilt University, March 2013

Center for the Study of Democratic Politics (CSDP) Workshop, Princeton University, April 2015.

Ideal Point Models in Political Science Workshop, MIT, April 2015.

Interdisciplinary Seminar in Quantitative Methods (ISQM) Workshop, University of Michigan, September 2015.

Political Economy Seminar, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, April 2019,

Exhibit B

Table 1: General Elections

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
CD20-001	41.9	17	100	2.0	100	56	39	100	24	35
CD20-002	18.2	35	100	2.0	43	49	14	100	41	15
CD20-003	18.7	18	100	2.0	0	38	18	99	24	35
CD20-004	24.4	17	100	2.0	100	68	22	94	40	19
CD20-005	10.7	16	100	2.0	0	34	10	100	25	33
CD20-006	32.0	17	100	2.0	100	60	30	100	42	14
CD20-007	15.4	17	100	2.0	0	42	13	93	33	29
CD20-008	25.9	19	100	2.0	11	48	29	100	27	32
CD20-009	17.4	18	100	2.0	0	44	15	100	32	27
CD20-010	10.1	17	100	2.0	0	32	11	100	26	33
CD20-012	34.1	22	100	1.9	100	72	39	100	54	6
CD20-013	13.9	17	100	2.0	0	33	12	100	23	35
CD21-001	22.4	19	100	2.0	0	39	19	97	25	35
CD21-002	39.1	16	100	2.0	94	55	35	100	25	33
CD21-003	15.7	17	100	2.0	0	43	14	95	33	27
CD21-004	27.5	16	100	2.0	38	49	34	100	27	31
CD21-005	23.2	35	100	2.0	46	50	18	100	39	17
CD21-006	20.4	17	100	2.0	100	66	17	100	42	13
CD21-007	15.3	17	100	2.0	0	39	13	100	27	31
CD21-008	16.5	17	100	2.0	0	40	14	100	29	30
CD21-009	36.3	22	100	1.9	100	75	42	100	58	2
CD21-010	16.2	16	100	2.0	0	35	12	100	24	34
CD21-011	19.2	16	100	2.0	0	37	16	100	27	31
CD21-012	17.1	16	100	2.0	0	43	18	100	33	25
CD21-013	14.8	16	100	2.0	0	38	14	100	29	30
LD20-001	36.6	19	100	2.0	21	48	28	100	20	37
LD20-002	25.7	20	100	2.0	5	43	25	100	25	33
LD20-003	19.2	24	100	2.0	4	41	19	98	28	31
LD20-004	20.6	20	100	2.0	0	38	17	100	17	39

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-005	41.0	20	100	2.0	100	56	34	100	20	37
LD20-006	7.1	21	100	2.0	0	36	7	84	28	43
LD20-007	22.4	27	100	2.0	15	46	24	100	29	29
LD20-008	42.5	23	100	2.0	65	54	35	100	30	31
LD20-009	27.9	23	100	2.0	9	45	21	100	31	31
LD20-010	22.0	20	100	2.0	0	37	21	100	17	40
LD20-011	15.4	37	100	2.0	89	57	13	100	50	5
LD20-012	36.9	23	100	2.0	39	49	38	100	18	39
LD20-013	7.9	11	100	2.0	0	30	9	95	22	39
LD20-014	17.8	14	100	2.0	0	40	19	100	26	33
LD20-015	10.7	14	100	2.0	0	32	12	100	22	36
LD20-016	18.3	22	100	2.0	0	37	17	95	25	36
LD20-017	10.1	33	100	2.0	0	37	10	88	31	33
LD20-018	21.1	24	100	1.9	100	66	21	100	56	5
LD20-019	6.3	8	100	2.0	0	39	6	100	35	22
LD20-020	5.5	1	100	1.0	100	100	3	.	.	.
LD20-021	37.4	22	100	2.0	36	47	32	99	23	36
LD20-022	29.3	19	100	2.0	11	45	29	100	19	38
LD20-023	50.6	19	100	2.0	100	62	37	100	18	39
LD20-024	38.2	21	100	2.0	95	55	36	100	26	32
LD20-025	42.6	13	100	2.0	15	43	34	100	18	39
LD20-026	16.5	25	100	2.0	0	32	11	100	24	34
LD20-027	51.6	23	100	1.9	100	67	45	100	29	35
LD20-028	15.8	23	100	2.0	0	29	10	100	21	37
LD20-029	37.2	26	100	1.8	100	82	40	100	70	0
LD20-030	28.2	19	100	1.9	100	60	25	100	47	12
LD20-031	39.8	24	100	1.8	100	80	48	100	62	1
LD20-032	48.1	25	100	1.9	100	67	50	100	35	29
LD20-033	39.9	36	100	2.0	100	64	37	100	43	12

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-034	11.5	36	100	2.0	19	43	6	100	39	16
LD20-035	18.0	37	57	2.0	43	45	11	66	43	31
LD20-036	7.5	14	50	2.0	50	52	6	65	52	16
LD20-037	11.3	36	100	2.0	0	36	9	100	30	28
LD20-038	39.4	43	100	1.9	100	77	42	98	62	2
LD20-040	11.3	38	100	2.0	8	40	7	100	35	22
LD20-041	7.1	13	92	2.0	46	50	6	88	47	8
LD20-042	38.1	25	100	1.9	100	71	49	100	40	24
LD20-043	33.9	23	100	2.0	30	50	29	100	30	32
LD20-044	48.1	26	100	1.9	100	75	54	100	45	19
LD20-045	31.4	26	100	2.0	65	52	32	99	30	32
LD20-046	25.0	21	100	2.0	29	45	27	98	25	33
LD20-047	23.8	30	100	1.9	47	55	24	98	42	25
LD20-048	35.5	19	100	2.0	100	56	40	100	28	30
LD20-049	12.3	36	100	2.0	61	52	7	100	49	7
LD20-050	17.5	17	100	2.0	12	43	23	89	28	34
LD20-052	11.0	26	100	2.0	0	29	10	99	22	36
LD20-054	12.9	30	53	2.0	3	44	9	91	39	21
LD20-055	26.2	20	100	2.0	0	43	23	100	23	35
LD20-056	10.2	36	100	1.7	100	79	10	100	76	0
LD20-057	39.7	30	100	1.9	100	66	39	99	45	17
LD20-058	43.1	29	100	1.9	100	73	44	98	54	6
LD20-059	28.6	26	100	2.0	0	39	23	100	21	36
LD20-060	34.6	26	100	2.0	96	60	36	100	36	21
LD20-061	40.0	30	100	1.9	100	70	32	100	55	6
LD20-062	13.7	28	100	2.0	0	36	11	100	28	30
LD20-063	24.8	28	100	2.0	39	49	24	100	33	25
LD20-064	15.1	27	100	2.0	0	40	14	100	30	29
LD20-065	19.6	26	100	2.0	0	36	19	99	22	37

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-066	24.0	18	100	2.0	11	44	20	100	25	33
LD20-067	7.9	23	100	2.0	0	23	6	100	17	39
LD20-068	8.4	24	100	2.0	0	35	8	100	30	28
LD20-069	11.6	25	100	2.0	0	35	11	100	27	32
LD20-070	7.2	30	100	2.0	0	24	6	100	19	38
LD20-071	40.3	25	100	2.0	100	73	46	99	50	4
LD20-072	34.4	25	100	2.0	100	71	34	100	56	1
LD20-073	14.6	21	100	2.0	0	36	19	100	28	31
LD20-074	11.4	26	100	2.0	0	45	11	100	38	19
LD20-075	15.3	26	100	2.0	0	38	15	100	27	31
LD20-076	21.6	23	100	2.0	0	41	20	100	26	32
LD20-077	7.3	20	100	2.0	0	26	6	100	19	38
LD20-078	6.1	1	100	2.0	0	24	7	100	19	38
LD20-079	22.3	23	100	2.2	4	37	16	98	19	39
LD20-080	9.5	24	100	2.0	0	23	8	100	16	40
LD20-081	9.6	25	100	2.0	0	26	8	100	20	38
LD20-082	20.2	13	100	1.9	8	45	18	100	34	30
LD20-083	19.5	24	100	2.0	46	48	12	100	26	32
LD20-084	14.1	26	100	2.0	0	32	13	100	22	36
LD20-086	6.0	28	100	2.0	4	36	6	100	31	27
LD20-088	16.0	19	100	1.9	100	59	18	100	51	4
LD20-089	7.9	24	100	2.0	0	28	7	100	22	36
LD20-091	4.8	12	100	2.0	0	23	6	100	17	40
LD20-092	40.2	24	100	1.8	100	76	46	100	55	7
LD20-095	9.6	24	100	2.0	0	33	8	100	28	31
LD20-096	8.9	24	100	2.0	0	36	7	100	30	28
LD20-098	9.2	27	100	2.0	7	43	9	100	38	20
LD20-099	36.0	20	100	2.0	100	64	42	100	38	19
LD20-100	30.5	24	100	1.8	100	76	35	100	63	0

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-101	48.0	27	100	1.9	100	78	55	100	51	13
LD20-102	33.8	25	100	1.8	100	82	39	99	71	0
LD20-103	14.2	21	100	2.0	19	48	13	100	40	17
LD20-104	12.0	25	100	2.0	20	46	10	100	41	16
LD20-105	12.9	20	100	2.0	50	50	13	100	42	14
LD20-106	46.3	30	100	1.7	100	87	59	99	71	1
LD20-107	53.6	26	100	1.8	100	82	57	100	60	3
LD20-108	19.5	31	100	2.0	6	40	17	100	28	32
LD20-109	15.3	30	100	2.0	7	39	12	100	31	30
LD20-110	14.6	19	100	2.0	0	28	13	100	18	39
LD20-111	22.8	29	100	2.0	3	41	23	100	24	35
LD20-112	9.2	36	100	2.0	0	31	8	99	25	34
LD20-115	6.9	12	100	2.0	100	61	6	100	49	6
LD20-116	7.2	10	100	2.0	60	53	7	100	49	5
LD21-001	17.7	21	100	2.0	0	38	15	93	25	37
LD21-002	23.7	22	100	2.0	9	43	23	99	26	32
LD21-003	19.4	22	100	2.0	5	41	17	99	29	30
LD21-004	24.9	17	100	2.0	0	35	20	100	19	38
LD21-005	37.5	20	100	2.0	85	53	32	100	19	38
LD21-007	22.2	27	100	2.0	15	46	23	100	30	29
LD21-008	44.2	23	100	2.0	87	57	37	100	32	29
LD21-009	24.6	24	100	2.0	4	41	19	97	28	36
LD21-010	33.1	23	100	2.0	4	41	28	99	19	38
LD21-011	14.2	36	100	2.0	81	55	11	100	49	5
LD21-012	37.7	18	100	2.0	11	47	34	100	19	38
LD21-013	8.3	21	100	2.0	0	30	7	96	24	36
LD21-014	17.8	14	100	2.0	0	40	19	100	26	33
LD21-015	10.6	14	100	2.0	0	32	13	100	22	36
LD21-016	13.2	25	100	2.0	0	34	14	93	24	38

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-017	10.3	33	100	2.0	0	38	10	88	32	32
LD21-018	21.6	24	100	1.9	100	66	22	100	57	5
LD21-019	5.1	8	100	2.0	0	37	5	100	33	25
LD21-020	5.3	1	100	1.0	100	100	3	.	.	.
LD21-021	10.8	35	100	2.0	0	38	7	92	34	28
LD21-022	27.7	20	100	2.0	0	41	26	100	19	38
LD21-023	52.5	19	100	2.0	100	62	39	100	17	39
LD21-024	36.6	21	100	2.0	86	54	36	100	26	32
LD21-025	40.0	21	100	2.0	33	46	29	100	18	39
LD21-027	50.8	21	100	2.0	100	64	48	100	27	31
LD21-028	16.2	22	100	2.0	0	28	11	100	19	38
LD21-029	38.3	24	100	1.8	100	80	44	100	65	0
LD21-030	33.0	23	100	1.8	100	81	35	100	71	0
LD21-031	38.1	5	100	1.0	100	100	45	.	.	.
LD21-032	42.4	19	100	1.9	100	63	43	100	35	31
LD21-033	29.8	43	100	1.9	100	77	30	100	67	0
LD21-034	18.2	36	100	2.0	56	51	13	100	44	11
LD21-036	8.0	9	100	2.0	0	36	7	100	31	28
LD21-038	43.6	2	100	1.0	100	100	47	.	.	.
LD21-040	10.7	23	100	2.0	9	44	6	100	41	15
LD21-042	38.1	25	100	1.9	100	71	49	100	40	24
LD21-043	34.8	23	100	2.0	43	51	30	100	31	31
LD21-044	48.1	26	100	1.9	100	75	54	100	45	19
LD21-045	30.3	25	100	2.0	32	49	31	99	26	33
LD21-046	28.5	21	100	2.0	14	44	27	100	22	36
LD21-047	21.5	29	100	1.9	48	57	23	96	45	22
LD21-048	35.5	19	100	2.0	100	56	40	100	28	30
LD21-049	13.0	36	100	2.0	47	50	8	100	46	9
LD21-050	17.9	17	100	2.0	12	44	25	90	28	34

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-052	22.3	20	100	2.0	20	46	22	99	24	34
LD21-054	11.1	31	58	2.0	6	44	10	86	39	23
LD21-055	24.0	20	100	2.0	0	42	21	100	24	34
LD21-056	10.1	36	100	1.7	100	79	10	100	76	0
LD21-057	39.7	30	100	1.9	100	66	39	99	45	17
LD21-058	42.8	29	100	1.9	100	72	44	99	52	8
LD21-059	26.6	26	100	2.0	0	37	20	100	21	36
LD21-060	34.9	26	100	2.0	100	61	37	100	37	20
LD21-061	40.8	30	100	1.9	100	70	34	100	55	6
LD21-062	13.3	28	100	2.0	0	35	10	100	28	30
LD21-063	24.3	29	100	2.0	24	48	22	100	34	25
LD21-064	15.5	28	100	2.0	0	40	14	100	30	29
LD21-065	18.9	26	100	2.0	0	36	19	99	22	36
LD21-066	27.2	35	100	2.0	66	53	22	100	39	17
LD21-067	13.0	21	100	2.0	0	31	13	100	21	36
LD21-068	8.1	24	100	2.0	0	35	7	100	30	28
LD21-069	11.6	21	100	2.0	0	33	10	100	26	33
LD21-070	7.0	30	100	2.0	0	24	6	100	19	38
LD21-071	39.5	24	100	2.0	100	71	45	98	49	4
LD21-072	33.7	24	100	2.0	100	69	32	100	54	1
LD21-073	17.0	13	100	2.0	0	40	12	100	26	33
LD21-074	11.3	26	100	2.0	0	43	10	100	36	22
LD21-075	15.3	26	100	2.0	0	38	15	100	27	31
LD21-076	20.4	24	100	2.0	0	39	19	100	25	33
LD21-077	5.5	19	100	2.0	0	26	6	100	19	38
LD21-078	5.5	1	100	2.0	0	26	5	92	23	39
LD21-079	16.9	21	100	2.0	0	38	12	90	27	37
LD21-080	9.4	24	100	2.0	0	24	9	100	17	40
LD21-081	9.6	25	100	2.0	0	26	9	100	20	38

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-082	21.0	25	100	2.0	4	39	16	100	28	34
LD21-083	11.9	18	100	2.0	0	28	8	100	22	36
LD21-084	16.0	26	100	2.0	0	34	15	100	23	35
LD21-086	6.1	28	100	2.0	4	35	6	100	31	28
LD21-088	23.3	19	100	1.9	100	64	23	100	53	5
LD21-089	6.7	24	100	2.0	0	26	6	100	21	36
LD21-091	14.1	19	100	2.0	0	37	19	100	31	28
LD21-092	39.1	24	100	1.8	100	74	44	100	54	10
LD21-095	7.6	24	100	2.0	0	32	5	100	28	30
LD21-096	9.9	25	100	2.0	0	36	9	100	30	28
LD21-098	7.5	27	100	2.0	0	41	7	100	37	20
LD21-099	46.8	28	100	1.8	100	82	57	100	59	2
LD21-100	31.0	24	100	1.8	100	76	35	100	63	0
LD21-101	46.8	26	100	1.8	100	76	52	100	51	13
LD21-102	37.6	26	100	1.8	100	84	44	99	73	0
LD21-103	11.8	22	100	2.0	0	43	12	99	35	23
LD21-104	8.5	26	100	2.0	0	45	7	100	41	15
LD21-105	12.2	24	100	2.0	42	49	13	100	42	13
LD21-106	43.4	27	100	1.8	100	83	54	99	64	1
LD21-107	47.4	23	100	1.8	100	77	49	100	55	9
LD21-108	19.3	30	100	2.0	3	38	16	100	26	32
LD21-109	16.8	17	100	1.9	6	42	14	100	33	31
LD21-110	15.7	19	100	2.0	0	34	19	100	19	38
LD21-111	16.4	19	100	2.0	0	31	14	100	20	38
LD21-112	27.8	22	100	1.9	100	74	37	100	59	1
LD21-113	6.8	18	100	2.0	0	33	6	96	27	33
LD21-114	7.6	13	100	1.9	100	67	7	100	66	0
LD21-115	6.3	7	100	2.0	29	49	5	100	46	7
SD20-001	24.6	20	100	2.0	0	45	19	96	25	34

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-002	14.1	21	100	2.0	0	35	15	99	25	34
SD20-003	42.2	18	100	2.0	100	55	42	100	23	35
SD20-004	46.5	19	100	2.0	100	60	40	100	24	35
SD20-005	34.8	20	100	2.0	100	54	29	100	26	32
SD20-006	14.5	22	100	2.0	0	34	16	98	21	38
SD20-007	33.6	19	100	2.0	5	47	36	100	20	38
SD20-008	12.6	18	100	2.0	0	38	11	86	31	34
SD20-009	12.0	22	100	1.9	64	57	10	100	52	8
SD20-010	20.1	20	100	2.0	0	39	20	100	18	39
SD20-011	27.5	20	100	2.0	25	48	22	100	22	35
SD20-012	18.8	22	100	2.0	0	42	16	100	24	34
SD20-013	25.1	20	100	2.0	40	47	25	99	27	31
SD20-014	32.1	37	100	2.0	100	65	31	100	49	6
SD20-015	18.1	35	100	2.0	37	45	12	100	38	19
SD20-016	12.9	37	100	2.0	46	50	9	100	45	10
SD20-017	8.8	36	100	2.0	0	39	7	90	35	27
SD20-018	24.4	20	100	2.0	5	44	22	100	28	30
SD20-019	33.6	22	100	2.0	77	53	32	100	32	30
SD20-020	35.4	24	100	1.8	100	78	40	100	64	1
SD20-021	41.2	20	100	2.0	100	67	50	100	34	24
SD20-022	30.0	16	100	2.0	38	49	27	100	29	29
SD20-023	11.1	25	56	1.9	56	56	10	82	52	14
SD20-024	22.0	22	100	2.0	0	44	20	100	31	28
SD20-025	23.4	19	100	2.0	5	43	24	100	23	35
SD20-026	12.6	25	100	2.0	0	26	8	100	19	38
SD20-027	24.0	26	100	2.0	23	44	20	100	30	28
SD20-028	43.9	28	100	1.9	100	72	42	100	53	8
SD20-029	10.5	22	100	2.0	0	28	9	100	19	39
SD20-030	14.7	19	100	2.0	0	33	17	99	21	37

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-031	22.0	19	100	2.0	5	45	23	100	30	29
SD20-032	23.9	23	100	2.0	96	57	23	100	45	10
SD20-033	14.4	18	100	2.0	0	31	12	100	22	36
SD20-034	10.1	21	100	2.0	0	31	10	100	25	33
SD20-035	12.2	22	100	2.0	0	36	12	100	28	31
SD20-036	17.9	24	100	2.0	0	41	12	100	24	34
SD20-037	13.8	17	100	2.0	65	50	12	100	43	11
SD20-038	42.8	26	100	1.8	100	82	50	99	65	0
SD20-039	21.3	18	100	2.0	100	57	24	100	44	11
SD20-040	38.7	24	100	1.8	100	77	48	100	56	6
SD20-041	29.1	21	100	2.0	100	58	30	100	40	16
SD20-042	7.9	18	100	2.0	0	31	6	100	26	33
SD20-043	17.4	29	100	2.0	7	38	15	100	28	33
SD20-044	13.1	22	100	2.0	0	32	16	100	21	37
SD20-046	5.5	1	100	2.0	0	28	5	100	26	32
SD20-049	6.4	11	100	2.0	100	61	6	100	53	2
SD21-001	28.8	18	100	2.0	22	47	20	96	24	35
SD21-002	29.3	16	100	2.0	12	46	23	100	26	32
SD21-003	25.9	18	100	2.0	0	43	26	100	23	35
SD21-004	34.1	17	100	2.0	35	49	33	100	23	35
SD21-005	39.3	19	100	2.0	100	57	31	100	26	33
SD21-006	13.8	22	100	2.0	0	32	15	99	20	38
SD21-007	11.5	22	100	1.9	64	57	10	100	52	8
SD21-008	13.9	17	100	2.0	0	38	11	85	31	35
SD21-009	23.1	16	100	2.0	0	38	20	99	23	36
SD21-010	15.9	22	100	2.0	0	38	10	100	21	36
SD21-011	35.7	17	100	2.0	71	52	32	100	27	31
SD21-012	19.6	22	100	2.0	0	42	16	100	24	34
SD21-013	20.5	18	100	2.0	0	43	22	99	28	31

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-014	41.5	35	100	2.0	100	63	39	100	39	17
SD21-015	13.9	36	100	2.0	67	54	9	100	50	6
SD21-016	8.1	36	100	2.0	33	46	6	100	43	12
SD21-017	10.1	36	100	2.0	0	36	8	99	31	28
SD21-018	21.5	36	100	2.0	53	51	16	100	41	14
SD21-019	45.0	24	100	1.9	100	70	46	100	44	23
SD21-020	26.2	21	81	2.0	81	55	16	88	48	8
SD21-021	18.3	18	100	2.0	0	39	21	99	23	35
SD21-022	33.2	18	100	2.0	100	62	30	100	46	9
SD21-023	16.0	16	100	2.0	100	65	24	84	35	26
SD21-024	28.4	17	100	2.0	59	53	31	98	30	29
SD21-025	17.1	22	100	2.0	5	40	16	100	29	30
SD21-026	16.8	22	100	2.0	0	34	16	100	22	36
SD21-027	26.2	25	100	2.0	68	52	22	99	39	18
SD21-028	49.5	26	100	1.9	100	74	50	99	50	11
SD21-029	17.3	16	100	2.0	0	35	13	100	21	37
SD21-030	8.8	18	100	2.0	0	25	7	100	19	38
SD21-031	11.5	20	100	2.0	0	37	12	100	29	29
SD21-032	33.8	24	100	2.0	100	68	35	99	51	2
SD21-033	14.4	18	100	2.0	0	32	13	100	22	36
SD21-034	18.9	24	100	2.0	21	45	13	100	25	33
SD21-035	11.1	22	100	2.0	0	35	10	100	28	31
SD21-037	10.7	22	100	2.0	0	33	10	100	26	32
SD21-038	33.4	19	100	2.0	100	62	35	100	42	13
SD21-039	39.0	23	100	1.8	100	76	48	100	55	8
SD21-040	47.5	25	100	1.8	100	86	59	97	69	0
SD21-041	10.0	20	100	2.0	0	44	9	100	38	19
SD21-042	20.3	18	100	1.9	100	62	20	100	53	2
SD21-043	17.9	29	100	2.0	7	39	15	100	28	32

Table 1: General Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-044	12.7	22	100	2.0	0	33	15	100	20	37
SD21-045	7.1	21	100	2.0	0	31	7	100	26	32
SD21-049	6.9	12	100	1.9	100	65	6	100	54	1

Table 2: Primary Elections

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
CD20-001	41.9	17	100	4.0	100	61	62	69	47	10
CD20-002	18.2	22	100	3.6	68	56	25	69	52	16
CD20-003	18.7	18	100	3.9	78	55	40	66	48	19
CD20-004	24.4	20	100	3.8	80	61	33	67	57	9
CD20-005	10.7	19	100	3.8	58	52	22	64	48	14
CD20-006	32.0	18	100	4.1	72	53	47	60	46	19
CD20-007	15.4	20	100	3.8	80	53	29	63	50	4
CD20-008	25.9	17	100	4.0	76	54	52	60	48	17
CD20-009	17.4	20	100	4.3	60	50	32	64	45	10
CD20-010	10.1	18	100	3.9	72	52	25	62	49	24
CD20-011	3.7	2	100	3.5	50	50	5	82	46	26
CD20-012	34.1	23	100	3.6	87	61	54	69	51	17
CD20-013	13.9	18	100	3.9	78	56	33	61	53	11
CD21-001	22.4	18	100	3.9	78	55	42	66	48	16
CD21-002	39.1	17	100	4.0	100	61	60	70	47	11
CD21-003	15.7	22	100	3.7	68	53	27	66	46	11
CD21-004	27.5	17	100	4.0	71	54	55	61	47	17
CD21-005	23.2	21	100	3.6	71	58	32	69	54	16
CD21-006	20.4	18	100	4.3	61	50	24	74	45	19
CD21-007	15.3	18	100	3.9	67	52	31	62	48	22
CD21-008	16.5	18	100	3.9	72	52	35	63	46	22
CD21-009	36.3	23	100	3.6	83	61	55	69	51	17
CD21-010	16.2	18	100	3.9	78	53	35	62	48	22
CD21-011	19.2	17	100	4.0	71	53	35	64	47	20
CD21-012	17.1	19	100	3.8	74	53	36	63	48	18
CD21-013	14.8	20	100	3.9	80	54	31	65	49	10
CD21-014	3.6	2	100	3.5	50	50	5	82	46	26
LD20-001	36.6	18	100	3.9	89	57	57	73	38	17
LD20-002	25.7	18	100	3.9	89	58	46	71	47	10

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-003	19.2	22	100	3.6	64	54	41	66	44	22
LD20-004	20.6	18	100	3.9	89	58	52	70	45	10
LD20-005	41.0	20	100	3.7	95	61	61	69	47	15
LD20-006	7.1	16	100	4.1	75	52	15	64	51	6
LD20-007	22.4	23	100	3.5	96	66	49	81	52	2
LD20-008	42.5	19	100	3.8	95	60	59	67	49	12
LD20-009	27.9	19	100	3.8	79	58	38	67	52	8
LD20-010	22.0	17	100	4.0	76	54	44	72	36	15
LD20-011	15.4	22	100	3.6	50	47	15	70	42	25
LD20-012	36.9	18	100	3.9	89	60	61	67	48	5
LD20-013	7.9	17	100	4.0	65	52	22	66	47	9
LD20-014	17.8	16	100	4.1	94	56	47	62	51	11
LD20-015	10.7	16	100	4.1	75	52	38	62	46	8
LD20-016	18.3	19	100	3.8	79	52	39	61	46	4
LD20-017	10.1	22	100	3.6	73	55	25	64	51	12
LD20-018	21.1	19	100	3.8	79	56	35	62	53	11
LD20-019	6.3	20	100	3.8	55	51	10	64	49	10
LD20-020	5.5	15	100	4.1	60	54	8	77	52	5
LD20-021	37.4	23	100	3.6	87	56	63	62	45	15
LD20-022	29.3	23	100	3.7	91	58	56	70	43	7
LD20-023	50.6	21	100	3.8	86	61	66	67	46	11
LD20-024	38.2	19	100	3.8	95	63	63	68	52	10
LD20-025	42.6	12	100	4.2	92	57	69	63	46	10
LD20-026	16.5	19	100	3.8	63	53	35	66	46	24
LD20-027	51.6	23	100	3.6	78	57	59	71	37	30
LD20-028	15.8	19	100	3.8	95	56	35	65	51	7
LD20-029	37.2	24	100	3.6	67	61	37	78	50	12
LD20-030	28.2	23	100	3.6	70	59	32	73	52	13
LD20-031	39.8	24	100	3.6	92	63	57	73	49	14

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-032	48.1	20	100	3.7	100	68	65	78	51	8
LD20-033	39.9	23	100	3.6	83	62	58	74	48	16
LD20-034	11.5	22	100	3.6	32	42	12	73	38	39
LD20-035	18.0	24	100	3.5	71	58	31	67	55	18
LD20-036	7.5	24	100	3.5	58	52	13	62	50	13
LD20-037	11.3	23	100	3.6	57	52	23	63	49	13
LD20-038	39.4	22	100	3.5	77	60	52	68	53	22
LD20-040	11.3	21	100	3.6	43	47	17	70	42	25
LD20-041	7.1	22	100	3.6	41	46	11	73	43	23
LD20-042	38.1	10	100	3.0	90	61	76	67	42	12
LD20-043	33.9	19	100	3.9	79	52	51	59	46	26
LD20-044	48.1	19	100	3.9	84	56	76	60	44	32
LD20-045	31.4	20	100	3.9	75	54	60	62	43	25
LD20-046	25.0	18	100	4.0	89	52	41	61	46	11
LD20-047	23.8	24	100	3.7	75	51	23	68	46	8
LD20-048	35.5	22	100	3.7	91	58	63	67	44	16
LD20-049	12.3	22	100	3.6	32	42	10	68	39	37
LD20-050	17.5	20	100	3.8	60	51	28	61	48	13
LD20-052	11.0	18	100	3.9	72	56	26	62	54	9
LD20-054	12.9	18	100	3.9	67	55	18	63	54	0
LD20-055	26.2	20	100	4.1	75	52	51	74	35	21
LD20-056	10.2	22	100	3.8	36	42	8	77	40	29
LD20-057	39.7	20	100	3.9	80	56	56	63	46	18
LD20-058	43.1	21	100	3.8	76	55	60	62	46	25
LD20-059	28.6	21	100	3.9	76	55	60	64	41	19
LD20-060	34.6	20	100	3.9	85	58	60	64	48	12
LD20-061	40.0	20	100	3.9	70	54	35	63	49	17
LD20-062	13.7	21	100	3.8	67	51	27	64	46	9
LD20-063	24.8	20	100	3.8	80	55	43	62	49	13

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-064	15.1	20	100	3.9	65	52	30	60	48	24
LD20-065	19.6	18	100	3.9	89	56	44	66	49	16
LD20-066	24.0	18	100	4.1	78	52	42	63	43	10
LD20-067	7.9	18	100	3.9	61	50	22	70	44	13
LD20-068	8.4	19	100	4.1	84	57	25	65	54	4
LD20-069	11.6	19	100	3.9	79	54	31	64	49	5
LD20-070	7.2	18	100	3.9	83	56	19	67	53	16
LD20-071	40.3	23	100	3.7	87	58	62	63	50	14
LD20-072	34.4	23	100	3.7	70	54	40	65	46	20
LD20-073	14.6	18	100	4.0	72	51	36	64	44	21
LD20-074	11.4	19	100	3.9	63	50	23	64	46	8
LD20-075	15.3	20	100	3.8	75	52	37	65	44	24
LD20-076	21.6	19	100	3.8	95	56	42	61	53	19
LD20-077	7.3	19	100	3.9	79	54	23	62	51	8
LD20-078	6.1	18	100	3.9	67	53	19	62	51	12
LD20-079	22.3	19	100	4.1	84	55	41	68	46	13
LD20-080	9.5	18	100	3.9	83	56	26	63	53	16
LD20-081	9.6	18	100	3.9	78	56	24	62	54	9
LD20-082	20.2	10	100	2.8	100	61	42	70	55	7
LD20-083	19.5	18	100	3.9	78	53	37	64	46	25
LD20-084	14.1	18	100	3.9	89	52	31	64	47	9
LD20-086	6.0	20	100	3.7	65	54	14	66	52	16
LD20-087	5.1	19	100	3.8	74	52	13	66	49	11
LD20-088	16.0	14	100	4.3	64	55	24	67	51	13
LD20-089	7.9	17	100	4.0	88	55	23	61	54	1
LD20-090	3.3	17	100	3.9	53	47	8	70	45	19
LD20-091	4.8	19	100	3.8	63	53	13	65	50	29
LD20-092	40.2	21	100	3.6	81	60	64	67	48	12
LD20-094	5.7	23	100	3.8	52	46	12	58	44	14

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-095	9.6	18	100	3.9	67	51	22	61	48	11
LD20-096	8.9	17	100	4.0	71	50	17	59	48	16
LD20-097	5.5	18	100	3.9	61	55	15	67	52	8
LD20-098	9.2	18	100	3.9	56	54	18	63	52	21
LD20-099	36.0	23	100	3.6	87	62	65	70	47	15
LD20-100	30.5	20	100	3.7	80	57	41	66	51	19
LD20-101	48.0	21	100	3.6	90	62	72	69	44	19
LD20-102	33.8	19	100	4.2	84	59	46	68	52	15
LD20-103	14.2	18	100	3.9	67	53	24	64	49	21
LD20-104	12.0	17	100	3.9	53	46	15	66	43	33
LD20-105	12.9	18	100	4.1	78	55	24	65	52	9
LD20-106	46.3	26	100	3.7	100	64	72	72	44	12
LD20-107	53.6	24	100	3.6	96	64	72	72	44	12
LD20-108	19.5	19	100	3.8	74	53	41	69	43	14
LD20-109	15.3	20	100	3.7	75	53	30	62	49	8
LD20-110	14.6	19	100	3.8	84	53	37	64	47	12
LD20-111	22.8	21	100	3.8	90	57	46	71	45	9
LD20-112	9.2	20	100	3.8	70	51	19	66	47	11
LD20-115	6.9	17	100	4.2	59	54	7	66	54	13
LD20-116	7.2	20	100	4.0	65	56	8	63	55	18
LD20-117	3.6	22	100	3.7	59	51	5	67	50	4
LD21-001	17.7	17	100	4.0	100	56	35	70	49	9
LD21-002	23.7	18	100	3.9	72	56	37	63	52	22
LD21-003	19.4	21	100	3.7	62	52	35	68	43	22
LD21-004	24.9	18	100	4.0	83	56	53	66	45	7
LD21-005	37.5	19	100	3.8	95	59	60	68	45	20
LD21-007	22.2	23	100	3.5	96	66	48	81	52	3
LD21-008	44.2	19	100	3.8	95	60	59	67	48	10
LD21-009	24.6	17	100	4.0	71	56	39	61	52	16

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-010	33.1	18	100	3.9	94	57	58	65	47	16
LD21-011	14.2	22	100	3.6	45	46	14	71	42	31
LD21-012	37.7	17	100	4.0	94	59	60	68	46	6
LD21-013	8.3	18	100	3.9	72	54	19	66	50	14
LD21-014	17.8	16	100	4.1	94	56	47	62	51	11
LD21-015	10.6	17	100	4.1	71	51	39	60	45	6
LD21-016	13.2	17	100	4.0	71	52	38	61	47	6
LD21-017	10.3	23	100	3.6	70	53	25	62	49	12
LD21-018	21.6	20	100	3.9	70	54	35	60	50	11
LD21-019	5.1	20	100	3.8	70	53	10	64	51	11
LD21-020	5.3	14	100	4.1	64	56	8	77	54	5
LD21-021	10.8	22	100	3.6	59	51	16	63	49	15
LD21-022	27.7	21	100	3.8	90	56	55	69	45	10
LD21-023	52.5	19	100	3.8	89	63	67	70	46	11
LD21-024	36.6	18	100	3.9	94	61	61	66	51	11
LD21-025	40.0	19	100	3.8	100	62	63	74	45	13
LD21-026	16.8	10	100	2.8	80	60	37	75	52	30
LD21-027	50.8	22	100	3.7	86	60	62	73	49	14
LD21-028	16.2	20	100	3.8	90	55	36	64	50	7
LD21-029	38.3	24	100	3.6	79	62	43	77	51	12
LD21-030	33.0	23	100	3.6	74	60	30	74	54	13
LD21-032	42.4	18	100	3.9	94	62	60	80	34	15
LD21-033	29.8	22	100	3.6	73	61	34	74	55	8
LD21-034	18.2	22	100	3.6	50	50	18	67	46	28
LD21-036	8.0	8	100	5.2	50	42	13	53	40	0
LD21-040	10.7	22	100	3.6	41	45	14	76	41	28
LD21-042	38.1	10	100	3.0	90	61	76	67	42	12
LD21-043	34.8	19	100	3.9	79	52	52	59	46	26
LD21-044	48.1	19	100	3.9	84	56	76	60	44	32

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-045	30.3	18	100	4.0	78	54	60	63	41	22
LD21-046	28.5	20	100	3.9	80	51	42	64	42	8
LD21-047	21.5	25	100	3.7	80	51	26	66	47	5
LD21-048	35.5	22	100	3.7	91	58	63	67	44	16
LD21-049	13.0	22	100	3.6	27	40	14	77	34	28
LD21-050	17.9	19	100	3.8	63	52	30	61	48	13
LD21-052	22.3	18	100	4.0	78	56	38	62	51	12
LD21-053	18.8	10	100	2.9	90	64	40	68	60	12
LD21-054	11.1	26	100	3.4	38	45	17	58	42	25
LD21-055	24.0	20	100	4.1	75	51	49	74	36	21
LD21-056	10.1	22	100	3.8	36	42	8	77	40	29
LD21-057	39.7	20	100	3.9	80	56	56	63	46	18
LD21-058	42.8	21	100	3.8	76	55	60	63	45	25
LD21-059	26.6	20	100	3.9	75	55	58	65	41	18
LD21-060	34.9	20	100	3.9	85	58	60	64	48	12
LD21-061	40.8	20	100	3.9	70	54	37	63	49	17
LD21-062	13.3	21	100	3.8	67	51	26	64	46	9
LD21-063	24.3	18	100	3.9	78	53	40	63	46	19
LD21-064	15.5	19	100	3.8	68	53	30	61	50	24
LD21-065	18.9	18	100	3.9	89	56	42	66	49	16
LD21-066	27.2	21	100	3.6	76	58	40	66	54	12
LD21-067	13.0	17	100	4.0	76	51	35	68	41	21
LD21-068	8.1	17	100	4.9	82	59	24	66	56	4
LD21-069	11.6	18	100	4.0	78	52	30	63	47	6
LD21-070	7.0	19	100	3.9	79	53	18	67	50	17
LD21-071	39.5	23	100	3.7	87	58	60	63	50	15
LD21-072	33.7	22	100	3.7	68	55	39	65	47	16
LD21-073	17.0	10	100	2.8	90	57	35	72	49	20
LD21-074	11.3	19	100	3.9	68	52	23	64	48	8

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-075	15.3	20	100	3.8	75	52	37	65	44	24
LD21-076	20.4	20	100	3.7	95	57	41	61	54	7
LD21-077	5.5	20	100	3.9	75	52	20	60	50	8
LD21-078	5.5	18	100	3.9	72	53	17	59	51	8
LD21-079	16.9	18	100	3.9	83	57	30	66	53	3
LD21-080	9.4	19	100	3.9	84	54	27	62	51	16
LD21-081	9.6	19	100	3.8	79	55	24	61	54	10
LD21-082	21.0	18	100	3.9	89	56	37	63	52	12
LD21-083	11.9	17	100	4.0	82	53	31	69	45	20
LD21-084	16.0	18	100	3.9	83	52	35	63	46	10
LD21-086	6.1	20	100	3.7	65	54	13	67	51	18
LD21-087	4.9	19	100	3.8	58	51	11	63	49	31
LD21-088	23.3	14	100	4.3	71	55	28	64	52	13
LD21-089	6.7	17	100	4.0	76	52	19	64	49	2
LD21-090	3.5	19	100	3.8	58	49	8	69	47	13
LD21-091	14.1	18	100	3.9	72	51	33	65	46	20
LD21-092	39.1	20	100	3.7	80	59	62	66	48	10
LD21-094	5.3	20	100	3.8	65	51	11	62	50	12
LD21-095	7.6	16	100	4.2	69	50	14	62	48	17
LD21-096	9.9	17	100	4.0	76	53	21	59	51	16
LD21-097	5.5	18	100	3.9	61	54	15	67	52	8
LD21-098	7.5	18	100	3.9	50	50	14	66	48	28
LD21-099	46.8	27	100	3.7	96	62	74	69	44	15
LD21-100	31.0	20	100	3.7	80	57	41	65	51	19
LD21-101	46.8	21	100	3.8	90	60	70	67	43	16
LD21-102	37.6	22	100	3.9	86	59	51	68	50	19
LD21-103	11.8	20	100	3.8	70	53	25	66	49	22
LD21-104	8.5	17	100	3.9	35	40	12	67	37	47
LD21-105	12.2	18	100	4.1	78	55	24	63	52	9

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-106	43.4	27	100	3.7	100	63	68	73	45	13
LD21-107	47.4	23	100	3.6	96	65	68	72	47	14
LD21-108	19.3	19	100	3.8	74	53	38	67	44	15
LD21-110	15.7	19	100	4.0	95	56	46	68	46	11
LD21-111	16.4	19	100	4.0	74	52	33	65	47	10
LD21-112	27.8	20	100	3.7	75	58	48	67	49	18
LD21-113	6.8	19	100	4.0	63	49	13	59	47	3
LD21-114	7.6	19	100	4.4	63	53	7	61	52	9
LD21-115	6.3	17	100	4.2	53	50	6	62	49	13
LD21-117	3.5	10	100	2.8	70	58	5	65	57	4
SD20-001	24.6	17	100	4.0	94	56	41	66	48	10
SD20-002	14.1	20	100	3.9	60	50	32	67	46	23
SD20-003	42.2	18	100	3.9	94	64	61	77	45	7
SD20-004	46.5	18	100	4.1	94	59	65	68	49	12
SD20-005	34.8	17	100	4.0	82	56	49	64	49	21
SD20-006	14.5	17	100	4.0	82	55	45	64	47	10
SD20-007	33.6	20	100	3.8	95	57	62	64	48	7
SD20-008	12.6	21	100	3.7	62	51	27	64	44	20
SD20-009	12.0	18	100	3.9	72	54	20	62	53	13
SD20-010	20.1	19	100	3.9	89	57	47	65	49	14
SD20-011	27.5	18	100	3.9	89	60	52	69	48	14
SD20-012	18.8	21	100	3.7	67	54	41	62	47	5
SD20-013	25.1	25	100	3.9	72	52	32	64	47	4
SD20-014	32.1	22	100	3.6	82	61	44	73	52	8
SD20-015	18.1	22	100	3.5	64	55	23	64	53	12
SD20-016	12.9	22	100	3.6	41	45	15	75	40	24
SD20-017	8.8	22	100	3.6	55	49	15	63	47	21
SD20-018	24.4	20	100	3.8	90	63	46	74	53	11
SD20-019	33.6	18	100	4.0	78	53	56	59	45	16

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-020	35.4	24	100	3.6	71	61	42	74	52	13
SD20-021	41.2	21	100	3.8	76	57	73	61	46	16
SD20-022	30.0	21	100	3.9	76	59	41	66	55	0
SD20-023	11.1	23	100	3.9	48	48	13	58	47	6
SD20-024	22.0	18	100	3.9	83	55	43	63	49	18
SD20-025	23.4	18	100	4.0	67	53	44	62	46	20
SD20-026	12.6	17	100	4.0	82	55	29	63	51	5
SD20-027	24.0	21	100	3.8	76	54	45	62	48	5
SD20-028	43.9	20	100	3.9	70	55	50	64	46	17
SD20-029	10.5	18	100	3.9	78	56	28	63	53	10
SD20-030	14.7	18	100	3.9	78	51	38	60	47	22
SD20-031	22.0	19	100	3.8	79	54	49	64	45	24
SD20-032	23.9	21	100	3.7	62	51	35	65	44	25
SD20-033	14.4	19	100	3.8	95	55	35	63	52	8
SD20-034	10.1	19	100	4.0	74	51	24	60	48	10
SD20-035	12.2	19	100	3.9	84	55	32	62	51	6
SD20-036	17.9	18	100	3.9	83	53	37	65	46	17
SD20-037	13.8	16	100	4.2	56	46	18	62	43	29
SD20-038	42.8	25	100	3.7	92	62	63	69	50	17
SD20-039	21.3	20	100	3.8	80	55	40	66	48	15
SD20-040	38.7	24	100	3.8	88	62	65	69	47	16
SD20-041	29.1	23	100	3.6	83	60	50	69	51	17
SD20-042	7.9	17	100	4.0	82	52	18	60	51	2
SD20-043	17.4	19	100	3.8	79	54	36	65	47	8
SD20-044	13.1	18	100	3.9	72	54	35	66	48	10
SD20-045	3.3	1	100	2.0	0	38	6	72	33	44
SD20-046	5.5	20	100	3.7	65	54	12	63	52	7
SD20-047	5.1	18	100	3.9	39	45	9	65	42	23
SD20-049	6.4	19	100	4.2	68	56	6	63	55	11

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-001	28.8	17	100	4.0	88	57	46	67	47	7
SD21-002	29.3	17	100	4.0	94	60	48	70	49	9
SD21-003	25.9	21	100	4.0	90	57	46	70	46	10
SD21-004	34.1	17	100	4.0	88	59	59	66	48	12
SD21-005	39.3	17	100	4.0	94	59	56	65	50	12
SD21-006	13.8	18	100	4.1	83	55	44	63	48	9
SD21-007	11.5	17	100	4.1	71	54	19	62	53	14
SD21-008	13.9	20	100	3.8	65	51	25	64	46	15
SD21-009	23.1	17	100	4.0	94	56	50	66	46	5
SD21-010	15.9	20	100	3.8	80	54	35	65	48	13
SD21-011	35.7	19	100	4.0	84	61	59	74	45	12
SD21-012	19.6	20	100	3.8	65	53	42	62	46	18
SD21-013	20.5	18	100	3.9	78	60	37	66	56	0
SD21-014	41.5	22	100	3.6	86	62	61	75	43	21
SD21-015	13.9	22	100	3.6	36	43	12	72	39	32
SD21-016	8.1	22	100	3.6	45	45	11	76	42	21
SD21-017	10.1	22	100	3.6	64	54	20	62	52	6
SD21-018	21.5	22	100	3.5	64	56	27	64	53	12
SD21-019	45.0	19	100	3.9	74	54	69	58	45	17
SD21-020	26.2	21	100	3.8	67	55	33	76	47	14
SD21-021	18.3	18	100	3.9	61	50	41	59	44	5
SD21-022	33.2	23	100	3.6	74	60	37	73	52	14
SD21-023	16.0	24	100	3.6	58	51	21	60	50	18
SD21-024	28.4	23	100	3.8	78	53	42	69	44	6
SD21-025	17.1	19	100	3.8	84	56	34	62	53	15
SD21-026	16.8	18	100	3.9	78	54	39	62	50	25
SD21-027	26.2	21	100	3.9	67	53	36	62	47	12
SD21-028	49.5	20	100	3.9	85	57	65	63	46	17
SD21-029	17.3	17	100	4.0	76	50	39	67	41	21

Table 2: Primary Elections *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-030	8.8	18	100	3.9	83	56	24	62	54	10
SD21-031	11.5	19	100	3.9	68	49	30	64	42	23
SD21-032	33.8	22	100	3.7	68	54	46	63	45	24
SD21-033	14.4	20	100	3.8	95	56	34	62	53	7
SD21-034	18.9	20	100	3.7	85	55	38	63	50	4
SD21-035	11.1	18	100	4.0	83	54	30	62	50	7
SD21-036	4.2	18	100	3.9	56	48	10	65	46	14
SD21-037	10.7	17	100	4.0	71	52	23	62	49	11
SD21-038	33.4	23	100	3.6	91	61	55	71	49	15
SD21-039	39.0	24	100	3.5	92	63	65	70	47	14
SD21-040	47.5	24	100	3.8	100	64	71	70	49	16
SD21-041	10.0	17	100	3.9	65	51	20	66	47	20
SD21-042	20.3	18	100	4.1	72	56	26	64	53	10
SD21-043	17.9	19	100	3.8	79	54	37	65	47	9
SD21-044	12.7	19	100	4.0	74	53	35	67	45	11
SD21-045	7.1	17	100	4.0	82	55	18	61	53	1
SD21-046	4.6	17	100	4.0	59	52	7	72	50	7
SD21-048	5.2	20	100	3.9	55	47	9	60	45	3
SD21-049	6.9	19	100	4.2	68	56	7	62	55	11

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate)

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
CD20-001	41.9	4	100	2.0	100	54	38	100	21	37
CD20-002	18.2	6	100	2.0	17	47	14	99	38	19
CD20-003	18.7	5	100	2.0	0	36	18	98	22	37
CD20-004	24.4	3	100	2.0	100	68	21	93	39	21
CD20-005	10.7	3	100	2.0	0	33	10	100	24	34
CD20-006	32.0	3	100	2.0	100	60	30	100	41	15
CD20-007	15.4	3	100	2.0	0	41	13	91	32	30
CD20-008	25.9	4	100	2.0	0	48	30	100	26	32
CD20-009	17.4	4	100	2.0	0	43	16	100	31	28
CD20-010	10.1	3	100	2.0	0	31	11	100	25	33
CD20-012	34.1	7	100	1.7	100	76	39	99	62	7
CD20-013	13.9	3	100	2.0	0	32	12	100	22	36
CD21-001	22.4	5	100	2.0	0	38	19	98	22	37
CD21-002	39.1	3	100	2.0	100	53	34	100	23	35
CD21-003	15.7	3	100	2.0	0	42	14	93	32	29
CD21-004	27.5	3	100	2.0	0	48	34	100	26	32
CD21-005	23.2	6	100	2.0	33	47	18	99	36	22
CD21-006	20.4	3	100	2.0	100	65	17	100	40	17
CD21-007	15.3	3	100	2.0	0	38	12	100	27	32
CD21-008	16.5	4	100	2.0	0	40	14	100	28	30
CD21-009	36.3	7	100	1.7	100	79	43	99	64	3
CD21-010	16.2	3	100	2.0	0	34	11	100	23	35
CD21-011	19.2	3	100	2.0	0	34	15	100	25	33
CD21-012	17.1	3	100	2.0	0	42	18	100	32	26
CD21-013	14.8	3	100	2.0	0	37	14	100	27	32
LD20-001	36.6	4	100	2.0	0	47	28	100	18	39
LD20-002	25.7	5	100	2.0	0	43	25	100	24	34
LD20-003	19.2	7	100	2.0	0	39	19	100	25	33
LD20-004	20.6	4	100	2.0	0	36	15	100	16	41

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-005	41.0	3	100	2.0	100	55	33	100	18	39
LD20-006	7.1	5	100	2.0	0	34	7	84	25	49
LD20-007	22.4	4	100	2.0	0	43	23	100	26	32
LD20-008	42.5	6	100	2.0	33	51	33	100	26	32
LD20-009	27.9	4	100	2.0	0	43	21	100	27	31
LD20-010	22.0	5	100	2.0	0	35	23	100	15	41
LD20-011	15.4	6	100	2.0	83	54	13	100	48	6
LD20-012	36.9	7	100	2.0	14	47	38	100	15	41
LD20-013	7.9	2	100	2.0	0	27	9	94	18	42
LD20-014	17.8	5	100	2.0	0	40	20	100	25	33
LD20-015	10.7	5	100	2.0	0	31	12	100	22	36
LD20-016	18.3	5	100	2.0	0	36	17	95	24	37
LD20-017	10.1	11	100	2.0	0	37	11	90	30	34
LD20-018	21.1	3	100	2.0	100	62	21	100	51	5
LD20-019	6.3	1	100	2.0	0	37	6	100	33	25
LD20-021	37.4	5	100	2.0	20	44	29	100	21	37
LD20-022	29.3	4	100	2.0	0	43	28	100	18	39
LD20-023	50.6	6	100	2.0	100	60	38	100	16	41
LD20-024	38.2	8	100	2.0	100	56	37	100	28	29
LD20-025	42.6	4	100	2.0	0	40	34	99	14	42
LD20-026	16.5	5	100	2.0	0	31	11	100	22	36
LD20-027	51.6	6	100	2.0	100	63	44	100	20	37
LD20-028	15.8	5	100	2.0	0	27	10	100	19	38
LD20-029	37.2	10	100	1.6	100	87	40	100	78	0
LD20-030	28.2	4	100	2.0	100	59	25	100	45	11
LD20-031	39.8	8	100	1.6	100	84	48	100	71	0
LD20-032	48.1	10	100	2.0	100	63	50	100	28	31
LD20-033	39.9	6	100	2.0	100	61	38	99	38	19

