

# EXHIBIT

# 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
 EL PASO DIVISION  
 VOLUME 7 OF 9

LULAC, et al.,	) (	EP:21-CR-259-DCG-JES-JVB
	) (	(Lead Case)
Plaintiffs,	) (	
	) (	
ROY CHARLES BROOKS, et al.,	) (	EP:21-CV-00991-DCG-JES-JVB
	) (	
Plaintiffs,	) (	
	) (	
vs.	) (	EL PASO, TEXAS
	) (	
GREG ABBOTT, in his official	) (	
capacity as Governor of Texas,	) (	
et al.,	) (	
	) (	January 27th, 2022
Defendants.	) (	(1:33 p.m. to 5:53 p.m.)

---

**HEARING ON BROOKS PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

---

FIFTH CIRCUIT JUDGE JERRY EDWIN SMITH  
 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID C. GUADERRAMA  
 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JEFFREY V. BROWN

APPEARANCES:

For Brooks Plaintiffs: Mr. Chad W. Dunn  
 Brazil & Dunn  
 4407 Bee Caves Road  
 Building 1, Ste. 111  
 Austin, TX 78746  
 (512) 717-9822

Mr. Mark P. Gaber  
 Mark P. Gaber PLLC  
 P.O. Box 34481  
 Washington, DC 20043  
 (715) 482-4066  
 Mark@markgaber.com

1 For Brooks Plaintiffs: Mr. Jesse L. Gaines  
2 Attorney at Law  
3 P.O. Box 50093  
4 Fort Worth, TX 76105  
5 817-714-9988  
6 Gainesjesse@ymail.com

7 Ms. Molly E. Danahy  
8 P.O. Box 26277  
9 Baltimore, MD 21211  
10 (208) 301-1202  
11 Danahy.molly@gmail.com

12 Ms. Sonni Waknin  
13 10300 Venice Blvd. # 204  
14 Culver City, CA 90232  
15 732-610-1283  
16 Sonniwaknin@gmail.com

17 For Defendants: Mr. Patrick K. Sweeten  
18 Mr. Christopher D. Hilton  
19 Mr. Eric Hudson  
20 Mr. William Thomas Thompson  
21 Ms. Kathleen Hunker  
22 Ms. Courtney Brooke Corbello  
23 Mr. Jack Buckley DiSorbo  
24 Office of Texas Attorney General  
25 P.O. Box 12548  
MC 009  
Austin, Texas 78711  
(512) 463-4139

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Bryan Christopher  
Court Reporter: Kathleen A. Supnet  
El Paso, Texas  
(915) 834-0573  
kathi.supnet5303@gmail.com

26 Transcript produced by mechanical stenography, and  
27 computer-aided software and computer.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

VOLUME 7 of 9

JANUARY 27, 2022, (1:33 p.m. to 5:53 p.m.) PAGE VOL.

<u>DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES:</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>VOIR DIRE</u>	<u>VOL.</u>
SENATOR CECELIA JOAN HUFFMAN	4	37	--	7
DR. JOHN ALFORD	40,148	63	--	7
KEITH INGRAM	151	--	--	7
Court Reporter Certificate. . . . .			181	7

KATHLEEN A. SUPNET, CSR

15:16:12 1 Q. Where did you get this data?

15:16:14 2 A. That's the result of an EI analysis.

15:16:18 3 Q. Who provided you the EI analysis?

15:16:20 4 A. So the EI analysis was performed, as is true for all  
15:16:26 5 of my EI analysis, under my direction, supervised by Dr. Randy  
15:16:36 6 Stevenson.

15:16:37 7 Q. I think I heard you say: As is true for all of your  
15:16:43 8 EI analysis. Do you recall in the 2017 case that you received  
15:16:49 9 an EI analysis from the Attorney General's office and that was  
15:16:53 10 the EI analysis that you used, the Texas Attorney General's  
15:16:59 11 office?

15:17:01 12 A. Again, there are a lot of Texas cases, but I know that  
15:17:06 13 there -- maybe it was 2017. I know I received analysis in the  
15:17:12 14 past from the Attorney General's office that I haven't relied  
15:17:16 15 on, but I don't know if that was analysis I have relied on.

