

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DISTRICT**

MICHAEL GONIDAKIS, ET AL.	:	
PLAINTIFFS	:	
	:	
AND	:	CASE NO. 2:22-CV-773
	:	
THE HONORABLE REVEREND	:	
KENNETH L. SIMON	:	CHIEF JUDGE ALGENON L.
	:	MARBLEY
INTERVENOR- PLAINTIFF	:	JUDGE AMUL R. THAPAR
	:	JUDGE BENJAMIN J. BEATON
AND	:	
	:	MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH
THE HONORABLE REVEREND	:	P. DEAVERS
LEWIS W. MACKLIN, II	:	
	:	
INTERVENOR- PLAINTIFF	:	
	:	
AND	:	“CLASS-ACTION ALLEGATIONS”
	:	
HELEN YOUNGBLOOD, IN THEIR	:	
CAPACITY AS REPRESENTATIVES	:	“CLAIM OF
OF THE PUTATIVE CLASS IN	:	UNCONSTITUTIONALITY”
<u>ARMOUR V. OHIO.</u>	:	
	:	
INTERVENORS- PLAINTIFFS	:	
	:	
	:	
VS.	:	
	:	
GOVERNOR MIKE DEWINE,	:	
GOVERNOR AND MEMBER OF THE	:	
OHIO REDISTRICTING	:	
COMMISSION, ET AL.	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
DEFENDANTS.	:	

**RESPONSE OF SIMON PARTIES TO PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR A  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

In accordance with this Honorable Court’s March 21, 2022 Order, ECF Docket #85,  
Intervenor Plaintiffs, the Honorable Kenneth L. Simon, the Honorable Lewis Macklin and

Helen Youngblood, (hereinafter “the Simon Parties”), respectfully oppose Plaintiffs’ motion for a temporary restraining order to maintain the Third Plan invalidated by the Ohio Supreme Court on March 17, 2022 (hereinafter “the Third Plan”).

The Simon Parties oppose Plaintiffs’ request for the following reasons:

1. Plaintiffs are unlikely to succeed on the merits as the Third Plan has already been determined by the Ohio Supreme Court to be unlawful and thus, unfit for use in an election;
2. Granting a TRO would cause substantial harm to the Simon Parties because the Third Plan was developed in a manner that violated the Voting Rights Act of 1965 as amended, due to Defendants’ intentional disregard of the totality of the circumstances test, racial demographics and the duty to engage in an intensely local appraisal of indigenous political reality in Mahoning County ,Ohio
3. A TRO is not in the public interest because implementing a plan that has already been invalidated by the Ohio Supreme Court will confuse the public and undermine principles of comity.

Accordingly, the Simon Parties respectfully oppose Plaintiffs’ motion for a temporary restraining order.

*s/Percy Squire, Esq.*

Percy Squire (0022010)

341 S. Third St., Suite 10

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 224-6528 T

(614) 224 -6529 F

[psquire@sp-lawfirm.com](mailto:psquire@sp-lawfirm.com)

Attorney for Proposed Intervenors-Plaintiffs

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served by operation of the United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio electronic filing system, on March 23, 2022.

*s/Percy Squire, Esq.*  
Percy Squire (0022010)  
Attorney for Proposed Intervenors-Plaintiffs