

# **Exhibit 1**

**From:** Bonnie McNeil (Sen. Dan Blue) <[Bonnie.McNeil@ncleg.gov](mailto:Bonnie.McNeil@ncleg.gov)> on behalf of Sen. Dan Blue <[Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov](mailto:Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 17, 2022 12:27:13 PM  
**To:** Sen. Paul Newton <[Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov](mailto:Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov)>  
**Cc:** Brian Fork (President Pro Tem's Office) <[Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov](mailto:Brian.Fork@ncleg.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Offer of Compromise on S744

Senator Newton,

We are willing to fix these problems in the map as you propose. In addition, we believe that in order to comply with the Supreme Court order we must fix the gerrymanders found by the Trial Court in the following groupings:

Forsyth/Stokes  
Guildford/Rockingham  
Buncombe County Cluster

In addition, we have attached SBR22-8 as our final offer. We have discussed these same groupings with Senator Berger on multiple occasions. We also shared a flash drive with your staff on Monday much of that contained in SBR22-8.

Senator Dan Blue

**From:** Sen. Paul Newton <[Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov](mailto:Paul.Newton@ncleg.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 17, 2022 11:40 AM  
**To:** Sen. Dan Blue <[Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov](mailto:Dan.Blue@ncleg.gov)>  
**Cc:** Fred Aikens (Sen. Dan Blue) <[Fred.Aikens@ncleg.gov](mailto:Fred.Aikens@ncleg.gov)>  
**Subject:** Offer of Compromise on S744

Senator Blue,


As conveyed to you by staff earlier this morning, on behalf of the Senate Republican Caucus, the Senate Redistricting and Elections Chairs propose the following offer for consideration by you and the Senate Democratic Caucus. Senate Republicans will support the following three amendments to the map contained S744 (SCH22-4):

- Senate Democrats draw every district in the Wake / Granville grouping at your full discretion.
- Senate Democrats draw every district in the Mecklenburg / Iredell County grouping at your discretion (while keeping Iredell County whole in compliance with *Stephenson*).
- The New Hanover County map is changed to the draw included in the 2021 enacted Senate map.

The remainder of the map contained within S744 will remain unchanged after including the above described amendments prepared by the Senate Democratic caucus.

Please let us know if you are willing to accept this offer, and we will notify the Senate Clerk to prepare for the three amendments to S744.

Senate Redistricting and Elections Chairs,  
Sen. Daniel, Sen. Hise, Sen. Newton



©2021 CALIPER

Description:

User:

Plan Name: **SBR22-8**

Plan Type: **Senate**

## Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022

7:56 PM

	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.19	0.13
Max	0.62	0.61
Mean	0.42	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.11

<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
1	0.40	0.18
2	0.41	0.18
3	0.30	0.17
4	0.57	0.41
5	0.40	0.34
6	0.62	0.59
7	0.21	0.22
8	0.45	0.44
9	0.44	0.23
10	0.62	0.61
11	0.46	0.38

	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.19	0.13
Max	0.62	0.61
Mean	0.42	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.11

<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
12	0.39	0.40
13	0.34	0.38
14	0.32	0.29
15	0.29	0.25
16	0.60	0.46
17	0.39	0.36
18	0.58	0.42
19	0.53	0.29
20	0.39	0.36
21	0.31	0.23
22	0.47	0.47
23	0.50	0.53
24	0.52	0.45
25	0.38	0.34

	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.19	0.13
Max	0.62	0.61
Mean	0.42	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.11

<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
26	0.41	0.42
27	0.49	0.44
28	0.54	0.50
29	0.34	0.21
30	0.40	0.46
31	0.43	0.43
32	0.42	0.43
33	0.32	0.29
34	0.47	0.48
35	0.36	0.24
36	0.31	0.29
37	0.47	0.40
38	0.39	0.32
39	0.56	0.35

	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.19	0.13
Max	0.62	0.61
Mean	0.42	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.11

<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
40	0.57	0.51
41	0.40	0.29
42	0.36	0.42
43	0.47	0.36
44	0.26	0.22
45	0.42	0.32
46	0.43	0.36
47	0.19	0.13
48	0.40	0.26
49	0.43	0.22
50	0.43	0.44

### Measures of Compactness Summary

<b>Reock</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.



# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	208,788	199,750	-9,038	-4.33%
2	1	208,788	200,494	-8,294	-3.97%
3	1	208,788	198,430	-10,358	-4.96%
4	1	208,788	216,568	7,780	3.73%
5	1	208,788	219,143	10,355	4.96%
6	1	208,788	204,576	-4,212	-2.02%
7	1	208,788	212,582	3,794	1.82%
8	1	208,788	200,436	-8,352	-4.00%
9	1	208,788	202,791	-5,997	-2.87%
10	1	208,788	215,999	7,211	3.45%
11	1	208,788	206,121	-2,667	-1.28%
12	1	208,788	200,794	-7,994	-3.83%
13	1	208,788	198,411	-10,377	-4.97%
14	1	208,788	198,368	-10,420	-4.99%
15	1	208,788	198,393	-10,395	-4.98%
16	1	208,788	198,361	-10,427	-4.99%
17	1	208,788	198,379	-10,409	-4.99%
18	1	208,788	198,490	-10,298	-4.93%
19	1	208,788	218,040	9,252	4.43%
20	1	208,788	199,272	-9,516	-4.56%
21	1	208,788	216,415	7,627	3.65%
22	1	208,788	201,846	-6,942	-3.32%
23	1	208,788	210,529	1,741	0.83%
24	1	208,788	202,786	-6,002	-2.87%
25	1	208,788	217,130	8,342	4.00%
26	1	208,788	217,918	9,130	4.37%
27	1	208,788	212,689	3,901	1.87%
28	1	208,788	201,788	-7,000	-3.35%
29	1	208,788	218,867	10,079	4.83%
30	1	208,788	211,642	2,854	1.37%
31	1	208,788	210,315	1,527	0.73%
32	1	208,788	209,489	701	0.34%
33	1	208,788	209,379	591	0.28%
34	1	208,788	217,563	8,775	4.20%
35	1	208,788	216,849	8,061	3.86%
36	1	208,788	218,292	9,504	4.55%
37	1	208,788	217,151	8,363	4.01%
38	1	208,788	216,830	8,042	3.85%
39	1	208,788	218,750	9,962	4.77%
40	1	208,788	216,475	7,687	3.68%
41	1	208,788	217,606	8,818	4.22%
42	1	208,788	215,363	6,575	3.15%
43	1	208,788	201,870	-6,918	-3.31%

# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
44	1	208,788	200,453	-8,335	-3.99%
45	1	208,788	218,526	9,738	4.66%
46	1	208,788	208,541	-247	-0.12%
47	1	208,788	209,958	1,170	0.56%
48	1	208,788	202,531	-6,257	-3.00%
49	1	208,788	202,530	-6,258	-3.00%
50	1	208,788	213,909	5,121	2.45%
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>50</b>		<b>10,439,388</b>		

Deviation range: -4.99% to 4.96%

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
1	72,121	60.84%	44,775	37.77%	927	0.78%	202	0.17%	290	0.24%	220	0.19%
2	62,059	57.54%	44,386	41.15%	889	0.82%	151	0.14%	204	0.19%	164	0.15%
3	51,640	48.40%	53,970	50.59%	602	0.56%	149	0.14%	200	0.19%	125	0.12%
4	55,164	52.50%	48,801	46.44%	649	0.62%	156	0.15%	175	0.17%	139	0.13%
5	47,950	42.63%	62,968	55.98%	885	0.79%	242	0.22%	214	0.19%	232	0.21%
6	46,078	63.79%	24,266	33.59%	1,506	2.08%	136	0.19%	103	0.14%	149	0.21%
7	59,510	47.85%	62,629	50.36%	1,413	1.14%	343	0.28%	177	0.14%	290	0.23%
8	76,424	61.66%	46,146	37.23%	837	0.68%	161	0.13%	172	0.14%	204	0.16%
9	64,302	60.71%	40,503	38.24%	643	0.61%	137	0.13%	193	0.18%	134	0.13%
10	68,353	61.38%	41,257	37.05%	1,164	1.05%	215	0.19%	150	0.13%	218	0.20%
11	55,119	49.80%	54,257	49.02%	714	0.65%	174	0.16%	225	0.20%	194	0.18%
12	53,373	59.68%	34,605	38.69%	958	1.07%	195	0.22%	136	0.15%	165	0.18%
13	53,167	43.67%	66,340	54.49%	1,340	1.10%	258	0.21%	205	0.17%	432	0.35%
14	30,631	29.97%	69,735	68.24%	1,070	1.05%	284	0.28%	168	0.16%	307	0.30%
15	32,537	30.77%	71,049	67.18%	1,300	1.23%	316	0.30%	162	0.15%	390	0.37%
16	35,102	32.01%	72,405	66.03%	1,353	1.23%	273	0.25%	146	0.13%	377	0.34%
17	46,363	41.12%	64,051	56.81%	1,482	1.31%	280	0.25%	165	0.15%	402	0.36%
18	45,044	40.42%	64,317	57.71%	1,213	1.09%	256	0.23%	185	0.17%	435	0.39%
19	47,004	44.99%	55,676	53.29%	1,081	1.03%	273	0.26%	208	0.20%	239	0.23%
20	32,054	26.81%	85,671	71.64%	1,001	0.84%	315	0.26%	191	0.16%	346	0.29%
21	49,794	49.26%	49,572	49.04%	1,084	1.07%	235	0.23%	173	0.17%	219	0.22%
22	21,544	19.83%	85,480	78.69%	867	0.80%	294	0.27%	143	0.13%	306	0.28%
23	40,449	33.99%	76,917	64.63%	861	0.72%	278	0.23%	203	0.17%	310	0.26%
24	44,732	53.50%	38,010	45.46%	477	0.57%	154	0.18%	122	0.15%	123	0.15%
25	64,747	58.98%	43,544	39.66%	842	0.77%	261	0.24%	207	0.19%	183	0.17%
26	55,239	48.40%	57,521	50.40%	727	0.64%	257	0.23%	168	0.15%	207	0.18%
27	40,442	38.29%	63,511	60.14%	860	0.81%	295	0.28%	215	0.20%	285	0.27%
28	42,915	38.07%	68,047	60.37%	957	0.85%	227	0.20%	251	0.22%	328	0.29%
29	74,636	67.81%	34,255	31.12%	683	0.62%	168	0.15%	180	0.16%	138	0.13%
30	82,856	72.77%	29,340	25.77%	916	0.80%	240	0.21%	297	0.26%	212	0.19%
31	58,129	48.25%	60,314	50.07%	1,123	0.93%	278	0.23%	247	0.21%	373	0.31%
32	42,868	42.52%	56,482	56.02%	793	0.79%	249	0.25%	171	0.17%	253	0.25%
33	74,755	69.60%	31,243	29.09%	802	0.75%	186	0.17%	201	0.19%	214	0.20%
34	59,845	53.19%	50,882	45.22%	1,064	0.95%	244	0.22%	156	0.14%	328	0.29%
35	72,904	60.64%	45,514	37.86%	1,053	0.88%	226	0.19%	193	0.16%	336	0.28%
36	87,607	77.02%	24,903	21.89%	732	0.64%	127	0.11%	208	0.18%	173	0.15%
37	51,643	41.24%	71,405	57.02%	1,230	0.98%	281	0.22%	206	0.16%	454	0.36%
38	21,311	20.15%	82,461	77.98%	1,062	1.00%	309	0.29%	174	0.16%	430	0.41%
39	33,561	31.49%	71,007	66.63%	1,140	1.07%	334	0.31%	180	0.17%	349	0.33%
40	26,140	27.31%	67,984	71.02%	789	0.82%	317	0.33%	192	0.20%	298	0.31%
41	36,638	31.68%	77,124	66.68%	1,054	0.91%	337	0.29%	163	0.14%	349	0.30%
42	76,932	63.76%	41,964	34.78%	1,058	0.88%	210	0.17%	204	0.17%	293	0.24%
43	61,735	60.68%	38,606	37.95%	824	0.81%	212	0.21%	150	0.15%	214	0.21%
44	78,658	72.36%	28,745	26.44%	729	0.67%	183	0.17%	226	0.21%	167	0.15%
45	80,315	70.04%	32,794	28.60%	870	0.76%	234	0.20%	235	0.20%	230	0.20%
46	75,572	69.46%	31,922	29.34%	731	0.67%	186	0.17%	200	0.18%	181	0.17%
47	77,230	63.26%	42,978	35.21%	1,016	0.83%	284	0.23%	285	0.23%	284	0.23%
48	60,251	49.07%	60,506	49.27%	1,145	0.93%	349	0.28%	262	0.21%	284	0.23%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
49	49,870	41.70%	67,717	56.62%	1,071	0.90%	391	0.33%	248	0.21%	308	0.26%
50	76,986	63.62%	41,950	34.67%	1,053	0.87%	324	0.27%	379	0.31%	323	0.27%
Total:	2,754,299	49.91%	2,680,505	48.57%	48,640	0.88%	12,186	0.22%	9,908	0.18%	13,314	0.24%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	69,185	59.09%	43,217	36.91%	3,265	2.79%	1,424	1.22%
2	58,888	55.16%	43,190	40.45%	3,069	2.87%	1,614	1.51%
3	48,947	46.40%	53,063	50.30%	2,284	2.17%	1,193	1.13%
4	52,407	50.34%	47,837	45.95%	2,493	2.39%	1,366	1.31%
5	46,289	41.45%	61,228	54.83%	3,001	2.69%	1,153	1.03%
6	42,868	60.21%	23,345	32.79%	3,627	5.09%	1,363	1.91%
7	57,855	47.06%	58,359	47.47%	5,178	4.21%	1,545	1.26%
8	72,022	58.78%	45,037	36.75%	3,732	3.05%	1,743	1.42%
9	60,400	57.71%	39,765	37.99%	2,909	2.78%	1,586	1.52%
10	64,822	58.82%	39,771	36.09%	3,953	3.59%	1,667	1.51%
11	52,249	47.55%	53,394	48.59%	2,818	2.56%	1,417	1.29%
12	50,403	56.82%	33,740	38.03%	3,162	3.56%	1,406	1.58%
13	54,038	44.69%	62,032	51.31%	3,915	3.24%	920	0.76%
14	29,785	29.35%	66,861	65.89%	3,753	3.70%	1,071	1.06%
15	34,572	32.93%	66,161	63.02%	3,551	3.38%	693	0.66%
16	36,842	33.85%	67,474	61.99%	3,799	3.49%	729	0.67%
17	47,944	42.87%	58,815	52.59%	4,203	3.76%	867	0.78%
18	44,893	40.58%	60,788	54.94%	3,916	3.54%	1,043	0.94%
19	44,762	43.27%	53,552	51.77%	3,559	3.44%	1,578	1.53%
20	32,872	27.64%	81,908	68.86%	3,259	2.74%	908	0.76%
21	48,044	47.98%	47,106	47.04%	3,580	3.58%	1,402	1.40%
22	22,275	20.64%	81,923	75.89%	2,943	2.73%	803	0.74%
23	39,697	33.61%	74,635	63.18%	2,839	2.40%	956	0.81%
24	40,915	50.01%	37,461	45.79%	2,186	2.67%	1,247	1.52%
25	61,616	56.56%	42,987	39.46%	3,014	2.77%	1,327	1.22%
26	51,630	45.65%	56,585	50.03%	3,428	3.03%	1,463	1.29%
27	39,058	37.33%	60,917	58.23%	3,441	3.29%	1,200	1.15%
28	43,190	38.59%	64,571	57.70%	3,194	2.85%	957	0.86%
29	70,166	64.46%	34,034	31.27%	2,887	2.65%	1,760	1.62%
30	78,074	68.87%	30,260	26.69%	3,277	2.89%	1,758	1.55%
31	56,981	47.70%	57,149	47.84%	3,838	3.21%	1,500	1.26%
32	41,046	41.10%	54,189	54.26%	3,248	3.25%	1,378	1.38%
33	70,337	66.13%	30,688	28.85%	3,215	3.02%	2,128	2.00%
34	58,005	52.05%	47,659	42.77%	4,125	3.70%	1,653	1.48%
35	72,415	60.78%	42,048	35.29%	3,494	2.93%	1,195	1.00%
36	80,986	71.91%	26,447	23.48%	3,211	2.85%	1,979	1.76%
37	55,296	44.48%	64,339	51.76%	3,809	3.06%	859	0.69%
38	23,546	22.43%	76,702	73.07%	3,747	3.57%	978	0.93%
39	34,921	33.03%	65,708	62.15%	4,016	3.80%	1,072	1.01%
40	25,554	26.91%	64,566	68.00%	3,630	3.82%	1,196	1.26%
41	37,180	32.40%	72,247	62.96%	4,039	3.52%	1,288	1.12%
42	74,507	62.35%	39,164	32.77%	4,010	3.36%	1,823	1.53%
43	58,794	58.45%	37,230	37.01%	3,173	3.15%	1,395	1.39%
44	74,211	68.93%	28,434	26.41%	3,166	2.94%	1,853	1.72%
45	76,172	66.94%	32,038	28.15%	3,523	3.10%	2,063	1.81%
46	71,512	66.44%	31,854	29.60%	2,581	2.40%	1,686	1.57%
47	72,878	60.33%	42,532	35.21%	3,561	2.95%	1,827	1.51%
48	59,171	48.57%	57,800	47.45%	3,555	2.92%	1,296	1.06%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	48,255	40.75%	65,157	55.02%	3,748	3.16%	1,271	1.07%
50	72,894	60.86%	41,543	34.69%	3,474	2.90%	1,860	1.55%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,661,369</b>	<b>48.67%</b>	<b>2,567,510</b>	<b>46.95%</b>	<b>171,398</b>	<b>3.13%</b>	<b>68,459</b>	<b>1.25%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	47,428	40.20%	68,962	58.45%	1,071	0.91%	525	0.44%
2	46,935	43.65%	59,230	55.09%	912	0.85%	444	0.41%
3	56,096	52.80%	48,959	46.08%	783	0.74%	402	0.38%
4	51,594	49.23%	52,039	49.66%	753	0.72%	412	0.39%
5	65,390	58.24%	45,468	40.50%	1,003	0.89%	417	0.37%
6	25,653	35.89%	43,838	61.33%	1,454	2.03%	536	0.75%
7	65,803	53.14%	55,773	45.04%	1,720	1.39%	537	0.43%
8	50,753	41.16%	70,879	57.48%	1,114	0.90%	563	0.46%
9	43,251	41.00%	61,011	57.84%	813	0.77%	414	0.39%
10	45,165	40.66%	64,310	57.90%	1,137	1.02%	462	0.42%
11	58,279	52.72%	51,070	46.20%	821	0.74%	376	0.34%
12	37,919	42.57%	49,671	55.77%	1,074	1.21%	405	0.45%
13	70,083	57.73%	49,555	40.82%	1,364	1.12%	391	0.32%
14	72,222	70.88%	27,929	27.41%	1,280	1.26%	456	0.45%
15	73,213	69.49%	30,395	28.85%	1,408	1.34%	345	0.33%
16	75,557	69.16%	31,882	29.18%	1,421	1.30%	389	0.36%
17	67,666	60.23%	42,819	38.11%	1,472	1.31%	391	0.35%
18	67,396	60.67%	42,015	37.82%	1,264	1.14%	413	0.37%
19	58,614	56.33%	43,452	41.76%	1,402	1.35%	582	0.56%
20	87,896	73.56%	30,057	25.15%	1,156	0.97%	379	0.32%
21	52,637	52.31%	46,059	45.77%	1,375	1.37%	557	0.55%
22	87,027	80.31%	19,822	18.29%	1,160	1.07%	350	0.32%
23	79,628	67.09%	37,740	31.80%	997	0.84%	326	0.27%
24	42,549	51.40%	39,184	47.34%	717	0.87%	323	0.39%
25	47,794	43.65%	60,380	55.15%	924	0.84%	387	0.35%
26	61,220	53.92%	50,763	44.71%	1,131	1.00%	433	0.38%
27	66,421	63.39%	36,445	34.78%	1,369	1.31%	553	0.53%
28	70,715	63.11%	39,654	35.39%	1,220	1.09%	468	0.42%
29	38,747	35.36%	69,514	63.44%	884	0.81%	438	0.40%
30	34,490	30.33%	77,852	68.46%	997	0.88%	381	0.34%
31	64,521	53.75%	53,730	44.76%	1,322	1.10%	462	0.38%
32	58,920	58.76%	39,654	39.55%	1,182	1.18%	509	0.51%
33	34,886	32.58%	70,698	66.02%	1,014	0.95%	484	0.45%
34	53,271	47.71%	56,445	50.55%	1,446	1.30%	490	0.44%
35	47,870	39.99%	70,086	58.55%	1,267	1.06%	488	0.41%
36	30,938	27.25%	81,387	71.70%	843	0.74%	346	0.30%
37	72,755	58.32%	50,105	40.16%	1,412	1.13%	479	0.38%
38	82,313	78.21%	20,844	19.81%	1,648	1.57%	438	0.42%
39	71,919	67.84%	31,966	30.15%	1,631	1.54%	499	0.47%
40	69,186	72.62%	23,890	25.08%	1,584	1.66%	613	0.64%
41	78,299	68.02%	34,643	30.09%	1,646	1.43%	530	0.46%
42	44,583	37.10%	73,630	61.27%	1,452	1.21%	509	0.42%
43	41,252	40.75%	58,420	57.71%	1,116	1.10%	448	0.44%
44	32,580	30.06%	74,347	68.60%	910	0.84%	543	0.50%
45	36,592	31.99%	76,192	66.60%	1,100	0.96%	513	0.45%
46	35,869	33.11%	71,229	65.75%	851	0.79%	387	0.36%
47	47,321	38.89%	72,536	59.62%	1,283	1.05%	534	0.44%
48	63,386	51.85%	56,913	46.55%	1,430	1.17%	524	0.43%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	70,002	58.94%	46,528	39.18%	1,714	1.44%	520	0.44%
50	46,097	38.24%	72,492	60.14%	1,361	1.13%	585	0.49%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,830,701</b>	<b>51.50%</b>	<b>2,582,462</b>	<b>46.98%</b>	<b>60,408</b>	<b>1.10%</b>	<b>22,956</b>	<b>0.42%</b>