15:17:19 16 So at some point in the set of the Texas cases,  
15:17:26 17 Dr. Stevenson, working with me, developed a methodology and a  
15:17:29 18 set of programs for doing EI analysis that he shared with the  
15:17:33 19 technicians at the Attorney General's office, so they have the  
15:17:38 20 ability to run the EIs in the same form and fashion that we are  
15:17:41 21 running them. So, you know, I don't -- this -- whatever was  
15:17:49 22 going on in 2017, what's going on now is that the technicians in  
15:17:58 23 the Attorney General's office can run an EI in exactly the form  
15:18:02 24 that I want it run, because that's been set up by Dr. Stevenson.  
15:18:07 25 Dr. Stevenson can run it.

15:18:10 1 In this particular -- for this particular analysis, I  
15:18:12 2 think at the time I wrote the report, I may have been using a  
15:18:19 3 run that came from the Attorney General's office, that was set  
15:18:24 4 up -- we are in the process of -- it's a very large data process  
15:18:28 5 to get ready for the trials that are coming up here in Texas, so  
15:18:32 6 if we -- for a while, have been involved in the process of  
15:18:34 7 building the data and programming capacity to do that. And we  
15:18:39 8 did that. We do that kind of a type of election at a time and  
15:18:42 9 we were -- we were not at the stage, yet, where we had the full  
15:18:46 10 Senate election data set.

15:18:49 11 And so my recollection is that it was just quicker to  
15:18:54 12 have -- to have that -- I think what ended up happening was that  
15:19:02 13 Dr. Stevenson had that run with -- where the data was at that  
15:19:06 14 time, which was the TLC data that the Attorney General's Office  
15:19:12 15 had, rather than the data Dr. Stevenson had.

15:19:16 16 Subsequent to that, Dr. Stevenson got that data, and  
15:19:21 17 while this has been run again, produces the same results, so I  
15:19:25 18 was confident then, because I knew it was Dr. Stevenson code  
15:19:30 19 that was being used, the TLC data. I'm confident now, because  
15:19:34 20 I've seen the replication of it. Directly, it's the same  
15:19:38 21 result.

15:19:38 22 Q. Okay. Who is the technician at the Texas Attorney  
15:19:43 23 General's Office? Who ran the EI analysis?

15:19:45 24 A. I know it's in the technical office. I don't know the  
15:19:49 25 actual person that runs the analysis.

15:19:51 1 Q. Do you know any of the names of the people in the  
15:19:54 2 Texas Attorney General Office's technical office, who do run  
15:19:58 3 these types of EI analyses?

15:20:00 4 A. I now Mr. Falk is sort of -- to my knowledge,  
15:20:03 5 supervises that group. And I know there's a redistrictor or a  
15:20:09 6 GS person there, but there are other technical people in the  
15:20:13 7 technical staff as well.

15:20:15 8 Q. Do you know if any of these technicians have Ph.D.s?

15:20:19 9 A. No idea.

15:20:20 10 Q. You've never inquired to see if they have a Ph.D.?

15:20:24 11 A. You know, I have never inquired, because you don't  
15:20:27 12 need to have a Ph.D. to initiate an R. Program. That's why we  
15:20:33 13 wrote the program.

15:20:34 14 Q. Do you know if they have any experience or training in  
15:20:35 15 running ecological inference analysis?

15:20:39 16 A. Again, you don't need to have experience in doing  
15:20:41 17 that. You would have to have some experience to doing that, if  
15:20:43 18 you're going to write the programs to do it, but if you're going  
15:20:47 19 to execute the programs, you don't need that experience. They  
15:20:52 20 might have, but I don't know.

15:20:52 21 Q. But you haven't inquired?

15:20:54 22 A. A decade or so ago when -- 15 years ago, the first  
15:20:59 23 time I met with any of the technical people, I know there was a  
15:21:03 24 mathematician there, who seemed to know a great deal about EI in  
15:21:08 25 his mathematical underpinnings, I thought quite sophisticated.