

ALC'D'& FILED

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. (SBN 10217) DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (SBN 13078) BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP 6675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Tele.: (702) 996-1724

Email: bradley@bravoschrager.com Email: daniel@bravoschrager.com

DAVID R. FOX, ESQ. (SBN 16536) ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP

250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20001 Tele.: (202) 968-4490 Email: dfox@elias.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

2023 DEC -7 AM 9: 32 L'ALLIANT SOUTT HOE K. PETERSON

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

ERIC JENG, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR, in his official capacity as NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE,

Defendant.

Case No.: 23 OC 00 137 1B

Dept. No.: 🍱

COMPLAINT FOR **DECLARATORY AND** INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CHALLENGING INITIATIVE **PETITION C-04-2023**

Priority Matter Pursuant to NRS 295.061(1)

Arbitration Exemption: Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

Plaintiff Eric Jeng, an individual registered to vote in Nevada, files this Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief against Francisco V. Aguilar, in his official capacity as the Nevada Secretary of State, pursuant to NRS 295.061, 30.030, and 30.010. Plaintiff alleges and complains as follows:

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BRAVO SCHRAGER

26

27

28

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

This Court has jurisdiction to hear Plaintiff's claims pursuant to NRS 1. 295.061 and to grant declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to NRS 30.030, 30.040, and 33.010.

Venue is proper under NRS 13.020 and 13.040, as this action is against 2. a public officer for acting in his official capacity, and under NRS 295.061(1), which provides that petitions may be challenged "by filing a complaint in the First Judicial District Court."

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Eric Jeng is a resident of and a registered voter in Clark 3. County, Nevada.
- Defendant Francisco V. Aguilar is the Nevada Secretary of State (the 4. "Secretary") and is sued in his official capacity. The Secretary is responsible for qualifying initiatives for submission to the Legislature and the electorate and disqualifying initiatives that are determined to be invalid. See NRS 295.015, 295.045, 295.055, 295.061.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- On November 14, 2023, Sondra Cosgrove, on behalf of the Fair Maps 5. Nevada PAC, filed Initiative Petition designated as C-04-2023 (the "Petition") with the Secretary. See Exhibit 1, a true and accurate copy of the Notice of Intent to Circulate Statewide Initiative or Referendum Petition associated with the Petition.
- The Petition seeks to amend Article 4, Section 5 of the Nevada 6. Constitution to (1) establish a new seven-member state body, the "Independent Redistricting Commission," (2) require that the Commission rather than the Legislature draw legislative districts, and (3) require that the existing districts, which would otherwise be in place until the next decennial census, be redrawn in 2027. See Ex. 1 at 2.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 3

1

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

Ex. 1 at 3.

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

26

27

politically competitive. election and each federal census thereafter.

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish a redistricting commission to map electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Assembly, and U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will have seven members, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. Commission meetings shall be open to the public which shall have opportunities to participate in hearings.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the districts comply with the U.S. Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are

This amendment will require redistricting following the 2026

- The substance of the Petition is nearly identical to a petition that was 8. previously circulated, and the subject of significant litigation, in 2019. See Exhibit 2, a true and accurate copy of Initiative Petition C-02-2019; see also Jackson v. Fair Maps Nevada PAC, No. 19-OC-002909 1B (1st Jud. Dist. Ct. Nev., Carson City Jan. 2, 2020) (Exhibit 3), aff'd, No. 80563 (Nev. July 24, 2020) (Exhibit 4).
- In reviewing a challenge to the 2019 petition's description of effect, the 9. First Judicial District Court concluded that the description was "inadequate in that it does not provide potential signatories with enough information about the cost consequences of the Petition-specifically, that it will result in the expenditure of state funds." Exhibit 3 at 4. The Court therefore rewrote the description of effect to specify that the 2019 petition "will result in the expenditure of state funds to fund the Commission." Id.

28

10. The Court also concluded that the description for the 2019 petition did not "adequately inform voters that the Petition would result in redistricting in 2023 after the Legislature has already drawn electoral districts after the 2020 census." *Id.* The Court therefore also rewrote the description to expressly state that the 2019 petition would lead to new maps "which could replace maps drawn by the Legislature after the 2020 census." *Id.* at 5.

11. The 2019 petition was circulated to voters with that revised description but failed to attract sufficient signatures for inclusion on the ballot.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Unfunded Mandate Prohibition, Nev. Const. Art. 19, Sec. 6

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are realleged and fully incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- that "makes an appropriation or otherwise requires the expenditure of money, unless such statute or amendment also imposes a sufficient tax, not prohibited by the Constitution, or otherwise constitutionally provides for raising the necessary revenue." (emphasis added). Accordingly, when an initiative "creat[es] a new requirement for the appropriation of state funding that does not now exist and provides no discretion to the Legislature about whether to appropriate or expend the money" but does not provide for the necessary revenue, it does not comply with Article 19, Section 6 and is thus void. Educ. Freedom PAC v. Reid, C, 512 P.3d 296, 303-04 (Nev. 2022).
- 14. "Section 6 applies to all proposed initiatives, without exception, and does not permit any initiative that fails to comply with the stated conditions." Rogers v. Heller, 117 Nev. 169, 173, 18 P.3d 1034, 1036 (2001) (per curiam). When an initiative violates this "threshold content restriction" by creating an unfunded mandate, it is void ab initio and pre-election intervention by the courts is warranted. Herbst

Gaming, Inc. v. Heller, 122 Nev. 877, 890, 141 P.3d 1224, 1233 (2006) (per curiam) (quoting Rogers, 117 Nev. at 173, 18 P.3d at 1036).