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-034	11.5	6	100	2.0	0	40	6	100	36	22
LD20-035	18.0	6	67	2.0	33	46	12	64	44	39
LD20-036	7.5	3	33	2.0	67	56	6	59	56	15
LD20-037	11.3	6	100	2.0	0	34	10	100	27	31
LD20-038	39.4	10	100	1.6	100	83	42	98	72	2
LD20-040	11.3	6	100	2.0	0	38	7	100	33	25
LD20-041	7.1	3	100	2.0	0	43	6	94	40	16
LD20-042	38.1	6	100	2.0	100	66	48	100	36	22
LD20-043	33.9	6	100	2.0	0	47	29	100	26	32
LD20-044	48.1	5	100	2.0	100	71	52	100	40	16
LD20-045	31.4	7	100	2.0	57	50	32	100	27	32
LD20-046	25.0	5	100	2.0	20	42	27	99	21	37
LD20-047	23.8	6	100	2.0	17	43	24	98	26	32
LD20-048	35.5	6	100	2.0	100	56	40	100	29	30
LD20-049	12.3	6	100	2.0	50	49	7	100	45	10
LD20-050	17.5	3	100	2.0	0	41	24	92	25	37
LD20-052	11.0	4	100	2.0	0	26	10	100	18	38
LD20-054	12.9	8	50	2.0	0	43	9	91	38	22
LD20-055	26.2	5	100	2.0	0	42	25	100	22	36
LD20-056	10.2	7	100	2.0	100	71	10	100	66	0
LD20-057	39.7	5	100	2.0	100	58	38	98	33	25
LD20-058	43.1	8	100	2.0	100	69	45	96	47	8
LD20-059	28.6	5	100	2.0	0	37	24	100	17	40
LD20-060	34.6	8	100	2.0	88	59	38	100	34	24
LD20-061	40.0	8	100	2.0	100	64	31	100	48	8
LD20-062	13.7	5	100	2.0	0	32	11	100	24	34
LD20-063	24.8	4	100	2.0	0	48	24	100	32	27
LD20-064	15.1	4	100	2.0	0	39	14	100	29	30

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-065	19.6	5	100	2.0	0	33	19	94	19	43
LD20-066	24.0	4	100	2.0	0	42	21	100	22	36
LD20-067	7.9	7	100	2.0	0	21	6	100	16	40
LD20-068	8.4	5	100	2.0	0	36	7	100	31	27
LD20-069	11.6	5	100	2.0	0	34	11	100	26	32
LD20-070	7.2	4	100	2.0	0	24	6	100	19	38
LD20-071	40.3	7	100	1.9	100	76	46	99	56	4
LD20-072	34.4	8	100	2.0	100	71	34	100	56	1
LD20-073	14.6	3	100	2.0	0	35	19	100	28	31
LD20-074	11.4	6	100	2.0	0	45	11	100	38	19
LD20-075	15.3	5	100	2.0	0	38	15	100	27	32
LD20-076	21.6	7	100	2.0	0	41	20	100	26	32
LD20-077	7.3	4	100	2.0	0	26	7	100	19	38
LD20-079	22.3	7	100	2.6	14	34	16	98	15	41
LD20-080	9.5	7	100	2.0	0	23	8	100	16	40
LD20-081	9.6	5	100	2.0	0	26	8	100	19	38
LD20-082	20.2	1	100	2.0	0	40	18	100	27	32
LD20-083	19.5	5	100	2.0	60	48	12	100	24	34
LD20-084	14.1	6	100	2.0	0	31	13	100	21	37
LD20-086	6.0	4	100	2.0	0	32	6	100	28	31
LD20-088	16.0	4	100	2.0	100	56	18	99	47	6
LD20-089	7.9	4	100	2.0	0	27	7	100	22	36
LD20-091	4.8	2	100	2.0	0	23	6	100	16	40
LD20-092	40.2	9	100	1.7	100	80	47	100	63	9
LD20-095	9.6	6	100	2.0	0	32	8	100	26	32
LD20-096	8.9	4	100	2.0	0	35	7	100	30	30
LD20-098	9.2	6	100	2.0	0	41	8	100	36	22
LD20-099	36.0	6	100	2.0	100	63	42	99	38	20

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-100	30.5	8	100	1.6	100	82	36	100	71	0
LD20-101	48.0	10	100	1.7	100	82	55	99	60	12
LD20-102	33.8	9	100	1.6	100	87	40	98	79	0
LD20-103	14.2	4	100	2.0	0	47	14	100	38	19
LD20-104	12.0	6	100	2.0	0	47	10	100	41	16
LD20-105	12.9	6	100	2.0	50	49	13	100	41	14
LD20-106	46.3	15	100	1.5	100	91	60	99	79	2
LD20-107	53.6	10	100	1.6	100	87	58	99	70	4
LD20-108	19.5	8	100	2.0	0	38	17	100	25	33
LD20-109	15.3	8	100	2.0	0	35	12	100	27	32
LD20-110	14.6	3	100	2.0	0	28	13	100	17	39
LD20-111	22.8	6	100	2.0	0	38	22	100	20	37
LD20-112	9.2	4	100	2.0	0	28	8	100	22	36
LD20-115	6.9	1	100	2.0	100	59	6	100	44	11
LD20-116	7.2	1	100	2.0	0	49	7	100	46	7
LD21-001	17.7	5	100	2.0	0	35	15	91	22	42
LD21-002	23.7	5	100	2.0	20	43	23	99	26	32
LD21-003	19.4	6	100	2.0	0	38	18	100	25	34
LD21-004	24.9	3	100	2.0	0	33	19	100	17	40
LD21-005	37.5	3	100	2.0	67	51	30	100	17	40
LD21-007	22.2	4	100	2.0	0	43	22	100	27	32
LD21-008	44.2	6	100	2.0	83	54	36	100	28	30
LD21-009	24.6	5	100	2.0	0	37	19	98	23	37
LD21-010	33.1	5	100	2.0	0	38	26	100	17	40
LD21-011	14.2	6	100	2.0	83	52	11	100	46	8
LD21-012	37.7	5	100	2.0	0	45	34	100	16	41
LD21-013	8.3	6	100	2.0	0	28	7	97	22	37
LD21-014	17.8	5	100	2.0	0	40	20	100	25	33

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-015	10.6	5	100	2.0	0	32	13	100	22	36
LD21-016	13.2	5	100	2.0	0	34	14	95	23	38
LD21-017	10.3	11	100	2.0	0	37	11	90	31	32
LD21-018	21.6	3	100	2.0	100	62	21	100	52	4
LD21-019	5.1	1	100	2.0	0	34	5	100	31	28
LD21-021	10.8	6	100	2.0	0	35	7	87	31	37
LD21-022	27.7	4	100	2.0	0	39	26	100	17	40
LD21-023	52.5	6	100	2.0	100	61	39	100	15	41
LD21-024	36.6	8	100	2.0	100	55	37	100	28	29
LD21-025	40.0	5	100	2.0	20	42	30	99	14	42
LD21-027	50.8	8	100	2.0	100	64	48	100	26	32
LD21-028	16.2	5	100	2.0	0	26	10	100	17	40
LD21-029	38.3	8	100	1.6	100	85	44	100	74	0
LD21-030	33.0	7	100	1.6	100	87	34	100	80	0
LD21-031	38.1	3	100	1.0	100	100	44	.	.	.
LD21-032	42.4	4	100	2.0	100	57	43	100	26	32
LD21-033	29.8	10	100	1.6	100	83	31	99	76	0
LD21-034	18.2	6	100	2.0	33	48	13	100	41	15
LD21-036	8.0	2	100	2.0	0	36	7	100	30	28
LD21-038	43.6	2	100	1.0	100	100	47	.	.	.
LD21-040	10.7	4	100	2.0	0	39	6	100	35	23
LD21-042	38.1	6	100	2.0	100	66	48	100	36	22
LD21-043	34.8	6	100	2.0	17	49	30	100	27	31
LD21-044	48.1	5	100	2.0	100	71	52	100	40	16
LD21-045	30.3	7	100	2.0	29	49	31	100	26	33
LD21-046	28.5	4	100	2.0	0	40	28	100	17	39
LD21-047	21.5	6	100	2.0	17	46	23	97	30	28
LD21-048	35.5	6	100	2.0	100	56	40	100	29	30

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-049	13.0	6	100	2.0	33	47	8	100	42	13
LD21-050	17.9	3	100	2.0	0	42	26	93	25	37
LD21-052	22.3	4	100	2.0	0	44	22	100	21	36
LD21-054	11.1	8	50	2.0	0	45	10	84	40	22
LD21-055	24.0	5	100	2.0	0	41	22	100	23	35
LD21-056	10.1	7	100	2.0	100	71	10	100	66	0
LD21-057	39.7	5	100	2.0	100	58	38	98	33	25
LD21-058	42.8	8	100	2.0	100	68	44	98	45	11
LD21-059	26.6	5	100	2.0	0	35	22	100	17	40
LD21-060	34.9	8	100	2.0	100	59	38	100	34	23
LD21-061	40.8	8	100	2.0	100	64	32	100	48	8
LD21-062	13.3	5	100	2.0	0	31	10	100	23	34
LD21-063	24.3	4	100	2.0	0	47	22	100	32	26
LD21-064	15.5	4	100	2.0	0	39	14	100	29	30
LD21-065	18.9	5	100	2.0	0	33	19	94	19	43
LD21-066	27.2	6	100	2.0	50	51	23	100	36	22
LD21-067	13.0	5	100	2.0	0	29	13	100	19	38
LD21-068	8.1	5	100	2.0	0	36	7	100	31	27
LD21-069	11.6	4	100	2.0	0	34	10	100	26	32
LD21-070	7.0	4	100	2.0	0	23	6	100	18	39
LD21-071	39.5	6	100	2.0	100	71	46	98	49	4
LD21-072	33.7	7	100	2.0	100	68	32	100	53	2
LD21-073	17.0	2	100	2.0	0	36	13	100	22	36
LD21-074	11.3	6	100	2.0	0	43	11	100	36	22
LD21-075	15.3	5	100	2.0	0	38	15	100	27	32
LD21-076	20.4	7	100	2.0	0	40	20	100	26	33
LD21-077	5.5	3	100	2.0	0	24	6	100	18	39
LD21-079	16.9	5	100	2.0	0	36	12	92	23	40

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-080	9.4	7	100	2.0	0	24	9	100	17	40
LD21-081	9.6	5	100	2.0	0	26	9	100	19	38
LD21-082	21.0	5	100	2.0	0	34	15	100	23	35
LD21-083	11.9	3	100	2.0	0	27	7	100	21	37
LD21-084	16.0	6	100	2.0	0	33	15	100	22	36
LD21-086	6.1	4	100	2.0	0	31	6	100	27	32
LD21-088	23.3	5	100	1.8	100	67	23	100	57	6
LD21-089	6.7	4	100	2.0	0	25	6	100	20	38
LD21-091	14.1	3	100	2.0	0	36	19	100	30	28
LD21-092	39.1	9	100	1.7	100	79	44	100	62	12
LD21-095	7.6	6	100	2.0	0	31	5	100	27	32
LD21-096	9.9	4	100	2.0	0	36	9	100	30	30
LD21-098	7.5	6	100	2.0	0	39	7	100	35	23
LD21-099	46.8	13	100	1.7	100	85	58	99	65	3
LD21-100	31.0	8	100	1.6	100	82	36	99	71	0
LD21-101	46.8	9	100	1.7	100	81	52	99	62	13
LD21-102	37.6	9	100	1.6	100	89	45	98	81	0
LD21-103	11.8	4	100	2.0	0	42	12	100	34	24
LD21-104	8.5	6	100	2.0	0	45	7	100	41	16
LD21-105	12.2	7	100	2.0	43	48	13	100	41	15
LD21-106	43.4	11	100	1.6	100	86	55	99	71	2
LD21-107	47.4	8	100	1.6	100	82	50	99	65	10
LD21-108	19.3	8	100	2.0	0	37	16	100	25	34
LD21-109	16.8	4	100	2.0	0	39	14	100	28	30
LD21-110	15.7	3	100	2.0	0	33	18	100	18	39
LD21-111	16.4	3	100	2.0	0	30	13	100	19	38
LD21-112	27.8	7	100	1.7	100	78	38	99	65	2
LD21-113	6.8	3	100	2.0	0	32	6	97	25	34

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-114	7.6	1	100	2.0	100	60	7	100	58	0
LD21-115	6.3	1	100	2.0	0	46	5	100	43	12
SD20-001	24.6	4	100	2.0	0	42	18	98	21	38
SD20-002	14.1	6	100	2.0	0	33	15	99	23	35
SD20-003	42.2	5	100	2.0	100	53	42	100	21	37
SD20-004	46.5	6	100	2.0	100	59	40	100	22	36
SD20-005	34.8	4	100	2.0	100	54	29	100	25	33
SD20-006	14.5	6	100	2.0	0	34	16	100	20	38
SD20-007	33.6	3	100	2.0	0	46	35	100	18	39
SD20-008	12.6	3	100	2.0	0	37	10	86	31	35
SD20-009	12.0	3	100	2.0	67	55	10	100	49	6
SD20-010	20.1	5	100	2.0	0	37	20	100	16	40
SD20-011	27.5	4	100	2.0	0	48	23	100	20	38
SD20-012	18.8	3	100	2.0	0	40	15	100	22	36
SD20-013	25.1	4	100	2.0	25	43	26	100	22	35
SD20-014	32.1	7	100	1.9	100	67	32	99	53	10
SD20-015	18.1	6	100	2.0	17	43	12	100	34	23
SD20-016	12.9	6	100	2.0	33	47	9	100	42	13
SD20-017	8.8	6	100	2.0	0	37	7	88	33	32
SD20-018	24.4	3	100	2.0	0	43	21	100	27	32
SD20-019	33.6	5	100	2.0	100	51	31	100	29	30
SD20-020	35.4	8	100	1.6	100	83	40	100	72	0
SD20-021	41.2	4	100	2.0	100	67	49	100	35	23
SD20-022	30.0	3	100	2.0	33	47	27	100	27	32
SD20-023	11.1	7	57	2.0	57	54	10	79	49	13
SD20-024	22.0	3	100	2.0	0	44	19	100	31	27
SD20-025	23.4	4	100	2.0	0	43	26	100	21	37
SD20-026	12.6	4	100	2.0	0	24	7	100	18	39

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-027	24.0	5	100	2.0	0	41	21	100	25	33
SD20-028	43.9	7	100	2.0	100	67	41	99	45	11
SD20-029	10.5	5	100	2.0	0	27	9	100	18	39
SD20-030	14.7	3	100	2.0	0	32	18	100	19	38
SD20-031	22.0	3	100	2.0	0	45	23	100	29	30
SD20-032	23.9	6	100	2.0	100	57	23	100	44	10
SD20-033	14.4	4	100	2.0	0	30	12	100	20	38
SD20-034	10.1	5	100	2.0	0	30	10	100	23	35
SD20-035	12.2	4	100	2.0	0	36	11	100	28	31
SD20-036	17.9	5	100	2.0	0	40	12	100	23	35
SD20-037	13.8	4	100	2.0	50	49	12	100	42	14
SD20-038	42.8	10	100	1.6	100	87	53	99	73	0
SD20-039	21.3	5	100	2.0	100	57	24	100	43	12
SD20-040	38.7	9	100	1.7	100	81	49	99	65	6
SD20-041	29.1	5	100	2.0	100	58	30	100	39	17
SD20-042	7.9	4	100	2.0	0	29	6	100	24	34
SD20-043	17.4	8	100	2.0	0	35	15	100	24	34
SD20-044	13.1	5	100	2.0	0	31	16	99	19	39
SD20-049	6.4	1	100	2.0	100	58	6	100	48	4
SD21-001	28.8	3	100	2.0	0	46	20	97	21	38
SD21-002	29.3	3	100	2.0	0	45	22	100	24	34
SD21-003	25.9	5	100	2.0	0	41	26	99	20	38
SD21-004	34.1	4	100	2.0	0	48	34	100	21	37
SD21-005	39.3	4	100	2.0	100	56	30	100	25	34
SD21-006	13.8	6	100	2.0	0	32	15	100	20	38
SD21-007	11.5	3	100	2.0	67	55	10	100	50	6
SD21-008	13.9	3	100	2.0	0	38	11	84	31	36
SD21-009	23.1	3	100	2.0	0	37	20	99	22	37

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-010	15.9	5	100	2.0	0	37	10	100	19	38
SD21-011	35.7	3	100	2.0	67	51	32	100	25	33
SD21-012	19.6	3	100	2.0	0	40	16	100	22	36
SD21-013	20.5	3	100	2.0	0	42	22	100	26	32
SD21-014	41.5	6	100	2.0	100	61	40	100	34	22
SD21-015	13.9	6	100	2.0	50	52	10	100	47	8
SD21-016	8.1	6	100	2.0	17	44	6	100	40	16
SD21-017	10.1	6	100	2.0	0	33	8	99	28	31
SD21-018	21.5	6	100	2.0	50	48	16	100	38	19
SD21-019	45.0	5	100	2.0	100	65	45	100	37	21
SD21-020	26.2	5	60	2.0	60	51	14	85	45	13
SD21-021	18.3	3	100	2.0	0	38	22	100	22	36
SD21-022	33.2	4	100	2.0	100	64	30	100	48	9
SD21-023	16.0	3	100	2.0	100	65	24	87	31	32
SD21-024	28.4	4	100	2.0	50	51	31	98	28	31
SD21-025	17.1	3	100	2.0	0	38	15	100	28	31
SD21-026	16.8	3	100	2.0	0	33	16	100	20	37
SD21-027	26.2	6	100	2.0	50	50	23	99	35	23
SD21-028	49.5	5	100	2.0	100	68	49	98	39	18
SD21-029	17.3	3	100	2.0	0	33	13	100	19	38
SD21-030	8.8	4	100	2.0	0	24	8	100	18	39
SD21-031	11.5	4	100	2.0	0	37	12	100	29	30
SD21-032	33.8	6	100	2.0	100	69	36	99	51	3
SD21-033	14.4	4	100	2.0	0	31	12	100	21	37
SD21-034	18.9	5	100	2.0	20	45	13	100	23	35
SD21-035	11.1	4	100	2.0	0	35	10	100	28	30
SD21-037	10.7	6	100	2.0	0	32	10	100	24	34
SD21-038	33.4	5	100	2.0	100	62	35	100	42	14

Table 3: General Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-039	39.0	8	100	1.6	100	82	48	100	66	9
SD21-040	47.5	10	100	1.6	100	89	60	97	76	0
SD21-041	10.0	5	100	2.0	0	44	9	100	38	19
SD21-042	20.3	4	100	2.0	100	59	20	100	49	3
SD21-043	17.9	8	100	2.0	0	36	15	100	24	34
SD21-044	12.7	5	100	2.0	0	31	15	99	19	39
SD21-045	7.1	4	100	2.0	0	30	7	100	25	33
SD21-049	6.9	1	100	2.0	100	59	6	100	50	0

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate)

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
CD20-001	41.9	14	100	4.1	100	61	62	69	48	12
CD20-002	18.2	15	100	4.1	67	55	26	71	50	14
CD20-003	18.7	15	100	4.0	73	54	40	66	47	22
CD20-004	24.4	16	100	3.9	75	59	34	68	55	12
CD20-005	10.7	16	100	3.9	56	51	21	62	48	15
CD20-006	32.0	15	100	4.2	67	51	47	59	44	23
CD20-007	15.4	15	100	4.0	73	52	30	62	47	5
CD20-008	25.9	14	100	4.1	79	54	52	60	48	20
CD20-009	17.4	16	100	4.6	56	46	33	63	42	13
CD20-010	10.1	15	100	4.0	73	52	25	62	48	27
CD20-011	3.7	1	100	5.0	100	54	5	100	47	.
CD20-012	34.1	20	100	3.6	85	60	54	68	50	18
CD20-013	13.9	14	100	4.1	71	54	33	59	52	14
CD21-001	22.4	15	100	4.0	73	55	41	66	47	18
CD21-002	39.1	14	100	4.1	100	61	60	70	47	13
CD21-003	15.7	16	100	4.1	56	48	28	65	40	17
CD21-004	27.5	14	100	4.1	71	54	55	60	48	20
CD21-005	23.2	14	100	4.1	71	59	32	71	54	12
CD21-006	20.4	15	100	4.5	60	52	25	76	47	10
CD21-007	15.3	14	100	4.1	57	49	32	61	44	30
CD21-008	16.5	14	100	4.1	64	48	36	62	41	29
CD21-009	36.3	20	100	3.6	80	60	56	68	50	19
CD21-010	16.2	14	100	4.1	86	54	35	63	50	15
CD21-011	19.2	14	100	4.1	64	51	35	63	46	24
CD21-012	17.1	16	100	3.9	75	53	36	63	47	21
CD21-013	14.8	17	100	3.9	76	53	31	64	48	11
CD21-014	3.6	1	100	5.0	100	54	5	100	47	.
LD20-001	36.6	14	100	4.1	93	58	58	73	40	19
LD20-002	25.7	15	100	4.1	87	57	45	70	47	12

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-003	19.2	19	100	3.7	63	53	41	66	43	24
LD20-004	20.6	15	100	4.0	93	58	52	69	46	12
LD20-005	41.0	17	100	3.8	94	60	61	68	47	16
LD20-006	7.1	13	100	4.3	69	51	15	63	49	8
LD20-007	22.4	15	100	4.1	93	63	49	78	49	4
LD20-008	42.5	15	100	4.1	93	58	59	66	47	16
LD20-009	27.9	14	100	4.1	71	56	38	64	50	13
LD20-010	22.0	14	100	4.1	79	54	44	72	36	18
LD20-011	15.4	15	100	4.1	47	45	16	67	40	29
LD20-012	36.9	15	100	4.1	87	59	61	67	46	6
LD20-013	7.9	14	100	4.1	57	50	22	65	45	10
LD20-014	17.8	13	100	4.2	92	56	47	61	51	12
LD20-015	10.7	13	100	4.2	77	52	38	62	45	9
LD20-016	18.3	15	100	4.0	73	50	39	60	44	4
LD20-017	10.1	16	100	3.9	69	53	26	64	49	6
LD20-018	21.1	14	100	4.1	71	55	35	61	51	14
LD20-019	6.3	15	100	4.0	53	49	10	66	47	13
LD20-020	5.5	12	100	4.4	58	50	8	79	47	7
LD20-021	37.4	17	100	3.9	88	56	62	63	46	15
LD20-022	29.3	18	100	3.9	94	58	55	72	42	9
LD20-023	50.6	16	100	4.0	100	64	66	70	50	12
LD20-024	38.2	16	100	3.9	94	63	63	68	52	11
LD20-025	42.6	8	100	5.2	100	58	68	68	40	24
LD20-026	16.5	15	100	4.0	60	53	35	67	46	27
LD20-027	51.6	18	100	3.8	78	57	59	72	36	36
LD20-028	15.8	15	100	4.0	93	57	35	66	51	8
LD20-029	37.2	20	100	3.7	65	61	38	79	50	7
LD20-030	28.2	19	100	3.7	68	59	33	73	52	8

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-031	39.8	20	100	3.7	95	64	58	74	49	7
LD20-032	48.1	16	100	3.9	100	68	66	78	52	6
LD20-033	39.9	16	100	4.0	88	65	58	75	52	0
LD20-034	11.5	15	100	4.1	33	42	12	71	38	39
LD20-035	18.0	15	100	4.0	67	57	31	68	52	13
LD20-036	7.5	16	100	4.0	50	49	13	62	47	18
LD20-037	11.3	16	100	4.0	62	52	24	62	50	6
LD20-038	39.4	15	100	4.0	73	61	53	69	54	21
LD20-040	11.3	14	100	4.1	43	46	17	69	41	31
LD20-041	7.1	15	100	4.1	40	44	11	70	41	28
LD20-042	38.1	9	100	2.8	89	60	76	66	44	12
LD20-043	33.9	16	100	4.0	75	51	51	58	44	30
LD20-044	48.1	16	100	4.0	81	56	76	60	44	36
LD20-045	31.4	17	100	3.9	71	54	60	62	42	29
LD20-046	25.0	15	100	4.1	93	52	41	60	47	13
LD20-047	23.8	18	100	4.1	67	47	24	66	41	10
LD20-048	35.5	18	100	3.8	94	58	63	67	43	18
LD20-049	12.3	15	100	4.1	33	41	10	68	38	38
LD20-050	17.5	16	100	3.9	56	50	28	60	47	16
LD20-052	11.0	15	100	4.1	67	55	26	62	52	10
LD20-054	12.9	14	100	4.1	57	52	18	62	50	0
LD20-055	26.2	17	100	4.2	71	49	51	72	34	24
LD20-056	10.2	14	100	4.5	43	45	8	76	42	21
LD20-057	39.7	16	100	4.1	75	54	56	62	43	24
LD20-058	43.1	17	100	4.0	71	54	60	61	43	32
LD20-059	28.6	17	100	4.1	71	53	60	62	39	24
LD20-060	34.6	16	100	4.1	81	56	60	63	44	16
LD20-061	40.0	16	100	4.1	62	52	35	62	46	23

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-062	13.7	17	100	4.0	65	50	27	65	44	11
LD20-063	24.8	15	100	4.1	73	52	43	60	45	20
LD20-064	15.1	16	100	4.1	62	51	30	59	48	31
LD20-065	19.6	15	100	4.0	87	55	43	66	48	18
LD20-066	24.0	15	100	4.3	80	50	42	61	42	12
LD20-067	7.9	14	100	4.1	64	47	22	70	41	18
LD20-068	8.4	15	100	4.3	80	54	24	63	51	5
LD20-069	11.6	15	100	4.1	73	51	31	61	47	6
LD20-070	7.2	15	100	4.1	80	56	19	65	53	19
LD20-071	40.3	19	100	3.8	84	58	63	62	50	17
LD20-072	34.4	19	100	3.8	68	53	41	65	44	24
LD20-073	14.6	15	100	4.1	73	50	36	64	43	24
LD20-074	11.4	16	100	4.0	62	50	23	65	45	9
LD20-075	15.3	17	100	3.9	76	52	37	65	44	27
LD20-076	21.6	15	100	4.0	93	55	42	60	51	25
LD20-077	7.3	15	100	4.1	73	52	24	61	49	11
LD20-078	6.1	15	100	4.1	60	52	19	62	50	14
LD20-079	22.3	16	100	4.2	81	54	41	68	44	15
LD20-080	9.5	14	100	4.1	79	55	26	62	52	21
LD20-081	9.6	14	100	4.1	71	54	24	61	52	12
LD20-082	20.2	8	100	2.6	100	61	42	69	56	8
LD20-083	19.5	14	100	4.1	71	51	37	64	44	33
LD20-084	14.1	14	100	4.1	93	52	32	63	47	11
LD20-086	6.0	15	100	4.0	67	54	14	65	52	12
LD20-087	5.1	15	100	4.0	80	52	13	66	50	2
LD20-088	16.0	11	100	4.5	55	52	24	65	49	16
LD20-089	7.9	14	100	4.1	86	54	23	60	52	1
LD20-090	3.3	14	100	4.1	50	46	8	69	44	21

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD20-091	4.8	16	100	3.9	56	52	13	64	50	33
LD20-092	40.2	18	100	3.7	78	60	65	65	49	14
LD20-094	5.7	19	100	3.9	47	45	12	57	43	15
LD20-095	9.6	14	100	4.1	64	51	22	61	49	13
LD20-096	8.9	14	100	4.1	64	48	17	57	47	18
LD20-097	5.5	14	100	4.1	57	54	14	65	51	11
LD20-098	9.2	14	100	4.2	50	51	18	62	49	29
LD20-099	36.0	20	100	3.6	85	61	65	69	46	17
LD20-100	30.5	17	100	3.8	76	56	42	65	49	21
LD20-101	48.0	18	100	3.7	89	61	72	67	44	21
LD20-102	33.8	16	100	4.3	81	58	47	66	51	18
LD20-103	14.2	14	100	4.2	57	49	24	63	44	30
LD20-104	12.0	12	100	4.4	50	44	16	61	42	37
LD20-105	12.9	15	100	4.2	73	54	24	64	50	10
LD20-106	46.3	23	100	3.7	100	64	73	71	45	13
LD20-107	53.6	21	100	3.7	95	64	72	71	44	14
LD20-108	19.5	16	100	3.9	69	52	41	67	42	16
LD20-109	15.3	17	100	3.8	71	52	30	62	48	9
LD20-110	14.6	15	100	4.0	87	53	37	64	47	14
LD20-111	22.8	16	100	4.1	94	54	46	67	42	13
LD20-112	9.2	14	100	4.1	79	50	19	64	48	10
LD20-115	6.9	12	100	4.5	58	57	7	68	56	20
LD20-116	7.2	12	100	4.8	58	55	8	67	54	16
LD20-117	3.6	17	100	3.8	59	52	5	68	51	5
LD21-001	17.7	14	100	4.1	100	55	35	69	47	11
LD21-002	23.7	15	100	4.0	67	55	37	62	51	25
LD21-003	19.4	18	100	3.8	61	51	35	68	41	25
LD21-004	24.9	15	100	4.1	87	56	54	65	45	9

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-005	37.5	16	100	3.9	94	59	60	67	46	22
LD21-007	22.2	15	100	4.1	93	63	48	78	49	4
LD21-008	44.2	15	100	4.1	93	58	59	66	46	13
LD21-009	24.6	14	100	4.1	64	55	38	61	52	19
LD21-010	33.1	14	100	4.1	100	58	58	64	49	12
LD21-011	14.2	15	100	4.1	40	44	15	67	40	39
LD21-012	37.7	14	100	4.1	100	59	60	68	46	7
LD21-013	8.3	15	100	4.1	67	53	19	64	49	16
LD21-014	17.8	13	100	4.2	92	56	47	61	51	12
LD21-015	10.6	14	100	4.3	71	50	40	60	44	7
LD21-016	13.2	14	100	4.1	64	51	38	59	46	7
LD21-017	10.3	17	100	3.9	65	51	26	62	47	7
LD21-018	21.6	15	100	4.1	67	52	35	60	48	14
LD21-019	5.1	15	100	4.0	60	50	10	64	48	15
LD21-020	5.3	11	100	4.4	64	52	8	80	50	7
LD21-021	10.8	15	100	4.1	60	50	16	63	47	22
LD21-022	27.7	17	100	3.9	94	57	54	70	46	12
LD21-023	52.5	15	100	4.0	100	65	67	71	50	13
LD21-024	36.6	15	100	4.0	93	61	61	67	50	13
LD21-025	40.0	15	100	4.0	100	63	62	77	43	17
LD21-026	16.8	9	100	2.6	78	61	37	75	54	30
LD21-027	50.8	18	100	3.9	89	60	62	75	49	17
LD21-028	16.2	15	100	4.0	93	57	35	67	51	8
LD21-029	38.3	20	100	3.7	80	63	44	78	51	7
LD21-030	33.0	19	100	3.7	74	61	30	74	55	8
LD21-032	42.4	14	100	4.1	93	61	61	78	35	12
LD21-033	29.8	15	100	4.1	73	62	34	75	57	0
LD21-034	18.2	15	100	4.1	53	49	18	69	45	29

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-036	8.0	6	100	6.2	50	39	13	52	37	0
LD21-040	10.7	14	100	4.1	36	43	14	75	39	33
LD21-042	38.1	9	100	2.8	89	60	76	66	44	12
LD21-043	34.8	16	100	4.0	75	51	52	58	44	30
LD21-044	48.1	16	100	4.0	81	56	76	60	44	36
LD21-045	30.3	15	100	4.1	73	54	60	63	41	25
LD21-046	28.5	16	100	4.0	81	50	41	64	40	9
LD21-047	21.5	19	100	4.1	84	50	27	63	45	2
LD21-048	35.5	18	100	3.8	94	58	63	67	43	18
LD21-049	13.0	15	100	4.1	27	38	14	75	32	28
LD21-050	17.9	15	100	4.1	60	51	30	61	47	18
LD21-052	22.3	15	100	4.1	80	55	38	61	50	14
LD21-053	18.8	9	100	2.7	89	65	40	67	63	12
LD21-054	11.1	19	100	3.7	37	42	18	57	39	23
LD21-055	24.0	17	100	4.2	71	49	49	72	34	25
LD21-056	10.1	14	100	4.5	43	45	8	76	42	21
LD21-057	39.7	16	100	4.1	75	54	56	62	43	24
LD21-058	42.8	17	100	4.0	71	54	61	62	43	32
LD21-059	26.6	16	100	4.1	69	53	58	64	38	22
LD21-060	34.9	16	100	4.1	81	56	60	63	45	16
LD21-061	40.8	16	100	4.1	62	52	37	62	46	23
LD21-062	13.3	17	100	4.0	65	50	26	64	44	12
LD21-063	24.3	14	100	4.1	71	51	40	62	43	25
LD21-064	15.5	15	100	4.0	67	52	30	60	49	31
LD21-065	18.9	15	100	4.0	87	55	42	66	48	18
LD21-066	27.2	14	100	4.1	79	59	41	65	55	8
LD21-067	13.0	14	100	4.1	79	49	35	68	40	25
LD21-068	8.1	13	100	5.5	77	57	24	64	54	6

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-069	11.6	15	100	4.1	73	51	30	61	47	6
LD21-070	7.0	16	100	4.0	75	53	18	64	50	20
LD21-071	39.5	19	100	3.8	84	58	61	62	50	18
LD21-072	33.7	18	100	3.9	67	53	40	65	45	19
LD21-073	17.0	8	100	2.6	88	58	35	73	49	25
LD21-074	11.3	16	100	4.0	69	51	23	64	47	10
LD21-075	15.3	17	100	3.9	76	52	37	65	44	27
LD21-076	20.4	16	100	3.9	94	55	41	60	52	9
LD21-077	5.5	16	100	4.1	75	49	20	57	48	11
LD21-078	5.5	15	100	4.1	67	51	17	59	50	9
LD21-079	16.9	14	100	4.1	79	55	30	64	50	4
LD21-080	9.4	15	100	4.2	80	53	27	61	50	22
LD21-081	9.6	15	100	4.1	73	54	24	60	52	14
LD21-082	21.0	14	100	4.1	86	55	38	62	52	16
LD21-083	11.9	14	100	4.1	79	52	31	68	45	23
LD21-084	16.0	14	100	4.1	93	52	36	63	46	11
LD21-086	6.1	15	100	4.0	67	54	13	66	52	13
LD21-087	4.9	15	100	4.0	67	51	11	64	49	27
LD21-088	23.3	11	100	4.5	64	53	28	61	50	17
LD21-089	6.7	14	100	4.1	71	50	19	63	47	2
LD21-090	3.5	16	100	3.9	56	48	8	69	47	15
LD21-091	14.1	15	100	4.0	73	51	33	65	45	23
LD21-092	39.1	17	100	3.8	76	58	63	64	49	11
LD21-094	5.3	17	100	3.9	65	51	11	61	50	14
LD21-095	7.6	12	100	4.5	58	48	14	62	46	22
LD21-096	9.9	14	100	4.1	71	52	21	58	50	18
LD21-097	5.5	14	100	4.1	57	53	15	64	51	11
LD21-098	7.5	14	100	4.2	43	47	14	64	44	40

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
LD21-099	46.8	24	100	3.8	96	61	75	67	44	17
LD21-100	31.0	17	100	3.8	76	56	42	64	49	21
LD21-101	46.8	18	100	3.9	89	59	70	66	43	18
LD21-102	37.6	19	100	3.9	84	58	52	66	50	21
LD21-103	11.8	15	100	4.1	60	49	25	64	44	30
LD21-104	8.5	12	100	4.4	33	38	12	64	35	58
LD21-105	12.2	15	100	4.2	73	54	25	64	50	10
LD21-106	43.4	24	100	3.7	100	63	68	72	46	14
LD21-107	47.4	20	100	3.6	95	64	68	71	47	16
LD21-108	19.3	16	100	3.9	69	52	38	66	44	17
LD21-110	15.7	16	100	4.1	100	55	46	66	46	12
LD21-111	16.4	16	100	4.1	75	51	32	62	47	11
LD21-112	27.8	17	100	3.8	71	57	49	66	48	20
LD21-113	6.8	14	100	4.1	57	49	12	57	48	4
LD21-114	7.6	12	100	5.1	67	56	6	62	56	22
LD21-115	6.3	12	100	4.5	42	49	6	64	48	20
LD21-117	3.5	8	100	2.6	75	60	5	64	60	4
SD20-001	24.6	14	100	4.1	100	55	40	66	48	12
SD20-002	14.1	17	100	3.9	53	48	32	66	44	26
SD20-003	42.2	15	100	4.0	93	64	61	77	46	8
SD20-004	46.5	15	100	4.3	93	59	64	69	50	14
SD20-005	34.8	14	100	4.1	79	55	49	64	48	24
SD20-006	14.5	14	100	4.1	86	54	45	64	46	11
SD20-007	33.6	15	100	4.0	100	59	61	67	48	9
SD20-008	12.6	15	100	4.0	60	49	28	64	41	17
SD20-009	12.0	13	100	4.3	69	53	20	61	51	18
SD20-010	20.1	16	100	4.1	88	57	47	64	49	16
SD20-011	27.5	14	100	4.1	93	62	52	71	49	4

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-012	18.8	17	100	3.9	65	52	41	60	47	7
SD20-013	25.1	20	100	4.2	65	48	33	62	43	5
SD20-014	32.1	15	100	4.1	80	62	45	74	53	0
SD20-015	18.1	15	100	4.0	67	56	23	64	53	7
SD20-016	12.9	15	100	4.1	40	43	15	73	38	29
SD20-017	8.8	15	100	4.1	47	46	16	62	43	34
SD20-018	24.4	15	100	4.1	87	62	47	73	51	16
SD20-019	33.6	15	100	4.1	80	53	56	59	45	18
SD20-020	35.4	20	100	3.7	70	62	43	75	52	7
SD20-021	41.2	17	100	3.9	71	56	74	60	46	19
SD20-022	30.0	17	100	4.1	71	56	41	66	52	0
SD20-023	11.1	16	100	4.3	44	44	14	61	44	11
SD20-024	22.0	14	100	4.1	79	53	43	62	47	24
SD20-025	23.4	15	100	4.1	67	51	44	60	46	23
SD20-026	12.6	14	100	4.1	79	54	29	62	50	6
SD20-027	24.0	17	100	4.0	71	53	45	61	45	6
SD20-028	43.9	16	100	4.1	62	53	51	62	43	23
SD20-029	10.5	14	100	4.1	71	54	28	62	51	14
SD20-030	14.7	15	100	4.0	73	50	37	60	45	25
SD20-031	22.0	16	100	3.9	81	54	50	64	44	28
SD20-032	23.9	17	100	3.8	59	50	36	65	41	31
SD20-033	14.4	15	100	4.0	100	55	35	62	52	10
SD20-034	10.1	15	100	4.2	73	50	25	60	47	12
SD20-035	12.2	15	100	4.1	80	53	32	59	50	8
SD20-036	17.9	14	100	4.1	79	51	37	64	44	22
SD20-037	13.8	13	100	4.5	46	43	18	61	39	37
SD20-038	42.8	22	100	3.8	91	61	64	68	49	19
SD20-039	21.3	17	100	3.8	76	54	40	66	46	17

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD20-040	38.7	21	100	3.8	86	61	65	68	46	18
SD20-041	29.1	20	100	3.6	80	59	50	68	50	18
SD20-042	7.9	14	100	4.1	79	51	18	59	49	3
SD20-043	17.4	16	100	3.9	75	53	36	64	47	10
SD20-044	13.1	14	100	4.1	71	52	35	63	46	14
SD20-045	3.3	1	100	2.0	0	38	6	72	33	44
SD20-046	5.5	15	100	4.0	67	54	12	64	53	10
SD20-047	5.1	13	100	4.2	38	43	8	63	41	25
SD20-049	6.4	12	100	4.8	67	56	6	66	55	15
SD21-001	28.8	14	100	4.1	93	57	45	67	48	8
SD21-002	29.3	14	100	4.1	93	60	48	71	49	10
SD21-003	25.9	18	100	4.1	89	56	46	71	44	11
SD21-004	34.1	14	100	4.1	93	59	59	66	48	14
SD21-005	39.3	14	100	4.1	93	58	56	65	49	14
SD21-006	13.8	15	100	4.2	87	54	44	62	48	11
SD21-007	11.5	12	100	4.5	67	53	19	62	51	22
SD21-008	13.9	15	100	4.0	53	47	26	63	41	20
SD21-009	23.1	14	100	4.1	93	55	50	66	45	6
SD21-010	15.9	16	100	3.9	81	54	35	66	49	15
SD21-011	35.7	16	100	4.1	81	60	58	74	43	14
SD21-012	19.6	16	100	4.0	62	51	42	61	44	24
SD21-013	20.5	14	100	4.1	79	59	37	66	55	0
SD21-014	41.5	15	100	4.1	87	64	62	76	46	7
SD21-015	13.9	15	100	4.1	33	42	12	71	38	33
SD21-016	8.1	15	100	4.1	40	43	11	73	40	25
SD21-017	10.1	15	100	4.1	67	53	20	61	52	6
SD21-018	21.5	15	100	4.0	67	56	27	64	53	7
SD21-019	45.0	16	100	4.1	75	54	69	58	45	20

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-020	26.2	17	100	4.0	65	55	34	77	47	8
SD21-021	18.3	15	100	4.1	60	49	41	60	43	6
SD21-022	33.2	19	100	3.7	74	61	38	74	52	8
SD21-023	16.0	17	100	4.1	47	46	23	58	44	30
SD21-024	28.4	18	100	4.1	78	51	43	70	41	9
SD21-025	17.1	14	100	4.1	79	53	34	60	50	22
SD21-026	16.8	15	100	4.0	73	54	39	61	49	29
SD21-027	26.2	16	100	4.1	62	52	36	63	45	16
SD21-028	49.5	16	100	4.1	81	55	65	62	42	23
SD21-029	17.3	14	100	4.1	79	49	39	64	40	25
SD21-030	8.8	14	100	4.1	79	55	24	61	53	13
SD21-031	11.5	16	100	4.0	69	48	30	64	41	26
SD21-032	33.8	18	100	3.9	67	52	47	63	43	30
SD21-033	14.4	15	100	4.0	100	55	35	62	52	9
SD21-034	18.9	16	100	3.9	81	54	38	62	49	4
SD21-035	11.1	15	100	4.1	80	53	30	60	50	8
SD21-036	4.2	15	100	4.0	53	48	10	64	45	16
SD21-037	10.7	14	100	4.1	64	51	24	60	48	13
SD21-038	33.4	20	100	3.6	90	61	56	70	49	16
SD21-039	39.0	21	100	3.6	90	62	66	69	47	15
SD21-040	47.5	21	100	3.8	100	63	72	68	48	18
SD21-041	10.0	12	100	4.4	50	44	20	63	40	32
SD21-042	20.3	15	100	4.2	67	55	27	64	52	12
SD21-043	17.9	16	100	3.9	75	53	37	64	47	10
SD21-044	12.7	15	100	4.3	73	51	35	64	43	14
SD21-045	7.1	14	100	4.1	79	54	18	59	52	2
SD21-046	4.6	14	100	4.1	57	51	7	69	49	8
SD21-048	5.2	15	100	4.0	53	46	9	58	45	3

Table 4: Primary Elections (contests with Black candidate) *(continued)*

District	Percent Black Voting Age Population	Number of Contests	Percent of Black-preferred candidates Democratic	Average Number of Candidates	Black-preferred win rate	Average Black-preferred candidate vote share	Avg. Pct. Voters Black	Avg. ER Black cohesion (pct.)	Avg. ER White crossover support (pct.)	Pct. Black needed for majority
SD21-049	6.9	12	100	4.8	67	56	7	65	55	15

EXHIBIT 60

From:	Allison Riggs
To:	Phil.Berger@ncleg.gov; Robin.Braswell@ncleg.gov; Tim.Moore@ncleg.gov; Grace.Irvin@ncleg.gov; Warren.Daniel@ncleg.gov; Andy.Perrigo@ncleg.gov; Ralph.Hise@ncleg.gov; Susan.Fanning@ncleg.gov; Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov; Andrew.Stiffel@ncleg.gov; Destin.Hall@ncleg.gov; Lucy.Harrill@ncleg.gov; Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov; Bonnie.McNeil@ncleg.gov; Robert.Reives@ncleg.gov; Veronica.Green@ncleg.gov; Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov; Bonnie.McNeil@ncleg.gov; Ben.Clark@ncleg.gov; Michael.Johnson@ncleg.gov; Don.Davis@ncleg.gov; Edwin.Woodard@ncleg.gov; Chuck.Edwards@ncleg.gov; Heather.Millett@ncleg.gov; Carl.Ford@ncleg.gov; Angela.Ford@ncleg.gov; Kathy.Harrington@ncleg.gov; Lorie.Byrd@ncleg.gov; Brent.Jackson@ncleg.gov; William.Kirkley@ncleg.gov; Joyce.Krawiec@ncleg.gov; Debbie.Lown@ncleg.gov; Paul.Lowe@ncleg.gov; Corneisha.Mitchell@ncleg.gov; Natasha.Marcus@ncleg.gov; Jessica.Bolin@ncleg.gov; Wiley.Nickel@ncleg.gov; Michael.Cullen@ncleg.gov; Jim.Perry@ncleg.gov; LeighAnn.Biddix@ncleg.gov; Bill.Rabon@ncleg.gov; Paula.Fields@ncleg.gov; William.Richardson@ncleg.gov; Leigh.Lawrence@ncleg.gov; Jason.Saine@ncleg.gov; MaryStuart.Sloan@ncleg.gov; John.Torbett@ncleg.gov; Viddia.Torbett@ncleg.gov; Cecil.Brockman@ncleg.gov; Matthew.Barley@ncleg.gov; Becky.Carney@ncleg.gov; Beth.LeGrande@ncleg.gov; Linda.Cooper-Suggs@ncleg.gov; Caroline.Enloe@ncleg.gov; Jimmy.Dixon@ncleg.gov; Michael.Wiggins@ncleg.gov; Jon.Hardister@ncleg.gov; Jayne.Nelson@ncleg.gov; Pricey.Harrison@ncleg.gov; Mary.Lee@ncleg.gov; Kelly.Hastings@ncleg.gov; Sophia.Hastings@ncleg.gov; Zack.Hawkins@ncleg.gov; Anita.Wilder@ncleg.gov; Brenden.Jones@ncleg.gov; Jeff.Hauser@ncleg.gov; Grey.Mills@ncleg.gov; Mason.Barefoot@ncleg.gov; Robert.Reives@ncleg.gov; Veronica.Green@ncleg.gov; David.Rogers@ncleg.gov; Misty.Rogers@ncleg.gov; John.Szoka@ncleg.gov; Beverly.Slagle@ncleg.gov; Harry.Warren@ncleg.gov; Cristy.Yates@ncleg.gov; Lee.Zachary@ncleg.gov; Martha.Jenkins@ncleg.gov
Cc:	Hilary Harris Klein; Mitchell D. Brown; Katelin Kaiser
Subject:	2021 North Carolina redistricting - SCSJ correspondence re: process and cluster maps
Date:	Friday, October 8, 2021 4:19:23 PM
Attachments:	SCSJ correspondence_NCGA redistricting_2021.10.08.pdf
Importance:	High

Senators and Representatives and NCGA staff,

Please find attached correspondence from the Southern Coalition for Social Justice regarding the redistricting process and the cluster maps released on Tuesday. Please don't hesitate to reach out to me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Allison Riggs
Co-Executive Director, Programs
Chief Counsel for Voting Rights
Southern Coalition for Social Justice
1415 West Highway 54, Ste. 101
Durham, NC 27707
919-323-3380 ext. 117
919-323-3942 (fax)
allison@southerncoalition.org

CONFIDENTIAL & PRIVILEGED

This communication is intended solely for the addressee. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you believe this message has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender by replying to this transmission and delete the message without disclosing it. Thank you.

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1412

SOUTHERN COALITION for SOCIAL JUSTICE

1415 W. Hwy 54, Suite 101
Durham, NC 27707
919-323-3380
southerncoalition.org

October 8, 2021

VIA EMAIL

To: Sen. Phil Berger
President Pro Tempore, North Carolina Senate
Rep. Tim Moore
Speaker, North Carolina House of Representatives
Sen. Daniel, Sen. Hise, and Sen. Newton
Co-Chairs, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Rep. D. Hall, Chair
House Standing Committee on Redistricting
CC: Sen. Dan Blue, Senate Democratic Leader
Rep. Robert T. Reives, II, House Democratic Leader
Members, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Members, House Standing Committee on Redistricting

Senators and Representatives,

The undersigned respectfully submit this letter to bring to the attention of the legislative leadership, Members of the Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections, Members of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, and, indeed, the entire legislative body, certain areas of concern within the county clustering option maps you introduced on Tuesday, October 5, 2021. The Committee Chairs stated that these maps represent the only legally compliant county clustering options in which ultimate district lines will be drawn. We disagree.

In *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, the North Carolina Supreme Court developed a methodology for how counties should be grouped together to form county clusters.[1] Under *Stephenson*, first, districts must be drawn to satisfy Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act ("VRA") to ensure voters of color have an equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect their candidates of choice. Only after that analysis is performed and those districts are drawn may any work be done to harmonize and maximize compliance with North Carolina's Whole County Provision ("WCP").[2]

[1] *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354 (2002); *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 357 N.C. 301 (2003).

[2] We do not concede that your interpretation of the *Stephenson* criteria after the first step—drawing VRA-required districts—is correct.

About Us: The Southern Coalition for Social Justice partners with communities of color and economically disadvantaged communities in the South to defend and advance their political, social, and economic rights through the combination of legal advocacy, research, organizing, and communications.

Although the *Stephenson* criteria outlines a process for how counties are grouped together to create districts, there is still discretion regarding the choices about how and where to group counties. Consequently, these individual choices can result in different county grouping options that directly affect political opportunities and voting power for voters of color. We will be monitoring your choices with respect to county clusters closely, as well as the impact of those choices. But even now, we can identify serious problems with your judgment being used in this redistricting process, including but not limited to gross mischaracterizations of applicable law.

I. The North Carolina General Assembly Continues to Flout Well-Established Redistricting Law

At this point, we have only seen draft district lines for the aforementioned clusters presented by your Committees, which create some (but not all) districts and thus do not constitute full maps. As a result, this letter does not and cannot address all potential violations of the North Carolina Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act, or the North Carolina Supreme Court's instructions in the *Stephenson* cases. Our intent here is to bring to your attention the potential problems in the county clustering maps from which you have indicated you intend to choose. We also seek to highlight, once again, the erroneous legal interpretation under which you appear to be operating, just as in last decade's redistricting cycle. Absent a material change in direction, we may have further critiques or concerns. However, it is not too late to remedy these issues and embark on a redistricting process that will comply with applicable law.

1. The North Carolina Legislature Is Already Violating the *Stephenson* Instructions

Because this body is erroneously avoiding the use of all racial data, you per se cannot comply with *Stephenson.* Without that data, you cannot assess what districts are required under the VRA and draw those districts first as required. The failure to consider racial data is deeply problematic for other legal and policy grounds, but in this letter, we focus on the potential county clusters where it is unlikely that a district that will provide voters of color an equal opportunity to elect their preferred candidates can be produced by the county cluster.

The North Carolina Supreme Court has been unequivocal: *Stephenson* mandates that "districts required by the VRA be drawn first."[3] Indeed, the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution requires federal law compliance be prioritized. In order to determine whether it is necessary to draw VRA districts, the Legislature must determine the level of racially polarized voting in the relevant geographical area.[4] Without any analysis of racial voting data, you are making it impossible to assess whether VRA districts are required and violating the plain rule in *Stephenson*. Thus, to comply with *Stephenson* and the VRA, we believe the Legislature must conduct a regionally-focused racially polarized voting ("RPV") study to determine if there is legally significant racially polarized voting. If there is that level of racially polarized voting,

[3] *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 383 (2002).
[4] *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 55 (1986).

and if any cluster which you claim is required under strict compliance with *Stephenson* produces a district in which voters of color would not be able to elect their preferred candidate, then you must draw a VRA district first and only then engage in developing clusters around that district.[5] As discussed below, your claims that RPV studies done in 2011 and the *Covington* court's ruling in 2016[6] somehow negate the possibility that any VRA districts may be necessary today, in 2021, is plainly wrong.

2. The North Carolina General Assembly Is Grossly Misinterpreting *Covington v. North Carolina* and Other Precedent from Last Cycle

Sen. Hise and Rep. Hall are factually incorrect in representing that courts last decade ruled that racially polarized voting in North Carolina does not exist. In the most relevant case, *Covington v. North Carolina*, the federal court that invalidated 28 North Carolina legislative districts as unconstitutional racial gerrymanders in fact stated the opposite.[7] The court acknowledged that there were two reports before the Legislature indicating there was statistically significant racially polarized voting in the state[8], but the bipartisan panel of federal judges excoriated the Legislature for "failing to evaluate whether there was a strong basis of evidence for the third *Gingles* factor in any potential VRA district."[9] That is, the court acknowledged the "general finding regarding the existence of [] racially polarized voting," but said the Legislature had to do a deeper inquiry, which "is exactly what Defendants did not do."[10] This body seems bound and determined to make the same legal mistake again this redistricting cycle by once again abdicating its responsibility to do the analysis it is required by law to do. If this Legislature declines to meet its obligations under *Stephenson* to determine and draw districts required by the VRA first, it should be prepared for a court to ultimately draw the maps needed for elections next year.

