No. 413PA21 TENTH DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA

****

NORTH CAROLINA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, REBECCA HARPER, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, and COMMON CAUSE, Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellant, v. REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his official capacity as Chair of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, et al., Defendants-Appellees.	)	From Wake County 21 CVS 015426 21 CVS 500058

****

RULE 9(d) COPIES OF EXHIBITS AND OTHER ITEMS

VOLUME 7

****

INDEX

VOLUME 7*

Note: Volumes 1 through 6 consist of Rule 9(d) Copies of Exhibits and Other Items filed on 21 January 2022 (Docket Entries No. 38 through 43)

Letter on Behalf of Common Cause re VRA-Required Remedial Districts in State Legislative Maps (with exhibits) *† 11623

Legislative Defendants' Corrected Statement of Participants 11638

Legislative Defendants' Affidavit of Raleigh Myers Regarding the Loading of Election Data into Maptitude 11640

Legislative Defendants' Remedial State House Plan (HB 980/SL2022-4) Materials*† 11644

Legislative Defendants' Remedial State Senate Plan (SB 744/SL 2022-2) Materials*† 12263

Legislative Defendants' Remedial Congressional Plan (SB 745/SL2022-3)*†..... 13464

Legislative Defendants' Submission of Senate Committee Remedial Hearing Transcripts..... 14668

Legislative Defendants' Submission of House Committee Remedial Hearing Transcripts 14749

Legislative Defendants' Submission of General Assembly Remedial Floor Debate Transcripts 14828

Legislative Defendants' Submission of General Assembly Hearing and Debates Links to Audio and Video Files 15021

Legislative Defendants' Submission of 2022 Remedial Maps County Grouping Overlay*† 15022

Legislative Defendants' Submission of Member Residence Files 15109

Legislative Defendants' Submission of 2021 Additional StatPacks of Enacted Plans 15113

Legislative Defendants' Submission of Affidavit of R. Erika Churchill and Exhibits 15415

NCLCV Congressional Map Block Assignment File (Exhibit D to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans)*† 15438

NCLCV Senate Map Block Assignment File (Exhibit E to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans)*† 15439

NCLCV Congressional Map Shapefile (Exhibit G to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans)*† 15440

NCLCV Senate Map Shapefile (Exhibit H to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans)*† 15441

NCLCV Congressional Map Population Summary Report (Exhibit J to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans) 15442

NCLCV Senate Map Population Summary Report (Exhibit K to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans) 15444

NCLCV Congressional Map Statistical Reports (Exhibit M to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans) 15447

NCLCV Senate Map Statistical Reports (Exhibit N to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans) 15521

Expert Supplemental Report of Douglas James, Ph.D., *Common Cause v. Lewis*, No. 18 CVS 014001 (N.C. Super. Ct. May 6, 2019) (Exhibit Q to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans) 15603

Affidavit of Gary Bartlett, *N.C. State Conf. of NAACP v. Berger*, No. 21 CVS 014476 (N.C. Super. Ct. Nov. 5, 2021) (Exhibit R to NCLCV Plaintiffs' Brief on Proposed Remedial Plans)..... 15613

Harper Plaintiffs' Congressional Map Stat Pack Report (Exhibit B to Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)..... 15628

Harper Plaintiffs' Congressional Map Compactness Report (Exhibit C to Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review) 15691

Harper Plaintiffs' Congressional Map Shapefile (submitted with Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)*† 15694

Harper Plaintiffs' Congressional Map Block Assignment File (submitted with Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)*† 15695

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Cluster Maps (Exhibit E to Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)..... 15696

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Map Population Report (Exhibit F to Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)..... 15717

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Map County Split Report (Exhibit G to Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)..... 15718

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Map Shapefile (submitted with Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)*† 15721

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Map Block Assignment File — Statewide (submitted with Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)*† 15722

Harper Plaintiffs' Senate Map Block Assignment File — Wake County (submitted with Harper Plaintiffs' Submission Regarding Proposed Remedial Plans for Court Review)*† 15723

Common Cause Remedial House District 10 Block Assignment File (Exhibit 5 to Ketchie Affidavit)*† 15724

Common Cause Remedial Senate District 4 Block Assignment File (Exhibit 6 to Ketchie Affidavit)*† 15725

Common Cause Remedial House District 10 Shapefile (Exhibit 7 to Ketchie Affidavit)*† 15726

Common Cause Remedial Senate District 4 Shapefile (Exhibit 8 to Ketchie Affidavit)*† 15727

Common Cause Remedial House District 10 Statistical Report (Exhibit 9 to Ketchie Affidavit) 15728

Common Cause Senate Remedial Senate District 4 Statistical Report (Exhibit 10 to Ketchie Affidavit) 15729

* All csv files and shapefiles are submitted to the Court in native file via flash drive.

† Maptitude or an equivalent program is required to open these files.

If submission of these exhibits in any other format or medium would be helpful, they will be provided upon request.

SOUTHERN COALITION for SOCIAL JUSTICE

1415 W. Hwy 54, Suite 101
Durham, NC 27707
919-323-3380
southerncoalition.org

February 15, 2022

VIA EMAIL

To: Sen. Phil Berger, President Pro Tempore, North Carolina Senate
Rep. Tim Moore, Speaker, North Carolina House of Representatives
Sen. Daniel, Sen. Hise, and Sen. Newton, Co-Chairs, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Rep. Hall, Chair, House Standing Committee on Redistricting
(All via Counsel)

CC: Sen. Dan Blue, Senate Democratic Leader
Rep. Robert T. Reives, II, House Democratic Leader
Members, Senate Standing Committee on Redistricting and Elections
Members, House Standing Committee on Redistricting
State Defendants (*via Counsel*)
Harper Plaintiffs (*via Counsel*); NCLCV Plaintiffs (*via Counsel*)

RE: VRA-Required Remedial Districts in State Legislative Maps

Dear Sirs,

We write on behalf of Common Cause in reference to the 4 February 2022 Order (the "NCSC Order") and the 14 February 2022 Opinion (the "NCSC Opinion") of the North Carolina Supreme Court in *Harper v. Hall*, No. 413PA21 and the 8 February 2022 Order of the three-judge panel in the consolidated matter *NCLCV v. Hall*, Nos. 21 CVS 015426, 21 CVS 500085 (the "Trial Court Order"), which set forth the requirements for any remedial redistricting of state Legislative districts undertaken by the General Assembly.

As a preliminary matter, we would like to reiterate your obligations to retain relevant documentation of the redistricting process pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 120-133(a), which provides that

> *[A]ll* drafting and information requests to legislative employees and documents prepared by legislative employees for legislators concerning redistricting the North Carolina General Assembly or the Congressional Districts are no longer confidential and become public records upon the act establishing the relevant districting plan becoming law.

About Us: The Southern Coalition for Social Justice partners with communities of color and economically disadvantaged communities in the South to defend and advance their political, social, and economic rights through the combination of legal advocacy, research, organizing, and communications.

(emphasis added).[1] The trial court reaffirmed your obligations to preserve all documentation, including any concept maps or other materials provided to or prepared by legislative staff. *See* Order, *NCLCV v. Hall*, (Dec. 27, 2021) at 4 (requiring disclosure of "the identification of all persons who took part in the drawing of the Enacted Plans in any way as well as all documents or data relied upon by those involved in the map drawing process").[2]

In addition to preserving documentation, both the trial court and the North Carolina Supreme Court have also reinforced the General Assembly's obligation to perform the necessary racially polarized voting (RPV) analysis to draw districts required by the Voting Rights Act prior to all others, as set forth in *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 355 N.C. 354, 383 (2002).

Specifically, Paragraph 8 of the NCSC Order provides, in relevant part, that:

> The General Assembly must first assess whether, using current election and population data, racially polarized voting is legally sufficient in any area of the state such that Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act requires the drawing of a district to avoid diluting the voting strength of African-American voters.

The Supreme Court reiterated this on pages 134 through 137 of the NCSC Opinion, holding that "under *Stephenson*, the General Assembly was required to conduct a racially polarized voting analysis prior to drawing district lines" to comply with the North Carolina state Constitution and to "avoid the dilution of minority voting strength."

Paragraph 2(a) of the Trial Court Order provides, in relevant part, that the General Assembly include in its written submission accompanying any Proposed Remedial Plans:

> The results of the required initial assessment of whether a racially polarized voting analysis requires the drawing of a district in an area of the state to comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

Pursuant to these directives, we write to inform you of two state legislative districts that fulfill the three threshold criteria set forth under *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50–51 (1986). We also provide remedial districts for these areas that prevent unlawful vote dilution for voters of color to ensure compliance with the Voting Rights Act.[3]

---

[1] Furthermore, N.C.G.S. § 120-133(a) states that "[p]resent and former legislative employees may be required to disclose information otherwise protected by N.C.G.S. 120-132 concerning redistricting the North Carolina General Assembly or the Congressional Districts upon the act establishing the relevant district plan becoming law." A legislative employee is broadly defined to include "employees and officers of the General Assembly, consultants and counsel to members and committees of either house of the General Assembly or of legislative commissions who are paid by State funds, students at an accredited law school while in an externship program at the General Assembly…and employees of the School of Government at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill[.]" N.C.G.S. § 120-129(2).

[2] *Available at* https://www.nccourts.gov/assets/inline-files/21.12.27%20-%20Order%20on%20Harper%20Plaintiffs%20First%20Motion%20to%20Compel.pdf?th15NEzvP6Qgu_e1OyedjDz7UCZ6g22C

[3] The expert testimony presented at trial in *NCLCV v. Hall*, namely that by Common Cause expert Dr. Leloudis and the appendix of Dr. Mattingly, confirm that North Carolina's political process would not be equally open to minority

Importantly, VRA remedial districts must be based upon a "practical evaluation of the 'past and present reality'" of political processes in this area of the state, as well as a "functional" view of the political process, to determine whether the political processes are equally open to Black voters. *Gingles*, 478 U.S. at 45 (quoting S. Rep. No. 97-417, p. 30 & n.120 (1982)). Contrary to what the General Assembly has previously assumed, "VRA districts" should not be created to simply meet a rigid and uniform 50%+1 BVAP population requirement. Instead, based on the facts of this case, remedial districts should be developed to achieve the BVAP level required to ensure Black voters have an equal opportunity to elect representatives of their choice within the particular area.

To be clear, we understand the ruling in *Bartlett v. Strickland* that crossover districts cannot be used to satisfy the *Gingles* preconditions to establish Section 2 liability. But the distinction between the demographic possibilities here and in the Pender County case is distinguishing. In *Pender County v. Bartlett*, 361 N.C. 491, 506–07 (2007), the North Carolina Supreme Court determined that neither a remedial majority-minority district in the larger Pender County region nor a crossover House district could be drawn in Pender County without crossing a county line. Based on the area demographics, there was no way to draw a district in which Black voters constituted a numerical majority. *Id.* It was therefore impossible to satisfy the first *Gingles* prong, and the Whole County Provision controlled the shape of the district that encompassed Pender County. *Id.* at 507. In contrast, as shown below, it is possible to draw the remedial districts discussed in this letter in areas of North Carolina that meet all three *Gingles* criteria today, which necessitates the creation of districts that allow minority voters to elect their candidates of choice.

This application of the VRA is consistent with both the plain text of Section 2 – which nowhere requires majority-minority districts to achieve equal "opportunity" to elect candidates of choice – and applicable precedent, which supports this jurisdictionally-sensitive approach rather than a uniform 50%+1 BVAP population requirement. *See, e.g.*, *Bartlett v. Strickland*, 556 U.S. 1, 23 (2009) ("[Section] 2 allows States to choose their own method of complying with the Voting Rights Act, and we have said that may include drawing crossover districts."); *League of United Latin Am. Citizens v. Perry*, 548 U.S. 399, 429 (2006) (observing that even a majority of voting-age population in a district does not automatically make it an opportunity district, and that the analysis depends on whether the group "could have had an opportunity district" given how district lines are drawn).

With this in mind, we outline below where the *Gingles* criteria are met and offer appropriate remedial districts that we believe will harmonize the need to protect Black voters with state constitutional requirements and will prevent violations of Section 2 in North Carolina's remedial state legislative maps.

House District 10

There exists a sufficiently large and geographically compact population of Black voting-age-population in Greene, Lenoir, and Wayne counties to constitute a majority in a single-member House District, as shown by the following "*Gingles* I" demonstrative map (Figure 1):

voters in these areas based upon the "totality of the circumstances." *See Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 79 (1986).

Figure 1: HD10 *Gingles I* VRA Demonstrative



The RPV analysis attached as **Exhibit 1** to this letter indicates that Black voters are politically cohesive in the Wayne County precincts utilized by the General Assembly when enacting S.L. 2021-175. The data in **Exhibit 1** also demonstrate that there is racially polarized voting in this area, such that the white majority vote sufficiently as a bloc to enable it to usually defeat the minority's preferred candidate, i.e., that racially polarized voting is significant in this area.[4] In contrast to the legislature's inadequate (and outdated) RPV analysis from the 2011 redistricting cycle, this RPV analysis properly addresses the third prong of *Gingles* – whether the RPV is legally significant. Accordingly, the second and third *Gingles* preconditions are also satisfied.

To prevent unlawful vote dilution in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, the General Assembly must draw a district in this area that will ensure the election of a state representative in this area is equally open to participation by Black voters. Such a remedial district is provided below (Figure 2):

[4] This analysis is also consistent with the conclusions of Dr. Lewis offered by Legislative Defendants in *NCLCV v. Hall* for this area. *See* Lewis Deposition 70:3-71:2.

Figure 2: HD10 VRA Remedial



This remedial district contains 38.8% BVAP, which is sufficient for Black voters to have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of choice, as shown by the RPV data provided in **Exhibit 2**.[5] This remedial district has the advantage of not requiring any change to the county clustering, obviating any argument that the Whole County provision is in conflict with the need to provide a racially equitable remedy to the harm wrought on Black voters by the 2011 enacted plan.

Beyond this, we also believe there are independent state constitutional grounds for requiring these districts. In the NCSC Opinion, the North Carolina Supreme Court makes clear that partisan fairness and compliance with the state constitutional prohibition on partisan gerrymandering can be measured on a statewide basis, and that the 2021 Enacted maps were deemed unconstitutional on that basis. NCSC Opinion at p. 105. The Court also made clear, in footnote 14, that single districts can constitute partisan gerrymanders. Furthermore, in each of the districts described below, the racially polarized voting studies show that Black voters overwhelmingly prefer

[5] This analysis is further consistent with Dr. Lewis's opinion that at least 38% BVAP would is required in this area to provide a Black opportunity district. *See* Lewis Rebuttal Report at Table 1 p. 5 (line "LD21-010).

Democratic candidates. Thus, the creation of these two additional Democratic districts is likely necessary to ensure that the metrics pointed out by the courts reflect statewide partisan fairness. Should the trial court or North Carolina Supreme Court agree with us that there is an independent state constitutional basis for the redrawing of these districts (separate from or in addition to the vote dilution issue), then we believe that the North Carolina Supreme Court ruling will be unappealable.[6]

Senate District 4

There is a sufficiently large and geographically compact BVAP in the counties east of Raleigh to constitute a majority in a single-member Senate District, as shown by the following "*Gingles* I" demonstrative map (Figure 3):

[Rest of page intentionally left blank

[6] We do not concede that the decision to implement these districts would be appealable if grounded only in the Court's *Stephenson* precedent, but regardless, we anticipate it will be moot since independent grounds exist for this remedy.

Figure 3: SD4 VRA *Gingles* I Demonstrative



Furthermore, the RPV analysis attached as **Exhibit 3** to this letter indicates that Black voters are politically cohesive in Greene, Wayne, and Wilson counties, which are the three counties in this area set forth in the Senate Cluster options utilized by the General Assembly when enacting S.L. 2021-173.

The data in **Exhibit 3** also demonstrate there is racially polarized voting in this area, such that the white majority vote sufficiently as a bloc to enable it to usually defeat the minority's preferred

candidate, i.e., that racially polarized voting is significant in this area.[7] In contrast to the Legislature's inadequate (and outdated) RPV analysis from the 2011 redistricting cycle, this RPV analysis properly addresses the third prong of *Gingles* – whether the RPV is legally significant. Accordingly, the second and third *Gingles* preconditions are also satisfied.

Thus, to prevent unlawful vote dilution in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, the General Assembly must draw a district in this area that will ensure the process for electing a state Senator to represent this area is equally open to participation by Black voters. Such a remedial district is provided below (Figure 4):

Figure 4: SD4 VRA Remedial



[7] This analysis is also consistent with the conclusions of Dr. Lewis offered by Legislative Defendants in *NCLCV v. Hall*. *See* T3 589:9–590:11 (Lewis) ("Q. Okay. And if we go across the row, the Black-preferred candidate win rate [in SD4] dropped to zero, correct? A. Correct.").

This remedial district contains 40.6% BVAP, which is sufficient for Black voters to have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of choice, as shown by the RPV data provided for this district in **Exhibit 4** to this letter.[8]

While this remedial district does require the grouping of a single-district, two county cluster and a single-district, three county cluster to create a two-district, five county grouping, the effect on the overall map is limited to these two clusters. Similarly to HD 10, the drawing of this state Senate district is likely to ensure that the map, measured statewide, provides partisan fairness. The RPV analysis we have provided demonstrate that Black voters in this area prefer Democratic candidates. This provides an independent basis understand constitutional law, notwithstanding VRA compliance, for implementing this remedy. Moreover, the slight modification of the *Stephenson* county clustering produced by the settled algorithm is necessary to ensure that a map is drawn consistent with the North Carolina Supreme Court's ruling under the equal protection clause, free elections clause, freedom of speech clause, and freedom of assembly clause. That is, the only question that may exist is one of reconciling or harmonizing state constitutional provisions with each other – a question only for the North Carolina Supreme Court to resolve.

To further your use of this information, we have included as **Exhibits 5 and 6** the block files in native format for the remedial districts contained herein.

* * * * *

In light of the three-judge panel's determination that Senate District 1 in S.L. 2021-173 was drawn as a result of partisan gerrymandering, Judgment ¶ 300 (Jan. 11, 2022), and the North Carolina Supreme Court's determination that this violates the North Carolina Constitution, *see generally* NCSC Order, Common Cause understands that any constitutional remedial map will have to utilize the alternative Senate cluster "Z1" for northeast North Carolina (one that protects the ability of Black voters to continue electing their candidate of choice) and thus has not included analysis relevant to this Senate cluster herein. However, Common Cause's choice not to address this, or any other state or Congressional legislative districts that similarly require remediation pursuant to the Supreme Court's ruling on partisan gerrymandering, should not be construed in any way as an admission that no VRA-related issues exist in these remaining areas.

It is Common Cause's sincere hope that you will take heed and follow the extensive guidance provided by state and federal courts on the requirements of the North Carolina Constitution and federal law to craft remedial maps that prevent any needless violations of applicable law, including the Voting Rights Act. North Carolina voters should not be consigned once again to a decade of avoidable and costly litigation that undermines faith in our democratic processes and detracts from the legislature's ability to undertake the people's business. Instead, the voters of this state deserve finality and certainty in the voting districts used to elect representatives for the following decade. Likewise, specious threats of appeal to the United States Supreme Court should not deter this body

[8] This analysis is further consistent with Dr. Lewis's opinion that at least 37% BVAP would is required in this area to provide a Black opportunity district. *See* T3 590:12–16 (Lewis): ("Q. Because given the level of white crossover voting, if you look at your last column, the district there needed to be 37 percent BVAP for a Black-preferred candidate to win, isn't that right? A. Typically, yes.").

from taking a different course than it took in 2011 – one that respects and protects the ability of Black voters to elect their preferred candidates, and to not discriminate against them because, in these two areas, they prefer Democratic candidates.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. In light of the February 18, 2022 deadline for remedial districting, we would be happy to make ourselves available at your convenience for a conference or to provide more detail about the information provided herein.

Sincerely,

Allison J. Riggs
Hilary Harris Klein
Mitchell Brown
Katelin Kaiser

Counsel for Common Cause

RPV in HD10 (SL 2021-175) - Raymond E. Smith Jr.

	Beasley vs. Newby - NC Supreme Court 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Beasley			100.00%	16.41%	99.12%	6.83%	95.31%	11.65%	46.89%
Newby			0.00%	83.59%	0.86%	93.06%	4.69%	88.35%	53.11%

	Holmes vs. Dobson - NC Commissioner of Labor 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Holmes			100.00%	14.74%	99.24%	5.67%	96.35%	9.75%	46.31%
Dobson			0.00%	85.26%	1.43%	94.34%	3.65%	90.25%	53.69%

	Blue vs. Folwell - NC Treasurer 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Blue			100.00%	15.22%	98.33%	11.34%	96.26%	14.78%	46.45%
Folwell			0.00%	84.79%	0.96%	88.74%	3.74%	85.22%	53.55%

	Coleman vs. Forest vs. Cole - Lt. Governor 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Coleman			100.00%	11.99%	98.57%	7.33%	95.73%	11.25%	43.98%
Forest*			0.00%	88.01%	0.94%	92.82%	4.27%	88.75%	56.02%

*Combined Election Results for Republican Candidate Dan Forest and Libertarian Candidate Jacki Cole

RPV in HD10 (Remedial) - Raymond E. Smith Jr.

	Beasley vs. Newby - NC Supreme Court 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Beasley			100.00%	20.27%	99.30%	8.62%	96.10%	14.67%	53.99%
Newby			0.00%	79.73%	1.16%	91.65%	3.90%	85.33%	46.01%

	Holmes vs. Dobson - NC Commissioner of Labor 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Holmes			100.00%	18.32%	98.82%	7.30%	95.95%	12.43%	53.53%
Dobson			0.00%	81.68%	1.00%	92.92%	4.05%	87.57%	46.47%

	Blue vs. Folwell - NC Treasurer 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Blue			100.00%	18.32%	99.17%	13.24%	97.38%	16.99%	54.24%
Folwell			0.00%	81.68%	1.35%	87.23%	2.62%	83.01%	45.76%

	Coleman vs. Forest vs. Cole - Lt. Governor 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (0)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Coleman			100.00%	15.71%	99.20%	9.30%	96.31%	13.67%	52.16%
Forest*			0.00%	84.29%	1.05%	90.95%	3.69%	86.33%	47.84%

*Combined Election Results for Republican Candidate Dan Forest and Libertarian Candidate Jacki Cole

RPV in SD4 (SL 2021-173) - Milton "Toby" Fitch Jr.

Beasley vs. Newby - NC Supreme Court 2020GEN

	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (1)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Beasley	94.90%		99.31%	18.74%	98.70%	8.55%	97.38%	10.95%	48.28%
Newby	5.10%		0.69%	81.26%	1.08%	91.40%	2.62%	89.05%	51.72%

Holmes vs. Dobson - NC Commissioner of Labor 2020GEN

	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (1)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Holmes	95.87%		100.00%	16.96%	99.15%	7.28%	97.96%	8.45%	47.68%
Dobson	4.13%		0.00%	83.04%	0.02%	92.70%	2.04%	91.55%	52.32%

Blue vs. Folwell - NC Treasurer 2016GEN

	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (2)	≥ 90% White Precincts (1)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Blue	96.55%	15.82%	100.00%	17.62%	99.03%	13.55%	97.42%	15.86%	48.71%
Folwell	3.45%	84.18%	0.00%	82.38%	0.87%	86.26%	2.58%	84.14%	51.29%

Coleman vs. Forest vs. Cole - Lt. Governor 2016GEN

	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (2)	≥ 90% White Precincts (1)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Coleman	96.76%	13.79%	99.86%	14.28%	99.20%	9.89%	97.87%	11.68%	46.32%
Forest*	3.24%	86.21%	0.14%	85.72%	1.06%	90.23%	2.13%	88.32%	51.96%

*Combined Election Results for Republican Candidate Dan Forest and Libertarian Candidate Jacki Cole

RPV in SD4 (Remedial) - Milton "Toby" Fitch Jr.

	Beasley vs. Newby - NC Supreme Court 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (4)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Beasley	95.53%		99.34%	18.61%	99.24%	9.24%	98.09%	11.41%	52.96%
Newby	4.47%		0.66%	81.39%	0.85%	90.74%	1.91%	88.59%	47.04%

	Holmes vs. Dobson - NC Commissioner of Labor 2020GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (4)	≥ 90% White Precincts (0)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Holmes	96.09%		100.00%	16.78%	99.08%	8.15%	98.41%	9.30%	52.42%
Dobson	3.91%		0.00%	83.22%	0.93%	92.02%	1.59%	90.70%	47.58%

	Blue vs. Folwell - NC Treasurer 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (6)	≥ 90% White Precincts (1)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Blue	96.08%	15.82%	100.00%	17.89%	99.26%	14.62%	98.12%	16.68%	54.32%
Folwell	3.92%	84.18%	0.00%	82.11%	0.73%	85.37%	1.88%	83.32%	45.68%

	Coleman vs. Forest vs. Cole - Lt. Governor 2016GEN								
	Homogeneous Precincts		Ecological Regression		King's Iterative Ecological Inference		RxC Ecological Inference		Percent Vote
	≥ 90% Black Precincts (6)	≥ 90% White Precincts (1)	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	Support from Black Voters	Support from White Voters	
Coleman	95.63%	13.79%	99.63%	14.30%	99.15%	10.39%	97.93%	11.69%	51.92%
Forest*	4.37%	86.21%	0.37%	85.70%	0.90%	89.41%	2.07%	88.31%	48.08%

*Combined Election Results for Republican Candidate Dan Forest and Libertarian Candidate Jacki Cole

Letter on Behalf of Common Cause re VRA-Required Remedial Districts in State Legislative Maps to Letter on Behalf (Exhibits 5 and 6)*†

* Corresponding csv files and shapefiles are submitted to the Court in native file via flash drive.

† Maptitude or an equivalent program is required to open these files.

List of General Assembly Participants

House Chamber Participants		
Republican Members	Democratic Members	Leadership Staff
Tim Moore	Robert Reives[i]	Neal Inman
Destin Hall		Sam Hayes
Sarah Stevens		Kenan Drum
Jason Saine		Dan Gurley
John Bell		Nelson Dollar
Jimmy Dixon		Todd Barlow (D)
Ben Moss		Kirk Kovach (D)
John Torbett		
Tim Moffitt		
Frank Iler		
Jon Hardister		
Jamie Boles		
Larry Potts		
Brenden Jones		
John Szoka		
Diane Wheatley		
Allen McNeill		
George Cleveland		
Carson Smith		
Charlie Miller		
Matthew Winslow		

[i] Rep. Reives had discussions with other members of his caucus concerning the maps. Legislative Defendants do not know who else was actively involved in drawing them.

Central Staff Participants	
Legislative Analysis and Bill Drafting Staff Using Maptitude	Information Systems Division staff supporting Maptitude and Web Production
Erika Churchill	Raleigh Myers
Jessica Boney	Paul Andrews
Jacob Davis, Bill Drafting	Justin Flint
Kyle Evans	Dan Frey
Nicholas Giddings	
Kristen Harris	
Aaron McGlothlin	
Matt Paget, Bill Drafting	
Sarah Pilon	
Robert Ryan	
Jessica Sammons	
Susan Sitze	
Kellette Wade	
Hillary Woodard	
Jonathan Zator	

Senate Chamber Participants		
Republican Members	Democratic Members**	Leadership Staff
Ralph Hise	Dan Blue (offered amendment)	Brian Fork
Paul Newton	Ben Clark (offered amendment)	Joshua Yost
Warren Daniel	Jay Chaudhuri (offered amendment)	Brent Woodcox
Phil Berger*	Natasha Marcus (offered amendment)	Nathan Babcock
	Michael Garrett (offered amendment)	Fred Aiken (D)

*Out of an abundance of caution and respect for the Court's order, Legislative Defendants are submitting Senator Phil Berger's name to this list. Alongside those listed above, Senator Berger participated in negotiations with Senate Minority Leader, Dan Blue. Senator Berger did not draft a proposed remedial plan, did not enter a room where drawing software was located, or otherwise participate in drawing of any proposed remedial plan.

**Legislative Defendants list Democratic Members who offered amendments on the Sente Floor. Legislative Defendants do not know who may have been involved in drawing these amendments, or any other bills or drafts offered by Democratic Senators.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
FILE NO. 21 CVS 015426

NORTH CAROLINA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, INC, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,

COMMON CAUSE; *et al.*
Plaintiff-Intervenor

v.

REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his official capacity as Chair of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, *et al.*

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF RALEIGH MYERS

The undersigned being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. My name is Raleigh Myers. I have personal knowledge of the matters explained below.

2. I am currently employed by the North Carolina General Assembly as an IT Applications Development Team Leader and have been so employed since 2020. I have primary responsibility for constructing the General Assembly's redistricting databases. I am familiar with the related data holding and processing of that information to produce various district reports. For the 2022 redistricting effort, the U.S. Bureau of the Census' "2020 Census State Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File" was used, as was election contest information available from the North Carolina State Board of Elections. Unless otherwise noted, the information in the

following exhibits draws on that data, as it was incorporated into the General Assembly's 2022 redistricting system.

3. In addition to total population data, the 2022 redistricting database was created to contain election results for 12 specified election contests as follows:

a. 2016 Presidential.

b. 2016 Lieutenant Governor.

c. 2020 Presidential.

d. 2020 US Senate.

e. 2020 Governor.

f. 2020 Lieutenant Governor.

g. 2020 Attorney General.

h. 2020 Auditor.

i. 2020 Commissioner of Agriculture.

j. 2020 Commissioner of Labor.

k. 2020 Secretary of State.

l. 2020 Treasurer.

4. Also included in the 2022 redistricting database were six composite fields calculated across the 12 selected election contests. These composite fields were the total votes for Democrats (All_D), total votes for Republicans (All_R), total vote count (All_TVCO), average contest votes for Democrats (Avg_D), average contest votes for Republicans (Avg_R), and average contest votes cast (Avg_TVCO).

5. The six geographic levels in the 2022 redistricting database are:

a. Census Block.

b. Census Block Group.

c. Census Tract.

d. Voting District (VTD).

e. County.

f. Census Place (Municipality).

6. All_D and All_R are sums of the total votes cast for Democrats or Republicans, respectively, from the 12 election contests. All_TVCO are sums of the total votes cast from each of the 12 election contests. Avg_D and Avg_R were calculated by summing all votes for Democrats or Republicans, respectively, from the 12 election contests, then dividing by 12. Avg_TVCO was calculated by summing the total votes cast for each election contest from the 12 election contests, then dividing by 12. The averages were rounded to represent the integer number of votes.

7. The General Assembly has its own redistricting data processes, which have evolved over the years. The base geographic unit for all district plans is the Census block. All numeric data used by the General Assembly for redistricting purposes must first be taken to that base geographic unit. Decennial Census population data, from the Public Law 94-171 Summary File, is provided to the General Assembly directly at the Census block level. Statewide election results are provided by the North Carolina State Board of Elections at the precinct level. Taking the data from that precinct level down to the Census block level is a matter of distributing votes for each candidate, based on the estimated share of vote contribution by each block within a given precinct. This disaggregation process does not consider any voter characteristics as it is not known, or presumed to be known, how any particular voter may have voted

in any particular election contest. A combination of registered voter address information and voter history is used to create an estimation of ballots cast by block and precinct, which are in turn used to create a weighting factor for each block. The weighting factor is implemented as a consistent method to allocate votes to the Census blocks based on the number of ballots cast. Statewide vote totals in the General Assembly system do not precisely match official election results because the data provided to the General Assembly by the State Board of Elections include votes that cannot be assigned to specific precincts. The General Assembly's client mapping and reporting tools ultimately present the information primarily at the whole district level, though the values are summed from the Census block level.

8. In preparing the databases, I had supervisory responsibility while processing was conducted by Paul Andrews in consultation with Dan Frey, both of the North Carolina General Assembly.

This the 18th day of February, 2022.

Raleigh Myers

Sworn and subscribed before me
this the 18 day of February, 2022.

Rachel L. Hammond
Notary Public Rachel L. Hammond

My commission expires: 20 Sep 2026



-11644-

S.L. 2022-4 House



Legend

- Incumbent
- District
- County
- Groupings

N
W E
S

0 25 50 100 150 200 Miles

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, February 17, 2022

THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
MAY 20, 1775
APRIL 12, 1776
ESSE QUAM VIDERI

-11645-

S.L. 2022-4 House



Legend

- District
- County
- Groupings

N W E S

0 25 50 100 150 200 Miles

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, February 17, 2022

S.L. 2022-4 House



Mecklenburg

Guilford - Forsyth

Wake - Durham



Groupings
Counties
District
Incumbents

0 15 30 60 90 120 Miles

NC General Assembly, February 17, 2022.

Source: SL 2022-4

S.L. 2022-4 House



User:
Plan Name: **SL 2022-4**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:40 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
1	0.41	0.21
2	0.41	0.40
3	0.38	0.30
4	0.48	0.46
5	0.28	0.30
6	0.49	0.52
7	0.44	0.40
8	0.44	0.33
9	0.75	0.47
10	0.60	0.50
11	0.39	0.39

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
12	0.31	0.29
13	0.38	0.43
14	0.65	0.34
15	0.48	0.34
16	0.48	0.38
17	0.42	0.23
18	0.38	0.23
19	0.46	0.29
20	0.46	0.38
21	0.48	0.43
22	0.53	0.36
23	0.46	0.36
24	0.56	0.60
25	0.40	0.45

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
26	0.41	0.38
27	0.44	0.35
28	0.57	0.50
29	0.52	0.58
30	0.46	0.28
31	0.48	0.48
32	0.53	0.52
33	0.37	0.44
34	0.39	0.40
35	0.56	0.52
36	0.46	0.51
37	0.44	0.49
38	0.46	0.33
39	0.62	0.51

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
40	0.52	0.38
41	0.53	0.38
42	0.44	0.35
43	0.47	0.29
44	0.59	0.37
45	0.50	0.35
46	0.32	0.22
47	0.49	0.40
48	0.48	0.44
49	0.45	0.43
50	0.38	0.34
51	0.48	0.28
52	0.35	0.21
53	0.37	0.21

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
54	0.46	0.38
55	0.40	0.34
56	0.50	0.37
57	0.50	0.37
58	0.47	0.29
59	0.50	0.44
60	0.58	0.41
61	0.36	0.26
62	0.41	0.29
63	0.56	0.45
64	0.33	0.31
65	0.60	0.76
66	0.54	0.38
67	0.45	0.49

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
68	0.50	0.40
69	0.54	0.35
70	0.54	0.54
71	0.45	0.30
72	0.40	0.25
73	0.36	0.22
74	0.57	0.38
75	0.39	0.33
76	0.43	0.50
77	0.41	0.30
78	0.34	0.20
79	0.53	0.36
80	0.29	0.32
81	0.48	0.31

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
82	0.56	0.50
83	0.39	0.29
84	0.55	0.54
85	0.49	0.34
86	0.49	0.44
87	0.52	0.47
88	0.46	0.34
89	0.31	0.29
90	0.51	0.35
91	0.64	0.65
92	0.51	0.36
93	0.33	0.30
94	0.51	0.35
95	0.57	0.58

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
96	0.36	0.35
97	0.32	0.51
98	0.58	0.42
99	0.49	0.50
100	0.50	0.34
101	0.62	0.52
102	0.53	0.40
103	0.39	0.36
104	0.50	0.38
105	0.49	0.38
106	0.40	0.32
107	0.37	0.27
108	0.41	0.31
109	0.48	0.55

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
110	0.36	0.24
111	0.34	0.21
112	0.56	0.56
113	0.41	0.25
114	0.49	0.28
115	0.51	0.37
116	0.39	0.31
117	0.44	0.20
118	0.41	0.25
119	0.28	0.22
120	0.40	0.37

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	86,995	84,330	-2,665	-3.06%
2	1	86,995	90,751	3,756	4.32%
3	1	86,995	85,099	-1,896	-2.18%
4	1	86,995	83,138	-3,857	-4.43%
5	1	86,995	82,953	-4,042	-4.65%
6	1	86,995	84,016	-2,979	-3.42%
7	1	86,995	86,439	-556	-0.64%
8	1	86,995	85,089	-1,906	-2.19%
9	1	86,995	85,154	-1,841	-2.12%
10	1	86,995	82,910	-4,085	-4.70%
11	1	86,995	85,987	-1,008	-1.16%
12	1	86,995	84,745	-2,250	-2.59%
13	1	86,995	83,307	-3,688	-4.24%
14	1	86,995	90,506	3,511	4.04%
15	1	86,995	89,176	2,181	2.51%
16	1	86,995	85,097	-1,898	-2.18%
17	1	86,995	89,763	2,768	3.18%
18	1	86,995	90,862	3,867	4.45%
19	1	86,995	91,041	4,046	4.65%
20	1	86,995	90,729	3,734	4.29%
21	1	86,995	88,313	1,318	1.52%
22	1	86,995	88,642	1,647	1.89%
23	1	86,995	88,865	1,870	2.15%
24	1	86,995	83,322	-3,673	-4.22%
25	1	86,995	90,432	3,437	3.95%
26	1	86,995	90,540	3,545	4.07%
27	1	86,995	84,735	-2,260	-2.60%
28	1	86,995	85,389	-1,606	-1.85%
29	1	86,995	90,900	3,905	4.49%
30	1	86,995	91,038	4,043	4.65%
31	1	86,995	91,241	4,246	4.88%
32	1	86,995	85,704	-1,291	-1.48%
33	1	86,995	86,863	-132	-0.15%
34	1	86,995	83,302	-3,693	-4.25%
35	1	86,995	86,765	-230	-0.26%
36	1	86,995	89,336	2,341	2.69%
37	1	86,995	88,334	1,339	1.54%
38	1	86,995	90,560	3,565	4.10%
39	1	86,995	86,971	-24	-0.03%
40	1	86,995	90,047	3,052	3.51%
41	1	86,995	84,646	-2,349	-2.70%
42	1	86,995	84,462	-2,533	-2.91%
43	1	86,995	83,198	-3,797	-4.36%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
44	1	86,995	83,751	-3,244	-3.73%
45	1	86,995	83,317	-3,678	-4.23%
46	1	86,995	84,307	-2,688	-3.09%
47	1	86,995	82,846	-4,149	-4.77%
48	1	86,995	86,256	-739	-0.85%
49	1	86,995	84,041	-2,954	-3.40%
50	1	86,995	85,345	-1,650	-1.90%
51	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
52	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
53	1	86,995	89,622	2,627	3.02%
54	1	86,995	83,475	-3,520	-4.05%
55	1	86,995	84,549	-2,446	-2.81%
56	1	86,995	86,087	-908	-1.04%
57	1	86,995	91,158	4,163	4.79%
58	1	86,995	89,713	2,718	3.12%
59	1	86,995	87,559	564	0.65%
60	1	86,995	90,919	3,924	4.51%
61	1	86,995	90,814	3,819	4.39%
62	1	86,995	91,136	4,141	4.76%
63	1	86,995	83,540	-3,455	-3.97%
64	1	86,995	87,875	880	1.01%
65	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
66	1	86,995	84,245	-2,750	-3.16%
67	1	86,995	88,255	1,260	1.45%
68	1	86,995	86,978	-17	-0.02%
69	1	86,995	88,795	1,800	2.07%
70	1	86,995	89,118	2,123	2.44%
71	1	86,995	83,748	-3,247	-3.73%
72	1	86,995	83,262	-3,733	-4.29%
73	1	86,995	90,390	3,395	3.90%
74	1	86,995	89,692	2,697	3.10%
75	1	86,995	84,659	-2,336	-2.69%
76	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
77	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
78	1	86,995	86,365	-630	-0.72%
79	1	86,995	83,163	-3,832	-4.40%
80	1	86,995	84,864	-2,131	-2.45%
81	1	86,995	84,066	-2,929	-3.37%
82	1	86,995	90,805	3,810	4.38%
83	1	86,995	90,967	3,972	4.57%
84	1	86,995	85,875	-1,120	-1.29%
85	1	86,995	89,525	2,530	2.91%
86	1	86,995	87,570	575	0.66%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
87	1	86,995	85,009	-1,986	-2.28%
88	1	86,995	86,239	-756	-0.87%
89	1	86,995	85,577	-1,418	-1.63%
90	1	86,995	82,937	-4,058	-4.66%
91	1	86,995	85,749	-1,246	-1.43%
92	1	86,995	89,640	2,645	3.04%
93	1	86,995	87,194	199	0.23%
94	1	86,995	90,835	3,840	4.41%
95	1	86,995	86,264	-731	-0.84%
96	1	86,995	89,587	2,592	2.98%
97	1	86,995	86,810	-185	-0.21%
98	1	86,995	82,669	-4,326	-4.97%
99	1	86,995	82,746	-4,249	-4.88%
100	1	86,995	82,932	-4,063	-4.67%
101	1	86,995	84,391	-2,604	-2.99%
102	1	86,995	87,483	488	0.56%
103	1	86,995	88,259	1,264	1.45%
104	1	86,995	84,647	-2,348	-2.70%
105	1	86,995	89,460	2,465	2.83%
106	1	86,995	86,758	-237	-0.27%
107	1	86,995	86,497	-498	-0.57%
108	1	86,995	84,457	-2,538	-2.92%
109	1	86,995	87,462	467	0.54%
110	1	86,995	89,588	2,593	2.98%
111	1	86,995	90,809	3,814	4.38%
112	1	86,995	83,761	-3,234	-3.72%
113	1	86,995	91,102	4,107	4.72%
114	1	86,995	89,628	2,633	3.03%
115	1	86,995	88,843	1,848	2.12%
116	1	86,995	90,981	3,986	4.58%
117	1	86,995	90,329	3,334	3.83%
118	1	86,995	83,282	-3,713	-4.27%
119	1	86,995	90,212	3,217	3.70%
120	1	86,995	84,907	-2,088	-2.40%
Totals:	**120**		**10,439,388**		

Deviation range: -4.97% to 4.88%

Census All Ages by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
1	62,265	73.83%	14,942	17.72%	295	0.35%	623	0.74%	1,634	1.94%	4,571	5.42%	84,330
2	50,316	55.44%	26,866	29.60%	662	0.73%	1,309	1.44%	6,226	6.86%	5,372	5.92%	90,751
3	57,433	67.49%	16,602	19.51%	315	0.37%	2,813	3.31%	2,400	2.82%	5,536	6.51%	85,099
4	43,827	52.72%	19,850	23.88%	790	0.95%	417	0.50%	12,596	15.15%	5,658	6.81%	83,138
5	44,715	53.90%	30,949	37.31%	539	0.65%	784	0.95%	1,704	2.05%	4,262	5.14%	82,953
6	49,366	58.76%	18,820	22.40%	915	1.09%	1,467	1.75%	5,196	6.18%	8,252	9.82%	84,016
7	55,768	64.52%	19,259	22.28%	583	0.67%	644	0.75%	4,906	5.68%	5,279	6.11%	86,439
8	41,582	48.87%	32,266	37.92%	410	0.48%	1,247	1.47%	4,933	5.80%	4,651	5.47%	85,089
9	47,208	55.44%	28,148	33.06%	301	0.35%	1,937	2.27%	2,846	3.34%	4,714	5.54%	85,154
10	44,709	53.92%	27,549	33.23%	380	0.46%	1,427	1.72%	3,767	4.54%	5,078	6.12%	82,910
11	55,816	64.91%	10,993	12.78%	350	0.41%	8,193	9.53%	4,215	4.90%	6,420	7.47%	85,987
12	42,972	50.71%	32,024	37.79%	428	0.51%	455	0.54%	4,978	5.87%	3,888	4.59%	84,745
13	67,525	81.06%	6,876	8.25%	410	0.49%	1,104	1.33%	2,020	2.42%	5,372	6.45%	83,307
14	59,249	65.46%	11,713	12.94%	661	0.73%	2,858	3.16%	5,977	6.60%	10,048	11.10%	90,506
15	57,353	64.31%	15,105	16.94%	628	0.70%	2,338	2.62%	3,714	4.16%	10,038	11.26%	89,176
16	65,775	77.29%	8,827	10.37%	525	0.62%	731	0.86%	3,339	3.92%	5,900	6.93%	85,097
17	70,891	78.98%	9,458	10.54%	665	0.74%	713	0.79%	2,951	3.29%	5,085	5.66%	89,763
18	61,722	67.93%	18,257	20.09%	486	0.53%	1,152	1.27%	3,372	3.71%	5,873	6.46%	90,862
19	77,508	85.14%	4,678	5.14%	454	0.50%	852	0.94%	2,136	2.35%	5,413	5.95%	91,041
20	73,023	80.48%	6,539	7.21%	328	0.36%	1,954	2.15%	3,223	3.55%	5,662	6.24%	90,729
21	35,156	39.81%	7,579	8.58%	252	0.29%	38,116	43.16%	1,716	1.94%	5,494	6.22%	88,313
22	47,356	53.42%	23,706	26.74%	2,093	2.36%	312	0.35%	10,292	11.61%	4,883	5.51%	88,642
23	35,594	40.05%	47,071	52.97%	339	0.38%	296	0.33%	2,644	2.98%	2,921	3.29%	88,865
24	40,268	48.33%	31,350	37.63%	503	0.60%	951	1.14%	5,812	6.98%	4,438	5.33%	83,322
25	44,451	49.15%	35,705	39.48%	725	0.80%	931	1.03%	4,524	5.00%	4,096	4.53%	90,432
26	59,815	66.06%	15,280	16.88%	609	0.67%	1,123	1.24%	5,902	6.52%	7,811	8.63%	90,540
27	33,472	39.50%	43,649	51.51%	2,693	3.18%	392	0.46%	1,579	1.86%	2,950	3.48%	84,735
28	53,859	63.07%	13,735	16.09%	762	0.89%	468	0.55%	9,607	11.25%	6,958	8.15%	85,389
29	44,909	49.40%	26,662	29.33%	425	0.47%	5,915	6.51%	6,056	6.66%	6,933	7.63%	90,900
30	42,200	46.35%	26,438	29.04%	642	0.71%	5,521	6.06%	9,092	9.99%	7,145	7.85%	91,038
31	27,360	29.99%	40,581	44.48%	837	0.92%	4,336	4.75%	11,928	13.07%	6,199	6.79%	91,241
32	38,966	45.47%	36,403	42.48%	439	0.51%	548	0.64%	5,644	6.59%	3,704	4.32%	85,704
33	40,811	46.98%	28,598	32.92%	689	0.79%	1,513	1.74%	8,875	10.22%	6,377	7.34%	86,863
34	63,021	75.65%	9,134	10.96%	218	0.26%	2,408	2.89%	3,226	3.87%	5,295	6.36%	83,302

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
35	57,940	66.78%	15,138	17.45%	289	0.33%	3,447	3.97%	3,023	3.48%	6,928	7.98%	86,765
36	62,394	69.84%	6,280	7.03%	280	0.31%	10,452	11.70%	2,554	2.86%	7,376	8.26%	89,336
37	64,657	73.20%	9,768	11.06%	483	0.55%	2,189	2.48%	3,949	4.47%	7,288	8.25%	88,334
38	31,329	34.59%	38,501	42.51%	697	0.77%	2,482	2.74%	11,503	12.70%	6,048	6.68%	90,560
39	39,724	45.67%	28,069	32.27%	749	0.86%	2,203	2.53%	9,496	10.92%	6,730	7.74%	86,971
40	68,442	76.01%	8,632	9.59%	274	0.30%	4,284	4.76%	1,814	2.01%	6,601	7.33%	90,047
41	55,117	65.11%	6,130	7.24%	354	0.42%	11,427	13.50%	4,222	4.99%	7,396	8.74%	84,646
42	32,376	38.33%	33,039	39.12%	822	0.97%	3,517	4.16%	5,407	6.40%	9,301	11.01%	84,462
43	41,259	49.59%	29,220	35.12%	1,740	2.09%	1,383	1.66%	2,692	3.24%	6,904	8.30%	83,198
44	33,021	39.43%	34,996	41.79%	1,069	1.28%	3,118	3.72%	3,661	4.37%	7,886	9.42%	83,751
45	35,256	42.32%	30,355	36.43%	1,925	2.31%	2,609	3.13%	4,073	4.89%	9,099	10.92%	83,317
46	44,290	52.53%	21,276	25.24%	8,808	10.45%	295	0.35%	5,598	6.64%	4,040	4.79%	84,307
47	16,131	19.47%	19,734	23.82%	37,795	45.62%	867	1.05%	4,365	5.27%	3,954	4.77%	82,846
48	35,433	41.08%	29,928	34.70%	7,808	9.05%	1,321	1.53%	4,448	5.16%	7,318	8.48%	86,256
49	55,412	65.93%	12,784	15.21%	271	0.32%	6,278	7.47%	3,197	3.80%	6,099	7.26%	84,041
50	58,094	68.07%	14,257	16.71%	534	0.63%	1,404	1.65%	5,340	6.26%	5,716	6.70%	85,345
51	53,902	64.89%	12,811	15.42%	732	0.88%	848	1.02%	8,112	9.76%	6,668	8.03%	83,073
52	54,212	64.25%	19,011	22.53%	1,455	1.72%	1,023	1.21%	3,226	3.82%	5,456	6.47%	84,383
53	61,252	68.34%	13,312	14.85%	904	1.01%	699	0.78%	6,926	7.73%	6,529	7.29%	89,622
54	59,687	71.50%	8,738	10.47%	570	0.68%	1,696	2.03%	6,813	8.16%	5,971	7.15%	83,475
55	47,960	56.72%	21,189	25.06%	649	0.77%	681	0.81%	8,754	10.35%	5,316	6.29%	84,549
56	55,229	64.15%	8,415	9.77%	402	0.47%	11,407	13.25%	3,305	3.84%	7,329	8.51%	86,087
57	53,769	58.98%	22,496	24.68%	394	0.43%	5,814	6.38%	3,053	3.35%	5,632	6.18%	91,158
58	28,021	31.23%	41,596	46.37%	790	0.88%	5,489	6.12%	7,379	8.23%	6,438	7.18%	89,713
59	48,325	55.19%	28,397	32.43%	514	0.59%	1,377	1.57%	3,598	4.11%	5,348	6.11%	87,559
60	39,384	43.32%	31,645	34.81%	614	0.68%	6,928	7.62%	6,423	7.06%	5,925	6.52%	90,919
61	32,949	36.28%	43,185	47.55%	503	0.55%	3,154	3.47%	5,430	5.98%	5,593	6.16%	90,814
62	60,980	66.91%	14,529	15.94%	368	0.40%	6,425	7.05%	2,839	3.12%	5,995	6.58%	91,136
63	42,889	51.34%	22,149	26.51%	886	1.06%	1,224	1.47%	10,129	12.12%	6,263	7.50%	83,540
64	62,958	71.64%	11,865	13.50%	541	0.62%	1,729	1.97%	5,310	6.04%	5,472	6.23%	87,875
65	65,434	71.83%	16,789	18.43%	441	0.48%	530	0.58%	3,248	3.57%	4,654	5.11%	91,096
66	34,013	40.37%	26,887	31.92%	850	1.01%	4,622	5.49%	10,507	12.47%	7,366	8.74%	84,245
67	66,066	74.86%	11,305	12.81%	414	0.47%	1,556	1.76%	4,627	5.24%	4,287	4.86%	88,255
68	63,805	73.36%	6,977	8.02%	247	0.28%	6,607	7.60%	2,403	2.76%	6,939	7.98%	86,978

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
69	64,489	72.63%	8,686	9.78%	425	0.48%	2,634	2.97%	5,621	6.33%	6,940	7.82%	88,795
70	66,778	74.93%	6,092	6.84%	753	0.84%	1,904	2.14%	7,248	8.13%	6,343	7.12%	89,118
71	36,193	43.22%	27,234	32.52%	633	0.76%	1,855	2.21%	10,683	12.76%	7,150	8.54%	83,748
72	34,047	40.89%	32,922	39.54%	578	0.69%	1,621	1.95%	8,629	10.36%	5,465	6.56%	83,262
73	48,462	53.61%	20,440	22.61%	429	0.47%	6,910	7.64%	6,595	7.30%	7,554	8.36%	90,390
74	64,310	71.70%	11,114	12.39%	396	0.44%	4,471	4.98%	3,034	3.38%	6,367	7.10%	89,692
75	52,804	62.37%	16,548	19.55%	655	0.77%	1,325	1.57%	6,853	8.09%	6,474	7.65%	84,659
76	58,520	65.16%	18,473	20.57%	496	0.55%	1,125	1.25%	5,508	6.13%	5,693	6.34%	89,815
77	74,469	82.17%	4,692	5.18%	456	0.50%	490	0.54%	5,284	5.83%	5,237	5.78%	90,628
78	70,703	81.87%	4,447	5.15%	510	0.59%	802	0.93%	4,695	5.44%	5,208	6.03%	86,365
79	60,696	72.98%	14,122	16.98%	354	0.43%	394	0.47%	3,565	4.29%	4,032	4.85%	83,163
80	66,414	78.26%	8,079	9.52%	495	0.58%	1,060	1.25%	4,031	4.75%	4,785	5.64%	84,864
81	65,508	77.92%	7,986	9.50%	523	0.62%	1,458	1.73%	3,760	4.47%	4,831	5.75%	84,066
82	54,244	59.74%	18,137	19.97%	483	0.53%	4,616	5.08%	6,348	6.99%	6,977	7.68%	90,805
83	70,936	77.98%	7,456	8.20%	440	0.48%	1,020	1.12%	4,899	5.39%	6,216	6.83%	90,967
84	59,590	69.39%	13,981	16.28%	379	0.44%	1,375	1.60%	4,780	5.57%	5,770	6.72%	85,875
85	79,025	88.27%	2,483	2.77%	393	0.44%	433	0.48%	3,076	3.44%	4,115	4.60%	89,525
86	69,671	79.56%	4,854	5.54%	1,337	1.53%	3,238	3.70%	3,829	4.37%	4,641	5.30%	87,570
87	72,821	85.66%	3,960	4.66%	350	0.41%	632	0.74%	2,586	3.04%	4,660	5.48%	85,009
88	45,418	52.67%	21,062	24.42%	852	0.99%	3,162	3.67%	8,889	10.31%	6,856	7.95%	86,239
89	68,807	80.40%	5,338	6.24%	393	0.46%	2,784	3.25%	3,284	3.84%	4,971	5.81%	85,577
90	69,951	84.34%	2,691	3.24%	343	0.41%	420	0.51%	4,997	6.03%	4,535	5.47%	82,937
91	67,489	78.71%	9,150	10.67%	447	0.52%	465	0.54%	3,625	4.23%	4,573	5.33%	85,749
92	32,084	35.79%	29,226	32.60%	677	0.76%	7,939	8.86%	10,828	12.08%	8,886	9.91%	89,640
93	75,743	86.87%	2,334	2.68%	245	0.28%	1,028	1.18%	3,421	3.92%	4,423	5.07%	87,194
94	77,745	85.59%	4,361	4.80%	333	0.37%	707	0.78%	3,491	3.84%	4,198	4.62%	90,835
95	67,503	78.25%	6,618	7.67%	300	0.35%	3,358	3.89%	2,560	2.97%	5,925	6.87%	86,264
96	62,415	69.67%	8,576	9.57%	662	0.74%	4,467	4.99%	6,476	7.23%	6,991	7.80%	89,587
97	72,716	83.76%	4,482	5.16%	328	0.38%	744	0.86%	3,004	3.46%	5,536	6.38%	86,810
98	64,884	78.49%	6,282	7.60%	231	0.28%	2,769	3.35%	2,632	3.18%	5,871	7.10%	82,669
99	20,094	24.28%	38,713	46.79%	715	0.86%	4,745	5.73%	12,586	15.21%	5,893	7.12%	82,746
100	26,811	32.33%	28,379	34.22%	802	0.97%	5,977	7.21%	13,525	16.31%	7,438	8.97%	82,932
101	21,170	25.09%	43,824	51.93%	558	0.66%	4,173	4.94%	8,704	10.31%	5,962	7.06%	84,391
102	41,516	47.46%	28,983	33.13%	428	0.49%	3,580	4.09%	7,002	8.00%	5,974	6.83%	87,483

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
103	62,519	70.84%	7,819	8.86%	252	0.29%	8,387	9.50%	2,629	2.98%	6,653	7.54%	88,259
104	64,059	75.68%	7,435	8.78%	264	0.31%	3,732	4.41%	3,467	4.10%	5,690	6.72%	84,647
105	52,067	58.20%	11,662	13.04%	381	0.43%	11,996	13.41%	5,198	5.81%	8,156	9.12%	89,460
106	36,301	41.84%	31,275	36.05%	425	0.49%	8,605	9.92%	3,861	4.45%	6,291	7.25%	86,758
107	19,101	22.08%	49,069	56.73%	402	0.46%	4,000	4.62%	7,991	9.24%	5,934	6.86%	86,497
108	60,730	71.91%	12,385	14.66%	395	0.47%	1,519	1.80%	3,971	4.70%	5,457	6.46%	84,457
109	58,367	66.73%	16,619	19.00%	411	0.47%	1,785	2.04%	4,297	4.91%	5,983	6.84%	87,462
110	62,531	69.80%	17,545	19.58%	361	0.40%	485	0.54%	3,419	3.82%	5,247	5.86%	89,588
111	68,173	75.07%	15,000	16.52%	283	0.31%	779	0.86%	1,932	2.13%	4,642	5.11%	90,809
112	34,543	41.24%	26,729	31.91%	713	0.85%	3,621	4.32%	10,269	12.26%	7,886	9.41%	83,761
113	72,429	79.50%	6,153	6.75%	414	0.45%	1,004	1.10%	5,226	5.74%	5,876	6.45%	91,102
114	72,813	81.24%	7,225	8.06%	379	0.42%	978	1.09%	2,318	2.59%	5,915	6.60%	89,628
115	70,356	79.19%	4,877	5.49%	442	0.50%	1,720	1.94%	4,707	5.30%	6,741	7.59%	88,843
116	75,698	83.20%	3,209	3.53%	422	0.46%	1,120	1.23%	4,224	4.64%	6,308	6.93%	90,981
117	75,139	83.18%	2,843	3.15%	389	0.43%	1,471	1.63%	4,718	5.22%	5,769	6.39%	90,329
118	75,813	91.03%	878	1.05%	434	0.52%	461	0.55%	1,555	1.87%	4,141	4.97%	83,282
119	71,021	78.73%	2,001	2.22%	8,394	9.30%	785	0.87%	2,735	3.03%	5,276	5.85%	90,212
120	74,802	88.10%	753	0.89%	1,349	1.59%	507	0.60%	2,371	2.79%	5,125	6.04%	84,907
Total:	**6,488,459**	**62.15%**	**2,140,217**	**20.50%**	**130,032**	**1.25%**	**351,569**	**3.37%**	**617,390**	**5.91%**	**711,721**	**6.82%**	**10,439,388**

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Ethnicity Report -11665-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
1	3,602	4.27%	80,728	95.73%	61,456	72.88%
2	9,960	10.98%	80,791	89.02%	48,834	53.81%
3	5,671	6.66%	79,428	93.34%	56,090	65.91%
4	18,869	22.70%	64,269	77.30%	41,696	50.15%
5	4,357	5.25%	78,596	94.75%	43,203	52.08%
6	11,649	13.87%	72,367	86.13%	46,637	55.51%
7	8,384	9.70%	78,055	90.30%	54,386	62.92%
8	7,709	9.06%	77,380	90.94%	40,479	47.57%
9	5,259	6.18%	79,895	93.82%	46,358	54.44%
10	6,871	8.29%	76,039	91.71%	43,448	52.40%
11	7,966	9.26%	78,021	90.74%	54,418	63.29%
12	7,695	9.08%	77,050	90.92%	42,013	49.58%
13	4,643	5.57%	78,664	94.43%	66,381	79.68%
14	14,797	16.35%	75,709	83.65%	55,438	61.25%
15	10,908	12.23%	78,268	87.77%	54,300	60.89%
16	6,918	8.13%	78,179	91.87%	64,179	75.42%
17	6,067	6.76%	83,696	93.24%	69,588	77.52%
18	6,911	7.61%	83,951	92.39%	60,258	66.32%
19	4,841	5.32%	86,200	94.68%	76,453	83.98%
20	6,901	7.61%	83,828	92.39%	71,567	78.88%
21	4,900	5.55%	83,413	94.45%	34,131	38.65%
22	14,795	16.69%	73,847	83.31%	45,559	51.40%
23	3,931	4.42%	84,934	95.58%	35,166	39.57%
24	9,273	11.13%	74,049	88.87%	38,990	46.79%
25	7,073	7.82%	83,359	92.18%	43,433	48.03%
26	12,173	13.44%	78,367	86.56%	57,628	63.65%
27	2,546	3.00%	82,189	97.00%	33,114	39.08%
28	17,035	19.95%	68,354	80.05%	51,074	59.81%
29	10,537	11.59%	80,363	88.41%	43,615	47.98%
30	14,538	15.97%	76,500	84.03%	40,663	44.67%
31	17,264	18.92%	73,977	81.08%	25,788	28.26%
32	8,506	9.92%	77,198	90.08%	37,752	44.05%
33	14,066	16.19%	72,797	83.81%	39,157	45.08%
34	6,585	7.90%	76,717	92.10%	61,989	74.41%
35	7,513	8.66%	79,252	91.34%	56,622	65.26%
36	6,957	7.79%	82,379	92.21%	60,997	68.28%
37	9,248	10.47%	79,086	89.53%	62,859	71.16%
38	17,066	18.84%	73,494	81.16%	29,511	32.59%
39	15,081	17.34%	71,890	82.66%	37,897	43.57%
40	5,453	6.06%	84,594	93.94%	67,370	74.82%
41	9,090	10.74%	75,556	89.26%	53,835	63.60%
42	13,915	16.47%	70,547	83.53%	28,878	34.19%
43	6,549	7.87%	76,649	92.13%	39,886	47.94%
44	8,954	10.69%	74,797	89.31%	31,203	37.26%
45	10,080	12.10%	73,237	87.90%	33,234	39.89%
46	7,998	9.49%	76,309	90.51%	43,488	51.58%
47	6,372	7.69%	76,474	92.31%	15,690	18.94%
48	8,793	10.19%	77,463	89.81%	33,914	39.32%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Ethnicity Report -11666-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
49	7,070	8.41%	76,971	91.59%	54,123	64.40%
50	8,963	10.50%	76,382	89.50%	56,891	66.66%
51	14,752	17.76%	68,321	82.24%	51,084	61.49%
52	5,768	6.84%	78,615	93.16%	53,230	63.08%
53	12,442	13.88%	77,180	86.12%	59,001	65.83%
54	11,263	13.49%	72,212	86.51%	58,178	69.70%
55	13,127	15.53%	71,422	84.47%	46,463	54.95%
56	7,851	9.12%	78,236	90.88%	53,682	62.36%
57	6,359	6.98%	84,799	93.02%	52,681	57.79%
58	12,482	13.91%	77,231	86.09%	26,422	29.45%
59	6,559	7.49%	81,000	92.51%	47,290	54.01%
60	10,944	12.04%	79,975	87.96%	37,795	41.57%
61	9,471	10.43%	81,343	89.57%	31,632	34.83%
62	6,337	6.95%	84,799	93.05%	59,820	65.64%
63	15,511	18.57%	68,029	81.43%	40,991	49.07%
64	9,192	10.46%	78,683	89.54%	61,496	69.98%
65	6,086	6.68%	85,010	93.32%	64,218	70.49%
66	17,246	20.47%	66,999	79.53%	32,111	38.12%
67	7,010	7.94%	81,245	92.06%	65,149	73.82%
68	6,926	7.96%	80,052	92.04%	62,515	71.87%
69	10,722	12.08%	78,073	87.92%	62,728	70.64%
70	12,729	14.28%	76,389	85.72%	64,745	72.65%
71	16,898	20.18%	66,850	79.82%	34,621	41.34%
72	13,061	15.69%	70,201	84.31%	32,726	39.30%
73	12,178	13.47%	78,212	86.53%	46,774	51.75%
74	7,540	8.41%	82,152	91.59%	62,980	70.22%
75	12,188	14.40%	72,471	85.60%	51,027	60.27%
76	9,487	10.56%	80,328	89.44%	57,008	63.47%
77	8,656	9.55%	81,972	90.45%	73,297	80.88%
78	8,470	9.81%	77,895	90.19%	69,260	80.19%
79	5,928	7.13%	77,235	92.87%	59,871	71.99%
80	7,221	8.51%	77,643	91.49%	65,178	76.80%
81	6,681	7.95%	77,385	92.05%	64,309	76.50%
82	11,569	12.74%	79,236	87.26%	52,507	57.82%
83	9,070	9.97%	81,897	90.03%	69,496	76.40%
84	9,015	10.50%	76,860	89.50%	58,073	67.63%
85	5,367	5.99%	84,158	94.01%	77,984	87.11%
86	7,184	8.20%	80,386	91.80%	68,664	78.41%
87	5,065	5.96%	79,944	94.04%	71,796	84.46%
88	15,670	18.17%	70,569	81.83%	43,313	50.22%
89	6,344	7.41%	79,233	92.59%	67,606	79.00%
90	8,926	10.76%	74,011	89.24%	68,345	82.41%
91	6,457	7.53%	79,292	92.47%	66,381	77.41%
92	18,883	21.07%	70,757	78.93%	29,929	33.39%
93	6,186	7.09%	81,008	92.91%	74,272	85.18%
94	6,060	6.67%	84,775	93.33%	76,635	84.37%
95	6,088	7.06%	80,176	92.94%	66,217	76.76%
96	11,707	13.07%	77,880	86.93%	60,617	67.66%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Ages by Ethnicity Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
97	6,412	7.39%	80,398	92.61%	71,661	82.55%
98	6,534	7.90%	76,135	92.10%	63,545	76.87%
99	19,068	23.04%	63,678	76.96%	17,738	21.44%
100	21,089	25.43%	61,843	74.57%	24,194	29.17%
101	13,304	15.76%	71,087	84.24%	19,908	23.59%
102	11,812	13.50%	75,671	86.50%	39,878	45.58%
103	6,776	7.68%	81,483	92.32%	61,254	69.40%
104	7,302	8.63%	77,345	91.37%	62,970	74.39%
105	11,438	12.79%	78,022	87.21%	50,411	56.35%
106	8,145	9.39%	78,613	90.61%	35,149	40.51%
107	12,638	14.61%	73,859	85.39%	17,737	20.51%
108	6,845	8.10%	77,612	91.90%	59,599	70.57%
109	8,237	9.42%	79,225	90.58%	57,085	65.27%
110	6,263	6.99%	83,325	93.01%	61,534	68.69%
111	3,861	4.25%	86,948	95.75%	67,262	74.07%
112	17,263	20.61%	66,498	79.39%	32,657	38.99%
113	9,507	10.44%	81,595	89.56%	70,741	77.65%
114	4,965	5.54%	84,663	94.46%	71,764	80.07%
115	8,846	9.96%	79,997	90.04%	68,995	77.66%
116	8,111	8.92%	82,870	91.08%	74,103	81.45%
117	8,989	9.95%	81,340	90.05%	73,576	81.45%
118	3,577	4.30%	79,705	95.70%	74,918	89.96%
119	5,582	6.19%	84,630	93.81%	69,883	77.47%
120	5,054	5.95%	79,853	94.05%	73,830	86.95%
Total:	**1,118,596**	**10.72%**	**9,320,792**	**89.28%**	**6,312,148**	**60.46%**

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census All Age Black Populations Report -11668-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
1	14,942	17.72%	1,199	1.42%	16,141	19.14%
2	26,866	29.60%	1,746	1.92%	28,612	31.53%
3	16,602	19.51%	1,670	1.96%	18,272	21.47%
4	19,850	23.88%	1,291	1.55%	21,141	25.43%
5	30,949	37.31%	1,699	2.05%	32,648	39.36%
6	18,820	22.40%	2,792	3.32%	21,612	25.72%
7	19,259	22.28%	1,670	1.93%	20,929	24.21%
8	32,266	37.92%	1,953	2.30%	34,219	40.22%
9	28,148	33.06%	1,996	2.34%	30,144	35.40%
10	27,549	33.23%	2,062	2.49%	29,611	35.71%
11	10,993	12.78%	1,530	1.78%	12,523	14.56%
12	32,024	37.79%	1,381	1.63%	33,405	39.42%
13	6,876	8.25%	1,396	1.68%	8,272	9.93%
14	11,713	12.94%	2,741	3.03%	14,454	15.97%
15	15,105	16.94%	3,423	3.84%	18,528	20.78%
16	8,827	10.37%	1,264	1.49%	10,091	11.86%
17	9,458	10.54%	1,204	1.34%	10,662	11.88%
18	18,257	20.09%	1,726	1.90%	19,983	21.99%
19	4,678	5.14%	1,079	1.19%	5,757	6.32%
20	6,539	7.21%	1,251	1.38%	7,790	8.59%
21	7,579	8.58%	1,215	1.38%	8,794	9.96%
22	23,706	26.74%	1,436	1.62%	25,142	28.36%
23	47,071	52.97%	1,517	1.71%	48,588	54.68%
24	31,350	37.63%	1,679	2.02%	33,029	39.64%
25	35,705	39.48%	1,654	1.83%	37,359	41.31%
26	15,280	16.88%	2,020	2.23%	17,300	19.11%
27	43,649	51.51%	1,631	1.92%	45,280	53.44%
28	13,735	16.09%	1,501	1.76%	15,236	17.84%
29	26,662	29.33%	2,172	2.39%	28,834	31.72%
30	26,438	29.04%	1,923	2.11%	28,361	31.15%
31	40,581	44.48%	2,227	2.44%	42,808	46.92%
32	36,403	42.48%	1,579	1.84%	37,982	44.32%
33	28,598	32.92%	2,058	2.37%	30,656	35.29%
34	9,134	10.96%	1,226	1.47%	10,360	12.44%
35	15,138	17.45%	1,898	2.19%	17,036	19.63%
36	6,280	7.03%	1,428	1.60%	7,708	8.63%
37	9,768	11.06%	1,566	1.77%	11,334	12.83%
38	38,501	42.51%	2,078	2.29%	40,579	44.81%
39	28,069	32.27%	2,265	2.60%	30,334	34.88%
40	8,632	9.59%	1,410	1.57%	10,042	11.15%
41	6,130	7.24%	1,397	1.65%	7,527	8.89%
42	33,039	39.12%	4,209	4.98%	37,248	44.10%
43	29,220	35.12%	2,870	3.45%	32,090	38.57%
44	34,996	41.79%	3,755	4.48%	38,751	46.27%
45	30,355	36.43%	3,943	4.73%	34,298	41.17%
46	21,276	25.24%	1,556	1.85%	22,832	27.08%
47	19,734	23.82%	1,653	2.00%	21,387	25.82%
48	29,928	34.70%	2,834	3.29%	32,762	37.98%

Census All Age Black Populations Report -11669-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
49	12,784	15.21%	1,634	1.94%	14,418	17.16%
50	14,257	16.71%	1,343	1.57%	15,600	18.28%
51	12,811	15.42%	1,600	1.93%	14,411	17.35%
52	19,011	22.53%	1,642	1.95%	20,653	24.48%
53	13,312	14.85%	1,661	1.85%	14,973	16.71%
54	8,738	10.47%	1,324	1.59%	10,062	12.05%
55	21,189	25.06%	1,425	1.69%	22,614	26.75%
56	8,415	9.77%	1,510	1.75%	9,925	11.53%
57	22,496	24.68%	2,024	2.22%	24,520	26.90%
58	41,596	46.37%	2,690	3.00%	44,286	49.36%
59	28,397	32.43%	2,261	2.58%	30,658	35.01%
60	31,645	34.81%	2,074	2.28%	33,719	37.09%
61	43,185	47.55%	2,564	2.82%	45,749	50.38%
62	14,529	15.94%	1,646	1.81%	16,175	17.75%
63	22,149	26.51%	2,147	2.57%	24,296	29.08%
64	11,865	13.50%	1,606	1.83%	13,471	15.33%
65	16,789	18.43%	1,655	1.82%	18,444	20.25%
66	26,887	31.92%	2,405	2.85%	29,292	34.77%
67	11,305	12.81%	1,224	1.39%	12,529	14.20%
68	6,977	8.02%	1,303	1.50%	8,280	9.52%
69	8,686	9.78%	1,412	1.59%	10,098	11.37%
70	6,092	6.84%	1,233	1.38%	7,325	8.22%
71	27,234	32.52%	2,294	2.74%	29,528	35.26%
72	32,922	39.54%	1,941	2.33%	34,863	41.87%
73	20,440	22.61%	2,386	2.64%	22,826	25.25%
74	11,114	12.39%	1,415	1.58%	12,529	13.97%
75	16,548	19.55%	1,862	2.20%	18,410	21.75%
76	18,473	20.57%	1,527	1.70%	20,000	22.27%
77	4,692	5.18%	1,081	1.19%	5,773	6.37%
78	4,447	5.15%	953	1.10%	5,400	6.25%
79	14,122	16.98%	1,201	1.44%	15,323	18.43%
80	8,079	9.52%	1,151	1.36%	9,230	10.88%
81	7,986	9.50%	1,219	1.45%	9,205	10.95%
82	18,137	19.97%	2,123	2.34%	20,260	22.31%
83	7,456	8.20%	1,279	1.41%	8,735	9.60%
84	13,981	16.28%	1,579	1.84%	15,560	18.12%
85	2,483	2.77%	673	0.75%	3,156	3.53%
86	4,854	5.54%	1,144	1.31%	5,998	6.85%
87	3,960	4.66%	1,309	1.54%	5,269	6.20%
88	21,062	24.42%	1,574	1.83%	22,636	26.25%
89	5,338	6.24%	1,227	1.43%	6,565	7.67%
90	2,691	3.24%	817	0.99%	3,508	4.23%
91	9,150	10.67%	1,095	1.28%	10,245	11.95%
92	29,226	32.60%	2,497	2.79%	31,723	35.39%
93	2,334	2.68%	711	0.82%	3,045	3.49%
94	4,361	4.80%	1,047	1.15%	5,408	5.95%
95	6,618	7.67%	1,186	1.37%	7,804	9.05%
96	8,576	9.57%	1,919	2.14%	10,495	11.71%

Census All Age Black Populations Report -11670-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
97	4,482	5.16%	995	1.15%	5,477	6.31%
98	6,282	7.60%	1,207	1.46%	7,489	9.06%
99	38,713	46.79%	2,174	2.63%	40,887	49.41%
100	28,379	34.22%	2,051	2.47%	30,430	36.69%
101	43,824	51.93%	2,516	2.98%	46,340	54.91%
102	28,983	33.13%	1,867	2.13%	30,850	35.26%
103	7,819	8.86%	1,454	1.65%	9,273	10.51%
104	7,435	8.78%	1,153	1.36%	8,588	10.15%
105	11,662	13.04%	1,641	1.83%	13,303	14.87%
106	31,275	36.05%	2,262	2.61%	33,537	38.66%
107	49,069	56.73%	2,619	3.03%	51,688	59.76%
108	12,385	14.66%	1,454	1.72%	13,839	16.39%
109	16,619	19.00%	1,621	1.85%	18,240	20.85%
110	17,545	19.58%	1,635	1.83%	19,180	21.41%
111	15,000	16.52%	1,671	1.84%	16,671	18.36%
112	26,729	31.91%	2,234	2.67%	28,963	34.58%
113	6,153	6.75%	1,347	1.48%	7,500	8.23%
114	7,225	8.06%	1,398	1.56%	8,623	9.62%
115	4,877	5.49%	1,345	1.51%	6,222	7.00%
116	3,209	3.53%	1,053	1.16%	4,262	4.68%
117	2,843	3.15%	985	1.09%	3,828	4.24%
118	878	1.05%	610	0.73%	1,488	1.79%
119	2,001	2.22%	977	1.08%	2,978	3.30%
120	753	0.89%	701	0.83%	1,454	1.71%
Total:	**2,140,217**	**20.50%**	**204,336**	**1.96%**	**2,344,553**	**22.46%**

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-AA-BLK] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
1	51,350	75.75%	11,752	17.34%	229	0.34%	506	0.75%	1,070	1.58%	2,883	4.25%	67,790
2	41,560	58.15%	21,032	29.43%	490	0.69%	1,047	1.46%	3,937	5.51%	3,405	4.76%	71,471
3	47,556	70.27%	12,843	18.98%	269	0.40%	1,954	2.89%	1,639	2.42%	3,413	5.04%	67,674
4	35,410	56.05%	15,518	24.56%	528	0.84%	328	0.52%	7,828	12.39%	3,561	5.64%	63,173
5	36,437	55.35%	24,555	37.30%	440	0.67%	657	1.00%	1,170	1.78%	2,574	3.91%	65,833
6	36,840	61.42%	13,554	22.60%	635	1.06%	1,110	1.85%	3,381	5.64%	4,460	7.44%	59,980
7	44,612	66.68%	14,909	22.28%	435	0.65%	528	0.79%	3,200	4.78%	3,224	4.82%	66,908
8	35,238	52.22%	24,545	36.37%	319	0.47%	1,067	1.58%	3,289	4.87%	3,028	4.49%	67,486
9	37,760	58.28%	20,474	31.60%	229	0.35%	1,500	2.32%	1,912	2.95%	2,912	4.49%	64,787
10	36,239	56.30%	21,019	32.65%	282	0.44%	1,213	1.88%	2,485	3.86%	3,134	4.87%	64,372
11	48,156	66.90%	8,815	12.25%	294	0.41%	7,164	9.95%	2,922	4.06%	4,635	6.44%	71,986
12	35,649	53.23%	25,096	37.47%	346	0.52%	353	0.53%	3,199	4.78%	2,327	3.47%	66,970
13	56,756	83.07%	5,461	7.99%	347	0.51%	917	1.34%	1,406	2.06%	3,435	5.03%	68,322
14	48,689	66.92%	9,074	12.47%	562	0.77%	2,524	3.47%	5,166	7.10%	6,746	9.27%	72,761
15	43,704	66.65%	11,022	16.81%	463	0.71%	1,891	2.88%	2,626	4.00%	5,864	8.94%	65,570
16	52,017	79.12%	7,128	10.84%	402	0.61%	565	0.86%	2,091	3.18%	3,541	5.39%	65,744
17	61,358	81.55%	7,553	10.04%	526	0.70%	587	0.78%	1,908	2.54%	3,308	4.40%	75,240
18	51,522	70.81%	13,812	18.98%	372	0.51%	948	1.30%	2,266	3.11%	3,837	5.27%	72,757
19	67,265	87.30%	3,704	4.81%	381	0.49%	685	0.89%	1,372	1.78%	3,641	4.73%	77,048
20	62,259	82.27%	5,406	7.14%	242	0.32%	1,604	2.12%	2,228	2.94%	3,935	5.20%	75,674
21	26,660	42.93%	5,398	8.69%	132	0.21%	25,671	41.34%	1,204	1.94%	3,036	4.89%	62,101
22	38,362	56.12%	18,746	27.42%	1,573	2.30%	261	0.38%	6,467	9.46%	2,953	4.32%	68,362
23	29,726	42.05%	36,844	52.11%	265	0.37%	225	0.32%	1,748	2.47%	1,892	2.68%	70,700
24	33,077	51.18%	24,003	37.14%	369	0.57%	712	1.10%	3,686	5.70%	2,783	4.31%	64,630
25	36,727	51.73%	27,470	38.69%	550	0.77%	728	1.03%	2,932	4.13%	2,592	3.65%	70,999
26	45,712	68.63%	11,156	16.75%	422	0.63%	868	1.30%	3,840	5.77%	4,605	6.91%	66,603
27	28,614	41.69%	34,629	50.45%	2,126	3.10%	314	0.46%	1,058	1.54%	1,898	2.77%	68,639
28	42,816	66.72%	10,239	15.96%	521	0.81%	357	0.56%	6,066	9.45%	4,170	6.50%	64,169
29	37,658	51.64%	21,374	29.31%	319	0.44%	5,038	6.91%	4,077	5.59%	4,464	6.12%	72,930
30	36,577	48.98%	21,720	29.09%	450	0.60%	4,996	6.69%	6,046	8.10%	4,882	6.54%	74,671
31	23,970	33.55%	31,287	43.78%	596	0.83%	3,774	5.28%	7,611	10.65%	4,218	5.90%	71,456
32	32,465	48.24%	28,359	42.14%	328	0.49%	404	0.60%	3,554	5.28%	2,192	3.26%	67,302
33	33,180	50.45%	21,309	32.40%	493	0.75%	1,188	1.81%	5,713	8.69%	3,885	5.91%	65,768
34	52,659	77.58%	7,236	10.66%	162	0.24%	2,023	2.98%	2,266	3.34%	3,528	5.20%	67,874

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
35	43,503	68.79%	10,927	17.28%	211	0.33%	2,549	4.03%	2,002	3.17%	4,052	6.41%	63,244
36	44,543	71.45%	4,650	7.46%	206	0.33%	7,174	11.51%	1,735	2.78%	4,036	6.47%	62,344
37	47,845	75.04%	7,157	11.22%	347	0.54%	1,631	2.56%	2,598	4.07%	4,184	6.56%	63,762
38	27,297	38.39%	29,937	42.11%	487	0.68%	2,040	2.87%	7,155	10.06%	4,182	5.88%	71,098
39	32,023	48.97%	20,814	31.83%	563	0.86%	1,723	2.63%	6,085	9.31%	4,184	6.40%	65,392
40	52,747	77.06%	6,767	9.89%	210	0.31%	3,335	4.87%	1,340	1.96%	4,047	5.91%	68,446
41	44,432	68.19%	4,670	7.17%	249	0.38%	8,334	12.79%	2,873	4.41%	4,603	7.06%	65,161
42	26,157	40.66%	24,817	38.58%	631	0.98%	2,886	4.49%	4,207	6.54%	5,635	8.76%	64,333
43	33,138	52.15%	21,994	34.61%	1,313	2.07%	1,094	1.72%	1,851	2.91%	4,159	6.54%	63,549
44	27,972	42.32%	26,962	40.79%	847	1.28%	2,626	3.97%	2,663	4.03%	5,027	7.61%	66,097
45	27,153	44.88%	21,884	36.17%	1,498	2.48%	1,995	3.30%	2,805	4.64%	5,167	8.54%	60,502
46	36,309	55.37%	16,564	25.26%	6,575	10.03%	227	0.35%	3,535	5.39%	2,368	3.61%	65,578
47	13,812	21.86%	15,304	24.22%	28,233	44.68%	742	1.17%	2,760	4.37%	2,342	3.71%	63,193
48	27,950	43.46%	22,511	35.00%	5,776	8.98%	981	1.53%	2,918	4.54%	4,181	6.50%	64,317
49	47,463	68.34%	10,073	14.50%	193	0.28%	5,181	7.46%	2,328	3.35%	4,209	6.06%	69,447
50	47,233	70.06%	11,798	17.50%	392	0.58%	1,105	1.64%	3,380	5.01%	3,506	5.20%	67,414
51	42,954	67.82%	9,861	15.57%	555	0.88%	685	1.08%	5,208	8.22%	4,070	6.43%	63,333
52	43,961	67.14%	14,346	21.91%	1,092	1.67%	814	1.24%	2,064	3.15%	3,202	4.89%	65,479
53	47,889	71.04%	10,042	14.90%	705	1.05%	559	0.83%	4,382	6.50%	3,839	5.69%	67,416
54	49,458	74.55%	7,093	10.69%	433	0.65%	1,389	2.09%	4,329	6.53%	3,640	5.49%	66,342
55	39,176	59.92%	16,349	25.01%	463	0.71%	559	0.86%	5,566	8.51%	3,266	5.00%	65,379
56	47,035	66.52%	6,795	9.61%	322	0.46%	9,264	13.10%	2,445	3.46%	4,848	6.86%	70,709
57	44,000	62.42%	16,552	23.48%	289	0.41%	4,102	5.82%	2,033	2.88%	3,515	4.99%	70,491
58	23,525	33.96%	31,910	46.07%	579	0.84%	4,214	6.08%	4,859	7.02%	4,178	6.03%	69,265
59	39,496	58.80%	20,737	30.87%	399	0.59%	1,024	1.52%	2,251	3.35%	3,268	4.86%	67,175
60	33,961	47.94%	23,493	33.16%	460	0.65%	4,965	7.01%	4,335	6.12%	3,624	5.12%	70,838
61	28,883	38.89%	34,932	47.04%	371	0.50%	2,509	3.38%	3,741	5.04%	3,823	5.15%	74,259
62	49,823	69.45%	11,048	15.40%	292	0.41%	4,749	6.62%	2,076	2.89%	3,747	5.22%	71,735
63	35,052	55.00%	16,973	26.63%	619	0.97%	932	1.46%	6,361	9.98%	3,789	5.95%	63,726
64	52,282	74.43%	9,122	12.99%	413	0.59%	1,376	1.96%	3,598	5.12%	3,452	4.91%	70,243
65	53,646	73.71%	13,456	18.49%	338	0.46%	425	0.58%	2,176	2.99%	2,742	3.77%	72,783
66	27,610	43.51%	19,909	31.37%	619	0.98%	3,651	5.75%	6,881	10.84%	4,786	7.54%	63,456
67	53,726	77.37%	8,799	12.67%	327	0.47%	1,116	1.61%	2,898	4.17%	2,575	3.71%	69,441
68	45,249	75.33%	5,002	8.33%	164	0.27%	4,214	7.02%	1,585	2.64%	3,857	6.42%	60,071

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
69	49,733	75.06%	6,364	9.60%	329	0.50%	1,943	2.93%	3,626	5.47%	4,264	6.44%	66,259
70	54,229	78.06%	4,636	6.67%	586	0.84%	1,361	1.96%	4,730	6.81%	3,929	5.66%	69,471
71	30,904	47.24%	21,439	32.77%	472	0.72%	1,474	2.25%	6,539	9.99%	4,595	7.02%	65,423
72	28,980	44.91%	24,933	38.64%	376	0.58%	1,397	2.17%	5,212	8.08%	3,628	5.62%	64,526
73	37,459	57.38%	14,411	22.08%	318	0.49%	4,541	6.96%	4,100	6.28%	4,450	6.82%	65,279
74	51,764	74.30%	8,334	11.96%	297	0.43%	3,225	4.63%	2,072	2.97%	3,979	5.71%	69,671
75	43,063	65.93%	12,516	19.16%	474	0.73%	1,019	1.56%	4,338	6.64%	3,908	5.98%	65,318
76	48,171	68.20%	14,162	20.05%	383	0.54%	894	1.27%	3,511	4.97%	3,512	4.97%	70,633
77	60,631	84.63%	3,740	5.22%	336	0.47%	395	0.55%	3,384	4.72%	3,154	4.40%	71,640
78	57,681	84.43%	3,572	5.23%	401	0.59%	601	0.88%	2,941	4.30%	3,124	4.57%	68,320
79	51,318	75.40%	11,281	16.58%	270	0.40%	336	0.49%	2,305	3.39%	2,547	3.74%	68,057
80	53,295	80.56%	6,034	9.12%	423	0.64%	809	1.22%	2,631	3.98%	2,966	4.48%	66,158
81	53,115	80.45%	6,127	9.28%	386	0.58%	1,118	1.69%	2,332	3.53%	2,943	4.46%	66,021
82	42,932	63.40%	13,137	19.40%	327	0.48%	3,106	4.59%	4,048	5.98%	4,162	6.15%	67,712
83	56,903	80.70%	5,623	7.97%	340	0.48%	731	1.04%	3,070	4.35%	3,844	5.45%	70,511
84	48,435	72.47%	10,498	15.71%	294	0.44%	997	1.49%	3,107	4.65%	3,507	5.25%	66,838
85	65,623	89.63%	2,186	2.99%	300	0.41%	348	0.48%	1,982	2.71%	2,774	3.79%	73,213
86	57,486	82.10%	4,034	5.76%	896	1.28%	2,308	3.30%	2,400	3.43%	2,894	4.13%	70,018
87	59,632	87.63%	3,084	4.53%	253	0.37%	492	0.72%	1,706	2.51%	2,880	4.23%	68,047
88	39,654	57.06%	16,100	23.17%	576	0.83%	2,665	3.84%	5,727	8.24%	4,768	6.86%	69,490
89	56,234	82.64%	4,316	6.34%	277	0.41%	1,931	2.84%	2,245	3.30%	3,047	4.48%	68,050
90	56,835	86.53%	2,146	3.27%	276	0.42%	322	0.49%	3,200	4.87%	2,902	4.42%	65,681
91	55,342	80.79%	7,155	10.45%	345	0.50%	385	0.56%	2,281	3.33%	2,991	4.37%	68,499
92	26,624	38.53%	22,356	32.35%	464	0.67%	6,219	9.00%	7,434	10.76%	6,005	8.69%	69,102
93	64,644	87.47%	2,125	2.88%	206	0.28%	889	1.20%	2,764	3.74%	3,277	4.43%	73,905
94	63,456	87.31%	3,601	4.95%	252	0.35%	531	0.73%	2,261	3.11%	2,580	3.55%	72,681
95	52,624	80.57%	4,712	7.21%	229	0.35%	2,405	3.68%	1,726	2.64%	3,616	5.54%	65,312
96	51,696	73.68%	6,560	9.35%	462	0.66%	3,064	4.37%	4,238	6.04%	4,141	5.90%	70,161
97	58,354	85.29%	3,587	5.24%	244	0.36%	575	0.84%	2,085	3.05%	3,571	5.22%	68,416
98	50,857	80.46%	4,755	7.52%	181	0.29%	2,083	3.30%	1,772	2.80%	3,561	5.63%	63,209
99	17,646	27.31%	30,110	46.59%	541	0.84%	4,013	6.21%	8,218	12.72%	4,097	6.34%	64,625
100	22,181	35.67%	21,170	34.05%	554	0.89%	4,280	6.88%	9,079	14.60%	4,913	7.90%	62,177
101	17,851	28.36%	32,191	51.15%	417	0.66%	3,048	4.84%	5,564	8.84%	3,866	6.14%	62,937
102	37,408	51.53%	22,720	31.30%	323	0.44%	3,076	4.24%	4,716	6.50%	4,355	6.00%	72,598

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	Total
103	48,826	73.19%	5,901	8.85%	199	0.30%	5,779	8.66%	1,862	2.79%	4,144	6.21%	66,711
104	50,347	77.26%	5,700	8.75%	201	0.31%	2,823	4.33%	2,464	3.78%	3,627	5.57%	65,162
105	41,832	61.52%	8,682	12.77%	262	0.39%	8,294	12.20%	3,639	5.35%	5,287	7.78%	67,996
106	29,168	43.76%	23,685	35.53%	297	0.45%	6,726	10.09%	2,660	3.99%	4,121	6.18%	66,657
107	15,921	24.08%	37,533	56.77%	292	0.44%	3,028	4.58%	5,353	8.10%	3,986	6.03%	66,113
108	49,522	74.90%	9,095	13.76%	320	0.48%	1,133	1.71%	2,628	3.97%	3,421	5.17%	66,119
109	47,471	69.74%	12,226	17.96%	333	0.49%	1,418	2.08%	2,904	4.27%	3,721	5.47%	68,073
110	50,895	72.47%	13,217	18.82%	304	0.43%	392	0.56%	2,206	3.14%	3,218	4.58%	70,232
111	55,069	77.37%	11,254	15.81%	234	0.33%	668	0.94%	1,275	1.79%	2,672	3.75%	71,172
112	28,546	45.13%	19,746	31.22%	549	0.87%	2,667	4.22%	6,612	10.45%	5,128	8.11%	63,248
113	60,539	82.10%	4,858	6.59%	309	0.42%	792	1.07%	3,518	4.77%	3,726	5.05%	73,742
114	62,103	83.31%	5,782	7.76%	314	0.42%	794	1.07%	1,609	2.16%	3,942	5.29%	74,544
115	58,426	81.99%	3,673	5.15%	334	0.47%	1,352	1.90%	3,125	4.39%	4,353	6.11%	71,263
116	63,257	85.41%	2,456	3.32%	329	0.44%	898	1.21%	2,900	3.92%	4,227	5.71%	74,067
117	62,942	85.47%	2,221	3.02%	307	0.42%	1,149	1.56%	3,304	4.49%	3,715	5.04%	73,638
118	63,169	92.12%	760	1.11%	327	0.48%	372	0.54%	1,072	1.56%	2,872	4.19%	68,572
119	60,567	81.78%	1,681	2.27%	5,775	7.80%	618	0.83%	1,922	2.60%	3,501	4.73%	74,064
120	62,894	89.85%	568	0.81%	964	1.38%	380	0.54%	1,593	2.28%	3,601	5.14%	70,000
Total:	**5,300,137**	**64.99%**	**1,639,043**	**20.10%**	**96,726**	**1.19%**	**265,741**	**3.26%**	**406,376**	**4.98%**	**447,076**	**5.48%**	**8,155,099**

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-RCE] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Population by Ethnicity Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
1	2,223	3.28%	65,567	96.72%	50,888	75.07%
2	6,332	8.86%	65,139	91.14%	40,599	56.80%
3	3,703	5.47%	63,971	94.53%	46,720	69.04%
4	11,650	18.44%	51,523	81.56%	34,222	54.17%
5	3,166	4.81%	62,667	95.19%	35,173	53.43%
6	6,897	11.50%	53,083	88.50%	35,356	58.95%
7	5,273	7.88%	61,635	92.12%	43,825	65.50%
8	5,075	7.52%	62,411	92.48%	34,510	51.14%
9	3,471	5.36%	61,316	94.64%	37,229	57.46%
10	4,368	6.79%	60,004	93.21%	35,457	55.08%
11	5,685	7.90%	66,301	92.10%	47,124	65.46%
12	4,813	7.19%	62,157	92.81%	35,098	52.41%
13	3,077	4.50%	65,245	95.50%	56,037	82.02%
14	11,645	16.00%	61,116	84.00%	45,857	63.02%
15	6,887	10.50%	58,683	89.50%	41,840	63.81%
16	4,145	6.30%	61,599	93.70%	51,136	77.78%
17	3,874	5.15%	71,366	94.85%	60,581	80.52%
18	4,530	6.23%	68,227	93.77%	50,573	69.51%
19	3,154	4.09%	73,894	95.91%	66,582	86.42%
20	4,819	6.37%	70,855	93.63%	61,181	80.85%
21	3,208	5.17%	58,893	94.83%	26,004	41.87%
22	9,096	13.31%	59,266	86.69%	37,360	54.65%
23	2,527	3.57%	68,173	96.43%	29,461	41.67%
24	5,785	8.95%	58,845	91.05%	32,343	50.04%
25	4,527	6.38%	66,472	93.62%	36,126	50.88%
26	7,561	11.35%	59,042	88.65%	44,500	66.81%
27	1,618	2.36%	67,021	97.64%	28,412	41.39%
28	10,383	16.18%	53,786	83.82%	41,252	64.29%
29	7,177	9.84%	65,753	90.16%	36,722	50.35%
30	9,743	13.05%	64,928	86.95%	35,487	47.52%
31	11,220	15.70%	60,236	84.30%	22,950	32.12%
32	5,308	7.89%	61,994	92.11%	31,657	47.04%
33	8,967	13.63%	56,801	86.37%	32,147	48.88%
34	4,692	6.91%	63,182	93.09%	51,887	76.45%
35	4,756	7.52%	58,488	92.48%	42,732	67.57%
36	4,385	7.03%	57,959	92.97%	43,713	70.12%
37	5,765	9.04%	57,997	90.96%	46,833	73.45%
38	10,841	15.25%	60,257	84.75%	26,100	36.71%
39	9,618	14.71%	55,774	85.29%	30,942	47.32%
40	3,764	5.50%	64,682	94.50%	52,022	76.00%
41	6,062	9.30%	59,099	90.70%	43,607	66.92%
42	9,982	15.52%	54,351	84.48%	23,550	36.61%
43	4,218	6.64%	59,331	93.36%	32,237	50.73%
44	6,136	9.28%	59,961	90.72%	26,697	40.39%
45	6,405	10.59%	54,097	89.41%	25,911	42.83%
46	4,920	7.50%	60,658	92.50%	35,820	54.62%
47	3,953	6.26%	59,240	93.74%	13,524	21.40%
48	5,528	8.59%	58,789	91.41%	27,041	42.04%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Population by Ethnicity Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
49	5,170	7.44%	64,277	92.56%	46,457	66.90%
50	5,556	8.24%	61,858	91.76%	46,577	69.09%
51	9,137	14.43%	54,196	85.57%	41,384	65.34%
52	3,562	5.44%	61,917	94.56%	43,375	66.24%
53	7,591	11.26%	59,825	88.74%	46,671	69.23%
54	7,032	10.60%	59,310	89.40%	48,601	73.26%
55	8,200	12.54%	57,179	87.46%	38,310	58.60%
56	5,735	8.11%	64,974	91.89%	45,820	64.80%
57	4,046	5.74%	66,445	94.26%	43,344	61.49%
58	8,080	11.67%	61,185	88.33%	22,561	32.57%
59	4,021	5.99%	63,154	94.01%	38,917	57.93%
60	7,001	9.88%	63,837	90.12%	33,005	46.59%
61	6,538	8.80%	67,721	91.20%	27,924	37.60%
62	4,352	6.07%	67,383	93.93%	49,095	68.44%
63	9,584	15.04%	54,142	84.96%	33,977	53.32%
64	6,046	8.61%	64,197	91.39%	51,360	73.12%
65	3,752	5.16%	69,031	94.84%	52,981	72.79%
66	11,284	17.78%	52,172	82.22%	26,443	41.67%
67	4,276	6.16%	65,165	93.84%	53,204	76.62%
68	4,293	7.15%	55,778	92.85%	44,492	74.07%
69	6,852	10.34%	59,407	89.66%	48,665	73.45%
70	8,093	11.65%	61,378	88.35%	53,041	76.35%
71	10,489	16.03%	54,934	83.97%	29,935	45.76%
72	8,009	12.41%	56,517	87.59%	28,155	43.63%
73	7,454	11.42%	57,825	88.58%	36,478	55.88%
74	4,916	7.06%	64,755	92.94%	50,945	73.12%
75	7,594	11.63%	57,724	88.37%	42,059	64.39%
76	5,921	8.38%	64,712	91.62%	47,291	66.95%
77	5,364	7.49%	66,276	92.51%	59,962	83.70%
78	5,167	7.56%	63,153	92.44%	56,850	83.21%
79	3,748	5.51%	64,309	94.49%	50,817	74.67%
80	4,542	6.87%	61,616	93.13%	52,626	79.55%
81	4,057	6.15%	61,964	93.85%	52,423	79.40%
82	7,267	10.73%	60,445	89.27%	41,865	61.83%
83	5,557	7.88%	64,954	92.12%	56,078	79.53%
84	5,731	8.57%	61,107	91.43%	47,537	71.12%
85	3,380	4.62%	69,833	95.38%	64,975	88.75%
86	4,464	6.38%	65,554	93.62%	56,880	81.24%
87	3,205	4.71%	64,842	95.29%	59,045	86.77%
88	10,487	15.09%	59,003	84.91%	38,136	54.88%
89	4,076	5.99%	63,974	94.01%	55,537	81.61%
90	5,589	8.51%	60,092	91.49%	55,897	85.10%
91	4,008	5.85%	64,491	94.15%	54,680	79.83%
92	13,055	18.89%	56,047	81.11%	25,203	36.47%
93	4,650	6.29%	69,255	93.71%	63,651	86.13%
94	3,743	5.15%	68,938	94.85%	62,817	86.43%
95	4,008	6.14%	61,304	93.86%	51,829	79.36%
96	7,406	10.56%	62,755	89.44%	50,636	72.17%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Population by Ethnicity Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	White Non-Hisp	% White Non-Hisp
97	4,261	6.23%	64,155	93.77%	57,748	84.41%
98	4,263	6.74%	58,946	93.26%	49,973	79.06%
99	12,696	19.65%	51,929	80.35%	15,997	24.75%
100	13,913	22.38%	48,264	77.62%	20,538	33.03%
101	8,592	13.65%	54,345	86.35%	17,031	27.06%
102	8,124	11.19%	64,474	88.81%	36,192	49.85%
103	4,570	6.85%	62,141	93.15%	48,024	71.99%
104	5,118	7.85%	60,044	92.15%	49,570	76.07%
105	7,809	11.48%	60,187	88.52%	40,727	59.90%
106	5,592	8.39%	61,065	91.61%	28,368	42.56%
107	8,498	12.85%	57,615	87.15%	15,025	22.73%
108	4,445	6.72%	61,674	93.28%	48,847	73.88%
109	5,424	7.97%	62,649	92.03%	46,657	68.54%
110	3,959	5.64%	66,273	94.36%	50,314	71.64%
111	2,470	3.47%	68,702	96.53%	54,494	76.57%
112	11,262	17.81%	51,986	82.19%	27,361	43.26%
113	6,219	8.43%	67,523	91.57%	59,516	80.71%
114	3,442	4.62%	71,102	95.38%	61,360	82.31%
115	5,764	8.09%	65,499	91.91%	57,552	80.76%
116	5,373	7.25%	68,694	92.75%	62,212	83.99%
117	6,083	8.26%	67,555	91.74%	61,949	84.13%
118	2,397	3.50%	66,175	96.50%	62,567	91.24%
119	3,774	5.10%	70,290	94.90%	59,758	80.68%
120	3,243	4.63%	66,757	95.37%	62,317	89.02%
Total:	**724,311**	**8.88%**	**7,430,788**	**91.12%**	**5,189,633**	**63.64%**

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File. Hispanic indicates Hispanic or Latino origin without regard to race.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-ETH] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Black Populations Report 11678-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
1	11,752	17.34%	561	0.83%	12,313	18.16%
2	21,032	29.43%	906	1.27%	21,938	30.69%
3	12,843	18.98%	812	1.20%	13,655	20.18%
4	15,518	24.56%	592	0.94%	16,110	25.50%
5	24,555	37.30%	851	1.29%	25,406	38.59%
6	13,554	22.60%	1,194	1.99%	14,748	24.59%
7	14,909	22.28%	804	1.20%	15,713	23.48%
8	24,545	36.37%	1,190	1.76%	25,735	38.13%
9	20,474	31.60%	1,032	1.59%	21,506	33.19%
10	21,019	32.65%	1,105	1.72%	22,124	34.37%
11	8,815	12.25%	989	1.37%	9,804	13.62%
12	25,096	37.47%	677	1.01%	25,773	38.48%
13	5,461	7.99%	581	0.85%	6,042	8.84%
14	9,074	12.47%	1,401	1.93%	10,475	14.40%
15	11,022	16.81%	1,512	2.31%	12,534	19.12%
16	7,128	10.84%	580	0.88%	7,708	11.72%
17	7,553	10.04%	509	0.68%	8,062	10.72%
18	13,812	18.98%	936	1.29%	14,748	20.27%
19	3,704	4.81%	497	0.65%	4,201	5.45%
20	5,406	7.14%	746	0.99%	6,152	8.13%
21	5,398	8.69%	587	0.95%	5,985	9.64%
22	18,746	27.42%	716	1.05%	19,462	28.47%
23	36,844	52.11%	915	1.29%	37,759	53.41%
24	24,003	37.14%	879	1.36%	24,882	38.50%
25	27,470	38.69%	906	1.28%	28,376	39.97%
26	11,156	16.75%	878	1.32%	12,034	18.07%
27	34,629	50.45%	980	1.43%	35,609	51.88%
28	10,239	15.96%	664	1.03%	10,903	16.99%
29	21,374	29.31%	1,254	1.72%	22,628	31.03%
30	21,720	29.09%	1,244	1.67%	22,964	30.75%
31	31,287	43.78%	1,320	1.85%	32,607	45.63%
32	28,359	42.14%	824	1.22%	29,183	43.36%
33	21,309	32.40%	1,057	1.61%	22,366	34.01%
34	7,236	10.66%	687	1.01%	7,923	11.67%
35	10,927	17.28%	913	1.44%	11,840	18.72%
36	4,650	7.46%	709	1.14%	5,359	8.60%
37	7,157	11.22%	725	1.14%	7,882	12.36%
38	29,937	42.11%	1,282	1.80%	31,219	43.91%
39	20,814	31.83%	1,193	1.82%	22,007	33.65%
40	6,767	9.89%	763	1.11%	7,530	11.00%
41	4,670	7.17%	763	1.17%	5,433	8.34%
42	24,817	38.58%	2,184	3.39%	27,001	41.97%
43	21,994	34.61%	1,456	2.29%	23,450	36.90%
44	26,962	40.79%	2,021	3.06%	28,983	43.85%
45	21,884	36.17%	1,850	3.06%	23,734	39.23%
46	16,564	25.26%	779	1.19%	17,343	26.45%
47	15,304	24.22%	842	1.33%	16,146	25.55%
48	22,511	35.00%	1,341	2.08%	23,852	37.09%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-BLK] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Black Populations Report 11679-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
49	10,073	14.50%	1,041	1.50%	11,114	16.00%
50	11,798	17.50%	661	0.98%	12,459	18.48%
51	9,861	15.57%	733	1.16%	10,594	16.73%
52	14,346	21.91%	758	1.16%	15,104	23.07%
53	10,042	14.90%	717	1.06%	10,759	15.96%
54	7,093	10.69%	602	0.91%	7,695	11.60%
55	16,349	25.01%	708	1.08%	17,057	26.09%
56	6,795	9.61%	941	1.33%	7,736	10.94%
57	16,552	23.48%	1,116	1.58%	17,668	25.06%
58	31,910	46.07%	1,602	2.31%	33,512	48.38%
59	20,737	30.87%	1,123	1.67%	21,860	32.54%
60	23,493	33.16%	1,073	1.51%	24,566	34.68%
61	34,932	47.04%	1,667	2.24%	36,599	49.29%
62	11,048	15.40%	825	1.15%	11,873	16.55%
63	16,973	26.63%	1,005	1.58%	17,978	28.21%
64	9,122	12.99%	778	1.11%	9,900	14.09%
65	13,456	18.49%	702	0.96%	14,158	19.45%
66	19,909	31.37%	1,363	2.15%	21,272	33.52%
67	8,799	12.67%	486	0.70%	9,285	13.37%
68	5,002	8.33%	567	0.94%	5,569	9.27%
69	6,364	9.60%	631	0.95%	6,995	10.56%
70	4,636	6.67%	492	0.71%	5,128	7.38%
71	21,439	32.77%	1,336	2.04%	22,775	34.81%
72	24,933	38.64%	1,173	1.82%	26,106	40.46%
73	14,411	22.08%	1,180	1.81%	15,591	23.88%
74	8,334	11.96%	736	1.06%	9,070	13.02%
75	12,516	19.16%	849	1.30%	13,365	20.46%
76	14,162	20.05%	661	0.94%	14,823	20.99%
77	3,740	5.22%	414	0.58%	4,154	5.80%
78	3,572	5.23%	373	0.55%	3,945	5.77%
79	11,281	16.58%	527	0.77%	11,808	17.35%
80	6,034	9.12%	479	0.72%	6,513	9.84%
81	6,127	9.28%	475	0.72%	6,602	10.00%
82	13,137	19.40%	1,007	1.49%	14,144	20.89%
83	5,623	7.97%	550	0.78%	6,173	8.75%
84	10,498	15.71%	678	1.01%	11,176	16.72%
85	2,186	2.99%	328	0.45%	2,514	3.43%
86	4,034	5.76%	451	0.64%	4,485	6.41%
87	3,084	4.53%	492	0.72%	3,576	5.26%
88	16,100	23.17%	1,038	1.49%	17,138	24.66%
89	4,316	6.34%	461	0.68%	4,777	7.02%
90	2,146	3.27%	376	0.57%	2,522	3.84%
91	7,155	10.45%	508	0.74%	7,663	11.19%
92	22,356	32.35%	1,404	2.03%	23,760	34.38%
93	2,125	2.88%	476	0.64%	2,601	3.52%
94	3,601	4.95%	409	0.56%	4,010	5.52%
95	4,712	7.21%	555	0.85%	5,267	8.06%
96	6,560	9.35%	789	1.12%	7,349	10.47%