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	72,090	62.26%	43,707	37.74%
2	62,486	59.03%	43,363	40.97%
3	51,400	49.04%	53,417	50.96%
4	55,143	53.23%	48,451	46.77%
5	49,133	44.28%	61,826	55.72%
6	45,953	65.77%	23,914	34.23%
7	61,048	50.34%	60,226	49.66%
8	75,761	62.68%	45,117	37.32%
9	63,903	61.55%	39,927	38.45%
10	68,446	62.83%	40,493	37.17%
11	55,294	50.58%	54,018	49.42%
12	53,275	60.61%	34,627	39.39%
13	55,772	46.62%	63,861	53.38%
14	31,425	31.16%	69,413	68.84%
15	35,496	34.20%	68,289	65.80%
16	37,695	35.08%	69,771	64.92%
17	49,589	44.90%	60,842	55.10%
18	46,767	42.63%	62,936	57.37%
19	47,139	45.87%	55,617	54.13%
20	34,226	29.06%	83,537	70.94%
21	50,087	50.66%	48,773	49.34%
22	23,129	21.59%	84,003	78.41%
23	41,856	35.82%	75,005	64.18%
24	42,250	52.27%	38,583	47.73%
25	65,488	60.29%	43,140	39.71%
26	55,419	49.24%	57,134	50.76%
27	41,690	40.20%	62,012	59.80%
28	45,415	40.95%	65,495	59.05%
29	74,024	68.51%	34,031	31.49%
30	83,771	74.17%	29,179	25.83%
31	60,382	51.11%	57,763	48.89%
32	43,666	44.15%	55,229	55.85%
33	74,354	70.54%	31,049	29.46%
34	60,974	55.24%	49,401	44.76%
35	73,998	63.11%	43,250	36.89%
36	86,786	77.55%	25,122	22.45%
37	56,524	46.03%	66,286	53.97%
38	24,111	23.18%	79,896	76.82%
39	36,147	34.57%	68,410	65.43%
40	26,810	28.46%	67,408	71.54%
41	38,532	33.89%	75,156	66.11%
42	77,601	65.83%	40,280	34.17%
43	61,238	61.91%	37,681	38.09%
44	78,109	73.31%	28,438	26.69%
45	80,600	71.21%	32,589	28.79%
46	74,803	70.09%	31,922	29.91%
47	76,961	64.48%	42,401	35.52%
48	61,975	51.27%	58,898	48.73%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	50,829	43.37%	66,365	56.63%
50	77,406	65.11%	41,475	34.89%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,796,976</b>	<b>51.64%</b>	<b>2,619,726</b>	<b>48.36%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	45,204	39.15%	70,255	60.85%
2	45,171	42.91%	60,091	57.09%
3	54,890	52.67%	49,332	47.33%
4	50,591	48.93%	52,798	51.07%
5	64,215	58.04%	46,431	41.96%
6	24,606	35.19%	45,316	64.81%
7	62,789	51.85%	58,301	48.15%
8	47,248	39.18%	73,352	60.82%
9	41,833	40.54%	61,367	59.46%
10	42,994	39.68%	65,352	60.32%
11	57,087	52.28%	52,103	47.72%
12	36,455	41.61%	51,161	58.39%
13	66,365	55.48%	53,249	44.52%
14	70,750	70.24%	29,969	29.76%
15	70,627	68.00%	33,239	32.00%
16	71,591	66.59%	35,917	33.41%
17	62,837	56.99%	47,429	43.01%
18	64,752	59.17%	44,683	40.83%
19	57,131	55.72%	45,405	44.28%
20	84,916	72.15%	32,775	27.85%
21	49,974	50.64%	48,705	49.36%
22	84,902	79.32%	22,135	20.68%
23	77,323	66.30%	39,308	33.70%
24	39,896	49.65%	40,465	50.35%
25	45,356	41.91%	62,855	58.09%
26	59,102	52.67%	53,112	47.33%
27	63,676	61.54%	39,791	38.46%
28	67,212	60.78%	43,371	39.22%
29	36,503	33.92%	71,101	66.08%
30	31,535	27.95%	81,292	72.05%
31	58,563	49.43%	59,916	50.57%
32	56,121	56.58%	43,066	43.42%
33	33,768	32.10%	71,415	67.90%
34	51,600	46.87%	58,502	53.13%
35	44,994	38.33%	72,387	61.67%
36	28,019	25.09%	83,634	74.91%
37	68,119	55.52%	54,569	44.48%
38	80,269	77.35%	23,508	22.65%
39	69,219	66.34%	35,126	33.66%
40	68,106	72.34%	26,047	27.66%
41	75,838	66.82%	37,650	33.18%
42	42,067	35.76%	75,558	64.24%
43	39,853	39.98%	59,835	60.02%
44	31,240	29.32%	75,293	70.68%
45	35,384	31.29%	77,695	68.71%
46	33,774	31.73%	72,669	68.27%
47	44,950	37.73%	74,172	62.27%
48	60,102	49.83%	60,501	50.17%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	67,818	58.04%	49,021	41.96%
50	43,440	36.65%	75,073	63.35%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,710,775</b>	<b>50.13%</b>	<b>2,696,297</b>	<b>49.87%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	46,961	41.02%	67,524	58.98%
2	47,728	45.49%	57,201	54.51%
3	56,476	54.26%	47,601	45.74%
4	51,902	50.48%	50,924	49.52%
5	67,091	60.83%	43,207	39.17%
6	25,254	36.65%	43,653	63.35%
7	61,906	51.91%	57,360	48.09%
8	46,782	39.33%	72,160	60.67%
9	42,644	41.47%	60,189	58.53%
10	44,616	41.36%	63,253	58.64%
11	61,037	56.19%	47,583	43.81%
12	37,344	42.82%	49,862	57.18%
13	67,306	56.85%	51,094	43.15%
14	71,053	71.05%	28,948	28.95%
15	70,877	69.07%	31,742	30.93%
16	71,876	67.69%	34,315	32.31%
17	63,334	58.14%	45,602	41.86%
18	65,420	60.32%	43,033	39.68%
19	57,000	55.97%	44,842	44.03%
20	85,205	72.99%	31,526	27.01%
21	50,184	51.31%	47,613	48.69%
22	85,056	79.97%	21,307	20.03%
23	77,104	66.69%	38,505	33.31%
24	41,130	51.40%	38,892	48.60%
25	44,944	41.85%	62,445	58.15%
26	58,867	52.88%	52,465	47.12%
27	63,775	62.23%	38,711	37.77%
28	67,573	61.87%	41,640	38.13%
29	36,393	34.03%	70,566	65.97%
30	32,017	28.55%	80,121	71.45%
31	59,887	51.28%	56,908	48.72%
32	56,661	57.71%	41,527	42.29%
33	33,060	31.63%	71,460	68.37%
34	51,138	46.93%	57,828	53.07%
35	44,259	38.19%	71,647	61.81%
36	27,894	25.24%	82,641	74.76%
37	67,701	55.88%	53,458	44.12%
38	79,811	77.58%	23,065	22.42%
39	68,888	66.69%	34,402	33.31%
40	67,739	72.41%	25,811	27.59%
41	75,575	67.19%	36,904	32.81%
42	42,149	36.13%	74,505	63.87%
43	38,950	39.78%	58,964	60.22%
44	30,669	29.05%	74,915	70.95%
45	34,625	30.82%	77,734	69.18%
46	33,528	31.70%	72,232	68.30%
47	44,505	37.87%	73,000	62.13%
48	60,007	50.17%	59,599	49.83%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	67,608	58.35%	48,250	41.65%
50	43,864	37.29%	73,760	62.71%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,727,373</b>	<b>50.89%</b>	<b>2,632,494</b>	<b>49.11%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	73,050	63.52%	41,960	36.48%
2	63,179	60.03%	42,075	39.97%
3	52,156	49.98%	52,190	50.02%
4	57,059	55.25%	46,216	44.75%
5	50,236	45.60%	59,934	54.40%
6	45,521	65.96%	23,497	34.04%
7	61,506	51.34%	58,287	48.66%
8	75,978	63.77%	43,173	36.23%
9	64,617	62.60%	38,608	37.40%
10	72,402	66.48%	36,498	33.52%
11	58,055	53.22%	51,021	46.78%
12	55,647	63.46%	32,041	36.54%
13	62,551	52.59%	56,396	47.41%
14	35,727	35.60%	64,625	64.40%
15	42,773	41.47%	60,364	58.53%
16	43,386	40.67%	63,295	59.33%
17	54,181	49.53%	55,219	50.47%
18	51,453	47.21%	57,523	52.79%
19	48,235	47.17%	54,018	52.83%
20	38,187	32.67%	78,702	67.33%
21	51,831	52.75%	46,420	47.25%
22	26,352	24.81%	79,870	75.19%
23	45,658	39.33%	70,441	60.67%
24	42,201	52.73%	37,829	47.27%
25	68,184	63.03%	39,995	36.97%
26	58,901	52.46%	53,387	47.54%
27	44,144	42.82%	58,940	57.18%
28	50,378	45.69%	59,887	54.31%
29	75,104	69.81%	32,483	30.19%
30	86,203	76.51%	26,462	23.49%
31	63,625	54.22%	53,730	45.78%
32	45,203	45.91%	53,251	54.09%
33	75,914	72.39%	28,952	27.61%
34	61,934	56.67%	47,352	43.33%
35	74,154	63.88%	41,932	36.12%
36	88,287	79.29%	23,055	20.71%
37	58,146	47.94%	63,148	52.06%
38	25,276	24.51%	77,844	75.49%
39	37,191	35.93%	66,312	64.07%
40	27,295	29.13%	66,419	70.87%
41	38,982	34.61%	73,661	65.39%
42	78,079	66.75%	38,895	33.25%
43	61,382	62.16%	37,370	37.84%
44	78,268	73.85%	27,719	26.15%
45	81,487	72.36%	31,134	27.64%
46	75,532	71.09%	30,714	28.91%
47	78,615	66.29%	39,970	33.71%
48	63,567	52.97%	56,436	47.03%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	52,114	44.77%	64,286	55.23%
50	78,094	66.12%	40,007	33.88%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,898,000</b>	<b>53.85%</b>	<b>2,483,543</b>	<b>46.15%</b>



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	69,575	60.88%	44,710	39.12%
2	60,100	57.24%	44,895	42.76%
3	49,668	47.74%	54,380	52.26%
4	53,828	52.32%	49,063	47.68%
5	46,960	42.62%	63,226	57.38%
6	43,833	63.78%	24,888	36.22%
7	58,670	49.07%	60,898	50.93%
8	73,306	61.54%	45,813	38.46%
9	61,563	59.83%	41,329	40.17%
10	66,758	61.91%	41,065	38.09%
11	53,888	49.65%	54,651	50.35%
12	52,012	59.71%	35,092	40.29%
13	54,828	46.50%	63,089	53.50%
14	30,474	30.56%	69,241	69.44%
15	34,834	34.10%	67,326	65.90%
16	36,610	34.62%	69,151	65.38%
17	48,005	44.27%	60,423	55.73%
18	45,556	42.15%	62,522	57.85%
19	45,932	44.97%	56,217	55.03%
20	33,409	28.61%	83,376	71.39%
21	48,976	50.07%	48,844	49.93%
22	22,579	21.25%	83,673	78.75%
23	40,514	35.06%	75,043	64.94%
24	39,912	49.85%	40,149	50.15%
25	63,839	59.40%	43,626	40.60%
26	53,719	48.24%	57,650	51.76%
27	40,062	39.11%	62,366	60.89%
28	44,006	40.32%	65,137	59.68%
29	72,004	67.32%	34,954	32.68%
30	82,384	73.45%	29,775	26.55%
31	58,932	50.55%	57,640	49.45%
32	42,525	43.36%	55,558	56.64%
33	73,101	69.93%	31,435	30.07%
34	59,191	54.46%	49,493	45.54%
35	72,629	62.71%	43,194	37.29%
36	84,567	76.40%	26,118	23.60%
37	55,316	45.76%	65,558	54.24%
38	23,660	22.99%	79,234	77.01%
39	35,371	34.24%	67,928	65.76%
40	26,053	27.83%	67,578	72.17%
41	37,478	33.33%	74,972	66.67%
42	75,975	65.14%	40,652	34.86%
43	60,010	61.01%	38,348	38.99%
44	76,632	72.47%	29,106	27.53%
45	79,287	70.52%	33,142	29.48%
46	74,077	69.84%	31,991	30.16%
47	75,288	63.77%	42,776	36.23%
48	60,733	50.79%	58,844	49.21%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	49,414	42.67%	66,396	57.33%
50	75,063	63.84%	42,510	36.16%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,723,106</b>	<b>50.82%</b>	<b>2,635,045</b>	<b>49.18%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	47,103	41.03%	67,711	58.97%
2	46,973	44.66%	58,205	55.34%
3	56,505	54.17%	47,797	45.83%
4	52,300	50.68%	50,895	49.32%
5	65,540	59.36%	44,864	40.64%
6	25,482	36.81%	43,743	63.19%
7	63,282	52.64%	56,924	47.36%
8	47,788	39.87%	72,077	60.13%
9	43,561	42.21%	59,650	57.79%
10	45,383	41.92%	62,870	58.08%
11	58,442	53.64%	50,507	46.36%
12	39,181	44.74%	48,394	55.26%
13	67,596	56.83%	51,357	43.17%
14	71,452	71.23%	28,856	28.77%
15	71,260	69.13%	31,822	30.87%
16	72,104	67.59%	34,572	32.41%
17	63,249	57.88%	46,024	42.12%
18	65,669	60.29%	43,245	39.71%
19	58,120	56.76%	44,275	43.24%
20	85,479	72.96%	31,684	27.04%
21	50,703	51.58%	47,593	48.42%
22	85,286	80.00%	21,322	20.00%
23	77,682	66.92%	38,398	33.08%
24	41,989	52.37%	38,185	47.63%
25	46,015	42.67%	61,833	57.33%
26	59,841	53.58%	51,846	46.42%
27	64,299	62.56%	38,487	37.44%
28	67,921	61.91%	41,793	38.09%
29	37,269	34.74%	70,004	65.26%
30	32,269	28.70%	80,156	71.30%
31	60,437	51.61%	56,659	48.39%
32	56,961	58.00%	41,254	42.00%
33	34,006	32.45%	70,783	67.55%
34	51,633	47.25%	57,649	52.75%
35	44,943	38.50%	71,785	61.50%
36	28,844	25.98%	82,175	74.02%
37	68,344	56.15%	53,378	43.85%
38	80,485	77.91%	22,819	22.09%
39	69,633	67.06%	34,207	32.94%
40	68,430	72.91%	25,431	27.09%
41	76,333	67.59%	36,603	32.41%
42	42,531	36.38%	74,382	63.62%
43	39,813	40.45%	58,618	59.55%
44	31,740	29.96%	74,203	70.04%
45	35,440	31.45%	77,237	68.55%
46	34,518	32.55%	71,541	67.45%
47	45,763	38.69%	72,506	61.31%
48	60,548	50.47%	59,414	49.53%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	67,948	58.50%	48,193	41.50%
50	44,670	37.84%	73,389	62.16%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,752,763</b>	<b>51.17%</b>	<b>2,627,315</b>	<b>48.83%</b>

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	71,065	62.39%	42,839	37.61%
2	61,487	58.85%	42,989	41.15%
3	50,831	49.12%	52,662	50.88%
4	55,092	53.82%	47,266	46.18%
5	48,908	44.57%	60,833	55.43%
6	44,940	65.52%	23,646	34.48%
7	61,429	51.73%	57,309	48.27%
8	74,392	62.85%	43,971	37.15%
9	62,403	60.96%	39,964	39.04%
10	67,530	63.08%	39,529	36.92%
11	55,430	51.24%	52,750	48.76%
12	53,106	61.20%	33,672	38.80%
13	57,704	48.86%	60,387	51.14%
14	33,338	33.42%	66,425	66.58%
15	37,926	37.06%	64,419	62.94%
16	39,781	37.54%	66,202	62.46%
17	50,661	46.63%	57,984	53.37%
18	48,344	44.71%	59,789	55.29%
19	48,041	47.25%	53,629	52.75%
20	36,128	31.05%	80,220	68.95%
21	50,955	52.30%	46,466	47.70%
22	25,287	23.87%	80,656	76.13%
23	42,493	36.90%	72,649	63.10%
24	41,200	51.79%	38,357	48.21%
25	64,047	59.58%	43,447	40.42%
26	54,611	49.08%	56,667	50.92%
27	41,592	40.56%	60,940	59.44%
28	45,295	41.46%	63,945	58.54%
29	72,182	67.61%	34,585	32.39%
30	81,672	72.76%	30,582	27.24%
31	61,814	52.80%	55,259	47.20%
32	44,588	45.41%	53,594	54.59%
33	73,290	70.52%	30,635	29.48%
34	61,621	56.83%	46,805	43.17%
35	74,094	64.16%	41,389	35.84%
36	84,065	76.05%	26,477	23.95%
37	59,265	49.08%	61,481	50.92%
38	27,555	26.82%	75,173	73.18%
39	38,883	37.75%	64,106	62.25%
40	29,183	31.27%	64,141	68.73%
41	40,998	36.55%	71,159	63.45%
42	77,476	66.77%	38,561	33.23%
43	60,985	62.29%	36,913	37.71%
44	76,928	73.20%	28,172	26.80%
45	80,506	71.78%	31,646	28.22%
46	73,600	69.87%	31,738	30.13%
47	75,602	64.50%	41,604	35.50%
48	62,866	52.73%	56,357	47.27%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	51,726	44.85%	63,604	55.15%
50	76,288	65.05%	40,988	34.95%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,809,203</b>	<b>52.57%</b>	<b>2,534,581</b>	<b>47.43%</b>

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
1	62,359	60.06%	37,940	36.54%	2,575	2.48%	949	0.91%
2	55,760	57.38%	38,588	39.71%	2,035	2.09%	798	0.82%
3	44,619	44.43%	53,644	53.41%	1,647	1.64%	521	0.52%
4	49,451	51.02%	45,041	46.47%	1,715	1.77%	721	0.74%
5	43,907	41.71%	57,952	55.05%	2,444	2.32%	967	0.92%
6	37,124	64.98%	17,512	30.65%	1,926	3.37%	573	1.00%
7	52,202	49.28%	48,418	45.71%	3,740	3.53%	1,562	1.47%
8	60,114	61.33%	34,881	35.59%	2,232	2.28%	794	0.81%
9	54,795	58.34%	37,005	39.40%	1,572	1.67%	557	0.59%
10	54,369	63.29%	28,362	33.02%	2,347	2.73%	828	0.96%
11	47,013	47.97%	48,332	49.32%	1,821	1.86%	832	0.85%
12	42,762	58.63%	27,511	37.72%	1,971	2.70%	692	0.95%
13	47,038	44.34%	53,555	50.48%	3,663	3.45%	1,835	1.73%
14	25,835	29.52%	58,076	66.36%	2,437	2.78%	1,165	1.33%
15	30,277	31.62%	59,930	62.58%	3,755	3.92%	1,797	1.88%
16	32,423	34.52%	56,074	59.70%	3,699	3.94%	1,728	1.84%
17	36,846	44.04%	41,667	49.80%	3,611	4.32%	1,544	1.85%
18	37,257	42.32%	46,345	52.65%	2,891	3.28%	1,534	1.74%
19	40,160	44.37%	47,210	52.16%	2,314	2.56%	831	0.92%
20	26,135	26.02%	69,875	69.56%	2,861	2.85%	1,588	1.58%
21	41,593	48.55%	40,722	47.53%	2,414	2.82%	950	1.11%
22	19,236	20.29%	71,836	75.76%	2,256	2.38%	1,491	1.57%
23	35,766	31.65%	72,543	64.19%	3,037	2.69%	1,672	1.48%
24	34,654	47.68%	35,862	49.34%	1,626	2.24%	536	0.74%
25	54,760	59.67%	33,921	36.96%	2,231	2.43%	858	0.93%
26	47,746	47.18%	50,451	49.86%	2,057	2.03%	938	0.93%
27	37,166	39.45%	53,243	56.52%	2,608	2.77%	1,185	1.26%
28	39,738	38.39%	59,295	57.28%	2,937	2.84%	1,553	1.50%
29	64,624	65.37%	31,463	31.82%	1,995	2.02%	781	0.79%
30	69,900	72.36%	23,384	24.21%	2,490	2.58%	824	0.85%
31	52,398	49.85%	47,491	45.18%	3,466	3.30%	1,754	1.67%
32	37,496	41.26%	50,126	55.16%	2,307	2.54%	939	1.03%
33	64,798	68.70%	26,507	28.10%	2,182	2.31%	837	0.89%
34	51,008	56.95%	34,719	38.76%	2,697	3.01%	1,139	1.27%
35	60,326	62.94%	31,266	32.62%	3,052	3.18%	1,205	1.26%
36	78,292	75.18%	22,536	21.64%	2,387	2.29%	929	0.89%
37	46,817	44.52%	52,542	49.96%	3,859	3.67%	1,944	1.85%
38	17,545	20.46%	63,921	74.53%	2,926	3.41%	1,378	1.61%
39	28,036	32.65%	53,423	62.22%	3,075	3.58%	1,323	1.54%
40	21,600	26.98%	55,240	69.01%	2,123	2.65%	1,084	1.35%
41	31,325	33.68%	57,472	61.79%	2,888	3.11%	1,320	1.42%
42	63,349	65.07%	30,236	31.06%	2,686	2.76%	1,091	1.12%
43	52,412	61.84%	29,195	34.45%	2,221	2.62%	926	1.09%
44	64,319	71.09%	23,097	25.53%	2,182	2.41%	876	0.97%
45	68,146	68.84%	27,058	27.33%	2,625	2.65%	1,167	1.18%
46	64,922	68.44%	27,145	28.62%	1,870	1.97%	924	0.97%
47	66,678	62.17%	35,505	33.11%	3,231	3.01%	1,833	1.71%
48	54,044	51.10%	46,655	44.11%	3,049	2.88%	2,014	1.90%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
49	44,228	43.25%	52,345	51.19%	3,135	3.07%	2,553	2.50%
50	66,381	63.71%	33,199	31.86%	3,061	2.94%	1,557	1.49%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,359,749</b>	<b>49.90%</b>	<b>2,180,316</b>	<b>46.10%</b>	<b>129,929</b>	<b>2.75%</b>	<b>59,397</b>	<b>1.26%</b>



# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
1	60,441	59.88%	37,849	37.50%	2,651	2.63%
2	54,637	57.67%	37,978	40.09%	2,127	2.25%
3	42,756	43.81%	53,159	54.47%	1,687	1.73%
4	49,273	51.96%	43,917	46.32%	1,632	1.72%
5	44,485	43.33%	55,835	54.38%	2,354	2.29%
6	35,421	64.57%	17,156	31.27%	2,282	4.16%
7	52,833	51.79%	45,113	44.22%	4,073	3.99%
8	58,590	61.82%	33,841	35.71%	2,345	2.47%
9	53,004	58.24%	36,180	39.75%	1,826	2.01%
10	54,745	65.02%	27,276	32.40%	2,173	2.58%
11	46,973	48.72%	47,777	49.56%	1,661	1.72%
12	42,518	59.40%	27,162	37.94%	1,903	2.66%
13	51,578	49.50%	49,629	47.63%	2,994	2.87%
14	27,133	31.51%	56,596	65.72%	2,390	2.78%
15	34,938	37.32%	55,398	59.17%	3,283	3.51%
16	36,576	39.94%	51,606	56.35%	3,397	3.71%
17	40,705	49.95%	38,108	46.76%	2,677	3.29%
18	40,466	46.73%	43,800	50.58%	2,335	2.70%
19	39,479	44.88%	45,673	51.92%	2,816	3.20%
20	29,323	29.69%	66,897	67.73%	2,554	2.59%
21	42,084	50.34%	39,005	46.66%	2,514	3.01%
22	21,890	23.55%	68,759	73.97%	2,304	2.48%
23	38,463	34.96%	68,666	62.41%	2,887	2.62%
24	30,784	44.48%	36,626	52.92%	1,794	2.59%
25	54,960	61.16%	32,338	35.98%	2,571	2.86%
26	46,654	47.20%	49,762	50.34%	2,436	2.46%
27	38,168	41.69%	50,484	55.14%	2,909	3.18%
28	42,328	41.92%	55,748	55.21%	2,896	2.87%
29	62,719	65.28%	31,167	32.44%	2,197	2.29%
30	68,723	72.97%	22,967	24.39%	2,484	2.64%
31	55,674	54.21%	44,107	42.94%	2,925	2.85%
32	38,060	42.94%	48,175	54.36%	2,392	2.70%
33	63,711	69.23%	25,792	28.03%	2,524	2.74%
34	52,060	59.61%	32,439	37.14%	2,839	3.25%
35	62,723	67.28%	27,793	29.81%	2,705	2.90%
36	75,462	74.54%	23,309	23.02%	2,464	2.43%
37	54,291	52.88%	45,205	44.03%	3,165	3.08%
38	21,290	25.55%	58,923	70.71%	3,117	3.74%
39	32,091	38.50%	48,121	57.73%	3,141	3.77%
40	23,089	29.59%	52,259	66.97%	2,689	3.45%
41	34,161	37.69%	53,562	59.10%	2,914	3.22%
42	63,784	67.48%	27,796	29.41%	2,945	3.12%
43	53,294	64.34%	27,304	32.96%	2,236	2.70%
44	63,484	71.93%	22,522	25.52%	2,253	2.55%
45	68,336	70.80%	25,416	26.33%	2,761	2.86%
46	62,691	67.88%	27,335	29.60%	2,329	2.52%
47	64,144	61.94%	35,802	34.57%	3,607	3.48%
48	54,080	52.46%	45,468	44.11%	3,541	3.43%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
49	43,006	43.23%	52,369	52.64%	4,118	4.14%
50	62,541	61.99%	34,806	34.50%	3,543	3.51%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,390,619</b>	<b>51.88%</b>	<b>2,084,975</b>	<b>45.25%</b>	<b>132,360</b>	<b>2.87%</b>

# Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	43,641	38.45%	68,695	60.52%
2	43,689	41.94%	59,444	57.07%
3	54,204	52.21%	48,809	47.02%
4	48,582	47.50%	52,839	51.67%
5	62,170	56.66%	46,487	42.36%
6	23,268	34.42%	43,191	63.89%
7	58,752	49.68%	57,784	48.87%
8	44,213	37.91%	71,255	61.10%
9	40,381	39.64%	60,600	59.48%
10	39,367	37.49%	64,434	61.37%
11	54,254	50.57%	52,107	48.57%
12	34,112	39.99%	50,182	58.83%
13	62,220	53.11%	53,494	45.66%
14	67,204	68.29%	30,004	30.49%
15	66,576	65.14%	34,213	33.47%
16	67,259	64.08%	36,258	34.54%
17	57,850	54.77%	46,347	43.88%
18	60,105	56.81%	44,396	41.97%
19	54,371	54.10%	44,894	44.67%
20	81,140	70.73%	32,365	28.21%
21	47,534	49.25%	47,778	49.50%
22	81,539	77.76%	22,240	21.21%
23	74,803	64.54%	39,904	34.43%
24	39,037	49.25%	39,448	49.77%
25	42,259	40.09%	62,096	58.90%
26	56,516	51.24%	52,675	47.76%
27	60,882	59.82%	39,646	38.96%
28	64,629	59.19%	43,310	39.67%
29	34,657	32.63%	70,554	66.42%
30	29,355	26.70%	79,417	72.24%
31	56,405	48.72%	57,929	50.04%
32	54,606	56.02%	41,746	42.83%
33	31,002	29.99%	71,218	68.90%
34	47,199	44.33%	57,922	54.40%
35	41,538	36.58%	70,762	62.31%
36	26,139	23.71%	82,991	75.28%
37	63,907	53.50%	54,067	45.26%
38	76,419	75.93%	22,878	22.73%
39	65,390	64.67%	34,325	33.95%
40	64,921	70.79%	25,575	27.89%
41	71,783	65.31%	36,758	33.44%
42	39,073	34.20%	73,815	64.61%
43	36,876	38.11%	58,807	60.78%
44	28,539	27.51%	74,114	71.44%
45	32,322	29.23%	76,976	69.61%
46	31,859	30.47%	71,698	68.58%
47	42,176	36.06%	73,301	62.67%
48	57,084	48.39%	59,426	50.38%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	64,301	56.23%	48,453	42.37%
50	41,212	35.52%	73,364	63.23%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,567,320</b>	<b>48.54%</b>	<b>2,660,991</b>	<b>50.31%</b>

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	25	171,415	217,130	171,415	100.00 %	78.95 %
Alexander	36	36,444	218,292	36,444	100.00 %	16.70 %
Alleghany	47	10,888	209,958	10,888	100.00 %	5.19 %
Anson	29	22,055	218,867	22,055	100.00 %	10.08 %
Ashe	47	26,577	209,958	26,577	100.00 %	12.66 %
Avery	47	17,806	209,958	17,806	100.00 %	8.48 %
Beaufort	2	44,652	200,494	44,652	100.00 %	22.27 %
Bertie	3	17,934	198,430	17,934	100.00 %	9.04 %
Bladen	9	29,606	202,791	29,606	100.00 %	14.60 %
Brunswick	8	136,693	200,436	136,693	100.00 %	68.20 %
Buncombe	48	269,452	202,531	66,922	24.84 %	33.04 %
	49	269,452	202,530	202,530	75.16 %	100.00 %
Burke	44	87,570	200,453	87,570	100.00 %	43.69 %
Cabarrus	34	225,804	217,563	217,563	96.35 %	100.00 %
	35	225,804	216,849	8,241	3.65 %	3.80 %
Caldwell	45	80,652	218,526	57,916	71.81 %	26.50 %
	47	80,652	209,958	22,736	28.19 %	10.83 %
Camden	3	10,355	198,430	10,355	100.00 %	5.22 %
Carteret	1	67,686	199,750	67,686	100.00 %	33.89 %
Caswell	23	22,736	210,529	22,736	100.00 %	10.80 %
Catawba	45	160,610	218,526	160,610	100.00 %	73.50 %
Chatham	20	76,285	199,272	76,285	100.00 %	38.28 %
Cherokee	50	28,774	213,909	28,774	100.00 %	13.45 %
Chowan	1	13,708	199,750	13,708	100.00 %	6.86 %
Clay	50	11,089	213,909	11,089	100.00 %	5.18 %
Cleveland	46	99,519	208,541	99,519	100.00 %	47.72 %
Columbus	8	50,623	200,436	50,623	100.00 %	25.26 %
Craven	2	100,720	200,494	100,720	100.00 %	50.24 %
Cumberland	19	334,728	218,040	218,040	65.14 %	100.00 %
	21	334,728	216,415	116,688	34.86 %	53.92 %
Currituck	3	28,100	198,430	28,100	100.00 %	14.16 %
Dare	1	36,915	199,750	36,915	100.00 %	18.48 %
Davidson	30	168,930	211,642	168,930	100.00 %	79.82 %
Davie	30	42,712	211,642	42,712	100.00 %	20.18 %
Duplin	9	48,715	202,791	48,715	100.00 %	24.02 %
Durham	20	324,833	199,272	122,987	37.86 %	61.72 %
	22	324,833	201,846	201,846	62.14 %	100.00 %
Edgecombe	5	48,900	219,143	48,900	100.00 %	22.31 %
Forsyth	31	382,590	210,315	173,101	45.24 %	82.31 %
	32	382,590	209,489	209,489	54.76 %	100.00 %
Franklin	11	68,573	206,121	68,573	100.00 %	33.27 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Gaston	43	227,943	201,870	201,870	88.56 %	100.00 %
	44	227,943	200,453	26,073	11.44 %	13.01 %
Gates	3	10,478	198,430	10,478	100.00 %	5.28 %
Graham	50	8,030	213,909	8,030	100.00 %	3.75 %
Granville	13	60,992	198,411	60,992	100.00 %	30.74 %
Greene	4	20,451	216,568	20,451	100.00 %	9.44 %
Guilford	26	541,299	217,918	126,822	23.43 %	58.20 %
	27	541,299	212,689	212,689	39.29 %	100.00 %
	28	541,299	201,788	201,788	37.28 %	100.00 %
Halifax	3	48,622	198,430	48,622	100.00 %	24.50 %
Harnett	12	133,568	200,794	133,568	100.00 %	66.52 %
Haywood	47	62,089	209,958	23,299	37.53 %	11.10 %
	50	62,089	213,909	38,790	62.47 %	18.13 %
Henderson	48	116,281	202,531	116,281	100.00 %	57.41 %
Hertford	3	21,552	198,430	21,552	100.00 %	10.86 %
Hoke	24	52,082	202,786	52,082	100.00 %	25.68 %
Hyde	1	4,589	199,750	4,589	100.00 %	2.30 %
Iredell	42	186,693	215,363	186,693	100.00 %	86.69 %
Jackson	50	43,109	213,909	43,109	100.00 %	20.15 %
Johnston	10	215,999	215,999	215,999	100.00 %	100.00 %
Jones	9	9,172	202,791	9,172	100.00 %	4.52 %
Lee	12	63,285	200,794	63,285	100.00 %	31.52 %
Lenoir	2	55,122	200,494	55,122	100.00 %	27.49 %
Lincoln	44	86,810	200,453	86,810	100.00 %	43.31 %
Macon	50	37,014	213,909	37,014	100.00 %	17.30 %
Madison	47	21,193	209,958	21,193	100.00 %	10.09 %
Martin	3	22,031	198,430	22,031	100.00 %	11.10 %
McDowell	46	44,578	208,541	44,578	100.00 %	21.38 %
Mecklenburg	37	1,115,482	217,151	217,151	19.47 %	100.00 %
	38	1,115,482	216,830	216,830	19.44 %	100.00 %
	39	1,115,482	218,750	218,750	19.61 %	100.00 %
	40	1,115,482	216,475	216,475	19.41 %	100.00 %
	41	1,115,482	217,606	217,606	19.51 %	100.00 %
	42	1,115,482	215,363	28,670	2.57 %	13.31 %
Mitchell	47	14,903	209,958	14,903	100.00 %	7.10 %
Montgomery	29	25,751	218,867	25,751	100.00 %	11.77 %
Moore	21	99,727	216,415	99,727	100.00 %	46.08 %
Nash	11	94,970	206,121	94,970	100.00 %	46.07 %
New Hanover	7	225,702	212,582	212,582	94.19 %	100.00 %
	8	225,702	200,436	13,120	5.81 %	6.55 %
Northampton	3	17,471	198,430	17,471	100.00 %	8.80 %
Onslow	6	204,576	204,576	204,576	100.00 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Orange	23	148,696	210,529	148,696	100.00 %	70.63 %
Pamlico	1	12,276	199,750	12,276	100.00 %	6.15 %
Pasquotank	1	40,568	199,750	40,568	100.00 %	20.31 %
Pender	9	60,203	202,791	60,203	100.00 %	29.69 %
Perquimans	1	13,005	199,750	13,005	100.00 %	6.51 %
Person	23	39,097	210,529	39,097	100.00 %	18.57 %
Pitt	5	170,243	219,143	170,243	100.00 %	77.69 %
Polk	48	19,328	202,531	19,328	100.00 %	9.54 %
Randolph	25	144,171	217,130	45,715	31.71 %	21.05 %
	29	144,171	218,867	98,456	68.29 %	44.98 %
Richmond	29	42,946	218,867	42,946	100.00 %	19.62 %
Robeson	24	116,530	202,786	116,530	100.00 %	57.46 %
Rockingham	26	91,096	217,918	91,096	100.00 %	41.80 %
Rowan	33	146,875	209,379	146,875	100.00 %	70.15 %
Rutherford	46	64,444	208,541	64,444	100.00 %	30.90 %
Sampson	9	59,036	202,791	55,095	93.32 %	27.17 %
	12	59,036	200,794	3,941	6.68 %	1.96 %
Scotland	24	34,174	202,786	34,174	100.00 %	16.85 %
Stanly	33	62,504	209,379	62,504	100.00 %	29.85 %
Stokes	36	44,520	218,292	44,520	100.00 %	20.39 %
Surry	36	71,359	218,292	71,359	100.00 %	32.69 %
Swain	50	14,117	213,909	14,117	100.00 %	6.60 %
Transylvania	50	32,986	213,909	32,986	100.00 %	15.42 %
Tyrrell	3	3,245	198,430	3,245	100.00 %	1.64 %
Union	29	238,267	218,867	29,659	12.45 %	13.55 %
	35	238,267	216,849	208,608	87.55 %	96.20 %
Vance	11	42,578	206,121	42,578	100.00 %	20.66 %
Wake	13	1,129,410	198,411	137,419	12.17 %	69.26 %
	14	1,129,410	198,368	198,368	17.56 %	100.00 %
	15	1,129,410	198,393	198,393	17.57 %	100.00 %
	16	1,129,410	198,361	198,361	17.56 %	100.00 %
	17	1,129,410	198,379	198,379	17.56 %	100.00 %
	18	1,129,410	198,490	198,490	17.57 %	100.00 %
Warren	3	18,642	198,430	18,642	100.00 %	9.39 %
Washington	1	11,003	199,750	11,003	100.00 %	5.51 %
Watauga	47	54,086	209,958	54,086	100.00 %	25.76 %
Wayne	4	117,333	216,568	117,333	100.00 %	54.18 %
Wilkes	36	65,969	218,292	65,969	100.00 %	30.22 %
Wilson	4	78,784	216,568	78,784	100.00 %	36.38 %
Yadkin	31	37,214	210,315	37,214	100.00 %	17.69 %
Yancey	47	18,470	209,958	18,470	100.00 %	8.80 %
Assigned Geography Total:				10,439,388		

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

## County - District Report

### District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Split Counties: 15



# District - County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Carteret	199,750	67,686	67,686	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	199,750	13,708	13,708	6.86 %	100.00 %
	Dare	199,750	36,915	36,915	18.48 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	199,750	4,589	4,589	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	199,750	12,276	12,276	6.15 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	199,750	40,568	40,568	20.31 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	199,750	13,005	13,005	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Washington	199,750	11,003	11,003	5.51 %	100.00 %
2	Beaufort	200,494	44,652	44,652	22.27 %	100.00 %
	Craven	200,494	100,720	100,720	50.24 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	200,494	55,122	55,122	27.49 %	100.00 %
3	Bertie	198,430	17,934	17,934	9.04 %	100.00 %
	Camden	198,430	10,355	10,355	5.22 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	198,430	28,100	28,100	14.16 %	100.00 %
	Gates	198,430	10,478	10,478	5.28 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	198,430	48,622	48,622	24.50 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	198,430	21,552	21,552	10.86 %	100.00 %
	Martin	198,430	22,031	22,031	11.10 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	198,430	17,471	17,471	8.80 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	198,430	3,245	3,245	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Warren	198,430	18,642	18,642	9.39 %	100.00 %
4	Greene	216,568	20,451	20,451	9.44 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	216,568	117,333	117,333	54.18 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	216,568	78,784	78,784	36.38 %	100.00 %
5	Edgecombe	219,143	48,900	48,900	22.31 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	219,143	170,243	170,243	77.69 %	100.00 %
6	Onslow	204,576	204,576	204,576	100.00 %	100.00 %
7	New Hanover	212,582	225,702	212,582	100.00 %	94.19 %
8	Brunswick	200,436	136,693	136,693	68.20 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	200,436	50,623	50,623	25.26 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	200,436	225,702	13,120	6.55 %	5.81 %
9	Bladen	202,791	29,606	29,606	14.60 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	202,791	48,715	48,715	24.02 %	100.00 %
	Jones	202,791	9,172	9,172	4.52 %	100.00 %
	Pender	202,791	60,203	60,203	29.69 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	202,791	59,036	55,095	27.17 %	93.32 %
10	Johnston	215,999	215,999	215,999	100.00 %	100.00 %
11	Franklin	206,121	68,573	68,573	33.27 %	100.00 %
	Nash	206,121	94,970	94,970	46.07 %	100.00 %
	Vance	206,121	42,578	42,578	20.66 %	100.00 %

# District - County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
12	Harnett	200,794	133,568	133,568	66.52 %	100.00 %
	Lee	200,794	63,285	63,285	31.52 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	200,794	59,036	3,941	1.96 %	6.68 %
13	Granville	198,411	60,992	60,992	30.74 %	100.00 %
	Wake	198,411	1,129,410	137,419	69.26 %	12.17 %
14	Wake	198,368	1,129,410	198,368	100.00 %	17.56 %
15	Wake	198,393	1,129,410	198,393	100.00 %	17.57 %
16	Wake	198,361	1,129,410	198,361	100.00 %	17.56 %
17	Wake	198,379	1,129,410	198,379	100.00 %	17.56 %
18	Wake	198,490	1,129,410	198,490	100.00 %	17.57 %
19	Cumberland	218,040	334,728	218,040	100.00 %	65.14 %
20	Chatham	199,272	76,285	76,285	38.28 %	100.00 %
	Durham	199,272	324,833	122,987	61.72 %	37.86 %
21	Cumberland	216,415	334,728	116,688	53.92 %	34.86 %
	Moore	216,415	99,727	99,727	46.08 %	100.00 %
22	Durham	201,846	324,833	201,846	100.00 %	62.14 %
23	Caswell	210,529	22,736	22,736	10.80 %	100.00 %
	Orange	210,529	148,696	148,696	70.63 %	100.00 %
	Person	210,529	39,097	39,097	18.57 %	100.00 %
24	Hoke	202,786	52,082	52,082	25.68 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	202,786	116,530	116,530	57.46 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	202,786	34,174	34,174	16.85 %	100.00 %
25	Alamance	217,130	171,415	171,415	78.95 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	217,130	144,171	45,715	21.05 %	31.71 %
26	Guilford	217,918	541,299	126,822	58.20 %	23.43 %
	Rockingham	217,918	91,096	91,096	41.80 %	100.00 %
27	Guilford	212,689	541,299	212,689	100.00 %	39.29 %
28	Guilford	201,788	541,299	201,788	100.00 %	37.28 %
29	Anson	218,867	22,055	22,055	10.08 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	218,867	25,751	25,751	11.77 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	218,867	144,171	98,456	44.98 %	68.29 %
	Richmond	218,867	42,946	42,946	19.62 %	100.00 %
	Union	218,867	238,267	29,659	13.55 %	12.45 %
30	Davidson	211,642	168,930	168,930	79.82 %	100.00 %
	Davie	211,642	42,712	42,712	20.18 %	100.00 %
31	Forsyth	210,315	382,590	173,101	82.31 %	45.24 %
	Yadkin	210,315	37,214	37,214	17.69 %	100.00 %
32	Forsyth	209,489	382,590	209,489	100.00 %	54.76 %
33	Rowan	209,379	146,875	146,875	70.15 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	209,379	62,504	62,504	29.85 %	100.00 %
34	Cabarrus	217,563	225,804	217,563	100.00 %	96.35 %

# District - County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
35	Cabarrus	216,849	225,804	8,241	3.80 %	3.65 %
	Union	216,849	238,267	208,608	96.20 %	87.55 %
36	Alexander	218,292	36,444	36,444	16.70 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	218,292	44,520	44,520	20.39 %	100.00 %
	Surry	218,292	71,359	71,359	32.69 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	218,292	65,969	65,969	30.22 %	100.00 %
37	Mecklenburg	217,151	1,115,482	217,151	100.00 %	19.47 %
38	Mecklenburg	216,830	1,115,482	216,830	100.00 %	19.44 %
39	Mecklenburg	218,750	1,115,482	218,750	100.00 %	19.61 %
40	Mecklenburg	216,475	1,115,482	216,475	100.00 %	19.41 %
41	Mecklenburg	217,606	1,115,482	217,606	100.00 %	19.51 %
42	Iredell	215,363	186,693	186,693	86.69 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	215,363	1,115,482	28,670	13.31 %	2.57 %
43	Gaston	201,870	227,943	201,870	100.00 %	88.56 %
44	Burke	200,453	87,570	87,570	43.69 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	200,453	227,943	26,073	13.01 %	11.44 %
	Lincoln	200,453	86,810	86,810	43.31 %	100.00 %
45	Caldwell	218,526	80,652	57,916	26.50 %	71.81 %
	Catawba	218,526	160,610	160,610	73.50 %	100.00 %
46	Cleveland	208,541	99,519	99,519	47.72 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	208,541	44,578	44,578	21.38 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	208,541	64,444	64,444	30.90 %	100.00 %
47	Alleghany	209,958	10,888	10,888	5.19 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	209,958	26,577	26,577	12.66 %	100.00 %
	Avery	209,958	17,806	17,806	8.48 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	209,958	80,652	22,736	10.83 %	28.19 %
	Haywood	209,958	62,089	23,299	11.10 %	37.53 %
	Madison	209,958	21,193	21,193	10.09 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	209,958	14,903	14,903	7.10 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	209,958	54,086	54,086	25.76 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	209,958	18,470	18,470	8.80 %	100.00 %
48	Buncombe	202,531	269,452	66,922	33.04 %	24.84 %
	Henderson	202,531	116,281	116,281	57.41 %	100.00 %
	Polk	202,531	19,328	19,328	9.54 %	100.00 %
49	Buncombe	202,530	269,452	202,530	100.00 %	75.16 %

## District - County Report

### District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
50	Cherokee	213,909	28,774	28,774	13.45 %	100.00 %
	Clay	213,909	11,089	11,089	5.18 %	100.00 %
	Graham	213,909	8,030	8,030	3.75 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	213,909	62,089	38,790	18.13 %	62.47 %
	Jackson	213,909	43,109	43,109	20.15 %	100.00 %
	Macon	213,909	37,014	37,014	17.30 %	100.00 %
	Swain	213,909	14,117	14,117	6.60 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	213,909	32,986	32,986	15.42 %	100.00 %
<b>Total:</b>				<b>10,439,388</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Split Counties: 15

