

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

TRANSCRIPTION OF VIDEO FILE

11

NORTH DAKOTA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

12

REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

13

SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXHIBIT

C



1 SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

2 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: We'll call the
3 Redistricting Committee to order.

4 Emily, if you would take the roll, I
5 would appreciate that.

6 MS. THOMPSON: And Chairman Devlin.

7 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Here.

8 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Bellew.

9 REPRESENTATIVE BELLEW: Here.

10 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Boschee.

11 REPRESENTATIVE BOSCHEE: Here.

12 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Headland.

13 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Here.

14 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Lefor.

15 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Here.

16 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Monson.

17 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Here.

18 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Nathe.

19 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Here.

20 MS. THOMPSON: Representative Schauer.

21 REPRESENTATIVE SCHAUER: Here.

22 MS. THOMPSON: And Senator Holmberg.

23 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Here.

24 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Bekkedahl.

25 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Here.



1 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Burckhard.

2 SENATOR BURCKHARD: Here.

3 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Erbele.

4 SENATOR ERBELE: Here.

5 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Klein.

6 SENATOR KLEIN: Here.

7 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Oban.

8 SENATOR OBAN: Here.

9 MS. THOMPSON: Senator Poolman.

10 SENATOR Poolman: Here.

11 MS. THOMPSON: And Senator Sorvaag.

12 SENATOR SORVAAG: Here.

13 MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, we have a
14 quorum.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you.

16 Representative Monson, as we can see, is
17 joining us by Teams today.

18 We will -- what are your wishes for the
19 minutes from our September 8th meeting?

20 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Motion to approve,
21 Your Honor.

22 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Second.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Motion has been moved
24 and approved. Motion has been moved and seconded
25 to approve the minutes.



1 Any discussion?

2 Seeing none, all those in favor of the
3 minutes, say aye.

4 (Unanimous ayes)

5 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Nay?

6 (No audible response)

7 Motion carries.

8 Well, we are going to start today with
9 the -- some comments from representatives of the
10 Tribal Nations. I think Commissioner Davis is
11 maybe going to lead this off, and I may be wrong
12 on that.

13 Are you going to introduce tribal
14 members that are here today, or what is your
15 wishes?

16 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes, I can.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. Thank you very
18 much.

19 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Chairman, Committee
20 members. Just for the record, my name is Nathan
21 Davis. I'm the commissioner of North Dakota
22 Indian Affairs.

23 As was discussed the last meeting that
24 was here, I had reached out to the Tribal Nations
25 to ensure that there is that conversation, that



1 back and forth. And I think it will be a nice
2 build up with some of them on the Travel State
3 Relation Committee meetings that we touched on
4 some of the redistricting issues to really start
5 that conversation on that front as well.

6 But with me today we have some
7 representative from NARF. We do have Chairman
8 Faith. We do have Collette Brown, who is here
9 representing Spirit Lake. And we also have
10 Mr. Charles Walker from Standing Rock here as
11 well today.

12 So just to put on the record as well,
13 too, Chairman Fox sends his apologies. He was
14 not able to be here today due to a prior
15 commitment, but a testimony will be forthcoming
16 to the Committee. So I just want to put that on
17 the record, and I will let the -- I will let the
18 tribes give their testimonies; and I will just
19 hand it off. Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you,
21 Commissioner.

22 Was there any questions for Commissioner
23 Davis?

24 Seeing none, thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you.



1 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: It was our intent, as
2 you know, Commissioner, to have the Tribal
3 Relations Committee members meet with the each of
4 the tribes and discuss redistricting, and then we
5 wanted also to have an opportunity for them to
6 address us directly in this Committee members.
7 And I thank you for helping to facilitate that.
8 I appreciate that very much.

9 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. Thank you,
10 Chairman. And I do what to reciprocate that
11 thanks for you making that a point to consult
12 with the tribes on this matter.

13 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So, thank you.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: So who is going to
16 speak first; do you know?

17 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I will call
18 Chairman Faith to the stand to speak first.
19 Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN FAITH: (Indiscernible) My
21 friends, relatives, (Indiscernible) Buffalo
22 soldier, studied law, Chairman, Mike Faith. I
23 just greeted everybody as a relative and friend.

24 I want to take this time to thank you
25 for very, very short notice to come up and



1 testify. I think it's so important that we get
2 our point across, and again, I can't speak for
3 other tribal nations, but again, everybody, good
4 morning (indiscernible).

5 I just want -- I'm going to be brief. I
6 probably will have Matthew Campbell come up, one
7 of our legals to broaden the picture of what our
8 ask is. And again, the census does show a growth
9 in Native, but again, unfortunately, in Sioux
10 County, will contest that every time, that the
11 other county is there. For whatever reasons, we
12 run into that.

13 Again, this one, COVID. You try to get
14 a true count up there with numbers, and you have
15 a pandemic going on. It's pretty hard to do
16 anything.

17 So the concern today is the
18 redistricting. And I want to thank the Committee
19 for allowing us to throw some ideas out, you
20 know. One of them would be -- I'm going to speak
21 on behalf of District 31, again, which is right
22 south of us, part of -- it splits Mandan, I
23 guess, the train tracks south to Sioux County,
24 Grant County, and I see there's addition of a
25 little bit of Hettinger County on there.



1 But our ask is pretty simple today, and
2 we know it is allowable. But a lot of the issue
3 at hand depend on percentages, and what we're
4 going to do is just ask for special understanding
5 of the uniqueness. You know, when you turn
6 around over the years we're at court. We're
7 challenging this, challenging that. I think a
8 lot of that could be curved with a Committee such
9 as yours understanding the uniqueness.

10 I know Chairman Fox has five segments up
11 there. Of course, ours runs into North and South
12 Dakota - Corset County of South Dakota and Sioux
13 County of North Dakota, consist of 2.3 million
14 acres of identified Standing Rock.

15 And I'll just get to the point today of
16 why I ask this. We ask that you seriously look
17 at sub-districting District 31. The purpose of
18 it is this: is that Morton County along, Mandan
19 even using the train tracks splitting Mandan,
20 North Dakota to the south is part of District 31.

21 And you'll hear from the other
22 reservations or the other Native countries that
23 we have to also -- we want a voice in there. And
24 we're not looking at affiliate of if you're
25 Republican or Democrat or independent. If you



1 ask that, I think more so a lot of people are
2 looking at being independents.

3 With our structure and uniqueness, we
4 have to work with the federal, state, county,
5 townships, so many jurisdictions, that looking at
6 a favorable party. I think the tribe over the
7 years worked with Republicans, Democrats, alike,
8 both.

9 So I guess I'm not here today to try to
10 push any party. I'm here today to do an ask, and
11 I know you're -- it's going to be difficult
12 because you -- sentry code sometimes goes off of
13 policies of percentages.

14 But over the years, like I said, I don't
15 want to be seeing us going at each other in court
16 or challenging each other. I think working
17 together and getting representation with the
18 state structure -- you know, North Dakota is a
19 beautiful place. It's got a lot, a lot of
20 history. We have a lot of different areas from
21 German Russians, you know it. It's here. The
22 heritage is here. It's a strong, good heritage
23 of hard workers.

24 So again, working together and
25 understanding each other's ask, you know. We



1 don't want it to be a one-way street. There's
2 times where the State comes down in our county.
3 We have a multi-hazard mitigation plan at
4 Standing Rock. Sioux County signed off on that
5 right away.

6 The county commissioners, the townships
7 of South Ridge, Solen, and Fort Yates, the
8 federal government, the Bureau of Indian Affairs,
9 the colleges, the schools, the tribe. So the
10 state emergency manager down there would be our
11 county sheriff. Again, opens the door for state
12 need.

13 So we do things working together for the
14 protection of all. Unfortunately, you can't
15 prepare for all of them under that, you know. We
16 do have pandemic on there, but who would think
17 that we would have this kind of pandemic. It's
18 something that we have to fight something that's
19 not there; we can't see. So it's pretty touch
20 sometimes.

21 But here's what I'm going to ask today,
22 and I'll get right to the point. We're going to
23 talk about sub-districting District 31 is that --
24 just for the house. I'm only talking about the
25 House now because there's two positions there.



1 And looking at the sub-districting would be Grant
2 and Sioux, Grant and Sioux Counties. And maybe
3 making the southern part of Morton the other sub-
4 district A and B.

5 So you'll have one representative from A
6 and one representative from B. I guess I can't
7 get any simpler than that. You have the
8 authority to sub-district. Like I said, I think
9 we're all probably tired of challenging each
10 other, and going to court, and getting opinions.
11 I think today our ask is pretty simple. It's to
12 ask you that in a good way.

13 Look at 31, just the House, where you
14 would have sub A and sub B. Morton County alone
15 numbers outweigh. You could probably put three
16 counties together and still outweigh the number-
17 wise.

18 So again, I know the question of
19 percentage is going to come up, but it's a true
20 and honest ask from Standing Rock. We would
21 definitely have different numbers if we could use
22 South Dakota Corset County in ours. We're
23 unique. So you know, it is what it is. We're
24 only discussing Sioux County today along with
25 Grant.



1 Back in the day in 2014, I did run, and
2 again, I truly believe that portion of 31, the
3 northern part of it, which is the Mandan and
4 Morton County, it is playing a factor. It will
5 continue to play a factor on date of votes and
6 the local farmer/rancher adjoining that we have
7 and also Grant County.

8 So you know, it would be great if they
9 have -- competition is good, but all we want is
10 an equal chance to have representation in the
11 House of 31, District 31.

12 So again, the sub-district that I'm
13 talking about is A and B, which would be -- if
14 you want to put A as the Morton County portion of
15 it. We do have draft maps. It actually just
16 took out Mandan, but I think in talking with our
17 legal counsel, I think just taking out Morton and
18 making it a sub-district of District 31 would be
19 more favorable to us. And hopefully --

20 Again, we're throwing this out at you
21 knowing that it does state, you know, 50 percent.
22 I think we're around close to 40. But again,
23 it's this Committee that could recommend it. And
24 I think the purpose of the whole thing is
25 representation and better communications for the



1 Native nations within our state.

2 And keep in mind, we are citizens of the
3 state of North Dakota from Sioux County. We're
4 also citizens of the United States, but we're
5 unique by treaty. So we're here today as
6 government to government asking a Committee to
7 seriously look at giving us that opportunity.
8 And it's no guarantee that we would get a Native
9 in there, but at least to give us a better
10 fighting chance to get representation into the
11 state.

12 And I think right now with my
13 administration -- you know, after 21 years of
14 being on the council, vice chairman and chairman
15 on and off since 1984, I decided not to run this
16 year. I don't wish this pandemic on anybody, any
17 administration, any nation. And of course, my
18 back kind of helped me. I got to go into surgery
19 on the 28th, finally.

20 But that's my ask today, Committee,
21 please. You're going to have some other
22 testimony behind me, but it's pretty simple and
23 to the point. We're not going to try to mislead
24 you, do any deception tactics. It's just getting
25 straight to the point of, you know, it's okay to



1 do that, to recommend a sub-district. And again,
2 it's just a House. We're not looking at the
3 Senate. So again, that would be my ask from
4 Standing Rock, and I ask for your blessing for it
5 to look at it seriously. It's not about party,
6 but it's true representation from within our
7 state of North Dakota.

8 So again, I wish you a good morning.
9 And any comments or questions?

10 Go ahead.

11 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Mr. Chairman, if I
12 could. The population you gave us of, I think,
13 Grant and Sioux is about, say, 6200. So you
14 would need another 2300 people roughly to make,
15 you know, the district that's required under the
16 Constitution, one person, one vote. Where would
17 you see that other 2300 people coming from? Is
18 there a certain area that you're looking at?

19 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Well, again, thank you,
20 sir. The area that we did map out actually had
21 Morton, and again, maybe not. It's still going
22 to probably come up to close to 40 percent, and
23 that's what I mentioned earlier. Our percentages
24 are what they are.

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah.



1 CHAIRMAN FAITH: But it's a special ask
2 today for the purpose of representation. So
3 you're looking at -- if the map that we
4 originally put out was just taking Mandan out of
5 the picture. But realistically, it doesn't
6 really make sense to make just a half a city a
7 sub-district. Taking out Morton, that part that
8 we just talked about, does drop our percentages,
9 our numbers.

10 Hettinger County, there's a portion of
11 that that's still on there. Number wise I don't
12 know what that would come up to, but right now if
13 you look at the map, it shows a portion of
14 Hettinger, Grant, Sioux, and then, of course,
15 Morton and not total, but a portion of Morton.

16 And again, I knew that when I did my
17 discussion that percentage is going to come up.
18 The other tribes are going to be probably maybe
19 asking. I heard Chairman Fox on a news statement
20 last week I believe it was. He was looking at
21 five segments.

22 Again, it's an ask. We know that
23 there's -- it says 50 percent. We know that, but
24 we're here today in a good way to seriously ask,
25 take a look at that. I mean, are you going to



1 get recalled if you go below 50? I doubt it.
2 The people leave it up to you to do -- to make
3 the right decision, and I know sometimes the
4 rules or policy are questions of -- you know,
5 again, like I said, that's why we're here today.
6 We're asking in a good way, knowing in advance
7 that percentage is going to be the question. But
8 true representation from all the nations
9 within North Dakota, I think, is what we want to
10 look at into the future.

11 Any others?

12 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Schauer
13 has a question.

14 REPRESENTATIVE SCHAUER: Thank you,
15 Mr. Chairman.

16 Chairman Faith, thank you for being here
17 today, and hopefully your back heals properly and
18 quickly. The question is for you: you mentioned
19 a couple times, true representation and better
20 communication, but when I look at this sub-
21 district idea, I'm thinking to myself, well,
22 okay, 31A has one representative and one senator.
23 That's two people. In the past they would have
24 three people; 31B would have one representative
25 and one senator. That would be two people.



1 So in my mind -- and I'm not familiar
2 with your area -- how does that make for better
3 representation when you're losing 33 percent of
4 your representation?

5 CHAIRMAN FAITH: I guess I look at this
6 this way: the A and B is still two people.
7 You're not gaining or losing. You're still going
8 to have two House and one Senate. So I don't --
9 I guess looking at true representation, I guess
10 standing here today as a chairman and a leader of
11 a nation, tribal, I guess that's what I'm
12 referencing is that we also would like to have an
13 advantage.

14 And again, I don't want to get into
15 party affiliate. I just want to stay to the
16 point of the ask, which is a sub-district of just
17 the House. So it's still two people, but it's
18 also -- it's not adding or deleting any. But I
19 think tribal representation needs to be given a
20 good honest chance.

21 If you look at back in the past of '14,
22 I myself, I did run. But I don't want to get
23 into the party affiliated areas from Republican,
24 Democrat, or independent. So I want to leave it
25 at -- pretty much open as that. And other



1 statements coming up may have a different outlook
2 of you're thinking, but it's -- when Mr. Campbell
3 gets up, he could probably explain a little more.

4 But I think what we're asking from a
5 Native point of view is at least give us that
6 fighting chance. When you got a heavy party
7 affiliate in the northern part of 31, it doesn't
8 really make sense for us. We just -- we want to
9 have true representation on the state level also.
10 So again, it's an ask. It's not --

11 I guess I'm saying that we're tired of
12 going to court and challenging the State or the
13 counties. I think we're working well in a good
14 way for the betterment of all our people within
15 the state of North Dakota, and I'll leave it at
16 that. But thank you. But true representation, I
17 guess I speak it from the tribal perspective.
18 That's why I'm here today, that the Native voice
19 should be also within the great state of North
20 Dakota.

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Mr. Chairman, I
22 believe Representative Nathe had a question.

23 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you,
24 Mr. Chairman.

25 Chairman Faith, that's for coming today.



1 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Sure.

2 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Appreciate it.

3 So you had mentioned in your testimony talking
4 about we just want to have an opportunity. We
5 just want to have a chance. So when we discussed
6 some of this in Fargo last week, sir, are you
7 saying the current system right now doesn't give
8 you the opportunity or chance?

9 CHAIRMAN FAITH: The word chance is
10 this: the percentage is there. We know that in
11 black and white. And we're asking face to face
12 to be given a chance to Redistrict 31 in a good
13 way.

14 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But that's a good
15 way that would benefit the reservation.

16 CHAIRMAN FAITH: It will represent -- it
17 will benefit southern, rural North Dakota better,
18 I think, because of the farmer/rancher areas.
19 When you got a population of Mandan, which isn't
20 a city, you know, you don't really see those
21 people until time comes for voting. The rural
22 area of Sioux and Grant Counties, excuse me. But
23 I'm just saying that the farmer/rancher and
24 citizens of the southern part of District 31 need
25 a chance of representation, especially with --



1 you know, with the drought conditions being what
2 they are now, you got city people that are -- I
3 don't know -- are they boots on the ground out
4 there, fighting for water for cattle operators
5 and farmers? I don't -- to be honest with you, I
6 don't think that so.

7 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: If I may,
8 Mr. Chairman.

9 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may condition.

10 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: I know that
11 representatives of 31 have been elected three or
12 four times, so obviously, the people out there
13 think they're representing that.

14 So I mean, you're saying right now the
15 representation they have in that district or,
16 say, any of the other districts, they're not
17 properly representing the reservation?

18 CHAIRMAN FAITH: I guess, you know, you
19 could take it from our point of view. We want
20 true representation like I'm saying. And we just
21 want -- we want to look at the redistricting
22 here. We're looking at a sub-district, which is
23 allowable.

24 But again, like I said earlier, the
25 percentages are going to be what they are. You



1 guys are going to look at it and say, well,
2 here's what it says, 50 percent. It's already
3 been brought up. It's just something that we
4 want to bring forth, and I think that -- I don't
5 want to get into the affiliate of where those
6 individuals, which party they're on.

7 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Well, this whole
8 thing is politics. I know you mentioned that
9 several times, Chairman.

10 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Yeah.

11 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But it's politics
12 on both sides of the aisle.

13 So I have one other question, if I may,
14 Mr. Chairman.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may continue.

16 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: And I had
17 mentioned this in Fargo. And my question is to
18 you: why wasn't this brought up, say, last
19 redistricting or the last other redistricting?
20 Because I had never heard anything about this
21 until July, until some national group from D.C.
22 started kicking this up. We haven't heard from
23 anything from the reservations the previous 10
24 years. I was on the committee back in 2011,
25 never once came up, and these districts have not



1 really changed in the last probably 10 to 20
2 years. So why all of a sudden now we need
3 subdivisions, other than it being pushed by a
4 national group by the D.C.?

5 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Well, you know, again,
6 you talk about '11. That's quite a while back.
7 I think I was vice chairman with Murphy then.
8 But you know, like we just got this meeting
9 information here, when I'm standing here today,
10 probably a day or two ago. I can't answer
11 anybody, any other tribes why it's just now
12 coming up today. But when we got the invite, it
13 talked about redistricting. I can't speak for
14 former administrations, but I would say this:
15 that it's time to be brought up and asked if it's
16 a possibility. That's why we're here today.

17 And as far as meetings at Fargo and
18 wherever, you know, with this pandemic going on,
19 we're not traveling as much as probably a lot
20 are, and the numbers are skyrocketing again.
21 They're spiking here and there. So safety, not
22 only for myself but for the people that -- the
23 council people and whoever else comes up.

24 So I can't answer for previous years,
25 but right now it's an ask today. We're looking



1 for the Committee to actually take a look at it
2 and give us a true response one way or the other.
3 Because like I said, under my administration, I
4 think we've been working well with the State, the
5 counties of both states, trying to come to a
6 positive future for who we represent, the people
7 of North Dakota in this case. Thank you.

8 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Couple more questions,
9 Mr. Chairman.

10 Senator Holmberg.

11 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: First of all, I'll
12 put just a little correction on what
13 Representative Nathe said. This has always been
14 part of it. In fact, back in 1991, the bill that
15 came to the legislature had some division of some
16 Native populations, and I can't remember. I know
17 Fort Berthold was divided in that particular
18 bill. That was taken out. That was a
19 legislative decision.

20 But, yeah, it has been there. It has
21 been discussed. The legislature has,
22 historically, been somewhat -- obviously,
23 somewhat reluctant. They have never passed it,
24 but this has to balance between what the justice
25 department of the federal government says is



1 required, et cetera.

2 But we have such a huge division amongst
3 the reservations. I mean, you have Fort
4 Berthold, which 50 percent of a subdivided
5 district lives on the reservation. So that,
6 clearly, is different than if you get -- and I'll
7 just go to Lake Travis. Of course, that's 206
8 people in North Dakota. And that would be pretty
9 tough to do anything with it. Then you have the
10 other three with Turtle Mountain with 31 percent
11 of an ideal.

12 So we are faced with a vastly different
13 geography on each one of those reservations that
14 we have to deal with too. But thank you for
15 bringing that and having some suggestions as far
16 as how those lines could be drawn.

17 CHAIRMAN FAITH: And thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Chairman, I think
19 Representative Monson is online with us today and
20 had a question, and then Representative Headland
21 had a question.

22 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Sure.

23 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Yeah.

24 Mr. Chairman, thank you.

25 Senator Holmberg pretty much brought up



1 what I was going to mention. But you know, one
2 person, one vote means that those subdistricts,
3 if we were to do it, really to be constitutional,
4 have to be relatively even, and 6000 in one sub-
5 district and 10,000 in another sub-district
6 really doesn't make it constitutional.

7 So you understand that we have to go by
8 the percentages and numbers. You brought that
9 up, but you know, we'd have to add some other
10 people in order to make it roughly equal, one
11 person, one vote. And it would mean some of
12 Morton County and probably Hettinger County would
13 end up in that sub-district with Grant and Sioux
14 County. So not really a question there, just a
15 comment, but it was already mentioned pretty much
16 by Senator Holmberg.

17 CHAIRMAN FAITH: And thank you for that.
18 I think I did say our original map does still
19 keep that percentage of Morton and, again,
20 Hettinger County, just taking out the city
21 portion of Mandan, which our original map looked
22 like. So again, thank you.

23 And I said from the start, it's going to
24 be questioned as far as percentages, and we knew
25 that. But you know, we want to be heard, for the



1 record.

2 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative
3 Headland had a question.

4 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: If I might
5 continue, just one comment, if I could.

6 You brought up that rural, the ranchers
7 and issues with water were not probably always
8 addressed. And I chair the education and
9 environment section of House appropriations. And
10 my vice chairman, actually, is your
11 representative, and he is an advocate for water
12 like you would not believe. So when it comes to
13 representation dealing with water, you probably
14 have the best in the state.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative
16 Headland.

17 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Thank you,
18 Mr. Chairman.

19 Mr. Chairman, a couple of things. You
20 had mentioned earlier that after the comment by
21 representative Schauer, you feel you would still
22 have two representatives, even though you would
23 be supportive of other districts.

24 I wonder if you understand. I just want
25 to be clear. That when you divide districts into



1 sub-districts, you only vote in the sub-
2 districts. So you don't get the vote in the
3 other. So, in fact, it is true that you will be
4 losing one representative.

5 And the other thing that I -- you know,
6 I have my interpretation of what I believe you're
7 talking about when you talk about true
8 representation. But for the Committee, so we all
9 understand exactly what you're talking about, can
10 you define what you mean as true representation.

11 CHAIRMAN FAITH: I guess -- and I'll be
12 upfront with you. You know, we're here as a
13 trial nation, and as far as the vote, I
14 understand that you'd only vote in A or B
15 depending on your location, if there's a sub-
16 district.

17 But as far as true representation, I
18 think on a sub-district, it's up to the people.
19 Let's just say we did the division or the -- the
20 people of Grant, Sioux, and southern Morton would
21 have that opportunity. I'm just saying that a
22 city added onto a rural district like 31 does
23 make a heck of a difference number wise. And if
24 you want to look at it realistically -- party
25 wise.



1 So I think just taking out the Mandan
2 portion and letting them be their own Sub-
3 district 31 would be fine with us. But it's
4 just -- like the numbers he's talking about, to
5 me is -- if you look at our map, it does just
6 take out Mandan, the southern part of Mandan. It
7 still leaves that portion of 31 on there. I was
8 just throwing out ideas, but also Hettinger, a
9 portion of Hettinger County.

10 So that would -- it's not going to bring
11 it up to what we want. Like I said, it would be
12 very close to 40 percent, and knowing coming up
13 here 50 percent is the bottom line. So it's just
14 an ask for the Committee, and we'll take it at
15 that.

16 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Further questions from
17 the Committee?

18 (No audible response)

19 Thank you, Chairman, for making time to
20 participate.

21 CHAIRMAN FAITH: And again, I'll thank
22 everybody for the opportunity for this and, you
23 know, the very short notice. We appreciate that.
24 Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Who is going to



1 present next? I'm sorry. I missed it.

2 CHAIRMAN FAITH: Councilman Walker.

3 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Councilman Walker.

4 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Chairman Devlin,
5 members of the Redistricting Committee, good
6 morning. My name is Charles Walker, councilman
7 at large, Standing Rock Sioux Tribe.

8 I do have written testimony. I'll read
9 directly from that, but I know that there is a
10 question about true representation. I'll get
11 straight to it. I'll say that part of it. When
12 we talk about representation, from what I have
13 heard, responses and comments from the Committee
14 is that, yes, it is politics. Is there a
15 workaround? I guess in my experience, in my
16 opinion, first and foremost I would say, the
17 representation isn't adequate because there is no
18 -- what's the word I want to say without being
19 disrespectful or being misinterpreted? There is
20 no -- I'll just say. There's no communication
21 between them, the representatives that are in
22 there in the past years.

23 And I'll say that -- and even though I'm
24 on the record, everything -- to me the party
25 lines, they do matter. The Democrats do cater to



1 the Native vote. We all know that. That's a
2 fact. The Republicans, not so much.

3 And I will say that because,
4 understandably, I would consider myself a
5 centrist, maybe a little bit more right leaning.
6 But I do believe in the Republican ideals that in
7 a republic you have a nation of laws. And in
8 democracy, whoever gets the majority wins, and I
9 don't think that's always the right decision.

10 What I say in a republic -- the reason
11 I'm saying that -- is that we live in these
12 states that exist here, North and South Dakota,
13 probably some of the deepest red states we know
14 in this nation. And one of the things that
15 really is dumbfounding to me is the lack of
16 respect and the lack of acknowledgment of our
17 Constitution when comes to that. It's always
18 paraphrased, taken out of context, not taken
19 straightforward.

20 But within that we talk about
21 Constitution. We talk about rules, laws. We
22 talk about republics. We talk about all these
23 different things. But yet when it comes to being
24 equal, doing all these other things, you know, it
25 doesn't count, and it does matter.



1 And I'll say it right now - the factor
2 in this is race, and we don't think of ourselves
3 as being a race. In our language, we are wicha
4 (phonetic) is the word, wicha. Those titles have
5 come across from the federal government. All the
6 way back to the Constitution we are identified
7 as, you know, noble savages, those types of
8 things. We're also only two-thirds human being.
9 And the black population is only three-fifths
10 human being, you know, that type of stuff.

11 Those are tangible things you can go
12 into the Federal Registry. You can go in and you
13 can see those things.

14 So it is. It's politics. It's race.
15 I'll say it. I won't dance around the subject.

16 But I do understand. I would say the
17 fix, the easiest way to do it is if we had some
18 Republican representation that would engage with
19 the communities in Sioux County. And I do know
20 they're probably over in South Ridge. They're
21 probably over in Solen. I don't think they're in
22 Cannonball. I know they're not in Porcupine, and
23 I know they're not in Fort Yates, maybe a bit.
24 But you know, beyond that, the Republican ideals
25 shouldn't go against their own beliefs also, I



1 believe.

2 That's why I call myself a centrist. If
3 I didn't see the hypocrisy in the party, I myself
4 would be registered Republican.

5 The state of South Dakota is where I
6 reside. And you guys are probably scratching
7 your head, but I'm elected at large on Standing
8 Rock. I was a North Dakota resident, eight
9 years, and in that time I have never been engaged
10 by a Republican representation for the state.

11 You know, at one point I was a citizen
12 of North Dakota, but yet we had the Democrats
13 pounding on our door every time there's an
14 election, you know, coming. But there's never
15 any engagement, so the representation isn't
16 there. That's a fact.

17 And speaking of national groups, I
18 agree. When these outside entities come into our
19 local affairs, it does disrupt and does cause
20 disruptions. But you have a new -- and I'm -- I
21 guess I would say in comparison to some of you
22 who have been in politics for decades, you know,
23 I'm getting my feet wet. I'm going on six years
24 now.

25 You have individuals like myself,



1 critical thinkers, who want to take a step back
2 and say, let's look at all the facts. Let's just
3 tell it how it is. Let's deal with it that way,
4 but also we need to be straightforward. That --
5 those are some of the reasons why this hasn't
6 been brought up in the past.

7 You know, it would be -- it would be
8 better just to have an engagement of those -- of
9 those officials who are elected. And you know, I
10 know there was a statement saying one of the best
11 representatives, but you could ask probably 99
12 percent of the people in Sioux County who is
13 their representative. They wouldn't even know,
14 and that's a cold hard fact right there.

15 So I'll read my testimony. I guess I'm
16 not here to debate. I'm not here to argue. I'm
17 not trying to persuade anybody anything. I'm
18 here to speak for the record, and I know that we
19 -- understandably, we would probably agree on
20 more things than what you probably think.

21 Let me see. Standing Rock Sioux Tribe,
22 federally recognized tribe located in the states
23 of North Dakota and South Dakota. In North
24 Dakota the reservation makes up Sioux County and
25 has 4373 residents, 3644 of whom are Native



1 American. Sioux County has a Native American
2 border age population of 86 percent. That's a
3 pretty high percentage right there.

4 Standing Rock is a sovereign nation
5 governed by its tribal council. Our tribal
6 members are the Dakota and Dakota Nations. I'm
7 here to advocate on behalf of the tribe and its
8 members, and that's straightforward right there.
9 It is. We're here as part of membership, the
10 Native vote. It does matter. It does have an
11 effect. Otherwise, we wouldn't have the whole ID
12 issue that had come up, and that's a fact. That
13 is politics. There's no ifs, ands about it. I'm
14 not reading in between lines. The information is
15 there, and anybody with a logical half a brain
16 would see it.

17 Our tribal use of single member
18 districts elect representatives to State House.
19 Tribe's communities to be considered a community
20 of interest that should not be split into
21 multiple legislative districts.

22 We request North Dakota Redistricting
23 Committee listen to tribal input and hold
24 redistricting meetings in tribal consultations on
25 reservations.



1 That right there is a -- it's a request.

2 And I will say this: there was a question of why
3 hasn't this been -- why now?

4 Chairman Faith did let you know the
5 situation, and I will tell you. I served as a
6 councilperson under Chairman Orshambel (phonetic)
7 administration and Chairman Faith. Under
8 Chairman Faith, we have communication, and we
9 have working relationships in both states. And
10 that right there is -- we catch a lot of pushback
11 from our own membership, you know. And trying to
12 move forward in way that we address these issues
13 because if you just sit on the sidelines and
14 throw rocks and jabs and talk about the way other
15 places are governing where you basically don't
16 have a say anyway, it's going to be something
17 that -- it doesn't do anything. It's not a way
18 to move forward in any type of way, good, bad,
19 right or wrong.

20 Recent history - tribes fight for voting
21 rights, like I mentioned, the tribal IDs.
22 Physical street address, those types of things
23 come up.

24 Native American population grew by 29.7
25 percent the last decade, and that rate there is a



1 jump. You can take a look at it by referencing
2 our public school districts. You look at the
3 areas which are basically higher populations.
4 They have a growth. We have so many co-opts
5 within the state, within the schools because of
6 declining populations. But yet on the Indian
7 reservations you have the exploding population
8 for the school. You have that growth within
9 there.

10 You know, a lot of that I've taken out
11 of context and added my own point of view of the
12 information I have gathered, and I do have a
13 written testimony. And that's all I have for you
14 today, Committee.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you, Councilman.
16 Can we have a copy of your written testimony?

17 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Yes.

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I had just a follow-up
19 question of what you said. You mentioned
20 splitting up the reservations, and to my
21 knowledge in my lifetime, that has not been done
22 in North Dakota. And I'm wondering, being you
23 live in South Dakota, is that something that -- I
24 mean, that would be unconstitutional. So I'm
25 just wondering why they could split up a



1 reservation. Where did that happen?

2 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Split up a
3 reservation?

4 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah.

5 COUNCILMAN WALKER: What do you mean?

6 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You said you'd split a
7 reservation to go to various districts is a
8 comment you made.

9 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Oh, gerrymandering.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: No.