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[PL20-VA-BLK] - Generated 2/17/2022

Census Voting Age Black Populations Report 11680-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Single Race Black	% Single Race Black	Multi-Race Black	% Multi-Race Black	Any Part Black	% Any Part Black
97	3,587	5.24%	388	0.57%	3,975	5.81%
98	4,755	7.52%	623	0.99%	5,378	8.51%
99	30,110	46.59%	1,392	2.15%	31,502	48.75%
100	21,170	34.05%	1,219	1.96%	22,389	36.01%
101	32,191	51.15%	1,427	2.27%	33,618	53.42%
102	22,720	31.30%	1,260	1.74%	23,980	33.03%
103	5,901	8.85%	787	1.18%	6,688	10.03%
104	5,700	8.75%	658	1.01%	6,358	9.76%
105	8,682	12.77%	928	1.36%	9,610	14.13%
106	23,685	35.53%	1,365	2.05%	25,050	37.58%
107	37,533	56.77%	1,617	2.45%	39,150	59.22%
108	9,095	13.76%	605	0.92%	9,700	14.67%
109	12,226	17.96%	793	1.16%	13,019	19.13%
110	13,217	18.82%	684	0.97%	13,901	19.79%
111	11,254	15.81%	653	0.92%	11,907	16.73%
112	19,746	31.22%	1,210	1.91%	20,956	33.13%
113	4,858	6.59%	554	0.75%	5,412	7.34%
114	5,782	7.76%	648	0.87%	6,430	8.63%
115	3,673	5.15%	598	0.84%	4,271	5.99%
116	2,456	3.32%	509	0.69%	2,965	4.00%
117	2,221	3.02%	421	0.57%	2,642	3.59%
118	760	1.11%	293	0.43%	1,053	1.54%
119	1,681	2.27%	502	0.68%	2,183	2.95%
120	568	0.81%	352	0.50%	920	1.31%
Total:	**1,639,043**	**20.10%**	**104,009**	**1.28%**	**1,743,052**	**21.37%**

Voter Registration by Gender Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Male	% Male	Female	% Female	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
1	29,002	44.49%	32,815	50.34%	3,375	5.18%	65,192
2	26,596	41.64%	32,188	50.40%	5,085	7.96%	63,869
3	26,431	42.67%	31,694	51.17%	3,811	6.15%	61,936
4	21,665	42.47%	25,531	50.05%	3,815	7.48%	51,011
5	25,734	42.94%	30,689	51.21%	3,503	5.85%	59,926
6	19,975	40.85%	23,693	48.46%	5,227	10.69%	48,895
7	24,630	41.39%	27,804	46.72%	7,079	11.89%	59,513
8	24,235	40.44%	30,097	50.23%	5,591	9.33%	59,923
9	25,175	41.56%	31,476	51.96%	3,925	6.48%	60,576
10	23,131	41.61%	28,083	50.52%	4,370	7.86%	55,584
11	24,677	42.66%	24,818	42.90%	8,355	14.44%	57,850
12	24,089	42.10%	29,382	51.35%	3,746	6.55%	57,217
13	27,045	42.84%	30,795	48.78%	5,290	8.38%	63,130
14	17,746	42.09%	20,801	49.33%	3,620	8.58%	42,167
15	24,267	41.95%	29,181	50.44%	4,400	7.61%	57,848
16	26,323	43.05%	29,603	48.42%	5,215	8.53%	61,141
17	30,393	41.67%	35,274	48.36%	7,272	9.97%	72,939
18	29,110	40.79%	34,569	48.44%	7,690	10.77%	71,369
19	32,386	42.41%	36,758	48.14%	7,218	9.45%	76,362
20	28,808	40.88%	33,855	48.04%	7,813	11.09%	70,476
21	20,560	41.67%	22,523	45.64%	6,262	12.69%	49,345
22	26,289	43.44%	31,326	51.76%	2,901	4.79%	60,516
23	27,481	41.95%	34,922	53.31%	3,102	4.74%	65,505
24	25,334	42.04%	31,414	52.13%	3,513	5.83%	60,261
25	27,580	42.25%	33,530	51.37%	4,163	6.38%	65,273
26	26,761	43.37%	30,507	49.44%	4,440	7.20%	61,708
27	27,593	42.79%	33,580	52.08%	3,307	5.13%	64,480
28	23,048	43.40%	27,192	51.21%	2,861	5.39%	53,101
29	27,723	38.58%	36,214	50.39%	7,924	11.03%	71,861
30	28,936	39.97%	35,454	48.98%	8,000	11.05%	72,390
31	24,097	37.75%	30,470	47.73%	9,270	14.52%	63,837
32	23,195	41.48%	28,399	50.78%	4,331	7.74%	55,925
33	24,386	40.86%	29,099	48.76%	6,198	10.38%	59,683
34	27,224	41.43%	32,330	49.20%	6,157	9.37%	65,711
35	25,876	41.39%	30,212	48.33%	6,424	10.28%	62,512
36	25,966	43.08%	28,607	47.46%	5,702	9.46%	60,275
37	26,945	43.11%	29,988	47.98%	5,571	8.91%	62,504
38	26,010	40.16%	30,045	46.39%	8,707	13.44%	64,762
39	23,705	40.44%	28,727	49.01%	6,188	10.56%	58,620
40	30,364	43.10%	33,765	47.92%	6,326	8.98%	70,455
41	26,450	42.86%	29,896	48.44%	5,367	8.70%	61,713
42	19,067	39.60%	23,223	48.23%	5,860	12.17%	48,150
43	24,769	41.06%	29,599	49.07%	5,949	9.86%	60,317
44	23,363	38.43%	30,345	49.91%	7,091	11.66%	60,799
45	22,077	39.25%	27,956	49.70%	6,213	11.05%	56,246
46	25,264	43.46%	30,107	51.79%	2,761	4.75%	58,132
47	23,526	43.01%	29,465	53.87%	1,704	3.12%	54,695
48	23,339	42.29%	29,075	52.69%	2,772	5.02%	55,186

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-GND-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Gender Report -11682-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Male	% Male	Female	% Female	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
49	25,036	39.78%	29,421	46.75%	8,473	13.46%	62,930
50	26,237	42.22%	30,372	48.87%	5,541	8.92%	62,150
51	21,910	42.22%	25,945	49.99%	4,045	7.79%	51,900
52	25,412	42.98%	30,899	52.26%	2,815	4.76%	59,126
53	25,780	42.39%	29,810	49.02%	5,223	8.59%	60,813
54	25,616	41.03%	29,842	47.80%	6,976	11.17%	62,434
55	23,692	41.48%	27,615	48.34%	5,816	10.18%	57,123
56	26,059	40.04%	31,211	47.96%	7,811	12.00%	65,081
57	28,239	42.20%	34,931	52.20%	3,754	5.61%	66,924
58	24,260	40.69%	31,409	52.68%	3,957	6.64%	59,626
59	26,585	43.05%	31,589	51.16%	3,573	5.79%	61,747
60	23,923	41.34%	30,281	52.33%	3,666	6.33%	57,870
61	25,864	39.90%	33,249	51.30%	5,706	8.80%	64,819
62	29,293	42.86%	35,097	51.35%	3,952	5.78%	68,342
63	21,060	40.47%	26,221	50.39%	4,754	9.14%	52,035
64	24,275	41.47%	29,286	50.03%	4,976	8.50%	58,537
65	27,899	45.30%	32,795	53.25%	888	1.44%	61,582
66	21,793	39.28%	27,139	48.91%	6,550	11.81%	55,482
67	26,016	43.40%	29,107	48.55%	4,824	8.05%	59,947
68	27,901	43.85%	29,775	46.80%	5,949	9.35%	63,625
69	26,968	42.68%	30,382	48.08%	5,840	9.24%	63,190
70	25,035	43.40%	28,472	49.36%	4,180	7.25%	57,687
71	21,779	39.17%	28,170	50.66%	5,654	10.17%	55,603
72	22,843	40.08%	28,866	50.64%	5,288	9.28%	56,997
73	23,357	40.40%	27,645	47.81%	6,819	11.79%	57,821
74	27,876	41.90%	33,146	49.82%	5,513	8.29%	66,535
75	24,262	41.34%	28,903	49.25%	5,522	9.41%	58,687
76	25,532	42.65%	29,731	49.67%	4,595	7.68%	59,858
77	28,160	44.32%	30,815	48.49%	4,569	7.19%	63,544
78	28,404	45.12%	31,465	49.98%	3,082	4.90%	62,951
79	27,844	43.62%	31,783	49.79%	4,209	6.59%	63,836
80	24,395	42.84%	27,682	48.61%	4,865	8.54%	56,942
81	24,610	43.53%	27,746	49.07%	4,184	7.40%	56,540
82	24,507	40.34%	29,644	48.80%	6,596	10.86%	60,747
83	26,521	43.12%	29,157	47.40%	5,830	9.48%	61,508
84	25,022	43.55%	28,482	49.57%	3,952	6.88%	57,456
85	28,301	45.02%	31,112	49.49%	3,455	5.50%	62,868
86	25,678	44.17%	28,507	49.04%	3,945	6.79%	58,130
87	25,769	44.59%	28,378	49.10%	3,647	6.31%	57,794
88	26,426	42.07%	30,672	48.83%	5,714	9.10%	62,812
89	26,518	44.16%	28,719	47.83%	4,811	8.01%	60,048
90	23,416	43.57%	26,558	49.41%	3,775	7.02%	53,749
91	27,325	45.03%	30,523	50.30%	2,835	4.67%	60,683
92	25,361	41.68%	30,445	50.04%	5,035	8.28%	60,841
93	29,248	43.56%	32,868	48.95%	5,032	7.49%	67,148
94	26,893	44.37%	29,585	48.81%	4,130	6.81%	60,608
95	26,650	42.99%	29,443	47.49%	5,903	9.52%	61,996
96	25,595	42.94%	29,619	49.69%	4,399	7.38%	59,613

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-GND-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Gender Report -11683-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Male	% Male	Female	% Female	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
97	27,241	43.74%	29,684	47.66%	5,353	8.60%	62,278
98	27,547	43.03%	31,518	49.23%	4,956	7.74%	64,021
99	20,168	40.63%	24,482	49.32%	4,990	10.05%	49,640
100	20,758	41.07%	25,554	50.56%	4,226	8.36%	50,538
101	23,871	40.65%	29,929	50.97%	4,918	8.38%	58,718
102	29,327	43.37%	31,775	46.99%	6,518	9.64%	67,620
103	28,647	42.95%	33,375	50.04%	4,671	7.00%	66,693
104	28,278	43.19%	33,265	50.80%	3,938	6.01%	65,481
105	26,936	41.54%	32,937	50.80%	4,964	7.66%	64,837
106	24,921	41.13%	30,378	50.14%	5,285	8.72%	60,584
107	24,164	39.87%	31,561	52.07%	4,885	8.06%	60,610
108	23,203	41.94%	27,045	48.88%	5,079	9.18%	55,327
109	25,658	41.85%	30,724	50.12%	4,922	8.03%	61,304
110	24,709	42.22%	29,087	49.70%	4,728	8.08%	58,524
111	26,243	42.64%	30,459	49.49%	4,840	7.86%	61,542
112	23,847	41.41%	29,040	50.43%	4,699	8.16%	57,586
113	29,013	43.57%	33,819	50.78%	3,762	5.65%	66,594
114	30,474	42.23%	35,964	49.84%	5,726	7.93%	72,164
115	27,434	41.67%	32,654	49.59%	5,755	8.74%	65,843
116	29,482	42.94%	33,907	49.38%	5,277	7.69%	68,666
117	29,536	42.40%	35,175	50.49%	4,955	7.11%	69,666
118	28,459	44.64%	31,904	50.05%	3,385	5.31%	63,748
119	28,287	43.68%	32,190	49.71%	4,284	6.62%	64,761
120	29,179	46.25%	32,496	51.51%	1,411	2.24%	63,086
Total:	**3,086,873**	**42.18%**	**3,630,510**	**49.60%**	**601,619**	**8.22%**	**7,319,002**

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-GND-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Age Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	18-25	% 18-25	26-40	% 26-40	41-65	% 41-65	66+	% 66+	Total
1	6,178	9.48%	12,820	19.66%	27,956	42.88%	18,238	27.98%	65,192
2	7,180	11.24%	14,532	22.75%	26,976	42.24%	15,181	23.77%	63,869
3	6,921	11.17%	13,462	21.74%	23,825	38.47%	17,728	28.62%	61,936
4	6,799	13.33%	10,865	21.30%	20,503	40.19%	12,844	25.18%	51,011
5	7,404	12.36%	13,817	23.06%	24,534	40.94%	14,171	23.65%	59,926
6	6,773	13.85%	15,100	30.88%	19,888	40.67%	7,134	14.59%	48,895
7	7,006	11.77%	13,449	22.60%	26,646	44.77%	12,412	20.86%	59,513
8	12,554	20.95%	16,716	27.90%	20,404	34.05%	10,249	17.10%	59,923
9	8,348	13.78%	16,854	27.82%	24,164	39.89%	11,210	18.51%	60,576
10	6,842	12.31%	13,508	24.30%	22,811	41.04%	12,423	22.35%	55,584
11	13,446	23.24%	18,676	32.28%	18,807	32.51%	6,921	11.96%	57,850
12	6,711	11.73%	12,221	21.36%	23,537	41.14%	14,748	25.78%	57,217
13	6,363	10.08%	12,345	19.55%	26,361	41.76%	18,061	28.61%	63,130
14	7,194	17.06%	13,757	32.63%	14,914	35.37%	6,302	14.95%	42,167
15	8,489	14.67%	18,606	32.16%	21,927	37.90%	8,826	15.26%	57,848
16	6,524	10.67%	14,702	24.05%	26,013	42.55%	13,902	22.74%	61,141
17	5,652	7.75%	12,175	16.69%	27,457	37.64%	27,655	37.92%	72,939
18	8,036	11.26%	20,188	28.29%	27,652	38.75%	15,493	21.71%	71,369
19	6,105	7.99%	12,424	16.27%	31,373	41.08%	26,460	34.65%	76,362
20	10,574	15.00%	18,349	26.04%	25,247	35.82%	16,306	23.14%	70,476
21	7,330	14.85%	12,439	25.21%	24,424	49.50%	5,152	10.44%	49,345
22	7,654	12.65%	12,361	20.43%	24,953	41.23%	15,548	25.69%	60,516
23	7,271	11.10%	14,031	21.42%	26,884	41.04%	17,319	26.44%	65,505
24	7,636	12.67%	13,760	22.83%	24,725	41.03%	14,140	23.46%	60,261
25	8,002	12.26%	14,532	22.26%	27,263	41.77%	15,476	23.71%	65,273
26	7,953	12.89%	15,622	25.32%	27,809	45.07%	10,324	16.73%	61,708
27	6,388	9.91%	13,344	20.69%	26,133	40.53%	18,615	28.87%	64,480
28	7,057	13.29%	12,356	23.27%	21,988	41.41%	11,700	22.03%	53,101
29	8,472	11.79%	26,892	37.42%	24,374	33.92%	12,123	16.87%	71,861
30	13,668	18.88%	27,987	38.66%	20,352	28.11%	10,383	14.34%	72,390
31	9,796	15.35%	21,839	34.21%	22,679	35.53%	9,523	14.92%	63,837
32	6,498	11.62%	12,338	22.06%	23,607	42.21%	13,482	24.11%	55,925
33	7,971	13.36%	16,173	27.10%	25,460	42.66%	10,079	16.89%	59,683
34	7,050	10.73%	20,109	30.60%	24,095	36.67%	14,457	22.00%	65,711
35	8,201	13.12%	14,623	23.39%	29,141	46.62%	10,547	16.87%	62,512
36	7,584	12.58%	15,795	26.20%	29,072	48.23%	7,824	12.98%	60,275
37	7,695	12.31%	16,148	25.84%	28,062	44.90%	10,599	16.96%	62,504
38	10,065	15.54%	23,668	36.55%	22,603	34.90%	8,426	13.01%	64,762
39	7,268	12.40%	17,002	29.00%	25,147	42.90%	9,203	15.70%	58,620
40	8,678	12.32%	17,296	24.55%	32,869	46.65%	11,612	16.48%	70,455
41	7,760	12.57%	15,234	24.69%	27,024	43.79%	11,695	18.95%	61,713
42	7,733	16.06%	17,129	35.57%	17,165	35.65%	6,123	12.72%	48,150
43	7,774	12.89%	15,345	25.44%	25,424	42.15%	11,774	19.52%	60,317
44	8,663	14.25%	17,820	29.31%	22,165	36.46%	12,151	19.99%	60,799
45	8,480	15.08%	16,401	29.16%	23,670	42.08%	7,695	13.68%	56,246
46	7,241	12.46%	12,454	21.42%	24,198	41.63%	14,239	24.49%	58,132
47	7,992	14.61%	12,910	23.60%	21,948	40.13%	11,845	21.66%	54,695
48	6,961	12.61%	14,954	27.10%	22,848	41.40%	10,423	18.89%	55,186

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Age Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	18-25	% 18-25	26-40	% 26-40	41-65	% 41-65	66+	% 66+	Total
49	9,224	14.66%	21,907	34.81%	22,430	35.64%	9,369	14.89%	62,930
50	6,662	10.72%	12,987	20.90%	27,100	43.60%	15,401	24.78%	62,150
51	6,556	12.63%	12,303	23.71%	21,191	40.83%	11,850	22.83%	51,900
52	6,432	10.88%	14,110	23.86%	23,396	39.57%	15,188	25.69%	59,126
53	7,697	12.66%	14,616	24.03%	26,818	44.10%	11,682	19.21%	60,813
54	6,232	9.98%	11,189	17.92%	25,647	41.08%	19,366	31.02%	62,434
55	8,192	14.34%	12,938	22.65%	23,394	40.95%	12,599	22.06%	57,123
56	15,471	23.77%	20,676	31.77%	19,778	30.39%	9,156	14.07%	65,081
57	8,609	12.86%	16,203	24.21%	27,995	41.83%	14,117	21.09%	66,924
58	9,084	15.23%	17,257	28.94%	22,653	37.99%	10,632	17.83%	59,626
59	7,464	12.09%	14,610	23.66%	26,396	42.75%	13,277	21.50%	61,747
60	7,602	13.14%	13,978	24.15%	23,551	40.70%	12,739	22.01%	57,870
61	15,767	24.32%	18,984	29.29%	20,181	31.13%	9,887	15.25%	64,819
62	8,093	11.84%	15,962	23.36%	28,652	41.92%	15,635	22.88%	68,342
63	6,814	13.10%	12,529	24.08%	21,524	41.36%	11,168	21.46%	52,035
64	8,357	14.28%	13,065	22.32%	23,314	39.83%	13,801	23.58%	58,537
65	6,525	10.60%	12,387	20.11%	26,351	42.79%	16,319	26.50%	61,582
66	7,480	13.48%	17,535	31.60%	22,515	40.58%	7,952	14.33%	55,482
67	7,068	11.79%	12,820	21.39%	24,739	41.27%	15,320	25.56%	59,947
68	10,347	16.26%	11,499	18.07%	33,541	52.72%	8,238	12.95%	63,625
69	8,800	13.93%	14,858	23.51%	27,960	44.25%	11,572	18.31%	63,190
70	7,295	12.65%	12,326	21.37%	24,163	41.89%	13,903	24.10%	57,687
71	7,751	13.94%	16,908	30.41%	20,238	36.40%	10,706	19.25%	55,603
72	8,422	14.78%	15,350	26.93%	20,557	36.07%	12,668	22.23%	56,997
73	8,288	14.33%	14,843	25.67%	25,799	44.62%	8,891	15.38%	57,821
74	7,945	11.94%	15,116	22.72%	28,001	42.08%	15,473	23.26%	66,535
75	7,515	12.81%	13,684	23.32%	24,653	42.01%	12,835	21.87%	58,687
76	8,058	13.46%	13,182	22.02%	24,305	40.60%	14,313	23.91%	59,858
77	7,339	11.55%	12,502	19.67%	27,295	42.95%	16,408	25.82%	63,544
78	6,612	10.50%	11,774	18.70%	25,352	40.27%	19,213	30.52%	62,951
79	5,999	9.40%	11,291	17.69%	26,598	41.67%	19,948	31.25%	63,836
80	6,842	12.02%	11,965	21.01%	24,692	43.36%	13,443	23.61%	56,942
81	6,656	11.77%	12,019	21.26%	24,482	43.30%	13,383	23.67%	56,540
82	8,226	13.54%	15,822	26.05%	26,096	42.96%	10,603	17.45%	60,747
83	7,317	11.90%	14,017	22.79%	26,760	43.51%	13,414	21.81%	61,508
84	6,839	11.90%	12,928	22.50%	24,486	42.62%	13,203	22.98%	57,456
85	6,376	10.14%	11,995	19.08%	25,829	41.08%	18,668	29.69%	62,868
86	6,651	11.44%	11,709	20.14%	24,430	42.03%	15,340	26.39%	58,130
87	6,652	11.51%	11,877	20.55%	24,456	42.32%	14,809	25.62%	57,794
88	8,557	13.62%	26,942	42.89%	19,977	31.80%	7,336	11.68%	62,812
89	7,083	11.80%	12,085	20.13%	26,452	44.05%	14,428	24.03%	60,048
90	6,069	11.29%	10,594	19.71%	22,598	42.04%	14,488	26.95%	53,749
91	6,925	11.41%	12,309	20.28%	26,379	43.47%	15,070	24.83%	60,683
92	7,243	11.90%	20,601	33.86%	25,495	41.90%	7,502	12.33%	60,841
93	15,490	23.07%	14,027	20.89%	21,769	32.42%	15,862	23.62%	67,148
94	6,707	11.07%	11,717	19.33%	25,617	42.27%	16,567	27.33%	60,608
95	8,055	12.99%	14,119	22.77%	28,886	46.59%	10,936	17.64%	61,996
96	7,525	12.62%	13,109	21.99%	24,632	41.32%	14,347	24.07%	59,613

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Age Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	18-25	% 18-25	26-40	% 26-40	41-65	% 41-65	66+	% 66+	Total
97	6,809	10.93%	12,701	20.39%	27,984	44.93%	14,784	23.74%	62,278
98	8,632	13.48%	15,988	24.97%	28,214	44.07%	11,187	17.47%	64,021
99	10,692	21.54%	15,863	31.96%	17,018	34.28%	6,067	12.22%	49,640
100	6,506	12.87%	18,340	36.29%	19,061	37.72%	6,631	13.12%	50,538
101	8,402	14.31%	18,888	32.17%	23,894	40.69%	7,534	12.83%	58,718
102	7,998	11.83%	30,689	45.38%	21,516	31.82%	7,417	10.97%	67,620
103	8,085	12.12%	14,862	22.28%	29,944	44.90%	13,802	20.69%	66,693
104	7,330	11.19%	18,001	27.49%	26,425	40.36%	13,725	20.96%	65,481
105	7,692	11.86%	17,392	26.82%	28,594	44.10%	11,159	17.21%	64,837
106	8,470	13.98%	18,565	30.64%	25,563	42.19%	7,986	13.18%	60,584
107	8,489	14.01%	19,894	32.82%	24,455	40.35%	7,772	12.82%	60,610
108	6,420	11.60%	14,095	25.48%	23,798	43.01%	11,014	19.91%	55,327
109	7,016	11.44%	15,083	24.60%	26,232	42.79%	12,973	21.16%	61,304
110	6,933	11.85%	12,961	22.15%	24,809	42.39%	13,821	23.62%	58,524
111	7,271	11.81%	13,249	21.53%	26,207	42.58%	14,815	24.07%	61,542
112	7,947	13.80%	15,007	26.06%	24,182	41.99%	10,450	18.15%	57,586
113	6,426	9.65%	12,192	18.31%	26,681	40.07%	21,295	31.98%	66,594
114	7,070	9.80%	19,375	26.85%	27,944	38.72%	17,775	24.63%	72,164
115	7,010	10.65%	17,559	26.67%	25,428	38.62%	15,846	24.07%	65,843
116	7,643	11.13%	18,439	26.85%	26,449	38.52%	16,135	23.50%	68,666
117	6,095	8.75%	13,562	19.47%	27,033	38.80%	22,976	32.98%	69,666
118	6,377	10.00%	12,905	20.24%	25,766	40.42%	18,700	29.33%	63,748
119	9,217	14.23%	13,129	20.27%	23,530	36.33%	18,885	29.16%	64,761
120	5,521	8.75%	10,792	17.11%	24,496	38.83%	22,277	35.31%	63,086
Total:	**942,904**	**12.88%**	**1,831,883**	**25.03%**	**2,971,423**	**40.60%**	**1,572,792**	**21.49%**	**7,319,002**

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Ethnicity Report -11687-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
1	478	0.73%	35,318	54.18%	29,396	45.09%	65,192
2	1,789	2.80%	44,013	68.91%	18,067	28.29%	63,869
3	1,211	1.96%	47,978	77.46%	12,747	20.58%	61,936
4	2,868	5.62%	34,941	68.50%	13,202	25.88%	51,011
5	489	0.82%	32,064	53.51%	27,373	45.68%	59,926
6	2,716	5.55%	31,134	63.68%	15,045	30.77%	48,895
7	1,482	2.49%	41,346	69.47%	16,685	28.04%	59,513
8	1,510	2.52%	47,149	78.68%	11,264	18.80%	59,923
9	1,153	1.90%	50,382	83.17%	9,041	14.93%	60,576
10	1,230	2.21%	39,456	70.98%	14,898	26.80%	55,584
11	1,892	3.27%	36,913	63.81%	19,045	32.92%	57,850
12	1,167	2.04%	41,973	73.36%	14,077	24.60%	57,217
13	1,056	1.67%	48,715	77.17%	13,359	21.16%	63,130
14	2,509	5.95%	29,507	69.98%	10,151	24.07%	42,167
15	3,169	5.48%	41,312	71.41%	13,367	23.11%	57,848
16	1,366	2.23%	43,406	70.99%	16,369	26.77%	61,141
17	1,096	1.50%	45,677	62.62%	26,166	35.87%	72,939
18	1,367	1.92%	48,331	67.72%	21,671	30.36%	71,369
19	856	1.12%	49,278	64.53%	26,228	34.35%	76,362
20	1,328	1.88%	47,856	67.90%	21,292	30.21%	70,476
21	1,521	3.08%	30,002	60.80%	17,822	36.12%	49,345
22	2,557	4.23%	46,269	76.46%	11,690	19.32%	60,516
23	618	0.94%	50,195	76.63%	14,692	22.43%	65,505
24	1,486	2.47%	41,577	68.99%	17,198	28.54%	60,261
25	1,334	2.04%	50,764	77.77%	13,175	20.18%	65,273
26	2,527	4.10%	41,893	67.89%	17,288	28.02%	61,708
27	386	0.60%	48,369	75.01%	15,725	24.39%	64,480
28	2,552	4.81%	37,984	71.53%	12,565	23.66%	53,101
29	2,588	3.60%	45,784	63.71%	23,489	32.69%	71,861
30	3,134	4.33%	45,701	63.13%	23,555	32.54%	72,390
31	2,876	4.51%	35,957	56.33%	25,004	39.17%	63,837
32	1,135	2.03%	42,399	75.81%	12,391	22.16%	55,925
33	2,781	4.66%	39,310	65.86%	17,592	29.48%	59,683
34	1,573	2.39%	47,815	72.77%	16,323	24.84%	65,711
35	2,205	3.53%	43,678	69.87%	16,629	26.60%	62,512
36	1,792	2.97%	39,699	65.86%	18,784	31.16%	60,275
37	2,106	3.37%	41,023	65.63%	19,375	31.00%	62,504
38	2,855	4.41%	38,250	59.06%	23,657	36.53%	64,762
39	2,952	5.04%	38,048	64.91%	17,620	30.06%	58,620
40	1,746	2.48%	51,407	72.96%	17,302	24.56%	70,455
41	2,087	3.38%	42,183	68.35%	17,443	28.26%	61,713
42	4,068	8.45%	28,244	58.66%	15,838	32.89%	48,150
43	2,080	3.45%	39,801	65.99%	18,436	30.57%	60,317
44	3,246	5.34%	38,064	62.61%	19,489	32.05%	60,799
45	3,624	6.44%	34,494	61.33%	18,128	32.23%	56,246
46	1,096	1.89%	47,932	82.45%	9,104	15.66%	58,132
47	960	1.76%	49,557	90.61%	4,178	7.64%	54,695
48	2,355	4.27%	42,167	76.41%	10,664	19.32%	55,186

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-ETH-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Ethnicity Report -11688-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
49	1,928	3.06%	41,016	65.18%	19,986	31.76%	62,930
50	1,433	2.31%	43,616	70.18%	17,101	27.52%	62,150
51	3,002	5.78%	37,110	71.50%	11,788	22.71%	51,900
52	952	1.61%	46,749	79.07%	11,425	19.32%	59,126
53	2,304	3.79%	40,906	67.27%	17,603	28.95%	60,813
54	1,933	3.10%	41,638	66.69%	18,863	30.21%	62,434
55	2,107	3.69%	42,356	74.15%	12,660	22.16%	57,123
56	2,505	3.85%	44,429	68.27%	18,147	27.88%	65,081
57	1,524	2.28%	52,862	78.99%	12,538	18.73%	66,924
58	2,277	3.82%	44,215	74.15%	13,134	22.03%	59,626
59	1,311	2.12%	48,058	77.83%	12,378	20.05%	61,747
60	2,108	3.64%	42,876	74.09%	12,886	22.27%	57,870
61	1,959	3.02%	45,958	70.90%	16,902	26.08%	64,819
62	2,020	2.96%	54,616	79.92%	11,706	17.13%	68,342
63	2,585	4.97%	34,693	66.67%	14,757	28.36%	52,035
64	1,798	3.07%	42,434	72.49%	14,305	24.44%	58,537
65	950	1.54%	46,695	75.83%	13,937	22.63%	61,582
66	3,443	6.21%	34,730	62.60%	17,309	31.20%	55,482
67	1,090	1.82%	45,792	76.39%	13,065	21.79%	59,947
68	2,074	3.26%	46,757	73.49%	14,794	23.25%	63,625
69	2,787	4.41%	46,347	73.35%	14,056	22.24%	63,190
70	2,043	3.54%	39,934	69.23%	15,710	27.23%	57,687
71	3,218	5.79%	37,072	66.67%	15,313	27.54%	55,603
72	2,187	3.84%	37,880	66.46%	16,930	29.70%	56,997
73	2,516	4.35%	36,462	63.06%	18,843	32.59%	57,821
74	1,923	2.89%	48,851	73.42%	15,761	23.69%	66,535
75	2,509	4.28%	40,719	69.38%	15,459	26.34%	58,687
76	1,330	2.22%	45,984	76.82%	12,544	20.96%	59,858
77	1,459	2.30%	48,720	76.67%	13,365	21.03%	63,544
78	1,496	2.38%	47,283	75.11%	14,172	22.51%	62,951
79	747	1.17%	43,112	67.54%	19,977	31.29%	63,836
80	1,142	2.01%	41,064	72.12%	14,736	25.88%	56,942
81	1,072	1.90%	41,822	73.97%	13,646	24.14%	56,540
82	2,441	4.02%	39,449	64.94%	18,857	31.04%	60,747
83	1,330	2.16%	44,144	71.77%	16,034	26.07%	61,508
84	1,888	3.29%	45,372	78.97%	10,196	17.75%	57,456
85	676	1.08%	48,407	77.00%	13,785	21.93%	62,868
86	1,001	1.72%	45,933	79.02%	11,196	19.26%	58,130
87	960	1.66%	46,438	80.35%	10,396	17.99%	57,794
88	2,434	3.88%	43,760	69.67%	16,618	26.46%	62,812
89	1,319	2.20%	46,134	76.83%	12,595	20.97%	60,048
90	1,574	2.93%	42,031	78.20%	10,144	18.87%	53,749
91	1,237	2.04%	45,314	74.67%	14,132	23.29%	60,683
92	4,909	8.07%	41,097	67.55%	14,835	24.38%	60,841
93	1,438	2.14%	51,872	77.25%	13,838	20.61%	67,148
94	1,020	1.68%	49,225	81.22%	10,363	17.10%	60,608
95	1,436	2.32%	45,404	73.24%	15,156	24.45%	61,996
96	2,386	4.00%	45,036	75.55%	12,191	20.45%	59,613

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-ETH-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Ethnicity Report -11689-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hisp	% Non-Hisp	Undesig	% Undesig	Total
97	1,567	2.52%	45,722	73.42%	14,989	24.07%	62,278
98	1,828	2.86%	46,971	73.37%	15,222	23.78%	64,021
99	3,347	6.74%	31,321	63.10%	14,972	30.16%	49,640
100	3,429	6.78%	33,562	66.41%	13,547	26.81%	50,538
101	2,568	4.37%	38,371	65.35%	17,779	30.28%	58,718
102	2,450	3.62%	45,115	66.72%	20,055	29.66%	67,620
103	2,114	3.17%	51,314	76.94%	13,265	19.89%	66,693
104	1,663	2.54%	51,810	79.12%	12,008	18.34%	65,481
105	3,372	5.20%	47,893	73.87%	13,572	20.93%	64,837
106	2,311	3.81%	41,886	69.14%	16,387	27.05%	60,584
107	2,924	4.82%	40,515	66.85%	17,171	28.33%	60,610
108	1,337	2.42%	40,667	73.50%	13,323	24.08%	55,327
109	1,996	3.26%	45,741	74.61%	13,567	22.13%	61,304
110	1,088	1.86%	44,508	76.05%	12,928	22.09%	58,524
111	714	1.16%	47,926	77.88%	12,902	20.96%	61,542
112	3,915	6.80%	39,589	68.75%	14,082	24.45%	57,586
113	1,401	2.10%	48,791	73.27%	16,402	24.63%	66,594
114	1,211	1.68%	46,946	65.05%	24,007	33.27%	72,164
115	1,408	2.14%	42,331	64.29%	22,104	33.57%	65,843
116	1,508	2.20%	43,051	62.70%	24,107	35.11%	68,666
117	1,410	2.02%	45,249	64.95%	23,007	33.02%	69,666
118	609	0.96%	46,022	72.19%	17,117	26.85%	63,748
119	873	1.35%	48,916	75.53%	14,972	23.12%	64,761
120	650	1.03%	49,772	78.90%	12,664	20.07%	63,086
Total:	**226,446**	**3.09%**	**5,191,676**	**70.93%**	**1,900,880**	**25.97%**	**7,319,002**

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-ETH-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Race Report

-11690-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	UR	% UR	Total
1	48,989	75.15%	10,962	16.81%	115	0.18%	182	0.28%	708	1.09%	189	0.29%	4,047	6.21%	65,192
2	36,351	56.91%	18,529	29.01%	201	0.31%	612	0.96%	1,374	2.15%	501	0.78%	6,301	9.87%	63,869
3	43,181	69.72%	12,052	19.46%	131	0.21%	849	1.37%	1,172	1.89%	271	0.44%	4,280	6.91%	61,936
4	29,898	58.61%	14,121	27.68%	89	0.17%	134	0.26%	2,091	4.10%	209	0.41%	4,469	8.76%	51,011
5	32,004	53.41%	22,549	37.63%	167	0.28%	229	0.38%	798	1.33%	329	0.55%	3,850	6.42%	59,926
6	27,539	56.32%	11,120	22.74%	294	0.60%	440	0.90%	2,161	4.42%	452	0.92%	6,889	14.09%	48,895
7	37,181	62.48%	13,180	22.15%	160	0.27%	266	0.45%	1,140	1.92%	284	0.48%	7,302	12.27%	59,513
8	29,416	49.09%	22,080	36.85%	130	0.22%	416	0.69%	1,694	2.83%	478	0.80%	5,709	9.53%	59,923
9	35,017	57.81%	18,792	31.02%	104	0.17%	534	0.88%	1,703	2.81%	333	0.55%	4,093	6.76%	60,576
10	29,746	53.52%	18,833	33.88%	102	0.18%	424	0.76%	1,573	2.83%	307	0.55%	4,599	8.27%	55,584
11	36,237	62.64%	7,055	12.20%	133	0.23%	1,791	3.10%	2,297	3.97%	685	1.18%	9,652	16.68%	57,850
12	29,766	52.02%	21,917	38.31%	91	0.16%	141	0.25%	1,040	1.82%	214	0.37%	4,048	7.07%	57,217
13	50,715	80.33%	5,008	7.93%	128	0.20%	388	0.61%	945	1.50%	246	0.39%	5,700	9.03%	63,130
14	27,619	65.50%	7,084	16.80%	150	0.36%	504	1.20%	1,947	4.62%	368	0.87%	4,495	10.66%	42,167
15	37,419	64.69%	10,895	18.83%	212	0.37%	729	1.26%	2,600	4.49%	490	0.85%	5,503	9.51%	57,848
16	46,223	75.60%	6,945	11.36%	149	0.24%	248	0.41%	1,128	1.84%	230	0.38%	6,218	10.17%	61,141
17	56,496	77.46%	7,142	9.79%	241	0.33%	241	0.33%	983	1.35%	217	0.30%	7,619	10.45%	72,939
18	47,623	66.73%	14,146	19.82%	170	0.24%	473	0.66%	1,247	1.75%	446	0.62%	7,264	10.18%	71,369
19	63,828	83.59%	3,506	4.59%	168	0.22%	306	0.40%	936	1.23%	229	0.30%	7,389	9.68%	76,362
20	56,043	79.52%	4,393	6.23%	148	0.21%	615	0.87%	1,304	1.85%	429	0.61%	7,544	10.70%	70,476
21	25,062	50.79%	4,556	9.23%	208	0.42%	8,583	17.39%	3,585	7.27%	389	0.79%	6,962	14.11%	49,345
22	34,550	57.09%	18,491	30.56%	937	1.55%	109	0.18%	1,918	3.17%	237	0.39%	4,274	7.06%	60,516
23	25,613	39.10%	35,254	53.82%	119	0.18%	99	0.15%	626	0.96%	218	0.33%	3,576	5.46%	65,505
24	29,454	48.88%	23,986	39.80%	113	0.19%	274	0.45%	1,605	2.66%	233	0.39%	4,596	7.63%	60,261
25	32,390	49.62%	25,721	39.41%	324	0.50%	273	0.42%	1,313	2.01%	330	0.51%	4,922	7.54%	65,273
26	41,432	67.14%	9,888	16.02%	161	0.26%	384	0.62%	1,530	2.48%	302	0.49%	8,011	12.98%	61,708
27	24,663	38.25%	33,576	52.07%	1,656	2.57%	215	0.33%	519	0.80%	246	0.38%	3,605	5.59%	64,480
28	36,404	68.56%	9,188	17.30%	150	0.28%	161	0.30%	1,697	3.20%	280	0.53%	5,221	9.83%	53,101
29	35,784	49.80%	20,737	28.86%	175	0.24%	2,540	3.53%	2,160	3.01%	864	1.20%	9,601	13.36%	71,861
30	38,171	52.73%	17,407	24.05%	181	0.25%	3,610	4.99%	1,957	2.70%	1,371	1.89%	9,693	13.39%	72,390
31	18,840	29.51%	29,481	46.18%	172	0.27%	1,402	2.20%	2,028	3.18%	829	1.30%	11,085	17.36%	63,837
32	25,852	46.23%	24,178	43.23%	106	0.19%	163	0.29%	1,034	1.85%	242	0.43%	4,350	7.78%	55,925
33	28,800	48.25%	20,106	33.69%	180	0.30%	561	0.94%	1,994	3.34%	490	0.82%	7,552	12.65%	59,683
34	49,149	74.80%	6,432	9.79%	75	0.11%	915	1.39%	1,713	2.61%	445	0.68%	6,982	10.63%	65,711

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races, UR = undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-RCE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	UR	% UR	Total
35	41,055	65.68%	10,019	16.03%	137	0.22%	1,292	2.07%	2,112	3.38%	453	0.72%	7,444	11.91%	62,512
36	43,728	72.55%	4,402	7.30%	160	0.27%	2,621	4.35%	2,353	3.90%	398	0.66%	6,613	10.97%	60,275
37	45,849	73.35%	6,784	10.85%	148	0.24%	800	1.28%	1,834	2.93%	371	0.59%	6,718	10.75%	62,504
38	21,179	32.70%	29,248	45.16%	132	0.20%	994	1.53%	2,222	3.43%	766	1.18%	10,221	15.78%	64,762
39	28,066	47.88%	19,034	32.47%	158	0.27%	901	1.54%	2,191	3.74%	572	0.98%	7,698	13.13%	58,620
40	52,431	74.42%	6,071	8.62%	150	0.21%	1,702	2.42%	2,187	3.10%	472	0.67%	7,442	10.56%	70,455
41	43,712	70.83%	4,182	6.78%	130	0.21%	3,667	5.94%	3,190	5.17%	467	0.76%	6,365	10.31%	61,713
42	14,479	30.07%	21,954	45.60%	282	0.59%	919	1.91%	3,387	7.03%	716	1.49%	6,413	13.32%	48,150
43	29,587	49.05%	21,144	35.05%	804	1.33%	543	0.90%	2,056	3.41%	593	0.98%	5,590	9.27%	60,317
44	23,713	39.00%	24,764	40.73%	500	0.82%	983	1.62%	2,921	4.80%	811	1.33%	7,107	11.69%	60,799
45	23,281	41.39%	20,762	36.91%	818	1.45%	888	1.58%	3,150	5.60%	621	1.10%	6,726	11.96%	56,246
46	32,025	55.09%	15,897	27.35%	4,952	8.52%	98	0.17%	1,323	2.28%	241	0.41%	3,596	6.19%	58,132
47	11,520	21.06%	15,354	28.07%	22,594	41.31%	261	0.48%	1,404	2.57%	372	0.68%	3,190	5.83%	54,695
48	23,070	41.80%	20,895	37.86%	3,723	6.75%	460	0.83%	2,222	4.03%	416	0.75%	4,400	7.97%	55,186
49	40,042	63.63%	8,180	13.00%	143	0.23%	2,039	3.24%	2,419	3.84%	577	0.92%	9,530	15.14%	62,930
50	42,752	68.79%	10,709	17.23%	119	0.19%	610	0.98%	1,182	1.90%	360	0.58%	6,418	10.33%	62,150
51	34,787	67.03%	8,909	17.17%	214	0.41%	272	0.52%	1,962	3.78%	386	0.74%	5,370	10.35%	51,900
52	39,133	66.19%	13,781	23.31%	585	0.99%	394	0.67%	1,238	2.09%	203	0.34%	3,792	6.41%	59,126
53	41,768	68.68%	9,109	14.98%	261	0.43%	247	0.41%	1,638	2.69%	313	0.51%	7,477	12.30%	60,813
54	45,271	72.51%	6,619	10.60%	152	0.24%	734	1.18%	1,361	2.18%	302	0.48%	7,995	12.81%	62,434
55	33,216	58.15%	14,914	26.11%	128	0.22%	208	0.36%	1,683	2.95%	257	0.45%	6,717	11.76%	57,123
56	42,667	65.56%	5,928	9.11%	159	0.24%	4,212	6.47%	2,116	3.25%	1,082	1.66%	8,917	13.70%	65,081
57	42,339	63.26%	15,500	23.16%	144	0.22%	1,586	2.37%	1,744	2.61%	457	0.68%	5,154	7.70%	66,924
58	19,872	33.33%	29,877	50.11%	220	0.37%	1,634	2.74%	2,074	3.48%	590	0.99%	5,359	8.99%	59,626
59	35,275	57.13%	19,255	31.18%	161	0.26%	425	0.69%	1,127	1.83%	355	0.57%	5,149	8.34%	61,747
60	26,407	45.63%	21,818	37.70%	148	0.26%	1,885	3.26%	2,077	3.59%	476	0.82%	5,059	8.74%	57,870
61	24,045	37.10%	29,531	45.56%	181	0.28%	973	1.50%	1,636	2.52%	907	1.40%	7,546	11.64%	64,819
62	47,707	69.81%	10,494	15.36%	151	0.22%	1,900	2.78%	2,140	3.13%	487	0.71%	5,463	7.99%	68,342
63	28,934	55.60%	14,293	27.47%	135	0.26%	375	0.72%	1,525	2.93%	396	0.76%	6,377	12.26%	52,035
64	42,762	73.05%	7,523	12.85%	139	0.24%	539	0.92%	1,222	2.09%	407	0.70%	5,945	10.16%	58,537
65	43,536	70.70%	11,431	18.56%	111	0.18%	168	0.27%	865	1.40%	203	0.33%	5,268	8.55%	61,582
66	24,148	43.52%	17,973	32.39%	136	0.25%	1,514	2.73%	2,836	5.11%	608	1.10%	8,267	14.90%	55,482
67	45,084	75.21%	7,601	12.68%	125	0.21%	581	0.97%	872	1.45%	129	0.22%	5,555	9.27%	59,947
68	46,736	73.46%	4,971	7.81%	176	0.28%	1,988	3.12%	2,137	3.36%	302	0.47%	7,315	11.50%	63,625

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races, UR = undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-RCE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Race Report

-11692-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	UR	% UR	Total
69	46,279	73.24%	6,058	9.59%	148	0.23%	797	1.26%	2,403	3.80%	363	0.57%	7,142	11.30%	63,190
70	46,247	80.17%	3,818	6.62%	197	0.34%	484	0.84%	1,771	3.07%	162	0.28%	5,008	8.68%	57,687
71	27,348	49.18%	18,453	33.19%	126	0.23%	634	1.14%	1,970	3.54%	497	0.89%	6,575	11.82%	55,603
72	24,400	42.81%	24,460	42.91%	90	0.16%	359	0.63%	1,495	2.62%	446	0.78%	5,747	10.08%	56,997
73	32,752	56.64%	12,864	22.25%	182	0.31%	1,385	2.40%	2,033	3.52%	394	0.68%	8,211	14.20%	57,821
74	48,900	73.50%	8,047	12.09%	103	0.15%	1,219	1.83%	1,716	2.58%	336	0.50%	6,214	9.34%	66,535
75	38,167	65.03%	11,650	19.85%	133	0.23%	458	0.78%	1,608	2.74%	339	0.58%	6,332	10.79%	58,687
76	40,050	66.91%	12,754	21.31%	126	0.21%	301	0.50%	1,102	1.84%	306	0.51%	5,219	8.72%	59,858
77	52,960	83.34%	3,528	5.55%	81	0.13%	171	0.27%	987	1.55%	150	0.24%	5,667	8.92%	63,544
78	53,304	84.68%	3,529	5.61%	178	0.28%	290	0.46%	1,409	2.24%	164	0.26%	4,077	6.48%	62,951
79	47,848	74.95%	10,290	16.12%	88	0.14%	117	0.18%	781	1.22%	165	0.26%	4,547	7.12%	63,836
80	44,556	78.25%	5,057	8.88%	144	0.25%	285	0.50%	846	1.49%	164	0.29%	5,890	10.34%	56,942
81	44,796	79.23%	5,270	9.32%	116	0.21%	380	0.67%	928	1.64%	137	0.24%	4,913	8.69%	56,540
82	37,497	61.73%	12,059	19.85%	125	0.21%	968	1.59%	1,742	2.87%	371	0.61%	7,985	13.14%	60,747
83	48,456	78.78%	4,579	7.44%	113	0.18%	272	0.44%	949	1.54%	180	0.29%	6,959	11.31%	61,508
84	41,473	72.18%	9,149	15.92%	85	0.15%	418	0.73%	1,228	2.14%	266	0.46%	4,837	8.42%	57,456
85	56,754	90.27%	961	1.53%	79	0.13%	136	0.22%	547	0.87%	223	0.35%	4,168	6.63%	62,868
86	48,432	83.32%	3,213	5.53%	90	0.15%	884	1.52%	1,042	1.79%	137	0.24%	4,332	7.45%	58,130
87	49,732	86.05%	2,698	4.67%	73	0.13%	211	0.37%	749	1.30%	160	0.28%	4,171	7.22%	57,794
88	35,303	56.20%	16,993	27.05%	144	0.23%	1,036	1.65%	1,951	3.11%	480	0.76%	6,905	10.99%	62,812
89	48,547	80.85%	3,892	6.48%	73	0.12%	888	1.48%	1,121	1.87%	200	0.33%	5,327	8.87%	60,048
90	45,942	85.48%	1,880	3.50%	62	0.12%	98	0.18%	956	1.78%	130	0.24%	4,681	8.71%	53,749
91	47,093	77.60%	6,732	11.09%	129	0.21%	141	0.23%	828	1.36%	262	0.43%	5,498	9.06%	60,683
92	25,559	42.01%	21,533	35.39%	187	0.31%	2,011	3.31%	3,715	6.11%	526	0.86%	7,310	12.01%	60,841
93	58,478	87.09%	1,011	1.51%	92	0.14%	337	0.50%	808	1.20%	489	0.73%	5,933	8.84%	67,148
94	52,608	86.80%	2,378	3.92%	74	0.12%	235	0.39%	710	1.17%	167	0.28%	4,436	7.32%	60,608
95	48,902	78.88%	4,025	6.49%	118	0.19%	700	1.13%	1,338	2.16%	244	0.39%	6,669	10.76%	61,996
96	44,789	75.13%	5,980	10.03%	67	0.11%	1,316	2.21%	2,139	3.59%	240	0.40%	5,082	8.52%	59,613
97	51,244	82.28%	3,186	5.12%	86	0.14%	221	0.35%	1,039	1.67%	173	0.28%	6,329	10.16%	62,278
98	50,088	78.24%	4,720	7.37%	100	0.16%	935	1.46%	1,644	2.57%	396	0.62%	6,138	9.59%	64,021
99	10,647	21.45%	27,747	55.90%	142	0.29%	1,111	2.24%	2,688	5.41%	696	1.40%	6,609	13.31%	49,640
100	19,179	37.95%	20,500	40.56%	138	0.27%	1,496	2.96%	2,796	5.53%	525	1.04%	5,904	11.68%	50,538
101	16,138	27.48%	32,202	54.84%	147	0.25%	1,288	2.19%	2,080	3.54%	589	1.00%	6,274	10.68%	58,718
102	32,619	48.24%	22,890	33.85%	184	0.27%	1,235	1.83%	2,062	3.05%	712	1.05%	7,918	11.71%	67,620

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races, UR = undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-RCE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	API	% API	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	UR	% UR	Total
103	49,397	74.07%	5,899	8.85%	188	0.28%	2,496	3.74%	2,439	3.66%	368	0.55%	5,906	8.86%	66,693
104	51,780	79.08%	5,548	8.47%	116	0.18%	1,071	1.64%	1,773	2.71%	324	0.49%	4,869	7.44%	65,481
105	42,546	65.62%	8,625	13.30%	283	0.44%	2,988	4.61%	3,388	5.23%	446	0.69%	6,561	10.12%	64,837
106	27,181	44.86%	21,906	36.16%	167	0.28%	1,646	2.72%	2,521	4.16%	552	0.91%	6,611	10.91%	60,584
107	14,470	23.87%	35,656	58.83%	136	0.22%	905	1.49%	2,445	4.03%	589	0.97%	6,409	10.57%	60,610
108	40,005	72.31%	7,345	13.28%	136	0.25%	427	0.77%	1,183	2.14%	286	0.52%	5,945	10.75%	55,327
109	42,167	68.78%	10,498	17.12%	134	0.22%	612	1.00%	1,616	2.64%	352	0.57%	5,925	9.66%	61,304
110	40,001	68.35%	11,760	20.09%	97	0.17%	148	0.25%	857	1.46%	241	0.41%	5,420	9.26%	58,524
111	45,122	73.32%	9,847	16.00%	90	0.15%	221	0.36%	676	1.10%	214	0.35%	5,372	8.73%	61,542
112	26,469	45.96%	19,854	34.48%	178	0.31%	1,078	1.87%	3,109	5.40%	385	0.67%	6,513	11.31%	57,586
113	55,476	83.30%	4,501	6.76%	94	0.14%	231	0.35%	1,072	1.61%	264	0.40%	4,956	7.44%	66,594
114	57,794	80.09%	5,258	7.29%	142	0.20%	395	0.55%	1,350	1.87%	508	0.70%	6,717	9.31%	72,164
115	53,121	80.68%	3,384	5.14%	124	0.19%	520	0.79%	1,433	2.18%	364	0.55%	6,897	10.47%	65,843
116	57,743	84.09%	2,118	3.08%	116	0.17%	391	0.57%	1,420	2.07%	409	0.60%	6,469	9.42%	68,666
117	59,755	85.77%	2,039	2.93%	118	0.17%	402	0.58%	1,063	1.53%	307	0.44%	5,982	8.59%	69,666
118	57,924	90.86%	652	1.02%	148	0.23%	178	0.28%	468	0.73%	189	0.30%	4,189	6.57%	63,748
119	54,109	83.55%	1,534	2.37%	2,765	4.27%	317	0.49%	751	1.16%	379	0.59%	4,906	7.58%	64,761
120	58,300	92.41%	462	0.73%	464	0.74%	186	0.29%	517	0.82%	121	0.19%	3,036	4.81%	63,086
Total:	**4,678,078**	**63.92%**	**1,509,228**	**20.62%**	**55,432**	**0.76%**	**104,253**	**1.42%**	**198,188**	**2.71%**	**46,536**	**0.64%**	**727,287**	**9.94%**	**7,319,002**

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, API = Asian and Pacific Islander, MR = two or more races, UR = undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-RCE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Party Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Una	% Una	Total
1	20,390	31.28%	20,943	32.13%	406	0.62%	23,382	35.87%	65,192
2	29,220	45.75%	14,225	22.27%	293	0.46%	20,077	31.43%	63,869
3	18,800	30.35%	22,866	36.92%	389	0.63%	19,815	31.99%	61,936
4	20,228	39.65%	16,303	31.96%	208	0.41%	14,217	27.87%	51,011
5	27,486	45.87%	13,316	22.22%	312	0.52%	18,746	31.28%	59,926
6	15,897	32.51%	15,897	32.51%	444	0.91%	16,587	33.92%	48,895
7	20,924	35.16%	19,254	32.35%	378	0.64%	18,881	31.73%	59,513
8	27,398	45.72%	13,808	23.04%	416	0.69%	18,217	30.40%	59,923
9	24,787	40.92%	17,129	28.28%	353	0.58%	18,234	30.10%	60,576
10	22,265	40.06%	18,124	32.61%	310	0.56%	14,823	26.67%	55,584
11	20,090	34.73%	11,680	20.19%	568	0.98%	25,436	43.97%	57,850
12	27,446	47.97%	14,933	26.10%	187	0.33%	14,613	25.54%	57,217
13	13,255	21.00%	27,565	43.66%	384	0.61%	21,864	34.63%	63,130
14	9,750	23.12%	15,224	36.10%	571	1.35%	16,540	39.22%	42,167
15	14,060	24.31%	21,644	37.42%	674	1.17%	21,359	36.92%	57,848
16	14,416	23.58%	25,036	40.95%	558	0.91%	21,047	34.42%	61,141
17	18,491	25.35%	27,955	38.33%	372	0.51%	26,073	35.75%	72,939
18	24,553	34.40%	19,673	27.57%	558	0.78%	26,506	37.14%	71,369
19	17,404	22.79%	29,776	38.99%	468	0.61%	28,631	37.49%	76,362
20	19,453	27.60%	22,912	32.51%	603	0.86%	27,413	38.90%	70,476
21	15,374	31.16%	9,655	19.57%	324	0.66%	23,944	48.52%	49,345
22	25,116	41.50%	19,719	32.58%	223	0.37%	15,411	25.47%	60,516
23	41,004	62.60%	11,630	17.75%	176	0.27%	12,649	19.31%	65,505
24	29,012	48.14%	15,386	25.53%	233	0.39%	15,578	25.85%	60,261
25	30,722	47.07%	18,530	28.39%	260	0.40%	15,706	24.06%	65,273
26	17,639	28.58%	22,447	36.38%	475	0.77%	21,050	34.11%	61,708
27	40,437	62.71%	8,669	13.44%	190	0.29%	15,156	23.50%	64,480
28	16,057	30.24%	21,103	39.74%	340	0.64%	15,528	29.24%	53,101
29	38,464	53.53%	7,428	10.34%	390	0.54%	25,510	35.50%	71,861
30	40,070	55.35%	6,227	8.60%	370	0.51%	25,659	35.45%	72,390
31	35,716	55.95%	6,616	10.36%	350	0.55%	21,093	33.04%	63,837
32	31,308	55.98%	10,994	19.66%	177	0.32%	13,402	23.96%	55,925
33	26,437	44.30%	13,073	21.90%	444	0.74%	19,664	32.95%	59,683
34	23,806	36.23%	17,024	25.91%	546	0.83%	24,266	36.93%	65,711
35	19,229	30.76%	19,881	31.80%	482	0.77%	22,861	36.57%	62,512
36	16,720	27.74%	17,378	28.83%	467	0.77%	25,658	42.57%	60,275
37	16,761	26.82%	21,255	34.01%	546	0.87%	23,875	38.20%	62,504
38	36,601	56.52%	5,500	8.49%	431	0.67%	22,169	34.23%	64,762
39	25,789	43.99%	13,484	23.00%	402	0.69%	18,857	32.17%	58,620
40	20,377	28.92%	21,663	30.75%	554	0.79%	27,796	39.45%	70,455
41	19,487	31.58%	14,582	23.63%	453	0.73%	27,128	43.96%	61,713
42	22,365	46.45%	8,782	18.24%	441	0.92%	16,489	34.25%	48,150
43	24,404	40.46%	17,314	28.71%	387	0.64%	18,152	30.09%	60,317
44	27,921	45.92%	12,459	20.49%	453	0.75%	19,881	32.70%	60,799
45	22,914	40.74%	13,679	24.32%	403	0.72%	19,178	34.10%	56,246
46	27,058	46.55%	13,874	23.87%	173	0.30%	16,981	29.21%	58,132
47	32,196	58.86%	7,524	13.76%	145	0.27%	14,764	26.99%	54,695

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
Green and Constitutional party registrations, recognized at the time of data collection, are not shown in this report.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Party Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Una	% Una	Total
48	25,754	46.67%	11,611	21.04%	342	0.62%	17,395	31.52%	55,186
49	23,897	37.97%	13,319	21.16%	548	0.87%	25,082	39.86%	62,930
50	27,023	43.48%	13,670	22.00%	315	0.51%	21,088	33.93%	62,150
51	16,690	32.16%	17,384	33.50%	324	0.62%	17,427	33.58%	51,900
52	21,595	36.52%	17,843	30.18%	372	0.63%	19,243	32.55%	59,126
53	16,543	27.20%	25,170	41.39%	469	0.77%	18,547	30.50%	60,813
54	22,386	35.86%	16,394	26.26%	324	0.52%	23,283	37.29%	62,434
55	22,754	39.83%	18,070	31.63%	229	0.40%	15,997	28.00%	57,123
56	32,376	49.75%	5,282	8.12%	399	0.61%	26,971	41.44%	65,081
57	25,404	37.96%	19,323	28.87%	438	0.65%	21,698	32.42%	66,924
58	32,802	55.01%	9,921	16.64%	290	0.49%	16,542	27.74%	59,626
59	23,987	38.85%	19,969	32.34%	326	0.53%	17,392	28.17%	61,747
60	26,937	46.55%	13,940	24.09%	347	0.60%	16,577	28.65%	57,870
61	35,554	54.85%	7,409	11.43%	407	0.63%	21,362	32.96%	64,819
62	21,973	32.15%	23,299	34.09%	429	0.63%	22,583	33.04%	68,342
63	21,324	40.98%	14,433	27.74%	295	0.57%	15,937	30.63%	52,035
64	17,738	30.30%	21,754	37.16%	358	0.61%	18,637	31.84%	58,537
65	20,211	32.82%	23,234	37.73%	313	0.51%	17,756	28.83%	61,582
66	26,048	46.95%	9,697	17.48%	418	0.75%	19,243	34.68%	55,482
67	15,856	26.45%	26,088	43.52%	261	0.44%	17,658	29.46%	59,947
68	12,833	20.17%	26,630	41.85%	393	0.62%	23,715	37.27%	63,625
69	14,634	23.16%	26,864	42.51%	390	0.62%	21,236	33.61%	63,190
70	10,811	18.74%	29,434	51.02%	380	0.66%	16,990	29.45%	57,687
71	27,196	48.91%	9,931	17.86%	364	0.65%	18,040	32.44%	55,603
72	30,915	54.24%	9,202	16.14%	253	0.44%	16,585	29.10%	56,997
73	19,144	33.11%	17,290	29.90%	411	0.71%	20,911	36.17%	57,821
74	19,180	28.83%	24,222	36.40%	403	0.61%	22,653	34.05%	66,535
75	18,557	31.62%	21,022	35.82%	372	0.63%	18,674	31.82%	58,687
76	18,135	30.30%	22,850	38.17%	296	0.49%	18,507	30.92%	59,858
77	10,391	16.35%	33,827	53.23%	319	0.50%	18,938	29.80%	63,544
78	11,099	17.63%	31,719	50.39%	343	0.54%	19,730	31.34%	62,951
79	21,422	33.56%	21,997	34.46%	277	0.43%	20,082	31.46%	63,836
80	11,372	19.97%	28,784	50.55%	316	0.55%	16,410	28.82%	56,942
81	12,583	22.26%	26,053	46.08%	242	0.43%	17,612	31.15%	56,540
82	18,963	31.22%	20,564	33.85%	487	0.80%	20,656	34.00%	60,747
83	12,000	19.51%	29,459	47.89%	385	0.63%	19,577	31.83%	61,508
84	15,439	26.87%	23,380	40.69%	301	0.52%	18,283	31.82%	57,456
85	12,880	20.49%	29,621	47.12%	303	0.48%	20,002	31.82%	62,868
86	15,333	26.38%	22,646	38.96%	330	0.57%	19,745	33.97%	58,130
87	12,137	21.00%	27,549	47.67%	404	0.70%	17,629	30.50%	57,794
88	27,008	43.00%	12,743	20.29%	553	0.88%	22,445	35.73%	62,812
89	11,172	18.61%	28,468	47.41%	307	0.51%	20,053	33.39%	60,048
90	12,362	23.00%	25,477	47.40%	209	0.39%	15,652	29.12%	53,749
91	15,183	25.02%	27,716	45.67%	319	0.53%	17,390	28.66%	60,683
92	28,052	46.11%	11,711	19.25%	469	0.77%	20,523	33.73%	60,841
93	17,357	25.85%	23,343	34.76%	541	0.81%	25,821	38.45%	67,148
94	12,023	19.84%	30,527	50.37%	248	0.41%	17,760	29.30%	60,608

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
Green and Constitutional party registrations, recognized at the time of data collection, are not shown in this report.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration by Party Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Una	% Una	Total
95	11,698	18.87%	26,156	42.19%	419	0.68%	23,656	38.16%	61,996
96	14,030	23.54%	24,318	40.79%	311	0.52%	20,895	35.05%	59,613
97	12,374	19.87%	29,193	46.88%	359	0.58%	20,278	32.56%	62,278
98	16,403	25.62%	21,876	34.17%	516	0.81%	25,164	39.31%	64,021
99	29,389	59.20%	4,643	9.35%	346	0.70%	15,190	30.60%	49,640
100	25,990	51.43%	7,055	13.96%	378	0.75%	17,053	33.74%	50,538
101	33,782	57.53%	7,559	12.87%	359	0.61%	16,947	28.86%	58,718
102	33,259	49.19%	9,195	13.60%	653	0.97%	24,420	36.11%	67,620
103	18,190	27.27%	22,726	34.08%	436	0.65%	25,286	37.91%	66,693
104	18,503	28.26%	21,903	33.45%	439	0.67%	24,575	37.53%	65,481
105	20,123	31.04%	19,203	29.62%	498	0.77%	24,953	38.49%	64,837
106	27,338	45.12%	11,818	19.51%	437	0.72%	20,934	34.55%	60,584
107	36,776	60.68%	6,216	10.26%	340	0.56%	17,197	28.37%	60,610
108	14,170	25.61%	22,587	40.82%	405	0.73%	18,078	32.67%	55,327
109	17,993	29.35%	23,431	38.22%	417	0.68%	19,388	31.63%	61,304
110	18,876	32.25%	22,219	37.97%	293	0.50%	17,080	29.18%	58,524
111	18,894	30.70%	24,165	39.27%	334	0.54%	18,076	29.37%	61,542
112	25,312	43.96%	13,612	23.64%	350	0.61%	18,236	31.67%	57,586
113	16,268	24.43%	26,052	39.12%	346	0.52%	23,854	35.82%	66,594
114	29,652	41.09%	14,279	19.79%	573	0.79%	27,540	38.16%	72,164
115	21,952	33.34%	17,537	26.63%	544	0.83%	25,691	39.02%	65,843
116	25,803	37.58%	15,634	22.77%	535	0.78%	26,570	38.69%	68,666
117	14,595	20.95%	25,646	36.81%	461	0.66%	28,887	41.46%	69,666
118	20,255	31.77%	20,595	32.31%	437	0.69%	22,382	35.11%	63,748
119	18,876	29.15%	19,564	30.21%	399	0.62%	25,862	39.93%	64,761
120	12,790	20.27%	28,861	45.75%	356	0.56%	21,004	33.29%	63,086
Total:	**2,607,621**	**35.63%**	**2,221,021**	**30.35%**	**46,047**	**0.63%**	**2,436,099**	**33.28%**	**7,319,002**

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020.
Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.
Green and Constitutional party registrations, recognized at the time of data collection, are not shown in this report.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[VR20-AGE-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration Party by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

	Democratic Voters				Republican Voters				Unaffiliated Voters			
District	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	49.30%	45.22%	0.17%	5.30%	91.34%	1.27%	0.18%	7.20%	83.09%	6.23%	0.18%	10.51%
2	36.39%	52.84%	0.30%	10.47%	86.97%	2.26%	0.30%	10.47%	65.50%	13.54%	0.35%	20.61%
3	40.93%	50.44%	0.19%	8.44%	89.78%	1.62%	0.19%	8.41%	73.86%	10.89%	0.24%	15.01%
4	29.33%	58.34%	0.17%	12.16%	88.05%	2.34%	0.15%	9.46%	66.57%	13.38%	0.20%	19.85%
5	26.09%	68.03%	0.35%	5.54%	86.82%	3.98%	0.22%	8.98%	69.48%	17.39%	0.22%	12.91%
6	30.50%	52.01%	0.60%	16.88%	80.58%	2.11%	0.47%	16.83%	57.65%	14.94%	0.69%	26.72%
7	35.99%	53.13%	0.32%	10.57%	85.63%	1.33%	0.21%	12.83%	68.27%	9.38%	0.28%	22.07%
8	24.35%	65.77%	0.18%	9.71%	87.33%	3.67%	0.20%	8.79%	57.16%	19.07%	0.29%	23.48%
9	30.77%	60.10%	0.14%	8.99%	89.74%	2.70%	0.12%	7.44%	64.52%	18.33%	0.27%	16.88%
10	23.22%	67.45%	0.15%	9.18%	87.88%	2.57%	0.23%	9.32%	56.78%	22.20%	0.18%	20.85%
11	53.59%	24.13%	0.26%	22.02%	84.64%	1.64%	0.14%	13.59%	59.62%	7.80%	0.24%	32.34%
12	24.93%	68.44%	0.11%	6.53%	88.26%	3.34%	0.19%	8.21%	65.88%	17.81%	0.21%	16.10%
13	63.18%	27.14%	0.17%	9.52%	89.21%	0.83%	0.21%	9.75%	79.74%	5.32%	0.21%	14.72%
14	35.85%	47.64%	0.33%	16.18%	84.14%	2.02%	0.33%	13.51%	65.82%	12.60%	0.39%	21.19%
15	32.69%	52.44%	0.28%	14.59%	84.86%	2.45%	0.34%	12.35%	65.22%	13.76%	0.44%	20.58%
16	50.08%	39.38%	0.18%	10.36%	88.13%	0.74%	0.26%	10.86%	78.24%	5.04%	0.25%	16.47%
17	59.29%	29.94%	0.31%	10.45%	88.07%	0.78%	0.30%	10.84%	79.09%	5.26%	0.37%	15.28%
18	44.98%	44.54%	0.25%	10.23%	88.02%	1.68%	0.18%	10.12%	71.01%	10.67%	0.28%	18.05%
19	75.17%	14.61%	0.29%	9.93%	89.08%	0.50%	0.20%	10.22%	83.13%	2.80%	0.20%	13.87%
20	70.94%	16.15%	0.21%	12.70%	89.68%	0.52%	0.17%	9.63%	77.27%	4.05%	0.25%	18.44%
21	40.02%	20.48%	0.50%	39.01%	77.89%	0.95%	0.24%	20.92%	46.62%	5.45%	0.45%	47.48%
22	26.87%	62.79%	1.70%	8.64%	88.60%	2.06%	1.10%	8.24%	66.05%	14.76%	1.88%	17.31%
23	19.97%	75.71%	0.16%	4.16%	86.06%	6.76%	0.11%	7.07%	57.68%	26.65%	0.30%	15.37%
24	22.18%	69.51%	0.17%	8.14%	88.16%	3.44%	0.14%	8.25%	59.65%	20.77%	0.27%	19.31%
25	20.80%	70.53%	0.64%	8.02%	89.18%	3.09%	0.18%	7.55%	59.07%	21.91%	0.57%	18.46%
26	41.44%	41.91%	0.33%	16.32%	87.47%	1.23%	0.19%	11.11%	67.08%	10.42%	0.28%	22.23%
27	19.69%	72.36%	3.37%	4.58%	83.65%	7.53%	0.85%	7.96%	61.58%	23.76%	1.43%	13.24%
28	42.16%	44.74%	0.29%	12.81%	90.01%	1.29%	0.22%	8.49%	66.76%	10.91%	0.37%	21.96%
29	40.10%	42.93%	0.24%	16.73%	81.38%	4.23%	0.27%	14.12%	55.09%	15.10%	0.24%	29.57%
30	48.47%	34.10%	0.22%	17.21%	79.96%	5.32%	0.31%	14.42%	52.64%	13.08%	0.26%	34.02%
31	17.32%	65.62%	0.25%	16.81%	72.61%	7.01%	0.26%	20.12%	36.40%	25.97%	0.30%	37.33%
32	26.40%	66.51%	0.17%	6.92%	84.54%	4.81%	0.15%	10.51%	61.00%	20.76%	0.28%	17.97%
33	25.87%	58.86%	0.31%	14.96%	84.94%	2.79%	0.24%	12.03%	53.70%	20.93%	0.33%	25.04%

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, 'Other' includes Asian, Pacific Islander, two or more races, and undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-PBR-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration Party by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

	Democratic Voters				Republican Voters				Unaffiliated Voters			
District	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
34	66.87%	19.68%	0.11%	13.35%	89.06%	1.03%	0.09%	9.82%	72.81%	6.38%	0.14%	20.66%
35	43.25%	39.05%	0.32%	17.38%	85.63%	1.10%	0.14%	13.13%	67.18%	9.83%	0.20%	22.79%
36	59.74%	19.25%	0.35%	20.66%	86.97%	0.80%	0.17%	12.06%	71.13%	3.99%	0.28%	24.61%
37	53.86%	29.86%	0.35%	15.93%	88.13%	0.88%	0.22%	10.76%	73.89%	6.60%	0.18%	19.33%
38	22.93%	62.11%	0.20%	14.76%	70.27%	10.25%	0.29%	19.18%	39.07%	26.47%	0.18%	34.28%
39	25.68%	57.53%	0.27%	16.52%	82.88%	3.01%	0.18%	13.94%	53.07%	19.70%	0.33%	26.89%
40	60.62%	21.64%	0.29%	17.45%	89.08%	0.52%	0.15%	10.25%	73.15%	5.47%	0.20%	21.18%
41	62.85%	14.90%	0.22%	22.04%	86.74%	0.84%	0.13%	12.29%	68.01%	4.21%	0.25%	27.54%
42	10.49%	72.28%	0.45%	16.78%	69.53%	7.44%	0.80%	22.24%	34.82%	30.67%	0.66%	33.85%
43	22.68%	65.92%	1.12%	10.28%	84.29%	3.48%	1.43%	10.79%	50.64%	24.18%	1.49%	23.69%
44	20.15%	66.13%	0.69%	13.03%	78.20%	5.51%	0.96%	15.33%	40.63%	27.76%	0.91%	30.71%
45	17.28%	66.48%	1.21%	15.03%	77.40%	4.03%	1.73%	16.84%	44.22%	25.52%	1.56%	28.70%
46	35.55%	48.50%	9.90%	6.05%	82.39%	2.75%	5.37%	9.49%	63.94%	13.96%	8.90%	13.20%
47	14.12%	39.68%	40.41%	5.80%	41.19%	3.71%	45.36%	9.74%	25.89%	15.29%	41.29%	17.52%
48	20.68%	64.34%	5.85%	9.13%	73.99%	4.60%	6.90%	14.51%	51.30%	21.39%	8.01%	19.30%
49	53.82%	24.39%	0.21%	21.58%	83.80%	1.33%	0.23%	14.64%	62.25%	8.50%	0.25%	29.00%
50	54.88%	34.12%	0.20%	10.81%	87.69%	1.17%	0.21%	10.93%	74.38%	6.20%	0.17%	19.25%
51	44.27%	42.31%	0.41%	13.01%	87.43%	1.10%	0.36%	11.11%	68.62%	9.34%	0.45%	21.60%
52	40.39%	52.27%	0.73%	6.60%	88.72%	1.82%	0.96%	8.50%	74.20%	11.04%	1.31%	13.44%
53	41.66%	43.06%	0.59%	14.70%	86.87%	1.16%	0.37%	11.60%	68.32%	8.95%	0.38%	22.35%
54	60.82%	24.43%	0.26%	14.49%	86.54%	0.84%	0.24%	12.38%	73.99%	4.32%	0.23%	21.47%
55	29.38%	56.08%	0.20%	14.34%	86.96%	1.71%	0.26%	11.07%	66.36%	11.43%	0.22%	21.99%
56	67.19%	13.29%	0.25%	19.27%	81.50%	1.91%	0.17%	16.41%	60.49%	5.55%	0.25%	33.71%
57	41.98%	46.63%	0.25%	11.14%	90.16%	1.86%	0.11%	7.87%	64.15%	14.93%	0.28%	20.65%
58	15.37%	72.77%	0.36%	11.50%	81.28%	5.47%	0.31%	12.93%	39.98%	32.41%	0.41%	27.20%
59	27.01%	63.76%	0.25%	8.98%	90.09%	1.83%	0.21%	7.86%	60.69%	20.37%	0.33%	18.60%
60	22.23%	64.43%	0.23%	13.10%	84.51%	4.48%	0.26%	10.75%	50.79%	22.67%	0.30%	26.25%
61	26.10%	63.33%	0.28%	10.28%	81.17%	8.10%	0.34%	10.39%	39.98%	29.33%	0.25%	30.44%
62	50.08%	35.43%	0.23%	14.26%	90.56%	1.08%	0.15%	8.21%	67.60%	10.70%	0.30%	21.41%
63	29.43%	55.24%	0.32%	15.02%	88.22%	1.90%	0.12%	9.76%	61.15%	13.75%	0.31%	24.79%
64	52.48%	33.13%	0.27%	14.12%	90.65%	0.83%	0.24%	8.28%	72.26%	7.73%	0.21%	19.79%
65	45.02%	47.33%	0.17%	7.49%	89.30%	1.36%	0.15%	9.18%	75.65%	8.57%	0.22%	15.57%
66	27.07%	52.17%	0.23%	20.53%	78.89%	3.66%	0.20%	17.25%	47.71%	20.56%	0.29%	31.45%

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, 'Other' includes Asian, Pacific Islander, two or more races, and undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-PBR-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration Party by Race Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

	Democratic Voters				Republican Voters				Unaffiliated Voters			
District	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
67	50.86%	39.63%	0.15%	9.37%	88.54%	0.76%	0.20%	10.50%	77.61%	6.18%	0.27%	15.94%
68	50.74%	26.84%	0.43%	22.00%	87.71%	0.72%	0.15%	11.42%	69.76%	5.56%	0.33%	24.34%
69	48.81%	30.52%	0.32%	20.35%	88.08%	0.73%	0.19%	10.99%	71.48%	6.49%	0.23%	21.80%
70	56.21%	26.75%	0.20%	16.83%	90.87%	0.49%	0.29%	8.35%	77.17%	4.51%	0.53%	17.78%
71	32.48%	53.42%	0.19%	13.91%	84.13%	3.76%	0.22%	11.89%	54.91%	19.38%	0.28%	25.42%
72	25.76%	63.60%	0.18%	10.45%	86.86%	4.93%	0.15%	8.05%	49.98%	25.85%	0.11%	24.06%
73	30.45%	49.20%	0.32%	20.02%	84.88%	1.94%	0.21%	12.97%	57.19%	14.60%	0.40%	27.80%
74	54.20%	31.69%	0.15%	13.97%	90.20%	0.82%	0.14%	8.84%	72.10%	7.67%	0.18%	20.05%
75	36.43%	49.66%	0.22%	13.69%	88.82%	1.08%	0.18%	9.92%	66.76%	11.66%	0.29%	21.29%
76	35.04%	54.77%	0.24%	9.95%	90.02%	1.68%	0.16%	8.14%	69.69%	13.00%	0.24%	17.07%
77	61.25%	25.53%	0.13%	13.09%	91.45%	0.38%	0.10%	8.07%	81.29%	3.88%	0.17%	14.66%
78	63.33%	24.80%	0.45%	11.42%	92.82%	0.38%	0.20%	6.60%	83.84%	3.26%	0.29%	12.61%
79	52.48%	40.80%	0.12%	6.61%	91.20%	1.11%	0.11%	7.58%	81.17%	6.40%	0.19%	12.24%
80	51.85%	34.95%	0.26%	12.94%	89.75%	0.53%	0.24%	9.48%	76.59%	5.56%	0.28%	17.57%
81	56.14%	32.09%	0.18%	11.59%	90.52%	0.61%	0.18%	8.69%	79.21%	6.02%	0.27%	14.50%
82	34.58%	47.33%	0.18%	17.91%	86.87%	1.61%	0.16%	11.36%	61.64%	12.98%	0.28%	25.10%
83	56.79%	28.81%	0.25%	14.15%	89.22%	0.45%	0.13%	10.21%	76.75%	4.97%	0.23%	18.05%
84	42.68%	46.00%	0.15%	11.17%	90.61%	1.05%	0.13%	8.20%	73.69%	9.70%	0.16%	16.45%
85	87.16%	5.29%	0.12%	7.44%	93.13%	0.16%	0.11%	6.60%	88.32%	1.13%	0.15%	10.40%
86	74.23%	16.14%	0.17%	9.46%	91.21%	0.55%	0.11%	8.13%	81.51%	3.04%	0.19%	15.25%
87	73.56%	17.20%	0.13%	9.10%	92.12%	0.35%	0.13%	7.40%	85.36%	2.84%	0.12%	11.69%
88	36.98%	49.95%	0.24%	12.83%	84.91%	3.11%	0.14%	11.84%	62.76%	13.52%	0.27%	23.45%
89	61.52%	25.98%	0.09%	12.41%	90.19%	0.49%	0.09%	9.23%	78.60%	4.15%	0.18%	17.06%
90	77.83%	11.71%	0.14%	10.32%	91.06%	0.21%	0.12%	8.61%	82.70%	2.39%	0.10%	14.82%
91	54.37%	35.95%	0.22%	9.45%	90.83%	0.51%	0.17%	8.49%	76.99%	6.44%	0.26%	16.30%
92	21.75%	58.40%	0.31%	19.54%	79.69%	4.12%	0.25%	15.93%	47.88%	22.33%	0.33%	29.46%
93	85.71%	3.25%	0.14%	10.90%	91.85%	0.19%	0.11%	7.85%	83.93%	1.54%	0.15%	14.38%
94	76.76%	15.02%	0.10%	8.12%	92.16%	0.34%	0.09%	7.41%	84.65%	2.58%	0.19%	12.58%
95	60.44%	23.75%	0.27%	15.55%	88.79%	0.49%	0.16%	10.56%	77.28%	4.69%	0.19%	17.84%
96	52.42%	31.28%	0.11%	16.19%	90.22%	0.86%	0.07%	8.86%	72.96%	6.51%	0.17%	20.36%
97	68.44%	20.01%	0.18%	11.37%	89.53%	0.36%	0.14%	9.97%	80.55%	2.93%	0.12%	16.40%
98	63.93%	20.73%	0.20%	15.14%	90.43%	0.53%	0.11%	8.92%	77.07%	4.70%	0.16%	18.08%
99	10.14%	74.32%	0.23%	15.31%	68.15%	12.00%	0.37%	19.49%	28.63%	34.34%	0.36%	36.66%

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, 'Other' includes Asian, Pacific Islander, two or more races, and undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-PBR-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Voter Registration Party by Race Report

-11700-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

	Democratic Voters				Republican Voters				Unaffiliated Voters			
District	**% White**	**% Black**	**% NA**	**% Other**	**% White**	**% Black**	**% NA**	**% Other**	**% White**	**% Black**	**% NA**	**% Other**
100	23.06%	60.46%	0.25%	16.24%	76.63%	7.02%	0.21%	16.14%	44.35%	24.59%	0.35%	30.71%
101	12.41%	75.70%	0.22%	11.67%	74.71%	9.02%	0.17%	16.10%	36.16%	34.38%	0.34%	29.12%
102	33.16%	53.66%	0.27%	12.90%	80.13%	5.80%	0.25%	13.82%	56.36%	18.05%	0.26%	25.33%
103	58.71%	23.43%	0.37%	17.49%	89.97%	0.73%	0.15%	9.16%	70.85%	5.71%	0.34%	23.10%
104	65.77%	21.37%	0.18%	12.67%	92.35%	0.76%	0.16%	6.73%	77.39%	5.69%	0.18%	16.74%
105	46.70%	31.14%	0.60%	21.56%	87.55%	1.25%	0.22%	10.98%	63.95%	8.36%	0.47%	27.22%
106	23.05%	61.31%	0.23%	15.40%	83.75%	3.76%	0.34%	12.16%	51.12%	22.04%	0.29%	26.55%
107	10.29%	77.48%	0.18%	12.05%	75.53%	9.85%	0.26%	14.37%	33.83%	37.36%	0.30%	28.52%
108	47.43%	39.00%	0.24%	13.32%	88.50%	0.87%	0.19%	10.44%	71.68%	8.81%	0.32%	19.19%
109	42.67%	43.99%	0.23%	13.11%	89.89%	1.16%	0.15%	8.80%	67.60%	11.67%	0.29%	20.44%
110	40.88%	50.91%	0.16%	8.05%	88.47%	1.36%	0.15%	10.02%	72.61%	10.64%	0.19%	16.56%
111	49.76%	42.67%	0.16%	7.42%	88.77%	1.27%	0.12%	9.84%	77.35%	8.03%	0.16%	14.46%
112	22.74%	60.59%	0.36%	16.31%	84.18%	3.02%	0.33%	12.47%	49.63%	22.08%	0.22%	28.07%
113	68.78%	21.54%	0.14%	9.55%	91.52%	0.77%	0.11%	7.59%	84.36%	3.27%	0.18%	12.19%
114	75.74%	13.60%	0.20%	10.46%	90.23%	0.98%	0.15%	8.64%	79.56%	3.87%	0.22%	16.35%
115	76.39%	11.01%	0.17%	12.42%	88.48%	0.64%	0.13%	10.76%	79.15%	3.27%	0.25%	17.33%
116	83.25%	5.57%	0.18%	10.99%	90.57%	0.52%	0.14%	8.78%	81.35%	2.19%	0.17%	16.28%
117	78.07%	9.56%	0.23%	12.13%	92.01%	0.29%	0.13%	7.57%	84.35%	1.93%	0.17%	13.55%
118	92.14%	2.05%	0.16%	5.65%	93.03%	0.17%	0.24%	6.57%	87.91%	0.88%	0.29%	10.91%
119	80.93%	5.41%	5.57%	8.08%	89.93%	0.25%	2.48%	7.35%	80.80%	1.78%	4.67%	12.75%
120	91.30%	2.03%	1.20%	5.47%	94.49%	0.14%	0.47%	4.90%	90.39%	0.77%	0.80%	8.04%
Total:	**40.74%**	**45.66%**	**1.03%**	**12.57%**	**87.90%**	**1.62%**	**0.47%**	**10.01%**	**66.85%**	**11.40%**	**0.73%**	**21.02%**

Black = Black or African American, NA = American Indian and Alaskan Native, 'Other' includes Asian, Pacific Islander, two or more races, and undesignated race

