

# **Exhibit A**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, .  
ET AL, .  
PLAINTIFFS, .  
vs. . DOCKET NO. 5:21-CV-844-XR  
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, ET AL, .  
DEFENDANTS. .

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE XAVIER RODRIGUEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NOVEMBER 16, 2021

APPEARANCES:  
FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SEAN MORALES DOYLE, ESQUIRE  
BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE  
120 BROADWAY  
SUITE 1750  
NEW YORK, NY 10271  
  
UZOMA NKWONTA, ESQUIRE  
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP  
10 G STREET NE, SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20002

1 THE COURT: So all the plaintiffs have heard that,  
2 whether you want to try to amend in light of that. I'm not  
3 saying you have to, but again, I'm trying to get us to the  
4 merits without more motion to dismiss diversions.

5 And so if you want to rely just on your existing  
6 allegations, that may or may not meet the Fifth Circuit. I'll  
7 hear the State's -- or I'll see whether or not the State's  
8 arguments about how the Fifth Circuit was not well-informed,  
9 but this is easily curable by you-all just adding more  
10 sentences to your amended complaint is what I'm trying to  
11 emphasize.

12 Next one. In the motion to dismiss the defense are  
13 asserting that there's no private cause of action under  
14 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

15 So I'm assuming this is another hard one for  
16 Mr. Thompson?

17 MR. SWEETEN: Your Honor, anything on the motions to  
18 dismiss is Mr. Thompson today. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: So, Mr. Thompson, so in Shelby County the  
20 chief justice talked about injunctive relief is available in  
21 appropriate places to block voting laws from going into  
22 effect. And the chief justice said both the federal  
23 government and individuals have sued to enforce Section 2.

24 It sure appears that the chief justice believes  
25 there's a private cause of action.

1 MR. THOMPSON: I have to respectfully disagree, Your  
2 Honor. I think the chief justice was actually very careful to  
3 say that they "have" sued, not that it was "proper" for them  
4 to have sued.

5 Just a few months ago Justice Gorsuch flagged --

6 THE COURT: We're not talking about Justice Gorsuch  
7 and his -- that's all -- we're not going there.

8 We're talking about what a majority opinion held.

9 MR. THOMPSON: Well, then, Your Honor, I'll point out  
10 that in the majority opinion from the Supreme Court they have  
11 consistently said things like, "We assume without deciding  
12 that Section 2 creates a private cause of action," which they  
13 are able to do because it's not a jurisdictional requirement.

14 There is no holding from the majority of the United  
15 States Supreme Court saying that there is, in fact, a private  
16 cause of action under Section 2.

17 THE COURT: I disagree. That part of the motion to  
18 dismiss is denied.

19 With regard to defendants asserting there's no  
20 private cause of action under Section 208 of the Voting Rights  
21 Act. So, Mr. Thompson, 52 U.S.C., Section 10302 says,  
22 "Whenever the Attorney General or an aggrieved person  
23 institutes a proceeding," so how is there no private cause of  
24 action?

25 MR. THOMPSON: Sure.