11 COUNCILMAN WALKER: That's the
12 reference.

13 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah. But you're not
14 -- we're not -- nobody is splitting any
15 reservation in the state of --

16 COUNCILMAN WALKER: No, no.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. We're on the
18 same page.

19 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Yeah.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I just misunderstood.
21 Thank you.

22 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Yeah.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Misinterpreted it.

24 COUNCILMAN WALKER: This copy?

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Just hand it down.



1 Thank you very much, Councilman.

2 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Mr. Chairman, I
3 had a question for Mr. Walker.

4 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I apologize,
5 Representative Monson. I didn't -- I didn't see
6 the note. I apologize, Representative Monson.

7 Go ahead.

8 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you,
9 Mr. Chairman.

10 Mr. Walker, you used the word
11 communicate, communication, and communication
12 goes two ways. Have you tried to communicate
13 with your present representatives? You say you
14 don't see them, except when they are looking for
15 a vote. But have you reached out, or has the
16 tribe reached out to try to communicate with
17 them?

18 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Oh, yes. And we can
19 get that documentation if you need it. If you
20 need something -- if you can't take my word for
21 what it is, we'll get you the documentation.

22 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Beyond that, I
23 guess -- so what do you think would be different
24 if you had a Native representative in District 31
25 if it was subdivided. Do you think the results



1 from the legislature as a whole would be
2 significantly different?

3 COUNCILMAN WALKER: I believe that you
4 would have a representation that would be -- that
5 I would say it would not be along party lines.
6 We would have to take that party system on and
7 have an individual run as a total independent,
8 not independent ideals as in policy, but somebody
9 who is going to come in as a representative that
10 would gather all the information.

11 See if you're going to -- if you want to
12 quantify it and say, oh, yeah, well, this
13 information here; this is logical. This shifted
14 out. Bring it forward. There would be a voice,
15 which wouldn't be upon a certain set of ideals.
16 It would be a true representation of what
17 people's needs are.

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you. We
19 appreciate it.

20 COUNCILMAN WALKER: And I'm not talking
21 socialism or communism.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you for being
23 here today, sir.

24 COUNCILMAN WALKER: Yeah.

25 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: Hi. Good morning,



1 Redistricting Committee. I was here last month.

2 Chairman Devlin and the members of the
3 Committee members, thank you for having me here
4 today. I am Collette Brown, Gaming Commission
5 Executive Director at Spirit Lake Casino and
6 Resort and will be testifying today on behalf of
7 the Spirit Lake Nation.

8 I previously testified before this
9 Committee on August 26th and appreciate the
10 Committee members allowing me this additional
11 opportunity to speak on behalf of the Spirit Lake
12 Nation.

13 As I informed during my prior testimony,
14 the Spirit Lake Nation is a federally recognized
15 tribe located in the state of North Dakota with
16 an enrolled membership of 7559 as of January
17 2021, according to the American Community Survey.
18 There are almost 4000 Native Americans currently
19 living on our reservation, and most of our
20 reservation is located within Benson County.

21 I'm here to advocate on behalf of the
22 tribe and its members for the single-use member
23 districts to elect representatives to the State
24 House, for this Committee members to account for
25 our voters in spite of the census undercount in



1 tribal communities, and to demand the North
2 Dakota Redistricting Committee members listen to
3 tribal input and hold redistricting meetings and
4 tribal consultations on reservations.

5 As I previously testified to, it is
6 critical that the legislature comply with the
7 Voting Rights Act. This includes moving away
8 from at-large districts for the state of the
9 House representatives, which has dilutive effect
10 on minority votes.

11 The Spirit Lake Reservation is located
12 in District 23. The voters on Spirit Lake
13 Reservation tend to support candidates who are
14 outvoted and opposed by voters in other districts
15 -- areas of the district.

16 In order to provide the Native American
17 voters residing in District 23 a better
18 opportunity to elect the representative of their
19 choice, the Spirit Lake Nation requests the
20 legislature create two single-member districts
21 for the state of the House of Representatives.
22 Failure to draw single-member districts can
23 dilute the Native vote and may violate the Voting
24 Rights Act.

25 Second, Spirit Lake Nation requests that



1 legislature consider a historical census
2 undercount among the tribal communities in North
3 Dakota. If this Committee members only looks at
4 the recorded number from the 2020 census, it will
5 be blinding itself to the true population of
6 these communities.

7 In a 2010 census, Native Americans
8 living on the reservation were undercounted by
9 almost five percent, much higher than any groups.

10 Given the coronavirus pandemic, we can
11 expect this undercount to be given higher for
12 2020 census. Only using the currently recorded
13 2020 census numbers in the redistricting process
14 disproportionately impacts Native American votes.
15 These undercounts should be accounted for by the
16 legislature, this Committee, and future
17 districting committees. The American Community
18 Survey may provide a more accurate number.

19 Third, given the extremely short notice
20 of the invitation to this hearing, which was sent
21 out on Monday night, Spirit Lake Nation Chairman,
22 Douglas Yankton, Senior, was unable to attend
23 this meeting. The Spirit Lake Nation considered
24 this notice to be far from adequate and shows a
25 lack of good faith on part of this Committee to



1 sincerely take the tribe's perspective into
2 account.

3 Additionally, failing to hold hearings
4 near tribal communities silences those tribal
5 member voters who lack resources to travel to
6 Bismarck or to attend these hearings online.

7 Tribes have continued to advocate for
8 more inclusivity in redistricting process, and
9 that advocacy has largely been ignored.

10 As I informed the Committee in my prior
11 testimony, the Spirit Lake Nation and its members
12 have fought hard for the right to vote, which has
13 included successful voting rights cases against a
14 state and county. Spirit Lake Nation will
15 continue to do so when necessary to protect the
16 rights of its members to vote.

17 I thank the Committee members for your
18 time today, and I'm happy to address any
19 questions or concerns with my best notice that I
20 have.

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I believe you said you
22 had 7759 enrolled members or something to that
23 effect but only 3787 live on the Spirit Lake
24 Reservation, so that's all the votes -- or the
25 count that we can look at. And I'm sure you



1 understand that. We can't pull in population
2 from outside of the reservation to move your
3 numbers up. Or am I misunderstanding what you're
4 saying?

5 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: I understand your
6 question, Chairman. However, I think our number
7 that was considered by the census is
8 undercounted.

9 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah. I understand
10 that from you, and I've also heard that from some
11 college towns as well. But we have no choice but
12 to go by the numbers that were given.

13 So my question is, I guess: if you have
14 3700 people roughly out of 16,000, even if you
15 sub-districted, you're not going to have half of
16 the sub-district. Does that matter?

17 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: I am going to refer
18 your question to Native American Rights Fund
19 Representative Matt Campbell.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay.

21 Any questions?

22 SENATOR BURCKHARD: Mr. Chairman. Over
23 here.

24 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Oh, I'm sorry.

25 Senator Burckhard.



1 SENATOR BURCKHARD: Collette, greetings.
2 You made reference to a short notice for this
3 meeting. How much notice do you think would be
4 more appropriate?

5 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: Chairman and
6 Senator Burckhard, I would consider a week's
7 notice because you're dealing with tribal
8 nations, who deal with the federal government and
9 the county and other tribal nations.

10 So currently right now my chairman is
11 Saskatoon handling a delicate situation. As you
12 all know, we've -- Canada, they have exhumed
13 bodies, and Saskatoon are bringing those people
14 home today and giving them the proper burial that
15 they need.

16 So a week at least would be considered
17 ample time, I believe.

18 SENATOR BURCKHARD: Okay. Thanks.

19 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Collette, I just want
21 to follow up on that a little bit. You know, it
22 was our hope that the tribal relations committee
23 would get to meet with every tribe because that's
24 the leadership of the House and Senate. And I
25 don't know if they completed all that, but that



1 was our understanding. They were going to
2 discuss redistricting.

3 When we met last Wednesday, we agreed we
4 wanted to give you an opportunity this week to
5 speak. According to our thing, we send our -- or
6 made the call to Commissioner Davis' office on
7 Friday with this invitation. Well, he was
8 apparently tied up with something else. So you
9 may have not got it from his office until Monday.
10 But our intent was to make it last week, and I
11 apologize if you got it late. Because our intent
12 wasn't to make it as quickly as possible, and we
13 did that.

14 MS. COLLETTE BROWN: Thank you,
15 Chairman.

16 Any questions?

17 Seeing none, thank you.

18 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Good morning,
19 Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee members.
20 My name is Matt Campbell. I'm a staff attorney
21 at the Native American Rights Fund.

22 And I know Collette referred some
23 questions over to me. I have represented the
24 Spirit Lake Nation and the Standing Rock Sioux
25 Tribe in litigation before. I'm consulting with



1 them now, so I'm happy to answer any questions
2 you may have.

3 You know, I think what I've heard from
4 the tribal leaders today is they are certainly
5 very interested in sub-districting for their
6 districts to improve their opportunities for
7 representation. I've heard that they are
8 interested in being treated as communities of
9 interest that should not be divided, and I'm glad
10 to hear the Committee is not looking to do that
11 because that's something that's important. I
12 think they are communities of interest that have
13 shared cultural values, economic, political, and
14 there values as well within their reservation
15 area but also in the surrounding communities as
16 well.

17 And I would also recommend that the
18 Committee reach out to the tribal nations and
19 formally consult with them after you have a draft
20 plan to get their feedback on any draft plans you
21 may have. So I think that's a great opportunity
22 to provide that formal type of communication,
23 like Chairman Faith mentioned. Opening those
24 lines of communication, I think, is a wonderful
25 thing, to have that discussion and get feedback



1 from the tribal nations on that.

2 So you know, those are some of the main
3 points I've heard. I'm happy to answer any
4 questions that were raised as well.

5 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Nathe.

6 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you,
7 Mr. Chairman. I could have waited.

8 Thank you, Mr. Campbell for coming. One
9 of the speakers had mentioned earlier about their
10 frustration with their current representation,
11 and I get it. And he was really honest, and I
12 really did appreciate the -- it was refreshing to
13 hear. It came down between Republican and
14 Democrat, and they're not happy with the
15 Republican representation.

16 Is that enough reason to go down the
17 road of subdivision? I mean, we hear that in
18 other districts too. By the way, hey, there's
19 too many Democrats here. There's too many
20 Republicans here. It's kind of the same thing.
21 They're frustrated with the current leadership.
22 But is that a reason why we should go down the
23 road of subdivision because they're unhappy with
24 the current representation that's not been in
25 contact with them?



1 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Well, I don't think
2 that alone is enough reason. I think what I've
3 heard today is, you know, we've heard a lot about
4 representation and true representation or
5 equitable representation.

6 As it stands right now, I think,
7 essentially, the way the system is set up is
8 there are three Senators for every district, and
9 I think, you know, historically when you're
10 looking at House of Representatives, it's a more
11 local form of representation. And you know, the
12 lack of hearing from your representative is one
13 aspect of that in terms of why having a sub-
14 district would be more beneficial and have that
15 more equitable representation.

16 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But from a legal
17 --

18 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Because you would
19 have a representative that may be more responsive
20 to your local needs because it's a more local
21 view of things because you're not considering,
22 you know, voters the entire district. You're
23 considering voters within that sub-district as
24 your -- you know, who you are representing.

25 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But from a legal



1 standpoint, would that be enough to -- I mean, a
2 reason to have sub-districts because you're upset
3 with your current representation; you feel
4 they're not being in contact from a legal
5 standpoint?

6 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Well, I think from a
7 legal standpoint, the North Dakota statutes
8 certainly allow the legislature to draw sub-
9 districts. So I don't think there's any question
10 about whether or not the legislature can draw
11 subdistricts in North Dakota.

12 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: I guess I'm
13 looking at the reasoning, you know. The previous
14 speaker basically said, hey, they're not
15 listening to us. We want sub-districts because
16 we want to get people from our side of the aisle
17 in there. So it's almost like we're rigging the
18 system so they can at least get a better chance
19 of getting in there. There's no guarantee they
20 would get in there, but I guess I'm looking from
21 a legal angle. I mean, is that -- with your
22 experience, is that reason enough to have to do a
23 sub-district?

24 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Well, I think there
25 are many considerations to look at when you're



1 thinking about sub-districts and, you know,
2 thinking about communities that are indicating
3 that they have shared interests in terms of their
4 identity, their cultural values, their economic
5 values. That they believe that they are entitled
6 to have a representative that's more responsive
7 to their needs. It's certainly a reason to
8 advocate for single-member districts. And of
9 course, the North Dakota statutes allow for that.

10 You know, I think under the Voting
11 Rights Act you can also consider things like
12 racially polarized voting, whether minorities --
13 the minority vote has been diluted, the history
14 of discrimination in the area, and things of that
15 nature as well.

16 But, you know, I think the North Dakota
17 statutes allow for single-member districts, and
18 you know, there are several criteria you can
19 consider in that consideration.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative
21 Schauer, I believe.

22 REPRESENTATIVE SCHAUER: Thank you,
23 Mr. Chairman.

24 Mr. Campbell, do you have data to show
25 that the minority vote has been diluted in North



1 Dakota? And do you have data to show that if we
2 split a district, it improves representation?
3 And if so, can you provide this Committee members
4 with that data?

5 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Yeah. We can
6 certainly provide that information. I think you
7 heard from Chairman Faith that, you know, he ran
8 for the House in 2014 and was unsuccessful. We
9 also know there was another Standing Rock member
10 that has run for the House as well, LaDonna
11 Allard, and was unsuccessful.

12 I think previously to 2014, we saw other
13 Standing Rock members that were also unsuccessful
14 in running for the House. And I think we've also
15 seen that for the three affiliated areas.
16 They've had several members in the last decade
17 run for the House of Representative that were
18 unsuccessful. And I think we also see that in
19 the Spirit Lake Nation region as well. So we can
20 certainly provide that information to the
21 committee.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Monson
23 had a question online, I believe.

24 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you,
25 Mr. Chairman.



1 Mr. Campbell, you brought up the Voting
2 Rights Act. You did and so did the Chairman.
3 But you know, you're advocating, I believe, that
4 no matter what we should be having sub-districts,
5 but we still need to look at the one voter/one
6 vote, which I mean, the Constitution trumps
7 whatever they want to bring up in a Voting Rights
8 Act.

9 But are you advocating that we would
10 have sub-districts that would be not equal in
11 numbers just because -- I mean, we're talking
12 6000 versus 10,000. That's not constitutional
13 the way I understand it. And in the case of
14 Spirit Lake, it would be even farther off.

15 So what are you advocating here?

16 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Yeah. Thank you for
17 the question, Representative Monson.

18 You're right. I think one person/one
19 vote is, of course, the top criteria that you
20 look at when thinking about redistricting. And I
21 don't think we're advocating to deviate from, you
22 know, certainly not more than 10 percent in
23 looking at the districts.

24 And what we can do is work with, you
25 know, Standing Rock and Spirit Lake to develop



1 some maps that they may prefer and provide those
2 to the Committee. But I do think they certainly
3 wouldn't deviate more than 10 percent, and they
4 would be much more equitable in terms of looking
5 at sub-districts that are around, I think, 8288
6 people within each sub-district.

7 So that's certainly not something we're
8 looking to abdicate the Constitution. I think,
9 you know, as communities that have shared
10 interests, that have shared valued, you know,
11 advocating for representation at the more local
12 level is what they're looking at.

13 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I know there's a
14 couple more questions, but I did want to -- you
15 know, I think it was Ms. Brown that brought up
16 the meetings. But we had a state Tribal
17 Relations Committee, which is leadership to the
18 legislature. We met with the Spirit Lake Nation
19 on September 1st, and Ms. Brown was there. So I
20 mean, there has been input before this. There
21 was input at that meeting as well.

22 And you know, we're kind of dealing with
23 the hand we were dealt. We were expecting
24 population figures in March, early April. We got
25 them in the middle of August. So there was



1 really, you know, nowhere to go at that point.
2 But we are trying everything we can to reach out
3 to the tribes, and like I said, they were on the
4 Spirit Lake Nation and had that meeting. And
5 then we still wanted to follow up with another
6 meeting. So that's what we're here today.

7 Representative Headland.

8 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Thank you, Mr.
9 Chairman.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you.

11 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: You know, back
12 to the question that was referred to you by the
13 prior speaker and knowing that when you
14 subdivide, you lose the opportunity to vote for
15 two representatives. You will only be allowed to
16 vote for one. If the sub-district would still
17 constitute a minority for the reservation
18 population, does it still make sense in your mind
19 to subdivide that district? And I think that's
20 the question that she referred to you. Do you
21 still advocate for subdividing that district?

22 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Well, I think -- the
23 question she had may have been more specific to
24 the numbers. But I do think that it is
25 beneficial to have sub-districts because when



1 you're thinking about communities at a local
2 level, having a greater opportunity to elect
3 representatives of your choice from your area is
4 much improved when you have sub-districts,
5 whether it's -- you know, we're talking about
6 reservations or other rural areas across the
7 state. Having sub-districts can be beneficial to
8 those local areas. And so I do think when you're
9 looking at that and when communities come
10 together and are advocating for their interest
11 and asking for subdistricts, it's certainly
12 something that is beneficial to them because you
13 would have representatives that are more
14 responsive to their needs when they're only
15 worried about votes from their areas.

16 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may continue.

17 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Thank you,
18 Mr. Chairman.

19 So if I understand you correctly, you
20 believe it's advantageous and more beneficial to
21 only have the opportunity to vote and be
22 responsive from one representative versus being
23 represented and having the opportunity to vote
24 for two representatives? You think it's more
25 beneficial just to vote for one?



1 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: I can't --

2 (Cross talk)

3 I appreciate your question,
4 Representative Headland. I, obviously, can't
5 speak for the entire state. I can't speak for
6 all the tribal nations. What I've heard from the
7 Spirit Lake Nation today and the Standing Rock
8 Sioux Tribe is that they, specifically, are
9 interested in having single-member districts, and
10 they believe it would be beneficial to their
11 communities.

12 And so I think that's what we're seeking
13 and what we're talking about.

14 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Poolman.

15 SENATOR POOLMAN: Thank you,
16 Mr. Chairman.

17 Mr. Campbell, you keep using the word
18 "equitable." And so as I think about Chairman
19 Faith's request that they have their own sub-
20 district, even though they only have 37 percent
21 of what would be necessary of that district, is
22 that really the request here that we're not
23 looking for equal representation, but we're
24 looking for equitable representation? Because as
25 we know, that's two different things.



1 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: And maybe you could
2 just clarify the question a little bit. Maybe
3 I'm not understanding. I can be dense. So I
4 apologize for that.

5 SENATOR POOLMAN: Mr. Chairman and
6 Mr. Campbell, you keep using the word
7 "equitable," which doesn't mean equal, right.
8 And so I'm just wanting to clarify that the
9 request of your organization and most likely
10 Chairman Faith is that we're setting up equitable
11 districts not equal ones.

12 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Thank you, Senator
13 Poolman. I think what we're requesting is that
14 they're -- you know, what Standing Rock has
15 requested is that their district be divided into
16 sub-districts. And they believe that it gives
17 them a better opportunity to have representation
18 that better represents them. And so I think
19 that's what we're talking about.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Was there any further
21 questions for Matt?

22 Again, thank you very much for being
23 here. We appreciate it.

24 MR. MATT CAMPBELL: Thank you,
25 Mr. Chairman.



1 MS. DONAGHI: Good morning, Committee.
2 Good morning, Chairman Delvin -- Devlin, sorry,
3 and members of the Redistricting Committee. My
4 name is Nichole Donaghi (phonetic). I am a
5 citizen of the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe. I'm
6 also a descendant of the Turtle Mountain Band of
7 Chippewa and also a descendant on my grandpa's
8 side from the Manda (indiscernible) and people.

9 I live in Lincoln, North Dakota, and I'm
10 the executive director for North Dakota Native
11 Vote. And I understand you heard a lot about me
12 at the last hearing.

13 North Dakota Native Vote is a nonprofit
14 nonpartisan grassroots organization that
15 initially formed in response to the 2018 U.S.
16 Supreme Court decision to uphold the voter
17 identification law that had the potential to
18 disproportionately adversely affect over 5000
19 Native American voters in North Dakota. Our
20 mission is to create and effect policy to promote
21 equitable representation for the Native people in
22 North Dakota.

23 I joined North Dakota Native Vote in
24 2018 because of the imbalance of power in our
25 state that was very apparent to me after being a



1 community organizer for years. I work on
2 education issues, protection of land and water,
3 and now civic engagement.

4 I soon realized that the issues I was
5 working on often stemmed from a lack of inclusion
6 and representation in the decision-making
7 processes.

8 In North Dakota the Native American
9 population grew by 29.7 percent in the last
10 decade. It is North Dakota Native vote's ask
11 that the Committee take into consideration the
12 per perspectives of each of the tribes as well as
13 tribal members in the redistricting process, and
14 that is two different things. I'd like the
15 Committee to understand that we have a tribal
16 nation government, and then we have the tribal
17 citizens.

18 We are asking the Committee to adopt
19 single-member House districts to prevent the
20 dilution of Native American votes. Tribes and
21 tribal members in North Dakota have had to fight
22 for the right to vote, whether by defeating voter
23 ID laws, opposing district lines that dilute the
24 Native American vote, or by demanding on
25 reservation polling locations. And those are



1 things that we all -- we have advocated in the
2 past as North Dakota Native Vote.

3 As we have seen in our early beginning
4 as an organization, tribal citizens in North
5 Dakota have been overburdened by policy that is
6 created by decision makers with little input from
7 their tribal constituents. At large voting
8 systems like the currently one used for North
9 Dakota State House may violate the Voting Rights
10 Act when they dilute minority voting power by
11 preventing tribal members from electing
12 candidates of their choice.

13 Our state constitution in article 4,
14 subsection 2, paragraph 2, states -- and I'm
15 paraphrasing, the legislative assembly may
16 provide for the election of senators at large and
17 representatives at large or from sub-districts
18 from those districts.

19 North Dakota Sentry Code 55-301.5 states
20 that -- in subsection 2 that, "Representatives
21 may be elected at large or from sub-districts."
22 North Dakota law allows for the creation of sub-
23 districts, and that is what should be done.
24 Single-member House districts or sub-districts
25 within districts containing reservations would



1 allow tribal members to elect the candidate of
2 their choice, somebody that is more accessible.

3 And I heard the term "better
4 representation" being thrown out before. We
5 don't consider it better representation. It
6 would be somebody that's more accessible, that
7 knows the communities, that knows the issues, and
8 is in tune with the people that they represent.

9 Candidates are able to run but not get
10 elected because of the dilution of their vote by
11 being grouped in with adjacent communities that
12 do not share similar interests. One example is
13 in my homelands in Sioux County on the Standing
14 Rock Reservation.

15 Data from elections for legislative
16 seats over the past decade indicate that Native
17 American residents of District 31 are not
18 currently able to elect representatives of their
19 choice. For example, in 2014, two Standing Rock
20 tribal members, Mike Faith, Chairman Mike Faith,
21 and LaDonna Allard ran for the State House but
22 were outvoted in the at-large system.

23 In 2010, another Standing Rock tribal
24 member -- I believe it was Chad Harrison -- ran
25 for the State House, but was likewise outvoted in



1 the at-large system. Chase Aaronize (phonetic),
2 another Standing Rock member and candidate for
3 U.S. House earned 78 percent of the vote in Sioux
4 County but was defeated in each of the other
5 counties in District 31. This shows that the
6 Native American voters have not been able to
7 elect the candidate of their choice.

8 We also recommend that a community of
9 (indiscernible) be adopted by this Committee,
10 which takes into considerations communities that
11 have similar language, culture, an identity to
12 keep those communities together within a single
13 legislative district. And I understand that the
14 community has never split up reservations.

15 Lastly, the Committee should be holding
16 hearings on or near reservations so that tribal
17 members who are unable to travel to Bismarck, who
18 lack internet service, which is an issue on our
19 reservation, are able to participate in the
20 redistricting process. There are high levels of
21 poverty and a lack of access to transportation
22 and broadband internet on our reservations. This
23 Committee would be doing itself and the state a
24 disservice by failing to provide an opportunity
25 for all the state citizens to take part in this



1 important discussion. All voices must be heard.

2 North Dakota Native Vote was founded to
3 ensure that inclusion of Native voices in the
4 political discourse of our state. We support and
5 encourage our native people to engage in the
6 political process that is not always inclusive of
7 our people.

8 I thank you Redistricting Committee for
9 your time today and will stand for any questions.
10 Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg.

12 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Yes, ma'am. Thank
13 you very much for your testimony, first of all.

14 Secondly, this -- I'm up here.

15 MS. DONAGHI: Yeah.

16 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: First of all, this
17 Committee is very sensitive to our duties under
18 the Voting Rights Act. We know that. We get
19 that. There are things we have to do, and there
20 are things we can do. And we certainly will take
21 care of the half to do, I believe, but there are
22 also, within that particular legislation, there
23 are certain thresholds; and I don't have them in
24 front of me. I mean, if you have a district that
25 has 50 percent -- if you subdivided a district



1 and the Native population was 50 percent, that's
2 pretty easy to argue. When you get down to 23
3 percent, that's less arguable. So in other
4 words, we know what -- I believe what we should
5 do, but there are also those thresholds that we
6 also have to consider.

7 MS. DONAGHI: Thank you, Senator
8 Holmberg. I would also like to refer back to
9 Matthew Campbell from NARF when he stated that
10 we're not asking for a deviation from the
11 criteria, you know. We would like you to
12 consider where it is doable, especially coming
13 from Standing Rock. I grew up in Standing Rock,
14 you know. My father was involved in the
15 political process, you know. He never ran for
16 office, but I think that was something that was
17 -- that he would have liked to do.

18 Our people are not prevented from
19 running for office. We just can't get elected,
20 and we do have -- we do have names of people over
21 the last decade at least. This past election,
22 Lisa DeBill (phonetic) in Fort Berthold ran for
23 Senate. Tomasina Mandan (phonetic) ran for House
24 this past election and was not able to get
25 elected. So there are cases that we see that our



1 people want to engage in the process but are
2 unable to.

3 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: What happens,
4 ma'am -- and this is a hypothetical. What
5 happens if you have a reservation that has a
6 quarter of the population, and they would like to
7 elect someone from that particular reservation,
8 who is of one political party. And one can look
9 up, you know, where the districts are, yet that
10 particular area is surrounded by areas that vote
11 70 percent in another way, shall we say,
12 politically. What do you think?

13 MS. DONAGHI: Well, I mean I --

14 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Makes it tough.

15 MS. DONAGHI: That does make it tough.
16 I do agree, Senator Holmberg. I do think that is
17 something that has been thought about, and we
18 don't have an answer to at this point.

19 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Yeah. We don't
20 have an answer either.

21 MS. DONAGHI: I understand.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Sorvaag.

23 SENATOR SORVAAG: Mr. Chairman.

24 Expanding a little, my question was: we
25 had an earlier speaker who said that he's a



1 centrist. That he doesn't really agree with what
2 Republicans do, and it should be about Democrats
3 present the data.

4 You said in your opening, you're
5 nonpartisan. So do you agree with this statement
6 or disagree? Is it about backing a certain
7 party, or are you truly nonpartisan; and it's
8 about just getting representation for the
9 members?

10 MS. DONAGHI: Senator Sorvaag, Chairman
11 Devlin. I thank you for that question.
12 Personally, I'm a moderate, you know. I don't
13 align with any political party. We all know that
14 the Native American population does lean toward
15 the left. We do support Native American
16 candidates, and so our organization is a
17 501(c)(4) organization. We do have the ability
18 to endorse -- represent our people that are
19 running for office. And we do support any Native
20 American as running for any office at any level.
21 And so Lisa Dabill was one of our candidates that
22 ran up in Fort Berthold, and we did support her.

23 SENATOR SORVAAG: Mr. Chairman, may I
24 continue?

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may continue.



1 SENATOR SORVAAG: So you're for any
2 Native American, no matter what party they would
3 represent; you would support?

4 MS. DONAGHI: I would. We've sent
5 questionnaires this last election to all of the
6 candidates in the areas that we work in, that we
7 focus on. Our priority is reservation areas.

8 SENATOR SORVAAG: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Monson
10 had another question.

11 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chairman.

13 And, ma'am, I'm not sure I got your name
14 exactly, Bonabi; is that it?

15 MS. DONAGHI: Donaghi.

16 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: You brought up
17 the lack of computer access to get input at the
18 meetings here and that they should be held
19 actually on the reservation.

20 Are you aware that every school and
21 every courthouse in the whole state has access to
22 computers, which people that I'm sure at those
23 locations would allow for folks on the
24 reservations to give input at these meetings,
25 just as I am doing from my home right now because



1 I'm a farmer, and I'm hoping to get some
2 combining done this afternoon. But there are
3 local access places where people on the
4 reservation can go, and I'm sure they would not
5 be turned down to get access to this. So I think
6 if you're not aware of it, you should become
7 aware of it, and the tribal leaders should be
8 reaching out in that regard.

9 MS. DONAGHI: Representative Monson,
10 thank you for that. I am aware that there is
11 public access to Wi-Fi systems at the
12 courthouses, the colleges on our reservations.
13 Those are only accessible during working hours,
14 and I know this because when we were working the
15 2018 election, we were outside of the Sioux
16 County Courthouse, which shuts their Wi-Fi off at
17 4 o'clock.

18 And so there's limited access. I would
19 also take into account the risk of contracting or
20 spreading COVID-19. Those offices usually do not
21 allow people in their office unless they have an
22 appointment because of the pandemic. Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Nathe.

24 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you,
25 Mr. Chairman.



1 Thanks for coming today. So I think
2 there's some assumptions in some of the
3 discussion that, well, we haven't been able to
4 elect the people that we want who are on our side
5 of the aisle. And the assumption, at least I'm
6 getting -- correct me if I'm wrong -- is that
7 everybody -- all enrolled members are going to
8 vote for that Democrat.

9 I mean, there's been Republican enrolled
10 members in Standing Rock, Charlie Murphy and
11 others who have ran and lost. So wouldn't you
12 agree that even though some of your favorable
13 candidates, the members, also voted for the
14 Republicans and not them also. So it's not 100
15 percent voted for the Democrat, and they lost;
16 and they're upset? Would you agree that some of
17 the enrolled members also supported Republican
18 Democrats -- I mean, Republican candidates?

19 MS. DONAGHI: I think I was in high
20 school when Charlie Murphy ran, so I really
21 wouldn't recall that.

22 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: That was --

23 MS. DONAGHI: Yes. So that may be the
24 case. I couldn't speculate.

25 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But I think the



1 assumption -- I mean, just from the discussions
2 -- that everybody on the reservation will vote
3 Democrat, and it's not. And I think a lot of
4 them do, as one of the previous speakers says,
5 hey, we agree on more things than you realize.

6 So my impression -- you can correct me
7 -- is that there is a number of people on all the
8 reservations that will vote for a Republican
9 candidate. Just the opposite, there is a Native
10 American with Buffalo who won in Fargo.

11 MS. DONAGHI: Uh-huh (affirmative).

12 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Not on the
13 reservation, and they voted her in along with her
14 Republican seatmate. So it goes both ways.

15 MS. DONAGHI: I do agree with that,
16 Representative Nathe. So I think that is
17 something that is, you know, calls for
18 speculation. Yes. That could be the assumption.
19 But, you know, having the choice whether they run
20 as a Republican or a Democrat, you know, at least
21 we would have the choice of somebody that knows
22 the community is what we're talking about. And
23 it's not -- it doesn't come down to, you know,
24 for lack of better word, a temper tantrum of not
25 being able to elect people we want. It comes



1 down to having the access to elect somebody or
2 the ability to elect somebody that comes from our
3 community.

4 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But you had that
5 access with Charlie Murphy when he ran, and yet
6 he lost.

7 MS. DONAGHI: He did lose. He did lose.

8 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: He was
9 Republican.

10 MS. DONAGHI: He was Republican. And I
11 think that if we look back at the data, we could
12 see, you know, which communities did vote for
13 him.

14 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: But they do have
15 the opportunity -- on either side of the aisle,
16 they do have the opportunity to put their name on
17 a ballot to run. So nothing that we do right now
18 is stopping any of that, correct?

19 MS. DONAGHI: Thank you. Yes. Nothing
20 is stopping that. It's just getting people
21 elected is the issue.

22 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Any further questions?

24 Who am I listening to?

25 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Representative



1 Monson.

2 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Oh, Representative
3 Monson. I'm sorry.