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections. Voter registration snapshot as of November 7, 2020. Note that voter records that could not be associated with a geographic location were excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[VR20-PBR-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11701-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
1	30,549	61.42%	18,491	37.18%	416	0.84%	78	0.16%	118	0.24%	83	0.17%
2	22,478	44.08%	27,859	54.63%	387	0.76%	106	0.21%	82	0.16%	85	0.17%
3	27,012	58.58%	18,363	39.83%	497	1.08%	79	0.17%	76	0.16%	81	0.18%
4	22,685	60.00%	14,801	39.15%	173	0.46%	57	0.15%	53	0.14%	39	0.10%
5	20,932	49.27%	21,024	49.49%	306	0.72%	69	0.16%	65	0.15%	84	0.20%
6	18,289	56.17%	13,585	41.72%	485	1.49%	85	0.26%	47	0.14%	69	0.21%
7	27,243	56.82%	19,960	41.63%	429	0.89%	94	0.20%	110	0.23%	109	0.23%
8	17,264	41.43%	23,752	57.00%	383	0.92%	96	0.23%	87	0.21%	90	0.22%
9	21,479	47.39%	23,129	51.03%	405	0.89%	113	0.25%	82	0.18%	117	0.26%
10	21,738	53.95%	18,081	44.87%	289	0.72%	68	0.17%	65	0.16%	54	0.13%
11	13,229	31.27%	28,089	66.40%	600	1.42%	159	0.38%	63	0.15%	165	0.39%
12	22,745	53.22%	19,633	45.94%	186	0.44%	42	0.10%	90	0.21%	42	0.10%
13	34,048	68.49%	14,878	29.93%	502	1.01%	77	0.15%	112	0.23%	93	0.19%
14	14,797	61.24%	8,663	35.85%	568	2.35%	47	0.19%	35	0.14%	52	0.22%
15	22,763	62.56%	12,715	34.94%	723	1.99%	69	0.19%	48	0.13%	68	0.19%
16	30,474	66.42%	14,611	31.85%	544	1.19%	83	0.18%	86	0.19%	81	0.18%
17	34,687	60.64%	21,863	38.22%	422	0.74%	78	0.14%	57	0.10%	98	0.17%
18	22,754	43.81%	28,225	54.34%	619	1.19%	153	0.29%	68	0.13%	119	0.23%
19	36,065	60.29%	22,976	38.41%	499	0.83%	96	0.16%	68	0.11%	114	0.19%
20	25,598	48.42%	26,265	49.68%	622	1.18%	154	0.29%	88	0.17%	139	0.26%
21	12,640	31.17%	27,288	67.29%	367	0.90%	94	0.23%	40	0.10%	124	0.31%
22	27,078	59.16%	18,286	39.95%	211	0.46%	41	0.09%	92	0.20%	63	0.14%
23	19,515	40.88%	27,868	58.38%	184	0.39%	61	0.13%	70	0.15%	39	0.08%
24	21,249	49.06%	21,608	49.89%	268	0.62%	60	0.14%	64	0.15%	60	0.14%
25	24,159	48.57%	25,093	50.45%	264	0.53%	71	0.14%	72	0.14%	77	0.15%
26	27,593	56.44%	20,446	41.82%	564	1.15%	115	0.24%	72	0.15%	100	0.20%
27	17,514	39.82%	26,098	59.34%	154	0.35%	69	0.16%	106	0.24%	39	0.09%
28	26,628	66.61%	12,846	32.13%	333	0.83%	60	0.15%	42	0.11%	68	0.17%
29	8,109	14.59%	46,533	83.75%	482	0.87%	169	0.30%	81	0.15%	190	0.34%
30	6,319	13.15%	41,036	85.37%	364	0.76%	137	0.29%	63	0.13%	147	0.31%
31	8,690	18.54%	37,401	79.80%	413	0.88%	149	0.32%	73	0.16%	143	0.31%
32	18,696	44.45%	22,911	54.47%	242	0.58%	60	0.14%	92	0.22%	62	0.15%
33	17,340	36.50%	29,221	61.51%	575	1.21%	130	0.27%	84	0.18%	156	0.33%
34	19,107	35.27%	33,984	62.72%	634	1.17%	136	0.25%	93	0.17%	226	0.42%
35	23,896	46.49%	26,428	51.42%	627	1.22%	110	0.21%	93	0.18%	245	0.48%
36	20,828	40.49%	29,527	57.41%	702	1.36%	113	0.22%	63	0.12%	201	0.39%
37	26,022	50.32%	24,570	47.51%	732	1.42%	122	0.24%	95	0.18%	173	0.33%
38	6,324	14.34%	37,061	84.03%	392	0.89%	139	0.32%	63	0.14%	128	0.29%
39	17,540	37.92%	27,960	60.44%	447	0.97%	100	0.22%	81	0.18%	132	0.29%
40	25,149	42.12%	33,414	55.97%	681	1.14%	111	0.19%	103	0.17%	244	0.41%
41	17,485	34.11%	32,750	63.88%	643	1.25%	128	0.25%	84	0.16%	175	0.34%
42	8,151	28.58%	19,748	69.24%	393	1.38%	98	0.34%	69	0.24%	61	0.21%
43	21,658	50.80%	20,326	47.67%	390	0.91%	97	0.23%	76	0.18%	89	0.21%
44	14,442	37.31%	23,496	60.70%	440	1.14%	117	0.30%	102	0.26%	111	0.29%
45	15,781	42.26%	20,899	55.97%	422	1.13%	111	0.30%	52	0.14%	76	0.20%
46	25,832	64.23%	14,072	34.99%	146	0.36%	42	0.10%	85	0.21%	41	0.10%
47	18,804	56.20%	14,394	43.02%	103	0.31%	54	0.16%	52	0.16%	50	0.15%
48	16,926	46.47%	18,990	52.14%	316	0.87%	81	0.22%	53	0.15%	56	0.15%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11702-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
49	14,670	29.68%	33,704	68.20%	668	1.35%	141	0.29%	59	0.12%	177	0.36%
50	21,540	42.24%	28,793	56.46%	381	0.75%	96	0.19%	84	0.16%	106	0.21%
51	23,774	60.28%	15,116	38.33%	338	0.86%	81	0.21%	70	0.18%	59	0.15%
52	24,769	56.84%	18,241	41.86%	350	0.80%	69	0.16%	66	0.15%	83	0.19%
53	31,020	64.33%	16,473	34.16%	490	1.02%	80	0.17%	69	0.14%	91	0.19%
54	23,857	45.55%	27,826	53.13%	387	0.74%	95	0.18%	95	0.18%	115	0.22%
55	24,161	59.09%	16,226	39.68%	274	0.67%	62	0.15%	94	0.23%	74	0.18%
56	5,725	12.41%	39,659	86.00%	336	0.73%	140	0.30%	75	0.16%	181	0.39%
57	21,454	40.46%	30,714	57.92%	484	0.91%	86	0.16%	119	0.22%	172	0.32%
58	11,753	27.30%	30,715	71.35%	273	0.63%	131	0.30%	66	0.15%	110	0.26%
59	24,566	48.81%	25,087	49.84%	362	0.72%	113	0.22%	98	0.19%	107	0.21%
60	15,545	37.20%	25,597	61.26%	324	0.78%	118	0.28%	105	0.25%	95	0.23%
61	7,732	18.66%	33,049	79.77%	315	0.76%	136	0.33%	80	0.19%	117	0.28%
62	26,245	47.70%	27,925	50.75%	474	0.86%	120	0.22%	111	0.20%	145	0.26%
63	19,003	47.53%	20,385	50.98%	325	0.81%	106	0.27%	84	0.21%	80	0.20%
64	27,053	58.65%	18,440	39.98%	361	0.78%	117	0.25%	86	0.19%	70	0.15%
65	31,301	65.47%	15,992	33.45%	312	0.65%	75	0.16%	55	0.12%	74	0.15%
66	11,967	28.44%	29,340	69.72%	446	1.06%	129	0.31%	60	0.14%	141	0.34%
67	33,869	72.35%	12,456	26.61%	303	0.65%	45	0.10%	83	0.18%	56	0.12%
68	29,961	58.22%	20,681	40.19%	467	0.91%	100	0.19%	84	0.16%	171	0.33%
69	31,582	63.28%	17,607	35.28%	436	0.87%	90	0.18%	68	0.14%	124	0.25%
70	33,424	75.84%	10,146	23.02%	310	0.70%	78	0.18%	60	0.14%	55	0.12%
71	10,972	27.80%	27,837	70.53%	326	0.83%	129	0.33%	77	0.20%	125	0.32%
72	9,066	22.61%	30,346	75.69%	323	0.81%	111	0.28%	104	0.26%	141	0.35%
73	20,899	46.90%	22,913	51.42%	450	1.01%	110	0.25%	58	0.13%	134	0.30%
74	26,847	49.88%	26,059	48.42%	537	1.00%	109	0.20%	87	0.16%	180	0.33%
75	24,701	54.49%	19,943	43.99%	427	0.94%	97	0.21%	60	0.13%	105	0.23%
76	26,943	61.11%	16,534	37.50%	337	0.76%	103	0.23%	84	0.19%	89	0.20%
77	38,630	75.25%	11,973	23.32%	386	0.75%	94	0.18%	133	0.26%	118	0.23%
78	37,320	73.71%	12,751	25.18%	341	0.67%	75	0.15%	55	0.11%	89	0.18%
79	30,867	61.27%	18,891	37.50%	330	0.66%	73	0.14%	133	0.26%	86	0.17%
80	33,169	74.44%	10,747	24.12%	358	0.80%	93	0.21%	121	0.27%	71	0.16%
81	31,459	71.55%	11,880	27.02%	345	0.78%	94	0.21%	122	0.28%	69	0.16%
82	24,058	51.63%	21,777	46.74%	444	0.95%	99	0.21%	68	0.15%	150	0.32%
83	36,166	73.22%	12,551	25.41%	400	0.81%	82	0.17%	75	0.15%	119	0.24%
84	29,288	66.18%	14,382	32.50%	340	0.77%	74	0.17%	82	0.19%	89	0.20%
85	36,117	72.75%	12,899	25.98%	344	0.69%	93	0.19%	110	0.22%	85	0.17%
86	31,019	69.43%	13,118	29.36%	270	0.60%	83	0.19%	117	0.26%	69	0.15%
87	33,590	73.26%	11,707	25.53%	309	0.67%	67	0.15%	84	0.18%	93	0.20%
88	11,925	27.62%	30,352	70.30%	520	1.20%	122	0.28%	72	0.17%	184	0.43%
89	35,214	74.02%	11,749	24.70%	344	0.72%	86	0.18%	83	0.17%	96	0.20%
90	29,453	75.69%	9,046	23.25%	251	0.65%	46	0.12%	54	0.14%	63	0.16%
91	33,612	69.52%	14,132	29.23%	352	0.73%	83	0.17%	94	0.19%	74	0.15%
92	12,594	29.46%	29,403	68.79%	454	1.06%	130	0.30%	60	0.14%	105	0.25%
93	28,949	56.60%	21,307	41.66%	511	1.00%	134	0.26%	111	0.22%	133	0.26%
94	38,020	77.41%	10,573	21.53%	301	0.61%	51	0.10%	93	0.19%	76	0.15%
95	31,205	63.35%	17,249	35.02%	500	1.02%	95	0.19%	86	0.17%	126	0.26%
96	27,891	62.28%	16,197	36.17%	375	0.84%	117	0.26%	106	0.24%	99	0.22%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11703-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
97	36,341	72.33%	13,274	26.42%	373	0.74%	87	0.17%	84	0.17%	83	0.17%
98	24,050	47.92%	25,335	50.48%	477	0.95%	99	0.20%	68	0.14%	156	0.31%
99	4,762	15.28%	25,866	83.02%	263	0.84%	123	0.39%	43	0.14%	101	0.32%
100	7,767	23.50%	24,689	74.71%	293	0.89%	121	0.37%	75	0.23%	101	0.31%
101	9,007	23.03%	29,441	75.27%	331	0.85%	154	0.39%	68	0.17%	114	0.29%
102	8,792	19.44%	35,560	78.63%	483	1.07%	136	0.30%	87	0.19%	169	0.37%
103	23,897	44.99%	28,281	53.24%	533	1.00%	105	0.20%	96	0.18%	204	0.38%
104	20,962	40.40%	29,955	57.74%	530	1.02%	106	0.20%	96	0.19%	231	0.45%
105	19,293	40.66%	27,361	57.67%	464	0.98%	130	0.27%	53	0.11%	143	0.30%
106	13,209	28.86%	31,849	69.58%	399	0.87%	114	0.25%	54	0.12%	147	0.32%
107	6,951	16.62%	34,174	81.73%	319	0.76%	142	0.34%	75	0.18%	150	0.36%
108	28,128	66.05%	13,892	32.62%	354	0.83%	83	0.19%	50	0.12%	81	0.19%
109	27,346	58.11%	19,001	40.38%	412	0.88%	108	0.23%	79	0.17%	111	0.24%
110	29,856	67.80%	13,740	31.20%	236	0.54%	61	0.14%	77	0.17%	66	0.15%
111	32,016	69.39%	13,586	29.45%	311	0.67%	72	0.16%	84	0.18%	67	0.15%
112	16,006	37.66%	25,791	60.68%	360	0.85%	128	0.30%	90	0.21%	130	0.31%
113	33,221	65.42%	16,890	33.26%	372	0.73%	107	0.21%	94	0.19%	99	0.19%
114	18,671	33.40%	36,265	64.87%	484	0.87%	191	0.34%	136	0.24%	155	0.28%
115	21,969	42.97%	28,257	55.27%	524	1.02%	160	0.31%	91	0.18%	127	0.25%
116	21,772	39.85%	31,988	58.55%	452	0.83%	191	0.35%	102	0.19%	133	0.24%
117	31,405	57.42%	22,332	40.83%	553	1.01%	136	0.25%	135	0.25%	130	0.24%
118	30,814	62.02%	18,044	36.32%	437	0.88%	126	0.25%	140	0.28%	122	0.25%
119	27,153	55.49%	20,815	42.53%	509	1.04%	171	0.35%	148	0.30%	141	0.29%
120	35,662	73.07%	12,414	25.44%	336	0.69%	99	0.20%	168	0.34%	124	0.25%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11704-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	29,668	60.43%	17,553	35.75%	1,327	2.70%	546	1.11%
2	21,455	42.48%	27,034	53.53%	1,447	2.87%	568	1.12%
3	25,798	56.52%	17,708	38.80%	1,472	3.23%	663	1.45%
4	21,431	57.35%	14,561	38.97%	814	2.18%	560	1.50%
5	20,178	48.30%	20,128	48.18%	1,007	2.41%	462	1.11%
6	17,294	53.50%	13,154	40.69%	1,335	4.13%	545	1.69%
7	25,714	53.98%	19,598	41.14%	1,602	3.36%	723	1.52%
8	16,593	40.20%	22,973	55.66%	1,254	3.04%	454	1.10%
9	21,116	46.86%	22,296	49.48%	1,242	2.76%	409	0.91%
10	20,623	51.75%	17,569	44.08%	1,079	2.71%	584	1.47%
11	13,789	32.84%	26,337	62.73%	1,581	3.77%	278	0.66%
12	21,536	50.86%	19,285	45.55%	962	2.27%	557	1.32%
13	32,236	65.59%	14,562	29.63%	1,612	3.28%	736	1.50%
14	13,704	57.55%	8,307	34.89%	1,308	5.49%	493	2.07%
15	21,117	58.93%	12,289	34.29%	1,744	4.87%	684	1.91%
16	28,707	63.33%	14,034	30.96%	1,849	4.08%	739	1.63%
17	32,825	58.07%	21,137	37.39%	1,823	3.22%	745	1.32%
18	22,033	42.86%	26,496	51.55%	2,141	4.17%	733	1.43%
19	34,351	58.05%	21,861	36.94%	2,172	3.67%	795	1.34%
20	25,107	48.07%	24,281	46.49%	2,258	4.32%	581	1.11%
21	13,344	33.21%	25,385	63.17%	1,224	3.05%	230	0.57%
22	25,476	56.27%	18,119	40.02%	1,034	2.28%	642	1.42%
23	18,175	38.39%	27,687	58.48%	919	1.94%	562	1.19%
24	20,223	47.06%	21,326	49.63%	940	2.19%	481	1.12%
25	23,138	46.87%	24,608	49.85%	1,062	2.15%	561	1.14%
26	26,238	54.25%	19,497	40.31%	1,921	3.97%	709	1.47%
27	16,389	37.49%	26,051	59.60%	812	1.86%	459	1.05%
28	25,068	63.33%	12,682	32.04%	1,213	3.06%	621	1.57%
29	9,041	16.35%	44,457	80.39%	1,486	2.69%	318	0.58%
30	6,977	14.61%	39,318	82.33%	1,168	2.45%	293	0.61%
31	8,827	18.96%	35,793	76.88%	1,530	3.29%	410	0.88%
32	17,502	41.97%	22,694	54.42%	1,006	2.41%	502	1.20%
33	16,837	35.66%	28,028	59.36%	1,824	3.86%	527	1.12%
34	20,594	38.27%	31,215	58.00%	1,702	3.16%	306	0.57%
35	24,046	47.17%	24,767	48.58%	1,731	3.40%	434	0.85%
36	21,912	42.95%	26,869	52.67%	1,839	3.60%	396	0.78%
37	25,917	50.46%	22,831	44.45%	2,140	4.17%	474	0.92%
38	6,556	14.98%	35,429	80.94%	1,447	3.31%	341	0.78%
39	16,941	36.89%	26,798	58.35%	1,664	3.62%	520	1.13%
40	26,572	44.76%	30,518	51.41%	1,938	3.26%	332	0.56%
41	18,221	35.81%	30,433	59.81%	1,843	3.62%	386	0.76%
42	7,743	27.44%	18,771	66.52%	1,253	4.44%	450	1.59%
43	20,597	48.76%	19,669	46.57%	1,344	3.18%	629	1.49%
44	13,822	36.09%	22,491	58.73%	1,421	3.71%	562	1.47%
45	14,959	40.44%	20,083	54.29%	1,361	3.68%	591	1.60%
46	23,940	60.40%	14,290	36.06%	783	1.98%	620	1.56%
47	16,832	51.87%	14,471	44.59%	688	2.12%	462	1.42%
48	15,704	43.80%	18,321	51.10%	1,231	3.43%	599	1.67%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11705-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	15,778	32.15%	31,311	63.80%	1,699	3.46%	292	0.59%
50	20,930	41.28%	28,050	55.32%	1,274	2.51%	452	0.89%
51	22,354	57.24%	14,780	37.85%	1,306	3.34%	612	1.57%
52	23,582	54.85%	17,321	40.29%	1,401	3.26%	687	1.60%
53	29,543	61.78%	15,961	33.38%	1,612	3.37%	703	1.47%
54	23,545	45.22%	26,752	51.38%	1,286	2.47%	489	0.94%
55	23,123	57.07%	15,764	38.91%	1,041	2.57%	586	1.45%
56	6,575	14.35%	38,104	83.14%	965	2.11%	189	0.41%
57	21,674	41.18%	28,959	55.02%	1,563	2.97%	437	0.83%
58	11,089	25.99%	29,779	69.80%	1,316	3.08%	482	1.13%
59	23,351	46.75%	24,510	49.07%	1,484	2.97%	601	1.20%
60	14,890	36.02%	24,548	59.39%	1,360	3.29%	537	1.30%
61	7,873	19.16%	31,561	76.80%	1,282	3.12%	380	0.92%
62	26,030	47.63%	26,527	48.54%	1,585	2.90%	503	0.92%
63	18,082	45.52%	20,083	50.56%	1,100	2.77%	458	1.15%
64	26,164	57.12%	17,960	39.21%	1,202	2.62%	477	1.04%
65	28,971	61.23%	16,189	34.22%	1,473	3.11%	680	1.44%
66	11,881	28.48%	27,833	66.71%	1,598	3.83%	409	0.98%
67	31,632	68.33%	12,445	26.88%	1,285	2.78%	930	2.01%
68	30,567	59.92%	18,594	36.45%	1,450	2.84%	399	0.78%
69	30,936	62.53%	16,537	33.42%	1,478	2.99%	526	1.06%
70	31,396	71.95%	10,281	23.56%	1,295	2.97%	662	1.52%
71	10,706	27.42%	26,471	67.79%	1,343	3.44%	529	1.35%
72	9,696	24.46%	28,404	71.65%	1,135	2.86%	408	1.03%
73	20,331	46.08%	21,450	48.62%	1,722	3.90%	617	1.40%
74	26,515	49.64%	24,577	46.01%	1,725	3.23%	597	1.12%
75	23,638	52.52%	19,192	42.64%	1,562	3.47%	616	1.37%
76	25,360	58.07%	16,087	36.84%	1,376	3.15%	848	1.94%
77	36,438	71.53%	12,108	23.77%	1,492	2.93%	902	1.77%
78	35,624	70.97%	12,584	25.07%	1,285	2.56%	700	1.39%
79	29,258	58.76%	18,425	37.00%	1,383	2.78%	727	1.46%
80	31,373	70.67%	11,130	25.07%	1,241	2.80%	652	1.47%
81	29,286	66.86%	12,554	28.66%	1,255	2.87%	704	1.61%
82	23,400	50.72%	20,314	44.03%	1,713	3.71%	705	1.53%
83	34,362	70.13%	12,192	24.88%	1,547	3.16%	898	1.83%
84	27,570	62.93%	13,955	31.85%	1,376	3.14%	908	2.07%
85	34,135	69.48%	13,099	26.66%	1,183	2.41%	709	1.44%
86	28,934	65.39%	13,180	29.79%	1,309	2.96%	822	1.86%
87	31,444	69.34%	11,669	25.73%	1,393	3.07%	839	1.85%
88	13,446	31.37%	27,447	64.03%	1,589	3.71%	386	0.90%
89	33,443	70.78%	11,445	24.22%	1,444	3.06%	917	1.94%
90	27,255	70.67%	9,670	25.08%	1,067	2.77%	572	1.48%
91	31,265	65.24%	14,267	29.77%	1,580	3.30%	810	1.69%
92	12,527	29.53%	27,840	65.62%	1,631	3.84%	430	1.01%
93	27,433	54.26%	20,707	40.95%	1,694	3.35%	728	1.44%
94	35,139	72.34%	11,158	22.97%	1,332	2.74%	944	1.94%
95	30,517	62.58%	15,825	32.45%	1,817	3.73%	602	1.23%
96	26,793	60.21%	15,551	34.95%	1,400	3.15%	756	1.70%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11706-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	34,673	69.64%	12,871	25.85%	1,482	2.98%	763	1.53%
98	24,948	50.15%	22,843	45.91%	1,553	3.12%	407	0.82%
99	4,602	14.89%	24,727	80.03%	1,163	3.76%	405	1.31%
100	7,862	23.97%	23,153	70.60%	1,376	4.20%	402	1.23%
101	8,692	22.40%	28,048	72.27%	1,483	3.82%	588	1.52%
102	9,622	21.46%	33,049	73.71%	1,739	3.88%	427	0.95%
103	25,243	47.83%	25,596	48.50%	1,565	2.97%	369	0.70%
104	23,784	46.15%	26,053	50.55%	1,428	2.77%	273	0.53%
105	20,012	42.60%	24,927	53.06%	1,638	3.49%	405	0.86%
106	13,437	29.58%	29,949	65.94%	1,635	3.60%	398	0.88%
107	6,878	16.56%	32,718	78.77%	1,420	3.42%	519	1.25%
108	26,568	63.15%	13,390	31.83%	1,444	3.43%	667	1.59%
109	26,414	56.68%	18,205	39.06%	1,461	3.13%	523	1.12%
110	27,988	64.33%	13,677	31.44%	1,050	2.41%	792	1.82%
111	30,364	66.49%	13,502	29.57%	1,116	2.44%	685	1.50%
112	15,632	37.07%	24,473	58.04%	1,544	3.66%	515	1.22%
113	31,829	63.28%	16,599	33.00%	1,186	2.36%	683	1.36%
114	18,170	32.81%	34,918	63.05%	1,735	3.13%	556	1.00%
115	21,569	42.61%	26,801	52.95%	1,671	3.30%	579	1.14%
116	20,949	38.70%	31,004	57.28%	1,648	3.04%	528	0.98%
117	30,985	57.07%	21,096	38.85%	1,615	2.97%	601	1.11%
118	28,901	58.63%	18,157	36.83%	1,444	2.93%	791	1.60%
119	25,818	53.30%	20,259	41.82%	1,638	3.38%	728	1.50%
120	33,709	69.91%	12,581	26.09%	1,162	2.41%	766	1.59%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 11707-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	19,335	39.12%	29,354	59.39%	517	1.05%	219	0.44%
2	29,387	57.77%	20,885	41.05%	435	0.86%	166	0.33%
3	19,479	42.40%	25,796	56.15%	472	1.03%	198	0.43%
4	15,619	41.46%	21,709	57.62%	218	0.58%	127	0.34%
5	21,550	51.04%	20,093	47.59%	395	0.94%	187	0.44%
6	14,572	44.97%	17,130	52.87%	531	1.64%	170	0.52%
7	21,879	45.66%	25,352	52.91%	496	1.04%	192	0.40%
8	24,415	58.80%	16,476	39.68%	449	1.08%	180	0.43%
9	24,187	53.41%	20,506	45.28%	446	0.98%	148	0.33%
10	19,030	47.41%	20,568	51.25%	348	0.87%	189	0.47%
11	28,914	68.67%	12,364	29.36%	674	1.60%	155	0.37%
12	20,533	48.14%	21,713	50.91%	255	0.60%	152	0.36%
13	16,250	32.83%	32,507	65.68%	500	1.01%	235	0.47%
14	9,150	38.29%	13,986	58.53%	560	2.34%	201	0.84%
15	13,425	37.30%	21,608	60.04%	690	1.92%	269	0.75%
16	15,677	34.37%	29,098	63.78%	602	1.32%	242	0.53%
17	24,044	42.26%	32,022	56.28%	563	0.99%	269	0.47%
18	29,401	56.86%	21,318	41.22%	775	1.50%	218	0.42%
19	25,058	42.04%	33,653	56.46%	633	1.06%	263	0.44%
20	27,745	52.69%	23,948	45.48%	724	1.37%	242	0.46%
21	28,734	71.22%	11,063	27.42%	436	1.08%	115	0.29%
22	19,668	43.14%	25,492	55.91%	279	0.61%	152	0.33%
23	29,071	61.00%	18,255	38.30%	201	0.42%	132	0.28%
24	22,965	53.08%	19,879	45.95%	281	0.65%	136	0.31%
25	26,741	53.85%	22,488	45.28%	287	0.58%	145	0.29%
26	22,115	45.40%	25,853	53.07%	544	1.12%	200	0.41%
27	27,191	61.93%	16,317	37.16%	240	0.55%	158	0.36%
28	14,352	35.94%	25,075	62.80%	357	0.89%	144	0.36%
29	47,229	85.04%	7,597	13.68%	543	0.98%	170	0.31%
30	41,339	86.34%	5,874	12.27%	519	1.08%	149	0.31%
31	38,141	81.50%	7,882	16.84%	597	1.28%	176	0.38%
32	24,459	58.26%	17,068	40.66%	300	0.71%	153	0.36%
33	30,596	64.57%	15,985	33.74%	585	1.23%	218	0.46%
34	35,519	65.72%	17,700	32.75%	647	1.20%	179	0.33%
35	27,993	54.69%	22,438	43.84%	579	1.13%	175	0.34%
36	31,103	60.67%	19,348	37.74%	652	1.27%	161	0.31%
37	26,357	51.12%	24,288	47.11%	711	1.38%	204	0.40%
38	37,593	85.51%	5,655	12.86%	557	1.27%	158	0.36%
39	29,158	63.20%	16,281	35.29%	522	1.13%	178	0.39%
40	35,040	58.87%	23,654	39.74%	653	1.10%	177	0.30%
41	34,277	67.10%	15,921	31.17%	676	1.32%	211	0.41%
42	20,244	71.46%	7,312	25.81%	559	1.97%	213	0.75%
43	21,532	50.67%	20,286	47.74%	470	1.11%	209	0.49%
44	24,531	63.67%	13,136	34.09%	612	1.59%	251	0.65%
45	21,971	59.09%	14,463	38.90%	527	1.42%	222	0.60%
46	15,561	39.00%	23,987	60.12%	210	0.53%	142	0.36%
47	17,028	51.66%	15,612	47.37%	209	0.63%	110	0.33%
48	20,268	56.02%	15,296	42.28%	437	1.21%	179	0.49%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 11708-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	34,765	70.57%	13,690	27.79%	659	1.34%	148	0.30%
50	30,249	59.38%	20,113	39.48%	439	0.86%	140	0.27%
51	16,881	43.03%	21,773	55.50%	409	1.04%	166	0.42%
52	19,810	45.72%	22,819	52.66%	485	1.12%	215	0.50%
53	18,113	37.65%	29,301	60.90%	476	0.99%	223	0.46%
54	29,317	56.07%	22,432	42.90%	380	0.73%	159	0.30%
55	17,191	42.15%	23,037	56.48%	379	0.93%	183	0.45%
56	40,078	87.24%	5,331	11.60%	416	0.91%	113	0.25%
57	32,054	60.80%	19,849	37.65%	596	1.13%	222	0.42%
58	31,595	73.96%	10,353	24.24%	556	1.30%	213	0.50%
59	26,699	53.33%	22,664	45.27%	505	1.01%	195	0.39%
60	26,751	64.59%	13,906	33.57%	518	1.25%	244	0.59%
61	33,370	81.20%	6,960	16.94%	569	1.38%	195	0.47%
62	29,690	54.25%	24,239	44.29%	561	1.03%	236	0.43%
63	21,797	54.59%	17,624	44.14%	375	0.94%	136	0.34%
64	20,182	43.85%	25,297	54.97%	381	0.83%	163	0.35%
65	18,197	38.19%	28,891	60.63%	415	0.87%	149	0.31%
66	30,337	72.40%	10,796	25.77%	577	1.38%	190	0.45%
67	14,482	31.03%	31,644	67.81%	360	0.77%	178	0.38%
68	21,515	42.02%	28,984	56.60%	530	1.04%	176	0.34%
69	18,716	37.63%	30,261	60.85%	538	1.08%	216	0.43%
70	12,108	27.61%	31,204	71.17%	364	0.83%	171	0.39%
71	28,558	72.78%	9,934	25.32%	556	1.42%	193	0.49%
72	30,825	77.47%	8,344	20.97%	449	1.13%	174	0.44%
73	23,830	53.86%	19,631	44.37%	588	1.33%	194	0.44%
74	28,184	52.54%	24,705	46.05%	554	1.03%	200	0.37%
75	21,435	47.48%	22,971	50.88%	539	1.19%	205	0.45%
76	17,964	40.84%	25,335	57.60%	457	1.04%	226	0.51%
77	14,347	28.00%	36,301	70.84%	405	0.79%	194	0.38%
78	15,058	29.85%	34,876	69.13%	358	0.71%	158	0.31%
79	20,163	40.18%	29,409	58.61%	373	0.74%	232	0.46%
80	12,665	28.45%	31,283	70.28%	400	0.90%	163	0.37%
81	13,921	31.70%	29,465	67.09%	397	0.90%	138	0.31%
82	22,678	49.10%	22,659	49.06%	637	1.38%	213	0.46%
83	13,997	28.48%	34,447	70.08%	506	1.03%	203	0.41%
84	15,684	35.54%	27,726	62.83%	520	1.18%	198	0.45%
85	14,684	29.67%	34,187	69.07%	413	0.83%	209	0.42%
86	15,028	33.74%	28,898	64.88%	364	0.82%	253	0.57%
87	13,467	29.49%	31,629	69.25%	405	0.89%	171	0.37%
88	30,287	70.50%	11,833	27.55%	663	1.54%	175	0.41%
89	13,109	27.62%	33,693	70.99%	462	0.97%	195	0.41%
90	11,267	28.98%	27,222	70.02%	283	0.73%	107	0.28%
91	16,226	33.64%	31,362	65.02%	447	0.93%	201	0.42%
92	29,983	70.51%	11,651	27.40%	674	1.59%	215	0.51%
93	22,993	45.14%	27,092	53.19%	618	1.21%	234	0.46%
94	13,106	26.77%	35,324	72.16%	360	0.74%	163	0.33%
95	18,256	37.24%	29,927	61.05%	618	1.26%	223	0.45%
96	17,593	39.36%	26,418	59.10%	455	1.02%	234	0.52%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 11709-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	14,730	29.39%	34,711	69.25%	449	0.90%	237	0.47%
98	25,821	51.75%	23,280	46.66%	615	1.23%	177	0.35%
99	26,103	84.25%	4,238	13.68%	490	1.58%	153	0.49%
100	24,975	75.96%	7,070	21.50%	595	1.81%	239	0.73%
101	29,798	76.52%	8,271	21.24%	642	1.65%	230	0.59%
102	35,325	78.56%	8,591	19.11%	861	1.91%	189	0.42%
103	29,027	54.81%	23,192	43.79%	549	1.04%	192	0.36%
104	30,035	58.13%	20,900	40.45%	554	1.07%	181	0.35%
105	28,000	59.35%	18,395	38.99%	615	1.30%	167	0.35%
106	32,397	71.05%	12,401	27.20%	614	1.35%	183	0.40%
107	34,406	82.58%	6,422	15.41%	627	1.50%	207	0.50%
108	14,953	35.31%	26,742	63.15%	455	1.07%	198	0.47%
109	20,309	43.31%	25,848	55.12%	543	1.16%	193	0.41%
110	15,111	34.50%	28,170	64.31%	353	0.81%	170	0.39%
111	15,130	32.94%	30,315	65.99%	348	0.76%	144	0.31%
112	26,523	62.63%	14,872	35.12%	647	1.53%	305	0.72%
113	18,573	36.73%	31,371	62.03%	431	0.85%	197	0.39%
114	37,124	66.89%	17,365	31.29%	767	1.38%	247	0.45%
115	29,218	57.56%	20,550	40.49%	740	1.46%	251	0.49%
116	33,054	60.91%	20,236	37.29%	765	1.41%	215	0.40%
117	23,818	43.67%	29,868	54.76%	625	1.15%	231	0.42%
118	20,269	40.91%	28,538	57.59%	528	1.07%	216	0.44%
119	22,675	46.52%	25,166	51.63%	657	1.35%	241	0.49%
120	13,696	28.19%	34,169	70.32%	476	0.98%	252	0.52%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,680	63.09%	17,952	36.91%
2	22,645	45.26%	27,387	54.74%
3	27,341	60.52%	17,833	39.48%
4	22,602	60.88%	14,523	39.12%
5	20,907	50.26%	20,690	49.74%
6	18,406	57.53%	13,585	42.47%
7	27,360	57.87%	19,920	42.13%
8	17,671	43.17%	23,262	56.83%
9	22,288	49.77%	22,492	50.23%
10	21,700	54.74%	17,939	45.26%
11	14,284	34.46%	27,171	65.54%
12	22,795	54.11%	19,333	45.89%
13	34,010	70.16%	14,462	29.84%
14	14,748	63.14%	8,608	36.86%
15	22,700	64.50%	12,496	35.50%
16	30,402	67.97%	14,327	32.03%
17	34,298	61.77%	21,227	38.23%
18	23,349	45.99%	27,417	54.01%
19	36,304	62.10%	22,157	37.90%
20	26,374	51.28%	25,059	48.72%
21	13,455	33.90%	26,236	66.10%
22	26,810	59.65%	18,137	40.35%
23	19,406	41.22%	27,668	58.78%
24	21,290	49.71%	21,537	50.29%
25	24,368	49.52%	24,845	50.48%
26	27,765	58.17%	19,969	41.83%
27	17,331	39.86%	26,148	60.14%
28	26,519	67.66%	12,676	32.34%
29	9,430	17.21%	45,378	82.79%
30	7,198	15.21%	40,115	84.79%
31	9,276	20.05%	36,992	79.95%
32	18,527	44.71%	22,911	55.29%
33	17,713	37.80%	29,146	62.20%
34	20,856	39.26%	32,267	60.74%
35	24,943	49.39%	25,562	50.61%
36	22,551	44.80%	27,791	55.20%
37	27,152	53.43%	23,669	46.57%
38	6,871	15.78%	36,672	84.22%
39	17,801	39.01%	27,834	60.99%
40	27,106	46.22%	31,544	53.78%
41	18,820	37.46%	31,423	62.54%
42	8,269	29.52%	19,739	70.48%
43	21,646	51.50%	20,385	48.50%
44	14,598	38.41%	23,405	61.59%
45	15,786	42.96%	20,956	57.04%
46	25,079	63.82%	14,216	36.18%
47	17,117	53.58%	14,832	46.42%
48	16,538	46.51%	19,018	53.49%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	16,095	33.23%	32,335	66.77%
50	22,107	43.96%	28,179	56.04%
51	23,532	60.96%	15,068	39.04%
52	24,597	58.04%	17,784	41.96%
53	30,976	65.40%	16,385	34.60%
54	24,516	47.64%	26,946	52.36%
55	23,977	59.83%	16,100	40.17%
56	6,740	14.88%	38,543	85.12%
57	22,793	43.72%	29,346	56.28%
58	11,895	28.02%	30,554	71.98%
59	24,908	50.17%	24,743	49.83%
60	15,894	38.77%	25,098	61.23%
61	8,402	20.57%	32,441	79.43%
62	27,462	50.81%	26,588	49.19%
63	19,289	48.65%	20,363	51.35%
64	27,602	60.45%	18,058	39.55%
65	31,170	66.26%	15,871	33.74%
66	12,476	30.13%	28,936	69.87%
67	33,459	73.10%	12,312	26.90%
68	30,950	61.82%	19,118	38.18%
69	31,754	65.14%	16,992	34.86%
70	33,380	76.85%	10,058	23.15%
71	11,474	29.69%	27,169	70.31%
72	10,088	25.78%	29,045	74.22%
73	21,391	48.91%	22,346	51.09%
74	27,952	52.98%	24,810	47.02%
75	25,143	56.39%	19,445	43.61%
76	26,960	62.20%	16,382	37.80%
77	38,953	76.83%	11,746	23.17%
78	37,247	75.01%	12,411	24.99%
79	30,818	62.58%	18,431	37.42%
80	33,480	75.66%	10,772	24.34%
81	31,697	72.68%	11,913	27.32%
82	24,579	53.87%	21,046	46.13%
83	36,207	74.48%	12,405	25.52%
84	29,129	67.11%	14,276	32.89%
85	35,918	73.75%	12,784	26.25%
86	30,728	69.97%	13,191	30.03%
87	33,354	74.23%	11,581	25.77%
88	13,663	32.32%	28,614	67.68%
89	35,271	75.08%	11,705	24.92%
90	29,195	76.10%	9,169	23.90%
91	33,530	70.43%	14,075	29.57%
92	13,009	30.94%	29,031	69.06%
93	28,935	58.12%	20,850	41.88%
94	37,557	77.94%	10,627	22.06%
95	31,542	65.75%	16,434	34.25%
96	28,310	63.91%	15,987	36.09%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,359	73.84%	12,883	26.16%
98	25,518	52.16%	23,409	47.84%
99	4,900	15.93%	25,851	84.07%
100	8,234	25.34%	24,262	74.66%
101	9,120	23.61%	29,508	76.39%
102	10,020	22.59%	34,330	77.41%
103	25,885	49.58%	26,323	50.42%
104	24,029	47.43%	26,636	52.57%
105	20,657	44.45%	25,815	55.55%
106	13,943	30.94%	31,126	69.06%
107	7,219	17.47%	34,106	82.53%
108	27,711	66.97%	13,669	33.03%
109	27,481	59.91%	18,389	40.09%
110	29,137	68.00%	13,710	32.00%
111	31,604	69.89%	13,618	30.11%
112	16,334	39.03%	25,518	60.97%
113	33,347	66.72%	16,635	33.28%
114	19,163	34.97%	35,641	65.03%
115	22,629	45.25%	27,378	54.75%
116	22,051	41.14%	31,548	58.86%
117	32,455	60.12%	21,528	39.88%
118	30,851	63.04%	18,084	36.96%
119	27,651	57.52%	20,418	42.48%
120	35,489	74.16%	12,367	25.84%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	18,391	38.00%	30,011	62.00%
2	28,452	57.12%	21,355	42.88%
3	18,450	41.19%	26,338	58.81%
4	15,164	41.09%	21,742	58.91%
5	20,957	50.77%	20,319	49.23%
6	14,085	44.16%	17,810	55.84%
7	21,129	44.75%	26,087	55.25%
8	23,955	58.64%	16,894	41.36%
9	23,520	52.74%	21,079	47.26%
10	18,586	46.97%	20,981	53.03%
11	27,810	67.11%	13,627	32.89%
12	20,228	48.20%	21,742	51.80%
13	15,258	31.50%	33,185	68.50%
14	8,790	37.58%	14,597	62.42%
15	12,887	36.65%	22,280	63.35%
16	15,004	33.61%	29,644	66.39%
17	21,950	39.57%	33,521	60.43%
18	28,334	55.89%	22,359	44.11%
19	23,224	39.83%	35,088	60.17%
20	26,392	51.35%	25,007	48.65%
21	26,811	67.55%	12,880	32.45%
22	19,037	42.60%	25,647	57.40%
23	28,819	61.43%	18,094	38.57%
24	22,623	52.95%	20,106	47.05%
25	26,342	53.59%	22,810	46.41%
26	20,941	44.09%	26,557	55.91%
27	26,887	62.09%	16,416	37.91%
28	13,770	35.36%	25,168	64.64%
29	45,830	83.60%	8,992	16.40%
30	40,397	85.47%	6,866	14.53%
31	37,235	80.62%	8,948	19.38%
32	23,982	57.90%	17,436	42.10%
33	29,949	63.97%	16,870	36.03%
34	33,786	63.43%	19,480	36.57%
35	26,440	52.48%	23,941	47.52%
36	28,748	57.15%	21,553	42.85%
37	24,612	48.54%	26,097	51.46%
38	37,015	85.12%	6,469	14.88%
39	28,526	62.70%	16,972	37.30%
40	32,588	55.57%	26,055	44.43%
41	32,403	64.50%	17,831	35.50%
42	19,901	71.24%	8,036	28.76%
43	21,186	50.50%	20,769	49.50%
44	23,961	63.20%	13,950	36.80%
45	21,253	57.98%	15,402	42.02%
46	15,122	38.69%	23,962	61.31%
47	15,560	49.10%	16,131	50.90%
48	19,351	54.66%	16,050	45.34%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	33,395	68.87%	15,094	31.13%
50	29,379	58.47%	20,863	41.53%
51	16,035	41.66%	22,451	58.34%
52	18,531	43.86%	23,719	56.14%
53	17,340	36.75%	29,844	63.25%
54	27,902	54.25%	23,527	45.75%
55	16,955	42.32%	23,108	57.68%
56	39,018	86.12%	6,286	13.88%
57	30,235	58.15%	21,762	41.85%
58	30,956	73.16%	11,356	26.84%
59	25,690	51.94%	23,770	48.06%
60	25,763	62.99%	15,135	37.01%
61	32,770	80.49%	7,945	19.51%
62	27,583	51.15%	26,347	48.85%
63	21,097	53.41%	18,406	46.59%
64	19,028	41.84%	26,453	58.16%
65	16,993	36.19%	29,959	63.81%
66	29,527	71.43%	11,812	28.57%
67	13,617	29.89%	31,936	70.11%
68	19,809	39.50%	30,342	60.50%
69	17,742	36.35%	31,069	63.65%
70	10,984	25.40%	32,268	74.60%
71	27,235	70.16%	11,584	29.84%
72	28,922	73.49%	10,433	26.51%
73	23,015	52.77%	20,595	47.23%
74	25,199	47.62%	27,715	52.38%
75	20,059	44.92%	24,599	55.08%
76	17,449	40.33%	25,813	59.67%
77	12,878	25.44%	37,740	74.56%
78	13,348	26.97%	36,150	73.03%
79	19,302	39.33%	29,781	60.67%
80	11,715	26.51%	32,480	73.49%
81	12,815	29.41%	30,763	70.59%
82	21,964	48.24%	23,569	51.76%
83	13,671	28.18%	34,847	71.82%
84	15,091	34.88%	28,173	65.12%
85	13,778	28.37%	34,791	71.63%
86	14,313	32.58%	29,620	67.42%
87	12,840	28.63%	32,014	71.37%
88	28,858	68.39%	13,338	31.61%
89	12,742	27.15%	34,187	72.85%
90	10,024	26.17%	28,277	73.83%
91	14,960	31.44%	32,623	68.56%
92	29,179	69.53%	12,786	30.47%
93	21,849	43.95%	27,865	56.05%
94	12,050	25.08%	36,005	74.92%
95	17,037	35.61%	30,805	64.39%
96	16,976	38.34%	27,301	61.66%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,212	28.95%	34,874	71.05%
98	23,976	48.98%	24,978	51.02%
99	25,930	84.39%	4,797	15.61%
100	24,441	75.35%	7,995	24.65%
101	29,559	76.76%	8,947	23.24%
102	34,570	78.07%	9,712	21.93%
103	27,174	52.14%	24,947	47.86%
104	27,662	54.57%	23,029	45.43%
105	26,349	56.81%	20,030	43.19%
106	31,429	69.89%	13,538	30.11%
107	34,066	82.64%	7,157	17.36%
108	14,459	34.66%	27,257	65.34%
109	19,502	42.24%	26,666	57.76%
110	14,664	34.08%	28,366	65.92%
111	14,356	31.85%	30,723	68.15%
112	25,969	62.10%	15,852	37.90%
113	17,196	34.48%	32,675	65.52%
114	36,148	66.11%	18,531	33.89%
115	28,080	56.28%	21,816	43.72%
116	32,207	60.29%	21,215	39.71%
117	22,080	41.01%	31,766	58.99%
118	19,157	39.23%	29,674	60.77%
119	21,335	44.52%	26,589	55.48%
120	12,995	27.24%	34,703	72.76%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11716-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,142	39.81%	28,946	60.19%
2	28,868	58.41%	20,555	41.59%
3	20,024	44.75%	24,720	55.25%
4	15,661	42.61%	21,091	57.39%
5	21,582	52.37%	19,632	47.63%
6	14,324	45.07%	17,457	54.93%
7	21,751	46.34%	25,187	53.66%
8	24,814	60.95%	15,898	39.05%
9	24,785	55.75%	19,673	44.25%
10	18,862	47.98%	20,450	52.02%
11	27,906	68.10%	13,072	31.90%
12	21,088	50.44%	20,721	49.56%
13	15,979	33.39%	31,882	66.61%
14	9,022	39.11%	14,046	60.89%
15	13,236	38.16%	21,452	61.84%
16	15,151	34.27%	29,059	65.73%
17	21,624	39.65%	32,913	60.35%
18	27,968	55.95%	22,018	44.05%
19	23,079	40.14%	34,423	59.86%
20	25,889	51.26%	24,612	48.74%
21	26,778	68.27%	12,445	31.73%
22	19,354	43.39%	25,249	56.61%
23	29,950	64.00%	16,849	36.00%
24	23,364	54.93%	19,168	45.07%
25	29,558	60.38%	19,399	39.62%
26	21,564	45.65%	25,675	54.35%
27	27,455	63.37%	15,871	36.63%
28	14,523	37.39%	24,319	62.61%
29	45,807	84.26%	8,557	15.74%
30	40,425	86.01%	6,577	13.99%
31	37,239	81.02%	8,723	18.98%
32	24,215	58.83%	16,944	41.17%
33	30,302	65.15%	16,207	34.85%
34	34,024	64.73%	18,541	35.27%
35	26,775	53.70%	23,084	46.30%
36	28,886	58.21%	20,735	41.79%
37	25,110	50.04%	25,071	49.96%
38	36,870	85.45%	6,279	14.55%
39	28,829	63.84%	16,327	36.16%
40	33,029	57.02%	24,894	42.98%
41	32,708	65.91%	16,918	34.09%
42	19,867	71.52%	7,911	28.48%
43	21,063	50.58%	20,583	49.42%
44	23,917	63.51%	13,743	36.49%
45	21,245	58.33%	15,178	41.67%
46	15,247	39.21%	23,639	60.79%
47	16,212	51.34%	15,363	48.66%
48	19,799	56.18%	15,444	43.82%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11717-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	33,414	69.81%	14,447	30.19%
50	29,231	58.68%	20,587	41.32%
51	16,327	42.72%	21,892	57.28%
52	18,859	45.04%	23,013	54.96%
53	17,952	38.24%	28,994	61.76%
54	28,174	55.32%	22,751	44.68%
55	16,676	41.88%	23,139	58.12%
56	38,706	86.26%	6,166	13.74%
57	30,431	59.29%	20,891	40.71%
58	30,860	73.44%	11,161	26.56%
59	25,636	52.33%	23,357	47.67%
60	25,795	63.56%	14,789	36.44%
61	32,777	81.16%	7,609	18.84%
62	27,816	52.29%	25,378	47.71%
63	20,977	53.47%	18,253	46.53%
64	18,819	41.73%	26,281	58.27%
65	16,900	36.32%	29,631	63.68%
66	29,594	72.18%	11,408	27.82%
67	13,384	29.49%	32,001	70.51%
68	19,549	39.58%	29,837	60.42%
69	17,408	36.08%	30,837	63.92%
70	10,872	25.31%	32,075	74.69%
71	27,608	71.97%	10,754	28.03%
72	29,537	76.13%	9,261	23.87%
73	22,887	53.02%	20,279	46.98%
74	25,733	49.36%	26,397	50.64%
75	20,251	45.86%	23,905	54.14%
76	17,171	39.96%	25,798	60.04%
77	13,031	25.96%	37,160	74.04%
78	13,314	27.17%	35,695	72.83%
79	20,180	41.37%	28,599	58.63%
80	11,752	26.72%	32,226	73.28%
81	13,129	30.30%	30,202	69.70%
82	21,778	48.34%	23,278	51.66%
83	13,324	27.69%	34,787	72.31%
84	15,211	35.29%	27,887	64.71%
85	13,685	28.59%	34,176	71.41%
86	14,098	32.33%	29,506	67.67%
87	12,479	28.05%	32,013	71.95%
88	28,744	68.92%	12,961	31.08%
89	12,461	26.71%	34,191	73.29%
90	10,135	26.71%	27,815	73.29%
91	15,133	32.12%	31,977	67.88%
92	29,064	69.78%	12,589	30.22%
93	21,640	44.20%	27,324	55.80%
94	11,764	24.75%	35,765	75.25%
95	17,079	36.03%	30,322	63.97%
96	16,768	38.10%	27,239	61.90%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11718-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	13,988	28.70%	34,749	71.30%
98	23,809	49.43%	24,362	50.57%
99	25,857	84.52%	4,734	15.48%
100	24,372	75.67%	7,838	24.33%
101	29,427	76.76%	8,907	23.24%
102	34,198	77.99%	9,651	22.01%
103	26,927	52.32%	24,539	47.68%
104	27,453	55.03%	22,435	44.97%
105	26,260	57.29%	19,579	42.71%
106	31,309	70.18%	13,305	29.82%
107	34,011	82.86%	7,035	17.14%
108	14,151	34.54%	26,823	65.46%
109	19,032	41.98%	26,301	58.02%
110	14,328	33.72%	28,162	66.28%
111	14,254	31.77%	30,606	68.23%
112	25,802	62.17%	15,702	37.83%
113	17,164	34.71%	32,287	65.29%
114	36,025	66.44%	18,195	33.56%
115	27,948	56.54%	21,483	43.46%
116	32,121	60.59%	20,895	39.41%
117	22,096	41.38%	31,308	58.62%
118	19,183	39.57%	29,292	60.43%
119	21,452	45.16%	26,054	54.84%
120	13,223	27.91%	34,149	72.09%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,683	63.72%	17,469	36.28%
2	24,230	48.67%	25,552	51.33%
3	27,533	61.40%	17,307	38.60%
4	23,008	62.22%	13,973	37.78%
5	21,057	51.02%	20,215	48.98%
6	18,967	59.48%	12,921	40.52%
7	28,839	61.30%	18,203	38.70%
8	18,019	44.31%	22,647	55.69%
9	22,755	51.31%	21,597	48.69%
10	22,440	56.80%	17,065	43.20%
11	16,775	40.77%	24,375	59.23%
12	23,094	54.99%	18,905	45.01%
13	34,678	71.82%	13,608	28.18%
14	14,626	63.37%	8,455	36.63%
15	22,463	64.62%	12,297	35.38%
16	30,319	68.51%	13,936	31.49%
17	34,376	63.01%	20,183	36.99%
18	23,507	46.85%	26,665	53.15%
19	36,410	63.18%	21,220	36.82%
20	26,750	52.69%	24,018	47.31%
21	14,677	37.31%	24,656	62.69%
22	27,427	61.22%	17,377	38.78%
23	19,929	42.44%	27,025	57.56%
24	22,153	51.85%	20,570	48.15%
25	25,404	51.67%	23,764	48.33%
26	29,448	61.77%	18,222	38.23%
27	17,911	41.26%	25,494	58.74%
28	27,837	70.91%	11,419	29.09%
29	11,331	20.88%	42,938	79.12%
30	8,563	18.26%	38,321	81.74%
31	10,393	22.66%	35,467	77.34%
32	19,627	47.47%	21,721	52.53%
33	19,943	42.74%	26,723	57.26%
34	25,439	48.12%	27,422	51.88%
35	26,828	53.59%	23,237	46.41%
36	24,711	49.57%	25,137	50.43%
37	29,433	58.33%	21,029	41.67%
38	8,675	20.06%	34,579	79.94%
39	19,867	43.73%	25,565	56.27%
40	30,582	52.49%	27,682	47.51%
41	21,815	43.73%	28,065	56.27%
42	8,440	30.32%	19,399	69.68%
43	22,170	52.94%	19,708	47.06%
44	15,038	39.81%	22,734	60.19%
45	16,078	44.00%	20,467	56.00%
46	24,976	63.96%	14,074	36.04%
47	16,905	53.61%	14,631	46.39%
48	16,761	47.54%	18,498	52.46%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	19,472	40.48%	28,630	59.52%
50	23,983	47.87%	26,119	52.13%
51	24,815	64.41%	13,711	35.59%
52	25,163	59.88%	16,857	40.12%
53	32,704	69.14%	14,596	30.86%
54	26,368	51.45%	24,878	48.55%
55	24,182	60.60%	15,725	39.40%
56	8,097	18.09%	36,655	81.91%
57	25,224	48.67%	26,604	51.33%
58	12,888	30.53%	29,328	69.47%
59	26,967	54.34%	22,661	45.66%
60	16,695	40.94%	24,085	59.06%
61	9,802	24.16%	30,771	75.84%
62	29,231	54.46%	24,442	45.54%
63	20,185	51.12%	19,303	48.88%
64	29,025	63.84%	16,440	36.16%
65	32,616	69.49%	14,323	30.51%
66	14,320	34.79%	26,847	65.21%
67	34,043	74.51%	11,647	25.49%
68	30,801	62.30%	18,641	37.70%
69	31,946	66.15%	16,346	33.85%
70	33,966	78.53%	9,288	21.47%
71	12,287	31.96%	26,162	68.04%
72	10,946	28.21%	27,857	71.79%
73	21,663	50.09%	21,589	49.91%
74	29,448	56.23%	22,920	43.77%
75	25,946	58.46%	18,437	41.54%
76	27,716	64.36%	15,345	35.64%
77	40,057	79.28%	10,467	20.72%
78	38,320	77.55%	11,095	22.45%
79	31,210	63.84%	17,676	36.16%
80	34,335	77.70%	9,857	22.30%
81	32,710	75.18%	10,797	24.82%
82	24,973	55.28%	20,200	44.72%
83	36,780	76.21%	11,480	23.79%
84	29,614	68.39%	13,690	31.61%
85	36,185	74.82%	12,180	25.18%
86	30,950	70.83%	12,744	29.17%
87	33,620	75.46%	10,933	24.54%
88	14,333	34.27%	27,494	65.73%
89	35,615	76.12%	11,175	23.88%
90	29,920	78.21%	8,336	21.79%
91	34,297	72.41%	13,066	27.59%
92	13,132	31.50%	28,558	68.50%
93	29,898	60.50%	19,521	39.50%
94	37,981	79.30%	9,912	20.70%
95	31,479	66.37%	15,950	33.63%
96	28,731	65.13%	15,383	34.87%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,248	74.16%	12,633	25.84%
98	25,646	53.11%	22,640	46.89%
99	5,004	16.34%	25,623	83.66%
100	8,528	26.44%	23,726	73.56%
101	9,288	24.18%	29,117	75.82%
102	10,559	24.01%	33,418	75.99%
103	26,453	51.33%	25,084	48.67%
104	25,217	50.41%	24,806	49.59%
105	21,014	45.81%	24,855	54.19%
106	14,205	31.82%	30,441	68.18%
107	7,393	17.98%	33,732	82.02%
108	27,748	67.11%	13,598	32.89%
109	27,597	60.42%	18,076	39.58%
110	29,459	68.63%	13,466	31.37%
111	31,829	70.72%	13,178	29.28%
112	16,515	39.71%	25,069	60.29%
113	33,584	67.71%	16,013	32.29%
114	19,889	36.52%	34,574	63.48%
115	23,277	46.89%	26,370	53.11%
116	22,613	42.48%	30,621	57.52%
117	33,301	62.11%	20,317	37.89%
118	31,917	65.36%	16,915	34.64%
119	27,955	58.56%	19,779	41.44%
120	35,232	74.22%	12,236	25.78%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	29,661	61.81%	18,328	38.19%
2	21,744	44.04%	27,634	55.96%
3	26,292	58.83%	18,402	41.17%
4	21,888	59.42%	14,951	40.58%
5	20,059	48.75%	21,087	51.25%
6	17,932	56.44%	13,841	43.56%
7	26,591	56.75%	20,266	43.25%
8	16,873	41.53%	23,755	58.47%
9	21,216	47.77%	23,194	52.23%
10	21,237	53.96%	18,117	46.04%
11	13,980	34.26%	26,824	65.74%
12	21,914	52.31%	19,981	47.69%
13	32,940	68.84%	14,907	31.16%
14	14,089	61.27%	8,905	38.73%
15	21,576	62.33%	13,038	37.67%
16	29,164	66.09%	14,964	33.91%
17	33,266	60.83%	21,422	39.17%
18	22,420	44.72%	27,718	55.28%
19	35,189	61.12%	22,389	38.88%
20	25,341	50.05%	25,293	49.95%
21	12,933	33.12%	26,112	66.88%
22	26,008	58.29%	18,610	41.71%
23	18,672	39.84%	28,196	60.16%
24	20,799	48.89%	21,745	51.11%
25	23,871	48.79%	25,056	51.21%
26	27,008	57.21%	20,203	42.79%
27	16,884	39.00%	26,411	61.00%
28	25,860	66.60%	12,970	33.40%
29	9,167	16.88%	45,127	83.12%
30	7,105	15.14%	39,823	84.86%
31	8,975	19.52%	37,004	80.48%
32	18,030	43.80%	23,139	56.20%
33	17,196	37.14%	29,109	62.86%
34	20,640	39.56%	31,529	60.44%
35	24,309	48.93%	25,373	51.07%
36	21,804	44.10%	27,640	55.90%
37	26,523	53.06%	23,465	46.94%
38	6,634	15.40%	36,449	84.60%
39	17,299	38.40%	27,751	61.60%
40	26,698	46.27%	30,999	53.73%
41	18,258	36.98%	31,109	63.02%
42	7,988	28.68%	19,863	71.32%
43	21,103	50.51%	20,675	49.49%
44	14,165	37.53%	23,582	62.47%
45	15,403	42.15%	21,139	57.85%
46	23,988	61.68%	14,905	38.32%
47	15,851	50.23%	15,705	49.77%
48	15,833	44.90%	19,428	55.10%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	15,682	32.93%	31,942	67.07%
50	21,537	43.21%	28,310	56.79%
51	22,894	60.07%	15,221	39.93%
52	23,813	56.95%	18,002	43.05%
53	30,437	64.80%	16,535	35.20%
54	24,003	47.05%	27,009	52.95%
55	23,566	59.14%	16,280	40.86%
56	6,590	14.71%	38,218	85.29%
57	22,070	43.03%	29,214	56.97%
58	11,394	27.10%	30,646	72.90%
59	24,085	49.10%	24,964	50.90%
60	15,290	37.69%	25,279	62.31%
61	8,051	19.96%	32,279	80.04%
62	26,569	50.00%	26,565	50.00%
63	18,718	47.65%	20,561	52.35%
64	26,981	59.75%	18,177	40.25%
65	30,328	65.17%	16,206	34.83%
66	12,115	29.64%	28,759	70.36%
67	32,803	72.24%	12,606	27.76%
68	30,359	61.51%	18,995	38.49%
69	31,164	64.64%	17,044	35.36%
70	32,525	75.87%	10,343	24.13%
71	11,069	28.91%	27,223	71.09%
72	9,822	25.40%	28,843	74.60%
73	20,683	48.07%	22,348	51.93%
74	27,293	52.47%	24,719	47.53%
75	24,498	55.50%	19,645	44.50%
76	26,439	61.54%	16,524	38.46%
77	38,257	76.14%	11,991	23.86%
78	36,487	74.53%	12,468	25.47%
79	29,599	60.71%	19,156	39.29%
80	32,969	74.92%	11,035	25.08%
81	31,147	71.93%	12,156	28.07%
82	23,860	53.08%	21,088	46.92%
83	35,517	73.89%	12,553	26.11%
84	28,564	66.16%	14,610	33.84%
85	35,844	73.95%	12,628	26.05%
86	30,191	69.09%	13,504	30.91%
87	32,625	73.27%	11,900	26.73%
88	13,574	32.55%	28,127	67.45%
89	34,796	74.56%	11,873	25.44%
90	28,543	75.11%	9,461	24.89%
91	32,649	69.30%	14,465	30.70%
92	12,697	30.46%	28,989	69.54%
93	28,279	57.62%	20,798	42.38%
94	36,567	76.80%	11,047	23.20%
95	30,835	65.17%	16,482	34.83%
96	27,868	63.29%	16,163	36.71%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,586	73.04%	13,133	26.96%
98	24,871	51.69%	23,241	48.31%
99	4,731	15.45%	25,884	84.55%
100	7,970	24.72%	24,269	75.28%
101	8,904	23.21%	29,467	76.79%
102	9,870	22.51%	33,986	77.49%
103	25,307	49.25%	26,075	50.75%
104	23,692	47.61%	26,073	52.39%
105	20,041	43.81%	25,706	56.19%
106	13,510	30.30%	31,077	69.70%
107	6,973	16.97%	34,108	83.03%
108	27,205	66.08%	13,965	33.92%
109	26,850	59.03%	18,635	40.97%
110	28,722	67.26%	13,978	32.74%
111	31,061	69.20%	13,827	30.80%
112	15,896	38.29%	25,614	61.71%
113	32,856	66.36%	16,659	33.64%
114	18,650	34.40%	35,570	65.60%
115	22,020	44.58%	27,375	55.42%
116	21,420	40.43%	31,567	59.57%
117	31,864	59.66%	21,546	40.34%
118	29,870	61.68%	18,554	38.32%
119	26,681	56.14%	20,844	43.86%
120	34,534	72.95%	12,808	27.05%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,171	39.78%	29,017	60.22%
2	29,010	58.48%	20,595	41.52%
3	19,168	42.82%	25,598	57.18%
4	15,919	43.14%	20,979	56.86%
5	21,675	52.47%	19,631	47.53%
6	14,840	46.54%	17,045	53.46%
7	21,809	46.32%	25,274	53.68%
8	24,457	60.09%	16,245	39.91%
9	24,189	54.38%	20,293	45.62%
10	19,224	48.71%	20,243	51.29%
11	27,967	68.02%	13,148	31.98%
12	21,082	50.27%	20,859	49.73%
13	15,934	33.20%	32,063	66.80%
14	9,004	38.90%	14,144	61.10%
15	13,452	38.57%	21,426	61.43%
16	15,338	34.57%	29,028	65.43%
17	22,005	40.03%	32,972	59.97%
18	28,496	56.57%	21,877	43.43%
19	23,487	40.50%	34,506	59.50%
20	26,593	52.19%	24,363	47.81%
21	26,948	68.43%	12,432	31.57%
22	20,046	44.77%	24,733	55.23%
23	29,408	62.65%	17,532	37.35%
24	23,231	54.42%	19,458	45.58%
25	26,740	54.49%	22,331	45.51%
26	21,647	45.70%	25,722	54.30%
27	27,367	63.02%	16,059	36.98%
28	15,154	38.82%	23,887	61.18%
29	45,982	84.31%	8,559	15.69%
30	40,505	86.04%	6,573	13.96%
31	37,442	81.27%	8,628	18.73%
32	24,710	59.79%	16,620	40.21%
33	30,348	65.12%	16,254	34.88%
34	34,375	64.99%	18,518	35.01%
35	26,782	53.47%	23,310	46.53%
36	28,840	57.91%	20,964	42.09%
37	25,067	49.82%	25,250	50.18%
38	37,182	85.87%	6,120	14.13%
39	29,042	64.05%	16,303	35.95%
40	33,046	56.77%	25,160	43.23%
41	32,619	65.44%	17,224	34.56%
42	20,107	72.10%	7,779	27.90%
43	21,477	51.29%	20,394	48.71%
44	24,431	64.48%	13,456	35.52%
45	21,584	58.95%	15,027	41.05%
46	15,819	40.56%	23,183	59.44%
47	16,691	52.80%	14,919	47.20%
48	19,968	56.51%	15,366	43.49%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	33,603	69.88%	14,487	30.12%
50	29,478	58.88%	20,583	41.12%
51	16,904	44.02%	21,495	55.98%
52	19,192	45.66%	22,840	54.34%
53	18,739	39.76%	28,388	60.24%
54	28,237	55.20%	22,914	44.80%
55	16,938	42.35%	23,056	57.65%
56	38,882	86.40%	6,121	13.60%
57	30,567	59.28%	20,999	40.72%
58	31,136	73.89%	11,003	26.11%
59	26,011	52.89%	23,172	47.11%
60	26,028	64.00%	14,640	36.00%
61	32,994	81.44%	7,519	18.56%
62	27,983	52.38%	25,438	47.62%
63	21,347	54.16%	18,065	45.84%
64	19,393	42.78%	25,935	57.22%
65	17,342	37.14%	29,355	62.86%
66	29,731	72.22%	11,438	27.78%
67	13,906	30.59%	31,546	69.41%
68	19,792	39.76%	29,991	60.24%
69	17,689	36.41%	30,892	63.59%
70	11,133	25.85%	31,936	74.15%
71	27,723	72.24%	10,655	27.76%
72	29,636	76.43%	9,137	23.57%
73	23,069	53.32%	20,200	46.68%
74	25,943	49.66%	26,296	50.34%
75	20,434	46.15%	23,840	53.85%
76	17,658	40.96%	25,456	59.04%
77	13,265	26.34%	37,097	73.66%
78	13,516	27.46%	35,705	72.54%
79	20,248	41.35%	28,719	58.65%
80	11,891	26.97%	32,191	73.03%
81	13,161	30.30%	30,277	69.70%
82	21,946	48.58%	23,231	51.42%
83	13,583	28.14%	34,685	71.86%
84	15,380	35.61%	27,812	64.39%
85	14,056	29.14%	34,174	70.86%
86	14,649	33.49%	29,090	66.51%
87	12,889	28.89%	31,732	71.11%
88	28,916	68.97%	13,011	31.03%
89	12,708	27.19%	34,038	72.81%
90	10,419	27.32%	27,714	72.68%
91	15,439	32.66%	31,829	67.34%
92	29,398	70.30%	12,419	29.70%
93	22,259	45.16%	27,025	54.84%
94	12,233	25.64%	35,482	74.36%
95	17,155	36.15%	30,297	63.85%
96	17,092	38.72%	27,045	61.28%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,388	29.45%	34,460	70.55%
98	24,133	49.77%	24,356	50.23%
99	26,041	84.95%	4,615	15.05%
100	24,620	76.11%	7,728	23.89%
101	29,757	77.34%	8,718	22.66%
102	34,568	78.49%	9,474	21.51%
103	27,144	52.49%	24,567	47.51%
104	27,892	55.48%	22,379	44.52%
105	26,434	57.43%	19,592	42.57%
106	31,541	70.48%	13,212	29.52%
107	34,318	83.36%	6,849	16.64%
108	14,446	35.08%	26,740	64.92%
109	19,543	42.87%	26,047	57.13%
110	14,726	34.48%	27,977	65.52%
111	14,640	32.56%	30,319	67.44%
112	26,102	62.71%	15,524	37.29%
113	17,556	35.39%	32,058	64.61%
114	36,205	66.56%	18,192	33.44%
115	28,066	56.64%	21,484	43.36%
116	32,289	60.80%	20,820	39.20%
117	22,382	41.76%	31,220	58.24%
118	19,607	40.36%	28,977	59.64%
119	21,805	45.67%	25,935	54.33%
120	13,491	28.39%	34,035	71.61%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer11728-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,250	63.28%	17,551	36.72%
2	22,491	45.79%	26,630	54.21%
3	26,733	60.23%	17,652	39.77%
4	22,131	60.51%	14,442	39.49%
5	20,833	50.85%	20,136	49.15%
6	18,451	58.32%	13,187	41.68%
7	27,236	58.30%	19,482	41.70%
8	17,641	43.61%	22,808	56.39%
9	21,930	49.60%	22,284	50.40%
10	21,703	55.47%	17,421	44.53%
11	15,181	37.18%	25,655	62.82%
12	22,520	53.96%	19,211	46.04%
13	33,223	69.71%	14,434	30.29%
14	14,480	63.04%	8,490	36.96%
15	22,150	64.16%	12,375	35.84%
16	29,792	67.67%	14,235	32.33%
17	33,795	62.30%	20,453	37.70%
18	23,774	47.72%	26,043	52.28%
19	35,921	62.78%	21,296	37.22%
20	26,462	52.64%	23,805	47.36%
21	13,821	35.30%	25,336	64.70%
22	26,117	58.88%	18,241	41.12%
23	19,309	41.40%	27,336	58.60%
24	21,389	50.47%	20,992	49.53%
25	24,536	50.34%	24,204	49.66%
26	27,536	58.77%	19,316	41.23%
27	17,456	40.48%	25,668	59.52%
28	25,952	67.32%	12,600	32.68%
29	10,721	19.78%	43,489	80.22%
30	8,217	17.56%	38,587	82.44%
31	10,461	22.86%	35,310	77.14%
32	18,831	45.89%	22,206	54.11%
33	18,557	40.03%	27,799	59.97%
34	22,170	42.28%	30,267	57.72%
35	25,466	51.21%	24,258	48.79%
36	23,169	46.81%	26,325	53.19%
37	27,595	55.17%	22,423	44.83%
38	7,953	18.46%	35,124	81.54%
39	18,561	41.18%	26,512	58.82%
40	28,171	48.73%	29,636	51.27%
41	19,694	39.79%	29,797	60.21%
42	8,966	32.34%	18,757	67.66%
43	21,699	52.17%	19,895	47.83%
44	15,148	40.34%	22,404	59.66%
45	16,350	44.96%	20,015	55.04%
46	24,168	62.42%	14,553	37.58%
47	16,341	52.19%	14,968	47.81%
48	16,560	47.21%	18,519	52.79%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer11729-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	17,392	36.40%	30,392	63.60%
50	22,180	44.64%	27,505	55.36%
51	23,415	61.62%	14,586	38.38%
52	24,572	59.03%	17,051	40.97%
53	30,726	65.76%	15,999	34.24%
54	24,624	48.51%	26,138	51.49%
55	23,959	60.39%	15,713	39.61%
56	7,815	17.47%	36,917	82.53%
57	22,630	44.09%	28,701	55.91%
58	12,247	29.15%	29,773	70.85%
59	24,350	49.67%	24,670	50.33%
60	15,870	39.12%	24,701	60.88%
61	9,067	22.47%	31,277	77.53%
62	27,032	50.74%	26,239	49.26%
63	19,029	48.45%	20,245	51.55%
64	27,133	60.06%	18,041	39.94%
65	30,302	65.18%	16,191	34.82%
66	13,255	32.42%	27,634	67.58%
67	32,736	72.48%	12,427	27.52%
68	31,004	62.98%	18,222	37.02%
69	31,782	66.10%	16,301	33.90%
70	32,229	75.08%	10,699	24.92%
71	12,480	32.55%	25,859	67.45%
72	11,401	29.38%	27,409	70.62%
73	21,808	50.74%	21,173	49.26%
74	28,498	54.53%	23,762	45.47%
75	25,016	56.58%	19,194	43.42%
76	26,817	62.78%	15,902	37.22%
77	38,103	75.86%	12,128	24.14%
78	36,311	74.23%	12,606	25.77%
79	30,349	62.48%	18,224	37.52%
80	32,546	73.95%	11,465	26.05%
81	30,913	71.27%	12,463	28.73%
82	24,945	55.69%	19,847	44.31%
83	35,636	74.43%	12,245	25.57%
84	28,940	67.40%	13,998	32.60%
85	34,778	72.78%	13,005	27.22%
86	30,299	69.77%	13,127	30.23%
87	32,945	74.33%	11,376	25.67%
88	15,195	36.48%	26,453	63.52%
89	35,124	75.44%	11,432	24.56%
90	28,491	74.99%	9,501	25.01%
91	32,877	69.65%	14,323	30.35%
92	14,017	33.74%	27,530	66.26%
93	28,743	58.89%	20,066	41.11%
94	36,191	76.23%	11,288	23.77%
95	31,506	66.97%	15,536	33.03%
96	28,589	65.06%	15,356	34.94%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 11730-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,799	73.96%	12,602	26.04%
98	26,182	54.56%	21,805	45.44%
99	5,874	19.25%	24,633	80.75%
100	9,198	28.61%	22,949	71.39%
101	10,167	26.56%	28,119	73.44%
102	11,552	26.40%	32,209	73.60%
103	26,978	52.58%	24,331	47.42%
104	25,373	51.02%	24,356	48.98%
105	21,467	47.01%	24,201	52.99%
106	14,912	33.53%	29,557	66.47%
107	8,429	20.57%	32,539	79.43%
108	27,528	67.21%	13,429	32.79%
109	27,442	60.58%	17,854	39.42%
110	28,763	67.73%	13,705	32.27%
111	31,181	69.72%	13,545	30.28%
112	17,107	41.34%	24,276	58.66%
113	32,990	66.97%	16,271	33.03%
114	19,860	36.78%	34,139	63.22%
115	23,093	46.92%	26,127	53.08%
116	22,471	42.60%	30,278	57.40%
117	32,774	61.49%	20,530	38.51%
118	30,445	63.07%	17,828	36.93%
119	27,499	57.99%	19,918	42.01%
120	34,641	73.39%	12,563	26.61%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11731-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
1	25,434	59.77%	15,567	36.59%	1,181	2.78%	368	0.86%
2	19,997	43.67%	24,090	52.61%	1,133	2.47%	572	1.25%
3	24,225	59.12%	15,352	37.46%	1,025	2.50%	375	0.92%
4	20,288	58.32%	13,820	39.73%	493	1.42%	184	0.53%
5	17,620	46.59%	19,115	50.54%	826	2.18%	258	0.68%
6	13,884	55.88%	9,911	39.89%	782	3.15%	267	1.07%
7	21,273	54.99%	15,913	41.14%	1,025	2.65%	471	1.22%
8	16,023	40.27%	22,265	55.96%	1,102	2.77%	396	1.00%
9	19,624	48.34%	19,463	47.94%	1,058	2.61%	454	1.12%
10	19,472	52.96%	16,234	44.16%	730	1.99%	328	0.89%
11	13,260	33.09%	24,243	60.50%	1,767	4.41%	802	2.00%
12	20,836	52.78%	17,864	45.26%	543	1.38%	231	0.59%
13	30,060	68.66%	12,213	27.90%	1,093	2.50%	414	0.95%
14	12,067	62.30%	6,420	33.15%	664	3.43%	218	1.13%
15	19,092	64.04%	9,469	31.76%	979	3.28%	273	0.92%
16	23,605	65.86%	10,978	30.63%	966	2.70%	290	0.81%
17	26,027	61.35%	14,993	35.34%	1,025	2.42%	376	0.89%
18	19,439	44.28%	22,351	50.92%	1,489	3.39%	618	1.41%
19	28,577	61.31%	16,130	34.61%	1,349	2.89%	553	1.19%
20	24,003	50.76%	20,761	43.91%	1,803	3.81%	718	1.52%
21	10,400	33.42%	19,136	61.49%	1,066	3.43%	518	1.66%
22	23,395	55.93%	17,602	42.08%	605	1.45%	227	0.54%
23	17,584	38.18%	27,744	60.23%	517	1.12%	215	0.47%
24	19,102	47.15%	20,410	50.38%	711	1.76%	286	0.71%
25	21,751	48.02%	22,488	49.65%	706	1.56%	349	0.77%
26	21,236	59.58%	12,885	36.15%	1,118	3.14%	406	1.14%
27	15,717	35.38%	27,991	63.01%	520	1.17%	192	0.43%
28	22,101	66.15%	10,390	31.10%	683	2.04%	238	0.71%
29	7,395	15.09%	39,315	80.23%	1,405	2.87%	885	1.81%
30	5,787	13.25%	36,172	82.81%	966	2.21%	757	1.73%
31	6,275	17.16%	28,906	79.03%	902	2.47%	494	1.35%
32	16,018	41.05%	22,099	56.64%	642	1.65%	257	0.66%
33	14,972	37.32%	23,377	58.28%	1,247	3.11%	517	1.29%
34	17,689	36.59%	27,837	57.58%	1,858	3.84%	958	1.98%
35	19,218	49.16%	17,640	45.12%	1,365	3.49%	873	2.23%
36	16,118	43.96%	18,125	49.44%	1,734	4.73%	685	1.87%
37	21,167	52.90%	16,588	41.45%	1,584	3.96%	678	1.69%
38	5,162	13.11%	32,780	83.25%	904	2.30%	530	1.35%
39	14,451	39.44%	20,568	56.14%	1,103	3.01%	514	1.40%
40	23,032	44.41%	25,784	49.71%	2,066	3.98%	986	1.90%
41	16,770	37.01%	25,741	56.81%	1,917	4.23%	881	1.94%
42	6,801	28.06%	16,475	67.97%	688	2.84%	276	1.14%
43	18,144	48.98%	17,677	47.72%	878	2.37%	343	0.93%
44	13,255	37.35%	20,906	58.91%	970	2.73%	357	1.01%
45	13,064	42.51%	16,546	53.84%	837	2.72%	287	0.93%
46	21,277	60.38%	13,305	37.75%	509	1.44%	150	0.43%
47	13,641	47.00%	14,577	50.23%	592	2.04%	211	0.73%
48	14,006	43.57%	17,044	53.02%	831	2.59%	266	0.83%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11732-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
49	13,422	31.48%	26,664	62.53%	1,723	4.04%	830	1.95%
50	18,367	41.44%	24,248	54.71%	1,189	2.68%	519	1.17%
51	19,456	57.96%	12,925	38.51%	904	2.69%	282	0.84%
52	21,073	54.95%	15,958	41.61%	971	2.53%	345	0.90%
53	24,764	65.04%	11,918	31.30%	1,016	2.67%	379	1.00%
54	19,421	45.00%	21,973	50.91%	1,190	2.76%	573	1.33%
55	20,308	55.54%	15,092	41.28%	827	2.26%	335	0.92%
56	6,214	12.66%	40,462	82.44%	1,429	2.91%	975	1.99%
57	19,637	42.04%	24,938	53.38%	1,393	2.98%	747	1.60%
58	10,579	26.46%	28,017	70.07%	899	2.25%	490	1.23%
59	21,469	51.71%	18,704	45.05%	944	2.27%	405	0.98%
60	14,190	37.93%	21,923	58.60%	916	2.45%	381	1.02%
61	7,658	18.02%	33,174	78.06%	1,045	2.46%	623	1.47%
62	24,283	50.07%	22,002	45.36%	1,534	3.16%	681	1.40%
63	15,881	48.28%	15,896	48.33%	814	2.47%	300	0.91%
64	22,923	59.93%	13,933	36.43%	981	2.56%	414	1.08%
65	26,834	63.46%	14,231	33.65%	871	2.06%	349	0.83%
66	10,417	28.64%	24,250	66.68%	1,126	3.10%	574	1.58%
67	29,091	70.18%	11,244	27.12%	867	2.09%	252	0.61%
68	24,117	62.12%	12,779	32.92%	1,366	3.52%	562	1.45%
69	26,755	65.53%	12,320	30.17%	1,263	3.09%	493	1.21%
70	29,047	75.02%	8,443	21.80%	875	2.26%	356	0.92%
71	10,021	27.64%	24,547	67.71%	1,138	3.14%	548	1.51%
72	8,538	22.51%	27,821	73.34%	1,015	2.68%	562	1.48%
73	17,637	50.92%	15,396	44.45%	1,132	3.27%	469	1.35%
74	23,964	52.46%	19,380	42.42%	1,568	3.43%	771	1.69%
75	21,607	55.85%	15,555	40.20%	1,140	2.95%	388	1.00%
76	23,657	60.02%	14,395	36.52%	939	2.38%	425	1.08%
77	33,328	74.63%	9,728	21.78%	1,126	2.52%	473	1.06%
78	32,128	73.45%	10,258	23.45%	965	2.21%	389	0.89%
79	26,874	60.42%	16,221	36.47%	980	2.20%	400	0.90%
80	28,541	74.15%	8,737	22.70%	920	2.39%	291	0.76%
81	25,760	70.85%	9,377	25.79%	939	2.58%	280	0.77%
82	20,907	55.56%	15,123	40.19%	1,120	2.98%	478	1.27%
83	30,569	75.14%	8,701	21.39%	1,015	2.49%	399	0.98%
84	24,634	65.32%	11,930	31.63%	807	2.14%	344	0.91%
85	31,444	72.25%	10,658	24.49%	910	2.09%	510	1.17%
86	26,224	67.41%	11,248	28.91%	988	2.54%	443	1.14%
87	28,001	71.92%	9,517	24.44%	932	2.39%	484	1.24%
88	9,085	26.51%	23,142	67.52%	1,493	4.36%	556	1.62%
89	29,515	72.81%	9,661	23.83%	988	2.44%	371	0.92%
90	28,262	74.71%	8,363	22.11%	850	2.25%	352	0.93%
91	28,993	68.49%	11,819	27.92%	1,084	2.56%	436	1.03%
92	9,576	30.35%	20,514	65.02%	1,067	3.38%	391	1.24%
93	25,529	55.38%	17,851	38.73%	1,713	3.72%	1,001	2.17%
94	32,921	75.21%	9,508	21.72%	967	2.21%	379	0.87%
95	24,610	65.95%	11,029	29.56%	1,203	3.22%	473	1.27%
96	24,419	61.86%	13,347	33.81%	1,161	2.94%	545	1.38%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11733-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
97	28,706	71.96%	9,865	24.73%	970	2.43%	348	0.87%
98	20,518	51.08%	17,463	43.47%	1,568	3.90%	621	1.55%
99	4,600	16.08%	22,937	80.20%	669	2.34%	395	1.38%
100	6,224	22.03%	20,728	73.38%	864	3.06%	430	1.52%
101	7,570	23.09%	24,095	73.50%	733	2.24%	385	1.17%
102	6,479	18.28%	27,045	76.32%	1,367	3.86%	544	1.54%
103	21,333	48.57%	20,156	45.89%	1,604	3.65%	830	1.89%
104	19,309	43.42%	22,440	50.46%	1,704	3.83%	1,018	2.29%
105	17,740	44.07%	20,416	50.72%	1,451	3.60%	647	1.61%
106	11,535	32.49%	22,278	62.76%	1,150	3.24%	536	1.51%
107	6,460	18.46%	27,360	78.16%	739	2.11%	444	1.27%
108	23,526	68.69%	9,491	27.71%	893	2.61%	339	0.99%
109	23,563	59.20%	14,589	36.65%	1,158	2.91%	494	1.24%
110	24,995	65.27%	12,329	32.19%	695	1.81%	278	0.73%
111	27,488	68.35%	11,602	28.85%	742	1.85%	383	0.95%
112	13,486	39.18%	19,527	56.72%	963	2.80%	449	1.30%
113	29,028	66.04%	13,341	30.35%	1,023	2.33%	560	1.27%
114	16,845	34.11%	29,692	60.13%	1,498	3.03%	1,346	2.73%
115	19,627	45.75%	20,895	48.71%	1,419	3.31%	957	2.23%
116	19,246	41.24%	24,860	53.27%	1,370	2.94%	1,192	2.55%
117	28,197	60.46%	16,347	35.05%	1,356	2.91%	738	1.58%
118	25,726	61.23%	14,406	34.29%	1,241	2.95%	644	1.53%
119	23,870	56.13%	16,402	38.57%	1,462	3.44%	793	1.86%
120	30,694	72.91%	9,872	23.45%	1,030	2.45%	501	1.19%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
1	24,488	59.44%	15,586	37.83%	1,123	2.73%
2	20,507	45.80%	23,273	51.98%	992	2.22%
3	23,975	60.04%	14,961	37.47%	996	2.49%
4	19,859	58.75%	13,377	39.57%	567	1.68%
5	16,951	46.24%	18,868	51.47%	839	2.29%
6	13,737	56.25%	9,893	40.51%	793	3.25%
7	21,464	56.36%	15,703	41.24%	914	2.40%
8	16,230	42.07%	21,306	55.23%	1,041	2.70%
9	20,150	50.73%	18,620	46.88%	948	2.39%
10	19,427	54.26%	15,654	43.72%	722	2.02%
11	15,043	38.64%	22,291	57.26%	1,593	4.09%
12	20,258	52.55%	17,663	45.82%	629	1.63%
13	29,299	68.68%	12,140	28.46%	1,223	2.87%
14	11,525	61.93%	6,253	33.60%	832	4.47%
15	18,065	63.16%	9,371	32.77%	1,164	4.07%
16	23,214	66.94%	10,429	30.07%	1,034	2.98%
17	25,555	62.30%	14,392	35.09%	1,073	2.62%
18	19,633	46.38%	21,128	49.91%	1,571	3.71%
19	28,460	62.93%	15,288	33.80%	1,479	3.27%
20	24,528	53.99%	18,983	41.79%	1,916	4.22%
21	11,856	39.19%	17,413	57.56%	983	3.25%
22	22,328	55.10%	17,532	43.27%	661	1.63%
23	16,999	37.88%	27,264	60.75%	617	1.37%
24	19,168	48.08%	20,048	50.29%	649	1.63%
25	21,870	48.89%	22,222	49.67%	645	1.44%
26	21,576	61.79%	12,341	35.34%	1,003	2.87%
27	15,277	35.05%	27,754	63.67%	560	1.28%
28	22,053	67.36%	9,970	30.45%	717	2.19%
29	9,547	19.83%	37,359	77.60%	1,239	2.57%
30	7,234	17.01%	34,241	80.49%	1,065	2.50%
31	6,886	19.11%	28,133	78.09%	1,008	2.80%
32	15,711	41.22%	21,701	56.94%	703	1.84%
33	15,509	39.25%	22,892	57.93%	1,115	2.82%
34	20,714	43.52%	25,466	53.50%	1,419	2.98%
35	21,146	55.07%	16,200	42.19%	1,055	2.75%
36	18,027	50.56%	16,401	46.00%	1,224	3.43%
37	22,375	57.09%	15,562	39.71%	1,253	3.20%
38	5,969	15.43%	31,633	81.79%	1,075	2.78%
39	15,019	41.65%	20,116	55.78%	928	2.57%
40	26,097	51.26%	23,254	45.68%	1,560	3.06%
41	18,827	42.65%	23,693	53.67%	1,628	3.69%
42	6,845	29.04%	15,849	67.25%	873	3.70%
43	17,848	49.54%	17,162	47.64%	1,015	2.82%
44	13,274	38.48%	20,117	58.32%	1,104	3.20%
45	12,765	42.71%	15,995	53.52%	1,128	3.77%
46	19,454	57.61%	13,696	40.56%	620	1.84%
47	11,580	42.24%	15,239	55.59%	595	2.17%
48	12,997	42.19%	16,854	54.70%	958	3.11%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
49	15,671	37.59%	24,488	58.74%	1,529	3.67%
50	19,129	44.04%	23,265	53.57%	1,038	2.39%
51	19,218	58.70%	12,676	38.72%	846	2.58%
52	20,297	54.96%	15,597	42.23%	1,037	2.81%
53	24,877	66.43%	11,676	31.18%	898	2.40%
54	20,283	47.78%	21,180	49.89%	988	2.33%
55	20,466	57.51%	14,366	40.37%	754	2.12%
56	8,381	17.60%	37,766	79.29%	1,483	3.11%
57	20,992	45.99%	23,368	51.19%	1,287	2.82%
58	10,855	27.77%	27,100	69.33%	1,131	2.89%
59	21,456	52.69%	18,253	44.83%	1,011	2.48%
60	14,378	39.64%	20,805	57.35%	1,093	3.01%
61	8,376	20.30%	31,537	76.43%	1,348	3.27%
62	25,402	53.77%	20,461	43.31%	1,379	2.92%
63	15,939	49.51%	15,326	47.60%	930	2.89%
64	23,453	62.54%	12,919	34.45%	1,131	3.02%
65	25,691	62.43%	14,470	35.16%	992	2.41%
66	11,519	32.24%	23,139	64.77%	1,067	2.99%
67	28,404	70.19%	11,091	27.41%	971	2.40%
68	25,761	68.17%	10,901	28.85%	1,128	2.98%
69	27,427	69.06%	11,105	27.96%	1,180	2.97%
70	28,456	75.24%	8,383	22.17%	980	2.59%
71	10,682	30.27%	23,437	66.41%	1,172	3.32%
72	10,019	27.12%	26,008	70.40%	914	2.47%
73	18,195	54.04%	14,329	42.56%	1,143	3.40%
74	25,766	57.67%	17,714	39.64%	1,202	2.69%
75	21,759	57.55%	14,961	39.57%	1,092	2.89%
76	23,329	60.76%	13,938	36.30%	1,131	2.95%
77	33,021	75.76%	9,480	21.75%	1,085	2.49%
78	31,935	74.56%	9,962	23.26%	935	2.18%
79	25,801	59.85%	16,271	37.74%	1,037	2.41%
80	27,918	74.40%	8,690	23.16%	914	2.44%
81	25,271	71.25%	9,224	26.01%	972	2.74%
82	21,422	58.33%	14,074	38.32%	1,228	3.34%
83	30,229	75.88%	8,514	21.37%	1,093	2.74%
84	24,335	66.21%	11,435	31.11%	982	2.67%
85	29,670	70.55%	11,206	26.65%	1,179	2.80%
86	25,656	67.66%	11,334	29.89%	930	2.45%
87	27,948	73.42%	9,193	24.15%	925	2.43%
88	11,252	34.04%	20,448	61.86%	1,356	4.10%
89	29,224	74.14%	9,096	23.08%	1,098	2.79%
90	27,047	73.74%	8,794	23.98%	838	2.28%
91	28,207	68.20%	11,948	28.89%	1,204	2.91%
92	10,416	34.04%	18,963	61.96%	1,224	4.00%
93	25,170	56.80%	17,315	39.08%	1,827	4.12%
94	31,986	75.15%	9,585	22.52%	991	2.33%
95	24,812	68.71%	10,031	27.78%	1,267	3.51%
96	24,809	64.52%	12,425	32.31%	1,217	3.17%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
97	28,590	73.46%	9,253	23.77%	1,077	2.77%
98	22,451	57.69%	15,145	38.92%	1,322	3.40%
99	5,040	18.11%	21,855	78.53%	936	3.36%
100	7,057	25.75%	19,247	70.22%	1,105	4.03%
101	7,970	24.93%	23,000	71.93%	1,004	3.14%
102	7,862	22.93%	24,889	72.61%	1,529	4.46%
103	24,320	56.67%	17,345	40.42%	1,248	2.91%
104	23,670	54.31%	18,712	42.94%	1,198	2.75%
105	20,109	51.34%	17,688	45.16%	1,369	3.50%
106	12,783	36.81%	20,794	59.88%	1,149	3.31%
107	6,991	20.43%	26,233	76.66%	997	2.91%
108	23,612	70.50%	8,928	26.66%	953	2.85%
109	24,523	62.99%	13,360	34.32%	1,049	2.69%
110	24,629	65.81%	12,045	32.18%	751	2.01%
111	26,736	68.18%	11,577	29.52%	900	2.30%
112	14,185	42.11%	18,416	54.67%	1,083	3.22%
113	28,049	65.69%	13,406	31.40%	1,243	2.91%
114	16,639	34.56%	29,399	61.06%	2,107	4.38%
115	19,403	46.51%	20,619	49.42%	1,697	4.07%
116	18,514	40.81%	24,975	55.06%	1,872	4.13%
117	28,593	62.75%	15,634	34.31%	1,343	2.95%
118	24,010	58.91%	15,207	37.31%	1,540	3.78%
119	22,955	55.48%	16,811	40.63%	1,611	3.89%
120	28,299	69.92%	10,904	26.94%	1,271	3.14%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	17,878	37.69%	29,062	61.26%
2	27,098	55.11%	21,578	43.88%
3	17,892	40.36%	25,947	58.53%
4	14,734	40.23%	21,618	59.02%
5	20,586	50.44%	19,851	48.64%
6	13,158	42.74%	17,200	55.87%
7	19,634	42.88%	25,635	55.99%
8	23,367	57.49%	16,819	41.38%
9	22,480	51.16%	21,009	47.81%
10	17,815	45.60%	20,882	53.45%
11	26,465	64.39%	13,979	34.01%
12	19,567	47.03%	21,728	52.23%
13	14,552	30.56%	32,511	68.28%
14	8,339	36.81%	13,901	61.36%
15	12,254	35.83%	21,391	62.54%
16	14,057	32.58%	28,542	66.16%
17	20,441	38.44%	32,188	60.53%
18	26,687	53.98%	22,040	44.58%
19	21,514	38.24%	34,079	60.57%
20	24,590	48.66%	25,174	49.81%
21	25,069	65.69%	12,662	33.18%
22	18,501	41.75%	25,480	57.50%
23	28,170	60.16%	18,360	39.21%
24	21,702	51.23%	20,332	48.00%
25	25,138	51.83%	23,010	47.44%
26	19,096	41.81%	26,017	56.96%
27	26,710	61.29%	16,595	38.08%
28	12,779	33.46%	25,039	65.56%
29	44,120	82.10%	9,037	16.82%
30	39,190	84.10%	6,941	14.89%
31	35,422	79.46%	8,664	19.44%
32	23,062	56.27%	17,584	42.91%
33	28,124	61.60%	16,949	37.12%
34	31,474	60.21%	20,121	38.49%
35	24,288	50.13%	23,552	48.61%
36	26,283	54.86%	20,977	43.79%
37	22,607	46.27%	25,574	52.34%
38	35,699	83.54%	6,556	15.34%
39	26,555	60.33%	16,947	38.50%
40	30,545	53.23%	26,098	45.48%
41	30,418	61.72%	18,149	36.83%
42	19,060	69.75%	7,853	28.74%
43	20,063	48.82%	20,575	50.06%
44	22,998	61.32%	14,002	37.33%
45	20,179	56.57%	15,021	42.11%
46	14,572	37.87%	23,624	61.40%
47	15,359	48.95%	15,758	50.22%
48	18,838	54.01%	15,623	44.79%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite -1738-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	31,220	65.90%	15,492	32.70%
50	27,734	56.37%	20,993	42.67%
51	15,019	39.84%	22,256	59.04%
52	17,767	42.71%	23,355	56.15%
53	15,974	34.90%	29,298	64.00%
54	26,361	52.69%	23,187	46.35%
55	16,086	40.75%	23,007	58.28%
56	38,584	84.28%	6,670	14.57%
57	28,761	56.37%	21,665	42.47%
58	30,038	71.70%	11,381	27.17%
59	23,969	49.80%	23,676	49.19%
60	24,698	61.32%	15,102	37.50%
61	32,333	79.01%	8,083	19.75%
62	26,152	49.44%	26,138	49.41%
63	19,782	51.54%	18,206	47.44%
64	17,616	39.80%	26,192	59.18%
65	16,075	34.86%	29,587	64.16%
66	27,994	69.17%	11,950	29.53%
67	12,635	28.07%	31,930	70.94%
68	18,216	37.84%	29,390	61.05%
69	16,317	34.43%	30,534	64.44%
70	10,228	24.07%	31,826	74.91%
71	26,652	69.74%	11,052	28.92%
72	28,721	73.84%	9,729	25.01%
73	21,195	50.44%	20,276	48.25%
74	24,083	46.77%	26,783	52.01%
75	19,046	43.75%	23,969	55.06%
76	16,279	38.23%	25,802	60.59%
77	11,929	24.07%	37,090	74.85%
78	12,448	25.64%	35,650	73.44%
79	18,599	38.47%	29,274	60.54%
80	10,871	25.17%	31,876	73.82%
81	11,949	28.24%	29,913	70.71%
82	20,153	45.67%	23,407	53.04%
83	12,101	25.67%	34,519	73.21%
84	14,137	33.33%	27,806	65.55%
85	12,889	27.05%	34,285	71.95%
86	13,295	30.90%	29,260	68.01%
87	11,629	26.52%	31,743	72.39%
88	27,407	67.17%	12,801	31.37%
89	11,596	25.32%	33,693	73.57%
90	9,515	24.94%	28,266	74.08%
91	14,154	30.36%	31,935	68.50%
92	27,371	68.11%	12,284	30.57%
93	20,596	42.02%	27,687	56.49%
94	11,071	23.41%	35,745	75.59%
95	15,672	34.01%	29,821	64.72%
96	15,737	36.27%	27,118	62.49%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/17/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 1739-

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	12,819	26.95%	34,258	72.01%
98	22,468	47.48%	24,263	51.27%
99	25,109	82.79%	4,825	15.91%
100	23,453	73.96%	7,789	24.56%
101	28,278	75.30%	8,797	23.43%
102	32,762	76.66%	9,349	21.87%
103	25,289	49.96%	24,722	48.84%
104	26,006	52.52%	22,898	46.25%
105	24,834	54.88%	19,827	43.81%
106	29,479	68.01%	13,333	30.76%
107	32,648	81.25%	7,063	17.58%
108	13,198	32.76%	26,632	66.10%
109	18,041	40.19%	26,340	58.67%
110	13,790	32.69%	28,019	66.42%
111	13,568	30.61%	30,354	68.48%
112	24,423	60.25%	15,593	38.47%
113	16,359	33.52%	31,941	65.45%
114	34,642	64.44%	18,348	34.13%
115	26,428	54.28%	21,577	44.32%
116	30,543	58.44%	21,017	40.21%
117	20,809	39.58%	31,145	59.24%
118	17,951	37.68%	29,085	61.05%
119	20,209	43.00%	26,111	55.56%
120	12,429	26.60%	33,776	72.29%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,998**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'SL 2022-4.csv', modified 2/17/2022 7:55 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/17/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	63	171,415	83,540	83,540	48.74 %	100.00 %
	64	171,415	87,875	87,875	51.26 %	100.00 %
Alexander	94	36,444	90,835	36,444	100.00 %	40.12 %
Alleghany	93	10,888	87,194	10,888	100.00 %	12.49 %
Anson	55	22,055	84,549	22,055	100.00 %	26.09 %
Ashe	93	26,577	87,194	26,577	100.00 %	30.48 %
Avery	85	17,806	89,525	17,806	100.00 %	19.89 %
Beaufort	79	44,652	83,163	44,652	100.00 %	53.69 %
Bertie	23	17,934	88,865	17,934	100.00 %	20.18 %
Bladen	22	29,606	88,642	29,606	100.00 %	33.40 %
Brunswick	17	136,693	89,763	89,763	65.67 %	100.00 %
	19	136,693	91,041	46,930	34.33 %	51.55 %
Buncombe	114	269,452	89,628	89,628	33.26 %	100.00 %
	115	269,452	88,843	88,843	32.97 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	90,981	90,981	33.77 %	100.00 %
Burke	86	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
Cabarrus	73	225,804	90,390	90,390	40.03 %	100.00 %
	82	225,804	90,805	90,805	40.21 %	100.00 %
	83	225,804	90,967	44,609	19.76 %	49.04 %
Caldwell	87	80,652	85,009	80,652	100.00 %	94.87 %
Camden	5	10,355	82,953	10,355	100.00 %	12.48 %
Carteret	13	67,686	83,307	67,686	100.00 %	81.25 %
Caswell	50	22,736	85,345	22,736	100.00 %	26.64 %
Catawba	89	160,610	85,577	71,023	44.22 %	82.99 %
	96	160,610	89,587	89,587	55.78 %	100.00 %
Chatham	54	76,285	83,475	76,285	100.00 %	91.39 %
Cherokee	120	28,774	84,907	28,774	100.00 %	33.89 %
Chowan	1	13,708	84,330	13,708	100.00 %	16.26 %
Clay	120	11,089	84,907	11,089	100.00 %	13.06 %
Cleveland	110	99,519	89,588	33,564	33.73 %	37.46 %
	111	99,519	90,809	65,955	66.27 %	72.63 %
Columbus	46	50,623	84,307	50,623	100.00 %	60.05 %
Craven	3	100,720	85,099	85,099	84.49 %	100.00 %
	13	100,720	83,307	15,621	15.51 %	18.75 %
Cumberland	42	334,728	84,462	84,462	25.23 %	100.00 %
	43	334,728	83,198	83,198	24.86 %	100.00 %
	44	334,728	83,751	83,751	25.02 %	100.00 %
	45	334,728	83,317	83,317	24.89 %	100.00 %
Currituck	1	28,100	84,330	28,100	100.00 %	33.32 %
Dare	1	36,915	84,330	15,269	41.36 %	18.11 %
	79	36,915	83,163	21,646	58.64 %	26.03 %

County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Davidson	80	168,930	84,864	84,864	50.24 %	100.00 %
	81	168,930	84,066	84,066	49.76 %	100.00 %
Davie	77	42,712	90,628	42,712	100.00 %	47.13 %
Duplin	4	48,715	83,138	48,715	100.00 %	58.60 %
Durham	2	324,833	90,751	51,654	15.90 %	56.92 %
	29	324,833	90,900	90,900	27.98 %	100.00 %
	30	324,833	91,038	91,038	28.03 %	100.00 %
	31	324,833	91,241	91,241	28.09 %	100.00 %
Edgecombe	23	48,900	88,865	48,900	100.00 %	55.03 %
Forsyth	71	382,590	83,748	83,748	21.89 %	100.00 %
	72	382,590	83,262	83,262	21.76 %	100.00 %
	74	382,590	89,692	89,692	23.44 %	100.00 %
	75	382,590	84,659	84,659	22.13 %	100.00 %
	91	382,590	85,749	41,229	10.78 %	48.08 %
Franklin	7	68,573	86,439	68,573	100.00 %	79.33 %
Gaston	108	227,943	84,457	84,457	37.05 %	100.00 %
	109	227,943	87,462	87,462	38.37 %	100.00 %
	110	227,943	89,588	56,024	24.58 %	62.54 %
Gates	5	10,478	82,953	10,478	100.00 %	12.63 %
Graham	120	8,030	84,907	8,030	100.00 %	9.46 %
Granville	7	60,992	86,439	17,866	29.29 %	20.67 %
	32	60,992	85,704	43,126	70.71 %	50.32 %
Greene	12	20,451	84,745	20,451	100.00 %	24.13 %
Guilford	57	541,299	91,158	91,158	16.84 %	100.00 %
	58	541,299	89,713	89,713	16.57 %	100.00 %
	59	541,299	87,559	87,559	16.18 %	100.00 %
	60	541,299	90,919	90,919	16.80 %	100.00 %
	61	541,299	90,814	90,814	16.78 %	100.00 %
	62	541,299	91,136	91,136	16.84 %	100.00 %
Halifax	27	48,622	84,735	48,622	100.00 %	57.38 %
Harnett	6	133,568	84,016	84,016	62.90 %	100.00 %
	53	133,568	89,622	49,552	37.10 %	55.29 %
Haywood	118	62,089	83,282	62,089	100.00 %	74.55 %
Henderson	113	116,281	91,102	25,952	22.32 %	28.49 %
	117	116,281	90,329	90,329	77.68 %	100.00 %
Hertford	5	21,552	82,953	21,552	100.00 %	25.98 %
Hoke	48	52,082	86,256	52,082	100.00 %	60.38 %
Hyde	79	4,589	83,163	4,589	100.00 %	5.52 %
Iredell	84	186,693	85,875	85,875	46.00 %	100.00 %
	89	186,693	85,577	14,554	7.80 %	17.01 %
	95	186,693	86,264	86,264	46.21 %	100.00 %
Jackson	119	43,109	90,212	43,109	100.00 %	47.79 %

County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Johnston	26	215,999	90,540	90,540	41.92 %	100.00 %
	28	215,999	85,389	85,389	39.53 %	100.00 %
	53	215,999	89,622	40,070	18.55 %	44.71 %
Jones	12	9,172	84,745	9,172	100.00 %	10.82 %
Lee	51	63,285	83,073	63,285	100.00 %	76.18 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	84,745	55,122	100.00 %	65.04 %
Lincoln	97	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
Macon	120	37,014	84,907	37,014	100.00 %	43.59 %
Madison	118	21,193	83,282	21,193	100.00 %	25.45 %
Martin	23	22,031	88,865	22,031	100.00 %	24.79 %
McDowell	85	44,578	89,525	38,346	86.02 %	42.83 %
	113	44,578	91,102	6,232	13.98 %	6.84 %
Mecklenburg	88	1,115,482	86,239	86,239	7.73 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	89,640	89,640	8.04 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	82,669	82,669	7.41 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	82,746	82,746	7.42 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	82,932	82,932	7.43 %	100.00 %
	101	1,115,482	84,391	84,391	7.57 %	100.00 %
	102	1,115,482	87,483	87,483	7.84 %	100.00 %
	103	1,115,482	88,259	88,259	7.91 %	100.00 %
	104	1,115,482	84,647	84,647	7.59 %	100.00 %
	105	1,115,482	89,460	89,460	8.02 %	100.00 %
	106	1,115,482	86,758	86,758	7.78 %	100.00 %
	107	1,115,482	86,497	86,497	7.75 %	100.00 %
	112	1,115,482	83,761	83,761	7.51 %	100.00 %
Mitchell	85	14,903	89,525	14,903	100.00 %	16.65 %
Montgomery	67	25,751	88,255	25,751	100.00 %	29.18 %
Moore	51	99,727	83,073	19,788	19.84 %	23.82 %
	52	99,727	84,383	41,437	41.55 %	49.11 %
	78	99,727	86,365	38,502	38.61 %	44.58 %
Nash	24	94,970	83,322	4,538	4.78 %	5.45 %
	25	94,970	90,432	90,432	95.22 %	100.00 %
New Hanover	18	225,702	90,862	90,862	40.26 %	100.00 %
	19	225,702	91,041	44,111	19.54 %	48.45 %
	20	225,702	90,729	90,729	40.20 %	100.00 %
Northampton	27	17,471	84,735	17,471	100.00 %	20.62 %
Onslow	14	204,576	90,506	90,506	44.24 %	100.00 %
	15	204,576	89,176	89,176	43.59 %	100.00 %
	16	204,576	85,097	24,894	12.17 %	29.25 %
Orange	50	148,696	85,345	62,609	42.11 %	73.36 %
	56	148,696	86,087	86,087	57.89 %	100.00 %
Pamlico	79	12,276	83,163	12,276	100.00 %	14.76 %

County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Pasquotank	5	40,568	82,953	40,568	100.00 %	48.90 %
Pender	16	60,203	85,097	60,203	100.00 %	70.75 %
Perquimans	1	13,005	84,330	13,005	100.00 %	15.42 %
Person	2	39,097	90,751	39,097	100.00 %	43.08 %
Pitt	8	170,243	85,089	85,089	49.98 %	100.00 %
	9	170,243	85,154	85,154	50.02 %	100.00 %
Polk	113	19,328	91,102	19,328	100.00 %	21.22 %
Randolph	54	144,171	83,475	7,190	4.99 %	8.61 %
	70	144,171	89,118	89,118	61.81 %	100.00 %
	78	144,171	86,365	47,863	33.20 %	55.42 %
Richmond	52	42,946	84,383	42,946	100.00 %	50.89 %
Robeson	46	116,530	84,307	33,684	28.91 %	39.95 %
	47	116,530	82,846	82,846	71.09 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	65	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
Rowan	76	146,875	89,815	89,815	61.15 %	100.00 %
	77	146,875	90,628	10,702	7.29 %	11.81 %
	83	146,875	90,967	46,358	31.56 %	50.96 %
Rutherford	111	64,444	90,809	24,854	38.57 %	27.37 %
	113	64,444	91,102	39,590	61.43 %	43.46 %
Sampson	22	59,036	88,642	59,036	100.00 %	66.60 %
Scotland	48	34,174	86,256	34,174	100.00 %	39.62 %
Stanly	67	62,504	88,255	62,504	100.00 %	70.82 %
Stokes	91	44,520	85,749	44,520	100.00 %	51.92 %
Surry	90	71,359	82,937	71,359	100.00 %	86.04 %
Swain	119	14,117	90,212	14,117	100.00 %	15.65 %
Transylvania	119	32,986	90,212	32,986	100.00 %	36.56 %
Tyrrell	1	3,245	84,330	3,245	100.00 %	3.85 %
Union	55	238,267	84,549	62,494	26.23 %	73.91 %
	68	238,267	86,978	86,978	36.50 %	100.00 %
	69	238,267	88,795	88,795	37.27 %	100.00 %
Vance	32	42,578	85,704	42,578	100.00 %	49.68 %

County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	85,987	85,987	7.61 %	100.00 %
	21	1,129,410	88,313	88,313	7.82 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	86,863	86,863	7.69 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	83,302	83,302	7.38 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	86,765	86,765	7.68 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	89,336	89,336	7.91 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	88,334	88,334	7.82 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	90,560	90,560	8.02 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	86,971	86,971	7.70 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	90,047	90,047	7.97 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	84,646	84,646	7.49 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	84,041	84,041	7.44 %	100.00 %
	66	1,129,410	84,245	84,245	7.46 %	100.00 %
Warren	27	18,642	84,735	18,642	100.00 %	22.00 %
Washington	1	11,003	84,330	11,003	100.00 %	13.05 %
Watauga	87	54,086	85,009	4,357	8.06 %	5.13 %
	93	54,086	87,194	49,729	91.94 %	57.03 %
Wayne	4	117,333	83,138	34,423	29.34 %	41.40 %
	10	117,333	82,910	82,910	70.66 %	100.00 %
Wilkes	90	65,969	82,937	11,578	17.55 %	13.96 %
	94	65,969	90,835	54,391	82.45 %	59.88 %
Wilson	24	78,784	83,322	78,784	100.00 %	94.55 %
Yadkin	77	37,214	90,628	37,214	100.00 %	41.06 %
Yancey	85	18,470	89,525	18,470	100.00 %	20.63 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**10,439,388**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Counties: 36

District - County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Chowan	84,330	13,708	13,708	16.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	84,330	28,100	28,100	33.32 %	100.00 %
	Dare	84,330	36,915	15,269	18.11 %	41.36 %
	Perquimans	84,330	13,005	13,005	15.42 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	84,330	3,245	3,245	3.85 %	100.00 %
	Washington	84,330	11,003	11,003	13.05 %	100.00 %
2	Durham	90,751	324,833	51,654	56.92 %	15.90 %
	Person	90,751	39,097	39,097	43.08 %	100.00 %
3	Craven	85,099	100,720	85,099	100.00 %	84.49 %
4	Duplin	83,138	48,715	48,715	58.60 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	83,138	117,333	34,423	41.40 %	29.34 %
5	Camden	82,953	10,355	10,355	12.48 %	100.00 %
	Gates	82,953	10,478	10,478	12.63 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	82,953	21,552	21,552	25.98 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	82,953	40,568	40,568	48.90 %	100.00 %
6	Harnett	84,016	133,568	84,016	100.00 %	62.90 %
7	Franklin	86,439	68,573	68,573	79.33 %	100.00 %
	Granville	86,439	60,992	17,866	20.67 %	29.29 %
8	Pitt	85,089	170,243	85,089	100.00 %	49.98 %
9	Pitt	85,154	170,243	85,154	100.00 %	50.02 %
10	Wayne	82,910	117,333	82,910	100.00 %	70.66 %
11	Wake	85,987	1,129,410	85,987	100.00 %	7.61 %
12	Greene	84,745	20,451	20,451	24.13 %	100.00 %
	Jones	84,745	9,172	9,172	10.82 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	84,745	55,122	55,122	65.04 %	100.00 %
13	Carteret	83,307	67,686	67,686	81.25 %	100.00 %
	Craven	83,307	100,720	15,621	18.75 %	15.51 %
14	Onslow	90,506	204,576	90,506	100.00 %	44.24 %
15	Onslow	89,176	204,576	89,176	100.00 %	43.59 %
16	Onslow	85,097	204,576	24,894	29.25 %	12.17 %
	Pender	85,097	60,203	60,203	70.75 %	100.00 %
17	Brunswick	89,763	136,693	89,763	100.00 %	65.67 %
18	New Hanover	90,862	225,702	90,862	100.00 %	40.26 %
19	Brunswick	91,041	136,693	46,930	51.55 %	34.33 %
	New Hanover	91,041	225,702	44,111	48.45 %	19.54 %
20	New Hanover	90,729	225,702	90,729	100.00 %	40.20 %
21	Wake	88,313	1,129,410	88,313	100.00 %	7.82 %
22	Bladen	88,642	29,606	29,606	33.40 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	88,642	59,036	59,036	66.60 %	100.00 %
23	Bertie	88,865	17,934	17,934	20.18 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	88,865	48,900	48,900	55.03 %	100.00 %
	Martin	88,865	22,031	22,031	24.79 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
24	Nash	83,322	94,970	4,538	5.45 %	4.78 %
	Wilson	83,322	78,784	78,784	94.55 %	100.00 %
25	Nash	90,432	94,970	90,432	100.00 %	95.22 %
26	Johnston	90,540	215,999	90,540	100.00 %	41.92 %
27	Halifax	84,735	48,622	48,622	57.38 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	84,735	17,471	17,471	20.62 %	100.00 %
	Warren	84,735	18,642	18,642	22.00 %	100.00 %
28	Johnston	85,389	215,999	85,389	100.00 %	39.53 %
29	Durham	90,900	324,833	90,900	100.00 %	27.98 %
30	Durham	91,038	324,833	91,038	100.00 %	28.03 %
31	Durham	91,241	324,833	91,241	100.00 %	28.09 %
32	Granville	85,704	60,992	43,126	50.32 %	70.71 %
	Vance	85,704	42,578	42,578	49.68 %	100.00 %
33	Wake	86,863	1,129,410	86,863	100.00 %	7.69 %
34	Wake	83,302	1,129,410	83,302	100.00 %	7.38 %
35	Wake	86,765	1,129,410	86,765	100.00 %	7.68 %
36	Wake	89,336	1,129,410	89,336	100.00 %	7.91 %
37	Wake	88,334	1,129,410	88,334	100.00 %	7.82 %
38	Wake	90,560	1,129,410	90,560	100.00 %	8.02 %
39	Wake	86,971	1,129,410	86,971	100.00 %	7.70 %
40	Wake	90,047	1,129,410	90,047	100.00 %	7.97 %
41	Wake	84,646	1,129,410	84,646	100.00 %	7.49 %
42	Cumberland	84,462	334,728	84,462	100.00 %	25.23 %
43	Cumberland	83,198	334,728	83,198	100.00 %	24.86 %
44	Cumberland	83,751	334,728	83,751	100.00 %	25.02 %
45	Cumberland	83,317	334,728	83,317	100.00 %	24.89 %
46	Columbus	84,307	50,623	50,623	60.05 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	84,307	116,530	33,684	39.95 %	28.91 %
47	Robeson	82,846	116,530	82,846	100.00 %	71.09 %
48	Hoke	86,256	52,082	52,082	60.38 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	86,256	34,174	34,174	39.62 %	100.00 %
49	Wake	84,041	1,129,410	84,041	100.00 %	7.44 %
50	Caswell	85,345	22,736	22,736	26.64 %	100.00 %
	Orange	85,345	148,696	62,609	73.36 %	42.11 %
51	Lee	83,073	63,285	63,285	76.18 %	100.00 %
	Moore	83,073	99,727	19,788	23.82 %	19.84 %
52	Moore	84,383	99,727	41,437	49.11 %	41.55 %
	Richmond	84,383	42,946	42,946	50.89 %	100.00 %
53	Harnett	89,622	133,568	49,552	55.29 %	37.10 %
	Johnston	89,622	215,999	40,070	44.71 %	18.55 %
54	Chatham	83,475	76,285	76,285	91.39 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	83,475	144,171	7,190	8.61 %	4.99 %

District - County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
55	Anson	84,549	22,055	22,055	26.09 %	100.00 %
	Union	84,549	238,267	62,494	73.91 %	26.23 %
56	Orange	86,087	148,696	86,087	100.00 %	57.89 %
57	Guilford	91,158	541,299	91,158	100.00 %	16.84 %
58	Guilford	89,713	541,299	89,713	100.00 %	16.57 %
59	Guilford	87,559	541,299	87,559	100.00 %	16.18 %
60	Guilford	90,919	541,299	90,919	100.00 %	16.80 %
61	Guilford	90,814	541,299	90,814	100.00 %	16.78 %
62	Guilford	91,136	541,299	91,136	100.00 %	16.84 %
63	Alamance	83,540	171,415	83,540	100.00 %	48.74 %
64	Alamance	87,875	171,415	87,875	100.00 %	51.26 %
65	Rockingham	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
66	Wake	84,245	1,129,410	84,245	100.00 %	7.46 %
67	Montgomery	88,255	25,751	25,751	29.18 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	88,255	62,504	62,504	70.82 %	100.00 %
68	Union	86,978	238,267	86,978	100.00 %	36.50 %
69	Union	88,795	238,267	88,795	100.00 %	37.27 %
70	Randolph	89,118	144,171	89,118	100.00 %	61.81 %
71	Forsyth	83,748	382,590	83,748	100.00 %	21.89 %
72	Forsyth	83,262	382,590	83,262	100.00 %	21.76 %
73	Cabarrus	90,390	225,804	90,390	100.00 %	40.03 %
74	Forsyth	89,692	382,590	89,692	100.00 %	23.44 %
75	Forsyth	84,659	382,590	84,659	100.00 %	22.13 %
76	Rowan	89,815	146,875	89,815	100.00 %	61.15 %
77	Davie	90,628	42,712	42,712	47.13 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	90,628	146,875	10,702	11.81 %	7.29 %
	Yadkin	90,628	37,214	37,214	41.06 %	100.00 %
78	Moore	86,365	99,727	38,502	44.58 %	38.61 %
	Randolph	86,365	144,171	47,863	55.42 %	33.20 %
79	Beaufort	83,163	44,652	44,652	53.69 %	100.00 %
	Dare	83,163	36,915	21,646	26.03 %	58.64 %
	Hyde	83,163	4,589	4,589	5.52 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	83,163	12,276	12,276	14.76 %	100.00 %
80	Davidson	84,864	168,930	84,864	100.00 %	50.24 %
81	Davidson	84,066	168,930	84,066	100.00 %	49.76 %
82	Cabarrus	90,805	225,804	90,805	100.00 %	40.21 %
83	Cabarrus	90,967	225,804	44,609	49.04 %	19.76 %
	Rowan	90,967	146,875	46,358	50.96 %	31.56 %
84	Iredell	85,875	186,693	85,875	100.00 %	46.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
85	Avery	89,525	17,806	17,806	19.89 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	89,525	44,578	38,346	42.83 %	86.02 %
	Mitchell	89,525	14,903	14,903	16.65 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	89,525	18,470	18,470	20.63 %	100.00 %
86	Burke	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
87	Caldwell	85,009	80,652	80,652	94.87 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	85,009	54,086	4,357	5.13 %	8.06 %
88	Mecklenburg	86,239	1,115,482	86,239	100.00 %	7.73 %
89	Catawba	85,577	160,610	71,023	82.99 %	44.22 %
	Iredell	85,577	186,693	14,554	17.01 %	7.80 %
90	Surry	82,937	71,359	71,359	86.04 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	82,937	65,969	11,578	13.96 %	17.55 %
91	Forsyth	85,749	382,590	41,229	48.08 %	10.78 %
	Stokes	85,749	44,520	44,520	51.92 %	100.00 %
92	Mecklenburg	89,640	1,115,482	89,640	100.00 %	8.04 %
93	Alleghany	87,194	10,888	10,888	12.49 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	87,194	26,577	26,577	30.48 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	87,194	54,086	49,729	57.03 %	91.94 %
94	Alexander	90,835	36,444	36,444	40.12 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	90,835	65,969	54,391	59.88 %	82.45 %
95	Iredell	86,264	186,693	86,264	100.00 %	46.21 %
96	Catawba	89,587	160,610	89,587	100.00 %	55.78 %
97	Lincoln	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
98	Mecklenburg	82,669	1,115,482	82,669	100.00 %	7.41 %
99	Mecklenburg	82,746	1,115,482	82,746	100.00 %	7.42 %
100	Mecklenburg	82,932	1,115,482	82,932	100.00 %	7.43 %
101	Mecklenburg	84,391	1,115,482	84,391	100.00 %	7.57 %
102	Mecklenburg	87,483	1,115,482	87,483	100.00 %	7.84 %
103	Mecklenburg	88,259	1,115,482	88,259	100.00 %	7.91 %
104	Mecklenburg	84,647	1,115,482	84,647	100.00 %	7.59 %
105	Mecklenburg	89,460	1,115,482	89,460	100.00 %	8.02 %
106	Mecklenburg	86,758	1,115,482	86,758	100.00 %	7.78 %
107	Mecklenburg	86,497	1,115,482	86,497	100.00 %	7.75 %
108	Gaston	84,457	227,943	84,457	100.00 %	37.05 %
109	Gaston	87,462	227,943	87,462	100.00 %	38.37 %
110	Cleveland	89,588	99,519	33,564	37.46 %	33.73 %
	Gaston	89,588	227,943	56,024	62.54 %	24.58 %
111	Cleveland	90,809	99,519	65,955	72.63 %	66.27 %
	Rutherford	90,809	64,444	24,854	27.37 %	38.57 %
112	Mecklenburg	83,761	1,115,482	83,761	100.00 %	7.51 %

