## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LISA HUNTER, JACOB ZABEL, JENNIFER OH, JOHN PERSA, GERALDINE SCHERTZ, and KATHLEEN QUALHEIM,

Plaintiffs,

and

BILLIE JOHNSON, ERIC O'KEEFE, ED PERKINS, and RONALD ZAHN,

Intervenor-Plaintiffs,

v.

MARGE BOSTELMANN, JULIE M. GLANCEY, ANN S. JACOBS, DEAN KNUDSON, ROBERT F. SPINDELL, JR., and MARK L. THOMSEN, in their official capacities as members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission,

Defendants,

and

21-cv-512-jdp-ajs-eec

WISCONSIN LEGISLATURE,

Intervenor-Defendant,

and

CONGRESSMEN GLENN GROTHMAN, MIKE GALLAGHER, BRYAN STEIL, TOM TIFFANY, and SCOTT FITZGERALD,

Intervenor-Defendants,

and

GOVERNOR TONY EVERS,

Intervenor-Defendant.

BLACK LEADERS ORGANIZING FOR COMMUNITIES, VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, the LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF WISCONSIN, CINDY FALLONA, LAUREN STEPHENSON, and REBECCA ALWIN,

Plaintiffs.

v.

MARGE BOSTELMANN, JULIE M. GLANCEY, ANN S. JACOBS, DEAN KNUDSON, ROBERT F. SPINDELL, JR., and MARK L. THOMSEN, in their official capacities as members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission, and MEAGAN WOLFE, in her official capacity as the administrator of the Wisconsin Elections Commission,

21-cv-534-jdp-ajs-eec

Defendants.

## JOHNSON INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO THE WISCONSIN LEGISLATURE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

The Wisconsin Legislature has moved to dismiss the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint for lack of jurisdiction. That motion should be denied.

The Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs are Wisconsin voters who live in malapportioned districts and who intervened in this case to protect their rights to an undiluted vote and to the state forum in which they have chosen to lodge their own redistricting complaint.

The Legislature principally argues that the Intervenor-Plaintiffs lack Article III standing and, relatedly, that this suit is not ripe, citing *Growe v. Emison* 507 U.S. 25 (1993). This Court rejected this line of argument in its September 16 order. ECF No. 60:4. It cited *Arrington v. Elections Bd.*, 173 F. Supp. 2d 856 (E.D. Wis. 2001), in which the Court concluded under analogous circumstances that the standing and

ripeness threshholds are met in light of the realistic threat of imminent injury to voting rights. *Arrington*, 173 F. Supp. at 862-66. The Legislature has provided no reason why, if the other complaints in this suit are not dismissed, the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint should be, thereby denying the Intervenor-Plaintiffs the ability to protect their interests while this federal litigation proceeds.

Consequently, the Legislature's motion to dismiss should be denied but, of course, as the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs have argued, *Growe v. Emison*, 507 U.S. 25 (1993), does require a stay while state redistricting proceedings advance. *See* ECF No. 79.

Dated this 6th day of October, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR LAW & LIBERTY Attorneys for Intervenor-Plaintiffs

## /s/ Anthony LoCoco

Richard M. Esenberg, WI Bar No. 1005622 414-727-6367; rick@will-law.org Anthony LoCoco, WI Bar No. 1101773 414-727-7419; alococo@will-law.org Lucas Vebber, WI Bar No. 1067543 414-727-7415; lucas@will-law.org 330 East Kilbourn Ave. Suite 725 Milwaukee, WI 53202 414-727-9455; FAX: 414-727-6385