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	21	8,516	216,415	8,516	100.00 %	3.94 %
Ahoskie	3	4,891	198,430	4,891	100.00 %	2.46 %
Alamance	25	988	217,130	988	100.00 %	0.46 %
Albemarle	33	16,432	209,379	16,432	100.00 %	7.85 %
Alliance	1	733	199,750	733	100.00 %	0.37 %
Andrews	50	1,667	213,909	1,667	100.00 %	0.78 %
Angier	12	5,265	200,794	4,709	89.44 %	2.35 %
	14	5,265	198,368	5	0.09 %	0.00 %
	17	5,265	198,379	551	10.47 %	0.28 %
Ansonville	29	440	218,867	440	100.00 %	0.20 %
Apex	16	58,780	198,361	10,191	17.34 %	5.14 %
	17	58,780	198,379	48,589	82.66 %	24.49 %
Arapahoe	1	416	199,750	416	100.00 %	0.21 %
Archdale	25	11,907	217,130	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	27	11,907	212,689	380	3.19 %	0.18 %
	29	11,907	218,867	11,527	96.81 %	5.27 %
Archer Lodge	10	4,797	215,999	4,797	100.00 %	2.22 %
Asheboro	25	27,156	217,130	1,217	4.48 %	0.56 %
	29	27,156	218,867	25,939	95.52 %	11.85 %
Asheville	48	94,589	202,531	42,861	45.31 %	21.16 %
	49	94,589	202,530	51,728	54.69 %	25.54 %
Askewville	3	184	198,430	184	100.00 %	0.09 %
Atkinson	9	296	202,791	296	100.00 %	0.15 %
Atlantic Beach	1	1,364	199,750	1,364	100.00 %	0.68 %
Aulander	3	763	198,430	763	100.00 %	0.38 %
Aurora	2	455	200,494	455	100.00 %	0.23 %
Autryville	9	167	202,791	167	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ayden	5	4,977	219,143	4,977	100.00 %	2.27 %
Badin	33	2,024	209,379	2,024	100.00 %	0.97 %
Bailey	11	568	206,121	568	100.00 %	0.28 %
Bakersville	47	450	209,958	450	100.00 %	0.21 %
Bald Head Island	8	268	200,436	268	100.00 %	0.13 %
Banner Elk	47	1,049	209,958	1,049	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bath	2	245	200,494	245	100.00 %	0.12 %
Bayboro	1	1,161	199,750	1,161	100.00 %	0.58 %
Bear Grass	3	89	198,430	89	100.00 %	0.04 %
Beaufort	1	4,464	199,750	4,464	100.00 %	2.23 %
Beech Mountain	47	675	209,958	675	100.00 %	0.32 %
Belhaven	2	1,410	200,494	1,410	100.00 %	0.70 %
Belmont	43	15,010	201,870	15,010	100.00 %	7.44 %
Belville	8	2,406	200,436	2,406	100.00 %	1.20 %
Belwood	46	857	208,541	857	100.00 %	0.41 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Benson	10	3,967	215,999	3,967	100.00 %	1.84 %
	12	3,967	200,794	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bermuda Run	30	3,120	211,642	3,120	100.00 %	1.47 %
Bessemer City	43	5,428	201,870	5,428	100.00 %	2.69 %
	44	5,428	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethania	31	344	210,315	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	32	344	209,489	344	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bethel	5	1,373	219,143	1,373	100.00 %	0.63 %
Beulaville	9	1,116	202,791	1,116	100.00 %	0.55 %
Biltmore Forest	48	1,409	202,531	1,409	100.00 %	0.70 %
	49	1,409	202,530	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Biscoe	29	1,848	218,867	1,848	100.00 %	0.84 %
Black Creek	4	692	216,568	692	100.00 %	0.32 %
Black Mountain	49	8,426	202,530	8,426	100.00 %	4.16 %
Bladenboro	9	1,648	202,791	1,648	100.00 %	0.81 %
Blowing Rock	47	1,376	209,958	1,376	100.00 %	0.66 %
Boardman	8	166	200,436	166	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bogue	1	695	199,750	695	100.00 %	0.35 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	8	5,943	200,436	5,943	100.00 %	2.97 %
Boiling Springs	46	4,615	208,541	4,615	100.00 %	2.21 %
Bolivia	8	149	200,436	149	100.00 %	0.07 %
Bolton	8	519	200,436	519	100.00 %	0.26 %
Boone	47	19,092	209,958	19,092	100.00 %	9.09 %
Boonville	31	1,185	210,315	1,185	100.00 %	0.56 %
Bostic	46	355	208,541	355	100.00 %	0.17 %
Brevard	50	7,744	213,909	7,744	100.00 %	3.62 %
Bridgeton	2	349	200,494	349	100.00 %	0.17 %
Broadway	12	1,267	200,794	1,267	100.00 %	0.63 %
Brookford	45	442	218,526	442	100.00 %	0.20 %
Brunswick	8	973	200,436	973	100.00 %	0.49 %
Bryson City	50	1,558	213,909	1,558	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bunn	11	327	206,121	327	100.00 %	0.16 %
Burgaw	9	3,088	202,791	3,088	100.00 %	1.52 %
Burlington	25	57,303	217,130	55,481	96.82 %	25.55 %
	26	57,303	217,918	1,822	3.18 %	0.84 %
Burnsville	47	1,614	209,958	1,614	100.00 %	0.77 %
Butner	13	8,397	198,411	8,397	100.00 %	4.23 %
Cajah's Mountain	45	2,722	218,526	2,722	100.00 %	1.25 %
Calabash	8	2,011	200,436	2,011	100.00 %	1.00 %
Calypso	9	327	202,791	327	100.00 %	0.16 %
Cameron	21	244	216,415	244	100.00 %	0.11 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Candor	21	813	216,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	29	813	218,867	813	100.00 %	0.37 %
Canton	47	4,422	209,958	4,422	100.00 %	2.11 %
Cape Carteret	1	2,224	199,750	2,224	100.00 %	1.11 %
Carolina Beach	7	6,564	212,582	6,564	100.00 %	3.09 %
Carolina Shores	8	4,588	200,436	4,588	100.00 %	2.29 %
Carrboro	23	21,295	210,529	21,295	100.00 %	10.11 %
Carthage	21	2,775	216,415	2,775	100.00 %	1.28 %
Cary	15	174,721	198,393	8,044	4.60 %	4.05 %
	16	174,721	198,361	111,445	63.78 %	56.18 %
	17	174,721	198,379	51,523	29.49 %	25.97 %
	20	174,721	199,272	3,709	2.12 %	1.86 %
Casar	46	305	208,541	305	100.00 %	0.15 %
Castalia	11	264	206,121	264	100.00 %	0.13 %
Caswell Beach	8	395	200,436	395	100.00 %	0.20 %
Catawba	45	702	218,526	702	100.00 %	0.32 %
Cedar Point	1	1,764	199,750	1,764	100.00 %	0.88 %
Cedar Rock	45	301	218,526	301	100.00 %	0.14 %
Cerro Gordo	8	131	200,436	131	100.00 %	0.07 %
Chadbourn	8	1,574	200,436	1,574	100.00 %	0.79 %
Chapel Hill	20	61,960	199,272	2,906	4.69 %	1.46 %
	23	61,960	210,529	59,054	95.31 %	28.05 %
Charlotte	37	874,579	217,151	186,588	21.33 %	85.93 %
	38	874,579	216,830	213,710	24.44 %	98.56 %
	39	874,579	218,750	182,696	20.89 %	83.52 %
	40	874,579	216,475	174,962	20.01 %	80.82 %
	41	874,579	217,606	116,623	13.33 %	53.59 %
Cherryville	44	6,078	200,453	6,078	100.00 %	3.03 %
Chimney Rock Village	46	140	208,541	140	100.00 %	0.07 %
China Grove	33	4,434	209,379	4,434	100.00 %	2.12 %
Chocowinity	2	722	200,494	722	100.00 %	0.36 %
Claremont	45	1,692	218,526	1,692	100.00 %	0.77 %
Clarkton	9	614	202,791	614	100.00 %	0.30 %
Clayton	10	26,307	215,999	26,307	100.00 %	12.18 %
	14	26,307	198,368	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	18	26,307	198,490	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	31	21,163	210,315	21,163	100.00 %	10.06 %
Cleveland	33	846	209,379	846	100.00 %	0.40 %
Clinton	9	8,383	202,791	8,383	100.00 %	4.13 %
Clyde	47	1,368	209,958	1,368	100.00 %	0.65 %
Coats	12	2,155	200,794	2,155	100.00 %	1.07 %
Cofield	3	267	198,430	267	100.00 %	0.13 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Colerain	3	217	198,430	217	100.00 %	0.11 %
Columbia	3	610	198,430	610	100.00 %	0.31 %
Columbus	48	1,060	202,531	1,060	100.00 %	0.52 %
Como	3	67	198,430	67	100.00 %	0.03 %
Concord	34	105,240	217,563	105,240	100.00 %	48.37 %
Conetoe	5	198	219,143	198	100.00 %	0.09 %
Connelly Springs	44	1,529	200,453	1,529	100.00 %	0.76 %
Conover	45	8,421	218,526	8,421	100.00 %	3.85 %
Conway	3	752	198,430	752	100.00 %	0.38 %
Cooleemee	30	940	211,642	940	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cornelius	41	31,412	217,606	12,421	39.54 %	5.71 %
	42	31,412	215,363	18,991	60.46 %	8.82 %
Cove City	2	378	200,494	378	100.00 %	0.19 %
Cramerton	43	5,296	201,870	5,296	100.00 %	2.62 %
Creedmoor	13	4,866	198,411	4,866	100.00 %	2.45 %
Creswell	1	207	199,750	207	100.00 %	0.10 %
Crossnore	47	143	209,958	143	100.00 %	0.07 %
Dallas	43	5,927	201,870	5,927	100.00 %	2.94 %
Danbury	36	189	218,292	189	100.00 %	0.09 %
Davidson	41	15,106	217,606	14,728	97.50 %	6.77 %
	42	15,106	215,363	378	2.50 %	0.18 %
Dellview	44	6	200,453	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	30	1,494	211,642	1,494	100.00 %	0.71 %
Dillsboro	50	213	213,909	213	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dobbins Heights	29	687	218,867	687	100.00 %	0.31 %
Dobson	36	1,462	218,292	1,462	100.00 %	0.67 %
Dortches	11	1,082	206,121	1,082	100.00 %	0.52 %
Dover	2	349	200,494	349	100.00 %	0.17 %
Drexel	44	1,760	200,453	1,760	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dublin	9	267	202,791	267	100.00 %	0.13 %
Duck	1	742	199,750	742	100.00 %	0.37 %
Dunn	12	8,446	200,794	8,446	100.00 %	4.21 %
Durham	13	283,506	198,411	269	0.09 %	0.14 %
	16	283,506	198,361	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	283,506	199,272	115,188	40.63 %	57.80 %
	22	283,506	201,846	167,905	59.22 %	83.18 %
	23	283,506	210,529	144	0.05 %	0.07 %
Earl	46	198	208,541	198	100.00 %	0.09 %
East Arcadia	9	418	202,791	418	100.00 %	0.21 %
East Bend	31	634	210,315	634	100.00 %	0.30 %
East Laurinburg	24	234	202,786	234	100.00 %	0.12 %
Eastover	19	3,656	218,040	3,656	100.00 %	1.68 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
East Spencer	33	1,567	209,379	1,567	100.00 %	0.75 %
Eden	26	15,421	217,918	15,421	100.00 %	7.08 %
Edenton	1	4,460	199,750	4,460	100.00 %	2.23 %
Elizabeth City	1	18,631	199,750	18,593	99.80 %	9.31 %
	3	18,631	198,430	38	0.20 %	0.02 %
Elizabethtown	9	3,296	202,791	3,296	100.00 %	1.63 %
Elkin	36	4,122	218,292	4,122	100.00 %	1.89 %
Elk Park	47	542	209,958	542	100.00 %	0.26 %
Ellenboro	46	723	208,541	723	100.00 %	0.35 %
Ellerbe	29	864	218,867	864	100.00 %	0.39 %
Elm City	4	1,218	216,568	1,218	100.00 %	0.56 %
	11	1,218	206,121	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elon	25	11,336	217,130	11,336	100.00 %	5.22 %
Emerald Isle	1	3,847	199,750	3,847	100.00 %	1.93 %
Enfield	3	1,865	198,430	1,865	100.00 %	0.94 %
Erwin	12	4,542	200,794	4,542	100.00 %	2.26 %
Eureka	4	214	216,568	214	100.00 %	0.10 %
Everetts	3	150	198,430	150	100.00 %	0.08 %
Fair Bluff	8	709	200,436	709	100.00 %	0.35 %
Fairmont	24	2,191	202,786	2,191	100.00 %	1.08 %
Fairview	35	3,456	216,849	3,456	100.00 %	1.59 %
Faison	9	784	202,791	784	100.00 %	0.39 %
Faith	33	819	209,379	819	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon	9	324	202,791	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	324	218,040	324	100.00 %	0.15 %
Falkland	5	47	219,143	47	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fallston	46	627	208,541	627	100.00 %	0.30 %
Farmville	5	4,461	219,143	4,461	100.00 %	2.04 %
Fayetteville	19	208,501	218,040	111,167	53.32 %	50.98 %
	21	208,501	216,415	97,334	46.68 %	44.98 %
Flat Rock	48	3,486	202,531	3,486	100.00 %	1.72 %
Fletcher	48	7,987	202,531	7,987	100.00 %	3.94 %
Fontana Dam	50	13	213,909	13	100.00 %	0.01 %
Forest City	46	7,377	208,541	7,377	100.00 %	3.54 %
Forest Hills	50	303	213,909	303	100.00 %	0.14 %
Fountain	5	385	219,143	385	100.00 %	0.18 %
Four Oaks	10	2,158	215,999	2,158	100.00 %	1.00 %
Foxfire	21	1,288	216,415	1,288	100.00 %	0.60 %
Franklin	50	4,175	213,909	4,175	100.00 %	1.95 %
Franklinton	11	2,456	206,121	2,456	100.00 %	1.19 %
Franklinville	25	1,197	217,130	1,197	100.00 %	0.55 %
Fremont	4	1,196	216,568	1,196	100.00 %	0.55 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fuquay-Varina	12	34,152	200,794	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	14	34,152	198,368	2,779	8.14 %	1.40 %
	15	34,152	198,393	1,900	5.56 %	0.96 %
	17	34,152	198,379	29,473	86.30 %	14.86 %
Gamewell	45	3,702	218,526	65	1.76 %	0.03 %
	47	3,702	209,958	3,637	98.24 %	1.73 %
Garland	9	595	202,791	595	100.00 %	0.29 %
Garner	14	31,159	198,368	30,669	98.43 %	15.46 %
	15	31,159	198,393	490	1.57 %	0.25 %
Garysburg	3	904	198,430	904	100.00 %	0.46 %
Gaston	3	1,008	198,430	1,008	100.00 %	0.51 %
Gastonia	43	80,411	201,870	80,411	100.00 %	39.83 %
	44	80,411	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gatesville	3	267	198,430	267	100.00 %	0.13 %
Gibson	24	449	202,786	449	100.00 %	0.22 %
Gibsonville	25	8,920	217,130	4,278	47.96 %	1.97 %
	26	8,920	217,918	4,642	52.04 %	2.13 %
Glen Alpine	44	1,529	200,453	1,529	100.00 %	0.76 %
Godwin	19	128	218,040	128	100.00 %	0.06 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	216,568	33,657	100.00 %	15.54 %
Goldston	20	234	199,272	234	100.00 %	0.12 %
Graham	25	17,157	217,130	17,157	100.00 %	7.90 %
Grandfather Village	47	95	209,958	95	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Falls	45	4,965	218,526	4,965	100.00 %	2.27 %
Granite Quarry	33	2,984	209,379	2,984	100.00 %	1.43 %
Grantsboro	1	692	199,750	692	100.00 %	0.35 %
Greenevers	9	567	202,791	567	100.00 %	0.28 %
Green Level	25	3,152	217,130	3,152	100.00 %	1.45 %
Greensboro	26	299,035	217,918	65,210	21.81 %	29.92 %
	27	299,035	212,689	77,044	25.76 %	36.22 %
	28	299,035	201,788	156,781	52.43 %	77.70 %
Greenville	5	87,521	219,143	87,521	100.00 %	39.94 %
Grifton	2	2,448	200,494	147	6.00 %	0.07 %
	5	2,448	219,143	2,301	94.00 %	1.05 %
Grimesland	5	386	219,143	386	100.00 %	0.18 %
Grover	46	802	208,541	802	100.00 %	0.38 %
Halifax	3	170	198,430	170	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hamilton	3	306	198,430	306	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hamlet	29	6,025	218,867	6,025	100.00 %	2.75 %
Harmony	42	543	215,363	543	100.00 %	0.25 %
Harrells	9	160	202,791	160	100.00 %	0.08 %
Harrellsville	3	85	198,430	85	100.00 %	0.04 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harrisburg	34	18,967	217,563	18,967	100.00 %	8.72 %
Hassell	3	49	198,430	49	100.00 %	0.02 %
Havelock	2	16,621	200,494	16,621	100.00 %	8.29 %
Haw River	25	2,252	217,130	2,252	100.00 %	1.04 %
Hayesville	50	461	213,909	461	100.00 %	0.22 %
Hemby Bridge	35	1,614	216,849	1,614	100.00 %	0.74 %
Henderson	11	15,060	206,121	15,060	100.00 %	7.31 %
Hendersonville	48	15,137	202,531	15,137	100.00 %	7.47 %
Hertford	1	1,934	199,750	1,934	100.00 %	0.97 %
Hickory	44	43,490	200,453	79	0.18 %	0.04 %
	45	43,490	218,526	43,411	99.82 %	19.87 %
Highlands	50	1,072	213,909	1,072	100.00 %	0.50 %
High Point	27	114,059	212,689	107,321	94.09 %	50.46 %
	29	114,059	218,867	8	0.01 %	0.00 %
	30	114,059	211,642	6,646	5.83 %	3.14 %
	32	114,059	209,489	84	0.07 %	0.04 %
High Shoals	44	595	200,453	595	100.00 %	0.30 %
Hildebran	44	1,679	200,453	1,679	100.00 %	0.84 %
Hillsborough	23	9,660	210,529	9,660	100.00 %	4.59 %
Hobgood	3	268	198,430	268	100.00 %	0.14 %
Hoffman	29	418	218,867	418	100.00 %	0.19 %
Holden Beach	8	921	200,436	921	100.00 %	0.46 %
Holly Ridge	6	4,171	204,576	4,171	100.00 %	2.04 %
Holly Springs	15	41,239	198,393	2,777	6.73 %	1.40 %
	17	41,239	198,379	38,462	93.27 %	19.39 %
Hookerton	4	413	216,568	413	100.00 %	0.19 %
Hope Mills	19	17,808	218,040	17,808	100.00 %	8.17 %
Hot Springs	47	520	209,958	520	100.00 %	0.25 %
Hudson	45	3,780	218,526	3,780	100.00 %	1.73 %
Huntersville	41	61,376	217,606	51,709	84.25 %	23.76 %
	42	61,376	215,363	9,667	15.75 %	4.49 %
Indian Beach	1	223	199,750	223	100.00 %	0.11 %
Indian Trail	35	39,997	216,849	39,997	100.00 %	18.44 %
Jackson	3	430	198,430	430	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jacksonville	6	72,723	204,576	72,723	100.00 %	35.55 %
Jamestown	27	3,668	212,689	3,668	100.00 %	1.72 %
Jamesville	3	424	198,430	424	100.00 %	0.21 %
Jefferson	47	1,622	209,958	1,622	100.00 %	0.77 %
Jonesville	31	2,308	210,315	2,308	100.00 %	1.10 %
Kannapolis	33	53,114	209,379	10,268	19.33 %	4.90 %
	34	53,114	217,563	42,846	80.67 %	19.69 %
Kelford	3	203	198,430	203	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kenansville	9	770	202,791	770	100.00 %	0.38 %
Kenly	4	1,491	216,568	198	13.28 %	0.09 %
	10	1,491	215,999	1,293	86.72 %	0.60 %
Kernersville	27	26,449	212,689	502	1.90 %	0.24 %
	32	26,449	209,489	25,947	98.10 %	12.39 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	199,750	7,656	100.00 %	3.83 %
King	32	7,197	209,489	591	8.21 %	0.28 %
	36	7,197	218,292	6,606	91.79 %	3.03 %
Kings Mountain	43	11,142	201,870	1,110	9.96 %	0.55 %
	46	11,142	208,541	10,032	90.04 %	4.81 %
Kingstown	46	656	208,541	656	100.00 %	0.31 %
Kinston	2	19,900	200,494	19,900	100.00 %	9.93 %
Kittrell	11	132	206,121	132	100.00 %	0.06 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	199,750	3,689	100.00 %	1.85 %
Knightdale	14	19,435	198,368	12,398	63.79 %	6.25 %
	18	19,435	198,490	7,037	36.21 %	3.55 %
Kure Beach	7	2,191	212,582	2,191	100.00 %	1.03 %
La Grange	2	2,595	200,494	2,595	100.00 %	1.29 %
Lake Lure	46	1,365	208,541	1,365	100.00 %	0.65 %
Lake Park	35	3,269	216,849	3,269	100.00 %	1.51 %
Lake Santeetlah	50	38	213,909	38	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lake Waccamaw	8	1,296	200,436	1,296	100.00 %	0.65 %
Landis	33	3,690	209,379	3,690	100.00 %	1.76 %
Lansing	47	126	209,958	126	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lasker	3	64	198,430	64	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lattimore	46	406	208,541	406	100.00 %	0.19 %
Laurel Park	48	2,250	202,531	2,250	100.00 %	1.11 %
Laurinburg	24	14,978	202,786	14,978	100.00 %	7.39 %
Lawndale	46	570	208,541	570	100.00 %	0.27 %
Leggett	5	37	219,143	37	100.00 %	0.02 %
Leland	8	22,908	200,436	22,908	100.00 %	11.43 %
Lenoir	45	18,352	218,526	13,830	75.36 %	6.33 %
	47	18,352	209,958	4,522	24.64 %	2.15 %
Lewiston Woodville	3	426	198,430	426	100.00 %	0.21 %
Lewisville	31	13,381	210,315	13,381	100.00 %	6.36 %
Lexington	30	19,632	211,642	19,632	100.00 %	9.28 %
Liberty	25	2,655	217,130	2,655	100.00 %	1.22 %
Lilesville	29	395	218,867	395	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lillington	12	4,735	200,794	4,735	100.00 %	2.36 %
Lincolnton	44	11,091	200,453	11,091	100.00 %	5.53 %
Linden	19	136	218,040	136	100.00 %	0.06 %
Littleton	3	559	198,430	559	100.00 %	0.28 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Locust	33	4,537	209,379	3,996	88.08 %	1.91 %
	34	4,537	217,563	423	9.32 %	0.19 %
	35	4,537	216,849	118	2.60 %	0.05 %
Long View	44	5,088	200,453	735	14.45 %	0.37 %
	45	5,088	218,526	4,353	85.55 %	1.99 %
Louisburg	11	3,064	206,121	3,064	100.00 %	1.49 %
Love Valley	42	154	215,363	154	100.00 %	0.07 %
Lowell	43	3,654	201,870	3,654	100.00 %	1.81 %
Lucama	4	1,036	216,568	1,036	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lumber Bridge	24	82	202,786	82	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lumberton	24	19,025	202,786	19,025	100.00 %	9.38 %
McAdenville	43	890	201,870	890	100.00 %	0.44 %
Macclesfield	5	413	219,143	413	100.00 %	0.19 %
McDonald	24	94	202,786	94	100.00 %	0.05 %
McFarlan	29	94	218,867	94	100.00 %	0.04 %
Macon	3	110	198,430	110	100.00 %	0.06 %
Madison	26	2,129	217,918	2,129	100.00 %	0.98 %
Maggie Valley	50	1,687	213,909	1,687	100.00 %	0.79 %
Magnolia	9	831	202,791	831	100.00 %	0.41 %
Maiden	44	3,736	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	45	3,736	218,526	3,736	100.00 %	1.71 %
Manteo	1	1,600	199,750	1,600	100.00 %	0.80 %
Marietta	24	111	202,786	111	100.00 %	0.05 %
Marion	46	7,717	208,541	7,717	100.00 %	3.70 %
Marshall	47	777	209,958	777	100.00 %	0.37 %
Mars Hill	47	2,007	209,958	2,007	100.00 %	0.96 %
Marshville	29	2,522	218,867	2,522	100.00 %	1.15 %
Marvin	35	6,358	216,849	6,358	100.00 %	2.93 %
Matthews	37	29,435	217,151	29,435	100.00 %	13.56 %
Maxton	24	2,110	202,786	2,110	100.00 %	1.04 %
Mayodan	26	2,418	217,918	2,418	100.00 %	1.11 %
Maysville	9	818	202,791	818	100.00 %	0.40 %
Mebane	23	17,797	210,529	3,171	17.82 %	1.51 %
	25	17,797	217,130	14,626	82.18 %	6.74 %
Mesic	1	144	199,750	144	100.00 %	0.07 %
Micro	10	458	215,999	458	100.00 %	0.21 %
Middleburg	11	101	206,121	101	100.00 %	0.05 %
Middlesex	11	912	206,121	912	100.00 %	0.44 %
Midland	34	4,684	217,563	4	0.09 %	0.00 %
	35	4,684	216,849	4,680	99.91 %	2.16 %
	40	4,684	216,475	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	30	4,742	211,642	4,742	100.00 %	2.24 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mills River	48	7,078	202,531	7,078	100.00 %	3.49 %
Milton	23	155	210,529	155	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mineral Springs	35	3,159	216,849	3,159	100.00 %	1.46 %
Minnesott Beach	1	530	199,750	530	100.00 %	0.27 %
Mint Hill	35	26,450	216,849	6	0.02 %	0.00 %
	40	26,450	216,475	26,444	99.98 %	12.22 %
Misenheimer	33	650	209,379	650	100.00 %	0.31 %
Mocksville	30	5,900	211,642	5,900	100.00 %	2.79 %
Momeyer	11	277	206,121	277	100.00 %	0.13 %
Monroe	29	34,562	218,867	225	0.65 %	0.10 %
	35	34,562	216,849	34,337	99.35 %	15.83 %
Montreat	49	901	202,530	901	100.00 %	0.44 %
Mooresboro	46	293	208,541	293	100.00 %	0.14 %
Mooresville	42	50,193	215,363	50,193	100.00 %	23.31 %
Morehead City	1	9,556	199,750	9,556	100.00 %	4.78 %
Morganton	44	17,474	200,453	17,474	100.00 %	8.72 %
Morrisville	16	29,630	198,361	29,423	99.30 %	14.83 %
	20	29,630	199,272	207	0.70 %	0.10 %
Morven	29	329	218,867	329	100.00 %	0.15 %
Mount Airy	36	10,676	218,292	10,676	100.00 %	4.89 %
Mount Gilead	29	1,171	218,867	1,171	100.00 %	0.54 %
Mount Holly	43	17,703	201,870	17,703	100.00 %	8.77 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	216,568	4,193	99.88 %	1.94 %
	9	4,198	202,791	5	0.12 %	0.00 %
Mount Pleasant	34	1,671	217,563	1,671	100.00 %	0.77 %
Murfreesboro	3	2,619	198,430	2,619	100.00 %	1.32 %
Murphy	50	1,608	213,909	1,608	100.00 %	0.75 %
Nags Head	1	3,168	199,750	3,168	100.00 %	1.59 %
Nashville	11	5,632	206,121	5,632	100.00 %	2.73 %
Navassa	8	1,367	200,436	1,367	100.00 %	0.68 %
New Bern	2	31,291	200,494	31,291	100.00 %	15.61 %
Newland	47	715	209,958	715	100.00 %	0.34 %
New London	33	607	209,379	607	100.00 %	0.29 %
Newport	1	4,364	199,750	4,364	100.00 %	2.18 %
Newton	45	13,148	218,526	13,148	100.00 %	6.02 %
Newton Grove	9	585	202,791	585	100.00 %	0.29 %
Norlina	3	920	198,430	920	100.00 %	0.46 %
Norman	29	100	218,867	100	100.00 %	0.05 %
North Topsail Beach	6	1,005	204,576	1,005	100.00 %	0.49 %
Northwest	8	703	200,436	703	100.00 %	0.35 %
North Wilkesboro	36	4,382	218,292	4,382	100.00 %	2.01 %
Norwood	33	2,367	209,379	2,367	100.00 %	1.13 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Oakboro	33	2,128	209,379	2,128	100.00 %	1.02 %
Oak City	3	266	198,430	266	100.00 %	0.13 %
Oak Island	8	8,396	200,436	8,396	100.00 %	4.19 %
Oak Ridge	27	7,474	212,689	3	0.04 %	0.00 %
	28	7,474	201,788	7,471	99.96 %	3.70 %
Ocean Isle Beach	8	867	200,436	867	100.00 %	0.43 %
Old Fort	46	811	208,541	811	100.00 %	0.39 %
Oriental	1	880	199,750	880	100.00 %	0.44 %
Orrum	24	59	202,786	59	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ossipee	25	536	217,130	536	100.00 %	0.25 %
Oxford	13	8,628	198,411	8,628	100.00 %	4.35 %
Pantego	2	164	200,494	164	100.00 %	0.08 %
Parkton	24	504	202,786	504	100.00 %	0.25 %
Parmelee	3	243	198,430	243	100.00 %	0.12 %
Patterson Springs	46	571	208,541	571	100.00 %	0.27 %
Peachland	29	390	218,867	390	100.00 %	0.18 %
Peletier	1	769	199,750	769	100.00 %	0.38 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	202,786	2,823	100.00 %	1.39 %
Pikeville	4	712	216,568	712	100.00 %	0.33 %
Pilot Mountain	36	1,440	218,292	1,440	100.00 %	0.66 %
Pinebluff	21	1,473	216,415	1,473	100.00 %	0.68 %
Pinehurst	21	17,581	216,415	17,581	100.00 %	8.12 %
Pine Knoll Shores	1	1,388	199,750	1,388	100.00 %	0.69 %
Pine Level	10	2,046	215,999	2,046	100.00 %	0.95 %
Pinetops	5	1,200	219,143	1,200	100.00 %	0.55 %
Pineville	39	10,602	218,750	10,602	100.00 %	4.85 %
Pink Hill	2	451	200,494	451	100.00 %	0.22 %
Pittsboro	20	4,537	199,272	4,537	100.00 %	2.28 %
Pleasant Garden	26	5,000	217,918	5,000	100.00 %	2.29 %
	27	5,000	212,689	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	199,750	3,320	100.00 %	1.66 %
Polkton	29	2,250	218,867	2,250	100.00 %	1.03 %
Polkville	46	516	208,541	516	100.00 %	0.25 %
Pollocksville	9	268	202,791	268	100.00 %	0.13 %
Powellsville	3	189	198,430	189	100.00 %	0.10 %
Princeton	10	1,315	215,999	1,315	100.00 %	0.61 %
Princeville	5	1,254	219,143	1,254	100.00 %	0.57 %
Proctorville	24	121	202,786	121	100.00 %	0.06 %
Raeford	24	4,559	202,786	4,559	100.00 %	2.25 %