- 15. Notably, "[t]he fact that [an] initiative leaves it up to the Legislature to determine how to fund the proposed change does not exclude the initiative from the funding mandate." Educ. Freedom PAC, 512 P.3d at 303. An initiative makes an appropriation or expenditure when "the budgeting official must approve the appropriation or expenditure, regardless of any other financial considerations." Herbst Gaming, 122 Nev. at 890, 141 P.3d at 1233.
- 16. The Petition imposes an unfunded mandate in violation of Article 19, Section 6 because it creates a new state body, the Commission, but it raises no new revenue to pay the expenses of that body.
- 17. The Commission will unavoidably incur substantial expenses if the Petition is adopted.
- 18. The First Judicial District Court held in 2019 that the materially identical 2019 petition "will result in the expenditure of state funds." Exhibit 3 at 4.1
- 19. Redistricting commissions in other states have required millions of dollars of state funding to carry out their duties. In California, the Citizens Redistricting Commission incurred more than \$10 million in costs before the adoption of the final set of maps from July 1, 2020 through December 27, 2021. Letter from Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner on California Citizens Redistricting Commission, to Hans Hemann, Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and Charles LaSalle, Department of Finance (June 30, 2023), https://wedrawthelines.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/64/2023/07/wd-2023-06-30-LegislatureExpReport.pdf.

¹ The challenger in the 2019 case challenged only the description of effect, so the Court did not consider the implications of this holding under Article 19, Section 6. See id.

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

2728

20. In Arizona, appropriations for the Independent Redistricting Commission totaled \$12,716,227 for 2011-2017 and \$8,400,000 for 2021-2022. Independent Redistricting Commission, FY 2023 Appropriations Report, https://www.azjlbc.gov/23AR/irc.pdf.

- In Ohio, the Office of Budget and Management estimated that a constitutional amendment establishing a redistricting commission and requiring new districts to be redrawn would cost between \$11 million and \$15.2 million over an eight-year period. Letter from Timothy Keen, Director of Ohio Office of Budget & (Oct. State Secretary of Husted, Ohio Jon Management, to $2012), \ https://www.ohiosos.gov/globalassets/ballotboard/2012/2-fiscalanalysis.pdf.$ Redistricting expenditures under the Ohio Redistricting Commission amounted to \$625,000 from 2020 through October 2021, for "redistricting mapping software applications, consulting and research services, mileage reimbursements, and other such expenses related to the process of redistricting." Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement for S.B. 258 (Nov. 3, 2021), https://www.legislature.ohio.gov/download?ke y=17742&format=pdf.
 - 22. There is no reason to believe that the funding requirements for the redistricting commission the Petition proposes for Nevada would be any different. The Petition imposes numerous requirements on the Commission's maps, which must comply with the U.S. Constitution and federal law, are approximately equal in population, are geographically contiguous, do not deny or abridge the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities, do not favor any political party, reflect city, county, and township boundaries, keep communities of interest together, are reasonably compact, and consider the number of politically competitive districts. Ex. 1 at 2. Complying with these requirements will require significant expert and technological support.

23. This is further supported by and consistent with reapportionment and redistricting historically in this state. Nevada legislative committees that have studied reapportionment and redistricting have similarly relied upon the assistance of staff, including research staff, legislative counsel, redistricting and GIS specialists, and special masters, all of which carry significant expenses. See, e.g., Nevada Legislature Committee to Conduct an Interim Study of the Requirements for Reapportionment and Redistricting in the State of Nevada (Aug. 27, 2020), https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/InterimCommittee/REL/Document/16591;

Committee to Conduct an Investigation into Matters Relating to Reapportionment and Redistricting in Nevada, https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/InterimCommittee/RE L/Interim2021/Committee/1909/Staff; Nevada Legislature, Summary Minutes of the Public Hearing by Special Masters to Receive Testimony Concerning Redistricting of Legislative and Congressional Districts, https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/InterimCommittee/REL/Document/14769.

- 24. The Petition also requires the Commission to hold public meetings, with an opportunity for public viewing, testimony, and participation, to provide public notice of proposed plans and an opportunity for public review and comment, and to make its records available as public records. Exhibit 1 at 2. All of that costs money, but the Petition raises no revenue to fund it.
- 25. By creating the Commission, the Petition therefore "creat[es] a new requirement for the appropriation of state funding that does not now exist." Educ. Freedom PAC, 512 P.3d at 304. The Petition leaves "budgeting officials no discretion in appropriating or expending the money mandated by the initiative—the budgeting official must approve the appropriation or expenditure" to comply with its provisions. Herbst Gaming, 122 Nev. at 890, 141 P.3d at 1233. Because the Petition requires an appropriation and expenditure but does not "provide[] for raising the necessary

revenue" as Article 19, Section 6 requires, it is void ab initio. *Rogers*, 117 Nev. at 173, 18 P.3d at 1036.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Description of Effect Requirement, NRS 295.009(1)(b)