Second, no case from the last redistricting cycle overturns or otherwise renders null *Stephenson*'s requirement that the Legislature draw VRA districts first. In a meeting of the Joint Redistricting and Elections Committee on August 12, 2021, the Committee Chairs, in response to Senator Clark's question about complying with the VRA, stated that RPV analysis was not necessary due to "the 2019 decisions."[11] The 2019 Superior Court decision *Common Cause v. Lewis* found that compliance with the VRA was not a plausible excuse to a charge of partisan

[5] *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354 (2002) (holding legislative districts required by the VRA be formed prior to the creation of non-VRA districts to ensure redistricting plans "ha[ve] no retrogressive effect upon minority voters.").
[6] *Covington v. North Carolina*, 316 F.R.D. 117 (M.D.N.C. 2016).
[7] *Id.* at 169-170 (finding that Defendants' "reports conclude that there is evidence of racially polarized voting in North Carolina [.]").
[8] *Id.*
[9] *Id.* at 167.
[10] *Id.* at 167-68.
[11] NCGA Redistricting, *2021-08-12 Committee (Joint)*, YOUTUBE (Aug. 13, 201), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gSm2OhE7Slk&t=718s.

gerrymandering.[12] It did *not* hold that the General Assembly may completely ignore racial voting data when drawing districts following the release of U.S. Census data. As a result, *Lewis* in no way alters *Stephenson*'s mandate that the Legislature first draw VRA districts with the assistance of racial voting data analysis.

Lastly, no other federal law or Supreme Court decision compels or even allows this body to ignore racial data in drawing district lines. The Supreme Court decision *Cooper v. Harris* explains that states *can* use racial data in redistricting to comply with the VRA.[13] In 2017, the Supreme Court found that the creation of two North Carolina congressional districts violated the federal Constitution because map drawers had used racial data in ways *not required* by the VRA.[14] *Cooper* found that map drawers were using the VRA as an excuse to pack far more Black voters into a district than was necessary for VRA compliance; it did not state that the use of racial data is unconstitutional in every circumstance.[15] In fact, *Cooper* demonstrates the very necessity of using racial voting data. It is impossible to determine what demographic configuration is sufficient for VRA compliance without analyzing racial voting data.

With these legal deficiencies in your approach explained, we now turn to areas of concern in the county cluster maps introduced on Tuesday. We note at the outset that the authors of the paper presenting possible county clusters explicitly did not look at the first step in *Stephenson* – drawing VRA districts.[16] Thus, while this paper and methodology may be informative, they cannot substitute for the legislative analysis required by North Carolina and federal law. Indeed, it would not be algorithmically possible to do the kind of "intensely local appraisal"[17] necessary to determine whether a district was required under Section 2 of the VRA.

II. Certain Areas in the North Carolina Senate Cluster Maps Require Examination for VRA Compliance

a. Cluster in Greene/Wayne/Wilson

One of the Senate county clusters that you designate as required under an "optimal" county grouping map for the Senate districts appears to violate the VRA. Cluster "Q1" is a district comprised of three counties that would likely deprive voters of color of the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice. In the current Senate map, Senate District 4 is comprised of Halifax, Edgecombe and Wilson Counties, and the Black voting age population ("BVAP") in

[12] *Common Cause v. Lewis*, No. 18 CVS 014001, at *345 (N.C. Sup. Ct. Sept. 3, 2019).
[13] *Cooper v. Harris*, 137 S. Ct. 1455, 1464 (2017).
[14] *Id.* at 1472.
[15] *Id.* at 1470-71.
[16] Christopher Cooper, et al., *NC General Assembly County Clusterings from the 2020 Census*, QUANTIFYING GERRYMANDERING (Aug. 17, 2021), https://sites.duke.edu/quantifyinggerrymandering/files/2021/08/countyClusters2020.pdf. (last visited Oct. 7, 2021).
[17] *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 79 (1986).

that district is 47.46% using benchmark data. Black voters have the ability to elect their candidate of choice in this district.

In a county group analysis where race is not considered at all, we are concerned that you will propose that Senate District 4 be comprised going forward of Green, Wayne, and Wilson Counties. A district comprised of those 3 counties would be only 35.02% BVAP. If Section 5 were still in place, we are certain that such a change to that district would constitute impermissible retrogression and not be approved. We have done some initial analysis of racially polarized voting in those 3 new counties that would comprise Senate District 4. Examining racially contested statewide elections[18] in these counties shows two things: using a number of different analytic approaches, the Black candidate is overwhelmingly supported by Black voters and white voters offer very little support for Black candidates. That is, voting is racially polarized. And most importantly, in those counties, were the electoral outcomes to be determined just by voting there, the Black candidates would have been defeated. Thus, the racially polarized voting is legally significant. We urge you to perform a formal RPV analysis in these counties before dictating that the Senate district must be comprised of these 3 counties.

Moreover, knowing as you do (or certainly do now) that there is a concentration of Black voters who, in concert with a small number of non-Black voters in the original configuration of the district (Wilson, Edgecombe and Halifax) are able to elect their candidate of choice, "if there were a showing that a State intentionally drew district lines in order to destroy otherwise effective crossover district[]," you would likely be subjecting the State to liability under the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments.[19]

b. Cluster in Hoke/Robeson/Scotland

We are also concerned that in the absence of racial data analysis, the proposed Senate district comprised of Hoke, Robeson, and Scotland Counties may not be in compliance with the Voting Rights Act. This county cluster would create a new District 21 out of what were previously sections of Senate Districts 13, 21, and 25. In North Carolina's current map, District 21 is 42.15% BVAP using benchmark data, and Black voters in that district have the ability to elect their candidate of choice.

A district composed of Hoke, Robeson, and Scotland counties would be only 29.63% BVAP. Our initial review of recent racially-contested elections suggests that voting in these counties is highly racially polarized. Drawing a district with such a low BVAP might deprive

[18] We examined the 2020 race for Chief Justice of the North Carolina Supreme Court involving a Black candidate, Cheri Beasley, and a white candidate, Paul Newby. We examined the 2020 race for Commissioner of Labor involving a Black candidate, Jessica Holmes, and a white candidate, Joshua Dobson. We examined the 2016 race for Treasurer involving a Black candidate, Dan Blue III, and a white candidate, Dale Folwell. And we examined the 2016 race for Lieutenant Governor, involving a Black candidate, Linda Coleman, and two white candidates, Dan Forest and Jacki Cole.

[19] *Bartlett v. Strickland*, 556 U.S. 1, 24 (2009).

Black voters the opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice. We urge you to perform a formal RPV analysis for these three counties to determine if a VRA-compliant district is required for the new district in this area.

III. Certain Areas in the North Carolina House Cluster Maps Require Examination for VRA Compliance

a. Cluster in Sampson/Wayne

Our preliminary data analysis shows that a new House District 21 may be created out of a cluster composed of either Sampson and Wayne counties ("LL2") or Duplin and Wayne counties ("KK2"). Our initial analysis indicates that the LL2 configuration is particularly problematic. Neither Sampson nor Wayne Counties individually have a high enough population to compose a single district under one person, one vote jurisprudence. However, the North Carolina General Assembly could create two House districts from a Wayne and Sampson County cluster.

Current House District 21 is composed of only portions of both Wayne and Sampson Counties. It is 39.00% BVAP using benchmark data and provides Black voters the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice. Our preliminary analysis was fairly conclusive – based on the statewide elections examined, voting in Sampson and Wayne Counties, together, is highly racially polarized and the Black candidates in statewide elections would not have won had the elections been determined in those counties alone. Thus, we believe this presents substantial evidence that there is legally significant racially polarized voting, and there may be a VRA district required to be drawn in this cluster; or if that is not possible under one-person, one-vote principles, this cluster cannot be used – it would not be compliant with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act or *Stephenson*.

b. Cluster in Camden/Gates/Hertford/Pasquotank

One of the proposed multi-county single House districts in your proposed clusters is composed of Camden, Gates, Hertford, and Pasquotank Counties (Cluster "NN1" in "Duke_House_01," "Duke_House_03," "Duke_House_05" and "Duke_House_07"). The current district for this area, House District 5, is 44.32% BVAP using benchmark data, and Black voters have the opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice. A House district composed of Camden, Gates, Hertford, and Pasquotank Counties would be only 38.59% BVAP. Our analysis indicates that white voters are voting in bloc there and may be doing so in a way that would prevent a Black-preferred candidate from winning (and, thus, legally significant). More analysis must be done on this cluster to determine whether there is legally significant racially polarized voting, and, if so, a district composed of this county cluster might eliminate the ability of Black voters to elect a candidate of their choice and thus violate federal and state law.



IV. Conclusion

To be clear, in this letter, we are raising issues with the clusters you released on Tuesday, October 5, 2021. We can identify potential VRA issues where districts are dictated by groupings of whole counties or where, in a small 2-district cluster, we can observe voting patterns with sufficient certainty to identify a potential problem. However, we do not yet know how district lines will be drawn within counties or within multi-county, multi-district clusters. For example, we suspect that the way district lines are drawn in a Nash/Wilson House county grouping or Granville/Vance/Franklin House county grouping could be problematic. In short, this is a non-exhaustive list of concerns, particularly given the lack of draft maps at this moment. But this body should consider itself on notice for the need to perform RPV analysis in certain regions of the state and the need to examine racial data to ensure VRA compliance.

Importantly, we are not saying conclusively that VRA districts are required in the above county groupings; however, it cannot be ascertained without conducting an intensely local appraisal of voting conditions and a targeted RPV analysis, which you are required by law to undertake.[20] Without conducting any RPV analysis prior to grouping counties, the Legislature is departing from the requirements of the *Stephenson* criteria and may ultimately deny voters of color an equal opportunity to participate in North Carolina's elections. Therefore, by allegedly engaging in race-blind drawing, you violate not only the VRA but also *Stephenson* and our State's case precedent. It is neither appropriate nor required to draw districts race-blind. Rather, your current path ensures redistricting will once again be a tool used to harm voters of color, and we implore you to reconsider this path immediately.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Allison J. Riggs
Co-Executive Director for Programs and Chief Counsel for Voting Rights
Hilary Harris Klein
Senior Counsel, Voting Rights
Mitchell Brown
Counsel, Voting Rights
Katelin Kaiser
Counsel, Voting Rights

[20] *Id.*

SOUTHERN COALITION for SOCIAL JUSTICE

1415 W. Hwy 54, Suite 101
Durham, NC 27707
919-323-3380
southerncoalition.org

October 25, 2021

VIA EMAIL

To: Sen. Phil Berger
President Pro Tempore, North Carolina Senate
Rep. Tim Moore
Speaker, North Carolina House of Representatives
Sen. Daniel, Sen. Hise, and Sen. Newton
Co-Chairs, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Rep. D. Hall, Chair
House Standing Committee on Redistricting
CC: Sen. Dan Blue, Senate Democratic Leader
Rep. Robert T. Reives, II, House Democratic Leader
Members, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Members, House Standing Committee on Redistricting

Senators and Representatives,

It is disappointing that the State Senate map, "SST-4," that has been drafted, and apparently will be offered to the committees, has completely ignored important racial considerations. As we raised in our October 8, 2021 letter, the rejection of all racial data in drafting these maps raises serious legal concerns that are illustrated by SST-4.

The selections from clusters that you offered on October 5, 2021 as legal options for county clustering appear to raise further concerns. There were two cluster options for the Senate district in northeastern North Carolina, both of which you asserted were legal clusters. This body appears to be poised to select the map within SST-4 that is obviously worse for Black voters, the "Z1" cluster "Duke_Senate 02."

Even without considering racial data, it would have been painfully obvious to anyone with a passing familiarity with North Carolina's political geography that excluding Warren, Halifax, and Martin from a cluster where the incumbent is the candidate of choice of Black voters – and herself Black – will be fatal to the ability of Black voters to continue electing their candidate of choice. We will provide you the data to confirm that.

The cluster that obviously does not interfere with the ability of Black voters to elect their candidate of choice is comprised of Warren, Halifax, Martin, Bertie, Northampton, Hertford, Gates, Camden, Currituck, and Tyrell. The Black Voting Age Population ("BVAP") in that

About Us: The Southern Coalition for Social Justice partners with communities of color and economically disadvantaged communities in the South to defend and advance their political, social, and economic rights through the combination of legal advocacy, research, organizing, and communications.

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1413

district is 42.33%. It is a district where the Democratic candidate, in the last two presidential elections and last two gubernatorial elections, would have won. While there is racially polarized voting in these counties, collectively, using reconstituted election results, this one-district cluster would have elected the Black-preferred candidate in each of the statewide, racially contested elections we mentioned in our October 5 letter. That is, racially polarized voting is not legally significant in this cluster, and therefore, it is the obvious choice unless one wanted to undermine Black voting strength.

The cluster that the committee chair and presumably legislative leadership selected in SST-4 is comprised of Northampton, Hertford, Bertie, Gates, Perquimans, Pasquotank. Camden, Currituck, Tyrell, and Dare, and most certainly destroys the ability of Black voters to elect their candidate of choice. While Senate District 3 is not majority-Black in its current form, it is an effective crossover district that is electing the candidate of choice of Black Voters. The BVAP in District 1 (the analog to SD 3 in the current map) with the cluster you have chosen is only 29.49%. It is a district where the Republican candidate won in the last two presidential elections, the last two gubernatorial elections, and the 2020 state supreme court election. Not only is there racially polarized voting in the counties comprising this district, collectively, using reconstituted election results, this one-district cluster would not have elected the Black-preferred candidate in any of the statewide, racially contested elections we mentioned in our October 5 letter. That is, racially polarized voting is legally significant. The selection of this cluster, therefore, is inexplicable absent discriminatory intent.

This letter is being submitted as an addendum to our October 5 letter. To our understanding, none of the concerns raised in our October 5 letter have been addressed in any capacity. If the North Carolina General Assembly proceeds with the SST-4 proposed map, this body will ensure that two of the three representatives of choice of Black voters in northeastern North Carolina will not be re-elected, nor any candidate of choice of Black voters within those two districts. This extremely discriminatory result—especially in the face of the information being provided to this body—strongly suggests that such a result is intentional. Once again, we urge you to reconsider your actions and to enact a redistricting plan that is legal and fair to all voters of North Carolina.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Allison J. Riggs
Co-Executive Director for Programs and Chief Counsel for Voting Rights
Hilary Harris Klein
Senior Counsel, Voting Rights
Mitchell Brown
Counsel, Voting Rights
Katelin Kaiser
Counsel, Voting Rights



GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2021

H.B. 976
Oct 28, 2021
HOUSE PRINCIPAL CLERK

H **D**

HOUSE BILL DRH40668-ST-51

Short Title:	House Redistricting Plan 2021.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Representative D. Hall.	
Referred to:		

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO REALIGN NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS FOLLOWING THE RETURN OF THE 2020 FEDERAL DECENNIAL CENSUS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 120-2(a) through (c) are rewritten to read:

"(a) For the purpose of nominating and electing members of the North Carolina House of Representatives in 2022 and periodically thereafter, the State of North Carolina shall be divided into the following districts with each district electing one Representative:

District 1:

(b) The names and boundaries of voting tabulation districts and blocks specified in this section are as shown on the Census Redistricting Data P.L. 94-171 TIGER/Line Shapefiles associated with the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) If any voting tabulation district boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a House district, which shall remain the same as it is depicted by the Census Redistricting Data P.L. 94-171 TIGER/Line Shapefiles associated with the most recent federal decennial census."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies to elections held on or after January 1, 2022.

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
1415

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2021

H D

HOUSE BILL 976
PROPOSED COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE H976-PCS30485-ST-37

Short Title: House Redistricting Plan 2021/HBK-14. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

October 28, 2021

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO REALIGN NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS FOLLOWING THE RETURN OF THE 2020 FEDERAL DECENNIAL CENSUS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 120-2(a) through (c) are rewritten to read:

"(a) For the purpose of nominating and electing members of the North Carolina House of Representatives in 2022 and periodically thereafter, the State of North Carolina shall be divided into the following districts with each district electing one Representative:

District 1: Chowan County, Currituck County, Dare County: VTD DUCK, VTD KDH: Block(s)
0559702001005, 0559702001006, 0559702001007, 0559702001008, 0559702001009,
0559702001010, 0559702001011, 0559702001012, 0559702001013, 0559702001014,
0559702001015, 0559702001016, 0559702001017, 0559702001018, 0559702001019,
0559702001020, 0559702001021, 0559702001022, 0559702001023, 0559702001024,
0559702001025, 0559702001026, 0559702001027, 0559702001028, 0559702001029,
0559702001030, 0559702001031, 0559702001032, 0559702001033, 0559702001034,
0559702001035, 0559702001036, 0559702001037, 0559702001038, 0559702001039,
0559702001040, 0559702001041, 0559702001042, 0559702001043, 0559702001044,
0559702001045, 0559702001046, 0559702001047, 0559702001048, 0559702001049,
0559702001050, 0559702001051, 0559702001052, 0559702001053, 0559702001054,
0559702001055, 0559702001056, 0559702001057, 0559702001058, 0559702002002,
0559702002003, 0559702002004, 0559702002005, 0559702002006, 0559702002007,
0559702002008, 0559702002009, 0559702002010, 0559702002011, 0559702002012,
0559702002016, 0559702002017, 0559702002018, 0559702002019, 0559702002020,
0559702002021, 0559702002022, 0559702002023, 0559702002024, 0559702002025,
0559702002026, 0559702002027, 0559702002028, 0559702002029, 0559702002030,
0559702002031, 0559702002032, 0559702002033, 0559702002034, 0559702002035,
0559702002036, 0559702002037, 0559702002038, 0559702002039, 0559702002040,
0559702002041, 0559702002042, 0559702002043, 0559702002044, 0559702003000,
0559702003001, 0559702003002, 0559702003003, 0559702003004, 0559702003006,
0559702003007, 0559702003008, 0559702003009, 0559702003010, 0559702003011,
0559702003012, 0559702003013, 0559702003014, 0559702003015, 0559702003016,
0559702003017, 0559702003018, 0559702003019, 0559702003020, 0559702003021,
0559702003022, 0559702003023, 0559702003024, 0559702004000, 0559702004001,
0559702004002, 0559702004003, 0559702004004, 0559702004005, 0559702004006,
0559702004007, 0559702004008, 0559702004009, 0559702004010, 0559702004011,
0559702004012, 0559702004013, 0559702004016, 0559702004017, 0559702004018,

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1416

0559702004019, 0559702004020, 0559702004021, 0559702004022, 0559702004023, 0559703021000, 0559703021001, 0559703021002, 0559703021003, 0559703021004, 0559703021005, 0559703021006, 0559703021007, 0559703021008, 0559703021009, 0559703021011, 0559703021012, 0559703021031, 0559703021033, 0559703021034, 0559703021035, 0559703021036, 0559703021037, 0559703021038, 0559703021039, 0559703021040, 0559703021041, 0559703021042, 0559703021043, 0559703021044, 0559703021045, 0559703021046, 0559703021047, 0559703021048, 0559703021049, 0559703021050, 0559703021051, 0559703021052, 0559703021053, 0559703021054, 0559703021055, 0559703021056, 0559703021057, 0559703021058, 0559703021059, 0559703021060, 0559703021061, 0559703021062, 0559703021063, 0559703021064, 0559703021065, 0559703021066, 0559703021067, 0559703021072, 0559703021073, 0559703021074, 0559703021075, 0559703022000, 0559703022001, 0559703022002, 0559703022003, 0559703022004, 0559703022005, 0559703022006, 0559703022007, 0559703022008, 0559703022009, 0559703022010, 0559703022011, 0559703022012, 0559703022013, 0559703022014, 0559703022015, 0559703022016, 0559703022017, 0559703022018, 0559703022019, 0559703022020, 0559703022021, 0559703022022, 0559703022023, 0559703022024, 0559703022025, 0559703022026, 0559703022027, 0559703022028, 0559703022029, 0559703022030, 0559703022031, 0559703022032, 0559703022033, 0559703022034, 0559703022035, 0559703022036, 0559703022037, 0559703022038, 0559703022039, 0559703022040, 0559703022041, 0559703022042, 0559703022043, 0559703022044, 0559703022045, 0559703022046, 0559703022047, 0559703022048, 0559703022049, 0559703022050, 0559703022051, 0559703022052, 0559703022053, 0559703022054, 0559703022055, 0559703022056, 0559703022057, 0559703022058, 0559703022059, 0559703022060, 0559703022061, 0559703022062, 0559703022063, 0559703022064, 0559703022065, 0559703022066, 0559703022067, 0559703022068, 0559703022069, 0559901000005; VTD KTHK, VTD SOSH; Perquimans County, Tyrrell County, Washington County.

District 2: Camden County, Gates County, Hertford County, Pasquotank County.

District 3: Beaufort County, Dare County: VTD AVON, VTD BUX, VTD CHCA, VTD COL, VTD EL, VTD FRCO, VTD HAT, VTD KDH: Block(s) 0559703021010, 0559703021016, 0559703021022, 0559703021023, 0559703021024, 0559703021025, 0559703021027, 0559703021029, 0559703021030, 0559703021032, 0559703021069, 0559703021070; VTD MATO, VTD MH, VTD NGHD, VTD STPT, VTD WAN; Hyde County, Pamlico County.

District 4: Carteret County, Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611021001, 0499611021004, 0499611021005, 0499611021010, 0499611021011, 0499611021012, 0499611021013, 0499611021014, 0499611021025, 0499611021027, 0499611022023, 0499611022024, 0499611022025, 0499611022026, 0499611022027, 0499611022029, 0499611022030, 0499611022031, 0499611022032, 0499611022033, 0499611022035, 0499611022036, 0499611022037, 0499611022038, 0499611022041, 0499611022042, 0499611022043, 0499611022046, 0499611022047, 0499611022048, 0499611022049, 0499611022051, 0499611022052, 0499611022053, 0499611022054, 0499611022055, 0499611022056, 0499611022057, 0499611022058, 0499611022059, 0499611022060, 0499611022061, 0499611022062, 0499611022063, 0499611022064, 0499611022065, 0499611022066, 0499611022067, 0499611022068, 0499611022069, 0499611022070, 0499611022071, 0499611022072, 0499611022073, 0499611022075, 0499611022076, 0499611022077, 0499611022078, 0499611022079, 0499611022080, 0499611022081, 0499611022082, 0499611022083, 0499611022084, 0499611022085, 0499611022086, 0499611022087, 0499611022088, 0499611022089, 0499612012025, 0499612012026, 0499612012027, 0499612012028, 0499612012029, 0499612012030, 0499612012031, 0499612012032, 0499612012033, 0499612012034, 0499612012035, 0499612012036, 0499612012038, 0499612012039, 0499612012040, 0499612012041, 0499612012042,

0499612012043, 0499612021079, 0499612021091, 0499612021092, 0499612021093, 0499612021094, 0499612021102, 0499613011000, 0499613011001, 0499613011002, 0499613011003, 0499613011004, 0499613011005, 0499613011006, 0499613011007, 0499613011008, 0499613011009, 0499613011010, 0499613011011, 0499613011012, 0499613011013, 0499613011014, 0499613011015, 0499613011016, 0499613011017, 0499613011018, 0499613011019, 0499613011020, 0499613011021, 0499613011022, 0499613011023, 0499613011024, 0499613011025, 0499613012000, 0499613012001, 0499613012002, 0499613012003, 0499613012004, 0499613012005, 0499613012006, 0499613012007, 0499613012008, 0499613012009, 0499613012010, 0499613012011, 0499613012012, 0499613012013, 0499613012014, 0499613012015, 0499613012016, 0499613012017, 0499613012018, 0499613012019, 0499613012020, 0499613012021, 0499613012022, 0499613012023, 0499613012024, 0499613012025, 0499613012026, 0499613012027, 0499613012028, 0499613012029, 0499613012030, 0499613012031, 0499613012032, 0499613012033, 0499613012034, 0499613012035, 0499613012036, 0499613012037, 0499613012038, 0499613012039, 0499613012040, 0499613012041, 0499613012042, 0499613012043, 0499613012044, 0499613012045, 0499613013001, 0499613013002, 0499613013003, 0499613013004, 0499613013005, 0499613013006, 0499613013007, 0499613013008, 0499613013009, 0499613013010, 0499613013011, 0499613013012, 0499613013013, 0499613013014, 0499613013015, 0499613013016, 0499613013017, 0499613013018, 0499613013019, 0499613013020, 0499613013021, 0499613013022, 0499613013023, 0499613013024, 0499613013025, 0499613013026, 0499613013027, 0499613013028, 0499613013029, 0499613013030, 0499613021004, 0499613021005, 0499613021006, 0499613021007, 0499613021008, 0499613021009, 0499613021010, 0499613021011, 0499613021012, 0499613021013, 0499613021014, 0499613021015, 0499613021016, 0499613021017, 0499613021019, 0499613021020, 0499613021021, 0499613021022, 0499613021023, 0499613022000, 0499613022001, 0499613022002, 0499613022003, 0499613022004, 0499613022005, 0499613022006, 0499613022007, 0499613022008, 0499613022009, 0499613022010, 0499613022011, 0499613022012, 0499613022013, 0499613022014, 0499613022015, 0499613022016, 0499613023000, 0499613023001, 0499613023002, 0499613023003, 0499613023004, 0499613023005, 0499613023006, 0499613023007, 0499613023008, 0499613023009, 0499613023010, 0499613023011, 0499613023012, 0499613023013, 0499613023014, 0499613023015, 0499613023016, 0499613023017, 0499613023018, 0499613023019, 0499613032044, 0499613032045; VTD 019.

District 5: Bertie County, Edgecombe County, Martin County.

District 6: Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611012002, 0499611012019, 0499611012020, 0499611012021, 0499611012022, 0499611012023, 0499611012024, 0499611012025, 0499611012026, 0499611012027, 0499611012028, 0499611012029, 0499611012030, 0499611012031, 0499611012032, 0499611012033, 0499611012034, 0499611012035, 0499611012036, 0499611012037, 0499611021000, 0499611021002, 0499611021003, 0499611021006, 0499611021007, 0499611021008, 0499611021009, 0499611021015, 0499611021016, 0499611021017, 0499611021018, 0499611021019, 0499611021020, 0499611021021, 0499611021022, 0499611021023, 0499611021024, 0499611021026, 0499611022013, 0499611022014, 0499611022015, 0499611022016, 0499611022017, 0499611022018, 0499611022020, 0499611022044, 0499611022045, 0499611022074, 0499612011000, 0499612011001, 0499612011002, 0499612011003, 0499612011004, 0499612011005, 0499612011006, 0499612011007, 0499612011008, 0499612011009, 0499612011010, 0499612011011, 0499612011012, 0499612011013, 0499612011014, 0499612011015, 0499612011016, 0499612011017, 0499612011018, 0499612011019, 0499612011020, 0499612011021, 0499612011022, 0499612011023, 0499612011024, 0499612011025, 0499612011026, 0499612011027, 0499612011028,

0499612011029, 0499612011030, 0499612011031, 0499612011032, 0499612011033, 0499612011034, 0499612011035, 0499612011036, 0499612011037, 0499612011038, 0499612011039, 0499612011040, 0499612011041, 0499612011042, 0499612011043, 0499612011044, 0499612011045, 0499612012000, 0499612012001, 0499612012002, 0499612012003, 0499612012004, 0499612012005, 0499612012006, 0499612012007, 0499612012008, 0499612012009, 0499612012010, 0499612012011, 0499612012012, 0499612012013, 0499612012014, 0499612012015, 0499612012016, 0499612012017, 0499612012018, 0499612012019, 0499612012020, 0499612012021, 0499612012022, 0499612012023, 0499612012024, 0499612012037, 0499612013000, 0499612013001, 0499612013002, 0499612013003, 0499612013004, 0499612013005, 0499612013006, 0499612013007, 0499612013008, 0499612013009, 0499612013010, 0499612013011, 0499612013012, 0499612013013, 0499612013014, 0499612013015, 0499612013016, 0499612013017, 0499612013018, 0499612013019, 0499612013020, 0499612013021, 0499612021000, 0499612021001, 0499612021002, 0499612021003, 0499612021004, 0499612021005, 0499612021006, 0499612021007, 0499612021008, 0499612021009, 0499612021010, 0499612021011, 0499612021012, 0499612021013, 0499612021014, 0499612021015, 0499612021016, 0499612021017, 0499612021018, 0499612021019, 0499612021020, 0499612021021, 0499612021022, 0499612021023, 0499612021024, 0499612021025, 0499612021026, 0499612021027, 0499612021028, 0499612021029, 0499612021030, 0499612021031, 0499612021032, 0499612021033, 0499612021034, 0499612021035, 0499612021036, 0499612021037, 0499612021038, 0499612021039, 0499612021040, 0499612021041, 0499612021042, 0499612021043, 0499612021044, 0499612021045, 0499612021046, 0499612021047, 0499612021048, 0499612021049, 0499612021050, 0499612021051, 0499612021052, 0499612021053, 0499612021054, 0499612021055, 0499612021056, 0499612021057, 0499612021058, 0499612021059, 0499612021060, 0499612021061, 0499612021062, 0499612021063, 0499612021064, 0499612021065, 0499612021066, 0499612021067, 0499612021068, 0499612021069, 0499612021070, 0499612021071, 0499612021072, 0499612021073, 0499612021074, 0499612021075, 0499612021076, 0499612021077, 0499612021078, 0499612021080, 0499612021081, 0499612021082, 0499612021083, 0499612021084, 0499612021085, 0499612021086, 0499612021087, 0499612021088, 0499612021089, 0499612021090, 0499612021095, 0499612021099, 0499612021100, 0499612021101, 0499612021103, 0499612021104, 0499612021105, 0499613013000, 0499613032046; VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 023, VTD CFB8, VTD CLR4, VTD DFB9, VTD N1, VTD N2, VTD N3N5, VTD N4, VTD N6, VTD TE13, VTD VE14.

District 7: Pitt County: VTD 1001, VTD 1101, VTD 1102A, VTD 1102B, VTD 1301, VTD 1403A1, VTD 1403A2, VTD 1403B, VTD 1509, VTD 1510A, VTD 1510B, VTD 1511A, VTD 1511B, VTD 1512B, VTD 1513A, VTD 1513B, VTD 200A, VTD 200B, VTD 601.

District 8: Pitt County: VTD 101, VTD 1201, VTD 1501, VTD 1503, VTD 1504A, VTD 1504B, VTD 1505A, VTD 1505B, VTD 1506, VTD 1507, VTD 1507B, VTD 1508A, VTD 1508B, VTD 1512A, VTD 301, VTD 401, VTD 501, VTD 701, VTD 800A, VTD 800B, VTD 901.

District 9: Onslow County: VTD CR07, VTD FS16, VTD HR17, VTD JA01, VTD NR02, VTD SF18, VTD TL06, VTD VR15, VTD WN04.

District 10: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD BM08, VTD EN03, VTD HM05, VTD HU20, VTD ML23, VTD MT24, VTD NE22A, VTD NE22B, VTD SW19.

District 11: Onslow County: VTD CL10, VTD GB12, VTD HN14, VTD NM13, VTD RL09; Pender County.

District 12: Greene County, Jones County, Lenoir County.

District 13: Halifax County, Northampton County, Warren County.

District 14: Nash County: VTD P03A, VTD P04A, VTD P05A, VTD P06A, VTD P07A, VTD P08A, VTD P09A, VTD P10A, VTD P11A, VTD P12A, VTD P13A, VTD P14A, VTD P15A, VTD P16A, VTD P17A, VTD P18A, VTD P19A, VTD P20A, VTD P21A, VTD P22A, VTD P23A, VTD P24A.

District 15: Nash County: VTD P01A, VTD P02A; Wilson County.

District 16: Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009011000, 1910009011001, 1910009011002, 1910009011003, 1910009011004, 1910009011005, 1910009011006, 1910009011007, 1910009011008, 1910009011009, 1910009011010, 1910009011011, 1910009011012, 1910009011013, 1910009011014, 1910009011015, 1910009011016, 1910009011017, 1910009011018, 1910009011019, 1910009011020, 1910009011045, 1910009013000, 1910009013001, 1910009013002, 1910009013003, 1910009013004, 1910009013005, 1910009013006, 1910009013007, 1910009013008, 1910009013009, 1910009013010, 1910009013011, 1910009013012, 1910009013013, 1910009013014, 1910009013015, 1910009013016, 1910009013017, 1910009013018, 1910009013019, 1910009013020, 1910009013021, 1910009013022, 1910009013023, 1910009013024, 1910009013025, 1910009013026, 1910009013027, 1910009013028, 1910009022008, 1910009022009, 1910009022010, 1910009022011, 1910009023000, 1910009023001, 1910009023002, 1910009023003, 1910009023004, 1910009023005, 1910009023006, 1910009023007, 1910009023008, 1910009023009, 1910009023010, 1910009023011, 1910009023012, 1910009023014, 1910009023017, 1910009023018, 1910009023041; VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 029, VTD 1920.

District 17: Duplin County, Wayne County: VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009012000, 1910009012001, 1910009012002, 1910009012003, 1910009012004, 1910009012005, 1910009012006, 1910009012007, 1910009021005, 1910009021006, 1910009021007, 1910009021008, 1910009023029, 1910009023030, 1910009023031, 1910009023032, 1910009023033, 1910009023034, 1910009023039; VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 2530.

District 18: Bladen County, Sampson County.

District 19: New Hanover County: VTD CF01, VTD CF02, VTD CF05, VTD CF06, VTD H01, VTD H06, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD W03, VTD W08, VTD W12, VTD W13, VTD W15, VTD W25, VTD W26, VTD W27, VTD W28, VTD W29, VTD W31.

District 20: Brunswick County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 04A, VTD 4B2.

District 21: Brunswick County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 012, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 10A, VTD 10B; New Hanover County: VTD FP03, VTD FP04, VTD FP06, VTD FP07, VTD FP08, VTD M03, VTD W30.

District 22: New Hanover County: VTD H02, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H08, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD M02, VTD M04, VTD M06, VTD M07, VTD W16, VTD W17, VTD W18, VTD W21, VTD W24, VTD WB.

District 23: Columbus County, Robeson County: VTD 001, VTD 003, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 019, VTD 020, VTD 029, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 05A.

District 24: Robeson County: VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 11A, VTD 18A, VTD 26A, VTD 32A.

District 25: Cumberland County: VTD AL51, VTD CU02, VTD G10A, VTD G10B, VTD G10C, VTD G3A-1, VTD G6B, VTD G6C, VTD G8A, VTD G8B, VTD G8C, VTD G8D, VTD G9A, VTD G9B-1, VTD G9B-2, VTD SH77.

District 26: Cumberland County: VTD CC01, VTD CC04, VTD CC05, VTD CC06, VTD CC07, VTD CC08, VTD CC10, VTD CC12, VTD CC13, VTD CC14, VTD CC15, VTD CC16, VTD CC18, VTD CC19, VTD EO61-1, VTD EO61-2, VTD G1A, VTD G1B, VTD G3A-2, VTD G3B, VTD G3C, VTD G4A, VTD G4B, VTD G4C, VTD G6A, VTD G7A, VTD G7B, VTD LI65.

District 27: Cumberland County: VTD AH49, VTD CC24, VTD CC25, VTD CC26, VTD CC27, VTD CC29, VTD CC31, VTD CC32, VTD CC34, VTD CL57-1, VTD CL57-2, VTD G5A-1, VTD G5A-2, VTD G5B-1, VTD G5B-2, VTD G5C, VTD LR63, VTD MB62, VTD MR02.

District 28: Cumberland County: VTD CC03, VTD CC17, VTD CC21, VTD CC33, VTD G11B, VTD G2A, VTD G2B, VTD G2C-1, VTD G2C-2, VTD G2D, VTD G2E-1, VTD G2E-2, VTD SL78-3.

District 29: Harnett County: VTD PR01, VTD PR07, VTD PR08, VTD PR16, VTD PR23, VTD PR28.

District 30: Harnett County: VTD PR20, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR29, VTD PR31, VTD PR32; Johnston County: VTD PR12A, VTD PR23A, VTD PR23B, VTD PR31A, VTD PR31B, VTD PR33.

District 31: Johnston County: VTD PR04, VTD PR07, VTD PR08, VTD PR13, VTD PR14, VTD PR19, VTD PR22, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR27A, VTD PR27B, VTD PR28, VTD PR30, VTD PR35, VTD PR36, VTD PR37, VTD PR38.

District 32: Johnston County: VTD PR09, VTD PR10A, VTD PR10B, VTD PR11A, VTD PR11B, VTD PR12B, VTD PR20, VTD PR21, VTD PR29A, VTD PR29B, VTD PR32, VTD PR34.

District 33: Franklin County, Granville County: VTD MTEN, VTD WILT.

District 34: Granville County: VTD ANTI, VTD BERE, VTD BTNR, VTD CORI, VTD CRDL, VTD CRDM, VTD EAOX, VTD OKHL, VTD SALM, VTD SASS, VTD SOOX, VTD TYHO, VTD WOEL; Vance County.

District 35: Wake County: VTD 02-03, VTD 14-01, VTD 14-02, VTD 19-03, VTD 19-05, VTD 19-07, VTD 19-09, VTD 19-11, VTD 19-12, VTD 19-15, VTD 19-18, VTD 19-19, VTD 19-20, VTD 19-21.

District 36: Wake County: VTD 01-47, VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 07-06, VTD 07-07, VTD 07-11, VTD 07-13, VTD 08-02, VTD 08-03, VTD 08-04, VTD 08-05, VTD 08-06, VTD 08-07, VTD 08-08, VTD 08-09, VTD 08-10, VTD 08-11, VTD 13-02.

District 37: Wake County: VTD 01-03, VTD 01-16, VTD 01-29, VTD 01-33, VTD 04-05, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-17, VTD 04-18, VTD 04-21, VTD 05-03, VTD 05-05, VTD 05-08, VTD 07-10, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02.

District 38: Wake County: VTD 04-13, VTD 04-19, VTD 05-01, VTD 05-06, VTD 05-07, VTD 20-04, VTD 20-10, VTD 20-14, VTD 20-15, VTD 20-16, VTD 20-17.

District 39: Wake County: VTD 03-00, VTD 06-08, VTD 06-09, VTD 06-10, VTD 20-01, VTD 20-03, VTD 20-06A, VTD 20-06B, VTD 20-08, VTD 20-09, VTD 20-11, VTD 20-12.

District 40: Wake County: VTD 06-04, VTD 06-05, VTD 12-01, VTD 12-02, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-07, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 15-01, VTD 15-03.

District 41: Wake County: VTD 04-06, VTD 04-07, VTD 06-06, VTD 06-07, VTD 15-02, VTD 15-04, VTD 16-03, VTD 16-05, VTD 16-06, VTD 18-02, VTD 18-03, VTD 18-04, VTD 18-05, VTD 18-07, VTD 18-08, VTD 20-05.

District 42: Wake County: VTD 01-31, VTD 01-32, VTD 01-41, VTD 01-48, VTD 01-49, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-04, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-10, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-14, VTD 04-15, VTD 04-16, VTD 04-20, VTD 18-01, VTD 18-06.

District 43: Wake County: VTD 01-01, VTD 01-02, VTD 01-05, VTD 01-06, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-09, VTD 01-13, VTD 01-14, VTD 01-19, VTD 01-20, VTD 01-21, VTD 01-22, VTD 01-23, VTD 01-25, VTD 01-26, VTD 01-27, VTD 01-34, VTD 01-35, VTD 01-50.

District 44: Wake County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 01-12, VTD 01-15, VTD 01-17, VTD 01-18, VTD 01-28, VTD 01-30, VTD 01-36, VTD 01-37, VTD 01-38, VTD 01-39, VTD 01-43, VTD 01-45, VTD 01-46, VTD 01-51, VTD 07-01, VTD 07-02, VTD 07-03, VTD 07-04, VTD 07-05, VTD 07-09, VTD 07-12.

District 45: Wake County: VTD 01-42, VTD 01-44, VTD 02-04, VTD 13-01, VTD 13-05, VTD 13-06, VTD 13-07, VTD 13-08, VTD 13-09, VTD 13-10, VTD 13-11, VTD 17-10, VTD 17-11, VTD 19-13, VTD 19-14.

District 46: Wake County: VTD 09-01, VTD 09-02, VTD 09-03, VTD 10-01, VTD 10-02, VTD 10-03, VTD 10-04, VTD 17-02, VTD 17-04, VTD 17-06, VTD 17-12, VTD 17-13, VTD 19-16, VTD 19-17.

District 47: Wake County: VTD 01-40, VTD 16-01, VTD 16-02, VTD 16-04, VTD 16-07, VTD 16-09, VTD 16-10, VTD 16-11, VTD 17-01, VTD 17-03, VTD 17-05, VTD 17-07, VTD 17-09.

District 48: Durham County: VTD 014: Block(s) 0630018024013, 0630018024014, 0630018024015, 0630018024016; VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 031, VTD 033, VTD 052, VTD 054, VTD 30-1, VTD 30-2: Block(s) 0630018061000, 0630018061001, 0630018061002, 0630018061003, 0630018061004, 0630018061005, 0630018061006, 0630018061007, 0630018061008, 0630018061009, 0630018061010, 0630018061011, 0630018061012, 0630018061013, 0630018061014, 0630018061015, 0630018061016, 0630018061017, 0630018061018, 0630018061019, 0630018061020, 0630018061021, 0630018061022, 0630018061023, 0630018061024, 0630018061025, 0630018061026, 0630018061027, 0630018061028, 0630018061029, 0630018061030, 0630018061031, 0630018061032, 0630018061033, 0630018061034, 0630018061035, 0630018064000, 0630018064001, 0630018064002, 0630018064003, 0630018064004, 0630018064005, 0630018064006, 0630018064007, 0630018064008, 0630018064009, 0630018064010, 0630018064011, 0630018064012, 0630018064013, 0630018064014, 0630018064015, 0630018111000, 0630018111001, 0630018111002, 0630018111003, 0630018111004, 0630018111005, 0630018111006, 0630018111007, 0630018111008, 0630018112000, 0630018112001, 0630018112002, 0630018112003, 0630018112004, 0630018112005, 0630018112006, 0630018112007, 0630018113000, 0630018113001, 0630018113002, 0630018114000, 0630018114001, 0630018114002, 0630018114003, 0630018114004, 0630018114005, 0630018114006, 0630018114007, 0630018115000, 0630018115001, 0630018115002, 0630018115003, 0630018115004, 0630018115005, 0630018115006, 0630018115007, 0630018115008, 0630018115009, 0630018115010, 0630018115011, 0630018115012, 0630018115013, 0630018115014, 0630018116000, 0630018116001, 0630018116002, 0630018116003, 0630018116004, 0630018116005, 0630018116006, 0630018116007, 0630018116008, 0630018116009; VTD 35.3.

District 49: Durham County: VTD 009, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014: Block(s) 0630010012000, 0630010012001, 0630010012002, 0630010012003, 0630010012004, 0630010012005, 0630010012006, 0630010012007, 0630010012008, 0630010012009, 0630010012010, 0630010012011, 0630010012012, 0630010012013, 0630010012014, 0630010012015, 0630010012016, 0630010012017, 0630010012018, 0630010012019, 0630010012020, 0630010012021, 0630010012022, 0630010012023, 0630010012024, 0630010012025, 0630010012026, 0630010012030, 0630010012035, 0630010012036, 0630010012037, 0630010012038, 0630010012039, 0630010012040, 0630010013003, 0630010013004, 0630010013005, 0630010013006, 0630010013007, 0630010013008, 0630010014004, 0630010014005, 0630010014006, 0630010021012, 0630010021013, 0630010021014, 0630010021015, 0630011001000, 0630011001001, 0630011001002,

0630011001003, 0630011001029, 0630011001030, 0630011001031, 0630011001032, 0630011001033, 0630011001034, 0630011002017, 0630011002018, 0630011002019, 0630011002020, 0630018024002, 0630018024003, 0630018024004, 0630018024005, 0630018024008, 0630018024009, 0630018024010, 0630018024011, 0630018024012; VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 027, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 047, VTD 048, VTD 051, VTD 055-11, VTD 055-49, VTD 34-1, VTD 34-2, VTD 53-1, VTD 53-2.

District 50: Durham County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023: Block(s) 0630016014006, 0630016014007, 0630016014008, 0630016014009, 0630016014010, 0630016014011, 0630016014012, 0630016014013, 0630016014015, 0630017081000, 0630017081001, 0630017081002, 0630017081003, 0630017081004, 0630017081005, 0630017081006, 0630017081007, 0630017081008, 0630017081009, 0630017082005, 0630017082006, 0630017082007, 0630017082008, 0630017083000, 0630017083001, 0630017083002, 0630017083003, 0630017083004, 0630017083005, 0630017083006, 0630017083007, 0630017084012, 0630017084014, 0630017084015, 0630017084016, 0630017084017, 0630017084018, 0630017084019, 0630017084020, 0630017084021, 0630017093004, 0630017093005, 0630017096002, 0630017096003, 0630017096004, 0630017096005, 0630017096006, 0630017096007, 0630017096008, 0630017096009, 0630017096010, 0630017096011, 0630017096012, 0630017096013, 0630017096014, 0630017096015, 0630017096016, 0630017096017, 0630017096018, 0630017096019, 0630017096020, 0630017096022, 0630017102000, 0630017102001, 0630017102002, 0630017102003, 0630017102004, 0630017102005, 0630017102006, 0630017102007, 0630017102008, 0630017102009, 0630017102010, 0630017102011, 0630017102012, 0630017103000, 0630017103001, 0630017103002, 0630017103003, 0630017103004, 0630017103005, 0630017103006, 0630017103007, 0630017103008, 0630017103009, 0630017103010, 0630017103011, 0630017111005; VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 043, VTD 046, VTD 050.

District 51: Durham County: VTD 023: Block(s) 0630017082000, 0630017082001, 0630017082002, 0630017082003, 0630017082004, 0630017082009, 0630017082010, 0630017082011, 0630017082012, 0630017082013, 0630017082014, 0630017084001, 0630017084002, 0630017084005, 0630017084006, 0630017084007, 0630017084008, 0630017084009, 0630017084010, 0630017084013; VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 032, VTD 037, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 30-2: Block(s) 0630018062002, 0630018062003, 0630018062004, 0630018062005, 0630018062006, 0630018062007, 0630018062008, 0630018062015, 0630018062016, 0630018062017, 0630018062018, 0630018062019, 0630018062029, 0630018062030, 0630018062031, 0630018062032, 0630018111009, 0630018111010, 0630018111011, 0630018111012; Person County.

District 52: Orange County: VTD CB, VTD CF, VTD CH, VTD CO, VTD DA, VTD DM, VTD EA1, VTD EH, VTD ES, VTD GL, VTD HF, VTD KM, VTD LC, VTD NC, VTD NS, VTD OW, VTD RE, VTD RF, VTD TH, VTD UNC, VTD WD, VTD WDS, VTD WW.

District 53: Caswell County, Orange County: VTD CA, VTD CG, VTD CP, VTD CS1, VTD CW, VTD CX, VTD EF, VTD ENO, VTD GB, VTD H, VTD HE, VTD OG, VTD PA, VTD SJ, VTD SM, VTD TO, VTD WC, VTD WH.

District 54: Alamance County: VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 06E, VTD 06N, VTD 06S, VTD 06W, VTD 09N, VTD 103, VTD 10N, VTD 10S, VTD 124, VTD 126, VTD 129, VTD 12E, VTD 12N, VTD 12W.

District 55: Alamance County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 035, VTD 03C, VTD 03N, VTD 03S, VTD 03W, VTD 08N, VTD 08S, VTD 09S, VTD 1210, VTD 125, VTD 127, VTD 128, VTD 12S, VTD 3N2.

District 56: Chatham County, Randolph County: VTD LB, VTD ST.

District 57: Lee County, Moore County: VTD CAM, VTD CAR, VTD LTR, VTD VSS.
District 58: Hoke County, Scotland County.
District 59: Moore County: VTD EAB, VTD EKWD, VTD EUR-WP, VTD EWD, VTD NSP, VTD PBF, VTD PDN, VTD SSP, VTD WAB, VTD WKWD; Richmond County.
District 60: Moore County: VTD BEN, VTD DHR, VTD PHA1, VTD PHA2, VTD PHB1, VTD PHB2, VTD PHC, VTD RBN, VTD SLS, VTD TLT, VTD WEM, VTD WND; Randolph County: VTD DR, VTD GR, VTD PR, VTD RM, VTD SE, VTD SO, VTD SW, VTD UG.
District 61: Randolph County: VTD AE, VTD AN, VTD AR, VTD AS, VTD AW, VTD BC, VTD LC, VTD NM, VTD RN, VTD TB, VTD TR, VTD TT.
District 62: Guilford County: VTD FEN1, VTD FEN2, VTD G75, VTD GIB, VTD GR, VTD JEF1, VTD JEF2, VTD JEF3, VTD JEF4, VTD MON3, VTD NCGR1, VTD NCGR2, VTD NCLAY1, VTD NCLAY2, VTD NMAD, VTD NWASH, VTD PG1, VTD PG2, VTD RC1, VTD RC2, VTD SCLAY, VTD SMAD, VTD SUM4, VTD SWASH.
District 63: Guilford County: VTD CG1, VTD CG2, VTD CG3A, VTD CG3B, VTD FR3, VTD G02, VTD G03, VTD G04, VTD G05, VTD G06, VTD G07, VTD G08, VTD G09, VTD G10, VTD G21, VTD G22, VTD G24, VTD G25, VTD G26, VTD G27, VTD G28, VTD G29, VTD G40A2, VTD MON1, VTD MON2A, VTD MON2B, VTD SF3.
District 64: Guilford County: VTD G01, VTD G11, VTD G12, VTD G13, VTD G14, VTD G15, VTD G16, VTD G17, VTD G18, VTD G19, VTD G20, VTD G23, VTD G30, VTD G31, VTD G32, VTD G33, VTD G34, VTD G35, VTD G39, VTD G40A1, VTD G40B, VTD G44, VTD G45, VTD G46, VTD G47, VTD G52, VTD G67, VTD G68, VTD G69, VTD G70, VTD G71, VTD G72, VTD G73, VTD G74.
District 65: Guilford County: VTD FR1, VTD G36, VTD G37, VTD G38, VTD G43, VTD G48, VTD G49, VTD G50, VTD G51, VTD G53, VTD G54, VTD G55, VTD G56, VTD G57, VTD G58, VTD G59, VTD G60, VTD G61, VTD G62, VTD G65, VTD G66, VTD SUM1, VTD SUM2, VTD SUM3.
District 66: Guilford County: VTD FR2, VTD G63, VTD G64, VTD H01, VTD H02, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H06, VTD H07, VTD H08, VTD H09, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H17, VTD H18, VTD H19A, VTD H19B, VTD H28, VTD H29A, VTD H29B, VTD JAM1, VTD JAM2, VTD JAM3, VTD JAM4, VTD JAM5.
District 67: Guilford County: VTD FR4, VTD FR5A, VTD FR5B, VTD G41A, VTD G41B, VTD G42A, VTD G42B, VTD H13, VTD H14, VTD H15, VTD H16, VTD H20A, VTD H20B, VTD H21, VTD H22, VTD H23, VTD H24, VTD H25, VTD H26, VTD H27-A, VTD H27-B, VTD NDRI, VTD OR1, VTD OR2, VTD SDRI, VTD SF1, VTD SF2, VTD SF4, VTD STOK.
District 68: Rockingham County.
District 69: Forsyth County: VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 092, VTD 101, VTD 207, VTD 903, VTD 904, VTD 905, VTD 909; Stokes County.
District 70: Forsyth County: VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 053, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 091, VTD 123, VTD 131, VTD 132, VTD 133, VTD 705, VTD 708, VTD 709, VTD 807.
District 71: Forsyth County: VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 204, VTD 205, VTD 206, VTD 301, VTD 302, VTD 303, VTD 304, VTD 306, VTD 402, VTD 403, VTD 404, VTD 701, VTD 702, VTD 703, VTD 704, VTD 706, VTD 707, VTD 801, VTD 802, VTD 803, VTD 804, VTD 805, VTD 806, VTD 808, VTD 809, VTD 901, VTD 902, VTD 906, VTD 907, VTD 908.
District 72: Forsyth County: VTD 082, VTD 083, VTD 122, VTD 305, VTD 401, VTD 405, VTD 501, VTD 502, VTD 503, VTD 504, VTD 505, VTD 506, VTD 507, VTD 601, VTD 602, VTD 603, VTD 604, VTD 605, VTD 606, VTD 607.

