

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, .
ET AL, .
PLAINTIFFS, .
vs. . DOCKET NO. 5:21-CV-844-XR
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, ET AL, .
DEFENDANTS. .

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
NOVEMBER 16, 2021

APPEARANCES:
FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SEAN MORALES DOYLE, ESQUIRE
BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE
120 BROADWAY
SUITE 1750
NEW YORK, NY 10271

UZOMA NKWONTA, ESQUIRE
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
10 G STREET NE, SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20002

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JENNIFER HOLMES, ESQUIRE
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE & EDUCATIONAL
FUND INC
40 RECTOR STREET, FIFTH FLOOR
NEW YORK NY 10006

RYAN V. COX, ESQUIRE
TEXAS CIVIL RIGHTS PROJECT
2911 N. MAIN AVENUE
SAN ANTONIO TX 78212

WENDY J. OLSON, ESQUIRE
STOEL RIVES LLP
101 S. CAPITOL BLVD, SUITE 1900
BOISE ID 83702

DANIEL JOSHUA FREEMAN, ESQUIRE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE
4CON 8.143
WASHINGTON DC 20530

LIA SIFUENTES DAVIS, ESQUIRE
DISABILITY RIGHTS TEXAS
2222 WEST BRAKER LANE
AUSTIN TX 78758

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

PATRICK SWEETEN, ESQUIRE
WILLIAM THOMAS THOMPSON, ESQUIRE
TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. BOX 12548
MC 009
AUSTIN TX 78711

CHAD ENNIS, ESQUIRE
TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION
901 CONGRESS AVENUE
AUSTIN TX 78701

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTED BY: GIGI SIMCOX, RMR, CRR
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

1 THE COURT: So all the plaintiffs have heard that,
2 whether you want to try to amend in light of that. I'm not
3 saying you have to, but again, I'm trying to get us to the
4 merits without more motion to dismiss diversions.

5 And so if you want to rely just on your existing
6 allegations, that may or may not meet the Fifth Circuit. I'll
7 hear the State's -- or I'll see whether or not the State's
8 arguments about how the Fifth Circuit was not well-informed,
9 but this is easily curable by you-all just adding more
10 sentences to your amended complaint is what I'm trying to
11 emphasize.

12 Next one. In the motion to dismiss the defense are
13 asserting that there's no private cause of action under
14 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

15 So I'm assuming this is another hard one for
16 Mr. Thompson?

17 MR. SWEETEN: Your Honor, anything on the motions to
18 dismiss is Mr. Thompson today. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: So, Mr. Thompson, so in Shelby County the
20 chief justice talked about injunctive relief is available in
21 appropriate places to block voting laws from going into
22 effect. And the chief justice said both the federal
23 government and individuals have sued to enforce Section 2.

24 It sure appears that the chief justice believes
25 there's a private cause of action.

1 MR. THOMPSON: I have to respectfully disagree, Your
2 Honor. I think the chief justice was actually very careful to
3 say that they "have" sued, not that it was "proper" for them
4 to have sued.

5 Just a few months ago Justice Gorsuch flagged --

6 THE COURT: We're not talking about Justice Gorsuch
7 and his -- that's all -- we're not going there.

8 We're talking about what a majority opinion held.

9 MR. THOMPSON: Well, then, Your Honor, I'll point out
10 that in the majority opinion from the Supreme Court they have
11 consistently said things like, "We assume without deciding
12 that Section 2 creates a private cause of action," which they
13 are able to do because it's not a jurisdictional requirement.

14 There is no holding from the majority of the United
15 States Supreme Court saying that there is, in fact, a private
16 cause of action under Section 2.

17 THE COURT: I disagree. That part of the motion to
18 dismiss is denied.

19 With regard to defendants asserting there's no
20 private cause of action under Section 208 of the Voting Rights
21 Act. So, Mr. Thompson, 52 U.S.C., Section 10302 says,
22 "Whenever the Attorney General or an aggrieved person
23 institutes a proceeding," so how is there no private cause of
24 action?

25 MR. THOMPSON: Sure.

1 unreasonable, but if you start arguing that, you know,
2 everything can be disposed of by summary judgment, well, you
3 know, that's not going to help me either.

4 And so, I mean, for example intentional
5 discrimination. You can't tee that up by summary judgment
6 without discovery, just as an example.

7 And so you-all continue to meet and confer to figure
8 out what, if any, discrete issues are solely legal issues and
9 that I can take up earlier rather than later.

10 MR. SWEETEN: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Anybody else?

12 Okay. We'll meet again.

13 Thank you.

14 (Concludes proceedings.)

15 -o0o-

16 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
17 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I
18 further certify that the transcript fees and format comply
19 with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference
20 of the United States.

21

22 Date: 11/19/2021

23

24

25

/s/ Gigi Simcox
United States Court Reporter
655 East Cesar E. Chavez Boulevard
San Antonio TX 78206
Telephone: (210)244-5037