4 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: I would like to
5 point out that it has been a number of years now,
6 but there was a Republican Native American in the
7 House that I served with for several sessions,
8 Dawn Charging from District 4.

9 I mean, she was quite effective too as a
10 representative. So I mean, it's both sides of
11 the aisle. It's been a while, but it's possible.

12 MS. DONAGHI: Thank you for that. I do
13 believe as well that it is possible, whether
14 they're Republican or Democrat, you know. We
15 should be crossing that aisle more often as
16 people rather than thinking along party lines.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Anyone else?
18 Thank you for being here.

19 MS. DONAGHI: Thank you for your time.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I apologize. Nicole,
21 did you have written testimony? We would have a
22 copy for our record. We would appreciate that.

23 (Pause)

24 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I do believe that
25 concludes our testimony and conversations with



1 tribal leadership. So I do, once again, thank
2 you for the opportunity to continue those
3 conversations that we also begin with the Tribal
4 State Relations Committee, and MHA, Turtle
5 Mountain, and in Spirit Lake the last few weeks.

6 One thing to just keep in mind, you
7 know, I think that just to really drive home -
8 Mr. Walker had said, you know, this shouldn't be
9 a matter of party as he said. It should be maybe
10 an independent.

11 We do know that Representative Nathe
12 made a good point that, you know, there is
13 Republican and there is Democrat representative
14 on each side. And I think just moving forward,
15 you know, as we continue to build those tribal
16 state relations that we have, I think, done so in
17 leaps and bounds in the last several years. I
18 will speak that from a tribal perspective as well
19 as now being a state representative, as I come
20 from a position of leadership on the tribal side.

21 And I think, you know, we're talking to
22 representation. We're talking equitability, you
23 know. A lot of times it gets lost in the
24 shuffle. It gets lost in the translation, the
25 true meaning of what a tribe is trying to bring



1 forward. I'm sure Mr. Boschee is very familiar
2 with a comment that was made by Chairman Yankton
3 during our time up in Spirit Lake. We were
4 talking about agreements and partnerships moving
5 forward, and one of the conversations led into
6 improved law enforcement presence within the
7 exterior boundaries of the Spirit Lake Nation.

8 And so Chairman Yankton had very clearly
9 said that historically -- and I think all tribes
10 can agree with this -- there has been a severe
11 underrepresentation and communication with the
12 federal government. There has been serious
13 issues with bureaucracy blocking progress and the
14 ability to serve constituents. So Chairman
15 Yankton openly said that, as a chosen leader of
16 his people, he is going to work with the form of
17 government that is going to best serve his
18 people, and that is the State of North Dakota to
19 build partnerships and to move forward.

20 So I think keeping that in mind that
21 tribal nations do have a duality. They are
22 recognized federally, but they have also
23 integrated into the state as citizens of the
24 state of North Dakota, largely in part to make
25 sure that they have access to congressional



1 representation at the federal level.

2 So keeping that in mind moving forward
3 too should be key. And if we're looking for, you
4 know -- how can I say it -- recommendations to
5 potentially explore our study moving forward. We
6 talk about equitable representation that was
7 alluded to earlier, you know, true understanding
8 of tribal issues, open lines of communication.

9 I will say this as a tribal leader
10 formerly: there are great champions in his
11 legislature on both sides of the aisle. I will
12 say that, and I've made many great partnerships
13 and friendships through the bienniums that I have
14 served.

15 But if you look at -- one example may
16 able to explore in the future is what happens in
17 Maine. So each tribal nation is allowed a
18 representation within the legislator of the state
19 of Maine, and that is within their constitution
20 that was passed into their code. And how it
21 works is there are four tribes, and I do believe
22 they are called, collectively, the Wabanaki
23 people of Dawnland.

24 So each tribe does have representation
25 within the state legislator, specifically to



1 their tribe. They do have all these same
2 incentives. They can sponsor, co-sponsor. They
3 can speak on the floor, so they are equal
4 partners in the way they conduct business. So I
5 think maybe moving forward, one thing to explore
6 would be maybe the composition that the State of
7 Maine uses in regards to tribal partnerships and
8 state representation.

9 And just with that, once again, I would
10 like to thank you for your time today, and I will
11 stand for any questions. If not, I will gladly
12 take my leave.

13 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Any questions for
14 Commissioner Davis?

15 Seeing none, thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you for helping
18 to facilitate this. We appreciate it.

19 Was there anybody else from one of the
20 tribes to speak today?

21 Senator Oban has something she would
22 like to show the Committee at this time. I think
23 it's related.

24 SENATOR OBAN: It is, Mr. Chairman.

25 So the former math teacher in me can't



1 help but sit here and figure out how can we
2 remove -- because I think all of us sitting here
3 get a little bit tied up in the is this about
4 Republican versus Democrat? Is this about you
5 can't win, and you're throwing a fit, whatever?

6 So all I did was pull together the
7 election results from 2018 in District 31 as an
8 example of what subdivided districts might do.
9 So along the top -- and I took away the names of
10 the candidates, so we aren't distracted by that.
11 The person running for position A won District 31
12 --

13 I don't know if you can make that
14 bigger, Emily, for everybody

15 -- with 59 percent of the vote. In the
16 second, a different position race, they won 65
17 percent of the vote. In the next position, they
18 won 65 percent of the vote. This is all in
19 District 31.

20 You can see current District 31 is made
21 up of parts of Morton, and then Hettinger, Grant,
22 and Sioux.

23 So let's, for example, since we were all
24 distracted by Chairman Faith's suggestion of just
25 doing Sioux and Grant together. Let's add



1 Hettinger in there as well because we get closer
2 to half then.

3 Now given this is not total population.
4 This is the number of people who voted because
5 that's what I had easy access to. You can see if
6 Sioux, Grant, and Hettinger were a subdivided
7 district, position A election winner would have
8 actually gotten 49 percent of the vote in that
9 sub-district. But the other two elections
10 winners would have still won.

11 If you can't see how Sioux County's vote
12 is diluted by having this all be at-large, then
13 I'm not confident you understand numbers
14 generally. That is what the concept is. If you
15 take away partisanship, if you take away, you
16 know, the fact that our friends are serving, and
17 they might feel like the folks on Standing Rock
18 are being critical of our current friends, if you
19 take away all of that, you can still see that in
20 two of the three races, the person who won the
21 entire district, still won that sub-district. It
22 did change the outcome in one.

23 So that was just what I wanted to show
24 in numbers, and this was, you know, three races
25 in one year in one district. So just for



1 purposes of trying to get a better understanding
2 of how that changes things. It doesn't always
3 change the results, but it certainly dilutes the
4 vote of Sioux County.

5 That's it, Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you.

7 Were there any questions?

8 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Yes,
9 Mr. Chairman. Representative Monson.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Monson.

11 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you,
12 Mr. Chairman.

13 And thank you for that very, very
14 interesting statistics.

15 Sioux, Grant and Hettinger still don't
16 come up to 40 percent when we start looking at
17 our expanded population overall in the state, you
18 know. So if you were to take even a sliver of
19 Morton County, probably all of the representation
20 would be the same, and we have to work within the
21 boundaries of our census. And the fact that
22 we've got to add an extra 3000 people per
23 district, that's going to skew that some. Are
24 you aware of that?

25 SENATOR OBAN: Representative Monson, I



1 made clear that this was not even based on
2 population. This is what numbers is had easy
3 access too, and it isn't even based on the most
4 recent census. It was just trying to show that
5 at least it gives them the opportunity to elect
6 the candidate of their choice. It doesn't mean
7 that candidate always wins. In fact, in two of
8 the three races, they still got 56 and 57 percent
9 of the vote.

10 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: I'm thankful that
11 you brought this up. I'm actually surprised that
12 it was that -- at these numbers, but thank you
13 for that. It's very interesting.

14 SENATOR OBAN: Yep.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Was there a question
16 for Senator Oban? I didn't see any other ones.

17 Committee, I think -- I greatly
18 appreciate the representatives from all the
19 tribes being here. We thank you. And,
20 Commissioner Davis, again, I thank you for
21 helping to facilitate this. I hope that members
22 of the tribes give the legislative committee
23 involving leadership, Tribal Relations Committee
24 hasn't been to a certain nation yet or
25 reservation. I hope that there's good



1 representation there. I looked at the numbers of
2 the people that were at the one at Spirit Lake.

3 I mean, it wasn't a big turnout, but certainly
4 the opportunity was there. So I hope you'll --

5 Committee, we're going to break for an
6 hour for noon. It's a little early, but that
7 would be easier, I think, than trying to start
8 something else at 20 too. So see you back
9 roughly at 20 to 1:00, quarter to 1:00, quarter
10 to 1:00 exactly.

11 (Recess taken)

12 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: We'll call the
13 Committee back to order. I believe we're going
14 to take some time now and look at some different
15 concepts.

16 Representative Lefor are you ready with
17 yours first?

18 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I am.

19 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. Representative
20 Lefor is presenting a concept developed there in
21 Districts 39, 37, 36, and a new district, I
22 believe.

23 (Pause)

24 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Good afternoon,
25 Chair Devlin, members of the Redistricting



1 Committee. For the record, my name is Mike
2 Lefor, and I serve in the House from District 37.

3 As we all know, redistricting is an
4 interesting experience, to say the least. I
5 think that, led by this Chairman, that this
6 Committee has been working very hard to be
7 transparent, open, and fair throughout this
8 process. And we have unique challenges in
9 different parts of the state.

10 As I have stated twice publicly, as have
11 other members of the Committee, we have a short
12 window of opportunity to discuss this subject due
13 to getting the census numbers later than normal,
14 which increases the challenges associated with
15 serving on this Committee.

16 We truly seek public input in this
17 process and, again, would urge you to contact
18 members of this Committee if you have to voice
19 any ideas or concerns. In directing this
20 conversation to southwest North Dakota, I wanted
21 to start with what our Committee vice chair
22 stated at a previous meeting, "This is not rocket
23 science. This is simply arithmetic." And that's
24 exactly what we've done here.

25 With that, I will give the Committee the



1 arithmetic. In existing boundaries of this area
2 is a population of nearly -- just over 61,000
3 people, which at the target rate of 16,576 people
4 per district would give us three full districts
5 for a total of 49,728, which would leave 12,000
6 individuals without a district.

7 So in order to form a fourth district,
8 you simply lower the populations of some
9 districts and add individuals to the new
10 district. I will start with District 39. And if
11 you take a look at the handout, you will note
12 that what was done there was to take the portions
13 of McKenzie and Dunn Counties, which are not,
14 excuse me, on the reservation and took a little
15 portion of Mercer County to the west.

16 And again, that was arithmetic. So if
17 you look at what's been done here, the population
18 would be 15,829.

19 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Mr. Chairman,
20 can I get you to have Emily turn on the sound for
21 teams members?

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Sorry. We will get
23 that right on.

24 Okay. We're with you now.

25 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Start over?



1 Okay. No. Okay. So with even adding that
2 portion of Mercer County puts us at -4.51 percent
3 in population. Moving on to District 37,
4 Dickinson has 25,700 some people. And so
5 District 37 is contained within the city limits
6 of the city of Dickinson, although in a more
7 compressed way. And I am shedding some
8 population in north Dickinson.

9 I believe, if my memory serves me, it's
10 21st Street, and then you'll see a little jog up
11 on Highway 22 and over. And the reason for that,
12 again, is population. So when you look at the
13 boundaries -- I'm looking at 18th Avenue East --
14 and then in some areas it does come out because
15 that's where the city comes out.

16 And if you look at some of those census
17 blocks, that open area in the -- is a census
18 block that would extend with too much population
19 for what I'm trying to accomplish with other
20 districts.

21 Next, in District 36, due to the tight
22 -- the tight boundary restrictions, because --
23 what I -- we had to do is twofold. Again, add
24 4000 people to a new district for a new districts
25 and compress the 36, 37, and 39 populations.



1 With District 36, you will see that
2 Counsel has it at a -0.95 percent. Mine
3 indicated -3.25. So I'm going to -- and so we --
4 this was -- the information I have is from last
5 week. Obviously, very preliminary, there's some
6 other work that -- that I think we need to take a
7 look at.

8 Counsel did update this to make sure all
9 the boundaries are correct and so forth, but
10 again, before I would submit this as an, you
11 know, official proposal, there's some more work
12 that needs to be done in my mind in District 36,
13 in District Y, to take a look at other potential
14 options.

15 But basically, District 36 -- and
16 understanding the need for population in District
17 Y, and really with not any many directions to go
18 other than east, I moved District 36 -- or we did
19 in this rendering to and including the city of
20 New Salem. And even with that, you still have
21 under the ideal size district.

22 And so then with District Y, which is
23 what I call this proposed new district, we have
24 five -- excuse me, yes, five counties: Bowman,
25 Adams, Slope, Golden Valley, Billings, that have



1 a total population of 8500. So we had to find
2 7000 more people, and you'll find that in
3 utilizing parts of Dunn that were not utilized
4 for District 39, a big chunk of western Stark
5 County and also a portion of Hettinger County to
6 get to the population that was needed.

7 So obviously, in this sector, you don't
8 have many options as you obviously can't go west,
9 you cannot go south, and there are -- you know,
10 you're budding up against other districts as
11 well. So I see -- there's been a tremendous
12 amount of time that's been spent on this.

13 I wanted to give a special shout out to
14 Samantha Cramer, Clair Ness, Emily Thompson, and
15 Carl Kuzman [phonetic]. Without their expertise,
16 this process would have been made much more
17 difficult.

18 And so, again, when we looked at this,
19 we had to compress some population in order --
20 and move to the -- mark further east than we were
21 before because of the limitations that we were
22 faced with, and for me this is still a
23 preliminary look at this part of the state.
24 There's still some things that I want to take a
25 further look at in District Y and District 36.



1 So it's a plan in progress, and I would
2 reiterate that if there is anyone, again, that
3 would like to talk about this part of the state
4 or anything else, to please give me a call. And
5 I'd be happy to discuss why those districts were
6 laid out in the fashion that they were. And if
7 there are other ideas out there, I certainly want
8 to hear those.

9 So that is -- and Representative Nathe
10 will be talking about Districts 31 and 33. And
11 with that, I would stand for any questions you
12 would have.

13 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Mr. Chairman.

14 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg.

15 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Is Mandan going to
16 be someone else's purview because I didn't notice
17 a Mandan map here.

18 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Representative
19 Nathe.

20 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Oh, okay.

21 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Or Senator
22 Poolman.

23 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Okay.

24 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: And Senator Oban.

25 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: And then the --



1 one of the questions always comes up is that
2 fishhook on the north side in 31, that -- I can't
3 see it, but I'm assuming that's still there.

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Are you -- you're
5 referring to District 31?

6 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: 31, yeah.

7 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Okay. That --
8 Representative Nathe will be discussing.

9 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Okay.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Bekkedahl.

11 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Good day,
12 Mr. Chairman.

13 And thanks for all the work here,
14 Representative Lefor. I can see it's been a lot
15 of work because I've looked at this as well.

16 The only concern I have with the map, I
17 think things out west really fit together pretty
18 well. But my concern is in District 33. You've
19 kept the reservation intact. If we are going to
20 keep District 4 similar to its current existing
21 boundaries, taking that section of Dunn County
22 that's south of the reservation, I think that's
23 fine. But it's about 1100 people that you're
24 moving from District 4 into that District with
25 39, I guess you tally it now.



1 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: You -- oh, you're
2 referring to 39?

3 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: Yeah. Yeah.

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Okay.

5 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: So this is -- the
6 whole of 39 and 33 are impacting District 4.

7 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Okay.

8 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: Okay. And so --
9 just so you know, District 4 at its current
10 boundaries, the reservation is about 8300
11 population. The full District 4 is a little --
12 about 16,700. So it's essentially a perfect
13 district the way that it is right now.

14 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Okay. Maybe we
15 can meet on this Senator.

16 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: So, yeah -- so
17 you're carving into it.

18 And my point is if we -- if we were to
19 sub-district the reservation, the area of Dunn
20 County south to the reservation that you take
21 into District 39 makes perfect sense because it
22 would no longer be contiguous with the other sub-
23 districts. You couldn't get around the
24 reservation and have that contiguous sub --

25 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I understand



1 that. Yes.

2 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: That makes sense,
3 but then there has to be some changes moving
4 eastward or northward for District 4 to get back
5 those numbers, and you've taken some out here.
6 So if we can meet individually, that's fine.

7 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I'd be happy to.

8 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: I just want to bring
9 it up as everything looks good here, except I
10 think you're making some impacts on District 4,
11 which is already a perfect district that we have
12 to discuss as a Committee.

13 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Sounds good.
14 Thank you.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative
16 Schauer.

17 REPRESENTATIVE SCHAUER: Thank you,
18 Mr. Chairman.

19 Representative Lefor, what was the
20 biggest issue that you had with District 36?

21 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I would say that
22 moving some people that were currently in
23 District 36 to District Y. And when I looked at
24 the math, looked at the geography, if you were to
25 take more and leave District 36 in the fashion



1 that it is currently, I don't know how you make
2 the math work.

3 I've looked at it a few different times.
4 I intend to continue to look at that, but I would
5 say that some of the people from that area would
6 like to remain in that district. And that's why
7 I'm saying if there's other ideas or thoughts out
8 there or potential mockups they would like us to
9 look at, I would love to do that.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Oban.

11 SENATOR OBAN: Mr. Chairman and
12 Representative Lefor, was there any consideration
13 -- and I realize once you do something, it
14 changes everything else. But Stark County itself
15 divides perfectly almost into two districts.

16 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: It does.

17 SENATOR OBAN: And then, in one of your
18 districts you're encompassing parts of three
19 counties. And so that's where I'm always like --
20 I am -- as I'm doing this, I'm wondering what my
21 fellow colleagues on this Committee are doing.
22 And it seems to go back and forth depending on
23 where you are.

24 If you are prioritizing current
25 legislative lines, if you are prioritizing



1 current county lines. And I'm finding it
2 difficult for how we're going to eventually come
3 together. So I'm asking if there was any
4 consideration given to making Stark just two
5 districts.

6 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: That was my
7 initial inclination. However, if you do that,
8 then you are making what would be District Y go
9 further south and which would be budding up
10 against District 31. And so we looked at that,
11 and that would have been very nice, very easy.

12 But to me, the math doesn't add up.
13 Because even -- you know, if you look at District
14 37 on the latest rendering is a -- is 4.84
15 percent under. I've got District 39 4.5 percent
16 under. District 36, Counsel has it 0.95. I had
17 it at -3.25, so I'm going to have to research
18 what the differences there. In District Y, -2.
19 So I mean, could it be done? We had difficulty
20 making that happen.

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Further questions?

22 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Mr. Chairman,
23 Representative Monson.

24 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Monson.

25 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you,



1 Mr. Chairman.

2 So, Representative Lefor, I'm seeing
3 that you added a District Y, correct?

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: That's correct.

5 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: And if I recall
6 from our last meeting, to the north of what
7 you've been working on in Williams and Divide and
8 those counties, they also would be adding a new
9 district, correct?

10 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: That's correct.

11 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: And if I recall,
12 Cass County, are they adding a new district as
13 well?

14 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Yeah.

15 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I'm seeing
16 nodding head that -- that it's yes.

17 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: So there's 3 new
18 districts, and the math tells us that we have --
19 if we're going to do 47 districts, that means a
20 couple of other ones are going to go away. and
21 I've -- I'm just wondering what's happening in
22 the middle. And this isn't a question for you
23 necessarily, but we have to look at the big
24 picture as Senator Oban just stated.

25 And I'm wondering what happens when we



1 fill in the middle part, and we need to have 47
2 districts in the end. We've added 3. That means
3 we got to take away 3, and this is just something
4 for us all to think about before we get all the
5 edges done and we get to the middle and we got
6 not enough people or not enough districts,
7 whatever.

8 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: Representative
9 Monson, I would agree with that statement. Each
10 one of us has basically taken a portion of the
11 state, and I had a conversation with other
12 legislators that are bordering what I'm doing and
13 working to make those mesh.

14 You heard that Senator Bekkedahl and I
15 will be meeting on District 39/District 4, and we
16 do need to have those discussions. However, it
17 does come down to population. The simple fact of
18 the matter is the existing boundaries of the --
19 the boundaries of existing district areas is
20 61,000 people.

21 So that's why I did what I did, because
22 you would -- you'd still have 12,000 people too
23 many after you have three full districts. So at
24 the end of the day, you're going to have to have
25 a partial new district even if you move things



1 further east. And so I thought by adding that
2 district, you're making the challenge less than
3 if you didn't add a fourth district -- I mean a
4 new district. And that's what the number showed
5 to me.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg?

7 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Representative
8 Monson, I think that as other plans and other
9 concepts come forth, you will see that there are
10 other areas that are under populated where a
11 legislative district may disappear, including in
12 our area, just not to make you scared or
13 anything.

14 But -- so some of it's going to fit
15 together, and then it'd be interesting to see
16 what some of these other plans have. But there
17 clearly is a lack of population for the number of
18 districts in the north east. And, at least, in
19 one of the proposals that will come forth, you
20 will see where a district does, shall we say,
21 ascend away from the map.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Any -- anyone else for
23 Representative Lefor?

24 Representative Nathe, are you next or
25 Senator Poolman? Okay. I'm guessing



1 Representative Nathe is.

2 (Pause)

3 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Are we ready,
4 Mr. Chairman?

5 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I am ready. Thank
6 you.

7 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: All right. Thank
8 you, Mr. Chairman, members of Committee. For the
9 record, Representative Mike Nathe, District 30,
10 Bismarck.

11 I'm going to speak today about the five
12 districts in Bismarck. I'll speak to District 8.
13 And then I will show you District 33, how we worked
14 and how that meshes in and into Representative
15 Lefor's plan.

16 We also covered Mandan, which is 31 and 34,
17 and how that works again and what that Representative
18 Lefor just talked about. So on behalf of myself and
19 Nicole and Aaron, I want to say thanks to Sam,
20 Claire, and Emily for all the help they've been doing
21 with this. The two Senators and I have been talking,
22 and we've been working on this a bit.

23 And again, Mr. Chairman and members of the
24 Committee, this is just a general overview of the
25 plan right now. Some of this has changed a little



1 bit since this has been imprinted, but just a little
2 bit on the edges, nothing majorly. But when we have
3 a final plan, we'll see it.

4 So with that, Mr. Chairman, if it's okay
5 with you, let's go into Bismarck. Our biggest
6 challenge in Bismarck, quite frankly, was District 7.
7 It had experienced a huge amount of growth, one of
8 the biggest districts in the state at the end of the
9 census, almost 6000 people over the limit.

10 The other challenge we had in Bismarck were
11 two districts in the inner-city Bismarck, District 32
12 and District 35, who were deep in the hole with
13 numbers. So we had a dichotomy there, too high and
14 too low, and we had to try to balance that. And
15 that's what we've been trying to work on, and I think
16 you'll see where we're at.

17 So the first thing we did with -- well,
18 let's just go after the elephant in the room here,
19 District 7. So we had to push District 7 numbers out
20 and get them into districts that needed it. So what
21 we did was we pushed part of District 7, gave that to
22 District 8. And as you see, brings it down into
23 Lincoln. Lincoln was part of District 28.

24 Lincoln is roughly about 4500 people,
25 somewhere in that area. So you could see that number



1 right there helped us get pretty close to getting out
2 of the hole. So we wrapped -- we took 7's numbers
3 down there and put that into 8. The reason why I put
4 it into 8 is we already have a representative there
5 already in District 8, a couple of miles away from
6 Lincoln.

7 People around Lincoln identify with
8 Bismarck, identify with Bismarck politics. We have a
9 Bismarck public school in Lincoln. 10 years ago,
10 when some of these areas were pushed into a rural
11 district, we heard a lot of complaining about that,
12 so I was trying to be sensitive to the residents in
13 that general area.

14 So you'll see, we put it in there and went
15 down along 52nd on the west side, and then South
16 Lincoln, and then Lincoln road going to the east.
17 And we'll have Emily show that map, and you can kind
18 of see how far east that goes right there. So I'll
19 talk about District 8 in more detail a little bit
20 later.

21 But that's what we did with 7 first. 7 was
22 still a little bit over the number, so what we also
23 did is give District 47 some numbers because they
24 were low after what we had did previously. So we
25 gave them to Misty Waters area, which is on the west



1 side along the river. And you'll see that over
2 there. I think Emily can show you that. So that got
3 District 4 to -14.6 -- 0.16, excuse me.

4 The reason why 47 was so low is they had a
5 piece in 35 which was -- it wasn't in 35, but it was
6 just south in 94. It was -- it is currently in 47.
7 We put that block in District 35. And that got
8 District 5 roughly from -4 to +4.5, but yet shorted
9 47. So that was the reason why we gave Misty Waters
10 back to 47 to get them within the range 32.

11 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Where is Misty
12 Waters?

13 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Misty Waters is --
14 You want to show them that, Emily, with the
15 pointer? Yeah.

16 District 32 was another one in the inner
17 core of Bismarck that was suffering -- did not grow.
18 Because let's face it, it's inner-city, and there's
19 not a whole lot of development going on. They needed
20 numbers badly.

21 So District 30, where I reside, we were on
22 the high plus number, so we gave them a piece of
23 District 30, which runs along 26th. Quite frankly,
24 goes from 9th Street north, over towards, 26 past --
25 if you're familiar with Bismarck, Richholt and Saxvik



1 and kind of goes through those streets to help get 32
2 within the range.

3 After doing that, District 30 was a bit
4 low, so what we did is we brought -- in the lower
5 part of District 30, you'll see there. We grabbed
6 everything south of Lincoln Road there, which is
7 known as Copper Ridge and went all the way down to
8 the river. And by doing that, it's a nice clean
9 line, got us some numbers, and we're able to get
10 Bismarck able to get District 30 up to a +1.97.

11 So like I said, there are some things on
12 here that we're still working on, just some of the
13 edges, Mr. Chairman. And we'll talk about that when
14 we get there. But that's where we're at when we made
15 this.

16 If Emily will go to District 8 again so I
17 can finish that off and work our way up.

18 Maybe zoom up there, Emily. Yeah. Yeah.
19 Perfect. And can you show 33, Emily, alongside too?
20 So they can see that.

21 So as she's doing that, Mr. Chairman, with
22 District 8, we brought it up. I did not touch any of
23 the eastern lines that are currently District 8 right
24 now. So that is -- we left that alone.

25 Going up past Winger up on 418th Street



1 over the 461st Avenue going west and then north on
2 4th Avenue north west. So we brought it up there.
3 And again, because of the numbers from District 7
4 that we brought in, 8 was way over and that was done
5 on purpose. So what we did is take the top half of 8
6 off.

7 And you can see up there, District 6, there
8 was -- 8 got townships, not a whole lot of
9 population, but we put those into 6. And then what
10 we did is currently take Garrison, Underwood, and
11 Coal Harbor which resides in 8 right now, and we put
12 those communities in that area into District 33. And
13 by doing so, we got -- we were able to get District
14 8's numbers down to the 3.33.

15 And again, that's changed a little bit
16 since we tweaked it. But you'll see 33. And we were
17 able to get that number up. Because of the new
18 district in the west side, 33 was then, all of a
19 sudden, low in numbers. So we were able to transfer
20 some of the population from the north west part of 8
21 into 33. And then we were also able to get some
22 numbers into Mandan.

23 So Emily, if you're going to Mandan, and we
24 can address Senator Holmberg's --

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Boschee



1 has a question.

2 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Sure.

3 REPRESENTATIVE BOSCHEE: Thank you,
4 Mr. Chairman.

5 Representative Nathe, as you talk about
6 adding those communities at the other side of the
7 river to District 33, can you also talk at least
8 specific for people outside to hear -- I mean, what
9 kind of connectivity happens because of the river.

10 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Yeah. I know
11 exactly.

12 Emily, if you want to go up there.

13 Emily and I talked about that yesterday.
14 So what we did is we worked on taking some more sub-
15 districts up there and worked our way over to Highway
16 83. Because as you know, we have to be contiguous,
17 and we have to have access to do that.

18 So yes, we're on the south side of the lake
19 and then we worked it over till we got to 83 so they
20 will have access to Garrison up in those communities
21 up there. And I think Emily is going to show that.

22 In that general area right there, correct?

23 REPRESENTATIVE BOSCHEE: Yeah.

24 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Okay. Any questions
25 on that area?



1 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Bekkedahl.

2 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Thank you,
3 Mr. Chairman.

4 So, Representative Nathe, does Mercer
5 County and Oliver County both stay intact then in
6 this line? Because I don't see the full lines.

7 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Mercer County does
8 not. No. Because some of Mercer, I believe, is
9 going into the -- I don't know, what was the other --
10 what was that other -- 39.

11 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: But does Oliver County
12 stay intact then? Those lines are --

13 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: I do not believe
14 so, because some of that is going into the new
15 district, I believe. Is that true? Oliver.

16 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Oliver?

17 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Oliver does stay
18 intact.

19 (Cross talk)

20 If I turn the district layer off now, you
21 can see just the blue lines and Oliver County. If I
22 turn those districts back on, you can see that all of
23 Oliver is, in fact, yellow.

24 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: So, Emily, if you
25 want to get down to Mandan there.



1 So you can see, Mr. Chairman, again what we
2 tried to do is push the numbers up to -- from 7 out
3 to 8, load up 8, and then transfer those numbers from
4 8 over to 33. So we're able to move those numbers,
5 kind of bleed those numbers over to get these
6 districts to where we need to be.

7 As we come down in 33, and Senator Holmberg
8 had alluded to it, it kind of fingers into North
9 Mandan. Currently, 33 has --

10 If you want to get closer to 94 there,
11 Emily.

12 33 has quite a bit north of 94. It shares
13 it with 31. Again, after what we did up north, it
14 was still low in numbers, so we took some of 31,
15 that's northern 94 and gave that to District 33 to
16 make sure we got those numbers to where they are
17 right now. That make sense?

18 So, Senator Holmberg, do you question about
19 33 coming into Mandan? We looked at different ways
20 to maybe try to get that to a 33. But it just blew
21 those numbers out of the water. And I agree. It
22 kind of -- it comes around there. It's apparently
23 been around there for a long time. And we tried to
24 work at a couple different ways. It just didn't work
25 out because there are so many people down in that



1 area. So we just -- I just thought for the time
2 being, leave it there, and let's see how it works.

3 And then getting into District 31.
4 District 31, again there is -- they have a number of
5 -- parts of their district north of 94. And as I
6 said we gave certain parts of that to 33. They still
7 have spots northern 94 that are in their district.

8 And then as you go down across the highway,
9 again 34 was in the hole. We had to get some more
10 population. So we went into the City of Mandan.

11 And if you go deeper into there, Emily.

12 We took some population from District 34.
13 And we took it from the north -- northwest side,
14 right where you see -- right where you see the number
15 34 on the screen, we took it from there in that
16 general area, and then we also went down a little bit
17 and took some more in the west side of there and gave
18 that to 31.

19 34 was above the number. 34 had a
20 population to give. So we gave that northwest part
21 of the 34's district and the far west of 34's
22 district to 31 to get them in better shape. As we go
23 west --

24 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Question, Mr. Chairman.

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I'm sorry. Senator



1 Bekkedahl.

2 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Thank you so much.

3 So, Representative Nathe, the little finger
4 going down on the south end of 34, the 4th Lincoln
5 Road that just butts up against river, there can't be
6 much population there, is there?

7 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Emily, can you zip
8 there?

9 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Is that a voting
10 district or a census block? Is that why it's that
11 way? Because --

12 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Senator Bekkedahl,
13 I have to get on my computer and take a look on that.
14 I can answer that question --

15 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: I just wondered if
16 there was a logical point north of there to just give
17 that to 31 and not have that figure extension, but
18 just because it looks strange on the map to me.

19 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Okay. Is there
20 anything there, Emily? I mean, is that just -- is
21 this just a matter of a shape we're looking at?

22 MS. THOMPSON: I can check the population
23 really quick.

24 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Okay.

25 MS. THOMPSON: The population of the red



1 highlighted district you see is only 37 people. But
2 there are some very odd-shaped census blocks in this
3 area. You can see if I click that, turn it back
4 white. That's one census block odd shaped.

5 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: I guess if I could
6 continue, Mr. Chairman, what I was looking at was: is
7 there a logical point where it could be cut off north
8 where you're had it there that -- so that you don't
9 have that finger going south? My point is, could we
10 take 31 all the way to the river there and not just
11 have that little extension coming down blocking the
12 river? Is that what I'm seeing?

13 MS. THOMPSON: This is as good as our
14 census blocks get for these three individual census
15 blocks. You can see that. Okay. That would be
16 possible. This one?