District 73: Forsyth County: VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 021, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 061, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 065, VTD 066, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 081, VTD 111, VTD 112.

District 74: Davidson County: VTD 004, VTD 006, VTD 010, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 040, VTD 046, VTD 048, VTD 050, VTD 052, VTD 058, VTD 078, VTD 082, VTD 084.

District 75: Davidson County: VTD 008, VTD 012, VTD 014, VTD 016, VTD 018, VTD 020, VTD 042, VTD 044, VTD 054, VTD 056, VTD 060, VTD 062, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 068, VTD 070, VTD 072, VTD 074, VTD 076, VTD 088, VTD 80A, VTD 86A.

District 76: Montgomery County, Stanly County.

District 77: Anson County, Union County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 011, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 031, VTD 036, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 17A.

District 78: Union County: VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 033, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 17B, VTD 20A, VTD 20B, VTD 28A, VTD 28B, VTD 28C, VTD 28D, VTD 29A, VTD 29B, VTD 29C.

District 79: Union County: VTD 001, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 010, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 039, VTD 37A, VTD 37B, VTD 38A, VTD 38B.

District 80: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-08, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 08-00, VTD 09-00, VTD 10-00, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-12.

District 81: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 05-00, VTD 06-00, VTD 07-00, VTD 12-03, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 12-10, VTD 12-11, VTD 12-13.

District 82: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-07, VTD 02-08, VTD 02-09, VTD 03-00, VTD 04-09; Rowan County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 013, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 044, VTD 05A, VTD 19A.

District 83: Rowan County: VTD 001, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 031, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 045, VTD 14A.

District 84: Davie County, Rowan County: VTD 007, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 032; Yadkin County.

District 85: Surry County, Wilkes County: VTD 105, VTD 106, VTD 107, VTD 117, VTD 123, VTD 124.

District 86: Alexander County, Wilkes County: VTD 101, VTD 102, VTD 103, VTD 104, VTD 108, VTD 109, VTD 111, VTD 112, VTD 113A, VTD 114, VTD 115A, VTD 118, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 121, VTD 122, VTD 125, VTD 126, VTD 127, VTD 128, VTD 129.

District 87: Iredell County: VTD BA, VTD BE, VTD CD, VTD CH-A, VTD CH-B, VTD CS, VTD EM, VTD NH, VTD OL, VTD SB, VTD SH-A, VTD ST1, VTD ST2, VTD ST3, VTD ST4, VTD ST5, VTD ST6, VTD TB, VTD UG.

District 88: Iredell County: VTD CC1, VTD CC2, VTD CC3, VTD CC4, VTD DV1-A, VTD DV1-B, VTD DV2-A, VTD DV2-B.

District 89: Mecklenburg County: VTD 127, VTD 133, VTD 134: Block(s) 1190062102026, 1190062141000, 1190062141001, 1190062141002, 1190062141003, 1190062141004, 1190062141005, 1190062141006, 1190062141007, 1190062141008, 1190062141009, 1190062141010, 1190062141011, 1190062141012, 1190062141013, 1190062142004, 1190062142009, 1190062142010, 1190062142011, 1190062142012, 1190062142013, 1190062142014, 1190062142015, 1190062142016, 1190062142023, 1190062211013,

1190062211014, 1190062211015, 1190062211017, 1190062211018, 1190062211019, 1190062211020, 1190062211021, 1190062211022, 1190062211023, 1190062211024, 1190062211025, 1190062211034, 1190062211035, 1190062211036, 1190062211037, 1190062211038, 1190062211039, 1190062211040, 1190062211041, 1190062211042, 1190062211043, 1190062211044, 1190062211045, 1190062211046, 1190062211047, 1190062211055, 1190062211056, 1190062211057, 1190062211058, 1190062211059, 1190062211060, 1190062211061, 1190062211062, 1190062211063, 1190062211064, 1190062211065, 1190062211066, 1190062211068, 1190062211069, 1190062211070, 1190062211071, 1190062211072, 1190062211073, 1190062211074, 1190062211075, 1190062211076, 1190062211077, 1190062211079, 1190062211082; VTD 142, VTD 143, VTD 202, VTD 206, VTD 207, VTD 208, VTD 240, VTD 242.

District 90: Mecklenburg County: VTD 016, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 055, VTD 134: Block(s) 1190062122003, 1190062122004, 1190062122005, 1190062122006, 1190062122007, 1190062122008, 1190062122009, 1190062122010, 1190062122011, 1190062122012, 1190062122013, 1190062122014, 1190062122015, 1190062122016, 1190062122017, 1190062122018, 1190062122019, 1190062122020, 1190062122021, 1190062122022, 1190062122023, 1190062141014, 1190062141015, 1190062142000, 1190062142001, 1190062142002, 1190062142003, 1190062142005, 1190062142007, 1190062142008, 1190062142017, 1190062142018, 1190062142019, 1190062142020, 1190062142021, 1190062142022, 1190062142024, 1190062241003, 1190062241004, 1190062241014, 1190062241015, 1190062241016, 1190062241017, 1190062241018, 1190062241019, 1190062241020, 1190062241021, 1190062241022, 1190062241023, 1190062241024, 1190062241025, 1190062241026, 1190062241027, 1190062241028, 1190062241029, 1190062241030, 1190062241031, 1190062241032, 1190062241033, 1190062241034, 1190062241035, 1190062241036, 1190062241037, 1190062241038, 1190062241039, 1190062241040, 1190062241041, 1190062241042, 1190062241043, 1190062241044, 1190062241045, 1190062241046, 1190062241047, 1190062241048, 1190062241049, 1190062241050, 1190062241051, 1190062241052, 1190062241053, 1190062241054, 1190062241055, 1190062241056, 1190062241057, 1190062241058, 1190062241059, 1190062241060, 1190062241061, 1190062241062, 1190062241063, 1190062241064, 1190062241065, 1190062241066, 1190062241067, 1190062241068, 1190062241069, 1190062241070, 1190062241071, 1190062241072, 1190062241073, 1190062241074, 1190062241075, 1190062241076, 1190062241077, 1190062241078, 1190062241079, 1190062241080, 1190062241081, 1190062241082, 1190062241083, 1190062241084, 1190062241085, 1190062241086, 1190062241087, 1190062241088, 1190062241089, 1190062241097, 1190062241099, 1190062241100, 1190062241101, 1190062241102, 1190062241103, 1190062241104, 1190062241106, 1190062241107, 1190062241109, 1190062241111; VTD 135, VTD 145, VTD 151, VTD 213, VTD 214, VTD 238.1, VTD 241.

District 91: Mecklenburg County: VTD 079, VTD 080, VTD 089, VTD 150, VTD 209, VTD 210, VTD 211, VTD 222, VTD 223.1, VTD 224.

District 92: Mecklenburg County: VTD 105, VTD 107.1, VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 132, VTD 141, VTD 146, VTD 212, VTD 237, VTD 239.

District 93: Mecklenburg County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 054, VTD 056, VTD 061, VTD 082, VTD 109, VTD 124.

District 94: Mecklenburg County: VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 041, VTD 048, VTD 071, VTD 074.

District 95: Mecklenburg County: VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 053, VTD 081, VTD 122, VTD 200, VTD 229, VTD 230, VTD 243.

District 96: Mecklenburg County: VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 049, VTD 050, VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 058, VTD 059, VTD 077, VTD 097, VTD 098, VTD 114, VTD 120, VTD 138, VTD 147, VTD 228, VTD 78.1.

District 97: Mecklenburg County: VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 045, VTD 046, VTD 047, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 084, VTD 099, VTD 102, VTD 108, VTD 117, VTD 125, VTD 130.

District 98: Mecklenburg County: VTD 057, VTD 065, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 069, VTD 070, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 075, VTD 076, VTD 085, VTD 086, VTD 092, VTD 093, VTD 096, VTD 100, VTD 101, VTD 103, VTD 106, VTD 110, VTD 111, VTD 112, VTD 118, VTD 119, VTD 121, VTD 131.

District 99: Mecklenburg County: VTD 087, VTD 088, VTD 129, VTD 137, VTD 139.1, VTD 140, VTD 144, VTD 148, VTD 225, VTD 226, VTD 231, VTD 232.

District 100: Mecklenburg County: VTD 090, VTD 091, VTD 113, VTD 136, VTD 215, VTD 216, VTD 217, VTD 218, VTD 219, VTD 220, VTD 221, VTD 227, VTD 233, VTD 234, VTD 235, VTD 236.

District 101: Mecklenburg County: VTD 004, VTD 060, VTD 062, VTD 083, VTD 094, VTD 095, VTD 104, VTD 115, VTD 116, VTD 123, VTD 149, VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 204.1, VTD 205.

District 102: Gaston County: VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 010, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 031.

District 103: Gaston County: VTD 001, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 039, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 046.

District 104: Cleveland County: VTD CASAR, VTD FALSTN, VTD KINGST, VTD LAWNDL, VTD MULLS, VTD OAKGRV, VTD POLKVL, VTD S 5, VTD S-4A, VTD WACO; Gaston County: VTD 006, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 040, VTD 041.

District 105: Cleveland County: VTD 0S-C, VTD 0S-S, VTD BETHWR, VTD BR, VTD GROVER, VTD KM N, VTD KM S, VTD LATT, VTD MRB-YO, VTD RIPPY, VTD SHANGI; Rutherford County: VTD 011, VTD 019, VTD 03A, VTD 05A, VTD 09A, VTD 10A.

District 106: Lincoln County.

District 107: Catawba County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 025, VTD 027, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 040, VTD 041; Iredell County: VTD FT, VTD SH-B.

District 108: Catawba County: VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 033, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039.

District 109: Burke County.

District 110: Caldwell County, Watauga County: VTD 004, VTD 009.

District 111: Alleghany County, Ashe County, Watauga County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 020.

District 112: Avery County, McDowell County: VTD DYSART, VTD FORT-1, VTD FORT-2, VTD GLENWD, VTD HIGGIN, VTD MAR-1, VTD MAR-2, VTD MAR-3, VTD MAR-4, VTD MAR-5, VTD N.COVE, VTD NEBO, VTD PLEASA, VTD T.COVE, VTD WEST-M; Mitchell County, Yancey County.

District 113: Henderson County: VTD AR, VTD AT, VTD CB, VTD EF, VTD FR, VTD GR, VTD RR, VTD SB; McDowell County: VTD CCREEK, VTD M.COVE; Polk County, Rutherford County: VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 018, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 034, VTD 04A, VTD 06A, VTD 16A.

District 114: Henderson County: VTD BC, VTD BK, VTD CC, VTD ED, VTD ES, VTD EV, VTD FL, VTD GM, VTD HC, VTD HS, VTD HV-1, VTD HV-2, VTD HV-3, VTD LJ, VTD LP, VTD MG, VTD NB, VTD NE, VTD NM, VTD NW, VTD PR, VTD PV, VTD RG, VTD SE, VTD SM, VTD SW.

District 115: Buncombe County: VTD 21.1, VTD 22.2, VTD 23.2, VTD 23.3, VTD 25.1, VTD 27.2, VTD 29.2, VTD 32.1, VTD 33.2, VTD 33.3, VTD 34.1, VTD 35.1, VTD 36.1, VTD 37.1, VTD 38.2, VTD 38.3, VTD 39.2, VTD 39.3, VTD 55.1, VTD 56.2, VTD 57.1, VTD 6.1, VTD 60.2, VTD 60.4, VTD 61.1, VTD 62.1, VTD 64.1, VTD 65.1, VTD 66.1, VTD 8.2, VTD 8.3, VTD 9.1.

District 116: Buncombe County: VTD 1.1, VTD 10.1, VTD 11.1, VTD 12.1, VTD 13.1, VTD 14.2, VTD 14.3, VTD 15.1, VTD 16.2, VTD 17.1, VTD 2.1, VTD 20.1, VTD 24.1, VTD 26.1, VTD 28.1, VTD 3.1, VTD 4.1, VTD 42.1, VTD 43.2, VTD 5.1, VTD 50.1, VTD 51.2, VTD 58.1, VTD 59.1, VTD 67.1, VTD 69.1, VTD 7.1, VTD 70.1, VTD 71.1.

District 117: Buncombe County: VTD 18.2, VTD 19.1, VTD 30.2, VTD 30.3, VTD 31.1, VTD 40.2, VTD 41.1, VTD 44.1, VTD 45.1, VTD 46.1, VTD 47.1, VTD 48.1, VTD 49.1, VTD 52.1, VTD 53.1, VTD 54.2, VTD 63.1, VTD 68.1.

District 118: Haywood County, Madison County.

District 119: Jackson County, Swain County, Transylvania County.


District 120: Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Macon County.

(b) The names and boundaries of voting tabulation districts and blocks specified in this section are as shown on the Census Redistricting Data P.L. 94-171 TIGER/Line Shapefiles associated with the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) If any voting tabulation district boundary is changed, that change shall not change the boundary of a House district, which shall remain the same as it is depicted by the Census Redistricting Data P.L. 94-171 TIGER/Line Shapefiles associated with the most recent federal decennial census."

SECTION 2. This act is effective when it becomes law and applies to elections held on or after January 1, 2022.

H976, 2nd Edition



PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1417

FAILED

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976

AMENDMENT NO. A1
(to be filled in by Principal Clerk)

H976-ABW-23 [v.2]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2021

Representative Graham

moves to amend the bill on page 5, lines 42-48, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 23**: Columbus County, Robeson County: VTD 003, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 033, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 32A.
District 24: Robeson County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 05A, VTD 11A, VTD 18A, VTD 26A.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor


SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

H976-ABW-23-V-2

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
1418


HBKAmend-1
Richmond
Pierce
Hoke
Cumberland
Sampson
Duplin
Scotland
Graham
24
Robeson
Brisson
Bladen
Pender
C. Smith
23
Columbus
Butler
New Hanover
Davis
B. Jones
Brunswick
©2021 CARTER
Description:
District Plan: HBKAmend-1
10/29/2021 6:31 PM

User:
Plan Name: **HBKAmend-1**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Friday, October 29, 2021 6:35 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.32	0.20
Max	0.63	0.41
Mean	0.48	0.31
Std. Dev.	0.22	0.15

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
23	0.32	0.20
24	0.63	0.41

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
23	1	86,995	83,397	-3,598	-4.14%
24	1	86,995	83,756	-3,239	-3.72%
Totals:	**2**		**167,153**		