District - County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
113	Henderson	91,102	116,281	25,952	28.49 %	22.32 %
	McDowell	91,102	44,578	6,232	6.84 %	13.98 %
	Polk	91,102	19,328	19,328	21.22 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	91,102	64,444	39,590	43.46 %	61.43 %
114	Buncombe	89,628	269,452	89,628	100.00 %	33.26 %
115	Buncombe	88,843	269,452	88,843	100.00 %	32.97 %
116	Buncombe	90,981	269,452	90,981	100.00 %	33.77 %
117	Henderson	90,329	116,281	90,329	100.00 %	77.68 %
118	Haywood	83,282	62,089	62,089	74.55 %	100.00 %
	Madison	83,282	21,193	21,193	25.45 %	100.00 %
119	Jackson	90,212	43,109	43,109	47.79 %	100.00 %
	Swain	90,212	14,117	14,117	15.65 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	90,212	32,986	32,986	36.56 %	100.00 %
120	Cherokee	84,907	28,774	28,774	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Clay	84,907	11,089	11,089	13.06 %	100.00 %
	Graham	84,907	8,030	8,030	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,907	37,014	37,014	43.59 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Split Counties: 36

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier	37	5,265	88,334	556	10.56 %	0.63 %
	53	5,265	89,622	4,709	89.44 %	5.25 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale	60	11,907	90,919	380	3.19 %	0.42 %
	70	11,907	89,118	11,527	96.81 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,628	37,562	39.71 %	41.91 %
	115	94,589	88,843	30,907	32.68 %	34.79 %
	116	94,589	90,981	26,120	27.61 %	28.71 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain	85	675	89,525	62	9.19 %	0.07 %
	93	675	87,194	613	90.81 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
	53	3,967	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	88,843	1,409	100.00 %	1.59 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,628	8,426	100.00 %	9.40 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock	87	1,376	85,009	96	6.98 %	0.11 %
	93	1,376	87,194	1,280	93.02 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway	6	1,267	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington	59	57,303	87,559	1,822	3.18 %	2.08 %
	63	57,303	83,540	20,671	36.07 %	24.74 %
	64	57,303	87,875	34,810	60.75 %	39.61 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
	78	813	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary	11	174,721	85,987	15,301	8.76 %	17.79 %
	21	174,721	88,313	57,857	33.11 %	65.51 %
	36	174,721	89,336	8,272	4.73 %	9.26 %
	37	174,721	88,334	2,012	1.15 %	2.28 %
	41	174,721	84,646	70,058	40.10 %	82.77 %
	49	174,721	84,041	17,512	10.02 %	20.84 %
	54	174,721	83,475	3,709	2.12 %	4.44 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill	29	61,960	90,900	2,906	4.69 %	3.20 %
	56	61,960	86,087	59,054	95.31 %	68.60 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	86,239	81,695	9.34 %	94.73 %
	92	874,579	89,640	69,175	7.91 %	77.17 %
	99	874,579	82,746	78,624	8.99 %	95.02 %
	100	874,579	82,932	82,932	9.48 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	84,391	73,459	8.40 %	87.05 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	84,647	84,647	9.68 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	89,460	78,415	8.97 %	87.65 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	83,761	45,730	5.23 %	54.60 %
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	90,967	4,434	100.00 %	4.87 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
	33	26,307	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	90,390	53,986	51.30 %	59.73 %
	82	105,240	90,805	43,784	41.60 %	48.22 %
	83	105,240	90,967	7,470	7.10 %	8.21 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson	95	15,106	86,264	378	2.50 %	0.44 %
	98	15,106	82,669	14,728	97.50 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham	2	283,506	90,751	28,462	10.04 %	31.36 %
	21	283,506	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	29	283,506	90,900	85,000	29.98 %	93.51 %
	30	283,506	91,038	89,784	31.67 %	98.62 %
	31	283,506	91,241	79,847	28.16 %	87.51 %
	40	283,506	90,047	269	0.09 %	0.30 %
	49	283,506	84,041	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	50	283,506	85,345	144	0.05 %	0.17 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City	5	18,631	82,953	18,631	100.00 %	22.46 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elkin	90	4,122	82,937	4,122	100.00 %	4.97 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elm City	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
	22	784	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon	22	324	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
	53	34,152	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville	59	8,920	87,559	4,642	52.04 %	5.30 %
	64	8,920	87,875	4,278	47.96 %	4.87 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %
Grifton	9	2,448	85,154	2,301	94.00 %	2.70 %
	12	2,448	84,745	147	6.00 %	0.17 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells	4	160	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	90,390	18,602	98.08 %	20.58 %
	83	18,967	90,967	365	1.92 %	0.40 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory	86	43,490	87,570	79	0.18 %	0.09 %
	87	43,490	85,009	32	0.07 %	0.04 %
	89	43,490	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,490	89,587	43,379	99.74 %	48.42 %
Highlands	119	1,072	90,212	12	1.12 %	0.01 %
	120	1,072	84,907	1,060	98.88 %	1.25 %
High Point	58	114,059	89,713	2,538	2.23 %	2.83 %
	60	114,059	90,919	77,884	68.28 %	85.66 %
	62	114,059	91,136	26,899	23.58 %	29.52 %
	70	114,059	89,118	8	0.01 %	0.01 %
	75	114,059	84,659	84	0.07 %	0.10 %
	80	114,059	84,864	6,646	5.83 %	7.83 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis	82	53,114	90,805	37,735	71.05 %	41.56 %
	83	53,114	90,967	15,379	28.95 %	16.91 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly	24	1,491	83,322	198	13.28 %	0.24 %
	28	1,491	85,389	1,293	86.72 %	1.51 %
Kernersville	62	26,449	91,136	502	1.90 %	0.55 %
	75	26,449	84,659	25,947	98.10 %	30.65 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King	91	7,197	85,749	7,197	100.00 %	8.39 %
Kings Mountain	110	11,142	89,588	1,110	9.96 %	1.24 %
	111	11,142	90,809	10,032	90.04 %	11.05 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	90,967	3,690	100.00 %	4.06 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust	67	4,537	88,255	3,996	88.08 %	4.53 %
	83	4,537	90,967	541	11.92 %	0.59 %
Long View	86	5,088	87,570	735	14.45 %	0.84 %
	96	5,088	89,587	4,353	85.55 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
	97	3,736	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton	47	2,110	82,846	1,902	90.14 %	2.30 %
	48	2,110	86,256	208	9.86 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
Mebane	50	17,797	85,345	3,171	17.82 %	3.72 %
	63	17,797	83,540	14,626	82.18 %	17.51 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland	83	4,684	90,967	4,684	100.00 %	5.15 %
	112	4,684	83,761	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill	69	26,450	88,795	6	0.02 %	0.01 %
	112	26,450	83,761	26,444	99.98 %	31.57 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,628	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Mooresboro	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Morrisville	21	29,630	88,313	29,423	99.30 %	33.32 %
	31	29,630	91,241	207	0.70 %	0.23 %
	41	29,630	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	83,138	4,198	100.00 %	5.05 %
Mount Pleasant	83	1,671	90,967	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	92	10,602	89,640	3,621	34.15 %	4.04 %
	105	10,602	89,460	6,981	65.85 %	7.80 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh	11	467,665	85,987	52,233	11.17 %	60.75 %
	31	467,665	91,241	1,559	0.33 %	1.71 %
	33	467,665	86,863	21,874	4.68 %	25.18 %
	34	467,665	83,302	81,107	17.34 %	97.37 %
	35	467,665	86,765	16,132	3.45 %	18.59 %
	38	467,665	90,560	87,892	18.79 %	97.05 %
	39	467,665	86,971	11,902	2.54 %	13.69 %
	40	467,665	90,047	48,709	10.42 %	54.09 %
	41	467,665	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	467,665	84,041	64,064	13.70 %	76.23 %
	66	467,665	84,245	82,193	17.58 %	97.56 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
	48	3,087	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss	86	997	87,570	639	64.09 %	0.73 %
	87	997	85,009	358	35.91 %	0.42 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount	23	54,341	88,865	15,414	28.37 %	17.35 %
	24	54,341	83,322	241	0.44 %	0.29 %
	25	54,341	90,432	38,686	71.19 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	90,751	8,134	100.00 %	8.96 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
	87	1,226	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda	113	631	91,102	631	100.00 %	0.69 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils	85	313	89,525	38	12.14 %	0.04 %
	93	313	87,194	275	87.86 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg	23	1,697	88,865	215	12.67 %	0.24 %
	24	1,697	83,322	1,482	87.33 %	1.78 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings	68	16,112	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	16,112	88,795	15,728	97.62 %	17.71 %
	103	16,112	88,259	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
	112	16,112	83,761	373	2.32 %	0.45 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City	16	3,867	85,097	3,867	100.00 %	4.54 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Swepsonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville	70	27,183	89,118	521	1.92 %	0.58 %
	80	27,183	84,864	26,662	98.08 %	31.42 %
Tobaccoville	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest	7	47,601	86,439	1,504	3.16 %	1.74 %
	35	47,601	86,765	46,097	96.84 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
	16	3,413	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,981	4,567	100.00 %	5.02 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington	68	13,181	86,978	13,172	99.93 %	15.14 %
	69	13,181	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
	103	13,181	88,259	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers	23	627	88,865	290	46.25 %	0.33 %
	25	627	90,432	337	53.75 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,981	7,936	100.00 %	8.72 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon	26	6,903	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**6,017,605**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 553

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 108

Splits Involving Population: 75

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier (Harnett)	53	4,709	89,622	4,709	100.00 %	5.25 %
Angier (Wake)	37	556	88,334	556	100.00 %	0.63 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale (Guilford)	60	380	90,919	380	100.00 %	0.42 %
Archdale (Randolph)	70	11,527	89,118	11,527	100.00 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,628	37,562	39.71 %	41.91 %
	115	94,589	88,843	30,907	32.68 %	34.79 %
	116	94,589	90,981	26,120	27.61 %	28.71 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	85	62	89,525	62	100.00 %	0.07 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	93	613	87,194	613	100.00 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	88,843	1,409	100.00 %	1.59 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,628	8,426	100.00 %	9.40 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	87	91	85,009	91	100.00 %	0.11 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87	1,285	85,009	5	0.39 %	0.01 %
	93	1,285	87,194	1,280	99.61 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway (Harnett)	6	0	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington (Alamance)	63	55,481	83,540	20,671	37.26 %	24.74 %
	64	55,481	87,875	34,810	62.74 %	39.61 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Guilford)	59	1,822	87,559	1,822	100.00 %	2.08 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor (Montgomery)	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
Candor (Moore)	78	0	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	54	3,709	83,475	3,709	100.00 %	4.44 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	85,987	15,301	8.95 %	17.79 %
	21	171,012	88,313	57,857	33.83 %	65.51 %
	36	171,012	89,336	8,272	4.84 %	9.26 %
	37	171,012	88,334	2,012	1.18 %	2.28 %
	41	171,012	84,646	70,058	40.97 %	82.77 %
	49	171,012	84,041	17,512	10.24 %	20.84 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	29	2,906	90,900	2,906	100.00 %	3.20 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	56	59,054	86,087	59,054	100.00 %	68.60 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	86,239	81,695	9.34 %	94.73 %
	92	874,579	89,640	69,175	7.91 %	77.17 %
	99	874,579	82,746	78,624	8.99 %	95.02 %
	100	874,579	82,932	82,932	9.48 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	84,391	73,459	8.40 %	87.05 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	84,647	84,647	9.68 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	89,460	78,415	8.97 %	87.65 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	83,761	45,730	5.23 %	54.60 %
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	90,967	4,434	100.00 %	4.87 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton (Johnston)	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	90,390	53,986	51.30 %	59.73 %
	82	105,240	90,805	43,784	41.60 %	48.22 %
	83	105,240	90,967	7,470	7.10 %	8.21 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson (Iredell)	95	378	86,264	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	98	14,728	82,669	14,728	100.00 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham (Durham)	2	283,093	90,751	28,462	10.05 %	31.36 %
	29	283,093	90,900	85,000	30.03 %	93.51 %
	30	283,093	91,038	89,784	31.72 %	98.62 %
	31	283,093	91,241	79,847	28.21 %	87.51 %
Durham (Orange)	50	144	85,345	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Durham (Wake)	21	269	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	269	90,047	269	100.00 %	0.30 %
	49	269	84,041	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	5	38	82,953	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	5	18,593	82,953	18,593	100.00 %	22.41 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elkin (Surry)	90	4,049	82,937	4,049	100.00 %	4.88 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	90	73	82,937	73	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %
Elm City (Nash)	24	0	83,322	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison (Duplin)	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
Faison (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	64	4,278	87,875	4,278	100.00 %	4.87 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	59	4,642	87,559	4,642	100.00 %	5.30 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	84,745	147	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grifton (Pitt)	9	2,301	85,154	2,301	100.00 %	2.70 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells (Duplin)	4	0	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	90,390	18,602	98.08 %	20.58 %
	83	18,967	90,967	365	1.92 %	0.40 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory (Burke)	86	79	87,570	79	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	87	32	85,009	32	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Catawba)	89	43,379	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,379	89,587	43,379	100.00 %	48.42 %
High Point (Davidson)	80	6,646	84,864	6,646	100.00 %	7.83 %
High Point (Forsyth)	75	84	84,659	84	100.00 %	0.10 %
High Point (Guilford)	58	107,321	89,713	2,538	2.36 %	2.83 %
	60	107,321	90,919	77,884	72.57 %	85.66 %
	62	107,321	91,136	26,899	25.06 %	29.52 %
High Point (Randolph)	70	8	89,118	8	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Highlands (Jackson)	119	12	90,212	12	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Macon)	120	1,060	84,907	1,060	100.00 %	1.25 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	82	42,846	90,805	37,735	88.07 %	41.56 %
	83	42,846	90,967	5,111	11.93 %	5.62 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	83	10,268	90,967	10,268	100.00 %	11.29 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly (Johnston)	28	1,293	85,389	1,293	100.00 %	1.51 %
Kenly (Wilson)	24	198	83,322	198	100.00 %	0.24 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	75	25,947	84,659	25,947	100.00 %	30.65 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	62	502	91,136	502	100.00 %	0.55 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King (Forsyth)	91	591	85,749	591	100.00 %	0.69 %
King (Stokes)	91	6,606	85,749	6,606	100.00 %	7.70 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	110	10,032	89,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	111	10,032	90,809	10,032	100.00 %	11.05 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	110	1,110	89,588	1,110	100.00 %	1.24 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	90,967	3,690	100.00 %	4.06 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	83	541	90,967	541	100.00 %	0.59 %
Locust (Stanly)	67	3,996	88,255	3,996	100.00 %	4.53 %
Long View (Burke)	86	735	87,570	735	100.00 %	0.84 %
Long View (Catawba)	96	4,353	89,587	4,353	100.00 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden (Catawba)	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	97	0	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton (Robeson)	47	1,902	82,846	1,902	100.00 %	2.30 %
Maxton (Scotland)	48	208	86,256	208	100.00 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mebane (Alamance)	63	14,626	83,540	14,626	100.00 %	17.51 %
Mebane (Orange)	50	3,171	85,345	3,171	100.00 %	3.72 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	83	4,684	90,967	4,684	100.00 %	5.15 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	112	0	83,761	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	112	26,444	83,761	26,444	100.00 %	31.57 %
Mint Hill (Union)	69	6	88,795	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,628	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Mooresboro	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville (Durham)	31	207	91,241	207	100.00 %	0.23 %
Morrisville (Wake)	21	29,423	88,313	29,423	100.00 %	33.32 %
	41	29,423	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	4	5	83,138	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	4	4,193	83,138	4,193	100.00 %	5.04 %
Mount Pleasant	83	1,671	90,967	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	92	10,602	89,640	3,621	34.15 %	4.04 %
	105	10,602	89,460	6,981	65.85 %	7.80 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh (Durham)	31	1,559	91,241	1,559	100.00 %	1.71 %
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	85,987	52,233	11.21 %	60.75 %
	33	466,106	86,863	21,874	4.69 %	25.18 %
	34	466,106	83,302	81,107	17.40 %	97.37 %
	35	466,106	86,765	16,132	3.46 %	18.59 %
	38	466,106	90,560	87,892	18.86 %	97.05 %
	39	466,106	86,971	11,902	2.55 %	13.69 %
	40	466,106	90,047	48,709	10.45 %	54.09 %
	41	466,106	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	466,106	84,041	64,064	13.74 %	76.23 %
	66	466,106	84,245	82,193	17.63 %	97.56 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	48	0	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	86	639	87,570	639	100.00 %	0.73 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	87	358	85,009	358	100.00 %	0.42 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	23	15,414	88,865	15,414	100.00 %	17.35 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	24	38,927	83,322	241	0.62 %	0.29 %
	25	38,927	90,432	38,686	99.38 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	90,751	8,134	100.00 %	8.96 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	87	0	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda (Henderson)	113	11	91,102	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	113	620	91,102	620	100.00 %	0.68 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	85	38	89,525	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	93	275	87,194	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	23	215	88,865	215	100.00 %	0.24 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	24	1,061	83,322	1,061	100.00 %	1.27 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	24	421	83,322	421	100.00 %	0.51 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	103	384	88,259	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
	112	384	83,761	373	97.14 %	0.45 %
Stallings (Union)	68	15,728	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	15,728	88,795	15,728	100.00 %	17.71 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City (Onslow)	16	334	85,097	334	100.00 %	0.39 %
Surf City (Pender)	16	3,533	85,097	3,533	100.00 %	4.15 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Swepsonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	80	26,662	84,864	26,662	100.00 %	31.42 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	70	521	89,118	521	100.00 %	0.58 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	91	0	85,749	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	7	1,504	86,439	1,504	100.00 %	1.74 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	86,765	46,097	100.00 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace (Duplin)	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
Wallace (Pender)	16	0	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,981	4,567	100.00 %	5.02 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	103	5	88,259	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Weddington (Union)	68	13,176	86,978	13,172	99.97 %	15.14 %
	69	13,176	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	23	290	88,865	290	100.00 %	0.33 %
Whitakers (Nash)	25	337	90,432	337	100.00 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,981	7,936	100.00 %	8.72 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	26	0	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**6,017,605**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 77

Splits Involving Population: 51

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Columbia	84,330	610	610	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	84,330	207	207	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Duck	84,330	742	742	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	84,330	4,460	4,460	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	84,330	1,934	1,934	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	84,330	7,656	7,118	8.44 %	92.97 %
	Kitty Hawk	84,330	3,689	3,689	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	84,330	3,320	3,320	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Roper	84,330	485	485	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	84,330	3,090	3,090	3.66 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	84,330	555	555	0.66 %	100.00 %
2	Durham (Durham)	90,751	283,093	28,462	31.36 %	10.05 %
	Roxboro	90,751	8,134	8,134	8.96 %	100.00 %
3	Bridgeton	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	85,099	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Dover	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	85,099	16,621	5,986	7.03 %	36.01 %
	New Bern	85,099	31,291	31,291	36.77 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	85,099	2,902	2,902	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	85,099	4,074	4,074	4.79 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	85,099	869	869	1.02 %	100.00 %
4	Beulaville	83,138	1,116	1,116	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	83,138	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	83,138	784	784	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	83,138	33,657	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Greenevers	83,138	567	567	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	83,138	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	83,138	770	770	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	83,138	831	831	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	83,138	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	83,138	4,193	4,193	5.04 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	83,138	1,371	1,371	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	83,138	55	55	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	83,138	448	448	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	83,138	3,413	3,413	4.11 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	83,138	1,084	1,084	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	83,138	2,733	2,733	3.29 %	100.00 %
5	Ahoskie	82,953	4,891	4,891	5.90 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Como	82,953	67	67	0.08 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Elizabeth City (Camden)	82,953	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	82,953	18,593	18,593	22.41 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	82,953	85	85	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	82,953	2,619	2,619	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Winton	82,953	629	629	0.76 %	100.00 %
6	Broadway (Harnett)	84,016	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Erwin	84,016	4,542	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	84,016	4,735	4,735	5.64 %	100.00 %
7	Bunn	86,439	327	327	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	86,439	4,866	4,866	5.63 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	86,439	2,456	2,456	2.84 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	86,439	3,064	3,064	3.54 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	86,439	1,504	1,504	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	86,439	2,016	2,016	2.33 %	100.00 %
8	Bethel	85,089	1,373	1,373	1.61 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	85,089	47	47	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	85,089	4,461	4,461	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	85,089	385	385	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,089	87,521	42,222	49.62 %	48.24 %
	Grimesland	85,089	386	386	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	85,089	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
9	Ayden	85,154	4,977	4,977	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,154	87,521	45,299	53.20 %	51.76 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	85,154	2,301	2,301	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	85,154	10,462	10,462	12.29 %	100.00 %
10	Eureka	82,910	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	82,910	1,196	1,196	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	82,910	33,657	33,652	40.59 %	99.99 %
	Pikeville	82,910	712	712	0.86 %	100.00 %
11	Cary (Wake)	85,987	171,012	15,301	17.79 %	8.95 %
	Garner	85,987	31,159	490	0.57 %	1.57 %
	Holly Springs	85,987	41,239	1,355	1.58 %	3.29 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,987	466,106	52,233	60.75 %	11.21 %
12	Grifton (Lenoir)	84,745	147	147	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	84,745	413	413	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	84,745	19,900	19,900	23.48 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	84,745	2,595	2,595	3.06 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	84,745	818	818	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	84,745	451	451	0.53 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	Pollocksville	84,745	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	84,745	1,481	1,481	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	84,745	238	238	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	84,745	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
13	Atlantic Beach	83,307	1,364	1,364	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	83,307	4,464	4,464	5.36 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	83,307	695	695	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	83,307	2,224	2,224	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	83,307	1,764	1,764	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	83,307	3,847	3,847	4.62 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	83,307	16,621	10,635	12.77 %	63.99 %
	Indian Beach	83,307	223	223	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	83,307	9,556	9,556	11.47 %	100.00 %
	Newport	83,307	4,364	4,364	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	83,307	769	769	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	83,307	1,388	1,388	1.67 %	100.00 %
14	Jacksonville	90,506	72,723	47,353	52.32 %	65.11 %
	Swansboro	90,506	3,744	3,744	4.14 %	100.00 %
15	Jacksonville	89,176	72,723	25,370	28.45 %	34.89 %
	Richlands	89,176	2,287	2,287	2.56 %	100.00 %
16	Atkinson	85,097	296	296	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	85,097	3,088	3,088	3.63 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	85,097	4,171	4,171	4.90 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	85,097	1,005	1,005	1.18 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	85,097	417	417	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	85,097	334	334	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	85,097	3,533	3,533	4.15 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	85,097	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	85,097	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	85,097	181	181	0.21 %	100.00 %
17	Belville	89,763	2,406	2,406	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	89,763	2,011	2,011	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	89,763	4,588	4,588	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	89,763	921	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Leland	89,763	22,908	22,908	25.52 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	89,763	1,367	1,367	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	89,763	703	703	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	89,763	867	867	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	89,763	248	248	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	89,763	4,185	4,185	4.66 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
17	Sunset Beach	89,763	4,175	4,175	4.65 %	100.00 %
18	Wilmington	90,862	115,451	37,227	40.97 %	32.24 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,862	2,473	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
19	Bald Head Island	91,041	268	268	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	91,041	5,943	5,943	6.53 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	91,041	149	149	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	91,041	6,564	6,564	7.21 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	91,041	395	395	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	91,041	921	921	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	91,041	2,191	2,191	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	91,041	8,396	8,396	9.22 %	100.00 %
	Southport	91,041	3,971	3,971	4.36 %	100.00 %
	St. James	91,041	6,529	6,529	7.17 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	91,041	525	525	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	91,041	115,451	8,207	9.01 %	7.11 %
20	Wilmington	90,729	115,451	70,017	77.17 %	60.65 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,729	2,473	2,473	2.73 %	100.00 %
21	Apex	88,313	58,780	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,313	171,012	57,857	65.51 %	33.83 %
	Durham (Wake)	88,313	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	88,313	29,423	29,423	33.32 %	100.00 %
22	Autryville	88,642	167	167	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	88,642	1,648	1,648	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	88,642	614	614	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	88,642	8,383	8,383	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	88,642	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	88,642	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	88,642	3,296	3,296	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	88,642	595	595	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	88,642	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	88,642	585	585	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	88,642	1,163	1,163	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	88,642	457	457	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	88,642	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	88,642	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	88,642	843	843	0.95 %	100.00 %
23	Askewville	88,865	184	184	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	88,865	763	763	0.86 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
23	Bear Grass	88,865	89	89	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	88,865	217	217	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	88,865	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	88,865	150	150	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	88,865	306	306	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	88,865	49	49	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	88,865	424	424	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	88,865	203	203	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	88,865	37	37	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	88,865	426	426	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	88,865	413	413	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	88,865	266	266	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	88,865	243	243	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	88,865	1,200	1,200	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	88,865	189	189	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	88,865	1,254	1,254	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	88,865	1,269	1,269	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	88,865	15,414	15,414	17.35 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	88,865	187	187	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	88,865	215	215	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Speed	88,865	63	63	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	88,865	10,721	10,721	12.06 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	88,865	290	290	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	88,865	5,248	5,248	5.91 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	88,865	3,582	3,582	4.03 %	100.00 %
24	Black Creek	83,322	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	83,322	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	83,322	1,218	1,218	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	83,322	198	198	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	83,322	1,036	1,036	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	83,322	38,927	241	0.29 %	0.62 %
	Saratoga	83,322	353	353	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	83,322	1,061	1,061	1.27 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	83,322	421	421	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Sims	83,322	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	83,322	762	762	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	83,322	47,851	47,851	57.43 %	100.00 %
25	Bailey	90,432	568	568	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	90,432	264	264	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	90,432	1,082	1,082	1.20 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
25	Middlesex	90,432	912	912	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	90,432	277	277	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	90,432	5,632	5,632	6.23 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	90,432	3,342	3,342	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	90,432	38,927	38,686	42.78 %	99.38 %
	Spring Hope	90,432	1,309	1,309	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	90,432	337	337	0.37 %	100.00 %
26	Archer Lodge	90,540	4,797	4,797	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	90,540	26,307	26,307	29.06 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	90,540	2,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	90,540	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
27	Conway	84,735	752	752	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	84,735	1,865	1,865	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	84,735	904	904	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	84,735	1,008	1,008	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	84,735	170	170	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	84,735	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	84,735	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	84,735	64	64	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	84,735	559	559	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,735	110	110	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	84,735	920	920	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	84,735	894	894	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	84,735	15,229	15,229	17.97 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	84,735	1,640	1,640	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	84,735	542	542	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Severn	84,735	191	191	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	84,735	851	851	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	84,735	1,444	1,444	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Woodland	84,735	557	557	0.66 %	100.00 %
28	Benson (Johnston)	85,389	3,967	3,967	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	85,389	2,158	2,158	2.53 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	85,389	1,293	1,293	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Micro	85,389	458	458	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	85,389	2,046	2,046	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	85,389	1,315	1,315	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Selma	85,389	6,317	6,317	7.40 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	85,389	11,292	11,292	13.22 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	85,389	2,534	2,534	2.97 %	100.00 %
29	Chapel Hill (Durham)	90,900	2,906	2,906	3.20 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
29	Durham (Durham)	90,900	283,093	85,000	93.51 %	30.03 %
30	Durham (Durham)	91,038	283,093	89,784	98.62 %	31.72 %
31	Durham (Durham)	91,241	283,093	79,847	87.51 %	28.21 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	91,241	207	207	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	91,241	1,559	1,559	1.71 %	100.00 %
32	Butner	85,704	8,397	8,397	9.80 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	85,704	15,060	15,060	17.57 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	85,704	132	132	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	85,704	101	101	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	85,704	8,628	8,628	10.07 %	100.00 %
	Stem	85,704	960	960	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	85,704	324	324	0.38 %	100.00 %
33	Clayton (Wake)	86,863	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,863	34,152	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	86,863	31,159	30,658	35.29 %	98.39 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,863	466,106	21,874	25.18 %	4.69 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	83,302	466,106	81,107	97.37 %	17.40 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	86,765	466,106	16,132	18.59 %	3.46 %
	Rolesville	86,765	9,475	9,475	10.92 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	86,765	46,097	46,097	53.13 %	100.00 %
36	Apex	89,336	58,780	45,473	50.90 %	77.36 %
	Cary (Wake)	89,336	171,012	8,272	9.26 %	4.84 %
	Holly Springs	89,336	41,239	25,760	28.83 %	62.47 %
37	Angier (Wake)	88,334	556	556	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,334	171,012	2,012	2.28 %	1.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	88,334	34,152	34,152	38.66 %	100.00 %
	Garner	88,334	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	88,334	41,239	14,124	15.99 %	34.25 %
38	Garner	90,560	31,159	11	0.01 %	0.04 %
	Knightdale	90,560	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,560	466,106	87,892	97.05 %	18.86 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	86,971	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	86,971	19,435	19,435	22.35 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,971	466,106	11,902	13.69 %	2.55 %
	Wendell	86,971	9,793	9,793	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	86,971	6,903	6,903	7.94 %	100.00 %
40	Durham (Wake)	90,047	269	269	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,047	466,106	48,709	54.09 %	10.45 %
41	Apex	84,646	58,780	13,301	15.71 %	22.63 %
	Cary (Wake)	84,646	171,012	70,058	82.77 %	40.97 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
41	Morrisville (Wake)	84,646	29,423	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,646	466,106	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
42	Fayetteville	84,462	208,501	67,330	79.72 %	32.29 %
	Spring Lake	84,462	11,660	11,660	13.81 %	100.00 %
43	Eastover	83,198	3,656	3,656	4.39 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	83,198	324	324	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	83,198	208,501	35,621	42.81 %	17.08 %
	Godwin	83,198	128	128	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Linden	83,198	136	136	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	83,198	1,277	1,277	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Wade	83,198	638	638	0.77 %	100.00 %
44	Fayetteville	83,751	208,501	78,340	93.54 %	37.57 %
45	Fayetteville	83,317	208,501	27,210	32.66 %	13.05 %
	Hope Mills	83,317	17,808	17,808	21.37 %	100.00 %
46	Boardman	84,307	166	166	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	84,307	519	519	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	84,307	973	973	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	84,307	131	131	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	84,307	1,574	1,574	1.87 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	84,307	709	709	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	84,307	1,296	1,296	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	84,307	82	82	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	84,307	19,025	454	0.54 %	2.39 %
	Orrum	84,307	59	59	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	84,307	504	504	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	84,307	121	121	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	84,307	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	84,307	2,045	2,045	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	84,307	3,781	3,781	4.48 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	84,307	4,766	4,766	5.65 %	100.00 %
47	Fairmont	82,846	2,191	2,191	2.64 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	82,846	19,025	18,571	22.42 %	97.61 %
	Marietta	82,846	111	111	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	82,846	1,902	1,902	2.30 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	82,846	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	82,846	2,823	2,823	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	82,846	60	60	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	82,846	3,087	3,087	3.73 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	82,846	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	82,846	885	885	1.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
48	East Laurinburg	86,256	234	234	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	86,256	449	449	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	86,256	14,978	14,978	17.36 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	86,256	208	208	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	86,256	4,559	4,559	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	86,256	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wagram	86,256	615	615	0.71 %	100.00 %
49	Cary (Wake)	84,041	171,012	17,512	20.84 %	10.24 %
	Durham (Wake)	84,041	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,041	466,106	64,064	76.23 %	13.74 %
50	Carrboro	85,345	21,295	174	0.20 %	0.82 %
	Durham (Orange)	85,345	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	85,345	9,660	9,660	11.32 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	85,345	3,171	3,171	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Milton	85,345	155	155	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	85,345	1,937	1,937	2.27 %	100.00 %
51	Broadway (Lee)	83,073	1,267	1,267	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	83,073	244	244	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	83,073	2,775	2,747	3.31 %	98.99 %
	Sanford	83,073	30,261	30,261	36.43 %	100.00 %
	Vass	83,073	952	952	1.15 %	100.00 %
52	Aberdeen	84,383	8,516	8,516	10.09 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	84,383	2,775	28	0.03 %	1.01 %
	Dobbins Heights	84,383	687	687	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	84,383	864	864	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	84,383	1,288	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Hamlet	84,383	6,025	6,025	7.14 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	84,383	418	418	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Norman	84,383	100	100	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	84,383	1,473	1,473	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	84,383	17,581	8	0.01 %	0.05 %
	Rockingham	84,383	9,243	9,243	10.95 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	84,383	15,545	15,545	18.42 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	84,383	634	4	0.00 %	0.63 %
	Whispering Pines	84,383	4,987	4,987	5.91 %	100.00 %
53	Angier (Harnett)	89,622	4,709	4,709	5.25 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Coats	89,622	2,155	2,155	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	89,622	8,446	8,446	9.42 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	89,622	4,542	4,542	5.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
53	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	89,622	4,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
54	Cary (Chatham)	83,475	3,709	3,709	4.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	83,475	234	234	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	83,475	2,655	2,655	3.18 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	83,475	4,537	4,537	5.44 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	83,475	7,702	7,702	9.23 %	100.00 %
	Staley	83,475	397	397	0.48 %	100.00 %
55	Ansonville	84,549	440	440	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	84,549	395	395	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	84,549	2,522	2,522	2.98 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	84,549	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	84,549	3,159	193	0.23 %	6.11 %
	Monroe	84,549	34,562	20,688	24.47 %	59.86 %
	Morven	84,549	329	329	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	84,549	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	84,549	2,250	2,250	2.66 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	84,549	5,008	5,008	5.92 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	84,549	20,534	1,631	1.93 %	7.94 %
	Wingate	84,549	4,055	4,055	4.80 %	100.00 %
56	Carrboro	86,087	21,295	21,121	24.53 %	99.18 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	86,087	59,054	59,054	68.60 %	100.00 %
57	Greensboro	91,158	299,035	72,113	79.11 %	24.12 %
	Oak Ridge	91,158	7,474	29	0.03 %	0.39 %
	Summerfield	91,158	10,951	10,951	12.01 %	100.00 %
58	Greensboro	89,713	299,035	72,909	81.27 %	24.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,713	107,321	2,538	2.83 %	2.36 %
	Jamestown	89,713	3,668	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	89,713	5,000	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
59	Burlington (Guilford)	87,559	1,822	1,822	2.08 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	87,559	4,642	4,642	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	87,559	299,035	18,796	21.47 %	6.29 %
	Pleasant Garden	87,559	5,000	5,000	5.71 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	87,559	676	676	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	87,559	584	584	0.67 %	100.00 %
60	Archdale (Guilford)	90,919	380	380	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	90,919	299,035	1,397	1.54 %	0.47 %
	High Point (Guilford)	90,919	107,321	77,884	85.66 %	72.57 %
	Jamestown	90,919	3,668	3,668	4.03 %	100.00 %
61	Greensboro	90,814	299,035	90,814	100.00 %	30.37 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
62	Greensboro	91,136	299,035	43,006	47.19 %	14.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	91,136	107,321	26,899	29.52 %	25.06 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	91,136	502	502	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	91,136	7,474	7,445	8.17 %	99.61 %
	Stokesdale	91,136	5,924	5,924	6.50 %	100.00 %
63	Burlington (Alamance)	83,540	55,481	20,671	24.74 %	37.26 %
	Graham	83,540	17,157	17,157	20.54 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	83,540	3,152	3,152	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	83,540	2,252	2,252	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	83,540	14,626	14,626	17.51 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	83,540	2,445	2,445	2.93 %	100.00 %
64	Alamance	87,875	988	988	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	87,875	55,481	34,810	39.61 %	62.74 %
	Elon	87,875	11,336	11,336	12.90 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	87,875	4,278	4,278	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Graham	87,875	17,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ossipee	87,875	536	536	0.61 %	100.00 %
65	Eden	91,096	15,421	15,421	16.93 %	100.00 %
	Madison	91,096	2,129	2,129	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	91,096	2,418	2,418	2.65 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	91,096	14,583	14,583	16.01 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	91,096	1,308	1,308	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	91,096	2,662	2,662	2.92 %	100.00 %
66	Raleigh (Wake)	84,245	466,106	82,193	97.56 %	17.63 %
67	Albemarle	88,255	16,432	16,432	18.62 %	100.00 %
	Badin	88,255	2,024	2,024	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	88,255	1,848	1,848	2.09 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	88,255	813	813	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	88,255	3,996	3,996	4.53 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	88,255	650	650	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	88,255	1,171	1,171	1.33 %	100.00 %
	New London	88,255	607	607	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	88,255	2,367	2,367	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	88,255	2,128	2,128	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	88,255	762	762	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	88,255	582	582	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	88,255	1,585	1,585	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Star	88,255	806	806	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Troy	88,255	2,850	2,850	3.23 %	100.00 %
68	Indian Trail	86,978	39,997	5,590	6.43 %	13.98 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
68	Marvin	86,978	6,358	6,358	7.31 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	86,978	3,159	2,966	3.41 %	93.89 %
	Monroe	86,978	34,562	1,511	1.74 %	4.37 %
	Stallings (Union)	86,978	15,728	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Waxhaw	86,978	20,534	18,903	21.73 %	92.06 %
	Weddington (Union)	86,978	13,176	13,172	15.14 %	99.97 %
	Wesley Chapel	86,978	8,681	8,449	9.71 %	97.33 %
69	Fairview	88,795	3,456	3,456	3.89 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	88,795	1,614	1,614	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	88,795	39,997	34,407	38.75 %	86.02 %
	Lake Park	88,795	3,269	3,269	3.68 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	88,795	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	88,795	34,562	12,363	13.92 %	35.77 %
	Stallings (Union)	88,795	15,728	15,728	17.71 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	88,795	6,643	6,643	7.48 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	88,795	13,176	4	0.00 %	0.03 %
	Wesley Chapel	88,795	8,681	232	0.26 %	2.67 %
70	Archdale (Randolph)	89,118	11,527	11,527	12.93 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	89,118	27,156	25,890	29.05 %	95.34 %
	High Point (Randolph)	89,118	8	8	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	89,118	4,595	4,595	5.16 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	89,118	521	521	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	89,118	7,006	7,006	7.86 %	100.00 %
71	Winston-Salem	83,748	249,545	81,468	97.28 %	32.65 %
72	Winston-Salem	83,262	249,545	83,262	100.00 %	33.37 %
73	Concord	90,390	105,240	53,986	59.73 %	51.30 %
	Harrisburg	90,390	18,967	18,602	20.58 %	98.08 %
74	Bethania	89,692	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	89,692	21,163	21,163	23.60 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	89,692	13,381	13,381	14.92 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	89,692	249,545	40,157	44.77 %	16.09 %
75	High Point (Forsyth)	84,659	84	84	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,659	25,947	25,947	30.65 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	84,659	5,692	4,257	5.03 %	74.79 %
	Winston-Salem	84,659	249,545	31,045	36.67 %	12.44 %
76	East Spencer	89,815	1,567	1,567	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Faith	89,815	819	819	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	89,815	2,984	2,984	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	89,815	2,302	2,302	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	89,815	35,540	35,540	39.57 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
76	Spencer	89,815	3,308	3,308	3.68 %	100.00 %
77	Bermuda Run	90,628	3,120	3,120	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	90,628	1,185	1,185	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	90,628	846	846	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	90,628	940	940	1.04 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	90,628	634	634	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	90,628	2,308	2,308	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	90,628	5,900	5,900	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	90,628	2,995	2,995	3.30 %	100.00 %
78	Aberdeen	86,365	8,516	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Asheboro	86,365	27,156	1,266	1.47 %	4.66 %
	Candor (Moore)	86,365	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Foxfire	86,365	1,288	1,288	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	86,365	1,197	1,197	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	86,365	17,581	17,573	20.35 %	99.95 %
	Ramseur	86,365	1,774	1,774	2.05 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	86,365	1,168	1,168	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	86,365	235	235	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	86,365	15,545	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Taylortown	86,365	634	630	0.73 %	99.37 %
79	Alliance	83,163	733	733	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	83,163	416	416	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	83,163	455	455	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Bath	83,163	245	245	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	83,163	1,161	1,161	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	83,163	1,410	1,410	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	83,163	722	722	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	83,163	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	83,163	7,656	538	0.65 %	7.03 %
	Manteo	83,163	1,600	1,600	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	83,163	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	83,163	530	530	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	83,163	3,168	3,168	3.81 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	83,163	880	880	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	83,163	164	164	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	83,163	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	83,163	246	246	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Washington	83,163	9,875	9,875	11.87 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	83,163	392	392	0.47 %	100.00 %
80	Denton	84,864	1,494	1,494	1.76 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
80	High Point (Davidson)	84,864	6,646	6,646	7.83 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	84,864	19,632	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	84,864	4,742	3,469	4.09 %	73.15 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	84,864	26,662	26,662	31.42 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	84,864	3,051	3,051	3.60 %	100.00 %
81	Lexington	84,066	19,632	19,632	23.35 %	100.00 %
	Midway	84,066	4,742	1,273	1.51 %	26.85 %
82	Concord	90,805	105,240	43,784	48.22 %	41.60 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,805	42,846	37,735	41.56 %	88.07 %
83	China Grove	90,967	4,434	4,434	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Concord	90,967	105,240	7,470	8.21 %	7.10 %
	Harrisburg	90,967	18,967	365	0.40 %	1.92 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,967	42,846	5,111	5.62 %	11.93 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	90,967	10,268	10,268	11.29 %	100.00 %
	Landis	90,967	3,690	3,690	4.06 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	90,967	541	541	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	90,967	4,684	4,684	5.15 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	90,967	1,671	1,671	1.84 %	100.00 %
84	Harmony	85,875	543	543	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	85,875	154	154	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,875	28,419	28,415	33.09 %	99.99 %
	Troutman	85,875	3,698	885	1.03 %	23.93 %
85	Bakersville	89,525	450	450	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	89,525	1,049	1,049	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	89,525	62	62	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	89,525	1,614	1,614	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	89,525	143	143	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	89,525	542	542	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	89,525	95	95	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Marion	89,525	7,717	7,717	8.62 %	100.00 %
	Newland	89,525	715	715	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	89,525	811	811	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	89,525	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	89,525	2,194	2,194	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	89,525	371	371	0.41 %	100.00 %
86	Connelly Springs	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	87,570	1,760	1,760	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	87,570	79	79	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	87,570	1,679	1,679	1.92 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
86	Long View (Burke)	87,570	735	735	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Morganton	87,570	17,474	17,474	19.95 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	87,570	639	639	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	87,570	1,226	1,226	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	87,570	4,689	4,689	5.35 %	100.00 %
87	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	85,009	91	91	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	85,009	1,285	5	0.01 %	0.39 %
	Boone	85,009	19,092	595	0.70 %	3.12 %
	Cajah's Mountain	85,009	2,722	2,722	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	85,009	301	301	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	85,009	3,702	3,702	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	85,009	4,965	4,965	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	85,009	32	32	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	85,009	3,780	3,780	4.45 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	85,009	18,352	18,352	21.59 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	85,009	358	358	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	85,009	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	85,009	5,020	5,020	5.91 %	100.00 %
88	Charlotte	86,239	874,579	81,695	94.73 %	9.34 %
89	Catawba	85,577	702	702	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	85,577	1,692	1,692	1.98 %	100.00 %
	Conover	85,577	8,421	424	0.50 %	5.04 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	85,577	43,379	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	85,577	3,736	3,736	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Newton	85,577	13,148	13,148	15.36 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,577	28,419	4	0.00 %	0.01 %
	Troutman	85,577	3,698	2,813	3.29 %	76.07 %
90	Dobson	82,937	1,462	1,462	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	82,937	4,049	4,049	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	82,937	73	73	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	82,937	10,676	10,676	12.87 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	82,937	1,440	1,440	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	82,937	438	438	0.53 %	100.00 %
91	Bethania	85,749	344	344	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	85,749	189	189	0.22 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	85,749	591	591	0.69 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	85,749	6,606	6,606	7.70 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	85,749	3,351	3,351	3.91 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	85,749	2,578	2,578	3.01 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	85,749	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
91	Walkertown	85,749	5,692	1,435	1.67 %	25.21 %
	Walnut Cove	85,749	1,586	1,586	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	85,749	249,545	13,613	15.88 %	5.46 %
92	Charlotte	89,640	874,579	69,175	77.17 %	7.91 %
	Pineville	89,640	10,602	3,621	4.04 %	34.15 %
93	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	87,194	613	613	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87,194	1,285	1,280	1.47 %	99.61 %
	Boone	87,194	19,092	18,497	21.21 %	96.88 %
	Jefferson	87,194	1,622	1,622	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	87,194	126	126	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	87,194	275	275	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	87,194	1,834	1,834	2.10 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	87,194	1,279	1,279	1.47 %	100.00 %
94	North Wilkesboro	90,835	4,382	4,382	4.82 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	90,835	2,320	2,320	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	90,835	3,687	3,687	4.06 %	100.00 %
95	Davidson (Iredell)	86,264	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	86,264	50,193	50,193	58.19 %	100.00 %
96	Brookford	89,587	442	442	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Conover	89,587	8,421	7,997	8.93 %	94.96 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	89,587	43,379	43,379	48.42 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	89,587	4,353	4,353	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Newton	89,587	13,148	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
97	Lincolnton	86,810	11,091	11,091	12.78 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	86,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
98	Cornelius	82,669	31,412	31,412	38.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	82,669	14,728	14,728	17.82 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	82,669	61,376	34,762	42.05 %	56.64 %
99	Charlotte	82,746	874,579	78,624	95.02 %	8.99 %
100	Charlotte	82,932	874,579	82,932	100.00 %	9.48 %
101	Charlotte	84,391	874,579	73,459	87.05 %	8.40 %
102	Charlotte	87,483	874,579	87,483	100.00 %	10.00 %
103	Charlotte	88,259	874,579	57,696	65.37 %	6.60 %
	Matthews	88,259	29,435	29,435	33.35 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	88,259	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	88,259	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
104	Charlotte	84,647	874,579	84,647	100.00 %	9.68 %
105	Charlotte	89,460	874,579	78,415	87.65 %	8.97 %
	Pineville	89,460	10,602	6,981	7.80 %	65.85 %
106	Charlotte	86,758	874,579	59,599	68.70 %	6.81 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
106	Huntersville	86,758	61,376	20,721	23.88 %	33.76 %
107	Charlotte	86,497	874,579	75,124	86.85 %	8.59 %
	Huntersville	86,497	61,376	5,893	6.81 %	9.60 %
108	Belmont	84,457	15,010	6,006	7.11 %	40.01 %
	Cramerton	84,457	5,296	123	0.15 %	2.32 %
	Dallas	84,457	5,927	5,927	7.02 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	84,457	80,411	9,831	11.64 %	12.23 %
	Lowell	84,457	3,654	3,654	4.33 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	84,457	890	890	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	84,457	17,703	17,703	20.96 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	84,457	4,511	4,511	5.34 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	84,457	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	84,457	3,963	3,963	4.69 %	100.00 %
109	Belmont	87,462	15,010	9,004	10.29 %	59.99 %
	Cramerton	87,462	5,296	5,173	5.91 %	97.68 %
	Gastonia	87,462	80,411	52,909	60.49 %	65.80 %
	Lowell	87,462	3,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
110	Belwood	89,588	857	857	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	89,588	5,428	5,428	6.06 %	100.00 %
	Casar	89,588	305	305	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	89,588	6,078	6,078	6.78 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	89,588	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	89,588	627	627	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	89,588	80,411	17,671	19.72 %	21.98 %
	High Shoals	89,588	595	595	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	89,588	10,032	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	89,588	1,110	1,110	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	89,588	656	656	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	89,588	406	406	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	89,588	570	570	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	89,588	516	516	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	89,588	21,918	4,432	4.95 %	20.22 %
	Waco	89,588	310	310	0.35 %	100.00 %
111	Boiling Springs	90,809	4,615	4,615	5.08 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	90,809	355	355	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Earl	90,809	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	90,809	723	723	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	90,809	7,377	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Grover	90,809	802	802	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	90,809	10,032	10,032	11.05 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
111	Mooresboro	90,809	293	293	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Patterson Springs	90,809	571	571	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	90,809	21,918	17,486	19.26 %	79.78 %
112	Charlotte	83,761	874,579	45,730	54.60 %	5.23 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	83,761	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	83,761	26,444	26,444	31.57 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	83,761	384	373	0.45 %	97.14 %
113	Chimney Rock Village	91,102	140	140	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	91,102	1,060	1,060	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	91,102	3,486	3,486	3.83 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	91,102	7,377	7,377	8.10 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	91,102	15,137	731	0.80 %	4.83 %
	Lake Lure	91,102	1,365	1,365	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Marion	91,102	7,717	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ruth	91,102	347	347	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	91,102	3,640	3,640	4.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	91,102	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	91,102	620	620	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	91,102	4,225	4,225	4.64 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	91,102	1,562	1,562	1.71 %	100.00 %
114	Asheville	89,628	94,589	37,562	41.91 %	39.71 %
	Black Mountain	89,628	8,426	8,426	9.40 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	89,628	901	901	1.01 %	100.00 %
115	Asheville	88,843	94,589	30,907	34.79 %	32.68 %
	Biltmore Forest	88,843	1,409	1,409	1.59 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	90,981	94,589	26,120	28.71 %	27.61 %
	Weaverville	90,981	4,567	4,567	5.02 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	90,981	7,936	7,936	8.72 %	100.00 %
117	Flat Rock	90,329	3,486	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fletcher	90,329	7,987	7,987	8.84 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	90,329	15,137	14,406	15.95 %	95.17 %
	Laurel Park	90,329	2,250	2,250	2.49 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	90,329	7,078	7,078	7.84 %	100.00 %
118	Canton	83,282	4,422	4,422	5.31 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	83,282	1,368	1,368	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	83,282	520	520	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	83,282	1,687	1,687	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	83,282	2,007	2,007	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	83,282	777	777	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	83,282	10,140	10,140	12.18 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
119	Brevard	90,212	7,744	7,744	8.58 %	100.00 %
	Bryson City	90,212	1,558	1,558	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	90,212	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	90,212	303	303	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	90,212	12	12	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	90,212	701	701	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	90,212	2,578	2,578	2.86 %	100.00 %
	Webster	90,212	372	372	0.41 %	100.00 %
120	Andrews	84,907	1,667	1,667	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	84,907	13	13	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	84,907	4,175	4,175	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	84,907	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	84,907	1,060	1,060	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	84,907	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	84,907	1,608	1,608	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	84,907	597	597	0.70 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Split Municipalities: 77

Splits Involving Population: 51

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Chowan	6	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	3	1
	Perquimans	7	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Durham	8	0
	Person	11	0
3	Craven	19	1
4	Duplin	19	0
	Wayne	6	2
5	Camden	3	0
	Gates	6	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
6	Harnett	7	0
7	Franklin	18	0
	Granville	2	1
8	Pitt	22	0
9	Pitt	18	0
10	Wayne	20	2
11	Wake	13	0
12	Greene	10	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
13	Carteret	28	0
	Craven	1	1
14	Onslow	9	0
15	Onslow	11	0
16	Onslow	4	0
	Pender	20	0
17	Brunswick	14	0
18	New Hanover	17	0
19	Brunswick	11	0
	New Hanover	7	0
20	New Hanover	19	0
21	Wake	9	0
22	Bladen	17	0
	Sampson	23	0
23	Bertie	12	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Martin	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
24	Nash	1	0
	Wilson	24	0
25	Nash	23	0
26	Johnston	12	0
27	Halifax	23	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Warren	14	0
28	Johnston	18	0
29	Durham	16	0
30	Durham	18	0
31	Durham	15	0
32	Granville	12	1
	Vance	12	0
33	Wake	15	0
34	Wake	27	0
35	Wake	14	0
36	Wake	12	0
37	Wake	12	0
38	Wake	18	0
39	Wake	13	0
40	Wake	19	0
41	Wake	20	0
42	Cumberland	12	0
43	Cumberland	21	0
44	Cumberland	28	0
45	Cumberland	15	0
46	Columbus	26	0
	Robeson	9	1
47	Robeson	29	1
48	Hoke	15	0
	Scotland	7	0
49	Wake	17	0
50	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	18	0
51	Lee	10	0
	Moore	4	0
52	Moore	10	0
	Richmond	16	0
53	Harnett	6	0
	Johnston	6	0
54	Chatham	18	0
	Randolph	2	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
55	Anson	9	0
	Union	19	0
56	Orange	23	0
57	Guilford	27	0
58	Guilford	25	0
59	Guilford	23	0
60	Guilford	30	0
61	Guilford	31	0
62	Guilford	29	0
63	Alamance	16	0
64	Alamance	21	0
65	Rockingham	15	0
66	Wake	15	0
67	Montgomery	14	0
	Stanly	22	0
68	Union	14	0
69	Union	19	0
70	Randolph	12	0
71	Forsyth	24	0
72	Forsyth	28	0
73	Cabarrus	14	0
74	Forsyth	20	0
75	Forsyth	18	0
76	Rowan	25	0
77	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Yadkin	12	0
78	Moore	12	0
	Randolph	8	0
79	Beaufort	21	0
	Dare	12	1
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
80	Davidson	22	0
81	Davidson	21	0
82	Cabarrus	15	0
83	Cabarrus	11	0
	Rowan	11	0
84	Iredell	18	1
85	Avery	19	0
	McDowell	15	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Yancey	11	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
86	Burke	33	0
87	Caldwell	20	0
	Watauga	1	0
88	Mecklenburg	19	0
89	Catawba	17	0
	Iredell	2	0
90	Surry	24	0
	Wilkes	6	0
91	Forsyth	11	0
	Stokes	18	0
92	Mecklenburg	9	0
93	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Watauga	19	0
94	Alexander	10	0
	Wilkes	21	0
95	Iredell	8	1
96	Catawba	23	0
97	Lincoln	23	0
98	Mecklenburg	9	1
99	Mecklenburg	12	0
100	Mecklenburg	20	0
101	Mecklenburg	13	0
102	Mecklenburg	19	0
103	Mecklenburg	17	0
104	Mecklenburg	26	0
105	Mecklenburg	15	0
106	Mecklenburg	9	1
107	Mecklenburg	11	0
108	Gaston	16	0
109	Gaston	17	0
110	Cleveland	10	0
	Gaston	13	0
111	Cleveland	11	0
	Rutherford	6	0
112	Mecklenburg	15	0
113	Henderson	7	0
	McDowell	2	0
	Polk	7	0
	Rutherford	11	0
114	Buncombe	34	0
115	Buncombe	20	0
116	Buncombe	25	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
117	Henderson	27	0
118	Haywood	29	0
	Madison	12	0
119	Jackson	13	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
120	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Macon	15	0
	Total:	**2,658**	

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	20	1
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	15	1
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	14	1
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	28	1
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	194	1
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	38	1
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	26	2
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,658**	**8**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Craven	002	3	18,203	6,483	35.62 %
		13	18,203	11,720	64.38 %
Dare	KDH	1	7,656	7,118	92.97 %
		79	7,656	538	7.03 %
Granville	CRDM	7	7,211	2,929	40.62 %
		32	7,211	4,282	59.38 %
Iredell	BA	84	7,790	6,892	88.47 %
		95	7,790	898	11.53 %
Mecklenburg	133	98	7,343	5,129	69.85 %
		106	7,343	2,214	30.15 %
Robeson	030	46	4,728	4,453	94.18 %
		47	4,728	275	5.82 %
Wayne	015	4	5,882	5,197	88.35 %
		10	5,882	685	11.65 %
	016	4	3,810	1,720	45.14 %
		10	3,810	2,090	54.86 %
		Assigned Geography Total:		**62,623**	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adams	James	Republican	96	96
Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41	41
Ager	John	Democratic	115	114
Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107	107
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	29
Arp	Larry	Republican	69	69
Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100	100
Baker	Amber	Democratic	72	72
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	82
Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49	49
Belk	Mary	Democratic	88	88
Bell	John	Republican	10	10
Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86	86
Boles	James	Republican	52	52
Bradford	John	Republican	98	98
Brisson	William	Republican	22	22
Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60	60
Brody	Mark	Republican	55	55
Brown	Terry	Democratic	92	92
Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18	18
Carney	Becky	Democratic	102	102
Clampitt	James	Republican	119	119
Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57	57
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	14
Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24	24
Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106	106
Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11	11
Davis	Robert	Republican	20	20
Dixon	James	Republican	4	4
Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94	94
Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35	35
Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62	62
Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9	9
Gailliard	James	Democratic	25	25
Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32	32
Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33	33
Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120	120
Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1	1
Graham	Charles	Democratic	47	47
Greene	Edwin	Republican	85	85
Hall	Destin	Republican	87	87
Hall	Kyle	Republican	91	91

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Hanig	Robert	Republican	6	1
Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59	59
Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105	105
Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61	61
Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110	110
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	31
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	77
Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12	12
Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103	103
Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5	5
Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70	70
Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63	63
Iler	Francis	Republican	17	17
Insko	Verla	Democratic	56	56
John	Joseph	Democratic	40	40
Johnson	Jake	Republican	113	113
Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38	38
Jones	Brenden	Republican	46	46
Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79	79
Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75	75
Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109	109
Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104	104
Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101	101
Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42	42
Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99	99
Martin	David	Democratic	34	34
McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13	13
McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84	84
McNeill	Allen	Republican	78	78
Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50	50
Miller	Charles	Republican	19	19
Mills	Paul	Republican	95	95
Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117	117
Moore	Timothy	Republican	111	111
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	30
Moss	Ben	Republican	66	52
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	37
Penny	Howard	Republican	53	53
Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93	93
Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48	48
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	73
Pless	Steven	Republican	118	118

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Potts	Larry	Republican	81	81
Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65	65
Quick	Amos	Democratic	58	58
Reives	Robert	Democratic	54	54
Richardson	William	Democratic	44	44
Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64	64
Roberson	James	Democratic	39	39
Rogers	David	Republican	112	113
Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114	116
Saine	Jason	Republican	97	97
Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67	67
Sauls	John	Republican	51	51
Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89	89
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	15
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	16
Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8	8
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	10
Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90	90
Strickland	Larry	Republican	28	28
Szoka	John	Republican	45	45
Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71	71
Torbett	John	Republican	108	108
Turner	Brian	Democratic	116	115
Tyson	John	Republican	3	3
von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36	36
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	76
Watford	Samuel	Republican	80	80
Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43	43
White	Donna	Republican	26	26
Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23	23
Willis	David	Republican	68	68
Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7	7
Wray	Michael	Democratic	27	27
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	2
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	77
Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74	74

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1
	Hanig	Robert	Republican	6
2	Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2
3	Tyson	John	Republican	3
4	Dixon	James	Republican	4
5	Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5
6				
7	Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7
8	Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8
9	Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9
10	Bell	John	Republican	10
	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21
11	Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11
12	Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12
13	McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13
14	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
15	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
16	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
17	Iler	Francis	Republican	17
18	Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18
19	Miller	Charles	Republican	19
20	Davis	Robert	Republican	20
21				
22	Brisson	William	Republican	22
23	Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23
24	Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24
25	Gailliard	James	Democratic	25
26	White	Donna	Republican	26
27	Wray	Michael	Democratic	27
28	Strickland	Larry	Republican	28
29	Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29
30	Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30
31	Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31
32	Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32
33	Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33
34	Martin	David	Democratic	34
35	Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35
36	von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36
37	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
38	Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38
39	Roberson	James	Democratic	39
40	John	Joseph	Democratic	40

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
41	Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41
42	Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42
43	Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43
44	Richardson	William	Democratic	44
45	Szoka	John	Republican	45
46	Jones	Brenden	Republican	46
47	Graham	Charles	Democratic	47
48	Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48
49	Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49
50	Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50
51	Sauls	John	Republican	51
52	Boles	James	Republican	52
	Moss	Ben	Republican	66
53	Penny	Howard	Republican	53
54	Reives	Robert	Democratic	54
55	Brody	Mark	Republican	55
56	Insko	Verla	Democratic	56
57	Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57
58	Quick	Amos	Democratic	58
59	Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59
60	Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60
61	Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61
62	Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62
63	Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63
64	Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64
65	Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65
66				
67	Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67
68	Willis	David	Republican	68
69	Arp	Larry	Republican	69
70	Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70
71	Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71
72	Baker	Amber	Democratic	72
73	Pittman	Larry	Republican	83
74	Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74
75	Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75
76	Warren	Harry	Republican	76
77	Howard	Julia	Republican	77
	Zachary	Walter	Republican	73
78	McNeill	Allen	Republican	78
79	Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79
80	Watford	Samuel	Republican	80

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: SL 2022-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
81	Potts	Larry	Republican	81
82	Baker	Kristin	Republican	82
83				
84	McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84
85	Greene	Edwin	Republican	85
86	Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86
87	Hall	Destin	Republican	87
88	Belk	Mary	Democratic	88
89	Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89
90	Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90
91	Hall	Kyle	Republican	91
92	Brown	Terry	Democratic	92
93	Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93
94	Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94
95	Mills	Paul	Republican	95
96	Adams	James	Republican	96
97	Saine	Jason	Republican	97
98	Bradford	John	Republican	98
99	Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99
100	Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100
101	Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101
102	Carney	Becky	Democratic	102
103	Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103
104	Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104
105	Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105
106	Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106
107	Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107
108	Torbett	John	Republican	108
109	Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109
110	Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110
111	Moore	Timothy	Republican	111
112				
113	Johnson	Jake	Republican	113
	Rogers	David	Republican	112
114	Ager	John	Democratic	115
115	Turner	Brian	Democratic	116
116	Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114
117	Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117
118	Pless	Steven	Republican	118
119	Clampitt	James	Republican	119
120	Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2021

SESSION LAW 2022-4
HOUSE BILL 980

AN ACT TO REALIGN NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS PURSUANT TO ORDER OF THE NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT IN HARPER V. HALL.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 120-2(a), as amended by S.L. 2021-175, is rewritten to read:

"(a) For the purpose of nominating and electing members of the North Carolina House of Representatives in 2022 and periodically thereafter, the State of North Carolina shall be divided into the following districts with each district electing one Representative:

District 1: Chowan County, Currituck County, Dare County: VTD DUCK, VTD KDH: Block(s)
0559702001005, 0559702001006, 0559702001007, 0559702001008, 0559702001009, 0559702001010, 0559702001011, 0559702001012, 0559702001013, 0559702001014, 0559702001015, 0559702001016, 0559702001017, 0559702001018, 0559702001019, 0559702001020, 0559702001021, 0559702001022, 0559702001023, 0559702001024, 0559702001025, 0559702001026, 0559702001027, 0559702001028, 0559702001029, 0559702001030, 0559702001031, 0559702001032, 0559702001033, 0559702001034, 0559702001035, 0559702001036, 0559702001037, 0559702001038, 0559702001039, 0559702001040, 0559702001041, 0559702001042, 0559702001043, 0559702001044, 0559702001045, 0559702001046, 0559702001047, 0559702001048, 0559702001049, 0559702001050, 0559702001051, 0559702001052, 0559702001053, 0559702001054, 0559702001055, 0559702001056, 0559702001057, 0559702001058, 0559702002002, 0559702002003, 0559702002004, 0559702002005, 0559702002006, 0559702002007, 0559702002008, 0559702002009, 0559702002010, 0559702002011, 0559702002012, 0559702002016, 0559702002017, 0559702002018, 0559702002019, 0559702002020, 0559702002021, 0559702002022, 0559702002023, 0559702002024, 0559702002025, 0559702002026, 0559702002027, 0559702002028, 0559702002029, 0559702002030, 0559702002031, 0559702002032, 0559702002033, 0559702002034, 0559702002035, 0559702002036, 0559702002037, 0559702002038, 0559702002039, 0559702002040, 0559702002041, 0559702002042, 0559702002043, 0559702002044, 0559702003000, 0559702003001, 0559702003002, 0559702003003, 0559702003004, 0559702003006, 0559702003007, 0559702003008, 0559702003009, 0559702003010, 0559702003011, 0559702003012, 0559702003013, 0559702003014, 0559702003015, 0559702003016, 0559702003017, 0559702003018, 0559702003019, 0559702003020, 0559702003021, 0559702003022, 0559702003023, 0559702003024, 0559702004000, 0559702004001, 0559702004002, 0559702004003, 0559702004004, 0559702004005, 0559702004006, 0559702004007, 0559702004008, 0559702004009, 0559702004010, 0559702004011, 0559702004012, 0559702004013, 0559702004016, 0559702004017, 0559702004018, 0559702004019, 0559702004020, 0559702004021, 0559702004022, 0559702004023, 0559703021000, 0559703021001, 0559703021002, 0559703021003, 0559703021004, 0559703021005, 0559703021006, 0559703021007, 0559703021008, 0559703021009, 0559703021010, 0559703021011, 0559703021012, 0559703021031, 0559703021033,

0559703021034, 0559703021035, 0559703021036, 0559703021037, 0559703021038, 0559703021039, 0559703021040, 0559703021041, 0559703021042, 0559703021043, 0559703021044, 0559703021045, 0559703021046, 0559703021047, 0559703021048, 0559703021049, 0559703021050, 0559703021051, 0559703021052, 0559703021053, 0559703021054, 0559703021055, 0559703021056, 0559703021057, 0559703021058, 0559703021059, 0559703021060, 0559703021061, 0559703021062, 0559703021063, 0559703021064, 0559703021065, 0559703021066, 0559703021067, 0559703021072, 0559703021073, 0559703021074, 0559703021075, 0559703022000, 0559703022001, 0559703022002, 0559703022003, 0559703022004, 0559703022005, 0559703022006, 0559703022007, 0559703022008, 0559703022009, 0559703022010, 0559703022011, 0559703022012, 0559703022013, 0559703022014, 0559703022015, 0559703022016, 0559703022017, 0559703022018, 0559703022019, 0559703022020, 0559703022021, 0559703022022, 0559703022023, 0559703022024, 0559703022025, 0559703022026, 0559703022027, 0559703022028, 0559703022029, 0559703022030, 0559703022031, 0559703022032, 0559703022033, 0559703022034, 0559703022035, 0559703022036, 0559703022037, 0559703022038, 0559703022039, 0559703022040, 0559703022041, 0559703022042, 0559703022043, 0559703022044, 0559703022045, 0559703022046, 0559703022047, 0559703022048, 0559703022049, 0559703022050, 0559703022051, 0559703022052, 0559703022053, 0559703022054, 0559703022055, 0559703022056, 0559703022057, 0559703022058, 0559703022059, 0559703022060, 0559703022061, 0559703022062, 0559703022063, 0559703022064, 0559703022065, 0559703022066, 0559703022067, 0559703022068, 0559703022069, 0559901000005; VTD KTHK, VTD SOSH; Perquimans County, Tyrrell County, Washington County.

District 2: Durham County: VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 046; Person County.

District 3: Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611012002, 0499611012019, 0499611012020, 0499611012021, 0499611012022, 0499611012023, 0499611012024, 0499611012025, 0499611012026, 0499611012027, 0499611012028, 0499611012029, 0499611012030, 0499611012031, 0499611012032, 0499611012033, 0499611012034, 0499611012035, 0499611012036, 0499611012037, 0499611021000, 0499611021002, 0499611021003, 0499611021006, 0499611021007, 0499611021008, 0499611021009, 0499611021015, 0499611021016, 0499611021017, 0499611021018, 0499611021019, 0499611021020, 0499611021021, 0499611021022, 0499611021023, 0499611021024, 0499611021026, 0499611022013, 0499611022014, 0499611022015, 0499611022016, 0499611022017, 0499611022018, 0499611022020, 0499611022044, 0499611022045, 0499611022074, 0499612011000, 0499612011001, 0499612011002, 0499612011003, 0499612011004, 0499612011005, 0499612011006, 0499612011007, 0499612011008, 0499612011009, 0499612011010, 0499612011011, 0499612011012, 0499612011013, 0499612011014, 0499612011015, 0499612011016, 0499612011017, 0499612011018, 0499612011019, 0499612011020, 0499612011021, 0499612011022, 0499612011023, 0499612011024, 0499612011025, 0499612011026, 0499612011027, 0499612011028, 0499612011029, 0499612011030, 0499612011031, 0499612011032, 0499612011033, 0499612011034, 0499612011035, 0499612011036, 0499612011037, 0499612011038, 0499612011039, 0499612011040, 0499612011041, 0499612011042, 0499612011043, 0499612011044, 0499612011045, 0499612012000, 0499612012001, 0499612012002, 0499612012003, 0499612012004, 0499612012005, 0499612012006, 0499612012007, 0499612012008, 0499612012009, 0499612012010, 0499612012011, 0499612012012, 0499612012013, 0499612012014, 0499612012015, 0499612012016, 0499612012017, 0499612012018, 0499612012019, 0499612012020, 0499612012021, 0499612012022, 0499612012023, 0499612012024, 0499612012037, 0499612013000, 0499612013001, 0499612013002, 0499612013003, 0499612013004, 0499612013005, 0499612013006,

0499612013007, 0499612013008, 0499612013009, 0499612013010, 0499612013011, 0499612013012, 0499612013013, 0499612013014, 0499612013015, 0499612013016, 0499612013017, 0499612013018, 0499612013019, 0499612013020, 0499612013021, 0499612021000, 0499612021001, 0499612021002, 0499612021003, 0499612021004, 0499612021005, 0499612021006, 0499612021007, 0499612021008, 0499612021009, 0499612021010, 0499612021011, 0499612021012, 0499612021013, 0499612021014, 0499612021015, 0499612021016, 0499612021017, 0499612021018, 0499612021019, 0499612021020, 0499612021021, 0499612021022, 0499612021023, 0499612021024, 0499612021025, 0499612021026, 0499612021027, 0499612021028, 0499612021029, 0499612021030, 0499612021031, 0499612021032, 0499612021033, 0499612021034, 0499612021035, 0499612021036, 0499612021037, 0499612021038, 0499612021039, 0499612021040, 0499612021041, 0499612021042, 0499612021043, 0499612021044, 0499612021045, 0499612021046, 0499612021047, 0499612021048, 0499612021049, 0499612021050, 0499612021051, 0499612021052, 0499612021053, 0499612021054, 0499612021055, 0499612021056, 0499612021057, 0499612021058, 0499612021059, 0499612021060, 0499612021061, 0499612021062, 0499612021063, 0499612021064, 0499612021065, 0499612021066, 0499612021067, 0499612021068, 0499612021069, 0499612021070, 0499612021071, 0499612021072, 0499612021073, 0499612021074, 0499612021075, 0499612021076, 0499612021077, 0499612021078, 0499612021080, 0499612021081, 0499612021082, 0499612021083, 0499612021084, 0499612021085, 0499612021086, 0499612021087, 0499612021088, 0499612021089, 0499612021090, 0499612021095, 0499612021099, 0499612021100, 0499612021101, 0499612021103, 0499612021104, 0499612021105, 0499613013000, 0499613032046; VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 023, VTD CFB8, VTD CLR4, VTD DFB9, VTD N1, VTD N2, VTD N3N5, VTD N4, VTD N6, VTD TE13, VTD VE14.

District 4: Duplin County, Wayne County: VTD 014, VTD 015: Block(s) 1910004011018, 1910004011019, 1910004011020, 1910004011021, 1910004011022, 1910004011023, 1910004011024, 1910004011025, 1910004013000, 1910004013001, 1910004013002, 1910004013003, 1910004013004, 1910004013005, 1910004013006, 1910004013007, 1910004013008, 1910004013009, 1910004013010, 1910004013011, 1910004013012, 1910004013013, 1910004013014, 1910004013015, 1910004013016, 1910004013017, 1910004013018, 1910004013019, 1910004013020, 1910004013021, 1910004013022, 1910004013023, 1910004013024, 1910004014011, 1910004014013, 1910004023000, 1910004023001, 1910004023002, 1910004023003, 1910004023004, 1910004023005, 1910004023006, 1910004023007, 1910004023008, 1910004023009, 1910004023010, 1910004023011, 1910004023012, 1910004023013, 1910004023014, 1910004023015, 1910004023017, 1910004023018, 1910004023024, 1910006032004, 1910006041000, 1910006041001, 1910006041002, 1910006041003, 1910006041004, 1910006041005, 1910006041006, 1910006041007, 1910006041008, 1910006041009, 1910006041010, 1910006041011, 1910006041012, 1910006041013, 1910006041014, 1910006041015, 1910006041016, 1910006041017, 1910006041018, 1910006041019, 1910006041020, 1910006041021, 1910006041022, 1910006041023, 1910006042000, 1910006042001, 1910006042002, 1910006042008, 1910006042009, 1910006043000, 1910006043001, 1910006043002, 1910006043003, 1910006043004, 1910006043005, 1910006043006, 1910006043007, 1910006043008, 1910006043009, 1910006043010, 1910006043011, 1910006043012, 1910006043013, 1910006043014, 1910006043015, 1910006043016, 1910006043017, 1910006043018, 1910006043019, 1910006043020, 1910006043021, 1910006043022, 1910006043023, 1910006043024, 1910006043025, 1910006043026, 1910006043027, 1910006043028, 1910006043029, 1910006043030, 1910006043031, 1910006043032, 1910006043033, 1910006043034, 1910006043035, 1910006043036,

1910006043037, 1910006043038, 1910006043039, 1910006043040, 1910006043041; VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009012000, 1910009012001, 1910009012002, 1910009012003, 1910009012004, 1910009012005, 1910009012006, 1910009012007, 1910009013000, 1910009013001, 1910009013002, 1910009013003, 1910009013004, 1910009013005, 1910009013006, 1910009013007, 1910009013017, 1910009021005, 1910009021006, 1910009021007, 1910009021008, 1910009022008, 1910009022009, 1910009022010, 1910009022011, 1910009023000, 1910009023001, 1910009023002, 1910009023003, 1910009023004, 1910009023005, 1910009023006, 1910009023007, 1910009023008, 1910009023009, 1910009023010, 1910009023011, 1910009023012, 1910009023014, 1910009023017, 1910009023018, 1910009023029, 1910009023030, 1910009023031, 1910009023032, 1910009023033, 1910009023034, 1910009023039, 1910009023041; VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 2530.

District 5: Camden County, Gates County, Hertford County, Pasquotank County.

District 6: Harnett County: VTD PR01, VTD PR07, VTD PR16, VTD PR20, VTD PR23, VTD PR31, VTD PR32.

District 7: Franklin County, Granville County: VTD CRDM: Block(s) 0779706011043, 0779706061006, 0779706061007, 0779706061008, 0779706061009, 0779706061010, 0779706061011, 0779706061013, 0779706061014, 0779706061015, 0779706061017, 0779706061018, 0779706061019, 0779706061020, 0779706061021, 0779706061023, 0779706061024, 0779706061025, 0779706061026, 0779706061027, 0779706061028, 0779706061029, 0779706061030, 0779706061035, 0779706061036, 0779706061037, 0779706061039, 0779706061040, 0779706061041, 0779706061042, 0779706062002, 0779706062003, 0779706062004, 0779706062005, 0779706062006, 0779706062007, 0779706062008, 0779706062009, 0779706062010, 0779706062011, 0779706062012, 0779706062013, 0779706062014, 0779706062015, 0779706062016, 0779706062017, 0779706062018, 0779706062019, 0779706062020, 0779706062021, 0779706062023, 0779706062030, 0779706062031, 0779706062032, 0779706071000, 0779706071001, 0779706071002, 0779706071003, 0779706071004, 0779706071005, 0779706071006, 0779706071007, 0779706071008, 0779706071009, 0779706071010, 0779706071011, 0779706071012, 0779706071013, 0779706071015, 0779706071016, 0779706071018, 0779706071019, 0779706072009, 0779706072010, 0779706072012, 0779706072013, 0779706072014, 0779706072015; VTD MTEN, VTD WILT.

District 8: Pitt County: VTD 101, VTD 1101, VTD 1102A, VTD 1102B, VTD 1201, VTD 1501, VTD 1503, VTD 1504A, VTD 1504B, VTD 1506, VTD 1507, VTD 1507B, VTD 1508A, VTD 1508B, VTD 1509, VTD 301, VTD 401, VTD 501, VTD 701, VTD 800A, VTD 800B, VTD 901.

District 9: Pitt County: VTD 1001, VTD 1301, VTD 1403A1, VTD 1403A2, VTD 1403B, VTD 1505A, VTD 1505B, VTD 1510A, VTD 1510B, VTD 1511A, VTD 1511B, VTD 1512A, VTD 1512B, VTD 1513A, VTD 1513B, VTD 200A, VTD 200B, VTD 601.

District 10: Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 015: Block(s) 1910003022018, 1910003022019, 1910003022020, 1910003022021, 1910003022022, 1910003022025, 1910003022026, 1910003022027, 1910003022028, 1910003022029, 1910003022030, 1910003022031, 1910003022032, 1910003022036, 1910003022037, 1910003022040, 1910003022041, 1910003022042, 1910003022046, 1910004011009, 1910004011010, 1910004011011, 1910004011012, 1910004011013, 1910004011014, 1910004011015, 1910004011016, 1910004011017; VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009011000, 1910009011001, 1910009011002, 1910009011003, 1910009011004, 1910009011005, 1910009011006, 1910009011007, 1910009011008, 1910009011009, 1910009011010, 1910009011011, 1910009011012, 1910009011013, 1910009011014, 1910009011015, 1910009011016, 1910009011017, 1910009011018, 1910009011019, 1910009011020,

1910009011045, 1910009013008, 1910009013009, 1910009013010, 1910009013011, 1910009013012, 1910009013013, 1910009013014, 1910009013015, 1910009013016, 1910009013018, 1910009013019, 1910009013020, 1910009013021, 1910009013022, 1910009013023, 1910009013024, 1910009013025, 1910009013026, 1910009013027, 1910009013028; VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 029, VTD 1920.

District 11: Wake County: VTD 01-01, VTD 01-23, VTD 01-31, VTD 01-32, VTD 01-41, VTD 01-48, VTD 06-07, VTD 18-01, VTD 18-02, VTD 18-04, VTD 18-05, VTD 18-06, VTD 18-08.

District 12: Greene County, Jones County, Lenoir County.

District 13: Carteret County, Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611021001, 0499611021004, 0499611021005, 0499611021010, 0499611021011, 0499611021012, 0499611021013, 0499611021014, 0499611021025, 0499611021027, 0499611022023, 0499611022024, 0499611022025, 0499611022026, 0499611022027, 0499611022029, 0499611022030, 0499611022031, 0499611022032, 0499611022033, 0499611022035, 0499611022036, 0499611022037, 0499611022038, 0499611022041, 0499611022042, 0499611022043, 0499611022046, 0499611022047, 0499611022048, 0499611022049, 0499611022051, 0499611022052, 0499611022053, 0499611022054, 0499611022055, 0499611022056, 0499611022057, 0499611022058, 0499611022059, 0499611022060, 0499611022061, 0499611022062, 0499611022063, 0499611022064, 0499611022065, 0499611022066, 0499611022067, 0499611022068, 0499611022069, 0499611022070, 0499611022071, 0499611022072, 0499611022073, 0499611022075, 0499611022076, 0499611022077, 0499611022078, 0499611022079, 0499611022080, 0499611022081, 0499611022082, 0499611022083, 0499611022084, 0499611022085, 0499611022086, 0499611022087, 0499611022088, 0499611022089, 0499612012025, 0499612012026, 0499612012027, 0499612012028, 0499612012029, 0499612012030, 0499612012031, 0499612012032, 0499612012033, 0499612012034, 0499612012035, 0499612012036, 0499612012038, 0499612012039, 0499612012040, 0499612012041, 0499612012042, 0499612012043, 0499612021079, 0499612021091, 0499612021092, 0499612021093, 0499612021094, 0499612021102, 0499613011000, 0499613011001, 0499613011002, 0499613011003, 0499613011004, 0499613011005, 0499613011006, 0499613011007, 0499613011008, 0499613011009, 0499613011010, 0499613011011, 0499613011012, 0499613011013, 0499613011014, 0499613011015, 0499613011016, 0499613011017, 0499613011018, 0499613011019, 0499613011020, 0499613011021, 0499613011022, 0499613011023, 0499613011024, 0499613011025, 0499613012000, 0499613012001, 0499613012002, 0499613012003, 0499613012004, 0499613012005, 0499613012006, 0499613012007, 0499613012008, 0499613012009, 0499613012010, 0499613012011, 0499613012012, 0499613012013, 0499613012014, 0499613012015, 0499613012016, 0499613012017, 0499613012018, 0499613012019, 0499613012020, 0499613012021, 0499613012022, 0499613012023, 0499613012024, 0499613012025, 0499613012026, 0499613012027, 0499613012028, 0499613012029, 0499613012030, 0499613012031, 0499613012032, 0499613012033, 0499613012034, 0499613012035, 0499613012036, 0499613012037, 0499613012038, 0499613012039, 0499613012040, 0499613012041, 0499613012042, 0499613012043, 0499613012044, 0499613012045, 0499613013001, 0499613013002, 0499613013003, 0499613013004, 0499613013005, 0499613013006, 0499613013007, 0499613013008, 0499613013009, 0499613013010, 0499613013011, 0499613013012, 0499613013013, 0499613013014, 0499613013015, 0499613013016, 0499613013017, 0499613013018, 0499613013019, 0499613013020, 0499613013021, 0499613013022, 0499613013023, 0499613013024, 0499613013025, 0499613013026, 0499613013027, 0499613013028, 0499613013029, 0499613013030, 0499613021004, 0499613021005, 0499613021006, 0499613021007, 0499613021008, 0499613021009, 0499613021010, 0499613021011, 0499613021012, 0499613021013, 0499613021014,

0499613021015, 0499613021016, 0499613021017, 0499613021019, 0499613021020, 0499613021021, 0499613021022, 0499613021023, 0499613022000, 0499613022001, 0499613022002, 0499613022003, 0499613022004, 0499613022005, 0499613022006, 0499613022007, 0499613022008, 0499613022009, 0499613022010, 0499613022011, 0499613022012, 0499613022013, 0499613022014, 0499613022015, 0499613022016, 0499613023000, 0499613023001, 0499613023002, 0499613023003, 0499613023004, 0499613023005, 0499613023006, 0499613023007, 0499613023008, 0499613023009, 0499613023010, 0499613023011, 0499613023012, 0499613023013, 0499613023014, 0499613023015, 0499613023016, 0499613023017, 0499613023018, 0499613023019, 0499613032044, 0499613032045; VTD 019.

District 14: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD BM08, VTD HU20, VTD JA01, VTD MT24, VTD NE22A, VTD NR02, VTD SW19, VTD VR15.

District 15: Onslow County: VTD CL10, VTD CR07, VTD EN03, VTD GB12, VTD HM05, VTD ML23, VTD NE22B, VTD NM13, VTD RL09, VTD TL06, VTD WN04.

District 16: Onslow County: VTD FS16, VTD HN14, VTD HR17, VTD SF18; Pender County.

District 17: Brunswick County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 04A, VTD 4B2.

District 18: New Hanover County: VTD CF01, VTD CF02, VTD CF05, VTD CF06, VTD H02, VTD H08, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD W03, VTD W08, VTD W15, VTD W25, VTD W26, VTD W27, VTD W29.

District 19: Brunswick County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 012, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 10A, VTD 10B; New Hanover County: VTD FP03, VTD FP04, VTD FP06, VTD FP07, VTD FP08, VTD M03, VTD W30.

District 20: New Hanover County: VTD H01, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H06, VTD M02, VTD M04, VTD M06, VTD M07, VTD W12, VTD W13, VTD W16, VTD W17, VTD W18, VTD W21, VTD W24, VTD W28, VTD W31, VTD WB.

District 21: Wake County: VTD 05-01, VTD 05-03, VTD 05-06, VTD 05-07, VTD 05-08, VTD 20-14, VTD 20-15, VTD 20-16, VTD 20-17.

District 22: Bladen County, Sampson County.

District 23: Bertie County, Edgecombe County, Martin County.

District 24: Nash County: VTD P24A; Wilson County.

District 25: Nash County: VTD P01A, VTD P02A, VTD P03A, VTD P04A, VTD P05A, VTD P06A, VTD P07A, VTD P08A, VTD P09A, VTD P10A, VTD P11A, VTD P12A, VTD P13A, VTD P14A, VTD P15A, VTD P16A, VTD P17A, VTD P18A, VTD P19A, VTD P20A, VTD P21A, VTD P22A, VTD P23A.

District 26: Johnston County: VTD PR09, VTD PR10A, VTD PR10B, VTD PR11A, VTD PR11B, VTD PR12A, VTD PR20, VTD PR21, VTD PR29A, VTD PR29B, VTD PR32, VTD PR34.

District 27: Halifax County, Northampton County, Warren County.

District 28: Johnston County: VTD PR04, VTD PR07, VTD PR08, VTD PR13, VTD PR14, VTD PR19, VTD PR22, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR27A, VTD PR27B, VTD PR28, VTD PR30, VTD PR35, VTD PR36, VTD PR37, VTD PR38.

District 29: Durham County: VTD 009, VTD 016, VTD 027, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 048, VTD 051, VTD 054, VTD 34-1, VTD 34-2, VTD 35.3, VTD 53-1, VTD 53-2.

District 30: Durham County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 037, VTD 040, VTD 043, VTD 050.

District 31: Durham County: VTD 010, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 047, VTD 052, VTD 055-11, VTD 055-49, VTD 30-1, VTD 30-2.

District 32: Granville County: VTD ANTI, VTD BERE, VTD BTNR, VTD CORI, VTD CRDL, VTD CRDM: Block(s) 0779706011004, 0779706011005, 0779706011006, 0779706011020, 0779706011024, 0779706011025, 0779706011026, 0779706011027, 0779706011028, 0779706011029, 0779706011030, 0779706011031, 0779706011044, 0779706061000, 0779706061001, 0779706061002, 0779706061003, 0779706061004, 0779706061005, 0779706061012, 0779706061016, 0779706061022, 0779706062022, 0779706062033, 0779706062034, 0779706062035, 0779706062036, 0779706071014, 0779706071017, 0779706071020, 0779706071021, 0779706071022, 0779706071023, 0779706071024, 0779706071025, 0779706071026, 0779706071027, 0779706071028, 0779706071029, 0779706071030, 0779706071031, 0779706071032, 0779706071033, 0779706071034, 0779706071035, 0779706071036, 0779706071037, 0779706072000, 0779706072001, 0779706072002, 0779706072003, 0779706072004, 0779706072005, 0779706072006, 0779706072007, 0779706072008, 0779706072011, 0779706072016, 0779706072017, 0779706072018, 0779706072019, 0779706072020, 0779706072021, 0779706072022, 0779706072023, 0779706072024, 0779706072025, 0779706072026, 0779706073000, 0779706073001, 0779706073002, 0779706073003, 0779706073004, 0779706073005, 0779706073006, 0779706073007, 0779706073008, 0779706073009, 0779706073010, 0779706073011, 0779706073012, 0779706073013; VTD EAOX, VTD OKHL, VTD SALM, VTD SASS, VTD SOOX, VTD TYHO, VTD WOEL; Vance County.

District 33: Wake County: VTD 01-22, VTD 15-01, VTD 15-02, VTD 15-03, VTD 15-04, VTD 16-01, VTD 16-02, VTD 16-03, VTD 16-04, VTD 16-05, VTD 16-06, VTD 16-07, VTD 16-09, VTD 16-10, VTD 16-11.

District 34: Wake County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-05, VTD 01-06, VTD 01-09, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 01-12, VTD 01-15, VTD 01-17, VTD 01-18, VTD 01-30, VTD 01-36, VTD 01-37, VTD 01-39, VTD 01-43, VTD 01-45, VTD 01-51, VTD 02-06, VTD 07-02, VTD 07-03, VTD 07-04, VTD 07-05, VTD 07-06, VTD 07-07, VTD 07-09, VTD 07-11, VTD 07-13.

District 35: Wake County: VTD 19-03, VTD 19-05, VTD 19-07, VTD 19-09, VTD 19-11, VTD 19-12, VTD 19-13, VTD 19-14, VTD 19-15, VTD 19-16, VTD 19-18, VTD 19-19, VTD 19-20, VTD 19-21.

District 36: Wake County: VTD 03-00, VTD 04-07, VTD 06-06, VTD 06-08, VTD 06-09, VTD 20-01, VTD 20-05, VTD 20-06A, VTD 20-06B, VTD 20-09, VTD 20-11, VTD 20-12.

District 37: Wake County: VTD 06-04, VTD 06-05, VTD 06-10, VTD 12-01, VTD 12-02, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-07, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 18-07.

District 38: Wake County: VTD 01-07, VTD 01-13, VTD 01-14, VTD 01-19, VTD 01-20, VTD 01-21, VTD 01-25, VTD 01-26, VTD 01-27, VTD 01-28, VTD 01-34, VTD 01-35, VTD 01-40, VTD 01-50, VTD 17-01, VTD 17-05, VTD 17-07, VTD 17-09.

District 39: Wake County: VTD 09-01, VTD 09-02, VTD 09-03, VTD 10-01, VTD 10-02, VTD 10-03, VTD 10-04, VTD 17-02, VTD 17-03, VTD 17-04, VTD 17-06, VTD 17-12, VTD 17-13.

District 40: Wake County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-04, VTD 02-05, VTD 08-02, VTD 08-03, VTD 08-04, VTD 08-05, VTD 08-06, VTD 08-07, VTD 08-08, VTD 08-09, VTD 08-10, VTD 08-11, VTD 13-10, VTD 13-11, VTD 14-01, VTD 14-02.

District 41: Wake County: VTD 04-01, VTD 04-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-04, VTD 04-06, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-10, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 04-14, VTD 04-15, VTD 04-16, VTD 04-19, VTD 04-20, VTD 18-03, VTD 20-03, VTD 20-04, VTD 20-08, VTD 20-10.

District 42: Cumberland County: VTD CC27, VTD CL57-1, VTD CL57-2, VTD G11B, VTD G2D, VTD G5A-1, VTD G5A-2, VTD G5B-2, VTD LR63, VTD MB62, VTD MR02, VTD SL78-3.

District 43: Cumberland County: VTD AL51, VTD CC01, VTD CC05, VTD CC19, VTD EO61-1, VTD EO61-2, VTD G1A, VTD G1B, VTD G2A, VTD G2B, VTD G2C-1, VTD

G2C-2, VTD G2E-1, VTD G3C, VTD G6A, VTD G6B, VTD G6C, VTD G7A, VTD G7B, VTD LI65, VTD SH77.

District 44: Cumberland County: VTD CC03, VTD CC04, VTD CC06, VTD CC07, VTD CC08, VTD CC10, VTD CC12, VTD CC13, VTD CC14, VTD CC15, VTD CC16, VTD CC17, VTD CC18, VTD CC21, VTD CC24, VTD CC25, VTD CC26, VTD CC29, VTD CC31, VTD CC32, VTD CC33, VTD CC34, VTD CU02, VTD G2E-2, VTD G3B, VTD G4A, VTD G4B, VTD G4C.

District 45: Cumberland County: VTD AH49, VTD G10A, VTD G10B, VTD G10C, VTD G3A-1, VTD G3A-2, VTD G5B-1, VTD G5C, VTD G8A, VTD G8B, VTD G8C, VTD G8D, VTD G9A, VTD G9B-1, VTD G9B-2.

District 46: Columbus County, Robeson County: VTD 003, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 030: Block(s) 1559607011000, 1559607011001, 1559607011002, 1559607011003, 1559607011004, 1559607011005, 1559607011006, 1559607011007, 1559607011008, 1559607011009, 1559607011010, 1559607011011, 1559607011012, 1559607011013, 1559607011014, 1559607011015, 1559607011016, 1559607011017, 1559607011018, 1559607011019, 1559607011020, 1559607011021, 1559607011022, 1559607011023, 1559607011024, 1559607011025, 1559607011026, 1559607011027, 1559607011028, 1559607012000, 1559607012001, 1559607012002, 1559607012003, 1559607012004, 1559607012005, 1559607012006, 1559607012007, 1559607012008, 1559607012009, 1559607012010, 1559607012011, 1559607012012, 1559607012013, 1559607012014, 1559607012015, 1559607012016, 1559607012017, 1559607012018, 1559607012019, 1559607013000, 1559607013001, 1559607013002, 1559607013003, 1559607013004, 1559607013005, 1559607013006, 1559607013007, 1559607013008, 1559607013009, 1559607013010, 1559607013011, 1559607013012, 1559607013013, 1559607013014, 1559607013015, 1559607013016, 1559607013017, 1559607013018, 1559607013019, 1559607013020, 1559607013021, 1559607013022, 1559607013023, 1559607013024, 1559607013025, 1559607013026, 1559607013029, 1559607013030, 1559607013031, 1559607013032, 1559607013033, 1559607013034, 1559607013038, 1559607013051, 1559607013061, 1559607013062, 1559607013063, 1559607013064, 1559607013065, 1559607013066, 1559607013067, 1559607013075, 1559607013076, 1559607013077, 1559613013000, 1559613013001, 1559613013002, 1559613013003, 1559613013004, 1559613013006, 1559613013007, 1559613013008, 1559613013009, 1559613013010, 1559613013011, 1559613013012, 1559613013013, 1559613013015, 1559613013016, 1559613013017, 1559613013019, 1559613013020, 1559613013021, 1559613013022, 1559614002036, 1559614002037, 1559614002038, 1559614002039, 1559614002040, 1559614002041, 1559614002042, 1559614002055, 1559614002056, 1559614002057; VTD 037, VTD 041, VTD 32A.

District 47: Robeson County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030: Block(s) 1559607013068, 1559607013069, 1559607013070, 1559607013071, 1559607013072, 1559607013073, 1559607013074, 1559607013079, 1559607013080; VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 05A, VTD 11A, VTD 18A, VTD 26A.

District 48: Hoke County, Scotland County.

District 49: Wake County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-03, VTD 01-16, VTD 01-29, VTD 01-33, VTD 01-49, VTD 04-05, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-17, VTD 04-18, VTD 04-21, VTD 05-05, VTD 07-01, VTD 07-10, VTD 07-12, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02.

District 50: Caswell County, Orange County: VTD CA, VTD CG, VTD CP, VTD CS1, VTD CW, VTD CX, VTD EF, VTD ENO, VTD GB, VTD H, VTD HE, VTD OG, VTD PA, VTD SJ, VTD SM, VTD TO, VTD WC, VTD WH.

District 51: Lee County, Moore County: VTD CAM, VTD CAR, VTD LTR, VTD VSS.

District 52: Moore County: VTD EAB, VTD EKWD, VTD EUR-WP, VTD EWD, VTD NSP, VTD PBF, VTD PDN, VTD SSP, VTD WAB, VTD WKWD; Richmond County.

District 53: Harnett County: VTD PR08, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR28, VTD PR29; Johnston County: VTD PR12B, VTD PR23A, VTD PR23B, VTD PR31A, VTD PR31B, VTD PR33.

District 54: Chatham County, Randolph County: VTD LB, VTD ST.

District 55: Anson County, Union County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 033, VTD 036, VTD 043.

District 56: Orange County: VTD CB, VTD CF, VTD CH, VTD CO, VTD DA, VTD DM, VTD EA1, VTD EH, VTD ES, VTD GL, VTD HF, VTD KM, VTD LC, VTD NC, VTD NS, VTD OW, VTD RE, VTD RF, VTD TH, VTD UNC, VTD WD, VTD WDS, VTD WW.

District 57: Guilford County: VTD CG1, VTD CG2, VTD CG3A, VTD CG3B, VTD G07, VTD G08, VTD G09, VTD G10, VTD G20, VTD G21, VTD G22, VTD G23, VTD G24, VTD G25, VTD G26, VTD G27, VTD G28, VTD G29, VTD G30, VTD G31, VTD G40B, VTD NCGR1, VTD NCGR2, VTD SF1, VTD SF2, VTD SF3, VTD SF4.

District 58: Guilford County: VTD FEN1, VTD FR1, VTD FR2, VTD G46, VTD G47, VTD G52, VTD G53, VTD G54, VTD G55, VTD G56, VTD G57, VTD G58, VTD G59, VTD G60, VTD G61, VTD G62, VTD G65, VTD G66, VTD G73, VTD G75, VTD JAM3, VTD SUM1, VTD SUM2, VTD SUM3, VTD SUM4.

District 59: Guilford County: VTD FEN2, VTD G06, VTD GIB, VTD GR, VTD JEF1, VTD JEF2, VTD JEF3, VTD JEF4, VTD MON1, VTD MON2A, VTD MON2B, VTD MON3, VTD NCLAY1, VTD NCLAY2, VTD NMAD, VTD NWASH, VTD PG1, VTD PG2, VTD RC1, VTD RC2, VTD SCLAY, VTD SMAD, VTD SWASH.

District 60: Guilford County: VTD H01, VTD H02, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H06, VTD H07, VTD H08, VTD H09, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD H14, VTD H15, VTD H16, VTD H17, VTD H18, VTD H19A, VTD H19B, VTD H20A, VTD H20B, VTD H22, VTD H26, VTD H28, VTD H29A, VTD JAM1, VTD JAM2, VTD JAM4, VTD JAM5.

District 61: Guilford County: VTD G01, VTD G02, VTD G03, VTD G04, VTD G05, VTD G11, VTD G12, VTD G13, VTD G14, VTD G15, VTD G16, VTD G17, VTD G18, VTD G19, VTD G35, VTD G36, VTD G37, VTD G44, VTD G45, VTD G48, VTD G49, VTD G50, VTD G51, VTD G63, VTD G67, VTD G68, VTD G69, VTD G70, VTD G71, VTD G72, VTD G74.

District 62: Guilford County: VTD FR3, VTD FR4, VTD FR5A, VTD FR5B, VTD G32, VTD G33, VTD G34, VTD G38, VTD G39, VTD G40A1, VTD G40A2, VTD G41A, VTD G41B, VTD G42A, VTD G42B, VTD G43, VTD G64, VTD H21, VTD H23, VTD H24, VTD H25, VTD H27-A, VTD H27-B, VTD H29B, VTD NDRI, VTD OR1, VTD OR2, VTD SDRI, VTD STOK.

District 63: Alamance County: VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 06E, VTD 06N, VTD 06S, VTD 06W, VTD 09N, VTD 103, VTD 10N, VTD 10S, VTD 124, VTD 127, VTD 12N.

District 64: Alamance County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 035, VTD 03C, VTD 03N, VTD 03S, VTD 03W, VTD 08N, VTD 08S, VTD 09S, VTD 1210, VTD 125, VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 129, VTD 12E, VTD 12S, VTD 12W, VTD 3N2.

District 65: Rockingham County.

District 66: Wake County: VTD 01-38, VTD 01-42, VTD 01-44, VTD 01-46, VTD 01-47, VTD 13-01, VTD 13-02, VTD 13-05, VTD 13-06, VTD 13-07, VTD 13-08, VTD 13-09, VTD 17-10, VTD 17-11, VTD 19-17.

District 67: Montgomery County, Stanly County.

District 68: Union County: VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 031, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 17A, VTD 17B, VTD 20A, VTD 20B, VTD 28A, VTD 28B, VTD 28C, VTD 28D.

District 69: Union County: VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 039, VTD 29A, VTD 29B, VTD 29C, VTD 37A, VTD 37B, VTD 38A, VTD 38B.

District 70: Randolph County: VTD AE, VTD AN, VTD AR, VTD AS, VTD AW, VTD BC, VTD LC, VTD NM, VTD RN, VTD TB, VTD TR, VTD TT.

District 71: Forsyth County: VTD 122, VTD 404, VTD 405, VTD 501, VTD 502, VTD 503, VTD 504, VTD 505, VTD 506, VTD 601, VTD 602, VTD 603, VTD 604, VTD 605, VTD 606, VTD 607, VTD 701, VTD 702, VTD 703, VTD 704, VTD 705, VTD 706, VTD 707, VTD 806.

District 72: Forsyth County: VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 204, VTD 205, VTD 206, VTD 207, VTD 301, VTD 302, VTD 303, VTD 304, VTD 305, VTD 306, VTD 401, VTD 402, VTD 403, VTD 801, VTD 802, VTD 803, VTD 804, VTD 805, VTD 809, VTD 901, VTD 902, VTD 903, VTD 904, VTD 905, VTD 906, VTD 907.

District 73: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-08, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-09, VTD 11-01, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 12-12, VTD 12-13.

District 74: Forsyth County: VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 053, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 123, VTD 131, VTD 132, VTD 133, VTD 708, VTD 709, VTD 807, VTD 808, VTD 908, VTD 909.

District 75: Forsyth County: VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 061, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 065, VTD 066, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 082, VTD 083, VTD 507.

District 76: Rowan County: VTD 001, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 031, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 045, VTD 14A.

District 77: Davie County, Rowan County: VTD 007, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 032; Yadkin County.

District 78: Moore County: VTD BEN, VTD DHR, VTD PHA1, VTD PHA2, VTD PHB1, VTD PHB2, VTD PHC, VTD RBN, VTD SLS, VTD TLT, VTD WEM, VTD WND; Randolph County: VTD DR, VTD GR, VTD PR, VTD RM, VTD SE, VTD SO, VTD SW, VTD UG.

District 79: Beaufort County, Dare County: VTD AVON, VTD BUX, VTD CHCA, VTD COL, VTD EL, VTD FRCO, VTD HAT, VTD KDH: Block(s) 0559703021016, 0559703021022, 0559703021023, 0559703021024, 0559703021025, 0559703021027, 0559703021029, 0559703021030, 0559703021032, 0559703021069, 0559703021070; VTD MATO, VTD MH, VTD NGHD, VTD STPT, VTD WAN; Hyde County, Pamlico County.

District 80: Davidson County: VTD 008, VTD 012, VTD 014, VTD 016, VTD 018, VTD 020, VTD 042, VTD 044, VTD 054, VTD 056, VTD 060, VTD 062, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 068, VTD 070, VTD 072, VTD 074, VTD 076, VTD 088, VTD 80A, VTD 86A.

District 81: Davidson County: VTD 004, VTD 006, VTD 010, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 040, VTD 046, VTD 048, VTD 050, VTD 052, VTD 058, VTD 078, VTD 082, VTD 084.

District 82: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 02-07, VTD 02-08, VTD 03-00, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 12-03, VTD 12-11.

District 83: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 05-00, VTD 06-00, VTD 07-00, VTD 08-00, VTD 09-00, VTD 10-00, VTD 11-02, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-10; Rowan County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 013, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 044, VTD 05A, VTD 19A.

District 84: Iredell County: VTD BA: Block(s) 0970612013035, 0970612013036, 0970612013037, 0970612013040, 0970612013041, 0970612013048, 0970612013049, 0970612022000, 0970612022001, 0970612022002, 0970612022003, 0970612022004, 0970612022005, 0970612022006, 0970612022013, 0970612022022, 0970612022023, 0970612022024, 0970612022025, 0970612022026, 0970612022027, 0970612022028, 0970612022029, 0970612022030, 0970612022031, 0970612022032, 0970612022033, 0970612022034, 0970612022035, 0970612022036, 0970612022037, 0970612022038, 0970612022039, 0970612022040, 0970612022041, 0970612022042, 0970612022047, 0970612022048, 0970612022049, 0970612022053, 0970612022058, 0970612022059, 0970612023012, 0970612023013, 0970612023022, 0970612023023, 0970612023024, 0970612023025, 0970612023026, 0970612023027, 0970612023028, 0970612023029, 0970612023030, 0970612023031, 0970612023032, 0970612023033, 0970612023034, 0970612023046, 0970612031000, 0970612031001, 0970612031003, 0970612031004, 0970612031014, 0970613011001, 0970613011007, 0970613011020, 0970613011021, 0970613011022, 0970613011023, 0970613011024, 0970613011025, 0970613011028, 0970613011029, 0970613011030, 0970613011031, 0970613011032, 0970613011033, 0970613011034, 0970613011035, 0970613011036, 0970613011037, 0970613012010, 0970613012011, 0970613012012, 0970613012013, 0970613021000, 0970613021001, 0970613021002, 0970613021003, 0970613021004, 0970613021005, 0970613021006, 0970613021007, 0970613021008, 0970613021009, 0970613021010, 0970613021011, 0970613021012, 0970613021013, 0970613021014, 0970613021015, 0970613021016, 0970613021017, 0970613021018, 0970613021019, 0970613021020, 0970613021021, 0970613021022, 0970613021023, 0970613021024, 0970613021025, 0970613021026, 0970613021027, 0970613021028, 0970613021029, 0970613021030, 0970613021036, 0970613021037, 0970613021038, 0970613022002, 0970613022003, 0970613022004, 0970613022005, 0970613022006, 0970613022007, 0970613022008, 0970613022009, 0970613022010, 0970613022011, 0970613022012, 0970613022013, 0970613022014, 0970613022015, 0970613022016, 0970613022017, 0970613023009, 0970613023010, 0970613023011, 0970613023012, 0970613023013, 0970613023014, 0970613023015, 0970613023016, 0970613023017, 0970613023019, 0970613023020, 0970613023021, 0970613023022, 0970613023023, 0970613023024, 0970613023025, 0970613023026, 0970613023027, 0970613023028, 0970613023029, 0970613023030, 0970613023031, 0970613023032, 0970613023033, 0970613023034, 0970613023035, 0970613023036, 0970613023037, 0970613023038, 0970613023039, 0970613023045, 0970613031000, 0970613031001, 0970613031002, 0970613031003, 0970613031015, 0970613031016, 0970613042000, 0970613042002; VTD BE, VTD CD, VTD CH-A, VTD CH-B, VTD CS, VTD EM, VTD NH, VTD OL, VTD SB, VTD SH-A, VTD ST1, VTD ST2, VTD ST3, VTD ST4, VTD ST5, VTD ST6, VTD TB, VTD UG.