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are realleged and fully incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 27. NRS 295.009(1)(b) requires that initiative petitions "set forth, in not more than 200 words, a description of the effect of the initiative or referendum if the initiative or referendum is approved by the voters." The purpose of the description of effect is to "prevent voter confusion and promote informed decisions." Nevadans for Nev. v. Beers, 122 Nev. 930, 939, 142 P.3d 339, 345 (2006) (per curiam) (quoting Campbell v. Buckley, 203 F.3d 738, 746 (10th Cir. 2000)).
- 28. "[A] description of effect must identify what the law proposes and how it intends to achieve that proposal." Educ. Initiative PAC v. Comm. to Protect Nev. Jobs, 129 Nev. 35, 42, 293 P.3d 874, 879 (2013). In doing so, it "must be straightforward, succinct, and nonargumentative, and it must not be deceptive or misleading." Id., 293 P.3d at 879 (cleaned up) (quoting Las Vegas Taxpayer Accountability Comm. v. City Council, 125 Nev. 165, 183, 208 P.3d 429, 441 (2009)). A description must also sufficiently "explain the[] ramifications of the proposed amendment" to allow voters to make an informed decision. Nev. Judges Ass'n v. Lau, 112 Nev. 51, 59, 910 P.2d 898, 903 (1996).
- 29. While a description of effect does not need to explain every possible effect, it must at a minimum accurately describe the main consequences of the initiative. See, e.g., Las Vegas Taxpayer Accountability Comm., 125 Nev. at 184, 208 P.3d at 441 (finding description of effect materially misleading where it "materially fails to accurately identify the consequences of the referendum's passage"). This

includes "the need for or nature of the revenue source" to fund a proposed initiative.

Educ. Freedom PAC, 512 P.3d at 304.

- 30. The Petition's description of effect is legally deficient because it fails to include two material facts that the First Judicial District Court held must be included in the description of the materially identical 2019 petition. Exhibit 3 at 4–5.
- 31. First, the Petition's description of effect does not include a statement that the Petition "will result in the expenditure of state funds to fund the Commission," which the First Judicial District Court ordered to be added to the 2019 petition's description of effect. Id. at 5. Like the original description for the 2019 petition, the Petition's description therefore "does not provide potential signatories with enough information about the cost consequences of the Petition—specifically, that it will result in the expenditure of state funds." Id. at 4.
- 32. Second, the Petition's description of effect does not include a statement that the Petition provides for mid-cycle redistricting that "could replace maps drawn by the Legislature after the 2020 census," which the First Judicial District Court ordered added to the 2019 petition's description of effect after holding that the original description did "not adequately inform voters that the Petition would result in redistricting in 2023 after the Legislature has already drawn electoral districts after the 2020 census." Id. at 5. Instead, the Petition's description says only that the Petition "will require redistricting following the 2026 election and each federal census thereafter"—precisely the formula that the First Judicial District Court held inadequate in 2019. See id. at 2 ("This amendment will require redistricting by the Commission beginning in 2023 and thereafter following each federal census.").
- 33. The Petition's description of effect therefore fails to sufficiently "identify what the law proposes and how it intends to achieve that proposal." *Educ. Initiative PAC*, 129 Nev. at 42, 293 P.3d at 879. Independently and collectively, these omissions render the Petition's description of effect legally deficient.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Court to enter an order:

2 3

1. Declaring that the Petition does not comply with Article 19, Section 6 of the Nevada Constitution because it impermissibly creates an unfunded mandate, and

is therefore invalid;

2. Declaring that the Petition's description of effect does not comply with NRS 295.009(1)(b) because it is deceptive, misleading, and fails to explain the ramifications of the proposed amendment to allow voters to make an informed decision, and is therefore invalid;

3. Enjoining and prohibiting the Secretary from placing the Petition on the 2024 general election ballot, or from taking further action upon it;

4. Awarding Plaintiff his reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and

Granting such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

AFFIRMATION

The undersigned hereby affirm that the foregoing document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 6th day of December, 2023.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ (SBN 10217)

By:

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. (SBN 10217)

DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (SBN 13078) BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP

6675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Tele.: (702) 996-1724 Email: bradley@bravoschrager.com

Email: daniel@bravoschrager.com

DAVID R. FOX, ESQ. (SBN 16536)

ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP

250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20001 Tele.: (202) 968-4490 Email: dfox@elias.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

State of Nevada

Secretary of State Francisco V. Aguilar



Notice of Intent Statewide Initiative or Referendum Petition

NRS 295.009 and 295.015

Pursuant to NRS 295.015, before a petition for initiative or referendum may be presented to registered voters for signatures, the person who intends to circulate the petition must provide the following information:

Son	dra Cosgrove	
NAM	E(S) OF PERSON(S) AUTHORIZED TO WITHDRAW O TION (provide up to three)	OR AMEND THE
	110N (provide up to thirts)	
. {	Sondra Cosgrove	and of the second
2.	Richard MacLean	
3. F	dward Gonzalez Jr.	
THE REF	TE OF THE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (PAC) PASSAGE OF THE INITIATIVE OR PERENDUM (if none, leave blank) ir Maps Nevada se note, if you are creating a Political Action Committee for the	e purpose of advocating for
Pleas	passage of the initiative of referendam, you make the	
Pleas the p	bassage of the initiative or resciendant, you must be a	description of effect, must be
Pleas the p form Add filed	bassage of the initiative of referendum, you make the factor of the initiative or referendum, including the	description of effect, must be

EL500 NRS 295.009 and 295.015 Revised 7/3/2023

State of Nevada - Initiative Petition - Constitutional Amendment

EXPLANATION: Matter in *bolded italics* is new language to be added to the Nevada Constitution by this Amendment. Matter in strikethrough is existing language in the Nevada Constitution to be deleted by this Amendment.