17 SENATOR BEKKEDAH: No.

18 MS. THOMPSON: Unfortunately, it's -- no,
19 not contiguous.

20 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: (Indiscernible)

21 MS. THOMPSON: It will get very small once
22 you hit a larger population area.

23 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: And then, I think
24 you strand part of 34, don't you?

25 MS. THOMPSON: Uh-huh (affirmative).



1 That's 34. Yes.

2 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Okay. Yeah. You can
3 see that where it goes on the right-hand side that --
4 up there. that doesn't go away then at any point.
5 right?

6 MS. THOMPSON: You can take that out.

7 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Okay. That's -- but
8 again. If there's not a logical road or something
9 there to block it off. I understand what you're
10 doing there. I just -- to me, it just made sense to
11 go all the way to the river into 31 and not have that
12 little extension.

13 MS. THOMPSON: Not a very pretty break
14 point with the census blocks in this particular area.

15 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: And, Senator
16 Bekkedahl, we'll take a look at that. Okay.

17 So going down that line, so we did not
18 change anything along the river. Anything that goes
19 down to Standing Rock down to the South Dakota border
20 going west on the border, that is all left untouched.
21 You'll see --

22 Emily, go up by 94 there.

23 In the blue area there, that was all -- see
24 here. So that's all 31 still.

25 So we went over to the west on that. Those



1 borders are pretty much the same as you go along.
2 Really, the big difference as we go out west was the
3 far western part of 31. When you get out to
4 Hettinger County, just west of Mot, we added, I
5 believe, three new townships to square that off.
6 There were some -- wasn't a whole lot of numbers out
7 there just to do that.

8 The northern part of that boundary, I
9 believe -- Representative Lefor, did we give any of
10 that to the new district and 31 there, the stair
11 steps there?

12 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: We gave a portion of
13 31 to 39.

14 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Yeah. So you kind
15 of see the two stair steps there. We gave some of
16 that to 39 to help them out with their number there.

17 And again, Mr. Chairman, we've tweaked some
18 of this since then. So we'll -- the numbers will --
19 should be a little bit better than what you see right
20 now in front of you. With that, Mr. Chairman, that
21 concludes --

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Schauer?
23 I'm sorry.

24 MR. SCHAUER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 Representative Nathe, in light of this



1 morning's discussion, if we can take a look at
2 District 31 and the Sioux nation. Are you
3 comfortable with those numbers? And are you
4 comfortable that that voting block has not been
5 disrupted for any purposes, including race?

6 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Mr. Chairman,
7 Representative Schauer. I am. I'm very comfortable
8 with it. This is what we basically drew 10 years
9 ago. It worked very well 10 years ago. 31 was
10 represented by two representatives on the other side
11 of the aisle. We left it alone 10 years ago.

12 And really, other than tweaking some of the
13 lines out west or into the north a little bit, we've
14 left pretty much everything else the same in that
15 southeast corner of the -- down by -- down by
16 Cannonball in that area, we have not touched any of
17 that at all.

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: I believe Representative
19 Monson had a question.

20 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Mr. Chairman, along
21 the same lines as Representative Schauer asked and in
22 light the discussion this morning, you know, I
23 brought up this morning that part of the problem that
24 we're facing is that the state's population has
25 grown, and we have to add 3000 plus people to every



1 district. And that further exacerbates the problem
2 in 31 if they wanted to have a sub-district. Because
3 you've added more of the city of Mandan out of
4 necessity to get the numbers to match up; is that
5 correct?

6 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Mr. Chairman,
7 Representative Monson, we have. We had -- the one in
8 the northwest part, I do not believe, is in the city
9 limits. If it is, it's partial. And the one on the
10 west side that we did is in the city limits. But,
11 yes. We have done that.

12 And as you can see when you look at the
13 map, when you go west in 31, it's really hard to get
14 numbers. You got one here, five there, seven there.
15 You could cover a lot of ground and not gain a whole
16 lot of population. So yes. And it's the same method
17 that we use with Bismarck, with District 8 and other
18 -- and we've done around the state. Some of these
19 counties -- districts, excuse me, have to come into
20 the big city to get those numbers, to get them up to
21 where we need them to be.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Anything else for
23 Representative Nathe? Thank you.

24 Senator Poolman, were you going to present
25 or not today?



1 SENATOR POOLMAN: I think after we see the
2 eastern half of the state, that's when I should go.

3 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg is going
4 to present a little more in the city of Grand Forks;
5 is that correct?

6 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: I guess. I mean, I
7 guess.

8 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Would you prefer that you
9 wait?

10 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: No, no. That's fine.
11 I mean, we've got to skin the skunk. Someone has to.

12 Is there enough copies? These are all the
13 same. right? Okay. This one seems thicker. This
14 one seems thicker than this one. I've got two of
15 them. Okay. That would mean it was thicker. This
16 is the old -- this would be the one that was
17 presented last week that the Yana was having
18 conniption over. Thank you.

19 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Change plans. I took
20 over.

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: There's been a coup of
22 some type here. And I will present some of the
23 concepts we laid out for the eastern part of the
24 state. and then send -- then I think the Grand Forks
25 thing will be clearer to what was done. So --



1 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Right. We'll see.

2 Okay.

3 (Pause)

4 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Another coup.

5 Apparently, the Vice Chairman has now been abducted.

6 So we're -- no. But thank you for offering.

7 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Sorry about that. I
8 had to tell them that, yes, I had gotten the bulb for
9 the outside light.

10 Okay. Representative Devlin has something
11 called Eastern Proposal 2.

12 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Right. Thank you,
13 Mr. Chairman. And the smaller breakdown of each of
14 these is in the packet. But essentially what we
15 worked on was trying to get something that would work
16 all the way from the Minnesota, South Dakota,
17 Canadian border, all the way to essentially Bismarck
18 or through District 14.

19 So if you look at just the top on District
20 9, Rolette County, of course, doesn't have room for
21 -- or doesn't have enough population for their own
22 district any longer. So part of Towner and Cavalier
23 County were added to District 9.

24 District 10 now includes part of Cavalier
25 and part of Walsh.



1 District 20 would run from the Cass County
2 line up through the area of Grand Forks they had
3 before up to just south or north of Minto. So when
4 you do that with district 20, that eliminates
5 District 19.

6 Cass County did not change at all or did
7 not change. It's within their borders as little four
8 things that's marked Dallas down there, that should
9 be in Cass County. That was my mistake.

10 District 29 essentially would pick up
11 Nelson -- under this concept under -- would pick up
12 Nelson, Greg Steel, Foster, and part of Stutsman and
13 the area around Jamestown that rural townships,
14 because 12 needed more people, the rural townships
15 would kind of be split there between 29 and 12.

16 And that area, we just did it by the
17 number. Somebody else would maybe use different
18 townships that was immaterial to us. District 14 is
19 essentially what it is now except Eddy County was
20 added to meet the population. There's part of the
21 Spirit Lake Reservation in Eddy County that has been
22 added to the rest of the reservation, and all of that
23 is now in 15.

24 So 15 would now include the Spirit Lake
25 Reservation, part of Towner County, and all of 15.



1 And that essentially eliminated District 23.

2 There's part of Benson County but none of
3 the reservation is also 14, and that's the way it
4 exists today. Really, the only change in 14 was the
5 addition of Eddy County and taking out that little
6 bit of the reservation because we want it to all be
7 together.

8 Then when you get down to 24. 24, if you
9 take Barnes and Ransom County, they make a perfect
10 district, so that's what was done here. Richland
11 County, we discussed the other day. Richland County
12 is another one that makes perfect district. So that
13 was what was done here.

14 There's a little bit of the reservation
15 from South Dakota done, and right now it's in the
16 bottom of Sargent. It can go into either county
17 there, but we just happen to put in the bottom of
18 Sargent.

19 Then the other counties, Logan, LaMoure,
20 McIntosh, Dickey, and Sargent, without the
21 reservation or with the reservation, would make
22 up 28. And Emmons County would stand alone and
23 go up into, we believe, Burleigh County.

24 Now, let me see if I got the other one
25 here so you can see the difference. I had some



1 requests that maybe we wouldn't have to put all
2 of 23 into 29. So we have a proposal where we
3 took Steele County out of it.

4 Let me see here, I can do this easy. So
5 if you look -- you look at the main map -- it'd
6 probably be a little easier to do it.

7 What this map does is puts a part of
8 LaMoure County back into 29 where it is now. It
9 takes Steele County out of 29, moves it in with
10 Barnes and part of Ransom. And the part showing
11 here, the townships in Cass County should not be
12 there. The Cass County border is whole.

13 So, you know, obviously, the county
14 lines don't stay whole under this, but this does
15 give that part of LaMoure County that was back --
16 was in 29 originally back into 29. And Steele
17 County moves south into Barnes. It still Nelson
18 and Griggs, which are new additions to 29.

19 Emmons County is still standalone, and
20 it will go up, I think, I believe into Burleigh
21 County. The colors are the same with -- kind of
22 the same with Kidder County, but it is not part
23 of 14. It will be part of Burleigh County. So
24 that was where we left it.

25 Everything works, like I said, from



1 Minnesota through District 14, to Burleigh County
2 based on either one of these maps you want to
3 start from. Or I know that some people are
4 either going to start over, and I'm fine with
5 that. We were charged with laying out a concept,
6 and we have done that, that makes Eastern North
7 Dakota.

8 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: And I believe when
9 you count -- I tried to count fast -- it was like
10 25 counties that are whole.

11 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah.

12 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: And last time
13 there was a total of, I think, of 30 counties
14 whole, and we do at least ostensibly genuflect
15 whenever we hear the word county lines being
16 whole, but we don't always genuflect.

17 Representative Boschee.

18 REPRESENTATIVE BOSCHEE: Thank you,
19 Mr. Chairman.

20 Chairman Devlin, I was confused at
21 first. My initial question was going to be why
22 are we doing all the way from the South Dakota
23 border near the Canadian border, but now as I
24 look, there is a differentiation between Emmons
25 County and Southern Burleigh. So are you just



1 leaving that up to some place that can be used
2 elsewhere where it needs to be used?

3 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah. Because
4 essentially, if you look at this line, you're
5 essentially getting rid of three districts in the
6 eastern part of the state.

7 REPRESENTATIVE BOSCHEE: Yeah.

8 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You're essentially
9 getting rid of 19, because 20 takes all of that
10 area in 19 between there and 10 in the city of
11 Grand Forks. So 19 would be gone.

12 23 would be gone, because the
13 reservation and the other counties that are in 23
14 either went into 15, 19, or under this one, 24;
15 so that would be gone. And 26 would be gone,
16 just because that was part of Richland County
17 originally. So those would be the three.

18 And somebody asked that question
19 earlier, where you would find three districts,
20 and there's going to be three, I'm convinced, in
21 Eastern North Dakota. Because every county
22 around or every county or every district around
23 us needs 3000 people and, you know, as like --
24 much as I'd like to take them all from Grand
25 Forks and Fargo, that isn't just realistic.



1 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: You found you
2 might to mention that one of the struggles as we
3 dealt, or as you worked and dealt with Rolette
4 County is finding where do you get the people.
5 If you go to the south, then you'll make a big
6 difference into District 14. You want to stay
7 away from going east, because that -- I mean,
8 west, excuse me.

9 If you go east across there, it solves
10 the number problem, not the political -- I mean,
11 there is a political problem and there's a
12 numbers problem. It solves the numbers problem
13 clearly, and it also allows Traill your county to
14 find a home, otherwise, they were boxed in and
15 would have been out over close to Foster County.
16 So it's an alternative, right?

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah. It's an
18 alternative, Senator. That's correct. And every
19 way we lay these things out, we would come up
20 with Nelson and Steele being the only two
21 counties left in the middle of the state. They
22 didn't fit anywhere. So that's how we got to
23 this.

24 Now somebody else may come up with a
25 better a better concept by tomorrow or next week.



1 I'm fine with that. But all I'm telling you that
2 -- sent you -- the first one I gave you, that was
3 the most -- or the least damaged to any of the
4 county lines, makes everything work. I think
5 just adding the Spirit Lake Reservation to Ramsey
6 County makes a better community of interest to
7 just because it's right along Devils Lake.

8 They have students and school there.
9 They have -- they do a lot of their business
10 together and so on. So if you have a reservation
11 at Ramsey County, I think it works better.

12 You certainly can -- whether there's any
13 interest in sub-districts or not, you could
14 certainly look at one up in that district tying
15 area that took part of District 10, but --

16 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: You might want to
17 mention too that the southern part of Towner
18 County has been with Ramsey County, that's Cando.

19 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah, yeah. It
20 absolutely has. Yeah.

21 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: And Towner County
22 in the past, years ago, was part of Rolette
23 County when they needed people.

24 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: And District 23, I can
25 tell you from experience, we used to have all the



1 way to Western Walsh County up to Edinburg, you
2 know. And each time, you lose more people out in
3 some of these rural districts. They have to go
4 somewhere. They cannot be anywhere else.

5 CHAIRMAN: Are there any -- yes.
6 Senator Oban and then Representative Nathe.

7 SENATOR OBAN: Mr. Chairman, how very
8 generous of you to sacrifice yourself. That's
9 rare.

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Rare for me, Senator
11 or rare for everyone?

12 SENATOR OBAN: Rare for legislators,
13 generally.

14 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. I just wanted
15 to clarify.

16 SENATOR OBAN: A good clarification,
17 yes. Certainly not targeting you.

18 Was there any consideration to just
19 leaving 23 alone and adding in Foster, which
20 makes it pretty much spot on?

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: It would make it
22 pretty much spot on if you -- I think you had to
23 use Eddy too, but I'm not sure. But it had a
24 real negative effect to 29 if you did that. You
25 know, it just wasn't the way to make it work.



1 And we played with this forever.

2 You know, a big share of the population
3 in 23 was on the reservation, you know. That now
4 is going into 15, and I think after the
5 presentation we heard this morning, that is the
6 place for it because those communities should be
7 together.

8 SENATOR OBAN: Okay.

9 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Representative
10 Nathe?

11 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you,
12 Mr. Chairman and Representative Devlin.

13 I know we've talked about this. So
14 what's the thought process? I'm leaving Lincoln
15 out and putting him with Emmons. I think you
16 heard some of my comments about the Lincoln area
17 from 10 years ago, and now, from this we have it
18 in Emmons. What was the thought process on that?
19 What were you guys thinking?

20 SENATOR OBAN: I'm going to talk about
21 that next when he's done.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yeah. That was not
23 part of what we did.

24 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Okay.

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: We ended it at the



1 Burleigh County border where just Emmons is
2 sitting out there when we left it, so.

3 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Okay. All right.

4 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: If you recall when
5 I presented the northeast a couple of week --
6 well, the other week, what that was, was all of
7 Cavalier, all of Pembina, the western half of
8 Walsh County and Nelson County. But that
9 presented problems with Steele, Traill, and the
10 Rolette County, kind of leaving both of them. So
11 I believe that Representative Devlin worked to
12 try to solve the problems, not only of that area,
13 but also of the other orphans that were hanging
14 around. Okay

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. Thank you.

16 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Now, should I do
17 the internals?

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yes.

19 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Okay. I will do
20 the internals.

21 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Before we move
22 on from there.

23 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: I don't know who's
24 talking.

25 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Monson.



1 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Yes.

2 Representative Monson.

3 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: So, just for
4 fun, the other day when I was coming back from
5 Bismarck, I was basing it on Senator Holmberg's
6 plan where District 10 included Nelson County.
7 And I somewhat like that idea, except that it was
8 -- sorry, I get a phone call.

9 I somewhat liked it, except that it is a
10 long district. I started checking the odometer
11 when I crossed into Nelson County, and if you
12 went all the way up to Pembina, that's a long
13 district. It keeps the counties much more whole,
14 which I liked. I don't have a problem
15 necessarily with splitting. I mean Cavalier
16 County was split once before.

17 At one time, District 10 included most
18 of Towner County as well. So I mean, it's been
19 all over the board, but this is a compact one. I
20 don't know how many counties are intact, but I
21 mean Nelson County on Senator Holmberg's last
22 plan was part of District 10; and it did keep the
23 counties more whole. So just to comment, I --
24 you know, I'm not leaning one way or the other.

25 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Okay. One of the



1 things, Representative Monson, was that was
2 extremely compact, et cetera, et cetera, but then
3 it leaves on its edges the question of Traill
4 County and the question of Rolette County. And
5 what do you do? So the --

6 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg will
7 now present the inner workings of the city.

8 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Mr. Chairman,
9 while he's (indiscernible), can I ask a question?

10 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Certainly.

11 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Mr. Chairman,
12 when we're drawing out these districts and we
13 have tasks that we're supposed to try to follow,
14 like does one task have more leverage than the
15 next task? Like, we've been looking at trying to
16 keep counties whole.

17 Is that more important than trying to
18 keep existing districts the way they are?
19 Because that's -- in my mind, it's an equal task.
20 And I think at least some of the plans that I've
21 seen give more credence to keeping counties whole
22 than keeping existing legislative districts
23 whole.

24 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative, I
25 don't know that I rank one ahead of the other. I



1 just -- whenever we looked at this and worked
2 through it, you always ended up with one or two
3 counties that were orphans until you got to this.
4 I prefer to keep county lines whole if it's
5 possible, but I certainly understand down in 28
6 and some of that area that maybe not be possible.
7 And I believe you're going to have the computer,
8 so I look forward to next week.

9 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Chairman, if I
10 may.

11 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator, yes.

12 UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: I mean, in my
13 view, the county -- those governments, are set.
14 They've been there since statehood, and so I
15 think you ought to honor that.

16 We've changed these lines every 10
17 years. We're more fluid with this redistricting.
18 So I think counties, if possible, should have
19 precedence. Just my thought.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Bekkedahl.

21 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Thank you, Mr.
22 Chairman.

23 Just to follow up on that, I've had with
24 our area county officials and our auditors up in
25 the northwest, and they consistently remind me



1 that elections -- this is all for elections and
2 the prosecution elections. And they prefer
3 keeping county lines consistent because that's
4 easier and less chance for anything to go wrong
5 in their election processes. So just -- they
6 want me to pass law and that they prefer county
7 lines as well.

8 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Bekkedahl,
9 just from past history, I can tell you that was
10 what I heard repeatedly, because we at one time
11 lived -- I lived in a split county, and I heard
12 that repeatedly from the county officials that it
13 was very, very difficult to work with that, but
14 they made it work.

15 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: And if you recall,
16 Mr. Chairman, 10 years ago, when we were in
17 Devils Lake, we still carry some scars from the
18 discussions from Walsh County.

19 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Holmberg, I'm
20 not sure, but Representative Monson had a
21 question, and I don't know if it was to you or to
22 me. So let us have him ask that first, and then
23 we'll start.

24 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Okay.

25 REPRESENTATIVE MONSON: Thank you,



1 Mr. Chairman.

2 I was just going to say the same thing
3 as Senator Bekkedahl just brought up. Keeping
4 the county lines are much preferable to the
5 county officials, especially the auditors that
6 are responsible for election because they really
7 don't like split counties when it comes to
8 elections.

9 So to me, that's a very important thing
10 to keep the counties full, much more so than our
11 lines of districts, although, you know, I like
12 keeping districts as stable as they can because
13 it keeps the continuity between the population
14 and the people. But so much -- so much has to
15 change when we have redistricting. Keeping
16 counties full are very high on the county's
17 priority.

18 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: Well,
19 Mr. Chairman, since I asked the question, if I
20 can just respond.

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yes, go ahead.

22 REPRESENTATIVE HEADLAND: You know,
23 that's easy to look at when you don't live in a
24 county that is too big for two districts. It's
25 too big for one district, but not big enough for



1 two. So you're dealing with split counties.

2 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: That has always
3 been the trouble in the northeast, for example
4 Pembina County and Wells County work fine, but
5 they're too big, the two of them together. So
6 someone's going to have to divide it up there.
7 So it kind of just bookends along.

8 Okay. The northeast -- this was
9 essentially presented last week. What we did is
10 took the current borders, boundaries, of the four
11 districts that are in Grand Forks, the city of
12 Grand Forks, and added a few people because we
13 needed a few people, but we wanted to keep that
14 addition to a minimum.

15 Therefore, we did not go over and add
16 the city of Thompson. That was a little too big,
17 and we added the other half of the Grand Forks
18 Air Force Base. A total of 2002 people live on
19 the base, total. And we added the city of
20 Manvel. And the other city one could look at
21 would have been Emerado, but in Emerado, they're
22 connected with the LaMoure School District.
23 That's where their high school students go
24 whereas -- most of them go, whereas in Manvel,
25 they all go to Central High School in Grand



1 Forks. So there is a commonality there along the
2 Manvel area.

3 The District 42 was short quite a few
4 folks, and the internal borders within the city
5 of Grand Fork on District 42 are identical to
6 what they are today.

7 The addition, as I mentioned, was
8 Brainerd Township, which used to be in 17. 17
9 had an excess population. And then, they took
10 over the Grand Forks Air Force Base because they
11 needed the people. They needed the population.
12 So that's why that looks kind of interesting with
13 that indentation into the city of Grand Forks.
14 That indentation is what has been there for a
15 number of years.

16 In fact, that indentation is identical
17 going back to 1993 with the exception of in 2003
18 the border was moved out to Washington Street and
19 then back 10 years later to 17th Street. So that
20 has been quite stable within the city of Grand
21 Forks.

22 District 43 is our landlocked district,
23 and it has no rural areas.

24 The only changes in District 43 were
25 addition of an area by the Alaris center, a



1 removal of some folks that were over by the
2 library, which is by Washington. They went up to
3 District 18, which was short of population, and
4 then they picked up Walmart. And then they got
5 that particular area down to 40th Avenue South.
6 So that squared off.

7 District 17 -- we used to go up to 8th,
8 but we were too big, and we now are on 17th.
9 When you look at that line across, it says Sunset
10 and Chestnut Place, but the line is on 17th
11 Avenue South, which is a very -- a big zero fare
12 going across.

13 And then 18 has the rest -- 17 also has
14 the Walle Township, which is south of Grand
15 Forks, and it is over to the interstate. We use
16 the interstate as a boundary. Very identifiable.
17 And District -- forget about that. The one I'm
18 here on 19, we don't -- we don't look at that.

19 And you have the map of 43 and of 42.
20 There was a suggestion of making a slight
21 adjustment, and it was a slight adjustment, in
22 the borders of District 42, which would have
23 moved the -- would have taken some from 42 and
24 put it into 18, and then taken the city of Manvel
25 and put that in 42.



1 But I just wanted you to know there was
2 that presentation, but personally, I like the
3 fact that if we can keep these borders the way
4 they were, it -- I like the continuity, but
5 that's essentially what it is. We did a minimal
6 amount of change within the districts, and that's
7 all I can say.

8 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Bekkedahl has
9 a question.

10 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Thank you,
11 Mr. Chairman and Senator Holmberg.

12 So relative to Grand Forks Air Force
13 Base, I like to call it one district now, but,
14 you know, just south of there, that -- does that
15 have not any common interest ties to the base
16 with its population? I understand you said the
17 school district is in a different school
18 district, but I just want to -- I don't know -- I
19 don't know if there's base people living in there
20 that have a common interest with the base, so --

21 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: There's a lot of
22 base people that live there, a lot in Weimer.
23 And there is a community of interest out there.
24 The school district in Emerado and the school
25 district in Grand Forks has an interesting



1 history of competition, because many, many years
2 ago, of course Grand Forks got the air base as
3 part of their school district, and Emerado
4 didn't. So there has been -- and I'm not saying
5 there is bad blood or anything like that, but
6 maybe some bad blood back in the day.

7 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: Well, if I could --
8 Mr. Chairman?

9 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may continue.

10 SENATOR BEKKEDAHL: It just makes sense
11 to me where you have the line right now. I just
12 -- I just didn't know the dynamics about who
13 lives in Emerado. I just know it's really close
14 to the base.

15 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: There's a Dairy
16 Queen, and the strip club closed years ago. I'm
17 told. I'm told. Club Emerado, but I'm told.

18 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: On that note, Senator
19 Oban had a question.

20 SENATOR OBAN: Yeah. Let's change that
21 one real quick.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Yes, thank you.

23 SENATOR OBAN: So I -- since you showed
24 this, you know, changes to District 42 and Fargo,
25 I'm just struggling to understand how the



1 university -- the district is essentially like
2 half the university, a fourth rural, and a fourth
3 the base, and maybe, you know, a population
4 that's not exactly. That seems like many
5 different communities of interest, when 42 could
6 be cut in a way where it is more like central
7 Grand Forks, sort of main -- I'm just wondering
8 the thought process of essentially doing the
9 university population rural and --

10 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Well, first of
11 all, a large part of the population is of a
12 younger age at the university. And that is the
13 same kind of metric that you'll see at the air
14 force base.

15 SENATOR OBAN: Okay.

16 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: They are younger
17 population, you know, the -- 18- to 30-year olds,
18 a lot of them are out there. So there's that
19 commonality. But there -- part of it is they are
20 -- like I said, 2002 people that live on the
21 base, but air base folks live throughout the city
22 of Grand Forks as do university students and
23 university folks.

24 So trying to get the numbers, it just
25 made sense to put them together with that



1 particular district. If we left 18 connected to
2 the Grand Forks Air Force Base, then where do we
3 get the population for District 42? There are --
4 some legislators from District 42 option was to
5 divide 43 in half and they take the northern half
6 of 43.

7 And I had little interest in dividing a
8 district that really didn't need to be divided,
9 because they'd have to get their people some
10 place. And again, sometimes its -- I don't want
11 to say the people are ugly, but sometimes it's
12 ugly what you have to do in order to worship at
13 the altar of one person one lord.

14 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Any further questions?

15 Seeing none. Thank you.

16 Senator Poolman.

17 SENATOR POOLMAN: Mr. Chairman, as they
18 are passing out the version of this district --
19 it's labeled District X, but it would really be
20 District 8 is what we would be calling it. As
21 you notice, both of the maps that came from the
22 eastern half of the state honored county lines.
23 And even though they were slightly different in
24 the way they did that, both of those maps left
25 Emmons County as an orphan.



1 And so what I'm starting to realize on
2 this Committee is that we're coming in from the
3 east and the west and that everything in the
4 middle is now going to be squished. And so we
5 better start looking for some solutions in terms
6 of making whole districts in the middle as well.
7 And so I just drew the lines as far north as it
8 needed to go to have a population and have a nice
9 straight line. And so you'll see that it goes right
10 under Wilton there.

11 And so that's the district. It's the right
12 size. I didn't include the rest of them there. But
13 I will tell you that then I took what would have been
14 the rest of District 8 as it is now, and it absorbed
15 into 33, into 6 and into 14. And so that's why this
16 will be considered the new 8.

17 And so I don't have any dog in this fight
18 or anything. I just wanted to start looking for some
19 solutions. I'm really supportive of the concept of
20 the following county lines. And so I think we need
21 to start to figure it out in the middle here if we're
22 going to try to do that on each side of the state.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Headland,
24 I'm sorry.

25 MR. HEADLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.



1 Senator, I'm just curious if we're keeping
2 counties whole and -- as a task, and another one of
3 our tasks is to keep an existing district as whole as
4 it was, what would be the point of pulling a full
5 county like Emmons out of District 28 when it
6 actually, there's ways to make it fit in with 28?

7 SENATOR POOLMAN: Mr. Chairman and
8 Representative Headland, I am happy to see any maps
9 where you're still honoring county lines, and you
10 keep the districts together. I think that's great.
11 I'm just saying the two maps I've seen left Emmons
12 County as an orphan, and so this is a proposal. If
13 people like those two versions of the map, it's a
14 proposal to do that.

15 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Representative Nathe?

16 REPRESENTATIVE NATHE: Thank you,
17 Mr. Chairman.

18 I appreciate Senator Poolman's work on
19 this, and I'm all for looking at different options
20 and I think that's good. But I just want to speak to
21 Lincoln. I spoke to it earlier when I presented.
22 That's going to be a big problem.

23 And I know we're having some people
24 tomorrow coming from Lincoln. They want to be
25 represented by somebody local. Like as I said



1 earlier, they have a Bismarck public school in their
2 city, they relate to Bismarck politics. Something
3 like this, you know now you've put them into a rural
4 district.

5 And we heard this complaint 10 years ago
6 and it was very loud at the end. And we just need to
7 keep that in mind when we're taking a look at this.
8 So thank you.

9 SENATOR POOLMAN: Mr. Chairman, if I may
10 speak to that?

11 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: You may.

12 SENATOR POOLMAN: As the person who
13 represents Lincoln now, what I love about having
14 Lincoln in this district is that they become the
15 largest voting block and the most influential
16 community in the district. And what I like as a
17 parent through Bismarck public schools and has
18 dedicated my life's work to working for Bismarck
19 public schools, I like that you have an entire
20 district now, that you have three more legislators
21 that need to consider what is good for Bismarck
22 public schools.

23 And so I like the idea that you really
24 created a district where Lincoln has significantly
25 more influence than it has today.



1 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator, if I believe --
2 and you know obviously with the 200 pieces of paper
3 I've now accumulated, your vision of District 8 is
4 entirely different than what Representative Nathe
5 presented. And so exactly what are you going to do
6 with the balance of McLean County so to speak, what
7 did you do with that?

8 SENATOR POOLMAN: Correct. And I didn't
9 want to show that. I will just tell you that I
10 absorbed it into 33 and 6 and 14. But I haven't
11 spoken to anybody from those areas.

12 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay.

13 SENATOR POOLMAN: And I'm not familiar with
14 those areas. And so I wanted to have some time to
15 meet with people and to see what the other maps had
16 already done with 31 and 33 and to see what was
17 already planned for those districts. So they were
18 just absorbed into those three other districts.

19 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. Thank you. Was
20 there any comments from any interested persons on
21 what we've discussed today? Nothing online? No.

22 MR. HANE BUTT: Mr. Chairman, Members of the
23 Committee, I'm Pete Hanebutt from Farm Bureau. I
24 haven't commented before, but a lot of the discussion
25 today lends to what our policy says, which Policy



1 40909 says, "We believe the legislative district
2 should consider geographical areas as well as
3 population to more equally represent the rural areas
4 of North Dakota."

5 What that means in the discussion of our
6 delegates is what you've discussed today; keeping
7 communities of interest together. Keeping counties
8 together is important, but that is balanced by
9 communities of interest, meaning school districts
10 that cross county lines, urban areas that cross
11 county lines, and those kinds of things. That was
12 the general discussion of our delegates last
13 December, and they were very interested in this.

14 Obviously, we want to maximize the impact
15 of rural North Dakota and the rural people in
16 Agriculture. And so I'll leave it at that. It's a
17 little bit up to your own interpretation. I've seen
18 the discussion today was healthy, all the way round
19 for our interests and so we appreciate all your work,
20 so --

21 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Questions, Mr. Hanebutt?

22 MR. HANEBUTT: No, sir.

23 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Oban?

24 SENATOR OBAN: I do have -- you know, I'm
25 just looking at the existing district lines or



1 legislative district lines. For example, the Minot
2 area essentially split the City of Minot into four
3 districts making each of those districts, maybe with
4 the exception of 5 -- and I'll probably ask Senator
5 Burckhard if that's about accurate, part rural and
6 part Minot. Considering we will be probably
7 eliminating a few rural districts, you could cut
8 Minot to be three districts that encompass Minot and
9 then you would gain one rural district.

10 MR. HANEBUTT: Uh-huh (affirmative).

11 SENATOR OBAN: Can you speak to that
12 thought process?

13 MR. HANEBUTT: Well, I would be speaking
14 for Ward County Farm Bureau.

15 SENATOR OBAN: Sure.

16 MR. HANEBUTT: And I probably shouldn't
17 speak for them specifically. The general consensus
18 of our members is to maximize rural districts, which
19 is great. We also understand that a district or two
20 here and there is going to go away. The fact that
21 the numbers have gone away, for example, the
22 Chairman's district number going away doesn't meant
23 that that rural district goes away. Those rural
24 people are still well represented in some of the maps
25 represented today.



1 SENATOR OBAN: Of course.

2 MR. HANE BUTT: So it's a little bit of
3 horse trading. I think our folks would like to see a
4 growth in districts in the west obviously, because
5 they see that part of the state expanding. So it's a
6 half a horse a piece, and I don't know that there's a
7 fair way to say it. We know that some -- what we
8 would consider city districts are going to go out
9 into the countryside. And we know that some country
10 sides are going to capture a little bit of suburbia.