District 85: Avery County, McDowell County: VTD CCREEK, VTD FORT-1, VTD FORT-2, VTD HIGGIN, VTD M.COVE, VTD MAR-1, VTD MAR-2, VTD MAR-3, VTD MAR-4, VTD MAR-5, VTD N.COVE, VTD NEBO, VTD PLEASA, VTD T.COVE, VTD WEST-M; Mitchell County, Yancey County.

District 86: Burke County.

District 87: Caldwell County, Watauga County: VTD 004.

District 88: Mecklenburg County: VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 031, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 049, VTD 050, VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 059, VTD 098, VTD 200, VTD 78.1.

District 89: Catawba County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 025, VTD 027, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 040, VTD 041; Iredell County: VTD FT, VTD SH-B.

District 90: Surry County, Wilkes County: VTD 105, VTD 106, VTD 107, VTD 117, VTD 123, VTD 124.

District 91: Forsyth County: VTD 021, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 081, VTD 091, VTD 092, VTD 101, VTD 111, VTD 112; Stokes County.

District 92: Mecklenburg County: VTD 077, VTD 122, VTD 138, VTD 147, VTD 225, VTD 228, VTD 229, VTD 230, VTD 243.

District 93: Alleghany County, Ashe County, Watauga County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 020.

District 94: Alexander County, Wilkes County: VTD 101, VTD 102, VTD 103, VTD 104, VTD 108, VTD 109, VTD 111, VTD 112, VTD 113A, VTD 114, VTD 115A, VTD 118, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 121, VTD 122, VTD 125, VTD 126, VTD 127, VTD 128, VTD 129.

District 95: Iredell County: VTD BA: Block(s) 0970613023040, 0970613023041, 0970613023042, 0970613023043, 0970613023044, 0970613031018, 0970613031019, 0970613031020, 0970613031021, 0970613031022, 0970613031035, 0970613031036, 0970613031037, 0970613031038, 0970613031039, 0970613031040, 0970613031041, 0970613031043, 0970613031057, 0970613032000, 0970613032001, 0970613032002, 0970613032003, 0970613032004, 0970613032005, 0970613032006, 0970613032007, 0970613032008, 0970613032009, 0970613032010, 0970613032011, 0970613032012, 0970613032013, 0970613032014, 0970613032015, 0970613032016, 0970613032017, 0970613032018, 0970613032019, 0970613032020, 0970613032021, 0970613032022, 0970613032023, 0970613032024, 0970613032025, 0970613032027, 0970613032028, 0970613032048, 0970613032049, 0970613032066; VTD CC1, VTD CC2, VTD CC3, VTD CC4, VTD DV1-A, VTD DV1-B, VTD DV2-A, VTD DV2-B.

District 96: Catawba County: VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 033, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039.

District 97: Lincoln County.

District 98: Mecklenburg County: VTD 127, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190062093053, 1190062093054, 1190062093055, 1190062093056, 1190062093057, 1190062111000, 1190062111001, 1190062111014, 1190062121000, 1190062121003, 1190063081001, 1190063081007, 1190063081009, 1190063081010, 1190063081011, 1190063081012, 1190063081013, 1190063081014, 1190063081015, 1190063081016, 1190063081017, 1190063081018, 1190063081029, 1190063081033, 1190063081076, 1190063081077, 1190063081078, 1190063081079, 1190063081081, 1190063081082, 1190063111005, 1190063111006, 1190063111007, 1190063111008, 1190063111009, 1190063111010, 1190063111011, 1190064071014, 1190064071023, 1190064071025, 1190064071026, 1190064071027, 1190064071028, 1190064071029, 1190064071030, 1190064071046, 1190064071047, 1190064071048, 1190064071049, 1190064071050, 1190064072000, 1190064072001, 1190064072002, 1190064072003, 1190064072006, 1190064072008, 1190064072009, 1190064072010, 1190064072011, 1190064072012, 1190064072013, 1190064072014, 1190064072015, 1190064072016, 1190064072017, 1190064072018, 1190064072019, 1190064072020, 1190064072021; VTD 134, VTD 142, VTD 143, VTD 202, VTD 206, VTD 208, VTD 240, VTD 242.

District 99: Mecklenburg County: VTD 003, VTD 042, VTD 060, VTD 082, VTD 104, VTD 105, VTD 132, VTD 141, VTD 149, VTD 204.1, VTD 205, VTD 237.

District 100: Mecklenburg County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 045, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 084, VTD 099, VTD 102, VTD 106, VTD 108, VTD 117, VTD 124, VTD 125, VTD 130.

District 101: Mecklenburg County: VTD 012, VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 053, VTD 079, VTD 080, VTD 081, VTD 089, VTD 150, VTD 222, VTD 223.1, VTD 224.

District 102: Mecklenburg County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 046, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 056, VTD 061, VTD 109.

District 103: Mecklenburg County: VTD 085, VTD 090, VTD 091, VTD 096, VTD 103, VTD 112, VTD 113, VTD 118, VTD 121, VTD 136, VTD 137, VTD 215, VTD 216, VTD 217, VTD 218, VTD 227, VTD 233.

District 104: Mecklenburg County: VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 032, VTD 036, VTD 047, VTD 048, VTD 057, VTD 058, VTD 065, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 069, VTD 070, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 076, VTD 086, VTD 100, VTD 110, VTD 111, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 131.

District 105: Mecklenburg County: VTD 087, VTD 088, VTD 092, VTD 093, VTD 097, VTD 101, VTD 114, VTD 129, VTD 139.1, VTD 140, VTD 144, VTD 148, VTD 226, VTD 231, VTD 232.

District 106: Mecklenburg County: VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190063081000, 1190063081002, 1190063081003, 1190063081004, 1190063081005, 1190063081006, 1190063081008, 1190063081019, 1190063081020, 1190063081021, 1190063081022, 1190063081023, 1190063081024, 1190063081025, 1190063081026, 1190063081027, 1190063081028, 1190063081030, 1190063081031, 1190063081032, 1190063081034, 1190063081035, 1190063081036, 1190063081037, 1190063081038, 1190063081039, 1190063081040, 1190063081041, 1190063081042, 1190063081043, 1190063081044, 1190063081045, 1190063081046, 1190063081047, 1190063081048, 1190063081049, 1190063081050, 1190063081051, 1190063081052, 1190063081053, 1190063081054, 1190063081055, 1190063081056, 1190063081057, 1190063081058, 1190063081059, 1190063081060, 1190063081061, 1190063081062, 1190063081063, 1190063081064, 1190063081065, 1190063081066, 1190063081067, 1190063081068, 1190063081069, 1190063081070, 1190063081071, 1190063081072, 1190063081073, 1190063081074, 1190063081075, 1190063081080; VTD 145, VTD 151, VTD 207, VTD 212, VTD 214, VTD 239, VTD 241.

District 107: Mecklenburg County: VTD 016, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 107.1, VTD 135, VTD 146, VTD 209, VTD 210, VTD 211, VTD 213, VTD 238.1.

District 108: Gaston County: VTD 008, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 046.

District 109: Gaston County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 031.

District 110: Cleveland County: VTD CASAR, VTD FALSTN, VTD KINGST, VTD LATT, VTD LAWNDL, VTD MULLS, VTD POLKVL, VTD S 5, VTD S-4A, VTD WACO; Gaston County: VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038.

District 111: Cleveland County: VTD 0S-C, VTD 0S-S, VTD BETHWR, VTD BR, VTD GROVER, VTD KM N, VTD KM S, VTD MRB-YO, VTD OAKGRV, VTD RIPPY, VTD SHANGI; Rutherford County: VTD 011, VTD 019, VTD 03A, VTD 05A, VTD 09A, VTD 10A.

District 112: Mecklenburg County: VTD 004, VTD 083, VTD 094, VTD 095, VTD 115, VTD 116, VTD 123, VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 219, VTD 220, VTD 221, VTD 234, VTD 235, VTD 236.

District 113: Henderson County: VTD AR, VTD EF, VTD FR, VTD NB, VTD RR, VTD SB, VTD SE; McDowell County: VTD DYSART, VTD GLENWD; Polk County, Rutherford County: VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 018, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 034, VTD 04A, VTD 06A, VTD 16A.

District 114: Buncombe County: VTD 1.1, VTD 10.1, VTD 11.1, VTD 17.1, VTD 2.1, VTD 20.1, VTD 21.1, VTD 23.2, VTD 23.3, VTD 25.1, VTD 3.1, VTD 32.1, VTD 33.2, VTD 33.3, VTD 34.1, VTD 35.1, VTD 36.1, VTD 37.1, VTD 38.2, VTD 38.3, VTD 39.2, VTD 39.3, VTD 50.1, VTD 6.1, VTD 60.2, VTD 60.4, VTD 61.1, VTD 62.1, VTD 64.1, VTD 65.1, VTD 66.1, VTD 7.1, VTD 8.3, VTD 9.1.

District 115: Buncombe County: VTD 13.1, VTD 18.2, VTD 19.1, VTD 24.1, VTD 26.1, VTD 29.2, VTD 30.2, VTD 30.3, VTD 31.1, VTD 44.1, VTD 45.1, VTD 46.1, VTD 47.1, VTD 48.1, VTD 49.1, VTD 54.2, VTD 55.1, VTD 56.2, VTD 57.1, VTD 8.2.

District 116: Buncombe County: VTD 12.1, VTD 14.2, VTD 14.3, VTD 15.1, VTD 16.2, VTD 22.2, VTD 27.2, VTD 28.1, VTD 4.1, VTD 40.2, VTD 41.1, VTD 42.1, VTD 43.2, VTD 5.1, VTD 51.2, VTD 52.1, VTD 53.1, VTD 58.1, VTD 59.1, VTD 63.1, VTD 67.1, VTD 68.1, VTD 69.1, VTD 70.1, VTD 71.1.

District 117: Henderson County: VTD AT, VTD BC, VTD BK, VTD CB, VTD CC, VTD ED, VTD ES, VTD EV, VTD FL, VTD GM, VTD GR, VTD HC, VTD HS, VTD HV-1, VTD HV-2, VTD HV-3, VTD LJ, VTD LP, VTD MG, VTD NE, VTD NM, VTD NW, VTD PR, VTD PV, VTD RG, VTD SM, VTD SW.

District 118: Haywood County, Madison County.

District 119: Jackson County, Swain County, Transylvania County.

District 120: Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Macon County."

SECTION 2. The remedial plan adopted by Section 1 of this act is effective contingent upon its approval or adoption by the Wake County Superior Court in 21-CVS-015426 and 21-CVS-500085 and the denial by the Supreme Court of North Carolina of any motion to stay the approval or adoption of the remedial plan, unless the United States Supreme Court or any other federal court reverses or stays the 4 February 2022 order or 14 February 2022 opinion of the Supreme Court of North Carolina in No. 413PA21 holding unconstitutional G.S. 120-2(a) as it existed prior to the enactment of this act (or the decision is otherwise enjoined, made inoperable, or ineffective), and in such case the prior version of G.S. 120-2(a) is again effective.

SECTION 3. Except as otherwise provided, this act is effective when it becomes law.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 17th day of February, 2022.

s/ Warren Daniel
Presiding Officer of the Senate

s/ Tim Moore
Speaker of the House of Representatives

S.L 2022-4, Alamance Grouping

-11835-

Caswell

Person 2

V

57

63

50

Clemmons

Guilford

D 61

59

Hardister

Hurtado

Alamance

U

Orange

58

Quick

64

Riddell

Morey 30

Meyer

Insko

56

Durham

29

54

Chatham

Legend

District

County

VTD

Grouping

N

W E

S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Alexander Grouping

93
Alleghany
Stevens
Ashe
90
Surry
Stokes
91
K. Hall
E
II
Watauga
HH
Wilkes
Elmore
Yadkin
Zachary
Pickett
94
72
A. Baker
Zenger
Forsyth
74
Terry
75
71
Lambeth
Avery
85
87
Caldwell
Alexander
84
Iredell
Davie
Howard
80
77
Davidson
Potts
81
R
D. Hall
McNeely
96
Catawba
89
Rowan
76
Adams

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4
Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Alleghany Grouping

Alleghany
Ashe
93
Watauga
II
Pickett
Avery
Mitchell
85
Yancey
87
Caldwell
Wilkes
Elmore
94
HH
Surry
Stevens
90
Yadkin
Zachary
74
Alexander
Burke
86
EE
D. Hall
Blackwell
96
Catawba
Adams
89
McNeely
84
Iredell
Davie
Howard
77
Rowan
G
76

Legend
- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles


S.L 2022-4, Anson Grouping
-11838-
73
83
Cabarrus
Stanly
67
Montgomery
101
Alexander
A
102
Belk
Carney
88
Autry
100
112
Mecklenburg
104
Lofton
92
Hunt
103
69
Harris
105
Arp
Willis
68
Union
M
55
Anson
52
Richmond
Brody
Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4
Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022
0
3.25
6.5
13
19.5
26
Miles

S.L 2022-4, Avery Grouping

-11839-

Ashe
93
Watauga
Surry
90
HH
Wilkes
Elmore
Pickett
94
Yadkin
Zachary
Avery
Mitchell
85
Yancey
II 87
Caldwell
Alexander
84
77
Madison
118
D. Hall
86
EE
Burke
Blackwell
McNeely
Iredell
K
Adams
96
Catawba
Setzer
89
Rowan
116
McDowell
Greene
Rudow
N
Buncombe
AA
Haywood
Turner
Pless
115
C
95
Mills
83
Ager
114
Moffitt
Lincoln
Y
97
Saine
Bradford
98
Cabarrus
82
K. Baker
Mecklenburg
117
Henderson
113
Rutherford
Rogers
110
Hastings
Torbett
108
106
107
73
Jackson
Polk
Johnson
Cleveland
101
Cunningham
99
Logan
Alexander
A
Majeed
102
111
Moore
Gaston
Loftis
109
88
Belk
Carney
Autry
112
100
104
Brown
92
105
Lofton
103
69

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Beaufort Grouping

Wray
Northampton
DD
Halifax
27
Gates
5
NN
Hunter
Hertford
Currituck
Camden
Perquimans
Goodwin
Chowan
Hanig
Bertie
Nash
25
Willingham
23
X
Edgecombe
Gailliard
P
Martin
1
Tyrrell
Washington
24
Cooper-Suggs
Wilson
8
K. Smith
Pitt
T
Farkas
9
MM
Dare
Kidwell
Beaufort
79
Hyde
10
Greene
R. Smith
Wayne
12
Humphrey
Lenoir
BB
Craven
3
Pamlico
Tyson
Carteret
13
Jones
Onslow

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 10 20 40 60 80 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Bertie Grouping

DD

-11841-

Northampton

Hertford 5

Halifax 27

Chowan

Goodwin

Bertie

25

Nash

23

X

Willingham

Gailliard

Edgecombe

1

Martin

Washington

24

Pitt

8

K. Smith

Beaufort

79

Hyde

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4 8 16 24 32 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Bladen Grouping

51
6 Harnett
53
-11842-
Johnston 28
10
BB
Humphrey
Wayne
Lenoir
12
Boles
Moore
78
52
Wheatley
Lucas
42
Dixon
KK
44
Richardson
Cumberland
43
Jones
48 Hoke
Sampson
4
Szoka
45
Duplin
Z
Pierce
Scotland
15
Onslow
JJ
22
14
Graham
Brisson
47
Robeson
Bladen
LL
Pender
16
46
O
Columbus
C. Smith
18
New Hanover
17

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Brunswick Grouping

-11843-

22 Bladen

16

C. Smith

Pender

18

New Hanover

Columbus

46

Butler

Davis

20

17

H

Brunswick

19

Miller

Iler

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N

W E

S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4 8 16 24 32 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Buncombe Grouping

-11844-

Madison

Yancey

116

85

McDowell

Rudow

Buncombe

N

114

118

Haywood

Turner

115

Pless

Ager

Rutherford

113

Moffitt

117

Henderson

119

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 Miles

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Burke Grouping

-11845-

Avery

Mitchell

85

II

87

Caldwell

Wilkes

94

Alexander

D. Hall

86

EE

Burke

Blackwell

Adams

96

McDowell

Greene

Catawba

89

113

Rutherford

111

Cleveland

110

Lincoln

97

C

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 2.75 5.5 11 16.5 22 Miles


S.L 2022-4, Cabarrus Grouping
-11846-
Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4
Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022
Watauga
Wilkes
Surry
Stokes
Rockingham
Caswell
Yadkin
Forsyth
Guilford
Alamance
Caldwell
Alexander
Davie
Iredell
Davidson
Randolph
Chatham
Burke
Catawba
Rowan
Lincoln
Cleveland
Gaston
Mecklenburg
Cabarrus
Stanly
Montgomery
Moore
Union
Richmond
Pickett
Elmore
Zachary
K. Hall
Zenger
A. Baker
Terry
Lambeth
Clemmons
Harrison
Hardister
Hurtado
Fairclotn
Quick
Brockman
Riddell
Howard
Potts
Watford
D. Hall
McNeely
Blackwell
Adams
Setzer
Warren
Hurley
McNeill
Mills
Saine
Bradford
K. Baker
Pittman
Hastings
Torbett
Cunningham
Logan
Alexander
Majeed
Belk
Carney
Autry
Loftis
Brown
Sasser
Boles
Miles
0
5
10
20
30
40
93
90
91
65
50
57
62
63
72
74
75
61
59
71
58
64
77
87
60
84
81
80
70
86
96
89
95
76
54
78
97
98
82
83
110
106
73
108
107
67
51
101
99
88
112
102
103
109
92
104
69
52
II
HH
E
D
U
K
G
R
Y
A
FF
F

S.L 2022-4, Camden Grouping

Northampton
27

Gates
5

NN

Hertford

Hunter

Currituck

Camden

Pasquotank

Perquimans
1

Goodwin

Chowan

23 Bertie

Hanig

Tyrrell

Dare
79

Washington

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 4.25 8.5 17 25.5 34 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Carteret Grouping

-11848-

Pitt
9
Beaufort
79
Hyde
Humphrey
Lenoir
BB
Craven
3
Pamlico
12
Tyson
Jones
4
15
13
Carteret
Q
Cleveland
Onslow
Shepard
McElraft
14

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Caswell Grouping

Pyrtle
Rockingham
W 65
Caswell
50
Yarborough
Person
2
32
Granville
S
V
L
57
Clemmons
62
Harrison
D
Guilford
61
59
Hardister
63
Hurtado
Alamance
U
Orange
Durham
Morey
30
Meyer
58
Quick
60
Brockman
64
Riddell
56
Insko
Alston
29
Hawkins
31
John
40
35
Wake
7
49
34
66
38
39
21
78
54
Chatham
17
0
4.25
8.5
25.5
34
Miles

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Catawba Grouping

-11850-

Wilkes

Yadkin

74

II

87

Caldwell

94

Alexander

Davie

Howard

77

84

Iredell

McNeely

D. Hall

Blackwell

Adams

96

K

G

86

Burke

Catawba

89

Setzer

Rowan

76

95

Mills

83

97 Lincoln

Y

Mecklenburg

98

82 Cabarrus

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 3.5 7 14 21 28 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Chatham Grouping

Howard
77
Potts
81
R
Davidson
80
Watford
Brockman
60
58
Guilford
59
70
Randolph
Hurley
McNeill
78
-11851-
Riddell
64
Alamance
Orange
50
Insko
56
30
Alston
29
Durham
Hawkins
31
John
40
Everitt
35
7
34
66
49
21
Ball
A. Jones
39
Adcock
Dahle
von Haefen
41
B
38
Roberson
Gill
Wake
11
36
33
White
26
Paré
37
54
Chatham
G
Warren
Rowan
76
Reives
82
K. Baker
83
Pittman
Cabarrus
73
99
112
103
69
Arp
Union
68
M
55
Anson
Stanly
67
Sasser
FF
Montgomery
F
78
Sauls
Lee
51
Moore
Boles
Harnett
6
53
Penny
J
Johnston
28
Wheatley
Lucas
42
Cumberland
44
Richardson
I
43
Sampson
22
Szoka
45
52
Richmond
Moss
48
Hoke
Z
Pierce
Scotland
46
Robeson
47
Bladen
JJ

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Cherokee Grouping

-11852-

118
Haywood

Swain

Clampitt

119

Graham

CC

Jackson

120

GG

Gillespie

Cherokee

Macon

Clay

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4 8 16 24 32
Miles

S.L 2022-4, Columbus Grouping

Moss
55
52
Richmond
Scotland
48
Pierce
Z
Hoke
42
Szoka
44
45
-11853-
Cumberland
43
Sampson
JJ
KK
4
Duplin
Graham
47
Robeson
Brisson
Bladen
22
Pender
16
O
Columbus
46
B. Jones
18
Butler
New Hanover
Davis
20
17
Brunswick
H
19
Iler
Miller

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Cumberland Grouping

-11854-

6 Harnett

53

28 Johnston

Moore
51

Wheatley

Lucas

42

44

Richardson

Sampson

Cumberland

43

22

48
Hoke

Szoka

45

46
Robeson

Bladen

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Davidson Grouping



S.L 2022-4, Duplin Grouping

-11856-

37 Wake
26
24 Wilson
8
T
Farkas
Kidwell
79
Beaufort
Pitt
9
53
J
Strickland
Johnston
28
Penny
10
Bell
Wayne
R. Smith
Greene
Harnett
6
Humphrey
Craven
3
Wheatley
Lenoir
12
BB
42
4
Dixon
KK
Tyson
Jones
44
I
43
Cumberland
22
Sampson
45
Duplin
15
Onslow
Cleveland
Carteret
13
JJ
Shepard
14
Pender
16
McElraft

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Durham Grouping



S.L 2022-4, Forsyth Grouping

Stevens
Surry
90
Stokes
91
K. Hall
E
Pyrtle
Rockingham
65
W
Yadkin
Zachary
77
94
Zenger
72
Forsyth
A. Baker
74
71
Terry
75
Lambeth
Davie
81
Davidson
80
57
62
Clemmons
Guilford
59
61
Harrison
D
Faircloth
58
Quick
60
Brockman

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 3.5 7 14 21 28 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Franklin Grouping

Yarborough
Person
2
L
50
Orange
Durham
Morey
30
Meyer
Alston
Hawkins
31
Insko
56
29
32
Granville
Vance
Garrison
S
40
John
7 Franklin
Winslow
Warren
27
Northampton
Wray
DD
Halifax
Nash
25
Everitt
35
Wake
66
34
49
21
39
Ball
A. Jones
38
41
11
Adcock
Roberson
26
P
23
Willingham
Edgecombe
Gailliard
24
Wilson

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4.5 9 18 27 36 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Greene Grouping

-11860-
K. Smith
8
24
Wilson
23
T
Farkas
Pitt
Kidwell
Johnston
Strickland
28
10
Greene
9
Beaufort
Hyde
Bell
Wayne
R. Smith
79
Humphrey
Lenoir
BB
12
Craven
3
Pamlico
Tyson
Dixon
KK
4
Jones
22
Sampson
Duplin
Q
13
Carteret
15
Onslow
Cleveland
14

Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4
Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 5 10 20 30 40 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Guilford Grouping

-11861-

65 Rockingham

Caswell 50

91

57

63

Forsyth

62

Clemmons

72

75

Harrison D Guilford

61

59

Hardister

Alamance

64

Faircloth

58

Quick

60

Brockman

Davidson

80

Riddell

70

Randolph

73

54

0 2.25 4.5 9 13.5 18 Miles

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Halifax Grouping

Wray

Northampton

Warren

32
Vance

27 DD

5
Hertford

Halifax

Franklin
7

Nash
25

Edgecombe

Martin

23

Bertie

X

Willingham

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4 8 16 24 32 Miles


S.L 2022-4, Harnett Grouping
-11863-
Chatham
54
21
49
34
66
7
Martin
A. Jones
Ball
Roberson
Nash
25
P
Adcock
41
Dahle
B
38
39
Gill
von Haefen
11
Wake
36
33
26
White
Wilson
24
Paré
37
Johnston
Strickland
Sauls
Lee
51
53
J
28
10
Penny
Bell
Harnett
6
Wayne
R. Smith
KK
4
Wheatley
Sampson
22
Lucas
Cumberland
43
42
Dixon
Duplin
Legend
District
County
VTD
Grouping
N
W
E
S
Source: SL 2022-4
Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022
0
3.75
7.5
15
22.5
30
Miles

S.L 2022-4, Haywood Grouping

Mitchell

85

Yancey

Madison

116

Buncombe

Rudow

N

114

McDowell

Greene

118

Haywood

AA

Turner

Swain

Pless

115

Clampitt

Ager

119

Moffitt

Rutherford

117

Henderson

113

Polk

Jackson

CC

Transylvania

0 4.75 9.5 19 28.5 38 Miles

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Hoke Grouping

67
78
Moore
-11865-
51
Harnett
6
Lucas
Wheatley
42
Cumberland
44
Richardson
I
43
52
Richmond
Hoke
48
Szoka
45
Anson
55
Moss
Z
Pierce
Scotland
47
Robeson
46
Graham
Bladen
22

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 3.5 7 14 21 28 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Jackson Grouping

Madison
116
Rudow
N
Buncombe
118
Haywood
AA
Turner
Swain
Pless
115
Clampitt
119
CC
Henderson
117
Graham
Jackson
Gillespie
Cherokee
GG
120
Transylvania
Macon
Clay

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4.5 9 18 27 36 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Lincoln Grouping

-11867-

96

Burke

86

89

Catawba

Iredell

95

Mills

97

Y

Lincoln

Saine

98

Cleveland

110

Hastings

Mecklenburg

Torbett

Gaston

108

107

111

101

Legend

District

County

VTD

Grouping

N

W

E

S

Source: SL 2022-4

0 1.75 3.5 7 10.5 14 Miles

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Mecklenburg Grouping

Mills
95
-11868-
Iredell
Rowan
76
Y
97
Lincoln
Saine
Bradford
98
82
K. Baker
83
Torbett
106
Pittman
Cabarrus
107
73
108
110
Gaston
101
Logan
Alexander
Cunningham
99
67
Stanly
Majeed
111
A
102
Loftis
109
Belk
Carney
88
Mecklenburg
Autry
100
112
Brown
92
104
Lofton
Hunt
103
69
Union
Harris
105
Arp
68
55
Willis
M
0
3.25
6.5
13
19.5
26
Miles

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Montgomery Grouping

Rowan
76
Davidson
80
Randolph
78
83
Cabarrus
73
Stanly
Sasser
FF
67
Montgomery
Moore
55
Anson
Richmond
52

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 2.75 5.5 11 16.5 22 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Nash Grouping

Warren
-11870-
27
Halifax
Granville
7
Franklin
Winslow
40
Everitt
35
25
Nash
Willingham
Gailliard
Edgecombe
Martin
23
66
Wake
34
39
A. Jones
Roberson
38
Gill
33
P
24
Cooper-Suggs
Wilson
26
White
Johnston
28
Wayne
10
Greene
12
Pitt
8
K. Smith
T
9
Farkas

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W
E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 3.75 7.5 15 22.5 30 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Onslow Grouping

-11871-

12 Jones

79

3

Craven

KK

4 Duplin

Sampson

15

Cleveland

13

Carteret

22

Shepard

Onslow

14

McElraft

LL

Bladen

Pender

16

C. Smith

Brunswick

17

18

New Hanover

20

Butler

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 4.5 9 18 27 36 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Pitt Grouping

-11872-

Edgecombe

23

Martin

Bertie

24
Wilson

8

K. Smith

Pitt
T

Farkas

Kidwell

79
Beaufort

9

10
Wayne

12

Greene

Lenoir

Craven
3

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N
W E
S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

0 3 6 12 18 24 Miles

S.L 2022-4, Rockingham Grouping

Pyrtle

Stokes

91

W 65

Rockingham

Caswell

50

62

57

Guilford

59

64

Alamance

0 1.75 3.5 7 10.5 14 Miles

Legend

- District
- County
- VTD
- Grouping

N W E S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

S.L 2022-4, Wake Grouping

-11874-

Granville

32

2

50

Morey

30

Orange

Meyer

Durham

40

Hawkins

Alston

John

31

Insko

56

29

Winslow

7

Franklin

Everitt

35

25

Nash

34

66

49

21

Martin

Ball

Wake

A. Jones

39

Roberson

B

Adcock

Dahle

38

41

Gill

Chatham

54

von Haefen

11

36

33

Paré

37

White

Johnston

26

Wilson

24

53

28

10

Wayne

Harnett

Strickland

6

0 3.5 7 14 21 28 Miles

Legend

District

County

VTD

Grouping

N

W E

S

Source: SL 2022-4

Printed by the NC General Assembly, 02/18/2022

ADOPTED

-1187-

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A1
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ATU-48 [v.1]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative Reives

moves to amend the bill on page 5, lines 11-13, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 11**: Wake County: VTD 01-01, VTD 01-23, VTD 01-31, VTD 01-32, VTD 01-41, VTD 01-48, VTD 06-07, VTD 18-01, VTD 18-02, VTD 18-04, VTD 18-05, VTD 18-06, VTD 18-08.";

and on page 6, lines 32-33, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 21**: Wake County: VTD 05-01, VTD 05-03, VTD 05-06, VTD 05-07, VTD 05-08, VTD 20-14, VTD 20-15, VTD 20-16, VTD 20-17.";

and on page 7, line 25 through page 8, line 2, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 33**: Wake County: VTD 01-22, VTD 15-01, VTD 15-02, VTD 15-03, VTD 15-04, VTD 16-01, VTD 16-02, VTD 16-03, VTD 16-04, VTD 16-05, VTD 16-06, VTD 16-07, VTD 16-09, VTD 16-10, VTD 16-11.
District 34: Wake County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-05, VTD 01-06, VTD 01-09, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 01-12, VTD 01-15, VTD 01-17, VTD 01-18, VTD 01-30, VTD 01-36, VTD 01-37, VTD 01-39, VTD 01-43, VTD 01-45, VTD 01-51, VTD 02-06, VTD 07-02, VTD 07-03, VTD 07-04, VTD 07-05, VTD 07-06, VTD 07-07, VTD 07-09, VTD 07-11, VTD 07-13.
District 35: Wake County: VTD 19-03, VTD 19-05, VTD 19-07, VTD 19-09, VTD 19-11, VTD 19-12, VTD 19-13, VTD 19-14, VTD 19-15, VTD 19-16, VTD 19-18, VTD 19-19, VTD 19-20, VTD 19-21.
District 36: Wake County: VTD 03-00, VTD 04-07, VTD 06-06, VTD 06-08, VTD 06-09, VTD 20-01, VTD 20-05, VTD 20-06A, VTD 20-06B, VTD 20-09, VTD 20-11, VTD 20-12.
District 37: Wake County: VTD 06-04, VTD 06-05, VTD 06-10, VTD 12-01, VTD 12-02, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-07, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 18-07.
District 38: Wake County: VTD 01-07, VTD 01-13, VTD 01-14, VTD 01-19, VTD 01-20, VTD 01-21, VTD 01-25, VTD 01-26, VTD 01-27, VTD 01-28, VTD 01-34, VTD 01-35, VTD 01-40, VTD 01-50, VTD 17-01, VTD 17-05, VTD 17-07, VTD 17-09.
District 39: Wake County: VTD 09-01, VTD 09-02, VTD 09-03, VTD 10-01, VTD 10-02, VTD 10-03, VTD 10-04, VTD 17-02, VTD 17-03, VTD 17-04, VTD 17-06, VTD 17-12, VTD 17-13.

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

ADOPTED

AMENDMENT NO. A1
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ATU-48 [v.1]

District 40: Wake County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-04, VTD 02-05, VTD 08-02, VTD 08-03, VTD 08-04, VTD 08-05, VTD 08-06, VTD 08-07, VTD 08-08, VTD 08-09, VTD 08-10, VTD 08-11, VTD 13-10, VTD 13-11, VTD 14-01, VTD 14-02.
District 41: Wake County: VTD 04-01, VTD 04-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-04, VTD 04-06, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-10, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 04-14, VTD 04-15, VTD 04-16, VTD 04-19, VTD 04-20, VTD 18-03, VTD 20-03, VTD 20-04, VTD 20-08, VTD 20-10.";

and on page 9, lines 1-3, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 49**: Wake County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-03, VTD 01-16, VTD 01-29, VTD 01-33, VTD 01-49, VTD 04-05, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-17, VTD 04-18, VTD 04-21, VTD 05-05, VTD 07-01, VTD 07-10, VTD 07-12, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02.";

and on page 10, lines 5-7, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 66**: Wake County: VTD 01-38, VTD 01-42, VTD 01-44, VTD 01-46, VTD 01-47, VTD 13-01, VTD 13-02, VTD 13-05, VTD 13-06, VTD 13-07, VTD 13-08, VTD 13-09, VTD 17-10, VTD 17-11, VTD 19-17.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

HCE22-4



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HCE22-4**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 4:22 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.37	0.33
Max	0.62	0.52
Mean	0.48	0.43
Std. Dev.	0.07	0.06

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
11	0.39	0.39
21	0.48	0.43
33	0.37	0.44
34	0.39	0.40
35	0.56	0.52
36	0.46	0.51
37	0.44	0.49
38	0.46	0.33
39	0.62	0.51
40	0.52	0.38
41	0.53	0.38

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.37	0.33
Max	0.62	0.52
Mean	0.48	0.43
Std. Dev.	0.07	0.06

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
49	**0.45**	**0.43**
66	**0.54**	**0.38**

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
11	1	86,995	85,987	-1,008	-1.16%
21	1	86,995	88,313	1,318	1.52%
33	1	86,995	86,863	-132	-0.15%
34	1	86,995	83,302	-3,693	-4.25%
35	1	86,995	86,765	-230	-0.26%
36	1	86,995	89,336	2,341	2.69%
37	1	86,995	88,334	1,339	1.54%
38	1	86,995	90,560	3,565	4.10%
39	1	86,995	86,971	-24	-0.03%
40	1	86,995	90,047	3,052	3.51%
41	1	86,995	84,646	-2,349	-2.70%
49	1	86,995	84,041	-2,954	-3.40%
66	1	86,995	84,245	-2,750	-3.16%
Totals:	**13**		**1,129,410**		

Deviation range: -4.25% to 4.10%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11882-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
11	13,229	31.27%	28,089	66.40%	600	1.42%	159	0.38%	63	0.15%	165	0.39%
21	12,640	31.17%	27,288	67.29%	367	0.90%	94	0.23%	40	0.10%	124	0.31%
33	17,340	36.50%	29,221	61.51%	575	1.21%	130	0.27%	84	0.18%	156	0.33%
34	19,107	35.27%	33,984	62.72%	634	1.17%	136	0.25%	93	0.17%	226	0.42%
35	23,896	46.49%	26,428	51.42%	627	1.22%	110	0.21%	93	0.18%	245	0.48%
36	20,828	40.49%	29,527	57.41%	702	1.36%	113	0.22%	63	0.12%	201	0.39%
37	26,022	50.32%	24,570	47.51%	732	1.42%	122	0.24%	95	0.18%	173	0.33%
38	6,324	14.34%	37,061	84.03%	392	0.89%	139	0.32%	63	0.14%	128	0.29%
39	17,540	37.92%	27,960	60.44%	447	0.97%	100	0.22%	81	0.18%	132	0.29%
40	25,149	42.12%	33,414	55.97%	681	1.14%	111	0.19%	103	0.17%	244	0.41%
41	17,485	34.11%	32,750	63.88%	643	1.25%	128	0.25%	84	0.16%	175	0.34%
49	14,670	29.68%	33,704	68.20%	668	1.35%	141	0.29%	59	0.12%	177	0.36%
66	11,967	28.44%	29,340	69.72%	446	1.06%	129	0.31%	60	0.14%	141	0.34%
Unassigned	2,528,102	51.73%	2,287,169	46.80%	41,126	0.84%	10,574	0.22%	8,927	0.18%	11,027	0.23%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11883-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
11	13,789	32.84%	26,337	62.73%	1,581	3.77%	278	0.66%
21	13,344	33.21%	25,385	63.17%	1,224	3.05%	230	0.57%
33	16,837	35.66%	28,028	59.36%	1,824	3.86%	527	1.12%
34	20,594	38.27%	31,215	58.00%	1,702	3.16%	306	0.57%
35	24,046	47.17%	24,767	48.58%	1,731	3.40%	434	0.85%
36	21,912	42.95%	26,869	52.67%	1,839	3.60%	396	0.78%
37	25,917	50.46%	22,831	44.45%	2,140	4.17%	474	0.92%
38	6,556	14.98%	35,429	80.94%	1,447	3.31%	341	0.78%
39	16,941	36.89%	26,798	58.35%	1,664	3.62%	520	1.13%
40	26,572	44.76%	30,518	51.41%	1,938	3.26%	332	0.56%
41	18,221	35.81%	30,433	59.81%	1,843	3.62%	386	0.76%
49	15,778	32.15%	31,311	63.80%	1,699	3.46%	292	0.59%
66	11,881	28.48%	27,833	66.71%	1,598	3.83%	409	0.98%
Unassigned	2,428,981	50.17%	2,199,756	45.44%	149,168	3.08%	63,534	1.31%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 11884-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
11	28,914	68.67%	12,364	29.36%	674	1.60%	155	0.37%
21	28,734	71.22%	11,063	27.42%	436	1.08%	115	0.29%
33	30,596	64.57%	15,985	33.74%	585	1.23%	218	0.46%
34	35,519	65.72%	17,700	32.75%	647	1.20%	179	0.33%
35	27,993	54.69%	22,438	43.84%	579	1.13%	175	0.34%
36	31,103	60.67%	19,348	37.74%	652	1.27%	161	0.31%
37	26,357	51.12%	24,288	47.11%	711	1.38%	204	0.40%
38	37,593	85.51%	5,655	12.86%	557	1.27%	158	0.36%
39	29,158	63.20%	16,281	35.29%	522	1.13%	178	0.39%
40	35,040	58.87%	23,654	39.74%	653	1.10%	177	0.30%
41	34,277	67.10%	15,921	31.17%	676	1.32%	211	0.41%
49	34,765	70.57%	13,690	27.79%	659	1.34%	148	0.30%
66	30,337	72.40%	10,796	25.77%	577	1.38%	190	0.45%
Unassigned	2,420,315	49.73%	2,373,279	48.77%	52,480	1.08%	20,687	0.43%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	14,284	34.46%	27,171	65.54%
21	13,455	33.90%	26,236	66.10%
33	17,713	37.80%	29,146	62.20%
34	20,856	39.26%	32,267	60.74%
35	24,943	49.39%	25,562	50.61%
36	22,551	44.80%	27,791	55.20%
37	27,152	53.43%	23,669	46.57%
38	6,871	15.78%	36,672	84.22%
39	17,801	39.01%	27,834	60.99%
40	27,106	46.22%	31,544	53.78%
41	18,820	37.46%	31,423	62.54%
49	16,095	33.23%	32,335	66.77%
66	12,476	30.13%	28,936	69.87%
Unassigned	2,556,853	53.31%	2,239,140	46.69%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	27,810	67.11%	13,627	32.89%
21	26,811	67.55%	12,880	32.45%
33	29,949	63.97%	16,870	36.03%
34	33,786	63.43%	19,480	36.57%
35	26,440	52.48%	23,941	47.52%
36	28,748	57.15%	21,553	42.85%
37	24,612	48.54%	26,097	51.46%
38	37,015	85.12%	6,469	14.88%
39	28,526	62.70%	16,972	37.30%
40	32,588	55.57%	26,055	44.43%
41	32,403	64.50%	17,831	35.50%
49	33,395	68.87%	15,094	31.13%
66	29,527	71.43%	11,812	28.57%
Unassigned	2,319,165	48.45%	2,467,616	51.55%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11887-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	27,906	68.10%	13,072	31.90%
21	26,778	68.27%	12,445	31.73%
33	30,302	65.15%	16,207	34.85%
34	34,024	64.73%	18,541	35.27%
35	26,775	53.70%	23,084	46.30%
36	28,886	58.21%	20,735	41.79%
37	25,110	50.04%	25,071	49.96%
38	36,870	85.45%	6,279	14.55%
39	28,829	63.84%	16,327	36.16%
40	33,029	57.02%	24,894	42.98%
41	32,708	65.91%	16,918	34.09%
49	33,414	69.81%	14,447	30.19%
66	29,594	72.18%	11,408	27.82%
Unassigned	2,333,148	49.16%	2,413,066	50.84%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	16,775	40.77%	24,375	59.23%
21	14,677	37.31%	24,656	62.69%
33	19,943	42.74%	26,723	57.26%
34	25,439	48.12%	27,422	51.88%
35	26,828	53.59%	23,237	46.41%
36	24,711	49.57%	25,137	50.43%
37	29,433	58.33%	21,029	41.67%
38	8,675	20.06%	34,579	79.94%
39	19,867	43.73%	25,565	56.27%
40	30,582	52.49%	27,682	47.51%
41	21,815	43.73%	28,065	56.27%
49	19,472	40.48%	28,630	59.52%
66	14,320	34.79%	26,847	65.21%
Unassigned	2,625,463	55.10%	2,139,596	44.90%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	13,980	34.26%	26,824	65.74%
21	12,933	33.12%	26,112	66.88%
33	17,196	37.14%	29,109	62.86%
34	20,640	39.56%	31,529	60.44%
35	24,309	48.93%	25,373	51.07%
36	21,804	44.10%	27,640	55.90%
37	26,523	53.06%	23,465	46.94%
38	6,634	15.40%	36,449	84.60%
39	17,299	38.40%	27,751	61.60%
40	26,698	46.27%	30,999	53.73%
41	18,258	36.98%	31,109	63.02%
49	15,682	32.93%	31,942	67.07%
66	12,115	29.64%	28,759	70.36%
Unassigned	2,489,035	52.43%	2,257,984	47.57%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	27,967	68.02%	13,148	31.98%
21	26,948	68.43%	12,432	31.57%
33	30,348	65.12%	16,254	34.88%
34	34,375	64.99%	18,518	35.01%
35	26,782	53.47%	23,310	46.53%
36	28,840	57.91%	20,964	42.09%
37	25,067	49.82%	25,250	50.18%
38	37,182	85.87%	6,120	14.13%
39	29,042	64.05%	16,303	35.95%
40	33,046	56.77%	25,160	43.23%
41	32,619	65.44%	17,224	34.56%
49	33,603	69.88%	14,487	30.12%
66	29,731	72.22%	11,438	27.78%
Unassigned	2,357,213	49.48%	2,406,707	50.52%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 11891-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
11	15,181	37.18%	25,655	62.82%
21	13,821	35.30%	25,336	64.70%
33	18,557	40.03%	27,799	59.97%
34	22,170	42.28%	30,267	57.72%
35	25,466	51.21%	24,258	48.79%
36	23,169	46.81%	26,325	53.19%
37	27,595	55.17%	22,423	44.83%
38	7,953	18.46%	35,124	81.54%
39	18,561	41.18%	26,512	58.82%
40	28,171	48.73%	29,636	51.27%
41	19,694	39.79%	29,797	60.21%
49	17,392	36.40%	30,392	63.60%
66	13,255	32.42%	27,634	67.58%
Unassigned	2,558,218	54.07%	2,173,423	45.93%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11892-

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
11	13,260	33.09%	24,243	60.50%	1,767	4.41%	802	2.00%
21	10,400	33.42%	19,136	61.49%	1,066	3.43%	518	1.66%
33	14,972	37.32%	23,377	58.28%	1,247	3.11%	517	1.29%
34	17,689	36.59%	27,837	57.58%	1,858	3.84%	958	1.98%
35	19,218	49.16%	17,640	45.12%	1,365	3.49%	873	2.23%
36	16,118	43.96%	18,125	49.44%	1,734	4.73%	685	1.87%
37	21,167	52.90%	16,588	41.45%	1,584	3.96%	678	1.69%
38	5,162	13.11%	32,780	83.25%	904	2.30%	530	1.35%
39	14,451	39.44%	20,568	56.14%	1,103	3.01%	514	1.40%
40	23,032	44.41%	25,784	49.71%	2,066	3.98%	986	1.90%
41	16,770	37.01%	25,741	56.81%	1,917	4.23%	881	1.94%
49	13,422	31.48%	26,664	62.53%	1,723	4.04%	830	1.95%
66	10,417	28.64%	24,250	66.68%	1,126	3.10%	574	1.58%
Unassigned	2,163,671	51.49%	1,877,583	44.69%	110,469	2.63%	50,051	1.19%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
11	15,043	38.64%	22,291	57.26%	1,593	4.09%
21	11,856	39.19%	17,413	57.56%	983	3.25%
33	15,509	39.25%	22,892	57.93%	1,115	2.82%
34	20,714	43.52%	25,466	53.50%	1,419	2.98%
35	21,146	55.07%	16,200	42.19%	1,055	2.75%
36	18,027	50.56%	16,401	46.00%	1,224	3.43%
37	22,375	57.09%	15,562	39.71%	1,253	3.20%
38	5,969	15.43%	31,633	81.79%	1,075	2.78%
39	15,019	41.65%	20,116	55.78%	928	2.57%
40	26,097	51.26%	23,254	45.68%	1,560	3.06%
41	18,827	42.65%	23,693	53.67%	1,628	3.69%
49	15,671	37.59%	24,488	58.74%	1,529	3.67%
66	11,519	32.24%	23,139	64.77%	1,067	2.99%
Unassigned	2,172,847	53.11%	1,802,427	44.06%	115,931	2.83%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
11	26,465	64.39%	13,979	34.01%
21	25,069	65.69%	12,662	33.18%
33	28,124	61.60%	16,949	37.12%
34	31,474	60.21%	20,121	38.49%
35	24,288	50.13%	23,552	48.61%
36	26,283	54.86%	20,977	43.79%
37	22,607	46.27%	25,574	52.34%
38	35,699	83.54%	6,556	15.34%
39	26,555	60.33%	16,947	38.50%
40	30,545	53.23%	26,098	45.48%
41	30,418	61.72%	18,149	36.83%
49	31,220	65.90%	15,492	32.70%
66	27,994	69.17%	11,950	29.53%
Unassigned	2,200,577	46.97%	2,431,987	51.91%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,993**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	85,987	85,987	7.61 %	100.00 %
	21	1,129,410	88,313	88,313	7.82 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	86,863	86,863	7.69 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	83,302	83,302	7.38 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	86,765	86,765	7.68 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	89,336	89,336	7.91 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	88,334	88,334	7.82 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	90,560	90,560	8.02 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	86,971	86,971	7.70 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	90,047	90,047	7.97 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	84,646	84,646	7.49 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	84,041	84,041	7.44 %	100.00 %
	66	1,129,410	84,245	84,245	7.46 %	100.00 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**1,129,410**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
11	Wake	85,987	1,129,410	85,987	100.00 %	7.61 %
21	Wake	88,313	1,129,410	88,313	100.00 %	7.82 %
33	Wake	86,863	1,129,410	86,863	100.00 %	7.69 %
34	Wake	83,302	1,129,410	83,302	100.00 %	7.38 %
35	Wake	86,765	1,129,410	86,765	100.00 %	7.68 %
36	Wake	89,336	1,129,410	89,336	100.00 %	7.91 %
37	Wake	88,334	1,129,410	88,334	100.00 %	7.82 %
38	Wake	90,560	1,129,410	90,560	100.00 %	8.02 %
39	Wake	86,971	1,129,410	86,971	100.00 %	7.70 %
40	Wake	90,047	1,129,410	90,047	100.00 %	7.97 %
41	Wake	84,646	1,129,410	84,646	100.00 %	7.49 %
49	Wake	84,041	1,129,410	84,041	100.00 %	7.44 %
66	Wake	84,245	1,129,410	84,245	100.00 %	7.46 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,309,978	171,415	171,415	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,309,978	36,444	36,444	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,309,978	10,888	10,888	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,309,978	22,055	22,055	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,309,978	26,577	26,577	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,309,978	17,806	17,806	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,309,978	44,652	44,652	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,309,978	17,934	17,934	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	9,309,978	29,606	29,606	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,309,978	136,693	136,693	1.47 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,309,978	269,452	269,452	2.89 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,309,978	87,570	87,570	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,309,978	225,804	225,804	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,309,978	80,652	80,652	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,309,978	10,355	10,355	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,309,978	67,686	67,686	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,309,978	22,736	22,736	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,309,978	160,610	160,610	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,309,978	76,285	76,285	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,309,978	28,774	28,774	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,309,978	13,708	13,708	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,309,978	11,089	11,089	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,309,978	99,519	99,519	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,309,978	50,623	50,623	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,309,978	100,720	100,720	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,309,978	334,728	334,728	3.60 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,309,978	28,100	28,100	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,309,978	36,915	36,915	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	9,309,978	168,930	168,930	1.81 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Davie	9,309,978	42,712	42,712	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	9,309,978	48,715	48,715	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,309,978	324,833	324,833	3.49 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,309,978	48,900	48,900	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,309,978	382,590	382,590	4.11 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,309,978	68,573	68,573	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,309,978	227,943	227,943	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Gates	9,309,978	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,309,978	8,030	8,030	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,309,978	60,992	60,992	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,309,978	20,451	20,451	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,309,978	541,299	541,299	5.81 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,309,978	48,622	48,622	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,309,978	133,568	133,568	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,309,978	62,089	62,089	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,309,978	116,281	116,281	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,309,978	21,552	21,552	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,309,978	52,082	52,082	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,309,978	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,309,978	186,693	186,693	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,309,978	43,109	43,109	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,309,978	215,999	215,999	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,309,978	9,172	9,172	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,309,978	63,285	63,285	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,309,978	55,122	55,122	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,309,978	86,810	86,810	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,309,978	37,014	37,014	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,309,978	21,193	21,193	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,309,978	22,031	22,031	0.24 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,309,978	44,578	44,578	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,309,978	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.98 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,309,978	14,903	14,903	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,309,978	25,751	25,751	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,309,978	99,727	99,727	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,309,978	94,970	94,970	1.02 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,309,978	225,702	225,702	2.42 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,309,978	17,471	17,471	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	9,309,978	204,576	204,576	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,309,978	148,696	148,696	1.60 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,309,978	12,276	12,276	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,309,978	40,568	40,568	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Pender	9,309,978	60,203	60,203	0.65 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Perquimans	9,309,978	13,005	13,005	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Person	9,309,978	39,097	39,097	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,309,978	170,243	170,243	1.83 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,309,978	19,328	19,328	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,309,978	144,171	144,171	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	9,309,978	42,946	42,946	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,309,978	116,530	116,530	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,309,978	91,096	91,096	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	9,309,978	146,875	146,875	1.58 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,309,978	64,444	64,444	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	9,309,978	59,036	59,036	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,309,978	34,174	34,174	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,309,978	62,504	62,504	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,309,978	44,520	44,520	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,309,978	71,359	71,359	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,309,978	14,117	14,117	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,309,978	32,986	32,986	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,309,978	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,309,978	238,267	238,267	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,309,978	42,578	42,578	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,309,978	18,642	18,642	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,309,978	11,003	11,003	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,309,978	54,086	54,086	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	9,309,978	117,333	117,333	1.26 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,309,978	65,969	65,969	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,309,978	78,784	78,784	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	9,309,978	37,214	37,214	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	9,309,978	18,470	18,470	0.20 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Angier	37	5,265	88,334	556	10.56 %	0.63 %
	Unassigned	*5,265*	*9,309,978*	*4,709*	*89.44 %*	*0.05 %*
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Cary	11	174,721	85,987	15,301	8.76 %	17.79 %
	21	174,721	88,313	57,857	33.11 %	65.51 %
	36	174,721	89,336	8,272	4.73 %	9.26 %
	37	174,721	88,334	2,012	1.15 %	2.28 %
	41	174,721	84,646	70,058	40.10 %	82.77 %
	49	174,721	84,041	17,512	10.02 %	20.84 %
	Unassigned	*174,721*	*9,309,978*	*3,709*	*2.12 %*	*0.04 %*
Clayton	33	26,307	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	*26,307*	*9,309,978*	*26,307*	*100.00 %*	*0.28 %*
Durham	21	283,506	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	283,506	90,047	269	0.09 %	0.30 %
	49	283,506	84,041	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	*283,506*	*9,309,978*	*283,237*	*99.91 %*	*3.04 %*
Fuquay-Varina	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
	Unassigned	*34,152*	*9,309,978*	*0*	*0.00 %*	*0.00 %*
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Morrisville	21	29,630	88,313	29,423	99.30 %	33.32 %
	41	29,630	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	*29,630*	*9,309,978*	*207*	*0.70 %*	*0.00 %*

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.
[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh	11	467,665	85,987	52,233	11.17 %	60.75 %
	33	467,665	86,863	21,874	4.68 %	25.18 %
	34	467,665	83,302	81,107	17.34 %	97.37 %
	35	467,665	86,765	16,132	3.45 %	18.59 %
	38	467,665	90,560	87,892	18.79 %	97.05 %
	39	467,665	86,971	11,902	2.54 %	13.69 %
	40	467,665	90,047	48,709	10.42 %	54.09 %
	41	467,665	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	467,665	84,041	64,064	13.70 %	76.23 %
	66	467,665	84,245	82,193	17.58 %	97.56 %
	Unassigned	*467,665*	*9,309,978*	*1,559*	*0.33 %*	*0.02 %*
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Wake Forest	35	47,601	86,765	46,097	96.84 %	53.13 %
	Unassigned	*47,601*	*9,309,978*	*1,504*	*3.16 %*	*0.02 %*
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Zebulon	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
	Unassigned	*6,903*	*9,309,978*	*0*	*0.00 %*	*0.00 %*
		Assigned Geography Total:		**924,399**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 6

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 9

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 538

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Municipalities: 13

Splits Involving Population: 9

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Angier (Wake)	37	556	88,334	556	100.00 %	0.63 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	85,987	15,301	8.95 %	17.79 %
	21	171,012	88,313	57,857	33.83 %	65.51 %
	36	171,012	89,336	8,272	4.84 %	9.26 %
	37	171,012	88,334	2,012	1.18 %	2.28 %
	41	171,012	84,646	70,058	40.97 %	82.77 %
	49	171,012	84,041	17,512	10.24 %	20.84 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Durham (Wake)	21	269	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	269	90,047	269	100.00 %	0.30 %
	49	269	84,041	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Morrisville (Wake)	21	29,423	88,313	29,423	100.00 %	33.32 %
	41	29,423	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	85,987	52,233	11.21 %	60.75 %
	33	466,106	86,863	21,874	4.69 %	25.18 %
	34	466,106	83,302	81,107	17.40 %	97.37 %
	35	466,106	86,765	16,132	3.46 %	18.59 %
	38	466,106	90,560	87,892	18.86 %	97.05 %
	39	466,106	86,971	11,902	2.55 %	13.69 %
	40	466,106	90,047	48,709	10.45 %	54.09 %
	41	466,106	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	466,106	84,041	64,064	13.74 %	76.23 %
	66	466,106	84,245	82,193	17.63 %	97.56 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	86,765	46,097	100.00 %	53.13 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-4.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:23 PM
Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.
Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.
[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Zebulon (Wake)	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**924,399**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 15

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 599

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Municipalities: 10

Splits Involving Population: 5

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
11	Cary (Wake)	85,987	171,012	15,301	17.79 %	8.95 %
	Garner	85,987	31,159	490	0.57 %	1.57 %
	Holly Springs	85,987	41,239	1,355	1.58 %	3.29 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,987	466,106	52,233	60.75 %	11.21 %
21	Apex	88,313	58,780	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,313	171,012	57,857	65.51 %	33.83 %
	Durham (Wake)	88,313	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	88,313	29,423	29,423	33.32 %	100.00 %
33	Clayton (Wake)	86,863	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,863	34,152	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	86,863	31,159	30,658	35.29 %	98.39 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,863	466,106	21,874	25.18 %	4.69 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	83,302	466,106	81,107	97.37 %	17.40 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	86,765	466,106	16,132	18.59 %	3.46 %
	Rolesville	86,765	9,475	9,475	10.92 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	86,765	46,097	46,097	53.13 %	100.00 %
36	Apex	89,336	58,780	45,473	50.90 %	77.36 %
	Cary (Wake)	89,336	171,012	8,272	9.26 %	4.84 %
	Holly Springs	89,336	41,239	25,760	28.83 %	62.47 %
37	Angier (Wake)	88,334	556	556	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,334	171,012	2,012	2.28 %	1.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	88,334	34,152	34,152	38.66 %	100.00 %
	Garner	88,334	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	88,334	41,239	14,124	15.99 %	34.25 %
38	Garner	90,560	31,159	11	0.01 %	0.04 %
	Knightdale	90,560	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,560	466,106	87,892	97.05 %	18.86 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	86,971	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	86,971	19,435	19,435	22.35 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,971	466,106	11,902	13.69 %	2.55 %
	Wendell	86,971	9,793	9,793	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	86,971	6,903	6,903	7.94 %	100.00 %
40	Durham (Wake)	90,047	269	269	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,047	466,106	48,709	54.09 %	10.45 %
41	Apex	84,646	58,780	13,301	15.71 %	22.63 %
	Cary (Wake)	84,646	171,012	70,058	82.77 %	40.97 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	84,646	29,423	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,646	466,106	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
49	Cary (Wake)	84,041	171,012	17,512	20.84 %	10.24 %
	Durham (Wake)	84,041	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
49	Raleigh (Wake)	84,041	466,106	64,064	76.23 %	13.74 %
66	Raleigh (Wake)	84,245	466,106	82,193	97.56 %	17.63 %
			Total:	**924,399**		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 15

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 599

Split Municipalities: 10

Splits Involving Population: 5

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
11	Wake	13	0
21	Wake	9	0
33	Wake	15	0
34	Wake	27	0
35	Wake	14	0
36	Wake	12	0
37	Wake	12	0
38	Wake	18	0
39	Wake	13	0
40	Wake	19	0
41	Wake	20	0
49	Wake	17	0
66	Wake	15	0
	Total:	**204**	

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 204

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 204

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 204

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2462

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41	41
Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49	49
Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11	11
Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35	35
Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33	33
John	Joseph	Democratic	40	40
Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38	38
Martin	David	Democratic	34	34
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	37
Roberson	James	Democratic	39	39
von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36	36

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HCE22-4

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
11	Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11
21				
33	Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33
34	Martin	David	Democratic	34
35	Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35
36	von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36
37	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
38	Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38
39	Roberson	James	Democratic	39
40	John	Joseph	Democratic	40
41	Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41
49	Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49
66				

ADOPTED

-119-

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A2
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ABW-30 [v.1]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative Reives

moves to amend the bill on page 14, lines 10 through 21, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 114**: Buncombe County: VTD 1.1, VTD 10.1, VTD 11.1, VTD 17.1, VTD 2.1, VTD 20.1, VTD 21.1, VTD 23.2, VTD 23.3, VTD 25.1, VTD 3.1, VTD 32.1, VTD 33.2, VTD 33.3, VTD 34.1, VTD 35.1, VTD 36.1, VTD 37.1, VTD 38.2, VTD 38.3, VTD 39.2, VTD 39.3, VTD 50.1, VTD 6.1, VTD 60.2, VTD 60.4, VTD 61.1, VTD 62.1, VTD 64.1, VTD 65.1, VTD 66.1, VTD 7.1, VTD 8.3, VTD 9.1.
District 115: Buncombe County: VTD 13.1, VTD 18.2, VTD 19.1, VTD 24.1, VTD 26.1, VTD 29.2, VTD 30.2, VTD 30.3, VTD 31.1, VTD 44.1, VTD 45.1, VTD 46.1, VTD 47.1, VTD 48.1, VTD 49.1, VTD 54.2, VTD 55.1, VTD 56.2, VTD 57.1, VTD 8.2.
District 116: Buncombe County: VTD 12.1, VTD 14.2, VTD 14.3, VTD 15.1, VTD 16.2, VTD 22.2, VTD 27.2, VTD 28.1, VTD 4.1, VTD 40.2, VTD 41.1, VTD 42.1, VTD 43.2, VTD 5.1, VTD 51.2, VTD 52.1, VTD 53.1, VTD 58.1, VTD 59.1, VTD 63.1, VTD 67.1, VTD 68.1, VTD 69.1, VTD 70.1, VTD 71.1.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

H980-ABW-30-V-1

HCE22-5



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HCE22-5**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:51 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.39	0.28
Max	0.51	0.37
Mean	0.46	0.32
Std. Dev.	0.06	0.05

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
114	**0.49**	**0.28**
115	**0.51**	**0.37**
116	**0.39**	**0.31**

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
114	1	86,995	89,628	2,633	3.03%
115	1	86,995	88,843	1,848	2.12%
116	1	86,995	90,981	3,986	4.58%
Totals:	**3**		**269,452**		

Deviation range: 2.12% to 4.58%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11917-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
114	18,671	33.40%	36,265	64.87%	484	0.87%	191	0.34%	136	0.24%	155	0.28%
115	21,969	42.97%	28,257	55.27%	524	1.02%	160	0.31%	91	0.18%	127	0.25%
116	21,772	39.85%	31,988	58.55%	452	0.83%	191	0.35%	102	0.19%	133	0.24%
Unassigned	2,691,887	50.25%	2,583,995	48.23%	47,180	0.88%	11,644	0.22%	9,579	0.18%	12,899	0.24%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11918-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
114	18,170	32.81%	34,918	63.05%	1,735	3.13%	556	1.00%
115	21,569	42.61%	26,801	52.95%	1,671	3.30%	579	1.14%
116	20,949	38.70%	31,004	57.28%	1,648	3.04%	528	0.98%
Unassigned	2,600,681	48.99%	2,474,787	46.62%	166,344	3.13%	66,796	1.26%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor11919-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
114	37,124	66.89%	17,365	31.29%	767	1.38%	247	0.45%
115	29,218	57.56%	20,550	40.49%	740	1.46%	251	0.49%
116	33,054	60.91%	20,236	37.29%	765	1.41%	215	0.40%
Unassigned	2,731,305	51.19%	2,524,311	47.31%	58,136	1.09%	22,243	0.42%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
114	19,163	34.97%	35,641	65.03%
115	22,629	45.25%	27,378	54.75%
116	22,051	41.14%	31,548	58.86%
Unassigned	2,733,133	51.98%	2,525,159	48.02%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
114	36,148	66.11%	18,531	33.89%
115	28,080	56.28%	21,816	43.72%
116	32,207	60.29%	21,215	39.71%
Unassigned	2,614,340	49.81%	2,634,735	50.19%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11922-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
114	36,025	66.44%	18,195	33.56%
115	27,948	56.54%	21,483	43.46%
116	32,121	60.59%	20,895	39.41%
Unassigned	2,631,279	50.57%	2,571,921	49.43%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
114	19,889	36.52%	34,574	63.48%
115	23,277	46.89%	26,370	53.11%
116	22,613	42.48%	30,621	57.52%
Unassigned	2,832,221	54.21%	2,391,978	45.79%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
114	18,650	34.40%	35,570	65.60%
115	22,020	44.58%	27,375	55.42%
116	21,420	40.43%	31,567	59.57%
Unassigned	2,661,016	51.16%	2,540,533	48.84%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
114	36,205	66.56%	18,192	33.44%
115	28,066	56.64%	21,484	43.36%
116	32,289	60.80%	20,820	39.20%
Unassigned	2,656,203	50.86%	2,566,819	49.14%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 11926-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
114	19,860	36.78%	34,139	63.22%
115	23,093	46.92%	26,127	53.08%
116	22,471	42.60%	30,278	57.40%
Unassigned	2,743,779	52.89%	2,444,037	47.11%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11927-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
114	16,845	34.11%	29,692	60.13%	1,498	3.03%	1,346	2.73%
115	19,627	45.75%	20,895	48.71%	1,419	3.31%	957	2.23%
116	19,246	41.24%	24,860	53.27%	1,370	2.94%	1,192	2.55%
Unassigned	2,304,031	50.19%	2,104,869	45.85%	125,642	2.74%	55,902	1.22%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
114	16,639	34.56%	29,399	61.06%	2,107	4.38%
115	19,403	46.51%	20,619	49.42%	1,697	4.07%
116	18,514	40.81%	24,975	55.06%	1,872	4.13%
Unassigned	2,336,063	52.23%	2,009,982	44.94%	126,684	2.83%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 1929-

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
114	34,642	64.44%	18,348	34.13%
115	26,428	54.28%	21,577	44.32%
116	30,543	58.44%	21,017	40.21%
Unassigned	2,475,706	48.22%	2,600,050	50.64%
Total:	**2,567,319**	**48.54%**	**2,660,992**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Buncombe	114	269,452	89,628	89,628	33.26 %	100.00 %
	115	269,452	88,843	88,843	32.97 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	90,981	90,981	33.77 %	100.00 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**269,452**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
114	Buncombe	89,628	269,452	89,628	100.00 %	33.26 %
115	Buncombe	88,843	269,452	88,843	100.00 %	32.97 %
116	Buncombe	90,981	269,452	90,981	100.00 %	33.77 %
Unassigned	Alamance	10,169,936	171,415	171,415	1.69 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	10,169,936	36,444	36,444	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	10,169,936	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	10,169,936	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	10,169,936	26,577	26,577	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Avery	10,169,936	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	10,169,936	44,652	44,652	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	10,169,936	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	10,169,936	29,606	29,606	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	10,169,936	136,693	136,693	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Burke	10,169,936	87,570	87,570	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	10,169,936	225,804	225,804	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	10,169,936	80,652	80,652	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Camden	10,169,936	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	10,169,936	67,686	67,686	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	10,169,936	22,736	22,736	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	10,169,936	160,610	160,610	1.58 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	10,169,936	76,285	76,285	0.75 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	10,169,936	28,774	28,774	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	10,169,936	13,708	13,708	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Clay	10,169,936	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	10,169,936	99,519	99,519	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	10,169,936	50,623	50,623	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Craven	10,169,936	100,720	100,720	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	10,169,936	334,728	334,728	3.29 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	10,169,936	28,100	28,100	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Dare	10,169,936	36,915	36,915	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	10,169,936	168,930	168,930	1.66 %	100.00 %
	Davie	10,169,936	42,712	42,712	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	10,169,936	48,715	48,715	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Durham	10,169,936	324,833	324,833	3.19 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	10,169,936	48,900	48,900	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	10,169,936	382,590	382,590	3.76 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	10,169,936	68,573	68,573	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	10,169,936	227,943	227,943	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Gates	10,169,936	10,478	10,478	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Graham	10,169,936	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	10,169,936	60,992	60,992	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Greene	10,169,936	20,451	20,451	0.20 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Guilford	10,169,936	541,299	541,299	5.32 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	10,169,936	48,622	48,622	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	10,169,936	133,568	133,568	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	10,169,936	62,089	62,089	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	10,169,936	116,281	116,281	1.14 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	10,169,936	21,552	21,552	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	10,169,936	52,082	52,082	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	10,169,936	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	10,169,936	186,693	186,693	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	10,169,936	43,109	43,109	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	10,169,936	215,999	215,999	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Jones	10,169,936	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	10,169,936	63,285	63,285	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	10,169,936	55,122	55,122	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	10,169,936	86,810	86,810	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Macon	10,169,936	37,014	37,014	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Madison	10,169,936	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	10,169,936	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	10,169,936	44,578	44,578	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	10,169,936	1,115,482	1,115,482	10.97 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	10,169,936	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	10,169,936	25,751	25,751	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Moore	10,169,936	99,727	99,727	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Nash	10,169,936	94,970	94,970	0.93 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	10,169,936	225,702	225,702	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	10,169,936	17,471	17,471	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	10,169,936	204,576	204,576	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Orange	10,169,936	148,696	148,696	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	10,169,936	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	10,169,936	40,568	40,568	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Pender	10,169,936	60,203	60,203	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	10,169,936	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	10,169,936	39,097	39,097	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	10,169,936	170,243	170,243	1.67 %	100.00 %
	Polk	10,169,936	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	10,169,936	144,171	144,171	1.42 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	10,169,936	42,946	42,946	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	10,169,936	116,530	116,530	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	10,169,936	91,096	91,096	0.90 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	10,169,936	146,875	146,875	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	10,169,936	64,444	64,444	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	10,169,936	59,036	59,036	0.58 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Scotland	10,169,936	34,174	34,174	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	10,169,936	62,504	62,504	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	10,169,936	44,520	44,520	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Surry	10,169,936	71,359	71,359	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Swain	10,169,936	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	10,169,936	32,986	32,986	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	10,169,936	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	10,169,936	238,267	238,267	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Vance	10,169,936	42,578	42,578	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Wake	10,169,936	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.11 %	100.00 %
	Warren	10,169,936	18,642	18,642	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Washington	10,169,936	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	10,169,936	54,086	54,086	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	10,169,936	117,333	117,333	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	10,169,936	65,969	65,969	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	10,169,936	78,784	78,784	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	10,169,936	37,214	37,214	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	10,169,936	18,470	18,470	0.18 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Asheville	114	94,589	89,628	37,562	39.71 %	41.91 %
	115	94,589	88,843	30,907	32.68 %	34.79 %
	116	94,589	90,981	26,120	27.61 %	28.71 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	88,843	1,409	100.00 %	1.59 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,628	8,426	100.00 %	9.40 %
Montreat	114	901	89,628	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,981	4,567	100.00 %	5.02 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,981	7,936	100.00 %	8.72 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**117,828**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 6

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 547

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Asheville	114	94,589	89,628	37,562	39.71 %	41.91 %
	115	94,589	88,843	30,907	32.68 %	34.79 %
	116	94,589	90,981	26,120	27.61 %	28.71 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	88,843	1,409	100.00 %	1.59 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,628	8,426	100.00 %	9.40 %
Montreat	114	901	89,628	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,981	4,567	100.00 %	5.02 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,981	7,936	100.00 %	8.72 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**117,828**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 6

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 608

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
114	Asheville	89,628	94,589	37,562	41.91 %	39.71 %
	Black Mountain	89,628	8,426	8,426	9.40 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	89,628	901	901	1.01 %	100.00 %
115	Asheville	88,843	94,589	30,907	34.79 %	32.68 %
	Biltmore Forest	88,843	1,409	1,409	1.59 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	90,981	94,589	26,120	28.71 %	27.61 %
	Weaverville	90,981	4,567	4,567	5.02 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	90,981	7,936	7,936	8.72 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**117,828**		

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 6

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 608

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
114	Buncombe	34	0
115	Buncombe	20	0
116	Buncombe	25	0
	Total:	**79**	

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 79

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2587

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 79

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2587

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 79

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2587

Total Districts Assigned: 3

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Ager	John	Democratic	115	114
Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114	116
Turner	Brian	Democratic	116	115

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-5.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:53 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HCE22-5

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
114	Ager	John	Democratic	115
115	Turner	Brian	Democratic	116
116	Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114

ADOPTED

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A3
(to be filled in by Principal Clerk)

H980-ABA-53 [v.2]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative REIVES

moves to amend the bill on page 10, lines 24-26, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 73**: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-08, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-09, VTD 11-01, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 12-12, VTD 12-13.";

and on page 10, lines 33-38, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 76**: Rowan County: VTD 001, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 031, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 045, VTD 14A.
District 77: Davie County, Rowan County: VTD 007, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 032; Yadkin County.";

and on page 11, lines 4-9, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 82**: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 02-07, VTD 02-08, VTD 03-00, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 12-03, VTD 12-11.
District 83: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 05-00, VTD 06-00, VTD 07-00, VTD 08-00, VTD 09-00, VTD 10-00, VTD 11-02, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-10; Rowan County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 013, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 044, VTD 05A, VTD 19A.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

HMT22-11



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HMT22-11**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 4:29 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.36	0.22
Max	0.56	0.50
Mean	0.43	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.08	0.13

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
73	**0.36**	**0.22**
76	**0.43**	**0.50**
77	**0.41**	**0.30**
82	**0.56**	**0.50**
83	**0.39**	**0.29**

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
73	1	86,995	90,390	3,395	3.90%
76	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
77	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
82	1	86,995	90,805	3,810	4.38%
83	1	86,995	90,967	3,972	4.57%
Totals:	**5**		**452,605**		

Deviation range: 3.24% to 4.57%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11949-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
73	20,899	46.90%	22,913	51.42%	450	1.01%	110	0.25%	58	0.13%	134	0.30%
76	26,943	61.11%	16,534	37.50%	337	0.76%	103	0.23%	84	0.19%	89	0.20%
77	38,630	75.25%	11,973	23.32%	386	0.75%	94	0.18%	133	0.26%	118	0.23%
82	24,058	51.63%	21,777	46.74%	444	0.95%	99	0.21%	68	0.15%	150	0.32%
83	36,166	73.22%	12,551	25.41%	400	0.81%	82	0.17%	75	0.15%	119	0.24%
Unassigned	2,607,603	49.36%	2,594,757	49.12%	46,623	0.88%	11,698	0.22%	9,490	0.18%	12,704	0.24%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11950-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
73	20,331	46.08%	21,450	48.62%	1,722	3.90%	617	1.40%
76	25,360	58.07%	16,087	36.84%	1,376	3.15%	848	1.94%
77	36,438	71.53%	12,108	23.77%	1,492	2.93%	902	1.77%
82	23,400	50.72%	20,314	44.03%	1,713	3.71%	705	1.53%
83	34,362	70.13%	12,192	24.88%	1,547	3.16%	898	1.83%
Unassigned	2,521,478	48.17%	2,485,359	47.48%	163,548	3.12%	64,489	1.23%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor11951-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
73	23,830	53.86%	19,631	44.37%	588	1.33%	194	0.44%
76	17,964	40.84%	25,335	57.60%	457	1.04%	226	0.51%
77	14,347	28.00%	36,301	70.84%	405	0.79%	194	0.38%
82	22,678	49.10%	22,659	49.06%	637	1.38%	213	0.46%
83	13,997	28.48%	34,447	70.08%	506	1.03%	203	0.41%
Unassigned	2,737,885	52.03%	2,444,089	46.45%	57,815	1.10%	21,926	0.42%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
73	21,391	48.91%	22,346	51.09%
76	26,960	62.20%	16,382	37.80%
77	38,953	76.83%	11,746	23.17%
82	24,579	53.87%	21,046	46.13%
83	36,207	74.48%	12,405	25.52%
Unassigned	2,648,886	51.09%	2,535,801	48.91%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
73	23,015	52.77%	20,595	47.23%
76	17,449	40.33%	25,813	59.67%
77	12,878	25.44%	37,740	74.56%
82	21,964	48.24%	23,569	51.76%
83	13,671	28.18%	34,847	71.82%
Unassigned	2,621,798	50.66%	2,553,733	49.34%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11954-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
73	22,887	53.02%	20,279	46.98%
76	17,171	39.96%	25,798	60.04%
77	13,031	25.96%	37,160	74.04%
82	21,778	48.34%	23,278	51.66%
83	13,324	27.69%	34,787	72.31%
Unassigned	2,639,182	51.44%	2,491,192	48.56%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
73	21,663	50.09%	21,589	49.91%
76	27,716	64.36%	15,345	35.64%
77	40,057	79.28%	10,467	20.72%
82	24,973	55.28%	20,200	44.72%
83	36,780	76.21%	11,480	23.79%
Unassigned	2,746,811	53.32%	2,404,462	46.68%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
73	20,683	48.07%	22,348	51.93%
76	26,439	61.54%	16,524	38.46%
77	38,257	76.14%	11,991	23.86%
82	23,860	53.08%	21,088	46.92%
83	35,517	73.89%	12,553	26.11%
Unassigned	2,578,350	50.27%	2,550,541	49.73%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
73	23,069	53.32%	20,200	46.68%
76	17,658	40.96%	25,456	59.04%
77	13,265	26.34%	37,097	73.66%
82	21,946	48.58%	23,231	51.42%
83	13,583	28.14%	34,685	71.86%
Unassigned	2,663,242	51.71%	2,486,646	48.29%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 11958-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
73	21,808	50.74%	21,173	49.26%
76	26,817	62.78%	15,902	37.22%
77	38,103	75.86%	12,128	24.14%
82	24,945	55.69%	19,847	44.31%
83	35,636	74.43%	12,245	25.57%
Unassigned	2,661,894	52.04%	2,453,286	47.96%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11959-

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
73	17,637	50.92%	15,396	44.45%	1,132	3.27%	469	1.35%
76	23,657	60.02%	14,395	36.52%	939	2.38%	425	1.08%
77	33,328	74.63%	9,728	21.78%	1,126	2.52%	473	1.06%
82	20,907	55.56%	15,123	40.19%	1,120	2.98%	478	1.27%
83	30,569	75.14%	8,701	21.39%	1,015	2.49%	399	0.98%
Unassigned	2,233,651	49.28%	2,116,973	46.71%	124,597	2.75%	57,153	1.26%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
73	18,195	54.04%	14,329	42.56%	1,143	3.40%
76	23,329	60.76%	13,938	36.30%	1,131	2.95%
77	33,021	75.76%	9,480	21.75%	1,085	2.49%
82	21,422	58.33%	14,074	38.32%	1,228	3.34%
83	30,229	75.88%	8,514	21.37%	1,093	2.74%
Unassigned	2,264,423	51.28%	2,024,640	45.85%	126,680	2.87%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
73	21,195	50.44%	20,276	48.25%
76	16,279	38.23%	25,802	60.59%
77	11,929	24.07%	37,090	74.85%
82	20,153	45.67%	23,407	53.04%
83	12,101	25.67%	34,519	73.21%
Unassigned	2,485,661	49.09%	2,519,896	49.76%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,990**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Cabarrus	73	225,804	90,390	90,390	40.03 %	100.00 %
	82	225,804	90,805	90,805	40.21 %	100.00 %
	83	225,804	90,967	44,609	19.76 %	49.04 %
Davie	77	42,712	90,628	42,712	100.00 %	47.13 %
Rowan	76	146,875	89,815	89,815	61.15 %	100.00 %
	77	146,875	90,628	10,702	7.29 %	11.81 %
	83	146,875	90,967	46,358	31.56 %	50.96 %
Yadkin	77	37,214	90,628	37,214	100.00 %	41.06 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**452,605**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 4

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 96

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Split Counties: 2

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
73	Cabarrus	90,390	225,804	90,390	100.00 %	40.03 %
76	Rowan	89,815	146,875	89,815	100.00 %	61.15 %
77	Davie	90,628	42,712	42,712	47.13 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	90,628	146,875	10,702	11.81 %	7.29 %
	Yadkin	90,628	37,214	37,214	41.06 %	100.00 %
82	Cabarrus	90,805	225,804	90,805	100.00 %	40.21 %
83	Cabarrus	90,967	225,804	44,609	49.04 %	19.76 %
	Rowan	90,967	146,875	46,358	50.96 %	31.56 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,986,783	171,415	171,415	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,986,783	36,444	36,444	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,986,783	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,986,783	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,986,783	26,577	26,577	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,986,783	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,986,783	44,652	44,652	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,986,783	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	9,986,783	29,606	29,606	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,986,783	136,693	136,693	1.37 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,986,783	269,452	269,452	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,986,783	87,570	87,570	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,986,783	80,652	80,652	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,986,783	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,986,783	67,686	67,686	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,986,783	22,736	22,736	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,986,783	160,610	160,610	1.61 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,986,783	76,285	76,285	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,986,783	28,774	28,774	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,986,783	13,708	13,708	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,986,783	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,986,783	99,519	99,519	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,986,783	50,623	50,623	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,986,783	100,720	100,720	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,986,783	334,728	334,728	3.35 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,986,783	28,100	28,100	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,986,783	36,915	36,915	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	9,986,783	168,930	168,930	1.69 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	9,986,783	48,715	48,715	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,986,783	324,833	324,833	3.25 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,986,783	48,900	48,900	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,986,783	382,590	382,590	3.83 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,986,783	68,573	68,573	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,986,783	227,943	227,943	2.28 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Gates	9,986,783	10,478	10,478	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,986,783	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,986,783	60,992	60,992	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,986,783	20,451	20,451	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,986,783	541,299	541,299	5.42 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,986,783	48,622	48,622	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,986,783	133,568	133,568	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,986,783	62,089	62,089	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,986,783	116,281	116,281	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,986,783	21,552	21,552	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,986,783	52,082	52,082	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,986,783	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,986,783	186,693	186,693	1.87 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,986,783	43,109	43,109	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,986,783	215,999	215,999	2.16 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,986,783	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,986,783	63,285	63,285	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,986,783	55,122	55,122	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,986,783	86,810	86,810	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,986,783	37,014	37,014	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,986,783	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,986,783	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,986,783	44,578	44,578	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,986,783	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.17 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,986,783	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,986,783	25,751	25,751	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,986,783	99,727	99,727	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,986,783	94,970	94,970	0.95 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,986,783	225,702	225,702	2.26 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,986,783	17,471	17,471	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	9,986,783	204,576	204,576	2.05 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,986,783	148,696	148,696	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,986,783	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,986,783	40,568	40,568	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Pender	9,986,783	60,203	60,203	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	9,986,783	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	9,986,783	39,097	39,097	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,986,783	170,243	170,243	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,986,783	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,986,783	144,171	144,171	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	9,986,783	42,946	42,946	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,986,783	116,530	116,530	1.17 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Rockingham	9,986,783	91,096	91,096	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,986,783	64,444	64,444	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	9,986,783	59,036	59,036	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,986,783	34,174	34,174	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,986,783	62,504	62,504	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,986,783	44,520	44,520	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,986,783	71,359	71,359	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,986,783	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,986,783	32,986	32,986	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,986,783	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,986,783	238,267	238,267	2.39 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,986,783	42,578	42,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Wake	9,986,783	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.31 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,986,783	18,642	18,642	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,986,783	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,986,783	54,086	54,086	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	9,986,783	117,333	117,333	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,986,783	65,969	65,969	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,986,783	78,784	78,784	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	9,986,783	18,470	18,470	0.18 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 4

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 96

Split Counties: 2

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
China Grove	83	4,434	90,967	4,434	100.00 %	4.87 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Concord	73	105,240	90,390	53,986	51.30 %	59.73 %
	82	105,240	90,805	43,784	41.60 %	48.22 %
	83	105,240	90,967	7,470	7.10 %	8.21 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	90,390	18,602	98.08 %	20.58 %
	83	18,967	90,967	365	1.92 %	0.40 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis	82	53,114	90,805	37,735	71.05 %	41.56 %
	83	53,114	90,967	15,379	28.95 %	16.91 %
Landis	83	3,690	90,967	3,690	100.00 %	4.06 %
Locust	83	4,537	90,967	541	11.92 %	0.59 %
	Unassigned	*4,537*	*9,986,783*	*3,996*	*88.08 %*	*0.04 %*
Midland	83	4,684	90,967	4,684	100.00 %	5.15 %
	Unassigned	*4,684*	*9,986,783*	*0*	*0.00 %*	*0.00 %*
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Mount Pleasant	83	1,671	90,967	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**256,789**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 20

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 2

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 531

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Split Municipalities: 5

Splits Involving Population: 4

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
China Grove	83	4,434	90,967	4,434	100.00 %	4.87 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Concord	73	105,240	90,390	53,986	51.30 %	59.73 %
	82	105,240	90,805	43,784	41.60 %	48.22 %
	83	105,240	90,967	7,470	7.10 %	8.21 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	90,390	18,602	98.08 %	20.58 %
	83	18,967	90,967	365	1.92 %	0.40 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	82	42,846	90,805	37,735	88.07 %	41.56 %
	83	42,846	90,967	5,111	11.93 %	5.62 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	83	10,268	90,967	10,268	100.00 %	11.29 %
Landis	83	3,690	90,967	3,690	100.00 %	4.06 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	83	541	90,967	541	100.00 %	0.59 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	83	4,684	90,967	4,684	100.00 %	5.15 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Mount Pleasant	83	1,671	90,967	1,671	100.00 %	1.84 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**256,789**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 23

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 591

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Split Municipalities: 3

Splits Involving Population: 3

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
73	Concord	90,390	105,240	53,986	59.73 %	51.30 %
	Harrisburg	90,390	18,967	18,602	20.58 %	98.08 %
76	East Spencer	89,815	1,567	1,567	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Faith	89,815	819	819	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Granite Quarry	89,815	2,984	2,984	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	89,815	2,302	2,302	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	89,815	35,540	35,540	39.57 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	89,815	3,308	3,308	3.68 %	100.00 %
77	Bermuda Run	90,628	3,120	3,120	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	90,628	1,185	1,185	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	90,628	846	846	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	90,628	940	940	1.04 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	90,628	634	634	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	90,628	2,308	2,308	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	90,628	5,900	5,900	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	90,628	2,995	2,995	3.30 %	100.00 %
82	Concord	90,805	105,240	43,784	48.22 %	41.60 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,805	42,846	37,735	41.56 %	88.07 %
83	China Grove	90,967	4,434	4,434	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Concord	90,967	105,240	7,470	8.21 %	7.10 %
	Harrisburg	90,967	18,967	365	0.40 %	1.92 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	90,967	42,846	5,111	5.62 %	11.93 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	90,967	10,268	10,268	11.29 %	100.00 %
	Landis	90,967	3,690	3,690	4.06 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	90,967	541	541	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	90,967	4,684	4,684	5.15 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	90,967	1,671	1,671	1.84 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**256,789**		

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 23

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 591

Split Municipalities: 3

Splits Involving Population: 3

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
73	Cabarrus	14	0
76	Rowan	25	0
77	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Yadkin	12	0
82	Cabarrus	15	0
83	Cabarrus	11	0
	Rowan	11	0
	Total:	**107**	

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 107

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2559

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 107

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2559

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 107

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2559

Total Districts Assigned: 5

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	82
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	77
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	73
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	76
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	77

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-11.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:30 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HMT22-11

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
73	Pittman	Larry	Republican	83
76	Warren	Harry	Republican	76
77	Howard	Julia	Republican	77
	Zachary	Walter	Republican	73
82	Baker	Kristin	Republican	82
83				

ADOPTED

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

A4

AMENDMENT NO. _____
(to be filled in by Principal Clerk)

H980-ABA-52 [v.1]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative REIVES

moves to amend the bill on page 12, lines 3-5, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 88**: Mecklenburg County: VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 031, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 049, VTD 050, VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 059, VTD 098, VTD 200, VTD 78.1.";

and on page 12, lines 13-14, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 92**: Mecklenburg County: VTD 077, VTD 122, VTD 138, VTD 147, VTD 225, VTD 228, VTD 229, VTD 230, VTD 243.";

and on page 12, line 37, through page 13, line 38, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 98**: Mecklenburg County: VTD 127, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190062093053, 1190062093054, 1190062093055, 1190062093056, 1190062093057, 1190062111000, 1190062111001, 1190062111014, 1190062121000, 1190062121003, 1190063081001, 1190063081007, 1190063081009, 1190063081010, 1190063081011, 1190063081012, 1190063081013, 1190063081014, 1190063081015, 1190063081016, 1190063081017, 1190063081018, 1190063081029, 1190063081033, 1190063081076, 1190063081077, 1190063081078, 1190063081079, 1190063081081, 1190063081082, 1190063111005, 1190063111006, 1190063111007, 1190063111008, 1190063111009, 1190063111010, 1190063111011, 1190064071014, 1190064071023, 1190064071025, 1190064071026, 1190064071027, 1190064071028, 1190064071029, 1190064071030, 1190064071046, 1190064071047, 1190064071048, 1190064071049, 1190064071050, 1190064072000, 1190064072001, 1190064072002, 1190064072003, 1190064072006, 1190064072008, 1190064072009, 1190064072010, 1190064072011, 1190064072012, 1190064072013, 1190064072014, 1190064072015, 1190064072016, 1190064072017, 1190064072018, 1190064072019, 1190064072020, 1190064072021; VTD 134, VTD 142, VTD 143, VTD 202, VTD 206, VTD 208, VTD 240, VTD 242.