County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	Unassigned	171,415	10,272,235	171,415	100.00 %	1.67 %
Alexander	Unassigned	36,444	10,272,235	36,444	100.00 %	0.35 %
Alleghany	Unassigned	10,888	10,272,235	10,888	100.00 %	0.11 %
Anson	Unassigned	22,055	10,272,235	22,055	100.00 %	0.21 %
Ashe	Unassigned	26,577	10,272,235	26,577	100.00 %	0.26 %
Avery	Unassigned	17,806	10,272,235	17,806	100.00 %	0.17 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	44,652	10,272,235	44,652	100.00 %	0.43 %
Bertie	Unassigned	17,934	10,272,235	17,934	100.00 %	0.17 %
Bladen	Unassigned	29,606	10,272,235	29,606	100.00 %	0.29 %
Brunswick	Unassigned	136,693	10,272,235	136,693	100.00 %	1.33 %
Buncombe	Unassigned	269,452	10,272,235	269,452	100.00 %	2.62 %
Burke	Unassigned	87,570	10,272,235	87,570	100.00 %	0.85 %
Cabarrus	Unassigned	225,804	10,272,235	225,804	100.00 %	2.20 %
Caldwell	Unassigned	80,652	10,272,235	80,652	100.00 %	0.79 %
Camden	Unassigned	10,355	10,272,235	10,355	100.00 %	0.10 %
Carteret	Unassigned	67,686	10,272,235	67,686	100.00 %	0.66 %
Caswell	Unassigned	22,736	10,272,235	22,736	100.00 %	0.22 %
Catawba	Unassigned	160,610	10,272,235	160,610	100.00 %	1.56 %
Chatham	Unassigned	76,285	10,272,235	76,285	100.00 %	0.74 %
Cherokee	Unassigned	28,774	10,272,235	28,774	100.00 %	0.28 %
Chowan	Unassigned	13,708	10,272,235	13,708	100.00 %	0.13 %
Clay	Unassigned	11,089	10,272,235	11,089	100.00 %	0.11 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	99,519	10,272,235	99,519	100.00 %	0.97 %
Columbus	23	50,623	83,397	50,623	100.00 %	60.70 %
Craven	Unassigned	100,720	10,272,235	100,720	100.00 %	0.98 %
Cumberland	Unassigned	334,728	10,272,235	334,728	100.00 %	3.26 %
Currituck	Unassigned	28,100	10,272,235	28,100	100.00 %	0.27 %
Dare	Unassigned	36,915	10,272,235	36,915	100.00 %	0.36 %
Davidson	Unassigned	168,930	10,272,235	168,930	100.00 %	1.64 %
Davie	Unassigned	42,712	10,272,235	42,712	100.00 %	0.42 %
Duplin	Unassigned	48,715	10,272,235	48,715	100.00 %	0.47 %
Durham	Unassigned	324,833	10,272,235	324,833	100.00 %	3.16 %
Edgecombe	Unassigned	48,900	10,272,235	48,900	100.00 %	0.48 %
Forsyth	Unassigned	382,590	10,272,235	382,590	100.00 %	3.72 %
Franklin	Unassigned	68,573	10,272,235	68,573	100.00 %	0.67 %
Gaston	Unassigned	227,943	10,272,235	227,943	100.00 %	2.22 %
Gates	Unassigned	10,478	10,272,235	10,478	100.00 %	0.10 %
Graham	Unassigned	8,030	10,272,235	8,030	100.00 %	0.08 %
Granville	Unassigned	60,992	10,272,235	60,992	100.00 %	0.59 %
Greene	Unassigned	20,451	10,272,235	20,451	100.00 %	0.20 %
Guilford	Unassigned	541,299	10,272,235	541,299	100.00 %	5.27 %
Halifax	Unassigned	48,622	10,272,235	48,622	100.00 %	0.47 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Harnett	Unassigned	133,568	10,272,235	133,568	100.00 %	1.30 %
Haywood	Unassigned	62,089	10,272,235	62,089	100.00 %	0.60 %
Henderson	Unassigned	116,281	10,272,235	116,281	100.00 %	1.13 %
Hertford	Unassigned	21,552	10,272,235	21,552	100.00 %	0.21 %
Hoke	Unassigned	52,082	10,272,235	52,082	100.00 %	0.51 %
Hyde	Unassigned	4,589	10,272,235	4,589	100.00 %	0.04 %
Iredell	Unassigned	186,693	10,272,235	186,693	100.00 %	1.82 %
Jackson	Unassigned	43,109	10,272,235	43,109	100.00 %	0.42 %
Johnston	Unassigned	215,999	10,272,235	215,999	100.00 %	2.10 %
Jones	Unassigned	9,172	10,272,235	9,172	100.00 %	0.09 %
Lee	Unassigned	63,285	10,272,235	63,285	100.00 %	0.62 %
Lenoir	Unassigned	55,122	10,272,235	55,122	100.00 %	0.54 %
Lincoln	Unassigned	86,810	10,272,235	86,810	100.00 %	0.85 %
Macon	Unassigned	37,014	10,272,235	37,014	100.00 %	0.36 %
Madison	Unassigned	21,193	10,272,235	21,193	100.00 %	0.21 %
Martin	Unassigned	22,031	10,272,235	22,031	100.00 %	0.21 %
McDowell	Unassigned	44,578	10,272,235	44,578	100.00 %	0.43 %
Mecklenburg	Unassigned	1,115,482	10,272,235	1,115,482	100.00 %	10.86 %
Mitchell	Unassigned	14,903	10,272,235	14,903	100.00 %	0.15 %
Montgomery	Unassigned	25,751	10,272,235	25,751	100.00 %	0.25 %
Moore	Unassigned	99,727	10,272,235	99,727	100.00 %	0.97 %
Nash	Unassigned	94,970	10,272,235	94,970	100.00 %	0.92 %
New Hanover	Unassigned	225,702	10,272,235	225,702	100.00 %	2.20 %
Northampton	Unassigned	17,471	10,272,235	17,471	100.00 %	0.17 %
Onslow	Unassigned	204,576	10,272,235	204,576	100.00 %	1.99 %
Orange	Unassigned	148,696	10,272,235	148,696	100.00 %	1.45 %
Pamlico	Unassigned	12,276	10,272,235	12,276	100.00 %	0.12 %
Pasquotank	Unassigned	40,568	10,272,235	40,568	100.00 %	0.39 %
Pender	Unassigned	60,203	10,272,235	60,203	100.00 %	0.59 %
Perquimans	Unassigned	13,005	10,272,235	13,005	100.00 %	0.13 %
Person	Unassigned	39,097	10,272,235	39,097	100.00 %	0.38 %
Pitt	Unassigned	170,243	10,272,235	170,243	100.00 %	1.66 %
Polk	Unassigned	19,328	10,272,235	19,328	100.00 %	0.19 %
Randolph	Unassigned	144,171	10,272,235	144,171	100.00 %	1.40 %
Richmond	Unassigned	42,946	10,272,235	42,946	100.00 %	0.42 %
Robeson	23	116,530	83,397	32,774	28.12 %	39.30 %
	24	116,530	83,756	83,756	71.88 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	91,096	10,272,235	91,096	100.00 %	0.89 %
Rowan	Unassigned	146,875	10,272,235	146,875	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rutherford	Unassigned	64,444	10,272,235	64,444	100.00 %	0.63 %
Sampson	Unassigned	59,036	10,272,235	59,036	100.00 %	0.57 %
Scotland	Unassigned	34,174	10,272,235	34,174	100.00 %	0.33 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Stanly	Unassigned	62,504	10,272,235	62,504	100.00 %	0.61 %
Stokes	Unassigned	44,520	10,272,235	44,520	100.00 %	0.43 %
Surry	Unassigned	71,359	10,272,235	71,359	100.00 %	0.69 %
Swain	Unassigned	14,117	10,272,235	14,117	100.00 %	0.14 %
Transylvania	Unassigned	32,986	10,272,235	32,986	100.00 %	0.32 %
Tyrrell	Unassigned	3,245	10,272,235	3,245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Union	Unassigned	238,267	10,272,235	238,267	100.00 %	2.32 %
Vance	Unassigned	42,578	10,272,235	42,578	100.00 %	0.41 %
Wake	Unassigned	1,129,410	10,272,235	1,129,410	100.00 %	10.99 %
Warren	Unassigned	18,642	10,272,235	18,642	100.00 %	0.18 %
Washington	Unassigned	11,003	10,272,235	11,003	100.00 %	0.11 %
Watauga	Unassigned	54,086	10,272,235	54,086	100.00 %	0.53 %
Wayne	Unassigned	117,333	10,272,235	117,333	100.00 %	1.14 %
Wilkes	Unassigned	65,969	10,272,235	65,969	100.00 %	0.64 %
Wilson	Unassigned	78,784	10,272,235	78,784	100.00 %	0.77 %
Yadkin	Unassigned	37,214	10,272,235	37,214	100.00 %	0.36 %
Yancey	Unassigned	18,470	10,272,235	18,470	100.00 %	0.18 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Number of split counties: 1
Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
23	Columbus	83,397	50,623	50,623	60.70 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	83,397	116,530	32,774	39.30 %	28.12 %
24	Robeson	83,756	116,530	83,756	100.00 %	71.88 %
Unassigned	Alamance	10,272,235	171,415	171,415	1.67 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	10,272,235	36,444	36,444	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	10,272,235	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	10,272,235	22,055	22,055	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	10,272,235	26,577	26,577	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Avery	10,272,235	17,806	17,806	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	10,272,235	44,652	44,652	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	10,272,235	17,934	17,934	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	10,272,235	29,606	29,606	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	10,272,235	136,693	136,693	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	10,272,235	269,452	269,452	2.62 %	100.00 %
	Burke	10,272,235	87,570	87,570	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	10,272,235	225,804	225,804	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	10,272,235	80,652	80,652	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Camden	10,272,235	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	10,272,235	67,686	67,686	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	10,272,235	22,736	22,736	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	10,272,235	160,610	160,610	1.56 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	10,272,235	76,285	76,285	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	10,272,235	28,774	28,774	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	10,272,235	13,708	13,708	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Clay	10,272,235	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	10,272,235	99,519	99,519	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Craven	10,272,235	100,720	100,720	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	10,272,235	334,728	334,728	3.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	10,272,235	28,100	28,100	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Dare	10,272,235	36,915	36,915	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	10,272,235	168,930	168,930	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Davie	10,272,235	42,712	42,712	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	10,272,235	48,715	48,715	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Durham	10,272,235	324,833	324,833	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	10,272,235	48,900	48,900	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	10,272,235	382,590	382,590	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	10,272,235	68,573	68,573	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	10,272,235	227,943	227,943	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Gates	10,272,235	10,478	10,478	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Graham	10,272,235	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	10,272,235	60,992	60,992	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Greene	10,272,235	20,451	20,451	0.20 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Guilford	10,272,235	541,299	541,299	5.27 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	10,272,235	48,622	48,622	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	10,272,235	133,568	133,568	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	10,272,235	62,089	62,089	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	10,272,235	116,281	116,281	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	10,272,235	21,552	21,552	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	10,272,235	52,082	52,082	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	10,272,235	4,589	4,589	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	10,272,235	186,693	186,693	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	10,272,235	43,109	43,109	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	10,272,235	215,999	215,999	2.10 %	100.00 %
	Jones	10,272,235	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	10,272,235	63,285	63,285	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	10,272,235	55,122	55,122	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	10,272,235	86,810	86,810	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Macon	10,272,235	37,014	37,014	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Madison	10,272,235	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	10,272,235	22,031	22,031	0.21 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	10,272,235	44,578	44,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	10,272,235	1,115,482	1,115,482	10.86 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	10,272,235	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	10,272,235	25,751	25,751	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Moore	10,272,235	99,727	99,727	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Nash	10,272,235	94,970	94,970	0.92 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	10,272,235	225,702	225,702	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	10,272,235	17,471	17,471	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	10,272,235	204,576	204,576	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Orange	10,272,235	148,696	148,696	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	10,272,235	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	10,272,235	40,568	40,568	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Pender	10,272,235	60,203	60,203	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	10,272,235	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	10,272,235	39,097	39,097	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	10,272,235	170,243	170,243	1.66 %	100.00 %
	Polk	10,272,235	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	10,272,235	144,171	144,171	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	10,272,235	42,946	42,946	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	10,272,235	91,096	91,096	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	10,272,235	146,875	146,875	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	10,272,235	64,444	64,444	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	10,272,235	59,036	59,036	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	10,272,235	34,174	34,174	0.33 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Stanly	10,272,235	62,504	62,504	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	10,272,235	44,520	44,520	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Surry	10,272,235	71,359	71,359	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Swain	10,272,235	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	10,272,235	32,986	32,986	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	10,272,235	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	10,272,235	238,267	238,267	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Vance	10,272,235	42,578	42,578	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Wake	10,272,235	1,129,410	1,129,410	10.99 %	100.00 %
	Warren	10,272,235	18,642	18,642	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Washington	10,272,235	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	10,272,235	54,086	54,086	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	10,272,235	117,333	117,333	1.14 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	10,272,235	65,969	65,969	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	10,272,235	78,784	78,784	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	10,272,235	37,214	37,214	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	10,272,235	18,470	18,470	0.18 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	Unassigned	8,516	10,272,235	8,516	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ahoskie	Unassigned	4,891	10,272,235	4,891	100.00 %	0.05 %
Alamance	Unassigned	988	10,272,235	988	100.00 %	0.01 %
Albemarle	Unassigned	16,432	10,272,235	16,432	100.00 %	0.16 %
Alliance	Unassigned	733	10,272,235	733	100.00 %	0.01 %
Andrews	Unassigned	1,667	10,272,235	1,667	100.00 %	0.02 %
Angier	Unassigned	5,265	10,272,235	5,265	100.00 %	0.05 %
Ansonville	Unassigned	440	10,272,235	440	100.00 %	0.00 %
Apex	Unassigned	58,780	10,272,235	58,780	100.00 %	0.57 %
Arapahoe	Unassigned	416	10,272,235	416	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale	Unassigned	11,907	10,272,235	11,907	100.00 %	0.12 %
Archer Lodge	Unassigned	4,797	10,272,235	4,797	100.00 %	0.05 %
Asheboro	Unassigned	27,156	10,272,235	27,156	100.00 %	0.26 %
Asheville	Unassigned	94,589	10,272,235	94,589	100.00 %	0.92 %
Askewville	Unassigned	184	10,272,235	184	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atkinson	Unassigned	296	10,272,235	296	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atlantic Beach	Unassigned	1,364	10,272,235	1,364	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aulander	Unassigned	763	10,272,235	763	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aurora	Unassigned	455	10,272,235	455	100.00 %	0.00 %
Autryville	Unassigned	167	10,272,235	167	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ayden	Unassigned	4,977	10,272,235	4,977	100.00 %	0.05 %
Badin	Unassigned	2,024	10,272,235	2,024	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bailey	Unassigned	568	10,272,235	568	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bakersville	Unassigned	450	10,272,235	450	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bald Head Island	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Banner Elk	Unassigned	1,049	10,272,235	1,049	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bath	Unassigned	245	10,272,235	245	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bayboro	Unassigned	1,161	10,272,235	1,161	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bear Grass	Unassigned	89	10,272,235	89	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	4,464	10,272,235	4,464	100.00 %	0.04 %
Beech Mountain	Unassigned	675	10,272,235	675	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belhaven	Unassigned	1,410	10,272,235	1,410	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belmont	Unassigned	15,010	10,272,235	15,010	100.00 %	0.15 %
Belville	Unassigned	2,406	10,272,235	2,406	100.00 %	0.02 %
Belwood	Unassigned	857	10,272,235	857	100.00 %	0.01 %
Benson	Unassigned	3,967	10,272,235	3,967	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bermuda Run	Unassigned	3,120	10,272,235	3,120	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bessemer City	Unassigned	5,428	10,272,235	5,428	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bethania	Unassigned	344	10,272,235	344	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	Unassigned	1,373	10,272,235	1,373	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beulaville	Unassigned	1,116	10,272,235	1,116	100.00 %	0.01 %
Biltmore Forest	Unassigned	1,409	10,272,235	1,409	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Biscoe	Unassigned	1,848	10,272,235	1,848	100.00 %	0.02 %
Black Creek	Unassigned	692	10,272,235	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Black Mountain	Unassigned	8,426	10,272,235	8,426	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bladenboro	Unassigned	1,648	10,272,235	1,648	100.00 %	0.02 %
Blowing Rock	Unassigned	1,376	10,272,235	1,376	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boardman	23	166	83,397	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	Unassigned	695	10,272,235	695	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	Unassigned	5,943	10,272,235	5,943	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boiling Springs	Unassigned	4,615	10,272,235	4,615	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bolivia	Unassigned	149	10,272,235	149	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bolton	23	519	83,397	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	Unassigned	19,092	10,272,235	19,092	100.00 %	0.19 %
Boonville	Unassigned	1,185	10,272,235	1,185	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bostic	Unassigned	355	10,272,235	355	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brevard	Unassigned	7,744	10,272,235	7,744	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bridgeton	Unassigned	349	10,272,235	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway	Unassigned	1,267	10,272,235	1,267	100.00 %	0.01 %
Brookford	Unassigned	442	10,272,235	442	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brunswick	23	973	83,397	973	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bryson City	Unassigned	1,558	10,272,235	1,558	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bunn	Unassigned	327	10,272,235	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Burgaw	Unassigned	3,088	10,272,235	3,088	100.00 %	0.03 %
Burlington	Unassigned	57,303	10,272,235	57,303	100.00 %	0.56 %
Burnsville	Unassigned	1,614	10,272,235	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Butner	Unassigned	8,397	10,272,235	8,397	100.00 %	0.08 %
Cajah's Mountain	Unassigned	2,722	10,272,235	2,722	100.00 %	0.03 %
Calabash	Unassigned	2,011	10,272,235	2,011	100.00 %	0.02 %
Calypso	Unassigned	327	10,272,235	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cameron	Unassigned	244	10,272,235	244	100.00 %	0.00 %
Candor	Unassigned	813	10,272,235	813	100.00 %	0.01 %
Canton	Unassigned	4,422	10,272,235	4,422	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cape Carteret	Unassigned	2,224	10,272,235	2,224	100.00 %	0.02 %
Carolina Beach	Unassigned	6,564	10,272,235	6,564	100.00 %	0.06 %
Carolina Shores	Unassigned	4,588	10,272,235	4,588	100.00 %	0.04 %
Carrboro	Unassigned	21,295	10,272,235	21,295	100.00 %	0.21 %
Carthage	Unassigned	2,775	10,272,235	2,775	100.00 %	0.03 %
Cary	Unassigned	174,721	10,272,235	174,721	100.00 %	1.70 %
Casar	Unassigned	305	10,272,235	305	100.00 %	0.00 %
Castalia	Unassigned	264	10,272,235	264	100.00 %	0.00 %
Caswell Beach	Unassigned	395	10,272,235	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Catawba	Unassigned	702	10,272,235	702	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cedar Point	Unassigned	1,764	10,272,235	1,764	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cedar Rock	Unassigned	301	10,272,235	301	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cerro Gordo	23	131	83,397	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	23	1,574	83,397	1,574	100.00 %	1.89 %
Chapel Hill	Unassigned	61,960	10,272,235	61,960	100.00 %	0.60 %
Charlotte	Unassigned	874,579	10,272,235	874,579	100.00 %	8.51 %
Cherryville	Unassigned	6,078	10,272,235	6,078	100.00 %	0.06 %
Chimney Rock Village	Unassigned	140	10,272,235	140	100.00 %	0.00 %
China Grove	Unassigned	4,434	10,272,235	4,434	100.00 %	0.04 %
Chocowinity	Unassigned	722	10,272,235	722	100.00 %	0.01 %
Claremont	Unassigned	1,692	10,272,235	1,692	100.00 %	0.02 %
Clarkton	Unassigned	614	10,272,235	614	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clayton	Unassigned	26,307	10,272,235	26,307	100.00 %	0.26 %
Clemmons	Unassigned	21,163	10,272,235	21,163	100.00 %	0.21 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	846	10,272,235	846	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clinton	Unassigned	8,383	10,272,235	8,383	100.00 %	0.08 %
Clyde	Unassigned	1,368	10,272,235	1,368	100.00 %	0.01 %
Coats	Unassigned	2,155	10,272,235	2,155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cofield	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Colerain	Unassigned	217	10,272,235	217	100.00 %	0.00 %
Columbia	Unassigned	610	10,272,235	610	100.00 %	0.01 %
Columbus	Unassigned	1,060	10,272,235	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Como	Unassigned	67	10,272,235	67	100.00 %	0.00 %
Concord	Unassigned	105,240	10,272,235	105,240	100.00 %	1.02 %
Conetoe	Unassigned	198	10,272,235	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
Connelly Springs	Unassigned	1,529	10,272,235	1,529	100.00 %	0.01 %
Conover	Unassigned	8,421	10,272,235	8,421	100.00 %	0.08 %
Conway	Unassigned	752	10,272,235	752	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cooleemee	Unassigned	940	10,272,235	940	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cornelius	Unassigned	31,412	10,272,235	31,412	100.00 %	0.31 %
Cove City	Unassigned	378	10,272,235	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cramerton	Unassigned	5,296	10,272,235	5,296	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creedmoor	Unassigned	4,866	10,272,235	4,866	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creswell	Unassigned	207	10,272,235	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Crossnore	Unassigned	143	10,272,235	143	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dallas	Unassigned	5,927	10,272,235	5,927	100.00 %	0.06 %
Danbury	Unassigned	189	10,272,235	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson	Unassigned	15,106	10,272,235	15,106	100.00 %	0.15 %
Dellview	Unassigned	6	10,272,235	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	Unassigned	1,494	10,272,235	1,494	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dillsboro	Unassigned	213	10,272,235	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dobbins Heights	Unassigned	687	10,272,235	687	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dobson	Unassigned	1,462	10,272,235	1,462	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Dortches	Unassigned	1,082	10,272,235	1,082	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dover	Unassigned	349	10,272,235	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Drexel	Unassigned	1,760	10,272,235	1,760	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dublin	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Duck	Unassigned	742	10,272,235	742	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dunn	Unassigned	8,446	10,272,235	8,446	100.00 %	0.08 %
Durham	Unassigned	283,506	10,272,235	283,506	100.00 %	2.76 %
Earl	Unassigned	198	10,272,235	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Arcadia	Unassigned	418	10,272,235	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Bend	Unassigned	634	10,272,235	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
East Laurinburg	Unassigned	234	10,272,235	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Eastover	Unassigned	3,656	10,272,235	3,656	100.00 %	0.04 %
East Spencer	Unassigned	1,567	10,272,235	1,567	100.00 %	0.02 %
Eden	Unassigned	15,421	10,272,235	15,421	100.00 %	0.15 %
Edenton	Unassigned	4,460	10,272,235	4,460	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elizabeth City	Unassigned	18,631	10,272,235	18,631	100.00 %	0.18 %
Elizabethtown	Unassigned	3,296	10,272,235	3,296	100.00 %	0.03 %
Elkin	Unassigned	4,122	10,272,235	4,122	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elk Park	Unassigned	542	10,272,235	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellenboro	Unassigned	723	10,272,235	723	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellerbe	Unassigned	864	10,272,235	864	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elm City	Unassigned	1,218	10,272,235	1,218	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elon	Unassigned	11,336	10,272,235	11,336	100.00 %	0.11 %
Emerald Isle	Unassigned	3,847	10,272,235	3,847	100.00 %	0.04 %
Enfield	Unassigned	1,865	10,272,235	1,865	100.00 %	0.02 %
Erwin	Unassigned	4,542	10,272,235	4,542	100.00 %	0.04 %
Eureka	Unassigned	214	10,272,235	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Everetts	Unassigned	150	10,272,235	150	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fair Bluff	23	709	83,397	709	100.00 %	0.85 %
Fairmont	24	2,191	83,756	2,191	100.00 %	2.62 %
Fairview	Unassigned	3,456	10,272,235	3,456	100.00 %	0.03 %
Faison	Unassigned	784	10,272,235	784	100.00 %	0.01 %
Faith	Unassigned	819	10,272,235	819	100.00 %	0.01 %
Falcon	Unassigned	324	10,272,235	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	Unassigned	47	10,272,235	47	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fallston	Unassigned	627	10,272,235	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
Farmville	Unassigned	4,461	10,272,235	4,461	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fayetteville	Unassigned	208,501	10,272,235	208,501	100.00 %	2.03 %
Flat Rock	Unassigned	3,486	10,272,235	3,486	100.00 %	0.03 %
Fletcher	Unassigned	7,987	10,272,235	7,987	100.00 %	0.08 %
Fontana Dam	Unassigned	13	10,272,235	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	Unassigned	7,377	10,272,235	7,377	100.00 %	0.07 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Forest Hills	Unassigned	303	10,272,235	303	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fountain	Unassigned	385	10,272,235	385	100.00 %	0.00 %
Four Oaks	Unassigned	2,158	10,272,235	2,158	100.00 %	0.02 %
Foxfire	Unassigned	1,288	10,272,235	1,288	100.00 %	0.01 %
Franklin	Unassigned	4,175	10,272,235	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Franklinton	Unassigned	2,456	10,272,235	2,456	100.00 %	0.02 %
Franklinville	Unassigned	1,197	10,272,235	1,197	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fremont	Unassigned	1,196	10,272,235	1,196	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fuquay-Varina	Unassigned	34,152	10,272,235	34,152	100.00 %	0.33 %
Gamewell	Unassigned	3,702	10,272,235	3,702	100.00 %	0.04 %
Garland	Unassigned	595	10,272,235	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Garner	Unassigned	31,159	10,272,235	31,159	100.00 %	0.30 %
Garysburg	Unassigned	904	10,272,235	904	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gaston	Unassigned	1,008	10,272,235	1,008	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gastonia	Unassigned	80,411	10,272,235	80,411	100.00 %	0.78 %
Gatesville	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibson	Unassigned	449	10,272,235	449	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibsonville	Unassigned	8,920	10,272,235	8,920	100.00 %	0.09 %
Glen Alpine	Unassigned	1,529	10,272,235	1,529	100.00 %	0.01 %
Godwin	Unassigned	128	10,272,235	128	100.00 %	0.00 %
Goldsboro	Unassigned	33,657	10,272,235	33,657	100.00 %	0.33 %
Goldston	Unassigned	234	10,272,235	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Graham	Unassigned	17,157	10,272,235	17,157	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grandfather Village	Unassigned	95	10,272,235	95	100.00 %	0.00 %
Granite Falls	Unassigned	4,965	10,272,235	4,965	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Quarry	Unassigned	2,984	10,272,235	2,984	100.00 %	0.03 %
Grantsboro	Unassigned	692	10,272,235	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Greenevers	Unassigned	567	10,272,235	567	100.00 %	0.01 %
Green Level	Unassigned	3,152	10,272,235	3,152	100.00 %	0.03 %
Greensboro	Unassigned	299,035	10,272,235	299,035	100.00 %	2.91 %
Greenville	Unassigned	87,521	10,272,235	87,521	100.00 %	0.85 %
Grifton	Unassigned	2,448	10,272,235	2,448	100.00 %	0.02 %
Grimesland	Unassigned	386	10,272,235	386	100.00 %	0.00 %
Grover	Unassigned	802	10,272,235	802	100.00 %	0.01 %
Halifax	Unassigned	170	10,272,235	170	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamilton	Unassigned	306	10,272,235	306	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamlet	Unassigned	6,025	10,272,235	6,025	100.00 %	0.06 %
Harmony	Unassigned	543	10,272,235	543	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrells	Unassigned	160	10,272,235	160	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrellsville	Unassigned	85	10,272,235	85	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrisburg	Unassigned	18,967	10,272,235	18,967	100.00 %	0.18 %
Hassell	Unassigned	49	10,272,235	49	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Havelock	Unassigned	16,621	10,272,235	16,621	100.00 %	0.16 %
Haw River	Unassigned	2,252	10,272,235	2,252	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hayesville	Unassigned	461	10,272,235	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hemby Bridge	Unassigned	1,614	10,272,235	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Henderson	Unassigned	15,060	10,272,235	15,060	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hendersonville	Unassigned	15,137	10,272,235	15,137	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hertford	Unassigned	1,934	10,272,235	1,934	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hickory	Unassigned	43,490	10,272,235	43,490	100.00 %	0.42 %
Highlands	Unassigned	1,072	10,272,235	1,072	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Point	Unassigned	114,059	10,272,235	114,059	100.00 %	1.11 %
High Shoals	Unassigned	595	10,272,235	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hildebran	Unassigned	1,679	10,272,235	1,679	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hillsborough	Unassigned	9,660	10,272,235	9,660	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hobgood	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hoffman	Unassigned	418	10,272,235	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
Holden Beach	Unassigned	921	10,272,235	921	100.00 %	0.01 %
Holly Ridge	Unassigned	4,171	10,272,235	4,171	100.00 %	0.04 %
Holly Springs	Unassigned	41,239	10,272,235	41,239	100.00 %	0.40 %
Hookerton	Unassigned	413	10,272,235	413	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hope Mills	Unassigned	17,808	10,272,235	17,808	100.00 %	0.17 %
Hot Springs	Unassigned	520	10,272,235	520	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hudson	Unassigned	3,780	10,272,235	3,780	100.00 %	0.04 %
Huntersville	Unassigned	61,376	10,272,235	61,376	100.00 %	0.60 %
Indian Beach	Unassigned	223	10,272,235	223	100.00 %	0.00 %
Indian Trail	Unassigned	39,997	10,272,235	39,997	100.00 %	0.39 %
Jackson	Unassigned	430	10,272,235	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jacksonville	Unassigned	72,723	10,272,235	72,723	100.00 %	0.71 %
Jamestown	Unassigned	3,668	10,272,235	3,668	100.00 %	0.04 %
Jamesville	Unassigned	424	10,272,235	424	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jefferson	Unassigned	1,622	10,272,235	1,622	100.00 %	0.02 %
Jonesville	Unassigned	2,308	10,272,235	2,308	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kannapolis	Unassigned	53,114	10,272,235	53,114	100.00 %	0.52 %
Kelford	Unassigned	203	10,272,235	203	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kenansville	Unassigned	770	10,272,235	770	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kenly	Unassigned	1,491	10,272,235	1,491	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kernersville	Unassigned	26,449	10,272,235	26,449	100.00 %	0.26 %
Kill Devil Hills	Unassigned	7,656	10,272,235	7,656	100.00 %	0.07 %
King	Unassigned	7,197	10,272,235	7,197	100.00 %	0.07 %
Kings Mountain	Unassigned	11,142	10,272,235	11,142	100.00 %	0.11 %
Kingstown	Unassigned	656	10,272,235	656	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kinston	Unassigned	19,900	10,272,235	19,900	100.00 %	0.19 %
Kittrell	Unassigned	132	10,272,235	132	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kitty Hawk	Unassigned	3,689	10,272,235	3,689	100.00 %	0.04 %
Knightdale	Unassigned	19,435	10,272,235	19,435	100.00 %	0.19 %
Kure Beach	Unassigned	2,191	10,272,235	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
La Grange	Unassigned	2,595	10,272,235	2,595	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Lure	Unassigned	1,365	10,272,235	1,365	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lake Park	Unassigned	3,269	10,272,235	3,269	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Santeetlah	Unassigned	38	10,272,235	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	23	1,296	83,397	1,296	100.00 %	1.55 %
Landis	Unassigned	3,690	10,272,235	3,690	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lansing	Unassigned	126	10,272,235	126	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lasker	Unassigned	64	10,272,235	64	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lattimore	Unassigned	406	10,272,235	406	100.00 %	0.00 %
Laurel Park	Unassigned	2,250	10,272,235	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Laurinburg	Unassigned	14,978	10,272,235	14,978	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lawndale	Unassigned	570	10,272,235	570	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leggett	Unassigned	37	10,272,235	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	Unassigned	22,908	10,272,235	22,908	100.00 %	0.22 %
Lenoir	Unassigned	18,352	10,272,235	18,352	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lewiston Woodville	Unassigned	426	10,272,235	426	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lewisville	Unassigned	13,381	10,272,235	13,381	100.00 %	0.13 %
Lexington	Unassigned	19,632	10,272,235	19,632	100.00 %	0.19 %
Liberty	Unassigned	2,655	10,272,235	2,655	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lilesville	Unassigned	395	10,272,235	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lillington	Unassigned	4,735	10,272,235	4,735	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lincolnton	Unassigned	11,091	10,272,235	11,091	100.00 %	0.11 %
Linden	Unassigned	136	10,272,235	136	100.00 %	0.00 %
Littleton	Unassigned	559	10,272,235	559	100.00 %	0.01 %
Locust	Unassigned	4,537	10,272,235	4,537	100.00 %	0.04 %
Long View	Unassigned	5,088	10,272,235	5,088	100.00 %	0.05 %
Louisburg	Unassigned	3,064	10,272,235	3,064	100.00 %	0.03 %
Love Valley	Unassigned	154	10,272,235	154	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lowell	Unassigned	3,654	10,272,235	3,654	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lucama	Unassigned	1,036	10,272,235	1,036	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumber Bridge	23	82	83,397	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	23	19,025	83,397	350	1.84 %	0.42 %
	24	19,025	83,756	18,675	98.16 %	22.30 %
McAdenville	Unassigned	890	10,272,235	890	100.00 %	0.01 %
Macclesfield	Unassigned	413	10,272,235	413	100.00 %	0.00 %
McDonald	24	94	83,756	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	Unassigned	94	10,272,235	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
Macon	Unassigned	110	10,272,235	110	100.00 %	0.00 %
Madison	Unassigned	2,129	10,272,235	2,129	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Maggie Valley	Unassigned	1,687	10,272,235	1,687	100.00 %	0.02 %
Magnolia	Unassigned	831	10,272,235	831	100.00 %	0.01 %
Maiden	Unassigned	3,736	10,272,235	3,736	100.00 %	0.04 %
Manteo	Unassigned	1,600	10,272,235	1,600	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marietta	23	111	83,397	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	Unassigned	7,717	10,272,235	7,717	100.00 %	0.08 %
Marshall	Unassigned	777	10,272,235	777	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mars Hill	Unassigned	2,007	10,272,235	2,007	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marshville	Unassigned	2,522	10,272,235	2,522	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marvin	Unassigned	6,358	10,272,235	6,358	100.00 %	0.06 %
Matthews	Unassigned	29,435	10,272,235	29,435	100.00 %	0.29 %
Maxton	24	2,110	83,756	1,902	90.14 %	2.27 %
	Unassigned	2,110	10,272,235	208	9.86 %	0.00 %
Mayodan	Unassigned	2,418	10,272,235	2,418	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maysville	Unassigned	818	10,272,235	818	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mebane	Unassigned	17,797	10,272,235	17,797	100.00 %	0.17 %
Mesic	Unassigned	144	10,272,235	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Micro	Unassigned	458	10,272,235	458	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middleburg	Unassigned	101	10,272,235	101	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middlesex	Unassigned	912	10,272,235	912	100.00 %	0.01 %
Midland	Unassigned	4,684	10,272,235	4,684	100.00 %	0.05 %
Midway	Unassigned	4,742	10,272,235	4,742	100.00 %	0.05 %
Mills River	Unassigned	7,078	10,272,235	7,078	100.00 %	0.07 %
Milton	Unassigned	155	10,272,235	155	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mineral Springs	Unassigned	3,159	10,272,235	3,159	100.00 %	0.03 %
Minnesott Beach	Unassigned	530	10,272,235	530	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mint Hill	Unassigned	26,450	10,272,235	26,450	100.00 %	0.26 %
Misenheimer	Unassigned	650	10,272,235	650	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mocksville	Unassigned	5,900	10,272,235	5,900	100.00 %	0.06 %
Momeyer	Unassigned	277	10,272,235	277	100.00 %	0.00 %
Monroe	Unassigned	34,562	10,272,235	34,562	100.00 %	0.34 %
Montreat	Unassigned	901	10,272,235	901	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mooresboro	Unassigned	293	10,272,235	293	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mooresville	Unassigned	50,193	10,272,235	50,193	100.00 %	0.49 %
Morehead City	Unassigned	9,556	10,272,235	9,556	100.00 %	0.09 %
Morganton	Unassigned	17,474	10,272,235	17,474	100.00 %	0.17 %
Morrisville	Unassigned	29,630	10,272,235	29,630	100.00 %	0.29 %
Morven	Unassigned	329	10,272,235	329	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Airy	Unassigned	10,676	10,272,235	10,676	100.00 %	0.10 %
Mount Gilead	Unassigned	1,171	10,272,235	1,171	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Holly	Unassigned	17,703	10,272,235	17,703	100.00 %	0.17 %
Mount Olive	Unassigned	4,198	10,272,235	4,198	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mount Pleasant	Unassigned	1,671	10,272,235	1,671	100.00 %	0.02 %
Murfreesboro	Unassigned	2,619	10,272,235	2,619	100.00 %	0.03 %
Murphy	Unassigned	1,608	10,272,235	1,608	100.00 %	0.02 %
Nags Head	Unassigned	3,168	10,272,235	3,168	100.00 %	0.03 %
Nashville	Unassigned	5,632	10,272,235	5,632	100.00 %	0.05 %
Navassa	Unassigned	1,367	10,272,235	1,367	100.00 %	0.01 %
New Bern	Unassigned	31,291	10,272,235	31,291	100.00 %	0.30 %
Newland	Unassigned	715	10,272,235	715	100.00 %	0.01 %
New London	Unassigned	607	10,272,235	607	100.00 %	0.01 %
Newport	Unassigned	4,364	10,272,235	4,364	100.00 %	0.04 %
Newton	Unassigned	13,148	10,272,235	13,148	100.00 %	0.13 %
Newton Grove	Unassigned	585	10,272,235	585	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norlina	Unassigned	920	10,272,235	920	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norman	Unassigned	100	10,272,235	100	100.00 %	0.00 %
North Topsail Beach	Unassigned	1,005	10,272,235	1,005	100.00 %	0.01 %
Northwest	Unassigned	703	10,272,235	703	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Wilkesboro	Unassigned	4,382	10,272,235	4,382	100.00 %	0.04 %
Norwood	Unassigned	2,367	10,272,235	2,367	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oakboro	Unassigned	2,128	10,272,235	2,128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oak City	Unassigned	266	10,272,235	266	100.00 %	0.00 %
Oak Island	Unassigned	8,396	10,272,235	8,396	100.00 %	0.08 %
Oak Ridge	Unassigned	7,474	10,272,235	7,474	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ocean Isle Beach	Unassigned	867	10,272,235	867	100.00 %	0.01 %
Old Fort	Unassigned	811	10,272,235	811	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oriental	Unassigned	880	10,272,235	880	100.00 %	0.01 %
Orrum	23	59	83,397	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	Unassigned	536	10,272,235	536	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oxford	Unassigned	8,628	10,272,235	8,628	100.00 %	0.08 %
Pantego	Unassigned	164	10,272,235	164	100.00 %	0.00 %
Parkton	23	504	83,397	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	Unassigned	243	10,272,235	243	100.00 %	0.00 %
Patterson Springs	Unassigned	571	10,272,235	571	100.00 %	0.01 %
Peachland	Unassigned	390	10,272,235	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Peletier	Unassigned	769	10,272,235	769	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	83,756	2,823	100.00 %	3.37 %
Pikeville	Unassigned	712	10,272,235	712	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pilot Mountain	Unassigned	1,440	10,272,235	1,440	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinebluff	Unassigned	1,473	10,272,235	1,473	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinehurst	Unassigned	17,581	10,272,235	17,581	100.00 %	0.17 %
Pine Knoll Shores	Unassigned	1,388	10,272,235	1,388	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Level	Unassigned	2,046	10,272,235	2,046	100.00 %	0.02 %
Pinetops	Unassigned	1,200	10,272,235	1,200	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pineville	Unassigned	10,602	10,272,235	10,602	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pink Hill	Unassigned	451	10,272,235	451	100.00 %	0.00 %
Pittsboro	Unassigned	4,537	10,272,235	4,537	100.00 %	0.04 %
Pleasant Garden	Unassigned	5,000	10,272,235	5,000	100.00 %	0.05 %
Plymouth	Unassigned	3,320	10,272,235	3,320	100.00 %	0.03 %
Polkton	Unassigned	2,250	10,272,235	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Polkville	Unassigned	516	10,272,235	516	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pollocksville	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Powellsville	Unassigned	189	10,272,235	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Princeton	Unassigned	1,315	10,272,235	1,315	100.00 %	0.01 %
Princeville	Unassigned	1,254	10,272,235	1,254	100.00 %	0.01 %
Proctorville	23	121	83,397	121	100.00 %	0.15 %
Raeford	Unassigned	4,559	10,272,235	4,559	100.00 %	0.04 %
Raleigh	Unassigned	467,665	10,272,235	467,665	100.00 %	4.55 %
Ramseur	Unassigned	1,774	10,272,235	1,774	100.00 %	0.02 %
Randleman	Unassigned	4,595	10,272,235	4,595	100.00 %	0.04 %
Ranlo	Unassigned	4,511	10,272,235	4,511	100.00 %	0.04 %
Raynham	24	60	83,756	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	Unassigned	762	10,272,235	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Oak	Unassigned	3,342	10,272,235	3,342	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Springs	24	3,087	83,756	3,087	100.00 %	3.69 %
	Unassigned	3,087	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Reidsville	Unassigned	14,583	10,272,235	14,583	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rennert	24	275	83,756	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss	Unassigned	997	10,272,235	997	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richfield	Unassigned	582	10,272,235	582	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richlands	Unassigned	2,287	10,272,235	2,287	100.00 %	0.02 %
Rich Square	Unassigned	894	10,272,235	894	100.00 %	0.01 %
River Bend	Unassigned	2,902	10,272,235	2,902	100.00 %	0.03 %
Roanoke Rapids	Unassigned	15,229	10,272,235	15,229	100.00 %	0.15 %
Robbins	Unassigned	1,168	10,272,235	1,168	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robbinsville	Unassigned	597	10,272,235	597	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robersonville	Unassigned	1,269	10,272,235	1,269	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	9,243	10,272,235	9,243	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rockwell	Unassigned	2,302	10,272,235	2,302	100.00 %	0.02 %
Rocky Mount	Unassigned	54,341	10,272,235	54,341	100.00 %	0.53 %
Rolesville	Unassigned	9,475	10,272,235	9,475	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ronda	Unassigned	438	10,272,235	438	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roper	Unassigned	485	10,272,235	485	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roseboro	Unassigned	1,163	10,272,235	1,163	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rose Hill	Unassigned	1,371	10,272,235	1,371	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rosman	Unassigned	701	10,272,235	701	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rowland	24	885	83,756	885	100.00 %	1.06 %
Roxboro	Unassigned	8,134	10,272,235	8,134	100.00 %	0.08 %
Roxobel	Unassigned	187	10,272,235	187	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rural Hall	Unassigned	3,351	10,272,235	3,351	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ruth	Unassigned	347	10,272,235	347	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherford College	Unassigned	1,226	10,272,235	1,226	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rutherfordton	Unassigned	3,640	10,272,235	3,640	100.00 %	0.04 %
St. Helena	Unassigned	417	10,272,235	417	100.00 %	0.00 %
St. James	Unassigned	6,529	10,272,235	6,529	100.00 %	0.06 %
St. Pauls	23	2,045	83,397	2,045	100.00 %	2.45 %
Salemburg	Unassigned	457	10,272,235	457	100.00 %	0.00 %
Salisbury	Unassigned	35,540	10,272,235	35,540	100.00 %	0.35 %
Saluda	Unassigned	631	10,272,235	631	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sandy Creek	Unassigned	248	10,272,235	248	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sandyfield	23	430	83,397	430	100.00 %	0.52 %
Sanford	Unassigned	30,261	10,272,235	30,261	100.00 %	0.29 %
Saratoga	Unassigned	353	10,272,235	353	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sawmills	Unassigned	5,020	10,272,235	5,020	100.00 %	0.05 %
Scotland Neck	Unassigned	1,640	10,272,235	1,640	100.00 %	0.02 %
Seaboard	Unassigned	542	10,272,235	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Seagrove	Unassigned	235	10,272,235	235	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sedalia	Unassigned	676	10,272,235	676	100.00 %	0.01 %
Selma	Unassigned	6,317	10,272,235	6,317	100.00 %	0.06 %
Seven Devils	Unassigned	313	10,272,235	313	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Springs	Unassigned	55	10,272,235	55	100.00 %	0.00 %
Severn	Unassigned	191	10,272,235	191	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shallotte	Unassigned	4,185	10,272,235	4,185	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sharpsburg	Unassigned	1,697	10,272,235	1,697	100.00 %	0.02 %
Shelby	Unassigned	21,918	10,272,235	21,918	100.00 %	0.21 %
Siler City	Unassigned	7,702	10,272,235	7,702	100.00 %	0.07 %
Simpson	Unassigned	390	10,272,235	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sims	Unassigned	275	10,272,235	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Smithfield	Unassigned	11,292	10,272,235	11,292	100.00 %	0.11 %
Snow Hill	Unassigned	1,481	10,272,235	1,481	100.00 %	0.01 %
Southern Pines	Unassigned	15,545	10,272,235	15,545	100.00 %	0.15 %
Southern Shores	Unassigned	3,090	10,272,235	3,090	100.00 %	0.03 %
Southport	Unassigned	3,971	10,272,235	3,971	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sparta	Unassigned	1,834	10,272,235	1,834	100.00 %	0.02 %
Speed	Unassigned	63	10,272,235	63	100.00 %	0.00 %
Spencer	Unassigned	3,308	10,272,235	3,308	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer Mountain	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	Unassigned	4,225	10,272,235	4,225	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Spring Hope	Unassigned	1,309	10,272,235	1,309	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spring Lake	Unassigned	11,660	10,272,235	11,660	100.00 %	0.11 %
Spruce Pine	Unassigned	2,194	10,272,235	2,194	100.00 %	0.02 %
Staley	Unassigned	397	10,272,235	397	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings	Unassigned	16,112	10,272,235	16,112	100.00 %	0.16 %
Stanfield	Unassigned	1,585	10,272,235	1,585	100.00 %	0.02 %
Stanley	Unassigned	3,963	10,272,235	3,963	100.00 %	0.04 %
Stantonsburg	Unassigned	762	10,272,235	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Star	Unassigned	806	10,272,235	806	100.00 %	0.01 %
Statesville	Unassigned	28,419	10,272,235	28,419	100.00 %	0.28 %
Stedman	Unassigned	1,277	10,272,235	1,277	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stem	Unassigned	960	10,272,235	960	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stokesdale	Unassigned	5,924	10,272,235	5,924	100.00 %	0.06 %
Stoneville	Unassigned	1,308	10,272,235	1,308	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stonewall	Unassigned	214	10,272,235	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stovall	Unassigned	324	10,272,235	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sugar Mountain	Unassigned	371	10,272,235	371	100.00 %	0.00 %
Summerfield	Unassigned	10,951	10,272,235	10,951	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sunset Beach	Unassigned	4,175	10,272,235	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City	Unassigned	3,867	10,272,235	3,867	100.00 %	0.04 %
Swansboro	Unassigned	3,744	10,272,235	3,744	100.00 %	0.04 %
Swepsonville	Unassigned	2,445	10,272,235	2,445	100.00 %	0.02 %
Sylva	Unassigned	2,578	10,272,235	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tabor City	23	3,781	83,397	3,781	100.00 %	4.53 %
Tarboro	Unassigned	10,721	10,272,235	10,721	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tar Heel	Unassigned	90	10,272,235	90	100.00 %	0.00 %
Taylorsville	Unassigned	2,320	10,272,235	2,320	100.00 %	0.02 %
Taylortown	Unassigned	634	10,272,235	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
Teachey	Unassigned	448	10,272,235	448	100.00 %	0.00 %
Thomasville	Unassigned	27,183	10,272,235	27,183	100.00 %	0.26 %
Tobaccoville	Unassigned	2,578	10,272,235	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Topsail Beach	Unassigned	461	10,272,235	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Trenton	Unassigned	238	10,272,235	238	100.00 %	0.00 %
Trent Woods	Unassigned	4,074	10,272,235	4,074	100.00 %	0.04 %
Trinity	Unassigned	7,006	10,272,235	7,006	100.00 %	0.07 %
Troutman	Unassigned	3,698	10,272,235	3,698	100.00 %	0.04 %
Troy	Unassigned	2,850	10,272,235	2,850	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tryon	Unassigned	1,562	10,272,235	1,562	100.00 %	0.02 %
Turkey	Unassigned	213	10,272,235	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Unionville	Unassigned	6,643	10,272,235	6,643	100.00 %	0.06 %
Valdese	Unassigned	4,689	10,272,235	4,689	100.00 %	0.05 %
Vanceboro	Unassigned	869	10,272,235	869	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Vandemere	Unassigned	246	10,272,235	246	100.00 %	0.00 %
Varnamtown	Unassigned	525	10,272,235	525	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vass	Unassigned	952	10,272,235	952	100.00 %	0.01 %
Waco	Unassigned	310	10,272,235	310	100.00 %	0.00 %
Wade	Unassigned	638	10,272,235	638	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wadesboro	Unassigned	5,008	10,272,235	5,008	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wagram	Unassigned	615	10,272,235	615	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wake Forest	Unassigned	47,601	10,272,235	47,601	100.00 %	0.46 %
Walkertown	Unassigned	5,692	10,272,235	5,692	100.00 %	0.06 %
Wallace	Unassigned	3,413	10,272,235	3,413	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wallburg	Unassigned	3,051	10,272,235	3,051	100.00 %	0.03 %
Walnut Cove	Unassigned	1,586	10,272,235	1,586	100.00 %	0.02 %
Walnut Creek	Unassigned	1,084	10,272,235	1,084	100.00 %	0.01 %
Walstonburg	Unassigned	193	10,272,235	193	100.00 %	0.00 %
Warrenton	Unassigned	851	10,272,235	851	100.00 %	0.01 %
Warsaw	Unassigned	2,733	10,272,235	2,733	100.00 %	0.03 %
Washington	Unassigned	9,875	10,272,235	9,875	100.00 %	0.10 %
Washington Park	Unassigned	392	10,272,235	392	100.00 %	0.00 %
Watha	Unassigned	181	10,272,235	181	100.00 %	0.00 %
Waxhaw	Unassigned	20,534	10,272,235	20,534	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waynesville	Unassigned	10,140	10,272,235	10,140	100.00 %	0.10 %
Weaverville	Unassigned	4,567	10,272,235	4,567	100.00 %	0.04 %
Webster	Unassigned	372	10,272,235	372	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington	Unassigned	13,181	10,272,235	13,181	100.00 %	0.13 %
Weldon	Unassigned	1,444	10,272,235	1,444	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wendell	Unassigned	9,793	10,272,235	9,793	100.00 %	0.10 %
Wentworth	Unassigned	2,662	10,272,235	2,662	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wesley Chapel	Unassigned	8,681	10,272,235	8,681	100.00 %	0.08 %
West Jefferson	Unassigned	1,279	10,272,235	1,279	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whispering Pines	Unassigned	4,987	10,272,235	4,987	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitakers	Unassigned	627	10,272,235	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
White Lake	Unassigned	843	10,272,235	843	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whiteville	23	4,766	83,397	4,766	100.00 %	5.71 %
Whitsett	Unassigned	584	10,272,235	584	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wilkesboro	Unassigned	3,687	10,272,235	3,687	100.00 %	0.04 %
Williamston	Unassigned	5,248	10,272,235	5,248	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wilmington	Unassigned	115,451	10,272,235	115,451	100.00 %	1.12 %
Wilson	Unassigned	47,851	10,272,235	47,851	100.00 %	0.47 %
Wilson's Mills	Unassigned	2,534	10,272,235	2,534	100.00 %	0.02 %
Windsor	Unassigned	3,582	10,272,235	3,582	100.00 %	0.03 %
Winfall	Unassigned	555	10,272,235	555	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wingate	Unassigned	4,055	10,272,235	4,055	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Winston-Salem	Unassigned	249,545	10,272,235	249,545	100.00 %	2.43 %
Winterville	Unassigned	10,462	10,272,235	10,462	100.00 %	0.10 %
Winton	Unassigned	629	10,272,235	629	100.00 %	0.01 %
Woodfin	Unassigned	7,936	10,272,235	7,936	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodland	Unassigned	557	10,272,235	557	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wrightsville Beach	Unassigned	2,473	10,272,235	2,473	100.00 %	0.02 %
Yadkinville	Unassigned	2,995	10,272,235	2,995	100.00 %	0.03 %
Yanceyville	Unassigned	1,937	10,272,235	1,937	100.00 %	0.02 %
Youngsville	Unassigned	2,016	10,272,235	2,016	100.00 %	0.02 %
Zebulon	Unassigned	6,903	10,272,235	6,903	100.00 %	0.07 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Number of split municipalities: 3
Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	Unassigned	8,516	10,272,235	8,516	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ahoskie	Unassigned	4,891	10,272,235	4,891	100.00 %	0.05 %
Alamance	Unassigned	988	10,272,235	988	100.00 %	0.01 %
Albemarle	Unassigned	16,432	10,272,235	16,432	100.00 %	0.16 %
Alliance	Unassigned	733	10,272,235	733	100.00 %	0.01 %
Andrews	Unassigned	1,667	10,272,235	1,667	100.00 %	0.02 %
Angier (Harnett)	Unassigned	4,709	10,272,235	4,709	100.00 %	0.05 %
Angier (Wake)	Unassigned	556	10,272,235	556	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ansonville	Unassigned	440	10,272,235	440	100.00 %	0.00 %
Apex	Unassigned	58,780	10,272,235	58,780	100.00 %	0.57 %
Arapahoe	Unassigned	416	10,272,235	416	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale (Guilford)	Unassigned	380	10,272,235	380	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale (Randolph)	Unassigned	11,527	10,272,235	11,527	100.00 %	0.11 %
Archer Lodge	Unassigned	4,797	10,272,235	4,797	100.00 %	0.05 %
Asheboro	Unassigned	27,156	10,272,235	27,156	100.00 %	0.26 %
Asheville	Unassigned	94,589	10,272,235	94,589	100.00 %	0.92 %
Askewville	Unassigned	184	10,272,235	184	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atkinson	Unassigned	296	10,272,235	296	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atlantic Beach	Unassigned	1,364	10,272,235	1,364	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aulander	Unassigned	763	10,272,235	763	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aurora	Unassigned	455	10,272,235	455	100.00 %	0.00 %
Autryville	Unassigned	167	10,272,235	167	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ayden	Unassigned	4,977	10,272,235	4,977	100.00 %	0.05 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Badin	Unassigned	2,024	10,272,235	2,024	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bailey	Unassigned	568	10,272,235	568	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bakersville	Unassigned	450	10,272,235	450	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bald Head Island	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Banner Elk	Unassigned	1,049	10,272,235	1,049	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bath	Unassigned	245	10,272,235	245	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bayboro	Unassigned	1,161	10,272,235	1,161	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bear Grass	Unassigned	89	10,272,235	89	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	4,464	10,272,235	4,464	100.00 %	0.04 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	Unassigned	62	10,272,235	62	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	Unassigned	613	10,272,235	613	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belhaven	Unassigned	1,410	10,272,235	1,410	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belmont	Unassigned	15,010	10,272,235	15,010	100.00 %	0.15 %
Belville	Unassigned	2,406	10,272,235	2,406	100.00 %	0.02 %
Belwood	Unassigned	857	10,272,235	857	100.00 %	0.01 %
Benson (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	Unassigned	3,967	10,272,235	3,967	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bermuda Run	Unassigned	3,120	10,272,235	3,120	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bessemer City	Unassigned	5,428	10,272,235	5,428	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bethania	Unassigned	344	10,272,235	344	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	Unassigned	1,373	10,272,235	1,373	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beulaville	Unassigned	1,116	10,272,235	1,116	100.00 %	0.01 %
Biltmore Forest	Unassigned	1,409	10,272,235	1,409	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Biscoe	Unassigned	1,848	10,272,235	1,848	100.00 %	0.02 %
Black Creek	Unassigned	692	10,272,235	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Black Mountain	Unassigned	8,426	10,272,235	8,426	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bladenboro	Unassigned	1,648	10,272,235	1,648	100.00 %	0.02 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	Unassigned	91	10,272,235	91	100.00 %	0.00 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	Unassigned	1,285	10,272,235	1,285	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boardman	23	166	83,397	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	Unassigned	695	10,272,235	695	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	Unassigned	5,943	10,272,235	5,943	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boiling Springs	Unassigned	4,615	10,272,235	4,615	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bolivia	Unassigned	149	10,272,235	149	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bolton	23	519	83,397	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	Unassigned	19,092	10,272,235	19,092	100.00 %	0.19 %
Boonville	Unassigned	1,185	10,272,235	1,185	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bostic	Unassigned	355	10,272,235	355	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brevard	Unassigned	7,744	10,272,235	7,744	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bridgeton	Unassigned	349	10,272,235	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	Unassigned	1,267	10,272,235	1,267	100.00 %	0.01 %
Brookford	Unassigned	442	10,272,235	442	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brunswick	23	973	83,397	973	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bryson City	Unassigned	1,558	10,272,235	1,558	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bunn	Unassigned	327	10,272,235	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Burgaw	Unassigned	3,088	10,272,235	3,088	100.00 %	0.03 %
Burlington (Alamance)	Unassigned	55,481	10,272,235	55,481	100.00 %	0.54 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Guilford)	Unassigned	1,822	10,272,235	1,822	100.00 %	0.02 %
Burnsville	Unassigned	1,614	10,272,235	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Butner	Unassigned	8,397	10,272,235	8,397	100.00 %	0.08 %
Cajah's Mountain	Unassigned	2,722	10,272,235	2,722	100.00 %	0.03 %
Calabash	Unassigned	2,011	10,272,235	2,011	100.00 %	0.02 %
Calypso	Unassigned	327	10,272,235	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cameron	Unassigned	244	10,272,235	244	100.00 %	0.00 %
Candor (Montgomery)	Unassigned	813	10,272,235	813	100.00 %	0.01 %
Candor (Moore)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	Unassigned	4,422	10,272,235	4,422	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cape Carteret	Unassigned	2,224	10,272,235	2,224	100.00 %	0.02 %
Carolina Beach	Unassigned	6,564	10,272,235	6,564	100.00 %	0.06 %
Carolina Shores	Unassigned	4,588	10,272,235	4,588	100.00 %	0.04 %
Carrboro	Unassigned	21,295	10,272,235	21,295	100.00 %	0.21 %
Carthage	Unassigned	2,775	10,272,235	2,775	100.00 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	Unassigned	3,709	10,272,235	3,709	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cary (Wake)	Unassigned	171,012	10,272,235	171,012	100.00 %	1.66 %
Casar	Unassigned	305	10,272,235	305	100.00 %	0.00 %
Castalia	Unassigned	264	10,272,235	264	100.00 %	0.00 %
Caswell Beach	Unassigned	395	10,272,235	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Catawba	Unassigned	702	10,272,235	702	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cedar Point	Unassigned	1,764	10,272,235	1,764	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cedar Rock	Unassigned	301	10,272,235	301	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cerro Gordo	23	131	83,397	131	100.00 %	0.16 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Chadbourn	23	1,574	83,397	1,574	100.00 %	1.89 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	Unassigned	2,906	10,272,235	2,906	100.00 %	0.03 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	Unassigned	59,054	10,272,235	59,054	100.00 %	0.57 %
Charlotte	Unassigned	874,579	10,272,235	874,579	100.00 %	8.51 %
Cherryville	Unassigned	6,078	10,272,235	6,078	100.00 %	0.06 %
Chimney Rock Village	Unassigned	140	10,272,235	140	100.00 %	0.00 %
China Grove	Unassigned	4,434	10,272,235	4,434	100.00 %	0.04 %
Chocowinity	Unassigned	722	10,272,235	722	100.00 %	0.01 %
Claremont	Unassigned	1,692	10,272,235	1,692	100.00 %	0.02 %
Clarkton	Unassigned	614	10,272,235	614	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clayton (Johnston)	Unassigned	26,307	10,272,235	26,307	100.00 %	0.26 %
Clayton (Wake)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	Unassigned	21,163	10,272,235	21,163	100.00 %	0.21 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	846	10,272,235	846	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clinton	Unassigned	8,383	10,272,235	8,383	100.00 %	0.08 %
Clyde	Unassigned	1,368	10,272,235	1,368	100.00 %	0.01 %
Coats	Unassigned	2,155	10,272,235	2,155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cofield	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Colerain	Unassigned	217	10,272,235	217	100.00 %	0.00 %
Columbia	Unassigned	610	10,272,235	610	100.00 %	0.01 %
Columbus	Unassigned	1,060	10,272,235	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Como	Unassigned	67	10,272,235	67	100.00 %	0.00 %
Concord	Unassigned	105,240	10,272,235	105,240	100.00 %	1.02 %
Conetoe	Unassigned	198	10,272,235	198	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Connelly Springs	Unassigned	1,529	10,272,235	1,529	100.00 %	0.01 %
Conover	Unassigned	8,421	10,272,235	8,421	100.00 %	0.08 %
Conway	Unassigned	752	10,272,235	752	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cooleemee	Unassigned	940	10,272,235	940	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cornelius	Unassigned	31,412	10,272,235	31,412	100.00 %	0.31 %
Cove City	Unassigned	378	10,272,235	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cramerton	Unassigned	5,296	10,272,235	5,296	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creedmoor	Unassigned	4,866	10,272,235	4,866	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creswell	Unassigned	207	10,272,235	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Crossnore	Unassigned	143	10,272,235	143	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dallas	Unassigned	5,927	10,272,235	5,927	100.00 %	0.06 %
Danbury	Unassigned	189	10,272,235	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson (Iredell)	Unassigned	378	10,272,235	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	14,728	10,272,235	14,728	100.00 %	0.14 %
Dellview	Unassigned	6	10,272,235	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	Unassigned	1,494	10,272,235	1,494	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dillsboro	Unassigned	213	10,272,235	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dobbins Heights	Unassigned	687	10,272,235	687	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dobson	Unassigned	1,462	10,272,235	1,462	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dortches	Unassigned	1,082	10,272,235	1,082	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dover	Unassigned	349	10,272,235	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Drexel	Unassigned	1,760	10,272,235	1,760	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dublin	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Duck	Unassigned	742	10,272,235	742	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dunn	Unassigned	8,446	10,272,235	8,446	100.00 %	0.08 %
Durham (Durham)	Unassigned	283,093	10,272,235	283,093	100.00 %	2.76 %
Durham (Orange)	Unassigned	144	10,272,235	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Durham (Wake)	Unassigned	269	10,272,235	269	100.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	Unassigned	198	10,272,235	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Arcadia	Unassigned	418	10,272,235	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Bend	Unassigned	634	10,272,235	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
East Laurinburg	Unassigned	234	10,272,235	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Spencer	Unassigned	1,567	10,272,235	1,567	100.00 %	0.02 %
Eastover	Unassigned	3,656	10,272,235	3,656	100.00 %	0.04 %
Eden	Unassigned	15,421	10,272,235	15,421	100.00 %	0.15 %
Edenton	Unassigned	4,460	10,272,235	4,460	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	Unassigned	38	10,272,235	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	Unassigned	18,593	10,272,235	18,593	100.00 %	0.18 %
Elizabethtown	Unassigned	3,296	10,272,235	3,296	100.00 %	0.03 %
Elk Park	Unassigned	542	10,272,235	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elkin (Surry)	Unassigned	4,049	10,272,235	4,049	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	Unassigned	73	10,272,235	73	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ellenboro	Unassigned	723	10,272,235	723	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellerbe	Unassigned	864	10,272,235	864	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elm City (Nash)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	Unassigned	1,218	10,272,235	1,218	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elon	Unassigned	11,336	10,272,235	11,336	100.00 %	0.11 %
Emerald Isle	Unassigned	3,847	10,272,235	3,847	100.00 %	0.04 %
Enfield	Unassigned	1,865	10,272,235	1,865	100.00 %	0.02 %
Erwin	Unassigned	4,542	10,272,235	4,542	100.00 %	0.04 %
Eureka	Unassigned	214	10,272,235	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Everetts	Unassigned	150	10,272,235	150	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fair Bluff	23	709	83,397	709	100.00 %	0.85 %
Fairmont	24	2,191	83,756	2,191	100.00 %	2.62 %
Fairview	Unassigned	3,456	10,272,235	3,456	100.00 %	0.03 %
Faison (Duplin)	Unassigned	784	10,272,235	784	100.00 %	0.01 %
Faison (Sampson)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	Unassigned	819	10,272,235	819	100.00 %	0.01 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	Unassigned	324	10,272,235	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Falcon (Sampson)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	Unassigned	47	10,272,235	47	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fallston	Unassigned	627	10,272,235	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
Farmville	Unassigned	4,461	10,272,235	4,461	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fayetteville	Unassigned	208,501	10,272,235	208,501	100.00 %	2.03 %
Flat Rock	Unassigned	3,486	10,272,235	3,486	100.00 %	0.03 %
Fletcher	Unassigned	7,987	10,272,235	7,987	100.00 %	0.08 %
Fontana Dam	Unassigned	13	10,272,235	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	Unassigned	7,377	10,272,235	7,377	100.00 %	0.07 %
Forest Hills	Unassigned	303	10,272,235	303	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fountain	Unassigned	385	10,272,235	385	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Four Oaks	Unassigned	2,158	10,272,235	2,158	100.00 %	0.02 %
Foxfire	Unassigned	1,288	10,272,235	1,288	100.00 %	0.01 %
Franklin	Unassigned	4,175	10,272,235	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Franklinton	Unassigned	2,456	10,272,235	2,456	100.00 %	0.02 %
Franklinville	Unassigned	1,197	10,272,235	1,197	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fremont	Unassigned	1,196	10,272,235	1,196	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	Unassigned	34,152	10,272,235	34,152	100.00 %	0.33 %
Gamewell	Unassigned	3,702	10,272,235	3,702	100.00 %	0.04 %
Garland	Unassigned	595	10,272,235	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Garner	Unassigned	31,159	10,272,235	31,159	100.00 %	0.30 %
Garysburg	Unassigned	904	10,272,235	904	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gaston	Unassigned	1,008	10,272,235	1,008	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gastonia	Unassigned	80,411	10,272,235	80,411	100.00 %	0.78 %
Gatesville	Unassigned	267	10,272,235	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibson	Unassigned	449	10,272,235	449	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	Unassigned	4,278	10,272,235	4,278	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	Unassigned	4,642	10,272,235	4,642	100.00 %	0.05 %
Glen Alpine	Unassigned	1,529	10,272,235	1,529	100.00 %	0.01 %
Godwin	Unassigned	128	10,272,235	128	100.00 %	0.00 %
Goldsboro	Unassigned	33,657	10,272,235	33,657	100.00 %	0.33 %
Goldston	Unassigned	234	10,272,235	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Graham	Unassigned	17,157	10,272,235	17,157	100.00 %	0.17 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grandfather Village	Unassigned	95	10,272,235	95	100.00 %	0.00 %
Granite Falls	Unassigned	4,965	10,272,235	4,965	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Quarry	Unassigned	2,984	10,272,235	2,984	100.00 %	0.03 %
Grantsboro	Unassigned	692	10,272,235	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Green Level	Unassigned	3,152	10,272,235	3,152	100.00 %	0.03 %
Greenevers	Unassigned	567	10,272,235	567	100.00 %	0.01 %
Greensboro	Unassigned	299,035	10,272,235	299,035	100.00 %	2.91 %
Greenville	Unassigned	87,521	10,272,235	87,521	100.00 %	0.85 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	Unassigned	147	10,272,235	147	100.00 %	0.00 %
Grifton (Pitt)	Unassigned	2,301	10,272,235	2,301	100.00 %	0.02 %
Grimesland	Unassigned	386	10,272,235	386	100.00 %	0.00 %
Grover	Unassigned	802	10,272,235	802	100.00 %	0.01 %
Halifax	Unassigned	170	10,272,235	170	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamilton	Unassigned	306	10,272,235	306	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamlet	Unassigned	6,025	10,272,235	6,025	100.00 %	0.06 %
Harmony	Unassigned	543	10,272,235	543	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrells (Duplin)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	Unassigned	160	10,272,235	160	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrellsville	Unassigned	85	10,272,235	85	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrisburg	Unassigned	18,967	10,272,235	18,967	100.00 %	0.18 %
Hassell	Unassigned	49	10,272,235	49	100.00 %	0.00 %
Havelock	Unassigned	16,621	10,272,235	16,621	100.00 %	0.16 %
Haw River	Unassigned	2,252	10,272,235	2,252	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hayesville	Unassigned	461	10,272,235	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hemby Bridge	Unassigned	1,614	10,272,235	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Henderson	Unassigned	15,060	10,272,235	15,060	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hendersonville	Unassigned	15,137	10,272,235	15,137	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hertford	Unassigned	1,934	10,272,235	1,934	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hickory (Burke)	Unassigned	79	10,272,235	79	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	Unassigned	32	10,272,235	32	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hickory (Catawba)	Unassigned	43,379	10,272,235	43,379	100.00 %	0.42 %
High Point (Davidson)	Unassigned	6,646	10,272,235	6,646	100.00 %	0.06 %
High Point (Forsyth)	Unassigned	84	10,272,235	84	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Point (Guilford)	Unassigned	107,321	10,272,235	107,321	100.00 %	1.04 %
High Point (Randolph)	Unassigned	8	10,272,235	8	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Shoals	Unassigned	595	10,272,235	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Jackson)	Unassigned	12	10,272,235	12	100.00 %	0.00 %
Highlands (Macon)	Unassigned	1,060	10,272,235	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hildebran	Unassigned	1,679	10,272,235	1,679	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hillsborough	Unassigned	9,660	10,272,235	9,660	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hobgood	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hoffman	Unassigned	418	10,272,235	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
Holden Beach	Unassigned	921	10,272,235	921	100.00 %	0.01 %
Holly Ridge	Unassigned	4,171	10,272,235	4,171	100.00 %	0.04 %
Holly Springs	Unassigned	41,239	10,272,235	41,239	100.00 %	0.40 %
Hookerton	Unassigned	413	10,272,235	413	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hope Mills	Unassigned	17,808	10,272,235	17,808	100.00 %	0.17 %
Hot Springs	Unassigned	520	10,272,235	520	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hudson	Unassigned	3,780	10,272,235	3,780	100.00 %	0.04 %
Huntersville	Unassigned	61,376	10,272,235	61,376	100.00 %	0.60 %
Indian Beach	Unassigned	223	10,272,235	223	100.00 %	0.00 %
Indian Trail	Unassigned	39,997	10,272,235	39,997	100.00 %	0.39 %
Jackson	Unassigned	430	10,272,235	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jacksonville	Unassigned	72,723	10,272,235	72,723	100.00 %	0.71 %
Jamestown	Unassigned	3,668	10,272,235	3,668	100.00 %	0.04 %
Jamesville	Unassigned	424	10,272,235	424	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jefferson	Unassigned	1,622	10,272,235	1,622	100.00 %	0.02 %
Jonesville	Unassigned	2,308	10,272,235	2,308	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	42,846	10,272,235	42,846	100.00 %	0.42 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	Unassigned	10,268	10,272,235	10,268	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kelford	Unassigned	203	10,272,235	203	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kenansville	Unassigned	770	10,272,235	770	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kenly (Johnston)	Unassigned	1,293	10,272,235	1,293	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kenly (Wilson)	Unassigned	198	10,272,235	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	Unassigned	25,947	10,272,235	25,947	100.00 %	0.25 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	Unassigned	502	10,272,235	502	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kill Devil Hills	Unassigned	7,656	10,272,235	7,656	100.00 %	0.07 %
King (Forsyth)	Unassigned	591	10,272,235	591	100.00 %	0.01 %
King (Stokes)	Unassigned	6,606	10,272,235	6,606	100.00 %	0.06 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	Unassigned	10,032	10,272,235	10,032	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	Unassigned	1,110	10,272,235	1,110	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kingstown	Unassigned	656	10,272,235	656	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kinston	Unassigned	19,900	10,272,235	19,900	100.00 %	0.19 %
Kittrell	Unassigned	132	10,272,235	132	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kitty Hawk	Unassigned	3,689	10,272,235	3,689	100.00 %	0.04 %
Knightdale	Unassigned	19,435	10,272,235	19,435	100.00 %	0.19 %
Kure Beach	Unassigned	2,191	10,272,235	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
La Grange	Unassigned	2,595	10,272,235	2,595	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Lure	Unassigned	1,365	10,272,235	1,365	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lake Park	Unassigned	3,269	10,272,235	3,269	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Santeetlah	Unassigned	38	10,272,235	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	23	1,296	83,397	1,296	100.00 %	1.55 %
Landis	Unassigned	3,690	10,272,235	3,690	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lansing	Unassigned	126	10,272,235	126	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lasker	Unassigned	64	10,272,235	64	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lattimore	Unassigned	406	10,272,235	406	100.00 %	0.00 %
Laurel Park	Unassigned	2,250	10,272,235	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Laurinburg	Unassigned	14,978	10,272,235	14,978	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lawndale	Unassigned	570	10,272,235	570	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leggett	Unassigned	37	10,272,235	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	Unassigned	22,908	10,272,235	22,908	100.00 %	0.22 %
Lenoir	Unassigned	18,352	10,272,235	18,352	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lewiston Woodville	Unassigned	426	10,272,235	426	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lewisville	Unassigned	13,381	10,272,235	13,381	100.00 %	0.13 %
Lexington	Unassigned	19,632	10,272,235	19,632	100.00 %	0.19 %
Liberty	Unassigned	2,655	10,272,235	2,655	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lilesville	Unassigned	395	10,272,235	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lillington	Unassigned	4,735	10,272,235	4,735	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lincolnton	Unassigned	11,091	10,272,235	11,091	100.00 %	0.11 %
Linden	Unassigned	136	10,272,235	136	100.00 %	0.00 %
Littleton	Unassigned	559	10,272,235	559	100.00 %	0.01 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	541	10,272,235	541	100.00 %	0.01 %
Locust (Stanly)	Unassigned	3,996	10,272,235	3,996	100.00 %	0.04 %
Long View (Burke)	Unassigned	735	10,272,235	735	100.00 %	0.01 %
Long View (Catawba)	Unassigned	4,353	10,272,235	4,353	100.00 %	0.04 %
Louisburg	Unassigned	3,064	10,272,235	3,064	100.00 %	0.03 %
Love Valley	Unassigned	154	10,272,235	154	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lowell	Unassigned	3,654	10,272,235	3,654	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lucama	Unassigned	1,036	10,272,235	1,036	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumber Bridge	23	82	83,397	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	23	19,025	83,397	350	1.84 %	0.42 %
	24	19,025	83,756	18,675	98.16 %	22.30 %
Macclesfield	Unassigned	413	10,272,235	413	100.00 %	0.00 %
Macon	Unassigned	110	10,272,235	110	100.00 %	0.00 %
Madison	Unassigned	2,129	10,272,235	2,129	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maggie Valley	Unassigned	1,687	10,272,235	1,687	100.00 %	0.02 %
Magnolia	Unassigned	831	10,272,235	831	100.00 %	0.01 %
Maiden (Catawba)	Unassigned	3,736	10,272,235	3,736	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Maiden (Lincoln)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	Unassigned	1,600	10,272,235	1,600	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marietta	23	111	83,397	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	Unassigned	7,717	10,272,235	7,717	100.00 %	0.08 %
Mars Hill	Unassigned	2,007	10,272,235	2,007	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marshall	Unassigned	777	10,272,235	777	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marshville	Unassigned	2,522	10,272,235	2,522	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marvin	Unassigned	6,358	10,272,235	6,358	100.00 %	0.06 %
Matthews	Unassigned	29,435	10,272,235	29,435	100.00 %	0.29 %
Maxton (Robeson)	24	1,902	83,756	1,902	100.00 %	2.27 %
Maxton (Scotland)	Unassigned	208	10,272,235	208	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mayodan	Unassigned	2,418	10,272,235	2,418	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maysville	Unassigned	818	10,272,235	818	100.00 %	0.01 %
McAdenville	Unassigned	890	10,272,235	890	100.00 %	0.01 %
McDonald	24	94	83,756	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	Unassigned	94	10,272,235	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mebane (Alamance)	Unassigned	14,626	10,272,235	14,626	100.00 %	0.14 %
Mebane (Orange)	Unassigned	3,171	10,272,235	3,171	100.00 %	0.03 %
Mesic	Unassigned	144	10,272,235	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Micro	Unassigned	458	10,272,235	458	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middleburg	Unassigned	101	10,272,235	101	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middlesex	Unassigned	912	10,272,235	912	100.00 %	0.01 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	4,684	10,272,235	4,684	100.00 %	0.05 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	Unassigned	4,742	10,272,235	4,742	100.00 %	0.05 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mills River	Unassigned	7,078	10,272,235	7,078	100.00 %	0.07 %
Milton	Unassigned	155	10,272,235	155	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mineral Springs	Unassigned	3,159	10,272,235	3,159	100.00 %	0.03 %
Minnesott Beach	Unassigned	530	10,272,235	530	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	26,444	10,272,235	26,444	100.00 %	0.26 %
Mint Hill (Union)	Unassigned	6	10,272,235	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Misenheimer	Unassigned	650	10,272,235	650	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mocksville	Unassigned	5,900	10,272,235	5,900	100.00 %	0.06 %
Momeyer	Unassigned	277	10,272,235	277	100.00 %	0.00 %
Monroe	Unassigned	34,562	10,272,235	34,562	100.00 %	0.34 %
Montreat	Unassigned	901	10,272,235	901	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mooresboro	Unassigned	293	10,272,235	293	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mooresville	Unassigned	50,193	10,272,235	50,193	100.00 %	0.49 %
Morehead City	Unassigned	9,556	10,272,235	9,556	100.00 %	0.09 %
Morganton	Unassigned	17,474	10,272,235	17,474	100.00 %	0.17 %
Morrisville (Durham)	Unassigned	207	10,272,235	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Morrisville (Wake)	Unassigned	29,423	10,272,235	29,423	100.00 %	0.29 %
Morven	Unassigned	329	10,272,235	329	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Airy	Unassigned	10,676	10,272,235	10,676	100.00 %	0.10 %
Mount Gilead	Unassigned	1,171	10,272,235	1,171	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Holly	Unassigned	17,703	10,272,235	17,703	100.00 %	0.17 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	Unassigned	5	10,272,235	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	Unassigned	4,193	10,272,235	4,193	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mount Pleasant	Unassigned	1,671	10,272,235	1,671	100.00 %	0.02 %
Murfreesboro	Unassigned	2,619	10,272,235	2,619	100.00 %	0.03 %
Murphy	Unassigned	1,608	10,272,235	1,608	100.00 %	0.02 %
Nags Head	Unassigned	3,168	10,272,235	3,168	100.00 %	0.03 %
Nashville	Unassigned	5,632	10,272,235	5,632	100.00 %	0.05 %
Navassa	Unassigned	1,367	10,272,235	1,367	100.00 %	0.01 %
New Bern	Unassigned	31,291	10,272,235	31,291	100.00 %	0.30 %
New London	Unassigned	607	10,272,235	607	100.00 %	0.01 %
Newland	Unassigned	715	10,272,235	715	100.00 %	0.01 %
Newport	Unassigned	4,364	10,272,235	4,364	100.00 %	0.04 %
Newton	Unassigned	13,148	10,272,235	13,148	100.00 %	0.13 %
Newton Grove	Unassigned	585	10,272,235	585	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norlina	Unassigned	920	10,272,235	920	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norman	Unassigned	100	10,272,235	100	100.00 %	0.00 %
North Topsail Beach	Unassigned	1,005	10,272,235	1,005	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Wilkesboro	Unassigned	4,382	10,272,235	4,382	100.00 %	0.04 %
Northwest	Unassigned	703	10,272,235	703	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norwood	Unassigned	2,367	10,272,235	2,367	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oak City	Unassigned	266	10,272,235	266	100.00 %	0.00 %
Oak Island	Unassigned	8,396	10,272,235	8,396	100.00 %	0.08 %
Oak Ridge	Unassigned	7,474	10,272,235	7,474	100.00 %	0.07 %
Oakboro	Unassigned	2,128	10,272,235	2,128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ocean Isle Beach	Unassigned	867	10,272,235	867	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Old Fort	Unassigned	811	10,272,235	811	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oriental	Unassigned	880	10,272,235	880	100.00 %	0.01 %
Orrum	23	59	83,397	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	Unassigned	536	10,272,235	536	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oxford	Unassigned	8,628	10,272,235	8,628	100.00 %	0.08 %
Pantego	Unassigned	164	10,272,235	164	100.00 %	0.00 %
Parkton	23	504	83,397	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	Unassigned	243	10,272,235	243	100.00 %	0.00 %
Patterson Springs	Unassigned	571	10,272,235	571	100.00 %	0.01 %
Peachland	Unassigned	390	10,272,235	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Peletier	Unassigned	769	10,272,235	769	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	83,756	2,823	100.00 %	3.37 %
Pikeville	Unassigned	712	10,272,235	712	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pilot Mountain	Unassigned	1,440	10,272,235	1,440	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Knoll Shores	Unassigned	1,388	10,272,235	1,388	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Level	Unassigned	2,046	10,272,235	2,046	100.00 %	0.02 %
Pinebluff	Unassigned	1,473	10,272,235	1,473	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinehurst	Unassigned	17,581	10,272,235	17,581	100.00 %	0.17 %
Pinetops	Unassigned	1,200	10,272,235	1,200	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pineville	Unassigned	10,602	10,272,235	10,602	100.00 %	0.10 %
Pink Hill	Unassigned	451	10,272,235	451	100.00 %	0.00 %
Pittsboro	Unassigned	4,537	10,272,235	4,537	100.00 %	0.04 %
Pleasant Garden	Unassigned	5,000	10,272,235	5,000	100.00 %	0.05 %
Plymouth	Unassigned	3,320	10,272,235	3,320	100.00 %	0.03 %
Polkton	Unassigned	2,250	10,272,235	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Polkville	Unassigned	516	10,272,235	516	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pollocksville	Unassigned	268	10,272,235	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Powellsville	Unassigned	189	10,272,235	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Princeton	Unassigned	1,315	10,272,235	1,315	100.00 %	0.01 %
Princeville	Unassigned	1,254	10,272,235	1,254	100.00 %	0.01 %
Proctorville	23	121	83,397	121	100.00 %	0.15 %
Raeford	Unassigned	4,559	10,272,235	4,559	100.00 %	0.04 %
Raleigh (Durham)	Unassigned	1,559	10,272,235	1,559	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raleigh (Wake)	Unassigned	466,106	10,272,235	466,106	100.00 %	4.54 %
Ramseur	Unassigned	1,774	10,272,235	1,774	100.00 %	0.02 %
Randleman	Unassigned	4,595	10,272,235	4,595	100.00 %	0.04 %
Ranlo	Unassigned	4,511	10,272,235	4,511	100.00 %	0.04 %
Raynham	24	60	83,756	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	Unassigned	762	10,272,235	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Oak	Unassigned	3,342	10,272,235	3,342	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	24	3,087	83,756	3,087	100.00 %	3.69 %
Reidsville	Unassigned	14,583	10,272,235	14,583	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rennert	24	275	83,756	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	Unassigned	639	10,272,235	639	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	Unassigned	358	10,272,235	358	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rich Square	Unassigned	894	10,272,235	894	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richfield	Unassigned	582	10,272,235	582	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richlands	Unassigned	2,287	10,272,235	2,287	100.00 %	0.02 %
River Bend	Unassigned	2,902	10,272,235	2,902	100.00 %	0.03 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Roanoke Rapids	Unassigned	15,229	10,272,235	15,229	100.00 %	0.15 %
Robbins	Unassigned	1,168	10,272,235	1,168	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robbinsville	Unassigned	597	10,272,235	597	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robersonville	Unassigned	1,269	10,272,235	1,269	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	9,243	10,272,235	9,243	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rockwell	Unassigned	2,302	10,272,235	2,302	100.00 %	0.02 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	15,414	10,272,235	15,414	100.00 %	0.15 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	Unassigned	38,927	10,272,235	38,927	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rolesville	Unassigned	9,475	10,272,235	9,475	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ronda	Unassigned	438	10,272,235	438	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roper	Unassigned	485	10,272,235	485	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rose Hill	Unassigned	1,371	10,272,235	1,371	100.00 %	0.01 %
Roseboro	Unassigned	1,163	10,272,235	1,163	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rosman	Unassigned	701	10,272,235	701	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rowland	24	885	83,756	885	100.00 %	1.06 %
Roxboro	Unassigned	8,134	10,272,235	8,134	100.00 %	0.08 %
Roxobel	Unassigned	187	10,272,235	187	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rural Hall	Unassigned	3,351	10,272,235	3,351	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ruth	Unassigned	347	10,272,235	347	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	Unassigned	1,226	10,272,235	1,226	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	Unassigned	3,640	10,272,235	3,640	100.00 %	0.04 %
Salemburg	Unassigned	457	10,272,235	457	100.00 %	0.00 %
Salisbury	Unassigned	35,540	10,272,235	35,540	100.00 %	0.35 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Saluda (Henderson)	Unassigned	11	10,272,235	11	100.00 %	0.00 %
Saluda (Polk)	Unassigned	620	10,272,235	620	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sandy Creek	Unassigned	248	10,272,235	248	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sandyfield	23	430	83,397	430	100.00 %	0.52 %
Sanford	Unassigned	30,261	10,272,235	30,261	100.00 %	0.29 %
Saratoga	Unassigned	353	10,272,235	353	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sawmills	Unassigned	5,020	10,272,235	5,020	100.00 %	0.05 %
Scotland Neck	Unassigned	1,640	10,272,235	1,640	100.00 %	0.02 %
Seaboard	Unassigned	542	10,272,235	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Seagrove	Unassigned	235	10,272,235	235	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sedalia	Unassigned	676	10,272,235	676	100.00 %	0.01 %
Selma	Unassigned	6,317	10,272,235	6,317	100.00 %	0.06 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	Unassigned	38	10,272,235	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	Unassigned	275	10,272,235	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Springs	Unassigned	55	10,272,235	55	100.00 %	0.00 %
Severn	Unassigned	191	10,272,235	191	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shallotte	Unassigned	4,185	10,272,235	4,185	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	215	10,272,235	215	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	Unassigned	1,061	10,272,235	1,061	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	Unassigned	421	10,272,235	421	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shelby	Unassigned	21,918	10,272,235	21,918	100.00 %	0.21 %
Siler City	Unassigned	7,702	10,272,235	7,702	100.00 %	0.07 %
Simpson	Unassigned	390	10,272,235	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sims	Unassigned	275	10,272,235	275	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Smithfield	Unassigned	11,292	10,272,235	11,292	100.00 %	0.11 %
Snow Hill	Unassigned	1,481	10,272,235	1,481	100.00 %	0.01 %
Southern Pines	Unassigned	15,545	10,272,235	15,545	100.00 %	0.15 %
Southern Shores	Unassigned	3,090	10,272,235	3,090	100.00 %	0.03 %
Southport	Unassigned	3,971	10,272,235	3,971	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sparta	Unassigned	1,834	10,272,235	1,834	100.00 %	0.02 %
Speed	Unassigned	63	10,272,235	63	100.00 %	0.00 %
Spencer	Unassigned	3,308	10,272,235	3,308	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer Mountain	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	Unassigned	4,225	10,272,235	4,225	100.00 %	0.04 %
Spring Hope	Unassigned	1,309	10,272,235	1,309	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spring Lake	Unassigned	11,660	10,272,235	11,660	100.00 %	0.11 %
Spruce Pine	Unassigned	2,194	10,272,235	2,194	100.00 %	0.02 %
St. Helena	Unassigned	417	10,272,235	417	100.00 %	0.00 %
St. James	Unassigned	6,529	10,272,235	6,529	100.00 %	0.06 %
St. Pauls	23	2,045	83,397	2,045	100.00 %	2.45 %
Staley	Unassigned	397	10,272,235	397	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	384	10,272,235	384	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings (Union)	Unassigned	15,728	10,272,235	15,728	100.00 %	0.15 %
Stanfield	Unassigned	1,585	10,272,235	1,585	100.00 %	0.02 %
Stanley	Unassigned	3,963	10,272,235	3,963	100.00 %	0.04 %
Stantonsburg	Unassigned	762	10,272,235	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Star	Unassigned	806	10,272,235	806	100.00 %	0.01 %
Statesville	Unassigned	28,419	10,272,235	28,419	100.00 %	0.28 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stedman	Unassigned	1,277	10,272,235	1,277	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stem	Unassigned	960	10,272,235	960	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stokesdale	Unassigned	5,924	10,272,235	5,924	100.00 %	0.06 %
Stoneville	Unassigned	1,308	10,272,235	1,308	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stonewall	Unassigned	214	10,272,235	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stovall	Unassigned	324	10,272,235	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sugar Mountain	Unassigned	371	10,272,235	371	100.00 %	0.00 %
Summerfield	Unassigned	10,951	10,272,235	10,951	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sunset Beach	Unassigned	4,175	10,272,235	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City (Onslow)	Unassigned	334	10,272,235	334	100.00 %	0.00 %
Surf City (Pender)	Unassigned	3,533	10,272,235	3,533	100.00 %	0.03 %
Swansboro	Unassigned	3,744	10,272,235	3,744	100.00 %	0.04 %
Swepsonville	Unassigned	2,445	10,272,235	2,445	100.00 %	0.02 %
Sylva	Unassigned	2,578	10,272,235	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tabor City	23	3,781	83,397	3,781	100.00 %	4.53 %
Tar Heel	Unassigned	90	10,272,235	90	100.00 %	0.00 %
Tarboro	Unassigned	10,721	10,272,235	10,721	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	Unassigned	2,320	10,272,235	2,320	100.00 %	0.02 %
Taylortown	Unassigned	634	10,272,235	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
Teachey	Unassigned	448	10,272,235	448	100.00 %	0.00 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	Unassigned	26,662	10,272,235	26,662	100.00 %	0.26 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	Unassigned	521	10,272,235	521	100.00 %	0.01 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	Unassigned	2,578	10,272,235	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Topsail Beach	Unassigned	461	10,272,235	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Trent Woods	Unassigned	4,074	10,272,235	4,074	100.00 %	0.04 %
Trenton	Unassigned	238	10,272,235	238	100.00 %	0.00 %
Trinity	Unassigned	7,006	10,272,235	7,006	100.00 %	0.07 %
Troutman	Unassigned	3,698	10,272,235	3,698	100.00 %	0.04 %
Troy	Unassigned	2,850	10,272,235	2,850	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tryon	Unassigned	1,562	10,272,235	1,562	100.00 %	0.02 %
Turkey	Unassigned	213	10,272,235	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Unionville	Unassigned	6,643	10,272,235	6,643	100.00 %	0.06 %
Valdese	Unassigned	4,689	10,272,235	4,689	100.00 %	0.05 %
Vanceboro	Unassigned	869	10,272,235	869	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vandemere	Unassigned	246	10,272,235	246	100.00 %	0.00 %
Varnamtown	Unassigned	525	10,272,235	525	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vass	Unassigned	952	10,272,235	952	100.00 %	0.01 %
Waco	Unassigned	310	10,272,235	310	100.00 %	0.00 %
Wade	Unassigned	638	10,272,235	638	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wadesboro	Unassigned	5,008	10,272,235	5,008	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wagram	Unassigned	615	10,272,235	615	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	Unassigned	1,504	10,272,235	1,504	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	Unassigned	46,097	10,272,235	46,097	100.00 %	0.45 %
Walkertown	Unassigned	5,692	10,272,235	5,692	100.00 %	0.06 %
Wallace (Duplin)	Unassigned	3,413	10,272,235	3,413	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wallace (Pender)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wallburg	Unassigned	3,051	10,272,235	3,051	100.00 %	0.03 %
Walnut Cove	Unassigned	1,586	10,272,235	1,586	100.00 %	0.02 %
Walnut Creek	Unassigned	1,084	10,272,235	1,084	100.00 %	0.01 %
Walstonburg	Unassigned	193	10,272,235	193	100.00 %	0.00 %
Warrenton	Unassigned	851	10,272,235	851	100.00 %	0.01 %
Warsaw	Unassigned	2,733	10,272,235	2,733	100.00 %	0.03 %
Washington	Unassigned	9,875	10,272,235	9,875	100.00 %	0.10 %
Washington Park	Unassigned	392	10,272,235	392	100.00 %	0.00 %
Watha	Unassigned	181	10,272,235	181	100.00 %	0.00 %
Waxhaw	Unassigned	20,534	10,272,235	20,534	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waynesville	Unassigned	10,140	10,272,235	10,140	100.00 %	0.10 %
Weaverville	Unassigned	4,567	10,272,235	4,567	100.00 %	0.04 %
Webster	Unassigned	372	10,272,235	372	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	5	10,272,235	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Union)	Unassigned	13,176	10,272,235	13,176	100.00 %	0.13 %
Weldon	Unassigned	1,444	10,272,235	1,444	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wendell	Unassigned	9,793	10,272,235	9,793	100.00 %	0.10 %
Wentworth	Unassigned	2,662	10,272,235	2,662	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wesley Chapel	Unassigned	8,681	10,272,235	8,681	100.00 %	0.08 %
West Jefferson	Unassigned	1,279	10,272,235	1,279	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whispering Pines	Unassigned	4,987	10,272,235	4,987	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	290	10,272,235	290	100.00 %	0.00 %
Whitakers (Nash)	Unassigned	337	10,272,235	337	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
White Lake	Unassigned	843	10,272,235	843	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whiteville	23	4,766	83,397	4,766	100.00 %	5.71 %
Whitsett	Unassigned	584	10,272,235	584	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wilkesboro	Unassigned	3,687	10,272,235	3,687	100.00 %	0.04 %
Williamston	Unassigned	5,248	10,272,235	5,248	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wilmington	Unassigned	115,451	10,272,235	115,451	100.00 %	1.12 %
Wilson	Unassigned	47,851	10,272,235	47,851	100.00 %	0.47 %
Wilson's Mills	Unassigned	2,534	10,272,235	2,534	100.00 %	0.02 %
Windsor	Unassigned	3,582	10,272,235	3,582	100.00 %	0.03 %
Winfall	Unassigned	555	10,272,235	555	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wingate	Unassigned	4,055	10,272,235	4,055	100.00 %	0.04 %
Winston-Salem	Unassigned	249,545	10,272,235	249,545	100.00 %	2.43 %
Winterville	Unassigned	10,462	10,272,235	10,462	100.00 %	0.10 %
Winton	Unassigned	629	10,272,235	629	100.00 %	0.01 %
Woodfin	Unassigned	7,936	10,272,235	7,936	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodland	Unassigned	557	10,272,235	557	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wrightsville Beach	Unassigned	2,473	10,272,235	2,473	100.00 %	0.02 %
Yadkinville	Unassigned	2,995	10,272,235	2,995	100.00 %	0.03 %
Yanceyville	Unassigned	1,937	10,272,235	1,937	100.00 %	0.02 %
Youngsville	Unassigned	2,016	10,272,235	2,016	100.00 %	0.02 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	Unassigned	0	10,272,235	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	Unassigned	6,903	10,272,235	6,903	100.00 %	0.07 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 1

Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
23	Boardman	83,397	166	166	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	83,397	519	519	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	83,397	973	973	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	83,397	131	131	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	83,397	1,574	1,574	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	83,397	709	709	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	83,397	1,296	1,296	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	83,397	82	82	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	83,397	19,025	350	0.42 %	1.84 %
	Marietta	83,397	111	111	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	83,397	59	59	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	83,397	504	504	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	83,397	121	121	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	83,397	430	430	0.52 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	83,397	2,045	2,045	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	83,397	3,781	3,781	4.53 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	83,397	4,766	4,766	5.71 %	100.00 %
24	Fairmont	83,756	2,191	2,191	2.62 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	83,756	19,025	18,675	22.30 %	98.16 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	83,756	1,902	1,902	2.27 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	83,756	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	83,756	2,823	2,823	3.37 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	83,756	60	60	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	83,756	3,087	3,087	3.69 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	83,756	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	83,756	885	885	1.06 %	100.00 %
Unassigned	Aberdeen	10,272,235	8,516	8,516	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Ahoskie	10,272,235	4,891	4,891	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Alamance	10,272,235	988	988	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Albemarle	10,272,235	16,432	16,432	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Alliance	10,272,235	733	733	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Andrews	10,272,235	1,667	1,667	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Angier (Harnett)	10,272,235	4,709	4,709	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Angier (Wake)	10,272,235	556	556	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ansonville	10,272,235	440	440	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Apex	10,272,235	58,780	58,780	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	10,272,235	416	416	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Guilford)	10,272,235	380	380	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	10,272,235	11,527	11,527	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Archer Lodge	10,272,235	4,797	4,797	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	10,272,235	27,156	27,156	0.26 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Asheville	10,272,235	94,589	94,589	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Askewville	10,272,235	184	184	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Atkinson	10,272,235	296	296	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Atlantic Beach	10,272,235	1,364	1,364	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	10,272,235	763	763	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	10,272,235	455	455	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Autryville	10,272,235	167	167	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Ayden	10,272,235	4,977	4,977	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Badin	10,272,235	2,024	2,024	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bailey	10,272,235	568	568	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bakersville	10,272,235	450	450	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bald Head Island	10,272,235	268	268	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	10,272,235	1,049	1,049	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bath	10,272,235	245	245	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	10,272,235	1,161	1,161	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	10,272,235	89	89	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	10,272,235	4,464	4,464	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	10,272,235	62	62	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	10,272,235	613	613	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	10,272,235	1,410	1,410	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Belmont	10,272,235	15,010	15,010	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Belville	10,272,235	2,406	2,406	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Belwood	10,272,235	857	857	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Benson (Johnston)	10,272,235	3,967	3,967	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Bermuda Run	10,272,235	3,120	3,120	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	10,272,235	5,428	5,428	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Bethania	10,272,235	344	344	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bethel	10,272,235	1,373	1,373	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Beulaville	10,272,235	1,116	1,116	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Biltmore Forest	10,272,235	1,409	1,409	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	10,272,235	1,848	1,848	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Black Creek	10,272,235	692	692	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Black Mountain	10,272,235	8,426	8,426	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	10,272,235	1,648	1,648	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	10,272,235	91	91	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	10,272,235	1,285	1,285	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	10,272,235	695	695	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	10,272,235	5,943	5,943	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Springs	10,272,235	4,615	4,615	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	10,272,235	149	149	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Boone	10,272,235	19,092	19,092	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	10,272,235	1,185	1,185	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	10,272,235	355	355	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Brevard	10,272,235	7,744	7,744	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	10,272,235	349	349	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Lee)	10,272,235	1,267	1,267	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Brookford	10,272,235	442	442	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	10,272,235	1,558	1,558	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bunn	10,272,235	327	327	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	10,272,235	3,088	3,088	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	10,272,235	55,481	55,481	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Guilford)	10,272,235	1,822	1,822	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	10,272,235	1,614	1,614	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Butner	10,272,235	8,397	8,397	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	10,272,235	2,722	2,722	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	10,272,235	2,011	2,011	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	10,272,235	327	327	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	10,272,235	244	244	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	10,272,235	813	813	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Canton	10,272,235	4,422	4,422	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	10,272,235	2,224	2,224	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	10,272,235	6,564	6,564	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	10,272,235	4,588	4,588	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Carrboro	10,272,235	21,295	21,295	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	10,272,235	2,775	2,775	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Chatham)	10,272,235	3,709	3,709	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	10,272,235	171,012	171,012	1.66 %	100.00 %
	Casar	10,272,235	305	305	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	10,272,235	264	264	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	10,272,235	395	395	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	10,272,235	702	702	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	10,272,235	1,764	1,764	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	10,272,235	301	301	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	10,272,235	2,906	2,906	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	10,272,235	59,054	59,054	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Charlotte	10,272,235	874,579	874,579	8.51 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	10,272,235	6,078	6,078	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	10,272,235	140	140	0.00 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	10,272,235	4,434	4,434	0.04 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Chocowinity	10,272,235	722	722	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	10,272,235	1,692	1,692	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	10,272,235	614	614	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	10,272,235	26,307	26,307	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Wake)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	10,272,235	21,163	21,163	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	10,272,235	846	846	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	10,272,235	8,383	8,383	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	10,272,235	1,368	1,368	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Coats	10,272,235	2,155	2,155	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	10,272,235	267	267	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	10,272,235	217	217	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	10,272,235	610	610	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	10,272,235	1,060	1,060	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Como	10,272,235	67	67	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Concord	10,272,235	105,240	105,240	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	10,272,235	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Connelly Springs	10,272,235	1,529	1,529	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Conover	10,272,235	8,421	8,421	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Conway	10,272,235	752	752	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	10,272,235	940	940	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cornelius	10,272,235	31,412	31,412	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	10,272,235	378	378	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	10,272,235	5,296	5,296	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	10,272,235	4,866	4,866	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	10,272,235	207	207	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	10,272,235	143	143	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	10,272,235	5,927	5,927	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	10,272,235	189	189	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Iredell)	10,272,235	378	378	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	10,272,235	14,728	14,728	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	10,272,235	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Denton	10,272,235	1,494	1,494	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	10,272,235	213	213	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Dobbins Heights	10,272,235	687	687	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	10,272,235	1,462	1,462	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	10,272,235	1,082	1,082	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dover	10,272,235	349	349	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	10,272,235	1,760	1,760	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	10,272,235	267	267	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Duck	10,272,235	742	742	0.01 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Dunn	10,272,235	8,446	8,446	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	10,272,235	283,093	283,093	2.76 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Orange)	10,272,235	144	144	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	10,272,235	269	269	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Earl	10,272,235	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	10,272,235	418	418	0.00 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	10,272,235	634	634	0.01 %	100.00 %
	East Laurinburg	10,272,235	234	234	0.00 %	100.00 %
	East Spencer	10,272,235	1,567	1,567	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Eastover	10,272,235	3,656	3,656	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Eden	10,272,235	15,421	15,421	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	10,272,235	4,460	4,460	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	10,272,235	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	10,272,235	18,593	18,593	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	10,272,235	3,296	3,296	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	10,272,235	542	542	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	10,272,235	4,049	4,049	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	10,272,235	73	73	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	10,272,235	723	723	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	10,272,235	864	864	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	10,272,235	1,218	1,218	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elon	10,272,235	11,336	11,336	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	10,272,235	3,847	3,847	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	10,272,235	1,865	1,865	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	10,272,235	4,542	4,542	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	10,272,235	214	214	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	10,272,235	150	150	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fairview	10,272,235	3,456	3,456	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	10,272,235	784	784	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Faith	10,272,235	819	819	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	10,272,235	324	324	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falkland	10,272,235	47	47	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	10,272,235	627	627	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	10,272,235	4,461	4,461	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	10,272,235	208,501	208,501	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	10,272,235	3,486	3,486	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Fletcher	10,272,235	7,987	7,987	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	10,272,235	13	13	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Forest City	10,272,235	7,377	7,377	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	10,272,235	303	303	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	10,272,235	385	385	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	10,272,235	2,158	2,158	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	10,272,235	1,288	1,288	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	10,272,235	4,175	4,175	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	10,272,235	2,456	2,456	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	10,272,235	1,197	1,197	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	10,272,235	1,196	1,196	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	10,272,235	34,152	34,152	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	10,272,235	3,702	3,702	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Garland	10,272,235	595	595	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Garner	10,272,235	31,159	31,159	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	10,272,235	904	904	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	10,272,235	1,008	1,008	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	10,272,235	80,411	80,411	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	10,272,235	267	267	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	10,272,235	449	449	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	10,272,235	4,278	4,278	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	10,272,235	4,642	4,642	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	10,272,235	1,529	1,529	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Godwin	10,272,235	128	128	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	10,272,235	33,657	33,657	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	10,272,235	234	234	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Graham	10,272,235	17,157	17,157	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	10,272,235	95	95	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	10,272,235	4,965	4,965	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	10,272,235	2,984	2,984	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	10,272,235	692	692	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	10,272,235	3,152	3,152	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	10,272,235	567	567	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	10,272,235	299,035	299,035	2.91 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	10,272,235	87,521	87,521	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Lenoir)	10,272,235	147	147	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	10,272,235	2,301	2,301	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Grimesland	10,272,235	386	386	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Grover	10,272,235	802	802	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	10,272,235	170	170	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	10,272,235	306	306	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	10,272,235	6,025	6,025	0.06 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Harmony	10,272,235	543	543	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	10,272,235	160	160	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	10,272,235	85	85	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Harrisburg	10,272,235	18,967	18,967	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	10,272,235	49	49	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	10,272,235	16,621	16,621	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	10,272,235	2,252	2,252	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	10,272,235	461	461	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	10,272,235	1,614	1,614	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	10,272,235	15,060	15,060	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	10,272,235	15,137	15,137	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	10,272,235	1,934	1,934	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	10,272,235	79	79	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	10,272,235	32	32	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	10,272,235	43,379	43,379	0.42 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	10,272,235	6,646	6,646	0.06 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Forsyth)	10,272,235	84	84	0.00 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Guilford)	10,272,235	107,321	107,321	1.04 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Randolph)	10,272,235	8	8	0.00 %	100.00 %
	High Shoals	10,272,235	595	595	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	10,272,235	12	12	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	10,272,235	1,060	1,060	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	10,272,235	1,679	1,679	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	10,272,235	9,660	9,660	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	10,272,235	268	268	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	10,272,235	418	418	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	10,272,235	921	921	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	10,272,235	4,171	4,171	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Holly Springs	10,272,235	41,239	41,239	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	10,272,235	413	413	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	10,272,235	17,808	17,808	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	10,272,235	520	520	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	10,272,235	3,780	3,780	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	10,272,235	61,376	61,376	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	10,272,235	223	223	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	10,272,235	39,997	39,997	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	10,272,235	430	430	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	10,272,235	72,723	72,723	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	10,272,235	3,668	3,668	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	10,272,235	424	424	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Jefferson	10,272,235	1,622	1,622	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	10,272,235	2,308	2,308	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	10,272,235	42,846	42,846	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	10,272,235	10,268	10,268	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	10,272,235	203	203	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kenansville	10,272,235	770	770	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	10,272,235	1,293	1,293	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	10,272,235	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	10,272,235	25,947	25,947	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	10,272,235	502	502	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	10,272,235	7,656	7,656	0.07 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	10,272,235	591	591	0.01 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	10,272,235	6,606	6,606	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	10,272,235	10,032	10,032	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	10,272,235	1,110	1,110	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	10,272,235	656	656	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	10,272,235	19,900	19,900	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	10,272,235	132	132	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	10,272,235	3,689	3,689	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Knightdale	10,272,235	19,435	19,435	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	10,272,235	2,191	2,191	0.02 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	10,272,235	2,595	2,595	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	10,272,235	1,365	1,365	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lake Park	10,272,235	3,269	3,269	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	10,272,235	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Landis	10,272,235	3,690	3,690	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	10,272,235	126	126	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	10,272,235	64	64	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	10,272,235	406	406	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	10,272,235	2,250	2,250	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	10,272,235	14,978	14,978	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	10,272,235	570	570	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	10,272,235	37	37	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Leland	10,272,235	22,908	22,908	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	10,272,235	18,352	18,352	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	10,272,235	426	426	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	10,272,235	13,381	13,381	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	10,272,235	19,632	19,632	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	10,272,235	2,655	2,655	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	10,272,235	395	395	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	10,272,235	4,735	4,735	0.05 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Lincolnton	10,272,235	11,091	11,091	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Linden	10,272,235	136	136	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	10,272,235	559	559	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	10,272,235	541	541	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	10,272,235	3,996	3,996	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	10,272,235	735	735	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	10,272,235	4,353	4,353	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	10,272,235	3,064	3,064	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	10,272,235	154	154	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lowell	10,272,235	3,654	3,654	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	10,272,235	1,036	1,036	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	10,272,235	413	413	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Macon	10,272,235	110	110	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Madison	10,272,235	2,129	2,129	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	10,272,235	1,687	1,687	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	10,272,235	831	831	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	10,272,235	3,736	3,736	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Manteo	10,272,235	1,600	1,600	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Marion	10,272,235	7,717	7,717	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	10,272,235	2,007	2,007	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	10,272,235	777	777	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	10,272,235	2,522	2,522	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Marvin	10,272,235	6,358	6,358	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Matthews	10,272,235	29,435	29,435	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	10,272,235	208	208	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	10,272,235	2,418	2,418	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	10,272,235	818	818	0.01 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	10,272,235	890	890	0.01 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	10,272,235	94	94	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	10,272,235	14,626	14,626	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	10,272,235	3,171	3,171	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	10,272,235	144	144	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Micro	10,272,235	458	458	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	10,272,235	101	101	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	10,272,235	912	912	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	10,272,235	4,684	4,684	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	10,272,235	4,742	4,742	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	10,272,235	7,078	7,078	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Milton	10,272,235	155	155	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Mineral Springs	10,272,235	3,159	3,159	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	10,272,235	530	530	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	10,272,235	26,444	26,444	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	10,272,235	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	10,272,235	650	650	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	10,272,235	5,900	5,900	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	10,272,235	277	277	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	10,272,235	34,562	34,562	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	10,272,235	901	901	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mooresboro	10,272,235	293	293	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	10,272,235	50,193	50,193	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	10,272,235	9,556	9,556	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Morganton	10,272,235	17,474	17,474	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	10,272,235	207	207	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	10,272,235	29,423	29,423	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Morven	10,272,235	329	329	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	10,272,235	10,676	10,676	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	10,272,235	1,171	1,171	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	10,272,235	17,703	17,703	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	10,272,235	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	10,272,235	4,193	4,193	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	10,272,235	1,671	1,671	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	10,272,235	2,619	2,619	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	10,272,235	1,608	1,608	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	10,272,235	3,168	3,168	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	10,272,235	5,632	5,632	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	10,272,235	1,367	1,367	0.01 %	100.00 %
	New Bern	10,272,235	31,291	31,291	0.30 %	100.00 %
	New London	10,272,235	607	607	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Newland	10,272,235	715	715	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Newport	10,272,235	4,364	4,364	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Newton	10,272,235	13,148	13,148	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	10,272,235	585	585	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	10,272,235	920	920	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norman	10,272,235	100	100	0.00 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	10,272,235	1,005	1,005	0.01 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	10,272,235	4,382	4,382	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	10,272,235	703	703	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	10,272,235	2,367	2,367	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	10,272,235	266	266	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	10,272,235	8,396	8,396	0.08 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Oak Ridge	10,272,235	7,474	7,474	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	10,272,235	2,128	2,128	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	10,272,235	867	867	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	10,272,235	811	811	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	10,272,235	880	880	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ossipee	10,272,235	536	536	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	10,272,235	8,628	8,628	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	10,272,235	164	164	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	10,272,235	243	243	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	10,272,235	571	571	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	10,272,235	390	390	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	10,272,235	769	769	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	10,272,235	712	712	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	10,272,235	1,440	1,440	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	10,272,235	1,388	1,388	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	10,272,235	2,046	2,046	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	10,272,235	1,473	1,473	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	10,272,235	17,581	17,581	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	10,272,235	1,200	1,200	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pineville	10,272,235	10,602	10,602	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	10,272,235	451	451	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	10,272,235	4,537	4,537	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	10,272,235	5,000	5,000	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	10,272,235	3,320	3,320	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	10,272,235	2,250	2,250	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	10,272,235	516	516	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	10,272,235	268	268	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	10,272,235	189	189	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	10,272,235	1,315	1,315	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	10,272,235	1,254	1,254	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	10,272,235	4,559	4,559	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	10,272,235	1,559	1,559	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	10,272,235	466,106	466,106	4.54 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	10,272,235	1,774	1,774	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	10,272,235	4,595	4,595	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	10,272,235	4,511	4,511	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	10,272,235	762	762	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	10,272,235	3,342	3,342	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Reidsville	10,272,235	14,583	14,583	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	10,272,235	639	639	0.01 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	10,272,235	358	358	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	10,272,235	894	894	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	10,272,235	582	582	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	10,272,235	2,287	2,287	0.02 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	10,272,235	2,902	2,902	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	10,272,235	15,229	15,229	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	10,272,235	1,168	1,168	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	10,272,235	597	597	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	10,272,235	1,269	1,269	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	10,272,235	9,243	9,243	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	10,272,235	2,302	2,302	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	10,272,235	15,414	15,414	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	10,272,235	38,927	38,927	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Rolesville	10,272,235	9,475	9,475	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	10,272,235	438	438	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Roper	10,272,235	485	485	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	10,272,235	1,371	1,371	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	10,272,235	1,163	1,163	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	10,272,235	701	701	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Roxboro	10,272,235	8,134	8,134	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	10,272,235	187	187	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	10,272,235	3,351	3,351	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Ruth	10,272,235	347	347	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	10,272,235	1,226	1,226	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Rutherfordton	10,272,235	3,640	3,640	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	10,272,235	457	457	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	10,272,235	35,540	35,540	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	10,272,235	11	11	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	10,272,235	620	620	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	10,272,235	248	248	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	10,272,235	30,261	30,261	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	10,272,235	353	353	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sawmills	10,272,235	5,020	5,020	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	10,272,235	1,640	1,640	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	10,272,235	542	542	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	10,272,235	235	235	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	10,272,235	676	676	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Selma	10,272,235	6,317	6,317	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	10,272,235	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	10,272,235	275	275	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Seven Springs	10,272,235	55	55	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Severn	10,272,235	191	191	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	10,272,235	4,185	4,185	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	10,272,235	215	215	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	10,272,235	1,061	1,061	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	10,272,235	421	421	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	10,272,235	21,918	21,918	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	10,272,235	7,702	7,702	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	10,272,235	390	390	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sims	10,272,235	275	275	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	10,272,235	11,292	11,292	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	10,272,235	1,481	1,481	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	10,272,235	15,545	15,545	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	10,272,235	3,090	3,090	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Southport	10,272,235	3,971	3,971	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	10,272,235	1,834	1,834	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Speed	10,272,235	63	63	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	10,272,235	3,308	3,308	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Spindale	10,272,235	4,225	4,225	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	10,272,235	1,309	1,309	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Spring Lake	10,272,235	11,660	11,660	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	10,272,235	2,194	2,194	0.02 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	10,272,235	417	417	0.00 %	100.00 %
	St. James	10,272,235	6,529	6,529	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Staley	10,272,235	397	397	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	10,272,235	384	384	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Union)	10,272,235	15,728	15,728	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	10,272,235	1,585	1,585	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Stanley	10,272,235	3,963	3,963	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	10,272,235	762	762	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Star	10,272,235	806	806	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	10,272,235	28,419	28,419	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	10,272,235	1,277	1,277	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stem	10,272,235	960	960	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	10,272,235	5,924	5,924	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	10,272,235	1,308	1,308	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	10,272,235	214	214	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	10,272,235	324	324	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	10,272,235	371	371	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	10,272,235	10,951	10,951	0.11 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Sunset Beach	10,272,235	4,175	4,175	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	10,272,235	334	334	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	10,272,235	3,533	3,533	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	10,272,235	3,744	3,744	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	10,272,235	2,445	2,445	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	10,272,235	2,578	2,578	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	10,272,235	90	90	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	10,272,235	10,721	10,721	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	10,272,235	2,320	2,320	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	10,272,235	634	634	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	10,272,235	448	448	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	10,272,235	26,662	26,662	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	10,272,235	521	521	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	10,272,235	2,578	2,578	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Topsail Beach	10,272,235	461	461	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	10,272,235	4,074	4,074	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	10,272,235	238	238	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	10,272,235	7,006	7,006	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Troutman	10,272,235	3,698	3,698	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Troy	10,272,235	2,850	2,850	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	10,272,235	1,562	1,562	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	10,272,235	213	213	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	10,272,235	6,643	6,643	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	10,272,235	4,689	4,689	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	10,272,235	869	869	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	10,272,235	246	246	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	10,272,235	525	525	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Vass	10,272,235	952	952	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Waco	10,272,235	310	310	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Wade	10,272,235	638	638	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	10,272,235	5,008	5,008	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Wagram	10,272,235	615	615	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	10,272,235	1,504	1,504	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	10,272,235	46,097	46,097	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	10,272,235	5,692	5,692	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	10,272,235	3,413	3,413	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wallburg	10,272,235	3,051	3,051	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Cove	10,272,235	1,586	1,586	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	10,272,235	1,084	1,084	0.01 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Walstonburg	10,272,235	193	193	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	10,272,235	851	851	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	10,272,235	2,733	2,733	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Washington	10,272,235	9,875	9,875	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	10,272,235	392	392	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Watha	10,272,235	181	181	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	10,272,235	20,534	20,534	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	10,272,235	10,140	10,140	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Weaverville	10,272,235	4,567	4,567	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Webster	10,272,235	372	372	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	10,272,235	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	10,272,235	13,176	13,176	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	10,272,235	1,444	1,444	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wendell	10,272,235	9,793	9,793	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	10,272,235	2,662	2,662	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Wesley Chapel	10,272,235	8,681	8,681	0.08 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	10,272,235	1,279	1,279	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Whispering Pines	10,272,235	4,987	4,987	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	10,272,235	290	290	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	10,272,235	337	337	0.00 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	10,272,235	843	843	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	10,272,235	584	584	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	10,272,235	3,687	3,687	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	10,272,235	5,248	5,248	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	10,272,235	115,451	115,451	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	10,272,235	47,851	47,851	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	10,272,235	2,534	2,534	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	10,272,235	3,582	3,582	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	10,272,235	555	555	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wingate	10,272,235	4,055	4,055	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	10,272,235	249,545	249,545	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	10,272,235	10,462	10,462	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Winton	10,272,235	629	629	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	10,272,235	7,936	7,936	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Woodland	10,272,235	557	557	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wrightsville Beach	10,272,235	2,473	2,473	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	10,272,235	2,995	2,995	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	10,272,235	1,937	1,937	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	10,272,235	2,016	2,016	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	10,272,235	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	10,272,235	6,903	6,903	0.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
23	Columbus	26	0
	Robeson	12	0
24	Robeson	27	0
Unassigned	Alamance	37	0
	Alexander	10	0
	Alleghany	4	0
	Anson	9	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Avery	19	0
	Beaufort	21	0
	Bertie	12	0
	Bladen	17	0
	Brunswick	25	0
	Buncombe	79	0
	Burke	33	0
	Cabarrus	40	0
	Caldwell	20	0
	Camden	3	0
	Carteret	28	0
	Caswell	9	0
	Catawba	40	0
	Chatham	18	0
	Cherokee	16	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Clay	9	0
	Cleveland	21	0
	Craven	21	0
	Cumberland	76	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	16	0
	Davidson	43	0
	Davie	14	0
	Duplin	19	0
	Durham	57	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Forsyth	101	0
	Franklin	18	0
	Gaston	46	0
	Gates	6	0
	Graham	4	0
	Granville	15	0
	Greene	10	0
	Guilford	165	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Unassigned	Halifax	23	0
	Harnett	13	0
	Haywood	29	0
	Henderson	34	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Hoke	15	0
	Hyde	7	0
	Iredell	29	0
	Jackson	13	0
	Johnston	36	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lee	10	0
	Lenoir	22	0
	Lincoln	23	0
	Macon	15	0
	Madison	12	0
	Martin	13	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Mecklenburg	195	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Montgomery	14	0
	Moore	26	0
	Nash	24	0
	New Hanover	43	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Onslow	24	0
	Orange	41	0
	Pamlico	10	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
	Pender	20	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Person	11	0
	Pitt	40	0
	Polk	7	0
	Randolph	22	0
	Richmond	16	0
	Rockingham	15	0
	Rowan	41	0
	Rutherford	17	0
	Sampson	23	0
	Scotland	7	0
	Stanly	22	0
	Stokes	18	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Unassigned	Surry	24	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Union	52	0
	Vance	12	0
	Wake	204	0
	Warren	14	0
	Washington	6	0
	Watauga	20	0
	Wayne	28	0
	Wilkes	27	0
	Wilson	24	0
	Yadkin	12	0
	Yancey	11	0
	Total:	**2,666**	**0**

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Total:		

Number of split VTDs: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Graham	Charles	Democratic	47	24
Jones	Brenden	Republican	46	23

District plan definition file: 'HBKAmend-1.csv', modified 10/29/2021 6:37 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 10/30/2021

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBKAmend-1

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
23	Jones	Brenden	Republican	46
24	Graham	Charles	Democratic	47

HOUSE ROLL CALL VOTE TRANSCRIPT FOR ROLL CALL #553

2021-2022 Session

HB 976: House Redistricting Plan 2021/HBK-14. **Vote:** A1 Graham Second Reading

Roll Call #553
Outcome: FAIL
Sponsor: D. HALL
Time: 11/2/2021 5:18 p.m.

Total votes: 115
Noes: 66
Excused Absence: 4

Ayes: 49
Not Voting: 1
Excused Vote: 0

Ayes (Democrat)
Adcock; Ager; Alexander; Alston; Autry; A. Baker; Ball; Belk; Brockman; Brown; Butler; Carney; Clemmons; Cooper-Suggs; Cunningham; Dahle; Everitt; Farkas; Fisher; Gailliard; Garrison; Gill; Graham; Harris; Harrison; Hawkins; Hunt; Hunter; Hurtado; John; A. Jones; Lofton; Logan; Lucas; Majeed; Meyer; Morey; Pierce; Quick; Reives; Richardson; Roberson; K. Smith; R. Smith; Terry; Turner; von Haefen; Willingham; Wray

Ayes (Republican)
None

Noes (Democrat)
None

Noes (Republican)
Adams; Arp; K. Baker; Bell; Blackwell; Boles; Bradford; Brisson; Brody; Clampitt; Cleveland; Davis; Dixon; Elmore; Faircloth; Gillespie; Goodwin; Greene; D. Hall; K. Hall; Hanig; Hardister; Hastings; Howard; Humphrey; Hurley; Iler; J. Johnson; B. Jones; Kidwell; Lambeth; Loftis; McNeely; McNeill; Miller; Mills; Moffitt; Moore (Speaker); Moss; Paré; Penny; Pickett; Pittman; Pless; Potts; Pyrtle; Riddell; Rogers; Saine; Sasser; Setzer; Shepard; C. Smith; Strickland; Szoka; Torbett; Tyson; Warren; Watford; Wheatley; White; Willis; Winslow; Yarborough; Zachary; Zenger

Not Voting (Democrat)
None

Not Voting (Republican)
McElraft

Excused Absence (Democrat)
Insko; Martin

Excused Absence (Republican)
Sauls; Stevens

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
1419



(919) 715-7586 (Fax)

FAILED

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 976

AMENDMENT NO. A2
(to be filled in by Principal Clerk)

H976-ABW-24 [v.3]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2021

Representative Reives

moves to amend the bill on page 4, lines 43-49, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 9**: Onslow County: VTD BM08, VTD EN03, VTD JA01, VTD NE22A, VTD NR02, VTD WN04.
District 10: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD CL10, VTD CR07, VTD GB12, VTD HM05, VTD HU20, VTD ML23, VTD MT24, VTD NE22B, VTD NM13, VTD RL09, VTD SW19, VTD TL06.
District 11: Onslow County: VTD FS16, VTD HN14, VTD HR17, VTD SF18, VTD VR15; Pender County.
District 12: Greene County: VTD BEAR, VTD BULL, VTD CAST, VTD MAUR, VTD WALS; Lenoir County: VTD C, VTD SH, VTD SW, VTD W; Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 024, VTD 028, VTD 2530.";

and on page 5, lines 6-30, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 16**: Greene County: VTD ARBA, VTD HOOK, VTD SH1, VTD SHIN, VTD SUGG; Lenoir County: VTD I, VTD K1, VTD K2, VTD K3, VTD K5, VTD K6, VTD K7, VTD K8, VTD K9, VTD V; Wayne County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 1920.
District 17: Duplin County, Jones County, Lenoir County: VTD FC, VTD K4, VTD MH, VTD N, VTD PH1, VTD PH2, VTD T1, VTD T2.
District 18: Bladen County, Sampson County.".


SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

H976-ABW-24-V-3

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
1420

HBAAmend-6



Description:

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

11/02/2021 10:48 AM

User:
Plan Name: **HBAAmend-6**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Tuesday, November 02, 2021 10:46 AM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.29	0.09
Max	0.53	0.39
Mean	0.40	0.25
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.12

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
9	0.44	0.36
10	0.32	0.21
11	0.49	0.39
12	0.36	0.09
16	0.29	0.13
17	0.40	0.21
18	0.53	0.36

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
9	1	86,995	90,341	3,346	3.85%
10	1	86,995	85,551	-1,444	-1.66%
11	1	86,995	88,887	1,892	2.17%
12	1	86,995	83,383	-3,612	-4.15%
16	1	86,995	83,597	-3,398	-3.91%
17	1	86,995	83,813	-3,182	-3.66%
18	1	86,995	88,642	1,647	1.89%
Totals:	**7**		**604,214**		

County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	Unassigned	171,415	9,835,174	171,415	100.00 %	1.74 %
Alexander	Unassigned	36,444	9,835,174	36,444	100.00 %	0.37 %
Alleghany	Unassigned	10,888	9,835,174	10,888	100.00 %	0.11 %
Anson	Unassigned	22,055	9,835,174	22,055	100.00 %	0.22 %
Ashe	Unassigned	26,577	9,835,174	26,577	100.00 %	0.27 %
Avery	Unassigned	17,806	9,835,174	17,806	100.00 %	0.18 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	44,652	9,835,174	44,652	100.00 %	0.45 %
Bertie	Unassigned	17,934	9,835,174	17,934	100.00 %	0.18 %
Bladen	18	29,606	88,642	29,606	100.00 %	33.40 %
Brunswick	Unassigned	136,693	9,835,174	136,693	100.00 %	1.39 %
Buncombe	Unassigned	269,452	9,835,174	269,452	100.00 %	2.74 %
Burke	Unassigned	87,570	9,835,174	87,570	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cabarrus	Unassigned	225,804	9,835,174	225,804	100.00 %	2.30 %
Caldwell	Unassigned	80,652	9,835,174	80,652	100.00 %	0.82 %
Camden	Unassigned	10,355	9,835,174	10,355	100.00 %	0.11 %
Carteret	Unassigned	67,686	9,835,174	67,686	100.00 %	0.69 %
Caswell	Unassigned	22,736	9,835,174	22,736	100.00 %	0.23 %
Catawba	Unassigned	160,610	9,835,174	160,610	100.00 %	1.63 %
Chatham	Unassigned	76,285	9,835,174	76,285	100.00 %	0.78 %
Cherokee	Unassigned	28,774	9,835,174	28,774	100.00 %	0.29 %
Chowan	Unassigned	13,708	9,835,174	13,708	100.00 %	0.14 %
Clay	Unassigned	11,089	9,835,174	11,089	100.00 %	0.11 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	99,519	9,835,174	99,519	100.00 %	1.01 %
Columbus	Unassigned	50,623	9,835,174	50,623	100.00 %	0.51 %
Craven	Unassigned	100,720	9,835,174	100,720	100.00 %	1.02 %
Cumberland	Unassigned	334,728	9,835,174	334,728	100.00 %	3.40 %
Currituck	Unassigned	28,100	9,835,174	28,100	100.00 %	0.29 %
Dare	Unassigned	36,915	9,835,174	36,915	100.00 %	0.38 %
Davidson	Unassigned	168,930	9,835,174	168,930	100.00 %	1.72 %
Davie	Unassigned	42,712	9,835,174	42,712	100.00 %	0.43 %
Duplin	17	48,715	83,813	48,715	100.00 %	58.12 %
Durham	Unassigned	324,833	9,835,174	324,833	100.00 %	3.30 %
Edgecombe	Unassigned	48,900	9,835,174	48,900	100.00 %	0.50 %
Forsyth	Unassigned	382,590	9,835,174	382,590	100.00 %	3.89 %
Franklin	Unassigned	68,573	9,835,174	68,573	100.00 %	0.70 %
Gaston	Unassigned	227,943	9,835,174	227,943	100.00 %	2.32 %
Gates	Unassigned	10,478	9,835,174	10,478	100.00 %	0.11 %
Graham	Unassigned	8,030	9,835,174	8,030	100.00 %	0.08 %
Granville	Unassigned	60,992	9,835,174	60,992	100.00 %	0.62 %
Greene	12	20,451	83,383	11,157	54.55 %	13.38 %
	16	20,451	83,597	9,294	45.45 %	11.12 %
Guilford	Unassigned	541,299	9,835,174	541,299	100.00 %	5.50 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Halifax	Unassigned	48,622	9,835,174	48,622	100.00 %	0.49 %
Harnett	Unassigned	133,568	9,835,174	133,568	100.00 %	1.36 %
Haywood	Unassigned	62,089	9,835,174	62,089	100.00 %	0.63 %
Henderson	Unassigned	116,281	9,835,174	116,281	100.00 %	1.18 %
Hertford	Unassigned	21,552	9,835,174	21,552	100.00 %	0.22 %
Hoke	Unassigned	52,082	9,835,174	52,082	100.00 %	0.53 %
Hyde	Unassigned	4,589	9,835,174	4,589	100.00 %	0.05 %
Iredell	Unassigned	186,693	9,835,174	186,693	100.00 %	1.90 %
Jackson	Unassigned	43,109	9,835,174	43,109	100.00 %	0.44 %
Johnston	Unassigned	215,999	9,835,174	215,999	100.00 %	2.20 %
Jones	17	9,172	83,813	9,172	100.00 %	10.94 %
Lee	Unassigned	63,285	9,835,174	63,285	100.00 %	0.64 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	83,383	7,504	13.61 %	9.00 %
	16	55,122	83,597	21,692	39.35 %	25.95 %
	17	55,122	83,813	25,926	47.03 %	30.93 %
Lincoln	Unassigned	86,810	9,835,174	86,810	100.00 %	0.88 %
Macon	Unassigned	37,014	9,835,174	37,014	100.00 %	0.38 %
Madison	Unassigned	21,193	9,835,174	21,193	100.00 %	0.22 %
Martin	Unassigned	22,031	9,835,174	22,031	100.00 %	0.22 %
McDowell	Unassigned	44,578	9,835,174	44,578	100.00 %	0.45 %
Mecklenburg	Unassigned	1,115,482	9,835,174	1,115,482	100.00 %	11.34 %
Mitchell	Unassigned	14,903	9,835,174	14,903	100.00 %	0.15 %
Montgomery	Unassigned	25,751	9,835,174	25,751	100.00 %	0.26 %
Moore	Unassigned	99,727	9,835,174	99,727	100.00 %	1.01 %
Nash	Unassigned	94,970	9,835,174	94,970	100.00 %	0.97 %
New Hanover	Unassigned	225,702	9,835,174	225,702	100.00 %	2.29 %
Northampton	Unassigned	17,471	9,835,174	17,471	100.00 %	0.18 %
Onslow	9	204,576	90,341	90,341	44.16 %	100.00 %
	10	204,576	85,551	85,551	41.82 %	100.00 %
	11	204,576	88,887	28,684	14.02 %	32.27 %
Orange	Unassigned	148,696	9,835,174	148,696	100.00 %	1.51 %
Pamlico	Unassigned	12,276	9,835,174	12,276	100.00 %	0.12 %
Pasquotank	Unassigned	40,568	9,835,174	40,568	100.00 %	0.41 %
Pender	11	60,203	88,887	60,203	100.00 %	67.73 %
Perquimans	Unassigned	13,005	9,835,174	13,005	100.00 %	0.13 %
Person	Unassigned	39,097	9,835,174	39,097	100.00 %	0.40 %
Pitt	Unassigned	170,243	9,835,174	170,243	100.00 %	1.73 %
Polk	Unassigned	19,328	9,835,174	19,328	100.00 %	0.20 %
Randolph	Unassigned	144,171	9,835,174	144,171	100.00 %	1.47 %
Richmond	Unassigned	42,946	9,835,174	42,946	100.00 %	0.44 %
Robeson	Unassigned	116,530	9,835,174	116,530	100.00 %	1.18 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	91,096	9,835,174	91,096	100.00 %	0.93 %

County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Rowan	Unassigned	146,875	9,835,174	146,875	100.00 %	1.49 %
Rutherford	Unassigned	64,444	9,835,174	64,444	100.00 %	0.66 %
Sampson	18	59,036	88,642	59,036	100.00 %	66.60 %
Scotland	Unassigned	34,174	9,835,174	34,174	100.00 %	0.35 %
Stanly	Unassigned	62,504	9,835,174	62,504	100.00 %	0.64 %
Stokes	Unassigned	44,520	9,835,174	44,520	100.00 %	0.45 %
Surry	Unassigned	71,359	9,835,174	71,359	100.00 %	0.73 %
Swain	Unassigned	14,117	9,835,174	14,117	100.00 %	0.14 %
Transylvania	Unassigned	32,986	9,835,174	32,986	100.00 %	0.34 %
Tyrrell	Unassigned	3,245	9,835,174	3,245	100.00 %	0.03 %
Union	Unassigned	238,267	9,835,174	238,267	100.00 %	2.42 %
Vance	Unassigned	42,578	9,835,174	42,578	100.00 %	0.43 %
Wake	Unassigned	1,129,410	9,835,174	1,129,410	100.00 %	11.48 %
Warren	Unassigned	18,642	9,835,174	18,642	100.00 %	0.19 %
Washington	Unassigned	11,003	9,835,174	11,003	100.00 %	0.11 %
Watauga	Unassigned	54,086	9,835,174	54,086	100.00 %	0.55 %
Wayne	12	117,333	83,383	64,722	55.16 %	77.62 %
	16	117,333	83,597	52,611	44.84 %	62.93 %
Wilkes	Unassigned	65,969	9,835,174	65,969	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilson	Unassigned	78,784	9,835,174	78,784	100.00 %	0.80 %
Yadkin	Unassigned	37,214	9,835,174	37,214	100.00 %	0.38 %
Yancey	Unassigned	18,470	9,835,174	18,470	100.00 %	0.19 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Number of split counties: 4
Display: all counties