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh	13	467,665	198,411	88,167	18.85 %	44.44 %
	14	467,665	198,368	101,541	21.71 %	51.19 %
	15	467,665	198,393	159,867	34.18 %	80.58 %
	16	467,665	198,361	44,179	9.45 %	22.27 %
	18	467,665	198,490	72,352	15.47 %	36.45 %
	20	467,665	199,272	233	0.05 %	0.12 %
	22	467,665	201,846	1,326	0.28 %	0.66 %
Ramseur	25	1,774	217,130	1,774	100.00 %	0.82 %
Randleman	25	4,595	217,130	4,595	100.00 %	2.12 %
Ranlo	43	4,511	201,870	4,511	100.00 %	2.23 %
Raynham	24	60	202,786	60	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Cross	33	762	209,379	762	100.00 %	0.36 %
Red Oak	11	3,342	206,121	3,342	100.00 %	1.62 %
Red Springs	24	3,087	202,786	3,087	100.00 %	1.52 %
Reidsville	26	14,583	217,918	14,583	100.00 %	6.69 %
Rennert	24	275	202,786	275	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rhodhiss	44	997	200,453	639	64.09 %	0.32 %
	45	997	218,526	358	35.91 %	0.16 %
Richfield	33	582	209,379	582	100.00 %	0.28 %
Richlands	6	2,287	204,576	2,287	100.00 %	1.12 %
Rich Square	3	894	198,430	894	100.00 %	0.45 %
River Bend	2	2,902	200,494	2,902	100.00 %	1.45 %
Roanoke Rapids	3	15,229	198,430	15,229	100.00 %	7.67 %
Robbins	21	1,168	216,415	1,168	100.00 %	0.54 %
Robbinsville	50	597	213,909	597	100.00 %	0.28 %
Robersonville	3	1,269	198,430	1,269	100.00 %	0.64 %
Rockingham	29	9,243	218,867	9,243	100.00 %	4.22 %
Rockwell	33	2,302	209,379	2,302	100.00 %	1.10 %
Rocky Mount	5	54,341	219,143	15,414	28.37 %	7.03 %
	11	54,341	206,121	38,927	71.63 %	18.89 %
Rolesville	18	9,475	198,490	9,475	100.00 %	4.77 %
Ronda	36	438	218,292	438	100.00 %	0.20 %
Roper	1	485	199,750	485	100.00 %	0.24 %
Roseboro	9	1,163	202,791	1,163	100.00 %	0.57 %
Rose Hill	9	1,371	202,791	1,371	100.00 %	0.68 %
Rosman	50	701	213,909	701	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rowland	24	885	202,786	885	100.00 %	0.44 %
Roxboro	23	8,134	210,529	8,134	100.00 %	3.86 %
Roxobel	3	187	198,430	187	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rural Hall	32	3,351	209,489	3,351	100.00 %	1.60 %
Ruth	46	347	208,541	347	100.00 %	0.17 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rutherford College	44	1,226	200,453	1,226	100.00 %	0.61 %
	45	1,226	218,526	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	46	3,640	208,541	3,640	100.00 %	1.75 %
St. Helena	9	417	202,791	417	100.00 %	0.21 %
St. James	8	6,529	200,436	6,529	100.00 %	3.26 %
St. Pauls	24	2,045	202,786	2,045	100.00 %	1.01 %
Salemburg	9	457	202,791	457	100.00 %	0.23 %
Salisbury	33	35,540	209,379	35,540	100.00 %	16.97 %
Saluda	48	631	202,531	631	100.00 %	0.31 %
Sandy Creek	8	248	200,436	248	100.00 %	0.12 %
Sandyfield	8	430	200,436	430	100.00 %	0.21 %
Sanford	12	30,261	200,794	30,261	100.00 %	15.07 %
Saratoga	4	353	216,568	353	100.00 %	0.16 %
Sawmills	45	5,020	218,526	5,020	100.00 %	2.30 %
Scotland Neck	3	1,640	198,430	1,640	100.00 %	0.83 %
Seaboard	3	542	198,430	542	100.00 %	0.27 %
Seagrove	29	235	218,867	235	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sedalia	26	676	217,918	676	100.00 %	0.31 %
Selma	10	6,317	215,999	6,317	100.00 %	2.92 %
Seven Devils	47	313	209,958	313	100.00 %	0.15 %
Seven Springs	4	55	216,568	55	100.00 %	0.03 %
Severn	3	191	198,430	191	100.00 %	0.10 %
Shallotte	8	4,185	200,436	4,185	100.00 %	2.09 %
Sharpsburg	4	1,697	216,568	421	24.81 %	0.19 %
	5	1,697	219,143	215	12.67 %	0.10 %
	11	1,697	206,121	1,061	62.52 %	0.51 %
Shelby	46	21,918	208,541	21,918	100.00 %	10.51 %
Siler City	20	7,702	199,272	7,702	100.00 %	3.87 %
Simpson	5	390	219,143	390	100.00 %	0.18 %
Sims	4	275	216,568	275	100.00 %	0.13 %
Smithfield	10	11,292	215,999	11,292	100.00 %	5.23 %
Snow Hill	4	1,481	216,568	1,481	100.00 %	0.68 %
Southern Pines	21	15,545	216,415	15,545	100.00 %	7.18 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	199,750	3,090	100.00 %	1.55 %
Southport	8	3,971	200,436	3,971	100.00 %	1.98 %
Sparta	47	1,834	209,958	1,834	100.00 %	0.87 %
Speed	5	63	219,143	63	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer	33	3,308	209,379	3,308	100.00 %	1.58 %
Spencer Mountain	43	0	201,870	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	46	4,225	208,541	4,225	100.00 %	2.03 %
Spring Hope	11	1,309	206,121	1,309	100.00 %	0.64 %
Spring Lake	21	11,660	216,415	11,660	100.00 %	5.39 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Spruce Pine	47	2,194	209,958	2,194	100.00 %	1.04 %
Staley	25	397	217,130	397	100.00 %	0.18 %
Stallings	35	16,112	216,849	15,728	97.62 %	7.25 %
	37	16,112	217,151	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
	40	16,112	216,475	373	2.32 %	0.17 %
Stanfield	33	1,585	209,379	1,585	100.00 %	0.76 %
Stanley	43	3,963	201,870	3,946	99.57 %	1.95 %
	44	3,963	200,453	17	0.43 %	0.01 %
Stantonsburg	4	762	216,568	762	100.00 %	0.35 %
Star	29	806	218,867	806	100.00 %	0.37 %
Statesville	42	28,419	215,363	28,419	100.00 %	13.20 %
Stedman	19	1,277	218,040	1,277	100.00 %	0.59 %
Stem	13	960	198,411	960	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stokesdale	28	5,924	201,788	5,924	100.00 %	2.94 %
Stoneville	26	1,308	217,918	1,308	100.00 %	0.60 %
Stonewall	1	214	199,750	214	100.00 %	0.11 %
Stovall	13	324	198,411	324	100.00 %	0.16 %
Sugar Mountain	47	371	209,958	371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Summerfield	28	10,951	201,788	10,951	100.00 %	5.43 %
Sunset Beach	8	4,175	200,436	4,175	100.00 %	2.08 %
Surf City	6	3,867	204,576	334	8.64 %	0.16 %
	9	3,867	202,791	3,533	91.36 %	1.74 %
Swansboro	6	3,744	204,576	3,744	100.00 %	1.83 %
Sweepsonville	25	2,445	217,130	2,445	100.00 %	1.13 %
Sylva	50	2,578	213,909	2,578	100.00 %	1.21 %
Tabor City	8	3,781	200,436	3,781	100.00 %	1.89 %
Tarboro	5	10,721	219,143	10,721	100.00 %	4.89 %
Tar Heel	9	90	202,791	90	100.00 %	0.04 %
Taylorville	36	2,320	218,292	2,320	100.00 %	1.06 %
Taylortown	21	634	216,415	634	100.00 %	0.29 %
Teachey	9	448	202,791	448	100.00 %	0.22 %
Thomasville	29	27,183	218,867	521	1.92 %	0.24 %
	30	27,183	211,642	26,662	98.08 %	12.60 %
Tobaccoville	32	2,578	209,489	2,578	100.00 %	1.23 %
	36	2,578	218,292	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	9	461	202,791	461	100.00 %	0.23 %
Trenton	9	238	202,791	238	100.00 %	0.12 %
Trent Woods	2	4,074	200,494	4,074	100.00 %	2.03 %
Trinity	29	7,006	218,867	7,006	100.00 %	3.20 %
Troutman	42	3,698	215,363	3,698	100.00 %	1.72 %
Troy	29	2,850	218,867	2,850	100.00 %	1.30 %
Tryon	48	1,562	202,531	1,562	100.00 %	0.77 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Turkey	9	213	202,791	213	100.00 %	0.11 %
Unionville	35	6,643	216,849	6,643	100.00 %	3.06 %
Valdese	44	4,689	200,453	4,689	100.00 %	2.34 %
Vanceboro	2	869	200,494	869	100.00 %	0.43 %
Vandemere	1	246	199,750	246	100.00 %	0.12 %
Varnamtown	8	525	200,436	525	100.00 %	0.26 %
Vass	21	952	216,415	952	100.00 %	0.44 %
Waco	46	310	208,541	310	100.00 %	0.15 %
Wade	19	638	218,040	638	100.00 %	0.29 %
Wadesboro	29	5,008	218,867	5,008	100.00 %	2.29 %
Wagram	24	615	202,786	615	100.00 %	0.30 %
Wake Forest	11	47,601	206,121	1,504	3.16 %	0.73 %
	13	47,601	198,411	3,639	7.64 %	1.83 %
	18	47,601	198,490	42,458	89.20 %	21.39 %
Walkertown	32	5,692	209,489	5,692	100.00 %	2.72 %
Wallace	9	3,413	202,791	3,413	100.00 %	1.68 %
Wallburg	30	3,051	211,642	3,051	100.00 %	1.44 %
Walnut Cove	36	1,586	218,292	1,586	100.00 %	0.73 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	216,568	1,084	100.00 %	0.50 %
Walstonburg	4	193	216,568	193	100.00 %	0.09 %
Warrenton	3	851	198,430	851	100.00 %	0.43 %
Warsaw	9	2,733	202,791	2,733	100.00 %	1.35 %
Washington	2	9,875	200,494	9,875	100.00 %	4.93 %
Washington Park	2	392	200,494	392	100.00 %	0.20 %
Watha	9	181	202,791	181	100.00 %	0.09 %
Waxhaw	29	20,534	218,867	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	35	20,534	216,849	20,534	100.00 %	9.47 %
Waynesville	50	10,140	213,909	10,140	100.00 %	4.74 %
Weaverville	49	4,567	202,530	4,567	100.00 %	2.25 %
Webster	50	372	213,909	372	100.00 %	0.17 %
Weddington	35	13,181	216,849	13,176	99.96 %	6.08 %
	37	13,181	217,151	5	0.04 %	0.00 %
Weldon	3	1,444	198,430	1,444	100.00 %	0.73 %
Wendell	18	9,793	198,490	9,793	100.00 %	4.93 %
Wentworth	26	2,662	217,918	2,662	100.00 %	1.22 %
Wesley Chapel	35	8,681	216,849	8,681	100.00 %	4.00 %
West Jefferson	47	1,279	209,958	1,279	100.00 %	0.61 %
Whispering Pines	21	4,987	216,415	4,987	100.00 %	2.30 %
Whitakers	5	627	219,143	290	46.25 %	0.13 %
	11	627	206,121	337	53.75 %	0.16 %
White Lake	9	843	202,791	843	100.00 %	0.42 %
Whiteville	8	4,766	200,436	4,766	100.00 %	2.38 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Whitsett	26	584	217,918	584	100.00 %	0.27 %
Wilkesboro	36	3,687	218,292	3,687	100.00 %	1.69 %
Williamston	3	5,248	198,430	5,248	100.00 %	2.64 %
Wilmington	7	115,451	212,582	115,451	100.00 %	54.31 %
	8	115,451	200,436	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wilson	4	47,851	216,568	47,851	100.00 %	22.10 %
Wilson's Mills	10	2,534	215,999	2,534	100.00 %	1.17 %
Windsor	3	3,582	198,430	3,582	100.00 %	1.81 %
Winfall	1	555	199,750	555	100.00 %	0.28 %
Wingate	29	4,055	218,867	4,055	100.00 %	1.85 %
Winston-Salem	31	249,545	210,315	121,286	48.60 %	57.67 %
	32	249,545	209,489	128,259	51.40 %	61.22 %
Winterville	5	10,462	219,143	10,462	100.00 %	4.77 %
Winton	3	629	198,430	629	100.00 %	0.32 %
Woodfin	49	7,936	202,530	7,936	100.00 %	3.92 %
Woodland	3	557	198,430	557	100.00 %	0.28 %
Wrightsville Beach	7	2,473	212,582	2,473	100.00 %	1.16 %
Yadkinville	31	2,995	210,315	2,995	100.00 %	1.42 %
Yanceyville	23	1,937	210,529	1,937	100.00 %	0.92 %
Youngsville	11	2,016	206,121	2,016	100.00 %	0.98 %
Zebulon	10	6,903	215,999	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	18	6,903	198,490	6,903	100.00 %	3.48 %
Assigned Geography Total:				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 553