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

ADOPTED

AMENDMENT NO. A4
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ABA-52 [v.1]

District 99: Mecklenburg County: VTD 003, VTD 042, VTD 060, VTD 082, VTD 104, VTD 105, VTD 132, VTD 141, VTD 149, VTD 204.1, VTD 205, VTD 237.
District 100: Mecklenburg County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 045, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 084, VTD 099, VTD 102, VTD 106, VTD 108, VTD 117, VTD 124, VTD 125, VTD 130.
District 101: Mecklenburg County: VTD 012, VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 053, VTD 079, VTD 080, VTD 081, VTD 089, VTD 150, VTD 222, VTD 223.1, VTD 224.
District 102: Mecklenburg County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 046, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 056, VTD 061, VTD 109.
District 103: Mecklenburg County: VTD 085, VTD 090, VTD 091, VTD 096, VTD 103, VTD 112, VTD 113, VTD 118, VTD 121, VTD 136, VTD 137, VTD 215, VTD 216, VTD 217, VTD 218, VTD 227, VTD 233.
District 104: Mecklenburg County: VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 032, VTD 036, VTD 047, VTD 048, VTD 057, VTD 058, VTD 065, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 069, VTD 070, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 076, VTD 086, VTD 100, VTD 110, VTD 111, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 131.
District 105: Mecklenburg County: VTD 087, VTD 088, VTD 092, VTD 093, VTD 097, VTD 101, VTD 114, VTD 129, VTD 139.1, VTD 140, VTD 144, VTD 148, VTD 226, VTD 231, VTD 232.
District 106: Mecklenburg County: VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190063081000, 1190063081002, 1190063081003, 1190063081004, 1190063081005, 1190063081006, 1190063081008, 1190063081019, 1190063081020, 1190063081021, 1190063081022, 1190063081023, 1190063081024, 1190063081025, 1190063081026, 1190063081027, 1190063081028, 1190063081030, 1190063081031, 1190063081032, 1190063081034, 1190063081035, 1190063081036, 1190063081037, 1190063081038, 1190063081039, 1190063081040, 1190063081041, 1190063081042, 1190063081043, 1190063081044, 1190063081045, 1190063081046, 1190063081047, 1190063081048, 1190063081049, 1190063081050, 1190063081051, 1190063081052, 1190063081053, 1190063081054, 1190063081055, 1190063081056, 1190063081057, 1190063081058, 1190063081059, 1190063081060, 1190063081061, 1190063081062, 1190063081063, 1190063081064, 1190063081065, 1190063081066, 1190063081067, 1190063081068, 1190063081069, 1190063081070, 1190063081071, 1190063081072, 1190063081073, 1190063081074, 1190063081075, 1190063081080; VTD 145, VTD 151, VTD 207, VTD 212, VTD 214, VTD 239, VTD 241.
District 107: Mecklenburg County: VTD 016, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 107.1, VTD 135, VTD 146, VTD 209, VTD 210, VTD 211, VTD 213, VTD 238.1.";

and on page 14, lines 3-5, by rewriting those lines to read:

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

AMENDMENT

House Bill 980

ADOPTED

AMENDMENT NO. A4
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ABA-52 [v.1]

"**District 112**: Mecklenburg County: VTD 004, VTD 083, VTD 094, VTD 095, VTD 115, VTD 116, VTD 123, VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 219, VTD 220, VTD 221, VTD 234, VTD 235, VTD 236.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

HCE22-6



Description:

District Plan: HCE22-6

2/16/2022 4:12 PM

User:

Measures of Compactness Report

A

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 4:16 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.37	0.27
Max	0.62	0.56
Mean	0.49	0.40
Std. Dev.	0.07	0.08

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
88	0.46	0.34
92	0.51	0.36
98	0.58	0.42
99	0.49	0.50
100	0.50	0.34
101	0.62	0.52
102	0.53	0.40
103	0.39	0.36
104	0.50	0.38
105	0.49	0.38
106	0.40	0.32

Measures of Compactness Report

A

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.37	0.27
Max	0.62	0.56
Mean	0.49	0.40
Std. Dev.	0.07	0.08

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
107	**0.37**	**0.27**
112	**0.56**	**0.56**

Measures of Compactness Report

A

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

			Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
88	1	86,995	86,239	-756	-0.87%
92	1	86,995	89,640	2,645	3.04%
98	1	86,995	82,669	-4,326	-4.97%
99	1	86,995	82,746	-4,249	-4.88%
100	1	86,995	82,932	-4,063	-4.67%
101	1	86,995	84,391	-2,604	-2.99%
102	1	86,995	87,483	488	0.56%
103	1	86,995	88,259	1,264	1.45%
104	1	86,995	84,647	-2,348	-2.70%
105	1	86,995	89,460	2,465	2.83%
106	1	86,995	86,758	-237	-0.27%
107	1	86,995	86,497	-498	-0.57%
112	1	86,995	83,761	-3,234	-3.72%
Totals:	**13**		**1,115,482**		

Deviation range: -4.97% to 3.04%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-11984-

District Plan: HCE22-6

					ib	**% Lib**	**Grn**	**% Grn**	**Cnst**	**% Cnst**	**W-I**	**% W-I**
					520	1.20%	122	0.28%	72	0.17%	184	0.43%
92	12,594	29.46%	29,403	68.79%	454	1.06%	130	0.30%	60	0.14%	105	0.25%
98	24,050	47.92%	25,335	50.48%	477	0.95%	99	0.20%	68	0.14%	156	0.31%
99	4,762	15.28%	25,866	83.02%	263	0.84%	123	0.39%	43	0.14%	101	0.32%
100	7,767	23.50%	24,689	74.71%	293	0.89%	121	0.37%	75	0.23%	101	0.31%
101	9,007	23.03%	29,441	75.27%	331	0.85%	154	0.39%	68	0.17%	114	0.29%
102	8,792	19.44%	35,560	78.63%	483	1.07%	136	0.30%	87	0.19%	169	0.37%
103	23,897	44.99%	28,281	53.24%	533	1.00%	105	0.20%	96	0.18%	204	0.38%
104	20,962	40.40%	29,955	57.74%	530	1.02%	106	0.20%	96	0.19%	231	0.45%
105	19,293	40.66%	27,361	57.67%	464	0.98%	130	0.27%	53	0.11%	143	0.30%
106	13,209	28.86%	31,849	69.58%	399	0.87%	114	0.25%	54	0.12%	147	0.32%
107	6,951	16.62%	34,174	81.73%	319	0.76%	142	0.34%	75	0.18%	150	0.36%
112	16,006	37.66%	25,791	60.68%	360	0.85%	128	0.30%	90	0.21%	130	0.31%
Unassigned	2,575,084	52.00%	2,302,448	46.50%	43,214	0.87%	10,576	0.21%	8,971	0.18%	11,379	0.23%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -11985-

District Plan: HCE22-6

				% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
			447	64.03%	1,589	3.71%	386	0.90%
92	12,527	29.53%	27,840	65.62%	1,631	3.84%	430	1.01%
98	24,948	50.15%	22,843	45.91%	1,553	3.12%	407	0.82%
99	4,602	14.89%	24,727	80.03%	1,163	3.76%	405	1.31%
100	7,862	23.97%	23,153	70.60%	1,376	4.20%	402	1.23%
101	8,692	22.40%	28,048	72.27%	1,483	3.82%	588	1.52%
102	9,622	21.46%	33,049	73.71%	1,739	3.88%	427	0.95%
103	25,243	47.83%	25,596	48.50%	1,565	2.97%	369	0.70%
104	23,784	46.15%	26,053	50.55%	1,428	2.77%	273	0.53%
105	20,012	42.60%	24,927	53.06%	1,638	3.49%	405	0.86%
106	13,437	29.58%	29,949	65.94%	1,635	3.60%	398	0.88%
107	6,878	16.56%	32,718	78.77%	1,420	3.42%	519	1.25%
112	15,632	37.07%	24,473	58.04%	1,544	3.66%	515	1.22%
Unassigned	2,474,684	50.44%	2,216,687	45.18%	151,634	3.09%	62,935	1.28%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 11986-

District Plan: HCE22-6

				% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
				27.55%	663	1.54%	175	0.41%
92	29,983	70.51%	11,651	27.40%	674	1.59%	215	0.51%
98	25,821	51.75%	23,280	46.66%	615	1.23%	177	0.35%
99	26,103	84.25%	4,238	13.68%	490	1.58%	153	0.49%
100	24,975	75.96%	7,070	21.50%	595	1.81%	239	0.73%
101	29,798	76.52%	8,271	21.24%	642	1.65%	230	0.59%
102	35,325	78.56%	8,591	19.11%	861	1.91%	189	0.42%
103	29,027	54.81%	23,192	43.79%	549	1.04%	192	0.36%
104	30,035	58.13%	20,900	40.45%	554	1.07%	181	0.35%
105	28,000	59.35%	18,395	38.99%	615	1.30%	167	0.35%
106	32,397	71.05%	12,401	27.20%	614	1.35%	183	0.40%
107	34,406	82.58%	6,422	15.41%	627	1.50%	207	0.50%
112	26,523	62.63%	14,872	35.12%	647	1.53%	305	0.72%
Unassigned	2,448,021	49.64%	2,411,346	48.89%	52,262	1.06%	20,343	0.41%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Dem	% Dem
		.32%	28,614	67.68%
92	13,009	30.94%	29,031	69.06%
98	25,518	52.16%	23,409	47.84%
99	4,900	15.93%	25,851	84.07%
100	8,234	25.34%	24,262	74.66%
101	9,120	23.61%	29,508	76.39%
102	10,020	22.59%	34,330	77.41%
103	25,885	49.58%	26,323	50.42%
104	24,029	47.43%	26,636	52.57%
105	20,657	44.45%	25,815	55.55%
106	13,943	30.94%	31,126	69.06%
107	7,219	17.47%	34,106	82.53%
112	16,334	39.03%	25,518	60.97%
Unassigned	2,604,445	53.59%	2,255,197	46.41%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

A

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Rep	% Rep
		.39%	13,338	31.61%
92	29,179	69.53%	12,786	30.47%
98	23,976	48.98%	24,978	51.02%
99	25,930	84.39%	4,797	15.61%
100	24,441	75.35%	7,995	24.65%
101	29,559	76.76%	8,947	23.24%
102	34,570	78.07%	9,712	21.93%
103	27,174	52.14%	24,947	47.86%
104	27,662	54.57%	23,029	45.43%
105	26,349	56.81%	20,030	43.19%
106	31,429	69.89%	13,538	30.11%
107	34,066	82.64%	7,157	17.36%
112	25,969	62.10%	15,852	37.90%
Unassigned	2,341,613	48.27%	2,509,191	51.73%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

A

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -11989-

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Rep	% Rep
		.92%	12,961	31.08%
92	29,064	69.78%	12,589	30.22%
98	23,809	49.43%	24,362	50.57%
99	25,857	84.52%	4,734	15.48%
100	24,372	75.67%	7,838	24.33%
101	29,427	76.76%	8,907	23.24%
102	34,198	77.99%	9,651	22.01%
103	26,927	52.32%	24,539	47.68%
104	27,453	55.03%	22,435	44.97%
105	26,260	57.29%	19,579	42.71%
106	31,309	70.18%	13,305	29.82%
107	34,011	82.86%	7,035	17.14%
112	25,802	62.17%	15,702	37.83%
Unassigned	2,360,140	49.08%	2,448,857	50.92%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

A

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Dem	% Dem
		.27%	27,494	65.73%
92	13,132	31.50%	28,558	68.50%
98	25,646	53.11%	22,640	46.89%
99	5,004	16.34%	25,623	83.66%
100	8,528	26.44%	23,726	73.56%
101	9,288	24.18%	29,117	75.82%
102	10,559	24.01%	33,418	75.99%
103	26,453	51.33%	25,084	48.67%
104	25,217	50.41%	24,806	49.59%
105	21,014	45.81%	24,855	54.19%
106	14,205	31.82%	30,441	68.18%
107	7,393	17.98%	33,732	82.02%
112	16,515	39.71%	25,069	60.29%
Unassigned	2,700,713	55.92%	2,128,980	44.08%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

A

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Dem	% Dem
		.55%	28,127	67.45%
92	12,697	30.46%	28,989	69.54%
98	24,871	51.69%	23,241	48.31%
99	4,731	15.45%	25,884	84.55%
100	7,970	24.72%	24,269	75.28%
101	8,904	23.21%	29,467	76.79%
102	9,870	22.51%	33,986	77.49%
103	25,307	49.25%	26,075	50.75%
104	23,692	47.61%	26,073	52.39%
105	20,041	43.81%	25,706	56.19%
106	13,510	30.30%	31,077	69.70%
107	6,973	16.97%	34,108	83.03%
112	15,896	38.29%	25,614	61.71%
Unassigned	2,535,070	52.73%	2,272,429	47.27%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Rep	% Rep
		.97%	13,011	31.03%
92	29,398	70.30%	12,419	29.70%
98	24,133	49.77%	24,356	50.23%
99	26,041	84.95%	4,615	15.05%
100	24,620	76.11%	7,728	23.89%
101	29,757	77.34%	8,718	22.66%
102	34,568	78.49%	9,474	21.51%
103	27,144	52.49%	24,567	47.51%
104	27,892	55.48%	22,379	44.52%
105	26,434	57.43%	19,592	42.57%
106	31,541	70.48%	13,212	29.52%
107	34,318	83.36%	6,849	16.64%
112	26,102	62.71%	15,524	37.29%
Unassigned	2,381,899	49.35%	2,444,871	50.65%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 11993-

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Dem	% Dem
		.48%	26,453	63.52%
92	14,017	33.74%	27,530	66.26%
98	26,182	54.56%	21,805	45.44%
99	5,874	19.25%	24,633	80.75%
100	9,198	28.61%	22,949	71.39%
101	10,167	26.56%	28,119	73.44%
102	11,552	26.40%	32,209	73.60%
103	26,978	52.58%	24,331	47.42%
104	25,373	51.02%	24,356	48.98%
105	21,467	47.01%	24,201	52.99%
106	14,912	33.53%	29,557	66.47%
107	8,429	20.57%	32,539	79.43%
112	17,107	41.34%	24,276	58.66%
Unassigned	2,602,752	54.29%	2,191,623	45.71%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

A

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-11994-

District Plan: HCE22-6

				% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
				67.52%	1,493	4.36%	556	1.62%
92	9,576	30.35%	20,514	65.02%	1,067	3.38%	391	1.24%
98	20,518	51.08%	17,463	43.47%	1,568	3.90%	621	1.55%
99	4,600	16.08%	22,937	80.20%	669	2.34%	395	1.38%
100	6,224	22.03%	20,728	73.38%	864	3.06%	430	1.52%
101	7,570	23.09%	24,095	73.50%	733	2.24%	385	1.17%
102	6,479	18.28%	27,045	76.32%	1,367	3.86%	544	1.54%
103	21,333	48.57%	20,156	45.89%	1,604	3.65%	830	1.89%
104	19,309	43.42%	22,440	50.46%	1,704	3.83%	1,018	2.29%
105	17,740	44.07%	20,416	50.72%	1,451	3.60%	647	1.61%
106	11,535	32.49%	22,278	62.76%	1,150	3.24%	536	1.51%
107	6,460	18.46%	27,360	78.16%	739	2.11%	444	1.27%
112	13,486	39.18%	19,527	56.72%	963	2.80%	449	1.30%
Unassigned	2,205,834	51.72%	1,892,215	44.37%	114,557	2.69%	52,151	1.22%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HCE22-6

				% Dem	Lib	% Lib
				61.86%	1,356	4.10%
92	10,416	34.04%	18,963	61.96%	1,224	4.00%
98	22,451	57.69%	15,145	38.92%	1,322	3.40%
99	5,040	18.11%	21,855	78.53%	936	3.36%
100	7,057	25.75%	19,247	70.22%	1,105	4.03%
101	7,970	24.93%	23,000	71.93%	1,004	3.14%
102	7,862	22.93%	24,889	72.61%	1,529	4.46%
103	24,320	56.67%	17,345	40.42%	1,248	2.91%
104	23,670	54.31%	18,712	42.94%	1,198	2.75%
105	20,109	51.34%	17,688	45.16%	1,369	3.50%
106	12,783	36.81%	20,794	59.88%	1,149	3.31%
107	6,991	20.43%	26,233	76.66%	997	2.91%
112	14,185	42.11%	18,416	54.67%	1,083	3.22%
Unassigned	2,216,513	53.34%	1,822,240	43.85%	116,840	2.81%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

A

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 1996-

District Plan: HCE22-6

			Rep	% Rep
		.17%	12,801	31.37%
92	27,371	68.11%	12,284	30.57%
98	22,468	47.48%	24,263	51.27%
99	25,109	82.79%	4,825	15.91%
100	23,453	73.96%	7,789	24.56%
101	28,278	75.30%	8,797	23.43%
102	32,762	76.66%	9,349	21.87%
103	25,289	49.96%	24,722	48.84%
104	26,006	52.52%	22,898	46.25%
105	24,834	54.88%	19,827	43.81%
106	29,479	68.01%	13,333	30.76%
107	32,648	81.25%	7,063	17.58%
112	24,423	60.25%	15,593	38.47%
Unassigned	2,217,791	46.70%	2,477,447	52.17%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,991**	**50.31%**

A

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

		Population	trict Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Mecklenburg	88	1,115,482	86,239	86,239	7.73 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	89,640	89,640	8.04 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	82,669	82,669	7.41 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	82,746	82,746	7.42 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	82,932	82,932	7.43 %	100.00 %
	101	1,115,482	84,391	84,391	7.57 %	100.00 %
	102	1,115,482	87,483	87,483	7.84 %	100.00 %
	103	1,115,482	88,259	88,259	7.91 %	100.00 %
	104	1,115,482	84,647	84,647	7.59 %	100.00 %
	105	1,115,482	89,460	89,460	8.02 %	100.00 %
	106	1,115,482	86,758	86,758	7.78 %	100.00 %
	107	1,115,482	86,497	86,497	7.75 %	100.00 %
	112	1,115,482	83,761	83,761	7.51 %	100.00 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**1,115,482**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

		Population	County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
88	Mecklenburg	86,239	1,115,482	86,239	100.00 %	7.73 %
92	Mecklenburg	89,640	1,115,482	89,640	100.00 %	8.04 %
98	Mecklenburg	82,669	1,115,482	82,669	100.00 %	7.41 %
99	Mecklenburg	82,746	1,115,482	82,746	100.00 %	7.42 %
100	Mecklenburg	82,932	1,115,482	82,932	100.00 %	7.43 %
101	Mecklenburg	84,391	1,115,482	84,391	100.00 %	7.57 %
102	Mecklenburg	87,483	1,115,482	87,483	100.00 %	7.84 %
103	Mecklenburg	88,259	1,115,482	88,259	100.00 %	7.91 %
104	Mecklenburg	84,647	1,115,482	84,647	100.00 %	7.59 %
105	Mecklenburg	89,460	1,115,482	89,460	100.00 %	8.02 %
106	Mecklenburg	86,758	1,115,482	86,758	100.00 %	7.78 %
107	Mecklenburg	86,497	1,115,482	86,497	100.00 %	7.75 %
112	Mecklenburg	83,761	1,115,482	83,761	100.00 %	7.51 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,323,906	171,415	171,415	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,323,906	36,444	36,444	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,323,906	10,888	10,888	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,323,906	22,055	22,055	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,323,906	26,577	26,577	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,323,906	17,806	17,806	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,323,906	44,652	44,652	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,323,906	17,934	17,934	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	9,323,906	29,606	29,606	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,323,906	136,693	136,693	1.47 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,323,906	269,452	269,452	2.89 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,323,906	87,570	87,570	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,323,906	225,804	225,804	2.42 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,323,906	80,652	80,652	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,323,906	10,355	10,355	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,323,906	67,686	67,686	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,323,906	22,736	22,736	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,323,906	160,610	160,610	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,323,906	76,285	76,285	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,323,906	28,774	28,774	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,323,906	13,708	13,708	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,323,906	11,089	11,089	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,323,906	99,519	99,519	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,323,906	50,623	50,623	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,323,906	100,720	100,720	1.08 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,323,906	334,728	334,728	3.59 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,323,906	28,100	28,100	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,323,906	36,915	36,915	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	9,323,906	168,930	168,930	1.81 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

		Population	County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Davie	9,323,906	42,712	42,712	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	9,323,906	48,715	48,715	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,323,906	324,833	324,833	3.48 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,323,906	48,900	48,900	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,323,906	382,590	382,590	4.10 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,323,906	68,573	68,573	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	9,323,906	227,943	227,943	2.44 %	100.00 %
	Gates	9,323,906	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,323,906	8,030	8,030	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,323,906	60,992	60,992	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,323,906	20,451	20,451	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,323,906	541,299	541,299	5.81 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,323,906	48,622	48,622	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,323,906	133,568	133,568	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,323,906	62,089	62,089	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,323,906	116,281	116,281	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,323,906	21,552	21,552	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,323,906	52,082	52,082	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,323,906	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,323,906	186,693	186,693	2.00 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,323,906	43,109	43,109	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,323,906	215,999	215,999	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,323,906	9,172	9,172	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,323,906	63,285	63,285	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,323,906	55,122	55,122	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,323,906	86,810	86,810	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,323,906	37,014	37,014	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,323,906	21,193	21,193	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,323,906	22,031	22,031	0.24 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,323,906	44,578	44,578	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,323,906	14,903	14,903	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,323,906	25,751	25,751	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,323,906	99,727	99,727	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,323,906	94,970	94,970	1.02 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,323,906	225,702	225,702	2.42 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,323,906	17,471	17,471	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	9,323,906	204,576	204,576	2.19 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,323,906	148,696	148,696	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,323,906	12,276	12,276	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,323,906	40,568	40,568	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Pender	9,323,906	60,203	60,203	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	9,323,906	13,005	13,005	0.14 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

		Population	ounty Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Person	9,323,906	39,097	39,097	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,323,906	170,243	170,243	1.83 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,323,906	19,328	19,328	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,323,906	144,171	144,171	1.55 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	9,323,906	42,946	42,946	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,323,906	116,530	116,530	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,323,906	91,096	91,096	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	9,323,906	146,875	146,875	1.58 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,323,906	64,444	64,444	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	9,323,906	59,036	59,036	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,323,906	34,174	34,174	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,323,906	62,504	62,504	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,323,906	44,520	44,520	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,323,906	71,359	71,359	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,323,906	14,117	14,117	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,323,906	32,986	32,986	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,323,906	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,323,906	238,267	238,267	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,323,906	42,578	42,578	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Wake	9,323,906	1,129,410	1,129,410	12.11 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,323,906	18,642	18,642	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,323,906	11,003	11,003	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,323,906	54,086	54,086	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	9,323,906	117,333	117,333	1.26 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,323,906	65,969	65,969	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,323,906	78,784	78,784	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	9,323,906	37,214	37,214	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	9,323,906	18,470	18,470	0.20 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 1

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 99

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

		Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	86,239	81,695	9.34 %	94.73 %
	92	874,579	89,640	69,175	7.91 %	77.17 %
	99	874,579	82,746	78,624	8.99 %	95.02 %
	100	874,579	82,932	82,932	9.48 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	84,391	73,459	8.40 %	87.05 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	84,647	84,647	9.68 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	89,460	78,415	8.97 %	87.65 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	83,761	45,730	5.23 %	54.60 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %
Davidson	98	15,106	82,669	14,728	97.50 %	17.82 %
	Unassigned	*15,106*	*9,323,906*	*378*	*2.50 %*	*0.00 %*
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Midland	112	4,684	83,761	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	*4,684*	*9,323,906*	*4,684*	*100.00 %*	*0.05 %*
Mint Hill	112	26,450	83,761	26,444	99.98 %	31.57 %
	Unassigned	*26,450*	*9,323,906*	*6*	*0.02 %*	*0.00 %*
Pineville	92	10,602	89,640	3,621	34.15 %	4.04 %
	105	10,602	89,460	6,981	65.85 %	7.80 %
Stallings	103	16,112	88,259	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
	112	16,112	83,761	373	2.32 %	0.45 %
	Unassigned	*16,112*	*9,323,906*	*15,728*	*97.62 %*	*0.17 %*
Weddington	103	13,181	88,259	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
	Unassigned	*13,181*	*9,323,906*	*13,176*	*99.96 %*	*0.14 %*
			Assigned Geography Total:	**1,048,965**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 5

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 5

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 543

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Municipalities: 8

Splits Involving Population: 7

Municipality by County - District Report

		Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	86,239	81,695	9.34 %	94.73 %
	92	874,579	89,640	69,175	7.91 %	77.17 %
	99	874,579	82,746	78,624	8.99 %	95.02 %
	100	874,579	82,932	82,932	9.48 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	84,391	73,459	8.40 %	87.05 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	84,647	84,647	9.68 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	89,460	78,415	8.97 %	87.65 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	83,761	45,730	5.23 %	54.60 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	98	14,728	82,669	14,728	100.00 %	17.82 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	112	0	83,761	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	112	26,444	83,761	26,444	100.00 %	31.57 %
Pineville	92	10,602	89,640	3,621	34.15 %	4.04 %
	105	10,602	89,460	6,981	65.85 %	7.80 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	103	384	88,259	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
	112	384	83,761	373	97.14 %	0.45 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	103	5	88,259	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**1,048,965**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 10

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 604

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

District - Municipality by County Report

A

District	Municipality	District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
88	Charlotte	86,239	874,579	81,695	94.73 %	9.34 %
92	Charlotte	89,640	874,579	69,175	77.17 %	7.91 %
	Pineville	89,640	10,602	3,621	4.04 %	34.15 %
98	Cornelius	82,669	31,412	31,412	38.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	82,669	14,728	14,728	17.82 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	82,669	61,376	34,762	42.05 %	56.64 %
99	Charlotte	82,746	874,579	78,624	95.02 %	8.99 %
100	Charlotte	82,932	874,579	82,932	100.00 %	9.48 %
101	Charlotte	84,391	874,579	73,459	87.05 %	8.40 %
102	Charlotte	87,483	874,579	87,483	100.00 %	10.00 %
103	Charlotte	88,259	874,579	57,696	65.37 %	6.60 %
	Matthews	88,259	29,435	29,435	33.35 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	88,259	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	88,259	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
104	Charlotte	84,647	874,579	84,647	100.00 %	9.68 %
105	Charlotte	89,460	874,579	78,415	87.65 %	8.97 %
	Pineville	89,460	10,602	6,981	7.80 %	65.85 %
106	Charlotte	86,758	874,579	59,599	68.70 %	6.81 %
	Huntersville	86,758	61,376	20,721	23.88 %	33.76 %
107	Charlotte	86,497	874,579	75,124	86.85 %	8.59 %
	Huntersville	86,497	61,376	5,893	6.81 %	9.60 %
112	Charlotte	83,761	874,579	45,730	54.60 %	5.23 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	83,761	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	83,761	26,444	26,444	31.57 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	83,761	384	373	0.45 %	97.14 %
			Total:	**1,048,965**		

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 10

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 604

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

A

			Split VTDs
88	Mecklenburg	19	0
92	Mecklenburg	9	0
98	Mecklenburg	9	1
99	Mecklenburg	12	0
100	Mecklenburg	20	0
101	Mecklenburg	13	0
102	Mecklenburg	19	0
103	Mecklenburg	17	0
104	Mecklenburg	26	0
105	Mecklenburg	15	0
106	Mecklenburg	9	1
107	Mecklenburg	11	0
112	Mecklenburg	15	0
	Total:	**194**	

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 195

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2471

Split VTDs: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

A

		TDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

A

		TDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	194	1
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

A

		VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,665**	**1**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 195

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2471

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Split VTD Detail Report

			Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Mecklenburg	133	98	7,343	5,129	69.85 %
		106	7,343	2,214	30.15 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**7,343**	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 195

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2471

Total Districts Assigned: 13

Split VTDs: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Incumbent-District Report

A

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107	107
Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100	100
Belk	Mary	Democratic	88	88
Bradford	John	Republican	98	98
Brown	Terry	Democratic	92	92
Carney	Becky	Democratic	102	102
Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106	106
Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105	105
Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103	103
Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104	104
Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101	101
Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99	99

District plan definition file: 'HCE22-6.csv', modified 2/16/2022 4:17 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

A

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
88	Belk	Mary	Democratic	88
92	Brown	Terry	Democratic	92
98	Bradford	John	Republican	98
99	Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99
100	Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100
101	Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101
102	Carney	Becky	Democratic	102
103	Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103
104	Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104
105	Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105
106	Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106
107	Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107
112				

ADOPTED

-1201-

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A5
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ABW-31 [v.2]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative Meyer

moves to amend the bill on page 9, lines 4 through 6, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 50**: Caswell County, Orange County: VTD CA, VTD CG, VTD CP, VTD CS1, VTD CW, VTD CX, VTD EF, VTD ENO, VTD GB, VTD H, VTD HE, VTD OG, VTD PA, VTD SJ, VTD SM, VTD TO, VTD WC, VTD WH."; and

on page 9, lines 17 through 19, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 56**: Orange County: VTD CB, VTD CF, VTD CH, VTD CO, VTD DA, VTD DM, VTD EA1, VTD EH, VTD ES, VTD GL, VTD HF, VTD KM, VTD LC, VTD NC, VTD NS, VTD OW, VTD RE, VTD RF, VTD TH, VTD UNC, VTD WD, VTD WDS, VTD WW.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

H980-ABW-31-V-2

HND22-2



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HND22-2**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 5:37 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.38	0.34
Max	0.50	0.37
Mean	0.44	0.36
Std. Dev.	0.08	0.02

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
50	**0.38**	**0.34**
56	**0.50**	**0.37**

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
50	1	86,995	85,345	-1,650	-1.90%
56	1	86,995	86,087	-908	-1.04%
Totals:	**2**		**171,432**		

Deviation range: -1.90% to -1.04%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12016-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
50	21,540	42.24%	28,793	56.46%	381	0.75%	96	0.19%	84	0.16%	106	0.21%
56	5,725	12.41%	39,659	86.00%	336	0.73%	140	0.30%	75	0.16%	181	0.39%
Unassigned	2,727,034	50.30%	2,612,053	48.18%	47,923	0.88%	11,950	0.22%	9,749	0.18%	13,027	0.24%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12017-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
50	20,930	41.28%	28,050	55.32%	1,274	2.51%	452	0.89%
56	6,575	14.35%	38,104	83.14%	965	2.11%	189	0.41%
Unassigned	2,633,864	49.03%	2,501,356	46.56%	169,159	3.15%	67,818	1.26%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12018-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
50	30,249	59.38%	20,113	39.48%	439	0.86%	140	0.27%
56	40,078	87.24%	5,331	11.60%	416	0.91%	113	0.25%
Unassigned	2,760,374	51.12%	2,557,018	47.36%	59,553	1.10%	22,703	0.42%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
50	22,107	43.96%	28,179	56.04%
56	6,740	14.88%	38,543	85.12%
Unassigned	2,768,129	52.02%	2,553,004	47.98%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
50	29,379	58.47%	20,863	41.53%
56	39,018	86.12%	6,286	13.88%
Unassigned	2,642,378	49.75%	2,669,148	50.25%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12021-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
50	29,231	58.68%	20,587	41.32%
56	38,706	86.26%	6,166	13.74%
Unassigned	2,659,436	50.51%	2,605,741	49.49%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
50	23,983	47.87%	26,119	52.13%
56	8,097	18.09%	36,655	81.91%
Unassigned	2,865,920	54.21%	2,420,769	45.79%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
50	21,537	43.21%	28,310	56.79%
56	6,590	14.71%	38,218	85.29%
Unassigned	2,694,979	51.20%	2,568,517	48.80%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
50	29,478	58.88%	20,583	41.12%
56	38,882	86.40%	6,121	13.60%
Unassigned	2,684,403	50.79%	2,600,611	49.21%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer 12025-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
50	22,180	44.64%	27,505	55.36%
56	7,815	17.47%	36,917	82.53%
Unassigned	2,779,208	52.94%	2,470,159	47.06%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-12026-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
50	18,367	41.44%	24,248	54.71%	1,189	2.68%	519	1.17%
56	6,214	12.66%	40,462	82.44%	1,429	2.91%	975	1.99%
Unassigned	2,335,168	50.37%	2,115,606	45.63%	127,311	2.75%	57,903	1.25%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
50	19,129	44.04%	23,265	53.57%	1,038	2.39%
56	8,381	17.60%	37,766	79.29%	1,483	3.11%
Unassigned	2,363,109	52.32%	2,023,944	44.81%	129,839	2.87%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 12028-

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
50	27,734	56.37%	20,993	42.67%
56	38,584	84.28%	6,670	14.57%
Unassigned	2,501,000	48.15%	2,633,327	50.70%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,990**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HND22-2

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Caswell	50	22,736	85,345	22,736	100.00 %	26.64 %
Orange	50	148,696	85,345	62,609	42.11 %	73.36 %
	56	148,696	86,087	86,087	57.89 %	100.00 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**171,432**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 2

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 98

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
50	Caswell	85,345	22,736	22,736	26.64 %	100.00 %
	Orange	85,345	148,696	62,609	73.36 %	42.11 %
56	Orange	86,087	148,696	86,087	100.00 %	57.89 %
Unassigned	Alamance	10,267,956	171,415	171,415	1.67 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	10,267,956	36,444	36,444	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	10,267,956	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	10,267,956	22,055	22,055	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	10,267,956	26,577	26,577	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Avery	10,267,956	17,806	17,806	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	10,267,956	44,652	44,652	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	10,267,956	17,934	17,934	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	10,267,956	29,606	29,606	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	10,267,956	136,693	136,693	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	10,267,956	269,452	269,452	2.62 %	100.00 %
	Burke	10,267,956	87,570	87,570	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	10,267,956	225,804	225,804	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	10,267,956	80,652	80,652	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Camden	10,267,956	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	10,267,956	67,686	67,686	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	10,267,956	160,610	160,610	1.56 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	10,267,956	76,285	76,285	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	10,267,956	28,774	28,774	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	10,267,956	13,708	13,708	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Clay	10,267,956	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	10,267,956	99,519	99,519	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	10,267,956	50,623	50,623	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Craven	10,267,956	100,720	100,720	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	10,267,956	334,728	334,728	3.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	10,267,956	28,100	28,100	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Dare	10,267,956	36,915	36,915	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	10,267,956	168,930	168,930	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Davie	10,267,956	42,712	42,712	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	10,267,956	48,715	48,715	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Durham	10,267,956	324,833	324,833	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	10,267,956	48,900	48,900	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	10,267,956	382,590	382,590	3.73 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	10,267,956	68,573	68,573	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	10,267,956	227,943	227,943	2.22 %	100.00 %
	Gates	10,267,956	10,478	10,478	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Graham	10,267,956	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	10,267,956	60,992	60,992	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Greene	10,267,956	20,451	20,451	0.20 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Guilford	10,267,956	541,299	541,299	5.27 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	10,267,956	48,622	48,622	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	10,267,956	133,568	133,568	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	10,267,956	62,089	62,089	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	10,267,956	116,281	116,281	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	10,267,956	21,552	21,552	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	10,267,956	52,082	52,082	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	10,267,956	4,589	4,589	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	10,267,956	186,693	186,693	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	10,267,956	43,109	43,109	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	10,267,956	215,999	215,999	2.10 %	100.00 %
	Jones	10,267,956	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	10,267,956	63,285	63,285	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	10,267,956	55,122	55,122	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	10,267,956	86,810	86,810	0.85 %	100.00 %
	Macon	10,267,956	37,014	37,014	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Madison	10,267,956	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	10,267,956	22,031	22,031	0.21 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	10,267,956	44,578	44,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	10,267,956	1,115,482	1,115,482	10.86 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	10,267,956	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	10,267,956	25,751	25,751	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Moore	10,267,956	99,727	99,727	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Nash	10,267,956	94,970	94,970	0.92 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	10,267,956	225,702	225,702	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	10,267,956	17,471	17,471	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	10,267,956	204,576	204,576	1.99 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	10,267,956	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	10,267,956	40,568	40,568	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Pender	10,267,956	60,203	60,203	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	10,267,956	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	10,267,956	39,097	39,097	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	10,267,956	170,243	170,243	1.66 %	100.00 %
	Polk	10,267,956	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	10,267,956	144,171	144,171	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	10,267,956	42,946	42,946	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	10,267,956	116,530	116,530	1.13 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	10,267,956	91,096	91,096	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	10,267,956	146,875	146,875	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	10,267,956	64,444	64,444	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	10,267,956	59,036	59,036	0.57 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	10,267,956	34,174	34,174	0.33 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Stanly	10,267,956	62,504	62,504	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	10,267,956	44,520	44,520	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Surry	10,267,956	71,359	71,359	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Swain	10,267,956	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	10,267,956	32,986	32,986	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	10,267,956	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	10,267,956	238,267	238,267	2.32 %	100.00 %
	Vance	10,267,956	42,578	42,578	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Wake	10,267,956	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.00 %	100.00 %
	Warren	10,267,956	18,642	18,642	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Washington	10,267,956	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	10,267,956	54,086	54,086	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	10,267,956	117,333	117,333	1.14 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	10,267,956	65,969	65,969	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	10,267,956	78,784	78,784	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	10,267,956	37,214	37,214	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	10,267,956	18,470	18,470	0.18 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 2

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 98

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HND22-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
Chapel Hill	56	61,960	86,087	59,054	95.31 %	68.60 %
	Unassigned	*61,960*	*10,267,956*	*2,906*	*4.69 %*	*0.03 %*
Durham	50	283,506	85,345	144	0.05 %	0.17 %
	Unassigned	*283,506*	*10,267,956*	*283,362*	*99.95 %*	*2.76 %*
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Mebane	50	17,797	85,345	3,171	17.82 %	3.72 %
	Unassigned	*17,797*	*10,267,956*	*14,626*	*82.18 %*	*0.14 %*
Milton	50	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**95,416**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 4

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 3

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 546

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HND22-2

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,345	174	0.82 %	0.20 %
	56	21,295	86,087	21,121	99.18 %	24.53 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	56	59,054	86,087	59,054	100.00 %	68.60 %
Durham (Orange)	50	144	85,345	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,345	9,660	100.00 %	11.32 %
Mebane (Orange)	50	3,171	85,345	3,171	100.00 %	3.72 %
Milton	50	155	85,345	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,345	1,937	100.00 %	2.27 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**95,416**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 7

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 607

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
50	Carrboro	85,345	21,295	174	0.20 %	0.82 %
	Durham (Orange)	85,345	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	85,345	9,660	9,660	11.32 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	85,345	3,171	3,171	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Milton	85,345	155	155	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	85,345	1,937	1,937	2.27 %	100.00 %
56	Carrboro	86,087	21,295	21,121	24.53 %	99.18 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	86,087	59,054	59,054	68.60 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**95,416**		

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 7

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 607

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HND22-2

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
50	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	18	0
56	Orange	23	0
	Total:	**50**	

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 50

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2616

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HND22-2

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 50

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2616

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HND22-2

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 50

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2616

Total Districts Assigned: 2

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HND22-2

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Insko	Verla	Democratic	56	56
Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50	50

District plan definition file: 'HND22-2.csv', modified 2/16/2022 5:38 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HND22-2

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
50	Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50
56	Insko	Verla	Democratic	56

ADOPTED

-12045-

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A6
(to be filled in by
Principal Clerk)

H980-ABA-54 [v.1]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative Hawkins

moves to amend the bill on page 2, lines 29-30, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 2**: Durham County: VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 046; Person County.";

and on page 6, line 48, through page 7, line 5, by rewriting those lines to read:
"**District 29**: Durham County: VTD 009, VTD 016, VTD 027, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 048, VTD 051, VTD 054, VTD 34-1, VTD 34-2, VTD 35.3, VTD 53-1, VTD 53-2.
District 30: Durham County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 037, VTD 040, VTD 043, VTD 050.
District 31: Durham County: VTD 010, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 047, VTD 052, VTD 055-11, VTD 055-49, VTD 30-1, VTD 30-2.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

ADOPTED _____ FAILED _____ TABLED _____

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

HBA22Amend-1



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HBA22Amend-1**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 7:01 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.41	0.28
Max	0.52	0.58
Mean	0.47	0.44
Std. Dev.	0.05	0.13

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
2	0.41	0.40
29	0.52	0.58
30	0.46	0.28
31	0.48	0.48

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
2	1	86,995	90,751	3,756	4.32%
29	1	86,995	90,900	3,905	4.49%
30	1	86,995	91,038	4,043	4.65%
31	1	86,995	91,241	4,246	4.88%
Totals:	**4**		**363,930**		

Deviation range: 4.32% to 4.88%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12048-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
2	22,478	44.08%	27,859	54.63%	387	0.76%	106	0.21%	82	0.16%	85	0.17%
29	8,109	14.59%	46,533	83.75%	482	0.87%	169	0.30%	81	0.15%	190	0.34%
30	6,319	13.15%	41,036	85.37%	364	0.76%	137	0.29%	63	0.13%	147	0.31%
31	8,690	18.54%	37,401	79.80%	413	0.88%	149	0.32%	73	0.16%	143	0.31%
Unassigned	2,708,703	50.94%	2,527,676	47.54%	46,994	0.88%	11,625	0.22%	9,609	0.18%	12,749	0.24%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12049-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
2	21,455	42.48%	27,034	53.53%	1,447	2.87%	568	1.12%
29	9,041	16.35%	44,457	80.39%	1,486	2.69%	318	0.58%
30	6,977	14.61%	39,318	82.33%	1,168	2.45%	293	0.61%
31	8,827	18.96%	35,793	76.88%	1,530	3.29%	410	0.88%
Unassigned	2,615,069	49.63%	2,420,908	45.95%	165,767	3.15%	66,870	1.27%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12050-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
2	29,387	57.77%	20,885	41.05%	435	0.86%	166	0.33%
29	47,229	85.04%	7,597	13.68%	543	0.98%	170	0.31%
30	41,339	86.34%	5,874	12.27%	519	1.08%	149	0.31%
31	38,141	81.50%	7,882	16.84%	597	1.28%	176	0.38%
Unassigned	2,674,605	50.51%	2,540,224	47.97%	58,314	1.10%	22,295	0.42%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
2	22,645	45.26%	27,387	54.74%
29	9,430	17.21%	45,378	82.79%
30	7,198	15.21%	40,115	84.79%
31	9,276	20.05%	36,992	79.95%
Unassigned	2,748,427	52.67%	2,469,854	47.33%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General - C2052

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
2	28,452	57.12%	21,355	42.88%
29	45,830	83.60%	8,992	16.40%
30	40,397	85.47%	6,866	14.53%
31	37,235	80.62%	8,948	19.38%
Unassigned	2,558,861	49.12%	2,650,136	50.88%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12053-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
2	28,868	58.41%	20,555	41.59%
29	45,807	84.26%	8,557	15.74%
30	40,425	86.01%	6,577	13.99%
31	37,239	81.02%	8,723	18.98%
Unassigned	2,575,034	49.87%	2,588,082	50.13%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
2	24,230	48.67%	25,552	51.33%
29	11,331	20.88%	42,938	79.12%
30	8,563	18.26%	38,321	81.74%
31	10,393	22.66%	35,467	77.34%
Unassigned	2,843,483	54.84%	2,341,265	45.16%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
2	21,744	44.04%	27,634	55.96%
29	9,167	16.88%	45,127	83.12%
30	7,105	15.14%	39,823	84.86%
31	8,975	19.52%	37,004	80.48%
Unassigned	2,676,115	51.85%	2,485,457	48.15%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
2	29,010	58.48%	20,595	41.52%
29	45,982	84.31%	8,559	15.69%
30	40,505	86.04%	6,573	13.96%
31	37,442	81.27%	8,628	18.73%
Unassigned	2,599,824	50.16%	2,582,960	49.84%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer12057-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
2	22,491	45.79%	26,630	54.21%
29	10,721	19.78%	43,489	80.22%
30	8,217	17.56%	38,587	82.44%
31	10,461	22.86%	35,310	77.14%
Unassigned	2,757,313	53.56%	2,390,565	46.44%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President -12058-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
2	19,997	43.67%	24,090	52.61%	1,133	2.47%	572	1.25%
29	7,395	15.09%	39,315	80.23%	1,405	2.87%	885	1.81%
30	5,787	13.25%	36,172	82.81%	966	2.21%	757	1.73%
31	6,275	17.16%	28,906	79.03%	902	2.47%	494	1.35%
Unassigned	2,320,295	50.95%	2,051,833	45.05%	125,523	2.76%	56,689	1.24%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
2	20,507	45.80%	23,273	51.98%	992	2.22%
29	9,547	19.83%	37,359	77.60%	1,239	2.57%
30	7,234	17.01%	34,241	80.49%	1,065	2.50%
31	6,886	19.11%	28,133	78.09%	1,008	2.80%
Unassigned	2,346,445	52.89%	1,961,969	44.22%	128,056	2.89%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 12060-

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
2	27,098	55.11%	21,578	43.88%
29	44,120	82.10%	9,037	16.82%
30	39,190	84.10%	6,941	14.89%
31	35,422	79.46%	8,664	19.44%
Unassigned	2,421,488	47.53%	2,614,771	51.32%
Total:	**2,567,318**	**48.54%**	**2,660,991**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Durham	2	324,833	90,751	51,654	15.90 %	56.92 %
	29	324,833	90,900	90,900	27.98 %	100.00 %
	30	324,833	91,038	91,038	28.03 %	100.00 %
	31	324,833	91,241	91,241	28.09 %	100.00 %
Person	2	39,097	90,751	39,097	100.00 %	43.08 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**363,930**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 2

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 98

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Split Counties: 1

District - County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
2	Durham	90,751	324,833	51,654	56.92 %	15.90 %
	Person	90,751	39,097	39,097	43.08 %	100.00 %
29	Durham	90,900	324,833	90,900	100.00 %	27.98 %
30	Durham	91,038	324,833	91,038	100.00 %	28.03 %
31	Durham	91,241	324,833	91,241	100.00 %	28.09 %
Unassigned	Alamance	10,075,458	171,415	171,415	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	10,075,458	36,444	36,444	0.36 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	10,075,458	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	10,075,458	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	10,075,458	26,577	26,577	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Avery	10,075,458	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	10,075,458	44,652	44,652	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	10,075,458	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Bladen	10,075,458	29,606	29,606	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	10,075,458	136,693	136,693	1.36 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	10,075,458	269,452	269,452	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Burke	10,075,458	87,570	87,570	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	10,075,458	225,804	225,804	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	10,075,458	80,652	80,652	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Camden	10,075,458	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	10,075,458	67,686	67,686	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	10,075,458	22,736	22,736	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	10,075,458	160,610	160,610	1.59 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	10,075,458	76,285	76,285	0.76 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	10,075,458	28,774	28,774	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	10,075,458	13,708	13,708	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Clay	10,075,458	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	10,075,458	99,519	99,519	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	10,075,458	50,623	50,623	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Craven	10,075,458	100,720	100,720	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	10,075,458	334,728	334,728	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	10,075,458	28,100	28,100	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Dare	10,075,458	36,915	36,915	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	10,075,458	168,930	168,930	1.68 %	100.00 %
	Davie	10,075,458	42,712	42,712	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Duplin	10,075,458	48,715	48,715	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	10,075,458	48,900	48,900	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	10,075,458	382,590	382,590	3.80 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	10,075,458	68,573	68,573	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	10,075,458	227,943	227,943	2.26 %	100.00 %
	Gates	10,075,458	10,478	10,478	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Graham	10,075,458	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Granville	10,075,458	60,992	60,992	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Greene	10,075,458	20,451	20,451	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	10,075,458	541,299	541,299	5.37 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	10,075,458	48,622	48,622	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	10,075,458	133,568	133,568	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	10,075,458	62,089	62,089	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	10,075,458	116,281	116,281	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	10,075,458	21,552	21,552	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	10,075,458	52,082	52,082	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	10,075,458	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	10,075,458	186,693	186,693	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	10,075,458	43,109	43,109	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	10,075,458	215,999	215,999	2.14 %	100.00 %
	Jones	10,075,458	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	10,075,458	63,285	63,285	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	10,075,458	55,122	55,122	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	10,075,458	86,810	86,810	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Macon	10,075,458	37,014	37,014	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Madison	10,075,458	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	10,075,458	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	10,075,458	44,578	44,578	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	10,075,458	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.07 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	10,075,458	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	10,075,458	25,751	25,751	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Moore	10,075,458	99,727	99,727	0.99 %	100.00 %
	Nash	10,075,458	94,970	94,970	0.94 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	10,075,458	225,702	225,702	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	10,075,458	17,471	17,471	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	10,075,458	204,576	204,576	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Orange	10,075,458	148,696	148,696	1.48 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	10,075,458	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	10,075,458	40,568	40,568	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Pender	10,075,458	60,203	60,203	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	10,075,458	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	10,075,458	170,243	170,243	1.69 %	100.00 %
	Polk	10,075,458	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	10,075,458	144,171	144,171	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	10,075,458	42,946	42,946	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	10,075,458	116,530	116,530	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	10,075,458	91,096	91,096	0.90 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	10,075,458	146,875	146,875	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	10,075,458	64,444	64,444	0.64 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Sampson	10,075,458	59,036	59,036	0.59 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	10,075,458	34,174	34,174	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	10,075,458	62,504	62,504	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	10,075,458	44,520	44,520	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Surry	10,075,458	71,359	71,359	0.71 %	100.00 %
	Swain	10,075,458	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	10,075,458	32,986	32,986	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	10,075,458	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	10,075,458	238,267	238,267	2.36 %	100.00 %
	Vance	10,075,458	42,578	42,578	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Wake	10,075,458	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.21 %	100.00 %
	Warren	10,075,458	18,642	18,642	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Washington	10,075,458	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	10,075,458	54,086	54,086	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	10,075,458	117,333	117,333	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	10,075,458	65,969	65,969	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	10,075,458	78,784	78,784	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	10,075,458	37,214	37,214	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	10,075,458	18,470	18,470	0.18 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 2

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 98

Split Counties: 1

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Chapel Hill	29	61,960	90,900	2,906	4.69 %	3.20 %
	Unassigned	*61,960*	*10,075,458*	*59,054*	*95.31 %*	*0.59 %*
Durham	2	283,506	90,751	28,462	10.04 %	31.36 %
	29	283,506	90,900	85,000	29.98 %	93.51 %
	30	283,506	91,038	89,784	31.67 %	98.62 %
	31	283,506	91,241	79,847	28.16 %	87.51 %
	Unassigned	*283,506*	*10,075,458*	*413*	*0.15 %*	*0.00 %*
Morrisville	31	29,630	91,241	207	0.70 %	0.23 %
	Unassigned	*29,630*	*10,075,458*	*29,423*	*99.30 %*	*0.29 %*
Raleigh	31	467,665	91,241	1,559	0.33 %	1.71 %
	Unassigned	*467,665*	*10,075,458*	*466,106*	*99.67 %*	*4.63 %*
Roxboro	2	8,134	90,751	8,134	100.00 %	8.96 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**295,899**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 1

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 4

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 548

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Split Municipalities: 4

Splits Involving Population: 4

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Chapel Hill (Durham)	29	2,906	90,900	2,906	100.00 %	3.20 %
Durham (Durham)	2	283,093	90,751	28,462	10.05 %	31.36 %
	29	283,093	90,900	85,000	30.03 %	93.51 %
	30	283,093	91,038	89,784	31.72 %	98.62 %
	31	283,093	91,241	79,847	28.21 %	87.51 %
Morrisville (Durham)	31	207	91,241	207	100.00 %	0.23 %
Raleigh (Durham)	31	1,559	91,241	1,559	100.00 %	1.71 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	90,751	8,134	100.00 %	8.96 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**295,899**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 5

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 609

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
2	Durham (Durham)	90,751	283,093	28,462	31.36 %	10.05 %
	Roxboro	90,751	8,134	8,134	8.96 %	100.00 %
29	Chapel Hill (Durham)	90,900	2,906	2,906	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Durham (Durham)	90,900	283,093	85,000	93.51 %	30.03 %
30	Durham (Durham)	91,038	283,093	89,784	98.62 %	31.72 %
31	Durham (Durham)	91,241	283,093	79,847	87.51 %	28.21 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	91,241	207	207	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	91,241	1,559	1,559	1.71 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**295,899**		

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 5

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 609

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
2	Durham	8	0
	Person	11	0
29	Durham	16	0
30	Durham	18	0
31	Durham	15	0
	Total:	**68**	

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 68

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2598

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 68

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2598

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 68

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2598

Total Districts Assigned: 4

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	29
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	31
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	30
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	2

District plan definition file: 'HBA22Amend-1.csv', modified 2/16/2022 7:03 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HBA22Amend-1

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
2	Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2
29	Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29
30	Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30
31	Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31

FAILED

-12075-

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY
AMENDMENT
House Bill 980

AMENDMENT NO. A7
(to be filled in by Principal Clerk)

H980-ABW-29 [v.3]

Amends Title [NO]
Second Edition

Date _____,2022

Representative Harrison

moves to amend the bill on page 3, line 32, through page 4, line 17, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 4**: Duplin County, Onslow County: VTD CL10, VTD FS16, VTD HN14, VTD HR17, VTD NM13, VTD SF18, VTD VR15."; and

on page 4, line 45, through page 5, line 10, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 10**: Sampson County, Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 008, VTD 024."; and

on page 6, lines 16 through 20, by rewriting the lines to read:
"**District 14**: Onslow County: VTD BM08, VTD EN03, VTD JA01, VTD NE22A, VTD NR02.
District 15: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD CR07, VTD GB12, VTD HM05, VTD HU20, VTD ML23, VTD MT24, VTD NE22B, VTD RL09, VTD SW19, VTD TL06, VTD WN04.
District 16: Bladen County, Pender County."; and

on page 6, line 34, by rewriting the line to read:
"**District 22**: Wayne County: VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 1920, VTD 2530.".

SIGNED _____
Amendment Sponsor

SIGNED _____
Committee Chair if Senate Committee Amendment

The official copy of this document, with signatures and vote information, is available in the House Principal Clerk's Office

HMT22-10



Description:

User:
Plan Name: **HMT22-10**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44 AM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.27	0.18
Max	0.53	0.41
Mean	0.35	0.29
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.09

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
4	0.30	0.31
10	0.27	0.20
14	0.43	0.35
15	0.28	0.18
16	0.31	0.27
22	0.53	0.41

Measures of Compactness Report

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
4	1	86,578	84,704	-1,874	-2.16%
10	1	86,578	87,523	945	1.09%
14	1	86,578	83,070	-3,508	-4.05%
15	1	86,578	85,517	-1,061	-1.23%
16	1	86,578	89,809	3,231	3.73%
22	1	86,578	88,846	2,268	2.62%
Totals:	**6**		**519,469**		

Deviation range: -4.05% to 3.73%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12080-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
4	25,693	66.30%	12,481	32.21%	412	1.06%	62	0.16%	52	0.13%	53	0.14%
10	28,374	65.53%	14,479	33.44%	256	0.59%	49	0.11%	80	0.18%	64	0.15%
14	8,747	47.52%	9,066	49.26%	465	2.53%	49	0.27%	29	0.16%	50	0.27%
15	25,352	67.38%	11,386	30.26%	713	1.90%	58	0.15%	49	0.13%	67	0.18%
16	31,623	61.62%	19,043	37.11%	397	0.77%	81	0.16%	103	0.20%	69	0.13%
22	19,746	48.28%	20,702	50.62%	265	0.65%	66	0.16%	66	0.16%	54	0.13%
Unassigned	2,614,764	49.44%	2,593,348	49.04%	46,132	0.87%	11,821	0.22%	9,529	0.18%	12,957	0.25%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12081-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
4	24,150	63.09%	12,242	31.98%	1,289	3.37%	597	1.56%
10	26,912	62.73%	14,343	33.43%	1,017	2.37%	627	1.46%
14	8,050	44.55%	8,652	47.88%	1,024	5.67%	344	1.90%
15	23,548	63.44%	11,045	29.76%	1,788	4.82%	738	1.99%
16	29,615	58.46%	18,572	36.66%	1,672	3.30%	798	1.58%
22	18,783	46.44%	20,025	49.51%	1,038	2.57%	598	1.48%
Unassigned	2,530,311	48.28%	2,482,631	47.37%	163,570	3.12%	64,757	1.24%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12082-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
4	13,136	34.08%	24,823	64.41%	413	1.07%	167	0.43%
10	15,814	36.63%	26,908	62.33%	283	0.66%	164	0.38%
14	9,357	51.61%	8,095	44.65%	499	2.75%	178	0.98%
15	12,220	32.78%	24,141	64.75%	661	1.77%	260	0.70%
16	20,377	39.91%	29,942	58.64%	503	0.99%	237	0.46%
22	21,665	53.19%	18,552	45.55%	338	0.83%	174	0.43%
Unassigned	2,738,132	51.98%	2,450,001	46.51%	57,711	1.10%	21,776	0.41%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
4	25,616	67.73%	12,204	32.27%
10	28,145	66.02%	14,485	33.98%
14	8,766	49.41%	8,977	50.59%
15	25,230	69.27%	11,193	30.73%
16	31,446	62.71%	18,703	37.29%
22	19,759	49.12%	20,468	50.88%
Unassigned	2,658,014	51.20%	2,533,696	48.80%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
4	12,738	33.83%	24,915	66.17%
10	15,316	36.02%	27,209	63.98%
14	9,089	51.25%	8,646	48.75%
15	11,622	31.88%	24,828	68.12%
16	19,632	39.39%	30,209	60.61%
22	21,071	52.47%	19,084	47.53%
Unassigned	2,621,307	50.58%	2,561,406	49.42%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12085-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
4	13,125	35.18%	24,183	64.82%
10	15,667	37.00%	26,677	63.00%
14	9,220	52.72%	8,267	47.28%
15	12,023	33.44%	23,933	66.56%
16	19,826	39.97%	29,781	60.03%
22	21,425	53.66%	18,506	46.34%
Unassigned	2,636,087	51.31%	2,501,147	48.69%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
4	25,667	68.41%	11,852	31.59%
10	29,004	68.20%	13,522	31.80%
14	8,599	49.19%	8,882	50.81%
15	25,032	69.47%	10,999	30.53%
16	31,492	63.27%	18,280	36.73%
22	20,489	51.15%	19,568	48.85%
Unassigned	2,757,717	53.46%	2,400,440	46.54%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
4	24,599	65.86%	12,749	34.14%
10	27,584	65.18%	14,736	34.82%
14	8,232	47.20%	9,210	52.80%
15	24,131	67.30%	11,727	32.70%
16	30,101	60.68%	19,507	39.32%
22	19,315	48.32%	20,656	51.68%
Unassigned	2,589,144	50.42%	2,546,460	49.58%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
4	13,377	35.69%	24,107	64.31%
10	16,172	38.06%	26,317	61.94%
14	9,295	52.88%	8,284	47.12%
15	12,126	33.58%	23,982	66.42%
16	20,322	40.80%	29,485	59.20%
22	21,691	54.13%	18,378	45.87%
Unassigned	2,659,780	51.58%	2,496,762	48.42%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer12089-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
4	24,941	67.14%	12,209	32.86%
10	27,620	65.57%	14,502	34.43%
14	8,670	49.88%	8,710	50.12%
15	24,608	68.69%	11,215	31.31%
16	30,615	61.98%	18,782	38.02%
22	19,919	50.17%	19,786	49.83%
Unassigned	2,672,830	52.18%	2,449,377	47.82%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-12090-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
4	21,007	64.82%	10,530	32.49%	655	2.02%	216	0.67%
10	24,489	63.10%	13,405	34.54%	665	1.71%	253	0.65%
14	7,402	49.08%	6,959	46.14%	534	3.54%	187	1.24%
15	20,931	68.63%	8,305	27.23%	997	3.27%	266	0.87%
16	26,192	59.83%	16,409	37.48%	895	2.04%	285	0.65%
22	17,898	47.33%	18,915	50.02%	691	1.83%	313	0.83%
Unassigned	2,241,830	49.48%	2,105,793	46.48%	125,492	2.77%	57,877	1.28%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
4	20,320	64.91%	10,196	32.57%	787	2.51%
10	23,978	63.50%	13,172	34.88%	612	1.62%
14	7,062	48.80%	6,763	46.73%	646	4.46%
15	19,909	67.90%	8,205	27.99%	1,205	4.11%
16	25,263	59.65%	16,065	37.93%	1,027	2.42%
22	17,886	48.60%	18,215	49.49%	703	1.91%
Unassigned	2,276,201	51.55%	2,012,359	45.57%	127,380	2.88%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite 12092-

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
4	12,237	33.26%	24,168	65.68%
10	14,634	34.92%	26,935	64.27%
14	8,682	50.33%	8,235	47.74%
15	11,006	31.11%	23,802	67.29%
16	18,793	38.40%	29,647	60.57%
22	20,349	51.21%	19,026	47.88%
Unassigned	2,481,618	48.96%	2,529,177	49.89%
Total:	**2,567,319**	**48.54%**	**2,660,990**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Bladen	16	29,606	89,809	29,606	100.00 %	32.97 %
Duplin	4	48,715	84,704	48,715	100.00 %	57.51 %
Onslow	4	204,576	84,704	35,989	17.59 %	42.49 %
	14	204,576	83,070	83,070	40.61 %	100.00 %
	15	204,576	85,517	85,517	41.80 %	100.00 %
Pender	16	60,203	89,809	60,203	100.00 %	67.03 %
Sampson	10	59,036	87,523	59,036	100.00 %	67.45 %
Wayne	10	117,333	87,523	28,487	24.28 %	32.55 %
	22	117,333	88,846	88,846	75.72 %	100.00 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**519,469**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 6

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 94

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Counties: 2

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
4	Duplin	84,704	48,715	48,715	57.51 %	100.00 %
	Onslow	84,704	204,576	35,989	42.49 %	17.59 %
10	Sampson	87,523	59,036	59,036	67.45 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	87,523	117,333	28,487	32.55 %	24.28 %
14	Onslow	83,070	204,576	83,070	100.00 %	40.61 %
15	Onslow	85,517	204,576	85,517	100.00 %	41.80 %
16	Bladen	89,809	29,606	29,606	32.97 %	100.00 %
	Pender	89,809	60,203	60,203	67.03 %	100.00 %
22	Wayne	88,846	117,333	88,846	100.00 %	75.72 %
Unassigned	Alamance	9,919,919	171,415	171,415	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Alexander	9,919,919	36,444	36,444	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Alleghany	9,919,919	10,888	10,888	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Anson	9,919,919	22,055	22,055	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	9,919,919	26,577	26,577	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Avery	9,919,919	17,806	17,806	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	9,919,919	44,652	44,652	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Bertie	9,919,919	17,934	17,934	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	9,919,919	136,693	136,693	1.38 %	100.00 %
	Buncombe	9,919,919	269,452	269,452	2.72 %	100.00 %
	Burke	9,919,919	87,570	87,570	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Cabarrus	9,919,919	225,804	225,804	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Caldwell	9,919,919	80,652	80,652	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Camden	9,919,919	10,355	10,355	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Carteret	9,919,919	67,686	67,686	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Caswell	9,919,919	22,736	22,736	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Catawba	9,919,919	160,610	160,610	1.62 %	100.00 %
	Chatham	9,919,919	76,285	76,285	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Cherokee	9,919,919	28,774	28,774	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Chowan	9,919,919	13,708	13,708	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Clay	9,919,919	11,089	11,089	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	9,919,919	99,519	99,519	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	9,919,919	50,623	50,623	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Craven	9,919,919	100,720	100,720	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Cumberland	9,919,919	334,728	334,728	3.37 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	9,919,919	28,100	28,100	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Dare	9,919,919	36,915	36,915	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Davidson	9,919,919	168,930	168,930	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Davie	9,919,919	42,712	42,712	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Durham	9,919,919	324,833	324,833	3.27 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	9,919,919	48,900	48,900	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Forsyth	9,919,919	382,590	382,590	3.86 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	9,919,919	68,573	68,573	0.69 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Gaston	9,919,919	227,943	227,943	2.30 %	100.00 %
	Gates	9,919,919	10,478	10,478	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Graham	9,919,919	8,030	8,030	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Granville	9,919,919	60,992	60,992	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Greene	9,919,919	20,451	20,451	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Guilford	9,919,919	541,299	541,299	5.46 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	9,919,919	48,622	48,622	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Harnett	9,919,919	133,568	133,568	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Haywood	9,919,919	62,089	62,089	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	9,919,919	116,281	116,281	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	9,919,919	21,552	21,552	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Hoke	9,919,919	52,082	52,082	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Hyde	9,919,919	4,589	4,589	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Iredell	9,919,919	186,693	186,693	1.88 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	9,919,919	43,109	43,109	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Johnston	9,919,919	215,999	215,999	2.18 %	100.00 %
	Jones	9,919,919	9,172	9,172	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Lee	9,919,919	63,285	63,285	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	9,919,919	55,122	55,122	0.56 %	100.00 %
	Lincoln	9,919,919	86,810	86,810	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Macon	9,919,919	37,014	37,014	0.37 %	100.00 %
	Madison	9,919,919	21,193	21,193	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Martin	9,919,919	22,031	22,031	0.22 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	9,919,919	44,578	44,578	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Mecklenburg	9,919,919	1,115,482	1,115,482	11.24 %	100.00 %
	Mitchell	9,919,919	14,903	14,903	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Montgomery	9,919,919	25,751	25,751	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Moore	9,919,919	99,727	99,727	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Nash	9,919,919	94,970	94,970	0.96 %	100.00 %
	New Hanover	9,919,919	225,702	225,702	2.28 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	9,919,919	17,471	17,471	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Orange	9,919,919	148,696	148,696	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	9,919,919	12,276	12,276	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	9,919,919	40,568	40,568	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Perquimans	9,919,919	13,005	13,005	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Person	9,919,919	39,097	39,097	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Pitt	9,919,919	170,243	170,243	1.72 %	100.00 %
	Polk	9,919,919	19,328	19,328	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	9,919,919	144,171	144,171	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Richmond	9,919,919	42,946	42,946	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	9,919,919	116,530	116,530	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Rockingham	9,919,919	91,096	91,096	0.92 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
Unassigned	Rowan	9,919,919	146,875	146,875	1.48 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	9,919,919	64,444	64,444	0.65 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	9,919,919	34,174	34,174	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	9,919,919	62,504	62,504	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Stokes	9,919,919	44,520	44,520	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Surry	9,919,919	71,359	71,359	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Swain	9,919,919	14,117	14,117	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	9,919,919	32,986	32,986	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	9,919,919	3,245	3,245	0.03 %	100.00 %
	Union	9,919,919	238,267	238,267	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Vance	9,919,919	42,578	42,578	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Wake	9,919,919	1,129,410	1,129,410	11.39 %	100.00 %
	Warren	9,919,919	18,642	18,642	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Washington	9,919,919	11,003	11,003	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	9,919,919	54,086	54,086	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	9,919,919	65,969	65,969	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	9,919,919	78,784	78,784	0.79 %	100.00 %
	Yadkin	9,919,919	37,214	37,214	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	9,919,919	18,470	18,470	0.19 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 6

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 94

Split Counties: 2

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Atkinson	16	296	89,809	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Autryville	10	167	87,523	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	84,704	1,116	100.00 %	1.32 %
Bladenboro	16	1,648	89,809	1,648	100.00 %	1.84 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	89,809	3,088	100.00 %	3.44 %
Calypso	4	327	84,704	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Clarkton	16	614	89,809	614	100.00 %	0.68 %
Clinton	10	8,383	87,523	8,383	100.00 %	9.58 %
Dublin	16	267	89,809	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
East Arcadia	16	418	89,809	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
Elizabethtown	16	3,296	89,809	3,296	100.00 %	3.67 %
Eureka	10	214	87,523	214	100.00 %	0.24 %
Faison	4	784	84,704	784	100.00 %	0.93 %
	10	784	87,523	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falcon	10	324	87,523	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Unassigned	*324*	*9,919,919*	*324*	*100.00 %*	*0.00 %*
Fremont	10	1,196	87,523	1,196	100.00 %	1.37 %
Garland	10	595	87,523	595	100.00 %	0.68 %
Goldsboro	22	33,657	88,846	33,657	100.00 %	37.88 %
Greenevers	4	567	84,704	567	100.00 %	0.67 %
Harrells	4	160	84,704	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	10	160	87,523	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Holly Ridge	4	4,171	84,704	4,171	100.00 %	4.92 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	83,070	65,452	90.00 %	78.79 %
	15	72,723	85,517	7,271	10.00 %	8.50 %
Kenansville	4	770	84,704	770	100.00 %	0.91 %
Magnolia	4	831	84,704	831	100.00 %	0.98 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	84,704	5	0.12 %	0.01 %
	22	4,198	88,846	4,193	99.88 %	4.72 %
Newton Grove	10	585	87,523	585	100.00 %	0.67 %
North Topsail Beach	4	1,005	84,704	1,005	100.00 %	1.19 %
Pikeville	10	712	87,523	712	100.00 %	0.81 %
Richlands	15	2,287	85,517	2,287	100.00 %	2.67 %
Roseboro	10	1,163	87,523	1,163	100.00 %	1.33 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	84,704	1,371	100.00 %	1.62 %
St. Helena	16	417	89,809	417	100.00 %	0.46 %
Salemburg	10	457	87,523	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Seven Springs	22	55	88,846	55	100.00 %	0.06 %
Surf City	4	3,867	84,704	334	8.64 %	0.39 %
	16	3,867	89,809	3,533	91.36 %	3.93 %
Swansboro	15	3,744	85,517	3,744	100.00 %	4.38 %
Tar Heel	16	90	89,809	90	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Teachey	4	448	84,704	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	89,809	461	100.00 %	0.51 %
Turkey	10	213	87,523	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Wallace	4	3,413	84,704	3,413	100.00 %	4.03 %
	16	3,413	89,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Walnut Creek	22	1,084	88,846	1,084	100.00 %	1.22 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	84,704	2,733	100.00 %	3.23 %
Watha	16	181	89,809	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
White Lake	16	843	89,809	843	100.00 %	0.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**164,615**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 43

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 1

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 509

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Municipalities: 7

Splits Involving Population: 3

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Atkinson	16	296	89,809	296	100.00 %	0.33 %
Autryville	10	167	87,523	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	84,704	1,116	100.00 %	1.32 %
Bladenboro	16	1,648	89,809	1,648	100.00 %	1.84 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	89,809	3,088	100.00 %	3.44 %
Calypso	4	327	84,704	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Clarkton	16	614	89,809	614	100.00 %	0.68 %
Clinton	10	8,383	87,523	8,383	100.00 %	9.58 %
Dublin	16	267	89,809	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
East Arcadia	16	418	89,809	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
Elizabethtown	16	3,296	89,809	3,296	100.00 %	3.67 %
Eureka	10	214	87,523	214	100.00 %	0.24 %
Faison (Duplin)	4	784	84,704	784	100.00 %	0.93 %
Faison (Sampson)	10	0	87,523	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falcon (Sampson)	10	0	87,523	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fremont	10	1,196	87,523	1,196	100.00 %	1.37 %
Garland	10	595	87,523	595	100.00 %	0.68 %
Goldsboro	22	33,657	88,846	33,657	100.00 %	37.88 %
Greenevers	4	567	84,704	567	100.00 %	0.67 %
Harrells (Duplin)	4	0	84,704	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	10	160	87,523	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Holly Ridge	4	4,171	84,704	4,171	100.00 %	4.92 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	83,070	65,452	90.00 %	78.79 %
	15	72,723	85,517	7,271	10.00 %	8.50 %
Kenansville	4	770	84,704	770	100.00 %	0.91 %
Magnolia	4	831	84,704	831	100.00 %	0.98 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	4	5	84,704	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	22	4,193	88,846	4,193	100.00 %	4.72 %
Newton Grove	10	585	87,523	585	100.00 %	0.67 %
North Topsail Beach	4	1,005	84,704	1,005	100.00 %	1.19 %
Pikeville	10	712	87,523	712	100.00 %	0.81 %
Richlands	15	2,287	85,517	2,287	100.00 %	2.67 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	84,704	1,371	100.00 %	1.62 %
Roseboro	10	1,163	87,523	1,163	100.00 %	1.33 %
Salemburg	10	457	87,523	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Seven Springs	22	55	88,846	55	100.00 %	0.06 %
St. Helena	16	417	89,809	417	100.00 %	0.46 %
Surf City (Onslow)	4	334	84,704	334	100.00 %	0.39 %
Surf City (Pender)	16	3,533	89,809	3,533	100.00 %	3.93 %
Swansboro	15	3,744	85,517	3,744	100.00 %	4.38 %
Tar Heel	16	90	89,809	90	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.
Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.
[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Teachey	4	448	84,704	448	100.00 %	0.53 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	89,809	461	100.00 %	0.51 %
Turkey	10	213	87,523	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Wallace (Duplin)	4	3,413	84,704	3,413	100.00 %	4.03 %
Wallace (Pender)	16	0	89,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Walnut Creek	22	1,084	88,846	1,084	100.00 %	1.22 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	84,704	2,733	100.00 %	3.23 %
Watha	16	181	89,809	181	100.00 %	0.20 %
White Lake	16	843	89,809	843	100.00 %	0.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**164,615**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 49

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 565

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
4	Beulaville	84,704	1,116	1,116	1.32 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	84,704	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	84,704	784	784	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Greenevers	84,704	567	567	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	84,704	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Ridge	84,704	4,171	4,171	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Kenansville	84,704	770	770	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	84,704	831	831	0.98 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	84,704	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	84,704	1,005	1,005	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	84,704	1,371	1,371	1.62 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	84,704	334	334	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	84,704	448	448	0.53 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	84,704	3,413	3,413	4.03 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	84,704	2,733	2,733	3.23 %	100.00 %
10	Autryville	87,523	167	167	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	87,523	8,383	8,383	9.58 %	100.00 %
	Eureka	87,523	214	214	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	87,523	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	87,523	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fremont	87,523	1,196	1,196	1.37 %	100.00 %
	Garland	87,523	595	595	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	87,523	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	87,523	585	585	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Pikeville	87,523	712	712	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	87,523	1,163	1,163	1.33 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	87,523	457	457	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	87,523	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
14	Jacksonville	83,070	72,723	65,452	78.79 %	90.00 %
15	Jacksonville	85,517	72,723	7,271	8.50 %	10.00 %
	Richlands	85,517	2,287	2,287	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Swansboro	85,517	3,744	3,744	4.38 %	100.00 %
16	Atkinson	89,809	296	296	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	89,809	1,648	1,648	1.84 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	89,809	3,088	3,088	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	89,809	614	614	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	89,809	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	89,809	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	89,809	3,296	3,296	3.67 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	89,809	417	417	0.46 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
16	Surf City (Pender)	89,809	3,533	3,533	3.93 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	89,809	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	89,809	461	461	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	89,809	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	89,809	181	181	0.20 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	89,809	843	843	0.94 %	100.00 %
22	Goldsboro	88,846	33,657	33,657	37.88 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	88,846	4,193	4,193	4.72 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	88,846	55	55	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	88,846	1,084	1,084	1.22 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**164,615**		

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 49

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 565

Split Municipalities: 1

Splits Involving Population: 1

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
4	Duplin	19	0
	Onslow	7	0
10	Sampson	23	0
	Wayne	6	0
14	Onslow	5	0
15	Onslow	12	0
16	Bladen	17	0
	Pender	20	0
22	Wayne	22	0
	Total:	**131**	

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 131

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2535

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	21	0
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	16	0
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	15	0
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	29	0
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	195	0
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	39	0
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	28	0
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,666**	**0**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 131

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2535

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
			Assigned Geography Total:		

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 131

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 2535

Total Districts Assigned: 6

Split VTDs: 0

Splits Involving Population: 0

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Bell	John	Republican	10	10
Brisson	William	Republican	22	16
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	14
Dixon	James	Republican	4	4
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	15
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	16
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	22

District plan definition file: 'HMT22-10.csv', modified 2/16/2022 10:43 AM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: HMT22-10

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
4	Dixon	James	Republican	4
10	Bell	John	Republican	10
14	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
15	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
16	Brisson	William	Republican	22
	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
22	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21

-12110-

HB980 2nd Edition



Legend

- District
- County
- Groupings

N
W E
S

0 25 50 100 150 200 Miles

Source: H980 Second Edition

Printed by the NC General Assembly, February 16, 2022

THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
MAY 20, 1775
APRIL 12, 1776
ESSE QUAM VIDERI

HB980 2nd Edition



Mecklenburg



Guilford - Forsyth



Wake - Durham



Incumbents
Groupings
Counties
District

0 15 30 60 90 120 Miles

NC General Assembly, February 16, 2022.

Source: H980 Second Edition

HB980 2nd Edition



Mecklenburg

Guilford - Forsyth

Wake - Durham

Groupings
Counties
District

0 15 30 60 90 120 Miles

NC General Assembly, February 16, 2022.

Source: H980 Second Edition

-12113-

HB980 2nd Edition



Legend

- Incumbent
- District
- County
- Groupings

N
W E
S

0 25 50 100 150 200 Miles

Source: H980 Second Edition

Printed by the NC General Assembly, February 16, 2022

THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
MAY 20, 1775
APRIL 12, 1776
ESSE QUAM VIDERI

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2021

H **2**

HOUSE BILL 980
Committee Substitute Favorable 2/16/22

Short Title: Realign NC House Districts 2022/HTU22-4. (Public)

Sponsors:

Referred to:

February 15, 2022

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO REALIGN NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISTRICTS PURSUANT TO ORDER OF THE NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT IN HARPER V. HALL.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 120-2(a), as amended by S.L. 2021-175, is rewritten to read:

"(a) For the purpose of nominating and electing members of the North Carolina House of Representatives in 2022 and periodically thereafter, the State of North Carolina shall be divided into the following districts with each district electing one Representative:

District 1: Chowan County, Currituck County, Dare County: VTD DUCK, VTD KDH: Block(s) 0559702001005, 0559702001006, 0559702001007, 0559702001008, 0559702001009, 0559702001010, 0559702001011, 0559702001012, 0559702001013, 0559702001014, 0559702001015, 0559702001016, 0559702001017, 0559702001018, 0559702001019, 0559702001020, 0559702001021, 0559702001022, 0559702001023, 0559702001024, 0559702001025, 0559702001026, 0559702001027, 0559702001028, 0559702001029, 0559702001030, 0559702001031, 0559702001032, 0559702001033, 0559702001034, 0559702001035, 0559702001036, 0559702001037, 0559702001038, 0559702001039, 0559702001040, 0559702001041, 0559702001042, 0559702001043, 0559702001044, 0559702001045, 0559702001046, 0559702001047, 0559702001048, 0559702001049, 0559702001050, 0559702001051, 0559702001052, 0559702001053, 0559702001054, 0559702001055, 0559702001056, 0559702001057, 0559702001058, 0559702002002, 0559702002003, 0559702002004, 0559702002005, 0559702002006, 0559702002007, 0559702002008, 0559702002009, 0559702002010, 0559702002011, 0559702002012, 0559702002016, 0559702002017, 0559702002018, 0559702002019, 0559702002020, 0559702002021, 0559702002022, 0559702002023, 0559702002024, 0559702002025, 0559702002026, 0559702002027, 0559702002028, 0559702002029, 0559702002030, 0559702002031, 0559702002032, 0559702002033, 0559702002034, 0559702002035, 0559702002036, 0559702002037, 0559702002038, 0559702002039, 0559702002040, 0559702002041, 0559702002042, 0559702002043, 0559702002044, 0559702003000, 0559702003001, 0559702003002, 0559702003003, 0559702003004, 0559702003006, 0559702003007, 0559702003008, 0559702003009, 0559702003010, 0559702003011, 0559702003012, 0559702003013, 0559702003014, 0559702003015, 0559702003016, 0559702003017, 0559702003018, 0559702003019, 0559702003020, 0559702003021, 0559702003022, 0559702003023, 0559702003024, 0559702004000, 0559702004001, 0559702004002, 0559702004003, 0559702004004, 0559702004005, 0559702004006, 0559702004007, 0559702004008, 0559702004009, 0559702004010, 0559702004011,

0559702004012, 0559702004013, 0559702004016, 0559702004017, 0559702004018, 0559702004019, 0559702004020, 0559702004021, 0559702004022, 0559702004023, 0559703021000, 0559703021001, 0559703021002, 0559703021003, 0559703021004, 0559703021005, 0559703021006, 0559703021007, 0559703021008, 0559703021009, 0559703021010, 0559703021011, 0559703021012, 0559703021031, 0559703021033, 0559703021034, 0559703021035, 0559703021036, 0559703021037, 0559703021038, 0559703021039, 0559703021040, 0559703021041, 0559703021042, 0559703021043, 0559703021044, 0559703021045, 0559703021046, 0559703021047, 0559703021048, 0559703021049, 0559703021050, 0559703021051, 0559703021052, 0559703021053, 0559703021054, 0559703021055, 0559703021056, 0559703021057, 0559703021058, 0559703021059, 0559703021060, 0559703021061, 0559703021062, 0559703021063, 0559703021064, 0559703021065, 0559703021066, 0559703021067, 0559703021072, 0559703021073, 0559703021074, 0559703021075, 0559703022000, 0559703022001, 0559703022002, 0559703022003, 0559703022004, 0559703022005, 0559703022006, 0559703022007, 0559703022008, 0559703022009, 0559703022010, 0559703022011, 0559703022012, 0559703022013, 0559703022014, 0559703022015, 0559703022016, 0559703022017, 0559703022018, 0559703022019, 0559703022020, 0559703022021, 0559703022022, 0559703022023, 0559703022024, 0559703022025, 0559703022026, 0559703022027, 0559703022028, 0559703022029, 0559703022030, 0559703022031, 0559703022032, 0559703022033, 0559703022034, 0559703022035, 0559703022036, 0559703022037, 0559703022038, 0559703022039, 0559703022040, 0559703022041, 0559703022042, 0559703022043, 0559703022044, 0559703022045, 0559703022046, 0559703022047, 0559703022048, 0559703022049, 0559703022050, 0559703022051, 0559703022052, 0559703022053, 0559703022054, 0559703022055, 0559703022056, 0559703022057, 0559703022058, 0559703022059, 0559703022060, 0559703022061, 0559703022062, 0559703022063, 0559703022064, 0559703022065, 0559703022066, 0559703022067, 0559703022068, 0559703022069, 0559901000005; VTD KTHK, VTD SOSH; Perquimans County, Tyrrell County, Washington County.

District 2: Durham County: VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 037, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 050; Person County.

District 3: Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611012002, 0499611012019, 0499611012020, 0499611012021, 0499611012022, 0499611012023, 0499611012024, 0499611012025, 0499611012026, 0499611012027, 0499611012028, 0499611012029, 0499611012030, 0499611012031, 0499611012032, 0499611012033, 0499611012034, 0499611012035, 0499611012036, 0499611012037, 0499611021000, 0499611021002, 0499611021003, 0499611021006, 0499611021007, 0499611021008, 0499611021009, 0499611021015, 0499611021016, 0499611021017, 0499611021018, 0499611021019, 0499611021020, 0499611021021, 0499611021022, 0499611021023, 0499611021024, 0499611021026, 0499611022013, 0499611022014, 0499611022015, 0499611022016, 0499611022017, 0499611022018, 0499611022020, 0499611022044, 0499611022045, 0499611022074, 0499612011000, 0499612011001, 0499612011002, 0499612011003, 0499612011004, 0499612011005, 0499612011006, 0499612011007, 0499612011008, 0499612011009, 0499612011010, 0499612011011, 0499612011012, 0499612011013, 0499612011014, 0499612011015, 0499612011016, 0499612011017, 0499612011018, 0499612011019, 0499612011020, 0499612011021, 0499612011022, 0499612011023, 0499612011024, 0499612011025, 0499612011026, 0499612011027, 0499612011028, 0499612011029, 0499612011030, 0499612011031, 0499612011032, 0499612011033, 0499612011034, 0499612011035, 0499612011036, 0499612011037, 0499612011038, 0499612011039, 0499612011040, 0499612011041, 0499612011042, 0499612011043, 0499612011044, 0499612011045, 0499612012000, 0499612012001, 0499612012002, 0499612012003, 0499612012004, 0499612012005, 0499612012006, 0499612012007,

0499612012008, 0499612012009, 0499612012010, 0499612012011, 0499612012012, 0499612012013, 0499612012014, 0499612012015, 0499612012016, 0499612012017, 0499612012018, 0499612012019, 0499612012020, 0499612012021, 0499612012022, 0499612012023, 0499612012024, 0499612012037, 0499612013000, 0499612013001, 0499612013002, 0499612013003, 0499612013004, 0499612013005, 0499612013006, 0499612013007, 0499612013008, 0499612013009, 0499612013010, 0499612013011, 0499612013012, 0499612013013, 0499612013014, 0499612013015, 0499612013016, 0499612013017, 0499612013018, 0499612013019, 0499612013020, 0499612013021, 0499612021000, 0499612021001, 0499612021002, 0499612021003, 0499612021004, 0499612021005, 0499612021006, 0499612021007, 0499612021008, 0499612021009, 0499612021010, 0499612021011, 0499612021012, 0499612021013, 0499612021014, 0499612021015, 0499612021016, 0499612021017, 0499612021018, 0499612021019, 0499612021020, 0499612021021, 0499612021022, 0499612021023, 0499612021024, 0499612021025, 0499612021026, 0499612021027, 0499612021028, 0499612021029, 0499612021030, 0499612021031, 0499612021032, 0499612021033, 0499612021034, 0499612021035, 0499612021036, 0499612021037, 0499612021038, 0499612021039, 0499612021040, 0499612021041, 0499612021042, 0499612021043, 0499612021044, 0499612021045, 0499612021046, 0499612021047, 0499612021048, 0499612021049, 0499612021050, 0499612021051, 0499612021052, 0499612021053, 0499612021054, 0499612021055, 0499612021056, 0499612021057, 0499612021058, 0499612021059, 0499612021060, 0499612021061, 0499612021062, 0499612021063, 0499612021064, 0499612021065, 0499612021066, 0499612021067, 0499612021068, 0499612021069, 0499612021070, 0499612021071, 0499612021072, 0499612021073, 0499612021074, 0499612021075, 0499612021076, 0499612021077, 0499612021078, 0499612021080, 0499612021081, 0499612021082, 0499612021083, 0499612021084, 0499612021085, 0499612021086, 0499612021087, 0499612021088, 0499612021089, 0499612021090, 0499612021095, 0499612021099, 0499612021100, 0499612021101, 0499612021103, 0499612021104, 0499612021105, 0499613013000, 0499613032046; VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 023, VTD CFB8, VTD CLR4, VTD DFB9, VTD N1, VTD N2, VTD N3N5, VTD N4, VTD N6, VTD TE13, VTD VE14.

District 4: Duplin County, Wayne County: VTD 014, VTD 015: Block(s) 1910004011018, 1910004011019, 1910004011020, 1910004011021, 1910004011022, 1910004011023, 1910004011024, 1910004011025, 1910004013000, 1910004013001, 1910004013002, 1910004013003, 1910004013004, 1910004013005, 1910004013006, 1910004013007, 1910004013008, 1910004013009, 1910004013010, 1910004013011, 1910004013012, 1910004013013, 1910004013014, 1910004013015, 1910004013016, 1910004013017, 1910004013018, 1910004013019, 1910004013020, 1910004013021, 1910004013022, 1910004013023, 1910004013024, 1910004014011, 1910004014013, 1910004023000, 1910004023001, 1910004023002, 1910004023003, 1910004023004, 1910004023005, 1910004023006, 1910004023007, 1910004023008, 1910004023009, 1910004023010, 1910004023011, 1910004023012, 1910004023013, 1910004023014, 1910004023015, 1910004023017, 1910004023018, 1910004023024, 1910006032004, 1910006041000, 1910006041001, 1910006041002, 1910006041003, 1910006041004, 1910006041005, 1910006041006, 1910006041007, 1910006041008, 1910006041009, 1910006041010, 1910006041011, 1910006041012, 1910006041013, 1910006041014, 1910006041015, 1910006041016, 1910006041017, 1910006041018, 1910006041019, 1910006041020, 1910006041021, 1910006041022, 1910006041023, 1910006042000, 1910006042001, 1910006042002, 1910006042008, 1910006042009, 1910006043000, 1910006043001, 1910006043002, 1910006043003, 1910006043004, 1910006043005, 1910006043006, 1910006043007, 1910006043008, 1910006043009, 1910006043010, 1910006043011,

1910006043012, 1910006043013, 1910006043014, 1910006043015, 1910006043016, 1910006043017, 1910006043018, 1910006043019, 1910006043020, 1910006043021, 1910006043022, 1910006043023, 1910006043024, 1910006043025, 1910006043026, 1910006043027, 1910006043028, 1910006043029, 1910006043030, 1910006043031, 1910006043032, 1910006043033, 1910006043034, 1910006043035, 1910006043036, 1910006043037, 1910006043038, 1910006043039, 1910006043040, 1910006043041; VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009012000, 1910009012001, 1910009012002, 1910009012003, 1910009012004, 1910009012005, 1910009012006, 1910009012007, 1910009013000, 1910009013001, 1910009013002, 1910009013003, 1910009013004, 1910009013005, 1910009013006, 1910009013007, 1910009013017, 1910009021005, 1910009021006, 1910009021007, 1910009021008, 1910009022008, 1910009022009, 1910009022010, 1910009022011, 1910009023000, 1910009023001, 1910009023002, 1910009023003, 1910009023004, 1910009023005, 1910009023006, 1910009023007, 1910009023008, 1910009023009, 1910009023010, 1910009023011, 1910009023012, 1910009023014, 1910009023017, 1910009023018, 1910009023029, 1910009023030, 1910009023031, 1910009023032, 1910009023033, 1910009023034, 1910009023039, 1910009023041; VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 2530.

District 5: Camden County, Gates County, Hertford County, Pasquotank County.

District 6: Harnett County: VTD PR01, VTD PR07, VTD PR16, VTD PR20, VTD PR23, VTD PR31, VTD PR32.

District 7: Franklin County, Granville County: VTD CRDM: Block(s) 0779706011043, 0779706061006, 0779706061007, 0779706061008, 0779706061009, 0779706061010, 0779706061011, 0779706061013, 0779706061014, 0779706061015, 0779706061017, 0779706061018, 0779706061019, 0779706061020, 0779706061021, 0779706061023, 0779706061024, 0779706061025, 0779706061026, 0779706061027, 0779706061028, 0779706061029, 0779706061030, 0779706061035, 0779706061036, 0779706061037, 0779706061039, 0779706061040, 0779706061041, 0779706061042, 0779706062002, 0779706062003, 0779706062004, 0779706062005, 0779706062006, 0779706062007, 0779706062008, 0779706062009, 0779706062010, 0779706062011, 0779706062012, 0779706062013, 0779706062014, 0779706062015, 0779706062016, 0779706062017, 0779706062018, 0779706062019, 0779706062020, 0779706062021, 0779706062023, 0779706062030, 0779706062031, 0779706062032, 0779706071000, 0779706071001, 0779706071002, 0779706071003, 0779706071004, 0779706071005, 0779706071006, 0779706071007, 0779706071008, 0779706071009, 0779706071010, 0779706071011, 0779706071012, 0779706071013, 0779706071015, 0779706071016, 0779706071018, 0779706071019, 0779706072009, 0779706072010, 0779706072012, 0779706072013, 0779706072014, 0779706072015; VTD MTEN, VTD WILT.

District 8: Pitt County: VTD 101, VTD 1101, VTD 1102A, VTD 1102B, VTD 1201, VTD 1501, VTD 1503, VTD 1504A, VTD 1504B, VTD 1506, VTD 1507, VTD 1507B, VTD 1508A, VTD 1508B, VTD 1509, VTD 301, VTD 401, VTD 501, VTD 701, VTD 800A, VTD 800B, VTD 901.

District 9: Pitt County: VTD 1001, VTD 1301, VTD 1403A1, VTD 1403A2, VTD 1403B, VTD 1505A, VTD 1505B, VTD 1510A, VTD 1510B, VTD 1511A, VTD 1511B, VTD 1512A, VTD 1512B, VTD 1513A, VTD 1513B, VTD 200A, VTD 200B, VTD 601.

District 10: Wayne County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 015: Block(s) 1910003022018, 1910003022019, 1910003022020, 1910003022021, 1910003022022, 1910003022025, 1910003022026, 1910003022027, 1910003022028, 1910003022029, 1910003022030, 1910003022031, 1910003022032, 1910003022036, 1910003022037, 1910003022040, 1910003022041, 1910003022042, 1910003022046, 1910004011009, 1910004011010, 1910004011011, 1910004011012, 1910004011013, 1910004011014,

1910004011015, 1910004011016, 1910004011017; VTD 016: Block(s) 1910009011000, 1910009011001, 1910009011002, 1910009011003, 1910009011004, 1910009011005, 1910009011006, 1910009011007, 1910009011008, 1910009011009, 1910009011010, 1910009011011, 1910009011012, 1910009011013, 1910009011014, 1910009011015, 1910009011016, 1910009011017, 1910009011018, 1910009011019, 1910009011020, 1910009011045, 1910009013008, 1910009013009, 1910009013010, 1910009013011, 1910009013012, 1910009013013, 1910009013014, 1910009013015, 1910009013016, 1910009013018, 1910009013019, 1910009013020, 1910009013021, 1910009013022, 1910009013023, 1910009013024, 1910009013025, 1910009013026, 1910009013027, 1910009013028; VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 029, VTD 1920.

District 11: Wake County: VTD 01-01, VTD 01-23, VTD 01-31, VTD 01-32, VTD 01-41, VTD 01-48, VTD 06-07, VTD 18-01, VTD 18-02, VTD 18-04, VTD 18-05, VTD 18-06, VTD 18-08.

District 12: Greene County, Jones County, Lenoir County.

District 13: Carteret County, Craven County: VTD 002: Block(s) 0499611021001, 0499611021004, 0499611021005, 0499611021010, 0499611021011, 0499611021012, 0499611021013, 0499611021014, 0499611021025, 0499611021027, 0499611022023, 0499611022024, 0499611022025, 0499611022026, 0499611022027, 0499611022029, 0499611022030, 0499611022031, 0499611022032, 0499611022033, 0499611022035, 0499611022036, 0499611022037, 0499611022038, 0499611022041, 0499611022042, 0499611022043, 0499611022046, 0499611022047, 0499611022048, 0499611022049, 0499611022051, 0499611022052, 0499611022053, 0499611022054, 0499611022055, 0499611022056, 0499611022057, 0499611022058, 0499611022059, 0499611022060, 0499611022061, 0499611022062, 0499611022063, 0499611022064, 0499611022065, 0499611022066, 0499611022067, 0499611022068, 0499611022069, 0499611022070, 0499611022071, 0499611022072, 0499611022073, 0499611022075, 0499611022076, 0499611022077, 0499611022078, 0499611022079, 0499611022080, 0499611022081, 0499611022082, 0499611022083, 0499611022084, 0499611022085, 0499611022086, 0499611022087, 0499611022088, 0499611022089, 0499612012025, 0499612012026, 0499612012027, 0499612012028, 0499612012029, 0499612012030, 0499612012031, 0499612012032, 0499612012033, 0499612012034, 0499612012035, 0499612012036, 0499612012038, 0499612012039, 0499612012040, 0499612012041, 0499612012042, 0499612012043, 0499612021079, 0499612021091, 0499612021092, 0499612021093, 0499612021094, 0499612021102, 0499613011000, 0499613011001, 0499613011002, 0499613011003, 0499613011004, 0499613011005, 0499613011006, 0499613011007, 0499613011008, 0499613011009, 0499613011010, 0499613011011, 0499613011012, 0499613011013, 0499613011014, 0499613011015, 0499613011016, 0499613011017, 0499613011018, 0499613011019, 0499613011020, 0499613011021, 0499613011022, 0499613011023, 0499613011024, 0499613011025, 0499613012000, 0499613012001, 0499613012002, 0499613012003, 0499613012004, 0499613012005, 0499613012006, 0499613012007, 0499613012008, 0499613012009, 0499613012010, 0499613012011, 0499613012012, 0499613012013, 0499613012014, 0499613012015, 0499613012016, 0499613012017, 0499613012018, 0499613012019, 0499613012020, 0499613012021, 0499613012022, 0499613012023, 0499613012024, 0499613012025, 0499613012026, 0499613012027, 0499613012028, 0499613012029, 0499613012030, 0499613012031, 0499613012032, 0499613012033, 0499613012034, 0499613012035, 0499613012036, 0499613012037, 0499613012038, 0499613012039, 0499613012040, 0499613012041, 0499613012042, 0499613012043, 0499613012044, 0499613012045, 0499613013001, 0499613013002, 0499613013003, 0499613013004, 0499613013005, 0499613013006, 0499613013007, 0499613013008, 0499613013009, 0499613013010, 0499613013011, 0499613013012, 0499613013013, 0499613013014, 0499613013015, 0499613013016,

0499613013017, 0499613013018, 0499613013019, 0499613013020, 0499613013021, 0499613013022, 0499613013023, 0499613013024, 0499613013025, 0499613013026, 0499613013027, 0499613013028, 0499613013029, 0499613013030, 0499613021004, 0499613021005, 0499613021006, 0499613021007, 0499613021008, 0499613021009, 0499613021010, 0499613021011, 0499613021012, 0499613021013, 0499613021014, 0499613021015, 0499613021016, 0499613021017, 0499613021019, 0499613021020, 0499613021021, 0499613021022, 0499613021023, 0499613022000, 0499613022001, 0499613022002, 0499613022003, 0499613022004, 0499613022005, 0499613022006, 0499613022007, 0499613022008, 0499613022009, 0499613022010, 0499613022011, 0499613022012, 0499613022013, 0499613022014, 0499613022015, 0499613022016, 0499613023000, 0499613023001, 0499613023002, 0499613023003, 0499613023004, 0499613023005, 0499613023006, 0499613023007, 0499613023008, 0499613023009, 0499613023010, 0499613023011, 0499613023012, 0499613023013, 0499613023014, 0499613023015, 0499613023016, 0499613023017, 0499613023018, 0499613023019, 0499613032044, 0499613032045; VTD 019.

District 14: Onslow County: VTD BC21, VTD BM08, VTD HU20, VTD JA01, VTD MT24, VTD NE22A, VTD NR02, VTD SW19, VTD VR15.

District 15: Onslow County: VTD CL10, VTD CR07, VTD EN03, VTD GB12, VTD HM05, VTD ML23, VTD NE22B, VTD NM13, VTD RL09, VTD TL06, VTD WN04.

District 16: Onslow County: VTD FS16, VTD HN14, VTD HR17, VTD SF18; Pender County.

District 17: Brunswick County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 04A, VTD 4B2.

District 18: New Hanover County: VTD CF01, VTD CF02, VTD CF05, VTD CF06, VTD H02, VTD H08, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD W03, VTD W08, VTD W15, VTD W25, VTD W26, VTD W27, VTD W29.

District 19: Brunswick County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 012, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 10A, VTD 10B; New Hanover County: VTD FP03, VTD FP04, VTD FP06, VTD FP07, VTD FP08, VTD M03, VTD W30.

District 20: New Hanover County: VTD H01, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H06, VTD M02, VTD M04, VTD M06, VTD M07, VTD W12, VTD W13, VTD W16, VTD W17, VTD W18, VTD W21, VTD W24, VTD W28, VTD W31, VTD WB.

District 21: Wake County: VTD 05-01, VTD 05-03, VTD 05-06, VTD 05-07, VTD 05-08, VTD 20-14, VTD 20-15, VTD 20-16, VTD 20-17.

District 22: Bladen County, Sampson County.

District 23: Bertie County, Edgecombe County, Martin County.

District 24: Nash County: VTD P24A; Wilson County.

District 25: Nash County: VTD P01A, VTD P02A, VTD P03A, VTD P04A, VTD P05A, VTD P06A, VTD P07A, VTD P08A, VTD P09A, VTD P10A, VTD P11A, VTD P12A, VTD P13A, VTD P14A, VTD P15A, VTD P16A, VTD P17A, VTD P18A, VTD P19A, VTD P20A, VTD P21A, VTD P22A, VTD P23A.

District 26: Johnston County: VTD PR09, VTD PR10A, VTD PR10B, VTD PR11A, VTD PR11B, VTD PR12A, VTD PR20, VTD PR21, VTD PR29A, VTD PR29B, VTD PR32, VTD PR34.

District 27: Halifax County, Northampton County, Warren County.

District 28: Johnston County: VTD PR04, VTD PR07, VTD PR08, VTD PR13, VTD PR14, VTD PR19, VTD PR22, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR27A, VTD PR27B, VTD PR28, VTD PR30, VTD PR35, VTD PR36, VTD PR37, VTD PR38.

District 29: Durham County: VTD 009, VTD 016, VTD 027, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 048, VTD 051, VTD 054, VTD 34-1, VTD 34-2, VTD 35.3, VTD 53-1, VTD 53-2.

District 30: Durham County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 017, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 043, VTD 046, VTD 055-11, VTD 055-49.

District 31: Durham County: VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 029, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 047, VTD 052, VTD 30-1, VTD 30-2.

District 32: Granville County: VTD ANTI, VTD BERE, VTD BTNR, VTD CORI, VTD CRDL, VTD CRDM: Block(s) 0779706011004, 0779706011005, 0779706011006, 0779706011020, 0779706011024, 0779706011025, 0779706011026, 0779706011027, 0779706011028, 0779706011029, 0779706011030, 0779706011031, 0779706011044, 0779706061000, 0779706061001, 0779706061002, 0779706061003, 0779706061004, 0779706061005, 0779706061012, 0779706061016, 0779706061022, 0779706062022, 0779706062033, 0779706062034, 0779706062035, 0779706062036, 0779706071014, 0779706071017, 0779706071020, 0779706071021, 0779706071022, 0779706071023, 0779706071024, 0779706071025, 0779706071026, 0779706071027, 0779706071028, 0779706071029, 0779706071030, 0779706071031, 0779706071032, 0779706071033, 0779706071034, 0779706071035, 0779706071036, 0779706071037, 0779706072000, 0779706072001, 0779706072002, 0779706072003, 0779706072004, 0779706072005, 0779706072006, 0779706072007, 0779706072008, 0779706072011, 0779706072016, 0779706072017, 0779706072018, 0779706072019, 0779706072020, 0779706072021, 0779706072022, 0779706072023, 0779706072024, 0779706072025, 0779706072026, 0779706073000, 0779706073001, 0779706073002, 0779706073003, 0779706073004, 0779706073005, 0779706073006, 0779706073007, 0779706073008, 0779706073009, 0779706073010, 0779706073011, 0779706073012, 0779706073013; VTD EAOX, VTD OKHL, VTD SALM, VTD SASS, VTD SOOX, VTD TYHO, VTD WOEL; Vance County.

District 33: Wake County: VTD 01-22, VTD 15-01, VTD 15-02, VTD 15-03, VTD 15-04, VTD 16-01, VTD 16-02, VTD 16-03, VTD 16-04, VTD 16-05, VTD 16-06, VTD 16-07, VTD 16-09, VTD 16-10, VTD 16-11.

District 34: Wake County: VTD 01-04, VTD 01-05, VTD 01-06, VTD 01-09, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 01-12, VTD 01-15, VTD 01-17, VTD 01-18, VTD 01-30, VTD 01-36, VTD 01-37, VTD 01-39, VTD 01-43, VTD 01-45, VTD 01-51, VTD 07-02, VTD 07-03, VTD 07-04, VTD 07-05, VTD 07-07, VTD 07-09, VTD 07-11, VTD 07-12, VTD 07-13, VTD 08-09.

District 35: Wake County: VTD 19-03, VTD 19-05, VTD 19-07, VTD 19-09, VTD 19-11, VTD 19-12, VTD 19-13, VTD 19-14, VTD 19-15, VTD 19-16, VTD 19-18, VTD 19-19, VTD 19-20, VTD 19-21.

District 36: Wake County: VTD 03-00, VTD 04-07, VTD 06-06, VTD 06-08, VTD 06-09, VTD 20-01, VTD 20-05, VTD 20-06A, VTD 20-06B, VTD 20-09, VTD 20-11, VTD 20-12.

District 37: Wake County: VTD 06-04, VTD 06-05, VTD 06-10, VTD 12-01, VTD 12-02, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-07, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 18-07.

District 38: Wake County: VTD 01-07, VTD 01-13, VTD 01-14, VTD 01-19, VTD 01-20, VTD 01-21, VTD 01-25, VTD 01-26, VTD 01-27, VTD 01-28, VTD 01-34, VTD 01-35, VTD 01-40, VTD 01-50, VTD 17-01, VTD 17-05, VTD 17-07, VTD 17-09.

District 39: Wake County: VTD 09-01, VTD 09-02, VTD 09-03, VTD 10-01, VTD 10-02, VTD 10-03, VTD 10-04, VTD 17-02, VTD 17-03, VTD 17-04, VTD 17-06, VTD 17-12, VTD 17-13.

District 40: Wake County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-03, VTD 02-04, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 07-06, VTD 08-02, VTD 08-03, VTD 08-04, VTD 08-05, VTD 08-06, VTD 08-07, VTD 08-08, VTD 13-10, VTD 13-11, VTD 14-01, VTD 14-02.

District 41: Wake County: VTD 04-01, VTD 04-02, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-04, VTD 04-06, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-10, VTD 04-11, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 04-14, VTD 04-15, VTD

04-16, VTD 04-19, VTD 04-20, VTD 18-03, VTD 20-03, VTD 20-04, VTD 20-08, VTD 20-10.

District 42: Cumberland County: VTD CC27, VTD CL57-1, VTD CL57-2, VTD G11B, VTD G2D, VTD G5A-1, VTD G5A-2, VTD G5B-2, VTD LR63, VTD MB62, VTD MR02, VTD SL78-3.

District 43: Cumberland County: VTD AL51, VTD CC01, VTD CC05, VTD CC19, VTD EO61-1, VTD EO61-2, VTD G1A, VTD G1B, VTD G2A, VTD G2B, VTD G2C-1, VTD G2C-2, VTD G2E-1, VTD G3C, VTD G6A, VTD G6B, VTD G6C, VTD G7A, VTD G7B, VTD LI65, VTD SH77.

District 44: Cumberland County: VTD CC03, VTD CC04, VTD CC06, VTD CC07, VTD CC08, VTD CC10, VTD CC12, VTD CC13, VTD CC14, VTD CC15, VTD CC16, VTD CC17, VTD CC18, VTD CC21, VTD CC24, VTD CC25, VTD CC26, VTD CC29, VTD CC31, VTD CC32, VTD CC33, VTD CC34, VTD CU02, VTD G2E-2, VTD G3B, VTD G4A, VTD G4B, VTD G4C.

District 45: Cumberland County: VTD AH49, VTD G10A, VTD G10B, VTD G10C, VTD G3A-1, VTD G3A-2, VTD G5B-1, VTD G5C, VTD G8A, VTD G8B, VTD G8C, VTD G8D, VTD G9A, VTD G9B-1, VTD G9B-2.

District 46: Columbus County, Robeson County: VTD 003, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 030: Block(s) 1559607011000, 1559607011001, 1559607011002, 1559607011003, 1559607011004, 1559607011005, 1559607011006, 1559607011007, 1559607011008, 1559607011009, 1559607011010, 1559607011011, 1559607011012, 1559607011013, 1559607011014, 1559607011015, 1559607011016, 1559607011017, 1559607011018, 1559607011019, 1559607011020, 1559607011021, 1559607011022, 1559607011023, 1559607011024, 1559607011025, 1559607011026, 1559607011027, 1559607011028, 1559607012000, 1559607012001, 1559607012002, 1559607012003, 1559607012004, 1559607012005, 1559607012006, 1559607012007, 1559607012008, 1559607012009, 1559607012010, 1559607012011, 1559607012012, 1559607012013, 1559607012014, 1559607012015, 1559607012016, 1559607012017, 1559607012018, 1559607012019, 1559607013000, 1559607013001, 1559607013002, 1559607013003, 1559607013004, 1559607013005, 1559607013006, 1559607013007, 1559607013008, 1559607013009, 1559607013010, 1559607013011, 1559607013012, 1559607013013, 1559607013014, 1559607013015, 1559607013016, 1559607013017, 1559607013018, 1559607013019, 1559607013020, 1559607013021, 1559607013022, 1559607013023, 1559607013024, 1559607013025, 1559607013026, 1559607013029, 1559607013030, 1559607013031, 1559607013032, 1559607013033, 1559607013034, 1559607013038, 1559607013051, 1559607013061, 1559607013062, 1559607013063, 1559607013064, 1559607013065, 1559607013066, 1559607013067, 1559607013075, 1559607013076, 1559607013077, 1559613013000, 1559613013001, 1559613013002, 1559613013003, 1559613013004, 1559613013006, 1559613013007, 1559613013008, 1559613013009, 1559613013010, 1559613013011, 1559613013012, 1559613013013, 1559613013015, 1559613013016, 1559613013017, 1559613013019, 1559613013020, 1559613013021, 1559613013022, 1559614002036, 1559614002037, 1559614002038, 1559614002039, 1559614002040, 1559614002041, 1559614002042, 1559614002055, 1559614002056, 1559614002057; VTD 037, VTD 041, VTD 32A.

District 47: Robeson County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030: Block(s) 1559607013068, 1559607013069, 1559607013070, 1559607013071, 1559607013072, 1559607013073, 1559607013074, 1559607013079, 1559607013080; VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 05A, VTD 11A, VTD 18A, VTD 26A.

District 48: Hoke County, Scotland County.

District 49: Wake County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-03, VTD 01-16, VTD 01-29, VTD 01-33, VTD 01-49, VTD 04-05, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-17, VTD 04-18, VTD 04-21, VTD 05-05, VTD 07-01, VTD 07-10, VTD 08-10, VTD 08-11, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02.

District 50: Caswell County, Orange County: VTD CA, VTD CG, VTD CP, VTD CS1, VTD CW, VTD CX, VTD EF, VTD ENO, VTD GB, VTD H, VTD HE, VTD HF, VTD OG, VTD PA, VTD SM, VTD TO, VTD WC, VTD WH.

District 51: Lee County, Moore County: VTD CAM, VTD CAR, VTD LTR, VTD VSS.

District 52: Moore County: VTD EAB, VTD EKWD, VTD EUR-WP, VTD EWD, VTD NSP, VTD PBF, VTD PDN, VTD SSP, VTD WAB, VTD WKWD; Richmond County.

District 53: Harnett County: VTD PR08, VTD PR24, VTD PR25, VTD PR26, VTD PR28, VTD PR29; Johnston County: VTD PR12B, VTD PR23A, VTD PR23B, VTD PR31A, VTD PR31B, VTD PR33.

District 54: Chatham County, Randolph County: VTD LB, VTD ST.

District 55: Anson County, Union County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 027, VTD 033, VTD 036, VTD 043.

District 56: Orange County: VTD CB, VTD CF, VTD CH, VTD CO, VTD DA, VTD DM, VTD EA1, VTD EH, VTD ES, VTD GL, VTD KM, VTD LC, VTD NC, VTD NS, VTD OW, VTD RE, VTD RF, VTD SJ, VTD TH, VTD UNC, VTD WD, VTD WDS, VTD WW.

District 57: Guilford County: VTD CG1, VTD CG2, VTD CG3A, VTD CG3B, VTD G07, VTD G08, VTD G09, VTD G10, VTD G20, VTD G21, VTD G22, VTD G23, VTD G24, VTD G25, VTD G26, VTD G27, VTD G28, VTD G29, VTD G30, VTD G31, VTD G40B, VTD NCGR1, VTD NCGR2, VTD SF1, VTD SF2, VTD SF3, VTD SF4.

District 58: Guilford County: VTD FEN1, VTD FR1, VTD FR2, VTD G46, VTD G47, VTD G52, VTD G53, VTD G54, VTD G55, VTD G56, VTD G57, VTD G58, VTD G59, VTD G60, VTD G61, VTD G62, VTD G65, VTD G66, VTD G73, VTD G75, VTD JAM3, VTD SUM1, VTD SUM2, VTD SUM3, VTD SUM4.

District 59: Guilford County: VTD FEN2, VTD G06, VTD GIB, VTD GR, VTD JEF1, VTD JEF2, VTD JEF3, VTD JEF4, VTD MON1, VTD MON2A, VTD MON2B, VTD MON3, VTD NCLAY1, VTD NCLAY2, VTD NMAD, VTD NWASH, VTD PG1, VTD PG2, VTD RC1, VTD RC2, VTD SCLAY, VTD SMAD, VTD SWASH.

District 60: Guilford County: VTD H01, VTD H02, VTD H03, VTD H04, VTD H05, VTD H06, VTD H07, VTD H08, VTD H09, VTD H10, VTD H11, VTD H12, VTD H13, VTD H14, VTD H15, VTD H16, VTD H17, VTD H18, VTD H19A, VTD H19B, VTD H20A, VTD H20B, VTD H22, VTD H26, VTD H28, VTD H29A, VTD JAM1, VTD JAM2, VTD JAM4, VTD JAM5.

District 61: Guilford County: VTD G01, VTD G02, VTD G03, VTD G04, VTD G05, VTD G11, VTD G12, VTD G13, VTD G14, VTD G15, VTD G16, VTD G17, VTD G18, VTD G19, VTD G35, VTD G36, VTD G37, VTD G44, VTD G45, VTD G48, VTD G49, VTD G50, VTD G51, VTD G63, VTD G67, VTD G68, VTD G69, VTD G70, VTD G71, VTD G72, VTD G74.

District 62: Guilford County: VTD FR3, VTD FR4, VTD FR5A, VTD FR5B, VTD G32, VTD G33, VTD G34, VTD G38, VTD G39, VTD G40A1, VTD G40A2, VTD G41A, VTD G41B, VTD G42A, VTD G42B, VTD G43, VTD G64, VTD H21, VTD H23, VTD H24, VTD H25, VTD H27-A, VTD H27-B, VTD H29B, VTD NDRI, VTD OR1, VTD OR2, VTD SDRI, VTD STOK.

District 63: Alamance County: VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 06E, VTD 06N, VTD 06S, VTD 06W, VTD 09N, VTD 103, VTD 10N, VTD 10S, VTD 124, VTD 127, VTD 12N.

District 64: Alamance County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 035, VTD 03C, VTD 03N, VTD 03S, VTD 03W, VTD 08N, VTD 08S, VTD 09S, VTD 1210, VTD 125, VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 129, VTD 12E, VTD 12S, VTD 12W, VTD 3N2.
District 65: Rockingham County.
District 66: Wake County: VTD 01-38, VTD 01-42, VTD 01-44, VTD 01-46, VTD 01-47, VTD 13-01, VTD 13-02, VTD 13-05, VTD 13-06, VTD 13-07, VTD 13-08, VTD 13-09, VTD 17-10, VTD 17-11, VTD 19-17.
District 67: Montgomery County, Stanly County.
District 68: Union County: VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 031, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 17A, VTD 17B, VTD 20A, VTD 20B, VTD 28A, VTD 28B, VTD 28C, VTD 28D.
District 69: Union County: VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 039, VTD 29A, VTD 29B, VTD 29C, VTD 37A, VTD 37B, VTD 38A, VTD 38B.
District 70: Randolph County: VTD AE, VTD AN, VTD AR, VTD AS, VTD AW, VTD BC, VTD LC, VTD NM, VTD RN, VTD TB, VTD TR, VTD TT.
District 71: Forsyth County: VTD 122, VTD 404, VTD 405, VTD 501, VTD 502, VTD 503, VTD 504, VTD 505, VTD 506, VTD 601, VTD 602, VTD 603, VTD 604, VTD 605, VTD 606, VTD 607, VTD 701, VTD 702, VTD 703, VTD 704, VTD 705, VTD 706, VTD 707, VTD 806.
District 72: Forsyth County: VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 204, VTD 205, VTD 206, VTD 207, VTD 301, VTD 302, VTD 303, VTD 304, VTD 305, VTD 306, VTD 401, VTD 402, VTD 403, VTD 801, VTD 802, VTD 803, VTD 804, VTD 805, VTD 809, VTD 901, VTD 902, VTD 903, VTD 904, VTD 905, VTD 906, VTD 907.
District 73: Cabarrus County: VTD 01-02, VTD 01-04, VTD 01-07, VTD 01-08, VTD 01-10, VTD 01-11, VTD 02-03, VTD 06-00, VTD 07-00, VTD 08-00, VTD 09-00, VTD 10-00, VTD 11-01, VTD 11-02, VTD 12-06, VTD 12-10, VTD 12-12.
District 74: Forsyth County: VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 053, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 123, VTD 131, VTD 132, VTD 133, VTD 708, VTD 709, VTD 807, VTD 808, VTD 908, VTD 909.
District 75: Forsyth County: VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 061, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 065, VTD 066, VTD 067, VTD 068, VTD 082, VTD 083, VTD 507.
District 76: Rowan County: VTD 001, VTD 008, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 015, VTD 018, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 023, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 031, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 045, VTD 14A.
District 77: Davie County, Rowan County: VTD 007, VTD 024, VTD 027, VTD 029, VTD 032; Yadkin County.
District 78: Moore County: VTD BEN, VTD DHR, VTD PHA1, VTD PHA2, VTD PHB1, VTD PHB2, VTD PHC, VTD RBN, VTD SLS, VTD TLT, VTD WEM, VTD WND; Randolph County: VTD DR, VTD GR, VTD PR, VTD RM, VTD SE, VTD SO, VTD SW, VTD UG.
District 79: Beaufort County, Dare County: VTD AVON, VTD BUX, VTD CHCA, VTD COL, VTD EL, VTD FRCO, VTD HAT, VTD KDH: Block(s) 0559703021016, 0559703021022, 0559703021023, 0559703021024, 0559703021025, 0559703021027, 0559703021029, 0559703021030, 0559703021032, 0559703021069, 0559703021070; VTD MATO, VTD MH, VTD NGHD, VTD STPT, VTD WAN; Hyde County, Pamlico County.
District 80: Davidson County: VTD 008, VTD 012, VTD 014, VTD 016, VTD 018, VTD 020, VTD 042, VTD 044, VTD 054, VTD 056, VTD 060, VTD 062, VTD 064, VTD 066, VTD 068, VTD 070, VTD 072, VTD 074, VTD 076, VTD 088, VTD 80A, VTD 86A.

District 81: Davidson County: VTD 004, VTD 006, VTD 010, VTD 022, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 036, VTD 038, VTD 040, VTD 046, VTD 048, VTD 050, VTD 052, VTD 058, VTD 078, VTD 082, VTD 084.

District 82: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-01, VTD 02-02, VTD 02-05, VTD 02-06, VTD 02-07, VTD 04-01, VTD 04-03, VTD 04-08, VTD 04-12, VTD 04-13, VTD 05-00, VTD 12-03, VTD 12-04, VTD 12-05, VTD 12-08, VTD 12-09, VTD 12-11, VTD 12-13.

District 83: Cabarrus County: VTD 02-08, VTD 02-09, VTD 03-00, VTD 04-09, VTD 04-11; Rowan County: VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 004, VTD 009, VTD 013, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 044, VTD 05A, VTD 19A.

