NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

INDEX NO. 50325/2025

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

ORAL CLARKE, ROMANCE REED, GRACE PEREZ, PETER RAMON, ERNEST TIRADO, and DOROTHY FLOURNOY,

Plaintiffs,

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Index No.: EF002460-2024

v.

TOWN OF NEWBURGH and TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH,

Defendants.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, Defendants-Appellants Town of Newburgh and Town Board of the Town of Newburgh (collectively, "Defendants-Appellants"), by their attorneys, Troutman Pepper Locke LLP, hereby appeal to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department, from the Decision and Order of Hon. Maria S. Vazquez-Doles, J.S.C. of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Orange County, dated May 12, 2025, and entered in the office of the Orange County Clerk on May 12, 2025. This appeal is taken from each and every portion of said Decision and Order. Defendants-Appellants served a Notice of Entry on Plaintiffs Oral Clarke, Romance Reed, Grace Perez, Peter Ramon, Ernest Tirado, and Dorothy Flournoy on May 14, 2025, a copy of which is attached.

An Information Statement pursuant to 22 NYCRR1250.3 is also attached.

Filed in Orange County 05/14/2025 01:45:45 PM \$65.00 Bk: £15₹ 18 Pg: 262 Index: # EF002460-2024 Clerk: LC

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

Dated: New York, New York May 14, 2025

TROUTMAN PEPPER LOCKE LLP

BENNET J. MOSKOWITZ
PARIS L. KENT
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

(212) 704-6000

MISHA TSEYTLIN
MOLLY S. DIRAGO (pro hac vice)
111 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 4100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(608) 999-1240

ANAIS JACCARD 301 S. College St, 34th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202

Attorneys for Defendants Town of Newburgh and Town Board of the Town of Newburgh

INDENDES.NOF082386+2025WRANGEESOERT COUNERKCDERK 402025/002230PM/45

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

ORAL CLARKE, ROMANCE REED, GRACE PEREZ, PETER RAMON, ERNEST TIRADO, and DOROTHY FLOURNOY,

Plaintiffs,

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Index No.: EF002460-2024

v.

TOWN OF NEWBURGH and TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH,

Defendants.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the attached is a true copy of the Decision and Order of the Hon. Maria S. Vazquez-Doles, dated May 12, 2025 (NYSCEF No. 180), that was entered in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Orange County, on the 12th day of May, 2025.

FILED: WRANGEESOUNTCOUNERKCOEKK402025/202230PM45 PM INDENDRO.NDF082328+2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

Dated: New York, New York May 14, 2025

### TROUTMAN PEPPER LOCKE LLP

/s/ Bennet J. Moskowitz

BENNET J. MOSKOWITZ PARIS L. KENT 875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 704-6000

MISHA TSEYTLIN
MOLLY S. DIRAGO (pro hac vice)
111 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 4100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(608) 999-1240

ANAIS JACCARD 301 S. College St, 34th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202

Attorneys for Defendants Town of Newburgh and Town Board of the Town of Newburgh

TO: All Counsel of Record Via NYSCEF

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

At a term of the IAS Part of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Orange located at 285 Main Street, Goshen, New York 10924 on the 12th day of May 2025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

ORAL CLARKE et al.,

Plaintiffs.

Defendants.

DECISION & ORDER

To commence the statutory

time for appeals as of right (CPLR 5513 [a]), you are advised to serve a copy of this

order, with notice of entry, on

Index No.: EF002460-2024

all parties.

-against-

TOWN OF NEWBURGH et al.,

VAZQUEZ-DOLES, J.S.C.

Defendants, by an oral motion to stay these proceedings for lack of jurisdiction, failed to establish that the Appellate Division has not issued a remittitur. The Opinion and Order dated January 30, 2025 from the Second Department decided all issues before that court. There was nothing remaining for the Second Department to decide at that time and this Court thereby resumed its jurisdiction over the case. No stay has been issued by the Second Department. Common law provides that the remittitur need not involve a transfer of any document(s) between the clerk of the Appellate Division and the clerk of the trial court. The Decision and Order itself can be and, in this instance, is the remittitur. For these reasons, the oral application to stay is DENIED.

Plaintiffs commenced the instant lawsuit by filing a Summons and Complaint on March 26, 2024. The Complaint asserts facts as to the composition of the population in Defendant Town of Newburgh ("Defendant Town"), voting history and trends, community issues that have established a pattern of alleged racially motivated behavior by the Defendants, and other data

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

related to the alleged disenfranchisement. The Complaint pleads two causes of action that allege violations of the New York Voting Rights Act ("NYVRA").