District - County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
9	Onslow	90,341	204,576	90,341	100.00 %	44.16 %
10	Onslow	85,551	204,576	85,551	100.00 %	41.82 %
11	Onslow	88,887	204,576	28,684	32.27 %	14.02 %
	Pender	88,887	60,203	60,203	67.73 %	100.00 %
12	Greene	83,383	20,451	11,157	13.38 %	54.55 %
	Lenoir	83,383	55,122	7,504	9.00 %	13.61 %
	Wayne	83,383	117,333	64,722	77.62 %	55.16 %
16	Greene	83,597	20,451	9,294	11.12 %	45.45 %
	Lenoir	83,597	55,122	21,692	25.95 %	39.35 %
	Wayne	83,597	117,333	52,611	62.93 %	44.84 %
17	Duplin	83,813	48,715	48,715	58.12 %	100.00 %
	Jones	83,813	9,172	9,172	10.94 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	83,813	55,122	25,926	30.93 %	47.03 %
18	Bladen	88,642	29,606	29,606	33.40 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	88,642	59,036	59,036	66.60 %	100.00 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,835,174	171,415	171,415	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,835,174	36,444	36,444	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,835,174	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,835,174	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,835,174	26,577	26,577	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,835,174	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,835,174	44,652	44,652	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,835,174	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,835,174	136,693	136,693	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,835,174	269,452	269,452	2.74 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,835,174	87,570	87,570	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,835,174	225,804	225,804	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,835,174	80,652	80,652	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,835,174	10,355	10,355	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,835,174	67,686	67,686	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,835,174	22,736	22,736	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,835,174	160,610	160,610	1.63 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,835,174	76,285	76,285	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,835,174	28,774	28,774	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,835,174	13,708	13,708	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,835,174	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,835,174	99,519	99,519	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,835,174	50,623	50,623	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,835,174	100,720	100,720	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,835,174	334,728	334,728	3.40 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,835,174	28,100	28,100	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,835,174	36,915	36,915	0.38 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Davidson	9,835,174	168,930	168,930	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Davie	9,835,174	42,712	42,712	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,835,174	324,833	324,833	3.30 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,835,174	48,900	48,900	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,835,174	382,590	382,590	3.89 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,835,174	68,573	68,573	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,835,174	227,943	227,943	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Gates	9,835,174	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,835,174	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,835,174	60,992	60,992	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,835,174	541,299	541,299	5.50 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,835,174	48,622	48,622	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,835,174	133,568	133,568	1.36 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,835,174	62,089	62,089	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,835,174	116,281	116,281	1.18 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,835,174	21,552	21,552	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,835,174	52,082	52,082	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,835,174	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,835,174	186,693	186,693	1.90 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,835,174	43,109	43,109	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,835,174	215,999	215,999	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,835,174	63,285	63,285	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,835,174	86,810	86,810	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,835,174	37,014	37,014	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,835,174	21,193	21,193	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,835,174	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,835,174	44,578	44,578	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,835,174	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.34 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,835,174	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,835,174	25,751	25,751	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,835,174	99,727	99,727	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,835,174	94,970	94,970	0.97 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,835,174	225,702	225,702	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,835,174	17,471	17,471	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,835,174	148,696	148,696	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,835,174	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,835,174	40,568	40,568	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	9,835,174	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	9,835,174	39,097	39,097	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,835,174	170,243	170,243	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,835,174	19,328	19,328	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,835,174	144,171	144,171	1.47 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Richmond	9,835,174	42,946	42,946	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,835,174	116,530	116,530	1.18 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,835,174	91,096	91,096	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	9,835,174	146,875	146,875	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,835,174	64,444	64,444	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,835,174	34,174	34,174	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,835,174	62,504	62,504	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,835,174	44,520	44,520	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,835,174	71,359	71,359	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,835,174	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,835,174	32,986	32,986	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,835,174	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,835,174	238,267	238,267	2.42 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,835,174	42,578	42,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Wake	9,835,174	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.48 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,835,174	18,642	18,642	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,835,174	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,835,174	54,086	54,086	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,835,174	65,969	65,969	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,835,174	78,784	78,784	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	9,835,174	37,214	37,214	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	9,835,174	18,470	18,470	0.19 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	Unassigned	8,516	9,835,174	8,516	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ahoskie	Unassigned	4,891	9,835,174	4,891	100.00 %	0.05 %
Alamance	Unassigned	988	9,835,174	988	100.00 %	0.01 %
Albemarle	Unassigned	16,432	9,835,174	16,432	100.00 %	0.17 %
Alliance	Unassigned	733	9,835,174	733	100.00 %	0.01 %
Andrews	Unassigned	1,667	9,835,174	1,667	100.00 %	0.02 %
Angier	Unassigned	5,265	9,835,174	5,265	100.00 %	0.05 %
Ansonville	Unassigned	440	9,835,174	440	100.00 %	0.00 %
Apex	Unassigned	58,780	9,835,174	58,780	100.00 %	0.60 %
Arapahoe	Unassigned	416	9,835,174	416	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale	Unassigned	11,907	9,835,174	11,907	100.00 %	0.12 %
Archer Lodge	Unassigned	4,797	9,835,174	4,797	100.00 %	0.05 %
Asheboro	Unassigned	27,156	9,835,174	27,156	100.00 %	0.28 %
Asheville	Unassigned	94,589	9,835,174	94,589	100.00 %	0.96 %
Askewville	Unassigned	184	9,835,174	184	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atkinson	11	296	88,887	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Atlantic Beach	Unassigned	1,364	9,835,174	1,364	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aulander	Unassigned	763	9,835,174	763	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aurora	Unassigned	455	9,835,174	455	100.00 %	0.00 %
Autryville	18	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	Unassigned	4,977	9,835,174	4,977	100.00 %	0.05 %
Badin	Unassigned	2,024	9,835,174	2,024	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bailey	Unassigned	568	9,835,174	568	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bakersville	Unassigned	450	9,835,174	450	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bald Head Island	Unassigned	268	9,835,174	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Banner Elk	Unassigned	1,049	9,835,174	1,049	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bath	Unassigned	245	9,835,174	245	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bayboro	Unassigned	1,161	9,835,174	1,161	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bear Grass	Unassigned	89	9,835,174	89	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	4,464	9,835,174	4,464	100.00 %	0.05 %
Beech Mountain	Unassigned	675	9,835,174	675	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belhaven	Unassigned	1,410	9,835,174	1,410	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belmont	Unassigned	15,010	9,835,174	15,010	100.00 %	0.15 %
Belville	Unassigned	2,406	9,835,174	2,406	100.00 %	0.02 %
Belwood	Unassigned	857	9,835,174	857	100.00 %	0.01 %
Benson	Unassigned	3,967	9,835,174	3,967	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bermuda Run	Unassigned	3,120	9,835,174	3,120	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bessemer City	Unassigned	5,428	9,835,174	5,428	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bethania	Unassigned	344	9,835,174	344	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	Unassigned	1,373	9,835,174	1,373	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beulaville	17	1,116	83,813	1,116	100.00 %	1.33 %
Biltmore Forest	Unassigned	1,409	9,835,174	1,409	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Biscoe	Unassigned	1,848	9,835,174	1,848	100.00 %	0.02 %
Black Creek	Unassigned	692	9,835,174	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Black Mountain	Unassigned	8,426	9,835,174	8,426	100.00 %	0.09 %
Bladenboro	18	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock	Unassigned	1,376	9,835,174	1,376	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boardman	Unassigned	166	9,835,174	166	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bogue	Unassigned	695	9,835,174	695	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	Unassigned	5,943	9,835,174	5,943	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boiling Springs	Unassigned	4,615	9,835,174	4,615	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bolivia	Unassigned	149	9,835,174	149	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bolton	Unassigned	519	9,835,174	519	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boone	Unassigned	19,092	9,835,174	19,092	100.00 %	0.19 %
Boonville	Unassigned	1,185	9,835,174	1,185	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bostic	Unassigned	355	9,835,174	355	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brevard	Unassigned	7,744	9,835,174	7,744	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bridgeton	Unassigned	349	9,835,174	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway	Unassigned	1,267	9,835,174	1,267	100.00 %	0.01 %
Brookford	Unassigned	442	9,835,174	442	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brunswick	Unassigned	973	9,835,174	973	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bryson City	Unassigned	1,558	9,835,174	1,558	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bunn	Unassigned	327	9,835,174	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Burgaw	11	3,088	88,887	3,088	100.00 %	3.47 %
Burlington	Unassigned	57,303	9,835,174	57,303	100.00 %	0.58 %
Burnsville	Unassigned	1,614	9,835,174	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Butner	Unassigned	8,397	9,835,174	8,397	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cajah's Mountain	Unassigned	2,722	9,835,174	2,722	100.00 %	0.03 %
Calabash	Unassigned	2,011	9,835,174	2,011	100.00 %	0.02 %
Calypso	17	327	83,813	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	Unassigned	244	9,835,174	244	100.00 %	0.00 %
Candor	Unassigned	813	9,835,174	813	100.00 %	0.01 %
Canton	Unassigned	4,422	9,835,174	4,422	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cape Carteret	Unassigned	2,224	9,835,174	2,224	100.00 %	0.02 %
Carolina Beach	Unassigned	6,564	9,835,174	6,564	100.00 %	0.07 %
Carolina Shores	Unassigned	4,588	9,835,174	4,588	100.00 %	0.05 %
Carrboro	Unassigned	21,295	9,835,174	21,295	100.00 %	0.22 %
Carthage	Unassigned	2,775	9,835,174	2,775	100.00 %	0.03 %
Cary	Unassigned	174,721	9,835,174	174,721	100.00 %	1.78 %
Casar	Unassigned	305	9,835,174	305	100.00 %	0.00 %
Castalia	Unassigned	264	9,835,174	264	100.00 %	0.00 %
Caswell Beach	Unassigned	395	9,835,174	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Catawba	Unassigned	702	9,835,174	702	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cedar Point	Unassigned	1,764	9,835,174	1,764	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cedar Rock	Unassigned	301	9,835,174	301	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cerro Gordo	Unassigned	131	9,835,174	131	100.00 %	0.00 %
Chadbourn	Unassigned	1,574	9,835,174	1,574	100.00 %	0.02 %
Chapel Hill	Unassigned	61,960	9,835,174	61,960	100.00 %	0.63 %
Charlotte	Unassigned	874,579	9,835,174	874,579	100.00 %	8.89 %
Cherryville	Unassigned	6,078	9,835,174	6,078	100.00 %	0.06 %
Chimney Rock Village	Unassigned	140	9,835,174	140	100.00 %	0.00 %
China Grove	Unassigned	4,434	9,835,174	4,434	100.00 %	0.05 %
Chocowinity	Unassigned	722	9,835,174	722	100.00 %	0.01 %
Claremont	Unassigned	1,692	9,835,174	1,692	100.00 %	0.02 %
Clarkton	18	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton	Unassigned	26,307	9,835,174	26,307	100.00 %	0.27 %
Clemmons	Unassigned	21,163	9,835,174	21,163	100.00 %	0.22 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	846	9,835,174	846	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clinton	18	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	Unassigned	1,368	9,835,174	1,368	100.00 %	0.01 %
Coats	Unassigned	2,155	9,835,174	2,155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cofield	Unassigned	267	9,835,174	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Colerain	Unassigned	217	9,835,174	217	100.00 %	0.00 %
Columbia	Unassigned	610	9,835,174	610	100.00 %	0.01 %
Columbus	Unassigned	1,060	9,835,174	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Como	Unassigned	67	9,835,174	67	100.00 %	0.00 %
Concord	Unassigned	105,240	9,835,174	105,240	100.00 %	1.07 %
Conetoe	Unassigned	198	9,835,174	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
Connelly Springs	Unassigned	1,529	9,835,174	1,529	100.00 %	0.02 %
Conover	Unassigned	8,421	9,835,174	8,421	100.00 %	0.09 %
Conway	Unassigned	752	9,835,174	752	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cooleemee	Unassigned	940	9,835,174	940	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cornelius	Unassigned	31,412	9,835,174	31,412	100.00 %	0.32 %
Cove City	Unassigned	378	9,835,174	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cramerton	Unassigned	5,296	9,835,174	5,296	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creedmoor	Unassigned	4,866	9,835,174	4,866	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creswell	Unassigned	207	9,835,174	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Crossnore	Unassigned	143	9,835,174	143	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dallas	Unassigned	5,927	9,835,174	5,927	100.00 %	0.06 %
Danbury	Unassigned	189	9,835,174	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson	Unassigned	15,106	9,835,174	15,106	100.00 %	0.15 %
Dellview	Unassigned	6	9,835,174	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	Unassigned	1,494	9,835,174	1,494	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dillsboro	Unassigned	213	9,835,174	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dobbins Heights	Unassigned	687	9,835,174	687	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dobson	Unassigned	1,462	9,835,174	1,462	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Dortches	Unassigned	1,082	9,835,174	1,082	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dover	Unassigned	349	9,835,174	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Drexel	Unassigned	1,760	9,835,174	1,760	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dublin	18	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	Unassigned	742	9,835,174	742	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dunn	Unassigned	8,446	9,835,174	8,446	100.00 %	0.09 %
Durham	Unassigned	283,506	9,835,174	283,506	100.00 %	2.88 %
Earl	Unassigned	198	9,835,174	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Arcadia	18	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	Unassigned	634	9,835,174	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
East Laurinburg	Unassigned	234	9,835,174	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Eastover	Unassigned	3,656	9,835,174	3,656	100.00 %	0.04 %
East Spencer	Unassigned	1,567	9,835,174	1,567	100.00 %	0.02 %
Eden	Unassigned	15,421	9,835,174	15,421	100.00 %	0.16 %
Edenton	Unassigned	4,460	9,835,174	4,460	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City	Unassigned	18,631	9,835,174	18,631	100.00 %	0.19 %
Elizabethtown	18	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elkin	Unassigned	4,122	9,835,174	4,122	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elk Park	Unassigned	542	9,835,174	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellenboro	Unassigned	723	9,835,174	723	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellerbe	Unassigned	864	9,835,174	864	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elm City	Unassigned	1,218	9,835,174	1,218	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elon	Unassigned	11,336	9,835,174	11,336	100.00 %	0.12 %
Emerald Isle	Unassigned	3,847	9,835,174	3,847	100.00 %	0.04 %
Enfield	Unassigned	1,865	9,835,174	1,865	100.00 %	0.02 %
Erwin	Unassigned	4,542	9,835,174	4,542	100.00 %	0.05 %
Eureka	12	214	83,383	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	Unassigned	150	9,835,174	150	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fair Bluff	Unassigned	709	9,835,174	709	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fairmont	Unassigned	2,191	9,835,174	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fairview	Unassigned	3,456	9,835,174	3,456	100.00 %	0.04 %
Faison	17	784	83,813	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
	18	784	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	Unassigned	819	9,835,174	819	100.00 %	0.01 %
Falcon	18	324	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	324	9,835,174	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	Unassigned	47	9,835,174	47	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fallston	Unassigned	627	9,835,174	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
Farmville	Unassigned	4,461	9,835,174	4,461	100.00 %	0.05 %
Fayetteville	Unassigned	208,501	9,835,174	208,501	100.00 %	2.12 %
Flat Rock	Unassigned	3,486	9,835,174	3,486	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fletcher	Unassigned	7,987	9,835,174	7,987	100.00 %	0.08 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fontana Dam	Unassigned	13	9,835,174	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	Unassigned	7,377	9,835,174	7,377	100.00 %	0.08 %
Forest Hills	Unassigned	303	9,835,174	303	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fountain	Unassigned	385	9,835,174	385	100.00 %	0.00 %
Four Oaks	Unassigned	2,158	9,835,174	2,158	100.00 %	0.02 %
Foxfire	Unassigned	1,288	9,835,174	1,288	100.00 %	0.01 %
Franklin	Unassigned	4,175	9,835,174	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Franklinton	Unassigned	2,456	9,835,174	2,456	100.00 %	0.02 %
Franklinville	Unassigned	1,197	9,835,174	1,197	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fremont	12	1,196	83,383	1,196	100.00 %	1.43 %
Fuquay-Varina	Unassigned	34,152	9,835,174	34,152	100.00 %	0.35 %
Gamewell	Unassigned	3,702	9,835,174	3,702	100.00 %	0.04 %
Garland	18	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	Unassigned	31,159	9,835,174	31,159	100.00 %	0.32 %
Garysburg	Unassigned	904	9,835,174	904	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gaston	Unassigned	1,008	9,835,174	1,008	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gastonia	Unassigned	80,411	9,835,174	80,411	100.00 %	0.82 %
Gatesville	Unassigned	267	9,835,174	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibson	Unassigned	449	9,835,174	449	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibsonville	Unassigned	8,920	9,835,174	8,920	100.00 %	0.09 %
Glen Alpine	Unassigned	1,529	9,835,174	1,529	100.00 %	0.02 %
Godwin	Unassigned	128	9,835,174	128	100.00 %	0.00 %
Goldsboro	12	33,657	83,383	797	2.37 %	0.96 %
	16	33,657	83,597	32,860	97.63 %	39.31 %
Goldston	Unassigned	234	9,835,174	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Graham	Unassigned	17,157	9,835,174	17,157	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grandfather Village	Unassigned	95	9,835,174	95	100.00 %	0.00 %
Granite Falls	Unassigned	4,965	9,835,174	4,965	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Quarry	Unassigned	2,984	9,835,174	2,984	100.00 %	0.03 %
Grantsboro	Unassigned	692	9,835,174	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Greenevers	17	567	83,813	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Green Level	Unassigned	3,152	9,835,174	3,152	100.00 %	0.03 %
Greensboro	Unassigned	299,035	9,835,174	299,035	100.00 %	3.04 %
Greenville	Unassigned	87,521	9,835,174	87,521	100.00 %	0.89 %
Grifton	12	2,448	83,383	147	6.00 %	0.18 %
	Unassigned	2,448	9,835,174	2,301	94.00 %	0.02 %
Grimesland	Unassigned	386	9,835,174	386	100.00 %	0.00 %
Grover	Unassigned	802	9,835,174	802	100.00 %	0.01 %
Halifax	Unassigned	170	9,835,174	170	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamilton	Unassigned	306	9,835,174	306	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamlet	Unassigned	6,025	9,835,174	6,025	100.00 %	0.06 %
Harmony	Unassigned	543	9,835,174	543	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harrells	17	160	83,813	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	18	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	Unassigned	85	9,835,174	85	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrisburg	Unassigned	18,967	9,835,174	18,967	100.00 %	0.19 %
Hassell	Unassigned	49	9,835,174	49	100.00 %	0.00 %
Havelock	Unassigned	16,621	9,835,174	16,621	100.00 %	0.17 %
Haw River	Unassigned	2,252	9,835,174	2,252	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hayesville	Unassigned	461	9,835,174	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hemby Bridge	Unassigned	1,614	9,835,174	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Henderson	Unassigned	15,060	9,835,174	15,060	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hendersonville	Unassigned	15,137	9,835,174	15,137	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hertford	Unassigned	1,934	9,835,174	1,934	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hickory	Unassigned	43,490	9,835,174	43,490	100.00 %	0.44 %
Highlands	Unassigned	1,072	9,835,174	1,072	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Point	Unassigned	114,059	9,835,174	114,059	100.00 %	1.16 %
High Shoals	Unassigned	595	9,835,174	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hildebran	Unassigned	1,679	9,835,174	1,679	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hillsborough	Unassigned	9,660	9,835,174	9,660	100.00 %	0.10 %
Hobgood	Unassigned	268	9,835,174	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hoffman	Unassigned	418	9,835,174	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
Holden Beach	Unassigned	921	9,835,174	921	100.00 %	0.01 %
Holly Ridge	11	4,171	88,887	4,171	100.00 %	4.69 %
Holly Springs	Unassigned	41,239	9,835,174	41,239	100.00 %	0.42 %
Hookerton	16	413	83,597	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	Unassigned	17,808	9,835,174	17,808	100.00 %	0.18 %
Hot Springs	Unassigned	520	9,835,174	520	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hudson	Unassigned	3,780	9,835,174	3,780	100.00 %	0.04 %
Huntersville	Unassigned	61,376	9,835,174	61,376	100.00 %	0.62 %
Indian Beach	Unassigned	223	9,835,174	223	100.00 %	0.00 %
Indian Trail	Unassigned	39,997	9,835,174	39,997	100.00 %	0.41 %
Jackson	Unassigned	430	9,835,174	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jacksonville	9	72,723	90,341	72,723	100.00 %	80.50 %
	10	72,723	85,551	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Jamestown	Unassigned	3,668	9,835,174	3,668	100.00 %	0.04 %
Jamesville	Unassigned	424	9,835,174	424	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jefferson	Unassigned	1,622	9,835,174	1,622	100.00 %	0.02 %
Jonesville	Unassigned	2,308	9,835,174	2,308	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kannapolis	Unassigned	53,114	9,835,174	53,114	100.00 %	0.54 %
Kelford	Unassigned	203	9,835,174	203	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kenansville	17	770	83,813	770	100.00 %	0.92 %
Kenly	Unassigned	1,491	9,835,174	1,491	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kernersville	Unassigned	26,449	9,835,174	26,449	100.00 %	0.27 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kill Devil Hills	Unassigned	7,656	9,835,174	7,656	100.00 %	0.08 %
King	Unassigned	7,197	9,835,174	7,197	100.00 %	0.07 %
Kings Mountain	Unassigned	11,142	9,835,174	11,142	100.00 %	0.11 %
Kingstown	Unassigned	656	9,835,174	656	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kinston	12	19,900	83,383	5	0.03 %	0.01 %
	16	19,900	83,597	15,254	76.65 %	18.25 %
	17	19,900	83,813	4,641	23.32 %	5.54 %
Kittrell	Unassigned	132	9,835,174	132	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kitty Hawk	Unassigned	3,689	9,835,174	3,689	100.00 %	0.04 %
Knightdale	Unassigned	19,435	9,835,174	19,435	100.00 %	0.20 %
Kure Beach	Unassigned	2,191	9,835,174	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
La Grange	17	2,595	83,813	2,595	100.00 %	3.10 %
Lake Lure	Unassigned	1,365	9,835,174	1,365	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lake Park	Unassigned	3,269	9,835,174	3,269	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Santeetlah	Unassigned	38	9,835,174	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	Unassigned	1,296	9,835,174	1,296	100.00 %	0.01 %
Landis	Unassigned	3,690	9,835,174	3,690	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lansing	Unassigned	126	9,835,174	126	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lasker	Unassigned	64	9,835,174	64	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lattimore	Unassigned	406	9,835,174	406	100.00 %	0.00 %
Laurel Park	Unassigned	2,250	9,835,174	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Laurinburg	Unassigned	14,978	9,835,174	14,978	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lawndale	Unassigned	570	9,835,174	570	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leggett	Unassigned	37	9,835,174	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	Unassigned	22,908	9,835,174	22,908	100.00 %	0.23 %
Lenoir	Unassigned	18,352	9,835,174	18,352	100.00 %	0.19 %
Lewiston Woodville	Unassigned	426	9,835,174	426	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lewisville	Unassigned	13,381	9,835,174	13,381	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lexington	Unassigned	19,632	9,835,174	19,632	100.00 %	0.20 %
Liberty	Unassigned	2,655	9,835,174	2,655	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lilesville	Unassigned	395	9,835,174	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lillington	Unassigned	4,735	9,835,174	4,735	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lincolnton	Unassigned	11,091	9,835,174	11,091	100.00 %	0.11 %
Linden	Unassigned	136	9,835,174	136	100.00 %	0.00 %
Littleton	Unassigned	559	9,835,174	559	100.00 %	0.01 %
Locust	Unassigned	4,537	9,835,174	4,537	100.00 %	0.05 %
Long View	Unassigned	5,088	9,835,174	5,088	100.00 %	0.05 %
Louisburg	Unassigned	3,064	9,835,174	3,064	100.00 %	0.03 %
Love Valley	Unassigned	154	9,835,174	154	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lowell	Unassigned	3,654	9,835,174	3,654	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lucama	Unassigned	1,036	9,835,174	1,036	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumber Bridge	Unassigned	82	9,835,174	82	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lumberton	Unassigned	19,025	9,835,174	19,025	100.00 %	0.19 %
McAdenville	Unassigned	890	9,835,174	890	100.00 %	0.01 %
Macclesfield	Unassigned	413	9,835,174	413	100.00 %	0.00 %
McDonald	Unassigned	94	9,835,174	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
McFarlan	Unassigned	94	9,835,174	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
Macon	Unassigned	110	9,835,174	110	100.00 %	0.00 %
Madison	Unassigned	2,129	9,835,174	2,129	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maggie Valley	Unassigned	1,687	9,835,174	1,687	100.00 %	0.02 %
Magnolia	17	831	83,813	831	100.00 %	0.99 %
Maiden	Unassigned	3,736	9,835,174	3,736	100.00 %	0.04 %
Manteo	Unassigned	1,600	9,835,174	1,600	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marietta	Unassigned	111	9,835,174	111	100.00 %	0.00 %
Marion	Unassigned	7,717	9,835,174	7,717	100.00 %	0.08 %
Marshall	Unassigned	777	9,835,174	777	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mars Hill	Unassigned	2,007	9,835,174	2,007	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marshville	Unassigned	2,522	9,835,174	2,522	100.00 %	0.03 %
Marvin	Unassigned	6,358	9,835,174	6,358	100.00 %	0.06 %
Matthews	Unassigned	29,435	9,835,174	29,435	100.00 %	0.30 %
Maxton	Unassigned	2,110	9,835,174	2,110	100.00 %	0.02 %
Mayodan	Unassigned	2,418	9,835,174	2,418	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maysville	17	818	83,813	818	100.00 %	0.98 %
Mebane	Unassigned	17,797	9,835,174	17,797	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mesic	Unassigned	144	9,835,174	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Micro	Unassigned	458	9,835,174	458	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middleburg	Unassigned	101	9,835,174	101	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middlesex	Unassigned	912	9,835,174	912	100.00 %	0.01 %
Midland	Unassigned	4,684	9,835,174	4,684	100.00 %	0.05 %
Midway	Unassigned	4,742	9,835,174	4,742	100.00 %	0.05 %
Mills River	Unassigned	7,078	9,835,174	7,078	100.00 %	0.07 %
Milton	Unassigned	155	9,835,174	155	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mineral Springs	Unassigned	3,159	9,835,174	3,159	100.00 %	0.03 %
Minnesott Beach	Unassigned	530	9,835,174	530	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mint Hill	Unassigned	26,450	9,835,174	26,450	100.00 %	0.27 %
Misenheimer	Unassigned	650	9,835,174	650	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mocksville	Unassigned	5,900	9,835,174	5,900	100.00 %	0.06 %
Momeyer	Unassigned	277	9,835,174	277	100.00 %	0.00 %
Monroe	Unassigned	34,562	9,835,174	34,562	100.00 %	0.35 %
Montreat	Unassigned	901	9,835,174	901	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mooresboro	Unassigned	293	9,835,174	293	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mooresville	Unassigned	50,193	9,835,174	50,193	100.00 %	0.51 %
Morehead City	Unassigned	9,556	9,835,174	9,556	100.00 %	0.10 %
Morganton	Unassigned	17,474	9,835,174	17,474	100.00 %	0.18 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Morrisville	Unassigned	29,630	9,835,174	29,630	100.00 %	0.30 %
Morven	Unassigned	329	9,835,174	329	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Airy	Unassigned	10,676	9,835,174	10,676	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mount Gilead	Unassigned	1,171	9,835,174	1,171	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Holly	Unassigned	17,703	9,835,174	17,703	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mount Olive	12	4,198	83,383	4,193	99.88 %	5.03 %
	16	4,198	83,597	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	17	4,198	83,813	5	0.12 %	0.01 %
Mount Pleasant	Unassigned	1,671	9,835,174	1,671	100.00 %	0.02 %
Murfreesboro	Unassigned	2,619	9,835,174	2,619	100.00 %	0.03 %
Murphy	Unassigned	1,608	9,835,174	1,608	100.00 %	0.02 %
Nags Head	Unassigned	3,168	9,835,174	3,168	100.00 %	0.03 %
Nashville	Unassigned	5,632	9,835,174	5,632	100.00 %	0.06 %
Navassa	Unassigned	1,367	9,835,174	1,367	100.00 %	0.01 %
New Bern	Unassigned	31,291	9,835,174	31,291	100.00 %	0.32 %
Newland	Unassigned	715	9,835,174	715	100.00 %	0.01 %
New London	Unassigned	607	9,835,174	607	100.00 %	0.01 %
Newport	Unassigned	4,364	9,835,174	4,364	100.00 %	0.04 %
Newton	Unassigned	13,148	9,835,174	13,148	100.00 %	0.13 %
Newton Grove	18	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	Unassigned	920	9,835,174	920	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norman	Unassigned	100	9,835,174	100	100.00 %	0.00 %
North Topsail Beach	11	1,005	88,887	1,005	100.00 %	1.13 %
Northwest	Unassigned	703	9,835,174	703	100.00 %	0.01 %
North Wilkesboro	Unassigned	4,382	9,835,174	4,382	100.00 %	0.04 %
Norwood	Unassigned	2,367	9,835,174	2,367	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oakboro	Unassigned	2,128	9,835,174	2,128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oak City	Unassigned	266	9,835,174	266	100.00 %	0.00 %
Oak Island	Unassigned	8,396	9,835,174	8,396	100.00 %	0.09 %
Oak Ridge	Unassigned	7,474	9,835,174	7,474	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ocean Isle Beach	Unassigned	867	9,835,174	867	100.00 %	0.01 %
Old Fort	Unassigned	811	9,835,174	811	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oriental	Unassigned	880	9,835,174	880	100.00 %	0.01 %
Orrum	Unassigned	59	9,835,174	59	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ossipee	Unassigned	536	9,835,174	536	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oxford	Unassigned	8,628	9,835,174	8,628	100.00 %	0.09 %
Pantego	Unassigned	164	9,835,174	164	100.00 %	0.00 %
Parkton	Unassigned	504	9,835,174	504	100.00 %	0.01 %
Parmele	Unassigned	243	9,835,174	243	100.00 %	0.00 %
Patterson Springs	Unassigned	571	9,835,174	571	100.00 %	0.01 %
Peachland	Unassigned	390	9,835,174	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Peletier	Unassigned	769	9,835,174	769	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pembroke	Unassigned	2,823	9,835,174	2,823	100.00 %	0.03 %
Pikeville	12	712	83,383	712	100.00 %	0.85 %
Pilot Mountain	Unassigned	1,440	9,835,174	1,440	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinebluff	Unassigned	1,473	9,835,174	1,473	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinehurst	Unassigned	17,581	9,835,174	17,581	100.00 %	0.18 %
Pine Knoll Shores	Unassigned	1,388	9,835,174	1,388	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Level	Unassigned	2,046	9,835,174	2,046	100.00 %	0.02 %
Pinetops	Unassigned	1,200	9,835,174	1,200	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pineville	Unassigned	10,602	9,835,174	10,602	100.00 %	0.11 %
Pink Hill	17	451	83,813	451	100.00 %	0.54 %
Pittsboro	Unassigned	4,537	9,835,174	4,537	100.00 %	0.05 %
Pleasant Garden	Unassigned	5,000	9,835,174	5,000	100.00 %	0.05 %
Plymouth	Unassigned	3,320	9,835,174	3,320	100.00 %	0.03 %
Polkton	Unassigned	2,250	9,835,174	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Polkville	Unassigned	516	9,835,174	516	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pollocksville	17	268	83,813	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	Unassigned	189	9,835,174	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Princeton	Unassigned	1,315	9,835,174	1,315	100.00 %	0.01 %
Princeville	Unassigned	1,254	9,835,174	1,254	100.00 %	0.01 %
Proctorville	Unassigned	121	9,835,174	121	100.00 %	0.00 %
Raeford	Unassigned	4,559	9,835,174	4,559	100.00 %	0.05 %
Raleigh	Unassigned	467,665	9,835,174	467,665	100.00 %	4.76 %
Ramseur	Unassigned	1,774	9,835,174	1,774	100.00 %	0.02 %
Randleman	Unassigned	4,595	9,835,174	4,595	100.00 %	0.05 %
Ranlo	Unassigned	4,511	9,835,174	4,511	100.00 %	0.05 %
Raynham	Unassigned	60	9,835,174	60	100.00 %	0.00 %
Red Cross	Unassigned	762	9,835,174	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Oak	Unassigned	3,342	9,835,174	3,342	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Springs	Unassigned	3,087	9,835,174	3,087	100.00 %	0.03 %
Reidsville	Unassigned	14,583	9,835,174	14,583	100.00 %	0.15 %
Rennert	Unassigned	275	9,835,174	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rhodhiss	Unassigned	997	9,835,174	997	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richfield	Unassigned	582	9,835,174	582	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richlands	10	2,287	85,551	2,287	100.00 %	2.67 %
Rich Square	Unassigned	894	9,835,174	894	100.00 %	0.01 %
River Bend	Unassigned	2,902	9,835,174	2,902	100.00 %	0.03 %
Roanoke Rapids	Unassigned	15,229	9,835,174	15,229	100.00 %	0.15 %
Robbins	Unassigned	1,168	9,835,174	1,168	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robbinsville	Unassigned	597	9,835,174	597	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robersonville	Unassigned	1,269	9,835,174	1,269	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	9,243	9,835,174	9,243	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rockwell	Unassigned	2,302	9,835,174	2,302	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rocky Mount	Unassigned	54,341	9,835,174	54,341	100.00 %	0.55 %
Rolesville	Unassigned	9,475	9,835,174	9,475	100.00 %	0.10 %
Ronda	Unassigned	438	9,835,174	438	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roper	Unassigned	485	9,835,174	485	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roseboro	18	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rose Hill	17	1,371	83,813	1,371	100.00 %	1.64 %
Rosman	Unassigned	701	9,835,174	701	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rowland	Unassigned	885	9,835,174	885	100.00 %	0.01 %
Roxboro	Unassigned	8,134	9,835,174	8,134	100.00 %	0.08 %
Roxobel	Unassigned	187	9,835,174	187	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rural Hall	Unassigned	3,351	9,835,174	3,351	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ruth	Unassigned	347	9,835,174	347	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherford College	Unassigned	1,226	9,835,174	1,226	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rutherfordton	Unassigned	3,640	9,835,174	3,640	100.00 %	0.04 %
St. Helena	11	417	88,887	417	100.00 %	0.47 %
St. James	Unassigned	6,529	9,835,174	6,529	100.00 %	0.07 %
St. Pauls	Unassigned	2,045	9,835,174	2,045	100.00 %	0.02 %
Salemburg	18	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	Unassigned	35,540	9,835,174	35,540	100.00 %	0.36 %
Saluda	Unassigned	631	9,835,174	631	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sandy Creek	Unassigned	248	9,835,174	248	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sandyfield	Unassigned	430	9,835,174	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sanford	Unassigned	30,261	9,835,174	30,261	100.00 %	0.31 %
Saratoga	Unassigned	353	9,835,174	353	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sawmills	Unassigned	5,020	9,835,174	5,020	100.00 %	0.05 %
Scotland Neck	Unassigned	1,640	9,835,174	1,640	100.00 %	0.02 %
Seaboard	Unassigned	542	9,835,174	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Seagrove	Unassigned	235	9,835,174	235	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sedalia	Unassigned	676	9,835,174	676	100.00 %	0.01 %
Selma	Unassigned	6,317	9,835,174	6,317	100.00 %	0.06 %
Seven Devils	Unassigned	313	9,835,174	313	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Springs	12	55	83,383	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	Unassigned	191	9,835,174	191	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shallotte	Unassigned	4,185	9,835,174	4,185	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sharpsburg	Unassigned	1,697	9,835,174	1,697	100.00 %	0.02 %
Shelby	Unassigned	21,918	9,835,174	21,918	100.00 %	0.22 %
Siler City	Unassigned	7,702	9,835,174	7,702	100.00 %	0.08 %
Simpson	Unassigned	390	9,835,174	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sims	Unassigned	275	9,835,174	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Smithfield	Unassigned	11,292	9,835,174	11,292	100.00 %	0.11 %
Snow Hill	16	1,481	83,597	1,481	100.00 %	1.77 %
Southern Pines	Unassigned	15,545	9,835,174	15,545	100.00 %	0.16 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Southern Shores	Unassigned	3,090	9,835,174	3,090	100.00 %	0.03 %
Southport	Unassigned	3,971	9,835,174	3,971	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sparta	Unassigned	1,834	9,835,174	1,834	100.00 %	0.02 %
Speed	Unassigned	63	9,835,174	63	100.00 %	0.00 %
Spencer	Unassigned	3,308	9,835,174	3,308	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer Mountain	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	Unassigned	4,225	9,835,174	4,225	100.00 %	0.04 %
Spring Hope	Unassigned	1,309	9,835,174	1,309	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spring Lake	Unassigned	11,660	9,835,174	11,660	100.00 %	0.12 %
Spruce Pine	Unassigned	2,194	9,835,174	2,194	100.00 %	0.02 %
Staley	Unassigned	397	9,835,174	397	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings	Unassigned	16,112	9,835,174	16,112	100.00 %	0.16 %
Stanfield	Unassigned	1,585	9,835,174	1,585	100.00 %	0.02 %
Stanley	Unassigned	3,963	9,835,174	3,963	100.00 %	0.04 %
Stantonsburg	Unassigned	762	9,835,174	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Star	Unassigned	806	9,835,174	806	100.00 %	0.01 %
Statesville	Unassigned	28,419	9,835,174	28,419	100.00 %	0.29 %
Stedman	Unassigned	1,277	9,835,174	1,277	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stem	Unassigned	960	9,835,174	960	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stokesdale	Unassigned	5,924	9,835,174	5,924	100.00 %	0.06 %
Stoneville	Unassigned	1,308	9,835,174	1,308	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stonewall	Unassigned	214	9,835,174	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stovall	Unassigned	324	9,835,174	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sugar Mountain	Unassigned	371	9,835,174	371	100.00 %	0.00 %
Summerfield	Unassigned	10,951	9,835,174	10,951	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sunset Beach	Unassigned	4,175	9,835,174	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City	11	3,867	88,887	3,867	100.00 %	4.35 %
Swansboro	10	3,744	85,551	3,744	100.00 %	4.38 %
Swepsonville	Unassigned	2,445	9,835,174	2,445	100.00 %	0.02 %
Sylva	Unassigned	2,578	9,835,174	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tabor City	Unassigned	3,781	9,835,174	3,781	100.00 %	0.04 %
Tarboro	Unassigned	10,721	9,835,174	10,721	100.00 %	0.11 %
Tar Heel	18	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	Unassigned	2,320	9,835,174	2,320	100.00 %	0.02 %
Taylortown	Unassigned	634	9,835,174	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
Teachey	17	448	83,813	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Thomasville	Unassigned	27,183	9,835,174	27,183	100.00 %	0.28 %
Tobaccoville	Unassigned	2,578	9,835,174	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Topsail Beach	11	461	88,887	461	100.00 %	0.52 %
Trenton	17	238	83,813	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trent Woods	Unassigned	4,074	9,835,174	4,074	100.00 %	0.04 %
Trinity	Unassigned	7,006	9,835,174	7,006	100.00 %	0.07 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Troutman	Unassigned	3,698	9,835,174	3,698	100.00 %	0.04 %
Troy	Unassigned	2,850	9,835,174	2,850	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tryon	Unassigned	1,562	9,835,174	1,562	100.00 %	0.02 %
Turkey	18	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	Unassigned	6,643	9,835,174	6,643	100.00 %	0.07 %
Valdese	Unassigned	4,689	9,835,174	4,689	100.00 %	0.05 %
Vanceboro	Unassigned	869	9,835,174	869	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vandemere	Unassigned	246	9,835,174	246	100.00 %	0.00 %
Varnamtown	Unassigned	525	9,835,174	525	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vass	Unassigned	952	9,835,174	952	100.00 %	0.01 %
Waco	Unassigned	310	9,835,174	310	100.00 %	0.00 %
Wade	Unassigned	638	9,835,174	638	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wadesboro	Unassigned	5,008	9,835,174	5,008	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wagram	Unassigned	615	9,835,174	615	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wake Forest	Unassigned	47,601	9,835,174	47,601	100.00 %	0.48 %
Walkertown	Unassigned	5,692	9,835,174	5,692	100.00 %	0.06 %
Wallace	11	3,413	88,887	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	17	3,413	83,813	3,413	100.00 %	4.07 %
Wallburg	Unassigned	3,051	9,835,174	3,051	100.00 %	0.03 %
Walnut Cove	Unassigned	1,586	9,835,174	1,586	100.00 %	0.02 %
Walnut Creek	12	1,084	83,383	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	83,383	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	Unassigned	851	9,835,174	851	100.00 %	0.01 %
Warsaw	17	2,733	83,813	2,733	100.00 %	3.26 %
Washington	Unassigned	9,875	9,835,174	9,875	100.00 %	0.10 %
Washington Park	Unassigned	392	9,835,174	392	100.00 %	0.00 %
Watha	11	181	88,887	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waxhaw	Unassigned	20,534	9,835,174	20,534	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waynesville	Unassigned	10,140	9,835,174	10,140	100.00 %	0.10 %
Weaverville	Unassigned	4,567	9,835,174	4,567	100.00 %	0.05 %
Webster	Unassigned	372	9,835,174	372	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington	Unassigned	13,181	9,835,174	13,181	100.00 %	0.13 %
Weldon	Unassigned	1,444	9,835,174	1,444	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wendell	Unassigned	9,793	9,835,174	9,793	100.00 %	0.10 %
Wentworth	Unassigned	2,662	9,835,174	2,662	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wesley Chapel	Unassigned	8,681	9,835,174	8,681	100.00 %	0.09 %
West Jefferson	Unassigned	1,279	9,835,174	1,279	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whispering Pines	Unassigned	4,987	9,835,174	4,987	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitakers	Unassigned	627	9,835,174	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
White Lake	18	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	Unassigned	4,766	9,835,174	4,766	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitsett	Unassigned	584	9,835,174	584	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilkesboro	Unassigned	3,687	9,835,174	3,687	100.00 %	0.04 %
Williamston	Unassigned	5,248	9,835,174	5,248	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wilmington	Unassigned	115,451	9,835,174	115,451	100.00 %	1.17 %
Wilson	Unassigned	47,851	9,835,174	47,851	100.00 %	0.49 %
Wilson's Mills	Unassigned	2,534	9,835,174	2,534	100.00 %	0.03 %
Windsor	Unassigned	3,582	9,835,174	3,582	100.00 %	0.04 %
Winfall	Unassigned	555	9,835,174	555	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wingate	Unassigned	4,055	9,835,174	4,055	100.00 %	0.04 %
Winston-Salem	Unassigned	249,545	9,835,174	249,545	100.00 %	2.54 %
Winterville	Unassigned	10,462	9,835,174	10,462	100.00 %	0.11 %
Winton	Unassigned	629	9,835,174	629	100.00 %	0.01 %
Woodfin	Unassigned	7,936	9,835,174	7,936	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodland	Unassigned	557	9,835,174	557	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wrightsville Beach	Unassigned	2,473	9,835,174	2,473	100.00 %	0.03 %
Yadkinville	Unassigned	2,995	9,835,174	2,995	100.00 %	0.03 %
Yanceyville	Unassigned	1,937	9,835,174	1,937	100.00 %	0.02 %
Youngsville	Unassigned	2,016	9,835,174	2,016	100.00 %	0.02 %
Zebulon	Unassigned	6,903	9,835,174	6,903	100.00 %	0.07 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Number of split municipalities: 9
Display: all municipalities

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	Unassigned	8,516	9,835,174	8,516	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ahoskie	Unassigned	4,891	9,835,174	4,891	100.00 %	0.05 %
Alamance	Unassigned	988	9,835,174	988	100.00 %	0.01 %
Albemarle	Unassigned	16,432	9,835,174	16,432	100.00 %	0.17 %
Alliance	Unassigned	733	9,835,174	733	100.00 %	0.01 %
Andrews	Unassigned	1,667	9,835,174	1,667	100.00 %	0.02 %
Angier (Harnett)	Unassigned	4,709	9,835,174	4,709	100.00 %	0.05 %
Angier (Wake)	Unassigned	556	9,835,174	556	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ansonville	Unassigned	440	9,835,174	440	100.00 %	0.00 %
Apex	Unassigned	58,780	9,835,174	58,780	100.00 %	0.60 %
Arapahoe	Unassigned	416	9,835,174	416	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale (Guilford)	Unassigned	380	9,835,174	380	100.00 %	0.00 %
Archdale (Randolph)	Unassigned	11,527	9,835,174	11,527	100.00 %	0.12 %
Archer Lodge	Unassigned	4,797	9,835,174	4,797	100.00 %	0.05 %
Asheboro	Unassigned	27,156	9,835,174	27,156	100.00 %	0.28 %
Asheville	Unassigned	94,589	9,835,174	94,589	100.00 %	0.96 %
Askewville	Unassigned	184	9,835,174	184	100.00 %	0.00 %
Atkinson	11	296	88,887	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Atlantic Beach	Unassigned	1,364	9,835,174	1,364	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aulander	Unassigned	763	9,835,174	763	100.00 %	0.01 %
Aurora	Unassigned	455	9,835,174	455	100.00 %	0.00 %
Autryville	18	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	Unassigned	4,977	9,835,174	4,977	100.00 %	0.05 %
Badin	Unassigned	2,024	9,835,174	2,024	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bailey	Unassigned	568	9,835,174	568	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bakersville	Unassigned	450	9,835,174	450	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bald Head Island	Unassigned	268	9,835,174	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Banner Elk	Unassigned	1,049	9,835,174	1,049	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bath	Unassigned	245	9,835,174	245	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bayboro	Unassigned	1,161	9,835,174	1,161	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bear Grass	Unassigned	89	9,835,174	89	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beaufort	Unassigned	4,464	9,835,174	4,464	100.00 %	0.05 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	Unassigned	62	9,835,174	62	100.00 %	0.00 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	Unassigned	613	9,835,174	613	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belhaven	Unassigned	1,410	9,835,174	1,410	100.00 %	0.01 %
Belmont	Unassigned	15,010	9,835,174	15,010	100.00 %	0.15 %
Belville	Unassigned	2,406	9,835,174	2,406	100.00 %	0.02 %
Belwood	Unassigned	857	9,835,174	857	100.00 %	0.01 %
Benson (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	Unassigned	3,967	9,835,174	3,967	100.00 %	0.04 %
Bermuda Run	Unassigned	3,120	9,835,174	3,120	100.00 %	0.03 %
Bessemer City	Unassigned	5,428	9,835,174	5,428	100.00 %	0.06 %
Bethania	Unassigned	344	9,835,174	344	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bethel	Unassigned	1,373	9,835,174	1,373	100.00 %	0.01 %
Beulaville	17	1,116	83,813	1,116	100.00 %	1.33 %
Biltmore Forest	Unassigned	1,409	9,835,174	1,409	100.00 %	0.01 %
Biscoe	Unassigned	1,848	9,835,174	1,848	100.00 %	0.02 %
Black Creek	Unassigned	692	9,835,174	692	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Black Mountain	Unassigned	8,426	9,835,174	8,426	100.00 %	0.09 %
Bladenboro	18	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	Unassigned	91	9,835,174	91	100.00 %	0.00 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	Unassigned	1,285	9,835,174	1,285	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boardman	Unassigned	166	9,835,174	166	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bogue	Unassigned	695	9,835,174	695	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	Unassigned	5,943	9,835,174	5,943	100.00 %	0.06 %
Boiling Springs	Unassigned	4,615	9,835,174	4,615	100.00 %	0.05 %
Bolivia	Unassigned	149	9,835,174	149	100.00 %	0.00 %
Bolton	Unassigned	519	9,835,174	519	100.00 %	0.01 %
Boone	Unassigned	19,092	9,835,174	19,092	100.00 %	0.19 %
Boonville	Unassigned	1,185	9,835,174	1,185	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bostic	Unassigned	355	9,835,174	355	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brevard	Unassigned	7,744	9,835,174	7,744	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bridgeton	Unassigned	349	9,835,174	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	Unassigned	1,267	9,835,174	1,267	100.00 %	0.01 %
Brookford	Unassigned	442	9,835,174	442	100.00 %	0.00 %
Brunswick	Unassigned	973	9,835,174	973	100.00 %	0.01 %
Bryson City	Unassigned	1,558	9,835,174	1,558	100.00 %	0.02 %
Bunn	Unassigned	327	9,835,174	327	100.00 %	0.00 %
Burgaw	11	3,088	88,887	3,088	100.00 %	3.47 %
Burlington (Alamance)	Unassigned	55,481	9,835,174	55,481	100.00 %	0.56 %
Burlington (Guilford)	Unassigned	1,822	9,835,174	1,822	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burnsville	Unassigned	1,614	9,835,174	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Butner	Unassigned	8,397	9,835,174	8,397	100.00 %	0.09 %
Cajah's Mountain	Unassigned	2,722	9,835,174	2,722	100.00 %	0.03 %
Calabash	Unassigned	2,011	9,835,174	2,011	100.00 %	0.02 %
Calypso	17	327	83,813	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	Unassigned	244	9,835,174	244	100.00 %	0.00 %
Candor (Montgomery)	Unassigned	813	9,835,174	813	100.00 %	0.01 %
Candor (Moore)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	Unassigned	4,422	9,835,174	4,422	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cape Carteret	Unassigned	2,224	9,835,174	2,224	100.00 %	0.02 %
Carolina Beach	Unassigned	6,564	9,835,174	6,564	100.00 %	0.07 %
Carolina Shores	Unassigned	4,588	9,835,174	4,588	100.00 %	0.05 %
Carrboro	Unassigned	21,295	9,835,174	21,295	100.00 %	0.22 %
Carthage	Unassigned	2,775	9,835,174	2,775	100.00 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	Unassigned	3,709	9,835,174	3,709	100.00 %	0.04 %
Cary (Wake)	Unassigned	171,012	9,835,174	171,012	100.00 %	1.74 %
Casar	Unassigned	305	9,835,174	305	100.00 %	0.00 %
Castalia	Unassigned	264	9,835,174	264	100.00 %	0.00 %
Caswell Beach	Unassigned	395	9,835,174	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Catawba	Unassigned	702	9,835,174	702	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cedar Point	Unassigned	1,764	9,835,174	1,764	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cedar Rock	Unassigned	301	9,835,174	301	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cerro Gordo	Unassigned	131	9,835,174	131	100.00 %	0.00 %
Chadbourn	Unassigned	1,574	9,835,174	1,574	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Chapel Hill (Durham)	Unassigned	2,906	9,835,174	2,906	100.00 %	0.03 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	Unassigned	59,054	9,835,174	59,054	100.00 %	0.60 %
Charlotte	Unassigned	874,579	9,835,174	874,579	100.00 %	8.89 %
Cherryville	Unassigned	6,078	9,835,174	6,078	100.00 %	0.06 %
Chimney Rock Village	Unassigned	140	9,835,174	140	100.00 %	0.00 %
China Grove	Unassigned	4,434	9,835,174	4,434	100.00 %	0.05 %
Chocowinity	Unassigned	722	9,835,174	722	100.00 %	0.01 %
Claremont	Unassigned	1,692	9,835,174	1,692	100.00 %	0.02 %
Clarkton	18	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton (Johnston)	Unassigned	26,307	9,835,174	26,307	100.00 %	0.27 %
Clayton (Wake)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	Unassigned	21,163	9,835,174	21,163	100.00 %	0.22 %
Cleveland	Unassigned	846	9,835,174	846	100.00 %	0.01 %
Clinton	18	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	Unassigned	1,368	9,835,174	1,368	100.00 %	0.01 %
Coats	Unassigned	2,155	9,835,174	2,155	100.00 %	0.02 %
Cofield	Unassigned	267	9,835,174	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Colerain	Unassigned	217	9,835,174	217	100.00 %	0.00 %
Columbia	Unassigned	610	9,835,174	610	100.00 %	0.01 %
Columbus	Unassigned	1,060	9,835,174	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Como	Unassigned	67	9,835,174	67	100.00 %	0.00 %
Concord	Unassigned	105,240	9,835,174	105,240	100.00 %	1.07 %
Conetoe	Unassigned	198	9,835,174	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
Connelly Springs	Unassigned	1,529	9,835,174	1,529	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Conover	Unassigned	8,421	9,835,174	8,421	100.00 %	0.09 %
Conway	Unassigned	752	9,835,174	752	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cooleemee	Unassigned	940	9,835,174	940	100.00 %	0.01 %
Cornelius	Unassigned	31,412	9,835,174	31,412	100.00 %	0.32 %
Cove City	Unassigned	378	9,835,174	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Cramerton	Unassigned	5,296	9,835,174	5,296	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creedmoor	Unassigned	4,866	9,835,174	4,866	100.00 %	0.05 %
Creswell	Unassigned	207	9,835,174	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Crossnore	Unassigned	143	9,835,174	143	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dallas	Unassigned	5,927	9,835,174	5,927	100.00 %	0.06 %
Danbury	Unassigned	189	9,835,174	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson (Iredell)	Unassigned	378	9,835,174	378	100.00 %	0.00 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	14,728	9,835,174	14,728	100.00 %	0.15 %
Dellview	Unassigned	6	9,835,174	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	Unassigned	1,494	9,835,174	1,494	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dillsboro	Unassigned	213	9,835,174	213	100.00 %	0.00 %
Dobbins Heights	Unassigned	687	9,835,174	687	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dobson	Unassigned	1,462	9,835,174	1,462	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dortches	Unassigned	1,082	9,835,174	1,082	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dover	Unassigned	349	9,835,174	349	100.00 %	0.00 %
Drexel	Unassigned	1,760	9,835,174	1,760	100.00 %	0.02 %
Dublin	18	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	Unassigned	742	9,835,174	742	100.00 %	0.01 %
Dunn	Unassigned	8,446	9,835,174	8,446	100.00 %	0.09 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Durham (Durham)	Unassigned	283,093	9,835,174	283,093	100.00 %	2.88 %
Durham (Orange)	Unassigned	144	9,835,174	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Durham (Wake)	Unassigned	269	9,835,174	269	100.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	Unassigned	198	9,835,174	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Arcadia	18	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	Unassigned	634	9,835,174	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
East Laurinburg	Unassigned	234	9,835,174	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
East Spencer	Unassigned	1,567	9,835,174	1,567	100.00 %	0.02 %
Eastover	Unassigned	3,656	9,835,174	3,656	100.00 %	0.04 %
Eden	Unassigned	15,421	9,835,174	15,421	100.00 %	0.16 %
Edenton	Unassigned	4,460	9,835,174	4,460	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	Unassigned	38	9,835,174	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	Unassigned	18,593	9,835,174	18,593	100.00 %	0.19 %
Elizabethtown	18	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elk Park	Unassigned	542	9,835,174	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elkin (Surry)	Unassigned	4,049	9,835,174	4,049	100.00 %	0.04 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	Unassigned	73	9,835,174	73	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ellenboro	Unassigned	723	9,835,174	723	100.00 %	0.01 %
Ellerbe	Unassigned	864	9,835,174	864	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elm City (Nash)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	Unassigned	1,218	9,835,174	1,218	100.00 %	0.01 %
Elon	Unassigned	11,336	9,835,174	11,336	100.00 %	0.12 %
Emerald Isle	Unassigned	3,847	9,835,174	3,847	100.00 %	0.04 %
Enfield	Unassigned	1,865	9,835,174	1,865	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Erwin	Unassigned	4,542	9,835,174	4,542	100.00 %	0.05 %
Eureka	12	214	83,383	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	Unassigned	150	9,835,174	150	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fair Bluff	Unassigned	709	9,835,174	709	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fairmont	Unassigned	2,191	9,835,174	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fairview	Unassigned	3,456	9,835,174	3,456	100.00 %	0.04 %
Faison (Duplin)	17	784	83,813	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
Faison (Sampson)	18	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	Unassigned	819	9,835,174	819	100.00 %	0.01 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	Unassigned	324	9,835,174	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Falcon (Sampson)	18	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	Unassigned	47	9,835,174	47	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fallston	Unassigned	627	9,835,174	627	100.00 %	0.01 %
Farmville	Unassigned	4,461	9,835,174	4,461	100.00 %	0.05 %
Fayetteville	Unassigned	208,501	9,835,174	208,501	100.00 %	2.12 %
Flat Rock	Unassigned	3,486	9,835,174	3,486	100.00 %	0.04 %
Fletcher	Unassigned	7,987	9,835,174	7,987	100.00 %	0.08 %
Fontana Dam	Unassigned	13	9,835,174	13	100.00 %	0.00 %
Forest City	Unassigned	7,377	9,835,174	7,377	100.00 %	0.08 %
Forest Hills	Unassigned	303	9,835,174	303	100.00 %	0.00 %
Fountain	Unassigned	385	9,835,174	385	100.00 %	0.00 %
Four Oaks	Unassigned	2,158	9,835,174	2,158	100.00 %	0.02 %
Foxfire	Unassigned	1,288	9,835,174	1,288	100.00 %	0.01 %
Franklin	Unassigned	4,175	9,835,174	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Franklinton	Unassigned	2,456	9,835,174	2,456	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Franklinville	Unassigned	1,197	9,835,174	1,197	100.00 %	0.01 %
Fremont	12	1,196	83,383	1,196	100.00 %	1.43 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	Unassigned	34,152	9,835,174	34,152	100.00 %	0.35 %
Gamewell	Unassigned	3,702	9,835,174	3,702	100.00 %	0.04 %
Garland	18	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	Unassigned	31,159	9,835,174	31,159	100.00 %	0.32 %
Garysburg	Unassigned	904	9,835,174	904	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gaston	Unassigned	1,008	9,835,174	1,008	100.00 %	0.01 %
Gastonia	Unassigned	80,411	9,835,174	80,411	100.00 %	0.82 %
Gatesville	Unassigned	267	9,835,174	267	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibson	Unassigned	449	9,835,174	449	100.00 %	0.00 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	Unassigned	4,278	9,835,174	4,278	100.00 %	0.04 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	Unassigned	4,642	9,835,174	4,642	100.00 %	0.05 %
Glen Alpine	Unassigned	1,529	9,835,174	1,529	100.00 %	0.02 %
Godwin	Unassigned	128	9,835,174	128	100.00 %	0.00 %
Goldsboro	12	33,657	83,383	797	2.37 %	0.96 %
	16	33,657	83,597	32,860	97.63 %	39.31 %
Goldston	Unassigned	234	9,835,174	234	100.00 %	0.00 %
Graham	Unassigned	17,157	9,835,174	17,157	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grandfather Village	Unassigned	95	9,835,174	95	100.00 %	0.00 %
Granite Falls	Unassigned	4,965	9,835,174	4,965	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Quarry	Unassigned	2,984	9,835,174	2,984	100.00 %	0.03 %
Grantsboro	Unassigned	692	9,835,174	692	100.00 %	0.01 %
Green Level	Unassigned	3,152	9,835,174	3,152	100.00 %	0.03 %
Greenevers	17	567	83,813	567	100.00 %	0.68 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Greensboro	Unassigned	299,035	9,835,174	299,035	100.00 %	3.04 %
Greenville	Unassigned	87,521	9,835,174	87,521	100.00 %	0.89 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	83,383	147	100.00 %	0.18 %
Grifton (Pitt)	Unassigned	2,301	9,835,174	2,301	100.00 %	0.02 %
Grimesland	Unassigned	386	9,835,174	386	100.00 %	0.00 %
Grover	Unassigned	802	9,835,174	802	100.00 %	0.01 %
Halifax	Unassigned	170	9,835,174	170	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamilton	Unassigned	306	9,835,174	306	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hamlet	Unassigned	6,025	9,835,174	6,025	100.00 %	0.06 %
Harmony	Unassigned	543	9,835,174	543	100.00 %	0.01 %
Harrells (Duplin)	17	0	83,813	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	18	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	Unassigned	85	9,835,174	85	100.00 %	0.00 %
Harrisburg	Unassigned	18,967	9,835,174	18,967	100.00 %	0.19 %
Hassell	Unassigned	49	9,835,174	49	100.00 %	0.00 %
Havelock	Unassigned	16,621	9,835,174	16,621	100.00 %	0.17 %
Haw River	Unassigned	2,252	9,835,174	2,252	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hayesville	Unassigned	461	9,835,174	461	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hemby Bridge	Unassigned	1,614	9,835,174	1,614	100.00 %	0.02 %
Henderson	Unassigned	15,060	9,835,174	15,060	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hendersonville	Unassigned	15,137	9,835,174	15,137	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hertford	Unassigned	1,934	9,835,174	1,934	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hickory (Burke)	Unassigned	79	9,835,174	79	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	Unassigned	32	9,835,174	32	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hickory (Catawba)	Unassigned	43,379	9,835,174	43,379	100.00 %	0.44 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
High Point (Davidson)	Unassigned	6,646	9,835,174	6,646	100.00 %	0.07 %
High Point (Forsyth)	Unassigned	84	9,835,174	84	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Point (Guilford)	Unassigned	107,321	9,835,174	107,321	100.00 %	1.09 %
High Point (Randolph)	Unassigned	8	9,835,174	8	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Shoals	Unassigned	595	9,835,174	595	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Jackson)	Unassigned	12	9,835,174	12	100.00 %	0.00 %
Highlands (Macon)	Unassigned	1,060	9,835,174	1,060	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hildebran	Unassigned	1,679	9,835,174	1,679	100.00 %	0.02 %
Hillsborough	Unassigned	9,660	9,835,174	9,660	100.00 %	0.10 %
Hobgood	Unassigned	268	9,835,174	268	100.00 %	0.00 %
Hoffman	Unassigned	418	9,835,174	418	100.00 %	0.00 %
Holden Beach	Unassigned	921	9,835,174	921	100.00 %	0.01 %
Holly Ridge	11	4,171	88,887	4,171	100.00 %	4.69 %
Holly Springs	Unassigned	41,239	9,835,174	41,239	100.00 %	0.42 %
Hookerton	16	413	83,597	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	Unassigned	17,808	9,835,174	17,808	100.00 %	0.18 %
Hot Springs	Unassigned	520	9,835,174	520	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hudson	Unassigned	3,780	9,835,174	3,780	100.00 %	0.04 %
Huntersville	Unassigned	61,376	9,835,174	61,376	100.00 %	0.62 %
Indian Beach	Unassigned	223	9,835,174	223	100.00 %	0.00 %
Indian Trail	Unassigned	39,997	9,835,174	39,997	100.00 %	0.41 %
Jackson	Unassigned	430	9,835,174	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jacksonville	9	72,723	90,341	72,723	100.00 %	80.50 %
	10	72,723	85,551	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Jamestown	Unassigned	3,668	9,835,174	3,668	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Jamesville	Unassigned	424	9,835,174	424	100.00 %	0.00 %
Jefferson	Unassigned	1,622	9,835,174	1,622	100.00 %	0.02 %
Jonesville	Unassigned	2,308	9,835,174	2,308	100.00 %	0.02 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	42,846	9,835,174	42,846	100.00 %	0.44 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	Unassigned	10,268	9,835,174	10,268	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kelford	Unassigned	203	9,835,174	203	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kenansville	17	770	83,813	770	100.00 %	0.92 %
Kenly (Johnston)	Unassigned	1,293	9,835,174	1,293	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kenly (Wilson)	Unassigned	198	9,835,174	198	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	Unassigned	25,947	9,835,174	25,947	100.00 %	0.26 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	Unassigned	502	9,835,174	502	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kill Devil Hills	Unassigned	7,656	9,835,174	7,656	100.00 %	0.08 %
King (Forsyth)	Unassigned	591	9,835,174	591	100.00 %	0.01 %
King (Stokes)	Unassigned	6,606	9,835,174	6,606	100.00 %	0.07 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	Unassigned	10,032	9,835,174	10,032	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	Unassigned	1,110	9,835,174	1,110	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kingstown	Unassigned	656	9,835,174	656	100.00 %	0.01 %
Kinston	12	19,900	83,383	5	0.03 %	0.01 %
	16	19,900	83,597	15,254	76.65 %	18.25 %
	17	19,900	83,813	4,641	23.32 %	5.54 %
Kittrell	Unassigned	132	9,835,174	132	100.00 %	0.00 %
Kitty Hawk	Unassigned	3,689	9,835,174	3,689	100.00 %	0.04 %
Knightdale	Unassigned	19,435	9,835,174	19,435	100.00 %	0.20 %
Kure Beach	Unassigned	2,191	9,835,174	2,191	100.00 %	0.02 %
La Grange	17	2,595	83,813	2,595	100.00 %	3.10 %
Lake Lure	Unassigned	1,365	9,835,174	1,365	100.00 %	0.01 %