District 84: Iredell County: VTD BA: Block(s) 0970612013035, 0970612013036, 0970612013037, 0970612013040, 0970612013041, 0970612013048, 0970612013049, 0970612022000, 0970612022001, 0970612022002, 0970612022003, 0970612022004, 0970612022005, 0970612022006, 0970612022013, 0970612022022, 0970612022023, 0970612022024, 0970612022025, 0970612022026, 0970612022027, 0970612022028, 0970612022029, 0970612022030, 0970612022031, 0970612022032, 0970612022033, 0970612022034, 0970612022035, 0970612022036, 0970612022037, 0970612022038, 0970612022039, 0970612022040, 0970612022041, 0970612022042, 0970612022047, 0970612022048, 0970612022049, 0970612022053, 0970612022058, 0970612022059, 0970612023012, 0970612023013, 0970612023022, 0970612023023, 0970612023024, 0970612023025, 0970612023026, 0970612023027, 0970612023028, 0970612023029, 0970612023030, 0970612023031, 0970612023032, 0970612023033, 0970612023034, 0970612023046, 0970612031000, 0970612031001, 0970612031003, 0970612031004, 0970612031014, 0970613011001, 0970613011007, 0970613011020, 0970613011021, 0970613011022, 0970613011023, 0970613011024, 0970613011025, 0970613011028, 0970613011029, 0970613011030, 0970613011031, 0970613011032, 0970613011033, 0970613011034, 0970613011035, 0970613011036, 0970613011037, 0970613012010, 0970613012011, 0970613012012, 0970613012013, 0970613021000, 0970613021001, 0970613021002, 0970613021003, 0970613021004, 0970613021005, 0970613021006, 0970613021007, 0970613021008, 0970613021009, 0970613021010, 0970613021011, 0970613021012, 0970613021013, 0970613021014, 0970613021015, 0970613021016, 0970613021017, 0970613021018, 0970613021019, 0970613021020, 0970613021021, 0970613021022, 0970613021023, 0970613021024, 0970613021025, 0970613021026, 0970613021027, 0970613021028, 0970613021029, 0970613021030, 0970613021036, 0970613021037, 0970613021038, 0970613022002, 0970613022003, 0970613022004, 0970613022005, 0970613022006, 0970613022007, 0970613022008, 0970613022009, 0970613022010, 0970613022011, 0970613022012, 0970613022013, 0970613022014, 0970613022015, 0970613022016, 0970613022017, 0970613023009, 0970613023010, 0970613023011, 0970613023012, 0970613023013, 0970613023014, 0970613023015, 0970613023016, 0970613023017, 0970613023019, 0970613023020, 0970613023021, 0970613023022, 0970613023023, 0970613023024, 0970613023025, 0970613023026, 0970613023027, 0970613023028, 0970613023029, 0970613023030, 0970613023031, 0970613023032, 0970613023033, 0970613023034, 0970613023035, 0970613023036, 0970613023037, 0970613023038, 0970613023039, 0970613023045, 0970613031000, 0970613031001, 0970613031002, 0970613031003, 0970613031015, 0970613031016, 0970613042000, 0970613042002; VTD BE, VTD CD, VTD CH-A, VTD CH-B, VTD CS, VTD EM, VTD NH, VTD OL, VTD SB, VTD SH-A, VTD ST1, VTD ST2, VTD ST3, VTD ST4, VTD ST5, VTD ST6, VTD TB, VTD UG.

District 85: Avery County, McDowell County: VTD CCREEK, VTD FORT-1, VTD FORT-2, VTD HIGGIN, VTD M.COVE, VTD MAR-1, VTD MAR-2, VTD MAR-3, VTD MAR-4, VTD MAR-5, VTD N.COVE, VTD NEBO, VTD PLEASA, VTD T.COVE, VTD WEST-M; Mitchell County, Yancey County.

District 86: Burke County.
District 87: Caldwell County, Watauga County: VTD 004.
District 88: Mecklenburg County: VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 018, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039, VTD 049, VTD 050, VTD 051, VTD 052, VTD 059, VTD 098, VTD 78.1.
District 89: Catawba County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 025, VTD 027, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 034, VTD 040, VTD 041; Iredell County: VTD FT, VTD SH-B.
District 90: Surry County, Wilkes County: VTD 105, VTD 106, VTD 107, VTD 117, VTD 123, VTD 124.
District 91: Forsyth County: VTD 021, VTD 031, VTD 032, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 081, VTD 091, VTD 092, VTD 101, VTD 111, VTD 112; Stokes County.
District 92: Mecklenburg County: VTD 122, VTD 138, VTD 147, VTD 200, VTD 228, VTD 229, VTD 230, VTD 243.
District 93: Alleghany County, Ashe County, Watauga County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 020.
District 94: Alexander County, Wilkes County: VTD 101, VTD 102, VTD 103, VTD 104, VTD 108, VTD 109, VTD 111, VTD 112, VTD 113A, VTD 114, VTD 115A, VTD 118, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 121, VTD 122, VTD 125, VTD 126, VTD 127, VTD 128, VTD 129.
District 95: Iredell County: VTD BA: Block(s) 0970613023040, 0970613023041, 0970613023042, 0970613023043, 0970613023044, 0970613031018, 0970613031019, 0970613031020, 0970613031021, 0970613031022, 0970613031035, 0970613031036, 0970613031037, 0970613031038, 0970613031039, 0970613031040, 0970613031041, 0970613031043, 0970613031057, 0970613032000, 0970613032001, 0970613032002, 0970613032003, 0970613032004, 0970613032005, 0970613032006, 0970613032007, 0970613032008, 0970613032009, 0970613032010, 0970613032011, 0970613032012, 0970613032013, 0970613032014, 0970613032015, 0970613032016, 0970613032017, 0970613032018, 0970613032019, 0970613032020, 0970613032021, 0970613032022, 0970613032023, 0970613032024, 0970613032025, 0970613032027, 0970613032028, 0970613032048, 0970613032049, 0970613032066; VTD CC1, VTD CC2, VTD CC3, VTD CC4, VTD DV1-A, VTD DV1-B, VTD DV2-A, VTD DV2-B.
District 96: Catawba County: VTD 004, VTD 007, VTD 008, VTD 011, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 026, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 033, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038, VTD 039.
District 97: Lincoln County.
District 98: Mecklenburg County: VTD 127, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190062093053, 1190062093054, 1190062093055, 1190062093056, 1190062093057, 1190062111000, 1190062111001, 1190062111014, 1190062121000, 1190062121003, 1190063081001, 1190063081007, 1190063081009, 1190063081010, 1190063081011, 1190063081012, 1190063081013, 1190063081014, 1190063081015, 1190063081016, 1190063081017, 1190063081018, 1190063081029, 1190063081033, 1190063081076, 1190063081077, 1190063081078, 1190063081079, 1190063081081, 1190063081082, 1190063111005, 1190063111006, 1190063111007, 1190063111008, 1190063111009, 1190063111010, 1190063111011, 1190064071014, 1190064071023, 1190064071025, 1190064071026, 1190064071027, 1190064071028, 1190064071029, 1190064071030, 1190064071046, 1190064071047, 1190064071048, 1190064071049, 1190064071050, 1190064072000, 1190064072001, 1190064072002, 1190064072003, 1190064072006, 1190064072008, 1190064072009, 1190064072010, 1190064072011, 1190064072012, 1190064072013, 1190064072014, 1190064072015, 1190064072016, 1190064072017, 1190064072018,

1190064072019, 1190064072020, 1190064072021; VTD 134, VTD 142, VTD 143, VTD 202, VTD 206, VTD 208, VTD 240, VTD 242.

District 99: Mecklenburg County: VTD 003, VTD 042, VTD 060, VTD 082, VTD 104, VTD 105, VTD 123, VTD 132, VTD 141, VTD 149, VTD 204.1, VTD 205, VTD 237.

District 100: Mecklenburg County: VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 017, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 045, VTD 047, VTD 062, VTD 063, VTD 064, VTD 065, VTD 066, VTD 067, VTD 084, VTD 099, VTD 102, VTD 106, VTD 117, VTD 125, VTD 130.

District 101: Mecklenburg County: VTD 012, VTD 023, VTD 024, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 053, VTD 079, VTD 080, VTD 081, VTD 089, VTD 150, VTD 222, VTD 223.1, VTD 224.

District 102: Mecklenburg County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 005, VTD 011, VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 046, VTD 054, VTD 055, VTD 056, VTD 061, VTD 109.

District 103: Mecklenburg County: VTD 085, VTD 090, VTD 091, VTD 096, VTD 103, VTD 112, VTD 113, VTD 118, VTD 121, VTD 136, VTD 137, VTD 215, VTD 216, VTD 217, VTD 218, VTD 227, VTD 233.

District 104: Mecklenburg County: VTD 019, VTD 048, VTD 057, VTD 058, VTD 068, VTD 069, VTD 070, VTD 071, VTD 072, VTD 073, VTD 074, VTD 075, VTD 076, VTD 086, VTD 092, VTD 093, VTD 100, VTD 101, VTD 110, VTD 111, VTD 114, VTD 119, VTD 120, VTD 131.

District 105: Mecklenburg County: VTD 077, VTD 087, VTD 088, VTD 097, VTD 129, VTD 139.1, VTD 140, VTD 144, VTD 148, VTD 225, VTD 226, VTD 231, VTD 232.

District 106: Mecklenburg County: VTD 126, VTD 128, VTD 133: Block(s) 1190063081000, 1190063081002, 1190063081003, 1190063081004, 1190063081005, 1190063081006, 1190063081008, 1190063081019, 1190063081020, 1190063081021, 1190063081022, 1190063081023, 1190063081024, 1190063081025, 1190063081026, 1190063081027, 1190063081028, 1190063081030, 1190063081031, 1190063081032, 1190063081034, 1190063081035, 1190063081036, 1190063081037, 1190063081038, 1190063081039, 1190063081040, 1190063081041, 1190063081042, 1190063081043, 1190063081044, 1190063081045, 1190063081046, 1190063081047, 1190063081048, 1190063081049, 1190063081050, 1190063081051, 1190063081052, 1190063081053, 1190063081054, 1190063081055, 1190063081056, 1190063081057, 1190063081058, 1190063081059, 1190063081060, 1190063081061, 1190063081062, 1190063081063, 1190063081064, 1190063081065, 1190063081066, 1190063081067, 1190063081068, 1190063081069, 1190063081070, 1190063081071, 1190063081072, 1190063081073, 1190063081074, 1190063081075, 1190063081080; VTD 145, VTD 151, VTD 207, VTD 212, VTD 214, VTD 239, VTD 241.

District 107: Mecklenburg County: VTD 016, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 107.1, VTD 135, VTD 146, VTD 209, VTD 210, VTD 211, VTD 213, VTD 238.1.

District 108: Gaston County: VTD 008, VTD 012, VTD 013, VTD 024, VTD 025, VTD 026, VTD 030, VTD 032, VTD 039, VTD 040, VTD 041, VTD 042, VTD 043, VTD 044, VTD 045, VTD 046.

District 109: Gaston County: VTD 001, VTD 002, VTD 003, VTD 009, VTD 010, VTD 011, VTD 014, VTD 015, VTD 016, VTD 017, VTD 018, VTD 019, VTD 023, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 031.

District 110: Cleveland County: VTD CASAR, VTD FALSTN, VTD KINGST, VTD LATT, VTD LAWNDL, VTD MULLS, VTD POLKVL, VTD S 5, VTD S-4A, VTD WACO; Gaston County: VTD 004, VTD 005, VTD 006, VTD 007, VTD 020, VTD 021, VTD 022, VTD 033, VTD 034, VTD 035, VTD 036, VTD 037, VTD 038.

District 111: Cleveland County: VTD 0S-C, VTD 0S-S, VTD BETHWR, VTD BR, VTD GROVER, VTD KM N, VTD KM S, VTD MRB-YO, VTD OAKGRV, VTD RIPPY, VTD

SHANGI; Rutherford County: VTD 011, VTD 019, VTD 03A, VTD 05A, VTD 09A, VTD 10A.

District 112: Mecklenburg County: VTD 004, VTD 083, VTD 094, VTD 095, VTD 108, VTD 115, VTD 116, VTD 124, VTD 201, VTD 203, VTD 219, VTD 220, VTD 221, VTD 234, VTD 235, VTD 236.

District 113: Henderson County: VTD AR, VTD EF, VTD FR, VTD NB, VTD RR, VTD SB, VTD SE; McDowell County: VTD DYSART, VTD GLENWD; Polk County, Rutherford County: VTD 013, VTD 014, VTD 018, VTD 027, VTD 028, VTD 029, VTD 030, VTD 034, VTD 04A, VTD 06A, VTD 16A.

District 114: Buncombe County: VTD 1.1, VTD 10.1, VTD 11.1, VTD 12.1, VTD 14.2, VTD 17.1, VTD 2.1, VTD 20.1, VTD 21.1, VTD 23.2, VTD 23.3, VTD 25.1, VTD 3.1, VTD 32.1, VTD 33.2, VTD 33.3, VTD 34.1, VTD 35.1, VTD 36.1, VTD 37.1, VTD 39.2, VTD 39.3, VTD 50.1, VTD 6.1, VTD 60.2, VTD 60.4, VTD 61.1, VTD 62.1, VTD 64.1, VTD 65.1, VTD 66.1, VTD 7.1, VTD 8.3, VTD 9.1.

District 115: Buncombe County: VTD 18.2, VTD 19.1, VTD 24.1, VTD 29.2, VTD 30.2, VTD 30.3, VTD 31.1, VTD 38.2, VTD 38.3, VTD 44.1, VTD 45.1, VTD 46.1, VTD 47.1, VTD 48.1, VTD 49.1, VTD 54.2, VTD 55.1, VTD 56.2, VTD 57.1, VTD 8.2.

District 116: Buncombe County: VTD 13.1, VTD 14.3, VTD 15.1, VTD 16.2, VTD 22.2, VTD 26.1, VTD 27.2, VTD 28.1, VTD 4.1, VTD 40.2, VTD 41.1, VTD 42.1, VTD 43.2, VTD 5.1, VTD 51.2, VTD 52.1, VTD 53.1, VTD 58.1, VTD 59.1, VTD 63.1, VTD 67.1, VTD 68.1, VTD 69.1, VTD 70.1, VTD 71.1.

District 117: Henderson County: VTD AT, VTD BC, VTD BK, VTD CB, VTD CC, VTD ED, VTD ES, VTD EV, VTD FL, VTD GM, VTD GR, VTD HC, VTD HS, VTD HV-1, VTD HV-2, VTD HV-3, VTD LJ, VTD LP, VTD MG, VTD NE, VTD NM, VTD NW, VTD PR, VTD PV, VTD RG, VTD SM, VTD SW.

District 118: Haywood County, Madison County.

District 119: Jackson County, Swain County, Transylvania County.

District 120: Cherokee County, Clay County, Graham County, Macon County."

SECTION 2. The remedial plan adopted by Section 1 of this act is effective contingent upon its approval or adoption by the Wake County Superior Court in 21-CVS-015426 and 21-CVS-500085 and the denial by the Supreme Court of North Carolina of any motion to stay the approval or adoption of the remedial plan, unless the United States Supreme Court or any other federal court reverses or stays the 4 February 2022 order or 14 February 2022 opinion of the Supreme Court of North Carolina in No. 413PA21 holding unconstitutional G.S. 120-2(a) as it existed prior to the enactment of this act (or the decision is otherwise enjoined, made inoperable, or ineffective), and in such case the prior version of G.S. 120-2(a) is again effective.

SECTION 3. Except as otherwise provided, this act is effective when it becomes law.

User:
Plan Name: **H980 Second Edition**
Plan Type: **House**

Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:12 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
1	0.41	0.21
2	0.42	0.47
3	0.38	0.30
4	0.48	0.46
5	0.28	0.30
6	0.49	0.52
7	0.44	0.40
8	0.44	0.33
9	0.75	0.47
10	0.60	0.50
11	0.39	0.39

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
12	0.31	0.29
13	0.38	0.43
14	0.65	0.34
15	0.48	0.34
16	0.48	0.38
17	0.42	0.23
18	0.38	0.23
19	0.46	0.29
20	0.46	0.38
21	0.48	0.43
22	0.53	0.36
23	0.46	0.36
24	0.56	0.60
25	0.40	0.45

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
26	0.41	0.38
27	0.44	0.35
28	0.57	0.50
29	0.52	0.58
30	0.41	0.25
31	0.51	0.45
32	0.53	0.52
33	0.37	0.44
34	0.49	0.37
35	0.56	0.52
36	0.46	0.51
37	0.44	0.49
38	0.46	0.33
39	0.62	0.51

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
40	0.53	0.39
41	0.53	0.38
42	0.44	0.35
43	0.47	0.29
44	0.59	0.37
45	0.50	0.35
46	0.32	0.22
47	0.49	0.40
48	0.48	0.44
49	0.47	0.42
50	0.38	0.37
51	0.48	0.28
52	0.35	0.21
53	0.37	0.21

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
54	0.46	0.38
55	0.40	0.34
56	0.64	0.56
57	0.50	0.37
58	0.47	0.29
59	0.50	0.44
60	0.58	0.41
61	0.36	0.26
62	0.41	0.29
63	0.56	0.45
64	0.33	0.31
65	0.60	0.76
66	0.54	0.38
67	0.45	0.49

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
68	0.50	0.40
69	0.54	0.35
70	0.54	0.54
71	0.45	0.30
72	0.40	0.25
73	0.42	0.41
74	0.57	0.38
75	0.39	0.33
76	0.43	0.50
77	0.41	0.30
78	0.34	0.20
79	0.53	0.36
80	0.29	0.32
81	0.48	0.31

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
82	0.43	0.33
83	0.47	0.34
84	0.55	0.54
85	0.49	0.34
86	0.49	0.44
87	0.52	0.47
88	0.51	0.40
89	0.31	0.29
90	0.51	0.35
91	0.64	0.65
92	0.40	0.36
93	0.33	0.30
94	0.51	0.35
95	0.57	0.58

Measures of Compactness Report

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
96	0.36	0.35
97	0.32	0.51
98	0.58	0.42
99	0.52	0.50
100	0.63	0.39
101	0.62	0.61
102	0.53	0.40
103	0.39	0.36
104	0.68	0.54
105	0.35	0.35
106	0.40	0.32
107	0.37	0.27
108	0.41	0.31
109	0.48	0.55

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.28	0.20
Max	0.75	0.76
Mean	0.46	0.38
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.11

District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
110	0.36	0.24
111	0.34	0.21
112	0.59	0.59
113	0.41	0.25
114	0.45	0.27
115	0.37	0.31
116	0.39	0.30
117	0.44	0.20
118	0.41	0.25
119	0.28	0.22
120	0.40	0.37

Measures of Compactness Summary

Reock	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
Polsby-Popper	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	86,995	84,330	-2,665	-3.06%
2	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
3	1	86,995	85,099	-1,896	-2.18%
4	1	86,995	83,138	-3,857	-4.43%
5	1	86,995	82,953	-4,042	-4.65%
6	1	86,995	84,016	-2,979	-3.42%
7	1	86,995	86,439	-556	-0.64%
8	1	86,995	85,089	-1,906	-2.19%
9	1	86,995	85,154	-1,841	-2.12%
10	1	86,995	82,910	-4,085	-4.70%
11	1	86,995	85,987	-1,008	-1.16%
12	1	86,995	84,745	-2,250	-2.59%
13	1	86,995	83,307	-3,688	-4.24%
14	1	86,995	90,506	3,511	4.04%
15	1	86,995	89,176	2,181	2.51%
16	1	86,995	85,097	-1,898	-2.18%
17	1	86,995	89,763	2,768	3.18%
18	1	86,995	90,862	3,867	4.45%
19	1	86,995	91,041	4,046	4.65%
20	1	86,995	90,729	3,734	4.29%
21	1	86,995	88,313	1,318	1.52%
22	1	86,995	88,642	1,647	1.89%
23	1	86,995	88,865	1,870	2.15%
24	1	86,995	83,322	-3,673	-4.22%
25	1	86,995	90,432	3,437	3.95%
26	1	86,995	90,540	3,545	4.07%
27	1	86,995	84,735	-2,260	-2.60%
28	1	86,995	85,389	-1,606	-1.85%
29	1	86,995	90,900	3,905	4.49%
30	1	86,995	90,935	3,940	4.53%
31	1	86,995	90,999	4,004	4.60%
32	1	86,995	85,704	-1,291	-1.48%
33	1	86,995	86,863	-132	-0.15%
34	1	86,995	82,860	-4,135	-4.75%
35	1	86,995	86,765	-230	-0.26%
36	1	86,995	89,336	2,341	2.69%
37	1	86,995	88,334	1,339	1.54%
38	1	86,995	90,560	3,565	4.10%
39	1	86,995	86,971	-24	-0.03%
40	1	86,995	84,115	-2,880	-3.31%
41	1	86,995	84,646	-2,349	-2.70%
42	1	86,995	84,462	-2,533	-2.91%
43	1	86,995	83,198	-3,797	-4.36%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
44	1	86,995	83,751	-3,244	-3.73%
45	1	86,995	83,317	-3,678	-4.23%
46	1	86,995	84,307	-2,688	-3.09%
47	1	86,995	82,846	-4,149	-4.77%
48	1	86,995	86,256	-739	-0.85%
49	1	86,995	90,415	3,420	3.93%
50	1	86,995	85,776	-1,219	-1.40%
51	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
52	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
53	1	86,995	89,622	2,627	3.02%
54	1	86,995	83,475	-3,520	-4.05%
55	1	86,995	84,549	-2,446	-2.81%
56	1	86,995	85,656	-1,339	-1.54%
57	1	86,995	91,158	4,163	4.79%
58	1	86,995	89,713	2,718	3.12%
59	1	86,995	87,559	564	0.65%
60	1	86,995	90,919	3,924	4.51%
61	1	86,995	90,814	3,819	4.39%
62	1	86,995	91,136	4,141	4.76%
63	1	86,995	83,540	-3,455	-3.97%
64	1	86,995	87,875	880	1.01%
65	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
66	1	86,995	84,245	-2,750	-3.16%
67	1	86,995	88,255	1,260	1.45%
68	1	86,995	86,978	-17	-0.02%
69	1	86,995	88,795	1,800	2.07%
70	1	86,995	89,118	2,123	2.44%
71	1	86,995	83,748	-3,247	-3.73%
72	1	86,995	83,262	-3,733	-4.29%
73	1	86,995	89,909	2,914	3.35%
74	1	86,995	89,692	2,697	3.10%
75	1	86,995	84,659	-2,336	-2.69%
76	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
77	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
78	1	86,995	86,365	-630	-0.72%
79	1	86,995	83,163	-3,832	-4.40%
80	1	86,995	84,864	-2,131	-2.45%
81	1	86,995	84,066	-2,929	-3.37%
82	1	86,995	91,069	4,074	4.68%
83	1	86,995	91,184	4,189	4.82%
84	1	86,995	85,875	-1,120	-1.29%
85	1	86,995	89,525	2,530	2.91%
86	1	86,995	87,570	575	0.66%

Population Deviation Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
87	1	86,995	85,009	-1,986	-2.28%
88	1	86,995	83,209	-3,786	-4.35%
89	1	86,995	85,577	-1,418	-1.63%
90	1	86,995	82,937	-4,058	-4.66%
91	1	86,995	85,749	-1,246	-1.43%
92	1	86,995	85,088	-1,907	-2.19%
93	1	86,995	87,194	199	0.23%
94	1	86,995	90,835	3,840	4.41%
95	1	86,995	86,264	-731	-0.84%
96	1	86,995	89,587	2,592	2.98%
97	1	86,995	86,810	-185	-0.21%
98	1	86,995	82,669	-4,326	-4.97%
99	1	86,995	86,649	-346	-0.40%
100	1	86,995	84,206	-2,789	-3.21%
101	1	86,995	87,644	649	0.75%
102	1	86,995	87,483	488	0.56%
103	1	86,995	88,259	1,264	1.45%
104	1	86,995	82,781	-4,214	-4.84%
105	1	86,995	84,101	-2,894	-3.33%
106	1	86,995	86,758	-237	-0.27%
107	1	86,995	86,497	-498	-0.57%
108	1	86,995	84,457	-2,538	-2.92%
109	1	86,995	87,462	467	0.54%
110	1	86,995	89,588	2,593	2.98%
111	1	86,995	90,809	3,814	4.38%
112	1	86,995	90,138	3,143	3.61%
113	1	86,995	91,102	4,107	4.72%
114	1	86,995	89,016	2,021	2.32%
115	1	86,995	89,565	2,570	2.95%
116	1	86,995	90,871	3,876	4.46%
117	1	86,995	90,329	3,334	3.83%
118	1	86,995	83,282	-3,713	-4.27%
119	1	86,995	90,212	3,217	3.70%
120	1	86,995	84,907	-2,088	-2.40%
Totals:	**120**		**10,439,388**		

Deviation range: -4.97% to 4.82%

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12141-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
1	30,549	61.42%	18,491	37.18%	416	0.84%	78	0.16%	118	0.24%	83	0.17%
2	23,098	43.25%	29,575	55.37%	433	0.81%	112	0.21%	88	0.16%	105	0.20%
3	27,012	58.58%	18,363	39.83%	497	1.08%	79	0.17%	76	0.16%	81	0.18%
4	22,685	60.00%	14,801	39.15%	173	0.46%	57	0.15%	53	0.14%	39	0.10%
5	20,932	49.27%	21,024	49.49%	306	0.72%	69	0.16%	65	0.15%	84	0.20%
6	18,289	56.17%	13,585	41.72%	485	1.49%	85	0.26%	47	0.14%	69	0.21%
7	27,243	56.82%	19,960	41.63%	429	0.89%	94	0.20%	110	0.23%	109	0.23%
8	17,264	41.43%	23,752	57.00%	383	0.92%	96	0.23%	87	0.21%	90	0.22%
9	21,479	47.39%	23,129	51.03%	405	0.89%	113	0.25%	82	0.18%	117	0.26%
10	21,738	53.95%	18,081	44.87%	289	0.72%	68	0.17%	65	0.16%	54	0.13%
11	13,229	31.27%	28,089	66.40%	600	1.42%	159	0.38%	63	0.15%	165	0.39%
12	22,745	53.22%	19,633	45.94%	186	0.44%	42	0.10%	90	0.21%	42	0.10%
13	34,048	68.49%	14,878	29.93%	502	1.01%	77	0.15%	112	0.23%	93	0.19%
14	14,797	61.24%	8,663	35.85%	568	2.35%	47	0.19%	35	0.14%	52	0.22%
15	22,763	62.56%	12,715	34.94%	723	1.99%	69	0.19%	48	0.13%	68	0.19%
16	30,474	66.42%	14,611	31.85%	544	1.19%	83	0.18%	86	0.19%	81	0.18%
17	34,687	60.64%	21,863	38.22%	422	0.74%	78	0.14%	57	0.10%	98	0.17%
18	22,754	43.81%	28,225	54.34%	619	1.19%	153	0.29%	68	0.13%	119	0.23%
19	36,065	60.29%	22,976	38.41%	499	0.83%	96	0.16%	68	0.11%	114	0.19%
20	25,598	48.42%	26,265	49.68%	622	1.18%	154	0.29%	88	0.17%	139	0.26%
21	12,640	31.17%	27,288	67.29%	367	0.90%	94	0.23%	40	0.10%	124	0.31%
22	27,078	59.16%	18,286	39.95%	211	0.46%	41	0.09%	92	0.20%	63	0.14%
23	19,515	40.88%	27,868	58.38%	184	0.39%	61	0.13%	70	0.15%	39	0.08%
24	21,249	49.06%	21,608	49.89%	268	0.62%	60	0.14%	64	0.15%	60	0.14%
25	24,159	48.57%	25,093	50.45%	264	0.53%	71	0.14%	72	0.14%	77	0.15%
26	27,593	56.44%	20,446	41.82%	564	1.15%	115	0.24%	72	0.15%	100	0.20%
27	17,514	39.82%	26,098	59.34%	154	0.35%	69	0.16%	106	0.24%	39	0.09%
28	26,628	66.61%	12,846	32.13%	333	0.83%	60	0.15%	42	0.11%	68	0.17%
29	8,109	14.59%	46,533	83.75%	482	0.87%	169	0.30%	81	0.15%	190	0.34%
30	4,478	9.96%	39,791	88.54%	316	0.70%	146	0.32%	75	0.17%	137	0.30%
31	9,911	20.83%	36,930	77.62%	415	0.87%	134	0.28%	55	0.12%	133	0.28%
32	18,696	44.45%	22,911	54.47%	242	0.58%	60	0.14%	92	0.22%	62	0.15%
33	17,340	36.50%	29,221	61.51%	575	1.21%	130	0.27%	84	0.18%	156	0.33%
34	17,821	33.86%	33,747	64.12%	634	1.20%	134	0.25%	87	0.17%	208	0.40%
35	23,896	46.49%	26,428	51.42%	627	1.22%	110	0.21%	93	0.18%	245	0.48%
36	20,828	40.49%	29,527	57.41%	702	1.36%	113	0.22%	63	0.12%	201	0.39%
37	26,022	50.32%	24,570	47.51%	732	1.42%	122	0.24%	95	0.18%	173	0.33%
38	6,324	14.34%	37,061	84.03%	392	0.89%	139	0.32%	63	0.14%	128	0.29%
39	17,540	37.92%	27,960	60.44%	447	0.97%	100	0.22%	81	0.18%	132	0.29%
40	25,058	43.67%	31,247	54.45%	642	1.12%	99	0.17%	97	0.17%	242	0.42%
41	17,485	34.11%	32,750	63.88%	643	1.25%	128	0.25%	84	0.16%	175	0.34%
42	8,151	28.58%	19,748	69.24%	393	1.38%	98	0.34%	69	0.24%	61	0.21%
43	21,658	50.80%	20,326	47.67%	390	0.91%	97	0.23%	76	0.18%	89	0.21%
44	14,442	37.31%	23,496	60.70%	440	1.14%	117	0.30%	102	0.26%	111	0.29%
45	15,781	42.26%	20,899	55.97%	422	1.13%	111	0.30%	52	0.14%	76	0.20%
46	25,832	64.23%	14,072	34.99%	146	0.36%	42	0.10%	85	0.21%	41	0.10%
47	18,804	56.20%	14,394	43.02%	103	0.31%	54	0.16%	52	0.16%	50	0.15%
48	16,926	46.47%	18,990	52.14%	316	0.87%	81	0.22%	53	0.15%	56	0.15%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12142-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
49	16,047	30.12%	36,108	67.76%	707	1.33%	155	0.29%	71	0.13%	197	0.37%
50	21,514	42.22%	28,765	56.45%	381	0.75%	100	0.20%	89	0.17%	108	0.21%
51	23,774	60.28%	15,116	38.33%	338	0.86%	81	0.21%	70	0.18%	59	0.15%
52	24,769	56.84%	18,241	41.86%	350	0.80%	69	0.16%	66	0.15%	83	0.19%
53	31,020	64.33%	16,473	34.16%	490	1.02%	80	0.17%	69	0.14%	91	0.19%
54	23,857	45.55%	27,826	53.13%	387	0.74%	95	0.18%	95	0.18%	115	0.22%
55	24,161	59.09%	16,226	39.68%	274	0.67%	62	0.15%	94	0.23%	74	0.18%
56	5,751	12.46%	39,687	85.98%	336	0.73%	136	0.29%	70	0.15%	179	0.39%
57	21,454	40.46%	30,714	57.92%	484	0.91%	86	0.16%	119	0.22%	172	0.32%
58	11,753	27.30%	30,715	71.35%	273	0.63%	131	0.30%	66	0.15%	110	0.26%
59	24,566	48.81%	25,087	49.84%	362	0.72%	113	0.22%	98	0.19%	107	0.21%
60	15,545	37.20%	25,597	61.26%	324	0.78%	118	0.28%	105	0.25%	95	0.23%
61	7,732	18.66%	33,049	79.77%	315	0.76%	136	0.33%	80	0.19%	117	0.28%
62	26,245	47.70%	27,925	50.75%	474	0.86%	120	0.22%	111	0.20%	145	0.26%
63	19,003	47.53%	20,385	50.98%	325	0.81%	106	0.27%	84	0.21%	80	0.20%
64	27,053	58.65%	18,440	39.98%	361	0.78%	117	0.25%	86	0.19%	70	0.15%
65	31,301	65.47%	15,992	33.45%	312	0.65%	75	0.16%	55	0.12%	74	0.15%
66	11,967	28.44%	29,340	69.72%	446	1.06%	129	0.31%	60	0.14%	141	0.34%
67	33,869	72.35%	12,456	26.61%	303	0.65%	45	0.10%	83	0.18%	56	0.12%
68	29,961	58.22%	20,681	40.19%	467	0.91%	100	0.19%	84	0.16%	171	0.33%
69	31,582	63.28%	17,607	35.28%	436	0.87%	90	0.18%	68	0.14%	124	0.25%
70	33,424	75.84%	10,146	23.02%	310	0.70%	78	0.18%	60	0.14%	55	0.12%
71	10,972	27.80%	27,837	70.53%	326	0.83%	129	0.33%	77	0.20%	125	0.32%
72	9,066	22.61%	30,346	75.69%	323	0.81%	111	0.28%	104	0.26%	141	0.35%
73	29,284	58.64%	19,870	39.79%	469	0.94%	109	0.22%	73	0.15%	130	0.26%
74	26,847	49.88%	26,059	48.42%	537	1.00%	109	0.20%	87	0.16%	180	0.33%
75	24,701	54.49%	19,943	43.99%	427	0.94%	97	0.21%	60	0.13%	105	0.23%
76	26,943	61.11%	16,534	37.50%	337	0.76%	103	0.23%	84	0.19%	89	0.20%
77	38,630	75.25%	11,973	23.32%	386	0.75%	94	0.18%	133	0.26%	118	0.23%
78	37,320	73.71%	12,751	25.18%	341	0.67%	75	0.15%	55	0.11%	89	0.18%
79	30,867	61.27%	18,891	37.50%	330	0.66%	73	0.14%	133	0.26%	86	0.17%
80	33,169	74.44%	10,747	24.12%	358	0.80%	93	0.21%	121	0.27%	71	0.16%
81	31,459	71.55%	11,880	27.02%	345	0.78%	94	0.21%	122	0.28%	69	0.16%
82	22,909	51.11%	21,202	47.30%	417	0.93%	92	0.21%	63	0.14%	143	0.32%
83	28,930	63.18%	16,169	35.31%	408	0.89%	90	0.20%	65	0.14%	130	0.28%
84	29,288	66.18%	14,382	32.50%	340	0.77%	74	0.17%	82	0.19%	89	0.20%
85	36,117	72.75%	12,899	25.98%	344	0.69%	93	0.19%	110	0.22%	85	0.17%
86	31,019	69.43%	13,118	29.36%	270	0.60%	83	0.19%	117	0.26%	69	0.15%
87	33,590	73.26%	11,707	25.53%	309	0.67%	67	0.15%	84	0.18%	93	0.20%
88	12,277	28.59%	29,752	69.28%	518	1.21%	113	0.26%	75	0.17%	207	0.48%
89	35,214	74.02%	11,749	24.70%	344	0.72%	86	0.18%	83	0.17%	96	0.20%
90	29,453	75.69%	9,046	23.25%	251	0.65%	46	0.12%	54	0.14%	63	0.16%
91	33,612	69.52%	14,132	29.23%	352	0.73%	83	0.17%	94	0.19%	74	0.15%
92	12,406	30.34%	27,770	67.92%	439	1.07%	125	0.31%	46	0.11%	100	0.24%
93	28,949	56.60%	21,307	41.66%	511	1.00%	134	0.26%	111	0.22%	133	0.26%
94	38,020	77.41%	10,573	21.53%	301	0.61%	51	0.10%	93	0.19%	76	0.15%
95	31,205	63.35%	17,249	35.02%	500	1.02%	95	0.19%	86	0.17%	126	0.26%
96	27,891	62.28%	16,197	36.17%	375	0.84%	117	0.26%	106	0.24%	99	0.22%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US President-12143-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
97	36,341	72.33%	13,274	26.42%	373	0.74%	87	0.17%	84	0.17%	83	0.17%
98	24,050	47.92%	25,335	50.48%	477	0.95%	99	0.20%	68	0.14%	156	0.31%
99	5,125	15.46%	27,465	82.83%	277	0.84%	131	0.40%	53	0.16%	106	0.32%
100	10,106	27.28%	26,262	70.88%	347	0.94%	126	0.34%	83	0.22%	126	0.34%
101	9,281	22.75%	30,815	75.54%	351	0.86%	160	0.39%	70	0.17%	118	0.29%
102	8,792	19.44%	35,560	78.63%	483	1.07%	136	0.30%	87	0.19%	169	0.37%
103	23,897	44.99%	28,281	53.24%	533	1.00%	105	0.20%	96	0.18%	204	0.38%
104	20,681	41.36%	28,408	56.82%	510	1.02%	105	0.21%	82	0.16%	212	0.42%
105	16,311	38.62%	25,233	59.74%	403	0.95%	119	0.28%	61	0.14%	113	0.27%
106	13,209	28.86%	31,849	69.58%	399	0.87%	114	0.25%	54	0.12%	147	0.32%
107	6,951	16.62%	34,174	81.73%	319	0.76%	142	0.34%	75	0.18%	150	0.36%
108	28,128	66.05%	13,892	32.62%	354	0.83%	83	0.19%	50	0.12%	81	0.19%
109	27,346	58.11%	19,001	40.38%	412	0.88%	108	0.23%	79	0.17%	111	0.24%
110	29,856	67.80%	13,740	31.20%	236	0.54%	61	0.14%	77	0.17%	66	0.15%
111	32,016	69.39%	13,586	29.45%	311	0.67%	72	0.16%	84	0.18%	67	0.15%
112	16,129	36.66%	27,153	61.71%	370	0.84%	135	0.31%	87	0.20%	127	0.29%
113	33,221	65.42%	16,890	33.26%	372	0.73%	107	0.21%	94	0.19%	99	0.19%
114	16,625	30.08%	37,683	68.19%	475	0.86%	199	0.36%	131	0.24%	150	0.27%
115	23,978	45.96%	27,274	52.27%	536	1.03%	162	0.31%	99	0.19%	127	0.24%
116	21,809	40.22%	31,553	58.18%	449	0.83%	181	0.33%	99	0.18%	138	0.25%
117	31,405	57.42%	22,332	40.83%	553	1.01%	136	0.25%	135	0.25%	130	0.24%
118	30,814	62.02%	18,044	36.32%	437	0.88%	126	0.25%	140	0.28%	122	0.25%
119	27,153	55.49%	20,815	42.53%	509	1.04%	171	0.35%	148	0.30%	141	0.29%
120	35,662	73.07%	12,414	25.44%	336	0.69%	99	0.20%	168	0.34%	124	0.25%
Total:	**2,754,299**	**49.91%**	**2,680,505**	**48.57%**	**48,640**	**0.88%**	**12,186**	**0.22%**	**9,908**	**0.18%**	**13,314**	**0.24%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12144-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	29,668	60.43%	17,553	35.75%	1,327	2.70%	546	1.11%
2	22,262	42.09%	28,562	54.00%	1,510	2.85%	558	1.05%
3	25,798	56.52%	17,708	38.80%	1,472	3.23%	663	1.45%
4	21,431	57.35%	14,561	38.97%	814	2.18%	560	1.50%
5	20,178	48.30%	20,128	48.18%	1,007	2.41%	462	1.11%
6	17,294	53.50%	13,154	40.69%	1,335	4.13%	545	1.69%
7	25,714	53.98%	19,598	41.14%	1,602	3.36%	723	1.52%
8	16,593	40.20%	22,973	55.66%	1,254	3.04%	454	1.10%
9	21,116	46.86%	22,296	49.48%	1,242	2.76%	409	0.91%
10	20,623	51.75%	17,569	44.08%	1,079	2.71%	584	1.47%
11	13,789	32.84%	26,337	62.73%	1,581	3.77%	278	0.66%
12	21,536	50.86%	19,285	45.55%	962	2.27%	557	1.32%
13	32,236	65.59%	14,562	29.63%	1,612	3.28%	736	1.50%
14	13,704	57.55%	8,307	34.89%	1,308	5.49%	493	2.07%
15	21,117	58.93%	12,289	34.29%	1,744	4.87%	684	1.91%
16	28,707	63.33%	14,034	30.96%	1,849	4.08%	739	1.63%
17	32,825	58.07%	21,137	37.39%	1,823	3.22%	745	1.32%
18	22,033	42.86%	26,496	51.55%	2,141	4.17%	733	1.43%
19	34,351	58.05%	21,861	36.94%	2,172	3.67%	795	1.34%
20	25,107	48.07%	24,281	46.49%	2,258	4.32%	581	1.11%
21	13,344	33.21%	25,385	63.17%	1,224	3.05%	230	0.57%
22	25,476	56.27%	18,119	40.02%	1,034	2.28%	642	1.42%
23	18,175	38.39%	27,687	58.48%	919	1.94%	562	1.19%
24	20,223	47.06%	21,326	49.63%	940	2.19%	481	1.12%
25	23,138	46.87%	24,608	49.85%	1,062	2.15%	561	1.14%
26	26,238	54.25%	19,497	40.31%	1,921	3.97%	709	1.47%
27	16,389	37.49%	26,051	59.60%	812	1.86%	459	1.05%
28	25,068	63.33%	12,682	32.04%	1,213	3.06%	621	1.57%
29	9,041	16.35%	44,457	80.39%	1,486	2.69%	318	0.58%
30	5,058	11.33%	38,141	85.46%	1,127	2.53%	304	0.68%
31	9,939	21.01%	35,442	74.93%	1,508	3.19%	409	0.86%
32	17,502	41.97%	22,694	54.42%	1,006	2.41%	502	1.20%
33	16,837	35.66%	28,028	59.36%	1,824	3.86%	527	1.12%
34	19,154	36.64%	31,068	59.43%	1,741	3.33%	310	0.59%
35	24,046	47.17%	24,767	48.58%	1,731	3.40%	434	0.85%
36	21,912	42.95%	26,869	52.67%	1,839	3.60%	396	0.78%
37	25,917	50.46%	22,831	44.45%	2,140	4.17%	474	0.92%
38	6,556	14.98%	35,429	80.94%	1,447	3.31%	341	0.78%
39	16,941	36.89%	26,798	58.35%	1,664	3.62%	520	1.13%
40	26,551	46.51%	28,477	49.89%	1,751	3.07%	306	0.54%
41	18,221	35.81%	30,433	59.81%	1,843	3.62%	386	0.76%
42	7,743	27.44%	18,771	66.52%	1,253	4.44%	450	1.59%
43	20,597	48.76%	19,669	46.57%	1,344	3.18%	629	1.49%
44	13,822	36.09%	22,491	58.73%	1,421	3.71%	562	1.47%
45	14,959	40.44%	20,083	54.29%	1,361	3.68%	591	1.60%
46	23,940	60.40%	14,290	36.06%	783	1.98%	620	1.56%
47	16,832	51.87%	14,471	44.59%	688	2.12%	462	1.42%
48	15,704	43.80%	18,321	51.10%	1,231	3.43%	599	1.67%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12145-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	17,239	32.59%	33,499	63.33%	1,847	3.49%	314	0.59%
50	20,941	41.35%	27,973	55.23%	1,285	2.54%	449	0.89%
51	22,354	57.24%	14,780	37.85%	1,306	3.34%	612	1.57%
52	23,582	54.85%	17,321	40.29%	1,401	3.26%	687	1.60%
53	29,543	61.78%	15,961	33.38%	1,612	3.37%	703	1.47%
54	23,545	45.22%	26,752	51.38%	1,286	2.47%	489	0.94%
55	23,123	57.07%	15,764	38.91%	1,041	2.57%	586	1.45%
56	6,564	14.30%	38,181	83.20%	954	2.08%	192	0.42%
57	21,674	41.18%	28,959	55.02%	1,563	2.97%	437	0.83%
58	11,089	25.99%	29,779	69.80%	1,316	3.08%	482	1.13%
59	23,351	46.75%	24,510	49.07%	1,484	2.97%	601	1.20%
60	14,890	36.02%	24,548	59.39%	1,360	3.29%	537	1.30%
61	7,873	19.16%	31,561	76.80%	1,282	3.12%	380	0.92%
62	26,030	47.63%	26,527	48.54%	1,585	2.90%	503	0.92%
63	18,082	45.52%	20,083	50.56%	1,100	2.77%	458	1.15%
64	26,164	57.12%	17,960	39.21%	1,202	2.62%	477	1.04%
65	28,971	61.23%	16,189	34.22%	1,473	3.11%	680	1.44%
66	11,881	28.48%	27,833	66.71%	1,598	3.83%	409	0.98%
67	31,632	68.33%	12,445	26.88%	1,285	2.78%	930	2.01%
68	30,567	59.92%	18,594	36.45%	1,450	2.84%	399	0.78%
69	30,936	62.53%	16,537	33.42%	1,478	2.99%	526	1.06%
70	31,396	71.95%	10,281	23.56%	1,295	2.97%	662	1.52%
71	10,706	27.42%	26,471	67.79%	1,343	3.44%	529	1.35%
72	9,696	24.46%	28,404	71.65%	1,135	2.86%	408	1.03%
73	28,283	57.13%	18,720	37.81%	1,774	3.58%	733	1.48%
74	26,515	49.64%	24,577	46.01%	1,725	3.23%	597	1.12%
75	23,638	52.52%	19,192	42.64%	1,562	3.47%	616	1.37%
76	25,360	58.07%	16,087	36.84%	1,376	3.15%	848	1.94%
77	36,438	71.53%	12,108	23.77%	1,492	2.93%	902	1.77%
78	35,624	70.97%	12,584	25.07%	1,285	2.56%	700	1.39%
79	29,258	58.76%	18,425	37.00%	1,383	2.78%	727	1.46%
80	31,373	70.67%	11,130	25.07%	1,241	2.80%	652	1.47%
81	29,286	66.86%	12,554	28.66%	1,255	2.87%	704	1.61%
82	21,964	49.56%	19,864	44.82%	1,716	3.87%	773	1.74%
83	27,846	61.30%	15,372	33.84%	1,492	3.28%	714	1.57%
84	27,570	62.93%	13,955	31.85%	1,376	3.14%	908	2.07%
85	34,135	69.48%	13,099	26.66%	1,183	2.41%	709	1.44%
86	28,934	65.39%	13,180	29.79%	1,309	2.96%	822	1.86%
87	31,444	69.34%	11,669	25.73%	1,393	3.07%	839	1.85%
88	14,251	33.41%	26,583	62.33%	1,475	3.46%	342	0.80%
89	33,443	70.78%	11,445	24.22%	1,444	3.06%	917	1.94%
90	27,255	70.67%	9,670	25.08%	1,067	2.77%	572	1.48%
91	31,265	65.24%	14,267	29.77%	1,580	3.30%	810	1.69%
92	12,314	30.35%	26,278	64.77%	1,566	3.86%	411	1.01%
93	27,433	54.26%	20,707	40.95%	1,694	3.35%	728	1.44%
94	35,139	72.34%	11,158	22.97%	1,332	2.74%	944	1.94%
95	30,517	62.58%	15,825	32.45%	1,817	3.73%	602	1.23%
96	26,793	60.21%	15,551	34.95%	1,400	3.15%	756	1.70%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate -12146-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	34,673	69.64%	12,871	25.85%	1,482	2.98%	763	1.53%
98	24,948	50.15%	22,843	45.91%	1,553	3.12%	407	0.82%
99	4,942	15.03%	26,265	79.90%	1,231	3.74%	435	1.32%
100	10,598	28.82%	24,343	66.19%	1,459	3.97%	378	1.03%
101	8,981	22.18%	29,336	72.46%	1,560	3.85%	610	1.51%
102	9,622	21.46%	33,049	73.71%	1,739	3.88%	427	0.95%
103	25,243	47.83%	25,596	48.50%	1,565	2.97%	369	0.70%
104	22,989	46.35%	24,813	50.03%	1,488	3.00%	305	0.61%
105	16,719	39.93%	23,284	55.61%	1,478	3.53%	387	0.92%
106	13,437	29.58%	29,949	65.94%	1,635	3.60%	398	0.88%
107	6,878	16.56%	32,718	78.77%	1,420	3.42%	519	1.25%
108	26,568	63.15%	13,390	31.83%	1,444	3.43%	667	1.59%
109	26,414	56.68%	18,205	39.06%	1,461	3.13%	523	1.12%
110	27,988	64.33%	13,677	31.44%	1,050	2.41%	792	1.82%
111	30,364	66.49%	13,502	29.57%	1,116	2.44%	685	1.50%
112	15,763	36.10%	25,766	59.02%	1,595	3.65%	536	1.23%
113	31,829	63.28%	16,599	33.00%	1,186	2.36%	683	1.36%
114	16,146	29.49%	36,311	66.31%	1,764	3.22%	539	0.98%
115	23,546	45.57%	25,866	50.06%	1,657	3.21%	601	1.16%
116	20,996	39.10%	30,546	56.88%	1,633	3.04%	523	0.97%
117	30,985	57.07%	21,096	38.85%	1,615	2.97%	601	1.11%
118	28,901	58.63%	18,157	36.83%	1,444	2.93%	791	1.60%
119	25,818	53.30%	20,259	41.82%	1,638	3.38%	728	1.50%
120	33,709	69.91%	12,581	26.09%	1,162	2.41%	766	1.59%
Total:	**2,661,369**	**48.67%**	**2,567,510**	**46.95%**	**171,398**	**3.13%**	**68,459**	**1.25%**

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12147-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	19,335	39.12%	29,354	59.39%	517	1.05%	219	0.44%
2	31,210	58.58%	21,452	40.27%	448	0.84%	167	0.31%
3	19,479	42.40%	25,796	56.15%	472	1.03%	198	0.43%
4	15,619	41.46%	21,709	57.62%	218	0.58%	127	0.34%
5	21,550	51.04%	20,093	47.59%	395	0.94%	187	0.44%
6	14,572	44.97%	17,130	52.87%	531	1.64%	170	0.52%
7	21,879	45.66%	25,352	52.91%	496	1.04%	192	0.40%
8	24,415	58.80%	16,476	39.68%	449	1.08%	180	0.43%
9	24,187	53.41%	20,506	45.28%	446	0.98%	148	0.33%
10	19,030	47.41%	20,568	51.25%	348	0.87%	189	0.47%
11	28,914	68.67%	12,364	29.36%	674	1.60%	155	0.37%
12	20,533	48.14%	21,713	50.91%	255	0.60%	152	0.36%
13	16,250	32.83%	32,507	65.68%	500	1.01%	235	0.47%
14	9,150	38.29%	13,986	58.53%	560	2.34%	201	0.84%
15	13,425	37.30%	21,608	60.04%	690	1.92%	269	0.75%
16	15,677	34.37%	29,098	63.78%	602	1.32%	242	0.53%
17	24,044	42.26%	32,022	56.28%	563	0.99%	269	0.47%
18	29,401	56.86%	21,318	41.22%	775	1.50%	218	0.42%
19	25,058	42.04%	33,653	56.46%	633	1.06%	263	0.44%
20	27,745	52.69%	23,948	45.48%	724	1.37%	242	0.46%
21	28,734	71.22%	11,063	27.42%	436	1.08%	115	0.29%
22	19,668	43.14%	25,492	55.91%	279	0.61%	152	0.33%
23	29,071	61.00%	18,255	38.30%	201	0.42%	132	0.28%
24	22,965	53.08%	19,879	45.95%	281	0.65%	136	0.31%
25	26,741	53.85%	22,488	45.28%	287	0.58%	145	0.29%
26	22,115	45.40%	25,853	53.07%	544	1.12%	200	0.41%
27	27,191	61.93%	16,317	37.16%	240	0.55%	158	0.36%
28	14,352	35.94%	25,075	62.80%	357	0.89%	144	0.36%
29	47,229	85.04%	7,597	13.68%	543	0.98%	170	0.31%
30	39,873	89.14%	4,197	9.38%	507	1.13%	155	0.35%
31	37,784	79.48%	8,992	18.91%	596	1.25%	169	0.36%
32	24,459	58.26%	17,068	40.66%	300	0.71%	153	0.36%
33	30,596	64.57%	15,985	33.74%	585	1.23%	218	0.46%
34	35,154	66.97%	16,490	31.41%	661	1.26%	187	0.36%
35	27,993	54.69%	22,438	43.84%	579	1.13%	175	0.34%
36	31,103	60.67%	19,348	37.74%	652	1.27%	161	0.31%
37	26,357	51.12%	24,288	47.11%	711	1.38%	204	0.40%
38	37,593	85.51%	5,655	12.86%	557	1.27%	158	0.36%
39	29,158	63.20%	16,281	35.29%	522	1.13%	178	0.39%
40	32,858	57.42%	23,605	41.25%	607	1.06%	157	0.27%
41	34,277	67.10%	15,921	31.17%	676	1.32%	211	0.41%
42	20,244	71.46%	7,312	25.81%	559	1.97%	213	0.75%
43	21,532	50.67%	20,286	47.74%	470	1.11%	209	0.49%
44	24,531	63.67%	13,136	34.09%	612	1.59%	251	0.65%
45	21,971	59.09%	14,463	38.90%	527	1.42%	222	0.60%
46	15,561	39.00%	23,987	60.12%	210	0.53%	142	0.36%
47	17,028	51.66%	15,612	47.37%	209	0.63%	110	0.33%
48	20,268	56.02%	15,296	42.28%	437	1.21%	179	0.49%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12148-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	37,312	70.25%	14,949	28.15%	691	1.30%	160	0.30%
50	30,228	59.40%	20,081	39.46%	445	0.87%	136	0.27%
51	16,881	43.03%	21,773	55.50%	409	1.04%	166	0.42%
52	19,810	45.72%	22,819	52.66%	485	1.12%	215	0.50%
53	18,113	37.65%	29,301	60.90%	476	0.99%	223	0.46%
54	29,317	56.07%	22,432	42.90%	380	0.73%	159	0.30%
55	17,191	42.15%	23,037	56.48%	379	0.93%	183	0.45%
56	40,099	87.19%	5,363	11.66%	410	0.89%	117	0.25%
57	32,054	60.80%	19,849	37.65%	596	1.13%	222	0.42%
58	31,595	73.96%	10,353	24.24%	556	1.30%	213	0.50%
59	26,699	53.33%	22,664	45.27%	505	1.01%	195	0.39%
60	26,751	64.59%	13,906	33.57%	518	1.25%	244	0.59%
61	33,370	81.20%	6,960	16.94%	569	1.38%	195	0.47%
62	29,690	54.25%	24,239	44.29%	561	1.03%	236	0.43%
63	21,797	54.59%	17,624	44.14%	375	0.94%	136	0.34%
64	20,182	43.85%	25,297	54.97%	381	0.83%	163	0.35%
65	18,197	38.19%	28,891	60.63%	415	0.87%	149	0.31%
66	30,337	72.40%	10,796	25.77%	577	1.38%	190	0.45%
67	14,482	31.03%	31,644	67.81%	360	0.77%	178	0.38%
68	21,515	42.02%	28,984	56.60%	530	1.04%	176	0.34%
69	18,716	37.63%	30,261	60.85%	538	1.08%	216	0.43%
70	12,108	27.61%	31,204	71.17%	364	0.83%	171	0.39%
71	28,558	72.78%	9,934	25.32%	556	1.42%	193	0.49%
72	30,825	77.47%	8,344	20.97%	449	1.13%	174	0.44%
73	21,018	42.36%	27,790	56.00%	599	1.21%	215	0.43%
74	28,184	52.54%	24,705	46.05%	554	1.03%	200	0.37%
75	21,435	47.48%	22,971	50.88%	539	1.19%	205	0.45%
76	17,964	40.84%	25,335	57.60%	457	1.04%	226	0.51%
77	14,347	28.00%	36,301	70.84%	405	0.79%	194	0.38%
78	15,058	29.85%	34,876	69.13%	358	0.71%	158	0.31%
79	20,163	40.18%	29,409	58.61%	373	0.74%	232	0.46%
80	12,665	28.45%	31,283	70.28%	400	0.90%	163	0.37%
81	13,921	31.70%	29,465	67.09%	397	0.90%	138	0.31%
82	22,149	49.85%	21,441	48.26%	622	1.40%	215	0.48%
83	17,338	38.08%	27,506	60.41%	510	1.12%	180	0.40%
84	15,684	35.54%	27,726	62.83%	520	1.18%	198	0.45%
85	14,684	29.67%	34,187	69.07%	413	0.83%	209	0.42%
86	15,028	33.74%	28,898	64.88%	364	0.82%	253	0.57%
87	13,467	29.49%	31,629	69.25%	405	0.89%	171	0.37%
88	29,646	69.38%	12,297	28.78%	631	1.48%	154	0.36%
89	13,109	27.62%	33,693	70.99%	462	0.97%	195	0.41%
90	11,267	28.98%	27,222	70.02%	283	0.73%	107	0.28%
91	16,226	33.64%	31,362	65.02%	447	0.93%	201	0.42%
92	28,330	69.67%	11,461	28.18%	653	1.61%	220	0.54%
93	22,993	45.14%	27,092	53.19%	618	1.21%	234	0.46%
94	13,106	26.77%	35,324	72.16%	360	0.74%	163	0.33%
95	18,256	37.24%	29,927	61.05%	618	1.26%	223	0.45%
96	17,593	39.36%	26,418	59.10%	455	1.02%	234	0.52%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor 12149-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	14,730	29.39%	34,711	69.25%	449	0.90%	237	0.47%
98	25,821	51.75%	23,280	46.66%	615	1.23%	177	0.35%
99	27,727	84.07%	4,547	13.79%	526	1.59%	181	0.55%
100	26,551	72.02%	9,470	25.69%	609	1.65%	236	0.64%
101	31,175	76.74%	8,540	21.02%	670	1.65%	239	0.59%
102	35,325	78.56%	8,591	19.11%	861	1.91%	189	0.42%
103	29,027	54.81%	23,192	43.79%	549	1.04%	192	0.36%
104	28,522	57.32%	20,487	41.17%	575	1.16%	179	0.36%
105	25,868	61.56%	15,448	36.76%	548	1.30%	155	0.37%
106	32,397	71.05%	12,401	27.20%	614	1.35%	183	0.40%
107	34,406	82.58%	6,422	15.41%	627	1.50%	207	0.50%
108	14,953	35.31%	26,742	63.15%	455	1.07%	198	0.47%
109	20,309	43.31%	25,848	55.12%	543	1.16%	193	0.41%
110	15,111	34.50%	28,170	64.31%	353	0.81%	170	0.39%
111	15,130	32.94%	30,315	65.99%	348	0.76%	144	0.31%
112	27,885	63.62%	14,980	34.17%	668	1.52%	301	0.69%
113	18,573	36.73%	31,371	62.03%	431	0.85%	197	0.39%
114	38,425	70.02%	15,418	28.09%	798	1.45%	240	0.44%
115	28,365	54.76%	22,457	43.35%	722	1.39%	256	0.49%
116	32,606	60.55%	20,276	37.65%	752	1.40%	217	0.40%
117	23,818	43.67%	29,868	54.76%	625	1.15%	231	0.42%
118	20,269	40.91%	28,538	57.59%	528	1.07%	216	0.44%
119	22,675	46.52%	25,166	51.63%	657	1.35%	241	0.49%
120	13,696	28.19%	34,169	70.32%	476	0.98%	252	0.52%
Total:	**2,830,701**	**51.50%**	**2,582,462**	**46.98%**	**60,408**	**1.10%**	**22,956**	**0.42%**

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,680	63.09%	17,952	36.91%
2	23,432	44.74%	28,942	55.26%
3	27,341	60.52%	17,833	39.48%
4	22,602	60.88%	14,523	39.12%
5	20,907	50.26%	20,690	49.74%
6	18,406	57.53%	13,585	42.47%
7	27,360	57.87%	19,920	42.13%
8	17,671	43.17%	23,262	56.83%
9	22,288	49.77%	22,492	50.23%
10	21,700	54.74%	17,939	45.26%
11	14,284	34.46%	27,171	65.54%
12	22,795	54.11%	19,333	45.89%
13	34,010	70.16%	14,462	29.84%
14	14,748	63.14%	8,608	36.86%
15	22,700	64.50%	12,496	35.50%
16	30,402	67.97%	14,327	32.03%
17	34,298	61.77%	21,227	38.23%
18	23,349	45.99%	27,417	54.01%
19	36,304	62.10%	22,157	37.90%
20	26,374	51.28%	25,059	48.72%
21	13,455	33.90%	26,236	66.10%
22	26,810	59.65%	18,137	40.35%
23	19,406	41.22%	27,668	58.78%
24	21,290	49.71%	21,537	50.29%
25	24,368	49.52%	24,845	50.48%
26	27,765	58.17%	19,969	41.83%
27	17,331	39.86%	26,148	60.14%
28	26,519	67.66%	12,676	32.34%
29	9,430	17.21%	45,378	82.79%
30	5,249	11.86%	38,992	88.14%
31	10,438	22.21%	36,560	77.79%
32	18,527	44.71%	22,911	55.29%
33	17,713	37.80%	29,146	62.20%
34	19,485	37.76%	32,113	62.24%
35	24,943	49.39%	25,562	50.61%
36	22,551	44.80%	27,791	55.20%
37	27,152	53.43%	23,669	46.57%
38	6,871	15.78%	36,672	84.22%
39	17,801	39.01%	27,834	60.99%
40	26,938	47.79%	29,432	52.21%
41	18,820	37.46%	31,423	62.54%
42	8,269	29.52%	19,739	70.48%
43	21,646	51.50%	20,385	48.50%
44	14,598	38.41%	23,405	61.59%
45	15,786	42.96%	20,956	57.04%
46	25,079	63.82%	14,216	36.18%
47	17,117	53.58%	14,832	46.42%
48	16,538	46.51%	19,018	53.49%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	17,634	33.76%	34,601	66.24%
50	22,108	44.02%	28,114	55.98%
51	23,532	60.96%	15,068	39.04%
52	24,597	58.04%	17,784	41.96%
53	30,976	65.40%	16,385	34.60%
54	24,516	47.64%	26,946	52.36%
55	23,977	59.83%	16,100	40.17%
56	6,739	14.86%	38,608	85.14%
57	22,793	43.72%	29,346	56.28%
58	11,895	28.02%	30,554	71.98%
59	24,908	50.17%	24,743	49.83%
60	15,894	38.77%	25,098	61.23%
61	8,402	20.57%	32,441	79.43%
62	27,462	50.81%	26,588	49.19%
63	19,289	48.65%	20,363	51.35%
64	27,602	60.45%	18,058	39.55%
65	31,170	66.26%	15,871	33.74%
66	12,476	30.13%	28,936	69.87%
67	33,459	73.10%	12,312	26.90%
68	30,950	61.82%	19,118	38.18%
69	31,754	65.14%	16,992	34.86%
70	33,380	76.85%	10,058	23.15%
71	11,474	29.69%	27,169	70.31%
72	10,088	25.78%	29,045	74.22%
73	29,694	60.52%	19,373	39.48%
74	27,952	52.98%	24,810	47.02%
75	25,143	56.39%	19,445	43.61%
76	26,960	62.20%	16,382	37.80%
77	38,953	76.83%	11,746	23.17%
78	37,247	75.01%	12,411	24.99%
79	30,818	62.58%	18,431	37.42%
80	33,480	75.66%	10,772	24.34%
81	31,697	72.68%	11,913	27.32%
82	23,256	52.95%	20,665	47.05%
83	29,227	64.97%	15,759	35.03%
84	29,129	67.11%	14,276	32.89%
85	35,918	73.75%	12,784	26.25%
86	30,728	69.97%	13,191	30.03%
87	33,354	74.23%	11,581	25.77%
88	14,339	34.13%	27,680	65.87%
89	35,271	75.08%	11,705	24.92%
90	29,195	76.10%	9,169	23.90%
91	33,530	70.43%	14,075	29.57%
92	12,790	31.82%	27,406	68.18%
93	28,935	58.12%	20,850	41.88%
94	37,557	77.94%	10,627	22.06%
95	31,542	65.75%	16,434	34.25%
96	28,310	63.91%	15,987	36.09%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,359	73.84%	12,883	26.16%
98	25,518	52.16%	23,409	47.84%
99	5,262	16.08%	27,467	83.92%
100	10,957	30.14%	25,398	69.86%
101	9,429	23.41%	30,857	76.59%
102	10,020	22.59%	34,330	77.41%
103	25,885	49.58%	26,323	50.42%
104	23,453	48.01%	25,396	51.99%
105	17,239	41.63%	24,175	58.37%
106	13,943	30.94%	31,126	69.06%
107	7,219	17.47%	34,106	82.53%
108	27,711	66.97%	13,669	33.03%
109	27,481	59.91%	18,389	40.09%
110	29,137	68.00%	13,710	32.00%
111	31,604	69.89%	13,618	30.11%
112	16,477	38.02%	26,856	61.98%
113	33,347	66.72%	16,635	33.28%
114	17,107	31.56%	37,099	68.44%
115	24,650	48.30%	26,383	51.70%
116	22,086	41.54%	31,085	58.46%
117	32,455	60.12%	21,528	39.88%
118	30,851	63.04%	18,084	36.96%
119	27,651	57.52%	20,418	42.48%
120	35,489	74.16%	12,367	25.84%
Total:	**2,796,976**	**51.64%**	**2,619,726**	**48.36%**

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	18,391	38.00%	30,011	62.00%
2	30,055	57.64%	22,092	42.36%
3	18,450	41.19%	26,338	58.81%
4	15,164	41.09%	21,742	58.91%
5	20,957	50.77%	20,319	49.23%
6	14,085	44.16%	17,810	55.84%
7	21,129	44.75%	26,087	55.25%
8	23,955	58.64%	16,894	41.36%
9	23,520	52.74%	21,079	47.26%
10	18,586	46.97%	20,981	53.03%
11	27,810	67.11%	13,627	32.89%
12	20,228	48.20%	21,742	51.80%
13	15,258	31.50%	33,185	68.50%
14	8,790	37.58%	14,597	62.42%
15	12,887	36.65%	22,280	63.35%
16	15,004	33.61%	29,644	66.39%
17	21,950	39.57%	33,521	60.43%
18	28,334	55.89%	22,359	44.11%
19	23,224	39.83%	35,088	60.17%
20	26,392	51.35%	25,007	48.65%
21	26,811	67.55%	12,880	32.45%
22	19,037	42.60%	25,647	57.40%
23	28,819	61.43%	18,094	38.57%
24	22,623	52.95%	20,106	47.05%
25	26,342	53.59%	22,810	46.41%
26	20,941	44.09%	26,557	55.91%
27	26,887	62.09%	16,416	37.91%
28	13,770	35.36%	25,168	64.64%
29	45,830	83.60%	8,992	16.40%
30	39,138	88.60%	5,034	11.40%
31	36,891	78.60%	10,043	21.40%
32	23,982	57.90%	17,436	42.10%
33	29,949	63.97%	16,870	36.03%
34	33,591	64.94%	18,135	35.06%
35	26,440	52.48%	23,941	47.52%
36	28,748	57.15%	21,553	42.85%
37	24,612	48.54%	26,097	51.46%
38	37,015	85.12%	6,469	14.88%
39	28,526	62.70%	16,972	37.30%
40	30,467	54.03%	25,923	45.97%
41	32,403	64.50%	17,831	35.50%
42	19,901	71.24%	8,036	28.76%
43	21,186	50.50%	20,769	49.50%
44	23,961	63.20%	13,950	36.80%
45	21,253	57.98%	15,402	42.02%
46	15,122	38.69%	23,962	61.31%
47	15,560	49.10%	16,131	50.90%
48	19,351	54.66%	16,050	45.34%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,711	68.30%	16,571	31.70%
50	29,315	58.43%	20,857	41.57%
51	16,035	41.66%	22,451	58.34%
52	18,531	43.86%	23,719	56.14%
53	17,340	36.75%	29,844	63.25%
54	27,902	54.25%	23,527	45.75%
55	16,955	42.32%	23,108	57.68%
56	39,082	86.13%	6,292	13.87%
57	30,235	58.15%	21,762	41.85%
58	30,956	73.16%	11,356	26.84%
59	25,690	51.94%	23,770	48.06%
60	25,763	62.99%	15,135	37.01%
61	32,770	80.49%	7,945	19.51%
62	27,583	51.15%	26,347	48.85%
63	21,097	53.41%	18,406	46.59%
64	19,028	41.84%	26,453	58.16%
65	16,993	36.19%	29,959	63.81%
66	29,527	71.43%	11,812	28.57%
67	13,617	29.89%	31,936	70.11%
68	19,809	39.50%	30,342	60.50%
69	17,742	36.35%	31,069	63.65%
70	10,984	25.40%	32,268	74.60%
71	27,235	70.16%	11,584	29.84%
72	28,922	73.49%	10,433	26.51%
73	20,355	41.62%	28,556	58.38%
74	25,199	47.62%	27,715	52.38%
75	20,059	44.92%	24,599	55.08%
76	17,449	40.33%	25,813	59.67%
77	12,878	25.44%	37,740	74.56%
78	13,348	26.97%	36,150	73.03%
79	19,302	39.33%	29,781	60.67%
80	11,715	26.51%	32,480	73.49%
81	12,815	29.41%	30,763	70.59%
82	21,640	49.35%	22,209	50.65%
83	16,655	37.09%	28,246	62.91%
84	15,091	34.88%	28,173	65.12%
85	13,778	28.37%	34,791	71.63%
86	14,313	32.58%	29,620	67.42%
87	12,840	28.63%	32,014	71.37%
88	27,964	66.66%	13,988	33.34%
89	12,742	27.15%	34,187	72.85%
90	10,024	26.17%	28,277	73.83%
91	14,960	31.44%	32,623	68.56%
92	27,574	68.72%	12,551	31.28%
93	21,849	43.95%	27,865	56.05%
94	12,050	25.08%	36,005	74.92%
95	17,037	35.61%	30,805	64.39%
96	16,976	38.34%	27,301	61.66%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,212	28.95%	34,874	71.05%
98	23,976	48.98%	24,978	51.02%
99	27,556	84.25%	5,151	15.75%
100	25,681	70.73%	10,629	29.27%
101	30,927	77.01%	9,235	22.99%
102	34,570	78.07%	9,712	21.93%
103	27,174	52.14%	24,947	47.86%
104	26,392	54.05%	22,441	45.95%
105	24,538	59.35%	16,808	40.65%
106	31,429	69.89%	13,538	30.11%
107	34,066	82.64%	7,157	17.36%
108	14,459	34.66%	27,257	65.34%
109	19,502	42.24%	26,666	57.76%
110	14,664	34.08%	28,366	65.92%
111	14,356	31.85%	30,723	68.15%
112	27,315	63.10%	15,971	36.90%
113	17,196	34.48%	32,675	65.52%
114	37,574	69.49%	16,496	30.51%
115	27,124	53.27%	23,793	46.73%
116	31,737	59.87%	21,273	40.13%
117	22,080	41.01%	31,766	58.99%
118	19,157	39.23%	29,674	60.77%
119	21,335	44.52%	26,589	55.48%
120	12,995	27.24%	34,703	72.76%
Total:	**2,710,775**	**50.13%**	**2,696,297**	**49.87%**

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12156-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,142	39.81%	28,946	60.19%
2	30,523	58.99%	21,224	41.01%
3	20,024	44.75%	24,720	55.25%
4	15,661	42.61%	21,091	57.39%
5	21,582	52.37%	19,632	47.63%
6	14,324	45.07%	17,457	54.93%
7	21,751	46.34%	25,187	53.66%
8	24,814	60.95%	15,898	39.05%
9	24,785	55.75%	19,673	44.25%
10	18,862	47.98%	20,450	52.02%
11	27,906	68.10%	13,072	31.90%
12	21,088	50.44%	20,721	49.56%
13	15,979	33.39%	31,882	66.61%
14	9,022	39.11%	14,046	60.89%
15	13,236	38.16%	21,452	61.84%
16	15,151	34.27%	29,059	65.73%
17	21,624	39.65%	32,913	60.35%
18	27,968	55.95%	22,018	44.05%
19	23,079	40.14%	34,423	59.86%
20	25,889	51.26%	24,612	48.74%
21	26,778	68.27%	12,445	31.73%
22	19,354	43.39%	25,249	56.61%
23	29,950	64.00%	16,849	36.00%
24	23,364	54.93%	19,168	45.07%
25	29,558	60.38%	19,399	39.62%
26	21,564	45.65%	25,675	54.35%
27	27,455	63.37%	15,871	36.63%
28	14,523	37.39%	24,319	62.61%
29	45,807	84.26%	8,557	15.74%
30	39,106	88.96%	4,851	11.04%
31	36,903	79.05%	9,780	20.95%
32	24,215	58.83%	16,944	41.17%
33	30,302	65.15%	16,207	34.85%
34	33,783	66.19%	17,258	33.81%
35	26,775	53.70%	23,084	46.30%
36	28,886	58.21%	20,735	41.79%
37	25,110	50.04%	25,071	49.96%
38	36,870	85.45%	6,279	14.55%
39	28,829	63.84%	16,327	36.16%
40	30,912	55.50%	24,790	44.50%
41	32,708	65.91%	16,918	34.09%
42	19,867	71.52%	7,911	28.48%
43	21,063	50.58%	20,583	49.42%
44	23,917	63.51%	13,743	36.49%
45	21,245	58.33%	15,178	41.67%
46	15,247	39.21%	23,639	60.79%
47	16,212	51.34%	15,363	48.66%
48	19,799	56.18%	15,444	43.82%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12157-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,772	69.32%	15,834	30.68%
50	29,163	58.63%	20,581	41.37%
51	16,327	42.72%	21,892	57.28%
52	18,859	45.04%	23,013	54.96%
53	17,952	38.24%	28,994	61.76%
54	28,174	55.32%	22,751	44.68%
55	16,676	41.88%	23,139	58.12%
56	38,774	86.27%	6,172	13.73%
57	30,431	59.29%	20,891	40.71%
58	30,860	73.44%	11,161	26.56%
59	25,636	52.33%	23,357	47.67%
60	25,795	63.56%	14,789	36.44%
61	32,777	81.16%	7,609	18.84%
62	27,816	52.29%	25,378	47.71%
63	20,977	53.47%	18,253	46.53%
64	18,819	41.73%	26,281	58.27%
65	16,900	36.32%	29,631	63.68%
66	29,594	72.18%	11,408	27.82%
67	13,384	29.49%	32,001	70.51%
68	19,549	39.58%	29,837	60.42%
69	17,408	36.08%	30,837	63.92%
70	10,872	25.31%	32,075	74.69%
71	27,608	71.97%	10,754	28.03%
72	29,537	76.13%	9,261	23.87%
73	20,127	41.58%	28,279	58.42%
74	25,733	49.36%	26,397	50.64%
75	20,251	45.86%	23,905	54.14%
76	17,171	39.96%	25,798	60.04%
77	13,031	25.96%	37,160	74.04%
78	13,314	27.17%	35,695	72.83%
79	20,180	41.37%	28,599	58.63%
80	11,752	26.72%	32,226	73.28%
81	13,129	30.30%	30,202	69.70%
82	21,410	49.30%	22,021	50.70%
83	16,452	36.97%	28,044	63.03%
84	15,211	35.29%	27,887	64.71%
85	13,685	28.59%	34,176	71.41%
86	14,098	32.33%	29,506	67.67%
87	12,479	28.05%	32,013	71.95%
88	27,880	67.31%	13,540	32.69%
89	12,461	26.71%	34,191	73.29%
90	10,135	26.71%	27,815	73.29%
91	15,133	32.12%	31,977	67.88%
92	27,448	68.95%	12,361	31.05%
93	21,640	44.20%	27,324	55.80%
94	11,764	24.75%	35,765	75.25%
95	17,079	36.03%	30,322	63.97%
96	16,768	38.10%	27,239	61.90%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor -12158-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	13,988	28.70%	34,749	71.30%
98	23,809	49.43%	24,362	50.57%
99	27,464	84.35%	5,096	15.65%
100	25,633	71.19%	10,375	28.81%
101	30,781	76.98%	9,203	23.02%
102	34,198	77.99%	9,651	22.01%
103	26,927	52.32%	24,539	47.68%
104	26,149	54.41%	21,910	45.59%
105	24,473	59.81%	16,446	40.19%
106	31,309	70.18%	13,305	29.82%
107	34,011	82.86%	7,035	17.14%
108	14,151	34.54%	26,823	65.46%
109	19,032	41.98%	26,301	58.02%
110	14,328	33.72%	28,162	66.28%
111	14,254	31.77%	30,606	68.23%
112	27,151	63.19%	15,814	36.81%
113	17,164	34.71%	32,287	65.29%
114	37,427	69.79%	16,198	30.21%
115	27,002	53.53%	23,439	46.47%
116	31,665	60.20%	20,936	39.80%
117	22,096	41.38%	31,308	58.62%
118	19,183	39.57%	29,292	60.43%
119	21,452	45.16%	26,054	54.84%
120	13,223	27.91%	34,149	72.09%
Total:	**2,727,373**	**50.89%**	**2,632,494**	**49.11%**

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,683	63.72%	17,469	36.28%
2	25,295	48.55%	26,801	51.45%
3	27,533	61.40%	17,307	38.60%
4	23,008	62.22%	13,973	37.78%
5	21,057	51.02%	20,215	48.98%
6	18,967	59.48%	12,921	40.52%
7	28,839	61.30%	18,203	38.70%
8	18,019	44.31%	22,647	55.69%
9	22,755	51.31%	21,597	48.69%
10	22,440	56.80%	17,065	43.20%
11	16,775	40.77%	24,375	59.23%
12	23,094	54.99%	18,905	45.01%
13	34,678	71.82%	13,608	28.18%
14	14,626	63.37%	8,455	36.63%
15	22,463	64.62%	12,297	35.38%
16	30,319	68.51%	13,936	31.49%
17	34,376	63.01%	20,183	36.99%
18	23,507	46.85%	26,665	53.15%
19	36,410	63.18%	21,220	36.82%
20	26,750	52.69%	24,018	47.31%
21	14,677	37.31%	24,656	62.69%
22	27,427	61.22%	17,377	38.78%
23	19,929	42.44%	27,025	57.56%
24	22,153	51.85%	20,570	48.15%
25	25,404	51.67%	23,764	48.33%
26	29,448	61.77%	18,222	38.23%
27	17,911	41.26%	25,494	58.74%
28	27,837	70.91%	11,419	29.09%
29	11,331	20.88%	42,938	79.12%
30	6,287	14.35%	37,540	85.65%
31	11,604	24.90%	34,999	75.10%
32	19,627	47.47%	21,721	52.53%
33	19,943	42.74%	26,723	57.26%
34	23,855	46.47%	27,475	53.53%
35	26,828	53.59%	23,237	46.41%
36	24,711	49.57%	25,137	50.43%
37	29,433	58.33%	21,029	41.67%
38	8,675	20.06%	34,579	79.94%
39	19,867	43.73%	25,565	56.27%
40	30,509	54.43%	25,539	45.57%
41	21,815	43.73%	28,065	56.27%
42	8,440	30.32%	19,399	69.68%
43	22,170	52.94%	19,708	47.06%
44	15,038	39.81%	22,734	60.19%
45	16,078	44.00%	20,467	56.00%
46	24,976	63.96%	14,074	36.04%
47	16,905	53.61%	14,631	46.39%
48	16,761	47.54%	18,498	52.46%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	21,129	40.75%	30,720	59.25%
50	23,987	47.95%	26,041	52.05%
51	24,815	64.41%	13,711	35.59%
52	25,163	59.88%	16,857	40.12%
53	32,704	69.14%	14,596	30.86%
54	26,368	51.45%	24,878	48.55%
55	24,182	60.60%	15,725	39.40%
56	8,093	18.05%	36,733	81.95%
57	25,224	48.67%	26,604	51.33%
58	12,888	30.53%	29,328	69.47%
59	26,967	54.34%	22,661	45.66%
60	16,695	40.94%	24,085	59.06%
61	9,802	24.16%	30,771	75.84%
62	29,231	54.46%	24,442	45.54%
63	20,185	51.12%	19,303	48.88%
64	29,025	63.84%	16,440	36.16%
65	32,616	69.49%	14,323	30.51%
66	14,320	34.79%	26,847	65.21%
67	34,043	74.51%	11,647	25.49%
68	30,801	62.30%	18,641	37.70%
69	31,946	66.15%	16,346	33.85%
70	33,966	78.53%	9,288	21.47%
71	12,287	31.96%	26,162	68.04%
72	10,946	28.21%	27,857	71.79%
73	30,103	61.96%	18,479	38.04%
74	29,448	56.23%	22,920	43.77%
75	25,946	58.46%	18,437	41.54%
76	27,716	64.36%	15,345	35.64%
77	40,057	79.28%	10,467	20.72%
78	38,320	77.55%	11,095	22.45%
79	31,210	63.84%	17,676	36.16%
80	34,335	77.70%	9,857	22.30%
81	32,710	75.18%	10,797	24.82%
82	23,770	54.58%	19,778	45.42%
83	29,543	66.31%	15,012	33.69%
84	29,614	68.39%	13,690	31.61%
85	36,185	74.82%	12,180	25.18%
86	30,950	70.83%	12,744	29.17%
87	33,620	75.46%	10,933	24.54%
88	15,167	36.50%	26,386	63.50%
89	35,615	76.12%	11,175	23.88%
90	29,920	78.21%	8,336	21.79%
91	34,297	72.41%	13,066	27.59%
92	12,900	32.37%	26,950	67.63%
93	29,898	60.50%	19,521	39.50%
94	37,981	79.30%	9,912	20.70%
95	31,479	66.37%	15,950	33.63%
96	28,731	65.13%	15,383	34.87%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,248	74.16%	12,633	25.84%
98	25,646	53.11%	22,640	46.89%
99	5,387	16.53%	27,207	83.47%
100	11,491	31.85%	24,586	68.15%
101	9,588	23.93%	30,472	76.07%
102	10,559	24.01%	33,418	75.99%
103	26,453	51.33%	25,084	48.67%
104	24,383	50.63%	23,774	49.37%
105	17,488	42.73%	23,443	57.27%
106	14,205	31.82%	30,441	68.18%
107	7,393	17.98%	33,732	82.02%
108	27,748	67.11%	13,598	32.89%
109	27,597	60.42%	18,076	39.58%
110	29,459	68.63%	13,466	31.37%
111	31,829	70.72%	13,178	29.28%
112	16,627	38.62%	26,430	61.38%
113	33,584	67.71%	16,013	32.29%
114	17,731	32.92%	36,127	67.08%
115	25,385	50.10%	25,283	49.90%
116	22,663	42.91%	30,155	57.09%
117	33,301	62.11%	20,317	37.89%
118	31,917	65.36%	16,915	34.64%
119	27,955	58.56%	19,779	41.44%
120	35,232	74.22%	12,236	25.78%
Total:	**2,898,000**	**53.85%**	**2,483,543**	**46.15%**

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	29,661	61.81%	18,328	38.19%
2	22,589	43.72%	29,084	56.28%
3	26,292	58.83%	18,402	41.17%
4	21,888	59.42%	14,951	40.58%
5	20,059	48.75%	21,087	51.25%
6	17,932	56.44%	13,841	43.56%
7	26,591	56.75%	20,266	43.25%
8	16,873	41.53%	23,755	58.47%
9	21,216	47.77%	23,194	52.23%
10	21,237	53.96%	18,117	46.04%
11	13,980	34.26%	26,824	65.74%
12	21,914	52.31%	19,981	47.69%
13	32,940	68.84%	14,907	31.16%
14	14,089	61.27%	8,905	38.73%
15	21,576	62.33%	13,038	37.67%
16	29,164	66.09%	14,964	33.91%
17	33,266	60.83%	21,422	39.17%
18	22,420	44.72%	27,718	55.28%
19	35,189	61.12%	22,389	38.88%
20	25,341	50.05%	25,293	49.95%
21	12,933	33.12%	26,112	66.88%
22	26,008	58.29%	18,610	41.71%
23	18,672	39.84%	28,196	60.16%
24	20,799	48.89%	21,745	51.11%
25	23,871	48.79%	25,056	51.21%
26	27,008	57.21%	20,203	42.79%
27	16,884	39.00%	26,411	61.00%
28	25,860	66.60%	12,970	33.40%
29	9,167	16.88%	45,127	83.12%
30	5,112	11.64%	38,789	88.36%
31	10,123	21.67%	36,588	78.33%
32	18,030	43.80%	23,139	56.20%
33	17,196	37.14%	29,109	62.86%
34	19,229	37.96%	31,432	62.04%
35	24,309	48.93%	25,373	51.07%
36	21,804	44.10%	27,640	55.90%
37	26,523	53.06%	23,465	46.94%
38	6,634	15.40%	36,449	84.60%
39	17,299	38.40%	27,751	61.60%
40	26,645	48.04%	28,822	51.96%
41	18,258	36.98%	31,109	63.02%
42	7,988	28.68%	19,863	71.32%
43	21,103	50.51%	20,675	49.49%
44	14,165	37.53%	23,582	62.47%
45	15,403	42.15%	21,139	57.85%
46	23,988	61.68%	14,905	38.32%
47	15,851	50.23%	15,705	49.77%
48	15,833	44.90%	19,428	55.10%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	17,146	33.38%	34,216	66.62%
50	21,557	43.32%	28,205	56.68%
51	22,894	60.07%	15,221	39.93%
52	23,813	56.95%	18,002	43.05%
53	30,437	64.80%	16,535	35.20%
54	24,003	47.05%	27,009	52.95%
55	23,566	59.14%	16,280	40.86%
56	6,570	14.63%	38,323	85.37%
57	22,070	43.03%	29,214	56.97%
58	11,394	27.10%	30,646	72.90%
59	24,085	49.10%	24,964	50.90%
60	15,290	37.69%	25,279	62.31%
61	8,051	19.96%	32,279	80.04%
62	26,569	50.00%	26,565	50.00%
63	18,718	47.65%	20,561	52.35%
64	26,981	59.75%	18,177	40.25%
65	30,328	65.17%	16,206	34.83%
66	12,115	29.64%	28,759	70.36%
67	32,803	72.24%	12,606	27.76%
68	30,359	61.51%	18,995	38.49%
69	31,164	64.64%	17,044	35.36%
70	32,525	75.87%	10,343	24.13%
71	11,069	28.91%	27,223	71.09%
72	9,822	25.40%	28,843	74.60%
73	28,911	59.86%	19,390	40.14%
74	27,293	52.47%	24,719	47.53%
75	24,498	55.50%	19,645	44.50%
76	26,439	61.54%	16,524	38.46%
77	38,257	76.14%	11,991	23.86%
78	36,487	74.53%	12,468	25.47%
79	29,599	60.71%	19,156	39.29%
80	32,969	74.92%	11,035	25.08%
81	31,147	71.93%	12,156	28.07%
82	22,570	52.12%	20,737	47.88%
83	28,579	64.31%	15,862	35.69%
84	28,564	66.16%	14,610	33.84%
85	35,844	73.95%	12,628	26.05%
86	30,191	69.09%	13,504	30.91%
87	32,625	73.27%	11,900	26.73%
88	14,287	34.51%	27,108	65.49%
89	34,796	74.56%	11,873	25.44%
90	28,543	75.11%	9,461	24.89%
91	32,649	69.30%	14,465	30.70%
92	12,489	31.33%	27,370	68.67%
93	28,279	57.62%	20,798	42.38%
94	36,567	76.80%	11,047	23.20%
95	30,835	65.17%	16,482	34.83%
96	27,868	63.29%	16,163	36.71%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,586	73.04%	13,133	26.96%
98	24,871	51.69%	23,241	48.31%
99	5,096	15.64%	27,484	84.36%
100	10,703	29.73%	25,300	70.27%
101	9,201	22.98%	30,833	77.02%
102	9,870	22.51%	33,986	77.49%
103	25,307	49.25%	26,075	50.75%
104	23,007	47.99%	24,938	52.01%
105	16,722	40.95%	24,115	59.05%
106	13,510	30.30%	31,077	69.70%
107	6,973	16.97%	34,108	83.03%
108	27,205	66.08%	13,965	33.92%
109	26,850	59.03%	18,635	40.97%
110	28,722	67.26%	13,978	32.74%
111	31,061	69.20%	13,827	30.80%
112	16,000	37.23%	26,981	62.77%
113	32,856	66.36%	16,659	33.64%
114	16,622	31.00%	37,004	69.00%
115	24,015	47.64%	26,393	52.36%
116	21,453	40.81%	31,115	59.19%
117	31,864	59.66%	21,546	40.34%
118	29,870	61.68%	18,554	38.32%
119	26,681	56.14%	20,844	43.86%
120	34,534	72.95%	12,808	27.05%
Total:	**2,723,106**	**50.82%**	**2,635,045**	**49.18%**

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,171	39.78%	29,017	60.22%
2	30,597	58.93%	21,327	41.07%
3	19,168	42.82%	25,598	57.18%
4	15,919	43.14%	20,979	56.86%
5	21,675	52.47%	19,631	47.53%
6	14,840	46.54%	17,045	53.46%
7	21,809	46.32%	25,274	53.68%
8	24,457	60.09%	16,245	39.91%
9	24,189	54.38%	20,293	45.62%
10	19,224	48.71%	20,243	51.29%
11	27,967	68.02%	13,148	31.98%
12	21,082	50.27%	20,859	49.73%
13	15,934	33.20%	32,063	66.80%
14	9,004	38.90%	14,144	61.10%
15	13,452	38.57%	21,426	61.43%
16	15,338	34.57%	29,028	65.43%
17	22,005	40.03%	32,972	59.97%
18	28,496	56.57%	21,877	43.43%
19	23,487	40.50%	34,506	59.50%
20	26,593	52.19%	24,363	47.81%
21	26,948	68.43%	12,432	31.57%
22	20,046	44.77%	24,733	55.23%
23	29,408	62.65%	17,532	37.35%
24	23,231	54.42%	19,458	45.58%
25	26,740	54.49%	22,331	45.51%
26	21,647	45.70%	25,722	54.30%
27	27,367	63.02%	16,059	36.98%
28	15,154	38.82%	23,887	61.18%
29	45,982	84.31%	8,559	15.69%
30	39,278	89.24%	4,737	10.76%
31	37,082	79.21%	9,732	20.79%
32	24,710	59.79%	16,620	40.21%
33	30,348	65.12%	16,254	34.88%
34	34,102	66.41%	17,245	33.59%
35	26,782	53.47%	23,310	46.53%
36	28,840	57.91%	20,964	42.09%
37	25,067	49.82%	25,250	50.18%
38	37,182	85.87%	6,120	14.13%
39	29,042	64.05%	16,303	35.95%
40	30,965	55.30%	25,034	44.70%
41	32,619	65.44%	17,224	34.56%
42	20,107	72.10%	7,779	27.90%
43	21,477	51.29%	20,394	48.71%
44	24,431	64.48%	13,456	35.52%
45	21,584	58.95%	15,027	41.05%
46	15,819	40.56%	23,183	59.44%
47	16,691	52.80%	14,919	47.20%
48	19,968	56.51%	15,366	43.49%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,957	69.36%	15,886	30.64%
50	29,407	58.83%	20,578	41.17%
51	16,904	44.02%	21,495	55.98%
52	19,192	45.66%	22,840	54.34%
53	18,739	39.76%	28,388	60.24%
54	28,237	55.20%	22,914	44.80%
55	16,938	42.35%	23,056	57.65%
56	38,953	86.41%	6,126	13.59%
57	30,567	59.28%	20,999	40.72%
58	31,136	73.89%	11,003	26.11%
59	26,011	52.89%	23,172	47.11%
60	26,028	64.00%	14,640	36.00%
61	32,994	81.44%	7,519	18.56%
62	27,983	52.38%	25,438	47.62%
63	21,347	54.16%	18,065	45.84%
64	19,393	42.78%	25,935	57.22%
65	17,342	37.14%	29,355	62.86%
66	29,731	72.22%	11,438	27.78%
67	13,906	30.59%	31,546	69.41%
68	19,792	39.76%	29,991	60.24%
69	17,689	36.41%	30,892	63.59%
70	11,133	25.85%	31,936	74.15%
71	27,723	72.24%	10,655	27.76%
72	29,636	76.43%	9,137	23.57%
73	20,379	41.97%	28,174	58.03%
74	25,943	49.66%	26,296	50.34%
75	20,434	46.15%	23,840	53.85%
76	17,658	40.96%	25,456	59.04%
77	13,265	26.34%	37,097	73.66%
78	13,516	27.46%	35,705	72.54%
79	20,248	41.35%	28,719	58.65%
80	11,891	26.97%	32,191	73.03%
81	13,161	30.30%	30,277	69.70%
82	21,588	49.56%	21,968	50.44%
83	16,631	37.29%	27,974	62.71%
84	15,380	35.61%	27,812	64.39%
85	14,056	29.14%	34,174	70.86%
86	14,649	33.49%	29,090	66.51%
87	12,889	28.89%	31,732	71.11%
88	28,053	67.32%	13,616	32.68%
89	12,708	27.19%	34,038	72.81%
90	10,419	27.32%	27,714	72.68%
91	15,439	32.66%	31,829	67.34%
92	27,764	69.44%	12,216	30.56%
93	22,259	45.16%	27,025	54.84%
94	12,233	25.64%	35,482	74.36%
95	17,155	36.15%	30,297	63.85%
96	17,092	38.72%	27,045	61.28%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,388	29.45%	34,460	70.55%
98	24,133	49.77%	24,356	50.23%
99	27,675	84.82%	4,953	15.18%
100	25,926	71.63%	10,270	28.37%
101	31,131	77.57%	9,000	22.43%
102	34,568	78.49%	9,474	21.51%
103	27,144	52.49%	24,567	47.51%
104	26,534	54.83%	21,861	45.17%
105	24,642	60.01%	16,418	39.99%
106	31,541	70.48%	13,212	29.52%
107	34,318	83.36%	6,849	16.64%
108	14,446	35.08%	26,740	64.92%
109	19,543	42.87%	26,047	57.13%
110	14,726	34.48%	27,977	65.52%
111	14,640	32.56%	30,319	67.44%
112	27,435	63.67%	15,652	36.33%
113	17,556	35.39%	32,058	64.61%
114	37,603	69.87%	16,212	30.13%
115	27,120	53.65%	23,431	46.35%
116	31,837	60.42%	20,853	39.58%
117	22,382	41.76%	31,220	58.24%
118	19,607	40.36%	28,977	59.64%
119	21,805	45.67%	25,935	54.33%
120	13,491	28.39%	34,035	71.61%
Total:	**2,752,763**	**51.17%**	**2,627,315**	**48.83%**

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer12168-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,250	63.28%	17,551	36.72%
2	23,297	45.30%	28,129	54.70%
3	26,733	60.23%	17,652	39.77%
4	22,131	60.51%	14,442	39.49%
5	20,833	50.85%	20,136	49.15%
6	18,451	58.32%	13,187	41.68%
7	27,236	58.30%	19,482	41.70%
8	17,641	43.61%	22,808	56.39%
9	21,930	49.60%	22,284	50.40%
10	21,703	55.47%	17,421	44.53%
11	15,181	37.18%	25,655	62.82%
12	22,520	53.96%	19,211	46.04%
13	33,223	69.71%	14,434	30.29%
14	14,480	63.04%	8,490	36.96%
15	22,150	64.16%	12,375	35.84%
16	29,792	67.67%	14,235	32.33%
17	33,795	62.30%	20,453	37.70%
18	23,774	47.72%	26,043	52.28%
19	35,921	62.78%	21,296	37.22%
20	26,462	52.64%	23,805	47.36%
21	13,821	35.30%	25,336	64.70%
22	26,117	58.88%	18,241	41.12%
23	19,309	41.40%	27,336	58.60%
24	21,389	50.47%	20,992	49.53%
25	24,536	50.34%	24,204	49.66%
26	27,536	58.77%	19,316	41.23%
27	17,456	40.48%	25,668	59.52%
28	25,952	67.32%	12,600	32.68%
29	10,721	19.78%	43,489	80.22%
30	6,284	14.36%	37,478	85.64%
31	11,588	24.92%	34,920	75.08%
32	18,831	45.89%	22,206	54.11%
33	18,557	40.03%	27,799	59.97%
34	20,752	40.76%	30,165	59.24%
35	25,466	51.21%	24,258	48.79%
36	23,169	46.81%	26,325	53.19%
37	27,595	55.17%	22,423	44.83%
38	7,953	18.46%	35,124	81.54%
39	18,561	41.18%	26,512	58.82%
40	28,010	50.39%	27,571	49.61%
41	19,694	39.79%	29,797	60.21%
42	8,966	32.34%	18,757	67.66%
43	21,699	52.17%	19,895	47.83%
44	15,148	40.34%	22,404	59.66%
45	16,350	44.96%	20,015	55.04%
46	24,168	62.42%	14,553	37.58%
47	16,341	52.19%	14,968	47.81%
48	16,560	47.21%	18,519	52.79%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer12169-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	18,971	36.82%	32,559	63.18%
50	22,177	44.71%	27,420	55.29%
51	23,415	61.62%	14,586	38.38%
52	24,572	59.03%	17,051	40.97%
53	30,726	65.76%	15,999	34.24%
54	24,624	48.51%	26,138	51.49%
55	23,959	60.39%	15,713	39.61%
56	7,818	17.44%	37,002	82.56%
57	22,630	44.09%	28,701	55.91%
58	12,247	29.15%	29,773	70.85%
59	24,350	49.67%	24,670	50.33%
60	15,870	39.12%	24,701	60.88%
61	9,067	22.47%	31,277	77.53%
62	27,032	50.74%	26,239	49.26%
63	19,029	48.45%	20,245	51.55%
64	27,133	60.06%	18,041	39.94%
65	30,302	65.18%	16,191	34.82%
66	13,255	32.42%	27,634	67.58%
67	32,736	72.48%	12,427	27.52%
68	31,004	62.98%	18,222	37.02%
69	31,782	66.10%	16,301	33.90%
70	32,229	75.08%	10,699	24.92%
71	12,480	32.55%	25,859	67.45%
72	11,401	29.38%	27,409	70.62%
73	29,773	61.77%	18,425	38.23%
74	28,498	54.53%	23,762	45.47%
75	25,016	56.58%	19,194	43.42%
76	26,817	62.78%	15,902	37.22%
77	38,103	75.86%	12,128	24.14%
78	36,311	74.23%	12,606	25.77%
79	30,349	62.48%	18,224	37.52%
80	32,546	73.95%	11,465	26.05%
81	30,913	71.27%	12,463	28.73%
82	23,711	54.89%	19,486	45.11%
83	28,905	65.31%	15,354	34.69%
84	28,940	67.40%	13,998	32.60%
85	34,778	72.78%	13,005	27.22%
86	30,299	69.77%	13,127	30.23%
87	32,945	74.33%	11,376	25.67%
88	15,939	38.53%	25,434	61.47%
89	35,124	75.44%	11,432	24.56%
90	28,491	74.99%	9,501	25.01%
91	32,877	69.65%	14,323	30.35%
92	13,684	34.45%	26,033	65.55%
93	28,743	58.89%	20,066	41.11%
94	36,191	76.23%	11,288	23.77%
95	31,506	66.97%	15,536	33.03%
96	28,589	65.06%	15,356	34.94%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer12170-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,799	73.96%	12,602	26.04%
98	26,182	54.56%	21,805	45.44%
99	6,304	19.42%	26,161	80.58%
100	12,064	33.59%	23,851	66.41%
101	10,512	26.32%	29,430	73.68%
102	11,552	26.40%	32,209	73.60%
103	26,978	52.58%	24,331	47.42%
104	24,584	51.35%	23,295	48.65%
105	18,032	44.23%	22,739	55.77%
106	14,912	33.53%	29,557	66.47%
107	8,429	20.57%	32,539	79.43%
108	27,528	67.21%	13,429	32.79%
109	27,442	60.58%	17,854	39.42%
110	28,763	67.73%	13,705	32.27%
111	31,181	69.72%	13,545	30.28%
112	17,279	40.32%	25,574	59.68%
113	32,990	66.97%	16,271	33.03%
114	17,867	33.46%	35,531	66.54%
115	25,053	49.88%	25,173	50.12%
116	22,504	42.99%	29,840	57.01%
117	32,774	61.49%	20,530	38.51%
118	30,445	63.07%	17,828	36.93%
119	27,499	57.99%	19,918	42.01%
120	34,641	73.39%	12,563	26.61%
Total:	**2,809,203**	**52.57%**	**2,534,581**	**47.43%**

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-12171-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
1	25,434	59.77%	15,567	36.59%	1,181	2.78%	368	0.86%
2	20,571	43.45%	24,882	52.55%	1,222	2.58%	671	1.42%
3	24,225	59.12%	15,352	37.46%	1,025	2.50%	375	0.92%
4	20,288	58.32%	13,820	39.73%	493	1.42%	184	0.53%
5	17,620	46.59%	19,115	50.54%	826	2.18%	258	0.68%
6	13,884	55.88%	9,911	39.89%	782	3.15%	267	1.07%
7	21,273	54.99%	15,913	41.14%	1,025	2.65%	471	1.22%
8	16,023	40.27%	22,265	55.96%	1,102	2.77%	396	1.00%
9	19,624	48.34%	19,463	47.94%	1,058	2.61%	454	1.12%
10	19,472	52.96%	16,234	44.16%	730	1.99%	328	0.89%
11	13,260	33.09%	24,243	60.50%	1,767	4.41%	802	2.00%
12	20,836	52.78%	17,864	45.26%	543	1.38%	231	0.59%
13	30,060	68.66%	12,213	27.90%	1,093	2.50%	414	0.95%
14	12,067	62.30%	6,420	33.15%	664	3.43%	218	1.13%
15	19,092	64.04%	9,469	31.76%	979	3.28%	273	0.92%
16	23,605	65.86%	10,978	30.63%	966	2.70%	290	0.81%
17	26,027	61.35%	14,993	35.34%	1,025	2.42%	376	0.89%
18	19,439	44.28%	22,351	50.92%	1,489	3.39%	618	1.41%
19	28,577	61.31%	16,130	34.61%	1,349	2.89%	553	1.19%
20	24,003	50.76%	20,761	43.91%	1,803	3.81%	718	1.52%
21	10,400	33.42%	19,136	61.49%	1,066	3.43%	518	1.66%
22	23,395	55.93%	17,602	42.08%	605	1.45%	227	0.54%
23	17,584	38.18%	27,744	60.23%	517	1.12%	215	0.47%
24	19,102	47.15%	20,410	50.38%	711	1.76%	286	0.71%
25	21,751	48.02%	22,488	49.65%	706	1.56%	349	0.77%
26	21,236	59.58%	12,885	36.15%	1,118	3.14%	406	1.14%
27	15,717	35.38%	27,991	63.01%	520	1.17%	192	0.43%
28	22,101	66.15%	10,390	31.10%	683	2.04%	238	0.71%
29	7,395	15.09%	39,315	80.23%	1,405	2.87%	885	1.81%
30	4,066	9.65%	36,581	86.82%	843	2.00%	643	1.53%
31	7,422	20.29%	27,705	75.75%	936	2.56%	509	1.39%
32	16,018	41.05%	22,099	56.64%	642	1.65%	257	0.66%
33	14,972	37.32%	23,377	58.28%	1,247	3.11%	517	1.29%
34	16,449	34.95%	27,839	59.16%	1,830	3.89%	940	2.00%
35	19,218	49.16%	17,640	45.12%	1,365	3.49%	873	2.23%
36	16,118	43.96%	18,125	49.44%	1,734	4.73%	685	1.87%
37	21,167	52.90%	16,588	41.45%	1,584	3.96%	678	1.69%
38	5,162	13.11%	32,780	83.25%	904	2.30%	530	1.35%
39	14,451	39.44%	20,568	56.14%	1,103	3.01%	514	1.40%
40	23,053	46.28%	23,899	47.97%	1,939	3.89%	926	1.86%
41	16,770	37.01%	25,741	56.81%	1,917	4.23%	881	1.94%
42	6,801	28.06%	16,475	67.97%	688	2.84%	276	1.14%
43	18,144	48.98%	17,677	47.72%	878	2.37%	343	0.93%
44	13,255	37.35%	20,906	58.91%	970	2.73%	357	1.01%
45	13,064	42.51%	16,546	53.84%	837	2.72%	287	0.93%
46	21,277	60.38%	13,305	37.75%	509	1.44%	150	0.43%
47	13,641	47.00%	14,577	50.23%	592	2.04%	211	0.73%
48	14,006	43.57%	17,044	53.02%	831	2.59%	266	0.83%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-12172-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
49	14,641	31.85%	28,547	62.09%	1,878	4.08%	908	1.98%
50	18,340	41.54%	24,088	54.56%	1,191	2.70%	532	1.20%
51	19,456	57.96%	12,925	38.51%	904	2.69%	282	0.84%
52	21,073	54.95%	15,958	41.61%	971	2.53%	345	0.90%
53	24,764	65.04%	11,918	31.30%	1,016	2.67%	379	1.00%
54	19,421	45.00%	21,973	50.91%	1,190	2.76%	573	1.33%
55	20,308	55.54%	15,092	41.28%	827	2.26%	335	0.92%
56	6,241	12.67%	40,622	82.48%	1,427	2.90%	962	1.95%
57	19,637	42.04%	24,938	53.38%	1,393	2.98%	747	1.60%
58	10,579	26.46%	28,017	70.07%	899	2.25%	490	1.23%
59	21,469	51.71%	18,704	45.05%	944	2.27%	405	0.98%
60	14,190	37.93%	21,923	58.60%	916	2.45%	381	1.02%
61	7,658	18.02%	33,174	78.06%	1,045	2.46%	623	1.47%
62	24,283	50.07%	22,002	45.36%	1,534	3.16%	681	1.40%
63	15,881	48.28%	15,896	48.33%	814	2.47%	300	0.91%
64	22,923	59.93%	13,933	36.43%	981	2.56%	414	1.08%
65	26,834	63.46%	14,231	33.65%	871	2.06%	349	0.83%
66	10,417	28.64%	24,250	66.68%	1,126	3.10%	574	1.58%
67	29,091	70.18%	11,244	27.12%	867	2.09%	252	0.61%
68	24,117	62.12%	12,779	32.92%	1,366	3.52%	562	1.45%
69	26,755	65.53%	12,320	30.17%	1,263	3.09%	493	1.21%
70	29,047	75.02%	8,443	21.80%	875	2.26%	356	0.92%
71	10,021	27.64%	24,547	67.71%	1,138	3.14%	548	1.51%
72	8,538	22.51%	27,821	73.34%	1,015	2.68%	562	1.48%
73	24,580	63.08%	12,691	32.57%	1,212	3.11%	481	1.23%
74	23,964	52.46%	19,380	42.42%	1,568	3.43%	771	1.69%
75	21,607	55.85%	15,555	40.20%	1,140	2.95%	388	1.00%
76	23,657	60.02%	14,395	36.52%	939	2.38%	425	1.08%
77	33,328	74.63%	9,728	21.78%	1,126	2.52%	473	1.06%
78	32,128	73.45%	10,258	23.45%	965	2.21%	389	0.89%
79	26,874	60.42%	16,221	36.47%	980	2.20%	400	0.90%
80	28,541	74.15%	8,737	22.70%	920	2.39%	291	0.76%
81	25,760	70.85%	9,377	25.79%	939	2.58%	280	0.77%
82	20,222	54.39%	15,494	41.67%	1,032	2.78%	433	1.16%
83	24,311	66.06%	11,035	29.99%	1,023	2.78%	432	1.17%
84	24,634	65.32%	11,930	31.63%	807	2.14%	344	0.91%
85	31,444	72.25%	10,658	24.49%	910	2.09%	510	1.17%
86	26,224	67.41%	11,248	28.91%	988	2.54%	443	1.14%
87	28,001	71.92%	9,517	24.44%	932	2.39%	484	1.24%
88	9,663	27.93%	22,803	65.90%	1,527	4.41%	607	1.75%
89	29,515	72.81%	9,661	23.83%	988	2.44%	371	0.92%
90	28,262	74.71%	8,363	22.11%	850	2.25%	352	0.93%
91	28,993	68.49%	11,819	27.92%	1,084	2.56%	436	1.03%
92	9,451	31.52%	19,122	63.77%	1,027	3.43%	384	1.28%
93	25,529	55.38%	17,851	38.73%	1,713	3.72%	1,001	2.17%
94	32,921	75.21%	9,508	21.72%	967	2.21%	379	0.87%
95	24,610	65.95%	11,029	29.56%	1,203	3.22%	473	1.27%
96	24,419	61.86%	13,347	33.81%	1,161	2.94%	545	1.38%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - US President-12173-