11 I'm happy for, you know -- one of the
12 renderings today was my district in rural Morton
13 County. Catching New Salem with Dickinson makes
14 sense. And I think you know, there's a lot of things
15 that makes sense and how we draw these things. It's
16 just a matter of you guys aren't wrestling over it.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Senator Sorvaag.

18 SENATOR SORVAAG: Mr. Chairman, Pete, and
19 this isn't as much a question but a statement to
20 that. You may want to respond back to it, but --
21 Cass County, I have one of those urban rural, and I
22 think they gained. If we make all of rural Cass
23 County one district, they got one senator, two
24 representatives.

25 If you take what I'll be showing tomorrow



1 where I take a fourth of the rural, and I have it
2 now. Rural Cass County's got two senators and four
3 representatives. When the Cass County township
4 officers have their annual meeting, they've got two
5 senators sitting there, not one. That completely --
6 I can see a little edge, but it completely baffles me
7 how that can be called deluding the representation.

8 Now, still at the end of the day, it's
9 incumbent of every legislature to realize we're
10 responsible for all our constituents, whether you're
11 living in the country or living in the city, wherever
12 my house is. I'm responsible for them all. So I
13 struggle with that. I know there's unique things
14 where it's deluding, but because of the losing of the
15 rural districts, this is one way to keep
16 representation.

17 And you can respond to that. But I don't
18 think what you'll see in my map is hurting those
19 people. Well, I've had most of them already for
20 eight years. And I'm in a unique situation. I grew
21 up out in that rural, and I'm connected. But when I
22 look, at least in Cass County, it's enhancing the
23 rural part of Cass County to have connections to
24 multiple districts than if we wrap that. And we
25 could wrap it all in one, and that's all they'd



1 represent.

2 So I think there's two sides to it, and
3 that's why everyone needs to stand really on its own
4 merits. But I think a blanket statement that there
5 shouldn't be an urban rural and it's only been done
6 solely because of numbers might be missing some
7 opportunities for additional rural representation.

8 MR. HANEBUTT: Senator, I appreciate the
9 comment, and I cannot disagree with your ideology on
10 that at all. I mean, it is a horse-trading process.
11 I will add an editorial comment that as a former
12 lobbyist from another state, we do a much better job
13 here. And God bless you all because I wouldn't move
14 back to Indiana the way they draw gerrymander
15 districts there. So across the board, this is
16 better.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Thank you, Pete. We
18 appreciate that.

19 MR. HANEBUTT: Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: So I know tomorrow, we're
21 doing Cass County. I don't know if some of the folks
22 from western North Dakota might be able to get
23 together here today and at least discuss a little
24 bit, you know, what you're doing. I know that --

25 Representative Lefor, do you have the --



1 one of the computers?

2 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: (Indiscernible)

3 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay.

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I gave it to
5 Counsel.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Okay. Well, one of them
7 is going to Representative Headland, and I'm not sure
8 where the other one was. It was going to go to
9 Minot, but it isn't now. So I'm not sure who has the
10 other one, but that's fine. But Representative
11 Headland will need one.

12 REPRESENTATIVE LEFOR: I was offered
13 (indiscernible).

14 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: From both? You're going
15 to get both of that?

16 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: Where is that going?

17 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: Well, whatever. However
18 you three want to work it out.

19 VICE CHAIR HOLMBERG: We don't have to --

20 CHAIRMAN DEVLIN: That will be fine.

21 What else is there for today, Committee?
22 Tomorrow may be a short meeting, unless you come up
23 with a bunch of solutions overnight.

24 Representative Boschee, are you presenting
25 anything else on your plan? Okay.



1 You did get a letter from a gentleman in
2 Beulah. I think it was circulated earlier that said
3 that reservations have been split in different
4 districts that happened, he said, back in the 70's
5 and 80's and as late as 92 or maybe 2002. And the
6 statement I made is we have never done it you know,
7 when I was -- that I could remember.

8 But apparently, it was done years back.
9 But in all the years I've been working with it, we
10 have never split an Indian reservation, and I'm sure
11 we're not going to this year. But I stand corrected
12 because he said it was done in some of the earlier
13 years.

14 I don't know if anybody was on in any of
15 those Redistricting Committees that far back or not.

16 Is there anything else for today,
17 Committee?

18 So nothing. We'll stand in recess until
19 tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m.

20 (END OF VIDEO FILE)

21

22

23

24

25



1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST

2 I certify that the foregoing is a true
3 and accurate transcript of the digital recording
4 provided to me in this matter.

5 I do further certify that I am neither a
6 relative, nor employee, nor attorney of any of
7 the parties to this action, and that I am not
8 financially interested in the action.

9

10

11

12

13

Julie Thompson, CET-1036

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



<u>WORD INDEX</u>			
< 0 >	114:11	20:11 24:10 27:22	4.51 85:2
	2.3 8:13	28:3, 7 38:24	4.84 93:14
0.16 100:3	20 22:1 82:8, 9	62:17 63:5 78:7,	40 12:22 14:22
0.95 86:2 93:16	115:1, 4 119:9	11, 19, 20 88:10	28:12 80:16
< 1 >	200 140:2	89:2, 5, 6 93:10	4000 40:18 85:24
	2002 130:18	97:16 105:13, 14	40909 141:1
1.97 101:10	135:20 147:5	106:3, 4, 18, 22	40th 132:5
1:00 82:9, 10	2003 131:17	107:17 108:10	418th 101:25
10 21:23 22:1	2010 42:7 62:23	109:11, 24 110:3,	42 131:3, 5 132:19,
53:22 54:3 99:9	2011 21:24	10, 13 111:2, 9	22, 23, 25 134:24
111:8, 9, 11 114:24	2014 12:1 52:8, 12	112:2, 13 140:16	135:5 136:3, 4
119:10 121:15	62:19	31, again 7:21	43 131:22, 24
123:17 125:6, 17,	2018 59:15, 24	31A 16:22	132:19 136:5, 6
22 127:16 128:16	69:15 78:7	31B 16:24	4373 33:25
131:19 139:5	2020 42:4, 12, 13	32 98:11 100:10,	4500 98:24
10,000 25:5 53:12	2021 1:13 2:1	16 101:1	461st 102:1
100 70:14	40:17	33 17:3 88:10	47 94:19 95:1
11 22:6	206 24:7	89:18 90:6 97:13	99:23 100:4, 6, 9, 10
1100 89:23	21 13:13	101:19 102:12, 16,	49 79:8
12 115:14, 15	21stStreet 85:10	18, 21 103:7 105:4,	49,728 84:5
12,000 84:5 95:22	22 85:11	7, 9, 12, 15, 19, 20	4th 102:2 107:4
14 17:21 114:18	23 41:12, 17 65:2	106:6 137:15	< 5 >
115:18 116:3, 4	116:1 117:2	140:10, 16	
117:23 118:1	119:12, 13 121:24	34 97:16 106:9, 12,	5 100:8 142:4
120:6 137:15	122:19 123:3	15, 19 107:4	50 12:21 15:23
140:10	2300 14:14, 17	108:24 109:1	16:1 21:2 24:4
14.6 100:3	24 116:8 119:14	34's 106:21	28:13 64:25 65:1
15 1:13 2:1	25 118:10	35 98:12 100:5, 7	5000 59:18
115:23, 24, 25	25,700 85:4	36 82:21 85:21, 25	501(c)(4) 67:17
119:14 123:4	26 100:24 119:15	86:1, 12, 15, 18	52nd 99:15
15,829 84:18	26th 40:9 100:23	87:25 91:20, 23, 25	55-301.5 61:19
16,000 44:14	28 98:23 116:22	93:16	56 81:8
16,576 84:3	127:5 138:5, 6	3644 33:25	57 81:8
16,700 90:12	28th 13:19	37 57:20 82:21	59 78:15
17 131:8 132:7, 13	29 115:10, 15	83:2 85:3, 5, 25	< 6 >
17th 131:19 132:8,	117:2, 8, 9, 16, 18	93:14 108:1	
10	122:24	3700 44:14	6 102:7, 9 137:15
18 132:3, 13, 24	29.7 35:24 60:9	3787 43:23	140:10
135:17 136:1	< 3 >	39 82:21 84:10	6000 25:4 53:12
18th 85:13		85:25 87:4 89:25	98:9
19 115:5 119:9, 10,	3 94:17 95:2, 3	90:2, 6, 21 93:15	61,000 84:2 95:20
11, 14 132:18	3.25 86:3 93:17	104:10 110:13, 16	6200 14:13
1984 13:15	3.33 102:14	39/District 95:15	65 78:16, 18
1991 23:14	30 97:9 100:21, 23	< 4 >	< 7 >
1993 131:17	101:3, 5, 10 118:13		
1st 54:19	3000 80:22 111:25	4 61:13 69:17	7 98:6, 19, 21
< 2 >	119:23	73:8 89:20, 24	99:21 102:3 105:2
	30-year 135:17	90:6, 9, 11 91:4, 10	70 66:11
2 61:14, 20 93:18	31 8:17, 20 10:23	95:15 100:3, 8	7000 87:2
	11:13 12:2, 11, 18	4.5 93:15 100:8	70's 147:4
	18:7 19:12, 24		



7559 40:16

7759 43:22

78 63:3

7's 99:2

< **8** >

8 97:12 98:22

99:3, 4, 5, 19

101:16, 22, 23

102:4, 5, 8, 11, 20

105:3, 4 112:17

136:20 137:14, 16

140:3

80's 147:5

8288 54:5

83 103:16, 19

8300 90:10

8500 87:1

86 34:2

8's 102:14

8th 3:19 132:7

< **9** >

9 114:20, 23

9:00 147:19

92 147:5

94 100:6 105:10,

12, 15 106:5, 7

109:22

99 33:11

9th 100:24

< **A** >

a.m 147:19

Aaron 97:19

Aaronize 63:1

abdicate 54:8

abducted 114:5

ability 67:17 72:2

75:14

able 5:14 62:9, 18

63:6, 19 65:24

70:3 71:25 76:16

101:9, 10 102:13,

17, 19, 21 105:4

145:22

absolutely 121:20

absorbed 137:14

140:10, 18

access 63:21 68:17,

21 69:3, 5, 11, 18

72:1, 5 75:25 79:5

81:3 103:17, 20

accessible 62:2, 6

69:13

accomplish 85:19

account 40:24 43:2

69:19

accounted 42:15

accumulated 140:3

accurate 42:18

142:5 148:3

acknowledgment

30:16

acres 8:14

Act 41:7, 24 51:11

53:2, 8 61:10 64:18

action 148:7, 8

Adams 86:25

add 25:9 78:25

80:22 84:9 85:23

93:12 96:3 111:25

130:15 145:11

added 27:22 36:11

94:3 95:2 110:4

112:3 114:23

115:20, 22 130:12,

17, 19

adding 17:18 85:1

94:8, 12 96:1

103:6 121:5 122:19

addition 7:24

116:5 130:14

131:7, 25

additional 40:10

145:7

Additionally 43:3

additions 117:18

address 6:6 35:12,

22 43:18 102:24

addressed 26:8

adequate 29:17

42:24

adjacent 62:11

adjoining 12:6

adjustment 132:21

administration

13:13, 17 23:3 35:7

administrations

22:14

adopt 60:18

adopted 63:9

advance 16:6

advantage 17:13

advantageous 56:20

adversely 59:18

advocacy 43:9

advocate 26:11

34:7 40:21 43:7

51:8 55:21

advocated 61:1

advocating 53:3, 9,

15, 21 54:11 56:10

Affairs 4:22 10:8

32:19

affect 59:18

affiliate 8:24 17:15

18:7 21:5

affiliated 17:23

52:15

affirmative 71:11

108:25 142:10

afternoon 69:2

82:24

age 34:2 135:12

ago 22:10 99:9

111:9, 11 121:22

123:17 128:16

134:2, 16 139:5

agree 32:18 33:19

66:16 67:1, 5

70:12, 16 71:5, 15

75:10 95:9 105:21

agreed 46:3

agreements 75:4

Agriculture 141:16

ahead 14:10 38:7

126:25 129:21

Air 130:18 131:10

133:12 134:2

135:13, 21 136:2

aisle 21:12 50:16

70:5 72:15 73:11,

15 76:11 111:11

Alaris 131:25

align 67:13

alike 9:7

Allard 52:11 62:21

allow 50:8 51:9, 17

62:1 68:23 69:21

allowable 8:2 20:23

allowed 55:15

76:17

allowing 7:19

40:10

allows 61:22

120:13

alluded 76:7 105:8

alongside 101:19

altar 136:13

alternative 120:16,

18

American 34:1

35:24 40:17 41:16

42:14, 17 44:18

46:21 59:19 60:8,

20, 24 62:17 63:6

67:14, 15, 20 68:2

71:10 73:6

Americans 40:18

42:7

amount 87:12 98:7

133:6

ample 45:17

ands 34:13

angle 50:21

annual 144:4

answer 22:10, 24

47:1 48:3 66:18,

20 107:14

anybody 13:16

22:11 33:17 34:15

77:19 140:11

147:14

anyway 35:16

apologies 5:13

apologize 38:4, 6

46:11 58:4 73:20

apparent 59:25

apparently 46:8

105:22 114:5 147:8

appointment 69:22

appreciate 2:5 6:8

19:2 28:23 39:19

40:9 48:12 57:3

58:23 73:22 77:18

81:18 138:18

141:19 145:8, 18

appropriate 45:4

appropriations 26:9

approve 3:20, 25

<p>approved 3:24</p> <p>April 54:24</p> <p>area 14:18, 20 17:2 19:22 47:15 51:14 56:3 66:10 84:1 85:17 90:19 92:5 96:12 98:25 99:13, 25 102:12 103:22, 25 106:1, 16 108:3, 22 109:14, 23 111:16 115:2, 13, 16 119:10 121:15 123:16 124:12 127:6, 24 131:2, 25 132:5 142:2</p> <p>areas 9:20 17:23 19:18 36:3 41:15 52:15 56:6, 8, 15 66:10 68:6, 7 85:14 95:19 96:10 99:10 131:23 140:11, 14 141:2, 3, 10</p> <p>arguable 65:3</p> <p>argue 33:16 65:2</p> <p>arithmetic 83:23 84:1, 16</p> <p>article 61:13</p> <p>ascend 96:21</p> <p>asked 22:15 111:21 119:18 129:19</p> <p>asking 13:6 15:19 16:6 18:4 19:11 56:11 60:18 65:10 93:3</p> <p>aspect 49:13</p> <p>ASSEMBLY 1:11 61:15</p> <p>associated 83:14</p> <p>assuming 89:3</p> <p>assumption 70:5 71:1, 18</p> <p>assumptions 70:2</p> <p>at-large 41:8 62:22 63:1 79:12</p> <p>attend 42:22 43:6</p> <p>attorney 46:20 148:6</p> <p>audible 4:6 28:18</p>	<p>auditors 127:24 129:5</p> <p>August 40:9 54:25</p> <p>authority 11:8</p> <p>Avenue 85:13 102:1, 2 132:5, 11</p> <p>aware 68:20 69:6, 7, 10 80:24</p> <p>aye 4:3</p> <p>ayes 4:4</p> <p>< B ></p> <p>back 5:1 12:1 13:18 16:17 17:21 21:24 22:6 23:14 31:6 33:1 55:11 65:8 72:11 82:8, 13 91:4 92:22 100:10 104:22 108:3 117:8, 15, 16 125:4 131:17, 19 134:6 143:20 145:14 147:4, 8, 15</p> <p>backing 67:6</p> <p>bad 35:18 134:5, 6</p> <p>badly 100:20</p> <p>baffles 144:6</p> <p>balance 23:24 98:14 140:6</p> <p>balanced 141:8</p> <p>ballot 72:17</p> <p>Band 59:6</p> <p>Barnes 116:9 117:10, 17</p> <p>Base 130:18, 19 131:10 133:13, 15, 19, 20, 22 134:2, 14 135:3, 14, 21 136:2</p> <p>based 81:1, 3 118:2</p> <p>basically 35:15 36:3 50:14 86:15 95:10 111:8</p> <p>basing 125:5</p> <p>beautiful 9:19</p> <p>beginning 61:3</p> <p>behalf 7:21 34:7 40:6, 11, 21 97:18</p> <p>Bekkedahl 2:24, 25 89:10, 11 90:3, 5, 8, 16 91:2, 8 95:14 104:1, 2, 11, 16</p>	<p>106:24 107:1, 2, 9, 12, 15 108:5, 17 109:2, 7, 16 127:20, 21 128:8 129:3 133:8, 10 134:7, 10</p> <p>beliefs 31:25</p> <p>believe 12:2 15:20 18:22 26:12 27:6 30:6 32:1 39:3 43:21 45:17 51:5, 21 52:23 53:3 56:20 57:10 58:16 62:24 64:21 65:4 73:13, 24 76:21 82:13, 22 85:9 104:8, 13, 15 110:5, 9 111:18 112:8 116:23 117:20 118:8 124:11 127:7 140:1 141:1</p> <p>Bellew 2:8, 9</p> <p>beneficial 49:14 55:25 56:7, 12, 20, 25 57:10</p> <p>benefit 19:15, 17</p> <p>Benson 40:20 116:2</p> <p>Berthold 23:17 24:4 65:22 67:22</p> <p>best 26:14 33:10 43:19 75:17</p> <p>better 12:25 13:9 16:19 17:2 19:17 33:8 41:17 50:18 58:17, 18 62:3, 5 71:24 80:1 106:22 110:19 120:25 121:6, 11 137:5 145:12, 16</p> <p>betterment 18:14</p> <p>Beulah 147:2</p> <p>beyond 31:24 38:22</p> <p>bienniums 76:13</p> <p>big 82:3 87:4 94:23 110:2 112:20 120:5 123:2 129:24, 25 130:5, 16 132:8, 11 138:22</p> <p>bigger 78:14</p>	<p>biggest 91:20 98:5, 8</p> <p>bill 23:14, 18</p> <p>Billings 86:25</p> <p>Bismarck 43:6 63:17 97:10, 12 98:5, 6, 10, 11 99:8, 9 100:17, 25 101:10 112:17 114:17 125:5 139:1, 2, 17, 18, 21</p> <p>bit 7:25 30:5 31:23 45:21 58:2 78:3 97:22 98:1, 2 99:19, 22 101:3 102:15 105:12 106:16 110:19 111:13 116:6, 14 141:17 143:2, 10 145:24</p> <p>black 19:11 31:9</p> <p>blanket 145:4</p> <p>bleed 105:5</p> <p>bless 145:13</p> <p>blessing 14:4</p> <p>blew 105:20</p> <p>blinding 42:5</p> <p>block 85:18 100:7 107:10 108:4 109:9 111:4 139:15</p> <p>blocking 75:13 108:11</p> <p>blocks 85:17 108:2, 14, 15 109:14</p> <p>blood 134:5, 6</p> <p>blue 104:21 109:23</p> <p>board 125:19 145:15</p> <p>bodies 45:13</p> <p>Bonabi 68:14</p> <p>bookends 130:7</p> <p>boots 20:3</p> <p>border 34:2 109:19, 20 114:17 117:12 118:23 124:1 131:18</p> <p>bordering 95:12</p> <p>borders 110:1 115:7 130:10 131:4 132:22 133:3</p>
---	--	---	--



<p>Boschee 2:10, 11 75:1 102:25 103:3, 23 118:17, 18 119:7 146:24 bottom 28:13 116:16, 17 boundaries 75:7 80:21 84:1 85:13 86:9 89:21 90:10 95:18, 19 130:10 boundary 85:22 110:8 132:16 bounds 74:17 Bowman 86:24 boxed 120:14 brain 34:15 Brainerd 131:8 break 82:5 109:13 breakdown 114:13 brief 7:5 bring 21:4 28:10 39:14 53:7 74:25 91:8 bringing 24:15 45:13 brings 98:22 broadband 63:22 broaden 7:7 brought 21:3, 18 22:15 24:25 25:8 26:6 33:6 53:1 54:15 68:16 81:11 101:4, 22 102:2, 4 111:23 129:3 Brown 5:8 39:25 40:4 44:5, 17 45:5, 19 46:14 54:15, 19 budding 87:10 93:9 Buffalo 6:21 71:10 build 5:2 74:15 75:19 bulb 114:8 bunch 146:23 Burckhard 3:1, 2 44:22, 25 45:1, 6, 18 142:5 Bureau 10:8 140:23 142:14 bureaucracy 75:13 burial 45:14</p>	<p>Burleigh 116:23 117:20, 23 118:1, 25 124:1 business 77:4 121:9 butts 107:5 < C > call 2:2 6:17 32:2 46:6 82:12 86:23 88:4 125:8 133:13 called 76:22 114:11 144:7 calling 136:20 calls 71:17 Campbell 7:6 18:2 44:19 46:18, 20 48:8 49:1, 18 50:6, 24 51:24 52:5 53:1, 16 55:22 57:1, 17 58:1, 6, 12, 24 65:9 Canada 45:12 Canadian 114:17 118:23 candidate 62:1 63:2, 7 71:9 81:6, 7 candidates 41:13 61:12 62:9 67:16, 21 68:6 70:13, 18 78:10 Cando 121:18 Cannonball 31:22 111:16 capture 143:10 care 64:21 Carl 87:15 carries 4:7 carry 128:17 carving 90:17 case 23:7 53:13 70:24 cases 43:13 65:25 Casino 40:5 Cass 94:12 115:1, 6, 9 117:11, 12 143:21, 22 144:2, 3, 22, 23 145:21 catch 35:10 Catching 143:13 cater 29:25</p>	<p>cattle 20:4 cause 32:19 Cavalier 114:22, 24 124:7 125:15 census 7:8 40:25 42:1, 4, 7, 12, 13 44:7 80:21 81:4 83:13 85:16, 17 98:9 107:10 108:2, 4, 14 109:14 center 131:25 Central 130:25 135:6 centrist 30:5 32:2 67:1 certain 14:18 39:15 64:23 67:6 81:24 106:6 certainly 47:4 50:8 51:7 52:6, 20 53:22 54:2, 7 56:11 64:20 80:3 82:3 88:7 121:12, 14 122:17 126:10 127:5 CERTIFICATE 148:1 certify 148:2, 5 CET-1036 148:13 cetera 24:1 126:2 Chad 62:24 CHAIR 2:23 23:11 26:8 64:12, 16 66:3, 14, 19 82:25 83:21 88:13, 15, 20, 23, 25 89:6, 9 96:7 113:6, 10, 19 114:1, 7 118:8, 12 120:1 121:16, 21 123:9 124:4, 16, 19, 23 125:1, 25 128:15, 24 130:2 133:21 134:15 135:10, 16 146:16, 19 CHAIRMAN 2:2, 6, 7 3:13, 15, 23 4:5, 17, 19 5:7, 13, 20 6:1, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20, 22 8:10 13:14 14:11, 19, 25 15:1, 19 16:12, 15, 16</p>	<p>17:5, 10 18:21, 24, 25 19:1, 9, 16 20:8, 9, 18 21:9, 10, 14, 15 22:5, 7 23:8, 9 24:17, 18, 22, 24 25:17 26:2, 10, 15, 18, 19 27:11 28:16, 19, 21, 25 29:2, 3, 4 35:4, 6, 7, 8 36:15, 18 37:4, 6, 10, 13, 17, 20, 23, 25 38:2, 4, 9 39:18, 22 40:2 42:21 43:21 44:6, 9, 20, 22, 24 45:5, 10, 20 46:15, 19 47:23 48:5, 7 51:20, 23 52:7, 22, 25 53:2 54:13 55:9, 10 56:16, 18 57:14, 16, 18 58:5, 10, 20, 25 59:2 62:20 64:11 66:22, 23 67:10, 23, 25 68:9, 12 69:23, 25 72:23 73:2, 17, 20 75:2, 8, 14 77:13, 17, 24 78:24 80:5, 6, 9, 10, 12 81:15 82:12, 19 83:5 84:19, 22 88:13, 14 89:10, 12 91:15, 18 92:10, 11 93:21, 22, 24 94:1 96:6, 22 97:4, 5, 8, 23 98:4 101:13, 21 102:25 103:4 104:1, 3 105:1 106:24, 25 108:6 110:17, 20, 22, 24 111:6, 18, 20 112:6, 22 113:3, 8, 21 114:4, 5, 12, 13 118:11, 19, 20 119:3, 8 120:17 121:19, 24 122:5, 7, 10, 14, 21 123:12, 22, 25 124:15, 18, 25 126:6, 8, 10, 11, 24 127:9, 11, 20, 22 128:8, 16, 19 129:1, 19, 21 133:8, 11 134:8, 9, 18, 22</p>
--	--	--	--



<p>136:14, 17 137:23, 25 138:7, 15, 17 139:9, 11 140:1, 12, 19, 22 141:21, 23 143:17, 18 145:17, 20 146:3, 6, 14, 17, 20 Chairman's 142:22 challenge 96:2 98:6, 10 challenges 83:8, 14 challenging 8:7 9:16 11:9 18:12 champions 76:10 chance 12:10 13:10 17:20 18:6 19:5, 8, 9, 12, 25 50:18 128:4 change 79:22 80:3 109:18 113:19 115:6, 7 116:4 129:15 133:6 134:20 changed 22:1 97:25 102:15 127:16 changes 80:2 91:3 92:14 131:24 134:24 charged 118:5 Charging 73:8 Charles 5:10 29:6 Charlie 70:10, 20 72:5 Chase 63:1 check 107:22 checking 125:10 Chestnut 132:10 Chippewa 59:7 choice 41:19 44:11 56:3 61:12 62:2, 19 63:7 71:19, 21 81:6 chosen 75:15 chunk 87:4 circulated 147:2 citizen 32:11 59:5 citizens 13:2, 4 19:24 60:17 61:4 63:25 75:23</p>	<p>city 15:6 19:20 20:2 25:20 27:22 85:5, 6, 15 86:19 106:10 112:3, 8, 10, 20 113:4 119:10 126:7 130:11, 16, 19, 20 131:4, 13, 20 132:24 135:21 139:2 142:2 143:8 144:11 civic 60:3 Clair 87:14 Claire 97:20 clarification 122:16 clarify 58:2, 8 122:15 clean 101:8 clear 26:25 81:1 clearer 113:25 clearly 24:6 75:8 96:17 120:13 click 108:3 close 12:22 14:22 28:12 99:1 120:15 134:13 closed 134:16 closer 79:1 105:10 club 134:16, 17 Coal 102:11 code 9:12 61:19 76:20 cold 33:14 colleagues 92:21 collectively 76:22 college 44:11 colleges 10:9 69:12 Collette 5:8 39:25 40:4 44:5, 17 45:1, 5, 19, 20 46:14, 22 colors 117:21 combining 69:2 come 6:25 7:6 11:19 14:22 15:12, 17 23:5 31:5 32:18 34:12 35:23 39:9 56:9 71:23 74:19 80:16 85:14 93:2 95:17 96:9, 19 105:7 112:19 120:19, 24 146:22</p>	<p>comes 10:2 19:21 22:23 26:12 30:17, 23 71:25 72:2 85:15 89:1 105:22 129:7 comfortable 111:3, 4, 7 coming 14:17 18:1, 25 22:12 28:12 32:14 48:8 65:12 70:1 105:19 108:11 125:4 137:2 138:24 comment 25:15 26:5, 20 37:8 75:2 125:23 145:9, 11 commented 140:24 comments 4:9 14:9 29:13 123:16 140:20 Commission 40:4 Commissioner 4:10, 16, 19, 21 5:21, 22, 25 6:2, 9, 14, 17 46:6 73:24 77:14, 16 81:20 commissioners 10:6 commitment 5:15 COMMITTEE 1:12 2:3 4:19 5:3, 16 6:3, 6 7:18 8:8 12:23 13:6, 20 21:24 23:1 27:8 28:14, 17 29:5, 13 34:23 36:14 40:1, 3, 9, 10, 24 41:2 42:3, 16, 25 43:10, 17 45:22 46:19 47:10, 18 52:3, 21 54:2, 17 59:1, 3 60:11, 15, 18 63:9, 15, 23 64:8, 17 74:4 77:22 81:17, 22, 23 82:5, 13 83:1, 6, 11, 15, 18, 21, 25 91:12 92:21 97:8, 24 137:2 140:23 146:21 147:17 committees 42:17</p>	<p>147:15 common 133:15, 20 commonality 131:1 135:19 communicate 38:11, 12, 16 communication 16:20 29:20 35:8 38:11 47:22, 24 75:11 76:8 communications 12:25 communism 39:21 communities 31:19 34:19 41:1 42:2, 6 43:4 47:8, 12, 15 51:2 54:9 56:1, 9 57:11 62:7, 11 63:10, 12 72:12 102:12 103:6, 20 123:6 135:5 141:7, 9 community 34:19 40:17 42:17 60:1 63:8, 14 71:22 72:3 121:6 133:23 139:16 compact 125:19 126:2 comparison 32:21 competition 12:9 134:1 complaining 99:11 complaint 139:5 completed 45:25 completely 144:5, 6 comply 41:6 composition 77:6 compress 85:25 87:19 compressed 85:7 computer 68:17 107:13 127:7 computers 68:22 146:1 concept 79:14 82:20 115:11 118:5 120:25 137:19 concepts 82:15 96:9 113:23</p>
---	---	--	--



<p>concern 7:17 89:16, 18 concerns 43:19 83:19 concludes 73:25 110:21 condition 20:9 conditions 20:1 conduct 77:4 confident 79:13 confused 118:20 congressional 75:25 connected 130:22 136:1 144:21 connections 144:23 connectivity 103:9 conniption 113:18 consensus 142:17 consider 30:4 42:1 45:6 51:11, 19 62:5 65:6, 12 139:21 141:2 143:8 consideration 51:19 60:11 92:12 93:4 122:18 considerations 50:25 63:10 considered 34:19 42:23 44:7 45:16 137:16 considering 49:21, 23 142:6 consist 8:13 consistent 128:3 consistently 127:25 constituents 61:7 75:14 144:10 constitute 55:17 Constitution 14:16 30:17, 21 31:6 53:6 54:8 61:13 76:19 constitutional 25:3, 6 53:12 consult 6:11 47:19 consultations 34:24 41:4 consulting 46:25 contact 48:25 50:4 83:17</p>	<p>contained 85:5 containing 61:25 contest 7:10 context 30:18 36:11 contiguous 90:22, 24 103:16 108:19 continue 12:5 21:15 26:5 43:15 56:16 67:24, 25 74:2, 15 92:4 108:6 134:9 continued 43:7 continuity 129:13 133:4 contracting 69:19 conversation 4:25 5:5 83:20 95:11 conversations 73:25 74:3 75:5 convinced 119:20 co-opts 36:4 copies 113:12 Copper 101:7 copy 36:16 37:24 73:22 core 100:17 corner 111:15 coronavirus 42:10 correct 70:6 71:6 72:18 86:9 94:3, 4, 9, 10 103:22 112:5 113:5 120:18 140:8 corrected 147:11 correction 23:12 correctly 56:19 Corset 8:12 11:22 co-sponsor 77:2 council 13:14 22:23 34:5 Councilman 29:2, 3, 4, 6 36:15, 17 37:2, 5, 9, 11, 16, 19, 22, 24 38:1, 18 39:3, 20, 24 councilperson 35:6 counsel 12:17 86:2, 8 93:16 146:5 count 7:14 30:25 43:25 118:9 Counties 11:2, 16 18:13 19:22 23:5</p>	<p>63:5 84:13 86:24 92:19 94:8 112:19 116:19 118:10, 13 119:13 120:21 125:13, 20, 23 126:16, 21 127:3, 18 129:7, 10, 16 130:1 138:2 141:7 countries 8:22 country 143:9 144:11 countryside 143:9 County 7:10, 11, 23, 24, 25 8:12, 13, 18 9:4 10:2, 4, 6, 11 11:14, 22, 24 12:4, 7, 14 13:3 15:10 25:12, 14, 20 28:9 31:19 33:12, 24 34:1 40:20 43:14 45:9 62:13 63:4 69:16 80:4, 19 84:15 85:2 87:5 89:21 90:20 92:14 93:1 94:12 104:5, 7, 11, 21 110:4 114:20, 23 115:1, 6, 9, 19, 21, 25 116:2, 5, 9, 11, 16, 22, 23 117:3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23 118:1, 15, 25 119:16, 21, 22 120:4, 13, 15 121:4, 6, 11, 18, 21, 23 122:1 124:1, 8, 10 125:6, 11, 16, 18, 21 126:4 127:4, 13, 24 128:3, 6, 11, 12, 18 129:4, 5, 24 130:4 136:22, 25 137:20 138:5, 9, 12 140:6 141:10, 11 142:14 143:13, 21, 23 144:3, 22, 23 145:21 County's 79:11 129:16 144:2 coup 113:21 114:4 couple 16:19 23:8 26:19 54:14 94:20 99:5 105:24 124:5</p>	<p>course 8:11 13:17 15:14 24:7 51:9 53:19 114:20 134:2 143:1 court 8:6 9:15 11:10 18:12 59:16 courthouse 68:21 69:16 courthouses 69:12 cover 112:15 covered 97:16 COVID 7:13 COVID-19 69:20 Cramer 87:14 create 41:20 59:20 created 61:6 139:24 creation 61:22 credence 126:21 criteria 51:18 53:19 65:11 critical 33:1 41:6 79:18 Cross 57:2 104:19 141:10 crossed 125:11 crossing 73:15 cultural 47:13 51:4 culture 63:11 curious 138:1 current 19:7 48:10, 21, 24 50:3 78:20 79:18 89:20 90:9 92:24 93:1 130:10 currently 40:18 42:12 45:10 61:8 62:18 91:22 92:1 100:6 101:23 102:10 105:9 curved 8:8 cut 108:7 135:6 142:7 < D > D.C 21:21 22:4 Dabill 67:21 Dairy 134:15 DAKOTA 1:11 4:21 8:12, 13, 20 9:18 11:22 13:3 14:7 16:9 18:15,</p>
--	---	---	--