The People of the State of Nevada do enact as follows:

Section 1: Article 4, Section 5 of the Nevada Constitution is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Number of Senators and members of Assembly; apportionment. Senators and members of the Assembly shall be duly qualified electors in the respective counties and districts which they represent, and the number of Senators shall not be less than one-third nor more than one-half of that of the members of the Assembly.

It shall be the mandatory duty of the Legislature at its first session after the taking of the decennial census of the United States in the year 1950, and after each subsequent decennial census, to fix by law the number of Senators and Assemblymen, and apportion them among the several counties of the State, or among legislative districts which may be established by law, according to the number of inhabitants in them, respectively.

Section 2: Article 4 of the Nevada Constitution is hereby amended by adding thereto new sections to be designated as Sections 5A, 5B and 5C, to read as follows:

Section 5A. Apportionment; Creation of Independent Redistricting Commission.

- 1. There is created within the legislative branch of state government the Independent Redistricting Commission. It shall be the duty of the Commission no later than December 31, 2027 and after each decennial census of the United States thereafter, to apportion the number of Senators and Assemblymen among legislative districts established by the Commission and to apportion the number of representatives in the United States House of Representatives among districts established by the Commission.
- the United States rouse of Representatives among districts established by the Commission shall be composed of seven members who are registered and eligible to vote in Nevada, and who satisfy the qualification standards in subsection 3. The Senate Majority Leader, Senate Minority Leader, Speaker of the Assembly, and Assembly Minority Leader shall each appoint one Commissioner. The four Commissioners appointed in this manner shall appoint three additional Commissioners, each of whom, for at least four years immediately preceding their appointment, has not been registered or affiliated with the largest political party or the second largest political party, according to voter registration data published by the Secretary of State as of the earliest day in January of the redistricting year, and none of whom, if registered or affiliated with a political party, is affiliated or registered with the same political party as another Commissioner.
- 3. Within four years preceding appointment and during their term, no Commissioner may be a registered lobbyist; a candidate for a federal, state, or partisan local office; an elected official to a federal, state, or partisan local office; an officer or member of the governing body of a national, state, or local political party; a paid consultant or employee of a federal, state, or partisan local elected official or candidate, or of a political action committee, or of a committee sponsored by a political party, or of a committee that seeks to influence elections to federal, state, or partisan local offices; an employee of the Legislature; an employee of the State of Nevada, except for employees in the judicial branch, the armed forces, or a state institution of higher education; or related within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity to any individual disqualified under this subsection.

4. The term of office of each Commissioner shall expire once the Commission has completed its obligations for a census cycle but not before any judicial review of the redistricting plan is complete and shall expire no later than the release of the following decennial census of the United States.

5. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public. The Commission shall ensure that the public has opportunities to view, present testimony, and participate in hearings before the Commission. All Commission materials shall be public records.

Page 1 of 5

6. The Commission shall adopt rules to govern its administration and operation.
7. The powers granted to the Commission are legislative functions not subject to the control or approval of the Legislature and are exclusively reserved to the Commission.

Section 5B. Criteria for Determination of Districts; Approval of Final Plans.

1. In adopting a redistricting plan, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall use the following criteria, in the order listed, to draw districts: Ensure that districts comply with the United States Constitution and applicable federal law; Ensure that districts have an approximately equal number of inhabitants; Ensure that districts are geographically contiguous; Ensure that districts are not drawn with the intent or result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or elect representatives of their choice, whether by themselves or voting in concert with other persons; Ensure that districts, when considered on a statewide basis, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party; Ensure that districts reflect, to the extent possible, county, city, and township boundaries; Minimize, to the extent practicable, the division of communities of interest, meaning an area with recognized similarities of interests, including but not limited to racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, but not including common relationships with political parties or political candidates; Ensure that districts are reasonably compact; and to the extent practicable, after complying with the requirements above, consider the number of politically competitive districts, measured by creating a reasonable potential for the party affiliation of the district's representative to change at least once between federal decennial censuses.

2. Not later than December 31, 2027 and 180 days from the release of the decennial census of the United States thereafter, the Commission shall approve a redistricting plan for the Nevada State Senate, the Nevada State Assembly, and Nevada's Congressional Districts, after providing public notice of each proposed final plan and allowing sufficient time for public review and comment. A final plan may be approved by the Commission only upon at least five affirmative votes, including at least one Commissioner registered with the largest political party, and one largest political party, one Commissioner registered with the second largest political party, according to voter Commissioner not registered or affiliated with the largest or second largest political party, according to voter registration data published by the Secretary of State as of the earliest day in January of the redistricting year.

Section 5C. Severability.

Should any part of this Amendment be declared invalid, or the application thereof to any person, thing, or circumstance be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the remaining provisions or application of this Amendment which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Amendment are declared to be severable. This Section shall be construed broadly to preserve and effectuate the purpose of this Amendment.

[The remainder of this page is blank.]

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish a redistricting commission to map electoral

districts for the Nevada Senate, Assembly, and U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will have seven members, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. Commission meetings shall be open to the public which shall have opportunities to participate in hearings.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the districts comply with the U.S. Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities do not under the contract of the c identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically competitive.