District plan definition file: 'HBAAmend-6.csv', modified 11/2/2021 10:47 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lake Park	Unassigned	3,269	9,835,174	3,269	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lake Santeetlah	Unassigned	38	9,835,174	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lake Waccamaw	Unassigned	1,296	9,835,174	1,296	100.00 %	0.01 %
Landis	Unassigned	3,690	9,835,174	3,690	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lansing	Unassigned	126	9,835,174	126	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lasker	Unassigned	64	9,835,174	64	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lattimore	Unassigned	406	9,835,174	406	100.00 %	0.00 %
Laurel Park	Unassigned	2,250	9,835,174	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Laurinburg	Unassigned	14,978	9,835,174	14,978	100.00 %	0.15 %
Lawndale	Unassigned	570	9,835,174	570	100.00 %	0.01 %
Leggett	Unassigned	37	9,835,174	37	100.00 %	0.00 %
Leland	Unassigned	22,908	9,835,174	22,908	100.00 %	0.23 %
Lenoir	Unassigned	18,352	9,835,174	18,352	100.00 %	0.19 %
Lewiston Woodville	Unassigned	426	9,835,174	426	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lewisville	Unassigned	13,381	9,835,174	13,381	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lexington	Unassigned	19,632	9,835,174	19,632	100.00 %	0.20 %
Liberty	Unassigned	2,655	9,835,174	2,655	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lilesville	Unassigned	395	9,835,174	395	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lillington	Unassigned	4,735	9,835,174	4,735	100.00 %	0.05 %
Lincolnton	Unassigned	11,091	9,835,174	11,091	100.00 %	0.11 %
Linden	Unassigned	136	9,835,174	136	100.00 %	0.00 %
Littleton	Unassigned	559	9,835,174	559	100.00 %	0.01 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	541	9,835,174	541	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Locust (Stanly)	Unassigned	3,996	9,835,174	3,996	100.00 %	0.04 %
Long View (Burke)	Unassigned	735	9,835,174	735	100.00 %	0.01 %
Long View (Catawba)	Unassigned	4,353	9,835,174	4,353	100.00 %	0.04 %
Louisburg	Unassigned	3,064	9,835,174	3,064	100.00 %	0.03 %
Love Valley	Unassigned	154	9,835,174	154	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lowell	Unassigned	3,654	9,835,174	3,654	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lucama	Unassigned	1,036	9,835,174	1,036	100.00 %	0.01 %
Lumber Bridge	Unassigned	82	9,835,174	82	100.00 %	0.00 %
Lumberton	Unassigned	19,025	9,835,174	19,025	100.00 %	0.19 %
Macclesfield	Unassigned	413	9,835,174	413	100.00 %	0.00 %
Macon	Unassigned	110	9,835,174	110	100.00 %	0.00 %
Madison	Unassigned	2,129	9,835,174	2,129	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maggie Valley	Unassigned	1,687	9,835,174	1,687	100.00 %	0.02 %
Magnolia	17	831	83,813	831	100.00 %	0.99 %
Maiden (Catawba)	Unassigned	3,736	9,835,174	3,736	100.00 %	0.04 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	Unassigned	1,600	9,835,174	1,600	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marietta	Unassigned	111	9,835,174	111	100.00 %	0.00 %
Marion	Unassigned	7,717	9,835,174	7,717	100.00 %	0.08 %
Mars Hill	Unassigned	2,007	9,835,174	2,007	100.00 %	0.02 %
Marshall	Unassigned	777	9,835,174	777	100.00 %	0.01 %
Marshville	Unassigned	2,522	9,835,174	2,522	100.00 %	0.03 %
Marvin	Unassigned	6,358	9,835,174	6,358	100.00 %	0.06 %
Matthews	Unassigned	29,435	9,835,174	29,435	100.00 %	0.30 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Maxton (Robeson)	Unassigned	1,902	9,835,174	1,902	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maxton (Scotland)	Unassigned	208	9,835,174	208	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mayodan	Unassigned	2,418	9,835,174	2,418	100.00 %	0.02 %
Maysville	17	818	83,813	818	100.00 %	0.98 %
McAdenville	Unassigned	890	9,835,174	890	100.00 %	0.01 %
McDonald	Unassigned	94	9,835,174	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
McFarlan	Unassigned	94	9,835,174	94	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mebane (Alamance)	Unassigned	14,626	9,835,174	14,626	100.00 %	0.15 %
Mebane (Orange)	Unassigned	3,171	9,835,174	3,171	100.00 %	0.03 %
Mesic	Unassigned	144	9,835,174	144	100.00 %	0.00 %
Micro	Unassigned	458	9,835,174	458	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middleburg	Unassigned	101	9,835,174	101	100.00 %	0.00 %
Middlesex	Unassigned	912	9,835,174	912	100.00 %	0.01 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	Unassigned	4,684	9,835,174	4,684	100.00 %	0.05 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	Unassigned	4,742	9,835,174	4,742	100.00 %	0.05 %
Mills River	Unassigned	7,078	9,835,174	7,078	100.00 %	0.07 %
Milton	Unassigned	155	9,835,174	155	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mineral Springs	Unassigned	3,159	9,835,174	3,159	100.00 %	0.03 %
Minnesott Beach	Unassigned	530	9,835,174	530	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	26,444	9,835,174	26,444	100.00 %	0.27 %
Mint Hill (Union)	Unassigned	6	9,835,174	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Misenheimer	Unassigned	650	9,835,174	650	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mocksville	Unassigned	5,900	9,835,174	5,900	100.00 %	0.06 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Momeyer	Unassigned	277	9,835,174	277	100.00 %	0.00 %
Monroe	Unassigned	34,562	9,835,174	34,562	100.00 %	0.35 %
Montreat	Unassigned	901	9,835,174	901	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mooresboro	Unassigned	293	9,835,174	293	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mooresville	Unassigned	50,193	9,835,174	50,193	100.00 %	0.51 %
Morehead City	Unassigned	9,556	9,835,174	9,556	100.00 %	0.10 %
Morganton	Unassigned	17,474	9,835,174	17,474	100.00 %	0.18 %
Morrisville (Durham)	Unassigned	207	9,835,174	207	100.00 %	0.00 %
Morrisville (Wake)	Unassigned	29,423	9,835,174	29,423	100.00 %	0.30 %
Morven	Unassigned	329	9,835,174	329	100.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Airy	Unassigned	10,676	9,835,174	10,676	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mount Gilead	Unassigned	1,171	9,835,174	1,171	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Holly	Unassigned	17,703	9,835,174	17,703	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	17	5	83,813	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	12	4,193	83,383	4,193	100.00 %	5.03 %
	16	4,193	83,597	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mount Pleasant	Unassigned	1,671	9,835,174	1,671	100.00 %	0.02 %
Murfreesboro	Unassigned	2,619	9,835,174	2,619	100.00 %	0.03 %
Murphy	Unassigned	1,608	9,835,174	1,608	100.00 %	0.02 %
Nags Head	Unassigned	3,168	9,835,174	3,168	100.00 %	0.03 %
Nashville	Unassigned	5,632	9,835,174	5,632	100.00 %	0.06 %
Navassa	Unassigned	1,367	9,835,174	1,367	100.00 %	0.01 %
New Bern	Unassigned	31,291	9,835,174	31,291	100.00 %	0.32 %
New London	Unassigned	607	9,835,174	607	100.00 %	0.01 %
Newland	Unassigned	715	9,835,174	715	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Newport	Unassigned	4,364	9,835,174	4,364	100.00 %	0.04 %
Newton	Unassigned	13,148	9,835,174	13,148	100.00 %	0.13 %
Newton Grove	18	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	Unassigned	920	9,835,174	920	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norman	Unassigned	100	9,835,174	100	100.00 %	0.00 %
North Topsail Beach	11	1,005	88,887	1,005	100.00 %	1.13 %
North Wilkesboro	Unassigned	4,382	9,835,174	4,382	100.00 %	0.04 %
Northwest	Unassigned	703	9,835,174	703	100.00 %	0.01 %
Norwood	Unassigned	2,367	9,835,174	2,367	100.00 %	0.02 %
Oak City	Unassigned	266	9,835,174	266	100.00 %	0.00 %
Oak Island	Unassigned	8,396	9,835,174	8,396	100.00 %	0.09 %
Oak Ridge	Unassigned	7,474	9,835,174	7,474	100.00 %	0.08 %
Oakboro	Unassigned	2,128	9,835,174	2,128	100.00 %	0.02 %
Ocean Isle Beach	Unassigned	867	9,835,174	867	100.00 %	0.01 %
Old Fort	Unassigned	811	9,835,174	811	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oriental	Unassigned	880	9,835,174	880	100.00 %	0.01 %
Orrum	Unassigned	59	9,835,174	59	100.00 %	0.00 %
Ossipee	Unassigned	536	9,835,174	536	100.00 %	0.01 %
Oxford	Unassigned	8,628	9,835,174	8,628	100.00 %	0.09 %
Pantego	Unassigned	164	9,835,174	164	100.00 %	0.00 %
Parkton	Unassigned	504	9,835,174	504	100.00 %	0.01 %
Parmele	Unassigned	243	9,835,174	243	100.00 %	0.00 %
Patterson Springs	Unassigned	571	9,835,174	571	100.00 %	0.01 %
Peachland	Unassigned	390	9,835,174	390	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Peletier	Unassigned	769	9,835,174	769	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pembroke	Unassigned	2,823	9,835,174	2,823	100.00 %	0.03 %
Pikeville	12	712	83,383	712	100.00 %	0.85 %
Pilot Mountain	Unassigned	1,440	9,835,174	1,440	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Knoll Shores	Unassigned	1,388	9,835,174	1,388	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pine Level	Unassigned	2,046	9,835,174	2,046	100.00 %	0.02 %
Pinebluff	Unassigned	1,473	9,835,174	1,473	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pinehurst	Unassigned	17,581	9,835,174	17,581	100.00 %	0.18 %
Pinetops	Unassigned	1,200	9,835,174	1,200	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pineville	Unassigned	10,602	9,835,174	10,602	100.00 %	0.11 %
Pink Hill	17	451	83,813	451	100.00 %	0.54 %
Pittsboro	Unassigned	4,537	9,835,174	4,537	100.00 %	0.05 %
Pleasant Garden	Unassigned	5,000	9,835,174	5,000	100.00 %	0.05 %
Plymouth	Unassigned	3,320	9,835,174	3,320	100.00 %	0.03 %
Polkton	Unassigned	2,250	9,835,174	2,250	100.00 %	0.02 %
Polkville	Unassigned	516	9,835,174	516	100.00 %	0.01 %
Pollocksville	17	268	83,813	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	Unassigned	189	9,835,174	189	100.00 %	0.00 %
Princeton	Unassigned	1,315	9,835,174	1,315	100.00 %	0.01 %
Princeville	Unassigned	1,254	9,835,174	1,254	100.00 %	0.01 %
Proctorville	Unassigned	121	9,835,174	121	100.00 %	0.00 %
Raeford	Unassigned	4,559	9,835,174	4,559	100.00 %	0.05 %
Raleigh (Durham)	Unassigned	1,559	9,835,174	1,559	100.00 %	0.02 %
Raleigh (Wake)	Unassigned	466,106	9,835,174	466,106	100.00 %	4.74 %
Ramseur	Unassigned	1,774	9,835,174	1,774	100.00 %	0.02 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Randleman	Unassigned	4,595	9,835,174	4,595	100.00 %	0.05 %
Ranlo	Unassigned	4,511	9,835,174	4,511	100.00 %	0.05 %
Raynham	Unassigned	60	9,835,174	60	100.00 %	0.00 %
Red Cross	Unassigned	762	9,835,174	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Red Oak	Unassigned	3,342	9,835,174	3,342	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	Unassigned	3,087	9,835,174	3,087	100.00 %	0.03 %
Reidsville	Unassigned	14,583	9,835,174	14,583	100.00 %	0.15 %
Rennert	Unassigned	275	9,835,174	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	Unassigned	639	9,835,174	639	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	Unassigned	358	9,835,174	358	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rich Square	Unassigned	894	9,835,174	894	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richfield	Unassigned	582	9,835,174	582	100.00 %	0.01 %
Richlands	10	2,287	85,551	2,287	100.00 %	2.67 %
River Bend	Unassigned	2,902	9,835,174	2,902	100.00 %	0.03 %
Roanoke Rapids	Unassigned	15,229	9,835,174	15,229	100.00 %	0.15 %
Robbins	Unassigned	1,168	9,835,174	1,168	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robbinsville	Unassigned	597	9,835,174	597	100.00 %	0.01 %
Robersonville	Unassigned	1,269	9,835,174	1,269	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rockingham	Unassigned	9,243	9,835,174	9,243	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rockwell	Unassigned	2,302	9,835,174	2,302	100.00 %	0.02 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	15,414	9,835,174	15,414	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	Unassigned	38,927	9,835,174	38,927	100.00 %	0.40 %
Rolesville	Unassigned	9,475	9,835,174	9,475	100.00 %	0.10 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Ronda	Unassigned	438	9,835,174	438	100.00 %	0.00 %
Roper	Unassigned	485	9,835,174	485	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rose Hill	17	1,371	83,813	1,371	100.00 %	1.64 %
Roseboro	18	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rosman	Unassigned	701	9,835,174	701	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rowland	Unassigned	885	9,835,174	885	100.00 %	0.01 %
Roxboro	Unassigned	8,134	9,835,174	8,134	100.00 %	0.08 %
Roxobel	Unassigned	187	9,835,174	187	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rural Hall	Unassigned	3,351	9,835,174	3,351	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ruth	Unassigned	347	9,835,174	347	100.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	Unassigned	1,226	9,835,174	1,226	100.00 %	0.01 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	Unassigned	3,640	9,835,174	3,640	100.00 %	0.04 %
Salemburg	18	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	Unassigned	35,540	9,835,174	35,540	100.00 %	0.36 %
Saluda (Henderson)	Unassigned	11	9,835,174	11	100.00 %	0.00 %
Saluda (Polk)	Unassigned	620	9,835,174	620	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sandy Creek	Unassigned	248	9,835,174	248	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sandyfield	Unassigned	430	9,835,174	430	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sanford	Unassigned	30,261	9,835,174	30,261	100.00 %	0.31 %
Saratoga	Unassigned	353	9,835,174	353	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sawmills	Unassigned	5,020	9,835,174	5,020	100.00 %	0.05 %
Scotland Neck	Unassigned	1,640	9,835,174	1,640	100.00 %	0.02 %
Seaboard	Unassigned	542	9,835,174	542	100.00 %	0.01 %
Seagrove	Unassigned	235	9,835,174	235	100.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sedalia	Unassigned	676	9,835,174	676	100.00 %	0.01 %
Selma	Unassigned	6,317	9,835,174	6,317	100.00 %	0.06 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	Unassigned	38	9,835,174	38	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	Unassigned	275	9,835,174	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Seven Springs	12	55	83,383	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	Unassigned	191	9,835,174	191	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shallotte	Unassigned	4,185	9,835,174	4,185	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	215	9,835,174	215	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	Unassigned	1,061	9,835,174	1,061	100.00 %	0.01 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	Unassigned	421	9,835,174	421	100.00 %	0.00 %
Shelby	Unassigned	21,918	9,835,174	21,918	100.00 %	0.22 %
Siler City	Unassigned	7,702	9,835,174	7,702	100.00 %	0.08 %
Simpson	Unassigned	390	9,835,174	390	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sims	Unassigned	275	9,835,174	275	100.00 %	0.00 %
Smithfield	Unassigned	11,292	9,835,174	11,292	100.00 %	0.11 %
Snow Hill	16	1,481	83,597	1,481	100.00 %	1.77 %
Southern Pines	Unassigned	15,545	9,835,174	15,545	100.00 %	0.16 %
Southern Shores	Unassigned	3,090	9,835,174	3,090	100.00 %	0.03 %
Southport	Unassigned	3,971	9,835,174	3,971	100.00 %	0.04 %
Sparta	Unassigned	1,834	9,835,174	1,834	100.00 %	0.02 %
Speed	Unassigned	63	9,835,174	63	100.00 %	0.00 %
Spencer	Unassigned	3,308	9,835,174	3,308	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer Mountain	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	Unassigned	4,225	9,835,174	4,225	100.00 %	0.04 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Spring Hope	Unassigned	1,309	9,835,174	1,309	100.00 %	0.01 %
Spring Lake	Unassigned	11,660	9,835,174	11,660	100.00 %	0.12 %
Spruce Pine	Unassigned	2,194	9,835,174	2,194	100.00 %	0.02 %
St. Helena	11	417	88,887	417	100.00 %	0.47 %
St. James	Unassigned	6,529	9,835,174	6,529	100.00 %	0.07 %
St. Pauls	Unassigned	2,045	9,835,174	2,045	100.00 %	0.02 %
Staley	Unassigned	397	9,835,174	397	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	384	9,835,174	384	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stallings (Union)	Unassigned	15,728	9,835,174	15,728	100.00 %	0.16 %
Stanfield	Unassigned	1,585	9,835,174	1,585	100.00 %	0.02 %
Stanley	Unassigned	3,963	9,835,174	3,963	100.00 %	0.04 %
Stantonsburg	Unassigned	762	9,835,174	762	100.00 %	0.01 %
Star	Unassigned	806	9,835,174	806	100.00 %	0.01 %
Statesville	Unassigned	28,419	9,835,174	28,419	100.00 %	0.29 %
Stedman	Unassigned	1,277	9,835,174	1,277	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stem	Unassigned	960	9,835,174	960	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stokesdale	Unassigned	5,924	9,835,174	5,924	100.00 %	0.06 %
Stoneville	Unassigned	1,308	9,835,174	1,308	100.00 %	0.01 %
Stonewall	Unassigned	214	9,835,174	214	100.00 %	0.00 %
Stovall	Unassigned	324	9,835,174	324	100.00 %	0.00 %
Sugar Mountain	Unassigned	371	9,835,174	371	100.00 %	0.00 %
Summerfield	Unassigned	10,951	9,835,174	10,951	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sunset Beach	Unassigned	4,175	9,835,174	4,175	100.00 %	0.04 %
Surf City (Onslow)	11	334	88,887	334	100.00 %	0.38 %
Surf City (Pender)	11	3,533	88,887	3,533	100.00 %	3.97 %

District plan definition file: 'HBAAmend-6.csv', modified 11/2/2021 10:47 AM
Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.
Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.
[G20-MbCD] - Generated 11/2/2021

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Swansboro	10	3,744	85,551	3,744	100.00 %	4.38 %
Swepsonville	Unassigned	2,445	9,835,174	2,445	100.00 %	0.02 %
Sylva	Unassigned	2,578	9,835,174	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tabor City	Unassigned	3,781	9,835,174	3,781	100.00 %	0.04 %
Tar Heel	18	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tarboro	Unassigned	10,721	9,835,174	10,721	100.00 %	0.11 %
Taylorsville	Unassigned	2,320	9,835,174	2,320	100.00 %	0.02 %
Taylortown	Unassigned	634	9,835,174	634	100.00 %	0.01 %
Teachey	17	448	83,813	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	Unassigned	26,662	9,835,174	26,662	100.00 %	0.27 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	Unassigned	521	9,835,174	521	100.00 %	0.01 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	Unassigned	2,578	9,835,174	2,578	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	11	461	88,887	461	100.00 %	0.52 %
Trent Woods	Unassigned	4,074	9,835,174	4,074	100.00 %	0.04 %
Trenton	17	238	83,813	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trinity	Unassigned	7,006	9,835,174	7,006	100.00 %	0.07 %
Troutman	Unassigned	3,698	9,835,174	3,698	100.00 %	0.04 %
Troy	Unassigned	2,850	9,835,174	2,850	100.00 %	0.03 %
Tryon	Unassigned	1,562	9,835,174	1,562	100.00 %	0.02 %
Turkey	18	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	Unassigned	6,643	9,835,174	6,643	100.00 %	0.07 %
Valdese	Unassigned	4,689	9,835,174	4,689	100.00 %	0.05 %
Vanceboro	Unassigned	869	9,835,174	869	100.00 %	0.01 %
Vandemere	Unassigned	246	9,835,174	246	100.00 %	0.00 %
Varnamtown	Unassigned	525	9,835,174	525	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Vass	Unassigned	952	9,835,174	952	100.00 %	0.01 %
Waco	Unassigned	310	9,835,174	310	100.00 %	0.00 %
Wade	Unassigned	638	9,835,174	638	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wadesboro	Unassigned	5,008	9,835,174	5,008	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wagram	Unassigned	615	9,835,174	615	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	Unassigned	1,504	9,835,174	1,504	100.00 %	0.02 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	Unassigned	46,097	9,835,174	46,097	100.00 %	0.47 %
Walkertown	Unassigned	5,692	9,835,174	5,692	100.00 %	0.06 %
Wallace (Duplin)	17	3,413	83,813	3,413	100.00 %	4.07 %
Wallace (Pender)	11	0	88,887	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	Unassigned	3,051	9,835,174	3,051	100.00 %	0.03 %
Walnut Cove	Unassigned	1,586	9,835,174	1,586	100.00 %	0.02 %
Walnut Creek	12	1,084	83,383	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	83,383	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	Unassigned	851	9,835,174	851	100.00 %	0.01 %
Warsaw	17	2,733	83,813	2,733	100.00 %	3.26 %
Washington	Unassigned	9,875	9,835,174	9,875	100.00 %	0.10 %
Washington Park	Unassigned	392	9,835,174	392	100.00 %	0.00 %
Watha	11	181	88,887	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
Waxhaw	Unassigned	20,534	9,835,174	20,534	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waynesville	Unassigned	10,140	9,835,174	10,140	100.00 %	0.10 %
Weaverville	Unassigned	4,567	9,835,174	4,567	100.00 %	0.05 %
Webster	Unassigned	372	9,835,174	372	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	Unassigned	5	9,835,174	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Union)	Unassigned	13,176	9,835,174	13,176	100.00 %	0.13 %
Weldon	Unassigned	1,444	9,835,174	1,444	100.00 %	0.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wendell	Unassigned	9,793	9,835,174	9,793	100.00 %	0.10 %
Wentworth	Unassigned	2,662	9,835,174	2,662	100.00 %	0.03 %
Wesley Chapel	Unassigned	8,681	9,835,174	8,681	100.00 %	0.09 %
West Jefferson	Unassigned	1,279	9,835,174	1,279	100.00 %	0.01 %
Whispering Pines	Unassigned	4,987	9,835,174	4,987	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	Unassigned	290	9,835,174	290	100.00 %	0.00 %
Whitakers (Nash)	Unassigned	337	9,835,174	337	100.00 %	0.00 %
White Lake	18	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	Unassigned	4,766	9,835,174	4,766	100.00 %	0.05 %
Whitsett	Unassigned	584	9,835,174	584	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wilkesboro	Unassigned	3,687	9,835,174	3,687	100.00 %	0.04 %
Williamston	Unassigned	5,248	9,835,174	5,248	100.00 %	0.05 %
Wilmington	Unassigned	115,451	9,835,174	115,451	100.00 %	1.17 %
Wilson	Unassigned	47,851	9,835,174	47,851	100.00 %	0.49 %
Wilson's Mills	Unassigned	2,534	9,835,174	2,534	100.00 %	0.03 %
Windsor	Unassigned	3,582	9,835,174	3,582	100.00 %	0.04 %
Winfall	Unassigned	555	9,835,174	555	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wingate	Unassigned	4,055	9,835,174	4,055	100.00 %	0.04 %
Winston-Salem	Unassigned	249,545	9,835,174	249,545	100.00 %	2.54 %
Winterville	Unassigned	10,462	9,835,174	10,462	100.00 %	0.11 %
Winton	Unassigned	629	9,835,174	629	100.00 %	0.01 %
Woodfin	Unassigned	7,936	9,835,174	7,936	100.00 %	0.08 %
Woodland	Unassigned	557	9,835,174	557	100.00 %	0.01 %
Wrightsville Beach	Unassigned	2,473	9,835,174	2,473	100.00 %	0.03 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Yadkinville	Unassigned	2,995	9,835,174	2,995	100.00 %	0.03 %
Yanceyville	Unassigned	1,937	9,835,174	1,937	100.00 %	0.02 %
Youngsville	Unassigned	2,016	9,835,174	2,016	100.00 %	0.02 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	Unassigned	0	9,835,174	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	Unassigned	6,903	9,835,174	6,903	100.00 %	0.07 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Number of municipalities split within counties: 4
Display: all municipalities

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
9	Jacksonville	90,341	72,723	72,723	80.50 %	100.00 %
10	Jacksonville	85,551	72,723	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Richlands	85,551	2,287	2,287	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	85,551	3,744	3,744	4.38 %	100.00 %
11	Atkinson	88,887	296	296	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	88,887	3,088	3,088	3.47 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	88,887	4,171	4,171	4.69 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	88,887	1,005	1,005	1.13 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	88,887	417	417	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	88,887	334	334	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	88,887	3,533	3,533	3.97 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	88,887	461	461	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	88,887	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	88,887	181	181	0.20 %	100.00 %
12	Eureka	83,383	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	83,383	1,196	1,196	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	83,383	33,657	797	0.96 %	2.37 %
	Grifton (Lenoir)	83,383	147	147	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	83,383	19,900	5	0.01 %	0.03 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	83,383	4,193	4,193	5.03 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	83,383	712	712	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	83,383	55	55	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	83,383	1,084	1,084	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	83,383	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
16	Goldsboro	83,597	33,657	32,860	39.31 %	97.63 %
	Hookerton	83,597	413	413	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	83,597	19,900	15,254	18.25 %	76.65 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	83,597	4,193	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Snow Hill	83,597	1,481	1,481	1.77 %	100.00 %
17	Beulaville	83,813	1,116	1,116	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	83,813	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	83,813	784	784	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	83,813	567	567	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	83,813	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	83,813	770	770	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	83,813	19,900	4,641	5.54 %	23.32 %
	La Grange	83,813	2,595	2,595	3.10 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	83,813	831	831	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	83,813	818	818	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	83,813	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	83,813	451	451	0.54 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
17	Pollocksville	83,813	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	83,813	1,371	1,371	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	83,813	448	448	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	83,813	238	238	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	83,813	3,413	3,413	4.07 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	83,813	2,733	2,733	3.26 %	100.00 %
18	Autryville	88,642	167	167	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	88,642	1,648	1,648	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	88,642	614	614	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	88,642	8,383	8,383	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	88,642	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	88,642	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	88,642	3,296	3,296	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	88,642	595	595	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	88,642	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	88,642	585	585	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	88,642	1,163	1,163	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	88,642	457	457	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	88,642	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	88,642	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	88,642	843	843	0.95 %	100.00 %
Unassigned	Aberdeen	9,835,174	8,516	8,516	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Ahoskie	9,835,174	4,891	4,891	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Alamance	9,835,174	988	988	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Albemarle	9,835,174	16,432	16,432	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Alliance	9,835,174	733	733	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Andrews	9,835,174	1,667	1,667	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Angier (Harnett)	9,835,174	4,709	4,709	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Angier (Wake)	9,835,174	556	556	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ansonville	9,835,174	440	440	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Apex	9,835,174	58,780	58,780	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	9,835,174	416	416	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Guilford)	9,835,174	380	380	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	9,835,174	11,527	11,527	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Archer Lodge	9,835,174	4,797	4,797	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	9,835,174	27,156	27,156	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Asheville	9,835,174	94,589	94,589	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Askewville	9,835,174	184	184	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Atlantic Beach	9,835,174	1,364	1,364	0.01 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Aulander	9,835,174	763	763	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	9,835,174	455	455	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Ayden	9,835,174	4,977	4,977	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Badin	9,835,174	2,024	2,024	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bailey	9,835,174	568	568	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bakersville	9,835,174	450	450	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bald Head Island	9,835,174	268	268	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	9,835,174	1,049	1,049	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bath	9,835,174	245	245	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	9,835,174	1,161	1,161	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	9,835,174	89	89	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,835,174	4,464	4,464	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	9,835,174	62	62	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	9,835,174	613	613	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	9,835,174	1,410	1,410	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Belmont	9,835,174	15,010	15,010	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Belville	9,835,174	2,406	2,406	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Belwood	9,835,174	857	857	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Benson (Johnston)	9,835,174	3,967	3,967	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Bermuda Run	9,835,174	3,120	3,120	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	9,835,174	5,428	5,428	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Bethania	9,835,174	344	344	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bethel	9,835,174	1,373	1,373	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Biltmore Forest	9,835,174	1,409	1,409	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	9,835,174	1,848	1,848	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Black Creek	9,835,174	692	692	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Black Mountain	9,835,174	8,426	8,426	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	9,835,174	91	91	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	9,835,174	1,285	1,285	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Boardman	9,835,174	166	166	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	9,835,174	695	695	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	9,835,174	5,943	5,943	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Springs	9,835,174	4,615	4,615	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	9,835,174	149	149	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	9,835,174	519	519	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Boone	9,835,174	19,092	19,092	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	9,835,174	1,185	1,185	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	9,835,174	355	355	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Brevard	9,835,174	7,744	7,744	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	9,835,174	349	349	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Broadway (Harnett)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Lee)	9,835,174	1,267	1,267	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Brookford	9,835,174	442	442	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,835,174	973	973	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	9,835,174	1,558	1,558	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Bunn	9,835,174	327	327	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	9,835,174	55,481	55,481	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Guilford)	9,835,174	1,822	1,822	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	9,835,174	1,614	1,614	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Butner	9,835,174	8,397	8,397	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	9,835,174	2,722	2,722	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	9,835,174	2,011	2,011	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	9,835,174	244	244	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	9,835,174	813	813	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Canton	9,835,174	4,422	4,422	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	9,835,174	2,224	2,224	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	9,835,174	6,564	6,564	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	9,835,174	4,588	4,588	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Carrboro	9,835,174	21,295	21,295	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	9,835,174	2,775	2,775	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Chatham)	9,835,174	3,709	3,709	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	9,835,174	171,012	171,012	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Casar	9,835,174	305	305	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	9,835,174	264	264	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	9,835,174	395	395	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,835,174	702	702	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	9,835,174	1,764	1,764	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	9,835,174	301	301	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	9,835,174	131	131	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	9,835,174	1,574	1,574	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	9,835,174	2,906	2,906	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	9,835,174	59,054	59,054	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Charlotte	9,835,174	874,579	874,579	8.89 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	9,835,174	6,078	6,078	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	9,835,174	140	140	0.00 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	9,835,174	4,434	4,434	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	9,835,174	722	722	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	9,835,174	1,692	1,692	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	9,835,174	26,307	26,307	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Wake)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Clemmons	9,835,174	21,163	21,163	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,835,174	846	846	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	9,835,174	1,368	1,368	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Coats	9,835,174	2,155	2,155	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	9,835,174	267	267	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	9,835,174	217	217	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	9,835,174	610	610	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,835,174	1,060	1,060	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Como	9,835,174	67	67	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Concord	9,835,174	105,240	105,240	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	9,835,174	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Connelly Springs	9,835,174	1,529	1,529	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Conover	9,835,174	8,421	8,421	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Conway	9,835,174	752	752	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	9,835,174	940	940	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Cornelius	9,835,174	31,412	31,412	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	9,835,174	378	378	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	9,835,174	5,296	5,296	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	9,835,174	4,866	4,866	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	9,835,174	207	207	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	9,835,174	143	143	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	9,835,174	5,927	5,927	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	9,835,174	189	189	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Iredell)	9,835,174	378	378	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	9,835,174	14,728	14,728	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	9,835,174	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Denton	9,835,174	1,494	1,494	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	9,835,174	213	213	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Dobbins Heights	9,835,174	687	687	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	9,835,174	1,462	1,462	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	9,835,174	1,082	1,082	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dover	9,835,174	349	349	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	9,835,174	1,760	1,760	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Duck	9,835,174	742	742	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	9,835,174	8,446	8,446	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	9,835,174	283,093	283,093	2.88 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Orange)	9,835,174	144	144	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	9,835,174	269	269	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Earl	9,835,174	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	9,835,174	634	634	0.01 %	100.00 %
	East Laurinburg	9,835,174	234	234	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	East Spencer	9,835,174	1,567	1,567	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Eastover	9,835,174	3,656	3,656	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Eden	9,835,174	15,421	15,421	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	9,835,174	4,460	4,460	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	9,835,174	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	9,835,174	18,593	18,593	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	9,835,174	542	542	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	9,835,174	4,049	4,049	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	9,835,174	73	73	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	9,835,174	723	723	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	9,835,174	864	864	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	9,835,174	1,218	1,218	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Elon	9,835,174	11,336	11,336	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	9,835,174	3,847	3,847	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	9,835,174	1,865	1,865	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	9,835,174	4,542	4,542	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	9,835,174	150	150	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	9,835,174	709	709	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	9,835,174	2,191	2,191	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Fairview	9,835,174	3,456	3,456	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Faith	9,835,174	819	819	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	9,835,174	324	324	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	9,835,174	47	47	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	9,835,174	627	627	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	9,835,174	4,461	4,461	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	9,835,174	208,501	208,501	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	9,835,174	3,486	3,486	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Fletcher	9,835,174	7,987	7,987	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	9,835,174	13	13	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	9,835,174	7,377	7,377	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	9,835,174	303	303	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	9,835,174	385	385	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	9,835,174	2,158	2,158	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	9,835,174	1,288	1,288	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,835,174	4,175	4,175	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	9,835,174	2,456	2,456	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	9,835,174	1,197	1,197	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	9,835,174	34,152	34,152	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	9,835,174	3,702	3,702	0.04 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Garner	9,835,174	31,159	31,159	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	9,835,174	904	904	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,835,174	1,008	1,008	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	9,835,174	80,411	80,411	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	9,835,174	267	267	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	9,835,174	449	449	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	9,835,174	4,278	4,278	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	9,835,174	4,642	4,642	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	9,835,174	1,529	1,529	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Godwin	9,835,174	128	128	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	9,835,174	234	234	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,835,174	17,157	17,157	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	9,835,174	95	95	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	9,835,174	4,965	4,965	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	9,835,174	2,984	2,984	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	9,835,174	692	692	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	9,835,174	3,152	3,152	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	9,835,174	299,035	299,035	3.04 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	9,835,174	87,521	87,521	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	9,835,174	2,301	2,301	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Grimesland	9,835,174	386	386	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Grover	9,835,174	802	802	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,835,174	170	170	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	9,835,174	306	306	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	9,835,174	6,025	6,025	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Harmony	9,835,174	543	543	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	9,835,174	85	85	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Harrisburg	9,835,174	18,967	18,967	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	9,835,174	49	49	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	9,835,174	16,621	16,621	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	9,835,174	2,252	2,252	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	9,835,174	461	461	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	9,835,174	1,614	1,614	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,835,174	15,060	15,060	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	9,835,174	15,137	15,137	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,835,174	1,934	1,934	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	9,835,174	79	79	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	9,835,174	32	32	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	9,835,174	43,379	43,379	0.44 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	9,835,174	6,646	6,646	0.07 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Forsyth)	9,835,174	84	84	0.00 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	High Point (Guilford)	9,835,174	107,321	107,321	1.09 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Randolph)	9,835,174	8	8	0.00 %	100.00 %
	High Shoals	9,835,174	595	595	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	9,835,174	12	12	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	9,835,174	1,060	1,060	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	9,835,174	1,679	1,679	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	9,835,174	9,660	9,660	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	9,835,174	268	268	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	9,835,174	418	418	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	9,835,174	921	921	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Holly Springs	9,835,174	41,239	41,239	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	9,835,174	17,808	17,808	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	9,835,174	520	520	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	9,835,174	3,780	3,780	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	9,835,174	61,376	61,376	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	9,835,174	223	223	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	9,835,174	39,997	39,997	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,835,174	430	430	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	9,835,174	3,668	3,668	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	9,835,174	424	424	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Jefferson	9,835,174	1,622	1,622	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	9,835,174	2,308	2,308	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	9,835,174	42,846	42,846	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	9,835,174	10,268	10,268	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	9,835,174	203	203	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	9,835,174	1,293	1,293	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	9,835,174	198	198	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	9,835,174	25,947	25,947	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	9,835,174	502	502	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	9,835,174	7,656	7,656	0.08 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	9,835,174	591	591	0.01 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	9,835,174	6,606	6,606	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	9,835,174	10,032	10,032	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	9,835,174	1,110	1,110	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	9,835,174	656	656	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	9,835,174	132	132	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	9,835,174	3,689	3,689	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Knightdale	9,835,174	19,435	19,435	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	9,835,174	2,191	2,191	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	9,835,174	1,365	1,365	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lake Park	9,835,174	3,269	3,269	0.03 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Lake Santeetlah	9,835,174	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	9,835,174	1,296	1,296	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Landis	9,835,174	3,690	3,690	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	9,835,174	126	126	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	9,835,174	64	64	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	9,835,174	406	406	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	9,835,174	2,250	2,250	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	9,835,174	14,978	14,978	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	9,835,174	570	570	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	9,835,174	37	37	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Leland	9,835,174	22,908	22,908	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,835,174	18,352	18,352	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	9,835,174	426	426	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	9,835,174	13,381	13,381	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	9,835,174	19,632	19,632	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	9,835,174	2,655	2,655	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	9,835,174	395	395	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lillington	9,835,174	4,735	4,735	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Lincolnton	9,835,174	11,091	11,091	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Linden	9,835,174	136	136	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	9,835,174	559	559	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	9,835,174	541	541	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	9,835,174	3,996	3,996	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	9,835,174	735	735	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	9,835,174	4,353	4,353	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	9,835,174	3,064	3,064	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	9,835,174	154	154	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lowell	9,835,174	3,654	3,654	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	9,835,174	1,036	1,036	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	9,835,174	82	82	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	9,835,174	19,025	19,025	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	9,835,174	413	413	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,835,174	110	110	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,835,174	2,129	2,129	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	9,835,174	1,687	1,687	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	9,835,174	3,736	3,736	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Manteo	9,835,174	1,600	1,600	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Marietta	9,835,174	111	111	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Marion	9,835,174	7,717	7,717	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	9,835,174	2,007	2,007	0.02 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Marshall	9,835,174	777	777	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	9,835,174	2,522	2,522	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Marvin	9,835,174	6,358	6,358	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Matthews	9,835,174	29,435	29,435	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	9,835,174	1,902	1,902	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	9,835,174	208	208	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	9,835,174	2,418	2,418	0.02 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	9,835,174	890	890	0.01 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	9,835,174	94	94	0.00 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	9,835,174	94	94	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	9,835,174	14,626	14,626	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	9,835,174	3,171	3,171	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	9,835,174	144	144	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Micro	9,835,174	458	458	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	9,835,174	101	101	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	9,835,174	912	912	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	9,835,174	4,684	4,684	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	9,835,174	4,742	4,742	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	9,835,174	7,078	7,078	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Milton	9,835,174	155	155	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	9,835,174	3,159	3,159	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	9,835,174	530	530	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	9,835,174	26,444	26,444	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	9,835,174	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	9,835,174	650	650	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	9,835,174	5,900	5,900	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	9,835,174	277	277	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	9,835,174	34,562	34,562	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	9,835,174	901	901	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mooresboro	9,835,174	293	293	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	9,835,174	50,193	50,193	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	9,835,174	9,556	9,556	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Morganton	9,835,174	17,474	17,474	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	9,835,174	207	207	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	9,835,174	29,423	29,423	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Morven	9,835,174	329	329	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	9,835,174	10,676	10,676	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	9,835,174	1,171	1,171	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	9,835,174	17,703	17,703	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	9,835,174	1,671	1,671	0.02 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Murfreesboro	9,835,174	2,619	2,619	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	9,835,174	1,608	1,608	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	9,835,174	3,168	3,168	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	9,835,174	5,632	5,632	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	9,835,174	1,367	1,367	0.01 %	100.00 %
	New Bern	9,835,174	31,291	31,291	0.32 %	100.00 %
	New London	9,835,174	607	607	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Newland	9,835,174	715	715	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Newport	9,835,174	4,364	4,364	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Newton	9,835,174	13,148	13,148	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	9,835,174	920	920	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norman	9,835,174	100	100	0.00 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	9,835,174	4,382	4,382	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	9,835,174	703	703	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	9,835,174	2,367	2,367	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	9,835,174	266	266	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	9,835,174	8,396	8,396	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	9,835,174	7,474	7,474	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	9,835,174	2,128	2,128	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	9,835,174	867	867	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	9,835,174	811	811	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	9,835,174	880	880	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	9,835,174	59	59	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Ossipee	9,835,174	536	536	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	9,835,174	8,628	8,628	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	9,835,174	164	164	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	9,835,174	504	504	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	9,835,174	243	243	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	9,835,174	571	571	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	9,835,174	390	390	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	9,835,174	769	769	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	9,835,174	2,823	2,823	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	9,835,174	1,440	1,440	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	9,835,174	1,388	1,388	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	9,835,174	2,046	2,046	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	9,835,174	1,473	1,473	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	9,835,174	17,581	17,581	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	9,835,174	1,200	1,200	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Pineville	9,835,174	10,602	10,602	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	9,835,174	4,537	4,537	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	9,835,174	5,000	5,000	0.05 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Plymouth	9,835,174	3,320	3,320	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	9,835,174	2,250	2,250	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	9,835,174	516	516	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	9,835,174	189	189	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	9,835,174	1,315	1,315	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	9,835,174	1,254	1,254	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	9,835,174	121	121	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	9,835,174	4,559	4,559	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	9,835,174	1,559	1,559	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	9,835,174	466,106	466,106	4.74 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	9,835,174	1,774	1,774	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	9,835,174	4,595	4,595	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	9,835,174	4,511	4,511	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	9,835,174	60	60	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	9,835,174	762	762	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	9,835,174	3,342	3,342	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	9,835,174	3,087	3,087	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	9,835,174	14,583	14,583	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	9,835,174	275	275	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	9,835,174	639	639	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	9,835,174	358	358	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	9,835,174	894	894	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	9,835,174	582	582	0.01 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	9,835,174	2,902	2,902	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	9,835,174	15,229	15,229	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	9,835,174	1,168	1,168	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	9,835,174	597	597	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	9,835,174	1,269	1,269	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,835,174	9,243	9,243	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	9,835,174	2,302	2,302	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	9,835,174	15,414	15,414	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	9,835,174	38,927	38,927	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Rolesville	9,835,174	9,475	9,475	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	9,835,174	438	438	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Roper	9,835,174	485	485	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	9,835,174	701	701	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	9,835,174	885	885	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Roxboro	9,835,174	8,134	8,134	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	9,835,174	187	187	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	9,835,174	3,351	3,351	0.03 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Ruth	9,835,174	347	347	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	9,835,174	1,226	1,226	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Rutherfordton	9,835,174	3,640	3,640	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	9,835,174	35,540	35,540	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	9,835,174	11	11	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	9,835,174	620	620	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	9,835,174	248	248	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	9,835,174	430	430	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	9,835,174	30,261	30,261	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	9,835,174	353	353	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sawmills	9,835,174	5,020	5,020	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	9,835,174	1,640	1,640	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	9,835,174	542	542	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	9,835,174	235	235	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	9,835,174	676	676	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Selma	9,835,174	6,317	6,317	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	9,835,174	38	38	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	9,835,174	275	275	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Severn	9,835,174	191	191	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	9,835,174	4,185	4,185	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	9,835,174	215	215	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	9,835,174	1,061	1,061	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	9,835,174	421	421	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	9,835,174	21,918	21,918	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	9,835,174	7,702	7,702	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	9,835,174	390	390	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sims	9,835,174	275	275	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	9,835,174	11,292	11,292	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	9,835,174	15,545	15,545	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	9,835,174	3,090	3,090	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Southport	9,835,174	3,971	3,971	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	9,835,174	1,834	1,834	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Speed	9,835,174	63	63	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	9,835,174	3,308	3,308	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Spindale	9,835,174	4,225	4,225	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	9,835,174	1,309	1,309	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Spring Lake	9,835,174	11,660	11,660	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	9,835,174	2,194	2,194	0.02 %	100.00 %
	St. James	9,835,174	6,529	6,529	0.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	St. Pauls	9,835,174	2,045	2,045	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Staley	9,835,174	397	397	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	9,835,174	384	384	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Union)	9,835,174	15,728	15,728	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	9,835,174	1,585	1,585	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Stanley	9,835,174	3,963	3,963	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	9,835,174	762	762	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Star	9,835,174	806	806	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	9,835,174	28,419	28,419	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	9,835,174	1,277	1,277	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stem	9,835,174	960	960	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stokesdale	9,835,174	5,924	5,924	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	9,835,174	1,308	1,308	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	9,835,174	214	214	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	9,835,174	324	324	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	9,835,174	371	371	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	9,835,174	10,951	10,951	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	9,835,174	4,175	4,175	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	9,835,174	2,445	2,445	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	9,835,174	2,578	2,578	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	9,835,174	3,781	3,781	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	9,835,174	10,721	10,721	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	9,835,174	2,320	2,320	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	9,835,174	634	634	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	9,835,174	26,662	26,662	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	9,835,174	521	521	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	9,835,174	2,578	2,578	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Trent Woods	9,835,174	4,074	4,074	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	9,835,174	7,006	7,006	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Troutman	9,835,174	3,698	3,698	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Troy	9,835,174	2,850	2,850	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	9,835,174	1,562	1,562	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	9,835,174	6,643	6,643	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	9,835,174	4,689	4,689	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	9,835,174	869	869	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	9,835,174	246	246	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	9,835,174	525	525	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Vass	9,835,174	952	952	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Waco	9,835,174	310	310	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Wade	9,835,174	638	638	0.01 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Wadesboro	9,835,174	5,008	5,008	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Wagram	9,835,174	615	615	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	9,835,174	1,504	1,504	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	9,835,174	46,097	46,097	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	9,835,174	5,692	5,692	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	9,835,174	3,051	3,051	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Cove	9,835,174	1,586	1,586	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	9,835,174	851	851	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,835,174	9,875	9,875	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	9,835,174	392	392	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	9,835,174	20,534	20,534	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	9,835,174	10,140	10,140	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Weaverville	9,835,174	4,567	4,567	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Webster	9,835,174	372	372	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	9,835,174	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	9,835,174	13,176	13,176	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	9,835,174	1,444	1,444	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wendell	9,835,174	9,793	9,793	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	9,835,174	2,662	2,662	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Wesley Chapel	9,835,174	8,681	8,681	0.09 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	9,835,174	1,279	1,279	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Whispering Pines	9,835,174	4,987	4,987	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	9,835,174	290	290	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	9,835,174	337	337	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	9,835,174	4,766	4,766	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	9,835,174	584	584	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	9,835,174	3,687	3,687	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	9,835,174	5,248	5,248	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	9,835,174	115,451	115,451	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,835,174	47,851	47,851	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	9,835,174	2,534	2,534	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	9,835,174	3,582	3,582	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	9,835,174	555	555	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wingate	9,835,174	4,055	4,055	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	9,835,174	249,545	249,545	2.54 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	9,835,174	10,462	10,462	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Winton	9,835,174	629	629	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	9,835,174	7,936	7,936	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Woodland	9,835,174	557	557	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Wrightsville Beach	9,835,174	2,473	2,473	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	9,835,174	2,995	2,995	0.03 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
Unassigned	Yanceyville	9,835,174	1,937	1,937	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	9,835,174	2,016	2,016	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	9,835,174	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	9,835,174	6,903	6,903	0.07 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
9	Onslow	6	0
10	Onslow	13	0
11	Onslow	5	0
	Pender	20	0
12	Greene	5	0
	Lenoir	4	0
	Wayne	13	0
16	Greene	5	0
	Lenoir	10	0
	Wayne	15	0
17	Duplin	19	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	8	0
18	Bladen	17	0
	Sampson	23	0
Unassigned	Alamance	37	0
	Alexander	10	0
	Alleghany	4	0
	Anson	9	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Avery	19	0
	Beaufort	21	0
	Bertie	12	0
	Brunswick	25	0
	Buncombe	79	0
	Burke	33	0
	Cabarrus	40	0
	Caldwell	20	0
	Camden	3	0
	Carteret	28	0
	Caswell	9	0
	Catawba	40	0
	Chatham	18	0
	Cherokee	16	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Clay	9	0
	Cleveland	21	0
	Columbus	26	0
	Craven	21	0
	Cumberland	76	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	16	0
	Davidson	43	0

District plan definition file: 'HBAAmend-6.csv', modified 11/2/2021 10:47 AM
Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs
[G20-VTD-SbD] - Generated 11/2/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Unassigned	Davie	14	0
	Durham	57	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Forsyth	101	0
	Franklin	18	0
	Gaston	46	0
	Gates	6	0
	Graham	4	0
	Granville	15	0
	Guilford	165	0
	Halifax	23	0
	Harnett	13	0
	Haywood	29	0
	Henderson	34	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Hoke	15	0
	Hyde	7	0
	Iredell	29	0
	Jackson	13	0
	Johnston	36	0
	Lee	10	0
	Lincoln	23	0
	Macon	15	0
	Madison	12	0
	Martin	13	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Mecklenburg	195	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Montgomery	14	0
	Moore	26	0
	Nash	24	0
	New Hanover	43	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Orange	41	0
	Pamlico	10	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Person	11	0
	Pitt	40	0
	Polk	7	0
	Randolph	22	0
	Richmond	16	0
	Robeson	39	0

District plan definition file: 'HBAAmend-6.csv', modified 11/2/2021 10:47 AM
Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs
[G20-VTD-SbD] - Generated 11/2/2021

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Unassigned	Rockingham	15	0
	Rowan	41	0
	Rutherford	17	0
	Scotland	7	0
	Stanly	22	0
	Stokes	18	0
	Surry	24	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Union	52	0
	Vance	12	0
	Wake	204	0
	Warren	14	0
	Washington	6	0
	Watauga	20	0
	Wilkes	27	0
	Wilson	24	0
	Yadkin	12	0
	Yancey	11	0
	Total:	**2,666**	**0**

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Total:		

Number of split VTDs: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Bell	John	Republican	10	12
Brisson	William	Republican	22	18
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	9
Dixon	James	Republican	4	17
Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12	17
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	10
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	11
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	16

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBAAmend-6

Residence Set: NC House - 10/01/2021

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
9	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
10	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
11	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
12	Bell	John	Republican	10
16	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21
17	Dixon	James	Republican	4
	Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12
18	Brisson	William	Republican	22