20 19:17 23:7
24:8 30:12 32:5, 8,
12 33:23, 24 34:6,
22 36:22, 23 40:15
41:2 42:3 50:7, 11
51:9, 16 52:1 59:9,
10, 13, 19, 22, 23
60:8, 10, 21 61:2, 5,
9, 19, 22 64:2
75:18, 24 83:20
109:19 114:16
116:15 118:7, 22
119:21 141:4, 15
145:22
Dallas 115:8
damaged 121:3
dance 31:15
data 51:24 52:1, 4
62:15 67:3 72:11
date 12:5
Davis 4:10, 16, 19,
21 5:23, 25 6:9, 14,
17 46:6 73:24
77:14, 16 81:20
Dawn 73:8
Dawnland 76:23
day 12:1 22:10
89:11 95:24
116:11 125:4
134:6 144:8
deal 24:14 33:3
45:8
dealing 26:13 45:7
54:22 130:1
dealt 54:23 120:3
debate 33:16
DeBill 65:22
decade 35:25
52:16 60:10 62:16
65:21
decades 32:22
December 141:13
deception 13:24
decided 13:15
decision 16:3
23:19 30:9 59:16
61:6
decision-making
60:6
declining 36:6

dedicated 139:18
deep 98:12
deeper 106:11
deepest 30:13
defeated 63:4
defeating 60:22
define 27:10
definitely 11:21
delegates 141:6, 12
deleting 17:18
delicate 45:11
deluding 144:7, 14
Delvin 59:2
demand 41:1
demanding 60:24
democracy 30:8
Democrat 8:25
17:24 48:14 70:8,
15 71:3, 20 73:14
74:13 78:4
Democrats 9:7
29:25 32:12 48:19
67:2 70:18
dense 58:3
department 23:25
depend 8:3
depending 27:15
92:22
descendant 59:6, 7
detail 99:19
develop 53:25
developed 82:20
development 100:19
deviate 53:21 54:3
deviation 65:10
Devils 121:7
128:17
DEVLIN 2:2, 6, 7
3:15, 23 4:5, 17
5:20 6:1, 13, 15
14:11, 25 16:12
18:21 20:9 21:15
23:8 24:18 26:2,
15 28:16, 25 29:3,
4 36:15, 18 37:4, 6,
10, 13, 17, 20, 23, 25
38:4 39:18, 22
40:2 43:21 44:9,
20, 24 45:20 48:5
51:20 52:22 54:13
55:10 56:16 57:14

58:20 59:2 64:11
66:22 67:11, 25
68:9 69:23 72:23
73:2, 17, 20 77:13,
17 80:6, 10 81:15
82:12, 19, 25 84:22
88:14 89:10 91:15
92:10 93:21, 24
96:6, 22 97:5
102:25 104:1
106:25 110:22
111:18 112:22
113:3, 8, 21 114:4,
10, 12 118:11, 20
119:3, 8 120:17
121:19, 24 122:10,
14, 21 123:12, 22,
25 124:11, 15, 18,
25 126:6, 10, 24
127:11, 20 128:8,
19 129:21 133:8
134:9, 18, 22
136:14 137:23
138:15 139:11
140:1, 12, 19
141:21, 23 143:17
145:17, 20 146:3, 6,
14, 17, 20
dichotomy 98:13
Dickey 116:20
Dickinson 85:4, 6, 8
143:13
difference 27:23
110:2 116:25 120:6
differences 93:18
different 9:20
11:21 18:1 24:6,
12 30:23 38:23
39:2 57:25 60:14
78:16 82:14 83:9
92:3 105:19, 24
115:17 133:17
135:5 136:23
138:19 140:4 147:3
differentiation
118:24
difficult 9:11
87:17 93:2 128:13
difficulty 93:19
digital 148:3

dilute 41:23 60:23
61:10
diluted 51:13, 25
79:12
dilutes 80:3
dilution 60:20
62:10
dilutive 41:9
directing 83:19
directions 86:17
directly 6:6 29:9
Director 40:5 59:10
disagree 67:6 145:9
disappear 96:11
discourse 64:4
discrimination
51:14
discuss 6:4 46:2
83:12 88:5 91:12
145:23
discussed 4:23
19:5 23:21 116:11
140:21 141:6
discussing 11:24
89:8
discussion 4:1
15:17 47:25 64:1
70:3 111:1, 22
140:24 141:5, 12, 18
discussions 71:1
95:16 128:18
disproportionately
42:14 59:18
disrespectful 29:19
disrupt 32:19
disrupted 111:5
disruptions 32:20
disservice 63:24
distracted 78:10, 24
District 7:21 8:17,
20 10:23 11:4
12:11, 18 14:15
16:21 19:24 20:15
24:5 25:5 27:16,
22 28:3 38:24
41:12, 15, 17 49:8,
14, 22 52:2 55:19,
21 57:20, 21 58:15
60:23 62:17 63:5,
13 64:24, 25 73:8
78:7, 11, 19, 20



79:7, 21, 25 80:23 82:21 83:2 84:4, 6, 7, 10 85:3, 5, 21, 24 86:1, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 23 87:4, 25 89:5, 18, 20, 24 90:6, 9, 11, 13, 21 91:4, 10, 11, 20, 23, 25 92:6 93:8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18 94:3, 9, 12 95:15, 19, 25 96:2, 3, 4, 11, 20 97:9, 12, 13 98:6, 11, 12, 19, 21, 22, 23 99:5, 11, 19, 23 100:3, 7, 8, 16, 21, 23 101:3, 5, 10, 16, 22, 23 102:3, 7, 12, 13, 18 103:7 104:15, 20 105:15 106:3, 4, 5, 7, 12, 21, 22 107:10 108:1 110:10 111:2 112:1, 17 114:18, 19, 22, 23, 24 115:1, 4, 5, 10, 18 116:1, 10, 12 118:1 119:22 120:6 121:14, 15, 24 125:6, 10, 13, 17, 22 129:25 130:22 131:3, 5, 22, 24 132:3, 7, 17, 22 133:13, 17, 18, 24, 25 134:3, 24 135:1 136:1, 3, 4, 8, 18, 19, 20 137:11, 14 138:3, 5 139:4, 14, 16, 20, 24 140:3 141:1, 25 142:1, 9, 19, 22, 23 143:12, 23 districting 42:17 districts 20:16 21:25 26:23, 25 27:2 34:18, 21 36:2 37:7 40:23 41:8, 14, 20, 22 47:6 48:18 50:9 51:8, 17 53:23 57:9 58:11 60:19 61:18, 23, 24, 25	66:9 78:8 82:21 84:4, 9 85:20, 24 87:10 88:5, 10 90:23 92:15, 18 93:5 94:18, 19 95:2, 6, 23 96:18 97:12 98:8, 11, 20 103:15 104:22 105:6 112:19 119:5, 19 122:3 126:12, 18, 22 129:11, 12, 24 130:11 133:6 137:6 138:10 140:17, 18 141:9 142:3, 7, 8, 18 143:4, 8 144:15, 24 145:15 147:4 divide 26:25 94:7 130:6 136:5 divided 23:17 47:9 58:15 136:8 divides 92:15 dividing 136:7 division 23:15 24:2 27:19 doable 65:12 documentation 38:19, 21 dog 137:17 doing 30:24 63:23 68:25 78:25 92:20, 21 95:12 97:20 101:3, 8, 21 102:13 109:10 118:22 135:8 145:21, 24 don 13:16 35:15 62:5 146:19 DONAGHI 59:1, 4 64:15 65:7 66:13, 15, 21 67:10 68:4, 15 69:9 70:19, 23 71:11, 15 72:7, 10, 19 73:12, 19 door 10:11 32:13 doubt 16:1 Douglas 42:22 draft 12:15 47:19, 20 draw 41:22 50:8,	10 143:15 145:14 drawing 126:12 drawn 24:16 drew 111:8 137:7 drive 74:7 drop 15:8 drought 20:1 duality 75:21 due 5:14 83:12 85:21 dumbfounding 30:15 Dunn 84:13 87:3 89:21 90:19 duties 64:17 dynamics 134:12 < E > earlier 14:23 20:24 26:20 48:9 66:25 76:7 119:19 138:21 139:1 147:2, 12 early 54:24 61:3 82:6 earned 63:3 easier 82:7 117:6 128:4 easiest 31:17 East 85:13 86:18 87:20 96:1, 18 99:16, 18 120:7, 9 137:3 eastern 101:23 113:2, 23 114:11 118:6 119:6, 21 136:22 eastward 91:4 easy 65:2 79:5 81:2 93:11 117:4 129:23 economic 47:13 51:4 Eddy 115:19, 21 116:5 122:23 edge 144:6 edges 95:5 98:2 101:13 126:3 Edinburg 122:1 editorial 145:11	education 26:8 60:2 effect 34:11 41:9 43:23 59:20 122:24 effective 73:9 eight 32:8 144:20 either 66:20 72:15 116:16 118:2, 4 119:14 elect 34:18 40:23 41:18 56:2 62:1, 18 63:7 66:7 70:4 71:25 72:1, 2 81:5 elected 20:11 32:7 33:9 61:21 62:10 65:19, 25 72:21 electing 61:11 election 32:14 61:16 65:21, 24 68:5 69:15 78:7 79:7 128:5 129:6 elections 62:15 79:9 128:1, 2 129:8 elephant 98:18 eliminated 116:1 eliminates 115:4 eliminating 142:7 else's 88:16 Emerado 130:21 133:24 134:3, 13, 17 emergency 10:10 Emily 2:4 78:14 84:20 87:14 97:20 99:17 100:2, 14 101:16, 18, 19 102:23 103:12, 13, 21 104:24 105:11 106:11 107:7, 20 109:22 Emmons 116:22 117:19 118:24 123:15, 18 124:1 136:25 138:5, 11 employee 148:6 encompass 142:8 encompassing 92:18 encourage 64:5 ended 123:25 127:2 endorse 67:18 enforcement 75:6
--	--	--	---

<p>engage 31:18 64:5 66:1</p> <p>engaged 32:9</p> <p>engagement 32:15 33:8 60:3</p> <p>enhancing 144:22</p> <p>enrolled 40:16 43:22 70:7, 9, 17</p> <p>ensure 4:25 64:3</p> <p>entire 49:22 57:5 79:21 139:19</p> <p>entirely 140:4</p> <p>entities 32:18</p> <p>entitled 51:5</p> <p>environment 26:9</p> <p>equal 12:10 25:10 30:24 53:10 57:23 58:7, 11 77:3 126:19</p> <p>equally 141:3</p> <p>equitability 74:22</p> <p>equitable 49:5, 15 54:4 57:18, 24 58:7, 10 59:21 76:6</p> <p>Erbele 3:3, 4</p> <p>especially 19:25 65:12 129:5</p> <p>essentially 49:7 90:12 114:14, 17 115:10, 19 116:1 119:4, 5, 8 130:9 133:5 135:1, 8 142:2</p> <p>et 24:1 126:2</p> <p>eventually 93:2</p> <p>everybody 6:23 7:3 28:22 70:7 71:2 78:14</p> <p>exacerbates 112:1</p> <p>exactly 27:9 68:14 82:10 83:24 103:11 135:4 140:5</p> <p>example 62:12, 19 76:15 78:8, 23 130:3 142:1, 21</p> <p>exception 131:17 142:4</p> <p>excess 131:9</p> <p>excuse 19:22 84:14 86:24 100:3 112:19 120:8</p>	<p>Executive 40:5 59:10</p> <p>exhumed 45:12</p> <p>exist 30:12</p> <p>existing 84:1 89:20 95:18, 19 126:18, 22 138:3 141:25</p> <p>exists 116:4</p> <p>expanded 80:17</p> <p>Expanding 66:24 143:5</p> <p>expect 42:11</p> <p>expecting 54:23</p> <p>experience 29:15 50:22 83:4 121:25</p> <p>experienced 98:7</p> <p>expertise 87:15</p> <p>explain 18:3</p> <p>exploding 36:7</p> <p>explore 76:5, 16 77:5</p> <p>extend 85:18</p> <p>extension 107:17 108:11 109:12</p> <p>exterior 75:7</p> <p>extra 80:22</p> <p>extremely 42:19 126:2</p> <p>< F ></p> <p>face 19:11 100:18</p> <p>faced 24:12 87:22</p> <p>facilitate 6:7 77:18 81:21</p> <p>facing 111:24</p> <p>fact 23:14 27:3 30:2 32:16 33:14 34:12 79:16 80:21 81:7 95:17 104:23 131:16 133:3 142:20</p> <p>factor 12:4, 5 31:1</p> <p>facts 33:2</p> <p>failing 43:3 63:24</p> <p>Failure 41:22</p> <p>fair 83:7 143:7</p> <p>Faith 5:8 6:18, 20, 22 14:19 15:1 16:16 17:5 18:25 19:1, 9, 16 20:18 21:10 22:5 24:17,</p>	<p>22 25:17 27:11 28:21 29:2 35:4, 7, 8 42:25 47:23 52:7 58:10 62:20</p> <p>Faith's 57:19 78:24</p> <p>familiar 17:1 75:1 100:25 140:13</p> <p>far 22:17 24:15 25:24 27:13, 17 42:24 99:18 106:21 110:3 137:7 147:15</p> <p>fare 132:11</p> <p>Fargo 19:6 21:17 22:17 71:10 119:25 134:24</p> <p>Farm 140:23 142:14</p> <p>farmer 69:1</p> <p>farmer/rancher 12:6 19:18, 23</p> <p>farmers 20:5</p> <p>farther 53:14</p> <p>fashion 88:6 91:25</p> <p>fast 118:9</p> <p>father 65:14</p> <p>favor 4:2</p> <p>favorable 9:6 12:19 70:12</p> <p>federal 9:4 10:8 23:25 31:5, 12 45:8 75:12 76:1</p> <p>federally 33:22 40:14 75:22</p> <p>feedback 47:20, 25</p> <p>feel 26:21 50:3 79:17</p> <p>feet 32:23</p> <p>fellow 92:21</p> <p>fight 10:18 35:20 60:21 137:17</p> <p>fighting 13:10 18:6 20:4</p> <p>figure 78:1 107:17 137:21</p> <p>figures 54:24</p> <p>FILE 1:10 147:20</p> <p>fill 95:1</p> <p>final 98:3</p> <p>finally 13:19</p> <p>financially 148:8</p>	<p>find 87:1, 2 119:19 120:14</p> <p>finding 93:1 120:4</p> <p>fine 28:3 89:23 91:6 113:10 118:4 121:1 130:4 146:10, 20</p> <p>finger 107:3 108:9</p> <p>fingers 105:8</p> <p>finish 101:17</p> <p>first 6:16, 18 23:11 29:16 64:13, 16 82:17 98:17 99:21 118:21 121:2 128:22 135:10</p> <p>fishhook 89:2</p> <p>fit 78:5 89:17 96:14 120:22 138:6</p> <p>five 8:10 15:21 42:9 86:24 97:11 112:14</p> <p>fix 31:17</p> <p>floor 77:3</p> <p>fluid 127:17</p> <p>focus 68:7</p> <p>folks 68:23 79:17 131:4 132:1 135:21, 23 143:3 145:21</p> <p>follow 45:21 55:5 126:13 127:23</p> <p>following 137:20</p> <p>follow-up 36:18</p> <p>Force 130:18 131:10 133:12 135:14 136:2</p> <p>foregoing 148:2</p> <p>foremost 29:16</p> <p>forever 123:1</p> <p>forget 132:17</p> <p>Fork 131:5</p> <p>Forks 113:4, 24 115:2 119:11, 25 130:11, 12, 17 131:1, 10, 13, 21 132:15 133:12, 25 134:2 135:7, 22 136:2</p> <p>form 49:11 62:15 75:16 84:7</p>
--	---	--	---

<p>formal 47:22</p> <p>formally 47:19</p> <p>formed 59:15</p> <p>former 22:14 77:25 145:11</p> <p>formerly 76:10</p> <p>Fort 10:7 23:17 24:3 31:23 65:22 67:22</p> <p>forth 5:1 21:4 86:9 92:22 96:9, 19</p> <p>forthcoming 5:15</p> <p>forward 35:12, 18 39:14 74:14 75:1, 5, 19 76:2, 5 77:5 127:8</p> <p>Foster 115:12 120:15 122:19</p> <p>fought 43:12</p> <p>found 120:1</p> <p>founded 64:2</p> <p>four 20:12 76:21 115:7 130:10 142:2 144:2</p> <p>fourth 84:7 96:3 135:2 144:1</p> <p>Fox 5:13 8:10 15:19</p> <p>frankly 98:6 100:23</p> <p>Friday 46:7</p> <p>friend 6:23</p> <p>friends 6:21 79:16, 18</p> <p>friendships 76:13</p> <p>front 5:5 64:24 110:20</p> <p>frustrated 48:21</p> <p>frustration 48:10</p> <p>full 84:4 90:11 95:23 104:6 129:10, 16 138:4</p> <p>fun 125:4</p> <p>Fund 44:18 46:21</p> <p>Further 28:16 58:20 72:23 87:20, 25 93:9, 21 96:1 112:1 136:14 148:5</p> <p>future 16:10 23:6 42:16 76:16</p>	<p>< G ></p> <p>gain 112:15 142:9</p> <p>gained 143:22</p> <p>gaining 17:7</p> <p>Gaming 40:4</p> <p>Garrison 102:10 103:20</p> <p>gather 39:10</p> <p>gathered 36:12</p> <p>general 97:24 99:13 103:22 106:16 141:12 142:17</p> <p>generally 79:14 122:13</p> <p>generous 122:8</p> <p>gentleman 147:1</p> <p>genuflect 118:14, 16</p> <p>geographical 141:2</p> <p>geography 24:13 91:24</p> <p>German 9:21</p> <p>gerrymander 145:14</p> <p>gerrymandering 37:9</p> <p>getting 9:17 11:10 13:24 32:23 50:19 67:8 70:6 72:20 83:13 99:1 106:3 119:5, 9</p> <p>give 5:18 13:9 18:5 19:7 23:2 46:4 68:24 81:22 83:25 84:4 87:13 88:4 99:23 106:20 107:16 110:9 117:15 126:21</p> <p>given 17:19 19:12 42:10, 11, 19 44:12 79:3 93:4</p> <p>gives 58:16 81:5</p> <p>giving 13:7 45:14</p> <p>glad 47:9</p> <p>gladly 77:11</p> <p>go 13:18 14:10 16:1 24:7 25:7 31:11, 12, 25 37:7 38:7 44:12 48:16, 22 55:1 69:4</p>	<p>86:17 87:8, 9 92:22 93:8 94:20 98:5, 18 101:16 103:12 106:8, 11, 22 109:4, 11, 22 110:1, 2 112:13 113:2 116:16, 23 117:20 120:5, 9 122:3 128:4 129:21 130:15, 23, 24, 25 132:7 137:8 142:20 143:8 146:8</p> <p>God 145:13</p> <p>goes 9:12 38:12 71:14 99:18 100:24 101:1 109:3, 18 137:9 142:23</p> <p>going 4:8, 11, 13 6:15 7:5, 15, 20 8:4 9:11, 15 10:21, 22 11:10, 19 13:21, 23 14:21 15:17, 18, 25 16:7 17:7 18:12 20:25 21:1 22:18 25:1, 23 28:10, 25 32:23 35:16 39:9, 11 44:15, 17 46:1 70:7 75:16, 17 80:23 82:5, 13 86:3 88:15 89:19 93:2, 17 94:19, 20 95:24 96:14 97:11 99:16 100:19 101:25 102:1, 23 103:21 104:9, 14 107:4 108:9 109:17, 20 112:24 113:3 118:4, 21 119:20 120:7 123:4, 20 127:7 129:2 130:6 131:17 132:12 137:4, 22 138:22 140:5 142:20, 22 143:8, 10 146:7, 8, 14, 16 147:11</p> <p>Golden 86:25</p> <p>good 7:3 9:22 11:12 12:9 14:8</p>	<p>15:24 16:6 17:20 18:13 19:12, 14 29:5 35:18 39:25 42:25 46:18 59:1, 2 74:12 81:25 82:24 89:11 91:9, 13 108:13 122:16 138:20 139:21</p> <p>gotten 79:8 114:8</p> <p>governed 34:5</p> <p>governing 35:15</p> <p>government 10:8 13:6 23:25 31:5 45:8 60:16 75:12, 17</p> <p>governments 127:13</p> <p>grabbed 101:5</p> <p>Grand 113:4, 24 115:2 119:11, 24 130:11, 12, 17, 25 131:5, 10, 13, 20 132:14 133:12, 25 134:2 135:7, 22 136:2</p> <p>grandpa's 59:7</p> <p>Grant 7:24 11:1, 2, 25 12:7 14:13 15:14 19:22 25:13 27:20 78:21, 25 79:6 80:15</p> <p>grassroots 59:14</p> <p>great 12:8 18:19 47:21 76:10, 12 138:10 142:19</p> <p>greater 56:2</p> <p>greatly 81:17</p> <p>greeted 6:23</p> <p>greetings 45:1</p> <p>Greg 115:12</p> <p>grew 35:24 60:9 65:13 144:20</p> <p>Griggs 117:18</p> <p>ground 20:3 112:15</p> <p>group 21:21 22:4</p> <p>grouped 62:11</p> <p>groups 32:17 42:9</p> <p>grow 100:17</p> <p>grown 111:25</p> <p>growth 7:8 36:4, 8 98:7 143:4</p>
--	---	--	--



guarantee 13:8
50:19

guess 7:23 9:9
11:6 17:5, 9, 11
18:11, 17 20:18
27:11 29:15 32:21
33:15 38:23 44:13
50:12, 20 89:25
108:5 113:6, 7
guessing 96:25
guys 21:1 32:6
123:19 143:16

< H >

half 15:6 34:15
44:15 64:21 79:2
102:5 113:2 124:7
130:17 135:2
136:5, 22 143:6
hand 5:19 8:3
37:25 54:23
handling 45:11
handout 84:11
HANE BUTT
140:22, 23 141:21,
22 142:10, 13, 16
143:2 145:8, 19
hanging 124:13
happen 37:1 93:20
116:17
happened 147:4
happening 94:21
happens 66:3, 5
76:16 94:25 103:9
happy 43:18 47:1
48:3, 14 88:5 91:7
138:8 143:11
Harbor 102:11
hard 7:15 9:23
33:14 43:12 83:6
112:13
Harrison 62:24
head 32:7 94:16
Headland 2:12, 13
24:20 26:3, 16, 17
55:7, 8, 11 56:17
57:4 126:8, 11
129:18, 22 137:23,
25 138:8 146:7, 11
heals 16:17
healthy 141:18

hear 8:21 47:10
48:13, 17 88:8
103:8 118:15
heard 15:19 21:20,
22 25:25 29:13
44:10 47:3, 7 48:3
49:3 52:7 57:6
59:11 62:3 64:1
95:14 99:11 123:5,
16 128:10, 11 139:5
hearing 42:20
49:12 59:12
hearings 43:3, 6
63:16
heavy 18:6
heck 27:23
held 68:18
help 78:1 97:20
101:1 110:16
helped 13:18 99:1
helping 6:7 77:17
81:21
heritage 9:22
Hettinger 7:25
15:10, 14 25:12, 20
28:8, 9 78:21 79:1,
6 80:15 87:5 110:4
hey 48:18 50:14
71:5
Hi 39:25
high 34:3 63:20
70:19 98:13
100:22 129:16
130:23, 25
higher 36:3 42:9,
11
highlighted 108:1
Highway 85:11
103:15 106:8
historical 42:1
historically 23:22
49:9 75:9
history 9:20 35:20
51:13 128:9 134:1
hit 108:22
hold 34:23 41:3
43:3
holding 63:15
hole 98:12 99:2
106:9

Holmberg 2:22, 23
23:10, 11 24:25
25:16 64:11, 12, 16
65:8 66:3, 14, 16,
19 88:13, 14, 15, 20,
23, 25 89:6, 9 96:6,
7 105:7, 18 113:3,
6, 10, 19 114:1, 7
118:8, 12 120:1
121:16, 21 123:9
124:4, 16, 19, 23
125:1, 25 126:6
128:15, 19, 24
130:2 133:11, 21
134:15 135:10, 16
146:16, 19
Holmberg's 102:24
125:5, 21
home 45:14 68:25
74:7 120:14
homelands 62:13
honest 11:20 17:20
20:5 48:11
Honor 3:21 127:15
honored 136:22
honoring 138:9
hope 45:22 81:21,
25 82:4
hopefully 12:19
16:17
hoping 69:1
horse 143:3, 6
horse-trading
145:10
hour 82:6
hours 69:13
house 10:24, 25
11:13 12:11 14:2
17:8, 17 26:9
34:18 40:24 41:9,
21 45:24 49:10
52:8, 10, 14, 17
60:19 61:9, 24
62:21, 25 63:3
65:23 73:7 83:2
144:12
huge 24:2 98:7
human 31:8, 10
hurting 144:18
hypocrisy 32:3

hypothetical 66:4
< I >
ID 34:11 60:23
idea 16:21 125:7
139:23
ideal 24:11 86:21
ideals 30:6 31:24
39:8, 15
ideas 7:19 28:8
83:19 88:7 92:7
identical 131:5, 16
identifiable 132:16
identification 59:17
identified 8:14 31:6
identify 99:7, 8
identity 51:4 63:11
ideology 145:9
IDs 35:21
ifs 34:13
ignored 43:9
imbalance 59:24
immaterial 115:18
impact 141:14
impacting 90:6
impacts 42:14
91:10
important 7:1
47:11 64:1 126:17
129:9 141:8
impression 71:6
imprinted 98:1
improve 47:6
improved 56:4
75:6
improves 52:2
incentives 77:2
inclination 93:7
include 115:24
137:12
included 43:13
125:6, 17
includes 41:7
114:24
including 86:19
96:11 111:5
inclusion 60:5 64:3
inclusive 64:6
inclusivity 43:8
increases 83:14
incumbent 144:9



indentation 131:13, 14, 16
independent 8:25
 17:24 39:7, 8 74:10
independents 9:2
Indian 4:22 10:8
 36:6 147:10
Indiana 145:14
indicate 62:16
indicated 86:3
indicating 51:2
Indiscernible 6:20, 21 7:4 59:8 63:9
 108:20 126:9
 146:2, 13
individual 39:7
 108:14
individually 91:6
individuals 21:6
 32:25 84:6, 9
influence 139:25
influential 139:15
information 22:9
 34:14 36:12 39:10, 13 52:6, 20 86:4
informed 40:13
 43:10
initial 93:7 118:21
initially 59:15
inner 100:16 126:7
inner-city 98:11
 100:18
input 34:23 41:3
 54:20, 21 61:6
 68:17, 24 83:16
intact 89:19 104:5, 12, 18 125:20
integrated 75:23
intend 92:4
intent 6:1 46:10, 11
interest 34:20 47:9, 12 56:10 121:6, 13
 133:15, 20, 23
 135:5 136:7 141:7, 9
interested 47:5, 8
 57:9 140:20
 141:13 148:8
interesting 80:14
 81:13 83:4 96:15
 131:12 133:25

interests 51:3
 54:10 62:12 141:19
internal 131:4
internals 124:17, 20
internet 63:18, 22
interpretation 27:6
 141:17
interstate 132:15, 16
introduce 4:13
invitation 42:20
 46:7
invite 22:12
involved 65:14
involving 81:23
issue 8:2 34:12
 63:18 72:21 91:20
issues 5:4 26:7
 35:12 60:2, 4 62:7
 75:13 76:8
it'd 96:15 117:5
its 34:5, 7 40:22
 43:11, 16 89:20
 90:9 126:3 133:16
 136:10 145:3

< J >

jabs 35:14
Jamestown 115:13
January 40:16
job 145:12
jog 85:10
joined 59:23
joining 3:17
Julie 148:13
July 21:21
jump 36:1
jurisdictions 9:5
justice 23:24

< K >

keep 13:2 25:19
 57:17 58:6 63:12
 74:6 89:20 125:22
 126:16, 18 127:4
 129:10 130:13
 133:3 138:3, 10
 139:7 144:15
keeping 75:20 76:2
 126:21, 22 128:3
 129:3, 12, 15 138:1
 141:6, 7

keeps 125:13
 129:13
kept 89:19
key 76:3
kicking 21:22
Kidder 117:22
kind 10:17 13:18
 48:20 54:22 99:17
 101:1 103:9 105:5,
 8, 22 110:14
 115:15 117:21
 124:10 130:7
 131:12 135:13
kinds 141:11
Klein 3:5, 6
knew 15:16 25:24
know 6:2, 16 7:20
 8:2, 5, 10 9:11, 18,
 21, 25 10:15 11:18,
 23 12:8, 21 13:13,
 25 14:15 15:12, 22,
 23 16:3, 4 19:10,
 20 20:1, 3, 10, 18
 21:8 22:5, 8, 18
 23:16 25:1, 9, 25
 27:5, 12 28:23
 29:9 30:1, 13, 24
 31:7, 10, 19, 22, 23,
 24 32:11, 14, 22
 33:7, 9, 10, 13, 18
 35:11 36:10 45:12,
 21, 25 46:22 47:3
 48:2 49:3, 9, 11, 22,
 24 50:13 51:1, 10,
 16, 18 52:7, 9 53:3,
 22, 25 54:9, 10, 13,
 15, 22 55:1, 11
 56:5 57:25 58:14
 64:18 65:4, 11, 14,
 15 66:9 67:12, 13
 69:14 71:17, 19, 20,
 23 72:12 73:14
 74:7, 8, 11, 12, 15,
 21, 23 76:4, 7
 78:13 79:16, 24
 80:18 83:3 86:11
 87:9 90:9 92:1
 93:13 103:10, 16
 104:9 111:22
 117:13 118:3
 119:23 122:2, 25

123:2, 3, 13 124:23
 125:20, 24 126:25
 128:21 129:11, 22
 133:1, 14, 18, 19
 134:12, 13, 24
 135:3, 17 138:23
 139:3 140:2
 141:24 143:6, 7, 9,
 11, 14 144:13
 145:20, 21, 24
 147:6, 14
knowing 12:21
 16:6 28:12 55:13
knowledge 36:21
known 101:7
knows 62:7 71:21
Kuzman 87:15