This amendment will require redistricting following the 2026 election and each federal census thereafter.

Cou Petit	nty of tion District	(<u>Only</u> re (<u>Only</u> re	gistered voters of gistered voters of	this county may sign belo this petition district may	ow) sign below
					his Space For ffice Use Only
1	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, init	ial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRI	SS ONLY	
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
2	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	/ / tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDR	ESS ONLY	
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	
3	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	itial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDR	ESS ONLY	
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	СІТҮ	COUNTY	
4	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in		RESIDENCE ADDR	ESS ONLY	
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
5	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	nitial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDI	RESS ONLY	
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish a redistricting commission to map electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Assembly, and U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will have seven members, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. Commission meetings shall be open to the public which shall have opportunities to participate in hearings.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the districts comply with the U.S. Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically competitive.

This amendment will require redistricting following the 2026 election and each federal census thereafter.

County ofPetition District		(<u>Only</u> reg (<u>Only</u> reg	(Only registered voters of this county may sign below) (Only registered voters of this petition district may sign be			
					his Space For ffice Use Only	
6	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	itial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADD	RESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY		
7	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	itial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADD	DRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY		

Place Affidavit on last page of document.

THE FOLLOWING AFFIDAVIT MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED:

Page 4 of 5

AFFIDAVIT OF CIRCULATOR

(TO BE SIGNED BY CIRCULATOR)

STATE OF NEVADA)		
COUNTY OF)		
I,, (pri	nt name), being first duly sworn under penalty of perjury	, depose and say: (1)
that I reside at		order transmission company of the Million community of the Million comm
(print street, city and state); (2) that I am 18 year	ars of age or older; (3) that I personally circulated this	document; (4) that all
signatures were affixed in my presence; (5) that	t the number of signatures affixed thereon is	; and (6)
that each person who signed had an opportuni	ty before signing to read the full text of the act or res	olution on which the
initiative or referendum is demanded.		
	Signature of Circulator	
Subscribed and sworn to or affirmed before me day of	this	
Notary Public or person authorized to administe	er oath	

EL.501S Revised 8/2019

Exhibit 2

Exhibit 2

<u>State of Nevada - Initiative Petition - Constitutional Amendment</u>

C-02-2019

FILED.NV.SOS 2019 NOV 4 PM4:16

EXPLANATION: Matter in bolded italics is new language to be added to the Nevada Constitution by this Amendment. Matter in strikethrough is existing language in the Nevada Constitution to be deleted by this Amendment.

The People of the State of Nevada do enact as follows:

Section 1: Article 4, Section 5 of the Nevada Constitution is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Number of Senators and members of Assembly; apportionment. Senators and members of the Assembly shall be duly qualified electors in the respective counties and districts which they represent, and the number of Senators shall not be less than one-third nor more than one-half of that of the members of the

It shall be the mandatory duty of the Legislature at its first session after the taking of the decennial Assembly. census of the United States in the year 1950, and after each subsequent decennial census, to fix by law the number of Scnators and Assemblymen, and apportion them among the several counties of the State, or among legislative districts which may be established by law, according to the number of inhabitants in them, respectively.

Section 2: Article 4 of the Nevada Constitution is hereby amended by adding thereto new sections to be designated as Sections 5A, 5B and 5C, to read as follows:

Section 5A. Apportionment; Creation of Independent Redistricting Commission.

1. There is created within the legislative branch of state government the Independent Redistricting Commission. It shall be the duty of the Commission in the year 2023, and after each subsequent decennial census of the United States, to apportion the number of Senators and Assemblymen among legislative districts established by the Commission and to apportion the number of representatives in the United States

House of Representatives among districts established by the Commission.

2. The Commission shall be composed of seven members who are registered and eligible to vote in Nevada, and who satisfy the qualification standards in subsection 3. The Senate Majority Leader, Senate Minority Leader, Speaker of the Assembly, and Assembly Minority Leader shall each appoint one Commissioner. The four Commissioners appointed in this manner shall appoint three additional Commissioners, each of whom, for at least four years immediately preceding their appointment, has not been registered or affiliated with the largest political party or the second largest political party, according to voter registration data published by the Secretary of State as of the earliest day in January of the redistricting year, and none of whom, if registered or affiliated with a political party, is affiliated or registered with the same political party as another

3. Within four years preceding appointment and during their term, no Commissioner may be a registered lobbyist; a candidate for a federal, state, or partisan local office; an elected official to a federal, state, or partisan local office; an officer or member of the governing body of a national, state, or local political party; a paid consultant or employee of a federal, state, or partisan local elected official or candidate, or of a political action committee, or of a committee sponsored by a political party, or of a committee that seeks to influence elections to federal, state, or partisan local offices; an employee of the Legislature; an employee of the State of Nevada, except for employees in the judicial branch, the armed forces, or a state institution of higher education; or related within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity to any individual

4. The term of office of each Commissioner shall expire once the Commission has completed its obligations for a census cycle but not before any judicial review of the redistricting plan is complete and shall expire no

later than the release of the following decennial census of the United States.

Page 1 of 5

- 5. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public. The Commission shall ensure that the public has opportunities to view, present testimony, and participate in hearings before the Commission. All Commission materials shall be public records.
- 6. The Commission shall adopt rules to govern its administration and operation.
- 7. The powers granted to the Commission are legislative functions not subject to the control or approval of the Legislature and are exclusively reserved to the Commission.