Defendants filed a motion to dismiss (Seq. #1) in lieu of an Answer. The Court denied the motion on May 17, 2024. Defendants filed an Answer on May 28, 2024.

Defendants later filed Motion Seq. #5 seeking summary judgment. The Court granted the motion in a Decision and Order dated November 8, 2024. The Appellate Division reversed the order granting summary judgment in an Opinion and Order (2024-11753) ("the Opinion") dated January 30, 2025 and held that summary judgment is denied.

Plaintiff filed notice of entry of the Opinion in this Court on January 30, 2025. Plaintiff filed a letter that same day seeking a conference to schedule a trial date. Defendant submitted a letter on February 3, 2025 in which they asserted that no remittitur had issued from the Second Department, which deprived this Court of jurisdiction. Defendant also informed this Court that they had moved the Second Department for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals. However, a stay order was never issued by the Second Department. A certified copy of the Opinion was filed on February 4, 2025 in this Court. The Court held a status conference with the parties on February 4, 2025 at which time Defendants again asserted the lack of jurisdiction, which Plaintiffs contested.

The parties met with the Court again on March 3 and April 3, 2025, at which times the Defendants advised that their motion for leave to appeal had not yet been ruled upon in the Second Department. The Court began to discuss trial dates with the parties, with Plaintiff repeatedly seeking the earliest possible trial date based on a preference for election cases. Defendants continued to object based on alleged lack of jurisdiction.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

The Court met with the parties via a TEAMS call on April 14, 2025 at which time the Court discussed trial dates. Defendants continued to object based on jurisdiction. The Court communicated with the parties in the days thereafter and on April 17, 2025 issued a Court Notice that set a trial date for May 12-16, 2025.

The Court issued a Pretrial Order on April 17, 2025. That Order required all motions in limine to be filed by April 25, 2025. Defendants declined to file any motion in limine.

Despite Defendants' failure to follow this Court's Pretrial Order and move in limine as to the alleged lack of jurisdiction, the Court will consider their oral application for an order adjourning the trial *sine die* on the basis that this Court lacks jurisdiction to conduct a trial or any other proceedings because the Appellate Division, Second Department allegedly has yet to issue a remittitur. Plaintiffs assert that the remittitur has been issued. Defendants had previously provided the Court with authority for their contest of jurisdiction, in letters dated February 3, 2025 (NYSCEF #158) and April 14, 2025 (NYSCEF #165).

CPLR 5524(b) provides as follows:

(b) Remittitur and further proceedings. A copy of the order of the court to which an appeal is taken determining the appeal, together with the record on appeal, shall be remitted to the clerk of the court of original instance except that where further proceedings are ordered in another court, they shall be remitted to the clerk of such court. The entry of such copy shall be authority for any further proceedings. Any judgment directed by the order shall be entered by the clerk of the court to which remission is made.

There is a little appellate case law that defines precisely what constitutes the "remittitur" in an instance, like here, where an appeal is taken from a decision of a trial justice of the Supreme Court to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

The Practice Commentary to CPLR 5524, which does not have the force of law, states in pertinent part:

The word "remittitur" in the context of subdivision (b) of CPLR 5524 means any of several things.

It can mean the appellate order being sent to the lower court for implementation. It can mean the order accompanied by the record, which is also remitted under subdivision (b). Or it can mean the appellate court's act of sending the case back after the appeal is done.

It is harmless enough to use it for any of those things, as long as the context of usage makes the point clear. But technically the word refers to the dispositive order itself, which usually contains a direction of some kind and must therefore be "remitted" to the lower court for implementation of the direction (emphasis added).

The few appellate cases that have addressed the definition of a remittitur in this context confirm that the process does not require a transmittal directly from the clerk of the appellate court to the clerk of the lower court. In Judson v Gray, 17 How. Pr. 289 (Ct. Appl. 1859), the defendant was handed the remittitur by the clerk of the Court of Appeals for the purpose of filing it with the clerk of the trial court (Chenango County). The appeal had resulted in a remand for trial. During trial, Defendant called the trial court clerk as a witness and established that the remittitur had not been filed with the trial court. Defendant objected to the trial proceeding on the basis that the trial court lacked jurisdiction, because jurisdiction remained with the Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals held that the lack of a remittitur did not divest the trial court of jurisdiction because defendant could have filed it himself but had not done so.