< L >

labeled 136:19
lack 30:15, 16
 42:25 43:5 49:12
 60:5 63:18, 21
 68:17 71:24 96:17
LaDonna 52:10
 62:21
laid 88:6 113:23
Lake 5:9 24:7
 40:5, 7, 11, 14
 41:11, 12, 19, 25
 42:21, 23 43:11, 14,
 23 46:24 52:19
 53:14, 25 54:18
 55:4 57:7 74:5
 75:3, 7 82:2
 103:18 115:21, 24
 121:5, 7 128:17
LaMoure 116:19
 117:8, 15 130:22
land 60:2
landlocked 131:22
language 31:3
 63:11
large 29:7 32:7
 61:7, 16, 17, 21
 135:11
largely 43:9 75:24
larger 108:22
largest 139:15
Lastly 63:15

late 46:11 147:5
latest 93:14
law 6:22 59:17
61:22 75:6 128:6
laws 30:7, 21 60:23
lay 120:19
layer 104:20
laying 118:5
lead 4:11
leader 17:10 75:15
76:9
leaders 47:4 69:7
leadership 45:24
48:21 54:17 74:1,
20 81:23
lean 67:14
leaning 30:5
125:24
leaps 74:17
leave 16:2 17:24
18:15 77:12 84:5
91:25 106:2 141:16
leaves 28:7 126:3
leaving 119:1
122:19 123:14
124:10
led 75:5 83:5
Lefor 2:14, 15
82:16, 18, 20, 24
83:2 84:25 88:18,
21, 24 89:4, 7, 14
90:1, 4, 7, 14, 25
91:7, 13, 19, 21
92:12, 16 93:6
94:2, 4, 10, 15 95:8
96:23 97:18 110:9,
12 145:25 146:2, 4,
12
Lefor's 97:15
left 67:15 101:24
109:20 111:11, 14
117:24 120:21
124:2 136:1, 24
138:11
legal 12:17 49:16,
25 50:4, 7, 21
legals 7:7
legislation 64:22
LEGISLATIVE
1:11 23:19 34:21
61:15 62:15 63:13

81:22 92:25 96:11
126:22 141:1 142:1
legislator 76:18, 25
legislators 95:12
122:12 136:4
139:20
legislature 23:15,
21 39:1 41:6, 20
42:1, 16 50:8, 10
54:18 76:11 144:9
lends 140:25
letter 147:1
letting 28:2
level 18:9 54:12
56:2 67:20 76:1
levels 63:20
leverage 126:14
library 132:2
life's 139:18
lifetime 36:21
light 110:25
111:22 114:9
liked 65:17 125:9,
14
likewise 62:25
limit 98:9
limitations 87:21
limited 69:18
limits 85:5 112:9,
10
Lincoln 59:9 98:23,
24 99:6, 7, 9, 16
101:6 107:4
123:14, 16 138:21,
24 139:13, 14, 24
line 28:13 101:9
104:6 109:17
115:2 119:4 132:9,
10 134:11 137:9
lines 24:16 29:25
34:14 39:5 47:24
60:23 73:16 76:8
92:25 93:1 101:23
104:6, 12, 21
111:13, 21 117:14
118:15 121:4
127:4, 16 128:3, 7
129:4, 11 136:22
137:7, 20 138:9
141:10, 11, 25 142:1

Lisa 65:22 67:21
listen 34:23 41:2
listening 50:15
72:24
litigation 46:25
little 7:25 18:3
23:12 30:5 45:21
58:2 61:6 66:24
78:3 82:6 84:14
85:10 90:11 97:25
98:1 99:19, 22
102:15 106:16
107:3 108:11
109:12 110:19
111:13 113:4
115:7 116:5, 14
117:6 130:16
136:7 141:17
143:2, 10 144:6
145:23
live 30:11 36:23
43:23 59:9 129:23
130:18 133:22
135:20, 21
lived 128:11
lives 24:5 134:13
living 40:19 42:8
133:19 144:11
load 105:3
lobbyist 145:12
local 12:6 32:19
49:11, 20 54:11
56:1, 8 69:3 138:25
located 33:22
40:15, 20 41:11
location 27:15
locations 60:25
68:23
Logan 116:19
logical 34:15 39:13
107:16 108:7 109:8
long 105:23 125:10,
12
longer 90:22
114:22
look 8:16 11:13
13:7 14:5 15:13,
25 16:10, 20 17:5,
21 20:21 21:1
23:1 27:24 28:5
33:2 36:1, 2 43:25

50:25 53:5, 20
66:8 72:11 76:15
82:14 84:11, 17
85:12, 16 86:7, 13
87:23, 25 92:4, 9
93:13 94:23
107:13 109:16
111:1 112:12
114:19 117:5
118:24 119:4
121:14 127:8
129:23 130:20
132:9, 18 139:7
144:22
looked 25:21 82:1
87:18 89:15 91:23,
24 92:3 93:10
105:19 127:1
looking 8:24 9:2, 5
11:1 14:2, 18 15:3,
20 17:9 20:22
22:25 38:14 47:10
49:10 50:13, 20
53:23 54:4, 8, 12
56:9 57:23, 24
76:3 80:16 85:13
107:21 108:6
126:15 137:5, 18
138:19 141:25
looks 42:3 91:9
107:18 131:12
lord 136:13
lose 55:14 72:7
122:2
losing 17:3, 7 27:4
144:14
lost 70:11, 15 72:6
74:23, 24
lot 8:2, 8 9:1, 19,
20 22:19 35:10
36:10 49:3 59:11
71:3 74:23 89:14
99:11 100:19
102:8 110:6
112:15, 16 121:9
133:21, 22 135:18
140:24 143:14
loud 139:6
love 92:9 139:13



low 98:14 99:24
100:4 101:4
102:19 105:14
lower 84:8 101:4

< **M** >

ma'am 64:12 66:4
68:13

main 48:2 117:5
135:7

Maine 76:17, 19
77:7

majority 30:8

majorly 98:2

makers 61:6

making 6:11 11:3
12:18 28:19 91:10
93:4, 8, 20 96:2
132:20 137:6 142:3

manager 10:10

Manda 59:8

Mandan 7:22 8:18,
19 12:3, 16 15:4
19:19 25:21 28:1,
6 65:23 88:15, 17
97:16 102:22, 23
104:25 105:9, 19
106:10 112:3

Manvel 130:20, 24
131:2 132:24

map 14:20 15:3,
13 25:18, 21 28:5
88:17 89:16 96:21
99:17 107:18
112:13 117:5, 7
132:19 138:13
144:18

maps 12:15 54:1
118:2 136:21, 24
138:8, 11 140:15
142:24

March 54:24

mark 87:20

marked 115:8

match 112:4

math 77:25 91:24
92:2 93:12 94:18

Matt 44:19 46:18,
20 49:1, 18 50:6,
24 52:5 53:16

55:22 57:1 58:1,
12, 21, 24
matter 6:12 29:25
30:25 34:10 44:16
53:4 68:2 74:9
95:18 107:21
143:16 148:4

Matthew 7:6 65:9

maximize 141:14
142:18

McIntosh 116:20

McKenzie 84:13

McLean 140:6

mean 15:25 20:14
24:3 25:11 27:10
36:24 37:5 48:17
50:1, 21 53:6, 11
54:20 58:7 64:24
66:13 70:9, 18
71:1 73:9, 10 81:6
82:3 93:19 96:3
103:8 107:20
113:6, 11, 15 120:7,
10 125:15, 18, 21
127:12 145:10

meaning 74:25

141:9
means 25:2 94:19
95:2 141:5

meant 142:22

meet 6:3 45:23
90:15 91:6 115:20
140:15
meeting 3:19 4:23
22:8 42:23 45:3
54:21 55:4, 6
83:22 94:6 95:15
144:4 146:22

meetings 5:3 22:17
34:24 41:3 54:16
68:18, 24
MEMBER 3:20, 22
34:17 40:22 43:5
52:9 62:24 63:2
94:14 100:11
108:20 127:9, 12

members 4:14, 20
6:3, 6 29:5 34:6, 8
40:2, 3, 10, 22, 24
41:2 42:3 43:11,
16, 17, 22 46:19

52:3, 13, 16 59:3
60:13, 21 61:11
62:1, 20 63:17
67:9 70:7, 10, 13,
17 81:21 82:25
83:11, 18 84:21
97:8, 23 140:22
142:18

membership 34:9
35:11 40:16

memory 85:9

mention 25:1

120:2 121:17

mentioned 14:23
16:18 19:3 21:8,
17 25:15 26:20
35:21 36:19 47:23
48:9 131:7

Mercer 84:15 85:2
104:4, 7, 8

merits 145:4

mesh 95:13

meshes 97:14

met 46:3 54:18

method 112:16

metric 135:13

MHA 74:4

middle 54:25

94:22 95:1, 5
120:21 137:4, 6, 21
Mike 6:22 62:20
83:1 97:9

miles 99:5

million 8:13

mind 13:2 17:1

55:18 74:6 75:20
76:2 86:12 126:19
139:7

Mine 86:2

minimal 133:5

minimum 130:14

Minnesota 114:16

118:1

minorities 51:12

minority 41:10

51:13, 25 55:17

61:10

Minot 142:1, 2, 6, 8

146:9

Minto 115:3

minutes 3:19, 25
4:3

misinterpreted

29:19 37:23

mislead 13:23

missed 29:1

missing 145:6

mission 59:20

mistake 115:9

Misty 99:25 100:9,
11, 13

misunderstanding
44:3

misunderstood
37:20

mitigation 10:3

mockups 92:8

moderate 67:12

Monday 42:21 46:9

Monson 2:16, 17

3:16 24:19, 23
26:4 38:2, 5, 6, 8,
22 52:22, 24 53:17
68:9, 11, 16 69:9
72:25 73:1, 3, 4
80:8, 9, 10, 11, 25
84:19 93:22, 23, 24,
25 94:5, 11, 17
95:9 96:8 111:19,
20 112:7 124:21,
25 125:2, 3 126:1
128:20, 25

month 40:1

morning 7:4 14:8
29:6 39:25 46:18
59:1, 2 111:22, 23
123:5 147:19

morning's 111:1

Morton 8:18 11:3,
14 12:4, 14, 17
14:21 15:7, 15
25:12, 19 27:20
78:21 80:19 143:12

Mot 110:4

Motion 3:20, 23, 24
4:7

Mountain 24:10

59:6 74:5

move 35:12, 18

44:2 75:19 87:20

<p>95:25 105:4 124:21 145:13 moved 3:23, 24 86:18 131:18 132:23 moves 117:9, 17 moving 41:7 74:14 75:4 76:2, 5 77:5 85:3 89:24 91:3, 22 multi-hazard 10:3 multiple 34:21 144:24 Murphy 22:7 70:10, 20 72:5</p> <p>< N > name 4:20 29:6 46:20 59:4 68:13 72:16 83:1 names 65:20 78:9 NARF 5:7 65:9 Nathan 4:20 Nathe 2:18, 19 18:22, 23 19:2, 14 20:7, 10 21:7, 11, 16 23:13 48:5, 6 49:16, 25 50:12 69:23, 24 70:22, 25 71:12, 16 72:4, 8, 14, 22 74:11 81:10 88:9, 19 89:8 96:24 97:1, 3, 7, 9 100:13 103:2, 5, 10, 24 104:4, 7, 13, 24 107:3, 7, 12, 19, 24 108:23 109:15 110:14, 25 111:6 112:6, 23 122:6 123:10, 11, 24 124:3 138:15, 16 140:4 nation 13:17 17:11 27:13 30:7, 14 34:4 40:7, 12, 14 41:19, 25 42:21, 23 43:11, 14 46:24 52:19 54:18 55:4 57:7 60:16 75:7 76:17 81:24 111:2 national 21:21 22:4 32:17</p>	<p>Nations 4:10, 24 7:3 13:1 16:8 34:6 45:8, 9 47:18 48:1 57:6 75:21 Native 7:9 8:22 13:1, 8 18:5, 18 23:16 30:1 33:25 34:1, 10 35:24 38:24 40:18 41:16, 23 42:7, 14 44:18 46:21 59:10, 13, 19, 21, 23 60:8, 10, 20, 24 61:2 62:16 63:6 64:2, 3, 5 65:1 67:14, 15, 19 68:2 71:9 73:6 nature 51:15 Nay 4:5 near 43:4 63:16 118:23 nearly 84:2 necessarily 94:23 125:15 necessary 43:15 57:21 necessity 112:4 need 10:12 14:14 19:24 22:2 33:4 38:19, 20 45:15 53:5 86:6, 16 95:1, 16 105:6 112:21 136:8 137:20 139:6, 21 146:11 needed 87:6 98:20 100:19 115:14 121:23 130:13 131:11 137:8 needs 17:19 39:17 49:20 51:7 56:14 86:12 119:2, 23 145:3 negative 122:24 neither 148:5 Nelson 115:11, 12 117:17 120:20 124:8 125:6, 11, 21 Ness 87:14 never 21:20, 25 23:23 32:9, 14 63:14 65:15 147:6, 10</p>	<p>new 32:20 82:21 84:9 85:24 86:20, 23 94:8, 12, 17 95:25 96:4 102:17 104:14 110:5, 10 117:18 137:16 143:13 news 15:19 nice 5:1 93:11 101:8 137:8 Nichole 59:4 Nicole 73:20 97:19 night 42:21 noble 31:7 nodding 94:16 nonpartisan 59:14 67:5, 7 nonprofit 59:13 noon 82:6 normal 83:13 NORTH 1:11 4:21 8:11, 13, 20 9:18 13:3 14:7 16:9 18:15, 19 19:17 23:7 24:8 30:12 32:8, 12 33:23 34:22 36:22 40:15 41:1 42:2 50:7, 11 51:9, 16, 25 59:9, 10, 13, 19, 22, 23 60:8, 10, 21 61:2, 4, 8, 19, 22 64:2 75:18, 24 83:20 85:8 89:2 94:6 96:18 100:24 102:1, 2, 20 105:8, 12, 13 106:5, 13 107:16 108:7 111:13 115:3 118:6 119:21 137:7 141:4, 15 145:22 northeast 124:5 130:3, 8 northern 12:3 18:7 105:15 106:7 110:8 136:5 northward 91:4 northwest 106:13, 20 112:8 127:25</p>	<p>note 38:6 84:11 134:18 notice 6:25 28:23 42:19, 24 43:19 45:2, 3, 7 88:16 136:21 number 11:16 15:11 27:23 42:4, 18 44:6 71:7 73:5 79:4 96:4, 17 98:25 99:22 100:22 102:17 106:4, 14, 19 110:16 115:17 120:10 131:15 142:22 numbers 7:14 11:15, 21 15:9 22:20 25:8 28:4 42:13 44:3, 12 53:11 55:24 79:13, 24 81:2, 12 82:1 83:13 91:5 98:13, 19 99:2, 23 100:20 101:9 102:3, 14, 19, 22 105:2, 3, 4, 5, 14, 16, 21 110:6, 18 111:3 112:4, 14, 20 120:12 135:24 142:21 145:6</p> <p>< O > Oban 3:7, 8 77:21, 24 80:25 81:14, 16 88:24 92:10, 11, 17 94:24 122:6, 7, 12, 16 123:8, 20 134:19, 20, 23 135:15 141:23, 24 142:11, 15 143:1 obviously 20:12 23:22 57:4 86:5 87:7, 8 117:13 140:2 141:14 143:4 o'clock 69:17 odd 108:4 odd-shaped 108:2 odometer 125:10 offered 146:12 offering 114:6</p>
--	---	--	--

<p>office 46:6, 9 65:16, 19 67:19, 20 69:21</p> <p>officers 144:4</p> <p>offices 69:20</p> <p>official 86:11</p> <p>officials 33:9 127:24 128:12 129:5</p> <p>Oh 37:9 38:18 39:12 44:24 73:2 88:20 90:1</p> <p>Okay 4:17 13:25 16:22 37:17 44:20 45:18 82:19 84:24 85:1 88:20, 23 89:7, 9 90:4, 7, 8, 14 96:25 98:4 103:24 107:19, 24 108:15 109:2, 7, 16 113:13, 15 114:2, 10 122:14 123:8, 24 124:3, 14, 15, 19 125:25 128:24 130:8 135:15 140:12, 19 146:3, 6, 25</p> <p>old 113:16</p> <p>olds 135:17</p> <p>Oliver 104:5, 11, 15, 16, 17, 21, 23</p> <p>once 21:25 74:1 77:9 92:13 108:21 125:16</p> <p>ones 58:11 81:16 94:20</p> <p>one-way 10:1</p> <p>online 24:19 43:6 52:23 140:21</p> <p>open 17:25 76:8 83:7 85:17</p> <p>Opening 47:23 67:4</p> <p>openly 75:15</p> <p>opens 10:11</p> <p>operators 20:4</p> <p>opinion 29:16</p> <p>opinions 11:10</p> <p>opportunities 47:6 145:7</p> <p>opportunity 6:5 13:7 19:4, 8 27:21</p>	<p>28:22 40:11 41:18 46:4 47:21 55:14 56:2, 21, 23 58:17 63:24 72:15, 16 74:2 81:5 82:4 83:12</p> <p>opposed 41:14</p> <p>opposing 60:23</p> <p>opposite 71:9</p> <p>option 136:4</p> <p>options 86:14 87:8 138:19</p> <p>order 2:3 25:10 41:16 82:13 84:7 87:19 136:12</p> <p>organization 58:9 59:14 61:4 67:16, 17</p> <p>organizer 60:1</p> <p>original 25:18, 21</p> <p>originally 15:4 117:16 119:17</p> <p>orphan 136:25 138:12</p> <p>orphans 124:13 127:3</p> <p>Orshambel 35:6</p> <p>ostensibly 118:14</p> <p>other's 9:25</p> <p>ought 127:15</p> <p>outcome 79:22</p> <p>outlook 18:1</p> <p>outside 32:18 44:2 69:15 103:8 114:9</p> <p>outvoted 41:14 62:22, 25</p> <p>outweigh 11:15, 16</p> <p>overall 80:17</p> <p>overburdened 61:5</p> <p>overnight 146:23</p> <p>overview 97:24</p> <p>< P ></p> <p>packet 114:14</p> <p>page 37:18</p> <p>pandemic 7:15 10:16, 17 13:16 22:18 42:10 69:22</p> <p>paper 140:2</p> <p>paragraph 61:14</p>	<p>paraphrased 30:18</p> <p>paraphrasing 61:15</p> <p>parent 139:17</p> <p>part 7:22 8:20 11:3 12:3 15:7 18:7 19:24 23:14 28:6 29:11 34:9 42:25 63:25 75:24 87:23 88:3 95:1 98:21, 23 101:5 102:20 106:20 108:24 110:3, 8 111:23 112:8 113:23 114:22, 24, 25 115:12, 20, 25 116:2 117:7, 10, 15, 22, 23 119:6, 16 121:15, 17, 22 123:23 125:22 134:3 135:11, 19 142:5, 6 143:5 144:23</p> <p>partial 95:25 112:9</p> <p>participate 28:20 63:19</p> <p>particular 23:17 64:22 66:7, 10 109:14 132:5 136:1</p> <p>parties 148:7</p> <p>partisanship 79:15</p> <p>partners 77:4</p> <p>partnerships 75:4, 19 76:12 77:7</p> <p>parts 78:21 83:9 87:3 92:18 106:5, 6</p> <p>party 9:6, 10 14:5 17:15, 23 18:6 21:6 27:24 29:24 32:3 39:5, 6 66:8 67:7, 13 68:2 73:16 74:9</p> <p>pass 128:6</p> <p>passed 23:23 76:20</p> <p>passing 136:18</p> <p>Pause 73:23 82:23 97:2 114:3</p> <p>Pembina 124:7 125:12 130:4</p> <p>people 9:1 14:14, 17 16:2, 23, 24, 25 17:6, 17 18:14</p>	<p>19:21 20:2, 12 22:22, 23 23:6 24:8 25:10 27:18, 20 33:12 44:14 45:13 50:16 54:6 59:8, 21 62:8 64:5, 7 65:18, 20 66:1 67:18 68:22 69:3, 21 70:4 71:7, 25 72:20 73:16 75:16, 18 76:23 79:4 80:22 82:2 84:3 85:4, 24 87:2 89:23 91:22 92:5 95:6, 20, 22 98:9, 24 99:7 103:8 105:25 108:1 111:25 115:14 118:3 119:23 120:4 121:23 122:2 129:14 130:12, 13, 18 131:11 133:19, 22 135:20 136:9, 11 138:13, 23 140:15 141:15 142:24 144:19</p> <p>people's 39:17</p> <p>percent 12:21 14:22 15:23 17:3 21:2 24:4, 10 28:12, 13 33:12 34:2 35:25 42:9 53:22 54:3 57:20 60:9 63:3 64:25 65:1, 3 66:11 70:15 78:15, 17, 18 79:8 80:16 81:8 85:2 86:2 93:15</p> <p>percentage 11:19 15:17 16:7 19:10 25:19 34:3</p> <p>percentages 8:3 9:13 14:23 15:8 20:25 25:8, 24</p> <p>perfect 90:12, 21 91:11 101:19 116:9, 12</p> <p>perfectly 92:15</p>
--	---	---	--



<p>person 14:16 25:2, 11 78:11 79:20 136:13 139:12 person/one 53:18 Personally 67:12 133:2 persons 140:20 perspective 18:17 43:1 74:18 perspectives 60:12 persuade 33:17 Pete 140:23 143:18 145:17 phone 125:8 phonetic 31:4 35:6 59:4 63:1 65:22, 23 87:15 Physical 35:22 pick 115:10, 11 picked 132:4 picture 7:7 15:5 94:24 piece 100:5, 22 143:6 pieces 140:2 place 9:19 119:1 123:6 132:10 136:10 places 35:15 69:3 plan 10:3 47:20 88:1 97:15, 25 98:3 125:6, 22 146:25 planned 140:17 plans 47:20 96:8, 16 113:19 126:20 play 12:5 played 123:1 playing 12:4 please 13:21 88:4 plus 100:22 111:25 point 6:11 7:2 8:15 10:22 13:23, 25 17:16 18:5 20:19 32:11 36:11 55:1 66:18 73:5 74:12 90:18 107:16 108:7, 9 109:4, 14 138:4 pointer 100:15</p>	<p>points 48:3 polarized 51:12 policies 9:13 policy 16:4 39:8 59:20 61:5 140:25 political 47:13 64:4, 6 65:15 66:8 67:13 120:10, 11 politically 66:12 politics 21:8, 11 29:14 31:14 32:22 34:13 99:8 139:2 polling 60:25 Poolman 3:9, 10 57:14, 15 58:5, 13 88:22 96:25 112:24 113:1 136:16, 17 138:7 139:9, 12 140:8, 13 Poolman's 138:18 populated 96:10 population 14:12 19:19 31:9 34:2 35:24 36:7 42:5 44:1 54:24 55:18 60:9 65:1 66:6 67:14 79:3 80:17 81:2 84:2, 17 85:3, 8, 12, 18 86:16 87:1, 6, 19 90:11 95:17 96:17 102:9, 20 106:10, 12, 20 107:6, 22, 25 108:22 111:24 112:16 114:21 115:20 123:2 129:13 131:9, 11 132:3 133:16 135:3, 9, 11, 17 136:3 137:8 141:3 populations 23:16 36:3, 6 84:8 85:25 Porcupine 31:22 portion 12:2, 14 15:10, 13, 15 25:21 28:2, 7, 9 84:15 85:2 87:5 95:10 110:12 portions 84:12 position 74:20</p>	<p>78:11, 16, 17 79:7 positions 10:25 positive 23:6 possibility 22:16 possible 46:12 73:11, 13 108:16 127:5, 6, 18 potential 59:17 86:13 92:8 potentially 76:5 pounding 32:13 poverty 63:21 power 59:24 61:10 precedence 127:19 prefer 54:1 113:8 127:4 128:2, 6 preferable 129:4 preliminary 86:5 87:23 prepare 10:15 presence 75:6 present 29:1 38:13 67:3 112:24 113:4, 22 126:7 presentation 123:5 133:2 presented 113:17 124:5, 9 130:9 138:21 140:5 presenting 82:20 146:24 pretty 7:15 8:1 10:19 11:11 13:22 17:25 24:8, 25 25:15 34:3 65:2 89:17 99:1 109:13 110:1 111:14 122:20, 22 prevent 60:19 prevented 65:18 preventing 61:11 previous 21:23 22:24 50:13 71:4 83:22 previously 40:8 41:5 52:12 99:24 prior 5:14 40:13 43:10 55:13 prioritizing 92:24, 25</p>	<p>priority 68:7 129:17 probably 7:6 11:9, 15 14:22 15:18 18:3 22:1, 10, 19 25:12 26:7, 13 30:13 31:20, 21 32:6 33:11, 19, 20 80:19 117:6 142:4, 6, 16 problem 111:23 112:1 120:10, 11, 12 125:14 138:22 problems 124:9, 12 process 42:13 43:8 60:13 63:20 64:6 65:15 66:1 83:8, 17 87:16 123:14, 18 135:8 142:12 145:10 processes 60:7 128:5 progress 75:13 88:1 promote 59:20 proper 45:14 properly 16:17 20:17 proposal 86:11 114:11 117:2 138:12, 14 proposals 96:19 proposed 86:23 prosecution 128:2 protect 43:15 protection 10:14 60:2 provide 41:16 42:18 47:22 52:3, 6, 20 54:1 61:16 63:24 provided 148:4 public 36:2 69:11 83:16 99:9 139:1, 17, 19, 22 publicly 83:10 pull 44:1 78:6 pulling 138:4 purpose 8:17 12:24 15:2 102:5</p>
--	--	---	---



<p>purposes 80:1 111:5</p> <p>purview 88:16</p> <p>push 9:10 98:19 105:2</p> <p>pushback 35:10</p> <p>pushed 22:3 98:21 99:10</p> <p>put 5:12, 16 11:15 12:14 15:4 23:12 72:16 99:3, 14 100:7 102:9, 11 116:17 117:1 132:24, 25 135:25 139:3</p> <p>puts 85:2 117:7</p> <p>putting 123:15</p> <p>< Q ></p> <p>quantify 39:12</p> <p>quarter 66:6 82:9</p> <p>Queen 134:16</p> <p>question 11:18 16:7, 13, 18 18:22 21:13, 17 24:20, 21 25:14 26:3 29:10 35:2 36:19 38:3 44:6, 13, 18 50:9 52:23 53:17 55:12, 20, 23 57:3 58:2 66:24 67:11 68:10 81:15 94:22 103:1 105:18 106:24 107:14 111:19 118:21 119:18 126:3, 4, 9 128:21 129:19 133:9 134:19 143:19</p> <p>questioned 25:24</p> <p>questionnaires 68:5</p> <p>questions 5:22 14:9 16:4 23:8 28:16 43:19 44:21 46:16, 23 47:1 48:4 54:14 58:21 64:9 72:23 77:11, 13 80:7 88:11 89:1 93:21 103:24 136:14 141:21</p> <p>quick 107:23 134:21</p>	<p>quickly 16:18 46:12</p> <p>quite 22:6 73:9 98:6 100:23 105:12 131:3, 20</p> <p>quorum 3:14</p> <p>< R ></p> <p>race 31:2, 3, 14 78:16 111:5</p> <p>races 79:20, 24 81:8</p> <p>racially 51:12</p> <p>raised 48:4</p> <p>Ramsey 121:5, 11, 18</p> <p>ran 52:7 62:21, 24 65:15, 22, 23 67:22 70:11, 20 72:5</p> <p>ranchers 26:6</p> <p>range 100:10 101:2</p> <p>rank 126:25</p> <p>Ransom 116:9 117:10</p> <p>rare 122:9, 10, 11, 12</p> <p>rate 35:25 84:3</p> <p>reach 47:18 55:2</p> <p>reached 4:24 38:15, 16</p> <p>reaching 69:8</p> <p>read 29:8 33:15</p> <p>reading 34:14</p> <p>ready 82:16 97:3, 5</p> <p>real 122:24 134:21</p> <p>realistic 119:25</p> <p>realistically 15:5 27:24</p> <p>realize 71:5 92:13 137:1 144:9</p> <p>realized 60:4</p> <p>really 5:4 15:6 18:8 19:20 22:1 25:3, 6, 14 30:15 48:11, 12 55:1 57:22 67:1 70:20 74:7 86:17 89:17 107:23 110:2 111:12 112:13 116:4 129:6 134:13 136:8, 19</p>	<p>137:19 139:23 145:3</p> <p>reason 30:10 48:16, 22 49:2 50:2, 22 51:7 85:11 99:3 100:4, 9</p> <p>reasoning 50:13</p> <p>reasons 7:11 33:5</p> <p>recall 70:21 94:5, 11 124:4 128:15</p> <p>recalled 16:1</p> <p>Recess 82:11 147:18</p> <p>reciprocate 6:10</p> <p>recognized 33:22 40:14 75:22</p> <p>recommend 12:23 14:1 47:17 63:8</p> <p>recommendations 76:4</p> <p>record 4:20 5:12, 17 26:1 29:24 33:18 73:22 83:1 97:9</p> <p>recorded 42:4, 12</p> <p>recording 148:3</p> <p>red 30:13 107:25</p> <p>Redistrict 19:12</p> <p>REDISTRICTING 1:12 2:3 5:4 6:4 7:18 20:21 21:19 22:13 29:5 34:22, 24 40:1 41:2, 3 42:13 43:8 46:2 53:20 59:3 60:13 63:20 64:8 82:25 83:3 127:17 129:15 147:15</p> <p>refer 44:17 65:8</p> <p>reference 37:12 45:2</p> <p>referencing 17:12 36:1</p> <p>referred 46:22 55:12, 20</p> <p>referring 89:5 90:2</p> <p>refreshing 48:12</p> <p>regard 69:8</p> <p>regards 77:7</p> <p>region 52:19</p>	<p>registered 32:4</p> <p>Registry 31:12</p> <p>reiterate 88:2</p> <p>relate 139:2</p> <p>related 77:23</p> <p>Relation 5:3</p> <p>Relations 6:3 45:22 54:17 74:4, 16 81:23</p> <p>relationships 35:9</p> <p>relative 6:23 133:12 148:6</p> <p>relatively 25:4</p> <p>relatives 6:21</p> <p>reluctant 23:23</p> <p>remain 92:6</p> <p>remember 23:16 147:7</p> <p>remind 127:25</p> <p>removal 132:1</p> <p>remove 78:2</p> <p>rendering 86:19 93:14</p> <p>renderings 143:12</p> <p>repeatedly 128:10, 12</p> <p>represent 19:16 23:6 62:8 67:18 68:3 141:3 145:1</p> <p>representation 9:17 12:10, 25 13:10 14:6 15:2 16:8, 19 17:3, 4, 9, 19 18:9, 16 19:25 20:15, 20 26:13 27:8, 10, 17 29:10, 12, 17 31:18 32:10, 15 39:4, 16 47:7 48:10, 15, 24 49:4, 5, 11, 15 50:3 52:2 54:11 57:23, 24 58:17 59:21 60:6 62:4, 5 67:8 74:22 76:1, 6, 18, 24 77:8 80:19 82:1 144:7, 16 145:7</p> <p>Representative 2:8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 3:16 5:7 11:5, 6 16:12, 14, 22, 24</p>
--	--	---	--



18:22, 23 19:2, 14
20:7, 10 21:7, 11,
16 23:13 24:19, 20,
23 26:2, 4, 11, 15,
17, 21 27:4 33:13
38:2, 5, 6, 8, 22, 24
39:9 41:18 44:19
48:5, 6 49:12, 16,
19, 25 50:12 51:6,
20, 22 52:17, 22, 24
53:17 55:7, 8, 11
56:17, 22 57:4
68:9, 11, 16 69:9,
23, 24 70:22, 25
71:12, 16 72:4, 8,
14, 22, 25 73:2, 4,
10 74:11, 13, 19
80:8, 9, 10, 11, 25
81:10 82:16, 18, 19,
24 84:19, 25 88:9,
18, 21, 24 89:4, 7, 8,
14 90:1, 4, 7, 14, 25
91:7, 13, 15, 17, 19,
21 92:12, 16 93:6,
22, 23, 24, 25 94:2,
4, 5, 10, 11, 15, 17
95:8 96:7, 23, 24
97:1, 3, 7, 9, 14, 17
99:4 100:13
102:25 103:2, 3, 5,
10, 23, 24 104:4, 7,
13, 24 107:3, 7, 12,
19, 24 108:23
109:15 110:9, 12,
14, 22, 25 111:6, 7,
18, 20, 21 112:6, 7,
23 114:10 118:17,
18 119:7 122:6
123:9, 11, 12, 24
124:3, 11, 21, 25
125:2, 3 126:1, 8,
11, 24 128:20, 25
129:18, 22 137:23
138:8, 15, 16 140:4
145:25 146:2, 4, 7,
10, 12, 24
representatives 4:9
20:11 26:22 29:21
33:11 34:18 38:13
40:23 41:9, 21
49:10 55:15 56:3,