Section 5B. Criteria for Determination of Districts; Approval of Final Plans.

1. In adopting a redistricting plan, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall use the following criteria, in the order listed, to draw districts: Ensure that districts comply with the United States Constitution and applicable federal law; Ensure that districts have an approximately equal number of inhabitants; Ensure that districts are geographically contiguous; Ensure that districts are not drawn with the intent or result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or elect representatives of their choice, whether by themselves or voting in concert with other persons; Ensure that districts, when considered on a statewide basis, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party; Ensure that districts reflect, to the extent possible, county, city, and township boundaries; Minimize, to the extent practicable, the division of communities of interest, meaning an area with recognized similarities of interests, including but not limited to racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, but not including common relationships with political parties or political candidates; Ensure that districts are reasonably compact; and to the extent practicable, after complying with the requirements above, consider the number of politically competitive districts, measured by creating a reasonable potential for the party affiliation of the district's representative to change at least once between federal decennial censuses.

2. Not later than July 1, 2023, and thereafter not later than 180 days from the release of the decennial census of the United States, the Commission shall approve a redistricting plan for the Nevada State Senate, the Nevada State Assembly, and Nevada's Congressional Districts, after providing public notice of each proposed final plan and allowing sufficient time for public review and comment. A final plan may be approved by the Commission only upon at least five affirmative votes, including at least one Commissioner registered with the largest political party, one Commissioner registered with the second largest political party, and one Commissioner not registered or affiliated with the largest or second largest political party, according to voter registration data published by the Secretary of State as of the earliest day in January of the redistricting year.

emsiricing year.

Section 5C. Severability.

Should any part of this Amendment be declared invalid, or the application thereof to any person, thing, or circumstance be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the remaining provisions or application of this Amendment which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Amendment are declared to be severable. This Section shall be construed broadly to preserve and effectuate the purpose of this Amendment.

[The remainder of this page is blank.]

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish an Independent Redistricting Commission to oversee the mapping of fair and competitive electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Nevada Assembly, and

U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will consist of seven Nevada voters, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Nevada Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public who shall have opportunities to

participate in hearings before the Commission. The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the electoral districts comply with the United States Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically

This amendment will require redistricting by the Commission beginning in 2023 and thereafter following each federal census.

County of Petition District		(Only re (Only re	gistered voters gistered voters	of this county may sign ber of this petition district may	sign below
				This Space Office Use	
1	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, int	tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADI		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
2	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	/ / itial, last name)	RESIDENCE AD		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
		/ /	RESIDENCE AD	DRESS ONLY	
3	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	itial, last name)	RESIDENCE		
	YOUR SIĞNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
4	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, in	nitial, lust name)	RESIDENCE AL		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, i	nitial fact name)	RESIDENCE A	DDRESS ONLY	
5	PRINT YOUR NAME (Instrume,	mua, wa namej			
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish an Independent Redistricting Commission to oversee the mapping of fair and competitive electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Nevada Assembly, and

U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will consist of seven Nevada voters, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Nevada Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public who shall have opportunities to

participate in hearings before the Commission.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the electoral districts comply with the United States Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically competitive.

This amendment will require redistricting by the Commission beginning in 2023 and thereafter following

each federal census.

County of Petition District		(<u>Only</u> re (<u>Only</u> re	(Only registered voters of this county may sign below) (Only registered voters of this petition district may sign below)			
				This Space For Office Use Only		
6	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name,	initial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY	,		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY		
7	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name,	/ / initial, tast name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY			
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY.		
		1 1				

Place Affidavit on last page of document.

THE FOLLOWING AFFIDAVIT MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED:

AFFIDAVIT OF CIRCULATOR

(TO BE SIGNED BY CIRCULATOR)

COUNTY OF	duly sworn under penalty of perjury, depose and say: (1)
	والمرابات المساور والمراب المراب الم
(print street, city and state); (2) that I am 18 years of age or older; (3) that I personally circulated this account
(print street, only and other)	atures affixed thereon is ; and (6)
(print street, city and state); (2) that I am 18 years of age or older; (signatures were affixed in my presence; (5) that the number of signatures were affixed in my presence;	attitud attitude attitude the
that each person who signed had an opportunity before signing to	read the full text of the act of resolution on water
initiative or referendum is demanded.	
	Consulting of Circulator
	Signature of Circulator

EL501C Revised 8/2019

Exhibit 3

Exhibit 3

2		
PHONE //5./88.2020 • FAX //5./88.2020		
2 VBR 2000 • 12		
NOTE OF		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

//

REC'D & FILED

2020 JAN -2 PM 12: 15

AUBREY HURLATT

Attorneys for Defendant Fair Maps Nevada PAC

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

* * *

REV. LEONARD JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FAIR MAPS NEVADA PAC, and BARBARA CEGAVSKE, in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State,

Defendants.