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Split Municipalities: 68

Splits Involving Population: 52

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	21	8,516	216,415	8,516	100.00 %	3.94 %
Ahoskie	3	4,891	198,430	4,891	100.00 %	2.46 %
Alamance	25	988	217,130	988	100.00 %	0.46 %
Albemarle	33	16,432	209,379	16,432	100.00 %	7.85 %
Alliance	1	733	199,750	733	100.00 %	0.37 %
Andrews	50	1,667	213,909	1,667	100.00 %	0.78 %
Angier (Harnett)	12	4,709	200,794	4,709	100.00 %	2.35 %
Angier (Wake)	14	556	198,368	5	0.90 %	0.00 %
	17	556	198,379	551	99.10 %	0.28 %
Ansonville	29	440	218,867	440	100.00 %	0.20 %
Apex	16	58,780	198,361	10,191	17.34 %	5.14 %
	17	58,780	198,379	48,589	82.66 %	24.49 %
Arapahoe	1	416	199,750	416	100.00 %	0.21 %
Archdale (Guilford)	27	380	212,689	380	100.00 %	0.18 %
Archdale (Randolph)	25	11,527	217,130	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	29	11,527	218,867	11,527	100.00 %	5.27 %
Archer Lodge	10	4,797	215,999	4,797	100.00 %	2.22 %
Asheboro	25	27,156	217,130	1,217	4.48 %	0.56 %
	29	27,156	218,867	25,939	95.52 %	11.85 %
Asheville	48	94,589	202,531	42,861	45.31 %	21.16 %
	49	94,589	202,530	51,728	54.69 %	25.54 %
Askewville	3	184	198,430	184	100.00 %	0.09 %
Atkinson	9	296	202,791	296	100.00 %	0.15 %
Atlantic Beach	1	1,364	199,750	1,364	100.00 %	0.68 %
Aulander	3	763	198,430	763	100.00 %	0.38 %
Aurora	2	455	200,494	455	100.00 %	0.23 %
Autryville	9	167	202,791	167	100.00 %	0.08 %
Ayden	5	4,977	219,143	4,977	100.00 %	2.27 %
Badin	33	2,024	209,379	2,024	100.00 %	0.97 %
Bailey	11	568	206,121	568	100.00 %	0.28 %
Bakersville	47	450	209,958	450	100.00 %	0.21 %
Bald Head Island	8	268	200,436	268	100.00 %	0.13 %
Banner Elk	47	1,049	209,958	1,049	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bath	2	245	200,494	245	100.00 %	0.12 %
Bayboro	1	1,161	199,750	1,161	100.00 %	0.58 %
Bear Grass	3	89	198,430	89	100.00 %	0.04 %
Beaufort	1	4,464	199,750	4,464	100.00 %	2.23 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	47	62	209,958	62	100.00 %	0.03 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	47	613	209,958	613	100.00 %	0.29 %
Belhaven	2	1,410	200,494	1,410	100.00 %	0.70 %
Belmont	43	15,010	201,870	15,010	100.00 %	7.44 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belville	8	2,406	200,436	2,406	100.00 %	1.20 %
Belwood	46	857	208,541	857	100.00 %	0.41 %
Benson (Harnett)	12	0	200,794	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	10	3,967	215,999	3,967	100.00 %	1.84 %
Bermuda Run	30	3,120	211,642	3,120	100.00 %	1.47 %
Bessemer City	43	5,428	201,870	5,428	100.00 %	2.69 %
	44	5,428	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bethania	31	344	210,315	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	32	344	209,489	344	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bethel	5	1,373	219,143	1,373	100.00 %	0.63 %
Beulaville	9	1,116	202,791	1,116	100.00 %	0.55 %
Biltmore Forest	48	1,409	202,531	1,409	100.00 %	0.70 %
	49	1,409	202,530	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Biscoe	29	1,848	218,867	1,848	100.00 %	0.84 %
Black Creek	4	692	216,568	692	100.00 %	0.32 %
Black Mountain	49	8,426	202,530	8,426	100.00 %	4.16 %
Bladenboro	9	1,648	202,791	1,648	100.00 %	0.81 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	47	91	209,958	91	100.00 %	0.04 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	47	1,285	209,958	1,285	100.00 %	0.61 %
Boardman	8	166	200,436	166	100.00 %	0.08 %
Bogue	1	695	199,750	695	100.00 %	0.35 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	8	5,943	200,436	5,943	100.00 %	2.97 %
Boiling Springs	46	4,615	208,541	4,615	100.00 %	2.21 %
Bolivia	8	149	200,436	149	100.00 %	0.07 %
Bolton	8	519	200,436	519	100.00 %	0.26 %
Boone	47	19,092	209,958	19,092	100.00 %	9.09 %
Boonville	31	1,185	210,315	1,185	100.00 %	0.56 %
Bostic	46	355	208,541	355	100.00 %	0.17 %
Brevard	50	7,744	213,909	7,744	100.00 %	3.62 %
Bridgeton	2	349	200,494	349	100.00 %	0.17 %
Broadway (Harnett)	12	0	200,794	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	12	1,267	200,794	1,267	100.00 %	0.63 %
Brookford	45	442	218,526	442	100.00 %	0.20 %
Brunswick	8	973	200,436	973	100.00 %	0.49 %
Bryson City	50	1,558	213,909	1,558	100.00 %	0.73 %
Bunn	11	327	206,121	327	100.00 %	0.16 %
Burgaw	9	3,088	202,791	3,088	100.00 %	1.52 %
Burlington (Alamance)	25	55,481	217,130	55,481	100.00 %	25.55 %
Burlington (Guilford)	26	1,822	217,918	1,822	100.00 %	0.84 %
Burnsville	47	1,614	209,958	1,614	100.00 %	0.77 %
Butner	13	8,397	198,411	8,397	100.00 %	4.23 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cajah's Mountain	45	2,722	218,526	2,722	100.00 %	1.25 %
Calabash	8	2,011	200,436	2,011	100.00 %	1.00 %
Calypso	9	327	202,791	327	100.00 %	0.16 %
Cameron	21	244	216,415	244	100.00 %	0.11 %
Candor (Montgomery)	29	813	218,867	813	100.00 %	0.37 %
Candor (Moore)	21	0	216,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	47	4,422	209,958	4,422	100.00 %	2.11 %
Cape Carteret	1	2,224	199,750	2,224	100.00 %	1.11 %
Carolina Beach	7	6,564	212,582	6,564	100.00 %	3.09 %
Carolina Shores	8	4,588	200,436	4,588	100.00 %	2.29 %
Carrboro	23	21,295	210,529	21,295	100.00 %	10.11 %
Carthage	21	2,775	216,415	2,775	100.00 %	1.28 %
Cary (Chatham)	20	3,709	199,272	3,709	100.00 %	1.86 %
Cary (Wake)	15	171,012	198,393	8,044	4.70 %	4.05 %
	16	171,012	198,361	111,445	65.17 %	56.18 %
	17	171,012	198,379	51,523	30.13 %	25.97 %
Casar	46	305	208,541	305	100.00 %	0.15 %
Castalia	11	264	206,121	264	100.00 %	0.13 %
Caswell Beach	8	395	200,436	395	100.00 %	0.20 %
Catawba	45	702	218,526	702	100.00 %	0.32 %
Cedar Point	1	1,764	199,750	1,764	100.00 %	0.88 %
Cedar Rock	45	301	218,526	301	100.00 %	0.14 %
Cerro Gordo	8	131	200,436	131	100.00 %	0.07 %
Chadbourn	8	1,574	200,436	1,574	100.00 %	0.79 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	20	2,906	199,272	2,906	100.00 %	1.46 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	23	59,054	210,529	59,054	100.00 %	28.05 %
Charlotte	37	874,579	217,151	186,588	21.33 %	85.93 %
	38	874,579	216,830	213,710	24.44 %	98.56 %
	39	874,579	218,750	182,696	20.89 %	83.52 %
	40	874,579	216,475	174,962	20.01 %	80.82 %
	41	874,579	217,606	116,623	13.33 %	53.59 %
Cherryville	44	6,078	200,453	6,078	100.00 %	3.03 %
Chimney Rock Village	46	140	208,541	140	100.00 %	0.07 %
China Grove	33	4,434	209,379	4,434	100.00 %	2.12 %
Chocowinity	2	722	200,494	722	100.00 %	0.36 %
Claremont	45	1,692	218,526	1,692	100.00 %	0.77 %
Clarkton	9	614	202,791	614	100.00 %	0.30 %
Clayton (Johnston)	10	26,307	215,999	26,307	100.00 %	12.18 %
Clayton (Wake)	14	0	198,368	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	18	0	198,490	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	31	21,163	210,315	21,163	100.00 %	10.06 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cleveland	33	846	209,379	846	100.00 %	0.40 %
Clinton	9	8,383	202,791	8,383	100.00 %	4.13 %
Clyde	47	1,368	209,958	1,368	100.00 %	0.65 %
Coats	12	2,155	200,794	2,155	100.00 %	1.07 %
Cofield	3	267	198,430	267	100.00 %	0.13 %
Colerain	3	217	198,430	217	100.00 %	0.11 %
Columbia	3	610	198,430	610	100.00 %	0.31 %
Columbus	48	1,060	202,531	1,060	100.00 %	0.52 %
Como	3	67	198,430	67	100.00 %	0.03 %
Concord	34	105,240	217,563	105,240	100.00 %	48.37 %
Conetoe	5	198	219,143	198	100.00 %	0.09 %
Connelly Springs	44	1,529	200,453	1,529	100.00 %	0.76 %
Conover	45	8,421	218,526	8,421	100.00 %	3.85 %
Conway	3	752	198,430	752	100.00 %	0.38 %
Cooleemee	30	940	211,642	940	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cornelius	41	31,412	217,606	12,421	39.54 %	5.71 %
	42	31,412	215,363	18,991	60.46 %	8.82 %
Cove City	2	378	200,494	378	100.00 %	0.19 %
Cramerton	43	5,296	201,870	5,296	100.00 %	2.62 %
Creedmoor	13	4,866	198,411	4,866	100.00 %	2.45 %
Creswell	1	207	199,750	207	100.00 %	0.10 %
Crossnore	47	143	209,958	143	100.00 %	0.07 %
Dallas	43	5,927	201,870	5,927	100.00 %	2.94 %
Danbury	36	189	218,292	189	100.00 %	0.09 %
Davidson (Iredell)	42	378	215,363	378	100.00 %	0.18 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	41	14,728	217,606	14,728	100.00 %	6.77 %
Dellview	44	6	200,453	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Denton	30	1,494	211,642	1,494	100.00 %	0.71 %
Dillsboro	50	213	213,909	213	100.00 %	0.10 %
Dobbins Heights	29	687	218,867	687	100.00 %	0.31 %
Dobson	36	1,462	218,292	1,462	100.00 %	0.67 %
Dortches	11	1,082	206,121	1,082	100.00 %	0.52 %
Dover	2	349	200,494	349	100.00 %	0.17 %
Drexel	44	1,760	200,453	1,760	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dublin	9	267	202,791	267	100.00 %	0.13 %
Duck	1	742	199,750	742	100.00 %	0.37 %
Dunn	12	8,446	200,794	8,446	100.00 %	4.21 %
Durham (Durham)	20	283,093	199,272	115,188	40.69 %	57.80 %
	22	283,093	201,846	167,905	59.31 %	83.18 %
Durham (Orange)	23	144	210,529	144	100.00 %	0.07 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Durham (Wake)	13	269	198,411	269	100.00 %	0.14 %
	16	269	198,361	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	46	198	208,541	198	100.00 %	0.09 %
East Arcadia	9	418	202,791	418	100.00 %	0.21 %
East Bend	31	634	210,315	634	100.00 %	0.30 %
East Laurinburg	24	234	202,786	234	100.00 %	0.12 %
East Spencer	33	1,567	209,379	1,567	100.00 %	0.75 %
Eastover	19	3,656	218,040	3,656	100.00 %	1.68 %
Eden	26	15,421	217,918	15,421	100.00 %	7.08 %
Edenton	1	4,460	199,750	4,460	100.00 %	2.23 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	3	38	198,430	38	100.00 %	0.02 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	1	18,593	199,750	18,593	100.00 %	9.31 %
Elizabethtown	9	3,296	202,791	3,296	100.00 %	1.63 %
Elk Park	47	542	209,958	542	100.00 %	0.26 %
Elkin (Surry)	36	4,049	218,292	4,049	100.00 %	1.85 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	36	73	218,292	73	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ellenboro	46	723	208,541	723	100.00 %	0.35 %
Ellerbe	29	864	218,867	864	100.00 %	0.39 %
Elm City (Nash)	11	0	206,121	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	4	1,218	216,568	1,218	100.00 %	0.56 %
Elon	25	11,336	217,130	11,336	100.00 %	5.22 %
Emerald Isle	1	3,847	199,750	3,847	100.00 %	1.93 %
Enfield	3	1,865	198,430	1,865	100.00 %	0.94 %
Erwin	12	4,542	200,794	4,542	100.00 %	2.26 %
Eureka	4	214	216,568	214	100.00 %	0.10 %
Everetts	3	150	198,430	150	100.00 %	0.08 %
Fair Bluff	8	709	200,436	709	100.00 %	0.35 %
Fairmont	24	2,191	202,786	2,191	100.00 %	1.08 %
Fairview	35	3,456	216,849	3,456	100.00 %	1.59 %
Faison (Duplin)	9	784	202,791	784	100.00 %	0.39 %
Faison (Sampson)	9	0	202,791	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	33	819	209,379	819	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	19	324	218,040	324	100.00 %	0.15 %
Falcon (Sampson)	9	0	202,791	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	5	47	219,143	47	100.00 %	0.02 %
Fallston	46	627	208,541	627	100.00 %	0.30 %
Farmville	5	4,461	219,143	4,461	100.00 %	2.04 %
Fayetteville	19	208,501	218,040	111,167	53.32 %	50.98 %
	21	208,501	216,415	97,334	46.68 %	44.98 %
Flat Rock	48	3,486	202,531	3,486	100.00 %	1.72 %
Fletcher	48	7,987	202,531	7,987	100.00 %	3.94 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Fontana Dam	50	13	213,909	13	100.00 %	0.01 %
Forest City	46	7,377	208,541	7,377	100.00 %	3.54 %
Forest Hills	50	303	213,909	303	100.00 %	0.14 %
Fountain	5	385	219,143	385	100.00 %	0.18 %
Four Oaks	10	2,158	215,999	2,158	100.00 %	1.00 %
Foxfire	21	1,288	216,415	1,288	100.00 %	0.60 %
Franklin	50	4,175	213,909	4,175	100.00 %	1.95 %
Franklinton	11	2,456	206,121	2,456	100.00 %	1.19 %
Franklinville	25	1,197	217,130	1,197	100.00 %	0.55 %
Fremont	4	1,196	216,568	1,196	100.00 %	0.55 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	12	0	200,794	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	14	34,152	198,368	2,779	8.14 %	1.40 %
	15	34,152	198,393	1,900	5.56 %	0.96 %
	17	34,152	198,379	29,473	86.30 %	14.86 %
Gamewell	45	3,702	218,526	65	1.76 %	0.03 %
	47	3,702	209,958	3,637	98.24 %	1.73 %
Garland	9	595	202,791	595	100.00 %	0.29 %
Garner	14	31,159	198,368	30,669	98.43 %	15.46 %
	15	31,159	198,393	490	1.57 %	0.25 %
Garysburg	3	904	198,430	904	100.00 %	0.46 %
Gaston	3	1,008	198,430	1,008	100.00 %	0.51 %
Gastonia	43	80,411	201,870	80,411	100.00 %	39.83 %
	44	80,411	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gatesville	3	267	198,430	267	100.00 %	0.13 %
Gibson	24	449	202,786	449	100.00 %	0.22 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	25	4,278	217,130	4,278	100.00 %	1.97 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	26	4,642	217,918	4,642	100.00 %	2.13 %
Glen Alpine	44	1,529	200,453	1,529	100.00 %	0.76 %
Godwin	19	128	218,040	128	100.00 %	0.06 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	216,568	33,657	100.00 %	15.54 %
Goldston	20	234	199,272	234	100.00 %	0.12 %
Graham	25	17,157	217,130	17,157	100.00 %	7.90 %
Grandfather Village	47	95	209,958	95	100.00 %	0.05 %
Granite Falls	45	4,965	218,526	4,965	100.00 %	2.27 %
Granite Quarry	33	2,984	209,379	2,984	100.00 %	1.43 %
Grantsboro	1	692	199,750	692	100.00 %	0.35 %
Green Level	25	3,152	217,130	3,152	100.00 %	1.45 %
Greenevers	9	567	202,791	567	100.00 %	0.28 %
Greensboro	26	299,035	217,918	65,210	21.81 %	29.92 %
	27	299,035	212,689	77,044	25.76 %	36.22 %
	28	299,035	201,788	156,781	52.43 %	77.70 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Greenville	5	87,521	219,143	87,521	100.00 %	39.94 %
Grifton (Lenoir)	2	147	200,494	147	100.00 %	0.07 %
Grifton (Pitt)	5	2,301	219,143	2,301	100.00 %	1.05 %
Grimesland	5	386	219,143	386	100.00 %	0.18 %
Grover	46	802	208,541	802	100.00 %	0.38 %
Halifax	3	170	198,430	170	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hamilton	3	306	198,430	306	100.00 %	0.15 %
Hamlet	29	6,025	218,867	6,025	100.00 %	2.75 %
Harmony	42	543	215,363	543	100.00 %	0.25 %
Harrells (Duplin)	9	0	202,791	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	9	160	202,791	160	100.00 %	0.08 %
Harrellsville	3	85	198,430	85	100.00 %	0.04 %
Harrisburg	34	18,967	217,563	18,967	100.00 %	8.72 %
Hassell	3	49	198,430	49	100.00 %	0.02 %
Havelock	2	16,621	200,494	16,621	100.00 %	8.29 %
Haw River	25	2,252	217,130	2,252	100.00 %	1.04 %
Hayesville	50	461	213,909	461	100.00 %	0.22 %
Hemby Bridge	35	1,614	216,849	1,614	100.00 %	0.74 %
Henderson	11	15,060	206,121	15,060	100.00 %	7.31 %
Hendersonville	48	15,137	202,531	15,137	100.00 %	7.47 %
Hertford	1	1,934	199,750	1,934	100.00 %	0.97 %
Hickory (Burke)	44	79	200,453	79	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	45	32	218,526	32	100.00 %	0.01 %
Hickory (Catawba)	45	43,379	218,526	43,379	100.00 %	19.85 %
High Point (Davidson)	30	6,646	211,642	6,646	100.00 %	3.14 %
High Point (Forsyth)	32	84	209,489	84	100.00 %	0.04 %
High Point (Guilford)	27	107,321	212,689	107,321	100.00 %	50.46 %
High Point (Randolph)	29	8	218,867	8	100.00 %	0.00 %
High Shoals	44	595	200,453	595	100.00 %	0.30 %
Highlands (Jackson)	50	12	213,909	12	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Macon)	50	1,060	213,909	1,060	100.00 %	0.50 %
Hildebran	44	1,679	200,453	1,679	100.00 %	0.84 %
Hillsborough	23	9,660	210,529	9,660	100.00 %	4.59 %
Hobgood	3	268	198,430	268	100.00 %	0.14 %
Hoffman	29	418	218,867	418	100.00 %	0.19 %
Holden Beach	8	921	200,436	921	100.00 %	0.46 %
Holly Ridge	6	4,171	204,576	4,171	100.00 %	2.04 %
Holly Springs	15	41,239	198,393	2,777	6.73 %	1.40 %
	17	41,239	198,379	38,462	93.27 %	19.39 %
Hookerton	4	413	216,568	413	100.00 %	0.19 %
Hope Mills	19	17,808	218,040	17,808	100.00 %	8.17 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hot Springs	47	520	209,958	520	100.00 %	0.25 %
Hudson	45	3,780	218,526	3,780	100.00 %	1.73 %
Huntersville	41	61,376	217,606	51,709	84.25 %	23.76 %
	42	61,376	215,363	9,667	15.75 %	4.49 %
Indian Beach	1	223	199,750	223	100.00 %	0.11 %
Indian Trail	35	39,997	216,849	39,997	100.00 %	18.44 %
Jackson	3	430	198,430	430	100.00 %	0.22 %
Jacksonville	6	72,723	204,576	72,723	100.00 %	35.55 %
Jamestown	27	3,668	212,689	3,668	100.00 %	1.72 %
Jamesville	3	424	198,430	424	100.00 %	0.21 %
Jefferson	47	1,622	209,958	1,622	100.00 %	0.77 %
Jonesville	31	2,308	210,315	2,308	100.00 %	1.10 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	34	42,846	217,563	42,846	100.00 %	19.69 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	33	10,268	209,379	10,268	100.00 %	4.90 %
Kelford	3	203	198,430	203	100.00 %	0.10 %
Kenansville	9	770	202,791	770	100.00 %	0.38 %
Kenly (Johnston)	10	1,293	215,999	1,293	100.00 %	0.60 %
Kenly (Wilson)	4	198	216,568	198	100.00 %	0.09 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	32	25,947	209,489	25,947	100.00 %	12.39 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	27	502	212,689	502	100.00 %	0.24 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	199,750	7,656	100.00 %	3.83 %
King (Forsyth)	32	591	209,489	591	100.00 %	0.28 %
King (Stokes)	36	6,606	218,292	6,606	100.00 %	3.03 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	46	10,032	208,541	10,032	100.00 %	4.81 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	43	1,110	201,870	1,110	100.00 %	0.55 %
Kingstown	46	656	208,541	656	100.00 %	0.31 %
Kinston	2	19,900	200,494	19,900	100.00 %	9.93 %
Kittrell	11	132	206,121	132	100.00 %	0.06 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	199,750	3,689	100.00 %	1.85 %
Knightdale	14	19,435	198,368	12,398	63.79 %	6.25 %
	18	19,435	198,490	7,037	36.21 %	3.55 %
Kure Beach	7	2,191	212,582	2,191	100.00 %	1.03 %
La Grange	2	2,595	200,494	2,595	100.00 %	1.29 %
Lake Lure	46	1,365	208,541	1,365	100.00 %	0.65 %
Lake Park	35	3,269	216,849	3,269	100.00 %	1.51 %
Lake Santeetlah	50	38	213,909	38	100.00 %	0.02 %
Lake Waccamaw	8	1,296	200,436	1,296	100.00 %	0.65 %
Landis	33	3,690	209,379	3,690	100.00 %	1.76 %
Lansing	47	126	209,958	126	100.00 %	0.06 %
Lasker	3	64	198,430	64	100.00 %	0.03 %
Lattimore	46	406	208,541	406	100.00 %	0.19 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Laurel Park	48	2,250	202,531	2,250	100.00 %	1.11 %
Laurinburg	24	14,978	202,786	14,978	100.00 %	7.39 %
Lawndale	46	570	208,541	570	100.00 %	0.27 %
Leggett	5	37	219,143	37	100.00 %	0.02 %
Leland	8	22,908	200,436	22,908	100.00 %	11.43 %
Lenoir	45	18,352	218,526	13,830	75.36 %	6.33 %
	47	18,352	209,958	4,522	24.64 %	2.15 %
Lewiston Woodville	3	426	198,430	426	100.00 %	0.21 %
Lewisville	31	13,381	210,315	13,381	100.00 %	6.36 %
Lexington	30	19,632	211,642	19,632	100.00 %	9.28 %
Liberty	25	2,655	217,130	2,655	100.00 %	1.22 %
Lilesville	29	395	218,867	395	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lillington	12	4,735	200,794	4,735	100.00 %	2.36 %
Lincolnton	44	11,091	200,453	11,091	100.00 %	5.53 %
Linden	19	136	218,040	136	100.00 %	0.06 %
Littleton	3	559	198,430	559	100.00 %	0.28 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	34	541	217,563	423	78.19 %	0.19 %
	35	541	216,849	118	21.81 %	0.05 %
Locust (Stanly)	33	3,996	209,379	3,996	100.00 %	1.91 %
Long View (Burke)	44	735	200,453	735	100.00 %	0.37 %
Long View (Catawba)	45	4,353	218,526	4,353	100.00 %	1.99 %
Louisburg	11	3,064	206,121	3,064	100.00 %	1.49 %
Love Valley	42	154	215,363	154	100.00 %	0.07 %
Lowell	43	3,654	201,870	3,654	100.00 %	1.81 %
Lucama	4	1,036	216,568	1,036	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lumber Bridge	24	82	202,786	82	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lumberton	24	19,025	202,786	19,025	100.00 %	9.38 %
Macclesfield	5	413	219,143	413	100.00 %	0.19 %
Macon	3	110	198,430	110	100.00 %	0.06 %
Madison	26	2,129	217,918	2,129	100.00 %	0.98 %
Maggie Valley	50	1,687	213,909	1,687	100.00 %	0.79 %
Magnolia	9	831	202,791	831	100.00 %	0.41 %
Maiden (Catawba)	45	3,736	218,526	3,736	100.00 %	1.71 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	44	0	200,453	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	1	1,600	199,750	1,600	100.00 %	0.80 %
Marietta	24	111	202,786	111	100.00 %	0.05 %
Marion	46	7,717	208,541	7,717	100.00 %	3.70 %
Mars Hill	47	2,007	209,958	2,007	100.00 %	0.96 %
Marshall	47	777	209,958	777	100.00 %	0.37 %
Marshville	29	2,522	218,867	2,522	100.00 %	1.15 %
Marvin	35	6,358	216,849	6,358	100.00 %	2.93 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Matthews	37	29,435	217,151	29,435	100.00 %	13.56 %
Maxton (Robeson)	24	1,902	202,786	1,902	100.00 %	0.94 %
Maxton (Scotland)	24	208	202,786	208	100.00 %	0.10 %
Mayodan	26	2,418	217,918	2,418	100.00 %	1.11 %
Maysville	9	818	202,791	818	100.00 %	0.40 %
McAdenville	43	890	201,870	890	100.00 %	0.44 %
McDonald	24	94	202,786	94	100.00 %	0.05 %
McFarlan	29	94	218,867	94	100.00 %	0.04 %
Mebane (Alamance)	25	14,626	217,130	14,626	100.00 %	6.74 %
Mebane (Orange)	23	3,171	210,529	3,171	100.00 %	1.51 %
Mesic	1	144	199,750	144	100.00 %	0.07 %
Micro	10	458	215,999	458	100.00 %	0.21 %
Middleburg	11	101	206,121	101	100.00 %	0.05 %
Middlesex	11	912	206,121	912	100.00 %	0.44 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	34	4,684	217,563	4	0.09 %	0.00 %
	35	4,684	216,849	4,680	99.91 %	2.16 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	40	0	216,475	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	30	4,742	211,642	4,742	100.00 %	2.24 %
Mills River	48	7,078	202,531	7,078	100.00 %	3.49 %
Milton	23	155	210,529	155	100.00 %	0.07 %
Mineral Springs	35	3,159	216,849	3,159	100.00 %	1.46 %
Minnesott Beach	1	530	199,750	530	100.00 %	0.27 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	40	26,444	216,475	26,444	100.00 %	12.22 %
Mint Hill (Union)	35	6	216,849	6	100.00 %	0.00 %
Misenheimer	33	650	209,379	650	100.00 %	0.31 %
Mocksville	30	5,900	211,642	5,900	100.00 %	2.79 %
Momeyer	11	277	206,121	277	100.00 %	0.13 %
Monroe	29	34,562	218,867	225	0.65 %	0.10 %
	35	34,562	216,849	34,337	99.35 %	15.83 %
Montreat	49	901	202,530	901	100.00 %	0.44 %
Mooresboro	46	293	208,541	293	100.00 %	0.14 %
Mooresville	42	50,193	215,363	50,193	100.00 %	23.31 %
Morehead City	1	9,556	199,750	9,556	100.00 %	4.78 %
Morganton	44	17,474	200,453	17,474	100.00 %	8.72 %
Morrisville (Durham)	20	207	199,272	207	100.00 %	0.10 %
Morrisville (Wake)	16	29,423	198,361	29,423	100.00 %	14.83 %
Morven	29	329	218,867	329	100.00 %	0.15 %
Mount Airy	36	10,676	218,292	10,676	100.00 %	4.89 %
Mount Gilead	29	1,171	218,867	1,171	100.00 %	0.54 %
Mount Holly	43	17,703	201,870	17,703	100.00 %	8.77 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	9	5	202,791	5	100.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mount Olive (Wayne)	4	4,193	216,568	4,193	100.00 %	1.94 %
Mount Pleasant	34	1,671	217,563	1,671	100.00 %	0.77 %
Murfreesboro	3	2,619	198,430	2,619	100.00 %	1.32 %
Murphy	50	1,608	213,909	1,608	100.00 %	0.75 %
Nags Head	1	3,168	199,750	3,168	100.00 %	1.59 %
Nashville	11	5,632	206,121	5,632	100.00 %	2.73 %
Navassa	8	1,367	200,436	1,367	100.00 %	0.68 %
New Bern	2	31,291	200,494	31,291	100.00 %	15.61 %
New London	33	607	209,379	607	100.00 %	0.29 %
Newland	47	715	209,958	715	100.00 %	0.34 %
Newport	1	4,364	199,750	4,364	100.00 %	2.18 %
Newton	45	13,148	218,526	13,148	100.00 %	6.02 %
Newton Grove	9	585	202,791	585	100.00 %	0.29 %
Norlina	3	920	198,430	920	100.00 %	0.46 %
Norman	29	100	218,867	100	100.00 %	0.05 %
North Topsail Beach	6	1,005	204,576	1,005	100.00 %	0.49 %
North Wilkesboro	36	4,382	218,292	4,382	100.00 %	2.01 %
Northwest	8	703	200,436	703	100.00 %	0.35 %
Norwood	33	2,367	209,379	2,367	100.00 %	1.13 %
Oak City	3	266	198,430	266	100.00 %	0.13 %
Oak Island	8	8,396	200,436	8,396	100.00 %	4.19 %
Oak Ridge	27	7,474	212,689	3	0.04 %	0.00 %
	28	7,474	201,788	7,471	99.96 %	3.70 %
Oakboro	33	2,128	209,379	2,128	100.00 %	1.02 %
Ocean Isle Beach	8	867	200,436	867	100.00 %	0.43 %
Old Fort	46	811	208,541	811	100.00 %	0.39 %
Oriental	1	880	199,750	880	100.00 %	0.44 %
Orrum	24	59	202,786	59	100.00 %	0.03 %
Ossipee	25	536	217,130	536	100.00 %	0.25 %
Oxford	13	8,628	198,411	8,628	100.00 %	4.35 %
Pantego	2	164	200,494	164	100.00 %	0.08 %
Parkton	24	504	202,786	504	100.00 %	0.25 %
Parmelee	3	243	198,430	243	100.00 %	0.12 %
Patterson Springs	46	571	208,541	571	100.00 %	0.27 %
Peachland	29	390	218,867	390	100.00 %	0.18 %
Peletier	1	769	199,750	769	100.00 %	0.38 %
Pembroke	24	2,823	202,786	2,823	100.00 %	1.39 %
Pikeville	4	712	216,568	712	100.00 %	0.33 %
Pilot Mountain	36	1,440	218,292	1,440	100.00 %	0.66 %
Pine Knoll Shores	1	1,388	199,750	1,388	100.00 %	0.69 %
Pine Level	10	2,046	215,999	2,046	100.00 %	0.95 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pinebluff	21	1,473	216,415	1,473	100.00 %	0.68 %
Pinehurst	21	17,581	216,415	17,581	100.00 %	8.12 %
Pinetops	5	1,200	219,143	1,200	100.00 %	0.55 %
Pineville	39	10,602	218,750	10,602	100.00 %	4.85 %
Pink Hill	2	451	200,494	451	100.00 %	0.22 %
Pittsboro	20	4,537	199,272	4,537	100.00 %	2.28 %
Pleasant Garden	26	5,000	217,918	5,000	100.00 %	2.29 %
	27	5,000	212,689	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	199,750	3,320	100.00 %	1.66 %
Polkton	29	2,250	218,867	2,250	100.00 %	1.03 %
Polkville	46	516	208,541	516	100.00 %	0.25 %
Pollocksville	9	268	202,791	268	100.00 %	0.13 %
Powellsville	3	189	198,430	189	100.00 %	0.10 %
Princeton	10	1,315	215,999	1,315	100.00 %	0.61 %
Princeville	5	1,254	219,143	1,254	100.00 %	0.57 %
Proctorville	24	121	202,786	121	100.00 %	0.06 %
Raeford	24	4,559	202,786	4,559	100.00 %	2.25 %
Raleigh (Durham)	20	1,559	199,272	233	14.95 %	0.12 %
	22	1,559	201,846	1,326	85.05 %	0.66 %
Raleigh (Wake)	13	466,106	198,411	88,167	18.92 %	44.44 %
	14	466,106	198,368	101,541	21.78 %	51.19 %
	15	466,106	198,393	159,867	34.30 %	80.58 %
	16	466,106	198,361	44,179	9.48 %	22.27 %
	18	466,106	198,490	72,352	15.52 %	36.45 %
Ramseur	25	1,774	217,130	1,774	100.00 %	0.82 %
Randleman	25	4,595	217,130	4,595	100.00 %	2.12 %
Ranlo	43	4,511	201,870	4,511	100.00 %	2.23 %
Raynham	24	60	202,786	60	100.00 %	0.03 %
Red Cross	33	762	209,379	762	100.00 %	0.36 %
Red Oak	11	3,342	206,121	3,342	100.00 %	1.62 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	24	0	202,786	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	24	3,087	202,786	3,087	100.00 %	1.52 %
Reidsville	26	14,583	217,918	14,583	100.00 %	6.69 %
Rennert	24	275	202,786	275	100.00 %	0.14 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	44	639	200,453	639	100.00 %	0.32 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	45	358	218,526	358	100.00 %	0.16 %
Rich Square	3	894	198,430	894	100.00 %	0.45 %
Richfield	33	582	209,379	582	100.00 %	0.28 %
Richlands	6	2,287	204,576	2,287	100.00 %	1.12 %
River Bend	2	2,902	200,494	2,902	100.00 %	1.45 %
Roanoke Rapids	3	15,229	198,430	15,229	100.00 %	7.67 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Robbins	21	1,168	216,415	1,168	100.00 %	0.54 %
Robbinsville	50	597	213,909	597	100.00 %	0.28 %
Robersonville	3	1,269	198,430	1,269	100.00 %	0.64 %
Rockingham	29	9,243	218,867	9,243	100.00 %	4.22 %
Rockwell	33	2,302	209,379	2,302	100.00 %	1.10 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	5	15,414	219,143	15,414	100.00 %	7.03 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	11	38,927	206,121	38,927	100.00 %	18.89 %
Rolesville	18	9,475	198,490	9,475	100.00 %	4.77 %
Ronda	36	438	218,292	438	100.00 %	0.20 %
Roper	1	485	199,750	485	100.00 %	0.24 %
Rose Hill	9	1,371	202,791	1,371	100.00 %	0.68 %
Roseboro	9	1,163	202,791	1,163	100.00 %	0.57 %
Rosman	50	701	213,909	701	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rowland	24	885	202,786	885	100.00 %	0.44 %
Roxboro	23	8,134	210,529	8,134	100.00 %	3.86 %
Roxobel	3	187	198,430	187	100.00 %	0.09 %
Rural Hall	32	3,351	209,489	3,351	100.00 %	1.60 %
Ruth	46	347	208,541	347	100.00 %	0.17 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	44	1,226	200,453	1,226	100.00 %	0.61 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	45	0	218,526	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	46	3,640	208,541	3,640	100.00 %	1.75 %
Salemburg	9	457	202,791	457	100.00 %	0.23 %
Salisbury	33	35,540	209,379	35,540	100.00 %	16.97 %
Saluda (Henderson)	48	11	202,531	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	48	620	202,531	620	100.00 %	0.31 %
Sandy Creek	8	248	200,436	248	100.00 %	0.12 %
Sandyfield	8	430	200,436	430	100.00 %	0.21 %
Sanford	12	30,261	200,794	30,261	100.00 %	15.07 %
Saratoga	4	353	216,568	353	100.00 %	0.16 %
Sawmills	45	5,020	218,526	5,020	100.00 %	2.30 %
Scotland Neck	3	1,640	198,430	1,640	100.00 %	0.83 %
Seaboard	3	542	198,430	542	100.00 %	0.27 %
Seagrove	29	235	218,867	235	100.00 %	0.11 %
Sedalia	26	676	217,918	676	100.00 %	0.31 %
Selma	10	6,317	215,999	6,317	100.00 %	2.92 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	47	38	209,958	38	100.00 %	0.02 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	47	275	209,958	275	100.00 %	0.13 %
Seven Springs	4	55	216,568	55	100.00 %	0.03 %
Severn	3	191	198,430	191	100.00 %	0.10 %
Shallotte	8	4,185	200,436	4,185	100.00 %	2.09 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	5	215	219,143	215	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sharpsburg (Nash)	11	1,061	206,121	1,061	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	4	421	216,568	421	100.00 %	0.19 %
Shelby	46	21,918	208,541	21,918	100.00 %	10.51 %
Siler City	20	7,702	199,272	7,702	100.00 %	3.87 %
Simpson	5	390	219,143	390	100.00 %	0.18 %
Sims	4	275	216,568	275	100.00 %	0.13 %
Smithfield	10	11,292	215,999	11,292	100.00 %	5.23 %
Snow Hill	4	1,481	216,568	1,481	100.00 %	0.68 %
Southern Pines	21	15,545	216,415	15,545	100.00 %	7.18 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	199,750	3,090	100.00 %	1.55 %
Southport	8	3,971	200,436	3,971	100.00 %	1.98 %
Sparta	47	1,834	209,958	1,834	100.00 %	0.87 %
Speed	5	63	219,143	63	100.00 %	0.03 %
Spencer	33	3,308	209,379	3,308	100.00 %	1.58 %
Spencer Mountain	43	0	201,870	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	46	4,225	208,541	4,225	100.00 %	2.03 %
Spring Hope	11	1,309	206,121	1,309	100.00 %	0.64 %
Spring Lake	21	11,660	216,415	11,660	100.00 %	5.39 %
Spruce Pine	47	2,194	209,958	2,194	100.00 %	1.04 %
St. Helena	9	417	202,791	417	100.00 %	0.21 %
St. James	8	6,529	200,436	6,529	100.00 %	3.26 %
St. Pauls	24	2,045	202,786	2,045	100.00 %	1.01 %
Staley	25	397	217,130	397	100.00 %	0.18 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	37	384	217,151	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
	40	384	216,475	373	97.14 %	0.17 %
Stallings (Union)	35	15,728	216,849	15,728	100.00 %	7.25 %
Stanfield	33	1,585	209,379	1,585	100.00 %	0.76 %
Stanley	43	3,963	201,870	3,946	99.57 %	1.95 %
	44	3,963	200,453	17	0.43 %	0.01 %
Stantonsburg	4	762	216,568	762	100.00 %	0.35 %
Star	29	806	218,867	806	100.00 %	0.37 %
Statesville	42	28,419	215,363	28,419	100.00 %	13.20 %
Stedman	19	1,277	218,040	1,277	100.00 %	0.59 %
Stem	13	960	198,411	960	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stokesdale	28	5,924	201,788	5,924	100.00 %	2.94 %
Stoneville	26	1,308	217,918	1,308	100.00 %	0.60 %
Stonewall	1	214	199,750	214	100.00 %	0.11 %
Stovall	13	324	198,411	324	100.00 %	0.16 %
Sugar Mountain	47	371	209,958	371	100.00 %	0.18 %
Summerfield	28	10,951	201,788	10,951	100.00 %	5.43 %
Sunset Beach	8	4,175	200,436	4,175	100.00 %	2.08 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Surf City (Onslow)	6	334	204,576	334	100.00 %	0.16 %
Surf City (Pender)	9	3,533	202,791	3,533	100.00 %	1.74 %
Swansboro	6	3,744	204,576	3,744	100.00 %	1.83 %
Sweptsonville	25	2,445	217,130	2,445	100.00 %	1.13 %
Sylva	50	2,578	213,909	2,578	100.00 %	1.21 %
Tabor City	8	3,781	200,436	3,781	100.00 %	1.89 %
Tar Heel	9	90	202,791	90	100.00 %	0.04 %
Tarboro	5	10,721	219,143	10,721	100.00 %	4.89 %
Taylorsville	36	2,320	218,292	2,320	100.00 %	1.06 %
Taylortown	21	634	216,415	634	100.00 %	0.29 %
Teachey	9	448	202,791	448	100.00 %	0.22 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	30	26,662	211,642	26,662	100.00 %	12.60 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	29	521	218,867	521	100.00 %	0.24 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	32	2,578	209,489	2,578	100.00 %	1.23 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	36	0	218,292	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	9	461	202,791	461	100.00 %	0.23 %
Trent Woods	2	4,074	200,494	4,074	100.00 %	2.03 %
Trenton	9	238	202,791	238	100.00 %	0.12 %
Trinity	29	7,006	218,867	7,006	100.00 %	3.20 %
Troutman	42	3,698	215,363	3,698	100.00 %	1.72 %
Troy	29	2,850	218,867	2,850	100.00 %	1.30 %
Tryon	48	1,562	202,531	1,562	100.00 %	0.77 %
Turkey	9	213	202,791	213	100.00 %	0.11 %
Unionville	35	6,643	216,849	6,643	100.00 %	3.06 %
Valdese	44	4,689	200,453	4,689	100.00 %	2.34 %
Vanceboro	2	869	200,494	869	100.00 %	0.43 %
Vandemere	1	246	199,750	246	100.00 %	0.12 %
Varnamtown	8	525	200,436	525	100.00 %	0.26 %
Vass	21	952	216,415	952	100.00 %	0.44 %
Waco	46	310	208,541	310	100.00 %	0.15 %
Wade	19	638	218,040	638	100.00 %	0.29 %
Wadesboro	29	5,008	218,867	5,008	100.00 %	2.29 %
Wagram	24	615	202,786	615	100.00 %	0.30 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	11	1,504	206,121	1,504	100.00 %	0.73 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	13	46,097	198,411	3,639	7.89 %	1.83 %
	18	46,097	198,490	42,458	92.11 %	21.39 %
Walkertown	32	5,692	209,489	5,692	100.00 %	2.72 %
Wallace (Duplin)	9	3,413	202,791	3,413	100.00 %	1.68 %
Wallace (Pender)	9	0	202,791	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	30	3,051	211,642	3,051	100.00 %	1.44 %
Walnut Cove	36	1,586	218,292	1,586	100.00 %	0.73 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	216,568	1,084	100.00 %	0.50 %
Walstonburg	4	193	216,568	193	100.00 %	0.09 %
Warrenton	3	851	198,430	851	100.00 %	0.43 %
Warsaw	9	2,733	202,791	2,733	100.00 %	1.35 %
Washington	2	9,875	200,494	9,875	100.00 %	4.93 %
Washington Park	2	392	200,494	392	100.00 %	0.20 %
Watha	9	181	202,791	181	100.00 %	0.09 %
Waxhaw	29	20,534	218,867	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	35	20,534	216,849	20,534	100.00 %	9.47 %
Waynesville	50	10,140	213,909	10,140	100.00 %	4.74 %
Weaverville	49	4,567	202,530	4,567	100.00 %	2.25 %
Webster	50	372	213,909	372	100.00 %	0.17 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	37	5	217,151	5	100.00 %	0.00 %
Weddington (Union)	35	13,176	216,849	13,176	100.00 %	6.08 %
Weldon	3	1,444	198,430	1,444	100.00 %	0.73 %
Wendell	18	9,793	198,490	9,793	100.00 %	4.93 %
Wentworth	26	2,662	217,918	2,662	100.00 %	1.22 %
Wesley Chapel	35	8,681	216,849	8,681	100.00 %	4.00 %
West Jefferson	47	1,279	209,958	1,279	100.00 %	0.61 %
Whispering Pines	21	4,987	216,415	4,987	100.00 %	2.30 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	5	290	219,143	290	100.00 %	0.13 %
Whitakers (Nash)	11	337	206,121	337	100.00 %	0.16 %
White Lake	9	843	202,791	843	100.00 %	0.42 %
Whiteville	8	4,766	200,436	4,766	100.00 %	2.38 %
Whitsett	26	584	217,918	584	100.00 %	0.27 %
Wilkesboro	36	3,687	218,292	3,687	100.00 %	1.69 %
Williamston	3	5,248	198,430	5,248	100.00 %	2.64 %
Wilmington	7	115,451	212,582	115,451	100.00 %	54.31 %
	8	115,451	200,436	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wilson	4	47,851	216,568	47,851	100.00 %	22.10 %
Wilson's Mills	10	2,534	215,999	2,534	100.00 %	1.17 %
Windsor	3	3,582	198,430	3,582	100.00 %	1.81 %
Winfall	1	555	199,750	555	100.00 %	0.28 %
Wingate	29	4,055	218,867	4,055	100.00 %	1.85 %
Winston-Salem	31	249,545	210,315	121,286	48.60 %	57.67 %
	32	249,545	209,489	128,259	51.40 %	61.22 %
Winterville	5	10,462	219,143	10,462	100.00 %	4.77 %
Winton	3	629	198,430	629	100.00 %	0.32 %
Woodfin	49	7,936	202,530	7,936	100.00 %	3.92 %
Woodland	3	557	198,430	557	100.00 %	0.28 %
Wrightsville Beach	7	2,473	212,582	2,473	100.00 %	1.16 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Yadkinville	31	2,995	210,315	2,995	100.00 %	1.42 %
Yanceyville	23	1,937	210,529	1,937	100.00 %	0.92 %
Youngsville	11	2,016	206,121	2,016	100.00 %	0.98 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	10	0	215,999	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	18	6,903	198,490	6,903	100.00 %	3.48 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Split Municipalities: 37