13, 24 61:17, 20
62:18 81:18
111:10 143:24
144:3
represented 46:23
56:23 111:10
138:25 142:24, 25
representing 5:9
20:13, 17 49:24
represents 58:18
139:13
republic 30:7, 10
Republican 8:25
17:23 30:6 31:18,
24 32:4, 10 48:13,
15 70:9, 17, 18
71:8, 14, 20 72:9,
10 73:6, 14 74:13
78:4
Republicans 9:7
30:2 48:20 67:2
70:14
republics 30:22
request 34:22 35:1
57:19, 22 58:9
requested 58:15
requesting 58:13
requests 41:19, 25
117:1
required 14:15
24:1
research 93:17
reservation 19:15
20:17 24:5 33:24
37:1, 3, 7, 15 40:19,
20 41:11, 13 42:8
43:24 44:2 47:14
55:17 60:25 62:14
63:19 66:5, 7 68:7,
19 69:4 71:2, 13
81:25 84:14 89:19,
22 90:10, 19, 20, 24
115:21, 22, 25
116:3, 6, 14, 21
119:13 121:5, 10
123:3 147:10
reservations 8:22
21:23 24:3, 13
34:25 36:7, 20
41:4 56:6 61:25

63:14, 16, 22 68:24
69:12 71:8 147:3
reside 32:6 100:21
resident 32:8
residents 33:25
62:17 99:12
resides 102:11
residing 41:17
Resort 40:6
resources 43:5
respect 30:16
respond 129:20
143:20 144:17
response 4:6 23:2
28:18 59:15
responses 29:13
responsible 129:6
144:10, 12
responsive 49:19
51:6 56:14, 22
rest 115:22 132:13
137:12, 14
restrictions 85:22
results 38:25 78:7
80:3
Richholt 100:25
Richland 116:10,
11 119:16
rid 119:5, 9
Ridge 10:7 31:20
101:7
rigging 50:17
right 7:21 10:5, 22
13:12 15:12 16:3
19:7 20:14 22:25
30:5, 9 31:1 33:14
34:3, 8 35:1, 10, 19
43:12 45:10 49:6
53:18 58:7 60:22
68:25 72:17 84:23
90:13 97:7, 25
99:1, 18 101:23
102:11 103:22
105:17 106:14
109:5 110:19
113:13 114:1, 12
116:15 120:16
121:7 124:3
134:11 137:9, 11
right-hand 109:3

rights 35:21 41:7,
24 43:13, 16 44:18
46:21 51:11 53:2,
7 61:9 64:18
risk 69:19
river 100:1 101:8
103:7, 9 107:5
108:10, 12 109:11,
18
road 48:17, 23
99:16 101:6 107:5
109:8
Rock 5:10 8:14
10:4 11:20 14:4
29:7 32:8 33:21
34:4 46:24 52:9,
13 53:25 57:7
58:14 59:5 62:14,
19, 23 63:2 65:13
70:10 79:17 109:19
rocket 83:22
rocks 35:14
Rolette 114:20
120:3 121:22
124:10 126:4
roll 2:4
room 98:18 114:20
roughly 14:14
25:10 44:14 82:9
98:24 100:8
round 141:18
rules 16:4 30:21
run 7:12 12:1
13:15 17:22 39:7
52:10, 17 62:9
71:19 72:17 115:1
running 52:14
65:19 67:19, 20
78:11
runs 8:11 100:23
rural 19:17, 21
26:6 27:22 56:6
99:10 115:13, 14
122:3 131:23
135:2, 9 139:3
141:3, 15 142:5, 7,
9, 18, 23 143:12, 21,
22 144:1, 2, 15, 21,
23 145:5, 7
Russians 9:21



<p>< S > sacrifice 122:8 safety 22:21 Salem 86:20 143:13 Sam 97:19 Samantha 87:14 Sargent 116:16, 18, 20 Saskatoon 45:11, 13 savages 31:7 saw 52:12 Saxvik 100:25 saying 18:11 19:7, 23 20:14, 20 27:21 30:11 33:10 44:4 92:7 134:4 138:11 says 15:23 21:2 23:25 71:4 132:9 140:25 141:1 scared 96:12 scars 128:17 Schauer 2:20, 21 16:12, 14 26:21 51:21, 22 91:16, 17 110:22, 24 111:7, 21 school 36:2, 8 68:20 70:20 99:9 121:8 130:22, 23, 25 133:17, 24 134:3 139:1 141:9 schools 10:9 36:5 139:17, 19, 22 science 83:23 scratching 32:6 screen 106:15 seatmate 71:14 seats 62:16 Second 3:22 41:25 78:16 seconded 3:24 Secondly 64:14 section 26:9 89:21 sector 87:7 see 3:16 7:24 10:19 14:17 19:20 31:13 32:3 33:21 34:16 38:5, 14 39:11 52:18 65:25 72:12 78:20 79:5,</p>	<p>11, 19 81:16 82:8 85:10 86:1 87:11 89:3, 14 96:9, 15, 20 98:3, 16, 22, 25 99:14, 18 100:1 101:5, 20 102:7, 16 104:6, 21, 22 105:1 106:2, 14 108:1, 3, 15 109:3, 21, 23 110:15, 19 112:12 113:1 114:1 116:24, 25 117:4 135:13 137:9 138:8 140:15, 16 143:3, 5 144:6, 18 Seeing 4:2 5:24 9:15 46:17 77:15 94:2, 15 108:12 136:15 seek 83:16 seeking 57:12 seen 52:15 61:3 126:21 138:11 141:17 segments 8:10 15:21 Senate 14:3 17:8 45:24 65:23 Senator 2:22, 24, 25 3:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 16:22, 25 23:10 24:25 25:16 44:22, 25 45:1, 6, 18 57:14, 15 58:5, 12 64:11 65:7 66:16, 22, 23 67:10, 23 68:1, 8 77:21, 24 80:25 81:14, 16 88:14, 21, 24 89:10, 11 90:3, 5, 8, 15, 16 91:2, 8 92:10, 11, 17 94:24 95:14 96:6, 25 102:24 104:1, 2, 11, 16 105:7, 18 106:24, 25 107:2, 9, 12, 15 108:5, 17 109:2, 7, 15 112:24 113:1, 3 120:18 122:6, 7, 12, 16 123:8, 20 125:5, 21</p>	<p>126:6 127:11, 20, 21 128:8, 19 129:3 133:8, 10, 11 134:7, 10, 18, 20, 23 135:15 136:16, 17 138:1, 7, 18 139:9, 12 140:1, 8, 13 141:23, 24 142:4, 11, 15 143:1, 17, 18, 23 145:8 Senators 49:8 61:16 97:21 144:2, 5 Senatory 122:10 send 46:5 113:24 sends 5:13 Senior 42:22 sense 15:6 18:8 55:18 90:21 91:2 105:17 109:10 134:10 135:25 143:14, 15 sensitive 64:17 99:12 sent 42:20 68:4 121:2 sentry 9:12 61:19 SEPTEMBER 1:13 2:1 3:19 54:19 serious 75:12 seriously 8:16 13:7 14:5 15:24 serve 75:14, 17 83:2 served 35:5 73:7 76:14 serves 85:9 service 63:18 serving 79:16 83:15 sessions 73:7 set 39:15 49:7 127:13 setting 58:10 seven 112:14 severe 75:10 shape 106:22 107:21 shaped 108:4 share 62:12 123:2</p>	<p>shared 47:13 51:3 54:9, 10 shares 105:12 shedding 85:7 sheriff 10:11 shifted 39:13 short 6:25 28:23 42:19 45:2 83:11 131:3 132:3 146:22 shorted 100:8 shout 87:13 show 7:8 51:24 52:1 77:22 79:23 81:4 97:13 99:17 100:2, 14 101:19 103:21 140:9 showed 96:4 134:23 showing 117:10 143:25 shows 15:13 42:24 63:5 shuffle 74:24 shuts 69:16 side 50:16 59:8 70:4 72:15 74:14, 20 89:2 99:15 100:1 102:18 103:6, 18 106:13, 17 109:3 111:10 112:10 137:22 sidelines 35:13 sides 21:12 73:10 76:11 143:10 145:2 signed 10:4 significantly 39:2 139:24 silences 43:4 similar 62:12 63:11 89:20 simple 8:1 11:11 13:22 95:17 simpler 11:7 simply 83:23 84:8 sincerely 43:1 single 34:17 63:12 single-member 41:20, 22 51:8, 17 57:9 60:19 61:24 single-use 40:22</p>
---	---	--	---



Sioux 7:9, 23 8:12
10:4 11:2, 24 13:3
14:13 15:14 19:22
25:13 27:20 29:7
31:19 33:12, 21, 24
34:1 46:24 57:8
59:5 62:13 63:3
69:15 78:22, 25
79:6, 11 80:4, 15
111:2
sir 14:20 19:6
39:23 141:22
sit 35:13 78:1
sitting 78:2 124:2
144:5
situation 35:5
45:11 144:20
six 32:23
size 86:21 137:12
skew 80:23
skin 113:11
skunk 113:11
skyrocketing 22:20
slight 132:20, 21
slightly 136:23
sliver 80:18
Slope 86:25
small 108:21
smaller 114:13
socialism 39:21
soldier 6:22
solely 145:6
Solen 10:7 31:21
solutions 137:5, 19
146:23
solve 124:12
solves 120:9, 12
somebody 39:8
62:2, 6 71:21 72:1,
2 115:17 119:18
120:24 138:25
someone's 130:6
somewhat 23:22, 23
125:7, 9
soon 60:4
sorry 29:1 44:24
59:2 73:3 84:22
106:25 110:23
114:7 125:8 137:24
sort 135:7

Sorvaag 3:11, 12
66:22, 23 67:10, 23
68:1, 8 143:17, 18
sound 84:20
Sounds 91:13
south 7:22, 23 8:11,
12, 20 10:7 11:22
30:12 31:20 32:5
33:23 36:23 87:9
89:22 90:20 93:9
99:15 100:6 101:6
103:18 107:4
108:9 109:19
114:16 115:3
116:15 117:17
118:22 120:5
132:5, 11, 14 133:14
southeast 111:15
southern 11:3
19:17, 24 27:20
28:6 118:25 121:17
southwest 83:20
sovereign 34:4
speak 6:16, 18 7:2,
20 18:17 22:13
33:18 40:11 46:5
57:5 74:18 77:3,
20 97:11, 12
138:20 139:10
140:6 142:11, 17
speaker 50:14
55:13 66:25
speakers 48:9 71:4
speaking 32:17
142:13
special 8:4 15:1
87:13
specific 55:23
103:8
specifically 57:8
76:25 142:17
speculate 70:24
speculation 71:18
spent 87:12
spiking 22:21
Spirit 5:9 40:5, 7,
11, 14 41:11, 12, 19,
25 42:21, 23 43:11,
14, 23 46:24 52:19
53:14, 25 54:18
55:4 57:7 74:5

75:3, 7 82:2
115:21, 24 121:5
spite 40:25
split 34:20 36:25
37:2, 6 52:2 63:14
115:15 125:16
128:11 129:7
130:1 142:2 147:3,
10
splits 7:22
splitting 8:19
36:20 37:14 125:15
spoke 138:21
spoken 140:11
sponsor 77:2
spot 122:20, 22
spots 106:7
spreading 69:20
square 110:5
squared 132:6
squished 137:4
stable 129:12
131:20
staff 46:20
stair 110:10, 15
stand 6:18 64:9
77:11 88:11
116:22 145:3
147:11, 18
standalone 117:19
Standing 5:10 8:14
10:4 11:20 14:4
17:10 22:9 29:7
32:7 33:21 34:4
46:24 52:9, 13
53:25 57:7 58:14
59:5 62:13, 19, 23
63:2 65:13 70:10
79:17 109:19
standpoint 50:1, 5, 7
stands 49:6
Stark 87:4 92:14
93:4
start 4:8 5:4
25:23 80:16 82:7
83:21 84:10, 25
118:3, 4 128:23
137:5, 18, 21
started 21:22
125:10
starting 137:1

State 5:2 9:4, 18
10:2, 10, 11 12:21
13:1, 3, 11 14:7
18:9, 12, 15, 19
23:4 26:14 32:5,
10 34:18 36:5
37:15 40:15, 23
41:8, 21 43:14
54:16 56:7 57:5
59:25 61:9, 13
62:21, 25 63:23, 25
64:4 68:21 74:4,
16, 19 75:18, 23, 24
76:18, 25 77:6, 8
80:17 83:9 87:23
88:3 95:11 98:8
112:18 113:2, 24
119:6 120:21
136:22 137:22
143:5 145:12
stated 65:9 83:10,
22 94:24
statehood 127:14
statement 15:19
33:10 67:5 95:9
143:19 145:4 147:6
statements 18:1
States 13:4 23:5
30:12, 13 33:22
35:9 61:14, 19
state's 111:24
statistics 80:14
statutes 50:7 51:9,
17
stay 17:15 104:5,
12, 17 117:14 120:6
Steel 115:12
Steele 117:3, 9, 16
120:20 124:9
stemmed 60:5
step 33:1
steps 110:11, 15
stopping 72:18, 20
straight 13:25
29:11 137:9
straightforward
30:19 33:4 34:8
strand 108:24
strange 107:18



<p>street 10:1 35:22 100:24 101:25 131:18, 19 streets 101:1 strip 134:16 strong 9:22 structure 9:3, 18 struggle 144:13 struggles 120:2 struggling 134:25 students 121:8 130:23 135:22 studied 6:22 study 76:5 stuff 31:10 Stutsman 115:12 sub 11:3, 14 16:20 25:4 27:1, 15 28:2 49:13 50:8 57:19 61:22 90:22, 24 103:14 sub-district 11:8 12:12, 18 14:1 15:7 17:16 20:22 25:5, 13 27:18 44:16 49:23 50:23 54:6 55:16 79:9, 21 90:19 112:2 sub-districted 44:15 sub-districting 8:17 10:23 11:1 47:5 subdistricts 25:2 50:11 56:11 sub-districts 27:1 50:2, 15 51:1 53:4, 10 54:5 55:25 56:4, 7 58:16 61:17, 21, 24 121:13 subdivide 55:14, 19 subdivided 24:4 38:25 64:25 78:8 79:6 subdividing 55:21 subdivision 48:17, 23 subdivisions 22:3 subject 31:15 83:12 submit 86:10 subsection 61:14, 20 suburbia 143:10</p>	<p>successful 43:13 sudden 22:2 102:19 suffering 100:17 suggestion 78:24 132:20 suggestions 24:15 Sunset 132:9 support 41:13 64:4 67:15, 19, 22 68:3 supported 70:17 supportive 26:23 137:19 supposed 126:13 Supreme 59:16 Sure 19:1 24:22 43:25 68:13, 22 69:4 75:1, 25 86:8 103:2 105:16 122:23 128:20 142:15 146:7, 9 147:10 surgery 13:18 surprised 81:11 surrounded 66:10 surrounding 47:15 Survey 40:17 42:18 system 19:7 39:6 49:7 50:18 62:22 63:1 systems 61:8 69:11 < T > tactics 13:24 take 2:4 6:24 15:25 20:19 23:1 28:6, 14 33:1 36:1 38:20 39:6 43:1 60:11 63:25 64:20 69:19 77:12 79:15, 19 80:18 82:14 84:11, 12 86:6, 13 87:24 90:20 91:25 95:3 102:5, 10 107:13 108:10 109:6, 16 111:1 116:9 119:24 136:5 143:25 144:1 taken 23:18 30:18 36:10 82:11 91:5 95:10 132:23, 24</p>	<p>takes 63:10 117:9 119:9 talk 10:23 22:6 27:7 29:12 30:20, 21, 22 35:14 57:2 76:6 88:3 99:19 101:13 103:5, 7 104:19 123:20 talked 15:8 22:13 97:18 103:13 123:13 talking 10:24 12:13, 16 19:3 27:7, 9 28:4 39:20 53:11 56:5 57:13 58:19 71:22 74:21, 22 75:4 88:10 97:21 124:24 tally 89:25 tangible 31:11 tantrum 71:24 target 84:3 targeting 122:17 task 126:14, 15, 19 138:2 tasks 126:13 138:3 teacher 77:25 Teams 3:17 84:21 tell 33:3 35:5 114:8 121:25 128:9 137:13 140:9 telling 121:1 tells 94:18 temper 71:24 tend 41:13 term 62:3 terms 49:13 51:3 54:4 137:5 testified 40:8 41:5 testify 7:1 testifying 40:6 testimonies 5:18 testimony 5:15 13:22 19:3 29:8 33:15 36:13, 16 40:13 43:11 64:13 73:21, 25 Thank 3:15 4:17 5:19, 20, 24, 25 6:7, 9, 14, 19, 24 7:18 14:19 16:14, 16</p>	<p>18:16, 23 23:7 24:14, 17, 24 25:17, 22 26:17 28:19, 21, 24 36:15 37:21 38:1, 8 39:18, 22 40:3 43:17 45:19 46:14, 17 48:6, 8 51:22 52:24 53:16 55:8, 10 56:17 57:15 58:12, 22, 24 64:8, 10, 12 65:7 67:11 68:8, 11 69:10, 22, 24 72:19, 22 73:12, 18, 19 74:1 77:10, 15, 16, 17 80:6, 11, 13 81:12, 19, 20 91:14, 17 93:25 97:5, 7 103:3 104:2 107:2 110:24 112:23 113:18 114:6, 12 118:18 123:11 124:15 127:21 128:25 133:10 134:22 136:15 137:25 138:16 139:8 140:19 145:17, 19 thankful 81:10 thanks 6:11 45:18 70:1 89:13 97:19 thicker 113:13, 14, 15 thing 12:24 21:8 27:5 46:5 47:25 48:20 74:6 77:5 98:17 113:25 129:2, 9 things 10:13 26:19 30:14, 23, 24 31:8, 11, 13 33:20 35:22 49:21 51:11, 14 57:25 60:14 61:1 64:19, 20 71:5 80:2 87:24 89:17 95:25 101:11 115:8 120:19 126:1 141:11 143:14, 15 144:13 think 4:10 5:1 7:1 8:7 9:1, 6, 16</p>
--	--	---	---

<p>10:16 11:8, 11 12:16, 17, 22, 24 13:12 14:12 16:9 17:19 18:4, 13 19:18 20:6, 13 21:4 22:7 23:4 24:18 25:18 27:18 28:1 30:9 31:2, 21 33:20 38:23, 25 44:6 45:3 47:3, 12, 21, 24 49:1, 2, 6, 9 50:6, 9, 24 51:10, 16 52:6, 12, 14, 18 53:18, 21 54:2, 5, 8, 15 55:19, 22, 24 56:8, 24 57:12, 18 58:13, 18 65:16 66:12, 16 69:5 70:1, 19, 25 71:3, 16 72:11 74:7, 14, 16, 21 75:9, 20 77:5, 22 78:2 81:17 82:7 83:5 86:6 89:17, 22 91:10 95:4 96:8 98:15 100:2 103:21 108:23 113:1, 24 117:20 118:13 121:4, 11 122:22 123:4, 15 126:20 127:15, 18 137:20 138:10, 20 143:3, 14, 22 144:18 145:2, 4 147:2 thinkers 33:1 thinking 16:21 18:2 51:1, 2 53:20 56:1 73:16 123:19 Third 42:19 THOMPSON 2:6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 3:1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 87:14 104:17 107:22, 25 108:13, 18, 21, 25 109:6, 13 130:16 148:13 thought 66:17 96:1 106:1 123:14, 18</p>	<p>127:19 135:8 142:12 thoughts 92:7 three 11:15 16:24 20:11 24:10 49:8 52:15 79:20, 24 81:8 84:4 92:18 95:23 108:14 110:5 119:5, 17, 19, 20 139:20 140:18 142:8 146:18 three-fifths 31:9 thresholds 64:23 65:5 throw 7:19 35:14 throwing 12:20 28:8 78:5 thrown 62:4 tied 46:8 78:3 ties 133:15 tight 85:21, 22 till 103:19 time 6:24 7:10 19:21 22:15 28:19 32:9, 13 43:18 45:17 64:9 73:19 75:3 77:10, 22 82:14 87:12 105:23 106:1 118:12 122:2 125:17 128:10 140:14 times 10:2 16:19 20:12 21:9 74:23 92:3 tired 11:9 18:11 titles 31:4 today 3:17 4:8, 14 5:6, 11, 14 7:17 8:1, 15 9:9, 10 10:21 11:11, 24 13:5, 20 15:2, 24 16:5, 17 17:10 18:18, 25 22:9, 12, 16, 25 24:19 36:14 39:23 40:4, 6 43:18 45:14 47:4 49:3 55:6 57:7 64:9 70:1 77:10, 20 97:11 112:25 116:4 131:6</p>	<p>139:25 140:21, 25 141:6, 18 142:25 143:12 145:23 146:21 147:16 told 134:17 Tomasina 65:23 tomorrow 120:25 138:24 143:25 145:20 146:22 147:19 top 53:19 78:9 102:5 114:19 total 15:15 39:7 79:3 84:5 87:1 118:13 130:18, 19 touch 10:19 101:22 touched 5:3 111:16 tough 24:9 66:14, 15 Towner 114:22 115:25 121:17, 21 125:18 towns 44:11 Township 131:8 132:14 144:3 townships 9:5 10:6 102:8 110:5 115:13, 14, 18 117:11 tracks 7:23 8:19 trading 143:3 Traill 120:13 124:9 126:3 train 7:23 8:19 transcript 148:3 TRANSCRIPTION 1:10 TRANSCRIPTIONI ST 148:1 transfer 102:19 105:3 translation 74:24 transparent 83:7 transportation 63:21 Travel 5:2 43:5 63:17 traveling 22:19 Travis 24:7 treated 47:8</p>	<p>treaty 13:5 tremendous 87:11 trial 27:13 Tribal 4:10, 13, 24 6:2 7:3 17:11, 19 18:17 34:5, 17, 23, 24 35:21 41:1, 3, 4 42:2 43:4 45:7, 9, 22 47:4, 18 48:1 54:16 57:6 60:13, 15, 16, 21 61:4, 7, 11 62:1, 20, 23 63:16 69:7 74:1, 3, 15, 18, 20 75:21 76:8, 9, 17 77:7 81:23 tribe 9:6 10:9 29:7 33:21, 22 34:7 38:16 40:15, 22 45:23 46:25 57:8 59:5 74:25 76:24 77:1 tribes 5:18 6:4, 12 15:18 22:11 35:20 43:7 55:3 60:12, 20 75:9 76:21 77:20 81:19, 22 Tribe's 34:19 43:1 tried 38:12 105:2, 23 118:9 trouble 130:3 true 7:14 11:19 14:6 16:8, 19 17:9 18:9, 16 20:20 23:2 27:3, 7, 10, 17 29:10 39:16 42:5 49:4 74:25 76:7 104:15 148:2 truly 12:2 67:7 83:16 trumps 53:6 try 7:13 9:9 13:23 38:16 98:14 105:20 124:12 126:13 137:22 trying 23:5 33:17 35:11 55:2 74:25 80:1 81:4 82:7 85:19 98:15 99:12 114:15 126:15, 17</p>
---	--	--	---



135:24
tune 62:8
turn 8:5 84:20
 104:20, 22 108:3
turned 69:5
turnout 82:3
Turtle 24:10 59:6
 74:4
tweaked 102:16
 110:17
tweaking 111:12
twice 83:10
two 10:25 16:23,
 25 17:6, 8, 17
 22:10 26:22 38:12
 41:20 55:15 56:24
 57:25 60:14 62:19
 79:9, 20 81:7
 92:15 93:4 97:21
 98:11 110:15
 111:10 113:14
 120:20 127:2
 129:24 130:1, 5
 138:11, 13 142:19
 143:23 144:2, 4
 145:2
twofold 85:23
two-thirds 31:8
tying 121:14
type 31:10 35:18
 47:22 113:22
types 31:7 35:22

< U >

U.S 59:15 63:3
ugly 136:11, 12
Uh-huh 71:11
 108:25 142:10
unable 42:22
 63:17 66:2
Unanimous 4:4
unconstitutional
 36:24
undercount 40:25
 42:2, 11
undercounted 42:8
 44:8
undercounts 42:15
underrepresentation
 75:11

understand 25:7
 26:24 27:9, 14
 31:16 44:1, 5, 9
 53:13 56:19 59:11
 60:15 63:13 66:21
 79:13 90:25 109:9
 127:5 133:16
 134:25 142:19
understandably
 30:4 33:19
understanding 8:4,
 9 9:25 46:1 58:3
 76:7 80:1 86:16
Underwood 102:10
unfortunately 7:9
 10:14 108:18
unhappy 48:23
UNIDENTIFIED
 3:20, 22 94:14
 100:11 108:20
 127:9, 12
unique 11:23 13:5
 83:8 144:13, 20
uniqueness 8:5, 9
 9:3
United 13:4
university 135:1, 2,
 9, 12, 22, 23
unsuccessful 52:8,
 11, 13, 18
untouched 109:20
update 86:8
upfront 27:12
uphold 59:16
upset 50:2 70:16
urban 141:10
 143:21 145:5
urge 83:17
use 11:21 34:17
 112:17 115:17
 122:23 132:15
uses 77:7
usually 69:20
utilized 87:3
utilizing 87:3

< V >

Valley 86:25
valued 54:10
values 47:13, 14

51:4, 5
various 37:7
vastly 24:12
version 136:18
versions 138:13
versus 53:12 56:22
 78:4
VICE 2:23 13:14
 22:7 23:11 26:10
 64:12, 16 66:3, 14,
 19 83:21 88:13, 15,
 20, 23, 25 89:6, 9
 96:7 113:6, 10, 19
 114:1, 5, 7 118:8,
 12 120:1 121:16,
 21 123:9 124:4, 16,
 19, 23 125:1, 25
 128:15, 24 130:2
 133:21 134:15
 135:10, 16 146:16,
 19
VIDEO 1:10
 147:20
view 18:5 20:19
 36:11 49:21 127:13
violate 41:23 61:9
vision 140:3
voice 8:23 18:18
 39:14 83:18
voices 64:1, 3
vote 14:16 25:2, 11
 27:1, 2, 13, 14 30:1
 34:10 38:15 41:23
 43:12, 16 51:13, 25
 53:6, 19 55:14, 16
 56:21, 23, 25 59:11,
 13, 23 60:22, 24
 61:2 62:10 63:3
 64:2 66:10 70:8
 71:2, 8 72:12
 78:15, 17, 18 79:8,
 11 80:4 81:9
voted 70:13, 15
 71:13 79:4
voter 59:16 60:22
voter/one 53:5
voters 40:25 41:12,
 14, 17 43:5 49:22,
 23 59:19 63:6

votes 12:5 41:10
 42:14 43:24 56:15
 60:20
vote's 60:10
voting 19:21 35:20
 41:7, 23 43:13
 51:10, 12 53:1, 7
 61:7, 9, 10 64:18
 107:9 111:4 139:15

< W >

Wabanaki 76:22
wait 113:9
waited 48:7
Walker 5:10 29:2,
 3, 4, 6 36:17 37:2,
 5, 9, 11, 16, 19, 22,
 24 38:3, 10, 18
 39:3, 20, 24 74:8
Walle 132:14
Walmart 132:4
Walsh 114:25
 122:1 124:8 128:18
want 5:16 6:24
 7:5, 18 8:23 9:15
 10:1 12:9, 14 16:9
 17:14, 15, 22, 24
 18:8 19:4, 5 20:19,
 21 21:4, 5 25:25
 26:24 27:24 28:11
 29:18 33:1 39:11
 45:20 50:15, 16
 53:7 54:14 66:1
 70:4 71:25 87:24
 88:7 91:8 97:19
 100:14 103:12
 104:25 105:10
 116:6 118:2 120:6
 121:16 128:6
 133:18 136:10
 138:20, 24 140:9
 141:14 143:20
 146:18
wanted 6:5 46:4
 55:5 79:23 83:20
 87:13 112:2
 122:14 130:13
 133:1 137:18
 140:14
wanting 58:8
Ward 142:14



Washington 131:18
132:2
water 20:4 26:7,
11, 13 60:2 105:21
Waters 99:25
100:9, 12, 13
way 11:12 15:24
16:6 17:6 18:14
19:13, 15 23:2
31:6, 17 33:3
35:12, 14, 17, 18
48:18 49:7 53:13
66:11 77:4 85:7
90:13 101:7, 17
102:4 103:15
107:11 108:10
109:11 114:16, 17
116:3 118:22
120:19 122:1, 25
125:12, 24 126:18
133:3 135:6
136:24 141:18
143:7 144:15
145:14
ways 38:12 71:14
105:19, 24 138:6
Wednesday 46:3
week 15:20 19:6
45:16 46:4, 10
86:5 113:17
120:25 124:5, 6
127:8 130:9
weeks 74:5
week's 45:6
Weimer 133:22
Well 4:8 5:5, 11,
12 14:19 16:21
18:13 21:1, 7 22:5
23:4 39:12 44:11
46:7 47:14, 16
48:4 49:1 50:6, 24
51:15 52:10, 19
54:21 55:22 60:12
66:13 70:3 73:13
74:18 79:1 87:11
89:15, 18 94:13
98:17 111:9 124:6
125:18 128:7
129:18 134:7
135:10 137:6

141:2 142:13, 24
144:19 146:6, 17
Wells 130:4
went 99:14 101:7
106:10, 16 109:25
119:14 125:12
132:2
we're 8:3, 6, 24
10:22 11:9, 22, 23
12:20, 22 13:3, 4, 5,
23 14:2 15:24
16:5, 6 18:4, 11, 13
19:11 20:22 22:16,
19, 25 27:12 31:8
34:9 37:14, 17
50:17 53:11, 21
54:7, 22 55:6 56:5
57:12, 13, 22, 23
58:10, 13, 19 65:10
71:22 74:21, 22
76:3 82:5, 13
84:24 93:2 94:19
98:16 101:9, 12, 14
103:18 105:4
107:21 111:24
114:6 126:12, 13
127:17 137:2, 21
138:1, 23 139:7
144:9 145:20
147:11
west 84:15 87:8
89:17 99:15, 25
102:1, 2, 18, 20
106:17, 21, 23
109:20, 25 110:2, 4
111:13 112:10, 13
120:8 137:3 143:4
western 87:4 110:3
122:1 124:7 145:22
wet 32:23
we've 23:4 45:12
49:3 52:14 68:4
80:22 83:24 95:2
97:22 98:15
110:17 111:13
112:18 113:11
123:13 126:15
127:16 140:21
white 19:11 108:4
wicha 31:3, 4

Wi-Fi 69:11, 16
Williams 94:7
Wilton 137:10
win 78:5
window 83:12
Winger 101:25
winner 79:7
winners 79:10
wins 30:8 81:7
wise 11:17 15:11
27:23, 25
wish 13:16 14:8
wishes 3:18 4:15
won 71:10 78:11,
16, 18 79:10, 20, 21
wonder 26:24
wondered 107:15
wonderful 47:24
wondering 36:22,
25 92:20 94:21, 25
135:7
word 19:9 29:18
31:4 38:10, 20
57:17 58:6 71:24
118:15
words 65:4
work 9:4 53:24
60:1 68:6 75:16
80:20 86:6, 11
89:13, 15 92:2
98:15 101:17
105:24 114:15
121:4 122:25
128:13, 14 130:4
138:18 139:18
141:19 146:18
workaround 29:15
worked 9:7 97:13
103:14, 15, 19
111:9 114:15
120:3 124:11 127:1
workers 9:23
working 9:16, 24
10:13 18:13 23:4
35:9 60:5 69:13,
14 83:6 94:7
95:13 97:22
101:12 139:18
147:9
workings 126:7

works 76:21 97:17
106:2 117:25
121:11
worried 56:15
worship 136:12
wrap 144:24, 25
wrapped 99:2
wrestling 143:16
written 29:8 36:13,
16 73:21
wrong 4:11 35:19
70:6 128:4

< Y >

Yana 113:17
Yankton 42:22
75:2, 8, 15
Yates 10:7 31:23
Yeah 6:13 14:25
21:10 23:20 24:23
37:4, 13, 19, 22
39:12, 24 44:9
52:5 53:16 64:15
66:19 89:6 90:3,
16 94:14 100:15
101:18 103:10, 23
109:2 110:14
118:11 119:3, 7
120:17 121:19, 20
123:22 134:20
year 13:16 79:25
147:11
years 8:6 9:7, 14
13:13 21:24 22:2,
24 29:22 32:9, 23
60:1 73:5 74:17
99:9 111:8, 9, 11
121:22 123:17
127:17 128:16
131:15, 19 134:1,
16 139:5 144:20
147:8, 9, 13
yellow 104:23
Yep 81:14
yesterday 103:13
younger 135:12, 16

< Z >
zero 132:11
zip 107:7



zoom 101:18