Case No. 19 OC 00209 1B

Dept. No. I

[PROPOSED] ORDER

ORDER

This matter having come before this Court pursuant to Plaintiff Reverend Leonard Jackson's Complaint for Declaratory Relief ("Complaint") and Plaintiff's Opening Brief in Support of Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief ("Opening Brief'), and having considered Defendant Fair Maps Nevada PAC's ("Fair Maps") Answer and Answering Brief in Response to Plaintiff's Opening Brief in Support of Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief ("Answering Brief") and Plaintiff's Reply Brief in Support of Complaint for Declaratory Relief ("Reply Brief") as well as the arguments of counsel at the hearing held December 23, 2019, the Court finds as follows:

MCDONALD (M CARANO

(10) WEST LIBERTY STREET, TRYTH FLOOR + RENO, NEVADA 89301 PHONE 775,789,2000 + FAX 775,788,2020

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Fair Maps filed Initiative Petition #C-02-2019 ("Petition") on November 4, 2019 to amend the Nevada Constitution by adding a new section to the Nevada Constitution to establish a redistricting commission to map electoral districts for members of the Nevada Senate, Nevada Assembly, and Nevada's delegation to the U.S. House of Representatives. The Petition includes the following description of effect:

This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish an Independent Redistricting Commission to oversee the mapping of fair and competitive electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Nevada Assembly, and U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will consist of seven Nevada voters, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Nevada Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates, lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public who shall have opportunities to participate in hearings before the Commission.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the electoral districts comply with the United States Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically competitive.

This amendment will require redistricting by the Commission beginning in 2023 and thereafter following each federal census.

Plaintiff filed his Complaint and the Opening Brief on November 26, 2019, in which he argues that the Petition's description of effect fails to comply with NRS 295.009(1)(b). More specifically, he contends that description of the commission as independent and the description of effect's statement that the commission will oversee "the mapping of fair and competitive electoral districts," are materially misleading statements. Compl. ¶¶ 15 & 23. He also asserts that the description of effect is deceptive and misleading because it fails to inform voters of a specific practical effect of passage of the Petition—that the redistricting commission will "undo" electoral maps generated by the Legislature in 2021 "thus potentially doubling the resources that would otherwise be spent on redistricting following the 2020 census." Id. at ¶¶ 30. Plaintiff's Complaint is limited to his challenge to the description of effect.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fair Maps contends that the use of the term independent and the characterization of the commission's objective of creating fair and competitive electoral districts is neither deceptive nor misleading and amount to attacks on the policy reflected in the Petition and not the description of effect. Ans. Br. 6-8. Fair Maps also contends that Plaintiff's claim that there will be additional redistricting costs as a result of Petition is speculative and hypothetical and therefore need not be addressed in the description of effect. Id. at 8-10.

LEGAL STANDARDS

Article 19, Section 2 of the Nevada Constitution enshrines the people's right to amend the Nevada Constitution by initiative petition. Specifically it states that "the people reserve to themselves the power to propose, by initiative petition, . . . amendments to this Constitution." Nev. Const. art. 19, § 2(1). The Nevada Constitution further provides that the Legislature "may provide by law for procedures to facilitate the operation thereof." Id. art. 19, § 5 (emphasis added). In interpreting such laws, the courts "must make every effort to sustain and preserve the people's constitutional right to amend their constitution through the initiative process." Nevadans for the Prot. of Prop. Rights, Inc. v. Heller, 122 Nev. 894, 912, 141 P.3d 1235, 1247 (2006).

NRS 295.009(1)(b) provides that a petition must "[s]et forth, in not more than 200 words, a description of the effect of the initiative . . . if the initiative . . . is approved by the voters." NRS 295.009(1)(b), The Nevada Supreme Court has noted that "[a] description of effect serves a limited purpose to facilitate the initiative process," and that a description of effect should be reviewed with an eye toward that limited purpose. Educ. Initiative PAC v. Comm. to Protect Nev. Jobs, 129 Nev. 35, 37, 293 P.3d 874, 876 (2013). Thus, while a description of effect need not "delineate every effect that an initiative will have," it must be "a straightforward, succinct, and nonargumentative statement of what the initiative will accomplish and how it will achieve those goals." Id. at 38, 293 P.3d at 876. A description of effect cannot "be deceptive or misleading." Id. at 42, 293 P.3d at 879.

In reviewing a description of effect, "it is inappropriate to parse the meanings of the words and phrases used in a description of effect" as closely as a reviewing court would a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

statutory text. Id. at 48, 293 P.3d at 883. Such an approach "comes at too high a price in that it carries the risk of depriving the people of Nevada of their constitutional right to propose laws by initiative." Id. Thus, a reviewing court "must take a holistic approach" to the required analysis. Id. "The opponent of a ballot initiative bears the burden of showing that the initiative's description of effect fails to satisfy this standard." Id. at 42, 293 P.3d at 879.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In this case, the description of effect for the Petition does not meet the requirements of The description of effect could be argumentative or confusing or NRS 295.009(1)(b). misleading to voters as currently written. The description of effect does not adequately explain to voters what is meant by the term "independent" or the phrase "fair and competitive." The Court further finds that the description of effect is inadequate in that it does not provide potential signatories with enough information about the cost consequences of the Petition-specifically. that it will result in the expenditure of state funds. See, e.g., Nev. Judges Ass'n v. Lau, 112 Nev. 51,59 (1996). The Petition also does not adequately inform voters that the Petition would result in redistricting in 2023 after the Legislature has already drawn electoral districts after the 2020 Census.