Splits Involving Population: 27

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Alliance	199,750	733	733	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	199,750	416	416	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Atlantic Beach	199,750	1,364	1,364	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	199,750	1,161	1,161	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	199,750	4,464	4,464	2.23 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	199,750	695	695	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	199,750	2,224	2,224	1.11 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	199,750	1,764	1,764	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	199,750	207	207	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Duck	199,750	742	742	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	199,750	4,460	4,460	2.23 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	199,750	18,593	18,593	9.31 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	199,750	3,847	3,847	1.93 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	199,750	692	692	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	199,750	1,934	1,934	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Indian Beach	199,750	223	223	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	199,750	7,656	7,656	3.83 %	100.00 %
	Kitty Hawk	199,750	3,689	3,689	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Manteo	199,750	1,600	1,600	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	199,750	144	144	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	199,750	530	530	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	199,750	9,556	9,556	4.78 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	199,750	3,168	3,168	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Newport	199,750	4,364	4,364	2.18 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	199,750	880	880	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	199,750	769	769	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	199,750	1,388	1,388	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	199,750	3,320	3,320	1.66 %	100.00 %
	Roper	199,750	485	485	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	199,750	3,090	3,090	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	199,750	214	214	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	199,750	246	246	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	199,750	555	555	0.28 %	100.00 %
2	Aurora	200,494	455	455	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Bath	200,494	245	245	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	200,494	1,410	1,410	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Bridgeton	200,494	349	349	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	200,494	722	722	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	200,494	378	378	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Dover	200,494	349	349	0.17 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 1 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
2	Grifton (Lenoir)	200,494	147	147	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	200,494	16,621	16,621	8.29 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	200,494	19,900	19,900	9.93 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	200,494	2,595	2,595	1.29 %	100.00 %
	New Bern	200,494	31,291	31,291	15.61 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	200,494	164	164	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	200,494	451	451	0.22 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	200,494	2,902	2,902	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	200,494	4,074	4,074	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	200,494	869	869	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Washington	200,494	9,875	9,875	4.93 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	200,494	392	392	0.20 %	100.00 %
3	Ahoskie	198,430	4,891	4,891	2.46 %	100.00 %
	Askewville	198,430	184	184	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	198,430	763	763	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Bear Grass	198,430	89	89	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	198,430	267	267	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	198,430	217	217	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Columbia	198,430	610	610	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Como	198,430	67	67	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Conway	198,430	752	752	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Camden)	198,430	38	38	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	198,430	1,865	1,865	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	198,430	150	150	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	198,430	904	904	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	198,430	1,008	1,008	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	198,430	267	267	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	198,430	170	170	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	198,430	306	306	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	198,430	85	85	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	198,430	49	49	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	198,430	268	268	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	198,430	430	430	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	198,430	424	424	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	198,430	203	203	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	198,430	64	64	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	198,430	426	426	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	198,430	559	559	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Macon	198,430	110	110	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	198,430	2,619	2,619	1.32 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 2 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
3	Norlina	198,430	920	920	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	198,430	266	266	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Parmelee	198,430	243	243	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	198,430	189	189	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	198,430	894	894	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	198,430	15,229	15,229	7.67 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	198,430	1,269	1,269	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	198,430	187	187	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	198,430	1,640	1,640	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	198,430	542	542	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Severn	198,430	191	191	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	198,430	851	851	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	198,430	1,444	1,444	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	198,430	5,248	5,248	2.64 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	198,430	3,582	3,582	1.81 %	100.00 %
	Winton	198,430	629	629	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Woodland	198,430	557	557	0.28 %	100.00 %
4	Black Creek	216,568	692	692	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	216,568	1,218	1,218	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	216,568	214	214	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	216,568	1,196	1,196	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	216,568	33,657	33,657	15.54 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	216,568	413	413	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	216,568	198	198	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	216,568	1,036	1,036	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	216,568	4,193	4,193	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	216,568	712	712	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Saratoga	216,568	353	353	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	216,568	55	55	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	216,568	421	421	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Sims	216,568	275	275	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	216,568	1,481	1,481	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	216,568	762	762	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	216,568	1,084	1,084	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	216,568	193	193	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	216,568	47,851	47,851	22.10 %	100.00 %
5	Ayden	219,143	4,977	4,977	2.27 %	100.00 %
	Bethel	219,143	1,373	1,373	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	219,143	198	198	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	219,143	47	47	0.02 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 3 of 17

Districts included: All



# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Farmville	219,143	4,461	4,461	2.04 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	219,143	385	385	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	219,143	87,521	87,521	39.94 %	100.00 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	219,143	2,301	2,301	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Grimesland	219,143	386	386	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	219,143	37	37	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	219,143	413	413	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	219,143	1,200	1,200	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	219,143	1,254	1,254	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	219,143	15,414	15,414	7.03 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	219,143	215	215	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	219,143	390	390	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Speed	219,143	63	63	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	219,143	10,721	10,721	4.89 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	219,143	290	290	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	219,143	10,462	10,462	4.77 %	100.00 %
6	Holly Ridge	204,576	4,171	4,171	2.04 %	100.00 %
	Jacksonville	204,576	72,723	72,723	35.55 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	204,576	1,005	1,005	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Richlands	204,576	2,287	2,287	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	204,576	334	334	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	204,576	3,744	3,744	1.83 %	100.00 %
7	Carolina Beach	212,582	6,564	6,564	3.09 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	212,582	2,191	2,191	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	212,582	115,451	115,451	54.31 %	100.00 %
	Wrightsville Beach	212,582	2,473	2,473	1.16 %	100.00 %
8	Bald Head Island	200,436	268	268	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Belville	200,436	2,406	2,406	1.20 %	100.00 %
	Boardman	200,436	166	166	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	200,436	5,943	5,943	2.97 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	200,436	149	149	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	200,436	519	519	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	200,436	973	973	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	200,436	2,011	2,011	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	200,436	4,588	4,588	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	200,436	395	395	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	200,436	131	131	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	200,436	1,574	1,574	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	200,436	709	709	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	200,436	921	921	0.46 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 4 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
8	Lake Waccamaw	200,436	1,296	1,296	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Leland	200,436	22,908	22,908	11.43 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	200,436	1,367	1,367	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	200,436	703	703	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	200,436	8,396	8,396	4.19 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	200,436	867	867	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	200,436	248	248	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	200,436	430	430	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	200,436	4,185	4,185	2.09 %	100.00 %
	Southport	200,436	3,971	3,971	1.98 %	100.00 %
	St. James	200,436	6,529	6,529	3.26 %	100.00 %
	Sunset Beach	200,436	4,175	4,175	2.08 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	200,436	3,781	3,781	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	200,436	525	525	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	200,436	4,766	4,766	2.38 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	200,436	115,451	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
9	Atkinson	202,791	296	296	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Autryville	202,791	167	167	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Beulaville	202,791	1,116	1,116	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	202,791	1,648	1,648	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	202,791	3,088	3,088	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	202,791	327	327	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	202,791	614	614	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	202,791	8,383	8,383	4.13 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	202,791	267	267	0.13 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	202,791	418	418	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	202,791	3,296	3,296	1.63 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	202,791	784	784	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	202,791	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	202,791	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	202,791	595	595	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	202,791	567	567	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	202,791	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	202,791	160	160	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Kenansville	202,791	770	770	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	202,791	831	831	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	202,791	818	818	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	202,791	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	202,791	585	585	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Pollocksville	202,791	268	268	0.13 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 5 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
9	Rose Hill	202,791	1,371	1,371	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	202,791	1,163	1,163	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	202,791	457	457	0.23 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	202,791	417	417	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	202,791	3,533	3,533	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	202,791	90	90	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	202,791	448	448	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	202,791	461	461	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	202,791	238	238	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	202,791	213	213	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	202,791	3,413	3,413	1.68 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	202,791	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Warsaw	202,791	2,733	2,733	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Watha	202,791	181	181	0.09 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	202,791	843	843	0.42 %	100.00 %
10	Archer Lodge	215,999	4,797	4,797	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Johnston)	215,999	3,967	3,967	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	215,999	26,307	26,307	12.18 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	215,999	2,158	2,158	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	215,999	1,293	1,293	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Micro	215,999	458	458	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	215,999	2,046	2,046	0.95 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	215,999	1,315	1,315	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Selma	215,999	6,317	6,317	2.92 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	215,999	11,292	11,292	5.23 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	215,999	2,534	2,534	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	215,999	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
11	Bailey	206,121	568	568	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Bunn	206,121	327	327	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	206,121	264	264	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	206,121	1,082	1,082	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	206,121	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Franklinton	206,121	2,456	2,456	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	206,121	15,060	15,060	7.31 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	206,121	132	132	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	206,121	3,064	3,064	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	206,121	101	101	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Middlesex	206,121	912	912	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	206,121	277	277	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	206,121	5,632	5,632	2.73 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 6 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Red Oak	206,121	3,342	3,342	1.62 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	206,121	38,927	38,927	18.89 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	206,121	1,061	1,061	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Spring Hope	206,121	1,309	1,309	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	206,121	1,504	1,504	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	206,121	337	337	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	206,121	2,016	2,016	0.98 %	100.00 %
12	Angier (Harnett)	200,794	4,709	4,709	2.35 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	200,794	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Harnett)	200,794	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Broadway (Lee)	200,794	1,267	1,267	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Coats	200,794	2,155	2,155	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	200,794	8,446	8,446	4.21 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	200,794	4,542	4,542	2.26 %	100.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	200,794	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	200,794	4,735	4,735	2.36 %	100.00 %
	Sanford	200,794	30,261	30,261	15.07 %	100.00 %
13	Butner	198,411	8,397	8,397	4.23 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	198,411	4,866	4,866	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Wake)	198,411	269	269	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	198,411	8,628	8,628	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	198,411	466,106	88,167	44.44 %	18.92 %
	Stem	198,411	960	960	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	198,411	324	324	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	198,411	46,097	3,639	1.83 %	7.89 %
14	Angier (Wake)	198,368	556	5	0.00 %	0.90 %
	Clayton (Wake)	198,368	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	198,368	34,152	2,779	1.40 %	8.14 %
	Garner	198,368	31,159	30,669	15.46 %	98.43 %
	Knightdale	198,368	19,435	12,398	6.25 %	63.79 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	198,368	466,106	101,541	51.19 %	21.78 %
15	Cary (Wake)	198,393	171,012	8,044	4.05 %	4.70 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	198,393	34,152	1,900	0.96 %	5.56 %
	Garner	198,393	31,159	490	0.25 %	1.57 %
	Holly Springs	198,393	41,239	2,777	1.40 %	6.73 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	198,393	466,106	159,867	80.58 %	34.30 %
16	Apex	198,361	58,780	10,191	5.14 %	17.34 %
	Cary (Wake)	198,361	171,012	111,445	56.18 %	65.17 %
	Durham (Wake)	198,361	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	198,361	29,423	29,423	14.83 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 7 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
16	Raleigh (Wake)	198,361	466,106	44,179	22.27 %	9.48 %
17	Angier (Wake)	198,379	556	551	0.28 %	99.10 %
	Apex	198,379	58,780	48,589	24.49 %	82.66 %
	Cary (Wake)	198,379	171,012	51,523	25.97 %	30.13 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	198,379	34,152	29,473	14.86 %	86.30 %
	Holly Springs	198,379	41,239	38,462	19.39 %	93.27 %
18	Clayton (Wake)	198,490	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	198,490	19,435	7,037	3.55 %	36.21 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	198,490	466,106	72,352	36.45 %	15.52 %
	Rolesville	198,490	9,475	9,475	4.77 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	198,490	46,097	42,458	21.39 %	92.11 %
	Wendell	198,490	9,793	9,793	4.93 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	198,490	6,903	6,903	3.48 %	100.00 %
19	Eastover	218,040	3,656	3,656	1.68 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	218,040	324	324	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	218,040	208,501	111,167	50.98 %	53.32 %
	Godwin	218,040	128	128	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Hope Mills	218,040	17,808	17,808	8.17 %	100.00 %
	Linden	218,040	136	136	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	218,040	1,277	1,277	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Wade	218,040	638	638	0.29 %	100.00 %
20	Cary (Chatham)	199,272	3,709	3,709	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Durham)	199,272	2,906	2,906	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	199,272	283,093	115,188	57.80 %	40.69 %
	Goldston	199,272	234	234	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	199,272	207	207	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	199,272	4,537	4,537	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	199,272	1,559	233	0.12 %	14.95 %
	Siler City	199,272	7,702	7,702	3.87 %	100.00 %
21	Aberdeen	216,415	8,516	8,516	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	216,415	244	244	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Moore)	216,415	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Carthage	216,415	2,775	2,775	1.28 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	216,415	208,501	97,334	44.98 %	46.68 %
	Foxfire	216,415	1,288	1,288	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	216,415	1,473	1,473	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	216,415	17,581	17,581	8.12 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	216,415	1,168	1,168	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	216,415	15,545	15,545	7.18 %	100.00 %
	Spring Lake	216,415	11,660	11,660	5.39 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 8 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
21	Taylortown	216,415	634	634	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Vass	216,415	952	952	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Whispering Pines	216,415	4,987	4,987	2.30 %	100.00 %
22	Durham (Durham)	201,846	283,093	167,905	83.18 %	59.31 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	201,846	1,559	1,326	0.66 %	85.05 %
23	Carrboro	210,529	21,295	21,295	10.11 %	100.00 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	210,529	59,054	59,054	28.05 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Orange)	210,529	144	144	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	210,529	9,660	9,660	4.59 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	210,529	3,171	3,171	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Milton	210,529	155	155	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Roxboro	210,529	8,134	8,134	3.86 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	210,529	1,937	1,937	0.92 %	100.00 %
24	East Laurinburg	202,786	234	234	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Fairmont	202,786	2,191	2,191	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	202,786	449	449	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	202,786	14,978	14,978	7.39 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	202,786	82	82	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	202,786	19,025	19,025	9.38 %	100.00 %
	Marietta	202,786	111	111	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	202,786	1,902	1,902	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	202,786	208	208	0.10 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	202,786	94	94	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Orrum	202,786	59	59	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	202,786	504	504	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	202,786	2,823	2,823	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	202,786	121	121	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	202,786	4,559	4,559	2.25 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	202,786	60	60	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	202,786	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	202,786	3,087	3,087	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	202,786	275	275	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	202,786	885	885	0.44 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	202,786	2,045	2,045	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Wagram	202,786	615	615	0.30 %	100.00 %
25	Alamance	217,130	988	988	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	217,130	11,527	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Asheboro	217,130	27,156	1,217	0.56 %	4.48 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	217,130	55,481	55,481	25.55 %	100.00 %
	Elon	217,130	11,336	11,336	5.22 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 9 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
25	Franklinville	217,130	1,197	1,197	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	217,130	4,278	4,278	1.97 %	100.00 %
	Graham	217,130	17,157	17,157	7.90 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	217,130	3,152	3,152	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	217,130	2,252	2,252	1.04 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	217,130	2,655	2,655	1.22 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	217,130	14,626	14,626	6.74 %	100.00 %
	Ossipee	217,130	536	536	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Ramseur	217,130	1,774	1,774	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	217,130	4,595	4,595	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Staley	217,130	397	397	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	217,130	2,445	2,445	1.13 %	100.00 %
26	Burlington (Guilford)	217,918	1,822	1,822	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Eden	217,918	15,421	15,421	7.08 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	217,918	4,642	4,642	2.13 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	217,918	299,035	65,210	29.92 %	21.81 %
	Madison	217,918	2,129	2,129	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	217,918	2,418	2,418	1.11 %	100.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	217,918	5,000	5,000	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	217,918	14,583	14,583	6.69 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	217,918	676	676	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	217,918	1,308	1,308	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	217,918	2,662	2,662	1.22 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	217,918	584	584	0.27 %	100.00 %
27	Archdale (Guilford)	212,689	380	380	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	212,689	299,035	77,044	36.22 %	25.76 %
	High Point (Guilford)	212,689	107,321	107,321	50.46 %	100.00 %
	Jamestown	212,689	3,668	3,668	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	212,689	502	502	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	212,689	7,474	3	0.00 %	0.04 %
	Pleasant Garden	212,689	5,000	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
28	Greensboro	201,788	299,035	156,781	77.70 %	52.43 %
	Oak Ridge	201,788	7,474	7,471	3.70 %	99.96 %
	Stokesdale	201,788	5,924	5,924	2.94 %	100.00 %
	Summerfield	201,788	10,951	10,951	5.43 %	100.00 %
29	Ansonville	218,867	440	440	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Archdale (Randolph)	218,867	11,527	11,527	5.27 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	218,867	27,156	25,939	11.85 %	95.52 %
	Biscoe	218,867	1,848	1,848	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	218,867	813	813	0.37 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 10 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
29	Dobbins Heights	218,867	687	687	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	218,867	864	864	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Hamlet	218,867	6,025	6,025	2.75 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Randolph)	218,867	8	8	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	218,867	418	418	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	218,867	395	395	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	218,867	2,522	2,522	1.15 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	218,867	94	94	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	218,867	34,562	225	0.10 %	0.65 %
	Morven	218,867	329	329	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	218,867	1,171	1,171	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Norman	218,867	100	100	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	218,867	390	390	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	218,867	2,250	2,250	1.03 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	218,867	9,243	9,243	4.22 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	218,867	235	235	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Star	218,867	806	806	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	218,867	521	521	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	218,867	7,006	7,006	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Troy	218,867	2,850	2,850	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	218,867	5,008	5,008	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	218,867	20,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wingate	218,867	4,055	4,055	1.85 %	100.00 %
30	Bermuda Run	211,642	3,120	3,120	1.47 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	211,642	940	940	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Denton	211,642	1,494	1,494	0.71 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	211,642	6,646	6,646	3.14 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	211,642	19,632	19,632	9.28 %	100.00 %
	Midway	211,642	4,742	4,742	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	211,642	5,900	5,900	2.79 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	211,642	26,662	26,662	12.60 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	211,642	3,051	3,051	1.44 %	100.00 %
31	Bethania	210,315	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Boonville	210,315	1,185	1,185	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Clemmons	210,315	21,163	21,163	10.06 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	210,315	634	634	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	210,315	2,308	2,308	1.10 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	210,315	13,381	13,381	6.36 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	210,315	249,545	121,286	57.67 %	48.60 %
	Yadkinville	210,315	2,995	2,995	1.42 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 11 of 17