The issue of whether any meaningful distinction exists between the remittitur and the decision of the appellate court, with regard to the transition of jurisdiction back to the lower court, was addressed again in Treadwell v Clark, 124 AD 256 (1st Dept 1908). The parties stipulated to stay execution of the judgment until 30 days after the Court of Appeals affirmed or

INDENDIO.NOF002300/2025 wrangeesoenteounerkcobke202025/002330am45

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

dismissed the appeal. Once the Court of Appeals issued its affirmance, defendant contended the

trial court could not proceed because no remittitur had issued. The First Department held that

"the remittitur is nothing more or less than a copy or statement of the judgment or order of the

Court of Appeals" and therefore concluded that the judgment was enforceable.

Here, the wording of the Opinion is the denial of summary judgment for Defendants.

While the Opinion does not use wording such as "we hereby remit to the trial court for further

proceedings," there can be no doubt that was the intention of the Second Department. At the

time the Opinion was issued, no remaining appeals or motions existed in the Second Department

on this matter. Thus, there was no possible action remaining for the Second Department to

undertake. Applying the aforementioned precedent, the Opinion is the remittitur in this instance

and jurisdiction lies with this Court to conduct an election law trial at first opportunity.

Upon the foregoing, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that Defendants' oral application for a stay is **DENIED**.

This Decision constitutes the Order of this Court.

Dated: May 12, 2025

Goshen, New York

**ENTER:** 

Mavin S. Variffolis, HON. MARIA S. VAZQUED DOLES, J.S.C.

5

FILED: WRANGEEGOENTCOUNERKCDEKK202025/092330RM45 PM INDENDNO.NDF002300+2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 190

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025



# **NYSCEF Confirmation Notice**

# Orange County Supreme Court

The NYSCEF website has received an electronic filing on 05/14/2025 01:23 PM. Please keep this notice as a confirmation of this filing.

#### EF002460-2024

Oral Clarke et al v. Town of Newburgh et al Assigned Judge: Mary Anne Scattaretico-Naber

## Documents Received on 05/14/2025 01:23 PM

Doc # Document Type
190 NOTICE OF ENTRY

## Filing User

BENNET J MOSKOWITZ | bennet.moskowitz@troutman.com | 212-704-6000 875 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022

#### E-mail Service Notifications

An email regarding this filing has been sent to the following on 05/14/2025 01:23 PM:

DEREK ADAM BORCHARDT - derek.borchardt@ag.ny.gov

Samuel Jacob Davis - sadavis@law.harvard.edu

MICHAEL ALEXANDER FERNANDEZ - mfernandez@cozen.com

DANIEL ERIC GORMAN - daniel.gorman@troutman.com

RUTH MEREWYN GREENWOOD - rgreenwood@law.harvard.edu

DANIEL JACOB HESSEL - dhessel@law.harvard.edu

DAVID TATSUO IMAMURA - dimamura@abramslaw.com

PARIS LEIGH KENT - paris.kent@troutman.com

AMY BETH MARION - amarion@abramslaw.com

ANAIS SUZANNE MARGUERITE MOORE-JACCARD - anais.jaccard@troutman.com

BENNET J MOSKOWITZ - bennet.moskowitz@troutman.com

ROBERT A SPOLZINO - rspolzino@abramslaw.com

STEVEN THOMAS STILL - sstill@abramslaw.com

MISHA TSEYTLIN - misha.tseytlin@troutman.com

MARY M. WEEKS - mary.weeks@troutman.com

Kelly A. Eskew, County Clerk - ccinfo@orangecountygov.com

Phone: (845) 291-2690 Fax: (845) 378-2368 (fax)

NYSCEF Resource Center, nyscef@nycourts.gov

Phone: (646) 386-3033 | Fax: (212) 401-9146 | Website: www.nycourts.gov/efile

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025



#### EF002460-2024

Oral Clarke et al v. Town of Newburgh et al Assigned Judge: Mary Anne Scattaretico-Naber

Kelly A. Eskew, County Clerk - ccinfo@orangecountygov.com

Phone: (845) 291-2690 Fax: (845) 378-2368 (fax)

NYSCEF Resource Center, nyscef@nycourts.gov

Phone: (646) 386-3033 | Fax: (212) 401-9146 | Website: www.nycourts.gov/efile

WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 05/14/2025 01:45 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

INDEX NO. 50325/2025

# Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division: Second Indicial Department

Informational Statement (Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 1250.3 [a]) - Civil