The Court finds that the above-referenced deficiencies may be cured through the revised description of effect provided herein. NRS 295.061(3) provides that "[i]f a description of the effect of an initiative or referendum required pursuant to NRS 295.009 is challenged successfully . . . and such description is amended in compliance with the order of the court, the amended description may not be challenged." NRS 295.061(3). Thus, the Court, in consultation with the parties, identifies a new description of effect that satisfies the legal standard required by NRS 295.061(3). This revised description of effect states:

"This measure will amend the Nevada Constitution to establish a redistricting commission to map electoral districts for the Nevada Senate, Assembly, and U.S. House of Representatives.

The Commission will have seven members, four who will be appointed by the leadership of the Legislature, and three who are unaffiliated with the two largest political parties who will be appointed by the other four commissioners. Commissioners may not be partisan candidates,

MCDONALD (M. CARANO

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

lobbyists, or certain relatives of such individuals. Commission meetings shall be open to the public who shall have opportunities to participate in hearings.

The Commission will ensure, to the extent possible, that the districts comply with the U.S. Constitution, have an approximately equal number of inhabitants, are geographically compact and contiguous, provide equal opportunities for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process, respect areas with recognized similarities of interests, including racial, ethnic, economic, social, cultural, geographic, or historic identities, do not unduly advantage or disadvantage a political party, and are politically competitive.

This amendment requires redistricting after each federal census, beginning in 2023, which could replace maps drawn by the Legislature after the 2020 census, and will result in the

expenditure of state funds to fund the Commission."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED and declared that the description of effect filed with the Secretary of State on November 4, 2019 failed to satisfy the requirements of NRS 295.009(1)(b). Thus, any signatures collected on the Petition containing the description of effect are invalid. However, Defendant Fair Maps may re-file an amended petition with the revised description of effect as set forth by this Order, which cures all deficiencies raised by Plaintiff and identified by the Court. Upon re-filing, the description of effect will have been amended in compliance with this Order and be accorded the finality set forth in NRS 295.061(3) and shall not be subject to further challenge. NRS 295.061(3).

Dated this 2 day of December, 2019

District Court Judge James Russell

Respectfully submitted by:

McDonald Carano LLP

/s/ Adam Hosmer-Henner Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. (NSBN 12779) Lucas Foletta, Esq. (NSBN 12154) McDonald Carano 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501 Attorneys for Defendant Fair Maps PAC

5

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court, and that on this 2 day of January 20 20 I deposited for mailing, postage paid, at Carson City, Nevada, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order addressed as follows:

Kevin K. Benson, Esq. 123 West Nye Lane, Suite 487 Carson City, NV 89706

Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. Lucas Foletta, Esq. McDonald Carano 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501

Gregory L. Zunino, Esq. 100 N Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

> Chloe McClintick, Esq. Law Clerk, Dept. 1

> > JA000065

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Exhibit 4

Exhibit 4

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

REV. LEONARD JACKSON,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.
FAIR MAPS NEVADA PAC,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant,
and
BARBARA K. CEGAVSKE, IN HER
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS NEVADA
SECRETARY OF STATE,
Respondent.

No. 80563

FILED

JUL 2 4 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. COLLEGE
CEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal and cross-appeal from a district court order granting declaratory relief in a ballot initiative matter. First Judicial District Court, Carson City; James Todd Russell, Judge.

Reverend Leonard Jackson contends that the district court lacked "jurisdiction" to "rewrite" Fair Maps Nevada PAC's description of effect. We are not persuaded by Jackson's argument, as he has presented no authority that actually supports his position, see Edwards v. Emperor's Garden Rest., 122 Nev. 317, 330 n.38, 130 P.3d 1280, 1288 n.38 (2006) (requiring parties to support arguments with salient authority), nor has he explained how construing NRS 295.061(3) in such a manner would further any public policy goals, see Tam v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 131 Nev. 792, 800, 358 P.3d 234, 240 (2015) (recognizing that we construe statutes in accordance with "reason and public policy" (internal quotation marks omitted)).

Jackson next contends that Fair Maps' rewritten description of effect is still misleading. We disagree. While a description of effect must not be deceptive or misleading, Educ. Initiative PAC v. Comm. to Protect

Supreme Court of Nevada

(U) 1947A CO

20-27106

Nev. Jobs, 129 Nev. 35, 42, 293 P.3d 874, 879 (2013), Jackson's fixation on the description's use of "could" instead of "will" requires an improperly "hyper-technical" analysis of the description, id. at 49, 293 P.3d at 883-84. We are likewise unpersuaded that the district court failed to make sufficient factual findings. The only shortcoming Jackson alleges pertains to an issue that appeared in the original description of effect but that is absent from the amended description. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order insofar as Jackson challenges it.

On cross-appeal, Fair Maps argues that the district court erred in determining that its original description of effect was misleading. In light of our above-mentioned determinations, however, this issue is moot, and we therefore dismiss Fair Maps' cross-appeal. Personhood Nev. v. Bristol, 126 Nev. 599, 602, 245 P.3d 572, 574 (2010) ("This court's duty is not to render advisory opinions..."). In so doing, we note that Fair Maps has not indicated that it would prefer to proceed with its original petition instead of its amended petition. In light of the foregoing, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Pickering

Pickering

J. Lulest, J. Hardesty

Farraguirre

Colling

Stiglich

Stiglich

Cadish

Silver

SUPREME GOURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A ·

cc: Hon. James Todd Russell, District Judge Benson Law LLC Attorney General/Carson City McDonald Carano LLP/Reno Carson City Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(C) 1947A