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
97	28,706	71.96%	9,865	24.73%	970	2.43%	348	0.87%
98	20,518	51.08%	17,463	43.47%	1,568	3.90%	621	1.55%
99	4,921	16.22%	24,287	80.06%	706	2.33%	423	1.39%
100	8,498	26.95%	21,439	67.99%	1,058	3.36%	538	1.71%
101	7,726	22.69%	25,149	73.87%	774	2.27%	397	1.17%
102	6,479	18.28%	27,045	76.32%	1,367	3.86%	544	1.54%
103	21,333	48.57%	20,156	45.89%	1,604	3.65%	830	1.89%
104	19,145	44.49%	21,275	49.44%	1,657	3.85%	953	2.21%
105	14,702	41.66%	18,863	53.45%	1,213	3.44%	510	1.45%
106	11,535	32.49%	22,278	62.76%	1,150	3.24%	536	1.51%
107	6,460	18.46%	27,360	78.16%	739	2.11%	444	1.27%
108	23,526	68.69%	9,491	27.71%	893	2.61%	339	0.99%
109	23,563	59.20%	14,589	36.65%	1,158	2.91%	494	1.24%
110	24,995	65.27%	12,329	32.19%	695	1.81%	278	0.73%
111	27,488	68.35%	11,602	28.85%	742	1.85%	383	0.95%
112	13,484	37.68%	20,861	58.29%	982	2.74%	459	1.28%
113	29,028	66.04%	13,341	30.35%	1,023	2.33%	560	1.27%
114	15,171	31.05%	30,891	63.22%	1,434	2.93%	1,364	2.79%
115	21,354	48.95%	19,894	45.61%	1,452	3.33%	920	2.11%
116	19,193	41.30%	24,662	53.07%	1,401	3.02%	1,211	2.61%
117	28,197	60.46%	16,347	35.05%	1,356	2.91%	738	1.58%
118	25,726	61.23%	14,406	34.29%	1,241	2.95%	644	1.53%
119	23,870	56.13%	16,402	38.57%	1,462	3.44%	793	1.86%
120	30,694	72.91%	9,872	23.45%	1,030	2.45%	501	1.19%
Total:	**2,359,749**	**49.90%**	**2,180,316**	**46.10%**	**129,929**	**2.75%**	**59,397**	**1.26%**

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
1	24,488	59.44%	15,586	37.83%	1,123	2.73%
2	21,400	46.23%	23,840	51.50%	1,047	2.26%
3	23,975	60.04%	14,961	37.47%	996	2.49%
4	19,859	58.75%	13,377	39.57%	567	1.68%
5	16,951	46.24%	18,868	51.47%	839	2.29%
6	13,737	56.25%	9,893	40.51%	793	3.25%
7	21,464	56.36%	15,703	41.24%	914	2.40%
8	16,230	42.07%	21,306	55.23%	1,041	2.70%
9	20,150	50.73%	18,620	46.88%	948	2.39%
10	19,427	54.26%	15,654	43.72%	722	2.02%
11	15,043	38.64%	22,291	57.26%	1,593	4.09%
12	20,258	52.55%	17,663	45.82%	629	1.63%
13	29,299	68.68%	12,140	28.46%	1,223	2.87%
14	11,525	61.93%	6,253	33.60%	832	4.47%
15	18,065	63.16%	9,371	32.77%	1,164	4.07%
16	23,214	66.94%	10,429	30.07%	1,034	2.98%
17	25,555	62.30%	14,392	35.09%	1,073	2.62%
18	19,633	46.38%	21,128	49.91%	1,571	3.71%
19	28,460	62.93%	15,288	33.80%	1,479	3.27%
20	24,528	53.99%	18,983	41.79%	1,916	4.22%
21	11,856	39.19%	17,413	57.56%	983	3.25%
22	22,328	55.10%	17,532	43.27%	661	1.63%
23	16,999	37.88%	27,264	60.75%	617	1.37%
24	19,168	48.08%	20,048	50.29%	649	1.63%
25	21,870	48.89%	22,222	49.67%	645	1.44%
26	21,576	61.79%	12,341	35.34%	1,003	2.87%
27	15,277	35.05%	27,754	63.67%	560	1.28%
28	22,053	67.36%	9,970	30.45%	717	2.19%
29	9,547	19.83%	37,359	77.60%	1,239	2.57%
30	5,167	12.59%	34,875	84.97%	1,000	2.44%
31	8,060	22.38%	26,932	74.79%	1,018	2.83%
32	15,711	41.22%	21,701	56.94%	703	1.84%
33	15,509	39.25%	22,892	57.93%	1,115	2.82%
34	19,281	41.69%	25,518	55.17%	1,453	3.14%
35	21,146	55.07%	16,200	42.19%	1,055	2.75%
36	18,027	50.56%	16,401	46.00%	1,224	3.43%
37	22,375	57.09%	15,562	39.71%	1,253	3.20%
38	5,969	15.43%	31,633	81.79%	1,075	2.78%
39	15,019	41.65%	20,116	55.78%	928	2.57%
40	26,152	53.35%	21,460	43.78%	1,407	2.87%
41	18,827	42.65%	23,693	53.67%	1,628	3.69%
42	6,845	29.04%	15,849	67.25%	873	3.70%
43	17,848	49.54%	17,162	47.64%	1,015	2.82%
44	13,274	38.48%	20,117	58.32%	1,104	3.20%
45	12,765	42.71%	15,995	53.52%	1,128	3.77%
46	19,454	57.61%	13,696	40.56%	620	1.84%
47	11,580	42.24%	15,239	55.59%	595	2.17%
48	12,997	42.19%	16,854	54.70%	958	3.11%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
49	17,049	37.95%	26,230	58.38%	1,648	3.67%
50	19,148	44.27%	23,075	53.34%	1,034	2.39%
51	19,218	58.70%	12,676	38.72%	846	2.58%
52	20,297	54.96%	15,597	42.23%	1,037	2.81%
53	24,877	66.43%	11,676	31.18%	898	2.40%
54	20,283	47.78%	21,180	49.89%	988	2.33%
55	20,466	57.51%	14,366	40.37%	754	2.12%
56	8,362	17.49%	37,956	79.40%	1,487	3.11%
57	20,992	45.99%	23,368	51.19%	1,287	2.82%
58	10,855	27.77%	27,100	69.33%	1,131	2.89%
59	21,456	52.69%	18,253	44.83%	1,011	2.48%
60	14,378	39.64%	20,805	57.35%	1,093	3.01%
61	8,376	20.30%	31,537	76.43%	1,348	3.27%
62	25,402	53.77%	20,461	43.31%	1,379	2.92%
63	15,939	49.51%	15,326	47.60%	930	2.89%
64	23,453	62.54%	12,919	34.45%	1,131	3.02%
65	25,691	62.43%	14,470	35.16%	992	2.41%
66	11,519	32.24%	23,139	64.77%	1,067	2.99%
67	28,404	70.19%	11,091	27.41%	971	2.40%
68	25,761	68.17%	10,901	28.85%	1,128	2.98%
69	27,427	69.06%	11,105	27.96%	1,180	2.97%
70	28,456	75.24%	8,383	22.17%	980	2.59%
71	10,682	30.27%	23,437	66.41%	1,172	3.32%
72	10,019	27.12%	26,008	70.40%	914	2.47%
73	24,910	65.50%	11,950	31.42%	1,170	3.08%
74	25,766	57.67%	17,714	39.64%	1,202	2.69%
75	21,759	57.55%	14,961	39.57%	1,092	2.89%
76	23,329	60.76%	13,938	36.30%	1,131	2.95%
77	33,021	75.76%	9,480	21.75%	1,085	2.49%
78	31,935	74.56%	9,962	23.26%	935	2.18%
79	25,801	59.85%	16,271	37.74%	1,037	2.41%
80	27,918	74.40%	8,690	23.16%	914	2.44%
81	25,271	71.25%	9,224	26.01%	972	2.74%
82	20,516	56.57%	14,571	40.18%	1,179	3.25%
83	24,420	67.96%	10,396	28.93%	1,115	3.10%
84	24,335	66.21%	11,435	31.11%	982	2.67%
85	29,670	70.55%	11,206	26.65%	1,179	2.80%
86	25,656	67.66%	11,334	29.89%	930	2.45%
87	27,948	73.42%	9,193	24.15%	925	2.43%
88	12,228	36.58%	19,905	59.55%	1,293	3.87%
89	29,224	74.14%	9,096	23.08%	1,098	2.79%
90	27,047	73.74%	8,794	23.98%	838	2.28%
91	28,207	68.20%	11,948	28.89%	1,204	2.91%
92	10,241	35.20%	17,665	60.72%	1,186	4.08%
93	25,170	56.80%	17,315	39.08%	1,827	4.12%
94	31,986	75.15%	9,585	22.52%	991	2.33%
95	24,812	68.71%	10,031	27.78%	1,267	3.51%
96	24,809	64.52%	12,425	32.31%	1,217	3.17%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
97	28,590	73.46%	9,253	23.77%	1,077	2.77%
98	22,451	57.69%	15,145	38.92%	1,322	3.40%
99	5,366	18.17%	23,183	78.49%	987	3.34%
100	9,874	32.21%	19,590	63.90%	1,192	3.89%
101	8,161	24.58%	23,985	72.24%	1,058	3.19%
102	7,862	22.93%	24,889	72.61%	1,529	4.46%
103	24,320	56.67%	17,345	40.42%	1,248	2.91%
104	23,090	54.83%	17,792	42.25%	1,228	2.92%
105	16,519	48.19%	16,556	48.30%	1,203	3.51%
106	12,783	36.81%	20,794	59.88%	1,149	3.31%
107	6,991	20.43%	26,233	76.66%	997	2.91%
108	23,612	70.50%	8,928	26.66%	953	2.85%
109	24,523	62.99%	13,360	34.32%	1,049	2.69%
110	24,629	65.81%	12,045	32.18%	751	2.01%
111	26,736	68.18%	11,577	29.52%	900	2.30%
112	14,220	40.63%	19,653	56.15%	1,128	3.22%
113	28,049	65.69%	13,406	31.40%	1,243	2.91%
114	14,921	31.33%	30,582	64.21%	2,127	4.47%
115	21,141	49.81%	19,654	46.31%	1,647	3.88%
116	18,494	40.96%	24,757	54.83%	1,902	4.21%
117	28,593	62.75%	15,634	34.31%	1,343	2.95%
118	24,010	58.91%	15,207	37.31%	1,540	3.78%
119	22,955	55.48%	16,811	40.63%	1,611	3.89%
120	28,299	69.92%	10,904	26.94%	1,271	3.14%
Total:	**2,390,619**	**51.88%**	**2,084,975**	**45.25%**	**132,360**	**2.87%**

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)
Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.
District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	17,878	37.69%	29,062	61.26%
2	28,517	55.50%	22,337	43.47%
3	17,892	40.36%	25,947	58.53%
4	14,734	40.23%	21,618	59.02%
5	20,586	50.44%	19,851	48.64%
6	13,158	42.74%	17,200	55.87%
7	19,634	42.88%	25,635	55.99%
8	23,367	57.49%	16,819	41.38%
9	22,480	51.16%	21,009	47.81%
10	17,815	45.60%	20,882	53.45%
11	26,465	64.39%	13,979	34.01%
12	19,567	47.03%	21,728	52.23%
13	14,552	30.56%	32,511	68.28%
14	8,339	36.81%	13,901	61.36%
15	12,254	35.83%	21,391	62.54%
16	14,057	32.58%	28,542	66.16%
17	20,441	38.44%	32,188	60.53%
18	26,687	53.98%	22,040	44.58%
19	21,514	38.24%	34,079	60.57%
20	24,590	48.66%	25,174	49.81%
21	25,069	65.69%	12,662	33.18%
22	18,501	41.75%	25,480	57.50%
23	28,170	60.16%	18,360	39.21%
24	21,702	51.23%	20,332	48.00%
25	25,138	51.83%	23,010	47.44%
26	19,096	41.81%	26,017	56.96%
27	26,710	61.29%	16,595	38.08%
28	12,779	33.46%	25,039	65.56%
29	44,120	82.10%	9,037	16.82%
30	38,299	87.48%	5,043	11.52%
31	34,895	77.22%	9,803	21.69%
32	23,062	56.27%	17,584	42.91%
33	28,124	61.60%	16,949	37.12%
34	31,332	61.71%	18,763	36.95%
35	24,288	50.13%	23,552	48.61%
36	26,283	54.86%	20,977	43.79%
37	22,607	46.27%	25,574	52.34%
38	35,699	83.54%	6,556	15.34%
39	26,555	60.33%	16,947	38.50%
40	28,471	51.60%	26,022	47.16%
41	30,418	61.72%	18,149	36.83%
42	19,060	69.75%	7,853	28.74%
43	20,063	48.82%	20,575	50.06%
44	22,998	61.32%	14,002	37.33%
45	20,179	56.57%	15,021	42.11%
46	14,572	37.87%	23,624	61.40%
47	15,359	48.95%	15,758	50.22%
48	18,838	54.01%	15,623	44.79%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	33,436	65.46%	16,925	33.14%
50	27,650	56.29%	20,989	42.73%
51	15,019	39.84%	22,256	59.04%
52	17,767	42.71%	23,355	56.15%
53	15,974	34.90%	29,298	64.00%
54	26,361	52.69%	23,187	46.35%
55	16,086	40.75%	23,007	58.28%
56	38,668	84.31%	6,674	14.55%
57	28,761	56.37%	21,665	42.47%
58	30,038	71.70%	11,381	27.17%
59	23,969	49.80%	23,676	49.19%
60	24,698	61.32%	15,102	37.50%
61	32,333	79.01%	8,083	19.75%
62	26,152	49.44%	26,138	49.41%
63	19,782	51.54%	18,206	47.44%
64	17,616	39.80%	26,192	59.18%
65	16,075	34.86%	29,587	64.16%
66	27,994	69.17%	11,950	29.53%
67	12,635	28.07%	31,930	70.94%
68	18,216	37.84%	29,390	61.05%
69	16,317	34.43%	30,534	64.44%
70	10,228	24.07%	31,826	74.91%
71	26,652	69.74%	11,052	28.92%
72	28,721	73.84%	9,729	25.01%
73	18,398	39.00%	28,195	59.77%
74	24,083	46.77%	26,783	52.01%
75	19,046	43.75%	23,969	55.06%
76	16,279	38.23%	25,802	60.59%
77	11,929	24.07%	37,090	74.85%
78	12,448	25.64%	35,650	73.44%
79	18,599	38.47%	29,274	60.54%
80	10,871	25.17%	31,876	73.82%
81	11,949	28.24%	29,913	70.71%
82	19,882	46.61%	22,213	52.08%
83	15,170	34.89%	27,794	63.93%
84	14,137	33.33%	27,806	65.55%
85	12,889	27.05%	34,285	71.95%
86	13,295	30.90%	29,260	68.01%
87	11,629	26.52%	31,743	72.39%
88	26,600	65.45%	13,466	33.13%
89	11,596	25.32%	33,693	73.57%
90	9,515	24.94%	28,266	74.08%
91	14,154	30.36%	31,935	68.50%
92	25,809	67.22%	12,072	31.44%
93	20,596	42.02%	27,687	56.49%
94	11,071	23.41%	35,745	75.59%
95	15,672	34.01%	29,821	64.72%
96	15,737	36.27%	27,118	62.49%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	12,819	26.95%	34,258	72.01%
98	22,468	47.48%	24,263	51.27%
99	26,662	82.64%	5,179	16.05%
100	24,547	69.19%	10,420	29.37%
101	29,574	75.55%	9,071	23.17%
102	32,762	76.66%	9,349	21.87%
103	25,289	49.96%	24,722	48.84%
104	24,774	51.92%	22,336	46.81%
105	23,161	57.55%	16,571	41.17%
106	29,479	68.01%	13,333	30.76%
107	32,648	81.25%	7,063	17.58%
108	13,198	32.76%	26,632	66.10%
109	18,041	40.19%	26,340	58.67%
110	13,790	32.69%	28,019	66.42%
111	13,568	30.61%	30,354	68.48%
112	25,755	61.34%	15,700	37.39%
113	16,359	33.52%	31,941	65.45%
114	36,021	67.75%	16,376	30.80%
115	25,461	51.27%	23,520	47.36%
116	30,130	58.07%	21,045	40.56%
117	20,809	39.58%	31,145	59.24%
118	17,951	37.68%	29,085	61.05%
119	20,209	43.00%	26,111	55.56%
120	12,429	26.60%	33,776	72.29%
Total:	**2,567,320**	**48.54%**	**2,660,997**	**50.31%**

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide_precinct_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	63	171,415	83,540	83,540	48.74 %	100.00 %
	64	171,415	87,875	87,875	51.26 %	100.00 %
Alexander	94	36,444	90,835	36,444	100.00 %	40.12 %
Alleghany	93	10,888	87,194	10,888	100.00 %	12.49 %
Anson	55	22,055	84,549	22,055	100.00 %	26.09 %
Ashe	93	26,577	87,194	26,577	100.00 %	30.48 %
Avery	85	17,806	89,525	17,806	100.00 %	19.89 %
Beaufort	79	44,652	83,163	44,652	100.00 %	53.69 %
Bertie	23	17,934	88,865	17,934	100.00 %	20.18 %
Bladen	22	29,606	88,642	29,606	100.00 %	33.40 %
Brunswick	17	136,693	89,763	89,763	65.67 %	100.00 %
	19	136,693	91,041	46,930	34.33 %	51.55 %
Buncombe	114	269,452	89,016	89,016	33.04 %	100.00 %
	115	269,452	89,565	89,565	33.24 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	90,871	90,871	33.72 %	100.00 %
Burke	86	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
Cabarrus	73	225,804	89,909	89,909	39.82 %	100.00 %
	82	225,804	91,069	91,069	40.33 %	100.00 %
	83	225,804	91,184	44,826	19.85 %	49.16 %
Caldwell	87	80,652	85,009	80,652	100.00 %	94.87 %
Camden	5	10,355	82,953	10,355	100.00 %	12.48 %
Carteret	13	67,686	83,307	67,686	100.00 %	81.25 %
Caswell	50	22,736	85,776	22,736	100.00 %	26.51 %
Catawba	89	160,610	85,577	71,023	44.22 %	82.99 %
	96	160,610	89,587	89,587	55.78 %	100.00 %
Chatham	54	76,285	83,475	76,285	100.00 %	91.39 %
Cherokee	120	28,774	84,907	28,774	100.00 %	33.89 %
Chowan	1	13,708	84,330	13,708	100.00 %	16.26 %
Clay	120	11,089	84,907	11,089	100.00 %	13.06 %
Cleveland	110	99,519	89,588	33,564	33.73 %	37.46 %
	111	99,519	90,809	65,955	66.27 %	72.63 %
Columbus	46	50,623	84,307	50,623	100.00 %	60.05 %
Craven	3	100,720	85,099	85,099	84.49 %	100.00 %
	13	100,720	83,307	15,621	15.51 %	18.75 %
Cumberland	42	334,728	84,462	84,462	25.23 %	100.00 %
	43	334,728	83,198	83,198	24.86 %	100.00 %
	44	334,728	83,751	83,751	25.02 %	100.00 %
	45	334,728	83,317	83,317	24.89 %	100.00 %
Currituck	1	28,100	84,330	28,100	100.00 %	33.32 %
Dare	1	36,915	84,330	15,269	41.36 %	18.11 %
	79	36,915	83,163	21,646	58.64 %	26.03 %

County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Davidson	80	168,930	84,864	84,864	50.24 %	100.00 %
	81	168,930	84,066	84,066	49.76 %	100.00 %
Davie	77	42,712	90,628	42,712	100.00 %	47.13 %
Duplin	4	48,715	83,138	48,715	100.00 %	58.60 %
Durham	2	324,833	91,096	51,999	16.01 %	57.08 %
	29	324,833	90,900	90,900	27.98 %	100.00 %
	30	324,833	90,935	90,935	27.99 %	100.00 %
	31	324,833	90,999	90,999	28.01 %	100.00 %
Edgecombe	23	48,900	88,865	48,900	100.00 %	55.03 %
Forsyth	71	382,590	83,748	83,748	21.89 %	100.00 %
	72	382,590	83,262	83,262	21.76 %	100.00 %
	74	382,590	89,692	89,692	23.44 %	100.00 %
	75	382,590	84,659	84,659	22.13 %	100.00 %
	91	382,590	85,749	41,229	10.78 %	48.08 %
Franklin	7	68,573	86,439	68,573	100.00 %	79.33 %
Gaston	108	227,943	84,457	84,457	37.05 %	100.00 %
	109	227,943	87,462	87,462	38.37 %	100.00 %
	110	227,943	89,588	56,024	24.58 %	62.54 %
Gates	5	10,478	82,953	10,478	100.00 %	12.63 %
Graham	120	8,030	84,907	8,030	100.00 %	9.46 %
Granville	7	60,992	86,439	17,866	29.29 %	20.67 %
	32	60,992	85,704	43,126	70.71 %	50.32 %
Greene	12	20,451	84,745	20,451	100.00 %	24.13 %
Guilford	57	541,299	91,158	91,158	16.84 %	100.00 %
	58	541,299	89,713	89,713	16.57 %	100.00 %
	59	541,299	87,559	87,559	16.18 %	100.00 %
	60	541,299	90,919	90,919	16.80 %	100.00 %
	61	541,299	90,814	90,814	16.78 %	100.00 %
	62	541,299	91,136	91,136	16.84 %	100.00 %
Halifax	27	48,622	84,735	48,622	100.00 %	57.38 %
Harnett	6	133,568	84,016	84,016	62.90 %	100.00 %
	53	133,568	89,622	49,552	37.10 %	55.29 %
Haywood	118	62,089	83,282	62,089	100.00 %	74.55 %
Henderson	113	116,281	91,102	25,952	22.32 %	28.49 %
	117	116,281	90,329	90,329	77.68 %	100.00 %
Hertford	5	21,552	82,953	21,552	100.00 %	25.98 %
Hoke	48	52,082	86,256	52,082	100.00 %	60.38 %
Hyde	79	4,589	83,163	4,589	100.00 %	5.52 %
Iredell	84	186,693	85,875	85,875	46.00 %	100.00 %
	89	186,693	85,577	14,554	7.80 %	17.01 %
	95	186,693	86,264	86,264	46.21 %	100.00 %
Jackson	119	43,109	90,212	43,109	100.00 %	47.79 %

County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Johnston	26	215,999	90,540	90,540	41.92 %	100.00 %
	28	215,999	85,389	85,389	39.53 %	100.00 %
	53	215,999	89,622	40,070	18.55 %	44.71 %
Jones	12	9,172	84,745	9,172	100.00 %	10.82 %
Lee	51	63,285	83,073	63,285	100.00 %	76.18 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	84,745	55,122	100.00 %	65.04 %
Lincoln	97	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
Macon	120	37,014	84,907	37,014	100.00 %	43.59 %
Madison	118	21,193	83,282	21,193	100.00 %	25.45 %
Martin	23	22,031	88,865	22,031	100.00 %	24.79 %
McDowell	85	44,578	89,525	38,346	86.02 %	42.83 %
	113	44,578	91,102	6,232	13.98 %	6.84 %
Mecklenburg	88	1,115,482	83,209	83,209	7.46 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	85,088	85,088	7.63 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	82,669	82,669	7.41 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	86,649	86,649	7.77 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	84,206	84,206	7.55 %	100.00 %
	101	1,115,482	87,644	87,644	7.86 %	100.00 %
	102	1,115,482	87,483	87,483	7.84 %	100.00 %
	103	1,115,482	88,259	88,259	7.91 %	100.00 %
	104	1,115,482	82,781	82,781	7.42 %	100.00 %
	105	1,115,482	84,101	84,101	7.54 %	100.00 %
	106	1,115,482	86,758	86,758	7.78 %	100.00 %
	107	1,115,482	86,497	86,497	7.75 %	100.00 %
	112	1,115,482	90,138	90,138	8.08 %	100.00 %
Mitchell	85	14,903	89,525	14,903	100.00 %	16.65 %
Montgomery	67	25,751	88,255	25,751	100.00 %	29.18 %
Moore	51	99,727	83,073	19,788	19.84 %	23.82 %
	52	99,727	84,383	41,437	41.55 %	49.11 %
	78	99,727	86,365	38,502	38.61 %	44.58 %
Nash	24	94,970	83,322	4,538	4.78 %	5.45 %
	25	94,970	90,432	90,432	95.22 %	100.00 %
New Hanover	18	225,702	90,862	90,862	40.26 %	100.00 %
	19	225,702	91,041	44,111	19.54 %	48.45 %
	20	225,702	90,729	90,729	40.20 %	100.00 %
Northampton	27	17,471	84,735	17,471	100.00 %	20.62 %
Onslow	14	204,576	90,506	90,506	44.24 %	100.00 %
	15	204,576	89,176	89,176	43.59 %	100.00 %
	16	204,576	85,097	24,894	12.17 %	29.25 %
Orange	50	148,696	85,776	63,040	42.40 %	73.49 %
	56	148,696	85,656	85,656	57.60 %	100.00 %
Pamlico	79	12,276	83,163	12,276	100.00 %	14.76 %

County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Pasquotank	5	40,568	82,953	40,568	100.00 %	48.90 %
Pender	16	60,203	85,097	60,203	100.00 %	70.75 %
Perquimans	1	13,005	84,330	13,005	100.00 %	15.42 %
Person	2	39,097	91,096	39,097	100.00 %	42.92 %
Pitt	8	170,243	85,089	85,089	49.98 %	100.00 %
	9	170,243	85,154	85,154	50.02 %	100.00 %
Polk	113	19,328	91,102	19,328	100.00 %	21.22 %
Randolph	54	144,171	83,475	7,190	4.99 %	8.61 %
	70	144,171	89,118	89,118	61.81 %	100.00 %
	78	144,171	86,365	47,863	33.20 %	55.42 %
Richmond	52	42,946	84,383	42,946	100.00 %	50.89 %
Robeson	46	116,530	84,307	33,684	28.91 %	39.95 %
	47	116,530	82,846	82,846	71.09 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	65	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
Rowan	76	146,875	89,815	89,815	61.15 %	100.00 %
	77	146,875	90,628	10,702	7.29 %	11.81 %
	83	146,875	91,184	46,358	31.56 %	50.84 %
Rutherford	111	64,444	90,809	24,854	38.57 %	27.37 %
	113	64,444	91,102	39,590	61.43 %	43.46 %
Sampson	22	59,036	88,642	59,036	100.00 %	66.60 %
Scotland	48	34,174	86,256	34,174	100.00 %	39.62 %
Stanly	67	62,504	88,255	62,504	100.00 %	70.82 %
Stokes	91	44,520	85,749	44,520	100.00 %	51.92 %
Surry	90	71,359	82,937	71,359	100.00 %	86.04 %
Swain	119	14,117	90,212	14,117	100.00 %	15.65 %
Transylvania	119	32,986	90,212	32,986	100.00 %	36.56 %
Tyrrell	1	3,245	84,330	3,245	100.00 %	3.85 %
Union	55	238,267	84,549	62,494	26.23 %	73.91 %
	68	238,267	86,978	86,978	36.50 %	100.00 %
	69	238,267	88,795	88,795	37.27 %	100.00 %
Vance	32	42,578	85,704	42,578	100.00 %	49.68 %

County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	85,987	85,987	7.61 %	100.00 %
	21	1,129,410	88,313	88,313	7.82 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	86,863	86,863	7.69 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	82,860	82,860	7.34 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	86,765	86,765	7.68 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	89,336	89,336	7.91 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	88,334	88,334	7.82 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	90,560	90,560	8.02 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	86,971	86,971	7.70 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	84,115	84,115	7.45 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	84,646	84,646	7.49 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	90,415	90,415	8.01 %	100.00 %
	66	1,129,410	84,245	84,245	7.46 %	100.00 %
Warren	27	18,642	84,735	18,642	100.00 %	22.00 %
Washington	1	11,003	84,330	11,003	100.00 %	13.05 %
Watauga	87	54,086	85,009	4,357	8.06 %	5.13 %
	93	54,086	87,194	49,729	91.94 %	57.03 %
Wayne	4	117,333	83,138	34,423	29.34 %	41.40 %
	10	117,333	82,910	82,910	70.66 %	100.00 %
Wilkes	90	65,969	82,937	11,578	17.55 %	13.96 %
	94	65,969	90,835	54,391	82.45 %	59.88 %
Wilson	24	78,784	83,322	78,784	100.00 %	94.55 %
Yadkin	77	37,214	90,628	37,214	100.00 %	41.06 %
Yancey	85	18,470	89,525	18,470	100.00 %	20.63 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**10,439,388**		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Counties: 36

District - County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Chowan	84,330	13,708	13,708	16.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	84,330	28,100	28,100	33.32 %	100.00 %
	Dare	84,330	36,915	15,269	18.11 %	41.36 %
	Perquimans	84,330	13,005	13,005	15.42 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	84,330	3,245	3,245	3.85 %	100.00 %
	Washington	84,330	11,003	11,003	13.05 %	100.00 %
2	Durham	91,096	324,833	51,999	57.08 %	16.01 %
	Person	91,096	39,097	39,097	42.92 %	100.00 %
3	Craven	85,099	100,720	85,099	100.00 %	84.49 %
4	Duplin	83,138	48,715	48,715	58.60 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	83,138	117,333	34,423	41.40 %	29.34 %
5	Camden	82,953	10,355	10,355	12.48 %	100.00 %
	Gates	82,953	10,478	10,478	12.63 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	82,953	21,552	21,552	25.98 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	82,953	40,568	40,568	48.90 %	100.00 %
6	Harnett	84,016	133,568	84,016	100.00 %	62.90 %
7	Franklin	86,439	68,573	68,573	79.33 %	100.00 %
	Granville	86,439	60,992	17,866	20.67 %	29.29 %
8	Pitt	85,089	170,243	85,089	100.00 %	49.98 %
9	Pitt	85,154	170,243	85,154	100.00 %	50.02 %
10	Wayne	82,910	117,333	82,910	100.00 %	70.66 %
11	Wake	85,987	1,129,410	85,987	100.00 %	7.61 %
12	Greene	84,745	20,451	20,451	24.13 %	100.00 %
	Jones	84,745	9,172	9,172	10.82 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	84,745	55,122	55,122	65.04 %	100.00 %
13	Carteret	83,307	67,686	67,686	81.25 %	100.00 %
	Craven	83,307	100,720	15,621	18.75 %	15.51 %
14	Onslow	90,506	204,576	90,506	100.00 %	44.24 %
15	Onslow	89,176	204,576	89,176	100.00 %	43.59 %
16	Onslow	85,097	204,576	24,894	29.25 %	12.17 %
	Pender	85,097	60,203	60,203	70.75 %	100.00 %
17	Brunswick	89,763	136,693	89,763	100.00 %	65.67 %
18	New Hanover	90,862	225,702	90,862	100.00 %	40.26 %
19	Brunswick	91,041	136,693	46,930	51.55 %	34.33 %
	New Hanover	91,041	225,702	44,111	48.45 %	19.54 %
20	New Hanover	90,729	225,702	90,729	100.00 %	40.20 %
21	Wake	88,313	1,129,410	88,313	100.00 %	7.82 %
22	Bladen	88,642	29,606	29,606	33.40 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	88,642	59,036	59,036	66.60 %	100.00 %
23	Bertie	88,865	17,934	17,934	20.18 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	88,865	48,900	48,900	55.03 %	100.00 %
	Martin	88,865	22,031	22,031	24.79 %	100.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
24	Nash	83,322	94,970	4,538	5.45 %	4.78 %
	Wilson	83,322	78,784	78,784	94.55 %	100.00 %
25	Nash	90,432	94,970	90,432	100.00 %	95.22 %
26	Johnston	90,540	215,999	90,540	100.00 %	41.92 %
27	Halifax	84,735	48,622	48,622	57.38 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	84,735	17,471	17,471	20.62 %	100.00 %
	Warren	84,735	18,642	18,642	22.00 %	100.00 %
28	Johnston	85,389	215,999	85,389	100.00 %	39.53 %
29	Durham	90,900	324,833	90,900	100.00 %	27.98 %
30	Durham	90,935	324,833	90,935	100.00 %	27.99 %
31	Durham	90,999	324,833	90,999	100.00 %	28.01 %
32	Granville	85,704	60,992	43,126	50.32 %	70.71 %
	Vance	85,704	42,578	42,578	49.68 %	100.00 %
33	Wake	86,863	1,129,410	86,863	100.00 %	7.69 %
34	Wake	82,860	1,129,410	82,860	100.00 %	7.34 %
35	Wake	86,765	1,129,410	86,765	100.00 %	7.68 %
36	Wake	89,336	1,129,410	89,336	100.00 %	7.91 %
37	Wake	88,334	1,129,410	88,334	100.00 %	7.82 %
38	Wake	90,560	1,129,410	90,560	100.00 %	8.02 %
39	Wake	86,971	1,129,410	86,971	100.00 %	7.70 %
40	Wake	84,115	1,129,410	84,115	100.00 %	7.45 %
41	Wake	84,646	1,129,410	84,646	100.00 %	7.49 %
42	Cumberland	84,462	334,728	84,462	100.00 %	25.23 %
43	Cumberland	83,198	334,728	83,198	100.00 %	24.86 %
44	Cumberland	83,751	334,728	83,751	100.00 %	25.02 %
45	Cumberland	83,317	334,728	83,317	100.00 %	24.89 %
46	Columbus	84,307	50,623	50,623	60.05 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	84,307	116,530	33,684	39.95 %	28.91 %
47	Robeson	82,846	116,530	82,846	100.00 %	71.09 %
48	Hoke	86,256	52,082	52,082	60.38 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	86,256	34,174	34,174	39.62 %	100.00 %
49	Wake	90,415	1,129,410	90,415	100.00 %	8.01 %
50	Caswell	85,776	22,736	22,736	26.51 %	100.00 %
	Orange	85,776	148,696	63,040	73.49 %	42.40 %
51	Lee	83,073	63,285	63,285	76.18 %	100.00 %
	Moore	83,073	99,727	19,788	23.82 %	19.84 %
52	Moore	84,383	99,727	41,437	49.11 %	41.55 %
	Richmond	84,383	42,946	42,946	50.89 %	100.00 %
53	Harnett	89,622	133,568	49,552	55.29 %	37.10 %
	Johnston	89,622	215,999	40,070	44.71 %	18.55 %
54	Chatham	83,475	76,285	76,285	91.39 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	83,475	144,171	7,190	8.61 %	4.99 %

District - County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
55	Anson	84,549	22,055	22,055	26.09 %	100.00 %
	Union	84,549	238,267	62,494	73.91 %	26.23 %
56	Orange	85,656	148,696	85,656	100.00 %	57.60 %
57	Guilford	91,158	541,299	91,158	100.00 %	16.84 %
58	Guilford	89,713	541,299	89,713	100.00 %	16.57 %
59	Guilford	87,559	541,299	87,559	100.00 %	16.18 %
60	Guilford	90,919	541,299	90,919	100.00 %	16.80 %
61	Guilford	90,814	541,299	90,814	100.00 %	16.78 %
62	Guilford	91,136	541,299	91,136	100.00 %	16.84 %
63	Alamance	83,540	171,415	83,540	100.00 %	48.74 %
64	Alamance	87,875	171,415	87,875	100.00 %	51.26 %
65	Rockingham	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
66	Wake	84,245	1,129,410	84,245	100.00 %	7.46 %
67	Montgomery	88,255	25,751	25,751	29.18 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	88,255	62,504	62,504	70.82 %	100.00 %
68	Union	86,978	238,267	86,978	100.00 %	36.50 %
69	Union	88,795	238,267	88,795	100.00 %	37.27 %
70	Randolph	89,118	144,171	89,118	100.00 %	61.81 %
71	Forsyth	83,748	382,590	83,748	100.00 %	21.89 %
72	Forsyth	83,262	382,590	83,262	100.00 %	21.76 %
73	Cabarrus	89,909	225,804	89,909	100.00 %	39.82 %
74	Forsyth	89,692	382,590	89,692	100.00 %	23.44 %
75	Forsyth	84,659	382,590	84,659	100.00 %	22.13 %
76	Rowan	89,815	146,875	89,815	100.00 %	61.15 %
77	Davie	90,628	42,712	42,712	47.13 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	90,628	146,875	10,702	11.81 %	7.29 %
	Yadkin	90,628	37,214	37,214	41.06 %	100.00 %
78	Moore	86,365	99,727	38,502	44.58 %	38.61 %
	Randolph	86,365	144,171	47,863	55.42 %	33.20 %
79	Beaufort	83,163	44,652	44,652	53.69 %	100.00 %
	Dare	83,163	36,915	21,646	26.03 %	58.64 %
	Hyde	83,163	4,589	4,589	5.52 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	83,163	12,276	12,276	14.76 %	100.00 %
80	Davidson	84,864	168,930	84,864	100.00 %	50.24 %
81	Davidson	84,066	168,930	84,066	100.00 %	49.76 %
82	Cabarrus	91,069	225,804	91,069	100.00 %	40.33 %
83	Cabarrus	91,184	225,804	44,826	49.16 %	19.85 %
	Rowan	91,184	146,875	46,358	50.84 %	31.56 %
84	Iredell	85,875	186,693	85,875	100.00 %	46.00 %

District - County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
85	Avery	89,525	17,806	17,806	19.89 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	89,525	44,578	38,346	42.83 %	86.02 %
	Mitchell	89,525	14,903	14,903	16.65 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	89,525	18,470	18,470	20.63 %	100.00 %
86	Burke	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
87	Caldwell	85,009	80,652	80,652	94.87 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	85,009	54,086	4,357	5.13 %	8.06 %
88	Mecklenburg	83,209	1,115,482	83,209	100.00 %	7.46 %
89	Catawba	85,577	160,610	71,023	82.99 %	44.22 %
	Iredell	85,577	186,693	14,554	17.01 %	7.80 %
90	Surry	82,937	71,359	71,359	86.04 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	82,937	65,969	11,578	13.96 %	17.55 %
91	Forsyth	85,749	382,590	41,229	48.08 %	10.78 %
	Stokes	85,749	44,520	44,520	51.92 %	100.00 %
92	Mecklenburg	85,088	1,115,482	85,088	100.00 %	7.63 %
93	Alleghany	87,194	10,888	10,888	12.49 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	87,194	26,577	26,577	30.48 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	87,194	54,086	49,729	57.03 %	91.94 %
94	Alexander	90,835	36,444	36,444	40.12 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	90,835	65,969	54,391	59.88 %	82.45 %
95	Iredell	86,264	186,693	86,264	100.00 %	46.21 %
96	Catawba	89,587	160,610	89,587	100.00 %	55.78 %
97	Lincoln	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
98	Mecklenburg	82,669	1,115,482	82,669	100.00 %	7.41 %
99	Mecklenburg	86,649	1,115,482	86,649	100.00 %	7.77 %
100	Mecklenburg	84,206	1,115,482	84,206	100.00 %	7.55 %
101	Mecklenburg	87,644	1,115,482	87,644	100.00 %	7.86 %
102	Mecklenburg	87,483	1,115,482	87,483	100.00 %	7.84 %
103	Mecklenburg	88,259	1,115,482	88,259	100.00 %	7.91 %
104	Mecklenburg	82,781	1,115,482	82,781	100.00 %	7.42 %
105	Mecklenburg	84,101	1,115,482	84,101	100.00 %	7.54 %
106	Mecklenburg	86,758	1,115,482	86,758	100.00 %	7.78 %
107	Mecklenburg	86,497	1,115,482	86,497	100.00 %	7.75 %
108	Gaston	84,457	227,943	84,457	100.00 %	37.05 %
109	Gaston	87,462	227,943	87,462	100.00 %	38.37 %
110	Cleveland	89,588	99,519	33,564	37.46 %	33.73 %
	Gaston	89,588	227,943	56,024	62.54 %	24.58 %
111	Cleveland	90,809	99,519	65,955	72.63 %	66.27 %
	Rutherford	90,809	64,444	24,854	27.37 %	38.57 %
112	Mecklenburg	90,138	1,115,482	90,138	100.00 %	8.08 %

District - County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
113	Henderson	91,102	116,281	25,952	28.49 %	22.32 %
	McDowell	91,102	44,578	6,232	6.84 %	13.98 %
	Polk	91,102	19,328	19,328	21.22 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	91,102	64,444	39,590	43.46 %	61.43 %
114	Buncombe	89,016	269,452	89,016	100.00 %	33.04 %
115	Buncombe	89,565	269,452	89,565	100.00 %	33.24 %
116	Buncombe	90,871	269,452	90,871	100.00 %	33.72 %
117	Henderson	90,329	116,281	90,329	100.00 %	77.68 %
118	Haywood	83,282	62,089	62,089	74.55 %	100.00 %
	Madison	83,282	21,193	21,193	25.45 %	100.00 %
119	Jackson	90,212	43,109	43,109	47.79 %	100.00 %
	Swain	90,212	14,117	14,117	15.65 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	90,212	32,986	32,986	36.56 %	100.00 %
120	Cherokee	84,907	28,774	28,774	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Clay	84,907	11,089	11,089	13.06 %	100.00 %
	Graham	84,907	8,030	8,030	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,907	37,014	37,014	43.59 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**10,439,388**		

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Split Counties: 36

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier	37	5,265	88,334	556	10.56 %	0.63 %
	53	5,265	89,622	4,709	89.44 %	5.25 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale	60	11,907	90,919	380	3.19 %	0.42 %
	70	11,907	89,118	11,527	96.81 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,016	43,664	46.16 %	49.05 %
	115	94,589	89,565	24,831	26.25 %	27.72 %
	116	94,589	90,871	26,094	27.59 %	28.72 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain	85	675	89,525	62	9.19 %	0.07 %
	93	675	87,194	613	90.81 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
	53	3,967	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	89,565	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,016	8,426	100.00 %	9.47 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock	87	1,376	85,009	96	6.98 %	0.11 %
	93	1,376	87,194	1,280	93.02 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway	6	1,267	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington	59	57,303	87,559	1,822	3.18 %	2.08 %
	63	57,303	83,540	20,671	36.07 %	24.74 %
	64	57,303	87,875	34,810	60.75 %	39.61 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
	78	813	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,776	2,848	13.37 %	3.32 %
	56	21,295	85,656	18,447	86.63 %	21.54 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary	11	174,721	85,987	15,301	8.76 %	17.79 %
	21	174,721	88,313	57,857	33.11 %	65.51 %
	36	174,721	89,336	8,272	4.73 %	9.26 %
	37	174,721	88,334	2,012	1.15 %	2.28 %
	41	174,721	84,646	70,058	40.10 %	82.77 %
	49	174,721	90,415	17,512	10.02 %	19.37 %
	54	174,721	83,475	3,709	2.12 %	4.44 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill	29	61,960	90,900	2,906	4.69 %	3.20 %
	56	61,960	85,656	59,054	95.31 %	68.94 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	83,209	83,209	9.51 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	85,088	63,700	7.28 %	74.86 %
	99	874,579	86,649	82,516	9.43 %	95.23 %
	100	874,579	84,206	84,206	9.63 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	87,644	76,712	8.77 %	87.53 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	82,781	82,781	9.47 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	84,101	69,435	7.94 %	82.56 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	90,138	52,118	5.96 %	57.82 %
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	91,184	4,434	100.00 %	4.86 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
	33	26,307	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	89,909	27,131	25.78 %	30.18 %
	82	105,240	91,069	58,729	55.80 %	64.49 %
	83	105,240	91,184	19,380	18.42 %	21.25 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson	95	15,106	86,264	378	2.50 %	0.44 %
	98	15,106	82,669	14,728	97.50 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham	2	283,506	91,096	32,804	11.57 %	36.01 %
	21	283,506	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	29	283,506	90,900	85,000	29.98 %	93.51 %
	30	283,506	90,935	89,923	31.72 %	98.89 %
	31	283,506	90,999	75,366	26.58 %	82.82 %
	40	283,506	84,115	269	0.09 %	0.32 %
	49	283,506	90,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	50	283,506	85,776	144	0.05 %	0.17 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City	5	18,631	82,953	18,631	100.00 %	22.46 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elkin	90	4,122	82,937	4,122	100.00 %	4.97 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elm City	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
	22	784	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon	22	324	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
	53	34,152	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville	59	8,920	87,559	4,642	52.04 %	5.30 %
	64	8,920	87,875	4,278	47.96 %	4.87 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %
Grifton	9	2,448	85,154	2,301	94.00 %	2.70 %
	12	2,448	84,745	147	6.00 %	0.17 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells	4	160	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	89,909	18,967	100.00 %	21.10 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory	86	43,490	87,570	79	0.18 %	0.09 %
	87	43,490	85,009	32	0.07 %	0.04 %
	89	43,490	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,490	89,587	43,379	99.74 %	48.42 %
Highlands	119	1,072	90,212	12	1.12 %	0.01 %
	120	1,072	84,907	1,060	98.88 %	1.25 %
High Point	58	114,059	89,713	2,538	2.23 %	2.83 %
	60	114,059	90,919	77,884	68.28 %	85.66 %
	62	114,059	91,136	26,899	23.58 %	29.52 %
	70	114,059	89,118	8	0.01 %	0.01 %
	75	114,059	84,659	84	0.07 %	0.10 %
	80	114,059	84,864	6,646	5.83 %	7.83 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,776	9,660	100.00 %	11.26 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis	82	53,114	91,069	29,011	54.62 %	31.86 %
	83	53,114	91,184	24,103	45.38 %	26.43 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly	24	1,491	83,322	198	13.28 %	0.24 %
	28	1,491	85,389	1,293	86.72 %	1.51 %
Kernersville	62	26,449	91,136	502	1.90 %	0.55 %
	75	26,449	84,659	25,947	98.10 %	30.65 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King	91	7,197	85,749	7,197	100.00 %	8.39 %
Kings Mountain	110	11,142	89,588	1,110	9.96 %	1.24 %
	111	11,142	90,809	10,032	90.04 %	11.05 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	91,184	3,690	100.00 %	4.05 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust	67	4,537	88,255	3,996	88.08 %	4.53 %
	73	4,537	89,909	541	11.92 %	0.60 %
Long View	86	5,088	87,570	735	14.45 %	0.84 %
	96	5,088	89,587	4,353	85.55 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
	97	3,736	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton	47	2,110	82,846	1,902	90.14 %	2.30 %
	48	2,110	86,256	208	9.86 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
Mebane	50	17,797	85,776	3,171	17.82 %	3.70 %
	63	17,797	83,540	14,626	82.18 %	17.51 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland	73	4,684	89,909	4,684	100.00 %	5.21 %
	112	4,684	90,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,776	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill	69	26,450	88,795	6	0.02 %	0.01 %
	112	26,450	90,138	26,444	99.98 %	29.34 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,016	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Mooresboro	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville	21	29,630	88,313	29,423	99.30 %	33.32 %
	31	29,630	90,999	207	0.70 %	0.23 %
	41	29,630	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	83,138	4,198	100.00 %	5.05 %
Mount Pleasant	73	1,671	89,909	1,671	100.00 %	1.86 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	104	10,602	82,781	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	105	10,602	84,101	10,602	100.00 %	12.61 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh	11	467,665	85,987	52,233	11.17 %	60.75 %
	31	467,665	90,999	1,559	0.33 %	1.71 %
	33	467,665	86,863	21,874	4.68 %	25.18 %
	34	467,665	82,860	82,655	17.67 %	99.75 %
	35	467,665	86,765	16,132	3.45 %	18.59 %
	38	467,665	90,560	87,892	18.79 %	97.05 %
	39	467,665	86,971	11,902	2.54 %	13.69 %
	40	467,665	84,115	41,316	8.83 %	49.12 %
	41	467,665	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	467,665	90,415	69,909	14.95 %	77.32 %
	66	467,665	84,245	82,193	17.58 %	97.56 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
	48	3,087	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss	86	997	87,570	639	64.09 %	0.73 %
	87	997	85,009	358	35.91 %	0.42 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount	23	54,341	88,865	15,414	28.37 %	17.35 %
	24	54,341	83,322	241	0.44 %	0.29 %
	25	54,341	90,432	38,686	71.19 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	91,096	8,134	100.00 %	8.93 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
	87	1,226	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda	113	631	91,102	631	100.00 %	0.69 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils	85	313	89,525	38	12.14 %	0.04 %
	93	313	87,194	275	87.86 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg	23	1,697	88,865	215	12.67 %	0.24 %
	24	1,697	83,322	1,482	87.33 %	1.78 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings	68	16,112	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	16,112	88,795	15,728	97.62 %	17.71 %
	103	16,112	88,259	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
	112	16,112	90,138	373	2.32 %	0.41 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City	16	3,867	85,097	3,867	100.00 %	4.54 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Swepsonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville	70	27,183	89,118	521	1.92 %	0.58 %
	80	27,183	84,864	26,662	98.08 %	31.42 %
Tobaccoville	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wake Forest	7	47,601	86,439	1,504	3.16 %	1.74 %
	35	47,601	86,765	46,097	96.84 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
	16	3,413	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,871	4,567	100.00 %	5.03 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington	68	13,181	86,978	13,172	99.93 %	15.14 %
	69	13,181	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
	103	13,181	88,259	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers	23	627	88,865	290	46.25 %	0.33 %
	25	627	90,432	337	53.75 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %

Municipality - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,871	7,936	100.00 %	8.73 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,776	1,937	100.00 %	2.26 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon	26	6,903	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**6,017,605**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 553

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 107

Splits Involving Population: 73

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier (Harnett)	53	4,709	89,622	4,709	100.00 %	5.25 %
Angier (Wake)	37	556	88,334	556	100.00 %	0.63 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale (Guilford)	60	380	90,919	380	100.00 %	0.42 %
Archdale (Randolph)	70	11,527	89,118	11,527	100.00 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,016	43,664	46.16 %	49.05 %
	115	94,589	89,565	24,831	26.25 %	27.72 %
	116	94,589	90,871	26,094	27.59 %	28.72 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	85	62	89,525	62	100.00 %	0.07 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	93	613	87,194	613	100.00 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	89,565	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,016	8,426	100.00 %	9.47 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	87	91	85,009	91	100.00 %	0.11 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87	1,285	85,009	5	0.39 %	0.01 %
	93	1,285	87,194	1,280	99.61 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway (Harnett)	6	0	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington (Alamance)	63	55,481	83,540	20,671	37.26 %	24.74 %
	64	55,481	87,875	34,810	62.74 %	39.61 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Guilford)	59	1,822	87,559	1,822	100.00 %	2.08 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor (Montgomery)	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
Candor (Moore)	78	0	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,776	2,848	13.37 %	3.32 %
	56	21,295	85,656	18,447	86.63 %	21.54 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	54	3,709	83,475	3,709	100.00 %	4.44 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	85,987	15,301	8.95 %	17.79 %
	21	171,012	88,313	57,857	33.83 %	65.51 %
	36	171,012	89,336	8,272	4.84 %	9.26 %
	37	171,012	88,334	2,012	1.18 %	2.28 %
	41	171,012	84,646	70,058	40.97 %	82.77 %
	49	171,012	90,415	17,512	10.24 %	19.37 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	29	2,906	90,900	2,906	100.00 %	3.20 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	56	59,054	85,656	59,054	100.00 %	68.94 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	83,209	83,209	9.51 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	85,088	63,700	7.28 %	74.86 %
	99	874,579	86,649	82,516	9.43 %	95.23 %
	100	874,579	84,206	84,206	9.63 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	87,644	76,712	8.77 %	87.53 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	82,781	82,781	9.47 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	84,101	69,435	7.94 %	82.56 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	90,138	52,118	5.96 %	57.82 %
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	91,184	4,434	100.00 %	4.86 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton (Johnston)	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	89,909	27,131	25.78 %	30.18 %
	82	105,240	91,069	58,729	55.80 %	64.49 %
	83	105,240	91,184	19,380	18.42 %	21.25 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson (Iredell)	95	378	86,264	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	98	14,728	82,669	14,728	100.00 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham (Durham)	2	283,093	91,096	32,804	11.59 %	36.01 %
	29	283,093	90,900	85,000	30.03 %	93.51 %
	30	283,093	90,935	89,923	31.76 %	98.89 %
	31	283,093	90,999	75,366	26.62 %	82.82 %
Durham (Orange)	50	144	85,776	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Durham (Wake)	21	269	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	269	84,115	269	100.00 %	0.32 %
	49	269	90,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	5	38	82,953	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	5	18,593	82,953	18,593	100.00 %	22.41 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elkin (Surry)	90	4,049	82,937	4,049	100.00 %	4.88 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	90	73	82,937	73	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %
Elm City (Nash)	24	0	83,322	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison (Duplin)	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
Faison (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	64	4,278	87,875	4,278	100.00 %	4.87 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	59	4,642	87,559	4,642	100.00 %	5.30 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	84,745	147	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grifton (Pitt)	9	2,301	85,154	2,301	100.00 %	2.70 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells (Duplin)	4	0	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	89,909	18,967	100.00 %	21.10 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory (Burke)	86	79	87,570	79	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	87	32	85,009	32	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Catawba)	89	43,379	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,379	89,587	43,379	100.00 %	48.42 %
High Point (Davidson)	80	6,646	84,864	6,646	100.00 %	7.83 %
High Point (Forsyth)	75	84	84,659	84	100.00 %	0.10 %
High Point (Guilford)	58	107,321	89,713	2,538	2.36 %	2.83 %
	60	107,321	90,919	77,884	72.57 %	85.66 %
	62	107,321	91,136	26,899	25.06 %	29.52 %
High Point (Randolph)	70	8	89,118	8	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Highlands (Jackson)	119	12	90,212	12	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Macon)	120	1,060	84,907	1,060	100.00 %	1.25 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,776	9,660	100.00 %	11.26 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	82	42,846	91,069	29,011	67.71 %	31.86 %
	83	42,846	91,184	13,835	32.29 %	15.17 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	83	10,268	91,184	10,268	100.00 %	11.26 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly (Johnston)	28	1,293	85,389	1,293	100.00 %	1.51 %
Kenly (Wilson)	24	198	83,322	198	100.00 %	0.24 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	75	25,947	84,659	25,947	100.00 %	30.65 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	62	502	91,136	502	100.00 %	0.55 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King (Forsyth)	91	591	85,749	591	100.00 %	0.69 %
King (Stokes)	91	6,606	85,749	6,606	100.00 %	7.70 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	110	10,032	89,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	111	10,032	90,809	10,032	100.00 %	11.05 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	110	1,110	89,588	1,110	100.00 %	1.24 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	91,184	3,690	100.00 %	4.05 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	73	541	89,909	541	100.00 %	0.60 %
Locust (Stanly)	67	3,996	88,255	3,996	100.00 %	4.53 %
Long View (Burke)	86	735	87,570	735	100.00 %	0.84 %
Long View (Catawba)	96	4,353	89,587	4,353	100.00 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden (Catawba)	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	97	0	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton (Robeson)	47	1,902	82,846	1,902	100.00 %	2.30 %
Maxton (Scotland)	48	208	86,256	208	100.00 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mebane (Alamance)	63	14,626	83,540	14,626	100.00 %	17.51 %
Mebane (Orange)	50	3,171	85,776	3,171	100.00 %	3.70 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	73	4,684	89,909	4,684	100.00 %	5.21 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	112	0	90,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,776	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	112	26,444	90,138	26,444	100.00 %	29.34 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mint Hill (Union)	69	6	88,795	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,016	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Mooresboro	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville (Durham)	31	207	90,999	207	100.00 %	0.23 %
Morrisville (Wake)	21	29,423	88,313	29,423	100.00 %	33.32 %
	41	29,423	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	4	5	83,138	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	4	4,193	83,138	4,193	100.00 %	5.04 %
Mount Pleasant	73	1,671	89,909	1,671	100.00 %	1.86 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	104	10,602	82,781	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	105	10,602	84,101	10,602	100.00 %	12.61 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh (Durham)	31	1,559	90,999	1,559	100.00 %	1.71 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	85,987	52,233	11.21 %	60.75 %
	33	466,106	86,863	21,874	4.69 %	25.18 %
	34	466,106	82,860	82,655	17.73 %	99.75 %
	35	466,106	86,765	16,132	3.46 %	18.59 %
	38	466,106	90,560	87,892	18.86 %	97.05 %
	39	466,106	86,971	11,902	2.55 %	13.69 %
	40	466,106	84,115	41,316	8.86 %	49.12 %
	41	466,106	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	466,106	90,415	69,909	15.00 %	77.32 %
	66	466,106	84,245	82,193	17.63 %	97.56 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	48	0	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss (Burke)	86	639	87,570	639	100.00 %	0.73 %
Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	87	358	85,009	358	100.00 %	0.42 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	23	15,414	88,865	15,414	100.00 %	17.35 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	24	38,927	83,322	241	0.62 %	0.29 %
	25	38,927	90,432	38,686	99.38 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	91,096	8,134	100.00 %	8.93 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	87	0	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda (Henderson)	113	11	91,102	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	113	620	91,102	620	100.00 %	0.68 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	85	38	89,525	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	93	275	87,194	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	23	215	88,865	215	100.00 %	0.24 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	24	1,061	83,322	1,061	100.00 %	1.27 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	24	421	83,322	421	100.00 %	0.51 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	103	384	88,259	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
	112	384	90,138	373	97.14 %	0.41 %
Stallings (Union)	68	15,728	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	15,728	88,795	15,728	100.00 %	17.71 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City (Onslow)	16	334	85,097	334	100.00 %	0.39 %
Surf City (Pender)	16	3,533	85,097	3,533	100.00 %	4.15 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Swepsonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	80	26,662	84,864	26,662	100.00 %	31.42 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	70	521	89,118	521	100.00 %	0.58 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	91	0	85,749	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	7	1,504	86,439	1,504	100.00 %	1.74 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	86,765	46,097	100.00 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace (Duplin)	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
Wallace (Pender)	16	0	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,871	4,567	100.00 %	5.03 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	103	5	88,259	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Weddington (Union)	68	13,176	86,978	13,172	99.97 %	15.14 %
	69	13,176	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	23	290	88,865	290	100.00 %	0.33 %
Whitakers (Nash)	25	337	90,432	337	100.00 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,871	7,936	100.00 %	8.73 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %

Municipality by County - District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,776	1,937	100.00 %	2.26 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	26	0	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
			Assigned Geography Total:	**6,017,605**		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 76

Splits Involving Population: 49

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Columbia	84,330	610	610	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	84,330	207	207	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Duck	84,330	742	742	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	84,330	4,460	4,460	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	84,330	1,934	1,934	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	84,330	7,656	7,118	8.44 %	92.97 %
	Kitty Hawk	84,330	3,689	3,689	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	84,330	3,320	3,320	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Roper	84,330	485	485	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	84,330	3,090	3,090	3.66 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	84,330	555	555	0.66 %	100.00 %
2	Durham (Durham)	91,096	283,093	32,804	36.01 %	11.59 %
	Roxboro	91,096	8,134	8,134	8.93 %	100.00 %
3	Bridgeton	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	85,099	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Dover	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	85,099	16,621	5,986	7.03 %	36.01 %
	New Bern	85,099	31,291	31,291	36.77 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	85,099	2,902	2,902	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	85,099	4,074	4,074	4.79 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	85,099	869	869	1.02 %	100.00 %
4	Beulaville	83,138	1,116	1,116	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	83,138	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	83,138	784	784	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	83,138	33,657	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Greenevers	83,138	567	567	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	83,138	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	83,138	770	770	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	83,138	831	831	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	83,138	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	83,138	4,193	4,193	5.04 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	83,138	1,371	1,371	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	83,138	55	55	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	83,138	448	448	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	83,138	3,413	3,413	4.11 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	83,138	1,084	1,084	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	83,138	2,733	2,733	3.29 %	100.00 %
5	Ahoskie	82,953	4,891	4,891	5.90 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Como	82,953	67	67	0.08 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Elizabeth City (Camden)	82,953	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	82,953	18,593	18,593	22.41 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	82,953	85	85	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	82,953	2,619	2,619	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Winton	82,953	629	629	0.76 %	100.00 %
6	Broadway (Harnett)	84,016	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Erwin	84,016	4,542	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	84,016	4,735	4,735	5.64 %	100.00 %
7	Bunn	86,439	327	327	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	86,439	4,866	4,866	5.63 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	86,439	2,456	2,456	2.84 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	86,439	3,064	3,064	3.54 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	86,439	1,504	1,504	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	86,439	2,016	2,016	2.33 %	100.00 %
8	Bethel	85,089	1,373	1,373	1.61 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	85,089	47	47	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	85,089	4,461	4,461	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	85,089	385	385	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,089	87,521	42,222	49.62 %	48.24 %
	Grimesland	85,089	386	386	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	85,089	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
9	Ayden	85,154	4,977	4,977	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,154	87,521	45,299	53.20 %	51.76 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	85,154	2,301	2,301	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	85,154	10,462	10,462	12.29 %	100.00 %
10	Eureka	82,910	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	82,910	1,196	1,196	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	82,910	33,657	33,652	40.59 %	99.99 %
	Pikeville	82,910	712	712	0.86 %	100.00 %
11	Cary (Wake)	85,987	171,012	15,301	17.79 %	8.95 %
	Garner	85,987	31,159	490	0.57 %	1.57 %
	Holly Springs	85,987	41,239	1,355	1.58 %	3.29 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,987	466,106	52,233	60.75 %	11.21 %
12	Grifton (Lenoir)	84,745	147	147	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	84,745	413	413	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	84,745	19,900	19,900	23.48 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	84,745	2,595	2,595	3.06 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	84,745	818	818	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	84,745	451	451	0.53 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	Pollocksville	84,745	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	84,745	1,481	1,481	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	84,745	238	238	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	84,745	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
13	Atlantic Beach	83,307	1,364	1,364	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	83,307	4,464	4,464	5.36 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	83,307	695	695	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	83,307	2,224	2,224	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	83,307	1,764	1,764	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	83,307	3,847	3,847	4.62 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	83,307	16,621	10,635	12.77 %	63.99 %
	Indian Beach	83,307	223	223	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	83,307	9,556	9,556	11.47 %	100.00 %
	Newport	83,307	4,364	4,364	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	83,307	769	769	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	83,307	1,388	1,388	1.67 %	100.00 %
14	Jacksonville	90,506	72,723	47,353	52.32 %	65.11 %
	Swansboro	90,506	3,744	3,744	4.14 %	100.00 %
15	Jacksonville	89,176	72,723	25,370	28.45 %	34.89 %
	Richlands	89,176	2,287	2,287	2.56 %	100.00 %
16	Atkinson	85,097	296	296	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	85,097	3,088	3,088	3.63 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	85,097	4,171	4,171	4.90 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	85,097	1,005	1,005	1.18 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	85,097	417	417	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	85,097	334	334	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	85,097	3,533	3,533	4.15 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	85,097	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	85,097	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	85,097	181	181	0.21 %	100.00 %
17	Belville	89,763	2,406	2,406	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	89,763	2,011	2,011	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	89,763	4,588	4,588	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	89,763	921	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Leland	89,763	22,908	22,908	25.52 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	89,763	1,367	1,367	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	89,763	703	703	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	89,763	867	867	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	89,763	248	248	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	89,763	4,185	4,185	4.66 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
17	Sunset Beach	89,763	4,175	4,175	4.65 %	100.00 %
18	Wilmington	90,862	115,451	37,227	40.97 %	32.24 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,862	2,473	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
19	Bald Head Island	91,041	268	268	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	91,041	5,943	5,943	6.53 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	91,041	149	149	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	91,041	6,564	6,564	7.21 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	91,041	395	395	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	91,041	921	921	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	91,041	2,191	2,191	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	91,041	8,396	8,396	9.22 %	100.00 %
	Southport	91,041	3,971	3,971	4.36 %	100.00 %
	St. James	91,041	6,529	6,529	7.17 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	91,041	525	525	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	91,041	115,451	8,207	9.01 %	7.11 %
20	Wilmington	90,729	115,451	70,017	77.17 %	60.65 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,729	2,473	2,473	2.73 %	100.00 %
21	Apex	88,313	58,780	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,313	171,012	57,857	65.51 %	33.83 %
	Durham (Wake)	88,313	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	88,313	29,423	29,423	33.32 %	100.00 %
22	Autryville	88,642	167	167	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	88,642	1,648	1,648	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	88,642	614	614	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	88,642	8,383	8,383	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	88,642	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	88,642	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	88,642	3,296	3,296	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	88,642	595	595	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	88,642	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	88,642	585	585	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	88,642	1,163	1,163	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	88,642	457	457	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	88,642	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	88,642	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	White Lake	88,642	843	843	0.95 %	100.00 %
23	Askewville	88,865	184	184	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	88,865	763	763	0.86 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
23	Bear Grass	88,865	89	89	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	88,865	217	217	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	88,865	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	88,865	150	150	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	88,865	306	306	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	88,865	49	49	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	88,865	424	424	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	88,865	203	203	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	88,865	37	37	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	88,865	426	426	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	88,865	413	413	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	88,865	266	266	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	88,865	243	243	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	88,865	1,200	1,200	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	88,865	189	189	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	88,865	1,254	1,254	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	88,865	1,269	1,269	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	88,865	15,414	15,414	17.35 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	88,865	187	187	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	88,865	215	215	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Speed	88,865	63	63	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Tarboro	88,865	10,721	10,721	12.06 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Edgecombe)	88,865	290	290	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Williamston	88,865	5,248	5,248	5.91 %	100.00 %
	Windsor	88,865	3,582	3,582	4.03 %	100.00 %
24	Black Creek	83,322	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	83,322	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	83,322	1,218	1,218	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	83,322	198	198	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	83,322	1,036	1,036	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	83,322	38,927	241	0.29 %	0.62 %
	Saratoga	83,322	353	353	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	83,322	1,061	1,061	1.27 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	83,322	421	421	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Sims	83,322	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	83,322	762	762	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	83,322	47,851	47,851	57.43 %	100.00 %
25	Bailey	90,432	568	568	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	90,432	264	264	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	90,432	1,082	1,082	1.20 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
25	Middlesex	90,432	912	912	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	90,432	277	277	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	90,432	5,632	5,632	6.23 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	90,432	3,342	3,342	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	90,432	38,927	38,686	42.78 %	99.38 %
	Spring Hope	90,432	1,309	1,309	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	90,432	337	337	0.37 %	100.00 %
26	Archer Lodge	90,540	4,797	4,797	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	90,540	26,307	26,307	29.06 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	90,540	2,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	90,540	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
27	Conway	84,735	752	752	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	84,735	1,865	1,865	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	84,735	904	904	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	84,735	1,008	1,008	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	84,735	170	170	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	84,735	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	84,735	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	84,735	64	64	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	84,735	559	559	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,735	110	110	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	84,735	920	920	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	84,735	894	894	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	84,735	15,229	15,229	17.97 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	84,735	1,640	1,640	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	84,735	542	542	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Severn	84,735	191	191	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	84,735	851	851	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Weldon	84,735	1,444	1,444	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Woodland	84,735	557	557	0.66 %	100.00 %
28	Benson (Johnston)	85,389	3,967	3,967	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	85,389	2,158	2,158	2.53 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	85,389	1,293	1,293	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Micro	85,389	458	458	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	85,389	2,046	2,046	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	85,389	1,315	1,315	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Selma	85,389	6,317	6,317	7.40 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	85,389	11,292	11,292	13.22 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	85,389	2,534	2,534	2.97 %	100.00 %
29	Chapel Hill (Durham)	90,900	2,906	2,906	3.20 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
29	Durham (Durham)	90,900	283,093	85,000	93.51 %	30.03 %
30	Durham (Durham)	90,935	283,093	89,923	98.89 %	31.76 %
31	Durham (Durham)	90,999	283,093	75,366	82.82 %	26.62 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	90,999	207	207	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	90,999	1,559	1,559	1.71 %	100.00 %
32	Butner	85,704	8,397	8,397	9.80 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	85,704	15,060	15,060	17.57 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	85,704	132	132	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	85,704	101	101	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	85,704	8,628	8,628	10.07 %	100.00 %
	Stem	85,704	960	960	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	85,704	324	324	0.38 %	100.00 %
33	Clayton (Wake)	86,863	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,863	34,152	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	86,863	31,159	30,658	35.29 %	98.39 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,863	466,106	21,874	25.18 %	4.69 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	82,860	466,106	82,655	99.75 %	17.73 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	86,765	466,106	16,132	18.59 %	3.46 %
	Rolesville	86,765	9,475	9,475	10.92 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	86,765	46,097	46,097	53.13 %	100.00 %
36	Apex	89,336	58,780	45,473	50.90 %	77.36 %
	Cary (Wake)	89,336	171,012	8,272	9.26 %	4.84 %
	Holly Springs	89,336	41,239	25,760	28.83 %	62.47 %
37	Angier (Wake)	88,334	556	556	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,334	171,012	2,012	2.28 %	1.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	88,334	34,152	34,152	38.66 %	100.00 %
	Garner	88,334	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	88,334	41,239	14,124	15.99 %	34.25 %
38	Garner	90,560	31,159	11	0.01 %	0.04 %
	Knightdale	90,560	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,560	466,106	87,892	97.05 %	18.86 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	86,971	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	86,971	19,435	19,435	22.35 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,971	466,106	11,902	13.69 %	2.55 %
	Wendell	86,971	9,793	9,793	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	86,971	6,903	6,903	7.94 %	100.00 %
40	Durham (Wake)	84,115	269	269	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,115	466,106	41,316	49.12 %	8.86 %
41	Apex	84,646	58,780	13,301	15.71 %	22.63 %
	Cary (Wake)	84,646	171,012	70,058	82.77 %	40.97 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
41	Morrisville (Wake)	84,646	29,423	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,646	466,106	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
42	Fayetteville	84,462	208,501	67,330	79.72 %	32.29 %
	Spring Lake	84,462	11,660	11,660	13.81 %	100.00 %
43	Eastover	83,198	3,656	3,656	4.39 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	83,198	324	324	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	83,198	208,501	35,621	42.81 %	17.08 %
	Godwin	83,198	128	128	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Linden	83,198	136	136	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	83,198	1,277	1,277	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Wade	83,198	638	638	0.77 %	100.00 %
44	Fayetteville	83,751	208,501	78,340	93.54 %	37.57 %
45	Fayetteville	83,317	208,501	27,210	32.66 %	13.05 %
	Hope Mills	83,317	17,808	17,808	21.37 %	100.00 %
46	Boardman	84,307	166	166	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	84,307	519	519	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	84,307	973	973	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	84,307	131	131	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	84,307	1,574	1,574	1.87 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	84,307	709	709	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	84,307	1,296	1,296	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	84,307	82	82	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	84,307	19,025	454	0.54 %	2.39 %
	Orrum	84,307	59	59	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	84,307	504	504	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	84,307	121	121	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	84,307	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	84,307	2,045	2,045	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	84,307	3,781	3,781	4.48 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	84,307	4,766	4,766	5.65 %	100.00 %
47	Fairmont	82,846	2,191	2,191	2.64 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	82,846	19,025	18,571	22.42 %	97.61 %
	Marietta	82,846	111	111	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	82,846	1,902	1,902	2.30 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	82,846	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	82,846	2,823	2,823	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	82,846	60	60	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	82,846	3,087	3,087	3.73 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	82,846	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	82,846	885	885	1.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
48	East Laurinburg	86,256	234	234	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	86,256	449	449	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	86,256	14,978	14,978	17.36 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	86,256	208	208	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	86,256	4,559	4,559	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	86,256	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wagram	86,256	615	615	0.71 %	100.00 %
49	Cary (Wake)	90,415	171,012	17,512	19.37 %	10.24 %
	Durham (Wake)	90,415	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,415	466,106	69,909	77.32 %	15.00 %
50	Carrboro	85,776	21,295	2,848	3.32 %	13.37 %
	Durham (Orange)	85,776	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	85,776	9,660	9,660	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	85,776	3,171	3,171	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Milton	85,776	155	155	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	85,776	1,937	1,937	2.26 %	100.00 %
51	Broadway (Lee)	83,073	1,267	1,267	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	83,073	244	244	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	83,073	2,775	2,747	3.31 %	98.99 %
	Sanford	83,073	30,261	30,261	36.43 %	100.00 %
	Vass	83,073	952	952	1.15 %	100.00 %
52	Aberdeen	84,383	8,516	8,516	10.09 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	84,383	2,775	28	0.03 %	1.01 %
	Dobbins Heights	84,383	687	687	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	84,383	864	864	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	84,383	1,288	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Hamlet	84,383	6,025	6,025	7.14 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	84,383	418	418	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Norman	84,383	100	100	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	84,383	1,473	1,473	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	84,383	17,581	8	0.01 %	0.05 %
	Rockingham	84,383	9,243	9,243	10.95 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	84,383	15,545	15,545	18.42 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	84,383	634	4	0.00 %	0.63 %
	Whispering Pines	84,383	4,987	4,987	5.91 %	100.00 %
53	Angier (Harnett)	89,622	4,709	4,709	5.25 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Coats	89,622	2,155	2,155	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	89,622	8,446	8,446	9.42 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	89,622	4,542	4,542	5.07 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
53	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	89,622	4,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
54	Cary (Chatham)	83,475	3,709	3,709	4.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	83,475	234	234	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	83,475	2,655	2,655	3.18 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	83,475	4,537	4,537	5.44 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	83,475	7,702	7,702	9.23 %	100.00 %
	Staley	83,475	397	397	0.48 %	100.00 %
55	Ansonville	84,549	440	440	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	84,549	395	395	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	84,549	2,522	2,522	2.98 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	84,549	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	84,549	3,159	193	0.23 %	6.11 %
	Monroe	84,549	34,562	20,688	24.47 %	59.86 %
	Morven	84,549	329	329	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	84,549	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	84,549	2,250	2,250	2.66 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	84,549	5,008	5,008	5.92 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	84,549	20,534	1,631	1.93 %	7.94 %
	Wingate	84,549	4,055	4,055	4.80 %	100.00 %
56	Carrboro	85,656	21,295	18,447	21.54 %	86.63 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	85,656	59,054	59,054	68.94 %	100.00 %
57	Greensboro	91,158	299,035	72,113	79.11 %	24.12 %
	Oak Ridge	91,158	7,474	29	0.03 %	0.39 %
	Summerfield	91,158	10,951	10,951	12.01 %	100.00 %
58	Greensboro	89,713	299,035	72,909	81.27 %	24.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,713	107,321	2,538	2.83 %	2.36 %
	Jamestown	89,713	3,668	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	89,713	5,000	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
59	Burlington (Guilford)	87,559	1,822	1,822	2.08 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	87,559	4,642	4,642	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	87,559	299,035	18,796	21.47 %	6.29 %
	Pleasant Garden	87,559	5,000	5,000	5.71 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	87,559	676	676	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	87,559	584	584	0.67 %	100.00 %
60	Archdale (Guilford)	90,919	380	380	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	90,919	299,035	1,397	1.54 %	0.47 %
	High Point (Guilford)	90,919	107,321	77,884	85.66 %	72.57 %
	Jamestown	90,919	3,668	3,668	4.03 %	100.00 %
61	Greensboro	90,814	299,035	90,814	100.00 %	30.37 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
62	Greensboro	91,136	299,035	43,006	47.19 %	14.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	91,136	107,321	26,899	29.52 %	25.06 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	91,136	502	502	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	91,136	7,474	7,445	8.17 %	99.61 %
	Stokesdale	91,136	5,924	5,924	6.50 %	100.00 %
63	Burlington (Alamance)	83,540	55,481	20,671	24.74 %	37.26 %
	Graham	83,540	17,157	17,157	20.54 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	83,540	3,152	3,152	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	83,540	2,252	2,252	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	83,540	14,626	14,626	17.51 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	83,540	2,445	2,445	2.93 %	100.00 %
64	Alamance	87,875	988	988	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	87,875	55,481	34,810	39.61 %	62.74 %
	Elon	87,875	11,336	11,336	12.90 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	87,875	4,278	4,278	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Graham	87,875	17,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ossipee	87,875	536	536	0.61 %	100.00 %
65	Eden	91,096	15,421	15,421	16.93 %	100.00 %
	Madison	91,096	2,129	2,129	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	91,096	2,418	2,418	2.65 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	91,096	14,583	14,583	16.01 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	91,096	1,308	1,308	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	91,096	2,662	2,662	2.92 %	100.00 %
66	Raleigh (Wake)	84,245	466,106	82,193	97.56 %	17.63 %
67	Albemarle	88,255	16,432	16,432	18.62 %	100.00 %
	Badin	88,255	2,024	2,024	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	88,255	1,848	1,848	2.09 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	88,255	813	813	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	88,255	3,996	3,996	4.53 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	88,255	650	650	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	88,255	1,171	1,171	1.33 %	100.00 %
	New London	88,255	607	607	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	88,255	2,367	2,367	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	88,255	2,128	2,128	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	88,255	762	762	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	88,255	582	582	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	88,255	1,585	1,585	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Star	88,255	806	806	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Troy	88,255	2,850	2,850	3.23 %	100.00 %
68	Indian Trail	86,978	39,997	5,590	6.43 %	13.98 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
68	Marvin	86,978	6,358	6,358	7.31 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	86,978	3,159	2,966	3.41 %	93.89 %
	Monroe	86,978	34,562	1,511	1.74 %	4.37 %
	Stallings (Union)	86,978	15,728	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Waxhaw	86,978	20,534	18,903	21.73 %	92.06 %
	Weddington (Union)	86,978	13,176	13,172	15.14 %	99.97 %
	Wesley Chapel	86,978	8,681	8,449	9.71 %	97.33 %
69	Fairview	88,795	3,456	3,456	3.89 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	88,795	1,614	1,614	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	88,795	39,997	34,407	38.75 %	86.02 %
	Lake Park	88,795	3,269	3,269	3.68 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	88,795	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	88,795	34,562	12,363	13.92 %	35.77 %
	Stallings (Union)	88,795	15,728	15,728	17.71 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	88,795	6,643	6,643	7.48 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	88,795	13,176	4	0.00 %	0.03 %
	Wesley Chapel	88,795	8,681	232	0.26 %	2.67 %
70	Archdale (Randolph)	89,118	11,527	11,527	12.93 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	89,118	27,156	25,890	29.05 %	95.34 %
	High Point (Randolph)	89,118	8	8	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	89,118	4,595	4,595	5.16 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	89,118	521	521	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	89,118	7,006	7,006	7.86 %	100.00 %
71	Winston-Salem	83,748	249,545	81,468	97.28 %	32.65 %
72	Winston-Salem	83,262	249,545	83,262	100.00 %	33.37 %
73	Concord	89,909	105,240	27,131	30.18 %	25.78 %
	Harrisburg	89,909	18,967	18,967	21.10 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	89,909	541	541	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	89,909	4,684	4,684	5.21 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	89,909	1,671	1,671	1.86 %	100.00 %
74	Bethania	89,692	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	89,692	21,163	21,163	23.60 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	89,692	13,381	13,381	14.92 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	89,692	249,545	40,157	44.77 %	16.09 %
75	High Point (Forsyth)	84,659	84	84	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,659	25,947	25,947	30.65 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	84,659	5,692	4,257	5.03 %	74.79 %
	Winston-Salem	84,659	249,545	31,045	36.67 %	12.44 %
76	East Spencer	89,815	1,567	1,567	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Faith	89,815	819	819	0.91 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
76	Granite Quarry	89,815	2,984	2,984	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	89,815	2,302	2,302	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	89,815	35,540	35,540	39.57 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	89,815	3,308	3,308	3.68 %	100.00 %
77	Bermuda Run	90,628	3,120	3,120	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	90,628	1,185	1,185	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	90,628	846	846	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	90,628	940	940	1.04 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	90,628	634	634	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	90,628	2,308	2,308	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	90,628	5,900	5,900	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	90,628	2,995	2,995	3.30 %	100.00 %
78	Aberdeen	86,365	8,516	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Asheboro	86,365	27,156	1,266	1.47 %	4.66 %
	Candor (Moore)	86,365	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Foxfire	86,365	1,288	1,288	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	86,365	1,197	1,197	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	86,365	17,581	17,573	20.35 %	99.95 %
	Ramseur	86,365	1,774	1,774	2.05 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	86,365	1,168	1,168	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	86,365	235	235	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	86,365	15,545	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Taylortown	86,365	634	630	0.73 %	99.37 %
79	Alliance	83,163	733	733	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	83,163	416	416	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	83,163	455	455	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Bath	83,163	245	245	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	83,163	1,161	1,161	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	83,163	1,410	1,410	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	83,163	722	722	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	83,163	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	83,163	7,656	538	0.65 %	7.03 %
	Manteo	83,163	1,600	1,600	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	83,163	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	83,163	530	530	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	83,163	3,168	3,168	3.81 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	83,163	880	880	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	83,163	164	164	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Stonewall	83,163	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Vandemere	83,163	246	246	0.30 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
79	Washington	83,163	9,875	9,875	11.87 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	83,163	392	392	0.47 %	100.00 %
80	Denton	84,864	1,494	1,494	1.76 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	84,864	6,646	6,646	7.83 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	84,864	19,632	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	84,864	4,742	3,469	4.09 %	73.15 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	84,864	26,662	26,662	31.42 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	84,864	3,051	3,051	3.60 %	100.00 %
81	Lexington	84,066	19,632	19,632	23.35 %	100.00 %
	Midway	84,066	4,742	1,273	1.51 %	26.85 %
82	Concord	91,069	105,240	58,729	64.49 %	55.80 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	91,069	42,846	29,011	31.86 %	67.71 %
83	China Grove	91,184	4,434	4,434	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Concord	91,184	105,240	19,380	21.25 %	18.42 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	91,184	42,846	13,835	15.17 %	32.29 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	91,184	10,268	10,268	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Landis	91,184	3,690	3,690	4.05 %	100.00 %
84	Harmony	85,875	543	543	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	85,875	154	154	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,875	28,419	28,415	33.09 %	99.99 %
	Troutman	85,875	3,698	885	1.03 %	23.93 %
85	Bakersville	89,525	450	450	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	89,525	1,049	1,049	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	89,525	62	62	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	89,525	1,614	1,614	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	89,525	143	143	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	89,525	542	542	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	89,525	95	95	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Marion	89,525	7,717	7,717	8.62 %	100.00 %
	Newland	89,525	715	715	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	89,525	811	811	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	89,525	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	89,525	2,194	2,194	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	89,525	371	371	0.41 %	100.00 %
86	Connelly Springs	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Drexel	87,570	1,760	1,760	2.01 %	100.00 %
	Glen Alpine	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Burke)	87,570	79	79	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Hildebran	87,570	1,679	1,679	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Burke)	87,570	735	735	0.84 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
86	Morganton	87,570	17,474	17,474	19.95 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	87,570	639	639	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	87,570	1,226	1,226	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	87,570	4,689	4,689	5.35 %	100.00 %
87	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	85,009	91	91	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	85,009	1,285	5	0.01 %	0.39 %
	Boone	85,009	19,092	595	0.70 %	3.12 %
	Cajah's Mountain	85,009	2,722	2,722	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	85,009	301	301	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	85,009	3,702	3,702	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	85,009	4,965	4,965	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	85,009	32	32	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	85,009	3,780	3,780	4.45 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	85,009	18,352	18,352	21.59 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	85,009	358	358	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	85,009	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	85,009	5,020	5,020	5.91 %	100.00 %
88	Charlotte	83,209	874,579	83,209	100.00 %	9.51 %
89	Catawba	85,577	702	702	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	85,577	1,692	1,692	1.98 %	100.00 %
	Conover	85,577	8,421	424	0.50 %	5.04 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	85,577	43,379	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	85,577	3,736	3,736	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Newton	85,577	13,148	13,148	15.36 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,577	28,419	4	0.00 %	0.01 %
	Troutman	85,577	3,698	2,813	3.29 %	76.07 %
90	Dobson	82,937	1,462	1,462	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	82,937	4,049	4,049	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	82,937	73	73	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	82,937	10,676	10,676	12.87 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	82,937	1,440	1,440	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	82,937	438	438	0.53 %	100.00 %
91	Bethania	85,749	344	344	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	85,749	189	189	0.22 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	85,749	591	591	0.69 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	85,749	6,606	6,606	7.70 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	85,749	3,351	3,351	3.91 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	85,749	2,578	2,578	3.01 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	85,749	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walkertown	85,749	5,692	1,435	1.67 %	25.21 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
91	Walnut Cove	85,749	1,586	1,586	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	85,749	249,545	13,613	15.88 %	5.46 %
92	Charlotte	85,088	874,579	63,700	74.86 %	7.28 %
93	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	87,194	613	613	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87,194	1,285	1,280	1.47 %	99.61 %
	Boone	87,194	19,092	18,497	21.21 %	96.88 %
	Jefferson	87,194	1,622	1,622	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	87,194	126	126	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	87,194	275	275	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	87,194	1,834	1,834	2.10 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	87,194	1,279	1,279	1.47 %	100.00 %
94	North Wilkesboro	90,835	4,382	4,382	4.82 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	90,835	2,320	2,320	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	90,835	3,687	3,687	4.06 %	100.00 %
95	Davidson (Iredell)	86,264	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	86,264	50,193	50,193	58.19 %	100.00 %
96	Brookford	89,587	442	442	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Conover	89,587	8,421	7,997	8.93 %	94.96 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	89,587	43,379	43,379	48.42 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	89,587	4,353	4,353	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Newton	89,587	13,148	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
97	Lincolnton	86,810	11,091	11,091	12.78 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	86,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
98	Cornelius	82,669	31,412	31,412	38.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	82,669	14,728	14,728	17.82 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	82,669	61,376	34,762	42.05 %	56.64 %
99	Charlotte	86,649	874,579	82,516	95.23 %	9.43 %
100	Charlotte	84,206	874,579	84,206	100.00 %	9.63 %
101	Charlotte	87,644	874,579	76,712	87.53 %	8.77 %
102	Charlotte	87,483	874,579	87,483	100.00 %	10.00 %
103	Charlotte	88,259	874,579	57,696	65.37 %	6.60 %
	Matthews	88,259	29,435	29,435	33.35 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	88,259	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	88,259	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
104	Charlotte	82,781	874,579	82,781	100.00 %	9.47 %
	Pineville	82,781	10,602	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
105	Charlotte	84,101	874,579	69,435	82.56 %	7.94 %
	Pineville	84,101	10,602	10,602	12.61 %	100.00 %
106	Charlotte	86,758	874,579	59,599	68.70 %	6.81 %
	Huntersville	86,758	61,376	20,721	23.88 %	33.76 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
107	Charlotte	86,497	874,579	75,124	86.85 %	8.59 %
	Huntersville	86,497	61,376	5,893	6.81 %	9.60 %
108	Belmont	84,457	15,010	6,006	7.11 %	40.01 %
	Cramerton	84,457	5,296	123	0.15 %	2.32 %
	Dallas	84,457	5,927	5,927	7.02 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	84,457	80,411	9,831	11.64 %	12.23 %
	Lowell	84,457	3,654	3,654	4.33 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	84,457	890	890	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	84,457	17,703	17,703	20.96 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	84,457	4,511	4,511	5.34 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	84,457	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	84,457	3,963	3,963	4.69 %	100.00 %
109	Belmont	87,462	15,010	9,004	10.29 %	59.99 %
	Cramerton	87,462	5,296	5,173	5.91 %	97.68 %
	Gastonia	87,462	80,411	52,909	60.49 %	65.80 %
	Lowell	87,462	3,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
110	Belwood	89,588	857	857	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	89,588	5,428	5,428	6.06 %	100.00 %
	Casar	89,588	305	305	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	89,588	6,078	6,078	6.78 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	89,588	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	89,588	627	627	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	89,588	80,411	17,671	19.72 %	21.98 %
	High Shoals	89,588	595	595	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	89,588	10,032	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	89,588	1,110	1,110	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	89,588	656	656	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	89,588	406	406	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	89,588	570	570	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	89,588	516	516	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	89,588	21,918	4,432	4.95 %	20.22 %
	Waco	89,588	310	310	0.35 %	100.00 %
111	Boiling Springs	90,809	4,615	4,615	5.08 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	90,809	355	355	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Earl	90,809	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	90,809	723	723	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	90,809	7,377	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Grover	90,809	802	802	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	90,809	10,032	10,032	11.05 %	100.00 %
	Mooresboro	90,809	293	293	0.32 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
111	Patterson Springs	90,809	571	571	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	90,809	21,918	17,486	19.26 %	79.78 %
112	Charlotte	90,138	874,579	52,118	57.82 %	5.96 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	90,138	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	90,138	26,444	26,444	29.34 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	90,138	384	373	0.41 %	97.14 %
113	Chimney Rock Village	91,102	140	140	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	91,102	1,060	1,060	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	91,102	3,486	3,486	3.83 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	91,102	7,377	7,377	8.10 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	91,102	15,137	731	0.80 %	4.83 %
	Lake Lure	91,102	1,365	1,365	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Marion	91,102	7,717	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ruth	91,102	347	347	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	91,102	3,640	3,640	4.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	91,102	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	91,102	620	620	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	91,102	4,225	4,225	4.64 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	91,102	1,562	1,562	1.71 %	100.00 %
114	Asheville	89,016	94,589	43,664	49.05 %	46.16 %
	Black Mountain	89,016	8,426	8,426	9.47 %	100.00 %
	Montreat	89,016	901	901	1.01 %	100.00 %
115	Asheville	89,565	94,589	24,831	27.72 %	26.25 %
	Biltmore Forest	89,565	1,409	1,409	1.57 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	90,871	94,589	26,094	28.72 %	27.59 %
	Weaverville	90,871	4,567	4,567	5.03 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	90,871	7,936	7,936	8.73 %	100.00 %
117	Flat Rock	90,329	3,486	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fletcher	90,329	7,987	7,987	8.84 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	90,329	15,137	14,406	15.95 %	95.17 %
	Laurel Park	90,329	2,250	2,250	2.49 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	90,329	7,078	7,078	7.84 %	100.00 %
118	Canton	83,282	4,422	4,422	5.31 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	83,282	1,368	1,368	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	83,282	520	520	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	83,282	1,687	1,687	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	83,282	2,007	2,007	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	83,282	777	777	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	83,282	10,140	10,140	12.18 %	100.00 %
119	Brevard	90,212	7,744	7,744	8.58 %	100.00 %

District - Municipality by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
119	Bryson City	90,212	1,558	1,558	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	90,212	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	90,212	303	303	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	90,212	12	12	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	90,212	701	701	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	90,212	2,578	2,578	2.86 %	100.00 %
	Webster	90,212	372	372	0.41 %	100.00 %
120	Andrews	84,907	1,667	1,667	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	84,907	13	13	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	84,907	4,175	4,175	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	84,907	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	84,907	1,060	1,060	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	84,907	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	84,907	1,608	1,608	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	84,907	597	597	0.70 %	100.00 %
			Total:	**6,017,605**		

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Split Municipalities: 76

Splits Involving Population: 49

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Chowan	6	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	3	1
	Perquimans	7	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Durham	8	0
	Person	11	0
3	Craven	19	1
4	Duplin	19	0
	Wayne	6	2
5	Camden	3	0
	Gates	6	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
6	Harnett	7	0
7	Franklin	18	0
	Granville	2	1
8	Pitt	22	0
9	Pitt	18	0
10	Wayne	20	2
11	Wake	13	0
12	Greene	10	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
13	Carteret	28	0
	Craven	1	1
14	Onslow	9	0
15	Onslow	11	0
16	Onslow	4	0
	Pender	20	0
17	Brunswick	14	0
18	New Hanover	17	0
19	Brunswick	11	0
	New Hanover	7	0
20	New Hanover	19	0
21	Wake	9	0
22	Bladen	17	0
	Sampson	23	0
23	Bertie	12	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Martin	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
24	Nash	1	0
	Wilson	24	0
25	Nash	23	0
26	Johnston	12	0
27	Halifax	23	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Warren	14	0
28	Johnston	18	0
29	Durham	16	0
30	Durham	21	0
31	Durham	12	0
32	Granville	12	1
	Vance	12	0
33	Wake	15	0
34	Wake	27	0
35	Wake	14	0
36	Wake	12	0
37	Wake	12	0
38	Wake	18	0
39	Wake	13	0
40	Wake	18	0
41	Wake	20	0
42	Cumberland	12	0
43	Cumberland	21	0
44	Cumberland	28	0
45	Cumberland	15	0
46	Columbus	26	0
	Robeson	9	1
47	Robeson	29	1
48	Hoke	15	0
	Scotland	7	0
49	Wake	18	0
50	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	18	0
51	Lee	10	0
	Moore	4	0
52	Moore	10	0
	Richmond	16	0
53	Harnett	6	0
	Johnston	6	0
54	Chatham	18	0
	Randolph	2	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
55	Anson	9	0
	Union	19	0
56	Orange	23	0
57	Guilford	27	0
58	Guilford	25	0
59	Guilford	23	0
60	Guilford	30	0
61	Guilford	31	0
62	Guilford	29	0
63	Alamance	16	0
64	Alamance	21	0
65	Rockingham	15	0
66	Wake	15	0
67	Montgomery	14	0
	Stanly	22	0
68	Union	14	0
69	Union	19	0
70	Randolph	12	0
71	Forsyth	24	0
72	Forsyth	28	0
73	Cabarrus	17	0
74	Forsyth	20	0
75	Forsyth	18	0
76	Rowan	25	0
77	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Yadkin	12	0
78	Moore	12	0
	Randolph	8	0
79	Beaufort	21	0
	Dare	12	1
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
80	Davidson	22	0
81	Davidson	21	0
82	Cabarrus	18	0
83	Cabarrus	5	0
	Rowan	11	0
84	Iredell	18	1
85	Avery	19	0
	McDowell	15	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Yancey	11	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
86	Burke	33	0
87	Caldwell	20	0
	Watauga	1	0
88	Mecklenburg	19	0
89	Catawba	17	0
	Iredell	2	0
90	Surry	24	0
	Wilkes	6	0
91	Forsyth	11	0
	Stokes	18	0
92	Mecklenburg	8	0
93	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Watauga	19	0
94	Alexander	10	0
	Wilkes	21	0
95	Iredell	8	1
96	Catawba	23	0
97	Lincoln	23	0
98	Mecklenburg	9	1
99	Mecklenburg	13	0
100	Mecklenburg	22	0
101	Mecklenburg	14	0
102	Mecklenburg	19	0
103	Mecklenburg	17	0
104	Mecklenburg	24	0
105	Mecklenburg	13	0
106	Mecklenburg	9	1
107	Mecklenburg	11	0
108	Gaston	16	0
109	Gaston	17	0
110	Cleveland	10	0
	Gaston	13	0
111	Cleveland	11	0
	Rutherford	6	0
112	Mecklenburg	16	0
113	Henderson	7	0
	McDowell	2	0
	Polk	7	0
	Rutherford	11	0
114	Buncombe	34	0
115	Buncombe	20	0
116	Buncombe	25	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
117	Henderson	27	0
118	Haywood	29	0
	Madison	12	0
119	Jackson	13	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
120	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Macon	15	0
	Total:	**2,658**	

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	20	1
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	15	1
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	14	1
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	28	1
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	194	1
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	38	1
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	26	2
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
Totals:	**2,658**	**8**

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Split VTD Detail Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Craven	002	3	18,203	6,483	35.62 %
		13	18,203	11,720	64.38 %
Dare	KDH	1	7,656	7,118	92.97 %
		79	7,656	538	7.03 %
Granville	CRDM	7	7,211	2,929	40.62 %
		32	7,211	4,282	59.38 %
Iredell	BA	84	7,790	6,892	88.47 %
		95	7,790	898	11.53 %
Mecklenburg	133	98	7,343	5,129	69.85 %
		106	7,343	2,214	30.15 %
Robeson	030	46	4,728	4,453	94.18 %
		47	4,728	275	5.82 %
Wayne	015	4	5,882	5,197	88.35 %
		10	5,882	685	11.65 %
	016	4	3,810	1,720	45.14 %
		10	3,810	2,090	54.86 %
		Assigned Geography Total:		**62,623**	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adams	James	Republican	96	96
Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41	41
Ager	John	Democratic	115	115
Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107	107
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	29
Arp	Larry	Republican	69	69
Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100	100
Baker	Amber	Democratic	72	72
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	82
Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49	49
Belk	Mary	Democratic	88	88
Bell	John	Republican	10	10
Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86	86
Boles	James	Republican	52	52
Bradford	John	Republican	98	98
Brisson	William	Republican	22	22
Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60	60
Brody	Mark	Republican	55	55
Brown	Terry	Democratic	92	92
Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18	18
Carney	Becky	Democratic	102	102
Clampitt	James	Republican	119	119
Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57	57
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	14
Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24	24
Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106	106
Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11	11
Davis	Robert	Republican	20	20
Dixon	James	Republican	4	4
Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94	94
Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35	35
Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62	62
Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9	9
Gailliard	James	Democratic	25	25
Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32	32
Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33	33
Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120	120
Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1	1
Graham	Charles	Democratic	47	47
Greene	Edwin	Republican	85	85
Hall	Destin	Republican	87	87
Hall	Kyle	Republican	91	91

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Hanig	Robert	Republican	6	1
Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59	59
Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105	105
Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61	61
Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110	110
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	31
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	77
Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12	12
Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103	103
Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5	5
Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70	70
Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63	63
Iler	Francis	Republican	17	17
Insko	Verla	Democratic	56	56
John	Joseph	Democratic	40	40
Johnson	Jake	Republican	113	113
Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38	38
Jones	Brenden	Republican	46	46
Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79	79
Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75	75
Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109	109
Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104	104
Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101	101
Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42	42
Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99	99
Martin	David	Democratic	34	34
McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13	13
McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84	84
McNeill	Allen	Republican	78	78
Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50	50
Miller	Charles	Republican	19	19
Mills	Paul	Republican	95	95
Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117	117
Moore	Timothy	Republican	111	111
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	30
Moss	Ben	Republican	66	52
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	37
Penny	Howard	Republican	53	53
Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93	93
Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48	48
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	82
Pless	Steven	Republican	118	118

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM
Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.
[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

Incumbent-District Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Potts	Larry	Republican	81	81
Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65	65
Quick	Amos	Democratic	58	58
Reives	Robert	Democratic	54	54
Richardson	William	Democratic	44	44
Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64	64
Roberson	James	Democratic	39	39
Rogers	David	Republican	112	113
Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114	116
Saine	Jason	Republican	97	97
Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67	67
Sauls	John	Republican	51	51
Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89	89
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	15
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	16
Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8	8
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	10
Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90	90
Strickland	Larry	Republican	28	28
Szoka	John	Republican	45	45
Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71	71
Torbett	John	Republican	108	108
Turner	Brian	Democratic	116	115
Tyson	John	Republican	3	3
von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36	36
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	76
Watford	Samuel	Republican	80	80
Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43	43
White	Donna	Republican	26	26
Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23	23
Willis	David	Republican	68	68
Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7	7
Wray	Michael	Democratic	27	27
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	2
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	77
Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74	74

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1
	Hanig	Robert	Republican	6
2	Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2
3	Tyson	John	Republican	3
4	Dixon	James	Republican	4
5	Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5
6				
7	Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7
8	Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8
9	Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9
10	Bell	John	Republican	10
	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21
11	Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11
12	Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12
13	McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13
14	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
15	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
16	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
17	Iler	Francis	Republican	17
18	Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18
19	Miller	Charles	Republican	19
20	Davis	Robert	Republican	20
21				
22	Brisson	William	Republican	22
23	Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23
24	Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24
25	Gailliard	James	Democratic	25
26	White	Donna	Republican	26
27	Wray	Michael	Democratic	27
28	Strickland	Larry	Republican	28
29	Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29
30	Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30
31	Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31
32	Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32
33	Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33
34	Martin	David	Democratic	34
35	Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35
36	von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36
37	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
38	Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38
39	Roberson	James	Democratic	39
40	John	Joseph	Democratic	40

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
41	Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41
42	Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42
43	Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43
44	Richardson	William	Democratic	44
45	Szoka	John	Republican	45
46	Jones	Brenden	Republican	46
47	Graham	Charles	Democratic	47
48	Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48
49	Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49
50	Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50
51	Sauls	John	Republican	51
52	Boles	James	Republican	52
	Moss	Ben	Republican	66
53	Penny	Howard	Republican	53
54	Reives	Robert	Democratic	54
55	Brody	Mark	Republican	55
56	Insko	Verla	Democratic	56
57	Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57
58	Quick	Amos	Democratic	58
59	Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59
60	Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60
61	Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61
62	Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62
63	Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63
64	Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64
65	Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65
66				
67	Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67
68	Willis	David	Republican	68
69	Arp	Larry	Republican	69
70	Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70
71	Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71
72	Baker	Amber	Democratic	72
73				
74	Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74
75	Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75
76	Warren	Harry	Republican	76
77	Howard	Julia	Republican	77
	Zachary	Walter	Republican	73
78	McNeill	Allen	Republican	78
79	Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79
80	Watford	Samuel	Republican	80

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
81	Potts	Larry	Republican	81
82	Baker	Kristin	Republican	82
	Pittman	Larry	Republican	83
83				
84	McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84
85	Greene	Edwin	Republican	85
86	Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86
87	Hall	Destin	Republican	87
88	Belk	Mary	Democratic	88
89	Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89
90	Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90
91	Hall	Kyle	Republican	91
92	Brown	Terry	Democratic	92
93	Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93
94	Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94
95	Mills	Paul	Republican	95
96	Adams	James	Republican	96
97	Saine	Jason	Republican	97
98	Bradford	John	Republican	98
99	Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99
100	Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100
101	Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101
102	Carney	Becky	Democratic	102
103	Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103
104	Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104
105	Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105
106	Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106
107	Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107
108	Torbett	John	Republican	108
109	Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109
110	Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110
111	Moore	Timothy	Republican	111
112				
113	Johnson	Jake	Republican	113
	Rogers	David	Republican	112
114				
115	Ager	John	Democratic	115
	Turner	Brian	Democratic	116
116	Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114
117	Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117
118	Pless	Steven	Republican	118
119	Clampitt	James	Republican	119

District-Incumbent Report

District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
120	Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120

Legislative Defendants' Remedial State House Plan (HB 980/SL 2022-4) Materials*†

* Corresponding csv files and shapefiles are submitted to the Court in native file via flash drive.

† Maptitude or an equivalent program is required to open these files.