Case Title: Set forth the title of the case as it appears on the summons, notice of petition or order to show cause by which the matter was or is to be commenced, or as amended.  For Court of Original Instance of the case as it appears on the summons, notice of petition or order to show cause by which the matter was or is to be commenced, or as amended.						
ORAL CLARKE, ROMANCE REED, GRACE PEREZ, PETER RAMON, ERNEST TIRADO, and DOROTHY FLOURNOY						
- against -	Date Notice of Appeal Filed					
TOWN OF NEWBURGH a	and TOWN BOARD OF TH	E TOWN OF				
NEWBURGH	For Appellate Division					
Case Type		Filing Type				
■ Civil Action  □ CPLR article 75 Arbitration	☐ CPLR article 78 Proceed☐ Special Proceeding Oth	·   _ · · ·	☐ Transferred Proceeding			
Action Commenced under CPLR 2			Executive Law § 298			
	11abeas Corpus Proceed.	Eminent Domain	☐ CPLR 5704 Review			
		☐ Labor Law 220 or :				
		☐ Public Officers Lav	•			
		☐ Real Property Tax	Law § 1278			
Nature of Suit: Check up to three of the following categories which best reflect the nature of the case.						
☐ Administrative Review	☐ Business Relationships	☐ Commercial	☐ Contracts			
☐ Declaratory Judgment	☐ Domestic Relations	Election Law	☐ Estate Matters			
☐ Family Court	☐ Mortgage Foreclosure	☐ Miscellaneous	☐ Prisoner Discipline & Parole			
☐ Real Property	☐ Statutory	☐ Taxation	□ Torts			
(other than foreclosure)						

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

Appeal						
Paper Appealed From (Check one only):		If an appeal has been taken from more than one order or				
		judgment by the filing of this notice of appeal, please				
		indicate the below inform	ation for each such order or			
		judgment appealed from (	on a separate sheet of paper.			
☐ Amended Decree	□ Determination	Order	☐ Resettled Order			
☐ Amended Judgement	☐ Finding	☐ Order & Judgment	☐ Ruling			
☐ Amended Order	☐ Interlocutory Decree	☐ Partial Decree ☐ Other (specify):				
☐ Decision	☐ Interlocutory Judgment	☐ Resettled Decree				
☐ Decree	☐ Judgment	$\square$ Resettled Judgment				
Court: Supreme Cou	rt	County: Orang	е			
Dated: 05/12/2025		Entered: 5/12/2025				
Judge (name in full): Maria S. Vazquez-Doles		Index No.: EF002460-2024				
Stage: ■ Interlocutory □ Final □	Post-Final	Trial: ☐ Yes ☐ No	If Yes: ☐ Jury ☐ Non-Jury			
	Prior Unperfected Appeal a	nd Related Case Informatio	n			
Are any appeals arising in the same a	ction or proceeding currently	y pending in the court?	■ Yes □ No			
If Yes, please set forth the Appellate						
2024-11753	J	••				
Where appropriate, indicate whether	there is any related action of	or proceeding now in any co	ourt of this or any other			
jurisdiction, and if so, the status of th			·			
	Original Proc	eeding				
Commenced by: ☐ Order to Show Cause ☐ Notice of Petition ☐ Writ of Habeas Corpus ☐ Date Filed:						
Statute authorizing commencement of	of proceeding in the Appellat	e Division:				
	Proceeding Transferred Purs	suant to CPLR 7804(g)				
Court: Choose Court	Co	unty: Choos	e County			
Judge (name in full):	Ore	der of Transfer Date:				
CPLR 5704 Review of Ex Parte Order:						
Court: Choose Court	Co	unty: Choos	e County			
Judge (name in full):		ted:				
Description of Appeal, Proceeding or Application and Statement of Issues						
Description, If an appeal, briefly describe the name appealed from If the appeal is from an angle appeal with a relief						
Description: If an appeal, briefly describe the paper appealed from. If the appeal is from an order, specify the relief						
requested and whether the motion was granted or denied. If an original proceeding commenced in this court or transferred pursuant to CPLR 7804(g), briefly describe the object of proceeding. If an application under CPLR 5704, briefly describe the						
nature of the ex parte order to be reviewed.						
Appeal from the Decision and Order of the Hon. Maria S. Vazquez-Doles, J.S.C. of the Supreme						
Court of the State of New York, Orange County, dated May 12, 2025 (NYSCEF No. 180).						
Court of the State of 146W Tork, Statige Country, dated way 12, 2020 (14100E) 140. 100/.						

FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 05/14/2025 01:45 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

INDEX NO. 50325/2025

Issues: Specify the issues proposed to be raised on the appeal, proceeding, or application for CPLR 5704 review, the grounds for reversal, or modification to be advanced and the specific relief sought on appeal.