Districts included: All



# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
32	Bethania	209,489	344	344	0.16 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Forsyth)	209,489	84	84	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	209,489	25,947	25,947	12.39 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	209,489	591	591	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	209,489	3,351	3,351	1.60 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	209,489	2,578	2,578	1.23 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	209,489	5,692	5,692	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	209,489	249,545	128,259	61.22 %	51.40 %
33	Albemarle	209,379	16,432	16,432	7.85 %	100.00 %
	Badin	209,379	2,024	2,024	0.97 %	100.00 %
	China Grove	209,379	4,434	4,434	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	209,379	846	846	0.40 %	100.00 %
	East Spencer	209,379	1,567	1,567	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Faith	209,379	819	819	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	209,379	2,984	2,984	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	209,379	10,268	10,268	4.90 %	100.00 %
	Landis	209,379	3,690	3,690	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	209,379	3,996	3,996	1.91 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	209,379	650	650	0.31 %	100.00 %
	New London	209,379	607	607	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	209,379	2,367	2,367	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	209,379	2,128	2,128	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	209,379	762	762	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	209,379	582	582	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	209,379	2,302	2,302	1.10 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	209,379	35,540	35,540	16.97 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	209,379	3,308	3,308	1.58 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	209,379	1,585	1,585	0.76 %	100.00 %
34	Concord	217,563	105,240	105,240	48.37 %	100.00 %
	Harrisburg	217,563	18,967	18,967	8.72 %	100.00 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	217,563	42,846	42,846	19.69 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	217,563	541	423	0.19 %	78.19 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	217,563	4,684	4	0.00 %	0.09 %
	Mount Pleasant	217,563	1,671	1,671	0.77 %	100.00 %
35	Fairview	216,849	3,456	3,456	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	216,849	1,614	1,614	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	216,849	39,997	39,997	18.44 %	100.00 %
	Lake Park	216,849	3,269	3,269	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	216,849	541	118	0.05 %	21.81 %
	Marvin	216,849	6,358	6,358	2.93 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 12 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
35	Midland (Cabarrus)	216,849	4,684	4,680	2.16 %	99.91 %
	Mineral Springs	216,849	3,159	3,159	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	216,849	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	216,849	34,562	34,337	15.83 %	99.35 %
	Stallings (Union)	216,849	15,728	15,728	7.25 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	216,849	6,643	6,643	3.06 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	216,849	20,534	20,534	9.47 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	216,849	13,176	13,176	6.08 %	100.00 %
	Wesley Chapel	216,849	8,681	8,681	4.00 %	100.00 %
36	Danbury	218,292	189	189	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Dobson	218,292	1,462	1,462	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	218,292	4,049	4,049	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	218,292	73	73	0.03 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	218,292	6,606	6,606	3.03 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	218,292	10,676	10,676	4.89 %	100.00 %
	North Wilkesboro	218,292	4,382	4,382	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	218,292	1,440	1,440	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	218,292	438	438	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	218,292	2,320	2,320	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	218,292	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walnut Cove	218,292	1,586	1,586	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	218,292	3,687	3,687	1.69 %	100.00 %
37	Charlotte	217,151	874,579	186,588	85.93 %	21.33 %
	Matthews	217,151	29,435	29,435	13.56 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	217,151	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	217,151	5	5	0.00 %	100.00 %
38	Charlotte	216,830	874,579	213,710	98.56 %	24.44 %
39	Charlotte	218,750	874,579	182,696	83.52 %	20.89 %
	Pineville	218,750	10,602	10,602	4.85 %	100.00 %
40	Charlotte	216,475	874,579	174,962	80.82 %	20.01 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	216,475	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	216,475	26,444	26,444	12.22 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	216,475	384	373	0.17 %	97.14 %
41	Charlotte	217,606	874,579	116,623	53.59 %	13.33 %
	Cornelius	217,606	31,412	12,421	5.71 %	39.54 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	217,606	14,728	14,728	6.77 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	217,606	61,376	51,709	23.76 %	84.25 %
42	Cornelius	215,363	31,412	18,991	8.82 %	60.46 %
	Davidson (Iredell)	215,363	378	378	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Harmony	215,363	543	543	0.25 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 13 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
42	Huntersville	215,363	61,376	9,667	4.49 %	15.75 %
	Love Valley	215,363	154	154	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	215,363	50,193	50,193	23.31 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	215,363	28,419	28,419	13.20 %	100.00 %
	Troutman	215,363	3,698	3,698	1.72 %	100.00 %
43	Belmont	201,870	15,010	15,010	7.44 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	201,870	5,428	5,428	2.69 %	100.00 %
	Cramerton	201,870	5,296	5,296	2.62 %	100.00 %
	Dallas	201,870	5,927	5,927	2.94 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	201,870	80,411	80,411	39.83 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	201,870	1,110	1,110	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Lowell	201,870	3,654	3,654	1.81 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	201,870	890	890	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	201,870	17,703	17,703	8.77 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	201,870	4,511	4,511	2.23 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	201,870	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	201,870	3,963	3,946	1.95 %	99.57 %
44	Bessemer City	200,453	5,428	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Cherryville	200,453	6,078	6,078	3.03 %	100.00 %
	Connelly Springs	200,453	1,529	1,529	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	200,453	6	6	0.00 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	200,453	1,760	1,760	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	200,453	80,411	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Glen Alpine	200,453	1,529	1,529	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	200,453	79	79	0.04 %	100.00 %
	High Shoals	200,453	595	595	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	200,453	1,679	1,679	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Lincolnton	200,453	11,091	11,091	5.53 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	200,453	735	735	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	200,453	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morganton	200,453	17,474	17,474	8.72 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	200,453	639	639	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	200,453	1,226	1,226	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Stanley	200,453	3,963	17	0.01 %	0.43 %
	Valdese	200,453	4,689	4,689	2.34 %	100.00 %
45	Brookford	218,526	442	442	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Cajah's Mountain	218,526	2,722	2,722	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	218,526	702	702	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	218,526	301	301	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	218,526	1,692	1,692	0.77 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
45	Conover	218,526	8,421	8,421	3.85 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	218,526	3,702	65	0.03 %	1.76 %
	Granite Falls	218,526	4,965	4,965	2.27 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	218,526	32	32	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	218,526	43,379	43,379	19.85 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	218,526	3,780	3,780	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	218,526	18,352	13,830	6.33 %	75.36 %
	Long View (Catawba)	218,526	4,353	4,353	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	218,526	3,736	3,736	1.71 %	100.00 %
	Newton	218,526	13,148	13,148	6.02 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	218,526	358	358	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	218,526	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	218,526	5,020	5,020	2.30 %	100.00 %
46	Belwood	208,541	857	857	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Springs	208,541	4,615	4,615	2.21 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	208,541	355	355	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Casar	208,541	305	305	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Chimney Rock Village	208,541	140	140	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Earl	208,541	198	198	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	208,541	723	723	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	208,541	627	627	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	208,541	7,377	7,377	3.54 %	100.00 %
	Grover	208,541	802	802	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	208,541	10,032	10,032	4.81 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	208,541	656	656	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Lake Lure	208,541	1,365	1,365	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	208,541	406	406	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	208,541	570	570	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Marion	208,541	7,717	7,717	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Mooresboro	208,541	293	293	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	208,541	811	811	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	208,541	571	571	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	208,541	516	516	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Ruth	208,541	347	347	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	208,541	3,640	3,640	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	208,541	21,918	21,918	10.51 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	208,541	4,225	4,225	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Waco	208,541	310	310	0.15 %	100.00 %
47	Bakersville	209,958	450	450	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	209,958	1,049	1,049	0.50 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 15 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
47	Beech Mountain (Avery)	209,958	62	62	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	209,958	613	613	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	209,958	91	91	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	209,958	1,285	1,285	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Boone	209,958	19,092	19,092	9.09 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	209,958	1,614	1,614	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Canton	209,958	4,422	4,422	2.11 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	209,958	1,368	1,368	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	209,958	143	143	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	209,958	542	542	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	209,958	3,702	3,637	1.73 %	98.24 %
	Grandfather Village	209,958	95	95	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	209,958	520	520	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Jefferson	209,958	1,622	1,622	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	209,958	126	126	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	209,958	18,352	4,522	2.15 %	24.64 %
	Mars Hill	209,958	2,007	2,007	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	209,958	777	777	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Newland	209,958	715	715	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	209,958	38	38	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	209,958	275	275	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	209,958	1,834	1,834	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	209,958	2,194	2,194	1.04 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	209,958	371	371	0.18 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	209,958	1,279	1,279	0.61 %	100.00 %
48	Asheville	202,531	94,589	42,861	21.16 %	45.31 %
	Biltmore Forest	202,531	1,409	1,409	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	202,531	1,060	1,060	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	202,531	3,486	3,486	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Fletcher	202,531	7,987	7,987	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	202,531	15,137	15,137	7.47 %	100.00 %
	Laurel Park	202,531	2,250	2,250	1.11 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	202,531	7,078	7,078	3.49 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	202,531	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	202,531	620	620	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	202,531	1,562	1,562	0.77 %	100.00 %
49	Asheville	202,530	94,589	51,728	25.54 %	54.69 %
	Biltmore Forest	202,530	1,409	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Black Mountain	202,530	8,426	8,426	4.16 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	202,530	901	901	0.44 %	100.00 %

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

[G20-DMbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

Page 16 of 17

Districts included: All

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
49	Weaverville	202,530	4,567	4,567	2.25 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	202,530	7,936	7,936	3.92 %	100.00 %
50	Andrews	213,909	1,667	1,667	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Brevard	213,909	7,744	7,744	3.62 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	213,909	1,558	1,558	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	213,909	213	213	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	213,909	13	13	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	213,909	303	303	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	213,909	4,175	4,175	1.95 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	213,909	461	461	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	213,909	12	12	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	213,909	1,060	1,060	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	213,909	38	38	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	213,909	1,687	1,687	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	213,909	1,608	1,608	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	213,909	597	597	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	213,909	701	701	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	213,909	2,578	2,578	1.21 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	213,909	10,140	10,140	4.74 %	100.00 %
	Webster	213,909	372	372	0.17 %	100.00 %
<b>Total:</b>				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Split Municipalities: 37

Splits Involving Population: 27

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Carteret	28	0
	Chowan	6	0
	Dare	16	0
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
	Perquimans	7	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Beaufort	21	0
	Craven	21	0
	Lenoir	22	0
3	Bertie	12	0
	Camden	3	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Gates	6	0
	Halifax	23	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Martin	13	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Warren	14	0
4	Greene	10	0
	Wayne	28	0
	Wilson	24	0
5	Edgecombe	21	0
	Pitt	40	0
6	Onslow	24	0
7	New Hanover	40	0
8	Brunswick	25	0
	Columbus	26	0
	New Hanover	3	0
9	Bladen	17	0
	Duplin	19	0
	Jones	7	0
	Pender	20	0
	Sampson	22	0
10	Johnston	36	0
11	Franklin	18	0
	Nash	24	0
	Vance	12	0
12	Harnett	13	0
	Lee	10	0
	Sampson	1	0

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-SbD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
13	Granville	15	0
	Wake	33	1
14	Wake	33	0
15	Wake	41	4
16	Wake	36	1
17	Wake	25	1
18	Wake	32	1
19	Cumberland	57	0
20	Chatham	18	0
	Durham	22	0
21	Cumberland	19	0
	Moore	26	0
22	Durham	35	0
23	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	41	0
	Person	11	0
24	Hoke	15	0
	Robeson	39	0
	Scotland	7	0
25	Alamance	37	0
	Randolph	8	0
26	Guilford	34	0
	Rockingham	15	0
27	Guilford	66	0
28	Guilford	65	0
29	Anson	9	0
	Montgomery	14	0
	Randolph	14	0
	Richmond	16	0
	Union	9	0
30	Davidson	43	0
	Davie	14	0
31	Forsyth	46	0
	Yadkin	12	0
32	Forsyth	55	0
33	Rowan	41	0
	Stanly	22	0
34	Cabarrus	39	0
35	Cabarrus	1	0
	Union	43	0



# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
36	Alexander	10	0
	Stokes	18	0
	Surry	24	0
	Wilkes	27	0
37	Mecklenburg	48	0
38	Mecklenburg	35	0
39	Mecklenburg	40	0
40	Mecklenburg	41	0
41	Mecklenburg	27	0
42	Iredell	29	0
	Mecklenburg	4	0
43	Gaston	39	0
44	Burke	33	0
	Gaston	7	0
	Lincoln	23	0
45	Caldwell	13	0
	Catawba	40	0
46	Cleveland	21	0
	McDowell	17	0
	Rutherford	17	0
47	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Avery	19	0
	Caldwell	7	0
	Haywood	12	0
	Madison	12	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Watauga	20	0
	Yancey	11	0
48	Buncombe	24	0
	Henderson	34	0
	Polk	7	0
49	Buncombe	55	0
50	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Haywood	17	0
	Jackson	13	0
	Macon	15	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
<b>Total:</b>		<b>2,662</b>	

## Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

### District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Split VTDs: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-SbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-SbC] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	200	4
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>2,662</b>	<b>4</b>

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Split VTDs: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

# Split VTD Detail Report

## District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Wake	01-51	13	1,454	234	16.09 %
		15	1,454	1,220	83.91 %
	12-01	15	9,426	553	5.87 %
		17	9,426	8,873	94.13 %
	13-01	15	10,658	5,914	55.49 %
		18	10,658	4,744	44.51 %
	18-08	15	6,727	4,794	71.27 %
		16	6,727	1,933	28.73 %
Assigned Geography Total:				28,265	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 50

Split VTDs: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

# Incumbent-District Report

**District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt**

**Residence Set: NC Senate - 2/12/2022**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Alexander	W. Ted	R	44	46
Ballard	Deanna	R	45	47
Barnes	Lisa	R	11	11
Batch	Sydney	D	17	17
Bazemore	Ernestine	D	3	3
Berger	Philip	R	30	26
Blue	Daniel	D	14	14
Britt	Danny	R	13	24
Burgin	James	R	12	12
Chaudhuri	Jay	D	15	15
Clark	Robert	D	21	24
Corbin	Harold	R	50	50
Craven	David	R	26	29
Crawford	Sarah	D	18	18
Daniel	Warren	R	46	44
Davis	Donald	D	5	5
deViere	Kirk	D	19	19
Edwards	Charles	R	48	48
Fitch	Milton	D	4	4
Ford	Carl	R	33	33
Foushee	Valerie	D	23	23
Galey	Amy	R	24	25
Garrett	Michael	D	27	27
Harrington	Kathryn	R	43	43
Hise	Ralph	R	47	47
Jackson	Brent	R	10	9
Jackson	Jeffrey	D	37	37
Jarvis	Steven	R	29	30
Johnson	Matthew	R	35	35
Krawiec	Joyce	R	31	32
Lazzara	Michael	R	6	6
Lee	Michael	R	9	7
Lowe	Paul	D	32	32
Marcus	Natasha	D	41	41
Mayfield	Julie	D	49	49
McInnis	Thomas	R	25	21
Mohammed	Mujtaba	D	38	38
Murdock	Natalie	D	20	20
Newton	Paul	R	36	34
Nickel	George	D	16	16
Perry	Jim	R	7	2
Proctor	Dean	R	42	45

District plan definition file: 'SBR22-8 Second Attempt.csv', modified 2/16/2022 8:02 PM

Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.

[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Incumbent-District Report

**District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt**

**Residence Set: NC Senate - 2/12/2022**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Rabon	William	R	8	8
Robinson	Gladys	D	28	28
Salvador	DeAndrea	D	39	39
Sanderson	Norman	R	2	1
Sawyer	Vickie	R	34	42
Steinburg	Bob	R	1	1
Waddell	Joyce	D	40	40
Woodard	Mike	D	22	22



**District-Incumbent Report****District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt****Residence Set: NC Senate - 2/12/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Sanderson	Norman	R	2
	Steinburg	Bob	R	1
2	Perry	Jim	R	7
3	Bazemore	Ernestine	D	3
4	Fitch	Milton	D	4
5	Davis	Donald	D	5
6	Lazzara	Michael	R	6
7	Lee	Michael	R	9
8	Rabon	William	R	8
9	Jackson	Brent	R	10
10				
11	Barnes	Lisa	R	11
12	Burgin	James	R	12
13				
14	Blue	Daniel	D	14
15	Chaudhuri	Jay	D	15
16	Nickel	George	D	16
17	Batch	Sydney	D	17
18	Crawford	Sarah	D	18
19	deViere	Kirk	D	19
20	Murdock	Natalie	D	20
21	McInnis	Thomas	R	25
22	Woodard	Mike	D	22
23	Foushee	Valerie	D	23
24	Britt	Danny	R	13
	Clark	Robert	D	21
25	Galey	Amy	R	24
26	Berger	Philip	R	30
27	Garrett	Michael	D	27
28	Robinson	Gladys	D	28
29	Craven	David	R	26
30	Jarvis	Steven	R	29
31				
32	Krawiec	Joyce	R	31
	Lowe	Paul	D	32
33	Ford	Carl	R	33
34	Newton	Paul	R	36
35	Johnson	Matthew	R	35
36				
37	Jackson	Jeffrey	D	37
38	Mohammed	Mujtaba	D	38
39	Salvador	DeAndrea	D	39

**District-Incumbent Report****District Plan: SBR22-8 Second Attempt****Residence Set: NC Senate - 2/12/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
40	Waddell	Joyce	D	40
41	Marcus	Natasha	D	41
42	Sawyer	Vickie	R	34
43	Harrington	Kathryn	R	43
44	Daniel	Warren	R	46
45	Proctor	Dean	R	42
46	Alexander	W. Ted	R	44
47	Ballard	Deanna	R	45
	Hise	Ralph	R	47
48	Edwards	Charles	R	48
49	Mayfield	Julie	D	49
50	Corbin	Harold	R	50