Defendants-Appellants seek an order reversing each and every portion of the Decision and Order dated May 12, 2025 (NYSCEF No. 180). The issues proposed to be raised on appeal concern the motion court's finding that the Second Department's Opinion and Order, dated January 30, 2025 (Index No. 2024-11753), reversing the motion court's grant of summary judgment to Defendants-Appellants, is remittitur and that jurisdiction lies with the motion court to conduct a trial.

## **Party Information**

Instructions: Fill in the name of each party to the action or proceeding, one name per line. If this form is to be filed for an appeal, indicate the status of the party in the court of original instance and his, her, or its status in this court, if any. If this form is to be filed for a proceeding commenced in this court, fill in only the party's name and his, her, or its status in this court.

No.	Party Name	Original Status	Appellate Division Status
1	Oral Clarke	Plaintiff	Respondent
2	Romance Reed	Plaintiff	Respondent
3	Grace Perez	Plaintiff	Respondent
4	Peter Ramon	Plaintiff	Respondent
5	Ernest Tirado	Plaintiff	Respondent
6	Dorothy Flournoy	Plaintiff	Respondent
7	Town of Newburgh	Defendant	Appellant
8	Town Board of the Town of Newburgh	Defendant	Appellant
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

FILED: WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK 05/14/2025 01:45 PM

INDEX NO. 50325/2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

Attorney Information							
Instructions: Fill in the names of the attorneys or firms for the respective parties. If this form is to be filed with the							
notice of petition or order to show cause by which a special proceeding is to be commenced in the Appellate Division,							
only the name of the attorney	for the petitioner need be p	provided. In the ever	nt that a litigant represents herself or				
himself, the box marked "Pro Se	e" must be checked and the	appropriate informa	tion for that litigant must be supplied				
in the spaces provided.							
Attorney/Firm Name: Bennet J. Moskowitz/Troutman Pepper Locke LLP							
Address: 875 Third Avenue							
City: New York	State: New York	Zip: 10022	Telephone No: (212) 704-6000				
E-mail Address: bennet.moskowi	tz@troutman.com						
Attorney Type:	tained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government $\Box$	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (se	et forth party number(s) fro	om table above):7, 8					
Attorney/Firm Name: Robert A.	Spolzino/Abrams Fensterma	n					
Address: 81 Main Street, Suite 40	00						
City: White Plains	State: New York	Zip: 10601	Telephone No: (914) 607-7010				
E-mail Address: rspolzino@abrar	mslaw.com						
Attorney Type:	tained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government 🔲	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (se	et forth party number(s) fro	om table above):1, 2,	3, 4, 5, 6				
Attorney/Firm Name:	(1.11.1.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11		######################################				
Address:							
City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:				
E-mail Address:							
Attorney Type:	tained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government 🗆	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (se	et forth party number(s) fro	om table above):					
Attorney/Firm Name:							
Address:							
City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:				
E-mail Address:		,	•				
Attorney Type:   Re	tained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government 🗆	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (se	et forth party number(s) fro	om table above):					
Attorney/Firm Name:	\$\ \alpha\ \al		\$\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.				
Address:							
City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:				
E-mail Address:		'					
Attorney Type:   Re	etained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government 🗆	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):							
vandenamenameningamenameningamenamenamikamamenamenamenamenamenamenamenamenamenam							
Address:							
City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:				
E-mail Address:	•						
Attorney Type:   Re	etained $\square$ Assigned $\square$	Government 🗆	Pro Se 🔲 Pro Hac Vice				
Party or Parties Represented (se	<del>-</del>	om table above):					

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

ORAL CLARKE, ROMANCE REED, GRACE PEREZ, PETER RAMON, ERNEST TIRADO, and DOROTHY FLOURNOY,

Plaintiffs,

<u>AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE</u>

Index No.: EF002460-2024

v.

TOWN OF NEWBURGH and TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH,

Defendants.

I, Bennet J. Moskowitz, an attorney duly admitted to practice in the State of New York, affirms pursuant to CPLR 2106 and subject to the penalties, that on May 14, 2025, I served via NYSCEF a copy of the Notice of Appeal and Appellate Division Information Statement upon all counsel of record.

17 of 18

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/14/2025

Dated: New York, New York May 14, 2025

TROUTMAN PEPPER LOCKE LLP

BENNET J. MOSKOWITZ PARIS L. KENT 875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 704-6000

MISHA TSEYTLIN
MOLLY S. DIRAGO (pro hac vice)
111 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 4100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(608) 999-1240

ANAIS JACCARD 301 S. College St, 34th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202

Attorneys for Defendants Town of Newburgh and Town Board of the Town of Newburgh